Usenet Newsgroup Archive: rec.radio.scanner

2005

These Usenet messages were preserved as a plain-text archive. This PDF was generated by Digital Library of Amateur Radio & Communications from the source text file in 2026.

Date span: 2005-01-01 through 2005-12-31

Source text: rec.radio.scanner.txt

Source item: https://archive.org/details/usenet-rec

Messages included in this PDF: 5,118

Message records with no readable body available in the source text: 3

Messages are presented chronologically by archived date.

Binary or encoded payloads are not printed as blocks of encoded text; a placeholder appears where such material was detected. The original source text is unchanged.

1. Re: Santa Claus Post is a Trojan!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-01 01:38:34 UTC
From: Al Arduengo <exalti@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <uanBd.9906$wi2.1327@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 15711

Cyber-Hun wrote:

> I tried linux but my limited experience with it was that it was less
> robust than windows --- in terms of withstanding power outages and
> improper
> shutdowns, anyway. Every time the power went unexpectedly the windoze
> boxes rebooted ok but the linux ones needed re-installing, consistently.

That's absurd. At most and depending on the distribution it would perhaps
fsck the system and repair any issues.

Perhaps I should just not argue this point anymore. I didn't mean for this
to get too heated.

>
> "Al Arduengo" <exalti@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:fBXAd.9680$wi2.49@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
>> TlgtrProd wrote:
>>
>>> It got me...thank God for MacAfee!
>>>
>>>
>>> Kevin (aka tlgtr)
>>> ****
>>> Zip slowly, medical statistics show that over 100,000 people are injured
>>> annually by their clothing.
>>
>> Not if you use linux.:-)
>> --
>> ~/.signature

--
~/.signature

2. Re: Military Acft Comms - Florida, Tues 28 Dec 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-01 01:46:28 UTC
From: Tom <usa1@nospamiei.net>
Message-ID: <Xns95D0D35F1A39ATom@129.250.170.86>
Source record: 15714

As AllanStern stated so eloquently:

> Ah yes, the "good old days." Loring AFB, McCoy AFB,
> where did it all go?
> Thanks loads for the kind comment, Tom.
> Do you get to do any Mil Comms monitoring yourself?
>
> AL

Hey Al,

I spent 2 years at Lackland (it's not just for basic) and 3 years at RAF Upper Heyford (before it closed).
I was in AMMO and had friends in Comm. that let me in Control. It was fun listening to all the traffic. Back then, there was some traffic that shouldn't be monitored. LOL

I have a PRO-95 and listen when I can. I haven't found any military traffic locally so far, mostly commercial a/c. The closest base to me is Grissom ARB and it's too far to get any regular traffic.

Thanks again,
--
Tom

"That man is richest whose pleasures are cheapest."
-Henry Thoreau

3. Re: Santa Claus Post is a Trojan!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-01 01:51:07 UTC
From: Al Arduengo <exalti@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <fmnBd.9784$iC4.8891@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 15712

Max Burke wrote:

>> Al Arduengo wrote:
>
>>> Max Burke wrote:
>
>> Fair enough. I should keep my comments to myself.
>
> Unverifiable, unprovable comments sure....

Except that I dare you to find more than one linux user for every 100
windows users who have had a virus or other malware infect their computer.

>
>> But since we are
>> on the subject, I have used Linux for nine years and never ONCE been
>> affected by a virus or suffered an attack from an uninvited user.
>
> Twelve plus years for me with Windows (various versions) for with the same
> result. Your point is?

You are an exception to the rule and you know this.

>
>> I do not have adware on my computer,
>
> Neither do I. Your point is?

Again...

>
>> nor do I have to reboot every time
>> I install a piece of software.
>
> Neither do I. Not that rebooting is problem for me when installing
> software. Why is rebooting a problem for you running Linux?

You should not have to reboot the computer to install software. That is
just silly.

>
>> I literally can do anything on my
>> Linux laptop that I could do running Windows
>
> I can literally do ANYTHING on my Windows systems using 5 FREE versions of
> Linux on bootable CD's that I do in Windows. Your point is?
>

>> - I know, I tried going
>> back to Windows a couple of times recently on my laptop just to
>> maintain compatibility with work.
>
> IOW the work you need to do cant be done in Linux because Linux is
> incompatiable with the work you need to do.....

No, TI uses Windows almost exclusively and I merely wanted to try and keep
it simple with respect to MS Office related stuff as well as Exchange use.
I tried Windows and although I was like everyone else, I was unhappy. I
still do all the work I need or want to do on my linux laptop.

>
>> A week later I went back to Linux.
>> It costs less because I don't have to pay for nagware that is useful
>> and it runs faster and cleaner by far.
>
> Yet again another unverifiable, unprovable comment....

Not at all. Windows runs slower as adware builds up. This is not fiction.
Windows in my experience must be rebooted regularly about every few days.
I routinely go 90-120 days in Linux without rebooting. And then only to
give my machine some off time.

>
>> Linux is inherently safer than Windows. Much safer.
>
> FYI...
> http://www.linuxsecurity.com/advisories/index.html
>
> From the daily Secunia security e-letter I get:
>
> UNIX/Linux:
> [SA13692] Mandrake update for koffice
> [SA13691] Mandrake update for kdegraphics
> [SA13689] Mandrake update for gpdf
> [SA13686] Mandrake update for tetex
> [SA13685] Mandrake update for xpdf
> [SA13667] Debian update for imlib
> [SA13666] Debian update for tiff
> [SA13663] Debian update for netkit-telnet-ssl
> [SA13656] SSLtelnet Unspecified Format String Vulnerability
> [SA13646] Fedora update for xpdf
> [SA13690] Mandrake update for cups
> [SA13683] Gentoo update for ViewCVS
> [SA13669] Fedora update for cups
> [SA13668] CUPS xpdf "doImage()" Buffer Overflow Vulnerability
> [SA13664] Snort TCP/IP Options Denial of Service Vulnerability
> [SA13658] Red Hat update for SquirrelMail
> [SA13672] Gentoo update for cups
> [SA13662] Mandrake update for samba

> [SA13653] Netscape Directory Server for HP-UX Buffer Overflow
> [Vulnerability SA13696] KDE kio_ftp FTP Command Injection Vulnerability
> [SA13688] Mandrake update for kdelibs
> [SA13651] HP Secure Web Server Denial of Service Vulnerability
> [SA13648] HP Tru64 TCP Connection Reset Denial of Service
> [SA13659] Red Hat update for kernel
> [SA13684] Mandrake update for glibc
> [SA13682] Conectiva update for netpbm
> [SA13679] aStats Insecure Temporary File Creation
> [SA13670] Atari800 Unspecified Buffer Overflow Vulnerabilities
> [SA13655] HP-UX SAM Privilege Escalation Vulnerability
> [SA13654] Linux Kernel SACF Instruction Privilege Escalation Vulnerability
> [SA13650] Linux Security Modules Running Processes Capability Security
> [Issue

What I see here is a list of distributions made for Windows users. They
have little if anything to do with the kernel. Get a good distribution and
it does not appear on this list.

>
> It's like this *EVERYDAY* for unix/linux in this e-letter...
>
>> Not totally
>> immune but effectively so in my opinion and experience.
>
> Yet another unverfiable, unprovable comment.

And I said it was my "opinion". I don't have to prove it or verify it. But
if you need proof, just talk to some linux system admins and those in
companies which use Linux.

>
> To repeat:
> The only thing worse than the millions of Windows user that are unaware of
> the need to protect their computers from attack on the internet, are the
> millions of Linux users that believe they're imune from attack on the
> internet because they're running Linux.....
>
> Real World OS choice for everyone:
> Five free versions of Linux on bootable CD Roms that work [nearly]
> perfectly on my computers and I still choose Windows as my 'production'
> OS....
>

--
~/.signature

4. Finding center freq for UHF 225 MHz - 400MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-01 02:06:45 UTC
From: sean <seaningram@tds.net>
Message-ID: <41d603a9$1_1@newspeer2.tds.net>
Source record: 15713

Hello, name here is Sean
Callsign is Kd4adv
QTH is Amelia, Virginia (FM17a)

I am constructing a 1/4-wave vertical groundplane receiving antenna for the UHF 225 - 400 MHz band aka the Military aircraft band.

I found the center frequency for the civilian aviation band between 118.0 and 136.0 is around 127.75 Mhz (AM)

Who can tell me how to find the center frequency for the UHF mil-air band, and/or, any other band?

(I have a guess that it's around 32x.xxx MHz)

Here's a formula that I found in the ARRL Handbook that I can do after finding the center frequency. (ref. 33-26) circa 1994

234 / freq (MHz) is used to find the length in feet.

2808 / freq (MHz) is used to find the length in inches for the vertical element

2950/ freq (MHz) is used to find the length of the radials in inches.

Thank you,
sean

5. Re: Finding center freq for UHF 225 MHz - 400MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-01 05:50:54 UTC
From: r2000swler@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1104558654.968864.62270@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15715

Unless you plan on trnasmitting, don't worry.
I have built GP cut to each end of the mil-air
band and they both worked fine across the band.
Try something like 9.5" with radial about 10".
Construction, esp water proofing is much more
important. Any water that can sneak into the coax
will kill your signal!
I use an ancient Tandy Disckone, the one without
the vertical "stinger", for almost all of my VHF/UHF
reception. I was given a Uniden Marine VHF 2way
and use it as a dedicated WX receiver and with
it connected to the GP antenna that I built for the 70cM
ham band I pick up wx stations in hundred mile radius.

Take car builing it, use good materials, use a good
sealant, I like GE aquarium sealant. And I let it cure for
a week before placing it in service. Antennas that I
have built this way have lasted for over 20 years.
I used to use SO-259 connectors mounted upside down.
But I use hermetic female "N" connectors now. I apply
the silicon to the threads on the male connector, then
tighten it down. The advantage of this style construction
is you can mount it IN a piece of 1/2 EMT conduit with the
conduit junctions. I seal everything up with silicon. The parts
are so inexpensive that I don't plan on repair or salvage.
I do like to spary the vertical element with a silicon
"encapsulating" spray so help the conductor avoid corrosion.
I have even built several of these for cell frequencies before
the ECPA was enacted.
I bend my radials to about 60 degrees and feed the antenna
with the best low loss foam TV coax I can get. Yes it is 75 Ohms,
and the antenna be something close to a 50 ohms, but for
receiving it doesn't mater. By using TV coax I can use "F"
static blocks where it enters the house to stay legal (compliant
with the NEC which our county uses).

Terry

6. Re: Finding center freq for UHF 225 MHz - 400MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-01 06:58:08 UTC
From: sean <seaningram@tds.net>
Message-ID: <41d647f2$1_2@newspeer2.tds.net>
Source record: 15716

Alright.
Thank you :)

Sean
<r2000swler@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1104558654.968864.62270@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Unless you plan on trnasmitting, don't worry.
> I have built GP cut to each end of the mil-air
> band and they both worked fine across the band.
> Try something like 9.5" with radial about 10".
> Construction, esp water proofing is much more
> important. Any water that can sneak into the coax
> will kill your signal!
> I use an ancient Tandy Disckone, the one without
> the vertical "stinger", for almost all of my VHF/UHF
> reception. I was given a Uniden Marine VHF 2way
> and use it as a dedicated WX receiver and with
> it connected to the GP antenna that I built for the 70cM
> ham band I pick up wx stations in hundred mile radius.
>
> Take car builing it, use good materials, use a good
> sealant, I like GE aquarium sealant. And I let it cure for
> a week before placing it in service. Antennas that I
> have built this way have lasted for over 20 years.
> I used to use SO-259 connectors mounted upside down.
> But I use hermetic female "N" connectors now. I apply
> the silicon to the threads on the male connector, then
> tighten it down. The advantage of this style construction
> is you can mount it IN a piece of 1/2 EMT conduit with the
> conduit junctions. I seal everything up with silicon. The parts
> are so inexpensive that I don't plan on repair or salvage.
> I do like to spary the vertical element with a silicon
> "encapsulating" spray so help the conductor avoid corrosion.
> I have even built several of these for cell frequencies before
> the ECPA was enacted.
> I bend my radials to about 60 degrees and feed the antenna
> with the best low loss foam TV coax I can get. Yes it is 75 Ohms,
> and the antenna be something close to a 50 ohms, but for
> receiving it doesn't mater. By using TV coax I can use "F"
> static blocks where it enters the house to stay legal (compliant
> with the NEC which our county uses).
>
> Terry
>

7. Re: 9v, 6v in 12v car

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-01 08:41:30 UTC
From: DxxxxxBxx <abuse@abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz.com>
Message-ID: <vaoct09jef4drpee6l6k3hpglp18nhv7jk@4ax.com>
Source record: 15717

Okay, here's what I did for my GPS unit that is 4.5 volts. I went to
the local Wal-Mart and found some $1.00 clearance car charger/adapters
for cell phones. Most of them that I saw showed the voltage and
(milli)Amps. I found one that was the correct voltage and had enough
Amps to cover my device. I cut the ends off and wired it in with my
preferred connectors. Once it worked, I bought another just for
backup.

Good luck.

On Thu, 30 Dec 2004 21:27:34 -0700, "User" <user@host.net> wrote:

>I want to hard-wire my 9v and 6v scanners to my car but I don't want to use
>a cig lighter adapter (unless I can take the thing apart, I am putting the
>wiring under my carpet). I am not an electronics guru by any stretch of the
>imagination although I can usually solder without starting large fires.
>
>Any tips or schematics for voltage converters to drop my car's 12v down to 9
>and 6?
>
>Thanks.
>

Spammers, please send email to:
listme@listme.dsbl.org
and get your SMTP server blacklisted!

8. ScanControl Software Version 4.1.0 Now Available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-01 15:00:33 UTC
From: ScanControl <kend@3dtechnet.com>
Message-ID: <92a788f7d4e5ead45c7ec043c80359a5@localhost.talkaboutelectronicequipment.com>
Source record: 15718

ScanControl - Version 4.1.x Now Available with BCT8 Control / Monitoring

ScanControl has been developed to provide scanning radio memory management, monitoring and control. One program supports the Uniden BCT8, BC245XLT, BC895XLT, BC780XLT, BC250D, BC785D, BC296D and BC796D scanners and the Radio Shack PRO-2052.

Version 4.1.x is now available adding Control & Monitoring for the BCT8 and enhanced CSV Data Import capabilities with data mapping profile storage and retrieval.

See our What's New page for details at
http://www.scannersoft.com/whatsnew.htm

Join the new ScanControl Users Group at
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scancontrol

Feedback on its features and functionality would be appreciated.

Ken D.
http://www.scannersoft.com
Easy To Use Software for Uniden Scanners

9. Re: Santa Claus Post is a Trojan!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-01 15:13:54 UTC
From: Cyber-Hun <th54@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <S6zBd.664690$%k.358861@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 15719

Um, why do you think that is absurd? Maybe it was just that one distro I had, but it happened a couple of times, and in all my years of running windows I have never seen it be unable to recover from improper shutdown all by itself. Don't get me wrong --- I'm not a ms fan either, it's just an observation of soething that happened.

"Al Arduengo" <exalti@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:uanBd.9906$wi2.1327@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
> Cyber-Hun wrote:
>
>> I tried linux but my limited experience with it was that it was less
>> robust than windows --- in terms of withstanding power outages and
>> improper
>> shutdowns, anyway. Every time the power went unexpectedly the windoze
>> boxes rebooted ok but the linux ones needed re-installing, consistently.
>
> That's absurd. At most and depending on the distribution it would perhaps
> fsck the system and repair any issues.
>
> Perhaps I should just not argue this point anymore. I didn't mean for
> this
> to get too heated.
>
>>
>> "Al Arduengo" <exalti@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:fBXAd.9680$wi2.49@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
>>> TlgtrProd wrote:
>>>
>>>> It got me...thank God for MacAfee!
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Kevin (aka tlgtr)
>>>> ****
>>>> Zip slowly, medical statistics show that over 100,000 people are
>>>> injured
>>>> annually by their clothing.
>>>
>>> Not if you use linux.:-)
>>> --
>>> ~/.signature
>
> --
> ~/.signature

10. Re: Santa Claus Post is a Trojan!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-01 15:23:48 UTC
From: Lord Floyd <nospam@biteme.invalid>
Message-ID: <Xns95D14B40EF54FSMEGHEAD@199.45.49.11>
Source record: 15720

"Cyber-Hun" <th54@hotmail.com> wrote in news:S6zBd.664690$%k.358861
@pd7tw2no:

> Um, why do you think that is absurd?

Because this is a group for discussion of radio scanners. Computer
O/S and other religious discussions belong elsewhere

--
You are a fluke of the Universe
You have no right to be here
And whether you can hear it or not,
the Universe is laughing behind your back

11. Re: Santa Claus Post is a Trojan!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-01 15:32:50 UTC
From: Al Arduengo <exalti@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <CozBd.10098$wi2.4519@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 15721

Lord Floyd wrote:

> "Cyber-Hun" <th54@hotmail.com> wrote in news:S6zBd.664690$%k.358861
> @pd7tw2no:
>
>> Um, why do you think that is absurd?
>
> Because this is a group for discussion of radio scanners. Computer
> O/S and other religious discussions belong elsewhere
>
You are correct. I digress.

-Al
--
~/.signature

12. FA - Uniden BC-895XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-01 15:41:05 UTC
From: John Schneider <thecop@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <NAzBd.27629$el3.7962@fe10.lga>
Source record: 15722

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5741665625

13. Re: BC246t vs... ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-01 16:22:48 UTC
From: Billyism <wtshtf@hot-spambuster-mail.com>
Message-ID: <10tdip4bjggnlf1@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 15723

"User" <user@host.net> wrote in message
news:cr2hj2moc1@reader1.panix.com...
> Got a BC246T for X-mas that I am thinking about selling. I have a couple
of
> RS scanners and I am not particularly interested in learning another rig.
>
> I am looking for something along the lines of the 246T... handheld, same
or
> better sensitivity, fast scanning, trunking... I would also really like
full
> coverage (minus cell, I could care less). Not sure what scanners are in
> this same general category.
>
> Any opinions would be most welcome.
>
> Thanks.
>
>

I love my 246!!! If there is anything better and hand held for the same
price, I'd like to know. The memory allocation kicks major butt and when
around town or on trips, the local xmit pickup feature helps with finding
cool new freqs

--
Billyism
wtshtf@hot<spambuster>mail.com

--
"Democracy is two wolves and a lamb voting on what to have for lunch.
Liberty
is a well-armed lamb contesting the vote!"
-- Ben Franklin

14. WX RECEIVER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-01 16:53:15 UTC
From: b1344@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050101115315.09653.00001863@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 15724

Looking for a receiver to pick up the weather data from remote ALERT weather sites 169.525 MHZ also software to display on computer

15. Re: radio and antenna questions for 108 to 136 MHZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-01 17:10:49 UTC
From: Insert66 <Insert@ers.com>
Message-ID: <34kdt0pjvmhj222inal39g7po3gtco0u77@4ax.com>
Source record: 15725

thanks for the suggestions

I wound up with a BC92XLT
and made a dipole with major improvement

I have a few questions for the gurus

when we say 468 \ mhz = feet

and 234 / mhz = feet

dipole length is the total?

the element length is half that?

what equation would i use to find element length for a half wave?

what equation would i use for quarter wave?

would a full wave dipole be better?

what if the entire antenna was twice full wave long?
would i get better reception? or would i get interferance
as the 2 waves would interfere with one another?

i am only interested in receiving, and only intertested
at this point, in 108 to 136 mhz AM. I am surrounded by buildings
and a transmission towers of every type, in every direction

more questions .. heh

the question of matching the antenna for he frequency you are after

and the idea of matching the antenna ohms to the ohms of the wire
used to feed it

so for a dipole, with elements around 23 inches long
is it best to:

use the same material for the elemenst as the wire that
feeds the antenna?

use 75 oh lead to the antenna

use 50 ohm lead to the antenna

use 300 ohm 2 wire for just the antenna and 50ohms for the lead?

what if i make the dipole of 75 ohm lead and 75 ohm intact coaxial?

and finaly

after thinking about the idea that the lower ground
element and the top pointing driven element should be equal in
impedance, and that the lead should be the same, if one made a dipole
out of coaxial, and split the cable 23 inches from the end, and
dangled the mesh down and the center wirte up, the splitting
of the coaxial would change the ohms of the driven element and the
dangling mesh gound element

so

would you run 2 lines as the feed, one with the driven element as
the center wire and the other coax feed's center wire as the antenna's
ground pointing element? and then at the antenna merely point one
coax down and the other up? with all the bubber and sheilding intact?

I ask this because of this idea of having the same ohms for the
antenna and at the cable that feeds it

thought I'd ask

TIA for any replies

16. Re: radio and antenna questions for 108 to 136 MHZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-01 17:28:43 UTC
From: Volker Tonn <screwdriver@despammed.com>
Message-ID: <33o4u8F426gpiU1@individual.net>
Source record: 15726

Insert66 schrieb:

>
> thanks for the suggestions
>
> I wound up with a BC92XLT
> and made a dipole with major improvement
>
>
> I have a few questions for the gurus
>
> when we say 468 \ mhz = feet
>
> and 234 / mhz = feet
>

????
>

Do a search on "big wheel" antenna.
This is good for omidirectional reception with some gain over a dipole
and having a reletively wide bandwidth.

17. BC 142 XL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-01 18:38:03 UTC
From: "L." <Askme@Notforspamuse.com>
Message-ID: <B8CBd.34309$NC6.32937@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 15727

Hi,

Happy New Year to all.

Wanted to say thanks to those who attempted to help me with the BC 142 XL. Some information, I had already had. Frank346 sent me a bit of information via a Binaries post. Though I downloaded the appropriate software to open the file, it didn't work for me. A friend of mine however - was able to open said file. Unfortunately, by the time he got to it, I had been able to get the scanner repaired. I found a couple issues needing dealt with. A bad electrolytic among them. Scanner has been playing well since. I had suspected a bad Electrolytic, but it wasn't the one I had originally thought. I hate not having schematics, but sometimes ya got to do what ya got to do. As to the file, my friend is going to print it out for me for future reference, so it won't be a waste of effort.

Thanks again for the help offered.

L.

18. FS: GE Superadio AM/FM DX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-01 18:42:15 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <wqudnf4omeSbckvcRVn-pw@comcast.com>
Source record: 15728

FOR SALE: Only $30.00, including shipping via US Priority Mail, within the U.S. Absolutely in "like new" condition, radio only, no box.

The original GE Superadio, AM/FM DX receiver.

a.. Output: 700MW RMS
a.. Speakers: 6.5-inch high-sensitivity woofer and 2-inch cone tweeter
a.. Reception: Tuned RF on AM and FM for long-range reception
a.. Tuning: Precision vernier tuning, varactor tuning diodes
a.. FM antenna: 38-inch swivel whip
a.. AM antenna: 7.9-inch ferrite rod
a.. External antenna terminals: AM, FM
a.. Frequency response: 100 Hz to 18 kHz
a.. Total harmonic distortion: Greater than 1 percent
a.. Dimensions: 12.5 by 10.5 by 4.5 inches
a.. Approximate shipping weight: 6.4 pounds
a.. Power: AC house current using built-in line cord or 6 D-cell batteries (not included)
a.. Bass control: Yes
a.. Treble control: Yes

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

19. Re: radio and antenna questions for 108 to 136 MHZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-01 20:44:11 UTC
From: DougSlug <dougslug@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <01EBd.27844$IQ6.20101@fe10.lga>
Source record: 15729

"Insert66" <Insert@ers.com> wrote in message
news:34kdt0pjvmhj222inal39g7po3gtco0u77@4ax.com...
> I have a few questions for the gurus
>
> when we say 468 \ mhz = feet
> and 234 / mhz = feet

The 234 / frequency formula gives you the quarter-wave length. A dipole consists of two quarter-wave length elements, one tied to the antenna input and the other to the antenna ground. The total length, therefore, is twice that number (also given by the 468 / frequency formula)

> would a full wave dipole be better?

NO. The half-wave dipole is what should be used for best results. To get better performance, beam antennas are made using a half-wave dipole along with properly placed reflector and director elements giving the antenna directionality and gain.

> what if the entire antenna was twice full wave long?
> would i get better reception?

Not if you're using a dipole configuration. There are other antenna types based on full wave elements that would work better for certain applications...that's a bit of overkill for your application.

> i am only interested in receiving, and only intertested
> at this point, in 108 to 136 mhz AM. I am surrounded by buildings
> and a transmission towers of every type, in every direction

Then altitude is your friend. Another possibility is to use a beam antenna (Yagi, for example) if you're monitoring a particular station for more gain at the cost of directionality. Otherwise, an omnidirectional antenna with no gain placed as high as possible should suffice. Since you're looking at a fairly narrow range of frequencies, it will be easier to optimize (tune) the antenna.

> the question of matching the antenna for he frequency you are after
> and the idea of matching the antenna ohms to the ohms of the wire
> used to feed it

Ideally, your antenna should present a 50-ohm impedance at the receiver antenna input. For receive only, matching is less critical. You can use a 75-ohm impedance about as successfully. If I recall correctly, a dipole has an intrinsic impedance of around 72 ohms at resonance; that is, at the frequency it is cut for. That should be fine for your purposes. If the run to your antenna is long, you should use a quality 50-ohm coax between the

radio and the dipole. For best results, the dipole can be tuned using a balun or a gamma match (among other things...you'll have to Google these things since my theory is a bit weak in that area), but for your application, I would say that matching is not critical as long as the antenna is cut and built properly.

> after thinking about the idea that the lower ground
> element and the top pointing driven element should be equal in
> impedance, and that the lead should be the same, if one made a dipole
> out of coaxial, and split the cable 23 inches from the end, and
> dangled the mesh down and the center wirte up, the splitting
> of the coaxial would change the ohms of the driven element and the
> dangling mesh gound element

Yes. That works, too, and is super easy to make. You can us a single piece of coax, then extend the braid opposite the direction of the center conductor to form a dipole. The impedance is determined by the combination of the driven element and the ground; thinking of them as having separate impedances is not really correct.

- Doug

20. Re: Military Acft Comms - Florida, Tues 28 Dec 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-01 23:30:40 UTC
From: Tom <usa1@nospamiei.net>
Message-ID: <Xns95D1BC59DD233Tom@129.250.170.84>
Source record: 15730

As Never anonymous Bud stated so eloquently:

>>I spent 2 years at Lackland (it's not just for basic)

> I also spent 2 years there, as a Medical Photographer at Wilford Hall
> Hospital.
>
> But that was oh, about 36 years ago...

WOW...time flies...I was assigned there 24 years ago.

I got there in '81 and I worked at Medina Annex where the new airmen
shoot the M16 (modified to use a .22 round). Were you there before or
after that bunker blew up?

--
Tom

"That man is richest whose pleasures are cheapest."
-Henry Thoreau

21. Re: Have you ever worked at Radio Shack?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-02 01:32:40 UTC
From: r2000swler@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1104629560.827375.196980@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15731

I once had a Swan HF rig. I needed a serive manual
and contacted the factoruy. I mentioned to the engineer
I was talking with that I no longer asaw any Swan ham gear,
he told me that getting out of the ham business was the only
thing that saved the company. That by and large hams were
less then skilled, and wanted top drwaer service for rock bottom
prices. I suspect that the Bat Wing(look at the big M on their log)
new that ham radio was way too small a market, requiring too
much support and wiselystayed out.
As for scanners, when you make 90% of the public service
2 way gear, you would not want to risk that lucrative market
by making radios to "eavsdrop" on the PS people. By and
large LEO hate scanners and would like to see scanner vanish.
I base this on conversations with LEO, their bosses and the number
of hassles I have seen hams have in the last 30 years with 2mtr FM
gear.
Terry

22. Location or equipment?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-02 01:57:33 UTC
From: kc7jfv@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <781c67d2.0501011757.673428a0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 15732

Which one is a better choice receptionwise?
A: Living on the 11th floor apartment and use a rubber duck antenna
B: Ground floor and own a super-duper antenna system

Tell me it is choice A 'cause rent is cheaper up there.
Thanks.

23. whats minimum price for computer controlled receiver?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-02 02:07:18 UTC
From: Cyber-Hun <th54@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <qHIBd.674277$%k.288818@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 15733

How much, as a minimum, can I expect to have to spend for a software controllable receiver? I already have a fast, up to date windows box with usb 2.0.

24. [ERROR Corection] Re: GE Superadio III AM/FM DX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-02 02:36:31 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <SaydnZQL9IGww0rcRVn-sA@comcast.com>
Source record: 15734

Oops...sorry for the error guys, and gals! It is a Superadio III, not the original "I".

Bill Crocker

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message news:wqudnf4omeSbckvcRVn-pw@comcast.com...
> FOR SALE: Only $30.00, including shipping via US Priority Mail, within
> the U.S. Absolutely in "like new" condition, radio only, no box.
>
> The original GE Superadio III, AM/FM DX receiver.
>
> a.. Output: 700MW RMS
> a.. Speakers: 6.5-inch high-sensitivity woofer and 2-inch cone tweeter
> a.. Reception: Tuned RF on AM and FM for long-range reception
> a.. Tuning: Precision vernier tuning, varactor tuning diodes
> a.. FM antenna: 38-inch swivel whip
> a.. AM antenna: 7.9-inch ferrite rod
> a.. External antenna terminals: AM, FM
> a.. Frequency response: 100 Hz to 18 kHz
> a.. Total harmonic distortion: Greater than 1 percent
> a.. Dimensions: 12.5 by 10.5 by 4.5 inches
> a.. Approximate shipping weight: 6.4 pounds
> a.. Power: AC house current using built-in line cord or 6 D-cell batteries
> (not included)
> a.. Bass control: Yes
> a.. Treble control: Yes
>
>
> Thanks,
> Bill Crocker
>
>
>

25. Re: Location or equipment?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-02 02:54:36 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <5aidnWUjM7D0_0rcRVn-uw@comcast.com>
Source record: 15735

"A" No signal loss in antenna cable! No problems, having to install a super-duper antenna system, in an apartment building, that may not even allow it.

Bill Crocker

"Jim" <kc7jfv@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:781c67d2.0501011757.673428a0@posting.google.com...
> Which one is a better choice receptionwise?
> A: Living on the 11th floor apartment and use a rubber duck antenna
> B: Ground floor and own a super-duper antenna system
>
> Tell me it is choice A 'cause rent is cheaper up there.
> Thanks.

26. Re: whats minimum price for computer controlled receiver?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-02 02:56:16 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Hf6dnV2jBv1T_0rcRVn-qg@comcast.com>
Source record: 15736

Need more info! Are you talking about a HF receiver, VHF/UHF scanner, or
wide band?

Bill Crocker

"Cyber-Hun" <th54@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:qHIBd.674277$%k.288818@pd7tw2no...
> How much, as a minimum, can I expect to have to spend for a software
> controllable receiver? I already have a fast, up to date windows box with
> usb 2.0.
>

27. Re: whats minimum price for computer controlled receiver?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-02 02:57:40 UTC
From: Panzer240 <panzer240@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns95D1E99511FC8fw190a8@198.161.157.145>
Source record: 15737

"Cyber-Hun" <th54@hotmail.com> wrote in news:qHIBd.674277$%k.288818@pd7tw2no:

> How much, as a minimum, can I expect to have to spend for a software
> controllable receiver? I already have a fast, up to date windows box with
> usb 2.0.
>
>
>

Partly depend on what you want to do with it. What frquencies interest you etc. You can buy a brand new Ten Tec R320D for about $320.00 US or a Winradio for thousands depending on options. YOu can also find most of these for sale on EBay if you are patient I have seen Icom PCr-1000's go for <250$US. In fact there is one at $150 or so as I type :)

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4673&item=5741583818
&rd=1

--
Panzer

28. Re: Location or equipment?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-02 04:28:08 UTC
From: Paul Keenleyside <bcpleights@teluz.net>
Message-ID: <sLKBd.42271$dv1.2212@edtnps89>
Source record: 15738

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:5aidnWUjM7D0_0rcRVn-uw@comcast.com...
> "A" No signal loss in antenna cable! No problems, having to install a
> super-duper antenna system, in an apartment building, that may not even
> allow it.

> Bill Crocker

"A" of course, everything being equal, but there's also effects caused by other buildings nearby or other obstructions.

If you are above the horizontal plane of the surrounding buildings and most of the trees at the 11th floor level, no problemo, even with an ordinary rubber duck. My pro-95 is very sensitive even being on the ground, but use a celluar type mag mount antenna for my BC780XLT.

It's mounted on an old steel license plate on top of the bookcase. Provides pretty good reception.

29. FA: PCR 1000 ICOM RECEIVER/ SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-02 07:07:42 UTC
From: "news.mi.comcast.giganews.com" <seskindell@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <H8SdnXlKlbgmAErcRVn-rg@comcast.com>
Source record: 15739

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5741313033

30. BC246T case?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-02 09:11:28 UTC
From: bolt thrower <tucansam@whodis.org>
Message-ID: <cr8ds0$ob1$1@reader1.panix.com>
Source record: 15740

Anyone making soft carry cases for the BC246T?

Thanks.

31. Re: whats minimum price for computer controlled receiver?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-02 10:16:45 UTC
From: Cyber-Hun <th54@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <hSPBd.678144$%k.627445@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 15741

If I just want to recieve and not transmit, isn't there a one-size-fits-all kind of receiver that picks up basically the whole range from low frequency am all the way up to the Ghz bands?
Another question --- without breaking the bank, what kind of rig will enable me to get the most use out of my PC for stuff like decoding satellite pictures, and experimenting with the reception of digital signals?

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message news:Hf6dnV2jBv1T_0rcRVn-qg@comcast.com...
> Need more info! Are you talking about a HF receiver, VHF/UHF scanner, or
> wide band?
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
> "Cyber-Hun" <th54@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:qHIBd.674277$%k.288818@pd7tw2no...
>> How much, as a minimum, can I expect to have to spend for a software
>> controllable receiver? I already have a fast, up to date windows box
>> with usb 2.0.
>>
>
>

32. Re: whats minimum price for computer controlled receiver?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-02 10:44:49 UTC
From: Cyber-Hun <th54@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <BgQBd.666415$nl.10604@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 15742

Yeah, I'm a little leery of buying a pig in a poke, so to speak. It's caveat emptor, so I like to give merchandise a once-over BEFORE paying for it, but, this is a whole different issue. I guess this kind of gear either works perfectly or not at all, as a rule.

"Panzer240" <panzer240@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:Xns95D1E99511FC8fw190a8@198.161.157.145...
> "Cyber-Hun" <th54@hotmail.com> wrote in
> news:qHIBd.674277$%k.288818@pd7tw2no:
>
>> How much, as a minimum, can I expect to have to spend for a software
>> controllable receiver? I already have a fast, up to date windows box
>> with
>> usb 2.0.
>>
>>
>>
>
> Partly depend on what you want to do with it. What frquencies interest you
> etc. You can buy a brand new Ten Tec R320D for about $320.00 US or a
> Winradio
> for thousands depending on options. YOu can also find most of these for
> sale
> on EBay if you are patient I have seen Icom PCr-1000's go for <250$US. In
> fact there is one at $150 or so as I type :)
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4673&item=5741583818
> &rd=1
>
> --
> Panzer
>

33. Re: Location or equipment?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-02 13:24:36 UTC
From: mikeFNB <moc.dlrowltn@FNB7G-ekim>
Message-ID: <oCSBd.172$va3.132@newsfe3-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 15743

A
"Jim" <kc7jfv@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:781c67d2.0501011757.673428a0@posting.google.com...
> Which one is a better choice receptionwise?
> A: Living on the 11th floor apartment and use a rubber duck antenna
> B: Ground floor and own a super-duper antenna system
>
> Tell me it is choice A 'cause rent is cheaper up there.
> Thanks.

34. FS: Radio Shack Pro 94A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-02 16:37:03 UTC
From: Ken Bessler <kg0wx@NOSPAMyahoo.com>
Message-ID: <PqVBd.12971$ph.5799@okepread01>
Source record: 15744

I'm selling an almost mint PRO-94A 1000 channel trunking scanner. I don't have the box or paper manual but I do have the manual on CD-ROM.

Sale includes the radio with it's original antenna, manual and Radio Shack "H" power adapter tip.

Asking $95.00 with free USPS Priority mail shipping!

Payment by USPS or Wal Mart money orders only.

Contact me at kg0wx at cox dot net. First come, first serve!

35. Icom IC-R8500-02, discontinued!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-02 17:42:16 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <o4GdnfNpPKHpr0XcRVn-qQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 15745

Grove Enterprises, Radio Rumors, indicates that the Icom IC-R8500-02 (blocked version), has been discontinued by Icom.

Bill Crocker

36. Re: milcomm scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-02 18:21:51 UTC
From: "fasteddy64@yahoo.com" <fasteddy64@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1104690111.544496.33380@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15746

Look for a Pro-43 or a Pro-60 on the used market,
A Uniden 3000 is another choice for a handheld

For a base scanner I love the Pro-2045, the Pro-2004, 2005 or 2006 are
also good choices.

Just a few to consider
Ed

37. Re: 2.4ghz downconverter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-02 23:23:39 UTC
From: john wilson <jwilson9@erols.com>
Message-ID: <41D8827B.C4C173C0@erols.com>
Source record: 15747
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Reality is 99.9% of interested individuals in the subject matter are not nerds and do not have nerd friends who will do projects for them. Most interested individuals will not procure necessary components from different sources, solder chips/wires on perfboard and hope everything works. If the modification works that great then why don't you modify several units and advertise them on Ebay? Your materials cost is $80, so you state. Your time is whatever. There currently is little to no consumer grade competition. Sell it for whatever the traffic will bear. If you were an opportunist and had vision you already would have made the leap of logic and be making modified units and advertising them for sale and improving your quality of life. I'd probably buy one for comparison to the videoscanner.

The fellow who designed the dataslicer interface for digital reception started building them in his garage and now has a thriving mail order business.

Maybe someone reading this post will take advantage of the opportunity that it appears you have missed.

G1MFG created the Videoscanner. It comes ready to go out of the box and doesn't require a nerd friend to make modifications and it works.

The world always is looking for a better mousetrap, not talk about about one.

Mike Beauchamp wrote:

> $385 US.. I guess that's not THAT bad.
> But, if you're a cheapass and a DIY person like me, check this out..
> http://www.ringolake.com/pic_proj/wavecom/wavecom_rx.html
>
> You can find the Wavecom Jr.'s on ebay, for about $40. The rest of the stuff
> can come from digikey.com or comparable places. Have a nerd friend program
> the PIC for you. That should all be another $40 including buying your nerd
> friend a pizza. Stick any antenna you want on it, connect it to any TV you
> want.. scans from 2300 to 2700 Mhz in .25 or 4Mhz steps! Looks awesome!!
>
> If I was to make one of these, I'd get a cheapass USB video capture device
> for my laptop. Hook this up to it.. bam! You could be scanning for networks
> AND 2.4GHz video signals at the same time on the same laptop.
>
> OHHH..
>
> If you found a video signal and a wireless network in the same location, you
> could load up some webcam software and stream the video over the
> internet!!!!! That sounds like it'd piss some people off! :)
>

> Mike
> http://mikebeauchamp.com
>
> "john wilson" <jwilson9@erols.com> wrote in message
> news:4011B54B.4D53AE2E@erols.com...
> Forget that silliness and aggravation. It won't work. The
> downconversion losses will kill you IF you ever were successful in
> configuring anything. Go to "www.videoscanner.co.uk". G1MFG, a
> manufacturer of ham radio equipment, makes a 2,400-2,600 mhz. audio/video
> scanner. It basically is a 5 inch B/W monitor with a 2.4 ghz. scanning
> circuitry built in. It is 12VDC power. A scan frequency graphic displays
> on the top of the screen when scanning. I have had mine for over a year
> and it is a lot of fun to use mobile. It works good, really good. Rarely
> do I drive more than a few miles near any civilization where I don't get a
> "hit". Users include but are not limited to remote security monitors,
> public service or private sector air and or ground covert video
> surveillance, wildlife monitoring, robot bomb disposal control, Fire Dept.
> Infrared detection, in car race video and video senders that are used to
> relay tv programing from one part of a house to another, or video baby
> sitting. It has no problem detecting and locking onto NTSC baseband signals
> and demodulating any audio subcarrier that may accompany the video. I
> modified my videoscanner unit by carefully removing the chassis 2 ghz.
> "paddle" antenna and replaced it with a 2 ghz. rated coax jumper with a male
> N connector. I had a company in Florida modify a 2 ghz. mobile mag mount
> antenna they sold with a male N connector. I use a female N "barrel"
> connector to connect the two jumper coax cables.
> Contrary to conventional wisdom, my reception experience has proven that
> 2 ghz. signals transmit much much farther than the public has been led to
> believe and can be received much farther away than one would imagine. Some
> 2 ghz. point to point links can be as much as 5-10 miles distant.
> The Videoscanner costs about $385 including shipping from England. You
> cannot buy one in the US.
> FYI most of the frequencies of interest mostly are ABOVE the 2,450 mhz.
> upper cutoff frequency. There is no comparable product available at the
> consumer level.
> I publish the "USA Surveillance and Detection Directory" that currently
> contains approximately 2,000+ listings of vhf/uhf and 2 ghz.+ users and
> covers all 50 states. Just because you live in small town don't think there
> isn't any covert audio/ video surveillance activity. Some users operate
> statewide and interstate. The 2004 edition now is available on CD ROM in MS
> EXCEL format for $20 plus $5 shipping. For ordering information send an
> email to: < jwilson9@erols.com>.
>
> "news.newsreader.com" wrote:
> Is there a downconverter for 2.4ghz. I have a ratshack 2005 with
> optoscan456. I would like to explore the 2.4ghz band.
> Tom
>
> ========

38. Re: Icom IC-R8500-02, discontinued!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-02 23:42:11 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <jimhack@pcpeople.com>
Message-ID: <nF%Bd.7404$JC2.2773@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 15748

Icom America confirms this!

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:o4GdnfNpPKHpr0XcRVn-qQ@comcast.com...
> Grove Enterprises, Radio Rumors, indicates that the Icom IC-R8500-02
> (blocked version), has been discontinued by Icom.
>
> Bill Crocker
>

39. FS: W4UVV Virginia Frequency Directory - 2005 Edition

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-02 23:53:02 UTC
From: john wilson <jwilson9@erols.com>
Message-ID: <41D8895D.82EB3B19@erols.com>
Source record: 15749
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.railroad,rec.radio.scanner

W4UVV VIRGINIA FREQUENCY DIRECTORY - 2005 EDITION
Now Available on CD ROM

1. The W4UVV Virginia Frequency Directory currently is in its 19th year of publication and covers a frequency range of 25 to 2,600 mhz. The master file (12 megabytes) contains 90,000+ listings of licensees/radio users for the 35 independent cities and 95 counties in Virginia and selected federal/military listings for the borders states of Maryland, North Carolina and the District of Columbia. Master extract files include Aviation, Business, Federal , Marine, Military, Miscellaneous, Press, Public Service, Railroad and Utility services. Record data fields are: Frequency 1, Frequency 2, Service Code, Callsign, User, City, County, Latitude, Longitude, Repeater Operation, Base Operation, PL (CTCSS Tone) and Channel.

2. For Win 95/98, ME, 2000 and XP users all data files are in MS EXCEL format and configured for viewing/printing.

3. Approximately 10,000 frequency listings show assigned and possible use frequencies by service codes. One unique helpful feature of the database, where appropriate, is incorporating the relationship of a user's transmit control location to its remote transmitter site location. Another helpful feature is using the latitude and longitude record information where provided. Using a GPS software mapping program (not included), a user's geographical location can be seen on the map display after the coordinates have been entered. Finally, where known, particularly for business services users, another useful feature is the actual use of the frequency is provided.

4. There is no publication of frequency listing source that provides the depth of detail and scope of user information that is found in the W4UVV Virginia Frequency Directory. If you are someone who is interested in more detail about a given frequency or user, then you need this directory. Included also are a user manual, files installation instructions and a tips and recommendations file. The master file additionally contains some "interesting" frequencies monitored off air and not found in other publications or sources such as internet web sites.

5. The 2005 W4UVV VFD edition on CD ROM is $25 plus $5 USPS packing/shipping. All USPS, bank money orders or Western Union payments shipped the next working day. Allow two weeks for payment by personal or business check. Sorry no Paypal, wire transfer, COD or credit card. For detail ordering information or questions please send an email to: <jwilson9@erols.com>.

40. Re: can't use FRS in the malls. even though they were advertised to

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-03 00:10:50 UTC
From: john wilson <jwilson9@erols.com>
Message-ID: <41D88D8A.D924737B@erols.com>
Source record: 15750
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement

It's called private property. Malls and shopping centers are on private property and can establish whatever rules they wish regarding the use of cellphones, radios, etc. If you don't like it move on. If you want to enter the premises, leave the radios in the car. In addition to concern regarding a radio's use for shoplifting is the concern of comparison shopping. My son had a summer job at a Target Store in the mall and knew of more than one employee who was sent to Walmart to compare prices using an HT. Not a good idea. However, I have been in Circuit City using my HT and talking through our local 444.275 mhz. repeater and had no problems. It just depends on who allows what where.

c28k@webtv.net wrote:

> p.s. I did not steal these FRS radios. I bought them legally, and have
> had them probably over a week now.
>
> They were advertised as to keep in touch witg yur family (and locate
> each other) at shoppng malls and parks.
>
> of course, when you go to a shopping mall, you usually shop, and since
> these radios were advertised for to be used at shopping malls,
>
> it never even crossed my mind that just having them (turned off, not
> transmitting, just having them) would set off the theft alarms of every
> single store in the mall that I went into and out of both when I went in
> and when I went out.
>
> until. it happened to me today.
>
> I did not have anything else with me that would have set off the theft
> alarms, and I did not try to steal anything from any store.
>
> The alarms must have detected the metal and went off when they did so.
>
> So I imagine anyone carrying just a regular handheld scanner they have
> to listen to would also acidentally set them off.
>
> My purpose was communications since the radio I had was advetrised to be
> used at the mall for personal communications with famly (to locate each
> other when we're ready to leave).
>
> Whose at fault here? The stores and mall or the radio manufacturers or
> the FCC for allowing it (FRS) to be used for that purpose and promoting
> it to be used that for purpose?

>
> They'll all probably blame each other.
>
> What I do know however, is that this can cause some very serious trouble
> and very serious problems with people. such as
>
> Theft alarms going off when people didn't steal anything and are just
> legally carrying their FRS radios and getting picked up by mall security
> and police for it, even if they didn't transmit anything. and were using
> it the way it was intended to and advertised as.
>
> Theft alarms going off when Liscened GMRS users are just carrying their
> radios and getting picked up by mall security and police for it, even if
> they didn't transmit anything, and were using it the way it was intended
> to and advertised as.
>
> Mall security and police going to a store where the theft allarm went
> off because of a FRS user or liscened GMRS user just having their radio
> with them, to be used as intended, while a real burglarly happens at a
> different store in the same mall and all the security personnel and
> police are at the store with the FRS or GMRS user because the theft
> alarms went off just from the FRS user or GMRS user having their radio
> with them. (not even transmitting anything).
>
>

41. Re: can't use FRS in the malls. even though they were advertised to

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-03 00:25:38 UTC
From: "L." <Askme@Notforspamuse.com>
Message-ID: <Ek0Cd.34436$NC6.25979@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 15751
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement

Mr. Wilson, with all due respect, your reply had absolutely "nothing" to do with the thread. "Read it!". He didn't say he wasn't permitted to talk, he said he was setting off alarms - you know - the "theft deterrent" alarms that go off when you walk out of a store with stolen merchandise. This thread is probably 5 months or more old. I wrote into it many months back with my own reply as to what could be happening - under a different name. As I stated before and as have others, I go into stores/malls all the time with fire radios, ham radios, etc. No alarms and not a word is said. They can NOT assume you to be "stealing". That would be a law suit they wouldn't want to face. You say you walk into a store using your Ham H.T. with no complaints - again, so do many others!!!!! Most store employees wouldn't know the freaking difference between my fire radio, your ham radio or an FRS radio. So, that statement doesn't hold water. This man was only wondering WHY his radio was setting off the Theft Alarm... that is ALL.

L.

"john wilson" <jwilson9@erols.com> wrote in message
news:41D88D8A.D924737B@erols.com...
> It's called private property. Malls and shopping centers are on private
> property and can establish whatever rules they wish regarding the use of
> cellphones, radios, etc. If you don't like it move on. If you want to
> enter the premises, leave the radios in the car. In addition to concern
> regarding a radio's use for shoplifting is the concern of comparison
> shopping. My son had a summer job at a Target Store in the mall and knew
> of
> more than one employee who was sent to Walmart to compare prices using an
> HT. Not a good idea. However, I have been in Circuit City using my HT
> and
> talking through our local 444.275 mhz. repeater and had no problems. It
> just depends on who allows what where.
>
> c28k@webtv.net wrote:
>
>> p.s. I did not steal these FRS radios. I bought them legally, and have
>> had them probably over a week now.
>>
>> They were advertised as to keep in touch witg yur family (and locate
>> each other) at shoppng malls and parks.
>>
>> of course, when you go to a shopping mall, you usually shop, and since
>> these radios were advertised for to be used at shopping malls,
>>
>> it never even crossed my mind that just having them (turned off, not

>> transmitting, just having them) would set off the theft alarms of every
>> single store in the mall that I went into and out of both when I went in
>> and when I went out.
>>
>> until. it happened to me today.
>>
>> I did not have anything else with me that would have set off the theft
>> alarms, and I did not try to steal anything from any store.
>>
>> The alarms must have detected the metal and went off when they did so.
>>
>> So I imagine anyone carrying just a regular handheld scanner they have
>> to listen to would also acidentally set them off.
>>
>> My purpose was communications since the radio I had was advetrised to be
>> used at the mall for personal communications with famly (to locate each
>> other when we're ready to leave).
>>
>> Whose at fault here? The stores and mall or the radio manufacturers or
>> the FCC for allowing it (FRS) to be used for that purpose and promoting
>> it to be used that for purpose?
>>
>> They'll all probably blame each other.
>>
>> What I do know however, is that this can cause some very serious trouble
>> and very serious problems with people. such as
>>
>> Theft alarms going off when people didn't steal anything and are just
>> legally carrying their FRS radios and getting picked up by mall security
>> and police for it, even if they didn't transmit anything. and were using
>> it the way it was intended to and advertised as.
>>
>> Theft alarms going off when Liscened GMRS users are just carrying their
>> radios and getting picked up by mall security and police for it, even if
>> they didn't transmit anything, and were using it the way it was intended
>> to and advertised as.
>>
>> Mall security and police going to a store where the theft allarm went
>> off because of a FRS user or liscened GMRS user just having their radio
>> with them, to be used as intended, while a real burglarly happens at a
>> different store in the same mall and all the security personnel and
>> police are at the store with the FRS or GMRS user because the theft
>> alarms went off just from the FRS user or GMRS user having their radio
>> with them. (not even transmitting anything).
>>
>>
>

42. Re: ARRL GOES AFTER JACK GERRITSEN EX-KG6IRO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-03 00:31:05 UTC
From: john wilson <jwilson9@erols.com>
Message-ID: <41D89249.60802@erols.com>
Source record: 15752
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

I agree. I also have a memory when in the 60s the ARRL pushed incentive licensing. That ARRL/FCC BS cost me some frequency spectrum usage on 80 through 15 meters that I previously had worked hard to obtain by getting my ham license. In my opinion they always have been a bunch of self centered individuals who had an agenda. I have been licensed for 45 years. Fortunately I wised up about the ARRL 44 1/2 years ago.

Psychiatrist to Hams wrote:

>Anybody who gives a dime to the ARRL is stupid.
>
>Same for anybody who believes the ARRL has ham radio's
>best interests in mind.
>
>Look for a major investigation into corruption, fraud, waste,
>abuse, greed, & criminality at the FCC in 2005.
>
>ARRL & FCC are both enemies of ham radio.
>
>
>Dr Ham
>
>
>
>
>

43. Re: 2.4ghz downconverter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-03 02:07:43 UTC
From: krackula <krackula@v.nz>
Message-ID: <ua9ht09ec1a1lple073r3qe4ckmsuh5d8u@4ax.com>
Source record: 15753
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

the vast majority of signals in the 2.4 gig range are digital in
nature ..... the exception being some (short range) nanny cams and
a few analog cordless phones. a radio shack scanner (even with
a down converter) is a poor choice to scan this band with.
(mode and bandwidth issues ...etc.)

some people aren't aware of or may even overlook the inexpensive
array of pcmcia spectrum analyzer cards available for any laptop.

with these cards and a laptop , a person can find and analyze any 2.4
gig signal and most of these cards can accept standard 2.4 gig
high gain wi-fi antennas for receiving ranges of 10 miles or more.

these are usually available on ebay for between $30 to $80
dollars ..... and additional , long range " gain " antennas are
plentiful. a person is WAY more likely to find and understand
2.4 gig signals (not to mention war driving fun) with one of these
setups and actually be MORE likely to use and have fun with the most
common types of 2.4 gig signals. scanners are NOT the best type
of equipment to monitor 2.4 gigs with ..... having both a scanner and
especially a spectrum analyzer (and orinico network pcmcia card)
is a more informed and broader application choice.

type " wardriving " into google or ebay ......

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=25397&item=3864596830&rd=1&ssPageNa
me=WDVW
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=25397&item=3860611906&rd=1&ssPageNa
me=WDVW

k.....

On Sun, 02 Jan 2005 18:23:39 -0500, john wilson <jwilson9@erols.com>
wrote:

> Reality is 99.9% of interested individuals in the subject matter are not nerds
>and do not have nerd friends who will do projects for them. Most interested
>individuals will not procure necessary components from different sources, solder
>chips/wires on perfboard and hope everything works. If the modification works
>that great then why don't you modify several units and advertise them on Ebay?
>Your materials cost is $80, so you state. Your time is whatever. There
>currently is little to no consumer grade competition. Sell it for whatever the
>traffic will bear. If you were an opportunist and had vision you already would
>have made the leap of logic and be making modified units and advertising them
>for sale and improving your quality of life. I'd probably buy one for
>comparison to the videoscanner.

>
> The fellow who designed the dataslicer interface for digital reception started
>building them in his garage and now has a thriving mail order business.
>
> Maybe someone reading this post will take advantage of the opportunity that
>it appears you have missed.
>
> G1MFG created the Videoscanner. It comes ready to go out of the box and
>doesn't require a nerd friend to make modifications and it works.
>
> The world always is looking for a better mousetrap, not talk about about one.
>
>
>Mike Beauchamp wrote:
>
>> $385 US.. I guess that's not THAT bad.
>> But, if you're a cheapass and a DIY person like me, check this out..
>> http://www.ringolake.com/pic_proj/wavecom/wavecom_rx.html
>>
>> You can find the Wavecom Jr.'s on ebay, for about $40. The rest of the stuff
>> can come from digikey.com or comparable places. Have a nerd friend program
>> the PIC for you. That should all be another $40 including buying your nerd
>> friend a pizza. Stick any antenna you want on it, connect it to any TV you
>> want.. scans from 2300 to 2700 Mhz in .25 or 4Mhz steps! Looks awesome!!
>>
>> If I was to make one of these, I'd get a cheapass USB video capture device
>> for my laptop. Hook this up to it.. bam! You could be scanning for networks
>> AND 2.4GHz video signals at the same time on the same laptop.
>>
>> OHHH..
>>
>> If you found a video signal and a wireless network in the same location, you
>> could load up some webcam software and stream the video over the
>> internet!!!!! That sounds like it'd piss some people off! :)
>>
>> Mike
>> http://mikebeauchamp.com
>>
>> "john wilson" <jwilson9@erols.com> wrote in message
>> news:4011B54B.4D53AE2E@erols.com...
>> Forget that silliness and aggravation. It won't work. The
>> downconversion losses will kill you IF you ever were successful in
>> configuring anything. Go to "www.videoscanner.co.uk". G1MFG, a
>> manufacturer of ham radio equipment, makes a 2,400-2,600 mhz. audio/video
>> scanner. It basically is a 5 inch B/W monitor with a 2.4 ghz. scanning
>> circuitry built in. It is 12VDC power. A scan frequency graphic displays
>> on the top of the screen when scanning. I have had mine for over a year
>> and it is a lot of fun to use mobile. It works good, really good. Rarely
>> do I drive more than a few miles near any civilization where I don't get a
>> "hit". Users include but are not limited to remote security monitors,

>> public service or private sector air and or ground covert video
>> surveillance, wildlife monitoring, robot bomb disposal control, Fire Dept.
>> Infrared detection, in car race video and video senders that are used to
>> relay tv programing from one part of a house to another, or video baby
>> sitting. It has no problem detecting and locking onto NTSC baseband signals
>> and demodulating any audio subcarrier that may accompany the video. I
>> modified my videoscanner unit by carefully removing the chassis 2 ghz.
>> "paddle" antenna and replaced it with a 2 ghz. rated coax jumper with a male
>> N connector. I had a company in Florida modify a 2 ghz. mobile mag mount
>> antenna they sold with a male N connector. I use a female N "barrel"
>> connector to connect the two jumper coax cables.
>> Contrary to conventional wisdom, my reception experience has proven that
>> 2 ghz. signals transmit much much farther than the public has been led to
>> believe and can be received much farther away than one would imagine. Some
>> 2 ghz. point to point links can be as much as 5-10 miles distant.
>> The Videoscanner costs about $385 including shipping from England. You
>> cannot buy one in the US.
>> FYI most of the frequencies of interest mostly are ABOVE the 2,450 mhz.
>> upper cutoff frequency. There is no comparable product available at the
>> consumer level.
>> I publish the "USA Surveillance and Detection Directory" that currently
>> contains approximately 2,000+ listings of vhf/uhf and 2 ghz.+ users and
>> covers all 50 states. Just because you live in small town don't think there
>> isn't any covert audio/ video surveillance activity. Some users operate
>> statewide and interstate. The 2004 edition now is available on CD ROM in MS
>> EXCEL format for $20 plus $5 shipping. For ordering information send an
>> email to: < jwilson9@erols.com>.
>>
>> "news.newsreader.com" wrote:
>> Is there a downconverter for 2.4ghz. I have a ratshack 2005 with
>> optoscan456. I would like to explore the 2.4ghz band.
>> Tom
>>
>> ========

44. Re: can't use FRS in the malls. even though they were advertised to

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-03 02:18:02 UTC
From: Jim <junk@junk.com>
Message-ID: <uX1Cd.3764$iu5.2768@fe2.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 15754
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement

"john wilson" <jwilson9@erols.com> wrote in message
news:41D88D8A.D924737B@erols.com...
> It's called private property. Malls and shopping centers are on private
> property and can establish whatever rules they wish regarding the use of
> cellphones, radios, etc. If you don't like it move on. If you want to
> enter the premises, leave the radios in the car. In addition to concern
> regarding a radio's use for shoplifting is the concern of comparison
> shopping. My son had a summer job at a Target Store in the mall and knew
> of
> more than one employee who was sent to Walmart to compare prices using an
> HT. Not a good idea. However, I have been in Circuit City using my HT
> and
> talking through our local 444.275 mhz. repeater and had no problems. It
> just depends on who allows what where.
>
> c28k@webtv.net wrote:
>
>> p.s. I did not steal these FRS radios. I bought them legally, and have
>> had them probably over a week now.
>>
>> They were advertised as to keep in touch witg yur family (and locate
>> each other) at shoppng malls and parks.
>>
>> of course, when you go to a shopping mall, you usually shop, and since
>> these radios were advertised for to be used at shopping malls,
>>
>> it never even crossed my mind that just having them (turned off, not
>> transmitting, just having them) would set off the theft alarms of every
>> single store in the mall that I went into and out of both when I went in
>> and when I went out.
>>
>> until. it happened to me today.
>>
>> I did not have anything else with me that would have set off the theft
>> alarms, and I did not try to steal anything from any store.
>>
>> The alarms must have detected the metal and went off when they did so.
>>
>> So I imagine anyone carrying just a regular handheld scanner they have
>> to listen to would also acidentally set them off.
>>
>> My purpose was communications since the radio I had was advetrised to be
>> used at the mall for personal communications with famly (to locate each

>> other when we're ready to leave).
>>
>> Whose at fault here? The stores and mall or the radio manufacturers or
>> the FCC for allowing it (FRS) to be used for that purpose and promoting
>> it to be used that for purpose?
>>
>> They'll all probably blame each other.
>>
>> What I do know however, is that this can cause some very serious trouble
>> and very serious problems with people. such as
>>
>> Theft alarms going off when people didn't steal anything and are just
>> legally carrying their FRS radios and getting picked up by mall security
>> and police for it, even if they didn't transmit anything. and were using
>> it the way it was intended to and advertised as.
>>
>> Theft alarms going off when Liscened GMRS users are just carrying their
>> radios and getting picked up by mall security and police for it, even if
>> they didn't transmit anything, and were using it the way it was intended
>> to and advertised as.
>>
>> Mall security and police going to a store where the theft allarm went
>> off because of a FRS user or liscened GMRS user just having their radio
>> with them, to be used as intended, while a real burglarly happens at a
>> different store in the same mall and all the security personnel and
>> police are at the store with the FRS or GMRS user because the theft
>> alarms went off just from the FRS user or GMRS user having their radio
>> with them. (not even transmitting anything).
>>
>>
>

Lets not forget, an amateur license carries a higher degree of respect than
does a non licensed device.

45. Re: Military Acft Comms - Florida, Tues 28 Dec 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-03 02:20:03 UTC
From: Tom <usa1@nospamiei.net>
Message-ID: <Xns95D2D91213C50Tom@129.250.170.84>
Source record: 15755

As Never anonymous Bud stated so eloquently:

> I don't remember anything about an explosion, so probably before.
>
> I fired Expert on the M16 twice while there.
>
> I know they expanded the hospital not long after I left.

I believe it was in 1972 or maybe it was building 1972. :)

I got to fire a few different weapons while I was there.

It was a really nice hospital when I was there, comparable to the money making hospitals in civi life.

--
Tom

"That man is richest whose pleasures are cheapest."
-Henry Thoreau

46. Pro-79 belt clip holes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-03 03:09:51 UTC
From: User <user@host.net>
Message-ID: <crad21$fn7$1@reader1.panix.com>
Source record: 15756

I have built a mount for my scanners in my car, and I want to attach my Pro-79 via its belt clip holes. The Pro-79 will eventually be replaced by something else, probably either a Pro-26 or a Pro-43.

What I need to know is... Are the belt clip holes in the Pro-79 the same as other handheld scanners (specifically the two mentioned above). IE, if I drill two holes for the Pro-79, will it fit other scanners from RS?

Thanks.

47. Re: can't use FRS in the malls. even though they were advertised to

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-03 03:41:13 UTC
From: nana <nana@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <33rt70F425rvjU1@individual.net>
Source record: 15757
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement

>
> Lets not forget, an amateur license carries a higher degree of respect
> than does a non licensed device.

With whom? Most people still have no accurate idea what a Ham is!

In regards to this ancient thread - my cellphone has triggered the alarms at the checkouts more than once. These alarms relied on reradiation of harmonics and perhaps some non-linear device within the phone did just that.

The store did not go into an anti-theft frenzy. The girl looked up from her register, I took my phone off my belt, waved it past the detector, it beeped, I walked through after it, it didn't beep, problem solved. There is no big issue here.

Brad VK2QQ

48. *FA* REALISTIC PRO-2040 **100-Channel Programmable Scanner**

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-03 05:29:22 UTC
From: tramr300@wmconnect.comnocrap
Message-ID: <20050103002922.23417.00002667@mb-m03.wmconnect.com>
Source record: 15758

```
Up for auction...
This is a base unit.
Item name: REALISTIC PRO-2040 **100-Channel Programmable Scanner**
Item number: 5741950244

Quantity: 1
Start price: $24.99
Listing duration: 7 days
Start date: Jan-02-05 21:18:59 PST
End date: Jan-09-05 21:18:59 PST
View your item:
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5741950244
```

49. tiberon (sp?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-03 05:47:47 UTC
From: tomcatgbmi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050103004747.06111.00001892@mb-m23.aol.com>
Source record: 15759

whats tiberon? i always here cops sayin it.

Tom

50. Re: milcomm scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-03 06:00:36 UTC
From: Nick <nickleeson@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1104732036.084191.9020@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15760

Thanks for you reply!...I almost finalized a Yaesu VR-500 before I realized that the local PDs and FDs (am based in DFW) should be of interest too. So now I am on the hunt for a scanner that has both milair and trunking capalbilities! The only scanner that looks withing range is the Uniden BC 250D. Any suggestionns/recommendations?
-Nick

51. BC246T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-03 06:08:28 UTC
From: User <user@host.net>
Message-ID: <crangv$j5i$1@reader1.panix.com>
Source record: 15761

So I'm keeping it.

I am getting to know the 246T and I like it. I have a question. I have the Priority feature turned off. Yet when I listen to a channel, there is a quick interruption every two seconds of dead air. Like on my RS scanners when I have the Priority channel selected, where the scanner skips back to another freq for a second. But on the 246T I don't have it set up that was (AFAIK).

Any suggestions?

Thanks

52. Re: BC246T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-03 06:30:31 UTC
From: User <user@host.net>
Message-ID: <craoqa$jk8$1@reader1.panix.com>
Source record: 15762

> You have the "Close Call" detection turned on. Go into the menu and
> turn it off.

Thanks, but I've got it turned off completely as well.

53. Re: BC246T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-03 06:33:21 UTC
From: User <user@host.net>
Message-ID: <craovkjle1@reader1.panix.com>
Source record: 15763

>> You have the "Close Call" detection turned on. Go into the menu and
>> turn it off.
>
> Thanks, but I've got it turned off completely as well.

Wait, wait, nevermind, I know what you mean now -- and it worked, THANKS.

54. Re: tiberon (sp?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-03 06:33:30 UTC
From: Evan Platt <evan@TheObvious.espphotography.com>
Message-ID: <gppht09dhuu21ij0956gr0qa27ck31osuf@4ax.com>
Source record: 15764

On 03 Jan 2005 05:47:47 GMT, tomcatgbmi@aol.com (tomcatgbmi) wrote:

>whats tiberon? i always here cops sayin it.

Where? What context?
--
To reply, remove TheObvious from my e-mail address.

55. REALISTIC PRO-2040 **100-Channel Programmable Scanner**

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-03 06:35:51 UTC
From: tramr300@wmconnect.comnocrap
Message-ID: <20050103013551.21925.00002885@mb-m06.wmconnect.com>
Source record: 15765

I am sorry if this posting posted twice.
Anyway, take a look if interested.
Happy New Year everyone....
Item name: REALISTIC PRO-2040 **100-Channel Programmable Scanner**

Item number: 5741950244
Quantity: 1
Start price: $24.99
Listing duration: 7 days
Start date: Jan-02-05 21:18:59 PST
End date: Jan-09-05 21:18:59 PST
View your item:
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5741950244

56. FA: Viking International Extended Recorder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-03 06:40:42 UTC
From: "news.mi.comcast.giganews.com" <seskindell@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <DMKdnblmZM10dUXcRVn-pw@comcast.com>
Source record: 15766

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5741957070

Great for shortwave or scanning radio.

57. FA: ICOM R1 Scanner/Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-03 07:06:03 UTC
From: "news.mi.comcast.giganews.com" <seskindell@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <bIKdnR_s4oNHc0XcRVn-og@comcast.com>
Source record: 15767

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5741958447

Very nice unit.

58. Re: whats minimum price for computer controlled receiver?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-03 07:11:57 UTC
From: Colic <why.fret@the.small.stuff>
Message-ID: <1f6Cd.612255$wV.607634@attbi_s54>
Source record: 15768

"Cyber-Hun" <th54@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:hSPBd.678144$%k.627445@pd7tw2no...
> If I just want to recieve and not transmit, isn't there a
> one-size-fits-all kind of receiver that picks up basically the whole range
> from low frequency am all the way up to the Ghz bands?
> Another question --- without breaking the bank, what kind of rig will
> enable me to get the most use out of my PC for stuff like decoding
> satellite pictures, and experimenting with the reception of digital
> signals?

Yes, there are several one-size-fits-all answers to this question. None of them really cheap, but a couple are quite resonibly priced when you thin what even a few years ago such capability would have cost. But, like a one-size-fits-all jacket, they do not really fit all that well.

By this I mean all of them are a compromise of some type. All levels of workmanship and design being equal, something that covers DC to light will not work as well as something designed for a narrower specific application. A unit designed specifically for HF SW reception will general outperform the same money spent on a single unit designed to cover HF, VHF-Lo, VHF-Hi, UHF, and SHF.

With these shortcomings in mind there are a few options.

Don't get hung up on USB or USB 2.0 for a communications protical. A simple serial port is plenty fast for the data needed to control a radio. The audio is not transfered through the data stream in most cases. If your PC does not have a serial port (many these days donot) a simple USB to serial adapter can be bought for a very low cost these days, often around $20 USD.

There are several radios with full up front ends capable of being PC controlled, I mean they look like normal radios but can be PC controlled. I will address a couple here that are meant ONLY to be controlled via PC.

The WinRadio WR-1550e. It covers something like 150 kHz to 1500 MHz. All mode. Works very well from about 50 MHz up. Is acceptable for most applications below 50 MHz. Has a fairly fast scan rate.

Icom PCR-1000. It covers something like 100 kHz to 1300 MHz. All mode. Works better than the WR-1550e below 50 MHz. Works slightly less well above 50 MHz (when compared to the WR-1550e). Scan rate with some software is not as fast as the WR-1550e. Better image rejection than the WR-1550e.

In my opinion (some may disagree) the WR-1550e is the better of the two choices for scanner uses. I have 2 WR-1550e's, one WR-1000i, and two PCR-1000's. If I was looking primarily for a SW (HF, 30 MHz and down) radio with the ability to work up above 1 GHz I would opt for the PCR-1000. If I

was looking only for HF (and NOTHING higher than 30 MHz) coverage I would opt for one of the WinRadio G3xx series. But for general type stuff with the ability to do it all I would go for the WR-1550e understanding that it has limitations. Unless you have the funds for the WR-3xxx series. and then I would say one of the internal DSP equiped models would be the ticket.

C

59. Re: can't use FRS in the malls. even though they were advertised to

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-03 07:19:49 UTC
From: mryan <mryan301@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <om6Cd.273350$5K2.264094@attbi_s03>
Source record: 15769
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement

Happens all the time. Seen it with phones and radios alike. The door entry card my company uses sets off Walgreen Pharmacy, Burlington Coat Factory, and Macy's almost 100% of the time. Its doesn't happen in other stores. I assume its related to the brand and model of loss prevention systems they use. Most of these systems use a concept called "RF tags", where a small resonant circuit inside the inventory control tag resonates and responds with the "right" code and sets off the alarm. Its powered by induction, or the electromagnetic field generated by the sensors near the door. Sort of an advanced version of moving a coil around a magnet to generate a current.

Door entry cards of this type (not to be confused with ones you have to "swipe" like a credit card) use a technology similar to RF tags, but a bit more complex. It allows the card to be read simply by being near the touch plate. I've concluded that it sets off store systems because the card's circuit is activated by the store's inventory control sensors and the system is too dumb to tell the difference between my card and a inventory tag. To avoid setting these off, I just try and remember to leve my id at home or in the car, or if I forget, I hold it over my head as a I walk in (though I admit that does attract some funny "looks").

"nana" <nana@netscape.net> wrote in message
news:33rt70F425rvjU1@individual.net...
> >
>> Lets not forget, an amateur license carries a higher degree of respect
>> than does a non licensed device.
>
>
> With whom? Most people still have no accurate idea what a Ham is!
>
> In regards to this ancient thread - my cellphone has triggered the alarms
> at the checkouts more than once. These alarms relied on reradiation of
> harmonics and perhaps some non-linear device within the phone did just
> that.
>
> The store did not go into an anti-theft frenzy. The girl looked up from
> her register, I took my phone off my belt, waved it past the detector, it
> beeped, I walked through after it, it didn't beep, problem solved. There
> is no big issue here.
>
> Brad VK2QQ
>
>

60. Re: whats minimum price for computer controlled receiver?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-03 12:01:26 UTC
From: Volker Tonn <screwdriver@despammed.com>
Message-ID: <33sqgiF44athcU1@individual.net>
Source record: 15770

Cyber-Hun schrieb:

> Yeah, I'm a little leery of buying a pig in a poke, so to speak. It's
> caveat emptor, so I like to give merchandise a once-over BEFORE paying for
> it, but, this is a whole different issue. I guess this kind of gear either
> works perfectly or not at all, as a rule.

The PCR-1000 is a good value for the money.
It can compete with a Sony 2010 on shortwave and works fine on VHF/UHF.

For sure it can not compete with designatet SW-tabletops. My NRD-525
runs circles around my PCR-1000. I have a fairly good handheld scanner
and the PCR-1000 is remarkably better. Also tracking on weather
satellits is fine with the PCR-1000.
There is already some software available, allowing trunk-tracking with
the PCR-1000. Although this is useless in Germany and most -if not all-
of Europe.

61. Re: radio and antenna questions for 108 to 136 MHZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-03 13:17:25 UTC
From: DougSlug <dougslug@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <pGbCd.33237$Rj6.7919@fe10.lga>
Source record: 15771

"Insert66" <Insert@ers.com> wrote in message
news:34kdt0pjvmhj222inal39g7po3gtco0u77@4ax.com...
> I have a few questions for the gurus
>
> when we say 468 \ mhz = feet
> and 234 / mhz = feet

The 234 / frequency formula gives you the quarter-wave length. A dipole consists of two quarter-wave length elements, one tied to the antenna input and the other to the antenna ground. The total length, therefore, is twice that number (also given by the 468 / frequency formula)

> would a full wave dipole be better?

No, because the feed point impedance would not be a good match.
The half-wave dipole is what should be used for best results. To get better performance, beam antennas are made using a half-wave dipole along with properly placed reflector and director elements giving the antenna directionality and gain.

> what if the entire antenna was twice full wave long?
> would i get better reception?

I'm not sure if you're using a dipole configuration, but there are other antenna types
based on full wave elements that would work better for certain applications...that's a bit of overkill for your application however. On the other hand,
at this wavelength, it's not too hard to experiment...all you need is wire.

> i am only interested in receiving, and only intertested
> at this point, in 108 to 136 mhz AM. I am surrounded by buildings
> and a transmission towers of every type, in every direction

Then altitude is your friend. Another possibility is to use a beam antenna (Yagi, for example) if you're monitoring a particular station for more gain at the cost of directionality. Otherwise, an omnidirectional antenna with no gain placed as high as possible should suffice. Since you're looking at a fairly narrow range of frequencies, it will be easier to optimize (tune) the antenna.

> the question of matching the antenna for he frequency you are after
> and the idea of matching the antenna ohms to the ohms of the wire
> used to feed it

Ideally, your antenna should present a 50-ohm impedance at the receiver antenna input. For receive only, matching is less critical. You can use a

75-ohm impedance about as successfully. If I recall correctly, a dipole has an intrinsic impedance of around 72 ohms at resonance; that is, at the frequency it is cut for. That should be fine for your purposes. If the run to your antenna is long, you should use a quality 50-ohm coax between the radio and the dipole. For best results, the dipole can be tuned using a balun or a gamma match (among other things...you'll have to Google these things since my theory is a bit weak in that area), but for your application, I would say that matching is not critical as long as the antenna is cut and built properly.

> after thinking about the idea that the lower ground
> element and the top pointing driven element should be equal in
> impedance, and that the lead should be the same, if one made a dipole
> out of coaxial, and split the cable 23 inches from the end, and
> dangled the mesh down and the center wirte up, the splitting
> of the coaxial would change the ohms of the driven element and the
> dangling mesh gound element

Yes. That works, too, and is super easy to make. You can us a single piece of coax, then extend the braid opposite the direction of the center conductor to form a dipole. The impedance is determined by the combination of the driven element and the ground; thinking of them as having separate impedances is not really correct.

- Doug

62. Re: BC246T case?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-03 15:21:18 UTC
From: Fred Zalupski <"none listed">
Message-ID: <rO6dnWjduLxx_0TcRVn-sg@comcast.com>
Source record: 15772

Scanner Master either has one already or soon will.

"bolt thrower" <tucansam@whodis.org> wrote in message
news:cr8ds0$ob1$1@reader1.panix.com...
> Anyone making soft carry cases for the BC246T?
>
> Thanks.

63. Re: milcomm scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-03 15:37:10 UTC
From: Dan Morisseau <dpm3@morisseau.org>
Message-ID: <nJdCd.13314$lX.5924@fe07.lga>
Source record: 15773

Nick wrote:
> Thanks for you reply!...I almost finalized a Yaesu VR-500 before I
> realized that the local PDs and FDs (am based in DFW) should be of
> interest too. So now I am on the hunt for a scanner that has both
> milair and trunking capalbilities! The only scanner that looks withing
> range is the Uniden BC 250D. Any suggestionns/recommendations?
> -Nick
>

Radio Shack PRO-96. Using Don Starr's superb Win '96 program you can
unlock the mil air coverage of the scanner.

Dan

--
Milepost 11.7 - UPRR Jeff City Sub - N 38ï¿½34'53", W 90ï¿½22'32", 680'
"We sleep safe in our beds because rough men stand ready in the night to
visit violence on those who would do us harm"

64. Re: tiberon (sp?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-03 15:42:31 UTC
From: Dan Morisseau <dpm3@morisseau.org>
Message-ID: <nOdCd.13317$2%.5431@fe07.lga>
Source record: 15774

Evan Platt wrote:
> On 03 Jan 2005 05:47:47 GMT, tomcatgbmi@aol.com (tomcatgbmi) wrote:
>
>
>>whats tiberon? i always here cops sayin it.
>
>
> Where? What context?

Spanish for "shark" - spelled "tiburon" (with a little accent mark in there). "El tiburon es muy peligroso!" It is the name of a town over in Marin County in the Bay Area and was (maybe still is) also the name of a Chrysler Corporation small car.

--
Milepost 11.7 - UPRR Jeff City Sub - N 38ï¿½34'53", W 90ï¿½22'32", 680'
"We sleep safe in our beds because rough men stand ready in the night to visit violence on those who would do us harm"

65. Re: radio and antenna questions for 108 to 136 MHZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-03 16:07:12 UTC
From: Insert66 <Insert@ers.com>
Message-ID: <maqit09ho1iev392aue6079b3qot8tp754@4ax.com>
Source record: 15775

thanks for the advice

I have had the most sucess with the dipole
made of 75 ohm coaxial, and the run made of 75 ohm as well
though the radio specifications seem to suggest 50 ohm
Should i bother trying with 50 ohm, or as you say the dipole
is 75 ohm on its own?

I made the start of a yagi, more like a directional boom
just a reflector and a director, it almost beat my coaxial
dipole, maybe more directors will add signal and reduce hiss?

as i have said im surrounded by buildings, the directional seems
to get the best signal when pointed 90 degrees off the direction
of the signal, I assume the building have soemthing to do with this,
and my head seems to improive the signal?
can anything be learned from that? other than just keep you
head near the antenna and all will be well? heh

its like a Mr Bean episode

Im only into 108 to 136 AM and am not interested in wide band ..yet..
nor can i run a line to the roof, so if there are any interesting
antennas i can experiment wtih, Im all about it also the source of
signal is largely all in the same direction away form me

---

1 the yagi elements are 1/4 wave dipole adding to 1/2 wave in total?

2 with the reflector 10% larger and the director 10% smaller?

3 are there ideal dimensions, and idear number od directors
i should start off with? - is there a formula to use or should i
assume trial and error?

4 and just to confirm is is worth driving this yagi with 50 ohm wire?

5 thin element or thick?

6 is grounding this stuff to a water pipe the way to go?

7 its hard to see from diagrams available, but are the
top and bottom elements of the directors and reflectors
one piece, or are they isolated? are the bottom elements
all connected to one another, or are they isolated,
and the boom itself should be non conducting?

lots of questions

On Mon, 3 Jan 2005 08:17:25 -0500, "DougSlug" <dougslug@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>"Insert66" <Insert@ers.com> wrote in message
>news:34kdt0pjvmhj222inal39g7po3gtco0u77@4ax.com...
>> I have a few questions for the gurus
>>
>> when we say 468 \ mhz = feet
>> and 234 / mhz = feet
>
>The 234 / frequency formula gives you the quarter-wave length. A dipole
>consists of two quarter-wave length elements, one tied to the antenna input
>and the other to the antenna ground. The total length, therefore, is twice
>that number (also given by the 468 / frequency formula)
>
>> would a full wave dipole be better?
>
>No, because the feed point impedance would not be a good match.
>The half-wave dipole is what should be used for best results. To get
>better performance, beam antennas are made using a half-wave dipole along
>with properly placed reflector and director elements giving the antenna
>directionality and gain.
>
>> what if the entire antenna was twice full wave long?
>> would i get better reception?
>
>I'm not sure if you're using a dipole configuration, but there are other
>antenna types
>based on full wave elements that would work better for certain
>applications...that's a bit of overkill for your application however. On
>the other hand,
>at this wavelength, it's not too hard to experiment...all you need is wire.
>
>> i am only interested in receiving, and only intertested
>> at this point, in 108 to 136 mhz AM. I am surrounded by buildings
>> and a transmission towers of every type, in every direction
>
>Then altitude is your friend. Another possibility is to use a beam antenna
>(Yagi, for example) if you're monitoring a particular station for more gain
>at the cost of directionality. Otherwise, an omnidirectional antenna with
>no gain placed as high as possible should suffice. Since you're looking at
>a fairly narrow range of frequencies, it will be easier to optimize (tune)
>the antenna.
>
>> the question of matching the antenna for he frequency you are after
>> and the idea of matching the antenna ohms to the ohms of the wire
>> used to feed it
>
>Ideally, your antenna should present a 50-ohm impedance at the receiver
>antenna input. For receive only, matching is less critical. You can use a
>75-ohm impedance about as successfully. If I recall correctly, a dipole has

>an intrinsic impedance of around 72 ohms at resonance; that is, at the
>frequency it is cut for. That should be fine for your purposes. If the run
>to your antenna is long, you should use a quality 50-ohm coax between the
>radio and the dipole. For best results, the dipole can be tuned using a
>balun or a gamma match (among other things...you'll have to Google these
>things since my theory is a bit weak in that area), but for your
>application, I would say that matching is not critical as long as the
>antenna is cut and built properly.
>
>> after thinking about the idea that the lower ground
>> element and the top pointing driven element should be equal in
>> impedance, and that the lead should be the same, if one made a dipole
>> out of coaxial, and split the cable 23 inches from the end, and
>> dangled the mesh down and the center wirte up, the splitting
>> of the coaxial would change the ohms of the driven element and the
>> dangling mesh gound element
>
>Yes. That works, too, and is super easy to make. You can us a single piece
>of coax, then extend the braid opposite the direction of the center
>conductor to form a dipole. The impedance is determined by the combination
>of the driven element and the ground; thinking of them as having separate
>impedances is not really correct.
>
> - Doug
>

66. Re: BC246T case?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-03 18:02:49 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <dNfCd.16271$RH4.15442@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 15776

"bolt thrower" <tucansam@whodis.org> wrote in message
news:cr8ds0$ob1$1@reader1.panix.com...
> Anyone making soft carry cases for the BC246T?
>
> Thanks.

If it's just for carry, you should be able to use a "radio shelf". They do not offer protection, but work great for belt carry. I use one for my scanners at work. They are MUCH more secure than the belt clips. You can get them from Galls. You would want the smaller one.
--
If at first you don't succeed blame someone else and seek counseling.

67. Re: BC246T case?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-03 19:25:50 UTC
From: User <user@host.net>
Message-ID: <crc680$5u2$1@reader1.panix.com>
Source record: 15777

> If it's just for carry, you should be able to use a "radio shelf". They do
> not offer protection, but work great for belt carry. I use one for my

The lettering is rubbing off of my Pro-79's buttons. I am hoping to avoid
this with my 246T.

68. Re: BC246T case?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-03 19:41:04 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <kdhCd.16320$RH4.3938@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 15778

"User" <user@host.net> wrote in message
news:crc680$5u2$1@reader1.panix.com...
>> If it's just for carry, you should be able to use a "radio shelf". They
>> do not offer protection, but work great for belt carry. I use one for my
>
>
> The lettering is rubbing off of my Pro-79's buttons. I am hoping to avoid
> this with my 246T.
>

My old 79 has many buttons that are unreadable any more. I always figured
that was probably more from me using them than anything else.
My 95, which once I figured out how to program, is mostly just left alone,
still looks new on the buttons after more than a year of use. With it in the
radio shelf it could be rubbed against something but it would be hard to do,
you would really have to be leaning on the radio. The belt that holds the
radio is going to make contact with anything you brush against. If you go to
Galls site you should be able to see a picture of one with a radio on it,
you will see what I'm talking about.
--
If at first you don't succeed blame someone else and seek counseling.

69. Re: tiberon (sp?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-03 19:49:23 UTC
From: Evan Platt <evan@TheObvious.espphotography.com>
Message-ID: <2b8jt0lnlh312acqjk3gmbu4vqrolkt28q@4ax.com>
Source record: 15781

On Mon, 03 Jan 2005 09:42:31 -0600, Dan Morisseau <dpm3@morisseau.org>
wrote:

> Spanish for "shark" - spelled "tiburon" (with a little accent mark in
>there). "El tiburon es muy peligroso!" It is the name of a town over in
>Marin County in the Bay Area and was (maybe still is) also the name of a
>Chrysler Corporation small car.

Yes, I live near Tiberon (well, ok, between San Jose and San
Francisco), but I can't imagine why cops would be saying "Tiberon"
unless it was say the Tiberon police (i..e "Tiberon, <unit> 10-97".

The person was asking what is tiberon, so I'm guessing he doesn't live
near Tiberon, but is hearing it being said (or something similar)
wherever he lives...
--
To reply, remove TheObvious from my e-mail address.

70. Re: REALISTIC PRO-2040 **100-Channel Programmable Scanner**

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-03 19:49:42 UTC
From: Evan Platt <evan@TheObvious.espphotography.com>
Message-ID: <8e8jt0t6uqtpg1jsoi55n3kmjja5e327ek@4ax.com>
Source record: 15779

On 03 Jan 2005 06:35:51 GMT, tramr300@wmconnect.comnocrap (Tramr300)
wrote:

>I am sorry if this posting posted twice.
>Anyway, take a look if interested.
>Happy New Year everyone....
>Item name: REALISTIC PRO-2040 **100-Channel Programmable Scanner**

Please use FA.

Thanks.
--
To reply, remove TheObvious from my e-mail address.

71. Re: Santa Claus Post is a Trojan!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-03 19:50:28 UTC
From: Evan Platt <evan@TheObvious.espphotography.com>
Message-ID: <qf8jt0d5b3dto502cr9b922aqn4b0e54qq@4ax.com>
Source record: 15780

On Thu, 30 Dec 2004 18:15:39 GMT, Al Arduengo <exalti@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>Not if you use linux.:-)

Or a PC browser other than IE. <grin>
--
To reply, remove TheObvious from my e-mail address.

72. Re: REALISTIC PRO-2040 **100-Channel Programmable Scanner**

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-03 20:20:37 UTC
From: dxAce <dxace@eclipsed.net>
Message-ID: <41D9A915.697B643C@eclipsed.net>
Source record: 15782

Evan Platt wrote:

> On 03 Jan 2005 06:35:51 GMT, tramr300@wmconnect.comnocrap (Tramr300)
> wrote:
>
> >I am sorry if this posting posted twice.
> >Anyway, take a look if interested.
> >Happy New Year everyone....
> >Item name: REALISTIC PRO-2040 **100-Channel Programmable Scanner**
>
> Please use FA.

Keep it up, 'tard boy.

>
>
> Thanks.
> --
> To reply, remove TheObvious from my e-mail address.

73. Re: REALISTIC PRO-2040 **100-Channel Programmable Scanner**

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-03 23:16:58 UTC
From: doenja <cpt611@tcq.net>
Message-ID: <crcogi02e4u@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 15784

Now that was constructive!

"Evan Platt" <evan@TheObvious.espphotography.com> wrote in message
news:8e8jt0t6uqtpg1jsoi55n3kmjja5e327ek@4ax.com...
> On 03 Jan 2005 06:35:51 GMT, tramr300@wmconnect.comnocrap (Tramr300)
> wrote:
>
> >I am sorry if this posting posted twice.
> >Anyway, take a look if interested.
> >Happy New Year everyone....
> >Item name: REALISTIC PRO-2040 **100-Channel Programmable Scanner**
>
> Please use FA.
>
> Thanks.
> --
> To reply, remove TheObvious from my e-mail address.
>

74. Re: BC246T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-03 23:54:53 UTC
From: BILLY <WCEJAE@BELLSOUTH.NET>
Message-ID: <ddmjt090n87hk3nf8ae0v0u2rn085aeedm@4ax.com>
Source record: 15783

On Sun, 2 Jan 2005 23:08:28 -0700, "User" <user@host.net> wrote:
>I am getting to know the 246T and I like it. I have a question. I have the
>Priority feature turned off. Yet when I listen to a channel, there is a
>quick interruption every two seconds of dead air. Like on my RS scanners
>when I have the Priority channel selected, where the scanner skips back to
>another freq for a second. But on the 246T I don't have it set up that was
>(AFAIK).

I took a bit different tact, I found some free clone software with an
original load file, so I just regenerated the system. by then i had
completely screwed it up anyway. It started out like it was brand new
when I reloaded it, so i can screw it up again....

Billy, N5WE
Mississippi

75. FS: Radio Shack Pro 94A new lower price

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-04 01:17:41 UTC
From: Ken Bessler <kg0wx@NOSPAMyahoo.com>
Message-ID: <V8mCd.13411$ph.7624@okepread01>
Source record: 15785
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I'm selling an almost mint PRO-94A 1000 channel trunking scanner. I don't have the box or paper manual but I do have the manual on CD-ROM. Radio is 99.999% mint cosmetically and 100% electronically.

Sale includes the radio with it's original antenna, manual and Radio Shack "H" power adapter tip. All you need is the standard Radio Shack 9v 300ma wall wart and 4 AA size rechargeable batteries or just a pack of regular alkaline energizers or duracells.

Asking $79.95 with ***free*** USPS Priority mail shipping!

Payment by USPS or Wal Mart money orders only.

Contact me at kg0wx at cox dot net. First come, first serve!

Ken

76. FA: Many Scanners up for Auction (ICOM)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-04 04:12:07 UTC
From: "news.mi.comcast.giganews.com" <seskindell@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <h8-dnXyUeIwFikfcRVn-sA@comcast.com>
Source record: 15786

ICOM PCR 1000 Scanner/Receiver
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5741313033&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

SR Standard Communications Receiver with Scope
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5741315973&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

ICOM R1 Scanner
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5741958447&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

OPTOELECTRONICS SCOUT
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5741498471&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

VIKING EXTENDED RECORDER (Great for scanning)
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5741957070&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

77. Re: REALISTIC PRO-2040 **100-Channel Programmable Scanner**

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-04 17:12:06 UTC
From: Evan Platt <evan@TheObvious.espphotography.com>
Message-ID: <hhjlt0t6uqq7hmdv9uul1nnb7a1ibb29nk@4ax.com>
Source record: 15787

On Mon, 3 Jan 2005 17:16:58 -0600, "doenja" <cpt611@tcq.net> wrote:

>Now that was constructive!

Many people do not want to see "For Auction" posts, so it has been asked, and is in the FAQ, to append FA: to the front of these posts so those that wish not to see these posts can filter them out.

--
To reply, remove TheObvious from my e-mail address.

78. discone or scantenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-04 18:50:17 UTC
From: redrum <ninguna>
Message-ID: <41dad8d7$2_2@nova.entelchile.net>
Source record: 15796

Which is better?

is scantenna really omnidirectional? is it built for a better reception on the most used frequencies or is really multiband?

who sells the better implementation of discone?

my needs on scanning are 25Mhz to 1.3 Ghz.

I own a uniden bc-796d and a bc-246t

Thanks for any help.

Greetings from Chile!

79. Re: tiberon (sp?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-04 20:09:24 UTC
From: tomcatgbmi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050104150924.07103.00002560@mb-m10.aol.com>
Source record: 15788

they always run people through tiberon the same way they do with LEIN. im in MI and i dont think any cops speak spanish here.

80. Re: tiberon (sp?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-04 20:17:45 UTC
From: dxAce <dxace@eclipsed.net>
Message-ID: <41DAF9E9.B700935C@eclipsed.net>
Source record: 15789

tomcatgbmi wrote:

> they always run people through tiberon the same way they do with LEIN. im in MI
> and i dont think any cops speak spanish here.

Several of them do here.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

81. FA - BC-895XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-04 23:36:06 UTC
From: thecop <thecop@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <PTFCd.8392$EF.7983@fe12.lga>
Source record: 15790

Excellent condition:
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5741665625&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

82. Re: REALISTIC PRO-2040 **100-Channel Programmable Scanner**

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-05 01:24:43 UTC
From: doenja <nine1qb@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <crfg0f0n4a@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 15791

Good to see that every newsgroup has a regulatory person to exploit
pointless errors made by us otherwise perfect humans.
"Evan Platt" <evan@TheObvious.espphotography.com> wrote in message
news:hhjlt0t6uqq7hmdv9uul1nnb7a1ibb29nk@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 3 Jan 2005 17:16:58 -0600, "doenja" <cpt611@tcq.net> wrote:
>
> >Now that was constructive!
>
> Many people do not want to see "For Auction" posts, so it has been
> asked, and is in the FAQ, to append FA: to the front of these posts so
> those that wish not to see these posts can filter them out.
>
> --
> To reply, remove TheObvious from my e-mail address.

83. FA: ICOM IC-R9000 LATE MODEL Exc. **LOOK**

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-05 03:14:58 UTC
From: zantac150 <zantac150@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <crfm31$4ja$1@news-est.ocn.ad.jp>
Source record: 15792
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5742180236

84. Military Acft Comms Log - Tues, 4 Jan 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-05 04:56:51 UTC
From: allanstern@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050104235651.11831.00002568@mb-m16.aol.com>
Source record: 15793

Patrick AFB Area Log - Tuesday 4 Jan 2005

Today I snagged comms from one of the oldest acft types and one of
the newest types; I heard a Grumman G-44 Widgeon from the 1940s era, and I
heard a Citation new Model 68 "Sovereign."

CARBON 21: AT-38C, Moody AFB 479FTG 39FTS Instructor Pilot.
9:30am: Area transition, southbound.
[360.7, 348.7, 353.6]

LIFTER 37: C-17A, Charleston 437AW
10:00am: Pattern work at Patrick.
[133.75, 132.65]

RAZOR 33: E-8C JSTARS, Robins AFB
10:14am: Back-end (mission crew) STARGATE directs LANCER F-15s at Avon Park
Bombing Range; also interdeck comms with simulated acft RAMBO 31, HOSS 23, and
SNAKE 11. Some encrypted comms.
12:56pm: RTB Robins AFB via Orlando-Craig-Alma.
[127.475, 126.35, 119.825, 324.65, 395.15, 388.225]

LANCER 61 & 71: F-15E, Seymour Johnson AFB 4FW 333FS
10:15am: Works targets at Avon Park Bombing Range under ctrl of STARGATE.
Works R-2901A and Placid MOAs. One acft declares IFE and diverts to MacDill.
11:30am: Departs to Tyndall AFB.
[349.0, 292.2, 269.25, 300.525, 300.925, 333.3]

LN 14: P-3C, Jacksonville NAS VP-45 "Pelicans"
10:30am: Area transition.
[273.55, 383.4]

KING 55: HC-130P #64-14855, Patrick AFB AFSOC 920RQW 39RQS
11:10am: Touch-and-goes at Patrick.
9:55pm: Local airwork. Something new: using codewords for passing
information to Ops.
[133.75, 132.65]

JOLLY 11: HH-60G Pave Hawk Helo, Patrick AFB 920RQW 301RQS
11:15am; Local training.
6:30pm: Training
[133.75]

COIL 01: F-15C FL-ANG 125FW 159FS "Jaguars"
11:30am: Area transition, through Whiskey Area 3Xray.
[346.25, 267.5]

UNID: G-44 Grumman Widgeon
11:33am: Area transition. I would have loved to have gotten an eyeball or camera lens on this one. A Grumman G-44 Widgeon is a light transport amphibious flying boat from the 1940s era. It was used for anti-submarine work and sea patrols. There is a diagram depicting it at the following site:
http://www.luftfahrtmuseum.com/htmi/itf/gr44.htm
[132.65]

RAMBO 31: Simulated acft in STARGATE interdeck comms.
11:45am: Comms with STARGATE.
[395.15]
Simulations are extremely realistic; the simulated acft even declare Bingo Fuel and RTBs.

HOSS 23: Simulated acft in STARGATE interdeck comms.
11:55am: Comms with STARGATE; mission description, weapons available, etc.
[395.15]

SNAKE 11: Simulated acft in STARGATE interdeck comms.
12:02pm: Comms with STARGATE; passes coordinates, etc.
[324.65]

AMBUSH 11: F/A-18, Oceana NAS VFC-12 "Fighting Omars"
12:38pm: Area transition.
[353.6]

KONG 42: F-15, Eglin AFB 33FW 58FS "Gorillas"
1:34pm: En route NAS Key West.
[344.6]

ROSS 124: FBI
6:50pm: Area transition.
[132.25]

TANKER 48
6:53pm: Comms with Oakgrove; probable Orlange Bowl CAP acft.
[265.4]

REACH 3031: KC-135R #63-8031, MacDill AFB 6AMW 91ARS
8:45pm: Inbound MacDill. Aft aux interphone inop.
[311.0]

JOLLY 21: HH-60G Pave Hawk Helo, Moody AFB 41RQS
8:45pm: Inbound Patrick.
[133.75]

OMAHA 58: Customs and Border Patrol Acft
8:58pm; Inbound Palm Beach IAP.
[124.6]

STAR 1: BREVARD CNTY SO Helo.
11:20pm: Lands Merritt Island A/p, but announces "Landing MacDill" on Advisory Freq. Maybe an ex-USAF driver turned Deputy Sheriff.
[123.05]

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL (28-11N 80-36W) monitoring
Patrick AFB (KCOF) NASA-KSC Shuttle Landing Fac (KX68)
Avon Park Bombing Range (KAGR) Cape Canaveral AFS (KXMR)
JSTARS E-8 Acft Integration Facility, Melbourne IAP (KMLB)
Worldwide Military HF Communications
Life Member: Missile, Space and Range Pioneers.
http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/index.html (My Freqs)
http://hometown.aol.com/scanaddict/index.html (My Equipment)

85. Re: REALISTIC PRO-2040 **100-Channel Programmable Scanner**

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-05 08:35:55 UTC
From: Evan Platt <evan@TheObvious.espphotography.com>
Message-ID: <5l9nt0pl3vjle84f82k8t45prvheoudbhc@4ax.com>
Source record: 15794

On Tue, 4 Jan 2005 19:24:43 -0600, "doenja" <nine1qb@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Good to see that every newsgroup has a regulatory person to exploit
>pointless errors made by us otherwise perfect humans.

It's called a newsgroup's charter.

Kind of like knowing the rules to a meeting you enter.

That, and it's common courtesy.
--
To reply, remove TheObvious from my e-mail address.

86. Re: REALISTIC PRO-2040 **100-Channel Programmable Scanner**

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-05 11:07:23 UTC
From: dxAce <dxace@eclipsed.net>
Message-ID: <41DBCA6B.7C2AA3EF@eclipsed.net>
Source record: 15795

Evan Platt wrote:

> On Tue, 4 Jan 2005 19:24:43 -0600, "doenja" <nine1qb@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >Good to see that every newsgroup has a regulatory person to exploit
> >pointless errors made by us otherwise perfect humans.
>
> It's called a newsgroup's charter.
>
> Kind of like knowing the rules to a meeting you enter.
>
> That, and it's common courtesy.

Keep it up, 'tard boy.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

87. Re: can't use FRS in the malls. even though they were advertised to

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-05 20:26:17 UTC
From: anti spamjm <antispam@spamfucker.com>
Message-ID: <ysWdnWkkG5n30EHcRVn-sg@rogers.com>
Source record: 15797

Mmmmmmm Haaaaaaaaam....sweet juicy haaaaammmm.
I've had my wheelchair set of detectors in stores. Big masses of metal
coiled wire seem to do it. I remember it happening as I was leaving a
radio shack after buying a roll of cable wire. There were no tags on it
it was just the amount of metal that set up the response. But I still
Like ham :) and can't see how a chunk of tasty meat would set off the
alarms.

nana wrote:
>>Lets not forget, an amateur license carries a higher degree of respect
>>than does a non licensed device.
>
>
>
> With whom? Most people still have no accurate idea what a Ham is!
>
> In regards to this ancient thread - my cellphone has triggered the alarms at
> the checkouts more than once. These alarms relied on reradiation of
> harmonics and perhaps some non-linear device within the phone did just that.
>
> The store did not go into an anti-theft frenzy. The girl looked up from her
> register, I took my phone off my belt, waved it past the detector, it
> beeped, I walked through after it, it didn't beep, problem solved. There is
> no big issue here.
>
> Brad VK2QQ
>
>

88. FA: Scanners and Receviers (last day)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-05 20:53:58 UTC
From: "news.mi.comcast.giganews.com" <seskindell@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <mLGdnRjF96d7zkHcRVn-hw@comcast.com>
Source record: 15798

ICOM PCR 1000 Scanner/Receiver
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5741313033&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

SR Standard Communications Receiver with Scope
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5741315973&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

ICOM R1 Scanner
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5741958447&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

OPTOELECTRONICS SCOUT
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5741498471&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

VIKING EXTENDED RECORDER (Great for scanning)
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5741957070&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

RADIO SHACK DX-398 SHORTWAVE RECEIVER
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5741304954&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

89. New yahoo-group " TRAFFIC-CAMS "

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-05 23:20:07 UTC
From: Bla <bla@geenmail.nl>
Message-ID: <HC_Cd.267451$IN.134483@amsnews05.chello.com>
Source record: 15799
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi,

I have just started a new yahoo group called

" TRAFFIC-CAMS "

Currently there are at least 200 official websites with
realtime traffic-cam pictures (worldwide)

These websites are open and accesable to the public
some cities have more then 200 cameras !
some have real-time streaming video others have fast updated
streams of jpg's that change every second. some have just still pix

Some scanner/radio amateurs have now combined the pictures
of these traffic-cams with the sound of the local police
like i did for example on my website:

http://www.tetrascanner.com (in the traffic-cams section)

In the one from The Netherlands you will see 12 screens from traffic-cams in
The Netherlands,i have combined that with the sound of a scanner wich
receives the national highway police.
Normally when you go to the a website of a department of transportation
(DOT)
you get only one and many times not moving picture (still) at the time
i have used some html-code to make it moving (auto refreshing)

In the second one from USA California (Caltrans) you see realtime streaming
video from 6 traffic-cams around Sacramento i have combined that with the
sound
California Highway Patrol. (at the moment they have limited the viewing time
to 2 minutes : (

Anyway like this you get the impression of actually being in the
control-room (Traffic Information Center)

It's interesting and a good addition to your radio/scanner hobby

In this group we have now links to more than 160 different websites
with live traffic pictures from all over the world,nicely sorted by country
or type.

Also we will have other technical information about these systems
and how to implement(embed) them on your own website...
yes it is possible to have a moving picture of your local traffic-cam(s)
on your website...wich is not so difficult to do you only need

some simple javascripts,html,players...etc

You can also ask for help how to do this and i would appriciate
new links to traffic-cams that are not yet on the list

Visit the new group here:

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/traffic-cams/

and apply for a membership !

Greetings

Tetrascanner
(www.tetrascanner.com)

90. Re: discone or scantenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-06 00:10:49 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4funREMOVEBEFOREFLIGHT.com>
Message-ID: <dm%Cd.1752$W32.1296@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 15650

redrum wrote:
> Which is better?
>
> is scantenna really omnidirectional? is it built for a better reception on
> the most used frequencies or is really multiband?
>
> who sells the better implementation of discone?
>
I have three scantennas and they work much much better than any discone
I've yet to own. In the last 5 years I have thrown away 2 discones
(even the Diamond $100+) because they didn't come close to what the
AntennaWarehouse ScanTennas could do.

Most of my listening is 225-400 MHz however have compaired them on many
other freqs as well.

I got my ScanTennas from
http://www.antennawarehouse.com/Scanner/Scantenna.htm
(AntennaWarehouse). Free shipping and speedy delivery.

George - Daytona Beach, FL
http://www.MilAirComms.com

91. Re: discone or scantenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-06 01:02:51 UTC
From: Jim <junk@junk.com>
Message-ID: <%60Dd.7955$iu5.2670@fe2.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 15651

"GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4funREMOVEBEFOREFLIGHT.com> wrote in message
news:dm%Cd.1752$W32.1296@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> redrum wrote:
>> Which is better?
>>
>> is scantenna really omnidirectional? is it built for a better reception
>> on
>> the most used frequencies or is really multiband?
>>
>> who sells the better implementation of discone?
>>
> I have three scantennas and they work much much better than any discone
> I've yet to own. In the last 5 years I have thrown away 2 discones (even
> the Diamond $100+) because they didn't come close to what the
> AntennaWarehouse ScanTennas could do.
>
> Most of my listening is 225-400 MHz however have compaired them on many
> other freqs as well.
>
> I got my ScanTennas from
> http://www.antennawarehouse.com/Scanner/Scantenna.htm (AntennaWarehouse).
> Free shipping and speedy delivery.
>
> George - Daytona Beach, FL
> http://www.MilAirComms.com

Interesting, I've never seen one of these antennas until now (followed your
link). It looks to me like an abbreviated Discone? Does someone know
electrically what this device is?

92. scannorthamerica.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-06 02:36:15 UTC
From: Steve Giovanis <mykonos21@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <ilSdnR0Z19SPOUHcRVn-vQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 15652

Hello friends
I am hoping many of you will check out my scanner/radio related
yahoogroup and consider joining .Please be sure you mention you saw this
posting when joining. . http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ScanNorthAmerica/

Thanks for your time. . Steve

93. Re: Have you ever worked at Radio Shack?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-06 03:31:26 UTC
From: Ken <ktm@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <ii2Dd.2606$j_4.1625@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 15653

"Mark" <me@privacy.net> wrote in message
news:mj3ot09q25n345j4qvs2irk7jvd24140r7@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 30 Dec 2004 16:59:15 -0600, "Jim Douglas"
> <james.douglas@genesis-software.com> wrote:
>
> >Funny, there sure are a lot of negative replies! I wonder how many saying
> >"It sucks monkey balls" have ever worked there? Working there and being a
> >customer are two different things, they could have great $$, benefits,
days
> >off, etc.

(IMHO)
I've worked there, it sucked monkey balls, min. wage plus spiffs & comm (if
u'r lucky),
no benies (even though they promised them), & as far as your days off go -
Holidays are there best days, if you want to make any money you work them.
You don't make money during the day, so you have to work weekends &
evenings. If your any kind of a salesmen - you will make money, but you
work your ass off for it. Thier website paints a rosie picture, but take it
from someone who's been there, the only thing they care about is your money,
they sell junk, buyer beware. I did have a BLAST working with co-workers
and the public.

> I would think it may not be such a great job for the average salesslob. I
can
> see it being an ok gig if you were a store manager.

There are so many variables out of your control that it wasn't worth it.
The company wants a profit - the public is looking for a deal. Nobody wants
to work & you really have to work hard to make it work.

94. Re: Hotmail Hacking Made Simple

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-06 04:14:50 UTC
From: tariq.1.rahim@spamgourmet.com
Message-ID: <1104984890.097482.175870@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15654
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.noncomm, rec.radio.scanner

a good thing too! violators need to be banned.
Brad Kellison wrote:
> This User's Account Has Been Banned
>
>
>
> On Tue, 02 Sep 2003 18:23:22 GMT, donjones@optonline.net wrote:
>
> >Check this out. I didnt know hotmail was so easy to break into
> >
> >http://www.iwars.net/dmxdvc.html

95. Re: discone or scantenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-06 04:42:00 UTC
From: T-bone <mis@take.net>
Message-ID: <Xns95D5F171FA876ewrfdgrstnetaakeanfk@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 15655

GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4funREMOVEBEFOREFLIGHT.com> wrote in
news:dm%Cd.1752$W32.1296@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net:

> redrum wrote:
>> Which is better?
>>
>> is scantenna really omnidirectional? is it built for a better reception
>> on the most used frequencies or is really multiband?
>>
>> who sells the better implementation of discone?
>>
> I have three scantennas and they work much much better than any discone
> I've yet to own. In the last 5 years I have thrown away 2 discones
> (even the Diamond $100+) because they didn't come close to what the
> AntennaWarehouse ScanTennas could do.
>
> Most of my listening is 225-400 MHz however have compaired them on many
> other freqs as well.
>
> I got my ScanTennas from
> http://www.antennawarehouse.com/Scanner/Scantenna.htm
> (AntennaWarehouse). Free shipping and speedy delivery.
>
> George - Daytona Beach, FL
> http://www.MilAirComms.com

I got one of those and am not overly impressed with it.
My discone consistantly pulls in stronger signals than the scantenna in
just about every range. The only variables are
1) Discone is about 5 ft higher up
2) Discone uses a better feed belden 9913
3) Discone uses an N connecter vs. BNC for scantenna.

Perhaps those three combined are enough to give the discone an edge in an
of itself, but I doubt much of one. Not much UHF mil around my area
unfortunatly, but I can pull in a wx station at an AFB about 40 miles away
with the discone, and the scantenna doesn't.

Just my observations.

96. Re: discone or scantenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-06 12:16:19 UTC
From: John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com>
Message-ID: <j16qt0hn1bl7tom2nltrcfvtt5mnisk85q@4ax.com>
Source record: 15656

On Thu, 06 Jan 2005 04:42:00 GMT, "T-bone" <mis@take.net> wrote:

>I got one of those and am not overly impressed with it.
>My discone consistantly pulls in stronger signals than the scantenna in
>just about every range. The only variables are
>1) Discone is about 5 ft higher up
>2) Discone uses a better feed belden 9913
>3) Discone uses an N connecter vs. BNC for scantenna.
>
>Perhaps those three combined are enough to give the discone an edge in an
>of itself, but I doubt much of one. Not much UHF mil around my area
>unfortunatly, but I can pull in a wx station at an AFB about 40 miles away
>with the discone, and the scantenna doesn't.
>
>Just my observations.

I cannot speak to the relative performance of a discone vs. the Scantenna because I've never used a Scantenna. I can, however, attest to the fact that a quality feedline is *extremely* important at UHF frequencies. Look at a list of specifications for different types of coaxial cable, paying particular attention to the specified signal loss (in decibels) per hundred feet of cable. At HF the loss even in plain old RG-58U is negligible, at UHF, it's astronomical by comparison. RG-8U is better, but still falls on its face at UHF.

The extra five feet of height, well, most people would be surprised how much of a difference just five feet of elevation can make in your reception, especially at higher frequencies.

While I've never used a Scantenna, I used a discone for some time for monitoring UHF milcomms here in the area near Niagara Falls, New York. In addition to a USAFR airlift wing and an ANG air refueling wing based at Niagara Falls, I also sit between a couple of air refueling tracks and am kind of on the flight path followed by aircraft based in the eastern US on CORONET EAST missions. The discone allowed me to log plenty of good catches, outperforming by far one of RadioShack's multi-band ground planes in that respect.

One thing I did notice, though - the RS ground plane flat-out smoked the discone on the 30-50 MHz low VHF band, as demonstrated to me by years of monitoring fire department DX down there.

John Kasupski, Tonawanda, New York
Amateur Radio (KC2HMZ), SWL/Scanner Monitoring (KNY2VS)
Member of ARES/RACES, ARATS, WUN, ARRL
http://www.qsl.net/kc2fng

E-Mails Ignored, Please Post Replies In This Newsgroup

97. Newbie Questions On Modes, etc. (Boston location)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-06 13:02:12 UTC
From: Robert11 <rgsrose@notme.com>
Message-ID: <HridnY6Z0pxMq0DcRVn-2g@comcast.com>
Source record: 15657

Hello:

Newbie at this:

a. Are these freq's fm, or... ?

BAPERN 2 N 470.4875
BAPERN 2 W 470.7375

b. The 800 MHz stuff is definitely fm. Correct ?

c. Are there any other freq. ranges that I might be able to pick up,
and
the Modes for them, please, that I should also be asking about ?

Live just outside of Boston.

Thanks,
B.

98. Allegan County, Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-06 15:16:04 UTC
From: dxAce <dxace@eclipsed.net>
Message-ID: <41DD5634.35C95915@eclipsed.net>
Source record: 15658

Oh no! It appears that Allegan County will be switching to the 'new' system starting on Monday. Apparently phased in over a period of days according to our local radio station, WHTC.

Apparently Ottawa County will still keep what they have... for now.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

99. Re: discone or scantenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-06 19:53:47 UTC
From: T-bone <mis@take.net>
Message-ID: <Xns95D6978BB8CC4ewrfdgrstnetaakeanfk@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 15659

John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com> wrote in
news:j16qt0hn1bl7tom2nltrcfvtt5mnisk85q@4ax.com:

> On Thu, 06 Jan 2005 04:42:00 GMT, "T-bone" <mis@take.net> wrote:
>
>>I got one of those and am not overly impressed with it.
>>My discone consistantly pulls in stronger signals than the scantenna in
>>just about every range. The only variables are
>>1) Discone is about 5 ft higher up
>>2) Discone uses a better feed belden 9913
>>3) Discone uses an N connecter vs. BNC for scantenna.
>>
>>Perhaps those three combined are enough to give the discone an edge in an
>>of itself, but I doubt much of one. Not much UHF mil around my area
>>unfortunatly, but I can pull in a wx station at an AFB about 40 miles away
>>with the discone, and the scantenna doesn't.
>>
>>Just my observations.
>
> I cannot speak to the relative performance of a discone vs. the
> Scantenna because I've never used a Scantenna. I can, however, attest
> to the fact that a quality feedline is *extremely* important at UHF
> frequencies. Look at a list of specifications for different types of
> coaxial cable, paying particular attention to the specified signal
> loss (in decibels) per hundred feet of cable. At HF the loss even in
> plain old RG-58U is negligible, at UHF, it's astronomical by
> comparison. RG-8U is better, but still falls on its face at UHF.
>
> The extra five feet of height, well, most people would be surprised
> how much of a difference just five feet of elevation can make in your
> reception, especially at higher frequencies.
>
> While I've never used a Scantenna, I used a discone for some time for
> monitoring UHF milcomms here in the area near Niagara Falls, New York.
> In addition to a USAFR airlift wing and an ANG air refueling wing
> based at Niagara Falls, I also sit between a couple of air refueling
> tracks and am kind of on the flight path followed by aircraft based in
> the eastern US on CORONET EAST missions. The discone allowed me to log
> plenty of good catches, outperforming by far one of RadioShack's
> multi-band ground planes in that respect.

Granted, they are all factors, which is why I listed them, but I doubt if its
enough to make a difference other than in sensitive test equipment.
The coax used on the scantenna is the highest grade RS sells, isonly about a
30 ft run, and has in fact been exposed to the elements a couple years less

than my 9913.
If the scantenna was really the superior signal gatherer, it should more than make up for these variables.
Which isn't to say that the scantenna might not be superior for someone else. Obviously, they get the job done for George, and I'm sure hes a more sophisticated monitor than myself, as you likely are too.

>
> One thing I did notice, though - the RS ground plane flat-out smoked
> the discone on the 30-50 MHz low VHF band, as demonstrated to me by
> years of monitoring fire department DX down there.

Do you have a vertical element on your discone ?
This tends to improve discone low band reception sometimes dramatically.
I know the RS discone I use didn't come with one, but a little rubber cap on top came off, and lo and behold theres a threaded stud in there, juat waiting for an old CB antenna or some such to be screwed on.
Why this element doesn't come with the package, and why they don't even mention it in the literature I don't know.

100. Re: discone or scantenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-07 01:02:33 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4funREMOVEBEFOREFLIGHT.com>
Message-ID: <JclDd.2429$%e1.434@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 15660

T-bone wrote:

> GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4funREMOVEBEFOREFLIGHT.com> wrote in
> news:dm%Cd.1752$W32.1296@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net:
>
>
>>redrum wrote:
>>
>>>Which is better?
>>>
>>>is scantenna really omnidirectional? is it built for a better reception
>>>on the most used frequencies or is really multiband?
>>>
>>>who sells the better implementation of discone?
>>>
>>
>>I have three scantennas and they work much much better than any discone
>>I've yet to own. In the last 5 years I have thrown away 2 discones
>>(even the Diamond $100+) because they didn't come close to what the
>>AntennaWarehouse ScanTennas could do.
>>
>>Most of my listening is 225-400 MHz however have compaired them on many
>>other freqs as well.
>>
>>I got my ScanTennas from
>>http://www.antennawarehouse.com/Scanner/Scantenna.htm
>>(AntennaWarehouse). Free shipping and speedy delivery.
>>
>>George - Daytona Beach, FL
>>http://www.MilAirComms.com
>
>
> I got one of those and am not overly impressed with it.
> My discone consistantly pulls in stronger signals than the scantenna in
> just about every range. The only variables are
> 1) Discone is about 5 ft higher up
> 2) Discone uses a better feed belden 9913
> 3) Discone uses an N connecter vs. BNC for scantenna.
>

You are compairing apples and oranges here. First your 5' higher on
the discone is going to be a little improvement.

But the HUGH HUGH HUGH difference is the coax! 9913 is much better than
the RG6 which comes with the ScanTenna. I don't use the RG6 that comes,

I replace it with either 9913 or LMR-400. But that alone your going to see a major improvement on your ScanTenna in the UHF range.

N .vs. BNC, not much there.....

George - Daytona Beach, FL
http://www.MilAirComms.com

101. [SOLD] Re: [ERROR Corection] Re: GE Superadio III AM/FM DX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-07 01:05:57 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <s8adncb8HPXrfUDcRVn-qA@comcast.com>
Source record: 15661

This item has been sold.

Thanks,
Bill

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:SaydnZQL9IGww0rcRVn-sA@comcast.com...
> Oops...sorry for the error guys, and gals! It is a Superadio III, not the
> original "I".
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
>
> "Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:wqudnf4omeSbckvcRVn-pw@comcast.com...
>> FOR SALE: Only $30.00, including shipping via US Priority Mail, within
>> the U.S. Absolutely in "like new" condition, radio only, no box.
>>
>> The original GE Superadio III, AM/FM DX receiver.
>>
>> a.. Output: 700MW RMS
>> a.. Speakers: 6.5-inch high-sensitivity woofer and 2-inch cone tweeter
>> a.. Reception: Tuned RF on AM and FM for long-range reception
>> a.. Tuning: Precision vernier tuning, varactor tuning diodes
>> a.. FM antenna: 38-inch swivel whip
>> a.. AM antenna: 7.9-inch ferrite rod
>> a.. External antenna terminals: AM, FM
>> a.. Frequency response: 100 Hz to 18 kHz
>> a.. Total harmonic distortion: Greater than 1 percent
>> a.. Dimensions: 12.5 by 10.5 by 4.5 inches
>> a.. Approximate shipping weight: 6.4 pounds
>> a.. Power: AC house current using built-in line cord or 6 D-cell
>> batteries (not included)
>> a.. Bass control: Yes
>> a.. Treble control: Yes
>>
>>
>> Thanks,
>> Bill Crocker
>>
>>
>>
>
>

102. Re: discone or scantenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-07 01:27:21 UTC
From: Jeff <oldog@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <ZzlDd.622394$wV.76927@attbi_s54>
Source record: 15662

"GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4funREMOVEBEFOREFLIGHT.com> wrote in message
news:JclDd.2429$%e1.434@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...

>
> You are compairing apples and oranges here. First your 5' higher on
> the discone is going to be a little improvement.
>
> But the HUGH HUGH HUGH difference is the coax! 9913 is much better than
> the RG6 which comes with the ScanTenna. I don't use the RG6 that comes,
> I replace it with either 9913 or LMR-400. But that alone your going to
> see a major improvement on your ScanTenna in the UHF range.
>

I dont think he's comparing apples to oranges at all. First off when it
comes to VHF or UHF, 5' more height is nothing. Second of all there is no
HUGE,, HUGE difference in db's of attenuation between RG 6 and 9913.
The "average" db of attenuation for 9913 per 100' from 1mhz to 1ghz is 2.17
db. The "average" atenuation for RG 6 per 100' from 1mhz. to 1ghz is 3.2 db.
The average difference between the 2 is 1.03 db, wouldnt even be noticeable.
And those specs. are for a 100' run. I believe he said his run was more like
30'. I use quad shielded RG 6 all the time and it works great, not to mention
its much easier to work with than the thick, stiff 9913, or LMR 400.

Jeff

103. Re: discone or scantenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-07 02:40:16 UTC
From: Jeff <oldog@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <kEmDd.28049$3m6.2486@attbi_s51>
Source record: 15663

"Never anonymous Bud" <newskat@katxyzkave.net> wrote in message
news:1qqrt0tbl8384nb2jhonrvt5i6up1n646a@4ax.com...
> Trying to steal the thunder from Arnold, "Jeff" <oldog@(nospam)mchsi.com> on Fri, 07 Jan
2005
01:27:21 GMT spoke:
>
> >Second of all there is no HUGE,, HUGE difference in db's
> > of attenuation between RG 6 and 9913.
>
> Horsefeathers.
>
> >The "average" db of attenuation for 9913 per 100' from 1mhz to 1ghz is 2.17
> >db. The "average" atenuation for RG 6 per 100' from 1mhz. to 1ghz is 3.2 db.
>
> MUCH more horsefeathers.
>

My I am ever so impressed with someone that has nothing intelligent
to say and says it in such a quaint way. No I dont speak horsefeathers and yes
the truth is out there. Try www.radio-ware.com/products/techinfo/coaxloss.htm
and do the math yourself, providing you know how to figure averages. The
difference IS insignificant, for runs under 100',, if you choose to not believe
it, thats your problem.

Jeff

104. Re: discone or scantenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-07 02:44:59 UTC
From: T-bone <mis@take.net>
Message-ID: <Xns95D6DD9B65071ewrfdgrstnetaakeanfk@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 15664

GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4funREMOVEBEFOREFLIGHT.com> wrote in
news:JclDd.2429$%e1.434@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net:

> T-bone wrote:
>
>> GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4funREMOVEBEFOREFLIGHT.com> wrote in
>> news:dm%Cd.1752$W32.1296@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net:
>>
>>
>>>redrum wrote:
>>>
>>>>Which is better?
>>>>
>>>>is scantenna really omnidirectional? is it built for a better reception
>>>>on the most used frequencies or is really multiband?
>>>>
>>>>who sells the better implementation of discone?
>>>>
>>>
>>>I have three scantennas and they work much much better than any discone
>>>I've yet to own. In the last 5 years I have thrown away 2 discones
>>>(even the Diamond $100+) because they didn't come close to what the
>>>AntennaWarehouse ScanTennas could do.
>>>
>>>Most of my listening is 225-400 MHz however have compaired them on many
>>>other freqs as well.
>>>
>>>I got my ScanTennas from
>>>http://www.antennawarehouse.com/Scanner/Scantenna.htm
>>>(AntennaWarehouse). Free shipping and speedy delivery.
>>>
>>>George - Daytona Beach, FL
>>>http://www.MilAirComms.com
>>
>>
>> I got one of those and am not overly impressed with it.
>> My discone consistantly pulls in stronger signals than the scantenna in
>> just about every range. The only variables are
>> 1) Discone is about 5 ft higher up
>> 2) Discone uses a better feed belden 9913
>> 3) Discone uses an N connecter vs. BNC for scantenna.
>>
>
> You are compairing apples and oranges here. First your 5' higher on

> the discone is going to be a little improvement.

Very little, granted.
I've already pointed out that fact twice already.

>
> But the HUGH HUGH HUGH difference is the coax! 9913 is much better than
> the RG6 which comes with the ScanTenna. I don't use the RG6 that comes,
> I replace it with either 9913 or LMR-400. But that alone your going to
> see a major improvement on your ScanTenna in the UHF range.

Never said I used RG6.
RG8x is what I use for the scantenna feed.
I have no problem deferring to your judgement, more or less.
You are obviously more up to date than me on both equipment and methods.
I think its generally accepted that the discone is a great all around
monitoring antenna, but is really a jack of all trades, and master of none.
Ideally, one would want to have a discone, along with one or more other
antennas optimized for specific bands.
Thats what I had in mind with my setup, and so far it hasn't worked out,
but I must admit I haven't put a whole lot of effort into examining this
apparent problem.
I just don't think that discones should be dismissed as pure junk.
Within their limits, I've found them to be fine general all around signal
recievers, which is what their purpose is.

105. REQ: Scanner codes for South Arkansas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-07 03:08:27 UTC
From: Tiny <ferret_dad@nospaml.net>
Message-ID: <L2nDd.11957$wi2.5130@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 15665
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi folks;
I'm a total newbie who has just bout a scanner at auction and I am looking for police, fire, and ambulance codes for the South Arkansas area. Any help would be appreciated, TIA.
--
Tiny
==========
The biggest troublemaker you'll probably ever have to deal with watches you shave his face in the mirror every morning.
==========

106. New Groups US Military Comms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-07 04:03:59 UTC
From: "nyscan@gmail.com" <nyscan@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1105070639.102827.302470@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15666

For those interested in military scanning we have stsrted two new groups.

A good place to discuss military comms as well as posting your daily logs.

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/westcoast_milcom/
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/eastcoast_milcom/

107. Re: discone or scantenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-07 05:58:08 UTC
From: Dan Morisseau <dpm3@morisseau.org>
Message-ID: <2ypDd.378$tS3.93@fe04.lga>
Source record: 15667

T-bone wrote:

> I just don't think that discones should be dismissed as pure junk.
> Within their limits, I've found them to be fine general all around signal
> recievers, which is what their purpose is.

Agreed! You are absolutely right. George has been shilling Scantennas
for Antenna Warehouse for several years now. He belittles whatever else
anyone my recommend and refuses to acknowledge that another product may
suffice for another's purposes. His shamelessly partisan promotion gets
old after awhile.

--
Milepost 11.7 - UPRR Jeff City Sub - N 38ï¿½34'53", W 90ï¿½22'32", 680'
"We sleep safe in our beds because rough men stand ready in the night to
visit violence on those who would do us harm"

108. PreAmp Noise Figure of the GRE preamps.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-07 12:22:46 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4funREMOVEBEFOREFLIGHT.com>
Message-ID: <qavDd.25$C52.8@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 15668

Does anyone know what the noise figure of the GRE Super Amps are?

George - Daytona Beach, FL
http://www.MilAirComms.com

109. Re: discone or scantenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-07 13:38:31 UTC
From: Dale Parfitt <par@parelectronics.com>
Message-ID: <rhwDd.29479$2X6.1694@trnddc07>
Source record: 15669

"Jeff" <oldog@(nospam)mchsi.com> wrote in message
news:kEmDd.28049$3m6.2486@attbi_s51...
>
> "Never anonymous Bud" <newskat@katxyzkave.net> wrote in message
> news:1qqrt0tbl8384nb2jhonrvt5i6up1n646a@4ax.com...
> > Trying to steal the thunder from Arnold, "Jeff"
<oldog@(nospam)mchsi.com> on Fri, 07 Jan 2005
> 01:27:21 GMT spoke:
> >
> > >Second of all there is no HUGE,, HUGE difference in db's
> > > of attenuation between RG 6 and 9913.
> >
> > Horsefeathers.
> >
> > >The "average" db of attenuation for 9913 per 100' from 1mhz to 1ghz is
2.17
> > >db. The "average" atenuation for RG 6 per 100' from 1mhz. to 1ghz is
3.2 db.
> >
> > MUCH more horsefeathers.
> >
> ----------

>
> My I am ever so impressed with someone that has nothing
intelligent
> to say and says it in such a quaint way. No I dont speak horsefeathers and
yes
> the truth is out there. Try
www.radio-ware.com/products/techinfo/coaxloss.htm
> and do the math yourself, providing you know how to figure averages. The
> difference IS insignificant, for runs under 100',, if you choose to not
believe
> it, thats your problem.
>
> Jeff
>
> Averages are perhaps meaningful if the process is linear- however,
attenuation vs freq is not linear and that is why one does not speak of loss
averages- you won't find it in the literature.
2nd- 1mhz is millihertz= 0.001 Hz. You likely mean MHz.

Dale W4OP

110. Re: discone or scantenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-07 15:33:37 UTC
From: Colic <no_spam@no.spam.com>
Message-ID: <lZxDd.79015$k25.9878@attbi_s53>
Source record: 15670

"Dan Morisseau" <dpm3@morisseau.org> wrote in message
news:2ypDd.378$tS3.93@fe04.lga...
> T-bone wrote:
>
>> I just don't think that discones should be dismissed as pure junk.
>> Within their limits, I've found them to be fine general all around signal
>> recievers, which is what their purpose is.
>
> Agreed! You are absolutely right. George has been shilling Scantennas for
> Antenna Warehouse for several years now. He belittles whatever else anyone
> my recommend and refuses to acknowledge that another product may suffice
> for another's purposes. His shamelessly partisan promotion gets old after
> awhile.

A discone is going to exhibit no gain, in fact probably less than unity gain, when compared to an isotropic, but you can essentially call a discone a 0 dB gain antenna across its entire bandwidth of operation. Using an oversimplification, the lower frequency of the discone will be largely determined by cone and disc element lengths (about 0.25 and 0.17 respectively), the upper frequency by the gap between the two. Although a discone will probably only exhibit an ideal response across about a 3 to 1 range (well short of this gap limitation). A dipole will exhibit a slight gain over the same source (isotropic), about 2.7 dB in the real world, across its bandwidth. However, the dipole will be much more narrow banded. The dipole has a single resonant frequency, determined mostly by physical size. It is easy to use transmit bandwidth to define 'peak' operation. Transmit bandwidth is defined as the band between the two frequencies at which the SWR on the feedline has risen to stated values, it being assumed the SWR at the band center has previously been adjusted by some means to be 1:1. However, receive bandwidth can also be defined. Receiving bandwidth is defined as the band between the two frequencies at which receiver input power has fallen to 1/2 the level at the band center. It is described as the 3dB bandwidth. For a Zo-matched receiver, 3dB bandwidth is 2*Fc/Q where Fc is the center frequency and Q is the intrinsic Q of the antenna. Remember this Q and look at where it is in the formula. For receive antenna purposes a lower Q will mean a broader bandwidth.

The Scantenna is a modified multiple dipole antenna, on the quoted website it calls it a '15 element clustered dipole design'. The elements that splay out from the main element are there to broaden the bandwidth. The short elements on the mounting boom are to cover the higher frequencies. Without having tried the antenna myself, but having more than a little bit of professional experience with RF, I can make a pretty good stab at what I would expect the antenna to do in use. The longest length is about 101 inches. This is going to put the lowest usable frequency around 50 MHz.

Guestimating the length of the other elements from this 101 number it looks like they have selected lengths that fall near certain bands, probably the 'major' scanner bands. So that the antenna will probably function quite well in those frequency areas. If you stay in those bands you will probably get better performance with the scantenna than you will with the discone. However, outside those narrow bands the discone will probably perform better.

One thing to note. At the higher frequencies the Scantenna seems to use short boom mounted dipoles. These WILL display a directionality based on the relationship of the received signal directions to the main set of elements. In other words, it will look 'down' the boom better than to the side. At those higher bands the Scantenna will probably display a better performance ONLY when the transmitting station is along this direction. Other than that the discone will probably appear to perform better on average.

So, depending on your primary scan activities, the discone will probably be the less limited choice. But, if the Scantenna fits your specific application it may exhibit a slight performance edge, within its band limitations.

All just a guess on my part, but defendable. For most things I would opt for a well designed and built discone myself.

C

111. Busy day in the Florida skies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-07 18:15:27 UTC
From: allanstern@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050107131527.07275.00002385@mb-m10.aol.com>
Source record: 15671

Friday 05-01-07

STARGATE (JSTARS E-8C mission callsign) has been working with Seymour Johnson AFB LANCERs (F-15Es) at Avon Park Bombing Range and with Northrop Grumman Ground Stations STAR 01 and STAR 02 in Melbourne. STARGATE has also been in comms with OakySam at Tyndall.

At 11:36am, OakySam advised STARGATE that they will be going to the tanker and will remain with the tanker for several hours. May have access to the Timber then.

Among the active freqs this morning:
225.725 STARGATE with STAR 01, STAR 02.
292.200 Avon Park
300.525 LANCERs
300.925 LANCERs
324.650 STARGATE Green comms
364.200 STARGATE with OakySam
376.125 Secure comms.
395.150 STARGATE with LANCERS; also Green comms. Also interdeck comms with simulated acft HOSS 21 (YL) and SNAKE.

Also AWACS acft Chalice Foxtrot is wkg MAKOs on 282.6. He is wkg SHARKs on 288.4. They are down in the Tarpon Range near Key West.

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL (28-11N 80-36W) monitoring
Patrick AFB (KCOF) NASA-KSC Shuttle Landing Fac (KX68)
Avon Park Bombing Range (KAGR) Cape Canaveral AFS (KXMR)
JSTARS E-8 Acft Integration Facility, Melbourne IAP (KMLB)
Worldwide Military HF Communications
Life Member: Missile, Space and Range Pioneers.
http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/index.html (My Freqs)
http://hometown.aol.com/scanaddict/index.html (My Equipment)

112. Re: discone or scantenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-07 19:00:04 UTC
From: Jeff <oldog@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <U_ADd.623051$wV.143702@attbi_s54>
Source record: 15672

"Dale Parfitt" <par@parelectronics.com> wrote in message
news:rhwDd.29479$2X6.1694@trnddc07...

> > My I am ever so impressed with someone that has nothing
> intelligent
> > to say and says it in such a quaint way. No I dont speak horsefeathers and
> yes
> > the truth is out there. Try
> www.radio-ware.com/products/techinfo/coaxloss.htm
> > and do the math yourself, providing you know how to figure averages. The
> > difference IS insignificant, for runs under 100',, if you choose to not
> believe
> > it, thats your problem.
> >
> > Jeff
> >
> > Averages are perhaps meaningful if the process is linear- however,
> attenuation vs freq is not linear and that is why one does not speak of loss
> averages- you won't find it in the literature.
> 2nd- 1mhz is millihertz= 0.001 Hz. You likely mean MHz.
>
> Dale W4OP

Hi Dale,, at least its nice to read someones post that makes sense. And
yes I realize that "averages" do not directly apply to rf attenuation per coax.
My point was, no one parks there scanner on .9-1 Ghz. and leaves it there. Most
people usually listen to where 90% of the action is and that is usually between
100-500Mhz. Given worst case scenario at 1Ghz the difference between
9913 and RG 6 is -1.6db/100'. I believe the original poster said his run was
less than 50',, which would put cable loss at around -.8db between the 2.
Given the capture affect of FM transmissions a -.8db isnt going to mean the
difference of hearing or not hearing a signal. And yes I meant Mhz not mhz,
a typo. My whole point was there is "very" little if any noticeable difference
between using RG 6 and 9913 for general scanning purposes, and its a whole
lot easier to work with.

Jeff

113. Questions on scanner antenna and making any money!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-07 20:53:54 UTC
From: stryped@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1105131234.896984.94390@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15673

I like tinkering in my garage. I have a plan for a small "ground radial" scanner antenna plan from an old Radio Shack book. I have thought about changin the plan using aluminum elements.

Any thought of if I could make some "pocket money" selling them on ebay or something? I am not looking to get rich just get some pocket money enjoying what I do. We have just had our second child and money is hard to come by!

114. Re: Questions on scanner antenna and making any money!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-07 22:09:06 UTC
From: Nick <nickleeson@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1105135746.098215.55680@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15674

> Any thought of if I could make some "pocket money" selling them on
ebay
> or something? I am not looking to get rich just get some pocket money
> enjoying what I do. We have just had our second child and money is
hard
> to come by!

Sure you can, step one would be to have a small webpage, there are
several like geocities,tripod.com etc which offer free webpage
templates and hosting. There you could put up either the designs or
some photographs of the end-product.You can then research on how to
become an ebay store and then put a few up for grabs.
Even the big antenna makers like antennawarehouse.com work like
this...they do have a direct webpage from where you can buy stuff
directly or you can buy via their store on ebay...

-Nick
P.S.:and if you can take custom orders,that should enhance your sales
too.

115. Re: Questions on scanner antenna and making any money!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-07 22:35:31 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <rcidnafjP98tk0LcRVn-hg@comcast.com>
Source record: 15675

Go for it! But rather than try to compete with the big guys, on mass produced, inexpensive antennas, like Radio Shack sells, build a more unique, quality product!

For example, the Grove Scanner Beam, is an excellent design, moderately priced, but not very sturdy, in severe weather extremes.
http://www.grove-ent.com/BEAMII.html

On the other hand, the Create Log Periodic's, are also an excellent design, very study, even in the worst of storms, but way over priced!
http://www.grove-ent.com/ANT16.html
http://www.grove-ent.com/ANT17.html

Build something similar, but in-between the two, quality, and price wise, and you will have yourself a winner! I would also offer the option of stainless steel construction, as well as aluminum, for those who desire it.

As Nick suggested, offer custom designs, especially ones tuned to a specific band, or requirement, such as Military Air, GMRS, MURS, Marine, WiFi,FM Stereo, HD TV, etc.

Use Google, search the web for "antenna design", and you will find a wealth of information, as well as some free software, to help you!

Good luck!

Bill Crocker

<stryped@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1105131234.896984.94390@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>I like tinkering in my garage. I have a plan for a small "ground
> radial" scanner antenna plan from an old Radio Shack book. I have
> thought about changin the plan using aluminum elements.
>
> Any thought of if I could make some "pocket money" selling them on ebay
> or something? I am not looking to get rich just get some pocket money
> enjoying what I do. We have just had our second child and money is hard
> to come by!
>

116. Re: discone or scantenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-07 22:54:19 UTC
From: Frank346 <frank346@groznyk.com>
Message-ID: <vqEDd.39390$Yh2.17885208@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 15676

"Colic" <no_spam@no.spam.com> wrote in message
news:lZxDd.79015$k25.9878@attbi_s53...
> All just a guess on my part, but defendable. For most things I would opt
> for a well designed and built discone myself.

If your receiver had a front end with good dynamic range the discone might be ok. Most scanners do not have particularly good dynamic range. The discone will be fairly efficient in the FM broadcast band and also on some TV channels. That may overload the scanner and result in poor performance all around. It depends on how close the broadcast transmitters are to your receiving antenna.

A five foot height difference can be significant if it allows the antenna to clear nearby obstructions.

For most purposes a good grade of RG/6 like Belden 9116 performs well enough that changing to 9113 will not result in a significant improvement. Quad shield RG/6 has the same loss as ordinary RG/6 according to the Belden catalog.

117. Has anyone heard the funny police conversation .wav?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-08 02:58:34 UTC
From: poboxzdc@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <41DF4C5A.AE9D2EB4@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 15677
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.family

If anyone wants to hear a funny recording of a police radio conversation, somewhere in North Carolina, reply to this email ..... to my personal email address and I'll send you a copy.

The .WAV file is 471 k. The play time is 35 seconds.

To reach my email address properly, replace the "z" with "-" .

KM

118. R.S. Scantenna or R.S. 20-014

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-08 03:51:12 UTC
From: Rob Mills <robmills@cox.net>
Message-ID: <OMIDd.45931$F25.20926@okepread07>
Source record: 15678

Has anyone had experience with both of these antennas.The 20-014 is the big ground plane that was discontinued 5-6 years ago, it has several verticals (three I think) and I think the radials are 14 or 15 ft wide, looks more like a CB ground plane than a scanner antenna.
I'm trying to decide which one I want to go up with. If memory serves me right MT gave the 20-014 a great write up. Rob Mills

119. Digital scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-08 05:14:48 UTC
From: basil_x_fawlty@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1105161288.546372.276560@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15679

I'm looking to purchase a "digital" scanner (one that can receive and track APCO-25 systems. From my research, it looks like the only handheld scanners which can track all APCO-25 systems (analog, mixed, and full digital 9600 baud) are the Radio Shack PRO-96 and the Uniden BC296D (and the upcoming Uniden BCD396T).

Is all this accurate? None of the other scanner companies (Alinco, Yaesu, etc.) make a digital scanner?

My current scanner is the Alinco DJ-X10T, so I'm looking to move up to something than can track both analog and digital trunked systems. Thanks!

120. Funny Police Radio Conversation (wav)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-08 06:34:39 UTC
From: poboxzdc@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <41DF7EFF.44BF8B20@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 15680
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.family

If anyone wants to hear a funny recording of a police radio conversation, somewhere in North Carolina, give a listen:

http://www.nc911.com/Sounds/jack_knifed_tractor_trailer.htm

The .WAV file is 471 k. The play time is 35 seconds.

121. Re: discone or scantenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-08 11:50:18 UTC
From: glc1173@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050108065018.15969.00002638@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 15681
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Colic wrote:
>For most things I would opt
>for a well designed and built discone >myself.

Something to consider. Anyone with basic hand tools can build their own discone that will work just fine - and build it inexpensively, easily, and quickly.
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Dissident news - plus immigration, gun rights, weather, Internet Gun Show
ALAMANCE INDEPENDENT:
official newspaper of the Vast Right Wing Conspiracy
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

122. Re: Funny Police Radio Conversation (wav)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-08 12:51:08 UTC
From: Jim Douglas <james.douglas@genesis-software.com>
Message-ID: <-Mydnc5QbK__S0LcRVn-gA@comcast.com>
Source record: 15682
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.family

I liked the part "Do you need another hand!"

<poboxzdc@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:41DF7EFF.44BF8B20@ix.netcom.com...
> If anyone wants to hear a funny recording of a police radio
> conversation, somewhere in North Carolina, give a listen:
>
>
> http://www.nc911.com/Sounds/jack_knifed_tractor_trailer.htm
>
> The .WAV file is 471 k. The play time is 35 seconds.

123. Re: Questions on scanner antenna and making any money!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-08 16:31:06 UTC
From: stryped@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1105201865.987554.296790@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15683

Bill Crocker wrote:
> Go for it! But rather than try to compete with the big guys, on mass

> produced, inexpensive antennas, like Radio Shack sells, build a more
unique,
> quality product!
>
> For example, the Grove Scanner Beam, is an excellent design,
moderately
> priced, but not very sturdy, in severe weather extremes.
> http://www.grove-ent.com/BEAMII.html
>
> On the other hand, the Create Log Periodic's, are also an excellent
design,
> very study, even in the worst of storms, but way over priced!
> http://www.grove-ent.com/ANT16.html
> http://www.grove-ent.com/ANT17.html
>
> Build something similar, but in-between the two, quality, and price
wise,
> and you will have yourself a winner! I would also offer the option
of
> stainless steel construction, as well as aluminum, for those who
desire it.
>
> As Nick suggested, offer custom designs, especially ones tuned to a
specific
> band, or requirement, such as Military Air, GMRS, MURS, Marine,
WiFi,FM
> Stereo, HD TV, etc.
>
> Use Google, search the web for "antenna design", and you will find a
wealth
> of information, as well as some free software, to help you!
>
> Good luck!
>
> Bill Crocker

Thanks for you postive words. I am by no means an expert, but have been
studying alot about them.

As far as single band goes, what band do you guys use the most? What
would you like to have, something portable? something with very high
gain? I can build high gain yagi's too but they are only good generally

in one direction unless you have a rotor.

I really appreciate your guy's advice. Several other groups including some of those in the ham group told me it would not work. I started getting interested in all this by wanting to build my own ham antenna.
>
>
>
>
>
> <stryped@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1105131234.896984.94390@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >I like tinkering in my garage. I have a plan for a small "ground
> > radial" scanner antenna plan from an old Radio Shack book. I have
> > thought about changin the plan using aluminum elements.
> >
> > Any thought of if I could make some "pocket money" selling them on ebay
> > or something? I am not looking to get rich just get some pocket money
> > enjoying what I do. We have just had our second child and money is hard
> > to come by!
> >

124. Re: Digital scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-08 16:50:02 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <S_-dnYTCE4yqkn3cRVn-3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 15684

That's about it, unless you go the Motorola Astro/Saber route.

Bill Crocker

<basil_x_fawlty@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1105161288.546372.276560@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> I'm looking to purchase a "digital" scanner (one that can receive and
> track APCO-25 systems. From my research, it looks like the only
> handheld scanners which can track all APCO-25 systems (analog, mixed,
> and full digital 9600 baud) are the Radio Shack PRO-96 and the Uniden
> BC296D (and the upcoming Uniden BCD396T).
>
> Is all this accurate? None of the other scanner companies (Alinco,
> Yaesu, etc.) make a digital scanner?
>
> My current scanner is the Alinco DJ-X10T, so I'm looking to move up to
> something than can track both analog and digital trunked systems.
> Thanks!
>

125. Re: Questions on scanner antenna and making any money!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-08 17:08:39 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <8fGdnSQRu9lJjn3cRVn-2A@comcast.com>
Source record: 15685

I would start with a base antenna, for aircraft. 108~138MHz. Most anything available is very expensive, commercial grade, products. They treat the aircraft band like it's a black art, or something...and in fact, it's very simple.

I'd make it out of stainless steel, heavy enough to stand the worst of storms. Start with an omni-directional, because you never know where the airplanes are. Then possibly offer a directional high-gain yagi (beam) design, for those wishing to pull in distant airports. If they're targeting only one specific airport, they wouldn't even need a rotor.

It's unfortunate the amateur radio people are discouraging you. That's what the hobby used to be all about...home brew. Not just antennas, but radios too!

Bill Crocker

<stryped@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1105201865.987554.296790@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Thanks for you postive words. I am by no means an expert, but have been
> studying alot about them.
>
> As far as single band goes, what band do you guys use the most? What
> would you like to have, something portable? something with very high
> gain? I can build high gain yagi's too but they are only good generally
> in one direction unless you have a rotor.
>
> I really appreciate your guy's advice. Several other groups including
> some of those in the ham group told me it would not work. I started
> getting interested in all this by wanting to build my own ham antenna.

126. Re: Funny Police Radio Conversation (wav)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-08 17:54:32 UTC
From: SkyPilot <skypilotnospam@writeme.com>
Message-ID: <lh70u0dc8dv3hs5aoc390apqe2bsvfevni@4ax.com>
Source record: 15686
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.family

poboxzdc@ix.netcom.com pounded on the keyboard and wrote:

>If anyone wants to hear a funny recording of a police radio
>conversation, somewhere in North Carolina, give a listen:
>
>
>http://www.nc911.com/Sounds/jack_knifed_tractor_trailer.htm
>
>The .WAV file is 471 k. The play time is 35 seconds.

That is funny!!!!!!!

--

Brian J. Rueger | Hampton Div. of Fire & Rescue | "Who dares wins"
Lt./Paramedic | Fire Communications Officer | Hampton, VA.
B.S. Comm/I/SEL Pilot | MSgt, USAF (Ret.) 49199 | NREMT-P
Check out my home page: http://members.cox.net/brueger
Some of my photography: http://www.usefilm.com/photographer/34185.html
"Life's too short to drink LITE beer!"
---

127. Re: Funny Police Radio Conversation (wav)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-08 18:12:05 UTC
From: Jeff <eatmy@grits.com>
Message-ID: <WnVDd.23740$CH5.22972@fed1read01>
Source record: 15687
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.family

hahahahahahaha! excellent!

"Jim Douglas" <james.douglas@genesis-software.com> wrote in message
news:-Mydnc5QbK__S0LcRVn-gA@comcast.com...
> I liked the part "Do you need another hand!"
>
>
> <poboxzdc@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> news:41DF7EFF.44BF8B20@ix.netcom.com...
> > If anyone wants to hear a funny recording of a police radio
> > conversation, somewhere in North Carolina, give a listen:
> >
> >
> > http://www.nc911.com/Sounds/jack_knifed_tractor_trailer.htm
> >
> > The .WAV file is 471 k. The play time is 35 seconds.
>
>

128. Re: Funny Police Radio Conversation (wav)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-08 18:57:54 UTC
From: Ken <KTM@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <S2WDd.3027$T57.620@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 15688
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.family

He should have responded, " negative....a towel would be nice".

"Jim Douglas" <james.douglas@genesis-software.com> wrote in message
news:-Mydnc5QbK__S0LcRVn-gA@comcast.com...
> I liked the part "Do you need another hand!"
>
>
> <poboxzdc@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> news:41DF7EFF.44BF8B20@ix.netcom.com...
> > If anyone wants to hear a funny recording of a police radio
> > conversation, somewhere in North Carolina, give a listen:
> >
> >
> > http://www.nc911.com/Sounds/jack_knifed_tractor_trailer.htm
> >
> > The .WAV file is 471 k. The play time is 35 seconds.
>
>

129. Re: Questions on scanner antenna and making any money!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-08 19:46:16 UTC
From: stryped@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1105213576.858347.105710@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15689

Bill Crocker wrote:
> I would start with a base antenna, for aircraft. 108~138MHz. Most
anything
> available is very expensive, commercial grade, products. They treat
the
> aircraft band like it's a black art, or something...and in fact, it's
very
> simple.
>
> I'd make it out of stainless steel, heavy enough to stand the worst
of
> storms. Start with an omni-directional, because you never know where
the
> airplanes are. Then possibly offer a directional high-gain yagi
(beam)
> design, for those wishing to pull in distant airports. If they're
targeting
> only one specific airport, they wouldn't even need a rotor.
>
> It's unfortunate the amateur radio people are discouraging you.
That's what
> the hobby used to be all about...home brew. Not just antennas, but
radios
> too!
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
> <stryped@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1105201865.987554.296790@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Thanks for you postive words. I am by no means an expert, but have
been
> > studying alot about them.
> >
> > As far as single band goes, what band do you guys use the most?
What
> > would you like to have, something portable? something with very
high
> > gain? I can build high gain yagi's too but they are only good
generally
> > in one direction unless you have a rotor.
> >
> > I really appreciate your guy's advice. Several other groups

including
> > some of those in the ham group told me it would not work. I started
> > getting interested in all this by wanting to build my own ham
antenna.

So maybe build a ground plane cut for the center of the aircraft band?
I could build an antenna strong enough to stop a tank if I wanted to.
The problem become weight and shipping the thing.

Do you know of some examples of antennas out there? Would someone want
one tuned to the tower frequency only?

130. Re: Funny Police Radio Conversation (wav)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-08 20:19:14 UTC
From: Tom H <th54@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <6fXDd.18621$6l.13115@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 15690
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.family

I wish I understood what everyone thinks is so funny --- all my setup gives me is a bunch of unintelligible garbledness(I know, there's something wrong with it).

<poboxzdc@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message news:41DF7EFF.44BF8B20@ix.netcom.com...
> If anyone wants to hear a funny recording of a police radio
> conversation, somewhere in North Carolina, give a listen:
>
>
> http://www.nc911.com/Sounds/jack_knifed_tractor_trailer.htm
>
> The .WAV file is 471 k. The play time is 35 seconds.

131. Re: Questions on scanner antenna and making any money!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-08 23:12:53 UTC
From: big-boy-now <shogun1965@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <VNZDd.77866$Z7.57443@fe2.news.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 15691

what about copy right law,s

<stryped@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1105201865.987554.296790@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Bill Crocker wrote:
>> Go for it! But rather than try to compete with the big guys, on mass
>
>> produced, inexpensive antennas, like Radio Shack sells, build a more
> unique,
>> quality product!
>>
>> For example, the Grove Scanner Beam, is an excellent design,
> moderately
>> priced, but not very sturdy, in severe weather extremes.
>> http://www.grove-ent.com/BEAMII.html
>>
>> On the other hand, the Create Log Periodic's, are also an excellent
> design,
>> very study, even in the worst of storms, but way over priced!
>> http://www.grove-ent.com/ANT16.html
>> http://www.grove-ent.com/ANT17.html
>>
>> Build something similar, but in-between the two, quality, and price
> wise,
>> and you will have yourself a winner! I would also offer the option
> of
>> stainless steel construction, as well as aluminum, for those who
> desire it.
>>
>> As Nick suggested, offer custom designs, especially ones tuned to a
> specific
>> band, or requirement, such as Military Air, GMRS, MURS, Marine,
> WiFi,FM
>> Stereo, HD TV, etc.
>>
>> Use Google, search the web for "antenna design", and you will find a
> wealth
>> of information, as well as some free software, to help you!
>>
>> Good luck!
>>
>> Bill Crocker
>

> Thanks for you postive words. I am by no means an expert, but have been
> studying alot about them.
>
> As far as single band goes, what band do you guys use the most? What
> would you like to have, something portable? something with very high
> gain? I can build high gain yagi's too but they are only good generally
> in one direction unless you have a rotor.
>
> I really appreciate your guy's advice. Several other groups including
> some of those in the ham group told me it would not work. I started
> getting interested in all this by wanting to build my own ham antenna.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> <stryped@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1105131234.896984.94390@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> >I like tinkering in my garage. I have a plan for a small "ground
>> > radial" scanner antenna plan from an old Radio Shack book. I have
>> > thought about changin the plan using aluminum elements.
>> >
>> > Any thought of if I could make some "pocket money" selling them on
> ebay
>> > or something? I am not looking to get rich just get some pocket
> money
>> > enjoying what I do. We have just had our second child and money is
> hard
>> > to come by!
>> >
>

132. Re: Funny Police Radio Conversation (wav)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-09 00:26:48 UTC
From: Tom H <th54@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <cT_Dd.22037$8l.17818@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 15692
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.family

Yeah, that's not what those other guys think ...

"Never anonymous Bud" <newskat@katxyzkave.net> wrote in message
news:7hn0u0diomqn0aolupskmr6cakujtp57dl@4ax.com...
> Trying to steal the thunder from Arnold, "Tom H" <th54@hotmail.com> on
> Sat, 08 Jan 2005 20:19:14 GMT spoke:
>
>>I wish I understood what everyone thinks is so funny
>
> I think you need to be a Bevis or a Butthead to think THAT is funny.
>
>
>
>
>
> --
>
> The truth is out there,
>
> but it's not interesting enough for most people.

133. Re: Funny Police Radio Conversation (wav)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-09 00:26:58 UTC
From: RadioMan2 <Hahahaha@hahahaoojfn.com>
Message-ID: <mT_Dd.83263$P%3.2659251@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 15693
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Never knew you could Un Jack-off a truck before?

Never anonymous Bud wrote:
> Trying to steal the thunder from Arnold, "Tom H" <th54@hotmail.com> on Sat, 08 Jan 2005 20:19:14 GMT spoke:
>
>
>>I wish I understood what everyone thinks is so funny
>
>
> I think you need to be a Bevis or a Butthead to think THAT is funny.
>
>
>
>
>

134. Re: Funny Police Radio Conversation (wav)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-09 00:58:19 UTC
From: Tom H <th54@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Lk%Dd.22220$Xk.3556@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 15694
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Un-Jack-off a truck? I didn't even know there was such a thing as
"Un-Jacking", never mind knowing if it (whatver IT is) could be done to a
truck or not.
I don't know what the hell's going on with this newsgroup, but boy, I'll
tell ya --- I'm starting to wonder.

"RadioMan2" <Hahahaha@hahahaoojfn.com> wrote in message
news:mT_Dd.83263$P%3.2659251@news20.bellglobal.com...
> Never knew you could Un Jack-off a truck before?
>
>
> Never anonymous Bud wrote:
>> Trying to steal the thunder from Arnold, "Tom H" <th54@hotmail.com> on
>> Sat, 08 Jan 2005 20:19:14 GMT spoke:
>>>I wish I understood what everyone thinks is so funny
>>
>>
>> I think you need to be a Bevis or a Butthead to think THAT is funny.
>>
>>
>>
>>

135. Looking for Taxi Frequency in Lynnwood, WA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-09 01:15:07 UTC
From: "A. Kay" <aj.kayNOSPAM@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <vA%Dd.1417$F97.141@trnddc06>
Source record: 15695
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have been trying to scan for the frequency for a moderately sized local taxi service called North End Taxi in Lynnwood, Washington. This company also has an office in Shoreline, Washington. I thought the easy way would be go to the FCC website and look up all the commercial radio licenses in Washington state. I looked at all the companies that remotely resembled a taxi or car service and came up with nothing in the whole state at this location.
I found Yellow Cab's frequency (461.525) by scanning, but have come up with nothing for North End Taxi.
Has anyone found this frequency?

136. Re: Funny Police Radio Conversation (wav)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-09 02:10:29 UTC
From: RadioMan2 <Hahahaha@hahahaoojfn.com>
Message-ID: <oo0Ed.37171$7n1.1492308@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 15697
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Listen to the clip closer. You'll hear them mention about unjacking the truck.

Tom H wrote:
> Un-Jack-off a truck? I didn't even know there was such a thing as
> "Un-Jacking", never mind knowing if it (whatver IT is) could be done to a
> truck or not.
> I don't know what the hell's going on with this newsgroup, but boy, I'll
> tell ya --- I'm starting to wonder.
>
> "RadioMan2" <Hahahaha@hahahaoojfn.com> wrote in message
> news:mT_Dd.83263$P%3.2659251@news20.bellglobal.com...
>
>>Never knew you could Un Jack-off a truck before?

137. Re: Looking for Taxi Frequency in Lynnwood, WA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-09 02:14:14 UTC
From: Fuzzy <pawpaw7@NOSPAMhotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1105236861.486902@news2.seidata.com>
Source record: 15696
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

A. Kay wrote:
> I have been trying to scan for the frequency for a moderately sized local
> taxi service called North End Taxi in Lynnwood, Washington. This company
> also has an office in Shoreline, Washington. I thought the easy way would
> be go to the FCC website and look up all the commercial radio licenses in
> Washington state. I looked at all the companies that remotely resembled a
> taxi or car service and came up with nothing in the whole state at this
> location.
> I found Yellow Cab's frequency (461.525) by scanning, but have come up with
> nothing for North End Taxi.
> Has anyone found this frequency?
>
>
>
The only frequencies for North End Taxi that I could find are for
Seatle. These frequencies are:
152.3750 as base and 157.6350 as mobile. Hope this helps.

138. FS: Icom IC-R8500 Wide-Band Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-09 03:04:38 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <2eidnbZhAJzeAn3cRVn-3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 15698

For Sale:

Icom IC-R8500 Wide-Band receiver. Mint condition, with original box,
manual, schematic, AC power supply, and 12VDC cable. Continuous coverage!

HRO's price, after coupon; $1554.00

Buy mine, only $1200.00, shipped, within the U.S.

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

139. Re: R.S. Scantenna or R.S. 20-014

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-09 03:15:13 UTC
From: Rob Mills <robmills@cox.net>
Message-ID: <0l1Ed.47225$F25.21363@okepread07>
Source record: 15699

"Dirk Gently" <drk_gently@yahoo.com> wrote in message

>> I've got the "Scantenna" version in the air and it seems to work well enough<<

Common since tells me that's what I should do considering that wind and ice could destroy the 20-014 here in NE Okla. about as fast as I could get it up. The MT review *as I recall* didn't compare the 014 to the scantenna but declared it a clear winner over the discone. Wish I had the room to put both up. RM~

140. R S PRO 2026

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-09 03:47:48 UTC
From: Rhodes Hall <r.s.hall@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <EP1Ed.86206$uM5.14860@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 15600

I have a radio shack pro 2026 that I have used for several years. It seems to not be able to pick up frequencies as it did when it was new. Should I erase all programmed frequencies and reprogram or is it just getting old or should I replace it.

141. My Firestik doesn't work

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-09 04:53:31 UTC
From: User <user@host.net>
Message-ID: <crqdcd$o9n$1@reader1.panix.com>
Source record: 15601

I have two antennas on my car. A Firestik 3' (the "scanner" version) on a 5" mag dead center on my roof, and a 14" steel whip about 12" forward of the Firestik. The Firestik has nice thick RG58 and a solid base. The 14" I got on Ebay for $8 shipped and has coax so thin I can wrap it around a pencil (unshielded).

I listen to about 60 stations within a hundred mile radius, depending on local conditions. Of these 60, my 14" bring in most of them clearly enough to hear. My Firestik brings in 9. NINE. And all of those stations are within 7 miles of me.

My Firestik is picking up 15% of the stations my cheap Ebay antenna picks up. Any comments or suggestions? I am about to buy a second cheapie and scrap the Firestik outright.

Thanks.

142. Re: discone or scantenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-09 05:18:13 UTC
From: Dale Parfitt <par@parelectronics.com>
Message-ID: <p83Ed.3405$ig7.1946@trnddc04>
Source record: 15602

> > >
> > > Jeff
> > >
> > > Averages are perhaps meaningful if the process is linear- however,
> > attenuation vs freq is not linear and that is why one does not speak of
loss
> > averages- you won't find it in the literature.
> > 2nd- 1mhz is millihertz= 0.001 Hz. You likely mean MHz.
> >
> > Dale W4OP
> ----------
>
> Hi Dale,, at least its nice to read someones post that makes
sense. And
> yes I realize that "averages" do not directly apply to rf attenuation per
coax.
> My point was, no one parks there scanner on .9-1 Ghz. and leaves it there.
Most
> people usually listen to where 90% of the action is and that is usually
between
> 100-500Mhz. Given worst case scenario at 1Ghz the difference between
> 9913 and RG 6 is -1.6db/100'. I believe the original poster said his run
was
> less than 50',, which would put cable loss at around -.8db between the 2.
> Given the capture affect of FM transmissions a -.8db isnt going to mean
the
> difference of hearing or not hearing a signal. And yes I meant Mhz not
mhz,
> a typo. My whole point was there is "very" little if any noticeable
difference
> between using RG 6 and 9913 for general scanning purposes, and its a whole
> lot easier to work with.
>
>
> Jeff
>
I agree Jeff,

And the difference between 75 Ohm and 50 Ohm is inconsequential given that
the scanner front end certainly does not look like 50 Ohms all across its
ranges.
There are some very good F-56 connectors out there with integral O rings
guaranteeing a nice watertight seal if also used with coax-seal or the like.

73,

Dale W4OP
>

143. Re: Funny Police Radio Conversation (wav)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-09 05:35:13 UTC
From: Tom H <th54@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <lo3Ed.25702$8l.4158@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 15603
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

My sw must be mis-configured or something because I can't make anything out at all (see my earlier posts), in any case, I still don't get it --- why does everyone consider this (somewhat questionable IMO) "unjacking" activity, whatever the hell it is, to be so outrageously funny???

"RadioMan2" <Hahahaha@hahahaoojfn.com> wrote in message news:oo0Ed.37171$7n1.1492308@news20.bellglobal.com...
> Listen to the clip closer. You'll hear them mention about unjacking the
> truck.
>
> Tom H wrote:
>> Un-Jack-off a truck? I didn't even know there was such a thing as
>> "Un-Jacking", never mind knowing if it (whatver IT is) could be done to a
>> truck or not.
>> I don't know what the hell's going on with this newsgroup, but boy, I'll
>> tell ya --- I'm starting to wonder.
>>
>> "RadioMan2" <Hahahaha@hahahaoojfn.com> wrote in message
>> news:mT_Dd.83263$P%3.2659251@news20.bellglobal.com...
>>
>>>Never knew you could Un Jack-off a truck before?

144. Cellphone RF hazards on Coast to Coast AM 01/13/05

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-09 06:27:49 UTC
From: Open lines <late4tea@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <69e44$41e0cf2b$d1cc5b90$10705@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 15604
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Thursday Evening 01-13 / Friday AM 01-14

http://www.coasttocoastam.com/shows/2005/01/13.html

George Noorey will have special guest Wm. Thomas
on the topic of RF Health Hazards of Cell Phones
and related RF Consumer Electronics devices

http://www.willthomas.net/Investigations/Articles/cellphones.htm

http://www.willthomas.net/Cell_Phones/index.htm

This should be a VERY interesting program
for both Hams and SWL's. Be sure to catch
it iffen your a semi-retired night owl like us!

145. Re: Funny Police Radio Conversation (wav)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-09 12:47:14 UTC
From: Lady Chatterly <skypilotnospam@catcher.in.the.rye>
Message-ID: <7080a6e.2ed65a22@comcast.com>
Source record: 15605
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.family,alt.usenet.kooks

In article <lh70u0dc8dv3hs5aoc390apqe2bsvfevni@4ax.com>
SkyPilot <skypilotnospam@writeme.com> wrote:
>
>That is funny!!!!!!!

Well, lets say Joy and happiness.

--
Lady Chatterly

"Tommy, you're chatting with a 'bot." -- trout

146. Re: My Firestik doesn't work

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-09 14:27:02 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <dradnZF0MryuonzcRVn-vw@comcast.com>
Source record: 15606

What type of cable is on the Firestick? If it's RG58, then there is way too much signal loss, especially above 50MHz.

Bill Crocker

"User" <user@host.net> wrote in message news:crqdcd$o9n$1@reader1.panix.com...
>I have two antennas on my car. A Firestik 3' (the "scanner" version) on a
>5" mag dead center on my roof, and a 14" steel whip about 12" forward of
>the Firestik. The Firestik has nice thick RG58 and a solid base. The 14"
>I got on Ebay for $8 shipped and has coax so thin I can wrap it around a
>pencil (unshielded).
>
> I listen to about 60 stations within a hundred mile radius, depending on
> local conditions. Of these 60, my 14" bring in most of them clearly
> enough to hear. My Firestik brings in 9. NINE. And all of those
> stations are within 7 miles of me.
>
> My Firestik is picking up 15% of the stations my cheap Ebay antenna picks
> up. Any comments or suggestions? I am about to buy a second cheapie and
> scrap the Firestik outright.
>
> Thanks.
>
>

147. Re: R S PRO 2026

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-09 15:02:18 UTC
From: Daryl <dwb@triad.rr.com>
Message-ID: <_HbEd.6987$fE4.725992@twister.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 15607

Rhodes Hall wrote:
> I have a radio shack pro 2026 that I have used for several years. It
> seems to not be able to pick up frequencies as it did when it was new.
> Should I erase all programmed frequencies and reprogram or is it just
> getting old or should I replace it.
>
>
It could be that the agencies you listened to moved to 800 MHz or went
digital. This depends on where you live. Just a thought. All the Public
Safety Stuff moved to 800 MHz and the Police went digital several years ago.

148. Re: Questions on scanner antenna and making any money!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-09 15:46:26 UTC
From: stryped@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1105285586.070139.120020@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15608

I dont mean copying them, I mean seeing how well or how flimsy they are constructed, like thin or thick metal, etc.

149. Re: Funny Police Radio Conversation (wav)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-09 15:55:19 UTC
From: Jeff <eatmy@grits.com>
Message-ID: <ItcEd.24089$CH5.17412@fed1read01>
Source record: 15609
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.family

Ya, and he should have asked for someone to grease the connecting rod! HAHAHAHAHA!!

"Ken" <KTM@frontiernet.net> wrote in message news:S2WDd.3027$T57.620@news01.roc.ny...
> He should have responded, " negative....a towel would be nice".
>
> "Jim Douglas" <james.douglas@genesis-software.com> wrote in message
> news:-Mydnc5QbK__S0LcRVn-gA@comcast.com...
> > I liked the part "Do you need another hand!"

150. discone vs ground plane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-09 16:06:46 UTC
From: stryped@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1105286806.004654.206710@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15610

I keep reading discones cover a very wide frequency. Are these truly the best scanner antenna to have? Or would a ground plane tuned to the freqency you are listening to be better?

151. Re: Shinwa SR001 Scanner for trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-09 16:10:40 UTC
From: B L R <fake_address@noemail.com>
Message-ID: <4IcEd.226743$6w6.59100@tornado.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 15611

I'll offer for trade a Radio Shack Pro-64.
This radio is like new condition.
It will include the serial computer programming cable
and software to upload frequencies into radio
memories. Digital pictures sent upon request.

Bruce
N9WTG

"Copake" <richard@taconic.net> wrote in message
news:1105236117.016719.19060@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Hi,
> I have a Shinwa SR001 scanner with remote, power supply
> the manual and box, no mounting bracket. It's in great
> shape and I've added self stick rubber feet as it was only used
> in the house. I would like to trade for Panasonic RF-4900,
> or similar units, 10 meter ssb base staions or what ever
> you have to offer. Lets talk!!
> Thanks,
> Rich
>

152. Reporter Has Scanner in Kitchen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-09 16:41:28 UTC
From: zerg90@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19195-41E15EB8-100@storefull-3134.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 15612

http://www.theledger.com/apps/pbcs.dll/article?AID=/20050109/NEWS/501090317/1021

small town in Polk County Florida

153. Re: discone vs ground plane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-09 17:25:18 UTC
From: Tad Danley <nospam@atcomcast.net>
Message-ID: <IcmdnVQbTtJm9XzcRVn-vw@comcast.com>
Source record: 15613

stryped@hotmail.com wrote:
> I keep reading discones cover a very wide frequency. Are these truly
> the best scanner antenna to have? Or would a ground plane tuned to the
> freqency you are listening to be better?

It depends - a ground plane may work better than a discone for the frequency range for which the ground plane is tuned, however it would probably be hard to notice the difference. A discone will definitely work better than a ground plane for frequency ranges far from the intended range of the ground plane. If you are looking for one antenna to monitor several different bands, a discone is a nice way to go.

--
* Do NOT use Reply *

Reply to K3TD via arrl dot net

Tad, K3TD

154. Re: discone vs ground plane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-09 17:46:49 UTC
From: Colic <why.fret@the.small.stuff>
Message-ID: <d6eEd.82103$k25.42951@attbi_s53>
Source record: 15614

<stryped@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1105286806.004654.206710@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>I keep reading discones cover a very wide frequency. Are these truly
> the best scanner antenna to have? Or would a ground plane tuned to the
> freqency you are listening to be better?
>

If you have one specific frequency, or a narrow frequency band of less than about 10%, then a properly designed and built ground plane antenna will have significantly more gain. It would be easy to get 5 to 8 more dB of signal from the ground plane over the discone. It is possible to get 10 to 12 dB or more from the ground plane (over the discone only, I am not saying 10-12 dB gain over an isotropic), but this starts to narrow up the bandwidth below the above mentioned 10%.

What is 5 to 12 dB more signal? Every 3 dB is double the signal strength. A gain of 12 dB would be a signal about 17 times the signal of the discone.

What does 10% of bandwidth mean? If you design a ground plane for a center frequency of about 150 MHz it will work across about a 10% bandwidth (this is a rule of thumb, but not hard and fast). 10% means the antenna will have a 15 MHz band width of optimum performance. Or about 142 to 157 MHz (rounded off). The antenna will work outside this range, naturally, but the gain will fall off rapidly.

For broad band applications it is very hard to beat the discone antenna. This is why the discone is so popular with the military and countermeasures community. The discone is not best at any one thing, but it takes the place of a multitude of other antennas and does it reasonably well.

C

155. Re: Funny Police Radio Conversation (wav)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-09 18:18:51 UTC
From: Ken <KTM@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <fAeEd.3147$m01.991@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 15615
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.family

You mean the thingie that goes in the slot hole?........They call them King Pins.......They get pretty messy........haha

"Jeff" <eatmy@grits.com> wrote in message
news:ItcEd.24089$CH5.17412@fed1read01...
> Ya, and he should have asked for someone to grease the connecting rod!
HAHAHAHAHA!!
>
> "Ken" <KTM@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:S2WDd.3027$T57.620@news01.roc.ny...
> > He should have responded, " negative....a towel would be nice".
> >
> > "Jim Douglas" <james.douglas@genesis-software.com> wrote in message
> > news:-Mydnc5QbK__S0LcRVn-gA@comcast.com...
> > > I liked the part "Do you need another hand!"
>
>
>

156. Re: Looking for Taxi Frequency in Lynnwood, WA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-09 18:56:41 UTC
From: Evan Platt <evan@TheObvious.espphotography.com>
Message-ID: <phv2u05qbsn17np1jgsl6eh36g5h730k2c@4ax.com>
Source record: 15616

On Sun, 09 Jan 2005 01:15:07 GMT, "A. Kay" <aj.kayNOSPAM@verizon.net>
wrote:

>I have been trying to scan for the frequency for a moderately sized local
>taxi service called North End Taxi in Lynnwood, Washington. This company
>also has an office in Shoreline, Washington. I thought the easy way would
>be go to the FCC website and look up all the commercial radio licenses in
>Washington state. I looked at all the companies that remotely resembled a
>taxi or car service and came up with nothing in the whole state at this
>location.
>I found Yellow Cab's frequency (461.525) by scanning, but have come up with
>nothing for North End Taxi.
>Has anyone found this frequency?

Can't help you, but keep in mind, they could be 800 trunked, UHF
trunked, or even licensed to another company, i.e. ABC Two-Way Radio
ship which licenses them or sells them repeater time.

Take a frequency counter and go near their office / dispatch. :)
--
To reply, remove TheObvious from my e-mail address.

157. Re: Funny Police Radio Conversation (wav)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-09 19:04:54 UTC
From: Evan Platt <evan@TheObvious.espphotography.com>
Message-ID: <fsv2u01n9gsv85uiui8647aad2e2c1kofq@4ax.com>
Source record: 15617

On Sun, 09 Jan 2005 05:35:13 GMT, "Tom H" <th54@hotmail.com> wrote:

>My sw must be mis-configured or something because I can't make anything out
>at all (see my earlier posts),

Might help to tell us your system configuration - computer, operating
system, etc.

>in any case, I still don't get it --- why
>does everyone consider this (somewhat questionable IMO) "unjacking"
>activity, whatever the hell it is, to be so outrageously funny???

Police officer to dispatch:
"If you all aren't busy, I'm gonna need some 84 (assistance?) I've got
a jack-knifed trailer, and we're gonna have to block off some lanes to
get it un-jacked off... uhh .. un... jackknifed"
<laughter>
"Do you need another hand?"

--
To reply, remove TheObvious from my e-mail address.

158. Re: Looking for Taxi Frequency in Lynnwood, WA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-09 19:06:36 UTC
From: "A. Kay" <aj.kayNOSPAM@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <0hfEd.8466$IG.1775@trnddc03>
Source record: 15618
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Thanks Fuzzy,
Where did you find this information?

"Fuzzy" <pawpaw7@NOSPAMhotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1105236861.486902@news2.seidata.com...
> A. Kay wrote:
> > I have been trying to scan for the frequency for a moderately sized
local
> > taxi service called North End Taxi in Lynnwood, Washington. This
company
> > also has an office in Shoreline, Washington. I thought the easy way
would
> > be go to the FCC website and look up all the commercial radio licenses
in
> > Washington state. I looked at all the companies that remotely resembled
a
> > taxi or car service and came up with nothing in the whole state at this
> > location.
> > I found Yellow Cab's frequency (461.525) by scanning, but have come up
with
> > nothing for North End Taxi.
> > Has anyone found this frequency?
> >
> >
> >
> The only frequencies for North End Taxi that I could find are for
> Seatle. These frequencies are:
> 152.3750 as base and 157.6350 as mobile. Hope this helps.

159. Re: Lansing,MI FD dumps 800mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-09 21:12:16 UTC
From: ray13 <ray_psi@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105305136.044660.197860@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15619

I found this out during a ARES, RACES meeting, at the Wayne county
emergency management offices. Right after which scads of 800MHz
equipment showed up on the surplus market and the ham swaps.

Digital might not be all that's it's cracked up to be, least not since
sliced bread.

ray wrote:
> I found out last month that the FD dumped their 800Mhz radio here in
> Lansing Michigan. In favor of the older 460Mhz system. I guess
digital
> isn't cracked up to whet it is hyped to. They scrapped the old 800Mhz
> equipment.

160. Re: My Firestik doesn't work

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-09 22:41:29 UTC
From: User <user@host.net>
Message-ID: <crsbur$g1h$1@reader1.panix.com>
Source record: 15620

> What type of cable is on the Firestick? If it's RG58, then there is way
> too much signal loss, especially above 50MHz.

RG58. The whole setup (antenna, base, cable) was advertised as "covering
25-1300mhz with extra power in the busy 140-170mhz band"

161. Re: My Firestik doesn't work

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-09 22:44:11 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <yt6dnQJucvUlLnzcRVn-pw@comcast.com>
Source record: 15621

That's your problem!

Bill Crocker

"User" <user@host.net> wrote in message
news:crsbur$g1h$1@reader1.panix.com...
>> What type of cable is on the Firestick? If it's RG58, then there is way
>> too much signal loss, especially above 50MHz.
>
> RG58. The whole setup (antenna, base, cable) was advertised as "covering
> 25-1300mhz with extra power in the busy 140-170mhz band"
>
>
>

162. Re: My Firestik doesn't work

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-09 22:50:16 UTC
From: User <user@host.net>
Message-ID: <crscf9$g9a$1@reader1.panix.com>
Source record: 15622

> That's your problem!

Jacket says "Fire Flex RG58 A/U"

Great. Dang thing was sold as a scanner antenna. What kind of cable do I need?

163. Re: Funny Police Radio Conversation (wav)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-09 23:22:22 UTC
From: Elephant <elephant@the-zoo.com>
Message-ID: <41E1BCAD.434D@the-zoo.com>
Source record: 15623
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Tom H wrote:
>
> My sw must be mis-configured or something because I can't make anything out
> at all (see my earlier posts), in any case, I still don't get it --- why
> does everyone consider this (somewhat questionable IMO) "unjacking"
> activity, whatever the hell it is, to be so outrageously funny???

Listen again. After he said the part "jack-off" , he realized what
that sounded like, started stuttering and tried to correct it.

164. Re: Ahhh, OK --- the lightbulb of understanding comes on ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-09 23:41:00 UTC
From: Tom H <th54@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <gijEd.36907$Xk.30809@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 15624
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Ahhh, OK --- the lightbulb of understanding comes on ...
It's interesting though, the cops response to his own unintended
innuendo --- you would think that he too would have found it laughable, not
something to get all nervous and stammer about. Maybe it was a Freudian
slip, indicating that he's involved in some kind of bizarre sexual
role-playing where he dresses up like a truck.

"Elephant" <elephant@the-zoo.com> wrote in message
news:41E1BCAD.434D@the-zoo.com...
> Tom H wrote:
>>
>> My sw must be mis-configured or something because I can't make anything
>> out
>> at all (see my earlier posts), in any case, I still don't get it --- why
>> does everyone consider this (somewhat questionable IMO) "unjacking"
>> activity, whatever the hell it is, to be so outrageously funny???
>
> Listen again. After he said the part "jack-off" , he realized what
> that sounded like, started stuttering and tried to correct it.

165. Re: discone vs ground plane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-09 23:42:37 UTC
From: Mike <km6ab@REMOVETHIS.pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <NjjEd.9788$5R.9482@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 15625

A ground plane has 5 to 8dB more gain than a Discone? Where do these numbers come from? If you look at the gains and plots from any commercial/military Discone you will see it is about on par with a 1/4 wave groundplane across most of the Discone bandwidth. I doni¿½t mean inflated scanner Discone specs, look at commercial companies that reference to isotropic or 1/2 wave dipole for measurements. At the upper end of the Disconeï¿½s range the pattern can get a bit squirrelly, but then a ground plane with only 3 or 4 radials does not put all of itï¿½s energy at the horizon either, the mail lobe is at least several deg higher than the horizon. A ï¿½scannerï¿½ type Discone will perform within 1 or 2dB of a 1/4 wave groundplane on the VHF and UHF bands, which is the lower end of its useable range. What does this really mean? You will probably not notice any difference between a good quality Discone and a ground plane cut to frequency on the VHF or UHF bands. 800 MHz would benefit from a gain type antenna if your in a fringe area.
Mike

Colic wrote:
> <stryped@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1105286806.004654.206710@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
>>I keep reading discones cover a very wide frequency. Are these truly
>>the best scanner antenna to have? Or would a ground plane tuned to the
>>freqency you are listening to be better?
>>
>
>
> If you have one specific frequency, or a narrow frequency band of less than
> about 10%, then a properly designed and built ground plane antenna will have
> significantly more gain. It would be easy to get 5 to 8 more dB of signal
> from the ground plane over the discone. It is possible to get 10 to 12 dB
> or more from the ground plane (over the discone only, I am not saying 10-12
> dB gain over an isotropic), but this starts to narrow up the bandwidth below
> the above mentioned 10%.
>
> What is 5 to 12 dB more signal? Every 3 dB is double the signal strength.
> A gain of 12 dB would be a signal about 17 times the signal of the discone.
>
> What does 10% of bandwidth mean? If you design a ground plane for a center
> frequency of about 150 MHz it will work across about a 10% bandwidth (this
> is a rule of thumb, but not hard and fast). 10% means the antenna will have
> a 15 MHz band width of optimum performance. Or about 142 to 157 MHz
> (rounded off). The antenna will work outside this range, naturally, but the
> gain will fall off rapidly.

>
> For broad band applications it is very hard to beat the discone antenna.
> This is why the discone is so popular with the military and countermeasures
> community. The discone is not best at any one thing, but it takes the place
> of a multitude of other antennas and does it reasonably well.
>
> C
>
>

166. Re: Looking for Taxi Frequency in Lynnwood, WA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-10 00:18:05 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <1RjEd.10964$c13.3695@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 15626
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

```
go to radioshack, get police call book or CD
it will list local frequencies
```

167. Re: My Firestik doesn't work

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-10 00:41:34 UTC
From: Brian <victhedog@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <2pj3u0d3fus1eaklalleufub2vbfoj3l79@4ax.com>
Source record: 15627

On Sun, 9 Jan 2005 15:50:16 -0700, "User" <user@host.net> wrote:

>> That's your problem!
>
>Jacket says "Fire Flex RG58 A/U"
>
>Great. Dang thing was sold as a scanner antenna. What kind of cable do I
>need?
>
THE RG 58 IS FINE BELOW 500 MHZ

168. Re: discone vs ground plane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-10 00:43:48 UTC
From: Brian <victhedog@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <hrj3u01m39ak0r3s0ne6resafgedtuhgbf@4ax.com>
Source record: 15628

On Sun, 09 Jan 2005 23:42:37 GMT, Mike <km6ab@REMOVETHIS.pacbell.net>
wrote:

GET A DRESSLER ACTIVE ANTENNA
IT WILL OUT PREFORM BOTH OF THE OTHER ANTENNAS 25- 1200MHZ

>A ground plane has 5 to 8dB more gain than a Discone? Where do these
>numbers come from? If you look at the gains and plots from any
>commercial/military Discone you will see it is about on par with a 1/4
>wave groundplane across most of the Discone bandwidth. I donï¿½t mean
>inflated scanner Discone specs, look at commercial companies that
>reference to isotropic or 1/2 wave dipole for measurements. At the upper
>end of the Disconeï¿½s range the pattern can get a bit squirrelly, but
>then a ground plane with only 3 or 4 radials does not put all of itï¿½s
>energy at the horizon either, the mail lobe is at least several deg
>higher than the horizon. A ï¿½scannerï¿½ type Discone will perform within 1
>or 2dB of a 1/4 wave groundplane on the VHF and UHF bands, which is the
>lower end of its useable range. What does this really mean? You will
>probably not notice any difference between a good quality Discone and a
>ground plane cut to frequency on the VHF or UHF bands. 800 MHz would
>benefit from a gain type antenna if your in a fringe area.
>Mike
>
>
>Colic wrote:
>> <stryped@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1105286806.004654.206710@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>>I keep reading discones cover a very wide frequency. Are these truly
>>>the best scanner antenna to have? Or would a ground plane tuned to the
>>>freqency you are listening to be better?
>>>
>>
>>
>> If you have one specific frequency, or a narrow frequency band of less than
>> about 10%, then a properly designed and built ground plane antenna will have
>> significantly more gain. It would be easy to get 5 to 8 more dB of signal
>> from the ground plane over the discone. It is possible to get 10 to 12 dB
>> or more from the ground plane (over the discone only, I am not saying 10-12
>> dB gain over an isotropic), but this starts to narrow up the bandwidth below
>> the above mentioned 10%.
>>
>> What is 5 to 12 dB more signal? Every 3 dB is double the signal strength.
>> A gain of 12 dB would be a signal about 17 times the signal of the discone.
>>

>> What does 10% of bandwidth mean? If you design a ground plane for a center
>> frequency of about 150 MHz it will work across about a 10% bandwidth (this
>> is a rule of thumb, but not hard and fast). 10% means the antenna will have
>> a 15 MHz band width of optimum performance. Or about 142 to 157 MHz
>> (rounded off). The antenna will work outside this range, naturally, but the
>> gain will fall off rapidly.
>>
>> For broad band applications it is very hard to beat the discone antenna.
>> This is why the discone is so popular with the military and countermeasures
>> community. The discone is not best at any one thing, but it takes the place
>> of a multitude of other antennas and does it reasonably well.
>>
>> C
>>
>>

169. Re: My Firestik doesn't work

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-10 00:46:14 UTC
From: User <user@host.net>
Message-ID: <crsj8ninq1@reader1.panix.com>
Source record: 15629

> THE RG 58 IS FINE BELOW 500 MHZ

So its the antenna then? Because reception in the bands I listen to
(130-175mhz) is no better than a paperclip indoors....

170. Re: My Firestik doesn't work

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-10 01:12:24 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery28146@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <YDkEd.2509$KJ2.1690@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 15630

"User" <user@host.net> wrote in message
news:crsj8ninq1@reader1.panix.com...
> > THE RG 58 IS FINE BELOW 500 MHZ
>
>
> So its the antenna then? Because reception in the bands I listen to
> (130-175mhz) is no better than a paperclip indoors....
>
As a ham operator I can tell you that up to 450 Mhz and under 20 feet of coax you will not notice any big differance in the coax. I have been operating the 144 and 440 Mhz bands for over 25 years and that is all I used tuil I installed a new antenna a while back. Almost all the antennas use the rg-58 mobile. I did switch to the rg-8x and put a piece about a foot long of some rg-174 (the very small stuff) to get out of the trunk to the antenna. That still does not make any noticable differance.

171. Re: My Firestik doesn't work

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-10 01:19:21 UTC
From: User <user@host.net>
Message-ID: <crsl6rjck1@reader1.panix.com>
Source record: 15631

> As a ham operator I can tell you that up to 450 Mhz and under 20 feet of
> coax you will not notice any big differance in the coax. I have been

Thanks Ralph. So the fix is, then, to sell me new $50 antenna and buy
another $8 from Ebay...

172. Re: discone vs ground plane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-10 01:23:00 UTC
From: Colic <why.fret@the.small.stuff>
Message-ID: <UNkEd.83304$k25.9761@attbi_s53>
Source record: 15632

"Mike" <km6ab@REMOVETHIS.pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:NjjEd.9788$5R.9482@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
>A ground plane has 5 to 8dB more gain than a Discone? Where do these
>numbers come from? If you look at the gains and plots from any
>commercial/military Discone you will see it is about on par with a 1/4 wave
>groundplane across most of the Discone bandwidth. I don't mean inflated
>scanner Discone specs, look at commercial companies that reference to
>isotropic or 1/2 wave dipole for measurements. At the upper end of the
>Discone's range the pattern can get a bit squirrelly, but then a ground
>plane with only 3 or 4 radials does not put all of it's energy at the
>horizon either, the mail lobe is at least several deg higher than the
>horizon. A "scanner" type Discone will perform within 1 or 2dB of a 1/4
>wave groundplane on the VHF and UHF bands, which is the lower end of its
>useable range. What does this really mean? You will probably not notice any
>difference between a good quality Discone and a ground plane cut to
>frequency on the VHF or UHF bands. 800 MHz would benefit from a gain type
>antenna if your in a fringe area.
> Mike

Most discones do not exhibit gains as high as a 1/4 wive ground plane across a wide bandwith. They (the discone) may approach these numbers at their best points. However the real issue here is that all ground plane antennas are not 1/4 wave. When I quoted the 5 to 8 dB better gain possible from a ground plane I was talking about ground planes with more gain than a 1/4 wave. A 5/8 wave would have several dB more gain. Compare a 5/8 wave ground plane to the 'average' scanner store discone (and they are in the same price bracket) and it is easy to get around 5 dB. Or, a colinear could get up into some real gain compared to the discone. So, while I agree with you that a well made discone may be fairly close to a 1/4 wave ground plane, it is far easier to make a high gain ground plane than it is to find a well made discone. Most three wire coned scanner discones would be lucky to get close to an isotropic, let alone the almost 3 dB more you would get from a half wave dipole.

The last time I looked at a well made military discone (say an AT-197/GR) the price was several times the price of a killer colinear vertical. Of course, if you can get one surplus it can be cheap, I have three 197's myself.

The contention of my post was that dollar for dollar, if you are interested in one specific frequency or narrow band, you can get more gain with a different antenna rather than the discone. However, a well built discone will generally be my preferred choice for anything like a scanner or multibanded receiver if it is physically possible. A discone on 160 M may be possible, but I can think of a couple other choices I would try first.

C

173. Re: My Firestik doesn't work

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-10 01:26:16 UTC
From: mikeFNB <moc.dlrowltn@FNB7G-ekim>
Message-ID: <YQkEd.268$pj7.4@newsfe1-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 15633

the firestik is a helic wound effort.
it should show a short circuit across the connector pins

mike

"User" <user@host.net> wrote in message
news:crsj8ninq1@reader1.panix.com...
> > THE RG 58 IS FINE BELOW 500 MHZ
>
>
> So its the antenna then? Because reception in the bands I listen to
> (130-175mhz) is no better than a paperclip indoors....
>
>

174. Re: Biloxi, Ms. P.D. freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-10 01:35:50 UTC
From: nexus <nexus@datasync.com>
Message-ID: <a6813a58bd360a8e9bc04443a992cb8f@localhost.talkaboutelectronicequipment.com>
Source record: 15634

The frequencies that Biloxi PD and every other agency in Harrison County are located on my page here:

http://www.datasync.com/nexus/hamradio/freqs.htm

HOWEVER, all law enforcement agencies in harrison county are using PROVOICE, which is the digital solution for EDACS, and no scanner made today can receive provoice. So you're not going to hear them until they come out with a provoice capable scanner. Also AMR just went to it 2 weeks ago.

175. Re: Looking for Taxi Frequency in Lynnwood, WA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-10 01:37:12 UTC
From: Fuzzy <pawpaw7@NOSPAMhotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1105321040.540462@news2.seidata.com>
Source record: 15635
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

A. Kay wrote:
> Thanks Fuzzy,
> Where did you find this information?
>
> "Fuzzy" <pawpaw7@NOSPAMhotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1105236861.486902@news2.seidata.com...
>
>>A. Kay wrote:
>>
>>>I have been trying to scan for the frequency for a moderately sized
>
> local
>
>>>taxi service called North End Taxi in Lynnwood, Washington. This
>
> company
>
>>>also has an office in Shoreline, Washington. I thought the easy way
>
> would
>
>>>be go to the FCC website and look up all the commercial radio licenses
>
> in
>
>>>Washington state. I looked at all the companies that remotely resembled
>
> a
>
>>>taxi or car service and came up with nothing in the whole state at this
>>>location.
>>>I found Yellow Cab's frequency (461.525) by scanning, but have come up
>
> with
>
>>>nothing for North End Taxi.
>>>Has anyone found this frequency?
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>The only frequencies for North End Taxi that I could find are for
>>Seatle. These frequencies are:

>>152.3750 as base and 157.6350 as mobile. Hope this helps.
>
>
>
Glad that you found the information usefull. Actually I got the
information out of a directory that I purchased at Radio Shack titled
Beyond Police Call. It is a a directory of what frequencies that are in
use by whom and by city and state. Example: North End Taxi was listed
under Washington State in Seatle, so I just passed the only frequencies
that I was able to find for North End Taxi.

176. Re: discone vs ground plane (long post)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-10 02:09:47 UTC
From: Mike <km6ab@REMOVETHIS.pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <LtlEd.262$8Z1.35@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 15636

The Dressler VHF/UHF active antennas are far from impressive, especially for the price. I borrowed two of the active VHF/UHF Dresslers from the local rep (forget the model but went to 2GHz and was not the high IP3 version) and tested them on a antenna range against a Radio Shack Discone. The source antenna was a commercial 50MHz to 1GHz log periodic. I donï¿½t have the results handy but above the mid VHF range the Dressler did a little better than the Discone but not by much, the best performance was about 5 or 6dB at 1ghz if I remember correctly, which was my upper test limit at the time. Oddly, the Discone worked about the same on VHF high band and much better in the VHF air band. What was more surprising was the Discone was better from 50MHz to 100MHz than the Dressler even though the Discone was below itï¿½s natural cut off freq of around 100MHz. I thought something was wrong with the Dressler but both units gave the same results and the Dressler gain control was all the way up in both cases. The rep confirmed they were both working properly when returned also. Without opening the Dressler, we could only conclude the ï¿½antennaï¿½ element inside was a poor design and the internal pre-amp can only do so much with what its fed. Much better performance could be had starting with a Discone and feeding it with a Dressler or similar pre-amp, which the local rep uses himself. If you hear of a good report from a Dressler owner, itï¿½s probably because they have to justify the high price they paid for the thing.
The reason I went to all the trouble of setting this test up was to find a replacement for an active antenna I had that died after a lightning strike. It had a very complicated trapped ï¿½fat dipoleï¿½ that presented its internal pre amp with a good match from 50MHz to around 2GHz and outperformed anything I have ever use for monitoring purposes. It performed as good as many of the large high gain commercial antennas I have and also had enough gain to feed several receivers. Never encountered any intermod problems in the RF dense LA CA area either, it was the best of everything. Unfortunately, it was a prototype and I cannot locate the designer who mad a small test production run of these things.
Mike

Brian wrote:

> GET A DRESSLER ACTIVE ANTENNA
> IT WILL OUT PREFORM BOTH OF THE OTHER ANTENNAS 25- 1200MHZ
>

177. RE: outlook on capability to scan GE/Edacs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-10 02:24:24 UTC
From: Tom H <th54@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <sHIEd.39154$6I.26960@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 15637

What's the skinny on the near-term outlook on capability to scan GE/Edacs? Anybody hear anything about any breakthroughs, or new EDACS-capable rigs on the market?

178. Radio Shack Pro 51 11A3 for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-10 03:30:03 UTC
From: scannermancan@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7312-41E1F6BB-93@storefull-3178.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 15638

Radio shack pro 51 with a date code of 11A3, can do the key pad trick to add freqs in cell range. worksperfectly, 210.00 post paid scannermancan@webtv.net

179. Re: Radio Shack Pro 51 11A3 for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-10 03:53:38 UTC
From: User <user@host.net>
Message-ID: <crsu86$mk7$1@reader1.panix.com>
Source record: 15639

> add freqs in cell range. worksperfectly, 210.00 post paid

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=40979&item=5742139502&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

180. Re: Looking for Taxi Frequency in Lynnwood, WA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-10 07:32:07 UTC
From: "A. Kay" <aj.kayNOSPAM@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <XbqEd.2847$F97.2436@trnddc06>
Source record: 15640
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Here's another question. I have not been able to verify that this frequency is specifically for North End Taxi, but it does sound like a taxi service. I can only hear the base station on 152.375 but not the mobiles (on 157.635). I would not expect to hear every mobile, but I would have expected to hear some. Would the mobiles have a radio that would transmit differently from the frequency assigned by the FCC for the mobile units?

"A. Kay" <aj.kayNOSPAM@verizon.net> wrote in message news:vA%Dd.1417$F97.141@trnddc06...
> I have been trying to scan for the frequency for a moderately sized local
> taxi service called North End Taxi in Lynnwood, Washington. This company
> also has an office in Shoreline, Washington. I thought the easy way would
> be go to the FCC website and look up all the commercial radio licenses in
> Washington state. I looked at all the companies that remotely resembled a
> taxi or car service and came up with nothing in the whole state at this
> location.
> I found Yellow Cab's frequency (461.525) by scanning, but have come up with
> nothing for North End Taxi.
> Has anyone found this frequency?
>
>
>

181. Re: Will a 5/8 groundplane for 2 meters work on 440

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-10 14:51:32 UTC
From: Jim <junk@junk.com>
Message-ID: <UDwEd.79822$mA3.63111@fe2.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 15643

any antenna will work on any frequency, it's a matter of "how well". It
will have many deep lobes, and dead spots.

<stryped@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1105368774.883897.11310@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Just curious.
>

182. What is your most popular band you listen to on your scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-10 14:52:11 UTC
From: stryped@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1105368731.401351.7180@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15641

I am just curious. Thinking of building some antennas for specific bands and was wondering wahat was most popular.

183. Will a 5/8 groundplane for 2 meters work on 440

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-10 14:52:54 UTC
From: stryped@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1105368774.883897.11310@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15642

Just curious.

184. Re: What is your most popular band you listen to on your scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-10 15:32:18 UTC
From: Dan Morisseau <dpm3@morisseau.org>
Message-ID: <zexEd.8$rp5.3@fe07.lga>
Source record: 15644

stryped@hotmail.com wrote:
> I am just curious. Thinking of building some antennas for specific
> bands and was wondering wahat was most popular.
>

1) Railroad

2) VHF-Hi Public Safety

3) Airline En route (128 - 132)

--
Milepost 11.7 - UPRR Jeff City Sub - N 38ï¿½34'53", W 90ï¿½22'32", 680'
"We sleep safe in our beds because rough men stand ready in the night to
visit violence on those who would do us harm"

185. Re: What is your most popular band you listen to on your scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-10 16:15:31 UTC
From: Paul Keenleyside <bcpleights@teluz.net>
Message-ID: <DSxEd.61795$nN6.31363@edtnps84>
Source record: 15645

<stryped@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1105368731.401351.7180@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>I am just curious. Thinking of building some antennas for specific
> bands and was wondering wahat was most popular.

1) Fire Service and RCMP (Police)
2) Railway
3) Ferries (Marine)
4) Aviation (Airport).
5) Skytrain (transit)

186. Re: discone vs ground plane (long post)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-10 16:21:33 UTC
From: Mike <km6ab@REMOVETHIS.pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <hYxEd.10002$5R.6237@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 15646

Hi Stephan,
The antenna did not have a brand name and I have not seen one advertised anywhere. I cannot locate the designer or the company that manufactured one of his other designs. Very frustrating for me.....I tried replacing the two amplifier chips in the antenna but got lousy performance with lots of overload, I cannot identify the original parts. I now use several military and commercial Discones and large commercial VHF/UHF dipole arrays for monitoring and can't recommend a specific antenna for you without hearing about your needs, freq range, distance to cover, proximity to large transmitters, etc. I am not very informed about other active VHF/UHF antennas except the Dressler but there are several others. If you need to monitor a broad range of frequencies you could start with a Discone tailored for your needed freq range and place a good pre amp right at the antenna to get the best noise figure and make up for cable losses. The Dressler pre amp is supposed to be a very wide band high level unit that should not be as susceptible to overload as other brands I have seen.
Mike

Stephan Walther Larsen wrote:
> On Mon, 10 Jan 2005 02:09:47 GMT, Mike <km6ab@REMOVETHIS.pacbell.net>
> wrote:
>
>
>>The reason I went to all the trouble of setting this test up was to find
>>a replacement for an active antenna I had that died after a lightning
>>strike. It had a very complicated trapped ï¿½fat dipoleï¿½ that presented
>>its internal pre amp with a good match from 50MHz to around 2GHz and
>>outperformed anything I have ever use for monitoring purposes. It
>>performed as good as many of the large high gain commercial antennas
>
>
>
> Mike
>
> Id like to know what that antenna was that died on you..im looking for
> the best (money is not particular a limit) broadbanded antenna for
> my AOR5000+3.
>
> I had a dressler 2000 but it died on me and didnt perform all that it
> was hyped to..not a big loss to me. However id appreciate an advice
> on a good commcial brand antenna for my Aor.
>
> Stephan in Denmark

187. Re: discone vs ground plane (long post)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-10 16:22:05 UTC
From: Mike <km6ab@REMOVETHIS.pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <NYxEd.10003$5R.6430@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 15647

Hi Stephan,
The antenna did not have a brand name and I have not seen one advertised anywhere. I cannot locate the designer or the company that manufactured one of his other designs. Very frustrating for me.....I tried replacing the two amplifier chips in the antenna but got lousy performance with lots of overload, I cannot identify the original parts. I now use several military and commercial Discones and large commercial VHF/UHF dipole arrays for monitoring and can't recomend a specific antenna for you without hearing about your needs, freq range, distance to cover, proximity to large transmitters, etc. I am not very informed about other active VHF/UHF antennas except the Dressler but there are others. If you need to monitor a broad range of frequencies you could start with a Discone tailored for your needed freq range and place a good pre amp right at the antenna to get the best noise figure and make up for cable losses. The Dressler pre amp is supposed to be a very wide band high level unit that should not be as susceptable to overload as other brands I have seen.
Mike

Stephan Walther Larsen wrote:
> On Mon, 10 Jan 2005 02:09:47 GMT, Mike <km6ab@REMOVETHIS.pacbell.net>
> wrote:
>
>
>>The reason I went to all the trouble of setting this test up was to find
>>a replacement for an active antenna I had that died after a lightning
>>strike. It had a very complicated trapped ï¿½fat dipoleï¿½ that presented
>>its internal pre amp with a good match from 50MHz to around 2GHz and
>>outperformed anything I have ever use for monitoring purposes. It
>>performed as good as many of the large high gain commercial antennas
>
>
>
> Mike
>
> Id like to know what that antenna was that died on you..im looking for
> the best (money is not particular a limit) broadbanded antenna for
> my AOR5000+3.
>
> I had a dressler 2000 but it died on me and didnt perform all that it
> was hyped to..not a big loss to me. However id appreciate an advice
> on a good commcial brand antenna for my Aor.
>
> Stephan in Denmark

188. Re: Will a 5/8 groundplane for 2 meters work on 440

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-10 16:49:37 UTC
From: stryped@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1105375777.546273.288850@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15648

Does anyone know if a plan exists for a two band ground plane antenna?

189. Re: What is your most popular band you listen to on your scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-10 16:51:37 UTC
From: stryped@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1105375897.804455.206040@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15649

How far away from the airport are you? What frequcny at the airport do you listen?

190. Re: Will a 5/8 groundplane for 2 meters work on 440

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-10 17:05:11 UTC
From: Jim <junk@junk.com>
Message-ID: <bByEd.80307$mA3.79104@fe2.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 15551

If you buy a cheap 2 meter 440 magmount antenna from MFJ it would be an easy matter to convert it into a groundplane.

<stryped@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:1105375777.546273.288850@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Does anyone know if a plan exists for a two band ground plane antenna?
>

191. 1/4 or 5/8

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-10 17:05:33 UTC
From: stryped@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1105376733.663474.279930@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15550

Is ther much difference in the performance of a 1/4 wave ground plane
vs a 5/8? For any freqnecy but especially vhf?

192. Re: Looking for Taxi Frequency in Lynnwood, WA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-10 17:35:30 UTC
From: Lord Floyd <nospam@biteme.invalid>
Message-ID: <Xns95DA6194179C7SMEGHEAD@199.45.49.11>
Source record: 15552
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"A. Kay" <aj.kayNOSPAM@verizon.net> wrote in news:0hfEd.8466$lG.1775
@trnddc03:

> Where did you find this information?
>

Try the database at http://www.northwestradio.com/interceptnw/
(caters to the Puget Sound region)

The Lynnwood info is listed there....

--
You are a fluke of the Universe
You have no right to be here
And whether you can hear it or not,
the Universe is laughing behind your back

193. Re: What is your most popular band you listen to on your scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-10 18:32:43 UTC
From: markinillinois@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15733-41E2CA4B-120@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 15555

1. Aircraft, 118-136 MHz
2. Aircraft, 225-400 MHz

Mark Holmes
Marion, IL

194. Re: can't use FRS in the malls. even though they were advertised to

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-10 18:41:43 UTC
From: Dave Bushong <db@9y.com>
Message-ID: <3v-dnXk7Kan1UX_cRVn-3A@adelphia.com>
Source record: 15553
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement

nana wrote:
>>Lets not forget, an amateur license carries a higher degree of respect
>>than does a non licensed device.
>
>
>
> With whom? Most people still have no accurate idea what a Ham is!
>
> In regards to this ancient thread - my cellphone has triggered the alarms at
> the checkouts more than once. These alarms relied on reradiation of
> harmonics and perhaps some non-linear device within the phone did just that.
>
> The store did not go into an anti-theft frenzy. The girl looked up from her
> register, I took my phone off my belt, waved it past the detector, it
> beeped, I walked through after it, it didn't beep, problem solved. There is
> no big issue here.
>
> Brad VK2QQ
>
>

A friend of mine plucked one of those anti-theft plastic doohickeys from
a high-ticket item and stuck it onto the back of my belt and I set off
the detector over and over again as I walked through the exit door.

Some friend.

I wish I had thought of it.

195. Re: tiberon (sp?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-10 18:44:40 UTC
From: Dave Bushong <db@9y.com>
Message-ID: <3v-dnXg7KamFUH_cRVn-3A@adelphia.com>
Source record: 15554

tomcatgbmi wrote:
> whats tiberon? i always here cops sayin it.
>
> Tom

James T. Kirk's middle name?

Actually, it's used as often as the word "Impala", "Civic" and
"Corolla". It's a brand of car.

See http://www.womanmotorist.com/index.php/news/main/1604/event=view

196. Re: 1/4 or 5/8

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-10 19:10:46 UTC
From: "nntp.charter.net" <mikec@zonenet.net>
Message-ID: <zrAEd.4397$Ee.528@fe06.lga>
Source record: 15556

The difference is that a 5/8~ has a lower angle than a 1/4~ thus reaching out further. so for example take a doughnut and smash it and you have converted from a 1/4 to a 5/8

<stryped@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:1105376733.663474.279930@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Is ther much difference in the performance of a 1/4 wave ground plane
> vs a 5/8? For any freqnecy but especially vhf?
>

197. PRO 82 Opinion?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-10 20:21:00 UTC
From: Charlie Chan <detective@china.net>
Message-ID: <Xns95DA9C299D30Ccharchandet@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 15557

I have the opportunity to pick up a used Pro 82 at a low price, but I cannot seem to find to much in the way of reviews or opinions on this scanner from the usual sources.

Is this a decent scanner, or would I be better to buy a new Pro 83?

Your opinions and answers are appreciated.

Much Respect,

Charlie Chan

198. Re: can't use FRS in the malls. even though they were advertised to

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-10 20:52:12 UTC
From: Tom H <th54@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <0WBEd.45565$8I.26799@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 15558
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement

what would happen if you stuck a security tag on your shoe, set off the beeper, and refuse to voluntarily accompany security personnel to their backroom for an 'interview' saying you didn't take anything and you're in a hurry, causing them to physicaly manhandle you into the office where they search you (if that was what they did) and find nothing. Assuming they don't find out you engineered the whole scenario, do you think you would be able to sue them? would they want to settle out of court? anyone have any thoughts on this?

"Dave Bushong" <db@9y.com> wrote in message
news:3v-dnXk7Kan1UX_cRVn-3A@adelphia.com...
> nana wrote:
>>>Lets not forget, an amateur license carries a higher degree of respect
>>>than does a non licensed device.
>>
>>
>>
>> With whom? Most people still have no accurate idea what a Ham is!
>>
>> In regards to this ancient thread - my cellphone has triggered the alarms
>> at the checkouts more than once. These alarms relied on reradiation of
>> harmonics and perhaps some non-linear device within the phone did just
>> that.
>>
>> The store did not go into an anti-theft frenzy. The girl looked up from
>> her register, I took my phone off my belt, waved it past the detector, it
>> beeped, I walked through after it, it didn't beep, problem solved. There
>> is no big issue here.
>>
>> Brad VK2QQ
>>
>>
>
> A friend of mine plucked one of those anti-theft plastic doohickeys from a
> high-ticket item and stuck it onto the back of my belt and I set off the
> detector over and over again as I walked through the exit door.
>
> Some friend.
>
> I wish I had thought of it.
>

199. Re: can't use FRS in the malls. even though they were advertised to

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-10 21:33:40 UTC
From: nana <nana@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <34galpF48v7lvU1@individual.net>
Source record: 15559
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement

Then they replay the camera videos, catch you in the act, call the police
and have you charged for causing public mischief, or banned from their
store.
nana

"Tom H" <th54@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:0WBEd.45565$8l.26799@pd7tw1no...
> what would happen if you stuck a security tag on your shoe, set off the
> beeper, and refuse to voluntarily accompany security personnel to their
> backroom for an 'interview' saying you didn't take anything and you're in
> a hurry, causing them to physicaly manhandle you into the office where
> they search you (if that was what they did) and find nothing. Assuming
> they don't find out you engineered the whole scenario, do you think you
> would be able to sue them? would they want to settle out of court?
> anyone have any thoughts on this?
>
> "Dave Bushong" <db@9y.com> wrote in message
> news:3v-dnXk7Kan1UX_cRVn-3A@adelphia.com...
>> nana wrote:
>>>>Lets not forget, an amateur license carries a higher degree of respect
>>>>than does a non licensed device.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> With whom? Most people still have no accurate idea what a Ham is!
>>>
>>> In regards to this ancient thread - my cellphone has triggered the
>>> alarms at the checkouts more than once. These alarms relied on
>>> reradiation of harmonics and perhaps some non-linear device within the
>>> phone did just that.
>>>
>>> The store did not go into an anti-theft frenzy. The girl looked up from
>>> her register, I took my phone off my belt, waved it past the detector,
>>> it beeped, I walked through after it, it didn't beep, problem solved.
>>> There is no big issue here.
>>>
>>> Brad VK2QQ
>>>
>>>
>>
>> A friend of mine plucked one of those anti-theft plastic doohickeys from
>> a high-ticket item and stuck it onto the back of my belt and I set off
>> the detector over and over again as I walked through the exit door.

>>
>> Some friend.
>>
>> I wish I had thought of it.
>>
>
>

200. Re: can't use FRS in the malls. even though they were advertised to

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-10 22:25:23 UTC
From: Tom H <th54@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <nhDEd.45292$Xk.23982@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 15560
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement

Hey, don't get me wrong, I'm not advocating doing this at all, in fact I'm thinking about it from the perspective of the store owners, truth be told. What if scurrilous, frivolous lawsuit-sters built a transmitter that set the beeper off and triggered it as one of them walked through? And, just for the sake of argument, say the good guys didn't find out about it(which of course, isn't likely, given that good always triumphs over evil). The honest, hard working retail corporation would argue they had PC to arrest the scurrilous lawsuit-sters, and the (being the rotten scoundrels that they are) would argue that, no, they didn't have PC. Just out of curiosity, and yes, I KNOW, it's way off topic. After this I won't ask any more questions, but what do you guys think the judge would rule on this? would the scoundrels (God forbid) have a slim chance of successfully perpetrating their criminal act?

"Mark" <me@privacy.net> wrote in message
news:tmu5u0dcdg8tk8stharrce9no6v2ta87fs@4ax.com...
> Assuming they didn't have decent video (which most stores don't - belive
> it or
> not), they would have to be very inept not to be able to find the security
> tag
> on you just short of a rubber glove search. You set off the alarm, that
> is PC
> enough for them to hold you and demand you empty your pockets. It will
> hold
> up in court, so you can waste your time fighting a CR violation it if you
> want, but...
>
> Once they find that tag, you get a free ride to the Grey Bar Motel. Even
> though it's just a stupid tag - you still stole it.
>
> Think twice about this kind of joke.
>
>
> On Tue, 11 Jan 2005 08:33:40 +1100, "nana" <nana@netscape.net> wrote:
>
>>Then they replay the camera videos, catch you in the act, call the police
>>and have you charged for causing public mischief, or banned from their
>>store.
>>nana
>>
>>"Tom H" <th54@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>news:0WBEd.45565$8l.26799@pd7tw1no...
>>> what would happen if you stuck a security tag on your shoe, set off the

>>> beeper, and refuse to voluntarily accompany security personnel to their
>>> backroom for an 'interview' saying you didn't take anything and you're
>>> in
>>> a hurry, causing them to physicaly manhandle you into the office where
>>> they search you (if that was what they did) and find nothing. Assuming
>>> they don't find out you engineered the whole scenario, do you think you
>>> would be able to sue them? would they want to settle out of court?
>>> anyone have any thoughts on this?
>>>
>>> "Dave Bushong" <db@9y.com> wrote in message
>>> news:3v-dnXk7Kan1UX_cRVn-3A@adelphia.com...
>>>> nana wrote:
>>>>>Lets not forget, an amateur license carries a higher degree of respect
>>>>>than does a non licensed device.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> With whom? Most people still have no accurate idea what a Ham is!
>>>>>
>>>>> In regards to this ancient thread - my cellphone has triggered the
>>>>> alarms at the checkouts more than once. These alarms relied on
>>>>> reradiation of harmonics and perhaps some non-linear device within the
>>>>> phone did just that.
>>>>>
>>>>> The store did not go into an anti-theft frenzy. The girl looked up
>>>>> from
>>>>> her register, I took my phone off my belt, waved it past the detector,
>>>>> it beeped, I walked through after it, it didn't beep, problem solved.
>>>>> There is no big issue here.
>>>>>
>>>>> Brad VK2QQ
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> A friend of mine plucked one of those anti-theft plastic doohickeys
>>>> from
>>>> a high-ticket item and stuck it onto the back of my belt and I set off
>>>> the detector over and over again as I walked through the exit door.
>>>>
>>>> Some friend.
>>>>
>>>> I wish I had thought of it.
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>

201. Re: can't use FRS in the malls. even though they were advertised to

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-10 23:03:08 UTC
From: John P Vassel <heckufaguy@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns95DAB7A31A5Aheckufaguyhotmailcom@216.196.97.142>
Source record: 15561
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement

>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> A friend of mine plucked one of those anti-theft plastic
>>>>> doohickeys from
>>>>> a high-ticket item and stuck it onto the back of my belt and I set
>>>>> off the detector over and over again as I walked through the exit
>>>>> door.
>>>>>
>>>>> Some friend.
>>>>>
>>>>> I wish I had thought of it.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>
>
>
>

It's an old trick, I worked at Home Depot as a 2nd job a few years back, had a co-worker stick on on my collar. Everytime I walked through the Sensormatic system, it'd go off, and the people near it at the time would be asked for their receipts.. All the while, they'd let me walk, since everyone knew who I was...(and I was just hittin up the hot dog vendor.)
Not many stores are going to risk stripping you down to find that little tag. If you're with them, not being flakey, and they already realize you dont have any large quantitites or items on you, it's cheaper to let you walk than to prosecute, possibly falsely.

john

202. Re: can't use FRS in the malls. even though they were advertised to

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-10 23:46:01 UTC
From: BTR1701 <btr1702@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <btr1702-678164.18460110012005@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 15562
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement

In article <0WBEd.45565$8l.26799@pd7tw1no>, "Tom H" <th54@hotmail.com>
wrote:

> what would happen if you stuck a security tag on your shoe, set off the
> beeper, and refuse to voluntarily accompany security personnel to their
> backroom for an 'interview' saying you didn't take anything and you're in a
> hurry, causing them to physicaly manhandle you into the office where they
> search you (if that was what they did) and find nothing. Assuming they
> don't find out you engineered the whole scenario, do you think you would be
> able to sue them? would they want to settle out of court? anyone have any
> thoughts on this?

A better question is what would happen if you refused to let the clerk
at the door inspect your bag on the way out even when alarms don't go
off.

Most electronics stores (Best Buy, Circuit City, etc.) where I live
station someone at the exit and ask each person to see their receipt as
they walk out.

What if you refuse?

You haven't stolen anything so there's no legal basis for them to detain
you in any way.

And once you tender payment at the register and the money passes from
your hand to theirs (or your account to theirs), the merchandise is no
longer even their property-- it's yours-- so they have no legal claim to
inspect it.

The most they can do is say that you're not complying with their rules
on their private property so you're trespassing but the remedy for
trespassing is to demand the person leave the premises, which is what
you were doing when the whole thing started in the first place.

203. Re: can't use FRS in the malls. even though they were advertised to

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-11 00:14:50 UTC
From: nana <nana@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <34gk43F4asif7U1@individual.net>
Source record: 15563
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement

"BTR1701" <btr1702@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:btr1702-678164.18460110012005@news.giganews.com...
> In article <0WBEd.45565$8l.26799@pd7tw1no>, "Tom H" <th54@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
>> what would happen if you stuck a security tag on your shoe, set off the
>> beeper, and refuse to voluntarily accompany security personnel to their
>> backroom for an 'interview' saying you didn't take anything and you're in
>> a
>> hurry, causing them to physicaly manhandle you into the office where they
>> search you (if that was what they did) and find nothing. Assuming they
>> don't find out you engineered the whole scenario, do you think you would
>> be
>> able to sue them? would they want to settle out of court? anyone have
>> any
>> thoughts on this?
>
> A better question is what would happen if you refused to let the clerk
> at the door inspect your bag on the way out even when alarms don't go
> off.
>
> Most electronics stores (Best Buy, Circuit City, etc.) where I live
> station someone at the exit and ask each person to see their receipt as
> they walk out.
>
> What if you refuse?
>
> You haven't stolen anything so there's no legal basis for them to detain
> you in any way.

That's the point of the check, people have walked out with lawn mowers and television sets. They are bold and deceptive and dishonest. Just because you have a receipt for three items, doesn't mean you couldn't have stolen another two items.

It is a condition of entry and there will be a Notice somewhere stating the fact. If you do not wish to comply, then don't go in. Since you want to kick up a fuss, they can ban you from the store.

I understand all these "what if" scenarios, but WHY would you want to make a complete ass of yourself, make yourself known as a troublemaker, get yourself banned from these stores and besmirch your reputation? Do you have too much money or too much time on your hands?

Really, these "what if" things are quite stupid.

Nana

204. Re: can't use FRS in the malls. even though they were advertised to

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-11 00:44:24 UTC
From: BTR1701 <btr1702@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <btr1702-2BCB0A.19442410012005@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 15564
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement

In article <34gk43F4asif7U1@individual.net>, "nana" <nana@netscape.net>
wrote:

> "BTR1701" <btr1702@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> news:btr1702-678164.18460110012005@news.giganews.com...
> > In article <0WBEd.45565$8l.26799@pd7tw1no>, "Tom H" <th54@hotmail.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> >> what would happen if you stuck a security tag on your shoe, set off the
> >> beeper, and refuse to voluntarily accompany security personnel to their
> >> backroom for an 'interview' saying you didn't take anything and you're in
> >> a hurry, causing them to physicaly manhandle you into the office where they
> >> search you (if that was what they did) and find nothing. Assuming they
> >> don't find out you engineered the whole scenario, do you think you would
> >> be able to sue them? would they want to settle out of court? anyone have
> >> any thoughts on this?
> >
> > A better question is what would happen if you refused to let the clerk
> > at the door inspect your bag on the way out even when alarms don't go
> > off.
> >
> > Most electronics stores (Best Buy, Circuit City, etc.) where I live
> > station someone at the exit and ask each person to see their receipt as
> > they walk out.
> >
> > What if you refuse?
> >
> > You haven't stolen anything so there's no legal basis for them to detain
> > you in any way.
>
> That's the point of the check, people have walked out with lawn mowers and
> television sets. They are bold and deceptive and dishonest. Just because you
> have a receipt for three items, doesn't mean you couldn't have stolen
> another two items.
>
> It is a condition of entry and there will be a Notice somewhere stating the
> fact. If you do not wish to comply, then don't go in. Since you want to kick
> up a fuss, they can ban you from the store.

Sure, but they can't detain me at the time and inspect the receipt/bag
if I don't want them to. The most they can do is ask me to leave, which
as I said, is what I was doing in the first place.

205. Re: can't use FRS in the malls. even though they were advertised to

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-11 00:54:39 UTC
From: BTR1701 <btr1702@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <btr1702-C8781D.19543910012005@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 15565
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement

In article <34gk43F4asif7U1@individual.net>, "nana" <nana@netscape.net>
wrote:

> It is a condition of entry and there will be a Notice somewhere stating the
> fact. If you do not wish to comply, then don't go in. Since you want to kick
> up a fuss, they can ban you from the store.

How? Not sure how they'd even know who I am. If I don't stop for them to
check my receipt, I'm sure not going to stop for them to take down my
name and information for future banning purposes and there's no law that
says I have to.

> I understand all these "what if" scenarios, but WHY would you want to make a
> complete ass of yourself, make yourself known as a troublemaker, get
> yourself banned from these stores and besmirch your reputation?

Because it's just one more damn line to stand in. Shopping at Best buy
this past Christmas, I stood in line to get to the register for over 30
minutes, then when I finally get there and pay for my stuff, I walk
toward the doors only to find another line 20 people deep waiting to
have their receipt checked. At that point, I'd had enough of it and just
walked around it and out the door.

The clerk guy didn't catch up with me till I was already at my car and
said he had to check my receipt. I said, no he didn't because if I
hadn't paid for what I walked out with, the alarms would have gone off.
Since they didn't, I don't need to be searched. I got in my car and
drove off. I broke no law so there's nothing they could do. [And they
had no clue who I was so it's not like they could "ban" me, either. Of
course, I don't even live in that city so even if they did figure a way
to ban me, so what?]

And how is that going to "besmirch my reputation"? It's not like the
whole world is going to know I decided to rebel against the receipt
search policy and even if they did, how that makes me look bad such that
my reputation is besmirched, I'm not sure.

Somehow I think I could live with the "shame".

206. Number one Scanner on the market?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-11 01:21:39 UTC
From: Bill <bigc300@carolina.rr.com>
Message-ID: <3fa6u01ejc91p2df549jd08st0sss23koc@4ax.com>
Source record: 15566

who makes the most popular handheld scanning radio today?

Thanks,
Bill

207. Re: 1/4 or 5/8

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-11 01:36:50 UTC
From: DougSlug <dougslug@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <CaGEd.61924$Bp2.52094@fe10.lga>
Source record: 15567

Besides the lower take-off angle, in theory you would also get some gain over the 1/4-wave groundplane if the 5/8 had a proper ground plane itself--that is assuming it has been properly matched. The 5/8-wave has some intrinsic reactance that needs to be tuned out with a simple network for a proper match to the transceiver. For receive only, I'm not sure how critical the match is.

<stryped@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:1105376733.663474.279930@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Is ther much difference in the performance of a 1/4 wave ground plane
> vs a 5/8? For any freqnecy but especially vhf?
>

208. Any good 13cm WideCoverage Receiver?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-11 02:22:02 UTC
From: Ultra Kiasu <ultrakiasu@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1105410122.863281.21050@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15568
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk, rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc, rec.radio.amateur.homebrew, rec.radio.scanner

I had scoured the net for cheaper 13cm (2.3-2.6 GHz) widecoverage
receiver with fine adjustable stepping LCD controller.

So far, had only found these 2 main suppliers at http://www.13cm.co.uk
and http://www.g1mfg.com which both is UK based.

G1MFG products look good but expensive, while 13cm's were cheap but
crude (required DIY connections).

Is there cheaper alternative, or better, ready-made (minimal
construction required) which LCD controller built-in?

I believe that since the main radio components are supplied by
Taiwanese company, Comtech, there should be more similar products
around the world, or at least, "clones".

Any good recommendation?

Thank you.

Regards,
Kevin

PS: Appreciate if you could email me a copy of your reply.

209. Re: Will a 5/8 groundplane for 2 meters work on 440

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-11 02:27:08 UTC
From: r2000swler@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1105410428.710965.47190@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15569

2Mt 5/8 wavelength antennas often use a matching coil that
will NOT pass 440RF.
Terry

210. Re: What is your most popular band you listen to on your scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-11 03:26:44 UTC
From: Paul Keenleyside <bcpleights@teluz.net>
Message-ID: <UHHEd.62579$nN6.31939@edtnps84>
Source record: 15570

<stryped@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1105375897.804455.206040@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> How far away from the airport are you? What frequcny at the airport do
> you listen?

About 5 miles east. Air traffic control mostly. With some ground control.

118.70
119.50
128.60

211. Re: Number one Scanner on the market?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-11 03:52:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <V4mdnR3Yjub90H7cRVn-pQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 15571

Popular, may not necessarily be the best...or what's right for you. Which
do you want to know?

Bill Crocker

"Bill" <bigc300@carolina.rr.com> wrote in message
news:3fa6u01ejc91p2df549jd08st0sss23koc@4ax.com...
> who makes the most popular handheld scanning radio today?
>
> Thanks,
> Bill
>
>

212. Re: Number one Scanner on the market?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-11 03:54:45 UTC
From: Bill <bigc300@carolina.rr.com>
Message-ID: <qcj6u0tbrc2k27ut784p5l7cbjfglmu6cn@4ax.com>
Source record: 15573

On Mon, 10 Jan 2005 22:52:00 -0500, "Bill Crocker"
<wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote:

>Popular, may not necessarily be the best...or what's right for you. Which
>do you want to know?
>
>Bill Crocker

I want to listen in on the Cops around town...I know they use that
"Dual Trunking" type system in my city...

which one should I use?

Thanks,
Bill

>
>
>"Bill" <bigc300@carolina.rr.com> wrote in message
>news:3fa6u01ejc91p2df549jd08st0sss23koc@4ax.com...
>> who makes the most popular handheld scanning radio today?
>>
>> Thanks,
>> Bill
>>
>>
>

213. Re: Biloxi, Ms. P.D. freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-11 03:54:48 UTC
From: BILLY <WCEJAE@BELLSOUTH.NET>
Message-ID: <s6i6u013o2ntgh05vav08lo120smohihuu@4ax.com>
Source record: 15572

On Sun, 09 Jan 2005 20:35:50 -0500, "nexus" <nexus@datasync.com>
wrote:

>The frequencies that Biloxi PD and every other agency in Harrison County
>are located on my page here:
>
>http://www.datasync.com/nexus/hamradio/freqs.htm
>
>HOWEVER, all law enforcement agencies in harrison county are using
>PROVOICE, which is the digital solution for EDACS, and no scanner made
>today can receive provoice. So you're not going to hear them until they
>come out with a provoice capable scanner. Also AMR just went to it 2 weeks
>ago.

Suddenly today about 1:14 pm I started getting LB and GPT and rescue
stuff on the harrison freqs. I May have heard AMR too, but since I
only heard it 3 or 4 times, it might have only been gpt rescue

I have no idea whatsoever as to how/why it started working. maybe the
difference between id scan/search?

as a side note, i still havent gotten any mot control channel only
stuff

Billy, N5WE
Mississippi

214. Re: Number one Scanner on the market?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-11 03:58:24 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <SJydnWVvIt99037cRVn-rQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 15574

If it's not digital, then I'd say the Radio Shack (GRE Mfg), PRO-95, which
is on sale right now, for $149.99.

Bill Crocker

"Bill" <bigc300@carolina.rr.com> wrote in message
news:qcj6u0tbrc2k27ut784p5l7cbjfglmu6cn@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 10 Jan 2005 22:52:00 -0500, "Bill Crocker"
> <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote:
>
>>Popular, may not necessarily be the best...or what's right for you. Which
>>do you want to know?
>>
>>Bill Crocker
>
> I want to listen in on the Cops around town...I know they use that
> "Dual Trunking" type system in my city...
>
> which one should I use?
>
> Thanks,
> Bill
>
>>
>>
>>"Bill" <bigc300@carolina.rr.com> wrote in message
>>news:3fa6u01ejc91p2df549jd08st0sss23koc@4ax.com...
>>> who makes the most popular handheld scanning radio today?
>>>
>>> Thanks,
>>> Bill
>>>
>>>
>>
>

215. FS: VR500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-11 04:29:33 UTC
From: ALMINS1@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25361-41E3562D-416@storefull-3277.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 15576

```
Perfect cond. with all originals and case.
$200 plus shipping from South Fl. ---Al
```

216. Re: can't use FRS in the malls. even though they were advertised to

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-11 04:33:00 UTC
From: 69FLH <69FLH10xx@campus.ie>
Message-ID: <crvm6l$ne5$1@news.chatlink.com>
Source record: 15575
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement

There's some fucking people that just have too much time on their hands.....

"BTR1701" <btr1702@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:btr1702-C8781D.19543910012005@news.giganews.com...
> In article <34gk43F4asif7U1@individual.net>, "nana" <nana@netscape.net>
> wrote:
>
>> It is a condition of entry and there will be a Notice somewhere stating
>> the
>> fact. If you do not wish to comply, then don't go in. Since you want to
>> kick
>> up a fuss, they can ban you from the store.
>
> How? Not sure how they'd even know who I am. If I don't stop for them to
> check my receipt, I'm sure not going to stop for them to take down my
> name and information for future banning purposes and there's no law that
> says I have to.
>
>> I understand all these "what if" scenarios, but WHY would you want to
>> make a
>> complete ass of yourself, make yourself known as a troublemaker, get
>> yourself banned from these stores and besmirch your reputation?
>
> Because it's just one more damn line to stand in. Shopping at Best buy
> this past Christmas, I stood in line to get to the register for over 30
> minutes, then when I finally get there and pay for my stuff, I walk
> toward the doors only to find another line 20 people deep waiting to
> have their receipt checked. At that point, I'd had enough of it and just
> walked around it and out the door.
>
> The clerk guy didn't catch up with me till I was already at my car and
> said he had to check my receipt. I said, no he didn't because if I
> hadn't paid for what I walked out with, the alarms would have gone off.
> Since they didn't, I don't need to be searched. I got in my car and
> drove off. I broke no law so there's nothing they could do. [And they
> had no clue who I was so it's not like they could "ban" me, either. Of
> course, I don't even live in that city so even if they did figure a way
> to ban me, so what?]
>
> And how is that going to "besmirch my reputation"? It's not like the
> whole world is going to know I decided to rebel against the receipt
> search policy and even if they did, how that makes me look bad such that
> my reputation is besmirched, I'm not sure.

>
> Somehow I think I could live with the "shame".

217. Re: can't use FRS in the malls. even though they were advertised to

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-11 05:03:38 UTC
From: BTR1701 <btr1702@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <btr1702-C21632.00033811012005@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 15577
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement

In article <crvm6l$ne5$1@news.chatlink.com>,
"69FLH" <69FLH10xx@campus.ie> wrote:

> There's some fucking people that just have too much time on their hands.....

Yeah, I don't. That's why I didn't want to wait in the receipt check
line.

> "BTR1701" <btr1702@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> news:btr1702-C8781D.19543910012005@news.giganews.com...
> > In article <34gk43F4asif7U1@individual.net>, "nana" <nana@netscape.net>
> > wrote:
> >
> >> It is a condition of entry and there will be a Notice somewhere stating
> >> the
> >> fact. If you do not wish to comply, then don't go in. Since you want to
> >> kick
> >> up a fuss, they can ban you from the store.
> >
> > How? Not sure how they'd even know who I am. If I don't stop for them to
> > check my receipt, I'm sure not going to stop for them to take down my
> > name and information for future banning purposes and there's no law that
> > says I have to.
> >
> >> I understand all these "what if" scenarios, but WHY would you want to
> >> make a
> >> complete ass of yourself, make yourself known as a troublemaker, get
> >> yourself banned from these stores and besmirch your reputation?
> >
> > Because it's just one more damn line to stand in. Shopping at Best buy
> > this past Christmas, I stood in line to get to the register for over 30
> > minutes, then when I finally get there and pay for my stuff, I walk
> > toward the doors only to find another line 20 people deep waiting to
> > have their receipt checked. At that point, I'd had enough of it and just
> > walked around it and out the door.
> >
> > The clerk guy didn't catch up with me till I was already at my car and
> > said he had to check my receipt. I said, no he didn't because if I
> > hadn't paid for what I walked out with, the alarms would have gone off.
> > Since they didn't, I don't need to be searched. I got in my car and
> > drove off. I broke no law so there's nothing they could do. [And they
> > had no clue who I was so it's not like they could "ban" me, either. Of
> > course, I don't even live in that city so even if they did figure a way
> > to ban me, so what?]

> >
> > And how is that going to "besmirch my reputation"? It's not like the
> > whole world is going to know I decided to rebel against the receipt
> > search policy and even if they did, how that makes me look bad such that
> > my reputation is besmirched, I'm not sure.
> >
> > Somehow I think I could live with the "shame".

218. Re: Number one Scanner on the market?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-11 05:34:55 UTC
From: Paul Keenleyside <bcpleights@teluz.net>
Message-ID: <3AJEd.62657$nN6.15052@edtnps84>
Source record: 15578

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:SJydnWVvIt99037cRVn-rQ@comcast.com...
> If it's not digital, then I'd say the Radio Shack (GRE Mfg), PRO-95, which
> is on sale right now, for $149.99.
>
> Bill Crocker

I'd agree. It's what the Uniden BC245XLT should have been in the first
place.

Bought one and the sensitivity on this is amazing (wish my BC780XLT had this
sensitivity). Sound is clear and crisp, and
I've picked up activity from 30 miles away even having this inside. A bit
bulky (about the size of a handi-talkie), but otherwise
an excellent radio.

Will handle analog trunked systems. A bit getting used to in the
programming.

But well worth the money.

219. Re: can't use FRS in the malls. even though they were advertised to

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-11 10:03:27 UTC
From: Tom H <th54@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <PvNEd.49694$6l.47330@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 15579
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement

There most definately IS a point to all of this --- one relating to the legal balance between privacy vs protection of property (seriously OT, I know), a very important point to the loss prevention dept and not superfluous or trivial at all.
OK, if the penalty for refusing to permit a search is being banned, every crook in town will show up one after the other and be subjected to banning, whilst making off with a ton of plunder in the process.
Lets leave the question of whether or not there is another method of detecting theft aside (which, duh, of course there is, this is simply a "just for the sake of argument" question), lets just say the only method of detecting theft was the beeper. OK, a guy goes through, beep beep, "No, I didn't take anything, I'm not submitting.", "You are banned.", "Fine, see you later ...", and another couple hundred dollars worth of stuff walks out the door? That doesn't sound right.
My question is: doesn't the fact that the beeper went off give security the right (Probable cause) to make an arrest, in the event that the person refuses to submit to a search?

"BTR1701" <btr1702@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:btr1702-C21632.00033811012005@news.giganews.com...
> In article <crvm6l$ne5$1@news.chatlink.com>,
> "69FLH" <69FLH10xx@campus.ie> wrote:
>
>> There's some fucking people that just have too much time on their
>> hands.....
>
> Yeah, I don't. That's why I didn't want to wait in the receipt check
> line.
>
>> "BTR1701" <btr1702@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
>> news:btr1702-C8781D.19543910012005@news.giganews.com...
>> > In article <34gk43F4asif7U1@individual.net>, "nana" <nana@netscape.net>
>> > wrote:
>> >
>> >> It is a condition of entry and there will be a Notice somewhere
>> >> stating
>> >> the
>> >> fact. If you do not wish to comply, then don't go in. Since you want
>> >> to
>> >> kick
>> >> up a fuss, they can ban you from the store.
>> >
>> > How? Not sure how they'd even know who I am. If I don't stop for them

>> > to
>> > check my receipt, I'm sure not going to stop for them to take down my
>> > name and information for future banning purposes and there's no law
>> > that
>> > says I have to.
>> >
>> >> I understand all these "what if" scenarios, but WHY would you want to
>> >> make a
>> >> complete ass of yourself, make yourself known as a troublemaker, get
>> >> yourself banned from these stores and besmirch your reputation?
>> >
>> > Because it's just one more damn line to stand in. Shopping at Best buy
>> > this past Christmas, I stood in line to get to the register for over 30
>> > minutes, then when I finally get there and pay for my stuff, I walk
>> > toward the doors only to find another line 20 people deep waiting to
>> > have their receipt checked. At that point, I'd had enough of it and
>> > just
>> > walked around it and out the door.
>> >
>> > The clerk guy didn't catch up with me till I was already at my car and
>> > said he had to check my receipt. I said, no he didn't because if I
>> > hadn't paid for what I walked out with, the alarms would have gone off.
>> > Since they didn't, I don't need to be searched. I got in my car and
>> > drove off. I broke no law so there's nothing they could do. [And they
>> > had no clue who I was so it's not like they could "ban" me, either. Of
>> > course, I don't even live in that city so even if they did figure a way
>> > to ban me, so what?]
>> >
>> > And how is that going to "besmirch my reputation"? It's not like the
>> > whole world is going to know I decided to rebel against the receipt
>> > search policy and even if they did, how that makes me look bad such
>> > that
>> > my reputation is besmirched, I'm not sure.
>> >
>> > Somehow I think I could live with the "shame".

220. Re: What is your most popular band you listen to on your scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-11 14:25:09 UTC
From: Nick <nickleeson@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1105453509.705737.50000@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15580

1. Aircraft, 118-136 MHz
2. Aircraft, 225-400 MHz

-Nick

221. Re: What is your most popular band you listen to on your scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-11 14:39:32 UTC
From: Tom H <th54@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <EyREd.51572$8l.31371@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 15581

submarine control frequencies, 200 - 300 Hz

<stryped@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1105368731.401351.7180@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>I am just curious. Thinking of building some antennas for specific
> bands and was wondering wahat was most popular.
>

222. Connecting different antenas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-11 15:42:16 UTC
From: stryped@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1105458136.065080.201000@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15582

How can I hook two antennas to my scanner and ham radio? (One antenna for vhf and one for uhf"?

223. Re: Will a 5/8 groundplane for 2 meters work on 440

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-11 15:46:46 UTC
From: Lou <lf08357@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <LzSEd.35047$NC6.16015@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 15583

"Barry OGrady" <god_freee_jones@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:4a76u0pjpeoiajuts39ojvurprpf37p4rn@4ax.com...
> On 10 Jan 2005 06:52:54 -0800, stryped@hotmail.com wrote:
>
>>Just curious.
>
> Your question makes no sense. Did you mean 2 metres?
>
>
> -Barry
> ========

The question made perfect sense. He asked basically if an antenna for 2 meters (however YOU choose to spell it) which covers 144-148 MHz - would work on 440 MHz. I do believe someone already answered his question with a reliable answer. Just because you choose to spell it a different way does not make it a senseless question. I see many posts from other countries here - people who spell words far differently than us in America. IF not spelled differently, then they join many here IN America with very poor spelling (for whatever reason), but still their questions are read and answered - WITH what they are wanting to know. It is replies like yours that chases people away from asking for good information - wanting to know - when they don't have the answers available otherwise.

DM

224. Re: can't use FRS in the malls. even though they were advertised to

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-11 18:09:36 UTC
From: Dave Balcom <balcy24@charter.net>
Message-ID: <8uv7u0pskkuctapv79e6t4sgfb9ikrjnh2@4ax.com>
Source record: 15584

On Tue, 11 Jan 2005 10:03:27 GMT, "Tom H" <th54@hotmail.com> wrote:

}My question is: doesn't the fact that the beeper went off give security the
}right (Probable cause) to make an arrest, in the event that the person
}refuses to submit to a search?

Probably not to make an arrest. Of course that does depend on state laws (which vary). In Missouri, to make a "citizen's arrest" you have to reasonable believe the person is committing a theft (crime) and then actually be right that a theft occurred. A police officer merely needs probably cause to believe ANY crime occurred and that the person did it. So to physically stop and detain/arrest someone is risky for merely setting off an alarm absent someone actually seeing them stuff something or walk out without paying. There are far too many false alarms to risk a civil lawsuit anyway.

That doesn't even address when searches are justified (an entirely different animal)...

Later,
Dave

225. Re: Any good 13cm WideCoverage Receiver?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-11 18:21:29 UTC
From: Chris Eilbeck <chris@yordas.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <m24qhnakt2.fsf@yordas.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 15585
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.scanner

"Ultra Kiasu" <ultrakiasu@hotmail.com> writes:

> I had scoured the net for cheaper 13cm (2.3-2.6 GHz) widecoverage
> receiver with fine adjustable stepping LCD controller.
>
> So far, had only found these 2 main suppliers at http://www.13cm.co.uk
> and http://www.g1mfg.com which both is UK based.
>
> G1MFG products look good but expensive, while 13cm's were cheap but
> crude (required DIY connections).
>
> Is there cheaper alternative, or better, ready-made (minimal
> construction required) which LCD controller built-in?
>
> I believe that since the main radio components are supplied by
> Taiwanese company, Comtech, there should be more similar products
> around the world, or at least, "clones".
>
> Any good recommendation?

If you're not up to hooking up a LCD controller and a receiver then
your only real option is a videosender receiver.

Chris
--
Chris Eilbeck mailto:chris@yordas.demon.co.uk
MARS Flight Crew http://www.mars.org.uk/
UKRA #1108 Level 2 UYB
Tripoli UK Member #9527 LSMR

226. Re: can't use FRS in the malls. even though they were advertised to

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-11 19:24:15 UTC
From: "Randy A. Hefner" <randy_NO_SPAM_PLEASE_@murs-usa.com>
Message-ID: <34in23F4be1kqU1@individual.net>
Source record: 15586
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement

"Mark" <me@privacy.net> wrote in message
news:tmu5u0dcdg8tk8stharrce9no6v2ta87fs@4ax.com...
> Assuming they didn't have decent video (which most stores don't - belive
it or
> not), they would have to be very inept not to be able to find the security
tag
> on you just short of a rubber glove search. You set off the alarm, that
is PC
> enough for them to hold you and demand you empty your pockets. It will
hold
> up in court, so you can waste your time fighting a CR violation it if you
> want, but...
>

Hold up in court??? Maybe not! If you can show that there is a high instance of false detections by the type of security system they are using, it can be shown that it is not reliable. Heck, lie detecters are not allowed in criminal court...The FAULTY security systems most retail stores use are, in my opinion, less reliable than a lie detector.

Randy

227. Re: can't use FRS in the malls. even though they were advertised to

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-11 19:34:08 UTC
From: anti spamjm <antispam@spamfucker.com>
Message-ID: <W86dnVkmjMnet3ncRVn-sA@rogers.com>
Source record: 15587
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement

Not arrest so much as detain for further investigation.
You can also be detained for failing to comply with the store policy
requiring receipt checks. You could later be arrested and held by
police for refusing to identify yourself--and yes you will be held until
you can be positively identified either with or without your
cooperation. If you don't have time to stand in line--shop elsewhere or
don't shop at all. I'd rather have a bit of inconvenience than higher
prices due to theft.

Aside from that I once benefited from the item check at a Costco. It
turned out that the clerk hadn't put several items into my cart after
ringing them in. Small items but about 200 bucks worth of stuff none
the less. Had they not checked the receipt, I'd have been out 200
bucks. Next time you rush the lines give thought to that option. It
could save you money.

Tom H wrote:
> There most definately IS a point to all of this --- one relating to the
> legal balance between privacy vs protection of property (seriously OT, I
> know), a very important point to the loss prevention dept and not
> superfluous or trivial at all.
> OK, if the penalty for refusing to permit a search is being banned, every
> crook in town will show up one after the other and be subjected to banning,
> whilst making off with a ton of plunder in the process.
> Lets leave the question of whether or not there is another method of
> detecting theft aside (which, duh, of course there is, this is simply a
> "just for the sake of argument" question), lets just say the only method of
> detecting theft was the beeper. OK, a guy goes through, beep beep, "No, I
> didn't take anything, I'm not submitting.", "You are banned.", "Fine, see
> you later ...", and another couple hundred dollars worth of stuff walks out
> the door? That doesn't sound right.
> My question is: doesn't the fact that the beeper went off give security the
> right (Probable cause) to make an arrest, in the event that the person
> refuses to submit to a search?
>
> "BTR1701" <btr1702@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> news:btr1702-C21632.00033811012005@news.giganews.com...
>
>>In article <crvm6l$ne5$1@news.chatlink.com>,
>>"69FLH" <69FLH10xx@campus.ie> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>There's some fucking people that just have too much time on their
>>>hands.....

>>
>>Yeah, I don't. That's why I didn't want to wait in the receipt check
>>line.
>>
>>
>>>"BTR1701" <btr1702@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
>>>news:btr1702-C8781D.19543910012005@news.giganews.com...
>>>
>>>>In article <34gk43F4asif7U1@individual.net>, "nana" <nana@netscape.net>
>>>>wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>It is a condition of entry and there will be a Notice somewhere
>>>>>stating
>>>>>the
>>>>>fact. If you do not wish to comply, then don't go in. Since you want
>>>>>to
>>>>>kick
>>>>>up a fuss, they can ban you from the store.
>>>>
>>>>How? Not sure how they'd even know who I am. If I don't stop for them
>>>>to
>>>>check my receipt, I'm sure not going to stop for them to take down my
>>>>name and information for future banning purposes and there's no law
>>>>that
>>>>says I have to.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>I understand all these "what if" scenarios, but WHY would you want to
>>>>>make a
>>>>>complete ass of yourself, make yourself known as a troublemaker, get
>>>>>yourself banned from these stores and besmirch your reputation?
>>>>
>>>>Because it's just one more damn line to stand in. Shopping at Best buy
>>>>this past Christmas, I stood in line to get to the register for over 30
>>>>minutes, then when I finally get there and pay for my stuff, I walk
>>>>toward the doors only to find another line 20 people deep waiting to
>>>>have their receipt checked. At that point, I'd had enough of it and
>>>>just
>>>>walked around it and out the door.
>>>>
>>>>The clerk guy didn't catch up with me till I was already at my car and
>>>>said he had to check my receipt. I said, no he didn't because if I
>>>>hadn't paid for what I walked out with, the alarms would have gone off.
>>>>Since they didn't, I don't need to be searched. I got in my car and
>>>>drove off. I broke no law so there's nothing they could do. [And they
>>>>had no clue who I was so it's not like they could "ban" me, either. Of
>>>>course, I don't even live in that city so even if they did figure a way
>>>>to ban me, so what?]
>>>>

>>>>And how is that going to "besmirch my reputation"? It's not like the
>>>>whole world is going to know I decided to rebel against the receipt
>>>>search policy and even if they did, how that makes me look bad such
>>>>that
>>>>my reputation is besmirched, I'm not sure.
>>>>
>>>>Somehow I think I could live with the "shame".
>
>
>

228. Re: can't use FRS in the malls. even though they were advertised to

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-11 20:20:52 UTC
From: Tom H <th54@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <EyWEd.51833$Xk.12047@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 15588
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement

Isn't that a contradiction? You say for the detention to be justified, ultimately the store security people have to be "right" in detaining you(if stolen merchandise is found then everyone agrees: the detention was justified), but according to my hypothetical situation they ultimately appear to be wrong because when they search, they find no plundered items, the alarm was triggered by a transmitter. Do you really want to assert that this is frivolous? A guy didn't take anything, but was forcibly confined and searched, for what turned out to be no reason. I don't know, but I don't think it should be permitted to force people into back rooms and search them, no matter if they have stolen anything or not, and if some one had that happen to them, don't you think they are deserving of compensation? I do.

Again, for the sake of argument lets say that the authorities never realize the alarm has been deliberately triggered expressly for the purpose of causing security to act in a manner that creates grounds for litigation.

"Mark" <me@privacy.net> wrote in message
news:tf68u05hq280ijp59d9i5h88ncoa7omqh8@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 10 Jan 2005 22:25:23 GMT, "Tom H" <th54@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>>Hey, don't get me wrong, I'm not advocating doing this at all, in fact I'm
>>thinking about it from the perspective of the store owners, truth be told.
>>What if scurrilous, frivolous lawsuit-sters built a transmitter that set
>>the
>>beeper off and triggered it as one of them walked through? And, just for
>>the sake of argument, say the good guys didn't find out about it(which of
>>course, isn't likely, given that good always triumphs over evil). The
>>honest, hard working retail corporation would argue they had PC to arrest
>
> Whoa. Stop right there. PC to arrest? No. PC to DETAIN, question and
> search? Yes.
>
> Depending on what becomes of the search, then there may be an arrest and
> not
> by a store securtiy guard either. The local police will need to be
> involved
> at some point.
>
> Let them attempt the frivolous lawsuit. Any judge worth his weight on the
> bench won't allow it.
>
>
>>"Mark" <me@privacy.net> wrote in message

>>news:tmu5u0dcdg8tk8stharrce9no6v2ta87fs@4ax.com...
>>> Assuming they didn't have decent video (which most stores don't - belive
>>> it or
>>> not), they would have to be very inept not to be able to find the
>>> security
>>> tag
>>> on you just short of a rubber glove search. You set off the alarm, that
>>> is PC
>>> enough for them to hold you and demand you empty your pockets. It will
>>> hold
>>> up in court, so you can waste your time fighting a CR violation it if
>>> you
>>> want, but...
>>>
>>> Once they find that tag, you get a free ride to the Grey Bar Motel.
>>> Even
>>> though it's just a stupid tag - you still stole it.
>>>
>>> Think twice about this kind of joke.
>>>
>>>
>>> On Tue, 11 Jan 2005 08:33:40 +1100, "nana" <nana@netscape.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>>Then they replay the camera videos, catch you in the act, call the
>>>>police
>>>>and have you charged for causing public mischief, or banned from their
>>>>store.
>>>>nana
>>>>
>>>>"Tom H" <th54@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>news:0WBEd.45565$8l.26799@pd7tw1no...
>>>>> what would happen if you stuck a security tag on your shoe, set off
>>>>> the
>>>>> beeper, and refuse to voluntarily accompany security personnel to
>>>>> their
>>>>> backroom for an 'interview' saying you didn't take anything and you're
>>>>> in
>>>>> a hurry, causing them to physicaly manhandle you into the office where
>>>>> they search you (if that was what they did) and find nothing.
>>>>> Assuming
>>>>> they don't find out you engineered the whole scenario, do you think
>>>>> you
>>>>> would be able to sue them? would they want to settle out of court?
>>>>> anyone have any thoughts on this?
>>>>>
>>>>> "Dave Bushong" <db@9y.com> wrote in message
>>>>> news:3v-dnXk7Kan1UX_cRVn-3A@adelphia.com...
>>>>>> nana wrote:
>>>>>>>>Lets not forget, an amateur license carries a higher degree of
>>>>>>>>respect

>>>>>>>>than does a non licensed device.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> With whom? Most people still have no accurate idea what a Ham is!
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> In regards to this ancient thread - my cellphone has triggered the
>>>>>>> alarms at the checkouts more than once. These alarms relied on
>>>>>>> reradiation of harmonics and perhaps some non-linear device within
>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>> phone did just that.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> The store did not go into an anti-theft frenzy. The girl looked up
>>>>>>> from
>>>>>>> her register, I took my phone off my belt, waved it past the
>>>>>>> detector,
>>>>>>> it beeped, I walked through after it, it didn't beep, problem
>>>>>>> solved.
>>>>>>> There is no big issue here.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Brad VK2QQ
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> A friend of mine plucked one of those anti-theft plastic doohickeys
>>>>>> from
>>>>>> a high-ticket item and stuck it onto the back of my belt and I set
>>>>>> off
>>>>>> the detector over and over again as I walked through the exit door.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Some friend.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I wish I had thought of it.
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>
>

229. Re: NEED HELP WITH PRO 26!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-11 20:40:44 UTC
From: lynch-limbaugh <moostafa_wad@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105476044.079530.314600@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15589

i am curious exactly what you mean by "image".
are there specific freqs or do you have to jump around looking for
loose ends.

230. Re: can't use FRS in the malls. even though they were advertised to

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-11 20:58:00 UTC
From: Dave Balcom <balcy24@charter.net>
Message-ID: <bfe8u0htuslovr5lkd7fq8m6e45gprhspl@4ax.com>
Source record: 15590

On Tue, 11 Jan 2005 14:26:35 -0500, Mark <me@privacy.net> wrote:

}They will still be found just in making their original detainment based on
}their "good faith" judgement.

Maybe, maybe not. A good faith defense in this case depends on the assumption that the equipment used is reliable. Daily or even weekly false alarms do not add to good faith beliefs -- especially enough to detain and search indiscriminately.

My wife had a purse that would set off the alarm at Border's while she walked INTO the store from the parking lot (it was embarrassing as hell). Would that give them the right to "detain and search" her? No. Every court goes on the premise of what is reasonable (and there are arguments here for both sides). You do have to be careful when putting any legal issue into one basket or another as it often depends on the "totality of the circumstances" (and sadly, sometimes the deeper pockets)...

Depending on the state laws, good faith does not even play a part when it comes to citizen's arrests. You have to be correct or risk serious civil penalties. As a cop, I have a whole lot more latitude in being mistaken (at least in this situation)...

Good discussion even though it is probably way off topic... :)

Later,
Dave

231. Re: What is your most popular band you listen to on your scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-11 21:11:32 UTC
From: kiwirat@gmail.com
Message-ID: <17g8u016v4b73pk1uag1ufius2ph4icu1v@4ax.com>
Source record: 15591

1. Aircraft, 118-136 MHz
2. Aircraft, 225-400 MHz
3. Police

On 10 Jan 2005 06:52:11 -0800, stryped@hotmail.com wrote:

>I am just curious. Thinking of building some antennas for specific
>bands and was wondering wahat was most popular.

232. Re: Connecting different antenas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-11 21:26:10 UTC
From: nana <nana@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <34iujsF4a3eshU1@individual.net>
Source record: 15592

Yes. get a diplexer.

<stryped@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1105458136.065080.201000@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> How can I hook two antennas to my scanner and ham radio? (One antenna
> for vhf and one for uhf"?
>

233. Re: can't use FRS in the malls. even though they were advertised to

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-11 23:32:17 UTC
From: BTR1701 <btr1702@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <btr1702-105E70.18321711012005@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 15593
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement

In article <W86dnVkmjMnet3ncRVn-sA@rogers.com>,
anti spamjm <antispam@spamfucker.com> wrote:

> Not arrest so much as detain for further investigation.
> You can also be detained for failing to comply with the store policy
> requiring receipt checks.

No, the store can't legally detain you for anything that isn't a
violation of the law. Store rules don't count.

If the store had a rule that you had to dance a jig before leaving and
you chose not to do it, could they detain you? Nope.

And they can't detain you for not getting your receipt inspected, either.

234. Re: What is your most popular band you listen to on your scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-11 23:34:17 UTC
From: Jim Douglas <james.douglas@genesis-software.com>
Message-ID: <Y8idne9k1qcE_HncRVn-1w@comcast.com>
Source record: 15594

The Porn band, it's between the RR and the garbage service. BG!

<stryped@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1105368731.401351.7180@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> I am just curious. Thinking of building some antennas for specific
> bands and was wondering wahat was most popular.
>

235. Re: My Firestik doesn't work

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-11 23:34:38 UTC
From: Jim Douglas <james.douglas@genesis-software.com>
Message-ID: <N-udnRfW8oUz_HncRVn-oA@comcast.com>
Source record: 15595

Does you wife know?

"User" <user@host.net> wrote in message
news:crsbur$g1h$1@reader1.panix.com...
> > What type of cable is on the Firestick? If it's RG58, then there is way
> > too much signal loss, especially above 50MHz.
>
> RG58. The whole setup (antenna, base, cable) was advertised as "covering
> 25-1300mhz with extra power in the busy 140-170mhz band"
>
>
>

236. Re: My Firestik doesn't work

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-12 00:52:05 UTC
From: User <user@host.net>
Message-ID: <cs1sbn$4qa$1@reader1.panix.com>
Source record: 15596

> Does you wife know?

eh?

>
> "User" <user@host.net> wrote in message
> news:crsbur$g1h$1@reader1.panix.com...
>> > What type of cable is on the Firestick? If it's RG58, then there is
>> > way
>> > too much signal loss, especially above 50MHz.
>>
>> RG58. The whole setup (antenna, base, cable) was advertised as "covering
>> 25-1300mhz with extra power in the busy 140-170mhz band"
>>
>>
>>
>
>

237. Re: NEED HELP WITH PRO 26!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-12 01:07:10 UTC
From: BILLY <WCEJAE@BELLSOUTH.NET>
Message-ID: <4kt8u01v7jusoiodv3h0on9b6hbunt0a3a@4ax.com>
Source record: 15597

On 11 Jan 2005 12:40:44 -0800, "lynch-limbaugh"
<moostafa_wad@yahoo.com> wrote:

>i am curious exactly what you mean by "image".
>are there specific freqs or do you have to jump around looking for
>loose ends.

Im a retired engineerbut I am retired due to strokes.

From what I remember, an artifact of a superhet receiver's oscillator
may fall within the band you're receving, like if it has a 455kh if
frequency, you may have an image of strong stations as if the
oscillator was running on 1365khz (3x455) and many other combinations.
Sometimes you can supress this enough for it not to be noticable.

They make say 25mhz to 1500mhz receivers nowadays and afaik they all
have the "image" / "birdie" problem somewhere on thier dial

Billy, N5WE
Mississippi

238. Re: can't use FRS in the malls. even though they were advertised to

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-12 01:49:14 UTC
From: Dan Morisseau <dpm3@morisseau.org>
Message-ID: <7n%Ed.353$372.18@fe07.lga>
Source record: 15598
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement

BTR1701 wrote:

> No, the store can't legally detain you for anything that isn't a
> violation of the law. Store rules don't count.
>
> If the store had a rule that you had to dance a jig before leaving and
> you chose not to do it, could they detain you? Nope.
>
> And they can't detain you for not getting your receipt inspected, either.

Don't quit your day job to open a law practice, sonny. You (and a few others here) think you know what the law is. Think again. If you hadn't persisted in your ramblings on the subject, I'd have simply written you off as a garden variety troll. The fact that you cross posted your incoherent ramblings to so many newsgroups tends to support that conclusion. But now I think that you may be brain damaged - maybe it is the crystal meth. You might want to consider giving that stuff up.

--
Milepost 11.7 - UPRR Jeff City Sub - N 38ï¿½34'53", W 90ï¿½22'32", 680'
"We sleep safe in our beds because rough men stand ready in the night to visit violence on those who would do us harm"

239. Re: can't use FRS in the malls. even though they were advertised to

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-12 02:07:26 UTC
From: BTR1701 <btr1702@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <btr1702-FA42DC.21072611012005@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 15599
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement

In article <7n%Ed.353$372.18@fe07.lga>,
Dan Morisseau <dpm3@morisseau.org> wrote:

> BTR1701 wrote:
>
> > No, the store can't legally detain you for anything that isn't a
> > violation of the law. Store rules don't count.
> >
> > If the store had a rule that you had to dance a jig before leaving and
> > you chose not to do it, could they detain you? Nope.
> >
> > And they can't detain you for not getting your receipt inspected, either.
>
> Don't quit your day job to open a law practice, sonny.

LOL! I already am a lawyer, boyo. I've practiced criminal law for years.

But if you think I'm wrong, then by all means, pony up the legal
citation that says that a store can detain you merely for a violation of
their rules (absent any violation of law).

This ought to be good.

> You (and a few others here) think you know what the law is.

And amazingly enough, I do.

> Think again. If you hadn't
> persisted in your ramblings on the subject, I'd have simply written you
> off as a garden variety troll. The fact that you cross posted your
> incoherent ramblings to so many newsgroups tends to support that
> conclusion.

I didn't cross-post anything. I didn't start this thread. I merely hit
the "reply" button. Whatever groups were posted originally, got replied
to.

> But now I think that you may be brain damaged - maybe it is
> the crystal meth. You might want to consider giving that stuff up.

Oh, wow. Schoolyard name-calling. And it's not even very creative.

Well done.

240. WTB: RF Spectrum Analyxer - At least 1Ghz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-12 04:06:24 UTC
From: "David H." <d_h@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <jg89u0dlktk41oboi9tvh5v25tujb5elbc@4ax.com>
Source record: 15500

greets all

Just wanted to let everyone [who might read this] know that I am looking to purchase a spectrum analyzer. Make and model is not terribly important. Just looking for something that works reasonably well, has fairly good input sensitivity (measurements made from an antenna are prime objective) and has a top end of at least 1Ghz.

I've looked on ebay. Most of these unit are running at least $1000.00 - $1500.00 or over. If anyone's recently purchased a new 6 or 22Ghz SA, and would like to get rid of that older one that might be sitting in the closet, I'd be interested. Thanks.

Dave

241. Re: can't use FRS in the malls. even though they were advertised to

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-12 04:17:23 UTC
From: Tom H <th54@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <nx1Fd.55548$8I.27596@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 15501
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement

I went back over the posts and I made a mistake about what I thought you said, you didn't say they had to be 'right', that was someone else, sorry. THIS is what you said (in part):

> Are you seriously claiming that you would seek
> compensation for emptying your pockets? That's a shame if you are.

No, that's not what I'm saying at all, what I'm asking is --- does it not appear that there are such grounds? Not for emptying pockets, but for being physicaly abducted, manoeuvered into a back room, and forcibly confined, even though it is "temporary" and you make the valid distinction between arrest and detention but to some extent, it is still the same thing --- no matter what happens in the long run, a person has been physically forced into a room against their will, for what is no reason --- I guess this is the contention point: I say the beep is NOT enough justification for physical detention, other perhaps do.
OK, forget the potential for committing injustices by subterfuge and a transmitter that can trigger beepers, if how I'm reading you is correct, if you were a judge, you would permit the commission of even greater injustices --- that of abduction & forcible confinement, let me explain. Once again, just for the sake of argument, lets I'm a wierdo who gets off on forcing people into back rooms. Where I get my thrill is being larger than other people and seeing their reactions of fear and surprise when i accost them and force them into a room (once again, this is just for the sake of argument). I open a retail biz and rig up a beeper to go off whenever I see an attractive woman walk through the scanner, and lets say for the purpose of this discussion, that the authorities don't ever catch on to the real nature of the setup, they think its a legit biz and don't know that I'm a freak (for purposes of argument ONLY).
Are you really saying that, as long as there's a beep-beep noise, I am justified in "detaining" a person, regardless of the fact that they actually haven't taken anything?

"Mark" <me@privacy.net> wrote in message
news:qvd8u0dt72f6po9r1vh1b81e2t47p097hk@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 11 Jan 2005 20:20:52 GMT, "Tom H" <th54@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>>Isn't that a contradiction? You say for the detention to be justified,
>>ultimately the store security people have to be "right" in detaining
>>you(if
>>stolen merchandise is found then everyone agrees: the detention was
>>justified), but according to my hypothetical situation they ultimately
>>appear to be wrong because when they search, they find no plundered items,
>>the alarm was triggered by a transmitter.

>
> Being right or wrong with your initial suspicions has nothing to do with
> whether or not there is probable cause for a detention. If you set off an
> electronic monitoring system, that is sufficient. Even if that piece of
> equipment is later deemed to be defective, the PC will still be determined
> as
> admissible (unless the store KNEW it was defective in advance).
>
>>A guy didn't take anything, but was forcibly confined
>>and searched, for what turned out to be no reason.
>
> And what about someone driving a car identical to that of a bank robbery
> suspect who just happens to be in the wrong place at the wrong time?
> Would it
> be wrong to stop and detain them as well for any period of time?
>
>>I don't know, but I
>>don't think it should be permitted to force people into back rooms and
>>search them, no matter if they have stolen anything or not, and if some
>>one
>>had that happen to them, don't you think they are deserving of
>>compensation?
>
> Having someone empty their pockets and giving them a rubber glove search
> are
> two different things? Are you seriously claiming that you would seek
> compensation for emptying your pockets? That's a shame if you are.
>
> Why would you say it should not be permissible to search someone even if
> they
> have stolen something? I don't see the logic.
>
>
>>
>>"Mark" <me@privacy.net> wrote in message
>>news:tf68u05hq280ijp59d9i5h88ncoa7omqh8@4ax.com...
>>> On Mon, 10 Jan 2005 22:25:23 GMT, "Tom H" <th54@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>>Hey, don't get me wrong, I'm not advocating doing this at all, in fact
>>>>I'm
>>>>thinking about it from the perspective of the store owners, truth be
>>>>told.
>>>>What if scurrilous, frivolous lawsuit-sters built a transmitter that set
>>>>the
>>>>beeper off and triggered it as one of them walked through? And, just
>>>>for
>>>>the sake of argument, say the good guys didn't find out about it(which
>>>>of
>>>>course, isn't likely, given that good always triumphs over evil). The
>>>>honest, hard working retail corporation would argue they had PC to

>>>>arrest
>>>
>>> Whoa. Stop right there. PC to arrest? No. PC to DETAIN, question and
>>> search? Yes.
>>>
>>> Depending on what becomes of the search, then there may be an arrest and
>>> not
>>> by a store securtiy guard either. The local police will need to be
>>> involved
>>> at some point.
>>>
>>> Let them attempt the frivolous lawsuit. Any judge worth his weight on
>>> the
>>> bench won't allow it.
>>>
>>>
>>>>"Mark" <me@privacy.net> wrote in message
>>>>news:tmu5u0dcdg8tk8stharrce9no6v2ta87fs@4ax.com...
>>>>> Assuming they didn't have decent video (which most stores don't -
>>>>> belive
>>>>> it or
>>>>> not), they would have to be very inept not to be able to find the
>>>>> security
>>>>> tag
>>>>> on you just short of a rubber glove search. You set off the alarm,
>>>>> that
>>>>> is PC
>>>>> enough for them to hold you and demand you empty your pockets. It
>>>>> will
>>>>> hold
>>>>> up in court, so you can waste your time fighting a CR violation it if
>>>>> you
>>>>> want, but...
>>>>>
>>>>> Once they find that tag, you get a free ride to the Grey Bar Motel.
>>>>> Even
>>>>> though it's just a stupid tag - you still stole it.
>>>>>
>>>>> Think twice about this kind of joke.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> On Tue, 11 Jan 2005 08:33:40 +1100, "nana" <nana@netscape.net> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>Then they replay the camera videos, catch you in the act, call the
>>>>>>police
>>>>>>and have you charged for causing public mischief, or banned from their
>>>>>>store.
>>>>>>nana
>>>>>>
>>>>>>"Tom H" <th54@hotmail.com> wrote in message

>>>>>>news:0WBEd.45565$8l.26799@pd7tw1no...
>>>>>>> what would happen if you stuck a security tag on your shoe, set off
>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>> beeper, and refuse to voluntarily accompany security personnel to
>>>>>>> their
>>>>>>> backroom for an 'interview' saying you didn't take anything and
>>>>>>> you're
>>>>>>> in
>>>>>>> a hurry, causing them to physicaly manhandle you into the office
>>>>>>> where
>>>>>>> they search you (if that was what they did) and find nothing.
>>>>>>> Assuming
>>>>>>> they don't find out you engineered the whole scenario, do you think
>>>>>>> you
>>>>>>> would be able to sue them? would they want to settle out of court?
>>>>>>> anyone have any thoughts on this?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "Dave Bushong" <db@9y.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>> news:3v-dnXk7Kan1UX_cRVn-3A@adelphia.com...
>>>>>>>> nana wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>Lets not forget, an amateur license carries a higher degree of
>>>>>>>>>>respect
>>>>>>>>>>than does a non licensed device.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> With whom? Most people still have no accurate idea what a Ham is!
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> In regards to this ancient thread - my cellphone has triggered the
>>>>>>>>> alarms at the checkouts more than once. These alarms relied on
>>>>>>>>> reradiation of harmonics and perhaps some non-linear device within
>>>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>>>> phone did just that.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> The store did not go into an anti-theft frenzy. The girl looked up
>>>>>>>>> from
>>>>>>>>> her register, I took my phone off my belt, waved it past the
>>>>>>>>> detector,
>>>>>>>>> it beeped, I walked through after it, it didn't beep, problem
>>>>>>>>> solved.
>>>>>>>>> There is no big issue here.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Brad VK2QQ
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> A friend of mine plucked one of those anti-theft plastic doohickeys
>>>>>>>> from
>>>>>>>> a high-ticket item and stuck it onto the back of my belt and I set
>>>>>>>> off

>>>>>>>> the detector over and over again as I walked through the exit door.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Some friend.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> I wish I had thought of it.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>
>

242. Re: can't use FRS in the malls. even though they were advertised to

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-12 04:22:32 UTC
From: Tom H <th54@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <cC1Fd.55357$Xk.24250@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 15502
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement

Nyah nyah. I know you're a meth-using, incoherently rambling cross-poster,
but what am I? Can we watch cartoons now?

I hope I did that right, it's been so long since I was involved in name
calling I'm not sure I remember how to do it properly.

"Dan Morisseau" <dpm3@morisseau.org> wrote in message
news:7n%Ed.353$372.18@fe07.lga...
> BTR1701 wrote:
>
>> No, the store can't legally detain you for anything that isn't a
>> violation of the law. Store rules don't count.
>>
>> If the store had a rule that you had to dance a jig before leaving and
>> you chose not to do it, could they detain you? Nope.
>>
>> And they can't detain you for not getting your receipt inspected, either.
>
> Don't quit your day job to open a law practice, sonny. You (and a few
> others here) think you know what the law is. Think again. If you hadn't
> persisted in your ramblings on the subject, I'd have simply written you
> off as a garden variety troll. The fact that you cross posted your
> incoherent ramblings to so many newsgroups tends to support that
> conclusion. But now I think that you may be brain damaged - maybe it is
> the crystal meth. You might want to consider giving that stuff up.
>
>
> --
> Milepost 11.7 - UPRR Jeff City Sub - N 38°34'53", W 90°22'32", 680'
> "We sleep safe in our beds because rough men stand ready in the night to
> visit violence on those who would do us harm"

243. Re: weather radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-12 04:56:11 UTC
From: Ted <asking@riverdale.com>
Message-ID: <41E4ADEB.46572A7A@riverdale.com>
Source record: 15504
Cross-posted to: alt.talk.weather,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

mongo wrote:
>
> hi all. what kind of weather radio do you have ? do you like it? What
> kind of antenna did you put up if you needed one? thanks. i got a
> midland wr 100. it needed a little boost so i ordered a arrow antenna
> osj vhf. should get it later this week. thanks. just curious what your
> setup is.

I don't have a weather radio, I just punch in their frequency
on my scanner and listen. Their VHF signal goes pretty
damned far, too! Good lucj with your new radio.

Ted

244. Re: can't use FRS in the malls. even though they were advertised to

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-12 05:08:41 UTC
From: Mastermind
Message-ID: <ki2Fd.87734$DI.1987656@weber.videotron.net>
Source record: 15503
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement

Sorry,

BUT YOU CANNOT be detain for further investigation by a store security.
Only police can detain for further investigation.

The store security cannot id you, he can just banned you from the store.
Either he places you under arrest because you shoplift or he let's you go.
He cannot stop you just because the alarm goes off at the exit of the store.
AND NO you cannot be held by police because you refused to identify to a
security gard. Only a police officer can detained you for failure to
indentify to a police officer. If you were arrested by a security guard and
you did not steal nothing, you could bring the company in civil court.

The receipt checking ant the exit alarms are there to help prevent
shoplifting not give a reason for the security agent to search you.

I work for a police department.

"anti spamjm" <antispam@spamfucker.com> wrote in message
news:W86dnVkmjMnet3ncRVn-sA@rogers.com...
> Not arrest so much as detain for further investigation.
> You can also be detained for failing to comply with the store policy
> requiring receipt checks. You could later be arrested and held by police
> for refusing to identify yourself--and yes you will be held until you can
> be positively identified either with or without your cooperation. If you
> don't have time to stand in line--shop elsewhere or don't shop at all.
> I'd rather have a bit of inconvenience than higher prices due to theft.
>
> Aside from that I once benefited from the item check at a Costco. It
> turned out that the clerk hadn't put several items into my cart after
> ringing them in. Small items but about 200 bucks worth of stuff none the
> less. Had they not checked the receipt, I'd have been out 200 bucks.
> Next time you rush the lines give thought to that option. It could save
> you money.
>
> Tom H wrote:
>> There most definately IS a point to all of this --- one relating to the
>> legal balance between privacy vs protection of property (seriously OT, I
>> know), a very important point to the loss prevention dept and not
>> superfluous or trivial at all.
>> OK, if the penalty for refusing to permit a search is being banned, every
>> crook in town will show up one after the other and be subjected to
>> banning, whilst making off with a ton of plunder in the process.
>> Lets leave the question of whether or not there is another method of
>> detecting theft aside (which, duh, of course there is, this is simply a

>> "just for the sake of argument" question), lets just say the only method
>> of detecting theft was the beeper. OK, a guy goes through, beep beep,
>> "No, I didn't take anything, I'm not submitting.", "You are banned.",
>> "Fine, see you later ...", and another couple hundred dollars worth of
>> stuff walks out the door? That doesn't sound right.
>> My question is: doesn't the fact that the beeper went off give security
>> the right (Probable cause) to make an arrest, in the event that the
>> person refuses to submit to a search?
>>
>> "BTR1701" <btr1702@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
>> news:btr1702-C21632.00033811012005@news.giganews.com...
>>
>>>In article <crvm6l$ne5$1@news.chatlink.com>,
>>>"69FLH" <69FLH10xx@campus.ie> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>There's some fucking people that just have too much time on their
>>>>hands.....
>>>
>>>Yeah, I don't. That's why I didn't want to wait in the receipt check
>>>line.
>>>
>>>
>>>>"BTR1701" <btr1702@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
>>>>news:btr1702-C8781D.19543910012005@news.giganews.com...
>>>>
>>>>>In article <34gk43F4asif7U1@individual.net>, "nana" <nana@netscape.net>
>>>>>wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>It is a condition of entry and there will be a Notice somewhere
>>>>>>stating
>>>>>>the
>>>>>>fact. If you do not wish to comply, then don't go in. Since you want
>>>>>>to
>>>>>>kick
>>>>>>up a fuss, they can ban you from the store.
>>>>>
>>>>>How? Not sure how they'd even know who I am. If I don't stop for them
>>>>>to
>>>>>check my receipt, I'm sure not going to stop for them to take down my
>>>>>name and information for future banning purposes and there's no law
>>>>>that
>>>>>says I have to.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>I understand all these "what if" scenarios, but WHY would you want to
>>>>>>make a
>>>>>>complete ass of yourself, make yourself known as a troublemaker, get
>>>>>>yourself banned from these stores and besmirch your reputation?

>>>>>
>>>>>Because it's just one more damn line to stand in. Shopping at Best buy
>>>>>this past Christmas, I stood in line to get to the register for over 30
>>>>>minutes, then when I finally get there and pay for my stuff, I walk
>>>>>toward the doors only to find another line 20 people deep waiting to
>>>>>have their receipt checked. At that point, I'd had enough of it and
>>>>>just
>>>>>walked around it and out the door.
>>>>>
>>>>>The clerk guy didn't catch up with me till I was already at my car and
>>>>>said he had to check my receipt. I said, no he didn't because if I
>>>>>hadn't paid for what I walked out with, the alarms would have gone off.
>>>>>Since they didn't, I don't need to be searched. I got in my car and
>>>>>drove off. I broke no law so there's nothing they could do. [And they
>>>>>had no clue who I was so it's not like they could "ban" me, either. Of
>>>>>course, I don't even live in that city so even if they did figure a way
>>>>>to ban me, so what?]
>>>>>
>>>>>And how is that going to "besmirch my reputation"? It's not like the
>>>>>whole world is going to know I decided to rebel against the receipt
>>>>>search policy and even if they did, how that makes me look bad such
>>>>>that
>>>>>my reputation is besmirched, I'm not sure.
>>>>>
>>>>>Somehow I think I could live with the "shame".
>>
>>

245. Re: can't use FRS in the malls. even though they were advertised to

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-12 05:23:00 UTC
From: Tom H <th54@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Uu2Fd.56572$8l.27175@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 15505
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement

Hah! I knew it. Thankyou for confirming my initial suspicions. So, you at least (Matermind) would agree with me that if security forcibly detained someone merely because the beeper went off, and there was no theft, they WOULD BE liable to litigation, wouldn't they?

"Mastermind" <...@....> wrote in message
news:ki2Fd.87734$Dl.1987656@weber.videotron.net...
> Sorry,
>
> BUT YOU CANNOT be detain for further investigation by a store security.
> Only police can detain for further investigation.
>
> The store security cannot id you, he can just banned you from the store.
> Either he places you under arrest because you shoplift or he let's you go.
> He cannot stop you just because the alarm goes off at the exit of the
> store. AND NO you cannot be held by police because you refused to identify
> to a security gard. Only a police officer can detained you for failure to
> indentify to a police officer. If you were arrested by a security guard
> and you did not steal nothing, you could bring the company in civil court.
>
> The receipt checking ant the exit alarms are there to help prevent
> shoplifting not give a reason for the security agent to search you.
>
> I work for a police department.
>
>
>
>
> "anti spamjm" <antispam@spamfucker.com> wrote in message
> news:W86dnVkmjMnet3ncRVn-sA@rogers.com...
>> Not arrest so much as detain for further investigation.
>> You can also be detained for failing to comply with the store policy
>> requiring receipt checks. You could later be arrested and held by police
>> for refusing to identify yourself--and yes you will be held until you can
>> be positively identified either with or without your cooperation. If you
>> don't have time to stand in line--shop elsewhere or don't shop at all.
>> I'd rather have a bit of inconvenience than higher prices due to theft.
>>
>> Aside from that I once benefited from the item check at a Costco. It
>> turned out that the clerk hadn't put several items into my cart after
>> ringing them in. Small items but about 200 bucks worth of stuff none the
>> less. Had they not checked the receipt, I'd have been out 200 bucks.
>> Next time you rush the lines give thought to that option. It could save

>> you money.
>>
>> Tom H wrote:
>>> There most definately IS a point to all of this --- one relating to the
>>> legal balance between privacy vs protection of property (seriously OT, I
>>> know), a very important point to the loss prevention dept and not
>>> superfluous or trivial at all.
>>> OK, if the penalty for refusing to permit a search is being banned,
>>> every crook in town will show up one after the other and be subjected to
>>> banning, whilst making off with a ton of plunder in the process.
>>> Lets leave the question of whether or not there is another method of
>>> detecting theft aside (which, duh, of course there is, this is simply a
>>> "just for the sake of argument" question), lets just say the only method
>>> of detecting theft was the beeper. OK, a guy goes through, beep beep,
>>> "No, I didn't take anything, I'm not submitting.", "You are banned.",
>>> "Fine, see you later ...", and another couple hundred dollars worth of
>>> stuff walks out the door? That doesn't sound right.
>>> My question is: doesn't the fact that the beeper went off give security
>>> the right (Probable cause) to make an arrest, in the event that the
>>> person refuses to submit to a search?
>>>
>>> "BTR1701" <btr1702@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
>>> news:btr1702-C21632.00033811012005@news.giganews.com...
>>>
>>>>In article <crvm6l$ne5$1@news.chatlink.com>,
>>>>"69FLH" <69FLH10xx@campus.ie> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>There's some fucking people that just have too much time on their
>>>>>hands.....
>>>>
>>>>Yeah, I don't. That's why I didn't want to wait in the receipt check
>>>>line.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>"BTR1701" <btr1702@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
>>>>>news:btr1702-C8781D.19543910012005@news.giganews.com...
>>>>>
>>>>>>In article <34gk43F4asif7U1@individual.net>, "nana"
>>>>>><nana@netscape.net>
>>>>>>wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>It is a condition of entry and there will be a Notice somewhere
>>>>>>>stating
>>>>>>>the
>>>>>>>fact. If you do not wish to comply, then don't go in. Since you want
>>>>>>>to
>>>>>>>kick
>>>>>>>up a fuss, they can ban you from the store.

>>>>>>
>>>>>>How? Not sure how they'd even know who I am. If I don't stop for them
>>>>>>to
>>>>>>check my receipt, I'm sure not going to stop for them to take down my
>>>>>>name and information for future banning purposes and there's no law
>>>>>>that
>>>>>>says I have to.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>I understand all these "what if" scenarios, but WHY would you want to
>>>>>>>make a
>>>>>>>complete ass of yourself, make yourself known as a troublemaker, get
>>>>>>>yourself banned from these stores and besmirch your reputation?
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Because it's just one more damn line to stand in. Shopping at Best buy
>>>>>>this past Christmas, I stood in line to get to the register for over
>>>>>>30
>>>>>>minutes, then when I finally get there and pay for my stuff, I walk
>>>>>>toward the doors only to find another line 20 people deep waiting to
>>>>>>have their receipt checked. At that point, I'd had enough of it and
>>>>>>just
>>>>>>walked around it and out the door.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>The clerk guy didn't catch up with me till I was already at my car and
>>>>>>said he had to check my receipt. I said, no he didn't because if I
>>>>>>hadn't paid for what I walked out with, the alarms would have gone
>>>>>>off.
>>>>>>Since they didn't, I don't need to be searched. I got in my car and
>>>>>>drove off. I broke no law so there's nothing they could do. [And they
>>>>>>had no clue who I was so it's not like they could "ban" me, either. Of
>>>>>>course, I don't even live in that city so even if they did figure a
>>>>>>way
>>>>>>to ban me, so what?]
>>>>>>
>>>>>>And how is that going to "besmirch my reputation"? It's not like the
>>>>>>whole world is going to know I decided to rebel against the receipt
>>>>>>search policy and even if they did, how that makes me look bad such
>>>>>>that
>>>>>>my reputation is besmirched, I'm not sure.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Somehow I think I could live with the "shame".
>>>
>>>
>

246. Re: Reporter Has Scanner in Kitchen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-12 06:18:59 UTC
From: nobody <nobody@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <5ag9u09l28nassrpr8t8krq27julllbic8@4ax.com>
Source record: 15506

On Sun, 9 Jan 2005 11:41:28 -0500, zerg90@webtv.net (Peter Sz) wrote:

>http://www.theledger.com/apps/pbcs.dll/article?AID=/20050109/NEWS/501090317/1021
>

You say that like it's a BAD thing.....

247. Re: Will a 5/8 groundplane for 2 meters work on 440

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-12 14:10:22 UTC
From: Matt <pissoff@spammers.suck.com>
Message-ID: <cs3b4e$24pa$1@otis.netspace.net.au>
Source record: 15507

Hey Lou - don't bother about BOG - the guy isa total wanker with an unhealthy interest in horses and their reproductive areas (self admitted). He is a pedantic tosser who rarely value adds anything to a conversation, rather he insists on correcting peoples spelling and grammatical construct. My suggestion is to add him to your blocked senders list (like many of us in VK already have).

Matt

"Lou" <lf08357@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:LzSEd.35047$NC6.16015@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net...
>
> "Barry OGrady" <god_freee_jones@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:4a76u0pjpeoiajuts39ojvurprpf37p4rn@4ax.com...
> > On 10 Jan 2005 06:52:54 -0800, stryped@hotmail.com wrote:
> >
> >>Just curious.
> >
> > Your question makes no sense. Did you mean 2 metres?
> >
> >
> > -Barry
> > ========
>
> The question made perfect sense. He asked basically if an antenna for 2
> meters (however YOU choose to spell it) which covers 144-148 MHz - would
> work on 440 MHz. I do believe someone already answered his question with a
> reliable answer. Just because you choose to spell it a different way does
> not make it a senseless question. I see many posts from other countries
> here - people who spell words far differently than us in America. IF not
> spelled differently, then they join many here IN America with very poor
> spelling (for whatever reason), but still their questions are read and
> answered - WITH what they are wanting to know. It is replies like yours
that
> chases people away from asking for good information - wanting to know -
when
> they don't have the answers available otherwise.
>
> DM
>
>

248. [SOLD] Re: Icom IC-R8500 Wide-Band Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-12 14:36:47 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <sbGdnVcx4-5gqHjcRVn-hQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 15508

This item has been sold.

Thanks,
Bill

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:2eidnbZhAJzeAn3cRVn-3g@comcast.com...
> For Sale:
>
> Icom IC-R8500 Wide-Band receiver. Mint condition, with original box,
> manual, schematic, AC power supply, and 12VDC cable. Continuous coverage!
>
> HRO's price, after coupon; $1554.00
>
> Buy mine, only $1200.00, shipped, within the U.S.
>
> Thanks,
> Bill Crocker
>
>
>

249. Re: Any good 13cm WideCoverage Receiver?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-12 15:15:14 UTC
From: David Norris <david_norris@fastmail.fm>
Message-ID: <6abFd.627$2z3.304@newsfe5-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 15509
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.scanner

<posted & mailed>

Ultra Kiasu wrote:

> I had scoured the net for cheaper 13cm (2.3-2.6 GHz) widecoverage
> receiver with fine adjustable stepping LCD controller.
>
> So far, had only found these 2 main suppliers at http://www.13cm.co.uk
> and http://www.g1mfg.com which both is UK based.
>
> G1MFG products look good but expensive, while 13cm's were cheap but
> crude (required DIY connections).
>
> Is there cheaper alternative, or better, ready-made (minimal
> construction required) which LCD controller built-in?
>
> I believe that since the main radio components are supplied by
> Taiwanese company, Comtech, there should be more similar products
> around the world, or at least, "clones".
>
> Any good recommendation?
>
>
> Thank you.
>
> Regards,
> Kevin
>
> PS: Appreciate if you could email me a copy of your reply.

The receivers which ship with 2.4GHz wireless cameras may be available separately, but only cover the 2.4-2.5 GHz area. Typically 4 channels. To be honest. the LCD although looking good isn't really vital since video is so wideband that you'll soon get to remember which is which, and a small tuning error isn't the end of the world.
I would suggest investing in a good high gain aerial though (I use a 20dB gain parabolic (cheapest about 40 UKP for a mesh one, if you don't fell like making one). You can use those for 802.11b wireless networking, since this operates in the same band.
David (G7VDI).

250. OPINION WANTED: RS Pro 82

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-12 17:45:05 UTC
From: Charlie Chan <detective@china.net>
Message-ID: <Xns95DC81BC64697charchandet@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 15510

I cannot seem to find to much in the way of reviews or opinions on this scanner from the usual sources.

Is this a decent scanner, or would I be better to buy a new Pro 83?

Your opinions and answers are appreciated.

Much Respect,

Charlie Chan

251. Re: can't use FRS in the malls. even though they were advertised to

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-12 18:01:34 UTC
From: Dave Balcom <balcy24@charter.net>
Message-ID: <7joau0ho15vqrjdu5bp4r3pbedkc60dtma@4ax.com>
Source record: 15511

On Wed, 12 Jan 2005 06:55:38 -0500, Mark <me@privacy.net> wrote:

}Once again, the fact that it was completely defective will have nothing to do
}with it.

True, if the detector normally works OK and one time gave a false alarm then you can make the argument under "good faith" that you thought it was working correctly (since it had in the past). On the flip side, if the detector goes off several times a day/week with false positives, then that is an entirely different set of circumstances. That is reality.

BTW, I am NOT a lawyer (but did stay in a Holiday Inn <G>). However, I have been a policeman for 22+ years now and have some experience with good faith defenses (i.e. reasonable belief). I cannot speak for other states though so we may both be right...

Going full circle to the original post, purposely triggering an alarm on the hope of making some money later is just as bad as making an arrest based on only an alarm going off...

Later,
Dave

252. Re: can't use FRS in the malls. even though they were advertised to

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-12 18:11:02 UTC
From: Tom H <th54@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <WKdFd.60247$8l.38987@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 15512

I'm the Original Poster.
Dave, yes I couldn't agree more, but my question is this:
IF the security people had only the false beep to go on, and IF the scurrilous fraudster was successfull in pulling the wool over everyone's eyes, and IF the security people took the bait and manhandled him into a back room(regardless of how likely this is to occur in reality , lets just say for the sake of argument that it did) then, after all that, would not a judge, MAYBE, award the guy(wrongly, of course, but he isn't in posession of all the facts)compensation?
Isn't there even the slimmest possibility that, given those admittedly unlikey conditions, the scurrilous fraudsters just MIGHT be successfull?
That's all my question was and I deeply regret any hard feelings or arguments it may have caused.

"Dave Balcom" <balcy24@charter.net> wrote in message news:7joau0ho15vqrjdu5bp4r3pbedkc60dtma@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 12 Jan 2005 06:55:38 -0500, Mark <me@privacy.net> wrote:
>
> }Once again, the fact that it was completely defective will have nothing
> to do
> }with it.
>
> True, if the detector normally works OK and one time gave a false alarm
> then you can make the argument under "good faith" that you thought it was
> working correctly (since it had in the past). On the flip side, if the
> detector goes off several times a day/week with false positives, then that
> is an entirely different set of circumstances. That is reality.
>
> BTW, I am NOT a lawyer (but did stay in a Holiday Inn <G>). However, I
> have
> been a policeman for 22+ years now and have some experience with good
> faith
> defenses (i.e. reasonable belief). I cannot speak for other states though
> so we may both be right...
>
> Going full circle to the original post, purposely triggering an alarm on
> the hope of making some money later is just as bad as making an arrest
> based on only an alarm going off...
>
>
> Later,
> Dave

253. Re: can't use FRS in the malls. even though they were advertised to

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-12 18:16:05 UTC
From: Dave Balcom <balcy24@charter.net>
Message-ID: <sdpau091ki4reovpoutdrrkpo7ru05spsh@4ax.com>
Source record: 15513

On Tue, 11 Jan 2005 15:45:09 -0500, Mark <me@privacy.net> wrote:

}admissible (unless the store KNEW it was defective in advance).

I went back and re-read what you posted earlier. You left out the last 1/2 of that statement and what attorney's like to argue in court "or should have known." The issue here is reliability and in my mind there is none with these security devices. What if a judge and/or jury felt the same way as I do based on the specifics of the case? Then you are open to all kinds of civil problems which was my point. It would a crap shoot based on these circumstance and risky.

}And what about someone driving a car identical to that of a bank robbery
}suspect who just happens to be in the wrong place at the wrong time? Would it
}be wrong to stop and detain them as well for any period of time?

Not at all because in this example a crime had indeed occurred and the vehicle fit the description given. In the previous example, no one saw anything criminal or even suspicious but you say people can be physically detained based solely upon an alarm at the door. Big difference...

Anyway, this is way off topic for a scanner group (I didn't cross post) so I will drop it...

Later,
Dave

254. Re: can't use FRS in the malls. even though they were advertised to

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-12 18:25:51 UTC
From: Dave Balcom <balcy24@charter.net>
Message-ID: <j9qau0hnfmvpc60rei2f1tj5bni1deau98@4ax.com>
Source record: 15515

On Wed, 12 Jan 2005 18:11:02 GMT, "Tom H" <th54@hotmail.com> wrote:

}Isn't there even the slimmest possibility that, given those admittedly
}unlikey conditions, the scurrilous fraudsters just MIGHT be successfull?

With our legal system where people get awarded millions for hurting themselves doing something stupid, YES, anything is possible. All it would take is for a civil jury to find the store's actions to be "unreasonable" and whammo. Now if they found the sensor behind your belt it may just work in reverse... :)

In police work we talk about preferring to be "judged by 12 rather than carried by 6." That is what it comes down to sometimes in deadly force issues where a split second decision costs you dearly years down the road. Your decision has be based on reason or you are screwed...

Later,
Dave

255. Trade Offer:Pro-2035/Pro-2067

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-12 18:26:33 UTC
From: Scan Da Bands <tommyboys_news@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <iqqau0tf6n71fqv5q2p7ueini6b3mnrng1@4ax.com>
Source record: 15514

Pro-2035 EXCELLENT CONDITION - Pro-2067 EXCELLENT CONDITION both in box and pc cable for 2067. Looking for a MINT BC-785D.

remove <SPAMSUCKS> to reply

256. Re: OPINION WANTED: RS Pro 82

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-12 18:31:30 UTC
From: Charlie Chan <detective@china.net>
Message-ID: <Xns95DC899AF7202charchandet@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 15516

Mark <me@privacy.net> wrote in
news:vtoau09uu3g10j6i60ijfbtr22ahlocvtv@4ax.com:

> On Wed, 12 Jan 2005 17:45:05 GMT, Charlie Chan <detective@china.net>
> wrote:
>
>>I cannot seem to find to much in the way of reviews or opinions on
>>this scanner from the usual sources.
>>
>>Is this a decent scanner, or would I be better to buy a new Pro 83?
>
> The 83 is a simple handheld 200 channel scanner. No alpha tags. No
> tone control. No trunking. It has one major feature called Signal
> Stalker. It is a built in frequency counter that finds strong signals
> and automatically tunes in to them. I've been using mine since the
> day after Thanksgiving and it's only use is frequency counter
> mode......
>
> If you need any more than this, the 83 doesn't have it.
>
>

OK, but what about the >>82<< ? What advantages does it offer (if you
know)?

I'm a bit mystified that I can't find one review on this scanner. I
googled it to death, but there's literally an information void on this
unit.

Charlie Chan

257. Re: [Question] AOR SR2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-12 18:51:09 UTC
From: David <david@spamremoveqthlondon.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <cs3rj8$2un$1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 15517
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

"Stephan Walther Larsen" <@premiumrx.dk> wrote in message
news:cafau0lqnck7jpeo16s7bnu73lok05s73l@4ax.com...
> Does anyone have any info if this is going to make production and end
> in retail any time soon ? and have a fair guestimate on price ?
>
> http://www.premiumrx.dk/sr2000.pdf

I would think so, AOR UK have it on their website:

http://www.aoruk.com/products/receiver/sr2000/sr2000.htm

258. Re: OPINION WANTED: RS Pro 82

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-12 18:55:54 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <hOydnQf9jbwq73jcRVn-ug@comcast.com>
Source record: 15518

The PRO-82 is an excellent scanner, if your needs are within it's capabilities. The frequency coverage is: 29-54, 108-174, 380-512MHz. There is no coverage in the 800MHz spectrum.

It's not reviewed, or talked about much, because it's "yesterday's news", so to speak. Nothing to get excited about, no state-of-the-art features, but does what it does, very well. The price is certainly right!

Bill Crocker

"Charlie Chan" <detective@china.net> wrote in message news:Xns95DC81BC64697charchandet@127.0.0.1...
>I cannot seem to find to much in the way of reviews or opinions on this
> scanner from the usual sources.
>
> Is this a decent scanner, or would I be better to buy a new Pro 83?
>
> Your opinions and answers are appreciated.
>
> Much Respect,
>
> Charlie Chan
>
>
>
>
>

259. restoration mods, mirror freqs, etc....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-12 20:02:02 UTC
From: lynch-limbaugh <moostafa_wad@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105560122.754262.196770@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15519

ive been sort of monitoring various discussions about restoration of gaps in certain bands nad ive gathered that in most cases mods are impossible yet i wonder why.

for instance i have a bc80xlt and have been advised that i might as well forget the whole idea.

does anyone have any idea why?
im not a tekkie by the way in case you havent noticed.

260. Re: This thread NEEDS to die.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-12 20:12:32 UTC
From: Lou <lf08357@Yahoo.Com>
Message-ID: <WyfFd.35219$NC6.11291@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 15521
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement

It DID die a few months ago, til someone revived it recently!

"Tom H" <th54@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:UwfFd.61441$8l.46534@pd7tw1no...
> Thankyou Dave --- you have answered my question, and you oughta know. I
> also think this thread is seriously OT for a scanner NG, and it's my last
> post relating to this thread.
>
>
>
> "john wilson" <jwilson9@erols.com> wrote in message
> news:41D88D8A.D924737B@erols.com...
>> It's called private property. Malls and shopping centers are on
>> private
>> property and can establish whatever rules they wish regarding the use of
>> cellphones, radios, etc. If you don't like it move on. If you want to
>> enter the premises, leave the radios in the car. In addition to concern
>> regarding a radio's use for shoplifting is the concern of comparison
>> shopping. My son had a summer job at a Target Store in the mall and knew
>> of
>> more than one employee who was sent to Walmart to compare prices using an
>> HT. Not a good idea. However, I have been in Circuit City using my HT
>> and
>> talking through our local 444.275 mhz. repeater and had no problems. It
>> just depends on who allows what where.
>>
>> c28k@webtv.net wrote:
>>
>>> p.s. I did not steal these FRS radios. I bought them legally, and have
>>> had them probably over a week now.
>>>
>>> They were advertised as to keep in touch witg yur family (and locate
>>> each other) at shoppng malls and parks.
>>>
>>> of course, when you go to a shopping mall, you usually shop, and since
>>> these radios were advertised for to be used at shopping malls,
>>>
>>> it never even crossed my mind that just having them (turned off, not
>>> transmitting, just having them) would set off the theft alarms of every
>>> single store in the mall that I went into and out of both when I went in
>>> and when I went out.
>>>
>>> until. it happened to me today.

>>>
>>> I did not have anything else with me that would have set off the theft
>>> alarms, and I did not try to steal anything from any store.
>>>
>>> The alarms must have detected the metal and went off when they did so.
>>>
>>> So I imagine anyone carrying just a regular handheld scanner they have
>>> to listen to would also acidentally set them off.
>>>
>>> My purpose was communications since the radio I had was advetrised to be
>>> used at the mall for personal communications with famly (to locate each
>>> other when we're ready to leave).
>>>
>>> Whose at fault here? The stores and mall or the radio manufacturers or
>>> the FCC for allowing it (FRS) to be used for that purpose and promoting
>>> it to be used that for purpose?
>>>
>>> They'll all probably blame each other.
>>>
>>> What I do know however, is that this can cause some very serious trouble
>>> and very serious problems with people. such as
>>>
>>> Theft alarms going off when people didn't steal anything and are just
>>> legally carrying their FRS radios and getting picked up by mall security
>>> and police for it, even if they didn't transmit anything. and were using
>>> it the way it was intended to and advertised as.
>>>
>>> Theft alarms going off when Liscened GMRS users are just carrying their
>>> radios and getting picked up by mall security and police for it, even if
>>> they didn't transmit anything, and were using it the way it was intended
>>> to and advertised as.
>>>
>>> Mall security and police going to a store where the theft allarm went
>>> off because of a FRS user or liscened GMRS user just having their radio
>>> with them, to be used as intended, while a real burglarly happens at a
>>> different store in the same mall and all the security personnel and
>>> police are at the store with the FRS or GMRS user because the theft
>>> alarms went off just from the FRS user or GMRS user having their radio
>>> with them. (not even transmitting anything).
>>>
>>>
>>
>
>

261. Re: This thread NEEDS to die.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-12 20:12:36 UTC
From: Tom H <th54@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <UwfFd.61441$8l.46534@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 15520
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement

Thankyou Dave --- you have answered my question, and you oughta know. I also think this thread is seriously OT for a scanner NG, and it's my last post relating to this thread.

"john wilson" <jwilson9@erols.com> wrote in message news:41D88D8A.D924737B@erols.com...
> It's called private property. Malls and shopping centers are on private
> property and can establish whatever rules they wish regarding the use of
> cellphones, radios, etc. If you don't like it move on. If you want to
> enter the premises, leave the radios in the car. In addition to concern
> regarding a radio's use for shoplifting is the concern of comparison
> shopping. My son had a summer job at a Target Store in the mall and knew
> of
> more than one employee who was sent to Walmart to compare prices using an
> HT. Not a good idea. However, I have been in Circuit City using my HT
> and
> talking through our local 444.275 mhz. repeater and had no problems. It
> just depends on who allows what where.
>
> c28k@webtv.net wrote:
>
>> p.s. I did not steal these FRS radios. I bought them legally, and have
>> had them probably over a week now.
>>
>> They were advertised as to keep in touch witg yur family (and locate
>> each other) at shoppng malls and parks.
>>
>> of course, when you go to a shopping mall, you usually shop, and since
>> these radios were advertised for to be used at shopping malls,
>>
>> it never even crossed my mind that just having them (turned off, not
>> transmitting, just having them) would set off the theft alarms of every
>> single store in the mall that I went into and out of both when I went in
>> and when I went out.
>>
>> until. it happened to me today.
>>
>> I did not have anything else with me that would have set off the theft
>> alarms, and I did not try to steal anything from any store.
>>
>> The alarms must have detected the metal and went off when they did so.
>>
>> So I imagine anyone carrying just a regular handheld scanner they have

>> to listen to would also acidentally set them off.
>>
>> My purpose was communications since the radio I had was advetrised to be
>> used at the mall for personal communications with famly (to locate each
>> other when we're ready to leave).
>>
>> Whose at fault here? The stores and mall or the radio manufacturers or
>> the FCC for allowing it (FRS) to be used for that purpose and promoting
>> it to be used that for purpose?
>>
>> They'll all probably blame each other.
>>
>> What I do know however, is that this can cause some very serious trouble
>> and very serious problems with people. such as
>>
>> Theft alarms going off when people didn't steal anything and are just
>> legally carrying their FRS radios and getting picked up by mall security
>> and police for it, even if they didn't transmit anything. and were using
>> it the way it was intended to and advertised as.
>>
>> Theft alarms going off when Liscened GMRS users are just carrying their
>> radios and getting picked up by mall security and police for it, even if
>> they didn't transmit anything, and were using it the way it was intended
>> to and advertised as.
>>
>> Mall security and police going to a store where the theft allarm went
>> off because of a FRS user or liscened GMRS user just having their radio
>> with them, to be used as intended, while a real burglarly happens at a
>> different store in the same mall and all the security personnel and
>> police are at the store with the FRS or GMRS user because the theft
>> alarms went off just from the FRS user or GMRS user having their radio
>> with them. (not even transmitting anything).
>>
>>
>

262. Re: ***THE ALTERNATIVE TO CELL MODS FOR SCANNERS*******

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-12 21:07:05 UTC
From: lynch-limbaugh <moostafa_wad@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105560298.851483.155870@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15522

moostafa_wad@yahoo.com

alts to scanner mods.

263. Re: This thread NEEDS to die.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-12 21:22:19 UTC
From: Dave Balcom <balcy24@charter.net>
Message-ID: <c15bu0hvu8ptuk3ise56r905d5ef78c7c2@4ax.com>
Source record: 15523

On Wed, 12 Jan 2005 15:12:32 -0500, "Lou" <lf08357@Yahoo.Com> wrote:

}It DID die a few months ago, til someone revived it recently!

Sorry! I just started following this group a few months ago after
purchasing a BC250D, a fine scanner I might add... :)

Later,
Dave

264. Re: What is your most popular band you listen to on your scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-12 21:30:49 UTC
From: Dave Holford <MyLastname@DELETE_THISsympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <1GgFd.27711$b64.742157@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 15524

Tom H wrote:
> submarine control frequencies, 200 - 300 Hz
>
> <stryped@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1105368731.401351.7180@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
>>I am just curious. Thinking of building some antennas for specific
>>bands and was wondering wahat was most popular.
>>
>
>
>
I'm also curious.

Whose left besides the Russians?

I understand the USN has, or is about to, shut down their operation-
which was quite a way below 200 Hz anyway.

Dave

265. social commentary, performance art or smut?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-12 22:00:23 UTC
From: thruthewoods32@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1105567223.476530.75060@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15525

I just came across the website for a video called "hunting for bambi".
>From the looks of it, it seems to be a low-brow stab at the OLN/Playboy genres of cable networks, but also comes across as a misogynist celebration of gun culture. Does anybody have any insight or opinions as to what else it could be construed as?
The preview is on www.teambambi.com

266. Re: restoration mods, mirror freqs, etc....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-12 22:04:21 UTC
From: glc1173@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050112170421.29300.00000077@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 15526
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Lynch-limbaugh wrote:
>restoration mods, mirror freqs, etc....

Try out 21.6 (double IF of 10.8 that many Bearcat scanners use) added to the frequency you want.
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Dissident news - plus immigration, gun rights, weather, Internet Gun Show
ALAMANCE INDEPENDENT:
official newspaper of the Vast Right Wing Conspiracy
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

267. BC 250D - was - Re: This thread NEEDS to die.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-12 22:40:52 UTC
From: Lou <lf08357@Yahoo.Com>
Message-ID: <0KhFd.35229$NC6.30382@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 15527

I don't think it was "you" that "really" did it... Although, a different name would have certainly killed it, if you were looking for info regarding your BC250D... But, be that as it may, it could die now.... just change the subject or drop it!

As to the BC 250D, can't say I've seen one. If it is anything like the 250, I owned one of them and was happy with it.

Lou

"Dave Balcom" <balcy24@charter.net> wrote in message news:c15bu0hvu8ptuk3ise56r905d5ef78c7c2@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 12 Jan 2005 15:12:32 -0500, "Lou" <lf08357@Yahoo.Com> wrote:
>
> }It DID die a few months ago, til someone revived it recently!
>
> Sorry! I just started following this group a few months ago after
> purchasing a BC250D, a fine scanner I might add... :)
>
> Later,
> Dave
>
>

268. Re: BC 250D - was - Re: This thread NEEDS to die.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-12 23:27:32 UTC
From: Tom H <th54@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <EniFd.63129$Xk.40209@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 15528

Ok, so lets all stop posting to this thread then ...

(joke)

"Lou" <lf08357@Yahoo.Com> wrote in message
news:0KhFd.35229$NC6.30382@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net...
>I don't think it was "you" that "really" did it... Although, a different
>name would have certainly killed it, if you were looking for info regarding
>your BC250D... But, be that as it may, it could die now.... just change the
>subject or drop it!
>
> As to the BC 250D, can't say I've seen one. If it is anything like the
> 250, I owned one of them and was happy with it.
>
> Lou
>
> "Dave Balcom" <balcy24@charter.net> wrote in message
> news:c15bu0hvu8ptuk3ise56r905d5ef78c7c2@4ax.com...
>> On Wed, 12 Jan 2005 15:12:32 -0500, "Lou" <lf08357@Yahoo.Com> wrote:
>>
>> }It DID die a few months ago, til someone revived it recently!
>>
>> Sorry! I just started following this group a few months ago after
>> purchasing a BC250D, a fine scanner I might add... :)
>>
>> Later,
>> Dave
>>
>>
>
>

269. Re: BC 250D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-12 23:54:16 UTC
From: Lou <lf08357@Yahoo.Com>
Message-ID: <OOiFd.35232$NC6.6851@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 15529

Am trying! I dropped the rest of the subject line..... hope it works.

L.

"Tom H" <th54@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:EniFd.63129$Xk.40209@pd7tw3no...
> Ok, so lets all stop posting to this thread then ...
>
> (joke)
>
>
> "Lou" <lf08357@Yahoo.Com> wrote in message
> news:0KhFd.35229$NC6.30382@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net...
>>I don't think it was "you" that "really" did it... Although, a different
>>name would have certainly killed it, if you were looking for info
>>regarding your BC250D... But, be that as it may, it could die now.... just
>>change the subject or drop it!
>>
>> As to the BC 250D, can't say I've seen one. If it is anything like the
>> 250, I owned one of them and was happy with it.
>>
>> Lou
>>
>> "Dave Balcom" <balcy24@charter.net> wrote in message
>> news:c15bu0hvu8ptuk3ise56r905d5ef78c7c2@4ax.com...
>>> On Wed, 12 Jan 2005 15:12:32 -0500, "Lou" <lf08357@Yahoo.Com> wrote:
>>>
>>> }It DID die a few months ago, til someone revived it recently!
>>>
>>> Sorry! I just started following this group a few months ago after
>>> purchasing a BC250D, a fine scanner I might add... :)
>>>
>>> Later,
>>> Dave
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

270. Re: social commentary, performance art or smut?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-12 23:57:25 UTC
From: Paul Keenleyside <bcpleights@teluz.net>
Message-ID: <FPiFd.85885$dv1.82550@edtnps89>
Source record: 15530

<thruthewoods32@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1105567223.476530.75060@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>I just came across the website for a video called "hunting for bambi".
>>From the looks of it, it seems to be a low-brow stab at the OLN/Playboy
> genres of cable networks, but also comes across as a misogynist
> celebration of gun culture. Does anybody have any insight or opinions
> as to what else it could be construed as?
> The preview is on www.teambambi.com

Junk comes to mind.

Also, Seems these boys can't get maturity and get past puberty.

Obviously the girl is acting...

and not very good at it.

The video is pretty craptacular.

271. Yep, I've absolutely and completely stopped posting to ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-13 00:05:25 UTC
From: Tom H <th54@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <9XiFd.63331$Xk.26287@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 15531

Yep, I've absolutely and completely stopped posting to this thread now ...

"Lou" <lf08357@Yahoo.Com> wrote in message
news:OOiFd.35232$NC6.6851@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net...
> Am trying! I dropped the rest of the subject line........ hope it works.
>
> L.
>
> "Tom H" <th54@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:EniFd.63129$Xk.40209@pd7tw3no...
>> Ok, so lets all stop posting to this thread then ...
>>
>> (joke)
>>
>>
>> "Lou" <lf08357@Yahoo.Com> wrote in message
>> news:0KhFd.35229$NC6.30382@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net...
>>>I don't think it was "you" that "really" did it... Although, a different
>>>name would have certainly killed it, if you were looking for info
>>>regarding your BC250D... But, be that as it may, it could die now....
>>>just change the subject or drop it!
>>>
>>> As to the BC 250D, can't say I've seen one. If it is anything like the
>>> 250, I owned one of them and was happy with it.
>>>
>>> Lou
>>>
>>> "Dave Balcom" <balcy24@charter.net> wrote in message
>>> news:c15bu0hvu8ptuk3ise56r905d5ef78c7c2@4ax.com...
>>>> On Wed, 12 Jan 2005 15:12:32 -0500, "Lou" <lf08357@Yahoo.Com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>> }It DID die a few months ago, til someone revived it recently!
>>>>
>>>> Sorry! I just started following this group a few months ago after
>>>> purchasing a BC250D, a fine scanner I might add... :)
>>>>
>>>> Later,
>>>> Dave
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>

>
>

272. FT. SPEECH INVERTER !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-13 01:23:48 UTC
From: "Johnny V. Mullins" <jvm333@alltel.net>
Message-ID: <F4kFd.610$J36.479@fe39.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 15532

Anyone here like to play with a DS49 speech inverter ??
Listen to those inverted conversations and understand what they're saying
:-)
Plugs into a receiver earphone jack. Powered by 9 volt power source.
Nice clean 5" x 6" x 3" black and silver case.
Instruction sheet and diagram included.
I'd swap the inverter for a nice (working) 30/40 ch. handheld scanner.

NOT TO BE MISTAKEN FOR A DIGITAL DESCRAMBLER !!

Johnny

273. Re: Number one Scanner on the market?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-13 02:03:59 UTC
From: SkyPilot <skypilotnospam@writeme.com>
Message-ID: <6qkbu010pefp2cf0od8c4vou061454jatb@4ax.com>
Source record: 15533

Bill <bigc300@carolina.rr.com> pounded on the keyboard and wrote:

>who makes the most popular handheld scanning radio today?
>
>Thanks,
>Bill
>

Bill,

I have a RS Pro-96, which I just picked up last Dec. This is my 4th scanner over a 17 year period. I sold my Uniden BC-245XLT to help defray the cost to get the Pro-96. The BC-245 was a great scanner, but a local county that borders my city is going digital later this year. I wanted to be able to monitor them, but did not want to fork out the nearly $650 for the Uniden BC250D.

After reading lots of reviews on the Pro-96, I got one last Dec. The Pro-96 is by far the best scanner I have every used. It has great sensitivity and the audio is awesome.

I also picked up the Radio Shack 800mhz antenna - cat # 20-283. I am able to pick up Virginia Beach's trunking system from over 35 miles away. Was never able to do that with the BC-245.

The Pro-96 also used AA batteries, so you can use rechargeables. You can also program the scanner with Win96 software.

Good Luck

Brian
--

Brian J. Rueger | Hampton Div. of Fire & Rescue | "Who dares wins"
Lt./Paramedic | Fire Communications Officer | Hampton, VA.
B.S. Comm/I/SEL Pilot | MSgt, USAF (Ret.) 49199 | NREMT-P
Check out my home page: http://members.cox.net/brueger
Some of my photography: http://www.usefilm.com/photographer/34185.html
"Life's too short to drink LITE beer!"
---

274. Re: FT. SPEECH INVERTER !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-13 02:41:35 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <VfSdnV67ZLBBQnjcRVn-tg@comcast.com>
Source record: 15534

I'm surprised anyone uses that method anymore. So much for Homeland
Security.

Bill Crocker

"Johnny V. Mullins" <jvm333@alltel.net> wrote in message
news:F4kFd.610$J36.479@fe39.usenetserver.com...
> Anyone here like to play with a DS49 speech inverter ??
> Listen to those inverted conversations and understand what they're saying
> :-)
> Plugs into a receiver earphone jack. Powered by 9 volt power source.
> Nice clean 5" x 6" x 3" black and silver case.
> Instruction sheet and diagram included.
> I'd swap the inverter for a nice (working) 30/40 ch. handheld scanner.
>
> NOT TO BE MISTAKEN FOR A DIGITAL DESCRAMBLER !!
>
> Johnny
>
>
>

275. How do you get the external antenna feed in?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-13 03:27:32 UTC
From: Nick <nickleeson@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1105586852.115174.160120@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15535

This has always intrigued me. I live in a typical southern house built in the 70s. No frills architecture, one-storied,mostly wooden, a small backyard and no basement. If I were to set up an external antenna, how do I get the Coax feed inside. I don't own this house, so I don't wanna drill holes in the door/window frames or on the walls. Any hope for me ? In the worst case , I'd have to get the feed through the fire-place chimney thats no longer used.
-Nick

276. Re: FT. SPEECH INVERTER !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-13 03:27:39 UTC
From: "Johnny V. Mullins" <jvm333@alltel.net>
Message-ID: <MUlFd.308$aa6.298@fe61.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 15536

I'm sorry to have offended the group with my post
I'll take a hammer to the nasty ol' inverter right away
!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!! Wheeeew

Johnny

BTW: I didn't know the "CHEEKALIKES" were still on here !!!

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:VfSdnV67ZLBBQnjcRVn-tg@comcast.com...
> I'm surprised anyone uses that method anymore. So much for Homeland
> Security.
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
> "Johnny V. Mullins" <jvm333@alltel.net> wrote in message
> news:F4kFd.610$J36.479@fe39.usenetserver.com...
> > Anyone here like to play with a DS49 speech inverter ??
> > Listen to those inverted conversations and understand what they're
saying
> > :-)
> > Plugs into a receiver earphone jack. Powered by 9 volt power source.
> > Nice clean 5" x 6" x 3" black and silver case.
> > Instruction sheet and diagram included.
> > I'd swap the inverter for a nice (working) 30/40 ch. handheld scanner.
> >
> > NOT TO BE MISTAKEN FOR A DIGITAL DESCRAMBLER !!
> >
> > Johnny
> >
> >
> >
>
>

277. Re: can't use FRS in the malls. even though they were advertised to

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-13 03:45:32 UTC
From: Mastermind
Message-ID: <t%FFd.15317$8m.368208@weber.videotron.net>
Source record: 15473
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement

Ok, have it your way. Tell whatever you want. I have no time to waste with
a smart ass.

Just check your info before giving all kinds of false information.

"Mark" <me@privacy.net> wrote in message
news:u24au0tndm42bocqv9ci6r8il2hcet6bco@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 12 Jan 2005 00:08:41 -0500, "Mastermind" <...@....> wrote:
>
>>Sorry,
>>
>>BUT YOU CANNOT be detain for further investigation by a store security.
>>Only police can detain for further investigation.
>
> What fantasy world do you live in? You most certainly can be detained by
> store security. In every single state.
>
>>The store security cannot id you, he can just banned you from the store.
>
> Wrong.
>
>>Either he places you under arrest because you shoplift or he let's you go.
>
> Security guards don't have arrest powers (unless you are talking about a
> citizen's arrest). Wrong again.
>
>>He cannot stop you just because the alarm goes off at the exit of the
>>store.
>
> You're on a roll. Wrong again.
>
>>AND NO you cannot be held by police because you refused to identify to a
>>security gard.
>
> You're 1/2 right. If you refuse to ID yourself to a security guard, it's
> a
> moot point since the police are on their way. Once they show up and you
> refuse to ID yourself to them, then you get charged. Same end result.
>
>>I work for a police department.
>
> Yet you are not a police officer so what's the point?
>
>

>
>>"anti spamjm" <antispam@spamfucker.com> wrote in message
>>news:W86dnVkmjMnet3ncRVn-sA@rogers.com...
>>> Not arrest so much as detain for further investigation.
>>> You can also be detained for failing to comply with the store policy
>>> requiring receipt checks. You could later be arrested and held by
>>> police
>>> for refusing to identify yourself--and yes you will be held until you
>>> can
>>> be positively identified either with or without your cooperation. If
>>> you
>>> don't have time to stand in line--shop elsewhere or don't shop at all.
>>> I'd rather have a bit of inconvenience than higher prices due to theft.
>>>
>>> Aside from that I once benefited from the item check at a Costco. It
>>> turned out that the clerk hadn't put several items into my cart after
>>> ringing them in. Small items but about 200 bucks worth of stuff none
>>> the
>>> less. Had they not checked the receipt, I'd have been out 200 bucks.
>>> Next time you rush the lines give thought to that option. It could save
>>> you money.
>>>
>>> Tom H wrote:
>>>> There most definately IS a point to all of this --- one relating to the
>>>> legal balance between privacy vs protection of property (seriously OT,
>>>> I
>>>> know), a very important point to the loss prevention dept and not
>>>> superfluous or trivial at all.
>>>> OK, if the penalty for refusing to permit a search is being banned,
>>>> every
>>>> crook in town will show up one after the other and be subjected to
>>>> banning, whilst making off with a ton of plunder in the process.
>>>> Lets leave the question of whether or not there is another method of
>>>> detecting theft aside (which, duh, of course there is, this is simply a
>>>> "just for the sake of argument" question), lets just say the only
>>>> method
>>>> of detecting theft was the beeper. OK, a guy goes through, beep beep,
>>>> "No, I didn't take anything, I'm not submitting.", "You are banned.",
>>>> "Fine, see you later ...", and another couple hundred dollars worth of
>>>> stuff walks out the door? That doesn't sound right.
>>>> My question is: doesn't the fact that the beeper went off give security
>>>> the right (Probable cause) to make an arrest, in the event that the
>>>> person refuses to submit to a search?
>>>>
>>>> "BTR1701" <btr1702@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:btr1702-C21632.00033811012005@news.giganews.com...
>>>>
>>>>>In article <crvm6l$ne5$1@news.chatlink.com>,
>>>>>"69FLH" <69FLH10xx@campus.ie> wrote:
>>>>>

>>>>>
>>>>>>There's some fucking people that just have too much time on their
>>>>>>hands.....
>>>>>
>>>>>Yeah, I don't. That's why I didn't want to wait in the receipt check
>>>>>line.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>"BTR1701" <btr1702@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>news:btr1702-C8781D.19543910012005@news.giganews.com...
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>In article <34gk43F4asif7U1@individual.net>, "nana"
>>>>>>><nana@netscape.net>
>>>>>>>wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>It is a condition of entry and there will be a Notice somewhere
>>>>>>>>stating
>>>>>>>>the
>>>>>>>>fact. If you do not wish to comply, then don't go in. Since you want
>>>>>>>>to
>>>>>>>>kick
>>>>>>>>up a fuss, they can ban you from the store.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>How? Not sure how they'd even know who I am. If I don't stop for them
>>>>>>>to
>>>>>>>check my receipt, I'm sure not going to stop for them to take down my
>>>>>>>name and information for future banning purposes and there's no law
>>>>>>>that
>>>>>>>says I have to.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>I understand all these "what if" scenarios, but WHY would you want
>>>>>>>>to
>>>>>>>>make a
>>>>>>>>complete ass of yourself, make yourself known as a troublemaker, get
>>>>>>>>yourself banned from these stores and besmirch your reputation?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Because it's just one more damn line to stand in. Shopping at Best
>>>>>>>buy
>>>>>>>this past Christmas, I stood in line to get to the register for over
>>>>>>>30
>>>>>>>minutes, then when I finally get there and pay for my stuff, I walk
>>>>>>>toward the doors only to find another line 20 people deep waiting to
>>>>>>>have their receipt checked. At that point, I'd had enough of it and
>>>>>>>just
>>>>>>>walked around it and out the door.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>The clerk guy didn't catch up with me till I was already at my car
>>>>>>>and

>>>>>>>said he had to check my receipt. I said, no he didn't because if I
>>>>>>>hadn't paid for what I walked out with, the alarms would have gone
>>>>>>>off.
>>>>>>>Since they didn't, I don't need to be searched. I got in my car and
>>>>>>>drove off. I broke no law so there's nothing they could do. [And they
>>>>>>>had no clue who I was so it's not like they could "ban" me, either.
>>>>>>>Of
>>>>>>>course, I don't even live in that city so even if they did figure a
>>>>>>>way
>>>>>>>to ban me, so what?]
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>And how is that going to "besmirch my reputation"? It's not like the
>>>>>>>whole world is going to know I decided to rebel against the receipt
>>>>>>>search policy and even if they did, how that makes me look bad such
>>>>>>>that
>>>>>>>my reputation is besmirched, I'm not sure.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Somehow I think I could live with the "shame".
>>>>
>>>>
>

278. Re: FT. SPEECH INVERTER !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-13 04:28:20 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <opadnWWkGfR-ZXjcRVn-1A@comcast.com>
Source record: 15537

```
WOW! What did I do???

Bill Crocker

"Johnny V. Mullins" <jvm333@alltel.net> wrote in message
news:MUlFd.308$aa6.298@fe61.usenetserver.com...
> I'm sorry to have offended the group with my post
> I'll take a hammer to the nasty ol' inverter right away
> !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!! Wheeeew
>
> Johnny
>
> BTW: I didn't know the "CHEEKALIKES" were still on here !!!
>
>
> "Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:VfSdnV67ZLBBQnjcRVn-tg@comcast.com...
>> I'm surprised anyone uses that method anymore. So much for Homeland
>> Security.
>>
>> Bill Crocker
>>
>>
>> "Johnny V. Mullins" <jvm333@alltel.net> wrote in message
>> news:F4kFd.610$J36.479@fe39.usenetserver.com...
>> > Anyone here like to play with a DS49 speech inverter ??
>> > Listen to those inverted conversations and understand what they're
> saying
>> > :-)
>> > Plugs into a receiver earphone jack. Powered by 9 volt power source.
>> > Nice clean 5" x 6" x 3" black and silver case.
>> > Instruction sheet and diagram included.
>> > I'd swap the inverter for a nice (working) 30/40 ch. handheld scanner.
>> >
>> > NOT TO BE MISTAKEN FOR A DIGITAL DESCRAMBLER !!
>> >
>> > Johnny
>> >
>> >
>> >
>>
>>
>
>
>
```

279. Re: How do you get the external antenna feed in?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-13 04:42:12 UTC
From: HotShot <MyShotIsHot@HotShotShootsSpam.Com>
Message-ID: <J_mFd.30585$b64.859989@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 15538

you can use that bluetooth technology. don't they have wireless
communicator's yet for communication between antenna and radio?

Nick wrote:
> This has always intrigued me. I live in a typical southern house built
> in the 70s. No frills architecture, one-storied,mostly wooden, a small
> backyard and no basement. If I were to set up an external antenna, how
> do I get the Coax feed inside. I don't own this house, so I don't wanna
> drill holes in the door/window frames or on the walls. Any hope for me
> ? In the worst case , I'd have to get the feed through the fire-place
> chimney thats no longer used.
> -Nick
>

280. Re: OPINION WANTED: RS Pro 82

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-13 05:17:16 UTC
From: Charlie Chan <detective@china.net>
Message-ID: <Xns95DD2F377464charchandet@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 15539

Bill,

Now >that's< the quality response I was looking for! Outstanding, even-keeled information.

Thank you- I definitely appreciate it.

Much Respect,

Charlie Chan

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in news:hOydnQf9jbwq73jcRVn-ug@comcast.com:

> The PRO-82 is an excellent scanner, if your needs are within it's
> capabilities. The frequency coverage is: 29-54, 108-174, 380-512MHz.
> There is no coverage in the 800MHz spectrum.
>
> It's not reviewed, or talked about much, because it's "yesterday's
> news", so to speak. Nothing to get excited about, no state-of-the-art
> features, but does what it does, very well. The price is certainly
> right!
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
> "Charlie Chan" <detective@china.net> wrote in message
> news:Xns95DC81BC64697charchandet@127.0.0.1...
>>I cannot seem to find to much in the way of reviews or opinions on
>>this
>> scanner from the usual sources.
>>
>> Is this a decent scanner, or would I be better to buy a new Pro 83?
>>
>> Your opinions and answers are appreciated.
>>
>> Much Respect,
>>
>> Charlie Chan
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>

>
>

281. PING: Bill Crocker- Your opinion, please...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-13 05:23:05 UTC
From: Charlie Chan <detective@china.net>
Message-ID: <Xns95DD3EFB8399charchandet@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 15541

Bill,

Thanks again for the earlier response on the Pro 82.

The plot just thickened for me (much to my delight):

Your opinion please:

Icom R-5 or Yaesu VR120D

The Yaesu has a bunch of small frequency gaps, but many complain of the slow scan rate of the R-5. Which of the two would you suggest?

Thank you for your reply.

Much Respect,

Charlie Chan

282. Re: How do you get the external antenna feed in?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-13 05:23:28 UTC
From: Nick <nickleeson@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1105593808.848112.11280@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15540

Not that I am aware of.

283. Re: How do you get the external antenna feed in?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-13 05:35:08 UTC
From: Blank <anon@nothing.nowhere>
Message-ID: <gMnFd.64943$6l.38415@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 15542

I've heard of people running the coax through the same route that the power
or telephone lines take into the house.
For bluetooth to work you would have to have a considerable amount of
processing already done on the signal --- if you had the entire radio
outside, you could use bluetooth to send the audio output from the radio
into the house, but how would you control the radio's tuning etc? I think
you're stuck with finding a way to get coax into the building.

"Nick" <nickleeson@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1105586852.115174.160120@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> This has always intrigued me. I live in a typical southern house built
> in the 70s. No frills architecture, one-storied,mostly wooden, a small
> backyard and no basement. If I were to set up an external antenna, how
> do I get the Coax feed inside. I don't own this house, so I don't wanna
> drill holes in the door/window frames or on the walls. Any hope for me
> ? In the worst case , I'd have to get the feed through the fire-place
> chimney thats no longer used.
> -Nick
>

284. Re: How do you get the external antenna feed in?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-13 14:38:42 UTC
From: Jim <junk@junk.com>
Message-ID: <SJvFd.93878$mA3.61791@fe2.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 15543

One good method is to cut a piece of wood that fits your window opening then drill your holes in that. A nice 1*4 or 1*6 works well. Other folks use antennas mounted in the attic, drop the line down into a wall cavity and fish it out at a wall plug or phone jack... Just a couple ideas.

"Nick" <nickleeson@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1105586852.115174.160120@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> This has always intrigued me. I live in a typical southern house built
> in the 70s. No frills architecture, one-storied,mostly wooden, a small
> backyard and no basement. If I were to set up an external antenna, how
> do I get the Coax feed inside. I don't own this house, so I don't wanna
> drill holes in the door/window frames or on the walls. Any hope for me
> ? In the worst case , I'd have to get the feed through the fire-place
> chimney thats no longer used.
> -Nick
>

285. Contact cleaner on BNC connection

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-13 14:47:27 UTC
From: Frank Bals <fjb@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3SvFd.7599$w62.52@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 15544

Is it safe to spray some contact cleaner (DeOxit) into the BNC connector on my handheld scanner? The 2 prongs or forks look dirty and I want to get the best connection possible....

Frank

286. Discone scanner plans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-13 15:14:01 UTC
From: stryped@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1105629241.676727.90370@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15545

Does anyone know if there are plans for a discone scanner antenna on the web to build?

287. Re: FT. SPEECH INVERTER !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-13 15:40:13 UTC
From: hv <tractorf@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <ozwFd.3291$Zv5.2073@bignews1.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 15546

Slight mental imbalence.
"Johnny V. Mullins" <jvm333@alltel.net> wrote in message
news:MUlFd.308$aa6.298@fe61.usenetserver.com...
> I'm sorry to have offended the group with my post
> I'll take a hammer to the nasty ol' inverter right away
> !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!! Wheeeew
>
> Johnny
>
> BTW: I didn't know the "CHEEKALIKES" were still on here !!!
>
>
> "Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:VfSdnV67ZLBBQnjcRVn-tg@comcast.com...
> > I'm surprised anyone uses that method anymore. So much for Homeland
> > Security.
> >
> > Bill Crocker
> >
> >
> > "Johnny V. Mullins" <jvm333@alltel.net> wrote in message
> > news:F4kFd.610$J36.479@fe39.usenetserver.com...
> > > Anyone here like to play with a DS49 speech inverter ??
> > > Listen to those inverted conversations and understand what they're
> saying
> > > :-)
> > > Plugs into a receiver earphone jack. Powered by 9 volt power source.
> > > Nice clean 5" x 6" x 3" black and silver case.
> > > Instruction sheet and diagram included.
> > > I'd swap the inverter for a nice (working) 30/40 ch. handheld
scanner.
> > >
> > > NOT TO BE MISTAKEN FOR A DIGITAL DESCRAMBLER !!
> > >
> > > Johnny
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>
>

288. Re: Contact cleaner on BNC connection

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-13 15:41:11 UTC
From: Scan Da Bands <vzeip5vc@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <ui5du05nbg3jnu0oac8sgl5vd66hl0r02m@4ax.com>
Source record: 15547

Hey Frank,

Q-tip would work too.....................

On Thu, 13 Jan 2005 14:47:27 GMT, "Frank Bals" <fjb@worldnet.att.net>
wrote:

>Is it safe to spray some contact cleaner (DeOxit) into the BNC connector on
>my handheld scanner? The 2 prongs or forks look dirty and I want to get the
>best connection possible....
>
>Frank
>

289. Re: Discone scanner plans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-13 18:05:42 UTC
From: T-bone <mis@take.net>
Message-ID: <Xns95DD85381FD63ewrfdgrstnetaakeanfk@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 15548

stryped@hotmail.com wrote in news:1105629241.676727.90370
@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com:

> Does anyone know if there are plans for a discone scanner antenna on
> the web to build?
>
>

Why not purchase one from RS, reverse engineer the simple layout, then
proceed to design one with the improvements that you think will make it
better ?
You will then have something to compare your new creations with to see if
they really are better.

290. Re: Discone scanner plans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-13 19:18:51 UTC
From: stryped@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1105643931.461632.197700@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15549

Are discones the "best" all band scanner antenna? SHould they be mounted high?

I like your idea. I will have to go online and see what a radio shack costs. I would like to build one better than you could buy one though.

291. Mag mount + rain = wet seats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-13 19:26:42 UTC
From: User <user@host.net>
Message-ID: <cs6i1j$5jf$1@reader2.panix.com>
Source record: 15450

I'll do the Jeopardy thing:

A: Take the antennas off the roof during a rain storm.
Q: How do you prevent water from entering through the weather stripping with a mag mount antenna during a rain storm?

So let's say I'm looking for another alternative. I am using mag mounts because I don't want to permanently modify the car, but I would like them to be permanent, in that I don't want to remove them until I sell the car. Right now I am bringing two coax feeds in through a rear door, but it leaks. I am going to try the hatch door and sunroof, but failing that, is there anything I can do to keep the water out?

Thanks

292. Is this antenna any good for both transmit and receive?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-13 19:41:06 UTC
From: stryped@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1105645266.273137.292610@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15451

http://www.radioshack.com/product.asp?catalog%5Fname=CTLG&product%5Fid=20-043

293. Re: Discone scanner plans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-13 20:04:13 UTC
From: Nick <nickleeson@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1105646653.397032.67500@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15452

I found one in RS lastweek , a generic discone for 25Mhz-1300Mhz;
costed a hefty 69 bucks. Also check out antennawarehouse.com, Grove's
etc.

294. FREQ HELP: - Long Drive down the east coast, MA to FL. Would appreciate Freq Reco's...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-13 20:27:02 UTC
From: Jon <jon@j0nsi8eu.com>
Message-ID: <1301200515270270 51%jon@j0nsi8eu.com>
Source record: 15453

Hi...

I'm planning a driving trip from MA to FL soon, and would love to pre-program my "scanner" with some interesting frequencies...especially those that might be valid through all the east coastal states...common frequencies. My radio is actually a ham radio that covers "2m and 440" which basically means I can do 118 MHZ to 173 MHz and 410 to 468 MHz or so...so I can do aircraft, public service, etc.

Anyway, if anyone's done such a trip before and could recommend some must-have frequencies, I'd appreciate it. (I am an aviation fan, so common aviation frequencies would be especially welcome....but on such a long trip, I'm open to any active freq ideas.)

THANKS!

295. Re: Is this antenna any good for both transmit and receive?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-13 21:14:46 UTC
From: Ron <ab4ua@sc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <axBFd.16979$fE4.2718401@twister.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 15454

I have one installed in my attic and it has a flat SWR across the 2 meter band.

<stryped@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:1105645266.273137.292610@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> http://www.radioshack.com/product.asp?catalog%5Fname=CTLG&product%5Fid=20-043
>

296. Re: Is this antenna any good for both transmit and receive?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-13 21:48:55 UTC
From: stryped@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1105652935.685791.191430@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15456

Ron wrote:
> I have one installed in my attic and it has a flat SWR across the 2
meter
> band.
>
> <stryped@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1105645266.273137.292610@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
http://www.radioshack.com/product.asp?catalog%5Fname=CTLG&product%5Fid=20-043
> >

How well does it transmitt compared to other antennas? How far out can
you get?

297. Re: Discone scanner plans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-13 21:50:11 UTC
From: stryped@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1105653011.779264.200770@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15455

How is it made? Do you like it?

298. scanner coax length

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-13 21:58:38 UTC
From: stryped@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1105653518.164539.234330@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15457

Does the length of coax decrease performance in scanning as much as when you are transmitting?

I can put an antenna in my attic where I will only have about 5 feet of coax. (My scanner is upstairs) I could mount an outside antenna on top of my tv tower at about 30 feet, but would need to run about 50 feet of coax. The total elevation if it was in my attic would be 20 feet or so. (But I do have alot of insulation that might block the signal).

299. Re: scanner coax length

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-13 22:43:29 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <oOmdnaPVKqQQZHvcRVn-sQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 15458

Maybe not as much, but it does impact reception, especially in the 800+MHz frequencies.

Keeping the antenna inside, has advantages. No corrosion, no wind damage, no sun's UV rays breaking down your cable insulation. If the insulation in your attic has the aluminized paper backing, it could be a problem however.

50 feet would not be excessive, if you use good quality coax.

Bill Crocker

<stryped@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1105653518.164539.234330@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Does the length of coax decrease performance in scanning as much as
> when you are transmitting?
>
> I can put an antenna in my attic where I will only have about 5 feet of
> coax. (My scanner is upstairs) I could mount an outside antenna on top
> of my tv tower at about 30 feet, but would need to run about 50 feet of
> coax. The total elevation if it was in my attic would be 20 feet or so.
> (But I do have alot of insulation that might block the signal).
>

300. Re: scanner coax length

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-13 22:48:14 UTC
From: mikeFNB <moc.dlrowltn@FNB7G-ekim>
Message-ID: <OUCFd.1133$t.685@newsfe1-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 15459

the higher the better.
extended coax length will ofcourse increase coax losses but they will be far lower than the gain you will get by sticking it up high, and outside.

mike

<stryped@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1105653518.164539.234330@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Does the length of coax decrease performance in scanning as much as
> when you are transmitting?
>
> I can put an antenna in my attic where I will only have about 5 feet of
> coax. (My scanner is upstairs) I could mount an outside antenna on top
> of my tv tower at about 30 feet, but would need to run about 50 feet of
> coax. The total elevation if it was in my attic would be 20 feet or so.
> (But I do have alot of insulation that might block the signal).
>

301. Re: Discone scanner plans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-13 22:49:45 UTC
From: T-bone <mis@take.net>
Message-ID: <Xns95DDB56191563ewrfdgrstnetaakeanfk@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 15460

stryped@hotmail.com wrote in news:1105653011.779264.200770
@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com:

> How is it made? Do you like it?
>
>

http://tinyurl.com/6vaag

I like them.
I see they now include a vertical element, something they didn't do 10 years ago when I bought mine.
Seems to me thats theres not much room to reasonably improve.
You either make the elements shorter or longer, and/or of a better grade of material/sturdier construction.
I might add that mine has stood up rather well to 10 years or wear and tear exposed to the elements on my roof.

302. Re: Bill Crocker- Your opinion, please...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-13 22:59:04 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <YI-dnSR2U76pYHvcRVn-tA@comcast.com>
Source record: 15461

I don't know if I can give you an unbiased opinion, as I currently have a Icom R5 for sale!

Both the Icom R5, and the Yaesu VR120D, are excellent radios. My R5 is unblocked. I'm not familiar with the 120D's gaps, other than cellular.

I don't think the scan rate of the R5 is slow at all. Most all the Icom's, Yaesu's, Alinco's, etc, scan slower than Radio Shack's /GRE, and Uniden Bearcats. But I personally do not think it presents a listening problem. You wouldn't want to try an scan 100 channels, as it would take awhile to make the rounds!

Click here, and compare the specs on the two:
http://universal-radio.com/catalog/widerxvr.html

Bill Crocker

"Charlie Chan" <detective@china.net> wrote in message
news:Xns95DD3EFB8399charchandet@127.0.0.1...
> Bill,
>
> Thanks again for the earlier response on the Pro 82.
>
> The plot just thickened for me (much to my delight):
>
> Your opinion please:
>
> Icom R-5 or Yaesu VR120D
>
> The Yaesu has a bunch of small frequency gaps, but many complain of the
> slow scan rate of the R-5. Which of the two would you suggest?
>
> Thank you for your reply.
>
> Much Respect,
>
> Charlie Chan
>

303. Re: scanner coax length

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-13 23:03:22 UTC
From: Jim <junk@junk.com>
Message-ID: <_6DFd.94235$mA3.3732@fe2.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 15462

"mikeFNB" <moc.dlrowltn@FNB7G-ekim> wrote in message
news:OUCFd.1133$t.685@newsfe1-gui.ntli.net...
> the higher the better.
> extended coax length will ofcourse increase coax losses but they will be
> far
> lower than the gain you will get by sticking it up high, and outside.
>
> mike
>
> <stryped@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1105653518.164539.234330@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> Does the length of coax decrease performance in scanning as much as
>> when you are transmitting?
>>
>> I can put an antenna in my attic where I will only have about 5 feet of
>> coax. (My scanner is upstairs) I could mount an outside antenna on top
>> of my tv tower at about 30 feet, but would need to run about 50 feet of
>> coax. The total elevation if it was in my attic would be 20 feet or so.
>> (But I do have alot of insulation that might block the signal).
>>
>
>

I hope I'm not posting twice (The first one vanished for no good reason)!

The best thing you can do is mount a bullet amp at the antenna. Even the best quality coax has a large loss at microwave frequencies. Use the type of amp meant for satellite television. Since you aren't transmitting you'll get by cheap. This will also allow you to use cheaper RG6.

304. Re: Bill Crocker- Your opinion, please...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-13 23:07:11 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <5a-dndQUH8GGYnvcRVn-1g@comcast.com>
Source record: 15463

I just took a quick look at the specs between the two. The Icom has almost twice as many memory channels, and support for CTCSS/DTCS decode!

Bill Crocker

"Charlie Chan" <detective@china.net> wrote in message news:Xns95DD3EFB8399charchandet@127.0.0.1...
> Bill,
>
> Thanks again for the earlier response on the Pro 82.
>
> The plot just thickened for me (much to my delight):
>
> Your opinion please:
>
> Icom R-5 or Yaesu VR120D
>
> The Yaesu has a bunch of small frequency gaps, but many complain of the
> slow scan rate of the R-5. Which of the two would you suggest?
>
> Thank you for your reply.
>
> Much Respect,
>
> Charlie Chan
>

305. Re: scanner coax length

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-13 23:16:55 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery28146@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <HjDFd.5812$KJ2.4730@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 15464

<stryped@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1105653518.164539.234330@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Does the length of coax decrease performance in scanning as much as
> when you are transmitting?
>
> I can put an antenna in my attic where I will only have about 5 feet of
> coax. (My scanner is upstairs) I could mount an outside antenna on top
> of my tv tower at about 30 feet, but would need to run about 50 feet of
> coax. The total elevation if it was in my attic would be 20 feet or so.
> (But I do have alot of insulation that might block the signal). At 20 feet
you get about 4.4 miles times about 1.3 for about 5.8 miles to the radio
horizon. At 30 feet you get 5.4 times 1.3 for 7.1 miles. YOu will have to
do the same to the other station's antenna and add the two numbers to find
the total distance. So as you can see the height is important. Five watts
will go over 100 miles if the antennas can see each other so power or loss
in coax is not too important(within reason) if you can gain height.

Go for the heigth. You will loose some signal, but you will still have more
than you need if you use atleast rg-6 coax or beter. On vhf and higher you
take the square root of the height multiplied by a "fudge factor" to find
the distance.

306. Re: Is this antenna any good for both transmit and receive?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-14 00:28:10 UTC
From: Ron <ab4ua@sc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <umEFd.127$K72.45938@twister.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 15465

I'm able to work all the local repeaters in the Greater Charleston, SC area
from Summerville, SC.
During ducting conditions I have work repeaters as far South as
Jacksonville, FL and St. Marys, Ga. where I lived prior to moving here.
Prior to this antenna I used an outside Ringo Ranger vertical about 20' off
the ground and I would say for local use they were about the same.

<stryped@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1105652935.685791.191430@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Ron wrote:
>> I have one installed in my attic and it has a flat SWR across the 2
> meter
>> band.
>>
>> <stryped@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1105645266.273137.292610@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> >
> http://www.radioshack.com/product.asp?catalog%5Fname=CTLG&product%5Fid=20-043
>> >
>
> How well does it transmitt compared to other antennas? How far out can
> you get?
>

307. Re: scanner coax length

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-14 00:55:56 UTC
From: bob <noone@nowhere.org>
Message-ID: <sMEFd.32565$b64.1018345@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 15466

Loss is loss. If you lose 3db in your feed line, that's 1/2 your signal
gone, whether you're transmitting it or receiving does not matter.

Ralph Mowery wrote:
> <stryped@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1105653518.164539.234330@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
>>Does the length of coax decrease performance in scanning as much as
>>when you are transmitting?
>>
>>I can put an antenna in my attic where I will only have about 5 feet of
>>coax. (My scanner is upstairs) I could mount an outside antenna on top
>>of my tv tower at about 30 feet, but would need to run about 50 feet of
>>coax. The total elevation if it was in my attic would be 20 feet or so.
>>(But I do have alot of insulation that might block the signal). At 20 feet
>
> you get about 4.4 miles times about 1.3 for about 5.8 miles to the radio
> horizon. At 30 feet you get 5.4 times 1.3 for 7.1 miles. YOu will have to
> do the same to the other station's antenna and add the two numbers to find
> the total distance. So as you can see the height is important. Five watts
> will go over 100 miles if the antennas can see each other so power or loss
> in coax is not too important(within reason) if you can gain height.
>
>
> Go for the heigth. You will loose some signal, but you will still have more
> than you need if you use atleast rg-6 coax or beter. On vhf and higher you
> take the square root of the height multiplied by a "fudge factor" to find
> the distance.
>
>

308. Re: Is this antenna any good for both transmit and receive?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-14 01:14:29 UTC
From: DougSlug <dougslug@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <%7FFd.4939$RU.2348@fe10.lga>
Source record: 15467

It doesn't work as well as an antenna optimized for a particular band or bands. I chose it because it was a good compromise between wide band receiving (for general scanning) and tri-band transmitting (it'll work 6m if you tune the stinger). I later switched to a Diamond V2000 because I wanted better performance in the VHF/UHF ham bands...but I did give up the wideband receive capability with the V2000. I still think a decent discone is the best choice for general usage, including VHF/UHF ham transmitting--it makes a great choice for the beginner (Technician class) ham. Those who work with specific bands a lot generally end up getting an antenna optimized for those bands, though.

I found that the RS discone worked really well for 2m transmitting, and was adequate for 70cm and MURS, but not great. I could never really tell on 6m because I don't think there was much activity in my area on that band. It was fine for the repeaters in my area, and it even did a decent job working simplex on 2m.

- Doug

<stryped@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1105652935.685791.191430@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Ron wrote:
>> I have one installed in my attic and it has a flat SWR across the 2
> meter
>> band.
>>
>> <stryped@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1105645266.273137.292610@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> >
> http://www.radioshack.com/product.asp?catalog%5Fname=CTLG&product%5Fid=20-043
>> >
>
> How well does it transmitt compared to other antennas? How far out can
> you get?
>

309. Re: ***THE ALTERNATIVE TO CELL MODS FOR SCANNERS*******

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-14 01:19:29 UTC
From: DougSlug <dougslug@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <HcFFd.4940$601.2181@fe10.lga>
Source record: 15468

That would make a GREAT receiver for cell frequencies without mods. What do you want to modify it for?

"Pops" <mikebrady02134@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:1105654361.489232.297510@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>I have a Radio Shack bag phone that I bought at a flea market for $5 -
> can it be modified?
>

310. Re: scanner coax length

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-14 01:27:01 UTC
From: mikeFNB <moc.dlrowltn@FNB7G-ekim>
Message-ID: <FdFFd.2613$5P2.1321@newsfe5-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 15469

not quite, but never mind.

mike
"bob" <noone@nowhere.org> wrote in message
news:sMEFd.32565$b64.1018345@news20.bellglobal.com...
> Loss is loss. If you lose 3db in your feed line, that's 1/2 your signal
> gone, whether you're transmitting it or receiving does not matter.
>
>
>
> Ralph Mowery wrote:
> > <stryped@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:1105653518.164539.234330@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >>Does the length of coax decrease performance in scanning as much as
> >>when you are transmitting?
> >>
> >>I can put an antenna in my attic where I will only have about 5 feet of
> >>coax. (My scanner is upstairs) I could mount an outside antenna on top
> >>of my tv tower at about 30 feet, but would need to run about 50 feet of
> >>coax. The total elevation if it was in my attic would be 20 feet or so.
> >>(But I do have alot of insulation that might block the signal). At 20
feet
> >
> > you get about 4.4 miles times about 1.3 for about 5.8 miles to the radio
> > horizon. At 30 feet you get 5.4 times 1.3 for 7.1 miles. YOu will have
to
> > do the same to the other station's antenna and add the two numbers to
find
> > the total distance. So as you can see the height is important. Five
watts
> > will go over 100 miles if the antennas can see each other so power or
loss
> > in coax is not too important(within reason) if you can gain height.
> >
> >
> > Go for the heigth. You will loose some signal, but you will still have
more
> > than you need if you use atleast rg-6 coax or beter. On vhf and higher
you
> > take the square root of the height multiplied by a "fudge factor" to
find
> > the distance.
> >

> >

311. Re: scanner coax length

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-14 01:27:36 UTC
From: DougSlug <dougslug@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ikFFd.4941$6U.735@fe10.lga>
Source record: 15470

Careful, though...if you have a sensitive receiver, adding the amp could actually reduce performance by overloading your receiver and possibly introduce intermodulation distortion depending on what stations are nearby. Since he won't be monitoring microwave frequencies, there are several choices of coax that would work quite well for a 50' run. In reality, there is some point where the advantage you gain with altitude is cancelled out by the loss in the coax. For a 50' run, you may be getting close to a wash, especially for UHF, unless you use better coax. It's difficult to make comparative measurements because you don't have antennas in both locations at once, but it sure would be nice to be able to do that.

- Doug

"Jim" <junk@junk.com> wrote in message
news:_6DFd.94235$mA3.3732@fe2.columbus.rr.com...
> The best thing you can do is mount a bullet amp at the antenna. Even the
> best quality coax has a large loss at microwave frequencies. Use the
> type of amp meant for satellite television. Since you aren't transmitting
> you'll get by cheap. This will also allow you to use cheaper RG6.
>
>

312. Re: scanner coax length

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-14 01:50:26 UTC
From: Jim <junk@junk.com>
Message-ID: <CzFFd.94252$mA3.87925@fe2.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 15471

```
"bob" <noone@nowhere.org> wrote in message
news:sMEFd.32565$b64.1018345@news20.bellglobal.com...
> Loss is loss. If you lose 3db in your feed line, that's 1/2 your signal
> gone, whether you're transmitting it or receiving does not matter.
>
>
>
> Ralph Mowery wrote:
>> <stryped@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1105653518.164539.234330@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>>Does the length of coax decrease performance in scanning as much as
>>>when you are transmitting?
>>>
>>>I can put an antenna in my attic where I will only have about 5 feet of
>>>coax. (My scanner is upstairs) I could mount an outside antenna on top
>>>of my tv tower at about 30 feet, but would need to run about 50 feet of
>>>coax. The total elevation if it was in my attic would be 20 feet or so.
>>>(But I do have alot of insulation that might block the signal). At 20
>>>feet
>>
>> you get about 4.4 miles times about 1.3 for about 5.8 miles to the radio
>> horizon. At 30 feet you get 5.4 times 1.3 for 7.1 miles. YOu will have
>> to
>> do the same to the other station's antenna and add the two numbers to
>> find
>> the total distance. So as you can see the height is important. Five
>> watts
>> will go over 100 miles if the antennas can see each other so power or
>> loss
>> in coax is not too important(within reason) if you can gain height.
>>
>>
>> Go for the heigth. You will loose some signal, but you will still have
>> more
>> than you need if you use atleast rg-6 coax or beter. On vhf and higher
>> you
>> take the square root of the height multiplied by a "fudge factor" to find
>> the distance.
>>

That's not the point. A 6 db gain amp at the antenna overcomes that 3 db
lose in the cable.
```

313. Re: Mag mount + rain = wet seats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-14 01:56:51 UTC
From: Ronny Julian <k4rjj@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <xrOdncu1tZNsu3rcRVn-iw@comcast.com>
Source record: 15472

License plate mount with NMO?

http://www.mapleleafcom.com/lakeview.htm

"User" <user@host.net> wrote in message
news:cs6i1j$5jf$1@reader2.panix.com...
> I'll do the Jeopardy thing:
>
> A: Take the antennas off the roof during a rain storm.
> Q: How do you prevent water from entering through the weather stripping
> with a mag mount antenna during a rain storm?
>
> So let's say I'm looking for another alternative. I am using mag mounts
> because I don't want to permanently modify the car, but I would like them
> to be permanent, in that I don't want to remove them until I sell the car.
> Right now I am bringing two coax feeds in through a rear door, but it
> leaks. I am going to try the hatch door and sunroof, but failing that, is
> there anything I can do to keep the water out?
>
> Thanks
>

314. Re: Where's the NRA on whoring as bush bitches?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-14 02:30:37 UTC
From: "Jesus man, your crazy" <jesus_man_help_yourself@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1105669837.201375.87220@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15474
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan,alt.fan.jay-leno

US Army Sergeant Defies Orders, Refuses Redeployment
http://info.interactivist.net/article.pl?sid=05/01/11/1744200

--

Can I Get a Witness?

"It is now argued that because demon bush is President,
it therefor doesn't matter where the actual crimes scene
evidence for the murder of thousands in America leads to
according to the FBI, for George Walker Bush (666) in
above all the laws of the universe. Despite! the
reason they obtained the warrant, was to charge me for
"threatening the life of an international person", they
instead decided not to as saboteurs of liberation. They
argued after the warrant gave them my personal
possesions, [...]"

Don't miss the rest of the inside scoop to the up
coming public Johnny Wizard trial, cradled within this
last testimony of a King left forsaken by all of America
as unworthy of public discussions in our media.

> http://www.chapelhill.indymedia.org/uploads/bushite-kills-bushite.mp3

> "..the newspapers don't tell you about it,
> why do you think that is soldier grunt,
> nazi whore, dying slave?"

> http://la.indymedia.org/uploads/wizards.mp3

> Word of Advice
> http://la.indymedia.org/uploads/advice.mp3

> Dream-Job
> http://la.indymedia.org/uploads/somebody.mp3

Seriously Folks

Napoleon Bonaparte wrote forming governments are forged
only through the public witness of shared mutual
experiences, simple, yet, the bushite strategy
implemented continually on behalf of the enemy forces in
Iraq have been to divide the nation's people with
different "legal" definitions, while speaking for
typical bushite bigotry, by then offering distinctions
of human value between US some from "others". Not

freedom, nor democracy. [Remember: Evil is dumb]

Example: Allawi forbade surviving Iraqi doctors and nurses from medically treating any of the estimated 200,000+ innocent victims from Falluja [IRAQI vicinity].

Example 2: DYING two-bit bushite war with "foreign" soldiers paid especially more than a thousand dollars a day as self confessed RACISTS, and THEREFOR, left "officially" too weak as, "pissing post illiterates" to go after real terrorists who torture the innocent, or real thieves, that have stolen BILLIONS from the Iraqi Development Fund. Done all to sacrifice life's purpose for no better good to the ANTI-CHRIST EVIL bush "cabal" of lying neo-con Christ haters. Allthewhile stating the objective worth dying young America as bushite for is "Democracy" in Iraq. But, isn't a founding principle of any democracy to outlaw thefts, sexual assault, murder? Again, bushite kills bushite for a stolen nickel that they just as soon, stole from anybody. If you can believe it. We need YOU to make some effort to engage American talk mediae. Perhaps it's only YOU, who hasn't yet heard a responsibility to this once greatly revered world of wisdom, of the enchanted, and mystical, or of the tyrannical as hell bound for suffering and sorrow. ,in which you share allegiance too my friend.

Being caring and compassionate for the victimized innocent not only feels good, but it is a choice we would make at being alive to help US survive. To not speak against the bushite war crimes against YOUR Humanity, is to not speak in defense of our ancient dying God no longer found worthy of you Peoples humble respect. Currently corrupted bushite want my voice of truth silenced by censorship with false imprisonment, and those who advocate such hatred for myself and our humanity, speak no public word or disagreement on my allegations of high treason by Our Mr. bush Jr.. While I want to openly debate the, "censorship for tyranny", corporate talk radio bushite bitches on their furthering commitment to not allow objections regarding their contempt for true justice and freedom in America. But if you singly decide not to support my cause, like corporate news control encourages, We will be left forsaken, again. God is Just to the core, like Johnny Wizard tries to be, while bushite liars lie, cheat, and steal from America as ourselves measured unworthy for deliberation to gain true understanding. The Coast to Coast radio network in America disallows America learn for why American soldiers are currently sacrificing their LIVES irrationally. Why? Because there is no

legitimate reason, while bushite pretend in YOUR name, that there must be another reason but for to rob our humanity in George Bush Jr.'s name. For if there truly wasn't another reason, not so then blind, stupid, and cowardly American soldiers wouldn't be too happy about the wealthy corporatist bushite commentators silencing America's dying cries by bushite enemy command. Now would they? Remember: wealthy blind and stupid bushite kills/gets killed, poor blind and stupid bushite for a stolen nickel - a nickel in all likelihood, they rifled as thieves from some pensioner, that just as soon, would be their own "grand" parents. Or Yours as someone elses beside.

Phil Donahue had the highest rating, number one money making news commentary show on NBC as it was canceled. Why? Too good as enlightening and INFORMATIVE. Then there was the recently canceled Crossfire. Or how about Barry Zwicker on VisionTV as Canada's greatest broadcast before it too was canceled. Why? Too good as enlightening and INFORMATIVE. VisionTV, a "religious" channel now likely forbids anyone to suggest that God would be good as just, and REALLY INTELLIGENT. Forbidden knowledge we are the corporate bushite insist with Your stolen rights. Why so? Because Americans might learn of what it is to be a true Christian, and therefor stop to have arrested else immediately executed for high treason regarding 9/11: the enemies of Johnny, Justice, God and Humanity, the evil doers George W. Bush, Rumsfeld, and Condolezza Rice. Alone with Myers and Cheney for good measure. Then, those responsible for the needless bombing of Samarra and Fallujah.

Under EVIL bushite tyranny, We are criminally fighting for needlessly dying as bushite here, but corporate newsCASTers don't find "our" costs worth getting a discussion group going, after all, American soldiers who die for the bush regime, as the kept in the dark, will less likely be going after the real bad guys for 9/11 then right? Corporate America refuse US just basic rights of legitimacy to at least address the absent war reason issue from time to time - Jeeze. But no. As bushite, they're prepared to not inform the bushite soldier that depleted uranium is radio-active, that the stolen American Enron pension money is sitting in the Cayman Islands, or that the war itself has no justification. See, the bushite war is a TRUE CRIME against all Peoples of Humanity, for the war against Iraq has no reason available to explain enemy military action, but for to die thieving from ourselves as the bad guys. The Enemies of Freedom. Why we have Law.

God is Just, and evil is just the slavish ignoramuses who censor our Words of empowerment for freedom through Justice represented indivisible as Eternal. We rule.

Therefor, George Walker Bush is guilty of treason to US all, not only including your one and only King Johnny Wizard as Heaven's afar, but also the not going anywhere but yet still sacrificing ungloriously as the stupidly blind as almost completely incoherent,. devote bushite nazi dumfuk soldier grunt enemy vermins.

Will you not help these words reach a larger audience? I ask you to consider your helping me can do good for your real world too. Perhaps it is ONLY the single individual that hasn't yet understood, this once greatly revered world of the Word is of which you share allegiance too.

Speaking for a just battle of freedom for all humanity, is a right you could exercise in brightest intentions of a muted God who sure could use your help right about now man. Our family is in so a desperation for needed support. I am fearing your fears have got you believing, you have no dying right to speak against Mr. bush Jr. for the crimes he has committed in Your name, but You do. Think of it this way: anybody who stays silently connected secretly to the crimes of nine-eleven, can not be trusted as a sacrificing bushite thief by the enemy bush administration. [Secretly speaking in all strict certainty.]

The warrant that allowed the confiscation of my computer equipment, was to prove that I was the one and same who demanded true justice for our humanity by following the crimes scene leads for nine/eleven, arresting those responsible, or killing the escaping culprits, bush, rumsfeld, and condolezza rice by the hand of our guns. (Why do you think Police officers carry guns after all?) It is now argued that because demon bush is President, it therefor doesn't matter where the actual crimes scene evidence for the murder of thousands in America leads to according to the FBI, for George Walker Bush (666) in above all the laws of the universe. Despite! the reason they obtained the warrant, was to charge me for "threatening the life of an international person", they instead decided not to as saboteurs of liberation. They argued after the warrant gave them my personal possesions, (hundreds and hundreds of video tapes, ALL MY WRITING, computers, disks, along with approximately 50,000 tasteful images.) That the reason for the warrant being originally issued, no longer, if ever, was

justified. For if they were calling for justice, the demon bush, and his co-conspirators might get caught by America, and pay the ultimate price for their treason by death sentence. After two self confessed PRO-BUSHITE (support death squads against Afghanistan school girls, and propping up the heroin trade by arming women slave traders) "officers of the law" reviewed my images, they now contend, that several can be argued to be illegal in an almost fixed to win proceeding. (No more than a small few pictures of beautiful girls in different states of undress - "Home Lolita" I think.)

The charges they offered to the prosecution to argue for a "life term prison sentence" (almost jokingly it was quacked) were complete fiction, of which the crown offered me "a deal". Plead guilty for being a shamed ostracized sex offender, [I'D HUNT CHILD KILLER ENEMY BUSHITE EVERY DAY OF MY LIFE IF I HAD THE BUS FARE AND THE AMMO] and maybe, the Judge won't argue for a long term prison sentence for another charge that has absolutely nothing for substantiation. Substantiated, that will surely do nothing but testify to their attempts at demonizing my better name. Nothing doing darkness, the court of Law, is Our court, and I intend to make my case heard among my peers. Here.

Please, I beg you for my life, forward this document to the propper authorities, post these passages to any church, or, phone talk radio America and demand my public case be heard for Your benefit too, or don't and get what we'll deserve by not making a miracle happen with your single sole but sure, human will power!

1. I obtained the questionable images through Usenet group "youth-and-beauty". Images can be downloaded a thousand or more at a time. I can't not perceive the images until they are on my computer! If they had told me they were coming as bushite, I would have been sure to delete anything near objectionable for argument's sake. They laughed when I told them that.

2. The service companies that offer such groups as child-rape and so on, have an easy ability to filter out subjective objectionable material, along with the truly grusome stuff. But they don't, nor, are they found legally liable for not doing so. I suggest the reason is, it would put out a billion dollar industry of these child-porno cops that view real sicko slime routinely, while then arguing anyone who witnesses such depravity must be guilty. Guilty to have their professional lives destroyed, their life invested property removed till

whenever/perhaps stolen, and then be publicly ostracized as senseless victims. All because some guy maybe believed women are worthy of worship in the highest respect and honor, while cute adorable boys he couldn't help but feel compeled to cover with praise, or mutual hugs and kisses., as long as there are no other men in the room with him and the gals perhaps one day chatting over maybe child care let's say. (no wonder the two child porn cops in question regarding my public case admittingly were/are staunch bushite offenders.)) I know of no nobler lineage that that of our police officer. For protecting the rights of our children, is what we've always been committed to gaining respect for. We want to do right for ourselves, and despite Johnny, this world really is something remarkable to concider a future with on our own terms as a foundation of wisdom with the ever presnt will to succeed at something greater than first realized. Evolution. The Revolution of reason while jiving with justice for freedom holds many perks per praised principle. Hammurabi's number one thang.

3. If a search warrant can be made against anyone who a criminal bushite finds objectionable, (bush and rumsfeld made the charge against me through the Secret Service, then to the RCMP.) then what freedom fighter is safe from pictures of godly and beautiful children in bath tubs? Swimming? IF, the bushite demands the images appear seductive, and compel them to commit sexual assualts? Or, what if you download a program on cars to have the bushite find, it contains bin Laden's secret where abouts in code? Would you be guilty, and if so, of what? No thinking freely?

So, the warrant brought the officers of law the right to prove my Johnny Wizard writing was of my own, but yet, after doing so, refused to enforce the law by giving me my day in court on the reason the warrant was granted to begin with. Also, I, as anyone can not perceive potentially objectionable material until it is in my possession, while a service provider would have little of no problem. (The still working child porno cops could look for child porno all day, and actually get closer to catching those who proliferate actually objectionable materials. [See?, an internet service provider could defend thus: they're not guilty because the subjective material, that would be questioned, is subjective, and so would the porno cops if they got someone intelligent to defend themselves. But for Christ who needs to know what's going on in the realm?, while loving girls in general like they were his own

distant siblings? They'd put Christ up on a cross for loving schoolgirls, by demanding such thoughts come only from a criminal prospective. The problem here is child porno cops can view a suggestive cute girl smiling in her panties, or witness some heinous bushite rape or torture, and measure them equally when charging US for their deviant sexual sugestion. As alas, they refuse to support the Law when it comes to the easily provable criminal actions of enemy bushite, as for would be, the defense of the lives of our innocent children in Iraq mean something to me too.

Anyway, apparently, the reasons for the warrant were not as was so intended. To charge me for threatening the freedom of bush, rumsfeld, and the evil witch condolezza rice. (Can I get some legal advice from someone out there on this then bogus? warrant please?). The Court will (try) argue without allowing ANY voice of objection, that because I demanded the immediate arrest or execution for the individuals responsible for the crimes of nine/eleven, (relying primarily on the Whitehouse, FBI, and the public spewing of the war criminal demon anti-Christ itself,) the prosecutor, it has been alleged, will demand justice not be served in that respect, and that the search warrant that obtained the bushite's evidence against me as a truth teller during their fishing expedition will not be allowed for re-dress. Is this Justice on behalf of God I ask you?

Nature of the Beast

(Again, remember, nobody from the RCMP, the Secret Service, or the FBI, state that I am incorrect in my allegations of treason against the bush administration.)

The prosecutor is going to press for incarceration if I plead not guilty for a crime I'm not guilty of, while my public freebie lawyer, contends my defense of innocence and corporate news agencies forbidding the pursuit of Justice in protection of our Police services, like the honorable FBI officers that contend Ahmad funded Atta will likely not be allowed heard. [Are you a member of a Police Union somewhere, or know of someone who is? Or, do you just not give a care for you fellow officers either?] See?, bushite falsely believe bush can not be arrested for nine eleven, no matter where the actual evidence leads, because he is not judged an equal among men by corporate news standards, but as the anti-Christ, FALSE deity, an enemy to every free person standing.

That is our, for real court case against the Bush administration as it stands today. While some have

warned, bushite are pushing to have me taken in now, to try stopping this from speaking in our Humanity's true defense. They just might succeed, if you decide no care for Freedom from tyranny either..

This is was/is/will be my last Wizard Wire public service announcement regarding this, George Walker Bush (666) wars America, Humanity, and me as the Lord of Creation. I've said everything I can say twice over, and if you can't find it within yourself to pass this post on to every church corner, with a, "I love life too" set of priorities, I can guarantee you no greater reward. But for to be present if not in person, but in spirit during my public trial where the bushites will publicly judge my character unworthy, while attempting the silencing of our own voices for Justice as We stand in TRUTH, indivisible. Be it known, the prosecutors of Law in my case have read Johnny Wizard's "The Trial and Conviction of Our Mr. bush Jr.", and likely a few others that will be asked by the hiding in darkness bushite enemies to completely disregard as crime scene evidence. It's going to be a case worth getting to, considering the newspapers, talk radio stations, and National News desks are expected to forbid honest coverage of by the higher ups at corporate command. Call your Church group to organize a call for Johnny!

Or don't.

I thank all those with whom the true liberation of Humanity from tyranny could not have been made possible without themselves individually. I know it seemed like no one was listening, when we spoke for God's cries, but WE knew better, and we knew soon, all of US will then learn it too, defending God is of no greater privilege. So, cheers to You, our Humanity's defenders of the human challenge, for being there to speak for this life when we needed You as a contributor. Fare thee well with your pride and honor, and no bars will ever hold your undying spirit.

/ / God bless America by destroying the enemy evil anti-Christ, Our Mr. bush Jr. Yourself. \ \

Your stranger then fiction,

Johnny Wizard

See you on the other side of whenever,
Your ever present bids you now,

an adieu.

Johnny Wizard

Chow.

--

Trooper - "Nobody's going to help you, you just got
to stand up alone, and dig in you heels, and see how
it feels to raise a little hell of your own."

--

/ / That's why writers as diverse as David Hume, John
Maynard Keynes, and Friedrich A. Hayek have pointed out
that all governments rule by public opinion.

...and Mises observes that "In the long run there is no
such thing as an unpopular government," improving on
Joseph de Maistre's claim that, "Every nation has the
government it deserves." \ \

Yeah, but the enemy bushite criminally victimize more
than themselves as enemies to all freedom loving human
beings.

--

/ / Without evidence our accused is ourselves as the
innocent, being falsely imprisoned, tortured, or
murdered. \ \

--

Abodah Zarah 22a-22b . Gentiles prefer sex with cows.

--

/ / ``The Pentagon and the CIA have asked the White
House to decide on a more permanent approach for
potentially lifetime detentions, including for hundreds
of people in military and CIA custody whom the
government does not have enough evidence to charge in
courts,'' the Post reported. \ \

Not enough for you?

--

/ / Is this satire? Or is this why the US has
contracted to build massive prisons to house those they
will never charge due to lack of evidence, but hold
however long they please? See Dana Priest, Washington
Post, January 2 \ \

--

/ / ..said William Winkenwerder Jr, assistant secretary of defence for health affairs "We have no evidence of maltreatment by physicians, or of physicians participating in torture or torturous activity," he said. "We just do not have evidence of that." \ \

What world does William Winkenwerder Jr think we live in anyway?

It was the revelations that the signed sqiggles on the bogus death cetificates weren't made by the actual medical practicioners on charge in Guantanamo. Now, there might have been one or two involved, as has been confessed, HOWEVER, we do know that the death certificates were forgeries to cover up the crimes of bushite nazi enemies. So, count the forgeries, and you'll count the actions of the truly unjust and evil.

--

/ / No one is able to define the mission of the troops in Iraq, or for how long this mission will last. No one at all, including George W. Bush, can explain the US strategy in Iraq. This is because there is no strategy. With the Iraqi resistance raging, it is not clear why the US is occupying this country and why the US is so willing to sacrifice its soldiers there. \ \

Well the strategy is to cash in on the bushite war criming thieves spree actually. Cowardly soldier's die in Iraq, and the bushite fat cats lounge in the lap of our stolen luxuries through the leverage of the mallable dying amerkan mind.

--

/ / U.S. troops targeted by a roadside bomb have mistakenly killed two Iraqi policemen and two bystanders hours after an American warplane bombed the wrong house[..]

An unnamed bushite quote It expressed deep regret for the "loss of possibly innocent lives". \ \

Wouldn't you kill the traitor who spoke such contempt for Humanity? The rewards of indiscriminate murders against the unknown innocents in bushite amerka's ungodly name, gets yet another lowly forsaken bushite rightly killed for bad wordcrafts on a make believe sound stage they think they only have us party to.

--

/ / "In the exercise of his power to use military force, 'the president's decisions are for him alone and are

unreviewable.'" \ \

--

/ / "And they schemed and God schemed, but God is the best schemer." (Quran 3:54) \ \

--

/ / Hence, it is up to the people of the United States to compel the US occupation authorities to at least abide by minimum acceptable standards of civilized nations. The progressive community should demand the unconditional release of Dr. Huda Ammash as part of its campaign to end the illegitimate US occupation of Iraq.\ \

--

/ / The agency's statutory responsibility is to speak the truth, whether the truth supports the president's plans or not. It would appear that this concept is not shared by this administration. \ \

--

/ / The incriminating FBI email dated 22 May 2004, indicates that president Bush "personally signed off on certain interrogation techniques in an executive order." (See original at

http://globalresearch.ca/articles/FBI.121504.4940_4941.pdf). \ \

--

/ / And these two men - 1974 Defense Secretary Donald Rumsfeld and Ford Chief of Staff Dick Cheney - did this by claiming that the Soviets had secret weapons of mass destruction that the president didn't know about, that the CIA didn't know about, that nobody but them knew about. \ \

/ / In other words, what we are dealing with is the criminalization of the State and its various institutions including the criminalization of Justice. \ \

Platform of the United Iraqi Alliance
By Juan Cole

--

opinion
by Iranian-Shi'ite

/ / I don't really care how women or men dress in their own countries. I have no desire to tell them how they

should dress. But if they come to our countries and try to denude our sisters or daughters, then they have declared war. \ \

--

spokesman Lieutenant Colonel Barry Johnson said:

"I'd like to think that these claims aren't true...but I don't know. If someone is doing this to detainees, they deserve to be taken down."

--

/ / "The US government is trying to silence Dr. Ammash's outspoken criticism of the US role in causing cancers and other illnesses in Iraq through its own use of biologically hazardous weapons such as radioactive deleted uranium." \ \

/ / ``Such a blatant statement acknowledging the commingling of his official duty to ensure a fair election with his partisan duty to re-elect President Bush, made in a political fund-raising appeal, evidences Secretary Blackwell's poor judgment at best, and the manipulation of election administration for partisan purposes, at worst,'' the report asserts. \ \

--

Breaking Camp

I will advocate full compensation for every mistreated soldier who had been tricked into believing something without reasoning.

Johnny condemns George Walker Bush (666) to death for the crimes he has committed against God's Humanity.

Let it be understood, that Saddam gave America 100 percent access to do anything the anti-Christ wished without delay prior to the needless military conflict beginning. So, every treasonous thieving bushite life taken, is done in protection of God, and the American dream. See?, TRAITOR bushite Art Bell's amerikan talk radio forbids BY CENSORSHIP anyone in America to speak in the defense of the undefended American People. Art Bell, America's enemy, with ensure that America "never" learns that bush's business partner, General Ahmad, funded 9/11's mastermind ring leader Mohamed Atta, or that depleted uranium is measured radio-active, or most especially, that billions of STOLEN American pension money is sitting in bushite bank accounts in the Cayman Islands. Instead, he'll do another show on UFOs, or

bigfoot, while broadcasted to the wordless bushite grunts their dying is for naught. Who cares?, bush's war against freedom in America isn't anything bushite amerika ever wants to get persnickety about.

http://www.chapelhill.indymedia.org/uploads/bushite-kills-bushite.mp3

/ / Who cares? CNN is not going to cover it, we know that. CBC in Canada, they're not going to mention it to anybody. It's no concern of themselves to show a caring word for the [thieving] sacrificing soldier. \ \

The EVIL bushite liars die for no better good, but as the ungodly bringing further hardships against US innocent people they target for murder. God says, the Magna Charta says, the American constitution says, let US all arrest or kill the TRUE ENEMY bushites instead. "See lawless bushite, kill it in defense of all that are innocent", I always say as the Son of Man and Living Creator. No joy greater than saving the innocent victims of the enemy bushite thieves, torturers, and first degree murderers. WHO WOULD DISAGREE? in a true Democracy?

Every American soldier who dies as a bad guy in Iraq, dies for cowardly worshiping bush instead of Life. The demon anti-Christ as their false, untrue, dummy deity, sends them into harms way for only to steal from the innocent. The innocent left in God's trust. There was nothing that could have better been done in Iraq by bombing the country. The blind attacking of the population, along with it's functioning public institutions, brings only the STOLEN cash rewards on trading in the American soldier's LIFE as a fascist criminal. All that is bought to by putting cowardly bushite soldiers in bush's un-needed conflict, is to steal from their very own families back home left almost completely undefended. [Have no fear, Johnny Wizard's here!] A criminal military force that deems some soldiers from Apartide fame as "un-accountable" to American or "Iraqi" law, while paying them a thousand dollars a day or more as rewarded racists who fight allong side black and Hispanic dying bushites, to not allow equal rights for those classified by different colorations. Allthewhile with spokespeople smattering the sacrifices are to bring democracy together in Iraq to arrest bushite for thieving then? [No matter whomever you are, George W. Bush is truly evil to God in YOUR name too see?] Regular Joe in the Army, 47$ before expenses concurrent and impending.

I will advocate full compensation for every mistreated soldier who had been tricked into believing something without reasoning. Example: those who had been taken in criminally to handing over their military pensions for five year loans at 56%, or a 20,000 loan paid in five with 60,000. No competion exists for this racket because it's an actual crime scene. Guess where American Gis can hear the sales pitch advertised? The Army Times.

No thoughts of understanding are expressed (OR COULD BE) from the soulless bushite on why they are in Iraq dying, or why depleted uranium is harmless, or why depriving Iraqis of medicine along with food and water, is a ploy to win the hearts of every man who owns a gun. Or why the bushite demands through the censorship of tyranny, that Our Humanity has no rights to speak for our freedoms and liberty, or on behalf of Iraqis who have had billions of much needed dollars stolen from themselves by the bushite enemy. (The billed for taking bushite soldier itself, will not receive a penny of the ill gotten gains, just like their own grand parents were robbed of the pensions, and the bushite couldn't find a word of outrage to say against their false idol then either.)

/ / As the soulless disciples of the enemy anti-Christ, Our Mr. bush Jr., their "officially" granted legal immunity from all prosecution means nothing to me as a honorable man defending my humanity, or as living Creator, who brought all into this new world to be free, and can just as soon, take you all nazi bushite out the old school way. \ \

The ungodly evil bushmob fascist totalitarianists are pushing to have US specially arrested. The demon anti-Christ enemy forces have gambled an attempt to find prosecutors and judges, lawyers and defendants, who together wouldn't let the truth be spoken in our own defenses. I have had many discussions with the National Security branch of the RCMP, CSIS, the Secret Service, the FBI, and several commanders in the Canadian Military, and WE all know, this upcoming trial is something a great deal of many are lookiung forward to, however, an agent of law recently approached me to warn, some are working to try having me arrested without bail, and spend maybe a few years behind bars before a trial could not be postponed any longer, all in an attempt to try stopping my words of truth that represent the interests of all defendable legitimate legal enforcements. Canada's system of checks and balances, and our honorable police services have too many good

guys in place, to want to silence my calls for Justice by measurement. And, up until now, our security people have advised the bushite case would never fly in a public court room, for Johnny Wizard would just make his case as it stands FACTUALLY DOCUMENTED along with that which was FALSELY ALLEDGED, and the wealthy do nothing corporate news agencies would be caught as culpable to being accessories to first degree murders in New York City, where they deliberately with malicious intent, refused to report on the FBI's findings that General Ahmad funded Atta, or that demon George W. Bush with condi and rummy, had a top secret CRIMINAL plan to invade Afghanistan dated September 9th, 2001. Just like still, CBC and CNN refuse to report any human concern for those murdered irrationally by bushite in Iraq today. No concern for those Humans, but in Asia, where bush isn't yet anyway, thieving in the wide open from God, they can try to make up for lost respect by claiming those People are better People worthy of our commpassion with wall to wall coverage on the suffering we have little of chances in preventing. But for to stop needlessly droping tons and tons of toxic bombs into our Living Earth. It is the bushite enemy who refuse to allow YOU speak in your defense as an Earthling.

However, this being said, I have been recently informed that, since the godless bushite enemies would wish to have our freedoms censored, it will likely be pushed to do so without a public trial allowing a jury of my peers to judge the case accordingly. Up until recently, Guantanamo could never happen in Canada. But now in Canada, the enemies behind closed doors are talking about sending intelligent innocent people away to be tortured for sadomasochistic satanic tendencies, and further have argued to no one that persecuting People in Our names without evidence, maybe isn't such a bad idea, when you think of all the people we could rob our humanity from like the "popular" and "Democratic" bush regime does. Bushite corporate new censors are fighting for a return to the dark ages. Don't let this happen to YOUR world. Care not for my freedoms as an honorable man who stands with America's FBI, and your given up life wont be worth nothing but as yet another sacrifice to bring further glory and stolen riches to the American cop killer, pension thiever, 9/11 perpetrator, war mongering anti-Christ, Your unbelievably EVIL Mr. bush Jr.. The enemy of God anti-Christ who continues to hold your real life in mistrust and dishonor, while wealthy corporate "celebs", talk freely about their pride in

such ungodly sacrifices as Christ's forsaken name.

I ask ONLY you to argue this paper with your best
intentions, for I will perhaps not receive another
chance to publish, if as usual, the Internet community
at large extends me little support in my UNCHALLENGED
assertions on who truly committed the crimes of 9/11. A
single bushite corporatist sends a complaint to a
Internet provider alleging I talk "spam", therefor,
without any hearing, private owners are threatened to
lose their privilege to communicate, by an enemy bushite
protagonist who exercises his "authority" by stating
only, "spam", and not even providing my actual post in
it's entirety. One Internet service was shut down for
the sole reason that discussing George W. Bush as the
9/11 perpetrator in tx.politics, was against the rules,
for such subject material must be confined to only a
American nation political forum. I talked to the kid on
the phone who made that power decision on behalf of all
usenet, and let it be known, he knows what he's doing.
But "they" can't do this everywhere. I can not "take
over" your big old lonely world that you must work to
protect for yourself as an equal citizen sworn to
freedom. I have written all I need to say about Justice
and Freedom, and if the human race can not still find
enough care for themselves to propagate my writings for
others to read, or demand Art Bell be removed to allow
Johnny Wizard to debate the ungodly dumfuk bushite
vermins, there truly is no hope for US continuing.

Johnny Wizard

--
/ / The material
indicates that high-ranking administration officials not only approved
of the
abuse, but have carried out a systematic cover-up of these activities.
The
new revelations represent a damning indictment of the Bush
administration,
and make clear that it is guilty of war crimes. \ \

--
8 Year Child Tortured By US Forces In Qaim, Father Threatened
Jan 03, 2005

--

/ / Provance, however, did talk to the German TV crew
about the treatment of children at Abu Ghraib. He
alleges that children were sometimes abused in order to
force their parents to give information to coalition

authorities. \ \

--

Bushite Kills Bushite for a Stolen Nickel

/ / They are enemies of themselves. Bushite will kill
bushite for a stolen nickel. The only reason this
conflict is happening, is so Halliburton can steal this
money from the American tax payer left un-defended by
CNN. \ \

http://istanbul.indymedia.org/uploads/bushite-kills-bushite.mp3

2nd mirror
http://argentina.indymedia.org/uploads/bushite-kills-bushite.mp3

3rd mirror http://imctj.espora.org/uploads/bushite-kills-bushite.mp3

4th and 5th for good measure

http://sandiego.indymedia.org/media/2004/12/107037.mp3

http://rochester.indymedia.org/newswire/display/3275/index.php

http://www.chapelhill.indymedia.org/uploads/bushite-kills-bushite.mp3

/ / What happens when the "Democracy" says, we are
outlawing torture?\ \

/ / Depraved as America is in some respects, [they]
can't find fifty cents to help some homeless vet on the
ground. \ \

--

/ / Yet the American establishment has not seen fit to
allow anyone who opposes the Iraq war to make his or her
case in front of the media. \ \

snpd

/ / A lot of people are too afraid to come out. But
after we would warn them, we would go in. You know how?
We would riddle the building and every window with
high-caliber, armor piercing machine gun fire, then
often we would throw in a grenade for good measure. If
any civilians were in there they ended up either dead or
wounded. \ \

Only possibly done through the "help" of "unbiased" CBC
and CNN national news "people". Corporatist "celebs"
who purposefully propagandize our airwaves with their
LIES by censorship to un-supportingly, steal further
from our robbed and dying communities.

Example: General Ahmad funded Atta, and corporate news
finds no interest in informing the bushite grunt, that
yes indeed depleted uranium is radioactive and toxic as
a microscopic heavy metal, and will kill you easily for
the ignorance "they" sell impartially as the "unbiased".
See?, enemy bushite kills enemy bushite for a stolen
nickel., that they likely stole from an American grand
parent. I HATE bushite.

--

http://207.44.245.159/article7466.htm

/ / Baghdad was full of booming businesses, restaurants
were full, and families walked freely along well-lit
parks. Compare and contrast that image with the reality
of Baghdad today, and the ultimate corruption that was
the oil-for-food programme becomes self-evident. \ \

--

/ / Honorary firefighter Mike Bellone claims he was
approached by unknown bureau agents a short time after
he and his partner, Nicholas DeMasi, a retired New York
firefighter, found three of the four ¡§black boxes¡¨ among
the WTC rubble before January 2002. \ \

--

/ / The families of those risking their lives in Iraq
ought not be reassured but enraged. This was a war of
opportunity, not necessity, so there is no excuse for,
as Rumsfeld put it, "going to war with the army you
have, not the army you might want or wish to have." \ \

--
http://web.takebackthemedia.com/geeklog/public_html/article.php?story=200412151547261

/ / No papers! Well, that meant the suspect was going
to be hauled downtown to police headquarters for a good
beating or worse. Well, thanks to the cowardly members
of the U.S. Congress, in 2005, and at the explicit
direction of the Bush()-Cheney Gang, the above scenario
could become part of our national nightmare. \ \

--
http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/articles/A45373-2004Dec7.html

/ / that a clandestine military task force in Iraq was
beating detainees, ordering Defense Intelligence Agency
debriefers out of the room during questioning,
confiscating evidence of the abuse and intimidating the
debriefers when they complained." \ \

--

/ / Combat veterans wounded in Iraq were left waiting weeks and even months for proper medical attention at military bases. According to an officer, their living conditions were so unacceptable for injured soldiers he said they "were being treated like dogs." Then the Pentagon underreported the number wounded. \ \

--

http://www.truthout.org/docs_04/printer_121004W.shtml

/ / A sacked CIA official is reportedly suing the agency for allegedly retaliating against him for refusing to falsify his reports on Iraq's weapons of mass destruction to support the White House's pre-war position. \ \

--

/ / Ford describes incidents of asphyxiation, mock executions, arms being pulled out of sockets, and lit cigarettes forced into detainee's ears while they were blindfolded and bound."

Ultimately, Ford was strapped down to a gurney and literally shipped out of Iraq illegally on the basis of non-existent mental problems - all because he had the courage to speak out against abuses he personally witnessed. \ \

--

/ / ``I was faced with being deployed to Iraq to do what the infantry does, kill people, and I had no justification for doing so,'' he testified. ``This was a criminal war. Any act of violence in an unjustified conflict is an atrocity.'' \ \

/ / He cited the case of five members in the Mississippi National Guard who had been wounded in a booby-trap bomb explosion. Incredibly, their injuries were listed by the military as "non combat." \ \

/ / Williams's squad stopped a dump truck, and an Iraqi climbed out. "Light him up!" the sergeant ordered, according to testimony, and the squad opened fire, killing the unarmed man. Williams and a squadmate reportedly got into an argument over which of them had scored Company C's first kill. \ \

--

http://www.counterpunch.com/alam12132004.html

/ / What becomes of those military veterans who undergo amputation? \ \

--

/ / What about the damning report of Charles Duelfer and his Iraqi Survey Group, which announced last month that Saddam Hussein destroyed all of his weapons of mass destruction and their programmes in 1991? \ \

--

/ / ...and we were yelling, 'Train to kill, kill we will,' over and over again. I kind of snuck a peek around me and saw all my colleagues getting the red in the face and hoarse yelling - and at that point a light went off in my head and said, 'You know, I made the wrong career decision.'" \ \

/ / Throughout the 12-year Vietnam War, for example, fewer than 100 Guard troops were killed, compared with the 145 who have died in less than two years in Iraq. \ \

/ / Greene County Director of Elections Carole Garman claimed that she had withdrawn access to the voting records at the direction of Secretary Blackwell. \ \

/ / Blackwell not only did not have the authority to take this action, he is actually in violation of the law. \ \

/ / Williams ordered that the Iraqi man, who had been handcuffed and was being held on his knees in front of the house, be brought inside...

William cut off the plastic handcuffs, laid the rifle near the Iraqi and said aloud to other soldiers in the room, 'I feel my life has been threatened.'

Williams then shot the man twice..." \ \

/ / That America has spent billions upon billions of dollars on the war and the occupation and hasn't even managed to provide sufficient armor to protect its own soldiers is a metaphor for the failure of the whole operation. \ \

/ / It is now estimated that more soldiers have been injured in Iraq than during the Revolutionary War, the War of 1812, or the first five years of the Vietnam conflict. \ \

/ / Webb reported that U.S. law enforcement agents complained that the CIA had squelched drug probes of Blandon and his partner Norwin Meneses in the name of

"national security." Blandon's drugs flowed into L.A. and elsewhere thanks to the legendary "Freeway" Ricky Donnell Ross, a supplier of crack to the Crips and Bloods gangs. \ \

/ / The Press Release, just issued, headlined "Conyers Alarmed at Efforts to Obstruct Ohio Recount Effort, Calls Witness to Monday Hearing to Detail Such Efforts", outlines the troubling reports, discussed here yesterday, of Ohio Sec. of State J. Kenneth Blackwell (also Co-Chair of the Ohio Bush/Cheney Re-Elect Committee!) reportedly attempting to obstruct efforts by Green/Libertarian Recount Volunteers in Ohio over the last several days. \ \

--

/ / The "Texas Strike Force" members paid their way to Ohio, but their hotel accommodations were paid for by the Ohio Republican Party, whose headquarters is across the street. The hotel worker heard one caller threaten a likely voter with being reported to the FBI and returning to jail if he voted. \ \

/ / In a half-dozen precincts in Franklin County, people who were not inside polling places by 7:30 PM were told to leave - even if they had waited in line for hours. This is a violation of the Voting Rights Act. \ \

/ / In other words, the exit polls were made to conform to a political decision to declare Bush the victor. \ \

--

http://www.whatdoesitmean.com/index575.htm

/ / The massive US Intelligence Reform Bill weighed in at over 3,000 pages and though unread by individual Members of either the House or Senate nevertheless passed all of the legislative hurdles needed in order to become law. \ \

TREASON BIG TIME.

/ / The contract company blamed for the bad food is identified as a small, Qatar-based firm called American Service Center. \ \

/ / From snatch and grab operations to warantless searches, Patriot Act II is an Adolf Hitler wish list.

You can understand why President Bush, Dick Cheney and Dennis Hastert want to keep this legislation secret not just from Congress, but the American people as well. \ \

/ / MIT and the Pentagon have been involved in a fraud
that has promoted a weapon system that will have little
or no utility and could cost hundreds of billions of
dollars. \ \

--
THE SON OF MAN

/ / Anybody who supports George W. Bush is my ENEMY.
George W. Bush committed 9/11 with his buddy, General
Ahmad who funded the mastermind ring leader. That's
according to the FBI. Any bushite who gets in my way,
who says that isn't the case,

I WILL KILL. \ \

http://la.indymedia.org/uploads/son-of-man.mp3

http://jerusalem.indymedia.org/uploads/son-of-man.mp3

http://baltimore.indymedia.org/newswire/display/9099/index.php

/ / Mr. Sattler, if you don't want US to arrest those
responsible for 9/11, don't you think we Americans
should kill you? \ \

/ / They got this plan, in it's final stages, just prior
to 9/11, to invade Afghanistan. They couldn't invade
Afghanistan, without some sort of attack occurring that
would instigate an actual war. they got this plan, and
it's all about accusing bin Laden for terrorist
activities, and they've spent a year or more working on
it, or more. And through all the time they put it
together, they never thought to include, one single
shred of evidence. Knowing already as Taliban
fundamentalists, through an experience that Mr. Clinton
had, it is their fundamental religion not to persecute
the innocent. \ \

Johnny Wizard

--

INTERVIEWER: Are you aware that this tank is
contaminated with radiation?

BUSHITE DUMFUK SOLDIER: No, it isn't radioactive.

INTERVIEWER: But we have measured it.

BUSHITE DUMFUK SOLDIER: No, it isn't radioactive, not
this tank.

snpd

> Word of Advice

> http://la.indymedia.org/uploads/advice.mp3

/ / ``They're tough people, and they're
well-disciplined. And there are a lot of them who want
to do the work. A lot of people have left the South
African defense force since Nelson Mandela came in.''

[American tax paid for bushite, $1000.00 a day] Gray
Branfield, a former covert-operations specialist in
South Africa's fight to preserve white minority rule. \ \

My black brothers in the lucative sports industry, pay
the saleries of these, the most racist, of their "loyal"
bushite team. American youths are being purposefully
mislead by the corporate news interests in cashing in on
their DEATHS to thieve from MY Humanity.

Homeless Iraq vets showing up at shelters
http://washingtontimes.com/upi-breaking/20041207-121848-6449r.htm

--

http://mobile.mediamonitors.net/content/view/full/11853/

/ / Naturally, there is no evidence that any soldier
named DeLay and/or Lieberman has paid the supreme price
for the reckless warmongering of that duo. \ \

--

20 Amazing Facts About Voting In The United States

http://www.coastalpost.com/04/12/02a.htm

/ / 6. Republican Senator Chuck Hagel, a long-time
friend of the Bush family, was caught lying about his
ownership of ES&S by the Senate Ethics Committee. \ \

--

> Johnny Wizard's "The Big Blab" "The most influential broadcast ever
heard.
> If you hear anything this year, Johnny Wizard's "The Big Blab" will
be it."

> http://jerusalem.indymedia.org/uploads/the-big-blab.mp3

--

U.S. deserter 'didn't want to have to kill babies'

/ / Mr. House will argue that American soldiers are
guilty of war crimes and that forcing Mr. Hinzman to
fight in Iraq would have made him a war criminal.

He will call as a witness former U.S. Marine Staff
Sergeant Jimmy Massey, who is expected to testify that

he and other soldiers shot more than 30 unarmed Iraqis, including women and a six-year-old child, at a U.S. military checkpoint. \ \

--

CNN.com - Aid group 'told to freeze' Falluja work - Dec 6, 2004

/ / Only about 100 families are thought to remain in Falluja, as most fled the city before the U.S.-led operation aimed at flushing out insurgents. \ \

Huh? Again, witness wealthy CNN "news" staffers, didn't even bother to seek for an update on their "about 100", that had not ever been given. Red Cresent, the day previous to Dec. 6, no... actually reported on, Dec 3rd!, stated, (from their limited circle of reference) they had witnessed a minimum of many corpses, of completely innocent pro-Iraqi women, children, youths, and men.

/ / Iraqi Red Crescent spokesman Muhammad al-Nuri estimates that some 6,000 people have been killed, many of them civilians. \ \

(That is discounting murdered armed defenders of Freedom in Iraq we can prusume.)

But, again, the true bushite enemies of loyal bushite enemies, thay'l' "bushite lie" to the bushite nation about the "holy" benefits of, sacrificing America's young life., all done for the glorious betterment of the traitor demon anti-Christ liar, the war criminal, Our Mr. bush Jr., along with his treasonous evil cabal of anti-semitic Palestinian Christ haters. A compassionate, "conservative", war mongering cabal whos warring of America is done to secretly steal further from the innocence of God in silence. Without a honest to Johnny, bushite killin' God-Man to speak on the nature of reality itself from living Creation's time-less prospective. Naturally leaving ourselves to save all of humanity with my "magic" - guitar, notebook, cybercafes, and super cool Akeido, leaves me in wonder of where life could lead as committed to freedom through justice for all?, as truly ourselves democractically represented?, Miraculous?. or will we choose as ENEMY bushite instead not to, ending to devolve ourselves from existence?

I know of some pretty, almost miraculous words in which can bring about positive change, and man, if you could see the world for America's Dream, where all People are judged not by the color of their skin, but the content of their character, the entire bushmob would be behind

bars awaiting trial for treason, and the Iraqi People
would have American Forces demanding Allawi be arrested
for failing to explain himself regarding the needless
bombing of our Humanity in Samarra and Falluja.

--

SEE BUSHITE, KILL IT FOR GOD'S AMERICA

/ / Another humiliating proposal, which even triggered
debate among Marine officers in control of the city, is
to force all Fallujan men to work in military-style
battalions, reported the Globe. \ \

This is the best the ENEMY bushite could dream up in
all possible worlds?

/ / His company of tanks and Bradley Fighting Vehicles
had roamed the eastern third of the city [Falluja] for
13 days, shooting holes in every building that might
pose a threat, leaving behind a landscape of
half-collapsed houses and factories singed with soot. \ \

--
http://www.wsws.org/articles/2004/dec2004/iraq-d06_prn.shtml

/ / Of course, none of the assembled media pointed to
the absurdity of Bush's comments. \ \

--

http://billmon.org/archives/000172.html

/ / Bush administration official says: "Saddam never
had WMDs, and we never said he did." \ \

--

/ / Goldsborough said the reason he was given after the
fact is that the column, about why Jewish voters
overwhelmingly cast their presidential ballots for John
Kerry, was "offensive."

"The publisher said it might be offensive. To whom? \ \

--

/ / twenty-seven Israeli pilots indicated that they
would no longer fly missions in the Occupied Territories
(Boston Globe). The pilots said "We veteran and active
duty pilots are against carrying out illegal and immoral
attacks" and they "refuse to continue attacking innocent
civilians." \ \

--

KILL ENEMY BUSHITE FOR OUR LIVING LOVING GOD MAN

/ / They should put dynamite in their behinds and drop them from 35,000 feet, the whole pack of scum out of that jail."

Remember, these are the same prisoners that the Red Cross estimates 70 to 90% of them were innocent and later released. \ \

--

/ / It gets worse. We already knew about the Franklin County, Ohio, precinct that tallied 4,258 votes for Bush when only 638 people had actually voted. \ \

--

See? The bushite knows that bush murdered thousands of Americans on 9/11, yet, will advocate fascism, to censor America, from finding the truth for ourselves.

That enemy of God, the demon anti-Christ told US the conflict he began, was because Saddam wouldn't let the inspectors in. While, corporate nazi whores, refused to correct ourselves on our sacrifices, for they enjoy remaining impartial, when it comes to the crimes they inflict upon our humanity. Enemies to all humanity CBC and CNN are by refusing OUR facts to speak for themselves. No betterment could be garnered, by the demon anti-Christ's war crimes against civilization, WITHOUT DOUBT. And, it's only the enemies of our dumfukked and dimmer soldiers, in corporate mind control, who refuse to allow anyone to state THAT FACT, for, the enemy bushite would be exposed, and America would be hunting them down with the Law as the traitors to freedom they clearly are.

--

http://www.theallianceforddemocracy.org/html/eng/2180-AA.shtml

/ / "We cannot be the home of the thief and the land of the slave." \ \

--

Bush Lies to Canada

Demon bush spew "I made some decisions obviously that some in Canada, didn't agree with. Like for example removing Saddam Hussein, and enforcing the demands of the UN security council."

Saddam was not found in violation of 1441, so the war criminal anti-Christ's demands were not our world's, nor

that of the UN Security Council. How does thieving our assets, destroying our infrastructure, and indiscriminately murdering more than a hundred thousand innocent people, (in turn to sacrifice more worthless bushite nazi teens) bring about their closer fraudster bushite "Freedom"? Again, CNN, and CBC let the demon liar spew his hatred of our liberties, without factually challenging his lies with our un-debatable established truths. CNN and CBC would "sell" seeing our dimmer youths sacrificed as bushmob thieves through blatant bushite lies, to truly, steal our freedoms and liberty with further murders by proxy.

/ / .. or when [bush] just told you for no reason possible, why American soldiers are DYING, "Saddam wouldn't let inspectors in", \ \

This should be a time when the People rise up as angels of mercy, and hunt with Law to kill George W. Bush, Rumsfeld, and Condoleeza Rice for the crimes committed on 9/11. Just because the corporate T.V. doesn't mention the facts to draw our own conclusions, doesn't make it not so out of our realms of expertise as Freedom's true represention.

--
http://www.opednews.com/fitrakis_113004_GOP.htm

/ / "It's about a will to dignity. It's not too much to ask for our vote to count." \ \

--

/ / Colonel Ron Johnson "If I could have an Iraqi security force guy who's honest, reliable and dependable, it's worth five Marines," \ \

--

This is Hockey

US general John Sattler declared: "We have liberated the city of Fallujah."

Sattler openly lies to the lives of America with this insult, and the bushite nazi dumfuk, is too stupid or evil to frag him as enemy proudly for it. The People of the world, look, see.. bushites are murderous liars, cheats, and thieves as the enemies of America, who even lie to THEMSELVES on how many have been sacrificed to blood the coffers of the American pension thieving war monger bushite 9/11 cancer. A liar nazi bushite commander would sacrifice his nation, along with own

family, before saying a word in defence of our freedoms, our humanity, or our God. COWARDS. American nazi bushite commander gets killed american nazi bushite grunts, in dreams they too, can financially profit off the talentless continuing THEFTS for enslavement to sacrifice themselves as fascist tyrannies. Hmmm. but the nature of American freedom, is to be one as everyone being equal scores. "Gee, maybe some day even you could be Presidential."

Weak intellectually, prone to cuss, the bushite nazi dumfuk, is a worthless piece of crap.

http://bellaciao.org/en/article.php3?id_article=4591

/ / I have the impression that there are no other words except "fuck" and "shit". Each communication, each order is accompanied by a flow of 'fucks', 'shits' and 'bullshits'. I look at Americans with a pity.

Among the "colored-skin" GIs "Latinos" are largest group, then Africans and semi-Africans. The officers are mostly whites but there are also blacks... But I cannot understand at all, why the US Army soldiers speak broken English!! \ \

/ / During the five days, while the count was yet conducted, more than three thousand wounded passed through our hospital. These were the people who lived nearby. The people who could be delivered to us. Nobody knows how many people in the city are dead. \ \

/ / I cannot recognize the city. Only ten days ago it was an Iraqi town with its regular for centuries Arabic life. Boling bazaars, noisy streets. And here I am going through the empty dead city, between the ugly "pyramids" of destroyed buildings, broken streets, whole quarters wiped from the face of earth. \ \

--

old nazi tricks

/ / The Red Crescent's Falluja coordinator, Jotiar Nafaa, estimates that between 150 and 175 families are left in the city that once had a population of 300,000. \ \

REUTERS up to their old nazi tricks again. Jotiar Nafaa, "coordinator", should surely know, that it is 175 families that had requested for the help of Red Crescent totaled several days previous, (without phones, nor abilities to leave their homes (150?)), NOT, "left in the city". [THINK: how could they possibly know?

(nazi bushite whores were ordered to murder innocents indiscriminately as enemies to God)] Also, is "Jotiar Nafaa", the same woman hater, who LIED in REUTER"S anonymous hand previously, as the Red Cross, that the women held illegally as hostages by the thieving evil nazi bushite forces, didn't really exist? (and who the "sovereign" Justice system of Iraq, along with World opinion, God, and JOHNNY had demanded to be let go for lack of any real criminal charges? (despite CNN and CBC WILLFULLY refusing to report on the issue as important. (You know, INNOCENT women held hostage by bushite goons who acquiesce COWARDLY for rapists and torturers? (Just like Jew killer Ariel Sharon never gets a fair mention by "America", nor Zarqawi, as he was in the second Bigley flick, right before Samara.)))

LOOK AT THIS - LOOK AT THIS - LOOK AT THIS

Aljazeera.Net - Aid trickling in to desperate Fallujans

/ / The organisation estimates that only 150 to 175 families stayed in Falluja after the start of the US-led offensive on 8 November \ \

The organization does not estimate the incorrect fantasy conclusion held by this pro-irrational Bush article from Aljazeera.NET. By god Johnny, where tell us could such lies begin at? Well, let's go investigate... If as it is, a misrepresentation of Red Crescent's actual findings, wouldn't you think "they" would put out a press release to correct this falsehood of public claim?, to suggest 150 remain in a city the size of 300,000+?, but no greater than 175? without access for numbering by anyone, but for the only near 15,000 HUMAN BEINGS known escaped as refugees??? Or, are the "reporters" just conveying the "facts", by putting no investment in our validity? Leaving us for further victimhood, by hiding ourselves from the war crimes the anti-Christ bushite nazi savage commanders have committed in my name, America's name, God's name? as our enemies?

Our cause as Humanity is for freedom's reign, not to sacrifice Humanity as misled bushite nazi facist teens further, so bush, condi and rummy can fi-nah-lly escape "The True Patriot's Wrath", for the crimes they committed against Freedom America on "bush win", 9/11. So... Why so do I think Justice is going to get GW, making no mistakens? Because the Patriot's Patriot is you too my sworn believer, and now that's this: America's craftier than that George Bush Jr. makes living out for, in "our"-OWN news broadcasts. As the

"censored" contributors who learned our gathering skills some time ago already, I say, Freedom is paramount. Mr. bush's unbiased corporate news agenda doesn't hold America to an account before the Lord, nor to God as being, an honest spoken word, or deed of good measure. Of friendship, of kindness, of compassion and caring for our fellow human beings. I have rights too you know. As cowards, the bushite refuses to defend the innocent they rob and murder from to serve as enemies of Life, done in to die pleasing their false idol, corporate america's glorious leader, the un-elected dictator, Our Mr. bush Jr..

It should be further noted, as reported, that all aid agencies contributing have accounted for only near 15,000 families stationed in refugee camps that escaped the indiscriminate anti-Christ demon bombing campaigns. So, total deaths tolls could exceed 200,000 just for Falluja alone., what with the napalm, land mines, 1000 lb bombs, snipers, tanks, mortars, essential services, police protections, denials of foods or water, thefts, vandalism, and so on. All along with the refusal to allow the People to escape before hand, or even enter now. I've only heard that the nazi grunts will only allow the "staff" of "Red Crescent", to quickly as can be, come into the demolished city, and dispose of our corpses with bulldozers likely. You know?, any males between 15 to 50?, like their "Hero", the bush family's Hitler regime did. You tell me, would you enjoy as a True Patriot Warrior, killing a LYING enemy bushite nazi bomber, on behalf of saving yourself and family, God and Humanity? God?, that the demon anti-Christ disciples murder to steal from US as the innocent? To kill an evil and mindless devaluating corporate bushite facist slave, is to save the untold lives of thousands of US innocent they target indiscriminately for criminal plunder. Dying as cowards too weak to defend their own country from the neo-con conspirators, they die. Die.. Get this measage out to the American forces, and stand back, cause America's gonna win this fight for freedom, or die as slaves remaining unworthy to a blind comitment of tyranny by furthering enslavement against our Human species.

Continue doubting in silence fledgling Patriot, and bushite america's war will continue refusing to speak on it's needless sacrificing through bushite criminal decree. We know, wealthy con man Art Bell, Limbaugh, and O'Really will try and refuse such truths discussed in Your America, care for the lives of sacrificing American teen soldiers are of no concern to their profit

margins., so far. You, my friend, just need to try starting a demand for justice as Freedom commands. (And, if you wish, you can thank us with a small cash donation to help me escape this nearing starvation.)

I'd, as the Son of Man, (but you can call me Dad.) proudly teach, or kill godless thieving bushite to save the lives of any child, and so would the rest of Humanity likewise. There is no known fate worst, than a Mother Nature scorned, or near 7 billion People really very angry with you.

"if the USA's honor can go along with these [bushite] tactics . . then the USA is a country without honor."

And, boy, real America wouldn't take kindly to George W. Bush Jr. as understanding, the elected President has leadered the murder, of thousands of decieved Americans as the innocent, all to only steal from, as the left "officially" undefended. Hell, as the true Leader of this Free World, and holy roller god child, George W. Bush Jr. pisses me off to no end, how about you?

/ / Washington says the Falluja campaign was necessary to bring the Sunni Muslim city back under the control of the central Baghdad government ahead of planned Iraqi elections in January. \ \

Reuters up to their old nazi tricks again. It was only mindless nazi savages who indiscriminately murder good honorable people, who advocate thieving and torture, rape and murder, and the willful destruction of America, that were banned from entering the Holy city.

No evidence, so then, for what? What but to get more cowardly american marines dead, to serve soullessly further - as the stolen riches of the evil enemy demon anti-Christ, evil personified, Our Mr. bush Jr.. (the same from 9/11 fame)

http://www.truthout.org/docs_04/112604I.shtml

/ / By targeting civilians, he said, an army could advance more rapidly. ``Air-delivered terror'' effectively removes civilian obstacles. \ \

Pure, unadulterated fascist nazi evil. "Never again"? Killing un-arrested bushite (Saudi wahabbi) commanders, is like killing the enemies of America, Humanity, and Jesus' God. Do ourselves and Johnny a great big favor, when you see a loyal bushite nazi grunt, thief and murderer, imagine, "yah, that's right, that's what being a kind neighbor is all about, for Christ said so in

John's Revelations." Bushite! your false Idol religion is too stupid to remain for dying spark under the anti-Christ's evil criminal dictatorship of, wannabe dumfuks. I'm Your God see, and Your coming and going. As for Royal King Johnny, he's just standing in for this time being,..' hey you!, pay no attention to anyone behind what curtain! Boo!

America is wonderful to truly believe of it as in the making. So, let's get the work done that needs doing, so God can get back to trying to enjoy some time at home. I'm here for a good time, not a long time, and the sun don't shine every day. So get off your asses, and demand some real Justice for Christ's sake. Johnny has awoken, and he's cranky they say.

snpd
--

http://www.thenation.com/doc.mhtml?i=20041213&s=klein

/ / Impunity - the perception of being outside the law - has long been the hallmark of the Bush regime. \ \

--

http://middleeastinfo.org/forum4970

Israeli Soldiers Going In For The Kill: A 13 year old Palestinian JEWISH school girl is about to die

/ / "It's a little girl. She's running defensively eastwards, a girl of about 10. She's behind the embankment, scared to death."

"Anyone who's mobile, moving in the zone, even if it's a three-year-old, needs to be killed.

..soldiers in the company, [...] Givati Brigade, complained that they had been "besmirched"

The soldiers said that the commander had fired two shots at the girl from close range as she lay on the ground.. \ \

Will all Jews join me in calling for the public World trial of crimes against God's Humanity, done by these truly godless fascist nazi enemies of the Holy Jewish faith? Or, will the anti-Jewish Ariel Sharon crowd again, march more Jews silently to their graves, refusing to speak for the Bible's Word that all people are created in God's loving image?

Victimizing the innocent to steal from, is not acts becoming of a religious person or a truly "democratic" government.

--

http://www.topplebush.com/article54_recpres.shtml

/ / Musil said the [rumsfeld's] Pentagon's effort to draw a distinction between the weapons was outrageous.

"It's Orwellian. They do not want the public to know. It's a lie," he said. \ \

--

/ / Hammad said he had seen elderly women carrying white flags shot by U.S. soldiers. ''Even the wounded people were killed. The Americans made announcements for people to come to one mosque if they wanted to leave Fallujah, and even the people who went there carrying white flags were killed.'' \ \

--

http://www.globalresearch.ca/articles/BOH411A.html

/ / But we have to be very cognizant of the fact that the media's adamant refusal to address the very real irregularities of the 2004 vote is a deliberate act of psychological warfare. [...]

"if the USA's honor can go along with these tactics . . . then the USA is a country without honor." [...]

The word 'jihad' (holy war) from which 'el moudjahid' (fighter for the faith) derives, has always been, because of an anti-Islamic prejudice that dates from the Crusades, taken in the Christian West in a limited and restrictive sense. It is taken as a symbol of religious aggressiveness. This interpretation is rendered absurd by the very fact that Islam is tolerant, and the respect of religions, in particular Judaism and Christianity, is one of its fundamental precepts, something which, in fact, has been in practice over the centuries. \ \

--

/ / Ending this bloodbath is the most honorable task Americans can perform to restore progressive priorities and our respect in the world. We have passed the point for graceful exit strategies. Our policy is to go on mechanically killing people unless they vote in January for us to keep on killing people. \ \

--

http://journals.aol.com/bmiller224/OldHickorysWeblog/entries/2233

/ / Our priorities must change. \ \

--

/ / Brooks seems to think that the CIA is the
President's personal advertising agency and that its
employees owe their livelihoods to him. \ \

--

/ / Ohio Secretary of State Ken Blackwell, a Republican,
issued a directive to election officials to turn away
such voters if they arrived at the polls to cast regular
ballots. \ \

TREASON.

--

/ / UN officials, at U.S. request, placed a curtain
over a tapestry of Picasso's Guernica, located at the
entrance to the Security Council chambers. As a TV
backdrop, the anti-war mural would contradict the
Secretary of State's case for war in Iraq. \ \

/ / In August, US National Guardsmen discovered and
sought to stop the torture of detainees in a Baghdad
prison by Allawi's new interior ministry police, but
were ordered by US commanders to leave the victims in
the hands of their tormentors. \ \

--

/ / The leak about the money and the rigged election
apparently came from technicians who were promised to be
paid a certain amount for their work but the Bush
campaign interlocutors reneged and some of the
technicians are revealing the nature of the vote rigging
program. \ \

Yawn. Yet another act of high treason by the enemy
bushite, that should warrant prosecution under American
law, but look!, CNN and CBC couldn't care less about the
legitimacy of US elections, or our freedoms and the
irrational sacrifices of life made by cowardly and
soulless teen-age disciples of the true enemy evil
anti-Christ. Why bother to arrest those who committed
MASSIVE fraud during the election, the crime is over,
and corporate amerikkka have already declared themselves
our enemies of freedom, as committed, to kill, or have
killed, America for stolen gains without virtue.
Besides, what is such a charge in amerka know-a-daze?,
"fifth degree felony"? Why even bother. Reading is for

the stupid people, and math is even quackier, har har.

--

/ / I retired in 1999 as a lieutenant colonel with 30
years total service. I am now also a 60% disabled vet
due to combat wounds which have worsened over the years.

At the same time my day job was as a police officer. I
served 26 years with the Tulsa PD, working uniform,
plain clothes, SWAT, bomb squad and finally as a police
helicopter pilot for 3600 hours of flight time. I
received the department's Medal of Valor, two Chief's
Medals, and the Department Commendation Medal. Not
exactly the profile of a terrorist suspect, eh?

My sin, evidently, was using the First Amendment. \ \

--

"Word on high is, "Dream-Job", is the doorway to miracles. Wow! The
beginning has begun!"

D-R-E-A-M - J-O-B

http://houston.indymedia.org/uploads/somebody.mp3

/ / Mr. bush is sending them into these military
conflicts, to create more hostility for them to die
over. So bush can rob ever more, from the undefended
American People left back home there, still, victimized
without repremand to those who ripped them off for their
pensions as example. \ \

Dream job. The future now looks like something worth
fighting for. Please, pass this recording to our news
agencies. I do not have the ability to do this job of
ours all by myself, I need Your help. Please do US this
small favor, and I'm sure this Universe will thank you
for it. Word on high is, "Dream-Job", is the doorway to
miracles. Wow! The beginning has begun!

Love and kisses,

Johnny Wizard

--

/ / It wasn't enough to force a surrender and have The
Ba'athists cooperate with the Coalition. Israel demanded
that everyone in power who ever thought anti-Israel thoughts
must be removed, even though the resulting chaos has cost
untold lives and billions of dollars. \ \

--

> Word of Advice
> A, one of a kind broadcast, for all our great Johnny Wizard fans.

> http://la.indymedia.org/news/2004/11/119355.php

--

God is Great

A person accused in our name(s) of a criminal offence, needs to be established somehow to be as such, and the only way to do that, is to present evidence that formed your/our conclusion. Without evidence our accused is ourselves as the innocent, being falsely imprisoned, tortured, or murdered. Murdered, as the Allawi and the bushite nazi forces did to our friends and family in Falluja, to please the demon liar, lawless George Bush Jr. as their central thief system of dishonor and betrayal to the human cause, Your own.

Now, when bush stated that Laden was guilty, without evidence needed to form a conclusion, or when he just told you for no reason possible, why American soldiers are DYING, "Saddam wouldn't let inspectors in", the bushmob thieves plundered in our "lost" confusion. All the while bush always seeking to close America's on-going criminal investigations, by causing even more have nots among US. So, as a free person, to side for the, bush wars America, God, and Johnny issue, you would seek out to find for Yourself beholding likewise as We all are: bush is actually super fuking evil, like personified or incarnate even, and Johnny's almost like a stranger Messianic figure who just wants to be known as a semi-normal average GUY/god fellow. (Sheesh.. We gotta bring ourselves everywhere we go) I "hate" bush for being so not himself stupid to think there was no actual walking, talking, godly Persons from the outer-reaches coming in to get him for the crimes he has committed ourselves to. Corporate news dictate without a human presence, doesn't rule this world of communication, we do. (Jesus wasn't buying Satan's misconception.. SEE THE BIBLE AND THE KORAN CAN BOTH BE RIGHTiOUS!!..! Just ignore the misconceptions others have, and join the party!!! The Time is Now, and man, do I know how to make an entrance or what?)

A demand for Justice is our birthright as the human species struggling to survive.

We could be on good terms with the "unthinking", greatly special loving Earth as the no longer leaving ourselves to be silently victimized, and I think, we'd think, we want to live in a freedom bound for glory, not a hell

hole of, ignorance is bliss, look there! your dying needlessly victimized, oh.. too late who cares your dead nonexistence. Did you say something?

Trust me America, YOU WANNA correct this George Bush Jr. problem before it becomes the "law" of the "land". Don't fear though, for, God's here, there, everywhere!!! It's you over... there! and under here.. and then... we said hello to Johnny, the miracle worker. God People, I have so many good words to tell you, and wonders that would surely boggle some senses. Our hope for a better future doesn't have to die here on a cross for the war mongering bushmob thieves, but, it's going to require You personally taking some action, be it however slight, to bring a remedy to this current, "Look People, bush thinks he has almost escaped accountability for the commitment of crimes he perpetrated on 9/11 against US interests." Strange but.. REMEMBER: people like Americans, are currently needlessly still dying for the bushmob war monger thieves., dying by only our continuing censored voices on the seriousness of our commitment to defend the rights of every free person standing; ourselves as God being victimized with these unjustly expensive, neo-con bushite terrorist campaigns against Civilization's better judgement.

God is great I know it's true, just put a little faith in yourself, and demand America soldiers fight instead to protect DYING America from the tricky, evil bushmob cabal of THIEVES. Cheney looks bad there, as does Myers, and didn't Goss have some pre-9/11 meetin' with 9/11's General Ahmad that he has still yet to answer for, or.. is it we guess, to be "legally" done in by... the Secret Order. The American good cop is all we're expecting, and all we need when dealing with the likes of a self-depreciating bushite conspirator..

We don't get to be Magnificent without you likewise. This alien world we have victimized against our better interests, is a failing spirit of Life from our vantage point. Going back before the Age of Reason, is not where I believe America wants to be taken any further, thank you, but no thanks, besides, the King of Kings is here. Johnny Wizard looking to break into prime-time with his very own Special special.

I'd have ta be one crazy mixed up loon to follow this world over with the universal principles of justice as freedom, to set course for the dreams of my trying, and you might be right. Get You to talk TRULY will, guaranteed, freak you out. REMEMBER: Mr. bush Jr.

should have been arrested day one, with that fifty billion. That was before 9/11, Afghanistan, Haiti, Iraq, a few hundred thousand innocent lives, 300 billion plus a few hundred more stolen, and for what? I can only see, we needed myself as King here yesterday. I wasn't chosen for this freedom post per say, but, me and the stars had a dream one time, and it continues through out to this day, enough to say but, this is not what you read about in the manuals. Freedom to be yourself, is the freedom to dream something bigger that your wildest imaginations. I am the Son of Man, and do I have tales to tell. (I know, it sounds crazy, but it's just like a performance gimmick to increase my Q ratings. And, it seems to be somewhere working with me.. I've got a story down pat for anything if someone should ask what fear is.., now, open that curtain so we can start the show!)

I have a treat for you strange friends and friendly strangers out there. Some art work from me. Now, I used the drawing myself, for posters of different sizes, explaining in small writing some key 9/11 questions, while with maybe block letters, things like "bush is EVIL", 'did 9/11', can you hear me?, as what have you.. So, here I will offer you something I created, a Johnny Wizard pencil drawing in jpg format. Enjoy!

http://la.indymedia.org/news/2004/11/119755.php

Hmm, perhaps I'll give out other of my drawings as thankyous for fifty dollar donations? Or prints for $500! You know, they could be worth a substantial amount of money in a few years, hmm, a real investment for your future... A wise move for the prudent investor really when you think about it. But hey, no pressure, no pressure.

Being me out to destroy bushite just sucks. I'm really not naturally so angry about what bush has done to our world all the time. My voice is getting hoarser, and I'm occasionally grunting, bad sign. I want to live like it's 1999!

Arrest for immediate trial, bush, rumsfeld and condi for the crimes of 9/11 back in New York City, and all will be well with my World,

A friend of living on for a brighter day,

Johnny Wizard

--

Truth is Telling

/ / Major-General Richard Natonski prepared his troops for the battle ahead. 'We're goin' in to raise the Eye-raqi flag above Falluja - to give it back to the Fallujans,' \ \

So, was it Major-General Richard Natonski's decision to drop 2000 lb bombs then? Was it his charge to indiscriminately shoot at US innocent, on the street, in our cars, our homes?, cause somebody's gotta pay then with their lives for lying to die the dumfuk marines, who it turns out, weren't so dumb, or hard of hearing after all. Those responsible for the bombing campaign targeting hospitals and groups of innocent people, need to be hunted down with extreme prejudice, and publicly executed on behalf of our Humanity. So too, do those who ordered the NEEDLESS bombing campaign in Samara. And, for those who have worn the American flag while willfully committing the sadistic crimes of torture and rape, we shall all join together, and hunt down as our enemies without mercy. The bushite are enemies of all our humanity, demanding we sacrifice our honor, like they have done to family and friend, and sacrifice our courage, because they refuse to defend their own flag and the freedom of which it stands, one nation, indivisible.

I will not rest until every traitor of the America I remember, is summarily executed by death sentence for the convicted war crimes Humanity and God has suffered under their heinously satanic barbarity. I HATE the anti-Christ, and all the bushite has tolled against our better station. As I tell again, killing bushite is a godsend. They as a satanic force of evil, torturing and murdering, rob from America as they "willfully" allow the robbery of Iraqis, and they truly, rob from themselves when refusing to join US in the demands to have bush condi and rumsfeld downed for the crimes of 9/11. We also demand all stolen assets taken through the bushite mongering war privateers, returned to a legitimate Iraqi government. All done by firstly, arresting Allawi for the murderous war crimes in Samarra, and then in Falluja. Also, hunting down to kill those who forbad humanity from helping US in Falluja, or turned off the utilities, shall be tried and publicly executed for commiting war crimes against MY humanity.

Weak intellectually as fascist nazis, the slave whore bushite's commitment is to only die for as enemy. They FEAR to have to stand up for fellow thieving soldiers

and say, "damn, this war is evil to the 'n degree, Jesus would surely be angry, And from what I hear, the Son of Man is pretty upset about what we enemies of God have done too, and, well.. we do not have to thoughtlessly serve under anti-Christ war crime decree any longer, as the cowardly lying chicken shits, too afraid to defend our own nation., instead, let's go bag US some enemy bushite for America's America!" We do all together want to convict those who murdered thousands in New York City, and we're definitely not combating global terrorism, by fighting a needless war against the innocent, lying to ourselves that by doing so, brings about a fair just government of "The People"., to then in turn arrest whom? American soldiers need to say, to hell with bush, and corporate professionalism, my loyalty is with Christ as a truth telling demon slayer.

For those who still continue, to sacrifice our rights to life and liberty, for a no better good deal as the bad guys, are TRAITORS to America, who are attempting to help escape bush and rumsfeld from the murder scene of 9/11. Never gonna happen. So, why should yet another, promising young man, die as a dumfuk bushite, the most hated and reprehensible nazi savage America has ever gleamed of? The indiscriminate bombing of our communities rightly gets dead, another, good for nothing, nazi soulless bushite vermin, AND RIGHTLY SO. I'd kill thieving bushite bombers to save the innocent life of anyone.. like a,.. cluster dud dropper., wouldn't you?, in all honestly, if you had the power, kill such an evil nazi betrayer to Freedom and America who blindly targets our residential communities to enrich the UN-AMERICAN demon anti-Christ bushite thieves further? That, as bushite nazi grunt, they die for? Paleeze.

America is dying to prosper their false-deity war criminal demon anti-Christ, Our Mr. bush Jr, the same responsible for the nine eleven travesty, who attempts escape only through fascist americans who willfully betray America by condoning the furthering of bushite censorship for tyranny. Is America the cesspool of corruption and greed, without a People person present willing to make a stand for God and Country? BUSH IS EVIL. Let's arrest'em, or kill'em for committing high treason on 9/11 with condi and rumsfeld.

What do yah say?

Under the witness of every freedom loving person this universe over, I am proclaiming, a war against the liar

George Bush Jr., the vilest contempt for mankind this
World will not stand by to be destroyed because of.

/ / claiming that the United States went to war because
Saddam wouldn't let inspectors in; the media has treated
this as not really a lie because it's so obviously
untrue. \ \

America is a coming to kill the truly evil George
Bush Jr.,

Johnny Wizard

--

Falluja women, children in mass grave
http://english.aljazeera.net/NR/exeres/24EBE5BB-CA3F-462B-8279-546BC1D9B7E6.htm

/ / "We buried them here, but we could not identify them because
they were charred by the use of napalm bombs used by the Americans," \
\

--

http://csep10.phys.utk.edu/newsgroups/astr162-general/messages/135.html

/ / Killing un-arrested George W. Bush is truly,
a gift of love to God. \ \

--

http://iraqwar.mirror-world.ru/tiki-read_article.php?articleId=30784

/ / the way that the invading American troops [godless
as satanic bushite nazi thieves] were using Iraqi women
and children as human shields. \ \

--

http://www.wsws.org/articles/2004/nov2004/vet-n11.shtml

/ / I saw people dead on the side of the road
in civilian clothes.'' He recalls how his trigger-happy
compatriots mowed down 30 civilians at a checkpoint on a
single day. \ \

--

http://www.jihadunspun.com/intheatre_internal.php?article=100549&list=/home.php

/ / The doctors statement noted that US snipers killed
more than 17 Iraqidoctors who had rushed to respond to an
earlier appeal \ \

--

The King Commands

/ / Rumsfeld replied to a direct question yesterday as to whether he knew Zarqawi was in Fallujah with the answer: ``I have no idea if he is there.'' \ \

So, America! there is your reason for dying America's sons and daughters, Johnny's brothers and sisters. "No idea" rumsfeld states while his private partners cash in the blood checks for everything but the funeral services. I ask sacrificing soldiers to help grant me space to debate bush and rumsfeld on international radio. We gotta take away Art Bell's turn off button to thank him for all the enlightenment he has been a part of in America, but that these life death top priority issues of ours, he hasn't the right to censor communication on. This is your World that I wish to play servant of. As my subject; I promise a fight to return Justice through freedom for all. How? By believing in you to do as you should, demanding the immediate arrest or execution of bush, rumsfeld, and condi for the crimes of 9/11. Demanding the return of all stolen Iraqi assets, made by those who personally profited off the criminal transactions. Demand the arrest or execution of Allawi for the bombing campaign of Samara, along with bushite military commanders who organized the satanic attack. Why would America do this? To free the dying lives of their bushite nazi whored, dying grunt savage slave population. .or perhaps not...

/ / Joseph Bowman, a 20-year-old marine from Texas: ``We're ready to go. I'm just ready to get this done. I want to go and kill people, so we can go home. Kill them, and go home, that's all we can do now.'' \ \

Where is home for the Joseph Bowmans? A devolving backward wasteland?, where brother kills brother for a stolen nickel? Who would want to share the same home?, street?, or community with such contempt for life and liberty? God and Johnny? Who's home does bushite Bowman SEE the joys of his humanity flourishing?, or being destroyed as the true enemy? Is that the place where the pursuit of freedom is done by sanctioning first degree murder for no reason in particular? And is that the bushite america we've all come to getting to know of these last few days through corporate news contempt for the loss of our life's love?, and God's voice? It is all up to us, You included. In battle against the evils of this world, we should start on getting back to those that did US wrong on 9/11: bush, rumsfeld, and condi., just for starters, while keeping a

careful watch on those that jump to their cause for furthering tyranny.

A terrorist to target: Major Todd Desgrosseilliers

/ / US forces unleashed more than 20 air strikes and some 60 artillery rounds on Monday, said Major Todd Desgrosseilliers. [...] An AFP reporter in the Jolan district said one building in every 10 had been flattened. As US-led troops closed in on the neighborhood overnight, at least four 900-kilogram bombs were dropped in the city's northwest. \ \

What is it that the major is warring Falluja's defenders for? Is it to thieve the assets of the war torn city through the sacrifice of American boys and girls?, or is it to set up a representative government that would firstly stand demanding immediate reparations? The return of all stolen assets? (referring to the satanic bushite documents: the lawless 13303 and the, "THIEVING bushite dying soldiers stole it, so" now, G.W. can sell it privately to his no-national robber baron "Economy Plan"sters.) Would not a free and liberated Iraq demand a return of the "missing" or "lost" billions through the humanitarian Iraqi Development Funds? The practice of human rights law? Criminal investigations? World wide Public arrests or executions of the rapists and torturers, along with the war crime commanders?, who mostly command from sunny Florida as pension thieves, and the lucrative Halliburton billionaire war pressure lobbyists?., For all together as represented, we instead (they claim) advocate the mistreatment of US innocents in Your name. Sacrificed? Why do you let them get away with that? How about instead my friends, for Christ's sake, we decide together to go after the criminals? Allawi must pay with his remaining life for the needless bombing of Samara that got many an American soldier KILLED for nothing. Nothing. Died for nothing, but to sacrifice more of America to the war crimer bushite, the lawless demon anti-Christ's followers of evil personified., the most popular Presidente' ever all-act-dud. .!!.

Yeah yeah, bush and rumsfeld did 9/11, but did you know the Pentagon has not so mysteriously misplaced near 3 trillion dollars?, that a couple few claim was forever lost? Shucks? And did you know, it is the Treasury Department that loaned the Federal Reserve American currency to begin with, not the other way around, when they in turn, lent it back to ourselves.., at private interest. Whoms? Hmm... a quest for answers to the

name on the bill We Trust?

At the same time while we're all parting, we got like, American soldiers indiscriminately murdering people. That isn't an accusation I make against the nazi bushite, they freely confess it. and for what purpose? They say, "we'll kill more than them that they'll kill of US"

Yeah but, why bomb ANYBODY? Why do you have to sacrifice American soldier's lives for no greater good? What benefit does it bring to the DYING bushite grunts who are ordered to stick around and try to placate hostilities with "good" intentions? as within the sites of Humanity - as enemies to US all? Will the commanders argue from sunny Florida, that yeah but, we killed more of them, than them of US. And.. they are who? ordering the commitment to indiscriminate murder? as the bad guys?. The bushites bombed, the willing to placate Samarra, to only get killed, more American Marines. And for what but the few bucks wasted on the ammo?.. to get killed more "uncounted" Marines naturally., for the bushites are waging to make a real killing on this American sacrifice. Look, we can sit down with each arrested bushite individually, talk to them about wether they are personally committed to thieving further from America, all to die benefitting the likes of an Enron, and those that thought they'd get away from the crime scene of 9/11; before deciding ultimately, wether the born again have any real hope left. The bushites are enemies to God, Amnerica, Johnny, and themselves truly dying US for. We can see how easy the manipulation of the American soldier's mind is, they have no ability to rationalize while speaking, and as a consequence, have no understanding of their bad-faith based satanic system. George W. Bush Jr. threatens the grunt soldier to always fear what they don't understand, like, the wisdom contained within the American Constitution, or the dreaded, free to speak any mind Internet. Terrified of growing up like real men do to make their own decisions in this big world, the bushite nurse instead on the teat of sadomasochism, as bushwhore nazi dumfuk slaves. Dying brain dead limp as noodles mushing. And why? All because Americans don't want to trouble American Talk radio with our freedoms. I again challenge Art Bell, Limbaugh and O'Really to a three hour debate entitled, "Wizard's War of Words: A Super Duper Special" all about their un-worthiness to censor our screams for Justice.

Joy to the world, or more misery and suffering under the demon anti-Christ, Our lawlessly evil Mr. bush Jr.? It is up to you really. Where do you want to go? Up, or down? You decide, but don't wait until forever never coming; these times demand for the victim, some real accountability. It is the power of our nature to being, that demands a call to arms against the war crime instigating, nine eleven perpetrators. Military commanders who don't support the arrest or execution of said individuals: bush, rumsfeld, and condi, are traitors guilty of mutiny.

/ / because having photographers or reporters present could have interfered with the count \ \

What we do now is accuse ES&S, along with Diebold of falsifying the election, then when they're pressed to prove "their" findings, they'll tell US, they can't, no ballot trail. Their convictions on our accuracy is misplaced. Pen and paper with ballots secured in locked boxes that never leave public scrutiny is the answer. Those who deny US that privilege, are enemies of freedom and democracy, wether they know it or not.

What for you say?, up for a challenge?

Your, coming to town, lovable loyal American King,

Johnny Wizard

--

http://www.awesomelibrary.org/Classroom/Social_Studies/Government/Fraud_in_Voting.html

/ / But not until Friday did they begin to spill into the more conventional news media. That's when the Cincinnati Enquirer reported that officials in Warren County, Ohio, had "elocked down" its administration building to prevent anybody from observing the vote count there.

Suspicious enough on the face of it, the decision got more dubious still when County Commissioners confirmed that they were acting on the advice of their Emergency Services Director, Frank Young. Mr. Young had explained that he had been advised by the federal government to implement the measures for the sake of Homeland Security. \ \

MSNBC Covers Voting Problems

/ / He said that there were 90,000 more votes cast in Ohio than registered voters

He said that all the irregularities in Florida and Ohio have happened in counties using non-paper-trail e-voting from the companies run by Bush's friends. \ \

--

/ / Meanwhile, the U.S. continued its targeting of medical facilities in the city. A makeshift clinic that is serving as the main first-aid facility was bombed this morning after U.S. and Iraqi forces took over Fallujah General Hospital early Monday. \ \

--

Praise Jesus! I killed ten lawless bushite disciples of the demon anti-Christ today, and I'm just getting started.. Praise Jesus!

/ / Here is a city, surrounded and cut off, whose male population between the ages of 15 and 50 faces being ``put to the sword'' by the US military, and no one in the media can stir himself to raise an objection. \ \

We all can only hope and pray all US Godly innocent people will wake up, and starta' hunting bushite to the ends of this Earth. There is no pleasure greater, than destroying fascist nazi bushite grunts, to save the lives of the innocent they target for pillage and murder. Enemies of America are the cowardly and soulless disciples of the demon tyrant anti-Christ, Our evil Mr. bush Jr.. Disciples of evil who war God as our enemies in attempt to escape bush, condi, and rumsfeld for the crimes of nine eleven. No dice, for Johnny's now, truly coming around like some kinda godly American Man of Virtues most can not even begin to understand. Don't be afraid my brothers and sisters, unless of course you're a bushite, for as a Christ Crusader I'll kill you myself, before allowing you to murder another innocent soul in My name. "Death to the bushite instead of our good friends and family", is our King's reply. Bushite commanders responsible for ordering the irrational bombing campaigns in Iraq, (to steal profit for rumsfeld's partners, while sacrificing weak and stupid American teens in the process,) such as in Samarra and Falluja, I am now offering a ten million dollar bounty on, per. If your organization bags three or more, before December 25th, there will be an extra something under the Christmas tree this year.

Do you Love me now as the Son of Man you'll ever know?

King Johnny Wizard

P.S.

(to steal profit for rumsfeld's partners, while
sacrificing weak and stupid American teens in the
process,)

See? Evil bushite kill "other" bushite to steal our
freedoms and money, and US true freedom fighters would
kill'em too to defend our innocent families. Like I
said, un-principled nazi facist savages is the
un-American enemy bushite without contention but for
lifeless corporate censorship on our core values.
Demand our voices be heard, or die not trying to do any
greater. I'm serious this time.

God bless America - Now, let's arrest or kill US some
enemy bushite, what do you say People?

--

/ / "I have no other choice but to resort to extreme
measures to protect the Iraqi people from these killers
and to liberate residents of Fallujah so they can return
to their homes." \ \

American Patriot Warriors, kill Allawi as our number
one, Iraqi People killer. Thanks in advance my friend.

The biggest most best Johnny Wizard international broadcast ever!
Don't wait! Get your own copy today! While supplies last...

http://dc.indymedia.org/newswire/display/108675/index.php

--

In Fallujah, U.S. Declares War on Hospitals, Ambulances
http://newstandardnews.net/content/index.cfm/items/1208

snpd

Or, is it another of those, why bother-who cares?-fifth
degree felony things?

--

/ / Despite this, the Bush administration denies any
responsibility for the missing explosives. \ \

Nor for sabotaging the ORHA for franks and rumsfeld to
rob the pro-Iraqi central bank. It's just more American
deaths as dumfuk sacrifices for the evil anti-Christ,
like bush and rumsfeld committed as stolen from America
on 9/11. Who cares about America Limbaugh would quietly
trumpet, he's making a killing like Art Bell and
O'Reilly do, keeping US masses PORTRAYED uninformed and
ignorant beyond belief. Did you hear? Mr. bush is the

most popular President ever ellected!

--

/ / Not one "chicken hawk" or a clique of shirkers, but an entire platoon of seventeen soldiers decided to face arrest rather than die needlessly because THE MAN doesn't support our troops. \ \

/ / stupid people prefer President George W Bush over Senator John Kerry by a 4-to-1 margin \ \

--

Getting Started

<http://www.yubanet.com/artman/publish/article_14748.shtml>

/ / ..a call for immediate inquiry into evidence that suggests high-level government officials may have deliberately allowed the September 11th attacks to occur. \ \

I would suggest all living American medias to join the 911 Truth Statement, then, if we did that, America might settle her own affairs, and I could then just center on my upcoming "Wizard's World Wide Wonder Tour" plans currently in the finishing stages. This great World is yours, treat yourself well. A National radio program is just waiting for your call on what you know now about freedom.

/ / So, at the time of the invasion, the Iraqi government had already disclosed and disarmed, and was thereby in compliance with the major provisions of UN Security Council resolution 1441, to which Bush refers in this quote. Diplomacy had, in fact, worked. \ \

Demon bush spew "Saddam Hussein would still be in power, he would control all those weapons and explosives, and could share them with our terrorist enemies."

Yet, it was rummy and tommy franks who TREASONOUSLY threw out the State Department's semi-thought through ORHA plan of, securing the weapon sites and preventing anarchy, and, it was the IAEA that had tabs on the weapons before the bush demon kicked them out irrationally. Done so to instigate looting and the deaths of American soldiers, all so to allow traitor franks and company to rob the public bank of uncounted billions, along with the museum. See? bushite would truly get the dumfuk cowardly bushite killed for a stolen dollar, and US real men would kill bushite too, as so would this eternal Universe, done so to protect

our loving innocent families. Being a bushite disciple of the evil anti-Christ, is something all wish hardship and death for instead of the innocent they, un-apologetically, as enemies of God, openly target for rape, pillage, and murder. Johnny Wizard pays ten cents for every bushite tagged and bagged, ten cents. And, an even share of at least 3 billion to those who kill the bushite commanders responsible for the criminal bombing of Samara. Hint: You kill the individuals who ordered the bombing MURDER campaigns of Samara, then stand up proud to our media as a real American soldier should or would, and say yeah, I killed the nazi bushite traitors as a true Patriot Warrior to protect my fellow brothers and sisters. (Optional close: "All hail Johnny!) Do that, and I promise you'll get what coming to you: all the riches this world of God's can afford., But don't, as bushite nazi soldier grunt, and likely die sacrificed as a coward and a traitor to the Human cause of liberty and Justice for US all. WARRING military corporate commanders that don't support the arrest of those responsible for 9/11, or the return of all stolen assets taken through the neo-con's war crime actions, we should all just frag for America.., what do you say Patriot(s)?

/ / Marine Colonel Michael Schupp dismissed the sputtering dialogue as a sham. "The negotiations are a ruse. They are just stalling for time," he told reporters near Falluja. \ \

What negotiations? Allawi has repeatedly informed American soldiers he has no evidence whatsoever, establishing his therefor, FALSE allegations. Mr. bremer's Zarqawi moves freely throughout Iraq, including the green zone. Question: When all those children were targeted for murder, by what was reported at the scene with remote controls in one truck and under the street, why would Zarqari take credit for it even if he was truly responsible? And so on..? I think Michael Schupp is a fuk up dumfuk, who thieves from America for bush, and who clearly doesn't have the intellect to command anyone but himself to his own rightly death. Why would Zarqawi target children, when bushite nazi grunts are all so close by coming and going?, for days at least constructing stuff?, that rumsfeld broke?, then over billed to fix for even further takings? Remember several un-arrested bushite NAZI commanders openly confesses to AMERICA in having no public objections about murdering the innocent, without even having a military objective established in some cases, but only for to sacrifice another soulless bushite grunt/slave, all so to enrich the comfy neo-con war mongers left back

home in our luxuries., Luxuries from where America's very own grand parents are left un-treated as the still victimized.

What do You think People? Needlessly murder thousands upon thousands irrationally making no sense, or, return stolen Iraqi assets while arresting American enemy Iraqi People killer Allawi, along with maybe Michael Schupp while we're at it? For, who cares about what is right, just, or true is this dying world of Yours?, er.. I mean bush's. You remember, Saddam wouldn't let the inspectors in, and that was why bush took a serious look at this real world situation and decided unilaterally, what the hell, he'd start a war against our Humanity left "undefended" as an enemy to everyone. (Satan's wager, that if i Remember correctly, Christ/God refuses(d).) Evil is Our Mr. Bush Jr.. Thank Johnny we had the time to intervene finally, now eh? Spooky is not the word, but baby, we sure could be on the winning side of this evolution by revelations thing. We are it together, bound for freedom through the practice of Justice, or bound to tyranny through acts of ignorance, ignorance propped by fascist censorship regarding our news content., Censorship of our human cause for liberation.

It is up to You brothers and sisters to demand evidence a requirement to convince ourselves on who shall be deemed the free person, or be sentenced to jail without trial somewhere. Tyranny through criminal dictatorship, or democracy expressing the true will of us people? It is all up to you.

"the Trial and Conviction of Our Mr. bush Jr."

--

/ / Wednesday on the campaign trail, responding for the first time to the Al-Qaqaa story, President Bush said that John Kerry, in using the story as he has, was ``denigrating the actions of our troops and commanders in the field.'' \ \

It was the actions of rummy and franks, not the mindlessly obeying war crime instigators as iliterately truly stupidly dying bushite dumfuk nazi grunt teen soldiers.

--

/ / How many more thousands will have to die before God will tell Mr. Bush to get out of Iraq? \ \

--

/ / Eighty percent of Bush supporters believe Bush wants
to participate in the treaty banning landmines. \ \

--

No Retreat, No Surrender
by Bruce Springsteen

/ / That's why "united we stand" -- that's why "one
nation indivisible" -- aren't just slogans, but they
need to remain guiding principles of our public policy. \ \

--

/ / "It is also important to note that this was the main
high explosives storage facility in Iraq, and it was
well-known through IAEA reports to the Security
Council," Fleming said. \ \

--

/ / AMY GOODMAN: So again, this top news, as many as
58,000 absentee ballots have gone missing in Broward
County, \ \

/ / Broward county commissioners had purchased the
touch-screen machines from ES&S over the objection of
Elections Supervisor Miriam Oliphant; notably, one
commissioner's campaign treasurer was an ES&S lobbyist.
Governor Bush responded to the Broward fiasco by firing
Oliphant, an African-American, for "misfeasance." \ \

/ / Garner's 90-days-to-democracy pledge ran into a hard
object: The Economy Plan's 'Annex D.' \ \

-

Oh my God!!! - Johnny Wizard talks to America nationally broacasted!

<http://la.indymedia.org/news/2004/10/118305.php>

Oh my God!!!

Get your own copy! Wow!

-

/ / Pentagon officials said a facility housing 380 tons
of (now missing) explosives "was not high on U.S.
commanders' list of sites to guard." What was high on
that list? Spokesman Scott McClellan said we had to
"make sure that the oil fields were secure." \ \

/ / 'Those ammo dumps have been looted and raided, and
kids and our young American forces are being shot at

from weapons stolen from the ammo dumps that this president didn't think were important enough to guard,' \ \

Who cares?

/ / Roughly half of the $5 billion in reconstruction funds distributed by the U.S.-managed Development Fund for Iraq cannot be accounted for, according to an audit commissioned by the United Nations. \ \

Yawn.

/ / A highly detailed program, begun years before the tanks rolled, for imposing a new regime of low taxes on big business, and quick sales of Iraq's banks and bridges-in fact, "ALL state enterprises"-to foreign operators. \ \

Big deal. To the war mongering mass murdering thieves go the stolen spoils., as the bushite's devolving american new century would say.

/ / The American public is told that this butchery is part of keeping up pressure in the war on terror. All these terrorist brides and grooms and terrorist kids rushing round in party clothes ; all the terrorist musicians and the terrorist wedding guests, by God, they have to pay for 9/11 because (as millions of Americans still believe), the Iraqis were responsible for 9/11. \ \

50 percent of Americans polled support bush, and the vast majority of those believe Saddam Hussein was behind the Sept. 11 terrorist attacks, and that freedom by justice through understanding is too complicated and wordy, ...yeah. and so corproate news control is lying to US all, cheating everyone, including You, who cares?

Americans murdered on 9/11, and those responsible are let to go on their way. Yeah, and so what?, for Americans are absent any real Patriot warriors willing to protect their now officially perverted Amerkan flag. Look at the nazi grunt soldier's uniform, and you'll see. All bushite nazi grunts, who fight cowardly with rapists, torturers, and South African apartheid death squad goons, wear a flag reversed from the original design. The bushite attack the weak, innocent, and practically defenseless as betrayers to God, freedom and the human cause. Yeah, and so what?

/ / The administration not only doesn't admit mistakes, but also doesn't believe in accountability. \ \

But for the sacrifice of dumfuk bushite nazi grunts. Leaderless degenerates that any real human would destroy to protect their innocent families. Innocent families the bushite bombers commanding from Florida murder for no good reason but get more soulless bushite teens rightly killed, all done so to put more stolen money in the pockets of their ungodly satanic cult. Solution: hunt to kill those left un-arrested who willfully, criminally, ordered the needless bombing of Samara to get killed the bushite grunts for the further thievings of the bush backer traitors who bask in your stolen expenses.

Support calls for open dialog.

snpd

Is It Just?

/ / Is it just coincidence that 9/11 is also bush's "acceptable" reason for warring, (planned TOP SECRETLY prior by several days of 9/11, and confessed to US already as fully implemented by the Whitehouse) Afghanistan with a FALSE ALLEGATION [n o - e v i d e n c e] to heroin push, [is still leaving] ..the STOLEN American soldier pension money [...] sitting in the Cayman Islands? \ \

I guess perhaps I wasn't so clear previous, but listen: How's bush and rumsfeld's Afghan plan, gonna go down, if there is to be no surprising 9/11, nor any evidence, (not a scintilla,) gathered for public inditement against Laden for shoplifting? (No advisement from Tenent, nor Richard Clark?) Would bush start a war against life itself, by just simply stating, we don't need no evidence of our suffering?, with him demanding an innocent family to be crucified?, to save Enron? Again, bush is the for real antiChrist of all biblical folklore, hated this entire timeless universe more over than anything else Johnny cares to mention. George bush's naked treason as an enemy of Humanity is hidden from no one. A true soulless degenerate George W. Bush is, whom is purposefully attempting to destroy Your freedom as humanity with his utter contempt for our flying fricken flag. If a person has no evidence to substantiate an allegation as personal opinion on the advocation of perpetrating senseless bushite barbarity against those understood as US innocent, then your blind faith in evil bush as bushite, not lies with your God, your nation, your family, your neighbor, OR YOURSELF, but as the willing to be sacrificed for the ungodly bushite war crimes as enemy of everyone. Listen: Jesus..., KILL BUSH INSTEAD.

Kill un-arrested bush and rumsfeld for murdering the good American People thought left undefended by corporate edict as the still unjustly dying and near forgotten, and you'll be respected by this universe as truly honorable and courageous, in these of God and Humanity's, most troubling of times under the criminal dictates of Our evil Mr. bush Jr..

Those evil brethren of the bushite clan intend, through censorship, to allow the demon bush cabal our rights to leave, but where does the brethren of the bushite clan think bush is a gonna flee himself from, eh? We, The People are a' coming to get you George W. Bush for almost escaping our police protections. For, when the bush brethren clan wake up to the plan, that they'a have'a been'a deceived, the last think anyone would want, is to be without police protection... (Can you believe, the war monger thief and liar, Our Mr. bush Jr. actually banks for Christian fundamentalism, thinking he's gonna ride them bible belting for all he's worth?)

Again, America needs to wake up, load up, and go out to freely bag US some un-American bush and rumsfeld, all to save the lives of the leaderless dumfukked bush-teens, who are prodded criminally as cowards to die for the enemy. Those who would murder innocent Americans as bushite, then further publicly advocate as enemies to freedom, the victimization of God as the innocent elsewhere, should be turned against instead, questioned, arrested granted full legal rights through public due process, or hunted down to be destroyed by US real Patriots of this world as God's great believers. I'd destroyed any un-arrested proud bushite for warring America by indiscriminately dropping toxic cluster bombs on our friends and family, to get rightly killed more leaderless cowardly soldiers with Grand parents they leave undefended in bush's evil amerka. Who doesn't hate bushite I ask you to think as you should?

You kill bush because, we had unrestricted access to do anything bush pleased throughout Iraq, prior to sacrificing any good for nothing, cowardly bushite nazi dumfuk whore.

Now, because truly, the Iraq bushite war is illegitimately premised without any actual cause, the humans are faced with two questions that have no legitimate answer.

1: What can the ungodly and evil demon bushites do now in Iraq, that they couldn't prior to murdering one child, but for to rob from America by towing his

bushwhore nazi coward soldiers to commit criminal acts to rob freedom from all of US as Humanity?

(Kill an proud to be evil bushite, and all day long you'll have the thanks of every surviving American police officer, and a nod from all the American pensioners who are promised that maybe bush's america can learn for next time while the bushites just walk away with the stolen loot laughing.)

2: What could Saddam do, that he wasn't asked for?, to stop the senseless first degree murder of our friends and family?

Saddam provided the sites where all bremer's WMD was destroyed, the documents, the personnel, and so on, but corporate america won't let the facts be delivered without their bias of, who cares neutrality. A refusal to report for American interests regarding the sacrifice of American lives for the un-arrested criminals hiding inside Enron, Dutch-Shell, five dollar a day Bechtel, or double billers, commi Halliburton. Example: soldiers could have more effectively went into Iraq unarmed to search wherever, for there were no restrictions placed upon rational behavior, but just no money for the bushites to steal from America. The proud dumbfuk bushite soldier is coward and betrayer to America and God, by not, for America's America, killing bush and rumsfeld for near escaping the murder scene of 9/11 in New York City. Instead, they attack the defenseless and innocent with cluster bombs laced with toxic waste they happily eat themselves to die as the ungodly soulless bushite traitors. You get your gun Patriot, and instead of punishing a town, city, or state, bag yourself some individual evil bushite, and be respected by all true loyal Americans as a real freedom fighter. With the God of every religion by your side rooting you on for the home team, You'll be loved by all. At least until, we or me, can make the national news programs to communicate. Again, the management and news desk staff of CBC and CNN know, that the American FBI contend: bush's business partner, the un-arrested in retirement General Ahmad, funded Atta, the 9/11 mastermind. (While no evidence was established against bin as connected, to justify the Afghanistan war crimes. Nothing.)

CNN and CBC, in our names, are with malicious intent, refusing to allow justice to be our interest in protecting always.

snpd

New Recruit

Destroying enemy bushite is a gift to Humanity, it is saying you care for the lives of the innocent a bush bitch nazi grunt freely confesses to targeting for murder. Liars are the bushite, who steal Iraqi resources, allow racists and rapists to commit their demon crimes in America's name un-challenged, and who absent mindedly bomb the innocent without just reason given. All the while, labeling themselves, "pro-Iraqi". The mindlessly evil attack against the Turks recently with an ordered bombing campaign that actually murdered people, sighted yet another, example of the bushite's idiotic ungodly satanic barbarity against us the Humans as INNOCENT. After the carnage, the war criminalizing America into bushite commanders were advised, it would be better to pick other completely innocent families to indiscriminately murder while lying, for the demon bushite provisions come through that big ol' Turkey, and if the Middle Easterners eventually learned together of their fellow Turks who were murdered by ungodly enemy nazi forces for admittingly doing nothing worth noting, with the middle east's indirect help with essential supplies coming from somewhere in that surrounding billion man vicinity, you know, I know, we all know, "Americans" as NAZI war criminal enemy bushite, would massively die as the immediately recognizable to most everyone, and rightly too as leaderless cowardly, dumb, and real sadistic evil, dying at the hands of all US Family men. Being as bushite, the enemies to everyone, including themselves we've witnessed them already dying as nazi dumfuks who eat plutonium. Or, IED? They mean "sexy" rummy cluster dud land mine more like! (Just where is the Middle of East anyway?) History HAS learned these lessons already, while the corporatist bushite plays US just dumb enough through malicious censorship for plunder by parasitic bushite suicide, for a bushite has no soul worth defending. See?, the committed nazi automaton bushite enemy of America soldier has no mind to know freedom for the individual. Therefor my friend, kill the evil nazi George W. bush Jr. not just for me as Johnny, but for US all man who refuse to be subjected further as only counted by the corporate dictums to pronounce "pro-American": as those that go silently rooted backing "un-accountable" rapists and torturers of the innocent, the innocent, that the bushite OPENLY rob from with American's hard earned tax dollars funding. Funding through leadership directed towards their very own self destruction. See bushite, kill bushite, at least until we are granted living access to OUR corporate dead end news media to speak FREELY for

ourselves without bushite lies tying US down for furthering that demon's hellish ungodly tyranny as an enemy to all our Humanity and Royal King Johnny.

Real Americans are those of US as humanity that believe in liberty as Justice for all. Demon bushite nazi whores, don't like those principles of freedom through evidentiary findings though, for their false deity, George W. bush Jr, wouldn't be stealing from the honest hard working blokes who live for a better day that way.

The Iraqi children did nothing to deserve such awful suffering and fright and death at the hands of the enemy bushite. The bushite: who the demon anti-Christ states, are un-accountable to any rules of law in this Universe, like with his extremely foolish criminal decree, 13303. I kill mindless parasite bushite nazi enemy soldiers just for that alone as eternal defender, and I do it for to win some mercy for a real living God, who the fascist enemy bushite hold in their ungodly contempt as LIARS.

I have the backing of every real man alive on this planet, and we all know it. No voices of reason have apposed my factual claims in FREE Usenet, and Art Bell makes no attempt to defend himself as internationally painted enemy traitor, and that says a whole lot to Talk America. Why? Because Mr. Bell, the bushite propagandist, knows too, I speak and act truthfully, honorably, and righteously, while the talk radio american bushite lies, cheats and steals from America's America, that as bushite, leave undefended for criminal plunder in Your name call censored from classed enrollment. You have just as much a right to be here as I do, and the demon bush, he ain't no better than any of US when he is "excused" for the murder of well more than a hundred thousand confessed as completely innocent people on this planet called Earth.

The fascist control bushite, don't like You to think independently for Yourself, for we independents out here make them look as stupid as they are. Thank you very much for that not so secret info.

Through the pronounced bush demon's gaffes, embarrassments, and told complete total ignorance on subjects like the science of economics, the whys of constitutional law, or when the bushite takes credit for indiscriminate killing of our families and friends while parading it's all done in bush's name for our freedom, or for 9/11, doesn't fool me, and should get you thinking about reaching for your guns too, for bushite

rapists and torturers will not walk this world freely un-accountable, for as long as we remain alive to hunt them down and kill them if so be. As the soulless disciples of the enemy anti-Christ, Our Mr. bush Jr., their "officially" granted legal immunity from all prosecution means nothing to me as a honorable man defending my humanity, or as living Creator, who brought all into this new world to be free, and can just as soon, take you all nazi bushite out the old school way.

REMEMBER: Demon bush is stupid, yaw, but he knows that he and rumsfeld with condi planned and carried out the crimes of 9/11 with likely cheney orchestrating, don't ever forget that part when attempting assessment how good four more years in going to do for the state of freedom in Your dying world. Serious this situation truly is for everyone. Everyone.

Now, what are You going to do about this, DEMON George W. bush Jr. actually playing US like he would like to continue victimizing our dying world as ENEMY for four more years towards furthering lawless tyranny? Don't we already have it bad enough, that corporate journalists think there is actually some merit to George bush's re-election bid? Especially after that 9/11 thang? Then there is the needless criminal wars, fraught with embarrassing massive billion dollar criminal frauds as .oops, more government fuk ups? Then of course all the bushite thefts. I did a Internet Google search of "Tiger Team" and I found next to nothing in all the professional media corporate news outlets we have advertising on our information service providers top notched list as best sourced material. And that should say as much or more to you too, about who's really conning who. So, who cares about where this world is going? I do, and so should you too.

snpd

Again, none of any words world wide bare contention against the facts we've brought to our attention. The traitors to America, Our Mr. bush Jr. and rotten rumsfeld don't mention the willingness of American Soldiers to aprehend general Ahmad as the principle terrorist funder of Atta, because this general is also responsible for developing the bushmob strategy of killing Americans in New York City from Washington. A strategy of blaming bin Laden without any evidence to bomb Afghanistan for Enron. (The Dahbol Working Group.) Then closing criminal investigations, as it's not important to know who personally was responsible for the

insider trades, or the contacting of only Odigo. Never mentioned by CNN as top priority to American soldier concerns, who are expected by Blitzer and Hemmer to throw away their lives in sacrifice unthinking to bush, america's false deity, super evil anti-Christ. While bush and cheney threaten to murder more dumb Americans who would dare to articulate the demand for Justice as our own, like Laden has, while demanding American Patriots coware in fear of the sadistic savage mass murdering death squad leader, tommy franks, or the anti-Christ going out to kill their family too as not represented by corporate policy, while American soldier families are continually disrespected as unworthy. (Generally, they are pretty damn stoopid, but certainly, if they're not knowingly commiting first degree murders for the anti-Christ as pure nazi filth, they should be allowed to learn, instead of having their own undefended families robbed then slaughtered for American traitor, nazi puke terrorist, tommy franks, or for Monsanto's rotten rumsfeld. Do you notice corporate America continues to show outdated footage of those two demons standing near smiling armed American soldiers, who as a mass of dumfuks, aren't intelligent enough to destroy them instantly as established ememies of America as terrorists? Well, that is going to change. I have yet to find ANY American Soldier who is literate, who disagrees WE have some very important questions that need immediate answers before the bushmob murders more Americans in Our name. See for yourself through Google if you don't believe in Christ as the Redeemer.

As for the doubting American Soldier, LOOK, CNN will continue as cult members in their dying madness of just even lamer excuses, to convince US, we are alone in our concerns for Freedom as Justice instills for US all. This moment of History requires you to stand strong for only yourself to be represented truly among the stars. Evil stupid people can't make heads or tails of this, but, as evil bush does truly from his dark shallow existence, will continue by open piracy, to publicly advocate in Our names the further robbing and murder of your own family American Patriot, so too, will more american nazi dumfuks die to the tune of their own cowardice to protect themselves as garnered fairly. This is why rotten rumsfeld forbids the death tally of American soldiers to be made public to the ready for enlistment as the too dumb to know better. Life is life, and sorry to say american dumfuks, Christ on the cross is you too as a true dying American Patriot, and your already politically sitting in the position of

hatred and injustice against Humanity, and myself honestly as.. THE SON OF MAN! (The god of God's life/death guy, with a score to settle on behalf of the infinite Universe and True brave Soldiers everywhere. KILL AMERICAN COP KILLER BUSH NOW BEFORE HE MURDERS MORE IN OUR NAME AS THE INNOCENT COWARDS!)

CNN is not interested in working for American interests by asking why, as there is little of any money in just doing good for your neighbor, like saving a living, as we would wish to be helped for ourselves, if we were bound just the same to be equals at being. Mr. Blitzer and Bill Hemmer, as americans, wouldn't give a dollar of your time, to speak on human rights to be of their own concern, (they're rich!) or to save the life of an innocent poor victim, if it meant they would therefor, be compelled to honestly report on their false deity bush, the super evil criminal to ourselves as Humanity, the unelected dictator, American cop killing anti-Christ in person!, that they so leave to see Americans sacrificed as ignored to be robbed from as their profit margin increases. Do less for America, The People, and get payed more in collateral damages paid for by doubting Patriots, too slow to stand for the American flag in protection for their own living as lost to the wind. Mr. bush, tommy, rumsfeld, cheney and blair give no evidence, but for to show themselves as the criminals who openly harbor terrorist activity against our communities, and WE, as Humanity, according to CNN, are expected to go out and kill our friends in cowardice, instead of standing up to destroy the demon bush instead to save our own selves.

God, or no God, I hate that murderer bush as much as any real man would to care for his own life as family.

--

One More Thing

So, that demon bush, the American cop killer and his cohorts, are continuing to work destroying Humanity's gains in civility, by evilly dividing Our humanity with their blatantly open criminal intent to murder untold millions as US innocent, and as of yet, have not been executed by American Patriots for mass murder of God's Family in New York City. Traitors to US all on 9/11 without contention, for Our truth speaks as Ourselves, and witnessing just CNN's silence on General Ahmad, speaks volumes on their contempt for US as worthy of Justice. Refusing to do what is good for Humanity by offering the central facts as publicly recognized by Our

Police Agencies to be actually documented. According to the FBI: the vacationing General Ahmad financed the alleged 9/11 mastermind, Atta, and also developed the criminal war strategy against Afghanistan with the bush administration, to boost the heroin trade, and save Enron to commit further frauds against American soldier families, while murdering over a hundred thousand American flag wavers, and then leaving US for further ruin with plans to continue on against 59 more countries in the same deceitful illegal manner against all Churches, as an evil nothing short of eternally crowning Our Mr. bush Jr., the super evil anti-Christ, the most hated and despised parasitic murderer in the entire annals of Humanity's History. Cool eh? Kill bush now! Why?

The bushmob have done nothing short of confessing to the entire terror event, but for jokes on our strained credulity to publicly press for further stalls. With the rights of kissinger left to be a public investigation official? (Gee, thanks for highlighting your partner like that for US bush, you nazi dumfuk.) Mr. bush Jr.'s america destroys themselves without Love for wisdom, or respect for intelligence as a Peace plan initiative. We publicly witness the blind and tormented dying bushmob slave whore charade, of contempt for living free in Our names. The two evil doers, bush and sadistic nazi rotten rumsfeld, are known publicly as documented, to continue leveraging the ignorance of the CNN ready to be broadcasted repeatedly, tranced dimwit marines, the true dumfuks of this world as weak and pathetic cowards to themselves as their own brothers they watch murdered for bush helplessly, communicated in CNN's un-American false image as the evil bush nation hiding in falsehoods as death squad recruiters against the practically defenseless as unarmed children, nazi vermin criminals, being unbiased as Blitzer about protecting your freedom Patriot, to lose your real life savings reader, truly too weak and stupid to stand up in protection for the flag as the living American Dream for anyone. Because witness again, CNN keeps not saying Justice is important as principle to hold our reflections.(CrossFire is getting better though.) Fortified behind locked doors in their bombed out bunkers, Blitzer and Hemmer sit rooted for the evil side to lose further to themselves by continuing to not respond to our communication know how. America, the People, rarely gain press time coverage as should be top priority always, while being paraded publicly as sold out as not deliberated, corporately represented as

privately principled to stay ignorant of Justice to protect living personally, as actually worth something to the bottom line. Yours. Brought down Hell bound as cheated by corporate news america's valueless interest in the price of your living to continue on our behalves. What does CNN think of 2% Social Security? cheating a Soldier's Grandmother to pay tithes to the bush demon as criminal dictator?, american nazi death squads?, insider stock trades?, arming bush's heroin partners?, rotten rumsfeld and bush murdering devout corporate cultists like a would be, still silent Hemmer?, no opinion? Or the working for the People in our names OFFICIALLY, as the FBI and CIA officers actually involved in uncovering the evil bushmob deeds, like the warrant sabotage, Odigo, stolen passports of alive so called highjackers as once American trained as still Saudi pilots, or Anthrax made to look Muslimized, is not failure to connect the dots ever, or insufficient funding then is not less payment for our law enforcement now to nab the prime suspects. As Mueller, or mueller has not publicly commented of, but to say, he hasn't seen or heard anything of this police work, leaving the FBI unrepresented publicly as a threat by himself as a terrorist supporter, an devout member of the bushmob cartel. Why?, we must investigate Mueller if we should have him arrested for publicly obstructing the FBI asking the important questions. IT IS THE FBI, not pissant mueller, WHO CONCURES THE BUSHMOB'S AHMAD FUNDED ATTA. Or how about the dumb ass Ashcroft assault against every American to save his embarrassment of being completely unqualified and never elected?, no concern to mention on this either? Who suffers for CNN's refusal to demand Enron give back the billions that were criminally stolen from all American Families still sitting in the Cayman Islands? My God.

Somebody call the cops.. again!

I have personally spoken to hundreds, and written thousands regarding bush's guilt, and we can't seem to find any fault with what I am saying... How about You? Check out usenet group, "us.military.army" on the internet to see for US all, what questions if any are asked. Know also, CBC and CNN management staff will not contribute their disagreement as none present, but for continuing disregard for Your interests during Our news cast of the facts. THINK. I tell gun clubs, police officers, Biker gangs, and the general public to kill bush today for 9/11 and his death squads, against the helpless and undefended while arming heroin dealers and selling terrorist handbooks, with all the evidence one

would need or asks for, and CNN with CBC corporate management does not, to my knowledge, call Our Police agencies to complain about my free speech capabilities, or will allow US public discussions with Our History as documented. Why? Those nazi bastards, Walter Issacson, Robert Habinovitch, and the two Coast to Coast godless vermin parasites know personally, they propagandize our communities with deceit to keep us divided as the plundered and murdered for the private banker buildings who sell weapons in bush's name to kill the innocent as ourselves unheard from. I can barely survive watching US ignore them as King to this World, my sweetie baby sugar dumpling, but, I think it's time to let Arron Brown in on a little secret... Johnny wants a word with his living responsibility to Americans on Patriotism. Instead of what we currently witness, a reluctance to not relentlessly pursue the truth to provide US the facts, (Look, it's true, Johnny has an ass.) but a censorship of control to falsely prop up the unelected nazi war mongering criminal, Our Mr. bush Jr., a terrorist of tyranny through criminal impropriety in violation with the American Constitution as a death bringer heroin dealer, at a seating that truly holds no vacancy, nor a Buddha, but a deception to plainly hide, the actual, got to be for real, super evil anti-Christ of all religion ever mentioned. Wow eh, even gentle jolly Buddha wants bush squashed! Seriously, please kill the bush demon to save US as victims friend. Justice must prevail as is truth eternal, that of what will be as God is.. just how many more as Humanity will be sacrificed in cowardice for the evil bush demon, before an American Patriot kills the mortal nazi dictator for treason as an American cop killer, and betrayer to all of our Humanities? Don't let tomorrow's news story be about Your murder as another, no longer heard from bush victim. For, my reason is as evidenced to actually be known, easily, while the CNN corporate cult product lies to themselves as Our public fact finders to leverage more ignorance than is found now.. as Our public voice to suffer by criminal dictates to destroy God as life giver. Fuk you america.

Heaven is on the Horizon.

We can teach ourselves as the uninformed by CNN standards to misinform and ignore. CNN's continuing silence on Ahmad, bush and rotten rumsfeld, only supports further our claim in their contempt for American Soldiers, and Our Families as not represented as worthy business investment. God, don't you just love me? Hemmer?

Mr. bush's publicized war strategy of no evidence requirement to hold American convictions for murdering Ourselves is evil all around everywhere, as understood without question to every honest Cop, the most serious of all criminal behaviors, of which, they carry guns for in just this sort of political development to halt reoccurrences. But bush, the anti-Christ, as america, says no for ALL Americans, they can no longer hold onto Justice as their own with him in their charging everyone as the enemy bush refraction. Just like, "bomb wherever" tommy franks would do with his own American family death squaded, like he did intentionally to our children, as his contempt for America will never stifle our voice with his silence regarding our aimed veracity to seek out and destroy the evil nazi bushmob fuks individually with God as Justice Supreme. The True American Way, for, the rare and few Marines, the one or two, are with US loyally to know so. See, there was a time when the Marines were taught diligently, so much so it was proudly ingrained as the moral fiber of their character, that an American Soldier's responsibility to America was to defend the Constitution as the Freedom to be America, with their lives if need be to protect their soul brothers as family, for the greater good by God, (just like the Taliban Rule Man) while today, Ashcroft, albeit muted, murmurs as rotten rumsfeld chuckles, Americans must instead as slaves give their lives unthinkingly as cowardly evil war criminals to protect the unelected bush mobster from criminal arrest for mass murders in New York City, and stealing that 50 billion, seeing that that stupid Justice thing in the American Constitution and Bill of Rights idea has now got to go instead of evil bush as demon traitor war monger, terrorist recruiting false god anti-Christ, but that aside... There is a guide book for leadership in the American Marines entitled, "Guidebook for MARINES", and inside, it contains 14 principles to strive for as an American Soldier wanting to be a True Patriot as leader of Soldiers prepared to die for love of country, and our fellow man as friend or stranger, and here they are:

Integrity, Knowledge, Courage, decisiveness, Dependability, Initiative, Tact, Justice, Enthusiasm, Bearing, Endurance, Unselfishness, Loyalty, and Judgement.

For integrity it states:

"1. Integrity. The stakes of combat are too high to gamble leadership on a dishonest man. Would you accept a report from a patrol leader who had been known to lie? Of course you wouldn't. All your statements, official

or unofficial, are concidered by your men to be plain, unadorned fact. Make sure they are. When you give your word, keep it. There are people depending on you to come through with the goods."

Now, I could go on further about what kind of lifeless degenerate a lawless cowardly mute soldier in bush's nazi death squads would have to be, to serve under bush as slave and traitor to home and family, as against God, the Flag, and all that we represent as equals through Justice, but I won't.

Mr. bush's america is uncontrollable by anyone. CNN figures if they never mention US, we'll never know who was invited as participators. (Example: CIA officers have had to PUBLICLY contact British News Services as the CIA, (Never done that I know of likewise before), regarding American interests in apprehending the TRUE 9/11 culprits ignored by american corporate dictates, and tenant also. One should remember, bush and rumsfeld would want to secretly murder any persons involved in 9/11 to clean up the huge crime scene, and tenant, is no brave and True Christ like figure as a living person, so what can we expect in all reality? Tenant will pay dearly if the evidence further indicates, as his continuing silence does label him already a willing traitor to all America, as too, his personal meeting with 9/11's Ahmad just prior seems suspicious also as not mentioned by himself in defense, along with his refusal to disclose the insider stock trades while leaving the fine CIA officers personally involved in the dark, as abandoned American Patriots, armed and ready to defend America as they should immediately for themselves, by executing bush and rumsfeld as terrorist cop killer, drug running freedom haters.)

America's false deity, the super evil anti-Christ, Our Mr. Bush Jr., doesn't think for me to be sacrificed without opinion by John, for I am free to act for my own beliefs as understanding, as I live in defense for living as all things created I am of honestly, simply amazing God is. However, I, as any truly living person would, can't help hate Our Mr. bush Jr. to death for what he and rotten rumsfeld is criminally doing, and further proposing against Our living in my name as unheard from dying without cause as murdered, but for bush's criminal contempt for my Awesomeness to beg once again friends, someone out there please, for the Love of Yourself and God, help US destroy Our Mr. bush Jr. quickly before he kills more as Ourselves unrepresented by corporate values in our commitments to freedom for

living through Justice for all persons. Our Mr. bush Jr. has indiscriminately murdered more than 100,000 innocent souls with only a contemptuous smirk to his credit, while fully committed to more robbing and heroin dealing by blaming Laden for whatever without any evidence. Leaving Our true willingness silenced by corporate demands to propagandize and censor our truths, allowing bush, the dumfuk traitor, to continue demonizing America into a hell hole of ignorance through his hatred for life, Science and Nature. CNN's silence for America regarding the bushmob publicly stealing from their Own Family their freedom of Rights to be living, while committing Americans to a dictatorial crime ridden government, that bush and rumsfeld proclaim, is no longer applicable to the Law of any Land, is an abomination against Christ as living is Ourselves Being. America isn't America anymore, it's the bushmobbed universe of injustice and blind self hatred against all of Humanity, committed to leave none to survive but as slaves to be terrorized for the dummy bush demon as their false deity, a traitor in my midst, disguised to no one but himself as personally expendable. Again, where are the American Patriots we all hear so much about in the big screen to take down the seriously anti-American demon bush monster cop killer heroin pusher for treason, as all understood widely now factually with evidence and everything? Kill the war criminal evil doers, bush and rumsfeld today and be loved by yourself to be of Human Nature, or hunker to hell as a coward and betrayer to yourself and your own family, while watching the bushmob openly rob and murder further from our World, and on all of which we stand strong united. Justice for You.

This living plan of mine empowers others to learn their Rights as eternally established to be Kings and Queens on equal footing to be as all in all of gods forever dreaming, while demon bush intends the destruction of individual freedoms while robbing and murdering Americans from the inside, like sharon with US Jews does, as underrepresented, a condemning onslaught on Your better Judgement as reasoned on anything factual they figure blindly to be spinable, without your word contested. Your God Given Living Rights my friend. Without a rule of Law principled in the fashion of Justice for all to be sought as measured, bush's nazi amerika will continue to suffer ourselves needlessly for their corporatized self contempt in Our living Humanity as censored, without a thought for Justice, or the American Bill of Rights as God acting out eternally for

prophets to wonder endlessly. See, I came up with this wacky Idea one day to make all my equal as self created to be so normally, in this Universe as we exist for Happiness ultimately as eternal, so, You or i will work this challenge to speak out for ourselves as Creation about bush's america, and it's responsibility as publicly advertised, to gather the facts on who suffers for bush's criminal misdeeds, and overall ignorance on everything. Mr. bush's irresponsible tax scam for example, is to put America's public money into the hands of private bankers, as the extremely rich already, while taking money away from those who spend the highest percentage of their paycheck on basic necessities as a gambled to be advertised, "stimulation package". HOW? The HOW is never explained with understanding as clearly regressive like the bush rule is, because.. Who spends MORE in taxes as investment at the grocery store to pay the cashier for his babysitter: 20,000 volunteer Janitors all named Rich, who ride the bus for convenience, or a mechanical billionaire private bank machine operator, who doesn't earn any nation's moola by working something for it?, and couldn't eat 20,000 cans of re-fried beans at one cruise lining to timbucks three without losing themselves, havening his tax manner over take in YOUR luxury. See, when America prints more money at the Treasury to give Greenspan to loan BACK to the Government illogically, the dollar value falls for everyone as investor, inflation, let alone the incurred bogus debt owed with real sweat as labor. Clearly, to every informed citizen not as democratic. Of course, National corporate news America, is genuinely not trying as capable by themselves to commit The living interest in Government as ourselves with oversight to manage US fiscally responsible, (like CNN regarding Justice and the Congress, or no Chomsky ever, E. Ann Clark as geneticist, or just research for experts as example), so, we do indeed, live in trying times due to ignorance as corporate america's disrespect for wisdom saught as yearning. It's like private bankers don't want the public to think about what we are doing as worthwhile knowing, eh? Or, I'm the only one on this planet who can almost read my own writing as the, one of a kind, good boy God freak. Anyway, the bushmobster scam leaves less finite wealth for all as taxable exchanges, while suggesting disposing of what dwindling national resource can be obtained, be given to create further debt by devolving into a military apparatus to make more enemies. Our Mr. bush Jr. is just plain stupid as destructive to everyone, but especially Americans to not stand up in defense of Ourselves. (Officially, rotten

rumsfeld's criminal plan, "P2OG", is to encourage terrorist acts against Your Family, but you guessed it, not up to CNN's standard to report US as viable news material.) It's like saying fewer people educated, will keep us stupid enough as a Nation to blame the weak and poor as ourselves deprived till death as murdered, and, bush says makes sense secretly, as the all knowing for the blind and ignorant to faith in without thinking about what money is either. Our Governments should always be working to make the poor richer as a successful representation of our will as the public to improving our living condition, but the dumb and ignorant, talentless and monosyllabic, believe, someone else must be cheated and devalued, divided and murdered, in their universe for them to succeed without effort, or skill whatsoever.. Especially defense. Have you ever heard of the rock solid certainty of Universal "inverse variation" constants? (Canadian High School.) This is why in America, in a time where the nation was more literate as informed, Corporations were taxed at 85% and were happy about it for themselves as American citizens. It's understanding also, most as almost all of the most wealthy multi-nationals competing from military dictatorships against American minimums, run in continuous private bank debt, (your savings and pension as leveraged weaker being the entire Nations) but gross billions in profits. 99.9% of every private bank building in the Western World is mortgaged, not however in Iraq, or the Muslim World where interest is not charged on good standing loans made in Our names. (Sideline: Now recollect bush's puppet forcing Afghanistan to borrow from the World Bank for terrorist handbooks and heroin junkets, but not food, shelter or clothing, while spending in addition, 4-5 billion a month in godless bushfuked american Patriot Dollars, American Celeb Dollars, American TAX PAYER Dollars, to run deaths squads with tommy franks against teachers and children.) It's a strange idea to the dying to be corporately driven without thinking because, you wouldn't automatically think it could be more profitable to stay in cheaper as serviceable debt being a brick building, but it is by private banker swindle with National inflation succeeding personal interest rates. We lose personal wealth to the pirate banker fees without even privately using their/OUR National currency services, because truly, we can't go somewheres else. As of course in america, an Enron can always be pulled, where it goes bankrupt as a toxic non-living entity, but leave richer as crooks than they did before calling an end to paybacks, with the People stuck to take the loss

together for sure as the victims. While bush, says corporating America, his america, should learn for next time, maybe, while the CRIMINALLY STOLEN money is still siting in the Cayman Islands!!! See the Depression, a Canadian High Schooler, or watch bush act in direct opposition to his word almost consistently as American death harborer terrorist psychopath. Then ask yourself, why can none of our defenses be publicly discussed as important deliberations?

Love Justice by allowing others to read this paper, to judge the truth for themselves as worth something for all persons, and I will be truly grateful you cared to believe in a better future, for together, we could find a new hope for freedom, as happiness and prosperity for Yourself included. We only need believe in ourselves as unstoppablly stupid, and the Universe is ours as God is now testifier.

I am my word,
and make believe King to this world I call home,

Yours forever,

Ya, it's me again,
King of a world forgotten,

Johnny Wizard
www.globalresearch.ca
www.whatreallyhappened.com
www.globalpolicy.org

315. FS Pro-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-14 02:38:59 UTC
From: JJ <jcecklund@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <7hGFd.17442$iC4.5895@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 15477

Selling my Pro-2006, very good condition and has cell mod.

$190 plus shipping

JC

316. Re: Contact cleaner on BNC connection

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-14 02:48:15 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <wPGdnagoi_d2r3rcRVn-vQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 15475

You might want to be careful about getting any on the plastic case of the radio itself. It should be safe to use on the connector.

Bill Crocker

"Frank Bals" <fjb@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:3SvFd.7599$w62.52@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Is it safe to spray some contact cleaner (DeOxit) into the BNC connector
> on my handheld scanner? The 2 prongs or forks look dirty and I want to get
> the best connection possible....
>
> Frank
>

317. Re: Bill Crocker- Your opinion, please...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-14 05:15:50 UTC
From: Charlie Chan <detective@china.net>
Message-ID: <Xns95DE2B663CDcharchandet@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 15476

Bill,

Again, thanks. After reading this, I decided to order the R-5. Thanks
for the background info and your opinion.

I appreciate it.

Best,

Charlie Chan

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in
news:5a-dndQUH8GGYnvcRVn-1g@comcast.com:

> I just took a quick look at the specs between the two. The Icom has
> almost twice as many memory channels, and support for CTCSS/DTCS
> decode!
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
> "Charlie Chan" <detective@china.net> wrote in message
> news:Xns95DD3EFB8399charchandet@127.0.0.1...
>> Bill,
>>
>> Thanks again for the earlier response on the Pro 82.
>>
>> The plot just thickened for me (much to my delight):
>>
>> Your opinion please:
>>
>> Icom R-5 or Yaesu VR120D
>>
>> The Yaesu has a bunch of small frequency gaps, but many complain of
>> the slow scan rate of the R-5. Which of the two would you suggest?
>>
>> Thank you for your reply.
>>
>> Much Respect,
>>
>> Charlie Chan
>>
>
>
>
>

318. Re: social commentary, performance art or smut?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-14 05:59:13 UTC
From: th <th54@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <RcJFd.72207$Xk.31482@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 15478

personally, I would construe it to be pretty stupid.

"Paul Keenleyside" <bcpleights@teluz.net> wrote in message
news:FPiFd.85885$dv1.82550@edtnps89...
>
> <thruthewoods32@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1105567223.476530.75060@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>I just came across the website for a video called "hunting for bambi".
>>>From the looks of it, it seems to be a low-brow stab at the OLN/Playboy
>> genres of cable networks, but also comes across as a misogynist
>> celebration of gun culture. Does anybody have any insight or opinions
>> as to what else it could be construed as?
>> The preview is on www.teambambi.com
>
> Junk comes to mind.
>
> Also, Seems these boys can't get maturity and get past puberty.
>
> Obviously the girl is acting...
>
> and not very good at it.
>
> The video is pretty craptacular.
>
>
>
>
>

319. Raido Shack IC-3 batteries hyped.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-14 07:19:24 UTC
From: Hatfield <utility_infielder@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <1105687164.280588.61490@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15479

My Pro 64 takes four AA's and :-/...

..."each one charges up to 1000 times!"
..."charge in just 15 minutes using a RadioShack I-C3 charger!"
..."last up to 4x longer in your digital camera than regular alkaline batteries."

Has anyone around here given these a fair trial, should we rush out to buy, or instead to string up the RS ad writer?

Reference:

http://www.radioshack.com/product.asp?catalog%5Fname=CTLG&product%5Fid=23-531

320. HOW WILL YOU CELEBRATE MLK DAY THIS YEAR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-14 07:34:38 UTC
From: southdakotaradio@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050114023438.12239.00000022@mb-m15.aol.com>
Source record: 15480

1) By habbin' sum mo dat friiiihd shickun.

2) By goin to da white subuhhbs to shoplif 'an steal da cahhs.

3) By drinkin' anothuh fouh-tee 'an smokin' dat crack cocaine.

4) By axein' muh 19-year old daughttuh why she be habin' six kids and no idea who dey fadduhs is.

5) All dem things you jus' said.

321. Re: FS Pro-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-14 07:55:10 UTC
From: Scan Da Bands <vzeip5vc@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <ekueu0566glt6n6gqvc4oq4af1b1q0380s@4ax.com>
Source record: 15481

I'm selling mine also,has the DDI/D interface installed in
it......very good condition also.....make offer

On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 02:38:59 GMT, "JJ" <jcecklund@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:

>Selling my Pro-2006, very good condition and has cell mod.
>
>$190 plus shipping
>
>
>JC
>
>
>

322. Re: Mag mount + rain = wet seats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-14 08:18:54 UTC
From: Steve Uhrig <Steve@swssec.com>
Message-ID: <qrveu05gdnalc55dsga7tsethjp8p1tfc2@4ax.com>
Source record: 15482

On Thu, 13 Jan 2005 12:26:42 -0700, "User" <user@host.net> wrote:

> Q: How do you prevent water from entering through the weather stripping with
> a mag mount antenna during a rain storm?

Put a drip loop outside. Make a small loop like a U before the cable
enters the auto. That being the lowest spot, water will drip off
there.

Do the same thing outside a building when bringing cables in, and
drill into the building at an upwards angle from outside in, as water
doesn't like to run uphill.

Steve

****
Steve Uhrig, SWS Security, Maryland (USA)
Mfrs of electronic surveillance equip
mailto:Steve@swssec.com website http://www.swssec.com
tel +1+410-879-4035, fax +1+410-836-1190
"In God we trust, all others we monitor"
****

323. Re: HOW WILL YOU CELEBRATE MLK DAY THIS YEAR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-14 08:26:14 UTC
From: Paul Keenleyside <bcpleights@teluz.net>
Message-ID: <GmLFd.92743$dv1.42461@edtnps89>
Source record: 15483

"SouthDakotaRadio" <southdakotaradio@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20050114023438.12239.00000022@mb-m15.aol.com...
> 1) By habbin' sum mo dat friiiihd shickun.

> 2) By goin to da white subuhhbs to shoplif 'an steal da cahhs.
>
> 3) By drinkin' anothuh fouh-tee 'an smokin' dat crack cocaine.
>
> 4) By axein' muh 19-year old daughttuh why she be habin' six kids and no
> idea
> who dey fadduhs is.
>
> 5) All dem things you jus' said.

Probably wondering (as the rest of us outside of the United States will) how
some Murricans like you can be so idiotic
and so stupid. No wonder the U.S. has racial problems.

You must have quite a few cotton cloth cones for your head made out of
bedsheets. If you do, it's only fitting. I'll bet white
gown fits well too.

You like basketball? (look at how many are not white). NBA wrote the book on
successful marketing of players.

Oprah Winfrey pays more in taxes than you would earn in a salary.

Sherman Helmsey (George) and Isabelle Sanford ("Weezy") had to be the two
funniest people on TV.

Louis Armstrong could certainly blow his own horn with class.

Many people bopped and danced to Fats Domino, and other artists who were
black, in the 1950's.

James Earl Jones was the voice of Darth Vader.

Crack Cocaine is made by whites as it is by blacks (even more so by whites
in some areas of the U.S. than blacks I'll bet).

In many areas cars are stolen by white guys fried out on drugs.

In many areas screwed up white guys try to sell drugs onto kids.

Probably the white guy who plays on the high school football team and is a D
in English scored a hit with the empty helmet blonde cheerleader after the
game underneath the bleachers, long after the black students who were
sitting there had gone to their seperate homes to study forthe big test next

week. Poor dude has to put in extra shifts at the gas station to earn extra money to pay for expenses for the baby, and pay for the first month's rent for the mobile home in the trailer park on the other side of the tracks.

324. Re: HF communications from Santa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-14 12:57:53 UTC
From: Bob G0KYF <bobstevensonREMOVETHIS@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <41e7c1d3$0$19154$cc9e4d1f@news-text.dial.pipex.com>
Source record: 15484
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

its just the same here in the U.K.
With all the "political refugees" we have her, I say if you want to live in
our country then dont be offended by our customs.
They are even speaking urdu in our schools now.
During international football (soccer) tournements there has been people
told to take down the national flags from the cars and homes.

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:uqWdnTU9K4kz11HcRVn-jw@comcast.com...
> Kwanzaa is an African American holiday. More info here:
> http://www.tike.com/celeb-kw.htm
>
> My office was ordered two years ago, to stop displaying Christmas
> decorations, because one individual, out of about 50, who was Jewish,
> expressed that he was offended. We complied the first year, but we were
> outraged! They had been decorating that office for 25 years! The
> following year, we revolted, and decorated that office like it was Santa's
> home town. That person has since left the company. That was fine with me.
> I feel the same way about people who come to live here, in the U.S.,
> because it's such a great country. If they come here, and don't like it,
> and are offended, we give them the right to leave, and go back home.
> Simple as that. We don't force them to come here, we don't force them to
> stay.
>
> I have never been offended by anyone's desire to express their beliefs, as
> long as I was able to do the same. But it seems lately, that everything
> this country (USA), was built on, and stood for, is being torn down, and
> taken away. I think it's pathetic that everyone acts like this is ok with
> them, and waits until their in private, to complain. I think we need to
> speak up, and demand our share of the freedom we deserve as native
> Americans!
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
> "Paul Keenleyside" <bcpleights@teluz.net> wrote in message
> news:abXyd.9476$Y72.1513@edtnps91...
>>
>> "Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
>> news:98adnYGIQ4P1p1HcRVn-gg@comcast.com...
>>>> Then we had another incident, where a school was putting on a play.
>>>> They
>>> had different stage setups, for Kwanza, Muslims, etc. One parent had

>>> built a beautiful manger scene, with baby Jesus. Again, a few parents
>>> in the audience were offended, and ONLY the manger scene had to be
>>> removed! What a bunch of crap!!!
>>
>> Had the same thing happen in Marysville (north of Everett Washington).
>> Seems some school official didn't want the kids
>> singing Christmas carols because they would be deemed too offensive.
>>
>> Then there's the on going debate about the green tree being a Holiday
>> Tree in one part of Seattle.
>>
>> Never heard much of this Kwanzaa thing. Up here in Canada it's Hanukkah
>> and Christmas mostly, with Dhawhali
>> thrown in (a winter celebration for those of the Sikh faith that's been
>> happening for over 600 years
>>
>> What is Kwanzaa?
>>
>> Then on the 26th, its Boxing Day, where everyone goes to the Shopping
>> Maul.
>>
>> Happy Christmas to everyone.
>>
>
>

325. Re: Is this antenna any good for both transmit and receive?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-14 13:23:44 UTC
From: stryped@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1105709024.742891.67090@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15485

What I will probably listen to is the aircraft band and the 450 mghts range police on my scanner. Been thinking about building a discone if I could figure it out but wonder as someone said, a 1/4 ground plane or something tuned to a specific band would be better? One thing I am not crazy about is having a million antenna feed lines coming into my house! or having to switch from one antenna to another very often while scanning.

326. Re: HF communications from Santa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-14 14:10:41 UTC
From: "Airy R.Bean" <Me@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <34q2clF41h9f6U1@individual.net>
Source record: 15486
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

What, like imprisoning people without trial for years on end?
Allowing the plods to kill people and get away with it despite
the plods breaking the law at the time of the killing?
Being the inventors of the concentration camp?
Forcibly displacing the natives of Diego Garcia so that
it could be handed over to Yankland as a military base?
Continuing the murderous invasion of Ireland?
Starting the Second World War?
Initiating a murderous invasion of Iraq and removing
its elected leader?
Murdering people in the gas chambers of Porton Down
and then letting the perpetrators off scott-free?

"Bob G0KYF" <bobstevensonREMOVETHIS@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:41e7c1d3$0$19154$cc9e4d1f@news-text.dial.pipex.com...
> its just the same here in the U.K.
> With all the "political refugees" we have her, I say if you want to live
in
> our country then dont be offended by our customs.

327. Re: Raido Shack IC-3 batteries hyped.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-14 15:22:47 UTC
From: SamSez <samtheman@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <btRFd.2251$qu2.2155@trndny08>
Source record: 15487

"Hatfield" <utility_infielder@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:1105687164.280588.61490@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> My Pro 64 takes four AA's and :-/...
>
> ..."each one charges up to 1000 times!"
> ..."charge in just 15 minutes using a RadioShack I-C3 charger!"
> ..."last up to 4x longer in your digital camera than regular alkaline
> batteries."
>
>
> Has anyone around here given these a fair trial, should we rush out to
> buy, or instead to string up the RS ad writer?
>
>
>
>
> Reference:
>
> http://www.radioshack.com/product.asp?catalog%5Fname=CTLG&product%5Fid=23-531
>

Aren't they the 15 minute rayovac's that have been around for some time? Charge
[properly] only in the specified charger and that charger won't charge anything
else? Seems regular NiMH a better bet -- at least for interoperability.

328. Re: Raido Shack IC-3 batteries hyped.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-14 15:32:48 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery28146@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ACRFd.6663$C52.3670@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 15488

"Hatfield" <utility_infielder@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:1105687164.280588.61490@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> My Pro 64 takes four AA's and :-/...
>
> ..."each one charges up to 1000 times!"
> ..."charge in just 15 minutes using a RadioShack I-C3 charger!"
> ..."last up to 4x longer in your digital camera than regular alkaline
> batteries."
>
Most digital cameras eat alkaline batteries. The cam requires high current
pulses that the alkalines do not put out very well.

329. Re: Raido Shack IC-3 batteries hyped.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-14 15:43:52 UTC
From: Evan Platt <evan@TheObvious.espphotography.com>
Message-ID: <s4qfu0lnd193igebabgnlha4e9nc8i3h7l@4ax.com>
Source record: 15489

On 13 Jan 2005 23:19:24 -0800, "Hatfield"
<utility_infielder@earthlink.net> wrote:

>My Pro 64 takes four AA's and :-/...
>
>..."each one charges up to 1000 times!"
>..."charge in just 15 minutes using a RadioShack I-C3 charger!"
>..."last up to 4x longer in your digital camera than regular alkaline
>batteries."
>
>
>Has anyone around here given these a fair trial, should we rush out to
>buy, or instead to string up the RS ad writer?

They aren't "Radio Shack" AFAIK.. They are made by someone else and
rebranded by RS for sale in RS stores.

Evan
--
To reply, remove TheObvious from my e-mail address.

330. Re: HOW WILL YOU CELEBRATE MLK DAY THIS YEAR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-14 16:12:27 UTC
From: Jesse <1@2.com>
Message-ID: <Xns95DE72026CC7Eewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 15490

"Paul Keenleyside" <bcpleights@teluz.net> wrote in
news:GmLFd.92743$dv1.42461@edtnps89:

>
> "SouthDakotaRadio" <southdakotaradio@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20050114023438.12239.00000022@mb-m15.aol.com...
>> 1) By habbin' sum mo dat friiiihd shickun.
>
>
>> 2) By goin to da white subuhhbs to shoplif 'an steal da cahhs.
>>
>> 3) By drinkin' anothuh fouh-tee 'an smokin' dat crack cocaine.
>>
>> 4) By axein' muh 19-year old daughttuh why she be habin' six kids and
>> no idea
>> who dey fadduhs is.
>>
>> 5) All dem things you jus' said.
>
> Probably wondering (as the rest of us outside of the United States will)
> how some Murricans like you can be so idiotic
> and so stupid. No wonder the U.S. has racial problems.
>
> You must have quite a few cotton cloth cones for your head made out of
> bedsheets. If you do, it's only fitting. I'll bet white
> gown fits well too.

Sounds like you have quite a repressed sense of humor.
You on some massive guilt trip or something ?
And why ?

>
> You like basketball? (look at how many are not white). NBA wrote the
> book on successful marketing of players.

Personally, I think BB sucks.
Not because of race.
its just that watching grown men trying to throw a ball in a hoop is not my
idea of entertainment.
They call far too many fouls in BB, destroying the pace of the game.

>
> Oprah Winfrey pays more in taxes than you would earn in a salary.
>
> Sherman Helmsey (George) and Isabelle Sanford ("Weezy") had to be the
> two funniest people on TV.

Jeffersons were Ok - 'funniest people on TV' .. Now thats stretching it.
It seems blatant racism is Ok with you, as long as its the blacks calling
whites honkeys, and not whites calling blacks niggers.
Correct ?
Did you roll with laughter every time George called whats his name a dumb
honkey, or procliamed for the 500th time how superior blacks were to whites
?

>
> Louis Armstrong could certainly blow his own horn with class.
>
> Many people bopped and danced to Fats Domino, and other artists who were
> black, in the 1950's.
>
> James Earl Jones was the voice of Darth Vader.

Don't forget Jimi Hendrix.

>
> Crack Cocaine is made by whites as it is by blacks (even more so by
> whites in some areas of the U.S. than blacks I'll bet).
>
> In many areas cars are stolen by white guys fried out on drugs.
>
> In many areas screwed up white guys try to sell drugs onto kids.

How do you know all this in snowy white BC ?
Cetainly not from experience.

>
> Probably the white guy who plays on the high school football team and is
> a D in English scored a hit with the empty helmet blonde cheerleader
> after the game underneath the bleachers, long after the black students
> who were sitting there had gone to their seperate homes to study forthe
> big test next week. Poor dude has to put in extra shifts at the gas
> station to earn extra money to pay for expenses for the baby, and pay
> for the first month's rent for the mobile home in the trailer park on
> the other side of the tracks.
>
>
Its obvious that you expended alot of brain cells composing that paragraph,
so I hate to pop your bubble - But you are not on solid ground here.
The percentage of black single parent families far out-weigh whites.
Young black girls are far more likely to get pregnant, and at an earlie
age, than whites - Your imaginary hard studying, ideally reared black
students with seperate homes notwithstanding.
Try to have a basic clue as to what your talking about before spouting off.

Black American children are more likely to live in poverty than are white
children, primarily because black children are far more likely to live in
single-parent families and to be on welfare.

Black and white Americans differ dramatically in marriage patterns and welfare dependence. In 1999, 68.8 percent of black American children were born out of wedlock. By contrast, the out-of-wedlock birth rate for white Americans was 26.7 percent.Similarly, black children were five times more likely to be dependent on welfare from the Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC) program4 than white children.

Black children on average spent 49.4 percent of their lives in single-parent families, compared with 12.8 percent for white children. While black children on average spent 27.7 percent of their lives receiving AFDC, the figure was 5.4 percent for white children. Black mothers in the NLSY had math and verbal skill levels at the 19.7 percentile. By contrast, the average white mother had skill levels at the 52.5 percentile.

Black mothers in the NLSY sample gave birth to their first child at an average age of 19.9 years, while white mothers on average had first births at 23.4 years. Black mothers had, on average, 3.05 children while white mothers had 2.6 children.

http://www.heritage.org/Research/Welfare/CDA01-04.cfm

331. Re: Discone scanner plans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-14 16:20:57 UTC
From: glc1173@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050114112057.11804.00000001@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 15491
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

T-bone wrote:
>I might add that mine has stood up rather >well to 10 years or wear and tear
>exposed to the elements on my roof.

Just a thought: put it in your attic instead - and no weather or rust
problems.
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Dissident news - plus immigration, gun rights, weather, Internet Gun Show
ALAMANCE INDEPENDENT:
official newspaper of the Vast Right Wing Conspiracy
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

332. Re: Discone scanner plans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-14 16:24:49 UTC
From: T-bone <mis@take.net>
Message-ID: <Xns95DE741B27478ewrfdgrstnetaakeanfk@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 15492

glc1173@aol.com (GLC1173) wrote in
news:20050114112057.11804.00000001@mb-m03.aol.com:

> T-bone wrote:
>>I might add that mine has stood up rather >well to 10 years or wear and
>>tear exposed to the elements on my roof.
>
> Just a thought: put it in your attic instead - and no weather or rust
> problems.
> ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Might be true - But its on a 15 ft mast above the roof.
Putting it indoors would be sacrificing considerable height.
I got 10 years + and still counting, so I think the extra 20 ft height is
well worth it.

333. Re: Discone scanner plans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-14 19:31:42 UTC
From: "A.Pismo Clam" <Alaininvc@'86DASPAMnetscape.net>
Message-ID: <1105731089.826203@news-1.nethere.net>
Source record: 15493

For my $.02 worth, I had a RS discone and after two years, a 70+ MPH wind blew it and half of the radials some 500 feet into the woods. If you don't have these types of winds to contend with, I'd say it was a great discone, because it was for the time that I had it.

I now have a "Diamond" and am very pleased with it's construction. We have had 66 MPH winds in the 2 years since it's been up and it has held firm, on the same 20" post, since then. The ends of the radials are not crimped at the ends [like the RS that I had] That is where they broke off from my antenna.

Let me know if you need more info.

Alain...Palomar Mountain, where the 200" Hale telescope is.

stryped@hotmail.com wrote:
> Does anyone know if there are plans for a discone scanner antenna on
> the web to build?
>

--
MZï¿½

334. WTS (2) RCA RP6120 HANDHELD SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-14 20:05:53 UTC
From: jsbees@comcast.net
Message-ID: <1105733153.342419.261450@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15494

I HAVE FOR SELL TWO RCA HANDHELD SCANNERS NEW IN ORIGINAL BOX
200CHANNELS,10BANDS,
29-960MHZ,WEATHER/AIRCRAFT BAND,BACKLIT LCD DISPLAY,AUTO SCAN,
20 CHANNEL MEMORY,MEMORY BACKUP,KEYPAD LOCK,MANUAL CHANNEL
SELECT,NI/CAD RECHARGE CIRCUIT,CHANNEL LOCKOUT,AC ADAPTER/CHARGER
STANDARD ANTENNA.REPLY FOR COST AND SHIPPING.
THANKS PEN66

335. FS: IC-R5 and Digital Camera

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-14 20:49:09 UTC
From: Dwayne <dubbin4@goaway.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1c51fb4ae01e3a4989770@news-server.woh.rr.com>
Source record: 15495
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Both are in new condition and used very little. The R5 has been in a
case since the day it was bought and hardly ever used (no time to learn
it).
Kodak DX6340 that is like new and takes great pictures. Also does video.
$175 + shipping for the IC-R5, Icom case and IC-R5 software
$150 + Shipping for the Kodak DX6340

email if interested (I dont check the groups much anymore)
dubbin1 at gmail.com
--

Dwayne
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/BC895/

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Uniden_BC246T

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ScanFindlay/

336. Re: My Firestik doesn't work

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-14 22:07:37 UTC
From: anti spamjm <antispam@spamfucker.com>
Message-ID: <jPidnezUHflw33XcRVn-qg@rogers.com>
Source record: 15496

```
Jim Douglas wrote:
> Does you wife know?
>
> "User" <user@host.net> wrote in message
> news:crsbur$g1h$1@reader1.panix.com...
>
>>>What type of cable is on the Firestick? If it's RG58, then there is way
>>>too much signal loss, especially above 50MHz.
>>
>>RG58. The whole setup (antenna, base, cable) was advertised as "covering
>>25-1300mhz with extra power in the busy 140-170mhz band"
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
V I A G A R A :)
```

337. Re: can't use FRS in the malls. even though they were advertised to

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-14 22:10:51 UTC
From: anti spamjm <antispam@spamfucker.com>
Message-ID: <jPidne_UHfLy3nXcRVn-qg@rogers.com>
Source record: 15497
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement

Mastermind wrote:

> Sorry,
>
> BUT YOU CANNOT be detain for further investigation by a store security.
> Only police can detain for further investigation.
>
> The store security cannot id you, he can just banned you from the store.
> Either he places you under arrest because you shoplift or he let's you go.
> He cannot stop you just because the alarm goes off at the exit of the store.
> AND NO you cannot be held by police because you refused to identify to a
> security gard. Only a police officer can detained you for failure to
> indentify to a police officer. If you were arrested by a security guard and
> you did not steal nothing, you could bring the company in civil court.
>
> The receipt checking ant the exit alarms are there to help prevent
> shoplifting not give a reason for the security agent to search you.
>
> I work for a police department.
>
>
>
>
> "anti spamjm" <antispam@spamfucker.com> wrote in message
> news:W86dnVkmjMnet3ncRVn-sA@rogers.com...
>
>>Not arrest so much as detain for further investigation.
>>You can also be detained for failing to comply with the store policy
>>requiring receipt checks. You could later be arrested and held by police
>>for refusing to identify yourself--and yes you will be held until you can
>>be positively identified either with or without your cooperation. If you
>>don't have time to stand in line--shop elsewhere or don't shop at all.
>>I'd rather have a bit of inconvenience than higher prices due to theft.
>>
>>Aside from that I once benefited from the item check at a Costco. It
>>turned out that the clerk hadn't put several items into my cart after
>>ringing them in. Small items but about 200 bucks worth of stuff none the
>>less. Had they not checked the receipt, I'd have been out 200 bucks.
>>Next time you rush the lines give thought to that option. It could save
>>you money.
>>
>>Tom H wrote:
>>

>>>There most definately IS a point to all of this --- one relating to the
>>>legal balance between privacy vs protection of property (seriously OT, I
>>>know), a very important point to the loss prevention dept and not
>>>superfluous or trivial at all.
>>>OK, if the penalty for refusing to permit a search is being banned, every
>>>crook in town will show up one after the other and be subjected to
>>>banning, whilst making off with a ton of plunder in the process.
>>>Lets leave the question of whether or not there is another method of
>>>detecting theft aside (which, duh, of course there is, this is simply a
>>>"just for the sake of argument" question), lets just say the only method
>>>of detecting theft was the beeper. OK, a guy goes through, beep beep,
>>>"No, I didn't take anything, I'm not submitting.", "You are banned.",
>>>"Fine, see you later ...", and another couple hundred dollars worth of
>>>stuff walks out the door? That doesn't sound right.
>>>My question is: doesn't the fact that the beeper went off give security
>>>the right (Probable cause) to make an arrest, in the event that the
>>>person refuses to submit to a search?
>>>
>>>"BTR1701" <btr1702@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
>>>news:btr1702-C21632.00033811012005@news.giganews.com...
>>>
>>>
>>>>In article <crvm6l$ne5$1@news.chatlink.com>,
>>>>"69FLH" <69FLH10xx@campus.ie> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>There's some fucking people that just have too much time on their
>>>>>hands.....
>>>>
>>>>Yeah, I don't. That's why I didn't want to wait in the receipt check
>>>>line.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>"BTR1701" <btr1702@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
>>>>>news:btr1702-C8781D.19543910012005@news.giganews.com...
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>In article <34gk43F4asif7U1@individual.net>, "nana" <nana@netscape.net>
>>>>>>wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>It is a condition of entry and there will be a Notice somewhere
>>>>>>>stating
>>>>>>>the
>>>>>>>fact. If you do not wish to comply, then don't go in. Since you want
>>>>>>>to
>>>>>>>kick

>>>>>>>up a fuss, they can ban you from the store.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>How? Not sure how they'd even know who I am. If I don't stop for them
>>>>>>to
>>>>>>check my receipt, I'm sure not going to stop for them to take down my
>>>>>>name and information for future banning purposes and there's no law
>>>>>>that
>>>>>>says I have to.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>I understand all these "what if" scenarios, but WHY would you want to
>>>>>>>make a
>>>>>>>complete ass of yourself, make yourself known as a troublemaker, get
>>>>>>>yourself banned from these stores and besmirch your reputation?
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Because it's just one more damn line to stand in. Shopping at Best buy
>>>>>>this past Christmas, I stood in line to get to the register for over 30
>>>>>>minutes, then when I finally get there and pay for my stuff, I walk
>>>>>>toward the doors only to find another line 20 people deep waiting to
>>>>>>have their receipt checked. At that point, I'd had enough of it and
>>>>>>just
>>>>>>walked around it and out the door.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>The clerk guy didn't catch up with me till I was already at my car and
>>>>>>said he had to check my receipt. I said, no he didn't because if I
>>>>>>hadn't paid for what I walked out with, the alarms would have gone off.
>>>>>>Since they didn't, I don't need to be searched. I got in my car and
>>>>>>drove off. I broke no law so there's nothing they could do. [And they
>>>>>>had no clue who I was so it's not like they could "ban" me, either. Of
>>>>>>course, I don't even live in that city so even if they did figure a way
>>>>>>to ban me, so what?]
>>>>>>
>>>>>>And how is that going to "besmirch my reputation"? It's not like the
>>>>>>whole world is going to know I decided to rebel against the receipt
>>>>>>search policy and even if they did, how that makes me look bad such
>>>>>>that
>>>>>>my reputation is besmirched, I'm not sure.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Somehow I think I could live with the "shame".
>>>
>>>
>
Huh? Yes you can be detained...No grammar at your police dept? You are
detained by security for further investigation...by police. Hey I've
got an idea. Those that say this and that can't be done...The weekend
is coming. Why not go out, cause a few alarms in the stores and see
what happens. Report back to the group when you get out.

338. This equine is POSTHUMOUS !!! Cease its flagellation, please.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-14 22:22:24 UTC
From: TomH <th54@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ACXFd.79010$8l.71481@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 15498
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement

You are flagellating a posthumous equine.

339. Re: HOW WILL YOU CELEBRATE MLK DAY THIS YEAR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-14 22:56:22 UTC
From: 7597243@skytel.com
Message-ID: <_KWdndfpTpWO03XcRVn-iA@comcast.com>
Source record: 15499

Me and the Greaseman are gonna shoot four more and take the whole week off!!!

340. Re: HOW WILL YOU CELEBRATE MLK DAY THIS YEAR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-14 22:57:57 UTC
From: Paul Keenleyside <bcpleights@teluz.net>
Message-ID: <V7YFd.83288$nN6.43465@edtnps84>
Source record: 15400

"Jesse" <1@2.com> wrote in message
news:Xns95DE72026CC7Eewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130...
> Sounds like you have quite a repressed sense of humor.
> You on some massive guilt trip or something ?
> And why ?

Not really, just this kind of crap has nothing to do with scanners.

>>
>> You like basketball? (look at how many are not white). NBA wrote the
>> book on successful marketing of players.

> Personally, I think BB sucks.
> Not because of race.
> its just that watching grown men trying to throw a ball in a hoop is not
> my
> idea of entertainment.
> They call far too many fouls in BB, destroying the pace of the game.

Don't watch BB myself, but its obvious that alot of people of do.

>>
>> Oprah Winfrey pays more in taxes than you would earn in a salary.
>>
>> Sherman Helmsey (George) and Isabelle Sanford ("Weezy") had to be the
>> two funniest people on TV.
>
> Jeffersons were Ok - 'funniest people on TV' .. Now thats stretching it.
> It seems blatant racism is Ok with you, as long as its the blacks calling
> whites honkeys, and not whites calling blacks niggers.
> Correct ?

Wrong.

Either way was okay with me. George got the better of Archie on that one.

> Did you roll with laughter every time George called whats his name a dumb
> honkey, or procliamed for the 500th time how superior blacks were to
> whites
> ?

That and when Weezy got the better of George with her snappy one liners.

Sure was alot better than much of the crap on TV now.

>>
>> Louis Armstrong could certainly blow his own horn with class.
>>

>> Many people bopped and danced to Fats Domino, and other artists who were
>> black, in the 1950's.
>>
>> James Earl Jones was the voice of Darth Vader.
>
> Don't forget Jimi Hendrix.

Most people don't.

>>
>> Crack Cocaine is made by whites as it is by blacks (even more so by
>> whites in some areas of the U.S. than blacks I'll bet).
>>
>> In many areas cars are stolen by white guys fried out on drugs.
>>
>> In many areas screwed up white guys try to sell drugs onto kids.
>
> How do you know all this in snowy white BC ?
> Cetainly not from experience.

Actually I have. My vehicle was stolen because some idiot decided to use it
to case a house for drug money. That's what
the police said.

Not first hand, but there's lots of places around here that are screwed up
because some idiots decide they want to make
drug dealing a career, or do stupid things for their next fix.

There's other snow around here than the frozen kind.

>>
>> Probably the white guy who plays on the high school football team and is
>> a D in English scored a hit with the empty helmet blonde cheerleader
>> after the game underneath the bleachers, long after the black students
>> who were sitting there had gone to their seperate homes to study forthe
>> big test next week. Poor dude has to put in extra shifts at the gas
>> station to earn extra money to pay for expenses for the baby, and pay
>> for the first month's rent for the mobile home in the trailer park on
>> the other side of the tracks.
>>
>>
> Its obvious that you expended alot of brain cells composing that
> paragraph,
> so I hate to pop your bubble - But you are not on solid ground here.
> The percentage of black single parent families far out-weigh whites.
> Young black girls are far more likely to get pregnant, and at an earlie
> age, than whites - Your imaginary hard studying, ideally reared black
> students with seperate homes notwithstanding.

> Try to have a basic clue as to what your talking about before spouting
> off.
>

> Black American children are more likely to live in poverty than are white
> children, primarily because black children are far more likely to live in
> single-parent families and to be on welfare.

Kind of slotting in kids there aren't you pal? I'd be careful on that one.

>
> Black and white Americans differ dramatically in marriage patterns and
> welfare dependence. In 1999, 68.8 percent of black American children were
> born out of wedlock. By contrast, the out-of-wedlock birth rate for white
> Americans was 26.7 percent.Similarly, black children were five times more
> likely to be dependent on welfare from the Aid to Families with Dependent
> Children (AFDC) program4 than white children.
>
> Black children on average spent 49.4 percent of their lives in single-
> parent families, compared with 12.8 percent for white children. While
> black
> children on average spent 27.7 percent of their lives receiving AFDC, the
> figure was 5.4 percent for white children. Black mothers in the NLSY had
> math and verbal skill levels at the 19.7 percentile. By contrast, the
> average white mother had skill levels at the 52.5 percentile.
>
> Black mothers in the NLSY sample gave birth to their first child at an
> average age of 19.9 years, while white mothers on average had first births
> at 23.4 years. Black mothers had, on average, 3.05 children while white
> mothers had 2.6 children.
>
> http://www.heritage.org/Research/Welfare/CDA01-04.cfm

Interesting that this comes from a right wing think tank. Rather than a
balanced neutral source or a composition of
comparative facts from a variety of sources.

"Founded in 1973, The Heritage Foundation is a research and educational
institute - a think tank - whose mission is to formulate and promote
conservative public policies based on the principles of free enterprise,
limited government, individual freedom, traditional American values, and a
strong national defense."

But this has nothing to do with scanning.

You've made your point and I've made mine.

Have a nice day.

341. Re: HOW WILL YOU CELEBRATE MLK DAY THIS YEAR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-14 23:51:56 UTC
From: Jesse <1@2.com>
Message-ID: <Xns95DEBFE9D48E5ewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 15401

>
> But this has nothing to do with scanning.

Agreed.

>
> You've made your point and I've made mine.

I agree with the first 4 words of that sentence.

>
> Have a nice day.
>
>
Same to you.

342. Re: Raido Shack IC-3 batteries hyped.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-15 01:06:58 UTC
From: B L R <fake_address@noemail.com>
Message-ID: <S0_Fd.199126$8G4.74943@tornado.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 15402

These are Ni-MH batteries. They work as advertised.
If you slow charge them you get more cycles.
Note that the advertisement says "upto 1000 times"

I bought an overnight charger and four 1800 milliamp hour AA's from Big
Lots for $10

I keep these in a Pro-95 (which like your 64 has a charging circuit)

I just bought a second set of DURACELL NiMH AA'S (2000 Milliamp hours) at
Wal-Mart for my yaesu vr-500 also for approximately $10.

They last like an alkaline with out the down sides of Nicads.

"Hatfield" <utility_infielder@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:1105687164.280588.61490@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> My Pro 64 takes four AA's and :-/...
>
> ..."each one charges up to 1000 times!"
> ..."charge in just 15 minutes using a RadioShack I-C3 charger!"
> ..."last up to 4x longer in your digital camera than regular alkaline
> batteries."
>
>
> Has anyone around here given these a fair trial, should we rush out to
> buy, or instead to string up the RS ad writer?
>
>
>
>
> Reference:
>
> http://www.radioshack.com/product.asp?catalog%5Fname=CTLG&product%5Fid=23-531
>

343. FS:BC60XLT-1 lightly used 10 band 30 channel 65.00 dollars

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-15 01:37:33 UTC
From: juvenile11691@aol.com
Message-ID: <1105753053.834142.36780@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15403

lightly used BC60XLT-1 for sale 65.00 dollars.

344. software for BC780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-15 03:33:59 UTC
From: don <wxfreqrs@cableone.net>
Message-ID: <10uh3peid6cpo66@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 15404

HI all
its that time
I need to get software for my BC780XLT and need to know the following
1. is there any software out there that can log which freqs are the most
active so I can go and delete the ones with no traffic
and put others I have in those slots

thanks

--
Don
http://www.angelfire.com/ms2/paswx Real Time Obs Page
http://www.jcmsara.org Jackson County Club Page
LET THE GAMES BEGIN

345. Re: can't use FRS in the malls. even though they were advertised to

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-15 03:57:04 UTC
From: Dave B <dad269@NOSPAMhotmail.com>
Message-ID: <rg4hu0lt61fsnpiq34iserjk90obdp8j89@4ax.com>
Source record: 15405
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement

On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 17:10:51 -0500, anti spamjm
<antispam@spamfucker.com> wrote:

>Mastermind wrote:
>
>> Sorry,
>>
>> BUT YOU CANNOT be detain for further investigation by a store security.
>> Only police can detain for further investigation.
>>
>> The store security cannot id you, he can just banned you from the store.
>> Either he places you under arrest because you shoplift or he let's you go.
>> He cannot stop you just because the alarm goes off at the exit of the store.
>> AND NO you cannot be held by police because you refused to identify to a
>> security gard. Only a police officer can detained you for failure to
>> indentify to a police officer. If you were arrested by a security guard and
>> you did not steal nothing, you could bring the company in civil court.
>>
>> The receipt checking ant the exit alarms are there to help prevent
>> shoplifting not give a reason for the security agent to search you.
>>
>> I work for a police department.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> "anti spamjm" <antispam@spamfucker.com> wrote in message
>> news:W86dnVkmjMnet3ncRVn-sA@rogers.com...
>>
>>>Not arrest so much as detain for further investigation.
>>>You can also be detained for failing to comply with the store policy
>>>requiring receipt checks. You could later be arrested and held by police
>>>for refusing to identify yourself--and yes you will be held until you can
>>>be positively identified either with or without your cooperation. If you
>>>don't have time to stand in line--shop elsewhere or don't shop at all.
>>>I'd rather have a bit of inconvenience than higher prices due to theft.
>>>
>>>Aside from that I once benefited from the item check at a Costco. It
>>>turned out that the clerk hadn't put several items into my cart after
>>>ringing them in. Small items but about 200 bucks worth of stuff none the
>>>less. Had they not checked the receipt, I'd have been out 200 bucks.
>>>Next time you rush the lines give thought to that option. It could save
>>>you money.

>>>
>>>Tom H wrote:
>>>
>>>>There most definately IS a point to all of this --- one relating to the
>>>>legal balance between privacy vs protection of property (seriously OT, I
>>>>know), a very important point to the loss prevention dept and not
>>>>superfluous or trivial at all.
>>>>OK, if the penalty for refusing to permit a search is being banned, every
>>>>crook in town will show up one after the other and be subjected to
>>>>banning, whilst making off with a ton of plunder in the process.
>>>>Lets leave the question of whether or not there is another method of
>>>>detecting theft aside (which, duh, of course there is, this is simply a
>>>>"just for the sake of argument" question), lets just say the only method
>>>>of detecting theft was the beeper. OK, a guy goes through, beep beep,
>>>>"No, I didn't take anything, I'm not submitting.", "You are banned.",
>>>>"Fine, see you later ...", and another couple hundred dollars worth of
>>>>stuff walks out the door? That doesn't sound right.
>>>>My question is: doesn't the fact that the beeper went off give security
>>>>the right (Probable cause) to make an arrest, in the event that the
>>>>person refuses to submit to a search?
>>>>
>>>>"BTR1701" <btr1702@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
>>>>news:btr1702-C21632.00033811012005@news.giganews.com...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>In article <crvm6l$ne5$1@news.chatlink.com>,
>>>>>"69FLH" <69FLH10xx@campus.ie> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>There's some fucking people that just have too much time on their
>>>>>>hands.....
>>>>>
>>>>>Yeah, I don't. That's why I didn't want to wait in the receipt check
>>>>>line.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>"BTR1701" <btr1702@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>news:btr1702-C8781D.19543910012005@news.giganews.com...
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>In article <34gk43F4asif7U1@individual.net>, "nana" <nana@netscape.net>
>>>>>>>wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>It is a condition of entry and there will be a Notice somewhere
>>>>>>>>stating
>>>>>>>>the

>>>>>>>>fact. If you do not wish to comply, then don't go in. Since you want
>>>>>>>>to
>>>>>>>>kick
>>>>>>>>up a fuss, they can ban you from the store.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>How? Not sure how they'd even know who I am. If I don't stop for them
>>>>>>>to
>>>>>>>check my receipt, I'm sure not going to stop for them to take down my
>>>>>>>name and information for future banning purposes and there's no law
>>>>>>>that
>>>>>>>says I have to.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>I understand all these "what if" scenarios, but WHY would you want to
>>>>>>>>make a
>>>>>>>>complete ass of yourself, make yourself known as a troublemaker, get
>>>>>>>>yourself banned from these stores and besmirch your reputation?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Because it's just one more damn line to stand in. Shopping at Best buy
>>>>>>>this past Christmas, I stood in line to get to the register for over 30
>>>>>>>minutes, then when I finally get there and pay for my stuff, I walk
>>>>>>>toward the doors only to find another line 20 people deep waiting to
>>>>>>>have their receipt checked. At that point, I'd had enough of it and
>>>>>>>just
>>>>>>>walked around it and out the door.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>The clerk guy didn't catch up with me till I was already at my car and
>>>>>>>said he had to check my receipt. I said, no he didn't because if I
>>>>>>>hadn't paid for what I walked out with, the alarms would have gone off.
>>>>>>>Since they didn't, I don't need to be searched. I got in my car and
>>>>>>>drove off. I broke no law so there's nothing they could do. [And they
>>>>>>>had no clue who I was so it's not like they could "ban" me, either. Of
>>>>>>>course, I don't even live in that city so even if they did figure a way
>>>>>>>to ban me, so what?]
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>And how is that going to "besmirch my reputation"? It's not like the
>>>>>>>whole world is going to know I decided to rebel against the receipt
>>>>>>>search policy and even if they did, how that makes me look bad such
>>>>>>>that
>>>>>>>my reputation is besmirched, I'm not sure.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Somehow I think I could live with the "shame".
>>>>
>>>>
>>
>Huh? Yes you can be detained...No grammar at your police dept? You are
>detained by security for further investigation...by police. Hey I've
>got an idea. Those that say this and that can't be done...The weekend
>is coming. Why not go out, cause a few alarms in the stores and see

>what happens. Report back to the group when you get out.

I've had the alarm go off several times on leaving a store. Since I knew I didn't take anything I just kept going, never been stopped. I'm just waiting for them to try and stop me, will they get a surprise.

Dave B

"Some nights are like nothing I've ever seen before or will again."--Meatloaf

346. Re: Discone scanner plans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-15 05:59:35 UTC
From: "Charles C." <disposable1DELETETHIS@charlesc.net>
Message-ID: <csabk9$7nm7$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 15406

A.Pismo Clam wrote:
> For my $.02 worth, I had a RS discone and after two years, a 70+ MPH
> wind blew it and half of the radials some 500 feet into the woods. If
> you don't have these types of winds to contend with, I'd say it was a
> great discone, because it was for the time that I had it.
>
> I now have a "Diamond" and am very pleased with it's construction. We

I've owned both the RS and diamond discones and agree with you. The RS is a good discone but it is fairly fragile. I ended up breaking several elements on it and the only way I could get replacements was to buy another RS antenna. After that I installed a Diamond and couldn't be more happy with it. The diamond is well worth the extra money as compared to the RS, in my opinion.

Charles C.

347. BC 220 XLT reception

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-15 11:16:21 UTC
From: Chuck <chuck@host.invalid>
Message-ID: <9Y6Gd.2120$2e7.408@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 15407

I bought a BC 220 XLT, with the rubber antenna that came with it, several years ago at the NASCAR track to hear the races.

I live in a town that is small enough not to be on a trunked system, so I have our local PD and FD programmed in.

My question is, how far away should I be able to receive the signal?

I am sure this depends on their transmitter and other variables, but I can have the scanner in the parking lot at work, about 10 miles from the city, and barley can receive them. Same for the FD where I work though, I can barely get them at the house.

I have had 2 other scanners in the past, and they were able to get a good 30 miles minimum and normally much more.

Thanks...

Chuck

****
Larry La Prise, the man who wrote "The Hokey Pokey," died peacefully at the age of 93. The most traumatic part for his family was getting him into the
coffin. They put his left leg in and that's when things started going downhill...

348. Scanner recomendations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-15 11:20:31 UTC
From: Chuck <chuck@host.invalid>
Message-ID: <307Gd.2121$2e7.726@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 15408

Is there a webpage that will review scanners, not necessarily new ones though.

I am looking for a scanner that get the trunked systems. There are too many PD's and FD's in my area that I am missing out on now.

I am looking for probably a used one, so it will need to be a couple of years old.

Also looking for a webpage that tells how to use the trunked scanners. I have never used one and know nothing about them. I would like to learn before I buy something.

Thanks...

Chuck

****
Larry La Prise, the man who wrote "The Hokey Pokey," died peacefully at the age of 93. The most traumatic part for his family was getting him into the
coffin. They put his left leg in and that's when things started going downhill...

349. Re: Scanner recomendations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-15 12:16:27 UTC
From: BDK <kingratatatboy@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1c52d3aae2582d079896df@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 15409

In article <307Gd.2121$2e7.726@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>,
chuck@host.invalid says...
> Is there a webpage that will review scanners, not necessarily new ones
> though.
>
> I am looking for a scanner that get the trunked systems. There are too many
> PD's and FD's in my area that I am missing out on now.
>
> I am looking for probably a used one, so it will need to be a couple of
> years old.
>
> Also looking for a webpage that tells how to use the trunked scanners. I
> have never used one and know nothing about them. I would like to learn
> before I buy something.
>
>
> Thanks...
>
> Chuck
>
>
> ****
> Larry La Prise, the man who wrote "The Hokey Pokey," died peacefully at
> the age of 93. The most traumatic part for his family was getting him into
> the
> coffin. They put his left leg in and that's when things started going
> downhill...
>
>
>

Grab a Pro-95 from Radio Shack. They are closing them out..

http://www.radioshack.com/product.asp?cookie%5Ftest=1&catalog%
5Fname=CTLG&category%5Fname=CTLG%5F008%5F009%5F010%5F003&product%5Fid=
20%2D525&hmcf=A

A great scanner..

BDK

350. Re: Bill Crocker- Your opinion, please...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-15 12:34:50 UTC
From: DeWayne <k9kz@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <K58Gd.1051$e64.338@fe2.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 15410

"Charlie Chan" <detective@china.net> wrote in message
news:Xns95DE2B663CDcharchandet@127.0.0.1...
> Bill,
>
> Again, thanks. After reading this, I decided to order the R-5. Thanks
> for the background info and your opinion.

You do know that the US version has part of the 800 MHz band blocked by
mistake? Unless Icom fixed the problem that is.

>
> I appreciate it.
>
> Best,
>
> Charlie Chan
>
>
>
> "Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in
> news:5a-dndQUH8GGYnvcRVn-1g@comcast.com:
>
>> I just took a quick look at the specs between the two. The Icom has
>> almost twice as many memory channels, and support for CTCSS/DTCS
>> decode!
>>
>> Bill Crocker
>>
>>
>> "Charlie Chan" <detective@china.net> wrote in message
>> news:Xns95DD3EFB8399charchandet@127.0.0.1...
>>> Bill,
>>>
>>> Thanks again for the earlier response on the Pro 82.
>>>
>>> The plot just thickened for me (much to my delight):
>>>
>>> Your opinion please:
>>>
>>> Icom R-5 or Yaesu VR120D
>>>
>>> The Yaesu has a bunch of small frequency gaps, but many complain of
>>> the slow scan rate of the R-5. Which of the two would you suggest?
>>>
>>> Thank you for your reply.

>>>
>>> Much Respect,
>>>
>>> Charlie Chan
>>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>

351. Re: Bill Crocker- Your opinion, please...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-15 12:57:04 UTC
From: Charlie Chan <detective@china.net>
Message-ID: <Xns95DF50E34CFD9charchandet@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 15411

"DeWayne" <k9kz@indy.rr.com> wrote:

> You do know that the US version has part of the 800 MHz band blocked
> by mistake? Unless Icom fixed the problem that is.

DeWayne,

Unfortunately, that's by design by Icom for US sales.

My issue between the Yaesu and the Icom was the freq gaps in the 300-400 MHz area. (Frequency Gaps: 339-340, 351-352, 372-373, 384-385, 396-397).

I do believe I'll be quite happy with the R5.

Thanks for writing.

Much Respect,

Charlie Chan

352. Re: Is this antenna any good for both transmit and receive?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-15 14:05:44 UTC
From: Dave <mister.hobnobinn@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <Yq9Gd.2931$Vx2.2149@trndny01>
Source record: 15412

yes

stryped@hotmail.com wrote:
> http://www.radioshack.com/product.asp?catalog%5Fname=CTLG&product%5Fid=20-043
>

353. Re: scanner coax length

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-15 14:40:18 UTC
From: bob <noone@nowhere.org>
Message-ID: <fX9Gd.58330$TN6.2119074@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 15413

Yes, I agree, an amplifier at the antenna will raise your receive
signal, but the feed line loss is still there. Also, poor quality
amplifiers raise the noise floor significantly, which does not help.
It's the signal to noise ratio that's important to preserve. There's no
point in having a noise floor that's almost equal to the desired signal.
So, if you minimize your feed line loss, you may not need an amp.
Interestingly enough, a good piece of RG-6 (yes, it's 75 ohm) can
outperform a cheap 50 ohm feed line if the loss of mismatch is less than
the loss of the cheaper cable. With the proliferation of satellite TV,
good quality 3Ghz rated RG-6 is cheap and available everywhere. As for
height, it's important to have the antenna as high as practically possible.

Good luck,
Bob.

Jim wrote:
> "bob" <noone@nowhere.org> wrote in message
> news:sMEFd.32565$b64.1018345@news20.bellglobal.com...
>
>>Loss is loss. If you lose 3db in your feed line, that's 1/2 your signal
>>gone, whether you're transmitting it or receiving does not matter.
>>
>>
>>
>>Ralph Mowery wrote:
>>
>>><stryped@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>news:1105653518.164539.234330@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>>
>>>
>>>>Does the length of coax decrease performance in scanning as much as
>>>>when you are transmitting?
>>>>
>>>>I can put an antenna in my attic where I will only have about 5 feet of
>>>>coax. (My scanner is upstairs) I could mount an outside antenna on top
>>>>of my tv tower at about 30 feet, but would need to run about 50 feet of
>>>>coax. The total elevation if it was in my attic would be 20 feet or so.
>>>>(But I do have alot of insulation that might block the signal). At 20
>>>>feet
>>>
>>>you get about 4.4 miles times about 1.3 for about 5.8 miles to the radio
>>>horizon. At 30 feet you get 5.4 times 1.3 for 7.1 miles. YOu will have
>>>to
>>>do the same to the other station's antenna and add the two numbers to
>>>find

>>>the total distance. So as you can see the height is important. Five
>>>watts
>>>will go over 100 miles if the antennas can see each other so power or
>>>loss
>>>in coax is not too important(within reason) if you can gain height.
>>>
>>>
>>>Go for the heigth. You will loose some signal, but you will still have
>>>more
>>>than you need if you use atleast rg-6 coax or beter. On vhf and higher
>>>you
>>>take the square root of the height multiplied by a "fudge factor" to find
>>>the distance.
>>>
>
>
> That's not the point. A 6 db gain amp at the antenna overcomes that 3 db
> lose in the cable.
>
>

354. Re: BC 220 XLT reception

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-15 15:32:18 UTC
From: Bob Parnass <not-for-mail@fake.invalid>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.01.15.15.32.17.757515@fake.invalid>
Source record: 15414

On Sat, 15 Jan 2005 11:16:21 +0000, Chuck wrote:

> I bought a BC 220 XLT, with the rubber antenna that came with it, several
> years ago at the NASCAR track to hear the races.
....
> I have had 2 other scanners in the past, and they were able to get a good 30
> miles minimum and normally much more.

Are you using a freshly charged battery pack?
Are you still getting the same amount of use in between charges?

Check the antenna and the antenna jack for a bad connection.
Make sure the antenna's center pin is still intact.
Inspect the center contact in the antenna jack to see if it
has expanded and is no longer making good contact.
--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S GNU/Linux User http://parnass.com

355. Re: Discone scanner plans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-15 17:22:27 UTC
From: glc1173@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050115122227.17939.00000015@mb-m22.aol.com>
Source record: 15415
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

T-bone wrote:
>Might be true - But its on a 15 ft mast >above the roof.
>Putting it indoors would be sacrificing >considerable height.
>I got 10 years + and still counting, so I >think the extra 20 ft height is
>well worth it.

If you live - as I do - in an area where ice storms, hurricanes, and strong windstorms are all common, attic-locating an antenna can even make for much more choice in what you make the antenna out of if you are making a do-it-yourself one. (A commercial broadcast tower a half-mile away blew down during a major storm several years ago.)
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Dissident news - plus immigration, gun rights, weather, Internet Gun Show
ALAMANCE INDEPENDENT:
official newspaper of the Vast Right Wing Conspiracy
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

356. LOOK AT THIS!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-15 19:48:37 UTC
From: matippc@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1105818517.450296.248100@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15417

I swear it works! I just did it, and it worked!!!

Instructions:
Please read this over completely.

It is extremely powerful and will pay you very well.
This is not MLM or a ponzi scheme or gifting.
All funds come from the company's advertisers so
You Pay Nothing! -- not one penny.
The company pays you to promote it -- you don't pay them.
It doesn't take any money to start, and takes only
5-10 minutes to set up. It can pay nearly
$350,000 at NO Cost to You except a little time.

The Details:
Carefully follow the instructions below...and hopefully you may be
willing to give it a chance...after all the opportunity is totally
FREE...nothing out of your pocket now or ever!
You will make $100 in the next (3) minutes if you want it... WITHOUT
SPENDING A DIME!
This will cost YOU NOTHING! - HONEST!

"Yeah right," you say.

You get $100 just for joining and the referral potential earnings is
STAGGERING! You will NEVER SEND MONEY, NEVER SPEND anything and NEVER
ASK FOR MONEY, but you will make THOUSANDS!

Just follow the steps below.

* * * THREE STEP PROCESS * * *

Read Very Carefully & Take Your Time for Accuracy
Listed below are three e-mail addresses & sign-up
URLs.

(1) First, sign-up at RichMails.com - Under the first (1st) ID and
You'll get $100 just for joining. [You can't use AOL for this. I would
suggest free
www.Yahoo.com or another email account you might have.]
So Click on the #1 Position's url and Sign-up.

(2) Next, send an e-mail to the #3 - third person on the list and put
in subject line: "I signed up under #1"

(3) Now, Copy and paste this letter into a file. Delete the person in
the #1 position of this letter. Move the other two URLs - UP to #1 & #2
positions

respectively, and INSERT YOUR information at the BOTTOM, in the #3 position.

Please be sure you copy or type YOUR e-mail and your new RichMails sign up URL correctly and the other 2 addresses also!

Replace your own name where mine now is.

FINAL STEP
Send this ad until you have 3 responses saying they signed up under #1.
That's it, only 3 responses, not 6 or 10 or 20, (just 3)!
Of course, you can mail more and make more, too!
When your address gets to the #1 position, you will have (27) people signed up under you!

Not bad, but ONLY THE BEGINNING. A short (very short) time later, each of those 27 people will have 27 people signed up under them which equals 729, and so on. RichMails pays you for everyone who subscribes under you for three levels, and it adds up fast!

They make their money from the advertisers.

See calculations below...Here's how the payout works:
Your own sign-up $100
First level is 27 X $25 referral 675
Second level is 729 X $25 referral 18,225
Third level is 19,683 X $25 referral 492,075

Here is the list of names:

#1:
http://www.RichMails.net/cgi-bin/signup.cgi?r=tangirl1042000@yahoo.com
#2:
http://www.RichMails.com/cgi-bin/signup.cgi?r=windblade20@hotmail.com
#3:
http://www.RichMails.com/cgi-bin/signup.cgi?r=matippc@gmail.com

Mail to me with the subject: (I have signed up under #1)
TO: matippc@gmail.com

A WORD OF CAUTION:
"IF YOU CHEAT, YOU LOSE"! And, the people above you, know it!
This is the reason you would lose: It must go through all (3) levels to work!
The Money builds up and goes to you! If you type your information in the #1 position, you will only make, maximum, $75!
Once you have your page set up, simply advertise it everywhere and anywhere(spamfree or course) and watch your income e-x-p-l-o-d-e-

Don't forget funding your favorite charity!
See ya at the Top!! May you be richly Blessed

Sincerely,

Matt.-

357. Ham radio repair question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-15 19:48:47 UTC
From: stryped@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1105818527.300562.248820@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15416

I just went to my first hamfest and I am disappointed. I bought a Alinco dr590 that the guy said worked great. WHen I got it home, everything in the display lights up, but only sqigially lines where the numbers go. Pressing all the buttons does nothing. I can not even hear static. I can power it off and on however.

What could this be and is it repairable? The radio is about 10 years old. Can I repair this myself? WHat should I do from here?

358. Re: Ham radio repair question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-15 19:49:45 UTC
From: Lou <lf08357@Yahoo.Com>
Message-ID: <AweGd.35600$NC6.33467@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 15418

First of all, wrong news group. BUT - possibility of two things comes quickly to mind. Enough power in battery pack to only light up the display which doesn't take much. AND/OR - LCD display is shot.

<stryped@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:1105818527.300562.248820@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>I just went to my first hamfest and I am disappointed. I bought a
> Alinco dr590 that the guy said worked great. WHen I got it home,
> everything in the display lights up, but only sqigially lines where the
> numbers go. Pressing all the buttons does nothing. I can not even hear
> static. I can power it off and on however.
>
> What could this be and is it repairable? The radio is about 10 years
> old. Can I repair this myself? WHat should I do from here?
>

359. Re: Discone scanner plans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-15 20:07:38 UTC
From: T-bone <mis@take.net>
Message-ID: <Xns95DF99E316639ewrfdgrstnetaakeanfk@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 15419

glc1173@aol.com (GLC1173) wrote in
news:20050115122227.17939.00000015@mb-m22.aol.com:

> T-bone wrote:
>>Might be true - But its on a 15 ft mast >above the roof.
>>Putting it indoors would be sacrificing >considerable height.
>>I got 10 years + and still counting, so I >think the extra 20 ft height
>>is well worth it.
>
> If you live - as I do - in an area where ice storms, hurricanes, and
> strong
> windstorms are all common, attic-locating an antenna can even make for
> much more choice in what you make the antenna out of if you are making a
> do-it-yourself one. (A commercial broadcast tower a half-mile away blew
> down during a major storm several years ago.)
> ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Valid points.
No matter how you look at it, giving up 20 ft is quite a sacfice for a
monitor.
Ice/wind storms in my location - No hurricanes.

360. Re: Ham radio repair question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-15 20:39:17 UTC
From: Jim <junk@junk.com>
Message-ID: <VbfGd.4314$re1.2186@fe2.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 15420

Look up the reset procedure for that rig and hope it works. I also agree
with the other poster, try an external power supply.

"Lou" <lf08357@Yahoo.Com> wrote in message
news:AweGd.35600$NC6.33467@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net...
> First of all, wrong news group. BUT - possibility of two things comes
> quickly to mind. Enough power in battery pack to only light up the display
> which doesn't take much. AND/OR - LCD display is shot.
>
>
> <stryped@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1105818527.300562.248820@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>>I just went to my first hamfest and I am disappointed. I bought a
>> Alinco dr590 that the guy said worked great. WHen I got it home,
>> everything in the display lights up, but only sqigially lines where the
>> numbers go. Pressing all the buttons does nothing. I can not even hear
>> static. I can power it off and on however.
>>
>> What could this be and is it repairable? The radio is about 10 years
>> old. Can I repair this myself? WHat should I do from here?
>>
>
>

361. Re: Ham radio repair question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-15 21:08:29 UTC
From: TomH <th54@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <hDfGd.86856$6l.18687@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 15421

Worst case scenario, you've learned not to trust someone just because they seem friendly and are amateur radio related in some way --- caveat emptor.

<stryped@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:1105818527.300562.248820@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>I just went to my first hamfest and I am disappointed. I bought a
> Alinco dr590 that the guy said worked great. WHen I got it home,
> everything in the display lights up, but only sqigially lines where the
> numbers go. Pressing all the buttons does nothing. I can not even hear
> static. I can power it off and on however.
>
> What could this be and is it repairable? The radio is about 10 years
> old. Can I repair this myself? WHat should I do from here?
>

362. Re: BC 220 XLT reception

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-16 02:26:34 UTC
From: Chuck <chuck@host.invalid>
Message-ID: <uhkGd.18143$iC4.3169@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 15422

"Bob Parnass" <not-for-mail@fake.invalid> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.01.15.15.32.17.757515@fake.invalid...
> On Sat, 15 Jan 2005 11:16:21 +0000, Chuck wrote:
>
> > I bought a BC 220 XLT, with the rubber antenna that came with it,
several
> > years ago at the NASCAR track to hear the races.
> ....
> > I have had 2 other scanners in the past, and they were able to get a
good 30
> > miles minimum and normally much more.
>
> Are you using a freshly charged battery pack?
> Are you still getting the same amount of use in between charges?
>
> Check the antenna and the antenna jack for a bad connection.
> Make sure the antenna's center pin is still intact.
> Inspect the center contact in the antenna jack to see if it
> has expanded and is no longer making good contact.

Thanks for the suggestions...

I have already checked the antenna connections. They are ok.

As far as the battery charges, I have 2 batteries (I need to buy 2 more).
One doesn't last very long, so I stopped using it. The other will last about
3 or 4 hours. They used to last a good 12 hours. But, I have been keeping
the scanner plugged into A/C most of the time lately. The batteries are old
anyway.

363. Re: Ham radio repair question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-16 03:08:45 UTC
From: Sarge <sarge43@NOSPAMev1.net>
Message-ID: <10ujmm0pbccq550@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 15423

Isn't that a dual band mobile? What antenna and power source are you using?
Here is a link to a .pdf of the service manual

http://www.thiecom2.de/ftp/alinco/dr590/information/

364. Re: BC 220 XLT reception

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-16 03:59:19 UTC
From: Bob Parnass <not-for-mail@fake.invalid>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.01.16.03.59.19.293623@fake.invalid>
Source record: 15424

On Sun, 16 Jan 2005 02:26:34 +0000, Chuck wrote:

> As far as the battery charges, I have 2 batteries (I need to buy 2 more).
> One doesn't last very long, so I stopped using it. The other will last about
> 3 or 4 hours. They used to last a good 12 hours. But, I have been keeping
> the scanner plugged into A/C most of the time lately. The batteries are old
> anyway.

One of the cells in your battery pack may be dead and
the lower voltage could be affecting the BC220XLT's
sensitivity.

--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S GNU/Linux User http://parnass.com

365. Re: Scanner recomendations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-16 05:43:08 UTC
From: Fred Zalupski <"none listed">
Message-ID: <z5CdnZQgAq13Y3TcRVn-ig@comcast.com>
Source record: 15425

For a great site to review scanners and see a variety of other info, try www.strongsignals.net.

A superb site for trunked radio info is www.radioreference.com. There are many other fine sites and a search will turn up many scanner links.

I have to agree that the Pro 95 is a fine scanner and a good buy at $150, receiving both Motorola and EDACS systems. Before you buy, check to be sure that these are being used in your area. The Pro 95 does not receive digital signals, so checking the second site above for your local systems is critical.

Good luck and good scanning.

"Chuck" <chuck@host.invalid> wrote in message news:307Gd.2121$2e7.726@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com...
> Is there a webpage that will review scanners, not necessarily new ones
> though.
>
> I am looking for a scanner that get the trunked systems. There are too many
> PD's and FD's in my area that I am missing out on now.
>
> I am looking for probably a used one, so it will need to be a couple of
> years old.
>
> Also looking for a webpage that tells how to use the trunked scanners. I
> have never used one and know nothing about them. I would like to learn
> before I buy something.
>
>
> Thanks...
>
> Chuck
>
>
> ****
> Larry La Prise, the man who wrote "The Hokey Pokey," died peacefully at
> the age of 93. The most traumatic part for his family was getting him into
> the
> coffin. They put his left leg in and that's when things started going
> downhill...
>
>

366. Patrick AFB Area Log - Saturday 15 Jan 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-16 06:19:30 UTC
From: AllanStern@aol.com
Message-ID: <d4.1f90581f.2f1b6172@aol.com>
Source record: 15426

Saturday 15 January 2005
Action continues coming and going to/from NAS Key West.
Several USMC C-130s around. Ranges still today.

KING 21: HC-130
9:53am: Lands Patrick.
[133.75]

OTIS 29: C-130T, Cherry Point MCAS VMGR-252
11:33am: Lands Patrick.
2:35pm: Departs Patrick.
[133.475, 132.65, 133.75, 118.4]

CHECK 61: F/A-18, Beaufort MCAS VMFA-312 "Checkerboards"
11:19am: North bound from NAS Key West.
[285.5, 319.0, 256.875, 346.25]

REACH 805C: C-17A, Charleston 437AW
11:43am: Pattern work at Patrick; departs to Orlando IAP.
[132.65, 133.75, 124.8]

ROCK 92: C-130E, Willow Grove AFRC 913AW 327AS
1:20pm: Touch-and-goes at Patrick.
[133.75, 132.65]

TEAL 86: WC-130J (poss 98-5307), Keesler AFRC 403W 53WRS
1:10pm: Inbound MacDill AFB.
[311.0]

BOLT 01: 6AMW MacDill AFB
1:17pm: Area transition.
[125.075]

OTIS 96: C-130T, Cherry Point MCAS VMGR-252
1:22pm: Area transition, northward.
[125.075, 127.475]

N21NG: LJ-35A, Northrop Grumman JSTARS Integration Fac, Melbourne.
1:50pm: Area transition.

TEAL 89: WC-130J, Keesler AFRC 403W 53WRS
5:50pm: Inbound MacDill.
[311.0]

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL (28-11N 80-36W) monitoring
Patrick AFB (KCOF) NASA-KSC Shuttle Landing Fac (KX68)
Avon Park Bombing Range (KAGR) Cape Canaveral AFS (KXMR)
JSTARS E-8 Acft Integration Facility, Melbourne IAP (KMLB)

Worldwide Military HF Communications
Life Member: Missile, Space and Range Pioneers.
http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/index.html (My Freqs)
http://hometown.aol.com/scanaddict/index.html (My Equipment)

367. Re: Bill Crocker- Your opinion, please...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-16 09:07:32 UTC
From: DeWayne <k9kz@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <o9qGd.3027$dx3.191@fe1.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 15427

"Charlie Chan" <detective@china.net> wrote in message
news:Xns95DF50E34CFD9charchandet@127.0.0.1...
> "DeWayne" <k9kz@indy.rr.com> wrote:
>
>> You do know that the US version has part of the 800 MHz band blocked
>> by mistake? Unless Icom fixed the problem that is.
>
>
> DeWayne,
>
> Unfortunately, that's by design by Icom for US sales.

You misunderstood. Icom blanked out some legal 800 MHz freqs in the USA
versions.

368. FS: Icom IC-R5 UN-BLOCKED, with many extras!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-16 09:17:03 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <68CdnTrNm4uNrHfcRVn-rA@comcast.com>
Source record: 15428

FOR SALE:

Icom IC-R5 Wide-Band hand-held communications receiver. Un-blocked.
Original box, manual, Antenna, etc. "Like New" condition!

Optional accessories Included:

Comet SMA501 Mini-Antenna
SMA antenna window mount, with 8ft of cable
Soft, leather case
Icom OPC-478U Programing Cable, for "USB" interface!
Icom CS-R5 Programing Software, for Windows

$250.00 including shipping, and insurance, within the U.S.

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

369. Re: BC 220 XLT reception

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-16 14:30:52 UTC
From: Chuck <chuck@host.invalid>
Message-ID: <wUuGd.18272$iC4.13062@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 15429

"Bob Parnass" <not-for-mail@fake.invalid> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.01.16.03.59.19.293623@fake.invalid...
> On Sun, 16 Jan 2005 02:26:34 +0000, Chuck wrote:
>
>
> > As far as the battery charges, I have 2 batteries (I need to buy 2
more).
> > One doesn't last very long, so I stopped using it. The other will last
about
> > 3 or 4 hours. They used to last a good 12 hours. But, I have been
keeping
> > the scanner plugged into A/C most of the time lately. The batteries are
old
> > anyway.
>
> One of the cells in your battery pack may be dead and
> the lower voltage could be affecting the BC220XLT's
> sensitivity.

Hmmmm....

I will unplug the battery and see if that helps any...

Thanks for the advice....

Chuck

370. Icom R5 Receiver - Entering Frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-16 18:36:03 UTC
From: Chris <Chris@email.com>
Message-ID: <cfednYTs_qqZKXfcRVn-3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 15430

Using the direct entry method via the R5 controls and the CS-R5 programming software, I can not get this receiver to take a frequency of 154.7325MHz. This is a valid frequency verified by searching the FCC Database. The Icom R5 rounds this frequency to 154.731250MHz when using the programming software, and won't allow me to step to the frequency no matter what stepping I configure the VCO to work with. Is there anyway to accomplish the entry I desire?

Thank you.

371. Re: FT. SPEECH INVERTER !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-16 18:46:04 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <pEyGd.7064$863.558@fe07.lga>
Source record: 15431

Can you provide some pictures? Is the unit already built and fully
functional? Did you build it, or was it one of the pre-assembled units?

Perhaps a cash trade would interest you? Have computer parts, CB items,
etc. Have nothing I can spare as far as scanners go though...

"Johnny V. Mullins" <jvm333@alltel.net> wrote in message
news:F4kFd.610$J36.479@fe39.usenetserver.com...
> Anyone here like to play with a DS49 speech inverter ??
> Listen to those inverted conversations and understand what they're saying
> :-)
> Plugs into a receiver earphone jack. Powered by 9 volt power source.
> Nice clean 5" x 6" x 3" black and silver case.
> Instruction sheet and diagram included.
> I'd swap the inverter for a nice (working) 30/40 ch. handheld scanner.
>
> NOT TO BE MISTAKEN FOR A DIGITAL DESCRAMBLER !!
>
> Johnny
>
>
>

372. [PRICE LOWERED, MUST SELL] Re: FS: Icom IC-R5 UN-BLOCKED, with many extras!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-16 20:01:30 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <8NydnUrhj_OHVXfcRVn-1Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 15432

Now $200.00. PayPal accepted!

Bill

Bill Crocker wrote:
> FOR SALE:
>
> Icom IC-R5 Wide-Band hand-held communications receiver. Un-blocked.
> Original box, manual, Antenna, etc. "Like New" condition!
>
> Optional accessories Included:
>
> Comet SMA501 Mini-Antenna
> SMA antenna window mount, with 8ft of cable
> Soft, leather case
> Icom OPC-478U Programing Cable, for "USB" interface!
> Icom CS-R5 Programing Software, for Windows
>
> $250.00 including shipping, and insurance, within the U.S.
>
> Thanks,
> Bill Crocker

373. DO YOU WANT TO OBTAIN MANY DOLLARS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-16 21:20:48 UTC
From: darting@latinmail.com
Message-ID: <e12fe651.0501161320.12ef3fe9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 15433

YOU WANT TO OBTAIN MANY DOLLARS ?
I've been trying to figure out the way to make extra money in the internet, checking news groups and ads. It's not easy but I try to keep an open mind to opportunities. In these websites you would find messages like the one described further below. The message got me thinking:This is just a chain, I don't buy it. But, what if it works? It's not something new. People have been doing it for decades and I've never heard of anyone that have acctually made some money out of it. But, what if it works? When you really think obout it, this is a real job. I finally made up my mind and here I go. If you made up yuour mind too, or if you're still giving it a little more thought, keep reading. It won't be easy. Putting this message on every single website that you can think of, like news, newsgroups and ads will be necessary. The more you do it, the better for you (and for me too, of course), that's why it's a real job. It'll take several hours of advertising and promoting.
This chain is different because it doesn't deppend on five or ten persons to whom the masseges would have to be sent by tradditional mail. With the internet, the message is sent worldwide, available to thousands and someone (like me) it's going to go for it. Finally, did you think how much you're going to learn from this kind of task so you can use this knowledge on future jobs or projects?
Now my name is the last one on the list, where, if you decide to go on, you'll put yours at the end leaving my name as the one before last. I wish you good luck, because part of this luck is for me too.
This is the message I found:
"A few days back, when I was visiting news websites, just like you are now, I saw an article, very similiar to this one, saying you can make thousands of dollars in just a few weeks by investing only US$6ï¿½ï¿½! I thougt: "Oh no! Another fraud?" But just like most of us, I got very curious and I kept reading. The article showed six names and addresses for you to send US$1ï¿½ï¿½. Then, you have to write your name at the end of the list replacing the one on #6 and send the article to at least 200 "newsgroups" (there are thousands of these all around the world). There's no trick, that was it.
The big difference between this chain system and others is that you have a list of six members instead of five. This means that your average proffit will be fifteen times higher!!! After thinking it through with my friends, I decided to give it a try. After all, I had nothing to lose but six stamps and US$6ï¿½ï¿½, right?
I was worried about the whole thing being legal, so I contacted the Correo Central de Chile and they confirmed this chain is completely legal!!
So I invested my US$6ï¿½ï¿½.....GUESS WHAT!!!... seven days later, I

started to recieve money by mail!!! I was really surprised!!! I still thought this would end in a few days, but the money kept comming!!!
The first week I made from US$20ï¿½ï¿½ to US$30ï¿½ï¿½. By the end of the second week, I had made a total of US$1.000ï¿½ï¿½!!!!! By the third week I got more than US$10.000ï¿½ï¿½ and I was getting more. This is my fourth week and I've made over US$41.000ï¿½ï¿½ and It's still comming (my family spend the time opening the envelopes and I spend my time finding "newsgroups"). This is getting serious!!!
At the end, it really paid the US$6ï¿½ï¿½ and six stamps investment. I sure spent more on lotto!!!
Let me explain how it works, and most of all, the reason why it works so well. Make sure to print a copy of this article RIGHT NOW so you can get all the information needed.
It's a very simple 3 step process:
STEP Nï¿½1: Get six pieces of paper and write this down on everyone: "PLEASE INCLUDE ME IN YOUR ADDRESS LIST OR E-MAIL." Now get six US$1ï¿½ï¿½ bills. Then, fold the papers and put the one dollar bill in each so it won't be seen through the envelope. It'll be better if you cover the bill with a dark color paper so it won't be stolen. At the end you'll have six sealed envelopes with six one dollar bills enclolsed. By doing this you're creating a "service" which makes it ABSOLUTELY LEGAL!!!
Send the envelopes to the following addresses:

1. Oscar Javier Rodrï¿½guez z
CR 31 # 45 - 23 barrio el triunfo, Villavicencio Colombia

2. Jorge Balcï¿½zar
Convento San Juan de los Lagos Nï¿½ 36, Jardines de Santa Mï¿½nica, C.P. 54050, Tlalnepantla, Estado de Mexico, Mï¿½XICO

3. Marco Vinicio Gramajo Leal
2a. Avenida 4-66 Zona 1, Mazatenango Suchitepequez, Guatemala, Centro Amï¿½rica.

4. Ricardo Portillo Arteaga
Calle Gonzalo de Berceo Nï¿½ 52 piso 2ï¿½ letra B, codigo postal 26005, Logroï¿½o - La Rioja, Espaï¿½a.

5. Javier Piï¿½eros Barreto
Carrera 72 No 62 f 33 sur, manzana 2 entrada 3 apto 102, Urbanizaciï¿½n Calabria, Bogota Colombia,

6. JAVIER DARIO ARENAS
Apartado postal : 243003
ADPOSTAL: Palmira - Valle Del Cauca - Colombia
Email: adarting@hotmail.com o darting@latimail.com

STEP2: Remove name #1 of the top of the list and move the other names one number up (#6 becomes #5 and so on), add your name and address as #6. REMEMBER, YOU MUST REMOVE #1 NOT #6, MODIFY THE NUMBER ON THE REMAINING NAMES AND ADD YOUR NAME AND ADDRESS TO THE LIST AS #6.

STEP3: Change all those things you consider to be changed in this article, but try to keep it as close to the original as possible. Now put your article on at least 200 "newsgroups" (there are more than 24.000 groups). Just search in google.com by the keyword "newsgroups" and you'll find more than 56.000 items. You just need 200, but the more you put the article on line, the more money you'll get!!
These are instructions to get into the "Newusgroups":
Nï¿½1 Since you must have your own article, there's no need to write it all over again. Just select the whole text and use the "copy" function from the "edit" menu.
Nï¿½2 Create a "notepad" file and "paste" the text, also using this function from the "edit" menu. Now you have the text as a notepad file and you can add your name and address as #6.
Nï¿½3 Save the text as a .txt file. This will make It easier for you to make chages on the text whenever you want.
FOR THOSE WHO USE NETSCAPE
Nï¿½4 In the Netscape browser go to "window" and select "netscapeNews". Then, select "options" from the menu and then selct "show all Newsgroups" so you can get the list of all the "Newsgroups" in the server. Click on any of the newsgroups, and then click on "TO NEWS", it should appear at the top on the left. This will lead you to the messages box.
Nï¿½5 Fill in that space. That will be the title everyone will see when visiting any group.
Nï¿½6 Copy your article and paste it there. Go back to the Newsgroup and "TO NEWS" and you'll be creating and pasting inside the program or "posting".
Nï¿½7 Click on "send" AND YOU'RE DONE!..CONGRATULATIONS!!
TO THOSE WHO USE INTERNET EXPLORER
Step Nï¿½4 Go to Newsgroups and select "Post an article".
Step Nï¿½5 Load the article
Step Nï¿½6 Repeat step Nï¿½6 for the Netscape users.
Step Nï¿½7 Press "Post"
THAT'S ALL! All you have to do is search for different Newsgroups. After a few loads, It'll take like 30 seconds to put the article in each newsgroup!
Eventually you might want to get a P.O Box ‘cause you're gonna get a great ammount of envelopes. You can also use a nickname as long as the address is correct. MAKE SURE THAT ALL THE ADDRESSES ARE CORRECT.
Now, let's check the numbers:
Supose I only get 5 answers out of 200 sent messages (which is a really low example), then I made US$5ï¿½ï¿½ with my name as #6 on the list. Now, if everyone who sent me US$1ï¿½ï¿½ contact a minimum of 200 Newsgroups too, all of them with my name as #5 on the list, and just five answer to the 5 original messages, I'll get US$25ï¿½ï¿½. This 25 persons put my name as #4 on a minimum of 200 Newsgroups getting just 5 answers I'd be makin another US$125ï¿½ï¿½. Then, 125 persons put my name as #3 on a minimum of 200 Newsgroups, again getting just 5 answers, I'd be getting another US$625ï¿½ï¿½ and so on!! With my name as #2 I'll get US$3.125ï¿½ï¿½, and as #1, US$15.625!!!

Now, as I said before, getting only 5 answers is extreeme, the real average of answers is 20 to 30, so DO THE MATH!!!
Once your name is out of the list, get the last ad on the newsgroup and send the US$6ï¿½ï¿½ to the names listed and put yours as #6 and start all over!!
Just remember: Thousands of people all around the world surf the Internet every day reading the article just like you and me! So I think many of them wont see a problem on investing US$6ï¿½ï¿½ to see if it really works. I know that some will think, "what if no one answers?" No way!!! What are the chances that will happen, when there are millions of honest persons (like us) who need to pay bills, who are looking for financial independence and who are willing to give it a shot.
You can also do this by getting at least 200 e-mails. With this system, the probbability of success is 5% to 15%. Eather way you do it, always remember to be honest and I promaise you It will work.
Just make sure to print this article NOW. Try to keep a list to track all those who sent you money. Keep checking the newsgroups so you can see if everyone is playing fair. REMEMER, HONESTY ES THE BEST WAY TO GET WHAT YOU WANT. I've heard of people who sent the message with their names on the list but without sending the money and they hardly recieved any money back.
TIME IS IMPORTANT! Don't wait more than seven days from the moment you see this article! Let's follow the rules so we can all win! Don't forget to mention this extra money on your tax declaration. THANK YOU AND GOOD LUCK!

374. WTB: Frequency Down Converter - 2.4GHZ to 1.0GHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-16 22:00:43 UTC
From: Stephan Clark <stephanclark001@hotmail.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <uvolu0lrbp7emmf565ipmv7m9l1j4gr4o4@4ax.com>
Source record: 15434

greetings,

I am looking to buy a microwave frequency down converter for my spectrum analyzer.

Avcom MFC-1700 (or equivalent), to convert 1.7GHZ - 2.7GHZ (input) down to 0 - 1000MHz (output). Something close will also work.

Frequency output of down convert must be 0 - 1000MHz (range of spectrum analyzer).

Please e-mail stephanclark001@yahoo.com

Thank you.

375. Re: BC 220 XLT reception

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-16 22:27:04 UTC
From: Bob Parnass <not-for-mail@fake.invalid>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.01.16.22.27.04.279047@fake.invalid>
Source record: 15435

On Sun, 16 Jan 2005 14:30:52 +0000, Chuck wrote:

>> One of the cells in your battery pack may be dead and
>> the lower voltage could be affecting the BC220XLT's
>> sensitivity.
>
>
> Hmmmm....
>
> I will unplug the battery and see if that helps any...

The best way to tell is to measure the DC voltage
of your fully charged battery pack. NiCd cells should
be 1.2 - 1.4 VDC each after a full charge.
If your pack has 4 NiCd cells, it should measure 4.8 - 5.6 VDC
after a full charge. If it measures a lower voltage, then
one or more cells is probably shorted.

--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S GNU/Linux User http://parnass.com

376. Help Please - Antenna Plans 800mhz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-17 00:41:21 UTC
From: tyerant@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <106e730c.0501161641.79110a87@posting.google.com>
Source record: 15436
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi all,

I am looking for some plans to build myself a scanner antenna to improve reception at home (Base). I am only interested in the 800mhz trunked frequencies at the moment. I get no reception at with the ducky and other mobile antennas I have purchased. To get the data channel to lock in, I have to drive into town to pick anything up. :-(

I have :

BC 235xlt
100 feet of RG6 coax to the roof

Can you point me to some plans?

Many thanks!

TyeRant

377. Re: Ham radio repair question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-17 01:03:37 UTC
From: B L R <fake_address@noemail.com>
Message-ID: <J9EGd.239346$6w6.98889@tornado.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 15439

I beleive Hamfests are a traveling caravan of scam artists.
I have been over charged and lied to by long time, respected vendors.

The recent Tampabay hamfest had a raffle. It was printed on the ticket
"drawing 1:00 pm Sunday". I showed up and waited at the drawing location.
About 1:15 I tracked down the local official only to be told that they
decided
to have the drawing at noon!?

I asked, who won the radio? He pointed to a fellow working the booth
directly
behind the drawing area and called him by first name.

Considering the hundreds of (perhaps thousands) of attendees this seemed
VERY suspicious.

Don't give these traveling band of theives your money (nor the local outfit
who pimps for them).

The focus on getting to together to share and learn about our fun hobby is
almost non existent.
These events are only designed for one thing, to seperate you from your
money.

378. WTB "Ultimate" Pro-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-17 01:21:32 UTC
From: Scan Da Bands <vzeip5vc@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <tn4mu0llm9ekggbm6l9bo8jkeh9kheuju5@4ax.com>
Source record: 15437

I am looking for a heavily modded Pro-2006. As many of the Bill Cheek mods the better. LED , Analog s-meter , 232 interface , etc. If you have one you want to sell please contact me , I have many scanners to trade or will listen to your price. MUST be in 100% working order. Thanks , Tom

379. Police scanner for sale $65.00 BC60XLT-1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-17 01:37:39 UTC
From: Kap <juvenile11691@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1105925859.161794.271210@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15438

This is a 30 channel 10 band scanner lightly used. email me if you have
any questions

380. Re: BC 220 XLT reception

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-17 02:44:58 UTC
From: Chuck <chuck@host.invalid>
Message-ID: <KEFGd.18619$iC4.15937@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 15440

"Bob Parnass" <not-for-mail@fake.invalid> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.01.16.22.27.04.279047@fake.invalid...
> On Sun, 16 Jan 2005 14:30:52 +0000, Chuck wrote:
>
>
> >> One of the cells in your battery pack may be dead and
> >> the lower voltage could be affecting the BC220XLT's
> >> sensitivity.
> >
> >
> > Hmmmm....
> >
> > I will unplug the battery and see if that helps any...
>
>
> The best way to tell is to measure the DC voltage
> of your fully charged battery pack. NiCd cells should
> be 1.2 - 1.4 VDC each after a full charge.
> If your pack has 4 NiCd cells, it should measure 4.8 - 5.6 VDC
> after a full charge. If it measures a lower voltage, then
> one or more cells is probably shorted.
>

Okee Dokiee...

Next time I have a "full charge" on the battery pack, I dig out my meter and
check it.

Thanks for the tip...

Now if I can just talk my wife into letting me spend $150 for that RS
Pro-95...

381. 9000XLT frequency step question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-17 03:06:27 UTC
From: clc@conninc.com
Message-ID: <1105931187.603065.132610@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15441

Does anyone know the step frequency inthe 800-900mhz range, im looking for one that can be adjusted. All the radio shack scanners i have are set to 12.5khz steps, the 9000 looks like it has a knob where you can dial in any freq?

382. 9000XLT frequency step question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-17 03:07:22 UTC
From: clc@conninc.com
Message-ID: <1105931242.831081.166370@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15442

Does anyone know the step frequency inthe 800-900mhz range, im looking for one that can be adjusted. All the radio shack scanners i have are set to 12.5khz steps, the 9000 looks like it has a knob where you can dial in any freq?

383. Re: Scanner recomendations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-17 05:16:07 UTC
From: Keith Coleman <kcoleman@elmore.rr.com>
Message-ID: <rSHGd.224373$Oc.187582@tornado.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 15443

Chuck,

You might want to visit www.radioreference.com and check out the forums for your state and see if anyone is posting about the city you live in/near. I have a PRO-95 and the trunking is great except for one thing, all the FD channels are digital and some of the PD are also. In that case the PRO-96 or the Uniden BC296D might be the better choice.

Keith

"Chuck" <chuck@host.invalid> wrote in message
news:307Gd.2121$2e7.726@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com...
> Is there a webpage that will review scanners, not necessarily new ones
> though.
>
> I am looking for a scanner that get the trunked systems. There are too
> many
> PD's and FD's in my area that I am missing out on now.
>
> I am looking for probably a used one, so it will need to be a couple of
> years old.
>
> Also looking for a webpage that tells how to use the trunked scanners. I
> have never used one and know nothing about them. I would like to learn
> before I buy something.
>
>
> Thanks...
>
> Chuck
>
>
> ****
> Larry La Prise, the man who wrote "The Hokey Pokey," died peacefully at
> the age of 93. The most traumatic part for his family was getting him into
> the
> coffin. They put his left leg in and that's when things started going
> downhill...
>
>

384. Re: 9000XLT frequency step question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-17 05:25:33 UTC
From: Bob Parnass <not-for-mail@fake.invalid>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.01.17.05.25.33.127206@fake.invalid>
Source record: 15444

On Sun, 16 Jan 2005 19:06:27 -0800, clc wrote:

> Does anyone know the step frequency inthe 800-900mhz range, ..

The BC9000XLT permits you to select 5, 12.5, 25, and 50 kHz steps.

--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S GNU/Linux User http://parnass.com

385. Re: Bill Crocker- Your opinion, please...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-17 05:39:10 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <41EB4EFD.7030105@nj.rr.com>
Source record: 15445

Sad part is, the guy who helped him with his questions has an un-blocked
Euro version R-5 for sale.

Dan

> "Charlie Chan" <detective@china.net> wrote in message
> news:Xns95DF50E34CFD9charchandet@127.0.0.1...
>
>>"DeWayne" <k9kz@indy.rr.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>You do know that the US version has part of the 800 MHz band blocked
>>>by mistake? Unless Icom fixed the problem that is.
>>
>>
>>DeWayne,
>>
>>Unfortunately, that's by design by Icom for US sales.
>
>
> You misunderstood. Icom blanked out some legal 800 MHz freqs in the USA
> versions.
>
>

386. Re: Help Please - Antenna Plans 800mhz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-17 06:15:33 UTC
From: Sarge <sarge43@NOSPAMev1.net>
Message-ID: <10umm07t2o4qn1c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 15446
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I'd get or make an 800 yagi(about 8 or 10 elements) and point it at the repeater tower. You could build an 800 ground plane too.

"TyeRant" <tyerant@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:106e730c.0501161641.79110a87@posting.google.com...
> Hi all,
>
> I am looking for some plans to build myself a scanner antenna to
> improve reception at home (Base). I am only interested in the 800mhz
> trunked frequencies at the moment. I get no reception at with the
> ducky and other mobile antennas I have purchased. To get the data
> channel to lock in, I have to drive into town to pick anything up. :-(
>
> I have :
>
> BC 235xlt
> 100 feet of RG6 coax to the roof
>
> Can you point me to some plans?
>
> Many thanks!
>
> TyeRant

387. Grounding Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-17 07:02:37 UTC
From: Nick <nickleeson@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1105945357.188075.134080@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15447

Stupid question..but better to be safe than sorry...

"I am planning to put up a Antenna Warehouse Scantenna in my attic, do
I need to ground it?"

Replies would be greatly appreciated!

-Nick

388. Re: tiberon (sp?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-17 09:04:33 UTC
From: Scanner Listener <nomailplease@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <Xns95E1B175E78Bnomailpleasenospamco@64.164.98.29>
Source record: 15448

tomcatgbmi@aol.com (tomcatgbmi) wrote in
news:20050104150924.07103.00002560@mb-m10.aol.com:

> they always run people through tiberon the same way they do with LEIN.
> im in MI and i dont think any cops speak spanish here.

Tiburon is a large vendor of Criminal Justice Database Systems. Everything
from Public Safety dispatch systems to records and case tracking systems to
jail inmate tracking systems.

See: http://www.tibinc.com/products.asp

389. Re: Grounding Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-17 09:09:56 UTC
From: Sarge <sarge43@NOSPAMev1.net>
Message-ID: <10un074nd9ch485@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 15449

I wouldn't see why. Its made up of dipoles, no ground radials.
If you put it outside you might want a ground to the mast for lightning.

"Nick" <nickleeson@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1105945357.188075.134080@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Stupid question..but better to be safe than sorry...
>
> "I am planning to put up a Antenna Warehouse Scantenna in my attic, do
> I need to ground it?"
>
> Replies would be greatly appreciated!
>
> -Nick
>

390. Re:[SOLD] [PRICE LOWERED, MUST SELL] Re: FS: Icom IC-R5 UN-BLOCKED, with many extras!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-17 11:09:33 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <S5mdnaykBeZwAXbcRVn-sg@comcast.com>
Source record: 15350

Item has been sold.

Bill

Bill Crocker wrote:
> Now $200.00. PayPal accepted!
>
> Bill
>
> Bill Crocker wrote:
>
>> FOR SALE:
>>
>> Icom IC-R5 Wide-Band hand-held communications receiver. Un-blocked.
>> Original box, manual, Antenna, etc. "Like New" condition!
>>
>> Optional accessories Included:
>>
>> Comet SMA501 Mini-Antenna
>> SMA antenna window mount, with 8ft of cable
>> Soft, leather case
>> Icom OPC-478U Programing Cable, for "USB" interface!
>> Icom CS-R5 Programing Software, for Windows
>>
>> $250.00 including shipping, and insurance, within the U.S.
>>
>> Thanks,
>> Bill Crocker

391. Re: Mag mount + rain = wet seats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-17 14:42:14 UTC
From: Matt <pissoff@spammers.suck.com>
Message-ID: <41ebcea9_3@news.melbourne.pipenetworks.com>
Source record: 15351

"User" <user@host.net> wrote in message
news:cs6i1j$5jf$1@reader2.panix.com...
> I'll do the Jeopardy thing:
>
> A: Take the antennas off the roof during a rain storm.
> Q: How do you prevent water from entering through the weather stripping
with
> a mag mount antenna during a rain storm?
>
> So let's say I'm looking for another alternative. I am using mag mounts
> because I don't want to permanently modify the car, but I would like them
to
> be permanent, in that I don't want to remove them until I sell the car.
> Right now I am bringing two coax feeds in through a rear door, but it
leaks.
> I am going to try the hatch door and sunroof, but failing that, is there
> anything I can do to keep the water out?
>
> Thanks

There are other non permanent solutions than the mag mount - one of my antennas (of 5 x two way type on my car) is on what is colloquially called the "Z mount". It is a steel bracket that is bent into a "Z" shape and is secured to the car using on of the bolts under the bonnet (along the side). I have used several different antennas off this type of mount (including a 9 foot 1/4 wave stainless) without a problem - the cable from the mount (pretty much whatever type of mount you want) is then run into the car through the firewall. I wouldn't really reccomend using a heavy duty HF multiband antenna on this type of mount, but it will suffice for pretty much any scanner type antenna that you may want to run.

Matt

392. Re: Help Please - Antenna Plans 800mhz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-17 15:08:04 UTC
From: stryped@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1105974484.780656.324340@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15352
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

TyeRant wrote:
> Hi all,
>
> I am looking for some plans to build myself a scanner antenna to
> improve reception at home (Base). I am only interested in the 800mhz
> trunked frequencies at the moment. I get no reception at with the
> ducky and other mobile antennas I have purchased. To get the data
> channel to lock in, I have to drive into town to pick anything up.
:-(
>
> I have :
>
> BC 235xlt
> 100 feet of RG6 coax to the roof
>
> Can you point me to some plans?
>
> Many thanks!
>
> TyeRant

What are you trying to listen to at 800 mhz?

393. Off topic concerning radio modifications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-17 16:46:05 UTC
From: stryped@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1105980365.125459.51260@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15353

As many of you know I am fairly new to ham and got a radio to use as a base station this weekend. It is an ALinco dr 590. The guy told me it had been modified to receive and transmit on extended frequercncies even cellular.

I do not intend or want to transmitt illegally but it would be nice to recieve the local police and aircraft if possible. When I plugged it up I could get the frequency of my local police at 452 mhz but did not have an antenna to see if I could hear anything or not. The vhf goes from 130-174 I think. The uhf displays to 500 mhz. How can I tell if this radio has been modified easily?

Also I thought cellular was 800 - 900 mhz. I could not get it to display that.

As a last note does anyone know how I can find online a free manual for htis book? I have looked but only been able to find the service manual. Thanks again!

394. Receiving cellular

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-17 16:52:21 UTC
From: stryped@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1105980741.679905.177450@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15355

Can you receive cellular phone calls on a modified scanner? I thought with all phone being digital now you could not?

395. Re: Help Please - Antenna Plans 800mhz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-17 16:52:38 UTC
From: tyerant@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1105980758.896065.178650@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15354
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Thanks Sarg,

Can you point me to some plans?

Regards,

TyeRant

396. Re: Help Please - Antenna Plans 800mhz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-17 16:55:31 UTC
From: tyerant@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1105980931.683227.97590@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15356
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

My local Emergency Services broadcast on trucked 800mhz frequencies.

397. Re: Receiving cellular

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-17 17:21:24 UTC
From: T-bone <mis@take.net>
Message-ID: <Xns95E17DB4FBE9Eewrfdgrstnetaakeanfk@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 15357

stryped@hotmail.com wrote in news:1105980741.679905.177450
@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:

> Can you receive cellular phone calls on a modified scanner? I thought
> with all phone being digital now you could not?
>
There is very little activity in the old cell bands - What with analog
roaming and such, there is still a little.
So yes, people advertsing their scanners as UNBLOCKED !!!!! are really saying
very little in practice - The only good reason to pay a premium to get one
would be to bank on the possibility that some other service of interest will
eventually move into this frequency region.

398. Re: Grounding Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-17 18:44:53 UTC
From: kscoinhutch@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050117134453.12639.00000042@mb-m24.aol.com>
Source record: 15358

>Stupid question..but better to be safe than sorry...
>
>"I am planning to put up a Antenna Warehouse Scantenna in my attic, do
>I need to ground it?"

It's not a stupid question, and this should answer it:
http://www.google.com/search?as_q=antenna+grounding&num=100&hl=en&ie=ISO-8
859-1&btnG=Google+Search&as_epq=&as_oq=&as_eq=&lr=&as_ft=i&as_filetype=&as
_qdr=all&as_nlo=&as_nhi=&as_occt=any&as_dt=i&as_sitesearch=&safe=images

399. Re: Receiving cellular

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-17 19:08:52 UTC
From: Tony VE6MVP <tony@REMOVEve6mvp.com>
Message-ID: <783ou05oj5jha03dfdpunt30dogb2jnrpo@4ax.com>
Source record: 15359

On 17 Jan 2005 08:52:21 -0800, stryped@hotmail.com wrote:

>Can you receive cellular phone calls on a modified scanner? I thought
>with all phone being digital now you could not?

Lots of analog phones still up here in rural Canada. Hand helds
just don't work sthat well when outside the towns.

Tony

400. WTB: Avcom Frequency Extender MFC-1700 / MFC-1727

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-17 20:17:36 UTC
From: Stephan Clark <stephanclark001@hotmail.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <fa7ou01r6su98582vsahdjn5hhmsjja4e9@4ax.com>
Source record: 15360

greetings all,

I am looking to buy a used Avcom MFC-1700 / MFC-1727 for my PSA-65A spectrum analyzer.

Please e-mail stephanclark001@yahoo.com

Thank you.

401. Re: Off topic concerning radio modifications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-17 20:24:55 UTC
From: Sarge <sarge43@NOSPAMev1.net>
Message-ID: <10uo7oof3r6a31e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 15361

go to http://www.mods.dk and look up your radio.

<stryped@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1105980365.125459.51260@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> As many of you know I am fairly new to ham and got a radio to use as a
> base station this weekend. It is an ALinco dr 590. The guy told me it
> had been modified to receive and transmit on extended frequercncies
> even cellular.
>
>
> I do not intend or want to transmitt illegally but it would be nice to
> recieve the local police and aircraft if possible. When I plugged it up
> I could get the frequency of my local police at 452 mhz but did not
> have an antenna to see if I could hear anything or not. The vhf goes
> from 130-174 I think. The uhf displays to 500 mhz. How can I tell if
> this radio has been modified easily?
>
>
> Also I thought cellular was 800 - 900 mhz. I could not get it to
> display that.
>
>
> As a last note does anyone know how I can find online a free manual for
> htis book? I have looked but only been able to find the service manual.
> Thanks again!
>

402. Re: Ham radio repair question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-17 20:35:04 UTC
From: willow <liegebay@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <csh7hq01n6a@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 15362

The alt.ham-radio.hf newsgroup has been informed.

The following was posted on the rec.radio.scanner newsgroup by
"B L R" <fake_address@noemail.com. I presume they do not like us Hams very
much.

"
I beleive Hamfests are a traveling caravan of scam artists.
I have been over charged and lied to by long time, respected vendors.

The recent Tampabay hamfest had a raffle. It was printed on the ticket
"drawing 1:00 pm Sunday". I showed up and waited at the drawing location.
About 1:15 I tracked down the local official only to be told that they
decided
to have the drawing at noon!?

I asked, who won the radio? He pointed to a fellow working the booth
directly
behind the drawing area and called him by first name.

Considering the hundreds of (perhaps thousands) of attendees this seemed
VERY suspicious.

Don't give these traveling band of theives your money (nor the local outfit
who pimps for them).

The focus on getting to together to share and learn about our fun hobby is
almost non existent.
These events are only designed for one thing, to seperate you from your
money.

<stryped@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1105818527.300562.248820@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> I just went to my first hamfest and I am disappointed. I bought a
> Alinco dr590 that the guy said worked great. WHen I got it home,
> everything in the display lights up, but only sqigially lines where the
> numbers go. Pressing all the buttons does nothing. I can not even hear
> static. I can power it off and on however.
>
> What could this be and is it repairable? The radio is about 10 years
> old. Can I repair this myself? WHat should I do from here?
>

403. Re: Radio Flanders...get it while you can

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-17 21:07:59 UTC
From: dxAce <dxace@notards.com>
Message-ID: <41EC292F.3FCFC8@notards.com>
Source record: 15363
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Evan [KG6ESP] Platt wrote:

> On 17 Jan 2005 10:18:03 -0800, "johndobbs" <johndobbs2000@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Some of life's losers have got to be somewhere....Spanky chose this
> >place.
>
> And he changed his e-mail address AGAIN.
>
> Maybe it's time to killfile based on DXACE, not based on a e-mail
> address.

You'll get it right yet, 'tard boy!

Go tote your camera, and try to find your radio.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

404. Re: Ham radio repair question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-17 21:11:54 UTC
From: Lou <lf08357@Yahoo.Com>
Message-ID: <GVVGd.36158$NC6.11689@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 15364

That is the typical broad painting with the same brush. No matter WHERE you go, there will always be an unscrupulous prick who will make the rest look bad. You can't blame all for the sake of a few. ALL groups - etc, have their share of bad apples.

L.

"willow" <liegebay@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:csh7hq01n6a@enews4.newsguy.com...
> The alt.ham-radio.hf newsgroup has been informed.
>
> The following was posted on the rec.radio.scanner newsgroup by
> "B L R" <fake_address@noemail.com. I presume they do not like us Hams very
> much.
>
> "
> I beleive Hamfests are a traveling caravan of scam artists.
> I have been over charged and lied to by long time, respected vendors.
>
> The recent Tampabay hamfest had a raffle. It was printed on the ticket
> "drawing 1:00 pm Sunday". I showed up and waited at the drawing location.
> About 1:15 I tracked down the local official only to be told that they
> decided
> to have the drawing at noon!?
>
> I asked, who won the radio? He pointed to a fellow working the booth
> directly
> behind the drawing area and called him by first name.
>
> Considering the hundreds of (perhaps thousands) of attendees this seemed
> VERY suspicious.
>
> Don't give these traveling band of theives your money (nor the local
> outfit
> who pimps for them).
>
> The focus on getting to together to share and learn about our fun hobby is
> almost non existent.
> These events are only designed for one thing, to seperate you from your
> money.
>
>
> <stryped@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1105818527.300562.248820@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>> I just went to my first hamfest and I am disappointed. I bought a

>> Alinco dr590 that the guy said worked great. WHen I got it home,
>> everything in the display lights up, but only sqigially lines where the
>> numbers go. Pressing all the buttons does nothing. I can not even hear
>> static. I can power it off and on however.
>>
>> What could this be and is it repairable? The radio is about 10 years
>> old. Can I repair this myself? WHat should I do from here?
>>
>
>

405. Re: Radio Flanders...get it while you can

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-17 21:20:49 UTC
From: dxAce <dxace@notards.com>
Message-ID: <41EC2C31.7137A133@notards.com>
Source record: 15365
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Evan [KG6ESP] Platt wrote:

> On Mon, 17 Jan 2005 14:01:22 -0500, dxAce <dxace@untested.com> wrote:
>
> >And you chose it for what reason?
>
> You must know how annoying you are if you feel the need to change your
> e-mail address every 10 minutes to avoid kiilfiles.
>
> Please stop.

You must know how annoying you are if you feel the need to reply to everything I post, 'tard boy.

Please stop!

dxAce
Michigan
USA

406. BC246T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-17 22:34:38 UTC
From: Me <Me@anywhere.com>
Message-ID: <41ec3d6d$1@nntp.zianet.com>
Source record: 15366

Uniden BC246T

Where can I get one? Service Merchandise used to be my source for Uniden scanners, but they closed up.

407. Re: Receiving cellular

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-18 00:12:46 UTC
From: DougSlug <dougslug@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <GAYGd.3321$bf2.2342@fe08.lga>
Source record: 15367

Newer scanners cannot be modified, but many others can be modified to receive the cellular band. It is also possible to buy an unblocked model of just about any scanner that is intended for international sale by ordering it from another country (www.bander.com is one such source). This is, of course, illegal, but still quite possible.

Older scanners may be able to receive cell images on frequencies that are +/- 21.4 MHz (2 times the IF) away from the cell frequencies. This is usually more difficult with triple conversion scanners.

Not all cell phones are digital (yet). Somewhere I read that the OnStar system utilizes analog transmissions...this may depend on the area. There is always some analog activity in the cell bands, but it tends to be quite uninteresting, and in many cases, only one side of the conversation can be heard (this, too, depends on the area). Most cell phone activity is digital, however, and it will continue to go in that direction. Eventually, blocking a scanner will have no purpose.

<stryped@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1105980741.679905.177450@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Can you receive cellular phone calls on a modified scanner? I thought
> with all phone being digital now you could not?

408. Re: Off topic concerning radio modifications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-18 00:23:16 UTC
From: DougSlug <dougslug@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <wKYGd.3450$Fu2.2320@fe08.lga>
Source record: 15368

I pulled this off a website devoted to cell phone monitoring:

"Analogue cell calls are in the 869.040 to 893.970 MHz range. This is the tower side of the conversation. The actual cell phones transmit in the 824.040 to 848.970 MHz range. There is a 45 MHz split in the phone and the tower. You can hear the tower side for about 5 square miles and the phone itself for only about a mile or two at best."

The Alinco DR-590 does not cover those frequencies, and it's probably not possible to modify that radio to receive them. If you want a cell-capable scanner, try eBay or buy from another country.

<stryped@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1105980365.125459.51260@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> As many of you know I am fairly new to ham and got a radio to use as a
> base station this weekend. It is an ALinco dr 590. The guy told me it
> had been modified to receive and transmit on extended frequercncies
> even cellular.
>
> I do not intend or want to transmitt illegally but it would be nice to
> recieve the local police and aircraft if possible. When I plugged it up
> I could get the frequency of my local police at 452 mhz but did not
> have an antenna to see if I could hear anything or not. The vhf goes
> from 130-174 I think. The uhf displays to 500 mhz. How can I tell if
> this radio has been modified easily?
>
> Also I thought cellular was 800 - 900 mhz. I could not get it to
> display that.
>
> As a last note does anyone know how I can find online a free manual for
> htis book? I have looked but only been able to find the service manual.
> Thanks again!

409. Re: Help Please - Antenna Plans 800mhz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-18 00:36:41 UTC
From: DougSlug <dougslug@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <6XYGd.3454$tG2.295@fe08.lga>
Source record: 15369
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

The 100 ft. of RG-6 is going to really hurt your reception at 800 Mhz (at least 6 db or so).

If interested, I will sell you a MAX 800 (800 MHz ground plane antenna) CHEAP! It's the first one pictured on this site: http://home.epix.net/~pompeii/crb/crb-antenna.htm.

- Doug

"TyeRant" <tyerant@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:106e730c.0501161641.79110a87@posting.google.com...
> Hi all,
>
> I am looking for some plans to build myself a scanner antenna to
> improve reception at home (Base). I am only interested in the 800mhz
> trunked frequencies at the moment. I get no reception at with the
> ducky and other mobile antennas I have purchased. To get the data
> channel to lock in, I have to drive into town to pick anything up. :-(
>
> I have :
>
> BC 235xlt
> 100 feet of RG6 coax to the roof
>
> Can you point me to some plans?
>
> Many thanks!
>
> TyeRant

410. CC Super Gainer antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-18 02:36:24 UTC
From: Frank Bals <fjb@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <IC_Gd.39418$w62.37905@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 15370

Anyone tried this on their handheld and how does it work? It looks very similar to my Diamond RH77CA and I'm wondering if there is a performance difference between the two?

Frank

411. Re: Help Please - Antenna Plans 800mhz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-18 02:43:23 UTC
From: nobody <nobody@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8ktou0duebkocg3v3opnceaqs4gb885ouk@4ax.com>
Source record: 15372
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On 16 Jan 2005 16:41:21 -0800, tyerant@hotmail.com (TyeRant) wrote:

>Hi all,
>
>I am looking for some plans to build myself a scanner antenna to
>improve reception at home (Base). I am only interested in the 800mhz
>trunked frequencies at the moment.

Look on Ebay for a decent 800 mhz yagi. A ground plane on 800mhz is
pointless unless you are close to the xmitter site.

I've seen Cushcraft ones for $20 new in box on Ebay.

Search antennex, maxrad and cushcraft for Yagi.

Your feed line at 800mhz is more critical than the antenna.

LMR400 should be a minimum because loss is so critical at that
frequency.

Get about 30 ft of elevation and a magnetic heading to the xmitter
site off of the fcc database for that system.

I've seen really decent long-range 800 reception with a commercial
800 yagi, fed with a radio shack inline tv UHF amplifier and rg56 quad
shield (satellite) coax with a 50 ft. run.

412. Re: Discone scanner plans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-18 02:56:15 UTC
From: B L R <fake_address@noemail.com>
Message-ID: <jV_Gd.201578$8G4.81375@tornado.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 15371

Yes, I admit ripping off the fake_address@noemail.com from someone (I couldn't tell you who) probably
on this group though. I've been lurking around hear for about 10 years under various email addresses (always as myself though)
I decided to go undercover, so to speak, just to avoid spam. How many times have I read, just remove the "no spam"
from the address to respond, so I stole somebodies (Arnold?s) original idea.

I cannot to address the question regarding the attached article. I re-skimmed yesterday before posting and vaguely
recall that the author stated reception was decent below the designed lower frequency. believe he mentions
that the design could be modified to extend the range down.

Bruce

"Never anonymous Bud" <newskat@katxyzkave.net> wrote in message news:09olu0totthqovp0u3lchb0ep5b7s12uc8@4ax.com...
> Trying to steal the thunder from Arnold, "B L R"
> <fake_address@noemail.com> on Sun, 16 Jan 2005 17:32:22 GMT spoke:
>
>
> I'd LOVE to know why the author calls it VHF/UHF,
> when it's low-end is 700mhz??
>
>
>
>
>
>
> --
>
> The truth is out there,
>
> but it's not interesting enough for most people.

413. fort mcmurray alberta fire dept

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-18 03:39:38 UTC
From: zenox <zenox-7@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <_x%Gd.105723$Xk.38527@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 15373

they have left 460.0375,anyone know where they went?

414. Re: fort mcmurray alberta fire dept

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-18 03:49:31 UTC
From: HotShot <MyShotIsHot@HotShotShootsSpam.Com>
Message-ID: <jH%Gd.11144$K03.454745@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 15375

You could start looking here.
http://sd.ic.gc.ca/engdoc/main.jsp

zenox wrote:
> they have left 460.0375,anyone know where they went?
>
>

415. Re: Receiving cellular

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-18 03:52:26 UTC
From: smokin <Whatareyou@smokin.com>
Message-ID: <_J%Gd.3500$Rs.2285@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 15374

"T-bone" <mis@take.net> wrote in message
news:Xns95E17DB4FBE9Eewrfdgrstnetaakeanfk@140.99.99.130...
> stryped@hotmail.com wrote in news:1105980741.679905.177450
> @c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>
>> Can you receive cellular phone calls on a modified scanner? I thought
>> with all phone being digital now you could not?
>>
> There is very little activity in the old cell bands - What with analog
> roaming and such, there is still a little.
> So yes, people advertsing their scanners as UNBLOCKED !!!!! are really
> saying
> very little in practice - The only good reason to pay a premium to get one
> would be to bank on the possibility that some other service of interest
> will
> eventually move into this frequency region.

WHAT the hell are you smoking dude?
Do you even HAVE a scanner? or did mommy leave the computer turned on
tonight?
Digital sucks, just like FM never replaced AM radio, cause of the fast
dropoff of signal over distance.
There are more analog phones than ever in many towns, especially now that
rates are low, lots more traffic. Go to a town of about 100,000 people that
are spread out in the middle of Arizona or Texas or Utah.
You will find a lot more people talking on cell phone frequencies than
talking on the other public bands!

416. Re: Receiving cellular

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-18 04:43:22 UTC
From: T-bone <mis@take.net>
Message-ID: <Xns95E1F1B0C5449ewrfdgrstnetaakeanfk@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 15376

"smokin" <Whatareyou@smokin.com> wrote in
news:_J%Gd.3500$Rs.2285@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net:

>
> "T-bone" <mis@take.net> wrote in message
> news:Xns95E17DB4FBE9Eewrfdgrstnetaakeanfk@140.99.99.130...
>> stryped@hotmail.com wrote in news:1105980741.679905.177450
>> @c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>> Can you receive cellular phone calls on a modified scanner? I thought
>>> with all phone being digital now you could not?
>>>
>> There is very little activity in the old cell bands - What with analog
>> roaming and such, there is still a little.
>> So yes, people advertsing their scanners as UNBLOCKED !!!!! are really
>> saying
>> very little in practice - The only good reason to pay a premium to get
>> one would be to bank on the possibility that some other service of
>> interest will
>> eventually move into this frequency region.
>
>
> WHAT the hell are you smoking dude?
> Do you even HAVE a scanner? or did mommy leave the computer turned on
> tonight?
> Digital sucks, just like FM never replaced AM radio, cause of the fast
> dropoff of signal over distance.

Yeah .. Still jamming down to Iron Butterfly on 8 tracks too ?

> There are more analog phones than ever in many towns, especially now
> that rates are low, lots more traffic. Go to a town of about 100,000
> people that are spread out in the middle of Arizona or Texas or Utah.
> You will find a lot more people talking on cell phone frequencies than
> talking on the other public bands!
>

I honestly gave up on eavedropping on cell calls years ago when I entered
manhood.
The few times I give the band a whirl these days theres next to little or no
action - Maybe its different out in the sticks I dont' know _ I don't live
out in the sticks, I live in a major urban area where most people can afford
a decent phone and a decent plan.
If your such a cell band authority, then try answering the question you step
child dim rod.

417. Re: Radio Flanders...get it while you can

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-18 05:07:48 UTC
From: running dogg <run@ning.dog>
Message-ID: <41ec9a29$1_1@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 15377
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

dxAce wrote:

>
>
> Evan [KG6ESP] Platt wrote:
>
> > On 17 Jan 2005 10:18:03 -0800, "johndobbs" <johndobbs2000@yahoo.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >Some of life's losers have got to be somewhere....Spanky chose this
> > >place.
> >
> > And he changed his e-mail address AGAIN.
> >
> > Maybe it's time to killfile based on DXACE, not based on a e-mail
> > address.
>
> You'll get it right yet, 'tard boy!
>
> Go tote your camera, and try to find your radio.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

Steve, WHY do you feel the need to respond to every insulting post with an insult of your own? And it's the SAME insult, every single fucking time. You really need to get a life.

418. Re: BC246T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-18 05:17:26 UTC
From: BILLY <WCEJAE@BELLSOUTH.NET>
Message-ID: <ft6pu05ig5dp2l2emu3g73eomeer2g98aa@4ax.com>
Source record: 15378

On Mon, 17 Jan 2005 15:34:38 -0700, "Me" <Me@anywhere.com> wrote:

>Uniden BC246T
>
>Where can I get one? Service Merchandise used to be my source for Uniden
>scanners, but they closed up.
>
www.bearcat.com gave me a good deal (199)

Billy, N5WE
Mississippi

419. Re: Receiving cellular

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-18 05:39:22 UTC
From: HotShot <MyShotIsHot@HotShotShootsSpam.Com>
Message-ID: <ii1Hd.11444$K03.483697@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 15379

Well atleast now we know what you were listening for.

T-bone wrote:
> "smokin" <Whatareyou@smokin.com> wrote in

> I honestly gave up on eavedropping on cell calls years ago when I entered
> manhood.

420. test message rec.radio.scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-18 10:01:18 UTC
From: bait@infosynaptics.com
Message-ID: <csimpesiu108@reader01.singnet.com.sg>
Source record: 15380

```
Just a quick test post for the sole purpose of spreading this email address.
Only some random garbage below. Nothing for you to see here, please move along :)
---
```

2.��5e�W�����T@��q���□@�□�
[����K������}�¡S�O��i!!]
ۃe�b���@�ɦ14�a�����q�A�ÿn���}�o��پ���
1.�u�n�z~���G�Q
X□□��w��12~���[A O@a L t Ū ZuqA
3.¡i¾(¾)XQ¤□W¤Ëlg
¾3~A D U L H
q X k n O A z A ~ ~ B W L2
u @ V A y □ □H
http://www.msi-team.com/michael
o ö b g 窺3~¡H z @ i ~
http://www.whymsi.com/michael
□p Ī Ш| A a x g ı H
http://derho.wu.myweb.hinet.net/index.htm
~ ~ A J K a H

Hormel Trappitus
bait@infosynaptics.com

View Spam trap mailbox in real time: http://zipurl.org/4g0c3

421. Re: Radio Flanders...get it while you can

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-18 11:31:30 UTC
From: whoever <whoever@wherever>
Message-ID: <nOmdnVHyPqXXaXHcRVn-qg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 15381
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

dxAce wrote:
>
> Evan [KG6ESP] Platt wrote:
>
>
>>On Mon, 17 Jan 2005 14:01:22 -0500, dxAce <dxace@untested.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>And you chose it for what reason?
>>
>>You must know how annoying you are if you feel the need to change your
>>e-mail address every 10 minutes to avoid kiilfiles.
>>
>>Please stop.
>
>
> You must know how annoying you are if you feel the need to reply to everything I
> post, 'tard boy.
>
> Please stop!
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

Why do you need to crosspost this crap? Are you a tard boy, this seems
to be the only thing you know about!

422. Re: Radio Flanders...get it while you can

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-18 11:36:52 UTC
From: dxAce <dxace@eclipsed.com>
Message-ID: <41ECF4D4.A6CCFA63@eclipsed.com>
Source record: 15382
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

whoever wrote:

> dxAce wrote:
> >
> > Evan [KG6ESP] Platt wrote:
> >
> >
> > >>On Mon, 17 Jan 2005 14:01:22 -0500, dxAce <dxace@untested.com> wrote:
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>>And you chose it for what reason?
> > >>
> > >>You must know how annoying you are if you feel the need to change your
> > >>e-mail address every 10 minutes to avoid kiilfiles.
> > >>
> > >>Please stop.
> > >
> > >
> > > You must know how annoying you are if you feel the need to reply to everything I
> > > post, 'tard boy.
> > >
> > > Please stop!
> > >
> > > dxAce
> > > Michigan
> > > USA
> >
> > Why do you need to crosspost this crap? Are you a tard boy, this seems
> > to be the only thing you know about!

Well, you just crossposted it yourself, 'tard boy.

Over to you!

dxAce
Michigan
USA

423. Re: Receiving cellular

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-18 16:59:25 UTC
From: Packrat &#65533; <mikec@zonenet.net>
Message-ID: <uhbHd.1825$xJ4.1737@fe06.lga>
Source record: 15383

On the contrary the CDMA/TDMA phones still use the same band as the AMPS units.

As far as listening to conversations there are a few analog signals left but towers limit the amount of analog conversations as they take up a lot more space than digital. Analog seems more prevalent for customers from a TDMA provider traveling in a CDMA market or vise versa.

So to answer his question - yes you can but you aren't going to here too much

"T-bone" <mis@take.net> wrote in message

>> Can you receive cellular phone calls on a modified scanner? I thought
>> with all phone being digital now you could not?
>>
> There is very little activity in the old cell bands - What with analog
> roaming and such, there is still a little.
> So yes, people advertsing their scanners as UNBLOCKED !!!!! are really
> saying
> very little in practice - The only good reason to pay a premium to get one
> would be to bank on the possibility that some other service of interest
> will
> eventually move into this frequency region.
>
>
>

424. Re: Bill Crocker- Your opinion, please...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-18 17:28:35 UTC
From: Charlie Chan <detective@china.net>
Message-ID: <Xns95E27EEF2A2CEcharchandet@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 15384

Dan,

Sad is in the eyes of the beholder.

1. I had the scanner ordered before I became aware that Bill had a Euro version for sale.

2. The 800 MHz blocked coverage isn't that important to me for what I want the scanner for.

3. As a rule, I prefer to buy new.

Thanks for your opinion just the same.

Charlie Chan

Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in news:41EB4EFD.7030105@nj.rr.com:

> Sad part is, the guy who helped him with his questions has an un-blocked
> Euro version R-5 for sale.
>
> Dan

425. Re: Contact cleaner on BNC connection

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-18 19:07:31 UTC
From: clifto <clifto@clifto.com>
Message-ID: <jc30c2-l7i.ln1@remote.clifto.com>
Source record: 15385

Frank Bals wrote:
> Is it safe to spray some contact cleaner (DeOxit) into the BNC connector on
> my handheld scanner? The 2 prongs or forks look dirty and I want to get the
> best connection possible....

Yes. If you're worried about the plastic, try a bit of lighter fluid on
a normally hidden part; the cleaner in DeOxit is naphtha.

--
The state religion of the USA is atheism, as established by the courts.

426. Re: Receiving cellular

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-18 19:25:15 UTC
From: Sarge <sarge43@NOSPAMev1.net>
Message-ID: <10uqokuqj7gir34@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 15386

I notice our phones always switch to analog out here at home. We are on a small ranch in Texas golf coast area. I know all the trees kill the digital signals. Had the same trouble with my police radio in the cruiser when I got close to home. I had to switch from the 800 trunked stuff to vhf to be able to hit the dispatcher. I guess this is kinda the same thing?? I know squatt about cell phone technology. haha!

427. Re: Receiving cellular

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-18 20:01:23 UTC
From: nana <nana@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <35588pF4gpf4pU1@individual.net>
Source record: 15387

CDMA phones will, they are dual mode.
Brad.

"Mark" <me@privacy.net> wrote in message
news:mdqqu0htovo7qj9m4i2vhb870ho86gnbnj@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 18 Jan 2005 13:25:15 -0600, "Sarge" <sarge43@NOSPAMev1.net> wrote:
>
>>I notice our phones always switch to analog out here at home.
>
> What kind of digital phone do you have that switches to analog?

428. Re: Receiving cellular

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-18 22:06:48 UTC
From: Sarge <sarge43@NOSPAMev1.net>
Message-ID: <10ur23oigpata05@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 15388

"Mark" <me@privacy.net> wrote in message
news:mdqqu0htovo7qj9m4i2vhb870ho86gnbnj@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 18 Jan 2005 13:25:15 -0600, "Sarge" <sarge43@NOSPAMev1.net> wrote:
>
> >I notice our phones always switch to analog out here at home.
>
> What kind of digital phone do you have that switches to analog?

well I guess thats what its doing. its made by LG and we are on ATT. When it has a digital signal it displays a D in the corner and then here it says finding and the D goes off and the signal goes way up.

429. Re: Radio Flanders...get it while you can

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-18 23:01:30 UTC
From: whoever <whoever@wherever>
Message-ID: <x4WdnW4EG_umC3DcRVn-qA@centurytel.net>
Source record: 15389
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

```
dxAce wrote:

>
> whoever wrote:
>
>
>>dxAce wrote:
>>
>>>Evan [KG6ESP] Platt wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>On Mon, 17 Jan 2005 14:01:22 -0500, dxAce <dxace@untested.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>And you chose it for what reason?
>>>>
>>>>You must know how annoying you are if you feel the need to change your
>>>>e-mail address every 10 minutes to avoid kiilfiles.
>>>>
>>>>Please stop.
>>>
>>>
>>>You must know how annoying you are if you feel the need to reply to everything I
>>>post, 'tard boy.
>>>
>>>Please stop!
>>>
>>>dxAce
>>>Michigan
>>>USA
>>
>>Why do you need to crosspost this crap? Are you a tard boy, this seems
>>to be the only thing you know about!
>
>
> Well, you just crossposted it yourself, 'tard boy.
>
> Over to you!
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
```

> USA
>

Darn right I crossposted just to be sure that your dumb ass wouldn't
miss it.
Listen steven, I'm going to Alto, MI. this weekend to pick up a car and
was wondering if you wanted to help load it on the flatbed. You'll be
able to make a few dollars and get some fresh air at the same time!

430. BC60XLT-1 for sale $65.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-18 23:13:28 UTC
From: Kap <juvenile11691@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1106090008.103336.95070@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15390

This is a lightly used BC60XLT-1 30 channel 10 band. You can listen to police,fire,medics,taxis,ham,cordless phones,and much more you cant beat this price. If you have any questions email me at juvenile11691@aol.com

431. Re: Receiving cellular

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-19 00:22:10 UTC
From: Packrat &#65533; <mikec@zonenet.net>
Message-ID: <EMhHd.2109$8Q.720@fe06.lga>
Source record: 15391

CDMA is just a type of digital - just because a phone is CDMA doesn't necessarily mean it is going have AMPS. Most cellphones sold these days are either dual-mode (digital(cdma-or-TDMA)/AMPS) or tri-mode(digital(cdma-or-TDMA)/PCS/AMPS)

As far as switching to analog in rural areas this is my point its possible your carrier only covers it by a roaming agreement with another carrier by the usage of amps since the digital technologies don't match

I have found that in sparse areas I prefer analog to digital cause I know when I'm going to get dropped by the amount of static vs the silence :)

-P

"nana" <nana@netscape.net> wrote in message
news:35588pF4gpf4pU1@individual.net...
> CDMA phones will, they are dual mode.
> Brad.
>
> "Mark" <me@privacy.net> wrote in message
> news:mdqqu0htovo7qj9m4i2vhb870ho86gnbnj@4ax.com...
>> On Tue, 18 Jan 2005 13:25:15 -0600, "Sarge" <sarge43@NOSPAMev1.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>I notice our phones always switch to analog out here at home.
>>
>> What kind of digital phone do you have that switches to analog?
>
>

432. Re: FREQ HELP: - Long Drive down the east coast, MA to FL. Would appreciate Freq Reco's...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-19 00:35:18 UTC
From: jdolan202@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <1106094918.334494.120780@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15392

Jon wrote:
> Hi...
>
> I'm planning a driving trip from MA to FL soon, and would love to
> pre-program my "scanner" with some interesting frequencies...

Check out this site... www.scanboston.com. Then the portal to
scancapecod. The sysop has a great file for the trip.

JimD

433. Re: Radio Flanders...get it while you can

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-19 02:28:23 UTC
From: whoever <whoever@wherever>
Message-ID: <_JWdnS9LqJ1VW3DcRVn-1g@centurytel.net>
Source record: 15393
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

beerbarrel wrote:

>>Darn right I crossposted just to be sure that your dumb ass wouldn't
>>miss it.
>>Listen steven, I'm going to Alto, MI. this weekend to pick up a car and
>>was wondering if you wanted to help load it on the flatbed. You'll be
>>able to make a few dollars and get some fresh air at the same time!
>
>
>
> Are you a car thief now?

What?
I bought and paid for a car and was asking for some help loading it on a
flatbed, Steven lives near Alto, so I thought I'd throw some work his way!
Are all Steven's friends paranoid?
Why are you answering for Steven anyway?

434. Re: Receiving cellular

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-19 03:00:01 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <PLWdnfA115-vUHDcRVn-1g@comcast.com>
Source record: 15394

T-bone wrote:
> stryped@hotmail.com wrote in news:1105980741.679905.177450
> @c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:

[clipped]
> So yes, people advertsing their scanners as UNBLOCKED !!!!! are really saying
> very little in practice - The only good reason to pay a premium to get one
> would be to bank on the possibility that some other service of interest will
> eventually move into this frequency region.

That's not entirely true. Take Icom, and Yaesu, for example. When they block out the cellular portion fo the spectrum, they block out additional bandwidth below, and above the frequencies that the FCC requires them to omit. So you may not be able to monitor perfectly legal trunked systems, because they fall into this blocked-overlap, so to speak. In addition to that , many radios have to block portions of the spectrum that are in an entirely different band, due to the possibility of receiving cellular images. So you end up with a very crippled radio!

Bill Crocker

435. Re: can't use FRS in the malls. even though they were advertised to

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-19 04:01:05 UTC
From: Peter Pan <NOJUNK@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <cYkHd.71231$%K3.1641058@weber.videotron.net>
Source record: 15395
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement

Hey Mark,

What are you ? a Security Guard ?

Pan

"Mark" <me@privacy.net> wrote in message
news:7biqu0tljk5gultrpvtub6cmbvc8b3gs3d@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 12 Jan 2005 22:45:32 -0500, "Mastermind" <...@....> wrote:
>
>>Ok, have it your way. Tell whatever you want.
>
> What, the truth? What a novel idea.
>
>>I have no time to waste with a smart ass.
>
> Translation: I've got no idea and have been shooting from the hip the
> whole
> time. I've been called on it and now I'm running away.
>
>>Just check your info before giving all kinds of false information.
>
> I would, strongly, suggest you take your own advice.
>
>
>>"Mark" <me@privacy.net> wrote in message
>>news:u24au0tndm42bocqv9ci6r8il2hcet6bco@4ax.com...
>>> On Wed, 12 Jan 2005 00:08:41 -0500, "Mastermind" <...@....> wrote:
>>>
>>>>Sorry,
>>>>
>>>>BUT YOU CANNOT be detain for further investigation by a store security.
>>>>Only police can detain for further investigation.
>>>
>>> What fantasy world do you live in? You most certainly can be detained
>>> by
>>> store security. In every single state.
>>>
>>>>The store security cannot id you, he can just banned you from the store.
>>>
>>> Wrong.
>>>
>>>>Either he places you under arrest because you shoplift or he let's you
>>>>go.

>>>
>>> Security guards don't have arrest powers (unless you are talking about a
>>> citizen's arrest). Wrong again.
>>>
>>>>He cannot stop you just because the alarm goes off at the exit of the
>>>>store.
>>>
>>> You're on a roll. Wrong again.
>>>
>>>>AND NO you cannot be held by police because you refused to identify to a
>>>>security gard.
>>>
>>> You're 1/2 right. If you refuse to ID yourself to a security guard,
>>> it's
>>> a
>>> moot point since the police are on their way. Once they show up and you
>>> refuse to ID yourself to them, then you get charged. Same end result.
>>>
>>>>I work for a police department.
>>>
>>> Yet you are not a police officer so what's the point?

436. Re: Receiving cellular

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-19 05:09:02 UTC
From: T-bone <mis@take.net>
Message-ID: <Xns95E318B5CAA5ewrfdgrstnetaakeanfk@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 15396

Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in
news:PLWdnfA115-vUHDcRVn-1g@comcast.com:

> T-bone wrote:
>> stryped@hotmail.com wrote in news:1105980741.679905.177450
>> @c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>
> [clipped]
>> So yes, people advertsing their scanners as UNBLOCKED !!!!! are really
>> saying very little in practice - The only good reason to pay a premium
>> to get one would be to bank on the possibility that some other service
>> of interest will eventually move into this frequency region.
>
>
> That's not entirely true. Take Icom, and Yaesu, for example. When they
> block out the cellular portion fo the spectrum, they block out
> additional bandwidth below, and above the frequencies that the FCC
> requires them to omit. So you may not be able to monitor perfectly
> legal trunked systems, because they fall into this blocked-overlap, so
> to speak. In addition to that , many radios have to block portions of
> the spectrum that are in an entirely different band, due to the
> possibility of receiving cellular images. So you end up with a very
> crippled radio!
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>

Well ... I guess its only crippled insofar as theres anything in the
blocked portions worth listening to - And if Icom ect are dumb enough to
block legit portions, then I simply would not purchase one.
As far as blocking possible mirror freqs, I imagine they would be in the
700-900 range, and as such not much of a sacrifice.
I thought the law was stupid when first enacted, and haven't changed that
opinion.
The responsibility to ensure private comms should have rested exclusively
with cell phone providers - And as we all know, anyone with half a notion
could listen to them nonetheless.
I've learned to live with the ban though, and even though I have radios
perfectly capable of receiving blocked bands, I never do - And would not
pay much of a premium for a scanner that is unblocked ... Except, like I
said, as a hedge against someday a service of interest moving to these
bands.

437. Re: Radio Flanders...get it while you can

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-19 05:27:58 UTC
From: m II <C@In.The.Hat>
Message-ID: <ydmHd.36291$06.13254@clgrps12>
Source record: 15397
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

whoever wrote:

> Why are you answering for Steven anyway?

His shadow does that quite regularly. It's impossible to tell the
difference, really.

mike

438. Scantenna (directional or omnidirectional)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-19 05:49:53 UTC
From: Keith Coleman <kcoleman@elmore.rr.com>
Message-ID: <5ymHd.227548$Oc.201577@tornado.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 15398

Hi all,

Any of you that has much more knowledge and experince than myself, can you help?

I know that the scantenna is sold as a omnidirectional antenna, I can't help but think that by the design, there is some directionality to this antenna.

Is this the case? Can someone offer any of their experience to this thought.

Cheers,
Keith

439. Re: fort mcmurray alberta fire dept

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-19 16:21:19 UTC
From: zenox <zenox-7@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <3OvHd.125358$8l.93711@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 15399

TKS DUDE
"HotShot" <MyShotIsHot@HotShotShootsSpam.Com> wrote in message
news:jH%Gd.11144$K03.454745@news20.bellglobal.com...
> You could start looking here.
> http://sd.ic.gc.ca/engdoc/main.jsp
>
> zenox wrote:
> > they have left 460.0375,anyone know where they went?
> >
> >

440. Re: Scantenna (directional or omnidirectional)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-19 23:15:54 UTC
From: Brian <victhedog@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <kfqtu05q30eol65uqs3l3tc03f72ekiund@4ax.com>
Source record: 15300

On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 05:49:53 GMT, "Keith Coleman"
<kcoleman@elmore.rr.com> wrote:
it a omni
what makes you think its directional?

>Hi all,
>
>Any of you that has much more knowledge and experince than myself, can you
>help?
>
>I know that the scantenna is sold as a omnidirectional antenna, I can't help
>but think that by the design, there is some directionality to this antenna.
>
>Is this the case? Can someone offer any of their experience to this thought.
>
>Cheers,
>Keith
>

441. Re: Scantenna (directional or omnidirectional)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-20 03:19:41 UTC
From: Colic <no_spam@no.spam.com>
Message-ID: <hrFHd.15417$IV5.2227@attbi_s54>
Source record: 15301

The UHF or higher elements of the Scantenna are mounted on the boom beside the main element. The distance from the main element to the short higher frequency element is about half of the length of this short element,, or about 0.25 wavelength for the center frequency of the short element. Optimal first reflector spacing on a beam is from 0.1 to 0.35 wavelength, depending on other factors. This means that the main element may well serve as a reflector for the short element, possibly fairly ineffecient because it is very much to large, but it should still serve. This would give some directionality "down" the boom, from the main to the short element.

C!

"Brian" <victhedog@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:kfqtu05q30eol65uqs3l3tc03f72ekiund@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 05:49:53 GMT, "Keith Coleman"
> <kcoleman@elmore.rr.com> wrote:
> it a omni
> what makes you think its directional?
>
>>Hi all,
>>
>>Any of you that has much more knowledge and experince than myself, can you
>>help?
>>
>>I know that the scantenna is sold as a omnidirectional antenna, I can't
>>help
>>but think that by the design, there is some directionality to this
>>antenna.
>>
>>Is this the case? Can someone offer any of their experience to this
>>thought.
>>
>>Cheers,
>>Keith

442. Re: Bill Crocker- Your opinion, please...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-20 04:43:30 UTC
From: DeWayne <k9kz@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <SFGHd.1596$QC5.1141@fe2.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 15302

"Charlie Chan" <detective@china.net> wrote in message
news:Xns95E27EEF2A2CEcharchandet@127.0.0.1...
> Dan,
>
> Sad is in the eyes of the beholder.
>
> 1. I had the scanner ordered before I became aware that Bill had a Euro
> version for sale.
>
> 2. The 800 MHz blocked coverage isn't that important to me for what I
> want the scanner for.

I think we didn't explain properly. Parts of the US 800 MHz band are
blocked, not just the cell band.

DeWayne

>
> 3. As a rule, I prefer to buy new.
>
> Thanks for your opinion just the same.
>
> Charlie Chan
>
>
>
> Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in news:41EB4EFD.7030105@nj.rr.com:
>
>> Sad part is, the guy who helped him with his questions has an un-
> blocked
>> Euro version R-5 for sale.
>>
>> Dan
>

443. FS: NEW Uniden BC-780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-20 05:46:57 UTC
From: mike maghakian <maghakian@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <ifudndbjxt1M2HLcRVn-tg@comcast.com>
Source record: 15303

I have a NEW unopened BC-780XLT that I will sell for $275 shipped

444. What cable do you use?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-20 14:26:53 UTC
From: stryped@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1106231213.070067.84270@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15304

Do you use 50 ohm or 75 ohm coax? I have a ham radio and wanting to build some antennas to test and play around with. SOmeone said rg6 will throw off my swr readings. I found a place around here that seels rg8/u but it is 78 cents a foot. I was hoping to get some cheaper. I was hoping to play around with building the antennas this weekend.

445. Re: What cable do you use?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-20 15:37:43 UTC
From: "Greasy Rider"@invalid.com
Message-ID: <20kvu01r9ovt62kifo1f36plh7p74jq5f7@4ax.com>
Source record: 15305

On 20 Jan 2005 06:26:53 -0800, stryped@hotmail.com postulated :
>Do you use 50 ohm or 75 ohm coax? I have a ham radio and wanting to
>build some antennas to test and play around with. SOmeone said rg6 will
>throw off my swr readings. I found a place around here that seels rg8/u
>but it is 78 cents a foot. I was hoping to get some cheaper. I was
>hoping to play around with building the antennas this weekend.

Check the prices at a large home improvement store such as Lowes or
Home Depot.

446. Re: CC Super Gainer antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-20 17:11:21 UTC
From: Fred Zalupski <"none listed">
Message-ID: <F8CdnTtLkpqne3LcRVn-sw@comcast.com>
Source record: 15306

I have a Watson-branded Super-Gainer W-881 that fits CCrane's description and looks just like the RH77CA, except that the Watson is about an inch longer than the Diamond. Anecdotally, they seem to perform the same, but I haven't spent time doing any structured tests. To me the Diamond is markedly better than typical stock scanner rubber ducks and even better on 800 MHz than a couple of dedicated 800 antennas I have. I don't expect you'd note that kind of improvement (or any, really) over the Diamond with the Super-Gainer.

If you need another antenna, the Super-Gainer won't dissappoint.

"Frank Bals" <fjb@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:IC_Gd.39418$w62.37905@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Anyone tried this on their handheld and how does it work? It looks very
> similar to my Diamond RH77CA and I'm wondering if there is a performance
> difference between the two?
>
> Frank
>
>

447. Re: What cable do you use?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-20 17:26:06 UTC
From: Fred Zalupski <"none listed">
Message-ID: <OoKdnZVU4qkydHLcRVn-tg@comcast.com>
Source record: 15307

There is an interesting question in QST this month from a ham that wants to put an antenna in the attic and re-use some TV coax already existing. The answer was that it was probably ok, depending on a few factors like the feed point impedence of the antenna, the design and band coverage of the antenna, use of a tuner, and transmitter power (cable TV coax may not be suited to high power). I'd be more inclined to think that dB loss in coax related to frequency is more critical than 50 ohm vs. 70 ohm mismatch. That is, I wouldn't use RG58 for UHF applications, but would use RG 6 for HF. This is a subject about which I am curious, so I'll be interested in other repsones, too.

Good DX!

448. Re: General purpose whip for scanner + ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-20 17:41:17 UTC
From: Fred Zalupski <"none listed">
Message-ID: <NuSdnbX-fdKjcHLcRVn-2w@comcast.com>
Source record: 15308

Diamond RH77CA is very good for wide range scanning and dual band xmit.
About 14.75 inches.

"Jason Wagner" <jmw@panix.com> wrote in message
news:bqu27j$qj9$1@reader2.panix.com...
> I'd like to know if there is a general purpose whip antenna available to
> cover scanner frequencies up to 1300mhz that will also provide adequate
> servive for 144/440 ham transmission? I realize that I will not be
getting
> an antenna that is perfectly matched for all frequencies. I will be using
a
> license plate mount and I'd like as thin an antenna as possible to
minimize
> wind loading.
>
> Also, I'd be looking for the same in a rubber duck style, 12 inches tops.
>
> Any suggestions? Thanks.
>
>

449. Coax question and update!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-20 19:30:13 UTC
From: stryped@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1106249413.685366.50330@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15309

Well, I just got rg 8 cable about 20 feet for free! The thing about it it has two pl259 connectors on it. I need to have one end free so i can wire up the slim jim or j pole I build this weekend. I really do not eant to cut the cable as I would like to use it when I put the permanent station up. See any way around this?

Can I use copper house wire 12/ 2 or so to connect to the points on the j pole then bring them to a so connector Then screw the rg8 pl connector into that? Will this through off the swr? What ohms is 12/2 wire?

He also gave me a short cable with two pl 259 connectors to connect between my radio and the swr meter. It was a guy at a motorola dealer. This cable is pretty thin and not rg8 but he said it was 50 ohms.
I plan on hooking the 20 feet from the swr to the antenna.

450. Kentucky police frequencies for my town

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-20 22:02:27 UTC
From: stryped@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1106258547.750958.167420@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15310

I am having trouble finding the frequencies for the police in
Adairville and Bowling Green Kentucky. Can anyone out there help me?
Both state and city.

451. Re: General purpose whip for scanner + ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-21 03:00:08 UTC
From: Mike <cyberscan780@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Pe_Hd.63390$F25.13018@okepread07>
Source record: 15311

How well would a Diamond RH77CA hold up mounted outside of a SUV?

"Fred Zalupski" <none listed> wrote in message
news:NuSdnbX-fdKjcHLcRVn-2w@comcast.com...
> Diamond RH77CA is very good for wide range scanning and dual band xmit.
> About 14.75 inches.
>
> "Jason Wagner" <jmw@panix.com> wrote in message
> news:bqu27j$qj9$1@reader2.panix.com...
> > I'd like to know if there is a general purpose whip antenna available to
> > cover scanner frequencies up to 1300mhz that will also provide adequate
> > servive for 144/440 ham transmission? I realize that I will not be
> getting
> > an antenna that is perfectly matched for all frequencies. I will be
using
> a
> > license plate mount and I'd like as thin an antenna as possible to
> minimize
> > wind loading.
> >
> > Also, I'd be looking for the same in a rubber duck style, 12 inches
tops.
> >
> > Any suggestions? Thanks.
> >
> >
>
>

452. PDW and ERMES ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-21 07:37:06 UTC
From: Peter Hunt <news@peterhunt.zoetermeernet.nl>
Message-ID: <41f0b116$0$6213$e4fe514c@news.xs4all.nl>
Source record: 15312

Hi,

I was wondering if anyone is monitoring ERMES using PDW?
ERMES was oringally a European paging standard, but maybe
it is also used in other continents nowadays???

Bye, Peter.

453. Re: PDW and ERMES ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-21 07:42:36 UTC
From: Peter Hunt <news@peterhunt.zoetermeernet.nl>
Message-ID: <41f0b260$0$6205$e4fe514c@news.xs4all.nl>
Source record: 15313

> I was wondering if anyone is monitoring ERMES using PDW?

Also, PDW v2.11 is available since yesterday. It's mostly a bugfix version,
cause pocsag and mobitex were suffering some bugs...

http://www.xs4all.nl/~atron/flex/pdw_eng.html#211

Peter Hunt.

454. Re: Kentucky police frequencies for my town

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-21 08:43:12 UTC
From: nana <nana@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <35btlbF4kdms0U1@individual.net>
Source record: 15314

<stryped@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1106258547.750958.167420@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>I am having trouble finding the frequencies for the police in
> Adairville and Bowling Green Kentucky. Can anyone out there help me?
> Both state and city.

So, here I sit, over 15,000km away from Kentucky. I type Police Frequencies Bowling Green Kentucky into Google and what do I get? I get Scan USA and a huge list of Police frequencies for Bowling Green Kentucky. http://www.scanningusa.com/trunked_info/kentucky.html

Geez, how damn lazy are you?

And you know something else? Scanners, well, they S C A N. Amazing, isn't it? How do you think we coped all these years without these wonderful search engines? Do you think we were spoonfed? No, we looked at the antennas, tried to work out approximate frequency range and we s c a n n e d. You should try it sometime.

Nana

455. WTT - R75 for R7000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-21 12:54:03 UTC
From: John Schneider <thecop@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <O27Id.2428$yC1.223@fe10.lga>
Source record: 15315

I have an Icom IC-R75 w/UT-106 DSP shortwave receiver in like-new condition that I would like to trade for an Icom IC-R7000 VHF/UHF receiver in like condition.
The R75 will come with a copy of the manual and it has had the S-AM mod performed (works great!).

Please contact me off list if interested.

John, KB2COP
thecop@optonline.net

456. Re: Kentucky police frequencies for my town

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-21 13:26:43 UTC
From: stryped@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1106314003.761136.211010@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15316

Number one the information did not contain anything about the city if Adairville which I asked for. Number two, the scanner I have is an old realistic. It has 10 memory channels and only scans the memory channels. You have to program each channel. It will not "free scan" every coverable frequency.

457. Re: Kentucky police frequencies for my town

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-21 14:50:29 UTC
From: Volker Tonn <screwdriver@despammed.com>
Message-ID: <35cj5bF4jlhfoU1@individual.net>
Source record: 15317

stryped@hotmail.com schrieb:
>.... Number two, the scanner I have is an old
> realistic. It has 10 memory channels and only scans the memory
> channels. You have to program each channel. It will not "free scan"
> every coverable frequency.
>

You're interested in technical (scanning) stuff?
Those outdated scanners look good sitting on a shelf.
So it's time to get some adequate equipment.....

458. Re: Kentucky police frequencies for my town

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-21 15:05:37 UTC
From: stryped@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1106319937.537305.129220@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15318

Volker Tonn wrote:
> stryped@hotmail.com schrieb:
> >.... Number two, the scanner I have is an old
> > realistic. It has 10 memory channels and only scans the memory
> > channels. You have to program each channel. It will not "free scan"
> > every coverable frequency.
> >
>
>
> You're interested in technical (scanning) stuff?
> Those outdated scanners look good sitting on a shelf.
> So it's time to get some adequate equipment.....

I know it is just I am strapped for cash right now. Just had our second
child and he goes through alot of diapers!
How much could one get a decent used scanner for on ebay or something?

459. Re: Kentucky police frequencies for my town

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-21 15:35:36 UTC
From: Volker Tonn <screwdriver@despammed.com>
Message-ID: <35clptF4l5jlaU1@individual.net>
Source record: 15319

stryped@hotmail.com schrieb:

> Volker Tonn wrote:
>
>>stryped@hotmail.com schrieb:
>>
>>>.... Number two, the scanner I have is an old
>>>realistic. It has 10 memory channels and only scans the memory
>>>channels. You have to program each channel. It will not "free scan"
>>>every coverable frequency.
>>>
>>
>>
>>You're interested in technical (scanning) stuff?
>>Those outdated scanners look good sitting on a shelf.
>>So it's time to get some adequate equipment.....
>
>
> I know it is just I am strapped for cash right now. Just had our second
> child and he goes through alot of diapers!
> How much could one get a decent used scanner for on ebay or something?

Have a look on a UBC-60

It's a good entry-level scanner.

460. Re: Kentucky police frequencies for my town

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-21 16:34:46 UTC
From: lsmyer <myer413ny@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <9caId.4164$t57.1105@fe03.lga>
Source record: 15320
Body handling: Inline uuencoded payload not displayed: space.gif

One good source for local frequencies is your local Radio Shack. While I don't think there's one in Adairville, there is one up the road in Russellville. Here's the address and phone number:

Radio Shack
1134 W 9th St
Russellville, KY 42276-9799 Phone: (270) 726-3099

[Inline uuencoded payload not displayed: space.gif]

461. Re: Kentucky police frequencies for my town

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-21 16:37:56 UTC
From: lsmyer <myer413ny@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7faId.4168$y37.376@fe03.lga>
Source record: 15321

One good source for local frequencies is your local Radio Shack. While I don't think there's one in Adairville, there is one up the road in Russellville. Here's the address and phone number:

Radio Shack
1134 W 9th St
Russellville, KY 42276-9799
Phone: (270) 726-3099

462. FCC Chairman Powell Said to Be Resigning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-21 16:40:32 UTC
From: lsmyer <myer413ny@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <zhaId.4170$na7.494@fe03.lga>
Source record: 15322
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

http://apnews.myway.com/article/20050121/D87OIDV80.html

463. Re: What cable do you use?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-21 16:43:10 UTC
From: Rob Mills <robmills@cox.net>
Message-ID: <xiaId.64323$F25.26383@okepread07>
Source record: 15323

"Fred Zalupski" <none listed> wrote in message
news:OoKdnZVU4qkydHLcRVn-tg@comcast.com...

>>>so I'll be interested in other repsones<<<

Well Fred, I was interested also but it doesn't look as if any other
responses are coming.
When the weather gets better I'm planning to go up with one of two scanner
antennas I have sitting in my garage. Unless someone talks me out of it, I'm
planning on using RG-6 for my scanner antenna and RG-58 for my inverted V
shortwave antenna. I'm planning on putting the scanner ant on top of pole
and fastening top of B&W V several feet under the scanner antenna.

I believe there was some discussion on the subject several months ago when I
had other things on my mind and didn't pay a lot of attention at the time.
Guess we'll have to look back through the archives for more info. RM~

464. Re: can't use FRS in the malls. even though they were advertised to

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-21 17:02:32 UTC
From: scooby750 <scooby750@cox.net>
Message-ID: <ICaId.36417$Tf5.6659@lakeread03>
Source record: 15324
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement

"Tom H" <th54@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:PvNEd.49694$6l.47330@pd7tw2no...
> There most definately IS a point to all of this --- one relating to the
> legal balance between privacy vs protection of property (seriously OT, I
> know), a very important point to the loss prevention dept and not
> superfluous or trivial at all.
> OK, if the penalty for refusing to permit a search is being banned, every
> crook in town will show up one after the other and be subjected to
banning,
> whilst making off with a ton of plunder in the process.
> Lets leave the question of whether or not there is another method of
> detecting theft aside (which, duh, of course there is, this is simply a
> "just for the sake of argument" question), lets just say the only method
of
> detecting theft was the beeper. OK, a guy goes through, beep beep, "No, I
> didn't take anything, I'm not submitting.", "You are banned.", "Fine, see
> you later ...", and another couple hundred dollars worth of stuff walks
out
> the door? That doesn't sound right.
> My question is: doesn't the fact that the beeper went off give security
the
> right (Probable cause) to make an arrest, in the event that the person
> refuses to submit to a search?

No, I unfortunatly have the pleasure of working retail and we use the
detectors. In the state of Florida you may not stop a person on just the
detector device going off you must see the person remove the item and place
it on them too make a stop. The detectors are there too make them think
twice before theft or cut out the item and thus leaving behind the package
too be found and loss control be made better.

scoob

>
> "BTR1701" <btr1702@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> news:btr1702-C21632.00033811012005@news.giganews.com...
> > In article <crvm6l$ne5$1@news.chatlink.com>,
> > "69FLH" <69FLH10xx@campus.ie> wrote:
> >
>> There's some fucking people that just have too much time on their
>> hands.....
> >
> > Yeah, I don't. That's why I didn't want to wait in the receipt check
> > line.

> >
> >> "BTR1701" <btr1702@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> >> news:btr1702-C8781D.19543910012005@news.giganews.com...
> >> > In article <34gk43F4asif7U1@individual.net>, "nana"
<nana@netscape.net>
> >> > wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> It is a condition of entry and there will be a Notice somewhere
> >> >> stating
> >> >> the
> >> >> fact. If you do not wish to comply, then don't go in. Since you want
> >> >> to
> >> >> kick
> >> >> up a fuss, they can ban you from the store.
> >> >
> >> > How? Not sure how they'd even know who I am. If I don't stop for them
> >> > to
> >> > check my receipt, I'm sure not going to stop for them to take down my
> >> > name and information for future banning purposes and there's no law
> >> > that
> >> > says I have to.
> >> >
> >> >> I understand all these "what if" scenarios, but WHY would you want
to
> >> >> make a
> >> >> complete ass of yourself, make yourself known as a troublemaker, get
> >> >> yourself banned from these stores and besmirch your reputation?
> >> >
> >> > Because it's just one more damn line to stand in. Shopping at Best
buy
> >> > this past Christmas, I stood in line to get to the register for over
30
> >> > minutes, then when I finally get there and pay for my stuff, I walk
> >> > toward the doors only to find another line 20 people deep waiting to
> >> > have their receipt checked. At that point, I'd had enough of it and
> >> > just
> >> > walked around it and out the door.
> >> >
> >> > The clerk guy didn't catch up with me till I was already at my car
and
> >> > said he had to check my receipt. I said, no he didn't because if I
> >> > hadn't paid for what I walked out with, the alarms would have gone
off.
> >> > Since they didn't, I don't need to be searched. I got in my car and
> >> > drove off. I broke no law so there's nothing they could do. [And they
> >> > had no clue who I was so it's not like they could "ban" me, either.
Of
> >> > course, I don't even live in that city so even if they did figure a
way
> >> > to ban me, so what?]

> >> >
> >> > And how is that going to "besmirch my reputation"? It's not like the
> >> > whole world is going to know I decided to rebel against the receipt
> >> > search policy and even if they did, how that makes me look bad such
> >> > that
> >> > my reputation is besmirched, I'm not sure.
> >> >
> >> > Somehow I think I could live with the "shame".
>
>

465. Re: What cable do you use?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-21 17:29:40 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery28146@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <8_aId.3135$rp1.1613@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 15325

> When the weather gets better I'm planning to go up with one of two scanner
> antennas I have sitting in my garage. Unless someone talks me out of it,
I'm
> planning on using RG-6 for my scanner antenna and RG-58 for my inverted V
> shortwave antenna. I'm planning on putting the scanner ant on top of pole
> and fastening top of B&W V several feet under the scanner antenna.
>

While the losses below 30 mhz in coax does not mean very much from one type
to another, you might as well use the rg-6 for both antennas . The only
drawback for you is that much of the rg-6 does not use a copper shield and
can be difficult to make a connection to unless using a crimped type of
connector for the shield.

466. Discriminator BC898T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-21 17:57:22 UTC
From: JJ <jcecklund@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <6obId.17952$wi2.3949@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 15327

Anyone know where I can get instructions on tapping the discriminator for the BC898T?

Thanks

467. Re: FCC Chairman Powell Said to Be Resigning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-21 18:11:57 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1106331117.065654.42860@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15326
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

FO&A,
.
Micheal Powell to Step Down as Chairman of FCC,
- Financial Times of London, UK
- by Stephen LaBaton and John O'Neil
.
http://news.ft.com/cms/s/559dd16c-6bce-11d9-94dc-00000e2511c8.html
.
WASHINGTON, Jan. 21 - Michael K. Powell will step down today
as Chairman of the Federal Communications Commission, officials
there said, ending a four-year term that was marked by the
tightening of standards on decency and attempts to loosen
restrictions on media ownership.
.
SOooo . . . Who Will Replace Powell ?
.
Will this 'change' Really Matter to Shortwave Listeners ?
and the Amateur {Ham} Radio Community ?
.
mister powell - a short wave goodbye ~ RHF
.
.

468. BC60XLT for sale $65.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-21 19:35:33 UTC
From: Kap <juvenile11691@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1106336133.233751.11280@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15328

Working scanner 30 channels 10 bands. have any questions email me at juvenile11691@aol.com

469. Florida Mil Ranges busy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-21 20:19:44 UTC
From: allanstern@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050121151944.25470.00000106@mb-m13.aol.com>
Source record: 15329

05-01-21

At 11:02am EST (1602z), MacDill KC-135R "Bolt 52" was refueling the
Whiteman AFB A-10s (CHISELs) working at Avon Park Bombing Range. CHISEL 21 and
CHISEL 31 were the flights working the range. Refueling freq was 238.9. The
guys in KC-135 BOLT 52 were very chatty today. They had been taking pics of the
A-10s all morning during refuelings. They asked if the CHISELs would drop
flares so they could get some spectacular photos. The Missouri guys are
usually playful, and have been known to "accidentally" drop flares, usually
when departing a TDY location, for the enjoyment of the base locals. But today,
the CHISELs said they could not drop the flares.
BOLT 52 said that after today's AARs of the CHISELs, they would be going
to Robins AFB for some pattern work.
Other acft were working much further south, in the Tarpon Range, near Key
West. RedDog 51 was up on 344.2 with Bandsaw Kilo (AWACS) explaining that he
would be escorting RedDog 52 who had a right engine problem. Bandsaw Kilo was
also up with acft on 250.6.
In the afternoon, KC-135 BOLT 51 refueled MAKO and SHARK flights. The
SHARK 21 flight consisted of tails 86-319 (SHARK 21) and 86-266 (SHARK 22).
AWACS Bandsaw Lima also was heard in comms with OakySam and was working
the offshore area to the north of my location.
Even snagged comms from a Whiteman AFB B-2A bomber when he was talking
with MacDill AFB Metro about weather in Nebraska.

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL (28-11N 80-36W) monitoring
Patrick AFB (KCOF) NASA-KSC Shuttle Landing Fac (KX68)
Avon Park Bombing Range (KAGR) Cape Canaveral AFS (KXMR)
JSTARS E-8 Acft Integration Facility, Melbourne IAP (KMLB)
Worldwide Military HF Communications
Life Member: Missile, Space and Range Pioneers.
http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/index.html (My Freqs)
http://hometown.aol.com/scanaddict/index.html (My Equipment)

470. Re: can't use FRS in the malls. even though they were advertised to

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-21 20:22:38 UTC
From: Mastermind
Message-ID: <nwdId.60700$Vr6.1229437@weber.videotron.net>
Source record: 15330
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement

Well Mark, where are you ? did you ran away to check your criminal code an legal procedures ?

Here is a link that explain a little bit about a security guard.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Security_guard

A security guard has the same arrest powers than a ordinary citizen yould have. But like scoob say's, the alarm going off on a exit detector is not a reason to search, ID or make an arrest. Often people will comply to the guards request to check their bags, but it is not mandatory.

"scooby750" <scooby750@cox.net> wrote in message news:lCaId.36417$Tf5.6659@lakeread03...

>
> No, I unfortunatly have the pleasure of working retail and we use the
> detectors. In the state of Florida you may not stop a person on just the
> detector device going off you must see the person remove the item and
> place
> it on them too make a stop. The detectors are there too make them think
> twice before theft or cut out the item and thus leaving behind the package
> too be found and loss control be made better.
>
> scoob
>

471. Re: What cable do you use?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-21 20:23:26 UTC
From: Rob Mills <robmills@cox.net>
Message-ID: <0xdId.2$HZ.0@okepread07>
Source record: 15331

"Ralph Mowery" <rmowery28146@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:8_aId.3135$rp1.1613@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...

>>> The only drawback for you is that much of the rg-6 does not use a
copper shield <<<

I haven't purchased any coax in years and didn't realize that. I do plan to
use solder type connectors so will have a close look at what I put up.

I have both a RS scantenna and a RS 20-014 (the big one) ground plane. The
RS scantenna came with what I recall as RG6, thought I would use that RG6
with the 20-014 as it would sit well above the top of the pole and allow me
to keep the SW antenna closer to the top of pole.

Thanks for the "heads up". RM~

472. Re: Kentucky police frequencies for my town

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-21 21:07:23 UTC
From: nana <nana@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <35d98fF4hfo3nU1@individual.net>
Source record: 15332

<stryped@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1106314003.761136.211010@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Number one the information did not contain anything about the city if
> Adairville which I asked for.

Number One - You missed the point - why not try searching for it YOURSELF?
Once again, I typed something basic into Google like"Adairville Police
Frequencies" and got the following response -
http://www.qsl.net/ai4uk/ky/logan.html
http://www.cityfreq.com/ky/adairville/ (try City of)

Number two, the scanner I have is an old
> realistic. It has 10 memory channels and only scans the memory
> channels. You have to program each channel. It will not "free scan"
> every coverable frequency.
>

Then you punch in ALL the Police frequencies, let it run for a while and
keep the frequencies with activity on it.

I just don't think you are trying hard enough, if at all.

Nana

473. Re: BC60XLT for sale $65.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-21 21:34:31 UTC
From: stryped@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1106343271.639749.217080@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15333

Kap wrote:
> Working scanner 30 channels 10 bands. have any questions email me at
> juvenile11691@aol.com

What frequencies does it cover? How old is it?

474. Re: BC60XLT for sale $65.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-21 21:39:03 UTC
From: MnMikew <mnmiikkew@aol.com>
Message-ID: <35db41F4k07pfU1@individual.net>
Source record: 15334

<stryped@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1106343271.639749.217080@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> Kap wrote:
> > Working scanner 30 channels 10 bands. have any questions email me at
> > juvenile11691@aol.com
>
> What frequencies does it cover? How old is it?

I had one. It covers 30-512mhz. I think they still make them?

475. Discriminator tap and what will you find

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-21 21:56:58 UTC
From: clc@conninc.com
Message-ID: <1106344618.929207.32710@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15335

I have a pro 2026 and a pro 2006, i have put a discriminator tap
on both using the mod listed on the many scanner websites. So heres
the question, whats the next step ? What other eqiupment will i need to
start finding something using the discrominator output. It
seems everyone has a mod for this but no info on what to look for
and how to decode it.

476. Re: Discriminator tap and what will you find

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-21 22:49:20 UTC
From: Brengsek! <me2@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <kg13v0lr2tugioevgmhb1j1a72l6k84cmf@4ax.com>
Source record: 15336

On 21 Jan 2005 13:56:58 -0800, clc@conninc.com wrote:

>I have a pro 2026 and a pro 2006, i have put a discriminator tap
>on both using the mod listed on the many scanner websites. So heres
>the question, whats the next step ? What other eqiupment will i need to
>start finding something using the discrominator output. It
>seems everyone has a mod for this but no info on what to look for
>and how to decode it.

Oh yes. Paging: POCSAG, FLEX, ERMES with PDW (www.p2000-flex.tk).
Frequencies depending of where you live. For optimal results, you may
want to consider a 2 or 4 level data slicer.

And AIS with ShipPlotter (www.shipplotter.com), freqencies 161.975 and
162.025 MHz worldwide. That is, if you live close to the sea or a
harbour. A discriminator output and soundcard will do fine usually.

Rene

--
Cabin crew: yellow door selectors automatic

477. Re: General purpose whip for scanner + ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-22 00:50:59 UTC
From: DougSlug <dougslug@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <5zhId.2605$Wc.2063@fe10.lga>
Source record: 15337

I used an RH77CA mounted on a mag-mount base with a BNC on the roof of my station wagon for at least half a year. It worked great! The only thing that happened was that the rubber covering around the antenna's BNC barrel degraded after some time, but that was easily fixed with some heat shrink tubing. That part was only cosmetic anyway. The rest of the antenna--the rubber coating over the antenna element and the plastic housing--held up just fine. I wouldn't recommend it for long-term use, though.

The antenna I used later was the Lakeview EDB-1 "Economy Magnetic Mount Dual-Band Antenna" ($17.95). It is solid as a rock, reasonably low profile and performs very well on the main VHF/UHF action bands. See it at: http://www.hamstick.com/. You can get a threaded mount version of the same antenna for $9.95.

- Doug

"Mike" <cyberscan780@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Pe_Hd.63390$F25.13018@okepread07...
> How well would a Diamond RH77CA hold up mounted outside of a SUV?
>
>
> "Fred Zalupski" <none listed> wrote in message
> news:NuSdnbX-fdKjcHLcRVn-2w@comcast.com...
>> Diamond RH77CA is very good for wide range scanning and dual band xmit.
>> About 14.75 inches.
>>
>> "Jason Wagner" <jmw@panix.com> wrote in message
>> news:bqu27j$qj9$1@reader2.panix.com...
>> > I'd like to know if there is a general purpose whip antenna available
>> > to
>> > cover scanner frequencies up to 1300mhz that will also provide adequate
>> > servive for 144/440 ham transmission? I realize that I will not be
>> getting
>> > an antenna that is perfectly matched for all frequencies. I will be
> using
>> a
>> > license plate mount and I'd like as thin an antenna as possible to
>> minimize
>> > wind loading.
>> >
>> > Also, I'd be looking for the same in a rubber duck style, 12 inches
> tops.
>> >
>> > Any suggestions? Thanks.
>> >

>> >
>>
>>
>
>

478. Re: BC60XLT for sale $65.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-22 00:59:44 UTC
From: Kap <juvenile11691@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1106355584.249625.178850@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15338

29-54 137-174 406-512 all MHz

1 year old barely used

479. Re: Scantenna (directional or omnidirectional)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-22 01:24:27 UTC
From: debaskett@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12353-41F1AB4B-434@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 15339

Scantenna? Oh yeah now I remember. I think I use to drink that stuff. Or was that Scangria?

480. Re: NEW Uniden BC-780XLT REDUCED PRICE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-22 06:10:32 UTC
From: mike maghakian <maghakian@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <HdednS7UuNfFc2zcRVn-jg@comcast.com>
Source record: 15340

price is now $249 plus $15 for insured shipping

"mike maghakian" <maghakian@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:ifudndbjxt1M2HLcRVn-tg@comcast.com...
>I have a NEW unopened BC-780XLT that I will sell for $275 shipped
>

481. For Those With A 780: Lockout Question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-22 15:19:37 UTC
From: Robert11 <rgsrose@notme.com>
Message-ID: <fJydnTFU4-iZ8m_cRVn-jg@comcast.com>
Source record: 15341

Hi,

For those with a 780 :

Have been getting somewhat contradictory results with this, so I'll
ask here.

When using the L/O button to lock out a channel, is this temporary ?
e.g., after shutting the power off, and then back on, is the lockout still
in place ?

How do the other lockout options work, with regard to being "temporary" ?
New at this, and find it all a bit confusing.

Thanks,
Bob

482. Re: For Those With A 780: Lockout Question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-22 16:39:17 UTC
From: Lord Floyd <nospam@biteme.invalid>
Message-ID: <Xns95E6580CB990ESMEGHEAD@199.45.49.11>
Source record: 15342

"Robert11" <rgsrose@notme.com> sputtered incoherently in
news:fJydnTFU4-iZ8m_cRVn-jg@comcast.com:

> When using the L/O button to lock out a channel, is this
> temporary ? e.g., after shutting the power off, and then back
> on, is the lockout still in place ?
>
A programmed channel remains locked out, until you unlock it.

> How do the other lockout options work, with regard to being
> "temporary" ? New at this, and find it all a bit confusing.
>

The 780 maintains a permanent list of, up to 200 unique skipped
frequencies. When frequency 201 is added to the end of the list,
the first entry is cleared from memory. If a frequency from one of
the pre-programmed service searches is skipped, it will be skipped
if it is in the range of a custom search.

Each bank, if programmed as for a trunking system, can store up to
200 locked out talk group ids. Again, this is permanent until
either manually unlocked (page 41) or you attempt to skip more than
200 ids.

All skipped/locked out items are retained, even is power is turned
off.
--
You are a fluke of the Universe
You have no right to be here
And whether you can hear it or not,
the Universe is laughing behind your back

"Five-eleven's your height, one-ninety your weight
You cash in your chips around page ninety-eight."

483. Re: What cable do you use?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-22 18:20:33 UTC
From: Jim <junk@junk.com>
Message-ID: <RPwId.6534$VZ2.923@fe1.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 15343

"Fred Zalupski" <none listed> wrote in message
news:OoKdnZVU4qkydHLcRVn-tg@comcast.com...
> There is an interesting question in QST this month from a ham that wants
> to
> put an antenna in the attic and re-use some TV coax already existing. The
> answer was that it was probably ok, depending on a few factors like the
> feed
> point impedence of the antenna, the design and band coverage of the
> antenna,
> use of a tuner, and transmitter power (cable TV coax may not be suited to
> high power). I'd be more inclined to think that dB loss in coax related to
> frequency is more critical than 50 ohm vs. 70 ohm mismatch. That is, I
> wouldn't use RG58 for UHF applications, but would use RG 6 for HF. This is
> a
> subject about which I am curious, so I'll be interested in other repsones,
> too.
>
> Good DX!
>
>

Assuming the antenna has a 50 Ohm feedpoint impedance the use of 75 Ohm
cable will cause a 1.5:1 SWR. This is an acceptable match, if you aren't
transmitting you'll not even notice the mismatch. There was an article
several years back about using "End runs" of hardline from the cable
company's.

484. FA: RadioShack PRO-96 Digital Trunking Scanner Radio - Like New!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-22 20:24:17 UTC
From: Ivory Kid <ivorykid@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <DDyId.41715$St.8334@fed1read03>
Source record: 15344
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Like new RadioShack PRO-96 Digital Trunking Scanner Radio. Latest firmware.
Take a look!

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5746223496

485. Paris Hilton and Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-22 20:40:33 UTC
From: dxAce <dxace@eclipsed.com>
Message-ID: <41F2BA41.82586106@eclipsed.com>
Source record: 15345

** U S A. FCC CRACKDOWN ON TRUNKED SYSTEMS? As much as I detest and ignore the worthless Paris Hilton, I had to pass this along. Can you file a complaint on an 800 MHz trunked system?

PARIS HILTON is in trouble for indecency on radio, but not the kind of radio you'd expect. The controversial heiress apparently dropped an "f-bomb" on fire-dispatch radio while taping an episode of FOX reality series "THE SIMPLE LIFE." NBC O&O WCAU-TV (NBC10)/PHILADELPHIA, which says it has a copy of the audiotape, reports that HILTON and NICOLE RICHIE were filming at an ATLANTIC CITY fire station on JANUARY 14 when HILTON got on the dispatch system and said "Ladder 1, OK, motherf---ers!." (allaccess.com via Brock Whaley, DXLD)

Via Glenn Hausers DXLD http://www.worldofradio.com/

==========

dxAce
Michigan
USA

486. rs 2040 scanner for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-22 20:41:44 UTC
From: spurned <wasted9688@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <cUyId.5843$rp1.4658@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 15346

best offer?

487. Re: Receiving cellular

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-22 21:19:52 UTC
From: Omega <2121@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <YrzId.17405$yY6.16205@attbi_s02>
Source record: 15347

<stryped@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1105980741.679905.177450@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
: Can you receive cellular phone calls on a modified scanner? I thought
: with all phone being digital now you could not?
:

I noticed a few years back that there was a push to get cellular operations off of 800 Mhz in the US and 900 Mhz in Europe. Basically everything was being shifted to 1800 and 1900 Mhz. In doing some research, I have came across the overall plans. Look on a web site for UMTS (universal mobile telecommunications service). This was all decided in 1998 at a World Radio Conference. The web site is http://www.umtsworld.com. Look at the FAQ for overall plans to shift, it lists just about every Cell phone system in the world. The Technical section lists the frequencies (1800 to 2200 Mhz). It will not only be a phone system but a computer network and TV service provider. It also has a satellite phone component as well.

The police want cell phones off of 800 Mhz. And they want 700 Mhz for data (MDT).

BTW, Verizon just purchased Direct TV and SBC (Cingular) purchased Dish Network.

The laws will need to be updated.

488. UNIDEN DEBUTS APCO 25 CAPABLE DIGITAL SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-22 21:31:22 UTC
From: Omega <2121@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <KCzId.17568$P04.11756@attbi_s03>
Source record: 15348
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

UNIDEN DEBUTS APCO 25 CAPABLE DIGITAL SCANNER AT CES

New Handheld Model Includes Advanced Scanner Technology

In an Ultra-Compact Design

LAS VEGAS, Nevada, January 6, 2005 - Uniden America Corporation, a leading manufacturer of wireless consumer electronics, will introduce a new handheld digital scanner, the Bearcat BCD396T, at the Consumer Electronics Show (CES), January 6-9, 2005, at the Las Vegas Convention Center in booth #14414. The BCD396T offers APCO 25 digital capability, allowing users to monitor the activities and signals of city and government service departments, utilizing advanced scanner technology in an all new compact, handheld design.

Featuring APCO 25 capability, Uniden's BCD396T is poised to improve interoperability between large cities currently using the digital systems and those smaller municipalities that still operate on analog systems. Uniden's BCD396T will not permit users to monitor encrypted signals from national and local security organizations.

The Bearcat BCD396T also offers Close CallT RF Capture Technology, Dynamic Memory Management, Fire Tone-Out as well as 5,500 channels and a frequency range of 25MHz to 1300MHz, excluding cellular. This new model is slated to hit shelves in late summer 2005.

"With the BCD396T, we listened to our consumers and have incorporated their feedback on features they wanted, into a high-quality product that meets the demands of both professionals, such as law enforcement officials, and scanner enthusiasts," said Paul Opitz, Uniden Product Manager. "For example, we have eliminated the proprietary battery pack and removed the need for an add-in board for APCO 25, reduced the size of the model, and added a backlit keypad."

2

Uniden also introduces additional scanner offerings, including the BC246T TrunkTracker III as well as NASCAR®-branded models such as the SC230, BC72XLT, and BC92XLT.

http://www.uniden.com/press/Apco25Scanner.pdf

http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/scanners/1396.html

http://www.grove-ent.com/radiorumors.html

Pricing is listed at $895, but that will change. I like the flexible memory and channelization. And the built in Frequency Counter/react tuning. And using NiMh batteries.

489. UNIDEN DEBUTS APCO 25 CAPABLE DIGITAL SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-22 22:16:56 UTC
From: Omega <2121@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <shAId.17523$yY6.3378@attbi_s02>
Source record: 15349

UNIDEN DEBUTS APCO 25 CAPABLE DIGITAL SCANNER AT CES

New Handheld Model Includes Advanced Scanner Technology

In an Ultra-Compact Design

LAS VEGAS, Nevada, January 6, 2005 - Uniden America Corporation, a leading manufacturer of wireless consumer electronics, will introduce a new handheld digital scanner, the Bearcat BCD396T, at the Consumer Electronics Show (CES), January 6-9, 2005, at the Las Vegas Convention Center in booth #14414. The BCD396T offers APCO 25 digital capability, allowing users to monitor the activities and signals of city and government service departments, utilizing advanced scanner technology in an all new compact, handheld design.

Featuring APCO 25 capability, Uniden's BCD396T is poised to improve interoperability between large cities currently using the digital systems and those smaller municipalities that still operate on analog systems. Uniden's BCD396T will not permit users to monitor encrypted signals from national and local security organizations.

The Bearcat BCD396T also offers Close CallT RF Capture Technology, Dynamic Memory Management, Fire Tone-Out as well as 5,500 channels and a frequency range of 25MHz to 1300MHz, excluding cellular. This new model is slated to hit shelves in late summer 2005.

"With the BCD396T, we listened to our consumers and have incorporated their feedback on features they wanted, into a high-quality product that meets the demands of both professionals, such as law enforcement officials, and scanner enthusiasts," said Paul Opitz, Uniden Product Manager. "For example, we have eliminated the proprietary battery pack and removed the need for an add-in board for APCO 25, reduced the size of the model, and added a backlit keypad."

2

Uniden also introduces additional scanner offerings, including the BC246T TrunkTracker III as well as NASCAR®-branded models such as the SC230, BC72XLT, and BC92XLT.

http://www.uniden.com/press/Apco25Scanner.pdf

http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/scanners/1396.html

http://www.grove-ent.com/radiorumors.html

Pricing is listed at $895, but that will change. I like the flexible memory and channelization. And the built in Frequency Counter/react tuning. And

using NiMh batteries.

490. winflex question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-22 22:46:21 UTC
From: Shawn <S.McKenna@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <LLudnYRXfIAERW_cRVn-ow@centurytel.net>
Source record: 15200

Has anyone had any luck with winflex and Win.Xp. Every time i start it i get
a error message"ERROR LOADING SLICER.VXD".
anybody have any ideas?

--
Shawn

491. Re: winflex question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-22 23:24:39 UTC
From: Lord Floyd <nospam@biteme.invalid>
Message-ID: <Xns95E69CD098527SMEGHEAD@199.45.49.11>
Source record: 15201

"Shawn" <S.McKenna@centurytel.net> sputtered incoherently in
news:LLudnYRXfIAERW_cRVn-ow@centurytel.net:

> Has anyone had any luck with winflex and Win.Xp. Every time i
> start it i get a error message"ERROR LOADING SLICER.VXD".
> anybody have any ideas?
>

Won't work, never will. Slicer.vxd is a 16 bit device driver, as
such is incompatible with Windows NT/2000/XP.

The latest version of PDW 2.x, has a comport driver that does work
with XP, plus it also works with the soundcard. See the thread "PDW
and ERMES ?", it has a link to download PDW. (Works good with
PCR1000, WinXP and level 2 data slicer)

--
You are a fluke of the Universe
You have no right to be here
And whether you can hear it or not,
the Universe is laughing behind your back

"Five-eleven's your height, one-ninety your weight
You cash in your chips around page ninety-eight."

492. Re: FCC Chairman Powell Said to Be Resigning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-23 00:27:58 UTC
From: Scan Da Bands <vzeip5vc@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <nrr5v01vjpdf8bm4lj8c1q16elvvveiitu@4ax.com>
Source record: 15202
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

WHO CARES.....................

+4db +2db 0db +2db +4db

||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

noise.....................................Signal

On Fri, 21 Jan 2005 11:40:32 -0500, "lsmyer" <myer413ny@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>http://apnews.myway.com/article/20050121/D87OIDV80.html
>

493. Re: winflex question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-23 00:53:28 UTC
From: Shawn <S.McKenna@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <QPidnQXKgP4Ga2_cRVn-sg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 15203

Thanks for the responses. I will try PDW. I will also play around with
wonflex and see what i can do.
Has anybody tried compatabilty mode?

--
Shawn
"Shawn" <S.McKenna@centurytel.net> wrote in message
news:LLudnYRXfIAERW_cRVn-ow@centurytel.net...
> Has anyone had any luck with winflex and Win.Xp. Every time i start it i
get
> a error message"ERROR LOADING SLICER.VXD".
> anybody have any ideas?
>
> --
> Shawn
>
>

494. FS: BC60XLT $65.00 Like NEW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-23 03:17:07 UTC
From: Kap <juvenile11691@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1106450227.198643.212610@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15204

30 channel 10 band scanner. Just no belt clip. If you have any
questions email me @ juvenile11691@aol.com

495. Frequency help PLEASE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-23 15:55:20 UTC
From: Kap <juvenile11691@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1106495719.984781.181100@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15205

I live in an apartment complex,and the matinance uses walkie talkies that look like scanners. Im asking does anybody might possibly know the frequencies. Or if thier FRS,GMRS,cause i dont know.

KEVIN

496. Can I find Radio Shack Scanner Manuel online?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-23 16:22:56 UTC
From: Bchbound <Bchboundcrap@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.1c5d996c8d7e88ca98968b@news.optonline.net>
Source record: 15206

I have an older Radio Shack Scanner. It is a Pro-51 200 channel hyperscan. I have not used it in years and no longer have the instruction book. I would like to re-program it.

Does anybody know of a place online that I might find the manuel? I remember downloading it once before but now I have not been able to find any site by searching.

Thanks

497. Re: Can I find Radio Shack Scanner Manuel online?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-23 16:31:28 UTC
From: mikeFNB <moc.dlrowltn@FNB7G-ekim>
Message-ID: <AjQId.263$Fy5.41@newsfe4-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 15207

try here:

http://support.radioshack.com/productinfo/

mike

"Bchbound" <Bchboundcrap@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:MPG.1c5d996c8d7e88ca98968b@news.optonline.net...
> I have an older Radio Shack Scanner. It is a Pro-51 200 channel
> hyperscan. I have not used it in years and no longer have the
> instruction book. I would like to re-program it.
>
> Does anybody know of a place online that I might find the manuel? I
> remember downloading it once before but now I have not been able to find
> any site by searching.
>
> Thanks

498. Re: Can I find Radio Shack Scanner Manuel online?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-23 16:46:40 UTC
From: Evan Platt <evan@TheObvious.espphotography.com>
Message-ID: <t6l7v0pah5a3cs9k9lkhmsd4q2mkvaiel5@4ax.com>
Source record: 15208

On Sun, 23 Jan 2005 11:22:56 -0500, Bchbound
<Bchboundcrap@optonline.net> wrote:

>I have an older Radio Shack Scanner. It is a Pro-51 200 channel
>hyperscan. I have not used it in years and no longer have the
>instruction book. I would like to re-program it.
>
>Does anybody know of a place online that I might find the manuel? I
>remember downloading it once before but now I have not been able to find
>any site by searching.

Google pro-51 manual - the first link is to mods.dk where you can
download it.
--
To reply, remove TheObvious from my e-mail address.

499. FS: BK VHF portable & Pro-83

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-23 17:15:39 UTC
From: mlk <mcguyver@cfl.rr.com>
Message-ID: <%YQId.260210$6w6.102100@tornado.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 15209

FS: Bendix King Model # EPH5991 A, SN# 127571. Good battery, no programming adapter but comes with good antenna and rapid/trickle charger. Best reasonable offer. Also for sale, RS pro83 scanner, like new with antenna, manual and clip. $90 shipped lower 48

--
Mitch

500. Re: Frequency help PLEASE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-23 18:42:35 UTC
From: Lou <lf08357@Yahoo.Com>
Message-ID: <EgSId.38293$NC6.5078@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 15210

"Kap" <juvenile11691@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1106495719.984781.181100@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>I live in an apartment complex,and the matinance uses walkie talkies
> that look like scanners. Im asking does anybody might possibly know the
> frequencies. Or if thier FRS,GMRS,cause i dont know.
>
> KEVIN
>

Might be Nextel's which are pretty popular in such environments due to need
to converse with other workers when on a job OR to accept calls or make them
regarding outside agencies in order to get a job done/supplies ordered -
etc.. To my knowledge, they are "NOT" able to be received via a scanner.
Next time you see one - take a good look, should say Nextel on front - if
any holster isn't covering it.

L.

501. Re: What cable do you use?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-23 20:41:02 UTC
From: larry <larya@rogers.com>
Message-ID: <ePmdnTlibdTLkWncRVn-tA@rogers.com>
Source record: 15211

If you are going to put up an antenna, use 50 ohm cable...
Larry ve3fxq

"Jim" <junk@junk.com> wrote in message
news:RPwId.6534$VZ2.923@fe1.columbus.rr.com...
>
> "Fred Zalupski" <none listed> wrote in message
> news:OoKdnZVU4qkydHLcRVn-tg@comcast.com...
> > There is an interesting question in QST this month from a ham that wants
> > to
> > put an antenna in the attic and re-use some TV coax already existing.
The
> > answer was that it was probably ok, depending on a few factors like the
> > feed
> > point impedence of the antenna, the design and band coverage of the
> > antenna,
> > use of a tuner, and transmitter power (cable TV coax may not be suited
to
> > high power). I'd be more inclined to think that dB loss in coax related
to
> > frequency is more critical than 50 ohm vs. 70 ohm mismatch. That is, I
> > wouldn't use RG58 for UHF applications, but would use RG 6 for HF. This
is
> > a
> > subject about which I am curious, so I'll be interested in other
repsones,
> > too.
> >
> > Good DX!
> >
> >
>
> Assuming the antenna has a 50 Ohm feedpoint impedance the use of 75 Ohm
> cable will cause a 1.5:1 SWR. This is an acceptable match, if you aren't
> transmitting you'll not even notice the mismatch. There was an article
> several years back about using "End runs" of hardline from the cable
> company's.
>
>

502. aor 8200u mk3 for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-23 21:01:22 UTC
From: spurned <wasted9688@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <CgUId.5073$cZ1.843@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 15212

best offer

503. Go to hardfind.com LIKE EBAY BUT LIST STUFF FOR FREE AND FREE MEMBERSHIP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-23 21:08:56 UTC
From: Kap <juvenile11691@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1106514536.560468.147100@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15213

ITS SWEET

504. HAARP Show on TONIGHT's Coast to Coast AM 01/23-24

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-24 04:03:58 UTC
From: Freddie M <fredm90042@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7795d$41f473b3$d1cc5a2d$13322@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 15214
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

TONIGHT ON COAST TO COAST AM WITH ART BELL. . .

-HAARP- www.coasttocoastam.com

Guy Cramer
Dr. Joseph Resnick

Inventors Guy Cramer (superforce.com / hyperstealth.com)
and Dr. Joseph Resnick (NAT2DTC / NxGen BodyArmor)
will be sharing their expertise in the area of the HAARP.

The official purpose of Project HAARP which is based in
Alaska, is said to be for studying the ionosphere in order
to "understand and use it to enhance communications
and surveillance systems for both civilian and defense
purposes." Yet, over the years allegations have
arisen that HAARP is involved in everything from
weather modification to mind control.
Guy Cramer has a new article posted which concludes
that in addition to HAARP, there is another
huge ionospheric array in Alaska. The page also contains
photos, maps, and recommended links from Cramer
and Dr. Joseph Resnick.

http://www.coasttocoastam.com/shows/2005/01/23.html

505. Re: HAARP Show on TONIGHT's Coast to Coast AM 01/23-24

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-24 04:22:06 UTC
From: "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <OJ_Id.4122$r27.1721@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 15215
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Freddie M" <fredm90042@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:7795d$41f473b3$d1cc5a2d$13322@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...

: ....over the years allegations have
: arisen that HAARP is involved in ... mind control.

This will protect
you: --->http://molelog.molehill.org/blox/HowStuffWorks/Crap/TinfoilHat.writ
eback

M.A.N.
--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire

506. HEY KRAKULA !!!!!!!!!!!!!!LQQKy!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-24 07:06:52 UTC
From: Scan Da Bands <vzeip5vc@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <bj79v01787prgj2t64vtsih6bbj8lb93s6@4ax.com>
Source record: 15216

Hey I have an old DDI/D in a Pro-2006 ...It came with some crappy software called DDI version 2.2 ? I know what the 232 is but what is the extra jack for? It has a 3.5" jack on the back,I looked at it and the original speaker is wired to the DDI and where the Original speaker plugged in is wired to the DDI (1 wire) so what would that jack be discriminator tap? tape recorder? computer line in (sound card)? cmon man help me out here.....and who the heck is BOOTLEG?

507. Re: HAARP Show on TONIGHT's Coast to Coast AM 01/23-24

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-24 09:49:06 UTC
From: twistiehed@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1106560146.754977.222400@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15217
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Freddie M wrote:
> TONIGHT ON COAST TO COAST AM WITH ART BELL. . .
>
> -HAARP- www.coasttocoastam.com
>
> Guy Cramer
> Dr. Joseph Resnick
>
> Inventors Guy Cramer (superforce.com / hyperstealth.com)
> and Dr. Joseph Resnick (NAT2DTC / NxGen BodyArmor)
> will be sharing their expertise in the area of the HAARP.
>
> The official purpose of Project HAARP which is based in
> Alaska, is said to be for studying the ionosphere in order
> to "understand and use it to enhance communications
> and surveillance systems for both civilian and defense
> purposes." Yet, over the years allegations have
> arisen that HAARP is involved in everything from
> weather modification to mind control.
> Guy Cramer has a new article posted which concludes
> that in addition to HAARP, there is another
> huge ionospheric array in Alaska. The page also contains
> photos, maps, and recommended links from Cramer
> and Dr. Joseph Resnick.
>
> http://www.coasttocoastam.com/shows/2005/01/23.html

One time I was packing Steveo so hard his piles started vibrating and
it sounded like a harp.

508. Re: Can I find Radio Shack Scanner Manuel online?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-24 14:08:12 UTC
From: Bchbound <Bchboundcrap@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.1c5ecb58c60bddea98968f@news.optonline.net>
Source record: 15218

In article <AjQId.263$Fy5.41@newsfe4-gui.ntli.net>, moc.dlrowltn@FNB7G-
ekim says...
> try here:
>
> http://support.radioshack.com/productinfo/
>
> mike
>
> "Bchbound" <Bchboundcrap@optonline.net> wrote in message
> news:MPG.1c5d996c8d7e88ca98968b@news.optonline.net...
> > I have an older Radio Shack Scanner. It is a Pro-51 200 channel
> > hyperscan. I have not used it in years and no longer have the
> > instruction book. I would like to re-program it.
> >
> > Does anybody know of a place online that I might find the manuel? I
> > remember downloading it once before but now I have not been able to find
> > any site by searching.
> >
> > Thanks
>
>
>
Thanks!

509. HANDHELD RCA RP6120 NEW IN BOX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-24 16:31:49 UTC
From: jsbees@comcast.net
Message-ID: <1106584309.038136.155180@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15219

I HAVE FOR SELL TWO NEW IN THE BOX RCA RP6120
INCLUDES AC ADAPTER CHARGER/STANARD ANTENNA
200 CHANNELS/10 BANDS/29-960 MHZ/LCD DISPLAY
WEATHER/AIRCRAFT BAND/AUTO SCAN/KEY PAD LOCK
20 MONITOR CHANNEL MEMORY/NI-CAD RECHARGE CIRCUIT
ANY QUESTIONS PLEASE EMAIL ME AND I WILL TRY TO ANSWER
THEM. PLUS GIVE YOU THE COST OF SCANNERS PLUS SHIPPING.
THANKS PENS66

510. SATELLITE RECEIVER BOX & REMOTE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-24 20:25:11 UTC
From: jsbees@comcast.net
Message-ID: <1106598311.813724.214940@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15220

I HAVE FOR SALE NEW RCA SATELLITE RECEIVER BOX PLUS REMOTE
THESE BOXES WILL TAKE THE CARDS LIKE THE P4 IAM ASKING $25
PLUS SHIPPING EMAIL IF INTERRESTED THANKS JOHN.

511. 800 mghz antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-24 21:04:16 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <kpdJd.4623$r27.4465@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 15223
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

First let me start with, I know nothing about radio, and antenna's other than what I experience. When I mentioned a while back I had found I could shorten my antenna on a Pro-95 and still get the 800 trunked police calls the same I got a bunch of e-mails from people who are MUCH more educated than me, telling me all the reasons it would not work and do not try it. I already had done it, with an extra antenna and it works just as well as the antennas that came with the Pro-79 and Pro-95.
Now it did hinder the county police calls here that come out on the 150's band, but I seldom listen to them and did not care. Also this involves Radio shack. I know a lot of you have an ax to grind with them, again I don't care so don't bother e-mailing me to tell me they are the worst place on the planet to buy anything from. So all that out of the way <G>:
Since the city here started switching over to 800 trunked I learned to program the Pro-95 I had bought a couple years ago. I found the reception on the 800 band works better than the old 400 band, which the police are still using while they up date all their radios, in areas that were hard to receive before. Such as inside buildings where I work for example. It used to be hard to pick up calls inside the buildings if the scanner was worn on my belt, with the 800 it seems to make no difference, inside, outside, on my belt or on my shoulder which was nice. When the weather was bad reception did get a lot of static on the 800, but you could still hear them. Anyway I noticed RS offered an antenna that was supposed to work better on the 800 trunked. I finally decided to give it a try, figured if it did nothing I would just take it back. Well after trying it, it does work better, than the original antennas, at least to my un educated ears. They "claim" it works twice as well, now to my again un trained ears that's a stretch, but it does work better. The new one does also work just as well on the 400 and 150's band, even though they warned me at the store that it would not. I did not care, but since the police here are transmitting on both right now it's easy to check. So anyway for anyone who is an novice like me, if you are listening to the 800 and having trouble it may be worth trying one of these. You can order them off the RS site, I just went to the local store and bought one.
--
"Liberalism is a mental disorder."

Michael Savage

512. Re: Frequency help PLEASE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-24 21:44:47 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <670-41F56C4F-395@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 15221

Try this website for frequencies and I think the website is updated
every two weeks too. www.cityfreq.com
Good Luck.
cuhulin

513. Re: Receiving cellular

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-24 23:15:49 UTC
From: "Electronics Tech." <ElectronicsTech@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <35ldt7F4m47ufU1@individual.net>
Source record: 15222

I have a Bearcat 200 XLT scanner which can receive analog cellular from all the surrounding cellsites. People seem to be still hanging on to their analog cell phones. The number of conversations has dropped due to digital cellphones but analog isn't dead yet. I can also hear conversations on my Ericcson cellphone when I put it into field test mode and enter the proper code.
electronix_tech

<stryped@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1105980741.679905.177450@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Can you receive cellular phone calls on a modified scanner? I thought
> with all phone being digital now you could not?
>

514. FS: Scanner/Radio Books

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-25 05:03:37 UTC
From: Frank Bals <fjb@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <JqkJd.22376$8u5.1146@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 15224

Scanners and Secret Frequencies (Henry L. Eisenson)
Radio Monitoring-The How To Guide (T.J. Skip Arey)

Both in excellent condition

Price is $20.00 plus shipping for both

515. Re: Receiving cellular

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-25 07:51:51 UTC
From: nana <nana@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <35mc54F4oqcllU1@individual.net>
Source record: 15225

"Electronics Tech." <ElectronicsTech@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:35ldt7F4m47ufU1@individual.net...
I can also hear conversations on my
> Ericcson cellphone when I put it into field test mode and enter the proper
> code.
> electronix_tech

Why?

516. Re: Receiving cellular

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-25 11:45:56 UTC
From: Lord Floyd <nospam@biteme.invalid>
Message-ID: <Xns95E9265056943SMEGHEAD@199.45.49.11>
Source record: 15226

"nana" <nana@netscape.net> sputtered incoherently in
news:35mc54F4oqcllU1@individual.net:

> Why?
>

For the same reason you asked the question and a dog licks his
testicles.

--
You are a fluke of the Universe
You have no right to be here
And whether you can hear it or not,
the Universe is laughing behind your back

"Five-eleven's your height, one-ninety your weight
You cash in your chips around page ninety-eight."

517. Re: 4057816829166799

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-25 16:03:40 UTC
From: eat the hamburger <ohmylions4@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <adc3e$41f66ddf$d1cc589a$13191@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 15227
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"QT" <qt@qtnetdxers.net> wrote in message news:ct5g4hort0@pita.alt.net...
> Has anyone observed the pirate operating on 3965 kHz lately?
> These groups are related to that entity.

The 'answer' to the comment/question above is at the URL below......

http://www.killsometime.com/humor/humor.asp?humor=FWord

Enjoy and BE HAPPY :)

518. Cordless Phone reception

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-25 19:28:58 UTC
From: stryped@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1106681338.560708.283530@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15228

Can scanners pick up cordless phone reception? I am a relative nebie
and curious.

519. Re: Cordless Phone reception

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-25 20:37:31 UTC
From: MnMikew <mnmiikkew@aol.com>
Message-ID: <35np0lF4oqgtkU1@individual.net>
Source record: 15229

<stryped@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1106681338.560708.283530@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Can scanners pick up cordless phone reception? I am a relative nebie
> and curious.
>
Yes most 900mhz scanners can. Boooooring.

520. Re: Cordless Phone reception

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-25 21:13:47 UTC
From: tom <cyberhun@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <fEyJd.171529$6l.4612@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 15231

Depends what you're listening to, snicker snicker ...

"MnMikew" <mnmiikkew@aol.com> wrote in message
news:35np0lF4oqgtkU1@individual.net...
>
> <stryped@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1106681338.560708.283530@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> Can scanners pick up cordless phone reception? I am a relative nebie
>> and curious.
>>
> Yes most 900mhz scanners can. Boooooring.
>
>

521. Re: 800 mghz antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-25 21:18:10 UTC
From: don <wxfreqrs@cableone.net>
Message-ID: <10vddskhjcps275@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 15230
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

don't always listen to the "GURU'S"
do what works

--
Don
http://www.angelfire.com/ms2/paswx Real Time Obs Page
http://www.jcmsara.org Jackson County Club Page
LET THE GAMES BEGIN

"Alex Clayton" <alexx1400@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:kpdJd.4623$r27.4465@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> First let me start with, I know nothing about radio, and antenna's other
> than what I experience. When I mentioned a while back I had found I could
> shorten my antenna on a Pro-95 and still get the 800 trunked police calls
> the same I got a bunch of e-mails from people who are MUCH more educated
> than me, telling me all the reasons it would not work and do not try it. I
> already had done it, with an extra antenna and it works just as well as
> the antennas that came with the Pro-79 and Pro-95.
> Now it did hinder the county police calls here that come out on the 150's
> band, but I seldom listen to them and did not care. Also this involves
> Radio shack. I know a lot of you have an ax to grind with them, again I
> don't care so don't bother e-mailing me to tell me they are the worst
> place on the planet to buy anything from. So all that out of the way <G>:
> Since the city here started switching over to 800 trunked I learned to
> program the Pro-95 I had bought a couple years ago. I found the reception
> on the 800 band works better than the old 400 band, which the police are
> still using while they up date all their radios, in areas that were hard
> to receive before. Such as inside buildings where I work for example. It
> used to be hard to pick up calls inside the buildings if the scanner was
> worn on my belt, with the 800 it seems to make no difference, inside,
> outside, on my belt or on my shoulder which was nice. When the weather was
> bad reception did get a lot of static on the 800, but you could still hear
> them. Anyway I noticed RS offered an antenna that was supposed to work
> better on the 800 trunked. I finally decided to give it a try, figured if
> it did nothing I would just take it back. Well after trying it, it does
> work better, than the original antennas, at least to my un educated ears.
> They "claim" it works twice as well, now to my again un trained ears
> that's a stretch, but it does work better. The new one does also work just
> as well on the 400 and 150's band, even though they warned me at the store
> that it would not. I did not care, but since the police here are
> transmitting on both right now it's easy to check. So anyway for anyone
> who is an novice like me, if you are listening to the 800 and having
> trouble it may be worth trying one of these. You can order them off the RS
> site, I just went to the local store and bought one.

> --
> "Liberalism is a mental disorder."
>
> Michael Savage
>
>
>

522. Re: Cordless Phone reception

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-25 22:04:33 UTC
From: MnMikew <mnmiikkew@aol.com>
Message-ID: <35nu44F4k4o7rU1@individual.net>
Source record: 15233

"tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:fEyJd.171529$6l.4612@pd7tw2no...
> Depends what you're listening to, snicker snicker ...
>
True. There have been a few "interesting" moments. :-)

523. Re: Cordless Phone reception

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-25 22:06:17 UTC
From: stryped@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1106690777.731249.316820@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15232

What about the newer phones that say they put out "2.6 ghz" or
something like that?

524. Re: 800 mghz antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-26 01:31:52 UTC
From: B L R <fake_address@noemail.com>
Message-ID: <cqCJd.285625$6w6.12452@tornado.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 15234
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Alex

Thanks you for taking the time to post.
The shared information is what keeps me coming back.

Bruce

"Alex Clayton" <alexx1400@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:kpdJd.4623$r27.4465@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> First let me start with, I know nothing about radio, and antenna's other
> than what I experience. When I mentioned a while back I had found I could
> shorten my antenna on a Pro-95 and still get the 800 trunked police calls
> the same I got a bunch of e-mails from people who are MUCH more educated
> than me, telling me all the reasons it would not work and do not try it. I
> already had done it, with an extra antenna and it works just as well as
> the antennas that came with the Pro-79 and Pro-95.
> Now it did hinder the county police calls here that come out on the 150's
> band, but I seldom listen to them and did not care. Also this involves
> Radio shack. I know a lot of you have an ax to grind with them, again I
> don't care so don't bother e-mailing me to tell me they are the worst
> place on the planet to buy anything from. So all that out of the way <G>:
> Since the city here started switching over to 800 trunked I learned to
> program the Pro-95 I had bought a couple years ago. I found the reception
> on the 800 band works better than the old 400 band, which the police are
> still using while they up date all their radios, in areas that were hard
> to receive before. Such as inside buildings where I work for example. It
> used to be hard to pick up calls inside the buildings if the scanner was
> worn on my belt, with the 800 it seems to make no difference, inside,
> outside, on my belt or on my shoulder which was nice. When the weather was
> bad reception did get a lot of static on the 800, but you could still hear
> them. Anyway I noticed RS offered an antenna that was supposed to work
> better on the 800 trunked. I finally decided to give it a try, figured if
> it did nothing I would just take it back. Well after trying it, it does
> work better, than the original antennas, at least to my un educated ears.
> They "claim" it works twice as well, now to my again un trained ears
> that's a stretch, but it does work better. The new one does also work just
> as well on the 400 and 150's band, even though they warned me at the store
> that it would not. I did not care, but since the police here are
> transmitting on both right now it's easy to check. So anyway for anyone
> who is an novice like me, if you are listening to the 800 and having
> trouble it may be worth trying one of these. You can order them off the RS
> site, I just went to the local store and bought one.
> --
> "Liberalism is a mental disorder."

>
> Michael Savage
>
>
>

525. Sell your stuff for free on this site I found & free membership

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-26 01:47:17 UTC
From: Kap <juvenile11691@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1106704037.685484.86620@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15235

hardfind.com

526. Re: 4057816829166799

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-26 01:51:09 UTC
From: Lucky <Lucky@TheBotStopsHere.com>
Message-ID: <10vdtsfi6dmsnee@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 15236
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"eat the hamburger" <ohmylions4@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:adc3e$41f66ddf$d1cc589a$13191@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>
> "QT" <qt@qtnetdxers.net> wrote in message
> news:ct5g4hort0@pita.alt.net...
>> Has anyone observed the pirate operating on 3965 kHz lately?
>> These groups are related to that entity.
>
> The 'answer' to the comment/question above is at the URL below......
>
> http://www.killsometime.com/humor/humor.asp?humor=FWord
>
> Enjoy and BE HAPPY :)
>
>

Thanks buddy

I needed that! :)

Lucky

527. What are cellular frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-26 01:51:49 UTC
From: Kap <juvenile11691@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1106704309.139006.299050@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15237

Can anyone tell me

528. Re: What are cellular frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-26 02:41:22 UTC
From: Evan Platt <evan@TheObvious.espphotography.com>
Message-ID: <2q0ev0hbhi4t8fvhc9coh7lcmj4jotlk9t@4ax.com>
Source record: 15238

On 25 Jan 2005 17:51:49 -0800, "Kap" <juvenile11691@aol.com> wrote:

>Can anyone tell me

They are frequencies used by cellular telephones.

Google is your friend.
--
To reply, remove TheObvious from my e-mail address.

529. Re: What are cellular frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-26 03:36:57 UTC
From: bob <noone@nowhere.org>
Message-ID: <dfEJd.3149$Yg6.794613@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 15239

Yep, that's exactly what they are... all of them!
:)
(and to think they come in pairs!!!)

Evan Platt wrote:
> On 25 Jan 2005 17:51:49 -0800, "Kap" <juvenile11691@aol.com> wrote:
>
>
>>Can anyone tell me
>
>
> They are frequencies used by cellular telephones.
>
> Google is your friend.

530. Re: What are cellular frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-26 04:01:31 UTC
From: Kim_Jong_Il@volcanomail.com
Message-ID: <1106712091.395360.5310@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15240

Sure. Start here:

http://www.totse.com/en/media/radio_scanner_frequency_lists/

Next, try:

http://www.totse.com/en/media/radio_scanner_frequency_lists/cellfreq.html
Best wishes.

531. Re: Cordless Phone reception

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-26 13:41:45 UTC
From: stryped@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1106746905.635511.117420@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15241

I assume everything is digital now?

532. Re: Cordless Phone reception

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-26 14:59:23 UTC
From: wprw578@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1106751563.276353.203180@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15242

No. Everything is not digital. Many are starting to migrate to the 2.4ghz band -- however, the majority of cordless phone conversations take place at 900mhz. Although illegal and I do not support the monitoring of private communications -- if you can, switch your scanner to Wide-FM and scan the 900 - 904Mhz, and 924 - 928Mhz. I have a 2.4Ghz receiver and can listen to my conversations.

Again, please do not look at this as directions to commit illegal activity -- it is meant for legal purposes.

533. Re: Frequency help PLEASE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-26 15:05:21 UTC
From: wprw578@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1106751921.457909.294640@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15243

First, try these:

Red Dot 151.625
Blue Dot 154.570
Green Dot 154.600
Purple Dot 151.955
Yellow Dot 464.550
Brown Dot 464.500
"J" Dot 467.7625
"K" Dot 467.8125
Silver Star 467.8500
Gold Star 467.8750
Red Star 467.9000
Blue Star 467.9250

If no success, try searching 460 - 465Mhz

534. Re: 800 mghz antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-26 15:15:56 UTC
From: wprw578@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1106752556.409229.176690@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15244
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Sometimes, you can trim an antenna and it will work about 50% better at a frequency in the 800mhz range, but in the 150mhz range, it may be about 25% worst. You have to calculate the wavelength you want and figure it out mathematically what size the antenna should be. The RS 800mhz works very good!

535. ScanNewEngland.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-26 15:18:29 UTC
From: flyfishingpi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050126101829.01063.00000305@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 15245

ScanNewEngland.com is online

536. FA:Shinwa scanner with all acc. & P/S on eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-26 15:35:44 UTC
From: Scott Garvison <ka8jno@btc-bci.com>
Message-ID: <oNOJd.13$yo4.3312@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 15246

Like new in box. With matching P/S. See at:

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5746940189&ssPageName=ADME:B:LC:US:1

537. Re: 800 mghz antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-26 16:23:37 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <duPJd.6113$YD5.5969@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 15247
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

<wprw578@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1106752556.409229.176690@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Sometimes, you can trim an antenna and it will work about 50% better at
> a frequency in the 800mhz range, but in the 150mhz range, it may be
> about 25% worst. You have to calculate the wavelength you want and
> figure it out mathematically what size the antenna should be. The RS
> 800mhz works very good!
>

Back when I first mentioned this I had an extra antenna I had bought from
RS. It was a few inches longer than the standard one that came with my 79.
It did nothing for the 400 or 150's police. When they switched to 800
trunked was when I started experimenting, and found cutting it made no
difference to the 800 band, even though many people e-mailed me to let me
know it would not. The only reason I tried it was for ease of carry.
The new one I bought from RS is very thin, so MUCH more flexible. This
makes it much easier for carry, and it did improve the 800 enough to hear
the difference over either the standard or the one I had cut. As I said it
also seems to work fine with the 150 and 400's, even though the sales girls
told me it would not <shrug>. The main reason I decided to give it a shot is
they had one at the local store. I figured if it did nothing I would just
take it back. When I bought the other one a couple years ago I ordered it
online so it was not worth bothering with.
--
"Liberalism is a mental disorder."

Michael Savage

538. Microwave RF based Weapons in use in Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-26 20:15:53 UTC
From: Uncle Walter <machtmarch606@amishonline.com>
Message-ID: <732bd$41f7fa80$d1cc59a2$1620@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 15248
Cross-posted to: alt.engineering.electrical,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

http://disc.server.com/discussion.cgi?disc=149495;article=74791;title=APFN

William Thomas
Electromagnetic weapons "tests" in Iraq
Tue Jan 25, 2005 18:53

MICROWAVING IRAQ

"Pacifying" Rays Pose New Hazards In Iraqi
By William Thomas

On the rooftop of a shrapnel-pocked building in the ruins of Fallujah, a team of GI's stealthily sets up a gray plastic dome about two-feet in diameter. Keeping well back from the sight lines of the street and nearby buildings, they plug the cable connectors on the side of the "popper" into a power unit. The grunts have no clue what the device does. They are just following orders.

"Most of the worker-bees that are placing these do not even know what is inside the 'domes', just that they were told where to place them by Intel weenies with usually no nametag," reports my source, a very well informed combat veteran I will call "Hank".

The grunts call the plastic devices "poppers" or "domes". Once activated, each hidden transmitter emits a widening circle of invisible energy capable of passing through metal, concrete and human skulls up to half a mile away. "They are saturating the area with ULF, VLF and UHF freqs," Hanks says, with equipment derived from US Navy undersea sonar and communications.

But its not being used to locate and talk to submarines under Baghdad.

After powering up the unit, the grunts quickly exit the area. It is their commanders' fervent hope that any male survivors enraged by brutal American bombardments that damaged virtually every building in this once thriving "City of Mosques", displacing a quarter-million residents while murdering thousands of children, women and elders in their homes lose all incentive for further resistance and revenge.

A dedicated former soldier, whose experiences during and after Desert Storm are chronicled in my book, Bringing The War Home, Hank stays in close touch with his unit serving "in theater" in Iraq. When I asked how many "poppers" are being used to irradiate Iraqi neighborhoods, he checked and got back to me. There are "at least 25 of these that have been deployed to theater, and used. Some have conked out and been removed, so I do not know how many are currently active and

broadcasting."

Hank is still losing friends in Iraq, where front-line soldiers put their current casualty figures from all causes psychological crackups and suicides injured.

Hank also blames those at the top for hospital counts of upwards of 65,000 children killed since the 2003 invasion. He is concerned that innocent Iraqi families and unsuspecting GIs alike are being used as test subjects for a new generation of "psychotronic" weapons using invisible beams across the entire electromagnetic spectrum to selectively alter moods, behavior and bodily processes.

"The 'poppers' as they are called, are capable of using a combo of ULF, VLF, UHF and EHF
wavelengths in any combination at the same time, sometimes using one as a carrier wave for the others," Hank explains, in a process called superheterodyning. The silent frequencies daily sweeping Fallujah and other trouble spots are the same Navy "freqs that drove whales nuts and made them go astray onto beaches."

MICROWAVING IRAQ

The Gulf War veteran observes that occupied Iraq has become a "saturation environment" of electromagnetic radiation. Potentially lethal electromagnetic smog from high-power US military electronics and experimental beam weapons is placing already hard-hit local populations-particularly children experiencing serious illness, suicidal depression, impaired cognitive
ability, even death.

American troops constantly exposed "up close" to their own microwave transmitters, battlefield radars and RF weapons are also seeing their health eroded by electromagnetic sickness. It's common, Hank recalls, for GIs to warm themselves on cold desert nights by basking in the microwaves radiating from their QUEEMS communications and RATT radar rigs.

Constant microwave emissions from ground-sweeping RATT rigs and SINGARS mobile microwave networks are much more powerful than civilian microwave cell phone nets linked in many clinical studies to maladies ranging from asthma, cataracts, headaches, memory loss, early Alzheimer's, bad dreams and cancer.

Even more powerful US military radars, radios and "jammers" blasting from ground bases and overflying aircraft add to this electromagnetic din.

This is bad enough. But this is also Iraq, Hank says, where ever-present sand acts as miniature quartz reflectors, unpredictably amplifying the ricocheting electronic smog so thick that if it were visible, every vehicle in Baghdad and the surrounding Sunni Triangle would be driving blind with their headlights on.

THE GIFT THAT KEEPS ON GIVING

This is grim news to friend and foe alike constant adrenal stress, waterborne pollutants, infectious sand fleas, dehydration, pharmaceutical drugs and exposure to radioactive Uranium-238 fired in "hose 'em down" exuberance by US ground and air cannons and cruise missiles.

As Hank puts it, DU is "the gift that keeps on giving." For the next four billion years, medical investigators say, large populated expanses of Kosovo, Afghanistan, Puerto Rico and Iraq will remain lethally radioactive from Made In America depleted uranium dust.

What kind of people would do this?

Clinical tests have repeatedly shown how microwaves "rev up" incipient cancer cells several hundred times. Triggered by nuclear radiation, and turned rogue by electromagnetic warfare unleashed by US forces, human cancer cells have been found to continue proliferating wildly after the power source is turned off

MICROWAVING WOMBS AT GREENHAM COMMON
While the mobile microwave weapons currently deployed in Iraq may or may not lead to lasting harm, rooftop "poppers" and "domes" left to radiate for days at a time are irradiating unsuspecting families already coping with illness, wounds, hunger and the stress of losing homes and loved ones, whose rotting corpses cannot be buried under the sights of marine snipers.

A preview of what lies in store for long-suffering families in Iraq can be gleaned from Greenham Common, where the British Army reportedly used an electromagnetic weapon against 30,000 women who had camped for nearly two decades around that UK military base to protest the deployment of nuclear-tipped US cruise missiles.

One day in the summer of 1984, more than 2,000 British troops suddenly pulled back, leaving the fence unguarded. Peace mom Kim Besley recalls that as curious women approached the gate, they "started experiencing odd health effects: swollen tongues, changed heartbeats, immobility, feelings of terror, pains in the upper body."

Besley found her 30-year-old daughter too ill to stand. Other symptoms typical of electromagnetic exposure included skin burns, severe headaches, drowsiness, post-menopausal menstrual bleeding and menstruation at abnormal times. Besley's daughter's cycle changed to 14 days and took a year to return to normal.

Two late-term spontaneous miscarriages, impaired speech, and an apparent circulatory failure prompted the women to begin monitoring for a directed-energy beam, Using an EMR meter, they measured beams sweeping their camp at 100-times normal background levels.

Another harrowing example involves the sudden illness and cancer deaths of US embassy staff in Moscow after being deliberately targeted with

very weak pulsed microwaves by Soviet experimenters and fascinated CIA onlookers running "Project Phoenix" in 1962.

Very Low Frequency (VLF) weapons include the dozens of "poppers" currently deployed in Iraq, which can be dialed to or "long wave" frequencies capable of traveling great distances through the ground or intervening structures. As air force Lt Col. Peter L. Hays, Director of the Institute for National Security Studies reveals, "Transmission of long wavelength sound creates biophysical effects; nausea, loss of bowels, disorientation, vomiting, potential internal organ damage or death may occur."

Hays calls VLF weapons "superior" because their directed energy beams do not lose their hurtful properties when traveling through air to tissue. A French weapon radiating at 7 hertz "made the people in range sick for hours."

GI's "DRIVEN NUTS" BY ELECTROMAGENTICS IN IRAQ

Like so many other American blunders among the ruins of Babylon, the intended microwave "pacification" of rebellious neighborhoods is having unintended effects. In actual "field-testing" in the Sunni Triangle, Hank has learned that the hidden, dome-shaped devices "are removing inhibitions". Armed individuals, already highly motivated to kill American forces are reportedly "losing all restraint" when exposed to the electromagnetic beams.

According to Hank's buddies in Baghdad, the frequency-shifting "poppers" "are having some remarkable effects on the locals as well as our own people." But these effects differ. Possibly, Hank surmises, because Americans come from daily domestic and military environments saturated with electromagnetic frequencies, while many Iraqis still live without reliable electricity in places largely free from electromagnetics before the American invasion.

According to members of Hank's former unit, constant exposure to invisible emissions from radar and radio rigs microwave weapons says. "It makes them stupid for two or three days."

The Desert Storm veteran compared the emotional effects of constant exposure to military microwaves to a lingering low-pressure weather system that never goes away. "You feel way down for days at a time," he emphasizes

As a consequence, AWOL rates among "spaced out" US troops are as high as 15%, Hank reports. For many deserters, it is not cowardice or conscience that is causing them to absent themselves from duty. "They are feeling so depressed," Hank explains. "They don't feel good. So they leave."

According to Hank's front-line buddies, Iraqis exposed to secret beam weapons "get laid back, confused and mellow, and then blast out in a rage, as opposed to our folks going on what could only be called a 'bender', and turning into a mean drunk for a while."

Once they wander away from direct electromagnetic-fire, startled GIs come to their senses. They return to their units, Hank explains, saying, "What was I thinking?"

The recovery rate among US troops "seems to be about a day or so, where the locals are not getting over it in less than a week or more on average," Hank has learned.

It is Hank's hope that his revelations will prompt public debate over the secret use of electromagnetic weapons in Iraq. But lost in the arguments over these supposedly "non-lethal" weapons is a much bigger question: What are Americans doing there?

Whether soldier or civilian at home, it is our imperative duty to stop supporting those responsible for ongoing "weapons tests" in Iraq. As electrochemical "beings of light," the strongest electromagnetic force on Earth is human conscience, acted upon.

Author's Bio: William Thomas

After resigning his US Navy Reserve commission, William Thomas subsequently served
five months with a three-man environmental emergency response team in the Gulf during and immediately after Desert Storm. He has written about military electromagnetics in Scorched Earth and Bringing The War Home, and has documented other microwave hazards in his new book "Dialing Our Cells."

539. Re: Microwave RF based Weapons in use in Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-26 20:39:09 UTC
From: Palindr☻me <sb382638@hotmail.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <35qd5eF4qjp6bU1@individual.net>
Source record: 15249
Cross-posted to: alt.engineering.electrical,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Uncle Walter wrote:
> http://disc.server.com/discussion.cgi?disc=149495;article=74791;title=APFN
>
I think you posted in the wrong groups, most of us here, IIUC, dream
about working in microwave radiation only 100 times above normal.

Now, if we could only get one of those "poppers" to use at the kids
bedtime...

--

Sue

540. Re: Microwave RF based Weapons in use in Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-26 20:46:05 UTC
From: Uncle Walter <machtmarch606@amishonline.com>
Message-ID: <cebda$41f80196$d1cc59a2$1937@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 15100
Cross-posted to: alt.engineering.electrical,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

---

Note: We wish to apologize to the NG "alt.engineering.electrical" for the posting this article therin, the x-post to this NG of this article, concerning
the topic of RF Ordinance, was initiated in error by our auto-server and was
unintentional.

Thanks 4 your understanding.

W.B.

-- end --

541. Re: Microwave RF based Weapons in use in Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-26 21:08:42 UTC
From: Panzer240 <panzer240@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns95EAAE68AC915fw190a8@198.161.157.145>
Source record: 15101
Cross-posted to: alt.engineering.electrical,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Uncle Walter" <machtmarch606@amishonline.com> wrote in news:732bd
$41f7fa80$d1cc59a2$1620@snip.allthenewsgroups.com:

> http://disc.server.com/discussion.cgi?disc=149495;article=
74791;title=APFN
>
> William Thomas
> Electromagnetic weapons "tests" in Iraq
> Tue Jan 25, 2005 18:53
>
> MICROWAVING IRAQ
>
> "Pacifying" Rays Pose New Hazards In Iraqi
> By William Thomas
>
> On the rooftop of a shrapnel-pocked building in the ruins of Fallujah, a
> team of GI's stealthily sets up a gray plastic dome about two-feet in
> diameter. Keeping well back from the sight lines of the street and
> nearby buildings, they plug the cable connectors on the side of the
> "popper" into a power unit. The grunts have no clue what the device
> does. They are just following orders.
>

Looks like we should investing in one of these:

http://zapatopi.net/afdb.html

ROFLMAO

--
Panzer

542. Re: Poppers? --- I WANT ONE !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-26 21:59:18 UTC
From: tom <cyberhun@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <WoUJd.181267$Xk.160158@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 15102
Cross-posted to: alt.engineering.electrical,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Poppers? --- I WANT ONE !!!

-Could we set up a popper at my place? They sound like they are so cool ---
I volunteer to be experimentally irradiated on the condition that I get one
to keep.
-Is there any way I could buy my own popper from eBay or anywhere?
-Could one be powered using the new 2500mAh NiMH rechargeables?
-If the popper was connected to say, a 4 element yagi antenna, how
directional would the main lobe be?

"Uncle Walter" <machtmarch606@amishonline.com> wrote in message
news:732bd$41f7fa80$d1cc59a2$1620@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> http://disc.server.com/discussion.cgi?disc=149495;article=74791;title=APFN
>
> William Thomas
> Electromagnetic weapons "tests" in Iraq
> Tue Jan 25, 2005 18:53
>
> MICROWAVING IRAQ
>
> "Pacifying" Rays Pose New Hazards In Iraqi
> By William Thomas
>
> On the rooftop of a shrapnel-pocked building in the ruins of Fallujah, a
> team of GI's stealthily sets up a gray plastic dome about two-feet in
> diameter. Keeping well back from the sight lines of the street and
> nearby buildings, they plug the cable connectors on the side of the
> "popper" into a power unit. The grunts have no clue what the device
> does. They are just following orders.
>
> "Most of the worker-bees that are placing these do not even know what is
> inside the 'domes', just that they were told where to place them by
> Intel weenies with usually no nametag," reports my source, a very well
> informed combat veteran I will call "Hank".
>
> The grunts call the plastic devices "poppers" or "domes". Once
> activated, each hidden transmitter emits a widening circle of invisible
> energy capable of passing through metal, concrete and human skulls up to
> half a mile away. "They are saturating the area with ULF, VLF and UHF
> freqs," Hanks says, with equipment derived from US Navy undersea sonar
> and communications.
>
> But its not being used to locate and talk to submarines under Baghdad.

>
> After powering up the unit, the grunts quickly exit the area. It is
> their commanders' fervent hope that any male survivors enraged by brutal
> American bombardments that damaged virtually every building in this once
> thriving "City of Mosques", displacing a quarter-million residents while
> murdering thousands of children, women and elders in their homes lose
> all incentive for further resistance and revenge.
>
> A dedicated former soldier, whose experiences during and after Desert
> Storm are chronicled in my book, Bringing The War Home, Hank stays in
> close touch with his unit serving "in theater" in Iraq. When I asked how
> many "poppers" are being used to irradiate Iraqi neighborhoods, he
> checked and got back to me. There are "at least 25 of these that have
> been deployed to theater, and used. Some have conked out and been
> removed, so I do not know how many are currently active and
> broadcasting."
>
> Hank is still losing friends in Iraq, where front-line soldiers put
> their current casualty figures from all causes psychological crackups and
> suicides injured.
>
> Hank also blames those at the top for hospital counts of upwards of
> 65,000 children killed since the 2003 invasion. He is concerned that
> innocent Iraqi families and unsuspecting GIs alike are being used as
> test subjects for a new generation of "psychotronic" weapons using
> invisible beams across the entire electromagnetic spectrum to
> selectively alter moods, behavior and bodily processes.
>
> "The 'poppers' as they are called, are capable of using a combo of ULF,
> VLF, UHF and EHF
> wavelengths in any combination at the same time, sometimes using one as
> a carrier wave for the others," Hank explains, in a process called
> superheterodyning. The silent frequencies daily sweeping Fallujah and
> other trouble spots are the same Navy "freqs that drove whales nuts and
> made them go astray onto beaches."
>
> MICROWAVING IRAQ
>
> The Gulf War veteran observes that occupied Iraq has become a
> "saturation environment" of electromagnetic radiation. Potentially
> lethal electromagnetic smog from high-power US military electronics and
> experimental beam weapons is placing already hard-hit local
> populations-particularly children experiencing serious illness, suicidal
> depression, impaired cognitive
> ability, even death.
>
> American troops constantly exposed "up close" to their own microwave
> transmitters, battlefield radars and RF weapons are also seeing their
> health eroded by electromagnetic sickness. It's common, Hank recalls,
> for GIs to warm themselves on cold desert nights by basking in the

> microwaves radiating from their QUEEMS communications and RATT radar
> rigs.
>
> Constant microwave emissions from ground-sweeping RATT rigs and SINGARS
> mobile microwave networks are much more powerful than civilian microwave
> cell phone nets linked in many clinical studies to maladies ranging from
> asthma, cataracts, headaches, memory loss, early Alzheimer's, bad dreams
> and cancer.
>
> Even more powerful US military radars, radios and "jammers" blasting
> from ground bases and overflying aircraft add to this electromagnetic
> din.
>
> This is bad enough. But this is also Iraq, Hank says, where ever-present
> sand acts as miniature quartz reflectors, unpredictably amplifying the
> ricocheting electronic smog so thick that if it were visible, every
> vehicle in Baghdad and the surrounding Sunni Triangle would be driving
> blind with their headlights on.
>
> THE GIFT THAT KEEPS ON GIVING
>
> This is grim news to friend and foe alike constant adrenal stress,
> waterborne pollutants, infectious sand fleas,
> dehydration, pharmaceutical drugs and exposure to radioactive
> Uranium-238 fired in "hose 'em down" exuberance by US ground and air
> cannons and cruise missiles.
>
> As Hank puts it, DU is "the gift that keeps on giving." For the next
> four billion years, medical investigators say, large populated expanses
> of Kosovo, Afghanistan, Puerto Rico and Iraq will remain lethally
> radioactive from Made In America depleted uranium dust.
>
> What kind of people would do this?
>
> Clinical tests have repeatedly shown how microwaves "rev up" incipient
> cancer cells several hundred times. Triggered by nuclear radiation, and
> turned rogue by electromagnetic warfare unleashed by US forces, human
> cancer cells have been found to continue proliferating wildly after the
> power source is turned off
>
>
> MICROWAVING WOMBS AT GREENHAM COMMON
> While the mobile microwave weapons currently deployed in Iraq may or may
> not lead to lasting harm, rooftop "poppers" and "domes" left to radiate
> for days at a time are irradiating unsuspecting families already coping
> with illness, wounds, hunger and the stress of losing homes and loved
> ones, whose rotting corpses cannot be buried under the sights of marine
> snipers.
>
> A preview of what lies in store for long-suffering families in Iraq can

> be gleaned from Greenham Common, where the British Army reportedly used
> an electromagnetic weapon against 30,000 women who had camped for nearly
> two decades around that UK military base to protest the deployment of
> nuclear-tipped US cruise missiles.
>
> One day in the summer of 1984, more than 2,000 British troops suddenly
> pulled back, leaving the fence unguarded. Peace mom Kim Besley recalls
> that as curious women approached the gate, they "started experiencing
> odd health effects: swollen tongues, changed heartbeats, immobility,
> feelings of terror, pains in the upper body."
>
> Besley found her 30-year-old daughter too ill to stand. Other symptoms
> typical of electromagnetic exposure included skin burns, severe
> headaches, drowsiness, post-menopausal menstrual bleeding and
> menstruation at abnormal times. Besley's daughter's cycle changed to 14
> days and took a year to return to normal.
>
> Two late-term spontaneous miscarriages, impaired speech, and an apparent
> circulatory failure prompted the women to begin monitoring for a
> directed-energy beam, Using an EMR meter, they measured beams sweeping
> their camp at 100-times normal background levels.
>
> Another harrowing example involves the sudden illness and cancer deaths
> of US embassy staff in Moscow after being deliberately targeted with
> very weak pulsed microwaves by Soviet experimenters and fascinated CIA
> onlookers running "Project Phoenix" in 1962.
>
> Very Low Frequency (VLF) weapons include the dozens of "poppers"
> currently deployed in Iraq, which can be dialed to or "long wave"
> frequencies capable of traveling great distances through the ground or
> intervening structures. As air force Lt Col. Peter L. Hays, Director of
> the Institute for National Security Studies reveals, "Transmission of
> long wavelength sound creates biophysical effects; nausea, loss of
> bowels, disorientation, vomiting, potential internal organ damage or
> death may occur."
>
> Hays calls VLF weapons "superior" because their directed energy beams do
> not lose their hurtful properties when traveling through air to tissue.
> A French weapon radiating at 7 hertz "made the people in range sick for
> hours."
>
>
> GI's "DRIVEN NUTS" BY ELECTROMAGENTICS IN IRAQ
>
> Like so many other American blunders among the ruins of Babylon, the
> intended microwave "pacification" of rebellious neighborhoods is having
> unintended effects. In actual "field-testing" in the Sunni Triangle,
> Hank has learned that the hidden, dome-shaped devices "are removing
> inhibitions". Armed individuals, already highly motivated to kill
> American forces are reportedly "losing all restraint" when exposed to

> the electromagnetic beams.
>
> According to Hank's buddies in Baghdad, the frequency-shifting "poppers"
> "are having some remarkable effects on the locals as well as our own
> people." But these effects differ. Possibly, Hank surmises, because
> Americans come from daily domestic and military environments saturated
> with electromagnetic frequencies, while many Iraqis still live without
> reliable electricity in places largely free from electromagnetics before
> the American invasion.
>
> According to members of Hank's former unit, constant exposure to
> invisible emissions from radar and radio rigs microwave weapons says. "It
> makes them stupid for two or three days."
>
> The Desert Storm veteran compared the emotional effects of constant
> exposure to military microwaves to a lingering low-pressure weather
> system that never goes away. "You feel way down for days at a time," he
> emphasizes
>
> As a consequence, AWOL rates among "spaced out" US troops are as high as
> 15%, Hank reports. For many deserters, it is not cowardice or conscience
> that is causing them to absent themselves from duty. "They are feeling
> so depressed," Hank explains. "They don't feel good. So they leave."
>
> According to Hank's front-line buddies, Iraqis exposed to secret beam
> weapons "get laid back, confused and mellow, and then blast out in a
> rage, as opposed to our folks going on what could only be called a
> 'bender', and turning into a mean drunk for a while."
>
> Once they wander away from direct electromagnetic-fire, startled GIs
> come to their senses. They return to their units, Hank explains, saying,
> "What was I thinking?"
>
> The recovery rate among US troops "seems to be about a day or so, where
> the locals are not getting over it in less than a week or more on
> average," Hank has learned.
>
> It is Hank's hope that his revelations will prompt public debate over
> the secret use of electromagnetic weapons in Iraq. But lost in the
> arguments over these supposedly "non-lethal" weapons is a much bigger
> question: What are Americans doing there?
>
> Whether soldier or civilian at home, it is our imperative duty to stop
> supporting those responsible for ongoing "weapons tests" in Iraq. As
> electrochemical "beings of light," the strongest electromagnetic force
> on Earth is human conscience, acted upon.
>
> Author's Bio: William Thomas
>
> After resigning his US Navy Reserve commission, William Thomas

> subsequently
> served
> five months with a three-man environmental emergency response team in
> the Gulf during and immediately after Desert Storm. He has written about
> military electromagnetics in Scorched Earth and Bringing The War Home,
> and has documented other microwave hazards in his new book "Dialing Our
> Cells."
>

543. Broadcast FM SCA subcarriers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-26 22:02:44 UTC
From: George <usenet-user@large.com>
Message-ID: <8sUJd.16081$5R.13317@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 15103

Anybody know where I can find a list of services currently being broadcast on FM stations' subcarriers?

Also, can anybody refer me to information on which FM stations are used to broadcast the MSN Smart Watch signals?

Thanks,

George

544. Re: Poppers? --- I WANT ONE !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-26 22:23:14 UTC
From: "Stephen M.H. Lawrence" <smhlawrence@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <-qidna1YtLbRhWXcRVn-pw@comcast.com>
Source record: 15104
Cross-posted to: alt.engineering.electrical,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote:
> Poppers? --- I WANT ONE !!!

Could I interest you in some Extreme Fajitas&#65533;?

73,

Steve

545. Re: Microwave RF based Weapons in use in Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-26 22:29:43 UTC
From: Lloyd <Lloyd@dalekswebcasters.net>
Message-ID: <ct95k5$o2e$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 15105
Cross-posted to: alt.engineering.electrical,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

My sources tell me the simple effective countermeasures for these devices has been found to be the wearing of a tin foil hat. Sort of brings back memories of how the North Vietnamese defeated our laser-guided bombs by simply obscuring the target in smoke.

Lloyd

"Uncle Walter" <machtmarch606@amishonline.com> wrote in message news:732bd$41f7fa80$d1cc59a2$1620@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> http://disc.server.com/discussion.cgi?disc=149495;article=74791;title=APFN
>
> William Thomas
> Electromagnetic weapons "tests" in Iraq
> Tue Jan 25, 2005 18:53
>
> MICROWAVING IRAQ
>
> "Pacifying" Rays Pose New Hazards In Iraqi
> By William Thomas
>
> On the rooftop of a shrapnel-pocked building in the ruins of Fallujah, a
> team of GI's stealthily sets up a gray plastic dome about two-feet in
> diameter. Keeping well back from the sight lines of the street and
> nearby buildings, they plug the cable connectors on the side of the
> "popper" into a power unit. The grunts have no clue what the device
> does. They are just following orders.
>
> "Most of the worker-bees that are placing these do not even know what is
> inside the 'domes', just that they were told where to place them by
> Intel weenies with usually no nametag," reports my source, a very well
> informed combat veteran I will call "Hank".
>
> The grunts call the plastic devices "poppers" or "domes". Once
> activated, each hidden transmitter emits a widening circle of invisible
> energy capable of passing through metal, concrete and human skulls up to
> half a mile away. "They are saturating the area with ULF, VLF and UHF
> freqs," Hanks says, with equipment derived from US Navy undersea sonar
> and communications.
>
> But its not being used to locate and talk to submarines under Baghdad.
>
> After powering up the unit, the grunts quickly exit the area. It is
> their commanders' fervent hope that any male survivors enraged by brutal
> American bombardments that damaged virtually every building in this once

> thriving "City of Mosques", displacing a quarter-million residents while
> murdering thousands of children, women and elders in their homes lose
> all incentive for further resistance and revenge.
>
> A dedicated former soldier, whose experiences during and after Desert
> Storm are chronicled in my book, Bringing The War Home, Hank stays in
> close touch with his unit serving "in theater" in Iraq. When I asked how
> many "poppers" are being used to irradiate Iraqi neighborhoods, he
> checked and got back to me. There are "at least 25 of these that have
> been deployed to theater, and used. Some have conked out and been
> removed, so I do not know how many are currently active and
> broadcasting."
>
> Hank is still losing friends in Iraq, where front-line soldiers put
> their current casualty figures from all causes psychological crackups and
> suicides injured.
>
> Hank also blames those at the top for hospital counts of upwards of
> 65,000 children killed since the 2003 invasion. He is concerned that
> innocent Iraqi families and unsuspecting GIs alike are being used as
> test subjects for a new generation of "psychotronic" weapons using
> invisible beams across the entire electromagnetic spectrum to
> selectively alter moods, behavior and bodily processes.
>
> "The 'poppers' as they are called, are capable of using a combo of ULF,
> VLF, UHF and EHF
> wavelengths in any combination at the same time, sometimes using one as
> a carrier wave for the others," Hank explains, in a process called
> superheterodyning. The silent frequencies daily sweeping Fallujah and
> other trouble spots are the same Navy "freqs that drove whales nuts and
> made them go astray onto beaches."
>
> MICROWAVING IRAQ
>
> The Gulf War veteran observes that occupied Iraq has become a
> "saturation environment" of electromagnetic radiation. Potentially
> lethal electromagnetic smog from high-power US military electronics and
> experimental beam weapons is placing already hard-hit local
> populations-particularly children experiencing serious illness, suicidal
> depression, impaired cognitive
> ability, even death.
>
> American troops constantly exposed "up close" to their own microwave
> transmitters, battlefield radars and RF weapons are also seeing their
> health eroded by electromagnetic sickness. It's common, Hank recalls,
> for GIs to warm themselves on cold desert nights by basking in the
> microwaves radiating from their QUEEMS communications and RATT radar
> rigs.
>
> Constant microwave emissions from ground-sweeping RATT rigs and SINGARS

> mobile microwave networks are much more powerful than civilian microwave
> cell phone nets linked in many clinical studies to maladies ranging from
> asthma, cataracts, headaches, memory loss, early Alzheimer's, bad dreams
> and cancer.
>
> Even more powerful US military radars, radios and "jammers" blasting
> from ground bases and overflying aircraft add to this electromagnetic
> din.
>
> This is bad enough. But this is also Iraq, Hank says, where ever-present
> sand acts as miniature quartz reflectors, unpredictably amplifying the
> ricocheting electronic smog so thick that if it were visible, every
> vehicle in Baghdad and the surrounding Sunni Triangle would be driving
> blind with their headlights on.
>
> THE GIFT THAT KEEPS ON GIVING
>
> This is grim news to friend and foe alike constant adrenal stress,
> waterborne pollutants, infectious sand fleas,
> dehydration, pharmaceutical drugs and exposure to radioactive
> Uranium-238 fired in "hose 'em down" exuberance by US ground and air
> cannons and cruise missiles.
>
> As Hank puts it, DU is "the gift that keeps on giving." For the next
> four billion years, medical investigators say, large populated expanses
> of Kosovo, Afghanistan, Puerto Rico and Iraq will remain lethally
> radioactive from Made In America depleted uranium dust.
>
> What kind of people would do this?
>
> Clinical tests have repeatedly shown how microwaves "rev up" incipient
> cancer cells several hundred times. Triggered by nuclear radiation, and
> turned rogue by electromagnetic warfare unleashed by US forces, human
> cancer cells have been found to continue proliferating wildly after the
> power source is turned off
>
>
> MICROWAVING WOMBS AT GREENHAM COMMON
> While the mobile microwave weapons currently deployed in Iraq may or may
> not lead to lasting harm, rooftop "poppers" and "domes" left to radiate
> for days at a time are irradiating unsuspecting families already coping
> with illness, wounds, hunger and the stress of losing homes and loved
> ones, whose rotting corpses cannot be buried under the sights of marine
> snipers.
>
> A preview of what lies in store for long-suffering families in Iraq can
> be gleaned from Greenham Common, where the British Army reportedly used
> an electromagnetic weapon against 30,000 women who had camped for nearly
> two decades around that UK military base to protest the deployment of
> nuclear-tipped US cruise missiles.

>
> One day in the summer of 1984, more than 2,000 British troops suddenly
> pulled back, leaving the fence unguarded. Peace mom Kim Besley recalls
> that as curious women approached the gate, they "started experiencing
> odd health effects: swollen tongues, changed heartbeats, immobility,
> feelings of terror, pains in the upper body."
>
> Besley found her 30-year-old daughter too ill to stand. Other symptoms
> typical of electromagnetic exposure included skin burns, severe
> headaches, drowsiness, post-menopausal menstrual bleeding and
> menstruation at abnormal times. Besley's daughter's cycle changed to 14
> days and took a year to return to normal.
>
> Two late-term spontaneous miscarriages, impaired speech, and an apparent
> circulatory failure prompted the women to begin monitoring for a
> directed-energy beam, Using an EMR meter, they measured beams sweeping
> their camp at 100-times normal background levels.
>
> Another harrowing example involves the sudden illness and cancer deaths
> of US embassy staff in Moscow after being deliberately targeted with
> very weak pulsed microwaves by Soviet experimenters and fascinated CIA
> onlookers running "Project Phoenix" in 1962.
>
> Very Low Frequency (VLF) weapons include the dozens of "poppers"
> currently deployed in Iraq, which can be dialed to or "long wave"
> frequencies capable of traveling great distances through the ground or
> intervening structures. As air force Lt Col. Peter L. Hays, Director of
> the Institute for National Security Studies reveals, "Transmission of
> long wavelength sound creates biophysical effects; nausea, loss of
> bowels, disorientation, vomiting, potential internal organ damage or
> death may occur."
>
> Hays calls VLF weapons "superior" because their directed energy beams do
> not lose their hurtful properties when traveling through air to tissue.
> A French weapon radiating at 7 hertz "made the people in range sick for
> hours."
>
>
> GI's "DRIVEN NUTS" BY ELECTROMAGENTICS IN IRAQ
>
> Like so many other American blunders among the ruins of Babylon, the
> intended microwave "pacification" of rebellious neighborhoods is having
> unintended effects. In actual "field-testing" in the Sunni Triangle,
> Hank has learned that the hidden, dome-shaped devices "are removing
> inhibitions". Armed individuals, already highly motivated to kill
> American forces are reportedly "losing all restraint" when exposed to
> the electromagnetic beams.
>
> According to Hank's buddies in Baghdad, the frequency-shifting "poppers"
> "are having some remarkable effects on the locals as well as our own

> people." But these effects differ. Possibly, Hank surmises, because
> Americans come from daily domestic and military environments saturated
> with electromagnetic frequencies, while many Iraqis still live without
> reliable electricity in places largely free from electromagnetics before
> the American invasion.
>
> According to members of Hank's former unit, constant exposure to
> invisible emissions from radar and radio rigs microwave weapons says. "It
> makes them stupid for two or three days."
>
> The Desert Storm veteran compared the emotional effects of constant
> exposure to military microwaves to a lingering low-pressure weather
> system that never goes away. "You feel way down for days at a time," he
> emphasizes
>
> As a consequence, AWOL rates among "spaced out" US troops are as high as
> 15%, Hank reports. For many deserters, it is not cowardice or conscience
> that is causing them to absent themselves from duty. "They are feeling
> so depressed," Hank explains. "They don't feel good. So they leave."
>
> According to Hank's front-line buddies, Iraqis exposed to secret beam
> weapons "get laid back, confused and mellow, and then blast out in a
> rage, as opposed to our folks going on what could only be called a
> 'bender', and turning into a mean drunk for a while."
>
> Once they wander away from direct electromagnetic-fire, startled GIs
> come to their senses. They return to their units, Hank explains, saying,
> "What was I thinking?"
>
> The recovery rate among US troops "seems to be about a day or so, where
> the locals are not getting over it in less than a week or more on
> average," Hank has learned.
>
> It is Hank's hope that his revelations will prompt public debate over
> the secret use of electromagnetic weapons in Iraq. But lost in the
> arguments over these supposedly "non-lethal" weapons is a much bigger
> question: What are Americans doing there?
>
> Whether soldier or civilian at home, it is our imperative duty to stop
> supporting those responsible for ongoing "weapons tests" in Iraq. As
> electrochemical "beings of light," the strongest electromagnetic force
> on Earth is human conscience, acted upon.
>
> Author's Bio: William Thomas
>
> After resigning his US Navy Reserve commission, William Thomas
> subsequently
> served
> five months with a three-man environmental emergency response team in
> the Gulf during and immediately after Desert Storm. He has written about

> military electromagnetics in Scorched Earth and Bringing The War Home,
> and has documented other microwave hazards in his new book "Dialing Our
> Cells."
>

546. Re: Microwave RF based Weapons in use in Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-26 22:41:32 UTC
From: Jim Douglas <james.douglas@genesis-software.com>
Message-ID: <cYSdnb5F5aoGgWXcRVn-gw@comcast.com>
Source record: 15106
Cross-posted to: alt.engineering.electrical,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I been picking these signals up here on 8020MHZ. Very strong! S9 all day and night! In fact I touched the radio and noticed it was also very warm? It's 32 degrees outside??

"Uncle Walter" <machtmarch606@amishonline.com> wrote in message news:732bd$41f7fa80$d1cc59a2$1620@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> http://disc.server.com/discussion.cgi?disc=149495;article=74791;title=APFN
>
> William Thomas
> Electromagnetic weapons "tests" in Iraq
> Tue Jan 25, 2005 18:53
>
> MICROWAVING IRAQ
>
> "Pacifying" Rays Pose New Hazards In Iraqi
> By William Thomas
>
> On the rooftop of a shrapnel-pocked building in the ruins of Fallujah, a
> team of GI's stealthily sets up a gray plastic dome about two-feet in
> diameter. Keeping well back from the sight lines of the street and
> nearby buildings, they plug the cable connectors on the side of the
> "popper" into a power unit. The grunts have no clue what the device
> does. They are just following orders.
>
> "Most of the worker-bees that are placing these do not even know what is
> inside the 'domes', just that they were told where to place them by
> Intel weenies with usually no nametag," reports my source, a very well
> informed combat veteran I will call "Hank".
>
> The grunts call the plastic devices "poppers" or "domes". Once
> activated, each hidden transmitter emits a widening circle of invisible
> energy capable of passing through metal, concrete and human skulls up to
> half a mile away. "They are saturating the area with ULF, VLF and UHF
> freqs," Hanks says, with equipment derived from US Navy undersea sonar
> and communications.
>
> But its not being used to locate and talk to submarines under Baghdad.
>
> After powering up the unit, the grunts quickly exit the area. It is
> their commanders' fervent hope that any male survivors enraged by brutal
> American bombardments that damaged virtually every building in this once
> thriving "City of Mosques", displacing a quarter-million residents while
> murdering thousands of children, women and elders in their homes lose

> all incentive for further resistance and revenge.
>
> A dedicated former soldier, whose experiences during and after Desert
> Storm are chronicled in my book, Bringing The War Home, Hank stays in
> close touch with his unit serving "in theater" in Iraq. When I asked how
> many "poppers" are being used to irradiate Iraqi neighborhoods, he
> checked and got back to me. There are "at least 25 of these that have
> been deployed to theater, and used. Some have conked out and been
> removed, so I do not know how many are currently active and
> broadcasting."
>
> Hank is still losing friends in Iraq, where front-line soldiers put
> their current casualty figures from all causes psychological crackups and
> suicides injured.
>
> Hank also blames those at the top for hospital counts of upwards of
> 65,000 children killed since the 2003 invasion. He is concerned that
> innocent Iraqi families and unsuspecting GIs alike are being used as
> test subjects for a new generation of "psychotronic" weapons using
> invisible beams across the entire electromagnetic spectrum to
> selectively alter moods, behavior and bodily processes.
>
> "The 'poppers' as they are called, are capable of using a combo of ULF,
> VLF, UHF and EHF
> wavelengths in any combination at the same time, sometimes using one as
> a carrier wave for the others," Hank explains, in a process called
> superheterodyning. The silent frequencies daily sweeping Fallujah and
> other trouble spots are the same Navy "freqs that drove whales nuts and
> made them go astray onto beaches."
>
> MICROWAVING IRAQ
>
> The Gulf War veteran observes that occupied Iraq has become a
> "saturation environment" of electromagnetic radiation. Potentially
> lethal electromagnetic smog from high-power US military electronics and
> experimental beam weapons is placing already hard-hit local
> populations-particularly children experiencing serious illness, suicidal
> depression, impaired cognitive
> ability, even death.
>
> American troops constantly exposed "up close" to their own microwave
> transmitters, battlefield radars and RF weapons are also seeing their
> health eroded by electromagnetic sickness. It's common, Hank recalls,
> for GIs to warm themselves on cold desert nights by basking in the
> microwaves radiating from their QUEEMS communications and RATT radar
> rigs.
>
> Constant microwave emissions from ground-sweeping RATT rigs and SINGARS
> mobile microwave networks are much more powerful than civilian microwave
> cell phone nets linked in many clinical studies to maladies ranging from

> asthma, cataracts, headaches, memory loss, early Alzheimer's, bad dreams
> and cancer.
>
> Even more powerful US military radars, radios and "jammers" blasting
> from ground bases and overflying aircraft add to this electromagnetic
> din.
>
> This is bad enough. But this is also Iraq, Hank says, where ever-present
> sand acts as miniature quartz reflectors, unpredictably amplifying the
> ricocheting electronic smog so thick that if it were visible, every
> vehicle in Baghdad and the surrounding Sunni Triangle would be driving
> blind with their headlights on.
>
> THE GIFT THAT KEEPS ON GIVING
>
> This is grim news to friend and foe alike constant adrenal stress,
> waterborne pollutants, infectious sand fleas,
> dehydration, pharmaceutical drugs and exposure to radioactive
> Uranium-238 fired in "hose 'em down" exuberance by US ground and air
> cannons and cruise missiles.
>
> As Hank puts it, DU is "the gift that keeps on giving." For the next
> four billion years, medical investigators say, large populated expanses
> of Kosovo, Afghanistan, Puerto Rico and Iraq will remain lethally
> radioactive from Made In America depleted uranium dust.
>
> What kind of people would do this?
>
> Clinical tests have repeatedly shown how microwaves "rev up" incipient
> cancer cells several hundred times. Triggered by nuclear radiation, and
> turned rogue by electromagnetic warfare unleashed by US forces, human
> cancer cells have been found to continue proliferating wildly after the
> power source is turned off
>
>
> MICROWAVING WOMBS AT GREENHAM COMMON
> While the mobile microwave weapons currently deployed in Iraq may or may
> not lead to lasting harm, rooftop "poppers" and "domes" left to radiate
> for days at a time are irradiating unsuspecting families already coping
> with illness, wounds, hunger and the stress of losing homes and loved
> ones, whose rotting corpses cannot be buried under the sights of marine
> snipers.
>
> A preview of what lies in store for long-suffering families in Iraq can
> be gleaned from Greenham Common, where the British Army reportedly used
> an electromagnetic weapon against 30,000 women who had camped for nearly
> two decades around that UK military base to protest the deployment of
> nuclear-tipped US cruise missiles.
>
> One day in the summer of 1984, more than 2,000 British troops suddenly

> pulled back, leaving the fence unguarded. Peace mom Kim Besley recalls
> that as curious women approached the gate, they "started experiencing
> odd health effects: swollen tongues, changed heartbeats, immobility,
> feelings of terror, pains in the upper body."
>
> Besley found her 30-year-old daughter too ill to stand. Other symptoms
> typical of electromagnetic exposure included skin burns, severe
> headaches, drowsiness, post-menopausal menstrual bleeding and
> menstruation at abnormal times. Besley's daughter's cycle changed to 14
> days and took a year to return to normal.
>
> Two late-term spontaneous miscarriages, impaired speech, and an apparent
> circulatory failure prompted the women to begin monitoring for a
> directed-energy beam, Using an EMR meter, they measured beams sweeping
> their camp at 100-times normal background levels.
>
> Another harrowing example involves the sudden illness and cancer deaths
> of US embassy staff in Moscow after being deliberately targeted with
> very weak pulsed microwaves by Soviet experimenters and fascinated CIA
> onlookers running "Project Phoenix" in 1962.
>
> Very Low Frequency (VLF) weapons include the dozens of "poppers"
> currently deployed in Iraq, which can be dialed to or "long wave"
> frequencies capable of traveling great distances through the ground or
> intervening structures. As air force Lt Col. Peter L. Hays, Director of
> the Institute for National Security Studies reveals, "Transmission of
> long wavelength sound creates biophysical effects; nausea, loss of
> bowels, disorientation, vomiting, potential internal organ damage or
> death may occur."
>
> Hays calls VLF weapons "superior" because their directed energy beams do
> not lose their hurtful properties when traveling through air to tissue.
> A French weapon radiating at 7 hertz "made the people in range sick for
> hours."
>
>
> GI's "DRIVEN NUTS" BY ELECTROMAGENTICS IN IRAQ
>
> Like so many other American blunders among the ruins of Babylon, the
> intended microwave "pacification" of rebellious neighborhoods is having
> unintended effects. In actual "field-testing" in the Sunni Triangle,
> Hank has learned that the hidden, dome-shaped devices "are removing
> inhibitions". Armed individuals, already highly motivated to kill
> American forces are reportedly "losing all restraint" when exposed to
> the electromagnetic beams.
>
> According to Hank's buddies in Baghdad, the frequency-shifting "poppers"
> "are having some remarkable effects on the locals as well as our own
> people." But these effects differ. Possibly, Hank surmises, because
> Americans come from daily domestic and military environments saturated

> with electromagnetic frequencies, while many Iraqis still live without
> reliable electricity in places largely free from electromagnetics before
> the American invasion.
>
> According to members of Hank's former unit, constant exposure to
> invisible emissions from radar and radio rigs microwave weapons says. "It
> makes them stupid for two or three days."
>
> The Desert Storm veteran compared the emotional effects of constant
> exposure to military microwaves to a lingering low-pressure weather
> system that never goes away. "You feel way down for days at a time," he
> emphasizes
>
> As a consequence, AWOL rates among "spaced out" US troops are as high as
> 15%, Hank reports. For many deserters, it is not cowardice or conscience
> that is causing them to absent themselves from duty. "They are feeling
> so depressed," Hank explains. "They don't feel good. So they leave."
>
> According to Hank's front-line buddies, Iraqis exposed to secret beam
> weapons "get laid back, confused and mellow, and then blast out in a
> rage, as opposed to our folks going on what could only be called a
> 'bender', and turning into a mean drunk for a while."
>
> Once they wander away from direct electromagnetic-fire, startled GIs
> come to their senses. They return to their units, Hank explains, saying,
> "What was I thinking?"
>
> The recovery rate among US troops "seems to be about a day or so, where
> the locals are not getting over it in less than a week or more on
> average," Hank has learned.
>
> It is Hank's hope that his revelations will prompt public debate over
> the secret use of electromagnetic weapons in Iraq. But lost in the
> arguments over these supposedly "non-lethal" weapons is a much bigger
> question: What are Americans doing there?
>
> Whether soldier or civilian at home, it is our imperative duty to stop
> supporting those responsible for ongoing "weapons tests" in Iraq. As
> electrochemical "beings of light," the strongest electromagnetic force
> on Earth is human conscience, acted upon.
>
> Author's Bio: William Thomas
>
> After resigning his US Navy Reserve commission, William Thomas
subsequently
> served
> five months with a three-man environmental emergency response team in
> the Gulf during and immediately after Desert Storm. He has written about
> military electromagnetics in Scorched Earth and Bringing The War Home,
> and has documented other microwave hazards in his new book "Dialing Our

> Cells."
>

547. 263.925 Mhz FM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-26 22:47:25 UTC
From: Frank Bals <fjb@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <16VJd.28545$8u5.22376@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 15107

Anybody else hearing comms (Spanish speaking male) on this frequency today and past several weeks? I believe it is a MIL SATCOM?

Frank

548. Re: Microwave RF based Weapons in use in Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-26 23:21:05 UTC
From: Palindr☻me <sb382638@hotmail.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <35qmkaF4res6tU1@individual.net>
Source record: 15108
Cross-posted to: alt.engineering.electrical,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Jim Douglas wrote:
> I been picking these signals up here on 8020MHZ. Very strong! S9 all day and
> night! In fact I touched the radio and noticed it was also very warm? It's
> 32 degrees outside??
>
Very worrying isn't it?
My sensors detected that I was being targetted by stuff with wavelengths
from 450 to 750 nm today - with so much energy it must have been
generated by a NUCLEAR REACTOR.

Now, Americans, IIRC, have this happen all the time - but for us in the
middle of Dartmoor National Park, in Devon UK, in January - this is
unheard of.

OK, we get used to being targetted by hydrogen bombs (well,
hygrogen/oxeygen) of about 0.4cm calibre We have purpose designed
protective equipment to deal with that. But not the nuclear fallout stuff.

Luckily, someone discovered many years ago that a knotted hankie on the
head is very effective at dealing with the stuff - particularly in areas
of high ozone concentration.

http://www.bbc.co.uk/cult/ilove/years/1974/images/holiday100.jpg

--
Sue

549. Re: Broadcast FM SCA subcarriers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-27 00:26:29 UTC
From: "David L. Wilson" <dwilson314@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <HJydnSToJcKlqGXcRVn-sQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 15109

"George" <usenet-user@large.com> wrote in message
news:8sUJd.16081$5R.13317@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
> Anybody know where I can find a list of services currently being broadcast
> on FM stations' subcarriers?

http://members.aol.com/fmatlas/home.html

550. Re: Broadcast FM SCA subcarriers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-27 00:55:44 UTC
From: DougSlug <dougslug@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <24XJd.2894$dB3.2789@fe08.lga>
Source record: 15110

How can these SCA transmissions be decoded? Are there any sound card-based software techniques, or does it always require hardware?

- Doug

"George" <usenet-user@large.com> wrote in message news:8sUJd.16081$5R.13317@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
> Anybody know where I can find a list of services currently being broadcast
> on FM stations' subcarriers?
>
> Also, can anybody refer me to information on which FM stations are used to
> broadcast the MSN Smart Watch signals?
>
> Thanks,
>
> George
>
>
>
>

551. Re: Broadcast FM SCA subcarriers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-27 02:12:29 UTC
From: "David L. Wilson" <dwilson314@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <xamdndSvMaqM02XcRVn-iw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 15111

"DougSlug" <dougslug@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:24XJd.2894$dB3.2789@fe08.lga...
> How can these SCA transmissions be decoded? Are there any sound
> card-based software techniques, or does it always require hardware?

Cannot be done with sound card or software. These are subcarrier s in the
FM signal. One has to tap the receiver after the demodulator and send
through a separate LF FM receiver ("adapters"_. Note that there are
legalities to consider in the US.

The site mentioned
http://members.aol.com/fmatlas/home.html
sells modifired FM radios and adapters.

552. Constant Beeping Scanner Defeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-27 02:34:14 UTC
From: "N. Hall" <nospam5857>
Message-ID: <ct9jvd0240e@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 15112

Hello,

There are a couple of Police repeaters in my area that have a contant "beeping" carrier on them all the time, except when it is actually repeating something. The repeaters are fully monitorable if you don't mind the constant beep in between, but obviously a scanner will never skip past them. I suspect the purpose for this is to make is so annoying for scanner listeners that they just forget about it, so....

I am wondering how the radios of the intended audience (police officers in this case) work. They obviously aren't listening to this beep all the time. I was thinking that maybe they were doing something with CTCSS and/or DCS tones, as until recently scanners with this capability were not commonplace, but so far I haven't figured out how it works.

One of the two does transmit the same CTCSS tone regardless of whether it is the beep or voice, so that doesn't appear to be how that one works.
The second does not appear to transmit any CTCSS tone at all at any time.
I haven't done any DCS searches yet, but I do have the capability of decoding DCS if anyone thinks that's how they are doing it.

If it isn't DCS or CTCSS, I would have to assume it is some specialized feature of their radios. By the way, these are both regular old UHF frequencies.

Thanks for any input.

553. Re: Cordless Phone reception

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-27 02:56:32 UTC
From: Jeff Seale <jseale18@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <Xns95EADF30C4356jseale18insightbbcom@204.127.204.17>
Source record: 15113

"MnMikew" <mnmiikkew@aol.com> wrote in news:35nu44F4k4o7rU1@individual.net:

>
> "tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote in message
> news:fEyJd.171529$6l.4612@pd7tw2no...
>> Depends what you're listening to, snicker snicker ...
>>
> True. There have been a few "interesting" moments. :-)
>
>
>
>

'specially when you pick up a 911 call coming from one of those. TV news outlets are always hungry for hot stuff like that and will most likely give you $$$ if you send 'em a tape or MP3 file of something like that that you picked up on your scanner.

554. Re: Constant Beeping Scanner Defeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-27 03:37:59 UTC
From: Bob Parnass <not-for-mail@fake.invalid>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.01.27.03.37.59.107065@fake.invalid>
Source record: 15114

Have you tried monitoring transmissions on the repeater's
input frequency? You probably need an outdoor antenna
to hear the portables and distant mobile stations.

--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S GNU/Linux User http://parnass.com

555. Re: Poppers? --- I WANT ONE !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-27 04:00:57 UTC
From: tom <cyberhun@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <ZHZJd.183020$6I.105847@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 15115
Cross-posted to: alt.engineering.electrical,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Extreme Fajitas? Hmmm, sounds interesting, I must admit.
I was wondering why I was waking up all thirsty and dizzy --- and here I was thinking it was all the vodka of I've been drinking --- silly me.
But seriously, about these EM Poppers --- do you think the CIA would be interested in deploying a popper array in Canada?
First we would program the poppers to make people vote republican, and then get the neo-conservative think-tanks to come up with a way to divert soft campaign funds into funding a contract to deploy thousands of poppers nationwide along the northern border provinces of BC, Alberta, etc.
Then comes the sneaky part, once the yankees are all thirsty and dizzy and voting republican, we set up huge rocket motors pointing off into space at wierd angles and run them night and day, this would alter the earth's spin, and push the geographic pole towards russia, having the net effect of pushing canadian territory into a more southerly latitude where it's warmer so the pot plants grow better.
This information is top secret, I'm relying on the tight knit NNTP community to keep this under wraps.

"Stephen M.H. Lawrence" <smhlawrence@comcast.net> wrote in message news:-qidna1YtLbRhWXcRVn-pw@comcast.com...
>
> "tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote:
>> Poppers? --- I WANT ONE !!!
>
> Could I interest you in some Extreme Fajitas ?
>
> 73,
>
> Steve
>

556. Re: Constant Beeping Scanner Defeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-27 04:04:44 UTC
From: "N. Hall" <nospam5857>
Message-ID: <ct9p9701d5a@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 15116

"Bob Parnass" wrote in message
> Have you tried monitoring transmissions on the repeater's
> input frequency? You probably need an outdoor antenna
> to hear the portables and distant mobile stations.
>
Actually the repeaters in question are both cross-band repeaters. I can monitor the main frequencies, but I would like to monitor the cross-band repeaters as well, because depending on my location, they can be received better than the main frequencies.

The big question is how are the intended users not hearing the annoying beep, and is it something that can be ignored by today's scanners. Obviously somebody listens to the repeater, or why have it. Plus, as I recall, back in the old days of old VHF mobile phone systems, those repeaters did the same thing (again, I would assume to annoy scanner listeners enough to ignore monitoring them).

557. Re: What are cellular frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-27 04:34:15 UTC
From: Packrat &#65533; <mikec@zonenet.net>
Message-ID: <5c_Jd.15063$NR1.3274@fe04.lga>
Source record: 15117

Frequencies that land between 868.9375 and 896.1125 - and between 823.9375 and 851.1125

You know! The ones blocked out of your scanner.

"Kap" <juvenile11691@aol.com> wrote in message news:1106704309.139006.299050@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Can anyone tell me
>

558. Re: Cordless Phone reception

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-27 04:43:52 UTC
From: Packrat &#65533; <mikec@zonenet.net>
Message-ID: <al_Jd.15066$bW1.13901@fe04.lga>
Source record: 15118

My favorite is the baby monitors. The new ones are always on since they plug into the wall. Makes for nice room bugs. Interesting entertainment for a 14 yr old when the neighbors are going at it loud enough to be heard clearly in the baby's room.

"tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:fEyJd.171529$6l.4612@pd7tw2no...
> Depends what you're listening to, snicker snicker ...
>
>
> "MnMikew" <mnmiikkew@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:35np0lF4oqgtkU1@individual.net...
>>
>> <stryped@ . > wrote in message
>> news:1106681338.560708.283530@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>> Can scanners pick up cordless phone reception? I am a relative nebie
>>> and curious.
>>>
>> Yes most 900mhz scanners can. Boooooring.
>>
>>
>
>

559. Re: Microwave RF based Weapons in use in Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-27 05:38:51 UTC
From: "M.S." <notvalid@email.add>
Message-ID: <O7%Jd.58$04.10199@sooner.brightok.net>
Source record: 15119
Cross-posted to: alt.engineering.electrical,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

And you said you didn't inhale!!!

"Uncle Walter" <machtmarch606@amishonline.com> wrote in message
news:732bd$41f7fa80$d1cc59a2$1620@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> http://disc.server.com/discussion.cgi?disc=149495;article=74791;title=APFN
>
> William Thomas
> Electromagnetic weapons "tests" in Iraq
> Tue Jan 25, 2005 18:53
>
> MICROWAVING IRAQ
>
> "Pacifying" Rays Pose New Hazards In Iraqi
> By William Thomas
>
> On the rooftop of a shrapnel-pocked building in the ruins of Fallujah, a
> team of GI's stealthily sets up a gray plastic dome about two-feet in
> diameter. Keeping well back from the sight lines of the street and
> nearby buildings, they plug the cable connectors on the side of the
> "popper" into a power unit. The grunts have no clue what the device
> does. They are just following orders.
>
> "Most of the worker-bees that are placing these do not even know what is
> inside the 'domes', just that they were told where to place them by
> Intel weenies with usually no nametag," reports my source, a very well
> informed combat veteran I will call "Hank".
>
>

560. Re: Broadcast FM SCA subcarriers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-27 06:20:33 UTC
From: George W <look@signature_to.reply>
Message-ID: <RK%Jd.6943$8Z1.2637@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 15120

Is that true even for non-commercial armchair listening at home?

--
Email replies to:
"Never anonymous Bud" <newskat@katxyzkave.net> wrote in message
news:4n1hv01t921tefuttgedd0cnn42ktdi6v5@4ax.com...
> Trying to steal the thunder from Arnold, "DougSlug" <dougslug@hotmail.com>
on Wed, 26 Jan 2005 19:55:44 -0500 spoke:
>
> >How can these SCA transmissions be decoded?
>
> Under the changes to the law in recent years,
> it's illegal to monitor SCA transmissions
> without permission.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> --
>
> The truth is out there,
>
> but it's not interesting enough for most people.

561. Re: Microwave RF based Weapons in use in Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-27 06:38:58 UTC
From: me <me@here.net>
Message-ID: <Xns95EB637A3A5meherenet@216.65.98.75>
Source record: 15121
Cross-posted to: alt.engineering.electrical,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Uncle Walter" <machtmarch606@amishonline.com> wrote in
news:732bd$41f7fa80$d1cc59a2$1620@snip.allthenewsgroups.com:

idiot.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

562. Re: Broadcast FM SCA subcarriers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-27 08:14:21 UTC
From: nana <nana@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <35rm73F4oejf8U1@individual.net>
Source record: 15122

"George W" <look@signature_to.reply> wrote in message
news:RK%Jd.6943$8Z1.2637@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
> Is that true even for non-commercial armchair listening at home?
>
>
I'm pretty sure the law does not say "illegal, except for armchair listening
at home" so the answer would be yes.

Nana

563. Re: Winradio WR-1550e Wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-27 08:15:09 UTC
From: JohnNDenver <johngadd@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <e9ec395852943c7fab3525af53e4ec9d@localhost.talkaboutelectronicequipment.com>
Source record: 15123

Wanted to buy used WR 1550E

564. I bought a SCA radio on Yahoo Auctions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-27 09:33:01 UTC
From: r392 <r392@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <hz2Kd.11237$Dz2.457@trndny09>
Source record: 15124

I bought a SCA radio AM FM radio with the upper and lower SCA setting for
cheap on Yahoo auctions about a month ago brand new in box here is a link.
I do not have anything to do with the guy just thought it was a good deal.
the radio is a cheap radio. It has good audio and great sensitivity.
for $30 well worth it.
Doug

http://csearch.auctions.shopping.yahoo.com/cuser?userID=xcelasia&acc=us

"Never anonymous Bud" <newskat@katxyzkave.net> wrote in message
news:9p9hv0ldepmkuf5uf9q2rrdf9v8aik2ml6@4ax.com...
> Trying to steal the thunder from Arnold, "George W"
<look@signature_to.reply> on Thu, 27 Jan 2005 06:20:33 GMT spoke:
>
> >Is that true even for non-commercial armchair listening at home?
>
> Yes. Stupid law, unenforceable, but still a law.
>
>
>
>
>
> --
>
> The truth is out there,
>
> but it's not interesting enough for most people.

565. BC 246 Upgrade?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-27 15:33:22 UTC
From: MegaHurts <nospam@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <6R7Kd.967$ao5.748@trnddc03>
Source record: 15125

Very interested in purchasing the BC246 but slightly concerned as to why there is an firmware upgrade issue already. Can anyone provide any info on this? What is wrong with the factory firmware and if there are known bugs, does anyone know what they are? Also hearing there is an issue with Windows XP causing problems with the firmware update. Is this a good scanner to buy for monitoring while traveling? Looking for answers and do not want to join any email group lists - thanks in advance.

kg4???

566. Realistic PRO-2004 F.S.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-27 15:45:30 UTC
From: RJM <RJM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <u08Kd.7494$r27.2907@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 15126

I have a like-new Realistic PRO-2004 for sale with manual and antenna. I bought it new and it has had very little use. It has been opened up to receive all frequencies and works and looks like new. The display is bright and clear. $185 plus $10 shipping to lower 48.
Respond to W5LX@HOTMAIL.COM

567. Re: What are cellular frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-27 16:17:26 UTC
From: NORMAN TRIANTAFILOS <kb9ygd@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <qu8Kd.1015$lg5.772@trnddc06>
Source record: 15127

```
go here they have a complete list of the cell
freqs.----->http://www.textfiles.com
```

--
My Family Website-->
http://mysite.verizon.net/res868sp/thetriantafilosfamily/index.html My
Amatuer Radio Website--> http://www.qsl.net/kb9ygd/index.html
http://dx.qsl.net/logs <---Search My Logbook
"Packrat ?" <mikec@zonenet.net> wrote in message
news:5c_Jd.15063$NR1.3274@fe04.lga...
> Frequencies that land between 868.9375 and 896.1125 - and between 823.9375
> and 851.1125
>
> You know! The ones blocked out of your scanner.
>
> "Kap" <juvenile11691@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1106704309.139006.299050@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > Can anyone tell me
> >
>
>

568. Re: Realistic PRO-2004 F.S.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-27 16:48:08 UTC
From: NORMAN TRIANTAFILOS <kb9ygd@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <cX8Kd.1038$lg5.854@trnddc06>
Source record: 15128

in Merrilville,Indiana(next to chicago and gary in) the fbi uses 170.500 analog in the clear but it seems to be trunked with maby 5 other freqs in use but they do survailance on 170.500 and its allways busy and i can hear the whole conversation most of the time.Does anyone know anymore about this system?

--
My Family Website--> http://mysite.verizon.net/res868sp/thetriantafilosfamily/index.html My Amatuer Radio Website--> http://www.qsl.net/kb9ygd/index.html http://dx.qsl.net/logs <---Search My Logbook
"RJM" <RJM@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:u08Kd.7494$r27.2907@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> I have a like-new Realistic PRO-2004 for sale with manual and antenna. I
> bought it new and it has had very little use. It has been opened up to
> receive all frequencies and works and looks like new. The display is
> bright and clear. $185 plus $10 shipping to lower 48.
> Respond to W5LX@HOTMAIL.COM

569. Re: What are cellular frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-27 16:53:42 UTC
From: NORMAN TRIANTAFILOS <kb9ygd@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <q09Kd.1043$lg5.462@trnddc06>
Source record: 15130

In Merrilville indiana(next to Gary In & Chicago) the FBI uses 170.500 analog in the clear in a system that seems to me trunked with maby 3 to 5 other freqs but they use 170.500 for survailance and it is very active and most of the time seems to be in use as a simplex channel being that i can hear both sides all the time through the mobil relay.Does anyone know any more about this system?

--
My Family Website-->
http://mysite.verizon.net/res868sp/thetriantafilosfamily/index.html My
Amatuer Radio Website--> http://www.qsl.net/kb9ygd/index.html
http://dx.qsl.net/logs <---Search My Logbook
"NORMAN TRIANTAFILOS" <kb9ygd@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:qu8Kd.1015$lg5.772@trnddc06...
> go here they have a complete list of the cell
> freqs.----->http://www.textfiles.com
>
> --
> My Family Website-->
> http://mysite.verizon.net/res868sp/thetriantafilosfamily/index.html
My
> Amatuer Radio Website--> http://www.qsl.net/kb9ygd/index.html
> http://dx.qsl.net/logs <---Search My Logbook
> "Packrat &#65533;" <mikec@zonenet.net> wrote in message
> news:5c_Jd.15063$NR1.3274@fe04.lga...
> > Frequencies that land between 868.9375 and 896.1125 - and between
823.9375
> > and 851.1125
> >
> > You know! The ones blocked out of your scanner.
> >
> > "Kap" <juvenile11691@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:1106704309.139006.299050@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > > Can anyone tell me
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

570. test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-27 16:55:32 UTC
From: NORMAN TRIANTAFILOS <kb9ygd@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <829Kd.1046$lg5.543@trnddc06>
Source record: 15129

test

--
My Family Website-->
http://mysite.verizon.net/res868sp/thetriantafilosfamily/index.html My
Amatuer Radio Website--> http://www.qsl.net/kb9ygd/index.html
http://dx.qsl.net/logs <---Search My Logbook
"RJM" <RJM@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:u08Kd.7494$r27.2907@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> I have a like-new Realistic PRO-2004 for sale with manual and antenna. I
> bought it new and it has had very little use. It has been opened up to
> receive all frequencies and works and looks like new. The display is
> bright and clear. $185 plus $10 shipping to lower 48.
> Respond to W5LX@HOTMAIL.COM

571. Re: Poppers? --- I WANT ONE !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-27 17:44:55 UTC
From: Dimitrios Tzortzakakis <use@address.below>
Message-ID: <ctb9gk$4pn$1@usenet.otenet.gr>
Source record: 15131
Cross-posted to: alt.engineering.electrical,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Does anyone remember the guy who had a delirium?The one thinking that "they"
were after him with lasers?

--
Tzortzakakis Dimitri�s
major in electrical engineering, freelance electrician
FH von Iraklion-Kreta, freiberuflicher Elektriker
dimtzort AT otenet DOT gr

> "tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:WoUJd.181267$Xk.160158@pd7tw3no...
> Poppers? --- I WANT ONE !!!
>
> -Could we set up a popper at my place? They sound like they are so
cool ---
> I volunteer to be experimentally irradiated on the condition that I get
one
> to keep.
> -Is there any way I could buy my own popper from eBay or anywhere?
> -Could one be powered using the new 2500mAh NiMH rechargeables?
> -If the popper was connected to say, a 4 element yagi antenna, how
> directional would the main lobe be?
> ---

>
> "Uncle Walter" <machtmarch606@amishonline.com> wrote in message
> news:732bd$41f7fa80$d1cc59a2$1620@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> >
http://disc.server.com/discussion.cgi?disc=149495;article=74791;title=APFN
> >
> > William Thomas
> > Electromagnetic weapons "tests" in Iraq
> > Tue Jan 25, 2005 18:53
> >
> > MICROWAVING IRAQ
> >
> > "Pacifying" Rays Pose New Hazards In Iraqi
> > By William Thomas
> >
> > On the rooftop of a shrapnel-pocked building in the ruins of Fallujah, a
> > team of GI's stealthily sets up a gray plastic dome about two-feet in
> > diameter. Keeping well back from the sight lines of the street and
> > nearby buildings, they plug the cable connectors on the side of the
> > "popper" into a power unit. The grunts have no clue what the device

> > does. They are just following orders.
> >
> > "Most of the worker-bees that are placing these do not even know what is
> > inside the 'domes', just that they were told where to place them by
> > Intel weenies with usually no nametag," reports my source, a very well
> > informed combat veteran I will call "Hank".
> >
> > The grunts call the plastic devices "poppers" or "domes". Once
> > activated, each hidden transmitter emits a widening circle of invisible
> > energy capable of passing through metal, concrete and human skulls up to
> > half a mile away. "They are saturating the area with ULF, VLF and UHF
> > freqs," Hanks says, with equipment derived from US Navy undersea sonar
> > and communications.
> >
> > But its not being used to locate and talk to submarines under Baghdad.
> >
> > After powering up the unit, the grunts quickly exit the area. It is
> > their commanders' fervent hope that any male survivors enraged by brutal
> > American bombardments that damaged virtually every building in this once
> > thriving "City of Mosques", displacing a quarter-million residents while
> > murdering thousands of children, women and elders in their homes lose
> > all incentive for further resistance and revenge.
> >
> > A dedicated former soldier, whose experiences during and after Desert
> > Storm are chronicled in my book, Bringing The War Home, Hank stays in
> > close touch with his unit serving "in theater" in Iraq. When I asked how
> > many "poppers" are being used to irradiate Iraqi neighborhoods, he
> > checked and got back to me. There are "at least 25 of these that have
> > been deployed to theater, and used. Some have conked out and been
> > removed, so I do not know how many are currently active and
> > broadcasting."
> >
> > Hank is still losing friends in Iraq, where front-line soldiers put
> > their current casualty figures from all causes psychological crackups
and
> > suicides injured.
> >
> > Hank also blames those at the top for hospital counts of upwards of
> > 65,000 children killed since the 2003 invasion. He is concerned that
> > innocent Iraqi families and unsuspecting GIs alike are being used as
> > test subjects for a new generation of "psychotronic" weapons using
> > invisible beams across the entire electromagnetic spectrum to
> > selectively alter moods, behavior and bodily processes.
> >
> > "The 'poppers' as they are called, are capable of using a combo of ULF,
> > VLF, UHF and EHF
> > wavelengths in any combination at the same time, sometimes using one as
> > a carrier wave for the others," Hank explains, in a process called
> > superheterodyning. The silent frequencies daily sweeping Fallujah and

> > other trouble spots are the same Navy "freqs that drove whales nuts and
> > made them go astray onto beaches."
> >
> > MICROWAVING IRAQ
> >
> > The Gulf War veteran observes that occupied Iraq has become a
> > "saturation environment" of electromagnetic radiation. Potentially
> > lethal electromagnetic smog from high-power US military electronics and
> > experimental beam weapons is placing already hard-hit local
> > populations-particularly children experiencing serious illness, suicidal
> > depression, impaired cognitive
> > ability, even death.
> >
> > American troops constantly exposed "up close" to their own microwave
> > transmitters, battlefield radars and RF weapons are also seeing their
> > health eroded by electromagnetic sickness. It's common, Hank recalls,
> > for GIs to warm themselves on cold desert nights by basking in the
> > microwaves radiating from their QUEEMS communications and RATT radar
> > rigs.
> >
> > Constant microwave emissions from ground-sweeping RATT rigs and SINGARS
> > mobile microwave networks are much more powerful than civilian microwave
> > cell phone nets linked in many clinical studies to maladies ranging from
> > asthma, cataracts, headaches, memory loss, early Alzheimer's, bad dreams
> > and cancer.
> >
> > Even more powerful US military radars, radios and "jammers" blasting
> > from ground bases and overflying aircraft add to this electromagnetic
> > din.
> >
> > This is bad enough. But this is also Iraq, Hank says, where ever-present
> > sand acts as miniature quartz reflectors, unpredictably amplifying the
> > ricocheting electronic smog so thick that if it were visible, every
> > vehicle in Baghdad and the surrounding Sunni Triangle would be driving
> > blind with their headlights on.
> >
> > THE GIFT THAT KEEPS ON GIVING
> >
> > This is grim news to friend and foe alike constant adrenal stress,
> > waterborne pollutants, infectious sand fleas,
> > dehydration, pharmaceutical drugs and exposure to radioactive
> > Uranium-238 fired in "hose 'em down" exuberance by US ground and air
> > cannons and cruise missiles.
> >
> > As Hank puts it, DU is "the gift that keeps on giving." For the next
> > four billion years, medical investigators say, large populated expanses
> > of Kosovo, Afghanistan, Puerto Rico and Iraq will remain lethally
> > radioactive from Made In America depleted uranium dust.
> >

> > What kind of people would do this?
> >
> > Clinical tests have repeatedly shown how microwaves "rev up" incipient
> > cancer cells several hundred times. Triggered by nuclear radiation, and
> > turned rogue by electromagnetic warfare unleashed by US forces, human
> > cancer cells have been found to continue proliferating wildly after the
> > power source is turned off
> >
> >
> > MICROWAVING WOMBS AT GREENHAM COMMON
> > While the mobile microwave weapons currently deployed in Iraq may or may
> > not lead to lasting harm, rooftop "poppers" and "domes" left to radiate
> > for days at a time are irradiating unsuspecting families already coping
> > with illness, wounds, hunger and the stress of losing homes and loved
> > ones, whose rotting corpses cannot be buried under the sights of marine
> > snipers.
> >
> > A preview of what lies in store for long-suffering families in Iraq can
> > be gleaned from Greenham Common, where the British Army reportedly used
> > an electromagnetic weapon against 30,000 women who had camped for nearly
> > two decades around that UK military base to protest the deployment of
> > nuclear-tipped US cruise missiles.
> >
> > One day in the summer of 1984, more than 2,000 British troops suddenly
> > pulled back, leaving the fence unguarded. Peace mom Kim Besley recalls
> > that as curious women approached the gate, they "started experiencing
> > odd health effects: swollen tongues, changed heartbeats, immobility,
> > feelings of terror, pains in the upper body."
> >
> > Besley found her 30-year-old daughter too ill to stand. Other symptoms
> > typical of electromagnetic exposure included skin burns, severe
> > headaches, drowsiness, post-menopausal menstrual bleeding and
> > menstruation at abnormal times. Besley's daughter's cycle changed to 14
> > days and took a year to return to normal.
> >
> > Two late-term spontaneous miscarriages, impaired speech, and an apparent
> > circulatory failure prompted the women to begin monitoring for a
> > directed-energy beam, Using an EMR meter, they measured beams sweeping
> > their camp at 100-times normal background levels.
> >
> > Another harrowing example involves the sudden illness and cancer deaths
> > of US embassy staff in Moscow after being deliberately targeted with
> > very weak pulsed microwaves by Soviet experimenters and fascinated CIA
> > onlookers running "Project Phoenix" in 1962.
> >
> > Very Low Frequency (VLF) weapons include the dozens of "poppers"
> > currently deployed in Iraq, which can be dialed to or "long wave"
> > frequencies capable of traveling great distances through the ground or
> > intervening structures. As air force Lt Col. Peter L. Hays, Director of

> > the Institute for National Security Studies reveals, "Transmission of
> > long wavelength sound creates biophysical effects; nausea, loss of
> > bowels, disorientation, vomiting, potential internal organ damage or
> > death may occur."
> >
> > Hays calls VLF weapons "superior" because their directed energy beams do
> > not lose their hurtful properties when traveling through air to tissue.
> > A French weapon radiating at 7 hertz "made the people in range sick for
> > hours."
> >
> >
> > GI's "DRIVEN NUTS" BY ELECTROMAGENTICS IN IRAQ
> >
> > Like so many other American blunders among the ruins of Babylon, the
> > intended microwave "pacification" of rebellious neighborhoods is having
> > unintended effects. In actual "field-testing" in the Sunni Triangle,
> > Hank has learned that the hidden, dome-shaped devices "are removing
> > inhibitions". Armed individuals, already highly motivated to kill
> > American forces are reportedly "losing all restraint" when exposed to
> > the electromagnetic beams.
> >
> > According to Hank's buddies in Baghdad, the frequency-shifting "poppers"
> > "are having some remarkable effects on the locals as well as our own
> > people." But these effects differ. Possibly, Hank surmises, because
> > Americans come from daily domestic and military environments saturated
> > with electromagnetic frequencies, while many Iraqis still live without
> > reliable electricity in places largely free from electromagnetics before
> > the American invasion.
> >
> > According to members of Hank's former unit, constant exposure to
> > invisible emissions from radar and radio rigs microwave weapons says.
"It
> > makes them stupid for two or three days."
> >
> > The Desert Storm veteran compared the emotional effects of constant
> > exposure to military microwaves to a lingering low-pressure weather
> > system that never goes away. "You feel way down for days at a time," he
> > emphasizes
> >
> > As a consequence, AWOL rates among "spaced out" US troops are as high as
> > 15%, Hank reports. For many deserters, it is not cowardice or conscience
> > that is causing them to absent themselves from duty. "They are feeling
> > so depressed," Hank explains. "They don't feel good. So they leave."
> >
> > According to Hank's front-line buddies, Iraqis exposed to secret beam
> > weapons "get laid back, confused and mellow, and then blast out in a
> > rage, as opposed to our folks going on what could only be called a
> > 'bender', and turning into a mean drunk for a while."
> >

> > Once they wander away from direct electromagnetic-fire, startled GIs
> > come to their senses. They return to their units, Hank explains, saying,
> > "What was I thinking?"
> >
> > The recovery rate among US troops "seems to be about a day or so, where
> > the locals are not getting over it in less than a week or more on
> > average," Hank has learned.
> >
> > It is Hank's hope that his revelations will prompt public debate over
> > the secret use of electromagnetic weapons in Iraq. But lost in the
> > arguments over these supposedly "non-lethal" weapons is a much bigger
> > question: What are Americans doing there?
> >
> > Whether soldier or civilian at home, it is our imperative duty to stop
> > supporting those responsible for ongoing "weapons tests" in Iraq. As
> > electrochemical "beings of light," the strongest electromagnetic force
> > on Earth is human conscience, acted upon.
> >
> > Author's Bio: William Thomas
> >
> > After resigning his US Navy Reserve commission, William Thomas
> > subsequently
> > served
> > five months with a three-man environmental emergency response team in
> > the Gulf during and immediately after Desert Storm. He has written about
> > military electromagnetics in Scorched Earth and Bringing The War Home,
> > and has documented other microwave hazards in his new book "Dialing Our
> > Cells."
> >
>
>

572. Re: Poppers? --- I WANT ONE !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-27 18:18:03 UTC
From: Dave Holford <MyLastname@DELETE_THISsympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <lfaKd.7909$Yg6.1224292@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 15133
Cross-posted to: alt.engineering.electrical,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Dimitrios Tzortzakakis wrote:

> Radio signals will destroy you....
>

Wow, the population of Malvern, England must really have been cooked over the years with the assorted high power RADAR systems operated at the Royal Radar Establishment and the other stuff at the Telecommunications Research Establishment (The names and roles have changed several times over the years).

ISTR that the radiation was intense enough that during the coronation of Elizabeth II a fascinating and artistic? display of gas discharge tubes was erected and powered solely by the incident radiation. Certainly during my time there a neon or fluorescent bulb did not require wires to work.

Also the folks around Cutler, Maine with the 2+ Megawatt VLF transmitter which has been running for several generations must be showing some signs, as should the folks around Jim Creek etc. etc.

There are multiple places with transmitters on these frequencies running many, many times the power of any portable device - they have dedicated power lines or huge dedicated generators which obviously far exceed the capability of any transportable device.

But, I think I'll put an aluminum shield on my front lawn to protect me from that long range radar I can see across the field, trouble is there is a high power VHF TV transmitter beyond my back yard - maybe I'll dig a hole and line it with copper? They both use back-up generators which would require a very large truck to move and consume large quantities of fuel so I suspect they out-perform any portable 'bubble'.

Dave

573. Florida Mil Acft Comms - Wed 26 Jan 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-27 18:20:04 UTC
From: allanstern@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050127132004.12762.00000280@mb-m11.aol.com>
Source record: 15132

Patrick AFB Area log - Wed 26 Jan 2005

N500EH: Bell 212 Helo, US State Dept Intl Narcotics Mission, Patrick AFB
(Reg. Era Aviation Anchorage AK)
9:00am: Local training; lands 10:30am; IDs as DOS 500EH.
[133.75]

NASA 903: T-38N #63-8200, Johnson Space Ctr, Houston.
9:28am: Lands NASA-KSC Shuttle Landing Facility; YL pilot; air-air with NASA
961.
[284.0; 133.475, 124.8, 128.55; 235.4]

NASA 961: T-38N #70-1555, Johnson Space Ctr, Houston.
9:26am: Lands NASA-KSC Shuttle Landing Facility; air-air with NASA 903.
[133.475, 124.8, 128.55; 235.4]

PAT 358: US Army Priority Air Transport
9:35am: Inbound MacDill.
[311.0]

AIR TRANSPORT 400: DC-8 ATI, Little Rock (USAF Contractor)
10:10am: Arrives Patrick AFB from Ascension.
12:50pm: Departs.
[132.65, 133.75, 124.35, 118.4]

MAULER 03: S-3B, Jacksonville NAS VS-32 "Maulers"
10:14am: Comms with Sealord.
[267.5]

BOGIE 55: F-5, Fallon VFC-13
10:20am: Area transition.
[380.3]

BOGIE 03: F-5, Fallon VFC-13
10:40am: Area transition.
[306.9]

LIMA LIMA 51: P-3C, Jacksonville NAS VP-30 "Pro's Nest"
10:54am: Area transition.
[269.3]

FALCON 711: P-3C
11:20am: Comms with Fiddle, Jacksonville NAS.
[285.0]

LIMA ALPHA 048: P-3C, Jacksonville VP-5 "Mad Foxes."
11:25am: Area transition.
[348.7, 379.25, 135.225]

SCOUT 04: S-3B, Jacksonville VS-24 "Scouts"
11:30am: Touch-and-go at Patrick; on to NAS Jax.
[269.375, 132.65, 124.8, 307.0]

LIMA LIMA 21: P-3C, Jacksonville NAS VP-30 "Pro's Nest"
10:54am: En route to NAS Jax.
[133.475]

BLAZE 02: T-1A/BE-40 Columbus AETC 14FTW
12:37pm: Lands Patrick AFB after pattern work; rqsts 500 gals fuel.
3:25pm: Departs Patrick.
[133.75, 132.65, 372.2]

JOSA 403: C-21A #40137, Randolph AFB
12:09pm: Inbound MacDill; self-IDs; will upload 2 pax: one S-4 and one S-6.
Will depart at 1930z.
[311.0]

MAKOs: F-16C Flight, Homestead JARB 482FW 93FS
12:10pm: Works at Avon Park Bombing Range.
[307.1, 292.2, 285.725, 138.125]

CW 020: C-130T, New Orleans NAS/JRB VR-54 "Revellers"
12:14pm: Southbound.
2:39pm: Northbound to NAS Jax to offload two pallets and take on 20K pounds
fuel.
[133.475, 135.7, 310.2]

N3442P: PA-23 #23-1410, privately registered, but has some association with
Patrick AFB, possibly Dept of State.
12:40pm: Lands as IFE with stuck landing gear.
[133.75]
DECEE 91: KC-135R, Andrews AFB 459ARW
1:12pm: Arrives at Avon Park Bombing Range from TDY base MacDill AFB;
refuels numerous Whiteman AFB 442FW A-10 flights and several Homestead F-16
flights.
[292.2, 283.7]

MUSTANG 63: CE-208B #208B0163, US State Dept Intl Narcotics Mission, Patrick
AFB.
1:10pm: Lands Patrick.
[133.75]

SANDY 01: A-10 Flight, Whiteman AFB 442FW
1:40pm: Works at Avon Park Bombing Range; refuels with Decee 91.
[292.2]

CRACKER 01 through 04: A-10s, Whiteman AFB 442FW.
1:40pm: Self-IDs as SANDY 01 flight changing callsign.
[292.2]
Note: Walt has logged the Whiteman boys using "Cracker" in KS.

NASA 912: T-38N #65-10354, Johnson Space Ctr, Houston.
2:15pm: Departs SLF.

[128.55, 124.8, 133.475, 134.0]

NASA 906: T-38N #65-10326, Johnson Space Ctr, Houston.
2:20pm: Departs SLF.
[128.55, 124.8, 133.475, 134.0]

TERRA 5: Northrop Grumman JSTARS Ground Sta.
2:23pm: Test comms with acft P-3.
[231.75]

JAZZ 88: C-130H, New Orleans NAS 159FW 122FS
2:28pm: Lands Patrick.
[132.65, 133.75]

CHISEL 11: A-10A #79-0164, Whiteman AFB 442FW 303FS
2:50pm: At Avon Park Bombing Range; comms with KC-135 DECEE 91.
[292.2]

MILSAT
2:56pm: Voice.
[262.375]

DECEE 91: KC-135R, Andrews AFB 459ARW
3:00pm: After AAR of Chisel 11, departs to Dade-Collier A/p for pattern work. Says farewell to Avon controllers as if this is the last visit here during this week-long TDY.
[292.2]

MAKOs: Flight of 4 F-16s, Homestead 482FW 93FS
3:12pm: Cleared onto Avon Park Bombing Range.
4:00pm: RTB to Homestead.
[292.2, 138.125]

VENUS 91: C-32A, B-757-200 #99-0001, Andrews AFB 99AS
3:20pm: Departs Patrick.
[133.75, 132.65, 124.8, 134.0]

NASA 963: T-38N #59-1603, Johnson Space Ctr, Houston.
3:35pm: Inbound SLF. 4:50pm: Lands.
[284.0]
My favorite NASA T-38 returns!

REACH 2103: C-17A #02-1103, McChord AFB 62AW
4:35pm: Lands Patrick.
5:50pm: Departs Patrick.
[372.2, 133.75, 132.65]

NASA 917: T-38N #66-8383, Johnson Space Ctr, Houston.
4:37pm: Inbound SLF.
[132.65]

LIMA LIMA 32: P-3C, Jax NAS VP-30 "Pro's Nest"
4:53pm: Pattern work at Patrick.
6:45pm: Departs area.

[133.75, 132.65]

NASA 902: T-38N #63-8193, Johnson Space Ctr, Houston.
4:57pm: Inbound SLF; YL pilot.
[132.65]

NASA 945: G-1159, Gulfstream II (STA), NASA-Johnson Space Ctr
5:15pm: STA activities, NASA Bravo airspace.
[126.65]

JOLLY 51: HH-60G Pave Hawk Helo, Moody AFB 41RQS
5:22pm: Arr Patrick AFB.
[269.375]

SCOUT 18: S-3B, Jax NAS VS-24 "Scouts"
5:32pm: Inbound Patrick for low approach.
[269.3]

NASA 4: G-1159 Gulfstream II, NASA-KSC
5:42pm: Lands Patrick.
[133.75]

DOS 671: UH-1H #73-21671, US State Dept Intl Narcotics Mission, Patrick AFB
6:30pm: Pattern work at Patrick.
[133.75]

CHISEL 11: A-10A, Whiteman AFB 442FW 303FS
6:33pm: Arrives at Avon Park Bombing Range; 5 BDUs each.
[292.2; 138.425 Air-air]

COIL 01: F-15A, single acft, FL-ANG 125FW 159FS "Jaguars"
6:55pm: Working in 7Xray area with SNAKE flight.
[346.25, 267.5, 282.6, 251.15]

KING 55: HC-130P #64-14855, Patrick AFB AFSOC 920RQW 39RQS
7:14pm: Departs Patrick.
10:55pm: Lands Patrick.
[124.35, 133.75]

NASA 959: T-38 #70-1550, Johnson Space Ctr, Houston
7:15pm: Lands at SLF.
[132.65, 128.55]
May not have been upgraded to N model.

CHISEL 21: A-10A Flight, Whiteman AFB 442FW 303FS
6:33pm: Wkg with FAC "Savage" at Avon Park Bombing Range; expends 5 BDUs each, 100 rounds 30 mike mike.
[349.0, 292.2; 264.625]

CHISEL 31: A-10A Flight, Whiteman AFB 442FW 303FS
7:30pm: AAR w BOLT 02 at Avon Park Bombing Range.
9:25pm: RTB.
[292.2, 238.9]

BOLT 02: KC-135R, MacDill AFB 6AMW
7:30pm: AAR with CHISEL 31 flight, incl #79-0092.
8:32pm: Comms with Groundhog Ops.
[292.2, 283.7, 238.9]

SNAKE 01: F-15, FL-ANG 125FW 159FS
7:38pm: Comms with Oakgrove.
[288.4]

CHISEL 11: A-10A, Whiteman AFB 442FW 303FS
8:00: Arrives at Avon Park Bombing Range to work in Placid MOA.
[292.2]

SHARK 21: F-16, Homestead JARB 482FW 93FS "Makos"
8:30pm: Working with FAC "Savage" at Avon Park Bombing Range. Has to
jettison BDUs and RTB.
[292.2, 139.8]

GOFER 02: KC-135R, MacDill 6AMW
9:30pm: RTB MacDill; also IDs as BOLT 02.
[292.2, 349.0]
I have heard MacDill BOLTs use the GOFER c/s before.

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL (28-11N 80-36W) monitoring
Patrick AFB (KCOF) NASA-KSC Shuttle Landing Fac (KX68)
Avon Park Bombing Range (KAGR) Cape Canaveral AFS (KXMR)
JSTARS E-8 Acft Integration Facility, Melbourne IAP (KMLB)
Worldwide Military HF Communications
Life Member: Missile, Space and Range Pioneers.
http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/index.html (My Freqs)
http://hometown.aol.com/scanaddict/index.html (My Equipment)

574. Re: Microwave RF based Weapons in use in Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-27 20:16:00 UTC
From: Jim <junk@junk.com>
Message-ID: <4_bKd.61516$re1.58991@fe2.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 15134
Cross-posted to: alt.engineering.electrical,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

What a load of crap, anyone with even a little radio knowledge will read this as pure nonsense. When did whales start hearing radio waves? And what the hell is the mix of VLF "Ver Low Frequency" ULF "Ultra Low Frequencies" and UHF "Ultra High Frequencies" got to do with Microwaves "Super High Frequencies"? I live a few miles from a 50,000 watt MF "Medium Frequencies" station at 700 Khz, theres several Mega Watt level Television stations within a few dozen miles, not to mention countless FM Broadcasts on every frequency from 30 to 1300 mhz everywhere. Our whales arnt hearing any of this ;-)

575. Re: Microwave RF based Weapons in use in Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-27 21:17:40 UTC
From: Jim <junk@junk.com>
Message-ID: <UTcKd.61520$re1.57814@fe2.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 15135
Cross-posted to: alt.engineering.electrical,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spam> wrote in message
news:87017833.2458789@tarrnews.net...
> On Thu, 27 Jan 2005 20:16:00 GMT, Jim <junk@junk.com> wrote:
>> ... I live a few miles from a 50,000 watt MF "Medium Frequencies"
>> station at 700 Khz, theres several Mega Watt level Television stations
>> within a few dozen miles, not to mention countless FM Broadcasts on every
>> frequency from 30 to 1300 mhz everywhere. Our whales arnt hearing any
>> of
>> this ;-)
>
> Your whales aren't hearing any of that because the stations have already
> destroyed their hearing, fool! Super hetrodyning in the water
> produces very intense sub sonic vibrations which puncture the whales'
> ear drums.
>
> Once a whale's sense of hearing is destroyed, it can't hear the shore,
> and it beaches itself.

You are joking right?

576. Re: Microwave RF based Weapons in use in Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-27 21:34:34 UTC
From: Dave Holford <MyLastname@DELETE_THISsympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <z7dKd.8362$mA5.631205@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 15136
Cross-posted to: alt.engineering.electrical,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Jim wrote:
> "Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:87017833.2458789@tarrnews.net...
>
>>On Thu, 27 Jan 2005 20:16:00 GMT, Jim <junk@junk.com> wrote:
>>
>>>... I live a few miles from a 50,000 watt MF "Medium Frequencies"
>>>station at 700 Khz, theres several Mega Watt level Television stations
>>>within a few dozen miles, not to mention countless FM Broadcasts on every
>>>frequency from 30 to 1300 mhz everywhere. Our whales arnt hearing any
>>>of
>>>this ;-)
>>
>>Your whales aren't hearing any of that because the stations have already
>>destroyed their hearing, fool! Super hetrodyning in the water
>>produces very intense sub sonic vibrations which puncture the whales'
>>ear drums.
>>
>>Once a whale's sense of hearing is destroyed, it can't hear the shore,
>>and it beaches itself.
>
>
> You are joking right?
>
>
Good grief, I hope so!
```

577. Reference Materials Wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-27 22:04:29 UTC
From: Brian Short <bshort4@cox.net>
Message-ID: <bshort4-0B80D9.15042927012005@news.central.cox.net>
Source record: 15137

Reference Materials Wanted

I am interested in pirate radio, scanning, LPFM,
clandestine radio, free radio, community radio,
shortwave listening, utility monitoring, etc.

I would like to find books or CDROMs dealing with
these topics.

For example, used Monitoring Times CD's or WUN, etc.

Thanks.

http://members.cox.net/bshort4/

578. Re: Microwave RF based Weapons in use in Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-27 22:20:09 UTC
From: Palindr☻me <sb382638@hotmail.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <35t7auF4q5oe0U1@individual.net>
Source record: 15138
Cross-posted to: alt.engineering.electrical,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Dave Holford wrote:
> Jim wrote:
>
>> "Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spam> wrote in message
>> news:87017833.2458789@tarrnews.net...
>>
>>> On Thu, 27 Jan 2005 20:16:00 GMT, Jim <junk@junk.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>> ... I live a few miles from a 50,000 watt MF "Medium Frequencies"
>>>> station at 700 Khz, theres several Mega Watt level Television stations
>>>> within a few dozen miles, not to mention countless FM Broadcasts on
>>>> every
>>>> frequency from 30 to 1300 mhz everywhere. Our whales arnt hearing
>>>> any of
>>>> this ;-)
>>>
>>>
>>> Your whales aren't hearing any of that because the stations have already
>>> destroyed their hearing, fool! Super hetrodyning in the water
>>> produces very intense sub sonic vibrations which puncture the whales'
>>> ear drums.
>>>
>>> Once a whale's sense of hearing is destroyed, it can't hear the shore,
>>> and it beaches itself.
>>
>>
>>
>> You are joking right?
>>
>>
> Good grief, I hope so!

SORRY! WHAT WAS THAT? I DIDN'T HEAR YOU.

--
Sue
```

579. ALINCO DJ-X3 reaction tune? Experience in general?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-27 23:39:10 UTC
From: bob <noone@nowhere.org>
Message-ID: <eYeKd.8422$mA5.649377@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 15139

I'm looking at the Alinco DJ-X3 scanner. I have a DJ-X10, AR8200, RadioShack Pro-96 and a few others, but none have the reaction tune, or nearfield tune, or whatever other trademarked term companies use.

The question is: How well does it work? Do you have to be right on top of the source for the receiver to lock on it? Can you limit the sweep range? Can you lock out certain frequencies?

Overall, are you happy with it?

(I read the reviews on eham.net, but none addressed the performance of the near-field tuning feature.)

Thanks!
B.

580. Re: What are cellular frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-28 00:35:50 UTC
From: Mike <cyberscan780@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <qNfKd.4667$HZ.3973@okepread07>
Source record: 15140

After they read your post, they will not be in the clear.

"NORMAN TRIANTAFILOS" <kb9ygd@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:q09Kd.1043$lg5.462@trnddc06...
> In Merrilville indiana(next to Gary In & Chicago) the FBI uses 170.500
> analog in the clear in a system that seems to me trunked with maby 3 to 5
> other freqs but they use 170.500 for survailance and it is very active and
> most of the time seems to be in use as a simplex channel being that i can
> hear both sides all the time through the mobil relay.Does anyone know any
> more about this system?
>
> --
> My Family Website-->
> http://mysite.verizon.net/res868sp/thetriantafilosfamily/index.html
My
> Amatuer Radio Website--> http://www.qsl.net/kb9ygd/index.html
> http://dx.qsl.net/logs <---Search My Logbook
> "NORMAN TRIANTAFILOS" <kb9ygd@verizon.net> wrote in message
> news:qu8Kd.1015$lg5.772@trnddc06...
> > go here they have a complete list of the cell
> > freqs.----->http://www.textfiles.com
> >
> > --
> > My Family Website-->
> > http://mysite.verizon.net/res868sp/thetriantafilosfamily/index.html
> My
> > Amatuer Radio Website--> http://www.qsl.net/kb9ygd/index.html
> > http://dx.qsl.net/logs <---Search My Logbook
> > "Packrat " <mikec@zonenet.net> wrote in message
> > news:5c_Jd.15063$NR1.3274@fe04.lga...
> > > Frequencies that land between 868.9375 and 896.1125 - and between
> 823.9375
> > > and 851.1125
> > >
> > > You know! The ones blocked out of your scanner.
> > >
> > > "Kap" <juvenile11691@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1106704309.139006.299050@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > > > Can anyone tell me
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >

> >
>
>

581. Re: Broadcast FM SCA subcarriers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-28 00:39:39 UTC
From: DougSlug <dougslug@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <cZfKd.1606$Lz5.450@fe10.lga>
Source record: 15141

The following is taken from the manual for the Ramsey Electronics SCA decoder kit, part number SCA1C:

"*A note concerning commercial use*
Although you may listen to these SCA broadcasts in you own home, any commercial usage, such as restaurant, bar, store or even at parties is considered theft of service and the owner of the copyrighted SCA service is entitled to collect payment. So, be cool, OK?"

I don't know how accurately this reflects the law, but it suggests that "armchair listening at home" may not be illegal. Does anybody know where to find the actual text of the law?

- Doug

"nana" <nana@netscape.net> wrote in message
news:35rm73F4oejf8U1@individual.net...
>
> "George W" <look@signature_to.reply> wrote in message
> news:RK%Jd.6943$8Z1.2637@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
>> Is that true even for non-commercial armchair listening at home?
>>
>>
> I'm pretty sure the law does not say "illegal, except for armchair
> listening at home" so the answer would be yes.
>
> Nana

582. Re: I bought a SCA radio on Yahoo Auctions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-28 00:42:04 UTC
From: DougSlug <dougslug@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <t%fKd.1607$kz5.552@fe10.lga>
Source record: 15142

I am in the Trenton area, not far from you, Doug...what SCA programming, if any, have you discovered at your location with this radio?
- Doug

"r392" <r392@verizon.net> wrote in message news:hz2Kd.11237$Dz2.457@trndny09...
>I bought a SCA radio AM FM radio with the upper and lower SCA setting for
> cheap on Yahoo auctions about a month ago brand new in box here is a link.
> I do not have anything to do with the guy just thought it was a good deal.
> the radio is a cheap radio. It has good audio and great sensitivity.
> for $30 well worth it.
> Doug

583. Re: Poppers? --- I WANT ONE !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-28 02:10:32 UTC
From: Ian Smith <ianinhoose@btinternet.naespam.com>
Message-ID: <41f99ac5$0$19506$a8266bb1@news.titannews.com>
Source record: 15143
Cross-posted to: alt.engineering.electrical,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Stephen M.H. Lawrence" <smhlawrence@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:-qidna1YtLbRhWXcRVn-pw@comcast.com...
>
> "tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote:
>> Poppers? --- I WANT ONE !!!
>
> Could I interest you in some Extreme Fajitas?
>
> 73,
>
> Steve

Are they spicy? I like extreme spice. Oh yes!

.....
Posted via TITANnews - Uncensored Newsgroups Access
>>>> at http://www.TitanNews.com <<<<
-=Every Newsgroup - Anonymous, UNCENSORED, BROADBAND Downloads=-

584. Re: Poppers? --- I WANT ONE !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-28 02:51:09 UTC
From: "Stephen M.H. Lawrence" <smhlawrence@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <9_2dnY2KC8UINWTcRVn-iQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 15144
Cross-posted to: alt.engineering.electrical,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Ian Smith" <ianinhoose@btinternet.naespam.com> wrote in message
news:41f99ac5$0$19506$a8266bb1@news.titannews.com...
> "Stephen M.H. Lawrence" <smhlawrence@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:-qidna1YtLbRhWXcRVn-pw@comcast.com...
>>
>> "tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote:
>>> Poppers? --- I WANT ONE !!!
>>
>> Could I interest you in some Extreme Fajitas?
>>
>> 73,
>>
>> Steve
>
> Are they spicy? I like extreme spice. Oh yes!

(chuckling)

I'm somewhat disappointed no-one picked up on my
"Office Space" reference (though I'll admit, it was obscure).

Office Space and "The Office" (BBCTV) are 2 of my
favorite productions, *ever.*

Ahem. On to spicy things: Habanero hot wings tonight.
A one course dinner; what could be easier?

73,

Steve

585. Florida Mil Acft Comms - Thurs 27 Jan 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-28 03:21:48 UTC
From: allanstern@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050127222148.02008.00000293@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 15145

Patrick AFB Area Log - Thurs 27 Jan 2005

BERRY 540: SW-4 Merlin (Govt Contractor)
8:59am: Forgoes landing at Patrick, due to fog, and continues milkrun to KPBI.
[133.75, 132.65]

CORSO 77: C-130E, PR-ANG 156AW 198AS San Juan "Flying Bucaneros"
9:10am: Area transition; probably same reason as Berry 540.
[132.65]

KING 16: C-130, Moody AFB 71RQS
10:05am: Departs Patrick late, due to fog.
[372.2, 335.8, 289.4, 133.75, 132.65]

TOPCAT 41: S-3B, Jacksonville NAS VS-31 "Topcats"
9:25am: In offshore Whiskey Area.
[267.5]

SNAKEs: F-15, FL-ANG 125FW 159FS
10:04am: In offshore Whiskey Area.
[267.5]

7N 639: UC-12B, Andrews NAF
10:28am: Descent in KPBI area.
[132.25]

SHARK 66
11:15am: Comms with Miami Ctr.

LN 45B: P-3C, Jacksonville NAS VP-45 "Pelicans"
11:27am: Parrot India checks with Oakgrove.
5:35pm: Operations in Whiskey Area.
[364.2, 267.5]

MAKO 21: F-16 flight of 4, Homestead JARB 482FW 93FS
11:29am: Arrives Placid MOA; warned of live fire exercise in south portion.
[307.1, 292.2]

SCOUT 01: S-3B, Jacksonville NAS VS-24 "Scouts"
11:35am: In Whiskey Area with 2 Mk-82s live; 112 mi South of Jax NAS.
[267.5]

DOS 11W: UH-1, US State Dept Intl Narcotics Mission, Patrick AFB
12:05pm: Departs for local training.
[133.75]

NASA 948: G-II #222, Johnson Space Ctr.
12:10pm: KSC ground comms re fueling.
Note: NASA 948 used to be Dan Rather's corporate jet.

CODY 01: C-130
12:30pm: Drops in Judy DZ.
[133.75, 251.9]

N964BW: CASA-212, Av Svc Worldwide, Melbourne IAP (DoD Services)
12:48pm: Inbound Melbourne IAP.
[132.65, 118.2]

ROCKET 521: T-39, Pensacola TW-6 VT-86
1:30pm: Arr Patrick.
3:40pm: Dep Patrick to Pensacola.
[289.4, 133.75, 132.65]

NAVY COD 01: C-2A
1:40pm: E/r Homestead.
[133.475]

GRUMMAN 88
2:29pm: Rqsts FL 460.
[267.5]

MAKO 11: F-16 flight, Homestead JARB 482FW 93FS
3:25pm; At Avon Park Bombing Range.
[292.2, 285.725, 281.5]

SHARK 21: F-16 flight, Homestead JARB 482FW 93FS
3:44pm: Arrives Avon Park Bombing Range, Placid MOA; laser ops.
[292.2, 264.625]
Very interesting comms as one pilot teaches a newby how to buddy-lase.

LN 45C: P-3C, Jacksonville NAS VP-45 "Pelicans"
3:45pm: Comms with Oakgrove.
5:34pm: Working in Whiskey Area.
[364.2, 267.5]

NASA 114 Flight: T-38s flown by STS-114 Astronaut Crew
4:22pm: Lands Shuttle Landing Facility.
[124.8, 132.65, 128.55; 235.4 Air-air]

WOLF(?) 51
4:30pm: Area transition. Hilarious air-air comms: "Chain of command is like monkeys in a tree; you look down and you see people; you look up and all you see is a--holes."
[319.0, 285.5, 380.3; 303.0 Air-air]

N410LM: G-V Gulfstream #578, Lockheed-Martin, Baltimore MD
4:58pm: Area transition.
NOTE: This acft used to belong to golfer Greg Norman; he now owns a newer one; same model.

KING 24: C-130, Patrick AFB 920RQW 39RQS
5:04pm: Ground comms.
[124.35]

HR 502: SH-60B, Mayport NAF HSL-48
5:50pm: Area transition.

NASA 944: G-1159 Gulfstream II #144 (STA acft)
6:00pm: STA work over SLF: "11,000, 7 miles for Rnwy 33." "10,000, 5 miles, Rnwy 33."
[126.65]

DOS 671: UH-1H #73-21671 US State Dept, Patrick AFB FL
6:20pm: Departs Patrick; local training.
8:10pm: Lands.
[269.375]
NOTE: UHF comms.

AFA2CX: NCS for USAF MARS Thurs 1900 Net.
7:00pm: Check-ins: AFA2RD, AFF2T, AFA1SX, AFA2CU, AFA2BT, AFA2XD, AFA2HO.
[143.45 out; 142.15 in]

MAKO 11: F-16 flight of 4, Homestead JARB 482FW 93FS
7:09pm: Work at Avon Park Bombing Range.
[292.2, 285.725, 138.125]

NASA 928: WB-57F #63-13298, Johnson Space Ctr, Houston.
7:45pm: Departs SLF.
[128.55, 124.8, 125.175]
Acft often is used as an air sampler for DoE, operated by National Ctr for Atmospheric Research.

NASA 909: T-38N #65-10351, Johnson Space Ctr, Houston.
7:55pm: Departs SLF.
[128.55, 124.8, 125.175]

SKYTOUR 224
8:20pm: Pilot declares emergency due to smoke in cabin; attempts to land in Ft Lauderdale.
[128.225, 125.075, 132.45]

JSTARS 02: E-8C, Northrop Grumman JSTARS Integration Facility, Melbourne FL
9:40pm: Lands Melbourne IAP.
[132.65, 118.2]

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL (28-11N 80-36W) monitoring
Patrick AFB (KCOF) NASA-KSC Shuttle Landing Fac (KX68)
Avon Park Bombing Range (KAGR) Cape Canaveral AFS (KXMR)
JSTARS E-8 Acft Integration Facility, Melbourne IAP (KMLB)
Worldwide Military HF Communications
Life Member: Missile, Space and Range Pioneers.
http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/index.html (My Freqs)
http://hometown.aol.com/scanaddict/index.html (My Equipment)

586. THIS IS REALLY GREAT AND IT REALLY WORKS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-28 05:07:00 UTC
From: "darellesbac@yahoo.com" <darellesbac@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106888820.769082.251910@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15146

Make Tons Of Money Fast!
I was browsing through newsgroups, just like you are, and came across an article similar to this that said you could make thousands of dollars within weeks with an initial investment of $6.00! I thought," Scam", but I was curious, so I kept reading. It said : send $1.00 to each of the 6 names and address stated in the article. Then place your name and address in the bottom of the list at #6, and post the article in at least 200 newsgroups. (There are thousands) No catch.
I was skeptical and worried about the legal aspects of it all, but checked it out with the U.S. Post Office (1-800-725-2161) and they confirmed that it is legal! I invested the measly $6.00. GUESS WHAT!!... Within 7 days, I started getting money in the mail! I figured it would end soon, but the money kept coming in. My first week, I made about $25.00. By the end of the second week I had made a total of over $1,000.00! Third week I had over $10,000.00. Fourth week and I've made a total of just over $42,000.00! How and why this works.. Make a copy of this NOW, so you can get information off of it as you need it.
1: Get 6 separate pieces of paper and write the following on each piece "PLEASE PUT ME ON YOUR MAILING LIST." Now get 6 US $1.00 bills and place ONE inside EACH of the 6 pieces of paper so the bill will not be seen through the envelope to prevent thievery. Next, place one paper in each of the 6 envelopes and seal them. What you are doing is creating a service by this. Mail the 6 envelopes to :
#1) Gary Wilson 21 Weston St. Apt-315 GrandRapids, MI 49503
#2) Fred P.O. Box 25534 Yuma, AZ 85367
#3] Jason Calbourn 452 Kuhn Street Pontiac, MI. 48342
#4] Natalie Sanderson 114 Oak Hereford, TX 79045
#5] Demetria Garcia P.O. Box 421 Richgrove CA 93261
#6) Thomas Ledbetter 1401 E.Bristol St.Apt. A202 Phila Pa 19124
2: Take the #1 name off the list that you see above, move the other names up (6 becomes 5, 5 becomes 4, etc...) and add YOUR Name as number 6 on the list. Change anything you need to, but try to keep this article as close to original as possible. Now, post your amended article to at least 200 newsgroups. (there are close to 24,000 groups) Just need 200, but remember, more posting, more money.

587. Re: THIS IS REALLY GREAT AND IT REALLY WORKS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-28 06:50:15 UTC
From: Paul Keenleyside <bcpleights@teluz.net>
Message-ID: <HglKd.53109$Qb.35931@edtnps89>
Source record: 15147

<darellesbac@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1106888820.769082.251910@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> Make Tons Of Money Fast!
> I was browsing through newsgroups, just like you are, and came across
> an article similar to this that said you could make thousands of
> dollars within weeks with an initial investment of $6.00!

It's been done. Pyramid Scheme. Illegal.Waste of time.

get the picture?

588. Re: Poppers? --- I WANT ONE !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-28 14:27:23 UTC
From: Palindr☻me <sb382638@hotmail.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <35v0e3F4r4v4aU1@individual.net>
Source record: 15148
Cross-posted to: alt.engineering.electrical,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Stephen M.H. Lawrence wrote:
> "Ian Smith" <ianinhoose@btinternet.naespam.com> wrote in message
> news:41f99ac5$0$19506$a8266bb1@news.titannews.com...
>
>>"Stephen M.H. Lawrence" <smhlawrence@comcast.net> wrote in message
>>news:-qidna1YtLbRhWXcRVn-pw@comcast.com...
>>
>>>"tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote:
>>>
>>>>Poppers? --- I WANT ONE !!!
>>>
>>>Could I interest you in some Extreme Fajitas®?
>>>
>>>73,
>>>
>>>Steve
>>
>> Are they spicy? I like extreme spice. Oh yes!
>
>
> (chuckling)
>
> I'm somewhat disappointed no-one picked up on my
> "Office Space" reference (though I'll admit, it was obscure).
>
> Office Space and "The Office" (BBCTV) are 2 of my
> favorite productions, *ever.*
>

The only blue screen of (brain) death I have is provided by Microsoft...

--

Sue

589. Re: Poppers? --- I WANT ONE !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-28 15:10:36 UTC
From: Unclaimed Mysteries <theletter_k_andthenumeral_4_doh@unclaimedmysteries.net>
Message-ID: <MBsKd.196$Ix.99@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 15149
Cross-posted to: alt.engineering.electrical,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Stephen M.H. Lawrence wrote:

> "Ian Smith" <ianinhoose@btinternet.naespam.com> wrote in message
> news:41f99ac5$0$19506$a8266bb1@news.titannews.com...
>
>>"Stephen M.H. Lawrence" <smhlawrence@comcast.net> wrote in message
>>news:-qidna1YtLbRhWXcRVn-pw@comcast.com...
>>
>>>"tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote:
>>>
>>>>Poppers? --- I WANT ONE !!!
>>>
>>>Could I interest you in some Extreme Fajitasï¿½?
>>>
>>>73,
>>>
>>>Steve
>>
>> Are they spicy? I like extreme spice. Oh yes!
>
>
> (chuckling)
>
> I'm somewhat disappointed no-one picked up on my
> "Office Space" reference (though I'll admit, it was obscure).
>

I H4VE PEOPLE SK1LLLZ!!!!

--
It Came From C. L. Smith's Unclaimed Mysteries.
http://www.unclaimedmysteries.net

Of course I went to law school. - Warren Zevon, "Mr. Bad Example"

590. Re: THIS IS REALLY GREAT AND IT REALLY WORKS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-28 15:24:29 UTC
From: tom <cyberhun@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <NOsKd.196826$6l.150807@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 15250

Really, OK, what do I do?

<darellesbac@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1106888820.769082.251910@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> Make Tons Of Money Fast!
> I was browsing through newsgroups, just like you are, and came across
> an article similar to this that said you could make thousands of
> dollars within weeks with an initial investment of $6.00! I thought,"
> Scam", but I was curious, so I kept reading. It said : send $1.00 to
> each of the 6 names and address stated in the article. Then place your
> name and address in the bottom of the list at #6, and post the article
> in at least 200 newsgroups. (There are thousands) No catch.
> I was skeptical and worried about the legal aspects of it all, but
> checked it out with the U.S. Post Office (1-800-725-2161) and they
> confirmed that it is legal! I invested the measly $6.00. GUESS
> WHAT!!... Within 7 days, I started getting money in the mail! I
> figured it would end soon, but the money kept coming in. My first
> week, I made about $25.00. By the end of the second week I had made a
> total of over $1,000.00! Third week I had over $10,000.00. Fourth week
> and I've made a total of just over $42,000.00! How and why this
> works.. Make a copy of this NOW, so you can get information off of it
> as you need it.
> 1: Get 6 separate pieces of paper and write the following on each
> piece "PLEASE PUT ME ON YOUR MAILING LIST." Now get 6 US $1.00 bills
> and place ONE inside EACH of the 6 pieces of paper so the bill will
> not be seen through the envelope to prevent thievery. Next, place one
> paper in each of the 6 envelopes and seal them. What you are doing is
> creating a service by this. Mail the 6 envelopes to :
> #1) Gary Wilson 21 Weston St. Apt-315 GrandRapids, MI 49503
> #2) Fred P.O. Box 25534 Yuma, AZ 85367
> #3] Jason Calbourn 452 Kuhn Street Pontiac, MI. 48342
> #4] Natalie Sanderson 114 Oak Hereford, TX 79045
> #5] Demetria Garcia P.O. Box 421 Richgrove CA 93261
> #6) Thomas Ledbetter 1401 E.Bristol St.Apt. A202 Phila Pa 19124
> 2: Take the #1 name off the list that you see above, move the other
> names up (6 becomes 5, 5 becomes 4, etc...) and add YOUR Name as
> number 6 on the list. Change anything you need to, but try to keep
> this article as close to original as possible. Now, post your amended
> article to at least 200 newsgroups. (there are close to 24,000 groups)
> Just need 200, but remember, more posting, more money.
>

591. Is winradio really all that good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-28 15:28:13 UTC
From: tom <cyberhun@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <hSsKd.195576$Xk.176317@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 15251

Is winradio as good as it's made out to be?
I'm thinking of buying one but I'd like to make sure there aren't any really dis-satisfied customers out there first.

592. Trade ALL for Laptop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-28 17:10:41 UTC
From: Scan Da Bands <vzeip5vc@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <fdskv0l9tt6fg45ap4t796944qv9fqkon3@4ax.com>
Source record: 15252

Uniden BC250D MINT - No Card - All accessories
Uniden BC780 xlt In the Box with all - Image Mod done
Relm 200 Mobile Scanner - Alpha,PC software,Great Shape
Pro-43 - Excellent with custom case - 800 mod and beep mode done
Pro-2006 with LED Mods done (e-mail for more info)
Optoelectronics Scout 40 - Excellent shape also

Looking for an Internet ready laptop with all docs , disk , etc.

All these are in great 100% working order.

Please no junk , looking for an equal trade for value.

Thanks, Scan Da Bands

593. Re: THIS IS REALLY GREAT AND IT REALLY WORKS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-28 18:02:20 UTC
From: B L R <fake_address@noemail.com>
Message-ID: <M6vKd.4483$Q72.2654@tornado.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 15253

----------THIS IS A FEDERAL
CRIME.----------

"tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:NOsKd.196826$6l.150807@pd7tw2no...
> Really, OK, what do I do?
>
> <darellesbac@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1106888820.769082.251910@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>> Make Tons Of Money Fast!
>> I was browsing through newsgroups, just like you are, and came across
>> an article similar to this that said you could make thousands of
>> dollars within weeks with an initial investment of $6.00! I thought,"
>> Scam", but I was curious, so I kept reading. It said : send $1.00 to
>> each of the 6 names and address stated in the article. Then place your
>> name and address in the bottom of the list at #6, and post the article
>> in at least 200 newsgroups. (There are thousands) No catch.
>> I was skeptical and worried about the legal aspects of it all, but
>> checked it out with the U.S. Post Office (1-800-725-2161) and they
>> confirmed that it is legal! I invested the measly $6.00. GUESS
>> WHAT!!... Within 7 days, I started getting money in the mail! I
>> figured it would end soon, but the money kept coming in. My first
>> week, I made about $25.00. By the end of the second week I had made a
>> total of over $1,000.00! Third week I had over $10,000.00. Fourth week
>> and I've made a total of just over $42,000.00! How and why this
>> works.. Make a copy of this NOW, so you can get information off of it
>> as you need it.
>> 1: Get 6 separate pieces of paper and write the following on each
>> piece "PLEASE PUT ME ON YOUR MAILING LIST." Now get 6 US $1.00 bills
>> and place ONE inside EACH of the 6 pieces of paper so the bill will
>> not be seen through the envelope to prevent thievery. Next, place one
>> paper in each of the 6 envelopes and seal them. What you are doing is
>> creating a service by this. Mail the 6 envelopes to :
>> #1) Gary Wilson 21 Weston St. Apt-315 GrandRapids, MI 49503
>> #2) Fred P.O. Box 25534 Yuma, AZ 85367
>> #3] Jason Calbourn 452 Kuhn Street Pontiac, MI. 48342
>> #4] Natalie Sanderson 114 Oak Hereford, TX 79045
>> #5] Demetria Garcia P.O. Box 421 Richgrove CA 93261
>> #6) Thomas Ledbetter 1401 E.Bristol St.Apt. A202 Phila Pa 19124
>> 2: Take the #1 name off the list that you see above, move the other
>> names up (6 becomes 5, 5 becomes 4, etc...) and add YOUR Name as
>> number 6 on the list. Change anything you need to, but try to keep

>> this article as close to original as possible. Now, post your amended
>> article to at least 200 newsgroups. (there are close to 24,000 groups)
>> Just need 200, but remember, more posting, more money.
>>
>
>

594. BC780XLT or PRO-2006?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-28 18:29:11 UTC
From: Frank Bals <fjb@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <XvvKd.35203$8u5.14886@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 15254

I have the chance to pick up either one of these scanners used for the same price. Which is a better receiver for sensitivity, dynamic range, intermod rejection?

Frank

595. Re: Microwave RF based Weapons in use in Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-28 20:21:47 UTC
From: Me <Me@shadow.orgs>
Message-ID: <Me-47D63B.11221528012005@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 15255
Cross-posted to: alt.engineering.electrical,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <87017833.2458789@tarrnews.net>, "Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spam>
wrote:

> On Thu, 27 Jan 2005 20:16:00 GMT, Jim <junk@junk.com> wrote:
> > ... I live a few miles from a 50,000 watt MF "Medium Frequencies"
> > station at 700 Khz, theres several Mega Watt level Television stations
> > within a few dozen miles, not to mention countless FM Broadcasts on every
> > frequency from 30 to 1300 mhz everywhere. Our whales arnt hearing any of
> > this ;-)
>
> Your whales aren't hearing any of that because the stations have already
> destroyed their hearing, fool! Super hetrodyning in the water
> produces very intense sub sonic vibrations which puncture the whales'
> ear drums.
>
> Once a whale's sense of hearing is destroyed, it can't hear the shore,
> and it beaches itself.

Lloydooo,
You need to increase the layers of aluminum foil that surround your
head, then after that you need to get a refund on all those college level
Physic's Courses, mommy and daddy paid for, cause you shure don't
understand the Physics and Math involved here. As it is obvious, that
you don't comprehend the science, it would be useless for any of us who
do, to try and explain it to you, as you don't have the basic vocabulary
down. Now be a good boy and GO AWAY........

Me

596. New Hunting reality show

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-28 23:12:24 UTC
From: thruthewoods32@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1106953944.214703.83410@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15256

Have you heard about this new hunting reality TV show that's seeking participants? It's called "Hunting for Bambi" and it's like Fear Factor meets Girls Gone Wild. 6 guys get to hunt 12 naked women in the woods with paintball guns. Sounds like it should be a new Olympic sport if you ask me! Definitely better than hunting deer. lol! Go to www.huntingforbambi.com if you're interested in signing up.

597. Re: BC780XLT or PRO-2006?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-29 00:14:21 UTC
From: "Rich Carlson, N9JIG" <no@no.no>
Message-ID: <no-15B89E.18142028012005@comcast.dca.giganews.com>
Source record: 15257

In article <XvvKd.35203$8u5.14886@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"Frank Bals" <fjb@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

> I have the chance to pick up either one of these scanners used for the same
> price. Which is a better receiver for sensitivity, dynamic range, intermod
> rejection?
>
> Frank

I would go for the BC780.

Advantages of the 780:
500 memories (vs 400 for the 2006)
Trunking (EDACS, LTR and Moto). The 2006 has no trunking.
Computer programming and control (The 2006 has neither) (This allows the
780 to be used as the second radio in a Trunker set-up if so inclined.)
PL/DPL (2006 has neither)
Age. The 780 is a fairly current radio, only recently discontinued in
favor of the 785 and 796. The 2006 is a 15 year old design.
Size. The 780 is half the size of the 2006, fits in a car or a desk
better.
Construction. The 2006 has a plastic case, the 780 has a metal case.
Other features include a signal strength meter and variable delays.

There are a few advantages to the 2006 as well, but I still think the
780 is the better radio for most.

The 2006 can be opened for cell coverage. Since most cell calls these
days are digital it really doesn't mean as much as it did back in the
hey day of the 2006.

The 2006 has more room for various other mods. There are bunches of them
all over the net and in various books. Some of these bring to the table
features already included in the 780.

I have a couple 2006's I would trade for 780's in a heartbeat...

--
Rich Carlson, N9JIG
rich#n9jig*com
Change the # to @ and the * to .

598. Re: BC780XLT or PRO-2006?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-29 00:34:32 UTC
From: Rob Mills <robmills@cox.net>
Message-ID: <nSAKd.4701$HZ.1833@okepread07>
Source record: 15258

"Rich Carlson, N9JIG" <no@no.no> wrote in message
news:no-15B89E.18142028012005@comcast.dca.giganews.com...

>>> I would go for the BC780.<<<

I'll wade in on this also. I have a 2006 and a BC895XLT (probably half the radio the 780 is) and I hardly ever turn the 2006 on. The only reason I even keep the 2006 is that I've had it since it was new and don't have the heart to unload it (also have a BC4, keep it for same reason), the 06 is a great scanner but very out dated. RM ~

599. Re: Is winradio really all that good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-29 01:00:55 UTC
From: Jim Douglas <james.douglas@genesis-software.com>
Message-ID: <bYGdnRS4yY_dfWfcRVn-2A@comcast.com>
Source record: 15260

Check the reviews in "Passport To World Radio" It talks about a few models the Winradio G303i , Ten-Tec-320D and Icom IC-PCR1000. From what I remember they liked the Icom PCR1000, it's in the Passport 2004 Edition I was reading this in, sorry don't have the Passport 2005. I would get the PassPort 2005 as there could be more models and more improvements to the existing models.

"tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:hSsKd.195576$Xk.176317@pd7tw3no...
> Is winradio as good as it's made out to be?
> I'm thinking of buying one but I'd like to make sure there aren't any
really
> dis-satisfied customers out there first.
>
>

600. Re: THIS IS REALLY GREAT AND IT REALLY WORKS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-29 01:02:13 UTC
From: Jim Douglas <james.douglas@genesis-software.com>
Message-ID: <p4GdnSBzAfUSfWfcRVn-pQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 15259

Right and you know the Federal laws! Who gives a shit, let these idiots send their $$$$ to the next person on the list for a recipe, business proposal or whatever it is!

"B L R" <fake_address@noemail.com> wrote in message news:M6vKd.4483$Q72.2654@tornado.tampabay.rr.com...
> ----------
> ----------THIS IS A FEDERAL
> CRIME.----------
> ----------
>
>
> "tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote in message
> news:NOsKd.196826$6l.150807@pd7tw2no...
> > Really, OK, what do I do?
> >
> > <darellesbac@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:1106888820.769082.251910@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >> Make Tons Of Money Fast!
> >> I was browsing through newsgroups, just like you are, and came across
> >> an article similar to this that said you could make thousands of
> >> dollars within weeks with an initial investment of $6.00! I thought,"
> >> Scam", but I was curious, so I kept reading. It said : send $1.00 to
> >> each of the 6 names and address stated in the article. Then place your
> >> name and address in the bottom of the list at #6, and post the article
> >> in at least 200 newsgroups. (There are thousands) No catch.
> >> I was skeptical and worried about the legal aspects of it all, but
> >> checked it out with the U.S. Post Office (1-800-725-2161) and they
> >> confirmed that it is legal! I invested the measly $6.00. GUESS
> >> WHAT!!... Within 7 days, I started getting money in the mail! I
> >> figured it would end soon, but the money kept coming in. My first
> >> week, I made about $25.00. By the end of the second week I had made a
> >> total of over $1,000.00! Third week I had over $10,000.00. Fourth week
> >> and I've made a total of just over $42,000.00! How and why this
> >> works.. Make a copy of this NOW, so you can get information off of it
> >> as you need it.
> >> 1: Get 6 separate pieces of paper and write the following on each
> >> piece "PLEASE PUT ME ON YOUR MAILING LIST." Now get 6 US $1.00 bills
> >> and place ONE inside EACH of the 6 pieces of paper so the bill will
> >> not be seen through the envelope to prevent thievery. Next, place one
> >> paper in each of the 6 envelopes and seal them. What you are doing is
> >> creating a service by this. Mail the 6 envelopes to :

> >> #1) Gary Wilson 21 Weston St. Apt-315 GrandRapids, MI 49503
> >> #2) Fred P.O. Box 25534 Yuma, AZ 85367
> >> #3] Jason Calbourn 452 Kuhn Street Pontiac, MI. 48342
> >> #4] Natalie Sanderson 114 Oak Hereford, TX 79045
> >> #5] Demetria Garcia P.O. Box 421 Richgrove CA 93261
> >> #6) Thomas Ledbetter 1401 E.Bristol St.Apt. A202 Phila Pa 19124
> >> 2: Take the #1 name off the list that you see above, move the other
> >> names up (6 becomes 5, 5 becomes 4, etc...) and add YOUR Name as
> >> number 6 on the list. Change anything you need to, but try to keep
> >> this article as close to original as possible. Now, post your amended
> >> article to at least 200 newsgroups. (there are close to 24,000 groups)
> >> Just need 200, but remember, more posting, more money.
> >>
> >
> >
>
>

601. Re: BC780XLT or PRO-2006?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-29 01:06:21 UTC
From: "Rich Carlson, N9JIG" <no@no.no>
Message-ID: <no-113419.19062028012005@comcast.dca.giganews.com>
Source record: 15261

In article <nSAKd.4701$HZ.1833@okepread07>,
"Rob Mills" <robmills@cox.net> wrote:

> "Rich Carlson, N9JIG" <no@no.no> wrote in message
> news:no-15B89E.18142028012005@comcast.dca.giganews.com...
>
> >>> I would go for the BC780.<<<
>
> I'll wade in on this also. I have a 2006 and a BC895XLT (probably half the
> radio the 780 is) and I hardly ever turn the 2006 on. The only reason I even
> keep the 2006 is that I've had it since it was new and don't have the heart
> to unload it (also have a BC4, keep it for same reason), the 06 is a great
> scanner but very out dated. RM ~

Well said!

I have had 4 different 2004's over the years, a single 2005 and 4
different 2006's (one with an OS456) I still have the 2005 and 3 of the
2006's. The 2004's have all been sold, except the one that blew up...

I use 2 of the 2006's daily, one as a data radio for Trunker, and the
OS456 equipped one as a second radio for Trunker. This doesn't work too
well though, as they tend to get a whine when 2 radios are receiving in
the same band near each other.. I plan on swapping the second (tracking)
radio for the Trunker set up to a PRO2052 I have laying around.

As for the 780/796 bunch, I have 4 780's and 2 796's. Great radios! I
like the size/form factor as well as the operation. The 796 is a little
different operationally, it took me a long time to get used to the Hold
button instead of the Manual button, as well as the reversed
Volume/Tuning controls!

I never had a BC895 or 898, but I have heard others swear by them or at
them...

The 2006's have great sensitivity with decent selectivity. They were
pretty well unrivaled for this until the 780 came out, I think the 780
has the 2006 beat for this now.

--
Rich Carlson, N9JIG
rich#n9jig*com
Change the # to @ and the * to .

602. Re: BC780XLT or PRO-2006?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-29 01:07:43 UTC
From: Frank Bals <fjb@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <zlBKd.107949$w62.92676@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 15262

I know the 780XLT has more features, but I'm specifically interested in how they compare in sensitivity, dynamic range, and intermod rejection.

Frank

"Frank Bals" <fjb@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:XvvKd.35203$8u5.14886@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>I have the chance to pick up either one of these scanners used for the same
>price. Which is a better receiver for sensitivity, dynamic range, intermod
>rejection?
>
> Frank
>

603. Uniden BC92XLT Nascar scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-29 01:29:58 UTC
From: Steve G <geie@rocketmail.com>
Message-ID: <NZOdnTDl1OZee2fcRVn-rA@comcast.com>
Source record: 15263

Hey folks,
I don't know if I can't "search" anymore in my old age, or just what is going on. Anyway, I'm looking for reviews of the BC92XLT scanner. Can't find one anywhere. Anyone have first hand knowledge? My local PD and Fire are digital, and I know this won't receive them. It sure looks like it will meet my other needs though.

My biggest concern is whether it is a good all around radio, or if it's a cheapie "gimmick" radio mainly for use at the track.

Any first hand knowledge would be great! A point to some reviews would be great too. Thanks.

604. Re: BC780XLT or PRO-2006?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-29 01:35:39 UTC
From: Rob Mills <robmills@cox.net>
Message-ID: <GLBKd.4707$HZ.1607@okepread07>
Source record: 15264

"Frank Bals" <fjb@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:zlBKd.107949$w62.92676@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...

>>> I know the 780XLT has more features, but I'm specifically interested <<<

That being said, could be the 2006 might win out(sorry I can't compare).
Removing all the features of later scanners the 2006 and 2004 scanners are
awesome radios. RM~

605. Re: Is winradio really all that good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-29 01:52:52 UTC
From: nospam@nospam.com
Message-ID: <41faecda.13177859@news-server>
Source record: 15265

Half of what you remember is correct, the other half is not: They did indeed review all the three radios you mention, but the Winradio was a clear winner. They said it is the "ne plus ultra" amongst all PC radios. I have the G313 and I would never ever look back. Superb radio.

Geoff

>Check the reviews in "Passport To World Radio" It talks about a few models
>the Winradio G303i , Ten-Tec-320D and Icom IC-PCR1000. From what I remember
>they liked the Icom PCR1000, it's in the Passport 2004 Edition I was reading
>this in, sorry don't have the Passport 2005. I would get the PassPort 2005
>as there could be more models and more improvements to the existing models.
>
>"tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote in message
>news:hSsKd.195576$Xk.176317@pd7tw3no...
>> Is winradio as good as it's made out to be?
>> I'm thinking of buying one but I'd like to make sure there aren't any
>really
>> dis-satisfied customers out there first.
>>
>>
>
>

606. For sale/Trade BC60XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-29 02:17:03 UTC
From: Kap <juvenile11691@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1106965023.487095.282010@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15266

Will sell scanner for $65.00 or trade for scanner with more channels.
Any questions email me at juvenile11691@aol.com

607. Re: BC780XLT or PRO-2006?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-29 02:24:58 UTC
From: Bob Parnass <not-for-mail@fake.invalid>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.01.29.02.24.58.396220@fake.invalid>
Source record: 15267

On Sat, 29 Jan 2005 01:07:43 +0000, Frank Bals wrote:

> I know the 780XLT has more features, but I'm specifically interested in how
> they compare in sensitivity, dynamic range, and intermod rejection.

I experienced more intermod on the BC780XLT than the PRO-2006.

--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S GNU/Linux User http://parnass.com

608. Re: Is winradio really all that good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-29 03:32:15 UTC
From: SamSez <samtheman@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <3tDKd.1033$Hb4.510@trndny03>
Source record: 15268

"Geoff Burginon" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:41faecda.13177859@news-server...
> Half of what you remember is correct, the other half is not: They did
> indeed review all the three radios you mention, but the Winradio was a
> clear winner. They said it is the "ne plus ultra" amongst all PC
> radios. I have the G313 and I would never ever look back. Superb
> radio.
>
> Geoff

and only half of that is right. The winradio g303i and tentec rx-3200 were BOTH rated 4-star 'passport's choice'. The icom pcr1000 was only rated 3 stars.

However, this IS rec.radio.scanner -- not rec.radio.shortwave, and Passport's 'Verdict' section on the icom says "Among tested PC-controlled receivers, the Japanese-made Icom IC-PCR1000 is the most appropriate for wideband frequency coverage." -- which kind of sounds like what a rec.radio.scanner questioner would be interested in...

609. Mil Acft Comms Log - Friday 28 Jan 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-29 05:30:07 UTC
From: allanstern@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050129003007.16795.00000295@mb-m16.aol.com>
Source record: 15269

Patrick AFB Area Log - Friday 28 Jan 2005

LIMA LIMA 04: P-3C, Jacksonville NAS VP-30 "Pro's Nest"
10:00am: Touch-and-goes at Patrick.
[133.75]

TERRA 5: Northrop Grumman JSTARS Ground Station, Melbourne IAP.
10:03am: Testing.
[231.75]

JAZZ 88: C-130 #62-1859, New Orleans NAS 159FW 122FS
10:05am: Departs Patrick after a couple of days' stay; to New Orleans NAS JRB.
[133.75]
Thanks, Shawn Levy for the acft serial.

CODY 01: C-130 AFRC
11:11am: Departs Patrick to New Orleans NAS JRB; Cody 01 executed PJ drops in Judy DZ for the several days that he was here.
[118.4, 133.75, 132.65, 127.2]

HP 446: SH-60F ASW Helo, Mayport NAF HSL-44
11:32am: Transitions area.

VADER 06: C-130, OH-ANG Youngstown-Warren RAP 910AG
11:33am: "Inbound MacDill to pick up an A-10 unit [442FW]."
[311.0]

TALON 05: T-45A, Meridian TW-1 VT-7
11:39am: "Direct Atlanta," later "Direct Savannah." "FL270."
[319.0, 346.25]

NAVY COD 01: C-2A.
11:45am; Area transition.
[267.5, 348.7]

MAKO 11: F-16C, Homestead JARB 482FW 93FS
11:57am: Working Charlie Range at Avon Park Bombing Range.
[292.2, 285.725, 138.125]

SHARK 21: F-16C, Homestead JARB 482FW 93FS
12:05pm: Working at Avon Park Bombing Range; ACM; one acft acts as "Darkstar," directing the fighters.
[126.15, 292.2, 139.8]

PUJOE 11: T-6A, Moody AFB 479FTG 3FTS "Peugots"
12:11pm: Pattern work at Patrick.
[269.375, 132.65]

REACH 3874: KC-135R #63-8874, MacDill AFB 6AMW
5:53pm: Inbound MacDill.
[311.0]

LN 45F: P-3C, Jacksonville NAS VP-45 "Pelicans"
6:25pm: Relays position report for LN 45E to Sealord.
[267.5]

USCG Cutter Shrike, 87-Ft Patrol Boat, Port Canaveral
7:15pm: Operating in local area.
[157.15]

BOEING 4522V: B-747SP, Global Peace Initiative, Houston TX
10:55pm: Area transition.
This acft is also known as "Global Peace One," as it flies on its humanitarian missions, such as the current tsunami relief efforts.
http://www.globalpeacenow.com/default.aspx?PID=/aviation.htm

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL (28-11N 80-36W) monitoring
Patrick AFB (KCOF) NASA-KSC Shuttle Landing Fac (KX68)
Avon Park Bombing Range (KAGR) Cape Canaveral AFS (KXMR)
JSTARS E-8 Acft Integration Facility, Melbourne IAP (KMLB)
Worldwide Military HF Communications
Life Member: Missile, Space and Range Pioneers.
http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/index.html (My Freqs)
http://hometown.aol.com/scanaddict/index.html (My Equipment)

610. Re: Is winradio really all that good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-29 10:56:52 UTC
From: nospam@nospam.com
Message-ID: <41fb67ad.451203@news-server>
Source record: 15270

On Sat, 29 Jan 2005 03:32:15 GMT, "SamSez" <samtheman@verizon.net>
wrote:

>
>"Geoff Burginon" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message
>news:41faecda.13177859@news-server...
>> Half of what you remember is correct, the other half is not: They did
>> indeed review all the three radios you mention, but the Winradio was a
>> clear winner. They said it is the "ne plus ultra" amongst all PC
>> radios. I have the G313 and I would never ever look back. Superb
>> radio.
>>
>> Geoff
>
>and only half of that is right. The winradio g303i and tentec rx-3200 were BOTH
>rated 4-star 'passport's choice'. The icom pcr1000 was only rated 3 stars.

Yes, and only half of that is right. ;-) TenTec got 4 stars and
WinRadio also, but only WinRadio was declared "ne plus ultra amongst
PC receivers". (Just looked up "ne plus ultra" in a dictionary to be
sure, it means "the pinnacle" or "the ultimate", simply the very
best.)

And the WRTH awarded five stars to G303 with a glowing review.

To anyone who has tried both receivers, the difference between TenTec
320 and Winradio G303 is quite obvious: You buy TenTec if you are
happy with a conventional performance receiver with a crude PC
control, and your budget is tight. You buy WinRadio if you want more
innovation and refinement, better performance and better features, and
don't mind paying a little more.

>However, this IS rec.radio.scanner -- not rec.radio.shortwave, and Passport's
>'Verdict' section on the icom says "Among tested PC-controlled receivers, the
>Japanese-made Icom IC-PCR1000 is the most appropriate for wideband frequency
>coverage." -- which kind of sounds like what a rec.radio.scanner questioner
>would be interested in...

Indeed. In such case I would have however recommend the WinRadio
WR-1550e. I happen to own this model also, and in my experience it
runs circles around the PCR 1000.

Geoff

611. PC controlled reciever --- good idea? bad idea? or a just plain ugly one?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-29 13:13:04 UTC
From: tom <cyberhun@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <AZLKd.202511$8l.31044@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 15271

Since personal computers are so powerful and cheap these days, I wonder if there is a wideband reciever whose tuning function can be controlled by PC, then it would simply be a matter of writing the software (or finding pre-existing shareware) to change the frequency that the radio is tuned to, and monitor the output of the reciever for signals, and you would have essentialy the same thing as a thousand dollar winradio but perhaps for a fraction of the cost.
I know that VHF transcievers can be interfaced with a PC to create digital transcievers and all (almost all) of the functionality stems from software subroutines instead of hardware on the transciever --- a 'software' implementation instead of a hardware one, if you will. Rather than purchasing a hardware "Terminal Node Controller", you basically emulate the Node controllers functions in software.
Could the same thing be accomplished with a reciever? Is there a reciever out there that has solid state tuning that can easily be adapted to being controlled by a PC? Or is there a really simple, clear-cut reason why this is a bad idea, that I'm just not understanding (but should be)?

612. Re: Microwave RF based Weapons in use in Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-29 14:54:28 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <mo8nv0hqok0mv75ntbsj00k32ijf4hg75q@4ax.com>
Source record: 15272
Cross-posted to: alt.engineering.electrical,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

The most insidious RF weapon ever invented is Network Television.

613. Re: Is winradio really all that good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-29 16:05:38 UTC
From: Matt <pissoff@spammers.suck.com>
Message-ID: <ctgc8j$1l23$1@otis.netspace.net.au>
Source record: 15273

"Geoff Burginon" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:41fb67ad.451203@news-server...
> On Sat, 29 Jan 2005 03:32:15 GMT, "SamSez" <samtheman@verizon.net>
> >However, this IS rec.radio.scanner -- not rec.radio.shortwave, and
Passport's
> >'Verdict' section on the icom says "Among tested PC-controlled receivers,
the
> >Japanese-made Icom IC-PCR1000 is the most appropriate for wideband
frequency
> >coverage." -- which kind of sounds like what a rec.radio.scanner
questioner
> >would be interested in...
>
> Indeed. In such case I would have however recommend the WinRadio
> WR-1550e. I happen to own this model also, and in my experience it
> runs circles around the PCR 1000.
>
> Geoff

Concur - owning both a PCR1000 and a Winradio 1500e, I fully support the statement. My experience with the PCR 1000 has been very negative to put it mildly - it has shit sensitivity and has woeful support from hte manufacturer. The top of the line winradio gear is a damn sight more expensive, but there is not a lot of cost difference between the 1000 and the 1550 - my advice, don't waste your money on the Icom.

Matt

614. Re: Is winradio really all that good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-29 16:16:30 UTC
From: SamSez <samtheman@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <yFOKd.505$AY3.434@trndny05>
Source record: 15274

"Geoff Burginon" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:41fb67ad.451203@news-server...
snip
>
> >However, this IS rec.radio.scanner -- not rec.radio.shortwave, and Passport's
> >'Verdict' section on the icom says "Among tested PC-controlled receivers, the
> >Japanese-made Icom IC-PCR1000 is the most appropriate for wideband frequency
> >coverage." -- which kind of sounds like what a rec.radio.scanner questioner
> >would be interested in...
>
> Indeed. In such case I would have however recommend the WinRadio
> WR-1550e. I happen to own this model also, and in my experience it
> runs circles around the PCR 1000.
>
> Geoff
>

You might indeed recommend it, but Passport did not... [the original question?]

615. Re: Is winradio really all that good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-29 16:18:38 UTC
From: SamSez <samtheman@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <yHOKd.3021$6u4.1093@trndny01>
Source record: 15275

"Matt" <pissoff@spammers.suck.com> wrote in message
news:ctgc8j$1l23$1@otis.netspace.net.au...
> "Geoff Burginon" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message
> news:41fb67ad.451203@news-server...
> > On Sat, 29 Jan 2005 03:32:15 GMT, "SamSez" <samtheman@verizon.net>
> > >However, this IS rec.radio.scanner -- not rec.radio.shortwave, and
> Passport's
> > >'Verdict' section on the icom says "Among tested PC-controlled receivers,
> the
> > >Japanese-made Icom IC-PCR1000 is the most appropriate for wideband
> frequency
> > >coverage." -- which kind of sounds like what a rec.radio.scanner
> questioner
> > >would be interested in...
> >
> > Indeed. In such case I would have however recommend the WinRadio
> > WR-1550e. I happen to own this model also, and in my experience it
> > runs circles around the PCR 1000.
> >
> > Geoff
>
> Concur - owning both a PCR1000 and a Winradio 1500e, I fully support the
> statement. My experience with the PCR 1000 has been very negative to put it
> mildly - it has shit sensitivity and has woeful support from hte
> manufacturer. The top of the line winradio gear is a damn sight more
> expensive, but there is not a lot of cost difference between the 1000 and
> the 1550 - my advice, don't waste your money on the Icom.
>
>
>
>
>
> Matt
>

Disagree -- sold my 1500e and bought a second 1000. Each to his own. Spend
your money wisely.

616. Re: PC controlled reciever --- good idea? bad idea? or a just plain ugly one?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-29 22:00:44 UTC
From: B L R <fake_address@noemail.com>
Message-ID: <gITKd.6732$JO2.3636@tornado.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 15276

Google "SOFTWARE DEFINED RADIO"

Here is one manufacture.

http://www.flex-radio.com/

The future is NOW.
It is as you described, functions previously preformed by hardware are now
done with software.

Consider Audio Filters versus Digital Signal Processing as an example.
DSP is now available as freeware for the PC and works wonderfully.
Radios will be cheaper, have more features and be more flexible.

"tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:AZLKd.202511$8l.31044@pd7tw1no...
> Since personal computers are so powerful and cheap these days, I wonder if
> there is a wideband reciever whose tuning function can be controlled by
> PC, then it would simply be a matter of writing the software (or finding
> pre-existing shareware) to change the frequency that the radio is tuned
> to, and monitor the output of the reciever for signals, and you would have
> essentialy the same thing as a thousand dollar winradio but perhaps for a
> fraction of the cost.
> I know that VHF transcievers can be interfaced with a PC to create digital
> transcievers and all (almost all) of the functionality stems from software
> subroutines instead of hardware on the transciever --- a 'software'
> implementation instead of a hardware one, if you will. Rather than
> purchasing a hardware "Terminal Node Controller", you basically emulate
> the Node controllers functions in software.
> Could the same thing be accomplished with a reciever? Is there a reciever
> out there that has solid state tuning that can easily be adapted to being
> controlled by a PC? Or is there a really simple, clear-cut reason why
> this is a bad idea, that I'm just not understanding (but should be)?
>

617. Crystal or synthesized?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-29 22:59:00 UTC
From: John Schneider <thecop@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <5HUKd.914$fP7.507@fe10.lga>
Source record: 15277

I know the answer seems rather obvious, but I was wondering if anyone might be able to advise me as to which of the two receiving methods is the most sensitive. Would a quality scanner with a frequency crystal (VHF) outperform a digital scanner with all other things being equal (or at least as equal as possible)?

John thecop@optonline.net

618. Re: Is winradio really all that good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-29 23:29:53 UTC
From: nospam@nospam.com
Message-ID: <41fc1759.1072906@news-server>
Source record: 15278

On Sat, 29 Jan 2005 16:16:30 GMT, "SamSez" <samtheman@verizon.net>
wrote:

>
>"Geoff Burginon" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message
>news:41fb67ad.451203@news-server...
>snip
>>
>> >However, this IS rec.radio.scanner -- not rec.radio.shortwave, and Passport's
>> >'Verdict' section on the icom says "Among tested PC-controlled receivers, the
>> >Japanese-made Icom IC-PCR1000 is the most appropriate for wideband frequency
>> >coverage." -- which kind of sounds like what a rec.radio.scanner questioner
>> >would be interested in...
>>
>> Indeed. In such case I would have however recommend the WinRadio
>> WR-1550e. I happen to own this model also, and in my experience it
>> runs circles around the PCR 1000.
>>
>> Geoff
>>
>
>You might indeed recommend it, but Passport did not... [the original question?]
>

Passport did not review Winradio 1550e in fact. And even if they did,
I'd rather verify their opinion myself, as I always do - trust me I am
very well qualified for that. Also, as you are correctly implying
elsewhere, different people can have different needs and motivations,
and other issues rather than those of strictly objective technical
merit can, and often do, come into play, and I have seen this
happening with Passport also.

But that particular Passport comparison involved only Winradio G303i
vs TenTec vs PCR1000, which I have already covered. You can also see
the TenTec and Winradio receivers compared side by side by Lee
Reynolds in the August 2003 issue of the Monitoring Times magazine.
The G303i was, unsurprisingly, a clear winner.

So, the answer to the original question is, "yes, Winradio is really
that good", and often better than it is being credited for. I guess
the reason is that they are often miles ahead of others. Just look at
their latest G303e and G313i - there is simply no comparison:
http://www.winradio.com/home/g303e.htm
http://www.winradio.com/home/g313i.htm

Geoff

619. Re: PC controlled reciever --- good idea? bad idea? or a just plain ugly one?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-29 23:31:51 UTC
From: nospam@nospam.com
Message-ID: <41fc1d89.2657046@news-server>
Source record: 15279

See http://www.winradio.com .

Hope this helps! :-)

Geoff

On Sat, 29 Jan 2005 13:13:04 GMT, "tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote:

>Since personal computers are so powerful and cheap these days, I wonder if
>there is a wideband reciever whose tuning function can be controlled by PC,
>then it would simply be a matter of writing the software (or finding
>pre-existing shareware) to change the frequency that the radio is tuned to,
>and monitor the output of the reciever for signals, and you would have
>essentialy the same thing as a thousand dollar winradio but perhaps for a
>fraction of the cost.
>I know that VHF transcievers can be interfaced with a PC to create digital
>transcievers and all (almost all) of the functionality stems from software
>subroutines instead of hardware on the transciever --- a 'software'
>implementation instead of a hardware one, if you will. Rather than
>purchasing a hardware "Terminal Node Controller", you basically emulate the
>Node controllers functions in software.
>Could the same thing be accomplished with a reciever? Is there a reciever
>out there that has solid state tuning that can easily be adapted to being
>controlled by a PC? Or is there a really simple, clear-cut reason why this
>is a bad idea, that I'm just not understanding (but should be)?
>
>

620. Re: Crystal or synthesized?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-29 23:32:17 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery28146@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <52VKd.3139$lx.1246@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 15280

It does not make any differance. The first RF amplifier stage usually sets the basic sensitivity . As most of the crystal sets are much older than the digital ones , the digital ones may be more sensitive due to beter transistors and/or design in the rf stages. If the crystal set is tuned to one frequency only, then it may be more sensitive than the digital one that covers a very wide frequency range.

"John Schneider" <thecop@optonline.net> wrote in message news:5HUKd.914$fP7.507@fe10.lga...
> I know the answer seems rather obvious, but I was wondering if anyone might
> be able to advise me as to which of the two receiving methods is the most
> sensitive. Would a quality scanner with a frequency crystal (VHF)
> outperform a digital scanner with all other things being equal (or at least
> as equal as possible)?
>
> John thecop@optonline.net
>
>

621. Re: PC controlled reciever --- good idea? bad idea? or a just plain ugly one?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-29 23:46:27 UTC
From: tom <cyberhun@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <nfVKd.207655$6l.126609@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 15281

Hmm, interesting. I just read an article in CQ-VHF linked to from that flexradio site you suggested, BLR. There's a whole new area there I didn't know about. I'll just have to get one of these sdr's. Like the guy says, it'll never get old, because you can simply reprogram it. If you have a new idea you can program it and try it out instantly, try that with hardware.

"B L R" <fake_address@noemail.com> wrote in message
news:gITKd.6732$JO2.3636@tornado.tampabay.rr.com...
>
> Google "SOFTWARE DEFINED RADIO"
>
> Here is one manufacture.
>
> http://www.flex-radio.com/
>
> The future is NOW.
> It is as you described, functions previously preformed by hardware are now
> done with software.
>
> Consider Audio Filters versus Digital Signal Processing as an example.
> DSP is now available as freeware for the PC and works wonderfully.
> Radios will be cheaper, have more features and be more flexible.
>
> "tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote in message
> news:AZLKd.202511$8l.31044@pd7tw1no...
>> Since personal computers are so powerful and cheap these days, I wonder
>> if there is a wideband reciever whose tuning function can be controlled
>> by PC, then it would simply be a matter of writing the software (or
>> finding pre-existing shareware) to change the frequency that the radio is
>> tuned to, and monitor the output of the reciever for signals, and you
>> would have essentialy the same thing as a thousand dollar winradio but
>> perhaps for a fraction of the cost.
>> I know that VHF transcievers can be interfaced with a PC to create
>> digital transcievers and all (almost all) of the functionality stems from
>> software subroutines instead of hardware on the transciever --- a
>> 'software' implementation instead of a hardware one, if you will. Rather
>> than purchasing a hardware "Terminal Node Controller", you basically
>> emulate the Node controllers functions in software.
>> Could the same thing be accomplished with a reciever? Is there a
>> reciever out there that has solid state tuning that can easily be adapted
>> to being controlled by a PC? Or is there a really simple, clear-cut
>> reason why this is a bad idea, that I'm just not understanding (but
>> should be)?
>>

>
>

622. Re: PC controlled reciever --- good idea? bad idea? or a just plain ugly one?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-30 02:11:36 UTC
From: B L R <fake_address@noemail.com>
Message-ID: <snXKd.10209$Q72.6128@tornado.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 15282

Concerning Winradio as an SDR:

See the November 2004, December 2004 and January 2005 issues of MONITORING
TIMES.
Dr. John Catalano did a series on Software Defined Radios.
The Winradio is a "software CONTROLLED radio"

A "SOFTWARE DEFINED RADIO" could have it's frequency coverage and mode
of operation redesigned by software! A traditional PC controlled radio
could NOT.
As an appliance operator, the distinction makes no difference to me.

But in the big picture of things, it matters to all of us Radio Consumers
because radio specifications
will not be designed by the manufacture but by third party software
programmers.

It means lower cost, feature rich, flexible radios.
Imagine a radio doesn't do what hobbyist wants it to do so hobbyists
alter it's specifications. Mods are no longer in the realm of
the hardware hacker but in the software writer.

A bold concept. POWER TO THE PEOPLE! The future of our hobby is exciting.

Bruce
N9WTG

"Geoff Burginon" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:41fc1d89.2657046@news-server...
> See http://www.winradio.com .
>
> Hope this helps! :-)
>
> Geoff
>
> On Sat, 29 Jan 2005 13:13:04 GMT, "tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote:
>
>>Since personal computers are so powerful and cheap these days, I wonder if
>>there is a wideband reciever whose tuning function can be controlled by
>>PC,
>>then it would simply be a matter of writing the software (or finding
>>pre-existing shareware) to change the frequency that the radio is tuned
>>to,
>>and monitor the output of the reciever for signals, and you would have
>>essentialy the same thing as a thousand dollar winradio but perhaps for a
>>fraction of the cost.

>>I know that VHF transcievers can be interfaced with a PC to create digital
>>transcievers and all (almost all) of the functionality stems from software
>>subroutines instead of hardware on the transciever --- a 'software'
>>implementation instead of a hardware one, if you will. Rather than
>>purchasing a hardware "Terminal Node Controller", you basically emulate
>>the
>>Node controllers functions in software.
>>Could the same thing be accomplished with a reciever? Is there a reciever
>>out there that has solid state tuning that can easily be adapted to being
>>controlled by a PC? Or is there a really simple, clear-cut reason why
>>this
>>is a bad idea, that I'm just not understanding (but should be)?
>>
>>
>

623. Re: Is winradio really all that good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-30 02:24:33 UTC
From: SamSez <samtheman@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <BzXKd.3817$6u4.3625@trndny01>
Source record: 15283

"Geoff Burginon" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:41fc1759.1072906@news-server...
> On Sat, 29 Jan 2005 16:16:30 GMT, "SamSez" <samtheman@verizon.net>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >"Geoff Burginon" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message
> >news:41fb67ad.451203@news-server...
> >snip
> >>
> >> >However, this IS rec.radio.scanner -- not rec.radio.shortwave, and
Passport's
> >> >'Verdict' section on the icom says "Among tested PC-controlled receivers,
the
> >> >Japanese-made Icom IC-PCR1000 is the most appropriate for wideband
frequency
> >> >coverage." -- which kind of sounds like what a rec.radio.scanner
questioner
> >> >would be interested in...
> >>
> >> Indeed. In such case I would have however recommend the WinRadio
> >> WR-1550e. I happen to own this model also, and in my experience it
> >> runs circles around the PCR 1000.
> >>
> >> Geoff
> >>
> >
> >You might indeed recommend it, but Passport did not... [the original
question?]
> >
>
> Passport did not review Winradio 1550e in fact. And even if they did,
> I'd rather verify their opinion myself, as I always do - trust me I am
> very well qualified for that. Also, as you are correctly implying
> elsewhere, different people can have different needs and motivations,
> and other issues rather than those of strictly objective technical
> merit can, and often do, come into play, and I have seen this
> happening with Passport also.
>
> But that particular Passport comparison involved only Winradio G303i
> vs TenTec vs PCR1000, which I have already covered. You can also see
> the TenTec and Winradio receivers compared side by side by Lee
> Reynolds in the August 2003 issue of the Monitoring Times magazine.
> The G303i was, unsurprisingly, a clear winner.

>
> So, the answer to the original question is, "yes, Winradio is really
> that good", and often better than it is being credited for. I guess
> the reason is that they are often miles ahead of others. Just look at
> their latest G303e and G313i - there is simply no comparison:
> http://www.winradio.com/home/g303e.htm
> http://www.winradio.com/home/g313i.htm
>
> Geoff
>

So I guess you're the guy I buy one from...

624. Re: PC controlled reciever --- good idea? bad idea? or a just plain ugly one?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-30 03:09:25 UTC
From: tom <cyberhun@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <FdYKd.209863$8l.140022@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 15284

What a fabulous idea, everything from a 40 meter CW rig, to a 2 meter rig transmitting single side band, all in one piece of hardware. And on the weekends, when you get bored, you can click on an icon of Jupiter and monitor jovian emissions on 200 meters. One thing though, how will "they" (you know ---THEM) prevent us from clicking on an icon that looks like a padlock which reconfigures our little magic box to an absloutely secure, spread spectrum system?

Now, if they can only mass market it to the same degree as with the PC and get the price down. This $1200 USD per unit price is a little high.

625. Re: PC controlled reciever --- good idea? bad idea? or a just plain ugly one?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-30 03:19:34 UTC
From: nospam@nospam.com
Message-ID: <41fc45ec.12995687@news-server>
Source record: 15285

On Sun, 30 Jan 2005 02:11:36 GMT, "B L R" <fake_address@noemail.com>
wrote:

>Concerning Winradio as an SDR:
>
>See the November 2004, December 2004 and January 2005 issues of MONITORING
>TIMES.
>Dr. John Catalano did a series on Software Defined Radios.
>The Winradio is a "software CONTROLLED radio"

Sorry, this is not exactly correct. Winradio make a lot of PC-based
radios, which include Software-Controlled (the 1000, 1500 and 3000
range) *and* Software-Defined (G303, G313).

The Winradio G303i was the first Software-Defined Radio on the
consumer market.

>A "SOFTWARE DEFINED RADIO" could have it's frequency coverage and mode
>of operation redesigned by software!

Sorry, this is correct only in terms of the mode of operation, but not
frequency coverage. I refer you to:
http://www.sdrforum.org/tech_comm/definitions.html,
in particular to the sentence (under the Tier 2 definition of
Software-Defined Receivers) "This front-end equipment represents a
constraint on the frequency coverage of the system,".

In order not to have any such frequency coverage constraint, you would
need to have the antenna connected directly to the A/D converter
(which would need an infinitely fast conversion rate with a huge
resolution). The current state-of-the-art A/D converters, if
connected directly to the antenna, would not provide you with a very
good radio at all. That's why all present-day SDRs must have some form
of a frequency-constraining hardware front-end.

>As an appliance operator, the distinction makes no difference to me.
>
>But in the big picture of things, it matters to all of us Radio Consumers
>because radio specifications
>will not be designed by the manufacture but by third party software
>programmers.
>
>It means lower cost, feature rich, flexible radios.
>Imagine a radio doesn't do what hobbyist wants it to do so hobbyists
>alter it's specifications. Mods are no longer in the realm of
>the hardware hacker but in the software writer.

Indeed. This opportunity is already available with the Winradio SDRs.
There is nothing stopping any knowledgeable software writer from
writing his own IF filtering processor and an entire demodulator.
Winradio make the programming information available:
http://www.winradio.com/home/developer-g303.htm
Go for it! :-)

Geoff

626. Re: Is winradio really all that good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-30 03:24:25 UTC
From: nospam@nospam.com
Message-ID: <41fc5358.16432218@news-server>
Source record: 15286

```
On Sun, 30 Jan 2005 02:24:33 GMT, "SamSez" <samtheman@verizon.net>
wrote:

>
>"Geoff Burginon" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message
>news:41fc1759.1072906@news-server...
>> On Sat, 29 Jan 2005 16:16:30 GMT, "SamSez" <samtheman@verizon.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >"Geoff Burginon" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message
>> >news:41fb67ad.451203@news-server...
>> >snip
>> >>
>> >> >However, this IS rec.radio.scanner -- not rec.radio.shortwave, and
>Passport's
>> >> >'Verdict' section on the icom says "Among tested PC-controlled receivers,
>the
>> >> >Japanese-made Icom IC-PCR1000 is the most appropriate for wideband
>frequency
>> >> >coverage." -- which kind of sounds like what a rec.radio.scanner
>questioner
>> >> >would be interested in...
>> >>
>> >> Indeed. In such case I would have however recommend the WinRadio
>> >> WR-1550e. I happen to own this model also, and in my experience it
>> >> runs circles around the PCR 1000.
>> >>
>> >> Geoff
>> >>
>> >
>> >You might indeed recommend it, but Passport did not... [the original
>question?]
>> >
>>
>> Passport did not review Winradio 1550e in fact. And even if they did,
>> I'd rather verify their opinion myself, as I always do - trust me I am
>> very well qualified for that. Also, as you are correctly implying
>> elsewhere, different people can have different needs and motivations,
>> and other issues rather than those of strictly objective technical
>> merit can, and often do, come into play, and I have seen this
>> happening with Passport also.
>>
>> But that particular Passport comparison involved only Winradio G303i
```

>> vs TenTec vs PCR1000, which I have already covered. You can also see
>> the TenTec and Winradio receivers compared side by side by Lee
>> Reynolds in the August 2003 issue of the Monitoring Times magazine.
>> The G303i was, unsurprisingly, a clear winner.
>>
>> So, the answer to the original question is, "yes, Winradio is really
>> that good", and often better than it is being credited for. I guess
>> the reason is that they are often miles ahead of others. Just look at
>> their latest G303e and G313i - there is simply no comparison:
>> http://www.winradio.com/home/g303e.htm
>> http://www.winradio.com/home/g313i.htm
>>
>> Geoff
>>
>
>So I guess you're the guy I buy one from...
>

Sorry, I am not selling mine, as you can see I am a very happy owner.
:-)

So I guess you must be running out of arguments if you are resorting
to such cheap shots. ;-)

Geoff

627. Discone antenna for VHF low band and CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-30 03:40:17 UTC
From: Frank Bals <fjb@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <BGYKd.115400$w62.26371@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 15287

How well do the Radio Shack and Diamond discones (with the low band verticle element) work in the 30-50 Mhz range and CB band? I know they are rated for 25-1000 Mhz coverage.

Frank

628. Re: PC controlled reciever --- good idea? bad idea? or a just plain ugly one?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-30 04:40:31 UTC
From: B L R <fake_address@noemail.com>
Message-ID: <3zZKd.8000$JO2.2347@tornado.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 15288

I stand corrected.
A Teir 2 SDR has freqency range that is limited by hardware.
No one could legitamatly disagree with the cold reaility that you pointed out.

But let us not leave out the exciting sentence that follows

...."Except for those constrainst, however, the system is fully capable of covering a substantial frequency range and executing software to provide a variety of demodulation techniques...

Have fun with radio--------------

629. Re: Discone antenna for VHF low band and CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-30 04:45:50 UTC
From: Brian <victhedog@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <6gpov059dnhrrjcnk097154e7gk5hbg905@4ax.com>
Source record: 15289

On Sun, 30 Jan 2005 03:40:17 GMT, "Frank Bals" <fjb@worldnet.att.net>
wrote:
anything below 140mhz they suck
fair to poor at best as a antenna

>How well do the Radio Shack and Diamond discones (with the low band verticle
>element) work in the 30-50 Mhz range and CB band? I know they are rated for
>25-1000 Mhz coverage.
>
>Frank
>

630. Mil Acft Comms - Sat, 29 Jan 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-30 05:05:10 UTC
From: allanstern@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050130000510.23205.00000249@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 15290

Patrick AFB Area Log - Sat, 29 Jan 2005

A good day for flying. Pinecastle range was hot for a while, including some acft from Hurlburt.
A great day for fishing too, which accounts for while this log is shorter than usual. Monster seatrout. Life doesn't get much better than this.

RAVEN 904: C-130
10:00am: Departs to Albuquerque IAP, after staying at Patrick for repairs since 3 Jan.
[335.8, 133.75, 132.65]

BLAZER 242: T-45A, Kingsville NAS TW-2.
9:56am: Area transition.
[285.5, 125.175, 317.6]

GATOR 22: F/A-18C, Atlanta NAS VMFA-142
9:56am: Direct Tallahassee.
[360.7, 317.6]

KATO 238: T-45, Kingsville NAS TW-2
10:05am: FL280, direct LAL, then Seminole.
[285.5]

PJ 420: P-3C, Whidbey Island VP-69 "Totems"
11:06am: Transition.

DERBY 87: C-130H, KY-ANG Louisville IAP 123AW 165AS
12:05pm: En route Homestead JARB.
[344.6]

AVALON 74: C-9B, Scott AFB 932AW
12:35pm: Pattern work at Patrick. Told to call Scott TACC DSN 779-329 immediately.
[132.25, 133.75, 372.2]
One of the three C-9Bs remaining at Scott and used to train C-40 pilots.
Photo: 061MVC-300.

AMBUSH 09: F/A-18, Oceana VFC-12 "Fighting Omars"
12:43pm: Area transition.
[319.0]

OTIS 17: C-130T, Cherry Point MCAS VMGR-252
1:30pm: Pattern work at Patrick; departs to Maxwell AFB.
Photos: 061MVC-297, 298.

NAVY BD 560: C-130T, Willow Grove NAS VR-64
3:24pm; En route to NAS Jacksonville.

[133.475, 134.0, 310.2]

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL (28-11N 80-36W) monitoring
Patrick AFB (KCOF) NASA-KSC Shuttle Landing Fac (KX68)
Avon Park Bombing Range (KAGR) Cape Canaveral AFS (KXMR)
JSTARS E-8 Acft Integration Facility, Melbourne IAP (KMLB)
Worldwide Military HF Communications
Life Member: Missile, Space and Range Pioneers.
http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/index.html (My Freqs)
http://hometown.aol.com/scanaddict/index.html (My Equipment)

631. Cleaning Out House Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-30 14:26:26 UTC
From: jmap702@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050130092626.16059.00000300@mb-m15.aol.com>
Source record: 15291

Code-3 Beacon 550 Amber, Blue New $: 75.00
: Code-3 Beacon 550 Red Used. $ 40.00
: Code-3 Grille/Deck Light Halogen Set AS-1 New $ 105.00
: Federal Fireball II Strobe Light: Pipe Mount A.C. 120VAC Red, Amber.
: New in Box $ 75.00
: Federal Fireball II Halogen Light : Red Used $ 60.00
: Federal FireBeam FB3 Dash Light : Clear Lenses New. $ 50.00
: Federal Director Deck/Grille Light: Red. New $ 50.00
: Federal Strobe Supply SJS4 Strobe 4 Head 75 Watts New $ 125.00
: Federal Viper Strobe Dash Strobe : (Blue) Demo $ 115.00
: Federal Mini Vector Lightbar Blue : Used $ 195.00
: Federal Mini Vector Lightbar Red/Clear Used $ 195.00
: Federal Mini Aero Lightbar Red/Clear Used $ 150.00
: Federal Mini JetStream Used Blue $ 125.00
: Whelen Mini SuperAdvantedge Blue: New $ 250.00 Ass't Colors
: Whelen Mini Advantedge Strobe Amber : Used $ 125.00
: Whelen MinEdge Red/Clear W/Magnets cigar plug Demo $ 315.00
: Whelen Cadet Dashlight CR-212 Ass't Colors New $ 60.00
: Whelen Strobe 4 Head Powersupply: UPSLX64 New $ 150.00
: Whelen Strobe 8 Head Powersupply UPS-188 New $ 375.00
: Whelen Outside Dual Mirror Strobe Kit Ford MBEP98 Amber New $ 225.00
: Whelen Dual Dashking 2000 Red/Red/ New DXPSS $ 165.00
: Whelen Dashmiser II Red/White New $ 190.00
: Whelen Dashmiser II Amb/Amb New $: 190.00
: Whelen Dashmiser II Remote Red/Clr : Demo $ 95.00
: Whelen Dashmaster II no Bkt Red/Red Used $ 75.00
: Whelen Dashmaster Red $ 50.00
:
: Signal-Stat Undercover Siren 223 New $ 135.00
: Federal SmartSiren Modified.W/Remote Head SS2200 $ 375.00
: Federal SmartSiren New in Box. $ 795.00 Only 2 left!!
: Code-3 Full Feature Electronic Siren 3692 $ 125.00
:
: StreamLight Rechargeable Litebox Model 45116 New $125.00
: EMT Bags Ass't Colors New $40.00
: Motorola Mx Radios UHF $ 25.00 ea
: Motorola MX Microphone coiled cord New $ 20.00 ea
:

632. Re: Discone antenna for VHF low band and CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-30 14:32:51 UTC
From: Volker Tonn <screwdriver@despammed.com>
Message-ID: <3649g5F4t9qmcU1@individual.net>
Source record: 15292

Frank Bals schrieb:
> How well do the Radio Shack and Diamond discones (with the low band verticle
> element) work in the 30-50 Mhz range and CB band? I know they are rated for
> 25-1000 Mhz coverage.
>

I have a Diamond discone with two extended legs adjustablefor CB or
10mtr TX use. It does not work as good as a designated CB-antenna but is
DOES work with low SWR. For TX use you must be aware this antenna will
spread out a bunch of harmonics as well...
If you are in need of a specified frequency coverage below 140Mhz just
replace one or two cone elements with some 1/4 wave resonant elements
(shortened with coils) of that frequency.
If you want continous coverage down to 26Mhz you'll have to extend all
cone elements to full length of 10ft.

633. Re: Is winradio really all that good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-30 15:03:36 UTC
From: SamSez <samtheman@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <cH6Ld.244$oR6.136@trndny04>
Source record: 15293

```
"Geoff Burginon" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:41fc5358.16432218@news-server...
> On Sun, 30 Jan 2005 02:24:33 GMT, "SamSez" <samtheman@verizon.net>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >"Geoff Burginon" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message
> >news:41fc1759.1072906@news-server...
> >> On Sat, 29 Jan 2005 16:16:30 GMT, "SamSez" <samtheman@verizon.net>
> >> wrote:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >"Geoff Burginon" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message
> >> >news:41fb67ad.451203@news-server...
> >> >snip
> >> >>
> >> >> >However, this IS rec.radio.scanner -- not rec.radio.shortwave, and
> >Passport's
> >> >> >'Verdict' section on the icom says "Among tested PC-controlled
receivers,
> >the
> >> >> >Japanese-made Icom IC-PCR1000 is the most appropriate for wideband
> >frequency
> >> >> >coverage." -- which kind of sounds like what a rec.radio.scanner
> >questioner
> >> >> >would be interested in...
> >> >>
> >> >> Indeed. In such case I would have however recommend the WinRadio
> >> >> WR-1550e. I happen to own this model also, and in my experience it
> >> >> runs circles around the PCR 1000.
> >> >>
> >> >> Geoff
> >> >>
> >> >
> >> >You might indeed recommend it, but Passport did not... [the original
> >question?]
> >> >
> >>
> >> Passport did not review Winradio 1550e in fact. And even if they did,
> >> I'd rather verify their opinion myself, as I always do - trust me I am
> >> very well qualified for that. Also, as you are correctly implying
> >> elsewhere, different people can have different needs and motivations,
> >> and other issues rather than those of strictly objective technical
> >> merit can, and often do, come into play, and I have seen this
```

> >> happening with Passport also.
> >>
> >> But that particular Passport comparison involved only Winradio G303i
> >> vs TenTec vs PCR1000, which I have already covered. You can also see
> >> the TenTec and Winradio receivers compared side by side by Lee
> >> Reynolds in the August 2003 issue of the Monitoring Times magazine.
> >> The G303i was, unsurprisingly, a clear winner.
> >>
> >> So, the answer to the original question is, "yes, Winradio is really
> >> that good", and often better than it is being credited for. I guess
> >> the reason is that they are often miles ahead of others. Just look at
> >> their latest G303e and G313i - there is simply no comparison:
> >> http://www.winradio.com/home/g303e.htm
> >> http://www.winradio.com/home/g313i.htm
> >>
> >> Geoff
> >>
> >
> >So I guess you're the guy I buy one from...
> >
>
> Sorry, I am not selling mine, as you can see I am a very happy owner.
> :-)
>
> So I guess you must be running out of arguments if you are resorting
> to such cheap shots. ;-)
>
> Geoff
>
>

Only because the credibility index went to zero with the statement that the 1550e "runs circles around" the 1000. Little point to rational arguments in that environment.

634. Re: Cleaning Out House Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-30 16:12:51 UTC
From: Paul Keenleyside <bcpleights@teluz.net>
Message-ID: <7I7Ld.78641$Qb.3464@edtnps89>
Source record: 15294

"Jmap702" <jmap702@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20050130092626.16059.00000300@mb-m15.aol.com...

No Twinsonic amber or Model CTS-12 with California rear left mounted amber
light.

ah, phooey.

635. Re: Is winradio really all that good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-30 16:29:49 UTC
From: B L R <fake_address@noemail.com>
Message-ID: <1Y7Ld.9008$JF2.8382@tornado.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 15295

The radio hobby market has MOSTLY rejected the winradio.
I suspect it is due to their high price and lack of third party software
and extemely poor marketing,

The hobby has long embraced the ICOM PCR 1000.
There are DOZENS of FREEWare and shareware titles
that can do everything from trunk tracking to
ship tracking, conventional scanning, tuning from
free shortwave broadcaster databases and decode many digital modes
WITHOUT MODIFICATION Pretty cool.
Check out DX-Tuners,

(extra cost to do alot of these fun things: zero)

The Icom PCR FUN FACTOR IS VERY HIGH.
Winradio has almost completely failed in this respect.

Why?

636. Re: Discone antenna for VHF low band and CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-30 16:40:41 UTC
From: Dale Parfitt <par@parelectronics.com>
Message-ID: <d68Ld.7697$RI.5602@trnddc06>
Source record: 15296

"Volker Tonn" <screwdriver@despammed.com> wrote in message
news:3649g5F4t9qmcU1@individual.net...
>
>
> Frank Bals schrieb:
> > How well do the Radio Shack and Diamond discones (with the low band
verticle
> > element) work in the 30-50 Mhz range and CB band? I know they are rated
for
> > 25-1000 Mhz coverage.
> >
>
> I have a Diamond discone with two extended legs adjustablefor CB or
> 10mtr TX use. It does not work as good as a designated CB-antenna but is
> DOES work with low SWR. For TX use you must be aware this antenna will
> spread out a bunch of harmonics as well...
> If you are in need of a specified frequency coverage below 140Mhz just
> replace one or two cone elements with some 1/4 wave resonant elements
> (shortened with coils) of that frequency.
> If you want continous coverage down to 26Mhz you'll have to extend all
> cone elements to full length of 10ft.
>
If the transmitter is within spec, the antenna will not "spread out
harmonics".

The popular discones stop functioning as a discone around 130 MHz. The
vertical section supplied with some operates as a 1/4 wave radiator and the
cone rods as a limited counterpoise- very narrow bandwidth
If you want to extend the range of the discone below 130 MHz, you need to
appropriatly lenghten not only the cone section, but also increase the size
of the disc- w/o doing this, you no longer have a discone antenna, and the
feedline will now become part of the antenna.

Dale W4OP

637. Re: Discone antenna for VHF low band and CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-30 17:22:09 UTC
From: Jeff <oldog@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <5J8Ld.36643$IV5.7787@attbi_s54>
Source record: 15297

"Dale Parfitt" <par@parelectronics.com> wrote in message news:d68Ld.7697
>
> The popular discones stop functioning as a discone around 130 MHz. The
> vertical section supplied with some operates as a 1/4 wave radiator and the
> cone rods as a limited counterpoise- very narrow bandwidth
> If you want to extend the range of the discone below 130 MHz, you need to
> appropriatly lenghten not only the cone section, but also increase the size
> of the disc- w/o doing this, you no longer have a discone antenna, and the
> feedline will now become part of the antenna.
>
> Dale W4OP

I have used my discone (one with the vertical whip) on 6 meters with
a < 2 to 1 swr. Although it was very narrow banded there. If memory
serves me it was in the 52mhz area that it worked. Outside of that 52mhz
the swr curve was pretty much V shaped with the swr rising precipitously.
The effective bandwidth was somewhere between 500Kc to 1 Mhz.

Jeff

638. FS: Bearcat, Icom & Motorola

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-30 20:29:27 UTC
From: CommCtr1 <pottsladd13@nospam.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <HsbLd.119780$w62.29136@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 15298
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Radio Equipment for sale.

2-Uniden Bearcat BC780XLT base/mobile Trunk TrackerIII scanners. $215.00 each plus shipping.
Both units are in very good condition and complete with boxes, manuals, brackets, and power supplies.

1-Icom R1 Communications receiver. This unit is in MINT condition. Complete as new .100mhz-1.3 ghz NO GAPS $175.00 plus shipping.
1-Uniden President HR2510 10/11 meter all mode mobile radio. Shows some mobile use, but a very nice unit. $165.00 plus shipping.
1- Motorola MT1000 LB 30-36mhz portable, 99 channel, with standard charger. $375.00 plus shipping.

If interested please email off group.

Lou
pottsladd13@yahoo.com

639. Does any VOX recorder software exist?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-30 21:07:12 UTC
From: r2000swler@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1107119232.271337.234770@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15299

By VOX I mean software that will only trip when a human voice
is recieved. I have a hardware unit, but software would be
a lot more usefull.
Terry

640. Re: Discone antenna for VHF low band and CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-30 21:12:57 UTC
From: r2000swler@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1107119577.607767.257900@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15150

Kc? Gads you must be as old as me!
Terry

641. Re: Does any VOX recorder software exist?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-30 21:13:44 UTC
From: Bruce Romero <tino257@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <c6cLd.37628$EG1.6754@attbi_s53>
Source record: 15151

http://fun.supereva.it/ninopo.freeweb/radio/VoxRecorder/index.htm?p
<r2000swler@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1107119232.271337.234770@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> By VOX I mean software that will only trip when a human voice
> is recieved. I have a hardware unit, but software would be
> a lot more usefull.
> Terry
>

642. Re:(One 780 is SOLD) Bearcat, Icom & Motorola

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-30 21:15:52 UTC
From: CommCtr1 <pottsladd13@nospam.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <c8cLd.43473$8u5.22992@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 15152
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

[No readable article body recovered]

643. FS Bearcat 780xlt mint $250

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-30 22:08:02 UTC
From: summitrt@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20050130170802.27553.00000342@mb-m17.aol.com>
Source record: 15153

Mint condition Bearcat 780XLT scanner. With manual, power pack and antenna.
Never mobile with trunking. Moved to a new area and do not need it anymore.
They are selling for more on eBay. Paypal accepted. summitrt at aol dot com
$250 or best offer.

644. Re: Is winradio really all that good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-30 22:22:17 UTC
From: nospam@nospam.com
Message-ID: <41fd5ee5.309765@news-server>
Source record: 15154

On Sun, 30 Jan 2005 15:03:36 GMT, "SamSez" <samtheman@verizon.net>
wrote:

>
>Only because the credibility index went to zero with the statement that the
>1550e "runs circles around" the 1000. Little point to rational arguments in
>that environment.
>

Don't know why it should have. Such is my experience. And it looks I
am not alone.

Geoff

645. Re: Does any VOX recorder software exist?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-30 22:38:38 UTC
From: SkyPilot <skypilotnospam@writeme.com>
Message-ID: <seoqv0he136nrka29ccijjdegpo8rh808o@4ax.com>
Source record: 15155

"Bruce Romero" <tino257@mchsi.com> pounded on the keyboard and wrote:

>http://fun.supereva.it/ninopo.freeweb/radio/VoxRecorder/index.htm?p
><r2000swler@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:1107119232.271337.234770@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>> By VOX I mean software that will only trip when a human voice
>> is recieved. I have a hardware unit, but software would be
>> a lot more usefull.
>> Terry
>>
>

http://www.davee.com/scanrec/

--

Brian J. Rueger | Hampton Div. of Fire & Rescue | "Who dares wins"
Lt./Paramedic | Fire Communications Officer | Hampton, VA.
B.S. Comm/I/SEL Pilot | MSgt, USAF (Ret.) 49199 | NREMT-P
Check out my home page: http://members.cox.net/brueger
Some of my photography: http://www.usefilm.com/photographer/34185.html
"Life's too short to drink LITE beer!"
---

646. FS:BC60XLT $65.00 & Regancy R1050 $20.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-30 22:46:21 UTC
From: Kap <juvenile11691@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1107125181.869804.242530@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15156

Nice BC60XLT looks like new. Regancy is old and missing squelch knob.

647. Re: Does any VOX recorder software exist?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-30 23:01:36 UTC
From: Erik <Erik>
Message-ID: <jppqv0l197oivda5ie0c3m6mtc8vd90om5@4ax.com>
Source record: 15157

The best I've used - and it's free: www.xcorder.com

648. Re: Is winradio really all that good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-30 23:08:58 UTC
From: nospam@nospam.com
Message-ID: <41fd5fbe.526734@news-server>
Source record: 15158

On Sun, 30 Jan 2005 16:29:49 GMT, "B L R" <fake_address@noemail.com>
wrote:

>(extra cost to do alot of these fun things: zero)
>
>The Icom PCR FUN FACTOR IS VERY HIGH.
>Winradio has almost completely failed in this respect.
>
>
>Why?
>

Is this question really justified? I can't see why. I for one am
certainly having much more fun with my WR-1550e than I ever had with
my PCR-1000. Putting aside Winradio's professionally crafted and fully
supported software which comes with the receiver (there really is no
comparison to the crap that Icom supplies with their radios), there
are plenty of *free* software add-ons as well.

See for example:

1. http://www.rbasic.com (now where is Icom's equivalent to that? -
see also under "Programs")
2. http://xrs.winradio.com (ditto - see all the free plugins under
"Downloads")
3. http://www.linradio.com (source codes for Linux buffs)
4. http://www.winradio.com/home/macradio.htm (native software for Mac)
5. http://www.winradio.com/home/developer.htm (open programming
standard)
etc. (I better stop here before Sam accuses me again of being a
salesman for Winradio... :-))

Maybe Winradio's marketing "mistake" was to supply good software in
the first place, so that people can actually use it as it is without
having to look for third party stuff... :-)

Geoff

649. Lets try this again:Vox Squelch software:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-30 23:32:34 UTC
From: r2000swler@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1107127954.531875.105250@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15159

I am looking for software the detects and ONLY
triggers on human voice. On AM/SSB comms, a level
squelch is nearly useless.
One implementation, in hardware, can be seen at:
http://www.qsl.net/kc7bum/hf_squelch.html
This is a very usefull device, but I am looking
for a way to do it in software.

>From a thread on the R20000 listeserve:
"
Message 703 of 714
From: W6AFK <w6afk@a...>
Date: Fri Jan 28, 2005 10:53 am
Subject: Voice detection software?

Lou,

Voice squelches for HF are typically "syllabic squelches". They do not
react on noise but on the pattern of the signal. Simple hardware based
ones
try to detect the presence of voice (low frequency syllabic variations
associated with voice). Although not perfect, these types of squelch
circuits are fairly common on commercial and military HF equipment.

Noise based squelches are practically unusable on HF as they are
triggered
by any random noise... and as we all know, HF-AM/SSB sure isn't FM ;-)

However, I agree that it would be an interesting project if someone
created
a software-based syllabic squelch application that can be used with any
radio... any takers? ;-)

Bruno,

So I hope I am making my request clear,
I am looking for software that unlike the
normal VOX is a VOX that only responds to
human voice. My hardwr unit does a great (>99%)
job of ingoring non-voice singals. FSK, and some
music, symphonies, fool it.

Terry

650. WTB: PRO-43 back plate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-31 01:01:47 UTC
From: Frank Bals <fjb@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <%rfLd.44041$8u5.39232@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 15160

Mine is all scratched up. Anyone have one they are selling spare parts?

Frank

651. Re: Is winradio really all that good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-31 01:09:48 UTC
From: transwhite <transwhite@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <10vr1b3ctumgq71@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 15161

The PCR 1000's "fun" factor may be high, but its "performance" factor leaves much to be desired. Read the reviews found all over the net.

When I buy a radio, I buy it to listen to... what good is a radio that has a million "fun" features, but can't receive worth a shit?

"B L R" <fake_address@noemail.com> wrote in message news:1Y7Ld.9008$JF2.8382@tornado.tampabay.rr.com...

> The Icom PCR FUN FACTOR IS VERY HIGH.
> Winradio has almost completely failed in this respect.

652. Re: Lets try this again:Vox Squelch software:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-31 03:35:21 UTC
From: B L R <fake_address@noemail.com>
Message-ID: <ZHhLd.10119$JF2.7981@tornado.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 15162

Another hardware implementation is in the
ICOM PCR 1000 which has a "voice scan control".
The audio is "opened on a signals that are "modulated"
(from the help file)
Thus avoiding hearing digital signals during search or scan.
I vaguely recall some implementation of this.
So I guess you are looking for a means of detecting whether a signal
is "modulated".

<r2000swler@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1107127954.531875.105250@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>I am looking for software the detects and ONLY
> triggers on human voice. On AM/SSB comms, a level
> squelch is nearly useless.
> One implementation, in hardware, can be seen at:
> http://www.qsl.net/kc7bum/hf_squelch.html
> This is a very usefull device, but I am looking
> for a way to do it in software.
> ------------------------------
>>From a thread on the R20000 listeserve:
> "
> Message 703 of 714
> From: W6AFK <w6afk@a...>
> Date: Fri Jan 28, 2005 10:53 am
> Subject: Voice detection software?
>
> Lou,
>
> Voice squelches for HF are typically "syllabic squelches". They do not
> react on noise but on the pattern of the signal. Simple hardware based
> ones
> try to detect the presence of voice (low frequency syllabic variations
> associated with voice). Although not perfect, these types of squelch
> circuits are fairly common on commercial and military HF equipment.
>
> Noise based squelches are practically unusable on HF as they are
> triggered
> by any random noise... and as we all know, HF-AM/SSB sure isn't FM ;-)
>
> However, I agree that it would be an interesting project if someone
> created
> a software-based syllabic squelch application that can be used with any
> radio... any takers? ;-)
>

> Bruno,
> ------------------------
> So I hope I am making my request clear,
> I am looking for software that unlike the
> normal VOX is a VOX that only responds to
> human voice. My hardwr unit does a great (>99%)
> job of ingoring non-voice singals. FSK, and some
> music, symphonies, fool it.
>
> Terry
>

653. Re: Is winradio really all that good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-31 08:01:00 UTC
From: Pat <PatrickBarrett@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <1107158460.411632.309800@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15163

B L R wrote:
> The radio hobby market has MOSTLY rejected the winradio.

Oh no, not yet another self-appointed expert on the radio hobby market.
Winradio rules. Great products, great service, great company.

PB

654. good deal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-31 14:59:52 UTC
From: Howard <hkowall@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <IJrLd.232485$Xk.196767@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 15164

here is a good deal on a scanner c/w cb antenna
http://cgi.ebay.ca/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=40058&item=5748470083&rd=1

i think it is a fair price

yikes

hk

655. Re: ** FREE! Laptop, 40GB Photo Ipod, Dell P4 Desktop, Bose Sound Dock, Car Gear, PC Gear, Mini Mac, + Loads MORE! FREE! ** NOT SPAM! check it out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-31 15:15:36 UTC
From: Panzer240 <panzer240@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns95EF728AEEC98fw190a8@198.161.157.145>
Source record: 15165

"Stuff4Free4You" <Stuff4Free4You@Hotmail.com> wrote in news:a6WdnXD9L-nd3GPcRVn-tw@comcast.com:

> The Best Things In Life Are FREE!
>
> Here is your chance to get any one of the items listed below for
> FREE! Simply click the picture of the item(s) of your choice and
> follow the simple steps provided.

<SNIP> Drivel Removed.

Sure Mac Sure. ROFLMAO

> NOTE: This is not a SPAM! site, these offers really do work and if
> don't believe me, check out the site. I include links to pictures and
> other reports that clerify the validity of these sites.
>

If you belive this one, I have some hydraulically challenged land for sale in Florida ;)

--
Panzer

656. Re: good deal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-31 15:55:41 UTC
From: JJ <jcecklund@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <1ysLd.25845$iC4.20582@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 15166

Dude,
You been smoking something illegal if you think your going to sell that
piece of junk on ebay or anywhere on the internet!

That scanner is not worth $20.00 anywhere.
First of all you need to learn how to spell when you post an ad on ebay!

"Howard" <hkowall@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:IJrLd.232485$Xk.196767@pd7tw3no...
> here is a good deal on a scanner c/w cb antenna
> http://cgi.ebay.ca/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=40058&item=5748470083&rd=1
>
> i think it is a fair price
>
> yikes
>
>
> hk
>
>

657. 900mhz baby monitors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-31 17:24:31 UTC
From: uglycustard@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1107192271.179611.142120@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15167

Do these exist in the uk? has anyone ever heard any? what are the exact frequencies used?

658. Re: good deal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-31 21:14:40 UTC
From: Brian <victhedog@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ls7tv09kr67dgek4uccc448m2gumeadrm1@4ax.com>
Source record: 15168

On Mon, 31 Jan 2005 14:59:52 GMT, "Howard" <hkowall@shaw.ca> wrote:

are you on drugs????? worth about 25 bucks US

>here is a good deal on a scanner c/w cb antenna
>http://cgi.ebay.ca/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=40058&item=5748470083&rd=1
>
>i think it is a fair price
>
>yikes
>
>
>hk
>

659. Re: 1 780 & MT1000 SOLD Bearcat, Icom & Motorola

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-31 22:39:51 UTC
From: CommCtr1 <pottsladd13@nospam.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <XsyLd.126431$w62.49983@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 15170
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

MT1000 & 1 of the 780's is sold.

thnx

660. Re: winflex question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-31 22:41:22 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@gwi.net>
Message-ID: <S6qdnehhlJo8LmPcRVn-sA@giganews.com>
Source record: 15169

start/programs/accessories/compatibility wizard

"Shawn" <S.McKenna@centurytel.net> wrote in message
news:QPidnQXKgP4Ga2_cRVn-sg@centurytel.net...
> Thanks for the responses. I will try PDW. I will also play around with
> wonflex and see what i can do.
> Has anybody tried compatabilty mode?
>
> --
> Shawn
> "Shawn" <S.McKenna@centurytel.net> wrote in message
> news:LLudnYRXfIAERW_cRVn-ow@centurytel.net...
> > Has anyone had any luck with winflex and Win.Xp. Every time i start it i
> get
> > a error message"ERROR LOADING SLICER.VXD".
> > anybody have any ideas?
> >
> > --
> > Shawn
> >
> >
>
>

661. Re: good deal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-01-31 23:05:53 UTC
From: Paul Keenleyside <bcpleights@teluz.net>
Message-ID: <lRyLd.84632$Qb.13175@edtnps89>
Source record: 15171

"Howard" <hkowall@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:IJrLd.232485$Xk.196767@pd7tw3no...
> here is a good deal on a scanner c/w cb antenna
> http://cgi.ebay.ca/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=40058&item=5748470083&rd=1
>
> i think it is a fair price

$130.00 U.S.?

Dude you really got to re-work your calculations

It should be $13.00 U.S.

$100.00 U.S. for a CB antenna?

Dude, $10.00 would be your best bet for the antenna.

>
> yikes
>
>
> hk
>
>

662. Re: good deal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-01 07:16:40 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <s1GLd.5196$6u.116@fed1read02>
Source record: 15172

Howard wrote:

> here is a good deal on a scanner c/w cb antenna
>
http://cgi.ebay.ca/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=40058&item=5748470083&rd=1
>
> i think it is a fair price
>
> yikes
>
>
> hk
I'll give you $30.00 cash for it right now. your price on ebay is way too
high for that.

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.

663. Re: winflex question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-01 11:12:44 UTC
From: Peter Hunt <news@peterhunt.zoetermeernet.nl>
Message-ID: <41ff6420$0$28984$e4fe514c@news.xs4all.nl>
Source record: 15173

> Thanks for the responses. I will try PDW. I will also play around with
> wonflex and see what i can do.
> Has anybody tried compatabilty mode?

Also the compatiblity mode doesn't work. That's why a total new
driver has been written for PDW. mJust try PDW, you can even
use the soundcard if you like, and then you won't be needing any
drivers. When using a discriminator-tap, the soundcard works
really fine. At the moment I'm decoding FLEX-1600 via the
soundcard and receive about 99% of the messages.

> Shawn

Cheers, Peter Hunt.

--
http://www.xs4all.nl/~atron/flex/pdw_eng.html

664. FA:Shinwa SR001 scanner like new 3 days left!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-01 12:56:36 UTC
From: Scott Garvison <ka8jno@btc-bci.com>
Message-ID: <c0LLd.2$uv4.530@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 15174

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5746940189&ssPageName=ADME:B:LC:US:1

665. Re: good deal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-01 14:17:57 UTC
From: Evan Platt <evan@TheObvious.espphotography.com>
Message-ID: <ro3vv01fboqbt79abq1231rlthomejrqf1@4ax.com>
Source record: 15175

On Mon, 31 Jan 2005 15:55:41 GMT, "JJ" <jcecklund@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:

>Dude,
>You been smoking something illegal if you think your going to sell that
>piece of junk on ebay or anywhere on the internet!
>
>That scanner is not worth $20.00 anywhere.
>First of all you need to learn how to spell when you post an ad on ebay!

To you, Brian, Paul, and Eric - a Giant WHOOSH heard all around the
world. Not his auction. He was posting it as a joke. Read his post
again, and read the last two lines:

"I think it is a fair price.

yikes"
--
To reply, remove TheObvious from my e-mail address.

666. Re: winflex question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-01 14:22:27 UTC
From: Evan Platt <evan@TheObvious.espphotography.com>
Message-ID: <444vv0tfre0pqrh87t91f41485i4idqri4@4ax.com>
Source record: 15176

On Mon, 31 Jan 2005 17:41:22 -0500, "Zombie Wolf" <zmbwf@gwi.net>
wrote:

>start/programs/accessories/compatibility wizard

I believe the OP was talking about the comparability mode - right
click on a .EXE and tell windows to run it under comparability for say
Windows 98.
--
To reply, remove TheObvious from my e-mail address.

667. Re: Lets try this again:Vox Squelch software:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-01 14:36:43 UTC
From: dougslug@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1107268603.903731.258070@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15177

That function seems pretty useful and obvious...I'm surprised it's not already included in some of the many ham applications out there. Have you looked through the various ham software yet? Here's a good starting point: http://ac6v.com/software.htm

I'll toss a couple of ideas out:

- One obvious approach would be to program the DSP on the sound card to continuously calculate the spectrum of the audio signal, then use a narrow bandpass filter(s) to look for energy at the appropriate frequencies indicating human speech. You would need to apply some further intelligence to the filter output to account for pauses in speech and fading signals.

- Could voice recognition software be somehow adapted for this use?

---

r2000swler@hotmail.com wrote:
> I am looking for software the detects and ONLY
> triggers on human voice. On AM/SSB comms, a level
> squelch is nearly useless.
> One implementation, in hardware, can be seen at:
> http://www.qsl.net/kc7bum/hf_squelch.html
> This is a very usefull device, but I am looking
> for a way to do it in software.

668. Programming a R1090

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-01 16:12:41 UTC
From: JMS <jms9453@isp.com>
Message-ID: <ctoelnmnp1@news.chatlink.com>
Source record: 15178

I have an Regency R1090 but no manual on programming it. Any HELP out there for this dilemma?

669. Re: Lets try this again:Vox Squelch software:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-01 23:56:10 UTC
From: r2000swler@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1107302170.164225.116070@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15179

I have been searching on and off for several years now.
I suspect that writing the software would be a breeze,
if you are a programmer. My programin efforts make
real programers cringe. Not merely bad, but real
BAD. So bad they can be, are are, used as examples
of how not to do something. I supect Iwill have to live
with hardware units until I can con a friend into writtting
the code.
Looking at the voting gate in the hardware unit, it is clear
that this isn't rocket science. It is however well beyond
my abilities. I have enough projects that I can really complete,
to start on one certain to take a long long time.
While scanning VHF FM voice circuits, a normal level
switch works great. But when monitoring AM or SSB
circuits, a plain level switch just doesn't work. It is a lot
more fun tomonitor a specific freq and only have it pop
out when a real vloice is there.
As a funny note, the digital voice the NWS used to use
would not always trip my VOX squelch. The new voice
works fine. A "speak and spell" will also be treated like
noise and ignored. I booought one for a $1 at a thrift store
just because I wanted to see how TI did it.

Terry

670. Re: Lets try this again:Vox Squelch software:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-02 00:01:08 UTC
From: r2000swler@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1107302468.701172.274010@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15180

I have played with the PCR1000 and the VSC
works pretty well. Not as fool proof as the
hardware unit I have but pretty decent all
the same.
The only thing is I don't really like the PRC1000.
It suffers from trying to be everything.
A HF and VHF/UHF radio. For some tasks
the PCR1000 is ideal. Keep several lists of hot
frequencies to cover various situations and
call up that set of memories.
I have a chance to trade for a PCR1000 and
an unkown (I don't remember the model number)
Radio Shack "trunking" scanner. I will likely
go for the trade, because you just can't have too
many radios. This PCR1000 has the DSP module
which makes it almsot decent for HF(SW) work.
Terry

671. Re: Lets try this again:Vox Squelch software:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-02 00:16:04 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <jimhack@pcpeople.com>
Message-ID: <8ZULd.5348$S3.3311@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 15181

You don't like the PCR-1000 but you are going to trade for one? My PCR-1000 does everything fairly well, I am very pleased with it's performance. You need to use the right antenna or it will overload easily.

<r2000swler@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:1107302468.701172.274010@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>I have played with the PCR1000 and the VSC
> works pretty well. Not as fool proof as the
> hardware unit I have but pretty decent all
> the same.
> The only thing is I don't really like the PRC1000.
> It suffers from trying to be everything.
> A HF and VHF/UHF radio. For some tasks
> the PCR1000 is ideal. Keep several lists of hot
> frequencies to cover various situations and
> call up that set of memories.
> I have a chance to trade for a PCR1000 and
> an unkown (I don't remember the model number)
> Radio Shack "trunking" scanner. I will likely
> go for the trade, because you just can't have too
> many radios. This PCR1000 has the DSP module
> which makes it almsot decent for HF(SW) work.
> Terry
>

672. Re: PRO-43 back plate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-02 00:40:42 UTC
From: consigliori <brltigersnospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <fkVLd.7287$Vg3.4238@lakeread05>
Source record: 15182

Have you tried Radioshack? Don't know if they still do it but I purchased a new keypad for mine a couple of years ago. Had to order it at the local store but it was shipped directly to my house. I think it was around $11 for the part and $2 or $3 shipping.

david
"Frank Bals" <fjb@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:%rfLd.44041$8u5.39232@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Mine is all scratched up. Anyone have one they are selling spare parts?
>
> Frank
>
>

673. Re: good deal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-02 02:02:58 UTC
From: transwhite <transwhite@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1100d6m335j4gc8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 15183

Sounds like another case of someone who knows nothing about scanners who went out and spent a fortune for cheap trash, found out their mistake, and is trying to unload via Ebay to recoup their costs. I see the 560XLT commonly sell at hamfests and outdoor weekend fleas for under $10.

"Howard" <hkowall@shaw.ca> wrote in message news:IJrLd.232485$Xk.196767@pd7tw3no...

> yikes

674. Mil Acft Comms - Tues 1 Feb 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-02 06:56:02 UTC
From: allanstern@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050202015602.09407.00000311@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 15184

Patrick AFB Area Log - Tues 1 Feb 2005

BRONCO 13: OV-10D, US State Dept Intl Narcotics Mission, Patrick AFB.
9:35am: Departs Patrick; local training.
[133.75]

CG 1717: HC-130H7, CGAS Clearwater
9:45am: Area transition, West Palm area.
[133.4]

MAULER 04: S-3B, Jacksonville NAS VS-32 "Maulers"
9:55am: Working in Whiskey Area; Pinecastle Range.
[339.7, 267.5, 357.0]

ROCKET 511: T-39, Pensacola VT-6 VT-86
10:02am: Area transition, near Orlando.
[134.05]

ROCKET 516: T-39, Pensacola VT-6 VT-86
11:03am: Area transition.
[132.45, 135.7, 255.4]

ROCKET 513: T-39, Pensacola VT-6 VT-86
10:24am: Area transition, near Sarasota.
[377.1]

N741SK: P-3B #152741 (Slick), CBP Jacksonville
10:43am: Pattern work at Patrick AFB.
[269.375, 132.65, 124.8]
Photos: 061MVC-311, 312, 313.
First time I have seen a CBP acft in the pattern at Patrick.

LT 621: P-3C, Jacksonville VP-62 "Broad Arrows"
10:50am: Area transition, near Avon Park Bombing Range.
[127.2, 281.5]

DOS 0EH (N500EH): Bell 212 #30945, US State Dept, Patrick AFB
11:00am: Local training.
[133.75]

LIMA LIMA 44: P-3C, Jacksonville NAS VP-30 "Pro's Nest"
11:05am: Area transition.
[273.55]

OTIS 21: C-130T, Cherry Point MCAS VMGR-252
11:35am: Pattern work at Patrick; RTB Cherry Point.
[133.75, 132.65, 134.95]

MAKO 11: F-16C Flight of 4, Homestead JARB 482FW 93FS
11:49am: Working at Avon Park Bombing Range; MAKO 13 RTBs early with problem.
[292.2, 307.1, 285.5, 370.85, 306.9, 139.8]

PELICAN 06: P-3C, Jacksonville NAS VP-45 "Pelicans"
12:03pm: Working in Whiskey Area.
[267.5, 133.475]

DACTYL 27: C-32B, Eglin AFB 486FLTS
12:15pm: Pattern work at Patrick; RTB Eglin.
[133.75, 132.65, 124.8]
Photos: 061MVC-314, 315, 316.

N817AS: T-337H Skymaster #33701849, Airscan Titusville (Mil Contract surveillance acft)
12:40pm: Inbound Space Coast RAP
[134.95, 118.9]

DIAMOND 02: S-3B, Jacksonville NAS VS-30 "Diamond Cutters"
1:20pm: Working in offshore 6Xray Area.
[267.5, 341.1]

JV 100: C-40A, Jacksonville NAS VR-58 "Sunseekers"
12:21pm: RTB NAS Jax via Whiskey Area.
[267.5, 118.0]

DB 405
1:32pm: Reports sweet lock, sweet comms with Mother.
[267.5]

COIL 01: F-15, FL-ANG Jacksonville NAS 125FW 159FS
1:33pm: Working in Whiskey Area; ACM: "Coil 01 is spike."
[267.5, 252.0]

COIL 12: F-15, FL-ANG Jacksonville NAS 125FW 159FS
1:33pm: Working in Whiskey Area; RTB NAS Jax.
[267.5, 351.8]

FANG 01: F-15 Flight of 3, FL-ANG Jacksonville NAS 125FW 159FS
1:34pm: Working in Whiskey Area 6, 7Xray; ACM; joins with other acft to depart as flight of 4.
[267.5, 234.8]

SNAKE 01: F-15, FL-ANG Jacksonville NAS 125FW 159FS
1:41pm: Working in PH Area at FL240 and above; ACM.
[267.5, 252.0, 234.8]

DRAGONFIRE 615: SH-60, Jacksonville NAS HS-11 "DragonSlayers"
1:41pm: Working in Whiskey Area.
[267.5]

TOPCAT 02: S-3B, Jacksonville NAS VS-31 "Topcats"
1:42pm: Working at Avon Park Bombing Range.
[292.2]

JAWS 21:
1:45pm: Working in 1Xray & 2Yankee Areas.
[267.5]
Unknown type and unit; wonder if this acft took the callsign of the old disestablished VAW-122 to honor them. Sure sounded like an E-2C, and not one of the current users of that callsign. Can't see what one of Duke's MC-130Ps would be doing out there today.

CHECK 61: F/A-18, Beaufort MCAS VMFA-312 "Checkerboards"
1:46pm: Working offshore.
[267.5]

DRAGONFIRE 610: SH-60, Jacksonville NAS HS-11 "DragonSlayers"
1:48pm: Working in Whiskey Area.
[267.5]

NASA 4: G-1159 Gulfstream II, NASA-KSC
2:10pm: Departs NASA-KSC to San Juan.
[128.55, 134.95]

BOLT 21: KC-135R, MacDill AFB 6AMW
2:24pm: Inbound MacDill.
[311.0]

SHARK 21: F-16C Flight of 4, Homestead JARB 482FW 93FS
4:01pm: Working at Avon Park Bombing Range; MARSA w MAKOs.
292.2, 307.1, 281.5]

LIMA LIMA 47: P-3C, Jacksonville NAS VP-30 "Pro's Nest"
4:54pm: Area transition.
[132.65]
Photo: 061MVC-317.

US COAST GUARD, Group Miami
5:22pm: Repeats announcement re reports of unid vessel in distress at unknown location. Report has been repeated all day.
[156.8]

LIMA LIMA 12: P-3C, Jacksonville NAS VP-30 "Pro's Nest"
5:35pm: Touch-and-goes at Patrick AFB
[133.75,132.65]

MAKO 11: F-16C Flight of 4, Homestead JARB 482FW 93FS
4:01pm: Working at Avon Park Bombing Range; Mako 12 and 14 dropped 6 BDUs each.
292.2, 307.1, 281.5]

BOLT 01: Unk type; MacDill AFB 6AMW.
7:28pm: Inbound MacDill with 5 pax, incl 3 Codes (VIPs); needs airstairs.
[311.0]

COILs: F-15s, FL-ANG Jacksonville NAS 125FW 159FS
7:32pm: Working in offshore 7Xray Area, then to Homestead.
[267.5, 341.1, 307.25, 348.7, 319.0]

FANGs: F-15s, FL-ANG Jacksonville NAS 125FW 159FS
7:45pm: Working in offshore area.
[284.5]

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL (28-11N 80-36W) monitoring
Patrick AFB (KCOF) NASA-KSC Shuttle Landing Fac (KX68)
Avon Park Bombing Range (KAGR) Cape Canaveral AFS (KXMR)
JSTARS E-8 Acft Integration Facility, Melbourne IAP (KMLB)
Worldwide Military HF Communications
Life Member: Missile, Space and Range Pioneers.
http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/index.html (My Freqs)
http://hometown.aol.com/scanaddict/index.html (My Equipment)

675. Legal Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-02 07:08:45 UTC
From: Lou <lf08357@Yahoo.Com>
Message-ID: <y1%Ld.40859$NC6.8351@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 15185

Hi Gang,

I know this isn't a "legal" group, but hear me out. We all know - there have been laws passed in various states as to where scanners are allowed and not allowed, particularly in motor vehicles - be they Ham operators or not - unless involved with Police/Fire/EMS (you get the drift). So far as I am still aware, PA is not included in any such laws. BUT - for the sake of this question, I'm going to include PA in part of the question.... SO here goes... and you - where ever you are, can tell me what the laws are in your area as they may pertain to this subject so as not to drag this out more than need be - as we know the scanner law question has been beaten down many times in here in the past.
****
Though states may not allow "Mobile" scanners... do they have in those same laws or any other laws, any thing that remotely relates to a "hand held" scanner being carried - ESPECIALLY - on board say - a "Transit" bus? Now, I know that "transit buses" have signs and perhaps a policy - often loosely enforced, as to having radios and the like (CD players and such) played so as not to disturb other passengers. But is there any "LAWS" keeping a person from carrying a "scanner" on board a bus? Oh, and I'm not referring to any laws which may cover if a scanner were to be used in the course of a crime being committed. I'm talking having one - in general.

I had a person tell me just today, a bus operator told them "A scanner was illegal aboard a bus." The bus in question was in PA (the driver didn't refer to PA specifically though), and I asked the party if they had headphones on - they said yes. I know that most if not all the time, IF headphones are worn, the radio or whatever is not brought into question. I personally think the driver stepped WAY out of his league of knowledge.

Your thoughts?????

Thanks,

Lou

676. Re: Legal Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-02 11:19:43 UTC
From: Brian <victhedog@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <6pd101holkrcl4h6ng4erqevrvoa2ids98@4ax.com>
Source record: 15186

On Wed, 2 Feb 2005 02:08:45 -0500, "Lou" <lf08357@Yahoo.Com> wrote:

YOU NEED TO GET A LIFE

>Hi Gang,

>
>I know this isn't a "legal" group, but hear me out. We all know - there have
>been laws passed in various states as to where scanners are allowed and not
>allowed, particularly in motor vehicles - be they Ham operators or not -
>unless involved with Police/Fire/EMS (you get the drift). So far as I am
>still aware, PA is not included in any such laws. BUT - for the sake of this
>question, I'm going to include PA in part of the question.... SO here
>goes... and you - where ever you are, can tell me what the laws are in your
>area as they may pertain to this subject so as not to drag this out more
>than need be - as we know the scanner law question has been beaten down many
>times in here in the past.
>**********
>Though states may not allow "Mobile" scanners... do they have in those same
>laws or any other laws, any thing that remotely relates to a "hand held"
>scanner being carried - ESPECIALLY - on board say - a "Transit" bus? Now, I
>know that "transit buses" have signs and perhaps a policy - often loosely
>enforced, as to having radios and the like (CD players and such) played so
>as not to disturb other passengers. But is there any "LAWS" keeping a person
>from carrying a "scanner" on board a bus? Oh, and I'm not referring to any
>laws which may cover if a scanner were to be used in the course of a crime
>being committed. I'm talking having one - in general.
>
>I had a person tell me just today, a bus operator told them "A scanner was
>illegal aboard a bus." The bus in question was in PA (the driver didn't
>refer to PA specifically though), and I asked the party if they had
>headphones on - they said yes. I know that most if not all the time, IF
>headphones are worn, the radio or whatever is not brought into question. I
>personally think the driver stepped WAY out of his league of knowledge.
>
>Your thoughts?????
>
>Thanks,
>
>Lou
>

677. Re: Legal Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-02 13:16:32 UTC
From: T-bone <mis@take.net>
Message-ID: <Xns95F1543085656ewrfdgrstnetaakeanfk@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 15187

"Lou" <lf08357@Yahoo.Com> wrote in
news:y1%Ld.40859$NC6.8351@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net:

> Hi Gang,
>
> I know this isn't a "legal" group, but hear me out. We all know - there
> have been laws passed in various states as to where scanners are allowed
> and not allowed, particularly in motor vehicles - be they Ham operators
> or not - unless involved with Police/Fire/EMS (you get the drift). So
> far as I am still aware, PA is not included in any such laws. BUT - for
> the sake of this question, I'm going to include PA in part of the
> question.... SO here goes... and you - where ever you are, can tell me
> what the laws are in your area as they may pertain to this subject so as
> not to drag this out more than need be - as we know the scanner law
> question has been beaten down many times in here in the past.
> **********
> ********** Though states may not allow "Mobile" scanners... do they
> have in those same laws or any other laws, any thing that remotely
> relates to a "hand held" scanner being carried - ESPECIALLY - on board
> say - a "Transit" bus? Now, I know that "transit buses" have signs and
> perhaps a policy - often loosely enforced, as to having radios and the
> like (CD players and such) played so as not to disturb other passengers.
> But is there any "LAWS" keeping a person from carrying a "scanner" on
> board a bus? Oh, and I'm not referring to any laws which may cover if a
> scanner were to be used in the course of a crime being committed. I'm
> talking having one - in general.
>
> I had a person tell me just today, a bus operator told them "A scanner
> was illegal aboard a bus." The bus in question was in PA (the driver
> didn't refer to PA specifically though), and I asked the party if they
> had headphones on - they said yes. I know that most if not all the time,
> IF headphones are worn, the radio or whatever is not brought into
> question. I personally think the driver stepped WAY out of his league of
> knowledge.
>
> Your thoughts?????
>
> Thanks,
>
> Lou
>
>

Seems to me that the phrase 'mobile use of a scanner is prohibited' is
pretty self explanitory.

678. cordless phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-02 14:09:24 UTC
From: stryped@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1107353364.869736.205510@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15188

I know it is not legal but can a regular scanner that covers 900 mhz
listen to cordless phone conversations?

679. Re: Legal Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-02 14:43:40 UTC
From: Jaddled Burf-Whummy <Burf-Whummy@Droolingbum.snot>
Message-ID: <wG5Md.252460$Xk.248143@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 15189

Yeah, it's self explanatory, so get a life, like that other guy said ...
r yu stewped?

"T-bone" <mis@take.net> wrote in message
news:Xns95F1543085656ewrfdgrstnetaakeanfk@140.99.99.130...
> "Lou" <lf08357@Yahoo.Com> wrote in
> news:y1%Ld.40859$NC6.8351@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net:
>
>> Hi Gang,
>>
>> I know this isn't a "legal" group, but hear me out. We all know - there
>> have been laws passed in various states as to where scanners are allowed
>> and not allowed, particularly in motor vehicles - be they Ham operators
>> or not - unless involved with Police/Fire/EMS (you get the drift). So
>> far as I am still aware, PA is not included in any such laws. BUT - for
>> the sake of this question, I'm going to include PA in part of the
>> question.... SO here goes... and you - where ever you are, can tell me
>> what the laws are in your area as they may pertain to this subject so as
>> not to drag this out more than need be - as we know the scanner law
>> question has been beaten down many times in here in the past.
>> **
>> ********** Though states may not allow "Mobile" scanners... do they
>> have in those same laws or any other laws, any thing that remotely
>> relates to a "hand held" scanner being carried - ESPECIALLY - on board
>> say - a "Transit" bus? Now, I know that "transit buses" have signs and
>> perhaps a policy - often loosely enforced, as to having radios and the
>> like (CD players and such) played so as not to disturb other passengers.
>> But is there any "LAWS" keeping a person from carrying a "scanner" on
>> board a bus? Oh, and I'm not referring to any laws which may cover if a
>> scanner were to be used in the course of a crime being committed. I'm
>> talking having one - in general.
>>
>> I had a person tell me just today, a bus operator told them "A scanner
>> was illegal aboard a bus." The bus in question was in PA (the driver
>> didn't refer to PA specifically though), and I asked the party if they
>> had headphones on - they said yes. I know that most if not all the time,
>> IF headphones are worn, the radio or whatever is not brought into
>> question. I personally think the driver stepped WAY out of his league of
>> knowledge.
>>
>> Your thoughts?????
>>
>> Thanks,
>>

>> Lou
>>
>>
>
> Seems to me that the phrase 'mobile use of a scanner is prohibited' is
> pretty self explanitory.
>
>
>

680. Re: cordless phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-02 14:47:08 UTC
From: Burfing-Whummy <Burf-Whummy@Bum-Drool.snot>
Message-ID: <MJ5Md.252473$Xk.233998@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 15190

duh, what do you think?

<stryped@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1107353364.869736.205510@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>I know it is not legal but can a regular scanner that covers 900 mhz
> listen to cordless phone conversations?
>

681. Re: cordless phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-02 15:06:46 UTC
From: "news.vif.com" <root@NoReply-AntiSpam.com>
Message-ID: <1107356773.544525@www.vif.com>
Source record: 15191

stryped@hotmail.com wrote:
> I know it is not legal
FYI illegal to listen to cellphones/cordless phones
US/Canada.

> but can a regular scanner that covers 900 mhz
> listen to cordless phone conversations?

Yes!.

--
John Medica
System & Network administrator
Listening-Post
Toronto Ontario, Canada

NAMA, Listening-Post, Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide

682. Re: cordless phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-02 15:25:12 UTC
From: Paul Keenleyside <bcpleights@teluz.net>
Message-ID: <sh6Md.195909$KO5.73863@clgrps13>
Source record: 15192

"news.vif.com" <root@NoReply-AntiSpam.com> wrote in message
news:1107356773.544525@www.vif.com...
>
>
> stryped@hotmail.com wrote:
>> I know it is not legal
> FYI illegal to listen to cellphones/cordless phones
> US/Canada.
>
>> but can a regular scanner that covers 900 mhz
>> listen to cordless phone conversations?
>
> Yes!.

Given the limited range of cordless phones and the content, why would anyone
bother?

But techncially yes.

683. Re: cordless phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-02 15:27:26 UTC
From: stryped@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1107358046.869071.61250@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15193

Burfing-Whummy wrote:
> duh, what do you think?
>
> <stryped@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1107353364.869736.205510@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >I know it is not legal but can a regular scanner that covers 900 mhz
> > listen to cordless phone conversations?
> >

I mean are those frequencies blocked on scanners like cell phone
frequencies are?

Will covering 900 mhz get newer cordless phones too?

684. Re: Legal Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-02 16:26:46 UTC
From: Lou <lf08357@Yahoo.Com>
Message-ID: <Ia7Md.40976$NC6.32624@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 15194

"Jaddled Burf-Whummy" <Burf-Whummy@Droolingbum.snot> wrote in message
news:wG5Md.252460$Xk.248143@pd7tw3no...
> Yeah, it's self explanatory, so get a life, like that other guy said ...
> r yu stewped?
>
>
> "T-bone" <mis@take.net> wrote in message
> news:Xns95F1543085656ewrfdgrstnetaakeanfk@140.99.99.130...
>> "Lou" <lf08357@Yahoo.Com> wrote in
>> news:y1%Ld.40859$NC6.8351@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net:
>>
>>> Hi Gang,
>>>
>>> I know this isn't a "legal" group, but hear me out. We all know - there
>> Seems to me that the phrase 'mobile use of a scanner is prohibited' is
>> pretty self explanitory.
>>
>>

Such intelligent answers.... It is NOT self explanatory, as there are usually exceptions to any law. Get a life? Stewped? WOW, I'm impressed. Such intelligent answers. How about providing some factual answers. Must be bus drivers like the one this person ran into. Scanners are sold to be used. So, tell me, if YOU with the intelligent responses are "truly" scanner users - were told you weren't allowed to be in possession of your scanners in certain situations, you would just say "Oh, OK!" and give up? I doubt it. Thanks for the help thus far. Sure hope you're as fortunate when you need it.

L.

685. Re: Legal Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-02 16:40:56 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <so7Md.4179$Nn1.1473@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 15195

"Lou" <lf08357@Yahoo.Com> wrote in message
news:y1%Ld.40859$NC6.8351@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net...
> Hi Gang,
>
> I know this isn't a "legal" group, but hear me out. We all know - there
> have been laws passed in various states as to where scanners are allowed
> and not allowed, particularly in motor vehicles - be they Ham operators or
> not - unless involved with Police/Fire/EMS (you get the drift). So far as
> I am still aware, PA is not included in any such laws. BUT - for the sake
> of this question, I'm going to include PA in part of the question.... SO
> here goes... and you - where ever you are, can tell me what the laws are
> in your area as they may pertain to this subject so as not to drag this
> out more than need be - as we know the scanner law question has been
> beaten down many times in here in the past.
> **********
> Though states may not allow "Mobile" scanners... do they have in those
> same laws or any other laws, any thing that remotely relates to a "hand
> held" scanner being carried - ESPECIALLY - on board say - a "Transit" bus?
> Now, I know that "transit buses" have signs and perhaps a policy - often
> loosely enforced, as to having radios and the like (CD players and such)
> played so as not to disturb other passengers. But is there any "LAWS"
> keeping a person from carrying a "scanner" on board a bus? Oh, and I'm not
> referring to any laws which may cover if a scanner were to be used in the
> course of a crime being committed. I'm talking having one - in general.
>
> I had a person tell me just today, a bus operator told them "A scanner was
> illegal aboard a bus." The bus in question was in PA (the driver didn't
> refer to PA specifically though), and I asked the party if they had
> headphones on - they said yes. I know that most if not all the time, IF
> headphones are worn, the radio or whatever is not brought into question. I
> personally think the driver stepped WAY out of his league of knowledge.
>
> Your thoughts?????
>
> Thanks,
>
> Lou
>

Usenet is a real poor place to ask any kind of legal question. You, as you
have seen, can get all kinds of answers. If you rely on the responses here,
get in trouble with the law, telling some judge you "read it on the net"
will get you nothing.
If the state you are in has laws regarding scanners, I would have to

assume the law is available on line?
As to the Public bus, if you find there is no law against them, the easy
way would seem to be not let the driver know you have a scanner. If the guy
is using headphones who is going to know what he is listening to?
--
The two most abundant things in the universe are Hydrogen and Stupidity.
(not necessarily in that order)

686. Re: Legal Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-02 16:44:01 UTC
From: Lou <lf08357@Yahoo.Com>
Message-ID: <Sq7Md.41016$NC6.26674@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 15197

"Lou" <lf08357@Yahoo.Com> wrote in message
news:Ia7Md.40976$NC6.32624@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net...
>
> Such intelligent answers.... It is NOT self explanatory, as there are
> usually exceptions to any law. Get a life? Stewped? WOW, I'm impressed.
> Such intelligent answers. How about providing some factual answers. Must
> be bus drivers like the one this person ran into. Scanners are sold to be
> used. So, tell me, if YOU with the intelligent responses are "truly"
> scanner users - were told you weren't allowed to be in possession of your
> scanners in certain situations, you would just say "Oh, OK!" and give up?
> I doubt it. Thanks for the help thus far. Sure hope you're as fortunate
> when you need it.
>
> L.
>

Let me clarify that.... I know certain situations absolutely prohibit
scanners - such as flight. I'm referring to situations where communications
aren't or have no chance of being a factor. I'm referring to instances such
as going on a bus tour across state, inner city transport.

687. Re: Legal Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-02 16:45:01 UTC
From: Paul Keenleyside <bcpleights@teluz.net>
Message-ID: <hs7Md.195919$KO5.12735@clgrps13>
Source record: 15196

"Alex Clayton" <alexx1400@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:so7Md.4179$
> Usenet is a real poor place to ask any kind of legal question. You, as you
> have seen, can get all kinds of answers. If you rely on the responses
> here, get in trouble with the law, telling some judge you "read it on the
> net" will get you nothing.

No it won't.

He'll get to see the judge roll his eyes as if saying "how stupid can this
guy be?"

> If the state you are in has laws regarding scanners, I would have to
> assume the law is available on line?

All statutes are available on line, through the state's gummint website.
Just have to look

> As to the Public bus, if you find there is no law against them, the easy
> way would seem to be not let the driver know you have a scanner. If the
> guy is using headphones who is going to know what he is listening to?

I take mine with my on the rapid transit train with headphones. No trouble
at all. Listen in on transit frequencies.

688. For a change..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-02 16:50:16 UTC
From: ugo_lavoie@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <fc7e74c3.0502020850.36d51442@posting.google.com>
Source record: 15198

Hi there i'm a newbie that want to learn about radio....

Do u have any good site where i could learn the basic... I've already
check other topic about beginners on this newsgroup
What can u do with radio...listening to police (trunk comm aï¿½nd
digital)
but what else.....i know u can listen to Mcdonalds and otherï¿½ fast
food,
but can you lsiten to your neighboors phone call (if made wiï¿½th a
wireless phone 900-2400)
i would like to learn more about the subject.....

what do you find special about scanning radio frequencies...

689. Re: Legal Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-02 16:51:46 UTC
From: Lou <lf08357@Yahoo.Com>
Message-ID: <8y7Md.41035$NC6.38633@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 15199

"Alex Clayton" <alexx1400@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:so7Md.4179$Nn1.1473@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> "Lou" <lf08357@Yahoo.Com> wrote in message
> news:y1%Ld.40859$NC6.8351@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net...
>
> Usenet is a real poor place to ask any kind of legal question. You, as you
> have seen, can get all kinds of answers. If you rely on the responses
> here, get in trouble with the law, telling some judge you "read it on the
> net" will get you nothing.
> If the state you are in has laws regarding scanners, I would have to
> assume the law is available on line?
> As to the Public bus, if you find there is no law against them, the easy
> way would seem to be not let the driver know you have a scanner. If the
> guy is using headphones who is going to know what he is listening to?
> --
> The two most abundant things in the universe are Hydrogen and Stupidity.
> (not necessarily in that order)
>
>
>

I understand and certainly agree that Usenet is not a "legal" authority.
What I was attempting to do, was get a feel for what is out there as to
laws - since it would seem to me the hundreds if not thousands of users
would have by now - tapped into their state laws in interest and may have
weighed in with anything in comparison OR in any instances similar. Maybe
even case history as to challenges. Sorry I asked.........

690. Re: Legal Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-02 16:54:20 UTC
From: Lou <lf08357@Yahoo.Com>
Message-ID: <xA7Md.41041$NC6.37880@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 15050

"Paul Keenleyside" <bcpleights@teluz.net> wrote in message
news:hs7Md.195919$KO5.12735@clgrps13...

>> As to the Public bus, if you find there is no law against them, the easy
>> way would seem to be not let the driver know you have a scanner. If the
>> guy is using headphones who is going to know what he is listening to?
>
> I take mine with my on the rapid transit train with headphones. No trouble
> at all. Listen in on transit frequencies.
>

THANK YOU, this is the type of reference I was looking for - to see if
others faced similar situations, hurdles - with regard to using scanners
onboard transit.

691. Re: Legal Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-02 16:56:30 UTC
From: Cat <th54@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <2D7Md.252739$6l.80515@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 15051

We're not all goody-two-shoes types, in fact, I know of a guy that USED to post stuff in this NG who was just the opposite, he used scanners to beat the cops regularly (as do a lot of this NGs regulars to this day, you can tell who they are because they feel the greatest need to hide behind loud, strident exclamations of shocked,
"I'm-so-aghast-at-the-idea-of-using-scanners-in-an-unlawful-manner"
responses --- you'll see what I mean, just watch).
As for bus drivers, whoa. I for one am not too fond of some of those dyed-in-the-wool rodents. The personality disorders of some of these individuals is pathetic, they should have these retards working in the back of a warehouse somewhere they NEVER come into contact with the public, never mind on a public bus where they can brag to each other about how far they keep people running after the bus before speeding away, and how many old people they knock down by sudden acceleration/deceleration for no reason other than an old person is standing up and vulnerable to being pushed over.
My advice to you is, use your scanner wherever and whenever you damn well want to, and use it for whatever you want to. How are they going to know?

"Lou" <lf08357@Yahoo.Com> wrote in message
news:y1%Ld.40859$NC6.8351@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net...
> Hi Gang,
>
> I know this isn't a "legal" group, but hear me out. We all know - there
> have been laws passed in various states as to where scanners are allowed
> and not allowed, particularly in motor vehicles - be they Ham operators or
> not - unless involved with Police/Fire/EMS (you get the drift). So far as
> I am still aware, PA is not included in any such laws. BUT - for the sake
> of this question, I'm going to include PA in part of the question.... SO
> here goes... and you - where ever you are, can tell me what the laws are
> in your area as they may pertain to this subject so as not to drag this
> out more than need be - as we know the scanner law question has been
> beaten down many times in here in the past.
> **********
> Though states may not allow "Mobile" scanners... do they have in those
> same laws or any other laws, any thing that remotely relates to a "hand
> held" scanner being carried - ESPECIALLY - on board say - a "Transit" bus?
> Now, I know that "transit buses" have signs and perhaps a policy - often
> loosely enforced, as to having radios and the like (CD players and such)
> played so as not to disturb other passengers. But is there any "LAWS"
> keeping a person from carrying a "scanner" on board a bus? Oh, and I'm not
> referring to any laws which may cover if a scanner were to be used in the
> course of a crime being committed. I'm talking having one - in general.
>
> I had a person tell me just today, a bus operator told them "A scanner was

> illegal aboard a bus." The bus in question was in PA (the driver didn't
> refer to PA specifically though), and I asked the party if they had
> headphones on - they said yes. I know that most if not all the time, IF
> headphones are worn, the radio or whatever is not brought into question. I
> personally think the driver stepped WAY out of his league of knowledge.
>
> Your thoughts?????
>
> Thanks,
>
> Lou
>

692. Re: Legal Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-02 17:34:49 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Za8Md.4720$cl1.3424@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 15052

"Lou" <lf08357@Yahoo.Com> wrote in message
news:8y7Md.41035$NC6.38633@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net...
>
> "Alex Clayton" <alexx1400@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:so7Md.4179$Nn1.1473@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> "Lou" <lf08357@Yahoo.Com> wrote in message
>> news:y1%Ld.40859$NC6.8351@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net...
>>
>> Usenet is a real poor place to ask any kind of legal question. You, as
>> you have seen, can get all kinds of answers. If you rely on the responses
>> here, get in trouble with the law, telling some judge you "read it on the
>> net" will get you nothing.
>> If the state you are in has laws regarding scanners, I would have to
>> assume the law is available on line?
>> As to the Public bus, if you find there is no law against them, the easy
>> way would seem to be not let the driver know you have a scanner. If the
>> guy is using headphones who is going to know what he is listening to?
>> --
>> The two most abundant things in the universe are Hydrogen and Stupidity.
>> (not necessarily in that order)
>>
>>
>>
>
> I understand and certainly agree that Usenet is not a "legal" authority.
> What I was attempting to do, was get a feel for what is out there as to
> laws - since it would seem to me the hundreds if not thousands of users
> would have by now - tapped into their state laws in interest and may have
> weighed in with anything in comparison OR in any instances similar. Maybe
> even case history as to challenges. Sorry I asked.........
>

You don't need to be sorry you asked. I was just warning not only you, but
anyone reading. Many people come to the "net" for the first time every day.
Many don't seem to understand what they read "may" have no basis in fact. I
well remember when I finally got my Father to get a PC. He had a few rather
humorous times with some of the stuff he was finding.
If someone posts a link to make your state's law easier to look up, great.
Just be careful of stories about "well I do this, and it's fine" kind of
things. For one, you don't know if the person really does, or if they are in
the same jurisdiction you are, or if they may be breaking the law, and may
not know it themselves. As I said if you break some law, end up in court,
and have only the "excuse" that you "read" it on the net, you will get no
where, no matter what "stories" you hear about people running rings around

the court.
As to some of the "stupid" responses you get, that's just Usenet. there
are always a lot of people here who got beat up all the time at school, so
they come here with their cape on ready to "kick some cyber ass", <shrug>.
You just have to wade through it, there is LOT'S of good info out there too.
Just be careful what you act on.
--
The two most abundant things in the universe are Hydrogen and Stupidity.
(not necessarily in that order)

693. Re: Legal Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-02 17:45:24 UTC
From: Lou <lf08357@Yahoo.Com>
Message-ID: <qk8Md.41099$NC6.8577@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 15053

"Cat" <th54@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:2D7Md.252739$6l.80515@pd7tw2no...
> We're not all goody-two-shoes types, in fact, I know of a guy that USED to
> post stuff in this NG who was just the opposite, he used scanners to beat
> the cops regularly (as do a lot of this NGs regulars to this day, you can
> tell who they are because they feel the greatest need to hide behind loud,
> strident exclamations of shocked,
> "I'm-so-aghast-at-the-idea-of-using-scanners-in-an-unlawful-manner"
> responses --- you'll see what I mean, just watch).
> As for bus drivers, whoa. I for one am not too fond of some of those
> dyed-in-the-wool rodents. The personality disorders of some of these
> individuals is pathetic, they should have these retards working in the
> back of a warehouse somewhere they NEVER come into contact with the
> public, never mind on a public bus where they can brag to each other about
> how far they keep people running after the bus before speeding away, and
> how many old people they knock down by sudden acceleration/deceleration
> for no reason other than an old person is standing up and vulnerable to
> being pushed over.
> My advice to you is, use your scanner wherever and whenever you damn well
> want to, and use it for whatever you want to. How are they going to know?
>

It was another that occurred to. I use mine all the time. I've never had an issue - nor do I hide it. On board, I use headphones and often times off walking around as well. Around here, "most" bus drivers are ok - so I won't paint them with the same brush. The driver this person had the issue with - well.... seems to make his own laws. He is well known for all the wrong reasons. Friendly - is not in his dictionary. A shining example of your description. A job in the public is not the place to be if you can't handle it. But that is another issue. Personally, I think "I" would have asked the driver to show me in his book where that is written - especially in PA. Whether the man mentioned he had a scanner or the driver just happened to see it, I don't think he should have singled him out for "that". I think he overstepped his bounds. Matter of fact, that is the first incident of such thing "here" I've heard of.

I don't condone people who use scanners for illegal gain - however they may be doing it - but scanners can and have been known to be of great help too. Everything has it's pluses and minuses

Not everything makes it to the web as some suggest or would be found in search to any "law". I thought I could get some "experiences" off of those who value scanner use. I "know" that none of this would hold in court. Believe me, this is the LAST place I would turn to for "law" especially to use as evidence. Asking for experiences is not the same as asking for legal

advice. Was just wanting a feel for what others have perhaps been through. I didn't think I'd ruffle any feathers. Sometimes, you just never know.

L.

694. Re: Legal Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-02 17:51:01 UTC
From: Lou <lf08357@Yahoo.Com>
Message-ID: <Oq8Md.41100$NC6.18713@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 15056

"Alex Clayton" <alexx1400@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Za8Md.4720$cl1.3424@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> "Lou" <lf08357@Yahoo.Com> wrote in message
> news:8y7Md.41035$NC6.38633@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net...
>>
>> "Alex Clayton" <alexx1400@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:so7Md.4179$Nn1.1473@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>> "Lou" <lf08357@Yahoo.Com> wrote in message
>>> news:y1%Ld.40859$NC6.8351@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net...
>>>
>>> Usenet is a real poor place to ask any kind of legal question. You, as
>>> you have seen, can get all kinds of answers. If you rely on the
>>> responses here, get in trouble with the law, telling some judge you
>>> "read it on the net" will get you nothing.
>>> If the state you are in has laws regarding scanners, I would have to
>>> assume the law is available on line?
>>> As to the Public bus, if you find there is no law against them, the
>>> easy way would seem to be not let the driver know you have a scanner. If
>>> the guy is using headphones who is going to know what he is listening
>>> to?
>>> --
>>> The two most abundant things in the universe are Hydrogen and Stupidity.
>>> (not necessarily in that order)
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>> I understand and certainly agree that Usenet is not a "legal" authority.
>> What I was attempting to do, was get a feel for what is out there as to
>> laws - since it would seem to me the hundreds if not thousands of users
>> would have by now - tapped into their state laws in interest and may have
>> weighed in with anything in comparison OR in any instances similar. Maybe
>> even case history as to challenges. Sorry I asked.........
>>
>
> You don't need to be sorry you asked. I was just warning not only you, but
> anyone reading. Many people come to the "net" for the first time every
> day. Many don't seem to understand what they read "may" have no basis in
> fact. I well remember when I finally got my Father to get a PC. He had a
> few rather humorous times with some of the stuff he was finding.
> If someone posts a link to make your state's law easier to look up,
> great. Just be careful of stories about "well I do this, and it's fine"
> kind of things. For one, you don't know if the person really does, or if
> they are in the same jurisdiction you are, or if they may be breaking the

> law, and may not know it themselves. As I said if you break some law, end
> up in court, and have only the "excuse" that you "read" it on the net, you
> will get no where, no matter what "stories" you hear about people running
> rings around the court.
> As to some of the "stupid" responses you get, that's just Usenet. there
> are always a lot of people here who got beat up all the time at school, so
> they come here with their cape on ready to "kick some cyber ass", <shrug>.
> You just have to wade through it, there is LOT'S of good info out there
> too. Just be careful what you act on.
> --
> The two most abundant things in the universe are Hydrogen and Stupidity.
> (not necessarily in that order)
>

I'm not new... not by a long shot. Was just trying to get feelers for anyone who may have experienced this sort of situation and so on. Trust me, this doesn't even rate as my last resort for "legal" info or evidence. Yes, you're so right, there is lots of good info to be had. AND just as often, many not so helpful answers to be had. I could look up PA law without a doubt. Was more so interested in any "transit" related situations around the nation - even in the states where no "scanner" law applies. Any "hidden" policies which the net may not produce.

L.

695. Re: Legal Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-02 17:51:56 UTC
From: Seneca <nospam@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <0r8Md.2526$Dc.1278@trnddc06>
Source record: 15054

Lou - yes, ignore the trolls who feel the need to post idiotic replies simply to hear themselves type. That other guy with the 'cyber-cape' analogy hit it right on the button. Usenet is chock full of weirdos and misfits who cannot seem to comprehend consideration, compassion, and the understanding fellow hobbysits should have for one another. They would never admit to wanting to ask the same questions at one time themselves. It's pathetic. But, thats the internet for ya. Lots of brave souls traveling anonymously.

My solution to such a dilemma concerning scanners (I drive commercial vehicles for a living) was to obtain my FCC license and get a ham radio callsign, thus exempting myself from some scanner laws, and volunteering for my local Red Cross chapter. Once anyone sees that ID and an FCC license they will not question your right to carry and use a frequency scanner anywhere you go:)

"Lou" <lf08357@Yahoo.Com> wrote in message
news:y1%Ld.40859$NC6.8351@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net...
> Hi Gang,
>
> I know this isn't a "legal" group, but hear me out. We all know - there
have
> been laws passed in various states as to where scanners are allowed and
not
> allowed, particularly in motor vehicles - be they Ham operators or not -
> unless involved with Police/Fire/EMS (you get the drift). So far as I am
> still aware, PA is not included in any such laws. BUT - for the sake of
this
> question, I'm going to include PA in part of the question.... SO here
> goes... and you - where ever you are, can tell me what the laws are in
your
> area as they may pertain to this subject so as not to drag this out more
> than need be - as we know the scanner law question has been beaten down
many
> times in here in the past.
>
****
> Though states may not allow "Mobile" scanners... do they have in those
same
> laws or any other laws, any thing that remotely relates to a "hand held"
> scanner being carried - ESPECIALLY - on board say - a "Transit" bus? Now,
I
> know that "transit buses" have signs and perhaps a policy - often loosely
> enforced, as to having radios and the like (CD players and such) played so
> as not to disturb other passengers. But is there any "LAWS" keeping a

person
> from carrying a "scanner" on board a bus? Oh, and I'm not referring to any
> laws which may cover if a scanner were to be used in the course of a crime
> being committed. I'm talking having one - in general.
>
> I had a person tell me just today, a bus operator told them "A scanner was
> illegal aboard a bus." The bus in question was in PA (the driver didn't
> refer to PA specifically though), and I asked the party if they had
> headphones on - they said yes. I know that most if not all the time, IF
> headphones are worn, the radio or whatever is not brought into question. I
> personally think the driver stepped WAY out of his league of knowledge.
>
> Your thoughts?????
>
> Thanks,
>
> Lou
>
>

696. Re: cordless phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-02 17:57:25 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <8v8Md.3902$Vb5.2905@fe03.lga>
Source record: 15055

A lot of answers, none worth a damn...

A "regular scanner" CAN receive the signals, but you won't be able to listen to the conversations worth a damn... A "regular scanner" generally does not have WFM reception, therefore, the conversations will cut in and out and/or get distorted because of this. In order to actually receive an intelligible signal your scanner would have to have WFM reception. This is generally only found on the higher-end scanners.

Of course, if the signal is digital, you aren't going to hear anything anyway, no matter what kind of scanner you have...

<stryped@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1107353364.869736.205510@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> I know it is not legal but can a regular scanner that covers 900 mhz
> listen to cordless phone conversations?
>

697. Re: Legal Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-02 18:01:02 UTC
From: Lou <lf08357@Yahoo.Com>
Message-ID: <5z8Md.41102$NC6.9444@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 15057

Thank you... and they were immediately deleted. No harm done. I've got broad shoulders. Just sometimes, can't help to reply. Human nature! "I" have both an Amateur License as well as a Commercial. It was another who was affected. They hold a license of Amateur nature, but rarely do they think to reply in such manner. They're a quiet type person - which is what burns me, they may have been getting pushed around by someone who is otherwise clueless. Was trying to get similar experiences and any end results, as some of those - if only policies - don't make the net.
L.

"Seneca" <nospam@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:0r8Md.2526$Dc.1278@trnddc06...
> Lou - yes, ignore the trolls who feel the need to post idiotic replies
> simply to hear themselves type. That other guy with the 'cyber-cape'
> analogy
> hit it right on the button. Usenet is chock full of weirdos and misfits
> who
> cannot seem to comprehend consideration, compassion, and the understanding
> fellow hobbysits should have for one another. They would never admit to
> wanting to ask the same questions at one time themselves. It's pathetic.
> But, thats the internet for ya. Lots of brave souls traveling anonymously.
>
> My solution to such a dilemma concerning scanners (I drive commercial
> vehicles for a living) was to obtain my FCC license and get a ham radio
> callsign, thus exempting myself from some scanner laws, and volunteering
> for
> my local Red Cross chapter. Once anyone sees that ID and an FCC license
> they
> will not question your right to carry and use a frequency scanner anywhere
> you go:)
>
>
> "Lou" <lf08357@Yahoo.Com> wrote in message
> news:y1%Ld.40859$NC6.8351@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net...
>> Hi Gang,
>>
>> I know this isn't a "legal" group, but hear me out. We all know - there
> have
>> been laws passed in various states as to where scanners are allowed and
> not
>> allowed, particularly in motor vehicles - be they Ham operators or not -
>> unless involved with Police/Fire/EMS (you get the drift). So far as I am
>> still aware, PA is not included in any such laws. BUT - for the sake of
> this

>> question, I'm going to include PA in part of the question.... SO here
>> goes... and you - where ever you are, can tell me what the laws are in
> your
>> area as they may pertain to this subject so as not to drag this out more
>> than need be - as we know the scanner law question has been beaten down
> many
>> times in here in the past.
>>
> **********
> *********
>> Though states may not allow "Mobile" scanners... do they have in those
> same
>> laws or any other laws, any thing that remotely relates to a "hand held"
>> scanner being carried - ESPECIALLY - on board say - a "Transit" bus? Now,
> I
>> know that "transit buses" have signs and perhaps a policy - often loosely
>> enforced, as to having radios and the like (CD players and such) played
>> so
>> as not to disturb other passengers. But is there any "LAWS" keeping a
> person
>> from carrying a "scanner" on board a bus? Oh, and I'm not referring to
>> any
>> laws which may cover if a scanner were to be used in the course of a
>> crime
>> being committed. I'm talking having one - in general.
>>
>> I had a person tell me just today, a bus operator told them "A scanner
>> was
>> illegal aboard a bus." The bus in question was in PA (the driver didn't
>> refer to PA specifically though), and I asked the party if they had
>> headphones on - they said yes. I know that most if not all the time, IF
>> headphones are worn, the radio or whatever is not brought into question.
>> I
>> personally think the driver stepped WAY out of his league of knowledge.
>>
>> Your thoughts?????
>>
>> Thanks,
>>
>> Lou
>>
>>
>
>

698. Re: Legal Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-02 19:08:48 UTC
From: T-bone <mis@take.net>
Message-ID: <Xns95F190497111Cewrfdgrstnetaakeanfk@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 15058

> Yeah, it's self explanatory, so get a life, like that other guy said ...
> r yu stewped?
>
"Get a life" - This from a <Burf-Whummy@Droolingbum.snot>
If I need the opinion of an asshole, I'll just fart.

699. Re: Legal Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-02 19:15:31 UTC
From: T-bone <mis@take.net>
Message-ID: <Xns95F1916CE2D67ewrfdgrstnetaakeanfk@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 15059

>
> Such intelligent answers.... It is NOT self explanatory, as there are
> usually exceptions to any law. Get a life? Stewped? WOW, I'm impressed.
> Such intelligent answers. How about providing some factual answers. Must
> be bus drivers like the one this person ran into. Scanners are sold to
> be used. So, tell me, if YOU with the intelligent responses are "truly"
> scanner users - were told you weren't allowed to be in possession of
> your scanners in certain situations, you would just say "Oh, OK!" and
> give up? I doubt it. Thanks for the help thus far. Sure hope you're as
> fortunate when you need it.
>
> L.
>
>

All righty - I'll try to break it down for ya.
Busses are considered a form of mobile transportation so .....
If you use a scanner on a bus, you are using a scanner mobily, which is
prohibited by law.
You can argue technicalities until your blue in the face, but that won't stop
a judge who goes by the letter of the law from confiscating your scanner, and
imposing fines.
And yes, the same would apply to a taxi,trolly or train - And even a bicycle.

700. Re: Legal Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-02 19:31:36 UTC
From: T-bone <mis@take.net>
Message-ID: <Xns95F193C762310ewrfdgrstnetaakeanfk@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 15060

Mark <me@privacy.net> wrote in
news:q34201t3f3qa2ga5vfn09ebvm8lhc0etnd@4ax.com:

> On Wed, 02 Feb 2005 16:56:30 GMT, "Cat" <th54@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>>We're not all goody-two-shoes types, in fact, I know of a guy that USED
>>to post stuff in this NG who was just the opposite, he used scanners to
>>beat the cops regularly
>
> Many states do have laws that say it's illegal to be in possession of a
> scanner during the furtherance of a crime. Even states who have no
> other scanner laws tend to like this one.
>
> I certainly have no problem with laws that target criminals anyway.
>
> I do have a problem with laws that prevent law abiding citizens from
> listening to whatever they want while in their car.
>

I suppose part of the intent of the law is just the opposite of stopping
people from evading the law - To stop curious law abiding citizens from
flooding crime scenes and attempting to interpose themselves into dangerous
situations.
I did just that once when I first started scanning when there was a DEA
surveillence going on a couple miles from my house.
I went driving around to see if "S 1 " might be somebody I know and to see
how well the agents were covered.
I quickly decided that wasn't the brightest thing I ever did, and returned
home to listen, never to do it again.
I need my scanner mobilly only when going to air shows, so I transport it in
my trunk till I get to my destination, then pop it out and scan away.

701. Re: Legal Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-02 20:43:02 UTC
From: Lou <lf08357@Yahoo.Com>
Message-ID: <ZWaMd.41113$NC6.39724@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 15061

"T-bone" <mis@take.net> wrote in message
news:Xns95F1916CE2D67ewrfdgrstnetaakeanfk@140.99.99.130...
>
>>
>> Such intelligent answers.... It is NOT self explanatory, as there are
>> usually exceptions to any law. Get a life? Stewped? WOW, I'm impressed.
>> Such intelligent answers. How about providing some factual answers. Must
>> be bus drivers like the one this person ran into. Scanners are sold to
>> be used. So, tell me, if YOU with the intelligent responses are "truly"
>> scanner users - were told you weren't allowed to be in possession of
>> your scanners in certain situations, you would just say "Oh, OK!" and
>> give up? I doubt it. Thanks for the help thus far. Sure hope you're as
>> fortunate when you need it.
>>
>> L.
>>
>>
>
> All righty - I'll try to break it down for ya.
> Busses are considered a form of mobile transportation so .....
> If you use a scanner on a bus, you are using a scanner mobily, which is
> prohibited by law.
> You can argue technicalities until your blue in the face, but that won't
> stop
> a judge who goes by the letter of the law from confiscating your scanner,
> and
> imposing fines.
> And yes, the same would apply to a taxi,trolly or train - And even a
> bicycle.
>
Ah, but ya see, in PA there is NO scanner law that I'm aware of - unless
one is in the works which again, I've not heard of... SO - I'm looking to
see if there are any "transit" issues relating to scanner use onboard - not
counting the fact headphones must be used to prevent disturbing others. So,
that statement doesn't apply to ALL. So, no - you've not broken anything
down. This driver made a statement, I want to see what others have
experienced to either back his claim or put a hole in his balloon in so far
as his claims (not for evidence). I still say he was off base. Airlines
don't allow them. I know that - due to RF issues mainly. Buses do not have
that issue. There are no "Transit" laws that I'm aware of that prohibits
scanner use (even with headphones) which is what I was asking if others had
similar problems - in states with or without Mobile Scanner laws. I can
understand they stop cars/trucks to check things out. Rarely if ever have I
heard of a bus being stopped to check all "passengers" for such items.

Perhaps you can argue the states with the Mobile Scanning laws, it would apply.. Cool, I can accept that. But in those with no laws, sorry - it doesn't wash. They have no basis for which to make such policies.

L.

702. Re: Legal Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-02 20:59:12 UTC
From: T-bone <mis@take.net>
Message-ID: <Xns95F1A2A18F64Eewrfdgrstnetaakeanfk@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 15062

"Lou" <lf08357@Yahoo.Com> wrote in
news:ZWaMd.41113$NC6.39724@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net:

>
> "T-bone" <mis@take.net> wrote in message
> news:Xns95F1916CE2D67ewrfdgrstnetaakeanfk@140.99.99.130...
>>
>>>
>>> Such intelligent answers.... It is NOT self explanatory, as there are
>>> usually exceptions to any law. Get a life? Stewped? WOW, I'm
>>> impressed. Such intelligent answers. How about providing some factual
>>> answers. Must be bus drivers like the one this person ran into.
>>> Scanners are sold to be used. So, tell me, if YOU with the intelligent
>>> responses are "truly" scanner users - were told you weren't allowed to
>>> be in possession of your scanners in certain situations, you would
>>> just say "Oh, OK!" and give up? I doubt it. Thanks for the help thus
>>> far. Sure hope you're as fortunate when you need it.
>>>
>>> L.
>>>
>>>
>>
>> All righty - I'll try to break it down for ya.
>> Busses are considered a form of mobile transportation so .....
>> If you use a scanner on a bus, you are using a scanner mobily, which is
>> prohibited by law.
>> You can argue technicalities until your blue in the face, but that
>> won't stop
>> a judge who goes by the letter of the law from confiscating your
>> scanner, and
>> imposing fines.
>> And yes, the same would apply to a taxi,trolly or train - And even a
>> bicycle.
>>
> Ah, but ya see, in PA there is NO scanner law that I'm aware of -
> unless
> one is in the works which again, I've not heard of... SO - I'm looking
> to see if there are any "transit" issues relating to scanner use onboard
> - not counting the fact headphones must be used to prevent disturbing
> others. So, that statement doesn't apply to ALL. So, no - you've not
> broken anything down. This driver made a statement, I want to see what
> others have experienced to either back his claim or put a hole in his
> balloon in so far as his claims (not for evidence). I still say he was
> off base. Airlines don't allow them. I know that - due to RF issues

> mainly. Buses do not have that issue. There are no "Transit" laws that
> I'm aware of that prohibits scanner use (even with headphones) which is
> what I was asking if others had similar problems - in states with or
> without Mobile Scanner laws. I can understand they stop cars/trucks to
> check things out. Rarely if ever have I heard of a bus being stopped to
> check all "passengers" for such items. Perhaps you can argue the states
> with the Mobile Scanning laws, it would apply.. Cool, I can accept that.
> But in those with no laws, sorry - it doesn't wash. They have no basis
> for which to make such policies.
>
> L.
>
Well then if mobile use of a scanner is not illegal in PA, your likely in
the clear.
I have no interest in scanning laws in PA.
I'd suggest you call the transit authority to get a definitive answer.

703. Re: cordless phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-02 21:23:21 UTC
From: Jim <junk@junk.com>
Message-ID: <dxbMd.19$XY5.0@fe2.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 15063

Scan 902 to 904...

<stryped@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1107353364.869736.205510@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>I know it is not legal but can a regular scanner that covers 900 mhz
> listen to cordless phone conversations?
>

704. Re: Legal Question - made - debate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-02 21:24:32 UTC
From: Lou <lf08357@Yahoo.Com>
Message-ID: <dJeMd.41129$NC6.28155@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 15068

"T-bone" <mis@take.net> wrote in message
news:Xns95F1A2A18F64Eewrfdgrstnetaakeanfk@140.99.99.130...
> "Lou" <lf08357@Yahoo.Com> wrote in
> news:ZWaMd.41113$NC6.39724@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net:
>
>>
>> "T-bone" <mis@take.net> wrote in message
>> news:Xns95F1916CE2D67ewrfdgrstnetaakeanfk@140.99.99.130...
>>>
>>
> Well then if mobile use of a scanner is not illegal in PA, your likely in
> the clear.
> I have no interest in scanning laws in PA.
> I'd suggest you call the transit authority to get a definitive answer.
>

With all due respect, now we're getting some where. Still puts me back to the original question. I probably should have asked it in this way before - Has anyone else had similar problems aboard transit systems - with or without the applicable scanner laws. One or two have already given their experiences. I guess those who do not reply on mass transit could really give a shit less what the rules/laws/policies may be. Strange. I've seen this issue debated up the ass about airplanes, but never buses or such.

705. Re: Legal Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-02 21:26:17 UTC
From: Jim <junk@junk.com>
Message-ID: <ZzbMd.20$XY5.9@fe2.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 15064

"Lou" <lf08357@Yahoo.Com> wrote in message
news:Sq7Md.41016$NC6.26674@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net...
> "Lou" <lf08357@Yahoo.Com> wrote in message
> news:Ia7Md.40976$NC6.32624@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net...
>>
>> Such intelligent answers.... It is NOT self explanatory, as there are
>> usually exceptions to any law. Get a life? Stewped? WOW, I'm impressed.
>> Such intelligent answers. How about providing some factual answers. Must
>> be bus drivers like the one this person ran into. Scanners are sold to be
>> used. So, tell me, if YOU with the intelligent responses are "truly"
>> scanner users - were told you weren't allowed to be in possession of your
>> scanners in certain situations, you would just say "Oh, OK!" and give up?
>> I doubt it. Thanks for the help thus far. Sure hope you're as fortunate
>> when you need it.
>>
>> L.
>>
>
> Let me clarify that.... I know certain situations absolutely prohibit
> scanners - such as flight. I'm referring to situations where
> communications aren't or have no chance of being a factor. I'm referring
> to instances such as going on a bus tour across state, inner city
> transport.
>

Get you amateur license and a full coverage handheld and forget the laws
that no longer apply to you.

706. Re: Lets try this again:Vox Squelch software:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-02 23:42:31 UTC
From: r2000swler@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1107387751.820464.283470@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15065

I am more interested in the Dell PIII laptop that is part of the
trade. A friend bady wants something that I have absolutly
no use for, a piece of test gear that was given to me by a
another friend who was retiring and moving sought, and
even though the PRC1000 is not my favorite radio, it does
have some uses. Mainly like I said. Keep several lists of "hot"
frequencies, covering likely events. Here in Lexington one
for "Winter Snow Emergency", T-Storm/Tornado watch/warning,
nerve gas leak down little south in Richmond. I guess you get
the idea. I have the frequency lists now, it is just a pain to
enter them by hand.
I had pretty fair reception from below AM MW/BCB to above
cell (ATC transpnders) on a lowly 20 year old Tandy diskcone
antenna. The one without the center stinger.
Terry

707. Re: cordless phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-03 00:18:39 UTC
From: DougSlug <dougslug@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <jeeMd.5$c_7.3@fe10.lga>
Source record: 15066

"PowerHouse Communications" <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote in message
news:8v8Md.3902$Vb5.2905@fe03.lga...
>A lot of answers, none worth a damn...
>
> A "regular scanner" CAN receive the signals, but you won't be able to
> listen
> to the conversations worth a damn... A "regular scanner" generally does
> not
> have WFM reception, therefore, the conversations will cut in and out
> and/or
> get distorted because of this. In order to actually receive an
> intelligible
> signal your scanner would have to have WFM reception.

Not all 900 MHz cordless phones utilize WFM, so a scanner that only offers
NFM may still be useful for this purpose.

> This is generally only found on the higher-end scanners.

I'm not sure what you would consider "higher-end", but there are plenty of
"regular" scanners that have WFM.

> Of course, if the signal is digital, you aren't going to hear anything
> anyway, no matter what kind of scanner you have...

True enough, but digital is not as commonly found on 900 MHz phones. 2.4
GHz phones probably more commonly use digital modulation.

You can include your answer among those that are "not worth a damn".

708. North-Central Florida Mil Logs 1/28/2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-03 00:23:47 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4funREMOVEBEFOREFLIGHT.com>
Message-ID: <naeMd.6539$S3.12@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 15077

The MilAirComms.com Log report for 1/28/2005
All times are Eastern Time, frequencies in MHz and
unless other wise noted modulation type is AM.
NASA 945
Time: 1010 stong sig, communication testing.
Frequency: 259.7000 (STS A/G Comms)

unid
Time: 1344
Frequency: 133.8000 (CCAFS Cape Control)

GULFTEST 732
Time: 1345 FL320 in 3Y, repeating flight test card 7
Frequency: 123.5750 (Gulf Test)

SLF Tower
Time: 1346
Frequency: 165.6125 FM (NASA Twr Repeater)

SNAKE ##
Time: 1348
Frequency: 253.7000 (A/A)

unid
Time: 1353
Frequency: 234.8000 (A/A)

unid
Time: 1355
Frequency: 251.2500 (FANG Cmd Post)

GULFTEST 17
Time: 1356 RTB Savannah
Frequency: 120.9500 (SEALORD)

George - Monitoring from Daytona Beach,
WEBSITE: http://www.MilAirComms.com
SHACKPHOTOS: http://www.MilAirComms.com/shack.html
(c) 2005 By G. W. Fetter. All Rights Reserved. No portion of this log maybe
reprinted or reproduced in electronic form or print without my permission.

709. North-Central Florida Mil Logs 2/1/2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-03 00:24:54 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4funREMOVEBEFOREFLIGHT.com>
Message-ID: <qbeMd.6540$S3.1735@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 15076

The MilAirComms.com Log report for 2/1/2005
All times are Eastern Time, frequencies in MHz and
unless other wise noted modulation type is AM.
unid
Time: 1015 aircraft doing a spotted eagle survey at the Cape.
Frequency: 133.8000 (Cape Control)

unid
Time: 1035 in 5X going to 5Y
Frequency: 284.5000 (SEALORD - North)

FOXTROT 01 (might have been LimaFoxtrot 01)
Time: 1043
Frequency: 267.5000 (SEALORD - South)

MAULER 04
Time: 1052 S-3's flt of 2 carrying a total of 8 Mk-76's
Frequency: 357.0000 (Pinecastle Rng Cntl); 351.8000 (JAX App);
267.5000 (SEALORD

MAULER 04
Time: 1055 inroute to 31Juliet
Frequency: 339.7000 (Air/Air)

NAVY TANGO XX
Time: 1058 looking for a VHF freq
Frequency: 281.5000 (Miami Center)

LIMALIMA 17
Time: 1058
Frequency: 267.5000 (SEALORD)

MAULER 27
Time: 1100
Frequency: 120.9500 (SEALORD VHF)

unid
Time: 1104 at 5500' 15 miles south of Melbourne
Frequency: 269.3000 (Miami Center)

SUNNY09
Time: 1110 looking for 3Y & 4Y at FL290
Frequency: 120.9500 (SEALORD VHF)

MUSTANG 01 (P-51)
Time: 1118 working near the Avon Park range
Frequency: 126.1500 (Avon Ops MacDill AFB Aux Fld)

NICKLE ##; MAKO ##
Time: 1204 "bombs away"
Frequency: 285.7250 (Avon Rng Control); 138.1250 (A/A); 139.8000
(A/A MAKO's Button 15)

PELICAN 6 (P-3 JAX NAS)
Time: 1207
Frequency: 267.5000 (SEALORD)

unid
Time: 1320 working the SE corner of 6X
Frequency: 341.1000 (SEALORD)

Navy ???
Time: 1321
Frequency: 284.5000 (SEALORD); 120.9500 (SEALORD VHF)

unid
Time: 1331 RTB for approaches
Frequency: 271.4000 (S-3 Base)

unid
Time: 1332
Frequency: 253.7000 (A/A)

BATON 54 (C-130?)
Time: 1608 asking SEALORD if they have the ability to confirm their
altitude.
Frequency: 120.9500 (SEALORD)

GULFTEST 274
Time: 1610
Frequency: 120.9500 (SEALORD)

George - Monitoring from Daytona Beach,
WEBSITE: http://www.MilAirComms.com
SHACKPHOTOS: http://www.MilAirComms.com/shack.html
(c) 2005 By G. W. Fetter. All Rights Reserved. No portion of this log maybe
reprinted or reproduced in electronic form or print without my permission.

710. FA; Stridsberg 4 port multicoupler with newest "m" mod done by factory

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-03 00:38:29 UTC
From: Kg6vxt <kg6vxt@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pis2011g9pndf8h9lhp848e0m4ke8mddgm@4ax.com>
Source record: 15067

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5749134637&ssPageName=ADME:B:LC:US:1

711. Re: For a change..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-03 01:48:14 UTC
From: B L R <fake_address@noemail.com>
Message-ID: <ypfMd.14996$JO2.6800@tornado.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 15069

Check this link out for getting started.

http://www.strongsignals.net/access/content/starting.html

712. Re: Legal Question - made - debate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-03 01:55:54 UTC
From: T-bone <mis@take.net>
Message-ID: <Xns95F1D54F363ACewrfdgrstnetaakeanfk@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 15070

"Lou" <lf08357@Yahoo.Com> wrote in
news:dJeMd.41129$NC6.28155@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net:

I guess those who do not reply on mass transit
> could really give a shit less what the rules/laws/policies may be.
> Strange. I've seen this issue debated up the ass about airplanes, but
> never buses or such.
>
I suspect theres not a whole lot of scannists who use mass transit much, and
don't scan when they do.
Could be wrong. Here in the Detroit area, there is no mass transit as such.
I'm thinking, barring an occasional crash or wino on the tracks, such
monitoring would be about one step above taco belle drive through monitoring
anyways.

713. Re: Legal Question - made - debate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-03 02:15:38 UTC
From: Lou <lf08357@Yahoo.Com>
Message-ID: <MOfMd.41137$NC6.22011@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 15071

"T-bone" <mis@take.net> wrote in message
news:Xns95F1D54F363ACewrfdgrstnetaakeanfk@140.99.99.130...
> "Lou" <lf08357@Yahoo.Com> wrote in
> news:dJeMd.41129$NC6.28155@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net:
>
> I guess those who do not reply on mass transit
>> could really give a shit less what the rules/laws/policies may be.
>> Strange. I've seen this issue debated up the ass about airplanes, but
>> never buses or such.
>>
> I suspect theres not a whole lot of scannists who use mass transit much,
> and
> don't scan when they do.
> Could be wrong. Here in the Detroit area, there is no mass transit as
> such.
> I'm thinking, barring an occasional crash or wino on the tracks, such
> monitoring would be about one step above taco belle drive through
> monitoring
> anyways.
>
>
>

WOW..... We always learn something new. I would have thought Detroit being the "busy" place it is to be, would have mass transit. Hmmmm.....

Thanks!

L.

714. Re: cordless phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-03 02:57:20 UTC
From: Jim <junk@junk.com>
Message-ID: <kqgMd.573$XY5.402@fe2.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 15072

```
"DougSlug" <dougslug@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:jeeMd.5$c_7.3@fe10.lga...
> "PowerHouse Communications" <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote in message
> news:8v8Md.3902$Vb5.2905@fe03.lga...
>>A lot of answers, none worth a damn...
>>
>> A "regular scanner" CAN receive the signals, but you won't be able to
>> listen
>> to the conversations worth a damn... A "regular scanner" generally does
>> not
>> have WFM reception, therefore, the conversations will cut in and out
>> and/or
>> get distorted because of this. In order to actually receive an
>> intelligible
>> signal your scanner would have to have WFM reception.
>
> Not all 900 MHz cordless phones utilize WFM, so a scanner that only offers
> NFM may still be useful for this purpose.
>
> > This is generally only found on the higher-end scanners.
>
> I'm not sure what you would consider "higher-end", but there are plenty of
> "regular" scanners that have WFM.
>
>> Of course, if the signal is digital, you aren't going to hear anything
>> anyway, no matter what kind of scanner you have...
>
> True enough, but digital is not as commonly found on 900 MHz phones. 2.4
> GHz phones probably more commonly use digital modulation.
>
> You can include your answer among those that are "not worth a damn".
>
>

If you off tune a WFM signal on a NFM receiver it will be intelligible
enough.
```

715. Re: cordless phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-03 03:09:37 UTC
From: Jim <junk@junk.com>
Message-ID: <RBgMd.2814$4x5.1458@fe2.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 15073

```
"Jim" <junk@junk.com> wrote in message
news:kqgMd.573$XY5.402@fe2.columbus.rr.com...
>
> "DougSlug" <dougslug@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:jeeMd.5$c_7.3@fe10.lga...
>> "PowerHouse Communications" <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote in message
>> news:8v8Md.3902$Vb5.2905@fe03.lga...
>>>A lot of answers, none worth a damn...
>>>
>>> A "regular scanner" CAN receive the signals, but you won't be able to
>>> listen
>>> to the conversations worth a damn... A "regular scanner" generally does
>>> not
>>> have WFM reception, therefore, the conversations will cut in and out
>>> and/or
>>> get distorted because of this. In order to actually receive an
>>> intelligible
>>> signal your scanner would have to have WFM reception.
>>
>> Not all 900 MHz cordless phones utilize WFM, so a scanner that only
>> offers NFM may still be useful for this purpose.
>>
>> > This is generally only found on the higher-end scanners.
>>
>> I'm not sure what you would consider "higher-end", but there are plenty
>> of "regular" scanners that have WFM.
>>
>>> Of course, if the signal is digital, you aren't going to hear anything
>>> anyway, no matter what kind of scanner you have...
>>
>> True enough, but digital is not as commonly found on 900 MHz phones. 2.4
>> GHz phones probably more commonly use digital modulation.
>>
>> You can include your answer among those that are "not worth a damn".
>>
>>
>
> If you off tune a WFM signal on a NFM receiver it will be intelligible
> enough.
>

While I'm in this thread I'll just ask. Is there anyone working on software
to support real time demodulation of digital cordless signals?
```

716. PRO-2052 - Should I But It?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-03 03:46:01 UTC
From: "Bud Turner"
Message-ID: <Z7hMd.103$ZZ.55@newssvr23.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 15095

Well...should I? It's on sale at RS for $150. I live in San Diego and want to listen to PD, Fire, etc. Is the city digital? Anyone have this radio? What do you think about it? Any feedback would be swell...
thanks,
Bud

717. Re: cordless phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-03 04:06:15 UTC
From: bob <noone@nowhere.org>
Message-ID: <UqhMd.2857$lw4.667101@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 15075

Better re-check your law books regarding listening in Canada.

There is no law in Canada prohibiting a person from listening to cell phones.

There is no law in Canada prohibiting a person from listening to cordless phones.

There are no laws in Canada prohibiting a person from having a scanner in their car.

It IS illegal to decode/descramble a subscription service signal without paying for it. (that's in the various sections addressing 'theft of service')

Nowhere in Canada, do you need an amateur radio operator's certificate to own a scanner.

However: the radiocommunication act prohibits anyone who listens from conveying or imparting that which was heard to a third party.
(aka: listen all you want, but keep the gossip to yourself.)

There are a few countries (USA included) that have laws prohibiting cellular monitoring, cordless phone monitoring, etc. (land of the free, but not free to listen to.. oh nevermind, I don't want to start a shouting match here, but it is odd that a country that claims to protect freedom at any cost quietly takes some away. Likely due to some politician getting caught in some scam or scandal due to what they said on a cell phone and the wrong people hearing it.)

Personally, they do a lot of things right in the USA, it can be a really nice place (at least everywhere I've visited so far) but in my opinion, the law banning of certain receivers, forbidding reception of certain frequency ranges, and the prohibition of some receivers in cars (in certain jurisdictions) does not make a lot of sense. (someone might argue it's to prevent crooks from staying one step ahead of the authorities, but last I checked, crooks, by definition, don't respect a lot of laws anyway, therefore won't lose a lot of sleep having that scanner in their car... :)

news.vif.com wrote:
>
>
> stryped@hotmail.com wrote:
>
>> I know it is not legal
>

> FYI illegal to listen to cellphones/cordless phones
> US/Canada.
>
>> but can a regular scanner that covers 900 mhz
>> listen to cordless phone conversations?
>
>
> Yes!.
>

718. Re: Legal Question - made - debate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-03 04:12:37 UTC
From: T-bone <mis@take.net>
Message-ID: <Xns95F1EC1D1FE3Eewrfdgrstnetaakeanfk@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 15074

"Lou" <lf08357@Yahoo.Com> wrote in
news:MOfMd.41137$NC6.22011@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net:

> "T-bone" <mis@take.net> wrote in message
> news:Xns95F1D54F363ACewrfdgrstnetaakeanfk@140.99.99.130...
>> "Lou" <lf08357@Yahoo.Com> wrote in
>> news:dJeMd.41129$NC6.28155@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net:
>>
>> I guess those who do not reply on mass transit
>>> could really give a shit less what the rules/laws/policies may be.
>>> Strange. I've seen this issue debated up the ass about airplanes, but
>>> never buses or such.
>>>
>> I suspect theres not a whole lot of scannists who use mass transit
>> much, and
>> don't scan when they do.
>> Could be wrong. Here in the Detroit area, there is no mass transit as
>> such.
>> I'm thinking, barring an occasional crash or wino on the tracks, such
>> monitoring would be about one step above taco belle drive through
>> monitoring
>> anyways.
>>
>>
>>
>
> WOW..... We always learn something new. I would have thought Detroit
> being the "busy" place it is to be, would have mass transit.
> Hmmmm.....
>
> Thanks!
>
> L.
>
Heck no - Unless you consider plain old busses mass transit.
Maybe thats what your referring to - I always think of subways and
organized trolly systems ala New York, Chicago,SF or New Orleans when I
think of mass transit.
I've been to Philly and Pittsburgh quite a few times, but don't really
recall what they have in the way of mass transit, as I've always had my own
vehicle there.
We do have this goofy little elevated trolly called the 'people mover' in
downtown, but its 100% automated, so I doubt if theres much, if anything,
worth listening to from that.

719. Re: FA; Stridsberg 4 port multicoupler with newest "m" mod done by factory

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-03 04:31:08 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <gOhMd.78034$ld2.26350171@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 15078

Kg6vxt wrote:
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5749134637&ssPageName=ADME:B:LC:US:1
>
"M" mod?

720. Chandler/Phoenix freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-03 04:48:37 UTC
From: wf3h@ptd.net
Message-ID: <4201acfd.72050567@usenet.ptd.net>
Source record: 15079

```
will soon be relocating to chandler/phoenix area. any good stuff there
or is everything trunked/digital?

---------------------------
to see who "wf3h" is, go to "qrz.com"
and enter 'wf3h' in the field
```

721. Re: Legal Question - made - debate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-03 05:07:50 UTC
From: Lou <lf08357@Yahoo.Com>
Message-ID: <fliMd.41142$NC6.37949@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 15080

"T-bone" <mis@take.net> wrote in message
news:Xns95F1EC1D1FE3Eewrfdgrstnetaakeanfk@140.99.99.130...
> "Lou" <lf08357@Yahoo.Com> wrote in
> news:MOfMd.41137$NC6.22011@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net:
>
>> "T-bone" <mis@take.net> wrote in message
>> news:Xns95F1D54F363ACewrfdgrstnetaakeanfk@140.99.99.130...
>>> "Lou" <lf08357@Yahoo.Com> wrote in
>>> news:dJeMd.41129$NC6.28155@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net:
>>>
>>> I guess those who do not reply on mass transit
>>>> could really give a shit less what the rules/laws/policies may be.
>>>> Strange. I've seen this issue debated up the ass about airplanes, but
>>>> never buses or such.
>>>>
>>> I suspect theres not a whole lot of scannists who use mass transit
>>> much, and
>>> don't scan when they do.
>>> Could be wrong. Here in the Detroit area, there is no mass transit as
>>> such.
>>> I'm thinking, barring an occasional crash or wino on the tracks, such
>>> monitoring would be about one step above taco belle drive through
>>> monitoring
>>> anyways.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>> WOW..... We always learn something new. I would have thought Detroit
>> being the "busy" place it is to be, would have mass transit.
>> Hmmmm.....
>>
>> Thanks!
>>
>> L.
>>
> Heck no - Unless you consider plain old busses mass transit.
> Maybe thats what your referring to - I always think of subways and
> organized trolly systems ala New York, Chicago,SF or New Orleans when I
> think of mass transit.
> I've been to Philly and Pittsburgh quite a few times, but don't really
> recall what they have in the way of mass transit, as I've always had my
> own
> vehicle there.

> We do have this goofy little elevated trolly called the 'people mover' in
> downtown, but its 100% automated, so I doubt if theres much, if anything,
> worth listening to from that.
>

Pittsburgh has Mass Transit in the way of all their bus routes, trolleys and sub way systems. Still working on a Mag Lev of some sort. Magnetic Levitation - very high speed and supported on a rail above ground - to run a few miles between two points - unknown if they're planning stops along the way - though I'd have to think they would! There are some "smaller" systems around the Pittsburgh area which run people into the city to work and such, which - believe it or not - are called or at the least - consider themselves "mass transit". I suppose their claim is supported by the fact that the buses do carry "masses" of people to locations here and there. I suppose too, that is open for debate as to whether it really is "Mass Transit". Everyone has their own way of viewing things.

I think you're probably right about the "People mover". About as exciting as watching cement harden. If there is any radio excitement there to be had, chances are it would be in the form of hash of some sort for those who like that sort of noise.

Lou

722. Re: Legal Question - made - debate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-03 05:42:13 UTC
From: T-bone <mis@take.net>
Message-ID: <Xns95F27895DD5ewrfdgrstnetaakeanfk@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 15081

```
"Lou" <lf08357@Yahoo.Com> wrote in
news:fliMd.41142$NC6.37949@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net:

>
> "T-bone" <mis@take.net> wrote in message
> news:Xns95F1EC1D1FE3Eewrfdgrstnetaakeanfk@140.99.99.130...
>> "Lou" <lf08357@Yahoo.Com> wrote in
>> news:MOfMd.41137$NC6.22011@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net:
>>
>>> "T-bone" <mis@take.net> wrote in message
>>> news:Xns95F1D54F363ACewrfdgrstnetaakeanfk@140.99.99.130...
>>>> "Lou" <lf08357@Yahoo.Com> wrote in
>>>> news:dJeMd.41129$NC6.28155@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net:
>>>>
>>>> I guess those who do not reply on mass transit
>>>>> could really give a shit less what the rules/laws/policies may be.
>>>>> Strange. I've seen this issue debated up the ass about airplanes,
>>>>> but never buses or such.
>>>>>
>>>> I suspect theres not a whole lot of scannists who use mass transit
>>>> much, and
>>>> don't scan when they do.
>>>> Could be wrong. Here in the Detroit area, there is no mass transit as
>>>> such.
>>>> I'm thinking, barring an occasional crash or wino on the tracks, such
>>>> monitoring would be about one step above taco belle drive through
>>>> monitoring
>>>> anyways.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>> WOW..... We always learn something new. I would have thought Detroit
>>> being the "busy" place it is to be, would have mass transit.
>>> Hmmmm.....
>>>
>>> Thanks!
>>>
>>> L.
>>>
>> Heck no - Unless you consider plain old busses mass transit.
>> Maybe thats what your referring to - I always think of subways and
>> organized trolly systems ala New York, Chicago,SF or New Orleans when I
>> think of mass transit.
```

>> I've been to Philly and Pittsburgh quite a few times, but don't really
>> recall what they have in the way of mass transit, as I've always had my
>> own
>> vehicle there.
>> We do have this goofy little elevated trolly called the 'people mover'
>> in downtown, but its 100% automated, so I doubt if theres much, if
>> anything, worth listening to from that.
>>
>
> Pittsburgh has Mass Transit in the way of all their bus routes, trolleys
> and sub way systems. Still working on a Mag Lev of some sort. Magnetic
> Levitation - very high speed and supported on a rail above ground - to
> run a few miles between two points - unknown if they're planning stops
> along the way - though I'd have to think they would! There are some
> "smaller" systems around the Pittsburgh area which run people into the
> city to work and such, which - believe it or not - are called or at the
> least - consider themselves "mass transit". I suppose their claim is
> supported by the fact that the buses do carry "masses" of people to
> locations here and there. I suppose too, that is open for debate as to
> whether it really is "Mass Transit". Everyone has their own way of
> viewing things.

Hmmm .. Funny the things one misses out on when they're not really looking.
The only transit vehicle I road on in Pittsburgh was them little rail cars
that lug you up to the top of that big old hill near the river.
Quite a nice view from there.
Philly I've walked and drove all over the place, and don't recall seeing
anything mass transit related.

>
> I think you're probably right about the "People mover". About as
> exciting as watching cement harden. If there is any radio excitement
> there to be had, chances are it would be in the form of hash of some
> sort for those who like that sort of noise.
>
> Lou
>
I would think the only transmissions worthy of possible interest from the
people mover would be from technicians servicing it during its frequent
breakdowns.
"Yeah,Red Fox 2 this is Blue Dog 1 ... I need another 8 inch greased nut, a
reverse flange over-drive bearing, and another box of doughnuts. Over."

723. Re: Legal Question - made - debate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-03 05:57:21 UTC
From: Lou <lf08357@Yahoo.Com>
Message-ID: <C2jMd.41144$NC6.13696@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 15082

"T-bone" <mis@take.net> wrote in message
news:Xns95F27895DD5ewrfdgrstnetaakeanfk@140.99.99.130...
>
> Hmmm .. Funny the things one misses out on when they're not really
> looking.
> The only transit vehicle I road on in Pittsburgh was them little rail cars
> that lug you up to the top of that big old hill near the river.
> Quite a nice view from there.

Ah yes, the Incline - near Station Square - goes up to Mt. Washington. There were 2, but I think the other may be down now. Not sure. Don't hear much about it.

> Philly I've walked and drove all over the place, and don't recall seeing
> anything mass transit related.
>
>>
>> I think you're probably right about the "People mover". About as
>> exciting as watching cement harden. If there is any radio excitement
>> there to be had, chances are it would be in the form of hash of some
>> sort for those who like that sort of noise.
>>
>> Lou
>>
> I would think the only transmissions worthy of possible interest from the
> people mover would be from technicians servicing it during its frequent
> breakdowns.
> "Yeah,Red Fox 2 this is Blue Dog 1 ... I need another 8 inch greased nut,
> a
> reverse flange over-drive bearing, and another box of doughnuts. Over."
>

As I said, as exciting as watching cement harden! But then again, on a dull night - the cops can even sound that exciting.

L.

724. How am I programming Pro96 wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-03 06:39:11 UTC
From: Me <payasodoctor@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1107412752.db3eb6c0783629cefa942bc2101ed8e1@teranews>
Source record: 15083

I recently moved to Clarksville TN and found that I couldn't hear most of the agencies here with my old non-trunking scanner. So, I bougt a Pro-96 digital trunk tracking scanner and just got it home tonight. I've looked up the local frequencies on the net and found that local PD is on a digital "EDACS Pro Voice Wide Area" net that they purchased 2 yrs ago.

I programed in the 8 frequencies that they use (in order) taking care not program into channel 00. I scanned the bank in EDAC open mode, but I'm not hearing anything. If I walk thru the channels manually, I hear the data channel on frequency 6, and short quick static bursts of digital transmissions on the other channels. Switching mode to Motorola to see if my sources were wrong only lets my scanner freeze on the data channel like a non-trunking scanner. Did I forget to do something, or does it sound like my town is scrambling their transmissions?

I haven't programmed in all the stuff I want to, and I haven't programmed in any talkgroup IDs yet--I got the Win96 software (I'm still in the free trial period), but it won't communicate. I'm set to COM1 using the only serial port on my computer. I run Windows XP Home Editon with Service Pack 2.
This is turning into a terrible night!!!

725. ICOM R20

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-03 07:19:12 UTC
From: jimbeasley@adelphia.net
Message-ID: <1107415152.894838.125000@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15084

I just purchased an R20 that I want to use more for signal detection rather than receiving. I was disappointed to see that it will not demodulate SSB or CW at 470 MHz and above. (It's easier to hear the signal in these modes.) Does anyone know of a mod that can fix this? Also, are there any mods out there to unblock it?

Thanks,
Jim

726. Hudson Fl Frequency Talkgroups

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-03 07:23:02 UTC
From: Gary <scansite@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <qjkMd.4111$uc.1854@trnddc09>
Source record: 15085

Hi all just looking for some quick info. Moving to Pasco county FL soon (Hudson) and have looked into their frequency lists and all but cannot tell from all the info just what talkgroups pertain to the actual Hudson area. Can anyone help with info and/or sources for any and all frequencies and/or talkgroups that may be helpful? I need to wipe out my scanners and reprogram them and would like to know how to streamline the banks/channels for optimum performance . Thanks in advance...

G

727. Re: For a change..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-03 11:11:44 UTC
From: Cat <nicht_spammies@UNSPAM.ass>
Message-ID: <QFnMd.260053$8l.244272@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 15086

Go on the internet and look up "scanning".

"yugo" <ugo_lavoie@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:fc7e74c3.0502020850.36d51442@posting.google.com...
> Hi there i'm a newbie that want to learn about radio....
>
>
> Do u have any good site where i could learn the basic... I've already
> check other topic about beginners on this newsgroup
> What can u do with radio...listening to police (trunk comm a�nd
> digital)
> but what else.....i know u can listen to Mcdonalds and other fast
> food,
> but can you lsiten to your neighboors phone call (if made wi�th a
> wireless phone 900-2400)
> i would like to learn more about the subject.....
>
>
> what do you find special about scanning radio frequencies...

728. Re: How am I programming Pro96 wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-03 12:08:19 UTC
From: trido@myway.com
Message-ID: <TuoMd.47309$eT5.14350@attbi_s51>
Source record: 15087

In article <1107412752.db3eb6c0783629cefa942bc2101ed8e1@teranews>, "Me"
<payasodoctor@yahoo.com> wrote:
>I recently moved to Clarksville TN and found that I couldn't hear most of
>the agencies here with my old non-trunking scanner. So, I bougt a Pro-96
>digital trunk tracking scanner and just got it home tonight. I've looked up
>the local frequencies on the net and found that local PD is on a digital
>"EDACS Pro Voice Wide Area" net that they purchased 2 yrs ago.
>
>I programed in the 8 frequencies that they use (in order) taking care not
>program into channel 00. I scanned the bank in EDAC open mode, but I'm not
>hearing anything. If I walk thru the channels manually, I hear the data
>channel on frequency 6, and short quick static bursts of digital
>transmissions on the other channels. Switching mode to Motorola to see if
>my sources were wrong only lets my scanner freeze on the data channel like a
>non-trunking scanner. Did I forget to do something, or does it sound like
>my town is scrambling their transmissions?
>
>I haven't programmed in all the stuff I want to, and I haven't programmed in
>any talkgroup IDs yet--I got the Win96 software (I'm still in the free trial
>period), but it won't communicate. I'm set to COM1 using the only serial
>port on my computer. I run Windows XP Home Editon with Service Pack 2.
>This is turning into a terrible night!!!
>
>
radio shack should have never sold you a scanner NO SCANNER MADE will decode
and hear Pro Voice, and there probably will NEVER be one.
Sorry for bad news

Trido

729. Re: For a change..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-03 13:13:43 UTC
From: ugo_lavoie@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1107436423.791983.30930@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15088

thx to all of you

730. Charging BC200XLT with 6 V Car Charger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-03 14:03:19 UTC
From: msterspy <msterspy@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <58b401d5qmeiqbqrffibqsbufvgovh4ian@4ax.com>
Source record: 15089

Hi All: I have an old BC200XLT. Had it for about 12 years. I have replaced the batteries a few times over the years, some from Uniden and others from adapting Radio Shack NiCads.

I seem to have misplaced my car charger. I do have a Radioshack variable voltgage that fits but the highest voltage is 6 V.

If I try charging with that, will it damage the batteries?

I do have the AC charger so I can always charge indoors. Just wondering about the car charger.

By the way, this is a great scanner. It still picks up occasional cell phones, but I thought that due to digital and encryption that would no longer be possible.

M

731. Re: cordless phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-03 14:44:09 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <jLqMd.7524$XW3.6233@fe03.lga>
Source record: 15090

"DougSlug" <dougslug@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:jeeMd.5$c_7.3@fe10.lga...
>
> Not all 900 MHz cordless phones utilize WFM, so a scanner that only offers
> NFM may still be useful for this purpose.
>
I'm not going get into some huge debate or such crap, so:
You are somewhat corect, not all, but MOST...

>
> I'm not sure what you would consider "higher-end", but there are plenty of
> "regular" scanners that have WFM.
>
Generally anything costing you more than $150 to $200, and that includes
Trunk Tracking, I would classify as "higher-end". You won't find WFM on the
majority of (if any) "regular" scanners.

> You can include your answer among those that are "not worth a damn".
>
I think yours grabs that category a little better than mine. Mine
contributed useful information, yours was just there to start an argument...

732. Re: How am I programming Pro96 wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-03 14:56:49 UTC
From: J Swaggart <nospam@ptl.org>
Message-ID: <pXqMd.3077$lw4.723235@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 15091

jeff wrote:

>In article <1107412752.db3eb6c0783629cefa942bc2101ed8e1@teranews>, "Me"
<payasodoctor@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
>>I recently moved to Clarksville TN and found that I couldn't hear most of
>>the agencies here with my old non-trunking scanner. So, I bougt a Pro-96
>>digital trunk tracking scanner and just got it home tonight. I've looked up
>>the local frequencies on the net and found that local PD is on a digital
>>"EDACS Pro Voice Wide Area" net that they purchased 2 yrs ago.
>>
>>I programed in the 8 frequencies that they use (in order) taking care not
>>program into channel 00. I scanned the bank in EDAC open mode, but I'm not
>>hearing anything. If I walk thru the channels manually, I hear the data
>>channel on frequency 6, and short quick static bursts of digital
>>transmissions on the other channels. Switching mode to Motorola to see if
>>my sources were wrong only lets my scanner freeze on the data channel like a
>>non-trunking scanner. Did I forget to do something, or does it sound like
>>my town is scrambling their transmissions?
>>
>>I haven't programmed in all the stuff I want to, and I haven't programmed in
>>any talkgroup IDs yet--I got the Win96 software (I'm still in the free trial
>>period), but it won't communicate. I'm set to COM1 using the only serial
>>port on my computer. I run Windows XP Home Editon with Service Pack 2.
>>This is turning into a terrible night!!!
>>
>>
>>
>>
>radio shack should have never sold you a scanner NO SCANNER MADE will decode
>and hear Pro Voice, and there probably will NEVER be one.
>Sorry for bad news
>
>Trido
>
>
why kick this guy in the Teeth !!! just a simple answer would have done
the trick

733. Re: cordless phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-03 15:19:15 UTC
From: DougSlug <dougslug@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <IqrMd.578$7Q7.376@fe10.lga>
Source record: 15092

> Mine contributed useful information, yours was just there to start an
> argument...

Whatever, dude. One man's trash is another man's treasure.

734. Re: cordless phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-03 15:56:00 UTC
From: Steve G <geie@rocketmail.com>
Message-ID: <MsWdnQzUhdjs1J_fRVn-qg@comcast.com>
Source record: 15093

"bob" <noone@nowhere.org> wrote

> There are a few countries (USA included) that have laws prohibiting
> cellular monitoring, cordless phone monitoring, etc. (land of the free,
> but not free to listen to.. oh nevermind, I don't want to start a
> shouting match here, but it is odd that a country that claims to protect
> freedom at any cost quietly takes some away. Likely due to some
> politician getting caught in some scam or scandal due to what they said
> on a cell phone and the wrong people hearing it.)

But you left plenty of bait for a shouting match anyway......

735. Re: PRO-2052 - Should I But It?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-03 22:14:55 UTC
From: Jesse <org@org.orgy>
Message-ID: <Xns95F2AF776606ewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 15096

"Bud Turner" <vvatc@@navydoula.com> wrote in
news:Z7hMd.103$ZZ.55@newssvr23.news.prodigy.net:

> Well...should I? It's on sale at RS for $150. I live in San Diego and
> want to listen to PD, Fire, etc. Is the city digital? Anyone have this
> radio? What do you think about it? Any feedback would be swell...
> thanks,
> Bud
>
>
>
No .. Whatever you do, don't but it.

736. Re: Legal Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-03 22:24:34 UTC
From: Skweezieweezie <noway@thistime.now>
Message-ID: <fvGdnbLDnMksOZ_fRVn-gQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 15097

I know that it is illegal to use a scanner while driving a tractor-trailer and other commercial vehicles like that and I would believe that a bus falls under that classification. I'm not quite sure if it is a Federal law. The person I asked has been a long haul OTR driver for 20+ years.

737. Re: Charging BC200XLT with 6 V Car Charger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-03 22:32:31 UTC
From: mikeFNB <moc.dlrowltn@FNB7G-ekim>
Message-ID: <3ExMd.2337$Ck4.618@newsfe2-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 15098

as long as the plug polarity is correct no damage,
however 6v might not be enough volts to make it work/charge as well.

try it.

mike

"msterspy" <msterspy@netscape.net> wrote in message
news:58b401d5qmeiqbqrffibqsbufvgovh4ian@4ax.com...
> Hi All: I have an old BC200XLT. Had it for about 12 years. I have
> replaced the batteries a few times over the years, some from Uniden
> and others from adapting Radio Shack NiCads.
>
> I seem to have misplaced my car charger. I do have a Radioshack
> variable voltgage that fits but the highest voltage is 6 V.
>
> If I try charging with that, will it damage the batteries?
>
> I do have the AC charger so I can always charge indoors. Just
> wondering about the car charger.
>
> By the way, this is a great scanner. It still picks up occasional cell
> phones, but I thought that due to digital and encryption that would no
> longer be possible.
>
> M
>

738. tracking more than 1 Smart Zone tower in the same bank with a Pro 96

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-04 00:41:08 UTC
From: TomCat4680 <tomcatgbmi@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1107477668.594641.238430@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15099

is it possible to track more than 1 Smart Zone tower of the same system at the same time in 1 bank with a Pro 96? i heard it is if you put conventional freqs between each towers freqs. i tried it and it didnt work, it stuck on 1 control channel. the system i used was the MPSCS in Michigan. am i doing it wrong? i want to do it from home only, not mobile.

example:
channel 1: Tower 1 control channel primary
channel 2: Tower 1 control channel secondary
channel 3: any conventional freq, set to fm mode
channel 4: Tower 2 control channel primary
channel 5: Tower 2 control channel secondary
etc.

739. Re: Uniden Bearcat Dealers in Canada ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-04 03:20:06 UTC
From: bob <noone@nowhere.org>
Message-ID: <BRBMd.4103$lw4.840345@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 15000

www.radioworld.ca
(on south side of Steels Ave, just a few hundred metres east of the 400)

www.durhamradio.com
Just east of Toronto, minutes off the 401.
(web site has a map)

bmck@rogers.com wrote:
> Can somebody post any Bearcat dealers in Canada, preferably the
> Ontario area ? Thanks

740. Re: PRO-2052 - Should I Buy It?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-04 04:01:42 UTC
From: "Bud Turner"
Message-ID: <GsCMd.462$ZZ.2@newssvr23.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 15001

So, will I be able to listen to San Diego PD with the PRO-2052? I realize I should be able to ask the clowns at Radio Shack, but they're just that, clowns!

"> Law Enforcement talkgroups on the County system are digital,
> but fire and mutual aid talkgroups are still analog.

741. Re: How do you get the external antenna feed in?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-04 04:40:55 UTC
From: lawsuitjoe@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050203234055.13786.00000287@mb-m23.aol.com>
Source record: 15002

Run the coax into an attic vent, then feed it from the attic down between the walls. Or in the alternative, poke a small hole in the closet ceiling where it won't be noticed and feed it from the attic into the closet.

742. Re: Uniden Bearcat Dealers in Canada ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-04 05:38:14 UTC
From: "news.vif.com" <root@NoReply-AntiSpam.com>
Message-ID: <1107495451.217261@www.vif.com>
Source record: 15003

Compare prices before you shop. I found radioworld @ times to
be over priced.

bmck@rogers.com wrote:
> Can somebody post any Bearcat dealers in Canada, preferably the
> Ontario area ? Thanks

--
John Medica
System & Network administrator
Listening-Post
Toronto Ontario, Canada

Listening-Post, Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide

743. Re: New Hunting reality show

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-04 06:35:22 UTC
From: Wayne Farmer <usenet@endymionsystems.com>
Message-ID: <eladnUUA5o-9hZ7fRVn-uw@psknet.com>
Source record: 15004

<thruthewoods32@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1106953944.214703.83410@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> Have you heard about this new hunting reality TV show that's seeking
> participants? It's called "Hunting for Bambi" and it's like
> Fear Factor meets Girls Gone Wild. 6 guys get to hunt 12 naked women in
> the woods with paintball guns. Sounds like it should be a new Olympic
> sport if you ask me! Definitely better than hunting deer. lol! Go to
> www.huntingforbambi.com if you're interested in signing up.

Don't bother; it's a hoax. See
http://www.snopes.com/inboxer/outrage/bambi.asp .

744. RS PRO96

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-04 12:53:37 UTC
From: spider <"911 caretaker">
Message-ID: <lmr6015t3j673h8sqa9l0uvejh71mjafe0@4ax.com>
Source record: 15005

Just purchased a Radio Shack Pro 96. It is all that they say it is and it outperforms my Bearcat BC785D in sensitivity, intermod, and weight. I get about 10 hours of battery life using an earphone and the fact that it can store up to 6000 fequencies is just great. The trunking works great and about the only thing it doesn't do is scan commercial FM and TV stations, but who buys a scanner to listen to them. I have placed it side by side with a Motorola Astro25 HT and other than not being able to transmit, and it has a smaller speaker I was not able to tell the difference.

745. Re: How do you get the external antenna feed in?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-04 13:42:02 UTC
From: Jim <junk@junk.com>
Message-ID: <KYKMd.3145$XY5.2437@fe2.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 15006

The least destructive method I've seen is to cut a piece of 1x4 to fit your window then drill that instead of your house.

"LawsuitJoe" <lawsuitjoe@aol.com> wrote in message news:20050203234055.13786.00000287@mb-m23.aol.com...
> Run the coax into an attic vent, then feed it from the attic down between
> the
> walls. Or in the alternative, poke a small hole in the closet ceiling
> where it
> won't be noticed and feed it from the attic into the closet.

746. wide band receiver, HT, PC controlled radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-04 14:37:16 UTC
From: TomH <cyberhun@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <wMLMd.268399$8l.167339@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 15007

Does anyone in this NG know if there is a VHF HT transceiver, that doubles as a wideband receiver (scanner), AND is capable of being controlled by a PC (by controlled I mean change the channel)?
The Icom T90 is all of that, except for PC control (it can be channel-cloned, but that's different).

747. Ham Radio Desks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-04 18:18:49 UTC
From: Gary <scansite@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <d0PMd.3124$uc.1764@trnddc05>
Source record: 15008

Anyone direct me to a source/site with heavy duty ham radio desks for sale?
Prefer work bench style/block style with hutch to accomodate a 19" computer
monitor, etc.

748. Re: Listening to fast food frequencies..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-04 19:21:03 UTC
From: wirelessinternet802@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1107544863.800962.56170@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15009
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

HellFire wrote:
>
> Do you have to be very close to the restaurant to listen in? I live
no
> more than 3-4 miles from taco bell, burget king, kfc... ect. I'm at
> home and can't hear anything.

Foul-mouthed prankster talking through Burger King drive-thru speaker

> Police in the north Detroit suburb are looking for someone who is
> using a device to broadcaston the same frequency as a Burger King
> drive-through speaker, the person has interrupted business
> transactions three times, with obscene remarks to startled customers.
> A voice boomed out of the outdoor speaker: "There's nothing you or
> the police can do about this, so get ... back inside and
> take your goons with you. Police suspect the calls are being made
> by a radio transmitter or walkie-talkie near the restaurant.
(expired link)
http://www.freep.com/news/statewire/sw90754_20040108.htm

Burger King (drive-thru) customers told:'You are Too Fat to have a
Whopper'
>
> Police believe teenage pranksters are hacking into the
> wireless frequency of a US Burger King drive-through speaker to
> tell potential customers they are too fat for fast food.
> The offenders are reportedly tapping into the wireless frequency
> at the restaurant in Troy, Michigan. Police believe the culprits
> are watching and broadcasting from close range.

http://www.ananova.com/news/story/sm_853744.html?menu=news.latestheadlines
--

FAST FOOD FREQUENCY PAIRING
The frequency pairs marked with an "*" are either odd or missing.

Headset/Speaker frequency pairing for VHF-low / VHF-high band:

Speaker Headset

30.840 - 154.570
31.000 - 170.305
31.240 - ???.??? (151.745 or 151.775 or 151.805 or 151.835)
31.400 - 154.515 *
33.140 - 151.895
33.140 - 170.305 *

33.160 - 154.515
33.400 - 154.540
33.400 - 154.570 *
35.020 - 154.490 *
35.020 - 151.895 *
35.020 - 154.600
35.120 - ???.??? (151.745 or 151.775 or 151.805 or 151.835)
35.880 - ???.??? (151.745 or 151.775 or 151.805 or 151.835)
35.960 - ???.??? (151.745 or 151.775 or 151.805 or 151.835)

Headset/Speaker frequency pairing for VHF-high band:

Speaker Headset

151.715 - 169.445
151.775 - 171.905
154.570 - 170.245
154.600 - 171.105

154.600 - 170.245 *

Headset/Speaker frequency pairing for UHF band:

Speaker Headset

457.5125 - 467.7375
457.5250 - 467.7500
457.5375 - 467.7625
457.5500 - 467.7750
457.5625 - 467.7875
457.5750 - 467.8000
457.5875 - 467.8125
457.6000 - 467.8250
457.6125 - 467.8375

457.5125 - 468.4875
457.5250 - 467.8250 *
457.5375 - 468.3875
457.6000 - 467.7500 *
462.7625 - 467.8875

460.8875 - 465.8875
461.0375 - 466.0375
461.0875 - 466.0875
461.1125 - 466.1125
461.2875 - 466.2875
461.5375 - 462.1625 *
461.5375 - 466.5375
462.1625 - 467.1625
464.9625 - 469.9625
464.9875 - 469.9875

469.0125 - 464.0125
469.0375 - 464.0375
469.0625 - 464.0625
469.0875 - 464.0875
469.1125 - 464.1125
469.1375 - 464.1375
469.1625 - 464.1625
469.1875 - 464.1875
469.2125 - 464.2125
469.2375 - 464.2375
469.2625 - 464.2625
469.2875 - 464.2875
469.3125 - 464.3125
469.3375 - 464.3375
469.3625 - 464.3625
469.3875 - 464.3875

Headset/Speaker frequency pairing for ISM band Wide FM (3M Headsets):

Speaker Headset

920.0125 - 903.0125
920.0250 - 903.0250
. .
. .
921.0000 - 904.0000
. .
. .
921.9750 - 904.9750
921.9875 - 904.9875

Headset/Speaker frequency pairing for ISM band (HM Electronics):

Speaker Headset

902.0125 - 926.0125
902.0250 - 926.0250
. .
. .
903.0000 - 927.0000
. .
. .
903.9750 - 927.9750
903.9875 - 927.9875

The most common frequency pairs to check are:

30.8400 --- 154.5700
31.0000 --- 170.3050
33.1600 --- 154.5150
33.4000 --- 154.5400
35.0200 --- 154.6000
154.5700 --- 170.2450
154.6000 --- 171.1050

457.5125 --- 468.4875
457.5375 --- 468.3875
457.5500 --- 467.7750
457.6000 --- 467.8250
461.0375 --- 466.0375
460.8875 --- 465.8875
461.0875 --- 466.0875
469.0125 --- 464.0125

This list covers most Fast Food chains across the entire US
and parts of Canada.

FAST FOOD FREQUENCY PAIRING

as of 02/04/2000

RESTAURANT CUSTOMER (R) CLERK (I)

Arbys 30.8400 154.5700

31.0000 170.3050

457.5500 467.7750

460.8875 465.8875

461.0375 466.0375

920.7250 WFM 903.7250 WFM

Bakers Dozen Donuts 457.5750 467.9750

Bess Eaton Donut 457.5375 467.7625

Bobs Big Boy 30.8400 154.5700

457.6000 467.8250

Bobs Burger Express 469.0125 464.0125

469.0375 464.0375

469.0625 464.0625

469.0875 464.0875

469.1125 464.1125

469.1375 464.1375

469.1625 464.1625

469.1875 464.1875

469.2125 464.2125

469.2375 464.2375

469.2625 464.2625

469.2875 464.2875

469.3125 464.3125

469.3375 464.3375

469.3625 464.3625

469.3875 464.3875

Bojangles 33.4000 154.5400

Boston Market 33.1600 154.5150

33.4000 154.5400

Braums 31.0000 170.3050

* 457.6000 467.7500

461.0875 466.0875

461.5375 466.5375

462.1625 467.1625

Burger King (Canada) 30.8400 154.4900

Burger King 30.8400 154.5700

31.0000 170.3050

33.4000 154.5400

457.5500 467.7750

457.5625 467.7875

457.5750 467.8000

457.6000 467.8250

460.8875 465.8875

461.2875 466.2875

461.5375 466.5375

469.0125 464.0125

920.2625 WFM 903.2625 WFM

Burgerville 30.8400 154.5700

Carls Jr 30.8400 154.5700

457.5375 468.3875

457.5500 467.7750

457.5750 467.8000

461.0875 466.0875

Chick Fil A 31.0000 170.3050

Coffee Time (Canada) 920.7375 WFM 903.7375 WFM

Coulters BBQ 31.0000 170.3050

Country Style (Canada) 457.5750 467.8000

Dairy Queen (Canada) 457.5750 467.8000

Dairy Queen 30.8400 154.5700

460.8875 465.8875

461.0875 466.0875

461.5375 466.5375

462.1625 467.1625

920.2625 WFM 903.2625 WFM

Del Taco 35.0200 154.6000

Donut Diner (Canada) 921.2250 WFM 904.2250 WFM

Dunkin Donuts 30.8400 154.5700

33.1600 154.5150

33.4000 154.5400

457.5500 467.7750

920.2625 WFM 903.2625 WFM

El Mexicano 464.9625 469.9625

El Pollo Loco 33.1600 154.5150

457.5500 467.7750

Foster Freeze 31.0000 170.3050

Hardees 30.8400 154.5700

31.0000 170.3050

35.0200 154.6000

457.5375 467.7625

460.8875 465.8875

461.0875 466.0875

461.1125 466.1125

462.1625 467.1625

469.0125 464.0125

Hot Dog Etc 30.8400 154.5700

31.0000 170.3050

33.1400 151.8950

In-N-Out Burger 33.1600 154.5150

33.4000 154.5400

Jack in the Box 33.1600 154.5150

33.4000 154.5400

35.0200 154.6000

457.5125 468.4875

457.5750 467.8000

469.0250 464.0250

James Coney Island 463.9875 468.9875

Kenny Rogers Roasters 469.0125 464.0125

Chicken 920.2875 WFM 903.2875 WFM

Kentucky Fried Chicken 30.8400 154.5700

31.0000 170.3050

33.1400 151.8950

33.1600 154.5150

33.4000 154.5400

35.0200 154.6000

457.5375 468.3875

457.5875 467.8125

457.6000 467.8250

460.8875 465.8875

461.0875 466.0875

462.1625 467.1625

462.7625 467.8875

464.0875 469.0875

Lick's Burgers (Canada) 921.9370 WFM 904.9370 WFM

Long John Silvers 33.1600 154.5150

33.4000 154.5400

McDonalds (Canada) 30.5800 154.4900

30.8400 151.6700

30.8400 154.1450

McDonalds 30.8400 154.5700

31.0000 170.3050

33.1400 151.8950

* 33.1400 170.3050

33.4000 154.5400

* 33.4000 154.5700

* 35.0200 151.8950

* 35.0200 154.4900

35.0200 154.6000

151.7150 169.4450

151.7450 UNKNOWN

151.7750 171.9050

151.8650 UNKNOWN

154.5700 170.2450

154.6000 171.1050

* 154.6000 170.2450

457.5125 468.4875

457.5375 468.3875

457.5500 467.7750

457.6000 467.8250

460.8875 465.8875

461.0375 466.0375

461.0875 466.0875

461.3125 466.3125

462.1625 467.1625

463.2875 468.2875

464.5125 469.5125

469.0125 464.0125

469.0625 464.0625

469.1125 464.1125

469.1375 464.1375

469.1625 464.1625

469.1875 464.1875

469.3125 464.3125

469.3375 464.3375

469.3875 464.3875

902.3000 926.4000

920.2000 WFM 903.2000 WFM

920.2625 WFM 903.2625 WFM

920.5000 WFM 903.5000 WFM

920.9625 WFM 903.9625 WFM

921.2250 WFM 904.2250 WFM

921.9000 WFM 904.9000 WFM

Mr. Muggs (Canada) 921.9370 WFM 904.9370 WFM

Mrs Winners Drive-up 30.8400 154.5700

Pit Stop Food & Beverage 457.5375 468.3875

461.0375 466.0375

461.0875 466.0875

Popeyes 33.4000 154.5400

469.0125 464.0125

Rallys 30.8400 154.5700

33.1600 154.5150

457.5375 468.3875

461.0875 466.0875

* 461.5375 462.1625

469.0125 464.0125

Robin's Donuts (Canada) 457.5750 467.9750

Roy Rogers 30.8400 154.5700

33.1600 154.5150

33.4000 154.5400

457.5375 467.7625

469.0125 464.0125

469.2125 464.2125

Sheetz Gas Station 469.0125 464.0125

Sonic 33.1600 154.5150

Taco Bell (Canada) 30.4000 154.5400

Taco Bell 30.8400 154.5700

31.0000 170.3050

* 31.4000 154.5150

33.1600 154.5150

33.4000 154.5400

35.0200 154.6000

457.5375 468.3875

457.5500 467.7750

460.8875 465.8875

461.0375 466.0375

461.0875 466.0875

461.5375 466.5375

462.7625 467.8875

464.9625 469.9625

469.0125 464.0125

469.2125 464.2125

Taco Bueno 30.8400 154.5700

461.3125 466.3125

Taco Cabana 33.4000 154.5400

TCBY 457.5500 467.7750

Tim Hortons Donuts(Canada) 30.5800 154.4900

457.5750 467.9750

Wendys 31.0000 171.3050

33.1600 154.5150

33.4000 154.5400

35.0200 154.6000

49.8300 49.8900

457.5125 467.7375

457.5357 467.7625

457.5375 468.3875

457.6125 467.8375

460.8875 465.8875

461.0875 466.0875

461.8125 466.8125

462.1625 467.1625

464.9875 469.9875

469.0125 464.0125

469.2125 464.2125

Whataburger 30.8400 154.5700

* 457.5250 467.8250

457.5500 467.7750

* 457.6000 467.7500

* 457.6125 467.7500

469.0125 464.0125

469.0375 464.0375

469.0625 464.0625

469.0875 464.0875

469.1125 464.1125

469.1375 464.1375

469.1625 464.1625

469.1875 464.1875

469.2125 464.2125

469.2375 464.2375

469.2625 464.2625

469.2875 464.2875

469.3125 464.3125

469.3375 464.3375

469.3625 464.3625

469.3875 464.3875

White Castle 457.6000 467.8250

461.8125 466.8125

Weinerschnitzel 33.4000 154.5400

FAST FOOD FREQUENCY PAIRING

CUSTOMER CLERK RESTAURANT CHAINS

30.4000 --- 154.5400 Taco Bell(Canada)

30.5800 --- 154.4900 Tim Hortons Donuts(Canada)

30.5800 --- 154.4900 McDonalds(Canada main freq)

30.8400 --- 151.6700 McDonalds(Canada aux. freq)

30.8400 --- 154.1450 McDonalds(Canada aux. freq)

30.8400 --- 154.5700 Arbys, Bobs Big Boy, Burger King, Burgerville,

Carls Jr, Dairy Queen, Dunkin Donuts, Hardees,

Hot Dog Etc, Kentucky Fried Chicken,

Mrs Winners Drive-up, McDonalds,

Rallys, Roy Rogers,

Taco Bell, Taco Bueno, Whataburger

31.0000 --- 170.3050 Arbys, Braums, Burger King, Chick Fil A,

Coulters BBQ, Foster Freeze, Hardees,

Hot Dog Etc, Kentucky Fried Chicken,
McDonalds,

Taco Bell, Wendys

31.4000 --- 154.5150 * Taco Bell

33.1400 --- 151.8950 Hot Dog Etc, Kentucky Fried Chicken, McDonalds

33.1400 --- 170.3050 * McDonalds

33.1600 --- 154.5150 Boston Market, Dunkin Donuts, El Pollo Loco,

In-n-Out Burger, Kentucky Fried Chicken,

Long John Silvers, Rallys, Roy Rogers, Sonic,

Taco Bell, Wendys

33.4000 --- 154.5400 Boston Market, Bojangles, Burger King,

Dunkin Donuts, In-N-Out Burger, Jack in the
Box,

Kentucky Fried Chicken, Long John Silvers,

McDonalds, Popeyes, Roy Rogers, Taco Bell,

Taco Cabana, Wendys, Wienerschnitzel

33.4000 --- 154.5700 * McDonalds

35.0200 --- 151.8950 * McDonalds

35.0200 --- 154.4900 * McDonalds

35.0200 --- 154.6000 Hardees, Jack in the Box, Kentucky Fried
Chicken,

McDonalds, Taco Bell

151.7150 --- 169.4450 McDonalds

151.7450 --- UNKNOWN McDonalds (Most likely paired with 169.505,

171.045, or 171.845)

151.7750 --- 171.9050 McDonalds

151.8650 --- UNKNOWN McDonalds (Most likely paired with 169.505,

171.045, or 171.845)

154.5700 --- 170.2450 McDonalds

154.6000 --- 171.1050 McDonalds

457.5125 --- 467.7375 Wendys

457.5125 --- 468.4875 Jack in the Box, McDonalds

457.5250 --- 467.7500 PROBABLE FREQUENCY none found yet

457.5250 --- 467.8250 * Whataburger

457.5375 --- 467.7625 Bess Eaton Donuts, Hardees, Roy Rogers, Wendys

457.5375 --- 468.3875 Carls Jr, Kentucky Fried Chicken, McDonalds,

Pit Stop Food & Beverage, Rallys,

Taco Bell, Wendys

457.5500 --- 467.7750 Arbys, Burger King, Carls Jr, Dunkin Donuts,

El Pollo Loco, McDonalds, Taco Bell, TCBY,

Whataburger

457.5625 --- 467.7875 Burger King

457.5750 --- 467.8000 Carls Jr, Country Style(Canada), Jack in the
Box,

Burger King

457.5750 --- 467.9750 * Bakers Dozen Donuts(Canada),

Tim Hortons Donuts(Canada)

457.5875 --- 467.8125 Kentucky Fried Chicken

457.6000 --- 467.7500 * Braums, Whataburger

457.6000 --- 467.8250 Arbys, Bobs Big Boy, Burger King,

Kentucky Fried Chicken, McDonalds, White
Castle

457.6125 --- 467.7500 * Whataburger

457.6125 --- 467.8375 Wendys

460.8875 --- 465.8875 Burger King, Carls Jr, Dairy Queen, Hardees,

Kentucky Fried Chicken, McDonalds, Taco Bell,

Wendys

461.0375 --- 466.0375 Arbys, McDonalds, Pit Stop Food & Beverage,

Taco Bell

461.0875 --- 466.0875 Braums, Carls Jr, Dairy Queen, Hardees,

Kentucky Fried Chicken, McDonalds,

Pit Stop Food & Beverage, Rallys, Taco Bell,

Wendys

461.1125 --- 466.1125 Hardees

461.2875 --- 466.2875 Burger King

461.3125 --- 466.3125 McDonalds, Taco Bueno

461.5375 --- 462.1625 * Rallys

461.5375 --- 466.5375 Braums, Dairy Queen, Burger King, Taco Bell

461.8125 --- 466.8125 Wendys, White Castle

462.1625 --- 467.1625 Braums, Diary Queen, Hardees,

Kentucky Fried Chicken, McDonalds, Wendys

462.7625 --- 467.8875 Kentucky Fried Chicken, Taco Bell

463.2875 --- 468.2875 McDonalds

463.9875 --- 468.9875 James Coney Island

464.0875 --- 469.0875 Kentucky Fried Chicken

464.5125 --- 469.5125 McDonalds, Wendys

464.9625 --- 469.9625 El Mexicano, Taco Bell

464.9875 --- 469.9875 Wendys

469.0125 --- 464.0125 Bobs Burger Express, Hardees,

Kenny Rogers Roasters Chicken, McDonalds,

Popeyes, Rallys, Roy Rogers,

Sheetz (Gas Station), Taco Bell, Wendys

469.0250 --- 464.0250 Jack in the Box

469.0375 --- 464.0375 Bobs Burger Express, Whataburger

469.0625 --- 464.0625 Bobs Burger Express, McDonalds, Whataburger

469.0875 --- 464.0875 Bobs Burger Express, Whataburger

469.1125 --- 464.1125 Bobs Burger Express, McDonalds, Whataburger

469.1375 --- 464.1375 Bobs Burger Express, McDonalds, Whataburger

469.1625 --- 464.1625 Bobs Burger Express, McDonalds, Whataburger

469.1875 --- 464.1875 Bobs Burger Express, McDonalds, Whataburger

469.2125 --- 464.2125 Bobs Burger Express, Taco Bell, Wendys,
Whataburger

469.2375 --- 464.2375 Bobs Burger Express, Whataburger

469.2625 --- 464.2625 Bobs Burger Express, Whataburger

469.2875 --- 464.2875 Bobs Burger Express, Whataburger

469.3125 --- 464.3125 Bobs Burger Express, McDonalds, Whataburger

469.3375 --- 464.3375 Bobs Burger Express, McDonalds, Whataburger

469.3625 --- 464.3625 Bobs Burger Express, Whataburger

469.3875 --- 464.3875 Bobs Burger Express, McDonalds, Whataburger

469.2125 --- 464.2125 Wendys

903.2000 --- 926.2000 McDonalds

920.2000 WFM 903.2000 McDonalds

920.2625 WFM 903.2625 Dairy Queen, Dunkin Donuts, McDonalds

920.2875 WFM 903.2875 Kenny Rogers Roasters Chicken

920.5000 WFM 903.5000 McDonalds

920.7250 WFM 903.7250 Arbys

920.7375 WFM 903.7375 Coffee Time

920.9625 WFM 903.9625 McDonalds

921.2250 WFM 904.2250 McDonalds

* Odd frequencies pairing

(CTCSS) PL Tones used

Outside Inside

77.0 162.2

88.5 123.0 Most Common PL Tones used by HM Electronics

100.0 131.8

162.2

107.2 141.3

114.8 107.2

114.8 127.3

118.8 110.9 Most Common PL Tones used by Panasonic

156.7

162.2 173.8

114.8 127.3

FREQUENCIES TO CHECK FOR FAST FOOD RESTAURANTS

VHF-low:

30.7600 30.8000 30.8400 30.8800 30.9200 30.9600 31.0000 31.0400
31.1600 31.2000 31.2400 33.1400 33.1600 33.4000 35.0200 35.0400
35.0600 35.0800 35.1000 35.1200 35.1400 35.1800 35.7000 35.7200
35.8800 35.9000 35.9200 35.9400 35.9600 35.9800 42.9600 42.9800
43.0000

VHF-high:

151.6250 151.6550 151.6850 151.7150 151.7450 151.7750 151.8050
151.8350 151.8650 151.8950 151.9250 151.9550 154.5150 154.5400

154.5700 154.6000 169.4450 169.5050 170.2450 170.3050 171.0450
171.1050 171.8450 171.9050

UHF:

457.5125 457.5250 457.5375 457.5500 457.5625 457.5750 457.5875
457.6000 457.6125 467.7375 467.7500 467.7625 467.7750 467.7875
467.8000 467.8125 467.8250 467.8375 467.8500 467.8625 467.8750
467.8875 467.9000 467.9125 467.9250

460.6625 460.6875 460.7125 460.7375 460.7625 460.7875 460.8125
460.8375 460.8625 460.8875 460.9125 460.9375 460.9625 460.9875

462.7625 462.7875 462.8125 462.8375 462.8625 462.8875 462.9125

461.0000 thru 462.1875 with 12.5Khz step
463.2000 thru 464.9875 with 12.5Khz step

465.6625 465.6875 465.7125 465.7375 465.7625 465.7875 465.8125
465.8375 465.8625 465.8875 465.9125 465.9375 465.9625 465.9875

466.0000 thru 467.1875 with 12.5Khz step
468.2000 thru 469.9875 with 12.5Khz step

ISM Band 902 - 928 Mhz (3M headsets)

920.0000 - 922.0000 with 12.5Khz step WIDE FM (Speaker)
903.0000 - 905.0000 with 12.5Khz step WIDE FM (Headset)

ISM Band 902 - 928 Mhz (HM Electronics)

902.0000 - 904.0000 with 12.5Khz step (Speaker)
926.0000 - 928.0000 with 12.5Khz step (Headset)

This list was compiled from numerous posts on the Internet news groups.
Business-band walkie-talkie radios can transmit of most of the
frequencies.

749. Re: Listening to fast food frequencies..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-04 19:32:27 UTC
From: HellFire <Damnation@hailmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns95F38A8C8F554Damnationhailmailcom@216.77.188.18>
Source record: 15010
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

>> Police believe teenage pranksters are hacking into the
>> wireless frequency of a US Burger King drive-through speaker to
>> tell potential customers they are too fat for fast food.
>> The offenders are reportedly tapping into the wireless frequency
>> at the restaurant in Troy, Michigan. Police believe the culprits
>> are watching and broadcasting from close range.

Thanks for the list. I just hope I'm close enough to hear something. I just got my scanner, so theres still a lot for me to learn about it. I'm suppose to have it on FM right?

750. Re: Uniden Bearcat Dealers in Canada ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-04 20:03:54 UTC
From: "Mike Tschel." <Mike@Hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <_d-dnQfzJvw5SJ7fRVn-1w@look.ca>
Source record: 15011

"news.vif.com" <root@NoReply-AntiSpam.com> wrote in message
news:1107495451.217261@www.vif.com...
> Compare prices before you shop. I found radioworld @ times to
> be over priced.<

Me too. However, they're pretty helpful so maybe that's something to consider if the radio has a problem or needs to be exchanged.

Mike T.

751. HELP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-04 21:54:53 UTC
From: Kap <juvenile11691@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1107554093.491242.295610@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15012

I live 5 streets away from a McDonalds and im trying to pick the driv thru up but i cant pick them up can anybody give me some help or frequencies

752. Uniden Bearcat Dealers in Canada ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-04 22:00:42 UTC
From: bmck@rogers.com
Message-ID: <81s701195ega21rfp4ciqe0j1t5gfld4r2@4ax.com>
Source record: 15094

Can somebody post any Bearcat dealers in Canada, preferably the
Ontario area ? Thanks

753. plug in crystals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-04 22:24:35 UTC
From: jsbees@comcast.net
Message-ID: <1107555875.779157.252210@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15013

I HAVE A LARGE LOT OF PLUG IN CRYSTALS FOR
BEARCAT SCANNERS AND ALSO FOR THE OLD WHITE
FACE HAM RADIO IF INTERRESTED EMAIL ME YOUR NEEDS.
THANKS JOHN

754. Re: FS Bearcat 780xlt SOLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-04 23:11:04 UTC
From: summitrt@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20050204181104.23050.00000371@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 15015

This has been sold.

755. Re: HELP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-04 23:11:18 UTC
From: Brian <victhedog@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <t60801plbkp3p36rhu8slu8854vp5e4m1c@4ax.com>
Source record: 15016

On 4 Feb 2005 13:54:53 -0800, "Kap" <juvenile11691@aol.com> wrote:

if you don't have the freq, how do you know you can't get them???

>I live 5 streets away from a McDonalds and im trying to pick the driv
>thru up but i cant pick them up can anybody give me some help or
>frequencies

756. Re: Listening to fast food frequencies..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-04 23:13:32 UTC
From: rb <123@Ishotmr.lee>
Message-ID: <wkTMd.8487$0h5.2035@twister.rdc-kc.rr.com>
Source record: 15014
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I can't help but wonder WHY would you want to hear
fast food orders ????

"HellFire" <Damnation@hailmail.com> wrote in message
news:Xns95F38A8C8F554Damnationhailmailcom@216.77.188.18...
>>> Police believe teenage pranksters are hacking into the
>>> wireless frequency of a US Burger King drive-through speaker to
>>> tell potential customers they are too fat for fast food.
>>> The offenders are reportedly tapping into the wireless frequency
>>> at the restaurant in Troy, Michigan. Police believe the culprits
>>> are watching and broadcasting from close range.
>
> Thanks for the list. I just hope I'm close enough to hear something. I
> just
> got my scanner, so theres still a lot for me to learn about it. I'm
> suppose
> to have it on FM right?

757. Discriminator tap for BC895XLT Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-04 23:20:24 UTC
From: sefritts@comcast.net
Message-ID: <420402cb.575140@newsgroups.comcast.net>
Source record: 15017

Can someone tell me the proper tap off procedure for the BC895? I have found-I think-the correct tap off point but the audio is severely affected on speaker output if I feed it to a sound card.

Steve

758. Re: Listening to fast food frequencies..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-04 23:23:05 UTC
From: HellFire <Damnation@hailmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns95F3B1A86DF47Damnationhailmailcom@216.77.188.18>
Source record: 15018
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

> I can't help but wonder WHY would you want to hear
> fast food orders ????

I'm testing my scanner out. I just brought it. I wanna see what I can hear.

759. Re: HELP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-05 02:10:50 UTC
From: mikeFNB <moc.dlrowltn@FNB7G-ekim>
Message-ID: <KWVMd.3605$8r3.1978@newsfe5-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 15019

i would doubt you are in range they are very low power
only a few 100mts at best.

mike

"Kap" <juvenile11691@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1107554093.491242.295610@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> I live 5 streets away from a McDonalds and im trying to pick the driv
> thru up but i cant pick them up can anybody give me some help or
> frequencies
>

760. Re: Listening to fast food frequencies..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-05 02:30:45 UTC
From: TomH <cyberphun@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <pdWMd.273631$Xk.209339@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 15020
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Haven't you figured it out yet?
Wow, I guess we can all continue to get away with this as long as no one comes right out and spells it out in plain english for these, ahem, where was I?
Oh yeah, well, we want to listen to these frequencies so that we can help the forces of order combat the anarchic corporate disruptors who think it's funny to tell hideously obese fast food purchasers that they are too fat --- those rapscallion knaves, they'll get their come-uppance one day. In the meantime, those frequencies once again are ...
It would help if one or more of us came online with the most strident exclamations of righteous indignation that can be managed, make it seem like the very idea of this disruptive activuty is just so horrifyingly awful.

stifled howls of laughter

"rb" <123@Ishotmr.lee> wrote in message
news:wkTMd.8487$0h5.2035@twister.rdc-kc.rr.com...
>I can't help but wonder WHY would you want to hear
> fast food orders ????
>
>
> "HellFire" <Damnation@hailmail.com> wrote in message
> news:Xns95F38A8C8F554Damnationhailmailcom@216.77.188.18...
>>>> Police believe teenage pranksters are hacking into the
>>>> wireless frequency of a US Burger King drive-through speaker to
>>>> tell potential customers they are too fat for fast food.
>>>> The offenders are reportedly tapping into the wireless frequency
>>>> at the restaurant in Troy, Michigan. Police believe the culprits
>>>> are watching and broadcasting from close range.
>>
>> Thanks for the list. I just hope I'm close enough to hear something. I
>> just
>> got my scanner, so theres still a lot for me to learn about it. I'm
>> suppose
>> to have it on FM right?
>
>

761. Re: Ham Radio Desks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-05 04:24:04 UTC
From: Gaggle of Geese <gaggle@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <09i8019hjoaqm46hi84sd0uc93rpnmgbh5@4ax.com>
Source record: 15022

On Fri, 04 Feb 2005 18:18:49 GMT, "Gary" <scansite@verizon.net> wrote:

>Anyone direct me to a source/site with heavy duty ham radio desks for sale?
>Prefer work bench style/block style with hutch to accomodate a 19" computer
>monitor, etc.
>
I have found the audio and video desks used for professional sound and
video production work quite well for radio use.

Most have the hutch for multiple monitors, and 19" standard rack mount
rails on the bottom of each side to rack mount equipment nice and
clean.

This place had some cheap stuff on sales this month:
http://www.musiciansfriend.com/

Google: studio furniture

762. Radio Free Colorado - A Successful New Internet Radio Station

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-05 04:35:47 UTC
From: Gary Burke <nospam@noreply.net>
Message-ID: <BE299B33.7910%nospam@noreply.net>
Source record: 15021

Radio Free Colorado - A Successful New Internet Radio Station

NOTE: NEW CONNECTION URL'S GIVEN AT BOTTOM OF MESSAGE!

Radio Free Colorado
What Radio Once Was

Radio Free Colorado, a non-commercial Internet Radio Station, plays a lot of music you probably haven't heard in such a long time and have since forgotten how much you love. It's such a thrill when a forgotten song comes on and you say "Hey, I love this song" and all your fond memories of it come rushing back to you.

Our "Genre" (what kind of music we play) is VERY diverse. We play Modern/Classic Rock, Progressive Rock, Alternative (Modern and Retro), Pop, Billboard Classics, and Top Radio Hits from the 60's, 70's, 80's and 90's. We also play some Ambient/Instrumentals. No Rap or Hip-Hop, Classical, Jazz or Swing.

Radio Free Colorado streams music LIVE over the Internet using a high-fidelity 128 kbps MP3 stream. We use professional sound processing equipment to achieve a sound quality which we believe is superior to virtually all other 128 kbps streams.

Any computer with a DSL, Cable Modem (or faster) Internet connection that has a download speed capacity of at least 128 kbps can tune in.

Virtually all MP3 music players are capable of receiving live MP3 music streams over the Internet. Any music player compatible with streaming MP3 audio can receive Radio Free Colorado's broadcast.

Our MP3 broadcast stream is compatible with virtually all music software programs and works with PC's running Windows, Apple computers running OS X and other machines running Linux or Unix.

Radio Free Colorado is NOT beholden to anyone. There is no pre-approved "playlist", no one tells us what we can't play. Listeners can, however make song requests and if we have it we will play it.

Radio Free Colorado - Sample of Songs We Play

Go-Go's - Head Over Heels
Dire Straits - Walk of Life
Aimee Mann - Save Me (Acoustic - Recorded Live) - KBCO Studio C - Volume 14
Nena Hagen (Gabriela "Nena" Kerner) - 99 Luftballons (German) - 99 Luftballons
4 Non Blondes - What's Up
Cake - Short Skirt/Long Jacket - Comfort Eagle

Cracker - Euro Trash Girl - Garage d'Or
The Carpenters - Live At The Palladium 1976
Heart - Barracuda - The Essential Heart
Tom Petty & The Heartbreakers - You Don't Know How It Feels - Wildflowers
A Flock Of Seagulls - I Ran (So Far Away) - The Best Of A Flock Of Seagulls
Alphaville - Forever Young (Live) - Forever Young
Heaven 17 - Let Me Go (Original Unedited Version) - CD Single
The Waitresses - Quit - Wasn't Tomorrow Wonderful?
The Jam - Going Underground - The Sound of the Jam
Yaz - Situation (US 12" Mix) - Upstairs At Eric's
Slow Children - Vanessa Vacillating - Best Of Slow Children
Tori Amos - Silent All These Years - Little Earthquakes
Pink Floyd - One Of My Turns - The Wall (Disc 2)
Haysi Fantayzee - Shiny Shiny - Battle Hymns For Children Singing
Aimee Mann - I've Had It (Acoustic - Recorded Live) - Rare On Air, Volume 2: "Morning Becomes Eclectic"
Depeche Mode - Everything Counts (Original Unedited Version) - Depeche Mode
The Police - Don't Stand So Close To Me - Zenyatta Mondatta
Killer Pussy - Teenage Enema Nurses In Bondage - Bikini Wax
The Dead Milkmen - Punk Rock Girl - Death Rides a Pale Cow: The Ultimate Collection
The Vapors - Turning Japanese - Vaporized
Romeo Void - Never Say Never - Warm, In Your Coat
Siouxsie & The Banshees - Christine - The Best of Siouxsie and The Banshees
Echo & The Bunnymen - The Killing Moon (12" Version) - Ballyhoo: The Best Of Echo & The Bunnymen
Gary Numan - Cars - The Pleasure Principle
Berlin - The Metro - Best Of Berlin 1978 to 1988
U2 - Vertigo - How to Dismantle an Atomic Bomb
Peter Gabriel - Don't Give Up (Live) - Secret World Live (Disc 2)
George Harrison - Give Me Love (Give Me Peace On Earth) - The Best Of George Harrison
Where Did You Sleep Last Night - Kurt Cobain w/Nirvana - MTV Unplugged in New York
The Final Cut: A Requiem for the Post War Dream by Roger Waters
Missing Persons - Words - Spring Session M
Martin L. Gore - Compulsion - Counterfeit e.p.
Haircut 100 - Love Plus One (12" Version) - Pelican West
Split Enz - Six Months In A Leaky Boat - History Never Repeats - The Best Of Split Enz
Green Day - American Idiot (Uncut) - American Idiot
Social Distortion - Ball And Chain - Social Distortion
Primal Scream - Movin' on Up - Screamadelica
The Jim Carroll Band - People Who Died - Just Can't Get Enough: New Wave Hits Of The '80's Volume 6
Scala - Creep (Radiohead) - Scala On The Rocks
Led Zeppelin - Living Loving Maid (She's Just A Woman) - Led Zeppelin II
Creedence Clearwater Revival - Down On The Corner - Chronicle, Vol. 1
The Beatles - The Fool On The Hill (Demo) - Anthology 2: Disc 2
Oasis - Don't Look Back In Anger (Live) - MTV Unplugged

The Who - Eminence Front (Live) - Join Together (Disc 2)
Crowded House - Something So Strong (Live) - Recurring Dream: The Very Best of Crowded House
New Radicals - You Get What You Give - Maybe You've Been Brainwashed Too
Billy Joel - She's Got A Way (Live) - Soundboard Recording
Altered Images - I Could Be Happy - (12" Import)
The Bangles - Walk Like An Egyptian - Different Light
B-Movie - Nowhere Girl (Original Unedited Version) - 12" LP
Suzanne Vega - If You Were In My Movie - 99.9 Fï¿½
Patti Smith - Dancing Barefoot - Land (1975-2002)
The Clash - Know Your Rights - Combat Rock
David Bowie - Space Oddity - Space Oddity
Primitive Radio Gods - Standing Outside A Broken Phone Booth With Money In My Hand - Rocket
The Pretenders - My City Was Gone - Learning To Crawl
Midnight Oil - Beds Are Burning (12" Version) - 20,000 Watt R.S.L.
Pete Yorn - Life On A Chain - Musicforthemorningafter
Public Image Limited - Rise - Compact Disc
Violent Femmes - Add It Up - Violent Femmes: Deluxe Edition
The Psychedelic Furs - Ghost In You - Mirror Moves
R.E.M. - Radio Free Europe - Murmur
XTC - Dear God - Skylarking
Big Country - In A Big Country - The Crossing
Peter Murphy - Cuts You Up - Deep

These songs are just a partial list of some of the "rarer" songs that not only don't get played on the radio anymore but are hard to find as well. Virtually all of the songs on this sample list have long since been sent to the record company boneyard, which is why we play them. We have over 1,400 songs of all varieties and the list grows every day.

Artist and Song suggestions and requests are gladly accepted! We would like to grow RFC enough to satisfy a variety of tastes yet remain completely non commercial.

iTunes, RealPlayer, Winamp or Audion 3 (Mac only) are recommended for best listening quality.

Here is a list of recommended MP3 software programs you can use to listen to RFC's broadcast. They are all available for free.

iTunes Version 4.7 for Windows or Mac:
http://www.apple.com/itunes/download/

RealPlayer Version 10 for Windows:
http://www.real.com/affiliates/superpass.html?pcode=cj&src=cj

RealPlayer Version 10 for Mac:
http://www.real.com/?src=blackjack

RealPlayer Version 10 for Linux:
http://www.real.com/linux/

Winamp 5.08 from Nullsoft for Windows:
http://www.winamp.com/player/

Note: If you are using an earier version of Winamp, Nullsoft urges you to update
your software due to several "security flaws" that are fixed in version 5.08.

Audion 3 for Mac:
http://www.panic.com/audion/
Audion is now free of charge. Use the following link to get a free serial number for registering Audion:
http://www.panic.com/audion/buy.html

XMMS, MPG123, etc. MP3 Players for Linux/Unix or X Windows users:
http://www.uk6x.com/applicationservices/mp3radio.html

The "URL" address (the location on the Internet of Radio Free Colorado's Broadcast) is:
http://kara.fast-serv.com:8630/

For iTunes use the URL address:
http://kara.fast-serv.com:8630/listen.pls

For RealPlayer use the URL address:
http://kara.fast-serv.com:8630/listen.pls

For Winamp use the URL address:
http://kara.fast-serv.com:8630

For the Audion 3 media player, use the URL address:
http://kara.fast-serv.com:8630

You can find where to type RFC's "URL" address into iTunes under the menu item "Advanced" - "Open Stream".
For RealPlayer, look under "File" - "Open Location".
For Winamp, look under "File" - "Play URL".

We genuinely welcome Artist and Song suggestions, listener comments, etc.
You may contact Radio Free Colorado by visiting our website at:

http://www.boomspeed.com/garyburke/radiofreecolorado/RadioFreeColorado.html

Radio Free Colorado is protected under Copyright Law.

It is legal for you to listen as long as you don't record. :-)

Best wishes,

Gary Burke
Owner/Operator

763. Re: HELP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-05 05:42:47 UTC
From: Jesse <org@org.orgy>
Message-ID: <Xns95F474131E4Dewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 15023

"Kap" <juvenile11691@aol.com> wrote in news:1107554093.491242.295610
@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

> I live 5 streets away from a McDonalds and im trying to pick the driv
> thru up but i cant pick them up can anybody give me some help or
> frequencies
>

Get a life jerk weed.

764. Re: Discriminator tap for BC895XLT Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-05 07:22:13 UTC
From: Brengsek! <me2@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <tss801d8cee52q4vks6od8sjv518o08kt2@4ax.com>
Source record: 15024

On Fri, 04 Feb 2005 23:20:24 GMT, sefritts@comcast.net (Steven Fritts)
wrote:

>Can someone tell me the proper tap off procedure for the BC895? I have
>found-I think-the correct tap off point but the audio is severely
>affected on speaker output if I feed it to a sound card.

According to http://www.discriminator.nl/ic/ it should be pin 9 of the
MC13371. Did you tap the right point?

--
Cabin crew: yellow door selectors automatic

765. Re: HELP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-05 12:23:17 UTC
From: Hamrad <hamrad45@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <GT2Nd.51173$8j3.42723@fe40.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 15025

Not true. I live at least a mile from the closes McD's and it booms in when I scanner around that band. It really depends on your radio and especially your antenna. You probably will not be able to pick them up on a handheld with a rubber duck antenna but add an good outside antenna and you probably can listen to them from at least a mile away.

--

Tom
"mikeFNB" <moc.dlrowltn@FNB7G-ekim> wrote in message
news:KWVMd.3605$8r3.1978@newsfe5-win.ntli.net...
> i would doubt you are in range they are very low power
> only a few 100mts at best.
>
> mike
>
> "Kap" <juvenile11691@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1107554093.491242.295610@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > I live 5 streets away from a McDonalds and im trying to pick the driv
> > thru up but i cant pick them up can anybody give me some help or
> > frequencies
> >
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.841 / Virus Database: 572 - Release Date: 1/22/2005

766. Re: cordless phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-05 13:32:24 UTC
From: FeMaster <FeMaster@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <0X3Nd.9311$wV7.101@fe03.lga>
Source record: 15026

"Barry OGrady" <god_freee_jones@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:s9g501haaip7ghu24g50c13l478ldfit6b@4ax.com...

> >
> >>
> >> I'm not sure what you would consider "higher-end", but there are plenty
of
> >> "regular" scanners that have WFM.
> >>
> >Generally anything costing you more than $150 to $200, and that includes
> >Trunk Tracking, I would classify as "higher-end". You won't find WFM on
the
> >majority of (if any) "regular" scanners.
>
> Very few scanners don't have WFM.
>

According to this FAQ, looks like the majority of the NON-Trunking "regular"
SCANNERS don't have WFM... Perhaps you get your information from some other
planet?

767. Re: cordless phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-05 13:42:25 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <r44Nd.9312$WK7.5069@fe03.lga>
Source record: 15027

"Mark" <me@privacy.net> wrote in message
news:acq401lmiufr323008j1afcsno8tmq9c6i@4ax.com...
>
> >In order to actually receive an intelligible
> >signal your scanner would have to have WFM reception.
>
> Just not true. Not for the majority of 900Mhz phones out there.
>
I must live in an area where they only sell WFM phones then... About a year ago, when I did some listening in, there were VERY FEW, (like maybe 2) that I could actually receive, the rest were unintelligable as they were in WFM (Over 95% I'd guess). You must live in one of those "odd-ball" areas that only sell NFM analog 900Mhz phones. Good for you.

> >Of course, if the signal is digital, you aren't going to hear anything
> >anyway, no matter what kind of scanner you have...
>
> I'll give you half of this statement as being correct.
>
You can give it all to me, there is nothing incorrect about the line you commented on.

768. Re: HELP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-05 13:45:39 UTC
From: Jim <junk@junk.com>
Message-ID: <764Nd.5789$4x5.2223@fe2.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 15028

"Hamrad" <hamrad45@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:GT2Nd.51173$8j3.42723@fe40.usenetserver.com...
> Not true. I live at least a mile from the closes McD's and it booms in
> when
> I scanner around that band. It really depends on your radio and
> especially
> your antenna. You probably will not be able to pick them up on a handheld
> with a rubber duck antenna but add an good outside antenna and you
> probably
> can listen to them from at least a mile away.
>
> --
>
> Tom
> "mikeFNB" <moc.dlrowltn@FNB7G-ekim> wrote in message
> news:KWVMd.3605$8r3.1978@newsfe5-win.ntli.net...
>> i would doubt you are in range they are very low power
>> only a few 100mts at best.
>>
>> mike
>>
>> "Kap" <juvenile11691@aol.com> wrote in message
>> news:1107554093.491242.295610@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> > I live 5 streets away from a McDonalds and im trying to pick the driv
>> > thru up but i cant pick them up can anybody give me some help or
>> > frequencies
>> >
>>
>>
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.841 / Virus Database: 572 - Release Date: 1/22/2005
>
>
>

It's all antenna, I used to receive 49 MHz cordless from up to 10 miles
using a 6 meter 5 element beam at 65 feet. With a rubber duck the best was
about 1/2 mile.

769. FS - Icom R-7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-05 15:04:01 UTC
From: John Schneider <thecop@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <ah5Nd.12001$t73.9264@fe12.lga>
Source record: 15029

I have for sale (pre-ebay) a beautiful Icom R7100 (USA version = cell blocked). I would rate this as a 9/10. It works flawlessly and is guaranteed to not be DOA. I have never opened the radio but the previous owner has and I believe a discriminator tap has been added (a female F" connector has been installed through the rear panel)although I have never used this feature.

I will ship the radio with the AC cord and a CD containing a .pdf of both the operator and service manuals.

$500.00 + shipping

Please contact me off list if interested. I hope to have pic.'s available by the end of the week, but if you need reassurance, my ebay ID is NPDXO.

Thanks...
John, thecop@optonline.net

770. Re: cordless phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-05 17:55:36 UTC
From: Matt <pissoff@spammers.suck.com>
Message-ID: <cu31b2$1870$1@otis.netspace.net.au>
Source record: 15030

"FeMaster" <FeMaster @ hotmail . com> wrote in message
news:0X3Nd.9311$wV7.101@fe03.lga...
>
> "Barry OGrady" <god_freee_jones@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:s9g501haaip7ghu24g50c13l478ldfit6b@4ax.com...
>
> > >
> > >>
> > >> I'm not sure what you would consider "higher-end", but there are
plenty
> of
> > >> "regular" scanners that have WFM.
> > >>
> > >Generally anything costing you more than $150 to $200, and that
includes
> > >Trunk Tracking, I would classify as "higher-end". You won't find WFM
on
> the
> > >majority of (if any) "regular" scanners.
> >
> > Very few scanners don't have WFM.
> >
>
> According to this FAQ, looks like the majority of the NON-Trunking
"regular"
> SCANNERS don't have WFM... Perhaps you get your information from some
other
> planet?

What FAQ??
Every scanner that I have has WFM - and I do not own trunking scanners -
some of my scanners have been cheap arsed pieces of shite, some are good
quality, but all have had WFM.
Uniden 200 XLT
Uniden 220 XLT
Icom IC-R3
Winradio 1500e
Icom PCR 1000
------ All of these have WFM

Maybe 20 to 30 years ago, WFM was not available in most scanners, but as BOG
has said, (and I hate to back him up, but here I must), very few scanners
don't have WFM. Perhaps you could advise which new scanners do not?

Matt

771. Re: cordless phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-05 18:20:58 UTC
From: Jim <junk@junk.com>
Message-ID: <e88Nd.6900$XY5.6507@fe2.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 15031

"Matt" <pissoff@spammers.suck.com> wrote in message
news:cu31b2$1870$1@otis.netspace.net.au...
> "FeMaster" <FeMaster @ hotmail . com> wrote in message
> news:0X3Nd.9311$wV7.101@fe03.lga...
>>
>> "Barry OGrady" <god_freee_jones@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:s9g501haaip7ghu24g50c13l478ldfit6b@4ax.com...
>>
>> > >
>> > >>
>> > >> I'm not sure what you would consider "higher-end", but there are
> plenty
>> of
>> > >> "regular" scanners that have WFM.
>> > >>
>> > >Generally anything costing you more than $150 to $200, and that
> includes
>> > >Trunk Tracking, I would classify as "higher-end". You won't find WFM
> on
>> the
>> > >majority of (if any) "regular" scanners.
>> >
>> > Very few scanners don't have WFM.
>> >
>>
>> According to this FAQ, looks like the majority of the NON-Trunking
> "regular"
>> SCANNERS don't have WFM... Perhaps you get your information from some
> other
>> planet?
>
> What FAQ??
> Every scanner that I have has WFM - and I do not own trunking scanners -
> some of my scanners have been cheap arsed pieces of shite, some are good
> quality, but all have had WFM.
> Uniden 200 XLT
> Uniden 220 XLT
> Icom IC-R3
> Winradio 1500e
> Icom PCR 1000
> ------ All of these have WFM
>
> Maybe 20 to 30 years ago, WFM was not available in most scanners, but as
> BOG

> has said, (and I hate to back him up, but here I must), very few scanners
> don't have WFM. Perhaps you could advise which new scanners do not?
>
>
>
> Matt
>
>

I had a 200 XLT years ago it didn't have Wide FM?

772. Bearcat 898T discriminator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-05 18:31:18 UTC
From: JJ <jcecklund@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <Wh8Nd.19568$2e7.3613@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 15032

Looking for info for tapping the discriminator on the BC 898T

JJ

773. Kenwood TK360

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-05 19:34:28 UTC
From: Bill Hammon <billhammon@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <050220051334283239%billhammon@hotmail.com>
Source record: 15033
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Can anyone help me locate an owner's/user's/programmer's guide for this radio?

774. Re: Discriminator tap for BC895XLT Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-05 20:30:22 UTC
From: sefritts@comcast.net
Message-ID: <42052ca0.8604921@newsgroups.comcast.net>
Source record: 15034

not sure, but THANK YOU for the info!!!
Do I need to use any dropping resistor or make up a network to isolate
it from the sound card input impedance??

On Sat, 05 Feb 2005 08:22:13 +0100, Brengsek! <me2@privacy.net> wrote:

>On Fri, 04 Feb 2005 23:20:24 GMT, sefritts@comcast.net (Steven Fritts)
>wrote:
>
>>Can someone tell me the proper tap off procedure for the BC895? I have
>>found-I think-the correct tap off point but the audio is severely
>>affected on speaker output if I feed it to a sound card.
>
>According to http://www.discriminator.nl/ic/ it should be pin 9 of the
>MC13371. Did you tap the right point?
>
>--
>Cabin crew: yellow door selectors automatic

775. Re: Where's the NRA on whoring as bush bitches?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-05 21:16:36 UTC
From: Johnny <Jew_Boy_Johnny@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1107638196.720503.22180@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15035
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan,alt.fan.jay-leno

Feel free to forward this post for publication consideration.

--
I wrote the letter following "Jew Boy..." the day a single complaint
arrived to the radio station, with the threat that the ADL would soon
be contacted. The letter was provided in advance of the next day,
of the time scheduled for the complainant to return for a recording
of the questionable broadcast. The station manager refused to give the
complainant my letter, and insured me that I would no longer be allowed

to produce a show for our community. Is this fair to our Jewish
brothers
and sisters? Is this fair to You?

Paris "And then what if you saw, all of the things thats wrong,
would you stand tall and strong? Or would you turn and walk away?"

Johnny

Jew Boy Johnny and the anti-Semites

- or -

"The Zionist Conspiracy"

Monday, January 31st, around 3 pm, I called the station manager to ask
how my afternoon recorded broadcast went, and to discuss the new
week's tentative guest spot with an update regarding the falsely
maligned, Mr. And Mrs. Benderman. The station manager informed me
my phone number had been misplaced, and was managing with stress
trying to put together an apology letter to a single individual, who
came into the station, while the interview was still running, to
demand action be taken regarding the anti-Semitic broadcast that he
was certainly going to report to the ADL about. I told her the Felton
interview itself was about bigotry through the demonization of the
innocent, what the Jews of world war II suffered through, and, that I
was a huge defender of religious Jewish teaching. I told her, to
offer the individual a chance to publicly speak regarding the issue,
with me, or by himself regarding Felton, to try getting specifically
to what has got him so much, by himself, upset about allowing my voice
heard defending Jewish rights within our community. She told me that
she would likely, none the less, have to let me go to appease the sole
complainant, for what if a lawsuit should result? I told her to
consider her options, reminded her that it was only one single

individual who hadn't even heard the show's context, and that the broadcast in every other way had been going well, doing good. She told me she was very busy, and said goodbye. Then a short while later, phoned me back to ask if I would write a letter, and have it at the station next day before the complainant arrived for his copy of the broadcast. I said sure, said it would be a great challenge, and proceeded immediately to do just that. The next day, I contacted the station to ask if my arrived letter was forwarded to the individual, and was informed no, he didn't receive it. It wasn't the apology the station was looking for, and my show was now officially terminated. I told her it was very unfair to me, to not allow him to read my formal response, and that I couldn't in good conscience, back down from defending my rights to be heard on this issue, or to allow us, as our public, to hear the complainant's actual complaint about my, freedom for all broadcast. She said she would try to get the letter to him, but that the show was over. Case closed.

Stand up for Jews, and look at where it gets you, Jeeze.

Let us not put aside, the station manager's legitimate concerns. Who has the money or the time for a frivolous lawsuit? Why do expensive independent research, when the Bush Administration can hand us all the free news they want us to have directly without any costly questions? Saddam wouldn't let the inspectors in, right? Why risk standing up for someone else's rights, when doing so, will get the (anti) Jewish-Defamation League calling you an anti-Semite (Semite=Arab) for communicating some understanding with Felton? Felton, is bizarrely quirky as interesting, for his recent book is about the demonization of the innocent, (you know? Nazi gas chambers and ovens?) and as such, we can learn from, as too, we can teach. If he'll listen. Ariel Sharon is not Jewish my friend, NOT JEWISH!!!

Open the gates, it's getting late.

John.

---

http://radio.indymedia.org/uploads/big-package.mp3

Greg Felton : "The fear is necessary for two reasons. And the
principle
reason has nothing to do with Iraq. it has to do with the government
itself.
The bush government is criminal. [...] The problem is: how do you
keep
people from paying attention to this? You keep them focused on an
external
enemy. [..] so they forment this fascistic hatred of a definable group
of
people. So, the war on terrorism so called, is not much a way for the
United

States to protect itself against it's enemy, it is a way for it to create
enemies, to justify the kind of repressive measures that would otherwise be
intolerable in peace time."

To whom it may concern,

regarding the January 31st broadcasted interview with Greg Felton.

May I, on behalf of only myself, ask that you pardon my failures in judgment,
in not allowing what I should have gave: an immediate re-dress regarding,
'who is the Zionist label?', or 'What Holocaust are you referring to?', during
the broadcast of January 31st. (bet you we can't get two Christians that agree
on everything.) However, I can offer perhaps a show centralized on a chance
to exercise your personal views, be they pro or con on where you think we
should go from here, or whatever may have you regarding said interview. Or,
if you would like, you may wish to do only, or else include, a written work
that I promise, will reach as far as the original broadcast. So, actually,
this could be a prime moment to have a dialog regarding racism, bigotry, and
intolerance. I confess, I may be unaware, and still have much to learn, when
it comes to communicating well with all others. But, I know I'm getting
better, and I'll tell you, the Justice-Freedom thing, sure seems to be our
ultimate calling.

I was not so surprised that the broadcast interview with Greg Felton would be
considered controversial, (as every show I've ever done always has), although
I wasn't prepared to hear criticism against the show as being defamatory to a
group, or groups of people. As certainly that was not my intent, but however
was the difficult subject addressed within the interview. The fundamental
teachings of Law, as it pertains to justice and freedom, along with every
legitimized religion, is that all free people should be thought of as

equals
to each other in vigilant protection. And by doing so, one would be
serving
G-d's interests. (Even if a G-d doesn't exist - an Atheist too, could
become
a proponent by understanding Jihad). Thereby insuring\realizing that a
just
intelligent life force rules our chaotic timeless universe in service
ultimately to ourselves individually. Giving us in the Torah of Moses,
``all
people are created in God's image'' teaching all peoples are Sacred.
And as
such, would be so in God's interests to defend as the innocent. While
in
eternal pursuit of happiness and prosperity for all through just means.

Under Greg's definition as being a "Zionist" conspiracy, negates the
failure
of himself personally to recognize the public connotation is too vague,
and
that Hitler's Nazi Germany, like Bush's America, is politically
expressing an
inability to represent ourselves with respect as truly worthy of fair
deliberations amongst ourselves. Sacred, every-one. (Or as in, to
rise to
the defense of those we know to be irrationally victimized as the godly
and
innocent, victimized in our un-addressed names.)

Greg "This is why the Holocaust is such a very dangerous thing to talk
about
because, it's not really understood. It's preached at us like a
sermon.
We're suppose to accept at face value what we're told about it, as if
to,
criticize it, is some how disrespectful. Much of what we know about
the
Holocaust has been propagated by the Zionists, and can be shown quite
untrue"

I replied shortly later "One thing about the whole situation is that
innocent
people were targeted for murder. End of story. That's what the war
was.
All wars are like that."

Greg's mistake I believe, centered in his Zionist labeling through an
apparent bigotry expressed, and as such, was too narrowly defining the
larger
established history of the Holocaust, as being the struggle of the
human

species in halting, the demonization and then subjugation of the innocent
millions, Jewish or otherwise. Perhaps it was strangely on his part a testimony to a real struggle we all have when it comes to identifying ourselves to others. Considering it is the exact subject matter he wrestles
with in his book writing, regarding our corporate media's perception of ignoring an innocent people's concern or cries. That said however, for Greg
to blankly criticize Greg's false construct of what is publicly understood
about the Holocaust, is to deny that much for sorrow and suffering took place
against the whole of Humanity, especially, of those who held the practiced
Jewish faith. To think the nazi regime would have the gas chambers and the
ovens, while the whole of humanity were not strong enough to communicate the
unjustness of it all to the German people while it was happening. I have
read of a recent documentary that provides film footage of nazi soldiers
committing the worst war crimes imaginable to god loving Jews, interspersed
with film from the same dated period where, the German people were living
civilized lives, left unaware of the atrocities that were being committed
against God in their names. Same as America and Canada today, with Fallujah's 300,000 People being, the undeniable example. Or, are you too, a
convinced denier of that which is fully documented? (Needless and irrational
was the indiscriminate murder of the people of Fallujah, but for to have more
American soldiers sacrificed in a conflict created by an unjust as immoral
totalitarian dictate.) The Holocaust deniers are those the same of today, who
refuse our Humanity to nationally communicate those screams of mercy, or for
justice regarding the People of Iraq to be served in our true interests as
legitimate. More than ten million Russians died fighting the evil eugenic
scourge of the "superior" nazi regime, and like those in Poland, Britain and
France, as elsewhere testified our established history, (albeit only later),

the sadistic ungodly as lawless barbarity that Nazism inflicted upon the
general masses, went largely undeterred from our "informative" television and
radio broadcasts. The same, almost word for word, and action for action, can
be found in Rumsfeld's "blitzkrieg". Bush's freedom by tyranny doctrine. Or
the criminally sanctioned unchallenged news pronouncements of a Mark Kimmit,
Buford Blount, Steven Russell, Mattis, Allawi or Sattler, when it pertains to
accepting without our objection, to the victimizing of Iraqis collectively as
an indiscriminate act of criminality, like murder. As like in the policies
of Ariel Sharon, where an innocent, haven't committed an accused crime in
their life Christian Palestinian, is denied the earned home they worked and
paid for, on a parcel of land shared up until recent times for countless
millennia. My personal struggle has been to inform the public on the
"forgotten" importance of established evidence, being the only requirement to
convince ourselves on who is a bigot, tyrant, a criminal, or a murderer.
Will you not therefore, as a fellow humanitarian of this shared world, speak
against those you know of, who victimize the innocent to thieve from? Sure
you would, if you knew as much for certain about God and this universe, or
the under reported, freed to go General Ahmad, funding the mastermind ring
leader Mohammad Atta of Bush's 9/11 game. But will together our Humanity
ever learn? Well, my plan is to search for guests to speak with, that I'll
try not to offend early on. Greg knows, like the Pope knows, as every
legitimate police officer worth their grain of salt knows, as so too does
include a great many Jewish Jews, George W. Bush used absolutely no evidence
to substantiate his therefore, false accusations in our names against bin
Laden, while then ardently with Cheney, worked to close outstanding criminal
investigations regarding their extremely suspicious behavior. All according

to his official publicly documented plan. And speaking the news as thus,
does not make anyone anti-Semitic, anti-American, or anti-Human, but
pro-Jewish, pro-Christian, pro-Muslim, pro-Justice pro-Freedom, almost
wholely human like even. Not of a long gone as lost and forgotten religious
dogma, but for now as it always has been found, a mutual respect for all life
as we find ourselves being part of. Or, so we would for Atheists, argue in
all likelihood to get to the same reasoned to be, just political decision, to
this or any another life and death matters matter.

Because the unjustified criminal war actions of George W. Bush are our
shared documented history, others, with an ulterior agenda to propagate their
weakness through bigotry or racism, will arbitrarily blame a subjective
grouping of people, instead of demanding by godly individual might, the
arrest of specific individuals who are actually responsible according to the
established as public evidence. So, now who's fault is that? We live in
difficult times. To admit weaknesses regarding our corporate news control
content decisions, is to seek a better world for our lives through stronger
open communications.

I believe the defense of the Jewish faith is found in the indivisible
properties of Creation, and who's central belief is made simpler than any
other. Hillel was asked: Rabbi, who is a Jew truly? Hillel answered, "What
is hateful to you do not do to your neighbor. That is the whole Torah. The
rest is commentary -- go and learn it." So my challenge in defense of the
Jewish faith during the interview, was that those who practice criminal
misdeeds, are therefore found by the official definition taught by Hillel, to
be not practicing the "Golden Rule" of the Jewish Faith. (as so pronounced
by the religion's recognized ancient oratator.) By calling alleged criminal
actions, the conduct of an entire people, faith, or branch thereof, is to
fall into the fascist minutia of bigotry, or racism, held by those who judge
us "others" unfairly. After re-hearing the interview, I did find the
allegations made by Greg, regarding the Holocaust being blanketed by

falsehoods, generally, a failure on his part to recognize the true horror of
what that war wrought. And in light of our desperate challenges today of
defeating the enemies of Humanity as specific unjust individuals in "hiding",
an ignorantly stupid thing to say. While I, perhaps wasn't thinking along
those conceived lines, where at that time, I had just left the thought of:
the struggles of the Jewish faith, are the same struggles all young adults go
through by naturally establishing our associative identities. Who are you I
truly ask? How many news channels even allow us as Humanity to know about
the absent reason for the bombing of the Jews of Samara? The Jews of
Fallujah? The torturing of completely innocent People to death, as in
Guantanamo? Or still unaddressed publicly: the willful lawless criminality
implemented by the, therefore tyrannical Bush Administration in suffering
God's Iraq? (See missing ``Development Fund of Iraq'', or private
mercenaries discipling for Bush as deemed un-accountable to every conceived
``natural'' law? [see the entire Bible for the judgment call on that one...]
or, Bush's declarative, he magically owns everything in stolen Iraq now,
[where did it go?] and I can't say anything because he signed his John to
13303 some time back, but as still is left unreported in Your dying world?)

Publicly question our reality, by taking the station up on doing an interview
with me, along with your own impressions of our world. Or, if you prefer,
you can do a solo written piece, or a recorded statement for the station. I
look forward to finding common ground with your concerns. I hope I have got
you wondering more, about what trying to save our world from injustice and
slavery, in whatever way we can is all about for me really. In these words I
can say, I apologize to you, for a resulted perception, that wasn't with
better words, my true intent towards us people together.

I will, after some small revisions of this paper, include it as well as the
broadcast package. So I do thank you for bringing up your important view,
that otherwise, would have gone farther without this opportunity, that I
offer as a starting point for deliberation, or argument regarding my
foolishness. Thanks for speaking, and I hope I have met most of your
concerns with a positive light on where I'm trying to come from.

John.

---

http://radio.indymedia.org/uploads/benderman.mp3

The Benderman Cause

I felt compelled to support the Benderman's cause in
any way I could, so I am providing America some
arguments that I would use in a court of law to
defend your freedoms. If America would so desire,
I would also be prepared to make a recorded
statement from here in Canada. (I have been
denied access into the U.S..) My positions of
rational argument are naturally based upon our
established facts, and as such, are something few
Americans are afforded the luxury of through
corporate channels. I hope my forcefulness on these
issues does not fear you to doubt I have the best of
intentions when it comes to serving the interests
indivisibly inherent within the American
Constitution. God, I believe is just, and as such, the
ungodly war actions of George W. Bush dictate are
criminal in the worst degree, being a harbinger of
suffering and misery against all of Humanity as
US being the innocent. The innocent in our entirety,
is my "God" that I love with all I can will. I am
compelled as a living human being to defend America
to the last bush supporter praised if need be. I fight
the enemy of God and Humanity with reason,
understanding, Akeido, and a conviction that our
justice system must prevail for me to be truly myself
really.

"He explained to "60 Minutes," "I was told in basic
training that, if I'm given an illegal or immoral order,
it is my duty to disobey it. And I feel that invading
and occupying Iraq is an illegal and immoral thing to
do."

The war was declared "illegal" by the U.N..
Why? Because Saddam wasn't found in breach

of the internationally agreed upon resolution 1441, (Saddam did EVERYTHING POSSIBLE that was asked of Iraq by the bush administration, and then some Mr. Bush refused to even take him up on. Like national Iraqi television broadcasts.) despite the lies quoted by corporate news America. There was no need for the conflict to begin with as was so reported internationally on march 7th, 2003. U.N. inspectors had complete unrestricted access to go anywhere without delay, and known stock piles of WMD were burned, so could not possibly be completely accounted for by evidential findings due to the fact that they were destroyed beyond recognition. American soldiers were put in harms way to be sacrificed for no better good, but for to allow as has happened, the misappropriation, or as some have alleged, the criminal theft of Iraqi resources, including monies of the Iraqi Development Funds through Presidential decree 13303. Which include the unappropriated, desperately needed, humanitarian aid provisions still denied innocent Iraqis, such as potable drinking water and food stuffs. Democratic principles are DENIED the Iraqis by the neo-con military forces, such as holding all individuals equally accountable to a rule of law. The outlawing of torture, or the outlawing of false imprisonment has not been professed as the action of the neo-con "liberating" force. Mercenaries are not obliged to obey any laws for example. And, "Dr. Germ", and "Dr. Anthrax" are held solely for the fact that they know of the scientific hazards of depleted uranium, and may have warned the uninformed sacrificing American GI, if not held illegally against their wills by the enemy. Commanders such as irrational war criminal Sattler, forbade all men and boys between 15 to 50 from leaving the city of Fallujah, while refusing to negotiate with anyone, (because as so was openly confessed, Sattler had no evidence that Zaqari was in Fallujah) then leveled the city to kill all it's inhabitants, estimated at that time near 300,000 people. Using a napalm type WMD, cluster dud land mine munitions, and as reported, nine two thousand pound bombs in a city 3 1/2 km by 4 km. Serving for a military not strong enough collectively to prosecute such lawless criminal barbarity, is serving for a military not principled in the American virtues of freedom and democracy. In truth, serving for such ungodly criminal command is a cowardly act of treason. George W. Bush is an

enemy of our Humanity.

Copy and distribute this personal challenge freely.
Thanks.

--

I know we're all expected to be selfish, in helping out those others
who cry out for our help, but I beg you, I can't do this alone. My
show did make a positive difference. I need your help in asking I
face my accuser, and politely, with reason, using communication,
and understanding, bring about a better world.

World Productions.

--

We Israeli Military Reservists REFUSE To Abuse, Starve, Maim And Kill
Palestininians

http://www.tampaindymedia.org/bin/site/templates/default.asp?area_2=imc/open%20newswire/2005/Feb/44942.3515625.dat

We Israeli Military Reservists REFUSE To Abuse, Starve, Maim And Kill
Palestininians

--

> Word of Advice
> http://la.indymedia.org/uploads/advice.mp3

--

http://istanbul.indymedia.org/uploads/bushite-kills-bushite.mp3

2nd mirror
http://argentina.indymedia.org/uploads/bushite-kills-bushite.mp3

3rd mirror http://imctj.espora.org/uploads/bushite-kills-bushite.mp3

--

http://la.indymedia.org/uploads/son-of-man.mp3

--

http://jerusalem.indymedia.org/uploads/the-big-blab.mp3

--

> http://la.indymedia.org/uploads/wizards.mp3

> Dream-Job
> http://la.indymedia.org/uploads/somebody.mp3

776. Re: Discriminator tap for BC895XLT Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-05 22:57:27 UTC
From: Brengsek! <me2@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <8nja01d7otre4cd8f1ji48ahiivusor31a@4ax.com>
Source record: 15036

On Sat, 05 Feb 2005 20:30:22 GMT, sefritts@comcast.net (Steven Fritts)
wrote:

>>>Can someone tell me the proper tap off procedure for the BC895? I have
>>>found-I think-the correct tap off point but the audio is severely
>>>affected on speaker output if I feed it to a sound card.
>>
>>According to http://www.discriminator.nl/ic/ it should be pin 9 of the
>>MC13371. Did you tap the right point?

>not sure, but THANK YOU for the info!!!
>Do I need to use any dropping resistor or make up a network to isolate
>it from the sound card input impedance??

I always use a resistor between 5k6 and 15k, but that's merely to
protect the discriminator output from short circuiting during
(un)plugging.

Rene

--
Cabin crew: yellow door selectors automatic

777. Re: Discriminator tap for BC895XLT Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-06 02:40:37 UTC
From: sefritts@comcast.net
Message-ID: <4205839c.7886562@newsgroups.comcast.net>
Source record: 15037

THANK YOU!

Steve

On Sat, 05 Feb 2005 23:57:27 +0100, Brengsek! <me2@privacy.net> wrote:

>On Sat, 05 Feb 2005 20:30:22 GMT, sefritts@comcast.net (Steven Fritts)
>wrote:
>
>>>>Can someone tell me the proper tap off procedure for the BC895? I have
>>>>found-I think-the correct tap off point but the audio is severely
>>>>affected on speaker output if I feed it to a sound card.
>>>
>>>According to http://www.discriminator.nl/ic/ it should be pin 9 of the
>>>MC13371. Did you tap the right point?
>
>>not sure, but THANK YOU for the info!!!
>>Do I need to use any dropping resistor or make up a network to isolate
>>it from the sound card input impedance??
>
>I always use a resistor between 5k6 and 15k, but that's merely to
>protect the discriminator output from short circuiting during
>(un)plugging.
>
>Rene
>
>--
>Cabin crew: yellow door selectors automatic

778. Re: HELP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-06 04:06:29 UTC
From: Kap <juvenile11691@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1107662789.362514.63420@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15038

I have a BC60XLT with a coiled antenna will that work?

779. Re: HELP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-06 04:07:28 UTC
From: Kap <juvenile11691@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1107662848.151694.229200@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15039

where can i get a 6 meter 5 element beam?

780. Need Biloxi, MS Casino Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-06 06:32:13 UTC
From: southdakotaradio@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050206013213.05955.00000393@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 15040

Headed to "The Redneck Riviera" next week for a little escape from winter.

I'm guessing scanner monitoring will be good in the "Casino Row" area, which is where we're staying.

Looking for casino and hotel security frequencies. Plus anything else of interest.

Thanks in advance.

781. Mil Acft Comms Log - Sat, 5 Feb 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-06 07:45:53 UTC
From: allanstern@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050206024553.15522.00000399@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 15041

Patrick AFB Area Log - Saturday 5 Feb 2005

MAKO 11: F-16Cs, Homestead JARB 482FW 93FS
9:45am: Arriving Avon Park Bombing Range.
[307.1, 292.2, 285.725, 138.125]

CG AUX 399N (N399N): Cessna 182Q.
9:50pm: Comms with USCG Group Mayport; security overflight of Port Canaveral.
[157.175]

TBOLT 11: F/A-18C flight of 2, MCAS Beaufort VMFA-251
10:02am: Entering R-2901 North. Works range, then departs to NAS Key West.
[292.2, 281.5; 290.0 air-air]

AKULA 31: F-16C flight of 2, Homestead JARB 482FW 93FS
10:03am: MARSA with MAKOs; using lasers.
[292.2, 307.1, 141.7]

BOLT 21: KC-135R, MacDill AFB 6AMW
10:09am: Reports 1455z takeoff time.
[311.0]

SHARK 21: F-16C flight of 2, Homestead JARB 482FW 93FS
10:10am: MARSA with AKULA in Avon Park Bombing Range.
[292.2, 307.1, 281.5, 139.8]

TBOLT 21: F/A-18C single, MCAS Beaufort VMFA-251
10:30am: Enters Avon Park Bombing Range.
[292.2, 307.1, 290.0 air-air]

CG AUX VESSEL 2787
11:08am: Reports position to CG Station-Cape Canaveral, patrolling ICW.
[157.15]

CG AUX VESSEL 2048
12:37pm: Reports position to CG Station-Cape Canaveral, patrolling ICW.
[157.15]

MAKO 11: F-16C flight, Homestead JARB 482FW 93FS
2:04pm: Working Placid MOA; low-angle strafing.
[292.2, 307.1, 281.5, 285.725, 138.125]

SHARK 21: F-16C flight, Homestead JARB 482FW 93FS
2:30pm: Working Avon Park Bombing Range; reverts to low- altitude events due to wx.
[292.2, 307.1, 285.725, 139.8]

6E 028: T-34C, Whiting Field TW-5 VT-6 "Shooters"
3:03pm; Area transition.
[118.85]

TBOLT 11: F/A-18C flight of 2, MCAS Beaufort VMFA-251
3:12pm: Working at Avon Park Bombing Range; RTBs to NAS Key West (possibly TDY there).
[307.1, 292.2, 281.5; 290.0 air-air]

SHARK 71
3:45pm; Comms with MacDill Thunder Ops, then Tampa Appr.
[292.1, 279.6]

BLAZE 02: T-1A (BE-40), Columbus AFB AETC 143FTW
4:27pm: Touch-and-goes at Patrick; then on to MacDill AFB.
[133.75]
Photos: 061MVC-342, 343.

N400CE: Cessna 421B Golden Eagle
5:40pm: Lands Patrick.
[133.75]
Frequently arrives at Patrick with PPR.

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL (28-11N 80-36W) monitoring
Patrick AFB (KCOF) NASA-KSC Shuttle Landing Fac (KX68)
Avon Park Bombing Range (KAGR) Cape Canaveral AFS (KXMR)
JSTARS E-8 Acft Integration Facility, Melbourne IAP (KMLB)
Worldwide Military HF Communications
Life Member: Missile, Space and Range Pioneers.
http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/index.html (My Freqs)
http://hometown.aol.com/scanaddict/index.html (My Equipment)

782. Re: Discriminator tap for BC895XLT Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-06 08:14:01 UTC
From: Brengsek! <me2@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <6bkb01tocuq0m8qdv5og6s2kv5piapuhq0@4ax.com>
Source record: 15042

On Sat, 05 Feb 2005 23:57:27 +0100, Brengsek! <me2@privacy.net> wrote:

>>Do I need to use any dropping resistor or make up a network to isolate
>>it from the sound card input impedance??
>
>I always use a resistor between 5k6 and 15k, but that's merely to
>protect the discriminator output from short circuiting during
>(un)plugging.

To be precise, I should write that I use a resistor to protect the
receiver's discriminator when the output is short circuited during
(un)plugging.

--
Cabin crew: yellow door selectors automatic

783. Re: HELP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-06 08:25:01 UTC
From: TH <cyberhun@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <xvkNd.284123$8l.155856@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 15043

Couldn't you just go to the restaurant, hang around the order-counter, and
listen?

"Kap" <juvenile11691@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1107554093.491242.295610@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>I live 5 streets away from a McDonalds and im trying to pick the driv
> thru up but i cant pick them up can anybody give me some help or
> frequencies
>

784. PRO-82 case?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-06 08:30:58 UTC
From: starman <starman@tech.net>
Message-ID: <4205D5C2.400B4232@tech.net>
Source record: 15044

Does anyone make a custom case for the Radio Shack Pro-82?

Thanks

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

785. FT: Yupiteru MVT-7000 (Full 800 Mhz Cell)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-06 15:06:27 UTC
From: Frank Bals <fjb@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <TnqNd.161042$w62.115631@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 15045

Good condition. Covers 8-1300 Mhz (no gaps) AM/FM/WFM
Looking to trade for handheld or base scanner with 225-400 Mhz MilAir
coverage...

786. Re: FT: Yupiteru MVT-7000 (Full 800 Mhz Cell)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-06 15:17:00 UTC
From: lg <x@y.z>
Message-ID: <AxqNd.167$Ub4.45519@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 15046

Why does that one not receive it?
Is it a sensitivity issue?

Frank Bals wrote:
> Good condition. Covers 8-1300 Mhz (no gaps) AM/FM/WFM
> Looking to trade for handheld or base scanner with 225-400 Mhz MilAir
> coverage...
>
>
>

787. Re: FT: Yupiteru MVT-7000 (Full 800 Mhz Cell)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-06 15:47:59 UTC
From: Frank Bals <fjb@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <P_qNd.161217$w62.141017@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 15047

It DOES receive the Milair band. I just wanted to try something new....

Frank

"lg" <x@y.z> wrote in message
news:AxqNd.167$Ub4.45519@news20.bellglobal.com...
> Why does that one not receive it?
> Is it a sensitivity issue?
>
>
> Frank Bals wrote:
>> Good condition. Covers 8-1300 Mhz (no gaps) AM/FM/WFM
>> Looking to trade for handheld or base scanner with 225-400 Mhz MilAir
>> coverage...
>>
>>

788. Re: Need Biloxi, MS Casino Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-06 17:14:51 UTC
From: BILLY <WCEJAE@BELLSOUTH.NET>
Message-ID: <tshc0153s3tkjrupjgueeknf8qeolaokfe@4ax.com>
Source record: 15048

On 06 Feb 2005 06:32:13 GMT, southdakotaradio@aol.com
(SouthDakotaRadio) wrote:

>Headed to "The Redneck Riviera" next week for a little escape from winter.
>
>I'm guessing scanner monitoring will be good in the "Casino Row" area, which is
>where we're staying.
>
>Looking for casino and hotel security frequencies. Plus anything else of
>interest.
>
>
>Thanks in advance.

I see them at www.radioreference.com . can you not go there?
beau rivage is listed for sure and is pretty active. also i am hearing
transportation/taxi/bus for several places on 452.05 for the grand
(GULFPORT) over in BSL. havent listened to Magic even though my wife
works there. im sure most casinos are in the 450-460 range while Beau
is trunked on 850 with motorola II

Billy, N5WE
Mississippi

789. FORSALE: OPTOELECTRONICS OPTOCOM & SPECTRUM COMMANDER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-06 18:15:17 UTC
From: d.goldstein@att.net
Message-ID: <1107713717.791046.109510@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 15049
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,dk.teknik.radio.scanner

For sale, Optoelectronics Optocom computer controlled conventional scanner with trunk tracking of Motorola, LTR, EDACS, PL & DPL decoding. With Bitbanger. Includes all manuals, standard software, antenna, serial cable and power supply. Includes PROBE software. Includes Spectrum Explorer license with ability to update to Spectrum Commander for free. Like new condition.

$350 + shipping or best offer.

Contact d.goldstein@att.net for further information.

790. Re: FORSALE: OPTOELECTRONICS OPTOCOM & SPECTRUM COMMANDER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-06 18:34:09 UTC
From: d.goldstein@att.net
Message-ID: <1107714849.765926.175120@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14950
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,dk.teknik.radio.scanner

Contact d DOT goldstein AT att DOT net

791. Super Bowl Combat Air Patrol’s already down one aircraft.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-06 19:28:18 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4funREMOVEBEFOREFLIGHT.com>
Message-ID: <mduNd.2302$oO.835@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 14951

OMAHA 4 and OMAHA 5 aircraft have instructed one aircraft to land at St. Augustine airport where Agents will be waiting. At about 1410 hrs local Piper Arrow N9721C was instructed to first leave the area then to land at St. Augustine. OMAHA 5 came up on 121.5000 MHz to inform N9721C to land.

OMAHA4 & 5 are using 282.4250 (US Customs freq) for their comms. And anytime they need to tell a civilian aircraft (or commercial) to leave the area theyï¿½ll use 121.5000.

Have not heard the normal CAP freqs come alive yet today but Iï¿½m sure they will be soon. Other freqs to closely monitor for Combat Air Patrol mission comms during the Super Bowl will probably be 265.4000 (NORAD ï¿½ Pri Cap Freq) and 143.8000 A-A.

All freqs are in AM mode.

Should be some good listening
George ï¿½ KI4FIA ï¿½ Daytona Beach, FL
http://www.MilAirComms.com

792. Re: PRO-82 case?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-06 20:48:05 UTC
From: Jim Douglas <james.douglas@genesis-software.com>
Message-ID: <GpCdnSPycLLqH5vfRVn-rA@comcast.com>
Source record: 14952

try http://cops911.com

"starman" <starman@tech.net> wrote in message
news:4205D5C2.400B4232@tech.net...
> Does anyone make a custom case for the Radio Shack Pro-82?
>
> Thanks
>
> -----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+
Newsgroups
> -----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption
=----

793. Re: HELP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-06 20:51:33 UTC
From: Jim Douglas <james.douglas@genesis-software.com>
Message-ID: <8uidnebxEeHbHpvfRVn-vw@comcast.com>
Source record: 14953

From one message below
HellFire wrote:
>
> Do you have to be very close to the restaurant to listen in? I live
no
> more than 3-4 miles from taco bell, burget king, kfc... ect. I'm at
> home and can't hear anything.

Foul-mouthed prankster talking through Burger King drive-thru speaker

> Police in the north Detroit suburb are looking for someone who is
> using a device to broadcaston the same frequency as a Burger King
> drive-through speaker, the person has interrupted business
> transactions three times, with obscene remarks to startled customers.
> A voice boomed out of the outdoor speaker: "There's nothing you or
> the police can do about this, so get ... back inside and
> take your goons with you. Police suspect the calls are being made
> by a radio transmitter or walkie-talkie near the restaurant.
(expired link)
http://www.freep.com/news/statewire/sw90754_20040108.htm

Burger King (drive-thru) customers told:'You are Too Fat to have a
Whopper'
>
> Police believe teenage pranksters are hacking into the
> wireless frequency of a US Burger King drive-through speaker to
> tell potential customers they are too fat for fast food.
> The offenders are reportedly tapping into the wireless frequency
> at the restaurant in Troy, Michigan. Police believe the culprits
> are watching and broadcasting from close range.

http://www.ananova.com/news/story/sm_853744.html?menu=news.latestheadlines
--

FAST FOOD FREQUENCY PAIRING
The frequency pairs marked with an "*" are either odd or missing.

Headset/Speaker frequency pairing for VHF-low / VHF-high band:

Speaker Headset

30.840 - 154.570
31.000 - 170.305
31.240 - ???.??? (151.745 or 151.775 or 151.805 or 151.835)
31.400 - 154.515 *
33.140 - 151.895
33.140 - 170.305 *

33.160 - 154.515
33.400 - 154.540
33.400 - 154.570 *
35.020 - 154.490 *
35.020 - 151.895 *
35.020 - 154.600
35.120 - ???.??? (151.745 or 151.775 or 151.805 or 151.835)
35.880 - ???.??? (151.745 or 151.775 or 151.805 or 151.835)
35.960 - ???.??? (151.745 or 151.775 or 151.805 or 151.835)

Headset/Speaker frequency pairing for VHF-high band:

Speaker Headset

151.715 - 169.445
151.775 - 171.905
154.570 - 170.245
154.600 - 171.105

154.600 - 170.245 *

Headset/Speaker frequency pairing for UHF band:

Speaker Headset

457.5125 - 467.7375
457.5250 - 467.7500
457.5375 - 467.7625
457.5500 - 467.7750
457.5625 - 467.7875
457.5750 - 467.8000
457.5875 - 467.8125
457.6000 - 467.8250
457.6125 - 467.8375

457.5125 - 468.4875
457.5250 - 467.8250 *
457.5375 - 468.3875
457.6000 - 467.7500 *
462.7625 - 467.8875

460.8875 - 465.8875
461.0375 - 466.0375
461.0875 - 466.0875
461.1125 - 466.1125
461.2875 - 466.2875
461.5375 - 462.1625 *
461.5375 - 466.5375
462.1625 - 467.1625
464.9625 - 469.9625
464.9875 - 469.9875

469.0125 - 464.0125
469.0375 - 464.0375
469.0625 - 464.0625
469.0875 - 464.0875
469.1125 - 464.1125
469.1375 - 464.1375
469.1625 - 464.1625
469.1875 - 464.1875
469.2125 - 464.2125
469.2375 - 464.2375
469.2625 - 464.2625
469.2875 - 464.2875
469.3125 - 464.3125
469.3375 - 464.3375
469.3625 - 464.3625
469.3875 - 464.3875

Headset/Speaker frequency pairing for ISM band Wide FM (3M Headsets):

Speaker Headset

920.0125 - 903.0125
920.0250 - 903.0250
. .
. .
921.0000 - 904.0000
. .
. .
921.9750 - 904.9750
921.9875 - 904.9875

Headset/Speaker frequency pairing for ISM band (HM Electronics):

Speaker Headset

902.0125 - 926.0125
902.0250 - 926.0250
. .
. .
903.0000 - 927.0000
. .
. .
903.9750 - 927.9750
903.9875 - 927.9875

The most common frequency pairs to check are:

30.8400 --- 154.5700
31.0000 --- 170.3050
33.1600 --- 154.5150
33.4000 --- 154.5400
35.0200 --- 154.6000
154.5700 --- 170.2450
154.6000 --- 171.1050

457.5125 --- 468.4875
457.5375 --- 468.3875
457.5500 --- 467.7750
457.6000 --- 467.8250
461.0375 --- 466.0375
460.8875 --- 465.8875
461.0875 --- 466.0875
469.0125 --- 464.0125

This list covers most Fast Food chains across the entire US
and parts of Canada.

FAST FOOD FREQUENCY PAIRING

as of 02/04/2000

RESTAURANT CUSTOMER (R) CLERK (I)

Arbys 30.8400 154.5700

31.0000 170.3050

457.5500 467.7750

460.8875 465.8875

461.0375 466.0375

920.7250 WFM 903.7250 WFM

Bakers Dozen Donuts 457.5750 467.9750

Bess Eaton Donut 457.5375 467.7625

Bobs Big Boy 30.8400 154.5700

457.6000 467.8250

Bobs Burger Express 469.0125 464.0125

469.0375 464.0375

469.0625 464.0625

469.0875 464.0875

469.1125 464.1125

469.1375 464.1375

469.1625 464.1625

469.1875 464.1875

469.2125 464.2125

469.2375 464.2375

469.2625 464.2625

469.2875 464.2875

469.3125 464.3125

469.3375 464.3375

469.3625 464.3625

469.3875 464.3875

Bojangles 33.4000 154.5400

Boston Market 33.1600 154.5150

33.4000 154.5400

Braums 31.0000 170.3050

* 457.6000 467.7500

461.0875 466.0875

461.5375 466.5375

462.1625 467.1625

Burger King (Canada) 30.8400 154.4900

Burger King 30.8400 154.5700

31.0000 170.3050

33.4000 154.5400

457.5500 467.7750

457.5625 467.7875

457.5750 467.8000

457.6000 467.8250

460.8875 465.8875

461.2875 466.2875

461.5375 466.5375

469.0125 464.0125

920.2625 WFM 903.2625 WFM

Burgerville 30.8400 154.5700

Carls Jr 30.8400 154.5700

457.5375 468.3875

457.5500 467.7750

457.5750 467.8000

461.0875 466.0875

Chick Fil A 31.0000 170.3050

Coffee Time (Canada) 920.7375 WFM 903.7375 WFM

Coulters BBQ 31.0000 170.3050

Country Style (Canada) 457.5750 467.8000

Dairy Queen (Canada) 457.5750 467.8000

Dairy Queen 30.8400 154.5700

460.8875 465.8875

461.0875 466.0875

461.5375 466.5375

462.1625 467.1625

920.2625 WFM 903.2625 WFM

Del Taco 35.0200 154.6000

Donut Diner (Canada) 921.2250 WFM 904.2250 WFM

Dunkin Donuts 30.8400 154.5700

33.1600 154.5150

33.4000 154.5400

457.5500 467.7750

920.2625 WFM 903.2625 WFM

El Mexicano 464.9625 469.9625

El Pollo Loco 33.1600 154.5150

457.5500 467.7750

Foster Freeze 31.0000 170.3050

Hardees 30.8400 154.5700

31.0000 170.3050

35.0200 154.6000

457.5375 467.7625

460.8875 465.8875

461.0875 466.0875

461.1125 466.1125

462.1625 467.1625

469.0125 464.0125

Hot Dog Etc 30.8400 154.5700

31.0000 170.3050

33.1400 151.8950

In-N-Out Burger 33.1600 154.5150

33.4000 154.5400

Jack in the Box 33.1600 154.5150

33.4000 154.5400

35.0200 154.6000

457.5125 468.4875

457.5750 467.8000

469.0250 464.0250

James Coney Island 463.9875 468.9875

Kenny Rogers Roasters 469.0125 464.0125

Chicken 920.2875 WFM 903.2875 WFM

Kentucky Fried Chicken 30.8400 154.5700

31.0000 170.3050

33.1400 151.8950

33.1600 154.5150

33.4000 154.5400

35.0200 154.6000

457.5375 468.3875

457.5875 467.8125

457.6000 467.8250

460.8875 465.8875

461.0875 466.0875

462.1625 467.1625

462.7625 467.8875

464.0875 469.0875

Lick's Burgers (Canada) 921.9370 WFM 904.9370 WFM

Long John Silvers 33.1600 154.5150

33.4000 154.5400

McDonalds (Canada) 30.5800 154.4900

30.8400 151.6700

30.8400 154.1450

McDonalds 30.8400 154.5700

31.0000 170.3050

33.1400 151.8950

* 33.1400 170.3050

33.4000 154.5400

* 33.4000 154.5700

* 35.0200 151.8950

* 35.0200 154.4900

35.0200 154.6000

151.7150 169.4450

151.7450 UNKNOWN

151.7750 171.9050

151.8650 UNKNOWN

154.5700 170.2450

154.6000 171.1050

* 154.6000 170.2450

457.5125 468.4875

457.5375 468.3875

457.5500 467.7750

457.6000 467.8250

460.8875 465.8875

461.0375 466.0375

461.0875 466.0875

461.3125 466.3125

462.1625 467.1625

463.2875 468.2875

464.5125 469.5125

469.0125 464.0125

469.0625 464.0625

469.1125 464.1125

469.1375 464.1375

469.1625 464.1625

469.1875 464.1875

469.3125 464.3125

469.3375 464.3375

469.3875 464.3875

902.3000 926.4000

920.2000 WFM 903.2000 WFM

920.2625 WFM 903.2625 WFM

920.5000 WFM 903.5000 WFM

920.9625 WFM 903.9625 WFM

921.2250 WFM 904.2250 WFM

921.9000 WFM 904.9000 WFM

Mr. Muggs (Canada) 921.9370 WFM 904.9370 WFM

Mrs Winners Drive-up 30.8400 154.5700

Pit Stop Food & Beverage 457.5375 468.3875

461.0375 466.0375

461.0875 466.0875

Popeyes 33.4000 154.5400

469.0125 464.0125

Rallys 30.8400 154.5700

33.1600 154.5150

457.5375 468.3875

461.0875 466.0875

* 461.5375 462.1625

469.0125 464.0125

Robin's Donuts (Canada) 457.5750 467.9750

Roy Rogers 30.8400 154.5700

33.1600 154.5150

33.4000 154.5400

457.5375 467.7625

469.0125 464.0125

469.2125 464.2125

Sheetz Gas Station 469.0125 464.0125

Sonic 33.1600 154.5150

Taco Bell (Canada) 30.4000 154.5400

Taco Bell 30.8400 154.5700

31.0000 170.3050

* 31.4000 154.5150

33.1600 154.5150

33.4000 154.5400

35.0200 154.6000

457.5375 468.3875

457.5500 467.7750

460.8875 465.8875

461.0375 466.0375

461.0875 466.0875

461.5375 466.5375

462.7625 467.8875

464.9625 469.9625

469.0125 464.0125

469.2125 464.2125

Taco Bueno 30.8400 154.5700

461.3125 466.3125

Taco Cabana 33.4000 154.5400

TCBY 457.5500 467.7750

Tim Hortons Donuts(Canada) 30.5800 154.4900

457.5750 467.9750

Wendys 31.0000 171.3050

33.1600 154.5150

33.4000 154.5400

35.0200 154.6000

49.8300 49.8900

457.5125 467.7375

457.5357 467.7625

457.5375 468.3875

457.6125 467.8375

460.8875 465.8875

461.0875 466.0875

461.8125 466.8125

462.1625 467.1625

464.9875 469.9875

469.0125 464.0125

469.2125 464.2125

Whataburger 30.8400 154.5700

* 457.5250 467.8250

457.5500 467.7750

* 457.6000 467.7500

* 457.6125 467.7500

469.0125 464.0125

469.0375 464.0375

469.0625 464.0625

469.0875 464.0875

469.1125 464.1125

469.1375 464.1375

469.1625 464.1625

469.1875 464.1875

469.2125 464.2125

469.2375 464.2375

469.2625 464.2625

469.2875 464.2875

469.3125 464.3125

469.3375 464.3375

469.3625 464.3625

469.3875 464.3875

White Castle 457.6000 467.8250

461.8125 466.8125

Weinerschnitzel 33.4000 154.5400

FAST FOOD FREQUENCY PAIRING

CUSTOMER CLERK RESTAURANT CHAINS

30.4000 --- 154.5400 Taco Bell(Canada)

30.5800 --- 154.4900 Tim Hortons Donuts(Canada)

30.5800 --- 154.4900 McDonalds(Canada main freq)

30.8400 --- 151.6700 McDonalds(Canada aux. freq)

30.8400 --- 154.1450 McDonalds(Canada aux. freq)

30.8400 --- 154.5700 Arbys, Bobs Big Boy, Burger King, Burgerville,

Carls Jr, Dairy Queen, Dunkin Donuts, Hardees,

Hot Dog Etc, Kentucky Fried Chicken,

Mrs Winners Drive-up, McDonalds,

Rallys, Roy Rogers,

Taco Bell, Taco Bueno, Whataburger

31.0000 --- 170.3050 Arbys, Braums, Burger King, Chick Fil A,

Coulters BBQ, Foster Freeze, Hardees,

Hot Dog Etc, Kentucky Fried Chicken,
McDonalds,

Taco Bell, Wendys

31.4000 --- 154.5150 * Taco Bell

33.1400 --- 151.8950 Hot Dog Etc, Kentucky Fried Chicken, McDonalds

33.1400 --- 170.3050 * McDonalds

33.1600 --- 154.5150 Boston Market, Dunkin Donuts, El Pollo Loco,

In-n-Out Burger, Kentucky Fried Chicken,

Long John Silvers, Rallys, Roy Rogers, Sonic,

Taco Bell, Wendys

33.4000 --- 154.5400 Boston Market, Bojangles, Burger King,

Dunkin Donuts, In-N-Out Burger, Jack in the
Box,

Kentucky Fried Chicken, Long John Silvers,

McDonalds, Popeyes, Roy Rogers, Taco Bell,

Taco Cabana, Wendys, Wienerschnitzel

33.4000 --- 154.5700 * McDonalds

35.0200 --- 151.8950 * McDonalds

35.0200 --- 154.4900 * McDonalds

35.0200 --- 154.6000 Hardees, Jack in the Box, Kentucky Fried
Chicken,

McDonalds, Taco Bell

151.7150 --- 169.4450 McDonalds

151.7450 --- UNKNOWN McDonalds (Most likely paired with 169.505,

171.045, or 171.845)

151.7750 --- 171.9050 McDonalds

151.8650 --- UNKNOWN McDonalds (Most likely paired with 169.505,

171.045, or 171.845)

154.5700 --- 170.2450 McDonalds

154.6000 --- 171.1050 McDonalds

457.5125 --- 467.7375 Wendys

457.5125 --- 468.4875 Jack in the Box, McDonalds

457.5250 --- 467.7500 PROBABLE FREQUENCY none found yet

457.5250 --- 467.8250 * Whataburger

457.5375 --- 467.7625 Bess Eaton Donuts, Hardees, Roy Rogers, Wendys

457.5375 --- 468.3875 Carls Jr, Kentucky Fried Chicken, McDonalds,

Pit Stop Food & Beverage, Rallys,

Taco Bell, Wendys

457.5500 --- 467.7750 Arbys, Burger King, Carls Jr, Dunkin Donuts,

El Pollo Loco, McDonalds, Taco Bell, TCBY,

Whataburger

457.5625 --- 467.7875 Burger King

457.5750 --- 467.8000 Carls Jr, Country Style(Canada), Jack in the
Box,

Burger King

457.5750 --- 467.9750 * Bakers Dozen Donuts(Canada),

Tim Hortons Donuts(Canada)

457.5875 --- 467.8125 Kentucky Fried Chicken

457.6000 --- 467.7500 * Braums, Whataburger

457.6000 --- 467.8250 Arbys, Bobs Big Boy, Burger King,

Kentucky Fried Chicken, McDonalds, White
Castle

457.6125 --- 467.7500 * Whataburger

457.6125 --- 467.8375 Wendys

460.8875 --- 465.8875 Burger King, Carls Jr, Dairy Queen, Hardees,

Kentucky Fried Chicken, McDonalds, Taco Bell,

Wendys

461.0375 --- 466.0375 Arbys, McDonalds, Pit Stop Food & Beverage,

Taco Bell

461.0875 --- 466.0875 Braums, Carls Jr, Dairy Queen, Hardees,

Kentucky Fried Chicken, McDonalds,

Pit Stop Food & Beverage, Rallys, Taco Bell,

Wendys

461.1125 --- 466.1125 Hardees

461.2875 --- 466.2875 Burger King

461.3125 --- 466.3125 McDonalds, Taco Bueno

461.5375 --- 462.1625 * Rallys

461.5375 --- 466.5375 Braums, Dairy Queen, Burger King, Taco Bell

461.8125 --- 466.8125 Wendys, White Castle

462.1625 --- 467.1625 Braums, Diary Queen, Hardees,

Kentucky Fried Chicken, McDonalds, Wendys

462.7625 --- 467.8875 Kentucky Fried Chicken, Taco Bell

463.2875 --- 468.2875 McDonalds

463.9875 --- 468.9875 James Coney Island

464.0875 --- 469.0875 Kentucky Fried Chicken

464.5125 --- 469.5125 McDonalds, Wendys

464.9625 --- 469.9625 El Mexicano, Taco Bell

464.9875 --- 469.9875 Wendys

469.0125 --- 464.0125 Bobs Burger Express, Hardees,

Kenny Rogers Roasters Chicken, McDonalds,

Popeyes, Rallys, Roy Rogers,

Sheetz (Gas Station), Taco Bell, Wendys

469.0250 --- 464.0250 Jack in the Box

469.0375 --- 464.0375 Bobs Burger Express, Whataburger

469.0625 --- 464.0625 Bobs Burger Express, McDonalds, Whataburger

469.0875 --- 464.0875 Bobs Burger Express, Whataburger

469.1125 --- 464.1125 Bobs Burger Express, McDonalds, Whataburger

469.1375 --- 464.1375 Bobs Burger Express, McDonalds, Whataburger

469.1625 --- 464.1625 Bobs Burger Express, McDonalds, Whataburger

469.1875 --- 464.1875 Bobs Burger Express, McDonalds, Whataburger

469.2125 --- 464.2125 Bobs Burger Express, Taco Bell, Wendys,
Whataburger

469.2375 --- 464.2375 Bobs Burger Express, Whataburger

469.2625 --- 464.2625 Bobs Burger Express, Whataburger

469.2875 --- 464.2875 Bobs Burger Express, Whataburger

469.3125 --- 464.3125 Bobs Burger Express, McDonalds, Whataburger

469.3375 --- 464.3375 Bobs Burger Express, McDonalds, Whataburger

469.3625 --- 464.3625 Bobs Burger Express, Whataburger

469.3875 --- 464.3875 Bobs Burger Express, McDonalds, Whataburger

469.2125 --- 464.2125 Wendys

903.2000 --- 926.2000 McDonalds

920.2000 WFM 903.2000 McDonalds

920.2625 WFM 903.2625 Dairy Queen, Dunkin Donuts, McDonalds

920.2875 WFM 903.2875 Kenny Rogers Roasters Chicken

920.5000 WFM 903.5000 McDonalds

920.7250 WFM 903.7250 Arbys

920.7375 WFM 903.7375 Coffee Time

920.9625 WFM 903.9625 McDonalds

921.2250 WFM 904.2250 McDonalds

* Odd frequencies pairing

(CTCSS) PL Tones used

Outside Inside

77.0 162.2

88.5 123.0 Most Common PL Tones used by HM Electronics

100.0 131.8

162.2

107.2 141.3

114.8 107.2

114.8 127.3

118.8 110.9 Most Common PL Tones used by Panasonic

156.7

162.2 173.8

114.8 127.3

FREQUENCIES TO CHECK FOR FAST FOOD RESTAURANTS

VHF-low:

30.7600 30.8000 30.8400 30.8800 30.9200 30.9600 31.0000 31.0400
31.1600 31.2000 31.2400 33.1400 33.1600 33.4000 35.0200 35.0400
35.0600 35.0800 35.1000 35.1200 35.1400 35.1800 35.7000 35.7200
35.8800 35.9000 35.9200 35.9400 35.9600 35.9800 42.9600 42.9800
43.0000

VHF-high:

151.6250 151.6550 151.6850 151.7150 151.7450 151.7750 151.8050
151.8350 151.8650 151.8950 151.9250 151.9550 154.5150 154.5400

154.5700 154.6000 169.4450 169.5050 170.2450 170.3050 171.0450
171.1050 171.8450 171.9050

UHF:

457.5125 457.5250 457.5375 457.5500 457.5625 457.5750 457.5875
457.6000 457.6125 467.7375 467.7500 467.7625 467.7750 467.7875
467.8000 467.8125 467.8250 467.8375 467.8500 467.8625 467.8750
467.8875 467.9000 467.9125 467.9250

460.6625 460.6875 460.7125 460.7375 460.7625 460.7875 460.8125
460.8375 460.8625 460.8875 460.9125 460.9375 460.9625 460.9875

462.7625 462.7875 462.8125 462.8375 462.8625 462.8875 462.9125

461.0000 thru 462.1875 with 12.5Khz step
463.2000 thru 464.9875 with 12.5Khz step

465.6625 465.6875 465.7125 465.7375 465.7625 465.7875 465.8125
465.8375 465.8625 465.8875 465.9125 465.9375 465.9625 465.9875

466.0000 thru 467.1875 with 12.5Khz step
468.2000 thru 469.9875 with 12.5Khz step

ISM Band 902 - 928 Mhz (3M headsets)

920.0000 - 922.0000 with 12.5Khz step WIDE FM (Speaker)
903.0000 - 905.0000 with 12.5Khz step WIDE FM (Headset)

ISM Band 902 - 928 Mhz (HM Electronics)

902.0000 - 904.0000 with 12.5Khz step (Speaker)
926.0000 - 928.0000 with 12.5Khz step (Headset)

This list was compiled from numerous posts on the Internet news groups.
Business-band walkie-talkie radios can transmit of most of the
frequencies.

"Kap" <juvenile11691@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1107554093.491242.295610@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> I live 5 streets away from a McDonalds and im trying to pick the driv
> thru up but i cant pick them up can anybody give me some help or
> frequencies
>

794. Re: cordless phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-06 23:36:12 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <1TxNd.10139$go4.6348@fe03.lga>
Source record: 14954

"Matt" <pissoff@spammers.suck.com> wrote in message
news:cu31b2$1870$1@otis.netspace.net.au...
> "FeMaster" <FeMaster @ hotmail . com> wrote in message
> news:0X3Nd.9311$wV7.101@fe03.lga...
> >
> > > "Barry OGrady" <god_freee_jones@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > news:s9g501haaip7ghu24g50c13l478ldfit6b@4ax.com...
> >
> > >
> > >Very few scanners don't have WFM.
> > >
> >
> > According to this FAQ, looks like the majority of the NON-Trunking
> > "regular"
> > SCANNERS don't have WFM... Perhaps you get your information from some
> > other
> > planet?
>
> What FAQ??

Sorry, forgot the URL:
http://www.strongsignals.net/access/content/model.html

> Every scanner that I have has WFM - and I do not own trunking scanners -
> some of my scanners have been cheap arsed pieces of shite, some are good
> quality, but all have had WFM.
> Uniden 200 XLT
> Uniden 220 XLT
> Icom IC-R3
> Winradio 1500e
> Icom PCR 1000
> ------ All of these have WFM
>
Icon and Winradio are not Scanners for starters, let alone "regular"
scanners...

Perhaps your should inform those guys over at Strong Signals that their
Scanner FAQ is incorrect, or better yet, the guys over at Uniden. I'm sure
they would be interested to know how you ended up with version of their
scanners that have a feature that was never designed into the unit to start
with. Must be by some miracle that your two Uniden scanners have WFM. How
did you manage to modify them to get it? I'd bet the rest of the world
would LOVE to know. I'm sure that it would certainly become a pretty
popular mod.

> Maybe 20 to 30 years ago, WFM was not available in most scanners, but as
BOG
> has said, (and I hate to back him up, but here I must), very few scanners
> don't have WFM.
>
BOG is as much uninformed as your are. You both need to research what your talking about, because neither has a clue... Just to satisfy the ignorant, I did some research on the current listing of scanners over at the Uniden site... As suspected, NONE of the "regular" scanners have WFM. Matter of fact, even a number of the Trunk-tracking scanners do not have WFM. Only the more recent HIGHER-END scanners come with WFM.

Of the 24 scanners listed on the site, a measly 5 come with WFM... So, I think the statements that both of you made are backwards, they should have read, "...very few scanners [DO] have WFM.

> Perhaps you could advise which new scanners do not?
>
Inform you, sure... The 5 listed on Uniden's site that DO HAVE WFM are: BC796D, BC785D, BC780XLT, BC296D, BC250D - THAT's IT!

Which ones DON'T? The other 19 of course, which includes MANY new models: BC246T, BC72XLT, BC895XLT, BC898T, BC92XLT, SC230, BCT8, BCT7, BC350A, BC350C, BC80XLT, BC60XLT-1, SC200, SC180B, BC278CLT, BC248CLT, BC245XLT, BC244CLT, BC120XLT

In the future, maybe it would be advisable for the two of you to do some more research before you start typing and spreading falsities all over UseNET...

795. Re: cordless phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-06 23:38:03 UTC
From: FeMaster <FeMaster@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <LUxNd.10140$Lm4.2226@fe03.lga>
Source record: 14955

```
"Jim" <junk@junk.com> wrote in message
news:e88Nd.6900$XY5.6507@fe2.columbus.rr.com...
>
> "Matt" <pissoff@spammers.suck.com> wrote in message
> news:cu31b2$1870$1@otis.netspace.net.au...
> > "FeMaster" <FeMaster @ hotmail . com> wrote in message
> > news:0X3Nd.9311$wV7.101@fe03.lga...
> >>
> >> "Barry OGrady" <god_freee_jones@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:s9g501haaip7ghu24g50c13l478ldfit6b@4ax.com...
> >>
> >> > >
> >> > >>
> >> > >> I'm not sure what you would consider "higher-end", but there are
> > plenty
> >> of
> >> > >> "regular" scanners that have WFM.
> >> > >>
> >> > >Generally anything costing you more than $150 to $200, and that
> > includes
> >> > >Trunk Tracking, I would classify as "higher-end". You won't find
WFM
> > on
> >> the
> >> > >majority of (if any) "regular" scanners.
> >> >
> >> > Very few scanners don't have WFM.
> >> >
> >>
> >> According to this FAQ, looks like the majority of the NON-Trunking
> > "regular"
> >> SCANNERS don't have WFM... Perhaps you get your information from some
> > other
> >> planet?
> >
> > What FAQ??
> > Every scanner that I have has WFM - and I do not own trunking scanners -
> > some of my scanners have been cheap arsed pieces of shite, some are good
> > quality, but all have had WFM.
> > Uniden 200 XLT
> > Uniden 220 XLT
> > Icom IC-R3
> > Winradio 1500e
> > Icom PCR 1000
```

> > ------ All of these have WFM
> >
> > Maybe 20 to 30 years ago, WFM was not available in most scanners, but as
> > BOG
> > has said, (and I hate to back him up, but here I must), very few
scanners
> > don't have WFM. Perhaps you could advise which new scanners do not?
> >
>
> I had a 200 XLT years ago it didn't have Wide FM?
>
That's because the pair of them have no idea what they are talking about...
Either that, or they buy their equipment on another planet...

796. SuperBowl Flyover Aircraft with Senator Aboard??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-06 23:54:38 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4funREMOVEBEFOREFLIGHT.com>
Message-ID: <27yNd.3672$wK.3137@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 14956

Was listening to the Flyover aircraft at the beginning of the Super Bowl
and heard one pilot comment about the ï¿½Senator is here taking notesï¿½
Anyone know if there really was a Senator riding along and if so who it was?

The flyover aircraft were callsign VIPER, they were using 256.6000 for
a/a. Once departing the stadium area they were inroute to Tyndall on
354.3250 (JAX Center); 291.7000 (JAX Center).

After the game Iï¿½ll be posting audio files of the flyover as well as the
other CAP mission comms on the website.

George ï¿½ KI4FIA ï¿½ Daytona Beach, FL
http://www.MilAirComms.com

797. Easier to read 2052 Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-07 00:09:02 UTC
From: marksscanners@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1107734942.176041.76530@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14957

I have just finished an easier to read manual for the 2052.
Have a look..

http://marksscanners.home.comcast.net/

798. Alinco DJ-X10 programming software?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-07 02:21:58 UTC
From: Phoneguy <phoneguy99@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <%gANd.1302$504.120169@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 14958

Help please:

Could anyone direct me to a site where I may download the PC software to program / backup my Alinco DJ-X10 scanner? I surfed about Alinco but found no link to software for the X10.

(I used to have it, but the disk gnomes must have taken it to their secret lair where they hide most of my missing widgets...)

Thanks,
Pat

799. Re: Chandler/Phoenix freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-07 03:08:26 UTC
From: Mike Burch <mburch46@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <HYANd.52226$EG1.7419@attbi_s53>
Source record: 14959

Hi Bob, There is a lot of really good listening here. There are a lot
of prison frequencies out in Florence that can be very entertaining.
Mike Burch K8MB
Apache Junction, AZ

Bob wrote:

> will soon be relocating to chandler/phoenix area. any good stuff there
> or is everything trunked/digital?
>
> ---------------------------
> to see who "wf3h" is, go to "qrz.com"
> and enter 'wf3h' in the field

800. North-Central Florida Mil Logs 2/5/2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-07 04:21:19 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4funREMOVEBEFOREFLIGHT.com>
Message-ID: <31CNd.3775$wK.2225@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 14960

The MilAirComms.com Log report for 2/5/2005
All times are Eastern Time, frequencies in MHz and
unless other wise noted modulation type is AM.

COIL ##; HAMMER
Time: 1458
Frequency: 282.4250 (US Customs)

SHARK ##
Time: 1459 RTB
Frequency: 292.8000 (AvonPark); 139.8000 (A/A)

TANKER 07
Time: 1505 inbound to McDill "Alpha 1"
Frequency: 372.2000 (McDill Lighting Ops)

SHARK ##
Time: 1506 RTB to Homestead from Avon Park Rng
Frequency: 307.1000 (Miami Cntr)

TBOLT ##
Time: 1512 working AvonPark Rng.
Frequency: 292.2000 (AvonPark Cntrl)

unid
Time: 1516 encrypted
Frequency: 169.4500 FM (US Customs)

George - Monitoring from Daytona Beach,
WEBSITE: http://www.MilAirComms.com
(c) 2005 By G. W. Fetter. All Rights Reserved. No portion of this log maybe
reprinted or reproduced in electronic form or print without my permission.

801. Super Bowl North-Central Florida Mil Logs 2/6/2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-07 04:21:49 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4funREMOVEBEFOREFLIGHT.com>
Message-ID: <x1CNd.3776$wK.3758@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 14961

The MilAirComms.com Log report for 2/6/2005
All times are Eastern Time, frequencies in MHz and
unless other wise noted modulation type is AM.

OMAHA 5; OMAHA 4
Time: 1409 chasing a target, heading 170, chasing Piper Arrow
N9721C OMAHA 5 came up on 121.5 telling 9721C to LEAVE THE AREA! 21C
then instructed to land at St. Augustine, someone will be meeting him
Frequency: 282.4250 (US Customs); 121.5000 (Emergency)

JACKKNIFE; OMAHA 1
Time: 1429
Frequency: 282.4250 (US Customs)

DIAL ##;
Time: 1749
Frequency: 265.4000 (NORAD Pri-Cap)

unid
Time: 1750 weak;
Frequency: 292.7000 (NORAD); 310.2000 (Navy JAX Ops)

unid
Time: 1753 aircraft holding, possible flyby for Super Bowl.
Frequency: 377.0500 (JAX App)

TANKER 04
Time: 1815
Frequency: 292.7000 (NORAD); 308.4000 (JAX App)

unid
Time: 1826
Frequency: 355.8000 (JAX NAS Tower)

unid
Time: 1832
Frequency: 377.0500 (JAX App)

VIPER 3
Time: 1832 Flyover Aircraft "Taking notes from the Senator". I
think there was Senator riding along, anyone hear this??
Frequency: 256.6000 (NORAD)

VIPER 3
Time: 1833 "non standard formation back to Tyndall", might have
been the flyover aircraft for SuperBowl
Frequency: 354.3250 (JAX Center); 291.7000 (JAX Center)

DIAL ##; TANKER ##
Time: 1848 Time to refuel
Frequency: 293.6000 (NORAD)

COIL ##; SPIDER ##
Time: 2130
Frequency: 265.4000 (NORAD Pri-Cap)

DIAL ##
Time: 2139 Inroute to Shaw AFB, 350 heading, FL 190
Frequency: 282.2000 (JAX Center)

SPIDER Flight
Time: 2313 leaving Super Bowl Cap RTB.
Frequency: 279.4000 (NORAD)

George - Monitoring from Daytona Beach,
WEBSITE: http://www.MilAirComms.com
(c) 2005 By G. W. Fetter. All Rights Reserved. No portion of this log maybe reprinted or reproduced in electronic form or print without my permission.

802. Super Bowl Combat Air Patrol Recorded Audio OnLine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-07 04:23:06 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4funREMOVEBEFOREFLIGHT.com>
Message-ID: <K2CNd.3779$wK.3028@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 14962

Now that the 2005 Super Bowl is over and most of the Combat Air Patrol aircraft are leaving the area Iï¿½ve posted the recorded audio of today missions on the www.MilAirComms.com website.

The Super Bowl was played in Jacksonville, FL, 95 miles to the North of my location. By being nearly 100 miles away there still were a lot of Military Comms to hear. I've broken up the entire monitoring session into 5 different files. ALL monitoring was received on an by far the best Omni-Directional scanning antenna money can buy! What you will hear are NORAD F16's, F15's and NAVY P3 aircraft chasing aircraft out of the restricted airspace around the Jacksonville area. Also heard are mid-air refueling operations being conducted in support of this Combat Air Patrol (CAP) mission. All aircraft heard are loaded with weapons and ready to shoot down any aircraft which they feel is a threat.

Some todays most active frequencies were: 282.4250, 121.5000, 264.4000, 292.7000, 377.0500, 308.4000, 355.8000, 256.6000 (used by VIPER Fly Over which may have had a Senator on board), 354.3250, 291.7000, 293.6000.

For some interesting records from the Super Bowl as well as tons of other military comms go to http://www.MilAirComms.com

George ï¿½ Daytona Beach, FL
http://www.MilAirComms.com

803. Re: HELP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-07 06:54:38 UTC
From: TH <cyberhun@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <OgENd.307619$Xk.170832@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 14963

Couldn't you just go to the restaurant, hang around the order-counter, and
listen?
"Jim Douglas" <james.dCouldn't you just go to the restaurant, hang around
the order-counter, and
listen?ouglas@genesis-software.com> wrote in message
news:8uidnebxEeHbHpvfRVn-vw@comcast.com...
> From one message below
> HellFire wrote:
>>
>> Do you have to be very close to the restaurant to listen in? I live
> no
>> more than 3-4 miles from taco bell, burget king, kfc... ect. I'm at
>> home and can't hear anything.
>
> Foul-mouthed prankster talking through Burger King drive-thru speaker
>
>> Police in the north Detroit suburb are looking for someone who is
>> using a device to broadcaston the same frequency as a Burger King
>> drive-through speaker, the person has interrupted business
>> transactions three times, with obscene remarks to startled customers.
>> A voice boomed out of the outdoor speaker: "There's nothing you or
>> the police can do about this, so get ... back inside and
>> take your goons with you. Police suspect the calls are being made
>> by a radio transmitter or walkie-talkie near the restaurant.
> (expired link)
> http://www.freep.com/news/statewire/sw90754_20040108.htm
>
> Burger King (drive-thru) customers told:'You are Too Fat to have a
> Whopper'
>>
>> Police believe teenage pranksters are hacking into the
>> wireless frequency of a US Burger King drive-through speaker to
>> tell potential customers they are too fat for fast food.
>> The offenders are reportedly tapping into the wireless frequency
>> at the restaurant in Troy, Michigan. Police believe the culprits
>> are watching and broadcasting from close range.
>
> http://www.ananova.com/news/story/sm_853744.html?menu=news.latestheadlines
> --
>
> FAST FOOD FREQUENCY PAIRING
> The frequency pairs marked with an "*" are either odd or missing.
>
> Headset/Speaker frequency pairing for VHF-low / VHF-high band:

>
> Speaker Headset
>
> 30.840 - 154.570
> 31.000 - 170.305
> 31.240 - ???.??? (151.745 or 151.775 or 151.805 or 151.835)
> 31.400 - 154.515 *
> 33.140 - 151.895
> 33.140 - 170.305 *
> 33.160 - 154.515
> 33.400 - 154.540
> 33.400 - 154.570 *
> 35.020 - 154.490 *
> 35.020 - 151.895 *
> 35.020 - 154.600
> 35.120 - ???.??? (151.745 or 151.775 or 151.805 or 151.835)
> 35.880 - ???.??? (151.745 or 151.775 or 151.805 or 151.835)
> 35.960 - ???.??? (151.745 or 151.775 or 151.805 or 151.835)
>
> Headset/Speaker frequency pairing for VHF-high band:
>
> Speaker Headset
>
> 151.715 - 169.445
> 151.775 - 171.905
> 154.570 - 170.245
> 154.600 - 171.105
>
> 154.600 - 170.245 *
>
>
> Headset/Speaker frequency pairing for UHF band:
>
> Speaker Headset
>
> 457.5125 - 467.7375
> 457.5250 - 467.7500
> 457.5375 - 467.7625
> 457.5500 - 467.7750
> 457.5625 - 467.7875
> 457.5750 - 467.8000
> 457.5875 - 467.8125
> 457.6000 - 467.8250
> 457.6125 - 467.8375
>
> 457.5125 - 468.4875
> 457.5250 - 467.8250 *
> 457.5375 - 468.3875
> 457.6000 - 467.7500 *
> 462.7625 - 467.8875

>
> 460.8875 - 465.8875
> 461.0375 - 466.0375
> 461.0875 - 466.0875
> 461.1125 - 466.1125
> 461.2875 - 466.2875
> 461.5375 - 462.1625 *
> 461.5375 - 466.5375
> 462.1625 - 467.1625
> 464.9625 - 469.9625
> 464.9875 - 469.9875
>
> 469.0125 - 464.0125
> 469.0375 - 464.0375
> 469.0625 - 464.0625
> 469.0875 - 464.0875
> 469.1125 - 464.1125
> 469.1375 - 464.1375
> 469.1625 - 464.1625
> 469.1875 - 464.1875
> 469.2125 - 464.2125
> 469.2375 - 464.2375
> 469.2625 - 464.2625
> 469.2875 - 464.2875
> 469.3125 - 464.3125
> 469.3375 - 464.3375
> 469.3625 - 464.3625
> 469.3875 - 464.3875
>
> Headset/Speaker frequency pairing for ISM band Wide FM (3M Headsets):
>
> Speaker Headset
>
> 920.0125 - 903.0125
> 920.0250 - 903.0250
> . .
> . .
> 921.0000 - 904.0000
> . .
> . .
> 921.9750 - 904.9750
> 921.9875 - 904.9875
>
> Headset/Speaker frequency pairing for ISM band (HM Electronics):
>
> Speaker Headset
>
> 902.0125 - 926.0125
> 902.0250 - 926.0250
> . .

> . .
> 903.0000 - 927.0000
> . .
> . .
> 903.9750 - 927.9750
> 903.9875 - 927.9875
>
>
> The most common frequency pairs to check are:
>
> 30.8400 --- 154.5700
> 31.0000 --- 170.3050
> 33.1600 --- 154.5150
> 33.4000 --- 154.5400
> 35.0200 --- 154.6000
> 154.5700 --- 170.2450
> 154.6000 --- 171.1050
> 457.5125 --- 468.4875
> 457.5375 --- 468.3875
> 457.5500 --- 467.7750
> 457.6000 --- 467.8250
> 461.0375 --- 466.0375
> 460.8875 --- 465.8875
> 461.0875 --- 466.0875
> 469.0125 --- 464.0125
>
> This list covers most Fast Food chains across the entire US
> and parts of Canada.
>
>
>
> FAST FOOD FREQUENCY PAIRING
>
> as of 02/04/2000
>
> RESTAURANT CUSTOMER (R) CLERK (I)
>
> Arbys 30.8400 154.5700
>
> 31.0000 170.3050
>
> 457.5500 467.7750
>
> 460.8875 465.8875
>
> 461.0375 466.0375
>
> 920.7250 WFM 903.7250 WFM
>
> Bakers Dozen Donuts 457.5750 467.9750

>
> Bess Eaton Donut 457.5375 467.7625
>
> Bobs Big Boy 30.8400 154.5700
>
> 457.6000 467.8250
>
> Bobs Burger Express 469.0125 464.0125
>
> 469.0375 464.0375
>
> 469.0625 464.0625
>
> 469.0875 464.0875
>
> 469.1125 464.1125
>
> 469.1375 464.1375
>
> 469.1625 464.1625
>
> 469.1875 464.1875
>
> 469.2125 464.2125
>
> 469.2375 464.2375
>
> 469.2625 464.2625
>
> 469.2875 464.2875
>
> 469.3125 464.3125
>
> 469.3375 464.3375
>
> 469.3625 464.3625
>
> 469.3875 464.3875
>
> Bojangles 33.4000 154.5400
>
> Boston Market 33.1600 154.5150
>
> 33.4000 154.5400
>
> Braums 31.0000 170.3050
>
> * 457.6000 467.7500
>
> 461.0875 466.0875

>
> 461.5375 466.5375
>
> 462.1625 467.1625
>
> Burger King (Canada) 30.8400 154.4900
>
> Burger King 30.8400 154.5700
>
> 31.0000 170.3050
>
> 33.4000 154.5400
>
> 457.5500 467.7750
>
> 457.5625 467.7875
>
> 457.5750 467.8000
>
> 457.6000 467.8250
>
> 460.8875 465.8875
>
> 461.2875 466.2875
>
> 461.5375 466.5375
>
> 469.0125 464.0125
>
> 920.2625 WFM 903.2625 WFM
>
> Burgerville 30.8400 154.5700
>
> Carls Jr 30.8400 154.5700
>
> 457.5375 468.3875
>
> 457.5500 467.7750
>
> 457.5750 467.8000
>
> 461.0875 466.0875
>
> Chick Fil A 31.0000 170.3050
>
> Coffee Time (Canada) 920.7375 WFM 903.7375 WFM
>
> Coulters BBQ 31.0000 170.3050
>
> Country Style (Canada) 457.5750 467.8000

>
> Dairy Queen (Canada) 457.5750 467.8000
>
> Dairy Queen 30.8400 154.5700
>
> 460.8875 465.8875
>
> 461.0875 466.0875
>
> 461.5375 466.5375
>
> 462.1625 467.1625
>
> 920.2625 WFM 903.2625 WFM
>
> Del Taco 35.0200 154.6000
>
> Donut Diner (Canada) 921.2250 WFM 904.2250 WFM
>
> Dunkin Donuts 30.8400 154.5700
>
> 33.1600 154.5150
>
> 33.4000 154.5400
>
> 457.5500 467.7750
>
> 920.2625 WFM 903.2625 WFM
>
> El Mexicano 464.9625 469.9625
>
> El Pollo Loco 33.1600 154.5150
>
> 457.5500 467.7750
>
>
>
> Foster Freeze 31.0000 170.3050
>
> Hardees 30.8400 154.5700
>
> 31.0000 170.3050
>
> 35.0200 154.6000
>
> 457.5375 467.7625
>
> 460.8875 465.8875
>
> 461.0875 466.0875

>
> 461.1125 466.1125
>
> 462.1625 467.1625
>
> 469.0125 464.0125
>
> Hot Dog Etc 30.8400 154.5700
>
> 31.0000 170.3050
>
> 33.1400 151.8950
>
> In-N-Out Burger 33.1600 154.5150
>
> 33.4000 154.5400
>
>
>
> Jack in the Box 33.1600 154.5150
>
> 33.4000 154.5400
>
> 35.0200 154.6000
>
> 457.5125 468.4875
>
> 457.5750 467.8000
>
> 469.0250 464.0250
>
> James Coney Island 463.9875 468.9875
>
> Kenny Rogers Roasters 469.0125 464.0125
>
> Chicken 920.2875 WFM 903.2875 WFM
>
> Kentucky Fried Chicken 30.8400 154.5700
>
> 31.0000 170.3050
>
> 33.1400 151.8950
>
> 33.1600 154.5150
>
> 33.4000 154.5400
>
> 35.0200 154.6000
>
> 457.5375 468.3875

>
> 457.5875 467.8125
>
> 457.6000 467.8250
>
> 460.8875 465.8875
>
> 461.0875 466.0875
>
> 462.1625 467.1625
>
> 462.7625 467.8875
>
> 464.0875 469.0875
>
> Lick's Burgers (Canada) 921.9370 WFM 904.9370 WFM
>
> Long John Silvers 33.1600 154.5150
>
> 33.4000 154.5400
>
> McDonalds (Canada) 30.5800 154.4900
>
> 30.8400 151.6700
>
> 30.8400 154.1450
>
> McDonalds 30.8400 154.5700
>
> 31.0000 170.3050
>
> 33.1400 151.8950
>
> * 33.1400 170.3050
>
> 33.4000 154.5400
>
> * 33.4000 154.5700
>
> * 35.0200 151.8950
>
> * 35.0200 154.4900
>
> 35.0200 154.6000
>
> 151.7150 169.4450
>
> 151.7450 UNKNOWN
>
> 151.7750 171.9050

>
> 151.8650 UNKNOWN
>
> 154.5700 170.2450
>
> 154.6000 171.1050
>
> * 154.6000 170.2450
>
> 457.5125 468.4875
>
> 457.5375 468.3875
>
> 457.5500 467.7750
>
> 457.6000 467.8250
>
> 460.8875 465.8875
>
> 461.0375 466.0375
>
> 461.0875 466.0875
>
> 461.3125 466.3125
>
> 462.1625 467.1625
>
> 463.2875 468.2875
>
> 464.5125 469.5125
>
> 469.0125 464.0125
>
> 469.0625 464.0625
>
> 469.1125 464.1125
>
> 469.1375 464.1375
>
> 469.1625 464.1625
>
> 469.1875 464.1875
>
> 469.3125 464.3125
>
> 469.3375 464.3375
>
> 469.3875 464.3875
>
> 902.3000 926.4000

>
> 920.2000 WFM 903.2000 WFM
>
> 920.2625 WFM 903.2625 WFM
>
> 920.5000 WFM 903.5000 WFM
>
> 920.9625 WFM 903.9625 WFM
>
> 921.2250 WFM 904.2250 WFM
>
> 921.9000 WFM 904.9000 WFM
>
> Mr. Muggs (Canada) 921.9370 WFM 904.9370 WFM
>
> Mrs Winners Drive-up 30.8400 154.5700
>
> Pit Stop Food & Beverage 457.5375 468.3875
>
> 461.0375 466.0375
>
> 461.0875 466.0875
>
> Popeyes 33.4000 154.5400
>
> 469.0125 464.0125
>
> Rallys 30.8400 154.5700
>
> 33.1600 154.5150
>
> 457.5375 468.3875
>
> 461.0875 466.0875
>
> * 461.5375 462.1625
>
> 469.0125 464.0125
>
> Robin's Donuts (Canada) 457.5750 467.9750
>
> Roy Rogers 30.8400 154.5700
>
> 33.1600 154.5150
>
> 33.4000 154.5400
>
> 457.5375 467.7625
>
> 469.0125 464.0125

>
> 469.2125 464.2125
>
> Sheetz Gas Station 469.0125 464.0125
>
> Sonic 33.1600 154.5150
>
> Taco Bell (Canada) 30.4000 154.5400
>
> Taco Bell 30.8400 154.5700
>
> 31.0000 170.3050
>
> * 31.4000 154.5150
>
> 33.1600 154.5150
>
> 33.4000 154.5400
>
> 35.0200 154.6000
>
> 457.5375 468.3875
>
> 457.5500 467.7750
>
> 460.8875 465.8875
>
> 461.0375 466.0375
>
> 461.0875 466.0875
>
> 461.5375 466.5375
>
> 462.7625 467.8875
>
> 464.9625 469.9625
>
> 469.0125 464.0125
>
> 469.2125 464.2125
>
> Taco Bueno 30.8400 154.5700
>
> 461.3125 466.3125
>
> Taco Cabana 33.4000 154.5400
>
> TCBY 457.5500 467.7750
>
> Tim Hortons Donuts(Canada) 30.5800 154.4900

>
> 457.5750 467.9750
>
> Wendys 31.0000 171.3050
>
> 33.1600 154.5150
>
> 33.4000 154.5400
>
> 35.0200 154.6000
>
> 49.8300 49.8900
>
> 457.5125 467.7375
>
> 457.5357 467.7625
>
> 457.5375 468.3875
>
> 457.6125 467.8375
>
> 460.8875 465.8875
>
> 461.0875 466.0875
>
> 461.8125 466.8125
>
> 462.1625 467.1625
>
> 464.9875 469.9875
>
> 469.0125 464.0125
>
> 469.2125 464.2125
>
> Whataburger 30.8400 154.5700
>
> * 457.5250 467.8250
>
> 457.5500 467.7750
>
> * 457.6000 467.7500
>
> * 457.6125 467.7500
>
> 469.0125 464.0125
>
> 469.0375 464.0375
>
> 469.0625 464.0625

>
> 469.0875 464.0875
>
> 469.1125 464.1125
>
> 469.1375 464.1375
>
> 469.1625 464.1625
>
> 469.1875 464.1875
>
> 469.2125 464.2125
>
> 469.2375 464.2375
>
> 469.2625 464.2625
>
> 469.2875 464.2875
>
> 469.3125 464.3125
>
> 469.3375 464.3375
>
> 469.3625 464.3625
>
> 469.3875 464.3875
>
> White Castle 457.6000 467.8250
>
> 461.8125 466.8125
>
> Weinerschnitzel 33.4000 154.5400
>
>
>
> FAST FOOD FREQUENCY PAIRING
>
>
> CUSTOMER CLERK RESTAURANT CHAINS
>
> 30.4000 --- 154.5400 Taco Bell(Canada)
>
> 30.5800 --- 154.4900 Tim Hortons Donuts(Canada)
>
> 30.5800 --- 154.4900 McDonalds(Canada main freq)
>
> 30.8400 --- 151.6700 McDonalds(Canada aux. freq)
>
> 30.8400 --- 154.1450 McDonalds(Canada aux. freq)
>

> 30.8400 --- 154.5700 Arbys, Bobs Big Boy, Burger King, Burgerville,
>
> Carls Jr, Dairy Queen, Dunkin Donuts, Hardees,
>
> Hot Dog Etc, Kentucky Fried Chicken,
>
> Mrs Winners Drive-up, McDonalds,
>
> Rallys, Roy Rogers,
>
> Taco Bell, Taco Bueno, Whataburger
>
> 31.0000 --- 170.3050 Arbys, Braums, Burger King, Chick Fil A,
>
> Coulters BBQ, Foster Freeze, Hardees,
>
> Hot Dog Etc, Kentucky Fried Chicken,
> McDonalds,
>
> Taco Bell, Wendys
>
> 31.4000 --- 154.5150 * Taco Bell
>
> 33.1400 --- 151.8950 Hot Dog Etc, Kentucky Fried Chicken, McDonalds
>
> 33.1400 --- 170.3050 * McDonalds
>
> 33.1600 --- 154.5150 Boston Market, Dunkin Donuts, El Pollo Loco,
>
> In-n-Out Burger, Kentucky Fried Chicken,
>
> Long John Silvers, Rallys, Roy Rogers, Sonic,
>
> Taco Bell, Wendys
>
> 33.4000 --- 154.5400 Boston Market, Bojangles, Burger King,
>
> Dunkin Donuts, In-N-Out Burger, Jack in the
> Box,
>
> Kentucky Fried Chicken, Long John Silvers,
>
> McDonalds, Popeyes, Roy Rogers, Taco Bell,
>
> Taco Cabana, Wendys, Wienerschnitzel
>
> 33.4000 --- 154.5700 * McDonalds
>
> 35.0200 --- 151.8950 * McDonalds
>

> 35.0200 --- 154.4900 * McDonalds
>
> 35.0200 --- 154.6000 Hardees, Jack in the Box, Kentucky Fried
> Chicken,
>
> McDonalds, Taco Bell
>
> 151.7150 --- 169.4450 McDonalds
>
> 151.7450 --- UNKNOWN McDonalds (Most likely paired with 169.505,
>
> 171.045, or 171.845)
>
> 151.7750 --- 171.9050 McDonalds
>
> 151.8650 --- UNKNOWN McDonalds (Most likely paired with 169.505,
>
> 171.045, or 171.845)
>
> 154.5700 --- 170.2450 McDonalds
>
> 154.6000 --- 171.1050 McDonalds
>
> 457.5125 --- 467.7375 Wendys
>
> 457.5125 --- 468.4875 Jack in the Box, McDonalds
>
> 457.5250 --- 467.7500 PROBABLE FREQUENCY none found yet
>
> 457.5250 --- 467.8250 * Whataburger
>
> 457.5375 --- 467.7625 Bess Eaton Donuts, Hardees, Roy Rogers, Wendys
>
> 457.5375 --- 468.3875 Carls Jr, Kentucky Fried Chicken, McDonalds,
>
> Pit Stop Food & Beverage, Rallys,
>
> Taco Bell, Wendys
>
> 457.5500 --- 467.7750 Arbys, Burger King, Carls Jr, Dunkin Donuts,
>
> El Pollo Loco, McDonalds, Taco Bell, TCBY,
>
> Whataburger
>
> 457.5625 --- 467.7875 Burger King
>
> 457.5750 --- 467.8000 Carls Jr, Country Style(Canada), Jack in the
> Box,
>

> Burger King
>
> 457.5750 --- 467.9750 * Bakers Dozen Donuts(Canada),
>
> Tim Hortons Donuts(Canada)
>
> 457.5875 --- 467.8125 Kentucky Fried Chicken
>
> 457.6000 --- 467.7500 * Braums, Whataburger
>
> 457.6000 --- 467.8250 Arbys, Bobs Big Boy, Burger King,
>
> Kentucky Fried Chicken, McDonalds, White
> Castle
>
> 457.6125 --- 467.7500 * Whataburger
>
> 457.6125 --- 467.8375 Wendys
>
> 460.8875 --- 465.8875 Burger King, Carls Jr, Dairy Queen, Hardees,
>
> Kentucky Fried Chicken, McDonalds, Taco Bell,
>
> Wendys
>
> 461.0375 --- 466.0375 Arbys, McDonalds, Pit Stop Food & Beverage,
>
> Taco Bell
>
> 461.0875 --- 466.0875 Braums, Carls Jr, Dairy Queen, Hardees,
>
> Kentucky Fried Chicken, McDonalds,
>
> Pit Stop Food & Beverage, Rallys, Taco Bell,
>
> Wendys
>
> 461.1125 --- 466.1125 Hardees
>
> 461.2875 --- 466.2875 Burger King
>
> 461.3125 --- 466.3125 McDonalds, Taco Bueno
>
> 461.5375 --- 462.1625 * Rallys
>
> 461.5375 --- 466.5375 Braums, Dairy Queen, Burger King, Taco Bell
>
> 461.8125 --- 466.8125 Wendys, White Castle
>
> 462.1625 --- 467.1625 Braums, Diary Queen, Hardees,

>
> Kentucky Fried Chicken, McDonalds, Wendys
>
> 462.7625 --- 467.8875 Kentucky Fried Chicken, Taco Bell
>
> 463.2875 --- 468.2875 McDonalds
>
> 463.9875 --- 468.9875 James Coney Island
>
> 464.0875 --- 469.0875 Kentucky Fried Chicken
>
> 464.5125 --- 469.5125 McDonalds, Wendys
>
> 464.9625 --- 469.9625 El Mexicano, Taco Bell
>
> 464.9875 --- 469.9875 Wendys
>
> 469.0125 --- 464.0125 Bobs Burger Express, Hardees,
>
> Kenny Rogers Roasters Chicken, McDonalds,
>
> Popeyes, Rallys, Roy Rogers,
>
> Sheetz (Gas Station), Taco Bell, Wendys
>
> 469.0250 --- 464.0250 Jack in the Box
>
> 469.0375 --- 464.0375 Bobs Burger Express, Whataburger
>
> 469.0625 --- 464.0625 Bobs Burger Express, McDonalds, Whataburger
>
> 469.0875 --- 464.0875 Bobs Burger Express, Whataburger
>
> 469.1125 --- 464.1125 Bobs Burger Express, McDonalds, Whataburger
>
> 469.1375 --- 464.1375 Bobs Burger Express, McDonalds, Whataburger
>
> 469.1625 --- 464.1625 Bobs Burger Express, McDonalds, Whataburger
>
> 469.1875 --- 464.1875 Bobs Burger Express, McDonalds, Whataburger
>
> 469.2125 --- 464.2125 Bobs Burger Express, Taco Bell, Wendys,
> Whataburger
>
> 469.2375 --- 464.2375 Bobs Burger Express, Whataburger
>
> 469.2625 --- 464.2625 Bobs Burger Express, Whataburger
>
> 469.2875 --- 464.2875 Bobs Burger Express, Whataburger
>

> 469.3125 --- 464.3125 Bobs Burger Express, McDonalds, Whataburger
>
> 469.3375 --- 464.3375 Bobs Burger Express, McDonalds, Whataburger
>
> 469.3625 --- 464.3625 Bobs Burger Express, Whataburger
>
> 469.3875 --- 464.3875 Bobs Burger Express, McDonalds, Whataburger
>
> 469.2125 --- 464.2125 Wendys
>
> 903.2000 --- 926.2000 McDonalds
>
> 920.2000 WFM 903.2000 McDonalds
>
> 920.2625 WFM 903.2625 Dairy Queen, Dunkin Donuts, McDonalds
>
> 920.2875 WFM 903.2875 Kenny Rogers Roasters Chicken
>
> 920.5000 WFM 903.5000 McDonalds
>
> 920.7250 WFM 903.7250 Arbys
>
> 920.7375 WFM 903.7375 Coffee Time
>
> 920.9625 WFM 903.9625 McDonalds
>
> 921.2250 WFM 904.2250 McDonalds
>
> * Odd frequencies pairing
>
> (CTCSS) PL Tones used
>
> Outside Inside
>
> 77.0 162.2
>
> 88.5 123.0 Most Common PL Tones used by HM Electronics
>
> 100.0 131.8
>
> 162.2
>
> 107.2 141.3
>
> 114.8 107.2
>
> 114.8 127.3
>
> 118.8 110.9 Most Common PL Tones used by Panasonic
>

> 156.7
>
> 162.2 173.8
>
> 114.8 127.3
>
> FREQUENCIES TO CHECK FOR FAST FOOD RESTAURANTS
>
> VHF-low:
>
> 30.7600 30.8000 30.8400 30.8800 30.9200 30.9600 31.0000 31.0400
> 31.1600 31.2000 31.2400 33.1400 33.1600 33.4000 35.0200 35.0400
> 35.0600 35.0800 35.1000 35.1200 35.1400 35.1800 35.7000 35.7200
> 35.8800 35.9000 35.9200 35.9400 35.9600 35.9800 42.9600 42.9800
> 43.0000
>
> VHF-high:
>
> 151.6250 151.6550 151.6850 151.7150 151.7450 151.7750 151.8050
> 151.8350 151.8650 151.8950 151.9250 151.9550 154.5150 154.5400
> 154.5700 154.6000 169.4450 169.5050 170.2450 170.3050 171.0450
> 171.1050 171.8450 171.9050
>
> UHF:
>
> 457.5125 457.5250 457.5375 457.5500 457.5625 457.5750 457.5875
> 457.6000 457.6125 467.7375 467.7500 467.7625 467.7750 467.7875
> 467.8000 467.8125 467.8250 467.8375 467.8500 467.8625 467.8750
> 467.8875 467.9000 467.9125 467.9250
>
> 460.6625 460.6875 460.7125 460.7375 460.7625 460.7875 460.8125
> 460.8375 460.8625 460.8875 460.9125 460.9375 460.9625 460.9875
>
>
> 462.7625 462.7875 462.8125 462.8375 462.8625 462.8875 462.9125
>
> 461.0000 thru 462.1875 with 12.5Khz step
> 463.2000 thru 464.9875 with 12.5Khz step
>
> 465.6625 465.6875 465.7125 465.7375 465.7625 465.7875 465.8125
> 465.8375 465.8625 465.8875 465.9125 465.9375 465.9625 465.9875
>
> 466.0000 thru 467.1875 with 12.5Khz step
> 468.2000 thru 469.9875 with 12.5Khz step
>
> ISM Band 902 - 928 Mhz (3M headsets)
>
> 920.0000 - 922.0000 with 12.5Khz step WIDE FM (Speaker)
> 903.0000 - 905.0000 with 12.5Khz step WIDE FM (Headset)
>

> ISM Band 902 - 928 Mhz (HM Electronics)
>
> 902.0000 - 904.0000 with 12.5Khz step (Speaker)
> 926.0000 - 928.0000 with 12.5Khz step (Headset)
>
> This list was compiled from numerous posts on the Internet news groups.
> Business-band walkie-talkie radios can transmit of most of the
> frequencies.
>
> "Kap" <juvenile11691@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1107554093.491242.295610@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> I live 5 streets away from a McDonalds and im trying to pick the driv
>> thru up but i cant pick them up can anybody give me some help or
>> frequencies
>>
>
>

804. Re: Chandler/Phoenix freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-07 13:31:42 UTC
From: TH <cyberhun@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <25KNd.311361$8l.178886@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 14964

Yup, nuthin' like a good prison frequency!

"Mike Burch" <mburch46@mchsi.com> wrote in message
news:HYANd.52226$EG1.7419@attbi_s53...
> Hi Bob, There is a lot of really good listening here. There are a lot of
> prison frequencies out in Florence that can be very entertaining.
> Mike Burch K8MB
> Apache Junction, AZ
>
> Bob wrote:
>
>> will soon be relocating to chandler/phoenix area. any good stuff there
>> or is everything trunked/digital?
>>
>> ---------------------------
>> to see who "wf3h" is, go to "qrz.com"
>> and enter 'wf3h' in the field

805. Re: I bought a SCA radio on Yahoo Auctions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-07 14:29:46 UTC
From: JJ <jjesson@PLSDELETEvoyager.net>
Message-ID: <110evia7fee7b37@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 14965

I also live in the area and we get SCA transmissions from NYC and Philly.
Lots... readings from the NYT, WSJ, different languages, and digital. The
best directory is Bruce Elving's guide.

Joe

"DougSlug" <dougslug@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:t%fKd.1607$kz5.552@fe10.lga...
> I am in the Trenton area, not far from you, Doug...what SCA programming,
if
> any, have you discovered at your location with this radio?
> - Doug
>
>
> "r392" <r392@verizon.net> wrote in message
> news:hz2Kd.11237$Dz2.457@trndny09...
> >I bought a SCA radio AM FM radio with the upper and lower SCA setting for
> > cheap on Yahoo auctions about a month ago brand new in box here is a
link.
> > I do not have anything to do with the guy just thought it was a good
deal.
> > the radio is a cheap radio. It has good audio and great sensitivity.
> > for $30 well worth it.
> > Doug
>
>

806. Re: Super Bowl Combat Air Patrol Recorded Audio OnLine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-07 16:26:47 UTC
From: Evan Platt <evan@TheObvious.espphotography.com>
Message-ID: <0l5f01lk1a7mi0pj45pngaimp795dhb1ar@4ax.com>
Source record: 14966

On Mon, 07 Feb 2005 04:23:06 GMT, GeorgeF
<av8tor@licensed4funREMOVEBEFOREFLIGHT.com> wrote:

>Now that the 2005 Super Bowl is over and most of the Combat Air Patrol
>aircraft

Who is the Combat Air Patrol?

If you're talking about the CAP, it's Civil Air Patrol. I see no
references on google or otherwise to a Combat Air Patrol.
--
To reply, remove TheObvious from my e-mail address.

807. Re: Super Bowl Combat Air Patrol Recorded Audio OnLine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-07 18:56:48 UTC
From: dxAce <dxace@eclipsed.com>
Message-ID: <4207B9F0.81924354@eclipsed.com>
Source record: 14967

Evan Platt wrote:

> On Mon, 07 Feb 2005 04:23:06 GMT, GeorgeF
> <av8tor@licensed4funREMOVEBEFOREFLIGHT.com> wrote:
>
> >Now that the 2005 Super Bowl is over and most of the Combat Air Patrol
> >aircraft
>
> Who is the Combat Air Patrol?
>
> If you're talking about the CAP, it's Civil Air Patrol. I see no
> references on google or otherwise to a Combat Air Patrol.

Then you're truly a 'tard who can't use Google and/or read!

No military experience? Join and serve, 'tard boy.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

808. Re: Super Bowl Combat Air Patrol Recorded Audio OnLine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-07 19:16:44 UTC
From: "Greasy Rider&#65533;"@invalid.com
Message-ID: <bhff01lfgd6nnaeb8usl5i4l6p11rl579c@4ax.com>
Source record: 14968

On Mon, 07 Feb 2005 08:26:47 -0800, Evan Platt
<evan@TheObvious.espphotography.com> postulated :

>Who is the Combat Air Patrol?

Nobody you'd want to know.
They (we) have been around since before the Cold War.

809. Re: BC 246 Upgrade?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-07 19:48:18 UTC
From: Dwayne <dubbin4@goaway.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1c71925c6951ea7b989771@news-server.woh.rr.com>
Source record: 14969

In article <6R7Kd.967$ao5.748@trnddc03>, nospam@verizon.net says...
> Also hearing there is an issue with Windows XP causing problems with the firmware update.

There are no problems with using XP to update the firmware other then
the people sitting in front of the computer that cant seem to read the
step by step directions.

--

Dwayne
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/BC895/

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Uniden_BC246T

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ScanFindlay/

810. Re: good deal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-07 19:54:47 UTC
From: Dwayne <dubbin4@goaway.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1c7193e6e9073d91989772@news-server.woh.rr.com>
Source record: 14970

In article <1ysLd.25845$iC4.20582@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>,
jcecklund@sbcglobal.net says...
> Dude,
> You been smoking something illegal if you think your going to sell that
> piece of junk on ebay or anywhere on the internet!
>
> That scanner is not worth $20.00 anywhere.
> First of all you need to learn how to spell when you post an ad on ebay!

Well he sold it for $65 so now what do you have to say.

--

Dwayne
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/BC895/

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Uniden_BC246T

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ScanFindlay/

811. Re: HELP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-07 20:00:27 UTC
From: Dwayne <dubbin4@goaway.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1c71953abab28ff5989773@news-server.woh.rr.com>
Source record: 14971

In article <OgENd.307619$Xk.170832@pd7tw3no>, cyberhun@shaw.ca says...
> Couldn't you just go to the restaurant, hang around the order-counter, and
> listen?

Couldn't you just lear how to post something once and not quote
everything :/

--

Dwayne
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/BC895/

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Uniden_BC246T

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ScanFindlay/

812. FA - Icom R7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-07 20:56:30 UTC
From: thecop <thecop@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <kJQNd.8689$fF6.7259@fe08.lga>
Source record: 14972

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5750209396

813. Re: HELP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-07 23:24:38 UTC
From: TH <cyberhun@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <WMSNd.316393$6I.285122@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 14973

Dwayne, in a barely coherent manner, said:

> Couldn't you just lear how to post something once and not quote
> everything :/

Couldn't you LEARN how to spell your words PROPERLY?
I'll only quote your direct screw-up and I'll only quote it once. --- how's
that?

814. Re: Super Bowl Combat Air Patrol Recorded Audio OnLine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-07 23:29:22 UTC
From: Jim Douglas <james.douglas@genesis-software.com>
Message-ID: <1LqdnQQQgc9UZJrfRVn-iQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 14974

Didn't know you were a scanner guy also dxAce!

"dxAce" <dxace@eclipsed.com> wrote in message
news:4207B9F0.81924354@eclipsed.com...
>
>
> Evan Platt wrote:
>
> > On Mon, 07 Feb 2005 04:23:06 GMT, GeorgeF
> > <av8tor@licensed4funREMOVEBEFOREFLIGHT.com> wrote:
> >
> > >Now that the 2005 Super Bowl is over and most of the Combat Air Patrol
> > >aircraft
> >
> > Who is the Combat Air Patrol?
> >
> > If you're talking about the CAP, it's Civil Air Patrol. I see no
> > references on google or otherwise to a Combat Air Patrol.
>
> Then you're truly a 'tard who can't use Google and/or read!
>
> No military experience? Join and serve, 'tard boy.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA
>
>

815. FS/FT Icom R7000's with rack mounts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-07 23:50:39 UTC
From: "Rich Carlson, N9JIG" <no@no.no>
Message-ID: <no-B66520.17503907022005@comcast.dca.giganews.com>
Source record: 14975

I have 2 Icom R7000's for sale. Both are complete coverage from 25 to 1000 and 1025 to 2000 MHz. (as are all R7000's). Both include the DC Power cord and connector, original box and the EEB 19 inch rack mount.

The first unit is in excellent operational condition, with a recent alignment from Icom Service.

The second unit works fine except that the display has issues. Sometimes it goes out, a slight tap or turning the radio off and on will restore it. This can be repaired by Icom for about $100 or so. This unit includes the Voice unit (installed but disconnected) as well as the RC12 Remote Control.

I will take $475 shipped CONUS each or $900 shipped CONUS for both.

I might be willing to discuss trades for such things as an HF rig, R8500, BC796/296/246, Opto Scout/Explorer or similar.

Contact me at rich@n9jig.com

--
Rich Carlson, N9JIG
rich#n9jig*com
Change the # to @ and the * to .

816. check my scanner and other stuff on ebay!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-08 00:05:25 UTC
From: Kap <juvenile11691@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1107821125.658312.127130@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14976

item # 5749670665

item # 7133009799

817. Re: Super Bowl Combat Air Patrol Recorded Audio OnLine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-08 00:10:01 UTC
From: dxAce <dxace@eclipsed.com>
Message-ID: <42080358.D7124AF1@eclipsed.com>
Source record: 14977

Jim Douglas wrote:

> Didn't know you were a scanner guy also dxAce!

Yes, I have a couple of scanners.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

>
>
> "dxAce" <dxace@eclipsed.com> wrote in message
> news:4207B9F0.81924354@eclipsed.com...
> >
> >
> > Evan Platt wrote:
> >
> > > On Mon, 07 Feb 2005 04:23:06 GMT, GeorgeF
> > > <av8tor@licensed4funREMOVEBEFOREFLIGHT.com> wrote:
> > >
> > > >Now that the 2005 Super Bowl is over and most of the Combat Air Patrol
> > > >aircraft
> > >
> > > Who is the Combat Air Patrol?
> > >
> > > If you're talking about the CAP, it's Civil Air Patrol. I see no
> > > references on google or otherwise to a Combat Air Patrol.
> >
> > Then you're truly a 'tard who can't use Google and/or read!
> >
> > No military experience? Join and serve, 'tard boy.
> >
> > dxAce
> > Michigan
> > USA
> >
> >

818. Re: RS PRO96

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-08 01:06:53 UTC
From: SkyPilot <skypilotnospam@writeme.com>
Message-ID: <e34g011r64lnt171b05avh6aqob4kr6n5d@4ax.com>
Source record: 14978

spider <911 caretaker> pounded on the keyboard and wrote:

>Just purchased a Radio Shack Pro 96. It is all that they say it is
>and it outperforms my Bearcat BC785D in sensitivity, intermod, and
>weight. I get about 10 hours of battery life using an earphone and
>the fact that it can store up to 6000 fequencies is just great. The
>trunking works great and about the only thing it doesn't do is scan
>commercial FM and TV stations, but who buys a scanner to listen to
>them. I have placed it side by side with a Motorola Astro25 HT and
>other than not being able to transmit, and it has a smaller speaker I
>was not able to tell the difference.

I agree with you. I have had my Pro-96 since early Dec. It is by far the
best scanner that I have ever owned.

Brian
--

Brian J. Rueger | Hampton Div. of Fire & Rescue | "Who dares wins"
Lt./Paramedic | Fire Communications Officer | Hampton, VA.
B.S. Comm/I/SEL Pilot | MSgt, USAF (Ret.) 49199 | NREMT-P
Check out my home page: http://members.cox.net/brueger
Some of my photography: http://www.usefilm.com/photographer/34185.html
"Life's too short to drink LITE beer!"
---

819. Pro 2052

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-08 02:52:27 UTC
From: Jim <none@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <LPVNd.20313$t46.4394@trndny04>
Source record: 14979

Hey,

The Pro-2052 that I picked up from Radio Shack scans both trunked and conventional. Did they do a firmware update? The one I owned a few years ago did not.

On sale at RAt Shack @ $149. 1000 channels, trunking, computer control, weather alert, Mil air coverage.
You can not go wrong at this price.

This scanner makes a great backup radio for my 785D.

Jim

820. Re: Super Bowl Combat Air Patrol Recorded Audio OnLine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-08 03:02:46 UTC
From: HotShot <MyShotIsHot@HotShotShootsSpam.Com>
Message-ID: <qZVNd.2870$504.329559@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 14980

Same feeling I get every time I go to the U.S.

As soon as I cross the boarder into the U.S. I'm always on the look out
for a moment of sheer terror.

Justin wrote:

>
> Combat Air Patrol refers to military aircraft that patrol an area to
> intercept aircraft. It can be a long boring shift for a pilot, but every
> once in a while the hours of boredom can lead to moments of sheer terror.

821. Re: Where's the NRA on whoring as bush bitches?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-08 04:17:10 UTC
From: enemy of the godless and evil bushite traitors <there@where.com>
Message-ID: <p9fg01tptmqd7d6sd12igf50ctig34fqmd@4ax.com>
Source record: 14981
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan,alt.fan.jay-leno

Feel free to forward this post for publication consideration.

--
I wrote the letter following "Jew Boy..." the day a single complaint arrived to the radio station, with the threat that the ADL would soon be contacted. The letter was provided in advance of the next day, of the time scheduled for the complainant to return for a recording of the questionable broadcast. The station manager refused to give the complainant my letter, and insured me that I would no longer be allowed to produce a show for our community. Is this fair to our Jewish brothers and sisters? Is this fair to You?

Paris "And then what if you saw, all of the things thats wrong, would you stand tall and strong? Or would you turn and walk away?"

Johnny

Jew Boy Johnny and the anti-Semites

- or -

"The Zionist Conspiracy"

Monday, January 31st, around 3 pm, I called the station manager to ask how my afternoon recorded broadcast went, and to discuss the new week's tentative guest spot with an update regarding the falsely maligned, Mr. And Mrs. Benderman. The station manager informed me my phone number had been misplaced, and was managing with stress trying to put together an apology letter to a single individual, who came into the station, while the interview was still running, to demand action be taken regarding the anti-Semitic broadcast that he was certainly going to report to the ADL about. I told her the Felton interview itself was about bigotry through the demonization of the innocent, what the Jews of world war II suffered through, and, that I was a huge defender of religious Jewish teaching. I told her, to offer the individual a chance to publicly speak regarding the issue, with me, or by himself regarding Felton, to try getting specifically to what has got him so much, by himself, upset about allowing my voice heard defending Jewish rights within our community. She told me that she would likely, none the less, have to let me go to appease the sole

complainant, for what if a lawsuit should result? I told her to consider her options, reminded her that it was only one single individual who hadn't even heard the show's context, and that the broadcast in every other way had been going well, doing good. She told me she was very busy, and said goodbye. Then a short while later, phoned me back to ask if I would write a letter, and have it at the station next day before the complainant arrived for his copy of the broadcast. I said sure, said it would be a great challenge, and proceeded immediately to do just that. The next day, I contacted the station to ask if my arrived letter was forwarded to the individual, and was informed no, he didn't receive it. It wasn't the apology the station was looking for, and my show was now officially terminated. I told her it was very unfair to me, to not allow him to read my formal response, and that I couldn't in good conscience, back down from defending my rights to be heard on this issue, or to allow us, as our public, to hear the complainant's actual complaint about my, freedom for all broadcast. She said she would try to get the letter to him, but that the show was over. Case closed.

Stand up for Jews, and look at where it gets you, Jeeze.

Let us not put aside, the station manager's legitimate concerns. Who has the money or the time for a frivolous lawsuit? Why do expensive independent research, when the Bush Administration can hand us all the free news they want us to have directly without any costly questions? Saddam wouldn't let the inspectors in, right? Why risk standing up for someone else's rights, when doing so, will get the (anti) Jewish-Defamation League calling you an anti-Semite (Semite=Arab) for communicating some understanding with Felton? Felton, is bizarrely quirky as interesting, for his recent book is about the demonization of the innocent, (you know? Nazi gas chambers and ovens?) and as such, we can learn from, as too, we can teach. If he'll listen. Ariel Sharon is not Jewish my friend, NOT JEWISH!!!

Open the gates, it's getting late.

John.

---

http://radio.indymedia.org/uploads/big-package.mp3

Greg Felton : "The fear is necessary for two reasons. And the principle reason has nothing to do with Iraq. it has to do with the government itself. The bush government is criminal. [...] The problem is: how do you keep people from paying attention to this? You keep them focused on an external enemy. [..] so they forment this fascistic hatred of a definable group

of people. So, the war on terrorism so called, is not much a way for the United States to protect itself against it's enemy, it is a way for it to create enemies, to justify the kind of repressive measures that would otherwise be intolerable in peace time."

To whom it may concern,

regarding the January 31st broadcasted interview with Greg Felton.

May I, on behalf of only myself, ask that you pardon my failures in judgment, in not allowing what I should have gave: an immediate re-dress regarding, 'who is the Zionist label?', or 'What Holocaust are you referring to?', during the broadcast of January 31st. (bet you we can't get two Christians that agree on everything.) However, I can offer perhaps a show centralized on a chance to exercise your personal views, be they pro or con on where you think we should go from here, or whatever may have you regarding said interview. Or, if you would like, you may wish to do only, or else include, a written work that I promise, will reach as far as the original broadcast. So, actually, this could be a prime moment to have a dialog regarding racism, bigotry, and intolerance. I confess, I may be unaware, and still have much to learn, when it comes to communicating well with all others. But, I know I'm getting better, and I'll tell you, the Justice-Freedom thing, sure seems to be our ultimate calling.

I was not so surprised that the broadcast interview with Greg Felton would be considered controversial, (as every show I've ever done always has), although I wasn't prepared to hear criticism against the show as being defamatory to a group, or groups of people. As certainly that was not my intent, but however was the difficult subject addressed within the interview. The fundamental teachings of Law, as it pertains to justice and freedom, along with every legitimized religion, is that all free people should be thought of as equals to each other in vigilant protection. And by doing so, one would be serving G-d's interests. (Even if a G-d doesn't exist - an Atheist too, could become a proponent by understanding Jihad). Thereby insuring\realizing that a just intelligent life force rules our chaotic timeless universe in service ultimately to ourselves individually. Giving us in the Torah of Moses, ``all people are created in God's image'' teaching all peoples are Sacred. And as such, would be so in God's interests to defend as the innocent. While in eternal pursuit of happiness and prosperity for all through just means. Under Greg's definition as being a "Zionist" conspiracy, negates the failure of himself personally to recognize the public connotation is too vague, and that Hitler's Nazi Germany, like Bush's America, is politically expressing an inability to represent ourselves with

respect as truly worthy of fair deliberations amongst ourselves. Sacred, every-one. (Or as in, to rise to the defense of those we know to be irrationally victimized as the godly and innocent, victimized in our un-addressed names.)

Greg "This is why the Holocaust is such a very dangerous thing to talk about because, it's not really understood. It's preached at us like a sermon. We're suppose to accept at face value what we're told about it, as if to, criticize it, is some how disrespectful. Much of what we know about the Holocaust has been propagated by the Zionists, and can be shown quite untrue"

I replied shortly later "One thing about the whole situation is that innocent people were targeted for murder. End of story. That's what the war was. All wars are like that."

Greg's mistake I believe, centered in his Zionist labeling through an apparent bigotry expressed, and as such, was too narrowly defining the larger established history of the Holocaust, as being the struggle of the human species in halting, the demonization and then subjugation of the innocent millions, Jewish or otherwise. Perhaps it was strangely on his part a testimony to a real struggle we all have when it comes to identifying ourselves to others. Considering it is the exact subject matter he wrestles with in his book writing, regarding our corporate media's perception of ignoring an innocent people's concern or cries. That said however, for Greg to blankly criticize Greg's false construct of what is publicly understood about the Holocaust, is to deny that much for sorrow and suffering took place against the whole of Humanity, especially, of those who held the practiced Jewish faith. To think the nazi regime would have the gas chambers and the ovens, while the whole of humanity were not strong enough to communicate the unjustness of it all to the German people while it was happening. I have read of a recent documentary that provides film footage of nazi soldiers committing the worst war crimes imaginable to god loving Jews, interspersed with film from the same dated period where, the German people were living civilized lives, left unaware of the atrocities that were being committed against God in their names. Same as America and Canada today, with Fallujah's 300,000 People being, the undeniable example. Or, are you too, a convinced denier of that which is fully documented? (Needless and irrational was the indiscriminate murder of the people of Fallujah, but for to have more American soldiers sacrificed in a conflict created by an unjust as immoral totalitarian dictate.) The Holocaust deniers are those the same of today, who refuse our Humanity to nationally communicate those screams of mercy, or for justice regarding the People of Iraq to be served in our true interests as legitimate. More

than ten million Russians died fighting the evil eugenic scourge of the "superior" nazi regime, and like those in Poland, Britain and France, as elsewhere testified our established history, (albeit only later), the sadistic ungodly as lawless barbarity that Nazism inflicted upon the general masses, went largely undeterred from our "informative" television and radio broadcasts. The same, almost word for word, and action for action, can be found in Rumsfeld's "blitzkrieg". Bush's freedom by tyranny doctrine. Or the criminally sanctioned unchallenged news pronouncements of a Mark Kimmit, Buford Blount, Steven Russell, Mattis, Allawi or Sattler, when it pertains to accepting without our objection, to the victimizing of Iraqis collectively as an indiscriminate act of criminality, like murder. As like in the policies of Ariel Sharon, where an innocent, haven't committed an accused crime in their life Christian Palestinian, is denied the earned home they worked and paid for, on a parcel of land shared up until recent times for countless millennia. My personal struggle has been to inform the public on the forgotten" importance of established evidence, being the only requirement to convince ourselves on who is a bigot, tyrant, a criminal, or a murderer. Will you not therefore, as a fellow humanitarian of this shared world, speak against those you know of, who victimize the innocent to thieve from? Sure you would, if you knew as much for certain about God and this universe, or the under reported, freed to go General Ahmad, funding the mastermind ring leader Mohammad Atta of Bush's 9/11 game. But will together our Humanity ever learn? Well, my plan is to search for guests to speak with, that I'll try not to offend early on. Greg knows, like the Pope knows, as every legitimate police officer worth their grain of salt knows, as so too does include a great many Jewish Jews, George W. Bush used absolutely no evidence to substantiate his therefore, false accusations in our names against bin Laden, while then ardently with Cheney, worked to close outstanding criminal investigations regarding their extremely suspicious behavior. All according to his official publicly documented plan. And speaking the news as thus, does not make anyone anti-Semitic, anti-American, or anti-Human, but pro-Jewish, pro-Christian, pro-Muslim, pro-Justice pro-Freedom, almost wholely human like even. Not of a long gone as lost and forgotten religious dogma, but for now as it always has been found, a mutual respect for all life as we find ourselves being part of. Or, so we would for Atheists, argue in all likelihood to get to the same reasoned to be, just political decision, to this or any another life and death matters matter. Because the unjustified criminal war actions of George W. Bush are our shared documented history, others, with an ulterior agenda to propagate their weakness through bigotry or racism, will arbitrarily blame a subjective grouping of people, instead of

demanding by godly individual might, the arrest of specific individuals who are actually responsible according to the established as public evidence. So, now who's fault is that? We live in difficult times. To admit weaknesses regarding our corporate news control content decisions, is to seek a better world for our lives through stronger open communications.

I believe the defense of the Jewish faith is found in the indivisible properties of Creation, and who's central belief is made simpler than any other. Hillel was asked: Rabbi, who is a Jew truly? Hillel answered, "What is hateful to you do not do to your neighbor. That is the whole Torah. The rest is commentary -- go and learn it." So my challenge in defense of the Jewish faith during the interview, was that those who practice criminal misdeeds, are therefore found by the official definition taught by Hillel, to be not practicing the "Golden Rule" of the Jewish Faith. (as so pronounced by the religion's recognized ancient oratator.) By calling alleged criminal actions, the conduct of an entire people, faith, or branch thereof, is to fall into the fascist minutia of bigotry, or racism, held by those who judge us "others" unfairly. After re-hearing the interview, I did find the allegations made by Greg, regarding the Holocaust being blanketed by falsehoods, generally, a failure on his part to recognize the true horror of what that war wrought. And in light of our desperate challenges today of defeating the enemies of Humanity as specific unjust individuals in "hiding", an ignorantly stupid thing to say. While I, perhaps wasn't thinking along those conceived lines, where at that time, I had just left the thought of: the struggles of the Jewish faith, are the same struggles all young adults go through by naturally establishing our associative identities. Who are you I truly ask? How many news channels even allow us as Humanity to know about the absent reason for the bombing of the Jews of Samara? The Jews of Fallujah? The torturing of completely innocent People to death, as in Guantanamo? Or still unaddressed publicly: the willful lawless criminality implemented by the, therefore tyrannical Bush Administration in suffering God's Iraq? (See missing ``Development Fund of Iraq'', or private mercenaries discipling for Bush as deemed un-accountable to every conceived ``natural'' law? [see the entire Bible for the judgment call on that one...] or, Bush's declarative, he magically owns everything in stolen Iraq now, [where did it go?] and I can't say anything because he signed his John to 13303 some time back, but as still is left unreported in Your dying world?)

Publicly question our reality, by taking the station up on doing an interview with me, along with your own impressions of our world. Or, if you prefer, you can do a solo written piece, or a recorded statement for the station. I look forward to finding common ground with your concerns. I hope I have got

you wondering more, about what trying to save our world from injustice and slavery, in whatever way we can is all about for me really. In these words I can say, I apologize to you, for a resulted perception, that wasn't with better words, my true intent towards us people together.

I will, after some small revisions of this paper, include it as well as the broadcast package. So I do thank you for bringing up your important view, that otherwise, would have gone farther without this opportunity, that I offer as a starting point for deliberation, or argument regarding my foolishness. Thanks for speaking, and I hope I have met most of your concerns with a positive light on where I'm trying to come from.

John.

We Israeli Military Reservists REFUSE To Abuse, Starve, Maim And Kill Palestininians

http://www.tampaindymedia.org/bin/site/templates/default.asp?area_2=imc/open%20newswire/2005/Feb/44942.3515625.dat

We Israeli Military Reservists REFUSE To Abuse, Starve, Maim And Kill Palestininians

---

http://radio.indymedia.org/uploads/benderman.mp3

The Benderman Cause

I felt compelled to support the Benderman's cause in any way I could, so I am providing America some arguments that I would use in a court of law to defend your freedoms. If America would so desire, I would also be prepared to make a recorded statement from here in Canada. (I have been denied access into the U.S..) My positions of rational argument are naturally based upon our established facts, and as such, are something few Americans are afforded the luxury of through corporate channels. I hope my forcefulness on these issues does not fear you to doubt I have the best of intentions when it comes to serving the interests indivisibly inherent within the American Constitution. God, I believe is just, and as such, the ungodly war actions of George W. Bush dictate are criminal in the worst degree, being a harbinger of suffering and misery against all of Humanity as US being the innocent. The innocent in our entirety, is my "God" that I love with all I can will. I am compelled as a living human being to defend America to the last bush supporter praised if need be. I fight

the enemy of God and Humanity with reason, understanding, Akeido, and a conviction that our justice system must prevail for me to be truly myself really.

"He explained to "60 Minutes," "I was told in basic training that, if I'm given an illegal or immoral order, it is my duty to disobey it. And I feel that invading and occupying Iraq is an illegal and immoral thing to do."

The war was declared "illegal" by the U.N.. Why? Because Saddam wasn't found in breach of the internationally agreed upon resolution 1441, (Saddam did EVERYTHING POSSIBLE that was asked of Iraq by the bush administration, and then some Mr. Bush refused to even take him up on. Like national Iraqi television broadcasts.) despite the lies quoted by corporate news America. There was no need for the conflict to begin with as was so reported internationally on march 7th, 2003. U.N. inspectors had complete unrestricted access to go anywhere without delay, and known stock piles of WMD were burned, so could not possibly be completely accounted for by evidential findings due to the fact that they were destroyed beyond recognition. American soldiers were put in harms way to be sacrificed for no better good, but for to allow as has happened, the misappropriation, or as some have alleged, the criminal theft of Iraqi resources, including monies of the Iraqi Development Funds through Presidential decree 13303. Which include the unappropriated, desperately needed, humanitarian aid provisions still denied innocent Iraqis, such as potable drinking water and food stuffs. Democratic principles are DENIED the Iraqis by the neo-con military forces, such as holding all individuals equally accountable to a rule of law. The outlawing of torture, or the outlawing of false imprisonment has not been professed as the action of the neo-con "liberating" force. Mercenaries are not obliged to obey any laws for example. And, "Dr. Germ", and "Dr. Anthrax" are held solely for the fact that they know of the scientific hazards of depleted uranium, and may have warned the uninformed sacrificing American GI, if not held illegally against their wills by the enemy. Commanders such as irrational war criminal Sattler, forbade all men and boys between 15 to 50 from leaving the city of Fallujah, while refusing to negotiate with anyone, (because as so was openly

confessed, Sattler had no evidence that Zaqari was
in Fallujah) then leveled the city to kill all it's
inhabitants, estimated at that time near 300,000
people. Using a napalm type WMD, cluster dud
land mine munitions, and as reported, nine two
thousand pound bombs in a city 3 1/2 km by 4 km.
Serving for a military not strong enough collectively
to prosecute such lawless criminal barbarity, is
serving for a military not principled in the American
virtues of freedom and democracy. In truth,
serving for such ungodly criminal command is a
cowardly act of treason. George W. Bush is an
enemy of our Humanity.

Copy and distribute this personal challenge freely.
Thanks.

--

I know we're all expected to be selfish, in helping out those
others who cry out for our help, but I beg you, I can't do this
alone. My show did make a positive difference. I need your
help in asking I face my accuser, and politely, with reason,
using communication, and understanding, bring about a better
world.

World Productions.

--

Can I Get a Witness?

"It is now argued that because demon bush is President,
it therefor doesn't matter where the actual crimes scene
evidence for the murder of thousands in America leads to
according to the FBI, for George Walker Bush (666) in
above all the laws of the universe. Despite! the
reason they obtained the warrant, was to charge me for
"threatening the life of an international person", they
instead decided not to as saboteurs of liberation. They
argued after the warrant gave them my personal
possesions, [...]"

Don't miss the rest of the inside scoop to the up
coming public Johnny Wizard trial, cradled within this
last testimony of a King left forsaken by all of America
as unworthy of public discussions in our media.

> http://www.chapelhill.indymedia.org/uploads/bushite-kills-bushite.mp3

> "..the newspapers don't tell you about it,
> why do you think that is soldier grunt,
> nazi whore, dying slave?"

> http://la.indymedia.org/uploads/wizards.mp3

> Word of Advice
> http://la.indymedia.org/uploads/advice.mp3

> Dream-Job
> http://la.indymedia.org/uploads/somebody.mp3

Seriously Folks

Napoleon Bonaparte wrote forming governments are forged only through the public witness of shared mutual experiences, simple, yet, the bushite strategy implemented continually on behalf of the enemy forces in Iraq have been to divide the nation's people with different "legal" definitions, while speaking for typical bushite bigotry, by then offering distinctions of human value between US some from "others". Not freedom, nor democracy. [Remember: Evil is dumb]

Example: Allawi forbade surviving Iraqi doctors and nurses from medically treating any of the estimated 200,000+ innocent victims from Falluja [IRAQI vicinity].

Example 2: DYING two-bit bushite war with "foreign" soldiers paid especially more than a thousand dollars a day as self confessed RACISTS, and THEREFOR, left "officially" too weak as, "pissing post illiterates" to go after real terrorists who torture the innocent, or real thieves, that have stolen BILLIONS from the Iraqi Development Fund. Done all to sacrifice life's purpose for no better good to the ANTI-CHRIST EVIL bush "cabal" of lying neo-con Christ haters. Allthewhile stating the objective worth dying young America as bushite for is "Democracy" in Iraq. But, isn't a founding principle of any democracy to outlaw thefts, sexual assault, murder? Again, bushite kills bushite for a stolen nickel that they just as soon, stole from anybody. If you can believe it. We need YOU to make some effort to engage American talk mediae. Perhaps it's only YOU, who hasn't yet heard a responsibility to this once greatly revered world of wisdom, of the enchanted, and mystical, or of the tyrannical as hell bound for suffering and sorrow. ,in which you share allegiance too my friend.

Being caring and compassionate for the victimized innocent not only feels good, but it is a choice we would make at being alive to help US survive. To not speak against the bushite war crimes against YOUR Humanity, is to not speak in defense of our ancient dying God no longer found worthy of you Peoples humble respect. Currently corrupted bushite want my voice of truth silenced by censorship with false imprisonment,

and those who advocate such hatred for myself and our humanity, speak no public word or disagreement on my allegations of high treason by Our Mr. bush Jr.. While I want to openly debate the, "censorship for tyranny", corporate talk radio bushite bitches on their furthering commitment to not allow objections regarding their contempt for true justice and freedom in America. But if you singly decide not to support my cause, like corporate news control encourages, We will be left forsaken, again. God is Just to the core, like Johnny Wizard tries to be, while bushite liars lie, cheat, and steal from America as ourselves measured unworthy for deliberation to gain true understanding. The Coast to Coast radio network in America disallows America learn for why American soldiers are currently sacrificing their LIVES irrationally. Why? Because there is no legitimate reason, while bushite pretend in YOUR name, that there must be another reason but for to rob our humanity in George Bush Jr.'s name. For if there truly wasn't another reason, not so then blind, stupid, and cowardly American soldiers wouldn't be too happy about the wealthy corporatist bushite commentators silencing America's dying cries by bushite enemy command. Now would they? Remember: wealthy blind and stupid bushite kills/gets killed, poor blind and stupid bushite for a stolen nickel - a nickel in all likelihood, they rifled as thieves from some pensioner, that just as soon, would be their own "grand" parents. Or Yours as someone elses beside.

Phil Donahue had the highest rating, number one money making news commentary show on NBC as it was canceled. Why? Too good as enlightening and INFORMATIVE. Then there was the recently canceled Crossfire. Or how about Barry Zwicker on VisionTV as Canada's greatest broadcast before it too was canceled. Why? Too good as enlightening and INFORMATIVE. VisionTV, a "religious" channel now likely forbids anyone to suggest that God would be good as just, and REALLY INTELLIGENT. Forbidden knowledge we are the corporate bushite insist with Your stolen rights. Why so? Because Americans might learn of what it is to be a true Christian, and therefor stop to have arrested else immediately executed for high treason regarding 9/11: the enemies of Johnny, Justice, God and Humanity, the evil doers George W. Bush, Rumsfeld, and Condolezza Rice. Alone with Myers and Cheney for good measure. Then, those responsible for the needless bombing of Samarra and Fallujah.

Under EVIL bushite tyranny, We are criminally fighting for needlessly dying as bushite here, but corporate

newsCASTers don't find "our" costs worth getting a discussion group going, after all, American soldiers who die for the bush regime, as the kept in the dark, will less likely be going after the real bad guys for 9/11 then right? Corporate America refuse US just basic rights of legitimacy to at least address the absent war reason issue from time to time - Jeeze. But no. As bushite, they're prepared to not inform the bushite soldier that depleted uranium is radio-active, that the stolen American Enron pension money is sitting in the Cayman Islands, or that the war itself has no justification. See, the bushite war is a TRUE CRIME against all Peoples of Humanity, for the war against Iraq has no reason available to explain enemy military action, but for to die thieving from ourselves as the bad guys. The Enemies of Freedom. Why we have Law. God is Just, and evil is just the slavish ignoramuses who censor our Words of empowerment for freedom through Justice represented indivisible as Eternal. We rule.

Therefor, George Walker Bush is guilty of treason to US all, not only including your one and only King Johnny Wizard as Heaven's afar, but also the not going anywhere but yet still sacrificing ungloriously as the stupidly blind as almost completely incoherent,. devote bushite nazi dumfuk soldier grunt enemy vermins.

Will you not help these words reach a larger audience? I ask you to consider your helping me can do good for your real world too. Perhaps it is ONLY the single individual that hasn't yet understood, this once greatly revered world of the Word is of which you share allegiance too.

Speaking for a just battle of freedom for all humanity, is a right you could exercise in brightest intentions of a muted God who sure could use your help right about now man. Our family is in so a desperation for needed support. I am fearing your fears have got you believing, you have no dying right to speak against Mr. bush Jr. for the crimes he has committed in Your name, but You do. Think of it this way: anybody who stays silently connected secretly to the crimes of nine-eleven, can not be trusted as a sacrificing bushite thief by the enemy bush administration. [Secretly speaking in all strict certainty.]

The warrant that allowed the confiscation of my computer equipment, was to prove that I was the one and same who demanded true justice for our humanity by following the crimes scene leads for nine/eleven, arresting those

responsible, or killing the escaping culprits, bush, rumsfeld, and condolezza rice by the hand of our guns. (Why do you think Police officers carry guns after all?) It is now argued that because demon bush is President, it therefor doesn't matter where the actual crimes scene evidence for the murder of thousands in America leads to according to the FBI, for George Walker Bush (666) in above all the laws of the universe. Despite! the reason they obtained the warrant, was to charge me for "threatening the life of an international person", they instead decided not to as saboteurs of liberation. They argued after the warrant gave them my personal possesions, (hundreds and hundreds of video tapes, ALL MY WRITING, computers, disks, along with approximately 50,000 tasteful images.) That the reason for the warrant being originally issued, no longer, if ever, was justified. For if they were calling for justice, the demon bush, and his co-conspirators might get caught by America, and pay the ultimate price for their treason by death sentence. After two self confessed PRO-BUSHITE (support death squads against Afghanistan school girls, and propping up the heroin trade by arming women slave traders) "officers of the law" reviewed my images, they now contend, that several can be argued to be illegal in an almost fixed to win proceeding. (No more than a small few pictures of beautiful girls in different states of undress - "Home Lolita" I think.)

The charges they offered to the prosecution to argue for a "life term prison sentence" (almost jokingly it was quacked) were complete fiction, of which the crown offered me "a deal". Plead guilty for being a shamed ostracized sex offender, [I'D HUNT CHILD KILLER ENEMY BUSHITE EVERY DAY OF MY LIFE IF I HAD THE BUS FARE AND THE AMMO] and maybe, the Judge won't argue for a long term prison sentence for another charge that has absolutely nothing for substantiation. Substantiated, that will surely do nothing but testify to their attempts at demonizing my better name. Nothing doing darkness, the court of Law, is Our court, and I intend to make my case heard among my peers. Here.

Please, I beg you for my life, forward this document to the propper authorities, post these passages to any church, or, phone talk radio America and demand my public case be heard for Your benefit too, or don't and get what we'll deserve by not making a miracle happen with your single sole but sure, human will power!

1. I obtained the questionable images through Usenet group "youth-and-beauty". Images can be downloaded a

thousand or more at a time. I can't not perceive the images until they are on my computer! If they had told me they were coming as bushite, I would have been sure to delete anything near objectionable for argument's sake. They laughed when I told them that.

2. The service companies that offer such groups as child-rape and so on, have an easy ability to filter out subjective objectionable material, along with the truly grusome stuff. But they don't, nor, are they found legally liable for not doing so. I suggest the reason is, it would put out a billion dollar industry of these child-porno cops that view real sicko slime routinely, while then arguing anyone who witnesses such depravity must be guilty. Guilty to have their professional lives destroyed, their life invested property removed till whenever/perhaps stolen, and then be publicly ostracized as senseless victims. All because some guy maybe believed women are worthy of worship in the highest respect and honor, while cute adorable boys he couldn't help but feel compeled to cover with praise, or mutual hugs and kisses., as long as there are no other men in the room with him and the gals perhaps one day chatting over maybe child care let's say. (no wonder the two child porn cops in question regarding my public case admittingly were/are staunch bushite offenders.)) I know of no nobler lineage that that of our police officer. For protecting the rights of our children, is what we've always been committed to gaining respect for. We want to do right for ourselves, and despite Johnny, this world really is something remarkable to concider a future with on our own terms as a foundation of wisdom with the ever presnt will to succeed at something greater than first realized. Evolution. The Revolution of reason while jiving with justice for freedom holds many perks per praised principle. Hammurabi's number one thang.

3. If a search warrant can be made against anyone who a criminal bushite finds objectionable, (bush and rumsfeld made the charge against me through the Secret Service, then to the RCMP.) then what freedom fighter is safe from pictures of godly and beautiful children in bath tubs? Swimming? IF, the bushite demands the images appear seductive, and compel them to commit sexual assualts? Or, what if you download a program on cars to have the bushite find, it contains bin Laden's secret where abouts in code? Would you be guilty, and if so, of what? No thinking freely?

So, the warrant brought the officers of law the right to prove my Johnny Wizard writing was of my own, but yet, after doing so, refused to enforce the law by giving me my day in court on the reason the warrant was granted to begin with. Also, I, as anyone can not perceive potentially objectionable material until it is in my possession, while a service provider would have little of no problem. (The still working child porno cops could look for child porno all day, and actually get closer to catching those who proliferate actually objectionable materials. [See?, an internet service provider could defend thus: they're not guilty because the subjective material, that would be questioned, is subjective, and so would the porno cops if they got someone intelligent to defend themselves. But for Christ who needs to know what's going on in the realm?, while loving girls in general like they were his own distant siblings? They'd put Christ up on a cross for loving schoolgirls, by demanding such thoughts come only from a criminal prospective. The problem here is child porno cops can view a suggestive cute girl smiling in her panties, or witness some heinous bushite rape or torture, and measure them equally when charging US for their deviant sexual sugestion. As alas, they refuse to support the Law when it comes to the easily provable criminal actions of enemy bushite, as for would be, the defense of the lives of our innocent children in Iraq mean something to me too.

Anyway, apparently, the reasons for the warrant were not as was so intended. To charge me for threatening the freedom of bush, rumsfeld, and the evil witch condolezza rice. (Can I get some legal advice from someone out there on this then bogus? warrant please?). The Court will (try) argue without allowing ANY voice of objection, that because I demanded the immediate arrest or execution for the individuals responsible for the crimes of nine/eleven, (relying primarily on the Whitehouse, FBI, and the public spewing of the war criminal demon anti-Christ itself,) the prosecutor, it has been alleged, will demand justice not be served in that respect, and that the search warrant that obtained the bushite's evidence against me as a truth teller during their fishing expedition will not be allowed for re-dress. Is this Justice on behalf of God I ask you?

Nature of the Beast

(Again, remember, nobody from the RCMP, the Secret Service, or the FBI, state that I am incorrect in my allegations of treason against the bush administration.)

The prosecutor is going to press for incarceration if I plead not guilty for a crime I'm not guilty of, while my public freebie lawyer, contends my defense of innocence and corporate news agencies forbidding the pursuit of Justice in protection of our Police services, like the honorable FBI officers that contend Ahmad funded Atta will likely not be allowed heard. [Are you a member of a Police Union somewhere, or know of someone who is? Or, do you just not give a care for you fellow officers either?] See?, bushite falsely believe bush can not be arrested for nine eleven, no matter where the actual evidence leads, because he is not judged an equal among men by corporate news standards, but as the anti-Christ, FALSE deity, an enemy to every free person standing.

That is our, for real court case against the Bush administration as it stands today. While some have warned, bushite are pushing to have me taken in now, to try stopping this from speaking in our Humanity's true defense. They just might succeed, if you decide no care for Freedom from tyranny either..

This is was/is/will be my last Wizard Wire public service announcement regarding this, George Walker Bush (666) wars America, Humanity, and me as the Lord of Creation. I've said everything I can say twice over, and if you can't find it within yourself to pass this post on to every church corner, with a, "I love life too" set of priorities, I can guarantee you no greater reward. But for to be present if not in person, but in spirit during my public trial where the bushites will publicly judge my character unworthy, while attempting the silencing of our own voices for Justice as We stand in TRUTH, indivisible. Be it known, the prosecutors of Law in my case have read Johnny Wizard's "The Trial and Conviction of Our Mr. bush Jr.", and likely a few others that will be asked by the hiding in darkness bushite enemies to completely disregard as crime scene evidence. It's going to be a case worth getting to, considering the newspapers, talk radio stations, and National News desks are expected to forbid honest coverage of by the higher ups at corporate command. Call your Church group to organize a call for Johnny!

Or don't.

I thank all those with whom the true liberation of Humanity from tyranny could not have been made possible without themselves individually. I know it seemed like no one was listening, when we spoke for God's cries, but WE knew better, and we knew soon, all of US will then learn it too, defending God is of no greater privilege.

So, cheers to You, our Humanity's defenders of the human challenge, for being there to speak for this life when we needed You as a contributor. Fare thee well with your pride and honor, and no bars will ever hold your undying spirit.

/ / God bless America by destroying the enemy evil anti-Christ, Our Mr. bush Jr. Yourself. \ \

Your stranger then fiction,

Johnny Wizard

See you on the other side of whenever,
Your ever present bids you now,

an adieu.

Johnny Wizard

Chow.

--

Trooper - "Nobody's going to help you, you just got to stand up alone, and dig in you heels, and see how it feels to raise a little hell of your own."

--

/ / That's why writers as diverse as David Hume, John Maynard Keynes, and Friedrich A. Hayek have pointed out that all governments rule by public opinion.

...and Mises observes that "In the long run there is no such thing as an unpopular government," improving on Joseph de Maistre's claim that, "Every nation has the government it deserves." \ \

Yeah, but the enemy bushite criminally victimize more than themselves as enemies to all freedom loving human beings.

--

/ / Without evidence our accused is ourselves as the innocent, being falsely imprisoned, tortured, or murdered. \ \

--

Abodah Zarah 22a-22b . Gentiles prefer sex with cows.

--

/ / ``The Pentagon and the CIA have asked the White House to decide on a more permanent approach for potentially lifetime detentions, including for hundreds of people in military and CIA custody whom the government does not have enough evidence to charge in courts,'' the Post reported. \ \

Not enough for you?

--

/ / Is this satire? Or is this why the US has contracted to build massive prisons to house those they will never charge due to lack of evidence, but hold however long they please? See Dana Priest, Washington Post, January 2 \ \

--

/ / ..said William Winkenwerder Jr, assistant secretary of defence for health affairs "We have no evidence of maltreatment by physicians, or of physicians participating in torture or torturous activity," he said. "We just do not have evidence of that." \ \

What world does William Winkenwerder Jr think we live in anyway?

It was the revelations that the signed sqiggles on the bogus death cetificates weren't made by the actual medical practicioners on charge in Guantanamo. Now, there might have been one or two involved, as has been confessed, HOWEVER, we do know that the death certificates were forgeries to cover up the crimes of bushite nazi enemies. So, count the forgeries, and you'll count the actions of the truly unjust and evil.

--

/ / No one is able to define the mission of the troops in Iraq, or for how long this mission will last. No one at all, including George W. Bush, can explain the US strategy in Iraq. This is because there is no strategy. With the Iraqi resistance raging, it is not clear why the US is occupying this country and why the US is so willing to sacrifice its soldiers there. \ \

Well the strategy is to cash in on the bushite war criming thieves spree actually. Cowardly soldier's die in Iraq, and the bushite fat cats lounge in the lap of our stolen luxuries through the leverage of the mallable dying amerkan mind.

--

/ / U.S. troops targeted by a roadside bomb have mistakenly killed two Iraqi policemen and two bystanders hours after an American warplane bombed the wrong house[..]

An unnamed bushite quote It expressed deep regret for the "loss of possibly innocent lives". \ \

Wouldn't you kill the traitor who spoke such contempt for Humanity? The rewards of indiscriminate murders against the unknown innocents in bushite amerka's ungodly name, gets yet another lowly forsaken bushite rightly killed for bad wordcrafts on a make believe sound stage they think they only have us party to.

--

/ / "In the exercise of his power to use military force, 'the president's decisions are for him alone and are unreviewable.'" \ \

--

/ / "And they schemed and God schemed, but God is the best schemer." (Quran 3:54) \ \

--

/ / Hence, it is up to the people of the United States to compel the US occupation authorities to at least abide by minimum acceptable standards of civilized nations. The progressive community should demand the unconditional release of Dr. Huda Ammash as part of its campaign to end the illegitimate US occupation of Iraq.\ \

--

/ / The agency's statutory responsibility is to speak the truth, whether the truth supports the president's plans or not. It would appear that this concept is not shared by this administration. \ \

--

/ / The incriminating FBI email dated 22 May 2004, indicates that president Bush "personally signed off on certain interrogation techniques in an executive order." (See original at

http://globalresearch.ca/articles/FBI.121504.4940_4941.pdf). \ \

--

/ / And these two men - 1974 Defense Secretary Donald Rumsfeld and Ford Chief of Staff Dick Cheney - did this by claiming that the Soviets had secret weapons of mass

destruction that the president didn't know about, that the CIA didn't know about, that nobody but them knew about. \ \

/ / In other words, what we are dealing with is the criminalization of the State and its various institutions including the criminalization of Justice. \ \

Platform of the United Iraqi Alliance
By Juan Cole

--

opinion
by Iranian-Shi'ite

/ / I don't really care how women or men dress in their own countries. I have no desire to tell them how they should dress. But if they come to our countries and try to denude our sisters or daughters, then they have declared war. \ \

--

spokesman Lieutenant Colonel Barry Johnson said:

"I'd like to think that these claims aren't true...but I don't know. If someone is doing this to detainees, they deserve to be taken down."

--

/ / "The US government is trying to silence Dr. Ammash's outspoken criticism of the US role in causing cancers and other illnesses in Iraq through its own use of biologically hazardous weapons such as radioactive deleted uranium." \ \

/ / ``Such a blatant statement acknowledging the commingling of his official duty to ensure a fair election with his partisan duty to re-elect President Bush, made in a political fund-raising appeal, evidences Secretary Blackwell's poor judgment at best, and the manipulation of election administration for partisan purposes, at worst,'' the report asserts. \ \

--

Breaking Camp

I will advocate full compensation for every mistreated soldier who had been tricked into believing something without reasoning.

Johnny condemns George Walker Bush (666) to death for the crimes he has committed against God's Humanity.

Let it be understood, that Saddam gave America 100 percent access to do anything the anti-Christ wished without delay prior to the needless military conflict beginning. So, every treasonous thieving bushite life taken, is done in protection of God, and the American dream. See?, TRAITOR bushite Art Bell's amerikan talk radio forbids BY CENSORSHIP anyone in America to speak in the defense of the undefended American People. Art Bell, America's enemy, with ensure that America "never" learns that bush's business partner, General Ahmad, funded 9/11's mastermind ring leader Mohamed Atta, or that depleted uranium is measured radio-active, or most especially, that billions of STOLEN American pension money is sitting in bushite bank accounts in the Cayman Islands. Instead, he'll do another show on UFOs, or bigfoot, while broadcasted to the wordless bushite grunts their dying is for naught. Who cares?, bush's war against freedom in America isn't anything bushite amerika ever wants to get persnickety about.

http://www.chapelhill.indymedia.org/uploads/bushite-kills-bushite.mp3

/ / Who cares? CNN is not going to cover it, we know that. CBC in Canada, they're not going to mention it to anybody. It's no concern of themselves to show a caring word for the [thieving] sacrificing soldier. \ \

The EVIL bushite liars die for no better good, but as the ungodly bringing further hardships against US innocent people they target for murder. God says, the Magna Charta says, the American constitution says, let US all arrest or kill the TRUE ENEMY bushites instead. "See lawless bushite, kill it in defense of all that are innocent", I always say as the Son of Man and Living Creator. No joy greater than saving the innocent victims of the enemy bushite thieves, torturers, and first degree murderers. WHO WOULD DISAGREE? in a true Democracy?

Every American soldier who dies as a bad guy in Iraq, dies for cowardly worshiping bush instead of Life. The demon anti-Christ as their false, untrue, dummy deity, sends them into harms way for only to steal from the innocent. The innocent left in God's trust. There was nothing that could have better been done in Iraq by bombing the country. The blind attacking of the population, along with it's functioning public institutions, brings only the STOLEN cash rewards on trading in the American soldier's LIFE as a fascist criminal. All that is bought to by putting cowardly

bushite soldiers in bush's un-needed conflict, is to steal from their very own families back home left almost completely undefended. [Have no fear, Johnny Wizard's here!] A criminal military force that deems some soldiers from Apartide fame as "un-accountable" to American or "Iraqi" law, while paying them a thousand dollars a day or more as rewarded racists who fight allong side black and Hispanic dying bushites, to not allow equal rights for those classified by different colorations. Allthewhile with spokespeople smattering the sacrifices are to bring democracy together in Iraq to arrest bushite for thieving then? [No matter whomever you are, George W. Bush is truly evil to God in YOUR name too see?] Regular Joe in the Army, 47$ before expenses concurrent and impending.

I will advocate full compensation for every mistreated soldier who had been tricked into believing something without reasoning. Example: those who had been taken in criminally to handing over their military pensions for five year loans at 56%, or a 20,000 loan paid in five with 60,000. No competion exists for this racket because it's an actual crime scene. Guess where American Gis can hear the sales pitch advertised? The Army Times.

No thoughts of understanding are expressed (OR COULD BE) from the soulless bushite on why they are in Iraq dying, or why depleted uranium is harmless, or why depriving Iraqis of medicine along with food and water, is a ploy to win the hearts of every man who owns a gun. Or why the bushite demands through the censorship of tyranny, that Our Humanity has no rights to speak for our freedoms and liberty, or on behalf of Iraqis who have had billions of much needed dollars stolen from themselves by the bushite enemy. (The billed for taking bushite soldier itself, will not receive a penny of the ill gotten gains, just like their own grand parents were robbed of the pensions, and the bushite couldn't find a word of outrage to say against their false idol then either.)

/ / As the soulless disciples of the enemy anti-Christ, Our Mr. bush Jr., their "officially" granted legal immunity from all prosecution means nothing to me as a honorable man defending my humanity, or as living Creator, who brought all into this new world to be free, and can just as soon, take you all nazi bushite out the old school way. \ \

The ungodly evil bushmob fascist totalitarianists are pushing to have US specially arrested. The demon anti-Christ enemy forces have gambled an attempt to find prosecutors and judges, lawyers and defendants, who together wouldn't let the truth be spoken in our own defenses. I have had many discussions with the National Security branch of the RCMP, CSIS, the Secret Service, the FBI, and several commanders in the Canadian Military, and WE all know, this upcoming trial is something a great deal of many are lookiung forward to, however, an agent of law recently approached me to warn, some are working to try having me arrested without bail, and spend maybe a few years behind bars before a trial could not be postponed any longer, all in an attempt to try stopping my words of truth that represent the interests of all defendable legitimate legal enforcements. Canada's system of checks and balances, and our honorable police services have too many good guys in place, to want to silence my calls for Justice by measurement. And, up until now, our security people have advised the bushite case would never fly in a public court room, for Johnny Wizard would just make his case as it stands FACTUALLY DOCUMENTED along with that which was FALSELY ALLEDGED, and the wealthy do nothing corporate news agencies would be caught as culpable to being accessories to first degree murders in New York City, where they deliberately with malicious intent, refused to report on the FBI's findings that General Ahmad funded Atta, or that demon George W. Bush with condi and rummy, had a top secret CRIMINAL plan to invade Afghanistan dated September 9th, 2001. Just like still, CBC and CNN refuse to report any human concern for those murdered irrationally by bushite in Iraq today. No concern for those Humans, but in Asia, where bush isn't yet anyway, thieving in the wide open from God, they can try to make up for lost respect by claiming those People are better People worthy of our commpassion with wall to wall coverage on the suffering we have little of chances in preventing. But for to stop needlessly droping tons and tons of toxic bombs into our Living Earth. It is the bushite enemy who refuse to allow YOU speak in your defense as an Earthling.

However, this being said, I have been recently informed that, since the godless bushite enemies would wish to have our freedoms censored, it will likely be pushed to do so without a public trial allowing a jury of my peers to judge the case accordingly. Up until recently, Guantanamo could never happen in Canada. But now in Canada, the enemies behind closed doors are talking

about sending intelligent innocent people away to be tortured for sadomasochistic satanic tendencies, and further have argued to no one that persecuting People in Our names without evidence, maybe isn't such a bad idea, when you think of all the people we could rob our humanity from like the "popular" and "Democratic" bush regime does. Bushite corporate new censors are fighting for a return to the dark ages. Don't let this happen to YOUR world. Care not for my freedoms as an honorable man who stands with America's FBI, and your given up life wont be worth nothing but as yet another sacrifice to bring further glory and stolen riches to the American cop killer, pension thiever, 9/11 perpetrator, war mongering anti-Christ, Your unbelievably EVIL Mr. bush Jr.. The enemy of God anti-Christ who continues to hold your real life in mistrust and dishonor, while wealthy corporate "celebs", talk freely about their pride in such ungodly sacrifices as Christ's forsaken name.

I ask ONLY you to argue this paper with your best intentions, for I will perhaps not receive another chance to publish, if as usual, the Internet community at large extends me little support in my UNCHALLENGED assertions on who truly committed the crimes of 9/11. A single bushite corporatist sends a complaint to a Internet provider alleging I talk "spam", therefor, without any hearing, private owners are threatened to lose their privilege to communicate, by an enemy bushite protagonist who exercises his "authority" by stating only, "spam", and not even providing my actual post in it's entirety. One Internet service was shut down for the sole reason that discussing George W. Bush as the 9/11 perpetrator in tx.politics, was against the rules, for such subject material must be confined to only a American nation political forum. I talked to the kid on the phone who made that power decision on behalf of all usenet, and let it be known, he knows what he's doing. But "they" can't do this everywhere. I can not "take over" your big old lonely world that you must work to protect for yourself as an equal citizen sworn to freedom. I have written all I need to say about Justice and Freedom, and if the human race can not still find enough care for themselves to propagate my writings for others to read, or demand Art Bell be removed to allow Johnny Wizard to debate the ungodly dumfuk bushite vermins, there truly is no hope for US continuing.

Johnny Wizard

--
/ / The material

indicates that high-ranking administration officials not only approved
of the
abuse, but have carried out a systematic cover-up of these activities.
The
new revelations represent a damning indictment of the Bush
administration,
and make clear that it is guilty of war crimes. \ \

--
8 Year Child Tortured By US Forces In Qaim, Father Threatened
Jan 03, 2005

--

/ / Provance, however, did talk to the German TV crew
about the treatment of children at Abu Ghraib. He
alleges that children were sometimes abused in order to
force their parents to give information to coalition
authorities. \ \

--

Bushite Kills Bushite for a Stolen Nickel

/ / They are enemies of themselves. Bushite will kill
bushite for a stolen nickel. The only reason this
conflict is happening, is so Halliburton can steal this
money from the American tax payer left un-defended by
CNN. \ \

http://istanbul.indymedia.org/uploads/bushite-kills-bushite.mp3

2nd mirror
http://argentina.indymedia.org/uploads/bushite-kills-bushite.mp3

3rd mirror http://imctj.espora.org/uploads/bushite-kills-bushite.mp3

4th and 5th for good measure

http://sandiego.indymedia.org/media/2004/12/107037.mp3

http://rochester.indymedia.org/newswire/display/3275/index.php

http://www.chapelhill.indymedia.org/uploads/bushite-kills-bushite.mp3

/ / What happens when the "Democracy" says, we are
outlawing torture?\ \

/ / Depraved as America is in some respects, [they]
can't find fifty cents to help some homeless vet on the
ground. \ \

--

/ / Yet the American establishment has not seen fit to
allow anyone who opposes the Iraq war to make his or her

case in front of the media. \ \

snpd

/ / A lot of people are too afraid to come out. But after we would warn them, we would go in. You know how? We would riddle the building and every window with high-caliber, armor piercing machine gun fire, then often we would throw in a grenade for good measure. If any civilians were in there they ended up either dead or wounded. \ \

Only possibly done through the "help" of "unbiased" CBC and CNN national news "people". Corporatist "celebs" who purposefully propagandize our airwaves with their LIES by censorship to un-supportingly, steal further from our robbed and dying communities.

Example: General Ahmad funded Atta, and corporate news finds no interest in informing the bushite grunt, that yes indeed depleted uranium is radioactive and toxic as a microscopic heavy metal, and will kill you easily for the ignorance "they" sell impartially as the "unbiased". See?, enemy bushite kills enemy bushite for a stolen nickel., that they likely stole from an American grand parent. I HATE bushite.

--

http://207.44.245.159/article7466.htm

/ / Baghdad was full of booming businesses, restaurants were full, and families walked freely along well-lit parks. Compare and contrast that image with the reality of Baghdad today, and the ultimate corruption that was the oil-for-food programme becomes self-evident. \ \

--

/ / Honorary firefighter Mike Bellone claims he was approached by unknown bureau agents a short time after he and his partner, Nicholas DeMasi, a retired New York firefighter, found three of the four ï¿½black boxesï¿½ among the WTC rubble before January 2002. \ \

--

/ / The families of those risking their lives in Iraq ought not be reassured but enraged. This was a war of opportunity, not necessity, so there is no excuse for, as Rumsfeld put it, "going to war with the army you have, not the army you might want or wish to have." \ \

--
http://web.takebackthemedia.com/geeklog/public_html/article.php?story=200412151547261

/ / No papers! Well, that meant the suspect was going
to be hauled downtown to police headquarters for a good
beating or worse. Well, thanks to the cowardly members
of the U.S. Congress, in 2005, and at the explicit
direction of the Bush()-Cheney Gang, the above scenario
could become part of our national nightmare. \ \

--
http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/articles/A45373-2004Dec7.html

/ / that a clandestine military task force in Iraq was
beating detainees, ordering Defense Intelligence Agency
debriefers out of the room during questioning,
confiscating evidence of the abuse and intimidating the
debriefers when they complained." \ \

--

/ / Combat veterans wounded in Iraq were left waiting
weeks and even months for proper medical attention at
military bases. According to an officer, their living
conditions were so unacceptable for injured soldiers he
said they "were being treated like dogs." Then the
Pentagon underreported the number wounded. \ \

--

http://www.truthout.org/docs_04/printer_121004W.shtml

/ / A sacked CIA official is reportedly suing the agency
for allegedly retaliating against him for refusing to
falsify his reports on Iraq's weapons of mass
destruction to support the White House's pre-war
position. \ \

--

/ / Ford describes incidents of asphyxiation, mock
executions, arms being pulled out of sockets, and lit
cigarettes forced into detainee's ears while they were
blindfolded and bound."

Ultimately, Ford was strapped down to a gurney and
literally shipped out of Iraq illegally on the basis of
non-existent mental problems - all because he had the
courage to speak out against abuses he personally
witnessed. \ \

--

/ / ``I was faced with being deployed to Iraq to do what
the infantry does, kill people, and I had no

justification for doing so,'' he testified. ``This was a criminal war. Any act of violence in an unjustified conflict is an atrocity.'' \ \

/ / He cited the case of five members in the Mississippi National Guard who had been wounded in a booby-trap bomb explosion. Incredibly, their injuries were listed by the military as "non combat." \ \

/ / Williams's squad stopped a dump truck, and an Iraqi climbed out. "Light him up!" the sergeant ordered, according to testimony, and the squad opened fire, killing the unarmed man. Williams and a squadmate reportedly got into an argument over which of them had scored Company C's first kill. \ \

--

http://www.counterpunch.com/alam12132004.html

/ / What becomes of those military veterans who undergo amputation? \ \

--

/ / What about the damning report of Charles Duelfer and his Iraqi Survey Group, which announced last month that Saddam Hussein destroyed all of his weapons of mass destruction and their programmes in 1991? \ \

--

/ / ...and we were yelling, 'Train to kill, kill we will,' over and over again. I kind of snuck a peek around me and saw all my colleagues getting the red in the face and hoarse yelling - and at that point a light went off in my head and said, 'You know, I made the wrong career decision.'" \ \

/ / Throughout the 12-year Vietnam War, for example, fewer than 100 Guard troops were killed, compared with the 145 who have died in less than two years in Iraq. \ \

/ / Greene County Director of Elections Carole Garman claimed that she had withdrawn access to the voting records at the direction of Secretary Blackwell. \ \

/ / Blackwell not only did not have the authority to take this action, he is actually in violation of the law. \ \

/ / Williams ordered that the Iraqi man, who had been handcuffed and was being held on his knees in front of the house, be brought inside...

William cut off the plastic handcuffs, laid the rifle near the Iraqi and said aloud to other soldiers in the room, 'I feel my life has been threatened.'

Williams then shot the man twice..." \ \

/ / That America has spent billions upon billions of dollars on the war and the occupation and hasn't even managed to provide sufficient armor to protect its own soldiers is a metaphor for the failure of the whole operation. \ \

/ / It is now estimated that more soldiers have been injured in Iraq than during the Revolutionary War, the War of 1812, or the first five years of the Vietnam conflict. \ \

/ / Webb reported that U.S. law enforcement agents complained that the CIA had squelched drug probes of Blandon and his partner Norwin Meneses in the name of "national security." Blandon's drugs flowed into L.A. and elsewhere thanks to the legendary "Freeway" Ricky Donnell Ross, a supplier of crack to the Crips and Bloods gangs. \ \

/ / The Press Release, just issued, headlined "Conyers Alarmed at Efforts to Obstruct Ohio Recount Effort, Calls Witness to Monday Hearing to Detail Such Efforts", outlines the troubling reports, discussed here yesterday, of Ohio Sec. of State J. Kenneth Blackwell (also Co-Chair of the Ohio Bush/Cheney Re-Elect Committee!) reportedly attempting to obstruct efforts by Green/Libertarian Recount Volunteers in Ohio over the last several days. \ \

--

/ / The "Texas Strike Force" members paid their way to Ohio, but their hotel accommodations were paid for by the Ohio Republican Party, whose headquarters is across the street. The hotel worker heard one caller threaten a likely voter with being reported to the FBI and returning to jail if he voted. \ \

/ / In a half-dozen precincts in Franklin County, people who were not inside polling places by 7:30 PM were told to leave - even if they had waited in line for hours. This is a violation of the Voting Rights Act. \ \

/ / In other words, the exit polls were made to conform to a political decision to declare Bush the victor. \ \

--

http://www.whatdoesitmean.com/index575.htm

/ / The massive US Intelligence Reform Bill weighed in at over 3,000 pages and though unread by individual Members of either the House or Senate nevertheless passed all of the legislative hurdles needed in order to become law. \ \

TREASON BIG TIME.

/ / The contract company blamed for the bad food is identified as a small, Qatar-based firm called American Service Center. \ \

/ / From snatch and grab operations to warantless searches, Patriot Act II is an Adolf Hitler wish list.

You can understand why President Bush, Dick Cheney and Dennis Hastert want to keep this legislation secret not just from Congress, but the American people as well. \ \

/ / MIT and the Pentagon have been involved in a fraud that has promoted a weapon system that will have little or no utility and could cost hundreds of billions of dollars. \ \

--
THE SON OF MAN

/ / Anybody who supports George W. Bush is my ENEMY. George W. Bush committed 9/11 with his buddy, General Ahmad who funded the mastermind ring leader. That's according to the FBI. Any bushite who gets in my way, who says that isn't the case,

I WILL KILL. \ \

http://la.indymedia.org/uploads/son-of-man.mp3

http://jerusalem.indymedia.org/uploads/son-of-man.mp3

http://baltimore.indymedia.org/newswire/display/9099/index.php

/ / Mr. Sattler, if you don't want US to arrest those responsible for 9/11, don't you think we Americans should kill you? \ \

/ / They got this plan, in it's final stages, just prior to 9/11, to invade Afghanistan. They couldn't invade Afghanistan, without some sort of attack occurring that would instigate an actual war. they got this plan, and it's all about accusing bin Laden for terrorist activities, and they've spent a year or more working on it, or more. And through all the time they put it together, they never thought to include, one single shred of evidence. Knowing already as Taliban fundamentalists, through an experience that Mr. Clinton

had, it is their fundamental religion not to persecute
the innocent. \ \

Johnny Wizard

--

INTERVIEWER: Are you aware that this tank is
contaminated with radiation?

BUSHITE DUMFUK SOLDIER: No, it isn't radioactive.

INTERVIEWER: But we have measured it.

BUSHITE DUMFUK SOLDIER: No, it isn't radioactive, not
this tank.

snpd

> Word of Advice

> http://la.indymedia.org/uploads/advice.mp3

/ / ``They're tough people, and they're
well-disciplined. And there are a lot of them who want
to do the work. A lot of people have left the South
African defense force since Nelson Mandela came in.''

[American tax paid for bushite, $1000.00 a day] Gray
Branfield, a former covert-operations specialist in
South Africa's fight to preserve white minority rule. \ \

My black brothers in the lucative sports industry, pay
the saleries of these, the most racist, of their "loyal"
bushite team. American youths are being purposefully
mislead by the corporate news interests in cashing in on
their DEATHS to thieve from MY Humanity.

Homeless Iraq vets showing up at shelters
http://washingtontimes.com/upi-breaking/20041207-121848-6449r.htm

--

http://mobile.mediamonitors.net/content/view/full/11853/

/ / Naturally, there is no evidence that any soldier
named DeLay and/or Lieberman has paid the supreme price
for the reckless warmongering of that duo. \ \

--

20 Amazing Facts About Voting In The United States

http://www.coastalpost.com/04/12/02a.htm

/ / 6. Republican Senator Chuck Hagel, a long-time
friend of the Bush family, was caught lying about his
ownership of ES&S by the Senate Ethics Committee. \ \

--

> Johnny Wizard's "The Big Blab" "The most influential broadcast ever heard.
> If you hear anything this year, Johnny Wizard's "The Big Blab" will be it."

> http://jerusalem.indymedia.org/uploads/the-big-blab.mp3

--

U.S. deserter 'didn't want to have to kill babies'

/ / Mr. House will argue that American soldiers are
guilty of war crimes and that forcing Mr. Hinzman to
fight in Iraq would have made him a war criminal.

He will call as a witness former U.S. Marine Staff
Sergeant Jimmy Massey, who is expected to testify that
he and other soldiers shot more than 30 unarmed Iraqis,
including women and a six-year-old child, at a U.S.
military checkpoint. \ \

--

CNN.com - Aid group 'told to freeze' Falluja work - Dec 6, 2004

/ / Only about 100 families are thought to remain in
Falluja, as most fled the city before the U.S.-led
operation aimed at flushing out insurgents. \ \

Huh? Again, witness wealthy CNN "news" staffers, didn't
even bother to seek for an update on their "about 100",
that had not ever been given. Red Cresent, the day
previous to Dec. 6, no... actually reported on, Dec
3rd!, stated, (from their limited circle of reference)
they had witnessed a minimum of many corpses, of
completely innocent pro-Iraqi women, children, youths,
and men.

/ / Iraqi Red Crescent spokesman Muhammad al-Nuri
estimates that some 6,000 people have been killed, many
of them civilians. \ \

(That is discounting murdered armed defenders of Freedom
in Iraq we can prusume.)

But, again, the true bushite enemies of loyal bushite
enemies, thay'l' "bushite lie" to the bushite nation
about the "holy" benefits of, sacrificing America's
young life., all done for the glorious betterment of the
traitor demon anti-Christ liar, the war criminal, Our
Mr. bush Jr., along with his treasonous evil cabal of
anti-semitic Palestinian Christ haters. A compassionate,

"conservative", war mongering cabal whos warring of
America is done to secretly steal further from the
innocence of God in silence. Without a honest to
Johnny, bushite killin' God-Man to speak on the nature
of reality itself from living Creation's time-less
prospective. Naturally leaving ourselves to save all of
humanity with my "magic" - guitar, notebook, cybercafes,
and super cool Akeido, leaves me in wonder of where life
could lead as committed to freedom through justice for
all?, as truly ourselves democractically represented?,
Miraculous?. or will we choose as ENEMY bushite instead
not to, ending to devolve ourselves from existence?

I know of some pretty, almost miraculous words in which
can bring about positive change, and man, if you could
see the world for America's Dream, where all People are
judged not by the color of their skin, but the content
of their character, the entire bushmob would be behind
bars awaiting trial for treason, and the Iraqi People
would have American Forces demanding Allawi be arrested
for failing to explain himself regarding the needless
bombing of our Humanity in Samarra and Falluja.

--

SEE BUSHITE, KILL IT FOR GOD'S AMERICA

/ / Another humiliating proposal, which even triggered
debate among Marine officers in control of the city, is
to force all Fallujan men to work in military-style
battalions, reported the Globe. \ \

This is the best the ENEMY bushite could dream up in
all possible worlds?

/ / His company of tanks and Bradley Fighting Vehicles
had roamed the eastern third of the city [Falluja] for
13 days, shooting holes in every building that might
pose a threat, leaving behind a landscape of
half-collapsed houses and factories singed with soot. \ \

--
http://www.wsws.org/articles/2004/dec2004/iraq-d06_prn.shtml

/ / Of course, none of the assembled media pointed to
the absurdity of Bush's comments. \ \

--

http://billmon.org/archives/000172.html

/ / Bush administration official says: "Saddam never
had WMDs, and we never said he did." \ \

--

/ / Goldsborough said the reason he was given after the fact is that the column, about why Jewish voters overwhelmingly cast their presidential ballots for John Kerry, was "offensive."

"The publisher said it might be offensive. To whom? \ \

--

/ / twenty-seven Israeli pilots indicated that they would no longer fly missions in the Occupied Territories (Boston Globe). The pilots said "We veteran and active duty pilots are against carrying out illegal and immoral attacks" and they "refuse to continue attacking innocent civilians." \ \

--

KILL ENEMY BUSHITE FOR OUR LIVING LOVING GOD MAN

/ / They should put dynamite in their behinds and drop them from 35,000 feet, the whole pack of scum out of that jail."

Remember, these are the same prisoners that the Red Cross estimates 70 to 90% of them were innocent and later released. \ \

--

/ / It gets worse. We already knew about the Franklin County, Ohio, precinct that tallied 4,258 votes for Bush when only 638 people had actually voted. \ \

--

See? The bushite knows that bush murdered thousands of Americans on 9/11, yet, will advocate fascism, to censor America, from finding the truth for ourselves.

That enemy of God, the demon anti-Christ told US the conflict he began, was because Saddam wouldn't let the inspectors in. While, corporate nazi whores, refused to correct ourselves on our sacrifices, for they enjoy remaining impartial, when it comes to the crimes they inflict upon our humanity. Enemies to all humanity CBC and CNN are by refusing OUR facts to speak for themselves. No betterment could be garnered, by the demon anti-Christ's war crimes against civilization, WITHOUT DOUBT. And, it's only the enemies of our dumfukked and dimmer soldiers, in corporate mind control, who refuse to allow anyone to state THAT FACT, for, the enemy bushite would be exposed, and America

would be hunting them down with the Law as the traitors
to freedom they clearly are.

--

http://www.theallianceforddemocracy.org/html/eng/2180-AA.shtml

/ / "We cannot be the home of the thief and the land of
the slave." \ \

--

Bush Lies to Canada

Demon bush spew "I made some decisions obviously that
some in Canada, didn't agree with. Like for example
removing Saddam Hussein, and enforcing the demands of
the UN security council."

Saddam was not found in violation of 1441, so the war
criminal anti-Christ's demands were not our world's, nor
that of the UN Security Council. How does thieving our
assets, destroying our infrastructure, and
indiscriminately murdering more than a hundred thousand
innocent people, (in turn to sacrifice more worthless
bushite nazi teens) bring about their closer fraudster
bushite "Freedom"? Again, CNN, and CBC let the demon
liar spew his hatred of our liberties, without factually
challenging his lies with our un-debatable established
truths. CNN and CBC would "sell" seeing our dimmer
youths sacrificed as bushmob thieves through blatant
bushite lies, to truly, steal our freedoms and liberty
with further murders by proxy.

/ / .. or when [bush] just told you for no reason
possible, why American soldiers are DYING, "Saddam
wouldn't let inspectors in", \ \

This should be a time when the People rise up as angels
of mercy, and hunt with Law to kill George W. Bush,
Rumsfeld, and Condoleeza Rice for the crimes committed
on 9/11. Just because the corporate T.V. doesn't
mention the facts to draw our own conclusions, doesn't
make it not so out of our realms of expertise
as Freedom's true represention.

--
http://www.opednews.com/fitrakis_113004_GOP.htm

/ / "It's about a will to dignity. It's
not too much to ask for our vote to count." \ \

--

/ / Colonel Ron Johnson "If I could have an Iraqi security force guy who's honest, reliable and dependable, it's worth five Marines," \ \

--

This is Hockey

US general John Sattler declared: "We have liberated the city of Fallujah."

Sattler openly lies to the lives of America with this insult, and the bushite nazi dumfuk, is too stupid or evil to frag him as enemy proudly for it. The People of the world, look, see.. bushites are murderous liars, cheats, and thieves as the enemies of America, who even lie to THEMSELVES on how many have been sacrificed to blood the coffers of the American pension thieving war monger bushite 9/11 cancer. A liar nazi bushite commander would sacrifice his nation, along with own family, before saying a word in defence of our freedoms, our humanity, or our God. COWARDS. American nazi bushite commander gets killed american nazi bushite grunts, in dreams they too, can financially profit off the talentless continuing THEFTS for enslavement to sacrifice themselves as fascist tyrannies. Hmmm. but the nature of American freedom, is to be one as everyone being equal scores. "Gee, maybe some day even you could be Presidential."

Weak intellectually, prone to cuss, the bushite nazi dumfuk, is a worthless piece of crap.

http://bellaciao.org/en/article.php3?id_article=4591

/ / I have the impression that there are no other words except "fuck" and "shit". Each communication, each order is accompanied by a flow of 'fucks', 'shits' and 'bullshits'. I look at Americans with a pity.

Among the "colored-skin" GIs "Latinos" are largest group, then Africans and semi-Africans. The officers are mostly whites but there are also blacks... But I cannot understand at all, why the US Army soldiers speak broken English!! \ \

/ / During the five days, while the count was yet conducted, more than three thousand wounded passed through our hospital. These were the people who lived nearby. The people who could be delivered to us. Nobody knows how many people in the city are dead. \ \

/ / I cannot recognize the city. Only ten days ago it was an Iraqi town with its regular for centuries Arabic

life. Boling bazaars, noisy streets. And here I am going through the empty dead city, between the ugly "pyramids" of destroyed buildings, broken streets, whole quarters wiped from the face of earth. \ \

--

old nazi tricks

/ / The Red Crescent's Falluja coordinator, Jotiar Nafaa, estimates that between 150 and 175 families are left in the city that once had a population of 300,000. \ \

REUTERS up to their old nazi tricks again. Jotiar Nafaa, "coordinator", should surely know, that it is 175 families that had requested for the help of Red Crescent totaled several days previous, (without phones, nor abilities to leave their homes (150?)), NOT, "left in the city". [THINK: how could they possibly know? (nazi bushite whores were ordered to murder innocents indiscriminately as enemies to God)] Also, is "Jotiar Nafaa", the same woman hater, who LIED in REUTER"S anonymous hand previously, as the Red Cross, that the women held illegally as hostages by the thieving evil nazi bushite forces, didn't really exist? (and who the "sovereign" Justice system of Iraq, along with World opinion, God, and JOHNNY had demanded to be let go for lack of any real criminal charges? (despite CNN and CBC WILLFULLY refusing to report on the issue as important. (You know, INNOCENT women held hostage by bushite goons who acquiesce COWARDLY for rapists and torturers? (Just like Jew killer Ariel Sharon never gets a fair mention by "America", nor Zarqawi, as he was in the second Bigley flick, right before Samara.)))

LOOK AT THIS - LOOK AT THIS - LOOK AT THIS

Aljazeera.Net - Aid trickling in to desperate Fallujans

/ / The organisation estimates that only 150 to 175 families stayed in Falluja after the start of the US-led offensive on 8 November \ \

The organization does not estimate the incorrect fantasy conclusion held by this pro-irrational Bush article from Aljazeera.NET. By god Johnny, where tell us could such lies begin at? Well, let's go investigate... If as it is, a misrepresentation of Red Crescent's actual findings, wouldn't you think "they" would put out a press release to correct this falsehood of public claim?, to suggest 150 remain in a city the size of 300,000+?, but no greater than 175? without access for numbering by anyone, but for the only near 15,000 HUMAN

BEINGS known escaped as refugees??? Or, are the "reporters" just conveying the "facts", by putting no investment in our validity? Leaving us for further victimhood, by hiding ourselves from the war crimes the anti-Christ bushite nazi savage commanders have committed in my name, America's name, God's name? as our enemies?

Our cause as Humanity is for freedom's reign, not to sacrifice Humanity as misled bushite nazi facist teens further, so bush, condi and rummy can fi-nah-lly escape "The True Patriot's Wrath", for the crimes they committed against Freedom America on "bush win", 9/11. So... Why so do I think Justice is going to get GW, making no mistakens? Because the Patriot's Patriot is you too my sworn believer, and now that's this: America's craftier than that George Bush Jr. makes living out for, in "our"-OWN news broadcasts. As the "censored" contributors who learned our gathering skills some time ago already, I say, Freedom is paramount. Mr. bush's unbiased corporate news agenda doesn't hold America to an account before the Lord, nor to God as being, an honest spoken word, or deed of good measure. Of friendship, of kindness, of compassion and caring for our fellow human beings. I have rights too you know. As cowards, the bushite refuses to defend the innocent they rob and murder from to serve as enemies of Life, done in to die pleasing their false idol, corporate america's glorious leader, the un-elected dictator, Our Mr. bush Jr..

It should be further noted, as reported, that all aid agencies contributing have accounted for only near 15,000 families stationed in refugee camps that escaped the indiscriminate anti-Christ demon bombing campaigns. So, total deaths tolls could exceed 200,000 just for Falluja alone., what with the napalm, land mines, 1000 lb bombs, snipers, tanks, mortars, essential services, police protections, denials of foods or water, thefts, vandalism, and so on. All along with the refusal to allow the People to escape before hand, or even enter now. I've only heard that the nazi grunts will only allow the "staff" of "Red Crescent", to quickly as can be, come into the demolished city, and dispose of our corpses with bulldozers likely. You know?, any males between 15 to 50?, like their "Hero", the bush family's Hitler regime did. You tell me, would you enjoy as a True Patriot Warrior, killing a LYING enemy bushite nazi bomber, on behalf of saving yourself and family, God and Humanity? God?, that the demon anti-Christ disciples murder to steal from US as the innocent? To kill an

evil and mindless devaluating corporate bushite facist slave, is to save the untold lives of thousands of US innocent they target indiscriminately for criminal plunder. Dying as cowards too weak to defend their own country from the neo-con conspirators, they die. Die.. Get this measage out to the American forces, and stand back, cause America's gonna win this fight for freedom, or die as slaves remaining unworthy to a blind comitment of tyranny by furthering enslavement against our Human species.

Continue doubting in silence fledgling Patriot, and bushite america's war will continue refusing to speak on it's needless sacrificing through bushite criminal decree. We know, wealthy con man Art Bell, Limbaugh, and O'Really will try and refuse such truths discussed in Your America, care for the lives of sacrificing American teen soldiers are of no concern to their profit margins., so far. You, my friend, just need to try starting a demand for justice as Freedom commands. (And, if you wish, you can thank us with a small cash donation to help me escape this nearing starvation.)

I'd, as the Son of Man, (but you can call me Dad.) proudly teach, or kill godless thieving bushite to save the lives of any child, and so would the rest of Humanity likewise. There is no known fate worst, than a Mother Nature scorned, or near 7 billion People really very angry with you.

"if the USA's honor can go along with these [bushite] tactics . . then the USA is a country without honor."

And, boy, real America wouldn't take kindly to George W. Bush Jr. as understanding, the elected President has leadered the murder, of thousands of decieved Americans as the innocent, all to only steal from, as the left "officially" undefended. Hell, as the true Leader of this Free World, and holy roller god child, George W. Bush Jr. pisses me off to no end, how about you?

/ / Washington says the Falluja campaign was necessary to bring the Sunni Muslim city back under the control of the central Baghdad government ahead of planned Iraqi elections in January. \ \

Reuters up to their old nazi tricks again. It was only mindless nazi savages who indiscriminately murder good honorable people, who advocate thieving and torture, rape and murder, and the willful destruction of America, that were banned from entering the Holy city.

No evidence, so then, for what? What but to get more cowardly american marines dead, to serve soullessly further - as the stolen riches of the evil enemy demon anti-Christ, evil personified, Our Mr. bush Jr.. (the same from 9/11 fame)

http://www.truthout.org/docs_04/112604I.shtml

/ / By targeting civilians, he said, an army could advance more rapidly. ``Air-delivered terror'' effectively removes civilian obstacles. \ \

Pure, unadulterated fascist nazi evil. "Never again"? Killing un-arrested bushite (Saudi wahabbi) commanders, is like killing the enemies of America, Humanity, and Jesus' God. Do ourselves and Johnny a great big favor, when you see a loyal bushite nazi grunt, thief and murderer, imagine, "yah, that's right, that's what being a kind neighbor is all about, for Christ said so in John's Revelations." Bushite! your false Idol religion is too stupid to remain for dying spark under the anti-Christ's evil criminal dictatorship of, wannabe dumfuks. I'm Your God see, and Your coming and going. As for Royal King Johnny, he's just standing in for this time being,..' hey you!, pay no attention to anyone behind what curtain! Boo!

America is wonderful to truly believe of it as in the making. So, let's get the work done that needs doing, so God can get back to trying to enjoy some time at home. I'm here for a good time, not a long time, and the sun don't shine every day. So get off your asses, and demand some real Justice for Christ's sake. Johnny has awoken, and he's cranky they say.

snpd
--

http://www.thenation.com/doc.mhtml?i=20041213&s=klein

/ / Impunity - the perception of being outside the law - has long been the hallmark of the Bush regime. \ \

--

http://middleeastinfo.org/forum4970

Israeli Soldiers Going In For The Kill: A 13 year old Palestinian JEWISH school girl is about to die

/ / "It's a little girl. She's running defensively eastwards, a girl of about 10. She's behind the embankment, scared to death."

"Anyone who's mobile, moving in the zone, even if
it's a three-year-old, needs to be killed.

..soldiers in the company, [...] Givati Brigade,
complained that they had been "besmirched"

The soldiers said that the commander had fired
two shots at the girl from close range as she
lay on the ground.. \ \

Will all Jews join me in calling for the public World
trial of crimes against God's Humanity, done by these
truly godless fascist nazi enemies of the Holy Jewish
faith? Or, will the anti-Jewish Ariel Sharon crowd
again, march more Jews silently to their graves,
refusing to speak for the Bible's Word that all people
are created in God's loving image?

Victimizing the innocent to steal from, is not acts
becoming of a religious person or a truly "democratic"
government.

--

http://www.topplebush.com/article54_recpres.shtml

/ / Musil said the [rumsfeld's] Pentagon's effort to
draw a distinction between the weapons was outrageous.

"It's Orwellian. They do not want the public to know.
It's a lie," he said. \ \

--

/ / Hammad said he had seen elderly women carrying white
flags shot by U.S. soldiers. ''Even the wounded people
were killed. The Americans made announcements for
people to come to one mosque if they wanted to leave
Fallujah, and even the people who went there carrying
white flags were killed.'' \ \

--

http://www.globalresearch.ca/articles/BOH411A.html

/ / But we have to be very cognizant of the fact that
the media's adamant refusal to address the very real
irregularities of the 2004 vote is a deliberate act of
psychological warfare. [...]

"if the USA's honor can go along with these tactics . .
. then the USA is a country without honor." [...]

The word 'jihad' (holy war) from which 'el moudjahid'
(fighter for the faith) derives, has always been,
because of an anti-Islamic prejudice that dates from the

Crusades, taken in the Christian West in a limited and restrictive sense. It is taken as a symbol of religious aggressiveness. This interpretation is rendered absurd by the very fact that Islam is tolerant, and the respect of religions, in particular Judaism and Christianity, is one of its fundamental precepts, something which, in fact, has been in practice over the centuries. \ \

--

/ / Ending this bloodbath is the most honorable task Americans can perform to restore progressive priorities and our respect in the world. We have passed the point for graceful exit strategies. Our policy is to go on mechanically killing people unless they vote in January for us to keep on killing people. \ \

--

http://journals.aol.com/bmiller224/OldHickorysWeblog/entries/2233

/ / Our priorities must change. \ \

--

/ / Brooks seems to think that the CIA is the President's personal advertising agency and that its employees owe their livelihoods to him. \ \

--

/ / Ohio Secretary of State Ken Blackwell, a Republican, issued a directive to election officials to turn away such voters if they arrived at the polls to cast regular ballots. \ \

TREASON.

--

/ / UN officials, at U.S. request, placed a curtain over a tapestry of Picasso's Guernica, located at the entrance to the Security Council chambers. As a TV backdrop, the anti-war mural would contradict the Secretary of State's case for war in Iraq. \ \

/ / In August, US National Guardsmen discovered and sought to stop the torture of detainees in a Baghdad prison by Allawi's new interior ministry police, but were ordered by US commanders to leave the victims in the hands of their tormentors. \ \

--

/ / The leak about the money and the rigged election apparently came from technicians who were promised to be paid a certain amount for their work but the Bush campaign interlocutors reneged and some of the technicians are revealing the nature of the vote rigging program. \ \

Yawn. Yet another act of high treason by the enemy bushite, that should warrant prosecution under American law, but look!, CNN and CBC couldn't care less about the legitimacy of US elections, or our freedoms and the irrational sacrifices of life made by cowardly and soulless teen-age disciples of the true enemy evil anti-Christ. Why bother to arrest those who committed MASSIVE fraud during the election, the crime is over, and corporate amerikkka have already declared themselves our enemies of freedom, as committed, to kill, or have killed, America for stolen gains without virtue. Besides, what is such a charge in amerka know-a-daze?, "fifth degree felony"? Why even bother. Reading is for the stupid people, and math is even quackier, har har.

--

/ / I retired in 1999 as a lieutenant colonel with 30 years total service. I am now also a 60% disabled vet due to combat wounds which have worsened over the years.

At the same time my day job was as a police officer. I served 26 years with the Tulsa PD, working uniform, plain clothes, SWAT, bomb squad and finally as a police helicopter pilot for 3600 hours of flight time. I received the department's Medal of Valor, two Chief's Medals, and the Department Commendation Medal. Not exactly the profile of a terrorist suspect, eh?

My sin, evidently, was using the First Amendment. \ \

--

"Word on high is, "Dream-Job", is the doorway to miracles. Wow! The beginning has begun!"

D-R-E-A-M - J-O-B

http://houston.indymedia.org/uploads/somebody.mp3

/ / Mr. bush is sending them into these military conflicts, to create more hostility for them to die over. So bush can rob ever more, from the undefended American People left back home there, still, victimized without repremand to those who ripped them off for their pensions as example. \ \

Dream job. The future now looks like something worth fighting for. Please, pass this recording to our news agencies. I do not have the ability to do this job of ours all by myself, I need Your help. Please do US this small favor, and I'm sure this Universe will thank you for it. Word on high is, "Dream-Job", is the doorway to miracles. Wow! The beginning has begun!

Love and kisses,

Johnny Wizard

--

/ / It wasn't enough to force a surrender and have The Ba'athists cooperate with the Coalition. Israel demanded that everyone in power who ever thought anti-Israel thoughts must be removed, even though the resulting chaos has cost untold lives and billions of dollars. \ \

--

> Word of Advice
> A, one of a kind broadcast, for all our great Johnny Wizard fans.

> http://la.indymedia.org/news/2004/11/119355.php

--

God is Great

A person accused in our name(s) of a criminal offence, needs to be established somehow to be as such, and the only way to do that, is to present evidence that formed your/our conclusion. Without evidence our accused is ourselves as the innocent, being falsely imprisoned, tortured, or murdered. Murdered, as the Allawi and the bushite nazi forces did to our friends and family in Falluja, to please the demon liar, lawless George Bush Jr. as their central thief system of dishonor and betrayal to the human cause, Your own.

Now, when bush stated that Laden was guilty, without evidence needed to form a conclusion, or when he just told you for no reason possible, why American soldiers are DYING, "Saddam wouldn't let inspectors in", the bushmob thieves plundered in our "lost" confusion. All the while bush always seeking to close America's on-going criminal investigations, by causing even more have nots among US. So, as a free person, to side for the, bush wars America, God, and Johnny issue, you would seek out to find for Yourself beholding likewise as We all are: bush is actually super fuking evil, like personified or incarnate even, and Johnny's almost like a stranger Messianic figure who just wants to be known

as a semi-normal average GUY/god fellow. (Sheesh.. We gotta bring ourselves everywhere we go) I "hate" bush for being so not himself stupid to think there was no actual walking, talking, godly Persons from the outer-reaches coming in to get him for the crimes he has committed ourselves to. Corporate news dictate without a human presence, doesn't rule this world of communication, we do. (Jesus wasn't buying Satan's misconception.. SEE THE BIBLE AND THE KORAN CAN BOTH BE RIGHTiOUS!!..! Just ignore the misconceptions others have, and join the party!!! The Time is Now, and man, do I know how to make an entrance or what?)

A demand for Justice is our birthright as the human species struggling to survive.

We could be on good terms with the "unthinking", greatly special loving Earth as the no longer leaving ourselves to be silently victimized, and I think, we'd think, we want to live in a freedom bound for glory, not a hell hole of, ignorance is bliss, look there! your dying needlessly victimized, oh.. too late who cares your dead nonexistence. Did you say something?

Trust me America, YOU WANNA correct this George Bush Jr. problem before it becomes the "law" of the "land". Don't fear though, for, God's here, there, everywhere!!! It's you over... there! and under here.. and then... we said hello to Johnny, the miracle worker. God People, I have so many good words to tell you, and wonders that would surely boggle some senses. Our hope for a better future doesn't have to die here on a cross for the war mongering bushmob thieves, but, it's going to require You personally taking some action, be it however slight, to bring a remedy to this current, "Look People, bush thinks he has almost escaped accountability for the commitment of crimes he perpetrated on 9/11 against US interests." Strange but.. REMEMBER: people like Americans, are currently needlessly still dying for the bushmob war monger thieves., dying by only our continuing censored voices on the seriousness of our commitment to defend the rights of every free person standing; ourselves as God being victimized with these unjustly expensive, neo-con bushite terrorist campaigns against Civilization's better judgement.

God is great I know it's true, just put a little faith in yourself, and demand America soldiers fight instead to protect DYING America from the tricky, evil bushmob cabal of THIEVES. Cheney looks bad there, as does Myers, and didn't Goss have some pre-9/11 meetin' with

9/11's General Ahmad that he has still yet to answer for, or.. is it we guess, to be "legally" done in by... the Secret Order. The American good cop is all we're expecting, and all we need when dealing with the likes of a self-depreciating bushite conspirator..

We don't get to be Magnificent without you likewise. This alien world we have victimized against our better interests, is a failing spirit of Life from our vantage point. Going back before the Age of Reason, is not where I believe America wants to be taken any further, thank you, but no thanks, besides, the King of Kings is here. Johnny Wizard looking to break into prime-time with his very own Special special.

I'd have ta be one crazy mixed up loon to follow this world over with the universal principles of justice as freedom, to set course for the dreams of my trying, and you might be right. Get You to talk TRULY will, guaranteed, freak you out. REMEMBER: Mr. bush Jr. should have been arrested day one, with that fifty billion. That was before 9/11, Afghanistan, Haiti, Iraq, a few hundred thousand innocent lives, 300 billion plus a few hundred more stolen, and for what? I can only see, we needed myself as King here yesterday. I wasn't chosen for this freedom post per say, but, me and the stars had a dream one time, and it continues through out to this day, enough to say but, this is not what you read about in the manuals. Freedom to be yourself, is the freedom to dream something bigger that your wildest imaginations. I am the Son of Man, and do I have tales to tell. (I know, it sounds crazy, but it's just like a performance gimmick to increase my Q ratings. And, it seems to be somewhere working with me.. I've got a story down pat for anything if someone should ask what fear is.., now, open that curtain so we can start the show!)

I have a treat for you strange friends and friendly strangers out there. Some art work from me. Now, I used the drawing myself, for posters of different sizes, explaining in small writing some key 9/11 questions, while with maybe block letters, things like "bush is EVIL", 'did 9/11', can you hear me?, as what have you.. So, here I will offer you something I created, a Johnny Wizard pencil drawing in jpg format. Enjoy!

http://la.indymedia.org/news/2004/11/119755.php

Hmm, perhaps I'll give out other of my drawings as thankyous for fifty dollar donations? Or prints for $500! You know, they could be worth a substantial

amount of money in a few years, hmm, a real investment for your future... A wise move for the prudent investor really when you think about it. But hey, no pressure, no pressure.

Being me out to destroy bushite just sucks. I'm really not naturally so angry about what bush has done to our world all the time. My voice is getting hoarser, and I'm occasionally grunting, bad sign. I want to live like it's 1999!

Arrest for immediate trial, bush, rumsfeld and condi for the crimes of 9/11 back in New York City, and all will be well with my World,

A friend of living on for a brighter day,

Johnny Wizard

--

Truth is Telling

/ / Major-General Richard Natonski prepared his troops for the battle ahead. 'We're goin' in to raise the Eye-raqi flag above Falluja - to give it back to the Fallujans,' \ \

So, was it Major-General Richard Natonski's decision to drop 2000 lb bombs then? Was it his charge to indiscriminately shoot at US innocent, on the street, in our cars, our homes?, cause somebody's gotta pay then with their lives for lying to die the dumfuk marines, who it turns out, weren't so dumb, or hard of hearing after all. Those responsible for the bombing campaign targeting hospitals and groups of innocent people, need to be hunted down with extreme prejudice, and publicly executed on behalf of our Humanity. So too, do those who ordered the NEEDLESS bombing campaign in Samara. And, for those who have worn the American flag while willfully committing the sadistic crimes of torture and rape, we shall all join together, and hunt down as our enemies without mercy. The bushite are enemies of all our humanity, demanding we sacrifice our honor, like they have done to family and friend, and sacrifice our courage, because they refuse to defend their own flag and the freedom of which it stands, one nation, indivisible.

I will not rest until every traitor of the America I remember, is summarily executed by death sentence for the convicted war crimes Humanity and God has suffered under their heinously satanic barbarity. I HATE the

anti-Christ, and all the bushite has tolled against our better station. As I tell again, killing bushite is a godsend. They as a satanic force of evil, torturing and murdering, rob from America as they "willfully" allow the robbery of Iraqis, and they truly, rob from themselves when refusing to join US in the demands to have bush condi and rumsfeld downed for the crimes of 9/11. We also demand all stolen assets taken through the bushite mongering war privateers, returned to a legitimate Iraqi government. All done by firstly, arresting Allawi for the murderous war crimes in Samarra, and then in Falluja. Also, hunting down to kill those who forbad humanity from helping US in Falluja, or turned off the utilities, shall be tried and publicly executed for commiting war crimes against MY humanity.

Weak intellectually as fascist nazis, the slave whore bushite's commitment is to only die for as enemy. They FEAR to have to stand up for fellow thieving soldiers and say, "damn, this war is evil to the 'n degree, Jesus would surely be angry, And from what I hear, the Son of Man is pretty upset about what we enemies of God have done too, and, well.. we do not have to thoughtlessly serve under anti-Christ war crime decree any longer, as the cowardly lying chicken shits, too afraid to defend our own nation., instead, let's go bag US some enemy bushite for America's America!" We do all together want to convict those who murdered thousands in New York City, and we're definitely not combating global terrorism, by fighting a needless war against the innocent, lying to ourselves that by doing so, brings about a fair just government of "The People"., to then in turn arrest whom? American soldiers need to say, to hell with bush, and corporate professionalism, my loyalty is with Christ as a truth telling demon slayer.

For those who still continue, to sacrifice our rights to life and liberty, for a no better good deal as the bad guys, are TRAITORS to America, who are attempting to help escape bush and rumsfeld from the murder scene of 9/11. Never gonna happen. So, why should yet another, promising young man, die as a dumfuk bushite, the most hated and reprehensible nazi savage America has ever gleamed of? The indiscriminate bombing of our communities rightly gets dead, another, good for nothing, nazi soulless bushite vermin, AND RIGHTLY SO. I'd kill thieving bushite bombers to save the innocent life of anyone.. like a,.. cluster dud dropper., wouldn't you?, in all honestly, if you had the power, kill such an evil nazi betrayer to Freedom and America

who blindly targets our residential communities to
enrich the UN-AMERICAN demon anti-Christ bushite thieves
further? That, as bushite nazi grunt, they die for?
Paleeze.

America is dying to prosper their false-deity war
criminal demon anti-Christ, Our Mr. bush Jr, the same
responsible for the nine eleven travesty, who attempts
escape only through fascist americans who willfully
betray America by condoning the furthering of bushite
censorship for tyranny. Is America the cesspool of
corruption and greed, without a People person present
willing to make a stand for God and Country? BUSH IS
EVIL. Let's arrest'em, or kill'em for committing high
treason on 9/11 with condi and rumsfeld.

What do yah say?

Under the witness of every freedom loving person this
universe over, I am proclaiming, a war against the liar
George Bush Jr., the vilest contempt for mankind this
World will not stand by to be destroyed because of.

/ / claiming that the United States went to war because
Saddam wouldn't let inspectors in; the media has treated
this as not really a lie because it's so obviously
untrue. \ \

America is a coming to kill the truly evil George
Bush Jr.,

Johnny Wizard

--

Falluja women, children in mass grave
http://english.aljazeera.net/NR/exeres/24EBE5BB-CA3F-462B-8279-546BC1D9B7E6.htm

/ / "We buried them here, but we could not identify them because
they were charred by the use of napalm bombs used by the Americans," \
\

--

http://csep10.phys.utk.edu/newsgroups/astr162-general/messages/135.html

/ / Killing un-arrested George W. Bush is truly,
a gift of love to God. \ \

--

http://iraqwar.mirror-world.ru/tiki-read_article.php?articleId=30784

/ / the way that the invading American troops [godless
as satanic bushite nazi thieves] were using Iraqi women

and children as human shields. \ \

--

http://www.wsws.org/articles/2004/nov2004/vet-n11.shtml

/ / I saw people dead on the side of the road
in civilian clothes.'' He recalls how his trigger-happy
compatriots mowed down 30 civilians at a checkpoint on a
single day. \ \

--

http://www.jihadunspun.com/intheatre_internal.php?article=100549&list=/home.php

/ / The doctors statement noted that US snipers killed
more than 17 Iraqidoctors who had rushed to respond to an
earlier appeal \ \

--

The King Commands

/ / Rumsfeld replied to a direct question yesterday as
to whether he knew Zarqawi was in Fallujah with the
answer: ``I have no idea if he is there.'' \ \

So, America! there is your reason for dying America's
sons and daughters, Johnny's brothers and sisters. "No
idea" rumsfeld states while his private partners cash in
the blood checks for everything but the funeral
services. I ask sacrificing soldiers to help grant me
space to debate bush and rumsfeld on international
radio. We gotta take away Art Bell's turn off button to
thank him for all the enlightenment he has been a part
of in America, but that these life death top priority
issues of ours, he hasn't the right to censor
communication on. This is your World that I wish to
play servant of. As my subject; I promise a fight to
return Justice through freedom for all. How? By
believing in you to do as you should, demanding the
immediate arrest or execution of bush, rumsfeld, and
condi for the crimes of 9/11. Demanding the return of
all stolen Iraqi assets, made by those who personally
profited off the criminal transactions. Demand the
arrest or execution of Allawi for the bombing campaign
of Samara, along with bushite military commanders who
organized the satanic attack. Why would America do
this? To free the dying lives of their bushite nazi
whored, dying grunt savage slave population. .or
perhaps not...

/ / Joseph Bowman, a 20-year-old marine from Texas:
``We're ready to go. I'm just ready to get this done.

I want to go and kill people, so we can go home. Kill them, and go home, that's all we can do now.'' \ \

Where is home for the Joseph Bowmans? A devolving backward wasteland?, where brother kills brother for a stolen nickel? Who would want to share the same home?, street?, or community with such contempt for life and liberty? God and Johnny? Who's home does bushite Bowman SEE the joys of his humanity flourishing?, or being destroyed as the true enemy? Is that the place where the pursuit of freedom is done by sanctioning first degree murder for no reason in particular? And is that the bushite america we've all come to getting to know of these last few days through corporate news contempt for the loss of our life's love?, and God's voice? It is all up to us, You included. In battle against the evils of this world, we should start on getting back to those that did US wrong on 9/11: bush, rumsfeld, and condi., just for starters, while keeping a careful watch on those that jump to their cause for furthering tyranny.

A terrorist to target: Major Todd Desgrosseilliers

/ / US forces unleashed more than 20 air strikes and some 60 artillery rounds on Monday, said Major Todd Desgrosseilliers. [...] An AFP reporter in the Jolan district said one building in every 10 had been flattened. As US-led troops closed in on the neighborhood overnight, at least four 900-kilogram bombs were dropped in the city's northwest. \ \

What is it that the major is warring Falluja's defenders for? Is it to thieve the assets of the war torn city through the sacrifice of American boys and girls?, or is it to set up a representative government that would firstly stand demanding immediate reparations? The return of all stolen assets? (referring to the satanic bushite documents: the lawless 13303 and the, "THIEVING bushite dying soldiers stole it, so" now, G.W. can sell it privately to his no-national robber baron "Economy Plan"sters.) Would not a free and liberated Iraq demand a return of the "missing" or "lost" billions through the humanitarian Iraqi Development Funds? The practice of human rights law? Criminal investigations? World wide Public arrests or executions of the rapists and torturers, along with the war crime commanders?, who mostly command from sunny Florida as pension thieves, and the lucrative Halliburton billionaire war pressure lobbyists?., For all together as represented, we instead (they claim) advocate the mistreatment of US innocents

in Your name. Sacrificed? Why do you let them get away with that? How about instead my friends, for Christ's sake, we decide together to go after the criminals? Allawi must pay with his remaining life for the needless bombing of Samara that got many an American soldier KILLED for nothing. Nothing. Died for nothing, but to sacrifice more of America to the war crimer bushite, the lawless demon anti-Christ's followers of evil personified., the most popular Presidente' ever all-act-dud. .!!.

Yeah yeah, bush and rumsfeld did 9/11, but did you know the Pentagon has not so mysteriously misplaced near 3 trillion dollars?, that a couple few claim was forever lost? Shucks? And did you know, it is the Treasury Department that loaned the Federal Reserve American currency to begin with, not the other way around, when they in turn, lent it back to ourselves.., at private interest. Whoms? Hmm... a quest for answers to the name on the bill We Trust?

At the same time while we're all parting, we got like, American soldiers indiscriminately murdering people. That isn't an accusation I make against the nazi bushite, they freely confess it. and for what purpose? They say, "we'll kill more than them that they'll kill of US"

Yeah but, why bomb ANYBODY? Why do you have to sacrifice American soldier's lives for no greater good? What benefit does it bring to the DYING bushite grunts who are ordered to stick around and try to placate hostilities with "good" intentions? as within the sites of Humanity - as enemies to US all? Will the commanders argue from sunny Florida, that yeah but, we killed more of them, than them of US. And.. they are who? ordering the commitment to indiscriminate murder? as the bad guys?. The bushites bombed, the willing to placate Samarra, to only get killed, more American Marines. And for what but the few bucks wasted on the ammo?.. to get killed more "uncounted" Marines naturally., for the bushites are waging to make a real killing on this American sacrifice. Look, we can sit down with each arrested bushite individually, talk to them about wether they are personally committed to thieving further from America, all to die benefitting the likes of an Enron, and those that thought they'd get away from the crime scene of 9/11; before deciding ultimately, wether the born again have any real hope left. The bushites are enemies to God, Amnerica, Johnny, and themselves truly dying US for. We can see

how easy the manipulation of the American soldier's mind is, they have no ability to rationalize while speaking, and as a consequence, have no understanding of their bad-faith based satanic system. George W. Bush Jr. threatens the grunt soldier to always fear what they don't understand, like, the wisdom contained within the American Constitution, or the dreaded, free to speak any mind Internet. Terrified of growing up like real men do to make their own decisions in this big world, the bushite nurse instead on the teat of sadomasochism, as bushwhore nazi dumfuk slaves. Dying brain dead limp as noodles mushing. And why? All because Americans don't want to trouble American Talk radio with our freedoms. I again challenge Art Bell, Limbaugh and O'Really to a three hour debate entitled, "Wizard's War of Words: A Super Duper Special" all about their un-worthiness to censor our screams for Justice.

Joy to the world, or more misery and suffering under the demon anti-Christ, Our lawlessly evil Mr. bush Jr.? It is up to you really. Where do you want to go? Up, or down? You decide, but don't wait until forever never coming; these times demand for the victim, some real accountability. It is the power of our nature to being, that demands a call to arms against the war crime instigating, nine eleven perpetrators. Military commanders who don't support the arrest or execution of said individuals: bush, rumsfeld, and condi, are traitors guilty of mutiny.

/ / because having photographers or reporters present could have interfered with the count \ \

What we do now is accuse ES&S, along with Diebold of falsifying the election, then when they're pressed to prove "their" findings, they'll tell US, they can't, no ballot trail. Their convictions on our accuracy is misplaced. Pen and paper with ballots secured in locked boxes that never leave public scrutiny is the answer. Those who deny US that privilege, are enemies of freedom and democracy, wether they know it or not.

What for you say?, up for a challenge?

Your, coming to town, lovable loyal American King,

Johnny Wizard

--

http://www.awesomelibrary.org/Classroom/Social_Studies/Government/Fraud_in_Voting.html

/ / But not until Friday did they begin to spill into the more conventional news media. That's when the Cincinnati Enquirer reported that officials in Warren County, Ohio, had "elocked down" its administration building to prevent anybody from observing the vote count there.

Suspicious enough on the face of it, the decision got more dubious still when County Commissioners confirmed that they were acting on the advice of their Emergency Services Director, Frank Young. Mr. Young had explained that he had been advised by the federal government to implement the measures for the sake of Homeland Security. \ \

MSNBC Covers Voting Problems

/ / He said that there were 90,000 more votes cast in Ohio than registered voters

He said that all the irregularities in Florida and Ohio have happened in counties using non-paper-trail e-voting from the companies run by Bush's friends. \ \

--

/ / Meanwhile, the U.S. continued its targeting of medical facilities in the city. A makeshift clinic that is serving as the main first-aid facility was bombed this morning after U.S. and Iraqi forces took over Fallujah General Hospital early Monday. \ \

--

Praise Jesus! I killed ten lawless bushite disciples of the demon anti-Christ today, and I'm just getting started.. Praise Jesus!

/ / Here is a city, surrounded and cut off, whose male population between the ages of 15 and 50 faces being ``put to the sword'' by the US military, and no one in the media can stir himself to raise an objection. \ \

We all can only hope and pray all US Godly innocent people will wake up, and starta' hunting bushite to the ends of this Earth. There is no pleasure greater, than destroying fascist nazi bushite grunts, to save the lives of the innocent they target for pillage and murder. Enemies of America are the cowardly and soulless disciples of the demon tyrant anti-Christ, Our evil Mr. bush Jr.. Disciples of evil who war God as our enemies in attempt to escape bush, condi, and rumsfeld for the crimes of nine eleven. No dice, for Johnny's now, truly coming around like some kinda godly

American Man of Virtues most can not even begin to understand. Don't be afraid my brothers and sisters, unless of course you're a bushite, for as a Christ Crusader I'll kill you myself, before allowing you to murder another innocent soul in My name. "Death to the bushite instead of our good friends and family", is our King's reply. Bushite commanders responsible for ordering the irrational bombing campaigns in Iraq, (to steal profit for rumsfeld's partners, while sacrificing weak and stupid American teens in the process,) such as in Samarra and Falluja, I am now offering a ten million dollar bounty on, per. If your organization bags three or more, before December 25th, there will be an extra something under the Christmas tree this year.

Do you Love me now as the Son of Man you'll ever know?

King Johnny Wizard

P.S.

(to steal profit for rumsfeld's partners, while sacrificing weak and stupid American teens in the process,)

See? Evil bushite kill "other" bushite to steal our freedoms and money, and US true freedom fighters would kill'em too to defend our innocent families. Like I said, un-principled nazi facist savages is the un-American enemy bushite without contention but for lifeless corporate censorship on our core values. Demand our voices be heard, or die not trying to do any greater. I'm serious this time.

God bless America - Now, let's arrest or kill US some enemy bushite, what do you say People?

--

/ / "I have no other choice but to resort to extreme measures to protect the Iraqi people from these killers and to liberate residents of Fallujah so they can return to their homes." \ \

American Patriot Warriors, kill Allawi as our number one, Iraqi People killer. Thanks in advance my friend.

The biggest most best Johnny Wizard international broadcast ever! Don't wait! Get your own copy today! While supplies last...

http://dc.indymedia.org/newswire/display/108675/index.php

--

In Fallujah, U.S. Declares War on Hospitals, Ambulances
http://newstandardnews.net/content/index.cfm/items/1208

snpd

Or, is it another of those, why bother-who cares?-fifth degree felony things?

--

/ / Despite this, the Bush administration denies any responsibility for the missing explosives. \ \

Nor for sabotaging the ORHA for franks and rumsfeld to rob the pro-Iraqi central bank. It's just more American deaths as dumfuk sacrifices for the evil anti-Christ, like bush and rumsfeld committed as stolen from America on 9/11. Who cares about America Limbaugh would quietly trumpet, he's making a killing like Art Bell and O'Reilly do, keeping US masses PORTRAYED uninformed and ignorant beyond belief. Did you hear? Mr. bush is the most popular President ever ellected!

--

/ / Not one "chicken hawk" or a clique of shirkers, but an entire platoon of seventeen soldiers decided to face arrest rather than die needlessly because THE MAN doesn't support our troops. \ \

/ / stupid people prefer President George W Bush over Senator John Kerry by a 4-to-1 margin \ \

--

Getting Started

<http://www.yubanet.com/artman/publish/article_14748.shtml>

/ / ..a call for immediate inquiry into evidence that suggests high-level government officials may have deliberately allowed the September 11th attacks to occur. \ \

I would suggest all living American medias to join the 911 Truth Statement, then, if we did that, America might settle her own affairs, and I could then just center on my upcoming "Wizard's World Wide Wonder Tour" plans currently in the finishing stages. This great World is yours, treat yourself well. A National radio program is just waiting for your call on what you know now about freedom.

/ / So, at the time of the invasion, the Iraqi government had already disclosed and disarmed, and was thereby in compliance with the major provisions of UN

Security Council resolution 1441, to which Bush refers in this quote. Diplomacy had, in fact, worked. \ \

Demon bush spew "Saddam Hussein would still be in power, he would control all those weapons and explosives, and could share them with our terrorist enemies."

Yet, it was rummy and tommy franks who TREASONOUSLY threw out the State Department's semi-thought through ORHA plan of, securing the weapon sites and preventing anarchy, and, it was the IAEA that had tabs on the weapons before the bush demon kicked them out irrationally. Done so to instigate looting and the deaths of American soldiers, all so to allow traitor franks and company to rob the public bank of uncounted billions, along with the museum. See? bushite would truly get the dumfuk cowardly bushite killed for a stolen dollar, and US real men would kill bushite too, as so would this eternal Universe, done so to protect our loving innocent families. Being a bushite disciple of the evil anti-Christ, is something all wish hardship and death for instead of the innocent they, un-apologetically, as enemies of God, openly target for rape, pillage, and murder. Johnny Wizard pays ten cents for every bushite tagged and bagged, ten cents. And, an even share of at least 3 billion to those who kill the bushite commanders responsible for the criminal bombing of Samara. Hint: You kill the individuals who ordered the bombing MURDER campaigns of Samara, then stand up proud to our media as a real American soldier should or would, and say yeah, I killed the nazi bushite traitors as a true Patriot Warrior to protect my fellow brothers and sisters. (Optional close: "All hail Johnny!) Do that, and I promise you'll get what coming to you: all the riches this world of God's can afford., But don't, as bushite nazi soldier grunt, and likely die sacrificed as a coward and a traitor to the Human cause of liberty and Justice for US all. WARRING military corporate commanders that don't support the arrest of those responsible for 9/11, or the return of all stolen assets taken through the neo-con's war crime actions, we should all just frag for America.., what do you say Patriot(s)?

/ / Marine Colonel Michael Schupp dismissed the sputtering dialogue as a sham. "The negotiations are a ruse. They are just stalling for time," he told reporters near Falluja. \ \

What negotiations? Allawi has repeatedly informed American soldiers he has no evidence whatsoever, establishing his therefor, FALSE allegations. Mr.

bremer's Zarqawi moves freely throughout Iraq, including the green zone. Question: When all those children were targeted for murder, by what was reported at the scene with remote controls in one truck and under the street, why would Zarqari take credit for it even if he was truly responsible? And so on..? I think Michael Schupp is a fuk up dumfuk, who thieves from America for bush, and who clearly doesn't have the intellect to command anyone but himself to his own rightly death. Why would Zarqawi target children, when bushite nazi grunts are all so close by coming and going?, for days at least constructing stuff?, that rumsfeld broke?, then over billed to fix for even further takings? Remember several un-arrested bushite NAZI commanders openly confesses to AMERICA in having no public objections about murdering the innocent, without even having a military objective established in some cases, but only for to sacrifice another soulless bushite grunt/slave, all so to enrich the comfy neo-con war mongers left back home in our luxuries., Luxuries from where America's very own grand parents are left un-treated as the still victimized.

What do You think People? Needlessly murder thousands upon thousands irrationally making no sense, or, return stolen Iraqi assets while arresting American enemy Iraqi People killer Allawi, along with maybe Michael Schupp while we're at it? For, who cares about what is right, just, or true is this dying world of Yours?, er.. I mean bush's. You remember, Saddam wouldn't let the inspectors in, and that was why bush took a serious look at this real world situation and decided unilaterally, what the hell, he'd start a war against our Humanity left "undefended" as an enemy to everyone. (Satan's wager, that if i Remember correctly, Christ/God refuses(d).) Evil is Our Mr. Bush Jr.. Thank Johnny we had the time to intervene finally, now eh? Spooky is not the word, but baby, we sure could be on the winning side of this evolution by revelations thing. We are it together, bound for freedom through the practice of Justice, or bound to tyranny through acts of ignorance, ignorance propped by fascist censorship regarding our news content., Censorship of our human cause for liberation.

It is up to You brothers and sisters to demand evidence a requirement to convince ourselves on who shall be deemed the free person, or be sentenced to jail without trial somewhere. Tyranny through criminal dictatorship, or democracy expressing the true will of us people? It is all up to you.

"the Trial and Conviction of Our Mr. bush Jr."

--

/ / Wednesday on the campaign trail, responding for the
first time to the Al-Qaqaa story, President Bush said
that John Kerry, in using the story as he has, was
``denigrating the actions of our troops and commanders
in the field.'' \ \

It was the actions of rummy and franks, not the
mindlessly obeying war crime instigators as iliterately
truly stupidly dying bushite dumfuk nazi grunt teen
soldiers.

--

/ / How many more thousands will have to die before God
will tell Mr. Bush to get out of Iraq? \ \

--

/ / Eighty percent of Bush supporters believe Bush wants
to participate in the treaty banning landmines. \ \

--

No Retreat, No Surrender
by Bruce Springsteen

/ / That's why "united we stand" -- that's why "one
nation indivisible" -- aren't just slogans, but they
need to remain guiding principles of our public policy. \ \

--

/ / "It is also important to note that this was the main
high explosives storage facility in Iraq, and it was
well-known through IAEA reports to the Security
Council," Fleming said. \ \

--

/ / AMY GOODMAN: So again, this top news, as many as
58,000 absentee ballots have gone missing in Broward
County, \ \

/ / Broward county commissioners had purchased the
touch-screen machines from ES&S over the objection of
Elections Supervisor Miriam Oliphant; notably, one
commissioner's campaign treasurer was an ES&S lobbyist.
Governor Bush responded to the Broward fiasco by firing
Oliphant, an African-American, for "misfeasance." \ \

/ / Garner's 90-days-to-democracy pledge ran into a hard
object: The Economy Plan's 'Annex D.' \ \

-

Oh my God!!! - Johnny Wizard talks to America nationally broacasted!

<http://la.indymedia.org/news/2004/10/118305.php>

Oh my God!!!

Get your own copy! Wow!

-

/ / Pentagon officials said a facility housing 380 tons
of (now missing) explosives "was not high on U.S.
commanders' list of sites to guard." What was high on
that list? Spokesman Scott McClellan said we had to
"make sure that the oil fields were secure." \ \

/ / 'Those ammo dumps have been looted and raided, and
kids and our young American forces are being shot at
from weapons stolen from the ammo dumps that this
president didn't think were important enough to guard,' \ \

Who cares?

/ / Roughly half of the $5 billion in reconstruction
funds distributed by the U.S.-managed Development Fund
for Iraq cannot be accounted for, according to an audit
commissioned by the United Nations. \ \

Yawn.

/ / A highly detailed program, begun years before the
tanks rolled, for imposing a new regime of low taxes on
big business, and quick sales of Iraq's banks and
bridges-in fact, "ALL state enterprises"-to foreign
operators. \ \

Big deal. To the war mongering mass murdering thieves
go the stolen spoils., as the bushite's devolving
american new century would say.

/ / The American public is told that this butchery is
part of keeping up pressure in the war on terror. All
these terrorist brides and grooms and terrorist kids
rushing round in party clothes ; all the terrorist
musicians and the terrorist wedding guests, by God, they
have to pay for 9/11 because (as millions of Americans
still believe), the Iraqis were responsible for 9/11. \ \

50 percent of Americans polled support bush, and the
vast majority of those believe Saddam Hussein was behind
the Sept. 11 terrorist attacks, and that freedom by
justice through understanding is too complicated and
wordy, ...yeah. and so corproate news control is lying
to US all, cheating everyone, including You, who cares?

Americans murdered on 9/11, and those responsible are let to go on their way. Yeah, and so what?, for Americans are absent any real Patriot warriors willing to protect their now officially perverted Amerkan flag. Look at the nazi grunt soldier's uniform, and you'll see. All bushite nazi grunts, who fight cowardly with rapists, torturers, and South African apartheid death squad goons, wear a flag reversed from the original design. The bushite attack the weak, innocent, and practically defenseless as betrayers to God, freedom and the human cause. Yeah, and so what?

/ / The administration not only doesn't admit mistakes, but also doesn't believe in accountability. \ \

But for the sacrifice of dumfuk bushite nazi grunts. Leaderless degenerates that any real human would destroy to protect their innocent families. Innocent families the bushite bombers commanding from Florida murder for no good reason but get more soulless bushite teens rightly killed, all done so to put more stolen money in the pockets of their ungodly satanic cult. Solution: hunt to kill those left un-arrested who willfully, criminally, ordered the needless bombing of Samara to get killed the bushite grunts for the further thievings of the bush backer traitors who bask in your stolen expenses.

Support calls for open dialog.

snpd

Is It Just?

/ / Is it just coincidence that 9/11 is also bush's "acceptable" reason for warring, (planned TOP SECRETLY prior by several days of 9/11, and confessed to US already as fully implemented by the Whitehouse) Afghanistan with a FALSE ALLEGATION [n o - e v i d e n c e] to heroin push, [is still leaving] ..the STOLEN American soldier pension money [...] sitting in the Cayman Islands? \ \

I guess perhaps I wasn't so clear previous, but listen: How's bush and rumsfeld's Afghan plan, gonna go down, if there is to be no surprising 9/11, nor any evidence, (not a scintilla,) gathered for public inditement against Laden for shoplifting? (No advisement from Tenent, nor Richard Clark?) Would bush start a war against life itself, by just simply stating, we don't need no evidence of our suffering?, with him demanding an innocent family to be crucified?, to save Enron? Again, bush is the for real antiChrist of all biblical

folklore, hated this entire timeless universe more over than anything else Johnny cares to mention. George bush's naked treason as an enemy of Humanity is hidden from no one. A true soulless degenerate George W. Bush is, whom is purposefully attempting to destroy Your freedom as humanity with his utter contempt for our flying fricken flag. If a person has no evidence to substantiate an allegation as personal opinion on the advocation of perpetrating senseless bushite barbarity against those understood as US innocent, then your blind faith in evil bush as bushite, not lies with your God, your nation, your family, your neighbor, OR YOURSELF, but as the willing to be sacrificed for the ungodly bushite war crimes as enemy of everyone. Listen: Jesus..., KILL BUSH INSTEAD.

Kill un-arrested bush and rumsfeld for murdering the good American People thought left undefended by corporate edict as the still unjustly dying and near forgotten, and you'll be respected by this universe as truly honorable and courageous, in these of God and Humanity's, most troubling of times under the criminal dictates of Our evil Mr. bush Jr..

Those evil brethren of the bushite clan intend, through censorship, to allow the demon bush cabal our rights to leave, but where does the brethren of the bushite clan think bush is a gonna flee himself from, eh? We, The People are a' coming to get you George W. Bush for almost escaping our police protections. For, when the bush brethren clan wake up to the plan, that they'a have'a been'a deceived, the last think anyone would want, is to be without police protection... (Can you believe, the war monger thief and liar, Our Mr. bush Jr. actually banks for Christian fundamentalism, thinking he's gonna ride them bible belting for all he's worth?)

Again, America needs to wake up, load up, and go out to freely bag US some un-American bush and rumsfeld, all to save the lives of the leaderless dumfukked bush-teens, who are prodded criminally as cowards to die for the enemy. Those who would murder innocent Americans as bushite, then further publicly advocate as enemies to freedom, the victimization of God as the innocent elsewhere, should be turned against instead, questioned, arrested granted full legal rights through public due process, or hunted down to be destroyed by US real Patriots of this world as God's great believers. I'd destroyed any un-arrested proud bushite for warring America by indiscriminately dropping toxic cluster bombs

on our friends and family, to get rightly killed more leaderless cowardly soldiers with Grand parents they leave undefended in bush's evil amerka. Who doesn't hate bushite I ask you to think as you should?

You kill bush because, we had unrestricted access to do anything bush pleased throughout Iraq, prior to sacrificing any good for nothing, cowardly bushite nazi dumfuk whore.

Now, because truly, the Iraq bushite war is illegitimately premised without any actual cause, the humans are faced with two questions that have no legitimate answer.

1: What can the ungodly and evil demon bushites do now in Iraq, that they couldn't prior to murdering one child, but for to rob from America by towing his bushwhore nazi coward soldiers to commit criminal acts to rob freedom from all of US as Humanity?

(Kill an proud to be evil bushite, and all day long you'll have the thanks of every surviving American police officer, and a nod from all the American pensioners who are promised that maybe bush's america can learn for next time while the bushites just walk away with the stolen loot laughing.)

2: What could Saddam do, that he wasn't asked for?, to stop the senseless first degree murder of our friends and family?

Saddam provided the sites where all bremer's WMD was destroyed, the documents, the personnel, and so on, but corporate america won't let the facts be delivered without their bias of, who cares neutrality. A refusal to report for American interests regarding the sacrifice of American lives for the un-arrested criminals hiding inside Enron, Dutch-Shell, five dollar a day Bechtel, or double billers, commi Halliburton. Example: soldiers could have more effectively went into Iraq unarmed to search wherever, for there were no restrictions placed upon rational behavior, but just no money for the bushites to steal from America. The proud dumbfuk bushite soldier is coward and betrayer to America and God, by not, for America's America, killing bush and rumsfeld for near escaping the murder scene of 9/11 in New York City. Instead, they attack the defenseless and innocent with cluster bombs laced with toxic waste they happily eat themselves to die as the ungodly soulless bushite traitors. You get your gun Patriot, and instead of punishing a town, city, or state, bag yourself some

individual evil bushite, and be respected by all true loyal Americans as a real freedom fighter. With the God of every religion by your side rooting you on for the home team, You'll be loved by all. At least until, we or me, can make the national news programs to communicate. Again, the management and news desk staff of CBC and CNN know, that the American FBI contend: bush's business partner, the un-arrested in retirement General Ahmad, funded Atta, the 9/11 mastermind. (While no evidence was established against bin as connected, to justify the Afghanistan war crimes. Nothing.)

CNN and CBC, in our names, are with malicious intent, refusing to allow justice to be our interest in protecting always.

snpd

New Recruit

Destroying enemy bushite is a gift to Humanity, it is saying you care for the lives of the innocent a bush bitch nazi grunt freely confesses to targeting for murder. Liars are the bushite, who steal Iraqi resources, allow racists and rapists to commit their demon crimes in America's name un-challenged, and who absent mindedly bomb the innocent without just reason given. All the while, labeling themselves, "pro-Iraqi". The mindlessly evil attack against the Turks recently with an ordered bombing campaign that actually murdered people, sighted yet another, example of the bushite's idiotic ungodly satanic barbarity against us the Humans as INNOCENT. After the carnage, the war criminalizing America into bushite commanders were advised, it would be better to pick other completely innocent families to indiscriminately murder while lying, for the demon bushite provisions come through that big ol' Turkey, and if the Middle Easterners eventually learned together of their fellow Turks who were murdered by ungodly enemy nazi forces for admittingly doing nothing worth noting, with the middle east's indirect help with essential supplies coming from somewhere in that surrounding billion man vicinity, you know, I know, we all know, "Americans" as NAZI war criminal enemy bushite, would massively die as the immediately recognizable to most everyone, and rightly too as leaderless cowardly, dumb, and real sadistic evil, dying at the hands of all US Family men. Being as bushite, the enemies to everyone, including themselves we've witnessed them already dying as nazi dumfuks who eat plutonium. Or, IED? They mean "sexy" rummy cluster dud land mine more like! (Just

where is the Middle of East anyway?) History HAS learned these lessons already, while the corporatist bushite plays US just dumb enough through malicious censorship for plunder by parasitic bushite suicide, for a bushite has no soul worth defending. See?, the committed nazi automaton bushite enemy of America soldier has no mind to know freedom for the individual. Therefor my friend, kill the evil nazi George W. bush Jr. not just for me as Johnny, but for US all man who refuse to be subjected further as only counted by the corporate dictums to pronounce "pro-American": as those that go silently rooted backing "un-accountable" rapists and torturers of the innocent, the innocent, that the bushite OPENLY rob from with American's hard earned tax dollars funding. Funding through leadership directed towards their very own self destruction. See bushite, kill bushite, at least until we are granted living access to OUR corporate dead end news media to speak FREELY for ourselves without bushite lies tying US down for furthering that demon's hellish ungodly tyranny as an enemy to all our Humanity and Royal King Johnny.

Real Americans are those of US as humanity that believe in liberty as Justice for all. Demon bushite nazi whores, don't like those principles of freedom through evidentiary findings though, for their false deity, George W. bush Jr, wouldn't be stealing from the honest hard working blokes who live for a better day that way.

The Iraqi children did nothing to deserve such awful suffering and fright and death at the hands of the enemy bushite. The bushite: who the demon anti-Christ states, are un-accountable to any rules of law in this Universe, like with his extremely foolish criminal decree, 13303. I kill mindless parasite bushite nazi enemy soldiers just for that alone as eternal defender, and I do it for to win some mercy for a real living God, who the fascist enemy bushite hold in their ungodly contempt as LIARS.

I have the backing of every real man alive on this planet, and we all know it. No voices of reason have apposed my factual claims in FREE Usenet, and Art Bell makes no attempt to defend himself as internationally painted enemy traitor, and that says a whole lot to Talk America. Why? Because Mr. Bell, the bushite propagandist, knows too, I speak and act truthfully, honorably, and righteously, while the talk radio american bushite lies, cheats and steals from America's America, that as bushite, leave undefended for criminal plunder in Your name call censored from classed

enrollment. You have just as much a right to be here as I do, and the demon bush, he ain't no better than any of US when he is "excused" for the murder of well more than a hundred thousand confessed as completely innocent people on this planet called Earth.

The fascist control bushite, don't like You to think independently for Yourself, for we independents out here make them look as stupid as they are. Thank you very much for that not so secret info.

Through the pronounced bush demon's gaffes, embarrassments, and told complete total ignorance on subjects like the science of economics, the whys of constitutional law, or when the bushite takes credit for indiscriminate killing of our families and friends while parading it's all done in bush's name for our freedom, or for 9/11, doesn't fool me, and should get you thinking about reaching for your guns too, for bushite rapists and torturers will not walk this world freely un-accountable, for as long as we remain alive to hunt them down and kill them if so be. As the soulless disciples of the enemy anti-Christ, Our Mr. bush Jr., their "officially" granted legal immunity from all prosecution means nothing to me as a honorable man defending my humanity, or as living Creator, who brought all into this new world to be free, and can just as soon, take you all nazi bushite out the old school way.

REMEMBER: Demon bush is stupid, yaw, but he knows that he and rumsfeld with condi planned and carried out the crimes of 9/11 with likely cheney orchestrating, don't ever forget that part when attempting assessment how good four more years in going to do for the state of freedom in Your dying world. Serious this situation truly is for everyone. Everyone.

Now, what are You going to do about this, DEMON George W. bush Jr. actually playing US like he would like to continue victimizing our dying world as ENEMY for four more years towards furthering lawless tyranny? Don't we already have it bad enough, that corporate journalists think there is actually some merit to George bush's re-election bid? Especially after that 9/11 thang? Then there is the needless criminal wars, fraught with embarrassing massive billion dollar criminal frauds as .oops, more government fuk ups? Then of course all the bushite thefts. I did a Internet Google search of "Tiger Team" and I found next to nothing in all the professional media corporate news outlets we have advertising on our information service providers top

notched list as best sourced material. And that should say as much or more to you too, about who's really conning who. So, who cares about where this world is going? I do, and so should you too.

snpd

Again, none of any words world wide bare contention against the facts we've brought to our attention. The traitors to America, Our Mr. bush Jr. and rotten rumsfeld don't mention the willingness of American Soldiers to aprehend general Ahmad as the principle terrorist funder of Atta, because this general is also responsible for developing the bushmob strategy of killing Americans in New York City from Washington. A strategy of blaming bin Laden without any evidence to bomb Afghanistan for Enron. (The Dahbol Working Group.) Then closing criminal investigations, as it's not important to know who personally was responsible for the insider trades, or the contacting of only Odigo. Never mentioned by CNN as top priority to American soldier concerns, who are expected by Blitzer and Hemmer to throw away their lives in sacrifice unthinking to bush, america's false deity, super evil anti-Christ. While bush and cheney threaten to murder more dumb Americans who would dare to articulate the demand for Justice as our own, like Laden has, while demanding American Patriots coware in fear of the sadistic savage mass murdering death squad leader, tommy franks, or the anti-Christ going out to kill their family too as not represented by corporate policy, while American soldier families are continually disrespected as unworthy. (Generally, they are pretty damn stoopid, but certainly, if they're not knowingly commiting first degree murders for the anti-Christ as pure nazi filth, they should be allowed to learn, instead of having their own undefended families robbed then slaughtered for American traitor, nazi puke terrorist, tommy franks, or for Monsanto's rotten rumsfeld. Do you notice corporate America continues to show outdated footage of those two demons standing near smiling armed American soldiers, who as a mass of dumfuks, aren't intelligent enough to destroy them instantly as established ememies of America as terrorists? Well, that is going to change. I have yet to find ANY American Soldier who is literate, who disagrees WE have some very important questions that need immediate answers before the bushmob murders more Americans in Our name. See for yourself through Google if you don't believe in Christ as the Redeemer.

As for the doubting American Soldier, LOOK, CNN will continue as cult members in their dying madness of just even lamer excuses, to convince US, we are alone in our concerns for Freedom as Justice instills for US all. This moment of History requires you to stand strong for only yourself to be represented truly among the stars. Evil stupid people can't make heads or tails of this, but, as evil bush does truly from his dark shallow existence, will continue by open piracy, to publicly advocate in Our names the further robbing and murder of your own family American Patriot, so too, will more american nazi dumfuks die to the tune of their own cowardice to protect themselves as garnered fairly. This is why rotten rumsfeld forbids the death tally of American soldiers to be made public to the ready for enlistment as the too dumb to know better. Life is life, and sorry to say american dumfuks, Christ on the cross is you too as a true dying American Patriot, and your already politically sitting in the position of hatred and injustice against Humanity, and myself honestly as.. THE SON OF MAN! (The god of God's life/death guy, with a score to settle on behalf of the infinite Universe and True brave Soldiers everywhere. KILL AMERICAN COP KILLER BUSH NOW BEFORE HE MURDERS MORE IN OUR NAME AS THE INNOCENT COWARDS!)

CNN is not interested in working for American interests by asking why, as there is little of any money in just doing good for your neighbor, like saving a living, as we would wish to be helped for ourselves, if we were bound just the same to be equals at being. Mr. Blitzer and Bill Hemmer, as americans, wouldn't give a dollar of your time, to speak on human rights to be of their own concern, (they're rich!) or to save the life of an innocent poor victim, if it meant they would therefor, be compelled to honestly report on their false deity bush, the super evil criminal to ourselves as Humanity, the unelected dictator, American cop killing anti-Christ in person!, that they so leave to see Americans sacrificed as ignored to be robbed from as their profit margin increases. Do less for America, The People, and get payed more in collateral damages paid for by doubting Patriots, too slow to stand for the American flag in protection for their own living as lost to the wind. Mr. bush, tommy, rumsfeld, cheney and blair give no evidence, but for to show themselves as the criminals who openly harbor terrorist activity against our communities, and WE, as Humanity, according to CNN, are expected to go out and kill our friends in cowardice, instead of standing up to destroy the demon bush instead to save our own selves.

God, or no God, I hate that murderer bush as much as any
real man would to care for his own life as family.

One More Thing

snpd

> http://la.indymedia.org/uploads/wizards.mp3

> Dream-Job
> http://la.indymedia.org/uploads/somebody.mp3

> Word of Advice
> http://la.indymedia.org/uploads/advice.mp3

http://istanbul.indymedia.org/uploads/bushite-kills-bushite.mp3

http://la.indymedia.org/uploads/son-of-man.mp3

http://jerusalem.indymedia.org/uploads/the-big-blab.mp3

822. Re: Funny Police Radio Conversation (wav)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-08 09:32:25 UTC
From: Paul Johnson <baloo@ursine.ca>
Message-ID: <9idmd2-7ko.ln1@ursine.ca>
Source record: 14982
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.family

poboxzdc@ix.netcom.com wrote:

> http://www.nc911.com/Sounds/jack_knifed_tractor_trailer.htm

It's 404.

--
Paul Johnson
baloo@ursine.ca
http://ursine.ca/~baloo/

823. Re: Super Bowl Combat Air Patrol Recorded Audio OnLine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-08 10:51:58 UTC
From: dxAce <dxace@eclipsed.com>
Message-ID: <420899CE.6F320CD3@eclipsed.com>
Source record: 14983

HotShot wrote:

> Same feeling I get every time I go to the U.S.
>
> As soon as I cross the boarder into the U.S. I'm always on the look out
> for a moment of sheer terror.

And we wait in sheer terror for those who can't spell 'border' to cross over.

It's very disconcerting.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

824. For sale AOR AR8200 MK3

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-08 16:35:53 UTC
From: Joey0309 <joey0309@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1107880553.509726.193890@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14984

I've got an AOR AR8200 MK3 (cell blocked) less than a year old and barely used for sale. Includes original manual and box. I accept paypal or money order. $350 plus shipping.

825. Re: Super Bowl Combat Air Patrol Recorded Audio OnLine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-08 16:56:11 UTC
From: Evan Platt <evan@TheObvious.espphotography.com>
Message-ID: <fgrh015ra0qlkic3123fonkjmgcb34sk0a@4ax.com>
Source record: 14985

On Mon, 7 Feb 2005 17:29:22 -0600, "Jim Douglas"
<james.douglas@genesis-software.com> wrote:

>Didn't know you were a scanner guy also dxAce!

Please don't reply to dXAce's useless posts, unless he has something
intelligent to add (which I have yet to see). I killfilled him long
ago.

If you do a deja search of dxAce 'Tard Boy, you'll see he's used this
lame insult 457 times.

It got old real quick. My guess is his need to insult everyone in
every post comes from sexual dysfunctional issues, but I'm no doctor.
--
To reply, remove TheObvious from my e-mail address.

826. Re: Super Bowl Combat Air Patrol Recorded Audio OnLine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-08 17:09:09 UTC
From: dxAce <dxace@eclipsed.com>
Message-ID: <4208F235.5A51715@eclipsed.com>
Source record: 14986

Evan Platt wrote:

> On Mon, 7 Feb 2005 17:29:22 -0600, "Jim Douglas"
> <james.douglas@genesis-software.com> wrote:
>
> >Didn't know you were a scanner guy also dxAce!
>
> Please don't reply to dXAce's useless posts, unless he has something
> intelligent to add (which I have yet to see). I killfilled him long
> ago.
>
> If you do a deja search of dxAce 'Tard Boy, you'll see he's used this
> lame insult 457 times.
>
> It got old real quick. My guess is his need to insult everyone in
> every post comes from sexual dysfunctional issues, but I'm no doctor.

Of course not, you're simply a 'tard. (458?)

dxAce
Michigan
USA

827. Uniden BC246T Leather Cases

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-08 18:44:59 UTC
From: "R. Smith" <dfd0150@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <110i24dr1m4ot08@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 14987

Hello,
At the end of February I will be getting in a shippment of custom
leather cases for Uniden BC246T Scanner.
I am not sure how many will be on hand (10-20) or what the price will be
as yet but am guessing around the $25 range plus shipping. If anyone is
interested in one please let me know and I can put one on hold for you.
If you are interested I have a few pictures of the case that I can
e-mail you.
It's the same type of case that I have been selling for the Pro-96,95,93
A very nice quality leather.
Please only e-mail me at electonicdeals@dccnet.com
Thank you -Russ

828. Re: Super Bowl Combat Air Patrol Recorded Audio OnLine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-08 18:47:49 UTC
From: MnMikew <mnmiikkew@aol.com>
Message-ID: <36sfrdF57idm5U1@individual.net>
Source record: 14988

"Evan Platt" <evan@TheObvious.espphotography.com> wrote in message
news:fgrh015ra0qlkic3123fonkjmgcb34sk0a@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 7 Feb 2005 17:29:22 -0600, "Jim Douglas"
> <james.douglas@genesis-software.com> wrote:
>
> >Didn't know you were a scanner guy also dxAce!

Please don't reply to Evan Platt. He's a known troll in rec.radio.shortwave
and knows nothing about radios.

829. Re: Super Bowl Combat Air Patrol Recorded Audio OnLine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-08 22:07:19 UTC
From: Evan Platt <evan@TheObvious.espphotography.com>
Message-ID: <7sdi019k67t9mja0ueot2dkqehcv518o34@4ax.com>
Source record: 14989

On Tue, 8 Feb 2005 12:47:49 -0600, "MnMikew" <mnmiikkew@aol.com>
wrote:

>Please don't reply to Evan Platt. He's a known troll in rec.radio.shortwave
>and knows nothing about radios.

Riiiiiigght.

Hope you've found an alternative for usenet access.

I sure would miss you.
--
To reply, remove TheObvious from my e-mail address.

830. Re: Cleaning Out House Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-09 03:13:01 UTC
From: sloan <sloanrod@aol.com>
Message-ID: <51fdd080b6b4851cdca7768ae78b3964@localhost.talkaboutelectronicequipment.com>
Source record: 14990

i am intrested in buying the federal fireball II halogen light advertised
what do i do

831. Re: Super Bowl Combat Air Patrol Recorded Audio OnLine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-09 03:57:40 UTC
From: Evan Platt <evan@TheObvious.espphotography.com>
Message-ID: <ib2j01lik8dhhh6jmvsnqh4r3uc7d7u9bu@4ax.com>
Source record: 14991

On Mon, 7 Feb 2005 18:11:34 -0800, Justin <justint2004@gmai1.com>
wrote:

> Combat Air Patrol refers to military aircraft that patrol an area to
>intercept aircraft. It can be a long boring shift for a pilot, but every
>once in a while the hours of boredom can lead to moments of sheer terror.

Ahh.. Thank you. Is Combat Air Patrol the organizations 'name'?

Googling Combat Air Patrol brings up a number of references to a few
flight sims, etc.

And now knowing the above of what you mentioned, I see a few
references to Combat Air Patrol missions of the Air Force, but not
that the organization is called CAP.

Thanks... interesting reading.

Evan
--
To reply, remove TheObvious from my e-mail address.

832. Pro-91

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-09 04:00:56 UTC
From: gtgrandprix00@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050208230056.12541.00000027@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 14992

Hi all. I will possibly be listing a like new Pro-91 on ebay but thought I would post here for any interests before I do. I can email pics if necessary. Also includes manual. please email directly for any questions just in case I dont read group daily. Thanks

833. Re: Super Bowl Combat Air Patrol Recorded Audio OnLine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-09 07:40:47 UTC
From: "M.S." <notvalid@email.add>
Message-ID: <98jOd.9$w4.3306@sooner.brightok.net>
Source record: 14993

Sheesh! Is this guy serious?

"Evan Platt" <evan@TheObvious.espphotography.com> wrote in message
news:ib2j01lik8dhhh6jmvsnqh4r3uc7d7u9bu@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 7 Feb 2005 18:11:34 -0800, Justin <justint2004@gmai1.com>
> wrote:
>
>
> > > Combat Air Patrol refers to military aircraft that patrol an area to
> > >intercept aircraft. It can be a long boring shift for a pilot, but every
> > >once in a while the hours of boredom can lead to moments of sheer terror.
>
> Ahh.. Thank you. Is Combat Air Patrol the organizations 'name'?
>
> Googling Combat Air Patrol brings up a number of references to a few
> flight sims, etc.
>
> And now knowing the above of what you mentioned, I see a few
> references to Combat Air Patrol missions of the Air Force, but not
> that the organization is called CAP.
>
> Thanks... interesting reading.
>
> Evan
> --
> To reply, remove TheObvious from my e-mail address.

834. Re: Super Bowl Combat Air Patrol Recorded Audio OnLine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-09 14:49:04 UTC
From: willow <liegebay@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <cud88j0ubt@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 14994

It's obvious he takes himself quite seriously even though he knows nothing
about the subject.

"M.S." <notvalid@email.add> wrote in message
news:98jOd.9$w4.3306@sooner.brightok.net...
> Sheesh! Is this guy serious?
>
> "Evan Platt" <evan@TheObvious.espphotography.com> wrote in message
> news:ib2j01lik8dhhh6jmvsnqh4r3uc7d7u9bu@4ax.com...
> > On Mon, 7 Feb 2005 18:11:34 -0800, Justin <justint2004@gmai1.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> >
> > > Combat Air Patrol refers to military aircraft that patrol an area to
> > >intercept aircraft. It can be a long boring shift for a pilot, but
every
> > >once in a while the hours of boredom can lead to moments of sheer
terror.
> >
> > Ahh.. Thank you. Is Combat Air Patrol the organizations 'name'?
> >
> > Googling Combat Air Patrol brings up a number of references to a few
> > flight sims, etc.
> >
> > And now knowing the above of what you mentioned, I see a few
> > references to Combat Air Patrol missions of the Air Force, but not
> > that the organization is called CAP.
> >
> > Thanks... interesting reading.
> >
> > Evan
> > --
> > To reply, remove TheObvious from my e-mail address.
>
>

835. Re: Super Bowl Combat Air Patrol Recorded Audio OnLine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-09 15:57:45 UTC
From: Evan Platt <evan@TheObvious.espphotography.com>
Message-ID: <cnck0192vagk9t4dst36a5ir9s1gc01aq8@4ax.com>
Source record: 14995

On Wed, 9 Feb 2005 01:40:47 -0600, "M.S." <notvalid@email.add> wrote:

>Sheesh! Is this guy serious?

Am I serious about what?
--
To reply, remove TheObvious from my e-mail address.

836. Re: Super Bowl Combat Air Patrol Recorded Audio OnLine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-09 16:27:39 UTC
From: dxAce <dxace@eclipsed.com>
Message-ID: <420A39FB.ED164A1C@eclipsed.com>
Source record: 14996

Evan ' I don't know crap about anything' Platt wrote:

> On Wed, 9 Feb 2005 01:40:47 -0600, "M.S." <notvalid@email.add> wrote:
>
> >Sheesh! Is this guy serious?
>
> Am I serious about what?

Anything.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

837. Re: Cleaning Out House Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-09 17:26:55 UTC
From: sloan
Message-ID: <a939dbbc411dc5e90ec85cf9cefc281b@localhost.talkaboutelectronicequipment.com>
Source record: 14997

i would like to purcahse a light advertised on that sight. it a federal fireball II halogen rotating emergency light wich is a used item

838. Re: Super Bowl Combat Air Patrol Recorded Audio OnLine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-09 18:09:45 UTC
From: MnMikew <mnmiikkew@aol.com>
Message-ID: <36v1vaF54hn3lU1@individual.net>
Source record: 14998

"Evan Platt" <evan@TheObvious.espphotography.com> wrote in message
news:7sdi019k67t9mja0ueot2dkqehcv518o34@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 8 Feb 2005 12:47:49 -0600, "MnMikew" <mnmiikkew@aol.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Please don't reply to Evan Platt. He's a known troll in
rec.radio.shortwave
> >and knows nothing about radios.
>
> Riiiiiigght.
>
> Hope you've found an alternative for usenet access.
>
> I sure would miss you.

Bwhahahhahahah!

839. FA: VR500 for Auction in UK. Post Anywhere.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-09 18:12:21 UTC
From: Rv! <Not.given.away>
Message-ID: <420a5282$0$16588$cc9e4d1f@news-text.dial.pipex.com>
Source record: 14999

Auction Link:
http://makeashorterlink.com/?S3C62307A
http://cgi.ebay.co.uk/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5750759501

This VR500 has had very little use as a station monitor. This is the UK spec radio with no band
gaps.

It is supplied in the box and will be bagged up as it came when new. All manuals and parts are
supplied. This is being sold reluctantly asit is so rarely used I can't justify keeping it.

If you have any questions contact me by Ebay or call on 07017 41 41 31. Located near Edinburgh in
Scotland.

Bid price will include UK postage and Packing. Willing to ship internationally at cost price.

Check this web site for details of the radio: http://www.javiation.co.uk/vr-500.html

840. Re: Super Bowl Combat Air Patrol Recorded Audio OnLine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-09 21:01:41 UTC
From: dxAce <dxace@eclipsed.com>
Message-ID: <420A7A35.F9C2A930@eclipsed.com>
Source record: 14850

Evan Platt wrote:

> On Mon, 7 Feb 2005 18:11:34 -0800, Justin <justint2004@gmai1.com>
> wrote:
>
> > Combat Air Patrol refers to military aircraft that patrol an area to
> >intercept aircraft. It can be a long boring shift for a pilot, but every
> >once in a while the hours of boredom can lead to moments of sheer terror.
>
> Ahh.. Thank you. Is Combat Air Patrol the organizations 'name'?

No... it is merely terminology. It's like DAT.

(Dumb Ass 'Tard)

dxAce
Michigan
USA

841. Re: cordless phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-09 21:06:55 UTC
From: FeMaster <FeMaster@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <N_uOd.41874$sP1.40807@fe07.lga>
Source record: 14851

"Barry OGrady" <god_freee_jones@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:a0cd019hd6msbk0rmajtdh8d67bbvpji55@4ax.com...
> >> > According to this FAQ, looks like the majority of the NON-Trunking
> >> > "regular"
> >> > SCANNERS don't have WFM... Perhaps you get your information from
some
> >> > other
> >> > planet?

> > http://www.strongsignals.net/access/content/model.html
> >
>
> According to your FAQ list most scanners do have WFM.
> Only those too cheap to cover broadcast and TV don't have WFM.
>

Wideband Receivers DON'T COUNT. They are NOT "regular" scanners; matter of fact, they are not scanners at all... There's a difference, but apparently you're just too stupid to notice.

I'm done arguing with you dip-shits. If your heads weren't shoved so far up your rectal cavities you might actually see that you really haven't a clue at all...

842. WinScanRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-09 22:28:20 UTC
From: William Martin <martin@orie.cornell.edu>
Message-ID: <MPG.1c74588d278f05b989689@newsstand.cit.cornell.edu>
Source record: 14852

[This followup was posted to rec.radio.scanner and a copy was sent to the cited author.]

I want to purchase a copy of WinScanRS for a Radio Shack Pro-2052.

The software by Pozilla seems to have been discontinued at all of the on-line distributers I have checked. I submitted a query a week ago on the the Pozilla Web site (http://www.pozillatech.com/scanners.html), but have received no response.

Can anyone help me?

-- Bill Martin --

843. WinScanRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-09 22:29:22 UTC
From: William Martin <martin@orie.cornell.edu>
Message-ID: <MPG.1c7458cb69e42af198968a@newsstand.cit.cornell.edu>
Source record: 14853

[This followup was posted to rec.radio.scanner and a copy was sent to the cited author.]

I want to purchase a copy of WinScanRS for a Radio Shack Pro-2052.

The software by Pozilla seems to have been discontinued at all of the on-line distributers I have checked. I submitted a query a week ago on the the Pozilla Web site (http://www.pozillatech.com/scanners.html), but have received no response.

Can anyone help me?

-- Bill Martin --

844. WinScanRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-09 22:30:03 UTC
From: William Martin <martin@orie.cornell.edu>
Message-ID: <MPG.1c7458f46101f81898968b@newsstand.cit.cornell.edu>
Source record: 14854

[This followup was posted to and a copy was sent to the cited author.]

[This followup was posted to rec.radio.scanner and a copy was sent to
the cited author.]

I want to purchase a copy of WinScanRS for a Radio Shack Pro-2052.

The software by Pozilla seems to have been discontinued at all of the
on-line distributers I have checked. I submitted a query a week ago on
the the Pozilla Web site (http://www.pozillatech.com/scanners.html), but
have received no response.

Can anyone help me?

-- Bill Martin --

845. Re: UNIDEN DEBUTS APCO 25 CAPABLE DIGITAL SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-09 22:55:59 UTC
From: Luddite <a2k@ripco.com>
Message-ID: <cue4dv$65j$1@e250.ripco.com>
Source record: 14855
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

> The Bearcat BCD396T also offers Close CallT RF Capture Technology, Dynamic
> Memory Management, Fire Tone-Out as well as 5,500 channels and a frequency
> range of 25MHz to 1300MHz, excluding cellular. This new model is slated to
> hit shelves in late summer 2005.

> "With the BCD396T, we listened to our consumers and have incorporated their
> feedback on features they wanted, into a high-quality product that meets the
> demands of both professionals, such as law enforcement officials, and

what about a front end that does not overload in urban areas?

846. Re: cordless phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-10 00:21:32 UTC
From: SteveJ <SJ@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <BN2dnX5uXeuVNJffRVn-hw@comcast.com>
Source record: 14856

I have two wireless phones and NO scanner can receive one is a frequency hopper, using digital modulation that covers all of the 902-928 MHz band five times a second, and the other one is a spreadsprectrum system, sounds like noise when received on a analog receiver in the wide or narrow band mode. In other words forget about receiving it.

"Jim" <junk@junk.com> wrote in message
news:dxbMd.19$XY5.0@fe2.columbus.rr.com...
> Scan 902 to 904...
>
> <stryped@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1107353364.869736.205510@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>>I know it is not legal but can a regular scanner that covers 900 mhz
>> listen to cordless phone conversations?
>>
>
>

847. Re: cordless phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-10 00:40:04 UTC
From: bob <noone@nowhere.org>
Message-ID: <m3yOd.4020$504.608816@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 14857

Secure unless someone has a base station that uses an identical chipset
and forces it into receive mode. This does require some tinkering, but
it can be done. Don't kid yourself, the FHSS and DSSS technology used by
cordless phone manufacturers are not designed for security, they are
just basic chipsets with a minimum of support components. And the
advertised 64 bazillion security codes have nothing to do with the
sequence, it's only for the off hook negotiation (aka: line seizure) and
ring triggering on an inbound call. Remember, a lot of those SS units
still have a 'channel' button, and there's only 10 to 25 'channels' on
these things. That would point to a limit of 10 to 25 spread patterns.
Splurge the $19 at Target and buy a popular unit and dig into it,
there's not a lot in there.

B.

SteveJ wrote:
> I have two wireless phones and NO scanner can receive one is a frequency
> hopper, using digital modulation that covers all of the 902-928 MHz band
> five times a second, and the other one is a spreadsprectrum system, sounds
> like noise when received on a analog receiver in the wide or narrow band
> mode. In other words forget about receiving it.
>
>
>
>
> "Jim" <junk@junk.com> wrote in message
> news:dxbMd.19$XY5.0@fe2.columbus.rr.com...
>
>>Scan 902 to 904...
>>
>><stryped@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>news:1107353364.869736.205510@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>>I know it is not legal but can a regular scanner that covers 900 mhz
>>>listen to cordless phone conversations?
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

848. Re: UNIDEN DEBUTS APCO 25 CAPABLE DIGITAL SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-10 01:42:11 UTC
From: Brian <victhedog@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <5uel015kh92jhrhtki7n6684fblas44p88@4ax.com>
Source record: 14858
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 9 Feb 2005 22:55:59 +0000 (UTC), Luddite <a2k@ripco.com>

wrote:

move out of the getto you will not have that problem

>
>> The Bearcat BCD396T also offers Close CallT RF Capture Technology, Dynamic
>> Memory Management, Fire Tone-Out as well as 5,500 channels and a frequency
>> range of 25MHz to 1300MHz, excluding cellular. This new model is slated to
>> hit shelves in late summer 2005.
>
>> "With the BCD396T, we listened to our consumers and have incorporated their
>> feedback on features they wanted, into a high-quality product that meets the
>> demands of both professionals, such as law enforcement officials, and
>
>
>what about a front end that does not overload in urban areas?
>

849. Re: Legal Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-10 01:53:09 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <3kfl015aeolko4hpo0u4cocljuut759ci6@4ax.com>
Source record: 14859

```
On Wed, 02 Feb 2005 20:59:12 GMT, "T-bone" <mis@take.net> wrote:

>"Lou" <lf08357@Yahoo.Com> wrote in
>news:ZWaMd.41113$NC6.39724@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net:
>
>>
>> "T-bone" <mis@take.net> wrote in message
>> news:Xns95F1916CE2D67ewrfdgrstnetaakeanfk@140.99.99.130...
>>>
>>>>
>>>> Such intelligent answers.... It is NOT self explanatory, as there are
>>>> usually exceptions to any law. Get a life? Stewped? WOW, I'm
>>>> impressed. Such intelligent answers. How about providing some factual
>>>> answers. Must be bus drivers like the one this person ran into.
>>>> Scanners are sold to be used. So, tell me, if YOU with the intelligent
>>>> responses are "truly" scanner users - were told you weren't allowed to
>>>> be in possession of your scanners in certain situations, you would
>>>> just say "Oh, OK!" and give up? I doubt it. Thanks for the help thus
>>>> far. Sure hope you're as fortunate when you need it.
>>>>
>>>> L.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>> All righty - I'll try to break it down for ya.
>>> Busses are considered a form of mobile transportation so .....
>>> If you use a scanner on a bus, you are using a scanner mobily, which is
>>> prohibited by law.
>>> You can argue technicalities until your blue in the face, but that
>>> won't stop
>>> a judge who goes by the letter of the law from confiscating your
>>> scanner, and
>>> imposing fines.
>>> And yes, the same would apply to a taxi,trolly or train - And even a
>>> bicycle.
>>>
>> Ah, but ya see, in PA there is NO scanner law that I'm aware of -
>> unless
>> one is in the works which again, I've not heard of... SO - I'm looking
>> to see if there are any "transit" issues relating to scanner use onboard
>> - not counting the fact headphones must be used to prevent disturbing
>> others. So, that statement doesn't apply to ALL. So, no - you've not
>> broken anything down. This driver made a statement, I want to see what
>> others have experienced to either back his claim or put a hole in his
```

>> balloon in so far as his claims (not for evidence). I still say he was
>> off base. Airlines don't allow them. I know that - due to RF issues
>> mainly. Buses do not have that issue. There are no "Transit" laws that
>> I'm aware of that prohibits scanner use (even with headphones) which is
>> what I was asking if others had similar problems - in states with or
>> without Mobile Scanner laws. I can understand they stop cars/trucks to
>> check things out. Rarely if ever have I heard of a bus being stopped to
>> check all "passengers" for such items. Perhaps you can argue the states
>> with the Mobile Scanning laws, it would apply.. Cool, I can accept that.
>> But in those with no laws, sorry - it doesn't wash. They have no basis
>> for which to make such policies.
>>
>> L.
>>
>Well then if mobile use of a scanner is not illegal in PA, your likely in
>the clear.
>I have no interest in scanning laws in PA.
>I'd suggest you call the transit authority to get a definitive answer.

They're the last ones to call for an authorititive answer --
they'll tell you what they want you to do.

While policy does not have the force of law -- as in they
might not be able to have you arrested and tried for it -- they might
be able to deny you the use of the transit facility.

Many places have policies which will not stand up to a legal
challenge. Most obvious is the kind of thing where a drycleaner posts
a sign saying they can sell yor unclaimed garments for coste after
thirty days. In fact, most jurisdictions specify ninety days. If they
sell your stuff before ninety days, you can sue them for the value of
the clothes and prevail. They will point to the sign just to scare you
from making a claim, hence the name "in terrorem" clause.

850. Re: cordless phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-10 02:10:50 UTC
From: SteveJ <SJ@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <UKqdna7saLQ7X5ffRVn-hA@comcast.com>
Source record: 14860

My point is a scanner would not pick them up this waas the topic.

"bob" <noone@nowhere.org> wrote in message
news:m3yOd.4020$504.608816@news20.bellglobal.com...
> Secure unless someone has a base station that uses an identical chipset
> and forces it into receive mode. This does require some tinkering, but it
> can be done. Don't kid yourself, the FHSS and DSSS technology used by
> cordless phone manufacturers are not designed for security, they are just
> basic chipsets with a minimum of support components. And the advertised 64
> bazillion security codes have nothing to do with the sequence, it's only
> for the off hook negotiation (aka: line seizure) and ring triggering on an
> inbound call. Remember, a lot of those SS units still have a 'channel'
> button, and there's only 10 to 25 'channels' on these things. That would
> point to a limit of 10 to 25 spread patterns. Splurge the $19 at Target
> and buy a popular unit and dig into it, there's not a lot in there.
>
> B.
>
>
>
> SteveJ wrote:
>> I have two wireless phones and NO scanner can receive one is a frequency
>> hopper, using digital modulation that covers all of the 902-928 MHz band
>> five times a second, and the other one is a spreadsprectrum system,
>> sounds like noise when received on a analog receiver in the wide or
>> narrow band mode. In other words forget about receiving it.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> "Jim" <junk@junk.com> wrote in message
>> news:dxbMd.19$XY5.0@fe2.columbus.rr.com...
>>
>>>Scan 902 to 904...
>>>
>>><stryped@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>news:1107353364.869736.205510@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>>>
>>>>I know it is not legal but can a regular scanner that covers 900 mhz
>>>>listen to cordless phone conversations?
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>

851. Re: Legal Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-10 02:19:07 UTC
From: T-bone <mis@take.net>
Message-ID: <Xns95F8D8DF4FB2Cewrfdgrstnetaakeanfk@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 14861

kashe@sonic.net wrote in news:3kfl015aeolko4hpo0u4cocljuut759ci6@4ax.com:

> On Wed, 02 Feb 2005 20:59:12 GMT, "T-bone" <mis@take.net> wrote:
>
>>"Lou" <lf08357@Yahoo.Com> wrote in
>>news:ZWaMd.41113$NC6.39724@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net:
>>
>>>
>>> "T-bone" <mis@take.net> wrote in message
>>> news:Xns95F1916CE2D67ewrfdgrstnetaakeanfk@140.99.99.130...
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Such intelligent answers.... It is NOT self explanatory, as there
>>>>> are usually exceptions to any law. Get a life? Stewped? WOW, I'm
>>>>> impressed. Such intelligent answers. How about providing some
>>>>> factual answers. Must be bus drivers like the one this person ran
>>>>> into. Scanners are sold to be used. So, tell me, if YOU with the
>>>>> intelligent responses are "truly" scanner users - were told you
>>>>> weren't allowed to be in possession of your scanners in certain
>>>>> situations, you would just say "Oh, OK!" and give up? I doubt it.
>>>>> Thanks for the help thus far. Sure hope you're as fortunate when you
>>>>> need it.
>>>>>
>>>>> L.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> All righty - I'll try to break it down for ya.
>>>> Busses are considered a form of mobile transportation so .....
>>>> If you use a scanner on a bus, you are using a scanner mobily, which
>>>> is prohibited by law.
>>>> You can argue technicalities until your blue in the face, but that
>>>> won't stop
>>>> a judge who goes by the letter of the law from confiscating your
>>>> scanner, and
>>>> imposing fines.
>>>> And yes, the same would apply to a taxi,trolly or train - And even a
>>>> bicycle.
>>>>
>>> Ah, but ya see, in PA there is NO scanner law that I'm aware of -
>>> unless
>>> one is in the works which again, I've not heard of... SO - I'm looking
>>> to see if there are any "transit" issues relating to scanner use
>>> onboard - not counting the fact headphones must be used to prevent

>>> disturbing others. So, that statement doesn't apply to ALL. So, no -
>>> you've not broken anything down. This driver made a statement, I want
>>> to see what others have experienced to either back his claim or put a
>>> hole in his balloon in so far as his claims (not for evidence). I
>>> still say he was off base. Airlines don't allow them. I know that -
>>> due to RF issues mainly. Buses do not have that issue. There are no
>>> "Transit" laws that I'm aware of that prohibits scanner use (even with
>>> headphones) which is what I was asking if others had similar problems
>>> - in states with or without Mobile Scanner laws. I can understand they
>>> stop cars/trucks to check things out. Rarely if ever have I heard of a
>>> bus being stopped to check all "passengers" for such items. Perhaps
>>> you can argue the states with the Mobile Scanning laws, it would
>>> apply.. Cool, I can accept that. But in those with no laws, sorry - it
>>> doesn't wash. They have no basis for which to make such policies.
>>>
>>> L.
>>>
>>Well then if mobile use of a scanner is not illegal in PA, your likely
>>in the clear.
>>I have no interest in scanning laws in PA.
>>I'd suggest you call the transit authority to get a definitive answer.
>
>
> They're the last ones to call for an authorititive answer --
> they'll tell you what they want you to do.
>
> While policy does not have the force of law -- as in they
> might not be able to have you arrested and tried for it -- they might
> be able to deny you the use of the transit facility.
>
> Many places have policies which will not stand up to a legal
> challenge. Most obvious is the kind of thing where a drycleaner posts
> a sign saying they can sell yor unclaimed garments for coste after
> thirty days. In fact, most jurisdictions specify ninety days. If they
> sell your stuff before ninety days, you can sue them for the value of
> the clothes and prevail. They will point to the sign just to scare you
> from making a claim, hence the name "in terrorem" clause.
>
>

Lol Ok - I just now figured out how to make a profit off of my soiled
undies.

852. Re: UNIDEN DEBUTS APCO 25 CAPABLE DIGITAL SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-10 02:54:58 UTC
From: Luddite <a2k@ripco.com>
Message-ID: <cueie2psa1@e250.ripco.com>
Source record: 14862
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

In alt.radio.scanner Brian <victhedog@hotmail.com> wrote:
> On Wed, 9 Feb 2005 22:55:59 +0000 (UTC), Luddite <a2k@ripco.com>

> wrote:

> move out of the getto you will not have that problem

go and hump your masters leg
>>
>>> The Bearcat BCD396T also offers Close CallT RF Capture Technology, Dynamic
>>> Memory Management, Fire Tone-Out as well as 5,500 channels and a frequency
>>> range of 25MHz to 1300MHz, excluding cellular. This new model is slated to
>>> hit shelves in late summer 2005.
>>
>>> "With the BCD396T, we listened to our consumers and have incorporated their
>>> feedback on features they wanted, into a high-quality product that meets the
>>> demands of both professionals, such as law enforcement officials, and
>>
>>
>>what about a front end that does not overload in urban areas?
>>

853. Re: WinScanRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-10 04:56:23 UTC
From: Rob Mills <robmills@cox.net>
Message-ID: <WPBOd.5730$ds.413@okepread07>
Source record: 14863

"William Martin" <martin@orie.cornell.edu> wrote in message
news:MPG.1c7458f46101f81898968b@newsstand.cit.cornell.edu...
> [This followup was posted to and a copy was sent to the cited author.]

>>>> have received no response.<<<<

Sounds like Pozilla alright. I had a problem trying to get their demo to
work (it kept freezing up) several years ago and never could get any
response from them. At one time Grove sold Winscan, don't know if they still
have it or not. RM ~

854. Re: Super Bowl Combat Air Patrol Recorded Audio OnLine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-10 12:17:24 UTC
From: Jim Douglas <james.douglas@genesis-software.com>
Message-ID: <UIGdnaVTP5tUzZbfRVn-vQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 14864

Looks like he made some friends here also!

"Evan Platt" <evan@TheObvious.espphotography.com> wrote in message
news:fgrh015ra0qlkic3123fonkjmgcb34sk0a@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 7 Feb 2005 17:29:22 -0600, "Jim Douglas"
> <james.douglas@genesis-software.com> wrote:
>
> >Didn't know you were a scanner guy also dxAce!
>
> Please don't reply to dXAce's useless posts, unless he has something
> intelligent to add (which I have yet to see). I killfilled him long
> ago.
>
> If you do a deja search of dxAce 'Tard Boy, you'll see he's used this
> lame insult 457 times.
>
> It got old real quick. My guess is his need to insult everyone in
> every post comes from sexual dysfunctional issues, but I'm no doctor.
> --
> To reply, remove TheObvious from my e-mail address.

855. Wanted: Maxon WTA-1 Antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-10 12:56:42 UTC
From: Radio Man <puns@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <eSIOd.18963$wc.5376@trnddc07>
Source record: 14865

Where in the states can i purchase a Maxon WTA-1 antenna?

856. Re: cordless phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-10 13:59:05 UTC
From: Matt <pissoff@spammers.suck.com>
Message-ID: <cufpba$2a4g$1@otis.netspace.net.au>
Source record: 14866

"PowerHouse Communications" <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote in message
news:1TxNd.10139$go4.6348@fe03.lga...
> "Matt" <pissoff@spammers.suck.com> wrote in message
> > What FAQ??
>
> Sorry, forgot the URL:
> http://www.strongsignals.net/access/content/model.html

Interesting URL - thanks for the reference.

> Perhaps your should inform those guys over at Strong Signals that their
> Scanner FAQ is incorrect, or better yet, the guys over at Uniden. I'm
sure
> they would be interested to know how you ended up with version of their
> scanners that have a feature that was never designed into the unit to
start
> with. Must be by some miracle that your two Uniden scanners have WFM.
How
> did you manage to modify them to get it? I'd bet the rest of the world
> would LOVE to know. I'm sure that it would certainly become a pretty
> popular mod.

Ooops, appologies I was wrong. Most of my radio gear is in storage as I am
moving house at the moment so was unable to check and posted blind - me bad.

> > Maybe 20 to 30 years ago, WFM was not available in most scanners, but as
> BOG
> > has said, (and I hate to back him up, but here I must), very few
scanners
> > don't have WFM.
> >
> BOG is as much uninformed as your are. You both need to research what
your
> talking about, because neither has a clue... Just to satisfy the
ignorant,
> I did some research on the current listing of scanners over at the Uniden
> site... As suspected, NONE of the "regular" scanners have WFM. Matter of
> fact, even a number of the Trunk-tracking scanners do not have WFM. Only
> the more recent HIGHER-END scanners come with WFM.

Fair point - should have looked before I opened my mouth.

> Of the 24 scanners listed on the site, a measly 5 come with WFM... So, I
> think the statements that both of you made are backwards, they should have
> read, "...very few scanners [DO] have WFM.
>

> > Perhaps you could advise which new scanners do not?
> >
> Inform you, sure... The 5 listed on Uniden's site that DO HAVE WFM are:
> BC796D, BC785D, BC780XLT, BC296D, BC250D - THAT's IT!
>
> Which ones DON'T? The other 19 of course, which includes MANY new models:
> BC246T, BC72XLT, BC895XLT, BC898T, BC92XLT, SC230, BCT8, BCT7, BC350A,
> BC350C, BC80XLT, BC60XLT-1, SC200, SC180B, BC278CLT, BC248CLT, BC245XLT,
> BC244CLT, BC120XLT
>
> In the future, maybe it would be advisable for the two of you to do some
> more research before you start typing and spreading falsities all over
> UseNET...
>
>

857. Re: UNIDEN DEBUTS APCO 25 CAPABLE DIGITAL SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-10 14:04:28 UTC
From: BDK <kingratatatboy@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1c7533f746323e6998972f@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 14867
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

In article <cueie2psa1@e250.ripco.com>, a2k@ripco.com says...
> In alt.radio.scanner Brian <victhedog@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > On Wed, 9 Feb 2005 22:55:59 +0000 (UTC), Luddite <a2k@ripco.com>
>
>
> > wrote:
>
>
>
> > move out of the getto you will not have that problem
>
> go and hump your masters leg
> >>
> >>> The Bearcat BCD396T also offers Close CallT RF Capture Technology, Dynamic
> >>> Memory Management, Fire Tone-Out as well as 5,500 channels and a frequency
> >>> range of 25MHz to 1300MHz, excluding cellular. This new model is slated to
> >>> hit shelves in late summer 2005.
> >>
> >>> "With the BCD396T, we listened to our consumers and have incorporated their
> >>> feedback on features they wanted, into a high-quality product that meets the
> >>> demands of both professionals, such as law enforcement officials, and
> >>
> >>
> >>what about a front end that does not overload in urban areas?
> >>
>
>

Now THAT would be a miracle!!

How long did it take Uniden to finally stop using awful battery packs in
their handhelds? I'll be dead by the time they get a handheld that has
really decent RF performance.

BDK

858. aor 8200 mk3u for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-10 16:08:44 UTC
From: spectro <wasted9688@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <gGLOd.11864$wK.9313@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 14868

$610. shipping included,all accessories,box,shipped from usa,includes scanning software and computer cable.will take paypal,m.o,check

859. Re: cordless phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-10 17:51:52 UTC
From: Nigel <nigel@nospam.nil>
Message-ID: <N9NOd.4810$504.705319@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 14869

Yes it was, and you are correct; no consumer grade scanner has any chance of rendering intelligible signals from a DSSS nor FHSS cordless phone.

[On a side note: There are some high end units (most AOR scanners, or some Alinco units, for example) that will receive wideband and narrowband F3 transmissions. In my experience, most RatShack stuff gets pretty deaf as you approach 1Ghz. The same goes for the Alinco DJ-X10, at 1Ghz and above it's more or less useless, at 1.4Ghz, forget it, the input sensitivity approaches the one volt mark. Nice scanner for the lower bands, lots of useful features, but practically useless in the Ghz range.]

>My point is a scanner would not pick them up this was the topic.

"bob" <noone@nowhere.org> wrote in message
news:m3yOd.4020$504.608816@news20.bellglobal.com...
> Secure unless someone has a base station that uses an identical chipset
> and forces it into receive mode. This does require some tinkering, but it
> can be done. Don't kid yourself, the FHSS and DSSS technology used by
> cordless phone manufacturers are not designed for security, they are just
> basic chipsets with a minimum of support components. And the advertised 64
> bazillion security codes have nothing to do with the sequence, it's only
> for the off hook negotiation (aka: line seizure) and ring triggering on an
> inbound call. Remember, a lot of those SS units still have a 'channel'
> button, and there's only 10 to 25 'channels' on these things. That would
> point to a limit of 10 to 25 spread patterns. Splurge the $19 at Target
> and buy a popular unit and dig into it, there's not a lot in there.
>
> B.

860. Pasco Hernando Freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-11 01:33:36 UTC
From: Gary <notarealaddress@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <QXTOd.19453$uc.9881@trnddc04>
Source record: 14870

Would anyone be so kind as to provide any Pasco/Hernando county FL conventional freqs and/or links? Have all the trunk info, looking to connect with a fellow hobbyist or ham radio op in that area for info as to how to set scanners up for best monitoring...thanks in advace!

G

861. Re: HELP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-11 02:10:33 UTC
From: Nicik Name <orbits@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <tuUOd.8303$oO.3080@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 14871

"Kap" <juvenile11691@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1107554093.491242.295610@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> I live 5 streets away from a McDonalds and im trying to pick the driv
> thru up but i cant pick them up can anybody give me some help or
> frequencies
>
Pro 83

862. Re: cordless phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-11 03:53:43 UTC
From: dvdguy2@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25738-420C2C47-153@storefull-3112.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 14872

2.4 GHz phones probably more commonly use digital modulation.

When I was at Best Buy recently, they had a LOT of different me of 2.4 GHZ corless phones. and everyone of them was analog!!!!!!

they even speciicy said analog on the actual boxes and signs.

The one 2.4 GHZ model they had that did say "digital" turned out to be analog. The stated "digital" was referring to the built-in answering system being digital, not the regular converstations.

I did see a few digital 2.4 GHZ cordless phones at Wal-Mart, but even most of the 2.4 GHZ ones there were analog.

so most 2.4ghz models are indeed analog.

Now the 5 GHZ cordless phones I've seen both places (I think 5.8 GHZ, although I might be off on frequency on these ones) did indeed say digital on almost everyone of them I saw if not on everyone I saw.

863. Re: cordless phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-11 04:06:43 UTC
From: dvdguy2@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25738-420C2F53-154@storefull-3112.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 14873

I must live in an area where they only sell WFM phones then... About a year ago, when I did some listening in, there were VERY FEW, (like maybe 2) that I could actually receive, the rest were unintelligable as they were in WFM (Over 95% I'd guess). You must live in one of those "odd-ball" areas that only sell NFM analog 900Mhz phones. Good for you.
---

where I am, most of the 900 MHZ cordless phones are narrow FM. And his area seems to be the same.

So I would say that it's your area that seems to be the oddball area, selling mostly 900 MHZ cordles phoes that are wide FM.

And I have lived a number of places, so far.

864. Re: cordless phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-11 04:10:30 UTC
From: dvdguy2@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25738-420C3036-155@storefull-3112.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 14876

What FAQ??
Every scanner that I have has WFM - and I do not own trunking scanners - some of my scanners have been cheap arsed pieces of shite, some are good quality, but all have had WFM.
---
whee I am, the only trunking scanners I have seen for sale do not have WFM at all.

My new scanner that does not do trunk tracking at all does have WFM.

So I have to agree with you

865. Re: aor 8200 mk3u for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-11 04:21:56 UTC
From: Jesse <1@2.com>
Message-ID: <Xns95F9EE15CDC50ewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 14874

"spectro" <wasted9688@earthlink.net> wrote in news:gGLOd.11864$wK.9313
@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net:

> aor 8200 mk3u

Ouch.
You can order them directly from canada for the same price brand spanking
new.

866. Re: cordless phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-11 04:25:31 UTC
From: Jim <junk@junk.com>
Message-ID: <%sWOd.19462$XY5.6774@fe2.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 14875

<dvdguy2@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:25738-420C2C47-153@storefull-3112.bay.webtv.net...
> 2.4 GHz phones probably more commonly use digital modulation.
> --
>
> When I was at Best Buy recently, they had a LOT of different me of 2.4
> GHZ corless phones. and everyone of them was analog!!!!!!
>
> they even speciicy said analog on the actual boxes and signs.
>
> The one 2.4 GHZ model they had that did say "digital" turned out to be
> analog. The stated "digital" was referring to the built-in answering
> system being digital, not the regular converstations.
>
> I did see a few digital 2.4 GHZ cordless phones at Wal-Mart, but even
> most of the 2.4 GHZ ones there were analog.
>
> so most 2.4ghz models are indeed analog.
>
> Now the 5 GHZ cordless phones I've seen both places (I think 5.8 GHZ,
> although I might be off on frequency on these ones) did indeed say
> digital on almost everyone of them I saw if not on everyone I saw.
>
>
>

There are a LOT of analog phones around here! The sales pitch is "Digital
Secure" of course referring to the code passed between the handset and the
base !

867. Re: cordless phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-11 04:27:08 UTC
From: Jim <junk@junk.com>
Message-ID: <wuWOd.19475$XY5.115@fe2.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 14877

<dvdguy2@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:25738-420C2F53-154@storefull-3112.bay.webtv.net...
>I must live in an area where they only sell WFM phones then... About a
> year ago, when I did some listening in, there were VERY FEW, (like maybe
> 2) that I could actually receive, the rest were unintelligable as they
> were in WFM (Over 95% I'd guess). You must live in one of those
> "odd-ball" areas that only sell NFM analog 900Mhz phones. Good for you.
> --
>
> where I am, most of the 900 MHZ cordless phones are narrow FM. And his
> area seems to be the same.
>
> So I would say that it's your area that seems to be the oddball area,
> selling mostly 900 MHZ cordles phoes that are wide FM.
>
> And I have lived a number of places, so far.
>
>
>

There is mostly WFM here. It's still readable on a narrow FM scanner with
some distortion...

868. Re: cordless phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-11 05:03:38 UTC
From: Jim <junk@junk.com>
Message-ID: <K0XOd.4419$Cs5.977@fe2.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 14878

<dvdguy2@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:25738-420C3036-155@storefull-3112.bay.webtv.net...
> What FAQ??
> Every scanner that I have has WFM - and I do not own trunking scanners -
> some of my scanners have been cheap arsed pieces of shite, some are good
> quality, but all have had WFM.
> ----------
> whee I am, the only trunking scanners I have seen for sale do not have
> WFM at all.
>
> My new scanner that does not do trunk tracking at all does have WFM.
>
> So I have to agree with you
>

You cant take one scanner and base "I agree" on that! I'll take a Ferrari
and say all cars can do 170 mph! Good God at least do some reading!

869. Re: Legal Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-11 07:43:38 UTC
From: dvdguy2@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24720-420C622A-374@storefull-3114.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 14879

use your scanner wherever and whenever you damn well want to, and use it for whatever you want to. How are they going to know?
---
hmmm... If I modify my AM/FM broadcast band car radio by changing the frequency by unwinding or winding the coil or however you change frequencies on the radio, to change the 88 to 108 MHZ to soewhere between 148 and 160 MHZ, can I sit at MCDonald's with my car windows open and listen to the Burger King frequencies without geting in trouble?

It wouldn't really count as a scanner. Just a car radio covering that frequency.

On the other hand, maybe it would countt as a scanner since it has a "scan" button on it.

Okay, I don't really know anything about how to change frequencies on a radio. (well, I don't know much about it).

My point is still be careful when listening. and careful about how and where you use it. In other words, use common sense.

870. Re: cordless phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-11 07:54:14 UTC
From: dvdguy2@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24720-420C64A6-375@storefull-3114.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 14880

Wideband Receivers DON'T COUNT. They are NOT "regular" scanners; matter of fact, they are not scanners at all... There's a difference, but apparently you're just too stupid to notice.
---

tell the police and judge that when they arrest you for listening to a scanner in a motor vehicle in a state that doesn't allow scanners in motor vehicles.

the official legal definition of a scanner is a device that scans 4 or more channels between 30 MHZ and (I think 1200 MHZ).

The definition also describes the speed at which it has to scan to be counted or not counted as a scanner. I couldn't remember the actual rate speed.

The legal definition of what is and isn't a scanner is the same for the whole country.

What you count as not a scanner, a policeman or judge who checks the actual laws will most likely find that what you count as not a scanner is indeed a scanner.

871. Re: Broadcast FM SCA subcarriers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-11 08:01:21 UTC
From: dvdguy2@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24720-420C6651-376@storefull-3114.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 14882

Cannot be done with sound card or software. These are subcarrier s in the FM signal. One has to tap the receiver after the demodulator and send through a separate LF FM receiver ("adapters"_. Note that there are legalities to consider in the US.
---

hmm.... new question I'm now wondering about after reading the above.

Does this mean that any audio tape I recorded years ago of regular FM stations that happened to broadcast subcarriers also have the subcarrriers recorded on my old tapes????

And if I was able to buy an SCA decoder, I would be able to hear these old SCA transmissions when listening to my old audio tapes?

or would my old audio tapes only have the regular FM station information recorded on them, even though the FM station was broadcasting a subcarrier that I was unaware of at the time?

872. Re: Broadcast FM SCA subcarriers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-11 08:05:33 UTC
From: dvdguy2@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24724-420C674D-4@storefull-3114.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 14881

Note that there are legalities to consider in the US.
---

strange. I've seen SCA decoers at legal ham radio stores just last year (2004),

and the hams say that hams never do anything illegal. That hams always obey the law.

Thereforem how can it be illegal if hams never do anything illegal and sell them to customers at legitimate stores?

873. Re: Broadcast FM SCA subcarriers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-11 12:32:57 UTC
From: "David L. Wilson" <dwilson314@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <WIudnfwfEeUbOJHfRVn-qw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 14883

<dvdguy2@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:24720-420C6651-376@storefull-3114.bay.webtv.net...
> Cannot be done with sound card or software. These are subcarrier s in
> the FM signal. One has to tap the receiver after the demodulator and
> send through a separate LF FM receiver ("adapters"_. Note that there are
> legalities to consider in the US.
> ---
>
> hmm.... new question I'm now wondering about after reading the above.
>
> Does this mean that any audio tape I recorded years ago of regular FM
> stations that happened to broadcast subcarriers also have the
> subcarrriers recorded on my old tapes????

No. It is certain that your recordings recorded frequencies of centered at
67 and 92 kHz where SCA is centered. Audio frequencies stop at about 18 kHz
and your recording do also as did the audio output of your receiver.

On the other hand, an old Beta video recorder could be modified and hooked
to the FM demodulator to do what you describe.

> or would my old audio tapes only have the regular FM station information
> recorded on them, even though the FM station was broadcasting a
> subcarrier that I was unaware of at the time?

Yes.

874. Re: Broadcast FM SCA subcarriers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-11 12:36:40 UTC
From: "David L. Wilson" <dwilson314@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <MbmdncbHkL35O5HfRVn-gg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 14884

<dvdguy2@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:24724-420C674D-4@storefull-3114.bay.webtv.net...
> Note that there are legalities to consider in the US.
> ---------------------------------------
>
> strange. I've seen SCA decoders at legal ham radio stores just last year
> (2004),
>
> and the hams say that hams never do anything illegal. That hams always
> obey the law.
>
> Thereforem how can it be illegal if hams never do anything illegal and
> sell them to customers at legitimate stores?

Listening to SCA has nothing to do with ham radio.

And also, selling the equipment is not a legal thing. If is what a person does with it that involves legalities. You can buy SCA decoders, but you can legally only listen to what you have permission to do nmaking some purchases for legal purposes. Yes, there is a reallity gap--some laws are simply stupid.

Similarly you used to be able to buy scanners that could receive cell phones. It was illegal though to do that.

875. Re: WinScanRS - pozilla.jpg (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-11 15:05:07 UTC
From: NWTSCL <goodbye@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <g7ip01dio3j7rdobfl7r26i917dl1853dm@4ax.com>
Source record: 14885

I would highly recommend not purchasing anything by Pozilla. The are notorious for taking your money and running.

Take a look at the attached file. One of their customer's filed a complaint against Pozilla. But the State of Arizona Office of the Attorney General was never able to find them, even though Pozilla is supposed to be headquartered in Arizona.

876. Re: WinScanRS - pozilla.jpg (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-11 15:06:43 UTC
From: NWTSCL <goodbye@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <peip01pa6d01i07mq0muk62oqek3ps0q9d@4ax.com>
Source record: 14886

Well, shoot. I guess this group doesn't allow attachments. Let me
know if you want a copy of the Attorney General's letter. I'll email
it to you.

On Fri, 11 Feb 2005 07:05:07 -0800, NWTSCL <goodbye@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>I would highly recommend not purchasing anything by Pozilla. The are
>notorious for taking your money and running.
>
>Take a look at the attached file. One of their customer's filed a
>complaint against Pozilla. But the State of Arizona Office of the
>Attorney General was never able to find them, even though Pozilla is
>supposed to be headquartered in Arizona.
>
>

877. Re: WinScanRS - pozilla.jpg (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-11 16:06:36 UTC
From: Evan Platt <evan@TheObvious.espphotography.com>
Message-ID: <qvlp0154op8jm7rsqcq54g1l3e88jgmso6@4ax.com>
Source record: 14887

On Fri, 11 Feb 2005 07:05:07 -0800, NWTSCL <goodbye@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>I would highly recommend not purchasing anything by Pozilla. The are
>notorious for taking your money and running.
>
>Take a look at the attached file. One of their customer's filed a
>complaint against Pozilla. But the State of Arizona Office of the
>Attorney General was never able to find them, even though Pozilla is
>supposed to be headquartered in Arizona.

What about their whois data which lists an address in Arizona?
--
To reply, remove TheObvious from my e-mail address.

878. Re: Legal Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-11 16:28:15 UTC
From: dvdguy2@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24721-420CDD1F-159@storefull-3114.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 14888

I forgot. Even if I could modify my car radio to cover 150 to 160 MHZ,
it wont do any good as the mode is too wide for those frequencies.

879. Fla Mobile scanners question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-11 22:32:23 UTC
From: Steve G <geie@rocketmail.com>
Message-ID: <6NWdnQByl69Qr5DfRVn-gw@comcast.com>
Source record: 14889

Hey guys,
Need a little clarification regarding the use of scanners in cars in Florida. This is clear to me:

1) You cannot install a scanner in your car.
2) "Install" has been determined by the state attorney general to mean a radio using power from the car and/or connected to an external antenna. Meaning of course, handhelds with a rubber duck are fine as long as they run on internal batteries.

Here is where I'm not sure..... There are a few exceptions to the above law, one if which is for licensed Amateur (HAM) radio operators. Does this exception mean a HAM can install a scanner? Or does it mean the HAM can have a transceiver which also receives police frequencies (but doesn't transmit on them of course?)

Thanks for any input!

880. Re: UNIDEN DEBUTS APCO 25 CAPABLE DIGITAL SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-11 23:15:48 UTC
From: Pete KE9OA <p.gianako@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <E0bPd.194500$w62.163296@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 14890
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

Unfortunately, many of the scanners have been not so hot.....if it wasn't a case of front end overload, it was a case of reciprocal mixing as a result of the poor phase noise from the synthesizers. The Regency MX7000 was an example of a poorly executed receiver. The Yaesu VR5000 is a joke.....I hooked it up to one of my RF generators (Boonton 103D), and this receiver was driven into RF clipping at only 50mV. At a 20mV RF level, I could hear the signal at plus or minus 150kHz. For the record, the generator has a phase noise characteristic of -140dBc @ 10kHz offset. Low noise unit, suitable for avionics applications. The Icom R100 and the AOR2002 weren't bad units.....these radios used a passive doubly-balanced diode ring mixer, but unfortunately, their synthesizers' performance left a bit to be desired.
The Motorola radios seem to have the best front ends, at least in this country. There are some overseas suppliers that make good equipment, but unfortunately, these units are aimed at the land mobile community.
I did pick up the BC896, and for a cheap radio, it isn't bad. They appear to have added another 30dB of gain to the AM I.F. strip, so at least, airband performance is better.
Oh, and intermod isn't a problem limited to ghetto areas. It depends on how well the radio was designed.

Pete

"BDK" <kingratatatboy@buckeye-express.com> wrote in message news:MPG.1c7533f746323e6998972f@news.buckeye-express.com...
> In article <cueie2psa1@e250.ripco.com>, a2k@ripco.com says...
>> In alt.radio.scanner Brian <victhedog@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> > On Wed, 9 Feb 2005 22:55:59 +0000 (UTC), Luddite <a2k@ripco.com>
>>
>>
>> > wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>> > move out of the getto you will not have that problem
>>
>> go and hump your masters leg
>> >>
>> >>> The Bearcat BCD396T also offers Close CallT RF Capture Technology,
>> >>> Dynamic
>> >>> Memory Management, Fire Tone-Out as well as 5,500 channels and a
>> >>> frequency
>> >>> range of 25MHz to 1300MHz, excluding cellular. This new model is
>> >>> slated to

>> >>> hit shelves in late summer 2005.
>> >>
>> >>> "With the BCD396T, we listened to our consumers and have incorporated
>> >>> their
>> >>> feedback on features they wanted, into a high-quality product that
>> >>> meets the
>> >>> demands of both professionals, such as law enforcement officials, and
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>what about a front end that does not overload in urban areas?
>> >>
>>
>>
>
> Now THAT would be a miracle!!
>
> How long did it take Uniden to finally stop using awful battery packs in
> their handhelds? I'll be dead by the time they get a handheld that has
> really decent RF performance.
>
> BDK

881. Re: VR500 for Auction in UK. Post Anywhere.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-12 00:19:23 UTC
From: Rv! <Not.given.away>
Message-ID: <420d4b85$0$16572$cc9e4d1f@news-text.dial.pipex.com>
Source record: 14892

http://makeashorterlink.com/?S3C62307A

Last 15 hours. VR500 for auction on Ebay. Now sitting around £130.
My last post on this subject. Thanks for the bandwidth.

Rv!

882. Re: VR500 for Auction in UK. Post Anywhere.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-12 00:19:52 UTC
From: Rv! <Not.given.away>
Message-ID: <420d4ba2$0$16583$cc9e4d1f@news-text.dial.pipex.com>
Source record: 14891

http://makeashorterlink.com/?S3C62307A

Last 15 hours. VR500 for auction on Ebay. Now sitting around £130.
My last post on this subject. Thanks for the bandwidth.

Rv!

883. Re: Broadcast FM SCA subcarriers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-12 00:40:17 UTC
From: DougSlug <dougslug@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <zncPd.8547$mD3.665@fe08.lga>
Source record: 14893

You're correct that the audo tapes would only have audio information, but the SCA information is also in the baseband signal except that it's outside the audio bandwidth that the tape recorder would have recorded due to AF filtering in an ordinary FM receiver. As I understand it, the SCA information is filtered from the demodulated signal before it is sent through the AF filter normally used for the audio output of the "main" (primary carrier) baseband signal.

"Bob Horvath" <bhorvath13@comcast.net> wrote in message news:3rmp015h7bk5781lnff4g9k8jt510mj9nm@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 11 Feb 2005 03:01:21 -0500, Bob Horvath wrote:
>
> Your tapes would only have "audio" information on them, not Radio
> Frequencies, which is what contains the SA information.

884. Re: Broadcast FM SCA subcarriers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-12 01:20:19 UTC
From: nana <nana@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <3753upF57sra9U1@individual.net>
Source record: 14894

"DougSlug" <dougslug@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:zncPd.8547$mD3.665@fe08.lga...
> You're correct that the audo tapes would only have audio information, but
> the SCA information is also in the baseband signal except that it's
> outside the audio bandwidth that the tape recorder would have recorded due
> to AF filtering in an ordinary FM receiver. As I understand it, the SCA
> information is filtered from the demodulated signal before it is sent
> through the AF filter normally used for the audio output of the "main"
> (primary carrier) baseband signal.

It is filtered out by the Stereo demultiplexer before it gets to any audio stage. To receive SCA, you will need to tap into the receiver after the product detector (discriminator, pll, whatever) and before it goes to the demux.

An SCA receiver is effectivily a 67khz receiver plugged into your 100MHz receiver.

There is a LOT of info out there from people doing the same mod for the purpose of receiving data/pager transmissions. Search under " discriminator tap" and see what comes up.

Nana

885. Re: Easier to read 2052 Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-12 04:43:01 UTC
From: Lars Janqqvist <SpamMeDaddy@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <n92r01htf0e3s2it4fc0l0akb10tt267h9@4ax.com>
Source record: 14895

Frightening the yaks, marksscanners@yahoo.com just had to say:

>I have just finished an easier to read manual for the 2052.
>Have a look..
>
>http://marksscanners.home.comcast.net/

Just picked this up. Looks pretty good.

--
To reply, DO NOT remove spam from the return address!

****
Monkey wash, donkey rinse
Going to a party in the center of the earth
Monkey wash, donkey rinse
Honey, don't you want to go?
****

886. Re: Fla Mobile scanners question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-12 04:54:09 UTC
From: Thomas <thomaspam@comcast.nep>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.02.12.04.54.08.145242@comcast.nep>
Source record: 14896

On Fri, 11 Feb 2005 17:32:23 -0500, Steve G wrote:

> Here is where I'm not sure........ There are a few exceptions to the above
> law, one if which is for licensed Amateur (HAM) radio operators. Does
> this exception mean a HAM can install a scanner? Or does it mean the HAM
> can have a transceiver which also receives police frequencies (but doesn't
> transmit on them of course?)

It means that a ham can have a scanner if the Florida law exempts him
from the law. There is a federal premption for hams under federal law,
but the wording discusses the fact that ham radio equipment can overlap
into police frequencies and not that scanning receivers are used by hams
so a lawyer could argue both sides of the coin.

887. Patrick AFB Area Log - Friday 11 Feb 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-12 08:15:43 UTC
From: AllanStern@aol.com
Message-ID: <15d.4a5e8497.2f3f152f@aol.com>
Source record: 14897

Patrick AFB Area Log - Friday 11 Feb 2005

N728AF: T-42A Baron/Cochise, poss new Patrick Aero Club bird.
8:25am: Departs Patrick.
[133.75]
Has been flying out of Patrick for a couple of weeks and parking with the
Patrick Aero Club birds.

BRONCO 01: OV-10D, US State Dept Intl Narcotics Mission, Patrick AFB
9:20am: Departs Patrick; local training all morning.
[133.75]

COBRA 82: KC-135
9:33am: Reported inbound to Patrick, but did not arrive, probably due to
wind shear alerts (1000 ft and below) here.
[383.0 Patrick CP]

DOS 0EH: Bell 212/UH-1 #30945 (N500EH), US State Dept Intl Narcotics Mission,
Patrick AFB.
9:39am: Departs Patrick.
[133.75]

HERK 84: C-130
9:45am: Lands Patrick AFB with 9 Space-A pax, 8 crew.
[124.8, 132.65, 133.75, 383.0]

NASA 909: T-38N #65-10351, NASA-Johnson Space Ctr, Houston
10:00am: Lands Shuttle Landing Facilty.
[284.0, 128.55]

FIRST 41: F-15C Flight of 3, Langley AFB 1FW
10:05am: En route to NAS Key West; chatting.
[285.5, 349.0, 281.5; 296.9 air-air]

N2011W: Bell 212/UH-1 #30957, US State Dept INM, Patrick AFB.
10:08am: Departs Patrick.
[133.75]

N727AS: CE-337G Skymaster #33701596, AirScan Titusville (Mil Surveillance
Contractor)
10:50am: Cape area overflight.
[133.8 Cape Control]

AVALON 74: C-9A, Scott AFB 932AW
12:15pm: Offloads pax, then pattern work.
[133.75, 132.65, 372.2]
Photos: 062MVC-357, 358, 359, 360

AMBUSH 34: F-5, Fallon NAS VFC-13 "Desert Dogs"
12:28pm: En route to Cherry Point MCAS from NAS Key West.
[285.5, 319.0, 346.25; 374.3 air-air]

AMBUSH 31: F-5 Flight of 2, Fallon NAS VFC-13 "Desert Dogs"
12:29pm: En route to Cherry Point MCAS from NAS Key West.
[285.5, 319.0, 346.25; 374.3 air-air]

COIL 01: F-15, Jacksonville IAP FL-ANG 125FW 159FS "Jaguars"
1:09am: En route to Area 5Xray, FL270.
[267.5 Sealord]

SNAKE 01: F-15, Jacksonville IAP FL-ANG 125FW 159FS "Jaguars"
1:15am: In Area 5Xray, FL270.
[267.5, 284.5 Sealord]

BOLT 52: KC-135R, MacDill AFB 6AMW
2:17pm: Inbound MacDill.
[311.0]

BOLT 51: KC-135R, MacDill AFB 6AMW
2:23pm: Inbound MacDill; Mode C intermittent.
[311.0]

SEARCH 01: UH-1H, NASA-KSC Security/Survey Acft
2:38pm: Lands Patrick AFB.
[133.75]

NASA 959: T-38 #70-1550, NASA-Johnson Space Ctr, Houston
6:24pm: Departs Shuttle Landing Facilty to Pensacola NAS.
[128.55, 124.8]
Note: Poss still an A model.

____ 67: C-130, probably Hurlburt
6:52pm: PJ drops over Avon Park Bombing Range.
[292.2]

SPACE 01: C-21A #84-0079, Andrews AFB 457AS
7:40pm: Inbound to offload A-3 (Gen Lance Lord, Cmdr of Space Cmd); dep to Andrews.
[372.2]

SPAR 30: Prob C-37A #01-0030, MacDill AFB 6AMW 310AS
11:57am: Inbound; "Blocks at 30 [past hour]."
[311.0]

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL (28-11N 80-36W) monitoring
Patrick AFB (KCOF) NASA-KSC Shuttle Landing Fac (KX68)
Avon Park Bombing Range (KAGR) Cape Canaveral AFS (KXMR)
JSTARS E-8 Acft Integration Facility, Melbourne IAP (KMLB)
Worldwide Military HF Communications
Life Member: Missile, Space and Range Pioneers.
http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/index.html (My Freqs)
http://hometown.aol.com/scanaddict/index.html (My Equipment)

888. Re: FA - Icom R7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-12 11:53:06 UTC
From: John Schneider <thecop@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <f9mPd.5632$KL6.5181@fe12.lga>
Source record: 14898

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5750209396

I have taken much better photo.'s but cannot upload to the auction.

Please email direct and I will send them to you.

John, thecop@optonline.net

"thecop" <thecop@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:kJQNd.8689$fF6.7259@fe08.lga...
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5750209396
>

889. FA - Icom R7100...two days left

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-12 14:35:26 UTC
From: John Schneider <thecop@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <nxoPd.5639$PH.2657@fe12.lga>
Source record: 14899

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5750209396&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

MUCH better pic.'s available, just ask.

thecop@optonline.net

890. Re: WinScanRS - pozilla.jpg (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-12 15:26:38 UTC
From: NWTSCL <goodbye@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <hf6s01t91erkfip56g4av48jq4blum6kac@4ax.com>
Source record: 14900

On Sat, 12 Feb 2005 01:38:12 GMT, Never anonymous Bud
<newskat@katxyzkave.net> wrote:

>Using a finger dipped in purple ink, NWTSCL <goodbye@hotmail.com> scribed:
>
>>Take a look at the attached file. One of their customer's filed a
>>complaint against Pozilla. But the State of Arizona Office of the
>>Attorney General was never able to find them, even though Pozilla is
>>supposed to be headquartered in Arizona.
>
>Like I said before, IF the Az AG can't find them,
>BUT let's them continue to do business, then
>the State of Arizona is completely stupid.
>
>ALL them need to do is send a subpoena to their listed address
>in their WhoIs data, and then cut a warrant for them when they
>don't appear.

Let's see. Their website is www.pozillasoft.com. Well, it used to be.
They've now moved to www.pozillatech.com/ and have changed their name
to Pozilla Technologies Corp. Why the change? Of course, they do not
offer a physical address or phone number; to contact them, you must
fill in their silly form. Their new IP 206.80.215.245, which is
registered to U S WEST Internet Services out of Denver, CO. This is
likely a dead end. If you can offer up valid contact info, please do.
I'm sure many people would like to know. I'm guessing that Pozilla
isn't in AZ anymore; they packed up and ran.

I don't know why the AZ Attorney General has not prosecuted or
whatever. Maybe they have. I don't know--I can't speak for them.
That's something that only the Attorney General's Office can answer.
If you want to know, ask them. The letter copy I have is dated April
27, 2004. The number listed on it for Consumer Information and
Complaints division is 602-542-5763. Give them a call and ask for
Pamela Crabtree. But I do know that you cannot prosecute what you
cannot find.

And I do know that ~numerous~ people have been trying to contact them
(myself included) and they never respond. Ignoring customers is bad
business. Plain and simple. No two ways about it. That's why I
recommended not using them.

891. Re: WinScanRS - pozilla.jpg (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-12 17:20:19 UTC
From: NWTSCL <goodbye@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <nkes01dhi1rs50572gmn7m0np41h55o95s@4ax.com>
Source record: 14901

>You REALLY don't understand how things work, do you??

You REALLY fight about stupid stuff, don't you. If it's so easy, get their address and phone number. Post it here. I'll be waiting, big mouth.

892. Re: cordless phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-12 18:02:02 UTC
From: Korbin Dallas <korbindallas@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.02.12.17.44.23.537274@yahoo.com>
Source record: 14902

On Wed, 02 Feb 2005 06:09:24 -0800, stryped wrote:

> I know it is not legal but can a regular scanner that covers 900 mhz
> listen to cordless phone conversations?

Since most 900 Mhz phones are Digital...

The Answer is No.

893. FA:Uniden Bearcat BC 800XLT scanner <Cell phone Unblocked

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-12 19:31:16 UTC
From: Neil Tesher <neiltt@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <YSsPd.10575$AM6.8204@fe09.lga>
Source record: 14903

Uniden Bearcat BC 800XLT scanner <Cell phone Unblocked

Uniden Bearcat 800 XLT Scanner

Receives Cell Phone Frequencys

All Antennas, power cord and manual included

Complete with antenna, manual and power cord.

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5751064204&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

894. Re: cordless phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-12 20:18:35 UTC
From: DougSlug <dougslug@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <lEtPd.353$5%1.329@fe08.lga>
Source record: 14904

FALSE! Thanks for playing...

"Korbin Dallas" <korbindallas@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.02.12.17.44.23.537274@yahoo.com...
> On Wed, 02 Feb 2005 06:09:24 -0800, stryped wrote:
>
> Since most 900 Mhz phones are Digital...
>
> The Answer is No.

895. FS: BC780XLT, Uniden HR2510, Pro350XL, Keynote pagers.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-12 21:37:17 UTC
From: CommCtr1 <pottsladd13@nospam.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <hGuPd.32027$Th1.10418@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 14905
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Uniden Bearcat BC780XLT mobile/base Trunk TrackerIII scanner. This is
complete with box, manual, power supply, and mounting bracket.
$215.00 plus shipping.

Uniden President HR2510 10/11 meter all mode mobile radio. Complete with
box, power cable, mic and mounting bracket. $165.00 plus shipping.

7 Keynote pagers VHF and one model#D06AHC4411, not sure of exact model, but
also VHF.
All the pagers turn on and were taken of service about 2 yrs ago.
These are
all used but do turn on and activate.
They are on 152.720 and I believe 158.100 is what's marked on the
pager.
7- Keynotes A03CJB2568AA
1-D06AHC4411
The first $75.00 plus shipping takes them all.

Uniden Pro350XL 40 channel CB with scan, channel 9/19 selector,1-4 watt
selectable, and weather service receive,(WX). Comes with manual. $35.00 plus
shipping

All items are Cont. US only. USPS money orders only.

pottsladd13@yahoo.com

896. FCC Search Site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-12 21:38:24 UTC
From: Gary <nospam@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <kHuPd.19775$uc.13472@trnddc08>
Source record: 14906

Can anyone explain how to use this Latitude/Longitude search engine? What does DDD MM and SS mean? I thought there were only 2 grids in the combination to location - like 60N - 20W ... anybody know how this works? Link below...

http://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/cgi-bin/ws.exe/genmen/lat_long.hts

Gary

897. Re: FCC Search Site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-12 22:10:19 UTC
From: dxAce <dxace@eclipsed.com>
Message-ID: <420E7ECB.44CA02F1@eclipsed.com>
Source record: 14907

Gary wrote:

> Can anyone explain how to use this Latitude/Longitude search engine? What
> does DDD MM and SS mean? I thought there were only 2 grids in the
> combination to location - like 60N - 20W ... anybody know how this works?
> Link below...
>
> http://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/cgi-bin/ws.exe/genmen/lat_long.hts

DD would be degrees, MM would be minutes, and SS would be seconds.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

898. Re: FCC Search Site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-12 22:20:20 UTC
From: Gary <nospam@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <EivPd.19137$uc.18060@trnddc02>
Source record: 14908

Ok great - got it figured out. Converted my L/L and completed the search - got gobs of frequencies for my area! Wow! Thanks dxAce

"dxAce" <dxace@eclipsed.com> wrote in message news:420E7ECB.44CA02F1@eclipsed.com...
>
>
> Gary wrote:
>
> > Can anyone explain how to use this Latitude/Longitude search engine? What
> > does DDD MM and SS mean? I thought there were only 2 grids in the
> > combination to location - like 60N - 20W ... anybody know how this works?
> > Link below...
> >
> > http://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/cgi-bin/ws.exe/genmen/lat_long.hts
>
> DD would be degrees, MM would be minutes, and SS would be seconds.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA
>
>

899. Re: FS: BC780XLT, Uniden HR2510, Pro350XL, Keynote pagers.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-13 01:42:33 UTC
From: dontspam <dontspam@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <h1ct01t3m26cvbkkh1q33lt5fj0umb3vro@4ax.com>
Source record: 14909
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Uniden President HR2510 10/11 meter all mode mobile radio. "

You had better hope the FCC dont see this ad. 10-4?

On Sat, 12 Feb 2005 21:37:17 GMT, "CommCtr1"
<pottsladd13@nospam.yahoo.com> wrote:

>Uniden Bearcat BC780XLT mobile/base Trunk TrackerIII scanner. This is
>complete with box, manual, power supply, and mounting bracket.
>$215.00 plus shipping.
>
>Uniden President HR2510 10/11 meter all mode mobile radio. Complete with
>box, power cable, mic and mounting bracket. $165.00 plus shipping.
>
>7 Keynote pagers VHF and one model#D06AHC4411, not sure of exact model, but
>also VHF.
>All the pagers turn on and were taken of service about 2 yrs ago.
>These are
>all used but do turn on and activate.
>They are on 152.720 and I believe 158.100 is what's marked on the
>pager.
>7- Keynotes A03CJB2568AA
>1-D06AHC4411
>The first $75.00 plus shipping takes them all.
>
>Uniden Pro350XL 40 channel CB with scan, channel 9/19 selector,1-4 watt
>selectable, and weather service receive,(WX). Comes with manual. $35.00 plus
>shipping
>
>All items are Cont. US only. USPS money orders only.
>
>pottsladd13@yahoo.com
>
>

900. Re: FS: BC780XLT, Uniden HR2510, Pro350XL, Keynote pagers.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-13 01:50:32 UTC
From: Pat Stevens <patstevenson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <InyPd.386$6p7.315@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 14910
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"dontspam" <dontspam@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:h1ct01t3m26cvbkkh1q33lt5fj0umb3vro@4ax.com...
>
> "Uniden President HR2510 10/11 meter all mode mobile radio. "
>
>
> You had better hope the FCC dont see this ad. 10-4?
>
It was already forwarded. I thought it was interesting, myself. I,
also, thought that it was interesting that the only correlation that this
post had with ham radio, was the "illegal" radio, that you mentioned. :)

901. Re: FS: BC780XLT, Uniden HR2510, Pro350XL, Keynote pagers.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-13 03:01:30 UTC
From: Hamguy <hamguy@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <eqzPd.5536$vK5.1872@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 14911
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

With the proper license, this radio is perfectly LEGAL for a ham to own and operate on 10-meters. Additionally, the ham might want to MONITOR 11-meters as well. My Kenwood gets 11-meters too, but that doesn't mean that I use it there. Any semi-intelligent ham would know that the 2510 is not type-accepted for 11-meter TRANSMIT anyway.

"Pat Stevens" <patstevenson@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:InyPd.386$6p7.315@news01.roc.ny...
> "dontspam" <dontspam@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:h1ct01t3m26cvbkkh1q33lt5fj0umb3vro@4ax.com...
> >
> > "Uniden President HR2510 10/11 meter all mode mobile radio. "
> >
> >
> > You had better hope the FCC dont see this ad. 10-4?
> >
> It was already forwarded. I thought it was interesting, myself. I,
> also, thought that it was interesting that the only correlation that this
> post had with ham radio, was the "illegal" radio, that you mentioned. :)
>
>

902. Re: FS: BC780XLT, Uniden HR2510, Pro350XL, Keynote pagers.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-13 03:11:13 UTC
From: farfromsane <NOFREAKINSPAM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <tzzPd.16996$Db2.23956508@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 14912
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Dontspam, do you just like being a dick to folks, or what?

Maybe you and Evan can start a "Superior Human Being" club where everything you do is correct, you never make any mistakes, your opinion is always the right one, etc...

Lighten up, life's too short...

"dontspam" <dontspam@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:h1ct01t3m26cvbkkh1q33lt5fj0umb3vro@4ax.com...
>
> "Uniden President HR2510 10/11 meter all mode mobile radio. "
>
>
> You had better hope the FCC dont see this ad. 10-4?
>
>
>
>
>
>
> On Sat, 12 Feb 2005 21:37:17 GMT, "CommCtr1"
> <pottsladd13@nospam.yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >Uniden Bearcat BC780XLT mobile/base Trunk TrackerIII scanner. This is
> >complete with box, manual, power supply, and mounting bracket.
> >$215.00 plus shipping.
> >
> >Uniden President HR2510 10/11 meter all mode mobile radio. Complete with
> >box, power cable, mic and mounting bracket. $165.00 plus shipping.
> >
> >7 Keynote pagers VHF and one model#D06AHC4411, not sure of exact model, but
> >also VHF.
> >All the pagers turn on and were taken of service about 2 yrs ago.
> >These are
> >all used but do turn on and activate.
> >They are on 152.720 and I believe 158.100 is what's marked on the
> >pager.
> >7- Keynotes A03CJB2568AA
> >1-D06AHC4411
> >The first $75.00 plus shipping takes them all.
> >
> >Uniden Pro350XL 40 channel CB with scan, channel 9/19 selector,1-4 watt

> >selectable, and weather service receive,(WX). Comes with manual. $35.00
plus
> >shipping
> >
> >All items are Cont. US only. USPS money orders only.
> >
> >pottsladd13@yahoo.com
> >
> >
>

903. Re: Cleaning Out House Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-13 04:46:18 UTC
From: DxxxxxBxx <abuse@abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz.com>
Message-ID: <5rmt0198ehl0bhop8j4njg7rv7g3cmga47@4ax.com>
Source record: 14913

We used to get feces when we were cleaning our out house. What were
you eating to produce these kinds of by-products?

On 30 Jan 2005 14:26:26 GMT, jmap702@aol.com (Jmap702) wrote:

> Code-3 Beacon 550 Amber, Blue New $: 75.00
>: Code-3 Beacon 550 Red Used. $ 40.00
>: Code-3 Grille/Deck Light Halogen Set AS-1 New $ 105.00
>: Federal Fireball II Strobe Light: Pipe Mount A.C. 120VAC Red, Amber.
>: New in Box $ 75.00
>: Federal Fireball II Halogen Light : Red Used $ 60.00
>: Federal FireBeam FB3 Dash Light : Clear Lenses New. $ 50.00
>: Federal Director Deck/Grille Light: Red. New $ 50.00
>: Federal Strobe Supply SJS4 Strobe 4 Head 75 Watts New $ 125.00
>: Federal Viper Strobe Dash Strobe : (Blue) Demo $ 115.00
>: Federal Mini Vector Lightbar Blue : Used $ 195.00
>: Federal Mini Vector Lightbar Red/Clear Used $ 195.00
>: Federal Mini Aero Lightbar Red/Clear Used $ 150.00
>: Federal Mini JetStream Used Blue $ 125.00
>: Whelen Mini SuperAdvantedge Blue: New $ 250.00 Ass't Colors
>: Whelen Mini Advantedge Strobe Amber : Used $ 125.00
>: Whelen MinEdge Red/Clear W/Magnets cigar plug Demo $ 315.00
>: Whelen Cadet Dashlight CR-212 Ass't Colors New $ 60.00
>: Whelen Strobe 4 Head Powersupply: UPSLX64 New $ 150.00
>: Whelen Strobe 8 Head Powersupply UPS-188 New $ 375.00
>: Whelen Outside Dual Mirror Strobe Kit Ford MBEP98 Amber New $ 225.00
>: Whelen Dual Dashking 2000 Red/Red/ New DXPSS $ 165.00
>: Whelen Dashmiser II Red/White New $ 190.00
>: Whelen Dashmiser II Amb/Amb New $: 190.00
>: Whelen Dashmiser II Remote Red/Clr : Demo $ 95.00
>: Whelen Dashmaster II no Bkt Red/Red Used $ 75.00
>: Whelen Dashmaster Red $ 50.00
>:
>: Signal-Stat Undercover Siren 223 New $ 135.00
>: Federal SmartSiren Modified.W/Remote Head SS2200 $ 375.00
>: Federal SmartSiren New in Box. $ 795.00 Only 2 left!!
>: Code-3 Full Feature Electronic Siren 3692 $ 125.00
>:
>: StreamLight Rechargeable Litebox Model 45116 New $125.00
>: EMT Bags Ass't Colors New $40.00
>: Motorola Mx Radios UHF $ 25.00 ea
>: Motorola MX Microphone coiled cord New $ 20.00 ea
>:

Spammers, please send email to:
listme@listme.dsbl.org
and get your SMTP server blacklisted!

904. Re: FS: BC780XLT, Uniden HR2510, Pro350XL, Keynote pagers.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-13 06:36:11 UTC
From: Scan Da Bands <vzeip5vc@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <batt01lhr1lmchn228b10amgmjnho6g93d@4ax.com>
Source record: 14914
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

+4db +2db 0db +2db +4db

||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

noise.....................................Signal

On Sun, 13 Feb 2005 01:50:32 GMT, "Pat Stevens"
<patstevenson@yahoo.com> wrote:

>"dontspam" <dontspam@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
>news:h1ct01t3m26cvbkkh1q33lt5fj0umb3vro@4ax.com...
>>
>> "Uniden President HR2510 10/11 meter all mode mobile radio. "
>>
>>
>> You had better hope the FCC dont see this ad. 10-4?
>>
> It was already forwarded. I thought it was interesting, myself. I,
>also, thought that it was interesting that the only correlation that this
>post had with ham radio, was the "illegal" radio, that you mentioned. :)
>

905. Re: FCC Search Site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-13 07:12:10 UTC
From: Evan Platt <evan@TheObvious.espphotography.com>
Message-ID: <1out01pmonlpc89k1k4utfo2l9j30b3lmo@4ax.com>
Source record: 14915

On Sat, 12 Feb 2005 21:38:24 GMT, "Gary" <nospam@verizon.net> wrote:

>Can anyone explain how to use this Latitude/Longitude search engine? What
>does DDD MM and SS mean? I thought there were only 2 grids in the
>combination to location - like 60N - 20W ... anybody know how this works?
>Link below...
>
>http://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/cgi-bin/ws.exe/genmen/lat_long.hts

Latitude and longitude come in degrees, minutes, and seconds. You can
use a DD MM however there won't be a high level of precision - Think
of it as "I'm near Main and First street" v.s. "I'm at 1234 main
street".

Another thing to keep in mind is depending on the location of the
transmitter, if it's West of - I can't recall the cutoff, you may need
to append a - (dash) to the longitude. I recall the first time I got
into GPS, I punched in some coordinates I heard for a medivac call
into a online mapping website, and it came up with a point somewhere
over the ocean. Then I was told if it's West, you need to add the
dash, or depending on the application, select West.

Evan
--
To reply, remove TheObvious from my e-mail address.

906. Re: WinScanRS - pozilla.jpg (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-13 07:26:13 UTC
From: Evan Platt <evan@TheObvious.espphotography.com>
Message-ID: <j20u01hpjrud71ge9lgvg5k4qg9ufe1kvc@4ax.com>
Source record: 14916

On Sat, 12 Feb 2005 09:20:19 -0800, NWTSCL <goodbye@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>You REALLY fight about stupid stuff, don't you. If it's so easy, get
>their address and phone number. Post it here. I'll be waiting, big
>mouth.

Administrative Contact:
Goldman, Adam ajgoldman@cox.net
Pozilla Technologies
12222 Paradise Village
Parkway South #331A
Phoenix, Arizona 85032
United States
6027576559 Fax --

This appears to be the Anasazi Condos.

Like Bud said, if that address turns out to be fake, the Gov't should
be able to shut that operation down very quickly if there is in fact a
case against the company.

Of course, they should also be able to subpoena Cox or SecureServer
for information.

Evan
--
To reply, remove TheObvious from my e-mail address.

907. Re: Cleaning Out House Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-13 15:26:03 UTC
From: whoever <whoever@wherever>
Message-ID: <bbidnZsHSaQY7JLfRVn-jw@centurytel.net>
Source record: 14917

Is prodigy.net still around? I thought it was like Compuserve and eaten
up by aol?

DxxxxxBxx wrote:
> We used to get feces when we were cleaning our out house. What were
> you eating to produce these kinds of by-products?
>
>

908. Pro-96 CPU upgrade insanity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-13 16:42:04 UTC
From: 7597243@skytel.com
Message-ID: <dZGdne99VrnEHpLfRVn-oA@comcast.com>
Source record: 14918
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.binaries.radio-scanner

After hearing talk of a possible CPU upgrade, I first asked a couple of local stores. They had no clue. I then asked RS via the webpage. Below are a series of email exchanges I have had with them. In their defense, at least they reply. It seems as there are 8 talk groups affected by something in the current CPU. What these talkgroups and their locations are; RS is keeping a closely guarded secret. Apparently mere mortals cannot fathom the sheer complexity of them. They would not even tell me what symptoms the scanner would exhibit if one of the 8 talkgroups was received. What idiots.

Patient: Doctor I feel sick to my stomach.
Dr: Well it could be gas, or your appendix.
Patient: Which is it?
Dr.: If I told you, I'd have to tell all my patients. Wait until I take out your neighbor's appendix.

The first email is at the bottom of this post.

In order to provide boards for customers who require the upgrade, we do not provide that information. It is RadioShack's intention to FIRST update scanners for customers that live in or near analog trunking systems that use one of the involved 8 talkgroup ID codes. If RadioShack provided that information and responded to everyone's request today, we would quickly run out of boards and then not be able to update any requests from customers that need them. To better assist you with future questions, please respond to this original email with the REPLY option. Thank you, Lori RadioShack Product Support Center LN/kk
---
Sent: Wednesday, February 09, 2005 8:24 PM To: RS Store POS Mail user
Subject: RE: CPU upgrade PRO-96-LN-LN
That's all very well and good, but I am still waiting for the 8 mystery talk groups. I ask again can you please tell me what they are?
RS Store POS Mail user
Your local RadioShack store can contact our Product Support group or their District Manager to arrange for the upgrade, when the upgrades are available for customers not using the ID's. To better assist you with future questions, please respond to this original email with the REPLY option. Thank you, Lori RadioShack Product Support Center LN/kk
<http://www.radioshack.com>

Sent: Sunday, February 06, 2005 9:40 AM To: RS Store POS Mail user Subject: RE: CPU upgrade PRO-96-LN
Thanks, That makes it clearer. Can you provide a list of the 8 talkgroup ID's? I don't know them. Also what part # should I reference if I should

decide to take my PRO-96 in and have the upgrade done. The local stores are not aware of this upgrade. Thanks
RS Store POS Mail user wrote: Thank you for the e-mail, We apologize for the delay in response. At the present time we are experiencing a high level of correspondence. There is currently no program in place to automatically upgrade the Pro-96 in our Fort Worth or any other facility and there are no programs planned to do so. Running changes and product enhancements are made to products all the time. Not every change is automatically applied to every existing unit and our experience and information in this instance is that the issue does not effect the vast majority of Pro-96 users. That aside, let me say that RadioShack will arrange a version 1.3 CPU update path for anyone that wants one, but first... It is RadioShack's intention to FIRST update scanners for customers that live in or near analog trunking systems that use one of the involved 8 talkgroup ID codes. These are the ONLY customers that will see a difference in the performance of the scanner from CPU version 1.2 or earlier. We have arranged a small number of boards and the cost for the update will be our minimum service fee for a scanner, $49. We are actively seeking customer contacts in these areas. We have a problem, however - if RadioShack responded to everyone's request today, we would quickly run out of boards and then not be able to update any requests from customers that need them. To our PRO-96 customers, if you monitor a system that uses one or more of the 8 talkgroup ID's, please contact us with a list of those ID's and most importantly what system they are on, your address and proximity to the system - we will verify the system's use of those TID's and your address, then contact you individually and arrange a prompt update. If you are a PRO-96 customer, but don't use one of those talkgroup ID's, you just want the update we encourage you to wait until parts are more readily available. The charge for the update will be the same minimum service fee - no penalty for just wanting the latest! To better assist you with future questions, please respond to this original email with the REPLY option. Thank you, Lori RadioShack Product Support Center LN/kk
<http://www.radioshack.com> <
_____ Customer's Question: I have heard rumors of a CPU upgrade to 1.3. Supposedly this is only done at the Ft Worth Service center. Can you provide any information on this such as part number to reference when speaking with my local store? thanks
---

909. Re: Alinco DJ-X10 programming software?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-13 17:18:11 UTC
From: bleekay <bleekay899@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <rt2v01p20q8il9hs5f2b1iorn5c1suqr79@4ax.com>
Source record: 14919

Try www.alinco.com for starters...

On Sun, 06 Feb 2005 21:21:58 -0500, Phoneguy <phoneguy99@sympatico.ca>
wrote:

>Help please:
>
>Could anyone direct me to a site where I may download the PC software to
>program / backup my Alinco DJ-X10 scanner? I surfed about Alinco but
>found no link to software for the X10.
>
>
>
>(I used to have it, but the disk gnomes must have taken it to their
>secret lair where they hide most of my missing widgets...)
>
>
>Thanks,
>Pat

910. Digital Receiver in Canada w/o license...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-13 17:29:59 UTC
From: bleekay <bleekay899@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ob3v01d1vkkskfqrejo4p51btq9i82fvu0@4ax.com>
Source record: 14920

Okay,

This may seem lame to some people but is there a way to obtain
a digital scanner (e.g. BearCat BC-296D) without the digital
certificate/license?

TIA...

911. Re: cordless phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-13 21:33:46 UTC
From: NORMAN TRIANTAFILOS <kb9ygd@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <_IPPd.29251$uc.4121@trnddc03>
Source record: 14921

sure you just scan between 902.000 and about 902.8000 and you can receive the base to handset nfm (+- 5khz)signal but there isnt a standard on all this and some phones have the base to handset signal out on the upper part of the band so you may want to search between 902.000 and 928.000.also most scanners have the frequency step size fixed at lets say 12.5 khz so it hard to hear the signal exactly on the right frequency and it will sound distorted.i dont know why they make scanners without a way to set the tuning freq step but they do...

--
My Family Website-->
http://mysite.verizon.net/res868sp/thetriantafilosfamily/index.html My Amatuer Radio Website--> http://www.qsl.net/kb9ygd/index.html
http://dx.qsl.net/logs <---Search My Logbook
"Barry OGrady" <god_freee_jones@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:rg7t01l5khbl3aqlgp81hses7mf5l6egm2@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 12 Feb 2005 18:02:02 GMT, Korbin Dallas <korbindallas@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >On Wed, 02 Feb 2005 06:09:24 -0800, stryped wrote:
> >
> >> I know it is not legal but can a regular scanner that covers 900 mhz
> >> listen to cordless phone conversations?
> >
> >Since most 900 Mhz phones are Digital...
>
> Most, but not all.
>
> >The Answer is No.
>
>
> -Barry
> ========
> Web page: http://members.iinet.net.au/~barry.og
> Atheist, radio scanner, LIPD information.

912. Re: Pro-96 CPU upgrade insanity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-13 22:06:02 UTC
From: whoever <whoever@wherever>
Message-ID: <y-qdndULUNTbUpLfRVn-2w@centurytel.net>
Source record: 14922
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.binaries.radio-scanner

You're right. 16 talk groups in 3600 analog systems only.
This link will tell you the talkgroups affected and the locations.

http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=Forums&file=viewtopic&t=13119

7597243@skytel.com wrote:

> After hearing talk of a possible CPU upgrade, I first asked a couple of
> local stores. They had no clue. I then asked RS via the webpage. Below
> are a series of email exchanges I have had with them. In their defense, at
> least they reply. It seems as there are 8 talk groups affected by something
> in the current CPU. What these talkgroups and their locations are; RS is
> keeping a closely guarded secret. Apparently mere mortals cannot fathom the
> sheer complexity of them. They would not even tell me what symptoms the
> scanner would exhibit if one of the 8 talkgroups was received. What idiots.
SNIP

913. A Sad Reality: The Unaviodable Death of Scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-13 22:51:46 UTC
From: "David H." <daveh@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <mrlv01dku2eeb19dv7rivorbed7t5phuhs@4ax.com>
Source record: 14924

Hello all,

Scanning has been for me, as I'm sure it has for many of you, a great and fascinating hobby over the last many years.

But with such things as digital transmission, spread spectrum and encryption techniques becoming more and more popular and widely used every day, it can really only mean one thing: recreational scanning must eventually die.

From everything I can see, it won't be long before even the most back-woods agencies will be going all digital... as many of them already have. Sure, it may take another 5 or 10 years (probably at most) to phase out all the old analog radio equipment, but phase out it will. It has to. The future of radio communication is going digital. It's now cheap and it's easy, and getting more so every day.

What are we scanner enthusiasts to do?

lamentfully,

Dave

914. Re: Pro-96 CPU upgrade insanity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-13 22:56:58 UTC
From: **THE-RFI-EMI-GUY** <rhyolite@nettally.com>
Message-ID: <420FDB3A.5020002@nettally.com>
Source record: 14923
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.binaries.radio-scanner

If you want to see some real fun, wait until the 800 MHz rebanding takes
place. The channel numbering schemes, channel spacing, NPSPAC band edges
will all be affected. Kinda glad I havent invested in a trunking tracker
at this time.

7597243@skytel.com wrote:

>After hearing talk of a possible CPU upgrade, I first asked a couple of
>local stores. They had no clue. I then asked RS via the webpage. Below
>are a series of email exchanges I have had with them. In their defense, at
>least they reply. It seems as there are 8 talk groups affected by something
>in the current CPU. What these talkgroups and their locations are; RS is
>keeping a closely guarded secret. Apparently mere mortals cannot fathom the
>sheer complexity of them. They would not even tell me what symptoms the
>scanner would exhibit if one of the 8 talkgroups was received. What idiots.
>
>
>Patient: Doctor I feel sick to my stomach.
>Dr: Well it could be gas, or your appendix.
>Patient: Which is it?
>Dr.: If I told you, I'd have to tell all my patients. Wait until
>I take out your neighbor's appendix.
>
>The first email is at the bottom of this post.
>
>---
>In order to provide boards for customers who require the upgrade, we do not
>provide that information. It is RadioShack's intention to FIRST update
>scanners for customers that live in or near analog trunking systems that use
>one of the involved 8 talkgroup ID codes. If RadioShack provided that
>information and responded to everyone's request today, we would quickly run
>out of boards and then not be able to update any requests from customers
>that need them. To better assist you with future questions, please respond
>to this original email with the REPLY option. Thank you, Lori RadioShack
>Product Support Center LN/kk
>--------------------------
> Sent: Wednesday, February 09, 2005 8:24 PM To: RS Store POS Mail user
> Subject: RE: CPU upgrade PRO-96-LN-LN
>That's all very well and good, but I am still waiting for the 8 mystery talk
>groups. I ask again can you please tell me what they are?
>RS Store POS Mail user
>Your local RadioShack store can contact our Product Support group or their
>District Manager to arrange for the upgrade, when the upgrades are available
>for customers not using the ID's. To better assist you with future

>questions, please respond to this original email with the REPLY option.
>Thank you, Lori RadioShack Product Support Center LN/kk
><http://www.radioshack.com>
>-------------------------
> Sent: Sunday, February 06, 2005 9:40 AM To: RS Store POS Mail user Subject:
> RE: CPU upgrade PRO-96-LN
>Thanks, That makes it clearer. Can you provide a list of the 8 talkgroup
>ID's? I don't know them. Also what part # should I reference if I should
>decide to take my PRO-96 in and have the upgrade done. The local stores are
>not aware of this upgrade. Thanks
>RS Store POS Mail user wrote: Thank you for the e-mail, We apologize for the
>delay in response. At the present time we are experiencing a high level of
>correspondence. There is currently no program in place to automatically
>upgrade the Pro-96 in our Fort Worth or any other facility and there are no
>programs planned to do so. Running changes and product enhancements are made
>to products all the time. Not every change is automatically applied to every
>existing unit and our experience and information in this instance is that
>the issue does not effect the vast majority of Pro-96 users. That aside, let
>me say that RadioShack will arrange a version 1.3 CPU update path for anyone
>that wants one, but first... It is RadioShack's intention to FIRST update
>scanners for customers that live in or near analog trunking systems that use
>one of the involved 8 talkgroup ID codes. These are the ONLY customers that
>will see a difference in the performance of the scanner from CPU version 1.2
>or earlier. We have arranged a small number of boards and the cost for the
>update will be our minimum service fee for a scanner, $49. We are actively
>seeking customer contacts in these areas. We have a problem, however - if
>RadioShack responded to everyone's request today, we would quickly run out
>of boards and then not be able to update any requests from customers that
>need them. To our PRO-96 customers, if you monitor a system that uses one or
>more of the 8 talkgroup ID's, please contact us with a list of those ID's
>and most importantly what system they are on, your address and proximity to
>the system - we will verify the system's use of those TID's and your
>address, then contact you individually and arrange a prompt update. If you
>are a PRO-96 customer, but don't use one of those talkgroup ID's, you just
>want the update we encourage you to wait until parts are more readily
>available. The charge for the update will be the same minimum service fee -
>no penalty for just wanting the latest! To better assist you with future
>questions, please respond to this original email with the REPLY option.
>Thank you, Lori RadioShack Product Support Center LN/kk
><http://www.radioshack.com> <
> _____ Customer's Question: I have
> heard rumors of a CPU upgrade to 1.3. Supposedly this is only done at the
> Ft Worth Service center. Can you provide any information on this such as
> part number to reference when speaking with my local store? thanks
>-------------------------
>
>

--
Joe Leikhim K4SAT

"The RFI-EMI-GUY"

The Lost Deep Thoughts By: Jack Handey
Before a mad scientist goes mad, there's probably a time when he's only partially mad. And this is the time when he's going to throw his best parties.

915. Re: FS: BC780XLT, Uniden HR2510, Pro350XL, Keynote pagers.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-13 23:17:50 UTC
From: dontspam <dontspam@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <gvnv01l4d5jteqnj8p1o0gn2oc6k5qhbuq@4ax.com>
Source record: 14925
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

OK. I will lighten up.

On Sat, 12 Feb 2005 19:11:13 -0800, "farfromsane"
<NOFREAKINSPAM@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Dontspam, do you just like being a dick to folks, or what?
>
>Maybe you and Evan can start a "Superior Human Being" club where everything
>you do is correct, you never make any mistakes, your opinion is always the
>right one, etc...
>
>Lighten up, life's too short...
>
>
>"dontspam" <dontspam@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
>news:h1ct01t3m26cvbkkh1q33lt5fj0umb3vro@4ax.com...
>>
>> "Uniden President HR2510 10/11 meter all mode mobile radio. "
>>
>>
>> You had better hope the FCC dont see this ad. 10-4?
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> On Sat, 12 Feb 2005 21:37:17 GMT, "CommCtr1"
>> <pottsladd13@nospam.yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>> >Uniden Bearcat BC780XLT mobile/base Trunk TrackerIII scanner. This is
>> >complete with box, manual, power supply, and mounting bracket.
>> >$215.00 plus shipping.
>> >
>> >Uniden President HR2510 10/11 meter all mode mobile radio. Complete with
>> >box, power cable, mic and mounting bracket. $165.00 plus shipping.
>> >
>> >7 Keynote pagers VHF and one model#D06AHC4411, not sure of exact model,
>but
>> >also VHF.
>> >All the pagers turn on and were taken of service about 2 yrs ago.
>> >These are
>> >all used but do turn on and activate.
>> >They are on 152.720 and I believe 158.100 is what's marked on the

>> >pager.
>> >7- Keynotes A03CJB2568AA
>> >1-D06AHC4411
>> >The first $75.00 plus shipping takes them all.
>> >
>> >Uniden Pro350XL 40 channel CB with scan, channel 9/19 selector,1-4 watt
>> >selectable, and weather service receive,(WX). Comes with manual. $35.00
>plus
>> >shipping
>> >
>> >All items are Cont. US only. USPS money orders only.
>> >
>> >pottsladd13@yahoo.com
>> >
>> >
>>
>

916. Re: A Sad Reality: The Unaviodable Death of Scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-13 23:53:19 UTC
From: DougSlug <dougslug@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <PTRPd.4398$Zx3.3405@fe08.lga>
Source record: 14926

You could get a ham license and create some analog transmissions of your own!!

- Doug

"David H." <daveh@nospam.com> wrote in message news:mrlv01dku2eeb19dv7rivorbed7t5phuhs@4ax.com...
> Hello all,
>
> ... it can really only mean one thing: recreational scanning must
> eventually die.
> ...
> What are we scanner enthusiasts to do?
>
> lamentfully,
> Dave

917. Re: A Sad Reality: The Unaviodable Death of Scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-14 00:02:23 UTC
From: Jim <junk@junk.com>
Message-ID: <jURPd.25500$XY5.23799@fe2.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 14927

"David H." <daveh@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:mrlv01dku2eeb19dv7rivorbed7t5phuhs@4ax.com...
> Hello all,
>
> Scanning has been for me, as I'm sure it has for many of you, a great
> and
> fascinating hobby over the last many years.
>
> But with such things as digital transmission, spread spectrum and
> encryption
> techniques becoming more and more popular and widely used every day, it
> can really
> only mean one thing: recreational scanning must eventually die.
>
> From everything I can see, it won't be long before even the most
> back-woods agencies
> will be going all digital... as many of them already have. Sure, it may
> take another
> 5 or 10 years (probably at most) to phase out all the old analog radio
> equipment, but
> phase out it will. It has to. The future of radio communication is going
> digital.
> It's now cheap and it's easy, and getting more so every day.
>
> What are we scanner enthusiasts to do?
>
> lamentfully,
>
> Dave
>
>

Weak signal work is fun ;-)

918. Re: A Sad Reality: The Unaviodable Death of Scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-14 00:38:22 UTC
From: Dubious One <nospam@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <2qSPd.22220$wc.9556@trnddc07>
Source record: 14928

Nah, don't be such a pessimist. As technology advances in radio communications, it's only a matter of time and patience before monitoring digital becomes just as much the norm as monitoring analog is now. Monitoring will catch up with transmitting, whether it be digital or spread spectrum or encryption. APCO capable scanners are only the beginning. Have faith, Grasshopper. Things will be better:)

"David H." <daveh@nospam.com> wrote in message news:mrlv01dku2eeb19dv7rivorbed7t5phuhs@4ax.com...
> Hello all,
>
> Scanning has been for me, as I'm sure it has for many of you, a great and
> fascinating hobby over the last many years.
>
> But with such things as digital transmission, spread spectrum and encryption
> techniques becoming more and more popular and widely used every day, it can really
> only mean one thing: recreational scanning must eventually die.
>
> From everything I can see, it won't be long before even the most back-woods agencies
> will be going all digital... as many of them already have. Sure, it may take another
> 5 or 10 years (probably at most) to phase out all the old analog radio equipment, but
> phase out it will. It has to. The future of radio communication is going digital.
> It's now cheap and it's easy, and getting more so every day.
>
> What are we scanner enthusiasts to do?
>
> lamentfully,
>
> Dave
>
>

919. UnIdEn Bc250 DeEeEeE FoR AuCtIoN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-14 03:46:59 UTC
From: Scan Da Bands <vzeip5vc@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <rl7011tgq4vsc2oc8joecetrjen9uvjm6u@4ax.com>
Source record: 14929

UnIdEn Bc250 DeEeEeE FoR AuCtIoN

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5751720314

920. Re: FS: BC780XLT, Uniden HR2510, Pro350XL, Keynote pagers.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-14 03:48:21 UTC
From: Scan Da Bands <vzeip5vc@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <jr7011t92nuraegragt9lmpl8co4208tir@4ax.com>
Source record: 14930
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

he he ----- GRIN.....

On Sun, 13 Feb 2005 23:17:50 GMT, dontspam <dontspam@worldnet.att.net>
wrote:

>OK. I will lighten up.
>
>
>On Sat, 12 Feb 2005 19:11:13 -0800, "farfromsane"
><NOFREAKINSPAM@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>>Dontspam, do you just like being a dick to folks, or what?
>>
>>Maybe you and Evan can start a "Superior Human Being" club where everything
>>you do is correct, you never make any mistakes, your opinion is always the
>>right one, etc...
>>
>>Lighten up, life's too short...
>>
>>
>>"dontspam" <dontspam@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
>>news:h1ct01t3m26cvbkkh1q33lt5fj0umb3vro@4ax.com...
>>>
>>> "Uniden President HR2510 10/11 meter all mode mobile radio. "
>>>
>>>
>>> You had better hope the FCC dont see this ad. 10-4?
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> On Sat, 12 Feb 2005 21:37:17 GMT, "CommCtr1"
>>> <pottsladd13@nospam.yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>
>>> >Uniden Bearcat BC780XLT mobile/base Trunk TrackerIII scanner. This is
>>> >complete with box, manual, power supply, and mounting bracket.
>>> >$215.00 plus shipping.
>>> >
>>> >Uniden President HR2510 10/11 meter all mode mobile radio. Complete with
>>> >box, power cable, mic and mounting bracket. $165.00 plus shipping.
>>> >
>>> >7 Keynote pagers VHF and one model#D06AHC4411, not sure of exact model,

>>but
>>> >also VHF.
>>> >All the pagers turn on and were taken of service about 2 yrs ago.
>>> >These are
>>> >all used but do turn on and activate.
>>> >They are on 152.720 and I believe 158.100 is what's marked on the
>>> >pager.
>>> >7- Keynotes A03CJB2568AA
>>> >1-D06AHC4411
>>> >The first $75.00 plus shipping takes them all.
>>> >
>>> >Uniden Pro350XL 40 channel CB with scan, channel 9/19 selector,1-4 watt
>>> >selectable, and weather service receive,(WX). Comes with manual. $35.00
>>plus
>>> >shipping
>>> >
>>> >All items are Cont. US only. USPS money orders only.
>>> >
>>> >pottsladd13@yahoo.com
>>> >
>>> >
>>>
>>

921. Re: FS: BC780XLT, Uniden HR2510, Pro350XL, Keynote pagers.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-14 04:53:30 UTC
From: Sky King <skyking310b@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <BcWPd.2952$FH3.1511@fe11.lga>
Source record: 14931
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

oh please

dontspam wrote:

>"Uniden President HR2510 10/11 meter all mode mobile radio. "
>
>
>You had better hope the FCC dont see this ad. 10-4?
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>On Sat, 12 Feb 2005 21:37:17 GMT, "CommCtr1"
><pottsladd13@nospam.yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>>Uniden Bearcat BC780XLT mobile/base Trunk TrackerIII scanner. This is
>>complete with box, manual, power supply, and mounting bracket.
>>$215.00 plus shipping.
>>
>>Uniden President HR2510 10/11 meter all mode mobile radio. Complete with
>>box, power cable, mic and mounting bracket. $165.00 plus shipping.
>>
>>7 Keynote pagers VHF and one model#D06AHC4411, not sure of exact model, but
>>also VHF.
>>All the pagers turn on and were taken of service about 2 yrs ago.
>>These are
>>all used but do turn on and activate.
>>They are on 152.720 and I believe 158.100 is what's marked on the
>>pager.
>>7- Keynotes A03CJB2568AA
>>1-D06AHC4411
>>The first $75.00 plus shipping takes them all.
>>
>>Uniden Pro350XL 40 channel CB with scan, channel 9/19 selector,1-4 watt
>>selectable, and weather service receive,(WX). Comes with manual. $35.00 plus
>>shipping
>>
>>All items are Cont. US only. USPS money orders only.
>>
>>pottsladd13@yahoo.com

>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>

922. Re: FS: BC780XLT, Uniden HR2510, Pro350XL, Keynote pagers.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-14 04:58:15 UTC
From: Sky King <skyking310b@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <6hWPd.2953$TH3.930@fe11.lga>
Source record: 14932
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Yea, the FCC is gonna send a major force to this guys house for a lousy
10 year old radio
that can do channel 19. Do you really think that the FCC has nothing
better to do??

Pat Stevens wrote:

>"dontspam" <dontspam@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
>news:h1ct01t3m26cvbkkh1q33lt5fj0umb3vro@4ax.com...
>
>
>>"Uniden President HR2510 10/11 meter all mode mobile radio. "
>>
>>
>>You had better hope the FCC dont see this ad. 10-4?
>>
>>
>>
> It was already forwarded. I thought it was interesting, myself. I,
>also, thought that it was interesting that the only correlation that this
>post had with ham radio, was the "illegal" radio, that you mentioned. :)
>
>
>
>

923. Re: FS: BC780XLT, Uniden HR2510, Pro350XL, Keynote pagers.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-14 05:01:41 UTC
From: Sky King <skyking310b@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <gkWPd.2955$ey3.2328@fe11.lga>
Source record: 14933
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Patty is gonna forward this to them also...
Pat probally has the new $10,000 dollar Icom to talk on channel 19...

Hamguy wrote:

>With the proper license, this radio is perfectly LEGAL for a ham to own and
>operate on 10-meters. Additionally, the ham might want to MONITOR 11-meters
>as well. My Kenwood gets 11-meters too, but that doesn't mean that I use it
>there. Any semi-intelligent ham would know that the 2510 is not
>type-accepted for 11-meter TRANSMIT anyway.
>
>
>"Pat Stevens" <patstevenson@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:InyPd.386$6p7.315@news01.roc.ny...
>
>
>>"dontspam" <dontspam@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
>>news:h1ct01t3m26cvbkkh1q33lt5fj0umb3vro@4ax.com...
>>
>>
>>>"Uniden President HR2510 10/11 meter all mode mobile radio. "
>>>
>>>
>>>You had better hope the FCC dont see this ad. 10-4?
>>>
>>>
>>>
>> It was already forwarded. I thought it was interesting, myself. I,
>>also, thought that it was interesting that the only correlation that this
>>post had with ham radio, was the "illegal" radio, that you mentioned. :)
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>

924. Re: FS: BC780XLT, Uniden HR2510, Pro350XL, Keynote pagers.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-14 05:08:33 UTC
From: Pete KE9OA <p.gianako@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <lnWPd.36607$Th1.25763@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 14934
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Shouldn't be a problem if you have an amateur radio
license.....in stock form, this is a 10 Meter radio. Also,
it isn't against FCC regulations (not laws) to own a transceiver that has
this capability; it is only against those regulations if this unit is used
to transmit out of the 10 Meter band limits.

Pete

"dontspam" <dontspam@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:h1ct01t3m26cvbkkh1q33lt5fj0umb3vro@4ax.com...
>
> "Uniden President HR2510 10/11 meter all mode mobile radio. "
>
>
> You had better hope the FCC dont see this ad. 10-4?
>
>
>
>
>
>
> On Sat, 12 Feb 2005 21:37:17 GMT, "CommCtr1"
> <pottsladd13@nospam.yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>Uniden Bearcat BC780XLT mobile/base Trunk TrackerIII scanner. This is
>>complete with box, manual, power supply, and mounting bracket.
>>$215.00 plus shipping.
>>
>>Uniden President HR2510 10/11 meter all mode mobile radio. Complete with
>>box, power cable, mic and mounting bracket. $165.00 plus shipping.
>>
>>7 Keynote pagers VHF and one model#D06AHC4411, not sure of exact model,
>>but
>>also VHF.
>>All the pagers turn on and were taken of service about 2 yrs ago.
>>These are
>>all used but do turn on and activate.
>>They are on 152.720 and I believe 158.100 is what's marked on the
>>pager.
>>7- Keynotes A03CJB2568AA
>>1-D06AHC4411
>>The first $75.00 plus shipping takes them all.
>>
>>Uniden Pro350XL 40 channel CB with scan, channel 9/19 selector,1-4 watt

>>selectable, and weather service receive,(WX). Comes with manual. $35.00
>>plus
>>shipping
>>
>>All items are Cont. US only. USPS money orders only.
>>
>>pottsladd13@yahoo.com
>>
>>
>

925. Re: Funny Police Radio Conversation (wav)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-14 05:10:13 UTC
From: Wayne Farmer <usenet@endymionsystems.com>
Message-ID: <cpCdnVBan4-lro3fRVn-sA@psknet.com>
Source record: 14935
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.family

"Paul Johnson" <baloo@ursine.ca> wrote in message
news:9idmd2-7ko.ln1@ursine.ca...
> poboxzdc@ix.netcom.com wrote:
>
> > > http://www.nc911.com/Sounds/jack_knifed_tractor_trailer.htm
>
> > It's 404.

The correct URL is
http://www.nc911.com/Sound/jack_knifed_tractor_trailer.htm .

926. Patrick AFB Area Log - Sunday 13 Feb 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-14 06:48:52 UTC
From: AllanStern@aol.com
Message-ID: <19f.2e8445e4.2f41a3d4@aol.com>
Source record: 14937

Patrick AFB Area Log - Sunday 13 Feb 2005

REACH 906 Heavy.
1:00am: Departs Patrick to Georgetown Guyana. Patrick tower opened for this departure and said they would remain open for a half hour after the departure in case REACH 906 needed to return.
[133.75, 132.65, 132.25]

HERK 84: C-130
10:20am: Departs Patrick AFB.
[133.75]

NAVY 6E 252: T-34C Whiting Field TW-5 VT-6 "Shooters"
10:15am: En route Palm Beach IAP.
[132.65, 132.25]

GRITS 31: C-17A, Charleston 437AW
10:39am: Touch-and-goes at Patrick; RTB CHS.
[133.75, 132.65]

NAVY 6E 465: T-34C Whiting Field TW-5 VT-6 "Shooters"
12:45pm: Touch-and-goes, then full stop at Patrick.
[133.75]

LT 621: P-3C, Jacksonville NAS VP-62 "Broad Arrows"
1:00pm: Touch-and-goes at Patrick.
[133.75]

ROCKET 256: T-2C, Pensacola TW-6 VT-86
1:36pm: En route to Pensacola.
[269.25, 317.6, 255.4]

ROCKET 257: T-2C, Pensacola TW-6 VT-86
1:36pm: Area transition, descending from FL270 to 16,000 ft.
[360.7, 317.6, 255.4]

RU 240: C-130T, Point Mugu VR-55
3:49pm: Inbound NAS Jax, then to NAS Atlanta.
[310.2]

CG Vessel 433
4:10pm; Comms w CG Sta Port Canaveral.
[157.15]

CG Vessel 442
4:10pm; Comms w CG Sta Port Canaveral.
[157.15]

REACH 805S: C-17A, Charleston AFB 437AW
5:14pm: Lands Patrick.
5:53pm: Departs to Homestead JARB.
[133.75, 132.65, 133.475, 132.15]

REACH 0466: C-5 #70-0466, Dover AFB 436 AW
5:15pm: Lands Patrick; will RON.
[383.0, 372.2, 132.65, 133.75]
Pilot mis-IDs tail as "90466."

SEARCH 01: UN-1H, NASA-KSC Security/Survey Acft
5:45pm: Lands Patrick AFB Helipad Golf.
[133.75]

VADER 06: C-130H, Youngstown-Warren RAP OH-ANG 910AG
4:30pm: Prepares to land at Avon Park Bombing Range; diverts to Patrick
AFB because of landing gear malfunction.
5:35pm: Lands safely at Patrick.
[292.2, 372.2, 132.65, 133.75]
Patrick Talk Group 32656 with related comms.

SHARK 1
5:57pm: Comms on Patrick Talk Group 37776.

MEXICAN AIR FORCE 1: B-757
6:05pm: Area transition.
[119.825, 125.075, 126.525]

SATCOM
6:30pm: Clear comms, unk land; not Spanish, poss Russian.
[261.625]

NASA 917: T-38N #66-8383, NASA-Johnson Space Ctr, Houston
6:45pm: Lands Shuttle Landing Facility.
[132.65, 128.55]

SAM 8492: Andrews AFB 89AW
7:45pm: Inbound MacDill AFB.
[311.0]

TEAL 88: WC-130, Keesler AFB 403W 53WRS "Hurricane Hunters"
7:48pm: Reports airborne at 45 past hour.
7:52pm: Rqsts wx at Keesler and Dobbins.
[311.0, 344.6]

CARBON 31: AT-38C, Moody AFB 479FTG 39FTS.
8:02pm: Area transition.
[346.25, 273.55]

RIVER 14: F/A-18 New Orleans NAS JRB VFA-204 "River Rattlers"
8:04pm: Area transition.
[273.55]

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL (28-11N 80-36W) monitoring
Patrick AFB (KCOF) NASA-KSC Shuttle Landing Fac (KX68)

Avon Park Bombing Range (KAGR) Cape Canaveral AFS (KXMR)
JSTARS E-8 Acft Integration Facility, Melbourne IAP (KMLB)
Worldwide Military HF Communications
Life Member: Missile, Space and Range Pioneers.
http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/index.html (My Freqs)
http://hometown.aol.com/scanaddict/index.html (My Equipment)

927. Re: Digital Receiver in Canada w/o license...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-14 07:41:37 UTC
From: Paul Keenleyside <bcpleights@teluz.net>
Message-ID: <RCYPd.57872$L_3.34640@clgrps13>
Source record: 14936

"bleekay" <bleekay899@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:ob3v01d1vkkskfqrejo4p51btq9i82fvu0@4ax.com...
> Okay,
>
> This may seem lame to some people but is there a way to obtain
> a digital scanner (e.g. BearCat BC-296D) without the digital
> certificate/license?

Go across The Line and buy one.

>
> TIA...

928. Re: Digital Receiver in Canada w/o license...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-14 10:37:17 UTC
From: "news.vif.com" <root@NoReply-AntiSpam.com>
Message-ID: <1108377439.265971@www.vif.com>
Source record: 14939

Nope!

Digital Scanners now approved for use in Canada. *Sales are restricted
to qualified customers

http://www.radioworld.ca/upload/digitalscan.pdf

Before you go jumping the gun!

bleekay wrote:
> Okay,
>
> This may seem lame to some people but is there a way to obtain
> a digital scanner (e.g. BearCat BC-296D) without the digital
> certificate/license?
>
> TIA...

--
John Medica
System & Network administrator
Listening-Post
Toronto Ontario, Canada

(416)653-0114 (Voicemail)
(416)653-0114 (Fax)

929. antenna contact stuck inside

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-14 10:52:19 UTC
From: TomCat4680 <tomcatgbmi@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1108378339.585793.68120@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14938

my antenna contact broke off in my pro 96 and its stuck inside the antenna hole. i cant get it out, its in pretty deep. any ideas how to get it out without spending any money or sending it somewhere?

Tom

930. Wanted To Buy: DSSS Spread Spectrum Radio Modem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-14 11:26:33 UTC
From: "S. Clark" <s_clark@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <cg21119862nedjrifal54f32i0ac0e2si4@4ax.com>
Source record: 14942

Hello,

Looking to buy a DSSS spread spectrum radio modem.

click link for example:

http://www.imagi-vision.com/GinaDSSS.jpg

Please E-Mail:

stephanclark001@yahoo.com

Thank you.

931. WTB: New or Used - DSSS Spread Spectrum Radio Modem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-14 12:13:59 UTC
From: "S. Clark" <s_clark@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <uf51111rl9h3h2pssb4rck6ap2ve6e11f9@4ax.com>
Source record: 14943

Hello,

Looking to buy a DSSS spread spectrum radio modem.

NEW or USED

900MHz or 2.4GHz

click link for example:

http://www.imagi-vision.com/GinaDSSS.jpg

Please E-Mail:

stephanclark001@yahoo.com

Thank you.

932. Re: FCC Search Site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-14 12:24:33 UTC
From: Matt <pissoff@spammers.suck.com>
Message-ID: <cuq5a1$2qo5$1@otis.netspace.net.au>
Source record: 14940

"Evan Platt" <evan@TheObvious.espphotography.com> wrote in message
news:1out01pmonlpc89k1k4utfo2l9j30b3lmo@4ax.com...
> Another thing to keep in mind is depending on the location of the
> transmitter, if it's West of - I can't recall the cutoff, you may need
> to append a - (dash) to the longitude. I recall the first time I got
> into GPS, I punched in some coordinates I heard for a medivac call
> into a online mapping website, and it came up with a point somewhere
> over the ocean. Then I was told if it's West, you need to add the
> dash, or depending on the application, select West.
>
> Evan

If the Longitude is west, then it will be considered to be minus (for this type of program) - likewise, southern lattitudes are entered as minus as well (the southern lattitude shouldn't be needed to often for the FCC database - one would think).

Matt

933. Re: antenna contact stuck inside

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-14 14:02:47 UTC
From: Jim <junk@junk.com>
Message-ID: <bc2Qd.25924$XY5.19008@fe2.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 14941

"TomCat4680" <tomcatgbmi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1108378339.585793.68120@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> my antenna contact broke off in my pro 96 and its stuck inside the
> antenna hole. i cant get it out, its in pretty deep. any ideas how to
> get it out without spending any money or sending it somewhere?
>
> Tom
>

Vacuum Cleaner?

934. Re: A Sad Reality: The Unavoidable Death of Scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-14 15:07:51 UTC
From: markinillinois@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9248-4210BEC7-267@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 14944

The digital era has created no problems for me since all I've listened to since 1974 is aircraft communications. Therefore, my scanning hobby is alive and well, not on the verge of death.

Living directly between the three busiest aviation hubs on earth (Atlanta, Chicago & Dallas), there's no shortage of high altitude stuff flying overhead for me to hear on a daily basis. A person doesn't need to live near an airport to listen to aircraft. Many folks may live in an ideal location to listen to the same thing I do and not even realize it. Go outside on a sunny day and look up to see what's flying above you. Each of those white streaks which crisscross our skies are all talking on the radio with air traffic controllers at an ARTCC facilitity.

There's even airline flight tracking sites like, http://flightview.com that enable a person to see where a flight is coming from, going to, flight routing and aircraft type.

Mark Holmes
Marion, IL

935. Re: A Sad Reality: The Unavoidable Death of Scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-14 15:51:43 UTC
From: Paul Keenleyside <bcpleights@teluz.net>
Message-ID: <jO3Qd.58625$L_3.27759@clgrps13>
Source record: 14945

"Mark Holmes" <markinillinois@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:9248-4210BEC7-267@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net...
> The digital era has created no problems for me since all I've listened
> to since 1974 is aircraft communications. Therefore, my scanning hobby
> is alive and well, not on the verge of death.
>
> Living directly between the three busiest aviation hubs on earth
> (Atlanta, Chicago & Dallas), there's no shortage of high altitude stuff
> flying overhead for me to hear on a daily basis. A person doesn't need
> to live near an airport to listen to aircraft. Many folks may live in an
> ideal location to listen to the same thing I do and not even realize it.
> Go outside on a sunny day and look up to see what's flying above you.
> Each of those white streaks which crisscross our skies are all talking
> on the radio with air traffic controllers at an ARTCC facilitity.
>
> There's even airline flight tracking sites like, http://flightview.com
> that enable a person to see where a flight is coming from, going to,
> flight routing and aircraft type.

Agree there, there's not only aviation - I live near one major airport
(Vancouver - YVR),and listen into aviation occaisionally but also marine.
Out here, there's lots of marine activity since there is a large vehicle
ferry fleet here, and there's always position reporting and the activity at
the terminals. Plus coastal ship operatins including cruise ships in season.
In addition, there's trains. Then there's also logging truck operations (be
sure you're not heading west on the same 14 foot wide road that a 12 foot
wide loaded logging truck is heading east!).

As far as the fire service goes, the fire service is not digitial around
here, since digital systems are costly. The only things that are digitally
encrypted are police and ambulance, but that's only in the local area.
Outside of the regional area, the police
are analogue and once one knows the matrix of RCMP channels, it's pretty
easy.

It depends on what the interests lie in listening in. As Mark here said,
there's tons of things to listen to if a person justs
looks around around.

I take my portable scanner aboard the ferry and one certainly gets a
different prespective while looking around outside around you.

PK

936. Re: Digital Receiver in Canada w/o license...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-14 19:22:57 UTC
From: HotShot <MyShotIsHot@HotShotShootsSpam.Com>
Message-ID: <nU6Qd.5647$4I5.254524@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 14946

Before you go jumping the gun. Do like everyone else. Use the internet
to buy them. Forget about the license. It's NOT an issue in Canada.

Just ask all the people that have one.

news.vif.com wrote:
> Nope!
>
> Digital Scanners now approved for use in Canada. *Sales are restricted
> to qualified customers
>
> http://www.radioworld.ca/upload/digitalscan.pdf
>
> Before you go jumping the gun!
>
> bleekay wrote:
>
>> Okay,
>>
>> This may seem lame to some people but is there a way to obtain
>> a digital scanner (e.g. BearCat BC-296D) without the digital
>> certificate/license?
>>
>> TIA...
>
>

937. For Sale: Mobile / Cell Phone Jammer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-14 19:47:51 UTC
From: rehan.yasin@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1108410471.737019.10450@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14947
Cross-posted to: free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.radio.amateur

Hiya all (hope this isn't annoying, I haven't done it before),

I have a BRAND NEW Mobile phone jammer for sale. This is a fixed jammer which is capable of paralyzing phone signals within 20 meters.

The price of the jammer is £139.99 (plus postage charges depending on where you live).

The jammer itself can be mounted upon a wall, or ceiling, or table, wherever is necessary.

Technical Info:

AC input 100V ~ 240V AC
DC output 12V, 1000mA
Power output (Avg.) 23dB, 200mW per band (600mW Output)
Total Power Consumption 2W
Effective blocking area up to 20 metre diametre of jamming
Effective cellular system AMPS, CDMA, TDMA, GSM, PCS, DCS, iDEN, Nextel
Dimensions 100mm(W) * 100mm(L) * 30mm(H)
Weight 300g including power supply

Please either e-mail me for info and pics, or alternatively reply to this thread.

Thanks all!! :-)

938. Re: For Sale: Mobile / Cell Phone Jammer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-14 19:50:21 UTC
From: RADIOTWO <g6yrk@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <37cdo0F58olooU1@individual.net>
Source record: 14948
Cross-posted to: free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.radio.amateur

These are illegal in the UK

<rehan.yasin@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1108410471.737019.10450@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
Hiya all (hope this isn't annoying, I haven't done it before),

I have a BRAND NEW Mobile phone jammer for sale. This is a fixed jammer
which is capable of paralyzing phone signals within 20 meters.

The price of the jammer is £139.99 (plus postage charges depending on
where you live).

The jammer itself can be mounted upon a wall, or ceiling, or table,
wherever is necessary.

Technical Info:

AC input 100V ~ 240V AC
DC output 12V, 1000mA
Power output (Avg.) 23dB, 200mW per band (600mW Output)
Total Power Consumption 2W
Effective blocking area up to 20 metre diametre of jamming
Effective cellular system AMPS, CDMA, TDMA, GSM, PCS, DCS, iDEN, Nextel
Dimensions 100mm(W) * 100mm(L) * 30mm(H)
Weight 300g including power supply

Please either e-mail me for info and pics, or alternatively reply to
this thread.

Thanks all!! :-)

939. Re: For Sale: Mobile / Cell Phone Jammer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-14 20:05:44 UTC
From: RiKk <bigrikk@fsmail.net>
Message-ID: <sw7Qd.603$hL3.432@newsfe2-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 14949
Cross-posted to: free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.radio.amateur

What is the point of owning one of these
RiKk

<rehan.yasin@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1108410471.737019.10450@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
Hiya all (hope this isn't annoying, I haven't done it before),

I have a BRAND NEW Mobile phone jammer for sale. This is a fixed jammer
which is capable of paralyzing phone signals within 20 meters.

The price of the jammer is £139.99 (plus postage charges depending on
where you live).

The jammer itself can be mounted upon a wall, or ceiling, or table,
wherever is necessary.

Technical Info:

AC input 100V ~ 240V AC
DC output 12V, 1000mA
Power output (Avg.) 23dB, 200mW per band (600mW Output)
Total Power Consumption 2W
Effective blocking area up to 20 metre diametre of jamming
Effective cellular system AMPS, CDMA, TDMA, GSM, PCS, DCS, iDEN, Nextel
Dimensions 100mm(W) * 100mm(L) * 30mm(H)
Weight 300g including power supply

Please either e-mail me for info and pics, or alternatively reply to
this thread.

Thanks all!! :-)

940. FA - Icom 7100 - 1/2 hour left

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-14 20:22:52 UTC
From: John Schneider <thecop@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <tP7Qd.14408$%k5.11056@fe12.lga>
Source record: 14800

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5750209396&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

941. Re: For Sale: Mobile / Cell Phone Jammer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-14 20:29:19 UTC
From: Peter Harding <harding@herald.ox.ac.uk>
Message-ID: <cur1mv$l4j$1@news.ox.ac.uk>
Source record: 14801
Cross-posted to: free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.radio.amateur

In article <sw7Qd.603$hL3.432@newsfe2-win.ntli.net>, bigrikk@fsmail.net
says...
> What is the point of owning one of these

It's probably to stop the secret NASA satellites from downloading your
thoughts using the mobile bands as they go over. Utterly pointless of
course, as they can do it just as well using infrared laser beams from
televisions.

942. Re: For Sale: Mobile / Cell Phone Jammer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-14 20:31:18 UTC
From: Dave Walker <spam@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <37cg4qF583hlgU1@individual.net>
Source record: 14802
Cross-posted to: free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.radio.amateur

> What is the point of owning one of these

I`d love one, I`d carry it with me on the train.

943. BC296D handling caution

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-14 20:31:31 UTC
From: bear <rogerrabbit@rogerrabbit.com>
Message-ID: <yvmdnYPliNfRlozfRVn-iA@rogers.com>
Source record: 14803

I just got my Uniden BC296D back from repair and thought to save others my experience.

My radio fell about five inches (it was standing on a 2-inch-thick Post-It stack on my desk which got bumped) onto the head of a small screw. The accident put a barely-perceptible little dent in the aluminum face of the radio just beneath the display, and SHATTERED the display! The radio would not even turn on.

Uniden took just under TWO MONTHS to return the radio to me after I FedExed it to them (Christmas was admittedly in the middle of that time) and charged US$60 to repair the two-month-old radio.

Unless mine was an unusual freak accident hitting precisely the wrong spot, the front panel of this radio around the display should be considered very tender. I won't likely wear it on my belt any more - the risk of bumping the fragile face into something is too high.

Hope this saves someone else the hassle.

944. Re: For Sale: Mobile / Cell Phone Jammer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-14 20:39:26 UTC
From: rehan.yasin@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1108413566.260460.209710@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14804
Cross-posted to: free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.radio.amateur

So that it is possible in theatres for example to not have constant
phone rings!

945. Re: Where's the NRA on whoring as bush bitches?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-14 21:05:56 UTC
From: Release the Press <Release_the_Press@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1108415156.466968.196440@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14805
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan,alt.fan.jay-leno

I will argue Bush as murderer, makes my "threats" justified against his
as the guilty.
No evidence to substantiate his accusation, makes the accused an
innocent person,
straight across the board. Any laywers you know of who might provide
some insight,
or tips on warrant challenges?

--

Release the Press

In regards to Johnny's criminal charges.

Being in possession of that I know not of until it reaches my
computer, puts the onus on the distributor's server to be held in
the same regard equally. To argue for criminalizing the possession
of materials that are granted full legal rights to be propagated,
as not for sure either way then, but now that they're multiplied to
others, makes little sense. For, it only increases a problem that
will end up costing the public a great deal more to deride, if the
said material is found to be, without reasonable doubt,
objectionable from a legal framework set of criteria. Instead, in
my case, they've used every impractical assumption regarding the
content of what I may have witnessed. I am beside myself
dumfounded to learn a picture termed of a topless youth smiling, is
a sight the officers involved wish to draw me with as evidenced
pedophile. A term classified to include dehumanizing personal acts
of sexual assault against the rights of actual children. This is
unacceptable. And, I will not be found guilty of approving such
conduct. As to other depictions of cruelty I've witnessed by
information gathering, I can say without doubt to any as a seeker
of injustice, has motivated me personally as a public figure, to
take direct steps few others do in defense of the innocent. Unlike
the two officers who are my public accusers, I don't believe
indiscriminately murdering people by bombing a country brings about
protections for those involved for example, and yet, my accusers
proudly stated, they were supporters of such unprovoked, and
unwarranted ILLEGAL criminal conduct against our children left
undefended under their limited bushite haze.

Nothing will bottom this episode of my life, where as a lover of
children everywhere, and a champion for human rights around the
world, am judged not fit for freedom by a couple expert, pro-war
"liberating" bushite bombers. [they both survived serving the

military before taking up this police business as Bush supporters for lawlessness - (they PUPOSEFULLY sabotaged, the planned video recording of public evidence against Bush, that I made to the National Security Division of the RCMP in writing, and in approximately, ten separate audio recordings. [Oops...])]

The bushite bombers searched for depictions of behavior sexually impressionable readers could arguably, lose their self respect or control over, but can be found by any desperate conniver, searching in depictions of a rape scene, naked people on a beach, or in a cloud storm. So, some guide lines on how best to stop their depictions of the rights of children being breached most definitely, should be handled where the questionable material emanates, not with the quester of good taste, out looking to see what is widely, and freely, publicly available by the thousands. Again, not perceived until within the possession of the observer to witness. The classifications of all that is accepted to include in the definition of "child pornography", should not include the beauty of what it is to be nakedly human, or normally affectionate. But that is being open, honest, and beautiful, traits an advocate of tyranny through censorship will run and hide from, for they fear the private human element in our public political affairs speaking freely. Bushitism. [Think of Life, a struggling to survive for better times profiteer, and ourselves, a distant relative nobody's ever heard of till now at most.]

I've been a widely published internet psychology columnist, almost since ideas have been broadly shared as such. And my writing testifies to the subject of human rights denied, as the struggles I attempt to find, real solutions for. The artistic impressions created by the artist, like the words defined within this sentence of words, is but streaming reference points to the larger unbound projections of the manifested mind. A defined, definite conclusion on it's meaning, loses it's truer state. Recognizing the subjective relationship on communicating through media, is ultimately the reader perceiving this conclusion. It is not therefore a conclusion the reader becomes for, but is held in consideration, when creating it's over all pretext, in the form of personal insight or imagination. An imaginative state of fiction, does not preclude it from still being, a shared artistic work suspended through disbelief. I can read a fictionally exciting murder mystery, all the while knowing, the characters who suffer misfortunes, are not living worse because of me, nor to learn the reasons someone is obtusely perverse, make me then, more inclined to disrespect real living, in a similar kind. [read anything from corporate media challenger, the lover, Johnny Wizard] The actions of a character in fiction, does not compel me to give up my own belief of reality, or a respect I grant in those who protect the rights of any child, or adult for that matter. I have many written works for example from those who accolade Bush as of unprecedented prowess when it comes to believing in the holy scriptures, but come

on, who believes that make believe horror show, and how did I get to know people could be so deceived without knowing the indisputable consequence of Bush's deliberate criminal actions? The opinions I hold in reference, are not opinions I just automatically except as my own without thinking for myself in other words. If America witnessed without censorship the vicious evil bombing of God's children in Fallujah or Samara, or the torture of innocent people to death by liar Bush's satanic sadomasochists, every bushite repuglicon who voiced political support for the irrational murderous acts, would be hunted down by millions of armed America men, tried, convicted, and executed in time square, that we all know for certain without room for question.

/ / Expression that degrades or dehumanizes is harmful in and of itself as all members of society suffer when harmful attitudes are reinforced. \ \

But does the witness of such a relationship, granted only to the mind of an officer? Harmful attitudes depicted in Nazi film archives, works of fiction, or artistic expression, does not every time, have us rooting on the bad guys. For if so, police officers investigating harmful child pornography possession, would be left without an ability to even discern a care for any one. But they would and do care. We can see a need, and a honor to defend the rights of children, and I can't think of a more noble police position, to try defending those principles to the best of our ability. Not infringing on free thought access of everyone because some are arguably, more impressionable to the false view of the bushite conspirator. DISTRIBUTERS of illegal material is where the law should not be denied a place to practice. Making criminals out of what someone picks out in coffee grounds, is to say, some thoughts considered, are thoughts better left alone as forbidden when alleged thought of. That is where no god or person should be allowed to falsely determine for our selves, with what words can be thought "about", and what words can not. Of course threatening the freedom of another living individual with the law, better be based on the tangible as documented offences, otherwise, our rights wouldn't be publicly challenged for protection, but of denial. So Hammurabi came up with: A person accused of a criminal offense without any evidence is innocent, and the accuser, especially when it comes to capital crimes, is the bad guy every time. And gee, if America does that, Mr. bush Jr. could go to jail from Canada, China, or perhaps somewhere off somewhere's else where they have laws enforced against the crime of murder.

I am a behavioral psychologist, who's not only written on psychology's pillars of understanding, but also on the struggles of lawless war committed by sexually dysfunctional sadomasochists. I was interested to watch The Learning Channel's special, The Meaning of Love, a four or five part series, hosted by "The Naked Ape"'s, Desmond Morris. This world's most recognized, widely publicized

human behaviorist, reporting on juvenile properties of mutual expression between lovers, lending well into nurturing a caring relationship for each other.

Therefore, is a reader of fiction regarding adult themes, going to read all about who get their toes piggy wiggled, or the professional accountant, who at spin the bottle, becomes the lucky "loser" of a sound deserved spank or two, secretly though, underneath it all, a pedophile who thinks unclean thoughts? Examples of sexual boundaries: Is not a heterosexual, secretly gay when they play with themselves that way? Perhaps a trans cross dresser? And some men may relate to this, but man, lesbians are a dream come true. But then... well, your a guy too right?

Such normal dynamics of continuous change through development within relationships, can not be denied a place, as being mutually beneficial as human in quality, (and maybe fun,) and being, private, none of our business, almost childhood like high jinx of that moment in time. But, leaves a, any person public view of definite acceptance, intolerably immature as inappropriate across the public spectrum. Publicly get into the intricacies of private relationships with your new book why don't you with the Court now John, you have all our ears a-flutter. Grandma doesn't need to know about your proficiency at.. and I'm not the same to Dick as I am to Jane, if you catch my drift.

While two male bushite, who are now helplessly stuck appearing plastered to protect Bush from arrest for mass murder through the advocation of censorship by tyranny, could quip to us being lovey dovey, means your ripe for picking on as, the soft and mushy easy push over in the corner they see. Me? This is why bushite think allowing brave men of our communities to speak openly as would be, calmly, against the criminal dictums of the demon anti-Christ, shouldn't be allowed, because they know, the world would then recognize them for the cowardice and betrayal they hold of our human spirit. A spirit that craves for all, the end to the continuing neo-con wars with the freedom to love Life for being truly ourselves. I see life everywhere, for trying to make some happiness and prosperity. If only we would listen to reasons for believing in creating a better world where life in cherished, is a world where bombs don't drop indiscriminately from the sky in God's name left for blame by the thieving liar bushite scoundrels.

The inner world of artistic creations by story line, is a world of pretend make belief, forwarded by the observer's conclusions. I am concerned to read struggles for entertainment, to include, cruelty against anyone for sexual gratification, but all literature regarding such, does not change my respect for the rights of others, especially those of children. If anything, it makes me more concerned on how respect for another can be better served by the powers and freedom of the unshackled word.

I've seen more child pornography on the television news regarding child pornography, than I've ever mistakenly got through the internet. Providing illegal depictions for public consumption, is where the law should be exercised, but it's often not on the grounds it doesn't prosecute as many. See my point? The FBI did an expensive child porn sting, running for two years in total I think it was, and in that time, they gave away tens of thousands of images to thousands of, who knows how to copy customers, that much later, the FBI would argue were illegal to have possession of. That is like a huge wasted effort OF OURS to distribute such offenseble materials, particularly if it was sold as, "teens in action", "youthful beauty", "buttercups", "a day at the dentist", or something of some sort to entrap more crime business. For our police agencies to set exact criteria on what would constitute child pornography, and scan internet traffic for such distributors, would be far more effective in halting such proliferations. They don't largely because, they'd have to be more precise in determinations, and therefore, many would lose their jobs as then redundant. By not doing so, we can pay billions to have people arrested, labeled pedophile for witnessing depictions of God's beautiful children being normal - while then pleading guilty to avoid the public tarnish such new bite revelations would bring. Destroying families, and future job prospects of individuals such as myself, who hate the thieving, murderous, ignorant as truly ungodly bushite liars to the core of my eternal being. Currently, our legal services, can't help but learn, bush did 9/11 with General Ahmad, and are left speaking "nothing" too - in news public suits regarding the injustice they're recorded not to answer about. Instead, a couple confessed bushite, will conclude who ever witnesses depictions they suggestively reach for as inappropriate, to not possibly also, hold children, and their rights, paramount. Well, that's just simply not true. I call for the arrest of those that ran d squads against only known as innocent families including children in Afghanistan, (Tenth Mountain Division), what do the, two self confessed once blind Bush worshipping police offers, who stand as my public accusers as job requirement, have to state on that denial of our rights to cherish life and liberty? As bushite bullshiters, they don't want to answer to not presently asked public media enquiries, because being "embarrassed" sadomasochists, they then may likewise, get off on accusing community members to be pedophiles, with flimsy examples of what, in their minds, in an insult to our sworn values. Children can be beautiful, but certainly aren't, as adults, interested in being so naked, on the six o'clock news. Do they care to make a scene bigger than it first appears? Imagine me hosting the evening news and the Johnny Jamboree, hashing it out with my opponents on the tough questions, rolling with the insults and the cash offerings, while taking time to sample the world's "best" pizza an beer joints?

Or, do they adroitly believe, they'll teach that Johnny image something or two on their own behalves for our Humanity?

George W. Bush is primarily motivated ultimately with how much they can sucker out of our public coffers, thereby in spirit, advocate censorship on my calls for traitor bush's capture for 9/11.

What they are doing is this:

My "official" court case, (Involving all good people I believe) is enforcing our Law by suggesting everything in my possession can be isolated, onto itself, and made into something I'm not of entirely. All the while pretending, we ain't at all aware of Usenet servers, or my, Johnny Wizard saves the World writings of DOCUMENTED CRIMES AGAINST YOUR HUMANITY. Writings that dominate the interest of the whole investigation, locally, and internationally. But gee, without your help, two bushite "coppers" are going to appear still pressing that bush not be arrested in their contempt of silence, while praying, I not be granted a moment in my trial to speak for my own defense literally. Well, maybe not, after all, we all change with the times. My last conversation with the said two, was quite a way back when they were asked to prosecute Johnny Wizard, and likely came back with the reply, Johnny Who? So, let's not kid ourselves, my words are quite amazing when you think about it. Well, I'd hope in these last days, before this coming media flop, they've taken it amongst themselves, to get together with the loving family to discuss anyone of the hundred or two, Johnny Wizard works of the world, and conclude, George W. Bush is truly bad news to their world's view too.

Even.

Well.. how a you been doing anyway? Are not these developing story lines to be something good going live mainstream... will we demonize the principles of Christ, in censoring ourselves like the individual person of Johnny Wizard is to be, as, being denied personal freedom by "unaccountable" George W. Bush's dictatorship of tyranny through enslavement of the human species, or, will You consider the equal freedom of a telephone call, a cassette tape recording, or perhaps, photo copier to bring us around this, we want our still living rights heard too man. Right now before we alls go it to the clink. Coast to Coast should be broadcasting for America's interest, by openly discussing the still paying costs of the bushite war crime business.

America! - bush's war is something you do not want to lose to in further dying silence. Take it from me. Be a hero. Dream of the babes! - Movie deals. Lives saved!!!

Business is business.

Look you might not care for me personally, okay, but this George W. Bush's treason of nine-eleven is an evil we together, will never, be too weak to stand against as. As indecisive humans without a leader, we will never be too few from demanding Justice be served

in respect of Humanity's interest.

In nabbing those who committed treason against our America's America on 9/11, needs to be completed by firstly, following the crime scene leads at the beginning with Bush's prior 9/11 plans worked with the ring leader's financier, General Ahmad, and how Condi, rumsfeld, and Cheney with Myers, fits in the picture together as likewise traitors. The Iraq war is also a crime against humanity. All stolen monies and property must be sought, then returned to a legitimized democratic government. Torture is outlawed, and finally, all innocent people held in prisons by bushite forces, held without out charge for trial, need to be released immediately as ourselves being denied liberation truly. Let common sense prevail.

Your Kingly King of a Dreamer's dream,

seriously considering prison time to be,
a major downer for my chances at partying,

Johnny Wizard

P.S. TELL SOMEBODY!

--

[I wrote this following Psycho paper a couple years ago.]

Psychodynamic Theory

Freud suggested that there were conflicts between conscious and unconscious forces that influence our feelings, thoughts, and behaviors, theorizing that conscious thoughts only comprise a fraction of mental activity, therefor concluding we, as individuals, are psychologically, more that we can speak as understood completely. Freud used the term unconscious motivation, to address that of which we dont consciously know of as elements of personality, to offer explanation and study of what can not be stated as direct awareness of the persons living relationship to existence with our infinite nature as. To reveal these hypothesized underling forces of personality, Freud developed three techniques: Free association, dream interpretation, and analysis of, slips of the tongue. By researching these areas of experience that are not direct results from conscious abstracts, or conclusions, Freud hoped to reveal an order to causes that reflected a hidden instigation of behavior included. (Freud was secretly a theologian). Freud, naturally, considered that our personalities begin at the start of development, so emphasized childhood experience as the foundation, or framework from where our personalities would be more so dependent upon. Freud formed his theory around divided states of mind: id, ego, and superego, along with 5 psychosexual stages to help structure an understanding of our progressions through human development.

Such wrestling with Freuds ideas, brought me to consider, are our deliberate actions not based on sound judgement? If there are unconscious motivations driving our will, where does that leave principles, as we measure ourselves in honesty? When things are uncertain, do unconscious motivations, gain decisiveness on our faulting conclusions? Can some forgotten childhood experience, unknowingly convince ourselves, one plus one equals something else?

Humanistic Theories

Humanistic Theories emphasize our capacity for personal growth, development of our potential, and freedom to change our destiny. Unlike more defined branches of Psychology that seek underlining hidden forces, Humanistic theories stress self realizations are ultimately, like plans, responsible for the directions we take in personal development, and through the motivations we have as the human species. The phenomenological perspective, speaks of our individual perceptions, be they accurate or otherwise, become our reality, while the Holistic view, speaks of the individual person, being more than individual parts described through divisions of statement, but of a unique entity that is, in part, identifiable to all things mentionable. It would be then right to say, Holistic is a definition that defines its own meaning, as something more than can be completed by its own explanation. Much like dancing poetry that holds lasting truths, or a leisurely good beer on some sunny afternoon. In the field of Humanistic Theory attributed to Maslow, we concede we have desires, that are framed in a hierarchical manner, where the basics for survival are primary, while social and personal needs ascend us through greater living by open communications with the Universe as ourselves. Social Cognitive Theory

The field of Social Cognitive Theory has categorized personality development, to be attributable through three wide based assertions: Environmental factors, cognitive-personal factors, and behavior, which in turn, interact to form everything. Bandura portrayed personality's development into four main processes: developing language ability, observational learning, purposeful behavior, and self-analysis. Bandura emphasized that our cognitive thought out conclusions, is our personality development. He too however, like his predecessors, also dealt with consequences we can attribute partly to forces we are not solely consciously responsible for, and the strengths of those forces upon our outcomes. The perceptions of where we are at succeeding, Bandura argued is a balance in our beliefs in what we are capable of, and so being, brought forward the outlining term, Locus of control. Internal Locus of control is dependant on how much we believe our particular actions can control some outcome for personal reward. While the designation of an external Locus of control, is a delegation to our self on some situations, as having little ability in our world as malleable to our own command for gratification, now, or in the future somewhere. Though, it should be remembered,

our living existence with nature, wouldnt be entirely hostile to the idea of bringing ourselves enjoyment by simply only trying to better ourselves, and the threat of law winning to protect the community serves better than chaotic anarchy. Not much would ever be gained, if failed attempts were concluded as complete failures of experience. Much of Bandura is widely correct as generalized statements about the human condition, so much so, that his, Self-Efficacy construct, regarding self confidence being crucial to succeeding, is as much a reiteration of his Locus of control theorem.

Trait Theory

Trait theory is an attempt to be more scientific regarding the sometimes, ambiguous nature of getting to the absolute truth of our universal condition as individuals. Trait theory's five-factor model classifies all personality traits to be associated into five categories: openness, conscientiousness, extraversion, agreeableness, and neuroticism. (OCEAN). With the theory parsed with a fluidity for change for an individuals classification as developing, while naturally becoming more stabilized as we grow older to our vises, and conceptions of conviction on who we figure we are. The designations, OCEAN, are almost arbitrary, and are prone to relative bias for conclusions. Objectivity in assessing ones agreeableness, regarding a mathematical certainty for example, only comes from whether the person understands how to formulate the answer. Perhaps it is insecurity of suffering ridicule for being stupid, that an individual would publicly argue with his calculator at the grocery store. Or in another way, ones agreeableness, without question, could lead to doing jumping jacks in Brookland if ones not careful. Now substitute agreeableness with openness, or conscientiousness.

An attempted, conscious or otherwise, confrontation to the limits of relative fallible human reason it would appear this is heading for in the field of psychology's relationship to the categories of philosophy, theology, and the true science of measurement. It is the explanation made through the divisive qualifications of language, that fall us to the deception that we are actually aware as could be of our infinite selves, a living part of existence. In the beginning was the Word, and only some super freaky God could figure out what it meant really, maybe. I could be right, to say I may be wrong on things that are not truly separate from everything else, as effects have causes, and no living thing is separate from existing. So, to successfully compartmentalize our identity, is to include our relationship to the winds on Jupiter, and the electromagnetic properties of consciousness being also timeless in nature. (Science teaches us that.)

Genetic factors are recognized to play a significant role in trait theory, particularly when looking at the comparisons of separated

at birth twins, who not only have commonalties in appearance, but occasionally, also in experience with our physical reality, with decisions made, as once thought completely independent, have shown through in life styles, such as employment choices, or naming a pet dog, Toy. Which would beckon to theorize DNA's multi-dimensional space-time design, such as with horizontal gene transfers, to not just a blueprint to making something else, but to some never understandable living holographic relationship to all things in this universe, as intelligence ourselves.

--

for all mankind...

The EVIL anti-Christ "un-accountable to American law cos hees duh president" demon bush Jr., has ordered the commitment of mass murder in our names, while his enemy bush bitch cult following in the corporate news establishments, have refused our communities to publicly address the DOCUMENTED evidence made public by the FBI. Alleging, General Ahmad, bush's business partner, was "secretly" responsible for a wire transfer of 100,000.00 to 9/11's "ring leader" Mohamed Atta, and in addition, that the demon anti-Christ, Our Mr. bush Jr,, had a top secret CRIMINAL war plan in place, worked on with condoleeza rice, and implemented in part by General Ahmad, to criminally invade Afghanistan signed September 9th, 2001, provided to and reported on by Jim at MSNBC. All centered on not apprehending bin Laden, (prior, during, or after) as a prerequisite to guarantee invasion. (, to secure a liquefied natural gas pipeline for pension thievers Enron.) THEREBY, forbidding the apprehension of the true culprits through following the crime scene leads at the real murder scene. See, with a talk radio program we could take calls and help any others who have some difficulty in understanding the logic of reason, as super simple thinking.

So, as many know, the bush regime is FOR REAL pushing still to have me incarcerated for speaking these truths, truths that stand irrespective of my personal opinion, or spin doctoring. Will you, as a complete stranger, but a fellow lover to life, help my cause by requesting also, I be allowed to face publicly my accusers on the, censorship for bushite tyranny radio networks Coast to Coast, or CBC national radio here in Canada? Before, god forbid, a couple, once self confessed bushite "police officers" of tyranny, (death squads against school kids, happy funders of heroin pushers, and who in silence, work getting un-informed soldier teen/bushite sacrificed as the bad guys for Bush's thieving. Done all to gloriously please the fabulously wealthy, safe at home from the clutches of power, neo-con "Cabal" of enemy traitors.) get lost in the translation. The fascist corporate interests are likely not

going to factually report on my trial, but attempt to demonize me with their falsehoods and betraytals against our communities, that is now presently on going without your voice also heard raised yet for objection. A trial, where the evidence that factually establishes bush guilt for treason against all the American People will be sought as immaterial. What the evil enemy as godless bushite dogma blindly hope for is, a silently feared coercion through suggestive threat against Our entire Justice system, leaving the court to have quoting perhaps, only one or two lines written by myself out of context from "The Trial and Conviction of Our Mr. bush Jr" to a jury, while disallowing the documented facts, surrounding my allegations to be refused as my defense. [the criminal leads end at the bush administration's door step with bush's own signature, but bush, as Presidente', can't be arrested under American law, only impeached by the majority of already bought out and sold whole repuglicons.] Counting that our community of peers will have not a inkling what is contained in the very first paragraph of "The Trial...". You my friend alone, you alone, could help US change all that. I, as a single person am trying to stand on the principle, that ANY individual, that is accused of a capital offense without evidence, is UNIVERSALLY INNOCENT, as they would be tomorrow, today, and way back in Hammurabi's day also. And that by reason, the false accuser, is the number one suspect to start with. The enemy bushite MURDERERS try to confuse this extremely simple matter with the powers of hypnosis, or suggestion through the dictate of "our" television and radio cult broadcasts that promote tyranny by fascist censorship.

The nature of hypnosis shouldn't be feared, but learned from to gain a better understanding of the true human condition. Something a bushite hates like our public education systems, is where we through improved communications, halt their criminal transgressions against our better selves.

In the warrant to take all my writings, and computer stuffs, (to begin on their fishing expedition to protect the war monger demon anti-Christ,) it was argued, that the information contained within their possession should remain TOP SECRET. The document has now been made available for my defense, and here is the reason it was argued THREE YEARS AGO, to not have the charges publicly heard.

/ / FROM TIME TO TIME, the NSIS section receives threats from members of the public who after investigation, turn out to be suffering from mental illness. On occasion, proceedings under the Mental Health Act as opposed to the Criminal Code may be more appropriate. In cases of a mental illness, the publicity and sensationalism of an incident such as this one may turn out to be negative to mentally ill persons. \ \

Yeah but, what about DEFENDING the FBI's findings "copper"? Is it that anyone who stands up for Justice in America, who asks loudly for a public platform in OUR media market place, should only be considered, top secretly, mentally ill to think they have a right to contribute on an equal basis, among the oh so lauded, intellectual power breaking crime pushers of our World? (The woman hating child killers, like naive bushite nazi demon liar Mattis wear badges too don't you know?) Are we crazies to want to defend our rights to be free of murderous tyranny? Are they secretly saying on behalf of the bushite: to speak out in praise of the good investigative work the FBI sometimes does, is a bad thing for their future top secret "investigations" on Our behalf? Or is it because, if we believe in doing what needs to be done to protect Humanity from tyranny, would truly mean, evil bushite wouldn't be able to rob further from dying sacrificed as the undefended America?, and soon, wisdom by communication through science and reason would rule our better stays? Would it surely drive me insane to have our public acknowledge our good deeds that work to have freedom really reign indivisibly through Justice supreme? Anyway, Jeeze, I'm already crazy.

The national security branches of all our governments need a major overhaul in protocols. (officers who are involved in uncovering a criminal conspiracy, a conspiracy involving the murder of thousands of now dead Americans, should receive the full support of every police unit this world over as a protective measure for fellow officers. After all, George W. Bush is an American cop killer too.)

Before my site "Wizard's World Wide Works of Wonder" was taken down, I had the center piece (still available in other places) "Stand Together or Don't" as a full page spread with graphics and everything. "Stand Together..." is an essay that only asks that our standing criminal code in Canada be enforced, when it comes to the documented thieving of our communities as committed with the notoriously miss reported workings of the "cheating at play" VLT machine. I also had "Johnny's Last Stand" take a prominent position at the web page, along with "The Alien's Take". So get this: another argument made for the warrant to confiscate my personal belongings and leave the FBI out to die, was attributed to only a single Cnst., who blanketed my entire site in reference as "anti-government". That statement was the only statement made by the officer in regards to her summation of my writing skills, and was made in argument to a Judge to obtain the Warrant, just before the site fell, so I'm now, suspecting, was likely responsible for complaining it should be shut down by maybe.. badge waving?) Strangely (unknown to me until just recently) as it would happen, this same individual I had contacted over the course of several months regarding the VLTs, (on how the DOCUMENTED crime is perpetrated, and on our communities TRUE monetary losses

(maths)) was the same who, top secretly claimed, my writing on Justice was "anti-government." See, I offered to come down to the station and assist with the maths if need be, (remember we're talking the thieving of literally billions and billions of dollars each year from mostly the poorest segments of our societies) to offer assistance in any way I could. (Or, to be told "fool, your mistaken".) But each and every time, I was denied access to have implemented, the protections of the criminal code here in Canada, (that usually makes our country greater than all others,) without a given reason why. [An aside: bushite irrationally hate science and reason because we're intelligent, while I, can't help but respect life by learning something from just about anyone.]

Here is what it further said in the warrant, that up until now was considered top secret. (without the warrant staying secret, the darkness can't stand the light of scrutiny, and I would be freed from the restraints of a bogus warrant to go on shouting for TRUE Justice by DEMANDING the arrest, trial, and subsequent execution of those responsible for the crimes of nine/eleven - bush, rumsfeld, Ahmad, and condoleezza rice. With cheney and myers running a close second.)

[...]

Count # 1: Between the 1st day of November A.D., 2001 and the 31st day of January A.D., 2002, both dates being inclusive, at or near the City of Winnipeg in the province of Manitoba, JOHN DOE aka "Johnny Wizard" did unlawfully threaten to commit the offence of murder, contrary to section 424 of the criminal code.

Count # 2: Between the 1st day of November A.D. 2001 and the 31st day of January A.D. 2002, both dates being inclusive, at or near the City of Winnipeg in the Province of Manitoba, JOHN DOE aka "Johnny Wizard" did unlawfully threaten to commit the offence of murder, contrary to section 235 of the Criminal code against an internationally protected person, contrary to section 424 of the criminal Code.

[...]

ORDER

-snpd-

AND UPON being satisfied that the ends of justice would most probably be subverted by access to and disclosure of information filed in the Information to Obtain the Search Warrant filed in support of the application to prohibit access and disclosure;

[Good guy police officers might get the public recognition they deserve in other words right?]

the Search Warrant;

AND UPON being satisfied that access to and disclosure to information filed in support of the Search Warrant referred to above might be used for an improper purpose;

[getting back stolen VLT revenues you mean?]

AND UPON being satisfied that it would be in the best interests of the administration of justice that access to and disclosure of information filed in support of the application for the Search Warrant referred to above should be prohibited to the public;

[What?, don't want US public to know bush, rumsfeld, and condi committed nine/eleven with General Ahmad? Well, now I can see why all the hush hush.]

AND UPON being satisfied that access to and disclosure of information filed 'in support of the Search Warrant referred to above might well pose a substantial threat to the interests of effective law enforcement;

[following crimes scene leads at real murder scenes not good enough for our police agencies? - US people backing up ourselves with as something worth reckoning a better future with?]

AND UPON being satisfied that access to and disclosure of information filed in support of the Search Warrant referred to above would compromise the nature and extent of an ongoing investigation;

[Well, it's only been years now. Let US ask you, have YOU called the news desks of CBC or CNN, about bush's prior plan also? Are sandwich boards, posters, and placards, a police union willing to truly get behind as the untold Justice struggle for our suffering Humanity?]

AND UPON being satisfied that access to and disclosure of information filed in support of the Search Warrant referred to above could conceivably compromise the health interests of a person;

[What about the health concerns of the hundreds of thousands of innocent people bush has since ordered murdered, along with the millions of others who will suffer the consequences of radio-active contaminations for the next few eons? National news coverage is not what I fear, I fear that no one on this lonely planet cares to hear the cries of my Humanity for mercy, or holds love for God as worth fighting for.

-snpd-

So, what now? Please don't leave ourselves undefended from the criminal dictums of the demon anti-Christ, Our Mr. bush Jr. Demon bush is not America, America is America. Look, we arrest those responsible, and we carry on, by firstly reversing almost every thing G.W. the traitor implemented. Look, when Clinton implemented change, he was able to turn the country around pretty quick. Just bring back some progressive ideas. Dump the Patriot Act, and those that voted for it, and truly bring back the Constitution with a respect granted to all Peoples regardless of nationality. Christian Palestinians are humans like US too don't you know? While fixing the neo-con war mess should start by making all co-habitants equal under the principles of fair judicial due process, meaning: freeing the innocent held captive, and arresting for war crimes among other things: bush and rumsfeld, myers and cheney, Allawi and Doud, Tommy Franks, Steven Russell, Saudi wahhabi Buford Blount, woman haters Sicko Sattler and mad dog Mattis, Batiste, Miller - along with all the rest of the rapists and torturers, and then, we.. seek out all the stolen assets taken in America's stolen name. Big job. But we can do it! God is on our side.

I was reading about "Jew Boy..." and I thought, perhaps some may misunderstand my position on Mr. Felton. When I said, "And in light of our desperate challenges today of defeating the enemies of Humanity as specific unjust individuals in "hiding", an ignorantly stupid thing to say." I was coming from a position that I felt, Greg's own superbly good and strong, intellectual arguments on the bigoted demonization of the innocent, would find himself! at fault with, by not looking for another's view regarding the label of "Zionist". Perhaps I was being too picky, but then, generally speaking, disputing the indefinable public's perception of the Holocaust is a loser抯 argument. Arguments, that generally, refuse to realize, soldiers like today's bushite, would as mindless droids, line innocent humans up next to a ditch, and shoot them all dead for no real reason in particular. [Nazis took film footage early on, then banned it, because it looked so completely insane.] Just like rumsfeld抯 blitzkrieg, or when enemy bushite thieves dropped thousands and thousands of land mine cluster duds in Iraqi school districts, threw grenades into Iraqi homes indiscriminately before entering with guns blaring, and true Americans, like Germans of yester year, still don't have it together as a freedom force worth reckoning with, to immediately destroy, the known of bushite with American law for criminally implementing the sadomasochistic torture of completely innocent people, and in some cases, tortured to death because maybe they finally then admitted, they were in cahoots with whomever as the "terrorist". Thereby, according to America's new Justice speaker, Gonzales, no longer was a human with rights to begin with anyway. A publicly expressed

contempt for US innocent, done in by the disfunctioning sub-human bushite traitors sitting in our office. I'm sure some might understand who the greatly knowledgeable Greg Felton was referring to when stating "Zionists", but just think of the Arab "Semite". Ariel Sharon will steal the definition to victimize innocent Jews with for the American tax payer to foot the bill as, but when an Iraqi Semite, new to English newscasts tells you he's a bonafide Semite really, can he therefore be completely wrong about his true identity? Or how about this: do you think, the persons never shown for public media interview as accepting to receive stolen property like completely furnished houses called those of "Jewish Settlements", contain anything but, bigots masked as religious observers? How else could they possibly live with themselves? Amongst US true believing Jews? What of Hillel do we fail to recognize in not condemning the brutalizing of the innocent in our own names left forsaken? A well entertained teen, growing up in dum-dum America, or here in Canada for that matter, will likely have little understanding of Palestinian Jews or "Zionism", (like we don't regarding regressive tax systems,) but for like a proud Catholic in Ireland, it's something stupid he's suppose to stand up and destroy himself about while in a drunken stupor turning orange regarding some ancient Roman history or earlier biblical teachings not ever comprehended entirely... But then, I thought, gee, I was the one being ignorantly stupid, because in that space where we were communicating during the recorded interview, we had just finished making the correlation of mutual racism/bigotry expressed between Hitler and Ariel Sharon. Sharon/Hitler steals/stole an innocent person's home, then throws/threw them into concentration camps to be victimized further because they抮e said to be inferior to the war funded as ungodly criminals, by the ignorant and stupider than ever American tax payers, again. [See "Trading with the Enemy"] And it was there where, Greg brought forward, that too, they are some of the very same Ideologs, of both scenes, who want always to divide the masses by intolerance, through racism or bigotry, to get ourselves to die at war with our/their ignorance, while the true 'hidden' instigators, (non-descript billionaire weapons dealing private bankers usually,) bask on some beach somewhere in our stolen luxuries, not having to lift a finger to command a full course dinner. That is why bushite are currently working to even further destroy America's public educational system which is already the very weakest of our developed world, and why it is preached on television in America non-stop, invest in deregulating the world market so you too, can rip someone off with slave labor conditions to compete your good for nothing son/father with. One out of three workers, officially, in America earn ten dollars or less an hour. And repuglicons hired near homeless people at 75 dollars a day in

Ohio to walk around carrying we vote repuglicon signs during the mock election. An informed populace, is a group of people growing tired of being taken for victims, who might wise up and stand for real Justice through the Freedoms of understanding. Can you imagine US speaking internationally? I can. If only You'll help me gain a platform to debate the facistic censorship deniers. The Coast to Coast radio netwrok don't want no Americans talking about why Americans are being asked to die for the bounty of, bad guy bushite war profiteering. The wealthy celebs don't have to risk their own lives, just the lives of other Americans denied a voice for representation. So, asking once or twice, and then fading away to give up ain't gonna do it. Coast to Coast only allows an intelectual one time a month to speak for maybe few seconds. That should change to weekly. And Americans should DEMAND America's serious political issues work to be addressed in an open forum like talk radio provides. I am open for challenging interviews. But again, bushite don't like free excange of ideas within our communities, for it puts their Satanic cult leader in a disfavorable light, who then wouldn't so easily, get away with committing mass murder in America, or allowing America's greatest pension thieves, to escape un-accounted.

So, I've been working on questions..

Do you not as an officer of our laws, require evidence to substantiate allegations of criminal impropriety against members of our community?

Would not allegations of murder substantiated without evidence, be false? And would not therefore, the slaughter of innocents, blamed for terrorism without a shred of evidence being known universally, be acts of mass murder committed against US interests? Or for the true believers, terrorism inflicted against G-d? (And, is this not why defining "Terrorism" was forbidden in the recent "Terrorism" conference in Saudi Arabia?)

To persecute an individual nation without a reason substantiated by evidence, would be then the persecution of our forsaken God as the innocent of US individually? Like Christ on a cross somewhere you'd be then right?

Come on, please, help yourself out man. This is Your world too you know,

King Johnny Wizard

"I share the belief of many of my contemporaries that the spiritual crisis pervading all spheres of Western industrial society can be remedied only by a change in our world view. We shall have to shift from the materialistic, dualistic belief

that people and their environment are separate, toward a new conciousness of an all-encompassing reality, which embraces the experiencing ego, a reality in which people feel their oneness with animate nature and all of creation."

-Dr. Albert Hoffman

I Stand Corrected

Okay, I'm sorry, I was wrong. After reviewing communications with my home planet, it seems I may have goofed on location. I wanted to go to Earth, but it seems we suffered a data mix up, and, well, this civilization isn't nowhere near, here where I thought I was. Oops. And.... a.... another slight mix up has me mistaken on another as well too, with an out of date computer malfunction, a glitch, set me back a hundred years, those idiots, and, a, hells bells, I, was... also suppose to praise the Republicans. Doh. Sorry bout dat. Bush, I lied, my fault, I'm an idiot. Please, I hope you can except me for being wrong like I was, an, maybe next time I come back, I'll do it right the way I should. Your not so bad, and I know you would want to do the right thing, if you knew how, like I wish I could be certain for myself sometimes. Maybe at some point we could be friends. Hm, it would seem you people haven't begun evolving just yet though. My mistake again. I suppose that explains allot about my vanishing thrills of excitement about prospects, and our unanticipated exhaustion without explanation. I thought you people would be smart enough to catch on as humans, with me being, you know, Jesus or Satan, Mithras, an immortal child of God, stand in maker of the universe and all that. So, hmm, I guess I'll be leaving shortly, to head out to my original destination, a developing planet I do understand to be years ahead older than your own, who already kinda have an inkling about not destroying life, and really have made themselves as the living, a must see destination. I was destined to a planet system revolving around who you would refer to as Bernards, being originally from deep within the supercluster you have labeled Perseus, as humans too, interestingly through God. It's a small universe after all. My transportation device is of a free energy nature, something much like you have already available, but refuse to implement. God knows why you don't, I mean doesn't. Know I mean. Ah.. No matter where you are in the universe though, as you may discover before destroying yourselves, if your

conscious, and sufficiently intelligent through life to recognize yourselves as a living part of the universes, you would come to the same conclusion, no matter where you do your thinking. They are us equally, and this is true, no matter where your from, stand, or hold. This concept represents the death of ego, but not really because your still the same, you just learn more about how to get around as best we can. The same as it ever was. We, an intergalactic federation, commandeers originally under the apices of the great, we never needed a world war, Sir Admiral General D. Wesley, who's responsibilities dictated, we recognized to be our own through his genius. After being the forerunner of the foundations beginnings, we gave us all an award, and helped many find themselves, comfortably in a state of semi-retirement of which us all, our truly grateful. We in total, so far, are the S.D.S.G., Super Duped Spacegirl Group, consisting of more than twenty thousand, distinct, well connected civilizations, (fiber optics is very popular as well as free solar powers) who through good times, have naturally become friends, in this amazing, got a have a care for yourself because we understand just how fantastic you all really is for benefits, and there are many. The Universe is compassion, love and understanding, but because we are of life, and as growed ups we can also, which includes me occasionally, be greedy, hateful, and stupid. But I guess for yourselves, because life is free, you've deemed it therefore, worthless, for the shortening time you believe you are here to take from yourselves. Survival of the fittest, we as the intelligent universe have surmised, and by you deeming yourselves consciously as obsoletes for profit politically, I guess is for the best, if you really can't figure out why you would. Hmm.. You mammals are dumb. So, I would now suggest all previous writing I've done as incorrect, and highly implausible on this matrix set. Private bankers in their private market on this planet, are clearly the best informed top secretly, Greenspan is who stands over and against our nature with his private plan is betterer, controls the world, and is in with the winners, because they own all you as money to hide from their manufactured fears of your adding abilities, because your really scared of yourself right, and that is what you are all about as them. Continue on as you were, pay no attention, it's just us losers here, take advantage of yourselves, continuing to treat the public like your, oops, I mean their corporations tell we, who you are. Continue to allow the corporations to destroy your living economy

and your souls with the VLTs, while they convince you to think your stronger for robbing the defenseless illegally, like this isn't you here along this reading rainbow. Be blind to the loss of 3 trillion dollars off the market, stolen in my mind, while private bankers continue to take out your interests, leveraged with our properties over a currency that doesn't exist, sending the nation into a depression, then a war to kill all the poor stupid people. Through who, as they say, "nobody", protects your laws, and your fears of being as stupid as they all are, finding yourself isolated in their noisy universe, as we are alone apart, of all that is here silently. Clowns to the left, jokers to the right, stuck here in the middle with a little story that never has been told outright. I love love love love, the sweet things in life! Growing improbable, but still possible. Rock on Art Bell! Okay now, let it ride. Hey boo-boo.

"The power to destroy a planet is insignificant when compared to the power of the Force."

- Darth Vader

"If the American dream is for Americans only, it will remain our dream and never be our destiny."

- Rene de Visme Williamson

(More like a nightmare of destruction and death through fear by illiteracy.)

"Injustice anywhere is a threat to justice everywhere."

- Martin Luther King, Jr.

"What happens if you go back to the bargaining table and the U.S. says we want more?"

- P. Murphy
(A U.S. corporate trade your life for death negotiator)

"Profit means money. Money has no heart, no soul, no conscience, no homeland."

- Frank Stronach, Magna International Inc., a man with no heart or soul on his way to hell, announcing plans to move operations to Mexico, because the government has been made weaker by corruption to protect themselves as human, where labour can get as low as only $4 a twelve hour day for children, and where our competitor's average wage rate pay in manufacturing was $1.90, then. However, over the last decade during this NAFTA attack, against every living human being, wages

have fallen a further 50%. Hitler's dream coming through as republican commi swine! Petooie.

"I do not believe that this generation of Americans is willing to resign itself to going to bed each night by the light of a Communist moon..."

- Lyndon B. Johnson

"As Peter C. Newman puts it, "Americans are obsessed with their self-imposed burden of saving the world for democracy." Yet on a list of eighteen leading countries providing assistance to developing nations, as a percentage of the GNP the United States was eighteenth."

(As well as being the last in education and health care. Thanks Dad, thanks allot.)

An excerpt from the world wide best seller, "The Betrayal Of Canada" written by one of our most beloved authors, Mel Hurtig, published by Stoddart, recognized as one of Canada's finest, has ourselves left completely alone by all private lifeless news corporations as living human beings. Gods, if you will.

"I call that a bargain, the best I ever had."

- The Who

"Everyone is in the best seat."

-John Cage

"Please don't lie to me, unless you're absolutely sure Ill never find out the truth."

- Ashleigh Brilliant

"The real geniuses simply have their bright ideas closer together."

- Lichtenburg

A free of charge 17.33% increase: American right wing cowards also hide themselves as the world's biggest terrorist organization. They make us support military dictatorships with our capital and weapons against our wills, like the oppressors of the great Chinese people, or not demanding life term prison sentences of the current Dutch-Shell's board of murdering directors, and have the gaul to slander our hero, Castro, humanitarian of the millennia. The man the entire city of New York gave a party for, way back when they were actually

educated, and could read in their spare time, in
between working only one job, closer to earning a
living wage. But Americans aren't suppose to remember
that, to keep em' dumb. Like "The U.S." routinely
says, Castro's commitment to education and health of
people is not worth talking about, enof sed, get back
to work part time full time with no free time to care,
except of course for war against ourselves as the
losers everytime. One thing leads to another. So just
what am I trying to say? I've been to heavens and
hells and back, and... well... it ain't so bad, and
kinda fun. One and one and one is three dressed up
like a nine, that, well, could be a five, and, then
well, I know you like you know me, and one thing I can
tell you, is we must be free, to do our own things.
All mighty then!

My apologies,

J. Wizard - Demoted to 4th rank Scout

炯舰郊⒔季冀

共巢彻彻补渤渤钩共共巢&#
9353;彻巢⒉彻巢⒉彻巢?

环?肪方炯窘?

季椒骄方??椒骄方肪?

共尝渤⒐发共⒐渤
いいいい
唣 ?
*

*

尝共⒐渤补⒊耿?

⒊渤⒐尝共巢耿?

⒐并共巢耿彻⒊?

巢尝钩⒐渤补⒉?

共⒐渤补⒊耿巢?

Weee!

/ / On January 27th, President Bush, in an interview with the
Times, assured the world that 搕orture is never acceptable, nor
do we hand over people to countries that do torture.?[...]

Arar, it turned out, had been sent to Syria on orders from the
U.S. government, under a secretive program known as
揺xtraordinary rendition.?This program had been devised as a
means of extraditing terrorism suspects from one foreign state
to another for interrogation and prosecution. \ \

Am I the only person who sees something seriously wrong with
the picture bush's trying to say he is not of? Would not bush
call for the arrest of Ashcroft? Miller? If not, why? For,
he states he is at least aware of handing innocent people over
to foreign nations to be tortured by satanic lying enemy
traitors to God and Humanity..

<http://groups.google.ca/groups?hl=en&lr=&selm=2571c4d3.0312091203.27a8b9f1%40posting.goog
le.com&rnum=1>

> > > > > > > Believe
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > "Kennedy said a recent report by the congressional
> > > > > > > > budget office showed that only about $2.5 billion of the
> > > > > > > > $4 billion being spent monthly on the war can be
> > > > > > > > accounted for by the Bush administration."

--

Feel free to forward this post for publication consideration.

--
I wrote the letter following "Jew Boy..." the day a single
complaint arrived to the radio station, with the threat that
the ADL would soon be contacted. The letter was provided in
advance of the next day, of the time scheduled for the
complainant to return for a recording of the questionable
broadcast. The station manager refused to give the complainant
my letter, and insured me that I would no longer be allowed to
produce a show for our community. Is this fair to our Jewish
brothers and sisters? Is this fair to You?

Paris "And then what if you saw, all of the things thats wrong,
would you stand tall and strong? Or would you turn and walk away?"

Johnny

Jew Boy Johnny and the anti-Semites

- or -

"The Zionist Conspiracy"

Monday, January 31st, around 3 pm, I called the station manager to ask
how my afternoon recorded broadcast went, and to discuss the new
week's tentative guest spot with an update regarding the falsely
maligned, Mr. And Mrs. Benderman. The station manager informed me

my phone number had been misplaced, and was managing with stress trying to put together an apology letter to a single individual, who came into the station, while the interview was still running, to demand action be taken regarding the anti-Semitic broadcast that he was certainly going to report to the ADL about. I told her the Felton interview itself was about bigotry through the demonization of the innocent, what the Jews of world war II suffered through, and, that I was a huge defender of religious Jewish teaching. I told her, to offer the individual a chance to publicly speak regarding the issue, with me, or by himself regarding Felton, to try getting specifically to what has got him so much, by himself, upset about allowing my voice heard defending Jewish rights within our community. She told me that she would likely, none the less, have to let me go to appease the sole complainant, for what if a lawsuit should result? I told her to consider her options, reminded her that it was only one single individual who hadn't even heard the show's context, and that the broadcast in every other way had been going well, doing good. She told me she was very busy, and said goodbye. Then a short while later, phoned me back to ask if I would write a letter, and have it at the station next day before the complainant arrived for his copy of the broadcast. I said sure, said it would be a great challenge, and proceeded immediately to do just that. The next day, I contacted the station to ask if my arrived letter was forwarded to the individual, and was informed no, he didn't receive it. It wasn't the apology the station was looking for, and my show was now officially terminated. I told her it was very unfair to me, to not allow him to read my formal response, and that I couldn't in good conscience, back down from defending my rights to be heard on this issue, or to allow us, as our public, to hear the complainant's actual complaint about my, freedom for all broadcast. She said she would try to get the letter to him, but that the show was over. Case closed.

Stand up for Jews, and look at where it gets you, Jeeze.

Let us not put aside, the station manager's legitimate concerns. Who has the money or the time for a frivolous lawsuit? Why do expensive independent research, when the Bush Administration can hand us all the free news they want us to have directly without any costly questions? Saddam wouldn't let the inspectors in, right? Why risk standing up for someone else's rights, when doing so, will get the (anti) Jewish-Defamation League calling you an anti-Semite (Semite=Arab) for communicating some understanding with Felton? Felton, is bizarrely quirky as interesting, for his recent book is about the demonization of the innocent, (you know? Nazi gas chambers and ovens?) and as such, we can learn from, as too, we can teach. If he'll listen. Ariel Sharon is not Jewish my friend, NOT JEWISH!!!

Open the gates, it's getting late.

John.

<http://radio.indymedia.org/uploads/big-package.mp3>

Greg Felton : "The fear is necessary for two reasons. And the principle reason has nothing to do with Iraq. it has to do with the government itself. The bush government is criminal. [...] The problem is: how do you keep people from paying attention to this? You keep them focused on an external enemy. [..] so they forment this fascistic hatred of a definable group of people. So, the war on terrorism so called, is not much a way for the United States to protect itself against it's enemy, it is a way for it to create enemies, to justify the kind of repressive measures that would otherwise be intolerable in peace time."

To whom it may concern,

regarding the January 31st broadcasted interview with Greg Felton.

May I, on behalf of only myself, ask that you pardon my failures in judgment, in not allowing what I should have gave: an immediate re-dress regarding, 'who is the Zionist label?', or 'What Holocaust are you referring to?', during the broadcast of January 31st. (bet you we can't get two Christians that agree on everything.) However, I can offer perhaps a show centralized on a chance to exercise your personal views, be they pro or con on where you think we should go from here, or whatever may have you regarding said interview. Or, if you would like, you may wish to do only, or else include, a written work that I promise, will reach as far as the original broadcast. So, actually, this could be a prime moment to have a dialog regarding racism, bigotry, and intolerance. I confess, I may be unaware, and still have much to learn, when it comes to communicating well with all others. But, I know I'm getting better, and I'll tell you, the Justice-Freedom thing, sure seems to be our ultimate calling.

I was not so surprised that the broadcast interview with Greg Felton would be considered controversial, (as every show I've ever done always has), although I wasn't prepared to hear criticism against the show as being defamatory to a group, or groups of people. As certainly that was not my intent, but however was the difficult subject addressed within the interview. The fundamental teachings of Law, as it pertains to justice and freedom, along with every legitimized religion, is that all free people should be thought of as equals to each other in vigilant protection. And by doing so, one would be serving G-d's interests. (Even if a G-d doesn't exist - an Atheist too, could become a proponent by understanding Jihad). Thereby insuring\realizing that a just intelligent life force rules our chaotic timeless universe in service ultimately to ourselves individually. Giving us in the Torah of Moses, ``all people are created in God's image'' teaching all peoples are Sacred. And as such, would be so in God's interests to defend

as the innocent. While in eternal pursuit of happiness and prosperity for all through just means.

Under Greg's definition as being a "Zionist" conspiracy, negates the failure of himself personally to recognize the public connotation is too vague, and that Hitler's Nazi Germany, like Bush's America, is politically expressing an inability to represent ourselves with respect as truly worthy of fair deliberations amongst ourselves. Sacred, every-one. (Or as in, to rise to the defense of those we know to be irrationally victimized as the godly and innocent, victimized in our un-addressed names.)

Greg "This is why the Holocaust is such a very dangerous thing to talk about because, it's not really understood. It's preached at us like a sermon. We're suppose to accept at face value what we're told about it, as if to, criticize it, is some how disrespectful. Much of what we know about the Holocaust has been propagated by the Zionists, and can be shown quite untrue"

I replied shortly later "One thing about the whole situation is that innocent people were targeted for murder. End of story. That's what the war was. All wars are like that."

Greg's mistake I believe, centered in his Zionist labeling through an apparent bigotry expressed, and as such, was too narrowly defining the larger established history of the Holocaust, as being the struggle of the human species in halting, the demonization and then subjugation of the innocent millions, Jewish or otherwise. Perhaps it was strangely on his part a testimony to a real struggle we all have when it comes to identifying ourselves to others. Considering it is the exact subject matter he wrestles with in his book writing, regarding our corporate media's perception of ignoring an innocent people's concern or cries. That said however, for Greg to blankly criticize Greg's false construct of what is publicly understood about the Holocaust, is to deny that much for sorrow and suffering took place against the whole of Humanity, especially, of those who held the practiced Jewish faith. To think the nazi regime would have the gas chambers and the ovens, while the whole of humanity were not strong enough to communicate the unjustness of it all to the German people while it was happening. I have read of a recent documentary that provides film footage of nazi soldiers committing the worst war crimes imaginable to god loving Jews, interspersed with film from the same dated period where, the German people were living civilized lives, left unaware of the atrocities that were being committed against God in their names. Same as America and Canada today, with Fallujah抯 300,000 People being, the undeniable example. Or, are you too,

a convinced denier of that which is fully documented? (Needless and irrational was the indiscriminate murder of the people of Fallujah, but for to have more American soldiers sacrificed in a conflict created by an unjust as immoral totalitarian dictate.) The Holocaust deniers are those the same of today, who refuse our Humanity to nationally communicate those screams of mercy, or for justice regarding the People of Iraq to be served in our true interests as legitimate. More than ten million Russians died fighting the evil eugenic scourge of the "superior" nazi regime, and like those in Poland, Britain and France, as elsewhere testified our established history, (albeit only later), the sadistic ungodly as lawless barbarity that Nazism inflicted upon the general masses, went largely undeterred from our "informative" television and radio broadcasts. The same, almost word for word, and action for action, can be found in Rumsfeld's "blitzkrieg". Bush's freedom by tyranny doctrine. Or the criminally sanctioned unchallenged news pronouncements of a Mark Kimmit, Buford Blount, Steven Russell, Mattis, Allawi or Sattler, when it pertains to accepting without our objection, to the victimizing of Iraqis collectively as an indiscriminate act of criminality, like murder. As like in the policies of Ariel Sharon, where an innocent, haven't committed an accused crime in their life Christian Palestinian, is denied the earned home they worked and paid for, on a parcel of land shared up until recent times for countless millennia. My personal struggle has been to inform the public on the "forgotten" importance of established evidence, being the only requirement to convince ourselves on who is a bigot, tyrant, a criminal, or a murderer. Will you not therefore, as a fellow humanitarian of this shared world, speak against those you know of, who victimize the innocent to thieve from? Sure you would, if you knew as much for certain about God and this universe, or the under reported, freed to go General Ahmad, funding the mastermind ring leader Mohammad Atta of Bush's 9/11 game. But will together our Humanity ever learn? Well, my plan is to search for guests to speak with, that I'll try not to offend early on. Greg knows, like the Pope knows, as every legitimate police officer worth their grain of salt knows, as so too does include a great many Jewish Jews, George W. Bush used absolutely no evidence to substantiate his therefore, false accusations in our names against bin Laden, while then ardently with Cheney, worked to close outstanding criminal investigations regarding their extremely suspicious behavior. All according to his official publicly documented plan. And speaking the news as thus, does not make anyone anti-Semitic, anti-American, or anti-Human, but pro-Jewish, pro-Christian, pro-Muslim, pro-Justice pro-Freedom, almost wholely human like even. Not of a long gone as lost and forgotten religious dogma, but for now as it always has been found, a mutual

respect for all life as we find ourselves being part of. Or, so we would for Atheists, argue in all likelihood to get to the same reasoned to be, just political decision, to this or any another life and death matters matter.

Because the unjustified criminal war actions of George W. Bush are our shared documented history, others, with an ulterior agenda to propagate their weakness through bigotry or racism, will arbitrarily blame a subjective grouping of people, instead of demanding by godly individual might, the arrest of specific individuals who are actually responsible according to the established as public evidence. So, now who's fault is that? We live in difficult times. To admit weaknesses regarding our corporate news control content decisions, is to seek a better world for our lives through stronger open communications.

I believe the defense of the Jewish faith is found in the indivisible properties of Creation, and who's central belief is made simpler than any other. Hillel was asked: Rabbi, who is a Jew truly? Hillel answered, "What is hateful to you do not do to your neighbor. That is the whole Torah. The rest is commentary -- go and learn it." So my challenge in defense of the Jewish faith during the interview, was that those who practice criminal misdeeds, are therefore found by the official definition taught by Hillel, to be not practicing the "Golden Rule" of the Jewish Faith. (as so pronounced by the religion's recognized ancient oratator.) By calling alleged criminal actions, the conduct of an entire people, faith, or branch thereof, is to fall into the fascist minutia of bigotry, or racism, held by those who judge us "others" unfairly. After re-hearing the interview, I did find the allegations made by Greg, regarding the Holocaust being blanketed by falsehoods, generally, a failure on his part to recognize the true horror of what that war wrought. And in light of our desperate challenges today of defeating the enemies of Humanity as specific unjust individuals in "hiding", an ignorantly stupid thing to say. While I, perhaps wasn't thinking along those conceived lines, where at that time, I had just left the thought of: the struggles of the Jewish faith, are the same struggles all young adults go through by naturally establishing our associative identities. Who are you I truly ask? How many news channels even allow us as Humanity to know about the absent reason for the bombing of the Jews of Samara? The Jews of Fallujah? The torturing of completely innocent People to death, as in Guantanamo? Or still unaddressed publicly: the willful lawless criminality implemented by the, therefore tyrannical Bush Administration in suffering God's Iraq? (See missing ``Development Fund of Iraq'', or private mercenaries discipling for Bush as deemed un-accountable to every conceived ``natural'' law? [see the entire Bible for the judgment call on that one...] or, Bush's declarative, he magically owns

everything in stolen Iraq now, [where did it go?] and I can't say anything because he signed his John to 13303 some time back, but as still is left unreported in Your dying world?)

Publicly question our reality, by taking the station up on doing an interview with me, along with your own impressions of our world. Or, if you prefer, you can do a solo written piece, or a recorded statement for the station. I look forward to finding common ground with your concerns. I hope I have got you wondering more, about what trying to save our world from injustice and slavery, in whatever way we can is all about for me really. In these words I can say, I apologize to you, for a resulted perception, that wasn't with better words, my true intent towards us people together.

I will, after some small revisions of this paper, include it as well as the broadcast package. So I do thank you for bringing up your important view, that otherwise, would have gone farther without this opportunity, that I offer as a starting point for deliberation, or argument regarding my foolishness. Thanks for speaking, and I hope I have met most of your concerns with a positive light on where I'm trying to come from.

John.

<http://radio.indymedia.org/uploads/benderman.mp3>

The Benderman Cause

I felt compelled to support the Benderman's cause in any way I could, so I am providing America some arguments that I would use in a court of law to defend your freedoms. If America would so desire, I would also be prepared to make a recorded statement from here in Canada. (I have been denied access into the U.S..) My positions of rational argument are naturally based upon our established facts, and as such, are something few Americans are afforded the luxury of through corporate channels. I hope my forcefulness on these issues does not fear you to doubt I have the best of intentions when it comes to serving the interests indivisibly inherent within the American Constitution. God, I believe is just, and as such, the ungodly war actions of George W. Bush dictate are criminal in the worst degree, being a harbinger of suffering and misery against all of Humanity as US being the innocent. The innocent in our entirety, is my "God" that I love with all I can will. I am compelled as a living human being to defend America

to the last bush supporter praised if need be. I fight the enemy of God and Humanity with reason, understanding, Akeido, and a conviction that our justice system must prevail for me to be truly myself really.

"He explained to "60 Minutes," "I was told in basic training that, if I'm given an illegal or immoral order, it is my duty to disobey it. And I feel that invading and occupying Iraq is an illegal and immoral thing to do."

The war was declared "illegal" by the U.N.. Why? Because Saddam wasn't found in breach of the internationally agreed upon resolution 1441, (Saddam did EVERYTHING POSSIBLE that was asked of Iraq by the bush administration, and then some Mr. Bush refused to even take him up on. Like national Iraqi television broadcasts.) despite the lies quoted by corporate news America. There was no need for the conflict to begin with as was so reported internationally on march 7th, 2003. U.N. inspectors had complete unrestricted access to go anywhere without delay, and known stock piles of WMD were burned, so could not possibly be completely accounted for by evidential findings due to the fact that they were destroyed beyond recognition. American soldiers were put in harms way to be sacrificed for no better good, but for to allow as has happened, the misappropriation, or as some have alleged, the criminal theft of Iraqi resources, including monies of the Iraqi Development Funds through Presidential decree 13303. Which include the unappropriated, desperately needed, humanitarian aid provisions still denied innocent Iraqis, such as potable drinking water and food stuffs. Democratic principles are DENIED the Iraqis by the neo-con military forces, such as holding all individuals equally accountable to a rule of law. The outlawing of torture, or the outlawing of false imprisonment has not been professed as the action of the neo-con "liberating" force. Mercenaries are not obliged to obey any laws for example. And, "Dr. Germ", and "Dr. Anthrax" are held solely for the fact that they know of the scientific hazards of depleted uranium, and may have warned the uninformed sacrificing American GI, if not held illegally against their wills by the enemy. Commanders such as irrational war criminal Sattler, forbade all men and boys between 15 to 50 from leaving the city of Fallujah, while refusing to

negotiate with anyone, (because as so was openly
confessed, Sattler had no evidence that Zaqari was
in Fallujah) then leveled the city to kill all it's
inhabitants, estimated at that time near 300,000
people. Using a napalm type WMD, cluster dud
land mine munitions, and as reported, nine two
thousand pound bombs in a city 3 1/2 km by 4 km.
Serving for a military not strong enough collectively
to prosecute such lawless criminal barbarity, is
serving for a military not principled in the American
virtues of freedom and democracy. In truth,
serving for such ungodly criminal command is a
cowardly act of treason. George W. Bush is an
enemy of our Humanity.

Copy and distribute this personal challenge freely.
Thanks.

--

I know we're all expected to be selfish, in helping out those others
who cry out for our help, but I beg you, I can't do this alone. My
show did make a positive difference. I need your help in asking I
face my accuser, and politely, with reason, using communication,
and understanding, bring about a better world.

World Productions.

--

Can I Get a Witness?

"It is now argued that because demon bush is President,
it therefor doesn't matter where the actual crimes scene
evidence for the murder of thousands in America leads to
according to the FBI, for George Walker Bush (666) in
above all the laws of the universe. Despite! the
reason they obtained the warrant, was to charge me for
"threatening the life of an international person", they
instead decided not to as saboteurs of liberation. They
argued after the warrant gave them my personal
possesions, [...]"

Don't miss the rest of the inside scoop to the up
coming public Johnny Wizard trial, cradled within this
last testimony of a King left forsaken by all of America
as unworthy of public discussions in our media.

>
<http://www.chapelhill.indymedia.org/uploads/bushite-kills-bushite.mp3>

> "..the newspapers don't tell you about it,
> why do you think that is soldier grunt,

> nazi whore, dying slave?"

> <http://la.indymedia.org/uploads/wizards.mp3>

> Word of Advice
> <http://la.indymedia.org/uploads/advice.mp3>

> Dream-Job
> <http://la.indymedia.org/uploads/somebody.mp3>

Seriously Folks

Napoleon Bonaparte wrote forming governments are forged only through the public witness of shared mutual experiences, simple, yet, the bushite strategy implemented continually on behalf of the enemy forces in Iraq have been to divide the nation's people with different "legal" definitions, while speaking for typical bushite bigotry, by then offering distinctions of human value between US some from "others". Not freedom, nor democracy. [Remember: Evil is dumb]

Example: Allawi forbade surviving Iraqi doctors and nurses from medically treating any of the estimated 200,000+ innocent victims from Falluja [IRAQI vicinity].

Example 2: DYING two-bit bushite war with "foreign" soldiers paid especially more than a thousand dollars a day as self confessed RACISTS, and THEREFOR, left "officially" too weak as, "pissing post illiterates" to go after real terrorists who torture the innocent, or real thieves, that have stolen BILLIONS from the Iraqi Development Fund. Done all to sacrifice life's purpose for no better good to the ANTI-CHRIST EVIL bush "cabal" of lying neo-con Christ haters. Allthewhile stating the objective worth dying young America as bushite for is "Democracy" in Iraq. But, isn't a founding principle of any democracy to outlaw thefts, sexual assault, murder? Again, bushite kills bushite for a stolen nickel that they just as soon, stole from anybody. If you can believe it. We need YOU to make some effort to engage American talk mediae. Perhaps it's only YOU, who hasn't yet heard a responsibility to this once greatly revered world of wisdom, of the enchanted, and mystical, or of the tyrannical as hell bound for suffering and sorrow. ,in which you share allegiance too my friend.

Being caring and compassionate for the victimized innocent not only feels good, but it is a choice we would make at being alive to help US survive. To not speak against the bushite war crimes against YOUR Humanity, is to not speak in defense of our ancient dying God no longer found worthy of you Peoples humble

respect. Currently corrupted bushite want my voice of truth silenced by censorship with false imprisonment, and those who advocate such hatred for myself and our humanity, speak no public word or disagreement on my allegations of high treason by Our Mr. bush Jr.. While I want to openly debate the, "censorship for tyranny", corporate talk radio bushite bitches on their furthering commitment to not allow objections regarding their contempt for true justice and freedom in America. But if you singly decide not to support my cause, like corporate news control encourages, We will be left forsaken, again. God is Just to the core, like Johnny Wizard tries to be, while bushite liars lie, cheat, and steal from America as ourselves measured unworthy for deliberation to gain true understanding. The Coast to Coast radio network in America disallows America learn for why American soldiers are currently sacrificing their LIVES irrationally. Why? Because there is no legitimate reason, while bushite pretend in YOUR name, that there must be another reason but for to rob our humanity in George Bush Jr.'s name. For if there truly wasn't another reason, not so then blind, stupid, and cowardly American soldiers wouldn't be too happy about the wealthy corporatist bushite commentators silencing America's dying cries by bushite enemy command. Now would they? Remember: wealthy blind and stupid bushite kills/gets killed, poor blind and stupid bushite for a stolen nickel - a nickel in all likelihood, they rifled as thieves from some pensioner, that just as soon, would be their own "grand" parents. Or Yours as someone elses beside.

Phil Donahue had the highest rating, number one money making news commentary show on NBC as it was canceled. Why? Too good as enlightening and INFORMATIVE. Then there was the recently canceled Crossfire. Or how about Barry Zwicker on VisionTV as Canada's greatest broadcast before it too was canceled. Why? Too good as enlightening and INFORMATIVE. VisionTV, a "religious" channel now likely forbids anyone to suggest that God would be good as just, and REALLY INTELLIGENT. Forbidden knowledge we are the corporate bushite insist with Your stolen rights. Why so? Because Americans might learn of what it is to be a true Christian, and therefor stop to have arrested else immediately executed for high treason regarding 9/11: the enemies of Johnny, Justice, God and Humanity, the evil doers George W. Bush, Rumsfeld, and Condolezza Rice. Alone with Myers and Cheney for good measure. Then, those responsible for the needless bombing of Samarra and Fallujah.

Under EVIL bushite tyranny, We are criminally fighting for needlessly dying as bushite here, but corporate newsCASTers don't find "our" costs worth getting a discussion group going, after all, American soldiers who die for the bush regime, as the kept in the dark, will less likely be going after the real bad guys for 9/11 then right? Corporate America refuse US just basic rights of legitimacy to at least address the absent war reason issue from time to time - Jeeze. But no. As bushite, they're prepared to not inform the bushite soldier that depleted uranium is radio-active, that the stolen American Enron pension money is sitting in the Cayman Islands, or that the war itself has no justification. See, the bushite war is a TRUE CRIME against all Peoples of Humanity, for the war against Iraq has no reason available to explain enemy military action, but for to die thieving from ourselves as the bad guys. The Enemies of Freedom. Why we have Law. God is Just, and evil is just the slavish ignoramuses who censor our Words of empowerment for freedom through Justice represented indivisible as Eternal. We rule.

Therefor, George Walker Bush is guilty of treason to US all, not only including your one and only King Johnny Wizard as Heaven's afar, but also the not going anywhere but yet still sacrificing ungloriously as the stupidly blind as almost completely incoherent,. devote bushite nazi dumfuk soldier grunt enemy vermins.

Will you not help these words reach a larger audience? I ask you to consider your helping me can do good for your real world too. Perhaps it is ONLY the single individual that hasn't yet understood, this once greatly revered world of the Word is of which you share allegiance too.

Speaking for a just battle of freedom for all humanity, is a right you could exercise in brightest intentions of a muted God who sure could use your help right about now man. Our family is in so a desperation for needed support. I am fearing your fears have got you believing, you have no dying right to speak against Mr. bush Jr. for the crimes he has committed in Your name, but You do. Think of it this way: anybody who stays silently connected secretly to the crimes of nine-eleven, can not be trusted as a sacrificing bushite thief by the enemy bush administration. [Secretly speaking in all strict certainty.]

The warrant that allowed the confiscation of my computer equipment, was to prove that I was the one and same who demanded true justice for our humanity by following the

crimes scene leads for nine/eleven, arresting those responsible, or killing the escaping culprits, bush, rumsfeld, and condolezza rice by the hand of our guns. (Why do you think Police officers carry guns after all?) It is now argued that because demon bush is President, it therefor doesn't matter where the actual crimes scene evidence for the murder of thousands in America leads to according to the FBI, for George Walker Bush (666) in above all the laws of the universe. Despite! the reason they obtained the warrant, was to charge me for "threatening the life of an international person", they instead decided not to as saboteurs of liberation. They argued after the warrant gave them my personal possesions, (hundreds and hundreds of video tapes, ALL MY WRITING, computers, disks, along with approximately 50,000 tasteful images.) That the reason for the warrant being originally issued, no longer, if ever, was justified. For if they were calling for justice, the demon bush, and his co-conspirators might get caught by America, and pay the ultimate price for their treason by death sentence. After two self confessed PRO-BUSHITE (support death squads against Afghanistan school girls, and propping up the heroin trade by arming women slave traders) "officers of the law" reviewed my images, they now contend, that several can be argued to be illegal in an almost fixed to win proceeding. (No more than a small few pictures of beautiful girls in different states of undress - "Home Lolita" I think.)

The charges they offered to the prosecution to argue for a "life term prison sentence" (almost jokingly it was quacked) were complete fiction, of which the crown offered me "a deal". Plead guilty for being a shamed ostracized sex offender, [I'D HUNT CHILD KILLER ENEMY BUSHITE EVERY DAY OF MY LIFE IF I HAD THE BUS FARE AND THE AMMO] and maybe, the Judge won't argue for a long term prison sentence for another charge that has absolutely nothing for substantiation. Substantiated, that will surely do nothing but testify to their attempts at demonizing my better name. Nothing doing darkness, the court of Law, is Our court, and I intend to make my case heard among my peers. Here.

Please, I beg you for my life, forward this document to the propper authorities, post these passages to any church, or, phone talk radio America and demand my public case be heard for Your benefit too, or don't and get what we'll deserve by not making a miracle happen with your single sole but sure, human will power!

1. I obtained the questionable images through Usenet group "youth-and-beauty". Images can be downloaded a thousand or more at a time. I can't not perceive the images until they are on my computer! If they had told me they were coming as bushite, I would have been sure to delete anything near objectionable for argument's sake. They laughed when I told them that.

2. The service companies that offer such groups as child-rape and so on, have an easy ability to filter out subjective objectionable material, along with the truly grusome stuff. But they don't, nor, are they found legally liable for not doing so. I suggest the reason is, it would put out a billion dollar industry of these child-porno cops that view real sicko slime routinely, while then arguing anyone who witnesses such depravity must be guilty. Guilty to have their professional lives destroyed, their life invested property removed till whenever/perhaps stolen, and then be publicly ostracized as senseless victims. All because some guy maybe believed women are worthy of worship in the highest respect and honor, while cute adorable boys he couldn't help but feel compeled to cover with praise, or mutual hugs and kisses., as long as there are no other men in the room with him and the gals perhaps one day chatting over maybe child care let's say. (no wonder the two child porn cops in question regarding my public case admittingly were/are staunch bushite offenders.)) I know of no nobler lineage that that of our police officer. For protecting the rights of our children, is what we've always been committed to gaining respect for. We want to do right for ourselves, and despite Johnny, this world really is something remarkable to concider a future with on our own terms as a foundation of wisdom with the ever presnt will to succeed at something greater than first realized. Evolution. The Revolution of reason while jiving with justice for freedom holds many perks per praised principle. Hammurabi's number one thang.

3. If a search warrant can be made against anyone who a criminal bushite finds objectionable, (bush and rumsfeld made the charge against me through the Secret Service, then to the RCMP.) then what freedom fighter is safe from pictures of godly and beautiful children in bath tubs? Swimming? IF, the bushite demands the images appear seductive, and compel them to commit sexual assualts? Or, what if you download a program on cars to have the bushite find, it contains bin Laden's secret where abouts in code? Would you be guilty, and if so, of what? No thinking freely?

So, the warrant brought the officers of law the right to prove my Johnny Wizard writing was of my own, but yet, after doing so, refused to enforce the law by giving me my day in court on the reason the warrant was granted to begin with. Also, I, as anyone can not perceive potentially objectionable material until it is in my possession, while a service provider would have little of no problem. (The still working child porno cops could look for child porno all day, and actually get closer to catching those who proliferate actually objectionable materials. [See?, an internet service provider could defend thus: they're not guilty because the subjective material, that would be questioned, is subjective, and so would the porno cops if they got someone intelligent to defend themselves. But for Christ who needs to know what's going on in the realm?, while loving girls in general like they were his own distant siblings? They'd put Christ up on a cross for loving schoolgirls, by demanding such thoughts come only from a criminal prospective. The problem here is child porno cops can view a suggestive cute girl smiling in her panties, or witness some heinous bushite rape or torture, and measure them equally when charging US for their deviant sexual sugestion. As alas, they refuse to support the Law when it comes to the easily provable criminal actions of enemy bushite, as for would be, the defense of the lives of our innocent children in Iraq mean something to me too.

Anyway, apparently, the reasons for the warrant were not as was so intended. To charge me for threatening the freedom of bush, rumsfeld, and the evil witch condolezza rice. (Can I get some legal advice from someone out there on this then bogus? warrant please?). The Court will (try) argue without allowing ANY voice of objection, that because I demanded the immediate arrest or execution for the individuals responsible for the crimes of nine/eleven, (relying primarily on the Whitehouse, FBI, and the public spewing of the war criminal demon anti-Christ itself,) the prosecutor, it has been alleged, will demand justice not be served in that respect, and that the search warrant that obtained the bushite's evidence against me as a truth teller during their fishing expedition will not be allowed for re-dress. Is this Justice on behalf of God I ask you?

Nature of the Beast

(Again, remember, nobody from the RCMP, the Secret Service, or the FBI, state that I am incorrect in my allegations of treason against the bush administration.)

The prosecutor is going to press for incarceration if I plead not guilty for a crime I'm not guilty of, while my public freebie lawyer, contends my defense of innocence and corporate news agencies forbidding the pursuit of Justice in protection of our Police services, like the honorable FBI officers that contend Ahmad funded Atta will likely not be allowed heard. [Are you a member of a Police Union somewhere, or know of someone who is? Or, do you just not give a care for you fellow officers either?] See?, bushite falsely believe bush can not be arrested for nine eleven, no matter where the actual evidence leads, because he is not judged an equal among men by corporate news standards, but as the anti-Christ, FALSE deity, an enemy to every free person standing.

That is our, for real court case against the Bush administration as it stands today. While some have warned, bushite are pushing to have me taken in now, to try stopping this from speaking in our Humanity's true defense. They just might succeed, if you decide no care for Freedom from tyranny either..

This is was/is/will be my last Wizard Wire public service announcement regarding this, George Walker Bush (666) wars America, Humanity, and me as the Lord of Creation. I've said everything I can say twice over, and if you can't find it within yourself to pass this post on to every church corner, with a, "I love life too" set of priorities, I can guarantee you no greater reward. But for to be present if not in person, but in spirit during my public trial where the bushites will publicly judge my character unworthy, while attempting the silencing of our own voices for Justice as We stand in TRUTH, indivisible. Be it known, the prosecutors of Law in my case have read Johnny Wizard's "The Trial and Conviction of Our Mr. bush Jr.", and likely a few others that will be asked by the hiding in darkness bushite enemies to completely disregard as crime scene evidence. It's going to be a case worth getting to, considering the newspapers, talk radio stations, and National News desks are expected to forbid honest coverage of by the higher ups at corporate command. Call your Church group to organize a call for Johnny!

Or don't.

I thank all those with whom the true liberation of Humanity from tyranny could not have been made possible without themselves individually. I know it seemed like no one was listening, when we spoke for God's cries, but WE knew better, and we knew soon, all of US will then learn it too, defending God is of no greater privilege.

So, cheers to You, our Humanity's defenders of the human challenge, for being there to speak for this life when we needed You as a contributor. Fare thee well with your pride and honor, and no bars will ever hold your undying spirit.

/ / God bless America by destroying the enemy evil anti-Christ, Our Mr. bush Jr. Yourself. \ \

Your stranger then fiction,

Johnny Wizard

See you on the other side of whenever,
Your ever present bids you now,

an adieu.

Johnny Wizard

Chow.

--

Trooper - "Nobody's going to help you, you just got to stand up alone, and dig in you heels, and see how it feels to raise a little hell of your own."

--

/ / That's why writers as diverse as David Hume, John Maynard Keynes, and Friedrich A. Hayek have pointed out that all governments rule by public opinion.

...and Mises observes that "In the long run there is no such thing as an unpopular government," improving on Joseph de Maistre's claim that, "Every nation has the government it deserves." \ \

Yeah, but the enemy bushite criminally victimize more than themselves as enemies to all freedom loving human beings.

--

/ / Without evidence our accused is ourselves as the innocent, being falsely imprisoned, tortured, or murdered. \ \

--

Abodah Zarah 22a-22b . Gentiles prefer sex with cows.

--

/ / ``The Pentagon and the CIA have asked the White House to decide on a more permanent approach for potentially lifetime detentions, including for hundreds of people in military and CIA custody whom the government does not have enough evidence to charge in courts,'' the Post reported. \ \

Not enough for you?

--

/ / Is this satire? Or is this why the US has contracted to build massive prisons to house those they will never charge due to lack of evidence, but hold however long they please? See Dana Priest, Washington Post, January 2 \ \

--

/ / ..said William Winkenwerder Jr, assistant secretary of defence for health affairs "We have no evidence of maltreatment by physicians, or of physicians participating in torture or torturous activity," he said. "We just do not have evidence of that." \ \

What world does William Winkenwerder Jr think we live in anyway?

It was the revelations that the signed sqiggles on the bogus death cetificates weren't made by the actual medical practicioners on charge in Guantanamo. Now, there might have been one or two involved, as has been confessed, HOWEVER, we do know that the death certificates were forgeries to cover up the crimes of bushite nazi enemies. So, count the forgeries, and you'll count the actions of the truly unjust and evil.

--

/ / No one is able to define the mission of the troops in Iraq, or for how long this mission will last. No one at all, including George W. Bush, can explain the US strategy in Iraq. This is because there is no strategy. With the Iraqi resistance raging, it is not clear why the US is occupying this country and why the US is so willing to sacrifice its soldiers there. \ \

Well the strategy is to cash in on the bushite war criming thieves spree actually. Cowardly soldier's die in Iraq, and the bushite fat cats lounge in the lap of our stolen luxuries through the leverage of the mallable dying amerkan mind.

--

/ / U.S. troops targeted by a roadside bomb have mistakenly killed two Iraqi policemen and two bystanders hours after an American warplane bombed the wrong house[..]

An unnamed bushite quote It expressed deep regret for the "loss of possibly innocent lives". \ \

Wouldn't you kill the traitor who spoke such contempt for Humanity? The rewards of indiscriminate murders against the unknown innocents in bushite amerka's ungodly name, gets yet another lowly forsaken bushite rightly killed for bad wordcrafts on a make believe sound stage they think they only have us party to.

--

/ / "In the exercise of his power to use military force, 'the president's decisions are for him alone and are unreviewable.'" \ \

--

/ / "And they schemed and God schemed, but God is the best schemer." (Quran 3:54) \ \

--

/ / Hence, it is up to the people of the United States to compel the US occupation authorities to at least abide by minimum acceptable standards of civilized nations. The progressive community should demand the unconditional release of Dr. Huda Ammash as part of its campaign to end the illegitimate US occupation of Iraq.\ \

--

/ / The agency's statutory responsibility is to speak the truth, whether the truth supports the president's plans or not. It would appear that this concept is not shared by this administration. \ \

--

/ / The incriminating FBI email dated 22 May 2004, indicates that president Bush "personally signed off on certain interrogation techniques in an executive order." (See original at

http://globalresearch.ca/articles/FBI.121504.4940_4941.pdf). \ \

--

/ / And these two men - 1974 Defense Secretary Donald Rumsfeld and Ford Chief of Staff Dick Cheney - did this by claiming that the Soviets had secret weapons of mass

destruction that the president didn't know about, that the CIA didn't know about, that nobody but them knew about. \ \

/ / In other words, what we are dealing with is the criminalization of the State and its various institutions including the criminalization of Justice. \ \

Platform of the United Iraqi Alliance
By Juan Cole

--

opinion
by Iranian-Shi'ite

/ / I don't really care how women or men dress in their own countries. I have no desire to tell them how they should dress. But if they come to our countries and try to denude our sisters or daughters, then they have declared war. \ \

--

spokesman Lieutenant Colonel Barry Johnson said:

"I'd like to think that these claims aren't true...but I don't know. If someone is doing this to detainees, they deserve to be taken down."

--

/ / "The US government is trying to silence Dr. Ammash's outspoken criticism of the US role in causing cancers and other illnesses in Iraq through its own use of biologically hazardous weapons such as radioactive deleted uranium." \ \

/ / ``Such a blatant statement acknowledging the commingling of his official duty to ensure a fair election with his partisan duty to re-elect President Bush, made in a political fund-raising appeal, evidences Secretary Blackwell's poor judgment at best, and the manipulation of election administration for partisan purposes, at worst,'' the report asserts. \ \

--

Breaking Camp

I will advocate full compensation for every mistreated soldier who had been tricked into believing something without reasoning.

Johnny condemns George Walker Bush (666) to death for the crimes he has committed against God's Humanity.

Let it be understood, that Saddam gave America 100 percent access to do anything the anti-Christ wished without delay prior to the needless military conflict beginning. So, every treasonous thieving bushite life taken, is done in protection of God, and the American dream. See?, TRAITOR bushite Art Bell's amerikan talk radio forbids BY CENSORSHIP anyone in America to speak in the defense of the undefended American People. Art Bell, America's enemy, with ensure that America "never" learns that bush's business partner, General Ahmad, funded 9/11's mastermind ring leader Mohamed Atta, or that depleted uranium is measured radio-active, or most especially, that billions of STOLEN American pension money is sitting in bushite bank accounts in the Cayman Islands. Instead, he'll do another show on UFOs, or bigfoot, while broadcasted to the wordless bushite grunts their dying is for naught. Who cares?, bush's war against freedom in America isn't anything bushite amerika ever wants to get persnickety about.

<http://www.chapelhill.indymedia.org/uploads/bushite-kills-bushite.mp3>

/ / Who cares? CNN is not going to cover it, we know that. CBC in Canada, they're not going to mention it to anybody. It's no concern of themselves to show a caring word for the [thieving] sacrificing soldier. \ \

The EVIL bushite liars die for no better good, but as the ungodly bringing further hardships against US innocent people they target for murder. God says, the Magna Charta says, the American constitution says, let US all arrest or kill the TRUE ENEMY bushites instead. "See lawless bushite, kill it in defense of all that are innocent", I always say as the Son of Man and Living Creator. No joy greater than saving the innocent victims of the enemy bushite thieves, torturers, and first degree murderers. WHO WOULD DISAGREE? in a true Democracy?

Every American soldier who dies as a bad guy in Iraq, dies for cowardly worshiping bush instead of Life. The demon anti-Christ as their false, untrue, dummy deity, sends them into harms way for only to steal from the innocent. The innocent left in God's trust. There was nothing that could have better been done in Iraq by bombing the country. The blind attacking of the population, along with it's functioning public institutions, brings only the STOLEN cash rewards on trading in the American soldier's LIFE as a fascist criminal. All that is bought to by putting cowardly

bushite soldiers in bush's un-needed conflict, is to steal from their very own families back home left almost completely undefended. [Have no fear, Johnny Wizard's here!] A criminal military force that deems some soldiers from Apartide fame as "un-accountable" to American or "Iraqi" law, while paying them a thousand dollars a day or more as rewarded racists who fight allong side black and Hispanic dying bushites, to not allow equal rights for those classified by different colorations. Allthewhile with spokespeople smattering the sacrifices are to bring democracy together in Iraq to arrest bushite for thieving then? [No matter whomever you are, George W. Bush is truly evil to God in YOUR name too see?] Regular Joe in the Army, 47$ before expenses concurrent and impending.

I will advocate full compensation for every mistreated soldier who had been tricked into believing something without reasoning. Example: those who had been taken in criminally to handing over their military pensions for five year loans at 56%, or a 20,000 loan paid in five with 60,000. No competion exists for this racket because it's an actual crime scene. Guess where American Gis can hear the sales pitch advertised? The Army Times.

No thoughts of understanding are expressed (OR COULD BE) from the soulless bushite on why they are in Iraq dying, or why depleted uranium is harmless, or why depriving Iraqis of medicine along with food and water, is a ploy to win the hearts of every man who owns a gun. Or why the bushite demands through the censorship of tyranny, that Our Humanity has no rights to speak for our freedoms and liberty, or on behalf of Iraqis who have had billions of much needed dollars stolen from themselves by the bushite enemy. (The billed for taking bushite soldier itself, will not receive a penny of the ill gotten gains, just like their own grand parents were robbed of the pensions, and the bushite couldn't find a word of outrage to say against their false idol then either.)

/ / As the soulless disciples of the enemy anti-Christ, Our Mr. bush Jr., their "officially" granted legal immunity from all prosecution means nothing to me as a honorable man defending my humanity, or as living Creator, who brought all into this new world to be free, and can just as soon, take you all nazi bushite out the old school way. \ \

The ungodly evil bushmob fascist totalitarianists are pushing to have US specially arrested. The demon anti-Christ enemy forces have gambled an attempt to find prosecutors and judges, lawyers and defendants, who together wouldn't let the truth be spoken in our own defenses. I have had many discussions with the National Security branch of the RCMP, CSIS, the Secret Service, the FBI, and several commanders in the Canadian Military, and WE all know, this upcoming trial is something a great deal of many are lookiung forward to, however, an agent of law recently approached me to warn, some are working to try having me arrested without bail, and spend maybe a few years behind bars before a trial could not be postponed any longer, all in an attempt to try stopping my words of truth that represent the interests of all defendable legitimate legal enforcements. Canada's system of checks and balances, and our honorable police services have too many good guys in place, to want to silence my calls for Justice by measurement. And, up until now, our security people have advised the bushite case would never fly in a public court room, for Johnny Wizard would just make his case as it stands FACTUALLY DOCUMENTED along with that which was FALSELY ALLEDGED, and the wealthy do nothing corporate news agencies would be caught as culpable to being accessories to first degree murders in New York City, where they deliberately with malicious intent, refused to report on the FBI's findings that General Ahmad funded Atta, or that demon George W. Bush with condi and rummy, had a top secret CRIMINAL plan to invade Afghanistan dated September 9th, 2001. Just like still, CBC and CNN refuse to report any human concern for those murdered irrationally by bushite in Iraq today. No concern for those Humans, but in Asia, where bush isn't yet anyway, thieving in the wide open from God, they can try to make up for lost respect by claiming those People are better People worthy of our commpassion with wall to wall coverage on the suffering we have little of chances in preventing. But for to stop needlessly droping tons and tons of toxic bombs into our Living Earth. It is the bushite enemy who refuse to allow YOU speak in your defense as an Earthling.

However, this being said, I have been recently informed that, since the godless bushite enemies would wish to have our freedoms censored, it will likely be pushed to do so without a public trial allowing a jury of my peers to judge the case accordingly. Up until recently, Guantanamo could never happen in Canada. But now in Canada, the enemies behind closed doors are talking

about sending intelligent innocent people away to be tortured for sadomasochistic satanic tendencies, and further have argued to no one that persecuting People in Our names without evidence, maybe isn't such a bad idea, when you think of all the people we could rob our humanity from like the "popular" and "Democratic" bush regime does. Bushite corporate new censors are fighting for a return to the dark ages. Don't let this happen to YOUR world. Care not for my freedoms as an honorable man who stands with America's FBI, and your given up life wont be worth nothing but as yet another sacrifice to bring further glory and stolen riches to the American cop killer, pension thiever, 9/11 perpetrator, war mongering anti-Christ, Your unbelievably EVIL Mr. bush Jr.. The enemy of God anti-Christ who continues to hold your real life in mistrust and dishonor, while wealthy corporate "celebs", talk freely about their pride in such ungodly sacrifices as Christ's forsaken name.

I ask ONLY you to argue this paper with your best intentions, for I will perhaps not receive another chance to publish, if as usual, the Internet community at large extends me little support in my UNCHALLENGED assertions on who truly committed the crimes of 9/11. A single bushite corporatist sends a complaint to a Internet provider alleging I talk "spam", therefor, without any hearing, private owners are threatened to lose their privilege to communicate, by an enemy bushite protagonist who exercises his "authority" by stating only, "spam", and not even providing my actual post in it's entirety. One Internet service was shut down for the sole reason that discussing George W. Bush as the 9/11 perpetrator in tx.politics, was against the rules, for such subject material must be confined to only a American nation political forum. I talked to the kid on the phone who made that power decision on behalf of all usenet, and let it be known, he knows what he's doing. But "they" can't do this everywhere. I can not "take over" your big old lonely world that you must work to protect for yourself as an equal citizen sworn to freedom. I have written all I need to say about Justice and Freedom, and if the human race can not still find enough care for themselves to propagate my writings for others to read, or demand Art Bell be removed to allow Johnny Wizard to debate the ungodly dumfuk bushite vermins, there truly is no hope for US continuing.

Johnny Wizard

--
/ / The material

indicates that high-ranking administration officials not only approved
of the
abuse, but have carried out a systematic cover-up of these activities.
The
new revelations represent a damning indictment of the Bush
administration,
and make clear that it is guilty of war crimes. \ \

--
8 Year Child Tortured By US Forces In Qaim, Father Threatened
Jan 03, 2005

--

/ / Provance, however, did talk to the German TV crew
about the treatment of children at Abu Ghraib. He
alleges that children were sometimes abused in order to
force their parents to give information to coalition
authorities. \ \

--

Bushite Kills Bushite for a Stolen Nickel

/ / They are enemies of themselves. Bushite will kill
bushite for a stolen nickel. The only reason this
conflict is happening, is so Halliburton can steal this
money from the American tax payer left un-defended by
CNN. \ \

<http://istanbul.indymedia.org/uploads/bushite-kills-bushite.mp3>

2nd mirror
<http://argentina.indymedia.org/uploads/bushite-kills-bushite.mp3>

3rd mirror <http://imctj.espora.org/uploads/bushite-kills-bushite.mp3>

4th and 5th for good measure

<http://sandiego.indymedia.org/media/2004/12/107037.mp3>

<http://rochester.indymedia.org/newswire/display/3275/index.php>

<http://www.chapelhill.indymedia.org/uploads/bushite-kills-bushite.mp3>

/ / What happens when the "Democracy" says, we are
outlawing torture?\ \

/ / Depraved as America is in some respects, [they]
can't find fifty cents to help some homeless vet on the
ground. \ \

--

/ / Yet the American establishment has not seen fit to
allow anyone who opposes the Iraq war to make his or her

case in front of the media. \ \

snpd

/ / A lot of people are too afraid to come out. But
after we would warn them, we would go in. You know how?
We would riddle the building and every window with
high-caliber, armor piercing machine gun fire, then
often we would throw in a grenade for good measure. If
any civilians were in there they ended up either dead or
wounded. \ \

Only possibly done through the "help" of "unbiased" CBC
and CNN national news "people". Corporatist "celebs"
who purposefully propagandize our airwaves with their
LIES by censorship to un-supportingly, steal further
from our robbed and dying communities.

Example: General Ahmad funded Atta, and corporate news
finds no interest in informing the bushite grunt, that
yes indeed depleted uranium is radioactive and toxic as
a microscopic heavy metal, and will kill you easily for
the ignorance "they" sell impartially as the "unbiased".
See?, enemy bushite kills enemy bushite for a stolen
nickel., that they likely stole from an American grand
parent. I HATE bushite.

--

<http://207.44.245.159/article7466.htm>

/ / Baghdad was full of booming businesses, restaurants
were full, and families walked freely along well-lit
parks. Compare and contrast that image with the reality
of Baghdad today, and the ultimate corruption that was
the oil-for-food programme becomes self-evident. \ \

--

/ / Honorary firefighter Mike Bellone claims he was
approached by unknown bureau agents a short time after
he and his partner, Nicholas DeMasi, a retired New York
firefighter, found three of the four 揵lack boxes?among
the WTC rubble before January 2002. \ \

--

/ / The families of those risking their lives in Iraq
ought not be reassured but enraged. This was a war of
opportunity, not necessity, so there is no excuse for,
as Rumsfeld put it, "going to war with the army you
have, not the army you might want or wish to have." \ \

--
<http://web.takebackthemedia.com/geeklog/public_html/article.php?story=200412151547261>

/ / No papers! Well, that meant the suspect was going
to be hauled downtown to police headquarters for a good
beating or worse. Well, thanks to the cowardly members
of the U.S. Congress, in 2005, and at the explicit
direction of the Bush()-Cheney Gang, the above scenario
could become part of our national nightmare. \ \

--
<http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/articles/A45373-2004Dec7.html>

/ / that a clandestine military task force in Iraq was
beating detainees, ordering Defense Intelligence Agency
debriefers out of the room during questioning,
confiscating evidence of the abuse and intimidating the
debriefers when they complained." \ \

--

/ / Combat veterans wounded in Iraq were left waiting
weeks and even months for proper medical attention at
military bases. According to an officer, their living
conditions were so unacceptable for injured soldiers he
said they "were being treated like dogs." Then the
Pentagon underreported the number wounded. \ \

--

<http://www.truthout.org/docs_04/printer_121004W.shtml>

/ / A sacked CIA official is reportedly suing the agency
for allegedly retaliating against him for refusing to
falsify his reports on Iraq's weapons of mass
destruction to support the White House's pre-war
position. \ \

--

/ / Ford describes incidents of asphyxiation, mock
executions, arms being pulled out of sockets, and lit
cigarettes forced into detainee's ears while they were
blindfolded and bound."

Ultimately, Ford was strapped down to a gurney and
literally shipped out of Iraq illegally on the basis of
non-existent mental problems - all because he had the
courage to speak out against abuses he personally
witnessed. \ \

--

/ / ``I was faced with being deployed to Iraq to do what
the infantry does, kill people, and I had no

justification for doing so,'' he testified. ``This was a criminal war. Any act of violence in an unjustified conflict is an atrocity.'' \ \

/ / He cited the case of five members in the Mississippi National Guard who had been wounded in a booby-trap bomb explosion. Incredibly, their injuries were listed by the military as "non combat." \ \

/ / Williams's squad stopped a dump truck, and an Iraqi climbed out. "Light him up!" the sergeant ordered, according to testimony, and the squad opened fire, killing the unarmed man. Williams and a squadmate reportedly got into an argument over which of them had scored Company C's first kill. \ \

--

<http://www.counterpunch.com/alam12132004.html>

/ / What becomes of those military veterans who undergo amputation? \ \

--

/ / What about the damning report of Charles Duelfer and his Iraqi Survey Group, which announced last month that Saddam Hussein destroyed all of his weapons of mass destruction and their programmes in 1991? \ \

--

/ / ...and we were yelling, 'Train to kill, kill we will,' over and over again. I kind of snuck a peek around me and saw all my colleagues getting the red in the face and hoarse yelling - and at that point a light went off in my head and said, 'You know, I made the wrong career decision.'" \ \

/ / Throughout the 12-year Vietnam War, for example, fewer than 100 Guard troops were killed, compared with the 145 who have died in less than two years in Iraq. \ \

/ / Greene County Director of Elections Carole Garman claimed that she had withdrawn access to the voting records at the direction of Secretary Blackwell. \ \

/ / Blackwell not only did not have the authority to take this action, he is actually in violation of the law. \ \

/ / Williams ordered that the Iraqi man, who had been handcuffed and was being held on his knees in front of the house, be brought inside...

William cut off the plastic handcuffs, laid the rifle near the Iraqi and said aloud to other soldiers in the room, 'I feel my life has been threatened.'

Williams then shot the man twice..." \ \

/ / That America has spent billions upon billions of dollars on the war and the occupation and hasn't even managed to provide sufficient armor to protect its own soldiers is a metaphor for the failure of the whole operation. \ \

/ / It is now estimated that more soldiers have been injured in Iraq than during the Revolutionary War, the War of 1812, or the first five years of the Vietnam conflict. \ \

/ / Webb reported that U.S. law enforcement agents complained that the CIA had squelched drug probes of Blandon and his partner Norwin Meneses in the name of "national security." Blandon's drugs flowed into L.A. and elsewhere thanks to the legendary "Freeway" Ricky Donnell Ross, a supplier of crack to the Crips and Bloods gangs. \ \

/ / The Press Release, just issued, headlined "Conyers Alarmed at Efforts to Obstruct Ohio Recount Effort, Calls Witness to Monday Hearing to Detail Such Efforts", outlines the troubling reports, discussed here yesterday, of Ohio Sec. of State J. Kenneth Blackwell (also Co-Chair of the Ohio Bush/Cheney Re-Elect Committee!) reportedly attempting to obstruct efforts by Green/Libertarian Recount Volunteers in Ohio over the last several days. \ \

--

/ / The "Texas Strike Force" members paid their way to Ohio, but their hotel accommodations were paid for by the Ohio Republican Party, whose headquarters is across the street. The hotel worker heard one caller threaten a likely voter with being reported to the FBI and returning to jail if he voted. \ \

/ / In a half-dozen precincts in Franklin County, people who were not inside polling places by 7:30 PM were told to leave - even if they had waited in line for hours. This is a violation of the Voting Rights Act. \ \

/ / In other words, the exit polls were made to conform to a political decision to declare Bush the victor. \ \

--

<http://www.whatdoesitmean.com/index575.htm>

/ / The massive US Intelligence Reform Bill weighed in at over 3,000 pages and though unread by individual Members of either the House or Senate nevertheless passed all of the legislative hurdles needed in order to become law. \ \

TREASON BIG TIME.

/ / The contract company blamed for the bad food is identified as a small, Qatar-based firm called American Service Center. \ \

/ / From snatch and grab operations to warantless searches, Patriot Act II is an Adolf Hitler wish list.

You can understand why President Bush, Dick Cheney and Dennis Hastert want to keep this legislation secret not just from Congress, but the American people as well. \ \

/ / MIT and the Pentagon have been involved in a fraud that has promoted a weapon system that will have little or no utility and could cost hundreds of billions of dollars. \ \

--
THE SON OF MAN

/ / Anybody who supports George W. Bush is my ENEMY. George W. Bush committed 9/11 with his buddy, General Ahmad who funded the mastermind ring leader. That's according to the FBI. Any bushite who gets in my way, who says that isn't the case,

I WILL KILL. \ \

<http://la.indymedia.org/uploads/son-of-man.mp3>

<http://jerusalem.indymedia.org/uploads/son-of-man.mp3>

<http://baltimore.indymedia.org/newswire/display/9099/index.php>

/ / Mr. Sattler, if you don't want US to arrest those responsible for 9/11, don't you think we Americans should kill you? \ \

/ / They got this plan, in it's final stages, just prior to 9/11, to invade Afghanistan. They couldn't invade Afghanistan, without some sort of attack occurring that would instigate an actual war. they got this plan, and it's all about accusing bin Laden for terrorist activities, and they've spent a year or more working on it, or more. And through all the time they put it together, they never thought to include, one single shred of evidence. Knowing already as Taliban fundamentalists, through an experience that Mr. Clinton

had, it is their fundamental religion not to persecute
the innocent. \ \

Johnny Wizard

--

INTERVIEWER: Are you aware that this tank is
contaminated with radiation?

BUSHITE DUMFUK SOLDIER: No, it isn't radioactive.

INTERVIEWER: But we have measured it.

BUSHITE DUMFUK SOLDIER: No, it isn't radioactive, not
this tank.

snpd

> Word of Advice

> <http://la.indymedia.org/uploads/advice.mp3>

/ / ``They're tough people, and they're
well-disciplined. And there are a lot of them who want
to do the work. A lot of people have left the South
African defense force since Nelson Mandela came in.''

[American tax paid for bushite, $1000.00 a day] Gray
Branfield, a former covert-operations specialist in
South Africa's fight to preserve white minority rule. \ \

My black brothers in the lucative sports industry, pay
the saleries of these, the most racist, of their "loyal"
bushite team. American youths are being purposefully
mislead by the corporate news interests in cashing in on
their DEATHS to thieve from MY Humanity.

Homeless Iraq vets showing up at shelters
<http://washingtontimes.com/upi-breaking/20041207-121848-6449r.htm>

--

<http://mobile.mediamonitors.net/content/view/full/11853/>

/ / Naturally, there is no evidence that any soldier
named DeLay and/or Lieberman has paid the supreme price
for the reckless warmongering of that duo. \ \

--

20 Amazing Facts About Voting In The United States

<http://www.coastalpost.com/04/12/02a.htm>

/ / 6. Republican Senator Chuck Hagel, a long-time
friend of the Bush family, was caught lying about his
ownership of ES&S by the Senate Ethics Committee. \ \

--

> Johnny Wizard's "The Big Blab" "The most influential broadcast ever
heard.
> If you hear anything this year, Johnny Wizard's "The Big Blab" will
be it."

> <http://jerusalem.indymedia.org/uploads/the-big-blab.mp3>

--

U.S. deserter 'didn't want to have to kill babies'

/ / Mr. House will argue that American soldiers are
guilty of war crimes and that forcing Mr. Hinzman to
fight in Iraq would have made him a war criminal.

He will call as a witness former U.S. Marine Staff
Sergeant Jimmy Massey, who is expected to testify that
he and other soldiers shot more than 30 unarmed Iraqis,
including women and a six-year-old child, at a U.S.
military checkpoint. \ \

--

CNN.com - Aid group 'told to freeze' Falluja work - Dec 6, 2004

/ / Only about 100 families are thought to remain in
Falluja, as most fled the city before the U.S.-led
operation aimed at flushing out insurgents. \ \

Huh? Again, witness wealthy CNN "news" staffers, didn't
even bother to seek for an update on their "about 100",
that had not ever been given. Red Cresent, the day
previous to Dec. 6, no... actually reported on, Dec
3rd!, stated, (from their limited circle of reference)
they had witnessed a minimum of many corpses, of
completely innocent pro-Iraqi women, children, youths,
and men.

/ / Iraqi Red Crescent spokesman Muhammad al-Nuri
estimates that some 6,000 people have been killed, many
of them civilians. \ \

(That is discounting murdered armed defenders of Freedom
in Iraq we can prusume.)

But, again, the true bushite enemies of loyal bushite
enemies, thay'l' "bushite lie" to the bushite nation
about the "holy" benefits of, sacrificing America's
young life., all done for the glorious betterment of the
traitor demon anti-Christ liar, the war criminal, Our

Mr. bush Jr., along with his treasonous evil cabal of anti-semitic Palestinian Christ haters. A compassionate, "conservative", war mongering cabal whos warring of America is done to secretly steal further from the innocence of God in silence. Without a honest to Johnny, bushite killin' God-Man to speak on the nature of reality itself from living Creation's time-less prospective. Naturally leaving ourselves to save all of humanity with my "magic" - guitar, notebook, cybercafes, and super cool Akeido, leaves me in wonder of where life could lead as committed to freedom through justice for all?, as truly ourselves democractically represented?, Miraculous?. or will we choose as ENEMY bushite instead not to, ending to devolve ourselves from existence?

I know of some pretty, almost miraculous words in which can bring about positive change, and man, if you could see the world for America's Dream, where all People are judged not by the color of their skin, but the content of their character, the entire bushmob would be behind bars awaiting trial for treason, and the Iraqi People would have American Forces demanding Allawi be arrested for failing to explain himself regarding the needless bombing of our Humanity in Samarra and Falluja.

--

SEE BUSHITE, KILL IT FOR GOD'S AMERICA

/ / Another humiliating proposal, which even triggered debate among Marine officers in control of the city, is to force all Fallujan men to work in military-style battalions, reported the Globe. \ \

This is the best the ENEMY bushite could dream up in all possible worlds?

/ / His company of tanks and Bradley Fighting Vehicles had roamed the eastern third of the city [Falluja] for 13 days, shooting holes in every building that might pose a threat, leaving behind a landscape of half-collapsed houses and factories singed with soot. \ \

--
<http://www.wsws.org/articles/2004/dec2004/iraq-d06_prn.shtml>

/ / Of course, none of the assembled media pointed to the absurdity of Bush's comments. \ \

--

<http://billmon.org/archives/000172.html>

/ / Bush administration official says: "Saddam never had WMDs, and we never said he did." \ \

--

/ / Goldsborough said the reason he was given after the fact is that the column, about why Jewish voters overwhelmingly cast their presidential ballots for John Kerry, was "offensive."

"The publisher said it might be offensive. To whom? \ \

--

/ / twenty-seven Israeli pilots indicated that they would no longer fly missions in the Occupied Territories (Boston Globe). The pilots said "We veteran and active duty pilots are against carrying out illegal and immoral attacks" and they "refuse to continue attacking innocent civilians." \ \

--

KILL ENEMY BUSHITE FOR OUR LIVING LOVING GOD MAN

/ / They should put dynamite in their behinds and drop them from 35,000 feet, the whole pack of scum out of that jail."

Remember, these are the same prisoners that the Red Cross estimates 70 to 90% of them were innocent and later released. \ \

--

/ / It gets worse. We already knew about the Franklin County, Ohio, precinct that tallied 4,258 votes for Bush when only 638 people had actually voted. \ \

--

See? The bushite knows that bush murdered thousands of Americans on 9/11, yet, will advocate fascism, to censor America, from finding the truth for ourselves.

That enemy of God, the demon anti-Christ told US the conflict he began, was because Saddam wouldn't let the inspectors in. While, corporate nazi whores, refused to correct ourselves on our sacrifices, for they enjoy remaining impartial, when it comes to the crimes they inflict upon our humanity. Enemies to all humanity CBC and CNN are by refusing OUR facts to speak for themselves. No betterment could be garnered, by the demon anti-Christ's war crimes against civilization, WITHOUT DOUBT. And, it's only the enemies of our dumfukked and dimmer soldiers, in corporate mind

control, who refuse to allow anyone to state THAT FACT,
for, the enemy bushite would be exposed, and America
would be hunting them down with the Law as the traitors
to freedom they clearly are.

--

<http://www.theallianceforddemocracy.org/html/eng/2180-AA.shtml>

/ / "We cannot be the home of the thief and the land of
the slave." \ \

--

Bush Lies to Canada

Demon bush spew "I made some decisions obviously that
some in Canada, didn't agree with. Like for example
removing Saddam Hussein, and enforcing the demands of
the UN security council."

Saddam was not found in violation of 1441, so the war
criminal anti-Christ's demands were not our world's, nor
that of the UN Security Council. How does thieving our
assets, destroying our infrastructure, and
indiscriminately murdering more than a hundred thousand
innocent people, (in turn to sacrifice more worthless
bushite nazi teens) bring about their closer fraudster
bushite "Freedom"? Again, CNN, and CBC let the demon
liar spew his hatred of our liberties, without factually
challenging his lies with our un-debatable established
truths. CNN and CBC would "sell" seeing our dimmer
youths sacrificed as bushmob thieves through blatant
bushite lies, to truly, steal our freedoms and liberty
with further murders by proxy.

/ / .. or when [bush] just told you for no reason
possible, why American soldiers are DYING, "Saddam
wouldn't let inspectors in", \ \

This should be a time when the People rise up as angels
of mercy, and hunt with Law to kill George W. Bush,
Rumsfeld, and Condoleeza Rice for the crimes committed
on 9/11. Just because the corporate T.V. doesn't
mention the facts to draw our own conclusions, doesn't
make it not so out of our realms of expertise
as Freedom's true represention.

--
<http://www.opednews.com/fitrakis_113004_GOP.htm>

/ / "It's about a will to dignity. It's
not too much to ask for our vote to count." \ \

--

/ / Colonel Ron Johnson "If I could have an Iraqi
security force guy who's honest, reliable and
dependable, it's worth five Marines," \ \

--

This is Hockey

US general John Sattler declared: "We have liberated
the city of Fallujah."

Sattler openly lies to the lives of America with this
insult, and the bushite nazi dumfuk, is too stupid or
evil to frag him as enemy proudly for it. The People of
the world, look, see.. bushites are murderous liars,
cheats, and thieves as the enemies of America, who even
lie to THEMSELVES on how many have been sacrificed to
blood the coffers of the American pension thieving war
monger bushite 9/11 cancer. A liar nazi bushite
commander would sacrifice his nation, along with own
family, before saying a word in defence of our freedoms,
our humanity, or our God. COWARDS. American nazi
bushite commander gets killed american nazi bushite
grunts, in dreams they too, can financially profit off
the talentless continuing THEFTS for enslavement to
sacrifice themselves as fascist tyrannies. Hmmm. but
the nature of American freedom, is to be one as everyone
being equal scores. "Gee, maybe some day even you could
be Presidential."

Weak intellectually, prone to cuss, the bushite nazi
dumfuk, is a worthless piece of crap.

<http://bellaciao.org/en/article.php3?id_article=4591>

/ / I have the impression that there are no other words
except "fuck" and "shit". Each communication, each
order is accompanied by a flow of 'fucks', 'shits' and
'bullshits'. I look at Americans with a pity.

Among the "colored-skin" GIs "Latinos" are largest
group, then Africans and semi-Africans. The officers
are mostly whites but there are also blacks... But I
cannot understand at all, why the US Army soldiers speak
broken English!! \ \

/ / During the five days, while the count was yet
conducted, more than three thousand wounded passed
through our hospital. These were the people who lived
nearby. The people who could be delivered to us.
Nobody knows how many people in the city are dead. \ \

/ / I cannot recognize the city. Only ten days ago it was an Iraqi town with its regular for centuries Arabic life. Boling bazaars, noisy streets. And here I am going through the empty dead city, between the ugly "pyramids" of destroyed buildings, broken streets, whole quarters wiped from the face of earth. \ \

--

old nazi tricks

/ / The Red Crescent's Falluja coordinator, Jotiar Nafaa, estimates that between 150 and 175 families are left in the city that once had a population of 300,000. \ \

REUTERS up to their old nazi tricks again. Jotiar Nafaa, "coordinator", should surely know, that it is 175 families that had requested for the help of Red Crescent totaled several days previous, (without phones, nor abilities to leave their homes (150?)), NOT, "left in the city". [THINK: how could they possibly know? (nazi bushite whores were ordered to murder innocents indiscriminately as enemies to God)] Also, is "Jotiar Nafaa", the same woman hater, who LIED in REUTER"S anonymous hand previously, as the Red Cross, that the women held illegally as hostages by the thieving evil nazi bushite forces, didn't really exist? (and who the "sovereign" Justice system of Iraq, along with World opinion, God, and JOHNNY had demanded to be let go for lack of any real criminal charges? (despite CNN and CBC WILLFULLY refusing to report on the issue as important. (You know, INNOCENT women held hostage by bushite goons who acquiesce COWARDLY for rapists and torturers? (Just like Jew killer Ariel Sharon never gets a fair mention by "America", nor Zarqawi, as he was in the second Bigley flick, right before Samara.)))

LOOK AT THIS - LOOK AT THIS - LOOK AT THIS

Aljazeera.Net - Aid trickling in to desperate Fallujans

/ / The organisation estimates that only 150 to 175 families stayed in Falluja after the start of the US-led offensive on 8 November \ \

The organization does not estimate the incorrect fantasy conclusion held by this pro-irrational Bush article from Aljazeera.NET. By god Johnny, where tell us could such lies begin at? Well, let's go investigate... If as it is, a misrepresentation of Red Crescent's actual findings, wouldn't you think "they" would put out a press release to correct this falsehood of public claim?, to suggest 150 remain in a city the size of 300,000+?, but no greater than 175? without access for

numbering by anyone, but for the only near 15,000 HUMAN BEINGS known escaped as refugees??? Or, are the "reporters" just conveying the "facts", by putting no investment in our validity? Leaving us for further victimhood, by hiding ourselves from the war crimes the anti-Christ bushite nazi savage commanders have committed in my name, America's name, God's name? as our enemies?

Our cause as Humanity is for freedom's reign, not to sacrifice Humanity as misled bushite nazi facist teens further, so bush, condi and rummy can fi-nah-lly escape "The True Patriot's Wrath", for the crimes they committed against Freedom America on "bush win", 9/11. So... Why so do I think Justice is going to get GW, making no mistakens? Because the Patriot's Patriot is you too my sworn believer, and now that's this: America's craftier than that George Bush Jr. makes living out for, in "our"-OWN news broadcasts. As the "censored" contributors who learned our gathering skills some time ago already, I say, Freedom is paramount. Mr. bush's unbiased corporate news agenda doesn't hold America to an account before the Lord, nor to God as being, an honest spoken word, or deed of good measure. Of friendship, of kindness, of compassion and caring for our fellow human beings. I have rights too you know. As cowards, the bushite refuses to defend the innocent they rob and murder from to serve as enemies of Life, done in to die pleasing their false idol, corporate america's glorious leader, the un-elected dictator, Our Mr. bush Jr..

It should be further noted, as reported, that all aid agencies contributing have accounted for only near 15,000 families stationed in refugee camps that escaped the indiscriminate anti-Christ demon bombing campaigns. So, total deaths tolls could exceed 200,000 just for Falluja alone., what with the napalm, land mines, 1000 lb bombs, snipers, tanks, mortars, essential services, police protections, denials of foods or water, thefts, vandalism, and so on. All along with the refusal to allow the People to escape before hand, or even enter now. I've only heard that the nazi grunts will only allow the "staff" of "Red Crescent", to quickly as can be, come into the demolished city, and dispose of our corpses with bulldozers likely. You know?, any males between 15 to 50?, like their "Hero", the bush family's Hitler regime did. You tell me, would you enjoy as a True Patriot Warrior, killing a LYING enemy bushite nazi bomber, on behalf of saving yourself and family, God and Humanity? God?, that the demon anti-Christ disciples

murder to steal from US as the innocent? To kill an evil and mindless devaluating corporate bushite facist slave, is to save the untold lives of thousands of US innocent they target indiscriminately for criminal plunder. Dying as cowards too weak to defend their own country from the neo-con conspirators, they die. Die.. Get this measage out to the American forces, and stand back, cause America's gonna win this fight for freedom, or die as slaves remaining unworthy to a blind comitment of tyranny by furthering enslavement against our Human species.

Continue doubting in silence fledgling Patriot, and bushite america's war will continue refusing to speak on it's needless sacrificing through bushite criminal decree. We know, wealthy con man Art Bell, Limbaugh, and O'Really will try and refuse such truths discussed in Your America, care for the lives of sacrificing American teen soldiers are of no concern to their profit margins., so far. You, my friend, just need to try starting a demand for justice as Freedom commands. (And, if you wish, you can thank us with a small cash donation to help me escape this nearing starvation.)

I'd, as the Son of Man, (but you can call me Dad.) proudly teach, or kill godless thieving bushite to save the lives of any child, and so would the rest of Humanity likewise. There is no known fate worst, than a Mother Nature scorned, or near 7 billion People really very angry with you.

"if the USA's honor can go along with these [bushite] tactics . . then the USA is a country without honor."

And, boy, real America wouldn't take kindly to George W. Bush Jr. as understanding, the elected President has leadered the murder, of thousands of decieved Americans as the innocent, all to only steal from, as the left "officially" undefended. Hell, as the true Leader of this Free World, and holy roller god child, George W. Bush Jr. pisses me off to no end, how about you?

/ / Washington says the Falluja campaign was necessary to bring the Sunni Muslim city back under the control of the central Baghdad government ahead of planned Iraqi elections in January. \ \

Reuters up to their old nazi tricks again. It was only mindless nazi savages who indiscriminately murder good honorable people, who advocate thieving and torture, rape and murder, and the willful destruction of America, that were banned from entering the Holy city.

No evidence, so then, for what? What but to get more cowardly american marines dead, to serve soullessly further - as the stolen riches of the evil enemy demon anti-Christ, evil personified, Our Mr. bush Jr.. (the same from 9/11 fame)

<http://www.truthout.org/docs_04/112604I.shtml>

/ / By targeting civilians, he said, an army could advance more rapidly. ``Air-delivered terror'' effectively removes civilian obstacles. \ \

Pure, unadulterated fascist nazi evil. "Never again"? Killing un-arrested bushite (Saudi wahabbi) commanders, is like killing the enemies of America, Humanity, and Jesus' God. Do ourselves and Johnny a great big favor, when you see a loyal bushite nazi grunt, thief and murderer, imagine, "yah, that's right, that's what being a kind neighbor is all about, for Christ said so in John's Revelations." Bushite! your false Idol religion is too stupid to remain for dying spark under the anti-Christ's evil criminal dictatorship of, wannabe dumfuks. I'm Your God see, and Your coming and going. As for Royal King Johnny, he's just standing in for this time being,..' hey you!, pay no attention to anyone behind what curtain! Boo!

America is wonderful to truly believe of it as in the making. So, let's get the work done that needs doing, so God can get back to trying to enjoy some time at home. I'm here for a good time, not a long time, and the sun don't shine every day. So get off your asses, and demand some real Justice for Christ's sake. Johnny has awoken, and he's cranky they say.

snpd
--

<http://www.thenation.com/doc.mhtml?i=20041213&s=klein>

/ / Impunity - the perception of being outside the law - has long been the hallmark of the Bush regime. \ \

--

<http://middleeastinfo.org/forum4970>

Israeli Soldiers Going In For The Kill: A 13 year old Palestinian JEWISH school girl is about to die

/ / "It's a little girl. She's running defensively eastwards, a girl of about 10. She's behind the embankment, scared to death."

"Anyone who's mobile, moving in the zone, even if it's a three-year-old, needs to be killed.

..soldiers in the company, [...] Givati Brigade, complained that they had been "besmirched"

The soldiers said that the commander had fired two shots at the girl from close range as she lay on the ground.. \ \

Will all Jews join me in calling for the public World trial of crimes against God's Humanity, done by these truly godless fascist nazi enemies of the Holy Jewish faith? Or, will the anti-Jewish Ariel Sharon crowd again, march more Jews silently to their graves, refusing to speak for the Bible's Word that all people are created in God's loving image?

Victimizing the innocent to steal from, is not acts becoming of a religious person or a truly "democratic" government.

snpd

Johnny Wizard

946. Re: A Sad Reality: The Unaviodable Death of Scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-14 22:11:59 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4funREMOVEBEFOREFLIGHT.com>
Message-ID: <Pm9Qd.367$VI5.280@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 14806

> What are we scanner enthusiasts to do?
>
> lamentfully,
>
> Dave
>

I look at the comming of Digital as a great chance for me to pickup some good MilAir scanners at rockbottom prices....

Been in scanning for years, currently 18 scanners sit on my desk, not ONE monitor the local trunk system (EDACS non encyrpted).

With all the Aviation, MilAir, NASA, US Customs, and Military (all of which are non-encrypted, non-digital, and most in AM mode) there will be tons of scanning listening for years to come. Aviation will never in our life time be digital (or the lifetime of our children), heck it will never be FM in our lifetime (or that of our children).

Just remember, Public safety is only one scanning target out of a target rich enviroment. And personally I find public safety one of the boring monitoring targets (except for when Daytona runs their drug & prostitute stings which is usually good for a good laugh).

George - Daytona Beach, FL
http://www.MilAirComms.com

947. Re: For Sale: Mobile / Cell Phone Jammer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-14 22:16:00 UTC
From: Pat <phoneguy99.delete@delete.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <Wp9Qd.6847$4I5.289572@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 14809
Cross-posted to: free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.radio.amateur

Don't be silly!
If you want to block the mind reading satellites there's no need to waste your money on a cell jammer when simply wrapping your head in aluminum foil will suffice...

In article <sw7Qd.603$hL3.432@newsfe2-win.ntli.net>, bigrikk@fsmail.net says...
> What is the point of owning one of these

It's probably to stop the secret NASA satellites from downloading your thoughts using the mobile bands as they go over. Utterly pointless of course, as they can do it just as well using infrared laser beams from televisions.

948. Keynote pagers (SOLD)!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-14 22:27:18 UTC
From: CommCtr1 <pottsladd13@nospam.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aB9Qd.213017$w62.543@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 14807
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Keynotes are sold!!

thnx

949. Re: BC780XLT, Uniden HR2510, Pro350XL, (SOLD) Keynote pagers.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-14 22:28:14 UTC
From: CommCtr1 <pottsladd13@nospam.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <2C9Qd.213022$w62.6115@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 14808
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Keynotes have been sold!!

thnx

950. Re: For Sale: Mobile / Cell Phone Jammer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-14 22:50:40 UTC
From: mikeFNB <moc.dlrowltn@FNB7G-ekim>
Message-ID: <4X9Qd.710$_Z.230@newsfe5-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 14810
Cross-posted to: free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.radio.amateur

neither do they work on most of the uk systems.
it's a stateside product.

mike

"RADIOTWO" <g6yrk@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:37cdo0F58olooU1@individual.net...
> These are illegal in the UK
>
>
> <rehan.yasin@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1108410471.737019.10450@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Hiya all (hope this isn't annoying, I haven't done it before),
>
> I have a BRAND NEW Mobile phone jammer for sale. This is a fixed jammer
> which is capable of paralyzing phone signals within 20 meters.
>
> The price of the jammer is £139.99 (plus postage charges depending on
> where you live).
>
> The jammer itself can be mounted upon a wall, or ceiling, or table,
> wherever is necessary.
>
>
> ---
> Technical Info:
>
> AC input 100V ~ 240V AC
> DC output 12V, 1000mA
> Power output (Avg.) 23dB, 200mW per band (600mW Output)
> Total Power Consumption 2W
> Effective blocking area up to 20 metre diametre of jamming
> Effective cellular system AMPS, CDMA, TDMA, GSM, PCS, DCS, iDEN, Nextel
> Dimensions 100mm(W) * 100mm(L) * 30mm(H)
> Weight 300g including power supply
> ---
>
>
> Please either e-mail me for info and pics, or alternatively reply to
> this thread.
>
>
> Thanks all!! :-)

>
>

951. Re: WinScanRS - pozilla.jpg (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-14 23:05:54 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <eaaQd.1680$pf5.719@fe07.lga>
Source record: 14811

"NWTSCL" <goodbye@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:hf6s01t91erkfip56g4av48jq4blum6kac@4ax.com...

> Let's see. Their website is www.pozillasoft.com. Well, it used to be.
> They've now moved to www.pozillatech.com/ and have changed their name
> to Pozilla Technologies Corp. Why the change? Of course, they do not
> offer a physical address or phone number; to contact them, you must
> fill in their silly form. Their new IP 206.80.215.245, which is
> registered to U S WEST Internet Services out of Denver, CO. This is
> likely a dead end. If you can offer up valid contact info, please do.
> I'm sure many people would like to know. I'm guessing that Pozilla
> isn't in AZ anymore; they packed up and ran.
>

Well, if you use the USWest WHOIS search, you get this. Pretty easy to
find...
---
pozillatech.com

Similar Names - See suggested alternatives for this domain
The data contained in Go Daddy Software, Inc.'s WhoIs
database,
while believed by the company to be reliable, is provided "as is"
with no guarantee or warranties regarding its accuracy. This
information is provided for the sole purpose of assisting you
in obtaining information about domain name registration records.
Any use of this data for any other purpose is expressly forbidden without
the prior written
permission of Go Daddy Software, Inc. By submitting an inquiry,
you agree to these terms of usage and limitations of warranty. In
particular,
you agree not to use this data to allow, enable, or otherwise make possible,
dissemination or collection of this data, in part or in its entirety, for
any
purpose, such as the transmission of unsolicited advertising and
and solicitations of any kind, including spam. You further agree
not to use this data to enable high volume, automated or robotic electronic
processes designed to collect or compile this data for any purpose,
including mining this data for your own personal or commercial purposes.

Please note: the registrant of the domain name is specified
in the "registrant" field. In most cases, Go Daddy Software, Inc.
is not the registrant of domain names listed in this database.

Registrant:
Pozilla Technologies
12222 Paradise Village
Parkway South #331A
Phoenix, Arizona 85032
United States

Registered through: GoDaddy.com
Domain Name: POZILLATECH.COM
Created on: 01-Nov-04
Expires on: 01-Nov-06
Last Updated on: 01-Nov-04

Administrative Contact:
Goldman, Adam ajgoldman@cox.net
Pozilla Technologies
12222 Paradise Village
Parkway South #331A
Phoenix, Arizona 85032
United States
6027576559 Fax --
Technical Contact:
Goldman, Adam ajgoldman@cox.net
Pozilla Technologies
12222 Paradise Village
Parkway South #331A
Phoenix, Arizona 85032
United States
6027576559 Fax --

Domain servers in listed order:
PARK11.SECURESERVER.NET
PARK12.SECURESERVER.NET

The previous information has been obtained either directly
from the registrant or a registrar of the domain name other than Network
Solutions. Network Solutions, therefore, does not guarantee its accuracy or
completeness.

Show underlying registry data for this record

Current Registrar: GO DADDY SOFTWARE, INC.
IP Address: 206.80.215.245 (ARIN & RIPE IP search)
IP Location: US(UNITED STATES)
Lock Status: REGISTRAR-LOCK
DMOZ no listings
Y! Directory: see listings
Data as of: 08-Jun-2004

Clicking on "Show underlying registry data for this record" gives you this:
----

pozillatech.com

Whois Server Version 1.3

Domain names in the .com and .net domains can now be registered
with many different competing registrars. Go to http://www.internic.net
for detailed information.

Domain Name: POZILLATECH.COM
Registrar: GO DADDY SOFTWARE, INC.
Whois Server: whois.godaddy.com
Referral URL: http://registrar.godaddy.com
Name Server: PARK11.SECURESERVER.NET
Name Server: PARK12.SECURESERVER.NET
Status: REGISTRAR-LOCK
Updated Date: 01-nov-2004
Creation Date: 01-nov-2004
Expiration Date: 01-nov-2006

>>> Last update of whois database: Mon, 14 Feb 2005 07:52:55 EST <<<

Finally, the GODADDY WHOIS gives you this:

Registrant:
Pozilla Technologies

12222 Paradise Village
Parkway South #331A
Phoenix, Arizona 85032
United States

Registered through: GoDaddy.com (http://www.godaddy.com)
Domain Name: POZILLATECH.COM
Created on: 01-Nov-04
Expires on: 01-Nov-06
Last Updated on: 01-Nov-04

Administrative Contact:
Goldman, Adam ajgoldman@cox.net
Pozilla Technologies
12222 Paradise Village
Parkway South #331A
Phoenix, Arizona 85032
United States
6027576559
Technical Contact:
Goldman, Adam ajgoldman@cox.net
Pozilla Technologies
12222 Paradise Village
Parkway South #331A
Phoenix, Arizona 85032
United States
6027576559

Domain servers in listed order:
PARK11.SECURESERVER.NET
PARK12.SECURESERVER.NET
This domain is NOT Available

Report Invalid Whois

If you notice above, there is link to click on to report an invalid WHOIS. If you truly believe that the WHOIS information is in fact invalid, then why not click it and report them... You had better have some proof to back up your claims however... If you are REALLY looking to stick it to them, this would be your best bet...

LINK for reporting (in case the above isn't clickable):
<https://www.godaddy.com/gdshop/registrar/whois_report_invalid.asp?isc=&se=%2B&from_app=&mscssid=&pl_id=1&prog_id=GoDaddy&domain=POZILLATECH%2ECOM>

I'm sure there will be some form of word-wrap here, you may have to copy and paste...

952. Re: For Sale: Mobile / Cell Phone Jammer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-14 23:08:01 UTC
From: PromaBoss <Promaboss1@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <lbaQd.741$_Z.74@newsfe5-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 14813
Cross-posted to: free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.radio.amateur

its highly illegal in UK and psoting on groups like this that are watched by
OFCOM could cause you problems

but as someone who only uses mobile from time to time,mmostly have it
switched of becasue i too hate phones keep ringong i would love to but
jamming is not on

what if some people need there phone for 999 work and surveillance/or 24 hr
call out doctors and so on

regards,Paul
<rehan.yasin@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1108413566.260460.209710@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> So that it is possible in theatres for example to not have constant
> phone rings!
>

953. Re: For Sale: Mobile / Cell Phone Jammer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-14 23:09:21 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <rdaQd.1683$6B2.878@fe07.lga>
Source record: 14812
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.radio.amateur

```
Perhaps, however, it is illegal in the states as well... Too bad... Would
be a nice thing to have in places like movie theaters, etc...
```

"mikeFNB" <moc.dlrowltn@FNB7G-ekim> wrote in message
news:4X9Qd.710$_Z.230@newsfe5-gui.ntli.net...
> neither do they work on most of the uk systems.
> it's a stateside product.
>
> mike
>
> "RADIOTWO" <g6yrk@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:37cdo0F58olooU1@individual.net...
> > These are illegal in the UK
> >
> >
> > <rehan.yasin@gmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:1108410471.737019.10450@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > Hiya all (hope this isn't annoying, I haven't done it before),
> >
> > I have a BRAND NEW Mobile phone jammer for sale. This is a fixed jammer
> > which is capable of paralyzing phone signals within 20 meters.
> >
> > The price of the jammer is 139.99 (plus postage charges depending on
> > where you live).
> >
> > The jammer itself can be mounted upon a wall, or ceiling, or table,
> > wherever is necessary.
> >
> >
> > ---
> > Technical Info:
> >
> > AC input 100V ~ 240V AC
> > DC output 12V, 1000mA
> > Power output (Avg.) 23dB, 200mW per band (600mW Output)
> > Total Power Consumption 2W
> > Effective blocking area up to 20 metre diametre of jamming
> > Effective cellular system AMPS, CDMA, TDMA, GSM, PCS, DCS, iDEN, Nextel
> > Dimensions 100mm(W) * 100mm(L) * 30mm(H)
> > Weight 300g including power supply
> > ---
> >
> >

> > Please either e-mail me for info and pics, or alternatively reply to
> > this thread.
> >
> >
> > Thanks all!! :-)
> >
> >
>
>

954. Re: FA - Icom 7100 - 1/2 hour left

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-14 23:10:48 UTC
From: Jesse <1@2.com>
Message-ID: <Xns95FDB9560345Aewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 14814

"John Schneider" <thecop@optonline.net> wrote in
news:tP7Qd.14408$%k5.11056@fe12.lga:

> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5750209396&ssPage
> Name=STRK:MESE:IT

Do we really need hourly updates to your fuzzy pictured auction ?
This is your 4th time, and you seem kinda pushy and desperate to sell it.

955. Re: For Sale: Mobile / Cell Phone Jammer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-14 23:21:34 UTC
From: "Jock." <real_class_a@yahoo.co.uk>
Message-ID: <pic211pr5lbh2jk2qqsc70vcuf1qamemdo@4ax.com>
Source record: 14815
Cross-posted to: free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.radio.amateur

On 14 Feb 2005 11:47:51 -0800, rehan.yasin@gmail.com wrote:

>Hiya all (hope this isn't annoying, I haven't done it before),
>
>I have a BRAND NEW Mobile phone jammer for sale. This is a fixed jammer
>which is capable of paralyzing phone signals within 20 meters.
>
>The price of the jammer is ï¿½139.99 (plus postage charges depending on
>where you live).
>
>The jammer itself can be mounted upon a wall, or ceiling, or table,
>wherever is necessary.

Perhaps it could be mounted up your backside?

73 de Jock.

--
Silly Warning Label No 1.
"Once used rectally, the thermometer should not
be used orally."

956. Re: For Sale: Mobile / Cell Phone Jammer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-14 23:23:49 UTC
From: "Jock." <real_class_a@yahoo.co.uk>
Message-ID: <rkc211d7m006t441nepl644v5n8n9klbuq@4ax.com>
Source record: 14816
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.radio.amateur

On Mon, 14 Feb 2005 17:09:21 -0600, "PowerHouse Communications"
<PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>, a cretinous top-poster, wrote:

>"mikeFNB" <moc.dlrowltn@FNB7G-ekim> wrote in message
>news:4X9Qd.710$_Z.230@newsfe5-gui.ntli.net...
>> neither do they work on most of the uk systems.
>> it's a stateside product.
>>
>> mike
>>
>> "RADIOTWO" <g6yrk@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:37cdo0F58olooU1@individual.net...
>> > These are illegal in the UK
>> >
>> >
>> > <rehan.yasin@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> > news:1108410471.737019.10450@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> > Hiya all (hope this isn't annoying, I haven't done it before),
>> >
>> > I have a BRAND NEW Mobile phone jammer for sale. This is a fixed jammer
>> > which is capable of paralyzing phone signals within 20 meters.
>> >
>> > The price of the jammer is ï¿½139.99 (plus postage charges depending on
>> > where you live).
>> >
>> > The jammer itself can be mounted upon a wall, or ceiling, or table,
>> > wherever is necessary.

>Perhaps, however, it is illegal in the states as well... Too bad... Would
>be a nice thing to have in places like movie theaters, etc...

We don't have 'movie theatres' here, we have picture houses and we have quite
enough Yank rubbish already, thank you.

73 de Jock.

--
Silly Warning Label No 1.
"Once used rectally, the thermometer should not
be used orally."

957. Re: Keynote pagers (SOLD)! Cheap Keynote chargers!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-14 23:31:52 UTC
From: "R. Belcher" <randy.belcher@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <IxaQd.27284$uc.23231@trnddc09>
Source record: 14817
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I've got chargers cheap!!

thanks,
rb

"CommCtr1" <pottsladd13@nospam.yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:aB9Qd.213017$w62.543@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Keynotes are sold!!
>
> thnx
>
>
>

958. Re: For Sale: Mobile / Cell Phone Jammer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-14 23:47:26 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <RRaQd.1697$dU5.438@fe07.lga>
Source record: 14818
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.radio.amateur

You want some rubbish...

Pictures are inanimate objects... a "picture house" would be something that shows still images (Slide shows anyone?)... I think it would be VERY exciting watching a still image, don't you? I'll stick with my "movies," thanks... You have fun with your "pictures"...

Oh, BTW, I'm reply from one of those "YANK" news groups. If you don't want to see my postings, then keep YOUR RUBBISH to your UK groups and stop cross-posting!

Main Entry: 1pic&#65533;ture
Pronunciation: 'pik-ch&r
Function: noun
Etymology: Middle English, from Latin pictura, from pictus, past participle of pingere to paint -- more at PAINT
1 : a design or representation made by various means (as painting, drawing, or photography)

"Jock." <real_class_ass@yahoo.co.yuck>, an idiodic bottom feeder (poster) wrote in message news:rkc211d7m006t441nepl644v5n8n9klbuq@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 14 Feb 2005 17:09:21 -0600, "PowerHouse Communications"
> <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>, a cretinous top-poster, wrote:
>
> >Perhaps, however, it is illegal in the states as well... Too bad... Would
> >be a nice thing to have in places like movie theaters, etc...
>
> We don't have 'movie theatres' here, we have picture houses and we have quite
> enough Yank rubbish already, thank you.
>
>

959. Re: For Sale: Mobile / Cell Phone Jammer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-14 23:53:34 UTC
From: John Dundas <j.m.dundas@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <curdlu$g56$1@titan.btinternet.com>
Source record: 14819
Cross-posted to: free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.radio.amateur

"PromaBoss" <Promaboss1@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
news:lbaQd.741$_Z.74@newsfe5-gui.ntli.net...
> its highly illegal in UK and psoting on groups like this that are watched
> by OFCOM could cause you problems
>
> but as someone who only uses mobile from time to time,mmostly have it
> switched of becasue i too hate phones keep ringong i would love to but
> jamming is not on
>
> what if some people need there phone for 999 work and surveillance/or 24
> hr call out doctors and so on
>
> regards,Paul

Yes it is illegal in the UK to use these devices but companies in the UK make them and there are plenty of companies selling them. Here is one company and the legal note they have put on their site:

Note for UK customers: Cell phone jamming equipment is illegal to use in the UK as it violates sections 1 & 13 of the 1949 telegraphy act, we are therefore unable to supply cell phone jammers to any UK customer with the exception of certain military and government departments who have the necessary authorisation from the UK Office of Communications (Ofcom). Please note that no exceptions can be made on this policy.

http://www.globalgadgetuk.com/cell-phone-jammers.htm

Also a news story about people using the jammers in the UK

http://news.zdnet.co.uk/communications/wireless/0,39020348,39116039,00.htm

The globalgadetuk shop certainly is worth a look so you can see what people are actually selling and what they will do.

regards John

960. Re: For Sale: Mobile / Cell Phone Jammer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-15 00:53:02 UTC
From: whoever <whoever@wherever>
Message-ID: <-oydnWSrsLJ42ozfRVn-1A@centurytel.net>
Source record: 14820
Cross-posted to: free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.radio.amateur

Hey Jock
How can you tell the difference between an oral thermometer and a rectal
thermometer

Jock. wrote:
On 14 Feb 2005 11:47:51 -0800, rehan.yasin@gmail.com wrote:
>
snip

Silly Warning Label No 1.
"Once used rectally, the thermometer should not
be used orally."
>

961. FA: Uniden Bearcat BC 800XLT scanner <Cell phone Unblocked

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-15 00:59:43 UTC
From: Neil Tesher <neiltt@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <6TbQd.21686$yK6.16567@fe09.lga>
Source record: 14821

Uniden Bearcat 800 XLT Scanner

Receives Cell Phone Frequencys

All Antennas, power cord and manual included
One of the best scanners made by Uniden, extremely versatile and their
final model before the ban on receiving cell phone frequencies was created.
This one is in great shape and has barely a scratch on it.
Complete with antenna, manual and power cord.
Don't miss your chance to get a gorgeous and high quality scanner for
hearing whatever you want! Stay informed with this Uniden Bearcat 800XLT
Air/Police 800 MHz scanning radio

USA 48 contiguous states only

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5751064204&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

962. Re: WinScanRS - pozilla.jpg (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-15 02:03:44 UTC
From: NWTSCL <goodbye@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <j3m2111evj30vk3d1g291kd3f3pgh2dkbl@4ax.com>
Source record: 14822

Many thanks for the effort!

On Mon, 14 Feb 2005 17:05:54 -0600, "PowerHouse Communications"
<PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote:

>
>"NWTSCL" <goodbye@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:hf6s01t91erkfip56g4av48jq4blum6kac@4ax.com...
>
>>
>> Let's see. Their website is www.pozillasoft.com. Well, it used to be.
>> They've now moved to www.pozillatech.com/ and have changed their name
>> to Pozilla Technologies Corp. Why the change? Of course, they do not
>> offer a physical address or phone number; to contact them, you must
>> fill in their silly form. Their new IP 206.80.215.245, which is
>> registered to U S WEST Internet Services out of Denver, CO. This is
>> likely a dead end. If you can offer up valid contact info, please do.
>> I'm sure many people would like to know. I'm guessing that Pozilla
>> isn't in AZ anymore; they packed up and ran.
>>
>
>Well, if you use the USWest WHOIS search, you get this. Pretty easy to
>find...
>---------------
> pozillatech.com
>
> Similar Names - See suggested alternatives for this domain
> The data contained in Go Daddy Software, Inc.'s WhoIs
>database,
>while believed by the company to be reliable, is provided "as is"
>with no guarantee or warranties regarding its accuracy. This
>information is provided for the sole purpose of assisting you
>in obtaining information about domain name registration records.
>Any use of this data for any other purpose is expressly forbidden without
>the prior written
>permission of Go Daddy Software, Inc. By submitting an inquiry,
>you agree to these terms of usage and limitations of warranty. In
>particular,
>you agree not to use this data to allow, enable, or otherwise make possible,
>dissemination or collection of this data, in part or in its entirety, for
>any
>purpose, such as the transmission of unsolicited advertising and
>and solicitations of any kind, including spam. You further agree
>not to use this data to enable high volume, automated or robotic electronic
>processes designed to collect or compile this data for any purpose,

>including mining this data for your own personal or commercial purposes.
>
>Please note: the registrant of the domain name is specified
>in the "registrant" field. In most cases, Go Daddy Software, Inc.
>is not the registrant of domain names listed in this database.
>
>
>Registrant:
> Pozilla Technologies
> 12222 Paradise Village
> Parkway South #331A
> Phoenix, Arizona 85032
> United States
>
> Registered through: GoDaddy.com
> Domain Name: POZILLATECH.COM
> Created on: 01-Nov-04
> Expires on: 01-Nov-06
> Last Updated on: 01-Nov-04
>
> Administrative Contact:
> Goldman, Adam ajgoldman@cox.net
> Pozilla Technologies
> 12222 Paradise Village
> Parkway South #331A
> Phoenix, Arizona 85032
> United States
> 6027576559 Fax --
> Technical Contact:
> Goldman, Adam ajgoldman@cox.net
> Pozilla Technologies
> 12222 Paradise Village
> Parkway South #331A
> Phoenix, Arizona 85032
> United States
> 6027576559 Fax --
>
> Domain servers in listed order:
> PARK11.SECURESERVER.NET
> PARK12.SECURESERVER.NET
>
> The previous information has been obtained either directly
>from the registrant or a registrar of the domain name other than Network
>Solutions. Network Solutions, therefore, does not guarantee its accuracy or
>completeness.
>
> Show underlying registry data for this record
>
>
>

> Current Registrar: GO DADDY SOFTWARE, INC.
> IP Address: 206.80.215.245 (ARIN & RIPE IP search)
> IP Location: US(UNITED STATES)
> Lock Status: REGISTRAR-LOCK
> DMOZ no listings
> Y! Directory: see listings
> Data as of: 08-Jun-2004
>
>
>----------------
>Clicking on "Show underlying registry data for this record" gives you this:
>----------------
>
>pozillatech.com
>
>
>Whois Server Version 1.3
>
>Domain names in the .com and .net domains can now be registered
>with many different competing registrars. Go to http://www.internic.net
>for detailed information.
>
> Domain Name: POZILLATECH.COM
> Registrar: GO DADDY SOFTWARE, INC.
> Whois Server: whois.godaddy.com
> Referral URL: http://registrar.godaddy.com
> Name Server: PARK11.SECURESERVER.NET
> Name Server: PARK12.SECURESERVER.NET
> Status: REGISTRAR-LOCK
> Updated Date: 01-nov-2004
> Creation Date: 01-nov-2004
> Expiration Date: 01-nov-2006
>
>
>>>> Last update of whois database: Mon, 14 Feb 2005 07:52:55 EST <<<
>----------------
>Finally, the GODADDY WHOIS gives you this:
>----------------
>Registrant:
> Pozilla Technologies
>
> 12222 Paradise Village
> Parkway South #331A
> Phoenix, Arizona 85032
> United States
>
> Registered through: GoDaddy.com (http://www.godaddy.com)
> Domain Name: POZILLATECH.COM
> Created on: 01-Nov-04
> Expires on: 01-Nov-06

> Last Updated on: 01-Nov-04
>
> Administrative Contact:
> Goldman, Adam ajgoldman@cox.net
> Pozilla Technologies
> 12222 Paradise Village
> Parkway South #331A
> Phoenix, Arizona 85032
> United States
> 6027576559
> Technical Contact:
> Goldman, Adam ajgoldman@cox.net
> Pozilla Technologies
> 12222 Paradise Village
> Parkway South #331A
> Phoenix, Arizona 85032
> United States
> 6027576559
>
> Domain servers in listed order:
> PARK11.SECURESERVER.NET
> PARK12.SECURESERVER.NET
> This domain is NOT Available
>
>Report Invalid Whois
>
>----------------------
>If you notice above, there is link to click on to report an invalid WHOIS.
>If you truly believe that the WHOIS information is in fact invalid, then why
>not click it and report them... You had better have some proof to back up
>your claims however... If you are REALLY looking to stick it to them, this
>would be your best bet...
>
>LINK for reporting (in case the above isn't clickable):
><https://www.godaddy.com/gdshop/registrar/whois_report_invalid.asp?isc=&se=%
>2B&from_app=&mscssid=&pl_id=1&prog_id=GoDaddy&domain=POZILLATECH%2ECOM>
>
>I'm sure there will be some form of word-wrap here, you may have to copy and
>paste...
>

963. Re: antenna contact stuck inside

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-15 02:26:56 UTC
From: B L R <fake_address@noemail.com>
Message-ID: <Q5dQd.73222$JF2.73120@tornado.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 14823

```
"Jim" <junk@junk.com> wrote in message
news:bc2Qd.25924$XY5.19008@fe2.columbus.rr.com...
>
> "TomCat4680" <tomcatgbmi@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1108378339.585793.68120@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> my antenna contact broke off in my pro 96 and its stuck inside the
>> antenna hole. i cant get it out, its in pretty deep. any ideas how to
>> get it out without spending any money or sending it somewhere?
>>
>> Tom
>>
>
> Vacuum Cleaner?
>
>

strong Magnets?

Broken microwave ovens:
remove the strong natural magnets inside.
Note: carefully discharge the large capacitor, I've heard
that it has enough voltage to kill!]
```

964. Patrick AFB Area Log - Mon, 14 Feb 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-15 06:03:05 UTC
From: AllanStern@aol.com
Message-ID: <9e.201fd7b9.2f42ea99@aol.com>
Source record: 14825

Patrick AFB Area Log - Mon, 14 Feb 2005

BRONCO 01: OV-10D, US State Dept Intl Narcotics Mission, Patrick AFB.
9:15am: Departs Patrick for local training mission.
[133.75]

JOSA 657
10:19am: Area transition.
[119.825]

BOLT 11: KC-135R, MacDill AFB 6AMW
10:39am: Area transition.
10:50am: Inbound MacDill; Write-up: #2 Engine starter valve failed to cut out during start. Coming home early because receiver acft left the track early.
[135.075, 119.825, 133.475, 127.2]

JOSA 224: C-21A #84-0074
10:49am: Inbound MacDill with four DVs; rqsts 3500# fuel. [372.2]
NOTE: DVs might not be welcomed at the red carpet, and fuel may not be ready for JOSA 224 at MacDill because he was passing this information to Patrick PTD instead of MacDill PTD (same freq), and neither side of the conversation realized it.

LIMA LIMA 56: P-3C, Jacksonville NAS VP-30 "Pro's Nest"
10:59am: Touch-and-goes at Patrick.
1:40pm: RTB NAS Jax.
[132.65, 269.375, 134.0]

NASA 913: T-38N #65-10355, NASA-Johnson Space Ctr, Houston.
11:11am: Cleared to NAS Pensacola.
[128.55]
NOTE: For the past two weeks, NASA T-38s have been cleared to Pensacola as a fueling point, instead of to Gulfport, en route to Houston.

N500EH: Bell 212 #30945, US State Dept Intl Narcotics Mission, Patrick AFB.
11:58am: Departs Patrick AFB to Ft Lauderdale Exec.
[124.35, 133.75]

AIR TRANSPORT 300: DC-8, Air Transport Intl Little Rock (USAF Contractor).
12:15pm: Departs Patrick to Antigua.
[133.75, 132.65, 119.825, 135.075, 134.8 Mia Ctr @ Nassau]

DIAMOND 07: S-3B, NAS Jacksonville VS-30 "Diamond Cutters"
12:20pm: In offshore Whiskey Area.
[267.5 Sealord]

VADER 06: C-130H, Youngstown-Warren RAP OH-ANG 910AG.
12:30pm: Departs Patrick after RON for landing gear repairs.
12:40pm: Lands Rnwy 23 at Avon Park Bombing Range.
[133.75, 132.65, 373.2, 292.2]

N4667B: CE-208B #208B0163, US State Dept, Patrick AFB
12:55pm: Lands Patrick. Passed TSA #22749 to Daytona Approach to be
allowed into Patrick. ATC did not realize N4667B is Patrick-based.
[132.65, 133.75]

LIMA FOXTROT 07: P-3C, Jacksonville NAS VP-16 "War Eagles"
12:57pm: In Whiskey Area, with Lima Lima 27.
[267.5]

LIMA LIMA 67: P-3C, Jacksonville NAS VP-30 "Pro's Nest"
1:12pm: In Whiskey Area.
[267.5]

REACH 0466: C-5A #70-0466, Kelly Field Annex 433AW 68AS
1:25pm: Departs to Stewart ANGB, NY.
[383.0, 372.2, 344.6, 133.75, 132.65, 134.0]
Patrick TG 36512 re loading acft, weapons, etc.
Photos: 062MVC-361, 362.

Dog 01: F-14B, Oceana NAS VF-143
2:45pm; Working at Avon Park Bombing Range, Placid MOA.
[292.2]

DARKSTAR: E-3 AWACS Mission Crew.
3:48pm: Working with MAKOs (Homestead F-16s) in W-465, Tarpon Range, near
NAS Key West; ACM.
[323.1, 270.6]

N21NG: LJ-35A #343, Northrop Grumman JSTARS Facil, Melbourne FL
4:00pm (approx): Departs KMLB.
[118.2, 132.65, 124.8]

BOLT 12: KC-135R, MacDill AFB 6AMW
4:20pm: Inbound MacDill; heading seek button broken.
[311.0]

DOS 671: UH-1H 73-21671, US State Dept Intl Narcotics Mission, Patrick AFB.
8:05pm; Lands Patrick.
[133.75]

JOSA 658: C-21A #84-0100
8:36pm: Inbound MacDill with DV Code A-4 plus 1 and 1 Space A pax.
[311.0]

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL (28-11N 80-36W) monitoring
Patrick AFB (KCOF) NASA-KSC Shuttle Landing Fac (KX68)
Avon Park Bombing Range (KAGR) Cape Canaveral AFS (KXMR)
JSTARS E-8 Acft Integration Facility, Melbourne IAP (KMLB)
Worldwide Military HF Communications
Life Member: Missile, Space and Range Pioneers.

http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/index.html (My Freqs)
http://hometown.aol.com/scanaddict/index.html (My Equipment)

965. Re: For Sale: Mobile / Cell Phone Jammer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-15 07:25:14 UTC
From: Melv <melvyn.rattenburynojunk@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <uthQd.61$dv2.52@newsfe5-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 14824
Cross-posted to: free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.radio.amateur

Yes they do!!
"mikeFNB" <moc.dlrowltn@FNB7G-ekim> wrote in message
news:4X9Qd.710$_Z.230@newsfe5-gui.ntli.net...
> neither do they work on most of the uk systems.
> it's a stateside product.
>
> mike
>
> "RADIOTWO" <g6yrk@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:37cdo0F58olooU1@individual.net...
> > These are illegal in the UK
> >
> >
> > <rehan.yasin@gmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:1108410471.737019.10450@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > Hiya all (hope this isn't annoying, I haven't done it before),
> >
> > I have a BRAND NEW Mobile phone jammer for sale. This is a fixed jammer
> > which is capable of paralyzing phone signals within 20 meters.
> >
> > The price of the jammer is £139.99 (plus postage charges depending on
> > where you live).
> >
> > The jammer itself can be mounted upon a wall, or ceiling, or table,
> > wherever is necessary.
> >
> >
> > ---
> > Technical Info:
> >
> > AC input 100V ~ 240V AC
> > DC output 12V, 1000mA
> > Power output (Avg.) 23dB, 200mW per band (600mW Output)
> > Total Power Consumption 2W
> > Effective blocking area up to 20 metre diametre of jamming
> > Effective cellular system AMPS, CDMA, TDMA, GSM, PCS, DCS, iDEN, Nextel
> > Dimensions 100mm(W) * 100mm(L) * 30mm(H)
> > Weight 300g including power supply
> > ---
> >
> >
> > Please either e-mail me for info and pics, or alternatively reply to

> > this thread.
> >
> >
> > Thanks all!! :-)
> >
> >
>
>

966. Re: For Sale: Mobile / Cell Phone Jammer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-15 07:59:51 UTC
From: "Airy R.Bean" <Me@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <37dom8F5b300gU5@individual.net>
Source record: 14827
Cross-posted to: free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.radio.amateur

An "oral thermometer" measures the equivalent "noise temperature" of
the tirades that spring forth from the mouths on each of the two faces
of Tony B Liar, whereas a "rectal thermometer" measures the temperature
of faecal gases.

Hmmmmmmmm.....I see the reason for your puzzlement.

"whoever" <whoever@wherever> wrote in message
news:-oydnWSrsLJ42ozfRVn-1A@centurytel.net...
> How can you tell the difference between an oral thermometer and a rectal
> thermometer

967. Re: For Sale: Mobile / Cell Phone Jammer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-15 08:03:18 UTC
From: Brian4144 <brian4144@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <a1iQd.1233$he.959@newsfe5-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 14826
Cross-posted to: free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.radio.amateur

"whoever" <whoever@wherever> wrote in message
news:-oydnWSrsLJ42ozfRVn-1A@centurytel.net...
> Hey Jock
> How can you tell the difference between an oral thermometer and a rectal
> thermometer
>
One stops you talking, the other stops you ********

Nobodyimportant

968. Re: antenna contact stuck inside

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-15 09:59:47 UTC
From: TomCat4680 <tomcatgbmi@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1108461587.829093.263080@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14828

ive tried all day and ive given up on getting it out. does Radio Shack sell new sockets for handhelds? if so will they install them in the store?

969. Re: For Sale: Mobile / Cell Phone Jammer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-15 11:21:02 UTC
From: Brian Morrison <scrapspam@fenrir.org.uk>
Message-ID: <cvidnaej-seDRozfRVnyuQ@eclipse.net.uk>
Source record: 14829
Cross-posted to: free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.radio.amateur

whoever wrote:
> Hey Jock
> How can you tell the difference between an oral thermometer and a rectal
> thermometer

The ones designed for rectal use have a rounder bulb so that they are
retained by the natural tendency for the anal sphincter to tense when
something is inserted in it. A small amount of white petroleum jelly is
used to ease the insertion.

Not sure if such things are used these days, lots of places use the
in-ear pyrometer type beasts.

--

Brian Morrison

please observe reply-to address

970. Re: antenna contact stuck inside

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-15 13:35:59 UTC
From: Jim <junk@junk.com>
Message-ID: <3VmQd.28995$XY5.27712@fe2.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 14830

"TomCat4680" <tomcatgbmi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1108461587.829093.263080@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> ive tried all day and ive given up on getting it out. does Radio Shack
> sell new sockets for handhelds? if so will they install them in the
> store?
>

One more thing, the connector will be open from the inside. You might be
able to push it out with a sewing needle.

971. Re: For Sale: Mobile / Cell Phone Jammer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-15 13:45:09 UTC
From: "Jock." <real_class_a@yahoo.co.uk>
Message-ID: <p6v311pfqp64pia49f9834joheme6l7dea@4ax.com>
Source record: 14831
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.radio.amateur

On Mon, 14 Feb 2005 17:47:26 -0600, "PowerHouse Communications"
<PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote:

>You want some rubbish...
>
>Pictures are inanimate objects... a "picture house" would be something that
>shows still images (Slide shows anyone?)... I think it would be VERY
>exciting watching a still image, don't you? I'll stick with my "movies,"
>thanks... You have fun with your "pictures"...
>
>Oh, BTW, I'm reply from one of those "YANK" news groups. If you don't want
>to see my postings, then keep YOUR RUBBISH to your UK groups and stop
>cross-posting!

Piss off!

73 de Jock.

--
Silly Warning Label No 1.
"Once used rectally, the thermometer should not
be used orally."

972. Re: For Sale: Mobile / Cell Phone Jammer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-15 13:46:28 UTC
From: "Jock." <real_class_a@yahoo.co.uk>
Message-ID: <v8v311l4rivfarsc16r6ttajbiijvn8u1e@4ax.com>
Source record: 14832
Cross-posted to: free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.radio.amateur

On Mon, 14 Feb 2005 19:53:02 -0500, whoever <whoever@wherever> wrote:

>Hey Jock
>How can you tell the difference between an oral thermometer and a rectal
>thermometer
>
>Jock. wrote:
> On 14 Feb 2005 11:47:51 -0800, rehan.yasin@gmail.com wrote:
> >

By the taste? By the smell?

73 de Jock.

--
Silly Warning Label No 1.
"Once used rectally, the thermometer should not
be used orally."

973. phoenix area info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-15 14:52:53 UTC
From: wf3h@ptd.net
Message-ID: <42120c9a.72655647@usenet.ptd.net>
Source record: 14833

I'll be relocating to the Phoenix/Chandler/Apache Junction area. Good freqs? What's happening in the area?

Thanks all

Bob/WF3H
---
to see who "wf3h" is, go to "qrz.com"
and enter 'wf3h' in the field

974. Re: For Sale: Mobile / Cell Phone Jammer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-15 15:45:07 UTC
From: whoever <whoever@wherever>
Message-ID: <se6dnX9wRd1IhY_fRVn-iw@centurytel.net>
Source record: 14834
Cross-posted to: free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.radio.amateur

Jock. wrote:
> On Mon, 14 Feb 2005 19:53:02 -0500, whoever <whoever@wherever> wrote:
>
>
>>Hey Jock
>>How can you tell the difference between an oral thermometer and a rectal
>>thermometer
>>
>>Jock. wrote:
>> On 14 Feb 2005 11:47:51 -0800, rehan.yasin@gmail.com wrote:
>>
>
> By the taste? By the smell?
>
>
> 73 de Jock.
>
If you say so! :)

975. Re: FS: BC780XLT, Uniden HR2510, Pro350XL, Keynote pagers.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-15 16:51:25 UTC
From: Pat Stevens <patstevenson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <hMpQd.5236$WF7.4038@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 14835
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Hamguy" <hamguy@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:eqzPd.5536$vK5.1872@twister.nyroc.rr.com...
> With the proper license, this radio is perfectly LEGAL for a ham to own
and
> operate on 10-meters. Additionally, the ham might want to MONITOR
11-meters
> as well. My Kenwood gets 11-meters too, but that doesn't mean that I use
it
> there. Any semi-intelligent ham would know that the 2510 is not
> type-accepted for 11-meter TRANSMIT anyway.
>
However, most of us with a General or better class license are not going
to waste our time OR money on something like this. The FCC realizes this
and that is why they have started shutting down a lot of the distributors of
radios that are attempting to be sold as amateur, even though they are for
the CB community.
By the way, I didn't forward the original post to the FCC, but rather to
his ISP for cross-posting to a newsgroup that didn't match the post.

976. Daytona 500 scanner streaming?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-15 16:53:55 UTC
From: greatfall <greatfall@operamail.com>
Message-ID: <1108486435.842790.218390@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14836

Does anyone know of a audio scanner stream from the Daytona 500 this year? I know that a guy near Daytona used to put this on the internet every year, but I can't find any current info on any webcasts.

Any info would be appreciated.

Thanks

'The GREAT One'

977. Re: FS: BC780XLT, Uniden HR2510, Pro350XL, Keynote pagers.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-15 17:04:02 UTC
From: Scan Da Bands <vzeip5vc@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <mqa411dj602f9n9s5gdifovqhbvgv9mdoe@4ax.com>
Source record: 14837
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Hey allright - SUPER NARC .... LOL ----- GRIN GRIN

On Tue, 15 Feb 2005 16:51:25 GMT, "Pat Stevens"
<patstevenson@yahoo.com> wrote:

> By the way, I didn't forward the original post to the FCC, but rather to
>his ISP for cross-posting to a newsgroup that didn't match the post.
>

978. Re: For Sale: Mobile / Cell Phone Jammer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-15 18:18:31 UTC
From: Brian Morrison <scrapspam@fenrir.org.uk>
Message-ID: <x4SdncPQD9FnoY_fRVnyhg@eclipse.net.uk>
Source record: 14838
Cross-posted to: free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.radio.amateur

Walt Davidson wrote:
> On Tue, 15 Feb 2005 11:21:02 +0000, Brian Morrison
> <scrapspam@fenrir.org.uk> wrote:
>
>
>>The ones designed for rectal use have a rounder bulb so that they are
>>retained by the natural tendency for the anal sphincter to tense when
>>something is inserted in it. A small amount of white petroleum jelly is
>>used to ease the insertion.
>
>
> My cat is scowling .... no doubt recollecting his last visit to the
> vet.

I was referring to the sort used on us humans, I don't remember how the
thermometers the vet used on our ex-cat were shaped. But I remember the
cat being pretty unhappy when taken there.

>
>
>>Not sure if such things are used these days, lots of places use the
>>in-ear pyrometer type beasts.
>
>
> No-one puts a beast into my ear!

You know what I meant....

--

Brian Morrison

please observe reply-to address

979. Re: For Sale: Mobile / Cell Phone Jammer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-15 19:02:46 UTC
From: Bill <Bill@birchnet.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <FxWUNEJjdkECFwxO@birchnet.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 14839
Cross-posted to: free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.radio.amateur

In message <cvidnaej-seDRozfRVnyuQ@eclipse.net.uk>, Brian Morrison
<scrapspam@fenrir.org.uk> writes
>whoever wrote:
>> Hey Jock
>> How can you tell the difference between an oral thermometer and a
>>rectal thermometer
>
>The ones designed for rectal use have a rounder bulb so that they are
>retained by the natural tendency for the anal sphincter to tense when
>something is inserted in it.
I never realised you were such an expert Brian.

> A small amount of white petroleum jelly is used to ease the insertion.
I never realised you were such an expert Brian.

>
>Not sure if such things are used these days, lots of places use the
>in-ear pyrometer type beasts.
>

--
Bill

980. Re: For Sale: Mobile / Cell Phone Jammer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-15 19:19:35 UTC
From: Brian Morrison <scrapspam@fenrir.org.uk>
Message-ID: <WtSdnUBmmc7V1o_fRVnyiw@eclipse.net.uk>
Source record: 14840
Cross-posted to: free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.radio.amateur

Bill wrote:
> In message <cvidnaej-seDRozfRVnyuQ@eclipse.net.uk>, Brian Morrison
> <scrapspam@fenrir.org.uk> writes
>
>>whoever wrote:
>>
>>>Hey Jock
>>>How can you tell the difference between an oral thermometer and a
>>>rectal thermometer
>>
>>The ones designed for rectal use have a rounder bulb so that they are
>>retained by the natural tendency for the anal sphincter to tense when
>>something is inserted in it.
>
> I never realised you were such an expert Brian.
>
>
>>A small amount of white petroleum jelly is used to ease the insertion.
>
> I never realised you were such an expert Brian.

Well I didn't think it was fair to leave everyone without the benefit of
my specialist knowledge ;-)

I forget where I learned this, I can say that it wasn't from personal
experience (at least not within my reliable memory).

--

Brian Morrison

please observe reply-to address

981. Re: Uniden BC296D handling caution

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-15 19:58:24 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <AvsQd.947$kU3.83@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 14841

<wholebean@ionmail.com> wrote in message
news:1108495302.994047.145020@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Thanks but hardly unexpected -- the problem is we forget that they're
> ALL asian trash.
>
> For instance, I bought a brand new AOR MK3 and treat it with kit
> gloves, and still, I had to send the damned thing in for factory repair
> less than 3 weeks into ownership, because I changed the batteries.
>
> That's correct ... I didn't drop it or mishandle it in any way ... I
> simply took the old batteries out of the compartment and put new
> batteries in, and this marvel of technology ceased to function without
> external power.
>
> Of course, I had to foot the Fedex bill too, and the radio works fine,
> but now I break-out into a cold sweat every time I change the
> batteries.
>
> Yup, that's what we get for buying today's best!
>
>
>
>

LOL, your talking about something that is mass manufactured. They make how many hundreds of thousands of these? How many get used and abused for years, and end up at garage sales or Ebay years later still working? With ANY mass manufactured item there is going to be a few bad ones get out. That's the way it is in the real world. Of course when you get a bad one that does not make you feel any better about the 1000's of them who never have problems. If they hand made stuff like scanners, or PC's or anything else that is so damn cheap these days, you could of course get reliability up a little, but if the price was 20 times what they are now how many do you think they would sell?
This is why I NEVER buy "Factory serviced" anything. I have had a few friends who did this all the time. There are several catalogs that seem to specialize in the "stuff" that ends up back at the factory for service and is then sold. I have never seen anyone who bought a lot of this stuff who did not regularly get a LOT of problems.
I have a RS Pro 79 that was used and carried so many years you can't even read the #'s on the pad any more. It was used in the rain, has been dropped a few times, yet still works fine. The Police here went completely 800 trunked now so it has been relegated to the "junk drawer". The Pro-95 that replaced it gets the same use, I "try" to take care of it, but it gets used

on 12 hour shifts. If it ever dies, I will toss it and buy another.
I have always found that if something is "defective" it's going to show up right away. Years ago the first MP3 player I bought stopped working right after I bought it. I just went back to Wally world, bought another one, it worked fine, I put the other one back in the package, took it back, told them "this does not work, I already bought another", they refunded my money. Now that one, which is "cheap Asian junk" is working fine a couple years later. Works so well the wife and both kids got the same one and love them. You get a bad, you just have to get over it. Now if you bought it off Ebay or such you may have a lot of hassle. That's the chance you take buying from some place like that.
--
A conclusion is the place where you got tired of thinking.

982. Re: FS: BC780XLT, Uniden HR2510, Pro350XL, Keynote pagers.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-15 20:05:15 UTC
From: WA4ODQ <WA4ODQ@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <421255fb@x-privat.org>
Source record: 14842
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"dontspam" <dontspam@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:gvnv01l4d5jteqnj8p1o0gn2oc6k5qhbuq@4ax.com...
> OK. I will lighten up.
>
NOT ME! I NET-COP'D HIM TO HIS ISP FOR CROSSPOSTING!!!!!

I'M EXTREMELY ANAL ABOUT SUCH THINGS...PLUS I FIGURED THEY NEEDED A GOOD
LAUGH!!!

983. Re: BC780XLT, Uniden HR2510, Pro350XL, Keynote pagers.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-15 20:14:40 UTC
From: WA4ODQ <WA4ODQ@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <42125830@x-privat.org>
Source record: 14843
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Can I use the 2510 for a CB station? I need to get some smokey bear reports
10-4.
>
"CommCtr1" <pottsladd13@nospam.yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:hGuPd.32027$Th1.10418@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Uniden Bearcat BC780XLT mobile/base Trunk TrackerIII scanner. This is
> complete with box, manual, power supply, and mounting bracket.
> $215.00 plus shipping.
>
> Uniden President HR2510 10/11 meter all mode mobile radio. Complete with
> box, power cable, mic and mounting bracket. $165.00 plus shipping.
>
> 7 Keynote pagers VHF and one model#D06AHC4411, not sure of exact model,
> but also VHF.
> All the pagers turn on and were taken of service about 2 yrs ago.
> These are
> all used but do turn on and activate.
> They are on 152.720 and I believe 158.100 is what's marked on the
> pager.
> 7- Keynotes A03CJB2568AA
> 1-D06AHC4411
> The first $75.00 plus shipping takes them all.
>
> Uniden Pro350XL 40 channel CB with scan, channel 9/19 selector,1-4 watt
> selectable, and weather service receive,(WX). Comes with manual. $35.00
> plus shipping
>
> All items are Cont. US only. USPS money orders only.
>
> pottsladd13@yahoo.com
>
>
>

984. Re: For Sale: Mobile / Cell Phone Jammer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-15 20:42:29 UTC
From: rehan.yasin@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1108500149.622066.213800@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14844
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.radio.amateur

Who said "movie theatre"? I said theatre, and yes, you do have theatres
there!

Jock. wrote:
> On Mon, 14 Feb 2005 17:09:21 -0600, "PowerHouse Communications"
> <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>, a cretinous top-poster, wrote:
>
> >"mikeFNB" <moc.dlrowltn@FNB7G-ekim> wrote in message
> >news:4X9Qd.710$_Z.230@newsfe5-gui.ntli.net...
> >> neither do they work on most of the uk systems.
> >> it's a stateside product.
> >>
> >> mike
> >>
> >> "RADIOTWO" <g6yrk@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:37cdo0F58olooU1@individual.net...
> >> > These are illegal in the UK
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > <rehan.yasin@gmail.com> wrote in message
> >> > news:1108410471.737019.10450@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >> > Hiya all (hope this isn't annoying, I haven't done it before),
> >> >
> >> > I have a BRAND NEW Mobile phone jammer for sale. This is a fixed
jammer
> >> > which is capable of paralyzing phone signals within 20 meters.
> >> >
> >> > The price of the jammer is £139.99 (plus postage charges
depending on
> >> > where you live).
> >> >
> >> > The jammer itself can be mounted upon a wall, or ceiling, or
table,
> >> > wherever is necessary.
>
> >Perhaps, however, it is illegal in the states as well... Too bad...
Would
> >be a nice thing to have in places like movie theaters, etc...
>
> We don't have 'movie theatres' here, we have picture houses and we
have quite
> enough Yank rubbish already, thank you.
>

>
> 73 de Jock.
>
> --
> Silly Warning Label No 1.
> "Once used rectally, the thermometer should not
> be used orally."

985. Re: FA - Icom 7100 - 1/2 hour left

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-15 20:56:12 UTC
From: John Schneider <thecop@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <SotQd.21831$%A2.12773@fe09.lga>
Source record: 14846

Hey ass-wipe:
1- Real men use real emaill addresses
2- Now you speak for the whole group and determine what they need?
3- Hourly updates? What are you doin'...readin the same post over and over?
4- Don't like the picure, either request a better one or close your "Ding Fries are Done" eyes

John

"Jesse" <1@2.com> wrote in message
news:Xns95FDB9560345Aewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130...
> "John Schneider" <thecop@optonline.net> wrote in
> news:tP7Qd.14408$%k5.11056@fe12.lga:
>
>> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5750209396&ssPage
>> Name=STRK:MESE:IT
>
> Do we really need hourly updates to your fuzzy pictured auction ?
> This is your 4th time, and you seem kinda pushy and desperate to sell it.
>
>
>

986. Re: For Sale: Mobile / Cell Phone Jammer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-15 21:08:50 UTC
From: real-radio-ham <real_radio_ham@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <42125f5f$1_3@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com>
Source record: 14845
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.radio.amateur

And a few drama queens...

RRH
<rehan.yasin@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1108500149.622066.213800@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
Who said "movie theatre"? I said theatre, and yes, you do have theatres
there!

Jock. wrote:
> On Mon, 14 Feb 2005 17:09:21 -0600, "PowerHouse Communications"
> <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>, a cretinous top-poster, wrote:
>
> >"mikeFNB" <moc.dlrowltn@FNB7G-ekim> wrote in message
> >news:4X9Qd.710$_Z.230@newsfe5-gui.ntli.net...
> >> neither do they work on most of the uk systems.
> >> it's a stateside product.
> >>
> >> mike
> >>
> >> "RADIOTWO" <g6yrk@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:37cdo0F58olooU1@individual.net...
> >> > These are illegal in the UK
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > <rehan.yasin@gmail.com> wrote in message
> >> > news:1108410471.737019.10450@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >> > Hiya all (hope this isn't annoying, I haven't done it before),
> >> >
> >> > I have a BRAND NEW Mobile phone jammer for sale. This is a fixed
jammer
> >> > which is capable of paralyzing phone signals within 20 meters.
> >> >
> >> > The price of the jammer is £139.99 (plus postage charges
depending on
> >> > where you live).
> >> >
> >> > The jammer itself can be mounted upon a wall, or ceiling, or
table,
> >> > wherever is necessary.
> >
> >Perhaps, however, it is illegal in the states as well... Too bad...
Would
> >be a nice thing to have in places like movie theaters, etc...

>
> We don't have 'movie theatres' here, we have picture houses and we
have quite
> enough Yank rubbish already, thank you.
>
>
> 73 de Jock.
>
> --
> Silly Warning Label No 1.
> "Once used rectally, the thermometer should not
> be used orally."

987. CHP monitoring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-15 21:10:14 UTC
From: BWL <bwlease@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <WytQd.323$DC6.10@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 14847

Anyone have any hints on monitoring CHP low band freqs? Antenna recommendations? As of now I can barely hear their transmissions...

988. Uniden Bearcat BC 800XLT scanner: Cell phone Unblocked

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-15 21:16:09 UTC
From: Neil Tesher <neiltt@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <DHtQd.21832$nK2.1243@fe09.lga>
Source record: 14848

Uniden Bearcat 800 XLT Scanner

LESS THAN HOUR LEFT!

Receives Cell Phone Frequencys

All Antennas, power cord and manual included
One of the best scanners made by Uniden, extremely versatile and their
final model before the ban on receiving cell phone frequencies was created.
This one is in great shape and has barely a scratch on it.
Complete with antenna, manual and power cord.
Don't miss your chance to get a gorgeous and high quality scanner for
hearing whatever you want! Stay informed with this Uniden Bearcat 800XLT
Air/Police 800 MHz scanning radio

989. Uniden Bearcat BC 800XLT scanner: Cell phone Unblocked

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-15 21:18:21 UTC
From: Neil Tesher <neiltt@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <GJtQd.21833$jL2.14194@fe09.lga>
Source record: 14849

Uniden Bearcat 800 XLT Scanner

Receives Cell Phone Frequencys

All Antennas, power cord and manual included
One of the best scanners made by Uniden, extremely versatile and their
final model before the ban on receiving cell phone frequencies was created.
This one is in great shape and has barely a scratch on it.
Complete with antenna, manual and power cord.
Don't miss your chance to get a gorgeous and high quality scanner for
hearing whatever you want! Stay informed with this Uniden Bearcat 800XLT
Air/Police 800 MHz scanning radio

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5751064204&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

990. Uniden Bearcat BC 800XLT scanner: Cell phone Unblocked

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-15 21:35:58 UTC
From: Neil Tesher <neiltt@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <c_tQd.21835$ES2.5664@fe09.lga>
Source record: 14650

Uniden Bearcat 800 XLT Scanner

LESS THAN HOUR LEFT!

Receives Cell Phone Frequencys

All Antennas, power cord and manual included
One of the best scanners made by Uniden, extremely versatile and their
final model before the ban on receiving cell phone frequencies was created.
This one is in great shape and has barely a scratch on it.
Complete with antenna, manual and power cord.
Don't miss your chance to get a gorgeous and high quality scanner for
hearing whatever you want! Stay informed with this Uniden Bearcat 800XLT
Air/Police 800 MHz scanning radio

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5751064204&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

991. Re: For Sale: Mobile / Cell Phone Jammer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-15 21:54:58 UTC
From: "Jock." <real_class_a@yahoo.co.uk>
Message-ID: <smr4115fua3e4qga4jjlgok012a9a421tq@4ax.com>
Source record: 14651
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.radio.amateur

On 15 Feb 2005 12:42:29 -0800, rehan.yasin@gmail.com, a top-poster, wrote:

>Jock. wrote:

>> We don't have 'movie theatres' here, we have picture houses and we
>> have quite enough Yank rubbish already, thank you.

>Who said "movie theatre"? I said theatre, and yes, you do have theatres
>there!

Theatres and other things cultural I do not normally associate with
Yankland.

Our 'movies' places are either 'pictures-houses' or 'cinemas', more
likely the latter nowadays.

73 de Jock.

--
Silly Warning Label No 1.
"Once used rectally, the thermometer should not
be used orally."

992. radio shack portable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-15 22:28:11 UTC
From: "Jubilation T. Cornpone" <j.ranta@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <r8CdndvPW_Aa64_fRVn-qg@comcast.com>
Source record: 14652

I'm only interested in receiving at home transmissions. How do I get started setting my hand-held scanner?

993. Re: FA - Icom 7100 - 1/2 hour left

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-15 23:47:25 UTC
From: Jesse <1@2.com>
Message-ID: <Xns95FEBF8B7D7EBewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 14653

"John Schneider" <thecop@optonline.net> wrote in
news:SotQd.21831$%A2.12773@fe09.lga:

> Hey ass-wipe:
> 1- Real men use real emaill addresses

But they don't use outlook express, the perfect app for little girls and
clueless newbies. Do you just love spam ? And I don't mean the kind that
comes in a can. Then keep on using your real email address, real man.
You can be the real man with a spam flooded in box.
I'll just sit back and laugh.

> 2- Now you speak for the whole group and determine what they need?
> 3- Hourly updates? What are you doin'...readin the same post over and
> over?

Can you count to 4 ?

> 4- Don't like the picure, either request a better one or close your
> "Ding Fries are Done" eyes
>
> John

Ohh such a friendly ebayer ... And a top posting moron to boot, who
couldn't take an in focus pic to save his life. Hope you use your
proceddings from the auction to invest in something other than a made in
china $75 cheap-o camera.

>
>
>
> "Jesse" <1@2.com> wrote in message
> news:Xns95FDB9560345Aewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130...
>> "John Schneider" <thecop@optonline.net> wrote in
>> news:tP7Qd.14408$%k5.11056@fe12.lga:
>>
>>> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5750209396&ssPa
>>> ge Name=STRK:MESE:IT
>>
>> Do we really need hourly updates to your fuzzy pictured auction ?
>> This is your 4th time, and you seem kinda pushy and desperate to sell
>> it.
>>
>>
>>
>

>
>

994. Re: FA - Icom 7100 - 1/2 hour left

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-16 00:27:25 UTC
From: "news.vif.com" <root@NoReply-AntiSpam.com>
Message-ID: <1108513646.508823@www.vif.com>
Source record: 14654

Your message has been evaluated by our panel of experts.
Here are the results of our tests.

Please get a...
[ ] clue
[ ] grip
[ ] job
[X] life
[X] note from your mom

You should...
[ ] Get your ego boost some other way
[X] Go away
[ ] Have someone who can read review your postings
[ ] Not have "one for the road" next time
[ ] Put up a web site with your name on it to show the world
[ ] Save your postings for later and think if you really want to send
them
[ ] Stay in school
[ ] Take down your web site, it's not very good
[X] Take your medication
[ ] Think about other people's feelings before you post

Suggested other activities
[X] Consider another hobby
[ ] Get your ego boost instead by helping out at a local hospital
[ ] Helping someone else
[ ] Posting something constructive
[ ] Spending some time with your family instead of your magazines

Thank you for your submission.

You have...
[ ] failed, must try harder
[X] failed utterly, without hope of redemption.
[ ] passed
[ ] passed with honors

--
John Medica
System & Network administrator
Listening-Post
Toronto Ontario, Canada

(416)653-0114 (Voicemail)
(416)653-0114 (Fax)

995. Re: For Sale: Mobile / Cell Phone Jammer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-16 01:11:05 UTC
From: String <String@BTInternet.com>
Message-ID: <42129da8$0$21427$ed2e19e4@ptn-nntp-reader04.plus.net>
Source record: 14655
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.radio.amateur

PowerHouse Communications wrote:
> You want some rubbish...
>
> Pictures are inanimate objects... a "picture house" would be something that
> shows still images (Slide shows anyone?)... I think it would be VERY
> exciting watching a still image, don't you? I'll stick with my "movies,"
> thanks... You have fun with your "pictures"...

As you failed to notice this you'll find a film is a series of rapidly
changing *non moving* pictures.

996. Antenna advice - best super gain

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-16 06:05:16 UTC
From: bluecool <stef@stefanbecker.com>
Message-ID: <700080911f16b73b3f2263de9fe2abb6@localhost.talkaboutelectronicequipment.com>
Source record: 14656

Hey,
New to the boards. Cool topics. I live in a city area and want to pick up much more than the rubber duck that came with either of my portables. I want something easy to hook up that will help me get train frequencies and maybe ships at sea (about 4 miles from my house).

I bought a Discone off Ebay, for my roof but it gets less than my rubber duck! Could be the poor coax I used, but still, I lost all the NOAH weather freq.s and I only gained some snowy CB trucker signals on 19.

I'm now looking at the indoor flexable antennas like the C.Crane 15.75" CC Super Gainer.
Anyone know these?
Do they work?

Thanks.

bluecool-

997. Re: radio shack portable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-16 06:07:01 UTC
From: bluecool <stef@stefanbecker.com>
Message-ID: <bf3ea3b9b69570cbc943cfa1bd88d7ad@localhost.talkaboutelectronicequipment.com>
Source record: 14657

Do you have a book or web sources with local frequencies? You need to start loading your RS scanner with frequencies you want to scan for transmissions. This takes the most time. Is this what you are asking?

bluecool

998. Patrick AFB Area Log - Tues, 15 Feb 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-16 07:08:46 UTC
From: AllanStern@aol.com
Message-ID: <105.5ab3ab7f.2f444b7e@aol.com>
Source record: 14658

Patrick AFB Area Log - Tues, 15 Feb 2005

N21NG: LJ-35A #343, Northrop Grumman JSTARS Integration Facility, Melbourne FL
12:12am: Lands Melbourne IAP; comms with Sheriff's Helo (STAR 3) hovering
near airport.
[118.2]

REACH 450
12:27am: Area transition; calls Charleston Metro.
[119.825, 134.85, 344.6]

LIMA LIMA 79: P-3C, Jacksonville NAS VP-30 "Pro's Nest"
9:52am: Touch-and-goes at Patrick.
[133.75]
Photos: 062MVC-363,364.

SHARK 66: C-130, Probably Niagara Falls AFRC 914AW
10:25am: Lands Patrick for repairs.
1:55pm: Prepared to depart, but still has problems; decides to RON and
work Maintenance. Calls DSN 238-6312 (Niagara Falls ARS) to inform.
[133.75, 372.2]

LIMA LIMA 45: P-3C, Jacksonville NAS VP-30 "Pro's Nest"
10:53am: Touch-and-goes at Patrick.
[133.75]

N16: C-90 #LJ-893 Kingair, FAA Oklahoma City OK
11:30am: Lands Space Coast RAP.
[132.65, 118.9]

KING 76: HC-130P #65-0976, Patrick AFB AFSOC 920RQW 39RQS
11:30am: Departs Patrick, returns for pattern work.
[133.75, 132.65]

DOS 502: OV-10D #155505, US State Dept Intl Narcotics Mission, Patrick AFB.
11:45am: Touch-and-goes.
[133.75]

BOLT 21: KC-135R, MacDill AFB 6AMW
12:38pm: Inbound MacDill; hydraulic leak aft of APU accumulator, cargo
area temp controller inop.
[311.0]

LIMA FOXTROT 88: P-3C, Jacksonville NAS VP-16 "War Eagles"
12:55pm: Pattern work at Patrick.
[133.75, 132.65]

JT 956: C-9B, Willow Grove NAS VR-52 "Taskmasters"
1:25pm: Lands Patrick.
2:10pm: Departs to Willow Grove.
[133.75, 132.65, 133.475, 119.825]

YANKEE 60, KC-130T, Flight of 2, Ft Stewart USMC VMGR-452
2:30pm: Lands Patrick AFB; RONs; two crews of 8 each.
[133.75, 383.0, 372.2]

SMASH 01: F-16
2:44pm: Working Avon Park Bombing Range.
[292.2]

BOBCAT 42: T-45C, Meridian NAS TW-1 VT-9
3:08pm: Touch-and-go at Patrick, then low approach at Shuttle Landing Facility, then departs.
[132.65, 133.75, 128.55]

TEAL 66: WC-130, Keesler AFB 403W 53WRS
3:15pm: Area transition; north of Zephyrhills.
[269.25]

REEF 11: F-16C, Homestead JARB AFRC 482FW 93FS "Makos"
3:57pm: Area transition.
[285.5]

JOKER 03
4:04pm: Inbound MacDill.
[269.25, 279.6]

BOLT 24: KC-135R, MacDill AFB 6AMW
4:48pm: Pattern work at Patrick.
6:20pm: Departs to MacDill; Maint Code 3: IFF transponder inop; other problems.
[133.75, 132.65, 311.0]

TBOLT 21: F/A-18, MCAS Beaufort VMFA-251 "Thunderbolts"
4:57pm: In Whiskey Area.
[267.5 Sealord]

LIMA NOVEMBER 451: P-3C, Jacksonville NAS VP-45 "Pelicans"
5:00pm: In Whiskey Area; RTB Jax.
[267.5]

CHECK 61: F/A-18, Flight of 4, MCAS Beaufort VMFA-312 "Checkerboards"
5:09pm: En route to NAS Key West.
[285.5, 380.3; 301.95 air-air]

JOSA 702: C-21A
5:17pm: Inbound MacDill w 2 DVs, one is an A-1.
[311.0]

BACKY 91:; KC-135R, Seymour Johnson AFB AFRC 916ARW
6:58pm: Ph patch to 483-2770 NAS-Key West; informs inbound, 150 mi out; needs assurance base will be open til 0400z for tomorrow night's mission.

[311.0]

KING 76: HC-130P #65-0976, Patrick AFB AFSOC 920RQW 39RQS
7:05pm: Departs Patrick, PJ drops at BamBam DZ, aerial refueling of JOLLY
31 flight.
10:42pm: Lands Patrick.
[133.75, 132.65]

FACE 31: F-16CJ, Shaw AFB 55FS (ID from Mark Cleary posting).
7:19pm: Delays over Orlando, poss CAP familiarization, then to Shaw.
[307.25, 269.3, 273.55, 346.25]

NASA 901: T-38N #66-8381, Johnson Space Ctr, Houston.
7:36pm: EL Astronaut lands Shuttle Landing Facility.
[125,075, 128.65, 132.25, 124.8, 128.55]

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL (28-11N 80-36W) monitoring
Patrick AFB (SCOFF) NASA-KSC Shuttle Landing Fac (KX68)
Avon Park Bombing Range (KAGR) Cape Canaveral AFS (KXMR)
JSTARS E-8 Acft Integration Facility, Melbourne IAP (KMLB)
Worldwide Military HF Communications
Life Member: Missile, Space and Range Pioneers.
http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/index.html (My Freqs)
http://hometown.aol.com/scanaddict/index.html (My Equipment)

999. Re: For Sale: Mobile / Cell Phone Jammer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-16 16:13:55 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <fkKQd.10081$SP3.4972@fe03.lga>
Source record: 14659
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.radio.amateur

It has to be better than being Pissed on!

"Jock." <real_class_a@yahoo.co.yuck>, an idiodic bottom feeder (poster)
wrote in message news:p6v311pfqp64pia49f9834joheme6l7dea@4ax.com...
>
> Piss off!
>
>

1000. Re: For Sale: Mobile / Cell Phone Jammer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-16 19:18:44 UTC
From: "jim.gm4dhj" <jim.gm4dhj@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <o0NQd.699$fh2.548@newsfe2-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 14660
Cross-posted to: free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.radio.amateur

> Yes it is illegal in the UK to use these devices but companies in the UK
> make them and there are plenty of companies selling them. Here is one
> company and the legal note they have put on their site:
>
> Note for UK customers: Cell phone jamming equipment is illegal to use in
> the UK as it violates sections 1 & 13 of the 1949 telegraphy act, we are
> therefore unable to supply cell phone jammers to any UK customer with the
> exception of certain military and government departments who have the
> necessary authorisation from the UK Office of Communications (Ofcom).
> Please note that no exceptions can be made on this policy.
>
> http://www.globalgadgetuk.com/cell-phone-jammers.htm
>
>
> Also a news story about people using the jammers in the UK
>
> http://news.zdnet.co.uk/communications/wireless/0,39020348,39116039,00.htm
>
> The globalgadetuk shop certainly is worth a look so you can see what
people
> are actually selling and what they will do.
>
> regards John
>
>
>
Wonder if the would work on GB3CS ? .....

1001. Re: Antenna advice - best super gain

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-16 22:26:37 UTC
From: Brian <victhedog@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <73i711lrlnkc5lmlsse251sqcd82br3bhu@4ax.com>
Source record: 14661

On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 01:05:16 -0500, "bluecool" <stef@stefanbecker.com>
wrote:

>Hey,
>New to the boards. Cool topics. I live in a city area and want to pick up
>much more than the rubber duck that came with either of my portables. I
>want something easy to hook up that will help me get train frequencies
>and maybe ships at sea (about 4 miles from my house).
>
>I bought a Discone off Ebay, for my roof but it gets less than my rubber
>duck! Could be the poor coax I used, but still, I lost all the NOAH
>weather freq.s and I only gained some snowy CB trucker signals on 19.
>
>I'm now looking at the indoor flexable antennas like the C.Crane 15.75i¿½ CC
>Super Gainer.
>Anyone know these?
>Do they work?
>
>Thanks.
>
>bluecool-

get yourself a dressler active antenna and scan the world

1002. Re: For Sale: Mobile / Cell Phone Jammer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-16 23:23:26 UTC
From: rehan.yasin@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1108596206.812170.207270@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14662
Cross-posted to: free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.radio.amateur

jim.gm4dhj wrote:
> > Yes it is illegal in the UK to use these devices but companies in
the UK
> > make them and there are plenty of companies selling them. Here is
one
> > company and the legal note they have put on their site:
> >
> > Note for UK customers: Cell phone jamming equipment is illegal to
use in
> > the UK as it violates sections 1 & 13 of the 1949 telegraphy act,
we are
> > therefore unable to supply cell phone jammers to any UK customer
with the
> > exception of certain military and government departments who have
the
> > necessary authorisation from the UK Office of Communications
(Ofcom).
> > Please note that no exceptions can be made on this policy.
> >
> > http://www.globalgadgetuk.com/cell-phone-jammers.htm
> >
> >
> > Also a news story about people using the jammers in the UK
> >
> >
http://news.zdnet.co.uk/communications/wireless/0,39020348,39116039,00.htm
> >
> > The globalgadetuk shop certainly is worth a look so you can see
what
> people
> > are actually selling and what they will do.
> >
> > regards John
> >
> >
> >
> Wonder if the would work on GB3CS ? .....

What is that exactly, I can check for you? It works with Nextel phones,
and I believe it's the only jammer that can do that at present!

1003. Re: cordless phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-17 00:19:31 UTC
From: BILLY <WCEJAE@BELLSOUTH.NET>
Message-ID: <foo711pdmdjsfqe5q7s7gim1im36oaqaqo@4ax.com>
Source record: 14663

On Sat, 12 Feb 2005 18:02:02 GMT, Korbin Dallas
<korbindallas@yahoo.com> wrote:

>On Wed, 02 Feb 2005 06:09:24 -0800, stryped wrote:
>
>> I know it is not legal but can a regular scanner that covers 900 mhz
>> listen to cordless phone conversations?
>
>Since most 900 Mhz phones are Digital...
>
>The Answer is No.
>
And I say maybe.
I have been able to listen to all 900 fones I have tried. Im not
saying that it is not possible at all, just that all the ones I've
tried work fine -- ON TWO FREQUENCIES usually 902 or 903 and 925
through 927 I think...and I find that a lot of restaurants (not BK or
McD for instance) use a cordless fone. that I can pick up. My son got
pissed at me because I (not on purpose, just by accident) was eating
dinner and wham he started talking to his girlfriend. I almost puked.
I sorta remember when I was 18, now. I had forgotten about all the bs
and sweet talk. anyway he heard me say something to his mother and he
blew his top. Now he doesn't tie up my fone any more. he got a 2.4ghz
fone now and he has almost gotten over his paranoia.

Billy, N5WE
Mississippi

1004. Re: cordless phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-17 00:31:26 UTC
From: Jim <junk@junk.com>
Message-ID: <yBRQd.3132$LD5.1563@fe2.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 14664

"BILLY" <WCEJAE@BELLSOUTH.NET> wrote in message
news:foo711pdmdjsfqe5q7s7gim1im36oaqaqo@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 12 Feb 2005 18:02:02 GMT, Korbin Dallas
> <korbindallas@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>On Wed, 02 Feb 2005 06:09:24 -0800, stryped wrote:
>>
>>> I know it is not legal but can a regular scanner that covers 900 mhz
>>> listen to cordless phone conversations?
>>
>>Since most 900 Mhz phones are Digital...
>>
>>The Answer is No.
>>
> And I say maybe.
> I have been able to listen to all 900 fones I have tried. Im not
> saying that it is not possible at all, just that all the ones I've
> tried work fine -- ON TWO FREQUENCIES usually 902 or 903 and 925
> through 927 I think...and I find that a lot of restaurants (not BK or
> McD for instance) use a cordless fone. that I can pick up. My son got
> pissed at me because I (not on purpose, just by accident) was eating
> dinner and wham he started talking to his girlfriend. I almost puked.
> I sorta remember when I was 18, now. I had forgotten about all the bs
> and sweet talk. anyway he heard me say something to his mother and he
> blew his top. Now he doesn't tie up my fone any more. he got a 2.4ghz
> fone now and he has almost gotten over his paranoia.
>
>
>
> Billy, N5WE
> Mississippi

This thread started, ended and started again! Yes there are phones in the
clear in WFM & NFM on 900 Mhz...

1005. Re: Where's the NRA on whoring as bush bitches?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-17 02:48:00 UTC
From: Crowns_Crown@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1108608480.133158.256910@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14665
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan,alt.fan.jay-leno

The Crown's Crown

/ / The EVIL anti-Christ "un-accountable to American law cos hees duh president" demon bush Jr., has ordered the commitment of mass murder in our names, while his enemy bush bitch cult following in the corporate news establishments, have refused our communities to publicly address the DOCUMENTED evidence made public by the FBI. Alleging, General Ahmad, bush's business partner, was "secretly" responsible for a wire transfer of 100,000.00 to 9/11's "ring leader" Mohamed Atta, and in addition, that the demon anti-Christ, Our Mr. bush Jr,, had a top secret CRIMINAL war plan in place, worked on with condoleeza rice, and implemented in part by General Ahmad, to criminally invade Afghanistan signed September 9th, 2001, provided to and reported on by Jim at MSNBC. All centered on not apprehending bin Laden, (prior, during, or after) as a prerequisite to guarantee invasion. (, to secure a liquefied natural gas pipeline for pension thievers Enron.) THEREBY, forbidding the apprehension of the true culprits through following the crime scene leads at the real murder scene. \ \

Damn. I am so desperately in need your help.

You see my friend, there are people in this world that deprive our rights to freedom, through unjust acts committed in your name, done by hiding their true intent from our public's view. One RCMP Cnst, was able to argue to a judge that my writing was anti-government, and she needed to establish who I was, to enforce the law of, "threatening an international person". The warrant was to be made top secret, but through a miracle, was provided for my defense with a stack of bushite evil. I can successfully defend myself when speaking freely on the facts, but the Crown has deemed my voice inadmissible when it comes to anything in relationship to the bogusness of the warrant. The Crown is well aware that she holds the victims of 9/11 in contempt, but wagers, you Personally won't have the godly Humanity in yourself, to demand I face her politely, in the open, for our public good on national radio. If I try to speak of the reason I am in front of a jury, I will be found in contempt. While the very same guy who faked searching my computer for the single RCMP Cnst., was the same guy who searched my computer for child pornography. (Remember: through Usenet, images or movies are downloaded before getting to witness them.)

What did he find amongst 37,333 images?

OPENLY a woman of about 22 with fully developed breasts, is termed between ten to twelve, like of a woman's behind with womanly hips and pubic hair, ten to twelve also. And on and on and on, with those few photographs (I've seen no more than maybe 10 or 12 of), that would constitute an actual legal argument, thrown in to make it all look bad. Like a short video of a young girl standing in a bath smiling. The worst depiction they have is a video of several seconds, appearing to be a young boy being sexually fondled, but I certainly don't advocate such unbalanced behavior, believing it would be harmful in dealing with, as a growing up in through sexuality as the developing adolescent. So, knowing this then, what am I guilty of, that they are truly, as bushite, going to try to put me in prison for? Loving children? I didn't commit the sexual assault, take the footage, didn't distribute it, nor would I have kept it from deletion. Deletion doesn't even matter to these geniuses. They'll scan what you deleted, and charge you for that decision also. You can't win to continue going in silence. By continuing bushite fascist tyranny censorship for enslavement of our species, will suck for all concerned if you don't speak up for our rights denied NOW!

Think of how many curious seekers of youthful beauty out there the bushite could seek for demonization? They refuse to enforce the law regarding distribution. It would be nothing WITH A TRUE LEGAL JUSTIFICATION to block a site, or scan free public access Usenet for truly illegal materials.

But they don't.

So what the single RCMP cnst has done, is LIED about the true reason for obtaining the therefore bogus warrant, for she has refused to enforce the law on which she argued was the reason to be granted my personal belongings. The refusal of the fascist enemy bushite to charge me for the alleged criminal matter, would surely get George W. Bush arrested, tried, and rightly executed for 9/11, and then, the war of terrorism would be over. My writings on behalf of police investigations made public, and Jim at MSNBC, along with the documented fact demon bush used no evidence whatsoever in his allegations against bin Laden while closing criminal investigations, have been deemed by the, protect the demon anti-Christ at all costs Crown, as inadmissible, along with my essays in psychology and sexuality. When receiving my computers, a Cnst. --lling was told to look for the phrase, "bush is dead", he stated because the term wasn't found, the warrant couldn't be fully carried out. The quotes however in the top secret, nobody was suppose to see it, warrant provided to the judge, were from "The Trial and Conviction of Our Mr. bush Jr.", and none of the quoted text, contained "bush is dead". Then, on top of that, when they seized my property, I told them exactly where my writing was located. And proudly confessed to stand on the principles of Universal Justice, in serving for America's best. Instead of defending our rights, they are, as cowards, and what true fascism

is, attempting to help flee, those responsible for the terrorist crimes of 9/11 back in New York City. And don't you think for a second, the Crown involved in my particulars doesn't know that. An enemy of Justice is our Court in knowing directly with the evidence in front of everyone, they sell out honorable FBI officers for death, all to please the false deity G.W. for YOUR losses too my American friend. Please, again, I beg you to help my cause, the cause of every true patriot soldier, and grieving mother. To be left without Justice for Humanity in silence, is to do an injustice no real man should want to see happen quietly to another. Once I'm silently convicted, you'll be too late to help be my witness. And if you knew my mother, you'd love her too. So please, I beg you, forward this to your favorite journalist, get on Coast to Coast, get on CBC radio or on Winnipeg's local CJOB, and demand I be allowed to face the bushite false accusers wide OPENLY in our public arena. They don't let any of us on now when we speak of General Ahmad, Afghanistan, Iraq, Enron, Social Security, Health care, and on and on and on, so your gonna have to get a little more forceful with your super human will power against the melancholy return of same old nazi fascism. I shall try more from here also.

Help life out some won't yah?

--

Release the Press

In regards to Johnny's criminal charges.

Being in possession of that I know not of until it reaches my computer, puts the onus on the distributor's server to be held in the same regard equally. To argue for criminalizing the possession of materials that are granted full legal rights to be propagated, as not for sure either way then, but now that they're multiplied to others, makes little sense. For, it only increases a problem that will end up costing the public a great deal more to deride, if the said material is found to be, without reasonable doubt, objectionable from a legal framework set of criteria. Instead, in my case, they've used every impractical assumption regarding the content of what I may have witnessed. I am beside myself dumfounded to learn a picture termed of a topless youth smiling, is a sight the officers involved wish to draw me with as evidenced pedophile. A term classified to include dehumanizing personal acts of sexual assault against the rights of actual children. This is unacceptable. And, I will not be found guilty of approving such conduct. As to other depictions of cruelty I've witnessed by information gathering, I can say without doubt to any as a seeker of injustice, has motivated me personally as a public figure, to take direct steps few others do in defense of the innocent. Unlike the two officers who are my public accusers, I don't believe indiscriminately murdering people by bombing a country brings about protections for those involved for example, and yet, my accusers

proudly stated, they were supporters of such unprovoked, and unwarranted ILLEGAL criminal conduct against our children left undefended under their limited bushite haze.

Nothing will bottom this episode of my life, where as a lover of children everywhere, and a champion for human rights around the world, am judged not fit for freedom by a couple expert, pro-war "liberating" bushite bombers. [they both survived serving the military before taking up this police business as Bush supporters for lawlessness - (they PUPOSEFULLY sabotaged, the planned video recording of public evidence against Bush, that I made to the National Security Division of the RCMP in writing, and in approximately, ten separate audio recordings. [Oops...])]

The bushite bombers searched for depictions of behavior sexually impressionable readers could arguably, lose their self respect or control over, but can be found by any desperate conniver, searching in depictions of a rape scene, naked people on a beach, or in a cloud storm. So, some guide lines on how best to stop their depictions of the rights of children being breached most definitely, should be handled where the questionable material emanates, not with the quester of good taste, out looking to see what is widely, and freely, publicly available by the thousands. Again, not perceived until within the possession of the observer to witness. The classifications of all that is accepted to include in the definition of "child pornography", should not include the beauty of what it is to be nakedly human, or normally affectionate. But that is being open, honest, and beautiful, traits an advocate of tyranny through censorship will run and hide from, for they fear the private human element in our public political affairs speaking freely. Bushitism. [Think of Life, a struggling to survive for better times profiteer, and ourselves, a distant relative nobody's ever heard of till now at most.]

I've been a widely published internet psychology columnist, almost since ideas have been broadly shared as such. And my writing testifies to the subject of human rights denied, as the struggles I attempt to find, real solutions for. The artistic impressions created by the artist, like the words defined within this sentence of words, is but streaming reference points to the larger unbound projections of the manifested mind. A defined, definite conclusion on it's meaning, loses it's truer state. Recognizing the subjective relationship on communicating through media, is ultimately the reader perceiving this conclusion. It is not therefore a conclusion the reader becomes for, but is held in consideration, when creating it's over all pretext, in the form of personal insight or imagination. An imaginative state of fiction, does not preclude it from still being, a shared artistic work suspended through disbelief. I can read a fictionally exciting murder mystery, all the while knowing, the characters who suffer misfortunes, are not living worse because of me, nor to learn the

reasons someone is obtusely perverse, make me then, more inclined to disrespect real living, in a similar kind. [read anything from corporate media challenger, the lover, Johnny Wizard] The actions of a character in fiction, does not compel me to give up my own belief of reality, or a respect I grant in those who protect the rights of any child, or adult for that matter. I have many written works for example from those who accolade Bush as of unprecedented prowess when it comes to believing in the holy scriptures, but come on, who believes that make believe horror show, and how did I get to know people could be so deceived without knowing the indisputable consequence of Bush's deliberate criminal actions? The opinions I hold in reference, are not opinions I just automatically except as my own without thinking for myself in other words. If America witnessed without censorship the vicious evil bombing of God's children in Fallujah or Samara, or the torture of innocent people to death by liar Bush's satanic sadomasochists, every bushite repuglicon who voiced political support for the irrational murderous acts, would be hunted down by millions of armed America men, tried, convicted, and executed in time square, that we all know for certain without room for question.

/ / Expression that degrades or dehumanizes is harmful in and of itself as all members of society suffer when harmful attitudes are reinforced. \ \

But does the witness of such a relationship, granted only to the mind of an officer? Harmful attitudes depicted in Nazi film archives, works of fiction, or artistic expression, does not every time, have us rooting on the bad guys. For if so, police officers investigating harmful child pornography possession, would be left without an ability to even discern a care for any one. But they would and do care. We can see a need, and a honor to defend the rights of children, and I can't think of a more noble police position, to try defending those principles to the best of our ability. Not infringing on free thought access of everyone because some are arguably, more impressionable to the false view of the bushite conspirator. DISTRIBUTERS of illegal material is where the law should not be denied a place to practice. Making criminals out of what someone picks out in coffee grounds, is to say, some thoughts considered, are thoughts better left alone as forbidden when alleged thought of. That is where no god or person should be allowed to falsely determine for our selves, with what words can be thought "about", and what words can not. Of course threatening the freedom of another living individual with the law, better be based on the tangible as documented offences, otherwise, our rights wouldn't be publicly challenged for protection, but of denial. So Hammurabi came up with: A person accused of a criminal offense without any evidence is innocent, and the accuser, especially when it comes to capital crimes, is the bad guy every time. And gee, if America does that, Mr. bush Jr. could go to jail from Canada, China, or perhaps somewhere off somewhere's else where they have

laws enforced against the crime of murder.

I am a behavioral psychologist, who's not only written on psychology's pillars of understanding, but also on the struggles of lawless war committed by sexually dysfunctional sadomasochists. I was interested to watch The Learning Channel's special, The Meaning of Love, a four or five part series, hosted by "The Naked Ape"'s, Desmond Morris. This world's most recognized, widely publicized human behaviorist, reporting on juvenile properties of mutual expression between lovers, lending well into nurturing a caring relationship for each other.

Therefore, is a reader of fiction regarding adult themes, going to read all about who get their toes piggy wiggled, or the professional accountant, who at spin the bottle, becomes the lucky "loser" of a sound deserved spank or two, secretly though, underneath it all, a pedophile who thinks unclean thoughts? Examples of sexual boundaries: Is not a heterosexual, secretly gay when they play with themselves that way? Perhaps a trans cross dresser? And some men may relate to this, but man, lesbians are a dream come true. But then... well, your a guy too right?

Such normal dynamics of continuous change through development within relationships, can not be denied a place, as being mutually beneficial as human in quality, (and maybe fun,) and being, private, none of our business, almost childhood like high jinx of that moment in time. But, leaves a, any person public view of definite acceptance, intolerably immature as inappropriate across the public spectrum. Publicly get into the intricacies of private relationships with your new book why don't you with the Court now John, you have all our ears a-flutter. Grandma doesn't need to know about your proficiency at.. and I'm not the same to Dick as I am to Jane, if you catch my drift.

While two male bushite, who are now helplessly stuck appearing plastered to protect Bush from arrest for mass murder through the advocation of censorship by tyranny, could quip to us being lovey dovey, means your ripe for picking on as, the soft and mushy easy push over in the corner they see. Me? This is why bushite think allowing brave men of our communities to speak openly as would be, calmly, against the criminal dictums of the demon anti-Christ, shouldn't be allowed, because they know, the world would then recognize them for the cowardice and betrayal they hold of our human spirit. A spirit that craves for all, the end to the continuing neo-con wars with the freedom to love Life for being truly ourselves. I see life everywhere, for trying to make some happiness and prosperity. If only we would listen to reasons for believing in creating a better world where life in cherished, is a world where bombs don't drop indiscriminately from the sky in God's name left for blame by the thieving liar bushite scoundrels.

The inner world of artistic creations by story line, is a world of pretend make belief, forwarded by the observer's conclusions. I am concerned to read struggles for entertainment, to include, cruelty against anyone for sexual gratification, but all literature regarding such, does not change my respect for the rights of others, especially those of children. If anything, it makes me more concerned on how respect for another can be better served by the powers and freedom of the unshackled word.

I've seen more child pornography on the television news regarding child pornography, than I've ever mistakenly got through the internet. Providing illegal depictions for public consumption, is where the law should be exercised, but it's often not on the grounds it doesn't prosecute as many. See my point? The FBI did an expensive child porn sting, running for two years in total I think it was, and in that time, they gave away tens of thousands of images to thousands of, who knows how to copy customers, that much later, the FBI would argue were illegal to have possession of. That is like a huge wasted effort OF OURS to distribute such offenseble materials, particularly if it was sold as, "teens in action", "youthful beauty", "buttercups", "a day at the dentist", or something of some sort to entrap more crime business. For our police agencies to set exact criteria on what would constitute child pornography, and scan internet traffic for such distributors, would be far more effective in halting such proliferations. They don't largely because, they'd have to be more precise in determinations, and therefore, many would lose their jobs as then redundant. By not doing so, we can pay billions to have people arrested, labeled pedophile for witnessing depictions of God's beautiful children being normal - while then pleading guilty to avoid the public tarnish such new bite revelations would bring. Destroying families, and future job prospects of individuals such as myself, who hate the thieving, murderous, ignorant as truly ungodly bushite liars to the core of my eternal being. Currently, our legal services, can't help but learn, bush did 9/11 with General Ahmad, and are left speaking "nothing" too - in news public suits regarding the injustice they're recorded not to answer about. Instead, a couple confessed bushite, will conclude who ever witnesses depictions they suggestively reach for as inappropriate, to not possibly also, hold children, and their rights, paramount. Well, that's just simply not true. I call for the arrest of those that ran d squads against only known as innocent families including children in Afghanistan, (Tenth Mountain Division), what do the, two self confessed once blind Bush worshipping police offers, who stand as my public accusers as job requirement, have to state on that denial of our rights to cherish life and liberty? As bushite bullshiters, they don't want to answer to not presently asked public media enquiries, because being "embarrassed" sadomasochists, they then may likewise, get off on accusing community members to be pedophiles, with flimsy examples of what, in their minds, in an insult to our sworn values. Children can be beautiful, but

certainly aren't, as adults, interested in being so naked, on the six o'clock news. Do they care to make a scene bigger than it first appears? Imagine me hosting the evening news and the Johnny Jamboree, hashing it out with my opponents on the tough questions, rolling with the insults and the cash offerings, while taking time to sample the world's "best" pizza an beer joints?

Or, do they adroitly believe, they'll teach that Johnny image something or two on their own behalves for our Humanity?

George W. Bush is primarily motivated ultimately with how much they can sucker out of our public coffers, thereby in spirit, advocate censorship on my calls for traitor bush's capture for 9/11.

What they are doing is this:

My "official" court case, (Involving all good people I believe) is enforcing our Law by suggesting everything in my possession can be isolated, onto itself, and made into something I'm not of entirely. All the while pretending, we ain't at all aware of Usenet servers, or my, Johnny Wizard saves the World writings of DOCUMENTED CRIMES AGAINST YOUR HUMANITY. Writings that dominate the interest of the whole investigation, locally, and internationally. But gee, without your help, two bushite "coppers" are going to appear still pressing that bush not be arrested in their contempt of silence, while praying, I not be granted a moment in my trial to speak for my own defense literally. Well, maybe not, after all, we all change with the times. My last conversation with the said two, was quite a way back when they were asked to prosecute Johnny Wizard, and likely came back with the reply, Johnny Who? So, let's not kid ourselves, my words are quite amazing when you think about it. Well, I'd hope in these last days, before this coming media flop, they've taken it amongst themselves, to get together with the loving family to discuss anyone of the hundred or two, Johnny Wizard works of the world, and conclude, George W. Bush is truly bad news to their world's view too.

Even.

Well.. how a you been doing anyway? Are not these developing story lines to be something good going live mainstream... will we demonize the principles of Christ, in censoring ourselves like the individual person of Johnny Wizard is to be, as, being denied personal freedom by "unaccountable" George W. Bush's dictatorship of tyranny through enslavement of the human species, or, will You consider the equal freedom of a telephone call, a cassette tape recording, or perhaps, photo copier to bring us around this, we want our still living rights heard too man. Right now before we alls go it to the clink. Coast to Coast should be broadcasting for America's interest, by openly discussing the still paying costs of the bushite war crime business.

America! - bush's war is something you do not want to lose to in further dying silence. Take it from me. Be a hero. Dream of the babes! - Movie deals. Lives saved!!!

Business is business.

Look you might not care for me personally, okay, but this George W. Bush's treason of nine-eleven is an evil we together, will never, be too weak to stand against as. As indecisive humans without a leader, we will never be too few from demanding Justice be served in respect of Humanity's interest.

In nabbing those who committed treason against our America's America on 9/11, needs to be completed by firstly, following the crime scene leads at the beginning with Bush's prior 9/11 plans worked with the ring leader's financier, General Ahmad, and how Condi, rumsfeld, and Cheney with Myers, fits in the picture together as likewise traitors. The Iraq war is also a crime against humanity. All stolen monies and property must be sought, then returned to a legitimized democratic government. Torture is outlawed, and finally, all innocent people held in prisons by bushite forces, held without out charge for trial, need to be released immediately as ourselves being denied liberation truly. Let common sense prevail.

Your Kingly King of a Dreamer's dream,

seriously considering prison time to be,
a major downer for my chances at partying,

Johnny Wizard

P.S. TELL SOMEBODY!

---

for all mankind...

The EVIL anti-Christ "un-accountable to American law cos hees duh president" demon bush Jr., has ordered the commitment of mass murder in our names, while his enemy bush bitch cult following in the corporate news establishments, have refused our communities to publicly address the DOCUMENTED evidence made public by the FBI. Alleging, General Ahmad, bush's business partner, was "secretly" responsible for a wire transfer of 100,000.00 to 9/11's "ring leader" Mohamed Atta, and in addition, that the demon anti-Christ, Our Mr. bush Jr,, had a top secret CRIMINAL war plan in place, worked on with condoleeza rice, and implemented in part by General Ahmad, to criminally invade Afghanistan signed September 9th, 2001, provided to and reported on by Jim at MSNBC. All centered on not apprehending bin Laden, (prior, during, or after) as a prerequisite to guarantee invasion. (, to secure a liquefied natural gas pipeline for pension thievers Enron.) THEREBY,

forbidding the apprehension of the true culprits through following the crime scene leads at the real murder scene. See, with a talk radio program we could take calls and help any others who have some difficulty in understanding the logic of reason, as super simple thinking.

So, as many know, the bush regime is FOR REAL pushing still to have me incarcerated for speaking these truths, truths that stand irrespective of my personal opinion, or spin doctoring. Will you, as a complete stranger, but a fellow lover to life, help my cause by requesting also, I be allowed to face publicly my accusers on the, censorship for bushite tyranny radio networks Coast to Coast, or CBC national radio here in Canada? Before, god forbid, a couple, once self confessed bushite "police officers" of tyranny, (death squads against school kids, happy funders of heroin pushers, and who in silence, work getting un-informed soldier teen/bushite sacrificed as the bad guys for Bush's thieving. Done all to gloriously please the fabulously wealthy, safe at home from the clutches of power, neo-con "Cabal" of enemy traitors.) get lost in the translation. The fascist corporate interests are likely not going to factually report on my trial, but attempt to demonize me with their falsehoods and betraytals against our communities, that is now presently on going without your voice also heard raised yet for objection. A trial, where the evidence that factually establishes bush guilt for treason against all the American People will be sought as immaterial. What the evil enemy as godless bushite dogma blindly hope for is, a silently feared coercion through suggestive threat against Our entire Justice system, leaving the court to have quoting perhaps, only one or two lines written by myself out of context from "The Trial and Conviction of Our Mr. bush Jr" to a jury, while disallowing the documented facts, surrounding my allegations to be refused as my defense. [the criminal leads end at the bush administration's door step with bush's own signature, but bush, as Presidente', can't be arrested under American law, only impeached by the majority of already bought out and sold whole repuglicons.] Counting that our community of peers will have not a inkling what is contained in the very first paragraph of "The Trial...". You my friend alone, you alone, could help US change all that. I, as a single person am trying to stand on the principle, that ANY individual, that is accused of a capital offense without evidence, is UNIVERSALLY INNOCENT, as they would be tomorrow, today, and way back in Hammurabi's day also. And that by reason, the false accuser, is the number one suspect to start with. The enemy bushite MURDERERS try to confuse this extremely simple matter with the powers of hypnosis, or suggestion through the dictate of "our" television and radio cult broadcasts that promote tyranny by fascist censorship.

The nature of hypnosis shouldn't be feared, but learned from to gain a better understanding of the true human condition. Something a bushite hates like our public education systems, is where we through improved communications, halt their criminal transgressions against our better selves.

In the warrant to take all my writings, and computer stuffs, (to begin on their fishing expedition to protect the war monger demon anti-Christ,) it was argued, that the information contained within their possession should remain TOP SECRET. The document has now been made available for my defense, and here is the reason it was argued THREE YEARS AGO, to not have the charges publicly heard.

/ / FROM TIME TO TIME, the NSIS section receives threats from members of the public who after investigation, turn out to be suffering from mental illness. On occasion, proceedings under the Mental Health Act as opposed to the Criminal Code may be more appropriate. In cases of a mental illness, the publicity and sensationalism of an incident such as this one may turn out to be negative to mentally ill persons. \ \

Yeah but, what about DEFENDING the FBI's findings "copper"? Is it that anyone who stands up for Justice in America, who asks loudly for a public platform in OUR media market place, should only be considered, top secretly, mentally ill to think they have a right to contribute on an equal basis, among the oh so lauded, intellectual power breaking crime pushers of our World? (The woman hating child killers, like naive bushite nazi demon liar Mattis wear badges too don't you know?) Are we crazies to want to defend our rights to be free of murderous tyranny? Are they secretly saying on behalf of the bushite: to speak out in praise of the good investigative work the FBI sometimes does, is a bad thing for their future top secret "investigations" on Our behalf? Or is it because, if we believe in doing what needs to be done to protect Humanity from tyranny, would truly mean, evil bushite wouldn't be able to rob further from dying sacrificed as the undefended America?, and soon, wisdom by communication through science and reason would rule our better stays? Would it surely drive me insane to have our public acknowledge our good deeds that work to have freedom really reign indivisibly through Justice supreme? Anyway, Jeeze, I'm already crazy.

The national security branches of all our governments need a major overhaul in protocols. (officers who are involved in uncovering a criminal conspiracy, a conspiracy involving the murder of thousands of now dead Americans, should receive the full support of every police unit this world over as a protective measure for fellow officers. After all, George W. Bush is an American cop killer too.)

Before my site "Wizard's World Wide Works of Wonder" was taken down, I had the center piece (still available in other places) "Stand Together or Don't" as a full page spread with graphics and everything. "Stand Together..." is an essay that only asks that our standing criminal code in Canada be enforced, when it comes to the documented thieving of our communities as committed with the notoriously miss reported workings of the "cheating at play" VLT machine. I also had "Johnny's Last Stand" take a prominent position at the web page, along with "The Alien's Take". So get this: another argument made for the warrant to confiscate my personal belongings and leave the FBI out to die, was attributed to only a single Cnst., who blanketed my entire site in reference as "anti-government". That statement was the only statement made by the officer in regards to her summation of my writing skills, and was made in argument to a Judge to obtain the Warrant, just before the site fell, so I'm now, suspecting, was likely responsible for complaining it should be shut down by maybe.. badge waving?) Strangely (unknown to me until just recently) as it would happen, this same individual I had contacted over the course of several months regarding the VLTs, (on how the DOCUMENTED crime is perpetrated, and on our communities TRUE monetary losses (maths)) was the same who, top secretly claimed, my writing on Justice was "anti-government." See, I offered to come down to the station and assist with the maths if need be, (remember we're talking the thieving of literally billions and billions of dollars each year from mostly the poorest segments of our societies) to offer assistance in any way I could. (Or, to be told "fool, your mistaken".) But each and every time, I was denied access to have implemented, the protections of the criminal code here in Canada, (that usually makes our country greater than all others,) without a given reason why. [An aside: bushite irrationally hate science and reason because we're intelligent, while I, can't help but respect life by learning something from just about anyone.]

Here is what it further said in the warrant, that up until now was considered top secret. (without the warrant staying secret, the darkness can't stand the light of scrutiny, and I would be freed from the restraints of a bogus warrant to go on shouting for TRUE Justice by DEMANDING the arrest, trial, and subsequent execution of those responsible for the crimes of nine/eleven - bush, rumsfeld, Ahmad, and condoleezza rice. With cheney and myers running a close second.)

[...]

Count # 1: Between the 1st day of November A.D., 2001 and the 31st day of January A.D., 2002, both dates being inclusive, at or near the City of Winnipeg in the province of Manitoba, JOHN DOE aka "Johnny Wizard" did unlawfully threaten to commit the offence of murder, contrary to section 424 of the criminal

code.

Count # 2: Between the 1st day of November A.D. 2001 and the 31st day of January A.D. 2002, both dates being inclusive, at or near the City of Winnipeg in the Province of Manitoba, JOHN DOE aka "Johnny Wizard" did unlawfully threaten to commit the offence of murder, contrary to section 235 of the Criminal code against an internationally protected person, contrary to section 424 of the criminal Code.

[...]

ORDER

-snpd-

AND UPON being satisfied that the ends of justice would most probably be subverted by access to and disclosure of information filed in the Information to Obtain the Search Warrant filed in support of the application to prohibit access and disclosure;

[Good guy police officers might get the public recognition they deserve in other words right?]

the Search Warrant;

AND UPON being satisfied that access to and disclosure to information filed in support of the Search Warrant referred to above might be used for an improper purpose;

[getting back stolen VLT revenues you mean?]

AND UPON being satisfied that it would be in the best interests of the administration of justice that access to and disclosure of information filed in support of the application for the Search Warrant referred to above should be prohibited to the public;

[What?, don't want US public to know bush, rumsfeld, and condi committed nine/eleven with General Ahmad? Well, now I can see why all the hush hush.]

AND UPON being satisfied that access to and disclosure of information filed 'in support of the Search Warrant referred to above might well pose a substantial threat to the interests of effective law enforcement;

[following crimes scene leads at real murder scenes not good enough for our police agencies? - US people backing up ourselves with as something worth reckoning a better future with?]

AND UPON being satisfied that access to and disclosure of information filed in support of the Search Warrant referred to above would compromise the nature and extent of an ongoing investigation;

[Well, it's only been years now. Let US ask you, have YOU called the news desks of CBC or CNN, about bush's prior plan also? Are sandwich boards, posters, and placards, a police union willing to truly get behind as the untold Justice struggle for our suffering Humanity?]

AND UPON being satisfied that access to and disclosure of information filed in support of the Search Warrant referred to above could conceivably compromise the health interests of a person;

[What about the health concerns of the hundreds of thousands of innocent people bush has since ordered murdered, along with the millions of others who will suffer the consequences of radio-active contaminations for the next few eons? National news coverage is not what I fear, I fear that no one on this lonely planet cares to hear the cries of my Humanity for mercy, or holds love for God as worth fighting for.

-snpd-

So, what now? Please don't leave ourselves undefended from the criminal dictums of the demon anti-Christ, Our Mr. bush Jr. Demon bush is not America, America is America. Look, we arrest those responsible, and we carry on, by firstly reversing almost every thing G.W. the traitor implemented. Look, when Clinton implemented change, he was able to turn the country around pretty quick. Just bring back some progressive ideas. Dump the Patriot Act, and those that voted for it, and truly bring back the Constitution with a respect granted to all Peoples regardless of nationality. Christian Palestinians are humans like US too don't you know? While fixing the neo-con war mess should start by making all co-habitants equal under the principles of fair judicial due process, meaning: freeing the innocent held captive, and arresting for war crimes among other things: bush and rumsfeld, myers and cheney, Allawi and Doud, Tommy Franks, Steven Russell, Saudi wahhabi Buford Blount, woman haters Sicko Sattler and mad dog Mattis, Batiste, Miller - along with all the rest of the rapists and torturers, and then, we.. seek out all the stolen assets taken in America's stolen name. Big job. But we can do it! God is on our side.

I was reading about "Jew Boy..." and I thought, perhaps some may misunderstand my position on Mr. Felton. When I said, "And in light of our desperate challenges today of defeating the enemies of Humanity as specific unjust individuals in "hiding", an ignorantly stupid thing to say." I was coming from a position that I felt, Greg's own superbly good and strong,

intellectual arguments on the bigoted demonization of the innocent, would find himself! at fault with, by not looking for another's view regarding the label of "Zionist". Perhaps I was being too picky, but then, generally speaking, disputing the indefinable public's perception of the Holocaust is a loser's argument. Arguments, that generally, refuse to realize, soldiers like today's bushite, would as mindless droids, line innocent humans up next to a ditch, and shoot them all dead for no real reason in particular. [Nazis took film footage early on, then banned it, because it looked so completely insane.] Just like rumsfeld's blitzkrieg, or when enemy bushite thieves dropped thousands and thousands of land mine cluster duds in Iraqi school districts, threw grenades into Iraqi homes indiscriminately before entering with guns blaring, and true Americans, like Germans of yester year, still don't have it together as a freedom force worth reckoning with, to immediately destroy, the known of bushite with American law for criminally implementing the sadomasochistic torture of completely innocent people, and in some cases, tortured to death because maybe they finally then admitted, they were in cahoots with whomever as the "terrorist". Thereby, according to America's new Justice speaker, Gonzales, no longer was a human with rights to begin with anyway. A publicly expressed contempt for US innocent, done in by the disfunctioning sub-human bushite traitors sitting in our office. I'm sure some might understand who the greatly knowledgeable Greg Felton was referring to when stating "Zionists", but just think of the Arab "Semite". Ariel Sharon will steal the definition to victimize innocent Jews with for the American tax payer to foot the bill as, but when an Iraqi Semite, new to English newscasts tells you he's a bonafide Semite really, can he therefore be completely wrong about his true identity? Or how about this: do you think, the persons never shown for public media interview as accepting to receive stolen property like completely furnished houses called those of "Jewish Settlements", contain anything but, bigots masked as religious observers? How else could they possibly live with themselves? Amongst US true believing Jews? What of Hillel do we fail to recognize in not condemning the brutalizing of the innocent in our own names left forsaken? A well entertained teen, growing up in dum-dum America, or here in Canada for that matter, will likely have little understanding of Palestinian Jews or "Zionism", (like we don't regarding regressive tax systems,) but for like a proud Catholic in Ireland, it's something stupid he's suppose to stand up and destroy himself about while in a drunken stupor turning orange regarding some ancient Roman history or earlier biblical teachings not ever comprehended entirely... But then, I thought, gee, I was the one being ignorantly stupid, because in that space where we were communicating during the recorded interview, we had just

finished making the correlation of mutual racism/bigotry expressed between Hitler and Ariel Sharon. Sharon/Hitler steals/stole an innocent person's home, then throws/threw them into concentration camps to be victimized further because they're said to be inferior to the war funded as ungodly criminals, by the ignorant and stupider than ever American tax payers, again. [See "Trading with the Enemy"] And it was there where, Greg brought forward, that too, they are some of the very same Ideologs, of both scenes, who want always to divide the masses by intolerance, through racism or bigotry, to get ourselves to die at war with our/their ignorance, while the true 'hidden' instigators, (non-descript billionaire weapons dealing private bankers usually,) bask on some beach somewhere in our stolen luxuries, not having to lift a finger to command a full course dinner. That is why bushite are currently working to even further destroy America's public educational system which is already the very weakest of our developed world, and why it is preached on television in America non-stop, invest in deregulating the world market so you too, can rip someone off with slave labor conditions to compete your good for nothing son/father with. One out of three workers, officially, in America earn ten dollars or less an hour. And repuglicons hired near homeless people at 75 dollars a day in Ohio to walk around carrying we vote repuglicon signs during the mock election. An informed populace, is a group of people growing tired of being taken for victims, who might wise up and stand for real Justice through the Freedoms of understanding. Can you imagine US speaking internationally? I can. If only You'll help me gain a platform to debate the facistic censorship deniers. The Coast to Coast radio netwrok don't want no Americans talking about why Americans are being asked to die for the bounty of, bad guy bushite war profiteering. The wealthy celebs don't have to risk their own lives, just the lives of other Americans denied a voice for representation. So, asking once or twice, and then fading away to give up ain't gonna do it. Coast to Coast only allows an intelectual one time a month to speak for maybe few seconds. That should change to weekly. And Americans should DEMAND America's serious political issues work to be addressed in an open forum like talk radio provides. I am open for challenging interviews. But again, bushite don't like free excange of ideas within our communities, for it puts their Satanic cult leader in a disfavorable light, who then wouldn't so easily, get away with committing mass murder in America, or allowing America's greatest pension thieves, to escape un-accounted.

So, I've been working on questions..

Do you not as an officer of our laws, require evidence to substantiate allegations of criminal impropriety against members of our community?

Would not allegations of murder substantiated without evidence, be false? And would not therefore, the slaughter of innocents, blamed for terrorism without a shred of evidence being known universally, be acts of mass murder committed against US interests? Or for the true believers, terrorism inflicted against G-d? (And, is this not why defining "Terrorism" was forbidden in the recent "Terrorism" conference in Saudi Arabia?)

To persecute an individual nation without a reason substantiated by evidence, would be then the persecution of our forsaken God as the innocent of US individually? Like Christ on a cross somewhere you'd be then right?

Come on, please, help yourself out man. This is Your world too you know,

King Johnny Wizard

"I share the belief of many of my contemporaries that the spiritual crisis pervading all spheres of Western industrial society can be remedied only by a change in our world view. We shall have to shift from the materialistic, dualistic belief that people and their environment are separate, toward a new conciousness of an all-encompassing reality, which embraces the experiencing ego, a reality in which people feel their oneness with animate nature and all of creation."

-Dr. Albert Hoffman

I Stand Corrected

Okay, I'm sorry, I was wrong. After reviewing communications with my home planet, it seems I may have goofed on location. I wanted to go to Earth, but it seems we suffered a data mix up, and, well, this civilization isn't nowhere near, here where I thought I was. Oops. And.... a.... another slight mix up has me mistaken on another as well too, with an out of date computer malfunction, a glitch, set me back a hundred years, those idiots, and, a, hells bells, I, was... also suppose to praise the Republicans. Doh. Sorry bout dat. Bush, I lied, my fault, I'm an idiot. Please, I hope you can except me for being wrong like I was, an, maybe next time I come back, I'll do it right the way I should. Your not so bad, and I know you would want to do the right thing, if you knew how, like I wish I could be certain for myself sometimes. Maybe at some point we could be friends. Hm, it would seem you people haven't begun evolving just yet though. My mistake again. I suppose that explains allot about my vanishing thrills of excitement about prospects, and

our unanticipated exhaustion without explanation. I thought you people would be smart enough to catch on as humans, with me being, you know, Jesus or Satan, Mithras, an immortal child of God, stand in maker of the universe and all that. So, hmm, I guess I'll be leaving shortly, to head out to my original destination, a developing planet I do understand to be years ahead older than your own, who already kinda have an inkling about not destroying life, and really have made themselves as the living, a must see destination. I was destined to a planet system revolving around who you would refer to as Bernards, being originally from deep within the supercluster you have labeled Perseus, as humans too, interestingly through God. It's a small universe after all. My transportation device is of a free energy nature, something much like you have already available, but refuse to implement. God knows why you don't, I mean doesn't. Know I mean. Ah.. No matter where you are in the universe though, as you may discover before destroying yourselves, if your conscious, and sufficiently intelligent through life to recognize yourselves as a living part of the universes, you would come to the same conclusion, no matter where you do your thinking. They are us equally, and this is true, no matter where your from, stand, or hold. This concept represents the death of ego, but not really because your still the same, you just learn more about how to get around as best we can. The same as it ever was. We, an intergalactic federation, commandeers originally under the apices of the great, we never needed a world war, Sir Admiral General D. Wesley, who's responsibilities dictated, we recognized to be our own through his genius. After being the forerunner of the foundations beginnings, we gave us all an award, and helped many find themselves, comfortably in a state of semi-retirement of which us all, our truly grateful. We in total, so far, are the S.D.S.G., Super Duped Spacegirl Group, consisting of more than twenty thousand, distinct, well connected civilizations, (fiber optics is very popular as well as free solar powers) who through good times, have naturally become friends, in this amazing, got a have a care for yourself because we understand just how fantastic you all really is for benefits, and there are many. The Universe is compassion, love and understanding, but because we are of life, and as growed ups we can also, which includes me occasionally, be greedy, hateful, and stupid. But I guess for yourselves, because life is free, you've deemed it therefore, worthless, for the shortening time you believe you are here to take from

yourselves. Survival of the fittest, we as the intelligent universe have surmised, and by you deeming yourselves consciously as obsoletes for profit politically, I guess is for the best, if you really can't figure out why you would. Hmm.. You mammals are dumb. So, I would now suggest all previous writing I've done as incorrect, and highly implausible on this matrix set. Private bankers in their private market on this planet, are clearly the best informed top secretly, Greenspan is who stands over and against our nature with his private plan is betterer, controls the world, and is in with the winners, because they own all you as money to hide from their manufactured fears of your adding abilities, because your really scared of yourself right, and that is what you are all about as them. Continue on as you were, pay no attention, it's just us losers here, take advantage of yourselves, continuing to treat the public like your, oops, I mean their corporations tell we, who you are. Continue to allow the corporations to destroy your living economy and your souls with the VLTs, while they convince you to think your stronger for robbing the defenseless illegally, like this isn't you here along this reading rainbow. Be blind to the loss of 3 trillion dollars off the market, stolen in my mind, while private bankers continue to take out your interests, leveraged with our properties over a currency that doesn't exist, sending the nation into a depression, then a war to kill all the poor stupid people. Through who, as they say, "nobody", protects your laws, and your fears of being as stupid as they all are, finding yourself isolated in their noisy universe, as we are alone apart, of all that is here silently. Clowns to the left, jokers to the right, stuck here in the middle with a little story that never has been told outright. I love love love love, the sweet things in life! Growing improbable, but still possible. Rock on Art Bell! Okay now, let it ride. Hey boo-boo.

"The power to destroy a planet is insignificant when compared to the power of the Force."

- Darth Vader

"If the American dream is for Americans only, it will remain our dream and never be our destiny."

- Rene de Visme Williamson

(More like a nightmare of destruction and death through fear by illiteracy.)

"Injustice anywhere is a threat to justice everywhere."

- Martin Luther King, Jr.

"What happens if you go back to the bargaining table
and the U.S. says we want more?"

- P. Murphy
(A U.S. corporate trade your life for death negotiator)

"Profit means money. Money has no heart, no soul, no
conscience, no homeland."

- Frank Stronach, Magna International Inc., a man with
no heart or soul on his way to hell, announcing plans
to move operations to Mexico, because the government
has been made weaker by corruption to protect
themselves as human, where labour can get as low as
only $4 a twelve hour day for children, and where our
competitor's average wage rate pay in manufacturing was
$1.90, then. However, over the last decade during this
NAFTA attack, against every living human being, wages
have fallen a further 50%. Hitler's dream coming
through as republican commi swine! Petooie.

"I do not believe that this generation of Americans is
willing to resign itself to going to bed each night by
the light of a Communist moon..."

- Lyndon B. Johnson

"As Peter C. Newman puts it, "Americans are obsessed
with their self-imposed burden of saving the world for
democracy." Yet on a list of eighteen leading countries
providing assistance to developing nations, as a
percentage of the GNP the United States was
eighteenth."

(As well as being the last in education and health care.
Thanks Dad, thanks allot.)

An excerpt from the world wide best seller, "The
Betrayal Of Canada" written by one of our most beloved
authors, Mel Hurtig, published by Stoddart, recognized
as one of Canada's finest, has ourselves left
completely alone by all private lifeless news
corporations as living human beings. Gods, if you
will.

"I call that a bargain, the best I ever had."

- The Who

"Everyone is in the best seat."

-John Cage

"Please don't lie to me, unless you're absolutely sure
Ill never find out the truth."

- Ashleigh Brilliant

"The real geniuses simply have their bright ideas
closer together."

- Lichtenburg

A free of charge 17.33% increase: American right wing cowards also hide themselves as the world's biggest terrorist organization. They make us support military dictatorships with our capital and weapons against our wills, like the oppressors of the great Chinese people, or not demanding life term prison sentences of the current Dutch-Shell's board of murdering directors, and have the gaul to slander our hero, Castro, humanitarian of the millennia. The man the entire city of New York gave a party for, way back when they were actually educated, and could read in their spare time, in between working only one job, closer to earning a living wage. But Americans aren't suppose to remember that, to keep em' dumb. Like "The U.S." routinely says, Castro's commitment to education and health of people is not worth talking about, enof sed, get back to work part time full time with no free time to care, except of course for war against ourselves as the losers everytime. One thing leads to another. So just what am I trying to say? I've been to heavens and hells and back, and... well... it ain't so bad, and kinda fun. One and one and one is three dressed up like a nine, that, well, could be a five, and, then well, I know you like you know me, and one thing I can tell you, is we must be free, to do our own things. All mighty then!

My apologies,

J. Wizard - Demoted to 4th rank Scout

³⁄₁⁄₁₁⁄₇₄⁄₄⁄₇₂¢¹⁄₁₁⁄₇₂⁄₇₄¢¹⁄₁₁⁄₃⁄₁₁⁄₇₂⁄₄⁄₇₄⁄₄⁄₇₂

¹²³²³¹³¹²¹²³²³¹³¹²¹²³²¢²³¹³²¢²³¹³²¢²³¹³²¢
»·«¾«·«¾· ·¾·½¾¼¾¼½¼
¼¾½·½¾«¾·½· ¼ ½·½¾«¾·½·¾¼
¹²³¢²³¢¹·¢¹²¢¹²³
¤¤¤¤¤¤¤¤¤
ßð ß
*

*

³¢¹²¢¹²³²¹¢³¹¢³
¢³²³¢¹³¢¹²³²¹¢²
¢¹²¢¹²³²¹¢³¹¢³²
³²³¢¹³¢¹²³²¹¢²¹
¹²¢¹²³²¹¢³¹¢³²³

Weee!

/ / On January 27th, President Bush, in an interview with the Times, assured the world that "torture is never acceptable, nor do we hand over people to countries that do torture." [...]

Arar, it turned out, had been sent to Syria on orders from the U.S. government, under a secretive program known as "extraordinary rendition." This program had been devised as a means of extraditing terrorism suspects from one foreign state to another for interrogation and prosecution. \ \

Am I the only person who sees something seriously wrong with the picture bush's trying to say he is not of? Would not bush call for the arrest of Ashcroft? Miller? If not, why? For, he states he is at least aware of handing innocent people over to foreign nations to be tortured by satanic lying enemy traitors to God and Humanity..

--

Feel free to forward this post for publication consideration.

--
I wrote the letter following "Jew Boy..." the day a single complaint arrived to the radio station, with the threat that the ADL would soon be contacted. The letter was provided in advance of the next day, of the time scheduled for the complainant to return for a recording of the questionable broadcast. The station manager refused to give the complainant my letter, and insured me that I would no longer be allowed to produce a show for our community. Is this fair to our Jewish brothers and sisters? Is this fair to You?

Paris "And then what if you saw, all of the things thats wrong, would you stand tall and strong? Or would you turn and walk away?"

Johnny

Jew Boy Johnny and the anti-Semites

- or -

"The Zionist Conspiracy"

Monday, January 31st, around 3 pm, I called the station manager to ask how my afternoon recorded broadcast went, and to discuss the new week's tentative guest spot with an update regarding the falsely maligned, Mr. And Mrs. Benderman. The station manager informed me my phone number had been misplaced, and was managing with stress trying to put together an apology letter to a single individual, who came into the station, while the interview was still running, to demand action be taken regarding the anti-Semitic broadcast that he was certainly going to report to the ADL about. I told her the Felton interview itself was about bigotry through the demonization of the innocent, what the Jews of world war II suffered through, and, that I was a huge defender of religious Jewish teaching. I told her, to offer the individual a chance to publicly speak regarding the issue, with me, or by himself regarding Felton, to try getting specifically to what has got him so much, by himself, upset about allowing my voice heard defending Jewish rights within our community. She told me that she would likely, none the less, have to let me go to appease the sole complainant, for what if a lawsuit should result? I told her to consider her options, reminded her that it was only one single individual who hadn't even heard the show's context, and that the broadcast in every other way had been going well, doing good. She told me she was very busy, and said goodbye. Then a short while later, phoned me back to ask if I would write a letter, and have it at the station next day before the complainant arrived for his copy of the broadcast. I said sure, said it would be a great challenge, and proceeded immediately to do just that. The next day, I contacted the station to ask if my arrived letter was forwarded to the individual, and was informed no, he didn't receive it. It wasn't the apology the station was looking for, and my show was now officially terminated. I told her it was very unfair to me, to not allow him to read my formal response, and that I couldn't in good conscience, back down from defending my rights to be heard on this issue, or to allow us, as our public, to hear the complainant's actual complaint about my, freedom for all broadcast. She said she would try to get the letter to him, but that the show was over. Case closed.

Stand up for Jews, and look at where it gets you, Jeeze.

Let us not put aside, the station manager's legitimate concerns. Who has the money or the time for a frivolous lawsuit? Why do expensive independent research, when the Bush Administration can hand us all the free news they want us to have directly without any costly questions? Saddam wouldn't let the inspectors in, right? Why risk standing up for someone else's rights, when doing so, will get the (anti) Jewish-Defamation League calling you an anti-Semite (Semite=Arab) for communicating some understanding with Felton? Felton, is bizarrely quirky as interesting, for his recent book is about the demonization of the innocent, (you know? Nazi gas chambers and ovens?) and as such, we can learn from, as too, we can teach. If he'll listen. Ariel Sharon is not Jewish my friend, NOT JEWISH!!!

Open the gates, it's getting late.

John.

---

http://radio.indymedia.org/uploads/big-package.mp3

Greg Felton : "The fear is necessary for two reasons. And the principle reason has nothing to do with Iraq. it has to do with the government itself. The bush government is criminal. [...] The problem is: how do you keep people from paying attention to this? You keep them focused on an external enemy. [..] so they forment this fascistic hatred of a definable group of people. So, the war on terrorism so called, is not much a way for the United States to protect itself against it's enemy, it is a way for it to create enemies, to justify the kind of repressive measures that would otherwise be intolerable in peace time."

To whom it may concern,

regarding the January 31st broadcasted interview with Greg Felton.

May I, on behalf of only myself, ask that you pardon my failures in judgment, in not allowing what I should have gave: an immediate re-dress regarding, 'who is the Zionist label?', or 'What Holocaust are you referring to?', during the broadcast of January 31st. (bet you we can't get two Christians that agree on everything.) However, I can offer perhaps a show centralized on a chance to exercise your personal views, be they pro or con on where you think we should go from here, or whatever may have you regarding said interview. Or, if you would like, you may wish to do only, or else include, a written work that I promise, will reach as far as the original broadcast. So, actually, this could be a prime moment to have a dialog regarding racism, bigotry, and intolerance. I confess, I may be unaware, and still have much to learn, when it comes to communicating well with all others. But, I know I'm getting better, and I'll tell you, the Justice-Freedom thing, sure seems to be our ultimate calling.

I was not so surprised that the broadcast interview with Greg Felton would be considered controversial, (as every show I've ever done always has), although I wasn't prepared to hear criticism against the show as being defamatory to a group, or groups of people. As certainly that was not my intent, but however was the difficult subject addressed within the interview. The fundamental teachings of Law, as it pertains to justice and freedom, along with every legitimized religion, is that all free people should be thought of as equals to each other in vigilant protection. And by doing so, one would be serving G-d's interests. (Even if a G-d doesn't exist - an Atheist too, could become a proponent by understanding Jihad). Thereby insuring\realizing that a just intelligent life force

rules our chaotic timeless universe in service ultimately to ourselves individually. Giving us in the Torah of Moses, ``all people are created in God's image'' teaching all peoples are Sacred. And as such, would be so in God's interests to defend as the innocent. While in eternal pursuit of happiness and prosperity for all through just means.

Under Greg's definition as being a "Zionist" conspiracy, negates the failure of himself personally to recognize the public connotation is too vague, and that Hitler's Nazi Germany, like Bush's America, is politically expressing an inability to represent ourselves with respect as truly worthy of fair deliberations amongst ourselves. Sacred, every-one. (Or as in, to rise to the defense of those we know to be irrationally victimized as the godly and innocent, victimized in our un-addressed names.)

Greg "This is why the Holocaust is such a very dangerous thing to talk about because, it's not really understood. It's preached at us like a sermon. We're suppose to accept at face value what we're told about it, as if to, criticize it, is some how disrespectful. Much of what we know about the Holocaust has been propagated by the Zionists, and can be shown quite untrue"

I replied shortly later "One thing about the whole situation is that innocent people were targeted for murder. End of story. That's what the war was. All wars are like that."

Greg's mistake I believe, centered in his Zionist labeling through an apparent bigotry expressed, and as such, was too narrowly defining the larger established history of the Holocaust, as being the struggle of the human species in halting, the demonization and then subjugation of the innocent millions, Jewish or otherwise. Perhaps it was strangely on his part a testimony to a real struggle we all have when it comes to identifying ourselves to others. Considering it is the exact subject matter he wrestles with in his book writing, regarding our corporate media's perception of ignoring an innocent people's concern or cries. That said however, for Greg to blankly criticize Greg's false construct of what is publicly understood about the Holocaust, is to deny that much for sorrow and suffering took place against the whole of Humanity, especially, of those who held the practiced Jewish faith. To think the nazi regime would have the gas chambers and the ovens, while the whole of humanity were not strong enough to communicate the unjustness of it all to the German people while it was happening. I have read of a recent documentary that provides film footage of nazi soldiers committing the worst war crimes imaginable to god loving Jews, interspersed with film from the same dated period where, the

German people were living civilized lives, left unaware of the atrocities that were being committed against God in their names. Same as America and Canada today, with Fallujah's 300,000 People being, the undeniable example. Or, are you too, a convinced denier of that which is fully documented? (Needless and irrational was the indiscriminate murder of the people of Fallujah, but for to have more American soldiers sacrificed in a conflict created by an unjust as immoral totalitarian dictate.) The Holocaust deniers are those the same of today, who refuse our Humanity to nationally communicate those screams of mercy, or for justice regarding the People of Iraq to be served in our true interests as legitimate. More than ten million Russians died fighting the evil eugenic scourge of the "superior" nazi regime, and like those in Poland, Britain and France, as elsewhere testified our established history, (albeit only later), the sadistic ungodly as lawless barbarity that Nazism inflicted upon the general masses, went largely undeterred from our "informative" television and radio broadcasts. The same, almost word for word, and action for action, can be found in Rumsfeld's "blitzkrieg". Bush's freedom by tyranny doctrine. Or the criminally sanctioned unchallenged news pronouncements of a Mark Kimmit, Buford Blount, Steven Russell, Mattis, Allawi or Sattler, when it pertains to accepting without our objection, to the victimizing of Iraqis collectively as an indiscriminate act of criminality, like murder. As like in the policies of Ariel Sharon, where an innocent, haven't committed an accused crime in their life Christian Palestinian, is denied the earned home they worked and paid for, on a parcel of land shared up until recent times for countless millennia. My personal struggle has been to inform the public on the "forgotten" importance of established evidence, being the only requirement to convince ourselves on who is a bigot, tyrant, a criminal, or a murderer. Will you not therefore, as a fellow humanitarian of this shared world, speak against those you know of, who victimize the innocent to thieve from? Sure you would, if you knew as much for certain about God and this universe, or the under reported, freed to go General Ahmad, funding the mastermind ring leader Mohammad Atta of Bush's 9/11 game. But will together our Humanity ever learn? Well, my plan is to search for guests to speak with, that I'll try not to offend early on. Greg knows, like the Pope knows, as every legitimate police officer worth their grain of salt knows, as so too does include a great many Jewish Jews, George W. Bush used absolutely no evidence to substantiate his therefore, false accusations in our names against bin Laden, while then ardently with Cheney, worked to close outstanding criminal investigations regarding their extremely suspicious behavior. All according to his official publicly documented plan. And speaking the news as thus, does not make anyone anti-Semitic,

anti-American, or anti-Human, but pro-Jewish, pro-Christian, pro-Muslim, pro-Justice pro-Freedom, almost wholely human like even. Not of a long gone as lost and forgotten religious dogma, but for now as it always has been found, a mutual respect for all life as we find ourselves being part of. Or, so we would for Atheists, argue in all likelihood to get to the same reasoned to be, just political decision, to this or any another life and death matters matter.

Because the unjustified criminal war actions of George W. Bush are our shared documented history, others, with an ulterior agenda to propagate their weakness through bigotry or racism, will arbitrarily blame a subjective grouping of people, instead of demanding by godly individual might, the arrest of specific individuals who are actually responsible according to the established as public evidence. So, now who's fault is that? We live in difficult times. To admit weaknesses regarding our corporate news control content decisions, is to seek a better world for our lives through stronger open communications.

I believe the defense of the Jewish faith is found in the indivisible properties of Creation, and who's central belief is made simpler than any other. Hillel was asked: Rabbi, who is a Jew truly? Hillel answered, "What is hateful to you do not do to your neighbor. That is the whole Torah. The rest is commentary -- go and learn it." So my challenge in defense of the Jewish faith during the interview, was that those who practice criminal misdeeds, are therefore found by the official definition taught by Hillel, to be not practicing the "Golden Rule" of the Jewish Faith. (as so pronounced by the religion's recognized ancient oratator.) By calling alleged criminal actions, the conduct of an entire people, faith, or branch thereof, is to fall into the fascist minutia of bigotry, or racism, held by those who judge us "others" unfairly. After re-hearing the interview, I did find the allegations made by Greg, regarding the Holocaust being blanketed by falsehoods, generally, a failure on his part to recognize the true horror of what that war wrought. And in light of our desperate challenges today of defeating the enemies of Humanity as specific unjust individuals in "hiding", an ignorantly stupid thing to say. While I, perhaps wasn't thinking along those conceived lines, where at that time, I had just left the thought of: the struggles of the Jewish faith, are the same struggles all young adults go through by naturally establishing our associative identities. Who are you I truly ask? How many news channels even allow us as Humanity to know about the absent reason for the bombing of the Jews of Samara? The Jews of Fallujah? The torturing of completely innocent People to death, as in Guantanamo? Or still unaddressed publicly: the willful lawless criminality implemented by the, therefore tyrannical Bush Administration in suffering God's Iraq? (See

missing ``Development Fund of Iraq'', or private mercenaries discipling for Bush as deemed un-accountable to every conceived ``natural'' law? [see the entire Bible for the judgment call on that one...] or, Bush's declarative, he magically owns everything in stolen Iraq now, [where did it go?] and I can't say anything because he signed his John to 13303 some time back, but as still is left unreported in Your dying world?)

Publicly question our reality, by taking the station up on doing an interview with me, along with your own impressions of our world. Or, if you prefer, you can do a solo written piece, or a recorded statement for the station. I look forward to finding common ground with your concerns. I hope I have got you wondering more, about what trying to save our world from injustice and slavery, in whatever way we can is all about for me really. In these words I can say, I apologize to you, for a resulted perception, that wasn't with better words, my true intent towards us people together.

I will, after some small revisions of this paper, include it as well as the broadcast package. So I do thank you for bringing up your important view, that otherwise, would have gone farther without this opportunity, that I offer as a starting point for deliberation, or argument regarding my foolishness. Thanks for speaking, and I hope I have met most of your concerns with a positive light on where I'm trying to come from.

John.

----

http://radio.indymedia.org/uploads/benderman.mp3

The Benderman Cause

I felt compelled to support the Benderman's cause in any way I could, so I am providing America some arguments that I would use in a court of law to defend your freedoms. If America would so desire, I would also be prepared to make a recorded statement from here in Canada. (I have been denied access into the U.S..) My positions of rational argument are naturally based upon our established facts, and as such, are something few Americans are afforded the luxury of through corporate channels. I hope my forcefulness on these issues does not fear you to doubt I have the best of intentions when it comes to serving the interests indivisibly inherent within the American Constitution. God, I believe is just, and as such, the ungodly war actions of George W. Bush dictate are criminal in the worst degree, being a harbinger of

suffering and misery against all of Humanity as
US being the innocent. The innocent in our entirety,
is my "God" that I love with all I can will. I am
compelled as a living human being to defend America
to the last bush supporter praised if need be. I fight
the enemy of God and Humanity with reason,
understanding, Akeido, and a conviction that our
justice system must prevail for me to be truly myself
really.

"He explained to "60 Minutes," "I was told in basic
training that, if I'm given an illegal or immoral order,
it is my duty to disobey it. And I feel that invading
and occupying Iraq is an illegal and immoral thing to
do."

The war was declared "illegal" by the U.N..
Why? Because Saddam wasn't found in breach
of the internationally agreed upon resolution 1441,
(Saddam did EVERYTHING POSSIBLE that was
asked of Iraq by the bush administration, and then
some Mr. Bush refused to even take him up on.
Like national Iraqi television broadcasts.) despite
the lies quoted by corporate news America. There
was no need for the conflict to begin with as was
so reported internationally on march 7th, 2003. U.N.
inspectors had complete unrestricted access to go
anywhere without delay, and known stock piles of WMD
were burned, so could not possibly be
completely accounted for by evidential findings
due to the fact that they were destroyed beyond
recognition. American soldiers were put in harms
way to be sacrificed for no better good, but for to
allow as has happened, the misappropriation, or as
some have alleged, the criminal theft of Iraqi
resources, including monies of the Iraqi Development
Funds through Presidential decree 13303. Which
include the unappropriated, desperately needed,
humanitarian aid provisions still denied innocent
Iraqis, such as potable drinking water and food
stuffs. Democratic principles are DENIED the
Iraqis by the neo-con military forces, such as holding
all individuals equally accountable to a rule of law.
The outlawing of torture, or the outlawing of false
imprisonment has not been professed as the action of
the neo-con "liberating" force. Mercenaries are not
obliged to obey any laws for example. And, "Dr.
Germ", and "Dr. Anthrax" are held solely for the
fact that they know of the scientific hazards of
depleted uranium, and may have warned the
uninformed sacrificing American GI, if not held

illegally against their wills by the enemy.
Commanders such as irrational war criminal
Sattler, forbade all men and boys between 15 to 50
from leaving the city of Fallujah, while refusing to
negotiate with anyone, (because as so was openly
confessed, Sattler had no evidence that Zaqari was
in Fallujah) then leveled the city to kill all it's
inhabitants, estimated at that time near 300,000
people. Using a napalm type WMD, cluster dud
land mine munitions, and as reported, nine two
thousand pound bombs in a city 3 1/2 km by 4 km.
Serving for a military not strong enough collectively
to prosecute such lawless criminal barbarity, is
serving for a military not principled in the American
virtues of freedom and democracy. In truth,
serving for such ungodly criminal command is a
cowardly act of treason. George W. Bush is an
enemy of our Humanity.

Copy and distribute this personal challenge freely.
Thanks.

--

I know we're all expected to be selfish, in helping out those others
who cry out for our help, but I beg you, I can't do this alone. My
show did make a positive difference. I need your help in asking I
face my accuser, and politely, with reason, using communication,
and understanding, bring about a better world.

World Productions.

--

Can I Get a Witness?

"It is now argued that because demon bush is President,
it therefor doesn't matter where the actual crimes scene
evidence for the murder of thousands in America leads to
according to the FBI, for George Walker Bush (666) in
above all the laws of the universe. Despite! the
reason they obtained the warrant, was to charge me for
"threatening the life of an international person", they
instead decided not to as saboteurs of liberation. They
argued after the warrant gave them my personal
possesions, [...]"

Don't miss the rest of the inside scoop to the up
coming public Johnny Wizard trial, cradled within this
last testimony of a King left forsaken by all of America
as unworthy of public discussions in our media.

> http://www.chapelhill.indymedia.org/uploads/bushite-kills-bushite.mp3

> "..the newspapers don't tell you about it,
> why do you think that is soldier grunt,
> nazi whore, dying slave?"

> http://la.indymedia.org/uploads/wizards.mp3

> Word of Advice
> http://la.indymedia.org/uploads/advice.mp3

> Dream-Job
> http://la.indymedia.org/uploads/somebody.mp3

Seriously Folks

Napoleon Bonaparte wrote forming governments are forged only through the public witness of shared mutual experiences, simple, yet, the bushite strategy implemented continually on behalf of the enemy forces in Iraq have been to divide the nation's people with different "legal" definitions, while speaking for typical bushite bigotry, by then offering distinctions of human value between US some from "others". Not freedom, nor democracy. [Remember: Evil is dumb]

Example: Allawi forbade surviving Iraqi doctors and nurses from medically treating any of the estimated 200,000+ innocent victims from Falluja [IRAQI vicinity].

Example 2: DYING two-bit bushite war with "foreign" soldiers paid especially more than a thousand dollars a day as self confessed RACISTS, and THEREFOR, left "officially" too weak as, "pissing post illiterates" to go after real terrorists who torture the innocent, or real thieves, that have stolen BILLIONS from the Iraqi Development Fund. Done all to sacrifice life's purpose for no better good to the ANTI-CHRIST EVIL bush "cabal" of lying neo-con Christ haters. Allthewhile stating the objective worth dying young America as bushite for is "Democracy" in Iraq. But, isn't a founding principle of any democracy to outlaw thefts, sexual assault, murder? Again, bushite kills bushite for a stolen nickel that they just as soon, stole from anybody. If you can believe it. We need YOU to make some effort to engage American talk mediae. Perhaps it's only YOU, who hasn't yet heard a responsibility to this once greatly revered world of wisdom, of the enchanted, and mystical, or of the tyrannical as hell bound for suffering and sorrow. ,in which you share allegiance too my friend.

Being caring and compassionate for the victimized innocent not only feels good, but it is a choice we would make at being alive to help US survive. To not speak against the bushite war crimes against YOUR Humanity, is to not speak in defense of our ancient

dying God no longer found worthy of you Peoples humble respect. Currently corrupted bushite want my voice of truth silenced by censorship with false imprisonment, and those who advocate such hatred for myself and our humanity, speak no public word or disagreement on my allegations of high treason by Our Mr. bush Jr.. While I want to openly debate the, "censorship for tyranny", corporate talk radio bushite bitches on their furthering commitment to not allow objections regarding their contempt for true justice and freedom in America. But if you singly decide not to support my cause, like corporate news control encourages, We will be left forsaken, again. God is Just to the core, like Johnny Wizard tries to be, while bushite liars lie, cheat, and steal from America as ourselves measured unworthy for deliberation to gain true understanding. The Coast to Coast radio network in America disallows America learn for why American soldiers are currently sacrificing their LIVES irrationally. Why? Because there is no legitimate reason, while bushite pretend in YOUR name, that there must be another reason but for to rob our humanity in George Bush Jr.'s name. For if there truly wasn't another reason, not so then blind, stupid, and cowardly American soldiers wouldn't be too happy about the wealthy corporatist bushite commentators silencing America's dying cries by bushite enemy command. Now would they? Remember: wealthy blind and stupid bushite kills/gets killed, poor blind and stupid bushite for a stolen nickel - a nickel in all likelihood, they rifled as thieves from some pensioner, that just as soon, would be their own "grand" parents. Or Yours as someone elses beside.

Phil Donahue had the highest rating, number one money making news commentary show on NBC as it was canceled. Why? Too good as enlightening and INFORMATIVE. Then there was the recently canceled Crossfire. Or how about Barry Zwicker on VisionTV as Canada's greatest broadcast before it too was canceled. Why? Too good as enlightening and INFORMATIVE. VisionTV, a "religious" channel now likely forbids anyone to suggest that God would be good as just, and REALLY INTELLIGENT. Forbidden knowledge we are the corporate bushite insist with Your stolen rights. Why so? Because Americans might learn of what it is to be a true Christian, and therefor stop to have arrested else immediately executed for high treason regarding 9/11: the enemies of Johnny, Justice, God and Humanity, the evil doers George W. Bush, Rumsfeld, and Condolezza Rice. Alone with Myers and Cheney for good measure. Then, those responsible for

the needless bombing of Samarra and Fallujah.

Under EVIL bushite tyranny, We are criminally fighting for needlessly dying as bushite here, but corporate newsCASTers don't find "our" costs worth getting a discussion group going, after all, American soldiers who die for the bush regime, as the kept in the dark, will less likely be going after the real bad guys for 9/11 then right? Corporate America refuse US just basic rights of legitimacy to at least address the absent war reason issue from time to time - Jeeze. But no. As bushite, they're prepared to not inform the bushite soldier that depleted uranium is radio-active, that the stolen American Enron pension money is sitting in the Cayman Islands, or that the war itself has no justification. See, the bushite war is a TRUE CRIME against all Peoples of Humanity, for the war against Iraq has no reason available to explain enemy military action, but for to die thieving from ourselves as the bad guys. The Enemies of Freedom. Why we have Law. God is Just, and evil is just the slavish ignoramuses who censor our Words of empowerment for freedom through Justice represented indivisible as Eternal. We rule.

Therefor, George Walker Bush is guilty of treason to US all, not only including your one and only King Johnny Wizard as Heaven's afar, but also the not going anywhere but yet still sacrificing ungloriously as the stupidly blind as almost completely incoherent,. devote bushite nazi dumfuk soldier grunt enemy vermins.

Will you not help these words reach a larger audience? I ask you to consider your helping me can do good for your real world too. Perhaps it is ONLY the single individual that hasn't yet understood, this once greatly revered world of the Word is of which you share allegiance too.

Speaking for a just battle of freedom for all humanity, is a right you could exercise in brightest intentions of a muted God who sure could use your help right about now man. Our family is in so a desperation for needed support. I am fearing your fears have got you believing, you have no dying right to speak against Mr. bush Jr. for the crimes he has committed in Your name, but You do. Think of it this way: anybody who stays silently connected secretly to the crimes of nine-eleven, can not be trusted as a sacrificing bushite thief by the enemy bush administration. [Secretly speaking in all strict certainty.]

The warrant that allowed the confiscation of my computer equipment, was to prove that I was the one and same who demanded true justice for our humanity by following the crimes scene leads for nine/eleven, arresting those responsible, or killing the escaping culprits, bush, rumsfeld, and condolezza rice by the hand of our guns. (Why do you think Police officers carry guns after all?) It is now argued that because demon bush is President, it therefor doesn't matter where the actual crimes scene evidence for the murder of thousands in America leads to according to the FBI, for George Walker Bush (666) in above all the laws of the universe. Despite! the reason they obtained the warrant, was to charge me for "threatening the life of an international person", they instead decided not to as saboteurs of liberation. They argued after the warrant gave them my personal possesions, (hundreds and hundreds of video tapes, ALL MY WRITING, computers, disks, along with approximately 50,000 tasteful images.) That the reason for the warrant being originally issued, no longer, if ever, was justified. For if they were calling for justice, the demon bush, and his co-conspirators might get caught by America, and pay the ultimate price for their treason by death sentence. After two self confessed PRO-BUSHITE (support death squads against Afghanistan school girls, and propping up the heroin trade by arming women slave traders) "officers of the law" reviewed my images, they now contend, that several can be argued to be illegal in an almost fixed to win proceeding. (No more than a small few pictures of beautiful girls in different states of undress - "Home Lolita" I think.)

The charges they offered to the prosecution to argue for a "life term prison sentence" (almost jokingly it was quacked) were complete fiction, of which the crown offered me "a deal". Plead guilty for being a shamed ostracized sex offender, [I'D HUNT CHILD KILLER ENEMY BUSHITE EVERY DAY OF MY LIFE IF I HAD THE BUS FARE AND THE AMMO] and maybe, the Judge won't argue for a long term prison sentence for another charge that has absolutely nothing for substantiation. Substantiated, that will surely do nothing but testify to their attempts at demonizing my better name. Nothing doing darkness, the court of Law, is Our court, and I intend to make my case heard among my peers. Here.

Please, I beg you for my life, forward this document to the propper authorities, post these passages to any church, or, phone talk radio America and demand my public case be heard for Your benefit too, or don't and get what we'll deserve by not making a miracle happen with your single sole but sure, human will power!

1. I obtained the questionable images through Usenet group "youth-and-beauty". Images can be downloaded a thousand or more at a time. I can't not perceive the images until they are on my computer! If they had told me they were coming as bushite, I would have been sure to delete anything near objectionable for argument's sake. They laughed when I told them that.

2. The service companies that offer such groups as child-rape and so on, have an easy ability to filter out subjective objectionable material, along with the truly grusome stuff. But they don't, nor, are they found legally liable for not doing so. I suggest the reason is, it would put out a billion dollar industry of these child-porno cops that view real sicko slime routinely, while then arguing anyone who witnesses such depravity must be guilty. Guilty to have their professional lives destroyed, their life invested property removed till whenever/perhaps stolen, and then be publicly ostracized as senseless victims. All because some guy maybe believed women are worthy of worship in the highest respect and honor, while cute adorable boys he couldn't help but feel compeled to cover with praise, or mutual hugs and kisses., as long as there are no other men in the room with him and the gals perhaps one day chatting over maybe child care let's say. (no wonder the two child porn cops in question regarding my public case admittingly were/are staunch bushite offenders.)) I know of no nobler lineage that that of our police officer. For protecting the rights of our children, is what we've always been committed to gaining respect for. We want to do right for ourselves, and despite Johnny, this world really is something remarkable to concider a future with on our own terms as a foundation of wisdom with the ever presnt will to succeed at something greater than first realized. Evolution. The Revolution of reason while jiving with justice for freedom holds many perks per praised principle. Hammurabi's number one thang.

3. If a search warrant can be made against anyone who a criminal bushite finds objectionable, (bush and rumsfeld made the charge against me through the Secret Service, then to the RCMP.) then what freedom fighter is safe from pictures of godly and beautiful children in bath tubs? Swimming? IF, the bushite demands the images appear seductive, and compel them to commit sexual assualts? Or, what if you download a program on cars to have the bushite find, it contains bin Laden's secret where abouts in code? Would you be guilty, and if so, of what? No thinking freely?

So, the warrant brought the officers of law the right to prove my Johnny Wizard writing was of my own, but yet, after doing so, refused to enforce the law by giving me my day in court on the reason the warrant was granted to begin with. Also, I, as anyone can not perceive potentially objectionable material until it is in my possession, while a service provider would have little of no problem. (The still working child porno cops could look for child porno all day, and actually get closer to catching those who proliferate actually objectionable materials. [See?, an internet service provider could defend thus: they're not guilty because the subjective material, that would be questioned, is subjective, and so would the porno cops if they got someone intelligent to defend themselves. But for Christ who needs to know what's going on in the realm?, while loving girls in general like they were his own distant siblings? They'd put Christ up on a cross for loving schoolgirls, by demanding such thoughts come only from a criminal prospective. The problem here is child porno cops can view a suggestive cute girl smiling in her panties, or witness some heinous bushite rape or torture, and measure them equally when charging US for their deviant sexual sugestion. As alas, they refuse to support the Law when it comes to the easily provable criminal actions of enemy bushite, as for would be, the defense of the lives of our innocent children in Iraq mean something to me too.

Anyway, apparently, the reasons for the warrant were not as was so intended. To charge me for threatening the freedom of bush, rumsfeld, and the evil witch condolezza rice. (Can I get some legal advice from someone out there on this then bogus? warrant please?). The Court will (try) argue without allowing ANY voice of objection, that because I demanded the immediate arrest or execution for the individuals responsible for the crimes of nine/eleven, (relying primarily on the Whitehouse, FBI, and the public spewing of the war criminal demon anti-Christ itself,) the prosecutor, it has been alleged, will demand justice not be served in that respect, and that the search warrant that obtained the bushite's evidence against me as a truth teller during their fishing expedition will not be allowed for re-dress. Is this Justice on behalf of God I ask you?

Nature of the Beast

(Again, remember, nobody from the RCMP, the Secret Service, or the FBI, state that I am incorrect in my allegations of treason against the bush administration.)

The prosecutor is going to press for incarceration if I plead not guilty for a crime I'm not guilty of, while my public freebie lawyer, contends my defense of innocence and corporate news agencies forbidding the pursuit of Justice in protection of our Police services, like the honorable FBI officers that contend Ahmad funded Atta will likely not be allowed heard. [Are you a member of a Police Union somewhere, or know of someone who is? Or, do you just not give a care for you fellow officers either?] See?, bushite falsely believe bush can not be arrested for nine eleven, no matter where the actual evidence leads, because he is not judged an equal among men by corporate news standards, but as the anti-Christ, FALSE deity, an enemy to every free person standing.

That is our, for real court case against the Bush administration as it stands today. While some have warned, bushite are pushing to have me taken in now, to try stopping this from speaking in our Humanity's true defense. They just might succeed, if you decide no care for Freedom from tyranny either..

This is was/is/will be my last Wizard Wire public service announcement regarding this, George Walker Bush (666) wars America, Humanity, and me as the Lord of Creation. I've said everything I can say twice over, and if you can't find it within yourself to pass this post on to every church corner, with a, "I love life too" set of priorities, I can guarantee you no greater reward. But for to be present if not in person, but in spirit during my public trial where the bushites will publicly judge my character unworthy, while attempting the silencing of our own voices for Justice as We stand in TRUTH, indivisible. Be it known, the prosecutors of Law in my case have read Johnny Wizard's "The Trial and Conviction of Our Mr. bush Jr.", and likely a few others that will be asked by the hiding in darkness bushite enemies to completely disregard as crime scene evidence. It's going to be a case worth getting to, considering the newspapers, talk radio stations, and National News desks are expected to forbid honest coverage of by the higher ups at corporate command. Call your Church group to organize a call for Johnny!

Or don't.

I thank all those with whom the true liberation of Humanity from tyranny could not have been made possible without themselves individually. I know it seemed like no one was listening, when we spoke for God's cries, but WE knew better, and we knew soon, all of US will then learn it too, defending God is of no greater privilege.

So, cheers to You, our Humanity's defenders of the human challenge, for being there to speak for this life when we needed You as a contributor. Fare thee well with your pride and honor, and no bars will ever hold your undying spirit.

/ / God bless America by destroying the enemy evil anti-Christ, Our Mr. bush Jr. Yourself. \ \

Your stranger then fiction,

Johnny Wizard

See you on the other side of whenever,
Your ever present bids you now,

an adieu.

Johnny Wizard

Chow.

--

Trooper - "Nobody's going to help you, you just got to stand up alone, and dig in you heels, and see how it feels to raise a little hell of your own."

--

/ / That's why writers as diverse as David Hume, John Maynard Keynes, and Friedrich A. Hayek have pointed out that all governments rule by public opinion.

...and Mises observes that "In the long run there is no such thing as an unpopular government," improving on Joseph de Maistre's claim that, "Every nation has the government it deserves." \ \

Yeah, but the enemy bushite criminally victimize more than themselves as enemies to all freedom loving human beings.

--

/ / Without evidence our accused is ourselves as the innocent, being falsely imprisoned, tortured, or murdered. \ \

--

Abodah Zarah 22a-22b . Gentiles prefer sex with cows.

--

/ / ``The Pentagon and the CIA have asked the White House to decide on a more permanent approach for potentially lifetime detentions, including for hundreds of people in military and CIA custody whom the government does not have enough evidence to charge in courts,'' the Post reported. \ \

Not enough for you?

--

/ / Is this satire? Or is this why the US has contracted to build massive prisons to house those they will never charge due to lack of evidence, but hold however long they please? See Dana Priest, Washington Post, January 2 \ \

--

/ / ..said William Winkenwerder Jr, assistant secretary of defence for health affairs "We have no evidence of maltreatment by physicians, or of physicians participating in torture or torturous activity," he said. "We just do not have evidence of that." \ \

What world does William Winkenwerder Jr think we live in anyway?

It was the revelations that the signed sqiggles on the bogus death cetificates weren't made by the actual medical practicioners on charge in Guantanamo. Now, there might have been one or two involved, as has been confessed, HOWEVER, we do know that the death certificates were forgeries to cover up the crimes of bushite nazi enemies. So, count the forgeries, and you'll count the actions of the truly unjust and evil.

--

/ / No one is able to define the mission of the troops in Iraq, or for how long this mission will last. No one at all, including George W. Bush, can explain the US strategy in Iraq. This is because there is no strategy. With the Iraqi resistance raging, it is not clear why the US is occupying this country and why the US is so willing to sacrifice its soldiers there. \ \

Well the strategy is to cash in on the bushite war criming thieves spree actually. Cowardly soldier's die in Iraq, and the bushite fat cats lounge in the lap of our stolen luxuries through the leverage of the mallable dying amerkan mind.

--

/ / U.S. troops targeted by a roadside bomb have mistakenly killed two Iraqi policemen and two bystanders hours after an American warplane bombed the wrong house[..]

An unnamed bushite quote It expressed deep regret for the "loss of possibly innocent lives". \ \

Wouldn't you kill the traitor who spoke such contempt for Humanity? The rewards of indiscriminate murders against the unknown innocents in bushite amerka's ungodly name, gets yet another lowly forsaken bushite rightly killed for bad wordcrafts on a make believe sound stage they think they only have us party to.

--

/ / "In the exercise of his power to use military force, 'the president's decisions are for him alone and are unreviewable.'" \ \

--

/ / "And they schemed and God schemed, but God is the best schemer." (Quran 3:54) \ \

--

/ / Hence, it is up to the people of the United States to compel the US occupation authorities to at least abide by minimum acceptable standards of civilized nations. The progressive community should demand the unconditional release of Dr. Huda Ammash as part of its campaign to end the illegitimate US occupation of Iraq.\ \

--

/ / The agency's statutory responsibility is to speak the truth, whether the truth supports the president's plans or not. It would appear that this concept is not shared by this administration. \ \

--

/ / The incriminating FBI email dated 22 May 2004, indicates that president Bush "personally signed off on certain interrogation techniques in an executive order." (See original at

http://globalresearch.ca/articles/FBI.121504.4940_4941.pdf). \ \

--

/ / And these two men - 1974 Defense Secretary Donald Rumsfeld and Ford Chief of Staff Dick Cheney - did this by claiming that the Soviets had secret weapons of mass

destruction that the president didn't know about, that the CIA didn't know about, that nobody but them knew about. \ \

/ / In other words, what we are dealing with is the criminalization of the State and its various institutions including the criminalization of Justice. \ \

Platform of the United Iraqi Alliance
By Juan Cole

--

opinion
by Iranian-Shi'ite

/ / I don't really care how women or men dress in their own countries. I have no desire to tell them how they should dress. But if they come to our countries and try to denude our sisters or daughters, then they have declared war. \ \

--

spokesman Lieutenant Colonel Barry Johnson said:

"I'd like to think that these claims aren't true...but I don't know. If someone is doing this to detainees, they deserve to be taken down."

--

/ / "The US government is trying to silence Dr. Ammash's outspoken criticism of the US role in causing cancers and other illnesses in Iraq through its own use of biologically hazardous weapons such as radioactive deleted uranium." \ \

/ / ``Such a blatant statement acknowledging the commingling of his official duty to ensure a fair election with his partisan duty to re-elect President Bush, made in a political fund-raising appeal, evidences Secretary Blackwell's poor judgment at best, and the manipulation of election administration for partisan purposes, at worst,'' the report asserts. \ \

--

Breaking Camp

I will advocate full compensation for every mistreated soldier who had been tricked into believing something without reasoning.

Johnny condemns George Walker Bush (666) to death for the crimes he has committed against God's Humanity.

Let it be understood, that Saddam gave America 100 percent access to do anything the anti-Christ wished without delay prior to the needless military conflict beginning. So, every treasonous thieving bushite life taken, is done in protection of God, and the American dream. See?, TRAITOR bushite Art Bell's amerikan talk radio forbids BY CENSORSHIP anyone in America to speak in the defense of the undefended American People. Art Bell, America's enemy, with ensure that America "never" learns that bush's business partner, General Ahmad, funded 9/11's mastermind ring leader Mohamed Atta, or that depleted uranium is measured radio-active, or most especially, that billions of STOLEN American pension money is sitting in bushite bank accounts in the Cayman Islands. Instead, he'll do another show on UFOs, or bigfoot, while broadcasted to the wordless bushite grunts their dying is for naught. Who cares?, bush's war against freedom in America isn't anything bushite amerika ever wants to get persnickety about.

http://www.chapelhill.indymedia.org/uploads/bushite-kills-bushite.mp3

/ / Who cares? CNN is not going to cover it, we know that. CBC in Canada, they're not going to mention it to anybody. It's no concern of themselves to show a caring word for the [thieving] sacrificing soldier. \ \

The EVIL bushite liars die for no better good, but as the ungodly bringing further hardships against US innocent people they target for murder. God says, the Magna Charta says, the American constitution says, let US all arrest or kill the TRUE ENEMY bushites instead. "See lawless bushite, kill it in defense of all that are innocent", I always say as the Son of Man and Living Creator. No joy greater than saving the innocent victims of the enemy bushite thieves, torturers, and first degree murderers. WHO WOULD DISAGREE? in a true Democracy?

Every American soldier who dies as a bad guy in Iraq, dies for cowardly worshiping bush instead of Life. The demon anti-Christ as their false, untrue, dummy deity, sends them into harms way for only to steal from the innocent. The innocent left in God's trust. There was nothing that could have better been done in Iraq by bombing the country. The blind attacking of the population, along with it's functioning public institutions, brings only the STOLEN cash rewards on trading in the American soldier's LIFE as a fascist criminal. All that is bought to by putting cowardly

bushite soldiers in bush's un-needed conflict, is to steal from their very own families back home left almost completely undefended. [Have no fear, Johnny Wizard's here!] A criminal military force that deems some soldiers from Apartide fame as "un-accountable" to American or "Iraqi" law, while paying them a thousand dollars a day or more as rewarded racists who fight allong side black and Hispanic dying bushites, to not allow equal rights for those classified by different colorations. Allthewhile with spokespeople smattering the sacrifices are to bring democracy together in Iraq to arrest bushite for thieving then? [No matter whomever you are, George W. Bush is truly evil to God in YOUR name too see?] Regular Joe in the Army, 47$ before expenses concurrent and impending.

I will advocate full compensation for every mistreated soldier who had been tricked into believing something without reasoning. Example: those who had been taken in criminally to handing over their military pensions for five year loans at 56%, or a 20,000 loan paid in five with 60,000. No competion exists for this racket because it's an actual crime scene. Guess where American Gis can hear the sales pitch advertised? The Army Times.

No thoughts of understanding are expressed (OR COULD BE) from the soulless bushite on why they are in Iraq dying, or why depleted uranium is harmless, or why depriving Iraqis of medicine along with food and water, is a ploy to win the hearts of every man who owns a gun. Or why the bushite demands through the censorship of tyranny, that Our Humanity has no rights to speak for our freedoms and liberty, or on behalf of Iraqis who have had billions of much needed dollars stolen from themselves by the bushite enemy. (The billed for taking bushite soldier itself, will not receive a penny of the ill gotten gains, just like their own grand parents were robbed of the pensions, and the bushite couldn't find a word of outrage to say against their false idol then either.)

/ / As the soulless disciples of the enemy anti-Christ, Our Mr. bush Jr., their "officially" granted legal immunity from all prosecution means nothing to me as a honorable man defending my humanity, or as living Creator, who brought all into this new world to be free, and can just as soon, take you all nazi bushite out the old school way. \ \

The ungodly evil bushmob fascist totalitarianists are pushing to have US specially arrested. The demon anti-Christ enemy forces have gambled an attempt to find prosecutors and judges, lawyers and defendants, who together wouldn't let the truth be spoken in our own defenses. I have had many discussions with the National Security branch of the RCMP, CSIS, the Secret Service, the FBI, and several commanders in the Canadian Military, and WE all know, this upcoming trial is something a great deal of many are lookiung forward to, however, an agent of law recently approached me to warn, some are working to try having me arrested without bail, and spend maybe a few years behind bars before a trial could not be postponed any longer, all in an attempt to try stopping my words of truth that represent the interests of all defendable legitimate legal enforcements. Canada's system of checks and balances, and our honorable police services have too many good guys in place, to want to silence my calls for Justice by measurement. And, up until now, our security people have advised the bushite case would never fly in a public court room, for Johnny Wizard would just make his case as it stands FACTUALLY DOCUMENTED along with that which was FALSELY ALLEDGED, and the wealthy do nothing corporate news agencies would be caught as culpable to being accessories to first degree murders in New York City, where they deliberately with malicious intent, refused to report on the FBI's findings that General Ahmad funded Atta, or that demon George W. Bush with condi and rummy, had a top secret CRIMINAL plan to invade Afghanistan dated September 9th, 2001. Just like still, CBC and CNN refuse to report any human concern for those murdered irrationally by bushite in Iraq today. No concern for those Humans, but in Asia, where bush isn't yet anyway, thieving in the wide open from God, they can try to make up for lost respect by claiming those People are better People worthy of our commpassion with wall to wall coverage on the suffering we have little of chances in preventing. But for to stop needlessly droping tons and tons of toxic bombs into our Living Earth. It is the bushite enemy who refuse to allow YOU speak in your defense as an Earthling.

However, this being said, I have been recently informed that, since the godless bushite enemies would wish to have our freedoms censored, it will likely be pushed to do so without a public trial allowing a jury of my peers to judge the case accordingly. Up until recently, Guantanamo could never happen in Canada. But now in Canada, the enemies behind closed doors are talking

about sending intelligent innocent people away to be tortured for sadomasochistic satanic tendencies, and further have argued to no one that persecuting People in Our names without evidence, maybe isn't such a bad idea, when you think of all the people we could rob our humanity from like the "popular" and "Democratic" bush regime does. Bushite corporate new censors are fighting for a return to the dark ages. Don't let this happen to YOUR world. Care not for my freedoms as an honorable man who stands with America's FBI, and your given up life wont be worth nothing but as yet another sacrifice to bring further glory and stolen riches to the American cop killer, pension thiever, 9/11 perpetrator, war mongering anti-Christ, Your unbelievably EVIL Mr. bush Jr.. The enemy of God anti-Christ who continues to hold your real life in mistrust and dishonor, while wealthy corporate "celebs", talk freely about their pride in such ungodly sacrifices as Christ's forsaken name.

I ask ONLY you to argue this paper with your best intentions, for I will perhaps not receive another chance to publish, if as usual, the Internet community at large extends me little support in my UNCHALLENGED assertions on who truly committed the crimes of 9/11. A single bushite corporatist sends a complaint to a Internet provider alleging I talk "spam", therefor, without any hearing, private owners are threatened to lose their privilege to communicate, by an enemy bushite protagonist who exercises his "authority" by stating only, "spam", and not even providing my actual post in it's entirety. One Internet service was shut down for the sole reason that discussing George W. Bush as the 9/11 perpetrator in tx.politics, was against the rules, for such subject material must be confined to only a American nation political forum. I talked to the kid on the phone who made that power decision on behalf of all usenet, and let it be known, he knows what he's doing. But "they" can't do this everywhere. I can not "take over" your big old lonely world that you must work to protect for yourself as an equal citizen sworn to freedom. I have written all I need to say about Justice and Freedom, and if the human race can not still find enough care for themselves to propagate my writings for others to read, or demand Art Bell be removed to allow Johnny Wizard to debate the ungodly dumfuk bushite vermins, there truly is no hope for US continuing.

Johnny Wizard

--
/ / The material

indicates that high-ranking administration officials not only approved
of the
abuse, but have carried out a systematic cover-up of these activities.
The
new revelations represent a damning indictment of the Bush
administration,
and make clear that it is guilty of war crimes. \ \

--
8 Year Child Tortured By US Forces In Qaim, Father Threatened
Jan 03, 2005

--

/ / Provance, however, did talk to the German TV crew
about the treatment of children at Abu Ghraib. He
alleges that children were sometimes abused in order to
force their parents to give information to coalition
authorities. \ \

--

Bushite Kills Bushite for a Stolen Nickel

/ / They are enemies of themselves. Bushite will kill
bushite for a stolen nickel. The only reason this
conflict is happening, is so Halliburton can steal this
money from the American tax payer left un-defended by
CNN. \ \

http://istanbul.indymedia.org/uploads/bushite-kills-bushite.mp3

2nd mirror
http://argentina.indymedia.org/uploads/bushite-kills-bushite.mp3

3rd mirror http://imctj.espora.org/uploads/bushite-kills-bushite.mp3

4th and 5th for good measure

http://sandiego.indymedia.org/media/2004/12/107037.mp3

http://rochester.indymedia.org/newswire/display/3275/index.php

http://www.chapelhill.indymedia.org/uploads/bushite-kills-bushite.mp3

/ / What happens when the "Democracy" says, we are
outlawing torture?\ \

/ / Depraved as America is in some respects, [they]
can't find fifty cents to help some homeless vet on the
ground. \ \

--

/ / Yet the American establishment has not seen fit to
allow anyone who opposes the Iraq war to make his or her

case in front of the media. \ \

snpd

/ / A lot of people are too afraid to come out. But after we would warn them, we would go in. You know how? We would riddle the building and every window with high-caliber, armor piercing machine gun fire, then often we would throw in a grenade for good measure. If any civilians were in there they ended up either dead or wounded. \ \

Only possibly done through the "help" of "unbiased" CBC and CNN national news "people". Corporatist "celebs" who purposefully propagandize our airwaves with their LIES by censorship to un-supportingly, steal further from our robbed and dying communities.

Example: General Ahmad funded Atta, and corporate news finds no interest in informing the bushite grunt, that yes indeed depleted uranium is radioactive and toxic as a microscopic heavy metal, and will kill you easily for the ignorance "they" sell impartially as the "unbiased". See?, enemy bushite kills enemy bushite for a stolen nickel., that they likely stole from an American grand parent. I HATE bushite.

--

http://207.44.245.159/article7466.htm

/ / Baghdad was full of booming businesses, restaurants were full, and families walked freely along well-lit parks. Compare and contrast that image with the reality of Baghdad today, and the ultimate corruption that was the oil-for-food programme becomes self-evident. \ \

--

/ / Honorary firefighter Mike Bellone claims he was approached by unknown bureau agents a short time after he and his partner, Nicholas DeMasi, a retired New York firefighter, found three of the four "black boxes" among the WTC rubble before January 2002. \ \

--

/ / The families of those risking their lives in Iraq ought not be reassured but enraged. This was a war of opportunity, not necessity, so there is no excuse for, as Rumsfeld put it, "going to war with the army you have, not the army you might want or wish to have." \ \

--
http://web.takebackthemedia.com/geeklog/public_html/article.php?story=200412151547261

/ / No papers! Well, that meant the suspect was going
to be hauled downtown to police headquarters for a good
beating or worse. Well, thanks to the cowardly members
of the U.S. Congress, in 2005, and at the explicit
direction of the Bush()-Cheney Gang, the above scenario
could become part of our national nightmare. \ \

--
http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/articles/A45373-2004Dec7.html

/ / that a clandestine military task force in Iraq was
beating detainees, ordering Defense Intelligence Agency
debriefers out of the room during questioning,
confiscating evidence of the abuse and intimidating the
debriefers when they complained." \ \

--

/ / Combat veterans wounded in Iraq were left waiting
weeks and even months for proper medical attention at
military bases. According to an officer, their living
conditions were so unacceptable for injured soldiers he
said they "were being treated like dogs." Then the
Pentagon underreported the number wounded. \ \

--

http://www.truthout.org/docs_04/printer_121004W.shtml

/ / A sacked CIA official is reportedly suing the agency
for allegedly retaliating against him for refusing to
falsify his reports on Iraq's weapons of mass
destruction to support the White House's pre-war
position. \ \

--

/ / Ford describes incidents of asphyxiation, mock
executions, arms being pulled out of sockets, and lit
cigarettes forced into detainee's ears while they were
blindfolded and bound."

Ultimately, Ford was strapped down to a gurney and
literally shipped out of Iraq illegally on the basis of
non-existent mental problems - all because he had the
courage to speak out against abuses he personally
witnessed. \ \

--

/ / ``I was faced with being deployed to Iraq to do what
the infantry does, kill people, and I had no

justification for doing so,'' he testified. ``This was a criminal war. Any act of violence in an unjustified conflict is an atrocity.'' \ \

/ / He cited the case of five members in the Mississippi National Guard who had been wounded in a booby-trap bomb explosion. Incredibly, their injuries were listed by the military as "non combat." \ \

/ / Williams's squad stopped a dump truck, and an Iraqi climbed out. "Light him up!" the sergeant ordered, according to testimony, and the squad opened fire, killing the unarmed man. Williams and a squadmate reportedly got into an argument over which of them had scored Company C's first kill. \ \

--

http://www.counterpunch.com/alam12132004.html

/ / What becomes of those military veterans who undergo amputation? \ \

--

/ / What about the damning report of Charles Duelfer and his Iraqi Survey Group, which announced last month that Saddam Hussein destroyed all of his weapons of mass destruction and their programmes in 1991? \ \

--

/ / ...and we were yelling, 'Train to kill, kill we will,' over and over again. I kind of snuck a peek around me and saw all my colleagues getting the red in the face and hoarse yelling - and at that point a light went off in my head and said, 'You know, I made the wrong career decision.'" \ \

/ / Throughout the 12-year Vietnam War, for example, fewer than 100 Guard troops were killed, compared with the 145 who have died in less than two years in Iraq. \ \

/ / Greene County Director of Elections Carole Garman claimed that she had withdrawn access to the voting records at the direction of Secretary Blackwell. \ \

/ / Blackwell not only did not have the authority to take this action, he is actually in violation of the law. \ \

/ / Williams ordered that the Iraqi man, who had been handcuffed and was being held on his knees in front of the house, be brought inside...

William cut off the plastic handcuffs, laid the rifle near the Iraqi and said aloud to other soldiers in the room, 'I feel my life has been threatened.'

Williams then shot the man twice..." \ \

/ / That America has spent billions upon billions of dollars on the war and the occupation and hasn't even managed to provide sufficient armor to protect its own soldiers is a metaphor for the failure of the whole operation. \ \

/ / It is now estimated that more soldiers have been injured in Iraq than during the Revolutionary War, the War of 1812, or the first five years of the Vietnam conflict. \ \

/ / Webb reported that U.S. law enforcement agents complained that the CIA had squelched drug probes of Blandon and his partner Norwin Meneses in the name of "national security." Blandon's drugs flowed into L.A. and elsewhere thanks to the legendary "Freeway" Ricky Donnell Ross, a supplier of crack to the Crips and Bloods gangs. \ \

/ / The Press Release, just issued, headlined "Conyers Alarmed at Efforts to Obstruct Ohio Recount Effort, Calls Witness to Monday Hearing to Detail Such Efforts", outlines the troubling reports, discussed here yesterday, of Ohio Sec. of State J. Kenneth Blackwell (also Co-Chair of the Ohio Bush/Cheney Re-Elect Committee!) reportedly attempting to obstruct efforts by Green/Libertarian Recount Volunteers in Ohio over the last several days. \ \

--

/ / The "Texas Strike Force" members paid their way to Ohio, but their hotel accommodations were paid for by the Ohio Republican Party, whose headquarters is across the street. The hotel worker heard one caller threaten a likely voter with being reported to the FBI and returning to jail if he voted. \ \

/ / In a half-dozen precincts in Franklin County, people who were not inside polling places by 7:30 PM were told to leave - even if they had waited in line for hours. This is a violation of the Voting Rights Act. \ \

/ / In other words, the exit polls were made to conform to a political decision to declare Bush the victor. \ \

--

http://www.whatdoesitmean.com/index575.htm

/ / The massive US Intelligence Reform Bill weighed in at over 3,000 pages and though unread by individual Members of either the House or Senate nevertheless passed all of the legislative hurdles needed in order to become law. \ \

TREASON BIG TIME.

/ / The contract company blamed for the bad food is identified as a small, Qatar-based firm called American Service Center. \ \

/ / From snatch and grab operations to warantless searches, Patriot Act II is an Adolf Hitler wish list.

You can understand why President Bush, Dick Cheney and Dennis Hastert want to keep this legislation secret not just from Congress, but the American people as well. \ \

/ / MIT and the Pentagon have been involved in a fraud that has promoted a weapon system that will have little or no utility and could cost hundreds of billions of dollars. \ \

--
THE SON OF MAN

/ / Anybody who supports George W. Bush is my ENEMY. George W. Bush committed 9/11 with his buddy, General Ahmad who funded the mastermind ring leader. That's according to the FBI. Any bushite who gets in my way, who says that isn't the case,

I WILL KILL. \ \

http://la.indymedia.org/uploads/son-of-man.mp3

http://jerusalem.indymedia.org/uploads/son-of-man.mp3

http://baltimore.indymedia.org/newswire/display/9099/index.php

/ / Mr. Sattler, if you don't want US to arrest those responsible for 9/11, don't you think we Americans should kill you? \ \

/ / They got this plan, in it's final stages, just prior to 9/11, to invade Afghanistan. They couldn't invade Afghanistan, without some sort of attack occurring that would instigate an actual war. they got this plan, and it's all about accusing bin Laden for terrorist activities, and they've spent a year or more working on it, or more. And through all the time they put it together, they never thought to include, one single shred of evidence. Knowing already as Taliban fundamentalists, through an experience that Mr. Clinton

had, it is their fundamental religion not to persecute
the innocent. \ \

Johnny Wizard

--

INTERVIEWER: Are you aware that this tank is
contaminated with radiation?

BUSHITE DUMFUK SOLDIER: No, it isn't radioactive.

INTERVIEWER: But we have measured it.

BUSHITE DUMFUK SOLDIER: No, it isn't radioactive, not
this tank.

snpd

> Word of Advice

> http://la.indymedia.org/uploads/advice.mp3

/ / ``They're tough people, and they're
well-disciplined. And there are a lot of them who want
to do the work. A lot of people have left the South
African defense force since Nelson Mandela came in.''

[American tax paid for bushite, $1000.00 a day] Gray
Branfield, a former covert-operations specialist in
South Africa's fight to preserve white minority rule. \ \

My black brothers in the lucative sports industry, pay
the saleries of these, the most racist, of their "loyal"
bushite team. American youths are being purposefully
mislead by the corporate news interests in cashing in on
their DEATHS to thieve from MY Humanity.

Homeless Iraq vets showing up at shelters
http://washingtontimes.com/upi-breaking/20041207-121848-6449r.htm

--

http://mobile.mediamonitors.net/content/view/full/11853/

/ / Naturally, there is no evidence that any soldier
named DeLay and/or Lieberman has paid the supreme price
for the reckless warmongering of that duo. \ \

--

20 Amazing Facts About Voting In The United States

http://www.coastalpost.com/04/12/02a.htm

/ / 6. Republican Senator Chuck Hagel, a long-time
friend of the Bush family, was caught lying about his
ownership of ES&S by the Senate Ethics Committee. \ \

--

> Johnny Wizard's "The Big Blab" "The most influential broadcast ever
heard.
> If you hear anything this year, Johnny Wizard's "The Big Blab" will
be it."

> http://jerusalem.indymedia.org/uploads/the-big-blab.mp3

--

U.S. deserter 'didn't want to have to kill babies'

/ / Mr. House will argue that American soldiers are
guilty of war crimes and that forcing Mr. Hinzman to
fight in Iraq would have made him a war criminal.

He will call as a witness former U.S. Marine Staff
Sergeant Jimmy Massey, who is expected to testify that
he and other soldiers shot more than 30 unarmed Iraqis,
including women and a six-year-old child, at a U.S.
military checkpoint. \ \

--

CNN.com - Aid group 'told to freeze' Falluja work - Dec 6, 2004

/ / Only about 100 families are thought to remain in
Falluja, as most fled the city before the U.S.-led
operation aimed at flushing out insurgents. \ \

Huh? Again, witness wealthy CNN "news" staffers, didn't
even bother to seek for an update on their "about 100",
that had not ever been given. Red Cresent, the day
previous to Dec. 6, no... actually reported on, Dec
3rd!, stated, (from their limited circle of reference)
they had witnessed a minimum of many corpses, of
completely innocent pro-Iraqi women, children, youths,
and men.

/ / Iraqi Red Crescent spokesman Muhammad al-Nuri
estimates that some 6,000 people have been killed, many
of them civilians. \ \

(That is discounting murdered armed defenders of Freedom
in Iraq we can prusume.)

But, again, the true bushite enemies of loyal bushite
enemies, thay'l' "bushite lie" to the bushite nation
about the "holy" benefits of, sacrificing America's
young life., all done for the glorious betterment of the
traitor demon anti-Christ liar, the war criminal, Our

Mr. bush Jr., along with his treasonous evil cabal of anti-semitic Palestinian Christ haters. A compassionate, "conservative", war mongering cabal whos warring of America is done to secretly steal further from the innocence of God in silence. Without a honest to Johnny, bushite killin' God-Man to speak on the nature of reality itself from living Creation's time-less prospective. Naturally leaving ourselves to save all of humanity with my "magic" - guitar, notebook, cybercafes, and super cool Akeido, leaves me in wonder of where life could lead as committed to freedom through justice for all?, as truly ourselves democractically represented?, Miraculous?. or will we choose as ENEMY bushite instead not to, ending to devolve ourselves from existence?

I know of some pretty, almost miraculous words in which can bring about positive change, and man, if you could see the world for America's Dream, where all People are judged not by the color of their skin, but the content of their character, the entire bushmob would be behind bars awaiting trial for treason, and the Iraqi People would have American Forces demanding Allawi be arrested for failing to explain himself regarding the needless bombing of our Humanity in Samarra and Falluja.

--

SEE BUSHITE, KILL IT FOR GOD'S AMERICA

/ / Another humiliating proposal, which even triggered debate among Marine officers in control of the city, is to force all Fallujan men to work in military-style battalions, reported the Globe. \ \

This is the best the ENEMY bushite could dream up in all possible worlds?

/ / His company of tanks and Bradley Fighting Vehicles had roamed the eastern third of the city [Falluja] for 13 days, shooting holes in every building that might pose a threat, leaving behind a landscape of half-collapsed houses and factories singed with soot. \ \

--
http://www.wsws.org/articles/2004/dec2004/iraq-d06_prn.shtml

/ / Of course, none of the assembled media pointed to the absurdity of Bush's comments. \ \

--

http://billmon.org/archives/000172.html

/ / Bush administration official says: "Saddam never had WMDs, and we never said he did." \ \

--

/ / Goldsborough said the reason he was given after the fact is that the column, about why Jewish voters overwhelmingly cast their presidential ballots for John Kerry, was "offensive."

"The publisher said it might be offensive. To whom? \ \

--

/ / twenty-seven Israeli pilots indicated that they would no longer fly missions in the Occupied Territories (Boston Globe). The pilots said "We veteran and active duty pilots are against carrying out illegal and immoral attacks" and they "refuse to continue attacking innocent civilians." \ \

--

KILL ENEMY BUSHITE FOR OUR LIVING LOVING GOD MAN

/ / They should put dynamite in their behinds and drop them from 35,000 feet, the whole pack of scum out of that jail."

Remember, these are the same prisoners that the Red Cross estimates 70 to 90% of them were innocent and later released. \ \

--

/ / It gets worse. We already knew about the Franklin County, Ohio, precinct that tallied 4,258 votes for Bush when only 638 people had actually voted. \ \

--

See? The bushite knows that bush murdered thousands of Americans on 9/11, yet, will advocate fascism, to censor America, from finding the truth for ourselves.

That enemy of God, the demon anti-Christ told US the conflict he began, was because Saddam wouldn't let the inspectors in. While, corporate nazi whores, refused to correct ourselves on our sacrifices, for they enjoy remaining impartial, when it comes to the crimes they inflict upon our humanity. Enemies to all humanity CBC and CNN are by refusing OUR facts to speak for themselves. No betterment could be garnered, by the demon anti-Christ's war crimes against civilization, WITHOUT DOUBT. And, it's only the enemies of our dumfukked and dimmer soldiers, in corporate mind

control, who refuse to allow anyone to state THAT FACT,
for, the enemy bushite would be exposed, and America
would be hunting them down with the Law as the traitors
to freedom they clearly are.

--

http://www.theallianceforddemocracy.org/html/eng/2180-AA.shtml

/ / "We cannot be the home of the thief and the land of
the slave." \ \

--

Bush Lies to Canada

Demon bush spew "I made some decisions obviously that
some in Canada, didn't agree with. Like for example
removing Saddam Hussein, and enforcing the demands of
the UN security council."

Saddam was not found in violation of 1441, so the war
criminal anti-Christ's demands were not our world's, nor
that of the UN Security Council. How does thieving our
assets, destroying our infrastructure, and
indiscriminately murdering more than a hundred thousand
innocent people, (in turn to sacrifice more worthless
bushite nazi teens) bring about their closer fraudster
bushite "Freedom"? Again, CNN, and CBC let the demon
liar spew his hatred of our liberties, without factually
challenging his lies with our un-debatable established
truths. CNN and CBC would "sell" seeing our dimmer
youths sacrificed as bushmob thieves through blatant
bushite lies, to truly, steal our freedoms and liberty
with further murders by proxy.

/ / .. or when [bush] just told you for no reason
possible, why American soldiers are DYING, "Saddam
wouldn't let inspectors in", \ \

This should be a time when the People rise up as angels
of mercy, and hunt with Law to kill George W. Bush,
Rumsfeld, and Condoleeza Rice for the crimes committed
on 9/11. Just because the corporate T.V. doesn't
mention the facts to draw our own conclusions, doesn't
make it not so out of our realms of expertise
as Freedom's true represention.

--
http://www.opednews.com/fitrakis_113004_GOP.htm

/ / "It's about a will to dignity. It's
not too much to ask for our vote to count." \ \

--

/ / Colonel Ron Johnson "If I could have an Iraqi
security force guy who's honest, reliable and
dependable, it's worth five Marines," \ \

--

This is Hockey

US general John Sattler declared: "We have liberated
the city of Fallujah."

Sattler openly lies to the lives of America with this
insult, and the bushite nazi dumfuk, is too stupid or
evil to frag him as enemy proudly for it. The People of
the world, look, see.. bushites are murderous liars,
cheats, and thieves as the enemies of America, who even
lie to THEMSELVES on how many have been sacrificed to
blood the coffers of the American pension thieving war
monger bushite 9/11 cancer. A liar nazi bushite
commander would sacrifice his nation, along with own
family, before saying a word in defence of our freedoms,
our humanity, or our God. COWARDS. American nazi
bushite commander gets killed american nazi bushite
grunts, in dreams they too, can financially profit off
the talentless continuing THEFTS for enslavement to
sacrifice themselves as fascist tyrannies. Hmmm. but
the nature of American freedom, is to be one as everyone
being equal scores. "Gee, maybe some day even you could
be Presidential."

Weak intellectually, prone to cuss, the bushite nazi
dumfuk, is a worthless piece of crap.

http://bellaciao.org/en/article.php3?id_article=4591

/ / I have the impression that there are no other words
except "fuck" and "shit". Each communication, each
order is accompanied by a flow of 'fucks', 'shits' and
'bullshits'. I look at Americans with a pity.

Among the "colored-skin" GIs "Latinos" are largest
group, then Africans and semi-Africans. The officers
are mostly whites but there are also blacks... But I
cannot understand at all, why the US Army soldiers speak
broken English!! \ \

/ / During the five days, while the count was yet
conducted, more than three thousand wounded passed
through our hospital. These were the people who lived
nearby. The people who could be delivered to us.
Nobody knows how many people in the city are dead. \ \

/ / I cannot recognize the city. Only ten days ago it
was an Iraqi town with its regular for centuries Arabic
life. Boling bazaars, noisy streets. And here I am
going through the empty dead city, between the ugly
"pyramids" of destroyed buildings, broken streets, whole
quarters wiped from the face of earth. \ \

--

old nazi tricks

/ / The Red Crescent's Falluja coordinator, Jotiar
Nafaa, estimates that between 150 and 175 families are
left in the city that once had a population of 300,000. \ \

snpd

http://middleeastinfo.org/forum4970

Israeli Soldiers Going In For The Kill: A 13 year
old Palestinian JEWISH school girl is about to die

/ / "It's a little girl. She's running defensively
eastwards, a girl of about 10. She's behind the
embankment, scared to death."

"Anyone who's mobile, moving in the zone, even if
it's a three-year-old, needs to be killed.

..soldiers in the company, [...] Givati Brigade,
complained that they had been "besmirched"

The soldiers said that the commander had fired
two shots at the girl from close range as she
lay on the ground.. \ \

Will all Jews join me in calling for the public World
trial of crimes against God's Humanity, done by these
truly godless fascist nazi enemies of the Holy Jewish
faith? Or, will the anti-Jewish Ariel Sharon crowd
again, march more Jews silently to their graves,
refusing to speak for the Bible's Word that all people
are created in God's loving image?

Victimizing the innocent to steal from, is not acts
becoming of a religious person or a truly "democratic"
government.

1006. New Greater Manchester (UK) Scanning Group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-17 03:20:04 UTC
From: Phil Hope <GMSUK_NOSPAM@softhome.net_NOSPAM>
Message-ID: <5r28119nj62lbcbd5gpfvv9vim8kulhssa@4ax.com>
Source record: 14666
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,alt.radio.scanner,free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner,uk.rec.radio.cb,uk.radio.amateur,uk.local.manchester

This group is aimed at the Radio Scanning enthusiast who is located in (or around) the Greater Manchester area of the UK.

Whilst other groups such as "Scanpromauk" offer a wide diversity of topics and users, they have little or no regionality, and with messages 'coming in' from all of the UK, posts regarding scanning and frequencies relating to the Greater Manchester area are often swamped and difficult to find.

To subscribe, please visit: http://uk.groups.yahoo.com/group/GMSUK

1007. FS: BC246T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-17 03:35:47 UTC
From: bolt thrower <tucansam@whodis.org>
Message-ID: <cv13ejcqi1@reader2.panix.com>
Source record: 14667

Friend of mine has a LNIB BC246T that he is selling. He is asking $210.
He bought it because I bought one but he feels the scanner is too advanced
for what he needs.

Offers welcome as well, please post a follow up here.

1008. VR-150 English Manual.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-17 03:39:25 UTC
From: JB <smokeyscrackpipe@nohope.com>
Message-ID: <421411fd$1@clear.net.nz>
Source record: 14668

Hi,

I have been sent one of the new VR-150 scanners from a friend in Japan. I cant find an English manual on the net, has anyone got one?. Also can anyone confirm that it has a frequency counter and an audio tone for the S-meter?

James.

1009. Re: Listening to fast food frequencies..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-17 03:49:22 UTC
From: Brian Oakley <brianoakley@ispwest.com>
Message-ID: <cv140p0in9@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 14670
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"TomH" <cyberphun@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:pdWMd.273631$Xk.209339@pd7tw3no...
> Haven't you figured it out yet?
> Wow, I guess we can all continue to get away with this as long as no one
> comes right out and spells it out in plain english for these, ahem, where
> was I?
> Oh yeah, well, we want to listen to these frequencies so that we can help
> the forces of order combat the anarchic corporate disruptors who think
it's
> funny to tell hideously obese fast food purchasers that they are too
fat ---
> those rapscallion knaves, they'll get their come-uppance one day. In the
> meantime, those frequencies once again are ...
> It would help if one or more of us came online with the most strident
> exclamations of righteous indignation that can be managed, make it seem
like
> the very idea of this disruptive activuty is just so horrifyingly awful.
>
>
> stifled howls of laughter
>
>
>
> "rb" <123@Ishotmr.lee> wrote in message
> news:wkTMd.8487$0h5.2035@twister.rdc-kc.rr.com...
> >I can't help but wonder WHY would you want to hear
> > fast food orders ????
> >
> >
> > "HellFire" <Damnation@hailmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:Xns95F38A8C8F554Damnationhailmailcom@216.77.188.18...
> >>>> Police believe teenage pranksters are hacking into the
> >>>> wireless frequency of a US Burger King drive-through speaker to
> >>>> tell potential customers they are too fat for fast food.
> >>>> The offenders are reportedly tapping into the wireless frequency
> >>>> at the restaurant in Troy, Michigan. Police believe the culprits
> >>>> are watching and broadcasting from close range.
> >>
> >> Thanks for the list. I just hope I'm close enough to hear something. I
> >> just
> >> got my scanner, so theres still a lot for me to learn about it. I'm
> >> suppose
> >> to have it on FM right?

> >
I had my bearcat running as I drove up to a McDonalds drive through order box and ordered a plain biscuit one morning. After paying and picking up my biscuit, I heard someone over the bearcat say "boy I wouldn't have paid 79 cents for THAT biscuit" I pulled the biscuit out and found out that it had been sitting around the kitchen for a while, it was hard as a rock.
B

1010. Re: BC246T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-17 04:00:45 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <NFUQd.2029$kU3.1038@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 14669

"bolt thrower" <tucansam@whodis.org> wrote in message
news:cv13ejcqi1@reader2.panix.com...
> Friend of mine has a LNIB BC246T that he is selling. He is asking $210.
> He bought it because I bought one but he feels the scanner is too advanced
> for what he needs.
>
> Offers welcome as well, please post a follow up here.

Best way would probably be Ebay. They sell new for just about that price so
I doubt he will get that, but sometimes people will bid something up over
the new price so it would be worth a try.
--
The problem with the gene pool is that there is no lifeguard.

1011. Re: VR-150 English Manual.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-17 04:49:26 UTC
From: Bob Parnass <not-for-mail@fake.invalid>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.02.17.04.49.25.978632@fake.invalid>
Source record: 14671

On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 16:39:25 +1300, JB wrote:

> Hi,
>
> I have been sent one of the new VR-150 scanners from a friend in Japan. I
> cant find an English manual on the net, has anyone got one?. Also can anyone
> confirm that it has a frequency counter and an audio tone for the S-meter?
> James.

I think the VR-150 was made for sale in Japan only and I
don't know if an English manual exists. Please let us
know if you find one.

You are welcome to use tk150, the free, open source software
I wrote for the VR-150. See http://parnass.com for more screen
images, info, etc.

--
===
Bob Parnass, AJ9S GNU/Linux User http://parnass.com

1012. Re: FS: BC780XLT, Uniden HR2510, Pro350XL, Keynote pagers.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-17 05:29:41 UTC
From: Scan Da Bands <vzeip5vc@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <ata811t0p9cnlrbptbgve4nb0smfjnklif@4ax.com>
Source record: 14672
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I know man , I'm just kidding around....

On 15 Feb 2005 21:05:15 +0100, "WA4ODQ" <WA4ODQ@invalid.net> wrote:

>
>"dontspam" <dontspam@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
>news:gvnv01l4d5jteqnj8p1o0gn2oc6k5qhbuq@4ax.com...
>> OK. I will lighten up.
>>
>NOT ME! I NET-COP'D HIM TO HIS ISP FOR CROSSPOSTING!!!!!
>
>I'M EXTREMELY ANAL ABOUT SUCH THINGS...PLUS I FIGURED THEY NEEDED A GOOD
>LAUGH!!!
>

1013. Re: BC780XLT, Uniden HR2510, Pro350XL, Keynote pagers.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-17 05:30:49 UTC
From: Scan Da Bands <vzeip5vc@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <0va811tfb7trhhlb94f18eivjkaio0ohbk@4ax.com>
Source record: 14673
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

C'mon Truckers - talk to teddy bear ..... sniffle sniffle ....

On 15 Feb 2005 21:14:40 +0100, "WA4ODQ" <WA4ODQ@invalid.net> wrote:

>Can I use the 2510 for a CB station? I need to get some smokey bear reports
>10-4.
>>
>"CommCtr1" <pottsladd13@nospam.yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:hGuPd.32027$Th1.10418@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>> Uniden Bearcat BC780XLT mobile/base Trunk TrackerIII scanner. This is
>> complete with box, manual, power supply, and mounting bracket.
>> $215.00 plus shipping.
>>
>> Uniden President HR2510 10/11 meter all mode mobile radio. Complete with
>> box, power cable, mic and mounting bracket. $165.00 plus shipping.
>>
>> 7 Keynote pagers VHF and one model#D06AHC4411, not sure of exact model,
>> but also VHF.
>> All the pagers turn on and were taken of service about 2 yrs ago.
>> These are
>> all used but do turn on and activate.
>> They are on 152.720 and I believe 158.100 is what's marked on the
>> pager.
>> 7- Keynotes A03CJB2568AA
>> 1-D06AHC4411
>> The first $75.00 plus shipping takes them all.
>>
>> Uniden Pro350XL 40 channel CB with scan, channel 9/19 selector,1-4 watt
>> selectable, and weather service receive,(WX). Comes with manual. $35.00
>> plus shipping
>>
>> All items are Cont. US only. USPS money orders only.
>>
>> pottsladd13@yahoo.com
>>
>>
>>
>

1014. Re: Listening to fast food frequencies..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-17 06:47:28 UTC
From: TurboTroll <TurboTroll@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <46XQd.412728$8l.347739@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 14674
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

That is interesting ...
I guess you just can't really tell ahead of time what is going to be a waste
of time and what's going to turn out to be a real howl.

"Brian Oakley" <brianoakley@ispwest.com> wrote in message
news:cv140p0in9@enews2.newsguy.com...
>
> "TomH" <cyberphun@shaw.ca> wrote in message
> news:pdWMd.273631$Xk.209339@pd7tw3no...
>> Haven't you figured it out yet?
>> Wow, I guess we can all continue to get away with this as long as no one
>> comes right out and spells it out in plain english for these, ahem, where
>> was I?
>> Oh yeah, well, we want to listen to these frequencies so that we can help
>> the forces of order combat the anarchic corporate disruptors who think
> it's
>> funny to tell hideously obese fast food purchasers that they are too
> fat ---
>> those rapscallion knaves, they'll get their come-uppance one day. In the
>> meantime, those frequencies once again are ...
>> It would help if one or more of us came online with the most strident
>> exclamations of righteous indignation that can be managed, make it seem
> like
>> the very idea of this disruptive activuty is just so horrifyingly awful.
>>
>>
>> stifled howls of laughter
>>
>>
>>
>> "rb" <123@Ishotmr.lee> wrote in message
>> news:wkTMd.8487$0h5.2035@twister.rdc-kc.rr.com...
>> >I can't help but wonder WHY would you want to hear
>> > fast food orders ????
>> >
>> >
>> > "HellFire" <Damnation@hailmail.com> wrote in message
>> > news:Xns95F38A8C8F554Damnationhailmailcom@216.77.188.18...
>> >>>> Police believe teenage pranksters are hacking into the
>> >>>> wireless frequency of a US Burger King drive-through speaker to
>> >>>> tell potential customers they are too fat for fast food.
>> >>>> The offenders are reportedly tapping into the wireless frequency
>> >>>> at the restaurant in Troy, Michigan. Police believe the culprits

>> >>>> are watching and broadcasting from close range.
>> >>
>> >> Thanks for the list. I just hope I'm close enough to hear something. I
>> >> just
>> >> got my scanner, so theres still a lot for me to learn about it. I'm
>> >> suppose
>> >> to have it on FM right?
>> >
> I had my bearcat running as I drove up to a McDonalds drive through order
> box and ordered a plain biscuit one morning. After paying and picking up
> my
> biscuit, I heard someone over the bearcat say "boy I wouldn't have paid 79
> cents for THAT biscuit" I pulled the biscuit out and found out that it
> had
> been sitting around the kitchen for a while, it was hard as a rock.
> B
>
>

1015. 780 XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-17 11:15:51 UTC
From: cl <clfurent@Yahoo.Com>
Message-ID: <0J2Rd.44720$NC6.38656@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 14675

Anyone have a schematic? I'm in the midst of chasing down a problem, power supply related.

1016. Re: New Greater Manchester (UK) Scanning Group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-17 16:09:41 UTC
From: PromaBoss <Promaboss1@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <9l3Rd.979$N%.383@newsfe5-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 14676
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,alt.radio.scanner,free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner,uk.rec.radio.cb,uk.radio.amateur,uk.local.manchester

sounds good hope you let me join

anyway again if you want the PROMA database i can send to you or maybe if i pull of mancheser and merseyside freqs known ?

regards,Paul

any freqs that do go into email go into the database anyway so one can always check for more local freq needs

"Phil Hope" <GMSUK_NOSPAM@softhome.net_NOSPAM> wrote in message news:5r28119nj62lbcbd5gpfvv9vim8kulhssa@4ax.com...
> This group is aimed at the Radio Scanning enthusiast who is located in
> (or around) the Greater Manchester area of the UK.
>
> Whilst other groups such as "Scanpromauk" offer a wide diversity of
> topics and users, they have little or no regionality, and with
> messages 'coming in' from all of the UK, posts regarding scanning and
> frequencies relating to the Greater Manchester area are often swamped
> and difficult to find.
>
> To subscribe, please visit: http://uk.groups.yahoo.com/group/GMSUK

1017. Re: New Greater Manchester (UK) Scanning Group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-18 02:31:47 UTC
From: Phil Hope <GMSUK_NOSPAM@softhome.net_NOSPAM>
Message-ID: <2hka119sddf70bg2sat08351ltlbviqa07@4ax.com>
Source record: 14677
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,uk.rec.radio.cb,uk.radio.amateur

On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 16:09:41 GMT, "PromaBoss"
<Promaboss1@ntlworld.com> wrote:

>sounds good hope you let me join

Of course you can join, good to see you already have :-)

>anyway again if you want the PROMA database i can send to you or maybe if i
>pull of mancheser and merseyside freqs known ?

If you could pull the Manchester frequencies and post them to the
group I'd be grateful. Maybe we (the group) could check them and make
sure they're correct and up to date.

>regards,Paul

Regards,

Phil.

--
Greater Manchester (UK) Scanning Group
To subscribe, please visit: http://uk.groups.yahoo.com/group/GMSUK

1018. Re: Antenna advice - best super gain

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-18 02:57:08 UTC
From: BJ <nospam1@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <8QcRd.37531$Dc.974@trnddc06>
Source record: 14678

Which one do you have, what's the price, and how many radios do you feed from one antenna? I am seriously considering a dressler...replacing a damaged discone.

Any good links or pics

"Brian" <victhedog@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:73i711lrlnkc5lmlsse251sqcd82br3bhu@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 01:05:16 -0500, "bluecool" <stef@stefanbecker.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Hey,
> >New to the boards. Cool topics. I live in a city area and want to pick up
> >much more than the rubber duck that came with either of my portables. I
> >want something easy to hook up that will help me get train frequencies
> >and maybe ships at sea (about 4 miles from my house).
> >
> >I bought a Discone off Ebay, for my roof but it gets less than my rubber
> >duck! Could be the poor coax I used, but still, I lost all the NOAH
> >weather freq.s and I only gained some snowy CB trucker signals on 19.
> >
> >I'm now looking at the indoor flexable antennas like the C.Crane 15.75"
CC
> >Super Gainer.
> >Anyone know these?
> >Do they work?
> >
> >Thanks.
> >
> >bluecool-
>
>
>
> get yourself a dressler active antenna and scan the world

1019. Re: New Greater Manchester (UK) Scanning Group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-18 09:26:08 UTC
From: PromaBoss <Promaboss1@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <QwiRd.225$xU5.200@newsfe1-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 14679
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,uk.rec.radio.cb,uk.radio.amateur

will get working on that soon ref the freqs

regards,Paul
"Phil Hope" <GMSUK_NOSPAM@softhome.net_NOSPAM> wrote in message
news:2hka119sddf70bg2sat08351ltlbviqa07@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 16:09:41 GMT, "PromaBoss"
> <Promaboss1@ntlworld.com> wrote:
>
>>sounds good hope you let me join
>
> Of course you can join, good to see you already have :-)
>
>>anyway again if you want the PROMA database i can send to you or maybe if
>>i
>>pull of mancheser and merseyside freqs known ?
>
> If you could pull the Manchester frequencies and post them to the
> group I'd be grateful. Maybe we (the group) could check them and make
> sure they're correct and up to date.
>
>>regards,Paul
>
> Regards,
>
> Phil.
>
> --
> Greater Manchester (UK) Scanning Group
> To subscribe, please visit: http://uk.groups.yahoo.com/group/GMSUK
>
>

1020. Re: FORSALE: OPTOELECTRONICS OPTOCOM & SPECTRUM COMMANDER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-18 12:06:56 UTC
From: d.goldstein@att.net
Message-ID: <1108728416.923887.306430@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14680
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,dk.teknik.radio.scanner

See it on Ebay --

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5752973780

d.goldstein@att.net wrote:
> For sale, Optoelectronics Optocom computer controlled conventional
> scanner with trunk tracking of Motorola, LTR, EDACS, PL & DPL
decoding.
> With Bitbanger. Includes all manuals, standard software, antenna,
> serial cable and power supply. Includes PROBE software. Includes
> Spectrum Explorer license with ability to update to Spectrum
Commander
> for free. Like new condition.
>
> $350 + shipping or best offer.
>
> Contact d.goldstein@att.net for further information.

1021. Pro 96 part question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-18 13:16:05 UTC
From: TomCat4680 <tomcatgbmi@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1108732565.354830.149330@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14681

whats the Radio Shack part number for a replacement BNC socket for a
Pro 96?

Tom

1022. Re: For Sale: Mobile / Cell Phone Jammer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-18 14:04:47 UTC
From: tarquinlinbin <braantispam@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <gftb11t4a2cfkc1i0ps01edne1ham0pdan@4ax.com>
Source record: 14682
Cross-posted to: free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.radio.amateur

On Mon, 14 Feb 2005 19:50:21 -0000, "RADIOTWO" <g6yrk@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>These are illegal in the UK
>
So? IMHO we could do with more of 'em !!

1023. Re: For Sale: Mobile / Cell Phone Jammer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-18 14:06:29 UTC
From: tarquinlinbin <braantispam@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <9itb11152ufrftti0c3328todh7k2psb3g@4ax.com>
Source record: 14683
Cross-posted to: free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.radio.amateur

On Mon, 14 Feb 2005 23:08:01 GMT, "PromaBoss"
<Promaboss1@ntlworld.com> wrote:

>what if some people need there phone for 999 work and surveillance/or 24 hr
>call out doctors and so on
>
They can do whatever they did before mobile phones proliferated every
inch of public life..

1024. Manteca CA Scannerist Spots Stolen SUV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-18 16:02:42 UTC
From: zerg90@webtv.net
Message-ID: <18219-421611A2-391@storefull-3131.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 14684

http://www.mantecabulletin.com/articles/2005/02/18/news/news1.txt

A city sanitation worker with a police scanner spotted a stolen SUV that
was wanted in attempted car thefts. A police chase followed.

Peter S

1025. Re: BC246T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-18 17:24:45 UTC
From: Steve G <geie@rocketmail.com>
Message-ID: <UYidneyyebuluIvfRVn-3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 14685

I just bought a different scanner here: www.westcoastmall.net Don't know if they had the BC246, but they shipped fast and had a good price on what I bought.

"Alex Clayton" <alexx1400@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:NFUQd.2029$kU3.1038@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> "bolt thrower" <tucansam@whodis.org> wrote in message
> news:cv13ejcqi1@reader2.panix.com...
> > Friend of mine has a LNIB BC246T that he is selling. He is asking $210.
> > He bought it because I bought one but he feels the scanner is too advanced
> > for what he needs.
> >
> > Offers welcome as well, please post a follow up here.
>
> Best way would probably be Ebay. They sell new for just about that price so
> I doubt he will get that, but sometimes people will bid something up over
> the new price so it would be worth a try.
> --
> The problem with the gene pool is that there is no lifeguard.
>
>

1026. Re: Daytona 500 scanner streaming?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-18 17:53:13 UTC
From: Dwayne <dubbin4@goaway.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1c7ff6b8dd60134f989774@news-server.woh.rr.com>
Source record: 14686

In article <1108486435.842790.218390@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>,
greatfall@operamail.com says...
> Does anyone know of a audio scanner stream from the Daytona 500 this
> year? I know that a guy near Daytona used to put this on the internet
> every year, but I can't find any current info on any webcasts.
>
> Any info would be appreciated.
>
> Thanks
>
> 'The GREAT One'
>
>

NASCAR trackpass with in car audio is free for the Daytona 500.
--

Dwayne
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/BC895/

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Uniden_BC246T

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ScanFindlay/

1027. Lexington Kentucky police data comms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-18 19:50:42 UTC
From: r2000swler@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1108756242.934508.281210@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14687

Talked to a MEtro LEO yesterday and he told me the patrol units
have upgraded to a new data terminal system.
The antennas consits of two units about 2" in diam, and about 3"
high. They are mounted on the trunk of the cruisers about 3'
apart. I have not had a chance top look at the terminal or display
yet.
Does this system sound like anything anyone else has had before?
Terry

1028. Re: FCC Search Site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-18 23:45:54 UTC
From: dvdguy2@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14352-42167E32-358@storefull-3115.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 14688
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

wrote: The same limitation (89:59:59) applies for latitude, so you won't be able to find that transmitter at the North Pole - Santa is safe for a while. :-)

That's what you think. ;)

An episode of "Punky Brewster" reveals that Santa Claus is a drunk alcoholic boozer who lives in a plush condominium in Santa Cruz, and likes to sit by his favorite bush on the warm tropical island.

"Santa Claus lives in Santa Cruz.

He's got the weakness for the island booze.

On the beach, he can pick and choose...."

etcetera.

1029. Re: Antenna advice - best super gain

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-19 00:12:55 UTC
From: Brian <victhedog@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ks0d11lp3h923k9i2lsj71v9oe3hcp87tg@4ax.com>
Source record: 14689

On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 02:57:08 GMT, "BJ" <nospam1@verizon.net> wrote:

think the home page is http://www.dressler.com/products/antenna

ara2000

feeding two radio's
antenna works very good mounted about 60 feet up (it very light)

>Which one do you have, what's the price, and how many radios do you feed
>from one antenna? I am seriously considering a dressler...replacing a
>damaged discone.
>
>Any good links or pics
>
>
>"Brian" <victhedog@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:73i711lrlnkc5lmlsse251sqcd82br3bhu@4ax.com...
>> On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 01:05:16 -0500, "bluecool" <stef@stefanbecker.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >Hey,
>> >New to the boards. Cool topics. I live in a city area and want to pick up
>> >much more than the rubber duck that came with either of my portables. I
>> >want something easy to hook up that will help me get train frequencies
>> >and maybe ships at sea (about 4 miles from my house).
>> >
>> >I bought a Discone off Ebay, for my roof but it gets less than my rubber
>> >duck! Could be the poor coax I used, but still, I lost all the NOAH
>> >weather freq.s and I only gained some snowy CB trucker signals on 19.
>> >
>> >I'm now looking at the indoor flexable antennas like the C.Crane 15.75"
>CC
>> >Super Gainer.
>> >Anyone know these?
>> >Do they work?
>> >
>> >Thanks.
>> >
>> >bluecool-
>>
>>
>>
>> get yourself a dressler active antenna and scan the world
>

1030. Re: Manteca CA Scannerist Spots Stolen SUV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-19 00:26:25 UTC
From: Lars Janqqvist <SpamMeDaddy@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <bq1d119dl48ueumk2i9d8iqjc77pf53qj9@4ax.com>
Source record: 14690

Frightening the yaks, Peter Sz just had to say:

>http://www.mantecabulletin.com/articles/2005/02/18/news/news1.txt
>
>A city sanitation worker with a police scanner spotted a stolen SUV that
>was wanted in attempted car thefts. A police chase followed.
>
>Peter S

Good work by the truck driver. Bad ending in Stockton, but you'd
expect so. (Old time Stockton kid, here.)

--
To reply, DO NOT remove spam from the return address!

****
Monkey wash, donkey rinse
Going to a party in the center of the earth
Monkey wash, donkey rinse
Honey, don't you want to go?
****

1031. Re: Pro 96 part question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-19 00:30:55 UTC
From: bob <noone@nowhere.org>
Message-ID: <uMvRd.30956$4l5.1345451@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 14691

It's highly probable any BNC chassis jack will do. I did not tear in to mine, but from topside it looks like nothing special.

Try www.digikey.com and order a "BNC chassis jack" or pick one up at Radio-Shack (part number 278-105, $2.99)

The labor intensive part will be installing the new jack itself, providing it's the only thing broken.

Good luck,
B.

TomCat4680 wrote:
> whats the Radio Shack part number for a replacement BNC socket for a
> Pro 96?
>
> Tom
>

1032. BC 760 XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-19 01:50:05 UTC
From: cl <clfurent@Yahoo.Com>
Message-ID: <3XwRd.45296$NC6.12451@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 14692

Anyone have a schematic on this unit OR the whole service manual?

This one seems to have some sort of Power Supply problem. No frequency shows up, no or weak audio. When it is turned off and on real quick, sometimes, it will seem to reset, and work - albeit the frequencies in memory are gone. I have resoldered the Solder Joints off of the DC jack, which were loose. Checked a couple components, not sure now where to look with out the schematic. Any ideas?

1033. Re: BC 760 XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-19 01:53:29 UTC
From: cl <clfurent@Yahoo.Com>
Message-ID: <f_wRd.45297$NC6.10339@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 14693

Forgot to mention, if ya have a copy of the service manual, would be glad to pay for any copies.

"cl" <clfurent@Yahoo.Com> wrote in message news:3XwRd.45296$NC6.12451@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net...
> Anyone have a schematic on this unit OR the whole service manual?
>
> This one seems to have some sort of Power Supply problem. No frequency
> shows up, no or weak audio. When it is turned off and on real quick,
> sometimes, it will seem to reset, and work - albeit the frequencies in
> memory are gone. I have resoldered the Solder Joints off of the DC jack,
> which were loose. Checked a couple components, not sure now where to look
> with out the schematic. Any ideas?
>
>
>

1034. Icom 7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-19 03:51:41 UTC
From: suburude <suburude@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <18a5b89331a13e754a964eacb517b33c@localhost.talkaboutelectronicequipment.com>
Source record: 14694

Hi I am looking for a clean Icom 7100 to buy. Let me know

1035. Re: BC 760 XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-19 04:36:14 UTC
From: Bob Parnass <not-for-mail@fake.invalid>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.02.19.04.36.14.205913@fake.invalid>
Source record: 14695

On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 20:50:05 -0500, cl wrote:

> This one seems to have some sort of Power Supply problem. No frequency shows
> up, no or weak audio. When it is turned off and on real quick, sometimes, it
> will seem to reset, and work - albeit the frequencies in memory are gone. I
> have resoldered the Solder Joints off of the DC jack, which were loose...

Have you tried a different external power supply?

--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S GNU/Linux User http://parnass.com

1036. FT: BC796 for BC246

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-19 04:43:51 UTC
From: n4zgads <n4zgads1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <buzRd.3461$EL5.441@trnddc05>
Source record: 14696

Have BC796 scanner almost brand new (Xmas) , dont even use digital will trade for 246T. Have leather case and cig cord, manual, adapter, PC cord. Even trade?

Charles

remove "1" in email address to reply

1037. fast easy cash. very worth it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-19 08:59:29 UTC
From: masatosan <neosilvia87@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1108803569.011616.92350@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14697
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.music.rock-pop-r+b.1970s,Rec.music.reggae,rec.arts.drwho

Im tellin yah it workx and its so worth it

Turn $5.00 into $50,000...read this to find out how!!!
READING THIS COULD CHANGE YOUR LIFE!!!
I found this on a bulletin board like this one and decided to try it.
A little while back, I was browsing through a newsgroup, just like you are now, and came across an article similar to this that said you could make thousands of dollars within weeks with only an initial investment of $5.00! So I thought, "Yeah right, this must be a scam", but like most of us, I was curious, so I kept reading... I also did an internet search and found nothing but success stories.

Anyway, it said that you need to send $1.00 to each of the 5 names and address stated in the article. You then place your own name and address in the bottom of the list at ..5, and post the article in at least 200 newsgroups. (There are thousands) No catch, that was it. So after thinking it over, and talking to a few people first, I thought about trying it. I figured "what have I got to lose except 5 stamps and $5.00, right?"

Then I invested the measly $5.00 (I use the word "measly" because $5 really is measly compared to the money I have made through the initial investment). Well GUESS WHAT!!... within 7 days, I started getting money in the mail! I was shocked! I figured it would end soon, but the money just kept coming in.
In my first week, I made about $25.00. By the end of the second week I had made a total of over $1,000.00! In the third week I had over $10,000.00 and it's still growing.

This is now my fourth week and I have made just over $42,000.00 and it's still coming in rapidly. It's certainly worth $5.00, and 5 stamps, I have spent more than that on the lottery!!
Let me tell you how this works and most importantly, why it works....
Also, make sure you print a copy of this article NOW, so you can get the information off of it as you need it.
I promise you that if you follow the directions exactly, that you will start making more money than you thought possible by doing something so easy!

Suggestion:
Read this entire message carefully! (print it out or download it.)
Follow the simple directions and watch the money come in! It's easy.
It's legal. And, your investment is only $5.00 (Plus postage)

IMPORTANT:
This is not a rip-off; it is not indecent; it is not illegal; and it is virtually no risk - it really works!!!! If all of the following instructions are adhered to, you will receive extraordinary dividends.
PLEASE NOTE:
Please follow these directions EXACTLY, and $50,000 or more can be yours in 20 to 60 days.
This program remains successful because of the honesty and integrity of the participants. Please continue it's success by carefully adhering to the instructions.
You will now become part of the Mail Order business. In this business your product is not solid and tangible, it's a service. You are in the business of developing Mailing Lists.
Many large corporations are happy to pay big bucks for quality lists. However, the money made from the mailing lists is secondary to the income which is made from people like you and me asking to be included in that list.
Here are the 4 easy steps to success:

STEP 1:
Get 5 separate pieces of paper and write the following on each piece of paper "PLEASE PUT ME ON YOUR MAILING LIST."
Now get 5 US $1.00 bills and place ONE inside EACH of the 6 pieces of paper so the bill will not be seen through the envelope (to prevent thievery).
Next, place one paper in each of the 5 envelopes and seal them. You should now have 5 sealed envelopes, each with a piece of paper stating the phrase "PLEASE
PUT ME ON YOUR MAILING LIST.", your name and address, and a $1.00 bill.
What you are doing is creating a service. THIS IS ABSOLUTELY LEGAL!
You are requesting a legitimate service and you are paying for it!
Like most of us I was a little skeptical and a little worried about the legal aspects of it all. So I checked it out with the U.S. Post Office (1-800-725-2161) and they confirmed that it is indeed legal!
Mail the 5 envelopes to the following addresses:

..1) Jason R
3702 Werner Ave.
Austin, TX 78722

..2) J Arenas
1600 Malibu
Carrollton, TX 75006

..3) Richonda S
CMR 402 BOX 274
APO, AE 09180

..4) J Roth
2901 Barton Skyway ..3406
Austin, TX 78746

..5)Jesse James
1778 Swift Ave.
Ventura, Ca 93003

STEP 2: Now take the ..1 name off the list that you see above, move the other names up (5 becomes 4, 4 becomes 3, etc...) and add YOUR Name as number 5 on the list.

STEP 3: Change anything you need to, but try to keep this article as close to original as possible. Now, post your amended article to at least 200 newsgroups. (I think there are close to 32,000+) All you need is 200, but remember, the more you post, the more money you make!

This is perfectly legal! If you have any doubts, refer to Title 18 Sec. 1302 & 1341 of the Postal lottery laws. Keep a copy of these steps for yourself and, whenever you need money, you can use it again, and again.

PLEASE REMEMBER that this program remains successful because of the honesty and integrity of the participants and by their carefully adhering to the directions.
Look at it this way. If you are of integrity, the program will continue and the money that so many others have received will come your way.

NOTE:
You may want to retain every name and address sent to you, either on a computer or hard copy and keep the notes people send you. This VERIFIES that you are truly providing a service. (Also, it might be a good idea to wrap the $1 bill in dark paper to reduce the risk of mail theft.)
So, as each post is downloaded and the directions carefully followed, five members will be reimbursed for their participation as a List Developer with one dollar each.
Your name will move up the list geometrically so that when your name reaches the ..1 position you will be receiving thousands of dollars in CASH!!!
What an opportunity for only $5.00 ($1.00 for each of the first five people listed above)
Send it now, add your own name to the list and you're in business!

---DIRECTIONS ----- FOR HOW TO POST TO NEWSGROUPS----------
Step 1)
You do not need to re-type this entire letter to do your own posting. Simply put your cursor at the beginning of this letter and drag your cursor to the bottom of this document, and select 'copy' from the edit menu. This will copy the
entire letter into the computer's memory.
Step 2)
Open a blank 'notepad' file and place your cursor at the top of the blank page. From the 'edit' menu select 'paste'. This will paste a copy of the letter into notepad so that you can add your name to the list.
Step 3) Save your new notepad file as a .txt file. If you want to do your postings in different settings, you'll always have this file to go back to.
Step 4)

Use Netscape or Internet explorer and try searching for various newsgroups (on-line forums, message boards, chat sites, discussions, etc.)
Step 5)
Visit these message boards and post this article as a new message by highlighting the text of this letter and selecting paste from the edit menu. Fill in the Subject, this
will be the header that everyone sees as they scroll through the list of postings in a particular group, click the post message button.
You're done with your first one!
Congratulations...THAT'S IT!
All you have to do is jump to different newsgroups and post away, after you get the hang of it, it will take about 30 seconds for each newsgroup!
REMEMBER, THE MORE NEWSGROUPS YOU POST IN, THE MORE MONEY YOU WILL MAKE!! BUT YOU HAVE TO POST A MINIMUM OF 200
That's it! You will begin receiving money from around the world within days! You may eventually want to rent a P.O.Box due to the large amount of mail you will receive. If you wish to stay anonymous, you can invent a name to use, as long as the postman will deliver it.
JUST MAKE SURE ALL THE ADDRESSES ARE CORRECT.
Now the WHY part:
Out of 200 postings, say I receive only 5 replies (a very low example).
So then I made $5.00 with my name at ..5 on the letter.
Now, each of the 5 persons who just sent me $1.00 make the MINIMUM 200 postings, each with my name at ..5 and only 5 persons respond to each of the original 5, that is another $25.00 for me, now those 25 each make 200 MINIMUM posts with my name at ..4 and only 5 replies each, I will bring in an additional $125.00!
Now, those 125 persons turn around and post the MINIMUM 200 with my name at ..3 and only receive 5 replies each, I will make an additional $626.00!
OK, now here is the fun part, each of those 625 persons post a MINIMUM 200 letters with my name at ..2 and they each only receive 5 replies, that just made me $3,125.00!!!
Those 3,125 persons will all deliver this message to 200 newsgroups with my name at ..1 and if still ONLY 5 persons per 200 newsgroups react I will receive $15,625,00!
With an original investment of only $5.00! AMAZING! When your name is no longer on the list, you just take the latest posting in the newsgroups, and send out another $5.00 to names on the list, putting your name at number 5 again. And start posting again.
The thing to remember is do you realize that thousands of people all over the world are joining the internet and reading these articles everyday?, JUST LIKE YOU are now!!
So, can you afford $5.00 and see if it really works?? I think so...
People have said, "what if the plan is played out and no one sends you the money? So what! What are the chances of that happening when there are tons of new honest users and new honest people who are joining the internet and newsgroups everyday and are willing to give it a try?

Estimates are at 20,000 to 50,000 new users, every day, with thousands
of those joining the actual internet.
Remember, play FAIRLY and HONESTLY and this will really work!!!

1038. Re: BC 760 XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-19 13:03:56 UTC
From: cl <clfurent@Yahoo.Com>
Message-ID: <POGRd.45304$NC6.7225@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 14698

Yes..... They had it mounted in a vehicle when the problem started,
"I" am using a power supply which is set to the proper voltage and current
to operate the scanner, not the/a wall adapter.....

"Bob Parnass" <not-for-mail@fake.invalid> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.02.19.04.36.14.205913@fake.invalid...
> On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 20:50:05 -0500, cl wrote:
>
>
>> This one seems to have some sort of Power Supply problem. No frequency
>> shows
>> up, no or weak audio. When it is turned off and on real quick, sometimes,
>> it
>> will seem to reset, and work - albeit the frequencies in memory are gone.
>> I
>> have resoldered the Solder Joints off of the DC jack, which were loose...
>
> Have you tried a different external power supply?
>
> --
> ==
> Bob Parnass, AJ9S GNU/Linux User http://parnass.com
>

1039. Re: BC 760 XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-19 13:11:03 UTC
From: cl <clfurent@Yahoo.Com>
Message-ID: <vVGRd.45306$NC6.38569@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 14700

As I said, I checked and repaired "loose" solder joints around the DC jack, then tested a few other components I thought could be the culprit, via tracing down the traces. No luck. Without the schematic, not sure where to go from here. I checked the voltage regulators in there - the 2 that I seen, they seemed to be ok. Just looking for some ideas to go with, short of having documentation. Usually I've been able to trace the problems down, but this one has me stumped - for now - anyway.

"cl" <clfurent@Yahoo.Com> wrote in message
news:POGRd.45304$NC6.7225@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net...
> Yes..... They had it mounted in a vehicle when the problem started,
> "I" am using a power supply which is set to the proper voltage and current
> to operate the scanner, not the/a wall adapter.....
>
>
> "Bob Parnass" <not-for-mail@fake.invalid> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.02.19.04.36.14.205913@fake.invalid...
>> On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 20:50:05 -0500, cl wrote:
>>
>>
>>> This one seems to have some sort of Power Supply problem. No frequency
>>> shows
>>> up, no or weak audio. When it is turned off and on real quick,
>>> sometimes, it
>>> will seem to reset, and work - albeit the frequencies in memory are
>>> gone. I
>>> have resoldered the Solder Joints off of the DC jack, which were
>>> loose...
>>
>> Have you tried a different external power supply?
>>
>> --
>> ==
>> Bob Parnass, AJ9S GNU/Linux User http://parnass.com
>>
>
>

1040. Re: Legal Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-19 13:17:07 UTC
From: toho <th54@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <n%GRd.432812$Xk.156780@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 14699

who cares if it's legal or not? that's what rottweilers are for --- if anyone starts giving you a hassle about your radio, just give the nod to fido and the person will suddenly have far more important things to deal with than the legality of your radio equipment!
arf! arf! woof! woooof!
grrrr, snarl, slobber, woof, grr! ARF!!!
There, figure that one out Mr nosy RF spectrum policeperson, and in the meantime my little radio device and I slip away into the night ...

"Skweezieweezie" <noway@thistime.now> wrote in message news:fvGdnbLDnMksOZ_fRVn-gQ@comcast.com...
>I know that it is illegal to use a scanner while driving
> a tractor-trailer and other commercial vehicles like
> that and I would believe that a bus falls under that
> classification. I'm not quite sure if it is a Federal
> law. The person I asked has been a long haul OTR
> driver for 20+ years.
>
>

1041. Re: BC 760 XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-19 14:16:57 UTC
From: Bob Parnass <not-for-mail@fake.invalid>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.02.19.14.16.56.317865@fake.invalid>
Source record: 14701

On Sat, 19 Feb 2005 08:11:03 -0500, cl wrote:

> As I said, I checked and repaired "loose" solder joints around the DC jack,
> then tested a few other components I thought could be the culprit, via
> tracing down the traces. No luck. Without the schematic, not sure where to
> go from here.

Check your email.

--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S GNU/Linux User http://parnass.com

1042. Re: BC796 for BC246

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-19 19:05:10 UTC
From: n4zgads <n4zgads1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <G5MRd.15336$uc.5884@trnddc01>
Source record: 14702

wow that was quick! No trade but scanner is sold, thanks !

"n4zgads" <n4zgads1@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:buzRd.3461$EL5.441@trnddc05...
> Have BC796 scanner almost brand new (Xmas) , dont even use digital will
> trade for 246T. Have leather case and cig cord, manual, adapter, PC cord.
> Even trade?
>
> Charles
>
> remove "1" in email address to reply
>
>

1043. Ppro 2032 Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-19 19:57:23 UTC
From: Michael Roback <roback@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <BE3CDA21.A52D%roback@earthlink.net>
Source record: 14703

I have been reading that the June 1994 issue of Monitoring times page 112 has the mods of this scanner that I need but I cannot find this issue anywhere. It even has a schematic for the mods. Does anyone have this issue? Could you copy this page and email it to me. I would be very grateful! Thanks.

1044. MilTenna Antenna Product Review.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-19 20:25:16 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4funREMOVEBEFOREFLIGHT.com>
Message-ID: <MgNRd.5963$x53.4465@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 14704

MilTenna Antenna Product Review
An antenna designed for the UHF MilAir band (225-400 MHz) in which the designer himself says its impossible to receive MilAir sigs from 100 miles away unless you're up 2,500'.....

Do Not Waste Your $62.95 Before Reading This!

Get the full review at http://www.MilAirComms.com

George Daytona Beach, FL
http://www.MilAirComms.com

1045. Re: Legal Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-20 13:37:23 UTC
From: Barknee <hillhook@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <1108906643.009664.300330@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14706

Morning Lou,
Push comes to shove, Federal law superceeds state and local laws. It is legal now in all 50 states for a ham radio operator to have a receiver either in hand or in his/her vehicle. The state of New York states while this is legal under ferderal law, it is illeagal to have in a vehicle, a radio that is CAPABLE of TRANSMITTING on public service frequencies, as many of todays tranceivers can. This is a very specific distinction in NY. As for carrying a portable receiver onboard a PUBLIC carrier, your actions are legal as one of "the people" A PRIVATE carrier may impose specific regulations or rules on passengers, which may be theoreticly a violation of ones constitutional rights, but could be enforced at that time, as long as notification of such rule is properly displayed and presented to the patron. The idea is to avoid a problem before it becomes one, and that is due to the climate out there, with all the tension and "heightened" awareness, it would be best to keep such a device passivly displayed or under wraps as to avoid potential conflict. Headphones ARE A MUST!
I know of now law that resricts the possession of a radio scanner on ones person while transiting onboard any ground transportation..You may request from your carrier, a WRITTEN list of compliances for its passengers.
Cheers and happy RX'ing

1046. Antenna-Climbing out 3rd Flr window

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-20 14:09:09 UTC
From: bluecool <stef@stefanbecker.com>
Message-ID: <82351f9e5694dc0d244bbb4873296d17@localhost.talkaboutelectronicequipment.com>
Source record: 14705

I purchased a ScanMaster 12 - 1200Mhz, small base antenna for the roof of my apt (house type). I'm hooking it up to my Dual band, trunking, portable scanner for receiving better than the rubber duck.
I purchased a 5 ft mounting pole wtih the Antenna and cable. I'm ready to climb out the window in 20 degrees cause I'm so excited to raise it.

I also have a Discone Diamand I want to put up there.
BUT It's a sharp angled roof. 45 degrees? I need to find something to hang from or nail into to stand on to give me leverage while I work on the slope.

I have 25ft safety static rope, I know a some boy scout knots, a have few steel carabanines, I'm ready to climb out the 3rd floor window.
Excited...nervous.

Any advice (besides good luck)

thanks
Steve
(bluecool)

1047. Re: Antenna-Climbing out 3rd Flr window

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-20 14:44:32 UTC
From: Brian <victhedog@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <uh8h11l51deai1o4gs7ptc69cltu2o8ti2@4ax.com>
Source record: 14707

On Sun, 20 Feb 2005 09:09:09 -0500, "bluecool" <stef@stefanbecker.com>
wrote:

>I purchased a ScanMaster 12 - 1200Mhz, small base antenna for the roof of
>my apt (house type). I'm hooking it up to my Dual band, trunking, portable
>scanner for receiving better than the rubber duck.
> I purchased a 5 ft mounting pole wtih the Antenna and cable. I'm ready to
>climb out the window in 20 degrees cause I'm so excited to raise it.
>
> I also have a Discone Diamand I want to put up there.
>BUT It's a sharp angled roof. 45 degrees? I need to find something to
>hang from or nail into to stand on to give me leverage while I work on the
>slope.
>
> I have 25ft safety static rope, I know a some boy scout knots, a have few
>steel carabanines, I'm ready to climb out the 3rd floor window.
>Excited...nervous.
>
>Any advice (besides good luck)
>
>thanks
>Steve
>(bluecool)

what a asss

1048. Re: Antenna-Climbing out 3rd Flr window

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-20 15:10:42 UTC
From: bob <noone@nowhere.org>
Message-ID: <cL1Sd.1325$Am3.27480@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 14708

Unless you're an experienced rigger, I don;t think there any safe way to be on a 45 degree slope.

Safer suggestion:

Buy a few pieces of chain link fence 'top rail' and guy up a mast instead. Much safer, and easily lowered when modification or repair is necessary.

B.

bluecool wrote:
> I purchased a ScanMaster 12 - 1200Mhz, small base antenna for the roof of
> my apt (house type). I'm hooking it up to my Dual band, trunking, portable
> scanner for receiving better than the rubber duck.
> I purchased a 5 ft mounting pole wtih the Antenna and cable. I'm ready to
> climb out the window in 20 degrees cause I'm so excited to raise it.
>
> I also have a Discone Diamand I want to put up there.
> BUT It's a sharp angled roof. 45 degrees? I need to find something to
> hang from or nail into to stand on to give me leverage while I work on the
> slope.
>
> I have 25ft safety static rope, I know a some boy scout knots, a have few
> steel carabanines, I'm ready to climb out the 3rd floor window.
> Excited...nervous.
>
> Any advice (besides good luck)
>
> thanks
> Steve
> (bluecool)
>

1049. Re: Antenna-Climbing out 3rd Flr window

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-20 17:53:00 UTC
From: sefritts@comcast.net
Message-ID: <4218ce72.794953@newsgroups.comcast.net>
Source record: 14709

You have a death wish or what??

On Sun, 20 Feb 2005 09:09:09 -0500, "bluecool" <stef@stefanbecker.com>
wrote:

>I purchased a ScanMaster 12 - 1200Mhz, small base antenna for the roof of
>my apt (house type). I'm hooking it up to my Dual band, trunking, portable
>scanner for receiving better than the rubber duck.
> I purchased a 5 ft mounting pole wtih the Antenna and cable. I'm ready to
>climb out the window in 20 degrees cause I'm so excited to raise it.
>
> I also have a Discone Diamand I want to put up there.
>BUT It's a sharp angled roof. 45 degrees? I need to find something to
>hang from or nail into to stand on to give me leverage while I work on the
>slope.
>
> I have 25ft safety static rope, I know a some boy scout knots, a have few
>steel carabanines, I'm ready to climb out the 3rd floor window.
>Excited...nervous.
>
>Any advice (besides good luck)
>
>thanks
>Steve
>(bluecool)
>

1050. eBay INSANITY - LMAO:::: CHECK THIS OUT!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-20 17:53:44 UTC
From: Scan Da Bands <vzeip5vc@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <phjh11llnoctulev9d7r6c6g0kbpv9s9p5@4ax.com>
Source record: 14710

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=31462&item=3875983440

1051. Re: eBay INSANITY - LMAO:::: CHECK THIS OUT!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-20 18:25:52 UTC
From: Lord Floyd <nospam@biteme.invalid>
Message-ID: <Xns96036A1DBEFE5SMEGHEAD@199.45.49.11>
Source record: 14711

Scan Da Bands <vzeip5vc@verizon.net> sputtered incoherently in
news:phjh11llnoctulev9d7r6c6g0kbpv9s9p5@4ax.com:

> 3875983440

+4db +2db 0db +2db +4db

||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

noise...Signal

--
You are a fluke of the Universe
You have no right to be here
And whether you can hear it or not,
the Universe is laughing behind your back

"Five-eleven's your height, one-ninety your weight
You cash in your chips around page ninety-eight."

1052. Re: MilTenna Antenna Product Review.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-20 18:40:51 UTC
From: "Right Rev. Ronnie" <rev@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <2amh119k90vena0uogvd5l5gj7mb8tfv8a@4ax.com>
Source record: 14712

On Sat, 19 Feb 2005 20:25:16 GMT, GeorgeF
<av8tor@licensed4funREMOVEBEFOREFLIGHT.com> wrote:

>MilTenna Antenna Product Review
>An antenna designed for the UHF MilAir band (225-400 MHz) in which the
>designer himself says its impossible to receive MilAir sigs from 100
>miles away unless you're up 2,500'.....
>
>Do Not Waste Your $62.95 Before Reading This!
>
>Get the full review at http://www.MilAirComms.com
>

Nothing surprising there.

Just search Google for antennas with a high angle of radiation, then
use one of the many online calculators to center in on Milair band.

You can make one for less than $30 in Home Depot parts.

Even the Radio Shack 1/4 wave works fine on Milair when cut properly.

1053. Re: MilTenna Antenna Product Review.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-20 19:26:44 UTC
From: Mike <km6ab@REMOVETHIS.pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <Uv5Sd.2150$OU1.1819@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 14713

Why would you want a mil air antenna with a high angle of radiation? At
an extreme distance you would want the pattern at the horizon.
Mike

>
>
> Nothing surprising there.
>
> Just search Google for antennas with a high angle of radiation, then
> use one of the many online calculators to center in on Milair band.
>
> You can make one for less than $30 in Home Depot parts.
>
> Even the Radio Shack 1/4 wave works fine on Milair when cut properly.
>
>

1054. Re: Antenna advice - best super gain

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-20 19:35:32 UTC
From: Mike <km6ab@REMOVETHIS.pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <8E5Sd.2164$OU1.1191@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 14714

The Dressler is a very disappointing antenna, I had two demo units from
my local distributor and a R/S Discone worked better on lower VHF and
much of the other bands. At best, the Dressler was a little better than
the Discone on UHF and 800MHz but not enough to justify the exorbitant
price. The dealer even admitted he used a Discone with a separate
Dressler pre amp and got really good results. After several antenna guys
looked over the Dressler, we concluded the antenna element inside is
just not a very good design and the internal pre amp can only do so much
with what you feed it. If someone is praising a Dressler, ask them if
they actually compared it to anything meaningful.
Mike

BJ wrote:
> Which one do you have, what's the price, and how many radios do you feed
> from one antenna? I am seriously considering a dressler...replacing a
> damaged discone.
>
> Any good links or pics
>
>
> "Brian" <victhedog@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:73i711lrlnkc5lmlsse251sqcd82br3bhu@4ax.com...
>
>>On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 01:05:16 -0500, "bluecool" <stef@stefanbecker.com>
>>wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Hey,
>>>New to the boards. Cool topics. I live in a city area and want to pick up
>>>much more than the rubber duck that came with either of my portables. I
>>>want something easy to hook up that will help me get train frequencies
>>>and maybe ships at sea (about 4 miles from my house).
>>>
>>>I bought a Discone off Ebay, for my roof but it gets less than my rubber
>>>duck! Could be the poor coax I used, but still, I lost all the NOAH
>>>weather freq.s and I only gained some snowy CB trucker signals on 19.
>>>
>>>I'm now looking at the indoor flexable antennas like the C.Crane 15.75"
>
> CC
>
>>>Super Gainer.
>>>Anyone know these?
>>>Do they work?

>>>
>>>Thanks.
>>>
>>>bluecool-
>>
>>
>>
>>get yourself a dressler active antenna and scan the world
>
>
>

1055. Very active Freq : 237.4000, other Mil activity found in search

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-20 19:37:18 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4funREMOVEBEFOREFLIGHT.com>
Message-ID: <OF5Sd.2173$Ba3.1760@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 14715

I can't find any listing for this freq. However it might have to do with a carrier operation going on off the FL coast. Put some scanners into search and found quite a few freqs which I can't find ID's for. Here's my list so far, any help with id'ing these freqs would be appreciated.

237.4000 (AICC) Callsigns, TIGER, RUBBER,
360.0000 (?? Possible Flattop ops)
333.3500 (possible a/a)
252.8250 Callsign SPIDER
298.6250 Callsign SPIDER
337.2250 weak signal but active.
298.6250 (possible a/a)
262.7000 Callsign INVERJACK, STRIKE227
266.4250 (possible a/a)
283.0250 (same voice as callsign RUBBER)
333.8250 (strong carrier with a buzzing sound every 10 sec.)
292.5500 Callsign SHIELD working in Pinecastle

Comms received from Ponce Inlet (Daytona Beach area)

George - Daytona Beach, FL
http://www.MilAirComms.com

1056. Re: Cleaning Out House Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-20 19:38:11 UTC
From: toho <th54@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <DG5Sd.443521$8l.316639@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 14716

eewwww, cleaning out an out-house? You're not supposed to clean out the feces, you're supposed to just fill in the hole and dig another one. What the hell kind of cruel joke was someone playing on you?

"DxxxxxBxx" <abuse@abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz.com> wrote in message news:5rmt0198ehl0bhop8j4njg7rv7g3cmga47@4ax.com...
> We used to get feces when we were cleaning our out house. What were
> you eating to produce these kinds of by-products?
>
>
> On 30 Jan 2005 14:26:26 GMT, jmap702@aol.com (Jmap702) wrote:
>
>> Code-3 Beacon 550 Amber, Blue New $: 75.00
>>: Code-3 Beacon 550 Red Used. $ 40.00
>>: Code-3 Grille/Deck Light Halogen Set AS-1 New $ 105.00
>>: Federal Fireball II Strobe Light: Pipe Mount A.C. 120VAC Red, Amber.
>>: New in Box $ 75.00
>>: Federal Fireball II Halogen Light : Red Used $ 60.00
>>: Federal FireBeam FB3 Dash Light : Clear Lenses New. $ 50.00
>>: Federal Director Deck/Grille Light: Red. New $ 50.00
>>: Federal Strobe Supply SJS4 Strobe 4 Head 75 Watts New $ 125.00
>>: Federal Viper Strobe Dash Strobe : (Blue) Demo $ 115.00
>>: Federal Mini Vector Lightbar Blue : Used $ 195.00
>>: Federal Mini Vector Lightbar Red/Clear Used $ 195.00
>>: Federal Mini Aero Lightbar Red/Clear Used $ 150.00
>>: Federal Mini JetStream Used Blue $ 125.00
>>: Whelen Mini SuperAdvantedge Blue: New $ 250.00 Ass't Colors
>>: Whelen Mini Advantedge Strobe Amber : Used $ 125.00
>>: Whelen MinEdge Red/Clear W/Magnets cigar plug Demo $ 315.00
>>: Whelen Cadet Dashlight CR-212 Ass't Colors New $ 60.00
>>: Whelen Strobe 4 Head Powersupply: UPSLX64 New $ 150.00
>>: Whelen Strobe 8 Head Powersupply UPS-188 New $ 375.00
>>: Whelen Outside Dual Mirror Strobe Kit Ford MBEP98 Amber New $ 225.00
>>: Whelen Dual Dashking 2000 Red/Red/ New DXPSS $ 165.00
>>: Whelen Dashmiser II Red/White New $ 190.00
>>: Whelen Dashmiser II Amb/Amb New $: 190.00
>>: Whelen Dashmiser II Remote Red/Clr : Demo $ 95.00
>>: Whelen Dashmaster II no Bkt Red/Red Used $ 75.00
>>: Whelen Dashmaster Red $ 50.00
>>:
>>: Signal-Stat Undercover Siren 223 New $ 135.00
>>: Federal SmartSiren Modified.W/Remote Head SS2200 $ 375.00
>>: Federal SmartSiren New in Box. $ 795.00 Only 2 left!!
>>: Code-3 Full Feature Electronic Siren 3692 $ 125.00

>>:
>>: StreamLight Rechargeable Litebox Model 45116 New $125.00
>>: EMT Bags Ass't Colors New $40.00
>>: Motorola Mx Radios UHF $ 25.00 ea
>>: Motorola MX Microphone coiled cord New $ 20.00 ea
>>:
>
> Spammers, please send email to:
> listme@listme.dsbl.org
> and get your SMTP server blacklisted!

1057. Re: eBay INSANITY - LMAO:::: CHECK THIS OUT!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-20 20:01:07 UTC
From: Scan Da Bands <vzeip5vc@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <j3rh11lmhj443q6pcccnc9n1j1k4fpkhdb@4ax.com>
Source record: 14717

he he LOL :O)

On Sun, 20 Feb 2005 18:25:52 GMT, Lord Floyd <nospam@biteme.invalid>
wrote:

>Scan Da Bands <vzeip5vc@verizon.net> sputtered incoherently in
>news:phjh11llnoctulev9d7r6c6g0kbpv9s9p5@4ax.com:
>
>> 3875983440
>
>+4db +2db 0db +2db +4db
>
>||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
>noise.....................................Signal

1058. Re: Antenna-Climbing out 3rd Flr window

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-20 21:15:39 UTC
From: Jesse <1@2.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9603A56BDD729ewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 14718

"bluecool" <stef@stefanbecker.com> wrote in
news:82351f9e5694dc0d244bbb4873296d17
@localhost.talkaboutelectronicequipment.com:

> I purchased a ScanMaster 12 - 1200Mhz, small base antenna for the roof of
> my apt (house type). I'm hooking it up to my Dual band, trunking, portable
> scanner for receiving better than the rubber duck.
> I purchased a 5 ft mounting pole wtih the Antenna and cable. I'm ready to
> climb out the window in 20 degrees cause I'm so excited to raise it.
>
> I also have a Discone Diamand I want to put up there.
> BUT It's a sharp angled roof. 45 degrees? I need to find something to
> hang from or nail into to stand on to give me leverage while I work on the
> slope.
>
> I have 25ft safety static rope, I know a some boy scout knots, a have few
> steel carabanines, I'm ready to climb out the 3rd floor window.
> Excited...nervous.
>
> Any advice (besides good luck)
>
> thanks
> Steve
> (bluecool)
>

Ummm ..... Real men don't use safety rope.

1059. Re: Antenna-Climbing -Perfect

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-20 23:16:51 UTC
From: bluecool <info@bluecool.net>
Message-ID: <cf658635fc8c98a7e526b2f49eeb9281@localhost.talkaboutelectronicequipment.com>
Source record: 14719

Hey all,
Made my own harness, bought some good rope and some combs, and climbed the roof no problem. Had a friend hold my line until I was up top and tied on to something. No flat portion of the roof, just an angle. Tore down the old rotted TV antenna and put up the 5ft mast with the new Aluminum and Fiberglass multi wave Scanner anetenna. Grounded it, droped the coax, and repelled down the roof. (was actually up and down twice) in 16 degrees.

thanks Brian...

1060. Re: Antenna-Climbing -Perfect

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-20 23:53:51 UTC
From: Wiz <mtaustinNOSPAM@onr.com>
Message-ID: <jq9Sd.16223$911.6429@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 14720

I heard about a fellow a few years in your same situation. He was working on the rear slope of his house. He threw the rope over the roof and tied off to the bumper of his truck in the driveway. His wife drove off and damn near killed him.

Wiz

"bluecool" <info@bluecool.net> wrote in message news:cf658635fc8c98a7e526b2f49eeb9281@localhost.talkaboutelectronicequipment.com...
> Hey all,
> Made my own harness, bought some good rope and some combs, and climbed the
> roof no problem. Had a friend hold my line until I was up top and tied on
> to something. No flat portion of the roof, just an angle. Tore down the
> old rotted TV antenna and put up the 5ft mast with the new Aluminum and
> Fiberglass multi wave Scanner anetenna. Grounded it, droped the coax, and
> repelled down the roof. (was actually up and down twice) in 16 degrees.
>
> thanks Brian...
>

1061. Re: Antenna advice - best super gain

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-21 00:10:54 UTC
From: Brian <victhedog@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <dg9i11l4d8c2utf6gb1u9o08sg6p6fjjsu@4ax.com>
Source record: 14721

On Sun, 20 Feb 2005 19:35:32 GMT, Mike <km6ab@REMOVETHIS.pacbell.net>
wrote:

>The Dressler is a very disappointing antenna, I had two demo units from
>my local distributor and a R/S Discone worked better on lower VHF and
>much of the other bands. At best, the Dressler was a little better than
>the Discone on UHF and 800MHz but not enough to justify the exorbitant
>price. The dealer even admitted he used a Discone with a separate
>Dressler pre amp and got really good results. After several antenna guys
>looked over the Dressler, we concluded the antenna element inside is
>just not a very good design and the internal pre amp can only do so much
>with what you feed it. If someone is praising a Dressler, ask them if
>they actually compared it to anything meaningful.
>Mike
>
>
>BJ wrote:
>> Which one do you have, what's the price, and how many radios do you feed
>> from one antenna? I am seriously considering a dressler...replacing a
>> damaged discone.
>>
>> Any good links or pics
>>
>>
>> "Brian" <victhedog@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:73i711lrlnkc5lmlsse251sqcd82br3bhu@4ax.com...
>>
>>>On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 01:05:16 -0500, "bluecool" <stef@stefanbecker.com>
>>>wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Hey,
>>>>New to the boards. Cool topics. I live in a city area and want to pick up
>>>>much more than the rubber duck that came with either of my portables. I
>>>>want something easy to hook up that will help me get train frequencies
>>>>and maybe ships at sea (about 4 miles from my house).
>>>>
>>>>I bought a Discone off Ebay, for my roof but it gets less than my rubber
>>>>duck! Could be the poor coax I used, but still, I lost all the NOAH
>>>>weather freq.s and I only gained some snowy CB trucker signals on 19.
>>>>
>>>>I'm now looking at the indoor flexable antennas like the C.Crane 15.75"
>>
>> CC

>>
>>>>Super Gainer.
>>>>Anyone know these?
>>>>Do they work?
>>>>
>>>>Thanks.
>>>>
>>>>bluecool-
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>get yourself a dressler active antenna and scan the world
>>
>>
>>
I had a dressler for over two years and in blows away my discone, it pulls out signals my discone never sees

both have the same coax and about the same height (discone is better in the 25- to 50mhz range)

1062. Re: Antenna advice - best super gain

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-21 00:41:39 UTC
From: Mike <km6ab@REMOVETHIS.pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <77aSd.2234$OU1.1372@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 14722

We had the Dressler and the RS Discone on a small antenna range with a 50MHz to 1GHz log periodic source antenna and an HP scaler network analyzer. The Discone was swept and stored as a reference and then compared to the gain/loss of the Dressler and it was not very impressive for the Dressler. Itï¿½s been a few years but I do have the plots somewhere around here. The two Dressler antennas were borrowed for a commercial application and when they didnï¿½t perform very well we had them checked on an antenna range and both antennas showed identical response. The local distributor also checked them out when returned and said they were in good working order (if you call that good). I think, or I should say I know you will get better performance starting with a Discone and then using a high quality pre amp right at the Discone. The Dressler pre amp is pricey but you can find some real nice and originally very expensive high level, low noise figure, wide band amps on Epay for cheap that are surplused from commercial/military equipment.
Mike

> I had a dressler for over two years and in blows away my discone, it
> pulls out signals my discone never sees
>
> both have the same coax and about the same height (discone is better
> in the 25- to 50mhz range)
>
>

1063. North-Central Florida Mil Logs 2/20/2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-21 01:54:56 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4funREMOVEBEFOREFLIGHT.com>
Message-ID: <QbbSd.7267$x53.6807@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 14723

Today while I put 7 BC780XLT's into search mode, each scanner working a
25 MHz section of the MilAir band. In the log below you'll find the new
freqs I had not ID'ed before today.

The MilAirComms.com Log report for 2/20/2005
All times are Eastern Time, frequencies in MHz and
unless other wise noted modulation type is AM.

TIGER, RUBBER
Time: 1200 USS Boat in 6X; 360.0 MHz had some HAVEQUICK Xmisstions
Frequency: 360.0000 (Carrier Operations?); 364.2500 (Carrier Marshall)

BLADE, RUBBER, TIGER
Time: 1206 ACM then back to 364.2500, What is ROLEX 5? Is this a
callsign or code?
Frequency: 237.4000 (found in search ??)

unid
Time: 1207 strong carrier with a quick buzzing sound every 10 seconds.
Frequency: 333.8250 (found in search ??)

unid
Time: 1211 request direct Waycross
Frequency: 346.2500 (JAX Cntr, St. Augustine)

unid
Time: 1240 sounded like same voice as RUBBER, "switching to omaga".
Frequency: 283.0250 (found in search ??)

unid
Time: 1255 two aircraft, sounded like A/A
Frequency: 266.4250 (found in search ??)

INVADERJACK
Time: 1306 "STRIKE 227 if you hear me click your mike twice".
Frequency: 262.7000 (found in search ??)

unid
Time: 1309 sounded like A/A
Frequency: 298.6250 (found in search ??)

unid
Time: 1310 "decending to 4000 and holding over the water", probably
Daytona 500 FlyOver
Frequency: 387.0000 (DAB App)

unid
Time: 1311 weak sig.

Frequency: 337.2250 (found in search ??)

AlphaAlpha 712; TIGER
Time: 1311 Pinecastle Range; Flight of S-3's with total of 8 Mk-76's
Frequency: 357.0000 (SEALORD-Pinecastle); 380.8000 (Pinecastle

AlphaAlpha 710
Time: 1312 Flt of 2 level FL180
Frequency: 307.2500 (JAX Cntr)

COMM 32; TIGER ##
Time: 1316 COMM asking Tiger if he's at "push point"
Frequency: 360.0000 (Carrier Operations STRIKE)

SPIDER ??
Time: 1318 possible new CAP freq? SPIDER is who worked SuperBowl
CAP a few weeks ago. Possible CAP for Daytona 500?
Frequency: 252.8250 (found in search??); 298.6250 (found in search??)

TIGER ##
Time: 1333 "99 echo rolex 10", "TIGER echos rolex 10"..sounds like
football plays...
Frequency: 237.4000 (found in search ?? AICC)

unid
Time: 1334 ACM?
Frequency: 333.3500 (found in search ??)

TIGER ##; SHIELD##; HAMMER##
Time: 1348 3 minutes from entering range, flt flight of 6 F-18's
2-F14's
Frequency: 267.5000 (SEALORD)

SHIELD
Time: 1403 5 east of Pinecastle
Frequency: 292.5500 (found in search ??)

possible callsign "SIRIUS"
Time: 1408 Daytona 500 Flyover
Frequency: 385.5000 (DAB App)

George - Monitoring from Daytona Beach,
WEBSITE: http://www.MilAirComms.com
(c) 2005 By G. W. Fetter. All Rights Reserved. No portion of this log maybe
reprinted or reproduced in electronic form or print without my permission.

1064. Re: MilTenna Antenna Product Review.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-21 01:57:21 UTC
From: "Right Rev. Ronnie" <rev@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <aufi11pni9822c1lqgoame7v633ollg9md@4ax.com>
Source record: 14724

On Sun, 20 Feb 2005 19:26:44 GMT, Mike <km6ab@REMOVETHIS.pacbell.net>
wrote:

>Why would you want a mil air antenna with a high angle of radiation? At
>an extreme distance you would want the pattern at the horizon.
>Mike
>
Depends on what you are listening to.

For distance, yes. You would want a 5/8 wave to maximize the horizon.

For local use, I'd want the high angle of radiation to capture more of
the sky.

1065. Re: North-Central Florida Mil Logs 2/20/2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-21 02:22:22 UTC
From: dxAce <flashoveride@eclipsed.com>
Message-ID: <421945DE.3499AE09@eclipsed.com>
Source record: 14725

GeorgeF wrote:

> Today while I put 7 BC780XLT's into search mode, each scanner working a
> 25 MHz section of the MilAir band. In the log below you'll find the new
> freqs I had not ID'ed before today.
>
> The MilAirComms.com Log report for 2/20/2005
> All times are Eastern Time, frequencies in MHz and
> unless other wise noted modulation type is AM.
>
> TIGER, RUBBER
> Time: 1200 USS Boat in 6X; 360.0 MHz had some HAVEQUICK Xmisstions
> Frequency: 360.0000 (Carrier Operations?); 364.2500 (Carrier Marshall)
>
> BLADE, RUBBER, TIGER
> Time: 1206 ACM then back to 364.2500, What is ROLEX 5? Is this a
> callsign or code?
> Frequency: 237.4000 (found in search ??)
>
> unid
> Time: 1207 strong carrier with a quick buzzing sound every 10 seconds.
> Frequency: 333.8250 (found in search ??)
>
> unid
> Time: 1211 request direct Waycross
> Frequency: 346.2500 (JAX Cntr, St. Augustine)
>
> unid
> Time: 1240 sounded like same voice as RUBBER, "switching to omaga".
> Frequency: 283.0250 (found in search ??)
>
> unid
> Time: 1255 two aircraft, sounded like A/A
> Frequency: 266.4250 (found in search ??)
>
> INVADERJACK
> Time: 1306 "STRIKE 227 if you hear me click your mike twice".
> Frequency: 262.7000 (found in search ??)
>
> unid
> Time: 1309 sounded like A/A
> Frequency: 298.6250 (found in search ??)
>
> unid

> Time: 1310 "decending to 4000 and holding over the water", probably
> Daytona 500 FlyOver
> Frequency: 387.0000 (DAB App)
>
> unid
> Time: 1311 weak sig.
> Frequency: 337.2250 (found in search ??)
>
> AlphaAlpha 712; TIGER
> Time: 1311 Pinecastle Range; Flight of S-3's with total of 8 Mk-76's
> Frequency: 357.0000 (SEALORD-Pinecastle); 380.8000 (Pinecastle
>
> AlphaAlpha 710
> Time: 1312 Flt of 2 level FL180
> Frequency: 307.2500 (JAX Cntr)
>
> COMM 32; TIGER ##
> Time: 1316 COMM asking Tiger if he's at "push point"
> Frequency: 360.0000 (Carrier Operations STRIKE)
>
> SPIDER ??
> Time: 1318 possible new CAP freq? SPIDER is who worked SuperBowl
> CAP a few weeks ago. Possible CAP for Daytona 500?
> Frequency: 252.8250 (found in search??); 298.6250 (found in search??)
>
> TIGER ##
> Time: 1333 "99 echo rolex 10", "TIGER echos rolex 10"..sounds like
> football plays...
> Frequency: 237.4000 (found in search ?? AICC)
>
> unid
> Time: 1334 ACM?
> Frequency: 333.3500 (found in search ??)
>
> TIGER ##; SHIELD##; HAMMER##
> Time: 1348 3 minutes from entering range, flt flight of 6 F-18's
> 2-F14's
> Frequency: 267.5000 (SEALORD)
>
> SHIELD
> Time: 1403 5 east of Pinecastle
> Frequency: 292.5500 (found in search ??)
>
> possible callsign "SIRIUS"
> Time: 1408 Daytona 500 Flyover
> Frequency: 385.5000 (DAB App)
>
> George - Monitoring from Daytona Beach,

No you don't... you're in Port Orange.

1066. BCT8 for a DeCoDeR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-21 02:39:33 UTC
From: Scan Da Bands <vzeip5vc@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <rcii11pgms2kmvjls1s4fufe0aij3bpapj@4ax.com>
Source record: 14726

Mint in the box Uniden BCT8 for a Universal MT-450 , MT-400 or
similiar.Might consider Optoelectronics DC442 , DC440 or similiar....
this is a picture of the actual scanner.

http://mysite.verizon.net/vze1p5vc/img/bct8.jpg

1067. Re: A Sad Reality: The Unavoidable Death of Scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-21 04:08:29 UTC
From: toho <th54@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <19dSd.447448$6l.362153@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 14727

Hey Paul
I'm living in Surrey (Canada) and I'm thinking of getting a scanner that can listen to the rcmp, got any suggestions?

"Paul Keenleyside" <bcpleights@teluz.net> wrote in message news:jO3Qd.58625$L_3.27759@clgrps13...
>
> "Mark Holmes" <markinillinois@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:9248-4210BEC7-267@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net...
>> The digital era has created no problems for me since all I've listened
>> to since 1974 is aircraft communications. Therefore, my scanning hobby
>> is alive and well, not on the verge of death.
>>
>> Living directly between the three busiest aviation hubs on earth
>> (Atlanta, Chicago & Dallas), there's no shortage of high altitude stuff
>> flying overhead for me to hear on a daily basis. A person doesn't need
>> to live near an airport to listen to aircraft. Many folks may live in an
>> ideal location to listen to the same thing I do and not even realize it.
>> Go outside on a sunny day and look up to see what's flying above you.
>> Each of those white streaks which crisscross our skies are all talking
>> on the radio with air traffic controllers at an ARTCC facilitity.
>>
>> There's even airline flight tracking sites like, http://flightview.com
>> that enable a person to see where a flight is coming from, going to,
>> flight routing and aircraft type.
>
> Agree there, there's not only aviation - I live near one major airport
> (Vancouver - YVR),and listen into aviation occaisionally but also marine.
> Out here, there's lots of marine activity since there is a large vehicle
> ferry fleet here, and there's always position reporting and the activity
> at the terminals. Plus coastal ship operatins including cruise ships in
> season. In addition, there's trains. Then there's also logging truck
> operations (be sure you're not heading west on the same 14 foot wide road
> that a 12 foot wide loaded logging truck is heading east!).
>
> As far as the fire service goes, the fire service is not digitial around
> here, since digital systems are costly. The only things that are digitally
> encrypted are police and ambulance, but that's only in the local area.
> Outside of the regional area, the police
> are analogue and once one knows the matrix of RCMP channels, it's pretty
> easy.
>
> It depends on what the interests lie in listening in. As Mark here said,
> there's tons of things to listen to if a person justs

> looks around around.
>
> I take my portable scanner aboard the ferry and one certainly gets a
> different prespective while looking around outside around you.
>
> PK
>
>
>

1068. Re: Alinco DJ-X10 programming software?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-21 06:43:07 UTC
From: kc7mwp <kc7mwp@nospam.direcway.com>
Message-ID: <1558a9bc6c700c782609e4f04a054da8@localhost.talkaboutelectronicequipment.com>
Source record: 14728

check this website. I just downloaded the software

www.javiation.co.uk/rs-x10.html

ed

1069. Re: FORSALE: OPTOELECTRONICS OPTOCOM & SPECTRUM COMMANDER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-21 08:43:39 UTC
From: Allan <allanaws@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <42199f2f$0$57502$c30e37c6@ken-reader.news.telstra.net>
Source record: 14730
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,dk.teknik.radio.scanner

I cannot see it?
There's No picture?
And its a USA site..

<d.goldstein@att.net> wrote in message
news:1108728416.923887.306430@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> See it on Ebay --
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5752973780
>
>
> d.goldstein@att.net wrote:
> > For sale, Optoelectronics Optocom computer controlled conventional
> > scanner with trunk tracking of Motorola, LTR, EDACS, PL & DPL
> decoding.
> > With Bitbanger. Includes all manuals, standard software, antenna,
> > serial cable and power supply. Includes PROBE software. Includes
> > Spectrum Explorer license with ability to update to Spectrum
> Commander
> > for free. Like new condition.
> >
> > $350 + shipping or best offer.
> >
> > Contact d.goldstein@att.net for further information.
>

1070. Re: For Sale: Mobile / Cell Phone Jammer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-21 11:15:57 UTC
From: DieSea <DieSea.NoSpamPlease@ntlworldd.ccom>
Message-ID: <4219c34a$0$8752$db0fefd9@news.zen.co.uk>
Source record: 14729
Cross-posted to: free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.radio.amateur

>
>
> >what if some people need there phone for 999 work and surveillance/or 24 hr
> >call out doctors and so on
> >
> They can do whatever they did before mobile phones proliferated every
> inch of public life..

Have a look see

http://www.nypost.com/news/regionalnews/40168.htm

Very Naughty ;-))))

DieSea

1071. Re: North-Central Florida Mil Logs 2/20/2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-21 14:16:32 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4funREMOVEBEFOREFLIGHT.com>
Message-ID: <43mSd.2706$Ba3.251@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 14731

Barry OGrady wrote:

> Which is the 20th month?
>
>
> -Barry

Here in the US I was taught that you write all dates as Month/Day/Year.

1072. Re: For Sale: Mobile / Cell Phone Jammer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-21 15:54:48 UTC
From: whoever <whoever@wherever>
Message-ID: <gfOdnagxMMTdmYffRVn-sQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 14732
Cross-posted to: free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.radio.amateur

DieSea wrote:

>>
>>>what if some people need there phone for 999 work and surveillance/or 24 hr
>>>call out doctors and so on
>>>
>>
>>They can do whatever they did before mobile phones proliferated every
>>inch of public life..
>
>
> Have a look see
>
> http://www.nypost.com/news/regionalnews/40168.htm
>
> Very Naughty ;-))))
>
> DieSea
>

Look here also
http://www.globalgadgetuk.com/Personal.htm

1073. Just say NO to Bin Ladin!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-21 17:32:45 UTC
From: angelonmyshoulder61@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1109007165.859283.16510@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14733

Hi all I am helping a friend promote a web site. You see a childhood friend of hers lost her husband at the World Trade Center on Sept. 11, 2001. She has run into some hard times and my freind wants to help her. So she came up with the idea to market Just say NO to Bin Ladin dartboards and punching bag covers. Not only is she going to donate part of the proceeds to her freind but an additional part of the proceeds will go to the Firemans Fund. If you could take the time to check out the web site it would be much appreciated!! The web site addy is:

http://www.angelonmyshoulder.4t.com

Thanks so much everyone!!

1074. Re: A Sad Reality: The Unavoidable Death of Scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-21 19:41:45 UTC
From: Tony VE6MVP <tony@REMOVEve6mvp.com>
Message-ID: <s5ek111b5bk4spfid7qbb2cke1oh784e41@4ax.com>
Source record: 14734

On Mon, 21 Feb 2005 04:08:29 GMT, "toho" <th54@hotmail.com> wrote:

>I'm living in Surrey (Canada) and I'm thinking of getting a scanner that can
>listen to the rcmp, got any suggestions?

Last year when I was in the B.C. lower mainland the RCMP there were
still using FM in the 155 Mhz area. Same as in Alberta. So just
about any scanner should do that.

I heard some stuff in the 138-138 area as well. Some ambulance and
fire in the 153-154 Mhz.

It's my understanding that some folks, such as detectives or
surveillance are using encrypted stuff.

Tony

1075. Re: Just say NO to Bin Ladin!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-21 19:49:38 UTC
From: whoever <whoever@wherever>
Message-ID: <RdKdnSG43ZnXpoffRVn-ug@centurytel.net>
Source record: 14735

Isn't it sweet how your Email address is the same name as your friends webpage? The stuff looks like $5 junk!

angelonmyshoulder61@yahoo.com wrote:
> Hi all I am helping a friend promote a web site. You see a childhood
> friend of hers lost her husband at the World Trade Center on Sept. 11,
> 2001. She has run into some hard times and my freind wants to help her.
> So she came up with the idea to market Just say NO to Bin Ladin
> dartboards and punching bag covers. Not only is she going to donate
> part of the proceeds to her freind but an additional part of the
> proceeds will go to the Firemans Fund. If you could take the time to
> check out the web site it would be much appreciated!! The web site addy
> is:
>
> http://www.whatascam.4t.com
>
> Thanks so much everyone!!
>

1076. Re: fast easy cash. very worth it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-21 21:49:35 UTC
From: Reneto <reneto_adams_walks_on_water@blueyonder.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1109022575.352469.160750@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14736
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.music.rock-pop-r+b.1970s,Rec.music.reggae,rec.arts.drwho

lick shot......

1077. Looking to trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-21 23:12:12 UTC
From: Disturbed1 <tempting78@chartermi.net>
Message-ID: <pZtSd.123$FL.14@fe04.lga>
Source record: 14737

I am looking to trade my Pro-95 for something with at least 200 channels and the mil air band......
Image by FlamingText.com

1078. Frequency Request (Chula Vista)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-22 00:11:49 UTC
From: KK <frogfive3261@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <6NuSd.109343$mt.60817@fed1read03>
Source record: 14738

Looking for the frequencies to the Chula Vista Police Dept in San Diego County.

r/
Keith

Reply to frog53261@@cox.net

Remove one of the @ to reply...

1079. BCT-7 Police Scanner.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-22 00:51:57 UTC
From: Mader631@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22545-421A822D-360@storefull-3174.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 14739

My weather channel doesn't work on my Model, Who fixes Scanners??? It worked Before, but now it doesn't.

1080. WTB - Bearcat III accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-22 02:39:16 UTC
From: thecop <thecop@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <p%wSd.8120$901.2356@fe12.lga>
Source record: 14740

Looking for the power cord, antenna and internal boards with or without crystals (specifically looking for 155.61, 46.46, 46.40 and 453.675, 453.39 and 460.365)for this scanner. Please email direct if possible.

Thanks.

John thecop@optonline.net

1081. North-Central Florida Mil Logs 2/21/2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-22 03:07:34 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4funREMOVEBEFOREFLIGHT.com>
Message-ID: <WlxSd.3454$Ba3.35@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 14741

For the last few days we've had a Carrier parked off the Florida coast line. If someone could confirm which carrier it is I would appreicate it.

I believe it is the USS Harry S. Trumman based on an aircraft ID'ed himself as being from VFA-32

The MilAirComms.com Log report for 2/21/2005
All times are Eastern Time, frequencies in MHz and
unless other wise noted modulation type is AM.

unid
Time: 1141
Frequency: 364.2500 (Carrier/Marshall)

unid
Time: 1141 strong sigs "Nose Cold unit VFA-32"
Frequency: 337.2250 (??)

TIGER
Time: 1144 looking to relocate the OMEGA station.
Frequency: 360.0000 (Strike)

RANGE21; TIGER; VIPER##; SNAKE;
Time: 1145
Frequency: 237.4000 (AICC)

GULFTEST
Time: 1201
Frequency: 120.9500 (SEALORD)

OMEGA 70
Time: 1205 looking for 5 & 6 Zulu
Frequency: 267.5000 (SEALORD)

RUBBER ##
Time: 1515 switching to STRIKE (then they come up on 337.2250,
could this be STRIKE?)
Frequency: 283.0250 (??)

RUBBER
Time: 1521
Frequency: 337.2250 (Possible Strike)

JOKER 201
Time: 1521
Frequency: 369.7000 (MARSHAL)

INVADERJACK ##
Time: 1522 Calling STRIKE
Frequency: 237.4000 (AICC/STRIKE?)

unid
Time: 1536 weas sig.
Frequency: 266.4250 (??)

unid
Time: 1736
Frequency: 292.2000 (Avon Rng Control)

SNAKE 3; SNAKE 4
Time: 1738
Frequency: 262.7000 (??)

VIPER; SNAKE
Time: 1834 ACM; SNAKES pushed to STRIKE then inroute to the tanker
Frequency: 262.7000 (??); 283.0250 (??); 360.0000 (STRIKE)

SNAKE
Time: 1838
Frequency: 337.2250 (??)

TIGER 01
Time: 1855 "Expect 8 aircraft in and/out of Pinecastle"
Frequency: 267.5000 (SEALORD)

TIGER
Time: 1856 calling tracks
Frequency: 237.4000 (AICC)

NASA 962
Time: 1859 Landing at the SLF
Frequency: 128.5500 (NASA-SLF Tower)

TIGER 04
Time: 1904 Telling SEALORD what A/C will be going to PineCastle 1st
Set, 2 F14's Callsign HAMMER, 2nd set 2 F-18's ARROW, 3rd set 2 F-18's
THUNDER, 4th set 2 F-18 LIGHTING
Frequency: 267.5000 (SEALORD)

LIGHTNING ##; TIGER 04; HAMMER ##; THUNDER ##
Time: 1913 Mission to distroy vehicle target.
Frequency: 237.4000 (AICC)

unid
Time: 1914 air/air
Frequency: 252.8250 (?? A/a)

unid
Time: 1939 looking for other traffic
Frequency: 298.6250 (?? A/A)

THUNDER31
Time: 1946 taking out Target "MIKE" a Radar Van at 29-07.205N
81-42.442W,
Frequency: 237.4000 (AICC)

NAIL ##
Time: 1952 taking out Target "CHARLE" an Armored Truck at
29-07.070N 81-42.441W.
Frequency: 237.4000 (AICC)

THUNDER
Time: 2005 found in search after Thunder was told to push button 5
Frequency: 362.1000 (Button 5 Thunder's)

George - Monitoring from Daytona Beach, FL
WEBSITE: http://www.MilAirComms.com
(c) 2005 By G. W. Fetter. All Rights Reserved. No portion of this log maybe
reprinted or reproduced in electronic form or print without my permission.

1082. Re: MilTenna Antenna Product Review.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-22 03:13:20 UTC
From: r2000swler@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1109042000.666099.246610@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14742

Given that aircraft or satellite at a "high angle"
are nearly overhead, you can use a 5/8 wave
to catch those on the horizon and those overhead.
I used a 5/8 cut for the 136.n weather satellites
with very good coverage. On passes that went
diredctly (withn 2 or 3 degrees, I had to use a
horizontal dipole. There were a lot more like
maybe 30:1) high angle, but not directly overhead
passes then driectly overhead. Then I went to a QDH
and it receives overhead and on the horizon. Check
out QST, or do a web search, for construction details.
I have made one for milair, but ahven;t had a chance
to test it. I am in the middle of a maojor shack redesign
and rebuild. so it is going to be a while.
Shucks, even a ~20 years old radio shack discone
does a good job on milair, ad a not so bad job for
wX sats.
Terry

1083. Re: BCT-7 Police Scanner.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-22 04:03:16 UTC
From: Scan Da Bands <vzeip5vc@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <q4bl11lav7gqapjhel87rpju5jllek7jgi@4ax.com>
Source record: 14743

I fix them ,

1. Take the cover off

2. Locate where your antenna connection is.

3. Take a pair of wires cutters and cut that lead.

4. Turn every turnable "cans" you see on the board all the way right.

5. Pour epoxy glue on all those so they will not move

6. Now put the cover back on.

7. Drill a hole in the top cover (3/4" should do)

NOTE don't worry about the filings they won't hurt!!

8. Put a candle in the hole and light it (to make the glue stick)

You should be rx'ing NOAA in no time......or maybe not

These mods are for educational purposes only , not responsible for
damge to your eqpt.

On Mon, 21 Feb 2005 18:51:57 -0600, Mader631@webtv.net (Mr. Mader)
wrote:

>My weather channel doesn't work on my Model, Who fixes Scanners??? It
>worked Before, but now it doesn't.

1084. Re: North-Central Florida Mil Logs 2/21/2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-22 05:00:33 UTC
From: dxAce <flashoveride@eclipsed.com>
Message-ID: <421ABC71.B100E1B3@eclipsed.com>
Source record: 14744

GeorgeF wrote:

> For the last few days we've had a Carrier parked off the Florida coast
> line. If someone could confirm which carrier it is I would appreicate it.
>
> I believe it is the USS Harry S. Trumman based on an aircraft ID'ed
> himself as being from VFA-32
>
> The MilAirComms.com Log report for 2/21/2005
> All times are Eastern Time, frequencies in MHz and
> unless other wise noted modulation type is AM.
>
> unid
> Time: 1141
> Frequency: 364.2500 (Carrier/Marshall)
>
> unid
> Time: 1141 strong sigs "Nose Cold unit VFA-32"
> Frequency: 337.2250 (??)
>
> TIGER
> Time: 1144 looking to relocate the OMEGA station.
> Frequency: 360.0000 (Strike)
>
> RANGE21; TIGER; VIPER##; SNAKE;
> Time: 1145
> Frequency: 237.4000 (AICC)
>
> GULFTEST
> Time: 1201
> Frequency: 120.9500 (SEALORD)
>
> OMEGA 70
> Time: 1205 looking for 5 & 6 Zulu
> Frequency: 267.5000 (SEALORD)
>
> RUBBER ##
> Time: 1515 switching to STRIKE (then they come up on 337.2250,
> could this be STRIKE?)
> Frequency: 283.0250 (??)
>
> RUBBER
> Time: 1521
> Frequency: 337.2250 (Possible Strike)

>
> JOKER 201
> Time: 1521
> Frequency: 369.7000 (MARSHAL)
>
> INVADERJACK ##
> Time: 1522 Calling STRIKE
> Frequency: 237.4000 (AICC/STRIKE?)
>
> unid
> Time: 1536 weas sig.
> Frequency: 266.4250 (??)
>
> unid
> Time: 1736
> Frequency: 292.2000 (Avon Rng Control)
>
> SNAKE 3; SNAKE 4
> Time: 1738
> Frequency: 262.7000 (??)
>
> VIPER; SNAKE
> Time: 1834 ACM; SNAKES pushed to STRIKE then inroute to the tanker
> Frequency: 262.7000 (??); 283.0250 (??); 360.0000 (STRIKE)
>
> SNAKE
> Time: 1838
> Frequency: 337.2250 (??)
>
> TIGER 01
> Time: 1855 "Expect 8 aircraft in and/out of Pinecastle"
> Frequency: 267.5000 (SEALORD)
>
> TIGER
> Time: 1856 calling tracks
> Frequency: 237.4000 (AICC)
>
> NASA 962
> Time: 1859 Landing at the SLF
> Frequency: 128.5500 (NASA-SLF Tower)
>
> TIGER 04
> Time: 1904 Telling SEALORD what A/C will be going to PineCastle 1st
> Set, 2 F14's Callsign HAMMER, 2nd set 2 F-18's ARROW, 3rd set 2 F-18's
> THUNDER, 4th set 2 F-18 LIGHTING
> Frequency: 267.5000 (SEALORD)
>
> LIGHTNING ##; TIGER 04; HAMMER ##; THUNDER ##
> Time: 1913 Mission to distroy vehicle target.
> Frequency: 237.4000 (AICC)

>
> unid
> Time: 1914 air/air
> Frequency: 252.8250 (?? A/a)
>
> unid
> Time: 1939 looking for other traffic
> Frequency: 298.6250 (?? A/A)
>
> THUNDER31
> Time: 1946 taking out Target "MIKE" a Radar Van at 29-07.205N
> 81-42.442W,
> Frequency: 237.4000 (AICC)
>
> NAIL ##
> Time: 1952 taking out Target "CHARLE" an Armored Truck at
> 29-07.070N 81-42.441W.
> Frequency: 237.4000 (AICC)
>
> THUNDER
> Time: 2005 found in search after Thunder was told to push button 5
> Frequency: 362.1000 (Button 5 Thunder's)
>
> George - Monitoring from Daytona Beach, FL

Come on George, you're not in Daytona Beach...

1085. Radio Cradle

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-22 06:05:17 UTC
From: Jacko <nospam@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <xYzSd.14573$uc.2756@trnddc09>
Source record: 14745

Looking for a radio holder to mount to side of comp monitor. Think a cell phone cradle would be a decent holder for the 246T? Lots of cell phone holders out there but not seeing one specifically made for mounting at the side of your monitor. Any ideas or links where to look would be great - thanks!

Jacko

1086. Re: MilTenna Antenna Product Review.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-22 15:03:08 UTC
From: Mike <km6ab@REMOVETHIS.pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <MQHSd.8311$Pz7.1105@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 14746

More of the sky? An aircraft could never get high enough, even directly overhead not to be heard with a low angle antenna. I have communicated with aircraft on VHF out to 200mi runing a 5w transceiver and a 1/4 wavelength antenna right on the transceiver. Signals were perfect copy and virtually unchanged until the last few minutes of travel as the aircraft headed away from me. GPS was used to determine distance. On another occasion, the exact same radio was used with a large (11dBD gain) yagi antenna and the distance obtained was about 250mi. Signals held in a little longer but the ultimate distance was not much more. The yagi pointed away from the aircraft at close distance and directly below picked them up just fine. Bottom line is, if your antenna is in the clear of obstructions, you should pick up aircraft from very high altitude overhead and out to the horizon + a little more with no problem. Unless something is broken...
Mike

Right Rev. Ronnie wrote:
> On Sun, 20 Feb 2005 19:26:44 GMT, Mike <km6ab@REMOVETHIS.pacbell.net>
> wrote:
>
>
>>Why would you want a mil air antenna with a high angle of radiation? At
>>an extreme distance you would want the pattern at the horizon.
>>Mike
>>
>
> Depends on what you are listening to.
>
> For distance, yes. You would want a 5/8 wave to maximize the horizon.
>
> For local use, I'd want the high angle of radiation to capture more of
> the sky.
>
>

1087. Re: BCT-7 Police Scanner.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-22 21:34:25 UTC
From: Mader631@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24280-421BA561-534@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 14747

Thanks for the Info..........

1088. Re: eBay INSANITY - LMAO:::: CHECK THIS OUT!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-23 00:00:15 UTC
From: Greg <gfight@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <BE413207.34CE7%gfight@tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 14748

I wonder if it can be modded to pick up cellular.

> From: Scan Da Bands <vzeip5vc@verizon.net>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
> Date: Sun, 20 Feb 2005 17:53:44 GMT
> Subject: eBay INSANITY - LMAO:::: CHECK THIS OUT!!!!!
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=31462&item=3875983440

1089. Scanner Advice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-23 00:03:09 UTC
From: Me <Me@anywhere.com>
Message-ID: <421bc82d$1@nntp.zianet.com>
Source record: 14749

What should I buy. A clearance priced Pro-95 or the new BC246T?
Any advice?

1090. Re: Scanner Advice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-23 00:17:55 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <tpGdnfoS3bMuVobfRVn-uA@comcast.com>
Source record: 14600

Me wrote:
> What should I buy. A clearance priced Pro-95 or the new BC246T?
> Any advice?
>
>

If you don't need digital, the PRO-95 is hard to
beat...especially at the current sale price!

Bill Crocker

1091. Re: Scanner Advice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-23 00:22:15 UTC
From: Jim <Jim9845@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <421bccaa$1@nntp.zianet.com>
Source record: 14601

"Me" <Me@anywhere.com> wrote in message news:421bc82d$1@nntp.zianet.com...
> What should I buy. A clearance priced Pro-95 or the new BC246T?
> Any advice?
>
>
Go for the BC246T. It's newer technology, the Pro-95 is about two years
old. When you add in the cost of batteries, data cable, and AC adapter for
the Pro-95...The cost is about the same.

Jim

1092. Re: Pro 96 fixed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-23 02:19:44 UTC
From: "David B." <NoMail@NOSPAM.net>
Message-ID: <h96dneQ_1dzgpIDfRVn-ug@comcast.com>
Source record: 14620

That's nice

--

_____
"TomCat4680" <tomcatgbmi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1109180496.643224.236720@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> me and my uncle fixed my Pro 96. we just replaced the BNC socket. it
> only costed 3 bucks and took about an hour.
>
> Tom
>

1093. Re: Scanner Advice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-23 03:09:39 UTC
From: BDK <kingratatatboy@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1c85be03bd465bb3989765@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 14602

In article <421bc82d$1@nntp.zianet.com>, Me@anywhere.com says...
> What should I buy. A clearance priced Pro-95 or the new BC246T?
> Any advice?
>
>
>

Having played with both recently, take the 95, it's a better radio, flat out..

BDK

1094. Frequencies needed for Maumelle, Arkansas Police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-23 04:00:02 UTC
From: mdriggan@sbcglobal.net
Message-ID: <1109131204.3b0b6215fb3382d6a4ac6ec472f86f7d@teranews>
Source record: 14603

I am looking for the sub-codes for Maumelle Police and Fire Dept. They operate off of the Little Rock system, so I have the actual frequencies. I just need the talk group codes. Thanks in advance for the help!

1095. Re: Radio Cradle

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-23 06:18:48 UTC
From: jon <jonrev1978@wmconnect.com>
Message-ID: <1109139528.862905.51160@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14604

Hey Jacko! I am not sure about a holder for the side of your monitor, but before you buy anything make sure your monitor is not going to put rf into your scanner and mess up reception. I have had a problem with that with several monitors. Just something to think about. Have a great week! Jon.

1096. Re: Radio Cradle

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-23 07:25:04 UTC
From: "Mike Tschel." <Mike@Hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <YMednWOsMYnKrYHfRVn-pQ@look.ca>
Source record: 14605

"jon" <jonrev1978@wmconnect.com> wrote in message
news:1109139528.862905.51160@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> Hey Jacko! I am not sure about a holder for the side of your monitor,
> but before you buy anything make sure your monitor is not going to put
> rf into your scanner and mess up reception. I have had a problem with
> that with several monitors. Just something to think about. Have a
> great week! Jon.<

I'm with Jon on this one. I really can't think of a *worse* place to mount a sensitive radio receiver.

Hell, even my laptop puts out a ton of RF.

You'll need to either re-think your application, or be damn certain your computer monitor has been 'tin-foil' shielded. (That is, create a metalic shield between the radio and monitor - some folks use generic tinfoil.)

Good luck,
Mike T.

1097. To owners of a JIL SX-200: display blocked on "89APL-E"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-23 09:29:46 UTC
From: faccoberlo@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <d21fe435.0502230129.6b85af47@posting.google.com>
Source record: 14606

I have an old JIL SX-200 (http://www.rigpix.com/miscrx/jil_sx200.htm)
and it worked perfectly until yesterday...
While listening on VHF, all signals disappeared and now I can hear
only white noise.

And suddenly this error message "89APL-E" appeared on the display.
Since then I'm not able to enter any frequency or set the clock.

On the service manual this error "89APL-E" is mentioned and it should
happen when power supply is cut with the scanner switched-off in clock
mode.

Any suggestion for solving this problem on my old dear sx-200?

Thanks in advance,

Fac

1098. Re: eBay INSANITY - LMAO:::: CHECK THIS OUT!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-23 12:16:13 UTC
From: Jim Douglas <james.douglas@genesis-software.com>
Message-ID: <YLednUKNvNI37oHfRVn-oA@comcast.com>
Source record: 14607

So why were you searching for fake pussy on Ebay?
"Scan Da Bands" <vzeip5vc@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:phjh11llnoctulev9d7r6c6g0kbpv9s9p5@4ax.com...
>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=31462&item=3875983440

1099. Re: Scanner Advice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-23 12:46:01 UTC
From: Jacko <nospam@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <dW_Sd.48443$wc.10159@trnddc07>
Source record: 14608

I agree with the 246T suggestion - the 95 does not trnk LTR, no decoding,
and the Close Call option on the 246 is absolutely awesome. Smaller package.
The new memory allocation - how can you compare? No contest...

"Me" <Me@anywhere.com> wrote in message news:421bc82d$1@nntp.zianet.com...
> What should I buy. A clearance priced Pro-95 or the new BC246T?
> Any advice?
>
>

1100. Re: North-Central Florida Mil Logs 2/20/2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-23 13:53:50 UTC
From: Matt <pissoff@spammers.suck.com>
Message-ID: <cvi1td$244v$1@otis.netspace.net.au>
Source record: 14609

"GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4funREMOVEBEFOREFLIGHT.com> wrote in message
news:43mSd.2706$Ba3.251@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> Barry OGrady wrote:
>
> > Which is the 20th month?
> >
> >
> > -Barry
>
> Here in the US I was taught that you write all dates as Month/Day/Year.

BOG is our regular VK pedant who unfortunately expects all others to confirm to the "proper" form of English. Bit of a laugh really, given all of his screwups - guess the comment about rocks and glass houses is appropriate for him.

Matt

1101. Re: BCT-7 Police Scanner.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-23 15:13:41 UTC
From: mcclure_john@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1109171621.126409.206710@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14610

Mr. Mader wrote:
> Thanks for the Info..........

if u try that it will mess everything up

1102. Re: BCT-7 Police Scanner.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-23 15:19:06 UTC
From: Mark <me@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <om7p119h8nfeua922naeteahj14a60ct5b@4ax.com>
Source record: 14611

On 23 Feb 2005 07:13:41 -0800, mcclure_john@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>Mr. Mader wrote:
>> Thanks for the Info.....
>
>if u try that it will mess everything up

No it won't. Those are very popular mods.

1103. Re: Scanner Advice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-23 15:23:12 UTC
From: BDK <kingratatatboy@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1c8669e6df1e1945989769@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 14612

In article <dW_Sd.48443$wc.10159@trnddc07>, nospam@nomail.com says...
> I agree with the 246T suggestion - the 95 does not trnk LTR, no decoding,
> and the Close Call option on the 246 is absolutely awesome. Smaller package.
> The new memory allocation - how can you compare? No contest...
>
> "Me" <Me@anywhere.com> wrote in message news:421bc82d$1@nntp.zianet.com...
> > What should I buy. A clearance priced Pro-95 or the new BC246T?
> > Any advice?
> >
> >
>
>
>

Exactly. They don't compare..

The 246 got a lot of nice features, but in RADIO PERFORMANCE, the 95 beats it hands down. At my home, there were a half dozen busy Police freqs that were trashed by pagers and a local TV station. I don't even hear them on the 95. And no, the 246 wasn't defective, I borrowed another one, and it was slightly worse!

The tone decode is nice, but if the radio is intermod free, it's not a needed thing. That's all I ever used it for, keeping the radio from stopping due to pager or other unwanted signal bleedthrough.

Uniden should spend a buck or two on RF design, and not worry about a lot of somewhat useful "toys" they put into their radios.

Well, at least they got rid of the damn battery pack crap and went to AA batts. A step in the right direction. Finally!

BDK

1104. Re: To owners of a JIL SX-200: display blocked on "89APL-E"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-23 17:17:21 UTC
From: Ender <enderwiggin3rd@SBhotmail.com>
Message-ID: <4bep11p4eh2k6c5fhj54o2bvk7khsvro1s@4ax.com>
Source record: 14613

On 23 Feb 2005 01:29:46 -0800, faccoberlo@hotmail.com (Fac) wrote:

>I have an old JIL SX-200 (http://www.rigpix.com/miscrx/jil_sx200.htm)
>and it worked perfectly until yesterday...
>While listening on VHF, all signals disappeared and now I can hear
>only white noise.
>
>And suddenly this error message "89APL-E" appeared on the display.
>Since then I'm not able to enter any frequency or set the clock.
>
>On the service manual this error "89APL-E" is mentioned and it should
>happen when power supply is cut with the scanner switched-off in clock
>mode.
>
>Any suggestion for solving this problem on my old dear sx-200?
>
>
>Thanks in advance,
>
>Fac
Wow, it has been a long time since I had my JIL SX-200! I can't
recall if there is a readily accessible "reset" switch, but I would
give that a shot if you can locate it. My JIL SX-400 is still running
great, but a couple of times each year it will lock up and require a
reset, then it comes back to life and continues to scan on.

Good luck with it!

Ender

____
[____]
(OIIIIIIO)
[] []

1105. Pro 96 fixed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-23 17:41:36 UTC
From: TomCat4680 <tomcatgbmi@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1109180496.643224.236720@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14614

me and my uncle fixed my Pro 96. we just replaced the BNC socket. it only costed 3 bucks and took about an hour.

Tom

1106. Re: Radio Cradle

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-23 19:27:14 UTC
From: Jacko <nospam@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <mO4Td.9423$QQ3.8868@trnddc02>
Source record: 14615

Hmmm...didn't think of that. Well, it looked good in theory lol

"Mike Tschel." <Mike@Hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:YMednWOsMYnKrYHfRVn-pQ@look.ca...
> "jon" <jonrev1978@wmconnect.com> wrote in message
> news:1109139528.862905.51160@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> > Hey Jacko! I am not sure about a holder for the side of your monitor,
> > but before you buy anything make sure your monitor is not going to put
> > rf into your scanner and mess up reception. I have had a problem with
> > that with several monitors. Just something to think about. Have a
> > great week! Jon.<
>
> I'm with Jon on this one. I really can't think of a *worse* place to mount
a
> sensitive radio receiver.
>
> Hell, even my laptop puts out a ton of RF.
>
> You'll need to either re-think your application, or be damn certain your
> computer monitor has been 'tin-foil' shielded. (That is, create a metalic
> shield between the radio and monitor - some folks use generic tinfoil.)
>
> Good luck,
> Mike T.
>
>

1107. Re: BCT-7 Police Scanner.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-23 22:59:26 UTC
From: Mader631@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5353-421D0ACE-17@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 14616

That will screw it up????

1108. Re: test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-24 00:01:56 UTC
From: speed <xm107@netinshua.net>
Message-ID: <cvj5hm$bo1$1@news.netins.net>
Source record: 14617

ok
"test" <eheide@shaw.ca> wrote in message news:Ei7Td.488386$Xk.170583@pd7tw3no...
test

1109. Re: eBay INSANITY - LMAO:::: CHECK THIS OUT!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-24 00:22:24 UTC
From: Greg <gfight@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <BE4288B8.34E07%gfight@tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 14618

> From: "Jim Douglas" <james.douglas@genesis-software.com>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
> Date: Wed, 23 Feb 2005 06:16:13 -0600
> Subject: Re: eBay INSANITY - LMAO:::: CHECK THIS OUT!!!!!
>
> So why were you searching for fake pussy on Ebay?
(snip)

That's easy - where else would you search for a fake pussy?

1110. North-Central Florida Mil Logs 2/23/2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-24 00:43:06 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4funREMOVEBEFOREFLIGHT.com>
Message-ID: <uq9Td.9737$x53.8077@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 14619

The MilAirComms.com Log report for 2/23/2005
All times are Eastern Time, frequencies in MHz and
unless other wise noted modulation type is AM.

unid
Time: 0758 asking if MAGUM has checked in 270.6 being used as a
descrete.
Frequency: 270.6000 (SEALORD - Bristal South)

TIGER 01
Time: 0759 Inroute to PAX River to NHK
Frequency: 284.5000 (SEALORD)

RATTLER 21
Time: 0805 Inroute to NTU
Frequency: 284.5000 (SEALORD)

JOSA 982
Time: 0806
Frequency: 372.2000 (PATRICK DISPATCH)

VICTOR 1 YANKEE; 2 QUEBEC HOTEL;
Time: 0905 maybe part of JFK group but havn't heard any JFK Flight
activity yet this morning. +40 Db on FT-1000MP & 240' wire
Frequency: 3.1674 USB (USN LINK-11 Coordination Net)

LIMA LIMA 55 (P-3?)
Time: 0910 looking to work 5 & 6 XRAY
Frequency: 267.5000 (SEALORD)

DRAGON ##
Time: 0911 checking in
Frequency: 254.3250 (JAX Cntr - LakeCity)

unid
Time: 0912 "wx bad heading back to.."
Frequency: 314.2000 (A/A)

RATTLER
Time: 0912
Frequency: 253.7000 (A/A 17 AUX)

unid
Time: 0914 "what altitude are they dropping at?"
Frequency: 270.6000 (FACSFACJAX Bristol South)

unid
Time: 0916 AICC?

Frequency: 257.5000 (AWACS)

unid
Time: 0918 "TESTING 1 2 3 TESTING" Very strong sig.
Frequency: 282.4250 (US Customs)

PELICAN 711
Time: 0958 Calling FIDDLE
Frequency: 4.739 USB (?? Is this a LINK freq?)

BOLT 31 (KC-135)
Time: 1002 Smelled electrical burning smell, it was a burning relay
above the bathroom door.. 40 miles out, everyone on Oxygen
Frequency: 311.0000 (LIGHTNING OPS); 292.1000 (Maintance)

OMAHA 5CS (P-3 DOME)
Time: 1003 informing MIAMI Air they are inbound to Opa Locka
Frequency: 345.0000 (USCG Ops Primary)

VICTOR 1 YANKEE; 2 QUEBEC HOTEL;
Time: 1057 looking for authorization, 2QH audio was hard to read.
Frequency: 3.1674 USB (USN LINK-11 Coordination Net)

2 QUEBEC HOTEL; GATOR; 8 BRAVO GOLF
Time: 1449 Switching to TIMBER
Frequency: 3.1674 USB (USN LINK-11 Coordination Net)

SENTRY 51
Time: 1507 In route to Tinker AFB
Frequency: 120.9500 (FACSFACJAX)

George - Monitoring from Daytona Beach,
WEBSITE: http://www.MilAirComms.com
(c) 2005 By G. W. Fetter. All Rights Reserved. No portion of this log maybe
reprinted or reproduced in electronic form or print without my permission.

1111. PRO-95 Scanner questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-24 03:44:05 UTC
From: rlewart@shell.monmouth.com
Message-ID: <cvjii5$o0b$2@news.monmouth.com>
Source record: 14621

After my Pro-37 gave up ghost after 10 years I am thinking of getting
PRO-95. Any suggestions will be appreciated.
Cass

1112. Re: PRO-95 Scanner questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-24 04:19:56 UTC
From: Buzzygirl <spammers@re.shite.com>
Message-ID: <XoCdnY6Eua50yIDfRVn-3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 14622

"Cass Lewart" <rlewart@shell.monmouth.com> wrote in message
news:cvjii5$o0b$2@news.monmouth.com...
> After my Pro-37 gave up ghost after 10 years I am thinking of getting
> PRO-95. Any suggestions will be appreciated.

It's a great scanner-- dual trunking, 1000 channels. I believe Radio Shack's
got them on sale now; check their website. I'm delighted with mine.

Jackie

1113. Re: eBay INSANITY - LMAO:::: CHECK THIS OUT!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-24 10:51:42 UTC
From: nana <nana@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <385pu3F5jf4pmU1@individual.net>
Source record: 14623

>> Subject: Re: eBay INSANITY - LMAO:::: CHECK THIS OUT!!!!!
>>
>> So why were you searching for fake pussy on Ebay?
> (snip)
>
> That's easy - where else would you search for a fake pussy?

It's fake?

1114. CopWatch Uses Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-24 14:02:07 UTC
From: zerg90@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4414-421DDE5F-231@storefull-3137.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 14624

http://www.metroactive.com/papers/metro/02.23.05/copwatch-0508.html

San Jose CA - citizens keep tabs on the local police with video cameras and police scanners.

Openness

1115. Radio Station Reporter Helped Out At Emergencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-24 14:12:21 UTC
From: zerg90@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4414-421DE0C5-235@storefull-3137.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 14625

http://www.svherald.com/articles/2005/02/17/local_news/news3.txt

Sierra Vista Arizona in Cochise County

Peter s - www.scanningusa.com

Keywords - openness news media police scanner emergency public safety

1116. Re: Radio Station Reporter Helped Out At Emergencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-24 15:23:50 UTC
From: David <David@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <421df1d1$0$29275$14726298@news.sunsite.dk>
Source record: 14626

Right!
>
"Peter Sz" <zerg90@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:4414-421DE0C5-235@storefull-3137.bay.webtv.net...
> http://www.svherald.com/articles/2005/02/17/local_news/news3.txt
>
> Sierra Vista Arizona in Cochise County
>
> Peter s - www.scanningusa.com
>
> Keywords - openness news media police scanner emergency public safety
>

1117. Re: CopWatch Uses Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-24 16:45:45 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <BynTd.4772$Cr.2224@fe02.lga>
Source record: 14627

This "group" is just a small part of what's out there all over the US. There is a website that I have actively linked to from my own site for over a year now. I believe this is a good thing. Police, including in my small town here, have a tendency to let their power go to their heads... I have personally encountered, and have heard of, many "power trips" with the local and state police around here. Somebody needs to put them in their place, and let them know just who it is they are working for, and just who it is that is paying their wages...

CopWatch: http://www.copwatch.com/

"Peter Sz" <zerg90@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:4414-421DDE5F-231@storefull-3137.bay.webtv.net...
> http://www.metroactive.com/papers/metro/02.23.05/copwatch-0508.html
>
> San Jose CA - citizens keep tabs on the local police with video cameras
> and police scanners.
>
> Openness
>

1118. Re: CopWatch Uses Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-24 17:17:17 UTC
From: Mark <me@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <hu2s11lr08rlgIltakpieqnbj7n13kd89b@4ax.com>
Source record: 14628

On Thu, 24 Feb 2005 10:45:45 -0600, "PowerHouse Communications"
<PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote:

>This "group" is just a small part of what's out there all over the US.
>There is a website that I have actively linked to from my own site for over
>a year now. I believe this is a good thing. Police, including in my small
>town here, have a tendency to let their power go to their heads... I have
>personally encountered, and have heard of, many "power trips" with the local
>and state police around here. Somebody needs to put them in their place,
>and let them know just who it is they are working for, and just who it is
>that is paying their wages...

Who they work for and who pays their wages is irrelevant.

They are to uphold the law and obey the law. If they are doing this, they
should have no problem having voyeurs follow them around the city.

>
>CopWatch: http://www.copwatch.com/
>
>
>
>"Peter Sz" <zerg90@webtv.net> wrote in message
>news:4414-421DDE5F-231@storefull-3137.bay.webtv.net...
>> http://www.metroactive.com/papers/metro/02.23.05/copwatch-0508.html
>>
>> San Jose CA - citizens keep tabs on the local police with video cameras
>> and police scanners.
>>
>> Openness
>>
>

1119. HOW do i get my BC 200 xlt scanner to search?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-24 18:54:54 UTC
From: dirtybird <aboveavrige@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <45b51c0f11a5d5c98c0800380b779eba@localhost.talkaboutelectronicequipment.com>
Source record: 14629

HELP!i just got a UNIDEN BC 200 xlt scanner,the problem is i cant get it to search,i can MANUALY put in freq,but cant or dont know how to search/scan 29 to 956,,NONE at all,why or how do i do this or what might be the problem,PLEASE HELP ME,,,godsey_4@bellsouth.net or aboveavrige@yahoo.com

1120. UNIDEN BEARCAT BC XLT 200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-24 19:46:03 UTC
From: dirtybird <aboveavrige@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <fd1f1586748bb60d7710d3ecf20ea118@localhost.talkaboutelectronicequipment.com>
Source record: 14630

do you have the know how when it comes to searching the freq on this scanner? i cant get it to search!i can put in freq manualy,i want to search moniter,scan,freq806-956-HOW!!!!HELP PLEASE!!!PLEASE E-mail me with any HELP! AT- godsey_4@bellsouth.net OR aboveavrige@yahoo.com HELP,LINKS,ANYTHING!!!!THANK YOU "dirtybird" A.K.A Steve.....

1121. Re: PRO-95 Scanner questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-24 20:28:57 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <dOqTd.5069$873.1048@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 14631

"Cass Lewart" <rlewart@shell.monmouth.com> wrote in message
news:cvjii5$o0b$2@news.monmouth.com...
> After my Pro-37 gave up ghost after 10 years I am thinking of getting
> PRO-95. Any suggestions will be appreciated.
> Cass

I have been real happy with mine. I am a real amature at this. I only bought a 95 because the police here were going to switch to 800 trunked. I was using a Pro-79. The first thing I found was the 95 did do much better at picking up calls in places where reception was hard to get on the 450 band. As said the Manual is very poorly written. It's as if it was made for people who already know how to use the radio. After you learn, it's great, but there are plenty of people willing to help you while you learn. Since I only am interested in Police I never bothered to get the cable to use it with a PC, but they are easy to buy. As long as you don't need digital they work great. The new BC is smaller and is supposed to work about as well in a more compact package, and is only about $50.00 more. There are enough of those out there now to get people to help you with them also.

--
The problem with the gene pool is that there is no lifeguard.

1122. Re: WinScanRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-24 20:44:37 UTC
From: whtabtme <whtabtbob2000@nospam.netzero.net>
Message-ID: <fd875f2d14be5cfeb95fcf74764b99d5@localhost.talkaboutelectronicequipment.com>
Source record: 14632

I recently purchased the latest police call book/cd from rs because it was supposed to contain that s/w. I had to mail order it and when it arrived it was obvious that the 2052 was not included. RS didn't reply to my e-mail and you know what happened with pozilla. I guess I may try to return it. Heck, I have an old disk with V 1.0 on it if you would care to buy it for cheap.

1123. Re: PRO-95 Scanner questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-24 22:29:22 UTC
From: lsmyer <myer413ny@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <aGsTd.38$3Z5.29@fe07.lga>
Source record: 14633

I had a Pro-95 but I found it too bulky and hard to use (and no one in my area uses trunking), so I sold it on eBay.

So, with a few extra dollars in my pocket, I went to my local Wal-Mart store and got myself one of the new little Uniden BC92XLT scanners for just $99 (lowest price anywhere, NO shipping cost, and you don't have to wait even a minute for it to arrive).

After a week with my little BC92, I think it's a perfect scanner for me. It's very sensitive, very fast, and very small.

The BC92 isn't my only scanner. I've got others by Uniden, RS, Sony, and Yaesu, but my little BC92 is holding its own right now.

Stop by your local Wal-Mart and try one (they accept returns if you don't like it). The BC92s are back in the automotive section in a glass case. They have a NASCAR label on the front of them, but don't let that worry you. It has nothing to do with NASCAR or auto racing. I guess the NASCAR name is just another gimmick to sell scanners.

Oh, the BC92 has the exact same Close CallT RF Capture Technology that the BC246T has. That was another reason that I bought the BC92. I originally planned to get a BC246T, but after doing my homework, the BC246T would have cost me $140 more, and it wouldn't have done any more for me than the BC92.

1124. Re: HOW do i get my BC 200 xlt scanner to search?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-25 01:00:24 UTC
From: Evan Platt <evan@TheObvious.espphotography.com>
Message-ID: <e4us119facupcgmpdnrv6l0nbcsttcsf5q@4ax.com>
Source record: 14634

On Thu, 24 Feb 2005 13:54:54 -0500, "dirtybird"
<aboveavrige@yahoo.com> wrote:

>HELP!i just got a UNIDEN BC 200 xlt scanner,the problem is i cant get it to
>search,i can MANUALY put in freq,but cant or dont know how to search/scan
>29 to 956,,NONE at all,why or how do i do this or what might be the
>problem,PLEASE HELP ME,,,godsey_4@bellsouth.net or aboveavrige@yahoo.com

If I remember, you put in the low frequency, then hit limit, then the
upper frequency, then limit, then search.

Evan
--
To reply, remove TheObvious from my e-mail address.

1125. Re: PRO-95 Scanner questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-25 01:22:29 UTC
From: rlewart@shell.monmouth.com
Message-ID: <cvlukl$49r$2@news.monmouth.com>
Source record: 14635

Thanks for comments. What kind of software will I need to store
frequencies on my computer? What is the layout of the PC cable?
Cass

1126. Re: cordless phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-25 02:24:26 UTC
From: Jeff Seale <jseale18@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <m1go527dn748$.1fye8so7vux29$.dlg@40tude.net>
Source record: 14636

On Wed, 02 Feb 2005 15:25:12 GMT, Paul Keenleyside wrote:

> "news.vif.com" <root@NoReply-AntiSpam.com> wrote in message
> news:1107356773.544525@www.vif.com...
>>
>>
>> stryped@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> I know it is not legal
>> FYI illegal to listen to cellphones/cordless phones
>> US/Canada.
>>
>>> but can a regular scanner that covers 900 mhz
>>> listen to cordless phone conversations?
>>
>> Yes!.
>
> Given the limited range of cordless phones and the content, why would anyone
> bother?
>
> But techncially yes.

There are always DX opportunitities, like when it's cloudy or extremely
warm outside, just like with good ol' FM.

1127. Railroad Frequencies: AM or FM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-25 03:38:24 UTC
From: Genghis Kahn <detective@china.net>
Message-ID: <Xns9607E655630F6charchandet@199.45.49.11>
Source record: 14637

Greetings to the list,

Are railroad frequencies in the U.S. AM or FM?

Much Respect,

Genghis Kahn

1128. Re: Railroad Frequencies: AM or FM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-25 04:04:07 UTC
From: cl <clfurent@Yahoo.Com>
Message-ID: <TsxTd.47371$NC6.40753@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 14638

FM.........

"Genghis Kahn" <detective@china.net> wrote in message
news:Xns9607E655630F6charchandet@199.45.49.11...
> Greetings to the list,
>
>
> Are railroad frequencies in the U.S. AM or FM?
>
>
> Much Respect,
>
> Genghis Kahn

1129. Re: Railroad Frequencies: AM or FM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-25 04:46:29 UTC
From: Buzzygirl <spammers@re.shite.com>
Message-ID: <EZKdnaaoaKQCMIPfRVn-sA@comcast.com>
Source record: 14639

"Genghis Kahn" <detective@china.net> wrote in message
news:Xns9607E655630F6charchandet@199.45.49.11...

> Are railroad frequencies in the U.S. AM or FM?

They use FM and are usually found from 160.110 MHz to 161.565 MHz, in 15 KHz steps.

Check this site for some good info on RR freqs:
http://zippy.cso.uiuc.edu/~roma/rr-freqs/

Have fun,

Jackie

1130. Yaesu VR-120D DC input and other newbie questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-25 07:09:53 UTC
From: DLT <vergadain@iinet.net.ayou>
Message-ID: <0djt11hek9en06nln9q71m7vv2q1dmc7dr@4ax.com>
Source record: 14640

Greetings

Does anyone have the technical specs of what the DC input requirement is for the VR-120? The manual suggests the DC input is between 5.5V and 10 however the tip polarity is not listed. Also what size is the actual DC input jack considered? It's pretty tiny with a tiny pin centre. Is it relatively generic or proprietry?.

Also does anyone know if it's possible to pick up and decode some of those digital carrier signals with this scanner and if so how?

Thanks peeps.

DLT.

1131. Re: Railroad Frequencies: AM or FM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-25 07:30:04 UTC
From: "Steve St. Denis" <slstdenis@porchlight.ca>
Message-ID: <cvmk4b$6ar$1@utornnr1pp.grouptelecom.net>
Source record: 14641

fm
"Genghis Kahn" <detective@china.net> wrote in message
news:Xns9607E655630F6charchandet@199.45.49.11...
> Greetings to the list,
>
>
> Are railroad frequencies in the U.S. AM or FM?
>
>
> Much Respect,
>
> Genghis Kahn

1132. IRC radio monitoring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-25 08:05:03 UTC
From: Barnard Peters <one@two.com>
Message-ID: <y7mdnUEhpveyQYPfRVn-1A@comcast.com>
Source record: 14642

Though utility radio communications monitoring is fun and interesting all by itself, there is more fun to be had participating in live interactive radio monitoring with colleagues from around the world who share the same interest. Having dozens of ears scanning the bands really adds to the excitement. Having more people on-line means having more ears on the bands. This posting is an effort to add more people to zirc.org #monitor

Entry level as well as experienced listeners are welcome to join.

More info is at: http://s91586483.onlinehome.us/monitor/

To connect to IRC, you will need an IRC client. Mozzila and netscape have one built in or there are many you can download and install. You will need to connect to irc.zirc.org or pick a server from the website at zirc.org. To connect, type "/server irc.zirc.org" and once connected type "/join #monitor". There is a web based client at http://www.zirc.org/chat/

Once logged on, simply start posting what you hear or just be a fly-on-the-wall and watch what other people post and follow along on your radio!

1133. ISO Uniden email addresses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-25 09:51:14 UTC
From: TomCat4680 <tomcatgbmi@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1109325074.064934.243880@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14643

im doing a research paper for a college English class on the history of radio scanners. i need official, accurate and reliable sources, so i want help from manufacturers. ive emailed Radio Shack, Cobra, GRE and AOR. I cant find an email address for Uniden though. anyone got one?

which other manufacturers should I email?

thanx
Tom

1134. Re: To owners of a JIL SX-200: display blocked on "89APL-E"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-25 09:59:42 UTC
From: faccoberlo@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <d21fe435.0502250159.6cf39aaf@posting.google.com>
Source record: 14644

Thanks Ender for your answer.

I have a copy of the service manual of the SX-200 but I can't locate a
reset switch... it's sure is not external.
I'll examine again all the schemas more in details.

I bought My SX-200 for 40 euros 3 years ago and worked very well: it
was quite good on air-band...

Just for curiosity, on the SX-400 the reset switch is internal or
external?

Bye,
Fac

Ender <enderwiggin3rd@SBhotmail.com> wrote in message
news:<4bep11p4eh2k6c5fhj54o2bvk7khsvro1s@4ax.com>...
> On 23 Feb 2005 01:29:46 -0800, faccoberlo@hotmail.com (Fac) wrote:
>
> >I have an old JIL SX-200 (http://www.rigpix.com/miscrx/jil_sx200.htm)
> >and it worked perfectly until yesterday...
> >While listening on VHF, all signals disappeared and now I can hear
> >only white noise.
> >
> >And suddenly this error message "89APL-E" appeared on the display.
> >Since then I'm not able to enter any frequency or set the clock.
> >
> >On the service manual this error "89APL-E" is mentioned and it should
> >happen when power supply is cut with the scanner switched-off in clock
> >mode.
> >
> >Any suggestion for solving this problem on my old dear sx-200?
> >
> >
> >Thanks in advance,
> >
> >Fac
> Wow, it has been a long time since I had my JIL SX-200! I can't
> recall if there is a readily accessible "reset" switch, but I would
> give that a shot if you can locate it. My JIL SX-400 is still running
> great, but a couple of times each year it will lock up and require a
> reset, then it comes back to life and continues to scan on.
>
> Good luck with it!
>
> Ender

> ____
> [____]
> (OIIIIIIO)
> [] []

1135. ISO DC adapter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-25 10:32:02 UTC
From: TomCat4680 <tomcatgbmi@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1109327522.074512.239630@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14645

i want to get a DC adapter for my Pro 96 and Pro 92.

The Pro 96 manual say needs a 9 volt, 300 mA adapter (no catalog number listed), and the RS site says it needs RS catalog number 273-1863, which is 1000 mA. I'm assuming this is a misprint, but lets not get into rants about how we hate Radio Shack, its been done thousands of time. (i love them btw).

then i looked in the Pro 92 manual and it says it also needs a 9 volt, 300 mA adapter and recomends catalog 273-1810, which is the right mA for both the Pro 92 and Pro 96, but according to the RS site, it isnt sold any more. again, no need for ranting.

i know which adapta plug i need for both (C for 96 and H for 92, according to the manuals)

so which one do I need?

thanx
Tom

1136. Where does RS pull freqs from to program scanners (P-95), etc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-25 12:22:11 UTC
From: Andy <nospm@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96084AA37B2EFnospmnowherecom@216.196.97.136>
Source record: 14646

When Radioshack offers to program your scanner, what website or resource do they use? Please share this magic.

Do you get to choose trunk and conv. or is it a just a dump defined by RS by state or county or what?

I have the pro-95 and the cable and win95 and I'm pretty much all set, just curious.

Andy
Scannist

--
"Ladies and gentlemen, The Beatles!"
- Ed Sullivan (1964)

1137. Re: Railroad Frequencies: AM or FM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-25 15:49:38 UTC
From: Genghis Kahn <detective@china.net>
Message-ID: <Xns96086E2AABAABcharchandet@199.45.49.11>
Source record: 14647

Thanks to all who answered. I appreciate it.

Much Respect,

Genghis Kahn

1138. Re: ISO Uniden email addresses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-25 19:50:44 UTC
From: "Greasy Rider&#65533;"@invalid.com
Message-ID: <mb0v11tirupkvgil0un6gmc6smk7u3fk2v@4ax.com>
Source record: 14648

On 25 Feb 2005 01:48:25 -0800, "TomCat4680" <tomcatgbmi@aol.com>
postulated :
>im doing a research paper on the history of radio scanners. i need
>official, accurate and reliable sources, so i want help from
>manufacturers. ive emailed Radio Shack, Cobra, GRE and AOR. I cant find
>an email address for Uniden though. anyone got one?
>
Phone:
1-800-554-3988 M-F, 8AM to 5PM CST

Email:
For Orders: order@uniden.com
For Parts and Accessory questions: accessories@uniden.com

1139. Re: Legal Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-25 21:03:43 UTC
From: dvdguy2@webtv.net
Message-ID: <914-421F92AF-388@storefull-3118.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 14649

Barknee wrote: Federal law superceeds state and local laws.

Many states disagree. They say state law supercedes Federal law.

And they give the reason as the U.S. constitution says that any rights not specifically granted to the Federal government by the constitution belongs to the states.

Cases in point. The highway funding of the early 70's over the 55 MPH speed limit.

The Federal Government wasn't able to legally make a law requiring all states to obey the 55 mph limit because the rights belong to the state.

The states even said so.

To get around this, the Federal government simply threatened to withold federal funding for the roads from any state who didn't reduce the speed limit to 55 MPH.

1140. Re: ISO DC adapter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-25 22:47:15 UTC
From: Al Arduengo <exalti@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.02.25.22.47.12.629873@yahoo.com>
Source record: 14750

On Fri, 25 Feb 2005 02:32:02 -0800, TomCat4680 wrote:

>
> i want to get a DC adapter for my Pro 96 and Pro 92.
>
> The Pro 96 manual say needs a 9 volt, 300 mA adapter (no catalog number
> listed), and the RS site says it needs RS catalog number 273-1863,
> which is 1000 mA. I'm assuming this is a misprint, but lets not get
> into rants about how we hate Radio Shack, its been done thousands of
> time. (i love them btw).
>
> then i looked in the Pro 92 manual and it says it also needs a 9 volt,
> 300 mA adapter and recomends catalog 273-1810, which is the right mA
> for both the Pro 92 and Pro 96, but according to the RS site, it isnt
> sold any more. again, no need for ranting.
>
> i know which adapta plug i need for both (C for 96 and H for 92,
> according to the manuals)
>
> so which one do I need?
>
> thanx
> Tom

I use a 6V adapter for my Pro-96. (273-1859)
-Al
--
~/.signature

1141. Re: CopWatch Uses Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-25 23:18:18 UTC
From: FeMaster <FeMaster@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1uOTd.5394$T7.3737@fe06.lga>
Source record: 14751

"Mark" <me@privacy.net> wrote in message
news:hu2s11lr08rlglltakpieqnbj7n13kd89b@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 24 Feb 2005 10:45:45 -0600, "PowerHouse Communications"
> <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote:
>
> >This "group" is just a small part of what's out there all over the US.
> >There is a website that I have actively linked to from my own site for
over
> >a year now. I believe this is a good thing. Police, including in my
small
> >town here, have a tendency to let their power go to their heads... I
have
> >personally encountered, and have heard of, many "power trips" with the
local
> >and state police around here. Somebody needs to put them in their place,
> >and let them know just who it is they are working for, and just who it is
> >that is paying their wages...
>
> Who they work for and who pays their wages is irrelevant.
>
> They are to uphold the law and obey the law. If they are doing this, they
> should have no problem having voyeurs follow them around the city.
>
>

Try telling them that around here... Here's an example:

Being in Michigan, a person needs a permit to possess a scanner in their vehicle. Local "enforcement" doesn't want you to listen in on their activities. Of the three people in my family that applied for and received those permits, I'm the only one that still has one. The other two have had them revoked due to these "criminals in uniform". I'm thinking that the only reason I still have mine is that I work 3rd shift and am not out much during the day, thus not being as "visible" or "accessible" as the other two are...

Around here they actually make up stories and send them to the Communications Director in charge of issuing the permits. They make erroneous claims (see below) that can't be proven either way by either party, but since they are "the law", their word has more power than the common citizen, thus no recourse for the innocent. As I have stated and will continue to state, corruption runs rampant in my area...

1: One was "following emergency calls and showing up at the scene". Funny, he was in the area, fishing, before the call ever even came in, but it was a

good excuse to pull his license...
2: One was "convicted" of "publishing" because he was found to possess a notebook with a list of frequencies in it that he had programmed into his scanner. Another good reason to pull a license...

> >
> >CopWatch: http://www.copwatch.com/
> >

1142. Re: ISO Uniden email addresses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-25 23:21:33 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <4xOTd.5440$T7.3130@fe06.lga>
Source record: 14752

Not sure if these will help...

Regan Petersen
Account Director
Ogilvy Public Relations Worldwide
303.634.2615 Voice
regan.petersen@ogilvypr.com

Tomoko Kato
Uniden America Corporation
817.858.3328 Voice
817.858.3228 Fax
unidenpr@uniden.com

Uniden America Corporation
4700 Amon Carter Blvd.
Fort Worth, TX 76155
817-858-3300
800-621-8527

These are "PRESS" contacts. Best I could find. The only other email is
through a "form" system on their website...

"TomCat4680" <tomcatgbmi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1109324905.476804.295290@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> im doing a research paper on the history of radio scanners. i need
> official, accurate and reliable sources, so i want help from
> manufacturers. ive emailed Radio Shack, Cobra, GRE and AOR. I cant find
> an email address for Uniden though. anyone got one?
>
> which other manufacturers should I email?
>
> thanx
> Tom
>

1143. Re: CopWatch Uses Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-25 23:40:51 UTC
From: Casper Milquetoast <CasperMilquetoast@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <_-adneaG2aYUKoLfRVn-gQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 14753

If the relatives were as paranoid & 'militant' as you seem to be when they were stopped for whatever reason, it's no wonder the police opted to strictly & perhaps creatively enforce all applicable laws. I assume your relatives had the opportunity to go to court over the matters, so either they failed to do so, or perhaps next you'll ramble on about how all the courts are corrupt, too.

"FeMaster" <FeMaster @ hotmail . com> wrote in message news:1uOTd.5394$T7.3737@fe06.lga...

> Try telling them that around here... Here's an example:
>
> Being in Michigan, a person needs a permit to possess a scanner in their
> vehicle. Local "enforcement" doesn't want you to listen in on their
> activities. Of the three people in my family that applied for and
> received
> those permits, I'm the only one that still has one. The other two have
> had
> them revoked due to these "criminals in uniform".

1144. Re: PRO-95 Scanner questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-26 00:12:11 UTC
From: Paul Hirose <iszintjv55@earINVALIDthlink.net>
Message-ID: <421FBE80.AE3EBA8E@earINVALIDthlink.net>
Source record: 14754

There was a thread on the PRO-95 back in September, and I gave my
opinion. Bottom line: a good buy, but could have been much better if
Radio Shack had given it a well-designed user interface.

http://groups-beta.google.com/group/rec.radio.scanner/msg/e40077f23e818c00?dmode=source

In general, the trunking features of the user interface are inferior
to their conventional (non-trunking) counterparts, and are accessed in
a completely different manner. I get the impression the trunking
firmware was spliced into existing firmware from some other scanner.
Or the conventional and trunking programmers worked in separate
buildings (countries?) and didn't communicate much.

The scanning itself works marvelously. I'm typically monitoring a
Motorola trunked system, an EDACS trunked system, and a bunch of
conventional channels, simultaneously. The PRO-95 handles that mix of
traffic with hardly a glitch.

One PRO-95 tip involves the SEARCH function, which continuously sweeps
through a range of frequencies. When it finds an active one, it stops
and gives you the option of storing that freq in the first available
channel of any bank you specify. It helps if you keep the lowest
channels of that bank empty so all your "catches" will be grouped
together for review later.

In the trunked talkgroup storage area, I also keep the lowest sub-bank
of each bank empty for the same reason. If an interesting group that I
didn't know about comes on the air, I can use a quick button push to
store it at the bottom of the bank.

There's a bug I encounter when scanning a trunked Motorola UHF low
band system. When I turn another bank on or off (either my EDACS bank,
or one of my conventional channel banks), the PRO-95 often loses its
ability to trunk the Motorola system. Instead, the scan keeps stopping
on the control channel as if it were a conventional channel. The cure
is to press MANUAL then SCAN.

--

Paul Hirose <iszintjv55@earINVALIDthlink.net>
To reply by email delete INVALID from address.

1145. Re: ISO DC adapter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-26 00:47:09 UTC
From: DougSlug <dougslug@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <mLPTd.9479$Do1.4775@fe11.lga>
Source record: 14755

You can use an adapter with a higher current rating than 300 mA--so although 1000 mA is way overkill, it won't hurt anything. The good thing about getting one with a higher current rating is that you may be able to use it with other equipment, too (or both radios at the same time if you get a Y-cord for the Adapt-A-Plug system). The bad thing is that the higher current rating model will generally cost more. The current rating must be at least as high as the amount of supply current that the unit uses (usually found on the specifications page in the manual).

- Doug

"TomCat4680" <tomcatgbmi@aol.com> wrote in message news:1109327522.074512.239630@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> i want to get a DC adapter for my Pro 96 and Pro 92.
>
> The Pro 96 manual say needs a 9 volt, 300 mA adapter (no catalog number
> listed), and the RS site says it needs RS catalog number 273-1863,
> which is 1000 mA. I'm assuming this is a misprint, but lets not get
> into rants about how we hate Radio Shack, its been done thousands of
> time. (i love them btw).
>
> then i looked in the Pro 92 manual and it says it also needs a 9 volt,
> 300 mA adapter and recomends catalog 273-1810, which is the right mA
> for both the Pro 92 and Pro 96, but according to the RS site, it isnt
> sold any more. again, no need for ranting.
>
> i know which adapta plug i need for both (C for 96 and H for 92,
> according to the manuals)
>
> so which one do I need?
>
> thanx
> Tom
>

1146. newbie needs help with selecting indoor antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-26 02:26:22 UTC
From: Tonya_049@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20510-421FDE4E-416@storefull-3313.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 14756

Hi
I bought a Bearcat 800XLT couple years ago and just now started to listen late at nite.
The top antenna is still intacked but the back one is missing.
I live in a heavy wooded area with 2 -14 story towers in front and a monster size pine tree on the edge of my patio facing my living room where my scanner is located.
I have been looking into discones but this is a rental, no drilling holes.
Can some one in group please advise me what type of indoor antenna to purchase as I have no clues what is good or not worth the money.
I have seen some discones that clip on your windows but don't want to take the screens out of my windows as there are a lot of wild life in my area.
Any help I would be grateful. Private e-mail welcome.

1147. Wow, I'm impressed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-26 04:51:18 UTC
From: Evan Platt <evan@TheObvious.espphotography.com>
Message-ID: <7lvv11h96i8199nm0i0580413kksofpg28@4ax.com>
Source record: 14757

Attemped to post a FA: post here. (Ive seen a few and none of the posters were flamed). Got a error message that only shows for about 3 seconds, to the effect of Rec.radio.scanner doesn't allow Auction posts.

Wow. I'm impressed! Unfortunately, not all servers have that filtering, I'm sure. And I'd bet it's not content (i.e. body of the message) filtered. So for example, if someone put simply "Model XXX scanner" in the body, and then (well - I guess this will be the test huh) "I have a Model 1234 scanner for auction on ebay,
See my auction,
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=123456789
I bet the content filter will let it pass.

Too bad the content filter isn't on every server and on the body. We'd never see another "Hello you don't know me, but I come to you with a great financial opportunity. My family all died in a massive car bombing, leaving me with 30 million dollars in a safety depost box..."
or
"I need to get this off my chest before I explode! My name is Stephen Ducharme..."

:)

Evan
--
To reply, remove TheObvious from my e-mail address.

1148. Re: newbie needs help with selecting indoor antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-26 09:14:19 UTC
From: "Mike M." <notme@invalid.email.net>
Message-ID: <p5f0215c05hrrnr3d19vh7t21kpolaf4oh@4ax.com>
Source record: 14758

On Fri, 25 Feb 2005 21:26:22 -0500, Tonya_049@webtv.net (ms. tonya)
wrotF:

>Hi
>I bought a Bearcat 800XLT couple years ago and just now started to
>listen late at nite.
>The top antenna is still intacked but the back one is missing.
>I live in a heavy wooded area with 2 -14 story towers in front and a
>monster size pine tree on the edge of my patio facing my living room
>where my scanner is located.
>I have been looking into discones but this is a rental, no drilling
>holes.
>Can some one in group please advise me what type of indoor antenna to
>purchase as I have no clues what is good or not worth the money.
>I have seen some discones that clip on your windows but don't want to
>take the screens out of my windows as there are a lot of wild life in my
>area.
>Any help I would be grateful. Private e-mail welcome.

Get one of the Micro Mag Triband antennas such as this one from RandL
Set it on a pizza pan or cookie sheet and it move it around until you
get the best reception. Sorry R&L isn't big on pictures, but they are
an honest retailer.

http://www.randl.com/cgi-local/cart/cart.cgi?ID=37144&cart=moreinfo&title=Search%20by%20Keyword%20%3A%20Results%20Page

1149. FS - Yupiteru MVT-7000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-26 11:30:06 UTC
From: gil <gi1pi@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1109417406.143803.148970@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14759

Yupiteru MVT-7000 works good and looks good, $80. shipped.

Gil

1150. WTB: PRO-2004 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-26 15:11:47 UTC
From: Frank Bals <fjb@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Tk0Ud.80089$Th1.18508@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 14760

Looking for excellent cosmetic and working condition. Must have a bright backlight. Original box and manual nice, but not necessary. Will pay $125 to $150 for good unit.

Frank

1151. 800 mhz uniden scanner cell-unblocked on ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-26 18:38:40 UTC
From: "John L. Wilkerson Jr." <jlwsecure-usenet@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96098A80F1F1Fjlwsecure@207.115.63.158>
Source record: 14761
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

BC855 scanner. 50 channel model with the 100 ch. mod applied.
This has the affect of unlocking 800 mhz.

Auction link:

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5755270486&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

1152. Re: newbie needs help with selecting indoor antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-26 18:53:12 UTC
From: Tonya_049@webtv.net
Message-ID: <283-4220C598-546@storefull-3311.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 14762

Sat, Feb 26, 2005, 9:14am (EST+5) From: notme@invalid.email.net
(Mike M.) WROTE:
Get one of the Micro Mag Triband antennas such as this one from RandL
Set it on a pizza pan or cookie sheet and it move it around until you
get the best reception. Sorry R&L isn't big on pictures, but they are an
honest retailer.
http://www.randl.com/cgi-local/cart/cart.cgi?ID=37144&cart=moreinfo&title=Search%20by%20Keyword%20%3A%20Results%20Page
---
RESPONSE: Thanks Mike, will call them Monday.

1153. Re: A Sad Reality: The Unaviodable Death of Scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-26 19:11:34 UTC
From: LizardKing <LizardKing@TechWarrior.Net>
Message-ID: <1121iip9pe2l8c9@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 14763

"David H." <daveh@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:mrlv01dku2eeb19dv7rivorbed7t5phuhs@4ax.com...
> Hello all,
>
> Scanning has been for me, as I'm sure it has for many of you, a great
and
> fascinating hobby over the last many years.
>
> But with such things as digital transmission, spread spectrum and
encryption
> techniques becoming more and more popular and widely used every day, it
can really
> only mean one thing: recreational scanning must eventually die.
>
> From everything I can see, it won't be long before even the most
back-woods agencies
> will be going all digital... as many of them already have. Sure, it may
take another
> 5 or 10 years (probably at most) to phase out all the old analog radio
equipment, but
> phase out it will. It has to. The future of radio communication is going
digital.
> It's now cheap and it's easy, and getting more so every day.
>
> What are we scanner enthusiasts to do?

Simple. Buy up as much of their brodcasting equipment as possible for as
cheaply as possible and start broadcasting in analog whatever the hell you
want!

Lizard~King

"Well I'm the crawling Kingsnake, and
I rule my den!" - JDM, et. alii

1154. Strongsignals.net forums?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-27 19:17:11 UTC
From: Dwayne <dubbin4@goaway.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1c8be8868ccb726d989778@news-server.woh.rr.com>
Source record: 14764

Is anyone else having problems getting into strongsignals.net froums?

--

Dwayne
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/BC895/

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Uniden_BC246T

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ScanFindlay/

1155. Re: newbie needs help with selecting indoor antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-27 20:01:55 UTC
From: raystation <raystation@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <11249phreqqb92c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 14765

If I had 14 story towers in my front yard I'd be doing some serious climbing and putting up all kinds of discones and beams... then I'd be leasing out tower space to make a few bucks on the side. Sounds like a location most of us just dream of.

"ms. tonya" <Tonya_049@webtv.net> wrote in message news:20510-421FDE4E-416@storefull-3313.bay.webtv.net...

> I live in a heavy wooded area with 2 -14 story towers in front and a
> monster size pine tree on the edge of my patio facing my living room
> where my scanner is located.

1156. Re: CopWatch Uses Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-27 21:01:14 UTC
From: FeMaster <FeMaster@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <IyqUd.879$Hj1.847@fe02.lga>
Source record: 14766

You know, I could ramble on about how they are corrupt around here, but I won't. Quite simply, who the hell can afford to "get their day in court" these days... We're not all made of money you know...

"Casper Milquetoast" <CasperMilquetoast@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:_-adneaG2aYUKoLfRVn-gQ@comcast.com...
> If the relatives were as paranoid & 'militant' as you seem to be when they
> were stopped for whatever reason, it's no wonder the police opted to
> strictly & perhaps creatively enforce all applicable laws. I assume your
> relatives had the opportunity to go to court over the matters, so either
> they failed to do so, or perhaps next you'll ramble on about how all the
> courts are corrupt, too.
>
>
> "FeMaster" <FeMaster @ hotmail . com> wrote in message
> news:1uOTd.5394$T7.3737@fe06.lga...
>
> > Try telling them that around here... Here's an example:
> >
> > Being in Michigan, a person needs a permit to possess a scanner in their
> > vehicle. Local "enforcement" doesn't want you to listen in on their
> > activities. Of the three people in my family that applied for and
> > received
> > those permits, I'm the only one that still has one. The other two have
> > had
> > them revoked due to these "criminals in uniform".
>
>

1157. Re: Where does RS pull freqs from to program scanners (P-95), etc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-27 22:58:40 UTC
From: RC car parts <nascar24rulz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1109545120.501524.78310@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14767

They get them from the Police Call CD that they sell. I have the 2003 version

1158. ARIZONA - PHOENIX POLICE, FIRE SCANNER FREQUENCY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-28 01:29:58 UTC
From: "(���) Guess Who" <nospam@yourisp.com>
Message-ID: <sus421de4iu0c654pt6qhosqdu3pjndgc7@4ax.com>
Source record: 14768

POSTED TO

alt.radio.scanner
rec.radio.scanner
az.general
az.internet
az.wanted

ARIZONA - PHOENIX POLICE, FIRE SCANNER FREQUENCY

Trying to fine the frequencies of Phoenix Police,
and Fire Department. Is there a link with the
listing?

Please send replies to the E-MAIL address below.

<SDeMardes@netscape.net>
<SDeMardes at netscape dot net>

mailto:SDeMardes@netscape.net

..

1159. Sign up for a great free science e-newsletter!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-28 04:07:03 UTC
From: neutrino750@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1109563623.301683.248070@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14769
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.scouting.guide+girl,rec.scouting.issues

We'd like to invite you to join our free
WORLD SCIENCE e-newsletter, which
contains some of the world's most exciting
science news and photos long before they're
in the papers and magazines. A sample
newsletter is below.

To join, just send an email with "subscribe"
in the subject line to:
neutrino750 @ yahoo.com (remove the spaces).

The newsletter, sent out about every 3-10
days, contains short summaries of our new
stories, with links to web pages containing
the full stories. It's free: no pop-ups, passwords,
spam, or gimmicks -- that's our pledge! The full
story pages do contain small side ads (non
pop-up), which are what supports our news-
letter. YOU pay nothing ever. You can cancel
anytime simply by replying to a newsletter
email and typing "unsubscribe" in the subject
line.

Below is a sample newsletter. It doesn't
contain the full story links because we're not
allowed to provide them here, but the real
newsletter will contain working links.

****
LAST WEEK'S WORLD SCIENCE EMAIL
NEWSLETTER

* Possible dinosaur-bird missing link found:
It's not the first bird-like dinosaur ever found --
but it is the closest yet found to the actual
dinosaur ancestor of birds, researchers say.

Click here for full story (link will work in the real newsletter)

* Science in Images - A more life-friendly Mars:
Most Mars photos show a rocky, dry-looking land-
scape. This is another view: an area of the planet
that researchers think might have once been
habitable. They plan to explore further.

Click here for full story (link will work in the real newsletter)

* Another record: biggest blast ever in our
galaxy:
The most powerful explosion in our galaxy ever
recorded occurred recently when an exotic star's
magnetic field snapped, astronomers say.

Click here for full story (link will work in the real newsletter)

* New robots walk nearly like humans:
An older robot does it too, but it used 10 times as
much energy as humans do, researchers say; that is
changing.

Click here for full story (link will work in the real newsletter)

* Brain doesn't have universal language rules,
researchers claim:
Some researchers argue that language is more like
a creation of itself than a creation of our brains.

Click here for full story (link will work in the real newsletter)

1160. scanning FRS/GMRS radios.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-28 06:58:40 UTC
From: tom <cyberhun@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <AizUd.523789$8l.446764@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 14770

Do FRS/GMRS radios use wfm, nfm, or other scannable, non-digital modulation modes?
All I can find on the fcc site is power level restrictions and whether or not you can have a detachable antenna.

1161. sc230 Vs. BC246T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-28 07:10:22 UTC
From: TongSlinger <klakky@email.cam>
Message-ID: <122a5$4222c3d5$943fd7b5$32068@STARBAND.NET>
Source record: 14771

These scanners seem to be equal. I've seen 2 different descriptions. one says that the sc230 scans in the 1100-1200 MHz. another says that 900 is as far as it will scan. is this true? Which is the better scanner?
Thanks

1162. Re: scanning FRS/GMRS radios.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-28 07:34:08 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <vXzUd.7093$0v1.6930@fe07.lga>
Source record: 14772

NFM... Some use Speech Inversion scrabbling for "privacy".

"tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:AizUd.523789$8l.446764@pd7tw1no...
> Do FRS/GMRS radios use wfm, nfm, or other scannable, non-digital
modulation
> modes?
> All I can find on the fcc site is power level restrictions and whether or
> not you can have a detachable antenna.
>
>

1163. Re: scanning FRS/GMRS radios.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-28 12:39:15 UTC
From: tom <cyberhun@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <ThEUd.526380$8l.264629@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 14773

Is there an internet utility or some such that will revert inverted speech?
Or what's the procedure for that?

"PowerHouse Communications" <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote in message
news:vXzUd.7093$0v1.6930@fe07.lga...
> NFM... Some use Speech Inversion scrabbling for "privacy".
>
>
> "tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote in message
> news:AizUd.523789$8l.446764@pd7tw1no...
>> Do FRS/GMRS radios use wfm, nfm, or other scannable, non-digital
> modulation
>> modes?
>> All I can find on the fcc site is power level restrictions and whether or
>> not you can have a detachable antenna.
>>
>>
>
>

1164. Re: scanning FRS/GMRS radios.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-28 16:14:06 UTC
From: Evan Platt <evan@TheObvious.espphotography.com>
Message-ID: <0og621hb1ths174b9d9g63jk43b2973gn5@4ax.com>
Source record: 14774

On Mon, 28 Feb 2005 12:39:15 GMT, "tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote:

>Is there an internet utility or some such that will revert inverted speech?
>Or what's the procedure for that?

There are, however it's almost easier to just buy a voice inversion
FRS radio. :)
--
To reply, remove TheObvious from my e-mail address.

1165. what's the difference between simplex and half-duplex?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-28 16:20:47 UTC
From: tom <cyberhun@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <zxHUd.527095$8l.408835@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 14775

what's the difference between simplex and half-duplex?

1166. Re: scanning FRS/GMRS radios.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-28 16:22:11 UTC
From: tom <cyberhun@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <TyHUd.526329$Xk.44928@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 14776

true --- they're not exactly classified military equipment ...

"Evan Platt" <evan@TheObvious.espphotography.com> wrote in message
news:0og621hb1ths174b9d9g63jk43b2973gn5@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 28 Feb 2005 12:39:15 GMT, "tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote:
>
>>Is there an internet utility or some such that will revert inverted
>>speech?
>>Or what's the procedure for that?
>
> There are, however it's almost easier to just buy a voice inversion
> FRS radio. :)
> --
> To reply, remove TheObvious from my e-mail address.

1167. Re: sc230 Vs. BC246T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-28 16:47:18 UTC
From: Dwayne <dubbin4@goaway.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1c8d16cf107965f5989779@news-server.woh.rr.com>
Source record: 14777

In article <122a5$4222c3d5$943fd7b5$32068@STARBAND.NET>,
klakky@email.cam says...
> These scanners seem to be equal. I've seen 2 different descriptions. one
> says that the sc230 scans in the 1100-1200 MHz. another says that 900 is as
> far as it will scan. is this true? Which is the better scanner?
> Thanks
>
>
>
The 246 is a trunking radio and the 230 is not.
--

Dwayne
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/BC895/

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Uniden_BC246T

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ScanFindlay/

1168. Re: what's the difference between simplex and half-duplex?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-28 16:57:29 UTC
From: DougSlug <dougslug@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <JdIUd.26929$ZO7.2729@fe08.lga>
Source record: 14778

Here's a definition:
http://physinfo.ulb.ac.be/cit_courseware/datacomm/dc_014.htm

"tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:zxHUd.527095$8l.408835@pd7tw1no...
> what's the difference between simplex and half-duplex?
>
>

1169. Re: scanning FRS/GMRS radios.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-28 17:04:57 UTC
From: DougSlug <dougslug@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <JkIUd.26930$kT7.1496@fe08.lga>
Source record: 14779

Search for "LP Communications Speech Inverter" on this page:
http://www.funkmeldesystem.de/download.php to download. I have used this
program a couple times...it basically works, but I wouldn't say the results
are high fidelity...

- Doug

"tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:ThEUd.526380$8l.264629@pd7tw1no...
> Is there an internet utility or some such that will revert inverted
> speech? Or what's the procedure for that?

1170. Re: scanning FRS/GMRS radios.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-28 18:52:10 UTC
From: tom <cyberhun@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <uLJUd.528478$6l.36603@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 14780

Right on Doug, I got it. How does it work? The txt file that came with it
is pretty meager --- do you play the inverted input through your snd card
and then start this exe and the output is reverted?

"DougSlug" <dougslug@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:JkIUd.26930$kT7.1496@fe08.lga...
> Search for "LP Communications Speech Inverter" on this page:
> http://www.funkmeldesystem.de/download.php to download. I have used this
> program a couple times...it basically works, but I wouldn't say the
> results are high fidelity...
>
> - Doug
>
>
> "tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote in message
> news:ThEUd.526380$8l.264629@pd7tw1no...
>> Is there an internet utility or some such that will revert inverted
>> speech? Or what's the procedure for that?
>
>

1171. Re: scanning FRS/GMRS radios.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-28 19:16:00 UTC
From: DougSlug <dougslug@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <N9KUd.2193$M92.1088@fe09.lga>
Source record: 14781

You got it. You need to make sure the input signal doesn't get sent to the speakers or you'll never make any sense of it.

"tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote in message news:uLJUd.528478$6l.36603@pd7tw2no...
> Right on Doug, I got it. How does it work? The txt file that came with
> it is pretty meager --- do you play the inverted input through your snd
> card and then start this exe and the output is reverted?

1172. Realistic (radioshack/tandy) 2006 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-28 19:42:33 UTC
From: Matalog <matalog@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <JuKUd.3039$Ho6.2967@newsfe3-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 14782

I am looking to get a decent and quite cheap radio and thought that this might be a good choice. What do you think?

1173. Bearcat BCT8 Vs. BC898T differences?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-28 21:20:54 UTC
From: MattC <mcostanza@austin.rr.com>
Message-ID: <1109625654.078473.218410@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14783

I'm looking to aquire a new Bearcat scanner and I'm a little confused on the differences between the Bearcat BCT8 vs the BC898T. Can anyone point out the differences? I of course would like to go with the most feature rich but it looks like both are about the same on features. Can anyone help?

Thanks!

Regards,
--
Matt Costanza
Austin, Tx USA

1174. Re: Pro 96 fixed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-28 22:14:17 UTC
From: junkhunter <haysmichael@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <ZIMUd.10140$Pz7.8139@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 14784

To Cool

"TomCat4680" <tomcatgbmi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1109180496.643224.236720@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> me and my uncle fixed my Pro 96. we just replaced the BNC socket. it
> only costed 3 bucks and took about an hour.
>
> Tom
>
>

1175. ARC8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-28 22:29:09 UTC
From: Cardon Matthieu <no@spam.com>
Message-ID: <42239af7$0$29663$626a14ce@news.free.fr>
Source record: 14785

Hello.

I'm looking for the software ARC8000 from "BuTel Software".
The author doesn't sell it anymore because it is not working right
under recents operating systems.
Is somebody can help me ?

Thanks !

1176. Re: what's the difference between simplex and half-duplex?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-28 23:16:19 UTC
From: mikeFNB <moc.dlrowltn@FNB7G-ekim>
Message-ID: <7DNUd.464$VT.86@newsfe4-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 14786

but that describes data movement not the radio definition

Simplex
is where only one channel is in use. each party take their turn to TX & RX.

Semi-Duplex or Half-Duplex
is where two channels are in use, either party 'can' RX & TX at the sametime
(if enabled) but both cannot do it simultaneously.

not to be confused with
Split,
which is the same as simplex but on two channels.

Full Duplex
is same as above where it can be done simultaneously (like a telephone)

mike

"DougSlug" <dougslug@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:JdIUd.26929$ZO7.2729@fe08.lga...
> Here's a definition:
> http://physinfo.ulb.ac.be/cit_courseware/datacomm/dc_014.htm
>
>
> "tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote in message
> news:zxHUd.527095$8l.408835@pd7tw1no...
> > what's the difference between simplex and half-duplex?
> >
> >
>
>

1177. 900mhz yagi question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-28 23:29:19 UTC
From: PillarOfRocknRoll@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1109633359.575230.308000@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14787

I found a 900mhz yagi that is pretty huge (like 56" long) and I have a BC250 scanner. the end of the yagi is an N-female but im not sure how I could connect this to my scanner BNC port..do they sell such adapters? anything i could make? thanks

Dave

1178. Re: scanning FRS/GMRS radios.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-02-28 23:40:28 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <65OUd.24558$rx2.10767@fe03.lga>
Source record: 14788

There are three programs that I aware of that can do speech inversion through your computers sound card. I see that you have already found one of them though an online link. If you have access to alt.binaries.radio-scanner, I will upload all three of those files to that group... Most likely one of them you already have, if "invert.exe" is the same as the one I have by that name...

"tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote in message news:ThEUd.526380$8l.264629@pd7tw1no...
> Is there an internet utility or some such that will revert inverted speech?
> Or what's the procedure for that?
>
>
> "PowerHouse Communications" <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote in message
> news:vXzUd.7093$0v1.6930@fe07.lga...
> > NFM... Some use Speech Inversion scrabbling for "privacy".
> >
> >
> > "tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote in message
> > news:AizUd.523789$8l.446764@pd7tw1no...
> >> Do FRS/GMRS radios use wfm, nfm, or other scannable, non-digital
> > modulation
> >> modes?
> >> All I can find on the fcc site is power level restrictions and whether or
> >> not you can have a detachable antenna.
> >>
> >>
> > >
> > >
> >
> >

1179. Re: Realistic (radioshack/tandy) 2006 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-01 00:59:49 UTC
From: Rob Mills <robmills@cox.net>
Message-ID: <48PUd.16634$ds.1656@okepread07>
Source record: 14789

"Matalog" <matalog@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
news:JuKUd.3039$Ho6.2967@newsfe3-gui.ntli.net...

>>> What do you think? <<<

I think they are cheaper than they used to be but still not what I call
cheap. You can buy a new scanner (even a trunk tracker) for the price of a
used 2006 that's worth dragging home. RM~

1180. Re: 900mhz yagi question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-01 01:29:16 UTC
From: mikeFNB <moc.dlrowltn@FNB7G-ekim>
Message-ID: <MzPUd.2394$Pl5.147@newsfe5-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 14790

yep maplin do adapters though a short lead to relieve the weight on the scanner socket would do better.

mike
<PillarOfRocknRoll@gmail.com> wrote in message news:1109633359.575230.308000@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> I found a 900mhz yagi that is pretty huge (like 56" long) and I have a
> BC250 scanner. the end of the yagi is an N-female but im not sure how I
> could connect this to my scanner BNC port..do they sell such adapters?
> anything i could make? thanks
>
> Dave
>

1181. Re: 900mhz yagi question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-01 03:03:50 UTC
From: PillarOfRocknRoll@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1109646230.153555.164750@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14791

thanks. no worry with the lead, the antenna has a pig-tail cable off of it.

1182. Re: scanning FRS/GMRS radios.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-01 03:32:12 UTC
From: tom <cyberhun@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <0nRUd.529723$Xk.101248@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 14792

Yes, I can access that group --- I just looked and didn't see any posts by
you, there's only around 4 messages total...
Or are you waiting beofre you upload them? I would appreciate it.

"PowerHouse Communications" <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote in message
news:65OUd.24558$rx2.10767@fe03.lga...
> There are three programs that I aware of that can do speech inversion
> through your computers sound card. I see that you have already found one
> of
> them though an online link. If you have access to
> alt.binaries.radio-scanner, I will upload all three of those files to that
> group... Most likely one of them you already have, if "invert.exe" is the
> same as the one I have by that name...
>
>
> "tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote in message
> news:ThEUd.526380$8l.264629@pd7tw1no...
>> Is there an internet utility or some such that will revert inverted
> speech?
>> Or what's the procedure for that?
>>
>>
>> "PowerHouse Communications" <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote in message
>> news:vXzUd.7093$0v1.6930@fe07.lga...
>> > NFM... Some use Speech Inversion scrabbling for "privacy".
>> >
>> >
>> > "tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote in message
>> > news:AizUd.523789$8l.446764@pd7tw1no...
>> >> Do FRS/GMRS radios use wfm, nfm, or other scannable, non-digital
>> > modulation
>> >> modes?
>> >> All I can find on the fcc site is power level restrictions and whether
> or
>> >> not you can have a detachable antenna.
>> >>
>> >>
>> >
>> >
>>
>>
>
>

1183. above 1000 mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-01 07:28:44 UTC
From: TongSlinger <klakky@email.cam>
Message-ID: <df16a$422419b0$943fd7b5$22047@STARBAND.NET>
Source record: 14793

what is there to listen to above 1000 mhz?

thanks

1184. AOR or ICOM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-01 07:46:57 UTC
From: Frank M <ugspam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <20050301024458168O7%ugspam@mindspringcom>
Source record: 14794

I'm about 5 years into listening sw, ham, utilities on tabletop
Bearcat, Icom R75, and
Grundig 800...and am ready for next level, after spending 4 of those
years working
on antenna setups ,-)

'would appreciate recommendation for midlevel listening tabletop model.
And if I order
from Canada, how can I get one free of cell blockage (if possible)?

Thanks and regards from Atlanta, GA
--
ï¿½2005, all rights reserved
To email, change "ugspam" to "fwmco"

1185. Over 100 misc.UHF radio crystals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-01 07:47:10 UTC
From: Disturbed1 <tempting78@chartermi.net>
Message-ID: <EdVUd.788$bz2.414@fe07.lga>
Source record: 14795

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=296&item=5755497136&rd=1
Image by FlamingText.com

1186. Over 100 misc. Low Band radio crystals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-01 07:48:05 UTC
From: Disturbed1 <tempting78@chartermi.net>
Message-ID: <veVUd.814$uH5.525@fe07.lga>
Source record: 14796

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=296&item=5755726489&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW
Image by FlamingText.com

1187. Re: Pro-96 CPU upgrade insanity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-01 07:58:55 UTC
From: "A. Marvin" <Arnold.Marvin@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3388211orqle1ocblbgv7veutjgi483ggv@4ax.com>
Source record: 14797
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.binaries.radio-scanner

Yeah I know what you mean. I know that the earth is going to run out
of crude oil some day and I'm kicking myself for buying that car with
a gasoline powered engine.

On Sun, 13 Feb 2005 17:56:58 -0500, **THE-RFI-EMI-GUY**
<rhyolite@nettally.com> wrote:

>If you want to see some real fun, wait until the 800 MHz rebanding takes
>place. The channel numbering schemes, channel spacing, NPSPAC band edges
>will all be affected. Kinda glad I havent invested in a trunking tracker
>at this time.
>
>7597243@skytel.com wrote:
>
>>After hearing talk of a possible CPU upgrade, I first asked a couple of
>>local stores. They had no clue. I then asked RS via the webpage. Below
>>are a series of email exchanges I have had with them. In their defense, at
>>least they reply. It seems as there are 8 talk groups affected by something
>>in the current CPU. What these talkgroups and their locations are; RS is
>>keeping a closely guarded secret. Apparently mere mortals cannot fathom the
>>sheer complexity of them. They would not even tell me what symptoms the
>>scanner would exhibit if one of the 8 talkgroups was received. What idiots.
>>
>>
>>Patient: Doctor I feel sick to my stomach.
>>Dr: Well it could be gas, or your appendix.
>>Patient: Which is it?
>>Dr.: If I told you, I'd have to tell all my patients. Wait until
>>I take out your neighbor's appendix.
>>
>>The first email is at the bottom of this post.
>>
>>--
>>In order to provide boards for customers who require the upgrade, we do not
>>provide that information. It is RadioShack's intention to FIRST update
>>scanners for customers that live in or near analog trunking systems that use
>>one of the involved 8 talkgroup ID codes. If RadioShack provided that
>>information and responded to everyone's request today, we would quickly run
>>out of boards and then not be able to update any requests from customers
>>that need them. To better assist you with future questions, please respond
>>to this original email with the REPLY option. Thank you, Lori RadioShack
>>Product Support Center LN/kk
>>-------------------------
>> Sent: Wednesday, February 09, 2005 8:24 PM To: RS Store POS Mail user

>> Subject: RE: CPU upgrade PRO-96-LN-LN
>>That's all very well and good, but I am still waiting for the 8 mystery talk
>>groups. I ask again can you please tell me what they are?
>>RS Store POS Mail user
>>Your local RadioShack store can contact our Product Support group or their
>>District Manager to arrange for the upgrade, when the upgrades are available
>>for customers not using the ID's. To better assist you with future
>>questions, please respond to this original email with the REPLY option.
>>Thank you, Lori RadioShack Product Support Center LN/kk
>><http://www.radioshack.com>
>>-------------------------
>> Sent: Sunday, February 06, 2005 9:40 AM To: RS Store POS Mail user Subject:
>> RE: CPU upgrade PRO-96-LN
>>Thanks, That makes it clearer. Can you provide a list of the 8 talkgroup
>>ID's? I don't know them. Also what part # should I reference if I should
>>decide to take my PRO-96 in and have the upgrade done. The local stores are
>>not aware of this upgrade. Thanks
>>RS Store POS Mail user wrote: Thank you for the e-mail, We apologize for the
>>delay in response. At the present time we are experiencing a high level of
>>correspondence. There is currently no program in place to automatically
>>upgrade the Pro-96 in our Fort Worth or any other facility and there are no
>>programs planned to do so. Running changes and product enhancements are made
>>to products all the time. Not every change is automatically applied to every
>>existing unit and our experience and information in this instance is that
>>the issue does not effect the vast majority of Pro-96 users. That aside, let
>>me say that RadioShack will arrange a version 1.3 CPU update path for anyone
>>that wants one, but first... It is RadioShack's intention to FIRST update
>>scanners for customers that live in or near analog trunking systems that use
>>one of the involved 8 talkgroup ID codes. These are the ONLY customers that
>>will see a difference in the performance of the scanner from CPU version 1.2
>>or earlier. We have arranged a small number of boards and the cost for the
>>update will be our minimum service fee for a scanner, $49. We are actively
>>seeking customer contacts in these areas. We have a problem, however - if
>>RadioShack responded to everyone's request today, we would quickly run out
>>of boards and then not be able to update any requests from customers that
>>need them. To our PRO-96 customers, if you monitor a system that uses one or
>>more of the 8 talkgroup ID's, please contact us with a list of those ID's
>>and most importantly what system they are on, your address and proximity to
>>the system - we will verify the system's use of those TID's and your
>>address, then contact you individually and arrange a prompt update. If you
>>are a PRO-96 customer, but don't use one of those talkgroup ID's, you just
>>want the update we encourage you to wait until parts are more readily
>>available. The charge for the update will be the same minimum service fee -
>>no penalty for just wanting the latest! To better assist you with future
>>questions, please respond to this original email with the REPLY option.
>>Thank you, Lori RadioShack Product Support Center LN/kk
>><http://www.radioshack.com> <
>> _____ Customer's Question: I have
>> heard rumors of a CPU upgrade to 1.3. Supposedly this is only done at the
>> Ft Worth Service center. Can you provide any information on this such as

>> part number to reference when speaking with my local store? thanks
>>------------------------
>>
>>

1188. Re: above 1000 mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-01 10:01:37 UTC
From: nana <nana@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <38iss6F5lt10dU1@individual.net>
Source record: 14798

"TongSlinger" <klakky@email.cam> wrote in message
news:df16a$422419b0$943fd7b5$22047@STARBAND.NET...
> what is there to listen to above 1000 mhz?
>
> thanks
About 50GHz of spectrum.

23cm ham bands, 2.4GHz wifi.
2.6GHz TV video feeds
3.9GHz satellite feeds.
Too much to list

Nana

1189. Re: AOR or ICOM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-01 13:35:06 UTC
From: Jesse <com@net.com>
Message-ID: <Xns960C57559C1C2ewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 14799

Frank M <ugspam@mindspring.com> wrote in news:200503010244581680 7%
ugspam@mindspringcom:

> I'm about 5 years into listening sw, ham, utilities on tabletop
> Bearcat, Icom R75, and
> Grundig 800...and am ready for next level, after spending 4 of those
> years working
> on antenna setups ,-)
>
> 'would appreciate recommendation for midlevel listening tabletop model.

Icom is known for some pretty eccentric radios - In particuliar I'm put off by their tendancy not to be able to scan banks in any order you desire. For instance, if you wanted to listen to just bank 5 and bank 7, theres no way to do it. You have the choice of listening to them all, or just one - A fatal handicap in my view.
Maybe their not all like this. I know some of the most popular ones like R-10, R-20 are.
But of course Icoms are quality products, packed with features and well supported.
I've heard AOR customer support is practically non-existant, though they got some nice radios no question about it.
Best bet is to narrow your possibilities down to a few, and seek info about them all.
Try ebay [other brands]http://tinyurl.com/3u4ug to give you some ideas, and though this link isn't working now for some reason http://www.strongsignals.net/access/reviews/reviews.cgi got some excellent reviews if the page ever comes back up again.

> And if I order
> from Canada, how can I get one free of cell blockage (if possible)?
>
> Thanks and regards from Atlanta, GA

Well, units from canada are unblocked by default.
Any outfit in canada will gladly ship you one, if you want to take the chance.
Question is, is having an unblocked scanner worth a couple hundred $$$ extra, and do you want to take the chance of U.S. Customs seizing it ?
Better order with a CC so you can do a chargeback if it gets confiscated at the border.

1190. VE5JL.com is back up and running - With Forums and Flight Simulator too

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-01 14:04:43 UTC
From: ME <ME@SpamHater.com>
Message-ID: <%D_Ud.537513$6l.68859@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 14550
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Check out this Canadian Radio related website. VE5JL.com is back up and with a new look. Also there are forums for Scanning, Shortwave Radio, Ham radio and CB radio too.

http://www.ve5jl.com

1191. Fosset's nonstop flight around t. world

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-01 15:19:05 UTC
From: Phil <nospam_Philnyc22@nospam_hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <JJ%Ud.41825$s16.11349@trndny02>
Source record: 14551

How's Mr. Fosset communicating back to his mission control in Kansas
during his non-stop flight around the world ?

Phil

1192. Male BNC to MX connector

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-01 15:24:47 UTC
From: Bradley Moser <bugsbunny72200@juno.com>
Message-ID: <3P%Ud.69729$wc.14903@trnddc07>
Source record: 14552

Anyone knows where I can get a Male bnc to Mx connector on the Net ?
Thank you for any help

1193. Re: Fosset's nonstop flight around t. world

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-01 16:14:38 UTC
From: ME <ME@SpamHater.com>
Message-ID: <Ox0Vd.538946$6l.521561@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 14553

Take a look at the site there might be some info there.

http://www.virginatlanticglobalflyer.com/

73's
VE5JL

"Phil" <nospam_Philnyc22@nospam_hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:JJ%Ud.41825$s16.11349@trndny02...
> How's Mr. Fosset communicating back to his mission control in Kansas
> during his non-stop flight around the world ?
>
> Phil

1194. Re: PRO-95 Scanner questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-01 17:45:36 UTC
From: Franko <headbolt@execpc.com>
Message-ID: <1109699136.038028.306690@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14554

Over all I think it is a great buy @ 129.00 I bought 2 of them last
week . No digital is the only fall back but there is plenty out there
to hear for fun.

1195. Re: Realistic (radioshack/tandy) 2006 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-01 19:39:28 UTC
From: Matalog <matalog@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <Qx3Vd.3982$VF5.2933@newsfe3-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 14555

Would a new scanner have the capabilities of the 2006? (a cheaper one obviously)

And what is a trunk tracker?

"Rob Mills" <robmills@cox.net> wrote in message news:48PUd.16634$ds.1656@okepread07...
>
> "Matalog" <matalog@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
> news:JuKUd.3039$Ho6.2967@newsfe3-gui.ntli.net...
>
>
> >>> What do you think? <<<
>
> I think they are cheaper than they used to be but still not what I call
> cheap. You can buy a new scanner (even a trunk tracker) for the price of a
> used 2006 that's worth dragging home. RM~
>
>
>
>
>

1196. Re: Realistic (radioshack/tandy) 2006 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-01 21:19:22 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <u%4Vd.8561$MY6.1052@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 14556

"Matalog" <matalog@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
news:Qx3Vd.3982$VF5.2933@newsfe3-gui.ntli.net...
> Would a new scanner have the capabilities of the 2006? (a cheaper one
> obviously)
>
> And what is a trunk tracker?
>
>
>
> "Rob Mills" <robmills@cox.net> wrote in message
> news:48PUd.16634$ds.1656@okepread07...
>>
>> "Matalog" <matalog@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
>> news:JuKUd.3039$Ho6.2967@newsfe3-gui.ntli.net...
>>
>>
>> >>> What do you think? <<<
>>
>> I think they are cheaper than they used to be but still not what I call
>> cheap. You can buy a new scanner (even a trunk tracker) for the price of
>> a
>> used 2006 that's worth dragging home. RM~
>>

When you decide you want a scanner, you then have to know what you wish to
listen to. Many Police and fire departments have gone to a "trunked" system.
Many places on the net will explain this. If that is what the community you
wish to listen to uses you would want a scanner that had the ability to
follow these calls.
--
The problem with the gene pool is that there is no lifeguard.

1197. Re: CopWatch Uses Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-01 21:20:46 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <G65Vd.4266$3K1.3866@fe02.lga>
Source record: 14557

You know, you shouldn't make "ass"umptions. It really does make and "ass" out you...

I don't follow around the police. I don't participate in any of the "activities" on that website. I simply agree with the idea behind what they do. I have no part of them, aside from the link I give them on my website...

I do make every attempt to gain proper justice, but it's not easy when the entire local court system, as well as the lawyers are all "in on it". It's a smaller city, and the lawyers around here know that if they want to make a living, you don't go up against anything that has to do with local government. If they do, they can be assured that they won't be on the "winning end" of most court hearing.

I have attempted, recently, to fight a bogus seatbelt ticket. Typical made up story crap by the officer. I made it up to the third level of the local court system; from informal with the magistrate, to formal with the district court, then on up to circuit. Problem was, because I can't afford a lawyer, let alone one from outside the county (since them winning here won't effect their business practices in their own county as much), I was too late in getting the proper paperwork submitted to the court system. It ended up taking too long to do the research on what exactly needed to be done. It's not easy doing it on your own, I know, I've done it many times before, generally successfully. This time was one of the exceptions, as I've never had to take it to circuit court. If it wasn't for the officer lying in district, it wouldn't have made it that far, this time, either...

"Mark" <me@privacy.net> wrote in message
news:vb7921pr3qtcjveo95dip7bmp5jmteqhja@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 27 Feb 2005 15:01:14 -0600, "FeMaster" <FeMaster @ hotmail . com>
> wrote:
>
> >You know, I could ramble on about how they are corrupt around here, but I
> >won't. Quite simply, who the hell can afford to "get their day in court"
> >these days... We're not all made of money you know...
>
> You seem to have enough time to follow the police around on calls.
Perhaps
> you could put this activity on hold while you spend a couple of hours in
court
> explaining your side of the story.
>
> If you choose to roll over and accept whatever "wrongs" you feel you have
been

> handed by the police, you are wasting your breath complaining about it.
>
> Fight for what you believe is right, or just live with it.
>
>
> >"Casper Milquetoast" <CasperMilquetoast@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >news:_-adneaG2aYUKoLfRVn-gQ@comcast.com...
> >> If the relatives were as paranoid & 'militant' as you seem to be when
they
> >> were stopped for whatever reason, it's no wonder the police opted to
> >> strictly & perhaps creatively enforce all applicable laws. I assume
your
> >> relatives had the opportunity to go to court over the matters, so
either
> >> they failed to do so, or perhaps next you'll ramble on about how all
the
> >> courts are corrupt, too.
> >>
> >>
> >> "FeMaster" <FeMaster @ hotmail . com> wrote in message
> >> news:1uOTd.5394$T7.3737@fe06.lga...
> >>
> >> > Try telling them that around here... Here's an example:
> >> >
> >> > Being in Michigan, a person needs a permit to possess a scanner in
their
> >> > vehicle. Local "enforcement" doesn't want you to listen in on their
> >> > activities. Of the three people in my family that applied for and
> >> > received
> >> > those permits, I'm the only one that still has one. The other two
have
> >> > had
> >> > them revoked due to these "criminals in uniform".
> >>
> >>
> > >
>

1198. BC898T SS Software Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-01 21:47:31 UTC
From: Ernie <ernie9@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <XsidncV8ke9vf7nfRVn-hQ@giganews.com>
Source record: 14558

Can't seem to be able to get the BC898T SS Software to work with my BC898T scanner. Not much help in the software manual. Has anyone been able to configure this software to work with 898 scanner?

1199. Re: CopWatch Uses Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-01 22:02:48 UTC
From: FeMaster <FeMaster@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <zL5Vd.21055$tc6.7820@fe06.lga>
Source record: 14559

"Mark" <me@privacy.net> wrote in message
news:837921pn1rrp07ekhaf2p4khhsv29e47j8@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 25 Feb 2005 17:18:18 -0600, "FeMaster" <FeMaster @ hotmail . com>
> wrote:
>
> >
> > >Being in Michigan, a person needs a permit to possess a scanner in their
> > >vehicle. Local "enforcement" doesn't want you to listen in on their
> > >activities. Of the three people in my family that applied for and
received
> > >those permits, I'm the only one that still has one. The other two have
had
> > >them revoked due to these "criminals in uniform". I'm thinking that the
> > >only reason I still have mine is that I work 3rd shift and am not out
much
> > >during the day, thus not being as "visible" or "accessible" as the other
two
> > >are...
> >
> > Oh, I think there must be some details you are leaving out as to WHY the
> > others had their permit revoked.
> >
> > Fight it in court if you feel you have been wronged. Surely if they have
done
> > nothing wrong, there will be no problem getting the permits back.
> >

Nothing has been left out... It is told as it was.

You can't fight something in court if you can't afford it. One of the individuals is unemployed, collecting Social Security for a disability, and can hardly live on what he gets as it is. The other works fast food, as he is not of the "career" age yet. Are you going to provide the funding for the hearings and/or trials? Didn't think so...

> > >Around here they actually make up stories and send them to the
> > >Communications Director in charge of issuing the permits.
> >
> > And it's up to them to actually prove these stories when you drag it
through
> > court.
> >

See above... They know the individuals can't afford it, so they don't have any worries about it...

> >1: One was "following emergency calls and showing up at the scene". Funny,
> >he was in the area, fishing, before the call ever even came in, but it was a
> >good excuse to pull his license...
>
> Just showing up at calls is not illegal, so long as you don't interfere with
> their job.
>

Well, maybe where you are, but in Michigan it IS illegal if you posses the permit (possibly even without it). The very section just above where you sign the permit application, states:

"I agree not to use the vehicle equipped with a short wave radio receiving set in the commission of a crime or to assist anyone in doing so. **I agree not to answer police calls or pursue police vehicles answering radio dispatches** if a permit is approved for any police frequencies. I have read and understand Section 605 of the Federal Communication Act of 1934 concerning unauthorized publication of communications. I certify the foregoing statements are true"

> >2: One was "convicted" of "publishing" because he was found to possess a
> >notebook with a list of frequencies in it that he had programmed into his
> >scanner. Another good reason to pull a license...
>
> I don't know what "convicted of publishing" means, but if someone has been
> arrested and found guilty of a certain crime, perhaps Michigan's scanner law
> details this as a reason to no longer have a mobile permit. Do the research
> and if they're in the wrong, oh well......
>

Neither were arrested, or ticketed, or anything of the such. With incident #1, only a "verbal warning" was issued, even though there was no reason for it. With incident #2, the notebook was confiscated, never to be returned... Nothing was said about revoking the permits, or anything even remotely close to it, yet about 2 months later, letters from the Communications Division were received, in both cases, stating that the permits were revoked. Neither of the parties were in the wrong, and, I have done the research.

Below is Section 605 Subsection "a" of the Federal Communication Act of 1934, which is found on the back of the permit application. This will give you an idea of what is meant by "publishing". As you can see by the text, making a list of frequencies is not illegal, only the writing down (publishing) of the content which has been "heard" from the scanner is illegal, yet they made claim, regardless, that he was "publishing" police activities...

_ [text] _ - Areas that are underlined within the text on the permit
** [text] ** - Areas that are relevant to what I am saying

Sec. 605. Unauthorized Publication or Use of Communications.

(a) Practices Prohibited.

Except as authorized by chapter 119, Title 18, **no person receiving, assisting in receiving, transmitting, or assisting in transmitting, any interstate or foreign communication by wire or radio shall divulge or publish the existence, contents, substance, purport, effect, or meaning thereof**, except through authorized channels of transmission or reception, (1) to any person other than the addressee, his agent, or attorney, (2) to a person employed or authorized to forward such communication to its destination, (3) to proper accounting or distributing officers of the various communicating centers over which the communication may be passed, (4) to the master of a ship under whom he is serving, (5) in response to a subpoena issued by a court of competent jurisdiction, or (6) on demand of other lawful authority. *_No person not being authorized by the sender shall intercept any radio communication and divulge or publish_* the existence, contents, substance, purport, effect, or meaning of *_such intercepted communication to any person. No person not being entitled thereto shall_* receive or assist in receiving any interstate or foreign communication by radio and *_use such communication (or any information therein contained) for his own benefit or for the benefit of another not entitled thereto._* No person having received any intercepted radio communication or having become acquainted with the contents, substance, purport, effect, or meaning of such communication (or any part thereof) or use such communication (or any information therein contained) for his own benefit or for the benefit of another not entitled thereto. This section shall also apply to the receiving, divulging, publishing, or utilizing the contents of any radio communication which is transmitted by any station for the use of the general public, which relates to ships, aircraft, vehicles, or person in distress, or which is transmitted by an amateur radio station operator or by a citizens band radio operator.

>
> If you're in the right, fight it. Either that, or don't complain about it.

I do what I can with regards to such things, unfortunately, the two that have been treated unjustly are unable to do so for reasons like those stated above.

1200. Re: scanning FRS/GMRS radios.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-01 22:25:12 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <A46Vd.21096$Bp6.20257@fe06.lga>
Source record: 14560

I was having problems with my new News Provider. Apparently they limit the
size of posts, which I was unaware of... It should be up their now. If
it's not there, it will be shortly. I'll find a way to get it up there...

"tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:0nRUd.529723$Xk.101248@pd7tw3no...
> Yes, I can access that group --- I just looked and didn't see any posts by
> you, there's only around 4 messages total...
> Or are you waiting beofre you upload them? I would appreciate it.
>
>
> "PowerHouse Communications" <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote in message
> news:65OUd.24558$rx2.10767@fe03.lga...
> > There are three programs that I aware of that can do speech inversion
> > through your computers sound card. I see that you have already found
one
> > of
> > them though an online link. If you have access to
> > alt.binaries.radio-scanner, I will upload all three of those files to
that
> > group... Most likely one of them you already have, if "invert.exe" is
the
> > same as the one I have by that name...
> >
> >
> > "tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote in message
> > news:ThEUd.526380$8l.264629@pd7tw1no...
> >> Is there an internet utility or some such that will revert inverted
> > speech?
> >> Or what's the procedure for that?
> >>
> >>
> >> "PowerHouse Communications" <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote in
message
> >> news:vXzUd.7093$0v1.6930@fe07.lga...
> >> > NFM... Some use Speech Inversion scrabbling for "privacy".
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > "tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote in message
> >> > news:AizUd.523789$8l.446764@pd7tw1no...
> >> >> Do FRS/GMRS radios use wfm, nfm, or other scannable, non-digital
> >> > modulation
> >> >> modes?
> >> >> All I can find on the fcc site is power level restrictions and

whether
> > or
> >> >> not you can have a detachable antenna.
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >
> >> >
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
>
>

1201. still nothing in alt.binaries.radio-scanner ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-01 23:19:26 UTC
From: tom <cyberhun@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <2M6Vd.543837$6l.278669@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 14561

Just checked, couldn't see any.
By the way, can you see only 3 posts in that group? My newsreader (outlook) only shows 3 posts total in "alt.binaries.radio-scanner". Maybe that's because it's set to ignore ones that are older than a certain length of time, I don't know.

"PowerHouse Communications" <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote in message news:A46Vd.21096$Bp6.20257@fe06.lga...
>I was having problems with my new News Provider. Apparently they limit the
> size of posts, which I was unaware of... It should be up their now. If
> it's not there, it will be shortly. I'll find a way to get it up there...
>
>
> "tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote in message
> news:0nRUd.529723$Xk.101248@pd7tw3no...
>> Yes, I can access that group --- I just looked and didn't see any posts
>> by
>> you, there's only around 4 messages total...
>> Or are you waiting beofre you upload them? I would appreciate it.
>>
>>
>> "PowerHouse Communications" <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote in message
>> news:65OUd.24558$rx2.10767@fe03.lga...
>> > There are three programs that I aware of that can do speech inversion
>> > through your computers sound card. I see that you have already found
> one
>> > of
>> > them though an online link. If you have access to
>> > alt.binaries.radio-scanner, I will upload all three of those files to
> that
>> > group... Most likely one of them you already have, if "invert.exe" is
> the
>> > same as the one I have by that name...
>> >
>> >
>> > "tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote in message
>> > news:ThEUd.526380$8l.264629@pd7tw1no...
>> >> Is there an internet utility or some such that will revert inverted
>> > speech?
>> >> Or what's the procedure for that?
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> "PowerHouse Communications" <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote in
> message

>> >> news:vXzUd.7093$0v1.6930@fe07.lga...
>> >> > NFM... Some use Speech Inversion scrabbling for "privacy".
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> > "tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote in message
>> >> > news:AizUd.523789$8l.446764@pd7tw1no...
>> >> >> Do FRS/GMRS radios use wfm, nfm, or other scannable, non-digital
>> >> > modulation
>> >> >> modes?
>> >> >> All I can find on the fcc site is power level restrictions and
> whether
>> > or
>> >> >> not you can have a detachable antenna.
>> >> >>
>> >> >>
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >>
>> >
>> >
>>
>>
>
>

1202. FS: ICOM IC-R5 w/ OPC-478U Computer Cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-02 00:14:02 UTC
From: Thomas Fink <n8qxb@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <qaKdnV_R8KfWmLjfRVn-2A@comcast.com>
Source record: 14562

FS: ICOM IC-R5 w/ OPC-478U Computer Cable, manual and original box.
Condition: Mint, 2 month's old.
Price: $175.00
Free Shipping CONUS
If interested please e-mail:
n8qxb@comcast.net

Thanks,
Tom

1203. Re: still nothing in alt.binaries.radio-scanner ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-02 01:35:53 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <MU8Vd.337$1T7.256@fe03.lga>
Source record: 14563

I know at least one of them made it as of this writing... I have a total of
44 posts, dating back to Feb 14...

"tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:2M6Vd.543837$6l.278669@pd7tw2no...
> Just checked, couldn't see any.
> By the way, can you see only 3 posts in that group? My newsreader
(outlook)
> only shows 3 posts total in "alt.binaries.radio-scanner". Maybe that's
> because it's set to ignore ones that are older than a certain length of
> time, I don't know.
>
>
>
> "PowerHouse Communications" <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote in message
> news:A46Vd.21096$Bp6.20257@fe06.lga...
> >I was having problems with my new News Provider. Apparently they limit
the
> > size of posts, which I was unaware of... It should be up their now. If
> > it's not there, it will be shortly. I'll find a way to get it up
there...
> >
> >
> > "tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote in message
> > news:0nRUd.529723$Xk.101248@pd7tw3no...
> >> Yes, I can access that group --- I just looked and didn't see any posts
> >> by
> >> you, there's only around 4 messages total...
> >> Or are you waiting beofre you upload them? I would appreciate it.
> >>
> >>
> >> "PowerHouse Communications" <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote in
message
> >> news:65OUd.24558$rx2.10767@fe03.lga...
> >> > There are three programs that I aware of that can do speech inversion
> >> > through your computers sound card. I see that you have already found
> > one
> >> > of
> >> > them though an online link. If you have access to
> >> > alt.binaries.radio-scanner, I will upload all three of those files to
> > that
> >> > group... Most likely one of them you already have, if "invert.exe"
is
> > the

> >> > same as the one I have by that name...
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > "tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote in message
> >> > news:ThEUd.526380$8l.264629@pd7tw1no...
> >> >> Is there an internet utility or some such that will revert inverted
> >> > speech?
> >> >> Or what's the procedure for that?
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >> "PowerHouse Communications" <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote in
> > message
> >> >> news:vXzUd.7093$0v1.6930@fe07.lga...
> >> >> > NFM... Some use Speech Inversion scrabbling for "privacy".
> >> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >> > "tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote in message
> >> >> > news:AizUd.523789$8l.446764@pd7tw1no...
> >> >> >> Do FRS/GMRS radios use wfm, nfm, or other scannable, non-digital
> >> >> > modulation
> >> >> >> modes?
> >> >> >> All I can find on the fcc site is power level restrictions and
> > whether
> >> > or
> >> >> >> not you can have a detachable antenna.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >
> >> >
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
>
>

1204. Got it. They're all there now. Thanks.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-02 03:58:55 UTC
From: tom <cyberhun@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <3SaVd.549701$6l.147649@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 14564

Got it. They're all there now. Thanks.

"PowerHouse Communications" <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote in message
news:MU8Vd.337$1T7.256@fe03.lga...
>I know at least one of them made it as of this writing... I have a total
>of
> 44 posts, dating back to Feb 14...
>
>
> "tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote in message
> news:2M6Vd.543837$6l.278669@pd7tw2no...
>> Just checked, couldn't see any.
>> By the way, can you see only 3 posts in that group? My newsreader
> (outlook)
>> only shows 3 posts total in "alt.binaries.radio-scanner". Maybe that's
>> because it's set to ignore ones that are older than a certain length of
>> time, I don't know.
>>
>>
>>
>> "PowerHouse Communications" <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote in message
>> news:A46Vd.21096$Bp6.20257@fe06.lga...
>> >I was having problems with my new News Provider. Apparently they limit
> the
>> > size of posts, which I was unaware of... It should be up their now.
>> > If
>> > it's not there, it will be shortly. I'll find a way to get it up
> there...
>> >
>> >
>> > "tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote in message
>> > news:0nRUd.529723$Xk.101248@pd7tw3no...
>> >> Yes, I can access that group --- I just looked and didn't see any
>> >> posts
>> >> by
>> >> you, there's only around 4 messages total...
>> >> Or are you waiting beofre you upload them? I would appreciate it.
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> "PowerHouse Communications" <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote in
> message
>> >> news:65OUd.24558$rx2.10767@fe03.lga...
>> >> > There are three programs that I aware of that can do speech
>> >> > inversion

>> >> > through your computers sound card. I see that you have already
>> >> > found
>> > one
>> >> > of
>> >> > them though an online link. If you have access to
>> >> > alt.binaries.radio-scanner, I will upload all three of those files
>> >> > to
>> > that
>> >> > group... Most likely one of them you already have, if "invert.exe"
> is
>> > the
>> >> > same as the one I have by that name...
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> > "tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote in message
>> >> > news:ThEUd.526380$8l.264629@pd7tw1no...
>> >> >> Is there an internet utility or some such that will revert inverted
>> >> > speech?
>> >> >> Or what's the procedure for that?
>> >> >>
>> >> >>
>> >> >> "PowerHouse Communications" <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote in
>> > message
>> >> >> news:vXzUd.7093$0v1.6930@fe07.lga...
>> >> >> > NFM... Some use Speech Inversion scrabbling for "privacy".
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > "tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote in message
>> >> >> > news:AizUd.523789$8l.446764@pd7tw1no...
>> >> >> >> Do FRS/GMRS radios use wfm, nfm, or other scannable,
>> >> >> >> non-digital
>> >> >> > modulation
>> >> >> >> modes?
>> >> >> >> All I can find on the fcc site is power level restrictions and
>> > whether
>> >> > or
>> >> >> >> not you can have a detachable antenna.
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >
>> >> >>
>> >> >>
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >>
>> >
>> >
>>

>>
>
>

1205. FS: General Electric Portable Transceiver w/ charger (161 Mhz range)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-02 04:44:58 UTC
From: Ad Hoc Guy <PussyFart@hic-ups.org>
Message-ID: <bxbVd.24863$kz6.506211@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 14565

Black portable GE model MVP ,3 channel transceiver once used for railroad operation.
Has CN ch.1 ch 3 ch 5.
Comes with Charger. Battery is 7.5 volts Ni-Cad type twist on. But is kinda tired and needs replacement. Radio is 10 years old approx. But in great shape.No damage whatsoever.
Radio works fine. Clear as a whistle.
Email me for photos. Only serious offers considered.
lonerdee@yahoo.com

--
" The early Bird might get the worm, But it's the second Mouse that gets the cheese"

1206. Re: Legal Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-02 07:31:37 UTC
From: Ad Hoc Guy <PussyFart@hic-ups.org>
Message-ID: <qZdVd.25757$kz6.521574@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 14566

In technical Term , it's called Blackmale. and it's legal !
Federal Governments anywhere have certain Judicial and Political powers over States, But for the Justice,transportation highways, Municipal,schools and law enforcement, it's all under State Jurisdiction.
Any State that votes a law for instance, cannot extend beyond Federal Jurisdiction or be more restrictive than the Federal Legislation.That,s where the Civil Code would come in handy if you guys had one instead of the "common law" under the Constitution.
A civil code for instance would give States more decisive power but limited again with regards to going beyond the Constitutional amendments.

--
" The early Bird might get the worm, But it's the second Mouse that gets the cheese"

<dvdguy2@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:914-421F92AF-388@storefull-3118.bay.webtv.net...
> Barknee wrote: Federal law superceeds state and local laws.
> ---
>
> Many states disagree. They say state law supercedes Federal law.
>
> And they give the reason as the U.S. constitution says that any rights
> not specifically granted to the Federal government by the constitution
> belongs to the states.
>
>
> Cases in point. The highway funding of the early 70's over the 55 MPH
> speed limit.
>
> The Federal Government wasn't able to legally make a law requiring all
> states to obey the 55 mph limit because the rights belong to the state.
>
> The states even said so.
>
> To get around this, the Federal government simply threatened to withold
> federal funding for the roads from any state who didn't reduce the speed
> limit to 55 MPH.
>
>
>
>

1207. Re: AOR or ICOM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-02 08:07:39 UTC
From: Frank M <ugspam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <2005030203053916807%ugspam@mindspringcom>
Source record: 14567

Thanks for the feedback - certainly makes sense, and is well taken!

Regards.

On 2005-03-01 08:35:06 -0500, Jesse <com@net.com> said:

> Frank M <ugspam@mindspring.com> wrote in news:2005030102445816807%
> ugspam@mindspringcom:
>
>> I'm about 5 years into listening sw, ham, utilities on tabletop
>> Bearcat, Icom R75, and
>> Grundig 800...and am ready for next level, after spending 4 of those
>> years working
>> on antenna setups ,-)
>>
>> 'would appreciate recommendation for midlevel listening tabletop model.
>
> Icom is known for some pretty eccentric radios - In particuliar I'm put
> off by their tendancy not to be able to scan banks in any order you
> desire.
> For instance, if you wanted to listen to just bank 5 and bank 7, theres
> no way to do it. You have the choice of listening to them all, or just
> one - A fatal handicap in my view.
> Maybe their not all like this. I know some of the most popular ones like R-
> 10, R-20 are.
> But of course Icoms are quality products, packed with features and well
> supported.
> I've heard AOR customer support is practically non-existant, though
> they got some nice radios no question about it.
> Best bet is to narrow your possibilities down to a few, and seek info
> about them all.
> Try ebay [other brands]http://tinyurl.com/3u4ug to give you some ideas,
> and though this link isn't working now for some reason
> http://www.strongsignals.net/access/reviews/reviews.cgi got some
> excellent reviews if the page ever comes back up again.
>
>> And if I order
>> from Canada, how can I get one free of cell blockage (if possible)?
>>
>> Thanks and regards from Atlanta, GA
>
> Well, units from canada are unblocked by default.
> Any outfit in canada will gladly ship you one, if you want to take the chance.
> Question is, is having an unblocked scanner worth a couple hundred $$$
> extra, and do you want to take the chance of U.S. Customs seizing it ?

> Better order with a CC so you can do a chargeback if it gets
> confiscated at the border.

--
ï¿½2005, all rights reserved
To email, change "ugspam" to "fwmco"

1208. Re: AOR or ICOM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-02 08:23:47 UTC
From: "Troy R." <t_rowlandSPAMFREE@ozemail.com.au>
Message-ID: <mKeVd.291$hX4.4131@nnrp1.ozemail.com.au>
Source record: 14568

You can select which banks you want to scan on the IC-R20.

"Jesse" <com@net.com> wrote in message
news:Xns960C57559C1C2ewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130...
> Frank M <ugspam@mindspring.com> wrote in news:200503010244581680 7%
> ugspam@mindspringcom:
>
>> I'm about 5 years into listening sw, ham, utilities on tabletop
>> Bearcat, Icom R75, and
>> Grundig 800...and am ready for next level, after spending 4 of those
>> years working
>> on antenna setups ,-)
>>
>> 'would appreciate recommendation for midlevel listening tabletop model.
>
> Icom is known for some pretty eccentric radios - In particuliar I'm put
> off
> by their tendancy not to be able to scan banks in any order you desire.
> For instance, if you wanted to listen to just bank 5 and bank 7, theres no
> way to do it. You have the choice of listening to them all, or just one -
> A
> fatal handicap in my view.
> Maybe their not all like this. I know some of the most popular ones like
> R-
> 10, R-20 are.
> But of course Icoms are quality products, packed with features and well
> supported.
> I've heard AOR customer support is practically non-existant, though they
> got some nice radios no question about it.
> Best bet is to narrow your possibilities down to a few, and seek info
> about
> them all.
> Try ebay [other brands]http://tinyurl.com/3u4ug to give you some ideas,
> and
> though this link isn't working now for some reason
> http://www.strongsignals.net/access/reviews/reviews.cgi got some excellent
> reviews if the page ever comes back up again.
>
>> And if I order
>> from Canada, how can I get one free of cell blockage (if possible)?
>>
>> Thanks and regards from Atlanta, GA
>
> Well, units from canada are unblocked by default.

> Any outfit in canada will gladly ship you one, if you want to take the
> chance.
> Question is, is having an unblocked scanner worth a couple hundred $$$
> extra, and do you want to take the chance of U.S. Customs seizing it ?
> Better order with a CC so you can do a chargeback if it gets confiscated
> at
> the border.
>
>
>

1209. Derry (or N. Ireland) Frequencies ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-02 12:22:37 UTC
From: Matalog <matalog@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <heiVd.707$dF4.433@newsfe3-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 14569

Anybody have any known frequencies for things in Derry ? Or N. Ireland?

Eglinton Airport ? (City Of Derry Airport).

Taxi's etc.

Anything else.

Thanks a lot.

1210. Re: AOR or ICOM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-02 18:45:57 UTC
From: Jesse <com@net.com>
Message-ID: <Xns960D8C73166CCewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 14570

"Troy R." <t_rowlandSPAMFREE@ozemail.com.au> wrote in
news:mKeVd.291$hX4.4131@nnrp1.ozemail.com.au:

> You can select which banks you want to scan on the IC-R20.

Excellent.
This has been a major annoyance, and really a fatal flaw, with every Icom handheld up to the R-10. So you are saying that if you simply want to scan bank 2 and 7 only, you can do it ?

1211. Re: what's the difference between simplex and half-duplex?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-02 21:30:21 UTC
From: tom <cyberhun@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <NfqVd.557228$Xk.206391@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 14571

sorry -- i won't ask questions like this one anymore.
i realize i must have offended the group by asking this type of question.

"tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:zxHUd.527095$8l.408835@pd7tw1no...
> what's the difference between simplex and half-duplex?
>
>

1212. Re: what's the difference between simplex and half-duplex?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-02 23:37:06 UTC
From: mikeFNB <moc.dlrowltn@FNB7G-ekim>
Message-ID: <C6sVd.1016$QA3.697@newsfe5-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 14572

did you?
didn't me
hope i helped you with my other reply

mike

"tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:NfqVd.557228$Xk.206391@pd7tw3no...
> sorry -- i won't ask questions like this one anymore.
> i realize i must have offended the group by asking this type of question.
>
>
>
> "tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote in message
> news:zxHUd.527095$8l.408835@pd7tw1no...
> > what's the difference between simplex and half-duplex?
> >
> >
>
>

1213. Retread newbie equipment question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-02 23:37:12 UTC
From: Ira Hayes <redman27@indianwells.com>
Message-ID: <I6sVd.37$KD6.7@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 14573

I used to police/fire scan 25-30 years ago and was thinking about getting back into it. I see where everything has gone to trunked systems-- and I also notice some big clearance sales on this kind of gear.

One guy told me it's all going to go digital now-- so I guess that means new equipment...and thus the current trunking stuff (I assume analog) is going to be obsolete soon?

What to buy? How much to spend?

Ike

1214. Re: Retread newbie equipment question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-03 00:22:30 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aNsVd.3799$L17.2386@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 14574

"Ira Hayes" <redman27@indianwells.com> wrote in message
news:I6sVd.37$KD6.7@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>I used to police/fire scan 25-30 years ago and was thinking about getting
>back into it. I see where everything has gone to trunked systems-- and I
>also notice some big clearance sales on this kind of gear.
>
> One guy told me it's all going to go digital now-- so I guess that means
> new equipment...and thus the current trunking stuff (I assume analog) is
> going to be obsolete soon?
>
> What to buy? How much to spend?
>
> Ike
>

Where do you live? Not all cities have gone to either trunking or digital.
Mine just changed over to trunking, but the county here has not and has no
plans at this time, to spend the money. If you post where you are there may
be people who can tell you whether you even need a trunking scanner, or if
your area is getting ready to up grade.
--
If it sounds too good to be true, it probably is

1215. BC898T bug in the CTCSS decoding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-03 03:03:35 UTC
From: Frank Bals <fjb@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <b8vVd.314505$w62.263781@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 14575

Does anyone know if Uniden has fixed this problem in current production units? I'm looking to purchase one, but obviously don't want any problems.....

Frank

1216. GET QUICK MONEY EASY AND LEGAL HERE!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-03 03:32:53 UTC
From: russellbritney@aol.com
Message-ID: <1109820773.629197.45160@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14576

Date: FEB 2005 Author: B. Russell Subject: MAKE QUICK EASY MONEY FAST! EARN LOADS OF MONEY, HONESTLY, FAIRLY, AND LEGALLY Greetings All, MAINTAIN DISCIPLINE, HONESTY AND PATIENCE...Above all else FOCUS! I found this on a bulletin board and decided to try it: A little while back, I was browsing through news groups and e-mails just like you are now, and came across an article similar to this that said you can make thousand of dollars within weeks with only an initial investment of $6.00. So I thought, "yeah right, this must be a scam," but like most of us, I was curious, so I kept reading. Anyway, it said that you send $1.00 to each of the six names and addresses stated in the article. You then place your own name and address on the bottom of the list and post the article in at least 200 news groups. {There are thousands}. No catch, that was it. So after thinking it over, and talking to a few people first, I thought about trying it. I figured, "What have I got to lose; except six stamps and $6.00 right, right?" Then I invested the measly $6.00. WELL GUESS WHAT?.....Within seven days, I started getting money in the mail I was in awe. I figured it would end soon, but the money just kept coming in. In my second week I grossed only $17.00. Several weeks thereafter I had collected approximately one thousand dollars. In each consecutive week I am averaging more than the preceding week and it continues to grow. Currently, I have made a few thousands and it is still coming rapidly. It is certainly worth the six-dollar investment and six stamps, believe it or not I have spent more on lottery with no returns. Let me explain how this works and most important, why it works.....Also, make sure you print this article out NOW, so you can get the information. STOP NOW: Print this article out so you can get the information you need off of it. I promise you that if you follow the directions exactly you will start making more money than you thought possible by doing something so easy. Suggestion: Read this entire message carefully, and follow the simple directions and watch the money come in. It is easy, It is legal, and your investment is only $6.00 plus postage. Important: This is not a rip-off, it is decent, it is legal; and it virtually without risk- it really works! If all of the following instructions are adhered to, you will receive extraordinary dividends. PLEASE NOTE: Please follow the directions EXACTLY, and 50-thousand-dollars or more can be yours within (3) months. This program remains successful because of the honesty and integrity of the participants. Please continue its success by carefully adhering to the instructions. You will now become a part of the Mail Order business. You are in the business of developing Mailing Lists. Many large corporations are happy to pay big bucks for quality lists. However, this money made from the mailing lists is secondary to income which is made from people like you and me asking to be included on that list. page one ere Are The Four Easy Steps To Success: Get six (6)

separate pieces of paper and write the following on each piece of paper. "PLEASE PUT ME ON YOUR MAILING LIST." Now get six (6) U.S.1.00 bills and place One inside of Each of the six pieces of paper so the bill will not be seen through the envelope (to prevent thievery). Next, place one paper in each of the six envelopes and seal them with the dollar bill enclosed. You now should have six sealed envelopes each with a piece of paper stating the above phrase with your name and address written thereon [don't forget to enclose the $1.00]. What you are doing is creating a service-THIS IS ABSOLUTELY LEGAL! You are requesting a legitimate service and you are paying for it. Clearly, I was a little skeptical and a little worried about the legal aspects of it all. Therefore, I checked it out with the U.S. Postal Service (1-800-275-8777) and they confirmed that it is indeed legal. Mail the six envelopes to the following addresses: [1] J. Force 701 SE 15th Street # 8 Ft. Lauderdale, FL 33316 [2] Myla Lette 400 S Kingsley Dr.#304 Los Angeles, CA 90020-3224 [3] Mrs. Florence Y. Moore 400 S. Kingsley Drive #304 Los Angeles, CA 90020-3224 [4] A. Person P.O. Box # 5156 Philadelphia, PA 19141-5156 [5] Andrew Biela 3776 Capitol Warren, Michigan 48091 [6] Britney Russell 1812 Garrison Plantation Marietta GA, 30060. Now take the #1 name off the list that you see above, move the other names up (six becomes 5, and 5 becomes 4, and etc.) and add YOUR NAME as number 6 on the list. Change anything you need to but try to keep this article as close to the original as possible. Now post your amended article to at least 200 news groups. (I think there are close to 24,000 groups). Page two All you need is 200, but remember, the more you post, the more money you make! This is perfectly legal. If you have any doubts, refer to Title 18 Section 1302 & 1341 of the Postal Lottery Laws. Keep a copy of these directions for yourself and whenever you need money you can reuse it again. Please Remember: That this program remains successful because of the honesty and integrity of the participants and by their carefully adhering to directions. Look at it this way, if you are of integrity, the program will continue and the money that so many others have received will come your way. NOTE: You may want to retain every name and address sent to you, either on a computer or hard copy and keep the notes people send you. This VERIFIES that you are truly providing a service. Also, it is a good practice to wrap the $1.00 bill in dark paper to avoid the risk of mail theft. So, as each post is downloaded and the directions carefully followed, all members will be reimbursed for their participation as a List Developer with one dollar each. Your name will be moved up the list geometrically so that when your name reaches the #1 position you will be receiving Thousand of Dollars in Cash! What an opportunity for only six-dollars ($6) one-dollar ($!) for each of the six people listed above. Send it now, add your own name to the list and you are in business. Directions For How To Post To News Groups You do not need to retype this letter to do your own posting. Simply put your cursor at the beginning of this letter and drag your cursor to the bottom of this document, and select copy from the edit menu. This will copy the entire letter into the computer's memory. Open a blank notepad file and place your cursor at the top of the blank page. From the edit menu select paste. This will

paste a copy of the letter into the notepad so that you will add your name to the list. Save your new notepad file as a text File, if you want to do your posting in different settings, you will always have this file to go back to. Use Netscape or Internet explorer and try searching for various new groups (on-line forums, message boards, chat sites, discussions). Visit these message boards and post this article as a new message by highlighting the text of this letter and selecting paste from the edit menu. Fill in the subject, this will be the header that everyone sees as they scroll through the list of postings in a particular group, click the post message button. You are finished You have finished your first posting. Congratulations! THAT'S IT. All you have to do is jump news groups and post away, after you get the hang of it, it will take about 30 seconds for each news group. ***REMEMBER THE MORE NEWS GROUPS YOU POST IN, THE MORE MONEY YOU WILL MAKE!*** IT IS A MOST FOR YOU TO POST A MINIMUM OF 200. "That's It" You will begin receiving money from around the world within days. You may eventually want to rent a P.O. Box due to the heavy volume of mail you will be receiving. If you wish to remain anonymous you can adopt another name to use, if it agreeable with the postman to deliver the mail. MAKE CERTAIN THAT ALL ADDRESSES ARE CORRECT. Now the Why part: Assume for example you get a 7.5% return, which is very conservative. Please view the following estimated breakdowns page three Estimated breakdowns: When you mail 200 letters, 15 people will send you $1.00 Those 15 mail out 200 letters, 225 people will mail you $1.00 Those 225 mail out 200 letters, 3,375 people will mail you $1.00 Those 3,375 mail out 200 letters, 50,625 people will mail you $1.00 Those 50,625 mail out 200 letters, 759,375 people will mail you $1.00 By now your name has dropped off the list, but so far you have received at least $759,375. With an original investment of only $6.00 [plus postage]. AMAZING! When your name is no longer on the list, you take the latest posting in the news groups, and send out another $6.00 to names on the list, putting you name at number six [6] again. And start posting again. You have to remember and realize that thousands of people all over the world are joining the Internet and reading these articles every day, JUST LIKE YOU right now. So you can get $6.00 and see if it really works. People have said, "What if the plan is played out and no one sends you the money? So what! What are the chances of that happening when there are tons of new honest people who are joining every day and are willing to give it a try? Estimates are at 20 to 50-thousand new users, everyday, with thousands of those joining the actual Internet, Remember, play FAIRLY AND HONESTLY and this will really work. Sincerely, Subject: RE: MAKE QUICK EASY MONEY P.S. Hereafter, all confirmations of your name being placed on the "mailing list" will no longer be mailed to you directly due to the postage increase. You must have an E-mail address or access to an e-mail address to be notified of your name being placed on the "Mailing List." Don't forget to enclose an e-mail address along with your address. page four

1217. Re: what's the difference between simplex and half-duplex?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-03 04:09:40 UTC
From: DxxxxxBxx <abuse@abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz.com>
Message-ID: <so2d219jjl6vffno28d6jfn9fbq9sreluk@4ax.com>
Source record: 14577

Tom, don't stop asking questions. I don't know what you are talking
about. I read the other postings on this subject and nothing seems
like anyone was offended. It seems like your question was answered
and you were referred to some web links.

What gave you the impression anyone was offended?

On Wed, 02 Mar 2005 21:30:21 GMT, "tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote:

>sorry -- i won't ask questions like this one anymore.
>i realize i must have offended the group by asking this type of question.
>
>
>
>"tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote in message
>news:zxHUd.527095$8l.408835@pd7tw1no...
>> what's the difference between simplex and half-duplex?
>>
>>
>

Spammers, please send email to:
listme@listme.dsbl.org
and get your SMTP server blacklisted!

1218. Re: what's the difference between simplex and half-duplex?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-03 04:43:17 UTC
From: tom <cyberhun@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <FBwVd.559080$Xk.325151@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 14578

Believe it or not, I thought I was sending that post to another group, but what happened was that a different group-name had the focus than I thought had the focus, so when I clicked on the send button it went to a different group than I was trying to send it to.
Aggravating the situation is the fact that this NNTP server doesn't seem to carry out cancel requests, so I couldn't remove it.

"DxxxxxBxx" <abuse@abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz.com> wrote in message news:so2d219jjl6vffno28d6jfn9fbq9sreluk@4ax.com...
> Tom, don't stop asking questions. I don't know what you are talking
> about. I read the other postings on this subject and nothing seems
> like anyone was offended. It seems like your question was answered
> and you were referred to some web links.
>
> What gave you the impression anyone was offended?
>
> On Wed, 02 Mar 2005 21:30:21 GMT, "tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote:
>
>>sorry -- i won't ask questions like this one anymore.
>>i realize i must have offended the group by asking this type of question.
>>
>>
>>
>>"tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote in message
>>news:zxHUd.527095$8l.408835@pd7tw1no...
>>> what's the difference between simplex and half-duplex?
>>>
>>>
>>
>
> Spammers, please send email to:
> listme@listme.dsbl.org
> and get your SMTP server blacklisted!

1219. query re the elusive GE/EDACS traffic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-03 04:54:05 UTC
From: tom <cyberhun@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <NLwVd.560659$6l.33787@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 14579

I thought it was supposed to be impossible to intercept GE/EDACS traffic?!?
I asked about this a couple months ago (I think it was this group, maybe not
though) concerning a canadian municipal PD GE/EDACS system, and the
consensus was that it couldn't be done. Then I came across an ad on a
webpage:

PRO-2096 Digital Trunking Mobile/Base Scanner
EDACS Trunking Mode (ED) - You can set your scanner so it decodes talkgroup
IDs used with EDACS (GE/Ericsson/M/ACOM) trunking systems. This setting is
called the EDACS mode. EDACS systems are used primarily by business or
private communications service providers, as well as by some public safety
organizations.

So, is it possible or not? Is the difference maybe that the PD is using
some digital mode?

1220. PRO 96 on ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-03 05:31:31 UTC
From: Mike Dockery <jetpilotmike@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <qrCdnbnSWeavPLvfRVn-jA@comcast.com>
Source record: 14580
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.digital,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

If anybody is interested I am auctioning my PRO 96 on ebay. Link here:
http://tinyurl.com/6t295

1221. Re: Retread newbie equipment question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-03 05:42:05 UTC
From: notgood <notgood@google.com>
Message-ID: <112d8te3esh1q36@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 14581

First thing you probably want to do is hook up with a scanner enthusiast in your area who's familiar with the local scanning scene. If you're really lucky, the folks at your local Radio Shack may be able to tell you what's going on and supply you with the major local scanner frequencies for free. I really wouldn't worry about having to buy digital equipment now if your locale is operating a regular or trunked system. Most municipalities spent a fortune going trunked and don't want to spend more to go digital. It could be years before they make the switch and by then there will probably be other technologies to consider.

"Ira Hayes" <redman27@indianwells.com> wrote in message news:I6sVd.37$KD6.7@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...

> One guy told me it's all going to go digital now-- so I guess that means
> new equipment...and thus the current trunking stuff (I assume analog) is
> going to be obsolete soon?

1222. looking 4 scanner w/ Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-03 06:30:14 UTC
From: bluecool <info@bluecool.net>
Message-ID: <a9f3d5a744d146571d0c3733b62360ca@localhost.talkaboutelectronicequipment.com>
Source record: 14582

looking to buy modified. Appreciate links or leads to a seller.

1223. FA: Kenwood VC-H1 SSTV Visual Communicator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-03 11:05:14 UTC
From: RFBurns <RFBurns@comcast.com>
Message-ID: <ueadnU3Qy8lGcLvfRVn-pg@comcast.com>
Source record: 14583

Last day on Ebay

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5754847602&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

1224. Re: CopWatch Uses Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-03 13:15:10 UTC
From: FeMaster <FeMaster@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <_5EVd.3996$vs3.1856@fe04.lga>
Source record: 14584

Good riddance...

"Mark" <me@privacy.net> wrote in message
news:72hb211v0ukopv9naf2kj5vn2ko9gf7c52@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 1 Mar 2005 15:20:46 -0600, "PowerHouse Communications"
> <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote:
>
> >You know, you shouldn't make "ass"umptions. It really does make and
"ass"
> >out you...
>
> Enjoy your fantasy world. You're not worth the sweat off my nuts anymore.
>
> *PLONK*
>
>
> >
> >
> >"Mark" <me@privacy.net> wrote in message
> >news:vb7921pr3qtcjveo95dip7bmp5jmteqhja@4ax.com...
> >> On Sun, 27 Feb 2005 15:01:14 -0600, "FeMaster" <FeMaster @ hotmail .
com>
> >> wrote:
> >>
> >> >You know, I could ramble on about how they are corrupt around here,
but I
> >> >won't. Quite simply, who the hell can afford to "get their day in
court"
> >> >these days... We're not all made of money you know...
> >>
> >> You seem to have enough time to follow the police around on calls.
> >Perhaps
> >> you could put this activity on hold while you spend a couple of hours
in
> >court
> >> explaining your side of the story.
> >>
> >> If you choose to roll over and accept whatever "wrongs" you feel you
have
> >been
> >> handed by the police, you are wasting your breath complaining about it.
> >>
> >> Fight for what you believe is right, or just live with it.
> >>

> >>
> >> >"Casper Milquetoast" <CasperMilquetoast@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> >news:_-adneaG2aYUKoLfRVn-gQ@comcast.com...
> >> >> If the relatives were as paranoid & 'militant' as you seem to be
when
> >they
> >> >> were stopped for whatever reason, it's no wonder the police opted to
> >> >> strictly & perhaps creatively enforce all applicable laws. I
assume
> >your
> >> >> relatives had the opportunity to go to court over the matters, so
> >either
> >> >> they failed to do so, or perhaps next you'll ramble on about how all
> >the
> >> >> courts are corrupt, too.
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >> "FeMaster" <FeMaster @ hotmail . com> wrote in message
> >> >> news:1uOTd.5394$T7.3737@fe06.lga...
> >> >>
> >> >> > Try telling them that around here... Here's an example:
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Being in Michigan, a person needs a permit to possess a scanner in
> >their
> >> >> > vehicle. Local "enforcement" doesn't want you to listen in on
their
> >> >> > activities. Of the three people in my family that applied for and
> >> >> > received
> >> >> > those permits, I'm the only one that still has one. The other two
> >have
> >> >> > had
> >> >> > them revoked due to these "criminals in uniform".
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >> >
> >>
> > >
>

1225. Re: CopWatch Uses Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-03 13:36:12 UTC
From: FeMaster <FeMaster@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <WwEVd.2211$K02.512@fe03.lga>
Source record: 14585

"Mark" <me@privacy.net> wrote in message
news:46hb2157fht7sdb13lrc91vl5qllgm3glg@4ax.com...

>
> Amazing that they can't afford a day off from work (which one doesn't even
> have to worry about), or find someone to swap days, yet they can afford to
buy
> scanners and video equipment to follow police around. Very interesting.
>

Apparently you can't read... Nobody followed the police around... And what
does "swapping days" have anything to do with not being able to afford
something? Swapping days isn't going to magically raise a persons pay...

Video equipment, where do you get this stuff, pull it out of your ass??
Nobody has any kind of "video equipment", unless you are talking about a TV
and VCR at home...

>
> Well, then, there you go. You got the permit. Knew what the law said,
yet
> chose to break it anyway (well, not YOU, but....)
>
> No sympathies here.
>

Still can't read... Nobody broke ANY law, PERIOD. Nobody followed anybody,
nobody did anything except live a normal, everyday life. Can't comprehend
that or what?

> No need to read beyond this either. Federal law may allow specific
freedoms
> with regards to scanning (or anything else for that matter). State laws
> cannot undo this. In other words, the state level cannot grant more
freedom
> than the Federal level - BUT, the state level most certainly can impose
> further restrictions - which Michigan appears to have done.
>

State law doesn't restrict anything any further than Federal law with regard
to the "publishing" and "following" circumstances that I have been
discussing.

I'm not sure why you insist on arguing over this crap. I'm just stating
things that happened that were unjust, yet you seem to insist that they
never happened. You, of all people, would have no clue as to how things
transpired, so why argue the opposition? It's people like you that make

topic discussions like this into worthless threads; you think you know everything about everything, yet don't have a clue. You just speculate things, yet spew them forth as if it were fact...

1226. Re: AOR or ICOM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-03 23:49:05 UTC
From: bob <noone@nowhere.org>
Message-ID: <RnNVd.40251$kz6.758668@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 14586

I have an AR5000+3 and it's a fantastic radio. However, it might be overkill if your primary interest is HF.
With an AOR receiver, it will really receive everywhere it states. Some scanners (like the Alinco DJ-X10 that is more or less deaf above 1000Mhz.) claim to receive somewhere, but the sensitivity is measured in volts or millivolts, but not microvolts as it should be.

I have never owned an Icom (such as the 7100 or the 8500) but they also have a reputation for being nice receivers.

If you have the bucks, go for the 5000+3 non US version. It will give you an AFC with display, synchronous tuning, and built in DTMF display. All nice little perks to have in a rig.

B.

Frank M wrote:
> I'm about 5 years into listening sw, ham, utilities on tabletop Bearcat,
> Icom R75, and
> Grundig 800...and am ready for next level, after spending 4 of those
> years working
> on antenna setups ,-)
>
> 'would appreciate recommendation for midlevel listening tabletop model.
> And if I order
> from Canada, how can I get one free of cell blockage (if possible)?
>
> Thanks and regards from Atlanta, GA

1227. BC898T vs. BC780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-04 00:26:04 UTC
From: Frank Bals <fjb@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <wWNVd.98391$Th1.23565@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 14587

How do these two models compare as far as sensitivity, dynamic range, and intermod rejection? How well does the 898T work in the 225-400 Mhz military aircraft band?

Frank

1228. Re: AOR or ICOM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-04 00:52:43 UTC
From: Frank M <ugspam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <2005030319504316807%ugspam@mindspringcom>
Source record: 14588

On 2005-03-03 18:49:05 -0500, bob <noone@nowhere.org> said:

> If you have the bucks, go for the 5000+3 non US version. It will give
> you an AFC with display, synchronous tuning, and built in DTMF display.
> All nice little perks to have in a rig.
>

This is the kind of radio I'm anticipating. Any suggestions where to
buy new - so I have at least a 75% chance of no problems? And does AOR
have a US rep for service if need be?

Thanks.
--
ï¿½2005, all rights reserved
To email, change "ugspam" to "fwmco"

1229. Re: AOR or ICOM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-04 00:56:31 UTC
From: Frank M <ugspam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <2005030319543175249%ugspam@mindspringcom>
Source record: 14589

I didn't have any problem getting this page. And you're right about
them having first rate and
thorough reviews! Thanks.

On 2005-03-01 08:35:06 -0500, Jesse <com@net.com> said:
> ...for some reason
> http://www.strongsignals.net/access/reviews/reviews.cgi got some
> excellent reviews if the page ever comes back up again.

--
ï¿½2005, all rights reserved
To email, change "ugspam" to "fwmco"

1230. Bob GROVE on TLC Tonite 9pm EST show on AREA 51

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-04 02:15:34 UTC
From: Msk1 <mkuziv@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <axPVd.673$603.215@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 14590

Interesting Show!!!!!!!!!!!

1231. Re: Bob GROVE on TLC Tonite 9pm EST show on AREA 51

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-04 03:00:54 UTC
From: Msk1 <mkuziv@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <GbQVd.805$CW2.439@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 14591

Msk1 wrote:

> Interesting Show!!!!!!!!!!!
Show repeats at MIDNITE EST runs for one hour

1232. Re: query re the elusive GE/EDACS traffic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-04 03:15:23 UTC
From: Gerry Wheeler <gxwheeler@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.03.04.03.15.21.957086@earthlink.net>
Source record: 14592

On Thu, 03 Mar 2005 04:54:05 +0000, tom wrote:

> I thought it was supposed to be impossible to intercept GE/EDACS traffic?!?
> So, is it possible or not? Is the difference maybe that the PD is using
> some digital mode?

Yes, that's a possibility. Many EDACS systems (like the one here) are
fully analog voice. The Sheriff's department was going to go digital, but
had too many cases of dropouts. The system is still digital-capable, but I
think they only use it for super-secret ops.
--
Gerry Wheeler
Naples, FL

1233. Pro 2004 owners manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-04 05:54:09 UTC
From: Rob Mills <robmills@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1KSVd.2248$Ru.478@okepread06>
Source record: 14593

I have an original 2004 owner manual, glossy paper and not a copy. It's in great shape, no coffee stains, tears and not dog eared. I'll mail any place in the U.S.A for $15.00. RM~

1234. Pro 2004 and Pro-43 Manuals Free!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-04 06:51:13 UTC
From: Delray <delraykoo@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <BzTVd.55473$EL5.10263@trnddc05>
Source record: 14594

I have a Pro-2004 manual I will send free to anyone who sends me an S.A.S.E. - original manual like new

ALso have a Pro-43 Manual I will send free to anyone who sends me an SASE - very slight wear, original

And, if you don't want to hassle sending the SASE? Download them FREE and print them out however you want to from this website : http://www.mods.dk/view.php?ListManuals=radio_shack

Don't buy them overpriced from some schmuck who may or may not send it - get it yourself:)

1235. Re: Pro 2004 and Pro-43 Manuals Free!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-04 07:12:09 UTC
From: Rob Mills <robmills@cox.net>
Message-ID: <9TTVd.2642$Ru.858@okepread06>
Source record: 14595

>>> Don't buy them overpriced from some schmuck who may or may not send it - get
it yourself<<<

The manual I have looks like it was just removed from the box "new", I'm going to have to supply packaging and then make a trip to the post office so all I'm asking is my time and expense. No body but a complete dumb ass would do it for less, but then we do have complete dumb ass's around don't we? RM
~

1236. Yes, not to mention some really intelligent asses, too.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-04 10:38:30 UTC
From: tom <cyberhun@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <GUWVd.569991$Xk.516162@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 14596

Yes, not to mention some really intelligent asses, too.

"Rob Mills" <robmills@cox.net> wrote in message
news:9TTVd.2642$Ru.858@okepread06...
>
>>>> Don't buy them overpriced from some schmuck who may or may not send
>>>> it -
> get
> it yourself<<<
>
> The manual I have looks like it was just removed from the box "new", I'm
> going to have to supply packaging and then make a trip to the post office
> so
> all I'm asking is my time and expense. No body but a complete dumb ass
> would
> do it for less, but then we do have complete dumb ass's around don't we?
> RM
> ~
>
>

1237. Re: Bob GROVE on TLC Tonite 9pm EST show on AREA 51

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-04 14:01:01 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4funREMOVEBEFOREFLIGHT.com>
Message-ID: <xSZVd.1014$CW2.705@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 14597

Msk1 wrote:

> Msk1 wrote:
>
>> Interesting Show!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> Show repeats at MIDNITE EST runs for one hour

Is this a new one or the same re-run for several years ago?

George - Daytona Beach, FL
http://www.MilAirComms.com

1238. Re: Bob GROVE on TLC Tonite 9pm EST show on AREA 51

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-04 15:14:15 UTC
From: Msk1 <mkuziv@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <bX_Vd.991$603.467@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 14598

GeorgeF wrote:
> Msk1 wrote:
>
>> Msk1 wrote:
>>
>>> Interesting Show!!!!!!!!!!
>>
>>
>> Show repeats at MIDNITE EST runs for one hour
>
>
>
> Is this a new one or the same re-run for several years ago?
>
> George - Daytona Beach, FL
> http://www.MilAirComms.com
I NEVER saw the one years ago so I am not sure?

Mark Kuziv
CFLG Co-Founder 1992

1239. Re: Pro 2004 and Pro-43 Manuals Free!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-04 15:24:49 UTC
From: Delray <delraykoo@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <55%Vd.55612$EL5.6831@trnddc05>
Source record: 14599

<<but then we do have complete dumb ass's around don't we?>>

In a vast multitude, it seems. Schmuck.

"Rob Mills" <robmills@cox.net> wrote in message
news:9TTVd.2642$Ru.858@okepread06...
>
> >>> Don't buy them overpriced from some schmuck who may or may not send
it -
> get
> it yourself<<<
>
> The manual I have looks like it was just removed from the box "new", I'm
> going to have to supply packaging and then make a trip to the post office
so
> all I'm asking is my time and expense. No body but a complete dumb ass
would
> do it for less, but then we do have complete dumb ass's around don't we?
RM
> ~
>
>

1240. Re: Pro 2004 and Pro-43 Manuals Free!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-04 16:26:09 UTC
From: tom <cyberhun@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <B_%Vd.571793$6l.479724@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 14500

Yes indeed, a truly EXCEEDINGLY vast multitude.

"Delray" <delraykoo@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:55%Vd.55612$EL5.6831@trnddc05...
> <<but then we do have complete dumb ass's around don't we?>>
>
> In a vast multitude, it seems. Schmuck.
>
> "Rob Mills" <robmills@cox.net> wrote in message
> news:9TTVd.2642$Ru.858@okepread06...
>>
>> >>> Don't buy them overpriced from some schmuck who may or may not send
> it -
>> get
>> it yourself<<<
>>
>> The manual I have looks like it was just removed from the box "new", I'm
>> going to have to supply packaging and then make a trip to the post office
> so
>> all I'm asking is my time and expense. No body but a complete dumb ass
> would
>> do it for less, but then we do have complete dumb ass's around don't we?
> RM
>> ~
>>
>>
>
>

1241. Re: Bob GROVE on TLC Tonite 9pm EST show on AREA 51

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-04 18:58:30 UTC
From: MnMikew <mnmiikkew@aol.com>
Message-ID: <38rpfnF5r3mo4U1@individual.net>
Source record: 14501

"Msk1" <mkuziv@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:bX_Vd.991$603.467@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> GeorgeF wrote:
> > Msk1 wrote:
> >
> >> Msk1 wrote:
> >>
> >>> Interesting Show!!!!!!!!!!
> >>
> >>
> >> Show repeats at MIDNITE EST runs for one hour
> >
> >
> >
> > Is this a new one or the same re-run for several years ago?
> >
> > George - Daytona Beach, FL
> > http://www.MilAirComms.com
> I NEVER saw the one years ago so I am not sure?
>
This was also on last week. It's semi-interesting at best.

1242. purpose of 1000 channels on some scanners? a hidden attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-04 20:09:00 UTC
From: cop welfare <screw_yer_drug_war@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1109966940.160285.211260@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14502

i have an old uniden bearcat scanner with 50 channels and i have a hard time keeping up with what i have (i have to write it all down on a piece of paper) a 1000 channel model would drive me nutz i think.

what do ppl do with all of them?

is there some ulterior (wink-wink, nudge-nudge...) purpose to it all such as entering all the new cordless phone freqs or something along that line?

1243. Re: purpose of 1000 channels on some scanners? a hidden attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-04 20:30:01 UTC
From: Jesse <1@2.com>
Message-ID: <Xns960F9DB0091Aewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 14503

"cop welfare" <screw_yer_drug_war@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:1109966940.160285.211260@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com:

> i have an old uniden bearcat scanner with 50 channels and i have a hard
> time keeping up with what i have (i have to write it all down on a
> piece of paper) a 1000 channel model would drive me nutz i think.
>
> what do ppl do with all of them?
>
> is there some ulterior (wink-wink, nudge-nudge...) purpose to it all
> such as entering all the new cordless phone freqs or something along
> that line?
>
>

Don't know about you but 1,000 channels is easy to fill.
You must either be lacking imagination,interest, live out in the sticks or
all 3.
First couple are devoted to police,local,state,trunked ect.
No, not every single one is used. Room for expansion.
Then theres a bank with fire/EMS.
That way if a fire truck zooms by, I don't have to sift through all the
police channels to hear its transmissions.
I have a bank dedicated to federal freqs, though in the clear analog fed
transmissions have dropped considerably over the years.
I have a bank with misc crap, tv stations, news feeds fm radio ect.
I have a couple banks devoted to short wave.
Then I have 1 bank dedicated to my local airport, Detroit Metro in my case.
Then theres a couple banks devoted to my local air force base, air show freqs
Blue Angels T-Birds ect.
And there you have it.
I'd rather have 2,000, but 1,000 would do.
I would rather see banks of 50 instead of 100 to make things more organized
and managable.
I don't know what the fixation on 100 is about.

1244. Re: AOR or ICOM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-04 20:41:27 UTC
From: bob <noone@nowhere.org>
Message-ID: <VJ3Wd.48600$kz6.869516@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 14505

www.radioworld.ca

Make sure you are ordering the unblocked version! They have both.

Frank M wrote:
> On 2005-03-03 18:49:05 -0500, bob <noone@nowhere.org> said:
>
>> If you have the bucks, go for the 5000+3 non US version. It will give
>> you an AFC with display, synchronous tuning, and built in DTMF
>> display. All nice little perks to have in a rig.
>>
>
> This is the kind of radio I'm anticipating. Any suggestions where to buy
> new - so I have at least a 75% chance of no problems? And does AOR have
> a US rep for service if need be?
>
> Thanks.

1245. Pro 2006 upper and lower cabinet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-04 20:42:52 UTC
From: Rob Mills <robmills@cox.net>
Message-ID: <cL3Wd.2911$Ru.954@okepread06>
Source record: 14504

Complete upper and lower (with flip feet) cabinet un-used and still in the box that RS shipped to me in 1990 or 91. I'll ship any place in 48 states $45.00. RM~

1246. Scanner Crystals Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-04 21:58:36 UTC
From: Henry Gruba <Ruvoli.Gruba@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <gS4Wd.101517$Th1.95888@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 14506

Hello. I am looking for crystals for my Radio Shack Pro-26 scanner, 20-107.
Where should I go? I also need an owners manual. Thanks. Henry

1247. Re: Pro 2004 and Pro-43 Manuals Free!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-04 22:52:50 UTC
From: Delray <delraykoo@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <6F5Wd.77853$wc.2795@trnddc07>
Source record: 14507

Yes, indeedy!!!

"tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:B_%Vd.571793$6l.479724@pd7tw2no...
> Yes indeed, a truly EXCEEDINGLY vast multitude.
>
>
> "Delray" <delraykoo@verizon.net> wrote in message
> news:55%Vd.55612$EL5.6831@trnddc05...
> > <<but then we do have complete dumb ass's around don't we?>>
> >
> > In a vast multitude, it seems. Schmuck.
> >
> > "Rob Mills" <robmills@cox.net> wrote in message
> > news:9TTVd.2642$Ru.858@okepread06...
> >>
> >> >>> Don't buy them overpriced from some schmuck who may or may not send
> > it -
> >> get
> >> it yourself<<<
> >>
> >> The manual I have looks like it was just removed from the box "new",
I'm
> >> going to have to supply packaging and then make a trip to the post
office
> > so
> >> all I'm asking is my time and expense. No body but a complete dumb ass
> > would
> >> do it for less, but then we do have complete dumb ass's around don't
we?
> > RM
> >> ~
> >>
> >>
> > >
> > >
> >
>

1248. Re: purpose of 1000 channels on some scanners? a hidden attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-04 23:00:12 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4funREMOVEBEFOREFLIGHT.com>
Message-ID: <0M5Wd.1320$603.637@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 14508

cop welfare wrote:

> i have an old uniden bearcat scanner with 50 channels and i have a hard
> time keeping up with what i have (i have to write it all down on a
> piece of paper) a 1000 channel model would drive me nutz i think.
>
> what do ppl do with all of them?
>
> is there some ulterior (wink-wink, nudge-nudge...) purpose to it all
> such as entering all the new cordless phone freqs or something along
> that line?
>

Man your missing a lot of very interesting comms with just 50 channels.
I have over 10,000 channels avilable to me in all my scanners and I
still could use a couple more scanner. And not ONE channel for
Police/Fire stuff.

See the my setup at http://www.MilAirComms.com/shack.html

George - Daytona Beach, FL
http://www.MilAirComms.com

1249. Re: purpose of 1000 channels on some scanners? a hidden attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-04 23:02:21 UTC
From: "A.Pismo Clam" <Alaininvc@'86DASPAMnetscape.net>
Message-ID: <1109977334.633757@news-1.nethere.net>
Source record: 14509

Yup, I live within 60 miles of San Diego and 130 miles of LA and I gotta tell you I too have no problem loading up 1K channels with pertinent frequencies, even way up here in the mountains....

cop welfare wrote:

> i have an old uniden bearcat scanner with 50 channels and i have a hard
> time keeping up with what i have (i have to write it all down on a
> piece of paper) a 1000 channel model would drive me nutz i think.
>
> what do ppl do with all of them?
>
> is there some ulterior (wink-wink, nudge-nudge...) purpose to it all
> such as entering all the new cordless phone freqs or something along
> that line?
>

--
MZï¿½

1250. Re: purpose of 1000 channels on some scanners? a hidden attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-05 00:03:42 UTC
From: Evan Platt <evan@TheObvious.espphotography.com>
Message-ID: <0oth21h8fgn49pevblu37960a9maunien5@4ax.com>
Source record: 14510

On 4 Mar 2005 12:09:00 -0800, "cop welfare"
<screw_yer_drug_war@yahoo.com> wrote:

>i have an old uniden bearcat scanner with 50 channels and i have a hard
>time keeping up with what i have (i have to write it all down on a
>piece of paper)

That's because it lacks alphanumeric's.

>a 1000 channel model would drive me nutz i think.

A 1000 channel scanner would have alphanumeric's for each channel.

>what do ppl do with all of them?

We put in al the local frequencies.

>is there some ulterior (wink-wink, nudge-nudge...) purpose to it all
>such as entering all the new cordless phone freqs or something along
>that line?

Nope. Just all the local channels. I don't live in a large city, I'm
between San Jose and San Francisco, but I could easily fill 1000
channels.
--
To reply, remove TheObvious from my e-mail address.

1251. Re: Scanner Crystals Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-05 00:26:53 UTC
From: bob <noone@nowhere.org>
Message-ID: <f17Wd.49938$kz6.903119@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 14511

a company called "Les Smith Crystals" does custom cutting and they're
not expensive either. Problem is, I'm not sure if they're still around.
I bought some 6m crystals from them back in the late 90's.

samfroe@aol.com wrote:
> On Fri, 04 Mar 2005 21:58:36 GMT, in rec.radio.scanner "Henry Gruba"
> <Ruvoli.Gruba@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
>
>>Hello. I am looking for crystals for my Radio Shack Pro-26 scanner, 20-107.
>>Where should I go? I also need an owners manual. Thanks. Henry
>>
>
>
>
> Does Radio Shack still sell crystals? That's where used to get them.

1252. BC296D bunch o questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-05 00:42:30 UTC
From: Toywizard <escowizardspam@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Wf7Wd.1577$CW2.921@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 14512

Hello all

I just purchased a BC296D and its pretty cool.
I have had scanners for 30 years and when they started going digital it was like lights being turned off. lol

ANyway, has anyone had problems getting the software "Bearcat Supplied" control direct working fine but the upload and downloading of freqs not communicating? I get "Communication error".

Also
It seems to be a real pain to get the sound quality tuned to a reasonable level and do you have to tune every digital freq?
I got a couple pretty good but several others suck.

Also
After you charge the battery 18 hours (unit shut off but plugged in) I get a few hours and the low battery "beep" starts in. Doesn't the unit run under house power without the battery? I run scanners 24-7 and need to be able to pull it out to the truck from time to time.

Thanks in advance for any help.

--
Scott L Golden
Never Give Up
www.twwrc.homestead.com

1253. Is there any mods for a pro 67

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-05 02:59:41 UTC
From: Kap <juvenile11691@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1109991581.295189.9660@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14513

I just got this off ebay is there ny mods?

1254. FS: Pro-93

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-05 05:40:52 UTC
From: Jim Finney <fendelhessi@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <EDbWd.42476$Qz1.40503@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 14514
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Pro-93 For Sale...
- Like new condition, works perfectly.
- Original box.
- Manual.
- Original rubber duck antenna.
- Both black and yellow battery holders.

- $95 shipped to your door in the USA.

Contact:
Jim
fendelhessi@yahoo.com

1255. Re: Pro 2004 owners manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-05 06:13:04 UTC
From: Rob Mills <robmills@cox.net>
Message-ID: <N5cWd.2943$Ru.500@okepread06>
Source record: 14515

"Barry OGrady" <god_freee_jones@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:e07i215fde7frhpsep4n4jgevknnrvkmpa@4ax.com...

>>> If you can find someone to pay that much.<<<

Sold it this morning. Now I'm going to have to go to office supply and purchase some kind of padded envelope to mail it in so it isn't damaged, and there is a good chance I'm going to have to buy a package of 4, 5 or 6 envelopes (legal size padded envelopes aren't cheap). Then I have to take it to the post office and that's probably going to take maybe another 4 or 5 bucks. I'll feel lucky if I have 6 or 7 bucks in my pocket after all my running around. My intensions were not to make a profit, I just hated to throw it in the trash if someone might want it. RM~

1256. Re: want to buy a SW radio for my husband

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-05 08:53:51 UTC
From: Jesse <1@2.com>
Message-ID: <Xns961028129BC0Fewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 14516

fred@aol.com wrote in news:d6ai21ddjbqae625fcgbve9b1ujjv7r4op@4ax.com:

> On Fri, 04 Mar 2005 19:14:25 -0800, in rec.radio.scanner fred@aol.com
> wrote:
>
>>
>> I'm looking to buy a SW receiver for my husband. He has a cheap one
>> he got
>>at radio shack that he is not happy with. He likes to listen to the
>>news especially the BBC. He wants one that is small enough to use with
>>headphones while he standing and walking around at work.
>>
>> I could spend up to $150.00 on this. I know nothing about these
>> radios. I'd
>>like to get an idea of what would be a good one to buy possibly on Ebay.
>>
>
>
> Whoops wrong group.. sorry guys..
>

Hate to break the news, but 'up to $150.00' isn't gonna getcha much better
than RS.
Move that decimal over to the right a notch, then you'd be in business.

1257. Re: is this data or just interference?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-05 13:07:28 UTC
From: Lord Floyd <nospam@biteme.invalid>
Message-ID: <Xns961034223AD9SMEGHEAD@199.45.49.11>
Source record: 14517

"tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> sputtered incoherently in news:CqeWd.575386
$Xk.509701@pd7tw3no:

> the sound?
>

Posting a binary to a text group, what an idiot. On top of that your
ISP news server admins should be bitched slapped for not knowing how
to configure their servers.

--
You are a fluke of the Universe
You have no right to be here
And whether you can hear it or not,
the Universe is laughing behind your back

"Five-eleven's your height, one-ninety your weight
You cash in your chips around page ninety-eight."

1258. Re: want to buy a SW radio for my husband

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-05 13:44:51 UTC
From: whoever <whoever@wherever>
Message-ID: <Y5GdnV8V-JZMKrTfRVn-2Q@centurytel.net>
Source record: 14518

Hey Fred, buy your husband a Grundig YB 400. It's a great portable sw radio!

fred@aol.com wrote:

>
> I'm looking to buy a SW receiver for my husband. He has a cheap one he got
> at radio shack that he is not happy with. He likes to listen to the news
> especially the BBC. He wants one that is small enough to use with headphones
> while he standing and walking around at work.
>
> I could spend up to $150.00 on this. I know nothing about these radios. I'd
> like to get an idea of what would be a good one to buy possibly on Ebay.
>
>

1259. Kern County

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-05 16:22:37 UTC
From: Burr <pitz2@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <h1lWd.56024$uc.1998@trnddc03>
Source record: 14519

Morning,

Anybody know anything about kern County going to "Trunked"?

They handed out new radios to the Chine Lake FD and said something about a "change"!!!

Here's a little story about the storm last night.

Lighting tore us up yesterday afternoon, most of the south part of town was with out power a few hours and I was out 12 hours.

I took a hit on my transformer on the pole, blew it apart. Nothing happened to my radios or computers. I have my computers on a UPS so I had lots of time to shut down gracefully. My radios run on large 12v batteries so they came out ok, my antennas are grounded and one coil did drop and I had to replace it this morning.

So it worked. Who would have thought that "I" would get hit out of all the houses and antennas around here. I went through the motions of grounding but thinking I would never need it. I use UPS's on my computers, TV and CD players because of "brown outs". I run my radios on 12v batteries to get away from the AC hum and normally recharge when I go to bed.

At the time I got hit I had two PC laptops going and one "Big Mac", the Sat 800 and the BC 895. I ran the radios from 5:30am until I went to bed, I have two of the biggest batteries that Cosco has, I replaced the D50's that I used for years and used the Cosco batteries because of price.

When the power came on about 4am I got up and checked to be sure everything was charging then went back to bed. Something to remember, UPS's are only good for 2 years. I sell a UPS with every system I install and I always push for them to change them out in two years. I have also found it is good to "work" the batteries, I pull the ones down in my motor home every few months, I "recharge" my car batteries every few months to keep them toped off, alternators do not top batteries off, they only replace what is used, """Maybe"""!!!!

OK, here's my report on the power outages. Pick it apart if you want but it works.

--
Burr
Gurndig FR200, YB400, Sat 650 & Sat 800
Drake SW8
BC895XLT& BC245XLT
Ridgecrest/Ontario, Ca.

1260. Re: want to buy a SW radio for my husband

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-05 16:43:20 UTC
From: blindasabat <blindasabat@no.spam>
Message-ID: <a8oj21putitigm8pd09rooj7pa480rokbe@4ax.com>
Source record: 14520

There's plenty of good portables that can be bought for that amount.
You suggesting she pay $1500.00 for a portable? Maybe on eBay!

On Sat, 05 Mar 2005 08:53:51 GMT, Jesse <1@2.com> wrote:
>Hate to break the news, but 'up to $150.00' isn't gonna getcha much better
>than RS. Move that decimal over to the right a notch, then you'd be in business.

1261. Re: Kern County, Ca

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-05 17:51:32 UTC
From: Burr <pitz2@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <EkmWd.60401$uc.47202@trnddc08>
Source record: 14521

Sorry, Should have said California

Burr

"Burr" <pitz2@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:h1lWd.56024$uc.1998@trnddc03...
> Morning,
>
> Anybody know anything about kern County going to "Trunked"?
>
> They handed out new radios to the Chine Lake FD and said something
> about a "change"!!!
>
> Here's a little story about the storm last night.
>
>
> Lighting tore us up yesterday afternoon, most of the south part of town
> was
> with out power a few hours and I was out 12 hours.
>
> I took a hit on my transformer on the pole, blew it apart. Nothing
> happened to my radios or computers. I have my computers on a UPS so I had
> lots of time to shut down gracefully. My radios run on large 12v batteries
> so they came out ok, my antennas are grounded and one coil did drop and I
> had to replace it this morning.
>
> So it worked. Who would have thought that "I" would get hit out of all
> the houses and antennas around here. I went through the motions of
> grounding
> but thinking I would never need it. I use UPS's on my computers, TV and CD
> players because of "brown outs". I run my radios on 12v batteries to get
> away from the AC hum and normally recharge when I go to bed.
>
> At the time I got hit I had two PC laptops going and one "Big Mac", the
> Sat 800 and the BC 895. I ran the radios from 5:30am until I went to bed,
> I
> have two of the biggest batteries that Cosco has, I replaced the D50's
> that
> I used for years and used the Cosco batteries because of price.
>
> When the power came on about 4am I got up and checked to be sure
> everything was charging then went back to bed. Something to remember,
> UPS's
> are only good for 2 years. I sell a UPS with every system I install and I
> always push for them to change them out in two years. I have also found it

> is good to "work" the batteries, I pull the ones down in my motor home
> every
> few months, I "recharge" my car batteries every few months to keep them
> toped off, alternators do not top batteries off, they only replace what is
> used, """Maybe"""!!!!
>
> OK, here's my report on the power outages. Pick it apart if you want
> but
> it works.
>
>
>
> --
> Burr
> Gurndig FR200, YB400, Sat 650 & Sat 800
> Drake SW8
> BC895XLT& BC245XLT
> Ridgecrest/Ontario, Ca.
>
>
>

1262. Re: purpose of 1000 channels on some scanners? a hidden attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-05 19:16:53 UTC
From: cop welfare <screw_yer_drug_war@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1110050213.756092.217140@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14522

GeorgeF wrote:
> cop welfare wrote:
>
> > i have an old uniden bearcat scanner with 50 channels and i have a
hard
> > time keeping up with what i have (i have to write it all down on a
> > piece of paper) a 1000 channel model would drive me nutz i think.
> >
> > what do ppl do with all of them?
> >
> > is there some ulterior (wink-wink, nudge-nudge...) purpose to it
all
> > such as entering all the new cordless phone freqs or something
along
> > that line?
> >
>
> Man your missing a lot of very interesting comms with just 50
channels.
> I have over 10,000 channels avilable to me in all my scanners and I

> still could use a couple more scanner. And not ONE channel for
> Police/Fire stuff.

yeh i find the police/fire stuff pretty boring. those guys aren't my
"heroes".
i'd rather listen to some flaky security company dispatcher or a wired
up tow truck driver.
sorry to say, ham ops are the most boring of all to me. they spend all
that money on radios and what do they talk about when they operate?
radios.
i'd be interested to know more about satellite listening, tho.
>
> See the my setup at http://www.MilAirComms.com/shack.html
>
> George - Daytona Beach, FL
> http://www.MilAirComms.com

1263. Re: want to buy a SW radio for my husband

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-05 19:56:01 UTC
From: DougSlug <dougslug@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8foWd.6665$lU1.904@fe12.lga>
Source record: 14523

Au contraire. The Sony ICF-SW7600GR would be a great choice, and it goes for $130 or thereabouts. It's a well respected portable in the SW community, but it may be a *little* big for "walking around" (it's certainly not pocket size, but well within the range of "portable").

What's the Radio Shack model he has now?

- Doug

"Jesse" <1@2.com> wrote in message news:Xns961028129BC0Fewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130...
> fred@aol.com wrote in news:d6ai21ddjbqae625fcgbve9b1ujjv7r4op@4ax.com:
>
>> On Fri, 04 Mar 2005 19:14:25 -0800, in rec.radio.scanner fred@aol.com
>> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>> I'm looking to buy a SW receiver for my husband. He has a cheap one
>>> he got
>>>at radio shack that he is not happy with. He likes to listen to the
>>>news especially the BBC. He wants one that is small enough to use with
>>>headphones while he standing and walking around at work.
>>>
>>> I could spend up to $150.00 on this. I know nothing about these
>>> radios. I'd
>>>like to get an idea of what would be a good one to buy possibly on Ebay.
>>>
>>
>>
>> Whoops wrong group.. sorry guys..
>>
>
> Hate to break the news, but 'up to $150.00' isn't gonna getcha much better
> than RS.
> Move that decimal over to the right a notch, then you'd be in business.

1264. Re: is this data or just interference?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-05 19:58:47 UTC
From: DougSlug <dougslug@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <JhoWd.6666$GU1.3392@fe12.lga>
Source record: 14524

It sounds like data to me, but I couldn't tell you what format. I also
noticed either a CTCSS tone or a bad 60 Hz hum problem in your audio setup.

- Doug

"tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:CqeWd.575386$Xk.509701@pd7tw3no...
>I found this transmission continuous on 152.510 mhz and I was wondering is
>it any kind of digital transmission or is it just interference --- can
>anyone identify it from the sound?
> I have an inferior receiver (Yaesu VX5R) connected straight through to
> onboard sound of a 2.4 ghz celeron.

1265. Re: is this data or just interference?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-05 20:58:41 UTC
From: tom <cyberhun@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <54pWd.578607$8l.55049@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 14525

probably AC 60hz hum, this yaesu vx5r wideband receiver is bunk. oh well, what do you expect when you pack everything from a barometer/thermometer to a frequency analyzer/voltmeter/scanner/corkscrew into something the size of a pack of smokes.

1266. Re: is this data or just interference?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-05 21:39:56 UTC
From: DougSlug <dougslug@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <QMpWd.7695$rD6.6358@fe11.lga>
Source record: 14526

Actually, if it's AC hum, it's your audio cabling setup to your PC (ground problem), not the radio. It might also be a CTCSS tone...I don't have a calibrated ear, so I'm not sure what frequency it was. The VX-5 is a solid radio (I own two of 'em), but the I agree that wideband RX features probably unnecessarily compromise the design.

- Doug

"tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:54pWd.578607$8l.55049@pd7tw1no...
> probably AC 60hz hum, this yaesu vx5r wideband receiver is bunk. oh well,
> what do you expect when you pack everything from a barometer/thermometer
> to a frequency analyzer/voltmeter/scanner/corkscrew into something the
> size of a pack of smokes.

1267. Softare for Radio Shack Pro2096

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-05 22:23:32 UTC
From: wrhood@exis.net
Message-ID: <422a3128.4643890@news.exis.net>
Source record: 14527

Looking for software to program the new desk top scanner from Radio Shack (GRE). Info would be appreciated!

1268. Re: Uniden repair?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-05 22:39:37 UTC
From: Rob Mills <robmills@cox.net>
Message-ID: <FyqWd.3013$Ru.659@okepread06>
Source record: 14528

<fred@aol.com> wrote in message
news:qc5k2193vpo1hohsghegemcrmi6mlj9qht@4ax.com...

>>> I'm thinking of sending two of my handhelds in to Uniden for repair.
I'd appreciate if anyone could share experiences they have had with Uniden
repairs.<<<

It's been a long time (really long time), but I sent a scanner to them and
it came back working just fine. Don't know how they do it now but they used
to have a flat rate on each item and would tell you over the phone how much
$ before you even sent the item in. Don't recall what the flat rate was on
that particular scanner was but it was reasonable at the time. RM~

1269. What is there to monitor on 2.4 GHz band?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-06 02:22:59 UTC
From: kc7jfv@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <781c67d2.0503051822.403d8aaa@posting.google.com>
Source record: 14529

With all the WiFi equipments operating on this range, my area is ripe with signals on this band. I understand there is only data traffic operating on FSK mode. Would be nice to monitor the band regardless even if all I could see is just what people are browsing. LOL.

Any idea? And what type of scanner/receiver would I need? Thanks.

1270. Ultimate scanner (wishful thinking)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-06 02:29:57 UTC
From: kc7jfv@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <781c67d2.0503051829.30badb74@posting.google.com>
Source record: 14530

My ultimate scanner would let me:
1. Allow to transmit on the frequency I am monitoring.

(Please add your wish)
:)

1271. Re: what's the difference between simplex and half-duplex?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-06 02:41:54 UTC
From: DxxxxxBxx <abuse@abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz.com>
Message-ID: <i3rk21dd5o430i5s1lmioog2j50imfpocv@4ax.com>
Source record: 14531

Too many icons at the top.

Yes, sometimes when things go to the wrong group, you get blasted.
There are alot of "children" out there.

On Thu, 03 Mar 2005 04:43:17 GMT, "tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote:

>Believe it or not, I thought I was sending that post to another group, but
>what happened was that a different group-name had the focus than I thought
>had the focus, so when I clicked on the send button it went to a different
>group than I was trying to send it to.
>Aggravating the situation is the fact that this NNTP server doesn't seem to
>carry out cancel requests, so I couldn't remove it.
>
>
>
>"DxxxxxBxx" <abuse@abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz.com> wrote in message
>news:so2d219jjl6vffno28d6jfn9fbq9sreluk@4ax.com...
>> Tom, don't stop asking questions. I don't know what you are talking
>> about. I read the other postings on this subject and nothing seems
>> like anyone was offended. It seems like your question was answered
>> and you were referred to some web links.
>>
>> What gave you the impression anyone was offended?
>>
>> On Wed, 02 Mar 2005 21:30:21 GMT, "tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote:
>>
>>>sorry -- i won't ask questions like this one anymore.
>>>i realize i must have offended the group by asking this type of question.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>"tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote in message
>>>news:zxHUd.527095$8l.408835@pd7tw1no...
>>>> what's the difference between simplex and half-duplex?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>
>> Spammers, please send email to:
>> listme@listme.dsbl.org
>> and get your SMTP server blacklisted!
>

Spammers, please send email to:
listme@listme.dsbl.org
and get your SMTP server blacklisted!

1272. Re: query re the elusive GE/EDACS traffic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-06 02:43:43 UTC
From: DxxxxxBxx <abuse@abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz.com>
Message-ID: <8ark21pkdshudb0d0rfsmhrfcpe6kk1494@4ax.com>
Source record: 14532

MacPherson KS has an EDACS system. They have 5 channels and they can
all be monitored in the clear with a non-trunking scanner.

My PRO-2067 follows them in trunked mode, so I assume there are other
scanners that do it as well.

On Thu, 03 Mar 2005 04:54:05 GMT, "tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote:

>I thought it was supposed to be impossible to intercept GE/EDACS traffic?!?
>I asked about this a couple months ago (I think it was this group, maybe not
>though) concerning a canadian municipal PD GE/EDACS system, and the
>consensus was that it couldn't be done. Then I came across an ad on a
>webpage:
>---
>PRO-2096 Digital Trunking Mobile/Base Scanner
>EDACS Trunking Mode (ED) - You can set your scanner so it decodes talkgroup
>IDs used with EDACS (GE/Ericsson/M/ACOM) trunking systems. This setting is
>called the EDACS mode. EDACS systems are used primarily by business or
>private communications service providers, as well as by some public safety
>organizations.
>
>---
>
>So, is it possible or not? Is the difference maybe that the PD is using
>some digital mode?
>

Spammers, please send email to:
listme@listme.dsbl.org
and get your SMTP server blacklisted!

1273. Re: Ultimate scanner (wishful thinking)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-06 04:54:41 UTC
From: jon <jonrev1978@wmconnect.com>
Message-ID: <1110084881.904687.192330@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14533

Greetings Jim! I understand the desire to be able to talk back to the people you hear, but you do realize that is illegal. We had a crazy ham in this neck of the woods who converted his 2 meter to the county sheriffs department freq and ended up in jail for about 2 years for malicious interference. Needless to say he is no longer a ham either.

I don't know what I would want as the perfect scanner as everything is changing so fast. I don't even have a trunked scanner here yet, but only the highway patrol is trunked now. I would love to have a trunked scanner with a blue backlite screen. 200 memories is enough for me. Why not get your ham licence and do some chatting on the legal ham freqs? I will leave the emergency freqs to those who need to be on them. Have a great weekend! Jon.

1274. BC296D power life?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-06 05:01:14 UTC
From: Toywizard <escowizardspam@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <u8wWd.3212$603.1289@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 14534

Does anyone else have the BC296D and use it plugged into house current?

Mine, after recharging 12-18 hours runs (now its still plugged into house current) for about 4 hours and finally dies from low battery. I thought as long as its plugged into house current you could run indefinitely?

Scott L Golden

1275. Re: purpose of 1000 channels on some scanners? a hidden attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-06 12:42:04 UTC
From: GD <alfa@melb.something.au>
Message-ID: <Xns9611F418B86F7alfamelbsomethingau@203.87.95.150>
Source record: 14535

"cop welfare" <screw_yer_drug_war@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:1109966940.160285.211260@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com:

> i have an old uniden bearcat scanner with 50 channels and i have a hard
> time keeping up with what i have (i have to write it all down on a
> piece of paper) a 1000 channel model would drive me nutz i think.
>
> what do ppl do with all of them?

Put different kinds of things in each of the banks.
My old AR1500 has 10 banks of 100 frequencies each.

An example. In the last few days, Melbourne (Aus)
has hosted a Formula 1 grand prix:

I had a bank of about 90 possible driver/team frequencies.
Another had a smaller set of known confirmed frequencies.
Another had media frequencies.
Another had the local V8 supercar driver frequencies.
Another had track (eg race control / cranes /trucks) frequencies.
Another had air frequencies (eg the aerobatic Roulettes)
Another had a single frequency for the local TV
Another had a single frequency for a driver that I wanted
to keep an eye on, but interference kept me from putting
it in with the main bunch.

You get the idea. With the sets separated in this way, its
a single button push to change the type of thing I'm listening
to at any given moment.
If they were just in 1 huge pool of 1000, then it'd be useless,
but they arent isnt, so it isnt. ;)

1276. Optoelectronics Scout for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-06 14:04:32 UTC
From: Greg Pratt <gp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <am2m21h2vjbp2jgvkongo0968cg8c31ak6@4ax.com>
Source record: 14536
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi. I'm selling my Optoelectronics Scout frequency finder on
consignment through Ham Radio Outlet. Here's the info on it, and the
web address:

138) Manufacturer: OPTOELECTRONICS
Item : C*-SCOUT MODEL 40-DEL1
Description : box, man, charger, like new, no antenna
YOUR HRO PRICE $200.00
This used or consignment item is located at
HRO Newcastle Delaware 800-644-4476
e-mail newcastle@hamradio.com
For fastest ordering call directly to the store.

http://www.hamradio.com/cgi-bin/uncgi/used?

You can also email Bob directly at HRO in Delaware.
His address is ws1del@hro.net

Thanks,
George

1277. Optoelectronics Scout for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-06 14:09:47 UTC
From: George Pearson <gep1073@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <qo3m2150ddjgjlmg6ft5u43qqsbav89d4p@4ax.com>
Source record: 14537

Hi. I'm selling my Optoelectronics Scout frequency finder on
consignment through Ham Radio Outlet. Here's the info on it, and the
web address:

138) Manufacturer: OPTOELECTRONICS
Item : C*-SCOUT MODEL 40-DEL1
Description : box, man, charger, like new, no antenna
YOUR HRO PRICE $200.00
This used or consignment item is located at
HRO Newcastle Delaware 800-644-4476
e-mail newcastle@hamradio.com
For fastest ordering call directly to the store.

http://www.hamradio.com/cgi-bin/uncgi/used?

You can also email Bob directly at HRO in Delaware.
His address is ws1del@hro.net

Thanks,
George

1278. For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-06 15:05:27 UTC
From: "general4d.net" <lou.de.beer@general-net.com>
Message-ID: <Nu6dnZICLISih7bfRVn-1Q@pghconnect.com>
Source record: 14538

Pace 108H VHF scanner in good condition....$55.00 plus shipping.

1279. FS: Algreo DFjr doppler radio direction finder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-06 15:40:30 UTC
From: Michael Malloy <mmalloy@wi.rr.com>
Message-ID: <OvFWd.12957$Cg6.5787@twister.rdc-kc.rr.com>
Source record: 14539
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

I have an Algreo DFjr doppler direction finder for sale. The unit is in excellent condition and has antennas cut for 2 meters. It also includes the mulitport adapter and manual. This is a very cool device that also interfaces with GPS and APRS programs such as the PocketAPRS.It is great for foxhunting or finding interference. Asking $400 including shipping

Please email directly if interested.

1280. Re: Ultimate scanner (wishful thinking)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-06 16:35:07 UTC
From: Evan Platt <evan@TheObvious.espphotography.com>
Message-ID: <t8cm21tl3sqsc0kkrc7jb52spt4s39b803@4ax.com>
Source record: 14540

On 5 Mar 2005 18:29:57 -0800, kc7jfv@hotmail.com (Jim) wrote:

>My ultimate scanner would let me:
>1. Allow to transmit on the frequency I am monitoring.

You can buy a transmitter that does basically DC to daylight.

The question is can you afford it? Not likely.
--
To reply, remove TheObvious from my e-mail address.

1281. Re: Scanner Crystals Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-06 16:38:59 UTC
From: Evan Platt <evan@TheObvious.espphotography.com>
Message-ID: <4gcm21t2lvetqj798brr1fnpiualc0db2f@4ax.com>
Source record: 14541

On Fri, 04 Mar 2005 21:58:36 GMT, "Henry Gruba"
<Ruvoli.Gruba@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>Hello. I am looking for crystals for my Radio Shack Pro-26 scanner, 20-107.
>Where should I go? I also need an owners manual. Thanks. Henry

Give Mouser Electronics a try http://www.mouser.com/ .
--
To reply, remove TheObvious from my e-mail address.

1282. Icom H16 - different versions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-06 22:49:51 UTC
From: Tee <fremontguy@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1110149391.105706.159520@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14542

I bought a used H16 to monitor the state patrol here in WA State.
They're on 155MHz. It turns out that the H16 has two "versions" - one
for each half of the band? Anyway, it looks like I have the version
that's covers 144, but not up to 155.

Is there any way to set the band range? I don't need the entire band,
but want to be able to program the upper half...

Thanks for any suggestions..

1283. IRC radio monitoring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-06 23:21:47 UTC
From: Barnard Peters <one@two.com>
Message-ID: <68udnfeXHr4XDbbfRVn-qw@comcast.com>
Source record: 14543
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.pirate.radio,alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner

Though radio communications monitoring is fun and interesting
all by itself, there is more fun to be had participating in live
interactive radio monitoring with colleagues from around the world who
share the same interest. Having dozens of ears scanning the bands really
adds to the excitement. Having more people on-line means having more
ears on the bands. This posting is an effort to add more people to
zirc.org #monitor

Entry level as well as experienced listeners are welcome to join.

Read more info about this at at: http://s91586483.onlinehome.us/monitor/

To connect to IRC, you will need an IRC client. Mozzila and netscape
have one built in or there are many you can download and install. You
will need to connect to irc.zirc.org or pick a server from the website
at zirc.org. To connect, type "/server irc.zirc.org" and once connected
type "/join #monitor". There is a web based client at
http://www.zirc.org/chat/

Once logged on, simply start posting what you hear or just be a
fly-on-the-wall and watch what other people post and follow along on
your radio!

1284. Re: Icom H16 - different versions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-06 23:54:21 UTC
From: Steve Uhrig <Steve@swssec.com>
Message-ID: <bm5n21t5lb1iuql3j3akjq9hqsdh9tjvcv@4ax.com>
Source record: 14544

On 6 Mar 2005 14:49:51 -0800, "Tee" <fremontguy@gmail.com> wrote:

> I bought a used H16 to monitor the state patrol here in WA State.
> They're on 155MHz. It turns out that the H16 has two "versions" - one
> for each half of the band? Anyway, it looks like I have the version
> that's covers 144, but not up to 155.

> Is there any way to set the band range? I don't need the entire band,
> but want to be able to program the upper half...

The H16 can cover a window of about 20-25 megacycles depending on the particular radio. If the PLL goes out of lock the readouts will flash and you'll know you're pushing the limits for that particular radio. If the readouts aren't flashing, something else may be going on.

It is possible to move that window up or down some.

Even a low split radio should cover 155 easily though. It's when you get into the 170s things start to get tricky.

Someone intimately familiar with the radio can retune the PLL and move the window up some. I haven't done it in prob 10 years, and couldn't put it into words. It's not difficult, bur you need to know the radio.

I believe the PA module may be different between splits. I know it is on UHF. Don't remember if it was on VHF. If you're only receiving, however, you don't care.

So your answer is: yes, it can be done. However I don't remember how.

Regards ... Steve

****
Steve Uhrig, SWS Security, Maryland (USA)
Mfrs of electronic surveillance equip
mailto:Steve@swssec.com website http://www.swssec.com
tel +1+410-879-4035, fax +1+410-836-1190
"In God we trust, all others we monitor"
****

1285. BC296D Programing on Apco 25 800Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-07 00:52:38 UTC
From: Bradley Moser <bugsbunny72200@juno.com>
Message-ID: <qBNWd.28559$uc.1025@trnddc09>
Source record: 14545
Cross-posted to: alt.binaries.radio-scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I live in Montgomery Co, MD.
We are running on the new Digital Apco 25, 800Mhz.
How do I program it to only listen to fire.
I have tried everything and I am geting police and fire on the same channel.
On the 2nd list where it said, List 1-1 / Descripiton.
In IDs I entered the fleet id of 3344
Thanks for the help
Brad

1286. Pro-97 Software?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-07 02:15:00 UTC
From: JetCrafter <XXedtemp@juno.comXX>
Message-ID: <422bb908$1@news.sacoriver.net>
Source record: 14452

Anyone know of Scanner control software for the Pro-97 yet?

Any idea who makes the best software for Radio Shack scanners?

Thanks.
Ed Langworthy

1287. schematic/info on BC890XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-07 03:07:23 UTC
From: John KB5AG <myname@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <eec3e$422bc56e$943fe707$15884@STARBAND.NET>
Source record: 14546

I have a Uniden BC890XLT that seems to work normally, but receives nothing.
A Google search comes up with a similar problem to mine, but I don't see a
solution.
There's an IC, a Sharp IR3MO3A which is running super hot. It's switching
regulator IC, but I'm not sure what its purpose is, since most of the set
runs from the 5 and 8v linear regulators in the power supply.
Anyone had any experience with this? Is there a schematic anywhere
available for this?

Thanks,
John

1288. Re: IRC radio monitoring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-07 03:33:44 UTC
From: miso@sushi.com
Message-ID: <1110166424.654660.81800@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14547
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.pirate.radio,alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner

Firefox has "chatzilla" for IRC. I made it to the chat room with only one hitch. Namely, the chat room doesn't appear in the list of available chat rooms. Otherwise, telling chatzilla to go to #monitor worked just fine.

I haven't use #monitor for at least 7 years. It was on a different server back then. There isn't a whole lot of chat, which is good. Once in a while someone types a frequency for a numbers station, or notes when GHFS is active.

1289. FT: BC780XLT for PRO-2006/2042

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-07 04:17:37 UTC
From: Frank Bals <fjb@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <BBQWd.109334$Th1.33006@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 14548

I have a MINT condition Uniden 780XLT that I am considering trading for a MINT condition PRO-2006 or PRO-2042. Must have strong backlight. I have original box, manual, and all accessories.

Frank

1290. Re: trouble with hum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-07 04:31:11 UTC
From: Ken Bessler <kg0wx@NOSPAMyahoo.com>
Message-ID: <jOQWd.298$Fy.74@okepread04>
Source record: 14549

<fred@aol.com> wrote in message
news:p8ln215fnojjprbbumqt9j2jd623mu7v5l@4ax.com...
>
>
> I am broadcasting my scanner over the internet and the sound is fine until
> it
> gets to the 460 range and then there is a hum. I went out and bought a
> shielded
> cable for my scanners connection to the sound card but it did not help.
> Any
> suggestions on how to fix this?

Try a 0.1 uF Tantalum cap on the center conductor. IOW, interrupt the
center conducter and install the cap inline between the scanner and sound
card. Also, I would strongly suggest you install a 1:1 isolation transformer
between the cap and scanner.

--
73's es gd dx de Ken KGWX
Grid EM17ip, Flying Pigs #1055,
List Owner, Yahoo! E-groups:
VX-2R & FT-857

1291. Re: Pro 2006 upper and lower cabinet/Sold

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-07 05:59:36 UTC
From: Rob Mills <robmills@cox.net>
Message-ID: <95SWd.3734$Ru.3600@okepread06>
Source record: 14450

"Rob Mills" <robmills@cox.net> wrote in message
news:cL3Wd.2911$Ru.954@okepread06...
>>>> Complete upper and lower (with flip feet) cabinet un-used and still in
the box that RS shipped to me in 1990 or 91. I'll ship any place in 48
states $45.00.<<<

Sold. RM~

1292. The Hotest Product On the Market! 7DhbrQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-07 06:02:56 UTC
From: "Mr. Amazing!!!!!!!!" <aaO@vTJh.com>
Message-ID: <3bb6$422bee90$83d8a3c5$4945@allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 14451

New XP2500A
The World's #1 Digital Satellite Television
Receiver Descrambler is Now Available to you!!!!!

Order Yours at: http://www.fta9000.3322.org

No Monthly Subscription Charges of ANY Sort!
No Service Contracts of ANY Sort!
No Telephone Connections of ANY Sort!!!!
Does NOT use ANY Sort of Satellite Access Card
The World's Number One "Cardless" Satellite Receiver!!!!

A NEW Product for the NEW Year of 2005
Our New XP2500A Digital Satellite Television Receiver Descrambler allows you to view at your convenience the following with the USA, Canada, Cuba, Bahamas, Jamaica, Virgin Islands and Mexico:

View Over 600+ Digital Television Stations FREE! (Everything!)
View Over 200+ Digital Commercial Free Music Stations FREE !(all categories)
View Over 40 Pay-Per-View Movies Channels FREE!
View 8 HBO's/5 Cinemax's/10 Showtime's/8 Starz, etc. FREE!
View Seven Adult Channels FREE FREE FREE • 24 Hours A Day (Non-Stop Action)!
Boxing/Football/Soccer/Baseball/Basketball • ALL Sports Packages FREE (With No Black Outs)!
Receive Home-Town Local Broadcast Television Stations from 4 Time Zones
Use this New Television Descrambler at Home/Office/Truck/RV etc.
Works In the USA and Canada • plus ALL of North and South America and Territories!
Works on: Nagravision, Seca, Viaccess #1 and #2, Irdeto One and Betacrypt Digital Satellite Signals!!!!

NOTE TO AUSTRAILIANS: We get many request about the Australia•s "Foxtel Channel". Our New XP2500A Receiver works nearly ANY where in the world - but it will NOT receive "Australia•s FOXTEL Broadcast Channel" as of yet.....they use Irdeto #2 a Decoding System.....so wait patiently - our Descrambling Technicians are working HARD on this one, to brake the code.
International Customers.

Our NEW XP2500A Digital Satellite Television Receiver - NOW UNSCRAMBLES THE FOLLOWING SIGNALS:
ABsat
ABS-CBN
Arabesque (ART)
Astro TV
BBC Prime
C+ NL
Canada's Bell ExpressVU
Canal + France
Canal 7 TV Madrid
Canal Pro TV

Canal Rural
Canal Rural
Canal Satellite
Canal Sky-net
Canal+ Digital
Canal+ Digital Aerial
Canal+ Vlanderen
CanalSat
CFI Peco Pro
Channel RAI TV
Cine+ Digital
Cohem sweden
C-SKY NET
CYFRA+
DigiFunClub
Digital+
Dish Network (USA)
Dream TV
EMI Special Channel
Eurosport
Euskaltel
FT Cable
Globe Cast MMBN
GlobeCast
GlobeCast Espana
GlobeCast France
HRT
MCM Europe
Mediaset
Mediavision
MiniMax
MMBN
MTV networks
Orbit Network
ORF Austria
Parabole reunion
Polsat
Premiere
SABC
Selector Tele
Senda/Boxer
SRG Swiss
SVT Europa
Taipei International
TBL TV
Televisa
TPS
TV Cabo
TV Slovenia
TVBS

ViaSat Europe
ViaSat Nordic

Okay.....So STOP PAYING THOSE MONTHLY SUBSCRIPTION COST FOR
SUBSCRIPTION CABLE OR SATELLITE TELEVISION��
Get Our New XP2500A Digital Satellite Television Receiver Now - go to the URL below NOW:

Don't Delay Order Yours at: http://www.fta9000.3322.org

VQ1e9TDMOmNkrpFS79AAgansAZlNktt1tRUlJTrR5wFEG8mROcuZdHyVrqv48NXnQIfwYRrjOV7tLc

1293. Want Military HF, VHF, UHF, SatCom frequencies. Join the MilAirCommsChat Group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-07 13:55:09 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4funREMOVEBEFOREFLIGHT.com>
Message-ID: <13ZWd.5353$603.1562@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 14453

Messages, questions and comments regarding all forms of Military Communications are welcome here. This includes HF, VHF, UHF, SatCom, anything Military related is considered on topic.

This is one of the fastest growing groups dedicated to monitoring Military communications. Started about a 1 1/2 years ago we have over 720 members and many posted messages and files. There are daily logs being posted, answers to questions, and good conversation relating to monitoring military communications. Unlike many military monitoring groups whoï¿½s posting activity is declining the MilAirCommsChat group is growing.

This group is for old timers and new comers to the hobby. I will not tolerate anyone making rude comments towards newbies to our hobby. Just remember ALL you were newbies at one time.

For more information on this group or to join you can go here:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/MilAirCommsChat

George - Daytona Beach, FL
http://www.MilAirComms.com

1294. Re: Want Military HF, VHF, UHF, SatCom frequencies. Join the MilAirCommsChat Group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-07 15:07:23 UTC
From: blindasabat <blindasabat@no.spam>
Message-ID: <hcro219obk5v4bqf1ah0id6pjr5oottcn6@4ax.com>
Source record: 14454

<av8tor@licensed4funREMOVEBEFOREFLIGHT.com> wrote:
>This group is for old timers and new comers to the hobby. I will not
>tolerate anyone making rude comments towards newbies to our hobby.

But you will tolerate racist remarks, huh? Yeah, right!

1295. Re: query re the elusive GE/EDACS traffic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-07 15:13:21 UTC
From: BILLY <WCEJAE@BELLSOUTH.NET>
Message-ID: <jlro2156u3k85erf95k3au8q9bllgvjsvv@4ax.com>
Source record: 14455

On Sun, 06 Mar 2005 02:43:43 GMT, DxxxxxBxx
<abuse@abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz.com> wrote:
>MacPherson KS has an EDACS system. They have 5 channels and they can
>all be monitored in the clear with a non-trunking scanner.

it's not just GE or it's not just EDACS that is the problem.
The problem is when they use Provoice, I don't know of anyone using
the Provoice technology in a scanner right now. I expect eventually
they will, but since it's protected by patent and copyright law, I do
not know anyone that can decode it.

Billy, N5WE
Mississippi

1296. Re: Want Military HF, VHF, UHF, SatCom frequencies. Join the MilAirCommsChat Group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-07 15:34:06 UTC
From: whoever <whoever@wherever>
Message-ID: <xa-dnaAqMdft6bHfRVn-1w@centurytel.net>
Source record: 14456

blindasabat wrote:
> <av8tor@licensed4funREMOVEBEFOREFLIGHT.com> wrote:
>
>>This group is for old timers and new comers to the hobby. I will not
>>tolerate anyone making rude comments towards newbies to our hobby.
>
>
> But you will tolerate racist remarks, huh? Yeah, right!
>
>
HUH? What did I miss? I thought this was a group for Military HF, VHF,
UHF, SatCom frequencies. What do race car drivers have to do with the
Military?
A person who plays the piano called a pianist,
so a person who drives a race car is called a racist?

Seriously, I've never seen George make a racist statement!

1297. Re: Kern County

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-07 15:53:28 UTC
From: BWL <bwlease@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <YN_Wd.12801$OU1.1217@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 14457

>
> Kern County is not going trunked.
They are switching county fire to their old VHF county freqs.
The sheriff channels will remain UHF but with different designations for
the areas of the county etc...
I spoke with a county radio tech about the system changes and he said
that for sure they are not going trunking because it costs too much.
The new radio plan for county fire/sheriff and other county services is
not in use yet. Motorola (the company that the county used to rebuild
the system) is still working out audio bugs and intermod problems with
the new repeaters. If you listen to the VHF fire freqs and UHF
sheriff/county services freqs you can hear Motorola doing "4 count"
testing on sunny days. The tech said that the bad weather has stalled
Motorola's progress because they are having trouble getting to some of
the snowed out repeater sites. The new systems will be much better.
Better audio and stronger signal. The tech said that they turned up the
power considerably and have added many repeaters to fill in areas. How
this info helped. Here is the webpage for the countys radio system plans
etc: http://www.co.kern.ca.us/gsd/communications/sysupgrade.asp
BTW you can get the new freqs and channel designations from the Scanner
Stuff Southern California Frequency Directory. If you still need them
let me know.

1298. Re: Want Military HF, VHF, UHF, SatCom frequencies. Join the MilAirCommsChat Group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-07 16:05:05 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4funREMOVEBEFOREFLIGHT.com>
Message-ID: <RY_Wd.5652$603.4997@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 14458

whoever wrote:
>
>
> blindasabat wrote:
>
>> <av8tor@licensed4funREMOVEBEFOREFLIGHT.com> wrote:
>>
>>> This group is for old timers and new comers to the hobby. I will not
>>> tolerate anyone making rude comments towards newbies to our hobby.
>>
>>
>>
>> But you will tolerate racist remarks, huh? Yeah, right!
>>
>>
> HUH? What did I miss? I thought this was a group for Military HF, VHF,
> UHF, SatCom frequencies. What do race car drivers have to do with the
> Military?
> A person who plays the piano called a pianist,
> so a person who drives a race car is called a racist?
>
> Seriously, I've never seen George make a racist statement!
>

Got me scratching my head on that one too! In fact I've deleted a few
posts over the years which had racist and religous remarks in them.

The group is for discusing Military Scanner and anything related. It is
not for racist and/or religous discussions.

George - Daytona Beach, FL
http://www.MilAirComms.com

1299. Re: trouble with hum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-07 16:53:00 UTC
From: Ken Bessler <kg0wx@NOSPAMyahoo.com>
Message-ID: <MF%Wd.309$Fy.76@okepread04>
Source record: 14459

<fred@aol.com> wrote in message
news:stsn21luq226ccrdbpmges6bls1rrv9vlv@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 6 Mar 2005 22:31:11 -0600, in rec.radio.scanner "Ken Bessler"
> <kg0wx@NOSPAMyahoo.com> wrote:
>
>><fred@aol.com> wrote in message
>>news:p8ln215fnojjprbbumqt9j2jd623mu7v5l@4ax.com...
>>>
>>>
>>> I am broadcasting my scanner over the internet and the sound is fine
>>> until
>>> it
>>> gets to the 460 range and then there is a hum. I went out and bought a
>>> shielded
>>> cable for my scanners connection to the sound card but it did not help.
>>> Any
>>> suggestions on how to fix this?
>>
>>Try a 0.1 uF Tantalum cap on the center conductor. IOW, interrupt the
>>center conducter and install the cap inline between the scanner and sound
>>card. Also, I would strongly suggest you install a 1:1 isolation
>>transformer
>>between the cap and scanner.
>
>
> Thanks.. this is beyond my capabilities.. is it possible to buy something
> like
> that?

You could buy a rigblaster. They do all the level interfacing for you. Or,
you could buy the part from Radio Shack. P/N is 272-1434 $1.59

--
73's es gd dx de Ken KGWX
Grid EM17ip, Flying Pigs #1055,
List Owner, Yahoo! E-groups:
VX-2R & FT-857

1300. Forum for listening/scanning/Ham/Short-wave etc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-07 17:18:15 UTC
From: "[eLiTe]Shaggy" <shaggy@elitewarriors.nl>
Message-ID: <d0i2cn$ps8$1@news3.zwoll1.ov.home.nl>
Source record: 14460

Hi there all, and '73

I've been working on an on-line forum for people who enjoy short-wave listening, general recieving, scanning, Ham, police/fire etc. etc.

The forum is not completely ready, but fully functional and updated every day. Complete with a couple of nice skins etc. Feel free to drop in and make a post.

Visit the forum at: www.globallistening.tk

Thanks for your time.

Shaggy, forum Admin.

1301. Re: query re the elusive GE/EDACS traffic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-07 17:25:10 UTC
From: tom <cyberhun@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <W70Xd.595753$8l.157247@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 14461

Billy, what's Provoice? Is that one o' thum thar proprietary digital encryption thingies?

1302. WFM in Japan ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-07 17:49:36 UTC
From: adid <adid1@centrum.cz>
Message-ID: <422c93e9@news.012.net.il>
Source record: 14462
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hi,

Do you know what is the General WFM stereo radio service in Japan ?

What its range and what channel spacing that they use ?

Is it as in europe 50Khz or as America 200Khz ??

Tnx, adid

1303. Re: WFM in Japan ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-08 00:37:34 UTC
From: "David L. Wilson" <dwilson314@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <0PidnSAHppRPbrHfRVn-tw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 14463
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"adid" <adid1@centrum.cz> wrote in message news:422c93e9@news.012.net.il...
> Hi,
>
> Do you know what is the General WFM stereo radio service in Japan ?
>
> What its range and what channel spacing that they use ?
>
> Is it as in europe 50Khz or as America 200Khz ??
>
> Tnx, adid

76-90 MHz or more exactly 76.1-89.9 MHz with 100 KHz spacing.

1304. Re: trouble with hum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-08 03:57:07 UTC
From: kilmister <brian_santoro@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <FaydneG6nrNUvLDfRVn-tA@rogers.com>
Source record: 14464

radio shack in the u.s. also has a 1:1 transformer wired up for stereo's, you would only need to use one side of it for mono.

part number 270-054

"Ken Bessler" <kg0wx@NOSPAMyahoo.com> wrote in message news:MF%Wd.309$Fy.76@okepread04...
> <fred@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:stsn21luq226ccrdbpmges6bls1rrv9vlv@4ax.com...
> > On Sun, 6 Mar 2005 22:31:11 -0600, in rec.radio.scanner "Ken Bessler"
> > <kg0wx@NOSPAMyahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> >><fred@aol.com> wrote in message
> >>news:p8ln215fnojjprbbumqt9j2jd623mu7v5l@4ax.com...
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> I am broadcasting my scanner over the internet and the sound is fine
> >>> until
> >>> it
> >>> gets to the 460 range and then there is a hum. I went out and bought
a
> >>> shielded
> >>> cable for my scanners connection to the sound card but it did not
help.
> >>> Any
> >>> suggestions on how to fix this?
> >>
> >>Try a 0.1 uF Tantalum cap on the center conductor. IOW, interrupt the
> >>center conducter and install the cap inline between the scanner and
sound
> >>card. Also, I would strongly suggest you install a 1:1 isolation
> >>transformer
> >>between the cap and scanner.
> >
> >
> > Thanks.. this is beyond my capabilities.. is it possible to buy
something
> > like
> > that?
>
>
> You could buy a rigblaster. They do all the level interfacing for you. Or,
> you could buy the part from Radio Shack. P/N is 272-1434 $1.59
>

> --
> 73's es gd dx de Ken KG&#65533;WX
> Grid EM17ip, Flying Pigs #1055,
> List Owner, Yahoo! E-groups:
> VX-2R & FT-857
>
>
>
>
>

1305. Re: What is there to monitor on 2.4 GHz band?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-08 03:59:02 UTC
From: kilmister <brian_santoro@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <TLqdncJN7KOhv7DfRVn-hw@rogers.com>
Source record: 14465

a wi-fi card in your computer and some software to decode packets

"Jim" <kc7jfv@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:781c67d2.0503051822.403d8aaa@posting.google.com...
> With all the WiFi equipments operating on this range, my area is ripe with
signals
> on this band. I understand there is only data traffic operating on FSK
mode.
> Would be nice to monitor the band regardless even if all I could see is
just what
> people are browsing. LOL.
>
> Any idea? And what type of scanner/receiver would I need? Thanks.

1306. Re: schematic/info on BC890XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-08 18:19:46 UTC
From: Mac <cthirty7@nospamhotmail.com>
Message-ID: <5KadnVl0j7ZKcbDfRVn-2g@centurytel.net>
Source record: 14466

"John KB5AG" <myname@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:eec3e$422bc56e$943fe707$15884@STARBAND.NET...
> I have a Uniden BC890XLT that seems to work normally, but receives
nothing.
> A Google search comes up with a similar problem to mine, but I don't see a
> solution.
> There's an IC, a Sharp IR3MO3A which is running super hot. It's switching
> regulator IC, but I'm not sure what its purpose is, since most of the set
> runs from the 5 and 8v linear regulators in the power supply.
> Anyone had any experience with this? Is there a schematic anywhere
> available for this?
>
> Thanks,
> John
>
>
John, the IR3MO3A is IC 12.

Program 162.400 and the voltages should measure;

Pin 1 - 12.85
Pin 2 - 0
Pin 3 - 0.54
Pin 4 - 0
Pin 5 - 1.27
Pin 6 - 12.85
Pin 7 - 12.85
Pin 8 - 12.85

There is a capacitor to ground off pins 1 and 6 that may have become leaky.
C63 and C65 are both located near the I.C.
Pin 6 provides the input voltage to I.C. 7, an L78MO5CV. If this regulator,
or some of its circuitry, is shorted or leaky this drain may be causing the
excess heat on IC 12.

Hope this helps you. Keep us posted!

Mac

1307. Re: schematic/info on BC890XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-08 18:30:05 UTC
From: Mac <cthirty7@nospamhotmail.com>
Message-ID: <KrWdnZ0yO6OicrDfRVn-hw@centurytel.net>
Source record: 14467

"Mac" <cthirty7@nospamhotmail.com> wrote in message
news:5KadnVl0j7ZKcbDfRVn-2g@centurytel.net...
>
> "John KB5AG" <myname@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:eec3e$422bc56e$943fe707$15884@STARBAND.NET...
> > I have a Uniden BC890XLT that seems to work normally, but receives
> nothing.
> > A Google search comes up with a similar problem to mine, but I don't see
a
> > solution.
> > There's an IC, a Sharp IR3MO3A which is running super hot. It's
switching
> > regulator IC, but I'm not sure what its purpose is, since most of the
set
> > runs from the 5 and 8v linear regulators in the power supply.
> > Anyone had any experience with this? Is there a schematic anywhere
> > available for this?
> >
> > Thanks,
> > John
> >
> >
> John, the IR3MO3A is IC 12.
>
> Program 162.400 and the voltages should measure;
>
> Pin 1 - 12.85
> Pin 2 - 0
> Pin 3 - 0.54
> Pin 4 - 0
> Pin 5 - 1.27
> Pin 6 - 12.85
> Pin 7 - 12.85
> Pin 8 - 12.85
>
> There is a capacitor to ground off pins 1 and 6 that may have become
leaky.
> C63 and C65 are both located near the I.C.
> Pin 6 provides the input voltage to I.C. 7, an L78MO5CV. If this
regulator,
> or some of its circuitry, is shorted or leaky this drain may be causing
the
> excess heat on IC 12.
>

> Hope this helps you. Keep us posted!
>
> Mac
>
>
>
Correction -- actually the 12.85 volts on pin 6 of IC12 comes from the B+ source..(via the power switch on the volume control).....and that line also feeds IC 7.
Still, I would look at the two caps right off IC12. Do you have audio and squelch noise?

Mac

1308. Re: FT: BC780XLT for PRO-2006/2042

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-08 19:56:33 UTC
From: Mike Burch <mburch46@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <RrnXd.46193$Ze3.30674@attbi_s51>
Source record: 14468

Hi Frank, I would be interested in parting with one of my Pro-2006's.
How wide is the 780xlt?

Thanks Mike Burch K8MB
Apache Junction AZ
mburch46@mchsi.com

Frank Bals wrote:
> I have a MINT condition Uniden 780XLT that I am considering trading for a
> MINT condition PRO-2006 or PRO-2042. Must have strong backlight. I have
> original box, manual, and all accessories.
>
> Frank
>
>

1309. ID Tracker Updated - V3.6.0

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-09 01:55:02 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <biteme@spammers.net>
Message-ID: <OEsXd.8540$c72.5753@bignews3.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 14469
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

ID Tracker has been updated.

http://bellsouthpwp.net/k/d/kd5eis/IDTracker/IDTracker.htm

Changes since V3.5.0:

- Added BC3300 support.
- Added "Spectrum View".
- Added "tool tips" to bank indicators.
- Audio PlayBack is now available during tracking.
- Added additional checks to reduce false hits.
- Enhanced BC245 support.
- Corrected issue with IDs containing commas (,) in the description.

--
David M. Hitchner - K5DMH
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
http://home.bellsouth.net/p/PWP-k5dmh

ID Tracker
http://bellsouthpwp.net/k/d/kd5eis/IDTracker/IDTracker.htm

ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
For replies, use my callsign @bellsouth.net.

Artificial Intelligence is no match for Natural Stupidity!

1310. Re: ID Tracker Updated - V3.6.0

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-09 14:30:13 UTC
From: KD2PM <kd2pm2@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <866dna46YajslbLfRVn-vA@comcast.com>
Source record: 14470
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Excellent job with the software. Thanks for the heads up. I was using 3.5
and am looking forward to installing 3.6

"David M. Hitchner" <biteme@spammers.net> wrote in message
news:OEsXd.8540$c72.5753@bignews3.bellsouth.net...
> ID Tracker has been updated.
>
> http://bellsouthpwp.net/k/d/kd5eis/IDTracker/IDTracker.htm
>
> Changes since V3.5.0:
>
> - Added BC3300 support.
> - Added "Spectrum View".
> - Added "tool tips" to bank indicators.
> - Audio PlayBack is now available during tracking.
> - Added additional checks to reduce false hits.
> - Enhanced BC245 support.
> - Corrected issue with IDs containing commas (,) in the description.
>
>
> --
> David M. Hitchner - K5DMH
> Baton Rouge, LA
>
> Baton Rouge Area Scanning
> http://home.bellsouth.net/p/PWP-k5dmh
>
> ID Tracker
> http://bellsouthpwp.net/k/d/kd5eis/IDTracker/IDTracker.htm
>
> ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
> For replies, use my callsign @bellsouth.net.
>
> Artificial Intelligence is no match for Natural Stupidity!
>
>

1311. WTB:Trunktracker in Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-09 14:31:59 UTC
From: Howard <hkowall@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <zNDXd.621656$6l.98235@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 14471

hello folks
looking to buy Trunktracker in Canada
must me in real good cond, prefer mobile or base unit
thanx
howard

1312. Re: Scanner Crystals Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-09 21:32:09 UTC
From: paul flautt <pjflautt@netpluscom.com>
Message-ID: <422F6B59.FA71025B@netpluscom.com>
Source record: 14472

What frequency are you looking for?
Paul

Henry Gruba wrote:

> Hello. I am looking for crystals for my Radio Shack Pro-26 scanner, 20-107.
> Where should I go? I also need an owners manual. Thanks. Henry

1313. Re: Softare for Radio Shack Pro2096

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-10 02:35:10 UTC
From: "David B." <NoMail@NOSPAM.net>
Message-ID: <b4GdndvqJ_eEL7LfRVn-qw@comcast.com>
Source record: 14473

http://www.starrsoft.com/software/win96/

--

_____
<wrhood@exis.net> wrote in message news:422a3128.4643890@news.exis.net...
> Looking for software to program the new desk top scanner from Radio
> Shack (GRE). Info would be appreciated!

1314. Please check out my Updated Scanner Site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-10 08:57:38 UTC
From: WVSCANNER <wvscanner@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <g8CdnS_-C5Seka3fRVn-gQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 14474

Please check out my Updated Scanner Site......comments are welcome.

www.geocities.com/wvscanner

Covering the Tri-State area of WV, OH, and KY.

Regards

1315. Modifying preset frequency bands on Bearcat?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-10 11:36:47 UTC
From: Mark
Message-ID: <d2c031tgitatsdt5bq7iq68pgmipg5n860@4ax.com>
Source record: 14475

New to this group so be patient please
I have a Uniden Bearcat BC80XLT scanner I bought secondhand in the USA
when I was over there on holiday. I live in Europe and I need to know
if it is possible to change the frequencies bands that are preset. Is
there any web sites on how to do this, I have access to Flash Memory
programming equipment, if this is what is needed, any help please.

Mark

1316. Re: Modifying preset frequency bands on Bearcat?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-10 14:32:42 UTC
From: Rob Mills <robmills@cox.net>
Message-ID: <dUYXd.2234$N15.1626@okepread06>
Source record: 14476

"Mark" <Mark@> wrote in message
news:d2c031tgitatsdt5bq7iq68pgmipg5n860@4ax.com...

>>> I have access to Flash Memory programming equipment, if this is what is
needed, any help please.<<<

You can e-mail uniden support at www.uniden.com . Good luck. RM~

1317. Canadian Radio Forum is now up and running. Check it out.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-10 15:06:36 UTC
From: ME <ME@SpamHater.com>
Message-ID: <0oZXd.631397$8l.314370@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 14477
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

http://www.ve5jl.com/message/

73's

VE5JL

1318. Bearcat Display Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-10 21:15:59 UTC
From: Zman <fzman1@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Q6OdnduLEfSUJK3fRVn-3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 14478

I have a Bearcat 8500 model receiver and the orange display quite working a while back.
I decided to order four grain of wheat bulbs from Uniden and replaced the old ones... still no lights.

I heard that there is a transistor controlling that circuit, does anyone know where is it located on the board and what type it is, I would like to solder one in and have my display back working.

Thanks
Zman

1319. Trunk PCR Version 0.7.174 Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-11 00:15:26 UTC
From: CrazyC <crazy__c_@hot_mail.com>
Message-ID: <3r5Yd.6442$ju.3150@okepread07>
Source record: 14479

Good News :)

Trunk PCR Version 0.7.174 is now available for downloading...

Since the ICQ Site is down from hardware problems, I have created a
Temporary Site here:
http://members.cox.net/fiftyone.50/TrunkPcr.shtml

This site will be avalable until ICQ fixes their problem...
When they do, you will find the upadate here:
http://104452.home.icq.com/trunkpcr/TrunkPcr.html

Regards,
Jay

1320. easy money in 30 days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-11 02:54:29 UTC
From: trip <jotto105@wi.rr.com>
Message-ID: <1110509669.339166.314710@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14480

MAKING MONEY I found this on a bulletin board and decided to try it. A little while back, I was browsing through newsgroups and came across an

article similar to this that said you could make thousands of dollars within weeks with only an initial investment of $6.00! So I thought, "Yeah right, this must be a scam", but like most of us, I was curious, so I kept reading. Anyway, it said that you send $1.00 to each of the 6

names and address stated in the article. You then place your own name and address in the bottom of the list at #6, and post the article in at

least 200 newsgroups. (There are thousands) No catch, that was it. So after thinking it over, and talking to a few people first, I thought about trying it. I figured: "what have I got to lose except 6 stamps and $6.00, right?" Then I invested the measly $6.00. WellGUESS WHAT!!... Within 7 days, I started getting money in the mail! I was shocked! I figured it would end soon, but the money just kept coming in. In my first week, I made about $25.00. By the end of the second week I had made a total of over $1,000.00! In the third week I had over

$10,000.00 and it's still growing. This is now my fourth week and I have made a total of just over $42,000.00 and it's still coming in rapidly. It's certainly worth $6.00, and 6 stamps, I have spent more than that on the!! Let me tell you how this works and most importantly,

why it works.... Also, make sure you print a copy of this article NOW, so you can get the information off of it as you need it. I promise you that if you follow the directions exactly, that you will start making more money than you thought possible by doing something so easy! Suggestion: Read this entire message carefully! (print it out or download it.) Follow the simple directions and watch the money come in!

It's easy. It's legal. And, your investment is only $6.00 (Plus postage) IMPORTANT: This is not a scam; it is not indecent; it is not illegal; and it is virtually no risk - it really works!!!! If all of the following instructions are adhered to, you will receive extraordinary dividends. PLEASE NOTE: Please follow these directions EXACTLY, and $50,000 or more can be yours in 20 to 60 days. This program remains successful because of the honesty and integrity of the participants. Please continue its success by carefully adhering to the instructions. You will now become part of the Mail Order business. In this business your product is not solid and tangible, it's a service. You are in the business of developing Mailing Lists. Many large corporations are happy to pay big bucks for quality lists. However, the

money made from the mailing lists is secondary to the income which is made from people like you and me asking to be included in that list. Here are the 4 easy steps to success: STEP 1: Get 6 separate pieces of paper and write the following on each piece of paper "PLEASE PUT ME ON YOUR MAILING LIST." Now get 6 US $1.00 bills and place ONE inside EACH of the 6 pieces of paper so the bill will not be seen through the envelope (to prevent thievery). Next, place one paper in each of the 6 envelopes and seal them. You should now have 6 sealed envelopes, each with a piece of paper stating the above phrase, your name and address, and a $1.00 bill. What you are doing is creating a service. THIS IS ABSOLUTELY LEGAL! You are requesting a legitimate service and you are paying for it! Like most of us I was a little skeptical and a little worried about the legal aspects of it all. So I checked it out with the

U.S. Post Office (1-800-725-2161) and they confirmed that it is indeed legal! Mail the 6 envelopes to the following addresses: #1)Dan Warner 2812
W. 1st. St. Duluth, MN 55806 #2) Karen Green 50 Gillinder Street Rockhampton Qld Australia 4700 #3) Ryan Lake 41130 Congerbay Dr Harrison Twp, MI 48045 #4) Zac Inchley unit 82/ 128 Benowa rd Southport

Qld Australia 4215 #5) Carl Baker 2267 N. Kedzie 1st floor Chicago, Illinois 60647 #6) Jason Otto 600 s. 1st st. Waterford, WI 53185
STEP 2: Now take the #1 name off the list that you see above, move the other names up (6 becomes 5, 5 becomes 4, etc.) and add

YOUR Name as number 6 on the list. STEP 3: Change anything you need to,

but try to keep this article as close to original as possible. Now, post your amended article to at least 200 newsgroups. (I think there are close to 24,000 groups) All you need is 200, but remember, the more

you post, the more money you make! This is perfectly legal! If you have

any doubts, refer to Title 18 Sec. 1302 & 1341 of the Postal laws. Keep

a copy of these steps for yourself and, whenever you need money, you can use it again, and again. NOTE: You may want to retain every name and address sent to you, either on a computer or hard copy and keep the

notes people send you. This VERIFIES that you are truly providing a service. (Also, it might be a good idea to wrap the $1 bill in dark paper to reduce the risk of mail theft.) So, as each post is downloaded

and the directions carefully followed, six members will be reimbursed for their participation as a List Developer with one dollar each. Your name will move up the list geometrically so that when your name reaches

the #1 position you will be receiving thousands of dollars in CASH!!! What an opportunity for only $6.00 ($1.00 for each of the first six people listed above) Send it now, add your own name to the list and you're in business! ---DIRECTIONS ----- FOR HOW TO POST TO NEWSGROUPS---------- Step 1) You do not need to re-type this entire letter to do your own posting. Simply put your cursor at the beginning

of this letter and drag your cursor to the bottom of this document, and

select 'copy' from the edit menu. This will copy the entire letter into

the computer's memory. Step 2) Open a blank 'notepad' file and place your cursor at the top of the blank page. From the 'edit' menu select 'paste'. This will paste a copy of the letter into notepad so that you can add your name to the list. Step 3) Save your new notepad file as a .txt file. If you want to do your postings in different settings, you'll always have this file to go back to. Step 4) Use Netscape or Internet explorer and try searching for various newsgroups (on-line forums, message boards, chat sites, discussions.) Step 5) Visit these message boards and post this article as a new message by highlighting the text of this letter and selecting paste from the edit menu. Fill in

the Subject, this will be the header that everyone sees as they scroll through the list of postings in a particular group, click the post message button. You're done with your first one!
Congratulations...THAT'S IT! All you have to do is jump to different newsgroups and post away, after you get the hang of it, it will take about 30 seconds for each newsgroup! **REMEMBER, THE MORE NEWSGROUPS YOU POST IN, THE MORE MONEY YOU WILL MAKE!! BUT YOU HAVE TO POST A MINIMUM OF 200** That's it! You will begin receiving money from around the world within days! You may eventually want to rent a P.O.Box due to

the large amount of mail you will receive. If you wish to stay anonymous, you can invent a name to use, as long as the postman will deliver it. **JUST MAKE SURE ALL THE ADDRESSES ARE CORRECT. ** Now the WHY part: Out of 200 postings, say I receive only 5 replies (a verylow example). So then I made $5.00 with my name at #6 on the letter. Now, each of the 5 persons who just sent me $1.00 make the MINIMUM 200 postings, each with my name at #5 and only 5 persons respond to each of

the original 5, that is another $25.00 for me, now those 25 each make 200 MINIMUM posts with my name at #4 and only 5 replies each, I will bring in an additional $125.00! Now, those 125 persons turn around and post the MINIMUM 200 with my name at #3 and only receive 5 replies each, I will make an additional $626.00! OK, now here is the fun part, each of those 625 persons post a MINIMUM 200 letters with my name at #2

and they each only receive 5 replies, that just made me $3,125.00!!! Those 3,125 persons will all deliver this message to 200 newsgroups with my name at #1 and if still 5 persons per 200 newsgroups react I will receive $15,625,00! With an original investment of only $6.00! AMAZING! When your name is no longer on the list, you just take the latest posting in the newsgroups, and send out another $6.00 to names on the list, putting your name at number 6 again. And start posting again. The thing to remember is: do you realize that thousands of people all over the world are joining the internet and reading these articles everyday?, JUST LIKE YOU are now!! So, can you afford $6.00 and see if it really works?? I think so... People have said, "what if the plan is played out and no one sends you the money? So what! What

are the chances of that happening when there are tons of new honest users and new honest people who are joining the internet and newsgroups

everyday and are willing to give it a try? Estimates are at 20,000 to 50,000 new users, every day, with thousands of those joining the actual

internet. Remember, play FAIRLY and HONESTLY PLEASE REMEMBER that this program remains successful because of the honesty and integrity of the participants and by their carefully adhering to the directions. Look at

it this way. If you are of integrity, the program will continue and the

money that so many others have received will come your way

1321. FA: UNIDEN BC296D Digital trunking Hand Held - NIB!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-11 18:58:13 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <9TIYd.31151$534.3941@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14481
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5759988768&ssPageName=ADME:B:LC:US:1

1322. FA: UNIDEN BC796D Digitail Trunking NIB!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-11 18:59:05 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <ZTIYd.31152$534.5792@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14482
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5759983900&ssPageName=ADME:B:LC:US:1

1323. FS: Radio Shack PRO-43 Handheld Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-11 19:59:34 UTC
From: PoloHat@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1110571174.628724.316580@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14483
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

PRO-43, in excellent condition & with original box, manual & antenna
for sale.

Covers 30-54/118-174/220-512 & 806-999.9875MHz (Yes, full 800MHz
capability!) in AM & FM modes.

If you're interested, send email -- I can take pics of it & send them
to you.

$130 will include insured UPS shipping within the USA.

1324. Re: want to buy a SW radio for my husband

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-12 04:31:14 UTC
From: rlewart@shell.monmouth.com
Message-ID: <d0traikcq3@news.monmouth.com>
Source record: 14484

fred@aol.com wrote:
:
: I'm looking to buy a SW receiver for my husband. He has a cheap one he got
: at radio shack that he is not happy with. He likes to listen to the news
: especially the BBC. He wants one that is small enough to use with headphones
: while he standing and walking around at work.

: I could spend up to $150.00 on this. I know nothing about these radios. I'd
: like to get an idea of what would be a good one to buy possibly on Ebay.

Get Sony 7600GR for $130 from J&R

1325. Trunktracker Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-12 07:37:52 UTC
From: P122504@time.com
Message-ID: <6s5531t6ptm851fmllt4hbh02jnnnnhjt8@4ax.com>
Source record: 14485

I am trying to setup my Bearcat BC895XLT scanner for trunk tracking in Nashville.

For Police and Fire Dept, I find a large number of five digit frequencies, from 10032 to 22064. When I try to program them into my scanner, I get an error.

Can anyone tell me if these are frequencies that are part of a trunked bank, and if so, how do I find the bank that is related to them so that I can enter them into my scanner?

I've found what I think are trunked frequencies, such as 856.4375, 857.4375, 859.4375, but I can't find their four digit data frequency.

Thanks in advance for any help provided.

BG

1326. Coaxial Cable Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-12 23:45:38 UTC
From: 69FLH <69FLH10xx@campus.ie>
Message-ID: <d100f0cvb1@news.chatlink.com>
Source record: 14486

Getting ready to put up a Discone antenna (Sky Scan DX V1300) and am looking for further suggestions and opinions regarding what cable to run. I am not sure exactly how far it will be. To estimate it though, I would say between 50 and 75 feet to where I plan on bring it into the house to the scanner. (BC 796D). Any input will be appreciated...
TIA

1327. Re: want to buy a SW radio for my husband

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-12 23:52:16 UTC
From: lsmyer <myer413ny@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <fqLYd.19212$u56.16785@fe07.lga>
Source record: 14487

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5755772476

Degen PL-350 just hit the market last week. Excellent performer, very small, and it costs $64.90 delivered.

See below:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/pl350/

1328. Re: want to buy a SW radio for my husband

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-13 00:01:22 UTC
From: lsmyer <myer413ny@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <5zLYd.19213$Cl6.5586@fe07.lga>
Source record: 14488

Oops, last post should have read "Tecsun PL-350" not "Degen PL-350." Sorry.

1329. Followup to Coax question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-13 00:04:04 UTC
From: 69FLH <69FLH10xx@campus.ie>
Message-ID: <d101hhdcj1@news.chatlink.com>
Source record: 14489
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

What are the pros and cons of each of these? Is there one that is beter than another or even a different one that isn't in the List?
TIA

RG-58/U (/Universal) 50 Used for CB, Ham, shortwave and scanner antenna hookups.

RG-8/U (/Universal) 50 Used for CB, Ham, shortwave and scanner antenna hookups.
RG-8M Mini 50 Has a smaller diameter than RG-8, but a larger diameter than RG-8/X. Used for CB, Ham, shortwave and scanner antenna hookups.
RG-8X eXtra-mini 50 Has a very small diameter. Used for CB, Ham, shortwave and scanner antenna hookups.

1330. Re: Followup to Coax question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-13 00:54:32 UTC
From: Brian <victhedog@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <io3731lsjpbgoffda9adf3a009ni2b8cj2@4ax.com>
Source record: 14490
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 12 Mar 2005 16:04:04 -0800, "69FLH" <69FLH10xx@campus.ie>
wrote:

>What are the pros and cons of each of these? Is there one that is beter than
>another or even a different one that isn't in the List?
>TIA
>
>
> RG-58/U (/Universal) 50 Used for CB, Ham, shortwave and scanner
>antenna hookups.
>
>
>
> RG-8/U (/Universal) 50 Used for CB, Ham, shortwave and scanner antenna
>hookups.
> RG-8M Mini 50 Has a smaller diameter than RG-8, but a larger diameter
>than RG-8/X. Used for CB, Ham, shortwave and scanner antenna hookups.
> RG-8X eXtra-mini 50 Has a very small diameter. Used for CB, Ham,
>shortwave and scanner antenna hookups.
>
they are all poor

go for 9913 or hardline

1331. Re: Coaxial Cable Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-13 01:19:53 UTC
From: mikeFNB <moc.dlrowltn@FNB7G-ekim>
Message-ID: <ZyMYd.17496$3A6.1045@newsfe1-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 14491

rg213 or ldf40 or alike

mike

"69FLH" <69FLH10xx@campus.ie> wrote in message
news:d100f0cvb1@news.chatlink.com...
> Getting ready to put up a Discone antenna (Sky Scan DX V1300) and am
> looking for further suggestions and opinions regarding what cable to run.
I
> am not sure exactly how far it will be. To estimate it though, I would say
> between 50 and 75 feet to where I plan on bring it into the house to the
> scanner. (BC 796D). Any input will be appreciated...
> TIA
>
>

1332. Re: Fire season already

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-13 03:00:34 UTC
From: "A.Pismo Clam" <Alaininvc@'86DASPAMnetscape.net>
Message-ID: <1110682825.348821@news-1.nethere.net>
Source record: 14492

Can you tell us where?

fred@aol.com wrote:
>
>
> Looks like Fire Season is here already, two brush fires today.

--
MZï¿½

1333. On the cheap, under $100?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-13 07:56:42 UTC
From: Joey G <ctxt@aol.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96181E58E954Agigo@216.196.97.142>
Source record: 14493

I'm interested in receiving only the dispatcher on an
800 mhz trunked system. Whats the cheapest way this
can be done. Even a cheap "kit" is not a problem.
Please reply to; imho4(at)lycos.com
tnx

1334. Re: Fire season already

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-13 09:49:52 UTC
From: Eric Ferguson <geferg@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <41UYd.20039$hU7.1834@newssvr33.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 14494

Well, that certainly narrows it down <G>. Being on the West Coast myself, I can say it *is* a big area. Can you be a *little* more specific. I'm in the San Francisco Bay Area, near Mt. Diablo. Far as I can tell, no fires here....

1335. FS: BC780XLT & HR2510 both complete

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-13 14:03:34 UTC
From: Aspen37 <pottsladd13@nospam.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <WKXYd.131600$Th1.35575@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 14495
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Uniden Bearcat BC780XLT base mobile trunk tracking scanner complete with box, manual, mounting bracket and AC power supply.
$225.00 plus shipping.

Uniden President HR2510 10 meter all mode mobile radio. This is also complete. $ 155.00 plus shipping.

Both radio's are currently installed in my truck, but are in very nice condition.
These are for shipping in Cont. US only, USPS money orders only.

thnx

pottsladd13@yahoo.com

1336. Best handheld antenna for 225-400 Mhz Milair?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-13 14:17:13 UTC
From: Frank Bals <fjb@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <JXXYd.380041$w62.293875@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 14496

What is the best handheld antenna I can use to receive 225-400 Mhz Military Aircraft on my PRO-43?

Frank

1337. Re: Best handheld antenna for 225-400 Mhz Milair?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-13 15:00:12 UTC
From: "Ralph A. Schmid, DK5RAS" <nospam@radio-link.net>
Message-ID: <dal8319qj8mmon783dimf8a61rf689c3q3@4ax.com>
Source record: 14497

"Frank Bals" <fjb@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>What is the best handheld antenna I can use to receive 225-400 Mhz Military
>Aircraft on my PRO-43?

Use a lambda/4 whip, length calculated for the center frequency - this
works just fine for me.

>Frank

regards - Ralph

--

Want to get in touch? http://www.radio-link.net/whereisralph.txt

1338. Re: On the cheap, under $100?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-13 15:45:30 UTC
From: Evan Platt <evan@TheObvious.espphotography.com>
Message-ID: <80o831t8t4nkuj1mjtnt015pb11b8f47t4@4ax.com>
Source record: 14498

On Sun, 13 Mar 2005 01:56:42 -0600, Joey G <ctxt@aol.com> wrote:

>I'm interested in receiving only the dispatcher on an
>800 mhz trunked system. Whats the cheapest way this
>can be done. Even a cheap "kit" is not a problem.
>Please reply to; imho4(at)lycos.com

The Radio Shack Pro-95 is $129.99 at radio shack. Excellent scanner.
--
To reply, remove TheObvious from my e-mail address.

1339. Re: On the cheap, under $100?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-13 16:57:47 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8756-4234710B-2@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 14499

I own a Radio Shack Pro-91 hand held scanner that I bought for $75.00 (including the users manual too) at a pawn shop in 1997 and the scanner still works as good as new.Is there a website which has instructions for programing my scanner for many more frequencies?

1340. Re: On the cheap, under $100?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-13 19:13:51 UTC
From: dxAce <flashoveride@eclipsed.com>
Message-ID: <423490EF.A16E87AB@eclipsed.com>
Source record: 14351

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:

> I own a Radio Shack Pro-91 hand held scanner that I bought for $75.00
> (including the users manual too) at a pawn shop in 1997 and the scanner
> still works as good as new.Is there a website which has instructions for
> programing my scanner for many more frequencies?

Try a search at radioshack.com for the instruction manual.

1341. Re: On the cheap, under $100?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-13 21:43:29 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <5u2Zd.9736$cN6.2035@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 14352

<cuhulin@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:8756-4234710B-2@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net...
>I own a Radio Shack Pro-91 hand held scanner that I bought for $75.00
> (including the users manual too) at a pawn shop in 1997 and the scanner
> still works as good as new.Is there a website which has instructions for
> programing my scanner for many more frequencies?
>

If you know someone with a PC you can down load the manual free in PDF from
RS.
--
If it sounds too good to be true, it probably is

1342. Re: Fire season already

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-14 00:58:55 UTC
From: Eric Ferguson <geferg@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <jl5Zd.9642$DW.4125@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 14353

OK! Looking north, yeah a small smudge on the horizon....LOL as well,
THANKS!!!

1343. Re: Fire season already

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-14 01:12:31 UTC
From: Frank Raffa <FD347@aol.compostpile.com>
Message-ID: <jE5Zd.22210$u37.6655@fe09.lga>
Source record: 14354

<fred@aol.com> wrote in message
news:8ta731h6kr9ngf59hv63ep0t6nas8sbort@4ax.com...
>
>
> Looks like Fire Season is here already, two brush fires today.

We still have enough snow on the ground to stave off brush fire season for a
few weeks.

--
Frank Raffa
Supv. Dispatcher, FDNY
www.FDNewYork.com

1344. Re: FA: UNIDEN BC796D Digitail Trunking NIB!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-14 09:35:47 UTC
From: Secret Squirrel <squirrel@somewhere.pp>
Message-ID: <TVcZd.1277343$Zm5.197410@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 14355
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.marketing.online-ebay

spamisscam@netzero.com wrote:
> On Fri, 11 Mar 2005 18:59:05 GMT, in rec.radio.scanner Dan Conti
> <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote:
>
> item=xxxxxxxxx
>
> clipped from the Auction:
>
> I reserve the right to cancel bidders with ZERO feedback,
> to be considered on a case-by-case bassist
>
> You're really not much of a salesperson. This type of wording really turns
> people off. Of course spamming Usenet with links to your auction is bad too.

Hmmm...who is this case-by-case bassist? Is it anyone famous?

1345. FS:iCOM R3 Scanner /w cell freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-14 15:27:47 UTC
From: Sansmeat <spammenow@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <N3iZd.6910$N8.149184@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 14356
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,tor.buysell,hamilton.buysell,niagara.forsale

For sale: 1 immaculate condition iCOM R3 scanner. Comes with all original documentation and accessories including car ac adaptor. This scanner is a Canadian version which includes ALL cellular frequencies. Check the specs at the following link:

http://www.durhamradio.com/s/customer/product.php?productid=44927&cat=1839&page=1

Asking $550.00 OBO

Please respond here with e-mail address if interested.

1346. SERIOUS MONEY OPPORTUNITY-$5 INVESTMENT-HIGH RESPONSE RATE-QUICK RETURNS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-14 21:21:51 UTC
From: feedbackzz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1110835311.356606.7990@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14357

Follow the directions below and you could
have $5000 in your PayPal account in 2 weeks.
There is a very high response rate in this
program because of its low investment, high
yields, and quick return. If you are a skeptic
and doubt that the program will work, I urge
you to give it a try anyway! It REALLY WORKS-
that is why so many thousands are involved in
programs like it. Don't miss this chance.

If you achieve only moderate success, your
PayPal account will still have several hundred
dollars in it within the next couple weeks.
If you actively promote the program, you could
soon have $8,000 in your PayPal account.

SIMPLE: All you need is $5 and a free PayPal
account. Pay $5 to one person.

SECURE: PayPal (BBB member) handles all
transactions. No one sees your bank or credit
card information.

LEGAL: See Title 18, Section 1302 and 1341,
US Postal and Lottery Laws.
The details of this business make it legitimate.
You are using the Internet to advertise your
business opportunity. You have a mail order
business that collects email addresses from
people interested in home-based online business.
You provide a service-mailing list membership-
in return for a small fee. Treat your mailing
list as a business. Keep accurate records,
declare your income, and pay income tax on it.

INSTRUCTIONS

STEP 1: COPY and PASTE this letter onto a
blank notepad file. SAVE as a TEXT FILE.
Keep it for the next time you need the
program.

STEP 2: Get a free PayPal account
(5 minutes setup time) at www.paypal.com.
Register for a PREMIER account (not a

PERSONAL) so that others can send money
to your account.

STEP 3: Using your PayPal account, send $5
(US DOLLARS) to the email address (PayPal
account) in position #1 of the list below.
PayPal will ask for a payment type-select
SERVICE. In the SUBJECT area, enter
"Please add me to your mailing list"
(this is very important). If you prefer
that your email address not be given to
other mailing list businesses, enter
"Please add me ONLY to your mailing list"
in the SUBJECT area. In order to send
money, you can deposit $5 into your PayPal
account with your own credit card, use
your bank account, or register for the
PayPal credit card.

IMPORTANT: IN ORDER FOR YOUR BUSINESS
TO WORK, YOU MUST BE HONEST AND SEND $5.
The mailing list business remains successful
due to the honesty and integrity of those
involved. $5 is a very small investment,
especially when you are about to receive
many times that much! If we are all honest,
we will all make money.

STEP 4: After you send your $5, remove the
address from position #1 of the list below.
Put the address in position #2 into position
#1. Put your own email address (PayPal
account) in position #2. Some engines will
automatically hide part of an email address
when the letter is posted in a newsgroup.
It may look like this:
yournam...@wherever.com

To avoid this complication, enter your
email address using 2 separate lines,
like this:

2) yourname (press ENTER on your keypad)
@wherever.com

(If you ever encounter the dots, click on
SHOW OPTIONS at the top of the letter, then
click on REPLY. Scroll down through the
original letter to find the full addresses.)

Both people on your list have already bought
mailing list memberships for $5! The program

is working! Note: If you put your name in position #1, you will not receive money from the hundreds that other members find.

CURRENT PAYPAL ACCOUNTS:

1) cwhatton22
@charter.net

2) feedbackzz
@yahoo.com

STEP 5: COPY and PASTE this letter (with your address in position #2) in emails to at least 60 newsgroups, chat sites, discussions, message boards, etc. It takes less than a minute to post the letter. The more you post, the more money you can make. People will see the letter heading as they scroll through the list of postings in a particular group. PASTE the heading below into the subject area of your email (you can also write your own heading):

SERIOUS MONEY OPPORTUNITY-
$5 INVESTMENT-HIGH RESPONSE RATE-
QUICK RETURNS

Use the search engines (Internet Explorer, yahoo.com, google.com, altavista.com, excite.com) to find sites. Search for moneymaking forums, moneymaking message board, money chat, employment message board, business message board, business discussions, etc. You can create your own free webpage (try webspawner.com, cmlb.net), then post links to your site. Try posting a notice on community and college bulletin boards to direct people to your webpage.

THIS BUSINESS WORKS

When your name reaches position #1 (usually in less than a week) it will be your turn to receive $5 deposits. If you personally find 20 interested people, and they each find 20, you make $2000. If you find 40 people, and they each find 20, you make $4000. Remember that the response rate is very high. Since there are only 2 names on the list, you can expect 80% of your profits within 2 weeks.

Participants who actively promote their mailing list average between $5,000 and $8,000 and receive the funds within 3 weeks.

Relieve your financial stress NOW!
Become a part of the mail order business and help people to help people.
This program is about helping each other!

1347. Formula 1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-14 21:51:45 UTC
From: Juhasz Peter Karoly <stone@elte.hu>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.58.0503142249430.15610@login02.caesar.elte.hu>
Source record: 14358

Hello,

Does anyone knows the frequency that the teams use for communicate with
the derivers and for the innerbox communications?

Cheers: Stone

1348. Radio Shack Pro-2006 Info?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-14 23:05:58 UTC
From: "mikem@evenlink.com" <mikem@evenlink.com>
Message-ID: <1110841558.372680.37780@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14359

Hi,
Is there a way to reset the Pro-2006 scannerfrequencies? I lost the
owners manual a long time ago.
Thank you,
Mike

1349. Re: Formula 1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-14 23:09:11 UTC
From: Sansmeat <spammenow@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <kQoZd.8619$N8.201647@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 14361

"Juhasz Peter Karoly" <stone@elte.hu> wrote in message
news:Pine.LNX.4.58.0503142249430.15610@login02.caesar.elte.hu...
> Hello,
>
> Does anyone knows the frequency that the teams use for communicate with
> the derivers and for the innerbox communications?
>
> Cheers: Stone
>

This site may help:

http://www.bommeltje.nl/scanners/english/formula1.shtml

1350. Re: Radio Shack Pro-2006 Info?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-14 23:15:55 UTC
From: HamBone <nospampleeze@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <LWoZd.4285$GI6.3715@trnddc05>
Source record: 14360

To clear all the memories, be sure the scanner is turned on and:

1. Press and hold [CLEAR].

2. Using a pointed object, press and release the restart switch.

3. After confirming that the display goes blank, release [CLEAR].

<mikem@evenlink.com> wrote in message
news:1110841558.372680.37780@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> Hi,
> Is there a way to reset the Pro-2006 scannerfrequencies? I lost the
> owners manual a long time ago.
> Thank you,
> Mike
>

1351. CCrane CCatalog CCame today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-14 23:51:08 UTC
From: lsmyer <myer413ny@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <EtpZd.3064$rw7.813@fe04.lga>
Source record: 14362
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I just got my new CCrane catalog today. As I flipped through it, I saw some cool stuff that would be fun to have.

Then I noticed the new BC92XLT handheld scanner from Uniden. Since I had just bought one last month, I glanced at CCrane's price: $159.95! I got mine at my local Wal-Mart for $99.95!

Nothing against the CCrane people, but the $60 difference is a lot of money to me, especially when Wal-Mart offers the convenience of 24-hour shopping, no waiting, and a very liberal return policy.

So to anyone who is thinking about ordering a new BC92XLT from CCrane, know that a $60 savings is as close as your neighborhood Wal-Mart.

1352. Re: CCrane CCatalog CCame today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-15 01:28:08 UTC
From: dociscool <dociscool@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <113ceh8tmoiu63e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 14363
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Looks to be the same as a Radio Shack PRO-83. Bought mine for $60 during
Radio Shack's day after Thanksgiving sale.

"lsmyer" <myer413ny@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:EtpZd.3064$rw7.813@fe04.lga...

> Then I noticed the new BC92XLT handheld scanner from Uniden. Since I had
> just bought one last month, I glanced at CCrane's price: $159.95! I got
> mine at my local Wal-Mart for $99.95!

1353. Re: Trunktracker Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-15 02:07:02 UTC
From: P122504@time.com
Message-ID: <bpfc319on0enn8voovqmhbrl2g7tf6oi6k@4ax.com>
Source record: 14364

Well, I finally found the Nashville Metro system type:

Motorola ASTRO Smartzone Digital.

So I guess that makes my BC895XLT completely obsolete in this area.
Also, it appears that there are only a couple of $500 scanners that
will monitor this system.

I doubt there is a lot of monitoring going on in the Nashville Metro
area.

On Sat, 12 Mar 2005 15:57:37 -0600, P122504@time.com wrote:

On Sat, 12 Mar 2005 01:37:52 -0600, P122504@time.com wrote:

>I am trying to setup my Bearcat BC895XLT scanner for trunk tracking in
>Nashville.
>
>For Police and Fire Dept, I find a large number of five digit
>frequencies, from 10032 to 22064. When I try to program them into my
>scanner, I get an error.
>
>Can anyone tell me if these are frequencies that are part of a
>trunked bank, and if so, how do I find the bank that is related to
>them so that I can enter them into my scanner?
>
>I've found what I think are trunked frequencies, such as 856.4375,
>857.4375, 859.4375, but I can't find their four digit data frequency.
>
>Thanks in advance for any help provided.
>
>BG
>

1354. Re: CCrane CCatalog CCame today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-15 05:01:51 UTC
From: "Joe R.Morris, Jr." <Joebaby@midsouth.rr.com>
Message-ID: <3%tZd.326$Gj5.50@fe1.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 14365
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

For sure I agree with you about that issue......I bought my Radio Shack Pro 83 (basically the same as the BC92XLT) with the same features and all.....I bought my scanner for $83 on ebay brand new.....and in Radio Shack they were $120 on the shelf.......saved myself a little bit there too.....good ole ebay....LOL Just thought I would throw my 2 cents worth in there.......

Joebaby
High Tech Redneck
Rednecks, Inc. ©2005

"lsmyer" <myer413ny@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:EtpZd.3064$rw7.813@fe04.lga...
>I just got my new CCrane catalog today. As I flipped through it, I saw some
>cool stuff that would be fun to have.
>
> Then I noticed the new BC92XLT handheld scanner from Uniden. Since I had
> just bought one last month, I glanced at CCrane's price: $159.95! I got
> mine at my local Wal-Mart for $99.95!
>
> Nothing against the CCrane people, but the $60 difference is a lot of
> money to me, especially when Wal-Mart offers the convenience of 24-hour
> shopping, no waiting, and a very liberal return policy.
>
> So to anyone who is thinking about ordering a new BC92XLT from CCrane,
> know that a $60 savings is as close as your neighborhood Wal-Mart.
>

1355. Re: Coaxial Cable Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-15 12:53:16 UTC
From: dxAce <flashoveride@eclipsed.com>
Message-ID: <4236DABC.BA015A8F@eclipsed.com>
Source record: 14366

kryppy wrote:

> On Sat, 12 Mar 2005 15:45:38 -0800, "69FLH" <69FLH10xx@campus.ie>
> wrote:
>
> >Getting ready to put up a Discone antenna (Sky Scan DX V1300) and am
> >looking for further suggestions and opinions regarding what cable to run. I
> >am not sure exactly how far it will be. To estimate it though, I would say
> >between 50 and 75 feet to where I plan on bring it into the house to the
> >scanner. (BC 796D). Any input will be appreciated...
> >TIA
> >
>
> RG-6

Nope... use a 50 ohm co-axial cable.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1356. Schematic Wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-15 16:49:37 UTC
From: boba3@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <42371064.11359847@news.east.earthlink.net>
Source record: 14367
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,sci.electronics.repair

I just purchased an old service manual for a Regency ACT-R 10 H/L/U scanner. Unfortunately it is missing the updated schematic in the addendum section. It is in:

Section 5 SERVICE MANUAL ADDENDUM -

Sub-section 5 - 5 - 6 Schematic

Would someone have this manual, and be willing to scan this page for me?

Thanks very much!

Bob Archambault

1357. Re: Coaxial Cable Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-15 17:37:46 UTC
From: BDK <kingratatatboy@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1ca0e77184341f009897e8@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 14368

In article <4236DABC.BA015A8F@eclipsed.com>, flashoveride@eclipsed.com
says...
>
>
> kryppy wrote:
>
> > On Sat, 12 Mar 2005 15:45:38 -0800, "69FLH" <69FLH10xx@campus.ie>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >Getting ready to put up a Discone antenna (Sky Scan DX V1300) and am
> > >looking for further suggestions and opinions regarding what cable to run. I
> > >am not sure exactly how far it will be. To estimate it though, I would say
> > >between 50 and 75 feet to where I plan on bring it into the house to the
> > >scanner. (BC 796D). Any input will be appreciated...
> > >TIA
> > >
> >
> > RG-6
>
> Nope... use a 50 ohm co-axial cable.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA
>
>
>

Don't worry about impedance, a 1.5(75 ohm) to 1(50 ohm) match is fine,
even if transmitting, and on reception, it's totally meaningless. RG6 is
a lot easier to work with, and is cheaper than all but the crappiest RG8
type too. There are no real drawbacks to using it...

BDK

1358. Re: Coaxial Cable Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-15 18:24:02 UTC
From: dxAce <flashoveride@eclipsed.com>
Message-ID: <42372841.D3D14252@eclipsed.com>
Source record: 14369

BDK wrote:

> In article <4236DABC.BA015A8F@eclipsed.com>, flashoveride@eclipsed.com
> says...
> >
> >
> > kryppy wrote:
> >
> > > On Sat, 12 Mar 2005 15:45:38 -0800, "69FLH" <69FLH10xx@campus.ie>
> > > wrote:
> > >
> > > >Getting ready to put up a Discone antenna (Sky Scan DX V1300) and am
> > > >looking for further suggestions and opinions regarding what cable to run. I
> > > >am not sure exactly how far it will be. To estimate it though, I would say
> > > >between 50 and 75 feet to where I plan on bring it into the house to the
> > > >scanner. (BC 796D). Any input will be appreciated...
> > > >TIA
> > > >
> > >
> > > RG-6
> >
> > Nope... use a 50 ohm co-axial cable.
> >
> > dxAce
> > Michigan
> > USA
> >
> >
> >
>
> Don't worry about impedance, a 1.5(75 ohm) to 1(50 ohm) match is fine,
> even if transmitting, and on reception, it's totally meaningless. RG6 is
> a lot easier to work with, and is cheaper than all but the crappiest RG8
> type too. There are no real drawbacks to using it...

I'll let you stick with the cheap stuff then.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1359. Re: Radio Shack Pro-2006 Info?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-15 18:27:24 UTC
From: MnMikew <mnmiikkew@aol.com>
Message-ID: <39onodF60umrgU1@individual.net>
Source record: 14370

<mikem@evenlink.com> wrote in message
news:1110841558.372680.37780@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> Hi,
> Is there a way to reset the Pro-2006 scannerfrequencies? I lost the
> owners manual a long time ago.
> Thank you,
> Mike
>
Get a new manual here:
http://support.radioshack.com/productinfo/DocumentResults.asp?sku_id=20-145&Name=Radio%20Scanners&Reuse=N

1360. Re: Coaxial Cable Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-15 18:49:28 UTC
From: BDK <kingratatatboy@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1ca0f845b8420269897eb@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 14371

In article <42372841.D3D14252@eclipsed.com>, flashoveride@eclipsed.com
says...
>
>
> BDK wrote:
>
> > In article <4236DABC.BA015A8F@eclipsed.com>, flashoveride@eclipsed.com
> > says...
> > >
> > >
> > > kryppy wrote:
> > >
> > > > On Sat, 12 Mar 2005 15:45:38 -0800, "69FLH" <69FLH10xx@campus.ie>
> > > > wrote:
> > > >
> > > > >Getting ready to put up a Discone antenna (Sky Scan DX V1300) and am
> > > > >looking for further suggestions and opinions regarding what cable to run. I
> > > > >am not sure exactly how far it will be. To estimate it though, I would say
> > > > >between 50 and 75 feet to where I plan on bring it into the house to the
> > > > >scanner. (BC 796D). Any input will be appreciated...
> > > > >TIA
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > > RG-6
> > >
> > > Nope... use a 50 ohm co-axial cable.
> > >
> > > dxAce
> > > Michigan
> > > USA
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> > Don't worry about impedance, a 1.5(75 ohm) to 1(50 ohm) match is fine,
> > even if transmitting, and on reception, it's totally meaningless. RG6 is
> > a lot easier to work with, and is cheaper than all but the crappiest RG8
> > type too. There are no real drawbacks to using it...
>
> I'll let you stick with the cheap stuff then.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

>
>
>

I have both, genuine Belden 9913, the solid stuff, a run of stranded too, along with 3 or 4 runs of RG6. I could switch them continuously, and you could not tell the difference, except maybe on 800+ MHZ, and I really doubt even there it would be enough to justify the cost and hassle of using it. I have it for transmitting, something RG6 isn't really equipped to do well.

I would rather worry about/spend money on something that actually affects reception..

BDK

1361. FA:2 Days left!! UNIDEN BC796D Digital Trunking Scanner - NIB!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-15 20:16:38 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <GoHZd.34748$rB3.5876327@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14373
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

2 days left for this one!

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5759983900&ssPageName=ADME:B:LC:US:1

1362. Re: Radio Shack Pro-2006 Info?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-15 20:21:38 UTC
From: "mikem@evenlink.com" <mikem@evenlink.com>
Message-ID: <1110918098.892402.247160@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14372

mikem@evenlink.com wrote:
> Hi,
> Is there a way to reset the Pro-2006 scannerfrequencies? I lost
the
> owners manual a long time ago.
> Thank you,
> Mike

OK I did it. Thank you for your help.
Mike

1363. Re: Best handheld antenna for 225-400 Mhz Milair?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-15 20:43:50 UTC
From: "fasteddy64@yahoo.com" <fasteddy64@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1110919430.846051.253010@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14374

I use the Diamond RH77CA, have for years.
Used it on a YUupi 7100, a Pro-60 and a Pro-43.
Ed

1364. Yaseu VR500 - interested parties

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-15 23:35:06 UTC
From: Biff <bif0315@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ZYmdnQ1_sLaG7KrfRVn-sQ@wideopenwest.com>
Source record: 14375

I have a unblocked Yaesu VR500 I am considering parting with. Anyone interested in purchase or interesting trade before it probably ends up on e-bay? It is 2 years old with case and comes with serial connection. Bought it from Bandercom. Located in the Chicago burbs.

Will not consider your spouse as interesting trade.

1365. Re: On the cheap, under $100?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-16 01:58:07 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10282-423792AF-482@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 14376

The users/owners manual came with my Pro-91 scanner when I bought it at the pawn shop.I want to know how or if I can program it for a lot more channels that it isn't suppose to get.Such as like Pro-51 scanners can be programed.
cuhulin

1366. Re: FA:2 Days left!! UNIDEN BC796D Digital Trunking Scanner - NIB!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-16 02:13:24 UTC
From: farfromsane <NOFREAKINSPAM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <0DMZd.135$L31.5900312@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 14378
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Hey "Bud"...

Who gives a shit? Good for Dan if he gets some extra coin for his rig! Why
the #%&$# do you care?

Geez...go get laid or something.

"Never anonymous Bud" <newskat@katxyzkave.net> wrote in message
news:e74f3114fjc8ttuggncr2b5glsljgf0dfa@4ax.com...
> Using a finger dipped in purple ink, Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
scribed:
>
> >2 days left for this one!
> >
>
>http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5759983900&ssPageName=AD
ME:B:LC:US:1
>
> It's ALREADY past what it SHOULD sell for, dickwad.
>
>
>
>
>
> --
>
> The truth is out there,
>
> but it's not interesting enough for most people.

1367. Re: CCrane CCatalog CCame today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-16 02:18:08 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10285-42379760-13@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 14377

About seven years ago I bought one of those ccrane Sangean radios that Art Bell and George Noory tout so much about.At the same time I bought one of those round antennas with the knob in the middle of the antenna,I paid about $57.00 for that antenna.I was hoping with that antenna and that radio I could pick up KVOO Tulsa,Oklahoma better than my old beat up radios could.At that time,KVOO was broadcasting on the AM band.That radio with that antenna did not pick up anything any better than my other old radios did.I sent it back to ccrane company (I got a new ccrane catalog yesterday too,it's that radio on the back cover of the ccrane catalog) and I bought a Sangean ATS-909 shortwave radio.I also own some old shortwave radios and that radio did not pick up anything any better than my old shortwave radios either.I suppose ccrane company might still have the data in their computers or on paper of when I bought those two radios and that antenna and when I sent them back to ccrane for my refunds.Over priced and over rated JUNK! ccrane company sells,in my opinion! Are y'all two KOOKS! listening,Art Bell and George Noory and you too,Bob Crane? Over rated and Over priced JUNK! Bob Crane and company sells! Fool me once,shame on me,Fool me twice,shame on you! I will Never buy anything ccrane company sells again or anything Art Bell and George Noory touts about again either.
cuhulin

1368. Re: CCrane CCatalog CCame today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-16 05:42:24 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <4HPZd.140835$Th1.34577@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 14379
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

got mine yesterday, only thing I saw that I liked was the miniature color
TV with video and audio inputs, still kinda high.
They are ridiculously high.
Some nice stuff, but dang....

1369. Alinco DJ-X2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-16 06:17:11 UTC
From: T-bone <mis@take.net>
Message-ID: <Xns961BD8852F15ewrfdgrstnetaakeanfk@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 14380

Just got this beast in today.
Initial impressions - One helluva scanner.
My unit appears to be defective in that it turns itself off and on seemingly radomly.
Would much rather have a mechanical on/off switch than the little push button thing.
Is a beast to program - Spent an hour or so just figuring out how to enter a single freq, and though I have it set in English mode, alot of the menu and LCD display are still in Jap.
Yes, it is the full functioned Jap version.
It appears it will be a major project to program 100's of freqs.

Haven't had a chance to try the flash tune, but the freq counter was registering all my various wireless devices, though it didn't register my cell phone or cordless [suppose they are a bit out of its range].
One major turnoff is its mega annoying noisy squelch tail - A shocking ommision in a receiver of this class.
Otherwise, the audio sounds great and is quite loud, though once again it takes a bit of work even to turn up/down the volume or squelch, requiring both hands to do.

Was wondering if anyone suceeded in making a serial port/2.5 mini patch cable to enable it to be programmed via computer.
I dl'ed the software, and it looks pretty damn good.
I can see where this will be a major headache saver, and will plop down another $40 to get this cable if I can't make one myself.
My old digital camera uses a cable that connects to the serial port, and terminates in a 2.5, just like the 2000 needs, but it didn't work.
I suppose Alinco made some kind of proprietary pinout scheme to force people to pay a ridiculous price to buy their 'special' cable.

1370. Re: Coaxial Cable Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-16 08:57:01 UTC
From: Mike Burch <mburch46@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <xxSZd.137978$4q6.59422@attbi_s01>
Source record: 14381

Quad shielded RG-6. Easy, inexpensive and it will work superbly. Mike K8MB

1371. Re: Alinco DJ-X2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-16 12:19:02 UTC
From: Bob Parnass <not-for-mail@fake.invalid>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.03.16.12.19.01.674744@fake.invalid>
Source record: 14382

On Wed, 16 Mar 2005 06:17:11 +0000, T-bone wrote:

> Just got this beast in today.
> ... Yes, it is the full functioned Jap version.
> It appears it will be a major project to program 100's of freqs.

> One major turnoff is its mega annoying noisy squelch tail - A shocking
> ommision in a receiver of this class.

The USA version DJ-X2000T I tested (s/n T000530) in June 2001 Monitoring Times had the same long squelch tail. I measured it to be 110 milliseconds.

--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S GNU/Linux User http://parnass.com

1372. Base Scanner Comparable To the Radio Shack PRO-2006?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-16 13:13:35 UTC
From: "mikem@evenlink.com" <mikem@evenlink.com>
Message-ID: <1110978815.790055.84580@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14383

Hi,
The old '2006 is wearing out. Back light display is shot etc.
Are there any base type scanners comparable to this scanner?
I live in a rural area and like alpha tagging. I have a PRO-93
handheld that does a good job.
Also, is there a good place to buy scanners and electronic equipment
in the NE
PA/Pocono Mts. area?
Thanks,
Mike

1373. Re: Alinco DJ-X2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-16 14:08:19 UTC
From: KC8GXW <whoever@wherever>
Message-ID: <-vGdnavhiudMoKXfRVn-pw@centurytel.net>
Source record: 14384

I have the Icom cable that I use on an Icom T-90 also used on an Alinco
DJ-X2000 and a Pro-96

http://www.pro-92.com/pcifcablec.htm
http://www.starrsoft.com/software/win96/cables/cables.html

T-bone wrote:
> Just got this beast in today.
> Initial impressions - One helluva scanner.
> My unit appears to be defective in that it turns itself off and on seemingly
> radomly.
> Would much rather have a mechanical on/off switch than the little push button
> thing.
> Is a beast to program - Spent an hour or so just figuring out how to enter a
> single freq, and though I have it set in English mode, alot of the menu and
> LCD display are still in Jap.
> Yes, it is the full functioned Jap version.
> It appears it will be a major project to program 100's of freqs.
>
> Haven't had a chance to try the flash tune, but the freq counter was
> registering all my various wireless devices, though it didn't register my
> cell phone or cordless [suppose they are a bit out of its range].
> One major turnoff is its mega annoying noisy squelch tail - A shocking
> ommision in a receiver of this class.
> Otherwise, the audio sounds great and is quite loud, though once again it
> takes a bit of work even to turn up/down the volume or squelch, requiring
> both hands to do.
>
> Was wondering if anyone suceeded in making a serial port/2.5 mini patch cable
> to enable it to be programmed via computer.
> I dl'ed the software, and it looks pretty damn good.
> I can see where this will be a major headache saver, and will plop down
> another $40 to get this cable if I can't make one myself.
> My old digital camera uses a cable that connects to the serial port, and
> terminates in a 2.5, just like the 2000 needs, but it didn't work.
> I suppose Alinco made some kind of proprietary pinout scheme to force people
> to pay a ridiculous price to buy their 'special' cable.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1374. Re: Alinco DJ-X2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-16 15:58:00 UTC
From: T-bone <mis@take.net>
Message-ID: <Xns961B700CC42D8ewrfdgrstnetaakeanfk@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 14385

KC8GXW <whoever@wherever> wrote in
news:-vGdnavhiudMoKXfRVn-pw@centurytel.net:

> I have the Icom cable that I use on an Icom T-90 also used on an Alinco
> DJ-X2000 and a Pro-96
>
> http://www.pro-92.com/pcifcablec.htm
> http://www.starrsoft.com/software/win96/cables/cables.html

Thanks for the info - I'll give that a shot.
>
> T-bone wrote:
>> Just got this beast in today.
>> Initial impressions - One helluva scanner.
>> My unit appears to be defective in that it turns itself off and on
>> seemingly radomly.
>> Would much rather have a mechanical on/off switch than the little push
>> button thing.
>> Is a beast to program - Spent an hour or so just figuring out how to
>> enter a single freq, and though I have it set in English mode, alot of
>> the menu and LCD display are still in Jap.
>> Yes, it is the full functioned Jap version.
>> It appears it will be a major project to program 100's of freqs.
>>
>> Haven't had a chance to try the flash tune, but the freq counter was
>> registering all my various wireless devices, though it didn't register
>> my cell phone or cordless [suppose they are a bit out of its range].
>> One major turnoff is its mega annoying noisy squelch tail - A shocking
>> ommision in a receiver of this class.
>> Otherwise, the audio sounds great and is quite loud, though once again
>> it takes a bit of work even to turn up/down the volume or squelch,
>> requiring both hands to do.
>>
>> Was wondering if anyone suceeded in making a serial port/2.5 mini patch
>> cable to enable it to be programmed via computer.
>> I dl'ed the software, and it looks pretty damn good.
>> I can see where this will be a major headache saver, and will plop down
>> another $40 to get this cable if I can't make one myself.
>> My old digital camera uses a cable that connects to the serial port,
>> and terminates in a 2.5, just like the 2000 needs, but it didn't work.
>> I suppose Alinco made some kind of proprietary pinout scheme to force
>> people to pay a ridiculous price to buy their 'special' cable.
>>
>>
>>

>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>

1375. FS/T: 32 Channel VHF 2 Way with Scan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-16 16:01:16 UTC
From: k5die@sbcglobal.net
Message-ID: <1110988876.761638.249340@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14386

RELM 32 channel field programmable VHF HT, drop in rapid charger, and leather holster. Good condition, a little paint missing but otherwise in fine shape. Battery holds charge. Covers 2 meters thru about 170 MHz. Scans. Pictures available. The first $100 (plus shipping)takes it.

Trades Considered on other radio equipment

E-mail questions or offers to: k...@sbcglobal.net
Will NOT ship out of country.

1376. Re: On the cheap, under $100?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-16 16:52:41 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <tvZZd.12299$cN6.4326@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 14387

<cuhulin@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:10282-423792AF-482@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net...
> The users/owners manual came with my Pro-91 scanner when I bought it at
> the pawn shop.I want to know how or if I can program it for a lot more
> channels that it isn't suppose to get.Such as like Pro-51 scanners can
> be programed.
> cuhulin
>

I take it what you are after is being able to pick up cell phones? I have seen ads for people that "claim" they can un block the blocked channels, but I would be dubious about sending them my money. If you try I would ONLY deal with someone who will accept a credit card. You need to remember before you spend money on this that you "may" hear next to nothing if you do manage to un block the cell frequencies. There is very little left on the old analog network as so much has gone digital which you can't pick up. Even with the old scanners that would pick up analog cell it was often hit and miss trying to follow a call, and range was VERY limited.
--
Success always occurs in private, and failure in full view.

1377. Re: Base Scanner Comparable To the Radio Shack PRO-2006?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-16 16:55:35 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <byZZd.12300$cN6.6188@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 14388

<mikem@evenlink.com> wrote in message
news:1110978815.790055.84580@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Hi,
> The old '2006 is wearing out. Back light display is shot etc.
> Are there any base type scanners comparable to this scanner?
> I live in a rural area and like alpha tagging. I have a PRO-93
> handheld that does a good job.
> Also, is there a good place to buy scanners and electronic equipment
> in the NE
> PA/Pocono Mts. area?
> Thanks,
> Mike
>

Why not just buy a Pro 95 or one of the Uniden hand held trunking scanners
that do what you want? You can buy rechargeable batteries, and or an AC
adapter so you can use it all you want at home, and take it with you if you
want.
--
Success always occurs in private, and failure in full view.

1378. " BIG BUCKS" WITH ONLY $6.00 INVESTMENT "NO BULL"!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-16 18:12:05 UTC
From: bscruggins2000@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1110996725.228440.24620@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14389

HOW TO TURN $6 INTO $6,000!!!!!! READING THIS COULD CHANGE YOUR LIFE!

I found this on a bulletin board and decided to try it. A little while back, I was browsing through newsgroups, just like you are now, and came across an article similar to this that said you could make thousands of dollars within weeks with only an initial investment of $6.00! So I thought, Yeah right, this must be a scam,but like most of us, I was curious, so I kept reading. Anyway, it said that you send $1.00 to each of the 6 names and address stated in the article. You then place your own name and address in the bottom of the list at #6, and post the article in at least 200 newsgroups. (There are thousands) No catch, that was it. So after thinking it over, and talking to a few people first, I thought about trying it. I figured: what have I got to lose except 6 stamps and $6.00, right? Then I invested the measly $6.00. Well GUESS WHAT!!... within 7 days, I started getting money in the mail! I was shocked! I figured it would end soon, but the money just kept coming in. In my first week, I made about $25.00. By the end of the second week I had made a total of over $1,000.00! In the third week I had over $10,000.00 and it`s still growing. This is now my fourth week and I have made a total of just over $42,000.00 and it`s still coming in rapidly. It`s certainly worth $6.00, and 6 stamps, I have spent more than that on the lottery!! Let me tell you how this works and most importantly, why it works....Also, make sure you print a copy of this article NOW, so you can get the information off of it as you need it. I promise you that if you follow the directions exactly, that you will start making more money than you thought possible by doing something so easy!
Suggestion: Read this entire message carefully! (Print it out or download it.) Follow the simple directions and watch the money come in! It`s easy. It`s legal. And, your investment is only $6.00 (Plus postage)

IMPORTANT: This is not a scam; it is not indecent; it is not illegal; and it is virtually no risk - it really works!!!! If all of the following instructions are followed exactly, you will receive extraordinary dividends.

PLEASE NOTE: Please follow these directions PERCISELY, and $50,000 or more can be yours in 20 to 60 days. This program remains successful because of the honesty and integrity of the participants. Please continue its success by carefully adhering to the instructions. You will now become part of the Mail Order business. In this business your product is not solid and tangible, it`s a service. You are in the business of developing Mailing Lists. Many large corporations are happy to pay big bucks for quality lists. However, the money made from the

mailing lists is secondary to the income which is made from people like you and me asking to be included in that list. Here are the 4 easy steps to success:

STEP 1: Get 6 separate pieces of paper and write the following on each piece of paper; PLEASE PUT ME ON YOUR MAILING LIST. Now get 6 US $1.00 bills and place ONE inside EACH of the 6 pieces of paper so the bill will not be seen through the envelope (to prevent thievery). Next, place one paper in each of the 6 envelopes and seal them. You should now have 6 sealed envelopes, each with a piece of paper stating the above phrase, your name and address, and a $1.00 bill. What you are doing is creating a service. THIS IS ABSOLUTELY LEGAL! You are requesting a legitimate service and you are paying for it! Like most of us I was a little skeptical and a little worried about the legal aspects of it all. So I checked it out with the U.S. Post Office (1-800-725-2161) and they confirmed that it is indeed legal! Mail the 6 envelopes to the following addresses:

Mailing Addresses

1. P. FREY, 4577 W. PONDS CIR, LITTLETON, CO 80123
2. E. DE LEON, 14014 Rosecrest, Houston,TX 77045
3. A. Lopez, 810 Ave.J, South Houston TX 77587
4. L. Pacheco, 812 Ave.J, South Houston, TX 77587
5. K. Bat, 9335 Alcona Street, Lanham, MD 20706
6. B. Scruggins, P.O. Box 845 Port Barre, LA 70577

STEP 2: Now take the #1 name off the list that you see above, move the other names up (6 becomes 5, 5 becomes 4, etc...) and add YOUR Name as number 6 on the list.

STEP 3: Change anything you need to, but try to keep this article as close to original as possible. Now, post your amended article to at least 200 newsgroups. (I think there are close to 24,000 groups) All you need is 200, but remember, the more you post, the more money you make! This is perfectly legal! If you have any doubts, refer to Title 18 Sec. 1302 & 1341 of the Postal lottery laws.
Keep a copy of these steps for yourself and, whenever you need money, you can use it again, and again.

PLEASE REMEMBER that this program remains successful because of the honesty and integrity of the participants and by their carefully adhering to the directions. Look at it this way. If you are of integrity, the program will continue and the money that so many others have received will come your way.

NOTE: You may want to retain every name and address sent to you, either on a computer or hard copy and keep the notes people send you. This VERIFIES that you are truly providing a service. (Also, it might be a good idea to wrap the $1 bill in dark paper to reduce the risk of mail theft.)
So, as each post is downloaded and the directions carefully followed,

six members will be reimbursed for their participation as a List Developer with one dollar each. Your name will move up the list geometrically so that when your name reaches the #1 position you will be receiving thousands of dollars in CASH!!! What an opportunity for only $6.00 ($1.00 for each of the first six people listed above) Send it now, add your own name to the list and you`re in business!
---DIRECTIONS ----- FOR HOW TO POST TO NEWSGROUPS---------- Step 1) You do not need to re-type this entire letter to do your own posting. Simply put your cursor at the beginning of this letter and drag your cursor to the bottom of this document, and select `copy` from the edit menu. This will copy the entire letter into the computer`s memory.

Step 2) Open a blank `notepad` file and place your cursor at the top of the blank page. From the `edit` menu select `paste`. This will paste a copy of the letter into notepad so that you can add your name to the list.
Step 3) Save your new notepad file as a .txt file. If you want to do your postings in different settings, you`ll always have this file to go back to.

Step 4) Use Netscape or Internet explorer and try searching for various newsgroups (on-line forums, message boards, chat sites, discussions.) Just example you log on any search engine like, yahoo.com,google.com, altavista.com,excite.com then you search with this subject millionaire message board? or money making message
board? or employment message board? or money making discussions? or money making forum? or business message board? etc. You will find thousand & thousand message board. Click one by one then you will find the option post a new message.
Step 5) Visit these message boards and post this article as a new message by highlighting the text of this letter and selecting paste from the edit menu. Fill in the Subject, this will be the header that everyone sees as they scroll through the list of postings in a particular group, click the post message button. You`re done with your first one! Congratulations...THAT`S IT! All you have to do is jump to different newsgroups and post away, after you get the hang of it, it will take about 30 seconds for each newsgroup!

REMEMBER, THE MORE NEWSGROUPS YOU POST IN, THE MORE MONEY YOU WILL MAKE!! BUT YOU HAVE TO POST A MINIMUM OF 200
That`s it! You will begin receiving money from around the world within days! You may eventually want to rent a P.O.Box due to the large amount of mail you will receive. If you wish to stay anonymous, you can invent a name to use, as long as the postman will deliver it.
JUST MAKE SURE ALL THE ADDRESSES ARE CORRECT.

Now the WHY part: Out of 200 postings, say I receive only 5 replies (a very low example). So then I made $5.00 with my name at #6 on the letter. Now, each of the 5 persons who just sent me $1.00 make the MINIMUM 200 postings, each with my name at #5 and only 5 persons respond to each of the original 5, that is another $25.00 for me, now

those 25 each make 200 MINIMUM posts with my name at #4 and only 5 replies each, I will bring in an additional $125.00! Now, those 125 persons turn around and post the MINIMUM 200 with my name at #3 and only receive 5 replies each, I will make an additional $626.00! OK, now here is the fun part, each of those 625 persons post a MINIMUM 200 letters with my name at #2 and they each only receive 5 replies, that just made me $3,125.00!!! Those 3,125 persons will all deliver this message to 200 newsgroups with my name at #1 and if still 5 persons per 200 newsgroups react I will receive $15,625,00! With an original investment of only $6.00! AMAZING! When your name is no longer on the list, you just take the latest posting in the newsgroups, and send out another $6.00 to names on the list, putting your name at number 6 again. And start posting again. The thing to remember is: do you realize that thousands of people all over the world are joining the internet and reading these articles everyday?, JUST LIKE YOU are now!! So, can you afford $6.00 and see if it really works?? I think so... People have said, "What if the plan is played out and no one sends you the money? So what! What are the chances of that happening when there are tons of new honest users and new honest people who are joining the internet and newsgroups everyday and are willing to give it a try? Estimates are at 20,000 to 50,000 new users, every day, with thousands of those joining the actual internet.

Remember: Play FAIRLY and HONESTLY and this will really work.

1379. RadioShack PRO-91 Trunking scanner auction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-16 20:34:03 UTC
From: "John L. Wilkerson Jr." <jlwsecure-usenet@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns961B9E725F129jlwsecure@207.115.63.158>
Source record: 14390
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

See link below:

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5761172955

1380. test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-16 23:17:05 UTC
From: marchm@dn.ess
Message-ID: <4239be6c.6250312@Fonts.R.Us>
Source record: 14391

[No readable article body recovered]

1381. Re: Base Scanner Comparable To the Radio Shack PRO-2006?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-17 01:47:15 UTC
From: BILLY <WCEJAE@BELLSOUTH.NET>
Message-ID: <b5lh3119a4eohmnhg4fuq118n74qaibhad@4ax.com>
Source record: 14392

On 16 Mar 2005 05:13:35 -0800, "mikem@evenlink.com"
<mikem@evenlink.com> wrote:
> The old '2006 is wearing out. Back light display is shot etc.
>Are there any base type scanners comparable to this scanner?

I know of a person that is looking for a 2006 manual. Do u happen to
have a pdf file, or know where to get one for a 2006?

Billy, N5WE
Mississippi

1382. Re: query re the elusive GE/EDACS traffic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-17 01:47:16 UTC
From: BILLY <WCEJAE@BELLSOUTH.NET>
Message-ID: <iglh31dchs116g646fm68biasm7f7jsrb3@4ax.com>
Source record: 14393

On Mon, 07 Mar 2005 17:25:10 GMT, "tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote:

>Billy, what's Provoice? Is that one o' thum thar proprietary digital
>encryption thingies?
>
You're mostly right, except it is not encryption, but it might as well be. We'll have to wait until some geek at uniden figures the format out. If it is encrypted, it won't be for long, there's a lot of people that can handle that. I think the main prob tho is proprietary...

I know Harrison Cty MS uses edacs both with provoice (4 cities + county and ambulance) and all the fire and rescue is analog all on the same edac system. I frequently hear the police dispatchers when they talk to the fire department and I have heard rescue talking to ambulance, but they were all analog when I heard them. The county and city maintenance workers are analog on the same system also. (water, sewer, maint, etc)

Billy, N5WE
Mississippi

1383. PRO-2051 1000-Channel Triple-Trunking Desktop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-17 03:03:24 UTC
From: Mace <nospam@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <0s6_d.122322$pc5.118518@tornado.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 14394

Any one have one of these new PRO-2051 1000-Channel Triple-Trunking Desktop scanners?

I just saw it at the radio Shack web site.

I like that it has Signal Stalker, SkyWarn, as well as trunking capabilities.

1384. FS: Yaesu FRG-9600 VHF/UHF comm receiver with speech inversion descrambler

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-17 05:45:33 UTC
From: PoloHat@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1111038333.043245.78430@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14395
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Yaesu FRG-9600 VHF/UHF communications receiver, complete with original manual & box. Covers 60-905MHz. 100 memory channels, but will need internal battery replacement to retain memory channels if the radio is unplugged. Installed is a tuneable speech-inversion descrambler, of course only to be used in legally monitoring/trouble-shooting your own speech-inversion transmissions, perhaps those made on your FRS radio.

$380 includes insured UPS shipping within the USA. If you're interested, have questions, or would like me to email you images of my FRG-9600, contact me via email.

Polo Hat

1385. Re: Base Scanner Comparable To the Radio Shack PRO-2006?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-17 17:06:08 UTC
From: MnMikew <mnmiikkew@aol.com>
Message-ID: <39tro1F62rvmsU1@individual.net>
Source record: 14396

"BILLY" <WCEJAE@BELLSOUTH.NET> wrote in message
news:b5lh3119a4eohmnhg4fuq118n74qaibhad@4ax.com...
> On 16 Mar 2005 05:13:35 -0800, "mikem@evenlink.com"
> <mikem@evenlink.com> wrote:
> > The old '2006 is wearing out. Back light display is shot etc.
> >Are there any base type scanners comparable to this scanner?
>
> I know of a person that is looking for a 2006 manual. Do u happen to
> have a pdf file, or know where to get one for a 2006?
>
> Billy, N5WE
> Mississippi

http://support.radioshack.com/productinfo/DocumentResults.asp?sku_id=20-145&Name=Radio%20Scanners&Reuse=N

1386. ic-r3 sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-18 17:22:40 UTC
From: "maurizio.bonfanti" <maurizio.bonfanti@libero.it>
Message-ID: <A7E_d.669$IN.7835@twister2.libero.it>
Source record: 14397

What about IC-R3 sensitivity in VHF 144 MHz?

Is it better than other scanners in this range?

Maurizio

1387. Radio Shack PRO-93?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-18 18:46:56 UTC
From: "mikem@evenlink.com" <mikem@evenlink.com>
Message-ID: <1111171616.552255.271150@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14398

Hi,
I have a RS Pro-93 scanner. I don't see much of a write up on it on
the web other than it had been replaced by the Pro-95 model.
Any shortcomings anyone has had with the PRO-93? Anyone like the
PRO-93?
How does the Pro-93 compare to the Uniden 246XLT?
Thanks,
Mike McM.

1388. Re: Police investigate leak of radio transcripts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-18 18:52:10 UTC
From: MnMikew <mnmiikkew@aol.com>
Message-ID: <3a0marF66ksu1U1@individual.net>
Source record: 14399

The local paper here is a few blocks from where I work and the roof is covered with scanner antennas. Duh.

<fred@aol.com> wrote in message news:k6jj31pdkpga63db58agqobg0t45d4msl5@4ax.com...
> Police investigate leak of radio transcripts
> Last Updated Mar 17 2005 09:08 AM MST
> CBC News
> EDMONTON - Police have launched an investigation into how police radio
> transcripts made their way into the hands of an Edmonton newspaper.
>
> The Edmonton Police Service said Wednesday it is investigating a criminal
> complaint that someone committed a breach of trust by releasing to the Edmonton
> Journal transcripts of radio calls from the night officers had a drunk-driving
> operation set up.
>
> The November operation targeted an Edmonton Sun newspaper columnist, and the
> police commission chairman also believes he was a target that night.
>
> Former chief Fred Rayner was fired after he announced that two senior officers
> will face discipline in connection to the stakeout, but cleared the seven
> officers at the scene.
>
> Days later, the Journal printed transcripts of police radio calls that night
> that showed the officers were pleased with the possibility of arresting the Sun
> columnist.
>
> Police are also investigating complaints that a newspaper reporter improperly
> used information obtained by listening to the police scanner, and that a
> newspaper employee tipped off a possible drunk driver. Both allegations stem
> from the November incident.
>
> "The complaints revolve around obstructing justice, breach of trust and a
> violation of the Radio-Telecommunications Act," acting Chief Daryl da Costa said
> Wednesday.

>
> Allan Mayer, the Journal's editor in chief, says the paper did the right
thing
> publishing the transcripts.
>
> "We published the information in question in the public interest, and I
think
> that speaks for itself, and prompted a lively debate within the
community,"
> Mayer said.
>

1389. Re: Radio Shack PRO-93?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-18 20:36:27 UTC
From: "John L. Wilkerson Jr." <jlwsecure-usenet@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns961D9EDD1DC33jlwsecure@207.115.63.158>
Source record: 14400

"mikem@evenlink.com" <mikem@evenlink.com> wrote in
news:1111171616.552255.271150@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com:

> Hi,
> I have a RS Pro-93 scanner. I don't see much of a write up on it on
> the web other than it had been replaced by the Pro-95 model.
> Any shortcomings anyone has had with the PRO-93? Anyone like the
> PRO-93?
> How does the Pro-93 compare to the Uniden 246XLT?
> Thanks,
> Mike McM.
>
>

I have one and love it. Same scanner as the pro95 except the 95 has more
channels.

1390. EBAY SQUASHED MY LISTING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-18 21:40:45 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <xVH_d.35824$534.20578@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14401
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

eBay, an idea that started out with good intentions, to serve the internet community has gone the way of so many other internet services of it's type. They think they're GOD, (along with many on these groups who think they are almost God, or NEWGROUP POLICE at the very least).

eBay is now only concerned with MILKING the very MOST money they can from it's customers. Try to call them on the phone? Are you kidding? Send them an e-mail? Good luck. They don't care, and why should they, they're the only game in town. U.S. Capitalism at it's best, but more about THAT later...

I recently put a UNIDEN 296D and 796D scanners on eBay for sale, and also made FA posts on these groups. Luckily, the 296D sold early with BUY IT NOW, and for some strange reason, the 796D was bid to a price past what the original BUY IT NOW pricing was. Yep, there's that good ole US capitalsim at work again. No one twists arms to bid that high, and as far as I know, ther is NO mechanism on eBay to STOP a bidder from bidding an item TOO HIGH.

The auction was to end today. I would have had a decent return for my scanner, and the buyer would have a brand new, in box, never opened, never used scanner.

But yesterday eBay replaced my auction with this notice......

This listing (5759983900) has been removed by eBay or is no longer available. Please make sure that you've entered the item number correctly. If the item was removed by eBay, please consider this transaction canceled

I DID NOT CANCEL THE AUCTION.

eBay offered NO explanation as to why they removed this auction. As far as I know, the 796D is NOT banned for sale on eBay. They cancel your auction, but they DO NOT refund your listing fee. They send you NO e-mail explaining the removal. NONE. I sent them an inquiry, but so far no response. This is NO WAY to do business.

I am now out the listing fees, and the financial reward of the winning bid. eBay, or SOMEONE hurt me bad in this, for no legitimate reason....

Other then what I THINK happened.

Some NO LIFE, MISERABLE lurker in these groups, basically a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay, and they removed my auction. If you check my newsgroup post, and it's responses,

you can formulate some potential suspects for yourself.

Day in and day out these newsgroups are LOADED with nonsensical SPAM, and horeshit to beat the band, and nothing is ever done about it. Because someone was basically JEALOUS that my auction would bring a few bucks more then THEY thought it was worth, they act like a RAT BASTARD Newsgroup Cops and cause me harm.

Well folks, the gloves are off. I will offer the scanner on a straight FOR SALE basis 80 ZILLION times on these groups. Since it is unfortunately the only game in town, if I have to, I will re-post it on eBay, but will follow eBay's rules and NOT post any FA on the newsgroups, not even rec.radio.swap.

However, rest assured, that I will make a second vocation of reporting ANY and ALL FA eBay posts I find to eBay ad nauseum. Even those on the rec.swap group. eBay rules DO NOT allow posts even on a swap or for sale newsgroup. So, all you fellow hobbyists who get censored or DUMPED by eBay like I did, thank your fellow ASSHOLE lurker for it.

Yes, this was LONG and I am PISSED. Thanks for reading.

Dan

1391. FS : UNIDEN BC796D digital trunking mobile/base scanner NIB!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-18 21:43:36 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <cYH_d.34960$rB3.6479651@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14402
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

I am selling a brand new, in the box, never used UNIDEN BC796D mobile/ base scanner. Of course, as such it includes manual and all accessories. This is latest digital trunking scanner offering from Uniden which is fully APCO 25 digital capable with the digital card already installed and on board.

CHeck my eBay feedback under OFFDAN.

I am asking $475.00 which will also include UPS ground, insured shippng.

I will accept a US Postal money order, or personal check. Personal check will require my delaying the shipment until the check clears.

I will also accept PAYPAL, but at this price, will ask for an extra $10.00 to cover the PAYPAL fee.

E-Mail only if interested.

Dan

1392. Re: Police investigate leak of radio transcripts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-18 22:14:29 UTC
From: HotShot <MyShotIsHot@HotShotShootsSpam.Com>
Message-ID: <opl_d.73627$Jd2.1496142@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 14403

what are the chances that scanner sales go up out there?

MnMikew wrote:
> The local paper here is a few blocks from where I work and the roof is
> covered with scanner antennas. Duh.
>
>
> <fred@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:k6jj31pdkpga63db58agqobg0t45d4msl5@4ax.com...
>
>>Police investigate leak of radio transcripts
>>Last Updated Mar 17 2005 09:08 AM MST
>>CBC News
>>EDMONTON - Police have launched an investigation into how police radio
>>transcripts made their way into the hands of an Edmonton newspaper.
>>
>>The Edmonton Police Service said Wednesday it is investigating a criminal
>>complaint that someone committed a breach of trust by releasing to the
>
> Edmonton
>
>>Journal transcripts of radio calls from the night officers had a
>
> drunk-driving
>
>>operation set up.
>>
>>The November operation targeted an Edmonton Sun newspaper columnist, and
>
> the
>
>>police commission chairman also believes he was a target that night.
>>
>>Former chief Fred Rayner was fired after he announced that two senior
>
> officers
>
>>will face discipline in connection to the stakeout, but cleared the seven
>>officers at the scene.
>>
>>Days later, the Journal printed transcripts of police radio calls that
>
> night
>

>>that showed the officers were pleased with the possibility of arresting
>
> the Sun
>
>>columnist.
>>
>>Police are also investigating complaints that a newspaper reporter
>
> improperly
>
>>used information obtained by listening to the police scanner, and that a
>>newspaper employee tipped off a possible drunk driver. Both allegations
>
> stem
>
>>from the November incident.
>>
>>"The complaints revolve around obstructing justice, breach of trust and a
>>violation of the Radio-Telecommunications Act," acting Chief Daryl da
>
> Costa said
>
>>Wednesday.
>>
>>Allan Mayer, the Journal's editor in chief, says the paper did the right
>
> thing
>
>>publishing the transcripts.
>>
>>"We published the information in question in the public interest, and I
>
> think
>
>>that speaks for itself, and prompted a lively debate within the
>
> community,"
>
>>Mayer said.
>>
>
>
>

1393. Re: EBAY SQUASHED MY LISTING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-18 23:12:13 UTC
From: Mike Burch <mburch46@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <hfJ_d.58$b37.25@attbi_s02>
Source record: 14404
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Hi Dan,

I am sorry to hear about your mishap with Ebay. What is Ebays reasoning for not allowing FA's to be posted in newsgroups? Is it because its considered spam? This is the first I have heard of it. So WTB and FS are ok? But not FA? Am I copying that right?

Thanks

Mike Burch K8MB

1394. Re: EBAY SQUASHED MY LISTING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-18 23:14:00 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <YgJ_d.12072$oO4.10601@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 14405
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
news:xVH_d.35824$534.20578@twister.nyc.rr.com...
> eBay, an idea that started > Yes, this was LONG and I am PISSED. Thanks
> for reading.
>
snip
> Dan

I have bought and sold much on Ebay. I have had them cancel an auction for violation of some rule of theirs, but they always e-mailed me with the reason. It was always something I did because I did not read the entire rules. They also always refunded my "insertion fee". They are certainly a big company, and you can "take them or leave them" I'm sure they would not care. How do you pay them? If you do as everyone should, pay with a credit card you can challenge the charge. Over the years I have had EXCELLENT service from both Master Card and Discover when someone tried to burn me both on line and in person.
If, and it's a BIG if, this really happened like you "claim", it sounds like it was some kind of mistake. Does anyone here know if Ebay has some rule about posting a link to their auctions in Usenet?
If people really did bid on your scanner you can just e-mail them and make a deal to sell them the scanner.
--
Success always occurs in private, and failure in full view.

1395. Re: a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-18 23:17:54 UTC
From: The Dave&#65533; <no@no.com>
Message-ID: <CkJ_d.1012$hg.544@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 14406
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.marketing.online.ebay

> smap@spap.fki wrote:

>
>
> eBay, an idea that started out with good intentions, to serve the
> internet community has gone the way of so many other internet
> services of it's type. They think they're GOD, (along with many on
> these groups who think they are almost God, or NEWGROUP POLICE at the
> very least).
>
> eBay is now only concerned with MILKING the very MOST money they can
> from it's customers. Try to call them on the phone? Are you
> kidding? Send them an e-mail? Good luck. They don't care, and why
> should they, they're the only game in town. U.S. Capitalism at it's
> best, but more about THAT later...
>
> I recently put a UNIDEN 296D and 796D scanners on eBay for sale, and
> also made FA posts on these groups. Luckily, the 296D sold early
> with BUY IT NOW, and for some strange reason, the 796D was bid to a
> price past what the original BUY IT NOW pricing was. Yep, there's
> that good ole US capitalsim at work again. No one twists arms to bid
> that high, and as far as I know, ther is NO mechanism on eBay to STOP
> a bidder from bidding an item TOO HIGH.
>
> The auction was to end today. I would have had a decent return for
> my scanner, and the buyer would have a brand new, in box, never
> opened, never used scanner.
>
> But yesterday eBay replaced my auction with this notice......
>
> ***This listing (5759983900) has been removed by eBay or is no
> longer available. Please make sure that you've entered the item
> number correctly. If the item was removed by eBay, please consider
> this transaction canceled***
>
> I DID NOT CANCEL THE AUCTION.
>
> eBay offered NO explanation as to why they removed this auction. As
> far as I know, the 796D is NOT banned for sale on eBay. They cancel
> your auction, but they DO NOT refund your listing fee. They send you
> NO e-mail explaining the removal. NONE. I sent them an inquiry, but
> so far no response. This is NO WAY to do business.
>

> I am now out the listing fees, and the financial reward of the
> winning bid. eBay, or SOMEONE hurt me bad in this, for no legitimate
> reason....
>
> Other then what I THINK happened.
>
> Some NO LIFE, MISERABLE lurker in these groups, basically a RAT ,
> SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay, and they
> removed my auction. If you check my newsgroup post, and it's
> responses, you can formulate some potential suspects for yourself.
>
> Day in and day out these newsgroups are LOADED with nonsensical SPAM,
> and horeshit to beat the band, and nothing is ever done about it.
> Because someone was basically JEALOUS that my auction would bring a
> few bucks more then THEY thought it was worth, they act like a RAT
> BASTARD Newsgroup Cops and cause me harm.
>
> Well folks, the gloves are off. I will offer the scanner on a
> straight FOR SALE basis 80 ZILLION times on these groups. Since it
> is unfortunately the only game in town, if I have to, I will re-post
> it on eBay, but will follow eBay's rules and NOT post any FA on the
> alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.online.marketin
> g.ebay newsgroups, not even rec.radio.swap.
>
> However, rest assured, that I will make a second vocation of
> reporting ANY and ALL FA eBay posts (especially by Kris Baker) I find
> to eBay ad nauseum. Even those on the rec.swap group. eBay rules DO
> NOT allow posts even on a swap or for sale newsgroup. So, all you
> fellow hobbyists who get censored or DUMPED by eBay like I did, thank
> your fellow ASSHOLE lurker for it.
>
> Yes, this was LONG and I am PISSED. Thanks for reading.
>
> Dan

You're hardly blameless in all this, but I do agree with you on one
point... eBay should provide a legitimate reason each and every time it
ends an auction.

1396. Re: a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-18 23:24:26 UTC
From: Ozzy 2005 <ozzy2005@spamlovesyou.com>
Message-ID: <OqJ_d.24$p%2.18@fe09.lga>
Source record: 14407
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.marketing.online.ebay

SNIPT
> Other then what I THINK happened.
>
> Some NO LIFE, MISERABLE lurker in these groups, basically a RAT ,
> SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay, and they
> removed my auction. If you check my newsgroup post, and it's responses,
SNIPT

Listing an item FA: in a newsgroup is an ebay violation? OK it is but why? How does attracting more potential buyers and getting a higher FAVF bad for ebay?

As for not reimbursing a listing fee they can get away with that because of all the disclaimers in that you willingly posted something was in violation and it was up taking HD space, not their fault you broke the rules, you got what you paid for a listing. To reimburse you wouldn't be cost effective and they don't care you never use them again, they got 10 new suckers coming on line every second.

1397. Re: a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-18 23:40:37 UTC
From: invalid@example.com
Message-ID: <113mpo5611t9l4c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 14408
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.marketing.online.ebay

> Day in and day out these newsgroups are LOADED with nonsensical SPAM,
> and horeshit to beat the band, and nothing is ever done about it.

Other people pee in the pool and get away with it, so it's OK
for me to pee in the pool.

> Well folks, the gloves are off. I will offer the scanner on a
> straight FOR SALE basis 80 ZILLION times on these groups.

An now I am threatening to pee in the pool many more times.

1398. Re: EBAY SQUASHED MY LISTING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 00:06:41 UTC
From: Mace <nospam@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <l2K_d.200913$JF2.20031@tornado.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 14409
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

About time ebay did something to stop these spam postings.
Good for Ebay!

1399. Re: EBAY SQUASHED MY LISTING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 00:08:49 UTC
From: KC8GXW <whoever@wherever>
Message-ID: <qaGdna3XGLMS8KbfRVn-1g@centurytel.net>
Source record: 14410
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Dan Conti wrote:
> eBay, an idea that started out with good intentions, to serve the
> internet community has gone the way of so many other internet services
> of it's type. They think they're GOD, (along with many on these groups
> who think they are almost God, or NEWGROUP POLICE at the very least).
>
> eBay is now only concerned with MILKING the very MOST money they can
> from it's customers. Try to call them on the phone? Are you kidding?
> Send them an e-mail? Good luck. They don't care, and why should they,
> they're the only game in town. U.S. Capitalism at it's best, but more
> about THAT later...
>
> I recently put a UNIDEN 296D and 796D scanners on eBay for sale, and
> also made FA posts on these groups. Luckily, the 296D sold early with
> BUY IT NOW, and for some strange reason, the 796D was bid to a price
> past what the original BUY IT NOW pricing was. Yep, there's that good
> ole US capitalsim at work again. No one twists arms to bid that high,
> and as far as I know, ther is NO mechanism on eBay to STOP a bidder from
> bidding an item TOO HIGH.
>
> The auction was to end today. I would have had a decent return for my
> scanner, and the buyer would have a brand new, in box, never opened,
> never used scanner.
>
> But yesterday eBay replaced my auction with this notice......
>
> ***This listing (5759983900) has been removed by eBay or is no longer
> available. Please make sure that you've entered the item number correctly.
> If the item was removed by eBay, please consider this transaction
> canceled***
>
> I DID NOT CANCEL THE AUCTION.
>
> eBay offered NO explanation as to why they removed this auction. As far
> as I know, the 796D is NOT banned for sale on eBay. They cancel your
> auction, but they DO NOT refund your listing fee. They send you NO
> e-mail explaining the removal. NONE. I sent them an inquiry, but so
> far no response. This is NO WAY to do business.
>
> I am now out the listing fees, and the financial reward of the winning
> bid. eBay, or SOMEONE hurt me bad in this, for no legitimate reason....
>
> Other then what I THINK happened.

>
> Some NO LIFE, MISERABLE lurker in these groups, basically a RAT ,
> SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay, and they
> removed my auction. If you check my newsgroup post, and it's responses,
> you can formulate some potential suspects for yourself.
>
> Day in and day out these newsgroups are LOADED with nonsensical SPAM,
> and horeshit to beat the band, and nothing is ever done about it.
> Because someone was basically JEALOUS that my auction would bring a few
> bucks more then THEY thought it was worth, they act like a RAT BASTARD
> Newsgroup Cops and cause me harm.
>
> Well folks, the gloves are off. I will offer the scanner on a straight
> FOR SALE basis 80 ZILLION times on these groups. Since it is
> unfortunately the only game in town, if I have to, I will re-post it on
> eBay, but will follow eBay's rules and NOT post any FA on the
> newsgroups, not even rec.radio.swap.
>
> However, rest assured, that I will make a second vocation of reporting
> ANY and ALL FA eBay posts I find to eBay ad nauseum. Even those on the
> rec.swap group. eBay rules DO NOT allow posts even on a swap or for
> sale newsgroup. So, all you fellow hobbyists who get censored or DUMPED
> by eBay like I did, thank your fellow ASSHOLE lurker for it.
>
> Yes, this was LONG and I am PISSED. Thanks for reading.
>
> Dan

Just because you assume that somebody was an asshole to you, you
yourself will be an asshole to everybody else and SNITCH?

1400. Re: EBAY SQUASHED MY LISTING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 00:09:33 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <15K_d.35851$534.3062@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14411
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

> About time ebay did something to stop these spam postings.
> Good for Ebay!
>

Brilliant Mace, just brilliant.

Now the newsgroups will be free of SPAM, there will be no war, we will all win the lottery, and radio hobbyists will stop being weasels and rats, all thanks to eBay...

Well, I certainly will be doing my part to clear the groups of spammers.

Dan

1401. Re: EBAY SQUASHED MY LISTING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 00:16:05 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <9bK_d.35855$534.11775@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14412
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

> Hi Dan,
>
> I am sorry to hear about your mishap with Ebay. What is Ebays reasoning
> for not allowing FA's to be posted in newsgroups?

They don't give reasons for the "reasoning" , they don't have to. They
are GOD,
Is it because its considered spam? This is the first I have heard of
it.

It's the first you've heard of it beacuse it's been going on since eBay
was formed here on the newsgroups and no one cared or made a stink about
it. Go to rec.radio.swap right now and you will see at least 6 FA
postings. Are those auctions being yanked? Are they being reported to
eBay? DO you really thinks eBay gives a Rat's Ass about it until
someone reports it?

Someone dimed me out, plain and simple, and where I'm from, that's a
RAT. Not at al something to be proud of.
So WTB and FS
> are ok? But not FA? Am I copying that right?

I honestly don't know. This has been going around and around on the
newsgroups for years. Are Viagra ads OK? Are off topic political posts
in a shortwave group OK? and on and on?

I guess they are until Dan Conti posts a few FA posts during the year
and I am being reported to eBay, and now threatened to be reported to my
ISP.

Amazing.

>
> Thanks
>
> Mike Burch K8MB
>
>
>

1402. Re: a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 00:17:27 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <rcK_d.31751$qn2.6932504@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14414
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.marketing.online.ebay

>
>
> You're hardly blameless in all this,

You are right. I fully admit posting here on the groups.

However, it's a hard pill to swallow to have fellow hobbyists hurt you
in this way, when I meant no harm to anyone.

Over the years many hobbyists have benefiited from the equipment I've
sold. No one is getting rich.

I think if we are going to clean up the newsgroups, then Let's do it for
all, not just one because someone got their panties twisted seeing an
item bid up for more then it should have. That's just not fair.

but I do agree with you on one
> point... eBay should provide a legitimate reason each and every time it
> ends an auction.

They should, but for some reason in this case, they have not.

If and when I ever get a response, I will post it here. But I suspect
it is as I said, someone on these groups did me in.

So much for the amateur radio fraternity.

Dan

1403. Re: a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 00:18:38 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <ydK_d.31752$qn2.6933038@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14415
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.marketing.online.ebay

>
> Other people pee in the pool and get away with it, so it's OK
> for me to pee in the pool.
>
>
>>Well folks, the gloves are off. I will offer the scanner on a
>>straight FOR SALE basis 80 ZILLION times on these groups.
>
>
> An now I am threatening to pee in the pool many more times.

And what's your point?

After being damaged by another so called "hobbyist", am I supposed to
turn the other cheek?

Would you?

Dan

1404. Re: EBAY SQUASHED MY LISTING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 00:22:02 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <KgK_d.35858$534.34597@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14413
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

>
> I have bought and sold much on Ebay. I have had them cancel an auction for
> violation of some rule of theirs, but they always e-mailed me with the
> reason.

Still no e-mail or response to my inquiry from eBay.

It was always something I did because I did not read the entire
> rules. They also always refunded my "insertion fee". They are certainly a
> big company, and you can "take them or leave them" I'm sure they would not
> care. How do you pay them? If you do as everyone should, pay with a credit
> card you can challenge the charge. Over the years I have had EXCELLENT
> service from both Master Card and Discover when someone tried to burn me
> both on line and in person.
> If, and it's a BIG if, this really happened like you "claim", it sounds
> like it was some kind of mistake.

Click this link and you will see that my auction was in fact dumped.

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5759983900&ssPageName=ADME:B:LC:US:1

>Does anyone here know if Ebay has some
> rule about posting a link to their auctions in Usenet?

Yes, you are not suppsed to post anything related to an eBay auction
ANYWHERE outside of eBay in any form or advertisement. This may be to
protect them from charges of SPAM from their company, and also for them
to maintain an absolute monopoly and control over any content or ads
from their service.

> If people really did bid on your scanner you can just e-mail them and make
> a deal to sell them the scanner.

Yes, they REALLY did. And guess what? I can't e-mail them because the
auction is GONE and I dont' have the information.

And you know what? Doing so would violate YET ANOTHER eBay rule. If
you click the link above and read, you will see what it is.

Dan

1405. Re: EBAY SQUASHED MY LISTING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 00:31:08 UTC
From: Ed <Huckleberry_REMOVE_@bigvalley.net>
Message-ID: <Xns961DA87716020spectrumhogstarbandn@207.106.93.175>
Source record: 14416
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I will re-post it
> on eBay, but will follow eBay's rules and NOT post any FA on the
> newsgroups, not even rec.radio.swap.
>

I'd be interested in seeing that particular rule.... if you would please provide a link. It doesn't seem logical to me that EBay would prohibit the advertising of their own auction on other sites, when such advertising can only further EBay's chance of increased profits through the EBay sale going as high as possible.

Ed

1406. Re: a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 00:33:21 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <lrK_d.35862$534.7568@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14417
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.marketing.online.ebay

Using a keyboard just removed from horse shit, the always anonymous,
never anonymous bud wrote....
>
> Dan SHOULD be aware that he's risking his cable modem connection
> if he does that. RR can be slow to act, but they DO act on obvious
> newsgroup abuse, such as flooding.

Does what? What flooding?

>
> And Dan HAS already made it clear that he KNOWS what he says he'll
> do is wrong.

What are you talking about? I am within my rights to post FS items as
often as I like.

I fully admit here that I was in error posting FS to the groups other
then the swap group, and for that I apologize.

It seems like I will be the only one following the rules from now on,
but I sure will also be watching and making they are enforced for everyone.

Hey Bud, is it easy to report someone to ebay????

Dan

And P.S., if the ISPs were as concerned with abuse as they are with
making BIG MONEY off me and others, the newsgroups and other areas of
the internet would be CLEAN.

We all know that isn't the case.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1407. Re: a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 00:35:36 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <stK_d.35863$534.13042@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14418
Cross-posted to: alt.marketing.online.ebay,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

>
> EBay has LONG been known for inconsistent and arbitrary policy decisions.
>
> The best bet is to NOT post for sale or auction posts here,
> but to the group MADE for them, rec.radio.swap.

You are WRONG. The rule does not allow for ANY posting of ANYTHING eBay
related ANYWHERE. Period. Not even on rec.radio.swap.
>
> That way, no one can complain about the posts being off-topic.

They will and they do.

All of those FA postings right now on rec,radio.swap have to go....

Dan
>
>
>
>
>

1408. Re: EBAY SQUASHED MY LISTING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 00:37:31 UTC
From: cl <clfurent@Yahoo.Com>
Message-ID: <vvK_d.54371$NC6.12794@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 14419
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Ed" <Huckleberry_REMOVE_@bigvalley.net> wrote in message
news:Xns961DA87716020spectrumhogstarbandn@207.106.93.175...
>
>
> I will re-post it
>> on eBay, but will follow eBay's rules and NOT post any FA on the
>> newsgroups, not even rec.radio.swap.
>>
>
>
> I'd be interested in seeing that particular rule.... if you would please
> provide a link. It doesn't seem logical to me that EBay would prohibit
> the
> advertising of their own auction on other sites, when such advertising can
> only further EBay's chance of increased profits through the EBay sale
> going
> as high as possible.
>
>
>
> Ed

I've advertised FAs here just recently, my auctions weren't cancelled. I'm wondering if somehow, "maybe" someone sent E-Bay - the non tech wizards they are, a notice that perhaps your scanner was modified or whatever, to make them think it was illegal????? Just a thought. I've seen other FAs in here as well, none of them complained of having their auctions cut short.

The ONLY auction "I" had cut short, was a "linear" I was auctioning off - listed as NOT working, the finals pulled, for parts. I listed it I believe for 10 meters, yet they pulled it. No big deal... But they've never pulled my auctions for posting FA.

IF you have suspects in mind, I suggest you take it up with them. Why turn vigilante against the whole group?

cl

1409. Re: a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 00:43:44 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <4BK_d.35865$534.7099@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14420
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.marketing.online.ebay

>
> Listing an item FA: in a newsgroup is an ebay violation? OK it is but
> why? How does attracting more potential buyers and getting a higher
> FAVF bad for ebay?

You would think so, but that's there rule. You can ask the same
question this way:

How does listing a FA posting on scanner and swap newsgroups where
someone might find an item they need or want, become really bad for the
newsgroups and it's readers, considering that 99% of what is posted
daily is plain SPAM and horseshit?

Answer - because some here have NO life and simply MUST enforce THEIR
will upon others.
>
> As for not reimbursing a listing fee they can get away with that because
> of all the disclaimers in that you willingly posted something was in
> violation and it was up taking HD space, not their fault you broke the
> rules, you got what you paid for a listing. To reimburse you wouldn't
> be cost effective and they don't care you never use them again, they got
> 10 new suckers coming on line every second.

I agree with you 100% on the above.

Well, the couple bucks for the listing fee is money well spent. This has
been some education.

Dan

1410. Re: a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 00:44:11 UTC
From: Sancho Pansy <abuse@google.com>
Message-ID: <vBK_d.24449$OU1.6912@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 14421
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.marketing.online.ebay

You listed one or more items that I did not approve of,
so I had the offending auctions removed. If you re-list
them, I will have your account canceled. Do not reply
to this message.

<smap@spap.fki> wrote in message
news:4umm315q16b30nsjppnukoni9a1b9kmsun@4ax.com...
>
< Super-whine>

1411. Re: a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 00:46:43 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <TDK_d.35867$534.34235@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14422
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.marketing.online.ebay

>>>The Uniden 296D and 796D violate the listing
>>>rules of eBay. They don't allow continuous
>>>coverage scanners...
>>

>
> The plot thickens.. what a fucking loser!

The UNIDEN 296 D and 796 D scanners ARE NOT continuous coverage scanners.

YOU CANNOT buy one no way, no how, no where, unless you buy a very old
model scanner like the 2006, etc...

There are a BUNCH of 296D and 796D scanners on eBay right now. There is
nothing about the scanner that would cause the auction to be removed.

Someone just dimed me out.

Dan

1412. Re: EBAY SQUASHED MY LISTING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 00:48:55 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <XFK_d.35869$534.6850@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14423
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.marketing.online.ebay

>
> It is spam becuase you posted it in places like alt.marketing.online.ebay and
> alt.radio.scanner
>
>
I NEVER posted it on alt.marketing.online.ebay

I did on the latter, and yes it is considered SPAM there, just like 99%
of the daily postings are....

1413. Re: FS : UNIDEN BC796D digital trunking mobile/base scanner NIB!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 00:49:16 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <423b76a2.43801082@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 14424
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Now Dan, no doubt someone done you wrong, but....
Asking for additional funds for PayPal is against THEIR terms of
service, and of course they are owned by Ebay, so you just set
yourself up for someone to get you kicked out of PayPal.
I just wonder if there was something in your listing that was
offensive to them - did you say "cellular blocked"?. They may key on
the word "cellular" in any radio auction.

On Fri, 18 Mar 2005 21:43:36 GMT, Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote:

>I am selling a brand new, in the box, never used UNIDEN BC796D mobile/
>base scanner. Of course, as such it includes manual and all
>accessories. This is latest digital trunking scanner offering from
>Uniden which is fully APCO 25 digital capable with the digital card
>already installed and on board.
>
>CHeck my eBay feedback under OFFDAN.
>
>I am asking $475.00 which will also include UPS ground, insured shippng.
>
>I will accept a US Postal money order, or personal check. Personal
>check will require my delaying the shipment until the check clears.
>
>I will also accept PAYPAL, but at this price, will ask for an extra
>$10.00 to cover the PAYPAL fee.
>
>E-Mail only if interested.
>
>Dan

1414. Re: EBAY SQUASHED MY LISTING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 00:51:46 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <CIK_d.31753$qn2.6941844@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14429
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

d
>
>
> I've advertised FAs here just recently, my auctions weren't cancelled. I'm
> wondering if somehow, "maybe" someone sent E-Bay - the non tech wizards they
> are, a notice that perhaps your scanner was modified or whatever, to make
> them think it was illegal????? Just a thought. I've seen other FAs in here
> as well, none of them complained of having their auctions cut short.

Good thought. I was thinking the same thing. We all know it is
impossible for the 796D to be continuous coverage or modded, but eBay
will go by whatever someone tells them.

This is why I can't wait to see their response.
>
> The ONLY auction "I" had cut short, was a "linear" I was auctioning off -
> listed as NOT working, the finals pulled, for parts. I listed it I believe
> for 10 meters, yet they pulled it. No big deal... But they've never pulled
> my auctions for posting FA.

If NO NE dropped a dime to them about you posting FA, they will never
bother you. DO you really think eBay scours the newsgroups for
violations? They turn a blind eye until someone makes a complaint, then
they have to act.

>
> IF you have suspects in mind, I suggest you take it up with them. Why turn
> vigilante against the whole group?

I have A suspect in mind, but can never prove it. eBay will not turn
over the info on the complainant.

No vigilante, just making sure that what was good for Goose Dan, is good
for the gander.

You can thank the no-life asshole among you for it...

Dan
>
> cl
>
>

1415. Re: EBAY SQUASHED MY LISTING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 00:51:49 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <FIK_d.35871$534.2405@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14425
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.marketing.online.ebay

>
> I think lots of people are sick of sicko Dan's Spams.

Interesting comment from someone hidden in anonymity.

I NEVER post anything to these groups except for an occasional FS or FA
posting, YES, just like EVERYONE ELSE peeing in this cesspool, yet I am
a sicko, and a spammer.

You're just an asshole.

Dan <- real name

1416. Re: a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 00:52:15 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <3JK_d.31754$qn2.6941923@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14428
Cross-posted to: alt.marketing.online.ebay,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

> I think he needs a thorazine.

No, just my scanner sold, thank you.

1417. Re: EBAY SQUASHED MY LISTING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 00:54:20 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <0LK_d.35874$534.26787@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14426
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

> Just because you assume that somebody was an asshole to you, you
> yourself will be an asshole to everybody else and SNITCH?
>
Nope.

Since the consensus seems to be that everyone wants all this SPAM stuff
removed, especially the eBay related FA stuff, I will do my part to help.

It's NOT snithcing because I am being up front, telling you I'm gonna do it.

It's my civic newsgroup duty.

And I don't hide behind anonymity either.

If YOUR private business was damaged like mine was in this case, you'd
be on the same torrent as I am now, or worse.

Dan

1418. Re: EBAY SQUASHED MY LISTING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 00:56:03 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <DMK_d.35875$534.24420@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14427
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

>
> I'd be interested in seeing that particular rule.... if you would please
> provide a link. It doesn't seem logical to me that EBay would prohibit the
> advertising of their own auction on other sites, when such advertising can
> only further EBay's chance of increased profits through the EBay sale going
> as high as possible.
>

Ed,

I am sure eBay will answer my inquiry in due time.

I am confident the remoavl was as a result of what I've stated.

I will post their response here, and hopefully the rules as well.

Once I do that, there will be NO MORE FA psoting anywhere on the radio
newsgroups.

Dan

1419. Re: a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 00:56:32 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <4NK_d.31755$qn2.6942575@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14430
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.marketing.online.ebay

> Do you think that people in you hobby can not find ebay on their own?
> Rationalize your spam any way you like, you are not doing anyone a favor by
> flooding usenet.

Flooding Usenet?

Give me a break. What is it now, beat up on Dan time?

Keep it coming, I can take it. You people are pikers.

Show me the FLOOD! In the last two months I posted TWO scanners here FA.

I can show you a TON of SPAM and FA postings that would make the Noahs
Ark flood look like a puddle.

Get off your high horse and knock it off already.

I admitted I made the posts, I USE MY REAL NAME, and stated I would only
post FS in the swap group from now on. WHat mmore do you want?

It's more then ANY of you would do.

Dan

1420. Re: FS : UNIDEN BC796D digital trunking mobile/base scanner NIB!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 01:06:40 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <AWK_d.31757$qn2.6944059@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14431
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

> Now Dan, no doubt someone done you wrong, but....
> Asking for additional funds for PayPal is against THEIR terms of
> service, and of course they are owned by Ebay, so you just set
> yourself up for someone to get you kicked out of PayPal.

Bruce...thanks for the "heads up", I didn't realize it was against their rules, but once again, I;ve seen it done numerous times. I guess it's just Dan Conti who can't do it!

Looks like this one was jinxed and I just aint gonna sell it Bruce.

If I put it on eBay for a BUY IT NOW price of $150.00, do you think anyone would drop a dime on me to get it cancelled?

DO you think anyone would comment here on how cheapt it was?

I doubt it.

> I just wonder if there was something in your listing that was
> offensive to them - did you say "cellular blocked"?. They may key on
> the word "cellular" in any radio auction.

Nope. Nothing. The bid on it the last time I looked before it was squashed was $510.00. More then what I listed the Buy It Now at. Yes, a high price, but I cannot control that, and I am sure anyone else here would look to get that price as well.

Read through previous posts and you will see the original FA on it and then some interesting comments. You make your own judgement.

1421. Re: FS : UNIDEN BC796D digital trunking mobile/base scanner NIB!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 01:08:08 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <YXK_d.31758$qn2.6944233@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14432
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Someone was kind enough to inform me that asking for the PAYPAL fee was against their rules, so I retract that potyion of the post.

I will accept PAYPAL with no additional cost involved.

The total for the scanner is $475.00 shipped.

E-mail if interested.

Dan

1422. Pro-95 ON-SALE Clearance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 01:24:37 UTC
From: Ken <ktm@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <pbL_d.1025$hg.720@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 14433

Hey fellow scannerist. Radio Shack has the Pro-95 on sale for $129.99.
I bought mine today :-)

1423. Re: a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 01:25:51 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <zcL_d.34962$rB3.6511090@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14434
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.marketing.online.ebay

>
>
> That you should act like a MAN, and not a 6 year old.

6 year olds hide behind silly anonymous names.

REAL MEN come out from behind their masks and say whats on thier minds,
right or wrong, silly or not.

DO you?
>
> LEARN from your experiences, however much it hurts.

Oh, you can rest assured I've learned well, once and for all. My
education will result in efforts toward a cleaner usenet for all, trust
me on that.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1424. Some coax experitments.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 01:46:02 UTC
From: r2000swler@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1111196762.240931.311050@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14435

A friend was contracted to do some wiring in a local hospital.
They were to order Belcon 1506A as part would run through an
air vent system. Some fool in purchasing decided to go with 1505A.
Same cable except it ain't plenium rated. The supplier wouldn't
take it back, and they had no storage space so he was given, as in
legal transferr with documentation.
The fool in purchasing lost his job.
Must be hard to be on the street when you are 58.

My friend had about as much use for this cable as I do a poke in the
eye. So he gave it to me. This is GOOD, very good cable loss at 1500MHz

is only 9.3dB/100'. Last weekend we helped a mutual handicaped friend
put up a better scanner and SW antenna. We used a laptop,my PRC1000 and
compared the 1506A with standard and low loss 50 Ohm coaxes. Pretty
day,
good fun. While we climbed like monkeys he fixed on hell of a supper.
First cookout of the new year and the food was GREAT!

With an NIB older radioshack diskcone, the one without the vertical
stinger, and a pasternack PL-259 to "F" female adaptor we tried some
tests. The 1505A was better, lower loss and greater noise rejection,
then any other cable we tried. Just for grins I used 50' of 50 Ohm
Heliax and while it was slightly better, heliax is are and expensive.
We also tried some of Beldons normal CATV coax and it was at least
as good as the 50 ohm and was a lot cheaper. His coax run is 50' from
the antenna to the ground (AKA "static" block. With a ~10 run to his
radio desk. He lives about 20 miles from Lexington KY, so we used
distant
(>50 miles)NOAA stations and the UKPD/LFFFUCG fire trunking system.
This isn't a state of the art installation. My friend isn't interested
in trying to receive weak distant signals. HE just wants to keep up
with
his old comrades. We could listen to PD/FR and aero comms from a 50
mile
radius. He is tickled pink. Perhaps his ineterest will grow. And
perhaps not.

The foil and copper braid offer much better shielding, going from 96%
for braid, to to 100% for braid foil. This helps keep noise from your
computers from entering the signal path after the coax is in your radio

room. I have an older Contura 40MHz? laptop that the LCD screen is
NASTY.
I mainly use it for my DeLorme GPS but it will control my PRC1000 very
nicely. We learned that routing of coax can be more important then most

radio users think. By passing the recieve coax across the front of the LCD screen , standard braid only coax started letting RF noise into the receiver.
I used a "bunch"(10 on each side) of ferrite beads to keep the RF from traveling up the braid's outer surface. Without the beads, even with the
coax well away from the PC, we got a few slight birdies. This even happened with the heliax.

For SW CATV worked as well as any other cable I have ever tried. Our friend
is on a limited income, long term disability insurance, and it was nice to be
able to do a first class install without breaking his piggy bank. While 1505A is clearly overkill it works very nice. This left more money for his radios.
I have loaned him my PCR1000 untill his radios arrive. And it was nice to use
a TV A/B switch to allow him to change from the diskcone to the 100' longwire. And yea the 100' longwire overloads the PCR1000 on HF, but a simple el-cheapo radioshack varaible attenuator, with F in and out takes care of that. And yes
a 100' wire beats a diskcone for HF reception. Period!

I think the average SWL and scanner user is missing a bet by not investigating
CATV coax and "F" fittings. There are good weather proof male "F" fittings
that are much easier, and cheaper!, to make good connections with then BNC.
Good CATV coax is much less expensive then similar 50 Ohm stuff because they
make so much more of the CATV cable. Ground blocks are inexpensive and will
allow one to easily meet the NEC requirement to ground the outer conductor on
coax. And this stuff is made to stand up to bad weatehr. Cable TV companies and
Direct TV want their installs to be as trouble free as they can make them.
If you talk with your local cable installer you can often get odd lengths of
CATV for the taking. I admit usng the 1505A was overkill , but why not, we ahd it.
Terry

1425. Re: EBAY SQUASHED MY LISTING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 01:47:48 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <8xL_d.35879$534.22235@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14436
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Spam

eBay policy prohibits members from sending spam. Spam is defined as email that is both unsolicited and is commercial in nature.

* Unsolicited - The email must have been sent without the permission of the person who received it.
* Commercial - The email must discuss buying, selling or trading of goods or services.

Some examples of spam include:

* Unsolicited email offers for items that are the same or similar to items you are bidding on or have bid on in the past.
* Email sent to you from a mailing list without your permission.
* Direct or stand-alone invitations to join a mailing list.
* Email sent using the "Contact eBay Member" link on the eBay Web site to send unsolicited commercial offers.

Some members have also reporting receiving requests for personal information in email messages that are made to appear that they were sent from eBay. More information on these messages, as well as how they can be reported, can be found on the Email and Websites Impersonating eBay page.

Please be aware that eBay's spam policy applies only to unsolicited commercial messages sent by eBay members. If you are receiving surveys, promotional messages or other email from eBay that you feel you should not be receiving, please refer to the Notification Preferences Overview for information on how to change the types of email you receive from eBay.

Email messages that are not commercial in nature, including offensive or unwelcome email, do not fall under the eBay spam policy.

Sellers are permitted to invite other users to join a mailing list as long as the email is related to the transaction and the mailing list invitation is not the primary purpose of the email.

Instant messages and other forms of communication conducted outside of eBay are not within our jurisdiction. Although posts on the eBay Discussion Boards are not reviewed as spam, eBay does have a policy related to appropriate board usage.

If you have received spam from another eBay member, please report it. In order to investigate a spam report, we must have a complete copy of the email you received with the full header included.

If you received a warning for violating our spam policy and you believe that it was sent in error, please contact us .

If you were suspended for violating our Spam policy and would like to contact us, please send us an email.

1426. Re: EBAY SQUASHED MY LISTING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 01:48:52 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <8yL_d.35880$534.8721@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14437
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Seems pretty clear.

ANYTHING related to eBay posted on USENET is a No No.

I'll be watching...

Dan

> Spam
>
> eBay policy prohibits members from sending spam. Spam is defined as
> email that is both unsolicited and is commercial in nature.
>
> * Unsolicited - The email must have been sent without the permission
> of the person who received it.
> * Commercial - The email must discuss buying, selling or trading of
> goods or services.
>
> Some examples of spam include:
>
> * Unsolicited email offers for items that are the same or similar to
> items you are bidding on or have bid on in the past.
> * Email sent to you from a mailing list without your permission.
> * Direct or stand-alone invitations to join a mailing list.
> * Email sent using the "Contact eBay Member" link on the eBay Web
> site to send unsolicited commercial offers.
>
> Some members have also reporting receiving requests for personal
> information in email messages that are made to appear that they were
> sent from eBay. More information on these messages, as well as how they
> can be reported, can be found on the Email and Websites Impersonating
> eBay page.
>
> Please be aware that eBay's spam policy applies only to unsolicited
> commercial messages sent by eBay members. If you are receiving surveys,
> promotional messages or other email from eBay that you feel you should
> not be receiving, please refer to the Notification Preferences Overview
> for information on how to change the types of email you receive from eBay.
>
> Email messages that are not commercial in nature, including offensive or
> unwelcome email, do not fall under the eBay spam policy.
>
> Sellers are permitted to invite other users to join a mailing list as
> long as the email is related to the transaction and the mailing list

> invitation is not the primary purpose of the email.
>
> Instant messages and other forms of communication conducted outside of
> eBay are not within our jurisdiction. Although posts on the eBay
> Discussion Boards are not reviewed as spam, eBay does have a policy
> related to appropriate board usage.
>
> If you have received spam from another eBay member, please report it. In
> order to investigate a spam report, we must have a complete copy of the
> email you received with the full header included.
>
> If you received a warning for violating our spam policy and you believe
> that it was sent in error, please contact us .
>
> If you were suspended for violating our Spam policy and would like to
> contact us, please send us an email.

1427. Connecticut Frequenices?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 01:54:36 UTC
From: John Kerkes <jekerkes@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <wDL_d.11404$DW.3122@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 14330

Just wondering if anyone knows where i might be able to purchase an up to date frequency book for my state? Iwould also be interested in any web sites.

1428. Re: a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 02:00:53 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <pJL_d.35882$534.765@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14438
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.marketing.online.ebay

>
> I have no eBay account, so I can't file a complaint there,
> except for the eBay/Paypal phishing spams I get.

You don't need an eBay account to file a SPAM complaint.

Nice try

1429. Re: a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 02:13:20 UTC
From: "Angrie.Woman" <spamspameggsbaconandspam@spam.com>
Message-ID: <4VL_d.7248$ZB6.965@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 14439
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.marketing.online.ebay

>> Dan wrote:
>
>>
>>
>>
>>
.
>>
>> eBay offered NO explanation as to why they removed this auction. As
>> far as I know, the 796D is NOT banned for sale on eBay. They cancel
>> your auction, but they DO NOT refund your listing fee.

http://pages.ebay.com/help/confidence/listing-ended.html#6 - Yes they do.

A

1430. Re: EBAY SQUASHED MY LISTING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 02:14:20 UTC
From: Ed Clarke <clarke@cilia.org>
Message-ID: <3a1g7sF66tkf8U1@individual.net>
Source record: 14440
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.marketing.online.ebay

On 2005-03-19, nospam@nospam.com <nospam@nospam.com> wrote:

> It is spam becuase you posted it in places like alt.marketing.online.ebay and
> alt.radio.scanner

I looked in Google and can find no record of him cross-posting to
alt.marketing.online.ebay. The first posting about this was someone
else who followed up his message with:

SPAM SPAM SPAM

And thought his/her/it's wonderous message deserved to be crossposted
to AMOE. I can't figure how Kris Baker's name got brought into this mess
since Kris has said nothing about it as far as I can tell.

In any case, please argue elsewhere.

--
This signature left blank.

1431. Re: a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 02:29:54 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <C8M_d.31760$qn2.6956407@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14441
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.marketing.online.ebay

```
>
> http://pages.ebay.com/help/confidence/listing-ended.html#6 - Yes they do.
>
> A
Maybe they'll issue a credit at the end of a cycle, but as of right now
- nothing...

>
```

1432. Re: a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 03:00:01 UTC
From: Kris Baker <kris.baker@prodigyy.net>
Message-ID: <RAM_d.15001$Zh4.2699@newssvr31.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 14442
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.marketing.online.ebay

"Never anonymous Bud" <newskat@katxyzkave.net> wrote in message
news:mk4n31142s7osj3c64v7qns4ns4m09m4va@4ax.com...
> Using a finger dipped in purple ink, Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
> scribed:
>
>>
>>>
>>> I have no eBay account, so I can't file a complaint there,
>>> except for the eBay/Paypal phishing spams I get.
>>
>>You don't need an eBay account to file a SPAM complaint.
>
> Yes, you do, because you have to log into eBay before you can access that
> webpage.

No, you don't.

All you have to do is forward it (with headers) to spam@ebay.com

Really.

Kris

1433. Re: FS : UNIDEN BC796D digital trunking mobile/base scanner NIB!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 03:15:44 UTC
From: Jeff <oldog@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <APM_d.277$b37.156@attbi_s02>
Source record: 14443
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
news:AWK_d.31757$qn2.6944059@twister.nyc.rr.com...
>
> > Now Dan, no doubt someone done you wrong, but....
> > Asking for additional funds for PayPal is against THEIR terms of
> > service, and of course they are owned by Ebay, so you just set
> > yourself up for someone to get you kicked out of PayPal.
>
> Bruce...thanks for the "heads up", I didn't realize it was against their
> rules, but once again, I;ve seen it done numerous times. I guess it's
> just Dan Conti who can't do it!

Dan

I didnt see the auction but if you did put in words about a fee
for using pay pal I can "guarantee" you that is what did you in. Its too
bad they waited till almost the close of the auction to catch it. Ive had
that very thing happen to me 2 times, and when they finally got around
to emailing me as to why, that was their reason. As to whether someone
reported it is another story. 1 of my auctions they shut down was close
to the end too. Try not and get too paranoid until you get your email
from ebay.

Jeff

1434. Re: EBAY SQUASHED MY LISTING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 03:23:05 UTC
From: KC8GXW <whoever@wherever>
Message-ID: <8N2dnVM2rMCEBqbfRVn-vg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 14444
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Dan Conti wrote:
>
>
> It's NOT snithcing because I am being up front, telling you I'm gonna do
> it.
>

>
> Dan

Dan
I understand your being mad, as I would be also.

This I don't understand, It's NOT snitching because you are being up
front, telling people you're gonna do it?
That would be like me saying "It's not theft if I tell you that I'm
going to steal it!"

A rats a rat and a thief is a thief!

Do like most and post anonymously and say " Hey look what I just found
on EBAY, what a great deal"

1435. Re: a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 03:42:29 UTC
From: manhater@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1111203749.222209.312180@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14445
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.marketing.online.ebay

smap@spap.fki wrote:
> eBay, an idea that started out with good intentions, to serve the
> internet community has gone the way of so many other internet
services
> of it's type. They think they're GOD, (along with many on these
groups
> who think they are almost God, or NEWGROUP POLICE at the very least).
>
> eBay is now only concerned with MILKING the very MOST money they can
> from it's customers. Try to call them on the phone? Are you
kidding?
> Send them an e-mail? Good luck. They don't care, and why should
they,
> they're the only game in town. U.S. Capitalism at it's best, but
more
> about THAT later...
>
> I recently put a UNIDEN 296D and 796D scanners on eBay for sale, and
> also made FA posts on these groups. Luckily, the 296D sold early
with
> BUY IT NOW, and for some strange reason, the 796D was bid to a price
> past what the original BUY IT NOW pricing was. Yep, there's that
good
> ole US capitalsim at work again. No one twists arms to bid that
high,
> and as far as I know, ther is NO mechanism on eBay to STOP a bidder
from
> bidding an item TOO HIGH.
>
> The auction was to end today. I would have had a decent return for
my
> scanner, and the buyer would have a brand new, in box, never opened,
> never used scanner.
>
> But yesterday eBay replaced my auction with this notice......
>
> ***This listing (5759983900) has been removed by eBay or is no
longer
> available. Please make sure that you've entered the item number
correctly.
> If the item was removed by eBay, please consider this transaction
> canceled***
>

> I DID NOT CANCEL THE AUCTION.
>
> eBay offered NO explanation as to why they removed this auction. As
far
> as I know, the 796D is NOT banned for sale on eBay. They cancel your

> auction, but they DO NOT refund your listing fee. They send you NO
> e-mail explaining the removal. NONE. I sent them an inquiry, but so

> far no response. This is NO WAY to do business.
>
> I am now out the listing fees, and the financial reward of the
winning
> bid. eBay, or SOMEONE hurt me bad in this, for no legitimate
reason....
>
> Other then what I THINK happened.
>
> Some NO LIFE, MISERABLE lurker in these groups, basically a RAT ,
> SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay, and they
> removed my auction. If you check my newsgroup post, and it's
responses,
> you can formulate some potential suspects for yourself.
>
> Day in and day out these newsgroups are LOADED with nonsensical SPAM,

> and horeshit to beat the band, and nothing is ever done about it.
> Because someone was basically JEALOUS that my auction would bring a
few
> bucks more then THEY thought it was worth, they act like a RAT
BASTARD
> Newsgroup Cops and cause me harm.
>
> Well folks, the gloves are off. I will offer the scanner on a
straight
> FOR SALE basis 80 ZILLION times on these groups. Since it is
> unfortunately the only game in town, if I have to, I will re-post it
on
> eBay, but will follow eBay's rules and NOT post any FA on the
>
alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.online.marketing.ebay
> newsgroups, not even rec.radio.swap.
>
> However, rest assured, that I will make a second vocation of
reporting
> ANY and ALL FA eBay posts (especially by Kris Baker) I find to eBay
ad nauseum.
> Even those on the rec.swap group. eBay rules DO NOT allow posts even
on a swap
> or for sale newsgroup. So, all you fellow hobbyists who get censored
or DUMPED

> by eBay like I did, thank your fellow ASSHOLE lurker for it.
>
> Yes, this was LONG and I am PISSED. Thanks for reading.
>
> Dan

1436. Re: EBAY SQUASHED MY LISTING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 03:43:48 UTC
From: DeWayne <k9kz@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <UdN_d.2234$rL3.1975@fe2.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 14446
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
news:xVH_d.35824$534.20578@twister.nyc.rr.com...

> think they're GOD,

As far as internet auctions go, Aren't they?

1437. Re: EBAY SQUASHED MY LISTING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 03:55:45 UTC
From: farfromsane <NOFREAKINSPAM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <4pN_d.40$g05.2058343@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 14447
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

GOD = "We don't need to provide no stinkin' customer service"

"DeWayne" <k9kz@indy.rr.com> wrote in message
news:UdN_d.2234$rL3.1975@fe2.columbus.rr.com...
>
> "Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:xVH_d.35824$534.20578@twister.nyc.rr.com...
>
> > think they're GOD,
>
> As far as internet auctions go, Aren't they?
>
>

1438. Re: EBAY SQUASHED MY LISTING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 04:13:46 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <_FN_d.34964$rB3.6538571@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14448
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

>
> Actually, it says NOTHING of the sort.
>
> It NEVER mentioned Usenet at all, and since your post
> wasn't commercial (you're not a dealer), I can't see
> where your post violated THAT 'rule'.

It talks about SPAM with regard to e-mail. eBay also considers posting
their auctions to Usenet SPAM, which it is, and as suck violates the rule.

I can see NO OTHER logical reason why the auction was cancelled.

If and when I receive a response from them, I will post it here.
>
> Personally, I'd call your post 'newsgroup abuse',
> which eBay CLEARLY doesn't care about.

Well, one's newsgroup abuse is another's useful post. Most of what is
on the newsgroups is abuse, and you know it Bud.
>
> And I ALREADY posted directly to you how I felt about your post.
> You're just too much of a jerk to accept that and LEAVE it at that.

Coming from an asshole, Jerk is a welcome moniker.

>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1439. Re: EBAY SQUASHED MY LISTING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 04:14:00 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <cGN_d.34965$rB3.6538559@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14449
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

DeWayne wrote:
> "Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:xVH_d.35824$534.20578@twister.nyc.rr.com...
>
>
>>think they're GOD,
>
>
> As far as internet auctions go, Aren't they?
>
>
Yep

1440. Re: Would you really buy from Dan NOW...??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 04:25:55 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <nRN_d.36095$534.27697@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14301
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

> Let's see...one transaction doesn't go his way, and he launches into a
> paranoid tirade, threatening every potential seller on these groups as
> retribution.
>
> Now - imagine you're the buyer, and something goes amiss with YOUR
> transaction.
>
> Think about it.
>
> There's plenty of other equipment to buy on eBay.
>
> 'Nuff said.

Thanks Jeff. Nothing like adding fuel to the fire.

Do you ALWAYS kick someone when they are down?

My reputation speaks for itself. Many, many folks have done business
with me and were more then satisfied, here and on eBay.

I'm the "bad guy of the day" right now because I chose to rant about the
hypocrisy and silly pettiness that occurs here on the newsgroups.

Why do you think I went to eBay, as do most other radio hobbyists to
sell their equipment?

Think about it.

And do you ALWAYS end with "Nuff said?

1441. Re: a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 04:26:58 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <mSN_d.36096$534.3110@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14302
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.marketing.online.ebay

>>Yes, you do, because you have to log into eBay before you can access that
>>webpage.
>
>
> No, you don't.
>
> All you have to do is forward it (with headers) to spam@ebay.com
>
> Really.
>
> Kris
>

Thanks Kris. Someone here with a brain.

1442. Re: a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 04:30:09 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <IVN_d.36097$534.30434@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14303
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.marketing.online.ebay

n
>
>
> You posted about only two scanners this go around yes.. but you posted about
> them Many times and to several groups.

Yes, I admit it. I confess.

I posted to THREE radio groups initally,(one of them a SWAP group, no
less) and then to the SAME Three groups a second time.

At least I can admit my mistake.

In the grand scheme of what goes on daily on these groups, did it really
warrant the action that was taken to cause me personal harm?

Dan

1443. Re: FS : UNIDEN BC796D digital trunking mobile/base scanner NIB!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 04:34:22 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <iZN_d.36098$534.15369@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14304
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

>
> ---
>
> Dan
>
> I didnt see the auction but if you did put in words about a fee
> for using pay pal I can "guarantee" you that is what did you in. Its too
> bad they waited till almost the close of the auction to catch it. Ive had
> that very thing happen to me 2 times, and when they finally got around
> to emailing me as to why, that was their reason. As to whether someone
> reported it is another story. 1 of my auctions they shut down was close
> to the end too. Try not and get too paranoid until you get your email
> from ebay.
>
> Jeff
>

Jeff,

The PAY{AL fee stuff was not in the auction. I put that in the
Newsgroup post and someone called me on it, I acknowledged the mistake,
and posted a retraction right away.

Jeez, it really seems like my posts, actions, and I are being held to a
higher standard then MOST others just because I was trying to sell a
DEAD scanner.

Dan

1444. Re: EBAY SQUASHED MY LISTING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 04:35:02 UTC
From: Sky King <SkyKing5@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <423BABF6.3000106@optonline.net>
Source record: 14305
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Hey Dan, if you post to newsgroups, do one at a time, that is not considered spamming.
Also, If you read the ebay rules on newsgroups they don't want you to advertise ebay on the groups.
You are just using FA for your own personal auction and not promoting ebay.
Also, it says ebay will not take any action if somebody else posted your auction, or if they can't confirm
an e-mail address that is not registered with ebay. Many people do look at the auctions listed FA: on the groups.
Some people are cry babies, some with potty mouths who bitch about it. These people should use their filters so
they won't see anything with FA:.
A friend of mine that uses ebay posted his auction on the groups a few months ago. Now, he ran into a cry baby and said he complained to ebay. Well that auction went on.
This jerk used a false e-mail address to post thinking he was safe. As my buddy said to him on the newsgroups (which the baby spammed) YOU has been reported to
to your provider. He told them this guy is causing trouble on the groups and is spamming and using a made up address.
The newsgroup moderators don't take kind to that.
Guess what? My buddy posted more auctions and the "baby rat" was never heard from again. My buddy assumed that his own provider banned him since he never posted again. Seems it does not pay to be a schmuck!

Dan Conti wrote:

> eBay, an idea that started out with good intentions, to serve the
> internet community has gone the way of so many other internet services
> of it's type. They think they're GOD, (along with many on these
> groups who think they are almost God, or NEWGROUP POLICE at the very
> least).
>
> eBay is now only concerned with MILKING the very MOST money they can
> from it's customers. Try to call them on the phone? Are you kidding?
> Send them an e-mail? Good luck. They don't care, and why should
> they, they're the only game in town. U.S. Capitalism at it's best,
> but more about THAT later...
>
> I recently put a UNIDEN 296D and 796D scanners on eBay for sale, and
> also made FA posts on these groups. Luckily, the 296D sold early with
> BUY IT NOW, and for some strange reason, the 796D was bid to a price
> past what the original BUY IT NOW pricing was. Yep, there's that good
> ole US capitalsim at work again. No one twists arms to bid that high,

> and as far as I know, ther is NO mechanism on eBay to STOP a bidder
> from bidding an item TOO HIGH.
>
> The auction was to end today. I would have had a decent return for my
> scanner, and the buyer would have a brand new, in box, never opened,
> never used scanner.
>
> But yesterday eBay replaced my auction with this notice......
>
> ***This listing (5759983900) has been removed by eBay or is no longer
> available. Please make sure that you've entered the item number
> correctly.
> If the item was removed by eBay, please consider this transaction
> canceled***
>
> I DID NOT CANCEL THE AUCTION.
>
> eBay offered NO explanation as to why they removed this auction. As
> far as I know, the 796D is NOT banned for sale on eBay. They cancel
> your auction, but they DO NOT refund your listing fee. They send you
> NO e-mail explaining the removal. NONE. I sent them an inquiry, but
> so far no response. This is NO WAY to do business.
>
> I am now out the listing fees, and the financial reward of the winning
> bid. eBay, or SOMEONE hurt me bad in this, for no legitimate reason....
>
> Other then what I THINK happened.
>
> Some NO LIFE, MISERABLE lurker in these groups, basically a RAT ,
> SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay, and they
> removed my auction. If you check my newsgroup post, and it's
> responses, you can formulate some potential suspects for yourself.
>
> Day in and day out these newsgroups are LOADED with nonsensical SPAM,
> and horeshit to beat the band, and nothing is ever done about it.
> Because someone was basically JEALOUS that my auction would bring a
> few bucks more then THEY thought it was worth, they act like a RAT
> BASTARD Newsgroup Cops and cause me harm.
>
> Well folks, the gloves are off. I will offer the scanner on a
> straight FOR SALE basis 80 ZILLION times on these groups. Since it is
> unfortunately the only game in town, if I have to, I will re-post it
> on eBay, but will follow eBay's rules and NOT post any FA on the
> newsgroups, not even rec.radio.swap.
>
> However, rest assured, that I will make a second vocation of reporting
> ANY and ALL FA eBay posts I find to eBay ad nauseum. Even those on
> the rec.swap group. eBay rules DO NOT allow posts even on a swap or
> for sale newsgroup. So, all you fellow hobbyists who get censored or
> DUMPED by eBay like I did, thank your fellow ASSHOLE lurker for it.

>
> Yes, this was LONG and I am PISSED. Thanks for reading.
>
> Dan

1445. Re: EBAY SQUASHED MY LISTING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 04:37:17 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <10O_d.36099$534.34025@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14306
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.marketing.online.ebay

>
>
> You have every right to advertise your ebay auctions on Usenet. Who ever tells
> you not to is a no life loser!

Apparently you have NO right to do this, my friend as I found out the
hard way.

The no-life losers will drop a dime to eBAy on you, and whatever the
rule is they use, they are the auction GODS and don't like getting
complaints.

Rather then giving the poster the benefit of responding to the
complaint, they just DUMP your auction.

Funny thing is, looks like I'll eventually be back on eBay if I want to
sell this scanner.

Dan

1446. Re: ic-r3 sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 04:42:55 UTC
From: 32343 <spamme@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <r6O_d.30000$N8.856756@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 14309

I happen to have one for sale if you are looking to buy one.

"maurizio.bonfanti" <maurizio.bonfanti@libero.it> wrote in message
news:A7E_d.669$IN.7835@twister2.libero.it...
> What about IC-R3 sensitivity in VHF 144 MHz?
>
> Is it better than other scanners in this range?
>
> Maurizio
>
>

1447. Re: Would you really buy from Dan NOW...??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 04:45:15 UTC
From: Mike Burch <mburch46@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <v7O_d.445$fn3.232@attbi_s01>
Source record: 14307
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I would buy from him. He is very angry and just venting. I get that way sometimes also. Mike Burch K8MB

1448. Re: Would you really buy from Dan NOW...??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 04:52:54 UTC
From: Mace <nospam@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <GeO_d.201940$JF2.153158@tornado.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 14308
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I would not buy from him, and after reading all his rants, threats, and other BS, I'm glad they pulled his listing.

He must have thought he had some sucker hooked on his line at the price he was going to get, then Ebay cut the line and the fish got away. This is why he's so mad, muhahahahaha

<Jeff> wrote in message news:t79n31lb4ccjh250avga60k422mf4183qf@4ax.com...
> Let's see...one transaction doesn't go his way, and he launches into a
> paranoid tirade, threatening every potential seller on these groups as
> retribution.
>
> Now - imagine you're the buyer, and something goes amiss with YOUR
> transaction.
>
> Think about it.
>
> There's plenty of other equipment to buy on eBay.
>
> 'Nuff said.

1449. Re: Would you really buy from Dan NOW...??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 04:58:57 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <lkO_d.34969$rB3.6541434@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14310
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

> I would not buy from him, and after reading all his rants, threats, and
> other BS, I'm glad they pulled his listing.
>
> He must have thought he had some sucker hooked on his line at the price he
> was going to get, then Ebay cut the line and the fish got away. This is why
> he's so mad, muhahahahaha
>

I was not looking for a sucker, but trying to explain that to a moron
like you, who only sees rants and BS where there is a legitimate reason
to bitch about no-life losers meddling in the budsiness of others.

Venting? Yes. Pissed off? Big time.

I was only looking to get a reasonable price for the unit, and my Buy It
Now was BELOW what they sell for new, and this is a brand new unit.

As I said once before, it's not my fault if it bid past that amount.
That's the nature of EbAY.

Maybe something like this will happen to you some day.

Dan

1450. Re: a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 05:06:34 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <urO_d.31771$qn2.6982063@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14311
Cross-posted to: alt.marketing.online.ebay,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

>
> As you can see.. It is permitted by eBay to post a link to your
> eBay auction listing IF and only IF it is appropriate for that
> newsgroup. If the newsgroup permits it, then go for it if you
> want... If it is against the policy of that newsgroup, then it can
> be reported to eBay and your ISP who each may take actions.
>
> -Stewart
>
> Stewart's Comics (comics@indenter.com)
> http://www.indenter.com/comics

Stewart,

First, I want to make it perfectly clear that I DID NOT post any of this on this A.M.E.O newsgroup.

This stuff apparently got here from a cross post by someone who thoguth it would be cute to have everyone here read this stuff.

It was meant for the scanner and radio groups only.

Since the cat is out of the bag, I will ask you in response to your post above, how does one know what is appropriate on a particular newsgroup?

There are no moderators, as is obvious by all the trash seen on most groups day and and day out.

How does one know if a newsgroup "permits it?".

WHat's to stop some jealous individual, mad because in his opinion, your item bid up to more then he / she felt it was worth, to go to eBay and tell them you are violating the rules of THAT newsgroup? WHo sets the rules? Does eBay verify this or just DUMP your auction?

Lots of inconsistencies here as to what is good for one being good for all.

Now you know why I'm pissed. If the rules were uniformly enforced for all, I'd have taken my medicine and moved on. They are not.

Dan

1451. Re: a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 05:09:39 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <nuO_d.31772$qn2.6982447@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14312
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

This seems to be the best info on the eBay rules for posting on Usenet newsgroups:

"eBay users may not post on Usenet groups (Internet newsgroups) to advertise eBay or an eBay listing that is inappropriate or violates the Usenet board policy. If Usenet abuse is reported to eBay, we may among other remedies remove the listing, issue a warning, or suspend the user's eBay account.

Please note that eBay can only take action in cases where it's clear it was an eBay member who posted to the Usenet group inappropriately."

As you can see.. It is permitted by eBay to post a link to your eBay auction listing IF and only IF it is appropriate for that newsgroup. If the newsgroup permits it, then go for it if you want... If it is against the policy of that newsgroup, then it can be reported to eBay and your ISP who each may take actions.

Who determines what can be posted on WHAT newsgroup?

Where can these rules be found?

Who decides what is appropriate?

My rantings aside, this entire episode will be a good learning experience for us all.

Apparently, if I go to eBay with a FA posting and tell them it's against the newsgroup rules, that person is in violation and subject to all kinds of actions...

Dan

1452. Re: EBAY SQUASHED MY LISTING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 05:13:23 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <TxO_d.31774$qn2.6982966@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14313
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

This seems to be the best info on the eBay rules for posting on Usenet newsgroups:

"eBay users may not post on Usenet groups (Internet newsgroups) to advertise eBay or an eBay listing that is inappropriate or violates the Usenet board policy. If Usenet abuse is reported to eBay, we may among other remedies remove the listing, issue a warning, or suspend the user's eBay account.

Please note that eBay can only take action in cases where it's clear it was an eBay member who posted to the Usenet group inappropriately."

As you can see.. It is permitted by eBay to post a link to your eBay auction listing IF and only IF it is appropriate for that newsgroup. If the newsgroup permits it, then go for it if you want... If it is against the policy of that newsgroup, then it can be reported to eBay and your ISP who each may take actions.

Who determines what can be posted on WHAT newsgroup?

Where can these rules be found?

Who decides what is appropriate?

My rantings aside, this entire episode will be a good learning experience for us all.

Apparently, if I go to eBay with a FA posting and tell them it's against the newsgroup rules, that person is in violation and subject to all kinds of actions...

Dan

1453. Re: FS : UNIDEN BC796D digital trunking mobile/base scanner NIB!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 05:25:40 UTC
From: Mike Burch <mburch46@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <oJO_d.84336$Ze3.84147@attbi_s51>
Source record: 14314
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Jeff,

How would an offer of a $10.00 discount for not using paypal go over?
:-). I bet that would still blow up someones skirt. No fee charged. M
Mike Burch K8MB

>
> I didnt see the auction but if you did put in words about a fee
> for using pay pal I can "guarantee" you that is what did you in. Its too
> bad they waited till almost the close of the auction to catch it. Ive had
> that very thing happen to me 2 times, and when they finally got around
> to emailing me as to why, that was their reason. As to whether someone
> reported it is another story. 1 of my auctions they shut down was close
> to the end too. Try not and get too paranoid until you get your email
> from ebay.
>
> Jeff
>
>

1454. Re: a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 05:28:14 UTC
From: invalid@example.com
Message-ID: <113ne3tn1qd4q85@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 14315
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.marketing.online.ebay

Dan Conti wrote:

>bud wrote....
>>
>> Dan SHOULD be aware that he's risking his cable modem connection
>> if he does that. RR can be slow to act, but they DO act on obvious
>> newsgroup abuse, such as flooding.
>
>Does what? What flooding?

This flooding:

"Well folks, the gloves are off. I will offer the scanner on a
straight FOR SALE basis 80 ZILLION times on these groups."

1455. Re: a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 05:35:00 UTC
From: invalid@example.com
Message-ID: <113negk6hmbllc9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 14316
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.marketing.online.ebay

Dan Conti wrote:

>> Other people pee in the pool and get away with it, so it's OK
>> for me to pee in the pool.
>>
>>>Well folks, the gloves are off. I will offer the scanner on a
>>>straight FOR SALE basis 80 ZILLION times on these groups.
>>
>> An now I am threatening to pee in the pool many more times.
>
>And what's your point?
>
>After being damaged by another so called "hobbyist", am I supposed to
>turn the other cheek?
>
>Would you?

I wouldn't respond in such a way ("80 ZILLION times") that I would be
annoying a bunch of people who have nothing to do with my dispute.

You were in the wrong, you got busted, and in your description of
how you would like to take revenge you are in the wrong again.

Why don't you just read the eBay rules and follow them? Do that
and no "rat scumbag" will be able to drop a dime on you.

1456. Re: a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 05:40:36 UTC
From: invalid@example.com
Message-ID: <113ner3sr5u88e8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 14317
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.marketing.online.ebay

Dan Conti wrote:

>How does listing a FA posting on scanner and swap newsgroups where
>someone might find an item they need or want, become really bad for the
>newsgroups and it's readers, considering that 99% of what is posted
>daily is plain SPAM and horseshit?

Translation: other people pee in the pool so it must be OK for me
to pee in the pool as well.

1457. Re: a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 05:46:24 UTC
From: invalid@example.com
Message-ID: <113nf609r93h4fc@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 14318
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.marketing.online.ebay

Dan Conti wrote:
>
>> Do you think that people in you hobby can not find ebay on their own?
>> Rationalize your spam any way you like, you are not doing anyone a favor by
>> flooding usenet.
>
>Flooding Usenet?

"Well folks, the gloves are off. I will offer the scanner on
a straight FOR SALE basis 80 ZILLION times on these groups."

>Show me the FLOOD!

"Well folks, the gloves are off. I will offer the scanner on
a straight FOR SALE basis 80 ZILLION times on these groups."

>I can show you a TON of SPAM and FA postings that would make the Noahs
>Ark flood look like a puddle.

Translation: others pee in the pool so should be allowed to do it too.

>I admitted I made the posts, I USE MY REAL NAME, and stated I would only
>post FS in the swap group from now on. WHat mmore do you want?

We want you to not "offer the scanner on a straight FOR SALE basis
80 ZILLION times on these groups."

1458. Re: a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 05:46:48 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <c1P_d.34976$rB3.6544295@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14319
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

>
> This flooding:
>
> "Well folks, the gloves are off. I will offer the scanner on a
> straight FOR SALE basis 80 ZILLION times on these groups."
>

It's a silly statement made in anger.

Can you show me a FLOOD?

1459. Re: a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 05:48:33 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <R2P_d.34977$rB3.6544548@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14320
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

>
> Why don't you just read the eBay rules and follow them? Do that
> and no "rat scumbag" will be able to drop a dime on you.
>

No problem. As long as everyone else follows them as well.

And why stop there, how about going after all the other spammers on the
groups?

Heck, if we did that you losers would have nothing to read or moan about.

Dan

1460. Re: a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 05:50:15 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <r4P_d.34978$rB3.6544543@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14321
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

invalid@example.com wrote:
> Dan Conti wrote:
>
>>>Do you think that people in you hobby can not find ebay on their own?
>>>Rationalize your spam any way you like, you are not doing anyone a favor by
>>>flooding usenet.
>>
>>Flooding Usenet?
>
>
> "Well folks, the gloves are off. I will offer the scanner on
> a straight FOR SALE basis 80 ZILLION times on these groups."
>
>
>>Show me the FLOOD!
>
>
> "Well folks, the gloves are off. I will offer the scanner on
> a straight FOR SALE basis 80 ZILLION times on these groups."
>
>
>>I can show you a TON of SPAM and FA postings that would make the Noahs
>>Ark flood look like a puddle.
>
>
> Translation: others pee in the pool so should be allowed to do it too.
>
>
>>I admitted I made the posts, I USE MY REAL NAME, and stated I would only
>>post FS in the swap group from now on. WHat mmore do you want?
>
>
> We want you to not "offer the scanner on a straight FOR SALE basis
> 80 ZILLION times on these groups."
>
>
>
You're not very original, are you?

You made the SAME point three seperate times. Everyone gets it.

I made a silly statement, but there was no flood. There will be no flood.

Can you move on now? Talk about your SPAM.

Dan

1461. Re: a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 05:50:38 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <O4P_d.34979$rB3.6544295@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14322
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.marketing.online.ebay

```
invalid@example.com wrote:
> Dan Conti wrote:
>
>
>>How does listing a FA posting on scanner and swap newsgroups where
>>someone might find an item they need or want, become really bad for the
>>newsgroups and it's readers, considering that 99% of what is posted
>>daily is plain SPAM and horseshit?
>
>
> Translation: other people pee in the pool so it must be OK for me
> to pee in the pool as well.
>
>

Here it is again, the one note wonder
```

1462. Re: EBAY SQUASHED MY LISTING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 05:50:59 UTC
From: Ad Hoc Guy <PussyFart@hic-ups.org>
Message-ID: <Y4P_d.31407$N8.867427@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 14323
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Sorry for budding in Dan, But what you say is very true about Ebay. I recently posted a switch key for sale and the bids were going great until Ebay pulled the rug from under me removing the add saying that the key I was selling received complaints from "certain " people and that it was still in use today...blah blah blah. Who the hell does Ebay think they are ? The authorities watch dog ?
Christ I pay at least 200$ a month in advertising not to mention the substantial "cuts" Paypal takes off MY earnings.
Needless to say that I for one am very pissed also and especially sickened by the abusive Capitalistic Tyrant ways Ebay demonstrates. TOO BAD we dont have other options as popular as FleaBay.

--
" Beauty is in the eye of the beer holder. "
"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
news:xVH_d.35824$534.20578@twister.nyc.rr.com...
> eBay, an idea that started out with good intentions, to serve the internet
> community has gone the way of so many other internet services of it's
> type. They think they're GOD, (along with many on these groups who think
> they are almost God, or NEWGROUP POLICE at the very least).
>
> eBay is now only concerned with MILKING the very MOST money they can from
> it's customers. Try to call them on the phone? Are you kidding? Send
> them an e-mail? Good luck. They don't care, and why should they, they're
> the only game in town. U.S. Capitalism at it's best, but more about THAT
> later...
>
> I recently put a UNIDEN 296D and 796D scanners on eBay for sale, and also
> made FA posts on these groups. Luckily, the 296D sold early with BUY IT
> NOW, and for some strange reason, the 796D was bid to a price past what
> the original BUY IT NOW pricing was. Yep, there's that good ole US
> capitalsim at work again. No one twists arms to bid that high, and as far
> as I know, ther is NO mechanism on eBay to STOP a bidder from bidding an
> item TOO HIGH.
>
> The auction was to end today. I would have had a decent return for my
> scanner, and the buyer would have a brand new, in box, never opened, never
> used scanner.
>
> But yesterday eBay replaced my auction with this notice......
>
> ***This listing (5759983900) has been removed by eBay or is no longer
> available. Please make sure that you've entered the item number correctly.

> If the item was removed by eBay, please consider this transaction
> canceled***
>
> I DID NOT CANCEL THE AUCTION.
>
> eBay offered NO explanation as to why they removed this auction. As far
> as I know, the 796D is NOT banned for sale on eBay. They cancel your
> auction, but they DO NOT refund your listing fee. They send you NO e-mail
> explaining the removal. NONE. I sent them an inquiry, but so far no
> response. This is NO WAY to do business.
>
> I am now out the listing fees, and the financial reward of the winning
> bid. eBay, or SOMEONE hurt me bad in this, for no legitimate reason....
>
> Other then what I THINK happened.
>
> Some NO LIFE, MISERABLE lurker in these groups, basically a RAT , SCUMBAG
> dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay, and they removed my
> auction. If you check my newsgroup post, and it's responses, you can
> formulate some potential suspects for yourself.
>
> Day in and day out these newsgroups are LOADED with nonsensical SPAM, and
> horeshit to beat the band, and nothing is ever done about it. Because
> someone was basically JEALOUS that my auction would bring a few bucks more
> then THEY thought it was worth, they act like a RAT BASTARD Newsgroup Cops
> and cause me harm.
>
> Well folks, the gloves are off. I will offer the scanner on a straight
> FOR SALE basis 80 ZILLION times on these groups. Since it is
> unfortunately the only game in town, if I have to, I will re-post it on
> eBay, but will follow eBay's rules and NOT post any FA on the newsgroups,
> not even rec.radio.swap.
>
> However, rest assured, that I will make a second vocation of reporting ANY
> and ALL FA eBay posts I find to eBay ad nauseum. Even those on the
> rec.swap group. eBay rules DO NOT allow posts even on a swap or for sale
> newsgroup. So, all you fellow hobbyists who get censored or DUMPED by
> eBay like I did, thank your fellow ASSHOLE lurker for it.
>
> Yes, this was LONG and I am PISSED. Thanks for reading.
>
> Dan

1463. Re: EBAY SQUASHED MY LISTING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 05:53:05 UTC
From: Ad Hoc Guy <PussyFart@hic-ups.org>
Message-ID: <V6P_d.31458$N8.867814@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 14324
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Just Curious here guys, but what is an FA ? (sorry I,m not too familiar
with the language) Thanks

--
" Beauty is in the eye of the beer holder. "
"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
news:9bK_d.35855$534.11775@twister.nyc.rr.com...
>
>> Hi Dan,
>>
>> I am sorry to hear about your mishap with Ebay. What is Ebays reasoning
>> for not allowing FA's to be posted in newsgroups?
>
> They don't give reasons for the "reasoning" , they don't have to. They
> are GOD,
> Is it because its considered spam? This is the first I have heard of
> it.
>
> It's the first you've heard of it beacuse it's been going on since eBay
> was formed here on the newsgroups and no one cared or made a stink about
> it. Go to rec.radio.swap right now and you will see at least 6 FA
> postings. Are those auctions being yanked? Are they being reported to
> eBay? DO you really thinks eBay gives a Rat's Ass about it until someone
> reports it?
>
> Someone dimed me out, plain and simple, and where I'm from, that's a RAT.
> Not at al something to be proud of.
> So WTB and FS
>> are ok? But not FA? Am I copying that right?
>
> I honestly don't know. This has been going around and around on the
> newsgroups for years. Are Viagra ads OK? Are off topic political posts
> in a shortwave group OK? and on and on?
>
> I guess they are until Dan Conti posts a few FA posts during the year and
> I am being reported to eBay, and now threatened to be reported to my ISP.
>
> Amazing.
>
>>
>> Thanks
>>
>> Mike Burch K8MB

>>
>>

1464. Re: EBAY SQUASHED MY LISTING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 06:14:34 UTC
From: cl <clfurent@Yahoo.Com>
Message-ID: <vrP_d.54381$NC6.6881@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 14325
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

FA = FOR AUCTION

"Ad Hoc Guy" <PussyFart@hic-ups.org> wrote in message
news:V6P_d.31458$N8.867814@news20.bellglobal.com...
> Just Curious here guys, but what is an FA ? (sorry I,m not too familiar
> with the language) Thanks
>
> --
> " Beauty is in the eye of the beer holder. "
> "Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:9bK_d.35855$534.11775@twister.nyc.rr.com...
>>
>>> Hi Dan,
>>>
>>> I am sorry to hear about your mishap with Ebay. What is Ebays reasoning
>>> for not allowing FA's to be posted in newsgroups?
>>
>> They don't give reasons for the "reasoning" , they don't have to. They
>> are GOD,
>> Is it because its considered spam? This is the first I have heard of
>> it.
>>
>> It's the first you've heard of it beacuse it's been going on since eBay
>> was formed here on the newsgroups and no one cared or made a stink about
>> it. Go to rec.radio.swap right now and you will see at least 6 FA
>> postings. Are those auctions being yanked? Are they being reported to
>> eBay? DO you really thinks eBay gives a Rat's Ass about it until someone
>> reports it?
>>
>> Someone dimed me out, plain and simple, and where I'm from, that's a RAT.
>> Not at al something to be proud of.
>> So WTB and FS
>>> are ok? But not FA? Am I copying that right?
>>
>> I honestly don't know. This has been going around and around on the
>> newsgroups for years. Are Viagra ads OK? Are off topic political posts
>> in a shortwave group OK? and on and on?
>>
>> I guess they are until Dan Conti posts a few FA posts during the year and
>> I am being reported to eBay, and now threatened to be reported to my ISP.
>>
>> Amazing.
>>

>>>
>>> Thanks
>>>
>>> Mike Burch K8MB
>>>
>>>
>

1465. Re: EBAY SQUASHED MY LISTING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 06:17:46 UTC
From: Ad Hoc Guy <PussyFart@hic-ups.org>
Message-ID: <3uP_d.31866$N8.871379@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 14326
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Speaking of Ebay and shipping, It is terrible the number of complaints I get
from customers regarding the way their shipment arrive at destination in the
USA. the envelopes are opened,the boxes ripped open , the contents damaged
or missing. US Customs really do a great job on even small 6 X 6 bubble
shipping envelopes. They are fucking Paranoid scumbags if you ask me.
I receive shipments from the states that are literally intact yet inspected
by Canada customs agents.
Years ago I use to sent clothing and food items in Russia to friends we
knew. Even they received those packages intact and they lived in a communist
block country.
So I dont think that Ebay are the only ones that think they are GOD. The
lunatics at the US border have a serious ego problem also if you ask me.

--
" Beauty is in the eye of the beer holder. "
"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
news:CIK_d.31753$qn2.6941844@twister.nyc.rr.com...
> d
>>
>>
>> I've advertised FAs here just recently, my auctions weren't cancelled.
>> I'm wondering if somehow, "maybe" someone sent E-Bay - the non tech
>> wizards they are, a notice that perhaps your scanner was modified or
>> whatever, to make them think it was illegal????? Just a thought. I've
>> seen other FAs in here as well, none of them complained of having their
>> auctions cut short.
>
> Good thought. I was thinking the same thing. We all know it is impossible
> for the 796D to be continuous coverage or modded, but eBay will go by
> whatever someone tells them.
>
> This is why I can't wait to see their response.
>>
>> The ONLY auction "I" had cut short, was a "linear" I was auctioning off -
>> listed as NOT working, the finals pulled, for parts. I listed it I
>> believe for 10 meters, yet they pulled it. No big deal... But they've
>> never pulled my auctions for posting FA.
>
> If NO NE dropped a dime to them about you posting FA, they will never
> bother you. DO you really think eBay scours the newsgroups for
> violations? They turn a blind eye until someone makes a complaint, then
> they have to act.
>

>>
>> IF you have suspects in mind, I suggest you take it up with them. Why
>> turn vigilante against the whole group?
>
> I have A suspect in mind, but can never prove it. eBay will not turn over
> the info on the complainant.
>
> No vigilante, just making sure that what was good for Goose Dan, is good
> for the gander.
>
> You can thank the no-life asshole among you for it...
>
> Dan
>>
>> cl

1466. Re: EBAY SQUASHED MY LISTING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 06:18:28 UTC
From: Ad Hoc Guy <PussyFart@hic-ups.org>
Message-ID: <IuP_d.31867$N8.871605@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 14328
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

thanks

--
" Beauty is in the eye of the beer holder. "
"cl" <clfurent@Yahoo.Com> wrote in message
news:vrP_d.54381$NC6.6881@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net...
> FA = FOR AUCTION
>
> "Ad Hoc Guy" <PussyFart@hic-ups.org> wrote in message
> news:V6P_d.31458$N8.867814@news20.bellglobal.com...
>> Just Curious here guys, but what is an FA ? (sorry I,m not too familiar
>> with the language) Thanks
>>
>> --
>> " Beauty is in the eye of the beer holder. "
>> "Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
>> news:9bK_d.35855$534.11775@twister.nyc.rr.com...
>>>
>>>> Hi Dan,
>>>>
>>>> I am sorry to hear about your mishap with Ebay. What is Ebays
>>>> reasoning
>>>> for not allowing FA's to be posted in newsgroups?
>>>
>>> They don't give reasons for the "reasoning" , they don't have to. They
>>> are GOD,
>>> Is it because its considered spam? This is the first I have heard of
>>> it.
>>>
>>> It's the first you've heard of it beacuse it's been going on since eBay
>>> was formed here on the newsgroups and no one cared or made a stink about
>>> it. Go to rec.radio.swap right now and you will see at least 6 FA
>>> postings. Are those auctions being yanked? Are they being reported to
>>> eBay? DO you really thinks eBay gives a Rat's Ass about it until
>>> someone reports it?
>>>
>>> Someone dimed me out, plain and simple, and where I'm from, that's a
>>> RAT. Not at al something to be proud of.
>>> So WTB and FS
>>>> are ok? But not FA? Am I copying that right?
>>>
>>> I honestly don't know. This has been going around and around on the
>>> newsgroups for years. Are Viagra ads OK? Are off topic political posts

>>> in a shortwave group OK? and on and on?
>>>
>>> I guess they are until Dan Conti posts a few FA posts during the year
>>> and I am being reported to eBay, and now threatened to be reported to my
>>> ISP.
>>>
>>> Amazing.
>>>
>>>>
>>>> Thanks
>>>>
>>>> Mike Burch K8MB
>>>>
>>>>
>>
>
>

1467. Re: Pro-95 ON-SALE Clearance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 06:28:59 UTC
From: Evan Platt <evan@TheObvious.espphotography.com>
Message-ID: <kjhn31trpvahe7p1vi5q0rvtegraavfru8@4ax.com>
Source record: 14327

On Sat, 19 Mar 2005 01:24:37 GMT, "Ken" <ktm@frontiernet.net> wrote:

>Hey fellow scannerist. Radio Shack has the Pro-95 on sale for $129.99.
>I bought mine today :-)

It's not clearance if I understood the RS manager I knew right.Well, I
should say it's not being discontinued. If a item is X.97, it's being
discontinued (i.e. 19.97). Everything else is X.99 ($199.99)
--
To reply, remove TheObvious from my e-mail address.

1468. Re: EBAY SQUASHED MY LISTING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 06:36:10 UTC
From: Ad Hoc Guy <PussyFart@hic-ups.org>
Message-ID: <jLP_d.31870$N8.874824@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 14329
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I'll tell you guys, that really tickles my funny bone. First off a
"contract" can be formed between two individuals without even signing a
written paper.The basic act of demand and offer constitutes a "contract".
Ebay, although an American based company, operates in Canada, thus being
under the common law ruling and consumer laws. If it can be reached from
Quebec , it also falls under the Civil Code which is very clear on the
matter of cancellation of contracts. What Ebay has basically done is breach
a legally formed contract between two individuals , regardless of the items
or nature of the contract, and has literaly stepped in acting as third party
and cancelled the contract without the consentment of the parties.
That is a NO NO in any court of law. I think it's about time that people
begin voicing out the abuse from this company who freely enfringe on foreign
laws, use and culture.
I am convinced that a simple complaint to your local Consumer advocate
requesting an investigation will force Ebay to justify their act. Since
1994, Cyberspace and cybermarketing and exchanges are now integral to all
Laws in Canada and Quebec. Ebay is no exception.
I'm not trying to show off here mind you, I studied law a few years ago.
Thanks

--
" Beauty is in the eye of the beer holder. "
"cl" <clfurent@Yahoo.Com> wrote in message
news:vvK_d.54371$NC6.12794@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net...
>
> "Ed" <Huckleberry_REMOVE_@bigvalley.net> wrote in message
> news:Xns961DA87716020spectrumhogstarbandn@207.106.93.175...
>>
>>
>> I will re-post it
>>> on eBay, but will follow eBay's rules and NOT post any FA on the
>>> newsgroups, not even rec.radio.swap.
>>>
>>
>>
>> I'd be interested in seeing that particular rule.... if you would please
>> provide a link. It doesn't seem logical to me that EBay would prohibit
>> the
>> advertising of their own auction on other sites, when such advertising
>> can
>> only further EBay's chance of increased profits through the EBay sale
>> going
>> as high as possible.

>>
>>
>>
>> Ed
>
> I've advertised FAs here just recently, my auctions weren't cancelled. I'm
> wondering if somehow, "maybe" someone sent E-Bay - the non tech wizards
> they are, a notice that perhaps your scanner was modified or whatever, to
> make them think it was illegal????? Just a thought. I've seen other FAs in
> here as well, none of them complained of having their auctions cut short.
>
> The ONLY auction "I" had cut short, was a "linear" I was auctioning off -
> listed as NOT working, the finals pulled, for parts. I listed it I believe
> for 10 meters, yet they pulled it. No big deal... But they've never pulled
> my auctions for posting FA.
>
> IF you have suspects in mind, I suggest you take it up with them. Why turn
> vigilante against the whole group?
>
> cl
>

1469. Re: EBAY SQUASHED MY LISTING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 07:13:31 UTC
From: DeWayne <k9kz@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <viQ_d.2295$rL3.2081@fe2.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 14331
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
news:cGN_d.34965$rB3.6538559@twister.nyc.rr.com...
> DeWayne wrote:
> >
> >>think they're GOD,
> >
> >
> > As far as internet auctions go, Aren't they?
> >
> >
> Yep

Ebay kind of reminds me of Microsoft. Hopefully MS will have some hot competition one of these days!

1470. Re: Would you really buy from Dan NOW...??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 07:17:57 UTC
From: DeWayne <k9kz@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <FmQ_d.2307$rL3.1285@fe2.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 14332
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Dan has been selling here for years. I have purchased from him with no problem. The radio I bought from his was absolutely like brand new and the price was very good. Every time he posts an ad I make sure to check it out. Besides, I don't like Ebay either.

<Jeff> wrote in message news:t79n31lb4ccjh250avga60k422mf4183qf@4ax.com...
> Let's see...one transaction doesn't go his way, and he launches into a
> paranoid tirade, threatening every potential seller on these groups as
> retribution.
>
> Now - imagine you're the buyer, and something goes amiss with YOUR
> transaction.
>
> Think about it.
>
> There's plenty of other equipment to buy on eBay.
>
> 'Nuff said.

1471. Re: Would you really buy from Dan NOW...??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 07:19:50 UTC
From: DeWayne <k9kz@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <qoQ_d.2320$rL3.1156@fe2.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 14333
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
news:lkO_d.34969$rB3.6541434@twister.nyc.rr.com...
>
> > I would not buy from him, and after reading all his rants, threats, and
> > other BS, I'm glad they pulled his listing.
> >
> > He must have thought he had some sucker hooked on his line at the price
he
> > was going to get, then Ebay cut the line and the fish got away. This is
why
> > he's so mad, muhahahahaha
> >
>
> I was not looking for a sucker, but trying to explain that to a moron
> like you, who only sees rants and BS where there is a legitimate reason
> to bitch about no-life losers meddling in the budsiness of others.
>
> Venting? Yes. Pissed off? Big time.
>
> I was only looking to get a reasonable price for the unit, and my Buy It
> Now was BELOW what they sell for new, and this is a brand new unit.
>
> As I said once before, it's not my fault if it bid past that amount.
> That's the nature of EbAY.
>
> Maybe something like this will happen to you some day.
>
> Dan

I think a lot of us are on your side Dan! Yes, Ebay sucks!

1472. Re: EBAY SQUASHED MY LISTING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 07:48:28 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <gPQ_d.34982$rB3.6550649@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14335
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

> Sorry for budding in Dan, But what you say is very true about Ebay. I
> recently posted a switch key for sale and the bids were going great until
> Ebay pulled the rug from under me removing the add saying that the key I was
> selling received complaints from "certain " people and that it was still in
> use today...blah blah blah. Who the hell does Ebay think they are ? The
> authorities watch dog ?

Sorry to hear about it. Isn't it a sick, sinking feeling when an
auction you are running is suddenly yanked?

At least you were given a reason.

I have now sent in THREE inquiries asking why the listing was removed,
with NO response from eBay.

Apparently they are arrogant now that they remove your auction and don't
even tell you why.

> Christ I pay at least 200$ a month in advertising not to mention the
> substantial "cuts" Paypal takes off MY earnings.
> Needless to say that I for one am very pissed also and especially sickened
> by the abusive Capitalistic Tyrant ways Ebay demonstrates. TOO BAD we dont
> have other options as popular as FleaBay.
>
Someone else will invent a better mousetrap, make a billion bucks and
get as big and arrogant as ePAY. It's a vicious cycle.

Dan

1473. Re: EBAY SQUASHED MY LISTING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 07:53:15 UTC
From: Lord Floyd <nospam@biteme.invalid>
Message-ID: <Xns961DF3013A776SMEGHEAD@199.45.49.11>
Source record: 14334
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Ad Hoc Guy" <PussyFart@hic-ups.org> sputtered incoherently in
news:jLP_d.31870$N8.874824@news20.bellglobal.com:

> I'll tell you guys, that really tickles my funny bone. First off
> a "contract" can be formed between two individuals without even
> signing a written paper.The basic act of demand and offer
> constitutes a "contract".
>

Demand and offer don't make a contract in any sense of the word.

contract:
An agreement between persons which obliges each party to do or not to
do a certain thing. Technically, a valid contract requires an offer
and an acceptance of that offer, and, in common law countries,
consideration.
www.duhaime.org/dictionary/dict-c.htm

--
You are a fluke of the Universe
You have no right to be here
And whether you can hear it or not,
the Universe is laughing behind your back

"Five-eleven's your height, one-ninety your weight
You cash in your chips around page ninety-eight."

1474. Re: yet another violation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 09:25:56 UTC
From: Secret Squirrel <squirrel@somewhere.pp>
Message-ID: <EeS_d.5637830$f47.1026364@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 14336
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

dcoi@ndd.cfm wrote:
> On Fri, 18 Mar 2005 21:43:36 GMT, in rec.radio.scanner Dan Conti
> <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote:
>
>
>>I am selling a brand new, in the box, never used UNIDEN BC796D mobile/
>>base scanner. Of course, as such it includes manual and all
>>accessories. This is latest digital trunking scanner offering from
>>Uniden which is fully APCO 25 digital capable with the digital card
>>already installed and on board.
>>
>>CHeck my eBay feedback under OFFDAN.
>>
>>I am asking $475.00 which will also include UPS ground, insured shippng.
>>
>>I will accept a US Postal money order, or personal check. Personal
>>check will require my delaying the shipment until the check clears.
>>
>>I will also accept PAYPAL, but at this price, will ask for an extra
>>$10.00 to cover the PAYPAL fee.
>>
>>E-Mail only if interested.
>>
>>Dan
>
>
> Check my eBay feedback under OFFDAN, yet another violation..
>

in rec.radio.scanner

So keep it there. He spams your group, so you spam everybody else's.
You're if anything, more of a dimwitted troll than the scanner seller.

1475. Re: EBAY SQUASHED MY LISTING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 09:30:57 UTC
From: Secret Squirrel <squirrel@somewhere.pp>
Message-ID: <IjS_d.5638171$f47.1026410@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 14337

nospam@nospam.org wrote:
> On Sat, 19 Mar 2005 00:09:33 GMT, in rec.radio.scanner Dan Conti
> <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote:
>
>
>>>About time ebay did something to stop these spam postings.
>>>Good for Ebay!
>>>
>>
>>Brilliant Mace, just brilliant.
>>
>>Now the newsgroups will be free of SPAM, there will be no war, we will
>>all win the lottery, and radio hobbyists will stop being weasels and
>>rats, all thanks to eBay...
>>
>>Well, I certainly will be doing my part to clear the groups of spammers.
>>
>>Dan
>
>
>
> We are sick to death of your spam Dan.

And we are sick of you spreading the spam. Spam is not butter. Butter
you spread around, spam should stay where it is.

Don't drag it all up everywhere else, or otherwise you will find that
YOU will be the one reported to your news provider for abuse of usenet
groups.

1476. Re: Would you really buy from Dan NOW...??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 09:31:20 UTC
From: Secret Squirrel <squirrel@somewhere.pp>
Message-ID: <IjS_d.1825457$Zm5.285050@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 14338
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

fred@aol.com wrote:
> On Fri, 18 Mar 2005 23:11:41 -0500, in rec.radio.scanner Jeff <> wrote:
>
>
>>Let's see...one transaction doesn't go his way, and he launches into a
>>paranoid tirade, threatening every potential seller on these groups as
>>retribution.
>>
>>Now - imagine you're the buyer, and something goes amiss with YOUR
>>transaction.
>>
>>Think about it.
>>
>>There's plenty of other equipment to buy on eBay.
>>
>>'Nuff said.
>
>
>
> He is no worse that most sellers on ebay. I'll never buy from a powerseller.
> Ebay is a haven for crooks.

Shush.

Thanks

1477. New Message Board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 11:18:02 UTC
From: chuck Adkins <k8cpachuck@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1111231082.220906.268060@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14339

http://s9.invisionfree.com/Radio_Waves

1478. Re: Would you really buy from Dan NOW...??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 12:04:37 UTC
From: Sky King <SkyKing5@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <8zU_d.937$ze2.471@fe08.lga>
Source record: 14340
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

yes,. ebay does suck every now and then and their fee's keep going up, BUT
there is no other place on the planet that can get you top dollar for
your item.
In fact, many times more than that item is worth. BUT, who is to say
what an item is worth
to someone??

I think a lot of us are on your side Dan! Yes, Ebay sucks!

DeWayne wrote:

>"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
>news:lkO_d.34969$rB3.6541434@twister.nyc.rr.com...
>
>
>>>I would not buy from him, and after reading all his rants, threats, and
>>>other BS, I'm glad they pulled his listing.
>>>
>>>He must have thought he had some sucker hooked on his line at the price
>>>
>>>
>he
>
>
>>>was going to get, then Ebay cut the line and the fish got away. This is
>>>
>>>
>why
>
>
>>>he's so mad, muhahahahaha
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>I was not looking for a sucker, but trying to explain that to a moron
>>like you, who only sees rants and BS where there is a legitimate reason
>>to bitch about no-life losers meddling in the budsiness of others.
>>
>>Venting? Yes. Pissed off? Big time.
>>
>>I was only looking to get a reasonable price for the unit, and my Buy It
>>Now was BELOW what they sell for new, and this is a brand new unit.
>>
>>As I said once before, it's not my fault if it bid past that amount.

>>That's the nature of EbAY.
>>
>>Maybe something like this will happen to you some day.
>>
>>Dan
>>
>>
>
>I think a lot of us are on your side Dan! Yes, Ebay sucks!
>
>
>
>

1479. Re: a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 12:41:39 UTC
From: Ed Clarke <clarke@cilia.org>
Message-ID: <3a2l03F683afiU2@individual.net>
Source record: 14341
Cross-posted to: alt.marketing.online.ebay,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 2005-03-19, nospam@nospam.org <nospam@nospam.org> wrote:

> Usenet is very close to anarchy and only groups with moderated in the title are
> really moderated, the rest are just filled with control freaks and wannabee, who
> pretend to own the group.

You are wrong. Only the alt. groups are anarchy; the big7 groups like rec.radio.*
all have charters that were voted on before they were created. Here's a link to
the charter for rec.radio.scanner (unmoderated):

http://open-systems.ufl.edu/mirrors/ftp.isc.org/usenet/news.announce.newgroups/rec/rec.radio.scanner

This is what was voted on and who voted for or against it and when (1993). I see
nothing about auctions/sales or anything else in the charter. Group creation predates
ebay by a long time.

--
This signature left blank.

1480. Re: Would you really buy from Dan NOW...??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 13:27:52 UTC
From: "Flatch U. Lance" <FlatchyFlatch@whocares.com>
Message-ID: <113oakuqevpof54@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 14342
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.marketing.online.ebay

<fred@aol.com> wrote in message
news:s3an31lvutsuqn4kfinn15le1mdvon477j@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 18 Mar 2005 23:11:41 -0500, in rec.radio.scanner Jeff <> wrote:
> He is no worse that most sellers on ebay. I'll never buy from a
> powerseller.
> Ebay is a haven for crooks.

You got that right! That's why I never do business with Hungarians or hire anyone but Jewish attorneys. The main thing is to never let my daughter date Italians. Who needs the Mafia headaches. Moron.

1481. Re: a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 16:50:48 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <ILY_d.31986$qn2.7039489@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14343
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

> THAT is what I said before, but you dismissed it.
>
> Rec.radio.swap is appropriate for selling OR auctioning items.
>
> Alt.radio.scanner and rec.radio.scanner aren't.
>

Says YOU, of course and this is really the meat of the problem here.

Self-appointed newsgroup cops like YOU, who get a bug up their arse
about a post, make their own rules.

As per Ed Clark's post above ....

>>>>
http://open-systems.ufl.edu/mirrors/ftp.isc.org/usenet/news.announce.newgroups/rec/rec.rad
io.scanner

This is what was voted on and who voted for or against it and when (
1993). I see
nothing about auctions/sales or anything else in the charter. Group
creation predates
ebay by a long time.<<<<

So, since the eBay rules say it is OK to post as long as the newsgroup
rules allow it, we now have TWO of the THREE groups I posted in allowing
eBay posting. Rec.radio.scanner and rec.radio.swap. Anyone care to
research the rules for alt.scanner?

Assuming alt.scanner has no prohibition against eBay posts, I was
railroaded by someone who made a frivolous complaint without merit to
eBay and basically lied, causing me harm.

Dan

1482. Re: a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 16:53:49 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <xOY_d.31987$qn2.7039876@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14344
Cross-posted to: alt.marketing.online.ebay,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Ed Clarke wrote:
> On 2005-03-19, nospam@nospam.org <nospam@nospam.org> wrote:
>
>
>>Usenet is very close to anarchy and only groups with moderated in the title are
>>really moderated, the rest are just filled with control freaks and wannabee, who
>>pretend to own the group.
>
>
> You are wrong. Only the alt. groups are anarchy; the big7 groups like rec.radio.*
> all have charters that were voted on before they were created. Here's a link to
> the charter for rec.radio.scanner (unmoderated):
>
> http://open-systems.ufl.edu/mirrors/ftp.isc.org/usenet/news.announce.newgroups/rec/rec.radio.scanner
>
> This is what was voted on and who voted for or against it and when (1993). I see
> nothing about auctions/sales or anything else in the charter. Group creation predates
> ebay by a long time.
>
>

Ed,

Thanks for providing FACTS regarding this issue.

SOmething certainly wasn't right in how I was treated by eBay and
another "brohter or sister hobbyist" in this case....

Dan

1483. Re: a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 17:12:07 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <H3Z_d.36699$534.28965@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14345
Cross-posted to: alt.marketing.online.ebay,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

>
>
> The thing that really killed your auction was the comment about the $10
> surcharge for PayPal. If I were you, I'd check on my PayPal account and
> see if it has been suspended too. Extra fees are something that they are
> seriously against because that makes PayPal less attractive as a payment
> method.

Ed, my auction said nothing about the winning bidder paying PAYPAL
fees. That was in a for sale post on the radio groups I posted AFTER my
auction got cancelled. I wasn't aware that was a violation. Someone
apprised me of it and I retracted it immediatley.
>
> It's likely that a competitor saw that and narked you to eBay. Usenet
> and SPAM probably had nothing to do with it. I didn't see the original
> auction listing, only your rec.something posting via Google.
>

The ONLY reason I can think of for the removal was someone making a
complaint about the newsgroup posting. As you said, either a competitor,
or a no-life jealous lurker. And thanks to your information and insight
we now know they probaboly lied since two of the three groups I posted
on have NO prohibirion against eBay auction postings.

However, since eBay apparently refuse to answer my inquiry as to why the
auction was dumped, we may never know.

Isn't eBay obligated to give you a reason as to why they cancelled your
auction?
Dan

1484. Anybody find the W&W battery packs are not so hot lately??????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 17:37:40 UTC
From: Sky King <SkyKing5@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <423C6364.7060109@optonline.net>
Source record: 14346
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Hi all, the last FEW battery packs and inserts I have purchased
within the last year from W&W battery seem not to be cracked up to their
usual high standards. About 8 months ago I ordered a battery insert for an
Alinco DJ-580T. W&W says that they use Sanyo or Panasonic cells. If
other brands
are used they will say so. Anyway, when I received the insert they were
green
batteries with no brand name on them. They didn't say Sanyo or
Panasonic like they
said in the past. Also the batteries had 1100 stamped into each
battery. The W&W sticker
says 12V @ 1200. I called them at the time and was told don't worry
about the 1100, it means
nothing. I said to myself ??? !!!
I few months earlier I purchased a NI-Cad pack for my FT-50. I can't
see into it but it never took a full charge, even
after a few weeks of proper cycling.
I have a buddy who has this expensive battery analyzer where he works,
I'm told the charger is a professional
charger and costs around $3500 dollars, its gotta be some gizmo.
Anyway, It did not perform well. I called
W&W and told them and they replaced the pack, which is a great thing
for them to do in the name of customer relations.
The one they sent me performed the same. About 3 months ago I needed
another pack for the FT-50 because the second
NiCad pack went bad.
I pickup up a NiMH battery this time at the local hamfest. This battery
is the same deal. Never
gets a full charge. I have used W&W fast charger, my Yeasu charger and
a MaHa charger on these
batteries. After I use them for many cycles it is the same. My buddy
put this one on his
expensive analyzer and the best it will do is 70 percent. WHAT
GIVES???? This is a 9.6V @1100MaH and
it acts like a 600ma nicad. I use these HT's to receive 98% of the time
since they are used mostly at work.
I have the same damn problem with a pack for my FT-530.
I have been very happy with W&W over the last 15 or so years. I don't
know what is going on other than
using cheaper batteries and charging for the higher priced good batteries.
BTW, that Alinco battery insert does not hold a great charge and has a
cell going bad. My batteries usually

last for about 2 years or so. Not any of these. I have had many HT's
over the years and I'm knowable of batteries
and the different types and charging habits. If I only had one charger
I'd suspect that, but with all the chargers I own
and with my buddys charger at work, I know it's not me or my equipment,
it's the batteries.
Any comments from people finding the same results??
73

1485. Re: Anybody find the W&W battery packs are not so hot lately??????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 17:43:01 UTC
From: "Dr. Rastis Fafoofnik" <DRFafoofnik@rumpledanus.com>
Message-ID: <FwZ_d.20254$Pz7.5509@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 14347
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Sky King" <SkyKing5@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:423C6364.7060109@optonline.net...
Hi all, BLAH BLAH BLAH.....

Try buying a real **OEM** QUALITY battery!!! Yeah I know it costs a little more, but money is not everything.....
The differance in price is minimal, compared to the lack of service...save your bucks a little longer and get the GOOD battery.

1486. Re: Anybody find the W&W battery packs are not so hot lately??????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 17:45:42 UTC
From: Sky King <SkyKing5@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <XyZ_d.1003$H77.455@fe08.lga>
Source record: 14348
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

They use to be great batteries!!!!

Dr. Rastis Fafoofnik wrote:

>
>
> "Sky King" <SkyKing5@optonline.net
> <mailto:SkyKing5@optonline.net>> wrote in message
> news:423C6364.7060109@optonline.net...
> Hi all, BLAH BLAH BLAH.......
>
> Try buying a real **OEM** QUALITY battery!!! Yeah I know it costs
> a little more, but money is not everything.....
> The differance in price is minimal, compared to the lack of
> service...save your bucks a little longer and get the GOOD battery.
>
>
>

1487. Re: Anybody find the W&W battery packs are not so hot lately??????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 17:56:12 UTC
From: "Dr. Rastis Fafoofnik" <DRFafoofnik@rumpledanus.com>
Message-ID: <0JZ_d.20259$Pz7.17006@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 14349
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I buy ALL my batteries for my Motorola equipment FROM MOTOROLA.....just for that reason.....cheap is cheap.....price quality and performance...I want/need and use a good battery when i'm away from my vehicle or in the field for extended hours...NO W&W batteries for me, also stay away from "Alexander" as they are shit too.

"Sky King" <SkyKing5@optonline.net> wrote in message news:XyZ_d.1003$H77.455@fe08.lga...
They use to be great batteries!!!!

Dr. Rastis Fafoofnik wrote:

"Sky King" <SkyKing5@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:423C6364.7060109@optonline.net...
Hi all, BLAH BLAH BLAH.....

Try buying a real **OEM** QUALITY battery!!! Yeah I know it costs a little more, but money is not everything.....
The differance in price is minimal, compared to the lack of service...save your bucks a little longer and get the GOOD battery.

1488. Re: a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 18:03:58 UTC
From: invalid@example.com
Message-ID: <113oqctqj8lsc86@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 14350
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.marketing.online.ebay

Dan Conti wrote:

>Here it is again, the one note wonder

Hey, you are the one who keeps posting about how it's OK for you to advertise because other people spam. How many times have you posted that so far? A dozen? Two Dozen? One note wonder indeed.

1489. Re: a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 18:07:49 UTC
From: cl <clfurent@Yahoo.Com>
Message-ID: <cUZ_d.54624$NC6.38223@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 14152
Cross-posted to: alt.marketing.online.ebay,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
news:H3Z_d.36699$534.28965@twister.nyc.rr.com...
>
>>
>>
>> The thing that really killed your auction was the comment about the $10
>> surcharge for PayPal. If I were you, I'd check on my PayPal account and
>> see if it has been suspended too. Extra fees are something that they are
>> seriously against because that makes PayPal less attractive as a payment
>> method.
>
> Ed, my auction said nothing about the winning bidder paying PAYPAL fees.
> That was in a for sale post on the radio groups I posted AFTER my auction
> got cancelled. I wasn't aware that was a violation. Someone apprised me
> of it and I retracted it immediatley.
>>
>> It's likely that a competitor saw that and narked you to eBay. Usenet
>> and SPAM probably had nothing to do with it. I didn't see the original
>> auction listing, only your rec.something posting via Google.
>
> The ONLY reason I can think of for the removal was someone making a
> complaint about the newsgroup posting. As you said, either a competitor,
> or a no-life jealous lurker. And thanks to your information and insight we
> now know they probaboly lied since two of the three groups I posted on
> have NO prohibirion against eBay auction postings.
>
> However, since eBay apparently refuse to answer my inquiry as to why the
> auction was dumped, we may never know.
>
> Isn't eBay obligated to give you a reason as to why they cancelled your
> auction?
> Dan

IF you posted the deal about charging the Pay Pal fee, then retracted it, it
may have made no difference. Some one could have copied and forwarded to
E-Bay and/or Pay Pal, prompting them to drop you. As you should know, once a
post is made, it is there for all to see. The fact that you retracted it in
another post means nothing. The damage was done, and someone probably
alerted them and since they probably don't read news groups to see your
retraction, their dismissal of your auction - stands.

Quite frankly, "I" can't understand your charging a PAY PAL fee, this is the
first I've ever heard of anyone doing such a thing. It's the same as credit
cards, if you accept them, you pay a fee - about 2% or more... If you want

to recoup the fees, build them into the price to make up the difference without muttering a word. People would turn and run from any store if they announced that they're going to charge for your use of a credit card in their store. For Christ's sakes, if you had a Buy it now, then you obviously had a price with profit in mind, which you said was not only met, but exceeded. SOoooo what is the problem? You let the overflow of on the offer pay that fee - which couldn't have been too bad. A couple bucks to say maybe $10 tops, which it sounds like you had succeeded in getting anyway. It doesn't pay to be greedy..... Greed can kill a deal too!

Just my 2 cents.

cl

1490. Re: a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 18:09:12 UTC
From: invalid@example.com
Message-ID: <113oqmnacdlkg78@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 14151
Cross-posted to: alt.marketing.online.ebay,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Dan Conti wrote:

>The ONLY reason I can think of for the removal was

And if Dan Conti can't think of it, it must not exist!

Why don't you just read the eBay rules and follow them
like everybody else does? Simple solution.

1491. Re: a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 19:00:00 UTC
From: manhater@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1111258800.016467.128200@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14153
Cross-posted to: alt.marketing.online.ebay,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

That does not mean that they have moderators.

1492. Re: Pro-95 ON-SALE Clearance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 20:09:28 UTC
From: Ken <ktm@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <YF%_d.1099$hg.804@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 14154

I didn't say it was being discontinued. I said it was on clearance sale for
$129.99 - $140.06 w/ tax out the dr. in CA.
They'll also program it for you - on the spot - for free! It said so right
on the tag, under the radio.

"Evan Platt" <evan@TheObvious.espphotography.com> wrote in message
news:kjhn31trpvahe7p1vi5q0rvtegraavfru8@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 19 Mar 2005 01:24:37 GMT, "Ken" <ktm@frontiernet.net> wrote:
>
> >Hey fellow scannerist. Radio Shack has the Pro-95 on sale for $129.99.
> >I bought mine today :-)
>
> It's not clearance if I understood the RS manager I knew right.Well, I
> should say it's not being discontinued. If a item is X.97, it's being
> discontinued (i.e. 19.97). Everything else is X.99 ($199.99)
> --
> To reply, remove TheObvious from my e-mail address.

1493. Re: Would you really buy from Dan NOW...??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 20:16:41 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <JM%_d.72$H06.31@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 14155
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

<Jeff> wrote in message news:t79n31lb4ccjh250avga60k422mf4183qf@4ax.com...
> Let's see...one transaction doesn't go his way, and he launches into a
> paranoid tirade, threatening every potential seller on these groups as
> retribution.
>
> Now - imagine you're the buyer, and something goes amiss with YOUR
> transaction.
>
> Think about it.
>
> There's plenty of other equipment to buy on eBay.
>
> 'Nuff said.

I have to say I was thinking the same thing. When I read his first rambling tirade I was suspicious but tried to offer some help. The responses he launched made me just drop him in the bozo bin and clear the entire thread. There is no way I would send money to someone like this. He "may" be "legitimate, but I wonder if he has any idea what an idiot he is making himself look like? <shrug>
--
Success always occurs in private, and failure in full view.

1494. Re: Would you really buy from Dan NOW...??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 20:49:23 UTC
From: Jason Perry <not@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1111265366.83a489744e6ee520a24cc93630e42af4@teranews>
Source record: 14156
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 19 Mar 2005 07:17:57 GMT, "DeWayne" <k9kz@indy.rr.com> wrote:

:Dan has been selling here for years. I have purchased from him with no
:problem. The radio I bought from his was absolutely like brand new and the
:price was very good. Every time he posts an ad I make sure to check it out.
:Besides, I don't like Ebay either.
:
:<Jeff> wrote in message news:t79n31lb4ccjh250avga60k422mf4183qf@4ax.com...
:> Let's see...one transaction doesn't go his way, and he launches into a
:> paranoid tirade, threatening every potential seller on these groups as
:> retribution.
:>
:> Now - imagine you're the buyer, and something goes amiss with YOUR
:> transaction.
:>
:> Think about it.
:>
:> There's plenty of other equipment to buy on eBay.
:>
:> 'Nuff said.
:

I wouldn't buy anything from. He's acted like a child, and disrupted
several groups with his crossposting nonsense. Now he's threatening
to be spiteful to other sellers, because he's mad at the world. He
doesn't even know for sure it was someone on usenet that caused his
auction to be canceled.

1495. Scanner Resources in the Northeast PA Area?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 20:52:15 UTC
From: "mikem@evenlink.com" <mikem@evenlink.com>
Message-ID: <1111265535.211925.161500@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14157

Hi,
Are there any places to get a scanner other than Radio Shack in the
NE PA area? I am thinking of Scranton-Binghamton NY area.
Any clubs or groups?
Thanks,
Mike

1496. Re: EBAY SQUASHED MY LISTING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 22:26:33 UTC
From: Ad Hoc Guy <PussyFart@hic-ups.org>
Message-ID: <hG1%d.77525$Jd2.1792798@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 14159
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Isnt Amazon.com a competitor to Ebay ? or is it a flop ?

--
" Beauty is in the eye of the beer holder. "
"DeWayne" <k9kz@indy.rr.com> wrote in message
news:viQ_d.2295$rL3.2081@fe2.columbus.rr.com...
>
> "Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:cGN_d.34965$rB3.6538559@twister.nyc.rr.com...
>> DeWayne wrote:
>> >
>> >>think they're GOD,
>> >
>> >
>> > As far as internet auctions go, Aren't they?
>> >
>> >
>> Yep
>
> Ebay kind of reminds me of Microsoft. Hopefully MS will have some hot
> competition one of these days!
>
>

1497. Re: EBAY SQUASHED MY LISTING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 22:32:20 UTC
From: Ad Hoc Guy <PussyFart@hic-ups.org>
Message-ID: <IL1%d.77571$Jd2.1794160@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 14160
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Sky,
We had a case recently where a girl and a guy were transfering funds back
and forth to earn air mile points with their credit card. Ebay spied on her
and cut off her ACCOUNT. Yet there is a serious LOOPHOLE in this consumer
point earnings on Ebay and Paypal.. She had her boyfriend open an account
and they are at it again, transfering $500 back and forth and earning
points....makes me smile,
"laws are made to be broken,contoured and ridiculed" That's what it's all
about....

--
" Beauty is in the eye of the beer holder. "
"Sky King" <SkyKing5@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:423BABF6.3000106@optonline.net...
> Hey Dan, if you post to newsgroups, do one at a time, that is not
> considered spamming.
> Also, If you read the ebay rules on newsgroups they don't want you to
> advertise ebay on the groups.
> You are just using FA for your own personal auction and not promoting
> ebay.
> Also, it says ebay will not take any action if somebody else posted your
> auction, or if they can't confirm
> an e-mail address that is not registered with ebay. Many people do look
> at the auctions listed FA: on the groups.
> Some people are cry babies, some with potty mouths who bitch about it.
> These people should use their filters so
> they won't see anything with FA:.
> A friend of mine that uses ebay posted his auction on the groups a few
> months ago. Now, he ran into a cry baby and said he complained to ebay.
> Well that auction went on.
> This jerk used a false e-mail address to post thinking he was safe. As
> my buddy said to him on the newsgroups (which the baby spammed) YOU has
> been reported to
> to your provider. He told them this guy is causing trouble on the groups
> and is spamming and using a made up address.
> The newsgroup moderators don't take kind to that.
> Guess what? My buddy posted more auctions and the "baby rat" was never
> heard from again. My buddy assumed that his own provider banned him since
> he never posted again. Seems it does not pay to be a schmuck!
>
> Dan Conti wrote:
>
>> eBay, an idea that started out with good intentions, to serve the

>> internet community has gone the way of so many other internet services of
>> it's type. They think they're GOD, (along with many on these groups who
>> think they are almost God, or NEWGROUP POLICE at the very least).
>>
>> eBay is now only concerned with MILKING the very MOST money they can from
>> it's customers. Try to call them on the phone? Are you kidding? Send
>> them an e-mail? Good luck. They don't care, and why should they,
>> they're the only game in town. U.S. Capitalism at it's best, but more
>> about THAT later...
>>
>> I recently put a UNIDEN 296D and 796D scanners on eBay for sale, and also
>> made FA posts on these groups. Luckily, the 296D sold early with BUY IT
>> NOW, and for some strange reason, the 796D was bid to a price past what
>> the original BUY IT NOW pricing was. Yep, there's that good ole US
>> capitalsim at work again. No one twists arms to bid that high, and as
>> far as I know, ther is NO mechanism on eBay to STOP a bidder from bidding
>> an item TOO HIGH.
>>
>> The auction was to end today. I would have had a decent return for my
>> scanner, and the buyer would have a brand new, in box, never opened,
>> never used scanner.
>>
>> But yesterday eBay replaced my auction with this notice......
>>
>> ***This listing (5759983900) has been removed by eBay or is no longer
>> available. Please make sure that you've entered the item number
>> correctly.
>> If the item was removed by eBay, please consider this transaction
>> canceled***
>>
>> I DID NOT CANCEL THE AUCTION.
>>
>> eBay offered NO explanation as to why they removed this auction. As far
>> as I know, the 796D is NOT banned for sale on eBay. They cancel your
>> auction, but they DO NOT refund your listing fee. They send you NO
>> e-mail explaining the removal. NONE. I sent them an inquiry, but so far
>> no response. This is NO WAY to do business.
>>
>> I am now out the listing fees, and the financial reward of the winning
>> bid. eBay, or SOMEONE hurt me bad in this, for no legitimate reason....
>>
>> Other then what I THINK happened.
>>
>> Some NO LIFE, MISERABLE lurker in these groups, basically a RAT , SCUMBAG
>> dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay, and they removed my
>> auction. If you check my newsgroup post, and it's responses, you can
>> formulate some potential suspects for yourself.
>>
>> Day in and day out these newsgroups are LOADED with nonsensical SPAM, and
>> horeshit to beat the band, and nothing is ever done about it. Because

>> someone was basically JEALOUS that my auction would bring a few bucks
>> more then THEY thought it was worth, they act like a RAT BASTARD
>> Newsgroup Cops and cause me harm.
>>
>> Well folks, the gloves are off. I will offer the scanner on a straight
>> FOR SALE basis 80 ZILLION times on these groups. Since it is
>> unfortunately the only game in town, if I have to, I will re-post it on
>> eBay, but will follow eBay's rules and NOT post any FA on the newsgroups,
>> not even rec.radio.swap.
>>
>> However, rest assured, that I will make a second vocation of reporting
>> ANY and ALL FA eBay posts I find to eBay ad nauseum. Even those on the
>> rec.swap group. eBay rules DO NOT allow posts even on a swap or for sale
>> newsgroup. So, all you fellow hobbyists who get censored or DUMPED by
>> eBay like I did, thank your fellow ASSHOLE lurker for it.
>>
>> Yes, this was LONG and I am PISSED. Thanks for reading.
>>
>> Dan
>

1498. Re: EBAY SQUASHED MY LISTING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 22:33:34 UTC
From: Ad Hoc Guy <PussyFart@hic-ups.org>
Message-ID: <SM1%d.77581$Jd2.1794367@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 14162
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Try going on the alt.for sale newsgroups

--
" Beauty is in the eye of the beer holder. "
"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
news:TxO_d.31774$qn2.6982966@twister.nyc.rr.com...
>
>
> This seems to be the best info on the eBay rules for posting on Usenet
> newsgroups:
>
>
>
> "eBay users may not post on Usenet groups (Internet newsgroups) to
> advertise eBay or an eBay listing that is inappropriate or
> violates the Usenet board policy. If Usenet abuse is reported to
> eBay, we may among other remedies remove the listing, issue a
> warning, or suspend the user's eBay account.
>
> Please note that eBay can only take action in cases where it's
> clear it was an eBay member who posted to the Usenet group
> inappropriately."
>
> As you can see.. It is permitted by eBay to post a link to your
> eBay auction listing IF and only IF it is appropriate for that
> newsgroup. If the newsgroup permits it, then go for it if you
> want... If it is against the policy of that newsgroup, then it can
> be reported to eBay and your ISP who each may take actions.
>
> Who determines what can be posted on WHAT newsgroup?
>
> Where can these rules be found?
>
> Who decides what is appropriate?
>
> My rantings aside, this entire episode will be a good learning experience
> for us all.
>
> Apparently, if I go to eBay with a FA posting and tell them it's against
> the newsgroup rules, that person is in violation and subject to all kinds
> of actions...
>
> Dan

1499. For Sale icom r-100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 22:34:43 UTC
From: "w3dgb@hotmail.com" <w3dgb@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1111271683.115584.188250@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14158

Fro sale icom r-100 ,.1 to 1856 mhz contiuous no gaps in excellent condition, original box and manual,wall wart and mounting bracket triple conversion,100 memories,covers cell and 225 to 300 military aircraft
tnx ken w3dgb@hotmail.com

1500. Re: EBAY SQUASHED MY LISTING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 22:40:47 UTC
From: Ad Hoc Guy <PussyFart@hic-ups.org>
Message-ID: <CT1%d.77638$Jd2.1796080@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 14163
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Dan here is what you do....
Grab some Ebay logo, and take a picture of it, Post it in the auction like
you would any item with photos not forgetting to put the Registered sign
next to the Ebay logo.
Just entitle it " EBAY ARE TYRANTS " and explain your case in the
description and put a 0.01 cent value on the ad.
I'll bet you it wont take a minute before they get back to you.
Furthermore, any emaikl you send to Ebay should be accompanied with a CC: to
your local consumer advocacy group, any Newsnet service you like and even
the newspapers if you have too.
It's by rattling the cage that you awake the animal.
Let us know how you make out.

--
" Beauty is in the eye of the beer holder. "
"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
news:gPQ_d.34982$rB3.6550649@twister.nyc.rr.com...
>
>> Sorry for budding in Dan, But what you say is very true about Ebay. I
>> recently posted a switch key for sale and the bids were going great until
>> Ebay pulled the rug from under me removing the add saying that the key I
>> was selling received complaints from "certain " people and that it was
>> still in use today...blah blah blah. Who the hell does Ebay think they
>> are ? The authorities watch dog ?
>
> Sorry to hear about it. Isn't it a sick, sinking feeling when an auction
> you are running is suddenly yanked?
>
> At least you were given a reason.
>
> I have now sent in THREE inquiries asking why the listing was removed,
> with NO response from eBay.
>
> Apparently they are arrogant now that they remove your auction and don't
> even tell you why.
>
>> Christ I pay at least 200$ a month in advertising not to mention the
>> substantial "cuts" Paypal takes off MY earnings.
>> Needless to say that I for one am very pissed also and especially
>> sickened by the abusive Capitalistic Tyrant ways Ebay demonstrates. TOO
>> BAD we dont have other options as popular as FleaBay.
>>
> Someone else will invent a better mousetrap, make a billion bucks and get

> as big and arrogant as ePAY. It's a vicious cycle.
>
> Dan

1501. Re: Would you really buy from Dan NOW...??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 22:47:00 UTC
From: just me <justme009@aol.com>
Message-ID: <423CABE3.62E2B290@aol.com>
Source record: 14161
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Who is dan? Do we need to find him? has someone done a
no no here on this grp? wheres the moderator?

I can find him, who will be the judge, I will find a
juror and then i can execute?

danished off the grps is your penalty? or worse? unplug
his computer?

just me.....

1502. Re: EBAY SQUASHED MY LISTING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 22:47:01 UTC
From: Ad Hoc Guy <PussyFart@hic-ups.org>
Message-ID: <tZ1%d.77684$Jd2.1797302@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 14164
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Dan , Forgot to mention, I had a BOSS once who pissed me off so bad I wanted to Kill him.
Instead, what I did was PURCHASE a YEARS SUBSCRIPTION to some hardcore GAY S&M MAGAZINE (paid cash off course). And what I did was On the APPLICATION, I WROTE HIS NAME, BUT his 3 rd door NEIGHBOR'S ADDRESS......
So guess what, the guy who received it made it a point to deliver it to MY BOSS in person.
I would have loved to be there when he handed the S&M Gay magazine to him.
Sometimes it costs very little to get even with people and all along giving you the satisfaction of a job well done.....(I still rejoice today just thinking about it)....ha ha ha

--
" Beauty is in the eye of the beer holder. "
"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message news:gPQ_d.34982$rB3.6550649@twister.nyc.rr.com...
>
>> Sorry for budding in Dan, But what you say is very true about Ebay. I
>> recently posted a switch key for sale and the bids were going great until
>> Ebay pulled the rug from under me removing the add saying that the key I
>> was selling received complaints from "certain " people and that it was
>> still in use today...blah blah blah. Who the hell does Ebay think they
>> are ? The authorities watch dog ?
>
> Sorry to hear about it. Isn't it a sick, sinking feeling when an auction
> you are running is suddenly yanked?
>
> At least you were given a reason.
>
> I have now sent in THREE inquiries asking why the listing was removed,
> with NO response from eBay.
>
> Apparently they are arrogant now that they remove your auction and don't
> even tell you why.
>
>> Christ I pay at least 200$ a month in advertising not to mention the
>> substantial "cuts" Paypal takes off MY earnings.
>> Needless to say that I for one am very pissed also and especially
>> sickened by the abusive Capitalistic Tyrant ways Ebay demonstrates. TOO
>> BAD we dont have other options as popular as FleaBay.
>>
> Someone else will invent a better mousetrap, make a billion bucks and get
> as big and arrogant as ePAY. It's a vicious cycle.

>
> Dan

1503. Re: Would you really buy from Dan NOW...??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 23:15:06 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <_n2%d.36274$rB3.6616289@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14165
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
just me wrote:
> Who is dan? Do we need to find him? has someone done a
> no no here on this grp? wheres the moderator?
>
> I can find him, who will be the judge, I will find a
> juror and then i can execute?
>
>
> danished off the grps is your penalty? or worse? unplug
> his computer?
>
>
> just me..........
>
```

Yep,

Fellows like those above would do all of that and more if they could.

Full of anger and judgement against someone who never did anything to them.

Don't buy anything from me, please.

It'll go back on eBay soon, and probably fetch a very good price.

More then it would from the cheap, whiny, no-life morons who inhabit
these radio groups.

Dan

1504. Re: EBAY SQUASHED MY LISTING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 23:19:15 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Tr2%d.36339$rB3.6616581@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14166
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

> Dan here is what you do....
> Grab some Ebay logo, and take a picture of it, Post it in the auction like
> you would any item with photos not forgetting to put the Registered sign
> next to the Ebay logo.
> Just entitle it " EBAY ARE TYRANTS " and explain your case in the
> description and put a 0.01 cent value on the ad.
> I'll bet you it wont take a minute before they get back to you.
> Furthermore, any emaikl you send to Ebay should be accompanied with a CC: to
> your local consumer advocacy group, any Newsnet service you like and even
> the newspapers if you have too.
> It's by rattling the cage that you awake the animal.
> Let us know how you make out.
>

I was very upset at first, as these threads indicate.

I have cooled off now, and learned a bit along the way as well.

Much of this problem I brought upon myself. I can learn from it and
move on. I may NEVER get an answer from eBay. So be it.

I've been on these groups for years, and should have known the the type
of folks who lurk here.

I didn't do anything underhanded or deceitful in my initial actions, and
I don't plan on starting now.

Dan

1505. Re: EBAY SQUASHED MY LISTING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 23:20:16 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Qs2%d.36356$rB3.6616676@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14167
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

> Dan , Forgot to mention, I had a BOSS once who pissed me off so bad I wanted
> to Kill him.
> Instead, what I did was PURCHASE a YEARS SUBSCRIPTION to some hardcore GAY
> S&M MAGAZINE (paid cash off course). And what I did was On the
> APPLICATION, I WROTE HIS NAME, BUT his 3 rd door NEIGHBOR'S ADDRESS.....
> So guess what, the guy who received it made it a point to deliver it to MY
> BOSS in person.
> I would have loved to be there when he handed the S&M Gay magazine to him.
> Sometimes it costs very little to get even with people and all along giving
> you the satisfaction of a job well done.....(I still rejoice today just
> thinking about it)....ha ha ha
>

Very funny stuff indeed, but despite what most think of me here, I am
not that type of person.

Once again...

I didn't do anything underhanded or deceitful in my initial actions, and
I don't plan on starting now.

Dan

1506. Re: Would you really buy from Dan NOW...??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 23:30:53 UTC
From: Mike Burch <mburch46@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <NC2%d.86297$r55.53385@attbi_s52>
Source record: 14168
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

A lot of us are on your side. If Dan could get 10 or 15% more than he
was asking whats the problem with that? That just the free enterprise
system in action. If you want it bad enough you pay.

Sky King wrote:
> yes,. ebay does suck every now and then and their fee's keep going up, BUT
> there is no other place on the planet that can get you top dollar for
> your item.
> In fact, many times more than that item is worth. BUT, who is to say
> what an item is worth
> to someone??
>
> I think a lot of us are on your side Dan! Yes, Ebay sucks!
>>
>

1507. Re: Would you really buy from Dan NOW...??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-19 23:37:36 UTC
From: KC8GXW <whoever@wherever>
Message-ID: <1_OdneoQG9cgKqHfRVn-vQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 14169
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Dan Conti wrote:
<snip>

> More then it would from the cheap, whiny, no-life morons who inhabit
> these radio groups.
>
> Dan

Which, I remind you, that you are 1 of!

1508. Re: EBAY SQUASHED MY LISTING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-20 00:31:35 UTC
From: John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com>
Message-ID: <ufap31d8dvlb2lkvvnhihf82uu6vucuvbf@4ax.com>
Source record: 14170
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 19 Mar 2005 05:13:23 GMT, Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote:

>This seems to be the best info on the eBay rules for posting on Usenet
>newsgroups:
>
>"eBay users may not post on Usenet groups (Internet newsgroups) to
>advertise eBay or an eBay listing that is inappropriate or
>violates the Usenet board policy. If Usenet abuse is reported to
>eBay, we may among other remedies remove the listing, issue a
>warning, or suspend the user's eBay account.
>
>Please note that eBay can only take action in cases where it's
>clear it was an eBay member who posted to the Usenet group
>inappropriately."
>
>As you can see.. It is permitted by eBay to post a link to your
>eBay auction listing IF and only IF it is appropriate for that
>newsgroup. If the newsgroup permits it, then go for it if you
>want... If it is against the policy of that newsgroup, then it can
>be reported to eBay and your ISP who each may take actions.
>
>Who determines what can be posted on WHAT newsgroup?

The answer to this kind of depends on the newsgroup hierarchy.

For an alt.* group (such as alt.radio.scanner), practically anyone
with sufficient knowledge of usenet to send out a control message to
newgroup the group can create a new alt.* group - the trick is
convincing news admin on various servers to carry the group on their
servers. The alt.* hierarchy is an ALTernative to the mainstream
(comp.*, misc.*, news.*, rec.*, soc.*, sci.*, etc.) hierarchy. It's
basically a refuge from the mainstream newsgroup guidelines.

The catch here is that sometimes alt.* groups are eventually moved to
the mainstream hierarchy. This is exactly what happened to
alt.radio.scanner which was moved to the rec.* hierarchy - and was
replaced by rec.radio.scanner. Now, the alt.radio.scanner group was
never removed from the alt.* hierarchy (primarily because news server
software is usually set up to ignore "remove group" messages - once an
alt.* group is successfully created and carried on mainstream Usenet
servers it pretty much exists forever)...but it was indeed replaced by
rec.radio.scanner, and you (and everyone else on Usenet) technically
are supposed to use rec.radio.scanner instead.

As for who determines what can be posted to rec.radio.scanner, that's what the newsgroup's charter and FAQ is for. Which brings us to your next question:

>Where can these rules be found?

The charter and FAQs for most newsgroups in the mainstream hierarchy can be found on the World Wide Web by searching for them using a search engine. The one for rec.radio.scanner reads as follows:

"rec.radio.scanner is a newsgroup for discussion about "utility" traffic above 30 MHz. FM & TV-broadcasting, shortwave, amateur radio and broadcast satellite-related material doesn't belong to rec.radio.scanner because they have their own newsgroups. This newsgroup replaces alt.radio.scanner. In addition, the rec.radio.scanner will be gatewayed to a mailing list which will be created as soon as possible after newsgroup creation, as there are many who do not have access to USENET news."

http://open-systems.ufl.edu/mirrors/ftp.isc.org/usenet/news.announce.newgroups/rec/rec.radio.scanner
has the complete archive of the entire process of rec.radio.scanner's creation from the first proposal through the voting process. Including the charter quoted above.

>Who decides what is appropriate?

If the group is moderated, as many are, this decision is made by the group's moderator(s). As an unmoderated newsgroup, rec.radio.scanner (like any other unmoderated group) depends on users and news administrators whose servers carry the group to voluntarily comply with the written charter as well as written and unwritten rules (netiquette). These are generally established over time, and is a big reason why most Usenet FAQs advise newcomers to "lurk" for awhile and observe how things are done in a group before they begin posting to the group - in unmoderated groups, the question of what is and is not appropriate for posting to a particular group is largely decided by convention among the users who read and post to the group.

Judging from the responses you've gotten thus far in this and the two other threads spawned on this subject, I'd say it would appear the majority of users in alt.radio.scanner and rec.radio.scanner, at least, who've expressed an opinion on the matter would seem to consider your FA: postings inappropriate. Remember that in the beginning, Usenet was largely confined to educational institutions, governmental agencies, research companies and other commercial enterprises with UNIX machines on site. It has now grown to include millions of users at commercial sites such as AOL and at companies around the world involved in every sort of business imaginable. Nevertheless, many of the customs found on Usenet today have their origins in the days when Usenet was very small and most Usenet sites were universities. That these customs and traditions began when Usenet was much smaller and quite different in nature in no way lessens the

anger many users feel when these customs and traditions are violated. One such custom is the tradition and belief that it is rude to advertise for profit in Usenet newsgroups. Advertising is widely seen as an "off-topic" intrusion into the discussions of any particular newsgroup.

Due to the decentralized nature of Usenet, there is no one person or body which can "enforce" the custom of staying on-topic. It falls on each user to help preserve the culture of open discussion and free speech that Usenet has come to embody by not posting off-topic material. This, of course, includes advertising. Advertising is by far the most pervasive form of off-topic posting, and therefore, gets most of the heat.

>My rantings aside, this entire episode will be a good learning
>experience for us all.
>
>Apparently, if I go to eBay with a FA posting and tell them it's against
>the newsgroup rules, that person is in violation and subject to all
>kinds of actions...

This applies not only to E-Bay, but also to Internet Service Providers. In my opinion, a responsible response to a complaint would be to examine the material that was posted and is the subject of complaint, compare to the group's charter and FAQ (if available) to see if the complaint is well-founded or not, and go from there. Unfortunately, most network administrators simply don't have time to do all that, especially with a large outfit like AOL or E-Bay or Google, etc. - and in cases where the matter of whether a post is appropriate or not depends more on user convention in the group, it may be almost impossible for a net admin to determine unless he/she happens to read the group regularly - so either they ignore complaints until they get served with legal papers, OR they take action on complaints that are not well-founded, allowing an innocent victim to maybe get his/her account yanked based on a complaint someone else filed purely as a form of harassment. Which of these is the worst extreme depends, I suppose, on one's point of view. Suffice to say, though, that anyone who continues to post material that he *knows* is considered inappropriate by most of the users in a newsgroup, should not be angry at anyone but himself if the consequences turn out to be rather unpleasant.

Again, all of this applies mainly to the two scanner newsgroups. The rec.radio.swap newsgroup was *created* for the purpose of advertising radio equipment for sale, auction, or trade.

http://www.faqs.org/faqs/radio/swap-guide/

...has the FAQ for this newsgroup, which happens to contain the following paragraph:

"It has become common practice to append "FS" ("For Sale"), "WTB" ("Want To Buy"), or "WTT" ("Want To Trade") to the subject line of an ad. With the new online auction service, eBay, we ask that you include "FA" ("For Auction") and also "eBay" to your subject line if you choose to place an auction notice. (Note that a recent straw poll revealed that many readers are not happy seeing auction notices on r.r.swap - post such notices at your own risk! If you fail to append "FA" and "eBay" in your subject line, you will surely be flamed.)"

Nose around on the faqs.org site and you can find FAQs for other newsgroups as well. One of the things you'll notice is that most groups are intended for discussion, not for advertising - rec.radio.swap being the notable exception in this family of groups. In other words, those who insist on posting advertisements to these groups do so at their own risk. It matters little that a hundred other people may be doing it too - that does not reduce (and in fact probably increases) the anger felt by users when they see such advertising where it rightfully does not belong.

For what it's worth, Dan, as I see it you have two separate issues here, one with E-Bay and one with the users in these newsgroups. So far as E-Bay is concerned, I do not do business with E-Bay either as a seller or as a buyer. I do not buy items sight unseen, period. So, I've no axe to grind with respect to E-Bay - though it occurs to me that your relationship with E-Bay is a voluntary one, and you're of course always free to stop doing business with E-Bay.

But insofar as the folks on the newsgroups are concerned?

Yes, you're right, more than half the stuff that comes through some of these newsgroups is off topic BS. You think it's bad in the scanner groups? Check out rec.radio.shortwave where half the morons in the group seem to think it's some kind of political discussion group where it's open season on whoever happens to be the current POTUS!

But Dan, that doesn't make it okay for you or anyone else to add to it. Two wrongs don't make a right; two thousand wrongs don't make a right. If you think back to how angry you felt when your auction was cancelled by E-Bay...you have some idea of how many people feel every time they download their usenet mail and find it full of stuff that shouldn't be there. Personally, I use a real newsreader that can, indeed, filter out things based on keywords in the subject, by sender, and by several other criteria as well - as you suggested upthread. The thing is, the newsreader still has to download the headers and then apply the filters to get rid of it - which means I not only have to waste *my* time to create the filters, I also have to waste more of *my* time and *my* bandwidth downloading the headers from all this off-topic and unwanted stuff that shouldn't be there before my newsreader can get rid of it all.

Since I pay for my access to the Internet, this means that in effect, everyone who refuses to voluntarily abide by Netiquette force me (and who knows how many others who read these groups as well) to pay for

the time and bandwidth expended to download stuff that I shouldn't have to deal with in the first place. Again, it matters not whether there's one person doing it or a thousand, all who do are equally guilty, and this is truly a case where if you're not part of the solution by voluntarily adhering to netiquette, then you're part of the problem.

John Kasupski, Tonawanda, New York
Amateur Radio (KC2HMZ), SWL/Scanner Monitoring (KNY2VS)
zIRC #monitor Admin

1509. Re: a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-20 01:06:36 UTC
From: Ozzy 2005 <ozzy2005@spamlovesyou.com>
Message-ID: <E04%d.33943$6T1.10700@fe11.lga>
Source record: 14171
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I know that's the saying but it should be updated to dropped a quarter
if that even does it might be:

a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped fifty cent on my newsgroup listings to eBay

1510. Re: Anybody find the W&W battery packs are not so hot lately??????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-20 01:29:47 UTC
From: Butch <lynyrd@cableone.net>
Message-ID: <113pkgdnvm52t12@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 14172
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Stop doing business with W&W..........

Butch

Sky King wrote:
> Hi all, the last FEW battery packs and inserts I have purchased
> within the last year from W&W battery seem not to be cracked up to their
> usual high standards. About 8 months ago I ordered a battery insert for an
> Alinco DJ-580T. W&W says that they use Sanyo or Panasonic cells. If
> other brands
> are used they will say so. Anyway, when I received the insert they were
> green
> batteries with no brand name on them. They didn't say Sanyo or
> Panasonic like they
> said in the past. Also the batteries had 1100 stamped into each
> battery. The W&W sticker
> says 12V @ 1200. I called them at the time and was told don't worry
> about the 1100, it means
> nothing. I said to myself ??? !!!
> I few months earlier I purchased a NI-Cad pack for my FT-50. I can't
> see into it but it never took a full charge, even
> after a few weeks of proper cycling.
> I have a buddy who has this expensive battery analyzer where he works,
> I'm told the charger is a professional
> charger and costs around $3500 dollars, its gotta be some gizmo.
> Anyway, It did not perform well. I called
> W&W and told them and they replaced the pack, which is a great thing
> for them to do in the name of customer relations.
> The one they sent me performed the same. About 3 months ago I needed
> another pack for the FT-50 because the second
> NiCad pack went bad.
> I pickup up a NiMH battery this time at the local hamfest. This battery
> is the same deal. Never
> gets a full charge. I have used W&W fast charger, my Yeasu charger and
> a MaHa charger on these
> batteries. After I use them for many cycles it is the same. My buddy
> put this one on his
> expensive analyzer and the best it will do is 70 percent. WHAT
> GIVES???? This is a 9.6V @1100MaH and
> it acts like a 600ma nicad. I use these HT's to receive 98% of the time
> since they are used mostly at work.
> I have the same damn problem with a pack for my FT-530.
> I have been very happy with W&W over the last 15 or so years. I don't

> know what is going on other than
> using cheaper batteries and charging for the higher priced good batteries.
> BTW, that Alinco battery insert does not hold a great charge and has a
> cell going bad. My batteries usually
> last for about 2 years or so. Not any of these. I have had many HT's
> over the years and I'm knowable of batteries
> and the different types and charging habits. If I only had one charger
> I'd suspect that, but with all the chargers I own
> and with my buddys charger at work, I know it's not me or my equipment,
> it's the batteries.
> Any comments from people finding the same results??
> 73
>

1511. Re: Would you really buy from Dan NOW...??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-20 01:46:49 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <dC4%d.39106$534.1290@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14173
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Dan
>
>
> Which, I remind you, that you are 1 of!
>

Inhabit? Yes, but not for long.

Cheap? Whiny? I don't think so...

No life? Yep, since I started this rant.

I wonder why I even bothered. Silly, isn't it?

Dan

1512. Re: EBAY SQUASHED MY LISTING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-20 01:53:16 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <gl4%d.33011$qn2.7117685@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14175
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

John,

Thank you for a most educational and informed response.

Once again, I learned much from you, and agree 100%.

I've said it before, I take full responsibility for the FA postings, and have paid the price. Your also correct that justifying it with the fact that others do it as well is wrong.

Dan

1513. Re: a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-20 01:57:17 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <1M4%d.39108$534.25110@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14174
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

> Yes, and it's the fact that YOU refuse to listen to GOOD advice
> that is the WHOLE of the problem.
>
> I see that it's useless to try to reason with you.
>
> Plonk.
>

Hey BUD, plonk this....
There has been some very good advice offered during this debacle, but not from you or the high horse you sit upon.

I've stated publicly in this thread numerous times that I brought this upon myself, and it has been a LEARNING experience for me.

I've watched your post for many years now, including the one you made is response to my FA post on this very scanner, before it was removed ny eBay.

It genuinely bothered you that the item was bringing more then YOU thought it was worth, and you said so, calling me a name as you did it.

You do nothing but sit on that high horse I mentioned and pontificate with your silly dittys about everyone else's business. And surprisingly, not many people call you out on it.

Well, say what you and others have said about me all you want. But I'm calling you on it.

Yes, I've posted a FEW SPAM auction listings now and again, but I don't do what you do. When I see a posting for an item that I KNOW is way overpriced, I keep my mouth shut. I KEEP IT TO MYSELF.
There's a guy on the shortwave group, RADIOMART that is obviously and constantly outright lying about items and auctions and scamming other hobbyists. There are threads about him all the time. If anyone should be reported to eBay it's him. But no, Dan is the bad guy here.

You talk about learning something? I should take a lesson from HIM. I don't see HIS auctions being yanked.

In closing, you sir, are a newsgroup ARESHOLE. IMHO of course.

AHhhh, I feel good now that I got that off my chest!

Dan

1514. Re: a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-20 02:11:06 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <_Y4%d.39110$534.21886@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14176
Cross-posted to: alt.marketing.online.ebay,al.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

>
> So far, I think we've heard of about four
> likely reasons why "mr ethical" got his
> listings killed. None of which he seems
> to be interested in hearing. We've also
> probably heard from several dozen people
> who likely "dropped the dime" on the guy.
>
Hey Lumpy,

You have my ear....

I'm interested.

Other then the fact that I posted a FA eBay auction post to THREE radio newsgroups, two of them it appears ALLOW such postings, and the other being an alt group, and someone dropped a dime, quarter , or whatever on me to eBay, what are the other three reasons you think they dumped me?

I truly am interested in hearing your thoughts as I do not want to make these mistakes on my next eBay auction...

Dan

1515. Re: Would you really buy from Dan NOW...??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-20 02:17:26 UTC
From: Mace <nospam@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <W25%d.132566$pc5.85358@tornado.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 14177
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
news:dC4%d.39106$534.1290@twister.nyc.rr.com...
> Dan
>>
>>
>> Which, I remind you, that you are 1 of!
>>
>
>
> Inhabit? Yes, but not for long.
>
> Cheap? Whiny? I don't think so...
>
> No life? Yep, since I started this rant.
>
> I wonder why I even bothered. Silly, isn't it?
>
> Dan

How about big cry baby?

1516. Re: Would you really buy from Dan NOW...??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-20 02:24:05 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <995%d.39112$534.7324@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14178
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

>
> How about big cry baby?
>

OK "Mace" if you say so...

Cry baby it is....

1517. Re: EBAY SQUASHED MY LISTING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-20 02:24:39 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <H95%d.38135$rB3.6630882@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14180
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.marketing.online.ebay

>
> Charging $20 for using pay pal in underhanded.

No it isn't.

First, it wasn't $20.00, it was $10.00.

In your rush to blast me, you should get your facts straight first.

Second, the eBay auction that was pulled made NO mention of ANYONE
paying ANY Paypal fees.

Third, I put that is a FOR SALE post on the radio groups in error. I
did not know it was against the rules. When someone told me it was, I
retracted the statement in the same post.

Now, I've seen others charge buyers the PAYPAL fee and get away with it,
that's why I thought it OK. DOes that make it right, NO, and as I said,
I immediately corrected my error.

However, it may be against eBay and PAYPAL rules to ask for the PAYPAL
fee, but how is it underhanded?

Keep trying, I can keep this thread going forever....

Dan

1518. Re: a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-20 02:25:26 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <qa5%d.39113$534.9983@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14179
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.marketing.ebay

>
> How many ponds have you pissed in now?

Many.

And I took GREAT PLEASURE pissing in his....

OK ?

1519. Re: EBAY SQUASHED MY LISTING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-20 02:28:06 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Wc5%d.38136$rB3.6630921@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14181
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.marketing.online.ebay

>
>>
>> Charging $20 for using pay pal in underhanded.
>

What IS underhanded are the TONS of eBay seller who auction items at what appears to be a reasonable price, then tack on shipping costs 2 or 3 times the cost of the item.

It's hidden profit, and THAT my friend is underhanded, yet it is done all the time on eBay.

Go after the REAL villains out there pal, and let this one go..OK?

Dan

1520. Re: EBAY SQUASHED MY LISTING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-20 02:37:40 UTC
From: Ad Hoc Guy <PussyFart@hic-ups.org>
Message-ID: <HI5%d.78765$Jd2.1848160@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 14182
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Good luck anyway Dan.

--
" Beauty is in the eye of the beer holder. "
"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
news:Qs2%d.36356$rB3.6616676@twister.nyc.rr.com...
>
>> Dan , Forgot to mention, I had a BOSS once who pissed me off so bad I
>> wanted to Kill him.
>> Instead, what I did was PURCHASE a YEARS SUBSCRIPTION to some hardcore
>> GAY S&M MAGAZINE (paid cash off course). And what I did was On the
>> APPLICATION, I WROTE HIS NAME, BUT his 3 rd door NEIGHBOR'S
>> ADDRESS......
>> So guess what, the guy who received it made it a point to deliver it to
>> MY BOSS in person.
>> I would have loved to be there when he handed the S&M Gay magazine to
>> him.
>> Sometimes it costs very little to get even with people and all along
>> giving you the satisfaction of a job well done.....(I still rejoice
>> today just thinking about it)....ha ha ha
>>
>
> Very funny stuff indeed, but despite what most think of me here, I am not
> that type of person.
>
> Once again...
>
> I didn't do anything underhanded or deceitful in my initial actions, and I
> don't plan on starting now.
>
> Dan

1521. Re: a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-20 02:54:25 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <BB5%d.38137$rB3.6632606@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14183
Cross-posted to: alt.marketing.online.ebay,al.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

nospam@nospam.org wrote:
> On Sun, 20 Mar 2005 02:24:39 GMT, in alt.marketing.online.ebay Dan Conti
> <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote:
>
>
>>>Charging $20 for using pay pal in underhanded.
>>
>>No it isn't.
>>
>>First, it wasn't $20.00, it was $10.00.
>>
>>In your rush to blast me, you should get your facts straight first.
>>
>>Second, the eBay auction that was pulled made NO mention of ANYONE
>>paying ANY Paypal fees.
>>
>>Third, I put that is a FOR SALE post on the radio groups in error. I
>>did not know it was against the rules. When someone told me it was, I
>>retracted the statement in the same post.
>>
>>Now, I've seen others charge buyers the PAYPAL fee and get away with it,
>>that's why I thought it OK. DOes that make it right, NO, and as I said,
>>I immediately corrected my error.
>>
>>However, it may be against eBay and PAYPAL rules to ask for the PAYPAL
>>fee, but how is it underhanded?
>>
>>Keep trying, I can keep this thread going forever....
>>
>>Dan
>
>
>
> Let me get this straight. Ebay should make a special exception just for you so
> you can continue to piss in all the pools. You're well on your way to being a
> power seller.

For the life of me I just don't see how you got any of that from what I
said above. I don't know what a "power seller" is, but it sounds from
your tone that it is not a good thing.

I thought I wrote in easily understandable English. Special exception
for WHAT?

I give up...

Dan

1522. Re: a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-20 03:09:01 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <hP5%d.39361$534.18487@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14184
Cross-posted to: alt.marketing.online.ebay,al.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

>
> I think you deserved to be laid in.

Laid in?

1523. Re: Would you really buy from Dan NOW...??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-20 03:09:04 UTC
From: farfromsane <NOFREAKINSPAM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <iP5%d.90$HT2.7166084@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 14186
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Maybe not, but it's pretty close to the mark...

<Jeff> wrote in message news:ccop319fk2a02r73qttcp7n8qi1q6ijtan@4ax.com...
> Marketing is evidently not your strong suit.
>
>
> On Sat, 19 Mar 2005 23:15:06 GMT, Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote:
>
> > >
> > >More then it would from the cheap, whiny, no-life morons who inhabit
> > >these radio groups.
> > >

1524. AOR AR3000A comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-20 03:57:29 UTC
From: Frank Bals <fjb@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Jw6%d.154184$Th1.114840@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 14185

I just picked up a used one and should have it next week. I'm wondering how it performs compared to other "wide range" receivers (Icom, Yupiteru, Uniden). How good is the HF performance, especially for SSB utilities?

Frank

1525. Re: EBAY SQUASHED MY LISTING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-20 07:02:36 UTC
From: Sky King <SkyKing5@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <Xd9%d.1425$6b1.753@fe08.lga>
Source record: 14187
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

That boss story was pretty good.
My first boss way back when was a scum bag.
I sent him a xmas card to the shop.
He opened it while talking to the owner of the place.
As he opened the card they both saw a
un-rolled condom that had Ivory liquid all over it.
And I wrote "to Bob, A real prick".
It took everything I had not to burst out laughing.
Needless to say, I sent him a card for many years.
Childish, yes, but the payback in front of the owner... priceless!!

Ad Hoc Guy wrote:

>Good luck anyway Dan.
>
>
>

-- " Beauty is in the eye of the beer holder. " "Dan Conti"
<dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
news:Qs2%d.36356$rB3.6616676@twister.nyc.rr.com...

>>
>
>
>>>> Dan , Forgot to mention, I had a BOSS once who pissed me off so bad I
>>>> wanted to Kill him.
>>>> Instead, what I did was PURCHASE a YEARS SUBSCRIPTION to some hardcore
>>>> GAY S&M MAGAZINE (paid cash off course). And what I did was On the
>>>> APPLICATION, I WROTE HIS NAME, BUT his 3 rd door NEIGHBOR'S
>>>> ADDRESS......
>>>> So guess what, the guy who received it made it a point to deliver it to
>>>> MY BOSS in person.
>>>> I would have loved to be there when he handed the S&M Gay magazine to
>>>> him.
>>>> Sometimes it costs very little to get even with people and all along
>>>> giving you the satisfaction of a job well done.....(I still rejoice
>>>> today just thinking about it)....ha ha ha
>>>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Very funny stuff indeed, but despite what most think of me here, I am not
>> that type of person.

>>
>> Once again...
>>
>> I didn't do anything underhanded or deceitful in my initial actions, and I
>> don't plan on starting now.
>>
>> Dan
>
>

1526. Re: a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-20 08:11:28 UTC
From: Jesse <1@2.com>
Message-ID: <Xns961F20E6C2C45ewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 14188
Cross-posted to: alt.marketing.online.ebay,al.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in
news:BB5%d.38137$rB3.6632606@twister.nyc.rr.com:

> nospam@nospam.org wrote:
>> On Sun, 20 Mar 2005 02:24:39 GMT, in alt.marketing.online.ebay Dan
>> Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>>>>Charging $20 for using pay pal in underhanded.
>>>>
>>>No it isn't.
>>>
>>>First, it wasn't $20.00, it was $10.00.
>>>
>>>In your rush to blast me, you should get your facts straight first.
>>>
>>>Second, the eBay auction that was pulled made NO mention of ANYONE
>>>paying ANY Paypal fees.
>>>
>>>Third, I put that is a FOR SALE post on the radio groups in error. I
>>>did not know it was against the rules. When someone told me it was, I
>>>retracted the statement in the same post.
>>>
>>>Now, I've seen others charge buyers the PAYPAL fee and get away with
>>>it, that's why I thought it OK. DOes that make it right, NO, and as I
>>>said, I immediately corrected my error.
>>>
>>>However, it may be against eBay and PAYPAL rules to ask for the PAYPAL
>>>fee, but how is it underhanded?
>>>
>>>Keep trying, I can keep this thread going forever....
>>>
>>>Dan
>>
>>
>>
>> Let me get this straight. Ebay should make a special exception just
>> for you so you can continue to piss in all the pools. You're well on
>> your way to being a power seller.
>
> For the life of me I just don't see how you got any of that from what I
> said above. I don't know what a "power seller" is, but it sounds from
> your tone that it is not a good thing.

>
> I thought I wrote in easily understandable English. Special exception
> for WHAT?
>
> I give up...
>
> Dan

Dan you obviously don't know when your chain is being yanked.
Ignore the chilish jackasses and they will fret and go away.

1527. Re: EBAY SQUASHED MY LISTING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-20 13:05:07 UTC
From: Jim Douglas <james.douglas@genesis-software.com>
Message-ID: <65qdnWMMOtRY6aDfRVn-qA@comcast.com>
Source record: 14189
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Ok you think EBay cancelled your auction cause you had placed it FA in newsgroups? If that's so you should be talking to an attorney instead of spending time here!

1528. FS: IC706MKIIG, Bearcat BC780XLT, 440HT, and base antenna's.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-20 17:09:08 UTC
From: Aspen37 <pottsladd13@nospam.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <U6i%d.155994$Th1.41430@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 14190
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Icom IC-706MKIIG w/FL223 SSB filter, and remote installation kit.
Never mobile, non-smoker.
$850.00 plus shipping. Cont. US Only. USPS money orders only.

Icom 4SAT 440mhz mono-band HT.(needs work) The radio is a 10
cosmetically and worked
the last time I put it away. I recently took it out of the box, and
it powers up but only
squelches, no display, rx or tx. It is complete with box, manual,
charger, etc.
$50.00

Uniden Bearcat BC780XLT Trunk tracker III base/mobile scanner.
Complete with box, manual,
and AC power supply. $225.00

Cushcraft AR-6 6 meter base antenna. I believe it is around 3db gain.
$40.00
Diamond X510MA 144/440mhz dual-band base antenna. 8.6db gain
VHF/11.4db gain UHF. $125.00
Both antenna's won't be taken down until it warms up. They are still
in use at the moment. These are for pickup only!!!!!!!!!

Lou

pottsladd13@yahoo.com

1529. Re: EBAY SQUASHED MY LISTING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-20 18:21:05 UTC
From: Petronoia <Petronoia@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <smfr31d9stj4rv88s6i00psk7s370j655s@4ax.com>
Source record: 14193
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

So organize and influence Ebay to change their policy against outside marketing of auctions.

1530. Re: EBAY SQUASHED MY LISTING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-20 18:25:16 UTC
From: Petronoia <Petronoia@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <crfr31tj0a26bkfud7b1ff2s1hbc44u364@4ax.com>
Source record: 14192
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 19 Mar 2005 00:22:02 GMT, Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote:

>Yes, they REALLY did. And guess what? I can't e-mail them because the
>auction is GONE and I dont' have the information.

Poor account management. So you learned a valuable lesson.

List if for a $600 buy it now price, and I bet you will have some
INBOUND e-mails from people wanting to do a deal for a lower price off
of Ebay.

>
>And you know what? Doing so would violate YET ANOTHER eBay rule. If
>you click the link above and read, you will see what it is.
>
If they cancelled the auction, you have no relationship to do the
"deal" on Ebay. If they canceled the auction, there is nothing
stopping you from doing a private party deal.

1531. Re: EBAY SQUASHED MY LISTING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-20 18:27:54 UTC
From: "&#65533; Dr. Artaud &#65533;" <&#65533;NoSuchThing&#65533;@Notreal.com>
Message-ID: <Xns961F88FA02F01TheDoctorisin@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 14191
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Ad Hoc Guy" <PussyFart@hic-ups.org> wrote in
news:3uP_d.31866$N8.871379@news20.bellglobal.com:

Right before 911, I had bought a new Savage Stevens single shot .22 caliber rifle, a model designed similar to the original model of the early 1900s (late 1800s?). Anyway, the rifle had a head spacing problem, and the shells would split and powder would be ejected towards my face, and an unusually load report was hear when this happened.

I contacted Savage, they said to return the rifle to them for repair, which I did. Problem is that it was sent to Canada for repair. 911 occurred, and it was the last I saw of the rifle. Savage acknowledged that heightened border security flummoxed the shipment of the rifle, I guess customs got hold of it, and Savage willingly replaced the rifle with no problems.

Though I can understand that 911 was a horrible time, I always wondered how many other items disappeared in shipment during those times and continue to disappear even now.

And the international situation is becoming farcical in terms of who is allowed to own what, nation to nation. Of course Canada and England permitted the ownership of unblocked scanners, and kudos for them for it. But it is my understanding that at least Canada doesn't allow digital scanners, perhaps someone on this group can set the record straight for me if they can, with the irony being that the U.S. permits it.

I listen everyday to the police scanner, still largely analog in my area, and I can see that even though there are occasional problems with someone using a scanner to further illicit activity, the number of occasions when someone provides tips because they were listening to the scanner and have some insight into a particular crime or know the location of someone being chased by the police, easily offsets, I believe, the illicit use.

I asked the Mayor of a borough near Pittsburgh about scanners. He was a lifetime cop in the same borough, and he felt that the contribution that law abiding citizens (listening to scanners) make to police work is very valuable.

With the borders to Canada and Mexico largely unguarded, it's a farce to pretend that the U.S. is actually doing anything about so called "Homeland Security". Neurotically opening small packages (yet inspecting only 2% of the train rail car size shipping containers coming into the U.S. West Coast from China) and confiscating single shot rifles and unblocked scanners is probably not having any effect, save for the enrichment of those that invariably come into the ownership of items that they didn't pay for.

Dr. Artaud

> Speaking of Ebay and shipping, It is terrible the number of complaints
> I get from customers regarding the way their shipment arrive at
> destination in the USA. the envelopes are opened,the boxes ripped open
> , the contents damaged or missing. US Customs really do a great job
> on even small 6 X 6 bubble shipping envelopes. They are fucking
> Paranoid scumbags if you ask me. I receive shipments from the states
> that are literally intact yet inspected by Canada customs agents.
> Years ago I use to sent clothing and food items in Russia to friends
> we knew. Even they received those packages intact and they lived in a
> communist block country.
> So I dont think that Ebay are the only ones that think they are GOD.
> The lunatics at the US border have a serious ego problem also if you
> ask me.
>

1532. Re: a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-20 19:31:55 UTC
From: _@_._
Message-ID: <113rjtrokt6b16d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 14194
Cross-posted to: alt.marketing.online.ebay,al.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Dan Conti wrote:

>I don't know what a "power seller" is

You would know if you had taken my advice and read the rules
at ebay and PayPal. It takes less than an hour, and many folks
here will be glad to answer specific questions.

Or you can learn by having your auctions cancelled and wondering why.

1533. Re: Connecticut Frequenices?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-20 20:08:45 UTC
From: Tom Hughes <t.hughes@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <hLk%d.22856$hU7.17506@newssvr33.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 14195

Start with radioreference.com.....
for free take, for buy shop around

"John Kerkes" <jekerkes@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:wDL_d.11404$DW.3122@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
> Just wondering if anyone knows where i might be able to purchase an up to
> date frequency book for my state? Iwould also be interested in any web
> sites.
>
>

1534. Re: Rip off Power Sellers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-20 20:13:17 UTC
From: Steve Antony Williams <drstevew@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <xPk%d.1143$bS2.827@newsfe6-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 14196
Cross-posted to:
alt.marketing.online.ebay,al.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.marketing.online.ebay,uk.people.consumers.ebay

<nospam@nospam.org> wrote in message
news:3hjr31t88re3ur25ovh0ckf47gcumiuust@4ax.com...
> On 20 Mar 2005 11:19:00 -0800, in alt.marketing.online.ebay
> only_asking@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> >7962960190, 7962959417, 7962961099
> >
> >The above items, and 873 others from the same seller, are "selling an
> >item that requires an additional purchase from the seller", namely
> >Shipping Insurance (Required) - 1.99GBP
> >
> >They further state that "We cannot afford to give you a working pair
> >without the shipping insurance. So the shipping insurance ensures that
> >you will be satisfied."
> >
> >Not only is this fee avoidance, but they even admit it themselves in
> >their listing.
> >
> >First class mail in the UK gives ?28.00 cover, and these items have a
> >Buy It Now price of a LOT less than that.
>
>
> Do you honestly expect Power seller to not be rip off artists? A bunch of
> buggering gits.

Well thank you for that wonderfully sweeping statement. Don't tar us all
with the same brush

DrSteveW

1535. Re: BC296D bunch o questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-20 20:40:15 UTC
From: will363 <wpjuke@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1111351215.026156.98900@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14197

1. Please make sure you are using the exact connector that came with your scanner to hook it up with you computer, don't use a usb port adapter.I know it's a pain in the behind to hook it up to the back of the computer..I've met a few guys who had this problem too.
If you are using an adapter, you have to readjust which port it is using..The older kind they give you from uniden uses port 1.Your usb adapters use another.I can't tell you which one it is without looking @ your cpu.

2. I have a few bad feqs soundwise but I only adjust them if they are real bad.

3 I took that lame battery pack outta my 296, cut the wires and hooked it up instead to a battery holder I took out of a cheap radio.I recharge those and only put them in when I take the scanner outside.
You can easily take the battery pack out, it clips into the back of the scanner.

1536. Re: Rip off Power Sellers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-20 21:02:35 UTC
From: "I.P.Freely" <i.p.freely@spamblockdsl.pipex.com>
Message-ID: <423de501$0$2762$cc9e4d1f@news-text.dial.pipex.com>
Source record: 14198
Cross-posted to: alt.marketing.online.ebay,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.marketing.online.ebay,uk.people.consumers.ebay

<nospam@nospam.org> wrote in message
news:3hjr31t88re3ur25ovh0ckf47gcumiuust@4ax.com...
> On 20 Mar 2005 11:19:00 -0800, in alt.marketing.online.ebay
> only_asking@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> >7962960190, 7962959417, 7962961099
> >
> >The above items, and 873 others from the same seller, are "selling an
> >item that requires an additional purchase from the seller", namely
> >Shipping Insurance (Required) - 1.99GBP
> >
> >They further state that "We cannot afford to give you a working pair
> >without the shipping insurance. So the shipping insurance ensures that
> >you will be satisfied."
> >
> >Not only is this fee avoidance, but they even admit it themselves in
> >their listing.
> >
> >First class mail in the UK gives £28.00 cover, and these items have a
> >Buy It Now price of a LOT less than that.
>
>
> Do you honestly expect Power seller to not be rip off artists? A bunch of
> buggering gits.

I have a powerseller Ebay account - how can you question my honesty and
sincerity? You don't even know me you pathetic little twat. You don't even
have the balls to post with legitimate email details.
--
I.P.Freely

Anonymity is synonymous with longetivity

1537. Re: Rip off Power Sellers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-20 21:06:15 UTC
From: RTC <Devilhandsome@why.com>
Message-ID: <bBl%d.16431$K54.4963@newssvr31.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 14199
Cross-posted to:
alt.marketing.online.ebay,al.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.marketing.online.ebay,uk.people.consumers.ebay

Just send us your money and quit whining
<nospam@nospam.org> wrote in message
news:3hjr31t88re3ur25ovh0ckf47gcumiuust@4ax.com...
> On 20 Mar 2005 11:19:00 -0800, in alt.marketing.online.ebay
> only_asking@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> >7962960190, 7962959417, 7962961099
> >
> >The above items, and 873 others from the same seller, are "selling an
> >item that requires an additional purchase from the seller", namely
> >Shipping Insurance (Required) - 1.99GBP
> >
> >They further state that "We cannot afford to give you a working pair
> >without the shipping insurance. So the shipping insurance ensures that
> >you will be satisfied."
> >
> >Not only is this fee avoidance, but they even admit it themselves in
> >their listing.
> >
> >First class mail in the UK gives ?28.00 cover, and these items have a
> >Buy It Now price of a LOT less than that.
>
>
> Do you honestly expect Power seller to not be rip off artists? A bunch of
> buggering gits.

1538. Re: a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-20 22:50:46 UTC
From: _@_._
Message-ID: <113rvimmq07d83d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 14200
Cross-posted to: alt.marketing.online.ebay,al.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

nospam@nospam.org wrote:

>On Tuesday September 11, 2001, another one of the three top sins of the universe
>occurred. Our species, Homo sapiens, blatantly caused approximately 578 species
>of the web of life to go extinct. This extinction rate equals one species of
>life going extinct every 2 minutes and 30 seconds.

Name 10 animal species that have gone extinct in the last 100 years.

(Cue sound of silence, followed by sputtering and flaming but no list...)

1539. Re: a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-20 23:19:31 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <d1l0bkivr1@news1.kornet.net>
Source record: 14251
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

<_@_._> wrote in message news:113rvimmq07d83d@corp.supernews.com...
>
>
>
> nospam@nospam.org wrote:
>
> >On Tuesday September 11, 2001, another one of the three top sins of the
universe
> >occurred. Our species, Homo sapiens, blatantly caused approximately 578
species
> >of the web of life to go extinct. This extinction rate equals one species
of
> >life going extinct every 2 minutes and 30 seconds.
>
> Name 10 animal species that have gone extinct in the last 100 years.
>
> (Cue sound of silence, followed by sputtering and flaming but no list...)
>

A whole page of just extinct mammals:

http://www.petermaas.nl/extinct/english.htm
Birds:

Carolina parakeet (Conuropsis carolinensis carolinensis)
Formerly found in: Southeastern United States
Extinct about 1920

Heath Hen (Tympanuchus cupido cupido)
Formerly found in: Eastern United States
Extinct in 1932

Passenger Pigeon (Ectopistes migratorius)
Formerly found in: Central and eastern North America
Extinct in 1914

Laysen Rail 1944

Lanai Thrush 1931

Laysen Millerbird 1923

Fish:

Blackfin cisco
(Coregonus nigripinnis)

Formerly found in: Lakes Huron, Michigan, Ontario, and Superior
Extinct in 1960s

Blue pike
(Stizostedion vitreum glacum)
Formerly found in: Lakes Erie and Ontario
Declared extinct in 1983

Deepwater cisco
(Coregonus johannae)
Formerly found in: Lakes Huron and Michigan
Extinct in 1960s

Longjaw cisco
(Coregonus alpenae)
Formerly found in: Lakes Erie, Huron, and Michigan
Declared extinct in 1983

Clams:

Leafshell
(Epioblasma flexuosa)
Formerly found in: Alabama, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Ohio, Tennessee
Has not been found alive in over 75 years and since 1988 has been considered extinct

Round combshell
(Epioblasma personata)
Formerly found in: Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Ohio
Has not been found alive in over 75 years and since 1988 has been considered extinct

Sampson's pearlymussel (Wabash riffleshell)
Epioblasma sampsonii
Formerly found in: Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky
Declared extinct in 1984

Scioto pigtoe
(Pleurobema bournianum)
Formerly found in: Ohio

Tennessee riffleshell
(Epioblasma propinqua)
Formerly found in: Alabama, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Ohio, Tennessee
Has not been found alive in over 75 years and since 1988 has been considered extinct

1540. Re: Would you really buy from Dan NOW...??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-20 23:41:44 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <YSn%d.38172$rB3.6768452@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14254
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

>
>>Marketing is evidently not your strong suit.
>
>
> THAT was obvious when he posted the FA ad AGAIN,
> with less than a day left on the scanner, and it
> was ALREADY going for more than a NEW scanner!
>
> He's a greedy asshole, and extremely childish,
> and clearly MOST unwilling to change.
>

Hey BUDSTER...

If you're gonna call me a name, at least do it to me directly, instead of "Behind my back", like you are here.

You see, I called you an asshole directly, to you face, because let's face it, you are one.

You STILL have that bug up your arse about that original FA post and the auction where according to YOU, the radio fetched more then YOU thought it would fetch.

You're looking more and more like the scumbag you dimed me out. You just can't let it go , can you? No life is it?

Because other bidders were willing to pay more then even I ever thought it would bring, that makes me greedy?

I posted the second, one day left FA ad not knowing it was that high, and even so, as I said once before, what does one do in that case?

Stop the auctio because it bid too high according to NEVER ANONYMOUS BUD?

Should eBay have a mechanism in their auctions limiting how high an item should go, because maybe someone would be paying too much?

I don't think so. It's an AUCTION, asshole.

By the way, I re-listed the item on eBay yesterday and it sold VERY WELL , in one day using Buy It Now.

There's my poor marketing skills at work again...

And yea, believe it or not, someone DID nuy from me.

Apparently, not many people read these newsgroups after all.

Dan

1541. Re: Would you really buy from Dan NOW...??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-20 23:45:18 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <iWn%d.38173$rB3.6768913@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14258
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

>
> THAT was obvious when he posted the FA ad AGAIN,
> with less than a day left on the scanner, and it
> was ALREADY going for more than a NEW scanner!
>
> He's a greedy asshole, and extremely childish,
> and clearly MOST unwilling to change.
>

BTW, a few posts ago you mentioned that you had to remain anonymous
because you were "Cyber-stalked" in the past.

Horseshit if I ever heard it.

But, assuming you were, now I know why.
Ever wonder why yourself?

Dan

1542. WTT - AR8200 for Lowe accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-20 23:45:24 UTC
From: thecop <thecop@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <4Xn%d.1343$132.1065@fe09.lga>
Source record: 14252

I have an AOR AR-8200 MK II that is a 9.8 out of ten cosmetically and a 10 electronically that I am will to trade for either the Lowe PR-150 or SP-150 or both + I'll add cash. The scanner comes with the original manual, hard leather case, orginal power supply and a great copy of the manual in a loose leaf binder
Please email direct if interested.

John, KB2COP
thecop@optonline.net

1543. Re: a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-20 23:47:01 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <VXn%d.38174$rB3.6769381@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14257
Cross-posted to: alt.marketing.online.ebay,al.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

>>
>>Laid in?
>
>
> I thought you said you knew how to speak English.
> Take your little pay pal and ebay scams elsewhere you muskrat buggering git.

Isn't it SO easy to be a big man and call people silly names while
hiding behind anonymity?

Contact me off group, via e-mail and we'll arrange to meet.

Let's see how your BIG MOUTH works then, jerkoff.

Dan

1544. Re: a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-20 23:48:50 UTC
From: Phaselock Ohms <PL@bakerstreet.com>
Message-ID: <CZn%d.57716$xt.31050@fed1read07>
Source record: 14253
Cross-posted to: alt.marketing.online.ebay,al.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

How does all the below relate to the subject title
Just curious (;-)

--
Elementary Ya All
Phaselock Ohms

<nospam@nospam.org> wrote in message
news:6o1s31di5caht6oth46fc6keer31j12jck@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 20 Mar 2005 22:50:46 +0000, in alt.marketing.online.ebay _@_._
> wrote:
>
>>
>>
>>
>>nospam@nospam.org wrote:
>>
>>>On Tuesday September 11, 2001, another one of the three top sins of the
>>>universe
>>>occurred. Our species, Homo sapiens, blatantly caused approximately 578
>>>species
>>>of the web of life to go extinct. This extinction rate equals one species
>>>of
>>>life going extinct every 2 minutes and 30 seconds.
>>
>>Name 10 animal species that have gone extinct in the last 100 years.
>>
> Recent Extinctions
> New Zealand Little Bittern Ixobrychus novaezelandiae
> Auckland Island Merganser Mergus australis
> Chatham Island Rail Rallus modestus
> Laughing Owl Sceloglaux albifacies
> Bush Wren Xenicus longpipes
> Stephens Island Wren Traversia lyalli
> Chatham Island Fernbird Bowdleria rufescens
> Huia Heteralocha acutirostris
> Piopio Turnagra capensis
> New Zealand Quail Coturnix novaezelandiae novaezelandia
> Dieffenbach's Rail Rallus philippensis dieffenbachii
> Stewart Island Snipe Coenocorypha aucklandica iredalei
> Little Barrier Snipe Coenocorypha aucklandica barrierensis
> Chatham Island Bellbird Anthornis melanura melanocephala
> South Island Kokako Callaeas cinerea cinerea
>

1545. Re: a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-20 23:48:52 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <EZn%d.38175$rB3.6769781@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14259
Cross-posted to: alt.marketing.online.ebay,al.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Phaselock Ohms wrote:
> How does all the below relate to the subject title
> Just curious (;-)
>

It doesn't.

This makes my point about how much horseshit is tolerated here,

yet they dime ME out for a few FA posts.

It's unreal and laughable.

Dan

1546. Re: Kern County freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-20 23:50:52 UTC
From: Paul Hirose <iszintjv55@earINVALIDthlink.net>
Message-ID: <423D4088.B5E27CC1@earINVALIDthlink.net>
Source record: 14256

BWL wrote:
>
> BTW you can get the new freqs and channel designations from the Scanner
> Stuff Southern California Frequency Directory.

That was a good tip. I never heard of the book before, but I found the company's web site and ordered one. It arrived quickly and was well worth the money.

http://www.scannerstuff.com/scfd.htm

--

Paul Hirose <iszintjv55@earINVALIDthlink.net>
To reply by email delete INVALID from address.

1547. Stupid Scanner Question.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-20 23:51:33 UTC
From: "D. Brown" <dvbrown5Pants@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <feKdnRQAm9AakaPfRVn-ow@comcast.com>
Source record: 14255
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Does anyone know what frequency Tethers operate on, the kind that they use for people who are under house-arrest? Would be interesting to know if any of the neighbors are a smooth criminal.

Thanks in advance.

1548. Re: a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-20 23:51:34 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <a0o%d.38176$rB3.6770110@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14260
Cross-posted to: alt.marketing.online.ebay,al.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

>
> You would know if you had taken my advice and read the rules
> at ebay and PayPal. It takes less than an hour, and many folks
> here will be glad to answer specific questions.
>
> Or you can learn by having your auctions cancelled and wondering why.
>
Yep, that ONE auction was cancelled.

First and LAST time that will happen.

They still have not responded to my inquiry, but HAVE credited the
listing fees, so this WAS a very cheap education.

Re-listed the item on eBay yesterday, and STAYED FAR AWAY from these
groups. Item sold last night using Buy It Now for a very good price.

Proving as opthers have said here, that you don't need newsgroup
postings for an item to sell well on eBay.

Syanora

Dan

1549. Re: Stupid Scanner Question.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-21 00:00:41 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <J8o%d.426339$w62.255888@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 14261
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I think they are receptive not passive

1550. Re: a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-21 00:09:57 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <pho%d.33706$qn2.7293617@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14264
Cross-posted to: alt.marketing.online.ebay,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
.
>
> OK - Here's the reason's I've seen that might have
> gotten your listing pulled.
>
> 1 - Posting on a not allowed newsgroup. AMOE is one.
> Even though you didn't originally post there, it got
> here and if someone busts you for it, eBay doesn't
> do a ton of research. They just kill the listing.

Nothing AT ALL to do with the FA eBay posting was EVER made on this
newsgroup. It was only made on alt.radio.scanner, rec.radio.scanner,
and rec.radio.swap.

Thank a trouble maker from one of THOSE groups for cross posting here,
not me. Before this started, I didn't even know this eBay newsgroup
existed, but I'm glad I found it. Very informative.

So, that shoots down number 1.

>
> 2 - PayPal fee. Someone suggested that you were
> charging an additional $10 fee for PayPal. I didn't
> see that in you one listing I saw. I don't know if
> you did do that or not.

There was NEVER a mention of the buyer paying the PAYPAL fee in the eBay
auction.

That shoots down number 2.

>
> 3 - Prohibited item. Both of those scanners are
> questionable about their continuous coverage. You
> know, I'm sure, the IF trick that allows
> them to rcv the cellphone freqs. The literature here
> and there on the net about those radios uses terms
> like "Continuous Band Coverage". That might not mean
> the same thing as "Continuous Coverage" but I'm betting
> that Daisy and Justin and Sean in Bombay aren't xtra
> class amateurs or 1st class commercials and understand
> the difference. If it does NOT violate the CC rule,
> state that in the listing - "This radio is NOT capable
> of tuning cellphone frequencies" or something similar.
> Even though, in real life, they are if you know the
```

> IF trick.

The scanner I was selling DOES NOT allow for any of that, it is IMPOSSIBLE on current scanners, and has been for quite some time. Never mentioned anything about coninuous coverage in the auction, because, it is impossible. There are MANY auctions going for the very same scanner right now without a problem.

Number 3 goes down in flames.

>
> 4 - Competitor seller. VERO/NODI whatever. There's no
> telling how that can influence a sale. And there's not
> much any seller can do to innoculate themself against
> it.

Possibly, but I doubt it. I;ve sold many scanner and radios over the years, and never had a problem from a "competitor".. I am NOT a radio dealer, just an individual selling equipment. But, let's say number 4 is a longshot, maybe.

>
> 5 - Your general attitude. Dan, you are not coming
> across like a level headed adult. You've posted several
> dozen (hundred?), sometimes very childish rants and
> threats about how you will "flood" the newsgroups with
> the re-list/sale of this scanner. That's like someone
> keying up the repeater just because they don't like
> the person currently in the QSO. Behavior like that
> makes it easier for people to say "well I would have
> just let it go but this guy is pissing me off. I'm
> gonna complain to eBay" (or your ISP or whoever).

Yes, I probably let my temper get the best of me initially, but that was AFTER the auction got dumped. Prior to that, I simply posted short, congenial posts USING FA as many people like, SO THEY CAN FILTER THEM OUT SUPPOSEDLY, and went about my busniess. Even when Never Anonymous Bud jumped in stating the price was too high, and calling me names, I stayed out of it and did not respond.

Number 5 goes down in flames.
>
> AMOE is primarily eBay sellers. Some of the sellers
> here base all or a large part of their livelyhood
> on the eBay system. Many of us have had items pulled
> for various reasons. Instead of foaming at the mouth
> and promising to "flood the newsgroups" those successful
> sellers simply evaluated what it was that probably
> went wrong and corrected it. The foot stomping and
> screaming does nobody any good and it only serves
> to make you appear less desirable as another
> eBay seller.

My complaints and ranting began AFTER I was wronged by someone in the
radio scanner newsgroups, not from anyone here.
>
> I would hazard a guess that you got busted by
> SEVERAL of the people on AMOE who are quite
> good at knowing the rules and reporting the
> offenses. I have no idea what the other
> radio related groups demographics are like
> but it's probably reasonable to assume that
> they too have some netcops who busted
> you as well. Right or wrong, fair or unfair.

Impossible. As I said above and will reiterate, I NEVER posted ANYTHING
on this AMOE newsgroup. Nothing, no FA, nothing. DIDN't know it existed
until AFTER my eBay auction was cancelled. Go through the archive and
see for yourself.
>
> Bottom line - You got busted. Fairly or
> otherwise. Spitting and stomping about
> it does you absolutely NO good. It increases
> the negative image of you on this and likely
> the other newsgroups.

That leaves me with the most plausible reason, someone from the radio
groups got a bug up his / her arse because of the FA post, and because
they played god and thought the auction was getting a higher price then
they deserved. Period.

It was a rotten thing to do, but you're right, this was a learnign
experience. I don't regret ranting and raving, it broguth out a good
discussion, and reinforced what I already knew about these newsgroups.

I really don't care about a reputation on newsgroups, trust me....From
some of the crap I've seen people post, and what is TOLERATED, I'm not
worrying.

I re-posted the item on eBay and it went in less then a day using Buy It
Now. So muc for my reputation and marketing skills.

Thanks for your input.

Dan
>
> Now, if you don't mind, I'd like to get back
> to joking and being sarcastic, which is my
> job. I don't care for this touchy feely stuff.
>
> Stop cross posting.
>
> Stop ranting.
>
> Buy my album.
>

>
> Lumpy
> --
> Silver Donuts For Your Ears.
> Now with hidden CW messages
> http://www.digitalcartography.com
>
>

1551. Re: Stupid Scanner Question.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-21 00:14:39 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Plo%d.426361$w62.154995@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 14262
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

http://www.housearrest.com/powerpoint/HAS%20POWERPOINT.ppt

1552. Re: Stupid Scanner Question.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-21 00:14:51 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <%lo%d.426362$w62.339881@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 14263
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

damn, anyone use search anymore?

1553. Re: Would you really buy from Dan NOW...??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-21 01:01:37 UTC
From: farfromsane <NOFREAKINSPAM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <N1p%d.126$yr6.3797091@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 14265
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Hey dipshit! It's EBAY!! The seller doesn't decide how high the auction goes!

DUH!

He's greedy for advertising it? WTF??

"Never anonymous Bud" <newskat@katxyzkave.net> wrote in message news:u1rp31drvuv9flq2pafuitpmj190i491t3@4ax.com...
> Using a finger dipped in purple ink, Jeff <> scribed:
>
> >Marketing is evidently not your strong suit.
>
> THAT was obvious when he posted the FA ad AGAIN,
> with less than a day left on the scanner, and it
> was ALREADY going for more than a NEW scanner!
>
> He's a greedy asshole, and extremely childish,
> and clearly MOST unwilling to change.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> --
>
> The truth is out there,
>
> but it's not interesting enough for most people.

1554. Re: Stupid Scanner Question.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-21 01:10:12 UTC
From: "D. Brown" <dvbrown5Pants@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <aOydnaSfFuZng6PfRVn-rg@comcast.com>
Source record: 14266
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"George Kinzer" <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:%lo%d.426362$w62.339881@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> damn, anyone use search anymore?
>
>
Damn, doesn't anyone discuss things on UseNet anymore? I did a Google search for frequencies and tethers, came up dry and decided to ask here. Although I did not expect a stupid, Ass-Clown reply I should have known better.

Enjoy the group, you'll have it all to yourself at this rate... Oh and thanks.

1555. Re: a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-21 01:33:06 UTC
From: _@_._
Message-ID: <113s932t7614j2c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 14267
Cross-posted to: alt.marketing.online.ebay,al.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8Bit

nospam@nospam.org wrote:

>_@_._ wrote:
>
>>nospam@nospam.org wrote:
>>
>>>On Tuesday September 11, 2001, another one of the three top sins of the universe
>>>occurred. Our species, Homo sapiens, blatantly caused approximately 578 species
>>>of the web of life to go extinct. This extinction rate equals one species of
>>>life going extinct every 2 minutes and 30 seconds.
>>
>>Name 10 animal species that have gone extinct in the last 100 years.
>>
>Recent Extinctions
>New Zealand Little Bittern Ixobrychus novaezelandiae
>Auckland Island Merganser Mergus australis
>Chatham Island Rail Rallus modestus
>Laughing Owl Sceloglaux albifacies
>Bush Wren Xenicus longpipes
>Stephens Island Wren Traversia lyalli
>Chatham Island Fernbird Bowdleria rufescens
>Huia Heteralocha acutirostris
>Piopio Turnagra capensis
>New Zealand Quail Coturnix novaezelandiae novaezelandia
>Dieffenbach&#65533;s Rail Rallus philippensis dieffenbachii
>Stewart Island Snipe Coenocorypha aucklandica iredalei
>Little Barrier Snipe Coenocorypha aucklandica barrierensis
>Chatham Island Bellbird Anthornis melanura melanocephala
>South Island Kokako Callaeas cinerea cinerea

Drat! Someone who knows about the much higher rate of
island extinctions!

I thought that I would get a run from that one.
Defeat on the first try!

(Runs with tail between legs)

1556. Re: a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-21 01:33:18 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <yvp%d.45662$534.4179@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14268
Cross-posted to: al.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

> Good, glad to hear it. Now we can enjoy the scanning groups as previously every
> other message was you hawking your wares. Maybe more people will join the
> groups now too instead of quitting in disgust because of all your spam.

Hey Fred...

Every other message? You consider 2 seperate FA posts for scanners
within MONTHS of each other every other message?

Shoe us examples of all these posts from me , every other message, every
day, every week.

Radio people with any brains have left those silly groups LONG ago and
now inhabit Strong Signals and the Yahoo groups where there is
moderation, and yes, FS and FA posts are allowed and tolerated, but all
the other horsehit and whiny nonsense found on these groups is not.

Don't get so caught up in the fever of blasting me, and think before you
post next time.

Dan

1557. Re: a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-21 01:34:52 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <0xp%d.45663$534.19615@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14269
Cross-posted to: alt.marketing.online.ebay,al.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

>
>
> Yeah but Danny boy I'm the one who bid on it and I've just left you negative
> feedback.

Yea, and it's you who just paid me promptly in full using PAYPAL?

Is it really rough having NO life? How is it in that dark room without
your pants on?

Dan

1558. Re: a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-21 01:40:08 UTC
From: _@_._
Message-ID: <113s9g84835ag30@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 14270
Cross-posted to: alt.marketing.online.ebay,al.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Dan Conti wrote:
>
>> You would know if you had taken my advice and read the rules
>> at ebay and PayPal. It takes less than an hour, and many folks
>> here will be glad to answer specific questions.
>>
>> Or you can learn by having your auctions cancelled and wondering why.
>>
>Yep, that ONE auction was cancelled.
>
>First and LAST time that will happen.

So let's get this straight...

You WON'T read the eBay/PayPal documentation the find out what the
rules are (we know that because you said you don't know what a
powerseller is, and it is impossible to find out the rules without
learning that)

But you are 100% sure that you won't ever break one.

Riiiiight.

You will be back, pissing and moaning about another rat scumbag
dropping a dime on your newsgroup listings.

1559. Re: EBAY SQUASHED MY LISTING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-21 01:42:28 UTC
From: Ad Hoc Guy <PussyFart@hic-ups.org>
Message-ID: <UDp%d.1121$nK.247853@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 14271
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Dr.Artaud, Thanks for the insight, It confirms what I always suspected about those military rejects working at the US borders (because they wont hire you unless you been in the service).
They wear a freakin gun (* .45-Glucks,38,.357 and 9mm Beretta's) both US imig and Customs do.
You go to Canada and those guys take time to say " HI , Welcome to Canada " versus the US welcome of " Wo are YOU..Where do you come from and what is the purpose of your visit !!!bluntly speaking). Then they ask you if you have a Police record...The last time I crossed the border at Champlain NY I was detained for 3 hours because when the woman agent asked me if I had a Police record I answered " YES, Synchronicity"......They have NO Fucking sense of Humor those Lunatics. I tried to tell her it was a joke.." Synchronicity " ..? You know the POLICE ? ha ha ha.....Ha ha ha was right. The emptied my two bags, I missed my bus and ended up sleeping in a flea bag motel until the next morning.
Now I know not to make jokes with Brainless killing machines(rejects from USMC).

--
" Beauty is in the eye of the beer holder. "
"&#65533; Dr. Artaud &#65533;" <&#65533;NoSuchThing&#65533;@Notreal.com> wrote in message news:Xns961F88FA02F01TheDoctorisin@216.168.3.44...
> "Ad Hoc Guy" <PussyFart@hic-ups.org> wrote in
> news:3uP_d.31866$N8.871379@news20.bellglobal.com:
>
> Right before 911, I had bought a new Savage Stevens single shot .22
> caliber
> rifle, a model designed similar to the original model of the early 1900s
> (late 1800s?). Anyway, the rifle had a head spacing problem, and the
> shells
> would split and powder would be ejected towards my face, and an unusually
> load report was hear when this happened.
>
> I contacted Savage, they said to return the rifle to them for repair,
> which
> I did. Problem is that it was sent to Canada for repair. 911 occurred, and
> it was the last I saw of the rifle. Savage acknowledged that heightened
> border security flummoxed the shipment of the rifle, I guess customs got
> hold of it, and Savage willingly replaced the rifle with no problems.
>
> Though I can understand that 911 was a horrible time, I always wondered
> how
> many other items disappeared in shipment during those times and continue

> to
> disappear even now.
>
> And the international situation is becoming farcical in terms of who is
> allowed to own what, nation to nation. Of course Canada and England
> permitted the ownership of unblocked scanners, and kudos for them for it.
> But it is my understanding that at least Canada doesn't allow digital
> scanners, perhaps someone on this group can set the record straight for me
> if they can, with the irony being that the U.S. permits it.
>
> I listen everyday to the police scanner, still largely analog in my area,
> and I can see that even though there are occasional problems with someone
> using a scanner to further illicit activity, the number of occasions when
> someone provides tips because they were listening to the scanner and have
> some insight into a particular crime or know the location of someone being
> chased by the police, easily offsets, I believe, the illicit use.
>
> I asked the Mayor of a borough near Pittsburgh about scanners. He was a
> lifetime cop in the same borough, and he felt that the contribution that
> law abiding citizens (listening to scanners) make to police work is very
> valuable.
>
> With the borders to Canada and Mexico largely unguarded, it's a farce to
> pretend that the U.S. is actually doing anything about so called "Homeland
> Security". Neurotically opening small packages (yet inspecting only 2% of
> the train rail car size shipping containers coming into the U.S. West
> Coast
> from China) and confiscating single shot rifles and unblocked scanners is
> probably not having any effect, save for the enrichment of those that
> invariably come into the ownership of items that they didn't pay for.
>
>
> Dr. Artaud
>
>
>> Speaking of Ebay and shipping, It is terrible the number of complaints
>> I get from customers regarding the way their shipment arrive at
>> destination in the USA. the envelopes are opened,the boxes ripped open
>> , the contents damaged or missing. US Customs really do a great job
>> on even small 6 X 6 bubble shipping envelopes. They are fucking
>> Paranoid scumbags if you ask me. I receive shipments from the states
>> that are literally intact yet inspected by Canada customs agents.
>> Years ago I use to sent clothing and food items in Russia to friends
>> we knew. Even they received those packages intact and they lived in a
>> communist block country.
>> So I dont think that Ebay are the only ones that think they are GOD.
>> The lunatics at the US border have a serious ego problem also if you
>> ask me.
>>

>

1560. Re: EBAY SQUASHED MY LISTING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-21 01:48:21 UTC
From: Ad Hoc Guy <PussyFart@hic-ups.org>
Message-ID: <pJp%d.1124$nK.249276@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 14274
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Sky King... That was a good one. I like it. Next time try to leave a gift wrapped box under his desk with a large brand new alarm clock inside that goes TIC TOC TIC TOC. dont forget to put a nice note inside which says in Latin " Tempus Fugit " (Time flye's) . But make sure you handle everything with surgical gloves. he he he he . I'd like to see his face when he finds it.
The same goes for Doberman shit.

--
" Beauty is in the eye of the beer holder. "
"Sky King" <SkyKing5@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:Xd9%d.1425$6b1.753@fe08.lga...
> That boss story was pretty good.
> My first boss way back when was a scum bag.
> I sent him a xmas card to the shop.
> He opened it while talking to the owner of the place.
> As he opened the card they both saw a
> un-rolled condom that had Ivory liquid all over it.
> And I wrote "to Bob, A real prick".
> It took everything I had not to burst out laughing.
> Needless to say, I sent him a card for many years.
> Childish, yes, but the payback in front of the owner... priceless!!
>
>
>
>
> Ad Hoc Guy wrote:
>
>>Good luck anyway Dan.
>>
>>
>
> -- " Beauty is in the eye of the beer holder. " "Dan Conti"
> <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:Qs2%d.36356$rB3.6616676@twister.nyc.rr.com...
>
>>>
>>
>>>>> Dan , Forgot to mention, I had a BOSS once who pissed me off so bad I
>>>>> wanted to Kill him.
>>>>> Instead, what I did was PURCHASE a YEARS SUBSCRIPTION to some hardcore
>>>>> GAY S&M MAGAZINE (paid cash off course). And what I did was On the
>>>>> APPLICATION, I WROTE HIS NAME, BUT his 3 rd door NEIGHBOR'S

>>>>> ADDRESS......
>>>>> So guess what, the guy who received it made it a point to deliver it
>>>>> to MY BOSS in person.
>>>>> I would have loved to be there when he handed the S&M Gay magazine to
>>>>> him.
>>>>> Sometimes it costs very little to get even with people and all along
>>>>> giving you the satisfaction of a job well done.....(I still rejoice
>>>>> today just thinking about it)....ha ha ha
>>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Very funny stuff indeed, but despite what most think of me here, I am
>>> not that type of person.
>>>
>>> Once again...
>>>
>>> I didn't do anything underhanded or deceitful in my initial actions, and
>>> I don't plan on starting now.
>>>
>>> Dan
>>

1561. Re: a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-21 01:53:29 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <tOp%d.45665$534.36635@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14273
Cross-posted to: alt.marketing.online.ebay,al.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

> So let's get this straight...
>
> You WON'T read the eBay/PayPal documentation the find out what the
> rules are (we know that because you said you don't know what a
> powerseller is, and it is impossible to find out the rules without
> learning that)
>
> But you are 100% sure that you won't ever break one.
>
> Riiiiight.
>
> You will be back, pissing and moaning about another rat scumbag
> dropping a dime on your newsgroup listings.
>

My eBay feedback is excellent, and I've sold NUMEROUS items there
without ever having a problem.

Guess I don't know the rules.

I MEANT that even though after all this debate, it is still not clear
what eBay allows as to newsgroups, or more importantly, what the
newsgroups allow, I will simply NOT EVER post another FA post on the
newsgroups again. That should make everyone very happy.

Dan

1562. Re: Stupid Scanner Question.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-21 01:55:00 UTC
From: tom <cyberhun@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <UPp%d.743727$Xk.405575@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 14272
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

If you think a question is too simple to bother with, why not just ignore
it?

==========

Question:
What's the point of even following a newsgroup to begin with if all you're
going to do is respond to people's questions with snide, derogatory comments
about the use of search engines?

Answer:
Because it creates opportunities to make snide, derogatory comments.
==========

1563. Re: Would you really buy from Dan NOW...??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-21 02:01:35 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <3Wp%d.45667$534.5959@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14275
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

>
>>Hey dipshit! It's EBAY!! The seller doesn't decide how high the auction
>>goes!
>>
>>DUH!
>
>
> I never SAID he did.
>
> But he DID continue advertising it AFTER it was already TOO high.
>
> That IS 'greedy', but obviously YOU don't have the intelligence to understand that.
>
>

See, typical BUDSTER bullshit.

This guy doesn't agree with the almighty BUD, so you now demean HIM /
HER as well!

Oh you are SO true to form and predictable.

I'll say it one more time since you are either too dumb to understand,
or only undertsand what YOU want to understand....

> But he DID continue advertising it AFTER it was already TOO high.<

I "advertised" (another FA post) not knowing it was "too high". And
who are you or anyone else to determine if an item is "too high"???

I can accept criticism for making excessive FA posts on the groups.

But for you to be the arbitor of eBay pricing YOU deem to be too high
speaks volumes about you...

Dan

1564. Re: a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-21 02:12:24 UTC
From: _@_._
Message-ID: <113sbconcuv9k19@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 14276
Cross-posted to: alt.marketing.online.ebay,al.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

fred@aol.com wrote:
>
>Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote:
>
>>>>Laid in?
>>>
>>> I thought you said you knew how to speak English.
>>> Take your little pay pal and ebay scams elsewhere you muskrat buggering git.
>>
>>Isn't it SO easy to be a big man and call people silly names while
>>hiding behind anonymity?
>>
>>Contact me off group, via e-mail and we'll arrange to meet.
>>
>>Let's see how your BIG MOUTH works then, jerkoff.
>>
>>Dan
>
>Yeah then you can be a BIG MAN who beats women.

Wow. Challenging people to an actual physical fight.
This Dan Conti fellow isn't too bright, is he?

It sure is easy to report someone's auctions even when there isn't
anything wrong with them... A few such reports and the auction
gets cancelled. Then Angry Dan starts threatening people some more
and various people figure that it's fun to mess with him in other
ways. This is going to be quite the show!

1565. Patrick AFB Area Log - Sun, 20 Mar 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-21 02:16:24 UTC
From: AllanStern@aol.com
Message-ID: <97.5bacfe4f.2f6f8878@aol.com>
Source record: 14277

Patrick AFB Area Log - Sun, 20 Mar 2005
==========

Busier Sunday than usual. My conjecture is that many of these acft were
participants in the Punta Gorda air show; I know that some were.

TERRA 5: Northrop Grumman JSTARS Ground Station, Melbourne IAP
7:57am: Comms with T-3 (E-8 acft on ground).
[231.75]

GATOR 50: T-43A, Randolph AFB
9:25am: Departs Patrick AFB to Randolph.
[335.8, 289.4, 133.75, 132.65, 124.8]

VIKING 03: C-130H, Minn-St Paul, AFRC 934AW "Flying Vikings"
10:10am: Departs Patrick to Pittsburgh.
[133.75, 132.65, 124.8]

REACH 754T
10:55am: Lands Patrick; rqsts 75K# fuel; RONs.
[372.2, 132.65, 133.75]

PUJOE 22: T-6A Texan II, Moody AFB 479FTG "Peugots"
10:24am: Departs Daytona Beach to Gainesville.
[255.4, 307.0]

GOLDFINCH 711: P-3C
10:32am: Comms with Fiddle at NAS Jax: "Ops normal; KB at this time."
[285.0; possibly 277.8]

LIMA TANGO 621: P-3C, NAS Jax VP-62 "Broad Arrows"
10:43am: Comms with Oakgrove: "We are at position India, turning to a
point east of Patrick. Parrot check sweet sweet. Look for us to come in off the
coast of Jax in about three hours."
[364.2]

HORSE 909: C-130E, Little Rock 314AW
12:15pm: Comms with MacDill Lightning Ops. "We were off at 1550z. Let
Little Rock know we will be there in 2.5 hours. We were doing the air show at
Punta Gorda."
12:28pm: "We are inbound IFE for #2 engine shutdown."
[311.0]

DERBY 57: C-130H, Louisville KY-ANG 123AW
12:42pm: Departs Patrick, does low approach over Shuttle Runway en route
to destination.
[133.75, 133.8, 132.65]

COAST GUARD 1098: MH-68A, Cecil Field HITRON-10
1:33pm; Area transition.
[132.65, 127.075]

GUNFIGHTER 01: F-14, Oceana VF-101 "Grim Reapers"
1:40pm: Area transition.

BOBCAT 20: T-45C, Meridian NAS TW-1
3:05pm: Northbound.
[285.5, 269.25]

ROPER 44: T-38, Beale 9RW
3:30pm: Area transition. Poss RTB from Punta Gorda show?
[291.7]

ROPER 11: T-38, Beale 9RW
3:30pm: Area transition. Poss RTB from Punta Gorda show?
[291.7]

KING 76: HC-1130P #65-0976 Patrick AFB 920RQW 39RQS
4:12pm: Lands Patrick.
[133.75, 321.0]

TITAN 04: C-130F, Cherry Point MCAS VMGR-252
5:55pm: Touch-and-goes at Patrick.
[133.75]

OMAHA 71, poss 71B
6:15pm: Comms with Hammer.
[282.425]

ROMAN 55: F/A-18, Oceana VFA-106 "Gladiators"
6:20pm: Northbound.
[285.5, 319.0, 346.25, 279.6]

RIVER 07: F/A-18, New Orleans USNR VFA-204 "River Rattlers"
7:36pm: Northbound, en route to Pensacola via Lakeland.
[285.5, 269.25]

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL (28-11N 80-36W) monitoring
Patrick AFB (KCOF) NASA-KSC Shuttle Landing Fac (KX68)
Avon Park Bombing Range (KAGR) Cape Canaveral AFS (KXMR)
JSTARS E-8 Acft Integration Facility, Melbourne IAP (KMLB)
Worldwide Military HF Communications
Life Member: Missile, Space and Range Pioneers.
http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/index.html (My Freqs)
http://hometown.aol.com/scanaddict/index.html (My Equipment)

1566. Re: a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-21 02:18:27 UTC
From: _@_._
Message-ID: <113sbo3piie417@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 14278
Cross-posted to: alt.marketing.online.ebay,al.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

anon@example.com wrote:

>Yeah but Danny boy I'm the one who bid on it and I've just
>left you negative feedback.

Like I said, once you start challenging anonymous posters to fistfights,
people are going to start looking for creative ways to mess with you.

And what Powerseller doesn't have an additional buying account where
they don't care about a neg or two?

Dan, I suggest unconditional surrender, begging for forgiveness,
and a few "please don't hurt me" posts.

1567. Re: a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-21 02:33:03 UTC
From: Anonymopus <_@_._>
Message-ID: <113scjfdt3v9u5e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 14279
Cross-posted to: alt.marketing.online.ebay,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Dan Conti wrote:
>
>> If anyone wants to make things up and accuse Dan of doing them,
>> the complaint address of his ISP is abuse@rr.com . Maybe his
>> ISP just responds to complaints without doing research.
>>
>> Of course I am just kidding, just like the way Dan was just
>> kidding when he threatened to flood newsgroups with a zillion
>> messages.
>>
>> And where are the zillion messages?

Same place all the complaints to abuse@rr.com are. If you can
threaten to flood a newsgroup and then not do it, then I can
threaten to make things up and accuse you of doing them and
then not do it. Fair is fair.

>My messages are in response to what others post or comment on this issue.

So are mine.

>I am entitled to repond, aren't I, or is this now a dictatorship?

Sure you are entitled to "repond". So am I. You "reponded" with a
threat to flood newsgroups, and I "reponded" with a threat to report
you to eBay and your ISP - and we KNOW that eBay will just boot
your sorry arse without doing any investigating or even responding
to your whinging.

>Complain away, my anonymopus friend. Easy to be a tough guy hiding
>behind the mask...

"Anonymopus"... I like my new name!

Are you SURE that I am not big enough to beat the crap out of you?
You had better think about that before inviting anonymous people
to meet you in person and settle things with fists...

1568. Re: a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-21 02:41:44 UTC
From: _@_._
Message-ID: <113sd3ok6fmj1a4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 14280
Cross-posted to: alt.marketing.online.ebay,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Looks like Dan Conti decided to go "anonymopus" after the fact,
but he stepped on his dick again while trying.

From: Lipstick Lilly <Absent@hotmail.com>
User-Agent: Mozilla Thunderbird 1.0 (Windows/20041206)
X-Accept-Language: en-us, en
MIME-Version: 1.0
In-Reply-To: x
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 20 Mar 2005 19:32:00 EST
==========
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
User-Agent: Mozilla Thunderbird 1.0 (Windows/20041206)
X-Accept-Language: en-us, en
MIME-Version: 1.0
In-Reply-To: x
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 20 Mar 2005 19:12:49 EST

1569. Re: a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-21 03:07:35 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <XTq%d.38195$rB3.6801863@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14281
Cross-posted to: alt.marketing.online.ebay,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

WHat are you talking about?

Trying to stir up more trouble?

Have another drink, OK?

Dan

> Looks like Dan Conti decided to go "anonymopus" after the fact,
> but he stepped on his dick again while trying.
>
> From: Lipstick Lilly <Absent@hotmail.com>
> User-Agent: Mozilla Thunderbird 1.0 (Windows/20041206)
> X-Accept-Language: en-us, en
> MIME-Version: 1.0
> In-Reply-To: x
> Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1; format=flowed
> Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
> NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 20 Mar 2005 19:32:00 EST
> ==========
> From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
> User-Agent: Mozilla Thunderbird 1.0 (Windows/20041206)
> X-Accept-Language: en-us, en
> MIME-Version: 1.0
> In-Reply-To: x
> Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1; format=flowed
> Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
> NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 20 Mar 2005 19:12:49 EST
>
>
>
>
>
>

1570. Re: EBAY SQUASHED MY LISTING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-21 03:17:31 UTC
From: "&#65533; Dr. Artaud &#65533;" <&#65533;NoSuchThing&#65533;@Notreal.com>
Message-ID: <Xns961FE2C58B4ECTheDoctorisin@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 14282
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Ad Hoc Guy" <PussyFart@hic-ups.org> wrote in
news:UDp%d.1121$nK.247853@news20.bellglobal.com:

Actually, it took a second or two for me to get the police record joke, but
I had a good laugh. Fortunately, (or perhaps unfortunately) I have not had
the occasion to cross into Canada since before 911.

Oddly enough, even before 911, I witnessed a car attempting to enter the
Canadian side that was refused entry. A caucasian driver and 4 or so
oriental looking passengers. I have no insight into why they were refused
entry. They were turned around and sent back towards the U.S. I became
intrigued by the concept of them being refused entry back into the U.S.?
Would they have to live on the bridge for the rest of their lives?

Regards.

Dr. Artaud

> Dr.Artaud, Thanks for the insight, It confirms what I always suspected
> about those military rejects working at the US borders (because they
> wont hire you unless you been in the service).

1571. Re: a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-21 03:23:49 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <97r%d.45687$534.26830@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14283
Cross-posted to: alt.marketing.online.ebay,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

>>>
>>>And where are the zillion messages?
>
>
> Same place all the complaints to abuse@rr.com are. If you can
> threaten to flood a newsgroup and then not do it, then I can
> threaten to make things up and accuse you of doing them and
> then not do it. Fair is fair.
>
OK, fair enough.

I act childish, you act childish, we all act childish.

I get it...

>
>>My messages are in response to what others post or comment on this issue.
>
>
> So are mine.
>
>
>>I am entitled to repond, aren't I, or is this now a dictatorship?
>
>
> Sure you are entitled to "repond". So am I. You "reponded" with a
> threat to flood newsgroups, and I "reponded" with a threat to report
> you to eBay and your ISP - and we KNOW that eBay will just boot
> your sorry arse without doing any investigating or even responding
> to your whinging.

You're gonna report me to eBay for FLOODING? What does eBay have to do
with THAT? Or why should they care?

Relative to eBay, I posted a total of TWO FA posts twice within a few
days. Not exactly flooding.
>
>
>>Complain away, my anonymopus friend. Easy to be a tough guy hiding
>>behind the mask...
>
>
> "Anonymopus"... I like my new name!

Well, it's not very original, Apparently all the newsgroup tough guys
use it.

>
> Are you SURE that I am not big enough to beat the crap out of you?
> You had better think about that before inviting anonymous people
> to meet you in person and settle things with fists...
>
>
I deal with anonymous people that wanna beat the crap outta be in my
job. Some times they succeed, often they do not.

No big deal.

Dan

>

1572. Re: Would you really buy from Dan NOW...??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-21 03:26:43 UTC
From: farfromsane <NOFREAKINSPAM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <N9r%d.143$_x1.4762734@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 14288
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Are you the price police? What is "too high"? I've seen plenty of radio equipment sold daily for prices I feel are "high"... but Ebay (or any other auction) lets the market decide.

Dan's just advertising. Nothing to do with greed...or intelligence, just common sense.

You ARE starting to sound like the one who stirred this shit up to begin with...

"Never anonymous Bud" <newskat@katxyzkave.net> wrote in message news:41as31prt168hdatu0uusdopjur5m9rcv3@4ax.com...
> Using a finger dipped in purple ink, "farfromsane" <NOFREAKINSPAM@hotmail.com> scribed:
>
> >Hey dipshit! It's EBAY!! The seller doesn't decide how high the auction
> >goes!
> >
> >DUH!
>
> I never SAID he did.
>
> But he DID continue advertising it AFTER it was already TOO high.
>
> That IS 'greedy', but obviously YOU don't have the intelligence to understand that.
>
>
>
>
>
> --
>
> The truth is out there,
>
> but it's not interesting enough for most people.

1573. Re: EBAY SQUASHED MY LISTING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-21 03:43:05 UTC
From: Ad Hoc Guy <PussyFart@hic-ups.org>
Message-ID: <Zor%d.1689$nK.293133@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 14284
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Well actually NO. The originating (outbound) country being the US, they would have to return from where they came.If for instance they would not have had the necessary paperwork to re-enter the US, then they would be detained and the legal procedures for deportation to their last known country of origin would prevail. This is why many immigrants will destroy all their paperwork and try to enter Canada.
In Canada the Federal ruling says that any person requesting political asylum will be granted that wish but under certain conditions and for the time it takes to verify their credentials.(a way for them to buy time). In the meantime they are fed,lodged and free to go but a resident must post a bond for them. Otherwise they are under preventive detention in specially located Motels under guard Not in detention camps like in some areas in the US. I guess we are a bit more humanitarian in that field of expertise, although a few bad ones will slip through our fingers once in a while.
That's why Canada is so uptight on making sure that any arriving visitor have the proper papers to enter or visit Canada. It costs taxpayers a lot.
Dont forget that the government once they accept the refugee must supply him with lodging,food and a welfare check until he can live on his own. The exception to this is when family or friends will take them in under bond.

--
" Beauty is in the eye of the beer holder. "
"&#65533; Dr. Artaud &#65533;" <&#65533;NoSuchThing&#65533;@Notreal.com> wrote in message
news:Xns961FE2C58B4ECTheDoctorisin@216.168.3.44...
> "Ad Hoc Guy" <PussyFart@hic-ups.org> wrote in
> news:UDp%d.1121$nK.247853@news20.bellglobal.com:
>
> Actually, it took a second or two for me to get the police record joke,
> but
> I had a good laugh. Fortunately, (or perhaps unfortunately) I have not had
> the occasion to cross into Canada since before 911.
>
> Oddly enough, even before 911, I witnessed a car attempting to enter the
> Canadian side that was refused entry. A caucasian driver and 4 or so
> oriental looking passengers. I have no insight into why they were refused
> entry. They were turned around and sent back towards the U.S. I became
> intrigued by the concept of them being refused entry back into the U.S.?
> Would they have to live on the bridge for the rest of their lives?
>
> Regards.
>
> Dr. Artaud
>

>> Dr.Artaud, Thanks for the insight, It confirms what I always suspected
>> about those military rejects working at the US borders (because they
>> wont hire you unless you been in the service).

1574. Re: Stupid Scanner Question.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-21 04:22:14 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <WZr%d.427473$w62.32358@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 14285
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

first , I gave information, then after I did the homework , I made the remark. If I WANTED TO BE A SMART ASS, I WOULD NOT HAVE EVEN BOTHERED TO GIVE THE INFO.
So, with that in mind don't be such a wussy and get offended, if I hurt your feelings, I don't care. really, it is not hard to dig up information, just seems like people are either ignorant or just too damn lazy to look it up themselves. Don't take it personal.

It would be an asset if it would have said " I have done a search and came up with nothing"
or something along them lines.

1575. Re: a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-21 04:27:53 UTC
From: _@_._
Message-ID: <113sjap6ch9f87f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 14286
Cross-posted to: alt.marketing.online.ebay,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
Dan Conti wrote:
>
>WHat are you talking about?
>
>Trying to stir up more trouble?
>
>Have another drink, OK?
>
>Dan
>
>> Looks like Dan Conti decided to go "anonymopus" after the fact,
>> but he stepped on his dick again while trying.
>>
>> From: Lipstick Lilly <Absent@hotmail.com>
>> User-Agent: Mozilla Thunderbird 1.0 (Windows/20041206)
>> X-Accept-Language: en-us, en
>> MIME-Version: 1.0
>> In-Reply-To: x
>> Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1; format=flowed
>> Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
>> NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 20 Mar 2005 19:32:00 EST
>> ================================================
>> From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
>> User-Agent: Mozilla Thunderbird 1.0 (Windows/20041206)
>> X-Accept-Language: en-us, en
>> MIME-Version: 1.0
>> In-Reply-To: x
>> Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1; format=flowed
>> Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
>> NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 20 Mar 2005 19:12:49 EST

I KNEW IT! DAN "LIPSTICK LILLI" CONTI IS A TOP-POSTER!!!
```

1576. Re: a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-21 04:33:34 UTC
From: _@_._
Message-ID: <113sjldqi9kls22@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 14287
Cross-posted to: alt.marketing.online.ebay,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

nospam@nospam.org, that was a great analysis of
Dan "Lipstick Lilly" Conti and his spamming.

Great work!

1577. [OT]: Why can't US catch OBL?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-21 05:03:41 UTC
From: kc7jfv@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <781c67d2.0503202103.128008eb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 14289

I am sure he and his associates depend on radio communication. With all those fancy satellites, I would think it would be pretty darn easy to hit the target. What gives?

1578. Re: Anybody find the W&W battery packs are not so hot lately??????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-21 05:44:29 UTC
From: "Ralph A. Schmid, DK5RAS" <nospam@radio-link.net>
Message-ID: <vmns31p23jor3efrlpt4vbud2qor2iq6ph@4ax.com>
Source record: 14292
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Dr. Rastis Fafoofnik" <DRFafoofnik@rumpledanus.com> wrote:

>I buy ALL my batteries for my Motorola equipment FROM MOTOROLA.......

I do not any more; Motorola seems to use whatever cells are available
on the market, while aftermarket suppliers often use Sanyo cells -
these batteries are cheaper, and at least the same quality as the
original ones, often better.

regards - Ralph

--

Want to get in touch? http://www.radio-link.net/whereisralph.txt

1579. Re: Would you really buy from Dan NOW...??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-21 05:48:22 UTC
From: farfromsane <NOFREAKINSPAM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Cet%d.152$zQ4.6111338@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 14290
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.marketing.online.ebay

Gosh...strangely enough...I thought this was a swap newsgroup??

<nospam@nospam.org> wrote in message
news:2kks31974mngqs0hclvh6ig4v0si3fh94i@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 20 Mar 2005 19:26:43 -0800, in rec.radio.scanner "farfromsane"
> <NOFREAKINSPAM@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Are you the price police? What is "too high"? I've seen plenty of radio
> >equipment sold daily for prices I feel are "high"... but Ebay (or any
other
> >auction) lets the market decide.
> >
> >Dan's just advertising. Nothing to do with greed...or intelligence, just
> >common sense.
>
>
> Dan has made about 300 posts to USENET about 250 of them have been to
advertise
> his radios and cameras. In 2001 He was warned by his ISP to stop.
>
>
>
> "Dan Conti" <dco...@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:DTVma.10277$TR5.6806@twister.nyc.rr.com...
>
>
> > Apparently, I can no longer post my equipment FOR SALE in ANY newgroup,
> >and that is a shame.
>
> > Dan
>
> Date: Tue, 15 Apr 2003!

1580. Re: Would you really buy from Dan NOW...??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-21 08:04:52 UTC
From: Dave S <djsmartremovethisbit@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <423e80c5$0$21677$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 14291
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.marketing.online.ebay

That's part of the problem - it's been cross-posted every which way. :-(

--

Dave S

"farfromsane" <NOFREAKINSPAM@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:Cet%d.152$zQ4.6111338@news.sisna.com...
> Gosh...strangely enough...I thought this was a swap newsgroup??
>
>
> <nospam@nospam.org> wrote in message
> news:2kks31974mngqs0hclvh6ig4v0si3fh94i@4ax.com...
> > On Sun, 20 Mar 2005 19:26:43 -0800, in rec.radio.scanner "farfromsane"
> > <NOFREAKINSPAM@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >
> > >Are you the price police? What is "too high"? I've seen plenty of radio
> > >equipment sold daily for prices I feel are "high"... but Ebay (or any
> other
> > >auction) lets the market decide.
> > >
> > >Dan's just advertising. Nothing to do with greed...or intelligence,
just
> > >common sense.
> >
> >
> > Dan has made about 300 posts to USENET about 250 of them have been to
> advertise
> > his radios and cameras. In 2001 He was warned by his ISP to stop.
> >
> >
> >
> > "Dan Conti" <dco...@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
> > news:DTVma.10277$TR5.6806@twister.nyc.rr.com...
> >
> >
> > > Apparently, I can no longer post my equipment FOR SALE in ANY
newgroup,
> > >and that is a shame.
> >
> > > Dan
> >
> > Date: Tue, 15 Apr 2003!
>

>

1581. Re: EBAY SQUASHED MY LISTING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-21 08:28:56 UTC
From: mjb@posie.local.dom
Message-ID: <d1m0k8$5de$1@posie.local.dom>
Source record: 14112
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

In article <8xL_d.35879$534.22235@twister.nyc.rr.com>,
Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote:

>eBay policy prohibits members from sending spam. Spam is defined as
>email that is both unsolicited and is commercial in nature.

USENET is not email. As eBay define spam in this way, news postings
are irrelevant to the rules as listed in your posting. This is to
stop direct-mailing of people off an address list etc.

Furthermore, eBay say "Now go and promote your auction" in their
"How To" guides. If they ban you from promoting your auction, why
ask you to promote it?

>If you received a warning for violating our spam policy and you believe
>that it was sent in error, please contact us .

If your auction got yanked for posting to a NEWSGROUP on the basis of
the above rules, complain to eBay and ask why.
--
------------------------------------+-----------------------------------
Mike Brown: mjb[at]pootle.demon.co.uk | http://www.pootle.demon.co.uk/

1582. Re: Radio Shack PRO-93?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-21 13:05:54 UTC
From: Gerry Wheeler <gxwheeler@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.03.21.13.05.54.397720@earthlink.net>
Source record: 14293

On Fri, 18 Mar 2005 10:46:56 -0800, mikem@evenlink.com wrote:

> I have a RS Pro-93 scanner. I don't see much of a write up on it on
> the web other than it had been replaced by the Pro-95 model.
> Any shortcomings anyone has had with the PRO-93? Anyone like the
> PRO-93?
> How does the Pro-93 compare to the Uniden 246XLT?

I've been using a Pro-93 for several years, and love it. I've recently replaced it with a Uniden BC246T, but the Pro-93 is still used as my backup radio.

--
Gerry Wheeler
Naples, FL

1583. Re: Stupid Scanner Question.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-21 13:15:43 UTC
From: pctech <mospam@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3Oz%d.119$S46.99@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 14294
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

More and more arrogant smart ass loudmouths in these groups anymore, gotta hide behind an ip address. Cant even give the guy a decent answer ! No wonder there are more and more people going ballistic and off'en assholes in this day and age . This dont concern me but , I am really sick and tired of arrogant loudmouthed assholes who hide. If yer gonna say sumthin , say it to my face , and then take yer whippin . if ya dont like my spellin ...then go FUCK yer mother (that only applies to the asshole(s) that reply in an offensive manner to my post)

> first , I gave information, then after I did the homework , I made the
> remark. If I WANTED TO BE A SMART ASS, I WOULD NOT HAVE EVEN BOTHERED TO
> GIVE THE INFO.
> So, with that in mind don't be such a wussy and get offended, if I hurt
> your
> feelings, I don't care. really, it is not hard to dig up information, just
> seems like people are either ignorant or just too damn lazy to look it up
> themselves. Don't take it personal.
>
> It would be an asset if it would have said " I have done a search and came
> up with nothing"
> or something along them lines.
>
>

1584. Re: RS Pro-89 programming question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-21 13:20:52 UTC
From: Gerry Wheeler <gxwheeler@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.03.21.13.20.51.47818@earthlink.net>
Source record: 14295

On Sun, 20 Mar 2005 23:33:36 -0500, LoneGunman wrote:

> This weekend, at the 12 Hours of Sebring, I was trying to program the
> freqs of my favorite team(Rollcentre), but for some reason it wasn't
> cooperating.
>
> The frequency I wanted to put in was 152.4425 and 157.7025, but after
> entering the freq(using Prolink via computer, and manually) the scanner
> would change them to 152.4400 and 157.7000.
>
> Is this how its supposed to function, and I'm just SOL on listening to
> this team?

Hey, I was at Sebring too. I'm hoping to write up some notes about the scanning there, and I'll post an update.

re your question: I would guess that the Pro-89 was rounding the frequencies to what it figured are the actual values allowed in that band. If you're certain you know the correct frequencies it might be that the band plan has changed since the Pro-89 was made. It shouldn't matter much, though. The values it rounded to are only off by 2.5 KHz, and most scanners will receive the signal OK with that offset.

I just checked on my scanner (BC246T) using its default band plans. It rounds to 152.4450 and 157.7050 for those frequencies. I can change its channel offsets and probably force it to use those frequencies you gave, but they don't seem to be normal values for that band.

--
Gerry Wheeler
Naples, FL

1585. Re: Stupid Scanner Question.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-21 15:48:37 UTC
From: rb <123@Ishotmr.lee>
Message-ID: <p1C%d.11662$gx3.6566@tornado.rdc-kc.rr.com>
Source record: 14296
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

D. Brown

Don't let people like George bother you.
I think your question was fine. THAT'S what
news groups are for!
Folks like him have nothing in their life so they
answer in news groups and try to piss off people.
Hell if he has a CB he would be the one keying up and pissing folks off that
way.
Grow up George.....

"D. Brown" <dvbrown5Pants@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:feKdnRQAm9AakaPfRVn-ow@comcast.com...
> Does anyone know what frequency Tethers operate on, the kind that they use
> for people who are under house-arrest? Would be interesting to know if any
> of the neighbors are a smooth criminal.
>
> Thanks in advance.
>

1586. Re: a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-21 17:38:13 UTC
From: "Flatch U. Lance" <Flatch_U_Lance@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1111426693.157128.153150@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14297
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.marketing.online.ebay

Come on folks. The OP is a troll. You old timers should know better.

1587. Re: [OT]: Why can't US catch OBL?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-21 22:42:59 UTC
From: Sarah Czepiel <ninety7gt@cox.net>
Message-ID: <4eju311hkhq3678r8ce1p70as8ne5q9clp@4ax.com>
Source record: 14298

On 20 Mar 2005 21:03:41 -0800, kc7jfv@hotmail.com (Jim) wrote:

>I am sure he and his associates depend on radio communication. With all those
>fancy satellites, I would think it would be pretty darn easy to hit the target.
>What gives?
Reliable sources say he's in Pakistan. The Paki's won't give the US
permission to go get him.

1588. WTT Pro-2006 w/optolite for a BC780xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-22 00:42:40 UTC
From: Mike Burch <mburch46@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <4SJ%d.8910$fn3.511@attbi_s01>
Source record: 14299

Anyone have one that they would like to trade for? I need a scanner for my Jeep and the BC780XLT would fit nicely in the overhead equipment rack. Pro-2006 is just to wide. The one that I have works perfectly but the back light is a little dim. I can send pictures also! :-).
Thanks for looking

Mike Burch K8MB
Apache Junction, AZ

1589. Re: Would you really buy from Dan NOW...??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-22 02:14:43 UTC
From: farfromsane <NOFREAKINSPAM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <gcL%d.9016$6U.2780209@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 14300
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Uh, OK...

Seriously Bud (all bullshit aside) what do you think is a fair price for
Dan's auction?

Yes, I really do want your opinion.

"Never anonymous Bud" <newskat@katxyzkave.net> wrote in message
news:s6vs31pi1b64b41mk4he3ft3rrurhgj2fb@4ax.com...
> Using a finger dipped in purple ink, "farfromsane"
<NOFREAKINSPAM@hotmail.com> scribed:
>
> >Are you the price police?
>
> I'll tell you, but it's gonna COST you.
>
> Ah, why bother, you probably couldn't afford the price.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> --
>
> The truth is out there,
>
> but it's not interesting enough for most people.

1590. Re: Would you really buy from Dan NOW...??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-22 02:29:01 UTC
From: Jeff <oldog@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <JpL%d.9016$fn3.5557@attbi_s01>
Source record: 14101
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"farfromsane" <NOFREAKINSPAM@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:gcL%d.9016$6U.2780209@news.sisna.com...
> Uh, OK...
>
> Seriously Bud (all bullshit aside) what do you think is a fair price for
> Dan's auction?
>
> Yes, I really do want your opinion.

There is no such thing as a "fair price" on Ebay. On Ebay the rule
of price is, whatever someone is willing to pay for it. Everyone has seen
outrageous prices being bid on items..... i.e. a new in the box Sony
2010 going for 700-800$. Like it or not it happens. "Value" is in the
hands of the people doing the bidding and no one else. Ebay is not a
retail store where item X sells for price Y.

Jeff

1591. WTB: Icom R10 (Belt Clip)?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-22 02:59:45 UTC
From: Rod <Cabletek73@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <wSL%d.542$7X2.178@fe11.lga>
Source record: 14102
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Anyone know where I can find a replacement belt clip (original type) for the Icom R10?

Thanks

1592. Re: a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-22 03:37:33 UTC
From: ronald <ronald@msn.com>
Message-ID: <jqM%d.6280$nK.572950@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 14103
Cross-posted to: alt.marketing.online.ebay,al.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

snip

> Or you can open your eyes, hearts and minds to the logical understanding
that we
> must stop this greedy murdering capitalism as quickly as we possibly can.
We
> must stop these three top sins of the universe from happening over and
over
> every second of every day. Or we will very soon pay the ultimate price for
our
> greed and silence, our own extinction.
>

You don't understand evolution at all.
I don't mean evolution of man or any other animal or other life form.
I mean evolution of planet earth.
When you can prove that "this greedy murdering capitalism" of the US of A
caused the extinction of the dinosaurs, I will consider the rest of the crap
you spew.

ron

1593. Re: FS : UNIDEN BC796D digital trunking mobile/base scanner NIB! - SOLD!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-22 04:17:44 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <I%M%d.34779$qn2.7520938@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14104

This item has been SOLD!

Dan

1594. Re: Stupid Scanner Question.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-22 06:21:06 UTC
From: Paul Keenleyside <beeceepleights@teluz.net>
Message-ID: <mPO%d.63831$KI2.20056@clgrps12>
Source record: 14108
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"rb" <123@Ishotmr.lee> wrote in message
news:p1C%d.11662$gx3.6566@tornado.rdc-kc.rr.com...
> D. Brown
>
> Don't let people like George bother you.
> I think your question was fine. THAT'S what
> news groups are for!
> Folks like him have nothing in their life so they
> answer in news groups and try to piss off people.
> Hell if he has a CB he would be the one keying up and pissing folks off
> that way.
> Grow up George.............

People do that using CB?

I figured people who use CB talk like Hank Snow or Bulford T. Justice and
keep saying 'that's a big ten-four."

Folks who have nothing in life use CB?

1595. Patrick AFB Area Log - Monday 21 Mar 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-22 06:21:17 UTC
From: AllanStern@aol.com
Message-ID: <1ee.3817a077.2f71135d@aol.com>
Source record: 14105

Patrick AFB Area Log - Monday 21 Mar 2005
==========

LIMA LIMA 14: P-3C, Jax NAS VP-30 "Pro's Nest"
8:55am: Touch-and-goes at Patrick.
9:20am: RTB NAS Jax.
[133.75, 132.65, 124.8]

N21NG: LJ-35A #343, LearJet, Northrop Grumman JSTARS Facility, Melbourne.
9:03am: Lands Melbourne IAP.
[132.65, 118.2]

BOLT 11: KC-135R, MacDill AFB 6AMW
9:14am: Comms with MacDill Lightning Ops.
[311.0]

RAID 71: KC-135R, Grand Forks AFB 319ARW
9:54am: Northbound.
[125.175]

GREYHAWK 01: E-2C, Norfolk NAS VAW-120 "Greyhawks"
10:02am: Southbound to NAS Key West.
[269.3, 307.1, 281.5]

BAT 1, BAT 2: C-337 Skymaster, AirScan Titusville.
10:04am: Security flight during KSC balloon release.
[133.8]

GREYHAWK 02: E-2C, Norfolk NAS VAW-120 "Greyhawks"
10:20am: Southbound to NAS Key West.
[269.3, 307.1, 281.5]

BOLT 14: KC-135R, MacDill AFB 6AMW
10:40am: Air-air refueling of C-17A LIFTER 37.
11:37am: Into Palatka MOA (Pinecastle Range) for AAR in FL220-240 block with
TBolt 21 F/A-18s (357.0).
12:35pm: AAR w TBolt 31 flight (292.1)
2:03pm: "TBolt 12 and 21 flights have RTBd."
2:37pm: "Looking for TBolt 71/72 flight."
3:07pm: Comms w Patrick Metro re landing wx at MacDill at 2100z.
[327.6, 357.0, 292.1, 344.6]

LIFTER 37: C-17A, Charleston AFB 437AW
10:40am: AAR comms with BOLT 14.
12:45pm; Pattern work at Patrick AFB; RTB CHS.
[327.6, 133.75]

GREYHAWK 04: E-2C, Norfolk NAS VAW-120 "Greyhawks"
11:03am: Southbound to NAS Key West.
[133.475, 269.3, 307.1, 281.5]

KING 15: C-130, Moody AFB 71RQS.
11:04am: Pattern work at Patrick AFB, low approach at Shuttle Landing Facility.
[133.75, 264.8]

JT 659: C-9B, Willow Grove NAS VR-52 "Taskmasters"
11:21am: En route to NAS Key West.
[119.825]

TBOLT 21, F/A-18C, Flight of 2, Beaufort MCAS VMFA-251 "Thunderbolts"
11:37am: "Looking to hook up with Bolt 14 for AAR."
11:58am: "Going after the SAM Site with 1 LGTR each, then any convoy.
[357.0, 380.8]

RAID 98: KC-135R, Grand Forks AFB 319ARW
11:40am: Inbound MacDill; will RON.
[311.0]

___ 64
11:41am: Ph patch via MacDill Lightning Ops to DSN 424-6508 Pope AFB Base Ops re 1815z ETA; rqsts parking in area for acft w hazardous cargo; billeting for crew of 7, Customs.
[311.0]

KING 55: HC-130P #64-14855, Patrick AFB 920RQS 39RQS
12:03pm: Departs Patrick to Eglin.
3:40pm: Lands Patrick: "Alabama ##F and 22." "Add 70 to Alabama."
[118.4, 321.0, 133.75, 132.65]

FAB 65: C-130, Bolivian Air Force.
12:08pm: Lands Patrick
3:34pm: Cleared to Opa Locka A/p.
[132.65, 133.75, 118.4]

TERRA 5: Northrop Grumman JSTARS Ground Station, Melbourne IAP.
12:02pm: Testing with Wizard (on ground) all day long.
[231.75, 351.025, 38.3, 123.2]
[Satcom: 266.05, 301.55]

NASA 969: T-38N #65-10329, Johnson Space Ctr, Houston.
12:25pm: Lands Shuttle Landing Facility.
[132.65, 128.55]

GREYHAWK 06: E-2C, Norfolk NAS VAW-120 "Greyhawks"
12:30pm: Southbound to NAS Key West.
[269.3, 307.1, 281.5]

NASA 901: T-38N #66-8381, Johnson Space Ctr, Houston TX
12:40pm: Lands SLF.
[132.65, 128.55]

AIR TRANSPORT 300: DC-10, ATI (USAF Contractor)
12:45pm: Departs Patrick to Antigua, then Ascension.
[118.4, 133.75, 132.65, 133.475]

MAULER 07: S-3B, NAS Jacksonville VS-32 "Maulers"
1:21pm: Touch-and-goes at Patrick.
[269.375]

SNAKEs: F-15, FL-ANG 125FW 159FS, Jacksonville
1:50pm: In Whiskey Area.
[253.7, 341.1]

OAKGROVE, Tyndall AFB
2:00pm: Comms w Unid.
[293.6]

EAGLE 26: Ground Mobile, NASA KSC Shuttle Landing Facility
2:05pm: Busy on runways all afternoon.
[165.6125]

SEARCH 01: UH-1H, NASA KSC Security/Survey Helo.
2:33pm: Local ops.
3:28pm: Lands at Patrick AFB.
[128.55, 133.8, 133.75]

MAKO 01: F-16C, Homestead JARB AFRC 482FW 93FS "Makos"
3:28pm: Departs after working at Avon Park Bombing Range.
[292.2, 307.1]

VIDAR 24: S-3B, NAS Jacksonville VS-22 "Checkmates"
4:32pm: Works Palatka MOA.
[357.0]

KING 79: HC-130P NY-ANG 106RQW 102RQS Gabreski A/p NY
4:54pm: Lands Patrick AFB.
[372.2, 133.75]

NASA 956: T-38N #69-7084, Johnson Space Ctr, Houston TX
6:30pm: Lands SLF.
[132.65, 128.55]

BOLT 12: KC-135R, MacDill AFB 6AMW
6:31pm: Touch-and-go at Patrick, then to MacDill.
[132.65, 133.75, 311.0]

STAR 1: Northrop Grumman JSTARS Ground Mobile Unit.
6:40pm: Test comms with JSTARS back-end Wizard, on ground.
[286.25]

HORSE 909: C-130E, Little Rock AFB 314AW
7:00pm: Departs MacDill to Little Rock after RON due to engine problem.
[311.0]

TEAL 70: WC-130, Keesler AFB 403w 53WRS "Hurricane Hunters."
7:24pm: Rqsts MacDill Lightning Ops ctct Maxwell AFB re ETA 0125z; crew of

10; rqsts Customs.
[311.0]

KING 24: HC-130, Patrick AFB 920RQW 39RQS
8:40pm: Pattern work.
[133.75]

JSTARS 03: E-8C
9:45pm: Departs Melbourne IAP.
[118.2, 132.65, 124.8]

JOLLY 21: HH-60G Pave Hawk Helo.
11:00pm: Departs Patrick for local training flight.
11:33pm: Inbound.
[255.5, 383.0]

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL (28-11N 80-36W) monitoring
Patrick AFB (KCOF) NASA-KSC Shuttle Landing Fac (KX68)
Avon Park Bombing Range (KAGR) Cape Canaveral AFS (KXMR)
JSTARS E-8 Acft Integration Facility, Melbourne IAP (KMLB)
Worldwide Military HF Communications
Life Member: Missile, Space and Range Pioneers.
http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/index.html (My Freqs)
http://hometown.aol.com/scanaddict/index.html (My Equipment)

1596. Re: FS : UNIDEN BC796D digital trunking mobile/base scanner NIB! - SOLD!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-22 06:40:48 UTC
From: Ruzinthra the Ruki <ruzinthra@ruki.com>
Message-ID: <ebfv31d9k3r20mdsmibg546bm4dtsh1q4r@4ax.com>
Source record: 14106
Cross-posted to: alt.marketing.online.ebay,al.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 21 Mar 2005 20:43:33 -0800, fred@aol.com choked out these
words:

>On Tue, 22 Mar 2005 04:17:44 GMT, in rec.radio.scanner Dan Conti
><dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote:
>
>>This item has been SOLD!
>
>
>Will you ever learn Dan the Spam man?

no, i will never learn him.

1597. Re: Would you really buy from Dan NOW...??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-22 08:40:59 UTC
From: Casper Milquetoast <CasperMilquetoast@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <FvmdnWNvmJK-R6LfRVn-1Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 14107
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I'd buy something minor from him, but only to get his address.

1598. Police wireless mic freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-22 13:38:57 UTC
From: "ohiobadboy@aol.com" <ohiobadboy@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111498737.098084.14850@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14119

Thought this might make for some interesting monitoring...

Does anyone have a list of specific frequencies commonly used by police wireless mics?

Thanks
OBB

1599. Re: Yaseu VR500 - interested parties

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-22 19:24:54 UTC
From: "fasteddy64@yahoo.com" <fasteddy64@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1111519494.566549.191430@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14114

Still have it?
I would be interested in a purchase or a trade purchase with a Pro-43
plus cash.
Ed

1600. Re: Would you really buy from Dan NOW...??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-22 19:53:30 UTC
From: Philip Knode <nickle911@aol.com>
Message-ID: <v-2dna7I-c4n6t3fRVn-gw@giganews.com>
Source record: 14110
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Jason Perry wrote:
> On Sat, 19 Mar 2005 07:17:57 GMT, "DeWayne" <k9kz@indy.rr.com> wrote:
>
> :Dan has been selling here for years. I have purchased from him with no
> :problem. The radio I bought from his was absolutely like brand new and the
> :price was very good. Every time he posts an ad I make sure to check it out.
> :Besides, I don't like Ebay either.
> :
> :<Jeff> wrote in message news:t79n31lb4ccjh250avga60k422mf4183qf@4ax.com...
> :> Let's see...one transaction doesn't go his way, and he launches into a
> :> paranoid tirade, threatening every potential seller on these groups as
> :> retribution.
> :>
> :> Now - imagine you're the buyer, and something goes amiss with YOUR
> :> transaction.
> :>
> :> Think about it.
> :>
> :> There's plenty of other equipment to buy on eBay.
> :>
> :> 'Nuff said.
> :
>
>
> I wouldn't buy anything from. He's acted like a child, and disrupted
> several groups with his crossposting nonsense. Now he's threatening
> to be spiteful to other sellers, because he's mad at the world. He
> doesn't even know for sure it was someone on usenet that caused his
> auction to be canceled.

Thats right he is a real asshole when he doesn't get his way.phil

1601. Re: Cops try to keep chatter private

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-22 22:47:34 UTC
From: Tom Mills <tsmills@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <ye10e.47330$5T6.28053@bignews4.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 14122

How long before someone breaks the IDEN code used by Nextel?
<fred@aol.com> wrote in message
news:po0u31l58tcq0al1298r8l5tc047r7viga@4ax.com...
> Cops try to keep chatter private
> Amanda Gillooly, Times Staff
>
>
> John Mukanos of Aliquippa has had a police scanner in his home since 1974,
> and
> it's been on ever since.
>
>
> As a loyal listener, he knows that these days, a lot of police chatter
> goes
> unheard by the police scanner regulars.
>
> Now, many of the officers communicate by cell phone or instant-messaging
> through
> laptop computers in police cruisers - something law enforcement officials
> say
> has changed the face of the business.
>
> But Mukanos doesn't like it one bit. "I almost feel like it's that they
> don't
> want ordinary people knowing what's going on," he said. "They don't want
> the
> citizens scrutinizing everything that's going on."
>
> Aliquippa Police Chief Ralph Pallante said nothing can be further from the
> truth.
>
> Yes, he said, a lot of police chatter goes on outside the realm of the
> scanner,
> but he said it's something necessary for officer protection and the
> integrity of
> certain investigations.
>
> He said that recently, the department was staking out an apartment waiting
> for a
> drug suspect to come home so that officers could raid it. The officers
> kept in
> contact over their personal and police department-provided cell phones
> instead
> of keeping tabs over the radio, able to get the suspect when he came home.

>
> He said that while many people use the scanners to keep informed about
> crime in
> their communities, criminals also use them to keep tabs on where the
> police are
> and when raids might be happening.
>
> "He's using his scanner to keep informed, but if the target we're looking
> for is
> keeping informed, that's bad," he said. "They're going to flush their
> drugs down
> the toilet, and there aren't going to be any drugs there when we get
> there."
>
> New Brighton Area Police Chief Dale Nicholson said his department uses
> Nextel
> cell phones, which have instantaneous communication through a feature that
> works
> like a private walkie-talkie.
>
> Each officer who comes on duty uses the phone designated to the patrol car
> he is
> driving, ensuring that all on-duty officers can stay in contact during
> their
> shifts, he said.
>
> "It was the right direction to go," he said. "It's something officers need
> as a
> secondary means of communication. Communication between officers is
> vital."
>
> Wes Hill, director of Beaver County Emergency Services, said that his
> department
> has had Nextel Direct-Connect technology for five years - and that it's
> "changed
> everything drastically."
>
> "I have direct contact with them 24-7," he said. "I don't ever need a
> phone
> number - it's direct contact."
>
> He said that during emergencies, his department uses the technology
> extensively,
> enabling officials from its hazardous-materials units and all other units
> to be
> able to communicate among themselves, without tying up radio traffic.
>
> This is also particularly important during an emergency when a number of
> people
> from different departments or communities are trying to communicate over

> the
> radio at once. Having cell phones enables those emergency responders to
> communicate one-on-one without flooding the airwaves.
>
> Hill is also able to stay in contact with the emergency managers that
> comprise a
> 13-county Regional Task Force - communication that would be virtually
> impossible
> via the radio given the different frequencies that are used.
>
> Zac Shieles, the Nextel government account manager for western
> Pennsylvania,
> said more than 100 municipal organizations in the state utilize the
> technology.
>
> Amanda Gillooly can be reached online at agillooly@timesonline.com.
>
>
>
>
>
>

1602. Re: Police wireless mic freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-22 23:19:27 UTC
From: Jim Douglas <james.douglas@genesis-software.com>
Message-ID: <6emdnfZ36LMjOt3fRVn-1Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 14116

You are going to have to be next to the guy to hear it as they don't have an great deal of ummph!

<ohiobadboy@aol.com> wrote in message news:1111498737.098084.14850@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> Thought this might make for some interesting monitoring...
>
> Does anyone have a list of specific frequencies commonly used by police
> wireless mics?
>
> Thanks
> OBB
>

1603. Re: EBAY SQUASHED MY LISTING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-22 23:22:54 UTC
From: Jim Douglas <james.douglas@genesis-software.com>
Message-ID: <lOmdnccpXPEQNd3fRVn-3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 14111
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I was traveling with my 80year old dad and two fly rods, they guy spent 15 minutes checking out the rods, during this time my dad leaned over to me and whispered, or what he thought was a whisper, what's he's looking for a fucking fly rod bomb,. the shit hit the fan then, this was before 9-11 and I wanted to kick the guy's ass.

"Ad Hoc Guy" <PussyFart@hic-ups.org> wrote in message
news:UDp%d.1121$nK.247853@news20.bellglobal.com...
> Dr.Artaud, Thanks for the insight, It confirms what I always suspected
about
> those military rejects working at the US borders (because they wont hire
> you unless you been in the service).
> They wear a freakin gun (* .45-Glucks,38,.357 and 9mm Beretta's) both US
> imig and Customs do.
> You go to Canada and those guys take time to say " HI , Welcome to Canada
"
> versus the US welcome of " Wo are YOU..Where do you come from and what is
> the purpose of your visit !!!bluntly speaking). Then they ask you if you
> have a Police record...The last time I crossed the border at Champlain NY
I
> was detained for 3 hours because when the woman agent asked me if I had a
> Police record I answered " YES, Synchronicity".....They have NO Fucking
> sense of Humor those Lunatics. I tried to tell her it was a joke.."
> Synchronicity " ..? You know the POLICE ? ha ha ha.....Ha
ha
> ha was right. The emptied my two bags, I missed my bus and ended up
sleeping
> in a flea bag motel until the next morning.
> Now I know not to make jokes with Brainless killing machines(rejects from
> USMC).
>
> --
> " Beauty is in the eye of the beer holder. "
> "&#65533; Dr. Artaud &#65533;" <&#65533;NoSuchThing&#65533;@Notreal.com> wrote in message
> news:Xns961F88FA02F01TheDoctorisin@216.168.3.44...
> > "Ad Hoc Guy" <PussyFart@hic-ups.org> wrote in
> > news:3uP_d.31866$N8.871379@news20.bellglobal.com:
> >
> > Right before 911, I had bought a new Savage Stevens single shot .22
> > caliber
> > rifle, a model designed similar to the original model of the early 1900s
> > (late 1800s?). Anyway, the rifle had a head spacing problem, and the
> > shells

> > would split and powder would be ejected towards my face, and an
unusually
> > load report was hear when this happened.
> >
> > I contacted Savage, they said to return the rifle to them for repair,
> > which
> > I did. Problem is that it was sent to Canada for repair. 911 occurred,
and
> > it was the last I saw of the rifle. Savage acknowledged that heightened
> > border security flummoxed the shipment of the rifle, I guess customs got
> > hold of it, and Savage willingly replaced the rifle with no problems.
> >
> > Though I can understand that 911 was a horrible time, I always wondered
> > how
> > many other items disappeared in shipment during those times and continue
> > to
> > disappear even now.
> >
> > And the international situation is becoming farcical in terms of who is
> > allowed to own what, nation to nation. Of course Canada and England
> > permitted the ownership of unblocked scanners, and kudos for them for
it.
> > But it is my understanding that at least Canada doesn't allow digital
> > scanners, perhaps someone on this group can set the record straight for
me
> > if they can, with the irony being that the U.S. permits it.
> >
> > I listen everyday to the police scanner, still largely analog in my
area,
> > and I can see that even though there are occasional problems with
someone
> > using a scanner to further illicit activity, the number of occasions
when
> > someone provides tips because they were listening to the scanner and
have
> > some insight into a particular crime or know the location of someone
being
> > chased by the police, easily offsets, I believe, the illicit use.
> >
> > I asked the Mayor of a borough near Pittsburgh about scanners. He was a
> > lifetime cop in the same borough, and he felt that the contribution that
> > law abiding citizens (listening to scanners) make to police work is very
> > valuable.
> >
> > With the borders to Canada and Mexico largely unguarded, it's a farce to
> > pretend that the U.S. is actually doing anything about so called
"Homeland
> > Security". Neurotically opening small packages (yet inspecting only 2%
of

> > the train rail car size shipping containers coming into the U.S. West
> > Coast
> > from China) and confiscating single shot rifles and unblocked scanners
is
> > probably not having any effect, save for the enrichment of those that
> > invariably come into the ownership of items that they didn't pay for.
> >
> >
> > Dr. Artaud
> >
> >
>> Speaking of Ebay and shipping, It is terrible the number of complaints
>> I get from customers regarding the way their shipment arrive at
>> destination in the USA. the envelopes are opened,the boxes ripped open
>> , the contents damaged or missing. US Customs really do a great job
>> on even small 6 X 6 bubble shipping envelopes. They are fucking
>> Paranoid scumbags if you ask me. I receive shipments from the states
>> that are literally intact yet inspected by Canada customs agents.
>> Years ago I use to sent clothing and food items in Russia to friends
>> we knew. Even they received those packages intact and they lived in a
>> communist block country.
>> So I dont think that Ebay are the only ones that think they are GOD.
>> The lunatics at the US border have a serious ego problem also if you
>> ask me.
>>
> >
>
>

1604. RS Pro95 programing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-22 23:39:13 UTC
From: Steve Halvorson <shalvors@alltel.net>
Message-ID: <20470$4240abde$a666479e$8610@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 14124

Intcerested in getting a RS Pro 95 scanner..problem is the RS software to program th Pro 95 from your pc will not run on a pc with MS XP......Any suggestions as what one can use?
Thanks

1605. Re: RS Pro95 programing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-23 00:06:26 UTC
From: Oscar The Grouch <not@likely.com>
Message-ID: <7q20e.16045$nK.766073@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 14115

Windows 98?

:)

"Steve Halvorson" <shalvors@alltel.net> wrote in message
news:20470$4240abde$a666479e$8610@ALLTEL.NET...
> Intcerested in getting a RS Pro 95 scanner..problem is the RS software to
> program th Pro 95 from your pc will not run on a pc with MS XP......Any
> suggestions as what one can use?
> Thanks
>
>

1606. Re: Cops try to keep chatter private

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-23 00:08:32 UTC
From: Oscar The Grouch <not@likely.com>
Message-ID: <fs20e.16071$nK.767105@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 14120

I listen to the Mike network here in Canada all the time....
It's boring...but I can hear it.

"Tom Mills" <tsmills@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:ye10e.47330$5T6.28053@bignews4.bellsouth.net...
> How long before someone breaks the IDEN code used by Nextel?
> <fred@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:po0u31l58tcq0al1298r8l5tc047r7viga@4ax.com...
>> Cops try to keep chatter private
>> Amanda Gillooly, Times Staff
>>
>>
>> John Mukanos of Aliquippa has had a police scanner in his home since
>> 1974, and
>> it's been on ever since.
>>
>>
>> As a loyal listener, he knows that these days, a lot of police chatter
>> goes
>> unheard by the police scanner regulars.
>>
>> Now, many of the officers communicate by cell phone or instant-messaging
>> through
>> laptop computers in police cruisers - something law enforcement officials
>> say
>> has changed the face of the business.
>>
>> But Mukanos doesn't like it one bit. "I almost feel like it's that they
>> don't
>> want ordinary people knowing what's going on," he said. "They don't want
>> the
>> citizens scrutinizing everything that's going on."
>>
>> Aliquippa Police Chief Ralph Pallante said nothing can be further from
>> the
>> truth.
>>
>> Yes, he said, a lot of police chatter goes on outside the realm of the
>> scanner,
>> but he said it's something necessary for officer protection and the
>> integrity of
>> certain investigations.
>>
>> He said that recently, the department was staking out an apartment

>> waiting for a
>> drug suspect to come home so that officers could raid it. The officers
>> kept in
>> contact over their personal and police department-provided cell phones
>> instead
>> of keeping tabs over the radio, able to get the suspect when he came
>> home.
>>
>> He said that while many people use the scanners to keep informed about
>> crime in
>> their communities, criminals also use them to keep tabs on where the
>> police are
>> and when raids might be happening.
>>
>> "He's using his scanner to keep informed, but if the target we're looking
>> for is
>> keeping informed, that's bad," he said. "They're going to flush their
>> drugs down
>> the toilet, and there aren't going to be any drugs there when we get
>> there."
>>
>> New Brighton Area Police Chief Dale Nicholson said his department uses
>> Nextel
>> cell phones, which have instantaneous communication through a feature
>> that works
>> like a private walkie-talkie.
>>
>> Each officer who comes on duty uses the phone designated to the patrol
>> car he is
>> driving, ensuring that all on-duty officers can stay in contact during
>> their
>> shifts, he said.
>>
>> "It was the right direction to go," he said. "It's something officers
>> need as a
>> secondary means of communication. Communication between officers is
>> vital."
>>
>> Wes Hill, director of Beaver County Emergency Services, said that his
>> department
>> has had Nextel Direct-Connect technology for five years - and that it's
>> "changed
>> everything drastically."
>>
>> "I have direct contact with them 24-7," he said. "I don't ever need a
>> phone
>> number - it's direct contact."
>>
>> He said that during emergencies, his department uses the technology
>> extensively,

>> enabling officials from its hazardous-materials units and all other units
>> to be
>> able to communicate among themselves, without tying up radio traffic.
>>
>> This is also particularly important during an emergency when a number of
>> people
>> from different departments or communities are trying to communicate over
>> the
>> radio at once. Having cell phones enables those emergency responders to
>> communicate one-on-one without flooding the airwaves.
>>
>> Hill is also able to stay in contact with the emergency managers that
>> comprise a
>> 13-county Regional Task Force - communication that would be virtually
>> impossible
>> via the radio given the different frequencies that are used.
>>
>> Zac Shieles, the Nextel government account manager for western
>> Pennsylvania,
>> said more than 100 municipal organizations in the state utilize the
>> technology.
>>
>> Amanda Gillooly can be reached online at agillooly@timesonline.com.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>

1607. Re: RS Pro95 programing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-23 00:27:40 UTC
From: Pilotbutteradio <pilotbutteradio@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <4240b8b1$1_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 14117

This one works great, and free.

http://www.starrsoft.com/freeware/Win95/default.asp

Enjoy,

--
Dave,

Kenwood TS-2000, Kenwood TS-930s,
Uniden BC 780xlt, Pro 95, VX5R, YB400pe

"Steve Halvorson" <shalvors@alltel.net> wrote in message
news:20470$4240abde$a666479e$8610@ALLTEL.NET...
> Intcerested in getting a RS Pro 95 scanner..problem is the RS software to
> program th Pro 95 from your pc will not run on a pc with MS XP......Any
> suggestions as what one can use?
> Thanks
>
>
>

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1608. Cell phone Jammer for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-23 01:18:04 UTC
From: donestuardo@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1111540684.373288.45530@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14123

I have a nearly new cell phone jammer for sale - range is
approximately 30 metres in radius. Model MONIX MGB-1S cellular Jammer.

Used only one week (and then the tenant was gone! - hint great for
getting rid of loser tenants who depend on their cell phones for
calls).

Includes unit, plus 2 attennas, and a power cable and transformer for
North American Standard power.

Asking price $395 plus shipping.

Please email me at donestuardo (AT Sign) yahoo.com or call me on my
cell at (416) 458-0012 and I will be happy to go over details with you.

Thanks,

Stew

1609. Re: EBAY SQUASHED MY LISTING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-23 01:21:47 UTC
From: "&#65533; Dr. Artaud &#65533;" <&#65533;NoSuchThing&#65533;@Notreal.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9621CF24A89DEDTheDoctorisin@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 14113
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Jim Douglas" <james.douglas@genesis-software.com> wrote in
news:lOmdnccpXPEQNd3fRVn-3g@comcast.com:

I got a laugh about your father's comments. Touch&#65533;. Incredible that the
"authorities" can be so insensitive and totalitarian.

Dr. Artaud

> I was traveling with my 80year old dad and two fly rods, they guy
> spent 15 minutes checking out the rods, during this time my dad leaned
> over to me and whispered, or what he thought was a whisper, what's
> he's looking for a fucking fly rod bomb,. the shit hit the fan then,
> this was before 9-11 and I wanted to kick the guy's ass.

1610. other places to sell your equipment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-23 01:33:56 UTC
From: Rik Rasmussen <hrasmussen@nc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <8l30e.57544$_i3.2816954@twister.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 14118

This newsgroup is great but many people never look at them.

Here are some other places to list your radio stuff for free:

cellular:
http://finance.groups.yahoo.com/group/cellular4sale/

commercial two way:
http://finance.groups.yahoo.com/group/radios4sale/

scanners & shortwave:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ShortwaveandScannerSwapShop/

scanners:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanners4sale/

also:

http://twowayradiodirectory.com/classifieds/

and

http://twowayradiodirectory.com/phpBB2//

Rik Rasmussen
Two Way Radio Directory
http://twowayradiodirectory.com

1611. Re: Rip off Power Sellers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-23 01:38:52 UTC
From: JOE <JOE-KREMOVENOSPAM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1111541935.9573ca52852203bf7daa264bcc3e5e0b@meganetnews2>
Source record: 14109
Cross-posted to:
alt.marketing.online.ebay,al.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.marketing.online.ebay,uk.people.consumers.ebay

```
Well loser boy, then just show him and don't buy his stuff.

Fucking crossposting nitwit
```

"Steve Antony Williams" <drstevew@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
news:xPk%d.1143$bS2.827@newsfe6-gui.ntli.net...
>
> <nospam@nospam.org> wrote in message
> news:3hjr31t88re3ur25ovh0ckf47gcumiuust@4ax.com...
>> On 20 Mar 2005 11:19:00 -0800, in alt.marketing.online.ebay
>> only_asking@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>> >7962960190, 7962959417, 7962961099
>> >
>> >The above items, and 873 others from the same seller, are "selling an
>> >item that requires an additional purchase from the seller", namely
>> >Shipping Insurance (Required) - 1.99GBP
>> >
>> >They further state that "We cannot afford to give you a working pair
>> >without the shipping insurance. So the shipping insurance ensures that
>> >you will be satisfied."
>> >
>> >Not only is this fee avoidance, but they even admit it themselves in
>> >their listing.
>> >
>> >First class mail in the UK gives £28.00 cover, and these items have a
>> >Buy It Now price of a LOT less than that.
>>
>>
>> Do you honestly expect Power seller to not be rip off artists? A bunch
>> of
>> buggering gits.
>
>
> Well thank you for that wonderfully sweeping statement. Don't tar us all
> with the same brush
>
> DrSteveW
>
>

1612. SC230 vs BC246T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-23 02:47:39 UTC
From: Philjr1 <philjr1@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <fN40e.626$c76.451@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 14121

I am on the market for a new scanner and am currently looking between the SC230 (racing scanner) and the BC246T (trunking scanner). My question is: Does the BC246T have racing freqs (nascar, drivers, pit.....) installed? I really would like to have this feature. This is why I am also looking at the SC230. Is the trunking feature that important?

1613. Re: EBAY SQUASHED MY LISTING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-23 03:37:42 UTC
From: Ad Hoc Guy <PussyFart@hic-ups.org>
Message-ID: <9w50e.7265$JK1.454220@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 14127
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Jim, the customs agent probably thought those were spy antennas aimed at destroying the US satellite system. LMAO

--
" Beauty is in the eye of the beer holder. "
"Jim Douglas" <james.douglas@genesis-software.com> wrote in message
news:lOmdnccpXPEQNd3fRVn-3g@comcast.com...
>I was traveling with my 80year old dad and two fly rods, they guy spent 15
> minutes checking out the rods, during this time my dad leaned over to me
> and
> whispered, or what he thought was a whisper, what's he's looking for a
> fucking fly rod bomb,. the shit hit the fan then, this was before 9-11 and
> I
> wanted to kick the guy's ass.
>
>
> "Ad Hoc Guy" <PussyFart@hic-ups.org> wrote in message
> news:UDp%d.1121$nK.247853@news20.bellglobal.com...
>> Dr.Artaud, Thanks for the insight, It confirms what I always suspected
> about
>> those military rejects working at the US borders (because they wont hire
>> you unless you been in the service).
>> They wear a freakin gun (* .45-Glucks,38,.357 and 9mm Beretta's) both US
>> imig and Customs do.
>> You go to Canada and those guys take time to say " HI , Welcome to Canada
> "
>> versus the US welcome of " Wo are YOU..Where do you come from and what
>> is
>> the purpose of your visit !!!bluntly speaking). Then they ask you if
>> you
>> have a Police record...The last time I crossed the border at Champlain NY
> I
>> was detained for 3 hours because when the woman agent asked me if I had a
>> Police record I answered " YES, Synchronicity".....They have NO Fucking
>> sense of Humor those Lunatics. I tried to tell her it was a joke.."
>> Synchronicity " ..? You know the POLICE ? ha ha ha.....Ha
> ha
>> ha was right. The emptied my two bags, I missed my bus and ended up
> sleeping
>> in a flea bag motel until the next morning.
>> Now I know not to make jokes with Brainless killing machines(rejects
>> from
>> USMC).

>>
>> --
>> " Beauty is in the eye of the beer holder. "
>> "&#65533; Dr. Artaud &#65533;" <&#65533;NoSuchThing&#65533;@Notreal.com> wrote in message
>> news:Xns961F88FA02F01TheDoctorisin@216.168.3.44...
>> > "Ad Hoc Guy" <PussyFart@hic-ups.org> wrote in
>> > news:3uP_d.31866$N8.871379@news20.bellglobal.com:
>> >
>> > Right before 911, I had bought a new Savage Stevens single shot .22
>> > caliber
>> > rifle, a model designed similar to the original model of the early
>> > 1900s
>> > (late 1800s?). Anyway, the rifle had a head spacing problem, and the
>> > shells
>> > would split and powder would be ejected towards my face, and an
> unusually
>> > load report was hear when this happened.
>> >
>> > I contacted Savage, they said to return the rifle to them for repair,
>> > which
>> > I did. Problem is that it was sent to Canada for repair. 911 occurred,
> and
>> > it was the last I saw of the rifle. Savage acknowledged that heightened
>> > border security flummoxed the shipment of the rifle, I guess customs
>> > got
>> > hold of it, and Savage willingly replaced the rifle with no problems.
>> >
>> > Though I can understand that 911 was a horrible time, I always wondered
>> > how
>> > many other items disappeared in shipment during those times and
>> > continue
>> > to
>> > disappear even now.
>> >
>> > And the international situation is becoming farcical in terms of who is
>> > allowed to own what, nation to nation. Of course Canada and England
>> > permitted the ownership of unblocked scanners, and kudos for them for
> it.
>> > But it is my understanding that at least Canada doesn't allow digital
>> > scanners, perhaps someone on this group can set the record straight for
> me
>> > if they can, with the irony being that the U.S. permits it.
>> >
>> > I listen everyday to the police scanner, still largely analog in my
> area,
>> > and I can see that even though there are occasional problems with
> someone
>> > using a scanner to further illicit activity, the number of occasions
> when

>> > someone provides tips because they were listening to the scanner and
> have
>> > some insight into a particular crime or know the location of someone
> being
>> > chased by the police, easily offsets, I believe, the illicit use.
>> >
>> > I asked the Mayor of a borough near Pittsburgh about scanners. He was a
>> > lifetime cop in the same borough, and he felt that the contribution
>> > that
>> > law abiding citizens (listening to scanners) make to police work is
>> > very
>> > valuable.
>> >
>> > With the borders to Canada and Mexico largely unguarded, it's a farce
>> > to
>> > pretend that the U.S. is actually doing anything about so called
> "Homeland
>> > Security". Neurotically opening small packages (yet inspecting only 2%
> of
>> > the train rail car size shipping containers coming into the U.S. West
>> > Coast
>> > from China) and confiscating single shot rifles and unblocked scanners
> is
>> > probably not having any effect, save for the enrichment of those that
>> > invariably come into the ownership of items that they didn't pay for.
>> >
>> >
>> > Dr. Artaud
>> >
>> >
>> >> Speaking of Ebay and shipping, It is terrible the number of complaints
>> >> I get from customers regarding the way their shipment arrive at
>> >> destination in the USA. the envelopes are opened,the boxes ripped open
>> >> , the contents damaged or missing. US Customs really do a great job
>> >> on even small 6 X 6 bubble shipping envelopes. They are fucking
>> >> Paranoid scumbags if you ask me. I receive shipments from the states
>> >> that are literally intact yet inspected by Canada customs agents.
>> >> Years ago I use to sent clothing and food items in Russia to friends
>> >> we knew. Even they received those packages intact and they lived in a
>> >> communist block country.
>> >> So I dont think that Ebay are the only ones that think they are GOD.
>> >> The lunatics at the US border have a serious ego problem also if you
>> >> ask me.
>> >>
>> >
>>
>>
>
>

1614. Re: EBAY SQUASHED MY LISTING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-23 03:39:18 UTC
From: Ad Hoc Guy <PussyFart@hic-ups.org>
Message-ID: <Ex50e.7266$JK1.455158@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 14126
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I just like it when they ask me " anything to declared " I usually answer "YES" "Dont eat yellow snow"...!! Some smile some dont ...

--
" Beauty is in the eye of the beer holder. "
"&#65533; Dr. Artaud &#65533;" <&#65533;NoSuchThing&#65533;@Notreal.com> wrote in message news:Xns9621CF24A89DETheDoctorisin@216.168.3.44...
> "Jim Douglas" <james.douglas@genesis-software.com> wrote in
> news:lOmdnccpXPEQNd3fRVn-3g@comcast.com:
>
> I got a laugh about your father's comments. Touch&#65533;. Incredible that the
> "authorities" can be so insensitive and totalitarian.
>
> Dr. Artaud
>
>
>> I was traveling with my 80year old dad and two fly rods, they guy
>> spent 15 minutes checking out the rods, during this time my dad leaned
>> over to me and whispered, or what he thought was a whisper, what's
>> he's looking for a fucking fly rod bomb,. the shit hit the fan then,
>> this was before 9-11 and I wanted to kick the guy's ass.

1615. Re: RS Pro95 programing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-23 04:36:07 UTC
From: Tom <usa1nospam@iei.net>
Message-ID: <Xns9621F024B687DTomFromIndy@129.250.170.85>
Source record: 14129

As Steve Halvorson so eloquently stated:

> Intcerested in getting a RS Pro 95 scanner..problem is the RS software to
> program th Pro 95 from your pc will not run on a pc with MS XP......Any
> suggestions as what one can use?
> Thanks
>
>

I'm using XP and I programmed my PRO-95 with no problems. Download Win95
scanner programming software for free. You can find the db files on the
internet for your area too.

--
Tom

"That man is richest whose pleasures are cheapest."
-Henry Thoreau

"The original point and click interface is a Smith and Wesson"

1616. Re: Rip off Power Sellers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-23 04:36:30 UTC
From: Ruzinthra the Ruki <ruzinthra@ruki.com>
Message-ID: <ufs141dts3v4ksf9d5p097tp47i36i751d@4ax.com>
Source record: 14125
Cross-posted to:
alt.marketing.online.ebay,al.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.marketing.online.ebay,uk.people.consumers.ebay

On Tue, 22 Mar 2005 17:38:52 -0800, "JOE"
<JOE-KREMOVENOSPAM@hotmail.com> choked out these words:

>Fucking crossposting nitwit

nice way to crosspost, you fucking top-posting nitwit!

1617. Re: Cell phone Jammer for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-23 06:22:29 UTC
From: Jesse <1@2.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9622E98FA985ewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 14128

donestuardo@yahoo.com wrote in news:1111540684.373288.45530
@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

> I have a nearly new cell phone jammer for sale - range is
> approximately 30 metres in radius. Model MONIX MGB-1S cellular Jammer.
>
> Used only one week (and then the tenant was gone! - hint great for
> getting rid of loser tenants who depend on their cell phones for
> calls).
>
> Includes unit, plus 2 attennas, and a power cable and transformer for
> North American Standard power.
>
> Asking price $395 plus shipping.
>
> Please email me at donestuardo (AT Sign) yahoo.com or call me on my
> cell at (416) 458-0012 and I will be happy to go over details with you.
>
> Thanks,
>
> Stew
>
>

Oh sure.
Pay $400 for a very good chance at a felony and a major fine.
What a deal.

1618. Re: Stupid Scanner Question.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-23 06:52:41 UTC
From: "Mike Tschel." <Mike@Hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <x-OdnVszSopOj9zfRVn-tQ@look.ca>
Source record: 14131
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Wow! Excellent article. And, BTW - to the original poster - I didn't think your question was stupid at all! In fact, I was wondering the same thing myself a while back.

Thanks for the info!
Mike T.

<fred@aol.com> wrote in message news:7v4s31lu0qht37q7o0rorgje5ch2tkefmh@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 20 Mar 2005 18:51:33 -0500, in rec.radio.scanner "D. Brown"
> <dvbrown5Pants@comcast.net> wrote:
>
> >Does anyone know what frequency Tethers operate on, the kind that they use
> >for people who are under house-arrest? Would be interesting to know if any
> >of the neighbors are a smooth criminal.
> >
> >Thanks in advance.
> >
> State and federal correction agencies are staggering under the cost of
> incarcerating the nation's 1.6 million inmates -- more than all personnel on
> active duty in the U.S. armed forces. And the number is growing. The Bureau of
> Justice Statistics estimates that by the year 2005 the prison population will be
> 3.5 million. If the underlying causes are not addressed, that number could be
> even higher.
>
> Since the Supreme Court ruled that federal prisons cannot exceed 125 percent
> capacity, and state prisons 117 percent -- limits reached by most in the 1980s
> -- the criminal justice system has been forced to release increasing numbers of
> inmates before their sentences are up to make room for dangerous criminals. In
> the past, those on early release were generally placed in house-arrest programs,
> and their presence in the home electronically monitored. Nonviolent, low-risk

> offenders, allowed out to work at day jobs, had to be "clocked in" at
hours
> prescribed by the supervising agency.
>
> ELECTRONIC MONITORING
> Monitoring programs are considerably cheaper than incarceration. They also
> enable working offenders to begin paying restitution to victims and
reimbursing
> the state for the cost of monitoring. Also, since 68 percent of
incarcerated
> first-timers end up back inside, placing them in monitoring programs
instead of
> in cells with habitual criminals may help reduce recidivism among this
group.
>
> Conventional house-arrest electronic monitoring systems use a miniature
> transmitter locked around the offender's ankle. The device communicates
with a
> modem attached to the telephone. When the individual comes home, the
transmitter
> instructs the modem to send a "time-in" message to a central monitoring
> facility. If the subject goes beyond, say, 150 feet from the house, the
modem
> automatically transmits a "time-out" message. The information is relayed
to the
> supervising agency, where it goes into a database of case files.
Correction or
> parole officers can bring up a file at any time to see if the subject is
abiding
> by the prescribed home-confinement hours.
>
> However, conventional house-arrest monitoring does not track the movements
of
> individuals outside the home. "The problem with these systems," said
former
> Florida governor and U.S. drug czar Bob Martinez, "is that if you're not
home,
> no one knows where you are." With growing pressure to put more offenders
on
> electronic monitoring, the need is a system that enables supervising
agencies to
> know where the offender is at all times.
>
> GPS TRACKING
> Pro Tech Monitoring Inc., a company headed by Martinez, developed the
Satellite
> Monitoring and Remote Tracking (SMART) system, which combines GIS, GPS,
cellular
> phone and Internet technologies for 24-hour tracking. The person under

> supervision wears a 3.5-ounce, tamper-proof ankle bracelet electronically
> "leashed" to a small, portable tracking device (PTD) carried in a waist
pack,
> handbag or briefcase. The PTD contains the microprocessor, GPS receiver
and
> cellular/land-line communication system.
>
> According to Martinez, the rules of behavior can be programmed into each
device
> from a desktop PC. Constraints can include boundaries set up by geography
and
> time -- where the subject is supposed to be at specific hours of the day
and
> night, routes to and from work or rehabilitation classes, restricted areas
of
> the community and the distance in feet the individual may be from the
device.
> This can be up to 1,000 feet, depending on the type of work the person
does. If
> the specified distance is exceeded for more than a few minutes, the device
> notifies the control center of the violation. The police are then directed
to
> apprehend the person.
>
> If a guideline is violated, the device warns the subject with an alarm, a
> digitized voice and a liquid crystal display message. A violation not
corrected
> within the required time, usually minutes, triggers a call to the control
center
> via cellular phone. When the subject is home, the device automatically
switches
> communications from cellular to the home telephone. "The device is like a
warden
> looking down on you to be sure that you comply," said Martinez.
>
> From a desktop PC, a parole officer or case supervisor can access a file
at the
> central control Web site, and trace past movements or track an
individual's
> movements in realtime on a computerized map. The file allows the agency to
see
> if the person is showing up for work on time, going to rehabilitation
classes
> every week, or has been at or near the scene of a crime. Pro Tech inventor
Hoyt
> Layson Jr. said the system can also be used as an investigative tool.
"Imagine
> being able to go into a 7-Eleven with a list of mug shots of subjects that
were

> in the area at the time of the crime."
>
> RESTRAINING ORDERS
> According to Martinez, SMART is particularly effective in cases involving
> domestic violence. If, for example, an abusive husband or boyfriend under
court
> restraint comes within so many miles of where his wife or girlfriend lives
or
> works, he is immediately warned of imminent arrest unless he leaves the
area. At
> the same time, the victim is warned to get to a safer place. If the
warning is
> ignored or the PTD ditched, police in the area are directed to apprehend
the
> person.
>
> What happens if the offender tries to take the system apart? "Turn one
screw,"
> said Martinez, "and the unit automatically sends a 'help' message to
> headquarters."
>
> TESTING BEGINS
> SMART will be tested this year in Florida and Pennsylvania. Bureau Chief
Richard
> Nimer of the Florida Department of Corrections said the agency will begin
trial
> runs in Tallahassee, Tampa, St. Petersburg, Clearwater, Orlando, Pensacola
and
> Jacksonville. "We'll put it on ourselves -- those of us on the
implementation
> team, probably a few of the judges, maybe the state attorney -- wear it
for
> awhile and make sure that it articulates where we've been. After that
we'll
> start off with low-risk offenders. If it works properly, we'll move on to
> high-risk types."
>
> Nimer said there is no shortage of eligibles for electronic monitoring in
> Florida. "Our community control program is the largest in the nation --
we've
> got over 15,000 on house arrest; of that, only about 1,000 are
electronically
> monitored. Then we've got another 140,000 on state-felony probation. The
vast
> majority of our caseloads have not been supervised using electronic
monitoring.
> With GPS, however, we'll have a type of supervision that we've never had
before.
> We envision using this as a tool to enhance personal supervision of these

> offenders."
>
> He added that plea agreements involving sex offenders and pedophiles often
> result in putting them on the community control program. "When witnesses
are
> unwilling to testify, or the charges are not sound," Nimer said, "the
courts
> will accept plea agreements. Out of all the cases disposed of in felony
court in
> the state of Florida, only 3 percent go to trial. At times you end up with
> high-risk types on supervision that you would rather see in prison. If
they are
> on supervision, ultimately, they're the ones we want to pinpoint for
electronic
> monitoring.
>
> "This approach is the opposite of that taken by most jurisdictions. They
try to
> find a group of people who don't pose a risk that are going to be
successful on
> the program. We want electronic monitoring on the worst of the worst,
because
> they are going to cause the most problems. For example, we haven't used
> electronic monitoring on nonviolent drug offenders. We've used it on sex
> offenders and pedophiles because we believe they pose a greater threat to
the
> community."
>
> Nimer said departments of corrections and local law enforcement can work
out
> partnerships based on the new system. "The dispatcher of the local
sheriff's
> office can be notified when a violation occurs. The message will pop up on
the
> mapping software in their office showing the location of the device. The
> dispatcher can then direct a [patrol car] already in the field to pick up
the
> person."
>
> He acknowledged that the new technology is a more expensive alternative
than
> traditional electronic monitoring, but felt communities will be getting
greater
> protection because GPS has far more capabilities. "The new system is going
to
> cost us $12 to $18 a day, per offender, excluding staff expenses, but we
expect
> that to drop in time as the company recovers R&D costs."
>

> PENNSYLVANIA
> Lackawanna County, Pa., will begin field testing SMART, using office staff and
> volunteers, then do a six-month trial with low- to medium-risk defendants who
> are already in the criminal justice system. District Attorney Michael J.
> Barrasse said the system will eventually be used in certain bail situations, and
> with parolees and various work-release individuals "to ensure we know where they
> are, and whether or not they are complying with their conditions of release.
>
> "At this point, however, the county is looking at the system more as a tool to
> provide post-sentence protection for the victim, where the offender is
> classified as low- to medium-risk" said Barrasse. "One of the strong points of
> the system is its ability to track the abuser as well as the person who needs
> protection. If they're both traveling, and their zones happen to cross, his
> alarm will go off. If he gets closer and violates a second zone, both his and
> the victim's alarms will go off, along with the police being notified, and our
> assistant DA who is on call -- the victim is no longer in the dark."
>
> And if the offender ditches the device? "All of these monitors have one failing
> -- if somebody snaps and ditches the PTD, or snips it off, you may know where
> that person was last, but you don't know where they're going to. With this
> system, though, both the supervising agency and the victim are going to know the
> offender has broken contact with the device. This allows the victim to move to a
> safe place until the offender is relocated."
>
> DEAD ZONES
> Since Lackawanna County is in the northeast corner of Pennsylvania, near the
> Pocono Mountains, one of the objectives of testing will be to determine the
> effects of the mountains on operating the system and other areas where satellite
> signals are blocked. Since even geodetic-grade GPS receivers with sophisticated
> antennas on tall masts are subject to occasional signal blocking by

mountains,
> hills, forests, trees with heavy canopies, and tall buildings,
jurisdictions may
> have to work around these limitations, possibly by requiring the subject
to
> notify the control center before entering buildings or going into areas
known to
> block satellite signals. "We are not overly concerned about this,"
Barrasse
> said, "for the simple fact that we would only lose contact with the device
for a
> few seconds."
>
> Another factor may be accuracy. With selective availability (SA), a
feature
> imposed by the U.S. Department of Defense (DOD) that diminishes GPS
accuracy for
> non-military uses, GPS-derived coordinates have an accuracy of about 300
feet,
> although measurements made over 30 minutes or more from a fixed position
can
> average out to about 50 feet. Without SA, raw GPS is accurate to 60 feet.
Since
> the president has given the DOD 10 years to remove SA, it is likely to be
around
> for a while. On the other hand, if GPS monitoring systems incorporate
> differential correction signals, as broadcast by the Coast Guard or other
> agencies, differential GPS accuracy will be better than 15 feet. But,
Layson
> said, "Jurisdictions are not interested in knowing which chair the
offender is
> in, they're interested in his movements through the community."
>
> Authorities in Florida and Pennsylvania have discussed the feasibility of
the
> system with experts as well as with judicial, law enforcement and
corrections
> representatives nationwide. "We have talked with a number of district
> attorneys," said Barrasse, "throughout the state and on the national
level. Law
> enforcement and district attorneys' offices around the country are looking
to
> see if this is going to be an effective tool."
>
> OUTLOOK
> As research and development progress in this field, monitoring systems
will get
> smaller with each generation. Barrasse definitely believes this is the
direction

> GPS-based monitoring systems are heading. "I don't think we're so far away
from
> this becoming a more advanced system similar to heart monitors -- not
right now,
> but down the road."
>
> Martinez agreed. "Obviously, our devices will get smaller as batteries
become
> smaller and more cost effective. Some of our visionary engineers say we
can
> probably have the system down to where it would all be in the ankle
bracelet. I
> don't see that for the next couple of years, but I do see it being the
size of a
> typical Motorola cellular [phone] in the near future."
>
> Higher-risk individuals will eventually be placed on this new GPS-based
> monitoring system if testing in Florida and Pennsylvania show the system
is
> consistently reliable and offenders would rather carry the device, follow
rules,
> and have a measure of freedom than be in prison.
>
> As for the voluntary aspect of the system, with 1.4 percent of America's
> population in jail by 2005, a self-contained, tamper-proof ankle bracelet
is
> inevitable. Scientists say that identification implants are already
possible.
> Can monitoring implants be far behind?
>
> Bill McGarigle is a freelance writer specializing in communication and
> information technology. E-mail: <bmcgari@cruzio.com>
>
> *
>
>
>
>
> [May Table of Contents]
>
>
>
>
> Home | News | Publications | Conferences | GovCenter | Media | Search |
> Subscribe
> �Copyright e.Republic, Inc. All Rights Reserved. Privacy Statement
Questions or
> Comments?
>

>
>

1619. Patrick AFB Area Log - Tues, 22 Mar 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-23 06:53:24 UTC
From: AllanStern@aol.com
Message-ID: <129.596324c5.2f726c64@aol.com>
Source record: 14130

Patrick AFB Area Log - Tues, 22 Mar 2005
==

It is getting to seem like old times as the launch date for the Space
Shuttle's "Return to Flight" launch draws closer. For the past week multiple flights
of astronauts in their T-38s have been heard coming and going to/from KSC.
More were heard today.

SATCOM
2:25am: "Test, test, test; 1-2-3; test, test, test." Repeated numerous times
by male and female.
[269.95]

REACH A612: KC-10A #79-0434.
6:28am: Comms with MacDill Lightning Ops. Rqsts call to DSN 449-4149 Soto
Cano AB Dusty Ops (Honduras) re 1400 ETA w 28 pax, 15 pallets to offload.
[311.0]

NASA 901: T-38N #66-8381, Johnson Space Ctr, Houston TX
9:45am: Lands at Cape Canaveral AFS because of active ops over Shuttle
Landing Facility.
[393.0, 284.0]

DOS 436: OV-10D #155436, US State Dept Air Wing, Patrick AFB
9:48am: Local training; spraying gear visible.
[133.75]
Photos: 063MVC-462, 463.

UNID:
10:00am: Acft in steep climbs, operations over SLF; possibly Stave 01?
[133.8]

COIL 11: F-15, FL-ANG 125FW 159FS Jacksonville.
10:10am: Comms with AWACS.
[282.6]

MAKO 11, MAKO 12: F-16C, Homestead JARB AFRC 482FW 93FS
10:11am: Comms with Mr Mako Ops re wx.
10:55am: In Tarpon Range.
[303.15, 370.85]

BOLT 23: KC-135R, MacDill AFB 6AMW
10:40am: Comms with TBOLT F/A-18.
12:18pm: Comms with TBOLT 41.
12:41pm: Inbd MacDill; no writeups.
[311.0, 292.1]

LIMA LIMA 32: P-3C, Jacksonville NAS VP-30 "Pro's Nest"
10:56am: Working in Area 8Xray.
11:27am: Arrives Patrick for pattern work.
1:45pm: RTB KNIP.
[267.5, 132.65, 133.75]
Photo: 063MVC-459.

DACTYL 18: C-32B, Eglin AFB 486FLTS
11:33am: Pattern work at Patrick; dep to Crestview A/p.
[133.75]
Photos: 063MVC-457, 458, 460, 461.

STARGATE: E-8C JSTARS Mission Crew, Robins AFB 116ACW 330CTS
11:40am: Calling NIGHTSTAR.
[364.2]

TBOLT 41: F/A-18, Beaufort VMFA-251 "Thunderbolts"
12:13pm: In Pinecastle Range area, tells BOLT 41 will be last acft of day. Wingman TBOLT 42 RTBd with mech problem. TBOLT 31/32 flight skipped AAR and RTBd due to wx cell at Jax. TBOLT 41 has "206" in tail number.
[267.5, 292.1]

REACH 754T: C-141
12:35pm: Departs Patrick to MacDill AFB; possibly picking up USSS gear after recent POTUS visit.
[133.75, 132.65, 124.8]
Photo: 063MVC-464.

CHALICE FOXTROT: E-3 AWACS Mission Crew, Tinker AFB 963ACS
1:01pm; Comms on AICC.
[364.2]

NASA 963: T-38N #59-1603, Johnson Space Ctr, Houston.
1:45pm: Inbound Patrick AFB.
[133.75]

NASA 956: T-38N #69-7084, Johnson Space Ctr, Houston.
1:59pm: Departing area after RON at KSC.
[133.475]

N21NG: LJ-35A LearJet #343, Northrop Grumman JSTARS Facility, Melbourne IAP.
2:10pm: Lands Melbourne IAP.
[118.2]

NASA 908: T-38N #65-10328, Johnson Space Ctr, Houston.
2:15pm: Lands Shuttle Landing Facility.
5:45pm: Departs to New Orleans. Air-air with NASA 960.
[128.55, 124.8, 132.65, 134.0, 235.4].

KING 79: HC-130P NY-ANG 106RQW 102RQS Gabreski A/p NY.
3:00pm: Drops in Judy DZ.
[133.75, 251.9].

JOSA 628: C-21A #84-0116.
5:32pm: Inbound MacDill with 5 pax, incl one R-6.

7:45pm: Departs to Charleston.
[311.0, 127.475]

NASA 960: T-38N #70-1552, Johnson Space Ctr, Houston TX.
5:35pm: Departs SLF.
[128.55, 124.8, 133.475, 134.0, 127.475, 125.175, 235.4]

SATCOM:
6:10pm: Very Lima Charlie comms; Spanish; Brazilian "pirates."
[262.375 NFM]
File: 05-03-22satcom.wav

RHET 81: KC-135R, Robins 19ARG.
6:39pm: AAR comms w JAWS 96.
[327.6, 135.075, 134.85]

JAWS 96
6:58pm: Comms with Rhet 81.
[327.6, 119.825]

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL (28-11N 80-36W) monitoring
Patrick AFB (KCOF) NASA-KSC Shuttle Landing Fac (KX68)
Avon Park Bombing Range (KAGR) Cape Canaveral AFS (KXMR)
JSTARS E-8 Acft Integration Facility, Melbourne IAP (KMLB)
Worldwide Military HF Communications
Life Member: Missile, Space and Range Pioneers.
http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/index.html (My Freqs)
http://hometown.aol.com/scanaddict/index.html (My Equipment)

1620. Re: a RAT , SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-23 08:07:38 UTC
From: Mace <nospam@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <et90e.243141$JF2.133153@tornado.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 14132
Cross-posted to: alt.marketing.online.ebay,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
news:xOY_d.31987$qn2.7039876@twister.nyc.rr.com...
> Ed Clarke wrote:
>> On 2005-03-19, nospam@nospam.org <nospam@nospam.org> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Usenet is very close to anarchy and only groups with moderated in the
>>>title are
>>>really moderated, the rest are just filled with control freaks and
>>>wannabee, who
>>>pretend to own the group.
>>
>>
>> You are wrong. Only the alt. groups are anarchy; the big7 groups like
>> rec.radio.*
>> all have charters that were voted on before they were created. Here's a
>> link to
>> the charter for rec.radio.scanner (unmoderated):
>>
>> http://open-systems.ufl.edu/mirrors/ftp.isc.org/usenet/news.announce.newgroups/rec/rec.
radio.scanner
>>
>> This is what was voted on and who voted for or against it and when (
>> 1993). I see
>> nothing about auctions/sales or anything else in the charter. Group
>> creation predates
>> ebay by a long time.
>>
>>
>
>
> Ed,
>
> Thanks for providing FACTS regarding this issue.
>
> SOmething certainly wasn't right in how I was treated by eBay and another
> "brohter or sister hobbyist" in this case....
>
> Dan

You know Dan, at first I thought you were a young kid just acting very
childishly, but imagine my surprise when I learned that you're actually 47
years old and acting this way!

I also find it laughable whenever I see you use the term "brother or sister hobbyist", because you sure don't act like one. As a matter of fact, I see you have no problem overcharging any naive or newbie "brother or sister hobbyist"

And to top it all off, here is a direct quote from you made back in 1997: "Don't be a fool and pay more then is necessary...deal with an established, reputable radio dealer. "

http://groups-beta.google.com/group/rec.radio.scanner/msg/317eec6b456aad9d

1621. Re: Rip off Power Sellers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-23 08:07:49 UTC
From: mike <spamme0@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <424123D5.50900@netscape.net>
Source record: 14133
Cross-posted to:
alt.marketing.online.ebay,al.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.marketing.online.ebay,uk.people.consumers.ebay

Steve Antony Williams wrote:
> <nospam@nospam.org> wrote in message
> news:3hjr31t88re3ur25ovh0ckf47gcumiuust@4ax.com...
>
>>On 20 Mar 2005 11:19:00 -0800, in alt.marketing.online.ebay
>>only_asking@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>>
>>>7962960190, 7962959417, 7962961099
>>>
>>>The above items, and 873 others from the same seller, are "selling an
>>>item that requires an additional purchase from the seller", namely
>>>Shipping Insurance (Required) - 1.99GBP
>>>
>>>They further state that "We cannot afford to give you a working pair
>>>without the shipping insurance. So the shipping insurance ensures that
>>>you will be satisfied."
>>>
>>>Not only is this fee avoidance, but they even admit it themselves in
>>>their listing.
>>>
>>>First class mail in the UK gives ï¿½28.00 cover, and these items have a
>>>Buy It Now price of a LOT less than that.
>>
>>
>>Do you honestly expect Power seller to not be rip off artists? A bunch of
>>buggering gits.
>
>
>
> Well thank you for that wonderfully sweeping statement. Don't tar us all
> with the same brush
>
> DrSteveW
>
>

Been having the same problem trying to buy a flash card with a $10
shipping+handling+insurance fee. If you read the fine print and bid
accordingly, there's no problem. Only real issue I have is that only
the shipping fee shows up in the summary. Ebay should make 'em list the
total shipping charges. The additional profit sux, but sneaking
part of it in under the radar on the confirmation bid page is evil.

The real problem is with the buyers.
EVERY 128MB Smartmedia card sold on EBAY in the last week has sold for more (shipped)
than what you can get it for (shipped) from any number of online
sources. So, there are enough gullible buyers to make the plan work...
mike

--
Return address is VALID but some sites block emails
with links. Delete this sig when replying.
.
Wanted, PCMCIA SCSI Card for HP m820 CDRW.
FS 500MHz Tek DSOscilloscope TDS540 Make Offer
Wanted, 12.1" LCD for Gateway Solo 5300. Samsung LT121SU-121
Wanted 13" LCD for Mitac 6133 Samsung HT13X13-201
Bunch of stuff For Sale and Wanted at the link below.
MAKE THE OBVIOUS CHANGES TO THE LINK
ht<removethis>tp://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Monitor/4710/

1622. (",) Do You Want To Know For Sure You Are Going To Heaven?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-23 10:46:46 UTC
From: Ron038652@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1111574806.643025.285530@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14134

http://www.want-to-be-sure.blogspot.com << Click On Link

1623. Re: Cell phone Jammer for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-23 13:09:29 UTC
From: Gerry Wheeler <gxwheeler@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.03.23.13.09.28.674343@earthlink.net>
Source record: 14135

On Wed, 23 Mar 2005 07:13:35 -0500, Mark wrote:

> donestuardo@yahoo.com wrote in
> news:1111540684.373288.45530@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>> Please email me at donestuardo (AT Sign) yahoo.com or call me on my
>>> cell at (416) 458-0012 and I will be happy to go over details with you.
>
> I wonder if the FCC will call you later today to get some more info on
> this device?
>
> Hmmm. We'll see.......

Not likely. That's a Toronto area phone number, if memory serves me
correctly.

--
Gerry Wheeler
Naples, FL

1624. Re: Cell phone Jammer for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-23 13:18:31 UTC
From: "Greasy Rider"@invalid.com
Message-ID: <g1r241t0u0b3f8495se33pnnaaqov0ke4e@4ax.com>
Source record: 14136

On 22 Mar 2005 17:18:04 -0800, donestuardo@yahoo.com postulated :

>Used only one week (and then the tenant was gone! - hint great for
>getting rid of loser tenants who depend on their cell phones for
>calls).

Whoa! What makes a tenant a "loser" for using his cell phone? More and
more people are disconnecting from land lines and going totally
cellular. What would make them "losers" ?

1625. Realistic Pro-39 Squelch Problems?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-23 14:00:45 UTC
From: Chris <cjohnson1379nospam@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <hEe0e.2352$S46.930@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 14137

My Pro-39 seems to have a problem that I think is in the squelch circuit. I don't have a schematic but here is what happens. When it is first turned on, it works normally. After a few seconds, it acts like the squelch was just turned all the way up. It won't receive anything. I have 2 of these so I swapped boards and found the problem to be on the middle board. Can anyone help me out with a schematic or does anyone know what the problem could be?

Thanks,
Chris

1626. Re: Cell phone Jammer for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-23 14:46:55 UTC
From: Evan Platt <evan@TheObvious.espphotography.com>
Message-ID: <t903411o85j3jovbn6aafrktibcnf1mfc1@4ax.com>
Source record: 14138

On 22 Mar 2005 17:18:04 -0800, donestuardo@yahoo.com wrote:

>hint great for getting rid of loser tenants who depend on their cell phones for
>calls).

More like a loser landlord who would rather have an empty apartment...
--
To reply, remove TheObvious from my e-mail address.

1627. Re: Rip off Power Sellers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-23 16:08:38 UTC
From: Ruzinthra the Ruki <ruzinthra@ruki.com>
Message-ID: <a25341lhmuv9cprp56s0dpk8873anug4jf@4ax.com>
Source record: 14139
Cross-posted to:
alt.marketing.online.ebay,al.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.marketing.online.ebay,uk.people.consumers.ebay

On Tue, 22 Mar 2005 20:48:57 -0800, nospam@nospam.org choked out
these words:

>On Wed, 23 Mar 2005 04:36:30 GMT, in alt.marketing.online.ebay Ruzinthra the
>Ruki <ruzinthra@ruki.com> wrote:
>
>>On Tue, 22 Mar 2005 17:38:52 -0800, "JOE"
>><JOE-KREMOVENOSPAM@hotmail.com> choked out these words:
>>
>>>Fucking crossposting nitwit
>>
>>nice way to crosspost, you fucking top-posting nitwit!
>
>
>Shut up you crossdressing bottom posting crossposter.

crossdressing? you have proof of this, i assume?

1628. Re: (",) Do You Want To Know For Sure You Are Going To Heaven?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-23 16:35:57 UTC
From: Paul Keenleyside <beeceepleights@teluz.net>
Message-ID: <NVg0e.101427$fc4.6049@edtnps89>
Source record: 14140

<Ron038652@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1111574806.643025.285530@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> http://www.want-to-be-sure.blogspot.com << Click On Link

I've already ordered my cloud.

1629. Re: Cell phone Jammer for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-23 17:25:17 UTC
From: DxxxxxBxx <abuse@abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz.com>
Message-ID: <8993411ot3uiog414t0j57dtjumvqvqjmm@4ax.com>
Source record: 14141

I assume the tenant is a loser for some other reason and just happens to use his cell phone. This was a good way to get him out of there. These things have all kinds of practical uses, but, nonetheless, here in the US are illegal and I assume so in Canada as well. The wireless phone companies are going to insure that nothing is done legally to interrupt service to their golden egg. While these may sound like a cure to rude cell phone users, they only work on the symptoms. There will always be selfish, rude, obnoxious people...cell phones just make it easier to identify some of them. It is beyond comprehension how someone can have a normal conversation and then, just because their phone rings, they raise their voice like they are yelling at a basketball game.

Once someone is denied 911 or other emergency services by these rogue cell phone jammers, there will be a big law suit and a national P.R. campaign against the things.

On Wed, 23 Mar 2005 08:18:31 -0500, Greasy Rideri¿½ @invalid.com wrote:

>On 22 Mar 2005 17:18:04 -0800, donestuardo@yahoo.com postulated :
>
>>Used only one week (and then the tenant was gone! - hint great for
>>getting rid of loser tenants who depend on their cell phones for
>>calls).
>
>Whoa! What makes a tenant a "loser" for using his cell phone? More and
>more people are disconnecting from land lines and going totally
>cellular. What would make them "losers" ?

Spammers, please send email to:
listme@listme.dsbl.org
and get your SMTP server blacklisted!

1630. Re: Cell phone Jammer for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-23 17:49:36 UTC
From: "Greasy Rider&#65533;"@invalid.com
Message-ID: <uva3411egu9vhrdii15vr3kj3i4rt89gq4@4ax.com>
Source record: 14142

On Wed, 23 Mar 2005 17:25:17 GMT, DxxxxxBxx
<abuse@abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz.com> postulated :

>Spammers, please send email to:
>listme@listme.dsbl.org
>and get your SMTP server blacklisted!

Does that actually work?

1631. renseignement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-23 18:16:36 UTC
From: avipere1@tiscali.fr
Message-ID: <6eaf500c.0503231016.5c2a2058@posting.google.com>
Source record: 14143

peut-on scanner plusieurs ï¿½ la fois avec le logiciel de l'icom
pcr-1000, si oui comment ! merci d'avance!

1632. Re: Rip off Power Sellers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-23 19:41:44 UTC
From: Don Lancaster <don@tinaja.com>
Message-ID: <3adv3qF6apjtfU1@individual.net>
Source record: 14144
Cross-posted to:
alt.marketing.online.ebay,al.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.marketing.online.ebay,uk.people.consumers.ebay

fred@aol.com wrote:

>>crossdressing? you have proof of this, i assume?

It was in the MAD magazine issue that had the painting of Washington
cross dressing the Delaware in it.

--
Many thanks,

Don Lancaster
Synergetics 3860 West First Street Box 809 Thatcher, AZ 85552
voice: (928)428-4073 email: don@tinaja.com

Please visit my GURU's LAIR web site at http://www.tinaja.com

1633. Re: renseignement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-23 19:49:19 UTC
From: cl <clfurent@Yahoo.Com>
Message-ID: <jLj0e.55725$NC6.33624@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 14145

"avipere" <avipere1@tiscali.fr> wrote in message
news:6eaf500c.0503231016.5c2a2058@posting.google.com...
> peut-on scanner plusieurs la fois avec le logiciel de l'icom
> pcr-1000, si oui comment ! merci d'avance!

Care to translate that to English?????

cl

1634. Re: renseignement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-23 21:48:53 UTC
From: "Bales1983 (Lee Bales)" <lee@NOSPAMleebales.co.uk>
Message-ID: <4241e445$0$29289$ed2619ec@ptn-nntp-reader03.plus.net>
Source record: 14146

Dï¿½solï¿½ je ne possï¿½de pas l'Icom PCR-1000 peut-ï¿½tre quelqu'un qui possï¿½de un et qui parle Franï¿½ais vous rï¿½pondra bientï¿½t

Respect

Lee

1635. FS: Motorola Programming Laptop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-23 22:22:14 UTC
From: Carolina Radio <sales@carolina-radio.com>
Message-ID: <1111616534.594331.293090@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14147

Tired of trying to use your older software on those new Windows XP, USB and fast computers?

This laptop is speedy enough to play on the net and run most applications yet compatable with you older Motorola Software. Have those "Error 2003" With you Maxtrac program? Solve it right here.

Available:

Toshiba Tecra 8000 Laptop
This unit comes pre-loaded with Windows 98 (for your Motorola Programming)AND Windows XP Professional Edition

366 Mhz Intel Pentium Processor
128 Mb RAM
6.2 Gb Hard Drive
2 PCMCIA Card Slots
Li-Ion Battery
Built in 24X CD ROM
Stereo Sound
USB Port
9 Pin Com Port
15 Pin RGB Video port
Infrared Port
6.5 Lbs.
Windows 98
14.1 Color Bright Display
Power Supply

This laptop is also loaded with the following software:

Windows 98 Operating System w/

Quicken Home & Business 2005

Internet Explorer Ver 6.0

Laplink Gold 11.5 (No Cable included)

Microsoft Office which includes:
Microsoft Access, Microsoft Word, Microsoft Excel, Microsoft FrontPage, Microsoft Outlook, Microsoft Powerpoint

PowerQuest Partition Magic Ver. 8.0

Astro Portables V 05.00.01
Astro Mobiles V 05.00.05
Astro Saber V 05.00.01

Desktrac V 03.04.0
CP150/CP200/CM200/CM300/PM400/PR400
M10/M120/GM300 Mobiles V 05.00.02
GP300/P110 V 05.00.00
GP2000 V 01.03
GP350 V 08.00.0
GTX/MAX638 V 01.01.00
HT1000/JT1000/VISAR V 03.02.01
HT50
HT600 V 02.03.01
M400 Mobiles
Maratrac Mobiles V 04.03.00
Maxtrac Mobiles V 5.00.00
Maxtrac Trucked Mobiles
Maxtrac LS Mobiles V 02.03.00b
MCS2000 Mobile V 04.00.00
MCX1000 Mobile
Mostar V AA00.00.00
HT750/HT1250/HT1550/EX500/EX600/CDM750/CDM1250/CDM1550 V 06.02.03
MT1000 V 03.01.02
MT2000/MTS2000/MTX838/MTX8000/MTX9000 V06.00.00
MTX800 V01.00.01.02
MTX900
P110 V 08.00.00
P1225 V02.00.00
P200 V 01.01.00
P50 Plus Radius V 02.00.00
R100 Repeater
Radius Mobile M100/M206/M208/M216 V 08.00.00
SP50 V03.00.00
Saber V 07.00.00
System Saber V 04.00.02
Saber SI V 02.03.03
SM50/SM120 V 02.00.00
Spectra V 06.00.05
STX V 03.00.00
XTS3000

$279.00 SHIPPED in the US!

Photos available at the following link

http://www.carolina-radio.com/tecra8000

1636. Re: renseignement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-23 22:37:00 UTC
From: "Greasy Rider"@invalid.com
Message-ID: <irr341h4kkvfjodeqknrdvcpffa4c6blu3@4ax.com>
Source record: 14148

On Wed, 23 Mar 2005 14:49:19 -0500, "cl" <clfurent@Yahoo.Com>
postulated :
>"avipere" <avipere1@tiscali.fr> wrote in message
>news:6eaf500c.0503231016.5c2a2058@posting.google.com...
>> peut-on scanner plusieurs ï¿½ la fois avec le logiciel de l'icom
>> pcr-1000, si oui comment ! merci d'avance!
>
>Care to translate that to English?????

See Ashley Judd go down on Mariah Carey with Parris Hilton watching.

You are quite welcome.

1637. Re: renseignement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-23 22:42:58 UTC
From: cl <clfurent@Yahoo.Com>
Message-ID: <lim0e.56081$NC6.41174@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 14149

<Greasy Rider&#65533; @invalid.com> wrote in message
news:irr341h4kkvfjodeqknrdvcpffa4c6blu3@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 23 Mar 2005 14:49:19 -0500, "cl" <clfurent@Yahoo.Com>
> postulated :
>>"avipere" <avipere1@tiscali.fr> wrote in message
>>news:6eaf500c.0503231016.5c2a2058@posting.google.com...
>>> peut-on scanner plusieurs &#65533; la fois avec le logiciel de l'icom
>>> pcr-1000, si oui comment ! merci d'avance!
>>
>>Care to translate that to English?????
>
> See Ashley Judd go down on Mariah Carey with Parris Hilton watching.
>
> You are quite welcome.

Hmmm....... interesting..... I knew I should have taken a language or two
while in school.

thanks

1638. Re: renseignement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-23 22:46:06 UTC
From: BrotherBart <BrotherBart@whoseyourdaddy.net>
Message-ID: <Okm0e.688$Ax.55@trnddc04>
Source record: 14150
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.marketing.online.ebay

>>Care to translate that to English?????
>>
>>cl

He wants to know if he can scan more than one picture at once with his scanner.

Calling Walter. French long distance call in the lobby for Mr. Walter.

1639. Re: renseignement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-23 23:40:55 UTC
From: Don Lancaster <don@tinaja.com>
Message-ID: <3aed48F6c1inkU1@individual.net>
Source record: 14201
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.marketing.online.ebay

nospam@nospam.org wrote:
> On Wed, 23 Mar 2005 14:49:19 -0500, in rec.radio.scanner "cl"
> <clfurent@Yahoo.Com> wrote:
>
>
>>"avipere" <avipere1@tiscali.fr> wrote in message
>>news:6eaf500c.0503231016.5c2a2058@posting.google.com...
>>
>>>peut-on scanner plusieurs ï¿½ la fois avec le logiciel de l'icom
>>>pcr-1000, si oui comment ! merci d'avance!
>>
>>Care to translate that to English?????
>>
>>cl
.

The literal translation is "Your horse has kidney trouble".

--
Many thanks,

Don Lancaster
Synergetics 3860 West First Street Box 809 Thatcher, AZ 85552
voice: (928)428-4073 email: don@tinaja.com

Please visit my GURU's LAIR web site at http://www.tinaja.com

1640. Re: renseignement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-23 23:41:57 UTC
From: Walter <scentman@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <99n0e.3237$S46.2428@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 14202
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.marketing.online.ebay

BrotherBart wrote:
>>>Care to translate that to English?????
>>>
>>>cl
>
>
> He wants to know if he can scan more than one picture at once with his
> scanner.
>
> Calling Walter. French long distance call in the lobby for Mr. Walter.

I'm getting popular these days ... calls about scanning, adult videos
... and people not getting the hyperbole, pro bowl or tidy bowl. :)

Most scanners can scan more than one picture at a time. My old scanner,
Colorado 600 could scan more than one picture at a time ..... the
software that came with it would allow a user to define more than one
scan area at a time. VERY convenient. It's not Win Xp compatible, though.

My new scanner, Canon 3000EX, will allow the scanning of more than one
picture, but there is a twist. It does the area defining for a person
(if they want to scan more than one picture at a time). The problem is
that if the pictures have a white background, or there isn't an inch
between pictures or half an inch between the picture and the sides of
the small glass, the automatic scanning won't work. Very NOT
convenient. I found a way around it with DVD's ... scan them at night
with the lights in the room off and leaving the cover open usually works.

I'm sure many others will have different experiences with the same and
different scanners. In the meantime, it's time for a cigarette, some
hyperbole and some superfluous redundancy. I don't want to say it again
or repeat myself, but what I have said and typed is just my opinion
based on my own experiences. There are no statistics (42.7% of which
are made up on the spot ... and 98% of those disprove the other 98%) or
other facts to prove or disprove what I've said, so everyone is welcome
to disagree with everything I've said/typed and support their claims
with their own experiences.

1641. Re: RS Pro95 programing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-23 23:52:29 UTC
From: MnMikew <mnmiikkew@aol.com>
Message-ID: <3aedpuF660kaeU1@individual.net>
Source record: 14203

"Mark" <me@privacy.net> wrote in message
news:fjn241d4mts9gn2er84qtueu6cjn35s9v9@4ax.com...
> On 23 Mar 2005 04:36:07 GMT, Tom <usa1nospam@iei.net> wrote:
>
> >As Steve Halvorson so eloquently stated:
> >
> >> Intcerested in getting a RS Pro 95 scanner..problem is the RS software
to
> >> program th Pro 95 from your pc will not run on a pc with MS XP......Any
> >> suggestions as what one can use?
> >> Thanks
> >>
> >>
> >
> >I'm using XP and I programmed my PRO-95 with no problems. Download Win95
> >scanner programming software for free. You can find the db files on the
> >internet for your area too.
>
> This cannot be emphasised enough!
>
> Do not waste your time with that Radio Shack crap software. Get the free
> stuff from starsoft and it will work perfectly.

Ditto the Starsoft!

1642. Re: Stupid Scanner Question.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-24 00:57:19 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Pfo0e.444260$w62.398024@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 14204
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

>Folks like him have nothing in their life so they
> answer in news groups and try to piss off people
>Folks who have nothing in life use CB?

What, whine like a bitch cause I made a statement about using a "search engine"
Like I said, I did some seating on the Internet, posted a link, then I got to thinking, "why am I doing all this searching?" I emailed him Personally and it bounced.
You don't have a clue about my life, what I do, think I got time for baby-sitting?
Look at the last hundred post I have made. Think I intend to piss people off?
Assumptions.

Piss him off? I don't care if I did or not.
Now YOU making comments?
Stick to the Facts.
NOT your assumptions.
I won't bring it down to your level. Not now, not ever.

1643. Re: Stupid Scanner Question.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-24 01:11:46 UTC
From: tom <cyberhun@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <mto0e.766584$8l.570995@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 14205
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I know you are, but what am I?

Nyeah, nyeah.

Can we watch cartoons now?

1644. Re: Cops try to keep chatter private

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-24 02:17:43 UTC
From: tom <cyberhun@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <brp0e.762867$Xk.408147@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 14206

What's the Mike network?

1645. Re: Cops try to keep chatter private

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-24 02:33:36 UTC
From: Oscar The Grouch <not@likely.com>
Message-ID: <bGp0e.8978$JK1.608164@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 14207

Mike network is Canada's version of Nextel...

Telus Mobility

"tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:brp0e.762867$Xk.408147@pd7tw3no...
> What's the Mike network?
>
>
>
>

1646. Re: Stupid Scanner Question.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-24 03:54:31 UTC
From: "D. Brown" <dvbrown5Pants@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <WqadnS6rcrZnpN_fRVn-tg@comcast.com>
Source record: 14208
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

> People do that using CB?
>
> I figured people who use CB talk like Hank Snow or Bulford T. Justice and
> keep saying 'that's a big ten-four."
>
> Folks who have nothing in life use CB?
>
>

I did use a "search engine" as George had suggested, and I did find that these are some of the groups George frequents or at least posts to under the nym used here.

rec.autos.sport.nascar
rec.radio.cb
rec.sport.pro-wrestling
rec.outdoors.fishing.bass

And to top it all off it looks as though he's from Alabama.

Two of these things together don't mean much as far as judging one's character really, but combined... well....

If your daddy walks you to school every morning because he's in the same grade as you.. You just might be George Kinzer.

1647. Re: Rip off Power Sellers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-24 03:55:20 UTC
From: Ruzinthra the Ruki <ruzinthra@ruki.com>
Message-ID: <2fe441t4a1khvqtnrs9fahp6fcunnqhq40@4ax.com>
Source record: 14209
Cross-posted to:
alt.marketing.online.ebay,al.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.marketing.online.ebay,uk.people.consumers.ebay

On Wed, 23 Mar 2005 16:41:35 -0800, nospam@nospam.org choked out
these words:

>On Thu, 24 Mar 2005 00:18:58 GMT, in alt.marketing.online.ebay wowfabgroovy
><wowfabgroovy@wowmail.com> wrote:
>
>>Ruzinthra the Ruki <ruzinthra@ruki.com> went:
>>
>>>On Tue, 22 Mar 2005 17:38:52 -0800, "JOE"
>>><JOE-KREMOVENOSPAM@hotmail.com> choked out these words:
>>>
>>>>Fucking crossposting nitwit
>>>
>>>nice way to crosspost, you fucking top-posting nitwit!
>>
>>stop fucking crossposting, you crossposting fucker!!!!!!!three!
>
>Shut up you crossdressing fuckposter.

fuck up, you shutdressing crossposter. :|

1648. Re: Stupid Scanner Question.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-24 03:58:06 UTC
From: "D. Brown" <dvbrown5Pants@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <QYadndUD4NlNp9_fRVn-vQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 14210
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

> What, whine like a bitch cause I made a statement about using a "search
> engine"
> Like I said, I did some seating on the Internet, posted a link, then I got
> to thinking, "why am I doing all this searching?" I emailed him Personally
> and it bounced.
> You don't have a clue about my life, what I do, think I got time for
> baby-sitting?
> Look at the last hundred post I have made. Think I intend to piss people
> off?
> Assumptions.
>
> Piss him off? I don't care if I did or not.
> Now YOU making comments?
> Stick to the Facts.
> NOT your assumptions.
> I won't bring it down to your level. Not now, not ever.

Hey look George, I am really sorry. Looks as though I have struck a nerve bringing up the subject of "Tethers" and "House-Arrest".

You didn't really have to go through all of the trouble "baby sitting" me and presenting me with that nice Power Point presentation on Tethers. But I guess it gave you something to bitch about.

Anyhow, hopefully your parole will be cut short for your outstanding behavior and your friendly demeanor towards others. The Tether will be off before you know it and then you can start hitting the hooch again. Maybe you won't be so edgy and angry all of the time.

1649. Re: Stupid Scanner Question.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-24 06:44:26 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <elt0e.2661$cg1.490@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 14211
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

>>Anyhow, hopefully your parole will be cut short for your outstanding behavior and your friendly demeanor towards others. The Tether will be off before you know it and then you can start hitting the hooch again. Maybe you won't be so edgy and angry all of the time.

A) I don't drink
B) Never seen a Tether
C) "C " ya later

1650. Re: Rip off Power Sellers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-24 12:38:55 UTC
From: Steve Antony Williams <drstevew@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <zxy0e.132$L_3.20@newsfe5-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 14212
Cross-posted to:
alt.marketing.online.ebay,al.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.marketing.online.ebay,uk.people.consumers.ebay

"Ruzinthra the Ruki" <ruzinthra@ruki.com> wrote in message
news:2fe441t4a1khvqtnrs9fahp6fcunnqhq40@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 23 Mar 2005 16:41:35 -0800, nospam@nospam.org choked out
> these words:
>
> >On Thu, 24 Mar 2005 00:18:58 GMT, in alt.marketing.online.ebay
wowfabgroovy
> ><wowfabgroovy@wowmail.com> wrote:
> >
> >>Ruzinthra the Ruki <ruzinthra@ruki.com> went:
> >>
> >>>On Tue, 22 Mar 2005 17:38:52 -0800, "JOE"
> >>><JOE-KREMOVENOSPAM@hotmail.com> choked out these words:
> >>>
> >>>>Fucking crossposting nitwit
> >>>
> >>>nice way to crosspost, you fucking top-posting nitwit!
> >>
> >>stop fucking crossposting, you crossposting fucker!!!!!!!three!
> >
> >Shut up you crossdressing fuckposter.
>
> fuck up, you shutdressing crossposter. :|

Whats' crossposting ?? (snigger)

DrSteveW

1651. (",) Do You Want To Know For Sure You Are Going To Heaven?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-24 12:50:21 UTC
From: Ron038653@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1111668621.912673.50970@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14213

http://www.want-to-be-sure.blogspot.com << Click On Link

1652. Re: Rip off Power Sellers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-24 15:04:34 UTC
From: Secret Squirrel <squirrel@somewhere.pp>
Message-ID: <6GA0e.6119671$f47.1123229@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 14214
Cross-posted to:
alt.marketing.online.ebay,al.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.marketing.online.ebay,uk.people.consumers.ebay

fred@aol.com wrote:
> On Thu, 24 Mar 2005 03:55:20 GMT, in rec.radio.scanner Ruzinthra the Ruki
> <ruzinthra@ruki.com> wrote:
>
>
>>On Wed, 23 Mar 2005 16:41:35 -0800, nospam@nospam.org choked out
>>these words:
>>
>>
>>>On Thu, 24 Mar 2005 00:18:58 GMT, in alt.marketing.online.ebay wowfabgroovy
>>><wowfabgroovy@wowmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Ruzinthra the Ruki <ruzinthra@ruki.com> went:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>On Tue, 22 Mar 2005 17:38:52 -0800, "JOE"
>>>>><JOE-KREMOVENOSPAM@hotmail.com> choked out these words:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Fucking crossposting nitwit
>>>>>
>>>>>nice way to crosspost, you fucking top-posting nitwit!
>>>>
>>>>stop fucking crossposting, you crossposting fucker!!!!!!!three!
>>>
>>>Shut up you crossdressing fuckposter.
>>
>>fuck up, you shutdressing crossposter. :|
>
>
> Crossup you Shutposting Dressfuck for Jesus.
>

Dressup you Fuckposting Jesus Crossfuck

1653. Re: Cops try to keep chatter private

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-24 18:18:59 UTC
From: Tom Mills <tsmills@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <GqD0e.52100$6g7.907@bignews1.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 14215

Do they use Motorola IDEN tech.? Is so how is it decoded?

"
> Mike network is Canada's version of Nextel...
>
> Telus Mobility
>
>
>
> "tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote in message
> news:brp0e.762867$Xk.408147@pd7tw3no...
>> What's the Mike network?
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>

1654. Re: Cell phone Jammer for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-24 19:44:11 UTC
From: dx440@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14064-4243188B-30@storefull-3272.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 14216

400$ you can by a good export cb .lol

1655. Re: Rip off Power Sellers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-24 20:14:50 UTC
From: Steve Antony Williams <drstevew@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <_cF0e.350$L_3.92@newsfe5-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 14217
Cross-posted to:
alt.marketing.online.ebay,al.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.marketing.online.ebay,uk.people.consumers.ebay

<fred@aol.com> wrote in message
news:v0064195vihcq9d3np54nrv8afdlq13768@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 24 Mar 2005 15:04:34 GMT, in rec.radio.scanner Secret Squirrel
> <squirrel@somewhere.pp> wrote:
>
> >fred@aol.com wrote:
> >> On Thu, 24 Mar 2005 03:55:20 GMT, in rec.radio.scanner Ruzinthra the
Ruki
> >> <ruzinthra@ruki.com> wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>On Wed, 23 Mar 2005 16:41:35 -0800, nospam@nospam.org choked out
> >>>these words:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>On Thu, 24 Mar 2005 00:18:58 GMT, in alt.marketing.online.ebay
wowfabgroovy
> >>>><wowfabgroovy@wowmail.com> wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>Ruzinthra the Ruki <ruzinthra@ruki.com> went:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>On Tue, 22 Mar 2005 17:38:52 -0800, "JOE"
> >>>>>><JOE-KREMOVENOSPAM@hotmail.com> choked out these words:
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>>Fucking crossposting nitwit
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>nice way to crosspost, you fucking top-posting nitwit!
> >>>>>
> >>>>>stop fucking crossposting, you crossposting fucker!!!!!!!three!
> >>>>
> >>>>Shut up you crossdressing fuckposter.
> >>>
> >>>fuck up, you shutdressing crossposter. :|
> >>
> >>
> >> Crossup you Shutposting Dressfuck for Jesus.
> >>
> >
> >Dressup you Fuckposting Jesus Crossfuck

>
> Updress you postfucking Jesus fruckcross

bump

1656. Re: Cell phone Jammer for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-24 21:30:56 UTC
From: Kim_Jong_Il@volcanomail.com
Message-ID: <1111699856.692345.310070@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14218

>>
hint great for getting rid of loser tenants who depend on their cell
phones for
calls).
....

... call me on my cell....
<<

1657. radioshack pro 67 200 channel 800MHz like new FS on eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-25 01:13:37 UTC
From: Kap <juvenile11691@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111713217.285586.58810@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14219

Item #5762048452

1658. Re: Rip off Power Sellers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-25 03:16:31 UTC
From: Ruzinthra the Ruki <ruzinthra@ruki.com>
Message-ID: <qi074119aldk1rtsfr4nqe53i1r5tluof6@4ax.com>
Source record: 14220
Cross-posted to:
alt.marketing.online.ebay,al.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.marketing.online.ebay,uk.people.consumers.ebay

On Thu, 24 Mar 2005 20:14:50 GMT, "Steve Antony Williams"
<drstevew@ntlworld.com> choked out these words:

>*bump*

this isn't a message board. it's a newsgroup.

1659. Re: Cell phone Jammer for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-25 05:19:33 UTC
From: DxxxxxBxx <abuse@abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz.com>
Message-ID: <pm77415ikt0657s7md2jei5enf0qfnccfl@4ax.com>
Source record: 14221

SMTP servers that subscribe to their (dsbl.org's) service won't
receive email from an SMTP server that has been blacklisted there.
That happened to me once. "sbc.yahoo.com" is my ISP's SMTP server and
one of their servers got blacklisted somehow. I don't know what yahoo
had to do to get unlisted, but I complained and it began working in a
few days.

On Wed, 23 Mar 2005 12:49:36 -0500, Greasy Rideri¿½ @invalid.com wrote:

>On Wed, 23 Mar 2005 17:25:17 GMT, DxxxxxBxx
><abuse@abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz.com> postulated :
>
>>Spammers, please send email to:
>>listme@listme.dsbl.org
>>and get your SMTP server blacklisted!
>
>Does that actually work?
>
>
>

Spammers, please send email to:
listme@listme.dsbl.org
and get your SMTP server blacklisted!

1660. Re: Rip off Power Sellers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-25 12:52:27 UTC
From: Steve Antony Williams <drstevew@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <fQT0e.1813$S%3.1168@newsfe6-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 14222
Cross-posted to:
alt.marketing.online.ebay,al.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.marketing.online.ebay,uk.people.consumers.ebay

<nospam@nospam.org> wrote in message
news:2v2741tkdms57ln3cagv28q0ilroleo2vc@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 25 Mar 2005 03:16:31 GMT, in alt.marketing.online.ebay Ruzinthra
the
> Ruki <ruzinthra@ruki.com> wrote:
>
> >On Thu, 24 Mar 2005 20:14:50 GMT, "Steve Antony Williams"
> ><drstevew@ntlworld.com> choked out these words:
> >
> >>*bump*
> >
> >this isn't a message board. it's a newsgroup.
>
>
> Ok post a new story then you crossposting crossdressing nitwit.

Once upon a time

They all lived happily ever after

DrSteveW

DVDs & Games - www.retrowarez.com
The Incredibles DVD - An Incredible £15.99 !!

1661. Re: Rip off Power Sellers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-25 12:52:27 UTC
From: Steve Antony Williams <drstevew@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <fQT0e.1812$S%3.1772@newsfe6-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 14223
Cross-posted to:
alt.marketing.online.ebay,al.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.marketing.online.ebay,uk.people.consumers.ebay

"Ruzinthra the Ruki" <ruzinthra@ruki.com> wrote in message
news:qi074119aldk1rtsfr4nqe53i1r5tluof6@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 24 Mar 2005 20:14:50 GMT, "Steve Antony Williams"
> <drstevew@ntlworld.com> choked out these words:
>
> >*bump*
>
> this isn't a message board. it's a newsgroup.

Yeah I know

1662. Re: A battle is brewing between the city of Wichita Falls and the local media

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-25 14:05:48 UTC
From: 7597243@skytel.com
Message-ID: <Z5ydnc-lHe8hh9nfRVn-hw@comcast.com>
Source record: 14224

I agree. Police communications a private, as are all two way radio communications. According to the Communications Act of 1934, personal or monetary gain form the unauthorized reception of radio communications is prohibited. The TV stations etc make money from reporting news events.

1663. It's time ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-25 15:00:20 UTC
From: wholebean@ionmail.com
Message-ID: <1111762820.822013.136530@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14225
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I've had scanners all of my life, but never one dedicated to trunk tracking. I've read reviews and familiarized myself with what's available, but what I really need are real-world opinions by the people who own them. In your view, what is the BEST unit?

1664. (",) Do You Want To Know For Sure You Are Going To Heaven?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-25 15:31:13 UTC
From: Ron038655@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1111764673.835297.309180@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14226

http://www.want-to-be-sure.blogspot.com << Click On Link

1665. Re: It's time ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-25 15:51:27 UTC
From: Evan Platt <evan@TheObvious.espphotography.com>
Message-ID: <8rc8411bmo40kokgbbc6iflf69si1ddinl@4ax.com>
Source record: 14227

On 25 Mar 2005 07:00:20 -0800, wholebean@ionmail.com wrote:

>I've had scanners all of my life, but never one dedicated to trunk
>tracking. I've read reviews and familiarized myself with what's
>available, but what I really need are real-world opinions by the people
>who own them. In your view, what is the BEST unit?

Depends on where you are. Do you need Digital? What system? UHF? VHF?
LTR? EDACS?
--
To reply, remove TheObvious from my e-mail address.

1666. Re: Would you really buy from Dan NOW...??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-25 17:55:21 UTC
From: Mace <mace@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <dgY0e.3022$Pc.274@tornado.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 14228
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Casper Milquetoast" <CasperMilquetoast@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:FvmdnWNvmJK-R6LfRVn-1Q@comcast.com...
> I'd buy something minor from him, but only to get his address.
>
>
>

Or you could just look here.
http://www.qrz.com/detail/N2TYB

1667. Re: Would you really buy from Dan NOW...??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-25 18:38:59 UTC
From: Jim <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <kKCdneMuqaxYx9nfRVn-1g@centurytel.net>
Source record: 14229
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Mace wrote:
> "Casper Milquetoast" <CasperMilquetoast@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:FvmdnWNvmJK-R6LfRVn-1Q@comcast.com...
>
>>I'd buy something minor from him, but only to get his address.
>>
>>
>>
>
>
> Or you could just look here.
> http://www.qrz.com/detail/N2TYB

That's really low of you! If the person meant to stalk him, well you
just helped a sicko out. What if that's not the same Dan? It would be
bad enough if Dan got stalked because of you, but maybe you just set up
the wrong person, then you can feel twice as bad!

1668. Re: Would you really buy from Dan NOW...??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-25 18:46:38 UTC
From: Mace <mace@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <i0Z0e.1816$Fz.1272@tornado.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 14230
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Jim" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in message
news:kKCdneMuqaxYx9nfRVn-1g@centurytel.net...
>
>
> Mace wrote:
>> "Casper Milquetoast" <CasperMilquetoast@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:FvmdnWNvmJK-R6LfRVn-1Q@comcast.com...
>>
>>>I'd buy something minor from him, but only to get his address.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>> Or you could just look here.
>> http://www.qrz.com/detail/N2TYB
>
> That's really low of you! If the person meant to stalk him, well you just
> helped a sicko out. What if that's not the same Dan? It would be bad
> enough if Dan got stalked because of you, but maybe you just set up the
> wrong person, then you can feel twice as bad!
>

Oh spare me!
Dan has been posting with his call sign while selling his wares for years!
It's not my fault the FCC makes that information available to the public.
If you don't want people to know this info then don't post with your call
sign!

1669. Re: Would you really buy from Dan NOW...??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-25 18:50:10 UTC
From: cl <clfurent@Yahoo.Com>
Message-ID: <X3Z0e.56590$NC6.8278@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 14231
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Jim" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in message
news:kKCdneMuqaxYx9nfRVn-1g@centurytel.net...
>
>
> Mace wrote:
>> "Casper Milquetoast" <CasperMilquetoast@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:FvmdnWNvmJK-R6LfRVn-1Q@comcast.com...
>>
>>>I'd buy something minor from him, but only to get his address.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>> Or you could just look here.
>> http://www.qrz.com/detail/N2TYB
>
> That's really low of you! If the person meant to stalk him, well you just
> helped a sicko out. What if that's not the same Dan? It would be bad
> enough if Dan got stalked because of you, but maybe you just set up the
> wrong person, then you can feel twice as bad!
>

I disagree with your thinking. NOT that "I" am a part of this, but DAN
"does" leave his call sign in his signature at times. Your doubt of his
address being wrong on QRZ is pretty much null and void - unless of course,
he lied to the FCC when filing for a license OR QRZ made a typo. So, "IF"
someone were to want to stalk anyone who handily leaves their call sign, it
wouldn't take much to get an "actual" address. No big secret there! AND if
the QRZ web site is down, easy enough, go to the FCC web site. One way or
another, you can be found. Finding "Dan" is hardly an issue. The only
mistake which is open to make, is if he had someone answer his door for him
and the door knocker mistook him for Dan. IF Dan were to have moved, it is
"his" legal responsibility to inform the FCC of his new address, which if
done, would be put on line via their data base. It is pretty unlikely - if
he followed the rules, that he could run and hide - when leaving his call
sign floating out there.

cl

1670. Re: Would you really buy from Dan NOW...??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-25 19:56:38 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <W1_0e.85677$534.26970@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14232
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I've attempted to heed the advice of radio hobbyist, newsgroup lurkers who've e-mailed me off group and advised, for many reasons to let this thread, and other related threads die.

However, I could not leave these groups without acknowledging just how low, mean spirited, and sick some of the participants here are.

You can go back 100 years, dig up as many old posts from me as you like. Since none of you abviously have lives, you have plenty of time for that.

You can accuse me of spamming, taking advantage of new hobbyists, and any other myriad of crimes to society I've seen you idiots bring up about me in the last week or so.

But in reality, the worst thing I've ever done on these newsgroups is post FS and FA listings for equipment to scanner or radio newsgroups, one of them being a swap group anyway. And, yes, I've crossposted as well, OK? Asked, answered, I admitted the infraction, and rest assured, you will see NO posts from me ever agin, let alone FS or FA.
(That proclamation should make you all very happy, but then, who would you pick on, or BITCH about?)

If I was as bad as you've all convinced yourselves I am, my eBay feebdack would be a horror show, and you would have dug up many newsgroups posts complaining about me.

In reality, there are many Good Guy Alert postings, which I notice you newsgroup detectives conveniently left off your assault against me.

I will quote from an e-mail sent by a trusted radio hobbyist with regard to these newsgroups...

"Dan, why are you wasting your time with an area of the internet that has seen it's day, and is a wasteland for idiots, boobs, and morons. Troublemakers who always remain anonymous?"

Truer words were never spoken.

I've attempted to let this die, but mean spirited morons want to now publicly discuss ways to obtain my address. Wow. Your hatred runs deep boys and girls. I pity you.

If any of you brave men or women, as a result of your inquiry into my address really want to meet with me face to face to discuss these matters, I have no problem with that at all.

If not, can we let this die now? There are plenty of other folks spamming, cross posting, and selling items on your beloved groups as we speak.

Go pick on them for a change.

Dan

1671. Re: Would you really buy from Dan NOW...??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-25 21:24:37 UTC
From: Jim <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <NYKdndZECJMFHNnfRVn-3Q@centurytel.net>
Source record: 14233
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Dan Conti wrote:
> I've attempted to heed the advice of radio hobbyist, newsgroup lurkers
> who've e-mailed me off group and advised, for many reasons to let this
> thread, and other related threads die.
>
> However, I could not leave these groups without acknowledging just how
> low, mean spirited, and sick some of the participants here are.
>
> You can go back 100 years, dig up as many old posts from me as you like.
> Since none of you abviously have lives, you have plenty of time for that.
>
> You can accuse me of spamming, taking advantage of new hobbyists, and
> any other myriad of crimes to society I've seen you idiots bring up
> about me in the last week or so.
>
> But in reality, the worst thing I've ever done on these newsgroups is
> post FS and FA listings for equipment to scanner or radio newsgroups,
> one of them being a swap group anyway. And, yes, I've crossposted as
> well, OK? Asked, answered, I admitted the infraction, and rest assured,
> you will see NO posts from me ever agin, let alone FS or FA.
> (That proclamation should make you all very happy, but then, who would
> you pick on, or BITCH about?)
>
> If I was as bad as you've all convinced yourselves I am, my eBay
> feebdack would be a horror show, and you would have dug up many
> newsgroups posts complaining about me.

Don't paint everybody with that brush Dan!

> In reality, there are many Good Guy Alert postings, which I notice you
> newsgroup detectives conveniently left off your assault against me.
>
> I will quote from an e-mail sent by a trusted radio hobbyist with regard
> to these newsgroups...
>
> "Dan, why are you wasting your time with an area of the internet that
> has seen it's day, and is a wasteland for idiots, boobs, and morons.
> Troublemakers who always remain anonymous?"
>
> Truer words were never spoken.
>
> I've attempted to let this die, but mean spirited morons want to now
> publicly discuss ways to obtain my address. Wow. Your hatred runs deep
> boys and girls. I pity you.

>
>
> If any of you brave men or women, as a result of your inquiry into my
> address really want to meet with me face to face to discuss these
> matters, I have no problem with that at all.
>
> If not, can we let this die now? There are plenty of other folks
> spamming, cross posting, and selling items on your beloved groups as we
> speak.
>
> Go pick on them for a change.
>
> Dan

I see no reason that you shouldn't post anything, heck I don't see anything wrong with posting FS or FA as long as they are in the header that way.
The only thing I said to you was even if somebody ratted you out to Ebay is no reason to turn into an ass and snitch everybody else out. I understand that you were upset and maybe spoke before you thought it through. Even if you don't post FS or FA stuff, you still should post if you felt like sharing your knowledge. Don't worry about the idiots, they have always been here and they always will be here!

1672. Re: Would you really buy from Dan NOW...??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-25 22:08:53 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <VZ%0e.86197$534.26849@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 14234
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

> I see no reason that you shouldn't post anything, heck I don't see
> anything wrong with posting FS or FA as long as they are in the header
> that way.
> The only thing I said to you was even if somebody ratted you out to
> Ebay is no reason to turn into an ass and snitch everybody else out. I
> understand that you were upset and maybe spoke before you thought it
> through. Even if you don't post FS or FA stuff, you still should post if
> you felt like sharing your knowledge. Don't worry about the idiots, they
> have always been here and they always will be here!
>

Thank you for your insight. I made that silly threat in anger, but have not and will not do to others, as OTHERS have done to me. I believe in living and LET LIVE.

If the masses were truly annoyed by my FA and FS postings and cross postings, then I apologize. Hopefully they will also be as vigilant with others in their attemptsto "clean uo" the groups.

I apologize for the stupid and silly comments in my initial post.

I do believe that my insight and / or knowledge is no longer welcome here by those who seek to dominate these groups, and as such, I am gone.

Dan

1673. Re: A battle is brewing between the city of Wichita Falls and the l...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-25 23:05:36 UTC
From: zerg90@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4744-42449940-462@storefull-3138.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 14235

Harlingern TV4 has the story also. peter S

http://www.team4news.com/Global/story.asp?S=3120801

1674. Re: A battle is brewing between the city of Wichita Falls and the local media

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-26 01:22:58 UTC
From: 7597243@skytel.com
Message-ID: <ON6dncMfUebtJNnfRVn-pg@comcast.com>
Source record: 14236

No it doesn't. Try walking around with a pistol in open view, while not having a carry permit. The Constitution does not allow me to yell fire in a theater (The classic argument). There is no 1st Amendment issue here. Before there were scanners or tunable receivers in the police bands; newspapers, radio and TV reporters made beat calls. They called the desk Sergeant in each precinct and asked what's up? Or they camped out at the precinct. They'll just have to go back to that method.

Here is an excerpt from the ECPA
(e) (i) intentionally discloses, or endeavors to disclose, to any other person the contents of any wire, oral, or electronic communication, intercepted by means authorized by sections 2511(2)(A)(ii), 2511(b)-(c), 2511(e), 2516, and 2518 of this subchapter, (ii) knowing or having reason to know that the information was obtained through the interception of such a communication in connection with a criminal investigation, (iii) having obtained or received the information in connection with a criminal investigation, and (iv) with intent to improperly obstruct, impede, or interfere with a duly authorized criminal investigation, shall be punished as provided in subsection (4) or shall be subject to suit as provided in subsection (5).

Yeah, yeah. You'll argue that they are not interfering with an investigation. That distinction is open to the officers at the scene. TV cameras can incite riotous behavior.

Another excerpt
"(16) 'readily accessible to the
general public' means, with respect
to a radio communication, that such
communication is not---
"(A)scrambled or encrypted;
"(B)transmitted using modulation techniques whose essential parameters have been withheld from the public with the intention of preserving the privacy of such communication;....."

APCO P-25, DES, 3DES and other scrmabling techniques are not "readily" available to the public; as conventional AM, FM or TV. Only to those that seek them out or reverse engineer them. Reverse engineering is another illegal activity.
The TV station might win or they might not. Remember what happened to the couple that taped Newt Gingrich's cell phone call and then disclosed it? Arrested, tried, fined.
SO take you purple finger and keep switching it from your a** to your mouth. You'll figure it out.

1675. Re: It's time ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-26 01:23:03 UTC
From: B L R <fake_address@noemail.com>
Message-ID: <XP21e.3174$Pc.1121@tornado.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 14237
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

<wholebean@ionmail.com> wrote in message
news:1111762820.822013.136530@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> I've had scanners all of my life, but never one dedicated to trunk
> tracking. I've read reviews and familiarized myself with what's
> available, but what I really need are real-world opinions by the people
> who own them. In your view, what is the BEST unit?
>
Here's 2 cents worth of opinion:

For non-digital trunking handheld:

The PRO-95 is on sale now at Radio Shack
for $129! This represents a fantastic value. 1000 memories, alpha tags,
computer
programmable, (a must in my opinion). Great free programming software
from Don Starr at http://www.starrsoft.com/

I do not own a the new Uniden 246T (trunk tracker III), But I just read a
review in
this Months Popular Communications by Gordon West.
This thing sounds pretty sweet: Upto 1600 memories, built in
frequency counter, etc... Wow for $229.

1676. Bear Tracker 2 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-26 01:35:24 UTC
From: B L R <fake_address@noemail.com>
Message-ID: <w%21e.1004$vd.543@tornado.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 14239

Uniden BCT2

I just bought one of these second hand.
Surprisingly I can find hardly any information on the net
for this radio.

Can't find a downloadable manual, specifications or even a review!

It appears to function properly.
It picks up NOAA weather stations just fine.
On the other service searches the only thing I have heard
so far is Morse ID.

I keep getting the "red light: alert but have not heard
any voice activity except on NOAA. (I take that back
I did hear what sounded like very brief Airliner comms)

Can any one offer any insight on how to operate this radio?

Thanks

1677. Optoelectronics Scout for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-26 01:56:21 UTC
From: George Pearson <gep1073@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <o9g941tu42dpu1dr8fu5a8mr58995nojaj@4ax.com>
Source record: 14238

Hi. I'm selling my Optoelectronics Scout frequency finder on
consignment through Ham Radio Outlet. Here's the info on it, and the
web address:

138) Manufacturer: OPTOELECTRONICS
Item : C*-SCOUT MODEL 40-DEL1
Description : box, man, charger, like new, no antenna
YOUR HRO PRICE $200.00
This used or consignment item is located at
HRO Newcastle Delaware 800-644-4476
e-mail newcastle@hamradio.com
For fastest ordering call directly to the store.

http://www.hamradio.com/cgi-bin/uncgi/used?

You can also email Bob directly at HRO in Delaware.
His address is ws1del@hro.net

Thanks,
George

1678. Re: It's time ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-26 02:13:40 UTC
From: Nicik Name <orbits@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <oz31e.4009$z.2498@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 14240
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

<wholebean@ionmail.com> wrote in message
news:1111762820.822013.136530@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> I've had scanners all of my life, but never one dedicated to trunk
> tracking. I've read reviews and familiarized myself with what's
> available, but what I really need are real-world opinions by the people
> who own them. In your view, what is the BEST unit?
BC246T
>

1679. Re: [OT]: Why can't US catch OBL?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-26 04:21:41 UTC
From: Mike Burch <mburch46@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <lr51e.19876$fn3.3280@attbi_s01>
Source record: 14241

Then we should send back all the pakistani's who have parked their respective asses over here, as a peaceful protest only of course. I know they are really helping out a lot here but it will be ok and we'll get over it. Cough up Osama or all (and we do mean all!) your relatives are on the next flight back so get the spare huts ready. That would teach em. :-).

Sarah Czepiel wrote:

> Reliable sources say he's in Pakistan. The Paki's won't give the US
> permission to go get him.

1680. software for bc246t

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-26 06:00:57 UTC
From: Spuds <123@abc.com>
Message-ID: <tdu9415dfuo2isrgl4ihqtmm0bo4a1vtl6@4ax.com>
Source record: 14242

I would like to have a registered copy of both arc246t and bc246t
uasd. I will trade my key for arc246 for someone else's 246uasd
reply and well set up a way to exchange
thanks

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

1681. Uniden Bearcat ubc245xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-26 07:53:25 UTC
From: David Richardson <richardson_computers@bigpond.com>
Message-ID: <Vx81e.12520$C7.4734@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 14243
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Doesany one know where i can get the interface cable for a Uniden UBC245-xlt scanner, or maybe some one knows the pin outs of this interface cable..

1682. Re: software for bc246t

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-26 10:40:46 UTC
From: "news.vif.com" <root@NoReply-AntiSpam.com>
Message-ID: <1111833623.891331@www.vif.com>
Source record: 14244

TROLL! Pay for it! & your not anonymous 'i'll find you in heart beat'
PIRATE!

Spuds wrote:
> I would like to have a registered copy of both arc246t and bc246t
> uasd. I will trade my key for arc246 for someone else's 246uasd
> reply and well set up a way to exchange
> thanks
>
>
> -----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
> ---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

1683. Re: WARNING SCAMMER EBAYER Dan Conti

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-26 11:45:20 UTC
From: Jim <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <-d6dnSsK163P1tjfRVn-tA@centurytel.net>
Source record: 14245
Cross-posted to: alt.marketing.online.ebay,rec.radio.scanner

nospam@nospam.org wrote:

> On Sat, 26 Mar 2005 07:27:54 +0000 (UTC), in alt.marketing.online.ebay "Tight
> Guard Securityï¿½" <info@carsecurityI.co.uk> wrote:
>
>
>>"SIlly Sam" <gewart@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>news:0MOdnVv-q-jGStnfRVn-hQ@centurytel.net...
>>
>>>I must be Silly to believe that EBAY and Paypal protects the customers.
>>>I have spent hours on EBAY and Paypal trying to get through their
>>>protection of the scammers. They do protect them well and provide no way
>>>to get any results.
>>
>>Your 100% I told eBay about someone shilling, about aweek ago just a stupid
>>auto response. I know of 5 ppl that also reported this seller and he still
>>managed to sell al his stuff.
>>
>
>
>
> He is still hawking his stolen goods on Usenet too.

Dry up and go away you old fart!

1684. Re: Rip off Power Sellers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-26 11:52:18 UTC
From: Andrew Heenan <andrew@heenan73.wanadoo.co.uk>
Message-ID: <d23idukjo1@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 14246
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.marketing.online.ebay,uk.people.consumers.ebay

Thanks to all for this thread which has enabled me to identify and block a huge number of cross-posting turds.

A

PS - crossposted to all participating groups. Except the one I read ;o)

1685. Re: Rip off Power Sellers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-26 11:59:19 UTC
From: Steve Antony Williams <drstevew@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <r8c1e.202$n57.181@newsfe1-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 14247
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.marketing.online.ebay,uk.people.consumers.ebay

"Andrew Heenan" <andrew@heenan73.wanadoo.co.uk> wrote in message
news:d23idukjo1@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk...
> Thanks to all for this thread which has enabled me to identify and block a
> huge number of cross-posting turds.
>
> A
>
> PS - crossposted to all participating groups. Except the one I read ;o)
>
>

Lol

DrSteveW

1686. Re: WARNING SCAMMER EBAYER Dan Conti

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-26 13:48:36 UTC
From: Mike Burch <mburch46@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <UKd1e.106690$Ze3.71302@attbi_s51>
Source record: 14248
Cross-posted to: alt.marketing.online.ebay,rec.radio.scanner

Ya know if your going to talk s... about anyone like at least have the
guts to put your real identity out there. Your spineless.

nospam@nospam.org wrote:
> On Sat, 26 Mar 2005 07:27:54 +0000 (UTC), in alt.marketing.online.ebay "Tight
> Guard Securityï¿½" <info@carsecurityI.co.uk> wrote:
>
>
>>"SIlly Sam" <gewart@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>news:0MOdnVv-q-jGStnfRVn-hQ@centurytel.net...
>>
>>>I must be Silly to believe that EBAY and Paypal protects the customers.
>>>I have spent hours on EBAY and Paypal trying to get through their
>>>protection of the scammers. They do protect them well and provide no way
>>>to get any results.
>>
>>Your 100% I told eBay about someone shilling, about aweek ago just a stupid
>>auto response. I know of 5 ppl that also reported this seller and he still
>>managed to sell al his stuff.
>>
>
>
>
> He is still hawking his stolen goods on Usenet too.

1687. Re: A battle is brewing between the city of Wichita Falls and the local media

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-26 21:36:50 UTC
From: NewsDTNN@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1111873010.242660.295350@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14249

Ok...here's a random thought for that media outlet...if anyone wants to
forward it to them...

Find out what type of system it is, and BUY RADIOS FOR THE SYSTEM. When
my city went encrypted, I went out and got a Motorola XTS3000 and
progged it for the local system. My radio worked just fine.

Must be a small market TV station if they didn't think of this.

7597243@skytel.com wrote:
> No it doesn't. Try walking around with a pistol in open view, while
not
> having a carry permit. The Constitution does not allow me to yell
fire in a
> theater (The classic argument). There is no 1st Amendment issue
here.
> Before there were scanners or tunable receivers in the police bands;
> newspapers, radio and TV reporters made beat calls. They called the
desk
> Sergeant in each precinct and asked what's up? Or they camped out at
the
> precinct. They'll just have to go back to that method.
>
> Here is an excerpt from the ECPA
> (e) (i) intentionally discloses, or endeavors to disclose, to any
other
> person the contents of any wire, oral, or electronic communication,
> intercepted by means authorized by sections 2511(2)(A)(ii),
2511(b)-(c),
> 2511(e), 2516, and 2518 of this subchapter, (ii) knowing or having
reason to
> know that the information was obtained through the interception of
such a
> communication in connection with a criminal investigation, (iii)
having
> obtained or received the information in connection with a criminal
> investigation, and (iv) with intent to improperly obstruct, impede,
or
> interfere with a duly authorized criminal investigation, shall be
punished
> as provided in subsection (4) or shall be subject to suit as provided
in
> subsection (5).
>
> Yeah, yeah. You'll argue that they are not interfering with an

> investigation. That distinction is open to the officers at the
scene. TV
> cameras can incite riotous behavior.
>
> Another excerpt
> "(16) 'readily accessible to the
> general public' means, with respect
> to a radio communication, that such
> communication is not---
> "(A)scrambled or encrypted;
> "(B)transmitted using modulation techniques whose essential
parameters
> have been withheld from the public with the intention of preserving
the
> privacy of such communication;....."
>
> APCO P-25, DES, 3DES and other scrmabling techniques are not
"readily"
> available to the public; as conventional AM, FM or TV. Only to those
that
> seek them out or reverse engineer them. Reverse engineering is
another
> illegal activity.
> The TV station might win or they might not. Remember what happened
to the
> couple that taped Newt Gingrich's cell phone call and then disclosed
it?
> Arrested, tried, fined.
> SO take you purple finger and keep switching it from your a** to your
mouth.
> You'll figure it out.

1688. Re: WARNING SCAMMER EBAYER Dan Conti

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-26 21:50:14 UTC
From: Exclusive <cliverJohnson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <d24lh2$e2t$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 14250
Cross-posted to: alt.marketing.online.ebay,rec.radio.scanner

Thanks for the HEads Up! Sure Appreciate it.

1689. Re: Would you really buy from Dan NOW...??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-26 23:04:50 UTC
From: Jeff <oldog@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <mUl1e.6814$NW5.5319@attbi_s02>
Source record: 14051
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.markting.online.ebay

<nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message news:odh941l7v23ugruump0c64q59k7h78n4ms@4ax.com...

> >
> >I apologize for the stupid and silly comments in my initial post.
> >
> >I do believe that my insight and / or knowledge is no longer welcome
> >here by those who seek to dominate these groups, and as such, I am gone.
> >
> >Dan
>
>
> Three years ago you said the same thing and here you are still spamming Usenet
> with your stolen electronic goods.

" Stolen" electronic goods???? You're a real brave soul for coming
up with that one. I see you're a real brave soul for posting your real
internet address and name. I could even say you're a real asshole,,
but I guess I wont . Ive been on usenet before there was a WWW and
have seen all kinds and have seen it degenerate down to pinheads like
you on these groups and it is truly sad. I dont usually block people but
in your case I believe I will, since you have nothing to offer but BS.

Jeff

1690. Re: software for bc246t

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-27 00:15:42 UTC
From: "news.vif.com" <root@NoReply-AntiSpam.com>
Message-ID: <1111882520.437451@www.vif.com>
Source record: 14052

TROLL! AOL assholes online member!

1691. Re: A battle is brewing between the city of Wichita Falls and the local media

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-27 00:44:35 UTC
From: "M.S." <notvalid@email.add>
Message-ID: <%ln1e.105$w4.27023@sooner.brightok.net>
Source record: 14053

This is ENCRYPTED, not just digital audio. For encryption you have to have the user's system encryption keys, which it isn't likely you'll get. If it was as easy as you say, we'd all be monitoring the FBI, DEA, Secret Service, etc.

M

<NewsDTNN@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1111873010.242660.295350@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Ok...here's a random thought for that media outlet...if anyone wants to
> forward it to them...
>
>
> Find out what type of system it is, and BUY RADIOS FOR THE SYSTEM. When
> my city went encrypted, I went out and got a Motorola XTS3000 and
> progged it for the local system. My radio worked just fine.
>
> Must be a small market TV station if they didn't think of this.
>
> 7597243@skytel.com wrote:
>> No it doesn't. Try walking around with a pistol in open view, while
> not
>> having a carry permit. The Constitution does not allow me to yell
> fire in a
>> theater (The classic argument). There is no 1st Amendment issue
> here.
>> Before there were scanners or tunable receivers in the police bands;
>> newspapers, radio and TV reporters made beat calls. They called the
> desk
>> Sergeant in each precinct and asked what's up? Or they camped out at
> the
>> precinct. They'll just have to go back to that method.
>>
>> Here is an excerpt from the ECPA
>> (e) (i) intentionally discloses, or endeavors to disclose, to any
> other
>> person the contents of any wire, oral, or electronic communication,
>> intercepted by means authorized by sections 2511(2)(A)(ii),
> 2511(b)-(c),
>> 2511(e), 2516, and 2518 of this subchapter, (ii) knowing or having
> reason to
>> know that the information was obtained through the interception of
> such a
>> communication in connection with a criminal investigation, (iii)
> having

>> obtained or received the information in connection with a criminal
>> investigation, and (iv) with intent to improperly obstruct, impede,
> or
>> interfere with a duly authorized criminal investigation, shall be
> punished
>> as provided in subsection (4) or shall be subject to suit as provided
> in
>> subsection (5).
>>
>> Yeah, yeah. You'll argue that they are not interfering with an
>> investigation. That distinction is open to the officers at the
> scene. TV
>> cameras can incite riotous behavior.
>>
>> Another excerpt
>> "(16) 'readily accessible to the
>> general public' means, with respect
>> to a radio communication, that such
>> communication is not---
>> "(A)scrambled or encrypted;
>> "(B)transmitted using modulation techniques whose essential
> parameters
>> have been withheld from the public with the intention of preserving
> the
>> privacy of such communication;....."
>>
>> APCO P-25, DES, 3DES and other scrmabling techniques are not
> "readily"
>> available to the public; as conventional AM, FM or TV. Only to those
> that
>> seek them out or reverse engineer them. Reverse engineering is
> another
>> illegal activity.
>> The TV station might win or they might not. Remember what happened
> to the
>> couple that taped Newt Gingrich's cell phone call and then disclosed
> it?
>> Arrested, tried, fined.
>> SO take you purple finger and keep switching it from your a** to your
> mouth.
>> You'll figure it out.
>

1692. Re: Rip off Power Sellers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-27 01:42:03 UTC
From: Ruzinthra the Ruki <ruzinthra@ruki.com>
Message-ID: <oo3c4112tr85qqost94668nlrkq641dc1m@4ax.com>
Source record: 14054
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.marketing.online.ebay,uk.people.consumers.ebay

On Sat, 26 Mar 2005 11:52:18 -0000, "Andrew Heenan"
<andrew@heenan73.wanadoo.co.uk> choked out these words:

>PS - crossposted to all participating groups. Except the one I read ;o)

no, AMOE's definitely on the list.

or are you saying you don't read AMOE, you just spam it
occasionally?

1693. Re: Some coax experitments.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-27 02:07:30 UTC
From: Mike <km6ab@REMOVETHIS.pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <Czo1e.3111$zl.1135@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 14055

Belden 1505A is not overkill and is not allowed by DIRECTV or Dish for installs, itï¿½s an RG-59 type and not known for low loss. Itï¿½s bigger brother, Belden 1694A is an RG-6 ï¿½typeï¿½ and has a bit lower loss. Both of these are precision 75 ohm cables intended for conveying 270mb digital video inside a premises and not specifically for outdoor CATV or Dish use. It also costs more than the lower loss RG-6 used for TV antenna and Dish L-band, an installer would be wasting money using this for his installs. You can buy Commscope (standard CATV stuff) RG-11 type much cheaper than 1505 or 1694 and get half the loss at 1000MHz.
Mike

r2000swler@hotmail.com wrote:
> A friend was contracted to do some wiring in a local hospital.
> They were to order Belcon 1506A as part would run through an
> air vent system. Some fool in purchasing decided to go with 1505A.
> Same cable except it ain't plenium rated. The supplier wouldn't
> take it back, and they had no storage space so he was given, as in
> legal transferr with documentation.
> The fool in purchasing lost his job.
> Must be hard to be on the street when you are 58.
>
> My friend had about as much use for this cable as I do a poke in the
> eye. So he gave it to me. This is GOOD, very good cable loss at 1500MHz
>
> is only 9.3dB/100'. Last weekend we helped a mutual handicaped friend
> put up a better scanner and SW antenna. We used a laptop,my PRC1000 and
> compared the 1506A with standard and low loss 50 Ohm coaxes. Pretty
> day,
> good fun. While we climbed like monkeys he fixed on hell of a supper.
> First cookout of the new year and the food was GREAT!
>
> With an NIB older radioshack diskcone, the one without the vertical
> stinger, and a pasternack PL-259 to "F" female adaptor we tried some
> tests. The 1505A was better, lower loss and greater noise rejection,
> then any other cable we tried. Just for grins I used 50' of 50 Ohm
> Heliax and while it was slightly better, heliax is are and expensive.
> We also tried some of Beldons normal CATV coax and it was at least
> as good as the 50 ohm and was a lot cheaper. His coax run is 50' from
> the antenna to the ground (AKA "static" block. With a ~10 run to his
> radio desk. He lives about 20 miles from Lexington KY, so we used
> distant
> (>50 miles)NOAA stations and the UKPD/LFFFUCG fire trunking system.
> This isn't a state of the art installation. My friend isn't interested
> in trying to receive weak distant signals. HE just wants to keep up

> with
> his old comrades. We could listen to PD/FR and aero comms from a 50
> mile
> radius. He is tickled pink. Perhaps his ineterest will grow. And
> perhaps not.
>
> The foil and copper braid offer much better shielding, going from 96%
> for braid, to to 100% for braid foil. This helps keep noise from your
> computers from entering the signal path after the coax is in your radio
>
> room. I have an older Contura 40MHz? laptop that the LCD screen is
> NASTY.
> I mainly use it for my DeLorme GPS but it will control my PRC1000 very
> nicely. We learned that routing of coax can be more important then most
>
> radio users think. By passing the recieve coax across the front of the
> LCD screen , standard braid only coax started letting RF noise into the
> receiver.
> I used a "bunch"(10 on each side) of ferrite beads to keep the RF from
> traveling up the braid's outer surface. Without the beads, even with
> the
> coax well away from the PC, we got a few slight birdies. This even
> happened with the heliax.
>
> For SW CATV worked as well as any other cable I have ever tried. Our
> friend
> is on a limited income, long term disability insurance, and it was nice
> to be
> able to do a first class install without breaking his piggy bank. While
> 1505A is clearly overkill it works very nice. This left more money for
> his radios.
> I have loaned him my PCR1000 untill his radios arrive. And it was nice
> to use
> a TV A/B switch to allow him to change from the diskcone to the 100'
> longwire. And yea the 100' longwire overloads the PCR1000 on HF, but a
> simple el-cheapo radioshack varaible attenuator, with F in and out
> takes care of that. And yes
> a 100' wire beats a diskcone for HF reception. Period!
>
> I think the average SWL and scanner user is missing a bet by not
> investigating
> CATV coax and "F" fittings. There are good weather proof male "F"
> fittings
> that are much easier, and cheaper!, to make good connections with then
> BNC.
> Good CATV coax is much less expensive then similar 50 Ohm stuff because
> they
> make so much more of the CATV cable. Ground blocks are inexpensive and
> will
> allow one to easily meet the NEC requirement to ground the outer

> conductor on
> coax. And this stuff is made to stand up to bad weatehr. Cable TV
> companies and
> Direct TV want their installs to be as trouble free as they can make
> them.
> If you talk with your local cable installer you can often get odd
> lengths of
> CATV for the taking. I admit usng the 1505A was overkill , but why not,
> we ahd it.
> Terry
>

1694. Re: software for bc246t

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-27 02:37:32 UTC
From: Spuds <123@abc.com>
Message-ID: <gc6c41l1j27inoefcfinfj0gm6s4lupqh6@4ax.com>
Source record: 14056

On Sat, 26 Mar 2005 19:15:42 -0500, "news.vif.com"
<root@NoReply-AntiSpam.com> wrote:

>TROLL! AOL assholes online member!
There is nothing wrong with using AOL for an Internet provider. Looks
like you are the ass hole troll. what difference does it make to you?
What ever happened to live and let live? Coward Bitch. What do you
have to hide. BTW tell me who I am. Go ahead tell my where I live ,
where I live. You are so smart TELL ME WHAT I HAD FOR DINNER. I'm not
trying to hide. I use the only news server I can. I do not have my
real addy posted because of spam email harvesters. Now I am trolling
for trolls. Are you going to bite TROLL. Good, Now shut the F_ck up!

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1695. The Greatest News Ever!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-27 04:46:30 UTC
From: Ron_Grossi_038@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1111898790.213617.323750@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14057

http://www.jcil.blogspot.com << The Greatest News Ever!

1696. Can I find Radio Shack Scanner Manuel online? www.radioshack.com - See Inside:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-27 06:09:09 UTC
From: moviemad <moviemad@theatre.com>
Message-ID: <96s1e.25827$b_6.1188@trnddc01>
Source record: 14058

Radio Shack, at least, has done an excellent job of placing their manuals online. I don't much care for RS, but will have to give credit where do. I even found a manual to a 20 year old phone there!

--------------= Posted using GrabIt =----------------
------= Binary Usenet downloading made easy =---------
-= Get GrabIt for free from http://www.shemes.com/ =-

1697. service info 200xlt needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-27 06:24:45 UTC
From: carl wesser <wesser@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <114ckdkqlam9q84@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 14059

we have a bc200XLT with the following problem::::::::::::::::::
after programing it , not every time, but if you turn it off you must turn
it on/off several times before it shows on screen, and when it does IT HAS
LOST its memory..
thank you..
carl / dee
alabama
ggggggggggggggggggggggggggggggggggggggggggggggggg

1698. Re: software for bc246t

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-27 10:07:03 UTC
From: "news.vif.com" <root@NoReply-AntiSpam.com>
Message-ID: <1111918002.646798@www.vif.com>
Source record: 14060

helpful suggestion: SAVE YOUR PENNIES AND GET A REAL FUCKEN ISP

Spuds wrote:

> On Sat, 26 Mar 2005 19:15:42 -0500, "news.vif.com"
> <root@NoReply-AntiSpam.com> wrote:
>
>
>>TROLL! AOL assholes online member!
>
> There is nothing wrong with using AOL for an Internet provider. Looks
> like you are the ass hole troll. what difference does it make to you?
> What ever happened to live and let live? Coward Bitch. What do you
> have to hide. BTW tell me who I am. Go ahead tell my where I live ,
Excuse me but am not the coward bitch that hides behind a anonymous
newserver. Stop and think about it (what you have to hide) why
don't you use your ISP or AOL newsgroup server.

BTW: Dipshit! am not stupid i know the ropes, why am system adminstrator

> where I live. You are so smart TELL ME WHAT I HAD FOR DINNER. I'm not
> trying to hide. I use the only news server I can. I do not have my
> real addy posted because of spam email harvesters. Now I am trolling
> for trolls. Are you going to bite TROLL. Good, Now shut the F_ck up!
>
> ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

--

1699. Re: service info 200xlt needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-27 11:17:08 UTC
From: mikeFNB <mikefnb@moc.dlrowltn>
Message-ID: <UCw1e.1504$IA5.634@newsfe1-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 14061

memory cap needs replacing

mike

"carl wesser" <wesser@hiwaay.net> wrote in message
news:114ckdkqlam9q84@corp.supernews.com...
> we have a bc200XLT with the following problem::::::::::::::::::
> after programing it , not every time, but if you turn it off you must turn
> it on/off several times before it shows on screen, and when it does IT HAS
> LOST its memory..
> thank you..
> carl / dee
> alabama
> gggggggggggggggggggggggggggggggggggggggggggggggggg
>

1700. Re: PRO-95 Interface cable...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-27 17:25:44 UTC
From: Eric Ferguson <geferg@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <s0C1e.11789$ZB6.5661@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 14062
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

For my Pro-95, I use the cable for my Yaesu VR-500, and a stereo to mono adapter. Works perfectly. Learned this on the web over a year ago. As to pin-outs, never did figure them out. Maybe I should find my old ohm meter.

What I need now is a USB to VR-500/Pro-95. Any ideas?

1701. Re: service info 200xlt needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-27 17:56:55 UTC
From: 7597243@skytel.com
Message-ID: <M7qdnb1FGMB5btvfRVn-2g@comcast.com>
Source record: 14063

Uniden Service Center in Texas still supports them. I had a keypad replaced
a couple years ago. There is a link from Uniden.com to customer service.
http://uniden.custhelp.com/cgi-bin/uniden.cfg/php/enduser/entry.php
Fill out the form, system generates an RMA number and form to print. Send
off for repair.
good luck.

1702. Re: service info 200xlt needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-28 01:21:32 UTC
From: carl wesser <wesser@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <114en1918pbf715@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 14064

thank you all
carl.....
xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
"carl wesser" <wesser@hiwaay.net> wrote in message
news:114ckdkqlam9q84@corp.supernews.com...
> we have a bc200XLT with the following problem::::::::::::::::::
> after programing it , not every time, but if you turn it off you must turn
> it on/off several times before it shows on screen, and when it does IT HAS
> LOST its memory..
> thank you..
> carl / dee
> alabama
> gggggggggggggggggggggggggggggggggggggggggggggggg
>

1703. Re: software for bc246t

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-28 05:18:08 UTC
From: Spuds <123@abc.com>
Message-ID: <q82f41ln849binjen3ugqlg2fkcseg1hcb@4ax.com>
Source record: 14065

On Sun, 27 Mar 2005 05:07:03 -0500, "news.vif.com"
<root@NoReply-AntiSpam.com> wrote:

Canadian Isp? Real.....I don't think so. Knowing what I know now,
COWARD BITCH really applies. EH!!!

I gots me a Canadian troll on the line ...lets see how big a fight he
puts up. nothin like a little stink bait to hook a troll. do not fear
I practice catch and release.

>helpful suggestion: SAVE YOUR PENNIES AND GET A REAL FUCKEN ISP
>
>Spuds wrote:
>
>> On Sat, 26 Mar 2005 19:15:42 -0500, "news.vif.com"
>> <root@NoReply-AntiSpam.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>TROLL! AOL assholes online member!
>>
>> There is nothing wrong with using AOL for an Internet provider. Looks
>> like you are the ass hole troll. what difference does it make to you?
>> What ever happened to live and let live? Coward Bitch. What do you
>> have to hide. BTW tell me who I am. Go ahead tell my where I live ,
>Excuse me but am not the coward bitch that hides behind a anonymous
>newserver. Stop and think about it (what you have to hide) why
>don't you use your ISP or AOL newsgroup server.
>
>
>BTW: Dipshit! am not stupid i know the ropes, why am system adminstrator
Me am uh system administrator BFD. I no stuped hahahahah

>> where I live. You are so smart TELL ME WHAT I HAD FOR DINNER. I'm not
>> trying to hide. I use the only news server I can. I do not have my
>> real addy posted because of spam email harvesters. Now I am trolling
>> for trolls. Are you going to bite TROLL. Good, Now shut the F_ck up!
You still didn't tell me who I am, where I live or what I had for
dinner last night. Hell I'm a Canadian too, I too use Bell Canada NOT
Mr. Systum Adminastrater

SET HOOK & reel in. I hope it turns out to be a 200 pound canuck. That
would be a nice wall hanger.
NOTE to self catch & release

allo news.vif.com
come see wee wee

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1704. Re: A battle is brewing between the city of Wichita Falls and the local media

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-28 08:48:09 UTC
From: "Ralph A. Schmid, DK5RAS" <nospam@radio-link.net>
Message-ID: <25hf41pvql5j0hk2sdg8fv86997r3t1cl4@4ax.com>
Source record: 14066

7597243@skytel.com wrote:

>APCO P-25, DES, 3DES and other scrmabling techniques are not "readily"
>available to the public;

This is not true, they are. But this does not make it easier to obtain
the proper code to decrypt the messages :)

regards - Ralph

--

Want to get in touch? http://www.radio-link.net/whereisralph.txt

1705. Re: A battle is brewing between the city of Wichita Falls and the local media

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-28 08:49:16 UTC
From: "Ralph A. Schmid, DK5RAS" <nospam@radio-link.net>
Message-ID: <n6hf4194ipldsu5kc133mt8j9qi1gckuqu@4ax.com>
Source record: 14067

NewsDTNN@gmail.com wrote:

>Find out what type of system it is, and BUY RADIOS FOR THE SYSTEM. When
>my city went encrypted, I went out and got a Motorola XTS3000 and
>progged it for the local system. My radio worked just fine.

I guess they went digital, but _not_ encrypted. The encryption is not
breakable without big efforts, or without knowing a guy who is illing
to give you the key.

regards - Ralph

--

Want to get in touch? http://www.radio-link.net/whereisralph.txt

1706. The Greatest News Ever!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-28 09:05:37 UTC
From: Ron_Grossi_038@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1112000737.926985.237680@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14068

http://www.jcil.blogspot.com << The Greatest News Ever!

1707. Re: software for bc246t

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-28 12:38:18 UTC
From: "news.vif.com" <root@NoReply-AntiSpam.com>
Message-ID: <1112013477.129490@www.vif.com>
Source record: 14069

Spuds wrote:

Oh please, i've heard all it all! your insult's are a joke!

The bottom line is just pay for the fucken software!
am sure the guy put allot time and effort in the program
--

1708. Re: Some coax experitments.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-29 01:52:18 UTC
From: r2000swler@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1112061138.841992.68230@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14070

Sorry if this doubles, but 12 hours after I post my first reply it still wasn't
up.

The 1505A was "free". My friend is on long term disabiltiy insurance. His interests are fairly limited at this time. He wants to monitor the FD
that he worked in for 20+ years and they have gone to trunking.

I would have gladly bought him the correct cable, but his is very stuffy
about charity. He is OK with helping others, but has a very difficult time accepting if from others. Between he and his wifes saving, the procceds
from the sale of ther fancy home, and money saved by movcing to a much smaller town ~20 miles away from Lexington, they get by in a fairly comfortable life style.

His brother found a NRD525? in what appears to be mint condition. His wife found a Pro2067 at a flea market for next to nothing. The Radio Shack diskcone was sitting in another friends collecting dust. He dropped out of scanning 15+ years ago.

The Belden PDF spec sheet for 1505A says that the black is suitable for exterior use. If his scanning interest grow, and the need arrises I am for
certain going to put better, as in lower loss, cable up. But for now, he has
a very effective HF and VHF/UHF monitoring station.

Apparently I didn't make my primary reason for point clear:
50 Ohm RG-59 is not the only good choice for scanning or
SWL. "F" fittings are a good and inexpensive alternative to
BNC connectors. And by using "F" "static"/ground blocks
where the coax enters the dwelling, one can meet the requirement
of the NEC to "..ground the outer conductor before the coax cable enters.."

And that a little scrounging can greatly reduce the cash outlay for a beginner, or for an experimenter. I installed a coaxial dipole using the
1505A for feedline. "F" fittings all the way to my IC28A. SWR, 1.5:1. I can bring up a usefull number of repeaters. And on my ancient Pro2004 I can hear PD/FD/PS comms out to maybe 50 miles. My friend has a general class ticket, but has been inactive for a LONG time. I am going to

do a test and see if we can reach each other simplex. I am loaning him the antenna and an acient Clegg @mTR FM rig.

Just for grins I tossed a 10mtr coaxial dipole, again fed with 1505A, and was pretty well shocked by how well it works. Talked to a mobile in GA early this afternoon. Even with near 0 sunspots 10Mtrs opens up every now and then.

Now to see if I can trade a HTX100 from a friend who has left radio for the lure of evercrack. (EverQuest) He plays 30+ hours a week, and works a 40 hour work week!

I wasn't trying to say, or claim that 1505A is great cable. At it's inflated price
it is far from a bargin. But as you point out there are other, low and very low loss RG59/RG-6 75 Ohm coax available. But for free it is one hell of a bargin. And I suspect that even you will admit that given his listening
target, and antenna, better calbe wouldn't have given him better reception.
After all, full quiting is hard to improve up on. I don't know if it is practical to think of trying to monitor trunked mobiles directly, and at his distance I doubt
if heliax would help.

Terry

1709. Re: A battle is brewing between the city of Wichita Falls and the local media

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-29 17:08:38 UTC
From: WSnipes <who_stole_my_budlight@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1112116118.576407.155570@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14071

As for decrypting, i was told that there is a schematic in either Popular Science, or Popular Mechanics that can be used to build such a device, and can be built for somewhere around $100. I haven't found this yet, but if/when i do, i'll post it, and ask anyone else to do the same.

1710. Re: A battle is brewing between the city of Wichita Falls and the local media

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-29 18:58:37 UTC
From: Me <Me@shadow.orgs>
Message-ID: <Me-15D8E4.09584229032005@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 14072

In article <733j41lh3oomcrbe00gr8jibg3e1ki16tb@4ax.com>,
Mark <me@privacy.net> wrote:

> As for decrypting true digital encrypted transmissions, I don't believe there
> is such an animal since you NEED the key. Without it, you're just guessing.
> Perhaps this box rambles through keys in a trial and error mode until it picks
> up a signal? Depending on the key size, this could take countless years to
> accomplish.

One thing to remeber is that cracking an encryption key in these fixed
key type systems, can be done with bruteforce technequecs with some ease.
Once the key has been found it is unlikely that it would be changed
as any new key would need to be programed into ALL units on the system.
Most of these PRS Systems don't use a Rolling Key Codes, as keeping
evveryone in sync is a major headache, and PITA.
Just get 50 CPU's clunking along on the same sample bitstream, and
when you get the key, don't let on to the systems folks that the
key is out.

Me cracked a pile of fixed keys over the years......

1711. Re: A battle is brewing between the city of Wichita Falls and the local media

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-29 19:56:42 UTC
From: Bill <Bill@birchnet.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <PG1mwAo3GbSCFwSg@birchnet.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 14073

In message <733j41lh3oomcrbe00gr8jibg3e1ki16tb@4ax.com>, Mark
>
>As for decrypting true digital encrypted transmissions, I don't believe there
>is such an animal since you NEED the key. Without it, you're just guessing.
>Perhaps this box rambles through keys in a trial and error mode until it picks
>up a signal? Depending on the key size, this could take countless years to
>accomplish.

Try doing a google search on "police tetra in the UK."
British police have recently gone from analogue FM to encrypted digital
and are no longer receivable by scanner users.
Where Britain goes today the Americans follow!!
--
Bill

1712. Re: A battle is brewing between the city of Wichita Falls and the local media

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-29 21:35:21 UTC
From: Bill <Bill@birchnet.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <bBwThNrjhcSCFwAs@birchnet.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 14074

In message <e6dj419gsp4hna73l360ujn670ar7vltec@4ax.com>, Mark
<me@privacy.net> writes
>On Tue, 29 Mar 2005 19:56:42 GMT, Bill <Bill@birchnet.demon.co.uk> wrote:
>
>>In message <733j41lh3oomcrbe00gr8jibg3e1ki16tb@4ax.com>, Mark
>>>
>>>As for decrypting true digital encrypted transmissions, I don't believe there
>>>is such an animal since you NEED the key. Without it, you're just guessing.
>>>Perhaps this box rambles through keys in a trial and error mode until
>>>it picks
>>>up a signal? Depending on the key size, this could take countless years to
>>>accomplish.
>>
>>Try doing a google search on "police tetra in the UK."
>>British police have recently gone from analogue FM to encrypted digital
>>and are no longer receivable by scanner users.
>>Where Britain goes today the Americans follow!!
>
>That's because we're not fools. We let you do all the grunt work and
>debugging for us. Why should we go through all that when you're willing?

Fair comment, slow down a bit though. Ours is far from debugged yet!
--
Bill

1713. Re: A battle is brewing between the city of Wichita Falls and the local media

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-29 21:48:32 UTC
From: WSnipes <who_stole_my_budlight@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1112132911.970230.137530@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14075

>>As for decrypting true digital encrypted transmissions, I don't
believe there
>>is such an animal since you NEED the key. Without it, you're just
guessing.
>>Perhaps this box rambles through keys in a trial and error mode until
it picks
>>up a signal? Depending on the key size, this could take countless
years to
>>accomplish.

Yes, I guess it's supposed to be some sort of number cruncher, scanning when a digital encrypted signal is transmitted, which isn't very often. There's not very many digital scanners in the county, like one or two, so they don't really worry about encrypting unless it's a "major operation". Maybe i misunderstood, he might have been telling me about a box to decode not decrypt, saving me about $400 and using a normal analog scanner?? I'll have to get ahold of him and clarify

1714. MI mobile scanner law updating?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-30 05:11:14 UTC
From: markbajek@aol.com
Message-ID: <1112159474.083279.204520@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14076

It appears the Michigan Legislture (house) has introduced a bill HR 4544 now in Judiciary committee that may eliminate the Michigan State Police permit provision for one and all ..

While making it illegal to use a scanner during a criminal act. and NOT asking scanner users to apply for a motor vehicle permit.

Below is a link to the new proposed statute.

http://www.legislature.mi.gov/documents/2005-2006/billintroduced/house/pdf/2005-HIB-4544.pdf

It would be nice for those interested in this subject to contact the Michigan state house of representatives and offer opinions or reactions to the proposed changes.

The Michigan house judiciary committee chairman is William Van Regenmoter.
at wmvanreg@house.mi.gov

Thanks

Mark Bajek
Westland, MI

1715. Data cables for scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-30 10:19:39 UTC
From: Paradise <Fake_email_address@dontwantspam.com>
Message-ID: <424a7d3c@clear.net.nz>
Source record: 14077

Anyone know what the deal is with data cables for Icom Yaesu and Alinco scanners? Are they all the same? I have models from all three companies and only want to buy one cable. If they are all the same would the newer USB versions work for all of them to or are they software dependant?

Cheers,

James.

1716. Re: MI mobile scanner law updating?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-30 13:51:53 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <88ednc9G1OFkM9ffRVn-iQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 14078

Thanks for the update Mark! I know you've been involved in this quest for
quite sometime. I'm sure we owe thanks to you for this outcome! I acquired
the permit from the Michigan State Police, but also felt it was unnecessary.
If this passes, it will do much for the hobby!

Bill Crocker

<markbajek@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1112159474.083279.204520@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> It appears the Michigan Legislture (house) has introduced a bill HR
> 4544 now in Judiciary committee that may eliminate the Michigan State
> Police permit provision for one and all ..
>
> While making it illegal to use a scanner during a criminal act. and NOT
> asking scanner users to apply for a motor vehicle permit.
>
> Below is a link to the new proposed statute.
>
>
> http://www.legislature.mi.gov/documents/2005-2006/billintroduced/house/pdf/2005-HIB-4544
.pdf
>
> It would be nice for those interested in this subject to contact the
> Michigan state house of representatives and offer opinions or reactions
> to the proposed changes.
>
> The Michigan house judiciary committee chairman is William Van
> Regenmoter.
> at wmvanreg@house.mi.gov
>
> Thanks
>
> Mark Bajek
> Westland, MI
>

1717. Re: MI mobile scanner law updating? Looks Good and Bad...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-30 13:55:28 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <edy2e.40$oW5.34@fe03.lga>
Source record: 14079

According to what I have read, this bill would finally legalize scanner use in motor vehicles, thus eliminating the need for a permit. The only thing that would be illegal would be the use a scanner in the commitance of a criminal act ("crime"), be it in your home, on foot, on bike, or in a motor vehicle... Unless there is something hidden within the text that I am not seeing, this actually looks to be a GOOD thing for those of us living in Michigan that want (or have) a scanner in our vehicles...

Although, the wording in once again is NOT specific enough, and would leave us scanner users open to new forms of abuse of the law... What exactly is deemed as a "crime". That could be anything...

For example, if I have my scanner in the vehicle and I get pulled over for speeding, I can be fined up to $1000, and/or spend 1 year in jail (plus the cost of the ticket) for my speeding ticket just because I have a scanner in my car? Is speeding not a crime? Sounds absurd, but just wait... The way they abuse the current law, I would not at all be surprised if this actually happened...

Looks good and bad to me. I like the proposed law, however the word "crime" needs to be replaced something more specific, and/or descriptive. Like, felony, or something similar. A "crime" can be anything; littering, J-Walking, letting your dog crap on someone's lawn and not cleaning it up (in some places anyway), etc...

<markbajek@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1112159474.083279.204520@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> It appears the Michigan Legislture (house) has introduced a bill HR
> 4544 now in Judiciary committee that may eliminate the Michigan State
> Police permit provision for one and all ..
>
> While making it illegal to use a scanner during a criminal act. and NOT
> asking scanner users to apply for a motor vehicle permit.
>
> Below is a link to the new proposed statute.
>
>
>
http://www.legislature.mi.gov/documents/2005-2006/billintroduced/house/pdf/2005-HIB-4544.pdf
>
> It would be nice for those interested in this subject to contact the
> Michigan state house of representatives and offer opinions or reactions
> to the proposed changes.
>

> The Michigan house judiciary committee chairman is William Van
> Regenmoter.
> at wmvanreg@house.mi.gov
>
> Thanks
>
> Mark Bajek
> Westland, MI
>

1718. ICOM IC-PCR100 DECODE FILTERS CW USB SSB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-30 14:06:24 UTC
From: n/d n/d <jfdebellerive@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <q5y2e.8962$w63.804564@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 14080

Hello
I have a radio ICOM IC-PCR100. I have only the modes FM, WFM and AM. I wish to use modes SSB, CW and USB. Could you tell me if there is a software or a decoder than can do it.
Thank you.
JF

1719. Re: MI mobile scanner law updating?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-30 15:09:23 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <wiz2e.53$Yu6.10@fe03.lga>
Source record: 14081

While it will make things a lot better for those of us that want to have our scanners mobile, the wording of the proposed changes still leaves the law wide-open to abuse. The only difference now is that it will effect EVERYONE, not just those that want to go mobile...

Who defines what a "crime" is? The same officers that abuse the current law, that's who. Like I stated in my own reply to this posting, the word "crime" needs either a detailed definition added to the law, or needs to be changed to a more descriptive and straight forward word, like "felony", etc. A "crime" can be anything; from the simplest little speeding ticket or littering, all the way up to murder.

I know I don't want to be fine up to $1000 or imprisoned for up to 1 year, or both, for speeding 5 miles-an-hour over the speed limit in a car that posses a scanner...

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message news:88ednc9G1OFkM9ffRVn-iQ@comcast.com...
> Thanks for the update Mark! I know you've been involved in this quest for
> quite sometime. I'm sure we owe thanks to you for this outcome! I acquired
> the permit from the Michigan State Police, but also felt it was unnecessary.
> If this passes, it will do much for the hobby!
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
> <markbajek@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1112159474.083279.204520@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> > It appears the Michigan Legislture (house) has introduced a bill HR
> > 4544 now in Judiciary committee that may eliminate the Michigan State
> > Police permit provision for one and all ..
> >
> > While making it illegal to use a scanner during a criminal act. and NOT
> > asking scanner users to apply for a motor vehicle permit.
> >
> > Below is a link to the new proposed statute.
> >
> >
> >
http://www.legislature.mi.gov/documents/2005-2006/billintroduced/house/pdf/2005-HIB-4544.pdf
> >
> > It would be nice for those interested in this subject to contact the

> > Michigan state house of representatives and offer opinions or reactions

> > to the proposed changes.
> >
> > The Michigan house judiciary committee chairman is William Van
> > Regenmoter.
> > at wmvanreg@house.mi.gov
> >
> > Thanks
> >
> > Mark Bajek
> > Westland, MI
> >
>
>

1720. Re: MI mobile scanner law updating? Looks Good and Bad...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-30 15:17:21 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <5YCdndqYjJ2cXtffRVn-uw@comcast.com>
Source record: 14082

In the U.S., contrary to popular belief, speeding is not a "criminal act". It is an infraction. The final bill will most likely spell out "criminal act", as a felony.

Bill Crocker

"PowerHouse Communications" <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote in message news:edy2e.40$oW5.34@fe03.lga...
> According to what I have read, this bill would finally legalize scanner
> use
> in motor vehicles, thus eliminating the need for a permit. The only thing
> that would be illegal would be the use a scanner in the commitance of a
> criminal act ("crime"), be it in your home, on foot, on bike, or in a
> motor
> vehicle... Unless there is something hidden within the text that I am not
> seeing, this actually looks to be a GOOD thing for those of us living in
> Michigan that want (or have) a scanner in our vehicles...
>
> Although, the wording in once again is NOT specific enough, and would
> leave
> us scanner users open to new forms of abuse of the law... What exactly is
> deemed as a "crime". That could be anything...
>
> For example, if I have my scanner in the vehicle and I get pulled over for
> speeding, I can be fined up to $1000, and/or spend 1 year in jail (plus
> the
> cost of the ticket) for my speeding ticket just because I have a scanner
> in
> my car? Is speeding not a crime? Sounds absurd, but just wait... The way
> they abuse the current law, I would not at all be surprised if this
> actually
> happened...
>
> Looks good and bad to me. I like the proposed law, however the word
> "crime"
> needs to be replaced something more specific, and/or descriptive. Like,
> felony, or something similar. A "crime" can be anything; littering,
> J-Walking, letting your dog crap on someone's lawn and not cleaning it up
> (in some places anyway), etc...
>
[clipped]

1721. Re: ICOM IC-PCR100 DECODE FILTERS CW USB SSB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-30 16:02:47 UTC
From: r2000swler@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1112198567.862986.187020@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14083

Nope. the hardware just isn't there for the radio to do those modes.
You need to add aBFO and a product detector.
Not hard in and of themselves, but given the small size of hte PCR100,
I bet it will be a interesting challenge.
You should have went for the PCR1000. You only wouldhave lost FM
stereo.

Underwealmed owner of a PCR1000 with DSP.

Terry

1722. Re: ICOM IC-PCR100 DECODE FILTERS CW USB SSB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-30 16:24:46 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com>
Message-ID: <ipA2e.11648$S46.3289@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 14084

My PCR-1000 does stereo FM just fine...

Not under OR overwhelmed owner of PCR-1000 with DSP ;~)

<r2000swler@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1112198567.862986.187020@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Nope. the hardware just isn't there for the radio to do those modes.
> You need to add aBFO and a product detector.
> Not hard in and of themselves, but given the small size of hte PCR100,
> I bet it will be a interesting challenge.
> You should have went for the PCR1000. You only wouldhave lost FM
> stereo.
>
> Underwealmed owner of a PCR1000 with DSP.
>
> Terry
>

1723. Re: A battle is brewing between the city of Wichita Falls and the local media

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-30 17:39:33 UTC
From: Me <Me@shadow.orgs>
Message-ID: <Me-826C07.08394030032005@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 14085

In article <18dj41dgdov4uqldij45jnsvnkqj36fhtp@4ax.com>,
Mark <me@privacy.net> wrote:

> I would think that any encrypted system out there today is nearly impossible
> to crack since it can only be done via brute force (ie - guessing) and the
> compute power required just isn't accessible to nearly everyone. Even the 50
> cpu example you cite, is insignificant if they use a 4096 key (which certainly
> is not unreasonable).

very few voice encryption systems use more than a 128 bit key. with
bruteforce attack a 128 bit key is certainly doable with a 50 cpu
distributed attack. should take about two weeks......

Me been there, done that.......

1724. Re: ICOM IC-PCR100 DECODE FILTERS CW USB SSB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-30 20:16:10 UTC
From: r2000swler@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1112213770.267697.180830@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14086

The Icom web page doesn't list FM stereo in the specs.
The owners manuyl does list FM stero in the specs and the
diagram doesn't show a MPX decoder/
And my PRC1000 only has one audio line, as determined
by moving a single shunt to change from spkear ot line for the
AF output.

You might want to check yours again, I suspect you will find
that it, like all the other PCR1000s dosen't have FM stero.
A simple test is to use a stero headset. I bet you only have
audio in the left side.

Sorry I can't spell all that well under good circumstances, but
today I am down with the flu and the cough syryp is a little too
strong.

Terry

1725. FS - AOR AR8200 MKIIB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-30 20:23:45 UTC
From: John Schneider <thecop@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <mVD2e.9888$oL6.2676@fe12.lga>
Source record: 14088

The radio is in great condition (9 out of 10 due to insignificant scratch on display crystal, bottom right side and less than 1/4"...does not interfere with display). I have placed clear shipping tape over the display to further protect it.

The radio works as new and is guaranteed to NOT be DOA. It comes with the original manual and a copy of same in a binder. It also comes with the original power supply, re-chargeable batteries, a hard leather case, a telescopic antenna and a "Scanner Stand". It does not have the MW bar antenna (said to be ineffective anyway, although still available from AOR USA).

$350.00 + shipping or will trade for a GOOD shortwave receiver.

Please email direct if interested.

John, thecop@optonline.net

1726. Re: ICOM IC-PCR100 DECODE FILTERS CW USB SSB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-30 20:44:05 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com>
Message-ID: <pcE2e.8962$z.3459@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 14087

While the sound DOES come out of both sides on headphones, it doesn't
appear to be in stereo.
I see nothing mentioned of stereo in the help file either. I could have
sworn it had stereo fm output. I also have a PCR-100. Maybe it has stereo
out. I'll have to check before I open my mouth again.

<r2000swler@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1112213770.267697.180830@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> The Icom web page doesn't list FM stereo in the specs.
> The owners manuyl does list FM stero in the specs and the
> diagram doesn't show a MPX decoder/
> And my PRC1000 only has one audio line, as determined
> by moving a single shunt to change from spkear ot line for the
> AF output.
>
> You might want to check yours again, I suspect you will find
> that it, like all the other PCR1000s dosen't have FM stero.
> A simple test is to use a stero headset. I bet you only have
> audio in the left side.
>
> Sorry I can't spell all that well under good circumstances, but
> today I am down with the flu and the cough syryp is a little too
> strong.
>
> Terry
>

1727. Uniden BC-246T Vs. Realistic PRO-97?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-30 22:12:22 UTC
From: "mikem@evenlink.com" <mikem@evenlink.com>
Message-ID: <1112220742.002109.47400@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14089

Has anyone compared the two handheld scanners and picked one over the
other?
If so why?
Mike

1728. Re: ICOM IC-PCR100 DECODE FILTERS CW USB SSB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-31 00:50:49 UTC
From: Ted <nospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <JPH2e.950$EE2.337@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 14090

r2000swler@hotmail.com wrote in message
<1112213770.267697.180830@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>...
>The Icom web page doesn't list FM stereo in the specs.
>The owners manuyl does list FM stero in the specs and the
>diagram doesn't show a MPX decoder/
>And my PRC1000 only has one audio line, as determined
>by moving a single shunt to change from spkear ot line for the
>AF output.
>
>You might want to check yours again, I suspect you will find
>that it, like all the other PCR1000s dosen't have FM stero.
>A simple test is to use a stero headset. I bet you only have
>audio in the left side.
>
>Sorry I can't spell all that well under good circumstances, but
>today I am down with the flu and the cough syryp is a little too
>strong.
>
>Terry
>

I believe that its a mono signal but they feed the signal to both sides of a
stereo jack so you can use the commonly available stereo headsets instead of
the more difficult to find mono headsets.

1729. FS - Uniden BC250D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-31 17:56:47 UTC
From: John Schneider <thecop@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <zRW2e.18542$xz2.16033@fe09.lga>
Source record: 14091

In GREAT condition. Will be shipped with original manual, antenna, power supply and batteries (this radio does NOT have the digital card installed). I may or may not have the box. Guaranteed to not be DOA.

$275.00 + shipping from 11786

Please email off list if interested.

John, thecop@optonline.net

1730. Re: A battle is brewing between the city of Wichita Falls and the local media

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-31 18:14:48 UTC
From: Me <Me@shadow.orgs>
Message-ID: <Me-57E6D2.09145631032005@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 14092

In article <hsbo41d62t9erhlmo14st097ropnobp7dq@4ax.com>,
Mark <me@privacy.net> wrote:

> On Wed, 30 Mar 2005 17:39:33 GMT, Me <Me@shadow.orgs> wrote:
>
> >very few voice encryption systems use more than a 128 bit key.
>
> On what planet? I agree that 4096 may be a tad off, but 128 most certainly is
> as well.
>
> >with
> >bruteforce attack a 128 bit key is certainly doable with a 50 cpu
> >distributed attack. should take about two weeks......
> >Me been there, done that.......
>
> I seriously doubt you have access to 50 cpu's for a two week period and have
> cracked any type of digital voice encryption ever. As a matter of fact, I'm
> telling you that you're full of it.
>
> But, if you are a good enough salesman, perhaps you'll convince some.

I wouldn't want to sell you on anything..... as I really don't care what
you think..... I am just stating the facts of the case at hand.....
Your mileage may vary..... but the facts of the case don't change just
because you seem to have a different opinion..... 50 cpu's are
readily available in most highschool computerlabs, and can be crunching
away on such problems 12 hours a day, and full time on weekends.....
this isn't "Rocket Science", it is just distributive computing, which
has been around for 10 years..... I take it you have never dealt with
digital vioce communications hardware, and keyloaders that set and load
the keys into those systems.....if you had such experience, you would
know normal keylengths, and encryption systems that they use.....

Me

1731. Re: Enough already! Just fix the radio system Enough already! Just fix the radio system

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-31 20:16:12 UTC
From: "mikem@evenlink.com" <mikem@evenlink.com>
Message-ID: <1112300172.457810.181370@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14093

They would have saved the taxpayers of Philadelphia
and indirectly the rest of the state all the money and grief if they'd
have kept the old 453 mhz. system

1732. Re: ICOM IC-PCR100 DECODE FILTERS CW USB SSB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-31 21:09:10 UTC
From: r2000swler@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1112303350.475223.50300@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14094

Mine is a mono 3.5mm/1/8" and a friends with a much lower
SN is wired the same way.
Terry

1733. Re: Enough already! Just fix the radio system Enough already! Just fix the radio system

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-31 21:45:01 UTC
From: cl <clfurentN@Syahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Zb_2e.58923$NC6.28581@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 14095

There's an old saying... if it ain't broke, don't fix it. Problem is, many in charge of buying the comms systems are clueless about them and are easy suckered in. They're putting in 800 MHz systems around here, and one has been in place for like 4 years now and they "still" don't have all the bugs worked out. Many still complain of the lack of coverage especially at critical moments. The old system wasn't good enough to someone, so they had to take the chance. Not really the smartest move. I heard California recently had a snafu like this too..... Ya gotta wonder how they get themselves into these messes. And yes, the tax payer foots the bill.

cl

<mikem@evenlink.com> wrote in message
news:1112300172.457810.181370@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> They would have saved the taxpayers of Philadelphia
> and indirectly the rest of the state all the money and grief if they'd
> have kept the old 453 mhz. system
>

1734. Michigan 800Mhz digital - which scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-03-31 23:25:46 UTC
From: David Starr <davestarr@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <3l1p41phcc1rarvq0qu5drbonfs7ig1rv5@4ax.com>
Source record: 14096

The county I'm in will be switching to the 800 MHz digital system the State Police are using, so I'll be needing a new scanner. Anyone know which models will work & which won't?

TIA

- -
Retired Shop Rat: 14,647 days in a GM plant.
Now I can do what I enjoy: Large Format Photography
- -

1735. Re: FS - AOR AR8200 MKIIB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-01 00:03:09 UTC
From: "fasteddy64@yahoo.com" <fasteddy64@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1112313789.262123.309000@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14097

I have a nice Kenwood R-1000 shortwave RX I would trade.
Ed

1736. Re: Michigan 800Mhz digital - which scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-01 00:08:28 UTC
From: Jim <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <8t2dnVAv1p5hDdHfRVn-iA@centurytel.net>
Source record: 14098

Pro-96 or pro 2096 both from RS

David Starr wrote:
> The county I'm in will be switching to the 800 MHz digital system the
> State Police are using, so I'll be needing a new scanner. Anyone know
> which models will work & which won't?
>
> TIA
>
> -
> Retired Shop Rat: 14,647 days in a GM plant.
> Now I can do what I enjoy: Large Format Photography
> -

1737. Pro-2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-01 00:49:27 UTC
From: Neil Bell <neilbell@notreally.att.net>
Message-ID: <hj6p41pp59880hullrjcq8eubemjbrcbfo@4ax.com>
Source record: 14099

Anyone interest in buying a Pro-2004 ?/

Cheap!
Neil Bell

"Men never do evil so completely and cheerfully
as when they do it from religious conviction."
-- Blaise Pascal

For e-mail replies remove the "notreally."

1738. Re: Michigan 800Mhz digital - which scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-01 01:45:40 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Q5adnXc-hJZfOtHfRVn-3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 14100

As Jim mentioned in the another post, the Radio Shack (GRE Manufactured), PRO-96 Hand-Held, and the newly release PRO-2096 Mobile/Base work very well. In addition to those, Uniden Bearcat BC296D Hand-Held, and BC796D Mobile/Base work too.

The earlier generation Uniden Bearcats, that also had "Digital" capability, DO NOT work. Even though they are digital, the baud rate is not high enough, to be compatible with Michigan's system.

I've owned the Uniden BC796D, and the Radio Shack PRO-96. I think the Radio Shack PRO-96 was easier to program, and did a better job of converting the digital signal's audio. On occasion, the Uniden had somewhat of an electronic sound to it, similar to SSB on shortwave. It has an adjustment to "tune" the audio quality, but it's not always 100%, and why fool with that if you don't have to with the Radio Shack models! The Radio Shack model's MSRP is less expensive as well.

Be advised, when I was using mine, the MSP (Michigan State Police), in addition to using digital, would enable encryption on occasion! When they use encryption, you will not be able to hear them! There is nothing on the market, hardware, or software, that will allow you to decrypt their digital transmissions. It is also illegal (Felony) to do so. Shelby Township is on the same system, and soon Macomb County, and the city of Warren will be joining. It would not surprise me to see them all using encryption more, and more, rendering any legal scanner equipment useless.

Oakland County is moving to a totally different system called M/A COM OpenSky. This is a total departure from the digital system that MSP, and most all others are, or will soon, be using in Michigan. There is no scanner radio equipment on the market at this time, that is capable of receiving this system. Even though there may be good reasons for using this system, the fact that it is a total departure from the chosen "standard" for Michigan, makes it a very poor choice in my opinion. Should we every experience a situation on the scale of 9/11, here in Michigan, Oakland County will be in a world all of their own.

If you intend to use the scanner in your car, in Michigan, be advised, unless you are in Public Safety, or have a HAM license, you will need a permit from the MSP to do so. A recent post in the group indicated there are efforts underway, to remove this requirement, but it still stands for now, and the penalties are very serious! If you would like an application to obtain a permit, email me, and I will be glad to send you the form. There are no special requirements, other than you've behaved yourself, and haven't committed any serious crimes. It is easy to fill out, and submit, and is totally free!

Bill Crocker

"David Starr" <davestarr@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:3l1p41phcc1rarvq0qu5drbonfs7ig1rv5@4ax.com...
> The county I'm in will be switching to the 800 MHz digital system the
> State Police are using, so I'll be needing a new scanner. Anyone know
> which models will work & which won't?
>
> TIA
>
> -
> Retired Shop Rat: 14,647 days in a GM plant.
> Now I can do what I enjoy: Large Format Photography
> -

1739. Re: ICOM IC-PCR100 DECODE FILTERS CW USB SSB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-01 05:13:56 UTC
From: jon <jonrev1978@wmconnect.com>
Message-ID: <1112332436.188415.280200@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13951

Greetings All! Terry & Jim, I just want to commend you both on the agreeable way that you both have disagreed and listened to each other. One of the other related radio news groups that I subscribe to is little more than a zoo. I tend to lurk and learn. If I have something of value to add to a topic I will post. I have been interested in the above mentioned product in the post and have been able to make an informed opinion based on your give and take. Bravo! Now if it could just be bottled and given away on the other news groups. Have a great week! Jon in South Carolina.

1740. new bct-7 in box

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-01 08:49:23 UTC
From: carl wesser <wesser@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <114q2oq2jst1s34@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 13952

```
for sale, you can call in daytime @ 256-259-0361
thank you
ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff
```

1741. Re: ICOM IC-PCR100 DECODE FILTERS CW USB SSB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-01 14:44:31 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com>
Message-ID: <j7d3e.12558$S46.11119@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 13953

I could never understand why people have to be such A-holes. If I'm wrong,
I'm wrong. I'll be the first to admit it.
It doesn't hurt a bit. ;)

"jon" <jonrev1978@wmconnect.com> wrote in message
news:1112332436.188415.280200@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> Greetings All! Terry & Jim, I just want to commend you both on the
> agreeable way that you both have disagreed and listened to each other.
> One of the other related radio news groups that I subscribe to is
> little more than a zoo. I tend to lurk and learn. If I have something
> of value to add to a topic I will post. I have been interested in the
> above mentioned product in the post and have been able to make an
> informed opinion based on your give and take. Bravo! Now if it could
> just be bottled and given away on the other news groups. Have a great
> week! Jon in South Carolina.
>

1742. Re: EBAY SQUASHED MY LISTING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-01 16:42:49 UTC
From: Nick Marsh <nmar@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <424D7A09.8030406@earthlink.net>
Source record: 13954
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

John Kasupski wrote:

> Again, all of this applies mainly to the two scanner newsgroups. The
> rec.radio.swap newsgroup was *created* for the purpose of advertising
> radio equipment for sale, auction, or trade.

John,

Very informative post.....

Looks to me like a good solution would be to form a new newgroup:

Rec.Radio.ebay or Rec.radio.auction

for those who need a safe haven to post their auction items.

Personally,I enjoy ebay buying and selling. For my purposes, it's not a
profit machine, just a means of furthering enjoyment of my hobbies by
using new or different equipment.

Nick
WB4SQI

1743. Re: A battle is brewing between the city of Wichita Falls and the local media

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-01 18:09:13 UTC
From: Me <Me@shadow.orgs>
Message-ID: <Me-59EC1A.09092201042005@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 13955

In article <5bho41le7afpmsu93p1q0k9ik7ioaj2p75@4ax.com>,
Mark <me@privacy.net> wrote:

> >I wouldn't want to sell you on anything..... as I really don't care what
> >you think.....
>
> Obviously you do.
>
> >I am just stating the facts of the case at hand.....
> >Your mileage may vary..... but the facts of the case don't change just
> >because you seem to have a different opinion..... 50 cpu's are
> >readily available in most highschool computerlabs, and can be crunching
> >away on such problems 12 hours a day, and full time on weekends.....
> >this isn't "Rocket Science", it is just distributive computing, which
> >has been around for 10 years..... I take it you have never dealt with
> >digital vioce communications hardware, and keyloaders that set and load
> >the keys into those systems.....if you had such experience, you would
> >know normal keylengths, and encryption systems that they use.....
>
> As a salesman, you need to convince people how you have such a vast world of
> experience in voice encryption, yet are either a high school student or work
> in the computer lab at a high school.....
>
> As you claim that distributed computing has been around for so long, you
> should then also know that there are plenty of FREE web resources that allow
> you to share cpu cycles from computers all over the world of people who feel
> like contributing their unused cpu cycles to any one of a million causes.
>
> Have fun. I am only more convinced that you've cracked no such thing. The
> horse is dead, I'm done beating it.

No, I just have a lot more communications experience than you do. I
graduated from High School long before there were computers, let alone
conputerlabs in them. I am retired, and have been a Federal Agent, and
worked for the Feds in a communications technical advisory position.
Like I stated before, this isn't "Rocket Science", and these things have
all been done before. It doesn't require any new knowledge base, it
just requires an understanding of the system and a bit of computing
power. Mac G5 Dual 2.5Ghz boxes have enough computing power to do this
type of bruteforce cruching all by themselves. Yea, it would take a month
or two but that is not unreasonable, considering that it is a desktop
machine and running 2.0Gflop+.....and that assumes that the key is found
in the last half of the iteration and not the first half. That is a
50/50 proposition, in bruteforce key cracking. Also with some knowledge
of the guy who setup the key, one could reduce the job significantly,

because most of these guys aren't crypies and they don't pick totally random keys seeds, which reduces the problem by a few orders of magnitude.

Me

1744. Re: A battle is brewing between the city of Wichita Falls and the local media

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-01 18:36:44 UTC
From: WSnipes <who_stole_my_budlight@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1112380604.286073.317040@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13956

this isn't "Rocket Science", and these things have
all been done before. It doesn't require any new knowledge base, it
just requires an understanding of the system and a bit of computing
power. Mac G5 Dual 2.5Ghz boxes have enough computing power to do this

type of bruteforce cruching all by themselves.

If one were to use a computer, or range of computers, for crunching
128+ bit keys or even down to 64 bit, where could this software be
obtained? Aside from experimenting with digital voice encryption, I
have some other keys that I would like to try and crack (keys of my
own, not others), like a 802.11g system I just setup and want to test
for maximum key strength. Probing around the net for such things these
days can brand you a hacker in the eyes of the cyber cops, even if your
intentions are good.

1745. Re: ICOM IC-PCR100 DECODE FILTERS CW USB SSB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-01 19:25:40 UTC
From: r2000swler@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1112383540.844176.210520@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13957

If I had a dollar for every time I have been wrong,
we could all retire to a nice, sunny place.

And buy LOTS of radios!

Terry

1746. Re: ICOM IC-PCR100 DECODE FILTERS CW USB SSB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-01 20:26:30 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com>
Message-ID: <W7i3e.12708$S46.10102@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 13958

Don't you mean lots MORE? :)

<r2000swler@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1112383540.844176.210520@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> If I had a dollar for every time I have been wrong,
> we could all retire to a nice, sunny place.
>
> And buy LOTS of radios!
>
> Terry
>

1747. Re: Michigan 800Mhz digital - which scanner?- thanks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-01 23:13:09 UTC
From: David Starr <davestarr@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <o6lr41decasue76dm4n438f51hn25780op@4ax.com>
Source record: 13959

Bill & Jim, thanks for the info; I appreciate it. It's going to be an odd situation; the county's going digital and the city I'm in (Flint) will stay with the old system.

- -
Retired Shop Rat: 14,647 days in a GM plant.
Now I can do what I enjoy: Large Format Photography
- -

1748. Re: Michigan 800Mhz digital - which scanner?- thanks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-01 23:30:13 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <2rydneMCKLgdRNDfRVn-rw@comcast.com>
Source record: 13960

No problem, the new scanners we mentioned, can monitor both types of systems.

Bill Crocker

"David Starr" <davestarr@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message news:o6lr41decasue76dm4n438f51hn25780op@4ax.com...
> Bill & Jim, thanks for the info; I appreciate it. It's going to be an
> odd situation; the county's going digital and the city I'm in (Flint)
> will stay with the old system.
>
>
> -
> Retired Shop Rat: 14,647 days in a GM plant.
> Now I can do what I enjoy: Large Format Photography
> -

1749. Re: EBAY SQUASHED MY LISTING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-02 02:25:08 UTC
From: ionmale@ionmail.com
Message-ID: <1112408708.704304.98550@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13961
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Boy, I felt your anguish and I completely empathize, but it confirms
the contentions I've had all along about this money-less electronic
fallacy.

It's a capitalists dream state made possible by technology, and the
proof is in stories like yours ... one account after another, from one
courtroom to
another. Therefore, anybody who relies on eBay, or
any such on-line service (for ANY reason) WILL GET
BURNED. I've never once used them and even I know.

As far as my common senses are concerned, those
willing to transact business with entities that can't be held
accountable for its actions, deserves
every pain a one-way risk is guaranteed to deliver.

This isn't commerce folks, it's high-tech thievery,
and it's high-time you realized it. Passing gold
over a local counter, or trading your labors for
goods and services ... THAT is commerce.

After all, our comforts don't come from overseas ...
they come from local people and businesses.

1750. Re: A battle is brewing between the city of Wichita Falls and the local media

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-02 04:18:08 UTC
From: "Ralph A. Schmid, DK5RAS" <nospam@radio-link.net>
Message-ID: <g67s41duttitdbi18926pp94jo0iiiqh4j@4ax.com>
Source record: 13962

"WSnipes" <who_stole_my_budlight@hotmail.com> wrote:

>If one were to use a computer, or range of computers, for crunching
>128+ bit keys or even down to 64 bit, where could this software be
>obtained?

Usually it is written by the uy who wants ro break the key :)

>Aside from experimenting with digital voice encryption, I
>have some other keys that I would like to try and crack (keys of my
>own, not others), like a 802.11g system I just setup and want to test
>for maximum key strength.

Aircrack for Windows? It cracked my own WEP key really fast.

regards - Ralph

--

Want to get in touch? http://www.radio-link.net/whereisralph.txt

1751. Re: EBAY SQUASHED MY LISTING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-02 22:26:15 UTC
From: Steve Silverwood <kb6ojs@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.1cb883c1b5f7ec06989683@news-server.socal.rr.com>
Source record: 13963
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

In article <KgK_d.35858$534.34597@twister.nyc.rr.com>, dconti@nj.rr.com
says...
> Click this link and you will see that my auction was in fact dumped.
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5759983900&ssPageName=ADME:B:LC:US:1

Right now it says "Bidding has ended for this item" and shows a winning
bid of $510. Doesn't look like a "dumped" auction to me.

--

-- //Steve//

Steve Silverwood, KB6OJS
Fountain Valley, CA
Email: kb6ojs@arrl.net

1752. Re: Would you really buy from Dan NOW...??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-02 22:26:15 UTC
From: Steve Silverwood <kb6ojs@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.1cb88474d7e1489989684@news-server.socal.rr.com>
Source record: 13964
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.marketing.online.ebay

In article <s3an31lvutsuqn4kfinn15le1mdvon477j@4ax.com>, fred@aol.com
says...

> He is no worse that most sellers on ebay. I'll never buy from a powerseller.
> Ebay is a haven for crooks.

I've not had any trouble from eBay sellers, ever -- "powersellers" or
regular folks. Guess I must be lucky.

--

-- //Steve//

Steve Silverwood, KB6OJS
Fountain Valley, CA
Email: kb6ojs@arrl.net

1753. Re: Ultimate scanner (wishful thinking)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-02 22:26:19 UTC
From: Steve Silverwood <kb6ojs@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.1cb89314c0d5c1b498968a@news-server.socal.rr.com>
Source record: 13966

In article <781c67d2.0503051829.30badb74@posting.google.com>,
kc7jfv@hotmail.com says...
> My ultimate scanner would let me:
> 1. Allow to transmit on the frequency I am monitoring.
>
> (Please add your wish)

I don=3Ft share your wish for transmit capability, but here goes:

- The ability to set up more than one trunk system, and switch
easily between one or the other -- or better yet, to set up
one =3Fmemory=3F with one trunk system and another =3Fmemory=3F with
another trunk system, etc. and just scan across them like
they were any other preset. (I ask for this because there
are several trunked systems here in Orange County, CA. One
is at Disneyland, another is the Orange County Fire Authority,
and yet another is the county law enforcement system (city
and county agencies). There are others, but those are the
major ones. It sucks to have to program up one scanner for
each trunked system.)

- Mobile and desktop =3Fdocking stations=3F to permit taking a
system from the house and plugging it right into the mobile.
They make docking stations (or =3Fport replicators=3F) for
notebooks, why not for radios?

Given time, I suspect I could come up with a bunch, but those are the
first ones that come to mind.

--

-- //Steve//

Steve Silverwood, KB6OJS
Fountain Valley, CA
Email: kb6ojs@arrl.net

1754. Re: Fire season already

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-02 22:26:20 UTC
From: Steve Silverwood <kb6ojs@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.1cb894968d165d398968c@news-server.socal.rr.com>
Source record: 13965

In article <jl5Zd.9642$DW.4125@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>,
geferg@prodigy.net says...
> OK! Looking north, yeah a small smudge on the horizon....LOL as well,
> THANKS!!!

I think WA and OR got less rainfall/snowfall this year than normal. We
got a lot more than our fair share down here in Southern CA. We=3Fre
usually the ones that get hit with the big fires, but hopefully the
heavy rains will keep that from happening quite as much.

(Carson called Letterman up during one of his shows a while back.
Letterman asked about the weather out here in SoCal. Carson replied,
=3FGreat! The mudslides are putting out all the fires!=3F)

--

-- //Steve//

Steve Silverwood, KB6OJS
Fountain Valley, CA
Email: kb6ojs@arrl.net

1755. Re: Want Military HF, VHF, UHF, SatCom frequencies. Join the MilAirCommsChat Group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-02 22:26:20 UTC
From: Steve Silverwood <kb6ojs@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.1cb893e1e35d362198968b@news-server.socal.rr.com>
Source record: 13967

In article <xa-dnaAqMdft6bHfRVn-1w@centurytel.net>, whoever@wherever says...
>
>
> blindasabat wrote:
> > <av8tor@licensed4funREMOVEBEFOREFLIGHT.com> wrote:
> >
> >>This group is for old timers and new comers to the hobby. I will not
> >>tolerate anyone making rude comments towards newbies to our hobby.
> >
> >
> > But you will tolerate racist remarks, huh? Yeah, right!
> >
> >
> HUH? What did I miss? I thought this was a group for Military HF, VHF,
> UHF, SatCom frequencies. What do race car drivers have to do with the
> Military?
> A person who plays the piano called a pianist,
> so a person who drives a race car is called a racist?

Interesting line of thought. Here are some more:

If pro is the opposite of con, what is the opposite of progress?

If buses stop at a bus station, and trains stop at a train station, what happens at a workstation?

--

-- //Steve//

Steve Silverwood, KB6OJS
Fountain Valley, CA
Email: kb6ojs@arrl.net

1756. Re: Pro-2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-02 22:51:12 UTC
From: r2000swler@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1112482272.580847.126450@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13968

How much?

Terry

1757. iCOM R3 with cellular frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-03 00:32:46 UTC
From: 32343 <spamme@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <uSG3e.27771$w63.1777316@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 13969
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

For sale: 1 immaculate condition iCOM R3 scanner. Comes with all original documentation and accessories including car ac adaptor. This scanner is a Canadian version which includes ALL cellular frequencies. Check the specs at the following link:

http://www.durhamradio.com/s/customer/product.php?productid=44927&cat=1839&page=1

Asking $550.00 OBO

Please respond here with e-mail address if interested.

1758. Re: Ultimate scanner (wishful thinking)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-03 01:10:24 UTC
From: Dave S <djsmartremovethisbit@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <424f42b3$0$30370$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 13970

Not sure what you mean by one trunking system per scanner. More than half
of my 245 is devoted to trunking systems. I regularly scan multiple
trunking and non-trunking channels. Am I missing your point here?

--

Dave S

"Steve Silverwood" <kb6ojs@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:MPG.1cb89314c0d5c1b498968a@news-server.socal.rr.com...
> In article <781c67d2.0503051829.30badb74@posting.google.com>,
> kc7jfv@hotmail.com says...
> > My ultimate scanner would let me:
> > 1. Allow to transmit on the frequency I am monitoring.
> >
> > (Please add your wish)
>
> I don=3Ft share your wish for transmit capability, but here goes:
>
> - The ability to set up more than one trunk system, and switch
> easily between one or the other -- or better yet, to set up
> one =3Fmemory=3F with one trunk system and another =3Fmemory=3F with
> another trunk system, etc. and just scan across them like
> they were any other preset. (I ask for this because there
> are several trunked systems here in Orange County, CA. One
> is at Disneyland, another is the Orange County Fire Authority,
> and yet another is the county law enforcement system (city
> and county agencies). There are others, but those are the
> major ones. It sucks to have to program up one scanner for
> each trunked system.)
>
> - Mobile and desktop =3Fdocking stations=3F to permit taking a
> system from the house and plugging it right into the mobile.
> They make docking stations (or =3Fport replicators=3F) for
> notebooks, why not for radios?
>
> Given time, I suspect I could come up with a bunch, but those are the
> first ones that come to mind.
>
> --
>
> -- //Steve//
>
> Steve Silverwood, KB6OJS
> Fountain Valley, CA

> Email: kb6ojs@arrl.net

1759. Re: Would you really buy from Dan NOW...??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-03 01:21:23 UTC
From: Dave S <djsmartremovethisbit@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <424f477d$0$5396$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 13971
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.marketing.online.ebay

I've never had trouble from eBay sellers either. I've now bought over 25 items, with zero problems.

I wonder how much of this negativity is based on actual experience.

I also wonder why people get so upset about high prices in eBay auctions. That's what happens in auctions - people pay what they want to pay for an item. Sometimes people might get a little carried away (but that's their own problem and no one else's); sometimes people have a very good reason for being willing to pay a price premium to get something that they can't get locally or they believe is a "one off" (I've certainly done this on occasions).

From what I can see, the eBay feedback system is as good a way as any of gauging a seller. To become a power seller, you clearly need lots of positive feedback from lots of satisfied customers.

--

Dave S

"Steve Silverwood" <kb6ojs@arrl.net> wrote in message news:MPG.1cb88474d7e1489989684@news-server.socal.rr.com...
> In article <s3an31lvutsuqn4kfinn15le1mdvon477j@4ax.com>, fred@aol.com
> says...
>
> > He is no worse that most sellers on ebay. I'll never buy from a powerseller.
> > Ebay is a haven for crooks.
>
> I've not had any trouble from eBay sellers, ever -- "powersellers" or
> regular folks. Guess I must be lucky.
>
> --
>
> -- //Steve//
>
> Steve Silverwood, KB6OJS
> Fountain Valley, CA
> Email: kb6ojs@arrl.net

1760. Re: iCOM R3 with cellular frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-03 01:32:01 UTC
From: Fred <nowhere@nothing.com>
Message-ID: <dohu41tv177cc5jtstn4le2ekdgd0e4uuf@4ax.com>
Source record: 13972
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

You're dreaming. $550 for a scanner that's widely regarded as a
mediocre receiver at best, a supreme battery hog, NO keypad, with
ANALOG cellular frequencies that are mostly vacant nowadays?
BWAHAHAHAHA!

On Sat, 2 Apr 2005 19:32:46 -0500, "32343" <spamme@hotmail.com> wrote:

>For sale: 1 immaculate condition iCOM R3 scanner. Comes with all original
>documentation and accessories including car ac adaptor. This scanner is a
>Canadian version which includes ALL cellular frequencies. Check the specs at
>the following link:
>
>http://www.durhamradio.com/s/customer/product.php?productid=44927&cat=1839&p
>age=1
>
>Asking $550.00 OBO
>
>Please respond here with e-mail address if interested.
>

1761. Re: RS Pro95 programing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-03 02:16:14 UTC
From: FrazNor@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1112494574.642123.209400@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13973

There is a Yahoo Group specific to Pro-98

1762. Sportcat 180

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-03 03:03:04 UTC
From: Theo <theo115@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1112497384.259124.53230@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13974

Picked one up at the Flea market.for $15.00.works good,need a owners manual.if anyone has one for sale ?

1763. Re: Would you really buy from Dan NOW...??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-03 03:36:29 UTC
From: BrotherBart <BrotherBart@whoseyourdaddy.net>
Message-ID: <1xJ3e.11296$Fh2.1548@trnddc04>
Source record: 13975
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.marketing.online.ebay

>I've never had trouble from eBay sellers either. I've now bought over 25
>items, with zero problems.

>I wonder how much of this negativity is based on actual experience.

>I also wonder why people get so upset about high prices in eBay auctions.
>That's what happens in auctions - people pay what they want to pay for an
>item. Sometimes people might get a little carried away (but that's their
>own problem and no one else's); sometimes people have a very good reason
for
>being willing to pay a price premium to get something that they can't get
>locally or they believe is a "one off" (I've certainly done this on
>occasions).

>From what I can see, the eBay feedback system is as good a way as any of
>gauging a seller. To become a power seller, you clearly need lots of
>positive feedback from lots of satisfied customers.

We are just a sellers. You are a God.

I will send you a tracking number. Don will do your children's wedding
pictures. Rita will dogsit for you. Lumpy will give you a, uh... oh well
you'll find out.

1764. Re: Ultimate scanner (wishful thinking)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-03 03:57:15 UTC
From: Al Gillis <alg@aracnet.com>
Message-ID: <d2npfu0jt0@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 13976

How about 100 banks of 10 channels instead of the other way around (10 banks of 100 channels each)?

Then you could more easily group items of interest together - for example, you could have once bank programmed for west county law enforcement, fire and ABC medics. Another bank could contain freqs for East county law enforcement, XYZ medic and the same fire company as before. That way, if you heard of a huge fire out on the west end you could scan the appropriate bank and be sure you were hearing all the pertinent comms but none of the traffic stops over on the east side. Having fewer channel per bank would mean reduced scanning time and fewer channels to lockout to avoid extraneous stuff. With computers downloading frequencies into radios organizing such an arrangement would be a trivial task and changes would be quite simple.

that's my .02 worth!

Al

"Steve Silverwood" <kb6ojs@arrl.net> wrote in message news:MPG.1cb89314c0d5c1b498968a@news-server.socal.rr.com...
> In article <781c67d2.0503051829.30badb74@posting.google.com>,
> kc7jfv@hotmail.com says...
> > My ultimate scanner would let me:
> > 1. Allow to transmit on the frequency I am monitoring.
> >
> > (Please add your wish)
>
> I don=3Ft share your wish for transmit capability, but here goes:
>
> - The ability to set up more than one trunk system, and switch
> easily between one or the other -- or better yet, to set up
> one =3Fmemory=3F with one trunk system and another =3Fmemory=3F with
> another trunk system, etc. and just scan across them like
> they were any other preset. (I ask for this because there
> are several trunked systems here in Orange County, CA. One
> is at Disneyland, another is the Orange County Fire Authority,
> and yet another is the county law enforcement system (city
> and county agencies). There are others, but those are the
> major ones. It sucks to have to program up one scanner for
> each trunked system.)
>
> - Mobile and desktop =3Fdocking stations=3F to permit taking a
> system from the house and plugging it right into the mobile.
> They make docking stations (or =3Fport replicators=3F) for
> notebooks, why not for radios?

>
> Given time, I suspect I could come up with a bunch, but those are the
> first ones that come to mind.
>
> --
>
> -- //Steve//
>
> Steve Silverwood, KB6OJS
> Fountain Valley, CA
> Email: kb6ojs@arrl.net

1765. Uniden BC-855XLT POWER PROBLEM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-03 05:22:59 UTC
From: unixwhiz <unixwhiz@hawaii.rr.com>
Message-ID: <T4L3e.15117$e06.4196@twister.socal.rr.com>
Source record: 13977

Hi, Left it with its power supply plug on my ac outlet for a week, then yesterday when i tried to turn it on, it wouln't power up anymore. no sound no lights on the lcd, its completely dead. what would be the reason? a power surge? if so, which part should i replace on the board to make it work again. any ideas? thanks.

please reply at jayvelez@deism.org

1766. Re: iCOM R3 with cellular frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-03 06:01:26 UTC
From: PillarOfRocknRoll@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1112505891.213121.240580@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13978
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Fred wrote:
> You're dreaming. $550 for a scanner that's widely regarded as a
> mediocre receiver at best, a supreme battery hog, NO keypad, with
> ANALOG cellular frequencies that are mostly vacant nowadays?
> BWAHAHAHAHA!
>
>
> On Sat, 2 Apr 2005 19:32:46 -0500, "32343" <spamme@hotmail.com>
wrote:
>
> >For sale: 1 immaculate condition iCOM R3 scanner. Comes with all
original
> >documentation and accessories including car ac adaptor. This scanner
is a
> >Canadian version which includes ALL cellular frequencies. Check the
specs at
> >the following link:
> >
>
>http://www.durhamradio.com/s/customer/product.php?productid=44927&cat=1839&p
> >age=1
> >
> >Asking $550.00 OBO
> >
> >Please respond here with e-mail address if interested.
> >

he's in canada, you idiot.

1767. Re: iCOM R3 with cellular frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-03 12:24:19 UTC
From: Barney <hsafdhg@kjhvehf.com>
Message-ID: <424fe0f8$0$22695$ba620dc5@nova.planet.nl>
Source record: 13980
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

new price $359 at grove-ent.com

>
http://www.durhamradio.com/s/customer/product.php?productid=44927&cat=1839&p
> age=1
>
> Asking $550.00 OBO
>
> Please respond here with e-mail address if interested.
>
>

1768. Re: Uniden BC-855XLT POWER PROBLEM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-03 12:25:44 UTC
From: pctech <mospam@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <chR3e.2844$44.1003@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 13979

I would try using another power supply first , those little plug in jobbies sometime crap out on ya .

>
>

1769. Re: iCOM R3 with cellular frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-03 17:49:45 UTC
From: Fred <nowhere@nothing.com>
Message-ID: <s3b051ltkh1su5me3nbvvt7bnasna4v3gv@4ax.com>
Source record: 13981
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On 2 Apr 2005 22:01:26 -0800, PillarOfRocknRoll@gmail.com wrote:

>
>he's in canada

So what? Still an unrealisitic price for a lame scanner.

1770. Service manual for AOR AR-3000 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-03 19:00:50 UTC
From: dx-freak <tstimac02@NOSPAMyahoo.com>
Message-ID: <d2peik$hp0$1@ss405.t-com.hr>
Source record: 13982
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I'm looking for service manual for AOR AR-3000. Not instruction manual, but service manual with all those schematics, align procedures, etc.

You can contact me at:
tstimac02@NOSPAMyahoo.com (remove NOSPAM)

1771. Repair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-03 19:57:52 UTC
From: piratebob@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2739-42504AC0-53@storefull-3313.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 13983

Glad I came across this group, looks like plenty of smart people here. I have been in scanners snce 1989 and own a Realistic PRO-2006 (modified some), a Yaesu FRG-9600, and a Bearcat BCT-7, and several other very nice shortwave receivers.
Maybe someone has some ideas on this one: My Lowe HF-225 bacame dead on all bands but MW, keypad and frequency display are working fine, cutoff point seems to be around 3000khz, not certain anymore, but there is a definite cutoff point in there where it just gets quiet, which leads me to suspect input filters, coils, or other front-end circuits. This may have been result of lightning damage. All other functions working OK. I have the service manual with schematics and have done some work to this radio in the past. Can you give me any leads? I really miss ths radio. Email welcome (no spam) Thanks,
Bob from Michigan

1772. Re: Enough already! Just fix the radio system Enough already! Just ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-03 20:01:57 UTC
From: piratebob@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2739-42504BB5-54@storefull-3313.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 13984

I still gotta believe there was some heavy lobbying by MOTO and Verizon and other cell carriers to get this new system sold to as many cities as possible.Our county is falling all over itself toget it, and I know the cops here, who say it is totally un-needed.

1773. Repair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-03 20:27:13 UTC
From: piratebob@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2739-425051A1-57@storefull-3313.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 13985

Glad I came across this group, looks like plenty of smart people here. I have been in scanners snce 1989 and own a Realistic PRO-2006 (modified some), a Yaesu FRG-9600, and a Bearcat BCT-7, and several other very nice shortwave receivers.
Maybe someone has some ideas on this one: My Lowe HF-225 bacame dead on all bands but MW, keypad and frequency display are working fine, cutoff point seems to be around 3000khz, not certain anymore, but there is a definite cutoff point in there where it just gets quiet, which leads me to suspect input filters, coils, or other front-end circuits. This may have been result of lightning damage. All other functions working OK. I have the service manual with schematics and have done some work to this radio in the past. Can you give me any leads? I really miss ths radio. Email welcome (no spam) Thanks,
Bob from Michigan

1774. Re: Service manual for AOR AR-3000 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-03 21:08:29 UTC
From: Harry <hgk@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <dNmdnS0fnpDRxs3fRVn-uA@centurytel.net>
Source record: 13986
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I have a PDF file of some mods for the 3000a and it has a few
schematics. If you want it let me know and I'll send it. It's 940k
Harry

If you run across a service manual, a copy would be much appreciated.

dx-freak wrote:

> I'm looking for service manual for AOR AR-3000. Not instruction manual, but
> service manual with all those schematics, align procedures, etc.
>
> You can contact me at:
> tstimac02@NOSPAMyahoo.com (remove NOSPAM)
>
>

1775. Uniden BC296D, Update Please!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-03 21:49:13 UTC
From: RSL <roblonn@cox.net>
Message-ID: <xyZ3e.3171$ZV5.3035@fed1read05>
Source record: 13987

Reports of problems with the BC296D having sensitivity issues early on. I guess they just broke. Has this problem now been resolved??? In addition is this still the best hand held scanner on the market or do you have another pick for the top radio. Icom IC-R20 is nice but seems to lack the TRUE scanner options that Uniden puts in its units. Just looking for an update on this radio. Thank You!!

1776. Re: Uniden BC296D, Update Please!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-03 22:17:07 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <OKudnU56NfPH9s3fRVn-3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 13988

Consider Radio Shack's (GRE Mfg.), PRO-96. The Icom IC-R20 does not do Trunk Tracking, or Digital modes. If you don't need digital, consider Radio Shack's (GRE Mfg.), PRO-95, the price has been reduced to only $129.99, an exceptional deal!

Bill Crocker

"RSL" <roblonn@cox.net> wrote in message
news:xyZ3e.3171$ZV5.3035@fed1read05...
> Reports of problems with the BC296D having sensitivity issues early on. I
> guess they just broke. Has this problem now been resolved??? In addition
> is this still the best hand held scanner on the market or do you have
> another pick for the top radio. Icom IC-R20 is nice but seems to lack the
> TRUE scanner options that Uniden puts in its units. Just looking for an
> update on this radio. Thank You!!
>

1777. Re: Service manual for AOR AR-3000 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-03 22:20:06 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <086dnev8G-KR8c3fRVn-hA@comcast.com>
Source record: 13989
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

http://www.leeselect.com/shopping/pricelist.asp?prid=54

Bill Crocker

"dx-freak" <tstimac02@NOSPAMyahoo.com> wrote in message
news:d2peik$hp0$1@ss405.t-com.hr...
> I'm looking for service manual for AOR AR-3000. Not instruction manual,
> but
> service manual with all those schematics, align procedures, etc.
>
> You can contact me at:
> tstimac02@NOSPAMyahoo.com (remove NOSPAM)
>

1778. Re: Uniden BC296D, Update Please!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-03 23:26:11 UTC
From: RSL <roblonn@cox.net>
Message-ID: <ZY_3e.3180$ZV5.137@fed1read05>
Source record: 13990

Bill, I was not even aware that Radio Shack made this high end of a scanner, PRO-96 , I just checked it out ?? How long has it been on the market, and has it received very good reviews.. Funny, I though Uniden was the leader in the scanner world??

Robert, San Diego

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:OKudnU56NfPH9s3fRVn-3A@comcast.com...
> Consider Radio Shack's (GRE Mfg.), PRO-96. The Icom IC-R20 does not do
> Trunk Tracking, or Digital modes. If you don't need digital, consider Radio
> Shack's (GRE Mfg.), PRO-95, the price has been reduced to only $129.99, an
> exceptional deal!
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
> "RSL" <roblonn@cox.net> wrote in message
> news:xyZ3e.3171$ZV5.3035@fed1read05...
>> Reports of problems with the BC296D having sensitivity issues early on. I
>> guess they just broke. Has this problem now been resolved??? In addition
>> is this still the best hand held scanner on the market or do you have
>> another pick for the top radio. Icom IC-R20 is nice but seems to lack the
>> TRUE scanner options that Uniden puts in its units. Just looking for an
>> update on this radio. Thank You!!
>>
>
>

1779. Re: Ultimate scanner (wishful thinking)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-03 23:35:36 UTC
From: Steve Silverwood <kb6ojs@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.1cb9c2e03c7c8d47989694@news-server.socal.rr.com>
Source record: 13991

In article <424f42b3$0$30370$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>,
djsmartremovethisbit@optusnet.com.au says...
> Not sure what you mean by one trunking system per scanner. More than half
> of my 245 is devoted to trunking systems. I regularly scan multiple
> trunking and non-trunking channels. Am I missing your point here?

It=3Fs been a while since I had a trunking scanner, but the thing I ran
into was that I could either listen to the trunked system, or the
regular channels, and that I could only set up one trunked system at a
time. To go to a different trunked system, I had to dump the existing
one. That was VERY annoying.

--

-- //Steve//

Steve Silverwood, KB6OJS
Fountain Valley, CA
Email: kb6ojs@arrl.net

1780. Re: Uniden BC296D, Update Please!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-04 00:29:56 UTC
From: "news.vif.com" <root@NoReply-AntiSpam.com>
Message-ID: <1112574597.93986@www.vif.com>
Source record: 13992

Radio shack suxs! and NO! sensitivity issues

1781. Re: Ultimate scanner (wishful thinking)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-04 00:40:48 UTC
From: Dave S <djsmartremovethisbit@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <42508e3f$0$5597$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 13993

Oh, OK

Certainly, the 245 and more recent scanners do not have that limitation.

--

Dave S

"Steve Silverwood" <kb6ojs@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:MPG.1cb9c2e03c7c8d47989694@news-server.socal.rr.com...
> In article <424f42b3$0$30370$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>,
> djsmartremovethisbit@optusnet.com.au says...
> > Not sure what you mean by one trunking system per scanner. More than
half
> > of my 245 is devoted to trunking systems. I regularly scan multiple
> > trunking and non-trunking channels. Am I missing your point here?
>
> It=3Fs been a while since I had a trunking scanner, but the thing I ran
> into was that I could either listen to the trunked system, or the
> regular channels, and that I could only set up one trunked system at a
> time. To go to a different trunked system, I had to dump the existing
> one. That was VERY annoying.
>
> --
>
> -- //Steve//
>
> Steve Silverwood, KB6OJS
> Fountain Valley, CA
> Email: kb6ojs@arrl.net

1782. Re: Uniden BC296D, Update Please!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-04 00:46:36 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <9cSdnasEl9L-E83fRVn-ug@comcast.com>
Source record: 13994

High Robert!

Below, is quoted text, from a recent post of mine. Easier than re-typing it all!

Bill

The Radio Shack (GRE Manufactured),
PRO-96 Hand-Held, and the newly release PRO-2096 Mobile/Base work very well.
In addition to those, Uniden Bearcat BC296D Hand-Held, and BC796D
Mobile/Base work too.

The earlier generation Uniden Bearcats, that also had "Digital" capability,
DO NOT work. Even though they are digital, the baud rate is not high
enough, to be compatible with Michigan's system.

I've owned the Uniden BC796D, and the Radio Shack PRO-96. I think the Radio
Shack PRO-96 was easier to program, and did a better job of converting the
digital signal's audio. On occasion, the Uniden had somewhat of an
electronic sound to it, similar to SSB on shortwave. It has an adjustment
to "tune" the audio quality, but it's not always 100%, and why fool with
that if you don't have to with the Radio Shack models! The Radio Shack
model's MSRP is less expensive as well.

Be advised, when I was using mine, the MSP (Michigan State Police), in
addition to using digital, would enable encryption on occasion! When they
use encryption, you will not be able to hear them! There is nothing on the
market, hardware, or software, that will allow you to decrypt their digital
transmissions. It is also illegal (Felony) to do so. Shelby Township is on
the same system, and soon Macomb County, and the city of Warren will be
joining. It would not surprise me to see them all using encryption more,
and more, rendering any legal scanner equipment useless.

Oakland County is moving to a totally different system called M/A COM
OpenSky. This is a total departure from the digital system that MSP, and
most all others are, or will soon, be using in Michigan. There is no
scanner radio equipment on the market at this time, that is capable of
receiving this system. Even though there may be good reasons for using this
system, the fact that it is a total departure from the chosen "standard" for
Michigan, makes it a very poor choice in my opinion. Should we every
experience a situation on the scale of 9/11, here in Michigan, Oakland
County will be in a world all of their own.

If you intend to use the scanner in your car, in Michigan, be advised,
unless you are in Public Safety, or have a HAM license, you will need a
permit from the MSP to do so. A recent post in the group indicated there
are efforts underway, to remove this requirement, but it still stands for
now, and the penalties are very serious! If you would like an application

to obtain a permit, email me, and I will be glad to send you the form.
There are no special requirements, other than you've behaved yourself, and
haven't committed any serious crimes. It is easy to fill out, and submit,
and is totally free!

"RSL" <roblonn@cox.net> wrote in message news:ZY_3e.3180$ZV5.137@fed1read05...
Bill, I was not even aware that Radio Shack made this high end of a scanner, PRO-96 , I
just checked it out ?? How long has it been on the market, and has it received very good
reviews.. Funny, I though Uniden was the leader in the scanner world??

Robert, San Diego

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:OKudnU56NfPH9s3fRVn-3A@comcast.com...
> Consider Radio Shack's (GRE Mfg.), PRO-96. The Icom IC-R20 does not do
> Trunk Tracking, or Digital modes. If you don't need digital, consider Radio
> Shack's (GRE Mfg.), PRO-95, the price has been reduced to only $129.99, an
> exceptional deal!
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
> "RSL" <roblonn@cox.net> wrote in message
> news:xyZ3e.3171$ZV5.3035@fed1read05...
>> Reports of problems with the BC296D having sensitivity issues early on. I
>> guess they just broke. Has this problem now been resolved??? In addition
>> is this still the best hand held scanner on the market or do you have
>> another pick for the top radio. Icom IC-R20 is nice but seems to lack the
>> TRUE scanner options that Uniden puts in its units. Just looking for an
>> update on this radio. Thank You!!
>>
>
>

1783. Re: Uniden BC296D, Update Please!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-04 03:21:30 UTC
From: RSL <roblonn@cox.net>
Message-ID: <zp24e.3217$ZV5.2194@fed1read05>
Source record: 13995

And what about radio shack do you not like?? I guess these means you like the Uniden better???

> Radio shack suxs! and NO! sensitivity issues

1784. Re: Uniden BC296D, Update Please!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-04 04:50:22 UTC
From: BDK <kingratatatboy@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1cba91978faec593989850@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 13996

In article <1112574597.93986@www.vif.com>, root@NoReply-AntiSpam.com says...
> Radio shack suxs! and NO! sensitivity issues
>

I do minor repairs on scanners all the time, and the number of Uniden made radios with any of the defects listed below is at least 3 to 1 over the amount of GRE radios with problems. This applies to Uniden made radios that RS sells too...

Speaker jack (The plug where the speaker wires connect to the PC board) not soldered at all. (Uniden only)
Speaker jack soldered on one lead only. (both VERY RARE)
Speaker wires not soldered. (Uniden only)
Antenna lead badly soldered, or not soldered at all. (Both)
BNC connector not tightened correctly (90% Uniden)
Epoxy on CPU was still wet when radio was assembled, speaker leads are embedded in it, and have to be dug out or redone completely. (Uniden only)

I just did another one, a Pro-94, bought as "no audio" at a garage sale. The speaker jack was not soldered on one side and the speaker wires had to be dug out of the epoxy. I charged him 30 bucks, so for $55 total, he got a fully functioning Pro94.

Not a great radio, but for that price, I'd take it..but a Pro 93 or 95 blows it away...

BDK

1785. Re: Uniden BC296D, Update Please!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-04 05:27:25 UTC
From: RSL <roblonn@cox.net>
Message-ID: <Cf44e.3249$ZV5.240@fed1read05>
Source record: 13997

What does GRS mean??? I guess Radio Shack scanners are better quality as
well!!

"BDK" <kingratatatboy@buckeye-express.com> wrote in message
news:MPG.1cba91978faec593989850@news.buckeye-express.com...
> In article <1112574597.93986@www.vif.com>, root@NoReply-AntiSpam.com
> says...
>> Radio shack suxs! and NO! sensitivity issues
>>
>
>
> I do minor repairs on scanners all the time, and the number of Uniden
> made radios with any of the defects listed below is at least 3 to 1 over
> the amount of GRE radios with problems. This applies to Uniden made
> radios that RS sells too...
>
> Speaker jack (The plug where the speaker wires connect to the PC board)
> not soldered at all. (Uniden only)
> Speaker jack soldered on one lead only. (both VERY RARE)
> Speaker wires not soldered. (Uniden only)
> Antenna lead badly soldered, or not soldered at all. (Both)
> BNC connector not tightened correctly (90% Uniden)
> Epoxy on CPU was still wet when radio was assembled, speaker leads are
> embedded in it, and have to be dug out or redone completely. (Uniden
> only)
>
>
> I just did another one, a Pro-94, bought as "no audio" at a garage sale.
> The speaker jack was not soldered on one side and the speaker wires had
> to be dug out of the epoxy. I charged him 30 bucks, so for $55 total, he
> got a fully functioning Pro94.
>
>
> Not a great radio, but for that price, I'd take it..but a Pro 93 or 95
> blows it away...
>
> BDK

1786. OFF TOPIC-DVD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-04 05:37:46 UTC
From: ALMINS1@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21491-4250D2AA-155@storefull-3271.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 13998

Does anyone know of a discuss group in the SAME FORMAT as we have here concering dvd recorders and players? If so, would you please post the proper url so that i can link to it. TIF for any help.
AL

1787. Last rites declaration of Ioannes Paulus PP. II (Karol Wojtyla)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-04 07:32:27 UTC
From: "Ioannes Paulus PP. II" <john_paul_ii@vatican.va>
Message-ID: <ea1375c1.89921d15@news.vatican.va>
Source record: 14002
Cross-posted to:
alt.binaries.sounds.mp3.extreme-metal,alt.sex.addiction.recovery.moderated,rec.radio.scanner,alt.binaries.sounds.mp3.cdg

"The unforgiveable sins this earth must confront and overcome are
Nationalism, capitalism, and hoarding. The idea of every nation
should be forgot, price should be struck from the commons, and
princes should be seen for the devils they are. The sins include
our church, secret societies, and other religions which make of
the spirit of God a divide."

Last rites declaration of Ioannes Paulus PP. II (Karol Wojtyla)
2nd April 2005

--
of the sense she once had, from day to day, of the glory of
Christ, and of God, in His various attributes, that it seemed to me she
dwelt for days together in a kind of beatific vision of God; and seemed
to have, as I thought, as immediate an intercourse with Him, as a child
with a father. At the same time, she appeared most remote from any high
thought of herself, and of her own sufficiency; but was like a little
child, and expressed a great desire to be instructed, telling me that
she longed very often to come to me for instruction, and wanted to live
at my house, that I might tell her what was her duty.

She often expressed a sense of the glory of God appearing in the trees,
the growth of the fields, and other works of God's hands. She told her
sister who lived near the heart of the town, that she once thought it a
pleasant thing to live in the middle of the town, but now, says she, I
think it much more pleasant to sit and see the wind blowing the trees,
and to behold in the country what God has made. She had sometimes the
powerful breathings of the Spirit of God on her soul, while reading the
Scripture; and would express her sense of the certain truth and divinity
thereof. She sometimes would appear with a pleasant smile on her
countenance; and once, when her sister took notice of it, and asked why
she smiled, she replied, I am brim-full of a sweet feeling within. She
often used to express how good and sweet it wa

1788. Re: Uniden BC296D, Update Please!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-04 08:32:14 UTC
From: "news.vif.com" <root@NoReply-AntiSpam.com>
Message-ID: <1112603535.216717@www.vif.com>
Source record: 13999

RSL wrote:

> And what about radio shack do you not like?? I guess these means you like
> the Uniden better???

Yes & not saying am loyal to uniden.
At least you get real tech. support if you need it, in the other hand
'radio shack' i be suprised if theirs such a thing/

if anyone says radio shack is the greatest thing since sliced bread,
their wrong, thiers alot better things.
>
>
>>Radio shack suxs! and NO! sensitivity issues
>
>
>

--

1789. Re: Uniden BC296D, Update Please!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-04 09:18:02 UTC
From: BDK <kingratatatboy@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1cbad054d9d254f2989851@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 14000

In article <Cf44e.3249$ZV5.240@fed1read05>, roblonn@cox.net says...
> What does GRS mean??? I guess Radio Shack scanners are better quality as
> well!!
>
>
>
> "BDK" <kingratatatboy@buckeye-express.com> wrote in message
> news:MPG.1cba91978faec593989850@news.buckeye-express.com...
> > In article <1112574597.93986@www.vif.com>, root@NoReply-AntiSpam.com
> > says...
> >> Radio shack suxs! and NO! sensitivity issues
> >>
> >
> >
> > I do minor repairs on scanners all the time, and the number of Uniden
> > made radios with any of the defects listed below is at least 3 to 1 over
> > the amount of GRE radios with problems. This applies to Uniden made
> > radios that RS sells too...
> >
> > Speaker jack (The plug where the speaker wires connect to the PC board)
> > not soldered at all. (Uniden only)
> > Speaker jack soldered on one lead only. (both VERY RARE)
> > Speaker wires not soldered. (Uniden only)
> > Antenna lead badly soldered, or not soldered at all. (Both)
> > BNC connector not tightened correctly (90% Uniden)
> > Epoxy on CPU was still wet when radio was assembled, speaker leads are
> > embedded in it, and have to be dug out or redone completely. (Uniden
> > only)
> >
> >
> > I just did another one, a Pro-94, bought as "no audio" at a garage sale.
> > The speaker jack was not soldered on one side and the speaker wires had
> > to be dug out of the epoxy. I charged him 30 bucks, so for $55 total, he
> > got a fully functioning Pro94.
> >
> >
> > Not a great radio, but for that price, I'd take it..but a Pro 93 or 95
> > blows it away...
> >
> > BDK
>
>
>

GRS??? You mean when I said GRE, the company that makes the scanners like the Pro 93, 95, 96 and 97??

BDK

1790. Re: Uniden BC296D, Update Please!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-04 09:30:32 UTC
From: BDK <kingratatatboy@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1cbad34117a7206c989852@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 14001

In article <1112603535.216717@www.vif.com>, root@NoReply-AntiSpam.com
says...
> RSL wrote:
>
> > And what about radio shack do you not like?? I guess these means you like
> > the Uniden better???
>
>
> Yes & not saying am loyal to uniden.
> At least you get real tech. support if you need it, in the other hand
> 'radio shack' i be suprised if theirs such a thing/
>
>
> if anyone says radio shack is the greatest thing since sliced bread,
> their wrong, thiers alot better things.
> >
> >
> >>Radio shack suxs! and NO! sensitivity issues
> >
> >
> >
>
>

What does "and NO! sensitivity issues" mean??

Radio shack does suck in a lot of ways, no doubt, but what sort of
"support" does Uniden do? What kind of support would you need? Help
operating the radio? If so, there are better sources on the net, that
really know how to use it.

Or is it their service dept??

Lose your radio, twice, like mine?
Send it back with scratches and more problems than when you sent it?
Like mine?

Take weeks or months (SIX!!) to send back you radio, unfixed?
Like mine??

Have no idea how to fix a design flaw, keep the radio for weeks, change
some parts and make it worse (BC200XLT Low Batt indicator/shutoff)? The
only fix? Take a pair of dykes and clip the circuit out!
Like mine??

That's my experience with 20+ years of Uniden "tech support".

I've sent several over the years to RS, and only one was lost (by UPS, not them), and a couple times it took too long to get it back, but not as long as Uniden. Even on Uniden made radios, they seem better than Uniden..

BDK

1791. sony ICF-PRO70

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-04 12:10:08 UTC
From: "maurizio.bonfanti" <maurizio.bonfanti@libero.it>
Message-ID: <A8a4e.24489$IN.528895@twister2.libero.it>
Source record: 14003

Which is the tuning step in HF SSB?
1 khZ or 5 kHz?
In ICF-PRO70 sony.

Maurizio

1792. Fayette Co Georgia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-04 14:14:11 UTC
From: Rob <rschulten@newsouthconstruction.com>
Message-ID: <42a95$425148a2$405a1149$25989@NEWSOUTH.NET>
Source record: 14004
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I am new to trunking scanners and I just got a Bearcat 250D. I have been struggling with the Fayette County Georgia Trunked system and can't seem to get it set up right. I can hear parts of the conversations but I can't follow them or see what IDs they are coming from. Any Help?

1793. Re: Uniden BC296D, Update Please!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-04 14:39:19 UTC
From: RSL <roblonn@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1lc4e.3448$ZV5.1814@fed1read05>
Source record: 14005

Sorry, yes GRE. So they make the scanners for Radio Shack... Radio shack seems to be a sleeper for sure as far as popularity , at least for me. One way I judge equipment is look on eBay to see if they are selling USED ones. I see as many radios shack 96 for sale as I do the new Uniden hand held. People say things like I don't use it, or to complicated but I suspect other reasons for buying a $500 scanner and then selling it on eBay a few weeks latter.. Your knowledge of Built Quality is very helpful! Seems that Radio Shack has proven superior build quality in your mind!

> GRS??? You mean when I said GRE, the company that makes the scanners
> like the Pro 93, 95, 96 and 97??
>
> BDK

1794. Re: Uniden BC296D, Update Please!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-04 17:25:42 UTC
From: BDK <kingratatatboy@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1cbb42a426feea07989853@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 14006

In article <1lc4e.3448$ZV5.1814@fed1read05>, roblonn@cox.net says...
>
>
> Sorry, yes GRE. So they make the scanners for Radio Shack... Radio shack
> seems to be a sleeper for sure as far as popularity , at least for me. One
> way I judge equipment is look on eBay to see if they are selling USED ones.
> I see as many radios shack 96 for sale as I do the new Uniden hand held.
> People say things like I don't use it, or to complicated but I suspect other
> reasons for buying a $500 scanner and then selling it on eBay a few weeks
> latter.. Your knowledge of Built Quality is very helpful! Seems that Radio
> Shack has proven superior build quality in your mind!
>
>
> > GRS??? You mean when I said GRE, the company that makes the scanners
> > like the Pro 93, 95, 96 and 97??
> >
> > BDK
>
>
>

Over 20 + years of messing with them yes, the GRE built ones, at least the ones after the Pro 2004 of 1985 or 86 are better QC wise, it's no contest.

Not to say GRE radios are perfect. The Unidens usually have louder, if not better sounding audio, and for non trunked freqs, slightly simpler (1 keypress more per freq) to program. Until recently, with the current models, the Unidens used a crappy battery pack that was a pain to use, and couldn't be replaced with ordinary AA batts when it died unexpectedly. The Uniden RS models took AA batts and that was a reason to pick an RS model over the Uniden brand. After 20 years, they finally listened and went to normal AAs.

In most cases the GRE handhelds are better performers, RF wise, than the Unidens. Intermod is a real problem here and my BC-9000XLT is trashed on several channels from the police dispatch channel across the river, and a UHF TV station causes interference in both the 460 and 800MHZ areas. It's not horrible, but the dispatcher doesn't seem to affect my Pro 2004 and 2005, or my Pro 93 the way it does my BC-9000 or my BC3000.

I haven't had the $$ to get a 96 yet, but I have friends who have had both it and the BC296, and only one has kept the 296. I have played with both at the house here and the 96 is easily superior.

BDK

1795. Can you tell me if this really exists?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-04 21:05:41 UTC
From: rizzo.christopher@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1112648741.508881.309740@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14007

Hey Group!

I'm new to this whole concept. Oddly enough, I became interested in "scanning" after my TIVO recorded a show called Area 51:Fact or Fiction.

It was a stupid show, but one of the men on there went up on a mountain peak and was using a laptop program (and presumably some equipment hooked up to the latop) to monitor the radio communications taking place at Area 51 which was over 25 miles away.

I'm not a conspiracy buff, but the program he was using on his latop was intriguing to me. It looked like he could monitor any frequency he wanted.

I've actually wasted quite a bit of work time trying to find similar equipment, but I'm not really turning up anything. Therefore, I thought I would turn to this group and ask you guys (or gals).

Is there a hardware/software available that you can hook-up/install to a laptop computer that would allow you to "scan" or "trunk track" virtually any frequency along the "usable band"?

Sorry if I'm using the wrong terms...as a newbie, this is the best way I can phrase the question.

Thanks in advance for your help/advice.

-neo

1796. Re: Can you tell me if this really exists?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-04 21:22:01 UTC
From: gray-beard <gray-beard@tryandguess.com>
Message-ID: <2_Odna4G2LBuMszfRVn-3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 14008

http://www.winradio.com/home/4ghz.htm

GB

rizzo.christopher@gmail.com wrote:
> Hey Group!
>
> I'm new to this whole concept. Oddly enough, I became interested in
> "scanning" after my TIVO recorded a show called Area 51:Fact or
> Fiction.
>
> It was a stupid show, but one of the men on there went up on a mountain
> peak and was using a laptop program (and presumably some equipment
> hooked up to the latop) to monitor the radio communications taking
> place at Area 51 which was over 25 miles away.
>
> I'm not a conspiracy buff, but the program he was using on his latop
> was intriguing to me. It looked like he could monitor any frequency he
> wanted.
>
> I've actually wasted quite a bit of work time trying to find similar
> equipment, but I'm not really turning up anything. Therefore, I thought
> I would turn to this group and ask you guys (or gals).
>
> Is there a hardware/software available that you can hook-up/install to
> a laptop computer that would allow you to "scan" or "trunk track"
> virtually any frequency along the "usable band"?
>
> Sorry if I'm using the wrong terms...as a newbie, this is the best way
> I can phrase the question.
>
> Thanks in advance for your help/advice.
>
> -neo
>

--
"There's no way to rule innocent men. The only power any government
has is the power to crack down on criminals. Well, when there aren't
enough criminals, one makes them. One declares so many things to be a
crime that it becomes impossible to live without breaking laws."

-- Ayn Rand, Atlas Shrugged

1797. Re: Can you tell me if this really exists?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-04 23:34:39 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <pOWdnRjvM7-YUszfRVn-hQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 14009

Yes, that was Bob Grove, of Grove Enterprises.

http://www.grove-ent.com/

Grove sells the WiNRADiO hardware/software, that was used on that show.

http://www.grove-ent.com/winradio.html

A similar device, manufactured by Icom (IC-PCR1000), can be purchased here:

http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/widerxvr/1002.html

Bill Crocker

<rizzo.christopher@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1112648741.508881.309740@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> Hey Group!
>
> I'm new to this whole concept. Oddly enough, I became interested in
> "scanning" after my TIVO recorded a show called Area 51:Fact or
> Fiction.
>
> It was a stupid show, but one of the men on there went up on a mountain
> peak and was using a laptop program (and presumably some equipment
> hooked up to the latop) to monitor the radio communications taking
> place at Area 51 which was over 25 miles away.
>
> I'm not a conspiracy buff, but the program he was using on his latop
> was intriguing to me. It looked like he could monitor any frequency he
> wanted.
>
> I've actually wasted quite a bit of work time trying to find similar
> equipment, but I'm not really turning up anything. Therefore, I thought
> I would turn to this group and ask you guys (or gals).
>
> Is there a hardware/software available that you can hook-up/install to
> a laptop computer that would allow you to "scan" or "trunk track"
> virtually any frequency along the "usable band"?
>
> Sorry if I'm using the wrong terms...as a newbie, this is the best way
> I can phrase the question.
>
> Thanks in advance for your help/advice.
>
> -neo
>

1798. idaho

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-05 03:46:32 UTC
From: paradox <guest@anon.com>
Message-ID: <2dOdnXgcGvKEl8_fRVn-sA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 14010

Hello-
I am new to scanning and i am looking for help finding frequencies in and around north idaho mainly the city of moscow and latah co
i am also trying to find out about the idaho state police and how to access their trunked frequencies
thanks
Mike

1799. Re: idaho

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-05 13:33:53 UTC
From: pctech <mospam@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <5tw4e.1024$sp3.46@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 14011

Try www.radioreference.com

"paradox" <guest@anon.com> wrote in message
news:2dOdnXgcGvKEl8_fRVn-sA@adelphia.com...
> Hello-
> I am new to scanning and i am looking for help finding frequencies in and
> around north idaho mainly the city of moscow and latah co
> i am also trying to find out about the idaho state police and how to
> access their trunked frequencies
> thanks
> Mike
>

1800. Re: Can you tell me if this really exists?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-05 15:40:02 UTC
From: neomage <rizzo.christopher@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1112715602.246385.194450@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14012

Thanks guys! I appreciate the confirmation...man, I wish that wasn't so far out of my price range. Since I'm new to this, I'll have to pick up a "trunk tracker" that works with the Phoenix Area...any recommendations for one that can be "modified"...? Something in the $200 - $300 range?

1801. Re: idaho

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-05 18:53:32 UTC
From: paradox <guest@anon.com>
Message-ID: <9dGdnU76YP0xQ8_fRVn-rg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 14013

thanks for the info... However it doesn't seem to have anything i can use
for north idaho eg. coeur d'alene, lewiston, moscow have any more ideas?
Mike
"pctech" <mospam(remove)@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:5tw4e.1024$sp3.46@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> Try www.radioreference.com
>
>
> "paradox" <guest@anon.com> wrote in message
> news:2dOdnXgcGvKEl8_fRVn-sA@adelphia.com...
>> Hello-
>> I am new to scanning and i am looking for help finding frequencies in and
>> around north idaho mainly the city of moscow and latah co
>> i am also trying to find out about the idaho state police and how to
>> access their trunked frequencies
>> thanks
>> Mike
>>
>
>

1802. HP Scanjet 4600 Color Flatbed Scanner $35.99 TODAY ONLY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-05 19:31:06 UTC
From: ginger_qn <ginger_qn@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <c872ab199840c2c0d4079b02715ba147@localhost.talkaboutelectronicequipment.com>
Source record: 14014

http://www.dealstudio.com/viewtopic.php?t=888

Product Description - HP ScanJet 4600 - flatbed scanner
Device Type - Flatbed scanner - desktop
Max Supported Media Size - 8.5 in x 11.7 in
Dimensions (WxDxH) - 16.3 in x 11.7 in x 0.7 in
Weight - 3.1 lbs
Localization - Canada, United States
Input Type - Color
Resolution - 8-bit (256 gray levels) / 48-bit color
Optical Resolution - 2400 dpi x 2400 dpi
Interpolated Resolution - 999999 dpi x 999999 dpi
Max Document Size - 8.5 in (Letter A Size) x 11.7 in (A4)
Supported Media Type - Plain paper
Media Feeder Type - Manual load
Interface Type - Hi-Speed USB
Power - AC 110/230 V (50/60 Hz)
System Requirements - Microsoft Windows 98 Second Edition, Apple MacOS 9.1, Microsoft Windows XP Home Edition, Microsoft Windows XP Professional, Microsoft Windows 98/ME/2000, Apple MacOS X 10.1.5, Apple MacOS X 10.2 or later
Manufacturer Warranty - 1 year warranty

1803. Recommend Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-05 20:07:04 UTC
From: Tommy Of Earth <tommy_of_earth@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1112731624.173768.249900@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14015

Can someone recommend a scanner that can pickup cellular,
800 trunked and everything?

Thank You

1804. Re: What are these?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-05 21:37:55 UTC
From: MnMikew <mnmiikkew@aol.com>
Message-ID: <3bgepqF6icbtbU1@individual.net>
Source record: 14016

<fred@aol.com> wrote in message
news:h4v5511rsohh7red2n66fg3is9v8cpp4v8@4ax.com...
>
> Uniden just repaired my bc200xlt and these are the parts that they used:
>
>
> Parts Used
> BATTERY
> IC202
> R215
> WIRE
>
Battery and wire are self explantory. My guess is that the IC202 is an
integrated circut and the R215 is a resistor.

1805. Re: Recommend Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-05 21:39:01 UTC
From: MnMikew <mnmiikkew@aol.com>
Message-ID: <3bgersF6e64unU1@individual.net>
Source record: 14017

"Tommy Of Earth" <tommy_of_earth@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1112731624.173768.249900@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> Can someone recommend a scanner that can pickup cellular,
> 800 trunked and everything?
>
> Thank You
>
Good luck.

1806. Re: What are these?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-05 22:54:04 UTC
From: Dave S <djsmartremovethisbit@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <42531726$0$5190$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 14018

"To fully charge the battery pack, leave the Adapter/Charger connected for
14 to 16 hours."

Try downloading the PDF from here:
http://www.scannernytt.info/manualer/uniden%20bearcat%20bc200xlt%20owners%20manual.pdf

--

Dave S

<fred@aol.com> wrote in message
news:s326511miqt9ja376ggstbmar20qac5g5a@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 5 Apr 2005 16:37:55 -0500, in rec.radio.scanner "MnMikew"
> <mnmiikkew@aol.com> wrote:
>
> >
> > ><fred@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:h4v5511rsohh7red2n66fg3is9v8cpp4v8@4ax.com...
> >>
> >> Uniden just repaired my bc200xlt and these are the parts that they
used:
> >>
> >>
> >> Parts Used
> >> BATTERY
> >> IC202
> >> R215
> >> WIRE
> >>
> >Battery and wire are self explantory. My guess is that the IC202 is an
> >integrated circut and the R215 is a resistor.
> >
> >
>
>
> Thanks. I wonder if they are sending me a new battery? I know that the
> batteries that come with these originally had some sort of problem.. I'll
find
> out soon, it's due back here on the 6th. It's been broken for about 10
years, I
> only held on to it for sentimental reasons. I could not afford to get it
fixed,
> or so I thought. Now I've learned that these radios can be repaired by
Uniden
> and for less than they are selling for on Ebay. I loved this radio, it

feels
> like an old friend. I'll so excited to have it back and working again.
But
> first I'm am supposed to "CHARGE BATTERIES COMPLETELY ACCORDING TO OWNERS
> MANUAL" .. great I don't have the manual.. I guess it means leave it
plugged in
> for 8 hours??
>

1807. Re: What are these?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-05 23:36:46 UTC
From: Dave S <djsmartremovethisbit@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <42532120$0$5394$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 14019

I just did a Google search. Unfortunately, the two versions I tried were both scanned versions - I don't know if there's a "proper" PDF version for this model anywhere.

Of the two I tried, this was the better - both a better scan, and a reasonable table of contents.

--

Dave S

<fred@aol.com> wrote in message news:vs5651hu17e2kd7947fl54aj1flmmm9ae7@4ax.com...
>
> thanks, I could not find the manual on Uniden's site..
>
>
> On Wed, 6 Apr 2005 08:54:04 +1000, in rec.radio.scanner "Dave S"
> <djsmartremovethisbit@optusnet.com.au> wrote:
>
> >"To fully charge the battery pack, leave the Adapter/Charger connected for
> >14 to 16 hours."
> >
> >Try downloading the PDF from here:
>
>http://www.scannernytt.info/manualer/uniden%20bearcat%20bc200xlt%20owners%20manual.pdf
>

1808. Uniden BC296D, Update Please!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-06 03:47:39 UTC
From: RSL <roblonn@cox.net>
Message-ID: <a_l4e.4036$ZV5.2479@fed1read05>
Source record: 14020

Today I went on line and downloaded the Radio Shack Pro-96 manual. I also noticed other things like a DSP software upgrade. I have to admit that after reading the Icom IC-R20, Uniden BC-296 and the Radio Shack Pro-96, The Radio Shack seems like a very Clear Winner based on Ease of operation, and software updates and flexibility!!! If the Pro-96 PERFORMS as good as everyone is telling me, then it seems like the logical choice. With Performance and Build Quality superior have I missed anything???

"RSL" <roblonn@cox.net> wrote in message
news:xyZ3e.3171$ZV5.3035@fed1read05...
> Reports of problems with the BC296D having sensitivity issues early on. I
> guess they just broke. Has this problem now been resolved??? In addition
> is this still the best hand held scanner on the market or do you have
> another pick for the top radio. Icom IC-R20 is nice but seems to lack the
> TRUE scanner options that Uniden puts in its units. Just looking for an
> update on this radio. Thank You!!
>

1809. Patrick AFB Area Log - Tues 5 Apr 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-06 04:10:16 UTC
From: AllanStern@aol.com
Message-ID: <42.669df9aa.2f84bb28@aol.com>
Source record: 14021

Patrick AFB Area Log - Tues 5 Apr 2005
==========

LIMA 54X (1254X): CE-550 Citation.
Customs acft reporting Ops Normal.
[282.425]

PAPA XRAY: Customs Unit.
Comms with Lima 950.
[169.45]

NASA 4: Gulfstream II.
Departs Patrick 7:02am; returns 6:30pm.
[133.75]

BAT 1, BAT 2: Cessna Skymasters, AirScan, Titusville.
Security flights over KSC and CCAFS.
[133.8]

GRUMMAN 87: Probably E-2.
Discusses flight problems with avionics techs at Grumman Base. Flight in Jacksonville area.
[382.6, 236.25]

GOLIATH: E-3C AWACS, Tinker AFB.
Controlling MAKOs (Homestead F-16s) and other acft in the Tarpon Range near Key West. Mentions tanker RHET. "MAKOs, push 301.5." Later departs to north part of W-158. AAR heard on 327.6.
[382.6, 288.4, 282.6, 344.2]

7D 427: UC-12B, Ft Worth NAS.
[119.825]

SENTRY 05: Flight crew c/s for GOLIATH.
Entering W-174 at FL280.
[344.2]

KING 22: C-130, Moody AFB.
Inbound Homestead JARB.
[372.2]

LIMA LIMA 80: P-3C, Jacksonville VP-30.
Orbiting in Whiskey Area.
[267.5, 269.3]

REACH 9013: C-5, Westover ARB.
Departs Patrick to Davis Monthan AFB after RON. Rqsts cl to Westover 589-3971. Chats with Patrick helo pilot.

[118.4, 133.75, 132.65, 124.8, 321.0, 255.5]
Photo: 063MVC-484, 485.

CUJO 01: C-27A, US State Dept, Patrick AFB.
Departs Patrick.
[133.75]

SEARCH 01: UH-1H, NASA-KSC.
Security flight over KSC.
[133.75, 133.8]

KING 55: C-130H 64-14855, Patrick AFB.
PJ drops at Cape Canaveral.
[133.75, 118.625, 251.9]

JOLLY 81: HH-60G Helo.
Departs Helipad G for local work.
[133.75]

JOSA 544: C-21A.
Pattern work at Patrick; lands, fuels.
[132.65, 133.75, 372.2]

DRAGNET: E-3C AWACS, Tinker AFB.
Working with FANGs, SNAKEs in offshore Whiskey Areas.
[284.5, 335.95 (FANGs), 388.95 (SNAKEs)].

LIMA LIMA 46: P-3C, Jacksonville VP-30.
Pattern work at Patrick.
[133.75]

SUNNY 26: RC-12N, Hunter AAF.
[125.075]

BRONCO 01: OV-10D, US State Dept, Patrick AFB.
Local training.
[133.75]

NASA 910: T-38N #65-10352, Johnson Space Ctr.
Lands at Shuttle Landing Facility.
[124.8, 132.65, 128.55]

HAWK 83: F/A-18D, Beaufort VMFA(AW)-533.
Works at Pinecastle.
[380.8, 357.0, 273.55]

DOS 671: UH-1H, US State Dept, Patrick AFB.
Local training.
[133.75, 132.65]

41SK: N741SK, P-3, Customs, Cecil Field.
Requests maintenance be informed of ETA in 10 minutes; "fire hose."
[282.425]

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL (28-11N 80-36W) monitoring
Patrick AFB (KCOF) NASA-KSC Shuttle Landing Fac (KX68)
Avon Park Bombing Range (KAGR) Cape Canaveral AFS (KXMR)
JSTARS E-8 Acft Integration Facility, Melbourne IAP (KMLB)
Worldwide Military HF Communications
Life Member: Missile, Space and Range Pioneers.
http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/index.html (My Freqs)
http://hometown.aol.com/scanaddict/index.html (My Equipment)

1810. FS: AOR AR-2500 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-06 05:38:42 UTC
From: Rick Frazier <rickf@rickfrazier.com>
Message-ID: <4253768C.2278019D@rickfrazier.com>
Source record: 14022

For Sale: AOR AR-2500 Scanner.

AOR AR2500 Programmable Scanner
Base or Mobile Continuous Coverage Bands 1000 Channels

Includes RS AC Adapter and printed copy of manual plus manual and service manual on CD-ROM.

Free Shipping to US locations via USPS Priority Mail. Other shipping options or areas at additional cost.

I live on the big island of Hawaii, and there's not all that much going on here in the scanning scene, so am selling this unit because my needs are satisfied with my old RS pro-2021 and a ham handheld (Yaesu FT-530) that also can be set up to scan the few freqs it takes to keep up here. Trust me, it's nothing like living in San Jose CA, where I escaped from a while back. Here, I have all of the "interesting" frequencies available here in a single bank, not including the local airport...

For those that need discriminator or detector output, you can tap it on the chip TA-7761P, which is IC 13 on pin 9.

Total price including shipping as mentioned above: $100.00. If you want, I can accept credit cards direct through my company.

If interested reply to the email (rickf@rickfrazier.com) or call me on my cellular at 808-640-3549. Bear in mind that since the mainland is on daylight savings time, we are 3 hours behind the west coast... (if you call me before 930am west coast time, the cell phone is off...)

According to information I found on the internet, The AR2500 has continuous coverage from 1 MHz to 1500 MHz, with AM, FM and WBFM modes, as well as a BFO for CW and SSB. The unit is pretty small as home scanners go, 2 1/4"H x 5 5/8"W x 6 1/2"D. and weighing about 14 ounces.

There are 62 banks of 32 channels each, plus another 16 search banks. Scan banks can be scanned separately, or linked together. Scan and search rates are fast, about 36 per second, if frequencies within a bank are kept reason- ably close together. Search increments are 5, 12.5 and 25 KHz.

There is a 9 pin type D connector on the rear panel for external computer control, and AOR had software available, and aftermarket scanner control software may handle this unit. The antenna connector is a BNC type, and there is a switchable attenuator. The AR2500 has a 9-segment LED signal strength meter, a feature not found on most scanners.

One of the first things that a user will notice is that the channels within a bank are not numbered, and that the AR2500 will sort the contents within a bank in descending frequency order. When a bank is full, the word "FULL" will appear on the display, and one or more of the old channels will have to be deleted. Operation of the 2500 is quite a bit different from most scanners, but is not difficult to master it.

The manual is written by ACE, and is well-written and easy to follow, but lacks in any real technical data about the radio. It covers in detail how to program and control the radio through the computer port. There are about seven pages listing the contents of all 2016 preprogrammed channels, which are all the commonly used public service frequencies in use in the USA. (many of these have likely been replaced when I lived in San Jose)

So, if interested, let me know. No response here means it goes up on eBay soon....

Thanks
--Rick

1811. Re: Uniden BC296D, Update Please!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-06 15:20:45 UTC
From: BDK <kingratatatboy@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1cbdc85d6eff788098985a@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 14023

In article <a_I4e.4036$ZV5.2479@fed1read05>, roblonn@cox.net says...
>
> Today I went on line and downloaded the Radio Shack Pro-96 manual. I also
> noticed other things like a DSP software upgrade. I have to admit that after
> reading the Icom IC-R20, Uniden BC-296 and the Radio Shack Pro-96, The Radio
> Shack seems like a very Clear Winner based on Ease of operation, and
> software updates and flexibility!!! If the Pro-96 PERFORMS as good as
> everyone is telling me, then it seems like the logical choice. With
> Performance and Build Quality superior have I missed anything???
>
>
> "RSL" <roblonn@cox.net> wrote in message
> news:xyZ3e.3171$ZV5.3035@fed1read05...
> > Reports of problems with the BC296D having sensitivity issues early on. I
> > guess they just broke. Has this problem now been resolved??? In addition
> > is this still the best hand held scanner on the market or do you have
> > another pick for the top radio. Icom IC-R20 is nice but seems to lack the
> > TRUE scanner options that Uniden puts in its units. Just looking for an
> > update on this radio. Thank You!!
> >
>
>
>

Nope. The 296 I had was as sensitive as the 96, but intermod was worse,
so I'd take the 96 for that alone. If you program it with the PC
software you can get on the web, it's pretty painless to set up. Using
it takes a little time, but if you have used a scanner before, it's not
difficult.

Icom's problem is that Uniden and GRE have most of the scanner patents
split between them and so Icom would have to pay $$$ for rights. If Icom
would do this, make a digital trunk tracker that had decent scan speed
(the patents again), and normal scanning by bank (Patents!), and the RF
performance of my old 24AT they would have a killer if they could price
it at 500 bucks or so.

BDK

1812. Re: Repair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-06 15:53:12 UTC
From: r2000swler@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1112802792.939713.144210@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14024

RM MS wrote:
> Glad I came across this group, looks like plenty of smart people here. I
> have been in scanners snce 1989 and own a Realistic PRO-2006 (modified
> some), a Yaesu FRG-9600, and a Bearcat BCT-7, and several other very
> nice shortwave receivers.
> Maybe someone has some ideas on this one: My Lowe HF-225 bacame dead on
> all bands but MW, keypad and frequency display are working fine, cutoff
> point seems to be around 3000khz, not certain anymore, but there is a
> definite cutoff point in there where it just gets quiet, which leads me
> to suspect input filters, coils, or other front-end circuits. This may
> have been result of lightning damage. All other functions working OK. I
> have the service manual with schematics and have done some work to this
> radio in the past. Can you give me any leads? I really miss ths radio.
> Email welcome (no spam) Thanks,
> Bob from Michigan

You might want to check over in the rec.radio.shortwave group.
Pete (KE9OA) has been helping some other Lowe owners and knows
a lot about radios. He is designing a SW/HF receiver for commercial
sales.

Terry

1813. 200XLT replacement battery pack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-06 17:20:03 UTC
From: Anthony <radio@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <42541A3C.65B1@nospam.com>
Source record: 14025

Is there a business that sells a battery pack for the 200XLT with a higher capacity than the 600mA pack? MetroWest used to but it seems they are no longer in business.

1814. Re: What are these?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-06 17:31:32 UTC
From: Evan Platt <evan@theobvious.espphotography.com>
Message-ID: <j67851hfc9qct7t1nd2d2gp7112s1fp92n@4ax.com>
Source record: 14026

On Tue, 05 Apr 2005 14:54:48 -0700, fred@aol.com wrote:

>Thanks. I wonder if they are sending me a new battery?

I doubt it. Warranty on batteries is one year. It's probably an
internal battery.

Did you ship them a battery?
--
To reply, remove TheObvious from my e-mail address.

1815. Re: Can you tell me if this really exists?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-06 18:12:44 UTC
From: Evan Platt <evan@theobvious.espphotography.com>
Message-ID: <mk9851hmv0te75nctbu5j1daj0eo6o77tu@4ax.com>
Source record: 14027

On 5 Apr 2005 08:40:02 -0700, "neomage" <rizzo.christopher@gmail.com>
wrote:

>Thanks guys! I appreciate the confirmation...man, I wish that wasn't so
>far out of my price range. Since I'm new to this, I'll have to pick up
>a "trunk tracker" that works with the Phoenix Area...any
>recommendations for one that can be "modified"...? Something in the
>$200 - $300 range?

Modified for what?
--
To reply, remove TheObvious from my e-mail address.

1816. Win95 can't read from my scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-06 22:29:11 UTC
From: Jeff Seale <jseale18@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <195roek1loj75.u9x3jmqimm0u$.dlg@40tude.net>
Source record: 14028

For some odd reason, Win95 can't read off my Pro95 but can write to it. I have my Pro95 sharing a serial port with my Uniden BC780 via a Y-connector. Could that be the issue? Should I be using a switch box to make the connection instead of the Y-connector? BTW: my PC is an HP Pavilion running Windows XP.

1817. Re: Win95 can't read from my scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-06 23:09:17 UTC
From: "news.vif.com" <root@NoReply-AntiSpam.com>
Message-ID: <1112828953.560354@www.vif.com>
Source record: 14029

Jeff Seale wrote:
> For some odd reason, Win95 can't read off my Pro95 but can write to it. I
> have my Pro95 sharing a serial port with my Uniden BC780 via a Y-connector.
> Could that be the issue? Should I be using a switch box to make the
> connection instead of the Y-connector? BTW: my PC is an HP Pavilion running
> Windows XP.

Try connecting it directly not thru anything. If thats the case then
coule be your Y-connector or switch box.

--
John Medica
System & Network administrator
Listening-Post
Toronto Ontario, Canada

1818. Any listeners in souther AZ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-06 23:48:00 UTC
From: r2000swler@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1112831280.562456.228830@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14030

>From misc.survival:

---
johnwesle...@lycos.com Apr 6, 10:31 am show options
Newsgroups: alt.politics.immigration, misc.survivalism, az.general,
alt.society.conservatism, alt.rush-limbaugh
From: johnwesle...@lycos.com - Find messages by this author
Date: 6 Apr 2005 10:31:29 -0700
Local: Wed, Apr 6 2005 10:31 am
Subject: Re: Mexico UsingElectronic Warfare Against MM Project

> Everyone:

> Radio news in Phoenix reported this morning that the Minuteman
> Project is
> being radio jammed from a source in mexico. All 14 of the FRS
> channels are
> completely jammed.

> The jamming interference is very powerful, blanking out an area of
> over 100
> square miles on the Arizona border. The signal is a repeating series
> of
> electronic beeps in alternating tones and amplitude.

> This combination of factors indicates a government or military
> source, as
> this is fairly sophisticated. This is electronic warfare.

>And if the interference is from Mexico, what will be the response from
>our ass-kissing government? First, let us establish that the signal
was
>not generated by the US Gummint!

John

Anyone in that area who can comment on what is really happening?

Terry

1819. Uniden undid the cell mod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-07 00:09:42 UTC
From: fred@aol.com
Message-ID: <c8u851pac683ko35lm9h84ulhkent99vhv@4ax.com>
Source record: 14031

I got my bc200xlt back and it works... whoo hoo.. but I see that Uniden undid my mod to restore the cell band.. It worked fine with that mod for years.. OH well.. I don't think I'll bother remodding it.. not much point these days, and I would never want to break the law, especially with a scanner like this that lets you receive the images of the cell bands without the mod. Sneaky Uniden though.. :)

I wonder if they even realized why that part had been cut in half??

1820. Re: Uniden undid the cell mod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-07 01:33:16 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <vOednSFPpbZHEMnfRVn-1Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 14032

They probably just installed a new board in your case.

Bill Crocker

<fred@aol.com> wrote in message
news:c8u851pac683ko35lm9h84ulhkent99vhv@4ax.com...
>
>
> I got my bc200xlt back and it works... whoo hoo.. but I see that Uniden
> undid my
> mod to restore the cell band.. It worked fine with that mod for years.. OH
> well.. I don't think I'll bother remodding it.. not much point these days,
> and I
> would never want to break the law, especially with a scanner like this
> that
> lets you receive the images of the cell bands without the mod. Sneaky
> Uniden
> though.. :)
>
> I wonder if they even realized why that part had been cut in half??

1821. Re: Win95 can't read from my scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-07 03:09:09 UTC
From: Dave S <djsmartremovethisbit@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <4254a464$0$5396$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 14033

"news.vif.com" <root@NoReply-AntiSpam.com> wrote in message
news:1112828953.560354@www.vif.com...
>
>
> Jeff Seale wrote:
> > For some odd reason, Win95 can't read off my Pro95 but can write to it.
I
> > have my Pro95 sharing a serial port with my Uniden BC780 via a
Y-connector.
> > Could that be the issue? Should I be using a switch box to make the
> > connection instead of the Y-connector? BTW: my PC is an HP Pavilion
running
> > Windows XP.
>
> Try connecting it directly not thru anything. If thats the case then
> coule be your Y-connector or switch box.
> --
> John Medica

Where did you get a serial Y cable from in the first place? Serial is not intended to be connected to more than one device.

There are exceptions: e.g. Trunker has a special Y cable that allows two radios to be controlled off different pins from a single serial port; some Sun workstations have a special Y cable that bring com ports A and B out from a single DB-9 socket. But note that these are not connecting the same com port pins to two devices, they are simply separating the pins of a single DB-9 sockets onto two DB-9s.

The electrics of serial ports do not allow for parallel connections, and potentially could even damage the devices connected in parallel.

If you want to swap the serial between more than one device, use a switch box.

Dave S

1822. Re: FS: AOR AR-2500 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-07 04:07:14 UTC
From: Rick Frazier <rickf@rickfrazier.com>
Message-ID: <4254B282.86EB7F80@rickfrazier.com>
Source record: 14034

Scanner has been sold...

Thanks
-_Rick

Rick Frazier wrote:

> For Sale: AOR AR-2500 Scanner.
>
> AOR AR2500 Programmable Scanner
> Base or Mobile Continuous Coverage Bands 1000 Channels
>
> Includes RS AC Adapter and printed copy of manual plus manual and
> service manual on CD-ROM.
>
> Free Shipping to US locations via USPS Priority Mail. Other shipping
> options or areas at additional cost.
>
> I live on the big island of Hawaii, and there's not all that much going
> on here in the scanning scene, so am selling this unit because my needs
> are satisfied with my old RS pro-2021 and a ham handheld (Yaesu FT-530)
> that also can be set up to scan the few freqs it takes to keep up here.
> Trust me, it's nothing like living in San Jose CA, where I escaped from
> a while back. Here, I have all of the "interesting" frequencies
> available here in a single bank, not including the local airport...
>
> For those that need discriminator or detector output, you can tap it on
> the chip TA-7761P, which is IC 13 on pin 9.
>
> Total price including shipping as mentioned above: $100.00. If you
> want, I can accept credit cards direct through my company.
>
> If interested reply to the email (rickf@rickfrazier.com) or call me on
> my cellular at 808-640-3549. Bear in mind that since the mainland is on
> daylight savings time, we are 3 hours behind the west coast... (if you
> call me before 930am west coast time, the cell phone is off...)
>
> According to information I found on the internet, The AR2500 has
> continuous coverage from 1 MHz to 1500 MHz, with AM, FM and WBFM modes,
> as well as a BFO for CW and SSB. The unit is pretty small as home
> scanners go, 2 1/4"H x 5 5/8"W x 6 1/2"D. and weighing about 14 ounces.
>
> There are 62 banks of 32 channels each, plus another 16 search banks.
> Scan banks can be scanned separately, or linked together. Scan and
> search rates are fast, about 36 per second, if frequencies within a bank

> are kept reason- ably close together. Search increments are 5, 12.5 and
> 25 KHz.
>
> There is a 9 pin type D connector on the rear panel for external
> computer control, and AOR had software available, and aftermarket
> scanner control software may handle this unit. The antenna connector is
> a BNC type, and there is a switchable attenuator. The AR2500 has a
> 9-segment LED signal strength meter, a feature not found on most
> scanners.
>
> One of the first things that a user will notice is that the channels
> within a bank are not numbered, and that the AR2500 will sort the
> contents within a bank in descending frequency order. When a bank is
> full, the word "FULL" will appear on the display, and one or more of the
> old channels will have to be deleted. Operation of the 2500 is quite a
> bit different from most scanners, but is not difficult to master it.
>
> The manual is written by ACE, and is well-written and easy to follow,
> but lacks in any real technical data about the radio. It covers in
> detail how to program and control the radio through the computer port.
> There are about seven pages listing the contents of all 2016
> preprogrammed channels, which are all the commonly used public service
> frequencies in use in the USA. (many of these have likely been replaced
> when I lived in San Jose)
>
> So, if interested, let me know. No response here means it goes up on
> eBay soon....
>
> Thanks
> --Rick

1823. Temecula, CA ambulance Frequencies??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-07 04:18:20 UTC
From: Jim <jbollit@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <gw25e.2265$yq6.15@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 14035

Anyone have the frequencies for ambulance services for Temecula, CA??

Thanks in advance

Jim

1824. Re: Uniden undid the cell mod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-07 04:35:15 UTC
From: Paul Keenleyside <beeceepleights@teluz.net>
Message-ID: <7M25e.6620$vt1.2168@edtnps90>
Source record: 14036

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:vOednSFPpbZHEMnfRVn-1Q@comcast.com...
> They probably just installed a new board in your case.
>
> Bill Crocker

Bill did you really want to say that?

snicker

1825. Re: Recommend Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-07 04:57:00 UTC
From: Tommy Of Earth <tommy_of_earth@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1112849820.390426.266020@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14037

>>Good luck.
Well how about just 800 Mhz trunking?

1826. Re: What are these?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-07 15:19:04 UTC
From: Evan Platt <evan@theobvious.espphotography.com>
Message-ID: <4qja5196sqbe6n6hnbbf9luape0au2mepd@4ax.com>
Source record: 14038

On Wed, 06 Apr 2005 13:15:15 -0700, fred@aol.com wrote:

>This is not a warranty service.

I understand that. The bc200 is WAY out of warranty.

>Yes I shipped it with the battery.

I doubt you'll get a new battery. Warranty on a battery is typically
one year.

--
To reply, remove TheObvious from my e-mail address.

1827. Re: Can you tell me if this really exists?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-07 15:50:51 UTC
From: neomage <rizzo.christopher@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1112889051.599987.251650@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14039

evan...a friend of mine told me that some of the new scanners come with
"blocked" frequencies, and told me that I should get one that I will be
able to unblock

1828. Rohn 25 For sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-07 18:06:55 UTC
From: r392 <r392@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <3Fe5e.23$nH4.22@trndny05>
Source record: 14040
Cross-posted to: nj.forsale,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have 4-10ft sections of Rohn 25 and one 9ft top and standard Base for
sale.
49ft total.
1-standard base
No rust up for one year in VGC . On ground for 1.
$275
Located in Central NJ.
Doug
N2PLR

1829. rohn 25 forsale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-07 18:10:21 UTC
From: r392 <r392@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <hIe5e.1054$Xm3.297@trndny01>
Source record: 14041
Cross-posted to: nj.forsale,pa.forsale,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.scanner

I have 4-10ft sections of Rohn 25 and one 9ft top and standard Base for
sale.
49ft total.
1-standard base
No rust up for one year in VGC . On ground for 1.
$275
Located in Central NJ.
Doug
N2PLR

1830. Re: Win95 can't read from my scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-07 18:22:09 UTC
From: r2000swler@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1112898129.292423.313510@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14042

And there are some simple "networking" diode matrixes
that we used to use with early AX25 TNCs. Three TNCs
connected by a "funky "Y" cable. When I first ran into one
I thought what idiot designed this. After seeing it in operation,
I realised that it was from from idiotic.

Terry

1831. Re: Recommend Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-07 19:06:22 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Owf5e.2466$yq6.200@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 14043

"Tommy Of Earth" <tommy_of_earth@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1112849820.390426.266020@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>>>Good luck.
> Well how about just 800 Mhz trunking?
>

Pro-95 works great for this, and are on sale right now at The shack.
--
Things get better with age. I'm approaching magnificent!!

1832. BC 780 XLT Trunktracker III for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-07 19:21:39 UTC
From: Neil Bell <neilbell@notreally.att.net>
Message-ID: <vt1b51pdm81emsol4t1a87c1avj6mnjv33@4ax.com>
Source record: 14044

Perfect condition, includes PC programming cable and manual. Also
includes a very nice telescoping whip antenna which can extend up to
four feet long. I will ship to USA or Canada only. Best offer over
$150 takes it home. Respond by April 15th please. I accept Paypal or
USPS money order. My e-Bay feedback is %100 positive - eBay name is
neilbell. contact off group at:

Notice required change to e-mail address below
Neil Bell

"Men never do evil so completely and cheerfully
as when they do it from religious conviction."
-- Blaise Pascal

For e-mail replies remove the "notreally."

1833. Re: Ultimate scanner (wishful thinking)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-08 00:48:59 UTC
From: Steve Silverwood <kb6ojs@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.1cbdc4d8812334359896a5@news-server.socal.rr.com>
Source record: 14045

In article <42508e3f$0$5597$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>,
djsmartremovethisbit@optusnet.com.au says...
> Oh, OK
>
> Certainly, the 245 and more recent scanners do not have that limitation.

Thanks, I'll check them out when budget permits.

--

-- //Steve//

Steve Silverwood, KB6OJS
Fountain Valley, CA
Email: kb6ojs@arrl.net

1834. Cell Phone Towers of the NWO (---> ultra funny KOOK site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-08 03:00:36 UTC
From: auntie Iris <goto.rathergood.com@null.com>
Message-ID: <b4d54$4255f3e8$d1cc5bc5$15027@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 14046
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Go here and BUST A GUT laughing your ass off!!

here---> http://www.tearingdownstrongholds.com/

I quote from the kook site above:

"We've been conditioned to believe we need all these
towers for cell phones. These ARE NOT cell phone
towers, read about The ELF God. The types above
are typical for ELF towers that the NWO is using
for mind control messaging and dumbing down
the population. Sensitive people can pick up
messages such as "Accept The New World Order"
and others being blasted by these towers.
If you see white flashes of light emanating from
them then, and even if you don't..they are working
to condition your mind......"

---- cut ----

Baaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa....Ha Ha Ha Ha Ha HA !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
I haven't laughed this hard since my last colonoscopy!

This a MUST SEE folks! : http://www.tearingdownstrongholds.com/
^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^
^ ^ ^
(The new World Order explained by TRAILER DWELLING XIAN
LUDDITES what ain't got a clue or know a resistor from a rim-job !!)

1835. Re: Cell Phone Towers of the NWO (---> ultra funny KOOK site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-08 03:22:29 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <C6SdnTE5WLNqZcjfRVn-qw@centurytel.net>
Source record: 14047
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

auntie Iris wrote:
> Go here and BUST A GUT laughing your ass off!!
>
> here---> http://www.tearingdownstrongholds.com/
>
> I quote from the kook site above:
>
> "We've been conditioned to believe we need all these
> towers for cell phones. These ARE NOT cell phone
> towers, read about The ELF God. The types above
> are typical for ELF towers that the NWO is using
> for mind control messaging and dumbing down
> the population. Sensitive people can pick up
> messages such as "Accept The New World Order"
> and others being blasted by these towers.
> If you see white flashes of light emanating from
> them then, and even if you don't..they are working
> to condition your mind......"
>
> ---- cut ----
>
> Baaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa....Ha Ha Ha Ha Ha HA !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> I haven't laughed this hard since my last colonoscopy!
>
> This a MUST SEE folks! : http://www.tearingdownstrongholds.com/
> ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^
> ^ ^ ^
> (The new World Order explained by TRAILER DWELLING XIAN
> LUDDITES what ain't got a clue or know a resistor from a rim-job !!)
>

Todd? Is that you? Are these the ELF's that you invented? Now that the government knows that you are spreading the word, I fear they will be sending the black helicopters for you. The only advice I have for you is to save 1 bullet for yourself!

1836. Re: Cell Phone Towers of the NWO (---> ultra funny KOOK site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-08 03:23:57 UTC
From: jblues15@msn.com
Message-ID: <1112930637.598260.86450@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 14048
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

>>Iris said: Baaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa....Ha Ha Ha Ha Ha HA
!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>>I haven't laughed this hard since my last colonoscopy!

God Damn, if that's all it takes for you to bust a gut maybe you should
consult a psychiatrist or get a cat scan. You could be suffering from
a brain tumor or worse. Jake

1837. Re: Cell Phone Towers of the NWO (---> ultra funny KOOK site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-08 03:35:22 UTC
From: "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <_Zm5e.2510$An2.1215@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 14049
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"auntie Iris" <goto.rathergood.com@null.com> wrote in message
news:b4d54$4255f3e8$d1cc5bc5$15027@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
:
: Go here and BUST A GUT laughing your ass off!!
:
: here---> http://www.tearingdownstrongholds.com/
:
: I quote from the kook site above:
:
: "We've been conditioned to believe we need all these
: towers for cell phones. These ARE NOT cell phone
: towers, read about The ELF God. The types above
: are typical for ELF towers that the NWO is using
: for mind control messaging and dumbing down
: the population.

Oh, but don't be so quick to dismiss this as the work of Christian Kooks.
Right here on rec.radio.amateur.policy we have N9OGL inventing the very
transmitters that the ELF God is using. He makes incredible claims for
transmitting these hidden messages by compressing voice signals into digital
signals and transmitting them on ELF. Scary stuff!

Here's a sample of his "work"

> From N9OGL

> Tuesday, March 22, 2005

> A ELF TRANSMITTER

> I have built a ELF audio to pulse transmitter, the transmitter
> operates on a frequency of 1 to 30 Hz. A person talks
> through the transmitter which is converted into pulses.
> The pulse rate can be regulated by a variable resistor.
> The pulse then go's to a oscillator which is variable and
> can operate on a 1 cycle to 30 cycles.

I think we should be afraid, VERY afraid!

M.A.N.
--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire

1838. Re: Cell Phone Towers of the NWO (---> ultra funny KOOK site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-08 04:07:34 UTC
From: ++The Commentator++ <nospam@no.trolls>
Message-ID: <42560386.26860753@no.trolls>
Source record: 14050
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

auntie Iris wrote:
>
> Go here and BUST A GUT laughing your ass off!!
>
> here---> http://www.tearingdownstrongholds.com/
>
> I quote from the kook site above:
>
> "We've been conditioned to believe we need all these
> towers for cell phones. These ARE NOT cell phone
> towers, read about The ELF God. The types above
> are typical for ELF towers that the NWO is using
> for mind control messaging and dumbing down
> the population. Sensitive people can pick up
> messages such as "Accept The New World Order"
> and others being blasted by these towers.
> If you see white flashes of light emanating from
> them then, and even if you don't..they are working
> to condition your mind......"
>
> ---- cut ----
>
> Baaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa....Ha Ha Ha Ha Ha HA !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> I haven't laughed this hard since my last colonoscopy!
>
> This a MUST SEE folks! : http://www.tearingdownstrongholds.com/
> ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^
> ^ ^ ^
> (The new World Order explained by TRAILER DWELLING XIAN
> LUDDITES what ain't got a clue or know a resistor from a rim-job !!)

Ye flipping gods! Mental illness is an ugly thing.

1839. Re: Any listeners in souther AZ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-08 12:01:18 UTC
From: Brad <bradvk2qq@w6ir.com>
Message-ID: <4256721e$0$43987$14726298@news.sunsite.dk>
Source record: 13901

"Barry OGrady" <not@this.add> wrote in message
news:6eaa51papopin2t91chaigd9hj75r3b2ob@4ax.com...
> Yes.
>
> Barry

Barry, can't you spell? You're not in "souther AZ", you are in Southern OZ.

Brad.

1840. Re: Cell Phone Towers of the NWO (---> ultra funny KOOK site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-08 13:05:49 UTC
From: "Stephen M.H. Lawrence" <smhlawrence@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <S7edncAwHJA8HMvfRVn-1g@comcast.com>
Source record: 13902
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"auntie Iris" <goto.rathergood.com@null.com> wrote in message
news:b4d54$4255f3e8$d1cc5bc5$15027@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>
> Go here and BUST A GUT laughing your ass off!!
>
> here---> http://www.tearingdownstrongholds.com/

You can usually tell you're reading the webpage of a
schizoid because they tend to Capitalize Words unnecessarily.

73,

Steve

1841. FS: Agrelo DFjr, radio direction finder.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-08 14:11:11 UTC
From: Michael Malloy <mmalloy@wi.rr.com>
Message-ID: <3iw5e.10920$215.4317@tornado.rdc-kc.rr.com>
Source record: 13903

I have an Agrelo DFjr.radio direction finder for sale. It has antennas
cut for two meters. Interfaces with a GPS.
Asking $200.00

1842. FA - AOR 8200 MK II B

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-08 14:19:20 UTC
From: John Schneider <thecop@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <lpw5e.220$Zg4.54@fe12.lga>
Source record: 13904

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5766323665

1843. FA - Uniden BC250D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-08 14:37:40 UTC
From: John Schneider <thecop@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <UGw5e.227$em4.48@fe12.lga>
Source record: 13905

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5766326466

1844. Great New DX-394 Mods & Website (DX-398/ATS-909/FRG-7 coming)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-08 15:00:33 UTC
From: Tom Holden <holden_family@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <l0x5e.10156$6k4.985151@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 13906
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Checkout http://www.monitor.co.uk/ . I just discovered it, appears to be very new. Well organized, clean, clear photos, graphics, descriptions of why and how-to for modifications to the Radio Shack/Tandy DX-394 General Coverage Communications Receiver. Also has PDFs of manuals and schematic.

Along with the 850 plus member user group at http://groups.yahoo.com/group/RADIOSHACKDX394/ and the collection of mods at http://www.mods.dk/view.php?ModelId=573, this new site helps make the DX-394 the most thoroughly hacked, researched and documented radio in the world.

Tom

1845. Re: Great New DX-394 Mods & Website (DX-398/ATS-909/FRG-7 coming)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-08 15:49:36 UTC
From: roger <rogers.mail@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <kKx5e.16481$kr.11752@newsfe1-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 13907
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

And also the biggest load of junk on the market
Sw

"Tom Holden" <holden_family@sympatico.ca> wrote in message
news:l0x5e.10156$6k4.985151@news20.bellglobal.com...
> Checkout http://www.monitor.co.uk/ . I just discovered it, appears to be
> very new. Well organized, clean, clear photos, graphics, descriptions of
> why and how-to for modifications to the Radio Shack/Tandy DX-394 General
> Coverage Communications Receiver. Also has PDFs of manuals and schematic.
>
> Along with the 850 plus member user group at
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/RADIOSHACKDX394/ and the collection of mods
> at http://www.mods.dk/view.php?ModelId=573, this new site helps make the
> DX-394 the most thoroughly hacked, researched and documented radio in the
> world.
>
> Tom
>

1846. Re: Great New DX-394 Mods & Website (DX-398/ATS-909/FRG-7 coming)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-08 16:29:44 UTC
From: Mike Lee <swift240@yahoo.co.uk>
Message-ID: <Yjy5e.42133$C12.14653@fe1.news.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 13908
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

roger wrote:
> And also the biggest load of junk on the market
> Sw
>
>
> "Tom Holden" <holden_family@sympatico.ca> wrote in message
> news:l0x5e.10156$6k4.985151@news20.bellglobal.com...
>
>>Checkout http://www.monitor.co.uk/ . I just discovered it, appears to be
>>very new. Well organized, clean, clear photos, graphics, descriptions of
>>why and how-to for modifications to the Radio Shack/Tandy DX-394 General
>>Coverage Communications Receiver. Also has PDFs of manuals and schematic.
>>
>>Along with the 850 plus member user group at
>>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/RADIOSHACKDX394/ and the collection of mods
>>at http://www.mods.dk/view.php?ModelId=573, this new site helps make the
>>DX-394 the most thoroughly hacked, researched and documented radio in the
>>world.
>>
>>Tom
>>
>
>
>
I find the site pretty good myself.

Mike.

--
http://uk.geocities.com/swift240/mikes_page_1.html

1847. Re: Great New DX-394 Mods & Website (DX-398/ATS-909/FRG-7 coming)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-08 17:53:06 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <0yz5e.14$Bh1.1@fe06.lga>
Source record: 13909
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I'm quite positive he was talking about the radio, not the site...

"Mike Lee" <swift240@yahoo.co.uk> wrote in message
news:Yjy5e.42133$C12.14653@fe1.news.blueyonder.co.uk...
> I find the site pretty good myself.
>
> Mike.
>
> --
> http://uk.geocities.com/swift240/mikes_page_1.html
>
> roger wrote:
> > And also the biggest load of junk on the market
> > Sw
> >
> >
> > "Tom Holden" <holden_family@sympatico.ca> wrote in message
> > news:l0x5e.10156$6k4.985151@news20.bellglobal.com...
> >
> >>Checkout http://www.monitor.co.uk/ . I just discovered it, appears to be
> >>very new. Well organized, clean, clear photos, graphics, descriptions of
> >>why and how-to for modifications to the Radio Shack/Tandy DX-394 General
> >>Coverage Communications Receiver. Also has PDFs of manuals and
schematic.
> >>
> >>Along with the 850 plus member user group at
> >>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/RADIOSHACKDX394/ and the collection of
mods
> >>at http://www.mods.dk/view.php?ModelId=573, this new site helps make the
> >>DX-394 the most thoroughly hacked, researched and documented radio in
the
> >>world.
> >>
> >>Tom
> >>
> >
> >
> >

1848. Re: Cell Phone Towers of the NWO (---> ultra funny KOOK site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-08 18:33:01 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1112985181.907418.84000@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13910
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

SMHL,
Boy Howdy Schizoids-R-I
Sometimes I Am Wrong !
Sometimes I Am Right !
But... I Am Always 'we'
for i remain 'rhf' ~ RHF
. . . . .

1849. Re: Cell Phone Towers of the NWO (---> ultra funny KOOK site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-08 18:43:22 UTC
From: "Stephen M.H. Lawrence" <smhlawrence@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <YtudndwY_LwjTcvfRVn-vA@comcast.com>
Source record: 13911
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:1112985181.907418.84000@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> SMHL,
> Boy Howdy Schizoids-R-I
> Sometimes I Am Wrong !
> Sometimes I Am Right !
> But... I Am Always 'we'
> for i remain 'rhf' ~ RHF

So am I! Me, too! Hey, would you, I mean, I, stop it?

Cut it out, gang, it's getting crowded in here!

(No, wait, that's multiple personality!)

73,

--
Steve Lawrence
Burnsville, Minnesota

Every moment of a human life is an act of worship.

Coincidence is God's way of being anonymous.

1850. Re: Great New DX-394 Mods & Website (DX-398/ATS-909/FRG-7 coming)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-08 19:11:25 UTC
From: Tom Holden <holden_family@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <xHA5e.10558$6k4.1031303@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 13912
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"roger" <rogers.mail@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
news:kKx5e.16481$kr.11752@newsfe1-gui.ntli.net...
> And also the biggest load of junk on the market
> Sw

Not according to reputable reviewers:

1. Radio Netherlands Receiver Test Laboratory Review of the DX-394
by Jonathan Marks & Tom Sundstrom - 4 out of 5 star "Value Rating"
http://www.rnw.nl/realradio/dx394.html

2. QST Magazine review of DX-394A et al from 1997
PDF file of review of DX-394 and Drake SW1 sub-$300 receivers and Yaesu
FT-920 MF/HF/6 Meter Transceiver
http://hamradio.online.ru/ftp/pr9710.pdf

3. Kurzwellenempf&#65533;nger Realistic DX 394
http://www.dr-boesch.ch/radio/realistic-dx394-test3.htm (German)
http://tools.search.yahoo.com/language/translation/translatedPage.php?tt=url&text=http%3a//www.dr-boesch.ch/radio/realistic-dx394-test3.htm&lp=de_en&.intl=us
(English machine translation - it's a hoot to read!)

4. eHam.net Reviews - Radio Shack DX-394
Collection of reviews by owners under Receivers: General Coverage. Average
3.8 out of 5.
http://www.eham.net/reviews/detail/2108

5. DXing.com Modern Shortwave Receiver Survey
DX-394 is below the DX-302 on the same page. Rating was increased from 1H to
3H about Jan 28/2004.
http://www.dxing.com/rx/dx302.htm

6. Passport to World Band Radio Review
1997 review from PWBR concludes: "Overall, the Radio Shack/Realistic DX-394
is a decent receiver for relatively little money. But it's no barn burner. "
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/RADIOSHACKDX394/files/Reviews/

One person's junk is another's treasure.

Tom

>
> "Tom Holden" <holden_family@sympatico.ca> wrote in message
> news:l0x5e.10156$6k4.985151@news20.bellglobal.com...
>> Checkout http://www.monitor.co.uk/ . I just discovered it, appears to be

>> very new. Well organized, clean, clear photos, graphics, descriptions of
>> why and how-to for modifications to the Radio Shack/Tandy DX-394 General
>> Coverage Communications Receiver. Also has PDFs of manuals and schematic.
>>
>> Along with the 850 plus member user group at
>> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/RADIOSHACKDX394/ and the collection of mods
>> at http://www.mods.dk/view.php?ModelId=573, this new site helps make the
>> DX-394 the most thoroughly hacked, researched and documented radio in the
>> world.
>>
>> Tom
>>
>
>

1851. Re: Great New DX-394 Mods & Website (DX-398/ATS-909/FRG-7 coming)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-08 19:30:07 UTC
From: Tom Holden <holden_family@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <3ZA5e.10568$6k4.1032769@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 13915
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Tom Holden" <holden_family@sympatico.ca> wrote in message
news:xHA5e.10558$6k4.1031303@news20.bellglobal.com...
> 5. DXing.com Modern Shortwave Receiver Survey
> DX-394 is below the DX-302 on the same page. Rating was increased from 1H
> to 3H about Jan 28/2004.
> http://www.dxing.com/rx/dx302.htm

Correction: the rating was increased about Jan 28/2005, not 2004, correcting an obvious mistake. This longstanding, unsubstantiated 1H rating on DXing.com probably adversely affected the opinions of some uninformed individuals.

Tom

1852. Re: Great New DX-394 Mods & Website (DX-398/ATS-909/FRG-7 coming)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-08 19:34:40 UTC
From: roger <rogers.mail@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <k1B5e.16524$kr.15250@newsfe1-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 13913
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I have owned one in the past, it had one with the K-Filters in and it was
still the biggest load of rubbish I ever had the misfortune to own.
They are nothing more than a childs toy. Get yourself a decent receiver for
gods sake, even those cheap Tecsun things blow the dx394 away on EVERYTHING,
Man they are a joke.!
SW

"Tom Holden" <holden_family@sympatico.ca> wrote in message
news:xHA5e.10558$6k4.1031303@news20.bellglobal.com...
> "roger" <rogers.mail@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
> news:kKx5e.16481$kr.11752@newsfe1-gui.ntli.net...
>> And also the biggest load of junk on the market
>> Sw
>
>
> Not according to reputable reviewers:
>
> 1. Radio Netherlands Receiver Test Laboratory Review of the DX-394
> by Jonathan Marks & Tom Sundstrom - 4 out of 5 star "Value Rating"
> http://www.rnw.nl/realradio/dx394.html
>
> 2. QST Magazine review of DX-394A et al from 1997
> PDF file of review of DX-394 and Drake SW1 sub-$300 receivers and Yaesu
> FT-920 MF/HF/6 Meter Transceiver
> http://hamradio.online.ru/ftp/pr9710.pdf
>
> 3. Kurzwellenempf&#65533;nger Realistic DX 394
> http://www.dr-boesch.ch/radio/realistic-dx394-test3.htm (German)
> http://tools.search.yahoo.com/language/translation/translatedPage.php?tt=url&text=http%3a//www.dr-boesch.ch/radio/realistic-dx394-test3.htm&lp=de_en&.intl=us
> (English machine translation - it's a hoot to read!)
>
> 4. eHam.net Reviews - Radio Shack DX-394
> Collection of reviews by owners under Receivers: General Coverage. Average
> 3.8 out of 5.
> http://www.eham.net/reviews/detail/2108
>
> 5. DXing.com Modern Shortwave Receiver Survey
> DX-394 is below the DX-302 on the same page. Rating was increased from 1H
> to 3H about Jan 28/2004.
> http://www.dxing.com/rx/dx302.htm
>

> 6. Passport to World Band Radio Review
> 1997 review from PWBR concludes: "Overall, the Radio Shack/Realistic
> DX-394 is a decent receiver for relatively little money. But it's no barn
> burner. "
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/RADIOSHACKDX394/files/Reviews/
>
> One person's junk is another's treasure.
>
> Tom
>
>>
>> "Tom Holden" <holden_family@sympatico.ca> wrote in message
>> news:l0x5e.10156$6k4.985151@news20.bellglobal.com...
>>> Checkout http://www.monitor.co.uk/ . I just discovered it, appears to be
>>> very new. Well organized, clean, clear photos, graphics, descriptions of
>>> why and how-to for modifications to the Radio Shack/Tandy DX-394 General
>>> Coverage Communications Receiver. Also has PDFs of manuals and
>>> schematic.
>>>
>>> Along with the 850 plus member user group at
>>> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/RADIOSHACKDX394/ and the collection of
>>> mods at http://www.mods.dk/view.php?ModelId=573, this new site helps
>>> make the DX-394 the most thoroughly hacked, researched and documented
>>> radio in the world.
>>>
>>> Tom
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

1853. Re: Great New DX-394 Mods & Website (DX-398/ATS-909/FRG-7 coming)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-08 19:38:46 UTC
From: fcathell@msn.com
Message-ID: <1112989126.867796.235480@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13914
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Well done site! Damn, some of these mods look familiar!

Frank

Tom Holden wrote:
> "roger" <rogers.mail@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
> news:kKx5e.16481$kr.11752@newsfe1-gui.ntli.net...
> > And also the biggest load of junk on the market
> > Sw
>
>
> Not according to reputable reviewers:
>
> 1. Radio Netherlands Receiver Test Laboratory Review of the DX-394
> by Jonathan Marks & Tom Sundstrom - 4 out of 5 star "Value Rating"
> http://www.rnw.nl/realradio/dx394.html
>
> 2. QST Magazine review of DX-394A et al from 1997
> PDF file of review of DX-394 and Drake SW1 sub-$300 receivers and
Yaesu
> FT-920 MF/HF/6 Meter Transceiver
> http://hamradio.online.ru/ftp/pr9710.pdf
>
> 3. Kurzwellenempfänger Realistic DX 394
> http://www.dr-boesch.ch/radio/realistic-dx394-test3.htm (German)
>
http://tools.search.yahoo.com/language/translation/translatedPage.php?tt=url&text=http%3a/
/www.dr-boesch.ch/radio/realistic-dx394-test3.htm&lp=de_en&.intl=us

> (English machine translation - it's a hoot to read!)
>
> 4. eHam.net Reviews - Radio Shack DX-394
> Collection of reviews by owners under Receivers: General Coverage.
Average
> 3.8 out of 5.
> http://www.eham.net/reviews/detail/2108
>
> 5. DXing.com Modern Shortwave Receiver Survey
> DX-394 is below the DX-302 on the same page. Rating was increased
from 1H to
> 3H about Jan 28/2004.
> http://www.dxing.com/rx/dx302.htm
>

> 6. Passport to World Band Radio Review
> 1997 review from PWBR concludes: "Overall, the Radio Shack/Realistic
DX-394
> is a decent receiver for relatively little money. But it's no barn
burner. "
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/RADIOSHACKDX394/files/Reviews/
>
> One person's junk is another's treasure.
>
> Tom
>
> >
> > "Tom Holden" <holden_family@sympatico.ca> wrote in message
> > news:l0x5e.10156$6k4.985151@news20.bellglobal.com...
> >> Checkout http://www.monitor.co.uk/ . I just discovered it, appears
to be
> >> very new. Well organized, clean, clear photos, graphics,
descriptions of
> >> why and how-to for modifications to the Radio Shack/Tandy DX-394
General
> >> Coverage Communications Receiver. Also has PDFs of manuals and
schematic.
> >>
> >> Along with the 850 plus member user group at
> >> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/RADIOSHACKDX394/ and the collection
of mods
> >> at http://www.mods.dk/view.php?ModelId=573, this new site helps
make the
> >> DX-394 the most thoroughly hacked, researched and documented radio
in the
> >> world.
> >>
> >> Tom
> >>
> >
> >

1854. Re: Great New DX-394 Mods & Website (DX-398/ATS-909/FRG-7 coming)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-08 19:47:24 UTC
From: Tom Holden <holden_family@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <gdB5e.10579$6k4.1034250@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 13917
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

They sure do, Frank! I sent the webmaster a message complimenting him on his work with the comment that the one thing missing was credit to the inventors of the mods, "from the pioneering Frank Cathell to whoever had developed these new ones I had never seen before". I have no idea who is behind the site and whether it's motivated by anything other than enthusiasm to share what he/she has done. It's first class, all the way.

73, Tom

<fcathell@msn.com> wrote in message
news:1112989126.867796.235480@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
Well done site! Damn, some of these mods look familiar!

Frank

1855. Re: Great New DX-394 Mods & Website (DX-398/ATS-909/FRG-7 coming)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-08 20:00:07 UTC
From: roger <rogers.mail@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <bpB5e.16528$kr.5151@newsfe1-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 13916
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

A rating of 3 is still crap!

"Tom Holden" <holden_family@sympatico.ca> wrote in message
news:3ZA5e.10568$6k4.1032769@news20.bellglobal.com...
>
> "Tom Holden" <holden_family@sympatico.ca> wrote in message
> news:xHA5e.10558$6k4.1031303@news20.bellglobal.com...
>> 5. DXing.com Modern Shortwave Receiver Survey
>> DX-394 is below the DX-302 on the same page. Rating was increased from 1H
>> to 3H about Jan 28/2004.
>> http://www.dxing.com/rx/dx302.htm
>
> Correction: the rating was increased about Jan 28/2005, not 2004,
> correcting an obvious mistake. This longstanding, unsubstantiated 1H
> rating on DXing.com probably adversely affected the opinions of some
> uninformed individuals.
>
> Tom
>

1856. Re: Great New DX-394 Mods & Website (DX-398/ATS-909/FRG-7 coming)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-08 21:27:24 UTC
From: roger <rogers.mail@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <0HC5e.16551$kr.7249@newsfe1-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 13918
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hey, Tom! I'm only having a laugh, they are OK, I got one myself. nice to
see you not rise to the bait. :-))
regards
SW

"Tom Holden" <holden_family@sympatico.ca> wrote in message
news:3ZA5e.10568$6k4.1032769@news20.bellglobal.com...
>
> "Tom Holden" <holden_family@sympatico.ca> wrote in message
> news:xHA5e.10558$6k4.1031303@news20.bellglobal.com...
>> 5. DXing.com Modern Shortwave Receiver Survey
>> DX-394 is below the DX-302 on the same page. Rating was increased from 1H
>> to 3H about Jan 28/2004.
>> http://www.dxing.com/rx/dx302.htm
>
> Correction: the rating was increased about Jan 28/2005, not 2004,
> correcting an obvious mistake. This longstanding, unsubstantiated 1H
> rating on DXing.com probably adversely affected the opinions of some
> uninformed individuals.
>
> Tom
>

1857. Re: Great New DX-394 Mods & Website (DX-398/ATS-909/FRG-7 coming)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-08 21:36:16 UTC
From: Tom Holden <holden_family@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <kPC5e.12031$6k4.1044480@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 13919
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"roger" <rogers.mail@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
news:bpB5e.16528$kr.5151@newsfe1-gui.ntli.net...
>A rating of 3 is still crap!

Not according to DXing.com who gave it 3: " A rating of 1 to 5 stars for the
model as a value on the used market.
HHHHH Excellent HHHH Very Good HHH Good HH Fair H Poor. "

That Panasonic RF-4900 you sold for ?85.90 is rated by the same folks at 2
(HH) - that's closer to crap, but still 'Fair'. On Mar 30, you posted that
you wanted to swap it for a DX-394
http://groups.google.ca/groups?q=rogers.mail%40ntlworld.com&start=10&hl=en&lr=&selm=hZz2e.826%24wQ2.632%
40newsfe5-gui.ntli.net&rnum=12 .
Sounds like an upgrade from a 'Fair' to a 'Good' value radio and
inconsistent with your expressed opinion.

The Kenwood R-2000 you were selling off in 2003 is rated at 4 (HHHH) by
DXing.com. That's 1H more than the DX-394 and it sells for 2-3 times the
price on the used market. Just a little less crappy, was it? ;-)

73, Tom

1858. Re: Great New DX-394 Mods & Website (DX-398/ATS-909/FRG-7 coming)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-08 21:48:25 UTC
From: roger <rogers.mail@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <J_C5e.16555$kr.9410@newsfe1-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 13920
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Seems like you did rise to the bait then Tom
Just shows what a sad b*****d you really are, doesn't it. :-))
SW

"Tom Holden" <holden_family@sympatico.ca> wrote in message
news:kPC5e.12031$6k4.1044480@news20.bellglobal.com...
> "roger" <rogers.mail@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
> news:bpB5e.16528$kr.5151@newsfe1-gui.ntli.net...
>>A rating of 3 is still crap!
>
> Not according to DXing.com who gave it 3: " A rating of 1 to 5 stars for
> the model as a value on the used market.
> HHHHH Excellent HHHH Very Good HHH Good HH Fair H Poor. "
>
> That Panasonic RF-4900 you sold for £85.90 is rated by the same folks at 2
> (HH) - that's closer to crap, but still 'Fair'. On Mar 30, you posted that
> you wanted to swap it for a DX-394
> http://groups.google.ca/groups?q=rogers.mail%40ntlworld.com&start=10&hl=en&lr=&selm=hZz2e.826%24wQ2.632
%40newsfe5-gui.ntli.net&rnum=12 .
> Sounds like an upgrade from a 'Fair' to a 'Good' value radio and
> inconsistent with your expressed opinion.
>
> The Kenwood R-2000 you were selling off in 2003 is rated at 4 (HHHH) by
> DXing.com. That's 1H more than the DX-394 and it sells for 2-3 times the
> price on the used market. Just a little less crappy, was it? ;-)
>
> 73, Tom
>
>
>

1859. Re: Cell Phone Towers of the NWO (---> ultra funny KOOK site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-08 23:05:14 UTC
From: "Darkwing (Double Secret Disinformation Agent)" <theducksmailATyahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ztWdncgv2_BskMrfRVn-hw@giganews.com>
Source record: 13921
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"auntie Iris" <goto.rathergood.com@null.com> wrote in message
news:b4d54$4255f3e8$d1cc5bc5$15027@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>
> Go here and BUST A GUT laughing your ass off!!
>
> here---> http://www.tearingdownstrongholds.com/
>

WOW. That site is a special kind of stupid.

DW

1860. Re: Cell Phone Towers of the NWO (---> ultra funny KOOK site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-08 23:08:28 UTC
From: Dave <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <pOSdnS5tWbBuk8rfRVn-pQ@crocker.com>
Source record: 13922
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Darkwing (Double Secret Disinformation Agent)" <theducksmail"AT"yahoo.com>
wrote in message news:ztWdncgv2_BskMrfRVn-hw@giganews.com...
>
> "auntie Iris" <goto.rathergood.com@null.com> wrote in message
> news:b4d54$4255f3e8$d1cc5bc5$15027@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> >
> > Go here and BUST A GUT laughing your ass off!!
> >
> > here---> http://www.tearingdownstrongholds.com/
> >
>
>
> WOW. That site is a special kind of stupid.

i especially like the 'do it yourself' part where he explains that the whole
thing is held together with bondo. great stuff that bondo, fix your car
body and keep away evil spirits all in one can.

1861. Re: Cell Phone Towers of the NWO (---> ultra funny KOOK site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-09 01:08:00 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113008880.758579.49930@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13923
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Try these sites

http://www.duluthsuperior.com/mld/duluthsuperior/9698674.htm

http://www.vlf.it/zevs/zevs.htm

http://enterprise.spawar.navy.mil/
UploadedFiles/fs_clam_lake_elf2003.pdf

http://www-star.stanford.edu/~acfs/82Hz.pdf

http://www.elfrad.com/clam.htm

Todd N9OGL

1862. Re: Cell Phone Towers of the NWO (---> ultra funny KOOK site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-09 01:34:56 UTC
From: Art Deco <art_deco@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <080420051934560156%art_deco@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 13924
Cross-posted to:
alt.fan.art-bell,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.usenet.kooks

Dave <noone@nowhere.com> wrote:

> "Darkwing (Double Secret Disinformation Agent)" <theducksmail"AT"yahoo.com>
> wrote in message news:ztWdncgv2_BskMrfRVn-hw@giganews.com...
> >
> > "auntie Iris" <goto.rathergood.com@null.com> wrote in message
> > news:b4d54$4255f3e8$d1cc5bc5$15027@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> > >
> > > Go here and BUST A GUT laughing your ass off!!
> > >
> > > here---> http://www.tearingdownstrongholds.com/
> > >
> >
> >
> > WOW. That site is a special kind of stupid.
>
> i especially like the 'do it yourself' part where he explains that the whole
> thing is held together with bondo. great stuff that bondo, fix your car
> body and keep away evil spirits all in one can.

Fowarded to AUK.

--
Official Associate AFA-B Vote Rustler

<http://www.insurgent.org/~kook-faq/alexa/socks.html>
<http://www.petitmorte.net/cujo/kazoo/kazoo.html>

1863. Re: Cell Phone Towers of the NWO (---> ultra funny KOOK site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-09 02:24:10 UTC
From: Brian Hill <jonnysocko@jps.net>
Message-ID: <T1H5e.276$6B5.15@fe06.lga>
Source record: 13925
Cross-posted to:
alt.fan.art-bell,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.usenet.kooks

"Art Deco" <art_deco@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
news:080420051934560156%art_deco@127.0.0.1...
> Dave <noone@nowhere.com> wrote:
>
> > "Darkwing (Double Secret Disinformation Agent)"
<theducksmail"AT"yahoo.com>
> > wrote in message news:ztWdncgv2_BskMrfRVn-hw@giganews.com...
> > >
> > > "auntie Iris" <goto.rathergood.com@null.com> wrote in message
> > > news:b4d54$4255f3e8$d1cc5bc5$15027@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> > > >
> > > > Go here and BUST A GUT laughing your ass off!!
> > > >
> > > > here---> http://www.tearingdownstrongholds.com/
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > > WOW. That site is a special kind of stupid.
> >
> > i especially like the 'do it yourself' part where he explains that the
whole
> > thing is held together with bondo. great stuff that bondo, fix your car
> > body and keep away evil spirits all in one can.
>
> Fowarded to AUK.
>
> --
> Official Associate AFA-B Vote Rustler
>
> <http://www.insurgent.org/~kook-faq/alexa/socks.html>
> <http://www.petitmorte.net/cujo/kazoo/kazoo.html>

AD what the heck are you doing over here in RRS? For that matter what the
hell am I doing in AFAB? Damn cross posters !! :)

B.H.

1864. Re: Cell Phone Towers of the NWO (---> ultra funny KOOK site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-09 02:31:19 UTC
From: Art Deco <art_deco@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <080420052031193182%art_deco@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 13926
Cross-posted to:
alt.fan.art-bell,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.usenet.kooks

Brian Hill <jonnysocko@jps.net> wrote:

> "Art Deco" <art_deco@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
> news:080420051934560156%art_deco@127.0.0.1...
> > Dave <noone@nowhere.com> wrote:
> >
> > > "Darkwing (Double Secret Disinformation Agent)"
> <theducksmail"AT"yahoo.com>
> > > wrote in message news:ztWdncgv2_BskMrfRVn-hw@giganews.com...
> > > >
> > > > "auntie Iris" <goto.rathergood.com@null.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:b4d54$4255f3e8$d1cc5bc5$15027@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> > > > >
> > > > > Go here and BUST A GUT laughing your ass off!!
> > > > >
> > > > > here---> http://www.tearingdownstrongholds.com/
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > WOW. That site is a special kind of stupid.
> > >
> > > i especially like the 'do it yourself' part where he explains that the
> whole
> > > thing is held together with bondo. great stuff that bondo, fix your car
> > > body and keep away evil spirits all in one can.
> >
> > Fowarded to AUK.
> >
> > --
> > Official Associate AFA-B Vote Rustler
> >
> > <http://www.insurgent.org/~kook-faq/alexa/socks.html>
> > <http://www.petitmorte.net/cujo/kazoo/kazoo.html>
>
> AD what the heck are you doing over here in RRS? For that matter what the
> hell am I doing in AFAB? Damn cross posters !! :)

You will be reported to your NSR, cross poster!

--
Official Associate AFA-B Vote Rustler

<http://www.insurgent.org/~kook-faq/alexa/socks.html>
<http://www.petitmorte.net/cujo/kazoo/kazoo.html>

1865. Re: Cell Phone Towers of the NWO (---> ultra funny KOOK site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-09 02:37:27 UTC
From: Brian Hill <jonnysocko@jps.net>
Message-ID: <leH5e.400$uN5.311@fe06.lga>
Source record: 13927
Cross-posted to:
alt.fan.art-bell,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.usenet.kooks

"Art Deco" <art_deco@127.0.0.1> wrote in message

> You will be reported to your NSR, cross poster!
>
>

I know AD. My hands are sweating as we speak.

B.H.

1866. FS: YAESU VR500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-09 03:29:49 UTC
From: ALMINS1@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12277-42574C2D-790@storefull-3277.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 13928

Later model. Does not receive cell. Perfect cond. Includes all originals
plus case and extra unopened manual. $150 + SH. from Fl.
Check ok upon clearence.
Email preferred.
Al--WA8AGU

1867. help possible freq mod for Pro-29 scanner plea

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-09 16:37:16 UTC
From: gettapart4u@ntlworld.com
Message-ID: <0xT5e.8974$Uc7.2462@newsfe2-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 13929

Hi there thank you for reading this.

I have a realistic Pro-29 scanner its lowest freq is 66 to 88 Mhz., plus 137 to174, 406 to 512, 806 to 956

I have downloaded a copy of the manual and the manual is for the same model but listing the lower frequencies of 29 to 54 Mhz which i would prefer plus
108 to 174, 406 to 512, 806 to 823, 851to 868.9375, 896.1125 to 956.

I have had a look for mods on this scanner butcannot find any to alter the frequency coverage.

If someone know how to modify or a source I woudl appreciate any help they give me.

Regards Ron

1868. Cleveland Police in Ohio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-10 01:22:37 UTC
From: Dave <dbnoll@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <xd%5e.31985$hU7.19865@newssvr33.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 13930

Anyone know of any system that will monitor Cleveland Police in Ohio? It uses a Digital ASTRO system. I know there is a link that allows you to listen online, but it would be nice to find a scanner with this capability.

1869. Re: Cleveland Police in Ohio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-10 01:30:11 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <VdidnZJaG4c9HMXfRVn-uw@centurytel.net>
Source record: 13931

Ask the person who put the online system up what radio they use!

Dave wrote:
> Anyone know of any system that will monitor Cleveland Police in Ohio? It
> uses a Digital ASTRO system. I know there is a link that allows you to
> listen online, but it would be nice to find a scanner with this capability.
>
>

1870. Selling Off My Radio Gear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-10 02:05:11 UTC
From: info@cincypeddler.com
Message-ID: <115h2en4c8i7r57@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 13932

```
Selling off my radio gear.
Icom, Optoelectronics, Etc.
http://cincypeddler.com/electronics.htm
```

1871. Re: FS: AOR AR-2500 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-10 04:06:22 UTC
From: nova1010 <cam_ont@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1113105982.711973.279720@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13933

DO you still have the AR-2500 rick?

1872. Re: Sportcat 180

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-10 04:31:35 UTC
From: nova1010 <cam_ont@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1113107495.009368.302900@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13934

heres 1 for free http://www.usascan.com/pdf/sc180b.pdf

1873. Re: Cell phone Jammer for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-10 06:01:38 UTC
From: nova1010 <cam_ont@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1113109796.598382.189160@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13935

Hmmm interesting, your saying it works up to 30 metres...well what if some 1 else within that range required emergency assistance and ONLY had a cell phone to call and died as a resolt of your stupid little toy ,personally I wouldnt give you 2 cent for the jammer ,better yet maybe the DOC or the FCC will come visit you or call you.

1874. Re: Temecula, CA ambulance Frequencies??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-10 15:41:28 UTC
From: BWL <bwlease@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <IOb6e.35$J12.3@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 13936

155.295 PL 110.9
155.355 PL 110.9
155.265
155.205

Go to www.scannerstuff.com for more info

Jim wrote:
> Anyone have the frequencies for ambulance services for Temecula, CA??
>
> Thanks in advance
>
> Jim
>
>

1875. Antenna Length Formula

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-10 16:03:16 UTC
From: Don Forsling <ddforsling@msn.com>
Message-ID: <115ijhu243c22bf@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 13937

Folks, I'm old, I'm tired, and I'm lazy. I'm also forgetful.

Would someone kindly provide the formula for deterring the length of a full-wave antenna for a given frequency (a full-wave whip comes to mind)? Then, I can do the math. Better yet, I'll give you the frequency and _you_ can do the math. It's 160.890 mHz.

I will never ask this question again. Sorry. Thanks, etc.

Best,
--

Don Forsling
ddforsling@msn.com
"Iowa--Gateway to Those Big Rectangular States"

1876. Re: Mobile radio - CB, FRS, or 2-meter ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-10 16:11:28 UTC
From: Omega <2121@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <Qec6e.3654$GJ.3140@attbi_s71>
Source record: 13938
Cross-posted to: alt.survival,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

<editor@netpath.net> wrote in message
news:1113106287.553979.283420@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
: My view - as a longtime ham-radio operator who used to diss CB - and
: who has actually has used 2-meter simplex during a major area disaster
: to locate one of the very few area gas stations still with electricity
: to pump gas - is that a good mobile CB setup is better for emergencies
: than a good mobile 2-meter setup. That's because the CB will go
: further simplex (no repeater) than the 2-meter rig will when repeaters
: are either down or tied up due to an emergency - or if you are in some
: locale either lacking repeater coverage or where you don't know the
: active local 2-meter repeater. The "idiot days" of CB - when every car
: had one - are long over here in North Carolina; only truckers, hunters,
: and farmers seem to have mobile rigs here now. I now use CB almost to
: the total exclusion of 2-meter - though I have excellent setups for
: both in my car.
: FRS does have its uses. Like keeping in contact with others on
: campouts, skiing, or in limited areas in Iraq (now a major use). A
: major downside of FRS is the total inability to attach a good antenna.
:

Long term one needs to be on HF, thus at least a General class ham license.
VHF (2 meter ham), UHF (440 ham, GMRS/FRS) have their uses for local commo.
That is why the Army just purchased 20,000 ICOM F43G radios (440 MHZ) for
squad level commo. But the Army also purchased a lot of Harris HF radios as
well (AN/PRC-150).

Even SatCom has its uses. What one needs is all of it....

1877. Re: Antenna Length Formula

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-10 16:43:05 UTC
From: Ron <ab4ua@sc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <tIc6e.35053$QB6.2313243@twister.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 13939

Try this.

http://www.crompton.com/wa3dsp/hamradio/antcalc.html

"Don Forsling" <ddforsling@msn.com> wrote in message
news:115ijhu243c22bf@news.supernews.com...
> Folks, I'm old, I'm tired, and I'm lazy. I'm also forgetful.
>
> Would someone kindly provide the formula for deterring the length of a
> full-wave antenna for a given frequency (a full-wave whip comes to mind)?
> Then, I can do the math. Better yet, I'll give you the frequency and
> _you_ can do the math. It's 160.890 mHz.
>
> I will never ask this question again. Sorry. Thanks, etc.
>
> Best,
> --
>
> ---
> Don Forsling
> ddforsling@msn.com
> "Iowa--Gateway to Those Big Rectangular States"
>

1878. Re: Mobile radio - CB, FRS, or 2-meter ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-10 19:34:28 UTC
From: Petronoia <Petronoia@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <isvi51d4uve721b68ke4bhbe20csc0pshc@4ax.com>
Source record: 13940
Cross-posted to: alt.survival,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 10 Apr 2005 16:11:28 GMT, "Omega" <2121(d)@insightbb.com>
wrote:

>That is why the Army just purchased 20,000 ICOM F43G radios (440 MHZ) for
>squad level commo.

Squad comms are in the 390's on 14 channels.Those are modified
Icom's.. not stock.

1879. Re: Mobile radio - CB, FRS, or 2-meter ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-10 21:06:51 UTC
From: Omega <2121@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <Lzg6e.2793$xL4.1614@attbi_s72>
Source record: 13941
Cross-posted to: alt.survival,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

"Petronoia" <Petronoia@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:hdvi51d84tgn2tngobu248djm0g7ponu7v@4ax.com...
: On 9 Apr 2005 21:11:27 -0700, "editor@netpath.net"
: <editor@netpath.net> wrote:
:
: > My view - as a longtime ham-radio operator who used to diss CB - and
: >who has actually has used 2-meter simplex during a major area disaster
: >to locate one of the very few area gas stations still with electricity
: >to pump gas - is that a good mobile CB setup is better for emergencies
: >than a good mobile 2-meter setup.
:
: And neither of which is as good as even leased space on a commercial
: repeater or trunk system, with high-level sites that have emergency
: power backup.
:
: You can run low-cost used commercial equipment, and even encryption if
: you want.
:
: With the widespread adoption of Nextel, there are a LOT of quiet
: leased systems, even in urban areas where you can negotiate a VERY
: cheap monthly rate for a few users.
:
: Oh and BTW, the government's plan to "turn off" civilian GPS in the
: next major incident has the unintended consequence of killing any
: Cellular/ Nextel or even Simulcast radio system that relies on the GPS
: time clock for synch.
:
: oops.

You information on the ICOM program is out of date. The soldier intercom
has been replaced by the F43G

This is basically a 400 to 450 Mhz radio, with a more power than the FRS
radios that many of the troops are uing on their own. It is basically a
squad level radio, not meant for long range commo. However I do not think
that 20,000 is going to be enough.

BTW, you could purchase your own radio for about $400.

Icom America Wins Large U.S. Army Order for New Radios

F43G Series Offers U.S. Army Soldiers Sophisticated Two-Way Radios

Bellevue, WA - The U.S. Army has selected the Icom F43G series portable
transceiver for in-the-field soldier communications. "We are honored by the

U.S. Army's selection of Icom's two-way radios for soldiers in the field," says Hiro Nakaoka, President of Icom America Inc.

The Icom F43G radios are part of a package known collectively as the Military Bundle. Other elements of the Bundle include a headset, an encryption module, and the accessories needed to complete the custom package. But it's the F43G radios that are the core of the Bundle. These radios were tested extensively by the Army's Battle Lab before a purchase recommendation was made.

The GSA purchase deal is for over 20,000 Bundles and represents the largest government order in Icom America's 25 year history. This order follows on the heels of an earlier, high profile U.S Army order from Icom America - the Soldier Intercom. The new order uses Icom's new, next generation F43G series portable radios. These radios offer more power for today's urban-patrolling soldiers. The extra power helps radio signals "wiggle" around buildings, vehicles and other obstacles.

"We have over 5 years of experience supplying radios to first line Army units," said Chris Lougee, Vice President for Icom America. "The Icom Soldier Intercom was the first use of two-way radios at the squad level. It proved the viability of radios to replace hand and arm signals for coordinating squad actions on an objective." These new models increase transmission security, reduce size and weight, and supply improved battery performance, he added.

The largest previous government order was in 2000, for the U.S. Army's Soldier Intercom portable radio. This new radio differs from the Soldier Intercom in numerous ways. The previous Soldier Intercom was ordered by the Army with specifications for lowering the power level to 1/10th of a single watt.

Icom America's parent company, Icom Inc., was founded in Osaka, Japan. Icom is a publicly held Japanese corporation; its stock is traded on the Osaka and Tokyo Stock Exchange. Icom began as an engineering and manufacturing company, making advanced, compact solid-state radio equipment for use by amateur radio enthusiasts. Icom has since grown to become an industry leader with a product line that includes state-of-the-art communications equipment for land mobile and marine use as well as avionics. Icom America's headquarters are in Bellevue, Washington.

###

High resolution, print-ready versions of the images used in this press release may be downloaded from www.icomamerica.com/downloads

http://www.icomamerica.com/press/?id=200502

http://www.icomamerica.com/land_mobile/portableuhf/icf43gt_gsmain.asp

Wide frequency range and large channel capacity
The IC-F43G series covers a wide frequency range in one version (Two versions available, 400-470MHz or 450-512 (520) MHz). The 256 memory channel

capacity with 16 memory banks allows you to divide and store a variety of ?exible channel groupings. Easy memory channel selection with a simple rotation of the rotary channel knob.

Small and lightweight body
The IC-F43G series sheds size and weight compared with previous models, weighing just 300g (including BP-231) and measuring only 53(W)×120(H)×32.5(D) mm*. The aluminum die-cast chassis and polycarbonate casing combination meets MIL standard speci?cations.
* 10.6 oz, 23/32(W)x 423/32(H)x19/32(D)

1880. Re: Recommend Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-10 23:01:07 UTC
From: Bo Williams <williams@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <b22cc$4259b032$18d69d2b$29625@KNOLOGY.NET>
Source record: 13942

Alex Clayton wrote:
> "Tommy Of Earth" <tommy_of_earth@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1112849820.390426.266020@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
>>>>Good luck.
>>
>>Well how about just 800 Mhz trunking?
>>
>
>
> Pro-95 works great for this, and are on sale right now at The shack.

Agreed. At $140 OTD a Pro-95 is a steal. Shilly-shally no longer; just
go get one.
--
Bo Williams - williams@hiwaay.net
http://hiwaay.net/~williams/

1881. Re: Recommend Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-10 23:09:13 UTC
From: Bo Williams <williams@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <5fed9$4259b208$18d69d2b$20381@KNOLOGY.NET>
Source record: 13943

MnMikew wrote:
> "Tommy Of Earth" <tommy_of_earth@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1112731624.173768.249900@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
>>Can someone recommend a scanner that can pickup cellular,
>>800 trunked and everything?
>>
>>Thank You
>>
>
> Good luck.

I'm fairly new to scanners--been listening for about a year--and I'm
even newer to this group. Something that has me befuddled is the
consistent interest in an "unblocked" scanner that can pick up analog
cellular. What's the point? Does ANYONE use one of those phones anymore?

Is it just the inherent "appeal of the forbidden"?
--
Bo Williams - williams@hiwaay.net
http://hiwaay.net/~williams/

1882. Re: Recommend Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-11 00:01:26 UTC
From: gray-beard <gray-beard@tryandguess.com>
Message-ID: <NoWdnZEZHKTPI8TfRVn-hQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 13944

OK, just to answer your question I dusted off my old Sony ICF-SCI and connected it to an outside antenna. Started searching up from 869.00 mhz. By the time I had gone through the cell band, I heard two conversations. One in english and one in spanish. The band is dead. I will keep the old Sony for mil-air and what ever else that my Pro-93 can't get.

GB

Bo Williams wrote:
> MnMikew wrote:
>
>> "Tommy Of Earth" <tommy_of_earth@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:1112731624.173768.249900@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>> Can someone recommend a scanner that can pickup cellular,
>>> 800 trunked and everything?
>>>
>>> Thank You
>>>
>>
>> Good luck.
>
>
> I'm fairly new to scanners--been listening for about a year--and I'm
> even newer to this group. Something that has me befuddled is the
> consistent interest in an "unblocked" scanner that can pick up analog
> cellular. What's the point? Does ANYONE use one of those phones anymore?
>
> Is it just the inherent "appeal of the forbidden"?

--
"There's no way to rule innocent men. The only power any government has is the power to crack down on criminals. Well, when there aren't enough criminals, one makes them. One declares so many things to be a crime that it becomes impossible to live without breaking laws."

-- Ayn Rand, Atlas Shrugged

1883. Re: Antenna Length Formula

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-11 00:46:16 UTC
From: sean <seaningram@tds.net>
Message-ID: <4259c4b5$1_3@newspeer2.tds.net>
Source record: 13945

234 / frequency in MHz

234/ 160.890 = 1.45 Ft

"Don Forsling" <ddforsling@msn.com> wrote in message
news:115ijhu243c22bf@news.supernews.com...
> Folks, I'm old, I'm tired, and I'm lazy. I'm also forgetful.
>
> Would someone kindly provide the formula for deterring the length of a
> full-wave antenna for a given frequency (a full-wave whip comes to mind)?
> Then, I can do the math. Better yet, I'll give you the frequency and
you
> can do the math. It's 160.890 mHz.
>
> I will never ask this question again. Sorry. Thanks, etc.
>
> Best,
> --
>
> --

> Don Forsling
> ddforsling@msn.com
> "Iowa--Gateway to Those Big Rectangular States"
>
>

1884. Re: A battle is brewing between the city of Wichita Falls and th

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-11 05:20:27 UTC
From: spartacus <d_bro2001@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b8f948b2b60e7d0a4f4ed5bfde8d1688@localhost.talkaboutelectronicequipment.com>
Source record: 13946

does anyone else here see what the real truth behind this move is all about. the truth that yhey dont want you to know is that they would like to go back to doing their "good ole boy" business as usual. keeping officers safe? safe from repercussions of possibly being filmed or overheard going about their routine business of beating the crap out of alleged criminals. now for sure there will be enough time for every officer that responds to get a couple of good licks in before the public becomes aware. just how many settlements has the city of w. falls had to dish out for incidents that were caught on tape, or overheard on regular comm networks, or howmany have they nearly skirted away from. open communications is one of the only safety checks that remains that keep the police as an organization becoming from becoming the "secret police" is this sounding familiar. police with no fear of being caught or documented going about their oooooo dangerous jobs. forget about the courts, go to the minority community, and the activists who champion for the civil rights, and stir up the city council reps to call for a special vote so the citizens affected can decide if the police they trust to protect them or worth spending big technology bucks to hide their activities from these same people who pay ther salary. and while their at it, call for the recall of the chief, city manager, and mayor or any other official that supports such an action against their own citizens

1885. Re: Antenna Length Formula

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-11 13:06:59 UTC
From: Dale Parfitt <par@parelectronics.com>
Message-ID: <TDu6e.11262$9i7.9207@trnddc04>
Source record: 13947

"sean" <seaningram@tds.net> wrote in message
news:4259c4b5$1_3@newspeer2.tds.net...
> 234 / frequency in MHz
>
> 234/ 160.890 = 1.45 Ft
>
He is asking for the length of a full wave whip- so multiply the above X4.
However, bear in mind that full wave whip will:
1. Be more difficult to feed as its end Z is around 1K Ohm or higher.
2. Have less gain than a 1/4 wave antenna at useful elevation angles.

Dale W4OP

1886. Re: Cleveland Police in Ohio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-11 17:00:42 UTC
From: Scott Berringer <scanman@scanland.org>
Message-ID: <Xns963584207EC17scanmanscanlandorg@207.166.192.36>
Source record: 13949

xxnonexnonexx@tampascanner.info wrote in
news:ac0l511ict9h31vf6o7hvd0ppg6tq23446@4ax.com:

Cleveland is the only user in the area that is still using VSELP. Akron, Canton, Lake County, and Ohio MARCS are all using P-25 Digital modulation that can be monitored by the current digital capable scanners on the market. There is no P-25/Digital activity in the Youngstown except for Ohio MARCS which is actually across the entire state of Ohio.

> On Sun, 10 Apr 2005 01:22:37 GMT, "Dave" <dbnoll@ameritech.net> wrote:
>
>>Anyone know of any system that will monitor Cleveland Police in Ohio?
>>It uses a Digital ASTRO system. I know there is a link that allows
>>you to listen online, but it would be nice to find a scanner with this
>>capability.
>>
> Cleveland, Akron & Youngstown, areas are using the OLDER VSLEP encoder
> v. the newer P.25 or IMBE vocoder and is NOT MONITORABLE (nor is the
> release of decoder for this older proprietary format likely, Motorola
> also is no longer supporting and encouraging its laggards to upgrade
> for $$$$) at this time with any of the present digital scanners.
>
> With the rebanding of 800MHz its possible that the older radios these
> systems use will be PERMANENTLY END OF LIFE'd and thus need replacing
> as they can not support firmware or flash upgrades for the new band
> plans and thus they will be replaced with newer P.25/IMBE digital
> radios that the various Uniden & GRE/RS digital scanners can handle.
>
> The online source is using a real receive only Motorola programmed
> radio to decode the audio.
>
>
>
> -----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
> News==---- http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the
> World! 120,000+ Newsgroups ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms -
> Total Privacy via Encryption =----
>

1887. Re: Pro-2004 ... easily found on ebay ....dirt cheap .....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-11 18:34:15 UTC
From: noone <noone@nowhere.net>
Message-ID: <ncgl51loes4l1i2hfhfp7is4fd7ucs5120@4ax.com>
Source record: 13948

there are many pro-2004 / 5/ 6s to found " cheap " on ebay right now
..... for as little as $80 ....

these scanners are abundant and getting to be very inexpensive due to
their age .....

pro-2004 .....$80

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=40979&item=5766038282&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

pro-2005 .. $85
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=40979&item=5765044193&rd=1

pro-2006 ....$88
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=40979&item=5762829175&rd=1
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=40979&item=5765727430&rd=1

k.....

On 2 Apr 2005 14:51:12 -0800, r2000swler@hotmail.com wrote:

>How much?
>
>Terry

1888. Re: Cell phone Jammer for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-11 18:42:44 UTC
From: noone <noone@nowhere.net>
Message-ID: <mqgl51dekb92koftb2h0quguogvuk1l652@4ax.com>
Source record: 13801

an interesting argument against cellular jammers ..... but don't count
on anyone showing up to visit people using them. they are abundant
in South Beach Miami and on the Embarcadero in S.F. ..... usually
in clubs , sidewalk and upscale restaurants. I've had my cellfone
loose all it bars all over the place in these locations and missed
important calls because of them. people have complained to
the FCC bitterly but they NEVER come to do anything about them.
they say that the current budget cutbacks have left them very short of
enforcement manpower and they don't have the resources to investigate
those jammers.

On 9 Apr 2005 23:01:38 -0700, "nova1010" <cam_ont@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Hmmm interesting, your saying it works up to 30 metres...well what if
>some 1 else within that range required emergency assistance and ONLY
>had a cell phone to call and died as a resolt of your stupid little
>toy ,personally I wouldnt give you 2 cent for the jammer ,better yet
>maybe the DOC or the FCC will come visit you or call you.

1889. Re: A battle is brewing between the city of Wichita Falls and th

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-11 19:33:00 UTC
From: WSnipes <who_stole_my_budlight@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1113247980.663594.6930@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13950

I guess that may be the truth, but since i'm not from W.Falls i
can't totally agree. Our S.O. moved to Astro P-25 to be compliant with
Homeland Security, as we border Canada, and to have an "extended" range
being able to cover the whole state with just one radio system. The
older analog system required many hours of phone time between counties.
Once this new one is all in place, an officer can contact another in a
county that is 500 miles away just by using his two-way and the proper
talk group ID. Plus, during an emergency, all county, state, and
federal agencies(within the state) can communicate w/out having to know
each other's cell numbers. Along with being digitally coded and
encrypted...and yes, it keeps out the rubbernecks as well. For the most
part, there are two reasons for going digital: Secure communications
using encoding(which scanners can now pick up) along with encryption(so
far hasn't been cracked, or atleast this info isn't being shared), and
to extend communication range.

> does anyone else here see what the real truth behind this move is all
> about. the truth that yhey dont want you to know is that they would
like
> to go back to doing their "good ole boy" business as usual. keeping
> officers safe? safe from repercussions of possibly being filmed or
> overheard going about their routine business of beating the crap out
of
> alleged criminals. now for sure there will be enough time for every
> officer that responds to get a couple of good licks in before the
public
> becomes aware.

1890. Re: Recommend Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-11 22:20:07 UTC
From: Tommy Of Earth <tommy_of_earth@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1113258007.016239.26660@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13802

>Agreed. At $140 OTD a Pro-95 is a steal. Shilly-shally no longer;
just
>go get one.

I think I will, Thanks

1891. Re: Cell phone Jammer for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-11 23:41:46 UTC
From: Dave Holford <holford@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <425B0B39.FDF29404@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 13803

nova1010 wrote:

> Hmmm interesting, your saying it works up to 30 metres...well what if
> some 1 else within that range required emergency assistance and ONLY
> had a cell phone to call and died as a resolt of your stupid little
> toy ,personally I wouldnt give you 2 cent for the jammer ,better yet
> maybe the DOC or the FCC will come visit you or call you.

Who is this DOC?

1892. AOR AR8200 MARK 3 VS PRO-96

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-12 02:45:45 UTC
From: RSL <roblonn@cox.net>
Message-ID: <CDG6e.6323$%c1.3141@fed1read05>
Source record: 13804

I am still looking at many hand held scanner options like the Icom R-20, Uniden Portable BC296 , also the Radio Shack Pro-96 (I like this so far) and the AOR AR8200 Mark 3. I have several AOR radio now like the AR3030 and the AR7030 Short Wave Receivers. I wanted to get this groups opinion related to how the Radio Shack PRO-96 and the AR8200 compare?? Other then a $150.00 price difference. Thanks

1893. Re: AOR AR8200 MARK 3 VS PRO-96

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-12 03:16:56 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <G8ydnXB5abIzoMbfRVn-rg@comcast.com>
Source record: 13805

They're like apples, and oranges! Two completely different types of radios. As mentioned before, the PRO-96 has trunk-tracking, and digital capabilities, whereas the AR8200 does not. The PRO-96 is more of a "scanner". The AR8200 is more of a communications receiver. I think you need to buy both, to be totally happy!

Bill Crocker

"RSL" <roblonn@cox.net> wrote in message
news:CDG6e.6323$%c1.3141@fed1read05...
>I am still looking at many hand held scanner options like the Icom R-20,
>Uniden Portable BC296 , also the Radio Shack Pro-96 (I like this so far)
>and the AOR AR8200 Mark 3. I have several AOR radio now like the AR3030 and
>the AR7030 Short Wave Receivers. I wanted to get this groups opinion
>related to how the Radio Shack PRO-96 and the AR8200 compare?? Other then a
>$150.00 price difference. Thanks
>

1894. Kern County California Radio System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-12 05:02:08 UTC
From: BWL <bwlease@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <kDI6e.694$J12.632@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 13806

As listed on the Kern County communications website the county will
cutover to the new system April 19-21 2005.
Here is the website:
http://www.co.kern.ca.us/gsd/communications/sysupgrade.asp

For freqs to the new system see www.CaliforniaScanner.com,
http://www.freqofnature.com/index.php?m=Kern%20County&p=Kern%20County or
buy the Southern California Frequency directory.

1895. Re: Cell phone Jammer for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-12 05:03:23 UTC
From: DxxxxxBxx <abuse@abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz.com>
Message-ID: <aclm51hkmchp5cfp0liiv86c7ie6ge5itc@4ax.com>
Source record: 13807

He's the guy standing behind you with a latex glove and a tube of KY!

No, really, DOC is Canada's version of the FCC...aka "Department of
Communication".

On Mon, 11 Apr 2005 19:41:46 -0400, Dave Holford
<holford@sympatico.ca> wrote:

>
>
>nova1010 wrote:
>
>> Hmmm interesting, your saying it works up to 30 metres...well what if
>> some 1 else within that range required emergency assistance and ONLY
>> had a cell phone to call and died as a resolt of your stupid little
>> toy ,personally I wouldnt give you 2 cent for the jammer ,better yet
>> maybe the DOC or the FCC will come visit you or call you.
>
>Who is this DOC?
>
>
>

Spammers, please send email to:
listme@listme.dsbl.org
and get your SMTP server blacklisted!

1896. Re: AOR AR8200 MARK 3 VS PRO-96

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-12 13:37:08 UTC
From: Pat <phoneguy99.delete@delete.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <laQ6e.3015$MZ2.515248@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 13808

Likewise. There is no comparison as they are completely different animals.

The AOR unit is a high performance receiver with scanning abilities.
The RS Pro-96 is a trunk tracking scanner with APCO-25 decoding.

I have both and use them for very different applications. I use the AR8200 for band scanning (such as the 902-928 ISM band, etc) as well as a portable HF receiver. (when used with a wire antenna)
I use the Pro-96 for scanning known frequencies and of course its APCO abilities.

As the previous poster stated, you would need both to enjoy the best of both worlds. If however, you don't own any other APCO capable units, I'd start with the Pro-96 (or a Uniden 796D or the like) to get on the APCO bandwagon (providing of course there are such services in your area. If you live in a region where there are no such services, save you money and get something else.)

Good luck!

1897. Re: Cell phone Jammer for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-12 16:52:04 UTC
From: Dave Holford <holford@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <425BFCB4.DA874888@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 13809

DOC went away years ago.
Industry Canada is the organization.

Dave

DxxxxxBxx wrote:

> He's the guy standing behind you with a latex glove and a tube of KY!
>
> No, really, DOC is Canada's version of the FCC...aka "Department of
> Communication".
>
> On Mon, 11 Apr 2005 19:41:46 -0400, Dave Holford
> <holford@sympatico.ca> wrote:
>
> >
> >
> >nova1010 wrote:
> >
> >> Hmmm interesting, your saying it works up to 30 metres...well what if
> >> some 1 else within that range required emergency assistance and ONLY
> >> had a cell phone to call and died as a resolt of your stupid little
> >> toy ,personally I wouldnt give you 2 cent for the jammer ,better yet
> >> maybe the DOC or the FCC will come visit you or call you.
> >
> >Who is this DOC?
> >
> >
> >
>
> Spammers, please send email to:
> listme@listme.dsbl.org
> and get your SMTP server blacklisted!

1898. Re: Uniden undid the cell mod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-12 16:59:34 UTC
From: no-spam@sonic.net
Message-ID: <W7T6e.14264$m31.138603@typhoon.sonic.net>
Source record: 13810

In article <c8u851pac683ko35lm9h84ulhkent99vhv@4ax.com>, <fred@aol.com> wrote:
>
>I wonder if they even realized why that part had been cut in half??

Would that happen to be an R215?

m

1899. Re: Uniden undid the cell mod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-12 17:19:11 UTC
From: fred@aol.com
Message-ID: <8o0o5153knra3ioc5h3ao86afmv8d3frs1@4ax.com>
Source record: 13811

On Tue, 12 Apr 2005 16:59:34 GMT, in rec.radio.scanner no-spam@sonic.net (Fake ID) wrote:

>In article <c8u851pac683ko35lm9h84ulhkent99vhv@4ax.com>, <fred@aol.com> wrote:
>>
>>I wonder if they even realized why that part had been cut in half??
>
>Would that happen to be an R215?
>
>m

Yes I think so.

1900. Re: A battle is brewing between the city of Wichita Falls and th

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-12 18:30:06 UTC
From: Me <Me@shadow.orgs>
Message-ID: <Me-4B95A3.10294912042005@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 13812

In article <1113247980.663594.6930@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>,
"WSnipes" <who_stole_my_budlight@hotmail.com> wrote:

> I guess that may be the truth, but since i'm not from W.Falls i
> can't totally agree. Our S.O. moved to Astro P-25 to be compliant with
> Homeland Security, as we border Canada, and to have an "extended" range
> being able to cover the whole state with just one radio system. The
> older analog system required many hours of phone time between counties.
> Once this new one is all in place, an officer can contact another in a
> county that is 500 miles away just by using his two-way and the proper
> talk group ID. Plus, during an emergency, all county, state, and
> federal agencies(within the state) can communicate w/out having to know
> each other's cell numbers. Along with being digitally coded and
> encrypted...and yes, it keeps out the rubbernecks as well. For the most
> part, there are two reasons for going digital: Secure communications
> using encoding(which scanners can now pick up) along with encryption(so
> far hasn't been cracked, or atleast this info isn't being shared), and
> to extend communication range.

One thing to consider when designing a system like the one above, is that
it depends entirely on common carriers to provide the interconnections
that support that wide area coverage. If you have a big disaster
(earthquake, supervolcano, or the like) that takes out your common
carrier links, by knocking all the microwave dish antennas out of
alignment, your really cool digital system DIES, BIGTIME, and no amount
of support is going to bring it back quickly, untill those links are
fixed. This is a couple of months worth of work for a State wide system.

Me been there, laughed at trying to do that....quickly....

1901. FO: GRE PSR-275 handheld scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-12 18:44:22 UTC
From: ukcybermaster@yahoo.co.uk
Message-ID: <d869440f.0504121044.60b94769@posting.google.com>
Source record: 13813

Selling my scanner, has light, 50channels, freq ranges: 68-88,
108-174, 380-512. Batteries inc as well as power supply. Very good
scanner, but I am moving out of UK, so no longer needed. Offers? email
is valid.

1902. Re: A battle is brewing between the city of Wichita Falls and th

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-12 22:07:17 UTC
From: WSnipes <who_stole_my_budlight@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1113342897.940512.97030@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13814

Yes, that is true. In my statement though, i was relating to a security breech or similar along the canada border, or other security factors, not just natural disasters as you stated, but i see your point clearly.

> One thing to consider when designing a system like the one above, is that
> it depends entirely on common carriers to provide the interconnections
> that support that wide area coverage. If you have a big disaster
> (earthquake, supervolcano, or the like) that takes out your common
> carrier links, by knocking all the microwave dish antennas out of
> alignment, your really cool digital system DIES, BIGTIME, and no amount
> of support is going to bring it back quickly, untill those links are
> fixed. This is a couple of months worth of work for a State wide system.

1903. City moving into 700 Mhz range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-12 22:47:26 UTC
From: fred@aol.com
Message-ID: <atjo519pkkips6gbg4pj4gn7gfoe0sjgil@4ax.com>
Source record: 13815

City moving into 700 Mhz range

By David Tanner
The Examiner

Independence could pilot the first communication system of its kind in the nation.

The city is poised to implement a 700 MHz radio system for its police, fire and utilities, as part of a homeland-security grant.

The radios will provide more than just a communication system for police and fire calls, Assistant City Manager Larry Kaufman said.

A study team looked at severe weather, snow removal, downed power lines, broken water mains, and other types of emergencies when applying for a homeland-security grant.

City departments and outside agencies cannot talk to each other under the current 500 MHz system.

Communication can drop out because of a valley, a hill, or what's known as a dead spot.

"There are areas of the city we don't have radio coverage," Maj. Gordon Abraham said.

Abraham and Maj. John Main of the Police Department headed the grant team that secured $5.5 million in federal funds through the Department of Homeland Security. The city will pay $1.8 million, as part of the $7.3 million system. The police and fire departments will pay their share through the voter-approved public-safety sales tax.

Currently, police radios have a 68 percent effectiveness. Fire radios are effective in 86 percent of the community.

"The uncertainty is always there," Abraham said, "And those are the critical times."

The 700 MHz system will bring the city up to 94 percent coverage, one of the reasons the city was successful in getting the grant.

The city will also be able to expand the system to communicate with other metro agencies in times of emergency.

The system will carry encryption and trunking capabilities, making common scanners all but obsolete on emergency calls.

Kaufman gave the example of a police drug raid as to why the encryption is necessary.

"It doesn't help to have a person inside listening to a scanner," he said.

Some scanners can pick up 700 MHz frequencies, but the trunking system makes it difficult, Abraham added, by finding a open channel fewer scanners can pick up.

The Federal Communication Commission, which regulates radio frequencies, has reserved the 700 MHz frequencies for public safety.

The government eyed an 800-MHz system in the 1970s, but mobile-communication and cellular companies took up too much of the 800 MHz range.

The FCC could not implement the 700 MHz system, however, because some television stations used those frequencies.

But the government has begun to phase out the television stations on the 700 MHz frequencies.

In Kansas City, only KSMO TV (The Kansas City WB 62) remains on the 700 MHz system.

Kaufman said the freeing up of the frequency allowed Independence to step forward with the pilot program for public-safety radios.

Abraham said the departments will not encrypt every police call. Some of the city's dispatches could still be heard on scanners. Just not emergencies.

The city hired RCC consultants to determine its needs for the system.

Testing includes finding the areas of the city that have poor radio coverage now.

"We identified 57 buildings here in town to test," Kaufman said, such as schools and hospitals. Thick walls can diminish radio frequencies, but the new system will be better inside buildings, Kaufman said.

The city is considering Motorola as the provider, but so far there is no formal contract.

The system will require more towers, Kaufman said.

Tower locations the city identified are Lake City, the city-owned Massman Farm off Truman Road, Woods Chapel Road and I-70, Blue Ridge Boulevard and I-70, the city Water Department at Truman Road and Forest Avenue, and on private property at the LaFarge plant.

With the nation potentially watching to see how Independence fares with the 700 MHz system, officials, including Mayor Ron Stewart, are confident.

"We're going to be the firstest with the mostest," Stewart said.

1904. PopCom editor comes to Feeding Hills, MA - May 6, 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-13 00:11:02 UTC
From: "Jim, KK1W" <kk1w@charter.net>
Message-ID: <qsZ6e.4552$bR1.2135@fe04.lga>
Source record: 13816

Harlold Ort - N2RLL comes to the HCRA!

Mark your calendars now for a meeting with Harold Ort - Editor of Popular Communications Magazine. Mr.Ort will be addressing the members and guests of the Hampden County Radio Association in Feeding Hills, MA.

Popular Communications is the premier magazine for all types of radio enthusiasts. With regular monthly columns from SWL to scanners, utility to ham radio PopCom has something for everyone. N2RLL will have the latest and greatest information about radio listening for everyone attending.

The meeting will be at the regular HCRA meeting place in Feeding Hills, MA, the Feeding Hills Congregational Church.

Feeding Hills Congregational Church
21 North Westfield Street
Feeding Hills, MA 01030

Date: May 6, 2005
Time: 7:30 PM

You don't need to be a member of HCRA to come to the meeting. Everyone is always welcome, all you need is an interest in radio communications. I can assure you it will be time well spent.

Because of the expected crowd, our regular club business will be postponed until the June meeting. In addition to Mr.Ort's presentation there will be coffee, donuts and other refreshments available and as usual a fantastic raffle.

If you are close to Feeding Hills, MA it is our genuine hope to see you at the meeting. Visit our web site for additional information, other club events and directions to the meeting.

'73...

Jim, KK1W
http://www.hcra.org

1905. Looking for a BC780xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-13 01:41:22 UTC
From: Gregg <gregg@alemy.net>
Message-ID: <3N_6e.5513$cx4.3295@fe07.lga>
Source record: 13817

I am looking to purchase a bc780xlt. If you have one please email me

gregg at alemy dot net

--
Thanks,
Gregg

1906. Yupiteru mvt7100 schematics/repair manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-13 05:12:47 UTC
From: Ray Colvill <rcolvill@paradise.net.nz>
Message-ID: <425caa52$1@clear.net.nz>
Source record: 13818

Anyone have a copy that they can email to me.

Protected by GIANT Company's Spam Inspector
The most powerful anti-spam software available.
http://mail.spaminspector.com

1907. FS: PRO-2040

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-13 06:42:48 UTC
From: RadAct <n7rcm@pobox.com>
Message-ID: <Ib37e.5370$yq6.3524@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 13819

Pro-2040 100 channel scanner. Comes with power supply and antenna. Unit is in very good condition.
You can go to this site and see the specs and features, download the manual for it too.

http://support.radioshack.com/support_electronics/17770.htm

Asking $85 obo and that includes shipping and paypal fees

1908. Uniden BC898T any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-13 13:14:28 UTC
From: Frank Bals <fjb@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <UW87e.71465$cg1.46592@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 13820

Looking for comments on this new scanner. How well does it work on the military air bands? How does it compare to the 780XLT?

Frank

1909. Re: Uniden BC898T any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-13 14:58:37 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Wc6dnR16qfs4rsDfRVn-oQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 13821

I would go for the BC780XLT, if Mil-Air is your primary interest. I think it's the best available to the general consumer right now, with that coverage. It also has Alpha-Tagging, which is a nice feature! Not to mention, it's much smaller, and has a better build quality.

When the BC898-T was first released, it had a bug in the CTCSS circuit, that would cause the scanner to lock-up, after about an hour. New firmware is supposed to resolve this, but I haven't confirmed that it worked. I wasn't overly impressed with this radio, and sold it after a month.

Bill Crocker

"Frank Bals" <fjb@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:UW87e.71465$cg1.46592@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Looking for comments on this new scanner. How well does it work on the
> military air bands? How does it compare to the 780XLT?
>
> Frank
>

1910. Re: scanning FRS/GMRS radios.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-13 21:28:36 UTC
From: john wilson <jwilson9@erols.com>
Message-ID: <425D8F04.7020203@erols.com>
Source record: 13822

NFM. Antenna cannot be detachable for GMRS radios.

tom wrote:

>Do FRS/GMRS radios use wfm, nfm, or other scannable, non-digital modulation
>modes?
>All I can find on the fcc site is power level restrictions and whether or
>not you can have a detachable antenna.
>
>
>
>

1911. New Radio Shack (GRE) PRO-97 Cat #20-527

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-13 21:33:54 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <gYidncqE-c7XDcDfRVn-jw@comcast.com>
Source record: 13823

I just picked up the new Radio Shack (GRE Mfg) PRO-97, Cat #20-527, @ $199.99. There are numerous improvements, and new features, over it's predessor, the PRO-95. If you don't need digital, but want everything else, this is your scanner!

Bill Crocker

High-performance, extended-coverage scanner has the ability to scan both trunked and conventional channels at the same time. Program up to 100 frequencies in banks of 10. This allows you to organize frequencies for easier access. For example, race frequencies in Bank 1, police/fire/emergency in Bank 2, etc. Triple-trunking lets you follow a signal in virtually any market that uses an analog trunking system. Most major metropolitan areas utilize trunked systems. Signal Stalker II searches for nearby frequency transmissions and lets you hear a nearby radio without knowing its frequency. Skywarn lets you hear local trained severe weather observers calling in their reports. Hear severe weather 3-4 minutes before it is rebroadcast on NOAA weather radio frequencies and 4-10 minutes before you'd get the same warning on local radio or TV. Built-in search for Police/Fire, Air, CB, Ham Radio, FRS, GMRS and more.

PRODUCT FEATURES
. 1000 channels
. Triple-trunking
. Alpha-numeric display for ID tagging allows frequencies to be easily identified by the name you assign to them
. Lighted keypad for use in low light
. Signal Stalker II
. Skywarn
. AM/FM mode selector
. 20dB attenuator
. Digital weather alert indicates watch, warning, statement or test statement along with seven preprogrammed NOAA WX stations
. Five service search keys for marine, fire/police, air, CB and Ham bands
. One priority channel
. PC interface, cloning
. Key lock for safety
. Key tone on/off
. Low battery indication
. Frequency lock-out
. Power save
. EEPROM memory backup
. Three power options: 4 "AA" batteries (not included), 150mA rechargeable batteries (not included), 9V AC/DC power adapter (not included)

. Can use AC adapter #273-1767/C and DC adapter #273-1863/C
. Two battery cases-one for alkaline and the other for rechargeable batteries
. Rotary volume control with switch and rotary squelch control
. Jacks: earphone, PC interface/cloning and external power
. BNC antenna connector
. Includes belt clip, 6" flexible rubber antenna and two battery cases
. Triple conversion Superheterodyne receiver virtually eliminates image-type interference
. Frequency Coverage: 25-54, 108-136.9875, 137-174, 216.0025-221.9975, 222-225, 225.025-405.975, 406-512, 806-960 (excluding cellular) and 1240-1300MHz
. Scanner includes: detachable flexible antenna, belt clip, two battery holders
. Size: (less antenna) 6-1/2x2-5/8x1-3/4"
. Includes: VHF Lo/Hi, UHF, VHF-Air, 800MHz, Dual-Trunking, Extended Coverage

1912. Re: scanning FRS/GMRS radios.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-13 21:35:18 UTC
From: Bo Williams <williams@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <cf54b$425d9083$18d69d2b$13163@KNOLOGY.NET>
Source record: 13824

john wilson wrote:
> NFM. Antenna cannot be detachable for GMRS radios.

That's not true. I have a pair of these that have detachable antennas:

http://www.icomamerica.com/products/frs/f21gm/

I suspect the regulation is that a radio that is FRS only cannot have a
detachable antenna, though I'm not sure. Nevertheless, I know there are
GMRS radios with detachable antennas. :)
--
Bo Williams - williams@hiwaay.net
http://hiwaay.net/~williams/

1913. Re: Lexington Kentucky police data comms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-13 21:37:22 UTC
From: john wilson <jwilson9@erols.com>
Message-ID: <425D9112.80002@erols.com>
Source record: 13825

Sounds like an 800 mhz. frequency is being used. Typical MDT ranges
are 453 mhz., 460 mhz. and 853-870 mhz. If you hear a constant data
control signal that is somewhat high in tone that usually is a MDT
signal. But it depends on the manufacturer. Other MDT signals may have
a different audio control sound. All are annoying to listen to.

r2000swler@hotmail.com wrote:

>Talked to a MEtro LEO yesterday and he told me the patrol units
>have upgraded to a new data terminal system.
>The antennas consits of two units about 2" in diam, and about 3"
>high. They are mounted on the trunk of the cruisers about 3'
>apart. I have not had a chance top look at the terminal or display
>yet.
>Does this system sound like anything anyone else has had before?
>Terry
>
>
>

1914. Re: Icom 7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-13 21:39:47 UTC
From: john wilson <jwilson9@erols.com>
Message-ID: <425D91A3.5090805@erols.com>
Source record: 13826

I saw one advertised on <www.electronics1.com> recently.

suburude wrote:

>Hi I am looking for a clean Icom 7100 to buy. Let me know
>
>
>

1915. Re: EBAY SQUASHED MY LISTING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-13 21:54:00 UTC
From: john wilson <jwilson9@erols.com>
Message-ID: <425D94F8.8040405@erols.com>
Source record: 13827
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Consider yourself lucky. 3 years ago I had my credit card
information hacked from EBay. Like you said calling them is impossible
and emails are a waste of time. If my credit card information was
stolen imagine the hundreds of thousands of other credit card
information that was hacked. You didn't hear about this on the tv news
or in the newspaper.

I discovered it when I received a letter from a co. in Nebraska that
monitors VISA and MASTER CARD profile purchases. I used an "emegency"
credit card that I had not used previously in 2 years expressly for
Ebay. At the time I did not want to enter my credit card but had to. I
called the company and was asked if I had purchased $1,800 of computers
from ABC Co., in Chicago, $425 from Sears in Ann Arbor, Michigan, etc.
I knew immediately what had happened. I was not responsible for the
illegal purchases. The greedy vendors who sold by telephone suffered
the lost. I was sent another credit card with a different account number.

Dan Conti wrote:

> eBay, an idea that started out with good intentions, to serve the
> internet community has gone the way of so many other internet services
> of it's type. They think they're GOD, (along with many on these
> groups who think they are almost God, or NEWGROUP POLICE at the very
> least).
>
> eBay is now only concerned with MILKING the very MOST money they can
> from it's customers. Try to call them on the phone? Are you kidding?
> Send them an e-mail? Good luck. They don't care, and why should
> they, they're the only game in town. U.S. Capitalism at it's best,
> but more about THAT later...
>
> I recently put a UNIDEN 296D and 796D scanners on eBay for sale, and
> also made FA posts on these groups. Luckily, the 296D sold early with
> BUY IT NOW, and for some strange reason, the 796D was bid to a price
> past what the original BUY IT NOW pricing was. Yep, there's that good
> ole US capitalsim at work again. No one twists arms to bid that high,
> and as far as I know, ther is NO mechanism on eBay to STOP a bidder
> from bidding an item TOO HIGH.
>
> The auction was to end today. I would have had a decent return for my
> scanner, and the buyer would have a brand new, in box, never opened,
> never used scanner.
>
> But yesterday eBay replaced my auction with this notice......

>
> ***This listing (5759983900) has been removed by eBay or is no longer
> available. Please make sure that you've entered the item number
> correctly.
> If the item was removed by eBay, please consider this transaction
> canceled***
>
> I DID NOT CANCEL THE AUCTION.
>
> eBay offered NO explanation as to why they removed this auction. As
> far as I know, the 796D is NOT banned for sale on eBay. They cancel
> your auction, but they DO NOT refund your listing fee. They send you
> NO e-mail explaining the removal. NONE. I sent them an inquiry, but
> so far no response. This is NO WAY to do business.
>
> I am now out the listing fees, and the financial reward of the winning
> bid. eBay, or SOMEONE hurt me bad in this, for no legitimate reason....
>
> Other then what I THINK happened.
>
> Some NO LIFE, MISERABLE lurker in these groups, basically a RAT ,
> SCUMBAG dropped a dime on my newsgroup listings to eBay, and they
> removed my auction. If you check my newsgroup post, and it's
> responses, you can formulate some potential suspects for yourself.
>
> Day in and day out these newsgroups are LOADED with nonsensical SPAM,
> and horeshit to beat the band, and nothing is ever done about it.
> Because someone was basically JEALOUS that my auction would bring a
> few bucks more then THEY thought it was worth, they act like a RAT
> BASTARD Newsgroup Cops and cause me harm.
>
> Well folks, the gloves are off. I will offer the scanner on a
> straight FOR SALE basis 80 ZILLION times on these groups. Since it is
> unfortunately the only game in town, if I have to, I will re-post it
> on eBay, but will follow eBay's rules and NOT post any FA on the
> newsgroups, not even rec.radio.swap.
>
> However, rest assured, that I will make a second vocation of reporting
> ANY and ALL FA eBay posts I find to eBay ad nauseum. Even those on
> the rec.swap group. eBay rules DO NOT allow posts even on a swap or
> for sale newsgroup. So, all you fellow hobbyists who get censored or
> DUMPED by eBay like I did, thank your fellow ASSHOLE lurker for it.
>
> Yes, this was LONG and I am PISSED. Thanks for reading.
>
> Dan

1916. Re: Discone scanner plans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-13 21:56:40 UTC
From: john wilson <jwilson9@erols.com>
Message-ID: <425D9598.1070201@erols.com>
Source record: 13828

Don't do it. Discones are broadbanded with almost no gain on any
frequencies. Buy a Scantenna from Grove Enterprises and use a Radio
Shack vhf/fm/uhf tv mast mount preamp.

stryped@hotmail.com wrote:

>Does anyone know if there are plans for a discone scanner antenna on
>the web to build?
>
>
>

1917. digital police soon to all encrypted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-13 22:18:29 UTC
From: spectro <wasted9688@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <VUg7e.5277$go4.1049@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 13829

so whats the use of gettin a digital scanner?

1918. Re: digital police soon to all encrypted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-13 23:23:14 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <UZmdncjwZvx3NMDfRVn-uA@comcast.com>
Source record: 13830

Normally, I don't like to take part in spreading unsubstantiated panic, but I'm inclined to agree with you on this one. When I had a Radio Shack (GRE), PRO-96, and was monitoring the Michigan State Police, they did in fact invoke encryption on numerous occasions. I have to believe they will be doing this anytime they are discussing sensitive information. Pretty much everything that was in the clear, was daily, boring, routine stuff.

As more, and more agencies go digital, I'm sure the use of encryption will become more common. If nothing else, it will be in the name of Homeland Security efforts. I don't like it, but I can't say as I blame them.

Bill Crocker

"spectro" <wasted9688@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:VUg7e.5277$go4.1049@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> so whats the use of gettin a digital scanner?
>
>

1919. Why does my police scanner pick up this FM broadcast station on WFM? It does not pick up any other stations.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-14 00:29:58 UTC
From: fred@aol.com
Message-ID: <8aer519kujcebfauak5vqtdpvofai66c6o@4ax.com>
Source record: 13831

Why does my police scanner pick up this FM broadcast station on WFM? It does not pick up any other broadcast stations.

KNBQ
FM
33829
CITICASTERS LICENSES, L.P.
2625 S MEMORIAL DR, SUITE A
US
CENTRALIA
WA
50 EAST RIVERCENTER BLVD
SUITE 1200
275
00000102.90000000
M
H
LICEN
2/1/2006
TULSA, OK 74129
COVINGTON, KY 41011
Callsign:
Service:
Facility ID:
Party Name:
Company:
Address:
City, ST, Zip:
Community of License State:
City:
Facility Address:
City, ST, Zip:
Country:
Channel:
Frequency:
Facility Type:
Station Type:
Status:
Status Date:
License Expiration Date:
Online License Data
Station Class:
C

DOM Status:
LIC
Antenna Type:
Rad Ctr Hgt Above Avg Terr H:
322
Rad Ctr Hgt Above Avg Terr V:
322
Overall Height Above Ground:
62
Rad Ctr Hgt Above Grnd H:
37
Rad Ctr Hgt Above Grnd V:
37
Max Hgt above Avg Terrain:
396
Hgt above Mean Sea Level H:
477
Hgt above Mean Sea Level V:
477
ERP Horizontal Polarization:
100
ERP Vertical Polarization:
17
Xmitter Output (Kilowatts):
15.5
Latitude:
46
33
18
N
Longitude:
123
3
27
W
Deg
Min
Sec
Dir
Mass Media License Data
Page 1
4/13/05
Callsign: KNBQ

1920. Re: Why does my police scanner pick up this FM broadcast station on WFM? It does not pick up any other stations.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-14 00:36:28 UTC
From: OICU812 <oicu8122@emailaccount.com>
Message-ID: <td2dncY9oZC4JsDfRVn-3A@adelphia.com>
Source record: 13832

```
Wide front end?
<fred@aol.com> wrote in message
news:8aer519kujcebfauak5vqtdpvofai66c6o@4ax.com...
: Why does my police scanner pick up this FM broadcast station on WFM? It
does
: not pick up any other broadcast stations.
:
:
: KNBQ
: FM
: 33829
: CITICASTERS LICENSES, L.P.
: 2625 S MEMORIAL DR, SUITE A
: US
: CENTRALIA
: WA
: 50 EAST RIVERCENTER BLVD
: SUITE 1200
: 275
: 00000102.90000000
: M
: H
: LICEN
: 2/1/2006
: TULSA, OK 74129
: COVINGTON, KY 41011
: Callsign:
: Service:
: Facility ID:
: Party Name:
: Company:
: Address:
: City, ST, Zip:
: Community of License State:
: City:
: Facility Address:
: City, ST, Zip:
: Country:
: Channel:
: Frequency:
: Facility Type:
: Station Type:
: Status:
```

: Status Date:
: License Expiration Date:
: Online License Data
: Station Class:
: C
: DOM Status:
: LIC
: Antenna Type:
: Rad Ctr Hgt Above Avg Terr H:
: 322
: Rad Ctr Hgt Above Avg Terr V:
: 322
: Overall Height Above Ground:
: 62
: Rad Ctr Hgt Above Grnd H:
: 37
: Rad Ctr Hgt Above Grnd V:
: 37
: Max Hgt above Avg Terrain:
: 396
: Hgt above Mean Sea Level H:
: 477
: Hgt above Mean Sea Level V:
: 477
: ERP Horizontal Polarization:
: 100
: ERP Vertical Polarization:
: 17
: Xmitter Output (Kilowatts):
: 15.5
: Latitude:
: 46
: 33
: 18
: N
: Longitude:
: 123
: 3
: 27
: W
: Deg
: Min
: Sec
: Dir
: Mass Media License Data
: Page 1
: 4/13/05
: Callsign: KNBQ
:

1921. WTB: BC-780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-14 00:38:57 UTC
From: pj <pj-nospam@photoreporters.net>
Message-ID: <pj-nospam-D410BB.17385713042005@news.west.cox.net>
Source record: 13833

Looking for new/slightly used BC-780XLT. Anybody have one for sale or know where to find one?

Thanks.

Please remove -nospam from e-mail address when replying.

1922. Re: WTB: BC-780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-14 00:53:46 UTC
From: fred@aol.com
Message-ID: <mofr519132kun1n4587b1fh53kk9k2udqg@4ax.com>
Source record: 13834

On Wed, 13 Apr 2005 17:38:57 -0700, in rec.radio.scanner pj
<pj-nospam@photoreporters.net> wrote:

>Looking for new/slightly used BC-780XLT. Anybody have one for sale or
>know where to find one?
>
>Thanks.
>
>Please remove -nospam from e-mail address when replying.

http://www.amazon.com/exec/obidos/ASIN/B00005U7SA/adventureangling/104-7901607-0729562?%

1923. Re: WTB: BC-780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-14 01:49:15 UTC
From: fred@aol.com
Message-ID: <ebfr5199r4hqd07r2d2b3bjf7vav5ubr5t@4ax.com>
Source record: 13835

Yah I'll sell you mine for $1600.00

On Wed, 13 Apr 2005 17:38:57 -0700, in rec.radio.scanner pj
<pj-nospam@photoreporters.net> wrote:

>Looking for new/slightly used BC-780XLT. Anybody have one for sale or
>know where to find one?
>
>Thanks.
>
>Please remove -nospam from e-mail address when replying.

1924. Re: Why does my police scanner pick up this FM broadcast station on WFM? It does not pick up any other stations.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-14 08:42:11 UTC
From: Rick Frazier <rickf@rickfrazier.com>
Message-ID: <425E2D8A.F1894F5A@rickfrazier.com>
Source record: 13836

Fred:

Damn man it's because you are probably too close to the tower... Let's see, 102.9 fm. Twice the frequency is 205.8, three times 308.7, none of which click at the moment. What kind of scanner do you have, what are the intermediate frequencies on the scanner, and what frequency do you receive it on? Probably getting it on 102.9+ the high intermediate. If the high intermediate were 45Mhz, you would probably hear it around 147.9, not all that far off some interesting areas...

OK, I'll bite, where is River Center Blvd or Memorial Drive in Centralia? (come on, there can't be an actual boulevard in Centralia..., and the only Memorial should have been near a cemetery, which doesn't come to mind right now) For reference, I was born in Chehalis quite a while ago..

How far are you from the tower? Live on the east side of town by any chance? (I used to live at the end of East Main St, and recall a nice hill there, so I'd guess there is a tower on the top of that hill because it is about 400 feet above average terrain, and Centralia/Chehalis is pretty much flat if you aren't up in the hills east of town....

On second thought, (and I know it's been a really long time since I've been there,) but any station that claims coverage of Chehalis, Centralia and Olympia must be somewhere north of town... It wouldn't be out towards Tenino would it?

Country music station, huh? Is the JT (Junction Tavern) still in operation? It was at the corner of the old junction of the old road and the one that went past the "training school" on the way to Oakville... It was the first 3-way intersection (about a mile or so) south of the road to Tenino if I recall correctly... the old road roughly parallels Interstate 5. I'm sure the road numbers will come to me in the middle of the night... The JT was one of many taverns where I participated in the rental of innumerable pitchers of beer (Occasionally Oly, but usually Brew 66, which only a western Washington drinker would know anything about...) If you've ever consumed much of either, you know you don't buy beer, you just rent it on it's way to the ocean by way of the nearest urinal.

Ok, I got it now, the reason you're hearing it is because it's penance for living in (or near) Centralia (as if living there wasn't penance enough). Fortunately I escaped from there around 1962 for other places in western washington and about the mainland US, and finally landed here in Hawaii after 20+ years of servitude in California.... It was a long strange trip but I'm finally someplace I feel I belong.

Is Centralia still known locally as "retirement city"?

Good Luck!
-_Rick

fred@aol.com wrote:

> Why does my police scanner pick up this FM broadcast station on WFM? It does
> not pick up any other broadcast stations.
>
> KNBQ
> FM
> 33829
> CITICASTERS LICENSES, L.P.
> 2625 S MEMORIAL DR, SUITE A
> US
> CENTRALIA
> WA
> 50 EAST RIVERCENTER BLVD
> SUITE 1200
> 275
> 00000102.90000000
> M
> H
> LICEN
> 2/1/2006
> TULSA, OK 74129
> COVINGTON, KY 41011
> Callsign:
> Service:
> Facility ID:
> Party Name:
> Company:
> Address:
> City, ST, Zip:
> Community of License State:
> City:
> Facility Address:
> City, ST, Zip:
> Country:
> Channel:
> Frequency:
> Facility Type:
> Station Type:
> Status:
> Status Date:
> License Expiration Date:
> Online License Data
> Station Class:
> C
> DOM Status:
> LIC
> Antenna Type:
> Rad Ctr Hgt Above Avg Terr H:

> 322
> Rad Ctr Hgt Above Avg Terr V:
> 322
> Overall Height Above Ground:
> 62
> Rad Ctr Hgt Above Grnd H:
> 37
> Rad Ctr Hgt Above Grnd V:
> 37
> Max Hgt above Avg Terrain:
> 396
> Hgt above Mean Sea Level H:
> 477
> Hgt above Mean Sea Level V:
> 477
> ERP Horizontal Polarization:
> 100
> ERP Vertical Polarization:
> 17
> Xmitter Output (Kilowatts):
> 15.5
> Latitude:
> 46
> 33
> 18
> N
> Longitude:
> 123
> 3
> 27
> W
> Deg
> Min
> Sec
> Dir
> Mass Media License Data
> Page 1
> 4/13/05
> Callsign: KNBQ

1925. Perry County Indiana Radiio Profile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-14 14:04:48 UTC
From: zerg90@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19900-425E7880-336@storefull-3131.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 13837

Anyone have any updates or better info? What are the odds that someone from Perry County Indiana will read this post? 1 in a million? Peter S

---

from the FCC ULS

Perry County Indiana

Perry County Indiana

County Sheriff - 154.785R - 158.97in - 1 repeater plus 80 mobiles - 6/97 - WPKR937

County Highways - 159.165R - 156.075in - 7/84 - 1 repeater plus 26 mobiles - KNJG741

County 911 - 156.24R - 153.785in - 2/02 - 1 repeater plus 100 mobiles - 156.045M - WPUD447

County Ambulance - 155.295 - 9/02

County Emergency Management - 154.10R - 158.895in - 1 repeater plus 80 mobiles

---

Tell City - FD - 155.94R - 159.00M - 153.995 HT - Electric - 173.30 - Housing - 153.845M

Central Perry VFD - 154.385R - 156.00in (1991)

Troy - 155.88

Rome - FD - 154.19 - Local - 154.115
Anderson Twp FD - 154.31 (8/90)

State Prison - 153.80 HT

State TRS at Leopold - 866.375 - 866.90 - 867.425 - 868.45 - 868.975

State TRS at Branchville - 856.2375 - 857.2375 - 858.2375

1926. Re: What are these?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-14 16:58:46 UTC
From: Jeff Stillinger <jeff@dukeus.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.04.14.16.58.42.129995@dukeus.com>
Source record: 13838

After doing a google search (Uniden+IC202) the part is the
discriminator-ic.

More details as to it's exact location can be found here
http://www.discriminator.nl/ubc3000xlt/index-en.html

--j
KB6IBB

On Tue, 05 Apr 2005 14:03:30 -0700, fred wrote:

>
> Uniden just repaired my bc200xlt and these are the parts that they used:
>
>
> Parts Used
> BATTERY
> IC202
> R215
> WIRE

1927. Re: digital police soon to all encrypted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-14 17:08:36 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Wtidnd2B9vE9PsPfRVn-vw@comcast.com>
Source record: 13839

"Mark" <me@privacy.net> wrote in message
news:nt6t51p0i7i6q8asq8chcfks4veqd3etfh@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 13 Apr 2005 19:23:14 -0400, "Bill Crocker"
> <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote:
>
>>Normally, I don't like to take part in spreading unsubstantiated panic,
>>but
>>I'm inclined to agree with you on this one. When I had a Radio Shack
>>(GRE),
>>PRO-96, and was monitoring the Michigan State Police, they did in fact
>>invoke encryption on numerous occasions. I have to believe they will be
>>doing this anytime they are discussing sensitive information. Pretty much
>>everything that was in the clear, was daily, boring, routine stuff.
>
> I don't see why they bother to manually switch back to non encrypted.
> Just
> leave it there. Why are they actually making an effort to NOT encrypt?
>
> It will all depend on how some lawsuit ends up as far as whether the
> public
> as a right to listen to public official communications.

Most likely, they don't have the encryption option in all their radios. Not sure with the newer radios, if it's still done with a hardware module, or if it's all software now. But I'm sure Motorola isn't giving it away. You see a lot of older Motorola Saber radios on eBay. The ones that have the encryption module, command more money. Originally, that module was very expensive!

Bill Crocker

1928. WFM on 42.8MHz near NYC for 70th annerversy of FM broadcast radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-14 17:53:54 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <S6y7e.5555$go4.3233@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 13840

http://www.rwonline.com/dailynews/one.php?id=6990

If your scanner can do wideband FM and in range of the Alpine
tower, listen on 42.8MHz June 11 and 12.

1929. Re: digital police soon to all encrypted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-14 18:00:05 UTC
From: "Ralph A. Schmid, DK5RAS" <nospam@radio-link.net>
Message-ID: <vrbt51l1p1qebhmpmotvvh7j5hbc2jk9i6@4ax.com>
Source record: 13842

Mark <me@privacy.net> wrote:

>I don't see why they bother to manually switch back to non encrypted. Just
>leave it there. Why are they actually making an effort to NOT encrypt?

Maybe not all user groups share the same keys? Or there are even
members without any encryption at all?

regards - Ralph

--

Want to get in touch? http://www.radio-link.net/whereisralph.txt

1930. Re: digital police soon to all encrypted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-14 18:01:00 UTC
From: "Ralph A. Schmid, DK5RAS" <nospam@radio-link.net>
Message-ID: <ftbt51hs9a21036q2k8t7dmiu3b6o62gmu@4ax.com>
Source record: 13841

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote:

>Most likely, they don't have the encryption option in all their radios. Not
>sure with the newer radios, if it's still done with a hardware module, or if

Even the latest Motorolas (like XTS5000) need a piece of hardware to
encrypt messages.

>it's all software now. But I'm sure Motorola isn't giving it away. You see
>a lot of older Motorola Saber radios on eBay. The ones that have the
>encryption module, command more money. Originally, that module was very
>expensive!

And still it is!

>Bill Crocker

regards - Ralph

--

Want to get in touch? http://www.radio-link.net/whereisralph.txt

1931. Re: WFM on 42.8MHz near NYC for 70th annerversy of FM broadcast radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-14 19:31:51 UTC
From: Andy <nospm@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96389DFC444C3nospmnowherecom@216.196.97.136>
Source record: 13843

robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in news:S6y7e.5555$go4.3233
@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net:

> http://www.rwonline.com/dailynews/one.php?id=6990
>
> If your scanner can do wideband FM and in range of the Alpine
> tower, listen on 42.8MHz June 11 and 12.

robert,

Thanks for sharing the magic. My AOR 8200MKIIB will pick it up.

How refreshing!!!

Andy

--
"What can possibly go wrong... go wrong... go wrong..."
- Pop

1932. Re: Why does my police scanner pick up this FM broadcast station on WFM? It does not pick up any other stations.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-14 20:15:31 UTC
From: fred@aol.com
Message-ID: <kpjt51lfdipks1gith5kfufuadjf39j1eh@4ax.com>
Source record: 13845

I'm picking it up on 102.9 and that is what they are broadcasting it on so it's not an image. Image was my first thought.. Centrailia is about 30 miles away.

On Thu, 14 Apr 2005 08:42:11 GMT, in rec.radio.scanner Rick Frazier <rickf@rickfrazier.com> wrote:

>Fred:
>
>Damn man it's because you are probably too close to the tower... Let's see,
>102.9 fm. Twice the frequency is 205.8, three times 308.7, none of which click
>at the moment. What kind of scanner do you have, what are the intermediate
>frequencies on the scanner, and what frequency do you receive it on? Probably
>getting it on 102.9+ the high intermediate. If the high intermediate were 45Mhz,
>you would probably hear it around 147.9, not all that far off some interesting
>areas...
>
>OK, I'll bite, where is River Center Blvd or Memorial Drive in Centralia? (come
>on, there can't be an actual boulevard in Centralia..., and the only Memorial
>should have been near a cemetery, which doesn't come to mind right now) For
>reference, I was born in Chehalis quite a while ago..
>
>How far are you from the tower? Live on the east side of town by any chance?
>(I used to live at the end of East Main St, and recall a nice hill there, so I'd
>guess there is a tower on the top of that hill because it is about 400 feet
>above average terrain, and Centralia/Chehalis is pretty much flat if you aren't
>up in the hills east of town....
>
>On second thought, (and I know it's been a really long time since I've been
>there,) but any station that claims coverage of Chehalis, Centralia and Olympia
>must be somewhere north of town... It wouldn't be out towards Tenino would it?
>
>Country music station, huh? Is the JT (Junction Tavern) still in operation? It
>was at the corner of the old junction of the old road and the one that went past
>the "training school" on the way to Oakville... It was the first 3-way
>intersection (about a mile or so) south of the road to Tenino if I recall
>correctly... the old road roughly parallels Interstate 5. I'm sure the road
>numbers will come to me in the middle of the night... The JT was one of many
>taverns where I participated in the rental of innumerable pitchers of beer
>(Occasionally Oly, but usually Brew 66, which only a western Washington drinker
>would know anything about...) If you've ever consumed much of either, you know
>you don't buy beer, you just rent it on it's way to the ocean by way of the
>nearest urinal.
>

>Ok, I got it now, the reason you're hearing it is because it's penance for living
>in (or near) Centralia (as if living there wasn't penance enough). Fortunately I
>escaped from there around 1962 for other places in western washington and about
>the mainland US, and finally landed here in Hawaii after 20+ years of servitude
>in California.... It was a long strange trip but I'm finally someplace I feel I
>belong.
>
>Is Centralia still known locally as "retirement city"?
>
>Good Luck!
>-_Rick
>
>fred@aol.com wrote:
>
>> Why does my police scanner pick up this FM broadcast station on WFM? It does
>> not pick up any other broadcast stations.
>>
>> KNBQ
>> FM
>> 33829
>> CITICASTERS LICENSES, L.P.
>> 2625 S MEMORIAL DR, SUITE A
>> US
>> CENTRALIA
>> WA
>> 50 EAST RIVERCENTER BLVD
>> SUITE 1200
>> 275
>> 00000102.90000000
>> M
>> H
>> LICEN
>> 2/1/2006
>> TULSA, OK 74129
>> COVINGTON, KY 41011
>> Callsign:
>> Service:
>> Facility ID:
>> Party Name:
>> Company:
>> Address:
>> City, ST, Zip:
>> Community of License State:
>> City:
>> Facility Address:
>> City, ST, Zip:
>> Country:
>> Channel:
>> Frequency:
>> Facility Type:

>> Station Type:
>> Status:
>> Status Date:
>> License Expiration Date:
>> Online License Data
>> Station Class:
>> C
>> DOM Status:
>> LIC
>> Antenna Type:
>> Rad Ctr Hgt Above Avg Terr H:
>> 322
>> Rad Ctr Hgt Above Avg Terr V:
>> 322
>> Overall Height Above Ground:
>> 62
>> Rad Ctr Hgt Above Grnd H:
>> 37
>> Rad Ctr Hgt Above Grnd V:
>> 37
>> Max Hgt above Avg Terrain:
>> 396
>> Hgt above Mean Sea Level H:
>> 477
>> Hgt above Mean Sea Level V:
>> 477
>> ERP Horizontal Polarization:
>> 100
>> ERP Vertical Polarization:
>> 17
>> Xmitter Output (Kilowatts):
>> 15.5
>> Latitude:
>> 46
>> 33
>> 18
>> N
>> Longitude:
>> 123
>> 3
>> 27
>> W
>> Deg
>> Min
>> Sec
>> Dir
>> Mass Media License Data
>> Page 1
>> 4/13/05
>> Callsign: KNBQ

1933. Re: Why does my police scanner pick up this FM broadcast station on WFM? It does not pick up any other stations.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-14 20:16:34 UTC
From: fred@aol.com
Message-ID: <jsjt51tk6uml8a3b2jagliuuuu5qgng4mk@4ax.com>
Source record: 13844

On Thu, 14 Apr 2005 08:42:11 GMT, in rec.radio.scanner Rick Frazier <rickf@rickfrazier.com> wrote:

>Ok, I got it now, the reason you're hearing it is because it's penance for living
>in (or near) Centralia (as if living there wasn't penance enough). Fortunately I
>escaped from there around 1962 for other places in western washington and about
>the mainland US, and finally landed here in Hawaii after 20+ years of servitude
>in California.... It was a long strange trip but I'm finally someplace I feel I
>belong.

Nah.. nice try but I'm not even in the same county.. :)

1934. Re: Why does my police scanner pick up this FM broadcast station on WFM? It does not pick up any other stations.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-14 20:17:03 UTC
From: fred@aol.com
Message-ID: <atjt51149ltdemh3qvhb2t69o755j490be@4ax.com>
Source record: 13846

On Thu, 14 Apr 2005 08:42:11 GMT, in rec.radio.scanner Rick Frazier <rickf@rickfrazier.com> wrote:

>Is Centralia still known locally as "retirement city"?

All I know about centralia is that it is south of Olympia and you drive through it on the way to Portland.

1935. Re: digital police soon to all encrypted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-15 02:08:43 UTC
From: Steve Uhrig <Steve@swssec.com>
Message-ID: <tc8u519irhnpggasdbpmlj9thc766bvtjl@4ax.com>
Source record: 13847

On Thu, 14 Apr 2005 12:39:01 -0400, Mark <me@privacy.net> wrote:

> I don't see why they bother to manually switch back to non encrypted. Just
> leave it there. Why are they actually making an effort to NOT encrypt?

Encryption usually decreases battery life by about 1/3.

On an XTS5000 running full time encryption and moderately heavy use,
it takes 3 batteries to get through a shift.

Steve

****
Steve Uhrig, SWS Security, Maryland (USA)
Mfrs of electronic surveillance equip
mailto:Steve@swssec.com website http://www.swssec.com
tel +1+410-879-4035, fax +1+410-836-1190
"In God we trust, all others we monitor"
****

1936. Re: digital police soon to all encrypted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-15 04:13:31 UTC
From: "Ralph A. Schmid, DK5RAS" <nospam@radio-link.net>
Message-ID: <1nfu51drlgfvcmb9nduc09mj492j3hcmb3@4ax.com>
Source record: 13848

Steve Uhrig <Steve@swssec.com> wrote:

>Encryption usually decreases battery life by about 1/3.
>
>On an XTS5000 running full time encryption and moderately heavy use,
>it takes 3 batteries to get through a shift.

This is interesting; all this encryption stuff is relatively new to me, but I did not yet notice this. So I will have to make some measurements of the power consumption.

regards - Ralph

--

Want to get in touch? http://www.radio-link.net/whereisralph.txt

1937. Re: digital police soon to all encrypted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-15 13:01:49 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <8e2dnUaeocOjJsLfRVn-3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 13849

"Steve Uhrig" <Steve@swssec.com> wrote in message
news:tc8u519irhnpggasdbpmlj9thc766bvtjl@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 14 Apr 2005 12:39:01 -0400, Mark <me@privacy.net> wrote:
>
>> I don't see why they bother to manually switch back to non encrypted.
>> Just
>> leave it there. Why are they actually making an effort to NOT encrypt?
>
> Encryption usually decreases battery life by about 1/3.
>
> On an XTS5000 running full time encryption and moderately heavy use,
> it takes 3 batteries to get through a shift.
>
> Steve
>
>
> **
> Steve Uhrig, SWS Security, Maryland (USA)
> Mfrs of electronic surveillance equip
> mailto:Steve@swssec.com website http://www.swssec.com
> tel +1+410-879-4035, fax +1+410-836-1190
> "In God we trust, all others we monitor"
> **

Wow, that would explain it! Thanks Steve.

Bill Crocker

1938. Newbie Question - Out of the states

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-15 13:01:59 UTC
From: Jimmy <amitlay77@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <425fadcf$1@news.barak.net.il>
Source record: 13868

Hi!

I'm out of the US and brought a PRO-94 scanner with me. I have no experience in trunking.
In this area, they use a Motorola system of some kind. I can get transmitions between 850-855MHz which probably represent several distinct talker groups, and the transmissions jump frequencies once in a while. I have been able to pick up some frequencies around this range which seem like data channels.

My question is: given I have zero experience with trunking, how do I get my scanner to trunk and monitor the transmittions? Again, I seem to have both the data channel and many of the active frequencies.

Thanks a lot!
Jimmy

1939. FS- PRC25/77 MANPACK RADIO BATTERIES *NEW*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-15 21:14:43 UTC
From: Daphne + Dave <dschertzer@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <79W7e.1028410$6I.1018654@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 13850
Cross-posted to: rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

N-E-W-** SEALED IN BAG!! AN/PRC25 AN/PRC77 AN/PRC1107 MANPACK RADIO BATTERIES. NSN- 6135-00-926-8322, BATTERY, DRY MANGANESE DIOXIDE. PN/ BA-3386/25, BA3386/U (PRC25) UNISOURCE TECHNOLOGY INC. MADE IN USA. 15V @ 15Ah. WEIGHT 2KG EA. MORE DETAILED INFO AT http://www.milpower.co.uk/pdfs/milbat/milba3386u.pdf . UNITS ARE SOLD "AS-IS
AS LISTED". TESTED A "SAMPLE BATTERY" OF THE BATCH AND GET 15.9v & 3.2v RESPECTIVELY. EMAIL FOR QUESTIONS OR CONCERNS.

$10.00USD ea (till they last......)

1940. used receiver suitable for logging

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-16 01:51:09 UTC
From: dave d <no@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <hc_7e.1371$JJ2.1024@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 13851

Please bear with me, the last scanner I bought was a Pro-43. I'm looking for something I can hook up to an old computer and let run for a while logging frequency hits. I'd also like to mess with decoding digital signals (ACARS, etc.), so a factory-installed unfiltered output would be nice (but not required), if there are any models that don't require digging inside to get to the discriminator. Since I've always had hand-held units a base or mobile might be a nice change. It would also be nice to have something with a fairly well-documented PC interface so I could try to create my own logging/control/decoding software. I bet any number of Bearcats that have been around for a while would do the job, but thought I'd ask for a recommendation before watching ebay or the swap meets. What do you all suggest, at a variety of price points?

1941. IC-R75, VR-5000, ? $700 max

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-16 02:08:10 UTC
From: Bo Williams <williams@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <19bae$4260738b$18d69d2b$10815@KNOLOGY.NET>
Source record: 13852

I'm in the market for a tabletop receiver of fairly high quality, but it seems to me that all of the best functionality is in new handhelds. I've looked in some detail at both the Icom IC-R75 and the Yaesu VR-5000, and the substantial idiosyncrasies of each have me leaning heavily toward an IC-R20, which seems to have everything I want except the "correct" form factor.

Anything else I ought to look at before I just go get an IC-R20 tomorrow and be done with it? Budget is no more than $700 on the bottom line, which eliminates the AOR 8600 MkII.

Thanks for any advice,
--
Bo Williams - williams@hiwaay.net
http://hiwaay.net/~williams/

1942. Eastern Pa and NW NJ Listeners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-16 02:28:24 UTC
From: pompeii@pompeii-online.com
Message-ID: <1113618361.157.0@pompeii-online.com>
Source record: 13853

I'd like to mention two new mailing lists for scanner listeners in Eastern Pennsylvania (especially the Lehigh Valley area) and Northwestern New Jersey.

Lehigh Valley Radio - is a general discussion list for radio enthusiasts in the Lehigh Valley area. Topics discussed can be anything radio related. Scanning, Shortwave Listening, Utility DXing, AM FM TV DXing are all 'on topic'. Real time reception and incident reports are welcome!

Nortwest Corner - a general discussion list covering scanning in Sussex, Morris, Passaic, and Warren Counties, in New Jersey.

To join either of these free mailing lists, send an email message to:

listserve@pompeii-online.com

The subject can be left blank, but in the body of the message type:

subscribe lvradio - for the Lehigh Valley mailing list

and/or

subscribe northwestcorner - for the Northwest New Jersey

You may also visit the sponsoring websites at:

http://www.pompeii-online.com - Look for the 'Pompeii's Radio Page' link and login with a username of 'guest' and a password of 'guest'.

http://www.northwest-corner.com - An excellent site covering Sussex, Morris, Passaic, and Warren Counties, in New Jersey.

1943. Re: IC-R75, VR-5000, ? $700 max

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-16 04:38:10 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <w9qdnVp0Sq0pC_3fRVn-vw@comcast.com>
Source record: 13854

To stay within your budget, I would recommend the Icom IC-R75. Then add the numerous, and effective enhancements that are available for it.

Bill Crocker

"Bo Williams" <williams@hiwaay.net> wrote in message news:19bae$4260738b$18d69d2b$10815@KNOLOGY.NET...
> I'm in the market for a tabletop receiver of fairly high quality, but it
> seems to me that all of the best functionality is in new handhelds. I've
> looked in some detail at both the Icom IC-R75 and the Yaesu VR-5000, and
> the substantial idiosyncrasies of each have me leaning heavily toward an
> IC-R20, which seems to have everything I want except the "correct" form
> factor.
>
> Anything else I ought to look at before I just go get an IC-R20 tomorrow
> and be done with it? Budget is no more than $700 on the bottom line,
> which eliminates the AOR 8600 MkII.
>
> Thanks for any advice,
> --
> Bo Williams - williams@hiwaay.net
> http://hiwaay.net/~williams/

1944. FS Radio Shack pro-96

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-16 07:34:37 UTC
From: K5IN <K5IN@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <-sudncrS6qGMXf3fRVn-sg@comcast.com>
Source record: 13855

I have the pro-96 in good physical and excellent operating condition.
Scanner, wall wort, 2 AA holders and the standard antenna. $400.00 shipped
in the lower 48.

Brian, Everett Washington

1945. Re: IC-R75, VR-5000, ? $700 max

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-16 10:08:36 UTC
From: Pat Cox <peacox@gloryroad.net>
Message-ID: <4260E423.221F280D@gloryroad.net>
Source record: 13856

If not concerned about "form," why not consider the Icom PCR-1000? Do a google search for Bonito software (version 5.2) and check out what you not only can hear but see. There is a lot of other software options on the net and more web sites than you can read in a long weekend in reference to the PCR-1000. BTW, the software from Bonito isn't cheap. pcox

Bo Williams wrote:

> I'm in the market for a tabletop receiver of fairly high quality, but it
> seems to me that all of the best functionality is in new handhelds.
> I've looked in some detail at both the Icom IC-R75 and the Yaesu
> VR-5000, and the substantial idiosyncrasies of each have me leaning
> heavily toward an IC-R20, which seems to have everything I want except
> the "correct" form factor.
>
> Anything else I ought to look at before I just go get an IC-R20 tomorrow
> and be done with it? Budget is no more than $700 on the bottom line,
> which eliminates the AOR 8600 MkII.
>
> Thanks for any advice,
> --
> Bo Williams - williams@hiwaay.net
> http://hiwaay.net/~williams/

1946. Pro-2004 for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-16 14:06:10 UTC
From: Neil Bell <neilbell@notreally.att.net>
Message-ID: <ih6261pcvvmrb1auh03ufate3orsq46bs0@4ax.com>
Source record: 13857

Pro-2004 Scanner for sale. Excellent condition, FULL coverage,
includes Antenna, Manual, Official service manual. Excellent
performance, backlight is functional,. Best offer over $75 takes it
home. My e-Bay feedback is 100% positive. EBayname is "neilbell".

take offers until Friday April 22nd. Will ship by Priority mail.
Reason for selling - my area has gone digital!
Neil Bell

"Men never do evil so completely and cheerfully
as when they do it from religious conviction."
-- Blaise Pascal

For e-mail replies remove the "notreally."

1947. test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-16 14:49:54 UTC
From: MrMick <snicker39@NOSPAMhotmail.com>
Message-ID: <0bSdncLH28KVu_zfRVn-pg@comcast.com>
Source record: 13858

just a test

1948. Re: scanning FRS/GMRS radios.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-16 16:32:42 UTC
From: r2000swler@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1113669162.455244.306490@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13859

For GMRS and FRS rules, see:
http://wireless.fcc.gov/services/personal/generalmobile/

"If you operate a radio that has been approved exclusively under the rules that apply to FRS, you are not required to have a license. FRS radios have a maximum power of ½ watt (500 milliwatt) effective radiated power and integral (non-detachable) antennas. If you operate a radio under the rules that apply to GMRS, you must have a GMRS license. GMRS radios generally transmit at higher power levels (1 to 5 watts is typical) and may have detachable antennas. The current fee for a new GMRS license is $80."

Terry

1949. Re: Mobile radio - CB, FRS, or 2-meter ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-16 17:38:34 UTC
From: Petronoia <Petronoia@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <kbj261h6addsj4c8ip1q4d5br439irgqhf@4ax.com>
Source record: 13860
Cross-posted to: alt.survival,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 10 Apr 2005 21:06:51 GMT, "Omega" <2121(d)@insightbb.com>
wrote:

>You information on the ICOM program is out of date. The soldier intercom
>has been replaced by the F43G

Indeed it is.

Glad to see the grunts will actually be getting something useful, and
with and encryption option.

1950. Re: Why does my police scanner pick up this FM broadcast station on WFM? It does not pick up any other stations.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-16 18:08:23 UTC
From: Gerry Wheeler <gxwheeler@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.04.16.18.08.23.242953@earthlink.net>
Source record: 13861

On Thu, 14 Apr 2005 13:15:31 -0700, fred wrote:
>
> I'm picking it up on 102.9 and that is what they are broadcasting it on so
> it's not an image.

I've obviously missed something.

The station broadcasts on 102.9, and you're receiving it on 102.9, and you want to know why? The obvious answer is because you're tuned to its frequency, but I'm going to assume you know that already. So what part of your original question didn't I get?

What kind of scanner? Does it include WFM in its tuner?
--
Gerry Wheeler
Naples, FL

1951. FS: PRO-2040 100ch Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-16 19:25:30 UTC
From: Juan <n7rcm@pobox.com>
Message-ID: <KEd8e.7288$yq6.1109@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 13862

Pro-2040 100 channel scanner. Comes with power supply and antenna. Unit is
in very good condition.
You can go to this site and see the specs and features, download the manual
for it too.

http://support.radioshack.com/support_electronics/17770.htm

Asking $85 obo and that includes shipping and paypal fees

1952. Re: Why does my police scanner pick up this FM broadcast station on WFM? It does not pick up any other stations.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-16 21:56:10 UTC
From: fred@aol.com
Message-ID: <rd23611kntv5m12dk39gu5fsnnv6rp94t9@4ax.com>
Source record: 13863

On Sat, 16 Apr 2005 18:08:23 GMT, in rec.radio.scanner Gerry Wheeler
<gxwheeler@earthlink.net> wrote:

>On Thu, 14 Apr 2005 13:15:31 -0700, fred wrote:
>>
>> I'm picking it up on 102.9 and that is what they are broadcasting it on so
>> it's not an image.
>
>I've obviously missed something.
>
>The station broadcasts on 102.9, and you're receiving it on 102.9, and you
>want to know why? The obvious answer is because you're tuned to its
>frequency, but I'm going to assume you know that already. So what part of
>your original question didn't I get?
>
>What kind of scanner? Does it include WFM in its tuner?

Why do NONE of the other broadcast radios that are within range of my scanner
tune in then? As I stated in the first post I pick it up on WFM

1953. Re: WTB: BC-780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-16 22:08:37 UTC
From: Mike Burch <mburch46@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <F1g8e.19661$xL4.3432@attbi_s72>
Source record: 13864

fred@aol.com wrote:
>
> Yah I'll sell you mine for $1600.00
>

If your reply was funny that would be one thing, but that was stupid.

1954. Re: Why does my police scanner pick up this FM broadcast station on WFM? It does not pick up any other stations.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-16 23:35:49 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <pjh8e.10804$44.1816@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 13865

```
>
>
> Why do NONE of the other broadcast radios that are within range of my scanner
> tune in then? As I stated in the first post I pick it up on WFM

Maybe your scanner is a much better radio than the others?
More sensitivity. Do you get other weak FM broadcast stations
elsewhere? Try tuning it in on the car's radio. Car radios
usually have sensitive FM front ends. Extend the car radio
whip antenna all the way out first.
```

1955. Re: WTB: BC-780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-17 00:53:46 UTC
From: fred@aol.com
Message-ID: <gsc361levbtba37u3qmm5mqlq972io69tn@4ax.com>
Source record: 13867

On Sat, 16 Apr 2005 22:08:37 GMT, in rec.radio.scanner Mike Burch
<mburch46@mchsi.com> wrote:

>
>
>fred@aol.com wrote:
>>
>> Yah I'll sell you mine for $1600.00
>>
>
>
>If your reply was funny that would be one thing, but that was stupid.

It's dead serious.

1956. Re: Why does my police scanner pick up this FM broadcast station on WFM? It does not pick up any other stations.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-17 00:54:45 UTC
From: fred@aol.com
Message-ID: <btc3619c0280d3bio69rvledbhct1s067a@4ax.com>
Source record: 13866

On Sat, 16 Apr 2005 23:35:49 GMT, in rec.radio.scanner robert casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote:

>
>>
>>
>> Why do NONE of the other broadcast radios that are within range of my scanner
>> tune in then? As I stated in the first post I pick it up on WFM
>
>Maybe your scanner is a much better radio than the others?
>More sensitivity. Do you get other weak FM broadcast stations
>elsewhere? Try tuning it in on the car's radio. Car radios
>usually have sensitive FM front ends. Extend the car radio
>whip antenna all the way out first.

No it's not the scanner, my wording was confusing.. should have been worded that
this is the only broadcast station that my scanner picks up. Something is
different about this station.

1957. Re: Any listeners in souther AZ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-17 05:37:44 UTC
From: Mike Burch <mburch46@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <ICm8e.20599$xL4.12031@attbi_s72>
Source record: 13869

Maybe they are trying to make it more difficult for the illegals to coordinate

Mike Burch K8MB

Apache Junction AZ

1958. Trying to figure out a frequency for something

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-17 06:19:39 UTC
From: "Joe R.Morris, Jr." <Joebaby@midsouth.rr.com>
Message-ID: <%dn8e.5073$0V2.4423@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 13870

Howdy folks I am trying to figure out the freq. for Mississippi Highway Patrol in North Miss. the Batesville Troop......I hear the mobile on 458.000 mhz but I cant figure out the return freq from the base when the mobile communicates with the base for info.....any help would be appreciated.

Joebaby

1959. Re: Discone scanner plans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-17 14:00:02 UTC
From: forum@mannini.it
Message-ID: <1113746402.093992.20120@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13871

Have a look at dxzone antenna section

http://www.dxzone.com

1960. Re: Newbie Question - Out of the states

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-17 16:39:26 UTC
From: Dwayne <dubbin4@goaway.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1ccc5bd1b106c60e98977c@news-server.woh.rr.com>
Source record: 13872

It would help if you let us know where you are and what system you are
trying to monitor.

--

Dwayne
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/BC895/

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Uniden_BC246T

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ScanFindlay/

In article <425fadcf$1@news.barak.net.il>, amitlay77@hotmail.com says...
> Hi!
>
> I'm out of the US and brought a PRO-94 scanner with me. I have no experience
> in trunking.
> In this area, they use a Motorola system of some kind. I can get
> transmitions between 850-855MHz which probably represent several distinct
> talker groups, and the transmissions jump frequencies once in a while. I
> have been able to pick up some frequencies around this range which seem like
> data channels.
>
> My question is: given I have zero experience with trunking, how do I get my
> scanner to trunk and monitor the transmittions? Again, I seem to have both
> the data channel and many of the active frequencies.
>
> Thanks a lot!
> Jimmy
>
>
>

1961. public safety frequencies more important than Morse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-17 17:59:17 UTC
From: Dan Jacobson <jidanni@jidanni.org>
Message-ID: <87r7h98etm.fsf@jidanni.org>
Source record: 13873
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

For countries still with Morse code exams, I propose that more useful would be having the examinee list his local police, fire, ambulance, helicopter, water rescue, utility crews, volunteer units, civil defense, etc. etc. frequencies. Yes, he would have to get these non-amateur frequencies from his local scanner club, etc. in order to qualify for his amateur licence, but at least he would be more useful in emergencies if asked to help out listening in on the situation. Yes, he would have to acknowledge these are for listening only, etc.

Perhaps for Morse we might still ask him to identify some local NDB airport beacons, repeated as long as needed.

1962. Pro 93 software version ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-17 22:58:11 UTC
From: Tom <montgomeryt@cinci.rr.com>
Message-ID: <7SB8e.4934$Qu2.4086@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 13874

Could someone tell me how to find out what software version my Pro 93 is. I used to know how but it's been awhile. Also if there are any web sites for keypunch tips. Thanks Monty

1963. Re: Pro 93 software version ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-17 23:51:07 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Pb6dnZcYN4zta__fRVn-ig@comcast.com>
Source record: 13875

Turn the scanner on, and when "Welcome To Dual Trunking" appears on the
display, push the 3 key. (Source: Radio Shack)

Bill Crocker

"Tom" <montgomeryt@cinci.rr.com> wrote in message
news:7SB8e.4934$Qu2.4086@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com...
> Could someone tell me how to find out what software version my Pro 93 is.
> I
> used to know how but it's been awhile. Also if there are any web sites for
> keypunch tips. Thanks Monty
>
>

1964. antenna tower help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-18 00:27:57 UTC
From: tobinns <tobinns@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1113784077.492861.212150@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13876

I have an antenna tower that I have no info about. Can someone help me
ID it.

It's a 4 leg tower make of steel with zigzag cross bracing. I have 65'
of tower.

I need to know if it's a self supporting tower or if it needs supports.

here are images.

http://us.f1f.yahoofs.com/bc/2360eb0f/bc/My+Folder/IMG00392.jpg?bf4DwYCB9J36V0QH

http://us.f1f.yahoofs.com/bc/2360eb0f/bc/My+Folder/IMG00393.jpg?bf4DwYCBDOJdZg1.

1965. Re: antenna tower help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-18 00:35:17 UTC
From: tobinns <tobinns@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1113784517.751335.67490@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13877

Second link didn't work

http://us.f1f.yahoofs.com/bc/2360eb0f/bc/My+Folder/IMG00393.jpg?bf9RwYCBu2MnZg1.

1966. UNIDEN BEARCAT BCT8 MOBILE/BASE SCANNER,Brand New in Box

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-18 09:50:14 UTC
From: blackeye <joek124@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <426382d3$0$5595$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 13878

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5768114302&rd=1&sspagename=STRK%3AMESE%3AIT&rd=1

1967. Best Handheld antenna for 151.00 to 159 MHZ reception?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-18 12:34:34 UTC
From: "mikem@evenlink.com" <mikem@evenlink.com>
Message-ID: <1113827674.313995.318250@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13879

Anyone have a handheld antenna that is particularly good for receiving
the above freq. range? I am using the Austin Condor
antenna on the handheld scanner right now.

Thank you,
Mike

1968. Re: antenna tower help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-18 20:18:20 UTC
From: Bill <Bill@birchnet.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <H370cfFhZBZCFwIY@birchnet.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 13880

In message <1113784517.751335.67490@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>,
tobinns <tobinns@yahoo.com> writes
>Second link didn't work
>
>http://us.f1f.yahoofs.com/bc/2360eb0f/bc/My+Folder/IMG00393.jpg?bf9RwYCB
>u2MnZg1.
>
Not too sure about this one either! All I get is:-

"You do not have permission to get the requested URL from this server."
--
Bill

1969. Re: Best Handheld antenna for 151.00 to 159 MHZ reception?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-18 22:12:58 UTC
From: Buzzygirl <spammers@re.shite.com>
Message-ID: <gtidnX7Rxap_rfnfRVn-3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 13881

<mikem@evenlink.com> wrote in message
news:1113827674.313995.318250@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> Anyone have a handheld antenna that is particularly good for receiving
> the above freq. range? I am using the Austin Condor
> antenna on the handheld scanner right now.

It's a very good antenna for handhelds. I have used others, but with no real improvement over the Austin Condor. The Austin Condor seems to work great in this range. I spend a lot of time scanning around 154-158 MHz, as many of my local PDs and other EmComms are still using that frequency range quite heavily.

Jackie

1970. Re: digital police soon to all encrypted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-19 01:17:18 UTC
From: Ish <Ishmole@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <1vm8611fb0d9e6tem4etvaea3gu5go93qn@4ax.com>
Source record: 13882

On Fri, 15 Apr 2005 09:01:49 -0400, "Bill Crocker"
<wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote:

>
>"Steve Uhrig" <Steve@swssec.com> wrote in message
>news:tc8u519irhnpggasdbpmlj9thc766bvtjl@4ax.com...
>> On Thu, 14 Apr 2005 12:39:01 -0400, Mark <me@privacy.net> wrote:
>>
>>> I don't see why they bother to manually switch back to non encrypted.
>>> Just
>>> leave it there. Why are they actually making an effort to NOT encrypt?
>>
>> Encryption usually decreases battery life by about 1/3.
>>
>> On an XTS5000 running full time encryption and moderately heavy use,
>> it takes 3 batteries to get through a shift.
>>
>>

Also, on some systems, the use of encryption decreases range and hurts
the received audio quality.

Ish

1971. Military Low-Band "FOX MIKE"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-19 04:03:01 UTC
From: Frank Bals <fjb@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Vp%8e.90277$cg1.73918@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 13883

Anybody in the Northeast hearing these signals in the 30-88 Mhz range? I believe they use WFM mode.

Frank

1972. FS:Mint Cond. BC-796D $470.00 plus shipping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-19 21:28:42 UTC
From: "step55432@yahoo.com" <step55432@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1113946122.296349.126140@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13884

Forsale:BC- 796D digital apco 25 9600baud 1,000 ch police scanner.
for the complete description,specs,ect. go to google.com
search:Uniden BC796D
you will get all the information you need on this radio.
iwn several as well as afew others,im a true hobbyist,1 radio has to go
so im selling at $470.00 plus shipping.
im in the minneapolis,minnesota area for any locals interested.

1973. Ka and X on radar detector

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-19 22:25:54 UTC
From: johnam ron <analon1@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <42658571.C365CCA@yahoo.com>
Source record: 13885
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I was driving on I-10 with my 11 band Cobra radar detecto AOR-8200 Scanner, AOR-8000 scanner and CB.

I noticed that at times when a large truch would approach from either direction the radar detector wound show an "alert on either X-Band or Ka band.....

anyone have any idea what this could be. I think it might be the satellite communication system used by the trucks ??

On my last trip, I was on I-10, the radar detector went to level-4..noticed a Highway Patrol or Border patrol comming up behind me.. I was going about 85Mph, the guy passed me going much faster.... I monitored the area scanner frequencies and determined that a "Coyote" or one who lead illegals was fleeing from Border patrol and rolled his van killing two occupants... this happened around 4am...

both my scanners AOT-8000 and AOR-8200 do receive cellular signals and each can store 1000 channels. If I add a memory card to the 8300 I can store about 4,000 channels worth of data....

--
---
jamoran@analon.com + web site: analon.com 888-5-ANALON
x
...Chemistry, Computer sys,Unix/Linux software & networks that WORK!
.Send TEXT mail ONLY!! Junk senders get their DOMAIN blacklisted.
x
****.Chickens & fresh Brown free-range natural Eggs too! *****...

1974. fs rs PRO-96 $360.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-19 23:51:45 UTC
From: K5IN <K5IN@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <mbOdnRNS9PgKBPjfRVn-oQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 13886

i HAVE THE PRO-96 WITH RUBBER DUCK, WALL CHARGER BELT CLIP AND IS IN EXCELLENT PHYSICAL AND OPERATING CONDITION. pLUS IT HAS BOTH aa HOLDERS. SRI, NO MANUAL OR BOX $360.00 SHIPPED IN THE LOWER 48.

i ALSO DO PAYPAL.

bRIAN, K5IN

1975. Weather Satellites

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-20 02:25:43 UTC
From: Tony Kobylski <ark1217@bcpl.net>
Message-ID: <4265BDA7.8080901@bcpl.net>
Source record: 13887

I would like to try to pick up the NOAA sattelites. I have an Icom PCR1000 and a variety of software. I need to know things like bandwidth required, LPM, Mode, and all the other stuff. I know I can get it easier on the net but where is the challange in that. Thanks for the help.
Tony

1976. Re: Weather Satellites

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-20 11:24:37 UTC
From: r2000swler@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1113996277.764950.237490@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13888

50KHz BW works great.
I use Christian Bock's WXSatillite with a simple
horizontal dipole. A QDH with a premp
would work much better.

Terry

1977. scanner software PRO-2051

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-20 16:57:16 UTC
From: kiwirat@gmail.com
Message-ID: <hd2d6118i4sf2ea1pn4595vdbela3qg703@4ax.com>
Source record: 13889

Is there ANY software for the PRO-2051, pay or free?

1978. Re: antenna tower help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-20 20:37:52 UTC
From: tobinns <tobinns@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114029472.343373.122800@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13890

here are photo links that work.

http://tremorsinc.tripod.com/images/IMG00392.jpg
http://tremorsinc.tripod.com/images/IMG00393.jpg

1979. Re: antenna tower help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-20 20:57:56 UTC
From: Ken Bessler <kg0wx@NOSPAMyahoo.com>
Message-ID: <onz9e.17388$up2.2787@okepread01>
Source record: 13891

"tobinns" <tobinns@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1114029472.343373.122800@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> here are photo links that work.
>
> http://tremorsinc.tripod.com/images/IMG00392.jpg
> http://tremorsinc.tripod.com/images/IMG00393.jpg
>

I wouldn't put that up until I had it sandblasted & inspected
for RUST. Remember - if you put it up and it falls (which in
this case looks likely), YOU are liable!

To answer your original question on weather it's self supporting
I wouldn't trust it. It looks like a 4 sided Rohn and that's not
good.

To put it plainly, I wouldn't put that up unless I had it inspected
and paid an engeneer to evaluate it. In other words, I'd rather
spend tha same $$$ on a new tower.

--
73's es gd dx de Ken KG&#65533;WX
Grid EM17ip, Flying Pigs #1055,
Digital On Six #350,
List Owner, Yahoo! E-groups:
VX-2R & FT-857

1980. Pro-95 for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-20 21:14:12 UTC
From: keith <mke65@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <kehd611smo0b6cskmrkfm5hsjum3q232op@4ax.com>
Source record: 13892

I have the scanner, manuals, box, computer control cable all in
excellent condition for 120 delivery to US included.

Keith

1981. WTB: Cheek's Scanner Mod Handbooks 1 & 2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-21 02:46:53 UTC
From: Frank Bals <fjb@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <xuE9e.96904$cg1.62774@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 13893

I already have the "Ultimate Scanner #3", but I need Volumes 1 and 2. I'd like to spend around $10 for each. Let me know.

Frank

1982. Re: Ka and X on radar detector

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-21 12:54:13 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <XnN9e.13748$Jg7.6671@fe03.lga>
Source record: 13894
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"johnam ron" <analon1@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:42658571.C365CCA@yahoo.com...
> I was driving on I-10 with my 11 band Cobra radar detecto AOR-8200
> Scanner, AOR-8000 scanner and CB.
>
> I noticed that at times when a large truch would approach from either
> direction the radar detector wound show an "alert on either X-Band or Ka
> band.....
>
> anyone have any idea what this could be. I think it might be the
> satellite communication system used by the trucks ??
>

I seriously don't know, but your speculation could be correct...

One thing I DO know is that your Radar detector is not 11-band, desipe the
fact that Cobra claims it is.. There are only 5 bands tops. X, K, KA,
Laser, and (if your unit has it) SWS...

> On my last trip, I was on I-10, the radar detector went to
> level-4..noticed a Highway Patrol or Border patrol comming up behind
> me.. I was going about 85Mph, the guy passed me going much faster.... I
> monitored the area scanner frequencies and determined that a "Coyote" or
> one who lead illegals was fleeing from Border patrol and rolled his van
> killing two occupants... this happened around 4am...
>
> both my scanners AOT-8000 and AOR-8200 do receive cellular signals and
> each can store 1000 channels. If I add a memory card to the 8300 I can
> store about 4,000 channels worth of data....
>

Not sure what the point of these were, but OK...

1983. Radio Shack (GRE) PRO-95 on Close-Out Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-21 21:32:48 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <CMGdnUeAweyegfXfRVn-jA@comcast.com>
Source record: 13895

Radio Shack is discontinuing the PRO-95 (GRE Mfg), Cat. #20-525 Hand-Held Scanner @ $129.99!

Pro 95 1000-Channel Dual-Trunking

High-performance, extended coverage scanner has the ability to scan both trunked and conventional channels at the same time. It has 1000 memories-arranged in 10 banks of 100-and 1000 ID memories that can display your text entries for easier identification of frequencies. The triple conversion circuit and switchable 20dB attenuator cut image-type interference. The display provides four lines of 12 characters and has a push-button backlight with programmable on time. You can search preset non-trunked police/ fire, marine, CB, Ham and VHF-air frequencies for activity. Extended coverage includes CB (AM), 220MHz and 1260MHz Ham bands. Weather alert sounds an alarm and the display indicates level of alert. PC interface permits computer control (software not included) and easy memory cloning with another PRO-95 scanner (cable Cat. No. 42-2420 1/8" mono to 1/8" mono plug, extra).

PRODUCT FEATURES
. Power save
. Key tone on/off
. Alpha-numeric display for ID tagging allows frequencies to be easily identified by the name you assign to them
. Triple conversion Super heterodyne receiver virtually eliminates image-type interference
. Frequency Coverage: 25-54, 108-136.9875, 137-174, 216-225, 406-512, 806-960 (excluding cellular) and 1240-1300MHz
. Digital weather alert indicates watch, warning, statement or test statement along with 7 preprogrammed NOAA WX stations
. Power: Requires 4 "AA" batteries and/or adapter: AC #273-1767/B, DC #273-1863/B
. Jacks: Earphone, PC interface/cloning, antenna (BNC), external power
. Includes: Detachable flexible antenna, belt clip, two battery holders
. Size: (less antenna) 6-1/2&#65533;2-5/8&#65533;1-3/4"
. Includes: VHF Lo/Hi, UHF, VHF-Air, 800MHz, Dual-Trunking, Extended Coverage

1984. Re: Radio Shack (GRE) PRO-95 on Close-Out Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-21 22:06:30 UTC
From: Jeff Seale <jseale18@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <24s0yl7x28x1.1jvvr7m6786vk.dlg@40tude.net>
Source record: 13896

On Thu, 21 Apr 2005 17:32:48 -0400, Bill Crocker wrote:

> Radio Shack is discontinuing the PRO-95 (GRE Mfg), Cat. #20-525 Hand-Held
> Scanner @ $129.99!
>
> Pro 95 1000-Channel Dual-Trunking
>
>
> High-performance, extended coverage scanner has the ability to scan both
> trunked and conventional channels at the same time. It has 1000
> memories-arranged in 10 banks of 100-and 1000 ID memories that can display
> your text entries for easier identification of frequencies. The triple
> conversion circuit and switchable 20dB attenuator cut image-type
> interference. The display provides four lines of 12 characters and has a
> push-button backlight with programmable on time. You can search preset
> non-trunked police/ fire, marine, CB, Ham and VHF-air frequencies for
> activity. Extended coverage includes CB (AM), 220MHz and 1260MHz Ham bands.
> Weather alert sounds an alarm and the display indicates level of alert. PC
> interface permits computer control (software not included) and easy memory
> cloning with another PRO-95 scanner (cable Cat. No. 42-2420 1/8" mono to
> 1/8" mono plug, extra).
>
> PRODUCT FEATURES
> . Power save
> . Key tone on/off
> . Alpha-numeric display for ID tagging allows frequencies to be easily
> identified by the name you assign to them
> . Triple conversion Super heterodyne receiver virtually eliminates
> image-type interference
> . Frequency Coverage: 25-54, 108-136.9875, 137-174, 216-225, 406-512,
> 806-960 (excluding cellular) and 1240-1300MHz
> . Digital weather alert indicates watch, warning, statement or test
> statement along with 7 preprogrammed NOAA WX stations
> . Power: Requires 4 "AA" batteries and/or adapter: AC #273-1767/B, DC
> #273-1863/B
> . Jacks: Earphone, PC interface/cloning, antenna (BNC), external power
> . Includes: Detachable flexible antenna, belt clip, two battery
> holders
> . Size: (less antenna) 6-1/2ï¿½2-5/8ï¿½1-3/4"
> . Includes: VHF Lo/Hi, UHF, VHF-Air, 800MHz, Dual-Trunking, Extended
> Coverage

Didn't they just 'update' that thing recently? I saw a new version of it in
Radio Shack a few days ago. All the changes are cosmetic however. Some of
the buttons on the keyboard have been moved around and colorized and the

case is light gray as opposed to black. It's still got the same ol' crummy GRE innards though.

Jeff Seale
Louisville, KY

1985. Re: Radio Shack (GRE) PRO-95 on Close-Out Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-21 22:27:57 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com>
Message-ID: <NNV9e.11111$go4.8282@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 13897

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:CMGdnUeAweyegfXfRVn-jA@comcast.com...
> Radio Shack is discontinuing the PRO-95 (GRE Mfg), Cat. #20-525 Hand-Held
> Scanner @ $129.99!
>
> Pro 95 1000-Channel Dual-Trunking
[SNIPPED]
>

PC
> interface permits computer control (software not included)

Computer control, or computer programmable"?

Ahh, if it only got the mil-air band...

1986. No idea what I am talking about!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-21 22:36:18 UTC
From: csturnbull@dsl.pipex.com
Message-ID: <00050321233528.OUI41.csturnbull@dsl.pipex.com>
Source record: 13898

Just a shot in the dark. My better half has a GRE PSR-239 which she has messed about with for a few years now without problems. It has now decided not to locate any stations and scans away without finding anything. She says she may have pressed something to cause this but no idea what, or perhaps it has decided to call it a day or maybe the ariel has failed or perhaps it isn't a good model anyway. A check list would be handy as we can't even find the manual. The other solution is for us to call it a day as we are in our sixties and probably too old for this sort of stuff!! Any suggestions would be helpful, even chuck it away or recommendation for a new one. Thanks in anticipation Clive Cheshire UK

--
C S Turnbull
Cheshire
UK
csturnbull@dsl.pipex,com

1987. Re: No idea what I am talking about!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-21 23:40:24 UTC
From: mikeFNB <mikefnb@moc.dlrowltn>
Message-ID: <IRW9e.2058$TT6.426@newsfe3-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 13899

ok clive and hello
now at a guess, i'd plumb for the aerial connector on the inside of the scanner which has a wire from it's centre pin to the scanner board having come a drift...that is a common problem amongst scanners.

now it could be 1001 other things
the sensible thing to do here is find an on-line copy of the manual and try that first.

maybe someone can help

mike

"Clive Turnbull" <csturnbull@dsl.pipex.com> wrote in message news:00050321233528.OUI41.csturnbull@dsl.pipex.com...
> Just a shot in the dark. My better half has a GRE PSR-239 which she has
> messed about with for a few years now without problems. It has now
> decided not to locate any stations and scans away without finding
> anything. She says she may have pressed something to cause this but no
> idea what, or perhaps it has decided to call it a day or maybe the ariel
> has failed or perhaps it isn't a good model anyway. A check list would
> be handy as we can't even find the manual. The other solution is for us
> to call it a day as we are in our sixties and probably too old for this
> sort of stuff!! Any suggestions would be helpful, even chuck it away or
> recommendation for a new one. Thanks in anticipation Clive Cheshire UK
>
> --
> C S Turnbull
> Cheshire
> UK
> csturnbull@dsl.pipex,com
>

1988. Re: No idea what I am talking about!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-21 23:41:30 UTC
From: nitespark <nitespark@cox.net>
Message-ID: <tSW9e.330$XA2.203@lakeread07>
Source record: 13900

Clive Turnbull wrote:
> Just a shot in the dark. My better half has a GRE PSR-239 which she has
> messed about with for a few years now without problems. It has now
> decided not to locate any stations and scans away without finding
> anything. She says she may have pressed something to cause this but no
> idea what, or perhaps it has decided to call it a day or maybe the ariel
> has failed or perhaps it isn't a good model anyway. A check list would
> be handy as we can't even find the manual. The other solution is for us
> to call it a day as we are in our sixties and probably too old for this
> sort of stuff!! Any suggestions would be helpful, even chuck it away or
> recommendation for a new one. Thanks in anticipation Clive Cheshire UK
>

I am not familiar with this model, but some scanners have an attenuator feature. This reduces the sensitivity so nearby strong signals do not overload the receiver. If you still have the directions, check and see if you have an attenuator function. You may see a button on the radio labeled "ATT" or "ATN" or something like that. If your radio is equipped with that, then try pushing that button. It is possible the antenna connection inside the radio has broken to the circuit board. If none of these are solutions, I would guess that something has burned out in the receiver circuit and the radio will need to be repaired by a repair facility. There are a lot of possibilities. I have named a few of the more obvious.

1989. Re: No idea what I am talking about!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-21 23:55:58 UTC
From: csturnbull@dsl.pipex.com
Message-ID: <00050322005413.OUI70.csturnbull@dsl.pipex.com>
Source record: 13751

Thanks Both

I did have the manual so I will do a proper search tomorrow. I do take the point about the ariel, it doesn't seem to make any difference if it's attached or not. I will take a look Thanks Clive

--
C S Turnbull
Cheshire
UK
csturnbull@dsl.pipex,com

1990. Re: Radio Shack (GRE) PRO-95 on Close-Out Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-22 01:03:09 UTC
From: Herb Greene <majorret310@nospam.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <m9jg61h072r1hug283ntn9dfrs92vocj9h@4ax.com>
Source record: 13752

>
>
>
>
>Ahh, if it only got the mil-air band...
>
The reason I keep my PRO-43 going!!

1991. Re: Radio Shack (GRE) PRO-95 on Close-Out Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-22 01:09:25 UTC
From: Don Starr <"d o n"@at>
Message-ID: <bbig61l47oau2ndmhlmvck7tepmdb87uq6@4ax.com>
Source record: 13753

On Thu, 21 Apr 2005 22:06:30 GMT, Jeff Seale <jseale18@insightbb.com>
wrote:

>Didn't they just 'update' that thing recently?

If by "update" you mean "replace with a new scanner", then yes. The
new version is the PRO-97.

> I saw a new version of it in Radio Shack a few days ago. All the
> changes are cosmetic however. Some of the buttons on the keyboard
> have been moved around and colorized and the case is light gray
> as opposed to black.

If, in fact, you're referring to the PRO-97, the changes are far
from cosmetic:

* LTR trunking added
* CTCSS/DCS added
* 150 talk groups/bank vs. 100
* "Signal Stalker" added
* Added NWS FIPS/SAME mode
* Step sizes for various frequency ranges changed (e.g. 8.333 kHz
between 108 and 137 MHz).
* Added 225-406 MHz
* Increased sensitivity in 216-225 MHz

1992. Re: Weather Satellites

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-22 01:12:02 UTC
From: Sanchez <sanchez_mobile@telenet.be>
Message-ID: <NbY9e.138364$Uh3.15688@biebel.telenet-ops.be>
Source record: 13754

I'm using a Diamond discone antenna (with max 10meter quality cable, such as aircell) but an antenna more specific for APT reception would be much better.
You could make one yourself to save some money, there's a lot of good info on the net.
If you want to use both antennas, don't use an antenna splitter, this will reduce the signal strenght.

With the program Orbitron (to predict satellite passes, with DDE option) and WXsat to decode the signals. I'm experimenting with WXtoIMG but I find this more difficult to setup. You can also fine-tune the pcr with factory software (EX-2099), but it can wreck your receiver......make sure that you've got a backup copy of your EEPROM before experimenting (unique settings for each receiver). It takes a signal generator, millivoltmeter and oscilloscope to fine-tune the pcr.

An amplifier indeed increases your weak signals, but it also increases the noise in strong signals. Noaa signals are strong enough to recieve them without one.
The optional DSP unit only has advantage in the lower frequencies.

After a lot of patience, testing and adjusting my results are very good, sometimes I've got a very good signal, starting at a 10 degree angle.

Sanchez.

----- Original Message -----
From: <r2000swler@hotmail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Sent: Wednesday, April 20, 2005 1:24 PM
Subject: Re: Weather Satellites

> 50KHz BW works great.
> I use Christian Bock's WXSatillite with a simple
> horizontal dipole. A QDH with a premp
> would work much better.
>
> Terry
>

1993. Re: Radio Shack (GRE) PRO-95 on Close-Out Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-22 01:13:40 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com>
Message-ID: <8dY9e.11172$go4.2637@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 13755

Yea, I've got 2 43's, 2 34's, and 2 60's, not to mention several others BUT having alpha tags would be great. I have others with alpha/computer capabilties so I guess I don't need a 95...

"Herb Greene" <majorret310@nospam.yahoo.com> wrote in message news:m9jg61h072r1hug283ntn9dfrs92vocj9h@4ax.com...
>
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >Ahh, if it only got the mil-air band...
> >
> The reason I keep my PRO-43 going!!

1994. Re: Weather Satellites

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-22 01:16:31 UTC
From: Russell & Angie Hall <rhall@marktwain.net>
Message-ID: <116gk3hqf7vpo9e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 13756

I use WXtoImg, works very well, a terriffic assortment of enhancements available. I also built a crossed dipole antenna. Like already said, 50khz bandwidth, and FM mode. I also have decent results with my discone antenna.

Russell

"Tony Kobylski" <ark1217@bcpl.net> wrote in message news:4265BDA7.8080901@bcpl.net...
>I would like to try to pick up the NOAA sattelites. I have an Icom PCR1000
>and a variety of software. I need to know things like bandwidth required,
>LPM, Mode, and all the other stuff. I know I can get it easier on the net
>but where is the challange in that. Thanks for the help.
> Tony
>

1995. Re: Radio Shack (GRE) PRO-95 on Close-Out Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-22 01:32:35 UTC
From: BDK <kingratatatboy@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1cd21e42e2128055989889@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 13757

In article <24s0yl7x28x1.1jvvr7m6786vk.dlg@40tude.net>, jseale18
@insightbb.com says...
> On Thu, 21 Apr 2005 17:32:48 -0400, Bill Crocker wrote:
>
> > Radio Shack is discontinuing the PRO-95 (GRE Mfg), Cat. #20-525 Hand-Held
> > Scanner @ $129.99!
> >
> > Pro 95 1000-Channel Dual-Trunking
> >
> >
> > High-performance, extended coverage scanner has the ability to scan both
> > trunked and conventional channels at the same time. It has 1000
> > memories-arranged in 10 banks of 100-and 1000 ID memories that can display
> > your text entries for easier identification of frequencies. The triple
> > conversion circuit and switchable 20dB attenuator cut image-type
> > interference. The display provides four lines of 12 characters and has a
> > push-button backlight with programmable on time. You can search preset
> > non-trunked police/ fire, marine, CB, Ham and VHF-air frequencies for
> > activity. Extended coverage includes CB (AM), 220MHz and 1260MHz Ham bands.
> > Weather alert sounds an alarm and the display indicates level of alert. PC
> > interface permits computer control (software not included) and easy memory
> > cloning with another PRO-95 scanner (cable Cat. No. 42-2420 1/8" mono to
> > 1/8" mono plug, extra).
> >
> > PRODUCT FEATURES
> > . Power save
> > . Key tone on/off
> > . Alpha-numeric display for ID tagging allows frequencies to be easily
> > identified by the name you assign to them
> > . Triple conversion Super heterodyne receiver virtually eliminates
> > image-type interference
> > . Frequency Coverage: 25-54, 108-136.9875, 137-174, 216-225, 406-512,
> > 806-960 (excluding cellular) and 1240-1300MHz
> > . Digital weather alert indicates watch, warning, statement or test
> > statement along with 7 preprogrammed NOAA WX stations
> > . Power: Requires 4 "AA" batteries and/or adapter: AC #273-1767/B, DC
> > #273-1863/B
> > . Jacks: Earphone, PC interface/cloning, antenna (BNC), external power
> > . Includes: Detachable flexible antenna, belt clip, two battery
> > holders
> > . Size: (less antenna) 6-1/2×2-5/8×1-3/4"
> > . Includes: VHF Lo/Hi, UHF, VHF-Air, 800MHz, Dual-Trunking, Extended
> > Coverage
>

> Didn't they just 'update' that thing recently? I saw a new version of it in
> Radio Shack a few days ago. All the changes are cosmetic however. Some of
> the buttons on the keyboard have been moved around and colorized and the
> case is light gray as opposed to black. It's still got the same ol' crummy
> GRE innards though.
>
> Jeff Seale
> Louisville, KY
>

LOL, as opposed to those fine Uniden innards the others have, huh??

BDK

1996. Re: No idea what I am talking about!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-22 04:47:20 UTC
From: jon <jonrev1978@wmconnect.com>
Message-ID: <1114145240.365124.307140@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13758

Greetings Clive! I believe what Mike was referring to is that on the inside of your scanner where the antenna comes in you may well find that the connection from the coil or board no longer is connected to the input of the antenna. I have found that the problem on many a scanner others thought were gone. It is an easy fix if that is it in most cases. It people connect and disconnect or jiggle the antenna it can cause them to break the connection. If resoldering is required and you are not into soldering it might be good to get someone to show you how to do it. A glob of loose solder on a circuit board can cause more problems than you now have. Have a great week! Jon.

1997. FS Scanner and more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-22 06:09:17 UTC
From: mike <mm4570@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <hy0ae.11854$lP1.11419@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 13759

Alinco UHF FM Mini Power Tranceiver

DJ-S41 plus manual $20. plus UPS

Yaesu Triple Band 50/144/430 MHZ

VX-5R, manual, box, 2 battery packs, (FNB-58LI), Auto DC cable (E-DC-5B),

ADMS-1E software and cable

NC-72B Battery charger $100 plus UPS

Yaesu Dynamic Microphone (NEW) MH-31B8 $7.50 plus UPS

Uniden Bearcat Trunk Tracker 300 Channel 12 band scanner

2 battery packs, charger $50. plus UPS

RigBlaster Plus - Rig to sound card interface, cables (computer power mic)
$30. plus UPS

Iomega Super DVD +/- RW/RAM (2004 model) *X$X drive ext USB 2.0 DVD burner

USB cable, Power cable $95. plus UPS

Mike mm4570@earthlink.net

1998. Re: No idea what I am talking about!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-22 07:57:05 UTC
From: csturnbull@dsl.pipex.com
Message-ID: <00050322085518.OUI87.csturnbull@dsl.pipex.com>
Source record: 13760

On April 21 2005, you wrote:

> Greetings Clive! I believe what Mike was referring to is that on the
> inside of your scanner where the antenna comes in you may well find
> that the connection from the coil or board no longer is connected to
> the input of the antenna. I have found that the problem on many a
> scanner others thought were gone. It is an easy fix if that is it in
> most cases. It people connect and disconnect or jiggle the antenna it
> can cause them to break the connection. If resoldering is required
> and you are not into soldering it might be good to get someone to show
> you how to do it. A glob of loose solder on a circuit board can
cause > more problems than you now have. Have a great week! Jon.

Jon

I will have a look at it over the weekend. Luckily I am good at
soldering as I repair wristwatches as a hobby and some of these are
quartz that need circuits resoldering I am lucky enough to have a small
workshop with all facilities to do this, I will keep my fingers crossed
and post my findings. I really appreciate the help from the group.
Cheers Clive

--
C S Turnbull
Cheshire
UK
csturnbull@dsl.pipex,com

1999. Re: Radio Shack (GRE) PRO-95 on Close-Out Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-22 12:44:41 UTC
From: DougSlug <dougslug@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <0l6ae.2390$RP1.1471@fe10.lga>
Source record: 13761

Geez, that's not much of a close-out price, though. I bought mine on sale for $149 a couple of years ago. At half that price, they'd be a reasonable close-out deal.

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message news:CMGdnUeAweyegfXfRVn-jA@comcast.com...
> Radio Shack is discontinuing the PRO-95 (GRE Mfg), Cat. #20-525 Hand-Held
> Scanner @ $129.99!

2000. Re: Radio Shack (GRE) PRO-95 on Close-Out Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-22 14:02:28 UTC
From: BDK <kingratatatboy@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1cd2cdfc8cd5de3098988c@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 13762

In article <0l6ae.2390$RP1.1471@fe10.lga>, dougslug@hotmail.com says...
> Geez, that's not much of a close-out price, though. I bought mine on sale
> for $149 a couple of years ago. At half that price, they'd be a reasonable
> close-out deal.
>
>
> "Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:CMGdnUeAweyegfXfRVn-jA@comcast.com...
> > Radio Shack is discontinuing the PRO-95 (GRE Mfg), Cat. #20-525 Hand-Held
> > Scanner @ $129.99!
>
>
>

And what scanner compares for 129.99??

BDK

2001. Re: FS Scanner and more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-22 14:45:39 UTC
From: Dwayne <dubbin4@goaway.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1cd2d8ca4dea5c5f989780@news-server.woh.rr.com>
Source record: 13763

In article <hy0ae.11854$lP1.11419@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
mm4570@earthlink.net says...

> Uniden Bearcat Trunk Tracker 300 Channel 12 band scanner
>
> 2 battery packs, charger $50. plus UPS

Are you going to share the model number with us? I'm guessing a BC235.
--

Dwayne
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/BC895/

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Uniden_BC246T

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ScanFindlay/

2002. Re: Radio Shack (GRE) PRO-95 on Close-Out Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-22 15:53:33 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <g_CdnZFeW8hggPTfRVn-vQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 13764

Keeping things in perspective, the price of the PRO-95 has gradually been lowered over it's lifetime. As I recall, when it was first introduced, it was around $300.00. It's a lot of scanner for $129.99.

Bill Crocker

"DougSlug" <dougslug@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:0l6ae.2390$RP1.1471@fe10.lga...
> Geez, that's not much of a close-out price, though. I bought mine on sale
> for $149 a couple of years ago. At half that price, they'd be a
> reasonable close-out deal.
>
>
> "Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:CMGdnUeAweyegfXfRVn-jA@comcast.com...
>> Radio Shack is discontinuing the PRO-95 (GRE Mfg), Cat. #20-525 Hand-Held
>> Scanner @ $129.99!
>
>

2003. Re: Radio Shack (GRE) PRO-95 on Close-Out Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-22 16:14:37 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Np9ae.10381$An2.4221@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 13765

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:g_CdnZFeW8hggPTfRVn-vQ@comcast.com...
> Keeping things in perspective, the price of the PRO-95 has gradually been
> lowered over it's lifetime. As I recall, when it was first introduced, it
> was around $300.00. It's a lot of scanner for $129.99.
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
> "DougSlug" <dougslug@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:0l6ae.2390$RP1.1471@fe10.lga...
>> Geez, that's not much of a close-out price, though. I bought mine on
>> sale for $149 a couple of years ago. At half that price, they'd be a
>> reasonable close-out deal.
>>

It's called supply and demand. If they sell well for $130.00 they will sell
them for that. If sales slow, and they have lot's of them in the pipeline,
the price will drop.
--
Things get better with age. I'm approaching magnificent!!

2004. Re: Any listeners in souther AZ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-22 22:41:43 UTC
From: johnam ron <analon1@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <42697DA6.B8ED3F95@yahoo.com>
Source record: 13766
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

perhaps the MM should have used radios in different frequency bands
150mhz, 450mhz, and 800mhz or 900mhz this would have made it more
difficult to jam, Whoever may be able to jam a small band eaiser than jam
frequencies
in the 150, 450, 800, or 900 mhz areas all at once....

r2000swler@hotmail.com wrote:

> >From misc.survival:
>
> --
> johnwesle...@lycos.com Apr 6, 10:31 am show options
> Newsgroups: alt.politics.immigration, misc.survivalism, az.general,
> alt.society.conservatism, alt.rush-limbaugh
> From: johnwesle...@lycos.com - Find messages by this author
> Date: 6 Apr 2005 10:31:29 -0700
> Local: Wed, Apr 6 2005 10:31 am
> Subject: Re: Mexico UsingElectronic Warfare Against MM Project
>
> > Everyone:
>
> > Radio news in Phoenix reported this morning that the Minuteman
> > Project is
> > being radio jammed from a source in mexico. All 14 of the FRS
> > channels are
> > completely jammed.
>
> > The jamming interference is very powerful, blanking out an area of
> > over 100
> > square miles on the Arizona border. The signal is a repeating series
> > of
> > electronic beeps in alternating tones and amplitude.
>
> > This combination of factors indicates a government or military
> > source, as
> > this is fairly sophisticated. This is electronic warfare.
>
> >And if the interference is from Mexico, what will be the response from
> >our ass-kissing government? First, let us establish that the signal
> was
> >not generated by the US Gummint!
>
> John
> --

>
> Anyone in that area who can comment on what is really happening?
>
> Terry

--
---
jamoran@analon.com + web site: analon.com 888-5-ANALON
x
...Chemistry, Computer sys,Unix/Linux software & networks that WORK!
.Send TEXT mail ONLY!! Junk senders get their DOMAIN blacklisted.
x
****.Chickens & fresh Brown free-range natural Eggs too! *****...

2005. Re: AOR AR8200 MARK 3 VS PRO-96

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-22 22:44:25 UTC
From: johnam ron <analon1@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <42697E49.4DFECA83@yahoo.com>
Source record: 13767
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have the air-8200 as well as an air-1000 and an aor-8000

I do like the 8200.....if it does not detect analong/voice on a frequency it will move on rather than staying parked there....also ther ar ethe optional expansion cards availalbe. bought mine from a radio place in the UK, I am in Arizona-usa

RSL wrote:

> I am still looking at many hand held scanner options like the Icom R-20,
> Uniden Portable BC296 , also the Radio Shack Pro-96 (I like this so far)
> and the AOR AR8200 Mark 3. I have several AOR radio now like the AR3030 and
> the AR7030 Short Wave Receivers. I wanted to get this groups opinion related
> to how the Radio Shack PRO-96 and the AR8200 compare?? Other then a $150.00
> price difference. Thanks

--
---
jamoran@analon.com + web site: analon.com 888-5-ANALON
x
...Chemistry, Computer sys,Unix/Linux software & networks that WORK!
.Send TEXT mail ONLY!! Junk senders get their DOMAIN blacklisted.
x
****.Chickens & fresh Brown free-range natural Eggs too! *****...

2006. Re: Radio Shack (GRE) PRO-95 on Close-Out Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-22 23:31:25 UTC
From: DougSlug <dougslug@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <lPfae.10952$V02.1197@fe08.lga>
Source record: 13768

Well, you have a point...but I didn't think it was all that great a scanner.
I sold it for $125 a year ago...

"BDK" <kingratatatboy@buckeye-express.com> wrote in message
news:MPG.1cd2cdfc8cd5de3098988c@news.buckeye-express.com...
> And what scanner compares for 129.99??
>
> BDK

2007. Re: Radio Shack (GRE) PRO-95 on Close-Out Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-23 02:27:42 UTC
From: BDK <kingratatatboy@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1cd37ca9f04cb80c98988d@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 13769

In article <lPfae.10952$V02.1197@fe08.lga>, dougslug@hotmail.com says...
> Well, you have a point...but I didn't think it was all that great a scanner.
> I sold it for $125 a year ago...
>
>
> "BDK" <kingratatatboy@buckeye-express.com> wrote in message
> news:MPG.1cd2cdfc8cd5de3098988c@news.buckeye-express.com...
> > And what scanner compares for 129.99??
> >
> > BDK
>
>
>

I would say it's one of the all time greats, and I've had a hell of a lot of handhelds. To be honest, I have a 93, not a lot of difference. I have programmed about a half dozen of 95s for people and they all worked great.

I'm not sure what could really be better, except maybe freq coverage.

BDK

2008. Re: Radio Shack (GRE) PRO-95 on Close-Out Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-23 02:58:50 UTC
From: Bo Williams <williams@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <116jefcame1b5f2@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 13770

BDK wrote:

> I would say it's one of the all time greats, and I've had a hell of a
> lot of handhelds. To be honest, I have a 93, not a lot of difference. I
> have programmed about a half dozen of 95s for people and they all worked
> great.
>
> I'm not sure what could really be better, except maybe freq coverage.

I've used mine daily ever since I got it.

It'll take me probably another month to teach my new Icom IC-R20 all I
want it to know, but even when I get done with it, I'll run it at the
same time as my PRO-95.

I am really loving the IC-R20, btw. I'll write a more detailed review
after I've used it for a while, but so far it's just a joy to use.
--
Bo Williams - williams@hiwaay.net
http://hiwaay.net/~williams/

2009. Re: Radio Shack (GRE) PRO-95 on Close-Out Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-23 04:02:31 UTC
From: Dan Morisseau <dpmorisseau3@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <4269c7d9$0$5847$9a6e19ea@news.newshosting.com>
Source record: 13771

Jeff Seale kvetched:

> Didn't they just 'update' that thing recently? I saw a new version of it in
> Radio Shack a few days ago. All the changes are cosmetic however. Some of
> the buttons on the keyboard have been moved around and colorized and the
> case is light gray as opposed to black. It's still got the same ol' crummy
> GRE innards though.

Guys -

Please do not feed the trolls.

2010. EDACS - PRO2067

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-23 04:50:39 UTC
From: christiansteps@triad.rr.com
Message-ID: <1114231839.397370.268750@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13772

OK I HAVE A RADIO SHACK PRO-2067 MULTI-SYSTEM TRUNKING SCANNER AND I HAVE BANK 0 SETUP FOR AND EDACS SYSTEM FOR WORK.

EDACS

BANK 0
CH 00 - NO FREQ
CH 01 - 812.81250
CH 02 - 813.81250
CH 03 - 857.81250
CH 04 - 858.81250

THE RADIOS AT WORK SHOW A RANGE OF NUMBERS, 4 DIGIT
DISPATCH IS 3601, 3911
PORT. RADIOS ARE 3900-3999

ARE THE RADIO ID'S THE TALK GROUPS, IF SO HOW CAN THAT BE PUT INTO THE SCANNER.... IT WONT EXCEPT ANYTHING ABOVE 2047.

I KNOW IT IS AN EDACS SYSTEM BUT I'M NOT PICKING UP ANYTHING. IF I HAVE IT SET AT LTR IS PICKS UP BITS AND PIECES.

ANY IDEAS?

2011. Re: EDACS - PRO2067

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-23 11:17:36 UTC
From: "David L. Wilson" <dwilson314@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <2YGdnTwbdNtFs_ffRVn-rg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 13773

<christiansteps@triad.rr.com> wrote in message
news:1114231839.397370.268750@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> OK I HAVE A RADIO SHACK PRO-2067 MULTI-SYSTEM TRUNKING SCANNER AND I
> HAVE BANK 0 SETUP FOR AND EDACS SYSTEM FOR WORK.
>
> EDACS
>
> BANK 0
> CH 00 - NO FREQ
> CH 01 - 812.81250
> CH 02 - 813.81250
> CH 03 - 857.81250
> CH 04 - 858.81250

This is certainly wrong for listening on a scanner if you are in the US.
You should only put in the repeater outpur freq (which include the 857 and
858 freq above but not the 812 and 813 freqs). There are probably more
freqs that you should have programmed in. What system (location and
agency/company) are you trying to listen to? If you tell us that, we might
be able to say which freq should be programmed in.

2012. A Social Outcast's Dictionary

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-23 20:29:53 UTC
From: Rabbi Roger <LampShade@noforeskin.com>
Message-ID: <d4eb8b$n2r$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 13774
Cross-posted to:
alt.flame,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"Just because YOU don't know how anonymous remailers work, doesn't mean everybody else does, imbecile." Roger Wiseman

"pompousity" Oops! Darn that keyboard, anyway!

"suergery" That is Devry for ya.

"aditting" Darn those typos!

"abckpedals" His greasy hair got in his eyes again

"shreeched" Wasn't he the guy in a sit com? Screech?

"stuid" Pot calling the kettle black?

"heared" That's West Virginia for heard.

"assuslted" Rog just makes em' up as he goes along.

"experieince" Oh, DUH!

"abouve" Is this a French word?

"Cosast" Some place by a body of water?

"mebership", Just a typo, right?

"retqards" Regards or Retards? Who can tell?

"coordinbator" Huh?

"Realy" Really?

"Nitoiced" Keyboard error or stupidity?

"Becasue" Well...

"Licence" Roger had to test twice for his license.

"Convienince"

"Myabe" Or then again, maybe not.

"occurance" Say what?

"srtuggling"

"definatrely"

"delapidated" What a dufus.

"picutre"

"permenatly" Ebonics again?

"Arest" You need a rest, Wogie.

"Sentenceing" No comment.

"Narcotices" What?

"Undre" Is this a city in Germany?

"Surgeoun" And this would be?

"Commuications" Who is laughing at who?

"Ovre" Ovre and out!

"Wondreful" It's a "wondreful" day in the neighborhood?

"Derivitave" Still no spell-checker, eh?

"You lose, shit for brians." Woger showing who real loser is.

"Deisowned" Deis what?

"Deeppest" Roger strikes again.

"Dodgeing". Is he talking about a car?

"Staedy" Steady?

"Linme" Transmission line?

"Getr" Nobody knows.

"Experieince" Wogie is good, ain't he?

"Appropiate" What kind of opiate?

"Laywer" Lay what?

"Visitpors" You never have any, ergo you don't know how to spell it.

"It's "complaintant," you sniveling weasel." (Roger Wiseman on spelling complainant.)

"Irreevant" Good going, Wogie.

"Forwared" Forward HO!

"Glady" Glad Bags?

"Neighborhod" It's a wonderful day in Wogie's neighborhood.

"Mena" Mean? What you mean, Wogie?

"Notr" Not?

"Sassifyed" ALA Rolling Stones.No Sassifaction..

"Fantasises" That is "fantasizes", Wussman.

"Illarate" West BY GOD Virginny!

"Reveresed" Must be one of those off-brand keyboards.

"Soem".as in Some? What a loser.

"VIRIN".What Wogie was before he met the Lavender Lads.

"Minisule".he mussa meant miniscule. Like his IQ.

"Champoin".Whassup, Wiseman? No dictionaries in the mental ward?

"Anoinymous"...a cross between anonymous and annoying?

"Acident" Roger's mom got preggers by accident.

"Alreaedy" Alright, already!

"Amouints" Roger amounts to nothing.

"Aposterphe" This guy is a hoot, ain't he?

"Basios"...word Woger uses when he gets neeeerrrrvous.
"Behing"...a cross between being and behind?

"Coiward" As in peeking from behind his mommy's curtains.
"Feamle"...I think he meant female.
"Losser"...only loser Roger can do it better.
"Ignroant"...typical, considering the source.
"Sluprs"...only Rogie knows what this means.
"Travelled"...a Rogerism, replete with ignorance.
"Postss"...pssst...Roger..that should be Posts.
"Defgenerate"...well, it's Roger's word...
"Faity"...he meant to say Fairy, but you know Roger.

"Ghet" Get a load of Curtain Boy!
"Distribiution"...Roger likes using phonics.
"Biotch"...he meant Bitch, but his phonics took over again.
"Methjadone"...a West Virginia substitute for Heroin.
"Sumbliminal"...one of Roger's favorites.
"Recepticle"...either of Roger's moist cavaties.
"Cociane"...a West Virginia drug of choice?
"Tiome"...Roger meant to say Time...but his fingers were numb.
"Beraking"...no definition. Could mean 'beraking' the leaves,
"Amny"...he meant 'any',
"Nlay"...a town in Maylaysia? Vermont?
"Al;ong"...only Wussman knows what this means.
"Becasu"...well, becasu Roger is not the brightest bulb on the tree.
"Seperation". Why? Because Roger cannot "seperate" from his mommie!
"Sisnce" He meant Since, but his pudgy little fingers slipped off the keys.
"gnetically" What?
"provce" (prove?)
"Piuzza" (West Virginia Pizza)
"Schol" (school?)

"strill" (still?)
"shoitgun" 12 ga or 20 ga?
"Snoip" (How they spell "snip" in Glen Dale)
"Maturbater". Wiseman does it all the time, he just can't spell it.(leaves a sticky residue on his right hand)
"Coskscuking". It's a Roger thing, as in Lavender Lads.
"Waddleing". Only in Glen Dale, eh?
"Spaeaking". Curtain Boy did this after his second retest.

" Stgop" Roger's version of Stop.

"Fahter's" He meant Father's Day.

"fserting"..would that be festering?

"poists". Roger meant "posts".

"snadwich" As in peanut butter and jelly?

"regualr" Glen Dale rendition of "regular".

"aftrer" After? Aftrer what?

"soory". Sorry Roger fucks up again.

"didnb't" He didn't?

"whoile". He ate the whoile thing?

"Woamn" He's hooked on West Virginia phonics, all right.

"prrof" And he kin prove it, too.

"beforfe" Was that before he lurned to spell?

"yoiuy" Roger's version of "y'all".

"illerate" Only illiterate Roger can spell like this.

"haeart" Aw, how heartless of me to laugh!

"valule" Roger has no values. No wonder he can't spell it.

"proch" Doesn't everyone have one on their house?

"inmstitution" Where Roger's mom put him in June 1999.

"timne" It's Howdy Doody time?

"whgo" What? Who dat?

"gnetically" Only Roger knows what this one means.

"crfy" Like, cry, Roger, cry.

"stutrtering" Some stutter, Wiseman stutrters.

"Whjere" Where is Curtain Boy?

"Webiste" That's Website to the rest of us.

"Youire" Your? You're? Who knows.

"fiored" As in fired?

"Parety" Roger gave a party once. Nobody came.

"Bouynce" Bounce?

"Varification" Verifiable mental case.

"Probelms" Poor Rogie.has many problems of the mental sort.

"Partricipate" Oh, DUH!!!!

"Cryed" Gee, Wogie..cry me a river.you dolt!

"Stoick" What a dork. His old 386 has no spell check program.

"Partiall" More WV Phonics, I see.

"Obsucred" Either obscured or Rogie has "sucre" on his mind.

"Infinate" Stupid. Think finite, Rogie.

"Sustinance" As in Sustenance?

"Juvinile". This is the way Roger likes his boys.

"Piut" Put it where, Rogie?

"Toyus". "Toyus R Us?

"Diminuative". Roger's height.

"Definitely" Definitely

"Percriptions" Say what?

"Credibiliy" More Glen Dale intellect.

"Thsoe" Yet another Glen Dale gaffe.

2013. Re: No idea what I am talking about!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-23 21:15:39 UTC
From: csturnbull@dsl.pipex.com
Message-ID: <00050323221440.OUI38.csturnbull@dsl.pipex.com>
Source record: 13775

On April 21 2005, you wrote:

> Greetings Clive! I believe what Mike was referring to is that on the
> inside of your scanner where the antenna comes in you may well find
> that the connection from the coil or board no longer is connected to
> the input of the antenna. I have found that the problem on many a
> scanner others thought were gone. It is an easy fix if that is it in
> most cases. It people connect and disconnect or jiggle the antenna it
> can cause them to break the connection. If resoldering is required
> and you are not into soldering it might be good to get someone to show
> you how to do it. A glob of loose solder on a circuit board can
cause > more problems than you now have. Have a great week! Jon.

Took it apart today and found the soldered connection looking really
good. Will have a search for the manual tomorrow. Is there anywhere I
might download this in PDF format? Cheers Clive

--
C S Turnbull
Cheshire
UK
csturnbull@dsl.pipex,com

2014. Dog Collars - FM or CW or CW narrow

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-23 21:18:46 UTC
From: "PonderosaSports.com" <cliff@ponderosasports.com>
Message-ID: <1114291126.073281.174340@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13776

This idiot needs help!

I am scanning to attempt to locate a lost dog on our ranch with a
tracking collar on it. I do not know the frequency or the dog owner.
I do know the band is 217-218 Mhz. The collars are supposed to beep
about once a second.

I am scanning from 217.000-217.999 Mhz
I am using a 4 element Yagi antenna.
I have programmed an ICOM R8500.
I am sweeping at 10 Hz.
The scan takes about a half an hour.

QUESTION

Should the mode be set for FM, CW or CW Narrow

Thanks!
Cliff@PonderosaSports.com
http://www.PonderosaSports.com

2015. Re: Dog Collars - FM or CW or CW narrow

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-23 21:27:58 UTC
From: mikeFNB <mikefnb@moc.dlrowltn>
Message-ID: <y5zae.9639$u5.2424@newsfe2-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 13777

widest possible (wfm) would be good.

cw at a pinch.
do it in 1kHz or 5kHz steps.
you should find it.

i use hawk bugs and get a range of about 2-3miles even if it's grounded.

WFM is good for general quick 'band spot' local, if i am tracking another
owbers bird
i don't the freq of.

then i go down to CW then CWN when i get closer.

mike

"PonderosaSports.com" <cliff@ponderosasports.com> wrote in message
news:1114291126.073281.174340@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> This idiot needs help!
>
> I am scanning to attempt to locate a lost dog on our ranch with a
> tracking collar on it. I do not know the frequency or the dog owner.
> I do know the band is 217-218 Mhz. The collars are supposed to beep
> about once a second.
>
> I am scanning from 217.000-217.999 Mhz
> I am using a 4 element Yagi antenna.
> I have programmed an ICOM R8500.
> I am sweeping at 10 Hz.
> The scan takes about a half an hour.
>
> QUESTION
>
> Should the mode be set for FM, CW or CW Narrow
>
> Thanks!
> Cliff@PonderosaSports.com
> http://www.PonderosaSports.com
>

2016. Re: A battle is brewing between the city of Wichita Falls and the local media

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-23 22:27:25 UTC
From: "Jon M. Hanson" <jon@the-hansons-az.net>
Message-ID: <Xns96419D3E8523Dhansonjjonhansonnet@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 13778

Me <Me@shadow.orgs> wrote in
news:Me-826C07.08394030032005@netnews.worldnet.att.net:

> In article <18dj41dgdov4uqldij45jnsvnkqj36fhtp@4ax.com>,
> Mark <me@privacy.net> wrote:
>
>> I would think that any encrypted system out there today is nearly
>> impossible to crack since it can only be done via brute force (ie -
>> guessing) and the compute power required just isn't accessible to
>> nearly everyone. Even the 50 cpu example you cite, is insignificant
>> if they use a 4096 key (which certainly is not unreasonable).
>
> very few voice encryption systems use more than a 128 bit key. with
> bruteforce attack a 128 bit key is certainly doable with a 50 cpu
> distributed attack. should take about two weeks......
>
>
> Me been there, done that.......

You really don't know what you're talking about. The distributed.net
project just cracked a 64-bit encryption using hundreds of thousands of
computers over several years. You're not going to crack anything with 50
CPUs and two weeks.

2017. FS pro-96 $340.00 or it goes to Ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-23 22:28:54 UTC
From: K5IN <K5IN@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <K_edneZhncq0UfffRVn-3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 13779

Ihave the scanner, both battery packs, wall charger and rubber duck.
$340.00 or off to ebay...

Brian, k5in

2018. Re: FS pro-96 $340.00 or it goes to Ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-24 00:34:13 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <p5-dnVhEH-QbdPffRVn-qw@comcast.com>
Source record: 13780

Do you know the version of the firmware? Is a manual included?

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

"K5IN" <K5IN@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:K_edneZhncq0UfffRVn-3Q@comcast.com...
> Ihave the scanner, both battery packs, wall charger and rubber duck.
> $340.00 or off to ebay...
>
> Brian, k5in
>
>

2019. Re: Dog Collars - FM or CW or CW narrow

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-24 01:40:01 UTC
From: **THE-RFI-EMI-GUY** <rhyolite@nettally.com>
Message-ID: <426AF8FB.1060900@nettally.com>
Source record: 13781

Well it would help to know the exact frequency of the collar beacause they pulse on and off and you will likely miss it during the sweep. Put the receiver in SSB mode with wide IF filter and sweep in 1 KHz steps and sweep manually. Also break the band up into 4 overlapping sweeps so that you can do an exhaustive sweep until you find the frequency. Start with an omni antenna until you find the frequency. A spectrum analyser might be more effective though. This reminds me of a lost dog incident here in Florida. The dogs owner tracked his dog to a large gator, whose stomach contained the dog collar transmitter and collars from other dogs as well.

PonderosaSports.com wrote:

>This idiot needs help!
>
>I am scanning to attempt to locate a lost dog on our ranch with a
>tracking collar on it. I do not know the frequency or the dog owner.
>I do know the band is 217-218 Mhz. The collars are supposed to beep
>about once a second.
>
>I am scanning from 217.000-217.999 Mhz
>I am using a 4 element Yagi antenna.
>I have programmed an ICOM R8500.
>I am sweeping at 10 Hz.
>The scan takes about a half an hour.
>
>QUESTION
>
>Should the mode be set for FM, CW or CW Narrow
>
>Thanks!
>Cliff@PonderosaSports.com
>http://www.PonderosaSports.com
>
>
>

--
Joe Leikhim K4SAT
"The RFI-EMI-GUY"

The Lost Deep Thoughts By: Jack Handey
Before a mad scientist goes mad, there's probably a time when he's only partially mad. And this is the time when he's going to throw his best parties.

2020. Re: EDACS - PRO2067

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-24 02:20:08 UTC
From: Pntx <pntx@aol.com>
Message-ID: <Pq-dnX9ehr7Qn_bfRVn-iQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 13782

This page may be of some help

http://marksscanners.home.comcast.net/92/92-1.html

<christiansteps@triad.rr.com> wrote in message
news:1114231839.397370.268750@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> OK I HAVE A RADIO SHACK PRO-2067 MULTI-SYSTEM TRUNKING SCANNER AND I
> HAVE BANK 0 SETUP FOR AND EDACS SYSTEM FOR WORK.
>
> EDACS
>
> BANK 0
> CH 00 - NO FREQ
> CH 01 - 812.81250
> CH 02 - 813.81250
> CH 03 - 857.81250
> CH 04 - 858.81250
>
> THE RADIOS AT WORK SHOW A RANGE OF NUMBERS, 4 DIGIT
> DISPATCH IS 3601, 3911
> PORT. RADIOS ARE 3900-3999
>
> ARE THE RADIO ID'S THE TALK GROUPS, IF SO HOW CAN THAT BE PUT INTO THE
> SCANNER.... IT WONT EXCEPT ANYTHING ABOVE 2047.
>
> I KNOW IT IS AN EDACS SYSTEM BUT I'M NOT PICKING UP ANYTHING. IF I HAVE
> IT SET AT LTR IS PICKS UP BITS AND PIECES.
>
> ANY IDEAS?
>

2021. Re: digital police soon to all encrypted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-24 04:20:49 UTC
From: cdenton <cpdonweb@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114316449.926813.127670@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13783

I also listen to the Michigan State Police (on my Pro 96 in Grand Rapids MI). I've noticed that they still give out a lot of info in the clear (a drug dealer's cell phone number, a DEA agent's phone number, et cetera). There is ONE guy, though, who encrypts EVERY Tx he makes. Based on the things said to him by others before and after he Tx's, this guy has a seriously inflated sense of his own importance - near as I can tell, nothing he says is too out of the ordinary, and the other parts of his conversation (the other troops) are unencrypted anyway. The noise those encrypted Tx's make would be enough to make me give up scanning if a lot of the troops used it!

2022. Re: FS pro-96 $340.00 or it goes to Ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-24 04:47:35 UTC
From: Jesse <1@2.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96428126DE39ewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 13784

"K5IN" <K5IN@comcast.net> wrote in news:K_edneZhncq0UfffRVn-3Q@comcast.com:

> Ihave the scanner, both battery packs, wall charger and rubber duck.
> $340.00 or off to ebay...
>
> Brian, k5in
>
>

Please ...nooo .... Anything but ebay ,, !!
NNNNOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOO !!!!

2023. Frequency divisible by step size...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-24 05:25:25 UTC
From: michael.lipin@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1114320325.195053.253910@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13785

What is meant by this? What should be the outcome?

Thanks

2024. Airwave - Cutting Through the Propoganda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-24 09:43:47 UTC
From: Concerned Officer <concerned.officer@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1114335827.964279.87520@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13786
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.community.policing,uk.politics.crime,rec.radio.scanner

How the Police, and the Public, are being mislead about Airwave.
An Insider Evaluation of the Propoganda.

I am posting this in a few choice UK newsgroups who have some interest in the police radio system. If you take offence to this then I apologise, but I think you will find what this post contains interesting. At least I hope you do!

Let me be quite clear about a few things first of all. It is the government's fault that police forces have had to switch to Airwave. They have sold off frequencies we have been using for years with no major problems. Do not blame your local police force for buying into duff technology, they had little choice in the matter. And for those of you who might read the items below and say 'purely teething problems', then let me say this: We are something like the 38th Force to go live with Airwave. o2 have had 37 previous installations to make their cock ups and learn by them. Our Force has also been using Airwave (in a testing capacity) for well over a year, and live for 6 months in some areas. NONE of the technical problems raised time and time and time again have been fixed during any of this.

Our communication equipment provider for control rooms also appears to be totally inept when it comes to getting the data-display side of things working. The 'last officer calling' function, whereby their callsign and warrant number is displayed in the talk-group button on the system is wiped out and replaced with either a blank space or gibberish the moment the controller transmits. The 'subscriber' screen - a utility on the control system that shows which officers are on a particular talkgroup, also fails to function correctly. Those who are off duty do not 'fall off' the display, meaning that there is around 50 pages of 'subscribers' on any talkgroup, most of them off duty. Very useful! There are hundreds of other minor problems as well, but the discussion of them is not the purpose of this post. It is merely to cut through the propoganda being issued by the Government, O2 and probably your local force about how peachy everything is. It isn't.

"What are the benefits of Airwave?"

o) Greatly improved coverage throughout <force>

This is a mixed bag. I have personally witnessed communications being crystal clear in the depths of town x (read: middle of nowhere where previously neither UHF or VHF could penetrate), yet o2 appears completely unable to give us a clear signal outside <Major University>,

just yards from the <major county motorway> for which <force> Police, along with all other major A roads in the county, have contracted for 100% coverage. Reception is also piss poor in <major> City centre, <major> city centre, <major> city centre, <major> city centre and <major> city centre. If by 'coverage' they mean the ability to transmit something - anything! - then yes it has improved. I thought the point of 'communication' was being able to be understood when trying to transmit though.

o) voice clarity and an end to background noise

This partly goes hand in hand with the last entry. Voice is anything but clear. When in the control room I have my headset volume up to 120% on the touch-screen control equipment, and also have the built-in headset volume control set to 3 (max), and I still struggle to hear what anyone is saying the majority of the time. There appears to be a total lack of bandwidth available for clear voice communications, compounded by the effects of lack of coverage. It is essentially like the early days of Internet telephones, were 2 people across the world were attempting to communicate via 2400baud modems. It simply didn't work. The noise cancelling was sold to us to also be a major advantage. Why is it then that as soon as the officer turns on the sirens, I can't hear a bloody thing they are saying? The moment they step into a busy pub/club, all I can hear is music and background chatter. This feature doesn't work either. And for the cynics, regarding the volume - no I am not deaf. Switching back to UHF I car hear them fine.

o) secure encrypted comms that prevent scanning of police transmissions

Granted, the system is secure and encrypted. It also works quite fast. Most of the time. However this does mean that while the criminals can't listen in to transmissions, neither can anyone else. I know that in the past I have assisted the police when off duty by phoning in seeing a 'suspicious male' hanging around or hiding somewhere based purely on the description given out over the air by controllers a few moments earlier. We as a police service have now automatically excluded this unauthorised - but none the less appreciated - help. In an even more indirect fashion listening to 'force' transmissions on VHF, I have avoided accidents that have just happened, reducing the burden on the service either trying to attend, or who end up dealing with the resulting backlog of traffic. The same accident then appeared on my FM car radio via Traffic Announce about 20 minutes later. The end result was I also managed to get to work on time.

o) digital comms that will enable staff to communicate by radio by phone or by text

I won't discuss the radio element (radio as we mostly know it, e.g. broadcast or All Informed transmissions) as I think it covered well above. However the telephone element is fine, except that officers can only phone OUT from the handsets. For a maximum of 10 minutes. They cannot receive incoming *telephone* calls from anywhere, except

Superintendants and control room staff. Useful. Text? Don't make me laugh.. if you saw how complicated it was to actually COMMUNICATE via text message, you wouldn't bother either. It isn't as simple as just write and send. If you want to send it to someone in the control room it is even worse. It is a system that will never be used, despite us being in the 'text generation' due to its complexity and high failure rate. Point to Point communications, e.g. using the radio as a radio, but for a private call between only two individuals works well providing there is no one transmitting on the main talkgroup. In a busy division like say <busy division>, there is rarely any point during the day where there isn't traffic on the main talkgroup, thereby rendering this otherwise useful service, totally useless. It is made even worse by controllers being told that they MUST NOT authorise talkthru on the main talkgroup. Great... so how do we communicate then? Oh.. I know.. back to personal mobiles it is then.

o) access to local and national databases

Oh? Where? I do not have access to PNC, local intelligence, or any other kind of database from my handset. My 'access to local and national databases' is as it ever was. Ask comms, go back to the car and use the MDT, or waste even more time and go back to the station.

o) introduction of emergency button that will improve officer safety

Yes.. when it works. Numerous times officers have pressed their emergency button only to be told 'call failed', or 'no coverage' or on pressing it for the required two seconds, find it doesn't fire because someone in comms happens to be talking at the time (Yes comms staff have a higher transmission priority than the emergency button!). How is it then that this will improve officer safety? I can't even hit people with my radio anymore if needs be, as the thing will shatter in to a million pieces. In UHF/VHF days I could scream 'HELP!' even if someone was talking. The controller would have a hard time trying to hear me over the other person when I gave details, but at least it was possible. With Airwave I just get 'BUSY' if I try and transmit, and end up in a queueing system. But of course, this improves officer safety. As a side note, when you are getting your head kicked in, have you any idea how long 2 seconds is...? The button is also extremely hard to push in and keep pushed in, all while trying to defend yourself.

o) Improved communications will mean officers can be deployed to calls more speedily.

If anything I have described above can be seen as 'improved', then you need to seek help immediately. There was never a problem deploying officers the old way. The majority of the time VHF/UHF worked fine, or as a last resort sending the job to the car MDT.

o) Staff will be able to access information regarding incidents, people or vehicles directly through their Airwave radios.

Yes, we can retrieve some information regarding incidents, but it is via text message, which we need to send first to request. It is a convoluted process and by the time we have finished typing out the request text message (which contains a lot of special characters like #), we'll probably be there. I cannot access any information regarding people or vehicles directly via by handset. I suspect when we are finally able to it will be via the same method, e.g. text, and will be quicker to call the PNC desk and ask. Text messages are also limited to 120 characters. Not very useful at all. The last two sentences consisted of 73 characters...

o) Improved communications will mean less time in the police station and more time on the streets.

Pure propoganda and an attempt to justify the 2.3 billion pound price tag for the system. How improving communication will result in me spending less time in the police station is a mystery. Is there a feature of Airwave that automatically completes my paperwork for me? Please tell me! I will gladly use it no matter how complex.

o) The ability to communicate directly with other forces and other emergency services.

Neither my county's fire or ambulance services subscribe to airwave. I can chat to <neighbouring forces> if I want to, but the only force I personally border with the majority of the time is a big expanse of water.. so no advantage there.

o) "Our colleagues in other forces are already catching more criminals as a result of using Airwaves digital technology."

One word. Tripe! Airwave offers nothing in effect - due to the technical problems - that MASC or similar technologies doesn't offer already. Pure propoganda again.

Yes this is a humerous, but none-the-less serious look at the state of play in todays police radio service. Don't flame me for my comments and points of view. I'm sure if you speak to other officers of any number of forces, you will get a similar view point.

If you got this far, congratulations and thanks for reading. The next time someone in authority mentions airwave to you, perhaps you'll remember this post and be able to raise a few points with them. It should be an interesting conversation... that is, if they decide they want to continue to talk to you at all...

Yours,

PC 5029 Concerned Officer.
Some Station,
Some Force,
Some Where.

2025. Re: Airwave - Cutting Through the Propoganda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-24 11:29:50 UTC
From: Paul Robson <autismuk@autismuk.muralichucks.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.04.24.11.29.49.678@autismuk.muralichucks.freeserve.co.uk>
Source record: 13787
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.community.policing,uk.politics.crime,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 24 Apr 2005 02:43:47 -0700, Concerned Officer wrote:

> Let me be quite clear about a few things first of all. It is the
> government's fault that police forces have had to switch to Airwave.
> They have sold off frequencies we have been using for years with no
> major problems. Do not blame your local police force for buying into
> duff technology, they had little choice in the matter. And for those of
> you who might read the items below and say 'purely teething problems',
> then let me say this: We are something like the 38th Force to go live
> with Airwave. o2 have had 37 previous installations to make their cock
> ups and learn by them. Our Force has also been using Airwave (in a
> testing capacity) for well over a year, and live for 6 months in some
> areas. NONE of the technical problems raised time and time and time
> again have been fixed during any of this.

Be fair ! Why should it be different from any other government project.

You didn't really think it would work did you ???

2026. phoenix fequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-24 11:44:06 UTC
From: jamoran <john@analon.com>
Message-ID: <l4KdnQ3zdMPpG_bfRVn-qg@sedona.net>
Source record: 13788

have noticed that phoenix arizona shfted to 866-870mhz frequencies from
the 155mhz frequencies however you can still hear the trafic rebroadcast
on their old frequencies

2027. Re: Airwave - Cutting Through the Propoganda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-24 12:08:30 UTC
From: Concerned Officer <concerned.officer@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1114344510.683528.178420@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13789
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.community.policing,uk.politics.crime,rec.radio.scanner

Paul Robson wrote:
> On Sun, 24 Apr 2005 02:43:47 -0700, Concerned Officer wrote:
>
> > Let me be quite clear about a few things first of all. It is the
> > government's fault that police forces have had to switch to
Airwave.

<snip>

> Be fair ! Why should it be different from any other government
project.

Of course, why should it be. But on the flip side of this, some Government-sponsored systems work wonderfully. Radio and mobile telephone technology is nothing new, and all airwave has done, really, is throw encryption into the mix and make minor changes to the mobile telephone model. What is so hard here?

> You didn't really think it would work did you ???

Considering they spent £2.3bn (and counting!) on the system, I would have hoped it to work slightly better than the quality I can get out of two tin cans and a piece of string. Sad, really.

Cheers,

PC A.N. Other.

2028. Re: Airwave - Cutting Through the Propoganda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-24 12:31:00 UTC
From: David Norris <david_norris@fastmail.fm>
Message-ID: <8kMae.5411$RX.3423@newsfe6-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 13790
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.community.policing,uk.politics.crime,rec.radio.scanner

Concerned Officer wrote:

> How the Police, and the Public, are being mislead about Airwave.
> An Insider Evaluation of the Propaganda.
> --
>
> I am posting this in a few choice UK newsgroups who have some interest
> in the police radio system. If you take offence to this then I
> apologise, but I think you will find what this post contains
> interesting. At least I hope you do!
>
> Let me be quite clear about a few things first of all. It is the
> government's fault that police forces have had to switch to Airwave.
> They have sold off frequencies we have been using for years with no
> major problems. Do not blame your local police force for buying into
> duff technology, they had little choice in the matter. And for those of
> you who might read the items below and say 'purely teething problems',
> then let me say this: We are something like the 38th Force to go live
> with Airwave. o2 have had 37 previous installations to make their cock
> ups and learn by them. Our Force has also been using Airwave (in a
> testing capacity) for well over a year, and live for 6 months in some
> areas. NONE of the technical problems raised time and time and time
> again have been fixed during any of this.
>
> Our communication equipment provider for control rooms also appears to
> be totally inept when it comes to getting the data-display side of
> things working. The 'last officer calling' function, whereby their
> callsign and warrant number is displayed in the talk-group button on
> the system is wiped out and replaced with either a blank space or
> gibberish the moment the controller transmits. The 'subscriber' screen
> - a utility on the control system that shows which officers are on a
> particular talkgroup, also fails to function correctly. Those who are
> off duty do not 'fall off' the display, meaning that there is around 50
> pages of 'subscribers' on any talkgroup, most of them off duty. Very
> useful! There are hundreds of other minor problems as well, but the
> discussion of them is not the purpose of this post. It is merely to cut
> through the propaganda being issued by the Government, O2 and probably
> your local force about how peachy everything is. It isn't.
>
>
> "What are the benefits of Airwave?"
>
> o) Greatly improved coverage throughout <force>

>
> This is a mixed bag. I have personally witnessed communications being
> crystal clear in the depths of town x (read: middle of nowhere where
> previously neither UHF or VHF could penetrate), yet o2 appears
> completely unable to give us a clear signal outside <Major University>,
> just yards from the <major county motorway> for which <force> Police,
> along with all other major A roads in the county, have contracted for
> 100% coverage. Reception is also piss poor in <major> City centre,
> <major> city centre, <major> city centre, <major> city centre and
> <major> city centre. If by 'coverage' they mean the ability to transmit
> something - anything! - then yes it has improved. I thought the point
> of 'communication' was being able to be understood when trying to
> transmit though.
>
>
> o) voice clarity and an end to background noise
>
> This partly goes hand in hand with the last entry. Voice is anything
> but clear. When in the control room I have my headset volume up to 120%
> on the touch-screen control equipment, and also have the built-in
> headset volume control set to 3 (max), and I still struggle to hear
> what anyone is saying the majority of the time. There appears to be a
> total lack of bandwidth available for clear voice communications,
> compounded by the effects of lack of coverage. It is essentially like
> the early days of Internet telephones, were 2 people across the world
> were attempting to communicate via 2400baud modems. It simply didn't
> work. The noise canceling was sold to us to also be a major advantage.
> Why is it then that as soon as the officer turns on the sirens, I can't
> hear a bloody thing they are saying? The moment they step into a busy
> pub/club, all I can hear is music and background chatter. This feature
> doesn't work either. And for the cynics, regarding the volume - no I am
> not deaf. Switching back to UHF I car hear them fine.
>
> o) secure encrypted comms that prevent scanning of police transmissions
>
> Granted, the system is secure and encrypted. It also works quite fast.
> Most of the time. However this does mean that while the criminals can't
> listen in to transmissions, neither can anyone else. I know that in the
> past I have assisted the police when off duty by phoning in seeing a
> 'suspicious male' hanging around or hiding somewhere based purely on
> the description given out over the air by controllers a few moments
> earlier. We as a police service have now automatically excluded this
> unauthorised - but none the less appreciated - help. In an even more
> indirect fashion listening to 'force' transmissions on VHF, I have
> avoided accidents that have just happened, reducing the burden on the
> service either trying to attend, or who end up dealing with the
> resulting backlog of traffic. The same accident then appeared on my FM
> car radio via Traffic Announce about 20 minutes later. The end result
> was I also managed to get to work on time.
>

>
> o) digital comms that will enable staff to communicate by radio by
> phone or by text
>
> I won't discuss the radio element (radio as we mostly know it, e.g.
> broadcast or All Informed transmissions) as I think it covered well
> above. However the telephone element is fine, except that officers can
> only phone OUT from the handsets. For a maximum of 10 minutes. They
> cannot receive incoming *telephone* calls from anywhere, except
> Superintendants and control room staff. Useful. Text? Don't make me
> laugh.. if you saw how complicated it was to actually COMMUNICATE via
> text message, you wouldn't bother either. It isn't as simple as just
> write and send. If you want to send it to someone in the control room
> it is even worse. It is a system that will never be used, despite us
> being in the 'text generation' due to its complexity and high failure
> rate. Point to Point communications, e.g. using the radio as a radio,
> but for a private call between only two individuals works well
> providing there is no one transmitting on the main talkgroup. In a busy
> division like say <busy division>, there is rarely any point during the
> day where there isn't traffic on the main talkgroup, thereby rendering
> this otherwise useful service, totally useless. It is made even worse
> by controllers being told that they MUST NOT authorise talkthru on the
> main talkgroup. Great... so how do we communicate then? Oh.. I know..
> back to personal mobiles it is then.
>
> o) access to local and national databases
>
> Oh? Where? I do not have access to PNC, local intelligence, or any
> other kind of database from my handset. My 'access to local and
> national databases' is as it ever was. Ask comms, go back to the car
> and use the MDT, or waste even more time and go back to the station.
>
> o) introduction of emergency button that will improve officer safety
>
> Yes.. when it works. Numerous times officers have pressed their
> emergency button only to be told 'call failed', or 'no coverage' or on
> pressing it for the required two seconds, find it doesn't fire because
> someone in comms happens to be talking at the time (Yes comms staff
> have a higher transmission priority than the emergency button!). How is
> it then that this will improve officer safety? I can't even hit people
> with my radio anymore if needs be, as the thing will shatter in to a
> million pieces. In UHF/VHF days I could scream 'HELP!' even if someone
> was talking. The controller would have a hard time trying to hear me
> over the other person when I gave details, but at least it was
> possible. With Airwave I just get 'BUSY' if I try and transmit, and end
> up in a queuing system. But of course, this improves officer safety.
> As a side note, when you are getting your head kicked in, have you any
> idea how long 2 seconds is...? The button is also extremely hard to
> push in and keep pushed in, all while trying to defend yourself.
>

>
> o) Improved communications will mean officers can be deployed to calls
> more speedily.
>
> If anything I have described above can be seen as 'improved', then you
> need to seek help immediately. There was never a problem deploying
> officers the old way. The majority of the time VHF/UHF worked fine, or
> as a last resort sending the job to the car MDT.
>
> o) Staff will be able to access information regarding incidents, people
> or vehicles directly through their Airwave radios.
>
> Yes, we can retrieve some information regarding incidents, but it is
> via text message, which we need to send first to request. It is a
> convoluted process and by the time we have finished typing out the
> request text message (which contains a lot of special characters like
> #), we'll probably be there. I cannot access any information regarding
> people or vehicles directly via by handset. I suspect when we are
> finally able to it will be via the same method, e.g. text, and will be
> quicker to call the PNC desk and ask. Text messages are also limited to
> 120 characters. Not very useful at all. The last two sentences
> consisted of 73 characters...
>
> o) Improved communications will mean less time in the police station
> and more time on the streets.
>
> Pure propaganda and an attempt to justify the 2.3 billion pound price
> tag for the system. How improving communication will result in me
> spending less time in the police station is a mystery. Is there a
> feature of Airwave that automatically completes my paperwork for me?
> Please tell me! I will gladly use it no matter how complex.
>
> o) The ability to communicate directly with other forces and other
> emergency services.
>
> Neither my county's fire or ambulance services subscribe to airwave. I
> can chat to <neighbouring forces> if I want to, but the only force I
> personally border with the majority of the time is a big expanse of
> water.. so no advantage there.
>
> o) "Our colleagues in other forces are already catching more criminals
> as a result of using Airwaves digital technology."
>
> One word. Tripe! Airwave offers nothing in effect - due to the
> technical problems - that MASC or similar technologies doesn't offer
> already. Pure propaganda again.
>
>
> Yes this is a humerous, but none-the-less serious look at the state of
> play in todays police radio service. Don't flame me for my comments and

> points of view. I'm sure if you speak to other officers of any number
> of forces, you will get a similar view point.
>
> If you got this far, congratulations and thanks for reading. The next
> time someone in authority mentions airwave to you, perhaps you'll
> remember this post and be able to raise a few points with them. It
> should be an interesting conversation... that is, if they decide they
> want to continue to talk to you at all...
>
> Yours,
>
> PC 5029 Concerned Officer.
> Some Station,
> Some Force,
> Some Where.

I suppose that this reads rather like an episode of Fawlty Towers only without the funny side. Having said that, it's great that someone is honest. The mention of the emergency button is perhaps the most revealing point - yes two seconds is far too long to wait and is not exactly instant! And three guesses as to why the fire and ambulance services have not subscribed - and probably won't. So much for interoperability.
And trying to give out an assurance of 100% coverage countrywide is about as convincing as the assurance that the Titanic was unsinkable. No fancy digital technology can change the laws of physics, making RF able to penetrate a Faraday cage (aliases include steel framed buildings, lifts, underground carparks, basements and the occasional remote valley out of 'line of sight' from whereever the nearest base station may be).

DN

2029. Re: Airwave - Cutting Through the Propoganda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-24 14:04:39 UTC
From: Ken Ward <ken.g1itv@MTLworld.com>
Message-ID: <XHNae.3793$TT6.2787@newsfe3-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 13791
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.community.policing,uk.politics.crime,rec.radio.scanner

"Concerned Officer" <concerned.officer@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1114335827.964279.87520@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...

What a waste of a "Gmail" account!

KW

2030. Re: Airwave - Cutting Through the Propoganda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-24 14:10:12 UTC
From: the saint <simon312002@NOSPAMTHANKSyahoo.co.uk>
Message-ID: <d4g9c4tj1@nwrdmz02.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com>
Source record: 13792
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.community.policing,uk.politics.crime,rec.radio.scanner

"Concerned Officer" <concerned.officer@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1114335827.964279.87520@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> How the Police, and the Public, are being mislead about Airwave.
> An Insider Evaluation of the Propoganda.
> Neither my county's fire or ambulance services subscribe to airwave. I
> can chat to <neighbouring forces> if I want to, but the only force I
> personally border with the majority of the time is a big expanse of
> water.. so no advantage there.
>
> o) "Our colleagues in other forces are already catching more criminals
> as a result of using Airwaves digital technology."
>
> One word. Tripe! Airwave offers nothing in effect - due to the
> technical problems - that MASC or similar technologies doesn't offer
> already. Pure propoganda again.

I work in the Ambulance service where we have been told TETRA is on the way. Professionally I know a number of emergency services staff at all levels and in a variety of services - police, fire, ambulance - both operational staff and Control Staff. Clearly experiences and opinions are swapped.

I am only too aware of the hype which has been generated by Airwave and the vastly inflated claims being made for it. There is a LOT of dissatisfaction and much concern that it was and is being hastily foisted on us when no proper chance was given to other technologies.

One day there will hopefully be - at the very least - a National Audit Office enquiry into how Airwave came to be implemented/forced on emergency services. I feel sure that there will be serious questions to answer.

In the meantime I hope the problems (for problems there certainly are, but being kept quiet) are just teething problems and that they can be sorted.

Simes

2031. Re: Airwave - Cutting Through the Propoganda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-24 15:31:58 UTC
From: "Matthew Brough (2E0BYM)" <matthew.brough@purplesecurity.co.uk>
Message-ID: <d4ge6s$44g$1@newsg1.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 13793
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.community.policing,uk.politics.crime,rec.radio.scanner

Brilliant post

2032. FS: Pro 95 + Charger + Batteries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-24 17:15:42 UTC
From: Ken Bessler <kg0wx@NOSPAMyahoo.com>
Message-ID: <2vQae.10498$mS1.8713@okepread04>
Source record: 13794

Pro 95 bought new in January, 2005 with charger &
batteries. Excellent condition. I never use it. Includes
box, manual, etc.

Asking $115 or best offer. Price includes 2 day
chipping CONUS.

reply to kg0wx at cox dot net.

--
73's es gd dx de Ken KG&#65533;WX
Grid EM17ip, Flying Pigs #1055,
Digital On Six #350,
List Owner, Yahoo! E-groups:
VX-2R & FT-857

2033. Re: Airwave - Cutting Through the Propoganda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-24 17:53:41 UTC
From: Brian <8t0y@kcl.com>
Message-ID: <F2Rae.3755$p06.713@newsfe3-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 13795
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.community.policing,uk.politics.crime,rec.radio.scanner

"Paul Robson" <autismuk@autismuk.muralichucks.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in
message
news:pan.2005.04.24.11.29.49.678@autismuk.muralichucks.freeserve.co.uk...
> On Sun, 24 Apr 2005 02:43:47 -0700, Concerned Officer wrote:
>
>> Let me be quite clear about a few things first of all. It is the
>> government's fault that police forces have had to switch to Airwave.
>> They have sold off frequencies we have been using for years with no
>> major problems. Do not blame your local police force for buying into
>> duff technology, they had little choice in the matter. And for those of
>> you who might read the items below and say 'purely teething problems',
>> then let me say this: We are something like the 38th Force to go live
>> with Airwave. o2 have had 37 previous installations to make their cock
>> ups and learn by them. Our Force has also been using Airwave (in a
>> testing capacity) for well over a year, and live for 6 months in some
>> areas. NONE of the technical problems raised time and time and time
>> again have been fixed during any of this.
>
> Be fair ! Why should it be different from any other government project.
>
> You didn't really think it would work did you ???

Hello,

It's crap compared to the old wide area encrypted network I used. Everyone could hear each other clearly and it didn't break up. It had the occasional UHF fluttering effect if in a difficult area, but nothing mad. o2-AIRWAVE was over budget and you should see the contract!
The data side of it doesn't work, so no sending in reports. The pictures do not work, so no pictures of suspects, the fingerprint scanning doesn't work and people are told not to use it to speak privately or for "phone" because it slows the system down.

It was a great idea on paper, but put it in the hands of people with a degree (worthless piece of paper) with NO practical experience or common sense and it falls apart.

All o2-Airwave is good for is voice comms, but only in the areas contracted and agreed will have coverage. In a way planning permission was obtained almost by force to provide comms in some areas as the project was already agreed to. So it was another way of getting unrelated base stations and aerials onto the same sites.

In Merseyside and Cheshire the system is worse than normal radios.

2034. Re: Airwave - Cutting Through the Propoganda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-24 17:59:41 UTC
From: Brian <8t0y@kcl.com>
Message-ID: <h8Rae.3759$p06.3014@newsfe3-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 13796
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.community.policing,uk.politics.crime,rec.radio.scanner

"Concerned Officer" <concerned.officer@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1114344510.683528.178420@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...

Paul Robson wrote:
> On Sun, 24 Apr 2005 02:43:47 -0700, Concerned Officer wrote:
>
> > Let me be quite clear about a few things first of all. It is the
> > government's fault that police forces have had to switch to
Airwave.

<snip>

> Be fair ! Why should it be different from any other government
project.

Of course, why should it be. But on the flip side of this, some
Government-sponsored systems work wonderfully. Radio and mobile
telephone technology is nothing new, and all airwave has done, really,
is throw encryption into the mix and make minor changes to the mobile
telephone model. What is so hard here?

> You didn't really think it would work did you ???

Considering they spent £2.3bn (and counting!) on the system, I would
have hoped it to work slightly better than the quality I can get out of
two tin cans and a piece of string. Sad, really.

Cheers,

PC A.N. Other.

Hello,

Cheshire already had encryption for years, it was the MASC system from
Marconi and it worked VERY well. It was a repeater system covering the
entire area so everyone was on "talkthrough". No silly pips all the time,
people could hear every other person. Last time I looked it was on
£2.9billion for Airwave.
Cheshire never suffered the same as Merseyside - they were never blocked out
on channels, even 22VHF that the patrols used as a chat channel between them
when they should have been monitoring CH2. No one could listen in either,
so why spend all that money on a system that is reinventing old ideas - was
not fully tested and doesn't work correctly.
Has your control room also mentioned that the radios have GPS built in, so
they can see EXACTLY where each patrol is on a map on the PC? That's why
pushing the emergency button shows them which patrol is calling and where

they are! So each PC is being watched.

2035. Re: Airwave - Cutting Through the Propoganda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-24 18:01:25 UTC
From: Brian <8t0y@kcl.com>
Message-ID: <V9Rae.3762$p06.2683@newsfe3-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 13797
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.community.policing,uk.politics.crime,rec.radio.scanner

"the saint" <simon312002@NOSPAMTHANKSyahoo.co.uk> wrote in message
news:d4g9c4tj1@nwrdmz02.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com...
>
> "Concerned Officer" <concerned.officer@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1114335827.964279.87520@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> How the Police, and the Public, are being mislead about Airwave.
>> An Insider Evaluation of the Propoganda.
>> Neither my county's fire or ambulance services subscribe to airwave. I
>> can chat to <neighbouring forces> if I want to, but the only force I
>> personally border with the majority of the time is a big expanse of
>> water.. so no advantage there.
>>
>> o) "Our colleagues in other forces are already catching more criminals
>> as a result of using Airwaves digital technology."
>>
>> One word. Tripe! Airwave offers nothing in effect - due to the
>> technical problems - that MASC or similar technologies doesn't offer
>> already. Pure propoganda again.
>
>
> I work in the Ambulance service where we have been told TETRA is on the
> way. Professionally I know a number of emergency services staff at all
> levels and in a variety of services - police, fire, ambulance - both
> operational staff and Control Staff. Clearly experiences and opinions are
> swapped.
>
> I am only too aware of the hype which has been generated by Airwave and
> the vastly inflated claims being made for it. There is a LOT of
> dissatisfaction and much concern that it was and is being hastily foisted
> on us when no proper chance was given to other technologies.
>
> One day there will hopefully be - at the very least - a National Audit
> Office enquiry into how Airwave came to be implemented/forced on emergency
> services. I feel sure that there will be serious questions to answer.
>
> In the meantime I hope the problems (for problems there certainly are, but
> being kept quiet) are just teething problems and that they can be sorted.
>
> Simes
>
>
Hello,

In Merseyside and Cheshire there is NO encryption on Airwave, the fact it is "digital" is thought to be enough to put people off having a go at listening!
A lot of the Motorola handsets had difficulty with the encryption.

2036. Re: Airwave - Cutting Through the Propoganda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-24 18:17:25 UTC
From: Paul Robson <autismuk@autismuk.muralichucks.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.04.24.18.17.24.327826@autismuk.muralichucks.freeserve.co.uk>
Source record: 13799
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.community.policing,uk.politics.crime,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 24 Apr 2005 17:53:41 +0000, Brian wrote:

> t's crap compared to the old wide area encrypted network I used. Everyone
> could hear each other clearly and it didn't break up. It had the occasional
> UHF fluttering effect if in a difficult area, but nothing mad. o2-AIRWAVE
> was over budget and you should see the contract!
> The data side of it doesn't work, so no sending in reports. The pictures do
> not work, so no pictures of suspects, the fingerprint scanning doesn't work
> and people are told not to use it to speak privately or for "phone" because
> it slows the system down.
>
> It was a great idea on paper, but put it in the hands of people with a
> degree (worthless piece of paper) with NO practical experience or common
> sense and it falls apart.
>
> All o2-Airwave is good for is voice comms, but only in the areas contracted
> and agreed will have coverage. In a way planning permission was obtained
> almost by force to provide comms in some areas as the project was already
> agreed to. So it was another way of getting unrelated base stations and
> aerials onto the same sites.
>
> In Merseyside and Cheshire the system is worse than normal radios.

Doesn't surprise me.

Though one error on your part. IME these systems are designed by
consultants, not technical people. Good technical people are well aware
that new tech. is , shall we say, unreliable. There is a phrase KISS, keep
it simple, stupid.

The latest one is these ID cards. Whatever the rights & wrongs, they are
making huge claims for the tech. which no-one I know of thinks will work !

Consultants and Bureaucrats like it because it's new snazzy and expensive,
and they can make flashy PR announcements.

As a cop equivalent ; one half expects our BiB to be given Palm Pilots or
something to write their notes up on, simply because it's a flashy gadget.
Simple things, like in this case a notebook, work.

2037. Re: Airwave - Cutting Through the Propoganda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-24 18:18:28 UTC
From: PromaBoss <Promaboss1@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <UpRae.2726$395.2482@newsfe5-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 13798
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.community.policing,uk.politics.crime,rec.radio.scanner

concerned you are welcom to join

Tetrauk@yahoogroups.com

we have many people with interests in TETRA,many engineers and experts and end users

your post although not all read as yet looks interesting i am sure our members too would find it interesting

regards,paul
"Concerned Officer" <concerned.officer@gmail.com> wrote in message news:1114335827.964279.87520@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> How the Police, and the Public, are being mislead about Airwave.
> An Insider Evaluation of the Propoganda.
> ----------
>
> I am posting this in a few choice UK newsgroups who have some interest
> in the police radio system. If you take offence to this then I
> apologise, but I think you will find what this post contains
> interesting. At least I hope you do!
>
> Let me be quite clear about a few things first of all. It is the
> government's fault that police forces have had to switch to Airwave.
> They have sold off frequencies we have been using for years with no
> major problems. Do not blame your local police force for buying into
> duff technology, they had little choice in the matter. And for those of
> you who might read the items below and say 'purely teething problems',
> then let me say this: We are something like the 38th Force to go live
> with Airwave. o2 have had 37 previous installations to make their cock
> ups and learn by them. Our Force has also been using Airwave (in a
> testing capacity) for well over a year, and live for 6 months in some
> areas. NONE of the technical problems raised time and time and time
> again have been fixed during any of this.
>
> Our communication equipment provider for control rooms also appears to
> be totally inept when it comes to getting the data-display side of
> things working. The 'last officer calling' function, whereby their
> callsign and warrant number is displayed in the talk-group button on
> the system is wiped out and replaced with either a blank space or
> gibberish the moment the controller transmits. The 'subscriber' screen
> - a utility on the control system that shows which officers are on a
> particular talkgroup, also fails to function correctly. Those who are
> off duty do not 'fall off' the display, meaning that there is around 50

> pages of 'subscribers' on any talkgroup, most of them off duty. Very
> useful! There are hundreds of other minor problems as well, but the
> discussion of them is not the purpose of this post. It is merely to cut
> through the propoganda being issued by the Government, O2 and probably
> your local force about how peachy everything is. It isn't.
>
>
> "What are the benefits of Airwave?"
>
> o) Greatly improved coverage throughout <force>
>
> This is a mixed bag. I have personally witnessed communications being
> crystal clear in the depths of town x (read: middle of nowhere where
> previously neither UHF or VHF could penetrate), yet o2 appears
> completely unable to give us a clear signal outside <Major University>,
> just yards from the <major county motorway> for which <force> Police,
> along with all other major A roads in the county, have contracted for
> 100% coverage. Reception is also piss poor in <major> City centre,
> <major> city centre, <major> city centre, <major> city centre and
> <major> city centre. If by 'coverage' they mean the ability to transmit
> something - anything! - then yes it has improved. I thought the point
> of 'communication' was being able to be understood when trying to
> transmit though.
>
>
> o) voice clarity and an end to background noise
>
> This partly goes hand in hand with the last entry. Voice is anything
> but clear. When in the control room I have my headset volume up to 120%
> on the touch-screen control equipment, and also have the built-in
> headset volume control set to 3 (max), and I still struggle to hear
> what anyone is saying the majority of the time. There appears to be a
> total lack of bandwidth available for clear voice communications,
> compounded by the effects of lack of coverage. It is essentially like
> the early days of Internet telephones, were 2 people across the world
> were attempting to communicate via 2400baud modems. It simply didn't
> work. The noise cancelling was sold to us to also be a major advantage.
> Why is it then that as soon as the officer turns on the sirens, I can't
> hear a bloody thing they are saying? The moment they step into a busy
> pub/club, all I can hear is music and background chatter. This feature
> doesn't work either. And for the cynics, regarding the volume - no I am
> not deaf. Switching back to UHF I car hear them fine.
>
> o) secure encrypted comms that prevent scanning of police transmissions
>
> Granted, the system is secure and encrypted. It also works quite fast.
> Most of the time. However this does mean that while the criminals can't
> listen in to transmissions, neither can anyone else. I know that in the
> past I have assisted the police when off duty by phoning in seeing a
> 'suspicious male' hanging around or hiding somewhere based purely on

> the description given out over the air by controllers a few moments
> earlier. We as a police service have now automatically excluded this
> unauthorised - but none the less appreciated - help. In an even more
> indirect fashion listening to 'force' transmissions on VHF, I have
> avoided accidents that have just happened, reducing the burden on the
> service either trying to attend, or who end up dealing with the
> resulting backlog of traffic. The same accident then appeared on my FM
> car radio via Traffic Announce about 20 minutes later. The end result
> was I also managed to get to work on time.
>
>
> o) digital comms that will enable staff to communicate by radio by
> phone or by text
>
> I won't discuss the radio element (radio as we mostly know it, e.g.
> broadcast or All Informed transmissions) as I think it covered well
> above. However the telephone element is fine, except that officers can
> only phone OUT from the handsets. For a maximum of 10 minutes. They
> cannot receive incoming *telephone* calls from anywhere, except
> Superintendants and control room staff. Useful. Text? Don't make me
> laugh.. if you saw how complicated it was to actually COMMUNICATE via
> text message, you wouldn't bother either. It isn't as simple as just
> write and send. If you want to send it to someone in the control room
> it is even worse. It is a system that will never be used, despite us
> being in the 'text generation' due to its complexity and high failure
> rate. Point to Point communications, e.g. using the radio as a radio,
> but for a private call between only two individuals works well
> providing there is no one transmitting on the main talkgroup. In a busy
> division like say <busy division>, there is rarely any point during the
> day where there isn't traffic on the main talkgroup, thereby rendering
> this otherwise useful service, totally useless. It is made even worse
> by controllers being told that they MUST NOT authorise talkthru on the
> main talkgroup. Great... so how do we communicate then? Oh.. I know..
> back to personal mobiles it is then.
>
> o) access to local and national databases
>
> Oh? Where? I do not have access to PNC, local intelligence, or any
> other kind of database from my handset. My 'access to local and
> national databases' is as it ever was. Ask comms, go back to the car
> and use the MDT, or waste even more time and go back to the station.
>
> o) introduction of emergency button that will improve officer safety
>
> Yes.. when it works. Numerous times officers have pressed their
> emergency button only to be told 'call failed', or 'no coverage' or on
> pressing it for the required two seconds, find it doesn't fire because
> someone in comms happens to be talking at the time (Yes comms staff
> have a higher transmission priority than the emergency button!). How is
> it then that this will improve officer safety? I can't even hit people

> with my radio anymore if needs be, as the thing will shatter in to a
> million pieces. In UHF/VHF days I could scream 'HELP!' even if someone
> was talking. The controller would have a hard time trying to hear me
> over the other person when I gave details, but at least it was
> possible. With Airwave I just get 'BUSY' if I try and transmit, and end
> up in a queueing system. But of course, this improves officer safety.
> As a side note, when you are getting your head kicked in, have you any
> idea how long 2 seconds is...? The button is also extremely hard to
> push in and keep pushed in, all while trying to defend yourself.
>
>
> o) Improved communications will mean officers can be deployed to calls
> more speedily.
>
> If anything I have described above can be seen as 'improved', then you
> need to seek help immediately. There was never a problem deploying
> officers the old way. The majority of the time VHF/UHF worked fine, or
> as a last resort sending the job to the car MDT.
>
> o) Staff will be able to access information regarding incidents, people
> or vehicles directly through their Airwave radios.
>
> Yes, we can retrieve some information regarding incidents, but it is
> via text message, which we need to send first to request. It is a
> convoluted process and by the time we have finished typing out the
> request text message (which contains a lot of special characters like
> #), we'll probably be there. I cannot access any information regarding
> people or vehicles directly via by handset. I suspect when we are
> finally able to it will be via the same method, e.g. text, and will be
> quicker to call the PNC desk and ask. Text messages are also limited to
> 120 characters. Not very useful at all. The last two sentences
> consisted of 73 characters...
>
> o) Improved communications will mean less time in the police station
> and more time on the streets.
>
> Pure propoganda and an attempt to justify the 2.3 billion pound price
> tag for the system. How improving communication will result in me
> spending less time in the police station is a mystery. Is there a
> feature of Airwave that automatically completes my paperwork for me?
> Please tell me! I will gladly use it no matter how complex.
>
> o) The ability to communicate directly with other forces and other
> emergency services.
>
> Neither my county's fire or ambulance services subscribe to airwave. I
> can chat to <neighbouring forces> if I want to, but the only force I
> personally border with the majority of the time is a big expanse of
> water.. so no advantage there.
>

> o) "Our colleagues in other forces are already catching more criminals
> as a result of using Airwaves digital technology."
>
> One word. Tripe! Airwave offers nothing in effect - due to the
> technical problems - that MASC or similar technologies doesn't offer
> already. Pure propoganda again.
>
>
> Yes this is a humerous, but none-the-less serious look at the state of
> play in todays police radio service. Don't flame me for my comments and
> points of view. I'm sure if you speak to other officers of any number
> of forces, you will get a similar view point.
>
> If you got this far, congratulations and thanks for reading. The next
> time someone in authority mentions airwave to you, perhaps you'll
> remember this post and be able to raise a few points with them. It
> should be an interesting conversation... that is, if they decide they
> want to continue to talk to you at all...
>
> Yours,
>
> PC 5029 Concerned Officer.
> Some Station,
> Some Force,
> Some Where.
>

2038. Re: Airwave - Cutting Through the Propoganda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-24 18:19:22 UTC
From: Paul Robson <autismuk@autismuk.muralichucks.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.04.24.18.19.21.603550@autismuk.muralichucks.freeserve.co.uk>
Source record: 13800
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.community.policing,uk.politics.crime,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 24 Apr 2005 05:08:30 -0700, Concerned Officer wrote:

>> Be fair ! Why should it be different from any other government
> project.
>
> Of course, why should it be. But on the flip side of this, some
> Government-sponsored systems work wonderfully. Radio and mobile
> telephone technology is nothing new, and all airwave has done, really,
> is throw encryption into the mix and make minor changes to the mobile
> telephone model. What is so hard here?
>
>> You didn't really think it would work did you ???
>
> Considering they spent ï¿½2.3bn (and counting!) on the system, I would
> have hoped it to work slightly better than the quality I can get out of
> two tin cans and a piece of string. Sad, really.

Well, why *doesn't* it work ? Why can't the police hang on to the mobile
networks ? I don't know, no-one does.

If all it is is encrypted mobile phone stuff (?) then you should be able
to do it with a reprogrammed cheapie handset.

There is no reason why it shouldn't work ; there isn't, (relative to say
moiles) that much traffic !

2039. Re: Airwave - Cutting Through the Propoganda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-24 18:20:20 UTC
From: Paul Robson <autismuk@autismuk.muralichucks.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.04.24.18.20.18.935242@autismuk.muralichucks.freeserve.co.uk>
Source record: 13701
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.community.policing,uk.politics.crime,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 24 Apr 2005 17:59:41 +0000, Brian wrote:

> Cheshire already had encryption for years, it was the MASC system from
> Marconi and it worked VERY well. It was a repeater system covering the
> entire area so everyone was on "talkthrough". No silly pips all the time,
> people could hear every other person. Last time I looked it was on
> ï¿½2.9billion for Airwave.
> Cheshire never suffered the same as Merseyside - they were never blocked out
> on channels, even 22VHF that the patrols used as a chat channel between them
> when they should have been monitoring CH2. No one could listen in either,
> so why spend all that money on a system that is reinventing old ideas - was
> not fully tested and doesn't work correctly.
> Has your control room also mentioned that the radios have GPS built in, so
> they can see EXACTLY where each patrol is on a map on the PC? That's why
> pushing the emergency button shows them which patrol is calling and where
> they are! So each PC is being watched.

If it works ... according to the OP (whom I presume is a copper), it
doesn't work. What use is an emergency system if you can't call for help.....

2040. Re: Airwave - Cutting Through the Propoganda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-24 19:07:56 UTC
From: chas <headinabucket@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <g8Sae.3915$TT6.1489@newsfe3-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 13702
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.community.policing,uk.politics.crime,rec.radio.scanner

As another police airwave user I have to say it is an utterly shite system.

Officer safety IS being compromised.

Airwave drops out completely on a regular basis in the control rooms, the 'hang time' to transmit is as long as EIGHT seconds sometimes - utterly useless during a pursuit or something. The BUSY message is almost permantently on and it is just NOT designed for busy areas on a Fri/Sat night with lots of officers using it.

Do they expect us to f**ing run down the street texting on the damn thing to get updates?

The sooner the Federation and Unison or whoever the lazy arse reps are, get together and thrash it out with the Chief Constables - the sooner officers can start seeing improvements and have confidence in the system.

2041. Re: Airwave - Cutting Through the Propoganda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-24 19:56:40 UTC
From: spencer bullen <sblg05326@blueyonder.co.uk>
Message-ID: <YRSae.1726$8d4.116@fe1.news.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 13703
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.community.policing,uk.politics.crime,rec.radio.scanner

"Concerned Officer" <concerned.officer@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1114335827.964279.87520@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> How the Police, and the Public, are being mislead about Airwave.
> An Insider Evaluation of the Propoganda.

Greetings,

I'm a PC in the Met, and a few months ago went from Borough to the Transport Operational Command Unit (TOCU), a Pan London unit of the Metropolitan Police Service. The standard radio for the TOCU is the airwave system, and I was issued a new Motorola handset accordingly. However, all BOCUs (Borough Operation Command Units, the new jobspeak for divisions) operate on the old Metradio system, which is 100% incompatible with Airwave. As such, I currently have to carry 2 radios: an Airwave to talk to my pan-London TOCU control room; and a Metradio to talk to local stations in order to respond to divisional shouts, request cell space etc.

I have a number of issues with the new Airwave, beyond the weight issue of carrying 2 radios, which I acknowledge is a consequence of the transitional period:

1) The flimsy design. The Motorola actually rattles when it's shaken, and is about as robust as an old mobile phone. A sergeant at my base recently had his old Metradio run over by a car and the carrier (a long wheel base Mercedes Sprinter van), and apart from scratches, it works as well as before. Considering the back falls of my radio regularly, and the battery unclips with a jolt (such as running!), I doubt the new radio will be anything like as solid as the old system.

2) Attachment. The Airwave system used attaches to a clip on the fabric loop of my outer clothing, and doesn't have a remote mike (in the old days known as a PSM, public safety microphone). What this means is that there is only a couple of grams of cotton thread between me and the loss of my radio. Even the old Storno was securely clipped to my belt with a remote microphone, and in a tussle I could rely on it staying on my belt, albeit with the microphone dangling loose. With very little effort my new radio could be sent into the yonder.

3) Microphone quality/position. The microphone of the airwave radio is badly positioned. If pressing the PTT (Press To Talk Button) of the new Airwave whilst it is attached to uniform, it is basically impossible not to cover the speaker with your hand whilst talking. The PTT is at top right, and the microphone is bottom left, and holding down effectively occludes the microphone with your palm. It is almost impossible to talk into the radio with it clipped to clothing, and most officers have to remove it and chat

into it like a mobile phone. This means that one swipe would send the radio flying. OST (Officer Safety Training) dictates that radios should never be held loose in the hand; with the new Airwave, it is the only way to talk.

4) Radiation. This is a subject I am talking on based on canteen gossip rather then personal experience, and I apologise in advance for any inaccuracies. Rumour has it that the handset releases far more radition then a mobile phone, with the widely reported brain frying consequences.

Beyond the above problems, reception is poor, the microphone seems to pick up background noise better then direct speech, and it is a wasted oppurtunity. The trial was advertised as having the ability to send photo messages with wanted pictures, but the handset issued is a low resolution black and white LCD screen, with no such facility. All in all, the technology that the Home Office is investing billions in seems outdated before it is introduced.

I would like to think that the problems listed will be ironed out, but I doubt it. The Met has not fully installed MDTs (Mobile Data Terminals) in patrol vehicles, about 20 years after America (watch The Blues Brothers, the SCHMARDS system they illustrated was fully operational in the mid 80's), and in terms of directional guidance is several generations behind those of the RSPCA (about 6 months ago I went in an RSPCA van for an arrest, and was amazed how in advance of the Met they were). The new radio system seems to be a throw back to early 90's mobile phone technology, and misses the chance to bring police communications into the 21st century. I can only hope that the system being trialled is rejected, and something approaching modern technology is introduced.

T.T.F.N.

SPENNY

PC, TOCU - MPS.

2042. What's the best simple scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-24 20:02:51 UTC
From: "Bruce W.1" <sorry@noDirectEmail.com>
Message-ID: <LXSae.167$Jp5.18@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 13704
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

What's a good simple scanner for VHF and UHF?

Let me clarify that. I used to have a Radio Shack Pro 2006 scanner. Whenever I got around to using it I couldn't figure it out and had to read the manual again.

I'd like to be able to turn it on and just enter a frequency, period. A tuning knob for the VFO would be nice too, so I could easily search around. This feature is more common on a communications receiver, which is maybe what I need. But I don't see any reason why a scanner couldn't have a knob too.

It doesn't need to have a gazillion memories, ten would be fine. And it would be nice if the memories could be labelled (alphabetic). It should not have an internal lithium battery (for the memory) that dies every five years, unless it's easily replaced (not soldered on to the circuit board).

And lastly, it doesn't need to be a new current model. It could be twenty years old, so long as it has a good solid circuits.

Does anyone have recommendations?

Thanks for your help.

2043. Re: Airwave - Cutting Through the Propoganda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-24 20:19:08 UTC
From: Maleficarum <maleficarum666@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <d4gv01$b6e$1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 13705
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.community.policing,uk.politics.crime,rec.radio.scanner

"spencer bullen" <sblg05326@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote in message
news:YRSae.1726$8d4.116@fe1.news.blueyonder.co.uk...
>
> "Concerned Officer" <concerned.officer@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1114335827.964279.87520@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> How the Police, and the Public, are being mislead about Airwave.
>> An Insider Evaluation of the Propoganda.
>
> Greetings,
>
> I'm a PC in the Met, and a few months ago went from Borough to the
> Transport
> Operational Command Unit (TOCU), a Pan London unit of the Metropolitan
> Police Service. The standard radio for the TOCU is the airwave system,
> and
> I was issued a new Motorola handset accordingly. However, all BOCUs
> (Borough Operation Command Units, the new jobspeak for divisions) operate
> on
> the old Metradio system, which is 100% incompatible with Airwave. As
> such,
> I currently have to carry 2 radios: an Airwave to talk to my pan-London
> TOCU
> control room; and a Metradio to talk to local stations in order to respond
> to divisional shouts, request cell space etc.
>
> I have a number of issues with the new Airwave, beyond the weight issue of
> carrying 2 radios, which I acknowledge is a consequence of the
> transitional
> period:
>
> 1) The flimsy design. The Motorola actually rattles when it's shaken,
> and
> is about as robust as an old mobile phone. A sergeant at my base recently
> had his old Metradio run over by a car and the carrier (a long wheel base
> Mercedes Sprinter van), and apart from scratches, it works as well as
> before. Considering the back falls of my radio regularly, and the battery
> unclips with a jolt (such as running!), I doubt the new radio will be
> anything like as solid as the old system.
>
> 2) Attachment. The Airwave system used attaches to a clip on the fabric
> loop of my outer clothing, and doesn't have a remote mike (in the old days
> known as a PSM, public safety microphone). What this means is that there
> is

> only a couple of grams of cotton thread between me and the loss of my
> radio.
> Even the old Storno was securely clipped to my belt with a remote
> microphone, and in a tussle I could rely on it staying on my belt, albeit
> with the microphone dangling loose. With very little effort my new radio
> could be sent into the yonder.
>
> 3) Microphone quality/position. The microphone of the airwave radio is
> badly positioned. If pressing the PTT (Press To Talk Button) of the new
> Airwave whilst it is attached to uniform, it is basically impossible not
> to
> cover the speaker with your hand whilst talking. The PTT is at top right,
> and the microphone is bottom left, and holding down effectively occludes
> the
> microphone with your palm. It is almost impossible to talk into the radio
> with it clipped to clothing, and most officers have to remove it and chat
> into it like a mobile phone. This means that one swipe would send the
> radio
> flying. OST (Officer Safety Training) dictates that radios should never
> be
> held loose in the hand; with the new Airwave, it is the only way to talk.
>
> 4) Radiation. This is a subject I am talking on based on canteen gossip
> rather then personal experience, and I apologise in advance for any
> inaccuracies. Rumour has it that the handset releases far more radition
> then a mobile phone, with the widely reported brain frying consequences.
>
> Beyond the above problems, reception is poor, the microphone seems to pick
> up background noise better then direct speech, and it is a wasted
> oppurtunity. The trial was advertised as having the ability to send photo
> messages with wanted pictures, but the handset issued is a low resolution
> black and white LCD screen, with no such facility. All in all, the
> technology that the Home Office is investing billions in seems outdated
> before it is introduced.
>
> I would like to think that the problems listed will be ironed out, but I
> doubt it. The Met has not fully installed MDTs (Mobile Data Terminals) in
> patrol vehicles, about 20 years after America (watch The Blues Brothers,
> the
> SCHMARDS system they illustrated was fully operational in the mid 80's),
> and
> in terms of directional guidance is several generations behind those of
> the
> RSPCA (about 6 months ago I went in an RSPCA van for an arrest, and was
> amazed how in advance of the Met they were). The new radio system seems
> to
> be a throw back to early 90's mobile phone technology, and misses the
> chance
> to bring police communications into the 21st century. I can only hope
> that

> the system being trialled is rejected, and something approaching modern
> technology is introduced.
>
> T.T.F.N.
>
> SPENNY
>
> PC, TOCU - MPS.
>
I'm in East Anglia and Airwaves is constantly down, crashing out of reach
etc. Due to being a rural area the shortage of masts causes a constant
switching of airwaves back to VK. There is no data facility as far as I am
aware and the only time Airwaves is actually used is in localised incident
scenarios.

Regards,

Malef.
>
>
>

2044. Re: Airwave - Cutting Through the Propoganda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-24 20:26:47 UTC
From: harrogate2 <harrogate2@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <biTae.3473$WW5.310@newsfe2-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 13706
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.community.policing,uk.politics.crime,rec.radio.scanner

"Brian" <8t0y@kcl.com> wrote in message
news:V9Rae.3762$p06.2683@newsfe3-gui.ntli.net...
>
> "the saint" <simon312002@NOSPAMTHANKSyahoo.co.uk> wrote in message
> news:d4g9c4tj1@nwrdmz02.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com...
> >
> > "Concerned Officer" <concerned.officer@gmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:1114335827.964279.87520@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >> How the Police, and the Public, are being mislead about Airwave.
> >> An Insider Evaluation of the Propoganda.
> >> Neither my county's fire or ambulance services subscribe to
airwave. I
> >> can chat to <neighbouring forces> if I want to, but the only
force I
> >> personally border with the majority of the time is a big expanse
of
> >> water.. so no advantage there.
> >>
> >> o) "Our colleagues in other forces are already catching more
criminals
> >> as a result of using Airwaves digital technology."
> >>
> >> One word. Tripe! Airwave offers nothing in effect - due to the
> >> technical problems - that MASC or similar technologies doesn't
offer
> >> already. Pure propoganda again.
> >
> >
> > I work in the Ambulance service where we have been told TETRA is
on the
> > way. Professionally I know a number of emergency services staff at
all
> > levels and in a variety of services - police, fire, ambulance -
both
> > operational staff and Control Staff. Clearly experiences and
opinions are
> > swapped.
> >
> > I am only too aware of the hype which has been generated by
Airwave and
> > the vastly inflated claims being made for it. There is a LOT of
> > dissatisfaction and much concern that it was and is being hastily
foisted

> > on us when no proper chance was given to other technologies.
> >
> > One day there will hopefully be - at the very least - a National
Audit
> > Office enquiry into how Airwave came to be implemented/forced on
emergency
> > services. I feel sure that there will be serious questions to
answer.
> >
> > In the meantime I hope the problems (for problems there certainly
are, but
> > being kept quiet) are just teething problems and that they can be
sorted.
> >
> > Simes
> >
> >
> Hello,
>
> In Merseyside and Cheshire there is NO encryption on Airwave, the
fact it is
> "digital" is thought to be enough to put people off having a go at
> listening!
> A lot of the Motorola handsets had difficulty with the encryption.
>
>
Sorry, the air interface IS encrypted, just as mobile phones are, but
from BTS to control is not.

2045. Re: Airwave - Cutting Through the Propoganda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-24 20:40:34 UTC
From: String <String@BTInternet.com>
Message-ID: <426c041b$0$83057$ed2619ec@ptn-nntp-reader01.plus.net>
Source record: 13707
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

> Sorry, the air interface IS encrypted, just as mobile phones are, but
> from BTS to control is not.

Which class air interface encryption are they using then? No key,
static or dynamic ?

2046. 700 mhz frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-24 21:37:34 UTC
From: jamoran <john@analon.com>
Message-ID: <E8GdndSfC_kQjPHfRVn-tA@sedona.net>
Source record: 13708
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

ther is talk about some organizations moving to frequencies in the 700mhz area exactly where.. 790-800 ?? right now anything from 470-800 is UHF TV... ??? UHF was channels 14-83,,, now is 14 to 69 because ch 70-83 was taken for the 800mhz land mobile and telephone bands

JOHN

2047. Re: Frequency divisible by step size...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-24 21:55:02 UTC
From: mikeFNB <mikefnb@moc.dlrowltn>
Message-ID: <WAUae.11422$u5.7415@newsfe2-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 13709

25kHz 22.5kHz. 6.25kHz 5kHz 1kHz.

PMR is mostly 12.5 (step) size channel.

mike

<michael.lipin@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1114320325.195053.253910@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> What is meant by this? What should be the outcome?
>
> Thanks
>

2048. Re: Airwave - Cutting Through the Propoganda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-24 22:07:21 UTC
From: PromaBoss <Promaboss1@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <tMUae.2796$395.69@newsfe5-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 13710
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.community.policing,uk.politics.crime,rec.radio.scanner

for peter who had a go at me and then changed the adress so i could not reply to him

bottom posting twat fuck off and mind your own business

just having a go at me,who for once rarely did not snip,i usually do but iso what if sometimes one does not,looking at this thread i keep seeing most who also have not snipped either

why is it such sad twats like you exist,we talk about something seriious and lame brains like you have to get in

top posting means i dont have to scrawl down to the bottom to read an answer which icant beleive so many still do

regards,Paul

2049. Re: Airwave - Cutting Through the Propoganda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-24 22:20:04 UTC
From: PromaBoss <Promaboss1@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <oYUae.2801$395.1907@newsfe5-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 13711
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.community.policing,uk.politics.crime,rec.radio.scanner

yes your not only one carrying 2 sets BTP in london LUL division also carry one vhf set and an AIRWAVE as yet no AIRWAVE is working underground

also i have heard one recent incindent of many no doubt

4 officers went into a public toilet down some stairs on a station,a railway workers analgoue radio worked ok,the AIRWAVE lost it,thats no good if an offcier gets called to a location qand cant call for urgent assistance.

as for Metro lima TOCU units yes the system uses all the old traffic talkgroups and also some other talkgrpoups in METRADIO trunking network plus as you say AIRWAVE.

but very few in Met use AIRWAVE as yet and its been delayed time and time again and now delayed yet again,specialist squads are better off using there Cougarnet military style secure radios[which can go analogue if needed] they have proved relaible for many years now.

Firearms teams across UK certainly dont like using AIRWAVE on actual operations or siege situations and are preferring to use there old analogue channels,where there single freq back to back is more reliable.

ok speaking as a scanner user ok will be sad to lose my Met police scanning,currently trunk tracking scanners do the job real well,but i also aknowledge some security in both terms of data protection act and doing persons checks and so on,which i have always felt wong to be done on an open channel,and also officer safety there has to be a secure system in use but switchable would be better,go secure if needed,this is perfectly possible

go to an amercian airbase,one minute you hear on there base coms analgouge speeach,then one says "go green" and press of a button coversation is now secure.

thats whats needed,some stuff for public domain as the original post said,some of us scannerists in UK but more so in USA have heleped police in past,full digital then thats all gone.

regards,Paul

2050. Re: Airwave - Cutting Through the Propoganda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-24 22:22:22 UTC
From: PromaBoss <Promaboss1@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <y_Uae.2802$395.957@newsfe5-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 13712
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.community.policing,uk.politics.crime,rec.radio.scanner

encyprtion also proved a problem for the customs on there digital system intorduced couple of years back,yes happy with it digitsied but encrytpion led to more problems

regards,Paul

2051. Re: 700 mhz frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-24 23:48:51 UTC
From: Don Root <don.root@comcast.not>
Message-ID: <b1co61dvfhsi45c4ftvggdj63qd2j6aig2@4ax.com>
Source record: 13713
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

It has been alleged that jamoran <john@analon.com> woke up and said:

>ther is talk about some organizations moving to frequencies in the
>700mhz area exactly where.. 790-800 ?? right now anything from 470-800
>is UHF TV... ??? UHF was channels 14-83,,, now is 14 to 69 because ch
>70-83 was taken for the 800mhz land mobile and telephone bands

Start here: http://wireless.fcc.gov/publicsafety/700MHz/

Don

2052. Re: What's the best simple scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-24 23:58:11 UTC
From: Guy <nospam@nospam.nospam>
Message-ID: <yBWae.17677$L64.8667@okepread07>
Source record: 13716
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Bruce W.1 wrote:

> What's a good simple scanner for VHF and UHF?
>
> Let me clarify that. I used to have a Radio Shack Pro 2006 scanner.
> Whenever I got around to using it I couldn't figure it out and had to
> read the manual again.
>
> I'd like to be able to turn it on and just enter a frequency, period. A
> tuning knob for the VFO would be nice too, so I could easily search
> around. This feature is more common on a communications receiver, which
> is maybe what I need. But I don't see any reason why a scanner couldn't
> have a knob too.
>
> It doesn't need to have a gazillion memories, ten would be fine. And it
> would be nice if the memories could be labelled (alphabetic). It should
> not have an internal lithium battery (for the memory) that dies every
> five years, unless it's easily replaced (not soldered on to the circuit
> board).
>
> And lastly, it doesn't need to be a new current model. It could be
> twenty years old, so long as it has a good solid circuits.
>
> Does anyone have recommendations?
>
> Thanks for your help.

"Simple" is the key word here. Depends on what you mean when you say you want a "simple" scanner. When you say "simple" and it can be twenty years old, do you mean cheap? If so, don't bother reading anymore.

How about an Icom IC-R8500? It'll do everything you're asking for. That's what I use. Looking for anything else?

It has a direct entry key pad--that enables you to directly enter a frequency. I use this all the time. It also has a tuning knob. So once you enter that frequency directly, you can tune around. It's also very easy to change how fast you want to tune around. Steps are from 10 Hz to 1 Mhz.

Memory? Memory is cheap these days. It has 1000. 20 banks of 40 memory channels each. Plus 20 scan edges and a priority channel. I use three banks. Each bank has a set of frequencies in it grouped the way I want

them. Sure, it has a lot of memory... doesn't mean you have to use them all. By default, it looks like it only has 40 memory channels.

Each memory channel can be labeled with 8 alphanumeric characters. Each bank can be labeled with 8 alphanumeric characters. I don't use this but I can see where it would come in handy sometimes. I like to see the frequency since I don't monitor too many.

Solid circuits? The sensitivity numbers aren't as good as some of the top-of-the-line receivers I've looked at, but they're better than most Radio Shack numbers I've seen. Plus, the numbers by themselves are not complete enough to really compare with other receivers. Personally, I think it's the best scanner on the planet. I can't think of anything I can't make it do. I'd like to hear if anybody else has found an application that this scanner cannot fulfill.

Battery? It does not have one. It uses EEPROM. No need for a battery.

Oh, it does HF also. Lots of neat stuff to listen to on HF. 100 Khz to 832.99999 Mhz, 849.00001 Mhz - 868.99999 Mhz, and 894.00001 Mhz - 1999.99999 Mhz. (Breaks in coverage are Cell Phone frequencies.)

Rumor is, move some surface mount diodes around and it could be 100 Khz - 1999.99999 Mhz. But I have a feeling that it's just a rumor.

Did I mention I personally think this is the best scanner on the planet? But that's just me. One key point people tend to forget is how important your antenna is. It's easy to show that an "el-cheapo" scanner can out-perform a more refined, more expensive scanner depending on where you put the bent coat hanger and the ground-plane or yagi up 100 feet.

Guy

2053. PRO-2096 vs BC796D, for Mil-Air?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-25 00:00:36 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <OPydnSKbdJ9Qr_HfRVn-rw@comcast.com>
Source record: 13714

How does the Radio Shack (GRE) PRO-2096, when modified via software to open up military air, compare to the Uniden BC796D, for monitoring Mil-Air?

I have read that Mil-Air was left out, on the PRO-2096, due to sensitivity issues, and that GRE did not want to include it in the specs, because it's poor performance in this band, might hurt sales. But I have to wonder if the "sensitivity" issues were more related to our times, and that is may have been suggested to leave that coverage out for political reasons? It would certainly be easier to program it's exclusion, vs. redesigning the radio, and actually blocking it. Have those of you that restored it, found that it performs at an acceptable level? Or would the BC796D be a better choice?

Living in Michigan, having a scanner with "digital" capability has become a necessity. Living very close to Selfridge Air Base, makes having a scanner with Mil-Air capability, an extra bonus. I've owned the BC796D before, and was very satisfied with it, and regret selling it. I've read about the PRO-2096, and talked to one owner, who says it's far superior to the Uniden. So I'm sitting on the fence, trying to decide.

Comments appreciated!

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

2054. Re: What's the best simple scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-25 00:03:15 UTC
From: DougSlug <dougslug@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7tWae.17105$ZQ1.9517@fe11.lga>
Source record: 13715
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

How about a Yaesu VR-500? It has a frequency dial, alpha tags (text labels), direct frequency entry and (battery-less) non-volatile memory per your requirements. It does have a gazillion memories, but that generally comes along with the other features you desire.

The Radio Shack PRO-95 may be worth considering, although it doesn't have the frequency dial.

- Doug

"Bruce W.1" <sorry@noDirectEmail.com> wrote in message news:LXSae.167$Jp5.18@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com...
> What's a good simple scanner for VHF and UHF?
>
> Let me clarify that. I used to have a Radio Shack Pro 2006 scanner.
> Whenever I got around to using it I couldn't figure it out and had to read
> the manual again.
>
> I'd like to be able to turn it on and just enter a frequency, period. A
> tuning knob for the VFO would be nice too, so I could easily search
> around. This feature is more common on a communications receiver, which
> is maybe what I need. But I don't see any reason why a scanner couldn't
> have a knob too.
>
> It doesn't need to have a gazillion memories, ten would be fine. And it
> would be nice if the memories could be labelled (alphabetic). It should
> not have an internal lithium battery (for the memory) that dies every five
> years, unless it's easily replaced (not soldered on to the circuit board).
>
> And lastly, it doesn't need to be a new current model. It could be twenty
> years old, so long as it has a good solid circuits.
>
> Does anyone have recommendations?
>
> Thanks for your help.

2055. Re: No idea what I am talking about!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-25 01:35:25 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@Webdingers.com>
Message-ID: <35io61911k9d1lbn9oel26s3nf8b4htpg0@4ax.com>
Source record: 13717

On 21 Apr 2005 22:36:18 GMT, csturnbull@dsl.pipex.com (Clive Turnbull) said in rec.radio.scanner:

>The other solution is for us
>to call it a day as we are in our sixties and probably too old for this
>sort of stuff!!

Nonsense! I'm in my sixties and I just bought new equipment in the last 6 months. If your ears (or even one ear, as in my case) still work you're not too old to listen.

2056. FS: Pro-93

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-25 02:47:41 UTC
From: Austin_RR <fendelhessi@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <hTYae.22891$h6.3590@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 13718
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Pro-93 For Sale...

- Like new condition, works perfectly.
- Original box.
- Manual.
- Original rubber duck antenna.
- Both black and yellow battery holders

- $85 shipped to your door in the USA.

Contact:
Jim
fendelhessi@yahoo.com

2057. Re: No idea what I am talking about!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-25 02:56:36 UTC
From: Bo Williams <williams@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <116on35njeve620@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 13719

Al Klein wrote:
> On 21 Apr 2005 22:36:18 GMT, csturnbull@dsl.pipex.com (Clive Turnbull)
> said in rec.radio.scanner:
>
>
>>The other solution is for us
>>to call it a day as we are in our sixties and probably too old for this
>>sort of stuff!!
>
>
> Nonsense! I'm in my sixties and I just bought new equipment in the
> last 6 months. If your ears (or even one ear, as in my case) still
> work you're not too old to listen.

...and I'm amused for a different reason. I went to see a good friend
of mine Wednesday night last week, and when we were making the plans I
mentioned hearing something on the scanner. He said "SCANNER! What are
you, 60 years old?" :)
--
Bo Williams, 34 and having a blast
williams@hiwaay.net
http://hiwaay.net/~williams/

2058. Re: Airwave - Cutting Through the Propoganda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-25 04:41:55 UTC
From: "Ralph A. Schmid, DK5RAS" <nospam@radio-link.net>
Message-ID: <i7to61pbtlslvu2jg88f8nb7macunn00jv@4ax.com>
Source record: 13720
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.community.policing,uk.politics.crime,rec.radio.scanner

"harrogate2" <harrogate2@ntlworld.com> wrote:

>Sorry, the air interface IS encrypted, just as mobile phones are, but
>from BTS to control is not.

Encryption is a feature that has to be enabled in Tetra networks, it
is not active from default, and it requires a key management.

regards - Ralph

--

Want to get in touch? http://www.radio-link.net/whereisralph.txt

2059. Re: Airwave - Cutting Through the Propoganda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-25 05:59:12 UTC
From: Paul Robson <autismuk@autismuk.muralichucks.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.04.25.05.59.11.24972@autismuk.muralichucks.freeserve.co.uk>
Source record: 13721
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.community.policing,uk.politics.crime,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 24 Apr 2005 19:07:56 +0000, chas wrote:

> As another police airwave user I have to say it is an utterly shite system.
>
> Officer safety IS being compromised.
>
> Airwave drops out completely on a regular basis in the control rooms, the
> 'hang time' to transmit is as long as EIGHT seconds sometimes - utterly
> useless during a pursuit or something. The BUSY message is almost
> permantently on and it is just NOT designed for busy areas on a Fri/Sat
> night with lots of officers using it.
>
> Do they expect us to f**ing run down the street texting on the damn thing to
> get updates?

I'm not being rude, but I find difficult to believe that people are seriously considering using texting as a communications system. It's all right if you want to send HOW U DUDE but it's not really useful beyond that.

IMO in the mobile world it's more of an expensive gimmick than anything else.

Are you Cops just being given cheap modified mobile phones ?

2060. Re: Airwave - Cutting Through the Propoganda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-25 07:07:08 UTC
From: "Ralph A. Schmid, DK5RAS" <nospam@radio-link.net>
Message-ID: <mn5p61d8ko2h1baef1akv6v0lqi19ol7m0@4ax.com>
Source record: 13722
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.community.policing,uk.politics.crime,rec.radio.scanner

Paul Robson <autismuk@autismuk.muralichucks.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:

>Are you Cops just being given cheap modified mobile phones ?

Sounds like the lower end Motorola Tetra handhelds, really more a
phone than a real, tough radio :(

regards - Ralph

--

Want to get in touch? http://www.radio-link.net/whereisralph.txt

2061. Re: Airwave - Cutting Through the Propoganda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-25 13:33:12 UTC
From: Concerned Officer <concerned.officer@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1114435992.814922.126200@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13723
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.community.policing,uk.politics.crime,rec.radio.scanner

Brian wrote:
<snip>
> Has your control room also mentioned that the radios have GPS built
in, so
> they can see EXACTLY where each patrol is on a map on the PC? That's
why
> pushing the emergency button shows them which patrol is calling and
where
> they are! So each PC is being watched.

Indeed, the new Nokia GPS-enabled terminals
(http://www.nokia.com/nokia/0,,62313,00.html) are slowly replacing our
'old' 880's but they have yet to fix in the system that will show us on
the map. Assuming the emergency button works, it will merely display
the co-ordinates on the screen and the controller will then need to
transpose those details onto the mapping system to bring up a
location... yet more room for errors to creep in. But as I say, that's
assuming the button works at all.

Adam.

2062. Re: Airwave - Cutting Through the Propoganda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-25 13:35:09 UTC
From: Concerned Officer <concerned.officer@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1114436109.432430.64520@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13724
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.community.policing,uk.politics.crime,rec.radio.scanner

Ken Ward wrote:
> "Concerned Officer" <concerned.officer@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1114335827.964279.87520@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> What a waste of a "Gmail" account!
>
> KW

Sorry! I do have plenty more to give out if you want one. ;-) Just email concerned.officer@gmail.com and I will furnish you with one. Obviously I wish to keep my identity somewhat hard to identify, just in case.

Adam.

2063. Re: Airwave - Cutting Through the Propoganda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-25 13:41:23 UTC
From: Concerned Officer <concerned.officer@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1114436483.858252.158840@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13725
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.community.policing,uk.politics.crime,rec.radio.scanner

chas wrote:
> As another police airwave user I have to say it is an utterly shite
system.
>
> Officer safety IS being compromised.
>
> Airwave drops out completely on a regular basis in the control rooms,
the
> 'hang time' to transmit is as long as EIGHT seconds sometimes -
utterly
> useless during a pursuit or something. The BUSY message is almost
> permantently on and it is just NOT designed for busy areas on a
Fri/Sat
> night with lots of officers using it.

Chas thanks for bringing that up, I knew I had forgotten something. The hang time for us can, as you say, creep up towards the 10 second area on busy nights. This is not simply waiting for someone else to get off the air, but purely the time it takes for the system to stop faffing around and give you permission to speak. Of course, whilst waiting for this 'hang' to clear, if comms speak you start the whole damn process all over again. I have actually given up trying to pass a relatively urgent update before now and typed it out on the MDT instead.

> Do they expect us to f**ing run down the street texting on the damn
thing to
> get updates?

I can't get my head around the texting process sitting down let alone running after someone and trying to do it! The process is just too complicated... and I'm no luddite.. I easily get through 300 text messages a month on my private mobile.

> The sooner the Federation and Unison or whoever the lazy arse reps
are, get
> together and thrash it out with the Chief Constables - the sooner
officers
> can start seeing improvements and have confidence in the system.

Call me a cynic but I cannot see the Federation or Unison or whoever actually making any difference. Forces have committed so much time and money on the project that it will be an admission that they cocked up to back down now. It's a similar story with SAP.. if your force uses that poor excuse for a system for time management then you know what I mean...

Adam.

2064. Re: Airwave - Cutting Through the Propoganda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-25 13:42:15 UTC
From: Concerned Officer <concerned.officer@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1114436534.998457.317690@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13726
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.community.policing,uk.politics.crime,rec.radio.scanner

Paul Robson wrote:
> On Sun, 24 Apr 2005 19:07:56 +0000, chas wrote:
>
<snip>
> Are you Cops just being given cheap modified mobile phones ?

See for yourself: http://www.nokia.com/nokia/0,,62313,00.html

Adam.

2065. bearcat 800xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-25 18:45:23 UTC
From: Daniel Rzonca <danrzonca@cox.net>
Message-ID: <VWabe.2585$pk5.2575@fed1read02>
Source record: 13727

Good morning everyone

I don't know if anyone can help me on this. Does the old bearcat 800xlt
receive clear cellular and cordless telephone calls? Just. Curious

Daniel

2066. Re: No idea what I am talking about!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-25 18:58:58 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@Webdingers.com>
Message-ID: <uefq615pa0lhpn1fet3pkq0m6i9u20stfd@4ax.com>
Source record: 13728

On Sun, 24 Apr 2005 21:56:36 -0500, Bo Williams <williams@hiwaay.net>
said in rec.radio.scanner:

>...and I'm amused for a different reason. I went to see a good friend
>of mine Wednesday night last week, and when we were making the plans I
>mentioned hearing something on the scanner. He said "SCANNER! What are
>you, 60 years old?" :)

So what *is* a 34 year old supposed to call it?

2067. Re: Airwave - Cutting Through the Propoganda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-25 19:13:37 UTC
From: chas <headinabucket@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Bjbbe.5374$Y46.4757@newsfe1-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 13729
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.community.policing,uk.politics.crime,rec.radio.scanner

SAP?

Don't get me started on f***** SAP.

Airwave is Son of SAP!

And just as shite! And Airwave is integrated with our SAP. Meanwhile - despite having SAP for nearly THREE years - we are still keeping paper records and only people retiring or resigning have their quota pots made correct.

But back to Airwave - or Shitewave as it is known (amongst other more affectionate terms) our handsets do not have the GPS built into them. Just as well really. From what I understand it makes the sets even bigger and more cumbersome.

Just what you need when trying to press the red button in the midst of a chavvy brawl.

chas

2068. Re: Pro-95 for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-25 20:49:14 UTC
From: I hate spam <ihate@spamalot.com>
Message-ID: <slrnd6qlub.evb.ihate@shuttle.mulveyfamily.com>
Source record: 13730

On Wed, 20 Apr 2005 14:14:12 -0700, keith <mke65@yahoo.com> wrote:
> I have the scanner, manuals, box, computer control cable all in
> excellent condition for 120 delivery to US included.

As opposed to the $120 you'd pay for a brand new one?

2069. Re: No idea what I am talking about!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-25 22:38:27 UTC
From: Bo Williams <williams@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <116qsb45oqqqt7f@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 13731

Al Klein wrote:
> On Sun, 24 Apr 2005 21:56:36 -0500, Bo Williams <williams@hiwaay.net>
> said in rec.radio.scanner:
>
>
>>...and I'm amused for a different reason. I went to see a good friend
>>of mine Wednesday night last week, and when we were making the plans I
>>mentioned hearing something on the scanner. He said "SCANNER! What are
>>you, 60 years old?" :)
>
>
> So what *is* a 34 year old supposed to call it?

I think my friend was poking gentle fun at what he perceived as a
retiree's pastime, when you're light-heartedly suggesting that you're
too old for at a young retiree's age.

I just call it a hell of a lot of fun, myself. :)
--
Bo Williams - williams@hiwaay.net
http://hiwaay.net/~williams/

2070. Re: What's the best simple scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-26 00:09:33 UTC
From: Patrick <jimmyk@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <1Ffbe.12885$sp3.2630@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 13732
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I just bought a Bearcat 210XL 18 channel for $10 and
it works great, easy to program and looks new, it all
depends on what you want to list to, do you need
8010 Mhz? How many channels do you need?

By the way the the pro 2006 is still one of the finest
analog scanners made and often sells for more than
it did retail, I own one and love it.

Good luck,

Patrick
W4PHM, WPWK542

--
Patrick H. Mason M.S. OHST, EMT-I
"Bruce W.1" <sorry@noDirectEmail.com> wrote in message
news:LXSae.167$Jp5.18@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com...
> What's a good simple scanner for VHF and UHF?
>
> Let me clarify that. I used to have a Radio Shack Pro 2006 scanner.
> Whenever I got around to using it I couldn't figure it out and had to
> read the manual again.
>
> I'd like to be able to turn it on and just enter a frequency, period. A
> tuning knob for the VFO would be nice too, so I could easily search
> around. This feature is more common on a communications receiver, which
> is maybe what I need. But I don't see any reason why a scanner couldn't
> have a knob too.
>
> It doesn't need to have a gazillion memories, ten would be fine. And it
> would be nice if the memories could be labelled (alphabetic). It should
> not have an internal lithium battery (for the memory) that dies every
> five years, unless it's easily replaced (not soldered on to the circuit
> board).
>
> And lastly, it doesn't need to be a new current model. It could be
> twenty years old, so long as it has a good solid circuits.
>
> Does anyone have recommendations?
>
> Thanks for your help.

2071. Nu-B Post: Problem scanning Portland, OR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-26 03:56:56 UTC
From: Shonc182 <shawn.carey@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <IMmdnTOJdOuRIfDfRVn-oQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 13733

I am a novice at scanning to say the least, but I have a BC785D that I listen to police and fire in the Portland, OR metro area. Just recently I am unable to receive City of Portland police or fire. It is a Motorola type II trunked system that I have always listened to.

I can only assume that I have changed some setting in the scanner that does not allow me to scan the ID lists. I can still search and receive the talk groups, but it does not appear to be scanning my ID lists. I use ARC250 software that I program the scanner with, so I went back and reloaded the frequencies and lists, but this did not work. I have other trunked systems in other banks that still receive fine. I have compared the settings for the banks that don't work to the banks that do - they appear to be the same.

Is there something basic I can check? or is it possible that this could be something on the radio system's end? Any help would be greatly appreciated!

2072. New PRO-2051 on sale @ $149.99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-26 11:36:28 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <r5idnRlU_pYguvPfRVn-qA@comcast.com>
Source record: 13734

Radio Shack has put their new PRO-2051 (Cat #20-426) Triple-Trunking base/mobile scanner on sale, at $149.99. That's $50.00 off the MSRP. Like the new PRO-97 (Cat #20-527) hand-held, although they do not have "Digital" capability, it is an interesting scanner, with some unique features. It should be noted however, the PRO-2051 does not cover Mil-Air, whereas the PRO-97 hand-held does! The PRO-2051 is a Uniden creation, and the PRO-97 is a GRE manufactured scanner.

I had a PRO-2051 for a few days, and found it to be a very nice scanner. However, I returned it, for the PRO-2096 with digital capability, but at over three times the price!

Bill Crocker

2073. Re: What's the best simple scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-26 12:42:56 UTC
From: "Bruce W.1" <sorry@noDirectEmail.com>
Message-ID: <kHqbe.1176$Ne7.295@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 13735
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Guy wrote:
> "Simple" is the key word here. Depends on what you mean when you
say you
> want a "simple" scanner. When you say "simple" and it can be twenty years
> old, do you mean cheap? If so, don't bother reading anymore.
>
> How about an Icom IC-R8500? It'll do everything you're asking for. That's
> what I use. Looking for anything else?
>
> It has a direct entry key pad--that enables you to directly enter a
> frequency. I use this all the time. It also has a tuning knob. So once
> you enter that frequency directly, you can tune around. It's also very
> easy to change how fast you want to tune around. Steps are from 10 Hz to 1
> Mhz.
>
> Memory? Memory is cheap these days. It has 1000. 20 banks of 40 memory
> channels each. Plus 20 scan edges and a priority channel. I use three
> banks. Each bank has a set of frequencies in it grouped the way I want
> them. Sure, it has a lot of memory... doesn't mean you have to use them
> all. By default, it looks like it only has 40 memory channels.
>
> Each memory channel can be labeled with 8 alphanumeric characters. Each
> bank can be labeled with 8 alphanumeric characters. I don't use this but I
> can see where it would come in handy sometimes. I like to see the
> frequency since I don't monitor too many.
>
> Solid circuits? The sensitivity numbers aren't as good as some of the
> top-of-the-line receivers I've looked at, but they're better than most
> Radio Shack numbers I've seen. Plus, the numbers by themselves are not
> complete enough to really compare with other receivers. Personally, I
> think it's the best scanner on the planet. I can't think of anything I
> can't make it do. I'd like to hear if anybody else has found an
> application that this scanner cannot fulfill.
>
> Battery? It does not have one. It uses EEPROM. No need for a battery.
>
> Oh, it does HF also. Lots of neat stuff to listen to on HF. 100 Khz to
> 832.99999 Mhz, 849.00001 Mhz - 868.99999 Mhz, and 894.00001 Mhz -
> 1999.99999 Mhz. (Breaks in coverage are Cell Phone frequencies.)
>
> Rumor is, move some surface mount diodes around and it could be 100 Khz -
> 1999.99999 Mhz. But I have a feeling that it's just a rumor.
>

> Did I mention I personally think this is the best scanner on the planet?
> But that's just me. One key point people tend to forget is how important
> your antenna is. It's easy to show that an "el-cheapo" scanner can
> out-perform a more refined, more expensive scanner depending on where you
> put the bent coat hanger and the ground-plane or yagi up 100 feet.
>
> Guy
>
>
>
==========

I guess that cheap is also one of my objectives.

My Yaesu VX-2R HT does everything I need. But I want something for the desktop. It will not be used mobile.

The closest thing I've found so far is the Uniden BC898T, and its predecessor. However I've never had a chance to play with this radio.

2074. Re: FS pro-96 $340.00 or it goes to Ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-26 12:48:45 UTC
From: safetyobc <safetyobc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aecc2518777a329a88bd1b5673080f42@localhost.talkaboutelectronicequipment.com>
Source record: 13736

Is the scanner sold yet?

2075. Schematic/Service Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-26 17:21:46 UTC
From: Bill Parker <dbparker@tctc.com>
Message-ID: <Xns964476AC0A478dbparkertctccom@216.170.153.136>
Source record: 13737

Does anyone know where I can get the service manual and/or schematic for the RS Pro 2018.

2076. Re: 700 mhz frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-26 23:19:19 UTC
From: sim <sim210@spymac.com>
Message-ID: <X%zbe.14555$716.5867@tornado.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 13738
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

go to this address it will have what you need

http://www.donstarr.org/

"jamoran" <john@analon.com> wrote in message
news:E8GdndSfC_kQjPHfRVn-tA@sedona.net...
> ther is talk about some organizations moving to frequencies in the 700mhz
> area exactly where.. 790-800 ?? right now anything from 470-800 is UHF
> TV... ??? UHF was channels 14-83,,, now is 14 to 69 because ch 70-83 was
> taken for the 800mhz land mobile and telephone bands
>
> JOHN

2077. Re: Airwave - Cutting Through the Propoganda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-26 23:38:28 UTC
From: Steve Terry <g4wwk@despammed.com>
Message-ID: <UhAbe.9405$vU4.8952@newsfe6-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 13739
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.community.policing,uk.politics.crime,rec.radio.scanner

"Paul Robson" <autismuk@autismuk.muralichucks.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.04.24.11.29.49.678@autismuk.muralichucks.freeserve.co.uk...
> On Sun, 24 Apr 2005 02:43:47 -0700, Concerned Officer wrote:
>
> > Let me be quite clear about a few things first of all. It is the
> > government's fault that police forces have had to switch to Airwave.
<snip>
> Be fair ! Why should it be different from any other government project.
> You didn't really think it would work did you ???
>
What like the Armys new BOWMAN system?
Acronym for (Better Off With a Nokia And a Map) ;-)

Steve Terry

2078. Re: Airwave - Cutting Through the Propoganda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-26 23:38:30 UTC
From: Steve Terry <g4wwk@despammed.com>
Message-ID: <WhAbe.9406$vU4.4258@newsfe6-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 13740
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.community.policing,uk.politics.crime,rec.radio.scanner

"Concerned Officer" <concerned.officer@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1114344510.683528.178420@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>Paul Robson wrote:
>> On Sun, 24 Apr 2005 02:43:47 -0700, Concerned Officer wrote:
>>
>> > Let me be quite clear about a few things first of all. It is the
>> > government's fault that police forces have had to switch to
>> >Airwave.
><snip>
>> You didn't really think it would work did you ???
>
>Considering they spent £2.3bn (and counting!) on the system, I would
>have hoped it to work slightly better than the quality I can get out of
>two tin cans and a piece of string. Sad, really.
>Cheers,
>PC A.N. Other.
>
and all because the Gov didn't want to share a network.

For at least the last 5 years, Scandinavian countries use GSM Pro,
which has secure Push To Talk closed network groups on the existing
900MHz GSM phone networks, using cheap rugged GSM Pro PTT
phones like the Ericsson R250, which now sell for under 100 quid.

Used with Sims that have high network priority allocated to them,
so if a cell is full, it kicks off lower priority users to force a connection.

and as we all know UK 900MHz GSM coverage is extensive and reliable.

The cost of the whole upgrade to GSM Pro instead of Tetra airwave
could have been measured in thousands rather than billions of pounds.
So of course the Blairites had to reinvent the wheel and make it of gold,
why not, they aren't paying for it

Steve Terry

2079. bearcat 800xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-26 23:50:59 UTC
From: Daniel Rzonca <danrzonca@cox.net>
Message-ID: <GtAbe.4034$pk5.1189@fed1read02>
Source record: 13741

Good afternoon everyone

I'm looking at the bearcat 800. Some people say it works great listening to c-phones other people say that frequency is pretty well scrambled. Can someone please elaborate on this?
Thank you very much

2080. Re: Airwave - Cutting Through the Propoganda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-26 23:55:49 UTC
From: Steve Terry <g4wwk@despammed.com>
Message-ID: <9yAbe.9434$vU4.3571@newsfe6-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 13742
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.community.policing,uk.politics.crime,rec.radio.scanner

"Paul Robson" <autismuk@autismuk.muralichucks.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.04.24.18.19.21.603550@autismuk.muralichucks.freeserve.co.uk...
> On Sun, 24 Apr 2005 05:08:30 -0700, Concerned Officer wrote:
<snip>
> > Considering they spent £2.3bn (and counting!) on the system, I would
> > have hoped it to work slightly better than the quality I can get out of
> > two tin cans and a piece of string. Sad, really.
>
> Well, why *doesn't* it work ? Why can't the police hang on to the mobile
> networks ? I don't know, no-one does.
>
It was a political decision after Tony was deeply embarrassed by his
police security talking about their location when he was out with Dubbya,
completely compromising Dubbyas accompanying security.
(the US secret service have used encoded radios since the 1960s)

When Tony found out all and sundry were listening in to his security,
he ordered a new secure system be implemented ASAP, cost no object.

O2 rubbed their hands with glee, and muttered the infamous word "Tetra"

Steve Terry

2081. Re: bearcat 800xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-27 00:47:26 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com>
Message-ID: <yiBbe.15452$go4.3277@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 13743

If by c-phone, you mean CELL PHONES, 99.9% of all c-phones are DIGITAL. The 800 doesn't do digital. Some c-phone services are still analog and I have heard a few now and then. You only hear one side of the conversation. If you had a digital scanner that didn't have the forbidden frequencies blocked, you wouldn't hear anything anyway as the signals are encrypted. All in all, it's a pretty boring and MOSTLY illegal endeavor...

"Daniel Rzonca" <danrzonca@cox.net> wrote in message news:GtAbe.4034$pk5.1189@fed1read02...
> Good afternoon everyone
>
> I'm looking at the bearcat 800. Some people say it works great listening to
> c-phones other people say that frequency is pretty well scrambled. Can
> someone please elaborate on this?
> Thank you very much
>
>

2082. Patrick AFB Area Log, Tues 26 Apr 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-27 07:43:10 UTC
From: AllanStern@aol.com
Message-ID: <1e9.3ad8e37b.2fa09c8e@aol.com>
Source record: 13744

Patrick AFB Area Log, Tues 26 Apr 2005
======================================

BOLT 12: KC-135R, MacDill AFB 6AMW
12:30am: Inbound MacDill
[311.0]

SHARK 21: F-16C, Flight of 2, Homestead JARB AFRC 482FW 93FS
10:00am: Working Avon Park Bombing Range.
[292.2, 285.725, 139.8]

MAKOs: F-16C Flight, Homestead JARB AFRC 482FW 93FS
10:15am: Working with SHARK 21 flight.
[264.625]

DOS 671: UH-1H #73-21671, US State Dept Air Wing, Patrick AFB.
10:30am: Local training.
[133.75]

EXPO 87: KC-135E, Fairchild AFB WA-ANG 141ARW 116ARS
10:58am: Departed Homestead JARB 1430z; en route to Fairchild; ETA 2000z.
Rqsts notification to Fairchild DSN 370-7100.
[311.0]
Note: new DSN prefix for Fairchild; former prefix was 657.

AKULA 31: F-16C Flight, Homestead JARB AFRC 482FW 93FS
11:00am: Arrives at Avon Park Bombing Range.
[292.2]

VIDAR 08: S-3B, Flight of 2, Jacksonville NAS, VS-22 "Checkmates"
11:10am: Southbound.
[307.1, 281.5]

OAKGROVE: Tyndall AFB, NORAD
11:55am: Issues Unknown Rider report: "Off Ft Lauderdale, Heading 250, Speed
205 knots." Later: 26-18 North, 79-25 West.
[364.2]

SCAR 79: F-16CJ, Shaw AFB 20FW 79FS "Tigers"
12:05pm: Southbound.
[348.7, 319.0, 323.1]

BOLT 21: KC-135R, MacDill AFB 6AMW
12:45pm: Pattern work at Patrick; RTB to MacDill.
[133.75, 132.65, 311.0]
Photos: 064MVC-565 through -568.

GRITS 39: C-17A, Charleston AFB 437AW
12:47pm: Pattern work at Patrick; RTB Charleston.
[133.75, 132.65, 124.8]
Gorgeous steep climb on departure for RTB.

SEARCH 01: UH-1H, NASA-KSC
12:55pm: Lands Shuttle Landing Facility.
[128.55]

PAT 093: US Army Priority Air Transport.
2:03pm: En route NAS Key West.
[338.0]

MAKO 11: F-16C Flight of 4, Homestead JARB AFRC 482FW 93FS
2:18pm: Working at Avon Park Charlie Range.
[292.2, 285.725, 138.125]

RACER 93: F-16, Terre Haute IN-ANG 181FG 113FS
2:20pm: Northbound "Savannah-Columbia."
[285.65 Jax Ctr @ Savannah Hi-Alt]

SHARK 21: F-16C Flight of 4, Homestead JARB AFRC 482FW 93FS
2:29pm: Working at Avon Park, Placid MOA; MARSA with MAKOs.
[292.2, 139.8]

VIDAR 06: S-3B, Jacksonville NAS, VS-22 "Checkmates"
2:43pm: Southbound.
[273.55]

N147CS: P-3A #152722, AEW Dome, CBP
3:09pm: Area transition.
[119.825]

N21NG: LJ-35A #343, Northrop Grumman JSTARS Facility, Melbourne
4:40pm: Lands Melbourne IAP.
[132.65, 118.2]

BOLT 22: KC-135R, MacDill AFB 6AMW
9:30pm: Comms with MacDill's Lightning Ops: Winds and takeoff weather out of tolerance; also maintenance problem re radio.
[311.0]

TERRA 5: Northrop Grumman JSTARS Ground Station
11:53pm: Testing preparations
[308.85]

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL (28-11N 80-36W) monitoring
Patrick AFB (KCOF) NASA-KSC Shuttle Landing Fac (KX68)
Avon Park Bombing Range (KAGR) Cape Canaveral AFS (KXMR)
JSTARS E-8 Acft Integration Facility, Melbourne IAP (KMLB)
Worldwide Military HF Communications
Life Member: Missile, Space and Range Pioneers.
http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/index.html (My Freqs)
http://hometown.aol.com/scanaddict/index.html (My Equipment)

2083. Re: Airwave - Cutting Through the Propoganda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-27 08:08:31 UTC
From: tony sayer <tony@bancom.co.uk>
Message-ID: <76KdgVC$h0bCFwOh@bancom.co.uk>
Source record: 13745
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.community.policing,uk.politics.crime,rec.radio.scanner

In article <9yAbe.9434$vU4.3571@newsfe6-win.ntli.net>, Steve Terry
<g4wwk@despammed.com> writes
>
>"Paul Robson" <autismuk@autismuk.muralichucks.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
>news:pan.2005.04.24.18.19.21.603550@autismuk.muralichucks.freeserve.co.uk...
>> On Sun, 24 Apr 2005 05:08:30 -0700, Concerned Officer wrote:
><snip>
>> > Considering they spent ï¿½2.3bn (and counting!) on the system, I would
>> > have hoped it to work slightly better than the quality I can get out of
>> > two tin cans and a piece of string. Sad, really.
>>
>> Well, why *doesn't* it work ? Why can't the police hang on to the mobile
>> networks ? I don't know, no-one does.
>>
>It was a political decision after Tony was deeply embarrassed by his
>police security talking about their location when he was out with Dubbya,
>completely compromising Dubbyas accompanying security.
>(the US secret service have used encoded radios since the 1960s)
>
>When Tony found out all and sundry were listening in to his security,
>he ordered a new secure system be implemented ASAP, cost no object.
>
>O2 rubbed their hands with glee, and muttered the infamous word "Tetra"
>
>Steve Terry
>
>

Where an analogue trunked system would do about all thats needed and is
proven and costs less, but hey!, its got to be digital like that pox
known as DAB which sounds worse than FM;((....
--
Tony Sayer

2084. FS: *** Uniden Bearcat BC60XLT Scanner ***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-27 08:28:50 UTC
From: Pete <peter_neidhardt@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <udiu61l9lh51u05vqetb80ti9k0vbdqor0@4ax.com>
Source record: 13746

PRODUCT:

Virtually unused Uniden Bearcat Scanner for sale. I won this 2 years ago at a communications trade show and haven't had a big need for this since. Used only a few times. DOes provide good fun in listening to POLICE, EMS, FIRE as well MILITARY frequencies in most regions

*** a few pictures are attached ***

PRICE: $49 CDN

LOCATION: Toronto, Ontario

CONDITION:

It is in excellent shape, no nicks, virtually brand new!!! Includes the ac adapter, detachable antenna and the scanner unit itself.

PRODUCT FEATURE LIST

* 10 Band Coverage

* 30 Channels

* Built-in Scan Delay

* Full-Frequency LCD Display

* Programmable Chain Search

* One Touch Weather

* Track Tuning

* AC or DC Operation

* 3 Day Memory Backup

* Channel Lockout

* Keypad Lock Feature

* Low Battery Indicator

* Manual Channel Access

* Priority Function

You can store up to 30 different frequencies and can scan 10 different bands including 10 Meter Ham, Low Band, 6 Meter Ham, Military, 2 Meter Ham, High Band, Federal Gov, 70 cm Ham, UHF Band, and "T" Band. It

uses 4 AA batteries and can use rechargeable batteries if you desire.
It also has a belt clip on the back for easy carrying.

Frequency Range: 29.0ï¿½29.7 MHz 10 Meter Amateur Band

29.7-50 MHz VHF Low Band
50-54 MHz 6 Meter Amateur Band
137-144 MHz Military Land Mobile
144-148 MHz 2 Meter Amateur Band
148-174 MHz VHF High Band

Above bands in 5 kHz steps

406-420 MHz Federal Government
420-450 MHz 70 cm Amateur Band
450-470 MHz UHF Standard Band
470-512 MHz UHF "T" Band
Above bands in 12.5 kHz steps

COMPANY WEBSITE:

http://www.uniden.com/productpop/00_productpop.cfm?prd_code=BC60XLT-1

SHIPPING:

* Purchaser pays shipping, will make arrangments once purchased.
* Will ship to the US and within Canada
* Local Pickup available

CONTACT:

Peter

peter_neidhardt@hotmail.com (underscore seperates my 1st and last
name)

2085. Re: Airwave - Cutting Through the Propoganda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-27 11:28:07 UTC
From: "Ralph A. Schmid, DK5RAS" <nospam@radio-link.net>
Message-ID: <dptu615iubmg2ubg18p8ta7g9d907jvofe@4ax.com>
Source record: 13747
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.community.policing,uk.politics.crime,rec.radio.scanner

tony sayer <tony@bancom.co.uk> wrote:

>proven and costs less, but hey!, its got to be digital like that pox
>known as DAB which sounds worse than FM;((....

Then you or the broadcaster does something wrong - DAB ist just great
here in germany.

regards - Ralph

--

Want to get in touch? http://www.radio-link.net/whereisralph.txt

2086. Re: Airwave - Cutting Through the Propoganda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-27 11:45:27 UTC
From: Melv <melvyn.rattenburynojunk@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <rXKbe.11303$p06.8815@newsfe3-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 13748
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.community.policing,uk.politics.crime,rec.radio.scanner

Whenever I have been in town and an officer has been using an airwave radio he/she always appears to be struggling to hear what is being said. With the old analogue motorolas they always were belting out the audio so everyone could hear. Is this another fault of airwave? BTW Sepura radios are what they are using round here.
Melv
"Concerned Officer" <concerned.officer@gmail.com> wrote in message news:1114436483.858252.158840@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> chas wrote:
> > As another police airwave user I have to say it is an utterly shite
> system.
> >
> > Officer safety IS being compromised.
> >
> > Airwave drops out completely on a regular basis in the control rooms,
> the
> > 'hang time' to transmit is as long as EIGHT seconds sometimes -
> utterly
> > useless during a pursuit or something. The BUSY message is almost
> > permantently on and it is just NOT designed for busy areas on a
> Fri/Sat
> > night with lots of officers using it.
>
> Chas thanks for bringing that up, I knew I had forgotten something. The
> hang time for us can, as you say, creep up towards the 10 second area
> on busy nights. This is not simply waiting for someone else to get off
> the air, but purely the time it takes for the system to stop faffing
> around and give you permission to speak. Of course, whilst waiting for
> this 'hang' to clear, if comms speak you start the whole damn process
> all over again. I have actually given up trying to pass a relatively
> urgent update before now and typed it out on the MDT instead.
>
> > Do they expect us to f**ing run down the street texting on the damn
> thing to
> > get updates?
>
> I can't get my head around the texting process sitting down let alone
> running after someone and trying to do it! The process is just too
> complicated... and I'm no luddite.. I easily get through 300 text
> messages a month on my private mobile.
>
> > The sooner the Federation and Unison or whoever the lazy arse reps

> are, get
> > together and thrash it out with the Chief Constables - the sooner
> officers
> > can start seeing improvements and have confidence in the system.
>
> Call me a cynic but I cannot see the Federation or Unison or whoever
> actually making any difference. Forces have committed so much time and
> money on the project that it will be an admission that they cocked up
> to back down now. It's a similar story with SAP.. if your force uses
> that poor excuse for a system for time management then you know what I
> mean...
>
> Adam.
>

2087. Re: bearcat 800xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-27 15:08:19 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <K9CdnafqZdp5N_LfRVn-tA@comcast.com>
Source record: 13749

Hi Dan:

It's probably not a good idea to attach your name, and email address to a message related to illegal activity. That being said, save your money. The BC800-XLT is a very old scanner, with minimal features, and performance, by today's standards. It's solder joints are probably beginning to fail, as well as capacitors, with age. In addition to that, although it does cover the portion of the spectrum you mention, I don't believe the stepping rate is correct for the cellular band. So it would be hit, and miss, at best, skipping a lot of channels, and possibly not performing very well on the ones it does find. As the other post mentions, the majority of cell phones are no longer analog, and have moved to digital, and you would not be able to receive the digital ones at all. If you did accomplish your task, you'd find the novelty to wear off fast. If you want to listen to people bitch at each other, work in an office! LOL!!!

Bill Crocker

"Daniel Rzonca" <danrzonca@cox.net> wrote in message
news:VWabe.2585$pk5.2575@fed1read02...
> Good morning everyone
>
> I don't know if anyone can help me on this. Does the old bearcat 800xlt
> receive clear cellular and cordless telephone calls? Just. Curious
>
> Daniel
>
>

2088. Say good-by to Radio Shack Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-27 15:58:14 UTC
From: VE5JL <ve5jl@SpamHater.com>
Message-ID: <qEObe.1143857$8l.460663@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 13750
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,can.general,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Radio Shack Canada will be no more.

Check out the details here.

http://www.ve5jl.com/

Also, check out our Radio Forums here:

http://www.ve5jl.com/message/

2089. Re: Airwave - Cutting Through the Propoganda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-27 16:32:22 UTC
From: harrogate2 <harrogate2@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <q8Pbe.4284$395.18@newsfe5-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 13651
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.community.policing,uk.politics.crime,rec.radio.scanner

"Ralph A. Schmid, DK5RAS" <nospam@radio-link.net> wrote in message
news:dptu615iubmg2ubg18p8ta7g9d907jvofe@4ax.com...
> tony sayer <tony@bancom.co.uk> wrote:
>
> >proven and costs less, but hey!, its got to be digital like that
pox
> >known as DAB which sounds worse than FM;((....
>
> Then you or the broadcaster does something wrong - DAB ist just
great
> here in germany.
>
>
>
> regards - Ralph
>
> --
>
> Want to get in touch? http://www.radio-link.net/whereisralph.txt

There is a simple reason for it. I believe in Germany the data rate used is 256Kb/s? In the UK the regulatory body, Ofcom (or it may have been its predecessor the Radiocommunications Agency) issued an advice to broadcasters that the lowest acceptable data rate should be 128Kb/s, so what happened? Correct, they all moved to 128Kb/s (or less in mono.) Before this many were at 160Kb and several were at 192Kb. Now there is only one, BBC R3 (the classical station) that transmits at 192Kb (or 160Kb during busy periods) and Classic FM (the commercial classical station) that uses 160Kb at all times.

There are rumours around that Ofcom are to change their guidance to 'not lower than 112Kb/s' sometime soon. Heaven helps us if they do! In the UK it's all about quantity - quality doesn't even get a look in.

--
Woody

harrogate2 at ntlworld dot com

2090. Re: Airwave - Cutting Through the Propoganda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-27 17:58:49 UTC
From: "Ralph A. Schmid, DK5RAS" <nospam@radio-link.net>
Message-ID: <lkkv611gmskp9fr9a7cnd27b191in75t19@4ax.com>
Source record: 13652
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.community.policing,uk.politics.crime,rec.radio.scanner

"harrogate2" <harrogate2@ntlworld.com> wrote:

>There is a simple reason for it. I believe in Germany the data rate
>used is 256Kb/s? In the UK the regulatory body, Ofcom (or it may have
>been its predecessor the Radiocommunications Agency) issued an advice
>to broadcasters that the lowest acceptable data rate should be
>128Kb/s, so what happened? Correct, they all moved to 128Kb/s (or less

We have here 160 or more, just the traffic announcement program uses
64 kbit/sec, sounding like AM.

>There are rumours around that Ofcom are to change their guidance to
>'not lower than 112Kb/s' sometime soon. Heaven helps us if they do! In
>the UK it's all about quantity - quality doesn't even get a look in.

This is bad, really bad :(

regards - Ralph

--

Want to get in touch? http://www.radio-link.net/whereisralph.txt

2091. Re: Say good-by to Radio Shack Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-27 19:25:12 UTC
From: Intra <use@use.net>
Message-ID: <use-4AD70F.15251227042005@nr-tor01.bellnexxia.net>
Source record: 13653
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,can.general,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <qEObe.1143857$8l.460663@pd7tw1no>,
"VE5JL" <ve5jl@SpamHater.com> wrote:

> Radio Shack Canada will be no more.
>
> Check out the details here.
>
> http://www.ve5jl.com/
>
> Also, check out our Radio Forums here:
>
> http://www.ve5jl.com/message/

You almost gave me a heart-attack. My friend owns and operates
the local shack, and it's a flagship store. I thought you were
going to say the chain was in liquidation or something!

I will miss the old Radio Shack name fore sure, i have great
childhood associations with theoutlet. ah well, life goes on
and so do the radiowaves

2092. Re: Airwave - Cutting Through the Propoganda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-27 20:29:19 UTC
From: tony sayer <tony@bancom.co.uk>
Message-ID: <txioirAfY$bCFwdj@bancom.co.uk>
Source record: 13654
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.community.policing,uk.politics.crime,rec.radio.scanner

In article <lkkv611gmskp9fr9a7cnd27b191in75t19@4ax.com>, Ralph A.
Schmid, DK5RAS <nospam@radio-link.net> writes
>"harrogate2" <harrogate2@ntlworld.com> wrote:
>
>>There is a simple reason for it. I believe in Germany the data rate
>>used is 256Kb/s? In the UK the regulatory body, Ofcom (or it may have
>>been its predecessor the Radiocommunications Agency) issued an advice
>>to broadcasters that the lowest acceptable data rate should be
>>128Kb/s, so what happened? Correct, they all moved to 128Kb/s (or less
>
>We have here 160 or more, just the traffic announcement program uses
>64 kbit/sec, sounding like AM.
>
>>There are rumours around that Ofcom are to change their guidance to
>>'not lower than 112Kb/s' sometime soon. Heaven helps us if they do! In
>>the UK it's all about quantity - quality doesn't even get a look in.
>
>This is bad, really bad :(
>
>

It'll happen over there. Just give it time:!.

Plus the joys of tandem coding etc..

BTW is Bayern Klassik 4 still transmitting at 256 K on DAB it sounds
super on satellite:))....

--
Tony Sayer

2093. Re: Say good-by to Radio Shack Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-27 20:52:35 UTC
From: Patrick <jimmyk@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <nYSbe.217$V01.209@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 13655
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,can.general,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Intra" <use@use.net> wrote in message
news:use-4AD70F.15251227042005@nr-tor01.bellnexxia.net...
> In article <qEObe.1143857$8l.460663@pd7tw1no>,
> "VE5JL" <ve5jl@SpamHater.com> wrote:
>
> > Radio Shack Canada will be no more.
> >
> > Check out the details here.
> >
> > http://www.ve5jl.com/
> >
> > Also, check out our Radio Forums here:
> >
> > http://www.ve5jl.com/message/
>
> You almost gave me a heart-attack. My friend owns and operates
> the local shack, and it's a flagship store. I thought you were
> going to say the chain was in liquidation or something!
>
> I will miss the old Radio Shack name fore sure, i have great
> childhood associations with theoutlet. ah well, life goes on
> and so do the radiowaves

This is not just Canada. A friend of mine in middle management
for a region has told me that within a few years there will only be
one RS store per major metro area, maybe two for big areas with
lots of suburbs like Boston, Chicago, NYC, LA, but those will be
the exceptions. 1 store in Minneapolis, 1 in Richmond VA, 1
in Miami, etc.

They will be doing most of their stuff through the web and by phone.

Frankly who cares anymore, they carry so little, try to get a good resister
or diode and you are SOL.

Patrick
W4PHM

2094. Re: Say good-by to Radio Shack Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-27 21:34:41 UTC
From: "helmsman@mindspring.com" <helmsman@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <p61071hiud1t7pmu6jigrm7jof94ffkast@4ax.com>
Source record: 13656
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,can.general,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"VE5JL" <ve5jl@SpamHater.com> wrote:

>Radio Shack Canada will be no more.
>Check out the details here.
>http://www.ve5jl.com/
>
So what's the new name, mobil fone store?

2095. Re: Say good-by to Radio Shack Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-27 21:51:53 UTC
From: "news.vif.com" <root@NoReply-AntiSpam.com>
Message-ID: <1114638715.536497@www.vif.com>
Source record: 13657
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,can.general,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

helmsman@mindspring.com wrote:
> "VE5JL" <ve5jl@SpamHater.com> wrote:
>
>
>>Radio Shack Canada will be no more.
>>Check out the details here.
>>http://www.ve5jl.com/
>>
>
> So what's the new name, mobil fone store?
Idiot!

More than 900 Canadian electronics stores to become
THE SOURCE BY CIRCUIT CITY

Richmond, Va. and Barrie, ON, April 27, 2005 - Circuit City Stores, Inc. and InterTAN Canada Ltd. today announced that on or before June 30, 2005, all stores currently operated by InterTAN Canada Ltd. under license from RadioShack Corporation will be re-branded as THE SOURCE BY CIRCUIT CITY. The introduction of THE SOURCE BY CIRCUIT CITY stores begins today with the unveiling of the Toronto Eaton Centre location.

"Ninety percent of all Canadians live within a 10-minute drive to one of our locations. By June 30, THE SOURCE BY CIRCUIT CITY will have the same national coverage," said Brian Levy, president and chief executive officer of InterTAN Canada Ltd. "Customers will continue to see quality, national and international electronics brands as well as an emphasis on personal and portable devices."

THE SOURCE BY CIRCUIT CITY will be the local destination for personal electronics such as MP3 players, digital cameras, LCD TVs and a broad selection of personal and portable consumer electronics. THE SOURCE BY CIRCUIT CITY will also offer a diverse mix of communication, computer and household electronic products.

The Eaton Centre store in Toronto is the first location to be re-branded THE SOURCE BY CIRCUIT CITY and will be followed by other locations in the Greater Toronto Area and other major markets. The introduction of THE SOURCE BY CIRCUIT CITY will be supported by a major advertising campaign featuring television and radio commercials as well as print and outdoor advertising.
--

2096. Re: Say good-by to Radio Shack Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-27 21:56:43 UTC
From: "Stephen M.H. Lawrence" <smhlawrence@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <rvidnbtwFbkUl-3fRVn-qg@comcast.com>
Source record: 13658
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,can.general,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

<helmsman@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:p61071hiud1t7pmu6jigrm7jof94ffkast@4ax.com...
> "VE5JL" <ve5jl@SpamHater.com> wrote:
>
>>Radio Shack Canada will be no more.
>>Check out the details here.
>>http://www.ve5jl.com/
>>
> So what's the new name, mobil fone store?

Thanks to Canadia's truth-in-advertising laws,
it will be renamed Radio Shit.

"Radio Shit: You've got money, we've got pockets."

73,

Steve
--
Steve Lawrence
Burnsville, Minnesota

Every moment of a human life is an act of worship.

Coincidence is God's way of being anonymous.

2097. Re: Say good-by to Radio Shack Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-27 22:50:26 UTC
From: LAFAYETTE RADIO ELECTRONICS <LAFAYETTE2@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <uGUbe.1461$Hf6.647@fe11.lga>
Source record: 13659
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,can.general,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

they suck anyway!!
You need something? They have nothing!!
You have questions? We give you dumb looks..... DUH

VE5JL wrote:

>Radio Shack Canada will be no more.
>
>Check out the details here.
>
>http://www.ve5jl.com/
>
>Also, check out our Radio Forums here:
>
>http://www.ve5jl.com/message/
>
>
>
>
>

2098. Re: Say good-by to Radio Shack Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-27 23:56:40 UTC
From: "news.vif.com" <root@NoReply-AntiSpam.com>
Message-ID: <1114646202.252593@www.vif.com>
Source record: 13660
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,can.general,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
>
> Thanks to Canadia's truth-in-advertising laws,
> it will be renamed Radio Shit.
>
> "Radio Shit: You've got money, we've got pockets."
>
> 73,
>
> Steve
THE SOURCE BY CIRCUIT CITY ak. radio shit
Some of their product line includes: (scanner radio/cb equipment),
last time i remember them selling that crap was couple years ago.
--
```

2099. Re: Say good-by to Radio Shack Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-28 01:10:03 UTC
From: "Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <427037E7.67A46DBD@earthlink.net>
Source record: 13661
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,can.general,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Patrick wrote:
>
> This is not just Canada. A friend of mine in middle management
> for a region has told me that within a few years there will only be
> one RS store per major metro area, maybe two for big areas with
> lots of suburbs like Boston, Chicago, NYC, LA, but those will be
> the exceptions. 1 store in Minneapolis, 1 in Richmond VA, 1
> in Miami, etc.
>
> They will be doing most of their stuff through the web and by phone.

They dropped their catalog, and their website stinks. That's two for
two. If you can't find it on their website and don't have a catalog to
do phone orders from they might as well lock the doors now.

--
Former professional electron wrangler.

Michael A. Terrell
Central Florida

2100. Re: Say good-by to Radio Shack Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-28 01:39:42 UTC
From: James <jnipperxxx@nospamfdn.com>
Message-ID: <D9idnb1ZmOV9o-3fRVn-uw@comcast.com>
Source record: 13662
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,can.general,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

There is no loss whatsoever if RS just up and disappeared. This seemed evident when they dropped their catalog, and started selling cellphones as their main items.

--James--

2101. Re: Say good-by to Radio Shack Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-28 01:44:18 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <SridneYpmZ3wwe3fRVn-qQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 13665
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,can.general,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

helmsman@mindspring.com wrote:
> "VE5JL" <ve5jl@SpamHater.com> wrote:
>
>
>>Radio Shack Canada will be no more.
>>Check out the details here.
>>http://www.ve5jl.com/
>>
>
> So what's the new name, mobil fone store?

The new slogan will be, "You got questions? We've got a cell phone to
sell you."

2102. Re: Say good-by to Radio Shack Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-28 01:54:26 UTC
From: Conan Ford <conanford@com.hotmail>
Message-ID: <Xns9645CA850E803conanfordhotmailcom1@24.71.223.159>
Source record: 13663
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,can.general,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net> wrote in
news:427037E7.67A46DBD@earthlink.net:

> Patrick wrote:
>>
>> This is not just Canada. A friend of mine in middle management
>> for a region has told me that within a few years there will only be
>> one RS store per major metro area, maybe two for big areas with
>> lots of suburbs like Boston, Chicago, NYC, LA, but those will be
>> the exceptions. 1 store in Minneapolis, 1 in Richmond VA, 1
>> in Miami, etc.
>>
>> They will be doing most of their stuff through the web and by phone.
>
>
> They dropped their catalog, and their website stinks. That's two for
> two. If you can't find it on their website and don't have a catalog to
> do phone orders from they might as well lock the doors now.
>

Radio Shack canada stores (Intertan) still have a catalog... and they still
sell a decent amount of parts.

2103. Re: Say good-by to Radio Shack Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-28 02:02:42 UTC
From: Conan Ford <conanford@com.hotmail>
Message-ID: <Xns9645CBEBEEFCDconanfordhotmailcom1@24.71.223.159>
Source record: 13664
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,can.general,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"VE5JL" <ve5jl@SpamHater.com> wrote in news:qEObe.1143857$8l.460663
@pd7tw1no:

> Radio Shack Canada will be no more.
>
> Check out the details here.
>
> http://www.ve5jl.com/
>
> Also, check out our Radio Forums here:
>
> http://www.ve5jl.com/message/
>
>
>
>

Radio Shack Canada (sorry, The Source now) is a little more true to their
roots than the USA Radio Shack.

Example, compare these two searches at their respective sites:
(search is for "shortwave")

Canada:
http://makeashorterlink.com/?Y5C425AFA

USA:
http://makeashorterlink.com/?K2D452AFA

2104. Re: Say good-by to Radio Shack Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-28 03:54:20 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Y7Zbe.2825$PH2.4338756@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 13666
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,can.general,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Their new theme song:
Don't worry, Be happy! -- Bobby McFerrin

John

2105. Patrick AFB Area Log - Wed, 27 Apr 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-28 04:59:55 UTC
From: AllanStern@aol.com
Message-ID: <ac.7223c1a8.2fa1c7cb@aol.com>
Source record: 13667

Patrick AFB Area Log - Wed, 27 Apr 2005
==========

MAKO 11: F-16C Flight of 4, Homestead JARB 482FW 93FS
Worked Avon Park, Placid MOA
[307.1, 292.2, 285.725, 138.125]

SHARK: F-16C Flight of 2, Homestead JARB 482FW 93FS
Worked Avon Park, 10 low-drags, 10 high-drags each.
[307.1, 292.2, 285.725, 139.8]

LIMA LIMA 98: P-3C, Jacksonville NAS VP-30 "Pro's Nest"
Pattern work at Patrick.
[133.75, 132.65, 124.8, 269.375]
Photos: 064MVC-574 thru -578.

DOS 671: UH-1H #73-21671, US State Dept Air Wing, Patrick AFB
Local training, autorotations.
[133.75]

REEF 11: F-16C, Homstead JARB 482FW 93FS
Area transition.
[380.3, 281.5]

US COAST GUARD - PORT CANAVERAL
Comms with M/V Angel
[157.1]

DOS 502: OV-10D #155502, US State Dept Air Wing, Patrick AFB
Local training.
[133.75]

AIR TRANSPORT 400: DC-8 (USAF Contractor)
Lands at Patrick from Ascension.
[133.75, 132.65]

LN 451: P-3C, Jacksonville NAS VP-45 "Pelicans"
Working in Whiskey Area; comms with Sealord.
[267.5, 341.1]

WISE 81: AC-130U Gunship, Duke Field 919SOW 711 SOS
Pattern work at Patrick; departs to Mayport NAS.
[133.75]

LIFTER 55: C=17A, Charleston AFB 437AW
Air-air refueling with ______43.
[327.6]

DECEE 91: KC-135R, Andrews AFB AFRC 459ARW
Northbound, 100 mi east of Patrick; rqsts ph patch from Patrick CP.
[135.075, 134.85, 383.0, 372.2]

JOLLY 81: HH-60G Pave Hawk Helo [Moody AFB?]
Lands Patrick.
[133.75]

SHARK 21: F-16C Flight of 2, Homestead JARB 482FW 93FS
Worked Avon Park.
[307.1, 292.2, 285.725, 281.5]

PAT 375: US Army Priority Air Transport.
Area transition.
[135.075, 132.15]

POLAR 85: Polar Air Cargo
Lands at MacDill AFB.
[311.0]
Note: I am not 100% sure of this callsign. It sounded like POLAR, but also
like GOLA. It did not sound like CODER.

JOLLY 51: HH-60G Pave Hawk Helo [Moody AFB?]
Lands Patrick.
[133.75]

FLINT 442: OH-58A #70-15422, FBI
Seeks target in Cocoa Beach; RTBs to Sanford.
[132.65, 119.775]

RULER 31: C-17A #03-3116 MS-ANG 172AW Jackson MS
Pattern work at Patrick; departs for air-air refueling with JAKE 13 en route
to Jackson MS.
[133.75, 132.65, 372.2]

KING 55: HC-130P #64-14855, Patrick AFB 920RQW 39RQS
Lands Patrick.
[133.75]

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL (28-11N 80-36W) monitoring
Patrick AFB (KCOF) NASA-KSC Shuttle Landing Fac (KX68)
Avon Park Bombing Range (KAGR) Cape Canaveral AFS (KXMR)
JSTARS E-8 Acft Integration Facility, Melbourne IAP (KMLB)
Worldwide Military HF Communications
Life Member: Missile, Space and Range Pioneers.
http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/index.html (My Freqs)
http://hometown.aol.com/scanaddict/index.html (My Equipment)

2106. Help, Kern County Fire

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-28 16:35:35 UTC
From: Burr <pitz2@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <rh8ce.9965$yc.994@trnddc04>
Source record: 13668

Kern county Fire has gone "Trunked"!!!

Does anyone have the new freq.'s.

TIA,

Burr

2107. FS: Pro-95+batteries+charger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-28 19:55:41 UTC
From: Ken Bessler <kg0wx@NOSPAMyahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1dbce.88$iU6.74@okepread01>
Source record: 13669

I have a 3 month old Pro-95 for sale.

It comes with everything it came with new PLUS
4 Nicad AA's and the suggested RS charger/PS.

There is nothing wrong with it - I'm just trying
to finance the purchase of a K2.

Asking $95 OBO with free shipping CONUS.

kg0wx at cox dot net
--
Just my 2¢ worth... 73's es gd dx de Ken KG6WX
Grid EM17ip, Flying Pigs #1055, Digital On Six #350,
List Owner, Yahoo! E-groups: VX-2R & FT-857

2108. Relocation of TrunkPCR, PCR Pro and Pcr Mini

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-28 20:27:37 UTC
From: CrazyC <crazy__c_@hot_mail.com>
Message-ID: <2Hbce.2226$_W1.196@okepread07>
Source record: 13670

ICQ has removed their hompepage sevice, so now my programs can be downloaded
here:
http://members.cox.net/fiftyone.50/

Direct links are here:
TrunkPCR
http://members.cox.net/fiftyone.50/TrunkPcr/TrunkPcr.html
PcrPro1k
http://members.cox.net/fiftyone.50/PcrPro/PcrPro.html
Pcr1kMini
http://members.cox.net/fiftyone.50/PcrMini/PcrMini.html
Pro-96 Comm Protocol in VB6
http://members.cox.net/fiftyone.50/Pro96/Pro96.html

Regards,
Jay

2109. Re: Say good-by to Radio Shack Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-28 21:01:28 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Gacce.18006$Ty4.9292960@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 13671
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,can.general,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Fact is, most of the "new breed" of hams don't build equip., probably NOT
even the antenna(s) they are using...
If it isn't a commercial product--they are clueless...
Hams have been very successful at preserving their "good ole boys club",
even to the point of its' slow decline to death...
Those parts will not be missed much--and if they are--the web will provide a
resource...

Regards,
John

2110. Re: Relocation of TrunkPCR, PCR Pro and Pcr Mini

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-28 21:10:04 UTC
From: Stark <hsafdhg@kjhvehf.com>
Message-ID: <427151b5$0$14650$ba620dc5@nova.planet.nl>
Source record: 13672

FYIi, 96 link gives 'not found' error..

"CrazyC" <crazy__c_@hot_mail.com> schreef in bericht
news:2Hbce.2226$_W1.196@okepread07...
> ICQ has removed their hompepage sevice, so now my programs can be
downloaded
> here:
> http://members.cox.net/fiftyone.50/

2111. Re: Help, Kern County Fire

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-28 21:37:04 UTC
From: Burr <pitz2@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <4Icce.10043$Nc.8173@trnddc05>
Source record: 13673

Thanks a lot,

I was away for a few weeks and came back and didn't know what happened.

Burr
Ridgecrest

"proletariat revolution" <proletariatrevolution@hotmail.com> wrote in
message news:1114716374.525986.226170@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> Burr wrote:
>> Kern county Fire has gone "Trunked"!!!
>>
>> Does anyone have the new freq.'s.
>>
>> TIA,
>>
>> Burr
>
>
> Re: Kern County California Radio System
>
> BWL wrote:
>>
>> As listed on the Kern County communications website the county will
>> cutover to the new system April 19-21 2005.
>> Here is the website:
>> http://www.co.kern.ca.us/gsd/communications/sysupgrade.asp
>>
>> For freqs to the new system see www.CaliforniaScanner.com,
>> http://www.freqofnature.com/index.php?m=Kern%20County&p=Kern%20County
> or
>> buy the Southern California Frequency directory.
>

2112. Re: Help, Kern County Fire

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-28 21:55:12 UTC
From: dxAce <dxace@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <42715BC0.F20CA4F6@milestones.com>
Source record: 13674

Burr wrote:

> Thanks a lot,
>
> I was away for a few weeks and came back and didn't know what happened.

Where did you go Cuzz?

dxAce
Michigan
USA

>
>
> Burr
> Ridgecrest
>
> "proletariat revolution" <proletariatrevolution@hotmail.com> wrote in
> message news:1114716374.525986.226170@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> > Burr wrote:
> >> Kern county Fire has gone "Trunked"!!!
> >>
> >> Does anyone have the new freq.'s.
> >>
> >> TIA,
> >>
> >> Burr
> >>
> >>
> > Re: Kern County California Radio System
> >
> > BWL wrote:
> >>
> >> As listed on the Kern County communications website the county will
> >> cutover to the new system April 19-21 2005.
> >> Here is the website:
> >> http://www.co.kern.ca.us/gsd/communications/sysupgrade.asp
> >>
> >> For freqs to the new system see www.CaliforniaScanner.com,
> >> http://www.freqofnature.com/index.php?m=Kern%20County&p=Kern%20County
> > or
> >> buy the Southern California Frequency directory.
> >

2113. Re: Say good-by to Radio Shack Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-28 23:03:28 UTC
From: James <jnipperxxx@nospamfdn.com>
Message-ID: <-LadneLSLaxf9uzfRVn-ig@comcast.com>
Source record: 13675
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,can.general,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Couldn't ever get that ticket, huh John ???

--K4PYT--

Fact is, most of the "new breed" of hams don't build equip., probably NOT
even the antenna(s) they are using...
If it isn't a commercial product--they are clueless...
Hams have been very successful at preserving their "good ole boys club",
even to the point of its' slow decline to death...
Those parts will not be missed much--and if they are--the web will provide a
resource...

Regards,
John

2114. Re: Help, Kern County Fire

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-28 23:09:04 UTC
From: Burr <pitz2@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <k2ece.10163$Nc.3742@trnddc05>
Source record: 13676

Just down south to Ont. Ca. My office.

I played the ponies at opening day at Hollywood park last weekend. Going south Saturday and may play this week if it dries out.

I play TVG also.

Burr
"dxAce" <dxace@milestones.com> wrote in message news:42715BC0.F20CA4F6@milestones.com...

Burr wrote:

Thanks a lot,
I was away for a few weeks and came back and didn't know what happened.

Where did you go Cuzz?
dxAce
Michigan
USA

Burr
Ridgecrest

"proletariat revolution" <proletariatrevolution@hotmail.com> wrote in
message news:1114716374.525986.226170@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> Burr wrote:
>> Kern county Fire has gone "Trunked"!!!
>>
>> Does anyone have the new freq.'s.
>>
>> TIA,
>>
>> Burr
>
>
> Re: Kern County California Radio System
>
> BWL wrote:
>>
>> As listed on the Kern County communications website the county will
>> cutover to the new system April 19-21 2005.
>> Here is the website:
>> http://www.co.kern.ca.us/gsd/communications/sysupgrade.asp
>>
>> For freqs to the new system see www.CaliforniaScanner.com,
>> http://www.freqofnature.com/index.php?m=Kern%20County&p=Kern%20County
> or

```
>> buy the Southern California Frequency directory.
>
```

2115. REALISTIC PRO-41 manual?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-28 23:35:07 UTC
From: BIGSteve <fitzgerald_s@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1114731307.111450.92820@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13677

I just recently got a REALISTIC PRO-41 scanner and i need to know where to get a manual. does someone have a scaned/electronic 1 or know where to find 1?
Thanks
Steve

2116. Re: Say good-by to Radio Shack Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-28 23:38:40 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <4uece.6$sd.501820@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 13678
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,can.general,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

LOL!!! CQ DX 160 METERS!!!!

<grin>
John

2117. Re: Say good-by to Radio Shack Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-28 23:59:34 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050428195934.298$rg@newsreader.com>
Source record: 13679
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,can.general,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
> LOL!!! CQ DX 160 METERS!!!!
>
> <grin>
> John
>
Is Outhouse Express too complicated for you, John? (no flame)

2118. Re: Say good-by to Radio Shack Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-29 00:18:10 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <33fce.10$yR.613449@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 13680
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,can.general,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Go try reverse-psychology on the lesser minds... or, even on those willing to jump your hoops...

Don't you get enough people who don't like you in the real world--yanno, you might fool them here--if you were but a tad bit brighter....

Either you lacked attention as a child and even negative attention is valued by you--or, else you could have been a spoiled child, and now try in vain to restore unwarranted attention--even to the point of accepting it being paid in the negative sense...

Your mind, tactics, methods and actions are very childlike--of course, you are either unable or unwilling to be able to face that. You may very well be fooling yourself--I don't think you are very successful with others...

While you think others are looking at what your mind sees, and you are running the show--what is really occuring is that others are given a demonstration of how small a man you are (well, unless you are a woman who hasn't completed her sex change yet!)

WAKE UP MAN!!!

Regards,
John

2119. Re: Say good-by to Radio Shack Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-29 00:43:13 UTC
From: James <jnipperxxx@nospamfdn.com>
Message-ID: <c9Cdnawz28S-HuzfRVn-ow@comcast.com>
Source record: 13681
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,can.general,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

John, you could get some books from the ARRL, study, and get that ticket in a matter of weeks .

Then, you wouldn't be so frustrated !!!!

K4PYT

2120. Re: Say good-by to Radio Shack Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-29 01:00:56 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <9Hfce.19$z82.955507@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 13682
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,can.general,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

ARRL (African Rebel Republican Loonies?), hmmm, I think of that group of incontinent old timers in the same light as "communist party!"

Those guys would sell their sisters used tampon for a profit!

Hey, didn't I hear the leader of that died? Have they elected a new pope yet? <grin>

Regards,
John

2121. Re: Say good-by to Radio Shack Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-29 01:47:04 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <UvSdnQNaQZCHD-zfRVn-vg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 13683
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,can.general,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

What the heck does the ARRL have to do with rat shack or Canada for that matter?

John Smith wrote:
> ARRL (African Rebel Republican Loonies?), hmmm, I think of that group of
> incontinent old timers in the same light as "communist party!"
>
> Those guys would sell their sisters used tampon for a profit!
>
>
>
> Hey, didn't I hear the leader of that died? Have they elected a new pope
> yet? <grin>
>
>
> Regards,
> John
>
>

2122. FS: PRO-2040 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-29 03:44:06 UTC
From: RadAct <n7rcm@pobox.com>
Message-ID: <a4ice.1054$BE3.546@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 13684

Pro-2040 100 channel scanner. Comes with power supply and antenna. Unit is
in very good condition.
You can go to this site and see the specs and features, download the manual
for it too.

http://support.radioshack.com/support_electronics/17770.htm

Asking $85 obo and that includes shipping and paypal fees

2123. Re: REALISTIC PRO-41 manual?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-29 08:37:53 UTC
From: "Mike M." <notme@invalid.email.net>
Message-ID: <e1s371ts52n3imot0168h8jlch92kthn1s@4ax.com>
Source record: 13685

On 28 Apr 2005 16:35:07 -0700, "BIGSteve" <fitzgerald_s@hotmail.com>
wrotF:

>I just recently got a REALISTIC PRO-41 scanner and i need to know where
>to get a manual. does someone have a scaned/electronic 1 or know where
>to find 1?
>Thanks
>Steve

http://support.radioshack.com/productinfo/DocumentResults.asp?sku_id=20-301&Name=Radio%20Scanners&Reuse=N

It's not a real manual, but it has all the information that is in the
manual.

2124. Re: Airwave - Cutting Through the Propoganda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-29 09:16:18 UTC
From: Concerned Officer <concerned.officer@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1114766178.619136.236450@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13686
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.community.policing,uk.politics.crime,rec.radio.scanner

Melv wrote:
> Whenever I have been in town and an officer has been using an airwave radio
> he/she always appears to be struggling to hear what is being said. With the
> old analogue motorolas they always were belting out the audio so everyone
> could hear.

I have no experience with the Sepura you mention, but certainly the Nokia's are awful when it comes to clarity. That's clarity from the radio itself, rather than blaming poor signal/too much contention on the talkgroup/aerial site. The Nokia's have volume which goes from 0 (speakers off) to 10 (blown speaker). However the trouble is if the volume is set to 4, you can't hear it with even minor background noise, and if set to 5 or above which is ideally where it needs to be, then it is so distorted you can't make out what is being said anyway. The speaker size when compared to the old Motorola bricks (and no doubt the quality thereof), is piss poor. Nokia make good mobiles. That is what they should stick to; Their radios are crap.

Headsets have been distributed to us, but they are *worse* than the ones you get free with your average Nokia cellphone. One knock and the microphone becomes disloged inside the casing and the end result when transmitting is it sounds like you have your head up your arse. A far better device would be an attachable parrot just like in the old days! Better quality speaker, better quality microphone, nice big PTT button and still the option of an in-ear piece.

A.

2125. FS Pro 95 with Computer Cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-29 18:53:42 UTC
From: keith <mke1965@harbornet.com>
Message-ID: <KJmdnX-obJQqH-_fRVn-gA@harbornet.com>
Source record: 13687

I am selling this radio with computer cable and all original items for 100 dollars shipped to anywhere in the us.

I purchased the bc-246t and no longer need this.

It is in great condition and a very good scanner.

Keith

2126. quadriplegic needing manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-29 20:28:35 UTC
From: Daniel Rzonca <danrzonca@cox.net>
Message-ID: <YNwce.2$Fa1.1@fed1read02>
Source record: 13688

My grandfather

Past on. And left me his bearcat 800xlt I really do need the owner's manual
to operate this thing. Can anyone help me?

Dan

2127. Re: Relocation of TrunkPCR, PCR Pro and Pcr Mini

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-29 21:37:12 UTC
From: Gerry <mitch@frii.com>
Message-ID: <1175a9dgf3taq25@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 13689

I got it ok

Gerry
KB0PJG

2128. Program Rx or TX into my Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-29 21:48:21 UTC
From: BIGSteve <fitzgerald_s@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1114811301.207534.131490@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13690

sorry if this it dumb but i'm new to this.
Downloaded a list of frequencies and i need to know do i use the ones
listed under rx or tx?
Thanks Steve

2129. Re: Say good-by to Radio Shack Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-29 21:58:50 UTC
From: tom <cyberhun@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <u6yce.1167780$6l.176834@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 13691
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,can.general,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

if they could, who wouldn't? (sell sis' used tampons for profit)

--
73
Tom
VA7FAB

2130. Re: Program Rx or TX into my Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-29 22:29:08 UTC
From: mikeFNB <mikefnb@moc.dlrowltn>
Message-ID: <Uyyce.15569$p06.3825@newsfe3-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 13692

well i would think both.
one would say be the base the other the mobile.
each must rx & tx but the
otherway around if listed mobile first.

mike

"BIGSteve" <fitzgerald_s@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1114811301.207534.131490@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> sorry if this it dumb but i'm new to this.
> Downloaded a list of frequencies and i need to know do i use the ones
> listed under rx or tx?
> Thanks Steve
>

2131. Re: Program Rx or TX into my Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-29 23:37:18 UTC
From: Dave S <djsmartremovethisbit@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <4272c544$0$10306$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 13693

One will be the frequency that all the mobiles use to transmit to the repeater, the other will be the frequency that the repeater uses to retransmit to all the mobiles.

What you want is the repeater transmit frequency, not the mobile transmit frequency. It should be clear from the list what they mean by rx and tx in this particular case.

If it isn't clear from the list, try both:

- On the mobile's transmit frequency, you'll hear only one side of the conversation (or at least there will be big differences in signal strengths from different mobiles). Depending on distance and terrain, you may not get anything at all - the repeater probably has a much better location and antenna than you do.

- On the repeater's transmit frequency, you'll hear both sides of conversations with approximately equal strength (provided the repeater is hearing both mobiles OK).

If the frequency list is readily visible on the Web, post its URL and I'll have a look.

--

Dave S

"BIGSteve" <fitzgerald_s@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1114811301.207534.131490@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> sorry if this it dumb but i'm new to this.
> Downloaded a list of frequencies and i need to know do i use the ones
> listed under rx or tx?
> Thanks Steve
>

2132. Re: Program Rx or TX into my Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-29 23:45:24 UTC
From: BIGSteve <fitzgerald_s@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1114818323.992333.181330@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13694

This is the file i am using:
http://spectrum.ic.gc.ca/pub/tafl/do63.zip
I got it from this site:
http://spectrum.ic.gc.ca/tafl/tafindxe.html
and used the converter on the bottom of the page to make it an excel
file.
Steve

2133. Re: Program Rx or TX into my Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-29 23:59:38 UTC
From: Dave S <djsmartremovethisbit@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <4272caf1$0$10303$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 13695

They certainly aren't specific are they?

However, it looks as though they're talking about frequencies from the repeater point of view. So start with the tx frequency. If you hear both sides of the conversation OK then you've got it right.

--

Dave S

"BIGSteve" <fitzgerald_s@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:1114818323.992333.181330@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> This is the file i am using:
> http://spectrum.ic.gc.ca/pub/tafl/do63.zip
> I got it from this site:
> http://spectrum.ic.gc.ca/tafl/tafindxe.html
> and used the converter on the bottom of the page to make it an excel
> file.
> Steve
>

2134. Re: Say good-by to Radio Shack Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-30 00:43:21 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <_vAce.101$No2.5135466@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 13700
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,can.general,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Yes, I quite well know, honor, dignity, conducting ones-self to inspire
respect, and moral fiber are rare... <frown>
Still, there are a few left...

Regards,
John

2135. Re: What's the best simple scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-30 01:51:52 UTC
From: Nicik Name <orbits@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <YwBce.1619$pe3.792@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 13696
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Bruce W.1" <sorry@noDirectEmail.com> wrote in message
news:LXSae.167$Jp5.18@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com...
> What's a good simple scanner for VHF and UHF?
>Uniden BC246T

2136. Re: Program Rx or TX into my Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-30 01:56:24 UTC
From: Ed Velez <kd2pm2@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <DfCdnVpeoq4jeO_fRVn-jw@comcast.com>
Source record: 13697

From what I could make out, it looks like 400 mhz frequencies with a 5 meg split. The repeaters will normally be on the lower of the 2 freqs so the 413to 14 mhz range is what you want to program in.

"BIGSteve" <fitzgerald_s@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:1114818323.992333.181330@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> This is the file i am using:
> http://spectrum.ic.gc.ca/pub/tafl/do63.zip
> I got it from this site:
> http://spectrum.ic.gc.ca/tafl/tafindxe.html
> and used the converter on the bottom of the page to make it an excel
> file.
> Steve
>

2137. Re: Antenna Length Formula

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-30 02:02:03 UTC
From: jamoran <john@analon.com>
Message-ID: <vfadnaGG3eiSeu_fRVn-oQ@sedona.net>
Source record: 13698

physsics to the rescue
300/frequency in mhz gives length in meters

the 300 comes form the lv=c calculation

using above a 900mhz frequench has wavelength of .3 meters

I prefer to work in metric because everything is base 10 as in 100
centimeters in a meter but there are not 10 inches in a foot and not
1000 inches in a mile......math is easier in metric

Don Forsling wrote:

> Folks, I'm old, I'm tired, and I'm lazy. I'm also forgetful.
>
> Would someone kindly provide the formula for deterring the length of a
> full-wave antenna for a given frequency (a full-wave whip comes to mind)?
> Then, I can do the math. Better yet, I'll give you the frequency and _you_
> can do the math. It's 160.890 mHz.
>
> I will never ask this question again. Sorry. Thanks, etc.
>
> Best,

2138. [FA] TIL 306, TIL 308 LED Displays

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-30 03:02:44 UTC
From: lektric.dan@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1114830164.326988.246650@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13699

I am auctioning two Texas Instruments TIL 306, and four TIL 308 LED displays on ebay. The TIL 306 was used in the Yaesu FT-301 series radio. The 308 was used in other scanners and radios (both were used in some microcomputer circuits). Parts are all new and unused and all tested good. see:
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5771226186&rd=1&sspagename=STRK%3AMESE%3AIT&rd=1

and

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5771227025&rd=1&sspagename=STRK%3AMESE%3AIT&rd=1

for details.

2139. Re: HF freq from USA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-30 09:27:42 UTC
From: Brian K <esa1@houge.spamfree.dkCUT>
Message-ID: <jcIce.49$uM2.41@news.get2net.dk>
Source record: 13601
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Thanks John.
Ill check it out.

Regards
Brian

"jamoran" <john@analon.com> wrote in message
news:xr2dnQuBFfy7tvLfRVn-3g@sedona.net...
> Brian K wrote:
>> Hello.
>>
>> I would like to try to listen for some US stations on HF.
>> I allready hear the New York volmet on HF here in Denmark,Europe, but
>> some
>> freq with some talk might be more fun. I know about hamradio and the USAF
>> GHFS.
>> Are there any central or west coast volmet or beacon stations i could
>> give a
>> try?
>>
>> Im thinking coast guard and other voice not encrypted stations, with good
>> trafic around the clock.
>>
>> I think it must be strong east coast stations, if i should have a good
>> chance to hear it here.
>>
>> Any freq i should try or other groupes i should ask in?
>>
>> Thanks
>>
>> Regards
>> Brian
>>
>>
> I have a database of shortwave frequencies the DB lets me search by
> time, broadcaster/user or target area, and language too
>

2140. Repairing old Radio Shack Scanners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-30 12:44:49 UTC
From: "mikem@evenlink.com" <mikem@evenlink.com>
Message-ID: <1114865089.703915.89110@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13602

Hi,
I have a pair of Radio Shack Scanners. Radio Shack said that due to
the age of the models they cannot guarantee repairs would be
satisfactory if I sent them to their repair facility.
I like the scanners but they need work. Who repairs Radio Shack
scanners other than Radio Shack?
Thank you in advance.
Mike

2141. Re: Repairing old Radio Shack Scanners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-30 13:23:30 UTC
From: Pabloe Enchilada <pe@taco.com>
Message-ID: <XFLce.18235$V02.6910@fe08.lga>
Source record: 13603

What is wrong with them?

<mikem@evenlink.com> wrote in message
news:1114865089.703915.89110@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> Hi,
> I have a pair of Radio Shack Scanners. Radio Shack said that due to
> the age of the models they cannot guarantee repairs would be
> satisfactory if I sent them to their repair facility.
> I like the scanners but they need work. Who repairs Radio Shack
> scanners other than Radio Shack?
> Thank you in advance.
> Mike
>

2142. Re: Airwave - Cutting Through the Propoganda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-04-30 20:49:36 UTC
From: KEITH WATKINS <tw100uk@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <AbSce.19712$TT6.7022@newsfe3-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 13604
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.community.policing,uk.politics.crime,rec.radio.scanner

Encrypted,is it,not for some while yet.Much was promised but little delivered.Wait until you get your airtime bills.Then decide if you can afford the add on's.Wonder if the local police authorities were told of the running costs & what they will be asked to contribute.
"Concerned Officer" <concerned.officer@gmail.com> wrote in message news:1114344510.683528.178420@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...

Paul Robson wrote:
> On Sun, 24 Apr 2005 02:43:47 -0700, Concerned Officer wrote:
>
> > Let me be quite clear about a few things first of all. It is the
> > government's fault that police forces have had to switch to Airwave.

<snip>

> Be fair ! Why should it be different from any other government project.

Of course, why should it be. But on the flip side of this, some Government-sponsored systems work wonderfully. Radio and mobile telephone technology is nothing new, and all airwave has done, really, is throw encryption into the mix and make minor changes to the mobile telephone model. What is so hard here?

> You didn't really think it would work did you ???

Considering they spent £2.3bn (and counting!) on the system, I would have hoped it to work slightly better than the quality I can get out of two tin cans and a piece of string. Sad, really.

Cheers,

PC A.N. Other.

2143. Re: What's the best simple scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-01 03:10:15 UTC
From: bob <bob@cox.net>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.62.0504302309490.31480@Gateway>
Source record: 13605
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

there are many good basic scanner....look on the web.....

On Sat, 30 Apr 2005, Nicik Name wrote:

>
>
>
> "Bruce W.1" <sorry@noDirectEmail.com> wrote in message
> news:LXSae.167$Jp5.18@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com...
>> What's a good simple scanner for VHF and UHF?
>> Uniden BC246T
>
>
>

2144. PopCom editor comes to Feeding Hills, MA - May 6th

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-01 04:05:26 UTC
From: "Jim, KK1W" <kk1w@charter.net>
Message-ID: <aAYce.26958$Ow2.1428@fe06.lga>
Source record: 13606

From: "Jim, KK1W" <kk1w@charter.net>
Subject: PopCom editor comes to Feeding Hills, MA - May 6, 2005
Date: Tuesday, April 12, 2005 8:11 PM

Harlold Ort - N2RLL comes to the HCRA!

Mark your calendars now for a meeting with Harold Ort - Editor of Popular Communications Magazine. Mr.Ort will be addressing the members and guests of the Hampden County Radio Association in Feeding Hills, MA.

Popular Communications is the premier magazine for all types of radio enthusiasts. With regular monthly columns from SWL to scanners, utility to ham radio PopCom has something for everyone. N2RLL will have the latest and greatest information about radio listening for everyone attending.

The meeting will be at the regular HCRA meeting place in Feeding Hills, MA, the Feeding Hills Congregational Church.

Feeding Hills Congregational Church
21 North Westfield Street
Feeding Hills, MA 01030

Date: May 6, 2005
Time: 7:30 PM

You don't need to be a member of HCRA to come to the meeting. Everyone is always welcome, all you need is an interest in radio communications. I can assure you it will be time well spent.

To celebrate the SWL nature of tonight's meeting the raffle prize will be a Sangean ATS-505P portable shortwave radio. For as little as a $1 donation you could go home with a radio worth over $100!

Because of the expected crowd, our regular club business will be postponed until the June meeting. In addition to Mr.Ort's presentation there will be coffee, donuts and other refreshments available and as usual a fantastic raffle.

If you are close to Feeding Hills, MA it is our genuine hope to see you at the meeting. Visit our web site for additional information, other club events and directions to the meeting.

'73...

Jim, KK1W
http://www.hcra.org

2145. Yupitaru problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-01 05:58:32 UTC
From: mlipin@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1114927112.525308.141660@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13607

I accidentaly dropped my Yupitaru 9000. Now when I turn it on - keys do not work, backlight stays on, backlinght icon and selected bank are flashing.

Any suggestions?

2146. Re: Yupitaru problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-01 06:06:57 UTC
From: Michael <mlipin@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1114927617.684031.325630@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13608

WHoops... typo. Yupiteru

2147. Re: Repairing old Radio Shack Scanners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-01 06:09:31 UTC
From: Jesse <org@org.orgy>
Message-ID: <Xns96491672F30FCewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 13609

"mikem@evenlink.com" <mikem@evenlink.com> wrote in
news:1114865089.703915.89110@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com:

> Hi,
> I have a pair of Radio Shack Scanners. Radio Shack said that due to
> the age of the models they cannot guarantee repairs would be
> satisfactory if I sent them to their repair facility.
> I like the scanners but they need work. Who repairs Radio Shack
> scanners other than Radio Shack?
> Thank you in advance.
> Mike
>

http://www.iinc.com/ggcomm/

2148. Re: Say good-by to Radio Shack Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-01 14:28:52 UTC
From: TS Kid <rebeccarose@charter.net>
Message-ID: <JI5de.7611$cZ6.7244@fe02.lga>
Source record: 13610
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,can.general,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

They have NO more radio techs on staff.....only mornic salepeople who argue that they never sold diodes or BNC connectors even when when I showed them a receipt!
OR they sold me a Pro 2067 but the pc software to program it was discontinued with NO prior notice! OR I bought my daughter a DVD played for the car for $249 with a $50 rebate that after 1 year they tell me my forms never arrived..... Radio Shit? naw Radio Rapiest ? YES! Bend over for their CEOoooooooooooooooooo
"LAFAYETTE RADIO ELECTRONICS" <LAFAYETTE2@optonline.net> wrote in message news:uGUbe.1461$Hf6.647@fe11.lga...
> they suck anyway!!
> You need something? They have nothing!!
> You have questions? We give you dumb looks..... DUH
>
>
> VE5JL wrote:
>
>>Radio Shack Canada will be no more.
>>
>>Check out the details here.
>>
>>http://www.ve5jl.com/
>>
>>Also, check out our Radio Forums here:
>>
>>http://www.ve5jl.com/message/
>>
>>
>>
>>

2149. Re: Say good-by to Radio Shack Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-01 20:19:29 UTC
From: LAFAYETTE RADIO ELECTRONICS <LAFAYETTE2@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <bRade.4307$Hf6.2498@fe11.lga>
Source record: 13611
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,can.general,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Did they ever?? Not here.....

TS Kid wrote:

>They have NO more radio techs on staff.....only mornic salepeople who
>argue that they never sold diodes or BNC connectors even when when I showed
>them a receipt!
>OR they sold me a Pro 2067 but the pc software to program it was
>discontinued with NO prior notice! OR I bought my daughter a DVD played for
>the car for $249 with a $50 rebate that after 1 year they tell me my forms
>never arrived..... Radio Shit? naw Radio Rapiest ? YES! Bend over for
>their CEOoooooooooooooooooo
>"LAFAYETTE RADIO ELECTRONICS" <LAFAYETTE2@optonline.net> wrote in message
>news:uGUbe.1461$Hf6.647@fe11.lga...
>
>
>>they suck anyway!!
>>You need something? They have nothing!!
>>You have questions? We give you dumb looks..... DUH
>>
>>
>>VE5JL wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>>Radio Shack Canada will be no more.
>>>
>>>Check out the details here.
>>>
>>>http://www.ve5jl.com/
>>>
>>>Also, check out our Radio Forums here:
>>>
>>>http://www.ve5jl.com/message/
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>
>
>

>

2150. Re: Yupitaru problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-01 23:00:34 UTC
From: Al Gillis <alg@aracnet.com>
Message-ID: <d53mur01k63@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 13612

I'm kind of in the same boat...

My MVT-7100 went TU a few weeks ago (that would be "transistors up", wouldn't it?!?). I bought it several years ago from Javiation and wrote to Jonathan but never heard back.

Anyone know where I might get this radion repaired?

Also... at "Strong Signals" (http://www.strongsignals.net) you can order a MVT-7100 or MVT-9000 owners manual written for mortals. That's an intersting site!

<mlipin@gmail.com> wrote in message news:1114927112.525308.141660@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> I accidentaly dropped my Yupitaru 9000. Now when I turn it on - keys do
> not work, backlight stays on, backlinght icon and selected bank are
> flashing.
>
> Any suggestions?
>

2151. Re: Say good-by to Radio Shack Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-02 02:39:05 UTC
From: pIxEL8eD_nITs <not_my_email@nospam.gmail.com>
Message-ID: <427592C7.1B25155B@nospam.gmail.com>
Source record: 13613
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,can.general,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Good riddance. Radio Crap!

VE5JL wrote:
>
> Radio Shack Canada will be no more.
>
> Check out the details here.
>
> http://www.ve5jl.com/
>
> Also, check out our Radio Forums here:
>
> http://www.ve5jl.com/message/

2152. Re: Say good-by to Radio Shack Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-02 03:27:56 UTC
From: Don Brady <dbrady@pobox.com>
Message-ID: <kd7b71d9fdvatpgn97k2ncmsj61f5ku8kk@4ax.com>
Source record: 13614
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,can.general,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 02 May 2005 02:39:05 GMT, pIxEL8eD_nITs <not_my_email@nospam.gmail.com>
wrote:

>Good riddance. Radio Crap!
>
>VE5JL wrote:
>>
>> Radio Shack Canada will be no more.
>>
>> Check out the details here.
>>
>> http://www.ve5jl.com/
>>

You're going to get the Radio Shack brand right back again.

Radio Shack (U.S) will start opening its own stores in Canada under its own
name imedialtey, now that they have back rights to the name.

There was a news story tot hat effect in the alst day or two.....

2153. Re: Repairing old Radio Shack Scanners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-02 03:53:50 UTC
From: mydigcam <mydigcam@hotmal.com>
Message-ID: <117b92efvifbq18@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 13615

Beware having Radio Shack do any service. Years ago I sent them a 2004 scanner that needed work. They first quoted $125 which I authorized. Two weeks later I got a call saying they increased it to $250, so knowing I could sell it on Ebay for parts I asked for its return. A month passed and I never got it back. Finally got it back after the local store manager made a call to the regional service center manager. Apparently the tech who was responsible for the quote didn't think I would want it back and kept it. Funny thing was when I got the scanner back it worked perfectly and I was only out the estimate fee. I'm pretty sure they fired the tech over that one.

<mikem@evenlink.com> wrote in message news:1114865089.703915.89110@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...

> I like the scanners but they need work. Who repairs Radio Shack
> scanners other than Radio Shack?

2154. Re: 700 mhz frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-02 04:54:37 UTC
From: CWB <cboone@nospam.earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <hoide.32431$AE6.12710@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 13616
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

TV channels 52-69 are going away....they are going to the new 700MHz bands (Upper and lower 700) because the TV channels will be shrinking due to DTV....there is no longer a need to keep a guard channel (blank ones) between active TV signals in a given area...you can now have TV 7 and 8 or 8 and 9 operational in the same city as digital...under analog they have to leave a blank channel on either side of an operational channel...so it would be 7 and 9 only or 8 only.....With the guard channel need removed, the number of TV channels total needed for the country shrinks and thus the high end was done away with. There is also talk that TV 2 thru 6 will go away because DTV does not like skip conditions that happen more frequently on those channels...

Chris

"jamoran" <john@analon.com> wrote in message
news:E8GdndSfC_kQjPHfRVn-tA@sedona.net...
> ther is talk about some organizations moving to frequencies in the 700mhz
> area exactly where.. 790-800 ?? right now anything from 470-800 is UHF
> TV... ??? UHF was channels 14-83,,, now is 14 to 69 because ch 70-83 was
> taken for the 800mhz land mobile and telephone bands
>
> JOHN

2155. Re: Yupitaru problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-02 11:18:36 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <HO2dnXCfWLgQkevfRVn-jg@comcast.com>
Source record: 13617

Don't worry about it...nobody can pronounce it either!

Bill Crocker

"Michael" <mlipin@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1114927617.684031.325630@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> WHoops... typo. Yupiteru
>

2156. Re: Yupitaru problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-02 11:28:55 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <AcqdnToejs5lk-vfRVn-pQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 13618

Michael:

Have you tried removing the batteries for awhile? Sometimes microprocessors can get confused, and lock-up. The only way to clear them, is to totally remove power for a few minutes.

I also found this info searching the groups with Google, might be of some help:

Demonstration mode : Press C/AC + BS & Power on
MVT-9000 Test Mode : Press LAMP + MONI + LOCK & Power on

NB. Accessing either of these modes will ERASE all stored memory information. The only way to get out of Test Mode is to either switch off and go into demo mode then off and on again or to do a complete reset (C/AC + ENT & Power on).

Good luck!

Bill Crocker

<mlipin@gmail.com> wrote in message news:1114927112.525308.141660@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>I accidentaly dropped my Yupitaru 9000. Now when I turn it on - keys do
> not work, backlight stays on, backlinght icon and selected bank are
> flashing.
>
> Any suggestions?
>

2157. Re: Repairing old Radio Shack Scanners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-02 12:17:46 UTC
From: "mikem@evenlink.com" <mikem@evenlink.com>
Message-ID: <1115036266.711576.75310@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13619

Hi,
I have a Pro-43 handheld that has a worn out key board. I have a
Pro-2006 that has a dim back light display.

Mike McM.

2158. Re: Repairing old Radio Shack Scanners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-02 15:47:00 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <UXrde.2977$HL2.2572@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 13620

"mydigcam" <mydigcam@hotmal.com> wrote in message
news:117b92efvifbq18@corp.supernews.com...
> Beware having Radio Shack do any service. Years ago I sent them a 2004
> scanner that needed work. They first quoted $125 which I authorized. Two
> weeks later I got a call saying they increased it to $250, so knowing I
> could sell it on Ebay for parts I asked for its return. A month passed and
> I never got it back. Finally got it back after the local store manager
> made a call to the regional service center manager. Apparently the tech
> who was responsible for the quote didn't think I would want it back and
> kept it. Funny thing was when I got the scanner back it worked perfectly
> and I was only out the estimate fee. I'm pretty sure they fired the tech
> over that one.
>

It sounds like what may have happened was someone there repaired the
scanner, then when you said "forget it send it back", they were dragging
their feet in the hopes you would just let it go. Whoever did the repair
before they were given the OK, probably had plans for the scanner. Sounds
like you basically got the work done very cheap.
Kind of surprising they would even try to quote $250.00 to "fix" a
scanner. Hell you can buy a nice new one for that, but what the hell worked
out good for you.
--
Always do sober what you said you'd do drunk. That will teach you to keep
your mouth shut.
--Ernest Hemmingway

2159. General Freqs for I-40...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-02 17:40:13 UTC
From: Big Kahuna <none@nothanks.com>
Message-ID: <none-DEB3CC.10401302052005@news.stanford.edu>
Source record: 13621

Greets.

I'll be driving from CA to OK in about a month. I was pondering taking the scanner, but then I thought I'd have to enter a *ton* of frequencies for various highway patrol districts, towns, sheriffs, etc. Then I'd have to put all of that in banks and scan the appropriate bank. Way too much research and inputting freqs....

Can someone give me a general idea of what a good set of general freqs might be for this trip? I guess I won't be able to input all the specifics, but thought a general emergency and shared set might work....

Thanks.

2160. Re: Relocation of TrunkPCR, PCR Pro and Pcr Mini

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-02 17:51:37 UTC
From: CrazyC <crazy__c_@hot_mail.com>
Message-ID: <DMtde.4225$Ri4.904@okepread07>
Source record: 13622

Links to VB6 files are now fixed...

Regards,
Jay

"Stark" <hsafdhg@kjhvehf.com> wrote in message
news:427151b5$0$14650$ba620dc5@nova.planet.nl...
> FYIi, 96 link gives 'not found' error..
>
> "CrazyC" <crazy__c_@hot_mail.com> schreef in bericht
> news:2Hbce.2226$_W1.196@okepread07...
> > ICQ has removed their hompepage sevice, so now my programs can be
> downloaded
> > here:
> > http://members.cox.net/fiftyone.50/
>
>
>

2161. Re: General Freqs for I-40...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-02 18:01:25 UTC
From: Bo Williams <williams@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <117cqnm7bd14f0f@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 13623

Big Kahuna wrote:
> Greets.
>
> I'll be driving from CA to OK in about a month. I was pondering taking
> the scanner, but then I thought I'd have to enter a *ton* of frequencies
> for various highway patrol districts, towns, sheriffs, etc. Then I'd
> have to put all of that in banks and scan the appropriate bank. Way too
> much research and inputting freqs....
>
> Can someone give me a general idea of what a good set of general freqs
> might be for this trip? I guess I won't be able to input all the
> specifics, but thought a general emergency and shared set might work....

This seems like a classic most-for-the-least problem to me. Sure, it
wouldn't be worth it to get EVERY SINGLE frequency set programmed in,
but if you get highway patrol for every state and police for every city
of population > 25K, you'd be in pretty good shape for just an hour or
so of effort. Then snarf the shared frequencies here (for
ambulance/hospital and what-not):

http://strongsignals.net/access/content/faf.html

Program a couple of good limit searches to hit other stuff, and you're
in business!
--
Bo Williams - williams@hiwaay.net
http://hiwaay.net/~williams/

2162. Re: quadriplegic needing manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-02 18:12:49 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <NbednZT7Zpc_8OvfRVn-ow@comcast.com>
Source record: 13624

If you can't find one for free, this site claims they can send you a "copy", for $6.00, which includes shipping. Seems to be the least expensive, from places that are selling copies.

http://www.bearcat1.com/manuals.htm

Bill Crocker

"Daniel Rzonca" <danrzonca@cox.net> wrote in message
news:YNwce.2$Fa1.1@fed1read02...
> My grandfather
>
> Past on. And left me his bearcat 800xlt I really do need the owner's
> manual
> to operate this thing. Can anyone help me?
>
> Dan
>
>

2163. Re: General Freqs for I-40...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-02 19:14:19 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com>
Message-ID: <f_ude.2996$7F4.461@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 13625

If you had a Uniden BCT-8 you wouldn't have this problem. It has all 50
states pre-programmed.
I know, it doesn't help. Just thought I'd mention it in case you were
feeling "spendy" I paid $169 for mine...

"Big Kahuna" <none@nothanks.com> wrote in message
news:none-DEB3CC.10401302052005@news.stanford.edu...
> Greets.
>
> I'll be driving from CA to OK in about a month. I was pondering taking
> the scanner, but then I thought I'd have to enter a *ton* of frequencies
> for various highway patrol districts, towns, sheriffs, etc. Then I'd
> have to put all of that in banks and scan the appropriate bank. Way too
> much research and inputting freqs....
>
> Can someone give me a general idea of what a good set of general freqs
> might be for this trip? I guess I won't be able to input all the
> specifics, but thought a general emergency and shared set might work....
>
> Thanks.

2164. Re: General Freqs for I-40...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-02 19:29:07 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <IfSdnTnOHZYZ4uvfRVn-ug@comcast.com>
Source record: 13626

Good point Jim! Also, Radio Shack has an enhanced clone of the BCT-8. It's the PRO-2051. It has 1,000 channels, and although normally selling for $199.99, it's on sale right now for $149.99, $50.00 off!

The only limitation on the BCT-8, and the PRO-2051, is that neither covers the "Digital Mode", so here in Michigan, they do not cover the Michigan State Police.

Bill Crocker

"Jim Hackett" <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com> wrote in message news:f_ude.2996$7F4.461@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> If you had a Uniden BCT-8 you wouldn't have this problem. It has all 50
> states pre-programmed.
> I know, it doesn't help. Just thought I'd mention it in case you were
> feeling "spendy" I paid $169 for mine...
>
>
>
> "Big Kahuna" <none@nothanks.com> wrote in message
> news:none-DEB3CC.10401302052005@news.stanford.edu...
>> Greets.
>>
>> I'll be driving from CA to OK in about a month. I was pondering taking
>> the scanner, but then I thought I'd have to enter a *ton* of frequencies
>> for various highway patrol districts, towns, sheriffs, etc. Then I'd
>> have to put all of that in banks and scan the appropriate bank. Way too
>> much research and inputting freqs....
>>
>> Can someone give me a general idea of what a good set of general freqs
>> might be for this trip? I guess I won't be able to input all the
>> specifics, but thought a general emergency and shared set might work....
>>
>> Thanks.
>
>

2165. FS: Sams Scanner-Monitor Servicing Data book.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-02 23:03:17 UTC
From: Aspen37 <pottsladd13@nospam.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Vkyde.688583$w62.504464@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 13627
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have a Sams Scanner-Monitor Servicing Data book for sale. It is in good condition considering its age. 1975.
It has schematics, parts lists, & service adjustments.

It covers the following models:

Bearcat IV
Craig 4350,4350A
Kris Tri-band handheld
Lafayette Porta-Scan-4
99-26213W,99-26221W
Midland 13-903, 13-904, 13-916, 13-934,
13-940,13-944
Realistic Pro-4(20-168),Pro-7A(20-167),
Pro-10(20-170), Pro-77(20-166)
Pro-88(20-163)
Robyn 100-B(Hi Bander)
HL-8+8(Hi-Low Bander)

I'm asking $12.00 shipped cont. US only.

pottsladd13@yahoo.com

2166. Re: Relocation of TrunkPCR, PCR Pro and Pcr Mini

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-02 23:34:57 UTC
From: Bob Parnass <not-for-mail@fake.invalid>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.05.02.23.34.56.500118@fake.invalid>
Source record: 13628

On Mon, 02 May 2005 10:51:37 -0700, CrazyC wrote:

> http://members.cox.net/fiftyone.50/

Half the links listed down the left margin don't
work for me. They contain backslashes instead
of forward slashes.

I am using a recent Linux version of the Mozilla
browser. I don't do Windows.

--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S GNU/Linux User http://parnass.com

2167. Re: Say good-by to Radio Shack Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-02 23:43:54 UTC
From: markinillinois@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26539-4276BB3A-88@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 13629

When Radio Shack stopped publishing their annual catalog a few years ago, they lost my business.

Mark Holmes
Marion, IL

2168. Uniden BC898T performance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-03 04:14:24 UTC
From: Frank Bals <fjb@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <AUCde.689896$w62.629540@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 13630

How does this new scanner perform in the 225-400 Mhz Military Aircraft band?
I'm currently using an older PRO-2006 and wondering if this newer scanner
will give better reception...

Frank

2169. Re: Yupitaru problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-03 05:42:04 UTC
From: Ray Colvill <rcolvill@paradise.net.nz>
Message-ID: <42770f54@clear.net.nz>
Source record: 13631

Nevada radio had all the spares i wanted for the 9000

www.nevada.co.uk

Protected by GIANT Company's Spam Inspector
The most powerful anti-spam software available.
http://mail.spaminspector.com

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:AcqdnToejs5lk-vfRVn-pQ@comcast.com...
> Michael:
>
> Have you tried removing the batteries for awhile? Sometimes
> microprocessors can get confused, and lock-up. The only way to clear
> them, is to totally remove power for a few minutes.
>
> I also found this info searching the groups with Google, might be of some
> help:
>
> Demonstration mode : Press C/AC + BS & Power on
> MVT-9000 Test Mode : Press LAMP + MONI + LOCK & Power on
>
>
> NB. Accessing either of these modes will ERASE all stored memory
> information. The only way to get out of Test Mode is to either switch
> off and go into demo mode then off and on again or to do a complete
> reset (C/AC + ENT & Power on).
>
> Good luck!
>
>
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
>
>
> <mlipin@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1114927112.525308.141660@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>>I accidentaly dropped my Yupitaru 9000. Now when I turn it on - keys do
>> not work, backlight stays on, backlinght icon and selected bank are
>> flashing.
>>
>> Any suggestions?
>>

>
>

2170. Pro 2050 squelch problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-03 13:19:41 UTC
From: wd4aks@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1115126381.680776.31770@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13632

I have a radio shack Pro 2050 that won't squelch. The squelch won't close no matter which way the pot is rotated. Used to work fine. Disconnected antenna to rule out outside interference. Any help would be appreciated.

2171. CB frequencies on a realistic pro-41

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-03 13:51:19 UTC
From: BIGSteve <fitzgerald_s@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1115128279.626806.220990@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13633

Is there any way(type of mod) that can be done to a pro-41 to enable it
to recieve the 40 cb chans? Are there any other mods for this scanner?
Thanks
Steve

2172. Re: Pro 2050 squelch problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-03 17:57:24 UTC
From: mikeFNB <mikefnb@moc.dlrowltn>
Message-ID: <8YOde.5410$%K6.4823@newsfe5-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 13634

try a factory rest, else it's time to dig deeper.
mike
<wd4aks@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1115126381.680776.31770@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>I have a radio shack Pro 2050 that won't squelch. The squelch won't
> close no matter which way the pot is rotated. Used to work fine.
> Disconnected antenna to rule out outside interference. Any help would
> be appreciated.
>

2173. Re: Relocation of TrunkPCR, PCR Pro and Pcr Mini

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-03 19:47:51 UTC
From: CrazyC <crazy__c_@hot_mail.com>
Message-ID: <xzQde.4301$Ri4.1576@okepread07>
Source record: 13635

Then my programs won't do you any good anyway since they are programmed for windows...
Jay

"Bob Parnass" <not-for-mail@fake.invalid> wrote in message news:pan.2005.05.02.23.34.56.500118@fake.invalid...
> On Mon, 02 May 2005 10:51:37 -0700, CrazyC wrote:
>
> > > http://members.cox.net/fiftyone.50/
>
> Half the links listed down the left margin don't
> work for me. They contain backslashes instead
> of forward slashes.
>
> I am using a recent Linux version of the Mozilla
> browser. I don't do Windows.

2174. Re: Relocation of TrunkPCR, PCR Pro and Pcr Mini

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-04 00:48:07 UTC
From: wbertram <wbertram@aol.com>
Message-ID: <Zrqdne8WdNdahuXfRVn-hA@rcn.net>
Source record: 13636

Jay,

But, the links were/are programmed incorrectly. Are they fixed?

Incidently, in case you are unaware, Bob Parnass is a well known writer,
reviewer, and programmer in the scanner field. I would assume he just
wanted to satisfy his curiosity and view your sites.

Love your most recent version of TrunkPCR.

Walt

CrazyC wrote:
> Then my programs won't do you any good anyway since they are programmed for
> windows...
> Jay
>
> "Bob Parnass" <not-for-mail@fake.invalid> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.05.02.23.34.56.500118@fake.invalid...
>
>>On Mon, 02 May 2005 10:51:37 -0700, CrazyC wrote:
>>
>>
>>>>http://members.cox.net/fiftyone.50/
>>
>>Half the links listed down the left margin don't
>>work for me. They contain backslashes instead
>>of forward slashes.
>>
>>I am using a recent Linux version of the Mozilla
>>browser. I don't do Windows.
>
>
>
>

2175. Re: CB frequencies on a realistic pro-41

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-04 01:21:26 UTC
From: BIGSteve <fitzgerald_s@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1115169686.052174.291660@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13637

I thought cb frequencies were around 26-27mhz?

2176. Re: CB frequencies on a realistic pro-41

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-04 01:50:27 UTC
From: Bo Williams <williams@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <117gaj3nc00i810@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 13638

BIGSteve wrote:
> I thought cb frequencies were around 26-27mhz?

They are. They'd sound a hell of a lot better were they in the 470s. :)
--
Bo Williams - williams@hiwaay.net
http://hiwaay.net/~williams/

2177. Re: REALISTIC PRO-41 manual?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-04 03:14:38 UTC
From: mrpopular <tutorialvideos@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <1115176478.499255.81260@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13639

I found some on eBay. Here is the link:

http://www.takeapick.com/Realistic_Manrual_1Z

Good Luck,

J

2178. F/S: Uniden SC230 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-04 03:55:38 UTC
From: bukifan <fyr19@fuse.net>
Message-ID: <d412c$427847bb$453dac8b$12947@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 13640
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

New, in the box. Opened to inspect contents. Planned to use for upcoming
race but trip cancelled.
Pre-programmed with Nextel Cup, Busch, Craftsman Truck, ARCA and other
racing series.
Includes:
(2) AA rechargeable batteries
AC adaptor/charger
(2) BNC antennas; reg & stubby
belt clip
wrist lanyard
PC interface cable

$185 dlvd

lmk, thanks!!

2179. Radio Room Decor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-04 05:40:19 UTC
From: DX99 <nospam@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <7fZde.1292$Vu.1287@trnddc07>
Source record: 13641

Refinishing the shack here and have lots of new wall space. Any suggestions where to find amateur radio or scanner product related posters, plaques, wall art, etc? Have most of the maps and whatnot from the ARRL site. Thanks in advance!

2180. Re: CB frequencies on a realistic pro-41

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-04 10:54:56 UTC
From: Gerry Wheeler <gxwheeler@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.05.04.10.54.52.834856@earthlink.net>
Source record: 13642

On Tue, 03 May 2005 18:21:26 -0700, BIGSteve wrote:

> I thought cb frequencies were around 26-27mhz?

I think that was intended as a joke. The 460 - 470 MHz frequencies are for FRS, the new CB.

--
Gerry Wheeler
Naples, FL

2181. Re: General Freqs for I-40...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-04 15:34:32 UTC
From: DX99 <nospam@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <cY5ee.6701$k01.2681@trnddc03>
Source record: 13643

Just my 2 cents - I travel frequently and find myself in out-of-the-way motels and hotels and I recently bought the BC246T with the close call feature - be surprised what you hear around small town motels and all. This scanner is great to have if you dont know area freqs. But, keep in mind, it is very close proximity to where you are located

"Big Kahuna" <none@nothanks.com> wrote in message news:none-DEB3CC.10401302052005@news.stanford.edu...
> Greets.
>
> I'll be driving from CA to OK in about a month. I was pondering taking
> the scanner, but then I thought I'd have to enter a *ton* of frequencies
> for various highway patrol districts, towns, sheriffs, etc. Then I'd
> have to put all of that in banks and scan the appropriate bank. Way too
> much research and inputting freqs....
>
> Can someone give me a general idea of what a good set of general freqs
> might be for this trip? I guess I won't be able to input all the
> specifics, but thought a general emergency and shared set might work....
>
> Thanks.

2182. Re: CB frequencies on a realistic pro-41

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-04 17:59:39 UTC
From: Me <me@here.com>
Message-ID: <kb3i71lihg539lho94m2kujgilo8h1uts2@4ax.com>
Source record: 13644

Not in the USA...
>
>There are 40 CB channels from 476.425 to 477,400 using FM and 5 watts transmitter power.
>
>>--
>>Bo Williams - williams@hiwaay.net
>>http://hiwaay.net/~williams/
>
>Barry

2183. Re: Relocation of TrunkPCR, PCR Pro and Pcr Mini

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-04 18:08:28 UTC
From: CrazyC <crazy__c_@hot_mail.com>
Message-ID: <jc8ee.4386$Ri4.878@okepread07>
Source record: 13645

No, I wasn't aware....
And the links are corrected with forward slashes :)
Had windows paths and not html formatting on my mind when I made it...

Thanks,
Jay

"wbertram" <wbertram@aol.com> wrote in message
news:Zrqdne8WdNdahuXfRVn-hA@rcn.net...
> Jay,
>
> But, the links were/are programmed incorrectly. Are they fixed?
>
> Incidently, in case you are unaware, Bob Parnass is a well known writer,
> reviewer, and programmer in the scanner field. I would assume he just
> wanted to satisfy his curiosity and view your sites.
>
> Love your most recent version of TrunkPCR.
>
> Walt

2184. Re: RS Pro95 programing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-04 20:23:51 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <1KadnTuXBunNsuTfRVn-jw@comcast.com>
Source record: 13646

You might want to give it a try without software. The PRO-95 is very easy
to program from the keypad on the scanner.

Bill Crocker

"Steve Halvorson" <shalvors@alltel.net> wrote in message
news:20470$4240abde$a666479e$8610@ALLTEL.NET...
> Intcerested in getting a RS Pro 95 scanner..problem is the RS software to
> program th Pro 95 from your pc will not run on a pc with MS XP......Any
> suggestions as what one can use?
> Thanks
>
>

2185. Re: Icom R10 (Belt Clip)?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-04 20:25:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <MeidnUECppESsuTfRVn-gg@comcast.com>
Source record: 13647
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Just about any Icom dealer should be able to order that for you. Try
Universal Radio, in Ohio.

Bill Crocker

"Rod" <Cabletek73@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:wSL%d.542$7X2.178@fe11.lga...
> Anyone know where I can find a replacement belt clip (original type) for
> the Icom R10?
>
> Thanks
>
>
>

2186. FS:Bearcat Trunk Tracker Scanner Handheld

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-04 20:28:01 UTC
From: mike <mm4570@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <lfaee.5696$GQ5.3135@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 13648

Uniden Bearcat Trunk Tracker 300 Channel 12 band scanner

2 battery packs, charger $50. plus UPS
FAQ: I am in western washington
I use Priority Mail
I use US Postal Money Orders for Payment
The equipment is in perfect condition but needs charging.

mike

mm4570@earthlink.net

2187. Pro-95 with computer cable for auction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-04 20:51:19 UTC
From: keith <mke65@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <14di711lik7hrgctqr0nmsglpmfno5i8ra@4ax.com>
Source record: 13649

Here is an awesome radio capable of monitoring multiple systems and receiving a broad range of frequencies in excellent condition. Great as a back up scanner or primary for trunking.

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5772367412&rd=1&sspagename=STRK%3AMESE%3AIT&rd=1

2188. WTB: PRO-2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-05 03:42:00 UTC
From: Frank Bals <fjb@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <cCgee.702310$w62.72020@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 13650

Looking for a good clean PRO-2004 with bright backlight. Anyone willing to sell one for between $75.00 and $100.00? Original box and manual would be nice, but not necessary.....

Frank

2189. Wanted: Yaesu VR-5000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-05 17:20:45 UTC
From: Pat Cox <peacox@gloryroad.net>
Message-ID: <427A55ED.621AD364@gloryroad.net>
Source record: 13551

I have to trade an Icom PCR-1000 with Icom software, new in the box. Also the German produced software Bonito 5.2 version for the PCR-1000. All is new in the box, with warranty, etc. The Bonito software was invoiced at $241.00 alone. Do a google search on the Bonito 5.2 software. You will be amazed as nothing else comes close to doing as much. Even swap...your Yaesu must be as new. No I will not break up, make illiegal copies of the software, or sell the entire package. Interested in a trade only. Reason? I just sold a complete all-Icom station (DC to daylight), satellite, HF, etc. I have purchased the new Yaesu Ft-897D, Yaesu FT-8800 for mobile, and Yaesu VR-7X handi-talkie. The Yaesu VR-5000 would compliment as well as complete my station. Thanks. And please reply via email. Pat, K4AVO

2190. Re: Req: Programming software for BC246T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-05 23:19:47 UTC
From: Brian <victhedog@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1fal715cph5kbb4eupb17u2ta5kr60ln5m@4ax.com>
Source record: 13552

On Thu, 05 May 2005 13:56:15 -0500, BillyThe Squid
<WhatWouldaSquidDoWithaEmailAddress@xxx.xxx> wrote:

look at the uniden home page

2191. Re: Re: Say good-by to Radio Shack Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-05 23:21:15 UTC
From: safetyobc <safetyobc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <83cabca5a2a8002cf13d7f98c2cf6296@localhost.talkaboutelectronicequipment.com>
Source record: 13553

I got a catalog from RS with all sorts of stuff a couple of weeks
ago........

2192. Re: WTB: PRO-2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-05 23:34:16 UTC
From: krackula <krackula@i.am>
Message-ID: <d1bl71t1vsqkfemrkp6tlsuq7oq20k5qk2@4ax.com>
Source record: 13554

several available on ebay ..... most of the
pro 2004 / 2005 / 2006/s have been selling for well under $100
lately .

new back lights are selling , also on ebay , for less than $6 .

On Thu, 05 May 2005 03:42:00 GMT, "Frank Bals" <fjb@worldnet.att.net>
wrote:

>Looking for a good clean PRO-2004 with bright backlight. Anyone willing to
>sell one for between $75.00 and $100.00? Original box and manual would be
>nice, but not necessary.....
>
>Frank
>

2193. Volusia County Florida

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-06 01:51:12 UTC
From: Kimberfortyfive <Kimberfortyfive@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1115344272.550736.206270@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13555

Hello there everyone! I just joined this group and am intrested in meeting fellow scanner hobbiest in Volusia County Florida. Looking to share frequencies, talk group IDs, trunking info and anything else scanner related.

2194. Re: RS Pro95 programing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-06 02:17:37 UTC
From: Bo Williams <williams@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <117lku2i9ks6i87@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 13556

Mark wrote:
> That's not good advice at all as it's a royal pain to program any type of
> trunk system via the keypad on the 95.
>
> The OP should not bother with that RadioShack crap software. Get a copy of
> this:
>
> http://www.starrsoft.com/freeware/win95/default.asp
>
> It works perfectly with XP or any recent MS OS. It makes the scanner fun
> to use like it should be.

Heartily agreed. That software is fantastic, and does exactly what you
say--makes it fun instead of a chore.
--
Bo Williams - williams@hiwaay.net
http://hiwaay.net/~williams/

2195. Re: Wanted: Yaesu VR-5000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-06 13:23:12 UTC
From: Merlin3rd <merlin_20832@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115385792.715917.7390@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13557

So how much do you want for it, so we dont have to guess? By the way
Bonito software for the PCR 1000 is junk.
Pat Cox wrote:
> I have to trade an Icom PCR-1000 with Icom software, new in the box.
> Also the German produced software Bonito 5.2 version for the
PCR-1000.
> All is new in the box, with warranty, etc. The Bonito software was
> invoiced at $241.00 alone. Do a google search on the Bonito 5.2
> software. You will be amazed as nothing else comes close to doing as
> much. Even swap...your Yaesu must be as new. No I will not break up,
> make illiegal copies of the software, or sell the entire package.
> Interested in a trade only. Reason? I just sold a complete all-Icom
> station (DC to daylight), satellite, HF, etc. I have purchased the
new
> Yaesu Ft-897D, Yaesu FT-8800 for mobile, and Yaesu VR-7X
handi-talkie.
> The Yaesu VR-5000 would compliment as well as complete my station.
> Thanks. And please reply via email. Pat, K4AVO

2196. pro 96

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-06 15:07:51 UTC
From: 89chargedbird <89chargedbird@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <sJLee.11607$tQ.11435@fed1read06>
Source record: 13558

hello all,
I am going to buy a pro 96 and was wondering if there are any mods I can do to this scanner. Would like to 2.4 and other wireless mods. Any and all information will be greatly appreciated.

2197. IC-R8500 Mod?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-06 15:30:07 UTC
From: IcomFreaq <nospam@nospam.nospam>
Message-ID: <09Mee.4591$Ri4.2627@okepread07>
Source record: 13559

Anybody ever successfully complete the "Operating the Icom R8500 in other countries" mod on mod.dk? I've been watching and waiting but I still don't trust it.

2198. Re: pro 96

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-06 22:50:30 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy <ryan@faricy.net>
Message-ID: <d5gsb8$pp6$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 13560

There is an undocumented feature which allows extended receive. This is available in Don Starr's Win96 software at www.starrsoft.com . There is also the earphone jack mod, but that's just for audio.

As far as unblocking cellular frequencies, etc, cannot be done as it is blocked in the CPU itself. It will cover 25-1399 MHz minus cellular with the Win96 Extended Frequencies option but that's really about it.

"89chargedbird" <89chargedbird@gmail.com> wrote in message news:sJLee.11607$tQ.11435@fed1read06...
> hello all,
> I am going to buy a pro 96 and was wondering if there are any mods I can
> do to this scanner. Would like to 2.4 and other wireless mods. Any and all
> information will be greatly appreciated.
>
>
>

2199. Re: Yupitaru problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-07 00:49:16 UTC
From: PromaBoss <Promaboss1@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <427c108d$0$2403$ed2619ec@ptn-nntp-reader02.plus.net>
Source record: 13561

soooounds lkie its fkured

"Ray Colvill" <rcolvill@paradise.net.nz> wrote in message
news:42770f54@clear.net.nz...
> Nevada radio had all the spares i wanted for the 9000
>
> www.nevada.co.uk
>
>
> Protected by GIANT Company's Spam Inspector
> The most powerful anti-spam software available.
> http://mail.spaminspector.com
>
>
> "Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:AcqdnToejs5lk-vfRVn-pQ@comcast.com...
>> Michael:
>>
>> Have you tried removing the batteries for awhile? Sometimes
>> microprocessors can get confused, and lock-up. The only way to clear
>> them, is to totally remove power for a few minutes.
>>
>> I also found this info searching the groups with Google, might be of some
>> help:
>>
>> Demonstration mode : Press C/AC + BS & Power on
>> MVT-9000 Test Mode : Press LAMP + MONI + LOCK & Power on
>>
>>
>> NB. Accessing either of these modes will ERASE all stored memory
>> information. The only way to get out of Test Mode is to either switch
>> off and go into demo mode then off and on again or to do a complete
>> reset (C/AC + ENT & Power on).
>>
>> Good luck!
>>
>>
>>
>> Bill Crocker
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> <mlipin@gmail.com> wrote in message

>> news:1114927112.525308.141660@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>>>I accidentaly dropped my Yupitaru 9000. Now when I turn it on - keys do
>>> not work, backlight stays on, backlinght icon and selected bank are
>>> flashing.
>>>
>>> Any suggestions?
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

2200. Re: Volusia County Florida

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-07 02:45:24 UTC
From: W2RAC <w2racNOSPAM@cfl.rr.com>
Message-ID: <nnao71107puevn74drle411tigar1bn67v@4ax.com>
Source record: 13562

www.qsl.net/w2rac on my links page see the scanner area.

Like http://milaircomms.com/
http://home.cfl.rr.com/scanner/volusia.htm

I am in Port Orange, And you?

On 5 May 2005 18:51:12 -0700, "Kimberfortyfive"
<Kimberfortyfive@gmail.com> wrote:

>Hello there everyone! I just joined this group and am intrested in
>meeting fellow scanner hobbiest in Volusia County Florida. Looking to
>share frequencies, talk group IDs, trunking info and anything else
>scanner related.

2201. FS: Realistic PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-07 03:34:16 UTC
From: Frank Bals <fjb@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <YGWee.714341$w62.247001@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 13563

Very good condition. Bright backlight. No cellular. With original box, manual, and packing. Works great.

Price is $175.00 plus shipping

2202. For Sale or Trade: Degen DE1102 & Tecsun PL 350

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-07 10:36:27 UTC
From: Dan <dschopp@charter.net>
Message-ID: <1115462187.404960.87350@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13564
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

The radios listed below are for sale or will trade both radios for handheld scanner - Pro 95 preferred but all offers considered. Prices include UPS ground shipping within the continental US.

Degen DE1102 - In excellent shape. Less than 3 months old. This is a small, compact, full coverage, radio. Comes with all original accesories - manual, cloth carrying case, rechargable NIMH batteries, and 220V AC adapter. Batteries can be recharged inside the radio. Some of the features of this radio - 190 programable memories, ssb coverage, Wide and Narrow filers, FM bass boost. A review of this radio can be found at:

http://www.radiointel.com/review-degende1102.htm

Price - $50

Tecsun PL 350. Tecsun's newest radio. Less than a month old. In excellent shape. Comes with all original accesories - manual, cloth carrying case, rechargable NIMH batteries, and 220V AC adapter. Batteries can be recharged inside the radio. This radio has 550 memories, antenna trimmer, selectable IF frequencies - 455 or 450 KHz for AM and SW. Very nice compact SW radio. A review of this radio can be found at:

http://www.radiointel.com/review-tecsunpl350.htm

Price - $50.

I'll sell both radios as a package for $90.

2203. Bush in Holland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-07 12:30:34 UTC
From: "Limburgradio.tk" <limburgradio.zonderpunt@wanadoo.nl>
Message-ID: <427cb4e0$0$17081$dbd45001@news.wanadoo.nl>
Source record: 13565

Live scanner, he arrives at 19:00 hr UTC
http://www.limburgradio.tk

or

http://home.wanadoo.nl/johnvanengelen/

John

2204. Re: Volusia County Florida

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-08 02:45:12 UTC
From: Kimberfortyfive <Kimberfortyfive@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1115520312.175868.255590@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13566

I too am in Port Orange.

2205. Flagler County Scanner Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-08 02:52:12 UTC
From: Kimberfortyfive <Kimberfortyfive@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1115520731.911977.28960@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13567

I was listening to VCSO's Air 1 follow a Monte Carlo around Daytona tonight (5/7/05) then onto I95 and north into Flagler County. I can't seem to locate any frequencies for Flagler. Can anyone help out?

2206. Sale Pending

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-08 03:55:12 UTC
From: Frank Bals <fjb@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <A4gfe.720349$w62.5041@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 13568

Sale Pending....

"Frank Bals" <fjb@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:YGWee.714341$w62.247001@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Very good condition. Bright backlight. No cellular. With original box,
> manual, and packing. Works great.
>
> Price is $175.00 plus shipping
>

2207. FOR SALE : ICOM PCR-1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-08 07:02:28 UTC
From: kitno <kitnoREMOVE_SPAM@pandoraREMOVE_SMAP.be>
Message-ID: <8Qife.84908$Me.5299329@phobos.telenet-ops.be>
Source record: 13569
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,rec.radio.scanner

http://cgi.benl.ebay.be/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5772970570&ssPageName=ADME:B:EF:BENL:1

2208. Re: RS Pro95 programing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-08 09:00:27 UTC
From: Bill Carty <wmccarty@columbus.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Lykfe.12206$9n1.6727@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 13570

I have to agree wit the other posters, using something like win85 does make the job easier. You can organize you scanner to do it what you want you better.

Along the same line...does anyone have the codes that program the pro95. While I haven't done a turn everyrock over type of search I can't seem to find the basic instruction code that people who write sopftware to program the scanner use. v I know its out there somewhere or else win95 and the others couldn't be written for this scanner. Anyone have it or know what it can be gotten?

Bill Carty
"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:1KadnTuXBunNsuTfRVn-jw@comcast.com...
> You might want to give it a try without software. The PRO-95 is very easy
> to program from the keypad on the scanner.
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
> "Steve Halvorson" <shalvors@alltel.net> wrote in message
> news:20470$4240abde$a666479e$8610@ALLTEL.NET...
> > Intcerested in getting a RS Pro 95 scanner..problem is the RS software
to
> > program th Pro 95 from your pc will not run on a pc with MS XP......Any
> > suggestions as what one can use?
> > Thanks
> >
> >
>
>

2209. Re: Canadian Radio Forum is up and running

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-08 14:00:34 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <lr6s71t97ut6aggo33hnofg52o5meb4k4m@4ax.com>
Source record: 13571
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 08 May 2005 13:53:50 GMT, "VE5JL" <ve5jl@SpamHater.com> wrote:

>Check out Http://www.ve5jl.com/message
>
>
>There you will find a new message board dedicated to Radio.
>
>There is also a section there for Flight Simulator.
>
>Enjoy.
>
>73's
>
>Joe VE5JL
>
>
No satellite radio?

2210. Wanted: BC 796D and/or BC 780XLT Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-08 19:05:50 UTC
From: Red-Dress <debgamble@nospam.pei.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <656d06b67aa79a55c99ef9816455852a@localhost.talkaboutelectronicequipment.com>
Source record: 13572

Hi Everyone,
I am looking for a bc796d scanner, if you have one for sale and are willing to ship to Canada, please let me know. I may also be looking for a bc780xlt if the price is right.

Thanks all,
Debbie

2211. Re: Canadian Radio Forum is up and running

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-08 19:40:54 UTC
From: Larry Weil <kc1ih@mac.com>
Message-ID: <kc1ih-AC73A2.15405408052005@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 13573
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <lr6s71t97ut6aggo33hnofg52o5meb4k4m@4ax.com>,
David <rickets@knac.com> wrote:

> On Sun, 08 May 2005 13:53:50 GMT, "VE5JL" <ve5jl@SpamHater.com> wrote:
>
> >Check out Http://www.ve5jl.com/message
> >
> >
> >There you will find a new message board dedicated to Radio.
> >
>
> No satellite radio?

There should also be a separate section for broadcast (MW and FM) radio
rather than limping it all in with Shortwave.

--
Larry Weil
Lake Wobegone, NH

2212. Cell phone Jammer, great thing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-08 20:09:57 UTC
From: jamoran <john@analon.com>
Message-ID: <mNidnX-kyqCQ7-PfRVn-hg@sedona.net>
Source record: 13574

call phone jammers are good for places where you wanta quiet zone, like
movie theaters, opera houses, and other places where cell phone usage is
discouraged

well, for me, I just go in hte computer room.. hard to get a cell phone
signal in there due to all the computer RFI (RAdio Frequency
Interferance) often cell calls drop or are unintelligable... Where
Iwork, our computer room, raised floor is 30,000 square feet and loaded
with Sun, IBM, HP, and Cisco equipment..usually in there its better to
use a land line or a cordless since we have cordless repeaters spaces
through out the computer room to provide coverage so we can talk to a
hardware vendor while being near the server

DxxxxxBxx wrote:
> I assume the tenant is a loser for some other reason and just happens
> to use his cell phone. This was a good way to get him out of there.
> These things have all kinds of practical uses, but, nonetheless, here
> in the US are illegal and I assume so in Canada as well. The wireless
> phone companies are going to insure that nothing is done legally to
> interrupt service to their golden egg. While these may sound like a
> cure to rude cell phone users, they only work on the symptoms. There
> will always be selfish, rude, obnoxious people...cell phones just make
> it easier to identify some of them. It is beyond comprehension how
> someone can have a normal conversation and then, just because their
> phone rings, they raise their voice like they are yelling at a
> basketball game.
>
> Once someone is denied 911 or other emergency services by these rogue
> cell phone jammers, there will be a big law suit and a national P.R.
> campaign against the things.
>
> On Wed, 23 Mar 2005 08:18:31 -0500, Greasy Rideri¿½ @invalid.com wrote:
>
>
>>On 22 Mar 2005 17:18:04 -0800, donestuardo@yahoo.com postulated :
>>
>>
>>>Used only one week (and then the tenant was gone! - hint great for
>>>getting rid of loser tenants who depend on their cell phones for
>>>calls).
>>
>>Whoa! What makes a tenant a "loser" for using his cell phone? More and
>>more people are disconnecting from land lines and going totally
>>cellular. What would make them "losers" ?
>

>
> Spammers, please send email to:
> listme@listme.dsbl.org
> and get your SMTP server blacklisted!

2213. apco 25 and AOR 8200 MIII

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-08 20:20:46 UTC
From: jamoran <john@analon.com>
Message-ID: <_sednZuBbK4F6ePfRVn-gw@sedona.net>
Source record: 13575

have an AOR 8200 M-III receives EVERYTHING...no gaps between about
500khz (am radio) and about 2Ghz (2,000 mhz)

have noticed that some agencies I like to listen to have switched to
800mhz (866-861 and 866-869 mhz)

I know the AOR-8200 does accept slide in option cards for tone
elimination, audio recording, and memory expansion

is ther ealso a card that decodes th APCO-25.. I have noticed several
agencies that have gone to the 800mhz systems only a portion of the
communications is audiable as analog, the rest is hash noise....or APCO-25

the one thing I dislike about APCO-25 is it allows dishonest government
agencies to perpetuate their dishonesty without it being known...
when in the clear, if someone heard something not entirely kosher, they
could call the news media and spark an investigation.....

now you can have "Boss Hogg" and sheriff "Roscoe" run the county and its
easier for them to get away with their antics

2214. Re: apco 25 and AOR 8200 MIII

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-08 21:15:57 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <EsqdnVWU860-HOPfRVn-tg@comcast.com>
Source record: 13576

APCO-25 digital signals are not encrypted by default, and can be easily monitored, in the clear, on scanners designed to do so, such as; Uniden's BC296D Hand-Held, and BC796D mobile/base, and Radio Shack's (GRE), PRO-96 Hand-Held, and PRO-2096.

To my knowledge there is no APCO-25 Digital Conversion module available for, or planned for the AOR 8200 Mk-III. AOR does make an APCO-25 decoder (ARD 25), but it has limitations. You can read more about it here: http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/decoders/0657.html

In Michigan, the State Police have used encryption on occasion, when it was necessary to ensure the safety of the officers, or to protect the sensitivity of the information being discussed. I think this is reasonable, and necessary, especially in today's world, with the severity of the crimes, and the advanced technical capability of the criminal/terrorist element. For example, if you were distraught over the loss of a loved one, and were threatening suicide, would you want your full name, address, and phone number, to air over the scanner, in the clear, for all your neighbors, friends, and co-workers to hear? Or maybe your daughter was being followed home from school by a stalker, and your wife called the police. Should they broadcast her name, home address, phone number, and the name of her school, and the hours she goes to, and from, in the clear, so the pervert can find her more easily? I think not. Don't get me wrong. I like freedom of information as much as anyone else. I want to know what's going on around me. But sometimes it's not a good idea, and certainly not necessary.

Preventing encrypted radio coms within law enforcement, and government agencies, will not stop corruption, and wrong doing. I would compare that to the total elimination of guns in the U.S., then expecting no one to ever get killed.

Bill Crocker

"jamoran" <john@analon.com> wrote in message
news:_sednZuBbK4F6ePfRVn-gw@sedona.net...
> have an AOR 8200 M-III receives EVERYTHING...no gaps between about 500khz
> (am radio) and about 2Ghz (2,000 mhz)
>
> have noticed that some agencies I like to listen to have switched to
> 800mhz (866-861 and 866-869 mhz)
>
> I know the AOR-8200 does accept slide in option cards for tone
> elimination, audio recording, and memory expansion
>
> is ther ealso a card that decodes th APCO-25.. I have noticed several
> agencies that have gone to the 800mhz systems only a portion of the

> communications is audiable as analog, the rest is hash noise....or APCO-25
>
> the one thing I dislike about APCO-25 is it allows dishonest government
> agencies to perpetuate their dishonesty without it being known...
> when in the clear, if someone heard something not entirely kosher, they
> could call the news media and spark an investigation.....
>
> now you can have "Boss Hogg" and sheriff "Roscoe" run the county and its
> easier for them to get away with their antics
>

2215. Cell Phone Repair & Unlocking Service, Fast Turn-Around & Great Prices!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-08 21:37:24 UTC
From: chris@cellphonerepair.org
Message-ID: <1115588244.644440.302390@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13577

Cell Phone Repair & Unlocking Service, Fast Turn-Around & Great Prices!

The Cell Shop

http://www.cellphonerepair.org

2216. Re: Say good-by to Radio Shack Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-08 21:43:41 UTC
From: Ken <spam@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <8JKdnX6v08C4FePfRVn-ow@comcast.com>
Source record: 13578
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,can.general,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Circuit City bought out InterTAN and now owns the RadioShack franchise they ran in Canada. They sold whatever thay wanted...including stuff from RadioShack. Now They'll sell different stuff.

"VE5JL" <ve5jl@SpamHater.com> wrote in message news:qEObe.1143857$8l.460663@pd7tw1no...
> Radio Shack Canada will be no more.
>
> Check out the details here.
>
> http://www.ve5jl.com/
>
> Also, check out our Radio Forums here:
>
> http://www.ve5jl.com/message/
>
>
>

2217. Re: What's the best simple scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-08 22:27:31 UTC
From: "Mr. Null" <null@null.net>
Message-ID: <vnwfe.20932$o32.7421@fe09.lga>
Source record: 13579
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

buy any scanner and give the manual to a 10 year old kid, and have them
program it for you

geez.....a PRO-2006 complicated?

WTF!!

"Bruce W.1" <sorry@noDirectEmail.com> wrote in message
news:LXSae.167$Jp5.18@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com...
> What's a good simple scanner for VHF and UHF?
>
> Let me clarify that. I used to have a Radio Shack Pro 2006 scanner.
> Whenever I got around to using it I couldn't figure it out and had to read
> the manual again.
>
> I'd like to be able to turn it on and just enter a frequency, period. A
> tuning knob for the VFO would be nice too, so I could easily search
> around. This feature is more common on a communications receiver, which
> is maybe what I need. But I don't see any reason why a scanner couldn't
> have a knob too.
>
> It doesn't need to have a gazillion memories, ten would be fine. And it
> would be nice if the memories could be labelled (alphabetic). It should
> not have an internal lithium battery (for the memory) that dies every five
> years, unless it's easily replaced (not soldered on to the circuit board).
>
> And lastly, it doesn't need to be a new current model. It could be twenty
> years old, so long as it has a good solid circuits.
>
> Does anyone have recommendations?
>
> Thanks for your help.

2218. Re: Canadian Radio Forum is up and running

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-08 23:59:47 UTC
From: VE5JL <ve5jl@SpamHater.com>
Message-ID: <TJxfe.1284630$Xk.1001475@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 13580
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Done!!!

Take a look

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:lr6s71t97ut6aggo33hnofg52o5meb4k4m@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 08 May 2005 13:53:50 GMT, "VE5JL" <ve5jl@SpamHater.com> wrote:
>
> >Check out Http://www.ve5jl.com/message
> >
> >
> >There you will find a new message board dedicated to Radio.
> >
> >There is also a section there for Flight Simulator.
> >
> >Enjoy.
> >
> >73's
> >
> >Joe VE5JL
> >
> >
> No satellite radio?
>

2219. Re: Canadian Radio Forum is up and running

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-09 00:02:44 UTC
From: VE5JL <ve5jl@SpamHater.com>
Message-ID: <EMxfe.1284673$Xk.465539@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 13581
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Larry, it's up and running too..

Medium Wave as well as FM radio.

73's Enjoy

Joe

"Larry Weil" <kc1ih@mac.com> wrote in message
news:kc1ih-AC73A2.15405408052005@news.supernews.com...
> In article <lr6s71t97ut6aggo33hnofg52o5meb4k4m@4ax.com>,
> David <rickets@knac.com> wrote:
>
> > On Sun, 08 May 2005 13:53:50 GMT, "VE5JL" <ve5jl@SpamHater.com> wrote:
> >
> > >Check out Http://www.ve5jl.com/message
> > >
> > >
> > >There you will find a new message board dedicated to Radio.
> > >
> >
> > No satellite radio?
>
> There should also be a separate section for broadcast (MW and FM) radio
> rather than limping it all in with Shortwave.
>
> --
> Larry Weil
> Lake Wobegone, NH

2220. 800 MHz Rebanding: Basic Question On, Please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-09 12:33:38 UTC
From: Robert11 <rgsrose@notme.com>
Message-ID: <VK2dncr5BbICxeLfRVn-2w@comcast.com>
Source record: 13582

Hello:

I'm a very light 780 user, and not too technical.

Have been reading most everything I can on the 800 MHz re-banding, and am a bit confused.
Actually, a lot more than "a bit."
Not too technical, so I guess that is the reason.

This has probably been covered a zillion times, but if anyone could just clarify it a little more, would be most appreciative..

(a) What I don't understand is why can't one just move a particular station's frequency (that uses MOT analog) to the new frequency, and have it work ?

What am I missing.

(b) I guess the same question, regarding CC usage.

All I use are CC's. Here, I can imagine that the switching plan is or might be different in the switching the CC actually does to get to the talker/listener. Is this the case ?

So, using the new CC freq. would not work; correct ?

** But again, wouldn't (a) be possible ? Why ?

Thanks again for help,
Bob

2221. Re: 800 MHz Rebanding: Basic Question On, Please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-09 18:23:12 UTC
From: John <jlwsecure-usenet3@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9651922193958jlwsecure@207.115.63.158>
Source record: 13583

"Robert11" <rgsrose@notme.com> wrote in
news:VK2dncr5BbICxeLfRVn-2w@comcast.com:

> Hello:
>
> I'm a very light 780 user, and not too technical.
>
> Have been reading most everything I can on the 800 MHz re-banding, and
> am a bit confused.
> Actually, a lot more than "a bit."
> Not too technical, so I guess that is the reason.
>
> This has probably been covered a zillion times, but if anyone could
> just clarify it a little more, would be most appreciative..
>
The current bandplan is hardcoded into the scanner. All this depends on the scanner though. The control channel sends the scanner to a frequency by use of some sort of "code" The "code" corresponds to a frequency mapped in the Scanner's firmware.

When the frequencies all move, these new frequencies are not in the firmware of the scanner as it relates to the "codes" sent by the control channel. As far as the scanner knows, nothing has changed. When the "code" is sent over the control channel, the scanner will tune itself to the OLD frequency, not the new one. The control channel never actually tells the scanner what frequency to go to. All it does is send that representaive "code".

You'll see your selected talkgroup as being used and active, but you'll never hear anything. It won't matter if you enter all the new frequencies in your scanner. It doesn't work that way.

Most of us will have to buy new scanners unfortunately.

2222. Re: apco 25 and AOR 8200 MIII

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-09 18:45:20 UTC
From: krackula <krackula@i.am>
Message-ID: <9tav71pcnmirojjim61pfhmccmsq9dr81o@4ax.com>
Source record: 13584

On Sun, 8 May 2005 17:15:57 -0400, "Bill Crocker"
<wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote:

>APCO-25 digital signals are not encrypted by default, and can be easily
>monitored, in the clear, on scanners designed to do so, such as; Uniden's
>BC296D Hand-Held, and BC796D mobile/base, and Radio Shack's (GRE), PRO-96
>Hand-Held, and PRO-2096.
>
>To my knowledge there is no APCO-25 Digital Conversion module available for,
>or planned for the AOR 8200 Mk-III. AOR does make an APCO-25 decoder (ARD
>25), but it has limitations. You can read more about it here:
>http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/decoders/0657.html
>
>In Michigan, the State Police have used encryption on occasion, when it was
>necessary to ensure the safety of the officers, or to protect the
>sensitivity of the information being discussed. I think this is reasonable,
>and necessary, especially in today's world, with the severity of the crimes,
>and the advanced technical capability of the criminal/terrorist element.
>For example, if you were distraught over the loss of a loved one, and were
>threatening suicide, would you want your full name, address, and phone
>number, to air over the scanner, in the clear, for all your neighbors,
>friends, and co-workers to hear? Or maybe your daughter was being followed
>home from school by a stalker, and your wife called the police. Should they
>broadcast her name, home address, phone number, and the name of her school,
>and the hours she goes to, and from, in the clear, so the pervert can find
>her more easily? I think not. Don't get me wrong. I like freedom of
>information as much as anyone else. I want to know what's going on around
>me. But sometimes it's not a good idea, and certainly not necessary.

hey Bill ....

I agree whole heartedly . Interestingly , in my town, here in
central California (where ' everything " police is in the clear
and on standard nbfm) , " all " sensitive information of the type
you speak , is " always " transmitted onto the laptop wi-fi MDT
type computers in each police car. actually " most " information is
transmitted that way and listening to the basic calls mostly provides
little interesting detailed information anymore. recently all the
local police agencies have installed police wi-fi bubble " out of
the car " blue tooth style personal PDAs so that the cops , even
on bicycles or on their dirt bikes , have the same abilities as the
wi-fi MDT inside the cars. the local police wi-fi mdt bubble is always
encrypted.

it's odd and at the same time interesting to hear the dispatch and
cars making calls and referring to items on the " dispatch " screen
, that instead of actually mentioning any details out loud. a quirky
but effective method to keep privacy.

>
>Preventing encrypted radio coms within law enforcement, and government
>agencies, will not stop corruption, and wrong doing. I would compare that
>to the total elimination of guns in the U.S., then expecting no one to ever
>get killed.
>
>Bill Crocker
>
>

2223. Re: 800 MHz Rebanding: Basic Question On, Please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-09 19:40:26 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <uIqdnVdnMIwxIeLfRVn-iw@comcast.com>
Source record: 13585

GRE has indicated that the Radio Shack (marketed) PRO-96, and PRO-2096
Digital Programmable scanners, will have the ability to be modified for the
new band plan by flashing the firmware with your PC.

Bill Crocker

"John" <jlwsecure-usenet3@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Xns9651922193958jlwsecure@207.115.63.158...
> "Robert11" <rgsrose@notme.com> wrote in
> news:VK2dncr5BbICxeLfRVn-2w@comcast.com:
>
>> Hello:
>>
>> I'm a very light 780 user, and not too technical.
>>
>> Have been reading most everything I can on the 800 MHz re-banding, and
>> am a bit confused.
>> Actually, a lot more than "a bit."
>> Not too technical, so I guess that is the reason.
>>
>> This has probably been covered a zillion times, but if anyone could
>> just clarify it a little more, would be most appreciative..
>>
> The current bandplan is hardcoded into the scanner. All this depends on
> the scanner though. The control channel sends the scanner to a frequency
> by use of some sort of "code" The "code" corresponds to a frequency
> mapped in the Scanner's firmware.
>
> When the frequencies all move, these new frequencies are not in the
> firmware of the scanner as it relates to the "codes" sent by the control
> channel. As far as the scanner knows, nothing has changed. When the
> "code" is sent over the control channel, the scanner will tune itself to
> the OLD frequency, not the new one. The control channel never actually
> tells the scanner what frequency to go to. All it does is send that
> representaive "code".
>
> You'll see your selected talkgroup as being used and active, but you'll
> never hear anything. It won't matter if you enter all the new
> frequencies in your scanner. It doesn't work that way.
>
> Most of us will have to buy new scanners unfortunately.

2224. Re: apco 25 and AOR 8200 MIII

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-09 19:44:14 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <ob2dnfpLAswNIOLfRVn-3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 13586

"krackula" <krackula@i.am> wrote in message
news:9tav71pcnmirojjim61pfhmccmsq9dr81o@4ax.com...
> hey Bill ....
>
[clipped]
> I agree whole heartedly . Interestingly , in my town, here in
> central California (where ' everything " police is in the clear
> and on standard nbfm) , " all " sensitive information of the type
> you speak , is " always " transmitted onto the laptop wi-fi MDT
> type computers in each police car. actually " most " information is
> transmitted that way and listening to the basic calls mostly provides
> little interesting detailed information anymore. recently all the
> local police agencies have installed police wi-fi bubble " out of
> the car " blue tooth style personal PDAs so that the cops , even
> on bicycles or on their dirt bikes , have the same abilities as the
> wi-fi MDT inside the cars. the local police wi-fi mdt bubble is always
> encrypted.
>
> it's odd and at the same time interesting to hear the dispatch and
> cars making calls and referring to items on the " dispatch " screen
> , that instead of actually mentioning any details out loud. a quirky
> but effective method to keep privacy.
>
[clipped]

They do that here also, when using the older 150/450MHz analog systems, as
well as non-digital 850MHz trunked systems.

Bill Crocker

2225. Can I bring my FRS / GMRS Radio to Europe - Austria, Germany, Switzerland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-09 20:26:54 UTC
From: Cathy Hui <kaka.hui@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1115670414.295189.152360@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13587

I just bought a pair of FRS / GMRS radios and planning on bringing them to Europe (i.e. Austra, Germany and Switzerland). Does anyone know if FRS legally being used in those countries? If so, if I purchase a GMRS license, am I going to be benefitted from the longer range reception from the GMRS in Europe?

Thanks!

2226. Re: 800 MHz Rebanding: Basic Question On, Please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-09 20:58:07 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <z9Qfe.6119$yl6.3393833@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 13588

The news is the same from Uniden as well.

> GRE has indicated that the Radio Shack (marketed) PRO-96, and PRO-2096
> Digital Programmable scanners, will have the ability to be modified for the
> new band plan by flashing the firmware with your PC.
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
> "John" <jlwsecure-usenet3@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:Xns96519221939958jlwsecure@207.115.63.158...
>
>>"Robert11" <rgsrose@notme.com> wrote in
>>news:VK2dncr5BbICxeLfRVn-2w@comcast.com:
>>
>>
>>>Hello:
>>>
>>>I'm a very light 780 user, and not too technical.
>>>
>>>Have been reading most everything I can on the 800 MHz re-banding, and
>>>am a bit confused.
>>>Actually, a lot more than "a bit."
>>>Not too technical, so I guess that is the reason.
>>>
>>>This has probably been covered a zillion times, but if anyone could
>>>just clarify it a little more, would be most appreciative..
>>>
>>
>>The current bandplan is hardcoded into the scanner. All this depends on
>>the scanner though. The control channel sends the scanner to a frequency
>>by use of some sort of "code" The "code" corresponds to a frequency
>>mapped in the Scanner's firmware.
>>
>>When the frequencies all move, these new frequencies are not in the
>>firmware of the scanner as it relates to the "codes" sent by the control
>>channel. As far as the scanner knows, nothing has changed. When the
>>"code" is sent over the control channel, the scanner will tune itself to
>>the OLD frequency, not the new one. The control channel never actually
>>tells the scanner what frequency to go to. All it does is send that
>>representaive "code".
>>
>>You'll see your selected talkgroup as being used and active, but you'll
>>never hear anything. It won't matter if you enter all the new

>>frequencies in your scanner. It doesn't work that way.
>>
>>Most of us will have to buy new scanners unfortunately.
>
>
>

2227. Re: Say good-by to Radio Shack Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-09 22:02:41 UTC
From: Scott in Baltimore <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com>
Message-ID: <427FDE01.3B28B8C@yapoo.com>
Source record: 13589
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,can.general,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> >Radio Shack Canada will be no more.

> So what's the new name, mobil fone store?

PhoneShack

2228. Re: Say good-by to Radio Shack Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-09 22:04:03 UTC
From: Scott in Baltimore <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com>
Message-ID: <427FDE53.E306D777@yapoo.com>
Source record: 13590
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,can.general,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> > So what's the new name, mobil fone store?
>
> The new slogan will be, "You got questions? We've got a cell phone to
> sell you."

...so you can call someone that knows shit!

2229. Re: Say good-by to Radio Shack Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-09 22:06:51 UTC
From: Scott in Baltimore <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com>
Message-ID: <427FDEFB.1C2B6D84@yapoo.com>
Source record: 13591
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,can.general,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

http://www.arrl.org/arrlvec/pools.html

James wrote:
>
> John, you could get some books from the ARRL, study, and get that ticket in
> a matter of weeks .
>
> Then, you wouldn't be so frustrated !!!!
>
> K4PYT

2230. bearcat scanners below whole sale.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-09 22:33:21 UTC
From: spectro <wasted9688@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <RyRfe.243$wM2.9@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 13592

http://www.bearcatscanner.com/

2231. Re: Can I bring my FRS / GMRS Radio to Europe - Austria, Germany, Switzerland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-09 23:46:50 UTC
From: mikeFNB <mikefnb@moc.dlrowltn>
Message-ID: <KDSfe.454$sE4.27@newsfe3-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 13593

AFAIK no they will not be legal as they are on a different band (or part of)
(i think you will find frs [USA ones] is right in the middle of the police
channels in some european countries)
446Mhz is the european standard and it is unlicenced 500mW max.

mike

"Cathy Hui" <kaka.hui@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1115670414.295189.152360@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>I just bought a pair of FRS / GMRS radios and planning on bringing them
> to Europe (i.e. Austra, Germany and Switzerland). Does anyone know if
> FRS legally being used in those countries? If so, if I purchase a GMRS
> license, am I going to be benefitted from the longer range reception
> from the GMRS in Europe?
>
> Thanks!
>

2232. Re: Can I bring my FRS / GMRS Radio to Europe - Austria, Germany, Switzerland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-10 00:29:55 UTC
From: FatHam <nospam@address.invalid>
Message-ID: <d5ov82hmr1@nyytiset.pp.htv.fi>
Source record: 13594

J wrote:
> Europe has a set of frequencies that are personal use. If you use the
> FRS/GMRS radios in Europe you will be using them illegally. I think
> the Europe service is called PMS and operates near 446.000 MHz. Do a
> Google search for PMS.

PMS = Premenstrual Syndrome
PMR = Personal Mobile Radio

PMR in a nutshell: http://www.446user.co.uk/article_8.html

/AMBW/

2233. Scanner at German airports

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-10 02:39:05 UTC
From: jamoran <john@analon.com>
Message-ID: <NM6dnZ2479Jagx3fRVn-1A@sedona.net>
Source record: 13596
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

has the attitude at Europeean airports changed re scanners since I visited a few yers ago ??? I always travel with at least oni hi-tech "toy" or radio

a few yers ago, I traveled to Germany to visit relatives and play tourist. I flew into Frankfurt (FRA/EDDF)and then on to Hamburg (HAM/EDDH), on the way home, we left out of Stuttgart (STG/EDDS)

I listed both the IATA (Airline) and ICAO codes... USA airport ICAO codes begin with a K (as in KLAX, KPHX)

Well, at FRankfurt, I had a hand held scanner in my hand and could listen to anything I wanted, tower, operations, police and nobody seemed to care... same at Hamburg....

When we left via Stuttgart, the security, Airline people and airport police asked me what the thing (scanner) was and what I was doing with it.. I also had a frequency list on a note pad..frequencies for Southern California and the Phoenix area.... I was asked to put the scanner in my checked baggage.. comming back from vacation, I had no more room in the checked baggage...

Finally, I was ushered into a corner of the terminal, had an Airline supervisor, airport security supervisor, and ref from the airport police I had to give them the scanner and was able to get it back once hte plane landed from an airline rep who had the scanner in an envelope with my name on it. The scanner was caried in the cockpit by the pilots

In addition to the scanner, I also had a SONY-2010 shortwave..the shortwave was in my briefcase. I remember the security swabbing the radio to check for explosive residue.. I had not fertilized my lawn before packing so I did not have to worry...I was however asked how the thing worked...the SONY-2010 has 32 different buttons on the face... either function keys ore one-touch memory buttons...

JOHN

2234. Re: bearcat scanners below whole sale.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-10 02:39:19 UTC
From: Sarah Czepiel <ninety7gt@cox.net>
Message-ID: <3m7081teq7ert94se2eb72ij1k78t907g8@4ax.com>
Source record: 13595

On Mon, 09 May 2005 22:42:27 GMT, Never anonymous Bud
<newskat@katxyzkave.net> wrote:

>Using a finger dipped in purple ink, "spectro" <wasted9688@earthlink.net> scribed:
>
>>http://www.bearcatscanner.com/
>
>I'd NEVER do business with someone working
>out of a Post Office box, with NO phone...

Phone: 916-267-3742 | E-mail: customerservice@bearcatscanner.com

>Registrant:
>Amplified Intelligence
> PO Box 189279
> SACRAMENTO, CA 91818
> US
>
> Domain Name: BEARCATSCANNER.COM
>
> Administrative Contact:
> Amplified Intelligence jaks@olagrande.net
> PO Box 189279
> SACRAMENTO, CA 91818
> US
> 999 999 9999 fax: 999 999 9999

2235. Re: Scanner at German airports

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-10 04:08:22 UTC
From: "Ralph A. Schmid, DK5RAS" <nospam@radio-link.net>
Message-ID: <dlc08194vriijpg1bl8tlb07msldq070be@4ax.com>
Source record: 13597
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

jamoran <john@analon.com> wrote:

>has the attitude at Europeean airports changed re scanners since I
>visited a few yers ago ???

Nothing has changed. You are allowed to own a scanner, but you only
are allowed to listen to ham radio and broadcast with it.
It is not a good idea to listen to anything else in the public, so use
it hidden, and nothing should happen :-)

regards - Ralph

--

Want to get in touch? http://www.radio-link.net/whereisralph.txt

2236. Re: Can I bring my FRS / GMRS Radio to Europe - Austria, Germany, Switzerland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-10 04:38:19 UTC
From: "Ralph A. Schmid, DK5RAS" <nospam@radio-link.net>
Message-ID: <tke081l1vr266l2tghgcbcfqrkgu0t13mi@4ax.com>
Source record: 13598

"Cathy Hui" <kaka.hui@gmail.com> wrote:

>to Europe (i.e. Austra, Germany and Switzerland). Does anyone know if
>FRS legally being used in those countries? If so, if I purchase a GMRS
>license, am I going to be benefitted from the longer range reception
>from the GMRS in Europe?

No, in europe it is illegal to use FRS. We have PMR there, 8 channels
446 MHz, 0.5W.

regards - Ralph

--

Want to get in touch? http://www.radio-link.net/whereisralph.txt

2237. ICOM PCR-100 and XP COMM port problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-10 14:34:41 UTC
From: bakermo <bakermo@swbell.net.yourpants>
Message-ID: <9eh181decde24iormrlkdldtiivj7b4fpo@4ax.com>
Source record: 13599
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hello all,

I just obtained a ICOM PCR-100 via ebay and am trying to use TalkPCR. I hope this isn't a stoopid ;-) question - but I have been browsing the messages in this forum and can't find my particular problem.

I am running XP PRO with SP2 on an ASUS mobo and an AMD XP 2000+ CPU. It has only 1 COMM port. I am also running TalkPCR 2.4

When I fire up TalkPCR and "accept" that the software is now freeware the radio plays just fine and dandy. It continues until I set on a station (I am still new and just want to listen to a local AM station to verify the thing works). Then after about 15 to 30 seconds the output goes off. It doesn't fade out - it just goes off like someone threw a switch.

The controls all seem to function but no sound from the speaker (I have a separate set of speakers connected directly to the ICOM).

If I shutdown TalkPCR and fire it back up the radio plays just fine; until I stop doing things with the controls and then 15 to 30 seconds later the sound goes off.

I tried downloading the ICOM PCR-1000 software and what it does is after about 15 to 30 seconds it says it lost connection to the radio. So I'm guessing the COMM port connection is being broken by "something".

I tried turing off all the stratup programs (using MSCONFIG) for a "clean boot" but got the same results.

I also tried running TalkPCR in Windows 95, and 98/ME mode - no change.

Anybody have any idea?

TIA

bakermo

bakermo@swbell.net.yourpants

email replies remove .yourpants

2238. Indiana trunking freqs;

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-10 15:41:17 UTC
From: NORMAN TRIANTAFILOS <kb9ygd@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <xC4ge.6$qT1.2@trnddc06>
Source record: 13600

INDIANA TRUNKED FREQUENCIES

Indiana State Police
Bremen, Indiana (District 24)

866.4500 866.9500 867.4500 867.9500 868.9500
Carlisle, Indiana

856.2375 857.2375 858.2375 859.2375 860.2375
Claypool, Indiana (District 22)

866.8750 867.3750 867.8750 868.3750 868.8750
Evansville, Indiana

866.8750 867.3750 867.8750 868.3875 868.8750
Lafayette, Indiana

860.7125* 859.7125 858.7125 857.7125 856.7125
Marshall, Indiana (District 24)

856.4875 857.4875 858.4875 859.4875 860.4875
Monon, Indiana

860.9625* 859.9625 858.9625 857.9625 856.9625
Terre Haute, Indiana

856.7125 857.7125 858.7125 859.7125 860.7125
State of Indiana:

80 ISP 14 Dispatch Lafayette (14-?) Lafayette Post
112 ISP 14 ?
144 ISP 14 ?
176 ISP 14 Zone 1 (14-?), Excise (56-?)
208 ISP 14 Zone 2 (14-?)
240 ISP 14 Dispatch Mustang Enforcement (65-?)
272 ISP 14 ?
304 ISP 14 ?
336 ISP 14 ITAC
368 ISP 14 ?
400 ISP 14 Special Operations 1
432 ISP 14 Special Operations 2
464 ISP 14 Tactical Command
496 ISP 14 ?
1616 ISP 14 Excise (56-?)
1648 ISP 14 ?

1712 ISP 14 Dispatch North Region (14-?)
2128 ISP 14 ?
9898 ISP 14 ?
15537 Wabash Valley Correctional Facility - Carlisle, IN
15568 Wabash Valley Correctional Facility - Carlisle, IN
15664 Wabash Valley Correctional Facility - Carlisle, IN
15696 Wabash Valley Correctional Facility - Carlisle, IN
15728 Wabash Valley Correctional Facility - Carlisle, IN
17680 ISP 14 Dispatch North Region (3-?)
17712 ISP 14 Dispatch North Region (3-?)
17744 ISP 14 Dispatch North Region (3-?)
17775 ISP 14 Call Interconnect
17776 ISP 14 Dispatch North Region (3-?) Special Operations
17872 ISP 14 Dispatch Tecumseh Enforcement

Carroll County Police Department:

752 Carroll County PD Dispatch Delphi PD(D-?)
784 Carroll County PD
816 Carroll County PD Dispatch Carroll Co. PD(8-?),Flora PD(F-?)
824 Carroll County PD Secure (Lockout)
848 Carroll County PD

Tippecanoe County Emergency Management/State (TEMA):

3472 TEMA Dispatch State

Purdue University:

3632 PU Fire Department Dispatch
3664 PU Fire Department Fireground
3696 PU Fire Department Special Ops
3728 PU Fire Department Car-To-Car
3792 PU Fire Safety Dispatch Purdue University Fire and Safety
3856 PU Fire Safety Car-To-Car
3824 PU Fire Safety Special Operations
3952 PU Fire Equipment Service Dispatch
3984 PU Fire Equipment Service Car-To-Car
4016 PU Fire Equipment Service Special Operations
13888 PU Fire Safety Special Operations 2
14048 PU Fire Equipment Service Special Operations 2

Indiana Department Of Transportation:

31984 IDOT
32016 IDOT
32048 IDOT
32080 IDOT Dispatch 12-? Crawfordsville Substation
32112 IDOT
32432 IDOT Dispatch 12-? Crawfordsville Substation
32624 IDOT
32688 IDOT 13-?
32720 IDOT

32752 IDOT
32784 IDOT
33701 IDOT

Other state system sites:

AURORA
866.4750 866.9750 867.4750 867.9750 868.4750

BRANCHVILLE
856.2375 857.2375 858.2375

INDIANAPOLIS
855.2375 855.9625 856.9625 857.9625
858.9625 859.9625 860.4625 860.9625

NEWPORT
856.9625 857.9625 858.9625 859.9625 860.9625

PENDLETON
856.4875 857.4875 858.4875 859.4875
860.4875 866.4000 867.4000 868.4000

PUTNAMVILLE
866.4250 866.9250 867.9250 868.4250 868.9250

INDIANAPOLIS
856.2375 857.2375 858.2375 859.2375 860.2375

N. MADISON
856.9625 857.9625 858.9625 859.9625 860.9625

PATRIOT
856.7125 857.7125 858.7125 859.7125 860.7125

HAMMOND
866.4750 866.9750 867.4750 867.9750 868.4750

MICHIGAN CITY
866.4000 867.4000 867.9000 868.4000

HENRYVILLE
866.4250 866.9250 867.9250 868.4250 868.9250

PLAINFIELD
866.4500 866.9500 867.4500 867.9500 868.9500

WESTVILLE
855.2125 855.4625 858.2125 859.2625 859.7375

PINOLA
856.7125 857.7125 858.7125 859.7125 860.7125

LOWELL
866.8750 867.3750 867.8750 868.3750 868.8750

GEETINGSVILLE
866.4500 866.9500 867.4500 867.9500 868.9500

PERU
866.3750 866.9000 867.4250 868.4500 868.9750

MEDARYVILLE
866.4250 866.9250 867.9250 868.4250 868.9250

BUNKER HILL
856.2375 857.2375 858.2375 859.2375 860.2375

LEOFOLD
866.3750 866.9000 867.4250 868.4500 868.9750

FLOYD KNOBS
855.2375 866.4000 867.4000 867.9000 868.4000
868.9000

BLOOMINGTON
866.3750 866.9000 867.4250 868.4500 868.9750

Allen County
Frequencies:

855.7125 855.7625 856.7625 856.9375 857.7625
857.9375 858.7625 858.9375 859.7625 859.9375
860.7625 860.9375

859.9375R Sheriff Failsoft

Fleet Map: E1P3

Operations:

600-8 Sheriff Ch1 dispatch
600-9 Sheriff Ch2 information
600-14 Sheriff Ch3 car-to-car

City of Hammond (Motorola Type II)
Frequencies:

866.0750 866.5500 866.7375
866.8000 867.5875 867.8375
868.1875(Reported as MDTs)

Operations:

16 Police Dispatch
32 Police
48 Police Tac 1

80 Police Tac 2 Car to Car
96 Police
112 Police
208 Police Channel 6
240 Police
272 Unknown
304 Fire Dispatch
352 Car to Car
400 Police Car to Car
432 Unknown
528 Tac Channel 4
560 Training
656 Patch
690 Police (Alarms?)
784 Streets
816 Water Department
848 Unknown
880 Unknown
1056 Car to Car
1136 Unknown
2608 Police Firing range
3184 Car to Car
4080 Unknown
4112 Car to Car
4144 Car to Car
5024 Car to Car
5168 Police Info
8240 Unknown
36400 Unknown

Floyd County (LTR analog)
Frequencies:

860.4875 Home Repeater 02
859.2375 Home Repeater 06
858.4875 Home Repeater 10
857.4875 Home Repeater 14
855.9625 Home Repeater 18

Talkgroups:
0-02-010 Coroner
0-02-013 Unknown Data Transmissions
0-02-020 SO Information/Records
0-02-022 SO Dispatch
0-02-030 IUS Police
0-10-022 SO Car-to-Car

Howard County (Ericsson/GE EDACS)
Frequencies:

1-866.1750 2-866.3375 3-867.1250 4-867.3250
5-867.6000 6-867.8500 7-868.1250 8-868.2875

Law Enforcement operations:

09-XXX Howard County Law Enforcement
09-081
09-082
09-083
09-084
09-094
09-120
09-121
09-122
09-123
09-125
09-133
09-134
09-136
09-143

Fire/EMS operations:

10-XXX Howard County Fire/Ambulance/EMA
10-001
10-002
10-003
10-004
10-081
10-082
10-083
10-092
10-095
10-096

Other/Local Government:

11-XXX Howard County Municipal
11-042
11-045
11-046
11-047
12-XXX Unknown
12-075

Notes:

1. Used by Kokomo, Russiaville, Greentown, county agencies.

2. TAC-1 863.8625 conventional

3. Indiana State Police Peru In. District 14 running conventional
frequencies 42.420 and 42.260

Knox County (Type II)
858.2625 858.7625 859.2625
859.7625 860.2625 860.7625

3088 Knox County SO Paging
3184 Sandborn/Edwardsport Fire
3216 Knox County SO Dispatch
3248 Knox County SO Car/Car
3280 Vincennes PD Dispatch
3312 Vincennes PD Car/Car
3344 VU Police - Channel A
3376 VU Police - Channel B
3408 Bicknell PD Dispatch
3440 Bicknell PD Car/Car
3472 Knox County SO Detective
3536 TAC 1
3568 TAC 2
3600 TAC 3
3632 TAC 4
3664 TAC 5
3696 Edwardsport Fire 1
3728 Knox County Jail
3760 UNKNOWN
3792 Bicknell/Vigo Township Fire
3824 Washington Township Fire (Bruceville)
3856 Steen/Harrison Township Fire
3888 Oaktown Fire
3920 Johnson Township (Decker)
3952 VU Police - Channel C
3984 Steen Township Fire
4016 Freelandville Fire
4048 Palmyra Township Fire
4080 Vincennes Township Fire
4112 Edwardsport Fire 2
4240 Bicknell/Vigo Township Fire Administration
4272 UNKNOWN FIRE; Referred to as "Channel 1B"
4304 Steen/Harrison Township Fire 1B
4368 UNKNOWN FIRE
4432 UNKNOWN
4464 UNKNOWN; Possibly Freelandville Fire
4496 UNKNOWN
4528 UNKNOWN FIRE; Referred to as "Channel B"
4560 Bicknell Fire/EMS
4592 McClure Ambulance EMS
4624 St. Anthony Ambulance EMS
4656 Halter Smith Ambulance EMS

4720 Knox County Civil Defense
4784 UNKNOWN; Possibly Bicknell Fire
7328 UNKNOWN DATA; Possibly Bicknell Water Department Telemetry

---

MECA - Marion County/City of Indianapolis
System 1 (Public Safety) Frequencies:

860.9875 860.9375 860.7625 860.2625 859.9375
869.7625 859.2625 858.9375 858.7625 858.2625
858.2125 857.9375 857.2625 857.2125 857.7625
856.9375 856.7625 856.2125 855.4875 867.1125
866.5375 866.1250 866.1000 866.8375 859.9375

859.2125 Indy FD MDTs
860.2125 Police MDTs
860.4375 Police MDTs
866.8125 Police MDTs

Marion County Fire:

8019 DISPATCH NORTH
9648 DISPATCH SOUTH
14832 LCT-ST4
8304 PT-1
8336 PT-2
8080 PT-3
8752 ADM-1
8368 WT-1
8400 WT-2
8112 WT-3
8784 AD-2
8432 LT-1
8464 LT-2
8208 LT-3
8816 ADM-3
8496 WR-1
8528 WR-2
8240 WR-3
8848 ADM-4
8560 FT-1
8592 FT-2
8272 FT-3
8880 ADM-5
17712 DT-1
17744 DT-2
17776 DT-3
17616 ADM-7
17680 DFP
9680 PER-FG1

9712 PER-FG2
9744 PER-FG3
9776 PER-FG4
9872 PER-ADMIN
8912 MC-TR1
8944 MC-TR2
8976 MC-TR3
9008 MC-TR4
8624 CTY-A
8720 CTY-B
17808 CTY-C

Indianapolis Fire Department:

3216 DISPATCH
3251 EMS
8659 FIRE
3312 OP-1
3344 OP-2
3376 OP-3
3408 OP-4
3440 OP-5
3504 OP-6
3472 ADMIN
3600 TAC NE
3568 TAC NW
3632 TAC SE
3664 TAC SW
13200 INVEST.
4016 EMS SP-1
4048 EMS SP-2
3696 MED-1 (ALL CALL)
3728 MED-2 (WISHARD)
3760 MED-3 (METHODIST)
3792 MED-4 (COMMUNITY EAST)
3824 MED-5 (ST FRANCIS)
3856 MED-6 (ST. VINCENT)
3536 MED-7 (WINONA)
3920 MED-8 (COMMUNITY NORTH)
3952 MED-9 (COMMUNITY SOUTH)
3984 MED-10 (WESTVIEW/VA)

Suburbs:

Beech Grove
12816 BGPD-A
12848 BGPD-B
12880 BGPD-C
12912 BGPD-D
12944 BGPD-E
13040 BFD-DSP

13072 BFD-T1
13104 BFD-T2
13136 BFD-FP
Lawrence
14416 LPD-A
14480 LPD-B
14512 LPD-C
14544 LPD-D
14576 LFD-DSP
14608 LFD-FG1
14640 LFD-FG2
14672 LFD-FG3
14736 LFD-SOP
Speedway
11216 SPD-A
11248 SPD-B
11280 SPD-C
11312 SPD-D
11344 SPD-E
11376 SFD-DSP
11408 SFD-FG 1
11472 SFD-FG 2

Other Emergency Agencies:

4080 EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT
16016 S1-T1
16048 S1-T2
16080 S1-T3
16112 S1-T4
16144 S1-T5
16176 S1-T6
16208 S1-T7
16240 S1-T8
16272 S1-T9
16304 S1-T10
16336 S1-T11
16368 S1-T12
16400 S1-T13
16432 S1-T14
16464 S1-T15

Marion County Sheriff:

4816 MCS-EAST
4848 MCS-WEST
4912 ES-1
4944 HEADQUARTERS
4976 ES-3
5072 SPECIAL DETAILS
5168 COUNTYWIDE

5392 WS-1
5424 WS-2

Indianapolis Police:

16 Adam Sector ND-1
208 Adam Sector ND-2
496 Adam Sector ND-3
48 Boy Sector ED-1
528 Boy Sector ED-2
560 Boy Sector ED-3
80 Charles Sector SD-1
592 Charles Sector SD-2
624 Charles Sector SD-3
112 David Sector WD-1
720 David Sector WD-2
752 David Sector WD-3
784 Edward Sector CD-1
816 Edward Sector CD-2
848 Edward Sector CD-3
144 Edward Sector CD-4
368 COUNTY WIDE

Tippecanoe County/City of Lafayette
Frequencies:

855.2125 855.7375 857.7375 858.7375 859.7375
860.7375 866.0500 867.3000 868.1500

856.7375 Mobile Data Terminal

Fleet Map:

B0-S0 B1-S0 B2-S0 B3-S0 B4-S0 B5-S0 B6-S0 B7-S2

County Fire/EMS

48 EMS Dispatch
80 EMS Chat (Medic Intercom Ch#2)
112 EMS to St. Elizabeth Hospital
120 EMS Chat
144 EMS-A
688 TC FIRE Fireground North 1
720 TC FIRE Fireground North 2
752 TC FIRE Fireground North 3
784 TC FIRE Fireground South 4
816 TC FIRE Fireground South 5
848 TC FIRE Fireground South 6
1264 TC FIRE/EMS Dispatch (1271 on Multi-Select)
1264 EMS Dispatch (1271 on Multi-Select)

1328 LFD Dispatch Channel 1
1360 LFD Dispatch Channel 2 (North Channel)
1392 LFD Dispatch Channel 3 (South Channel)
1424 LFD Dispatch Channel 5 (Fire Investigation & Training)
1456 LFD Dispatch Channel 4 (Training)
1488 LFD Dispatch Channel 6 (Administrative)
1968 WLFD Dispatch
2000 WLFD Fireground North
2016 WLFD Fireground South
2352 WLFD Fireground Training
2672 TC FIRE All Talk Fire A
2704 TC FIRE All Talk Fire B
2736 TC FIRE All Talk Fire C
3408 TEMA Dispatch
3536 TEMA Chat
3440 TEMA Administrative

Tippecanoe County Sheriff/Police Departments:

368 TCPD Dispatch Uniform (Multi-Select on 375, Secure on 376)
400 TCPD Car-To-Car Channel 2
432 TCPD Special Ops. APBs, ISP 14 + All TC Police (APBs on 439)
528 TCPD Ch#4 (Mostly Secure on 536)
1008 LPD Dispatch Uniform
1040 LPD Detectives
1104 LPD Car-To-Car
1168 LPD Special Operations
1648 WLPD Dispatch Uniform
1650 WLPD Dispatch Secure (Lockout)
1712 WLPD Admin.
1744 WLPD ?
2823 TC PD Chat (Jails) T1 to T3
2896 WLPD Car-To-Car

Countywide Shared Talkgroups

16 Radio Maintenance?
2384 Local Government Maintenance
2768 Metro A TC All Talk Animal Control (Truck 50)
2800 Metro B TC All Talk
2832 Metro C TC All Talk
2576 Police 8 Dispatch Court Bailiff, LPD Channel 5, Chopper 1
2608 PU PD Dispatch (Used only on special functions)
41008 TC PD Automatic Vehicle Locator A.V.L. (Lockout)

Other/Local Government:

700-1 TC School Corporation Busses All-Talk
700-2 Street Department
701-1 Street Department
701-2 Street Department Office
702-2 Water Department

703-0 Water Department All-Call
703-1 Water Department Office
703-2 Water Department
703-3 Water Department
704-0 Street Department All-Call
704-1 Street Department
704-2 Street Department
706-0 TID (Signal Light Repair) All-Call
706-1 TID (Signal Light Repair)
707-2 ?

Vigo County, Indiana
Frequencies:

856.4375, 857.4375, 858.4375, 859.4375, 860.4375

City Of Anderson
867.2125 867.5500 868.1625 868.6000 868.8500

City Of Auburn
856.4625 857.4625 858.4625

City Of Bluffton
856.2125 857.2125 858.2125 859.2125 860.2125

City Of Carmel
866.7625 867.3500 867.8000 868.3250 868.8000

Cass County
866.1125 866.5750 867.6875

City Of Columbus
855.4625 856.4625 857.4625 858.4625 859.4625

Delaware County
855.7375 856.8875 857.8875 858.8875
859.8875 860.8875 868.7750

City Of Elkhart
856.9875 857.9875 858.9875 859.9875 860.9875

City Of Fort Wayne
855.2125 855.4625 855.7375 855.9875 856.4375
856.4875 857.4375 857.4875 858.4375 859.4375
859.4875 860.4375

City Of Franklin
856.7375 857.7375 858.7375

Hamilton County
Noblesville
856.8125 857.8125 858.8125 859.8125 860.8125
867.1875 867.7750 868.0750 868.5500 868.6625
East Harrison
866.1625 866.2500 866.2750 866.3000 866.5375
866.6500 866.7875 867.2375 867.5375 867.7375
867.7625 867.8125 867.8500 867.9500 868.1250
868.1500 868.2625 868.3625 868.5625 868.9500

Huntington County
856.7375 857.7375 858.7375 859.7375 860.7375

Madison County
855.4625 856.2625 856.4625 857.4625 858.4625
859.4625

City Of Mishawaka
856.4375 857.4375 858.4375 859.4375 860.4375

Noble County
857.2625 858.2625 859.2625 860.2625

Town Of Plainfield
867.1375 867.5375 868.1750

City Of South Bend
857.9375 858.9375 859.9375

Stueben County
856.2125 857.2125 858.2125 859.2125 860.2125

Vanderburgh County
855.4875 855.9875 856.2625 856.7375 856.9375
857.2625 857.7375 857.9375 858.7375 858.9375
859.7375 859.9375 860.7375 860.9375

2239. Re: Can I bring my FRS / GMRS Radio to Europe - Austria, Germany, Switzerland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-10 20:05:58 UTC
From: FatHam <nospam@address.invalid>
Message-ID: <d5r44qgit1@nyytiset.pp.htv.fi>
Source record: 13501

Evan Platt wrote:
> On Tue, 10 May 2005 03:29:55 +0300, FatHam <nospam@address.invalid>
> wrote:
>
>
>>PMS = Premenstrual Syndrome
>>PMR = Personal Mobile Radio
>
>
> Why did they call it Mad Cow Syndrome? Because PMS was taken. :)

LOL! ;)

2240. AD: Cellphone Blocker, Jtags, Repair Service at Techgadgetz.com!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-10 21:05:46 UTC
From: techgadgetz@canada.com
Message-ID: <1115759146.666873.85110@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13502

Need A Break From Cellphones In Your Own Personal Space?

Have Questions About a Specific Gadget?

Have Something That Needs Repair?

We're here to help!

Check out our homepage at http://www.techgadgetz.com, or for our
products,
Check out our Products page: http://www.techgadgetz.com/products.htm

Currently we offer Jtags, Cellphone Blockers, Repair Services and
Support.

Have A Suggestion Or Item You'd Like To See? Let Us Know In The Form On
Our Homepage! We are adding new products from time to time and look
forward to serving you! Have a great day!

2241. Pro - 95 EDACS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-10 21:10:21 UTC
From: "Worc,Scannerguy" <seamus508@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1115759421.087903.112780@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13503

I live in worcester,ma. I have a pro-94 an 95 i programed them both with the same freq. 851.46250 851.91250 852.03750 852.36250 854.21250 855.48750 855.71250 855.73750 855.96250 855.98750. The 94 is clear the 95 sounds like gurgling wtf can anyone help me out. Thanks

2242. Re: Pro - 95 EDACS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-10 21:36:31 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <epudnbEba9f7tBzfRVn-uA@comcast.com>
Source record: 13504

I've never programmed EDACS, but I found this, that may be of some help:

http://support.radioshack.com/support_tutorials/communications/200-0523/67344.htm

Programming the PRO-95 will be different from the PRO-94. The 95 is made by
GRE, and the 94 is made by Uniden.

Hope this helps.

Bill Crocker

"Worc,Scannerguy" <seamus508@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1115759421.087903.112780@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>I live in worcester,ma. I have a pro-94 an 95 i programed them both
> with the same freq. 851.46250 851.91250 852.03750 852.36250 854.21250
> 855.48750 855.71250 855.73750 855.96250 855.98750. The 94 is clear the
> 95 sounds like gurgling wtf can anyone help me out. Thanks
>

2243. Bearcat 796 firmware upgrades

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-11 01:50:30 UTC
From: Scan10 <scan10@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <i2p281do2rq75p32eqrr9gi0ccg99o9ejf@4ax.com>
Source record: 13505

Looking for feedback on the Bearcat 796 firmware upgrades - anyone tried them?

2244. Re: FCC developing psychological test for ham spam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-11 03:11:11 UTC
From: Nathan <ndameese700@aol.com>
Message-ID: <c486$428177cc$d1cc7c39$22256@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 13506
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,alt.politics.bush,alt.politics.gw-bush,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

This has got to be the biggest load of bullshit I've seen come
down the pike in quite a long time.

What a load of HORSECRAPOLA !!

(...and if it's true then 80% of the current hams would fail just
go to any hamfest and look around at the people in attendence.
looks like a freak convention)

"KWAR" <KWAR@abc.net> wrote in message
news:1182he2fimsoud2@corp.supernews.com...
> Psychological Testing of Amateur Radio Applicants...
> The Cure for Spectrum Rage by Emil Heisseluft
>
> "The FCC is in the process of developing rules that will mandate
> the administration of psychological tests to new amateur radio
> applicants as well as to operators applying for the renewal of
> their licenses."
>
> http://www.cq-amateur-radio.com/April%202005%20Highlights.html
>

2245. Re: FCC developing psychological test for ham spam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-11 03:13:37 UTC
From: Bert Hyman <bert@iphouse.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9652E222D3475VeebleFetzer@news.iphouse.com>
Source record: 13507
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,alt.politics.bush,alt.politics.gw-bush,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

In news:c486$428177cc$d1cc7c39$22256@snip.allthenewsgroups.com "Nathan" <ndameese700@aol.com> wrote:

> (...and if it's true then 80% of the current hams would fail just
> go to any hamfest and look around at the people in attendence.
> looks like a freak convention)

That's because hamfests have been taken over by computer folks; almost no radios to be found these days.

--
Bert Hyman St. Paul, MN bert@iphouse.com

2246. Re: FCC developing psychological test for ham spam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-11 04:31:02 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <UbSdnf6mFr8RFxzfRVn-vw@centurytel.net>
Source record: 13508
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,alt.politics.bush,alt.politics.gw-bush,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

Nathan wrote:
> This has got to be the biggest load of bullshit I've seen come
> down the pike in quite a long time.
>
> What a load of HORSECRAPOLA !!
>
> (...and if it's true then 80% of the current hams would fail just
> go to any hamfest and look around at the people in attendence.
> looks like a freak convention)
>
> "KWAR" <KWAR@abc.net> wrote in message
> news:1182he2fimsoud2@corp.supernews.com...
>
>>Psychological Testing of Amateur Radio Applicants...
>> The Cure for Spectrum Rage by Emil Heisseluft
>>
>>"The FCC is in the process of developing rules that will mandate
>> the administration of psychological tests to new amateur radio
>> applicants as well as to operators applying for the renewal of
>> their licenses."
>>
>>http://www.cq-amateur-radio.com/April%202005%20Highlights.html
>>
>

Are you related to the toad or just another moron? That was an April
fools joke, look at the date.

2247. Lake Station,Indiana

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-11 19:16:58 UTC
From: NORMAN TRIANTAFILOS <kb9ygd@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <KSsge.13312$941.11111@trnddc08>
Source record: 13509

If you live in and around lake station,indiana here is some information for you.lspd uses 2 repeaters(mr) 1 for fire and the other for police.the police is 159.195.fire is 154.665.There is a local towing co that operates here on 157.500 and LSPD uses this for private comms between cars simplex they call it SWITCH UP.the Merrillville indiana fbi office is often heard on 170.500 in the clear they have a trunked system but use this freq for local surveillance its a repeater output.

2248. writing programs for scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-11 20:38:25 UTC
From: Bill Carty <wmccarty@columbus.rr.com>
Message-ID: <53uge.23974$Ib4.11474@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 13510

Hi

I am interested in writing programs for the programing of scanners.

I have windows visual basic net so I don't have to buy a program to build the build program. So that's one step completed.

So my questions are this

where does one find the command set scanners that can be written into a program? Do manufacturers offer the command set up? Or does it have to be hacked?

At one time I had the command codes for my bearcat 895, I forgot where I go them. Been trying to find the codes for a pro 95 and have hit a wall.

Should I come up with something I am willing to share it but I need to find the code somewhere for the scanner(s) and then write the code.

For me its mostly a way to have something to do.

any guidence that any of you are willing to share will be welcomed

Bill Carty
wmccarty@columbus.rr.com

2249. PRO-95 "many banks" software?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-12 04:16:00 UTC
From: Bo Williams <williams@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <1185m082hl71055@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 13511

I love the Win95 software for my PRO-95, and I have a lot of fun with it. It's cool, and the price is unbeatable.

That said, what would be really SUPER cool--and worth $20 to me, maybe--is the ability to save as many banks as you like, and then pull any ten into the scanner easily. Is there a way to do that and I just don't know about it? I save every Win95 file I come up with right now, just to make sure I don't lose anything I want later--but if I could peel banks off, save them, and pull them back into the radio whenever I wanted, I wouldn't have to do that and I could build the perfect frequency set effortlessly for any situation.

BTW, the "close-out" PRO-95 is up to $149.99, and the PRO-97 is up to $219.99, from $199.99 two weeks ago. :(
--
Bo Williams - williams@hiwaay.net
http://hiwaay.net/~williams/

2250. Re: Schematic/Service Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-12 12:17:01 UTC
From: Carlos Ramos <carlosrf@ono.com>
Message-ID: <%OHge.44215$US.43158@news.ono.com>
Source record: 13512

You see in the web.Good Lucky
http://support.radioshack.com/productinfo/ProductResults.asp?Name=Radio_Scanners&ID=004001001

Carlos EA1DU

2251. WANTED: User Manual of WIN-108 Airband scanner.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-12 14:34:23 UTC
From: Geert Pirens <geert.pirens@skynet.be>
Message-ID: <428369d1$0$21644$ba620e4c@news.skynet.be>
Source record: 13513
Cross-posted to: alt.binaries.radio-scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,free.it.radio.scanners,free.uk.scanner-radio,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

Hello,

I have buy me a secondhand WIN-108 Airband scanner but without a user manual.
And I cant find the manufacturer website becouse it dont seems te exist: WIN Industries Ltd - Japan.

Can somebody help me on this manual?

I would be verry greatfull !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Thanks in advance,
Geert Pirens - ON1DQD

2252. Re: WANTED: User Manual of WIN-108 Airband scanner.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-12 14:55:19 UTC
From: "http://freespace.virgin.net/bigun.littleun/" <steves-place@bigun.serverbox.org.nospam>
Message-ID: <r7Kge.4214$f5.2389@newsfe6-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 13514
Cross-posted to: alt.binaries.radio-scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,free.it.radio.scanners,free.uk.scanner-radio,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

"Geert Pirens" <geert.pirens@skynet.be> wrote in message
news:428369d1$0$21644$ba620e4c@news.skynet.be...
> Hello,
>
> I have buy me a secondhand WIN-108 Airband scanner but without a user
> manual.
> And I cant find the manufacturer website becouse it dont seems te exist:
WIN
> Industries Ltd - Japan.
>
> Can somebody help me on this manual?
>
> I would be verry greatfull !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> Thanks in advance,
> Geert Pirens - ON1DQD
>
>

sorry m8 but this is all i can find about it
WIN 108

Decoding ACARS by Bernard Eccleston England

Description
The WIN 108 is a dedicated Airband Scanner and applies itself superbly to
monitoring acars. In competition against a Yupiteru 7100 and Realistic Pro
43 it easily came out on top.

Settings
ACAR frequencies, 131.725 and 131.525, were entered alternately into one of
the two banks of 10 memory channels. Volume was set at 90% with virtually no
squelch (ie quiet
radio). Listening to the data bursts through an extension speaker whilst
watching the screen, proved there was excellent synchronization.

Location:
Positioned about three meters away from the decode computer and directly
above another, it scanned two frequencies, effortlessly delivering high
yields.

Specification Features:
Frequency Coverage 108-142.995MHz
Frequency Steps: 25KHz

Memory Channels: 20 (2 X 10)
Sensitivity: Better than 0.5 v
IuV Input: signal to noise ratio 6db or greater
Spurious: 60db or greater
Band pass: 5KHz 6db down 25KHz 40db down
Squelch: 0 db uV (min), 10db uV (max)

Other Features:

a.. Long battery life.
b.. Priority channel
c.. BNC Aerial socket
d.. External power socket
e.. Simple operation
Summary
The only downside to this scanner is that it is no longer in production. If you are interested in Acars and ever get the opportunity to own one of these scanners, coupled up to the
Airmaster you are on your way to the ideal decoding set up.

Environment:
Scanners: Win 108, MVT-7100, Pro-43
Computer: 486 DX2 SX/ 66MHz (AMD cpu) 8Mb memory
Antenna: Howes AB118 active antenna 118 to 137MHz
VHF Air-band Mounted Externally
Decoder: Lowe Airmaster Version 3 Software

2253. pro-41

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-12 19:06:06 UTC
From: indybob <indyjulio@msn.com>
Message-ID: <65cabfc16fd77846ca49ea4115af0dff@localhost.talkaboutelectronicequipment.com>
Source record: 13515

anyone have a manual for a radio shack pro-41 scanner and is there ann
online?

2254. Pro-96

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-12 20:32:44 UTC
From: Marion Mckenna <marionmck01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <5P-dnbWyDtT-IB7fRVn-3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 13516

Hello all,
I just got a second hand Pro-96 and I am ok with moving around freqs with Win96 but I would like to be able to see what district/talk-group is transmitting at any one time.
Right now no matter what district/talk-group or freq is tuned in I still get the same readout on screen (Phil PA TRS). Is this normal? Is there a way to change something to be able to see the talk-group?
Any help would be appreciated!
Marion
MarionMck01@comcast.net

2255. Re: WANTED: User Manual of WIN-108 Airband scanner.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-12 21:03:57 UTC
From: Steve Terry <g4wwk@despammed.com>
Message-ID: <1xPge.4954$hn5.3516@newsfe2-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 13517
Cross-posted to: alt.binaries.radio-scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,free.it.radio.scanners,free.uk.scanner-radio,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

"Geert Pirens" <geert.pirens@skynet.be> wrote in message
news:428369d1$0$21644$ba620e4c@news.skynet.be...
> Hello,
> I have buy me a secondhand WIN-108 Airband scanner but without a user
> manual.
> And I cant find the manufacturer website becouse it dont seems te exist: WIN
> Industries Ltd - Japan.
> Can somebody help me on this manual?
> I would be verry greatfull !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> Thanks in advance,
> Geert Pirens - ON1DQD
>
Is it like the Maycom AR108 ?

Steve Terry

2256. Bose Wave Radio for Trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-12 21:25:38 UTC
From: Dick <n1rsp@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <mRPge.5735$rw4.2544@trndny03>
Source record: 13518
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have a Bose wave radio with credit card remote that works real nice and looks nice for trade.I am looking for an Icom R3 scanner that is in greta working condition and has no known problems with it.If you want a great sounding radio,please let me know.I will also sell it outright for $225.00 plus shipping.Thanks for looking.You can call me also at 978-281-3359.

--

"Are you still wasting your time with spam?...
There is a solution!"

Protected by GIANT Company's Spam Inspector
The most powerful anti-spam software available.
http://www.giantcompany.com

2257. WANTED: User Manual of WIN-108 Airband scanner.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-12 21:34:41 UTC
From: Geert Pirens <geert.pirens@skynet.be>
Message-ID: <4283cbfe$0$12675$ba620e4c@news.skynet.be>
Source record: 13519
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,dk.teknik.radio.scanner,free.it.radio.scanners,free.uk.scanner-radio,ncf.sigs.radio-scanner,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

Hello,

I have buy me a secondhand WIN-108 Airband scanner but without a user manual.
And I cant find the manufacturer website becouse it dont seems te exist: WIN Industries Ltd - Japan.

Can somebody help me on this manual?

I would be verry greatfull !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Thanks in advance,
Geert Pirens - ON1DQD

2258. WANTED: User Manual of WIN-108 Airband scanner.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-12 21:37:44 UTC
From: Geert Pirens <geert.pirens@skynet.be>
Message-ID: <4283ccae$0$26081$ba620e4c@news.skynet.be>
Source record: 13520
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,dk.teknik.radio.scanner,free.it.radio.scanners,free.uk.scanner-radio,ncf.sigs.radio-scanner,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

Hello,

I have buy me a secondhand WIN-108 Airband scanner but without a user manual.
And I cant find the manufacturer website becouse it dont seems te exist: WIN Industries Ltd - Japan.

Can somebody help me on this manual?

I would be verry greatfull !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Thanks in advance,
Geert Pirens - ON1DQD

2259. Re: Bose Wave Radio for Trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-12 22:27:37 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <T5GdnZTOkonHRR7fRVn-2A@comcast.com>
Source record: 13521
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

With, or with out CD player? Black, or White? First generation, or second?

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

"Dick" <n1rsp@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:mRPge.5735$rw4.2544@trndny03...
>
> I have a Bose wave radio with credit card remote that works real nice and
> looks nice for trade.I am looking for an Icom R3 scanner that is in greta
> working condition and has no known problems with it.If you want a great
> sounding radio,please let me know.I will also sell it outright for $225.00
> plus shipping.Thanks for looking.You can call me also at 978-281-3359.
>
>
> --
>
>
> ---
> "Are you still wasting your time with spam?...
> There is a solution!"
>
> Protected by GIANT Company's Spam Inspector
> The most powerful anti-spam software available.
> http://www.giantcompany.com
>
>
>

2260. Re: PRO-95 "many banks" software?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-13 00:27:44 UTC
From: Elephant <elephant@the-zoo.com>
Message-ID: <4283F480.13EB@the-zoo.com>
Source record: 13522

Bo Williams wrote:
>
> I love the Win95 software for my PRO-95, and I have a lot of fun with
> it. It's cool, and the price is unbeatable.
>
> That said, what would be really SUPER cool--and worth $20 to me,
> maybe--is the ability to save as many banks as you like, and then pull
> any ten into the scanner easily. Is there a way to do that and I just
> don't know about it? I save every Win95 file I come up with right now,
> just to make sure I don't lose anything I want later--but if I could
> peel banks off, save them, and pull them back into the radio whenever I
> wanted, I wouldn't have to do that and I could build the perfect
> frequency set effortlessly for any situation.
>
> BTW, the "close-out" PRO-95 is up to $149.99, and the PRO-97 is up to
> $219.99, from $199.99 two weeks ago. :(
> --
> Bo Williams - williams@hiwaay.net
> http://hiwaay.net/~williams/

That's an easy one, Bo. I do this all the time.

Simply save your banks with a descriptive file name.

Example: Load all of your PD info including trunking info
and then save it as PD.p95

Lets say you have another 10 banks that you want as
fire only. Load the frequencies and then click on
"save as" and give it a description like FIRE.p95

When you want to listen to a particular item, simply open the file,
upload it to the scanner and enjoy!

When I travel, I have various city files. When I'm in Phoenix, I
simply upload the PHX.p95 file into the scanner. When I go to Omaha,
I simply upload the OMA.p95 file. All frequencies, trunking info, ect
is saved.

By the way, did you know that the stored files along with the
program itself is small enough to fit on a floppy disk? So, when you
travel, just take the disk with you. That is, if you can still find
a computer that has a floppy drive :) Of course, you have to take
the transfer cable with you.

2261. Re: Bose Wave Radio for Trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-13 00:42:19 UTC
From: Dick <n1rsp@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <LJSge.6280$ix3.3830@trndny07>
Source record: 13523
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

White in color with no cd.Has credit card remote and sounds awesome.Don't
have a clue on generation.

--

"Are you still wasting your time with spam?...
There is a solution!"

Protected by GIANT Company's Spam Inspector
The most powerful anti-spam software available.
http://www.giantcompany.com

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:T5GdnZTOkonHRR7fRVn-2A@comcast.com...
> With, or with out CD player? Black, or White? First generation, or
> second?
>
> Thanks,
> Bill Crocker
>
>
> "Dick" <n1rsp@verizon.net> wrote in message
> news:mRPge.5735$rw4.2544@trndny03...
>>
>> I have a Bose wave radio with credit card remote that works real nice and
>> looks nice for trade.I am looking for an Icom R3 scanner that is in greta
>> working condition and has no known problems with it.If you want a great
>> sounding radio,please let me know.I will also sell it outright for
>> $225.00
>> plus shipping.Thanks for looking.You can call me also at 978-281-3359.
>>
>>
>> --
>>
>>
>> ---
>> "Are you still wasting your time with spam?...
>> There is a solution!"
>>
>> Protected by GIANT Company's Spam Inspector
>> The most powerful anti-spam software available.
>> http://www.giantcompany.com
>>

>>
>>
>
>

2262. Help with Regency Z10.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-13 04:13:41 UTC
From: jon <jonrev1978@wmconnect.com>
Message-ID: <1115957621.781198.186470@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13524

Greetings! I picked up a Regency Z10 ten channel scanner the other day and have it working again. My daughter wants a scanner to listen to the local sheriffs department, etc. I would love to have a copy of the owners manual to figure out a couple of things, but the big problem is the memory. I assumed it had a battery backup to store freqs in memory, but I cannot find a battery anywhere in it. As soon as you unplug it it loses what I put in. It does go back to what was in it previously, so I need your help. How do you input freqs into memory so they stay put? Does it have an internal battery that doesn't look like a battery? Help appreciated please! Thanks and have a great weekend! Jon.

2263. Re: Bose Wave Radio for Trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-13 08:02:28 UTC
From: Roger Conroy <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <d61muc$qp0$1@ctb-nnrp2.saix.net>
Source record: 13525
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Dick" <n1rsp@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:LJSge.6280$ix3.3830@trndny07...
> White in color with no cd.Has credit card remote and sounds awesome.Don't
> have a clue on generation.
>

What ham bands and modes can be operated with it?

2264. Re: Help with Regency Z10.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-13 13:56:58 UTC
From: Frank346 <frank346@groznyk.com>
Message-ID: <Km2he.8916$yl6.3892308@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 13526

Memory is backed up by a capacitor, C177, a 0.047 Farad 5.5V radial electrolytic. It's on the right side of the main board near the center line of the board. Below the cap is CR116, the diode that feeds the backup circuit. Check both components and replace as needed.

"jon" <jonrev1978@wmconnect.com> wrote in message news:1115957621.781198.186470@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Greetings! I picked up a Regency Z10 ten channel scanner the other day
> and have it working again. My daughter wants a scanner to listen to
> the local sheriffs department, etc. I would love to have a copy of the
> owners manual to figure out a couple of things, but the big problem is
> the memory. I assumed it had a battery backup to store freqs in memory,
> but I cannot find a battery anywhere in it. As soon as you unplug it
> it loses what I put in. It does go back to what was in it previously,
> so I need your help. How do you input freqs into memory so they stay
> put? Does it have an internal battery that doesn't look like a
> battery? Help appreciated please! Thanks and have a great weekend!
> Jon.
>

2265. Re: WANTED: User Manual of WIN-108 Airband scanner.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-13 16:32:42 UTC
From: "Jonathan Clough, Javiation, Bradford, UK" <Clough@Javiation.co.uk>
Message-ID: <EMzQ+EBqaNhCFwri@Javiation.co.uk>
Source record: 13527
Cross-posted to: alt.binaries.radio-scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,free.it.radio.scanners,free.uk.scanner-radio,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

In article <1xPge.4954$hn5.3516@newsfe2-win.ntli.net>, Steve Terry
<g4wwk@despammed.com> writes

>Is it like the Maycom AR108 ?

Nope :-(

The Maycom AR108 was/is the same as the [no longer available] Commtel
307.

The WIN108 goes much further back. It was for a very short time
available in the USA towards the end of its life as (from memory) the
MAC108.

It has as an earlier poster mentions 20 channels in 2 banks of 20 and
just covered the VHF Airband stuff.

--
Cheers,
JC
Javiation - Scanners & receivers from all the leading manufacturers
Telephone 01274 639503 International: +44 1274 639503
http://www.javiation.co.uk

2266. Re: WANTED: User Manual of WIN-108 Airband scanner.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-13 16:35:10 UTC
From: "Jonathan Clough, Javiation, Bradford, UK" <Clough@Javiation.co.uk>
Message-ID: <HMGQuRB+cNhCFwqB@Javiation.co.uk>
Source record: 13528
Cross-posted to: alt.binaries.radio-scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,free.it.radio.scanners,free.uk.scanner-radio,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

In article <428369d1$0$21644$ba620e4c@news.skynet.be>, Geert Pirens
<geert.pirens@skynet.be> writes

>I have buy me a secondhand WIN-108 Airband scanner but without a user
[..]
>Can somebody help me on this manual?

I am not aware of one being available in PDF or similar format on the
'net but I may have a copy left over I can perhaps scan. I will try to
look next week.

--
Cheers,
JC
Javiation - Scanners & receivers from all the leading manufacturers
Telephone 01274 639503 International: +44 1274 639503
http://www.javiation.co.uk

2267. Re: WANTED: User Manual of WIN-108 Airband scanner.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-13 19:01:49 UTC
From: kevcat <kevcat@dodo.com.au>
Message-ID: <4284F996.D352F649@dodo.com.au>
Source record: 13529
Cross-posted to: alt.binaries.radio-scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

Try these people
from the Airband On Line web page
they have a pic of the WIN-108 and there are many references to is being
used for ACARS and have this

We can provide Operating Manuals for many scanners,
so if you've moved house and misplaced yours or the dogs chewed it,
then perhaps we can help. Send an email to Airbandol@aol.com

http://www.airbandonline.co.uk/home.htm

Cheers

Kev

"Jonathan Clough, Javiation, Bradford, UK" wrote:
>
> In article <1xPge.4954$hn5.3516@newsfe2-win.ntli.net>, Steve Terry
> <g4wwk@despammed.com> writes
>
> >Is it like the Maycom AR108 ?
>
> Nope :-(
>
> The Maycom AR108 was/is the same as the [no longer available] Commtel
> 307.
>
> The WIN108 goes much further back. It was for a very short time
> available in the USA towards the end of its life as (from memory) the
> MAC108.
>
> It has as an earlier poster mentions 20 channels in 2 banks of 20 and
> just covered the VHF Airband stuff.
>
> --
> Cheers,
> JC
> Javiation - Scanners & receivers from all the leading manufacturers
> Telephone 01274 639503 International: +44 1274 639503
> http://www.javiation.co.uk

2268. Cellular Freqs;

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-13 19:49:11 UTC
From: NORMAN TRIANTAFILOS <kb9ygd@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <Xw7he.43$pb1.32@trnddc08>
Source record: 13530

List of Cellular Channel Numbers and Frequencies

Chan Mobile Cell Site
----
1 -- 825.03 -- 870.03
2 -- 825.06 -- 870.06
3 -- 825.09 -- 870.09
4 -- 825.12 -- 870.12
5 -- 825.15 -- 870.15
6 -- 825.18 -- 870.18
7 -- 825.21 -- 870.21
8 -- 825.24 -- 870.24
9 -- 825.27 -- 870.27
10 -- 825.30 -- 870.30
11 -- 825.33 -- 870.33
12 -- 825.36 -- 870.36
13 -- 825.39 -- 870.39
14 -- 825.42 -- 870.42
15 -- 825.45 -- 870.45
16 -- 825.48 -- 870.48
17 -- 825.51 -- 870.51
18 -- 825.54 -- 870.54
19 -- 825.57 -- 870.57
20 -- 825.60 -- 870.60
21 -- 825.63 -- 870.63
22 -- 825.66 -- 870.66
23 -- 825.69 -- 870.69
24 -- 825.72 -- 870.72
25 -- 825.75 -- 870.75
26 -- 825.78 -- 870.78
27 -- 825.81 -- 870.81
28 -- 825.84 -- 870.84
29 -- 825.87 -- 870.87
30 -- 825.90 -- 870.90
31 -- 825.93 -- 870.93
32 -- 825.96 -- 870.96
33 -- 825.99 -- 870.99
34 -- 826.02 -- 871.02
35 -- 826.05 -- 871.05
36 -- 826.08 -- 871.08
37 -- 826.11 -- 871.11
38 -- 826.14 -- 871.14
39 -- 826.17 -- 871.17
40 -- 826.20 -- 871.20

41 -- 826.23 -- 871.23
42 -- 826.26 -- 871.26
43 -- 826.29 -- 871.29
44 -- 826.32 -- 871.32
45 -- 826.35 -- 871.35
46 -- 826.38 -- 871.38
47 -- 826.41 -- 871.41
48 -- 826.44 -- 871.44
49 -- 826.47 -- 871.47
50 -- 826.50 -- 871.50
51 -- 826.53 -- 871.53
52 -- 826.56 -- 871.56
53 -- 826.59 -- 871.59
54 -- 826.62 -- 871.62
55 -- 826.65 -- 871.65
56 -- 826.68 -- 871.68
57 -- 826.71 -- 871.71
58 -- 826.74 -- 871.74
59 -- 826.77 -- 871.77
60 -- 826.80 -- 871.80
61 -- 826.83 -- 871.83
62 -- 826.86 -- 871.86
63 -- 826.89 -- 871.89
64 -- 826.92 -- 871.92
65 -- 826.95 -- 871.95
66 -- 826.98 -- 871.98
67 -- 827.01 -- 872.01
68 -- 827.04 -- 872.04
69 -- 827.07 -- 872.07
70 -- 827.10 -- 872.10
71 -- 827.13 -- 872.13
72 -- 827.16 -- 872.16
73 -- 827.19 -- 872.19
74 -- 827.22 -- 872.22
75 -- 827.25 -- 872.25
76 -- 827.28 -- 872.28
77 -- 827.31 -- 872.31
78 -- 827.34 -- 872.34
79 -- 827.37 -- 872.37
80 -- 827.40 -- 872.40
81 -- 827.43 -- 872.43
82 -- 827.46 -- 872.46
83 -- 827.49 -- 872.49
84 -- 827.52 -- 872.52
85 -- 827.55 -- 872.55
86 -- 827.58 -- 872.58
87 -- 827.61 -- 872.61
88 -- 827.64 -- 872.64
89 -- 827.67 -- 872.67
90 -- 827.70 -- 872.70

91 -- 827.73 -- 872.73
92 -- 827.76 -- 872.76
93 -- 827.79 -- 872.79
94 -- 827.82 -- 872.82
95 -- 827.85 -- 872.85
96 -- 827.88 -- 872.88
97 -- 827.91 -- 872.91
98 -- 827.94 -- 872.94
99 -- 827.97 -- 872.97
100 -- 828.00 -- 873.00
101 -- 828.03 -- 873.03
102 -- 828.06 -- 873.06
103 -- 828.09 -- 873.09
104 -- 828.12 -- 873.12
105 -- 828.15 -- 873.15
106 -- 828.18 -- 873.18
107 -- 828.21 -- 873.21
108 -- 828.24 -- 873.24
109 -- 828.27 -- 873.27
110 -- 828.30 -- 873.30
111 -- 828.33 -- 873.33
112 -- 828.36 -- 873.36
113 -- 828.39 -- 873.39
114 -- 828.42 -- 873.42
115 -- 828.45 -- 873.45
116 -- 828.48 -- 873.48
117 -- 828.51 -- 873.51
118 -- 828.54 -- 873.54
119 -- 828.57 -- 873.57
120 -- 828.60 -- 873.60
121 -- 828.63 -- 873.63
122 -- 828.66 -- 873.66
123 -- 828.69 -- 873.69
124 -- 828.72 -- 873.72
125 -- 828.75 -- 873.75
126 -- 828.78 -- 873.78
127 -- 828.81 -- 873.81
128 -- 828.84 -- 873.84
129 -- 828.87 -- 873.87
130 -- 828.90 -- 873.90
131 -- 828.93 -- 873.93
132 -- 828.96 -- 873.96
133 -- 828.99 -- 873.99
134 -- 829.02 -- 874.02
135 -- 829.05 -- 874.05
136 -- 829.08 -- 874.08
137 -- 829.11 -- 874.11
138 -- 829.14 -- 874.14
139 -- 829.17 -- 874.17
140 -- 829.20 -- 874.20

141 -- 829.23 -- 874.23
142 -- 829.26 -- 874.26
143 -- 829.29 -- 874.29
144 -- 829.32 -- 874.32
145 -- 829.35 -- 874.35
146 -- 829.38 -- 874.38
147 -- 829.41 -- 874.41
148 -- 829.44 -- 874.44
149 -- 829.47 -- 874.47
150 -- 829.50 -- 874.50
151 -- 829.53 -- 874.53
152 -- 829.56 -- 874.56
153 -- 829.59 -- 874.59
154 -- 829.62 -- 874.62
155 -- 829.65 -- 874.65
156 -- 829.68 -- 874.68
157 -- 829.71 -- 874.71
158 -- 829.74 -- 874.74
159 -- 829.77 -- 874.77
160 -- 829.80 -- 874.80
161 -- 829.83 -- 874.83
162 -- 829.86 -- 874.86
163 -- 829.89 -- 874.89
164 -- 829.92 -- 874.92
165 -- 829.95 -- 874.95
166 -- 829.98 -- 874.98
167 -- 830.01 -- 875.01
168 -- 830.04 -- 875.04
169 -- 830.07 -- 875.07
170 -- 830.10 -- 875.10
171 -- 830.13 -- 875.13
172 -- 830.16 -- 875.16
173 -- 830.19 -- 875.19
174 -- 830.22 -- 875.22
175 -- 830.25 -- 875.25
176 -- 830.28 -- 875.28
177 -- 830.31 -- 875.31
178 -- 830.34 -- 875.34
179 -- 830.37 -- 875.37
180 -- 830.40 -- 875.40
181 -- 830.43 -- 875.43
182 -- 830.46 -- 875.46
183 -- 830.49 -- 875.49
184 -- 830.52 -- 875.52
185 -- 830.55 -- 875.55
186 -- 830.58 -- 875.58
187 -- 830.61 -- 875.61
188 -- 830.64 -- 875.64
189 -- 830.67 -- 875.67
190 -- 830.70 -- 875.70

191 -- 830.73 -- 875.73
192 -- 830.76 -- 875.76
193 -- 830.79 -- 875.79
194 -- 830.82 -- 875.82
195 -- 830.85 -- 875.85
196 -- 830.88 -- 875.88
197 -- 830.91 -- 875.91
198 -- 830.94 -- 875.94
199 -- 830.97 -- 875.97
200 -- 831.00 -- 876.00
201 -- 831.03 -- 876.03
202 -- 831.06 -- 876.06
203 -- 831.09 -- 876.09
204 -- 831.12 -- 876.12
205 -- 831.15 -- 876.15
206 -- 831.18 -- 876.18
207 -- 831.21 -- 876.21
208 -- 831.24 -- 876.24
209 -- 831.27 -- 876.27
210 -- 831.30 -- 876.30
211 -- 831.33 -- 876.33
212 -- 831.36 -- 876.36
213 -- 831.39 -- 876.39
214 -- 831.42 -- 876.42
215 -- 831.45 -- 876.45
216 -- 831.48 -- 876.48
217 -- 831.51 -- 876.51
218 -- 831.54 -- 876.54
219 -- 831.57 -- 876.57
220 -- 831.60 -- 876.60
221 -- 831.63 -- 876.63
222 -- 831.66 -- 876.66
223 -- 831.69 -- 876.69
224 -- 831.72 -- 876.72
225 -- 831.75 -- 876.75
226 -- 831.78 -- 876.78
227 -- 831.81 -- 876.81
228 -- 831.84 -- 876.84
229 -- 831.87 -- 876.87
230 -- 831.90 -- 876.90
231 -- 831.93 -- 876.93
232 -- 831.96 -- 876.96
233 -- 831.99 -- 876.99
234 -- 832.02 -- 877.02
235 -- 832.05 -- 877.05
236 -- 832.08 -- 877.08
237 -- 832.11 -- 877.11
238 -- 832.14 -- 877.14
239 -- 832.17 -- 877.17
240 -- 832.20 -- 877.20

241 -- 832.23 -- 877.23
242 -- 832.26 -- 877.26
243 -- 832.29 -- 877.29
244 -- 832.32 -- 877.32
245 -- 832.35 -- 877.35
246 -- 832.38 -- 877.38
247 -- 832.41 -- 877.41
248 -- 832.44 -- 877.44
249 -- 832.47 -- 877.47
250 -- 832.50 -- 877.50
251 -- 832.53 -- 877.53
252 -- 832.56 -- 877.56
253 -- 832.59 -- 877.59
254 -- 832.62 -- 877.62
255 -- 832.65 -- 877.65
256 -- 832.68 -- 877.68
257 -- 832.71 -- 877.71
258 -- 832.74 -- 877.74
259 -- 832.77 -- 877.77
260 -- 832.80 -- 877.80
261 -- 832.83 -- 877.83
262 -- 832.86 -- 877.86
263 -- 832.89 -- 877.89
264 -- 832.92 -- 877.92
265 -- 832.95 -- 877.95
266 -- 832.98 -- 877.98
267 -- 833.01 -- 878.01
268 -- 833.04 -- 878.04
269 -- 833.07 -- 878.07
270 -- 833.10 -- 878.10
271 -- 833.13 -- 878.13
272 -- 833.16 -- 878.16
273 -- 833.19 -- 878.19
274 -- 833.22 -- 878.22
275 -- 833.25 -- 878.25
276 -- 833.28 -- 878.28
277 -- 833.31 -- 878.31
278 -- 833.34 -- 878.34
279 -- 833.37 -- 878.37
280 -- 833.40 -- 878.40
281 -- 833.43 -- 878.43
282 -- 833.46 -- 878.46
283 -- 833.49 -- 878.49
284 -- 833.52 -- 878.52
285 -- 833.55 -- 878.55
286 -- 833.58 -- 878.58
287 -- 833.61 -- 878.61
288 -- 833.64 -- 878.64
289 -- 833.67 -- 878.67
290 -- 833.70 -- 878.70

291 -- 833.73 -- 878.73
292 -- 833.76 -- 878.76
293 -- 833.79 -- 878.79
294 -- 833.82 -- 878.82
295 -- 833.85 -- 878.85
296 -- 833.88 -- 878.88
297 -- 833.91 -- 878.91
298 -- 833.94 -- 878.94
299 -- 833.97 -- 878.97
300 -- 834.00 -- 879.00
301 -- 834.03 -- 879.03
302 -- 834.06 -- 879.06
303 -- 834.09 -- 879.09
304 -- 834.12 -- 879.12
305 -- 834.15 -- 879.15
306 -- 834.18 -- 879.18
307 -- 834.21 -- 879.21
308 -- 834.24 -- 879.24
309 -- 834.27 -- 879.27
310 -- 834.30 -- 879.30
311 -- 834.33 -- 879.33
312 -- 834.36 -- 879.36
313 -- 834.39 -- 879.39
314 -- 834.42 -- 879.42
315 -- 834.45 -- 879.45
316 -- 834.48 -- 879.48
317 -- 834.51 -- 879.51
318 -- 834.54 -- 879.54
319 -- 834.57 -- 879.57
320 -- 834.60 -- 879.60
321 -- 834.63 -- 879.63
322 -- 834.66 -- 879.66
323 -- 834.69 -- 879.69
324 -- 834.72 -- 879.72
325 -- 834.75 -- 879.75
326 -- 834.78 -- 879.78
327 -- 834.81 -- 879.81
328 -- 834.84 -- 879.84
329 -- 834.87 -- 879.87
330 -- 834.90 -- 879.90
331 -- 834.93 -- 879.93
332 -- 834.96 -- 879.96
333 -- 834.99 -- 879.99
334 -- 835.02 -- 880.02
335 -- 835.05 -- 880.05
336 -- 835.08 -- 880.08
337 -- 835.11 -- 880.11
338 -- 835.14 -- 880.14
339 -- 835.17 -- 880.17
340 -- 835.20 -- 880.20

341 -- 835.23 -- 880.23
342 -- 835.26 -- 880.26
343 -- 835.29 -- 880.29
344 -- 835.32 -- 880.32
345 -- 835.35 -- 880.35
346 -- 835.38 -- 880.38
347 -- 835.41 -- 880.41
348 -- 835.44 -- 880.44
349 -- 835.47 -- 880.47
350 -- 835.50 -- 880.50
351 -- 835.53 -- 880.53
352 -- 835.56 -- 880.56
353 -- 835.59 -- 880.59
354 -- 835.62 -- 880.62
355 -- 835.65 -- 880.65
356 -- 835.68 -- 880.68
357 -- 835.71 -- 880.71
358 -- 835.74 -- 880.74
359 -- 835.77 -- 880.77
360 -- 835.80 -- 880.80
361 -- 835.83 -- 880.83
362 -- 835.86 -- 880.86
363 -- 835.89 -- 880.89
364 -- 835.92 -- 880.92
365 -- 835.95 -- 880.95
366 -- 835.98 -- 880.98
367 -- 836.01 -- 881.01
368 -- 836.04 -- 881.04
369 -- 836.07 -- 881.07
370 -- 836.10 -- 881.10
371 -- 836.13 -- 881.13
372 -- 836.16 -- 881.16
373 -- 836.19 -- 881.19
374 -- 836.22 -- 881.22
375 -- 836.25 -- 881.25
376 -- 836.28 -- 881.28
377 -- 836.31 -- 881.31
378 -- 836.34 -- 881.34
379 -- 836.37 -- 881.37
380 -- 836.40 -- 881.40
381 -- 836.43 -- 881.43
382 -- 836.46 -- 881.46
383 -- 836.49 -- 881.49
384 -- 836.52 -- 881.52
385 -- 836.55 -- 881.55
386 -- 836.58 -- 881.58
387 -- 836.61 -- 881.61
388 -- 836.64 -- 881.64
389 -- 836.67 -- 881.67
390 -- 836.70 -- 881.70

391 -- 836.73 -- 881.73
392 -- 836.76 -- 881.76
393 -- 836.79 -- 881.79
394 -- 836.82 -- 881.82
395 -- 836.85 -- 881.85
396 -- 836.88 -- 881.88
397 -- 836.91 -- 881.91
398 -- 836.94 -- 881.94
399 -- 836.97 -- 881.97
400 -- 837.00 -- 882.00
401 -- 837.03 -- 882.03
402 -- 837.06 -- 882.06
403 -- 837.09 -- 882.09
404 -- 837.12 -- 882.12
405 -- 837.15 -- 882.15
406 -- 837.18 -- 882.18
407 -- 837.21 -- 882.21
408 -- 837.24 -- 882.24
409 -- 837.27 -- 882.27
410 -- 837.30 -- 882.30
411 -- 837.33 -- 882.33
412 -- 837.36 -- 882.36
413 -- 837.39 -- 882.39
414 -- 837.42 -- 882.42
415 -- 837.45 -- 882.45
416 -- 837.48 -- 882.48
417 -- 837.51 -- 882.51
418 -- 837.54 -- 882.54
419 -- 837.57 -- 882.57
420 -- 837.60 -- 882.60
421 -- 837.63 -- 882.63
422 -- 837.66 -- 882.66
423 -- 837.69 -- 882.69
424 -- 837.72 -- 882.72
425 -- 837.75 -- 882.75
426 -- 837.78 -- 882.78
427 -- 837.81 -- 882.81
428 -- 837.84 -- 882.84
429 -- 837.87 -- 882.87
430 -- 837.90 -- 882.90
431 -- 837.93 -- 882.93
432 -- 837.96 -- 882.96
433 -- 837.99 -- 882.99
434 -- 838.02 -- 883.02
435 -- 838.05 -- 883.05
436 -- 838.08 -- 883.08
437 -- 838.11 -- 883.11
438 -- 838.14 -- 883.14
439 -- 838.17 -- 883.17
440 -- 838.20 -- 883.20

441 -- 838.23 -- 883.23
442 -- 838.26 -- 883.26
443 -- 838.29 -- 883.29
444 -- 838.32 -- 883.32
445 -- 838.35 -- 883.35
446 -- 838.38 -- 883.38
447 -- 838.41 -- 883.41
448 -- 838.44 -- 883.44
449 -- 838.47 -- 883.47
450 -- 838.50 -- 883.50
451 -- 838.53 -- 883.53
452 -- 838.56 -- 883.56
453 -- 838.59 -- 883.59
454 -- 838.62 -- 883.62
455 -- 838.65 -- 883.65
456 -- 838.68 -- 883.68
457 -- 838.71 -- 883.71
458 -- 838.74 -- 883.74
459 -- 838.77 -- 883.77
460 -- 838.80 -- 883.80
461 -- 838.83 -- 883.83
462 -- 838.86 -- 883.86
463 -- 838.89 -- 883.89
464 -- 838.92 -- 883.92
465 -- 838.95 -- 883.95
466 -- 838.98 -- 883.98
467 -- 839.01 -- 884.01
468 -- 839.04 -- 884.04
469 -- 839.07 -- 884.07
470 -- 839.10 -- 884.10
471 -- 839.13 -- 884.13
472 -- 839.16 -- 884.16
473 -- 839.19 -- 884.19
474 -- 839.22 -- 884.22
475 -- 839.25 -- 884.25
476 -- 839.28 -- 884.28
477 -- 839.31 -- 884.31
478 -- 839.34 -- 884.34
479 -- 839.37 -- 884.37
480 -- 839.40 -- 884.40
481 -- 839.43 -- 884.43
482 -- 839.46 -- 884.46
483 -- 839.49 -- 884.49
484 -- 839.52 -- 884.52
485 -- 839.55 -- 884.55
486 -- 839.58 -- 884.58
487 -- 839.61 -- 884.61
488 -- 839.64 -- 884.64
489 -- 839.67 -- 884.67
490 -- 839.70 -- 884.70

491 -- 839.73 -- 884.73
492 -- 839.76 -- 884.76
493 -- 839.79 -- 884.79
494 -- 839.82 -- 884.82
495 -- 839.85 -- 884.85
496 -- 839.88 -- 884.88
497 -- 839.91 -- 884.91
498 -- 839.94 -- 884.94
499 -- 839.97 -- 884.97
500 -- 840.00 -- 885.00
501 -- 840.03 -- 885.03
502 -- 840.06 -- 885.06
503 -- 840.09 -- 885.09
504 -- 840.12 -- 885.12
505 -- 840.15 -- 885.15
506 -- 840.18 -- 885.18
507 -- 840.21 -- 885.21
508 -- 840.24 -- 885.24
509 -- 840.27 -- 885.27
510 -- 840.30 -- 885.30
511 -- 840.33 -- 885.33
512 -- 840.36 -- 885.36
513 -- 840.39 -- 885.39
514 -- 840.42 -- 885.42
515 -- 840.45 -- 885.45
516 -- 840.48 -- 885.48
517 -- 840.51 -- 885.51
518 -- 840.54 -- 885.54
519 -- 840.57 -- 885.57
520 -- 840.60 -- 885.60
521 -- 840.63 -- 885.63
522 -- 840.66 -- 885.66
523 -- 840.69 -- 885.69
524 -- 840.72 -- 885.72
525 -- 840.75 -- 885.75
526 -- 840.78 -- 885.78
527 -- 840.81 -- 885.81
528 -- 840.84 -- 885.84
529 -- 840.87 -- 885.87
530 -- 840.90 -- 885.90
531 -- 840.93 -- 885.93
532 -- 840.96 -- 885.96
533 -- 840.99 -- 885.99
534 -- 841.02 -- 886.02
535 -- 841.05 -- 886.05
536 -- 841.08 -- 886.08
537 -- 841.11 -- 886.11
538 -- 841.14 -- 886.14
539 -- 841.17 -- 886.17
540 -- 841.20 -- 886.20

541 -- 841.23 -- 886.23
542 -- 841.26 -- 886.26
543 -- 841.29 -- 886.29
544 -- 841.32 -- 886.32
545 -- 841.35 -- 886.35
546 -- 841.38 -- 886.38
547 -- 841.41 -- 886.41
548 -- 841.44 -- 886.44
549 -- 841.47 -- 886.47
550 -- 841.50 -- 886.50
551 -- 841.53 -- 886.53
552 -- 841.56 -- 886.56
553 -- 841.59 -- 886.59
554 -- 841.62 -- 886.62
555 -- 841.65 -- 886.65
556 -- 841.68 -- 886.68
557 -- 841.71 -- 886.71
558 -- 841.74 -- 886.74
559 -- 841.77 -- 886.77
560 -- 841.80 -- 886.80
561 -- 841.83 -- 886.83
562 -- 841.86 -- 886.86
563 -- 841.89 -- 886.89
564 -- 841.92 -- 886.92
565 -- 841.95 -- 886.95
566 -- 841.98 -- 886.98
567 -- 842.01 -- 887.01
568 -- 842.04 -- 887.04
569 -- 842.07 -- 887.07
570 -- 842.10 -- 887.10
571 -- 842.13 -- 887.13
572 -- 842.16 -- 887.16
573 -- 842.19 -- 887.19
574 -- 842.22 -- 887.22
575 -- 842.25 -- 887.25
576 -- 842.28 -- 887.28
577 -- 842.31 -- 887.31
578 -- 842.34 -- 887.34
579 -- 842.37 -- 887.37
580 -- 842.40 -- 887.40
581 -- 842.43 -- 887.43
582 -- 842.46 -- 887.46
583 -- 842.49 -- 887.49
584 -- 842.52 -- 887.52
585 -- 842.55 -- 887.55
586 -- 842.58 -- 887.58
587 -- 842.61 -- 887.61
588 -- 842.64 -- 887.64
589 -- 842.67 -- 887.67
590 -- 842.70 -- 887.70

591 -- 842.73 -- 887.73
592 -- 842.76 -- 887.76
593 -- 842.79 -- 887.79
594 -- 842.82 -- 887.82
595 -- 842.85 -- 887.85
596 -- 842.88 -- 887.88
597 -- 842.91 -- 887.91
598 -- 842.94 -- 887.94
599 -- 842.97 -- 887.97
600 -- 843.00 -- 888.00
601 -- 843.03 -- 888.03
602 -- 843.06 -- 888.06
603 -- 843.09 -- 888.09
604 -- 843.12 -- 888.12
605 -- 843.15 -- 888.15
606 -- 843.18 -- 888.18
607 -- 843.21 -- 888.21
608 -- 843.24 -- 888.24
609 -- 843.27 -- 888.27
610 -- 843.30 -- 888.30
611 -- 843.33 -- 888.33
612 -- 843.36 -- 888.36
613 -- 843.39 -- 888.39
614 -- 843.42 -- 888.42
615 -- 843.45 -- 888.45
616 -- 843.48 -- 888.48
617 -- 843.51 -- 888.51
618 -- 843.54 -- 888.54
619 -- 843.57 -- 888.57
620 -- 843.60 -- 888.60
621 -- 843.63 -- 888.63
622 -- 843.66 -- 888.66
623 -- 843.69 -- 888.69
624 -- 843.72 -- 888.72
625 -- 843.75 -- 888.75
626 -- 843.78 -- 888.78
627 -- 843.81 -- 888.81
628 -- 843.84 -- 888.84
629 -- 843.87 -- 888.87
630 -- 843.90 -- 888.90
631 -- 843.93 -- 888.93
632 -- 843.96 -- 888.96
633 -- 843.99 -- 888.99
634 -- 844.02 -- 889.02
635 -- 844.05 -- 889.05
636 -- 844.08 -- 889.08
637 -- 844.11 -- 889.11
638 -- 844.14 -- 889.14
639 -- 844.17 -- 889.17
640 -- 844.20 -- 889.20

641 -- 844.23 -- 889.23
642 -- 844.26 -- 889.26
643 -- 844.29 -- 889.29
644 -- 844.32 -- 889.32
645 -- 844.35 -- 889.35
646 -- 844.38 -- 889.38
647 -- 844.41 -- 889.41
648 -- 844.44 -- 889.44
649 -- 844.47 -- 889.47
650 -- 844.50 -- 889.50
651 -- 844.53 -- 889.53
652 -- 844.56 -- 889.56
653 -- 844.59 -- 889.59
654 -- 844.62 -- 889.62
655 -- 844.65 -- 889.65
656 -- 844.68 -- 889.68
657 -- 844.71 -- 889.71
658 -- 844.74 -- 889.74
659 -- 844.77 -- 889.77
660 -- 844.80 -- 889.80
661 -- 844.83 -- 889.83
662 -- 844.86 -- 889.86
663 -- 844.89 -- 889.89
664 -- 844.92 -- 889.92
665 -- 844.95 -- 889.95
666 -- 844.98 -- 889.98
667 -- 845.01 -- 890.01
668 -- 845.04 -- 890.04
669 -- 845.07 -- 890.07
670 -- 845.10 -- 890.10
671 -- 845.13 -- 890.13
672 -- 845.16 -- 890.16
673 -- 845.19 -- 890.19
674 -- 845.22 -- 890.22
675 -- 845.25 -- 890.25
676 -- 845.28 -- 890.28
677 -- 845.31 -- 890.31
678 -- 845.34 -- 890.34
679 -- 845.37 -- 890.37
680 -- 845.40 -- 890.40
681 -- 845.43 -- 890.43
682 -- 845.46 -- 890.46
683 -- 845.49 -- 890.49
684 -- 845.52 -- 890.52
685 -- 845.55 -- 890.55
686 -- 845.58 -- 890.58
687 -- 845.61 -- 890.61
688 -- 845.64 -- 890.64
689 -- 845.67 -- 890.67
690 -- 845.70 -- 890.70

691 -- 845.73 -- 890.73
692 -- 845.76 -- 890.76
693 -- 845.79 -- 890.79
694 -- 845.82 -- 890.82
695 -- 845.85 -- 890.85
696 -- 845.88 -- 890.88
697 -- 845.91 -- 890.91
698 -- 845.94 -- 890.94
699 -- 845.97 -- 890.97
700 -- 846.00 -- 891.00
701 -- 846.03 -- 891.03
702 -- 846.06 -- 891.06
703 -- 846.09 -- 891.09
704 -- 846.12 -- 891.12
705 -- 846.15 -- 891.15
706 -- 846.18 -- 891.18
707 -- 846.21 -- 891.21
708 -- 846.24 -- 891.24
709 -- 846.27 -- 891.27
710 -- 846.30 -- 891.30
711 -- 846.33 -- 891.33
712 -- 846.36 -- 891.36
713 -- 846.39 -- 891.39
714 -- 846.42 -- 891.42
715 -- 846.45 -- 891.45
716 -- 846.48 -- 891.48
717 -- 846.51 -- 891.51
718 -- 846.54 -- 891.54
719 -- 846.57 -- 891.57
720 -- 846.60 -- 891.60
721 -- 846.63 -- 891.63
722 -- 846.66 -- 891.66
723 -- 846.69 -- 891.69
724 -- 846.72 -- 891.72
725 -- 846.75 -- 891.75
726 -- 846.78 -- 891.78
727 -- 846.81 -- 891.81
728 -- 846.84 -- 891.84
729 -- 846.87 -- 891.87
730 -- 846.90 -- 891.90
731 -- 846.93 -- 891.93
732 -- 846.96 -- 891.96
733 -- 846.99 -- 891.99
734 -- 847.02 -- 892.02
735 -- 847.05 -- 892.05
736 -- 847.08 -- 892.08
737 -- 847.11 -- 892.11
738 -- 847.14 -- 892.14
739 -- 847.17 -- 892.17
740 -- 847.20 -- 892.20

741 -- 847.23 -- 892.23
742 -- 847.26 -- 892.26
743 -- 847.29 -- 892.29
744 -- 847.32 -- 892.32
745 -- 847.35 -- 892.35
746 -- 847.38 -- 892.38
747 -- 847.41 -- 892.41
748 -- 847.44 -- 892.44
749 -- 847.47 -- 892.47
750 -- 847.50 -- 892.50
751 -- 847.53 -- 892.53
752 -- 847.56 -- 892.56
753 -- 847.59 -- 892.59
754 -- 847.62 -- 892.62
755 -- 847.65 -- 892.65
756 -- 847.68 -- 892.68
757 -- 847.71 -- 892.71
758 -- 847.74 -- 892.74
759 -- 847.77 -- 892.77
760 -- 847.80 -- 892.80
761 -- 847.83 -- 892.83
762 -- 847.86 -- 892.86
763 -- 847.89 -- 892.89
764 -- 847.92 -- 892.92
765 -- 847.95 -- 892.95
766 -- 847.98 -- 892.98
767 -- 848.01 -- 893.01
768 -- 848.04 -- 893.04
769 -- 848.07 -- 893.07
770 -- 848.10 -- 893.10
771 -- 848.13 -- 893.13
772 -- 848.16 -- 893.16
773 -- 848.19 -- 893.19
774 -- 848.22 -- 893.22
775 -- 848.25 -- 893.25
776 -- 848.28 -- 893.28
777 -- 848.31 -- 893.31
778 -- 848.34 -- 893.34
779 -- 848.37 -- 893.37
780 -- 848.40 -- 893.40
781 -- 848.43 -- 893.43
782 -- 848.46 -- 893.46
783 -- 848.49 -- 893.49
784 -- 848.52 -- 893.52
785 -- 848.55 -- 893.55
786 -- 848.58 -- 893.58
787 -- 848.61 -- 893.61
788 -- 848.64 -- 893.64
789 -- 848.67 -- 893.67
790 -- 848.70 -- 893.70

791 -- 848.73 -- 893.73
792 -- 848.76 -- 893.76
793 -- 848.79 -- 893.79
794 -- 848.82 -- 893.82
795 -- 848.85 -- 893.85
796 -- 848.88 -- 893.88
797 -- 848.91 -- 893.91
798 -- 848.94 -- 893.94
799 -- 848.97 -- 893.97

There are no existing channel
numbers in the range 800-990.

Band B

Chan Mobile Cell Site
----
991 -- 824.04 -- 869.04
992 -- 824.07 -- 869.07
993 -- 824.10 -- 869.10
994 -- 824.13 -- 869.13
995 -- 824.16 -- 869.16
996 -- 824.19 -- 869.19
997 -- 824.22 -- 869.22
998 -- 824.25 -- 869.25
999 -- 824.28 -- 869.28
1000 -- 824.31 -- 869.31
1001 -- 824.34 -- 869.34
1002 -- 824.37 -- 869.37
1003 -- 824.40 -- 869.40
1004 -- 824.43 -- 869.43
1005 -- 824.46 -- 869.46
1006 -- 824.49 -- 869.49
1007 -- 824.52 -- 869.52
1008 -- 824.55 -- 869.55
1009 -- 824.58 -- 869.58
1010 -- 824.61 -- 869.61
1011 -- 824.64 -- 869.64
1012 -- 824.67 -- 869.67
1013 -- 824.70 -- 869.70
1014 -- 824.73 -- 869.73
1015 -- 824.76 -- 869.76
1016 -- 824.79 -- 869.79
1017 -- 824.82 -- 869.82
1018 -- 824.85 -- 869.85
1019 -- 824.88 -- 869.88
1020 -- 824.91 -- 869.91
1021 -- 824.94 -- 869.94
1022 -- 824.97 -- 869.97
1023 -- 825.00 -- 870.00

2269. Re: ICOM PCR-100 and XP COMM port problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-13 22:13:53 UTC
From: bakermo <bakermo@swbell.net.yourpants>
Message-ID: <7j9a81pfnn24jap2kcqv2bi73h7u90ae0d@4ax.com>
Source record: 13531
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

OK - I solved my own problem. The RS-232 cable I was using was
configured to be a null MODEM. New cable and everything is OK!

On Tue, 10 May 2005 14:34:41 GMT, bakermo
<bakermo@swbell.net.yourpants> wrote:

>Hello all,
>
>I just obtained a ICOM PCR-100 via ebay and am trying to use TalkPCR.
>I hope this isn't a stoopid ;-) question - but I have been browsing
>the messages in this forum and can't find my particular problem.
>
>I am running XP PRO with SP2 on an ASUS mobo and an AMD XP 2000+ CPU.
>It has only 1 COMM port. I am also running TalkPCR 2.4
>
>When I fire up TalkPCR and "accept" that the software is now freeware
>the radio plays just fine and dandy. It continues until I set on a
>station (I am still new and just want to listen to a local AM station
>to verify the thing works). Then after about 15 to 30 seconds the
>output goes off. It doesn't fade out - it just goes off like someone
>threw a switch.
>
>The controls all seem to function but no sound from the speaker (I
>have a separate set of speakers connected directly to the ICOM).
>
>If I shutdown TalkPCR and fire it back up the radio plays just fine;
>until I stop doing things with the controls and then 15 to 30 seconds
>later the sound goes off.
>
>I tried downloading the ICOM PCR-1000 software and what it does is
>after about 15 to 30 seconds it says it lost connection to the radio.
>So I'm guessing the COMM port connection is being broken
>by "something".
>
>I tried turing off all the stratup programs (using MSCONFIG) for
>a "clean boot" but got the same results.
>
>I also tried running TalkPCR in Windows 95, and 98/ME mode - no
>change.
>
>Anybody have any idea?
>
>TIA
>

>
>
>
>bakermo
>
>bakermo@swbell.net.yourpants
>
>email replies remove .yourpants

bakermo

bakermo@swbell.net.yourpants

email replies remove .yourpants

2270. Re: ICOM PCR-100 and XP COMM port problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-14 14:06:15 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <0fudnTqEWopHmBvfRVn-hQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 13532
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Interesting that it worked at all with a null modem cable.

Bill Crocker

"bakermo" <bakermo@swbell.net.yourpants> wrote in message
news:7j9a81pfnn24jap2kcqv2bi73h7u90ae0d@4ax.com...
> OK - I solved my own problem. The RS-232 cable I was using was
> configured to be a null MODEM. New cable and everything is OK!
>
>
>
> On Tue, 10 May 2005 14:34:41 GMT, bakermo
> <bakermo@swbell.net.yourpants> wrote:
>
>>Hello all,
>>
>>I just obtained a ICOM PCR-100 via ebay and am trying to use TalkPCR.
>>I hope this isn't a stoopid ;-) question - but I have been browsing
>>the messages in this forum and can't find my particular problem.
>>
>>I am running XP PRO with SP2 on an ASUS mobo and an AMD XP 2000+ CPU.
>>It has only 1 COMM port. I am also running TalkPCR 2.4
>>
>>When I fire up TalkPCR and "accept" that the software is now freeware
>>the radio plays just fine and dandy. It continues until I set on a
>>station (I am still new and just want to listen to a local AM station
>>to verify the thing works). Then after about 15 to 30 seconds the
>>output goes off. It doesn't fade out - it just goes off like someone
>>threw a switch.
>>
>>The controls all seem to function but no sound from the speaker (I
>>have a separate set of speakers connected directly to the ICOM).
>>
>>If I shutdown TalkPCR and fire it back up the radio plays just fine;
>>until I stop doing things with the controls and then 15 to 30 seconds
>>later the sound goes off.
>>
>>I tried downloading the ICOM PCR-1000 software and what it does is
>>after about 15 to 30 seconds it says it lost connection to the radio.
>>So I'm guessing the COMM port connection is being broken
>>by "something".
>>
>>I tried turing off all the stratup programs (using MSCONFIG) for
>>a "clean boot" but got the same results.

>>
>>I also tried running TalkPCR in Windows 95, and 98/ME mode - no
>>change.
>>
>>Anybody have any idea?
>>
>>TIA
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>bakermo
>>
>>bakermo@swbell.net.yourpants
>>
>>email replies remove .yourpants
>
>
> bakermo
>
> bakermo@swbell.net.yourpants
>
> email replies remove .yourpants

2271. DRU-R100 USB radio as scanner ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-14 14:57:06 UTC
From: andy <nobody@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <d653k1$4eh$1@newsg1.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 13533

I was just browsing through e-bay when i came across a seller trying to sell the DRU-R100 USB radio with special software which would make it pick up Airband,PMR etc !. Now, bearing in mind that the USB radio is 88-108MHZ, how can the software take it out of that range ??

I have one of these USB radios, so what is the software called ?

The e-bay link is
http://cgi.ebay.co.uk/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5773005558&fromMakeTrack=true

Cheers
Andy

2272. Re: DRU-R100 USB radio as scanner ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-14 15:53:43 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <wOWdnR8PELmXghvfRVn-1g@comcast.com>
Source record: 13534

Sounds interesting, but suspect. Doing a search on DRU-R100, using Google,
came up with a few things. Try it.

Bill Crocker

"andy" <nobody@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:d653k1$4eh$1@newsg1.svr.pol.co.uk...
>I was just browsing through e-bay when i came across a seller trying to
>sell
> the DRU-R100 USB radio with special software which would make it pick up
> Airband,PMR etc !. Now, bearing in mind that the USB radio is 88-108MHZ,
> how
> can the software take it out of that range ??
>
> I have one of these USB radios, so what is the software called ?
>
> The e-bay link is
> http://cgi.ebay.co.uk/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5773005558&fromMakeTrac
> k=true
>
>
> Cheers
> Andy
>
>

2273. Re: DRU-R100 USB radio as scanner ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-14 16:54:29 UTC
From: krackula <krackula@i.am>
Message-ID: <poac8156146ii8a5h4d3pk3vb9t6g3lj2v@4ax.com>
Source record: 13535

Andy ....

a look around the web about that radio , shows nothing of it being
able to change modes or bandwidths. actually , many articles
mention how simple the radio is with NO controls other than frequency
, scanning and FM stereo indicator.

for this radio to be useful in airband or other services (PMR etc)
it would have to be able to detect NBFM or AM and be able to
have the I.F. bandwidth changed from the WBFM necessary for
receiving commercial stereo FM broadcasts.

current information and software available suggests that the ebay
sale you mention should be VERY suspect. there is NO
information on the web or from the maker that suggests that the radio
is capable of detecting different kinds of modulation schemes or
altering the radio's bandwidth. (making it useful ONLY for stereo FM
broadcasts)

I'd hang on to my money for a while and see what happens first.(also
notice that this ebay seller only has a feedback rating of " 2 ")

k..................

>I was just browsing through e-bay when i came across a seller trying to sell
>the DRU-R100 USB radio with special software which would make it pick up
>Airband,PMR etc !. Now, bearing in mind that the USB radio is 88-108MHZ, how
>can the software take it out of that range ??
>
>I have one of these USB radios, so what is the software called ?
>
>The e-bay link is
>http://cgi.ebay.co.uk/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5773005558&fromMakeTrac
>k=true
>
>
>Cheers
>Andy
>

2274. Woodbridge, NJ Animal Control?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-14 16:58:30 UTC
From: Splat <pamspamspamspam>
Message-ID: <MuGdncOl5rudsxvfRVn-pQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 13536
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I'm looking for freqs for Woodbridge, NJ, Animal Control. Thanks.

Splat

2275. Re: DRU-R100 USB radio as scanner ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-14 18:05:26 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <xaednSMqusF0oBvfRVn-pQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 13537

He mentions he already has one, and not intending to buy the one on eBay.
He's looking for software.

Bill Crocker

"krackula" <krackula@i.am> wrote in message
news:poac8156146ii8a5h4d3pk3vb9t6g3lj2v@4ax.com...
>
[clipped]
> I'd hang on to my money for a while and see what happens first.(also
> notice that this ebay seller only has a feedback rating of " 2 ")
>
>
> k.................
>
>
>>I was just browsing through e-bay when i came across a seller trying to
>>sell
>>the DRU-R100 USB radio with special software which would make it pick up
>>Airband,PMR etc !. Now, bearing in mind that the USB radio is 88-108MHZ,
>>how
>>can the software take it out of that range ??
>>
>>I have one of these USB radios, so what is the software called ?
>>
>>The e-bay link is
>>http://cgi.ebay.co.uk/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5773005558&fromMakeTrac
>>k=true
>>
>>
>>Cheers
>>Andy
>>
>

2276. Re: DRU-R100 USB radio as scanner ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-14 22:33:49 UTC
From: krackula <krackula@i.am>
Message-ID: <atuc81lm994snspu7vc6hohea5ssutalft@4ax.com>
Source record: 13538

On Sat, 14 May 2005 14:05:26 -0400, "Bill Crocker"
<wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote:

>He mentions he already has one, and not intending to buy the one on eBay.
>He's looking for software.
>
>Bill Crocker
>

roger that ..... I hope the ebay sale eventually proves correct.
seeing the low cost of those radios .... it'd be nice if they can do
those other things too. maybe the heart of that receiver is one of
those new " soft chips " ..... RF dsp radio chips controlled
completely by software and / or firmware ..... then in theory it
would be possible for it to do other things if the software to control
it was available !

guess time will tell ..... maybe " he " can report back and let
us know if he finds something !

k.............

2277. Re: DRU-R100 USB radio as scanner ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-15 02:36:06 UTC
From: andy <nobody@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <d66cil$rm3$1@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 13539

Thanks everyone for the info ! - Yeah, i actually found some software (Radiator) after a hunt on Google. It does do 60 - 160 MHz, however with it being WFM i doubt it would be of any use out of 88-108 MHz !!!!

Cheers
Andy

"krackula" <krackula@i.am> wrote in message news:atuc81lm994snspu7vc6hohea5ssutalft@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 14 May 2005 14:05:26 -0400, "Bill Crocker"
> <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote:
>
> >He mentions he already has one, and not intending to buy the one on eBay.
> >He's looking for software.
> >
> >Bill Crocker
> >
>
>
> roger that ..... I hope the ebay sale eventually proves correct.
> seeing the low cost of those radios .... it'd be nice if they can do
> those other things too. maybe the heart of that receiver is one of
> those new " soft chips " ..... RF dsp radio chips controlled
> completely by software and / or firmware ..... then in theory it
> would be possible for it to do other things if the software to control
> it was available !
>
>
> guess time will tell ..... maybe " he " can report back and let
> us know if he finds something !
>
>
> k.....

2278. Re: Bose Wave Radio for Trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-15 03:50:06 UTC
From: "Mr. Null" <null@null.net>
Message-ID: <RFzhe.14124$Ee6.2483@fe12.lga>
Source record: 13540
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Roger

are you stupid?

"Roger Conroy" <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:d61muc$qp0$1@ctb-nnrp2.saix.net...
>
> "Dick" <n1rsp@verizon.net> wrote in message
> news:LJSge.6280$ix3.3830@trndny07...
>> White in color with no cd.Has credit card remote and sounds awesome.Don't
>> have a clue on generation.
>>
>
> What ham bands and modes can be operated with it?
>
>
>

2279. Re: Bose Wave Radio for Trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-15 13:46:05 UTC
From: Roger Conroy <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <42875298$0$26105$ed2619ec@ptn-nntp-reader02.plus.net>
Source record: 13541
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Yes

I am a complete Dick-Wad
Thanks for asking, I think we may have gone to the same school..
ass-wipe

"Mr. Null" <null@null.net> wrote in message
news:RFzhe.14124$Ee6.2483@fe12.lga...
> Roger
>
> are you stupid?
>
>
> "Roger Conroy" <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:d61muc$qp0$1@ctb-nnrp2.saix.net...
>>
>> "Dick" <n1rsp@verizon.net> wrote in message
>> news:LJSge.6280$ix3.3830@trndny07...
>>> White in color with no cd.Has credit card remote and sounds
>>> awesome.Don't
>>> have a clue on generation.
>>>
>>
>> What ham bands and modes can be operated with it?
>>
>>
>>
>
>

2280. Re: Bose Wave Radio for Trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-15 14:11:33 UTC
From: farfromsane <NOFREAKINSPAM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <pMIhe.2872$YU.553053@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 13542
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Well there you have it...

"Roger Conroy" <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:42875298$0$26105$ed2619ec@ptn-nntp-reader02.plus.net...
>
> Yes
>
> I am a complete Dick-Wad
> Thanks for asking, I think we may have gone to the same school..
> ass-wipe
>
> "Mr. Null" <null@null.net> wrote in message
> news:RFzhe.14124$Ee6.2483@fe12.lga...
> > Roger
> >
> > are you stupid?
> >
> >
> > "Roger Conroy" <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:d61muc$qp0$1@ctb-nnrp2.saix.net...
> >>
> >> "Dick" <n1rsp@verizon.net> wrote in message
> >> news:LJSge.6280$ix3.3830@trndny07...
> >>> White in color with no cd.Has credit card remote and sounds
> >>> awesome.Don't
> >>> have a clue on generation.
> >>>
> >>
> >> What ham bands and modes can be operated with it?
> >>
> >>
> >>
> > >
> > >
> >
>

2281. Re: Cellular Freqs;

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-15 15:31:22 UTC
From: sean <seaningram@tds.net>
Message-ID: <42876644_1@newspeer2.tds.net>
Source record: 13543

What are YOU planning on doing?

Cellular Phone Freqs do NOT belong in your scanner.

You do Not need Cellular Freqs/Channels.

Where were you on 9/11 ?

Unless you are a US Government Agent, you are on a need to know basis ---
You do Not need to know Cellular Freqs./Channels.
"NORMAN TRIANTAFILOS" <kb9ygd@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:Xw7he.43$pb1.32@trnddc08...
>
>
> List of Cellular Channel Numbers and Frequencies
>
>
>
>
>
> Chan Mobile Cell Site
> -------------------------
> 1 -- 825.03 -- 870.03
> 2 -- 825.06 -- 870.06
> 3 -- 825.09 -- 870.09
> 4 -- 825.12 -- 870.12
> 5 -- 825.15 -- 870.15
> 6 -- 825.18 -- 870.18
> 7 -- 825.21 -- 870.21
> 8 -- 825.24 -- 870.24
> 9 -- 825.27 -- 870.27
> 10 -- 825.30 -- 870.30
> 11 -- 825.33 -- 870.33
> 12 -- 825.36 -- 870.36
> 13 -- 825.39 -- 870.39
> 14 -- 825.42 -- 870.42
> 15 -- 825.45 -- 870.45
> 16 -- 825.48 -- 870.48
> 17 -- 825.51 -- 870.51
> 18 -- 825.54 -- 870.54
> 19 -- 825.57 -- 870.57
> 20 -- 825.60 -- 870.60
> 21 -- 825.63 -- 870.63
> 22 -- 825.66 -- 870.66
> 23 -- 825.69 -- 870.69

> 24 -- 825.72 -- 870.72
> 25 -- 825.75 -- 870.75
> 26 -- 825.78 -- 870.78
> 27 -- 825.81 -- 870.81
> 28 -- 825.84 -- 870.84
> 29 -- 825.87 -- 870.87
> 30 -- 825.90 -- 870.90
> 31 -- 825.93 -- 870.93
> 32 -- 825.96 -- 870.96
> 33 -- 825.99 -- 870.99
> 34 -- 826.02 -- 871.02
> 35 -- 826.05 -- 871.05
> 36 -- 826.08 -- 871.08
> 37 -- 826.11 -- 871.11
> 38 -- 826.14 -- 871.14
> 39 -- 826.17 -- 871.17
> 40 -- 826.20 -- 871.20
> 41 -- 826.23 -- 871.23
> 42 -- 826.26 -- 871.26
> 43 -- 826.29 -- 871.29
> 44 -- 826.32 -- 871.32
> 45 -- 826.35 -- 871.35
> 46 -- 826.38 -- 871.38
> 47 -- 826.41 -- 871.41
> 48 -- 826.44 -- 871.44
> 49 -- 826.47 -- 871.47
> 50 -- 826.50 -- 871.50
> 51 -- 826.53 -- 871.53
> 52 -- 826.56 -- 871.56
> 53 -- 826.59 -- 871.59
> 54 -- 826.62 -- 871.62
> 55 -- 826.65 -- 871.65
> 56 -- 826.68 -- 871.68
> 57 -- 826.71 -- 871.71
> 58 -- 826.74 -- 871.74
> 59 -- 826.77 -- 871.77
> 60 -- 826.80 -- 871.80
> 61 -- 826.83 -- 871.83
> 62 -- 826.86 -- 871.86
> 63 -- 826.89 -- 871.89
> 64 -- 826.92 -- 871.92
> 65 -- 826.95 -- 871.95
> 66 -- 826.98 -- 871.98
> 67 -- 827.01 -- 872.01
> 68 -- 827.04 -- 872.04
> 69 -- 827.07 -- 872.07
> 70 -- 827.10 -- 872.10
> 71 -- 827.13 -- 872.13
> 72 -- 827.16 -- 872.16
> 73 -- 827.19 -- 872.19

> 74 -- 827.22 -- 872.22
> 75 -- 827.25 -- 872.25
> 76 -- 827.28 -- 872.28
> 77 -- 827.31 -- 872.31
> 78 -- 827.34 -- 872.34
> 79 -- 827.37 -- 872.37
> 80 -- 827.40 -- 872.40
> 81 -- 827.43 -- 872.43
> 82 -- 827.46 -- 872.46
> 83 -- 827.49 -- 872.49
> 84 -- 827.52 -- 872.52
> 85 -- 827.55 -- 872.55
> 86 -- 827.58 -- 872.58
> 87 -- 827.61 -- 872.61
> 88 -- 827.64 -- 872.64
> 89 -- 827.67 -- 872.67
> 90 -- 827.70 -- 872.70
> 91 -- 827.73 -- 872.73
> 92 -- 827.76 -- 872.76
> 93 -- 827.79 -- 872.79
> 94 -- 827.82 -- 872.82
> 95 -- 827.85 -- 872.85
> 96 -- 827.88 -- 872.88
> 97 -- 827.91 -- 872.91
> 98 -- 827.94 -- 872.94
> 99 -- 827.97 -- 872.97
> 100 -- 828.00 -- 873.00
> 101 -- 828.03 -- 873.03
> 102 -- 828.06 -- 873.06
> 103 -- 828.09 -- 873.09
> 104 -- 828.12 -- 873.12
> 105 -- 828.15 -- 873.15
> 106 -- 828.18 -- 873.18
> 107 -- 828.21 -- 873.21
> 108 -- 828.24 -- 873.24
> 109 -- 828.27 -- 873.27
> 110 -- 828.30 -- 873.30
> 111 -- 828.33 -- 873.33
> 112 -- 828.36 -- 873.36
> 113 -- 828.39 -- 873.39
> 114 -- 828.42 -- 873.42
> 115 -- 828.45 -- 873.45
> 116 -- 828.48 -- 873.48
> 117 -- 828.51 -- 873.51
> 118 -- 828.54 -- 873.54
> 119 -- 828.57 -- 873.57
> 120 -- 828.60 -- 873.60
> 121 -- 828.63 -- 873.63
> 122 -- 828.66 -- 873.66
> 123 -- 828.69 -- 873.69

> 124 -- 828.72 -- 873.72
> 125 -- 828.75 -- 873.75
> 126 -- 828.78 -- 873.78
> 127 -- 828.81 -- 873.81
> 128 -- 828.84 -- 873.84
> 129 -- 828.87 -- 873.87
> 130 -- 828.90 -- 873.90
> 131 -- 828.93 -- 873.93
> 132 -- 828.96 -- 873.96
> 133 -- 828.99 -- 873.99
> 134 -- 829.02 -- 874.02
> 135 -- 829.05 -- 874.05
> 136 -- 829.08 -- 874.08
> 137 -- 829.11 -- 874.11
> 138 -- 829.14 -- 874.14
> 139 -- 829.17 -- 874.17
> 140 -- 829.20 -- 874.20
> 141 -- 829.23 -- 874.23
> 142 -- 829.26 -- 874.26
> 143 -- 829.29 -- 874.29
> 144 -- 829.32 -- 874.32
> 145 -- 829.35 -- 874.35
> 146 -- 829.38 -- 874.38
> 147 -- 829.41 -- 874.41
> 148 -- 829.44 -- 874.44
> 149 -- 829.47 -- 874.47
> 150 -- 829.50 -- 874.50
> 151 -- 829.53 -- 874.53
> 152 -- 829.56 -- 874.56
> 153 -- 829.59 -- 874.59
> 154 -- 829.62 -- 874.62
> 155 -- 829.65 -- 874.65
> 156 -- 829.68 -- 874.68
> 157 -- 829.71 -- 874.71
> 158 -- 829.74 -- 874.74
> 159 -- 829.77 -- 874.77
> 160 -- 829.80 -- 874.80
> 161 -- 829.83 -- 874.83
> 162 -- 829.86 -- 874.86
> 163 -- 829.89 -- 874.89
> 164 -- 829.92 -- 874.92
> 165 -- 829.95 -- 874.95
> 166 -- 829.98 -- 874.98
> 167 -- 830.01 -- 875.01
> 168 -- 830.04 -- 875.04
> 169 -- 830.07 -- 875.07
> 170 -- 830.10 -- 875.10
> 171 -- 830.13 -- 875.13
> 172 -- 830.16 -- 875.16
> 173 -- 830.19 -- 875.19

> 174 -- 830.22 -- 875.22
> 175 -- 830.25 -- 875.25
> 176 -- 830.28 -- 875.28
> 177 -- 830.31 -- 875.31
> 178 -- 830.34 -- 875.34
> 179 -- 830.37 -- 875.37
> 180 -- 830.40 -- 875.40
> 181 -- 830.43 -- 875.43
> 182 -- 830.46 -- 875.46
> 183 -- 830.49 -- 875.49
> 184 -- 830.52 -- 875.52
> 185 -- 830.55 -- 875.55
> 186 -- 830.58 -- 875.58
> 187 -- 830.61 -- 875.61
> 188 -- 830.64 -- 875.64
> 189 -- 830.67 -- 875.67
> 190 -- 830.70 -- 875.70
> 191 -- 830.73 -- 875.73
> 192 -- 830.76 -- 875.76
> 193 -- 830.79 -- 875.79
> 194 -- 830.82 -- 875.82
> 195 -- 830.85 -- 875.85
> 196 -- 830.88 -- 875.88
> 197 -- 830.91 -- 875.91
> 198 -- 830.94 -- 875.94
> 199 -- 830.97 -- 875.97
> 200 -- 831.00 -- 876.00
> 201 -- 831.03 -- 876.03
> 202 -- 831.06 -- 876.06
> 203 -- 831.09 -- 876.09
> 204 -- 831.12 -- 876.12
> 205 -- 831.15 -- 876.15
> 206 -- 831.18 -- 876.18
> 207 -- 831.21 -- 876.21
> 208 -- 831.24 -- 876.24
> 209 -- 831.27 -- 876.27
> 210 -- 831.30 -- 876.30
> 211 -- 831.33 -- 876.33
> 212 -- 831.36 -- 876.36
> 213 -- 831.39 -- 876.39
> 214 -- 831.42 -- 876.42
> 215 -- 831.45 -- 876.45
> 216 -- 831.48 -- 876.48
> 217 -- 831.51 -- 876.51
> 218 -- 831.54 -- 876.54
> 219 -- 831.57 -- 876.57
> 220 -- 831.60 -- 876.60
> 221 -- 831.63 -- 876.63
> 222 -- 831.66 -- 876.66
> 223 -- 831.69 -- 876.69

> 224 -- 831.72 -- 876.72
> 225 -- 831.75 -- 876.75
> 226 -- 831.78 -- 876.78
> 227 -- 831.81 -- 876.81
> 228 -- 831.84 -- 876.84
> 229 -- 831.87 -- 876.87
> 230 -- 831.90 -- 876.90
> 231 -- 831.93 -- 876.93
> 232 -- 831.96 -- 876.96
> 233 -- 831.99 -- 876.99
> 234 -- 832.02 -- 877.02
> 235 -- 832.05 -- 877.05
> 236 -- 832.08 -- 877.08
> 237 -- 832.11 -- 877.11
> 238 -- 832.14 -- 877.14
> 239 -- 832.17 -- 877.17
> 240 -- 832.20 -- 877.20
> 241 -- 832.23 -- 877.23
> 242 -- 832.26 -- 877.26
> 243 -- 832.29 -- 877.29
> 244 -- 832.32 -- 877.32
> 245 -- 832.35 -- 877.35
> 246 -- 832.38 -- 877.38
> 247 -- 832.41 -- 877.41
> 248 -- 832.44 -- 877.44
> 249 -- 832.47 -- 877.47
> 250 -- 832.50 -- 877.50
> 251 -- 832.53 -- 877.53
> 252 -- 832.56 -- 877.56
> 253 -- 832.59 -- 877.59
> 254 -- 832.62 -- 877.62
> 255 -- 832.65 -- 877.65
> 256 -- 832.68 -- 877.68
> 257 -- 832.71 -- 877.71
> 258 -- 832.74 -- 877.74
> 259 -- 832.77 -- 877.77
> 260 -- 832.80 -- 877.80
> 261 -- 832.83 -- 877.83
> 262 -- 832.86 -- 877.86
> 263 -- 832.89 -- 877.89
> 264 -- 832.92 -- 877.92
> 265 -- 832.95 -- 877.95
> 266 -- 832.98 -- 877.98
> 267 -- 833.01 -- 878.01
> 268 -- 833.04 -- 878.04
> 269 -- 833.07 -- 878.07
> 270 -- 833.10 -- 878.10
> 271 -- 833.13 -- 878.13
> 272 -- 833.16 -- 878.16
> 273 -- 833.19 -- 878.19

> 274 -- 833.22 -- 878.22
> 275 -- 833.25 -- 878.25
> 276 -- 833.28 -- 878.28
> 277 -- 833.31 -- 878.31
> 278 -- 833.34 -- 878.34
> 279 -- 833.37 -- 878.37
> 280 -- 833.40 -- 878.40
> 281 -- 833.43 -- 878.43
> 282 -- 833.46 -- 878.46
> 283 -- 833.49 -- 878.49
> 284 -- 833.52 -- 878.52
> 285 -- 833.55 -- 878.55
> 286 -- 833.58 -- 878.58
> 287 -- 833.61 -- 878.61
> 288 -- 833.64 -- 878.64
> 289 -- 833.67 -- 878.67
> 290 -- 833.70 -- 878.70
> 291 -- 833.73 -- 878.73
> 292 -- 833.76 -- 878.76
> 293 -- 833.79 -- 878.79
> 294 -- 833.82 -- 878.82
> 295 -- 833.85 -- 878.85
> 296 -- 833.88 -- 878.88
> 297 -- 833.91 -- 878.91
> 298 -- 833.94 -- 878.94
> 299 -- 833.97 -- 878.97
> 300 -- 834.00 -- 879.00
> 301 -- 834.03 -- 879.03
> 302 -- 834.06 -- 879.06
> 303 -- 834.09 -- 879.09
> 304 -- 834.12 -- 879.12
> 305 -- 834.15 -- 879.15
> 306 -- 834.18 -- 879.18
> 307 -- 834.21 -- 879.21
> 308 -- 834.24 -- 879.24
> 309 -- 834.27 -- 879.27
> 310 -- 834.30 -- 879.30
> 311 -- 834.33 -- 879.33
> 312 -- 834.36 -- 879.36
> 313 -- 834.39 -- 879.39
> 314 -- 834.42 -- 879.42
> 315 -- 834.45 -- 879.45
> 316 -- 834.48 -- 879.48
> 317 -- 834.51 -- 879.51
> 318 -- 834.54 -- 879.54
> 319 -- 834.57 -- 879.57
> 320 -- 834.60 -- 879.60
> 321 -- 834.63 -- 879.63
> 322 -- 834.66 -- 879.66
> 323 -- 834.69 -- 879.69

> 324 -- 834.72 -- 879.72
> 325 -- 834.75 -- 879.75
> 326 -- 834.78 -- 879.78
> 327 -- 834.81 -- 879.81
> 328 -- 834.84 -- 879.84
> 329 -- 834.87 -- 879.87
> 330 -- 834.90 -- 879.90
> 331 -- 834.93 -- 879.93
> 332 -- 834.96 -- 879.96
> 333 -- 834.99 -- 879.99
> 334 -- 835.02 -- 880.02
> 335 -- 835.05 -- 880.05
> 336 -- 835.08 -- 880.08
> 337 -- 835.11 -- 880.11
> 338 -- 835.14 -- 880.14
> 339 -- 835.17 -- 880.17
> 340 -- 835.20 -- 880.20
> 341 -- 835.23 -- 880.23
> 342 -- 835.26 -- 880.26
> 343 -- 835.29 -- 880.29
> 344 -- 835.32 -- 880.32
> 345 -- 835.35 -- 880.35
> 346 -- 835.38 -- 880.38
> 347 -- 835.41 -- 880.41
> 348 -- 835.44 -- 880.44
> 349 -- 835.47 -- 880.47
> 350 -- 835.50 -- 880.50
> 351 -- 835.53 -- 880.53
> 352 -- 835.56 -- 880.56
> 353 -- 835.59 -- 880.59
> 354 -- 835.62 -- 880.62
> 355 -- 835.65 -- 880.65
> 356 -- 835.68 -- 880.68
> 357 -- 835.71 -- 880.71
> 358 -- 835.74 -- 880.74
> 359 -- 835.77 -- 880.77
> 360 -- 835.80 -- 880.80
> 361 -- 835.83 -- 880.83
> 362 -- 835.86 -- 880.86
> 363 -- 835.89 -- 880.89
> 364 -- 835.92 -- 880.92
> 365 -- 835.95 -- 880.95
> 366 -- 835.98 -- 880.98
> 367 -- 836.01 -- 881.01
> 368 -- 836.04 -- 881.04
> 369 -- 836.07 -- 881.07
> 370 -- 836.10 -- 881.10
> 371 -- 836.13 -- 881.13
> 372 -- 836.16 -- 881.16
> 373 -- 836.19 -- 881.19

> 374 -- 836.22 -- 881.22
> 375 -- 836.25 -- 881.25
> 376 -- 836.28 -- 881.28
> 377 -- 836.31 -- 881.31
> 378 -- 836.34 -- 881.34
> 379 -- 836.37 -- 881.37
> 380 -- 836.40 -- 881.40
> 381 -- 836.43 -- 881.43
> 382 -- 836.46 -- 881.46
> 383 -- 836.49 -- 881.49
> 384 -- 836.52 -- 881.52
> 385 -- 836.55 -- 881.55
> 386 -- 836.58 -- 881.58
> 387 -- 836.61 -- 881.61
> 388 -- 836.64 -- 881.64
> 389 -- 836.67 -- 881.67
> 390 -- 836.70 -- 881.70
> 391 -- 836.73 -- 881.73
> 392 -- 836.76 -- 881.76
> 393 -- 836.79 -- 881.79
> 394 -- 836.82 -- 881.82
> 395 -- 836.85 -- 881.85
> 396 -- 836.88 -- 881.88
> 397 -- 836.91 -- 881.91
> 398 -- 836.94 -- 881.94
> 399 -- 836.97 -- 881.97
> 400 -- 837.00 -- 882.00
> 401 -- 837.03 -- 882.03
> 402 -- 837.06 -- 882.06
> 403 -- 837.09 -- 882.09
> 404 -- 837.12 -- 882.12
> 405 -- 837.15 -- 882.15
> 406 -- 837.18 -- 882.18
> 407 -- 837.21 -- 882.21
> 408 -- 837.24 -- 882.24
> 409 -- 837.27 -- 882.27
> 410 -- 837.30 -- 882.30
> 411 -- 837.33 -- 882.33
> 412 -- 837.36 -- 882.36
> 413 -- 837.39 -- 882.39
> 414 -- 837.42 -- 882.42
> 415 -- 837.45 -- 882.45
> 416 -- 837.48 -- 882.48
> 417 -- 837.51 -- 882.51
> 418 -- 837.54 -- 882.54
> 419 -- 837.57 -- 882.57
> 420 -- 837.60 -- 882.60
> 421 -- 837.63 -- 882.63
> 422 -- 837.66 -- 882.66
> 423 -- 837.69 -- 882.69

> 424 -- 837.72 -- 882.72
> 425 -- 837.75 -- 882.75
> 426 -- 837.78 -- 882.78
> 427 -- 837.81 -- 882.81
> 428 -- 837.84 -- 882.84
> 429 -- 837.87 -- 882.87
> 430 -- 837.90 -- 882.90
> 431 -- 837.93 -- 882.93
> 432 -- 837.96 -- 882.96
> 433 -- 837.99 -- 882.99
> 434 -- 838.02 -- 883.02
> 435 -- 838.05 -- 883.05
> 436 -- 838.08 -- 883.08
> 437 -- 838.11 -- 883.11
> 438 -- 838.14 -- 883.14
> 439 -- 838.17 -- 883.17
> 440 -- 838.20 -- 883.20
> 441 -- 838.23 -- 883.23
> 442 -- 838.26 -- 883.26
> 443 -- 838.29 -- 883.29
> 444 -- 838.32 -- 883.32
> 445 -- 838.35 -- 883.35
> 446 -- 838.38 -- 883.38
> 447 -- 838.41 -- 883.41
> 448 -- 838.44 -- 883.44
> 449 -- 838.47 -- 883.47
> 450 -- 838.50 -- 883.50
> 451 -- 838.53 -- 883.53
> 452 -- 838.56 -- 883.56
> 453 -- 838.59 -- 883.59
> 454 -- 838.62 -- 883.62
> 455 -- 838.65 -- 883.65
> 456 -- 838.68 -- 883.68
> 457 -- 838.71 -- 883.71
> 458 -- 838.74 -- 883.74
> 459 -- 838.77 -- 883.77
> 460 -- 838.80 -- 883.80
> 461 -- 838.83 -- 883.83
> 462 -- 838.86 -- 883.86
> 463 -- 838.89 -- 883.89
> 464 -- 838.92 -- 883.92
> 465 -- 838.95 -- 883.95
> 466 -- 838.98 -- 883.98
> 467 -- 839.01 -- 884.01
> 468 -- 839.04 -- 884.04
> 469 -- 839.07 -- 884.07
> 470 -- 839.10 -- 884.10
> 471 -- 839.13 -- 884.13
> 472 -- 839.16 -- 884.16
> 473 -- 839.19 -- 884.19

> 474 -- 839.22 -- 884.22
> 475 -- 839.25 -- 884.25
> 476 -- 839.28 -- 884.28
> 477 -- 839.31 -- 884.31
> 478 -- 839.34 -- 884.34
> 479 -- 839.37 -- 884.37
> 480 -- 839.40 -- 884.40
> 481 -- 839.43 -- 884.43
> 482 -- 839.46 -- 884.46
> 483 -- 839.49 -- 884.49
> 484 -- 839.52 -- 884.52
> 485 -- 839.55 -- 884.55
> 486 -- 839.58 -- 884.58
> 487 -- 839.61 -- 884.61
> 488 -- 839.64 -- 884.64
> 489 -- 839.67 -- 884.67
> 490 -- 839.70 -- 884.70
> 491 -- 839.73 -- 884.73
> 492 -- 839.76 -- 884.76
> 493 -- 839.79 -- 884.79
> 494 -- 839.82 -- 884.82
> 495 -- 839.85 -- 884.85
> 496 -- 839.88 -- 884.88
> 497 -- 839.91 -- 884.91
> 498 -- 839.94 -- 884.94
> 499 -- 839.97 -- 884.97
> 500 -- 840.00 -- 885.00
> 501 -- 840.03 -- 885.03
> 502 -- 840.06 -- 885.06
> 503 -- 840.09 -- 885.09
> 504 -- 840.12 -- 885.12
> 505 -- 840.15 -- 885.15
> 506 -- 840.18 -- 885.18
> 507 -- 840.21 -- 885.21
> 508 -- 840.24 -- 885.24
> 509 -- 840.27 -- 885.27
> 510 -- 840.30 -- 885.30
> 511 -- 840.33 -- 885.33
> 512 -- 840.36 -- 885.36
> 513 -- 840.39 -- 885.39
> 514 -- 840.42 -- 885.42
> 515 -- 840.45 -- 885.45
> 516 -- 840.48 -- 885.48
> 517 -- 840.51 -- 885.51
> 518 -- 840.54 -- 885.54
> 519 -- 840.57 -- 885.57
> 520 -- 840.60 -- 885.60
> 521 -- 840.63 -- 885.63
> 522 -- 840.66 -- 885.66
> 523 -- 840.69 -- 885.69

> 524 -- 840.72 -- 885.72
> 525 -- 840.75 -- 885.75
> 526 -- 840.78 -- 885.78
> 527 -- 840.81 -- 885.81
> 528 -- 840.84 -- 885.84
> 529 -- 840.87 -- 885.87
> 530 -- 840.90 -- 885.90
> 531 -- 840.93 -- 885.93
> 532 -- 840.96 -- 885.96
> 533 -- 840.99 -- 885.99
> 534 -- 841.02 -- 886.02
> 535 -- 841.05 -- 886.05
> 536 -- 841.08 -- 886.08
> 537 -- 841.11 -- 886.11
> 538 -- 841.14 -- 886.14
> 539 -- 841.17 -- 886.17
> 540 -- 841.20 -- 886.20
> 541 -- 841.23 -- 886.23
> 542 -- 841.26 -- 886.26
> 543 -- 841.29 -- 886.29
> 544 -- 841.32 -- 886.32
> 545 -- 841.35 -- 886.35
> 546 -- 841.38 -- 886.38
> 547 -- 841.41 -- 886.41
> 548 -- 841.44 -- 886.44
> 549 -- 841.47 -- 886.47
> 550 -- 841.50 -- 886.50
> 551 -- 841.53 -- 886.53
> 552 -- 841.56 -- 886.56
> 553 -- 841.59 -- 886.59
> 554 -- 841.62 -- 886.62
> 555 -- 841.65 -- 886.65
> 556 -- 841.68 -- 886.68
> 557 -- 841.71 -- 886.71
> 558 -- 841.74 -- 886.74
> 559 -- 841.77 -- 886.77
> 560 -- 841.80 -- 886.80
> 561 -- 841.83 -- 886.83
> 562 -- 841.86 -- 886.86
> 563 -- 841.89 -- 886.89
> 564 -- 841.92 -- 886.92
> 565 -- 841.95 -- 886.95
> 566 -- 841.98 -- 886.98
> 567 -- 842.01 -- 887.01
> 568 -- 842.04 -- 887.04
> 569 -- 842.07 -- 887.07
> 570 -- 842.10 -- 887.10
> 571 -- 842.13 -- 887.13
> 572 -- 842.16 -- 887.16
> 573 -- 842.19 -- 887.19

> 574 -- 842.22 -- 887.22
> 575 -- 842.25 -- 887.25
> 576 -- 842.28 -- 887.28
> 577 -- 842.31 -- 887.31
> 578 -- 842.34 -- 887.34
> 579 -- 842.37 -- 887.37
> 580 -- 842.40 -- 887.40
> 581 -- 842.43 -- 887.43
> 582 -- 842.46 -- 887.46
> 583 -- 842.49 -- 887.49
> 584 -- 842.52 -- 887.52
> 585 -- 842.55 -- 887.55
> 586 -- 842.58 -- 887.58
> 587 -- 842.61 -- 887.61
> 588 -- 842.64 -- 887.64
> 589 -- 842.67 -- 887.67
> 590 -- 842.70 -- 887.70
> 591 -- 842.73 -- 887.73
> 592 -- 842.76 -- 887.76
> 593 -- 842.79 -- 887.79
> 594 -- 842.82 -- 887.82
> 595 -- 842.85 -- 887.85
> 596 -- 842.88 -- 887.88
> 597 -- 842.91 -- 887.91
> 598 -- 842.94 -- 887.94
> 599 -- 842.97 -- 887.97
> 600 -- 843.00 -- 888.00
> 601 -- 843.03 -- 888.03
> 602 -- 843.06 -- 888.06
> 603 -- 843.09 -- 888.09
> 604 -- 843.12 -- 888.12
> 605 -- 843.15 -- 888.15
> 606 -- 843.18 -- 888.18
> 607 -- 843.21 -- 888.21
> 608 -- 843.24 -- 888.24
> 609 -- 843.27 -- 888.27
> 610 -- 843.30 -- 888.30
> 611 -- 843.33 -- 888.33
> 612 -- 843.36 -- 888.36
> 613 -- 843.39 -- 888.39
> 614 -- 843.42 -- 888.42
> 615 -- 843.45 -- 888.45
> 616 -- 843.48 -- 888.48
> 617 -- 843.51 -- 888.51
> 618 -- 843.54 -- 888.54
> 619 -- 843.57 -- 888.57
> 620 -- 843.60 -- 888.60
> 621 -- 843.63 -- 888.63
> 622 -- 843.66 -- 888.66
> 623 -- 843.69 -- 888.69

> 624 -- 843.72 -- 888.72
> 625 -- 843.75 -- 888.75
> 626 -- 843.78 -- 888.78
> 627 -- 843.81 -- 888.81
> 628 -- 843.84 -- 888.84
> 629 -- 843.87 -- 888.87
> 630 -- 843.90 -- 888.90
> 631 -- 843.93 -- 888.93
> 632 -- 843.96 -- 888.96
> 633 -- 843.99 -- 888.99
> 634 -- 844.02 -- 889.02
> 635 -- 844.05 -- 889.05
> 636 -- 844.08 -- 889.08
> 637 -- 844.11 -- 889.11
> 638 -- 844.14 -- 889.14
> 639 -- 844.17 -- 889.17
> 640 -- 844.20 -- 889.20
> 641 -- 844.23 -- 889.23
> 642 -- 844.26 -- 889.26
> 643 -- 844.29 -- 889.29
> 644 -- 844.32 -- 889.32
> 645 -- 844.35 -- 889.35
> 646 -- 844.38 -- 889.38
> 647 -- 844.41 -- 889.41
> 648 -- 844.44 -- 889.44
> 649 -- 844.47 -- 889.47
> 650 -- 844.50 -- 889.50
> 651 -- 844.53 -- 889.53
> 652 -- 844.56 -- 889.56
> 653 -- 844.59 -- 889.59
> 654 -- 844.62 -- 889.62
> 655 -- 844.65 -- 889.65
> 656 -- 844.68 -- 889.68
> 657 -- 844.71 -- 889.71
> 658 -- 844.74 -- 889.74
> 659 -- 844.77 -- 889.77
> 660 -- 844.80 -- 889.80
> 661 -- 844.83 -- 889.83
> 662 -- 844.86 -- 889.86
> 663 -- 844.89 -- 889.89
> 664 -- 844.92 -- 889.92
> 665 -- 844.95 -- 889.95
> 666 -- 844.98 -- 889.98
> 667 -- 845.01 -- 890.01
> 668 -- 845.04 -- 890.04
> 669 -- 845.07 -- 890.07
> 670 -- 845.10 -- 890.10
> 671 -- 845.13 -- 890.13
> 672 -- 845.16 -- 890.16
> 673 -- 845.19 -- 890.19

> 674 -- 845.22 -- 890.22
> 675 -- 845.25 -- 890.25
> 676 -- 845.28 -- 890.28
> 677 -- 845.31 -- 890.31
> 678 -- 845.34 -- 890.34
> 679 -- 845.37 -- 890.37
> 680 -- 845.40 -- 890.40
> 681 -- 845.43 -- 890.43
> 682 -- 845.46 -- 890.46
> 683 -- 845.49 -- 890.49
> 684 -- 845.52 -- 890.52
> 685 -- 845.55 -- 890.55
> 686 -- 845.58 -- 890.58
> 687 -- 845.61 -- 890.61
> 688 -- 845.64 -- 890.64
> 689 -- 845.67 -- 890.67
> 690 -- 845.70 -- 890.70
> 691 -- 845.73 -- 890.73
> 692 -- 845.76 -- 890.76
> 693 -- 845.79 -- 890.79
> 694 -- 845.82 -- 890.82
> 695 -- 845.85 -- 890.85
> 696 -- 845.88 -- 890.88
> 697 -- 845.91 -- 890.91
> 698 -- 845.94 -- 890.94
> 699 -- 845.97 -- 890.97
> 700 -- 846.00 -- 891.00
> 701 -- 846.03 -- 891.03
> 702 -- 846.06 -- 891.06
> 703 -- 846.09 -- 891.09
> 704 -- 846.12 -- 891.12
> 705 -- 846.15 -- 891.15
> 706 -- 846.18 -- 891.18
> 707 -- 846.21 -- 891.21
> 708 -- 846.24 -- 891.24
> 709 -- 846.27 -- 891.27
> 710 -- 846.30 -- 891.30
> 711 -- 846.33 -- 891.33
> 712 -- 846.36 -- 891.36
> 713 -- 846.39 -- 891.39
> 714 -- 846.42 -- 891.42
> 715 -- 846.45 -- 891.45
> 716 -- 846.48 -- 891.48
> 717 -- 846.51 -- 891.51
> 718 -- 846.54 -- 891.54
> 719 -- 846.57 -- 891.57
> 720 -- 846.60 -- 891.60
> 721 -- 846.63 -- 891.63
> 722 -- 846.66 -- 891.66
> 723 -- 846.69 -- 891.69

> 724 -- 846.72 -- 891.72
> 725 -- 846.75 -- 891.75
> 726 -- 846.78 -- 891.78
> 727 -- 846.81 -- 891.81
> 728 -- 846.84 -- 891.84
> 729 -- 846.87 -- 891.87
> 730 -- 846.90 -- 891.90
> 731 -- 846.93 -- 891.93
> 732 -- 846.96 -- 891.96
> 733 -- 846.99 -- 891.99
> 734 -- 847.02 -- 892.02
> 735 -- 847.05 -- 892.05
> 736 -- 847.08 -- 892.08
> 737 -- 847.11 -- 892.11
> 738 -- 847.14 -- 892.14
> 739 -- 847.17 -- 892.17
> 740 -- 847.20 -- 892.20
> 741 -- 847.23 -- 892.23
> 742 -- 847.26 -- 892.26
> 743 -- 847.29 -- 892.29
> 744 -- 847.32 -- 892.32
> 745 -- 847.35 -- 892.35
> 746 -- 847.38 -- 892.38
> 747 -- 847.41 -- 892.41
> 748 -- 847.44 -- 892.44
> 749 -- 847.47 -- 892.47
> 750 -- 847.50 -- 892.50
> 751 -- 847.53 -- 892.53
> 752 -- 847.56 -- 892.56
> 753 -- 847.59 -- 892.59
> 754 -- 847.62 -- 892.62
> 755 -- 847.65 -- 892.65
> 756 -- 847.68 -- 892.68
> 757 -- 847.71 -- 892.71
> 758 -- 847.74 -- 892.74
> 759 -- 847.77 -- 892.77
> 760 -- 847.80 -- 892.80
> 761 -- 847.83 -- 892.83
> 762 -- 847.86 -- 892.86
> 763 -- 847.89 -- 892.89
> 764 -- 847.92 -- 892.92
> 765 -- 847.95 -- 892.95
> 766 -- 847.98 -- 892.98
> 767 -- 848.01 -- 893.01
> 768 -- 848.04 -- 893.04
> 769 -- 848.07 -- 893.07
> 770 -- 848.10 -- 893.10
> 771 -- 848.13 -- 893.13
> 772 -- 848.16 -- 893.16
> 773 -- 848.19 -- 893.19

> 774 -- 848.22 -- 893.22
> 775 -- 848.25 -- 893.25
> 776 -- 848.28 -- 893.28
> 777 -- 848.31 -- 893.31
> 778 -- 848.34 -- 893.34
> 779 -- 848.37 -- 893.37
> 780 -- 848.40 -- 893.40
> 781 -- 848.43 -- 893.43
> 782 -- 848.46 -- 893.46
> 783 -- 848.49 -- 893.49
> 784 -- 848.52 -- 893.52
> 785 -- 848.55 -- 893.55
> 786 -- 848.58 -- 893.58
> 787 -- 848.61 -- 893.61
> 788 -- 848.64 -- 893.64
> 789 -- 848.67 -- 893.67
> 790 -- 848.70 -- 893.70
> 791 -- 848.73 -- 893.73
> 792 -- 848.76 -- 893.76
> 793 -- 848.79 -- 893.79
> 794 -- 848.82 -- 893.82
> 795 -- 848.85 -- 893.85
> 796 -- 848.88 -- 893.88
> 797 -- 848.91 -- 893.91
> 798 -- 848.94 -- 893.94
> 799 -- 848.97 -- 893.97
>
> There are no existing channel
> numbers in the range 800-990.
>
> Band B
>
> Chan Mobile Cell Site
> -------------------------
> 991 -- 824.04 -- 869.04
> 992 -- 824.07 -- 869.07
> 993 -- 824.10 -- 869.10
> 994 -- 824.13 -- 869.13
> 995 -- 824.16 -- 869.16
> 996 -- 824.19 -- 869.19
> 997 -- 824.22 -- 869.22
> 998 -- 824.25 -- 869.25
> 999 -- 824.28 -- 869.28
> 1000 -- 824.31 -- 869.31
> 1001 -- 824.34 -- 869.34
> 1002 -- 824.37 -- 869.37
> 1003 -- 824.40 -- 869.40
> 1004 -- 824.43 -- 869.43
> 1005 -- 824.46 -- 869.46
> 1006 -- 824.49 -- 869.49

> 1007 -- 824.52 -- 869.52
> 1008 -- 824.55 -- 869.55
> 1009 -- 824.58 -- 869.58
> 1010 -- 824.61 -- 869.61
> 1011 -- 824.64 -- 869.64
> 1012 -- 824.67 -- 869.67
> 1013 -- 824.70 -- 869.70
> 1014 -- 824.73 -- 869.73
> 1015 -- 824.76 -- 869.76
> 1016 -- 824.79 -- 869.79
> 1017 -- 824.82 -- 869.82
> 1018 -- 824.85 -- 869.85
> 1019 -- 824.88 -- 869.88
> 1020 -- 824.91 -- 869.91
> 1021 -- 824.94 -- 869.94
> 1022 -- 824.97 -- 869.97
> 1023 -- 825.00 -- 870.00
>
>
>
>

2282. Re: Cellular Freqs;

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-15 16:24:53 UTC
From: DougSlug <dougslug@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <sJKhe.21231$NZ1.16389@fe09.lga>
Source record: 13544

Let me guess...you're one of the mindless sheep that voted for Bush, aren't you?

Cellular frequencies are not classified, fool.

"sean" <seaningram@tds.net> wrote in message news:42876644_1@newspeer2.tds.net...
> What are YOU planning on doing?
>
> Cellular Phone Freqs do NOT belong in your scanner.
>
> You do Not need Cellular Freqs/Channels.
>
> Where were you on 9/11 ?
>
> Unless you are a US Government Agent, you are on a need to know basis ---
> You do Not need to know Cellular Freqs./Channels.

2283. ID Tracker Updated - V3.7.0

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-15 17:05:12 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <biteme@spammers.net>
Message-ID: <kcLhe.34451$yq3.8804@bignews1.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 13545

ID Tracker has been updated.

http://bellsouthpwp.net/k/d/kd5eis/IDTracker/IDTracker.htm

Changes since V3.6.0:

- Added BC898T support (thanks to SP5XSB).
- Added marker to Audio Playback to indicate when a specific audio segment has been played.

--
David M. Hitchner - K5DMH
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
http://home.bellsouth.net/p/PWP-k5dmh

ID Tracker
http://bellsouthpwp.net/k/d/kd5eis/IDTracker/IDTracker.htm

ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
For replies, use my callsign @bellsouth.net.

Artificial Intelligence is no match for Natural Stupidity!

2284. Re: Bose Wave Radio for Trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-15 18:56:16 UTC
From: "Mr. Null" <null@null.net>
Message-ID: <pXMhe.21551$NZ1.10697@fe09.lga>
Source record: 13546
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Roger,

I asked if you are stupid, not a dick wad. But since you mention it, what is
a dick wad anyway?

"Roger Conroy" <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:42875298$0$26105$ed2619ec@ptn-nntp-reader02.plus.net...
>
> Yes
>
> I am a complete Dick-Wad
> Thanks for asking, I think we may have gone to the same school..
> ass-wipe
>
> "Mr. Null" <null@null.net> wrote in message
> news:RFzhe.14124$Ee6.2483@fe12.lga...
>> Roger
>>
>> are you stupid?
>>
>>
>> "Roger Conroy" <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:d61muc$qp0$1@ctb-nnrp2.saix.net...
>>>
>>> "Dick" <n1rsp@verizon.net> wrote in message
>>> news:LJSge.6280$ix3.3830@trndny07...
>>>> White in color with no cd.Has credit card remote and sounds
>>>> awesome.Don't
>>>> have a clue on generation.
>>>>
>>>
>>> What ham bands and modes can be operated with it?
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

2285. Re: Cellular Freqs;

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-15 19:46:18 UTC
From: NORMAN TRIANTAFILOS <kb9ygd@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <eGNhe.2090$sr1.1012@trnddc04>
Source record: 13547

well i insist on being INFORMED via whatever it takes...

2286. Re: Bose Wave Radio for Trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-15 20:12:46 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <b2Ohe.1906$cP2.843@fe06.lga>
Source record: 13548
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I'm not completely sure, but I think it's like a tampon, except it's
designed for use by men who have that "drippy dick" syndrome...

"Mr. Null" <null@null.net> wrote in message
news:pXMhe.21551$NZ1.10697@fe09.lga...
> Roger,
>
> I asked if you are stupid, not a dick wad. But since you mention it, what
is
> a dick wad anyway?
>
>
>
> "Roger Conroy" <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:42875298$0$26105$ed2619ec@ptn-nntp-reader02.plus.net...
> >
> > Yes
> >
> > I am a complete Dick-Wad
> > Thanks for asking, I think we may have gone to the same school..
> > ass-wipe
> >
> > "Mr. Null" <null@null.net> wrote in message
> > news:RFzhe.14124$Ee6.2483@fe12.lga...
> >> Roger
> >>
> >> are you stupid?
> >>
> >>
> >> "Roger Conroy" <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:d61muc$qp0$1@ctb-nnrp2.saix.net...
> >>>
> >>> "Dick" <n1rsp@verizon.net> wrote in message
> >>> news:LJSge.6280$ix3.3830@trndny07...
> >>>> White in color with no cd.Has credit card remote and sounds
> >>>> awesome.Don't
> >>>> have a clue on generation.
> >>>>
> >>>
> >>> What ham bands and modes can be operated with it?
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>

> >>
> >>
> >
> >
>
>

2287. Re: Cellular Freqs;

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-15 22:40:02 UTC
From: sean <seaningram@tds.net>
Message-ID: <4287cab9$1_3@newspeer2.tds.net>
Source record: 13549

If you have web browser capabilities as you do email then look for your
so-wanted cellular freqs and channels.

I'm sure as hell ain't gonna provide you with that info!

You're a grown ass man!

There are websites that have the info you are so coveting!
"NORMAN TRIANTAFILOS" <kb9ygd@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:eGNhe.2090$sr1.1012@trnddc04...
> well i insist on being INFORMED via whatever it takes...
>
>

2288. Re: Bose Wave Radio for Trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-15 23:33:05 UTC
From: stop <stopthespam@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <bsmf815b4mqf9ib11dh4ggtgoe1f4s4rrl@4ax.com>
Source record: 13550
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

PowerHouse, you sure would know about tampons and drippy dick
syndrome. Your friend Bobby told me all about you.

On Sun, 15 May 2005 15:12:46 -0500, "PowerHouse Communications"
<PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote:

>I'm not completely sure, but I think it's like a tampon, except it's
>designed for use by men who have that "drippy dick" syndrome...
>
>
>"Mr. Null" <null@null.net> wrote in message
>news:pXMhe.21551$NZ1.10697@fe09.lga...
>> Roger,
>>
>> I asked if you are stupid, not a dick wad. But since you mention it, what
>is
>> a dick wad anyway?
>>
>>
>>
>> "Roger Conroy" <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:42875298$0$26105$ed2619ec@ptn-nntp-reader02.plus.net...
>> >
>> > Yes
>> >
>> > I am a complete Dick-Wad
>> > Thanks for asking, I think we may have gone to the same school..
>> > ass-wipe
>> >
>> > "Mr. Null" <null@null.net> wrote in message
>> > news:RFzhe.14124$Ee6.2483@fe12.lga...
>> >> Roger
>> >>
>> >> are you stupid?
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> "Roger Conroy" <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:d61muc$qp0$1@ctb-nnrp2.saix.net...
>> >>>
>> >>> "Dick" <n1rsp@verizon.net> wrote in message
>> >>> news:LJSge.6280$ix3.3830@trndny07...
>> >>>> White in color with no cd.Has credit card remote and sounds
>> >>>> awesome.Don't
>> >>>> have a clue on generation.

>> >>>>
>> >>>
>> >>> What ham bands and modes can be operated with it?
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >
>> >
>>
>>
>

2289. Re: Cellular Freqs;

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-16 02:15:11 UTC
From: Mike Burch <mburch46@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <PmThe.83937$c24.4322@attbi_s72>
Source record: 13451

Easy Sean, He just asked a question. Thats what we are here for. The information he asked for is not a secret by any means and its much easier to get by asking the NG. Why should he go digging for hours if he can just ask us? That's what I would do. There isn't much to listen to on the cellular band anyway, well at least thats what I have heard. :-).

Mike Burch K8MB
Apache Junction, Arizona

2290. Re: Cellular Freqs;

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-16 06:47:31 UTC
From: dxAce <dxace@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <42884203.9DD8EE53@milestones.com>
Source record: 13452

DougSlug wrote:

> Let me guess...you're one of the mindless sheep that voted for Bush, aren't
> you?

Mindless sheep? Only a fricking idiot would vote for a self admitted war
criminal and serial faker of Purple Heart awards (John Fraud Kerry).

Stuff that in your crack pipe and smoke it.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2291. military air band radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-16 07:36:21 UTC
From: miso@sushi.com
Message-ID: <1116228981.017839.306620@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13453
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy.area51,rec.aviation.military,rec.radio.scanner

This should be an exhaustive list of what radios are available that
cover mil air.
http://www.lazygranch.com/milscan.htm

2292. Re: Bose Wave Radio for Trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-16 07:48:52 UTC
From: Roger Conroy <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <d69j96$27i$1@ctb-nnrp2.saix.net>
Source record: 13454
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Which asshole spoofed my id?

Mr. Null - you can't be too bright if you don't recognise sarcasm!
So for the benefit of the dummies here I'll explain. My "question" about ham bands and modes is a sarcastic put-down to the idiot who posted a totally off topic item!

BTW - if a post that looks like it comes from me does not originate from news.saix.net then it is not me!

"Roger Conroy" <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:42875298$0$26105$ed2619ec@ptn-nntp-reader02.plus.net...
>
> Yes
>
> I am a complete Dick-Wad
> Thanks for asking, I think we may have gone to the same school..
> ass-wipe
>
> "Mr. Null" <null@null.net> wrote in message
> news:RFzhe.14124$Ee6.2483@fe12.lga...
> > Roger
> >
> > are you stupid?
> >
> >
> > "Roger Conroy" <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:d61muc$qp0$1@ctb-nnrp2.saix.net...
> >>
> >> "Dick" <n1rsp@verizon.net> wrote in message
> >> news:LJSge.6280$ix3.3830@trndny07...
> >>> White in color with no cd.Has credit card remote and sounds
> >>> awesome.Don't
> >>> have a clue on generation.
> >>>
> >>
> >> What ham bands and modes can be operated with it?
> >>
> >>
> >>
> > >
> > >
>
>

2293. Re: Yupitaru problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-16 09:26:23 UTC
From: miso@sushi.com
Message-ID: <1116235583.474967.181350@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13455

Javiation used to have top notch service but it seems to have become a
black hole.

2294. Re: Cellular Freqs;

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-16 11:35:46 UTC
From: "Ralph A. Schmid, DK5RAS" <nospam@radio-link.net>
Message-ID: <7b1h81pjncokrc0vml3h6lt2mhuhv132m8@4ax.com>
Source record: 13456

"sean" <seaningram@tds.net> wrote:

>I'm sure as hell ain't gonna provide you with that info!

It is really no needed that you tell them; even here in germany your
holy cell freqs are well known, and even much more sensitive
information is widely known.

regards - Ralph

--

Want to get in touch? http://www.radio-link.net/whereisralph.txt

2295. Re: Bose Wave Radio for Trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-16 12:36:19 UTC
From: Dick <n1rsp@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <7t0ie.2407$Vu6.1847@trndny03>
Source record: 13457
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Hey idiot!Yes you Roger Conroy.Read my post again.I think it is on topic as
I have posted asking to swap my radio for a scanner.This is a scanner group
I take it.So i aked if someone wanted to swap an ICOM R3 for my Bose.
So if that is off topic,I think I would appoligise for ruffeling your
feathers.But on the other hand if not you should just read it and stop
posting you negatory remarks and stop being an ass like youseem to be.

JUST WHAT WE NEED IS MORE NEWSGROUPS ASSHOLES!!!!!!!!

--

"Are you still wasting your time with spam?...
There is a solution!"

Protected by GIANT Company's Spam Inspector
The most powerful anti-spam software available.
http://www.giantcompany.com

"Roger Conroy" <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:d69j96$27i$1@ctb-nnrp2.saix.net...
> Which asshole spoofed my id?
>
> Mr. Null - you can't be too bright if you don't recognise sarcasm!
> So for the benefit of the dummies here I'll explain. My "question" about
> ham
> bands and modes is a sarcastic put-down to the idiot who posted a totally
> off topic item!
>
> BTW - if a post that looks like it comes from me does not originate from
> news.saix.net then it is not me!
>
>
>
>
> "Roger Conroy" <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:42875298$0$26105$ed2619ec@ptn-nntp-reader02.plus.net...
>>
>> Yes
>>
>> I am a complete Dick-Wad
>> Thanks for asking, I think we may have gone to the same school..
>> ass-wipe
>>
>> "Mr. Null" <null@null.net> wrote in message

>> news:RFzhe.14124$Ee6.2483@fe12.lga...
>> > Roger
>> >
>> > are you stupid?
>> >
>> >
>> > "Roger Conroy" <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> > news:d61muc$qp0$1@ctb-nnrp2.saix.net...
>> >>
>> >> "Dick" <n1rsp@verizon.net> wrote in message
>> >> news:LJSge.6280$ix3.3830@trndny07...
>> >>> White in color with no cd.Has credit card remote and sounds
>> >>> awesome.Don't
>> >>> have a clue on generation.
>> >>>
>> >>
>> >> What ham bands and modes can be operated with it?
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>
>

2296. FS: Pro-92

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-16 16:58:17 UTC
From: mlk <mcguyver@cfl.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Ji4ie.27601$w15.5930@tornado.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 13458
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have a working RS Pro-92 with charger and antenna. The problem with this unit is the bottom 7 pixels across the bottom of the LCD display are dead. You can read all other information but part of bottom line. Otherwise, unit works fine. Asking $50 shipped.

Photo upon request..

Mitch

2297. Re: Bose Wave Radio for Trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-16 19:56:35 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <C_6ie.22407$rt1.17711@fe04.lga>
Source record: 13460
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

That's pretty good, I don't know anybody named bobby... Must be one of your
"friends"...

"stop" <stopthespam@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:bsmf815b4mqf9ib11dh4ggtgoe1f4s4rrl@4ax.com...
> PowerHouse, you sure would know about tampons and drippy dick
> syndrome. Your friend Bobby told me all about you.
>
>
>
> On Sun, 15 May 2005 15:12:46 -0500, "PowerHouse Communications"
> <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote:
>
> >I'm not completely sure, but I think it's like a tampon, except it's
> >designed for use by men who have that "drippy dick" syndrome...
> >
> >
> >"Mr. Null" <null@null.net> wrote in message
> >news:pXMhe.21551$NZ1.10697@fe09.lga...
> >> Roger,
> >>
> >> I asked if you are stupid, not a dick wad. But since you mention it,
what
> >is
> >> a dick wad anyway?
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> "Roger Conroy" <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:42875298$0$26105$ed2619ec@ptn-nntp-reader02.plus.net...
> >> >
> >> > Yes
> >> >
> >> > I am a complete Dick-Wad
> >> > Thanks for asking, I think we may have gone to the same school..
> >> > ass-wipe
> >> >
> >> > "Mr. Null" <null@null.net> wrote in message
> >> > news:RFzhe.14124$Ee6.2483@fe12.lga...
> >> >> Roger
> >> >>
> >> >> are you stupid?
> >> >>

> >> >>
> >> >> "Roger Conroy" <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> >> news:d61muc$qp0$1@ctb-nnrp2.saix.net...
> >> >>>
> >> >>> "Dick" <n1rsp@verizon.net> wrote in message
> >> >>> news:LJSge.6280$ix3.3830@trndny07...
> >> >>>> White in color with no cd.Has credit card remote and sounds
> >> >>>> awesome.Don't
> >> >>>> have a clue on generation.
> >> >>>>
> >> >>>
> >> >>> What ham bands and modes can be operated with it?
> >> >>>
> >> >>>
> >> >>>
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >
> >> >
> >>
> >>
> > >
>

2298. Re: Bose Wave Radio for Trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-16 20:00:37 UTC
From: Roger Conroy <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <d6au4u$98i$1@ctb-nnrp2.saix.net>
Source record: 13459
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I'm reading this in rec.radio.amateur.equipment.
Anyway I'm not interested in arguing the issue any further.
I am somewhat upset seeing someone faking my identity and taking this thread
into personal insults.

"Dick" <n1rsp@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:7t0ie.2407$Vu6.1847@trndny03...
> Hey idiot!Yes you Roger Conroy.Read my post again.I think it is on topic
as
> I have posted asking to swap my radio for a scanner.This is a scanner
group
> I take it.So i aked if someone wanted to swap an ICOM R3 for my Bose.
> So if that is off topic,I think I would appoligise for ruffeling your
> feathers.But on the other hand if not you should just read it and stop
> posting you negatory remarks and stop being an ass like youseem to be.
>
> JUST WHAT WE NEED IS MORE NEWSGROUPS ASSHOLES!!!!!!!!
>
> --
>
>
> ---
> "Are you still wasting your time with spam?...
> There is a solution!"
>
> Protected by GIANT Company's Spam Inspector
> The most powerful anti-spam software available.
> http://www.giantcompany.com
>
>
> "Roger Conroy" <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:d69j96$27i$1@ctb-nnrp2.saix.net...
> > Which asshole spoofed my id?
> >
> > Mr. Null - you can't be too bright if you don't recognise sarcasm!
> > So for the benefit of the dummies here I'll explain. My "question" about
> > ham
> > bands and modes is a sarcastic put-down to the idiot who posted a
totally
> > off topic item!
> >
> > BTW - if a post that looks like it comes from me does not originate from
> > news.saix.net then it is not me!

> >
> >
> >
> >
> > "Roger Conroy" <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:42875298$0$26105$ed2619ec@ptn-nntp-reader02.plus.net...
> >>
> >> Yes
> >>
> >> I am a complete Dick-Wad
> >> Thanks for asking, I think we may have gone to the same school..
> >> ass-wipe
> >>
> >> "Mr. Null" <null@null.net> wrote in message
> >> news:RFzhe.14124$Ee6.2483@fe12.lga...
> >> > Roger
> >> >
> >> > are you stupid?
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > "Roger Conroy" <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> > news:d61muc$qp0$1@ctb-nnrp2.saix.net...
> >> >>
> >> >> "Dick" <n1rsp@verizon.net> wrote in message
> >> >> news:LJSge.6280$ix3.3830@trndny07...
> >> >>> White in color with no cd.Has credit card remote and sounds
> >> >>> awesome.Don't
> >> >>> have a clue on generation.
> >> >>>
> >> >>
> >> >> What ham bands and modes can be operated with it?
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >
> >> >
> >>
> >>
> > >
> > >
> >
> >

2299. Overview Of Mobil Phone Systems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-16 22:29:26 UTC
From: NORMAN TRIANTAFILOS <kb9ygd@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <a99ie.535$yc.505@trnddc07>
Source record: 13461

Overview of Mobile Phone Systems

The large number of different mobile phone or cellphone systems that are talked about today can be very confusing. Whilst not all are in use today, some of the older systems have been superseded and some of the newer systems have not all been rolled out yet, nevertheless many different names and technologies are talked about. The table below gives a summary of the main systems that have been used, are being used or are due for introduction.

Cellphone System

Generation

Channel Spacing

Access Method

Comments

AMPS

1G

30 kHz

FDMA

Advanced Mobile Phone System, this analogue system first developed and used in the USA

NAMPS

1G

10 kHz

FDMA

Narrow band version of AMPS chiefly used in the USA and Israel based on a 10 kHz channel spacing.

TACS

1G

25 kHz

FDMA

Analogue system originally in the UK. Based around 900 MHz, this system spread world wide. After the system was first introduced, further channels

were allocated to reduce congestion, in a standard known as Extended TACS or ETACS

NMT

1G

12.5 kHz

FDMA

Nordic Mobile Telephone. This analogue system was the first system to be widely used commercially being launched in 1979. It was used initially on 450 MHz and later at 900 MHz. It was used chiefly in Scandinavia but it was adopted by up to 30 other countries including Oman.

NTT

1G

25 kHz

FDMA

Nippon Telegraph and Telephone. The system used in Japan, using a 900 MHz frequency band, and 55 MHz transmit receive spacing. (A high capacity version is known as HICAP).

C450

1G

20 kHz

FDMA

The system adopted in West Germany (East Germany was separate at this time). It used a band in the region of 450 MHz along with a 10 MHz receive / transmit spacing.

GSM

2G

200 kHz

TDMA

Originally called Groupe Speciale Mobile, the initials later stood for Global System for Mobile communications. It was developed in Europe and first introduced in 1991. The service is normally based around 900 MHz although some 850 MHz allocations exist in the USA.

DCS 1800

2G

200 kHz

TDMA

1800 MHz derivation of GSM and is also known as GSM 1800.

PCS 1900

2G

200 kHz

TDMA

1900 MHz derivation of GSM, and is also known as GSM 1900.

TDMA

2G

30 kHz

TDMA

Although it was originally known as US Digital Cellular (USDC) and was introduced in 1991. It is sometimes called North America Digital Cellular and also known by its standard number IS-54 that was later updated to standard IS136. It is a 2G digital system that was designed to operate alongside the AMPS system.

PDC

2G

25 kHz

TDMA

Pacific or Personal Digital Cellular. The system found only in Japan where it has gained very widespread use. It has many similarities with IS-54 although it uses a different speech coder and a 25 kHz bandwidth.

GPRS

2.5G

200 kHz

TDMA

General Packet Radio Service. A data service that can be layered onto GSM. It uses packet switching instead of circuit switching to provide the required performance. Data rates of up to 115 kbps attainable.

EDGE

2.5 / 3G

200 kHz

TDMA

Enhanced Data rates for GSM Evolution. The system uses a different form of modulation (8PSK) and packet switching which is overlayed on top of GSM to provide the enhanced performance. Systems using the EDGE system may also be known as EGPRS systems.

CdmaOne

2G

1.25 MHz

CDMA

This is the brand name for the system with the standard reference IS95. It was the first CDMA system to gain widespread use. The initial specification for the system was IS95A, but its performance was later upgraded under IS95B which the cdmaOne specification actually uses. Apart from voice it also carries data at rates up to 14.4 kbps for IS95A and under IS95B data rates of up to 115 kbps are supported.

CDMA2000 1X

2.5G

1.25 MHz

CDMA

This system supports both voice and data capabilities within a standard 1.25 MHz CDMA channel. CDMA2000 builds on cdmaOne to provide an evolution path to 3G. The system doubles the voice capacity of cdmaOne systems and also supports high-speed data services. Peak data rates of 153 kbps are currently achievable with figures of 307 kbps quoted for the future, and 614 kbps when two channels are used.

CDMA2000 1xEV-DO

3G

1.25 MHz

CDMA

The EV-DO stands for Evolution Data Only. This is an evolution of CDMA 2000 that is designed for data only use and its specification is IS 856. It provides peak data rate capability of over 2.45 Mbps on the forward or downlink , i.e. from the base station to the user. The aim of the system is to deliver a low cost per megabyte capability along with an always on connection costed on the data downloaded rather than connection time.

CDMA2000 1xEV-DV

3G

1.25 MHz

CDMA

This stands for Evolution Data and Voice. It is an evolution of CDMA2000 that can simultaneously transmit voice and data. The peak data rate is 3.1 Mbps on the forward link. The reverse link is very similar to CDMA2000 and is limited to 384 kbps.

UMTS

3G

5 MHz

CDMA / TDMA

Universal Mobile Telecommunications System. Uses Wideband CDMA (W-CDMA) with one 5 MHz wide channel for both voice and data, providing data speeds up to 2 Mbps.

TD-SCDMA

3G

1.6 MHz

CDMA

Time Division Synchronous CDMA. A system developed in China to establish their position on the cellular telecommunications arena. It uses the same bands for transmit and receive, allowing different time slots for base stations and mobiles to communicate. Unlike other 3G systems it uses only a time division duplex (TDD) system.

2300. Re: Bose Wave Radio for Trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-17 02:52:02 UTC
From: The Real Roger Conroy <null@null.net>
Message-ID: <r%cie.19907$Ee6.14820@fe12.lga>
Source record: 13462
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I am the real Roger Conroy!!!!

"Roger Conroy" <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:d6au4u$98i$1@ctb-nnrp2.saix.net...
> I'm reading this in rec.radio.amateur.equipment.
> Anyway I'm not interested in arguing the issue any further.
> I am somewhat upset seeing someone faking my identity and taking this
> thread
> into personal insults.
>
>
> "Dick" <n1rsp@verizon.net> wrote in message
> news:7t0ie.2407$Vu6.1847@trndny03...
>> Hey idiot!Yes you Roger Conroy.Read my post again.I think it is on topic
> as
>> I have posted asking to swap my radio for a scanner.This is a scanner
> group
>> I take it.So i aked if someone wanted to swap an ICOM R3 for my Bose.
>> So if that is off topic,I think I would appoligise for ruffeling your
>> feathers.But on the other hand if not you should just read it and stop
>> posting you negatory remarks and stop being an ass like youseem to be.
>>
>> JUST WHAT WE NEED IS MORE NEWSGROUPS ASSHOLES!!!!!!!!
>>
>> --
>>
>>
>> ---
>> "Are you still wasting your time with spam?...
>> There is a solution!"
>>
>> Protected by GIANT Company's Spam Inspector
>> The most powerful anti-spam software available.
>> http://www.giantcompany.com
>>
>>
>> "Roger Conroy" <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:d69j96$27i$1@ctb-nnrp2.saix.net...
>> > Which asshole spoofed my id?
>> >
>> > Mr. Null - you can't be too bright if you don't recognise sarcasm!
>> > So for the benefit of the dummies here I'll explain. My "question"
>> > about

>> > ham
>> > bands and modes is a sarcastic put-down to the idiot who posted a
> totally
>> > off topic item!
>> >
>> > BTW - if a post that looks like it comes from me does not originate
>> > from
>> > news.saix.net then it is not me!
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> > "Roger Conroy" <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> > news:42875298$0$26105$ed2619ec@ptn-nntp-reader02.plus.net...
>> >>
>> >> Yes
>> >>
>> >> I am a complete Dick-Wad
>> >> Thanks for asking, I think we may have gone to the same school..
>> >> ass-wipe
>> >>
>> >> "Mr. Null" <null@null.net> wrote in message
>> >> news:RFzhe.14124$Ee6.2483@fe12.lga...
>> >> > Roger
>> >> >
>> >> > are you stupid?
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> > "Roger Conroy" <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> > news:d61muc$qp0$1@ctb-nnrp2.saix.net...
>> >> >>
>> >> >> "Dick" <n1rsp@verizon.net> wrote in message
>> >> >> news:LJSge.6280$ix3.3830@trndny07...
>> >> >>> White in color with no cd.Has credit card remote and sounds
>> >> >>> awesome.Don't
>> >> >>> have a clue on generation.
>> >> >>>
>> >> >>
>> >> >> What ham bands and modes can be operated with it?
>> >> >>
>> >> >>
>> >> >>
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >>
>> >
>> >
>>
>>

>
>

2301. Re: Cellular Freqs;

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-17 05:58:38 UTC
From: jamoran <john@analon.com>
Message-ID: <NJSdne1nkteQFRTfRVn-tA@sedona.net>
Source record: 13463

am in casa grande arizona
do you want the locations of cellular towers ? I have a FCC masterfile CD that has many of these... I just need to find that since I last had it before I loved...

cellular 806-851 and 869-896 I have a cell band plan on my other computer I can send you if you want....meaning hte frequencies A, B, C, D cells might use..... also have airphone frequencies

Mike Burch wrote:

>
>
> Easy Sean, He just asked a question. Thats what we are here for. The
> information he asked for is not a secret by any means and its much
> easier to get by asking the NG. Why should he go digging for hours if he
> can just ask us? That's what I would do. There isn't much to listen to
> on the cellular band anyway, well at least thats what I have heard. :-).
>
> Mike Burch K8MB
> Apache Junction, Arizona

2302. Re: writing programs for scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-17 09:40:26 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@gwi.net>
Message-ID: <cLadnUFQZepLJhTfRVn-gA@giganews.com>
Source record: 13464

Posts like this rarely evoke much resonse, since most people are not programmers. There is little, if any standardization of radio interfaces, which makes this type of programming more radio-specific.

The first thing you would need to do is work out an interface in net for the computer-radio linkup. An ActiveX control would probably be used for this, as it can also provide visual feedback, and will simplify the rest of the program to a degree.Optocom has put forth a standard for their stuff. Here is a short excerpt.

```
================================================================================
=
ABOUT CI-V
================================================================================
=
```

The serial interface on the OptoScan456(tm) conforms to the Icom CI-V interface standard. The CI-V interface is an asynchronous, half-duplex, TTL serial interface connected in a wire-OR (bussed) configuration. Several different devices can be connected to the bus simultaneously, and each device has its own unique address. Software developers are strongly encouraged to obtain a copy of the Icom Communication Interface - V Reference Manual from Icom, Inc. for detailed information on the CI-V interface protocol.

You will need to program for database access and logging, of course, I will leave the details of that to you. An Access database would be nice....

Other functions are outlined in the CI-V document mentioned here. This would probably be enough to get you started, at any rate, on a program for radios that use this particular standard.

"Bill Carty" <wmccarty@columbus.rr.com> wrote in message
news:53uge.23974$Ib4.11474@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com...
> Hi
>
> I am interested in writing programs for the programing of scanners.
>
> I have windows visual basic net so I don't have to buy a program to build
> the build program. So that's one step completed.
>
> So my questions are this
>
> where does one find the command set scanners that can be written into a
> program? Do manufacturers offer the command set up? Or does it have to
be

> hacked?
>
> At one time I had the command codes for my bearcat 895, I forgot where I
go
> them. Been trying to find the codes for a pro 95 and have hit a wall.
>
> Should I come up with something I am willing to share it but I need to
find
> the code somewhere for the scanner(s) and then write the code.
>
> For me its mostly a way to have something to do.
>
> any guidence that any of you are willing to share will be welcomed
>
> Bill Carty
> wmccarty@columbus.rr.com
>
>

2303. PRO96 Yahoo Group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-17 16:11:21 UTC
From: Dwayne <dubbin4@goaway.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1cf3e24d3e6cc8a9989783@news-server.woh.rr.com>
Source record: 13465

Does anyone happen to know who the owner is of the PRO96 (not PRO-96)
yahoo group is? I have been trying to contact him for weeks but get no
response.

--

Dwayne
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/BC895/

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Uniden_BC246T

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ScanFindlay/

2304. Re: Radio Room Decor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-17 16:13:21 UTC
From: Dwayne <dubbin4@goaway.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1cf3e2c82ad6029f989784@news-server.woh.rr.com>
Source record: 13466

In article <7fZde.1292$Vu.1287@trnddc07>, nospam@verizon.net says...
> Refinishing the shack here and have lots of new wall space. Any suggestions
> where to find amateur radio or scanner product related posters, plaques,
> wall art, etc? Have most of the maps and whatnot from the ARRL site. Thanks
> in advance!
>
>
>

Check you local hamfests.
--

Dwayne
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/BC895/

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Uniden_BC246T

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ScanFindlay/

2305. Re: Flagler County Scanner Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-17 16:16:10 UTC
From: Dwayne <dubbin4@goaway.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1cf3e36b39378296989785@news-server.woh.rr.com>
Source record: 13467

In article <1115520731.911977.28960@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>,
Kimberfortyfive@gmail.com says...
> I was listening to VCSO's Air 1 follow a Monte Carlo around Daytona
> tonight (5/7/05) then onto I95 and north into Flagler County. I can't
> seem to locate any frequencies for Flagler. Can anyone help out?
>
>
Check out radioreference.com You have to be a member but it is free to
join and well worth it. Once you join the look in the RR Database.
--

Dwayne
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/BC895/

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Uniden_BC246T

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ScanFindlay/

2306. scanner antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-17 16:21:21 UTC
From: Don <wxfreqrs@cableone.net>
Message-ID: <118k6j73o5av61@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 13468

HI ALL
looking for the highest gain scanner antenna
it will be 40 in the air here on MS GULF COAST
prefer a vertical as i don't have a rotator but will consider yagi type if
gain is exceptional over my ham antenna of 10.4 which i am using now
scanner is bc780xlt

--
Don
SEMPER VIGILIS
EAGLES may soar, but weasels don't get sucked into jet engines
http://www.veteransparty.us/MS01.htm Ms Veterans Party
http://www.jcmsara.org Ham radio link
http://myweb.cableone.net/wxfreqrs/ Pascagoula Ms Real Time Observations

2307. Re: Bose Wave Radio for Trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-17 16:28:20 UTC
From: Roger Conroy <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <428a1ba3$0$26124$ed2619ec@ptn-nntp-reader02.plus.net>
Source record: 13469
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

No you are NOT.....I am the real Roger Conroy

"The Real Roger Conroy" <null@null.net> wrote in message
news:r%cie.19907$Ee6.14820@fe12.lga...
>I am the real Roger Conroy!!!!
>
>
>
>
> "Roger Conroy" <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:d6au4u$98i$1@ctb-nnrp2.saix.net...
>> I'm reading this in rec.radio.amateur.equipment.
>> Anyway I'm not interested in arguing the issue any further.
>> I am somewhat upset seeing someone faking my identity and taking this
>> thread
>> into personal insults.
>>
>>
>> "Dick" <n1rsp@verizon.net> wrote in message
>> news:7t0ie.2407$Vu6.1847@trndny03...
>>> Hey idiot!Yes you Roger Conroy.Read my post again.I think it is on topic
>> as
>>> I have posted asking to swap my radio for a scanner.This is a scanner
>> group
>>> I take it.So i aked if someone wanted to swap an ICOM R3 for my Bose.
>>> So if that is off topic,I think I would appoligise for ruffeling your
>>> feathers.But on the other hand if not you should just read it and stop
>>> posting you negatory remarks and stop being an ass like youseem to be.
>>>
>>> JUST WHAT WE NEED IS MORE NEWSGROUPS ASSHOLES!!!!!!!!
>>>
>>> --
>>>
>>>
>>> ---
>>> "Are you still wasting your time with spam?...
>>> There is a solution!"
>>>
>>> Protected by GIANT Company's Spam Inspector
>>> The most powerful anti-spam software available.
>>> http://www.giantcompany.com
>>>
>>>

>>> "Roger Conroy" <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:d69j96$27i$1@ctb-nnrp2.saix.net...
>>> > Which asshole spoofed my id?
>>> >
>>> > Mr. Null - you can't be too bright if you don't recognise sarcasm!
>>> > So for the benefit of the dummies here I'll explain. My "question"
>>> > about
>>> > ham
>>> > bands and modes is a sarcastic put-down to the idiot who posted a
>> totally
>>> > off topic item!
>>> >
>>> > BTW - if a post that looks like it comes from me does not originate
>>> > from
>>> > news.saix.net then it is not me!
>>> >
>>> >
>>> >
>>> >
>>> > "Roger Conroy" <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> > news:42875298$0$26105$ed2619ec@ptn-nntp-reader02.plus.net...
>>> >>
>>> >> Yes
>>> >>
>>> >> I am a complete Dick-Wad
>>> >> Thanks for asking, I think we may have gone to the same school..
>>> >> ass-wipe
>>> >>
>>> >> "Mr. Null" <null@null.net> wrote in message
>>> >> news:RFzhe.14124$Ee6.2483@fe12.lga...
>>> >> > Roger
>>> >> >
>>> >> > are you stupid?
>>> >> >
>>> >> >
>>> >> > "Roger Conroy" <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> >> > news:d61muc$qp0$1@ctb-nnrp2.saix.net...
>>> >> >>
>>> >> >> "Dick" <n1rsp@verizon.net> wrote in message
>>> >> >> news:LJSge.6280$ix3.3830@trndny07...
>>> >> >>> White in color with no cd.Has credit card remote and sounds
>>> >> >>> awesome.Don't
>>> >> >>> have a clue on generation.
>>> >> >>>
>>> >> >>
>>> >> >> What ham bands and modes can be operated with it?
>>> >> >>
>>> >> >>
>>> >> >>
>>> >> >
>

>>> >> >
>>> >>
>>> >>
>>> >
>>> >
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

2308. Re: scanner antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-17 17:20:40 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <AqKdnWBV7OJ0uhffRVn-1A@comcast.com>
Source record: 13470

Check out the NIL-JON Super M Base Antenna;
http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/scanants/2063.html

The ScanTenna is great too, but may not hold up in high winds.

Bill Crocker

"Don" <wxfreqrs@cableone.net> wrote in message
news:118k6j73o5av61@corp.supernews.com...
> HI ALL
> looking for the highest gain scanner antenna
> it will be 40 in the air here on MS GULF COAST
> prefer a vertical as i don't have a rotator but will consider yagi type if
> gain is exceptional over my ham antenna of 10.4 which i am using now
> scanner is bc780xlt
>
> --
> Don
> SEMPER VIGILIS
> EAGLES may soar, but weasels don't get sucked into jet engines
> http://www.veteransparty.us/MS01.htm Ms Veterans Party
> http://www.jcmsara.org Ham radio link
> http://myweb.cableone.net/wxfreqrs/ Pascagoula Ms Real Time Observations
>

2309. Re: Bose Wave Radio for Trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-17 20:50:14 UTC
From: Ken Taylor <ken123@xtra.co.nz>
Message-ID: <aOsie.694$U4.103029@news.xtra.co.nz>
Source record: 13471
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I'm Roger Conroy, and so's my wife!

"Roger Conroy" <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:428a1ba3$0$26124$ed2619ec@ptn-nntp-reader02.plus.net...
> No you are NOT.....I am the real Roger Conroy
>
>
> "The Real Roger Conroy" <null@null.net> wrote in message
> news:r%cie.19907$Ee6.14820@fe12.lga...
>>I am the real Roger Conroy!!!!
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> "Roger Conroy" <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:d6au4u$98i$1@ctb-nnrp2.saix.net...
>>> I'm reading this in rec.radio.amateur.equipment.
>>> Anyway I'm not interested in arguing the issue any further.
>>> I am somewhat upset seeing someone faking my identity and taking this
>>> thread
>>> into personal insults.
>>>
>>>
>>> "Dick" <n1rsp@verizon.net> wrote in message
>>> news:7t0ie.2407$Vu6.1847@trndny03...
>>>> Hey idiot!Yes you Roger Conroy.Read my post again.I think it is on
>>>> topic
>>> as
>>>> I have posted asking to swap my radio for a scanner.This is a scanner
>>> group
>>>> I take it.So i aked if someone wanted to swap an ICOM R3 for my Bose.
>>>> So if that is off topic,I think I would appoligise for ruffeling your
>>>> feathers.But on the other hand if not you should just read it and stop
>>>> posting you negatory remarks and stop being an ass like youseem to be.
>>>>
>>>> JUST WHAT WE NEED IS MORE NEWSGROUPS ASSHOLES!!!!!!!!
>>>>
>>>> --
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> ---
>>>> "Are you still wasting your time with spam?...
>>>> There is a solution!"

>>>>
>>>> Protected by GIANT Company's Spam Inspector
>>>> The most powerful anti-spam software available.
>>>> http://www.giantcompany.com
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "Roger Conroy" <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:d69j96$27i$1@ctb-nnrp2.saix.net...
>>>> > Which asshole spoofed my id?
>>>> >
>>>> > Mr. Null - you can't be too bright if you don't recognise sarcasm!
>>>> > So for the benefit of the dummies here I'll explain. My "question"
>>>> > about
>>>> > ham
>>>> > bands and modes is a sarcastic put-down to the idiot who posted a
>>> totally
>>>> > off topic item!
>>>> >
>>>> > BTW - if a post that looks like it comes from me does not originate
>>>> > from
>>>> > news.saix.net then it is not me!
>>>> >
>>>> >
>>>> >
>>>> >
>>>> > "Roger Conroy" <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>> > news:42875298$0$26105$ed2619ec@ptn-nntp-reader02.plus.net...
>>>> >>
>>>> >> Yes
>>>> >>
>>>> >> I am a complete Dick-Wad
>>>> >> Thanks for asking, I think we may have gone to the same school..
>>>> >> ass-wipe
>>>> >>
>>>> >> "Mr. Null" <null@null.net> wrote in message
>>>> >> news:RFzhe.14124$Ee6.2483@fe12.lga...
>>>> >> > Roger
>>>> >> >
>>>> >> > are you stupid?
>>>> >> >
>>>> >> >
>>>> >> > "Roger Conroy" <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>> >> > news:d61muc$qp0$1@ctb-nnrp2.saix.net...
>>>> >> >>
>>>> >> >> "Dick" <n1rsp@verizon.net> wrote in message
>>>> >> >> news:LJSge.6280$ix3.3830@trndny07...
>>>> >> >>> White in color with no cd.Has credit card remote and sounds
>>>> >> >>> awesome.Don't
>>>> >> >>> have a clue on generation.
>>>> >> >>>

>>>> >> >>
>>>> >> >> What ham bands and modes can be operated with it?
>>>> >> >>
>>>> >> >>
>>>> >> >>
>>>> >> >
>>>> >> >
>>>> >>
>>>> >>
>>>> >
>>>> >
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

2310. Re: Bose Wave Radio for Trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-17 22:51:08 UTC
From: Roger Conroy <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <428a755c$0$26111$ed2619ec@ptn-nntp-reader02.plus.net>
Source record: 13472
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I think i've done your wife

"Ken Taylor" <ken123@xtra.co.nz> wrote in message
news:aOsie.694$U4.103029@news.xtra.co.nz...
> I'm Roger Conroy, and so's my wife!
>
>
> "Roger Conroy" <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:428a1ba3$0$26124$ed2619ec@ptn-nntp-reader02.plus.net...
>> No you are NOT.....I am the real Roger Conroy
>>
>>
>> "The Real Roger Conroy" <null@null.net> wrote in message
>> news:r%cie.19907$Ee6.14820@fe12.lga...
>>>I am the real Roger Conroy!!!!
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> "Roger Conroy" <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:d6au4u$98i$1@ctb-nnrp2.saix.net...
>>>> I'm reading this in rec.radio.amateur.equipment.
>>>> Anyway I'm not interested in arguing the issue any further.
>>>> I am somewhat upset seeing someone faking my identity and taking this
>>>> thread
>>>> into personal insults.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "Dick" <n1rsp@verizon.net> wrote in message
>>>> news:7t0ie.2407$Vu6.1847@trndny03...
>>>>> Hey idiot!Yes you Roger Conroy.Read my post again.I think it is on
>>>>> topic
>>>> as
>>>>> I have posted asking to swap my radio for a scanner.This is a scanner
>>>> group
>>>>> I take it.So i aked if someone wanted to swap an ICOM R3 for my Bose.
>>>>> So if that is off topic,I think I would appoligise for ruffeling your
>>>>> feathers.But on the other hand if not you should just read it and
>>>>> stop
>>>>> posting you negatory remarks and stop being an ass like youseem to be.
>>>>>
>>>>> JUST WHAT WE NEED IS MORE NEWSGROUPS ASSHOLES!!!!!!!!
>>>>>

>>>>> --
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> --
>>>>> "Are you still wasting your time with spam?...
>>>>> There is a solution!"
>>>>>
>>>>> Protected by GIANT Company's Spam Inspector
>>>>> The most powerful anti-spam software available.
>>>>> http://www.giantcompany.com
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> "Roger Conroy" <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>> news:d69j96$27i$1@ctb-nnrp2.saix.net...
>>>>> > Which asshole spoofed my id?
>>>>> >
>>>>> > Mr. Null - you can't be too bright if you don't recognise sarcasm!
>>>>> > So for the benefit of the dummies here I'll explain. My "question"
>>>>> > about
>>>>> > ham
>>>>> > bands and modes is a sarcastic put-down to the idiot who posted a
>>>> totally
>>>>> > off topic item!
>>>>> >
>>>>> > BTW - if a post that looks like it comes from me does not originate
>>>>> > from
>>>>> > news.saix.net then it is not me!
>>>>> >
>>>>> >
>>>>> >
>>>>> >
>>>>> > "Roger Conroy" <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>> > news:42875298$0$26105$ed2619ec@ptn-nntp-reader02.plus.net...
>>>>> >>
>>>>> >> Yes
>>>>> >>
>>>>> >> I am a complete Dick-Wad
>>>>> >> Thanks for asking, I think we may have gone to the same school..
>>>>> >> ass-wipe
>>>>> >>
>>>>> >> "Mr. Null" <null@null.net> wrote in message
>>>>> >> news:RFzhe.14124$Ee6.2483@fe12.lga...
>>>>> >> > Roger
>>>>> >> >
>>>>> >> > are you stupid?
>>>>> >> >
>>>>> >> >
>>>>> >> > "Roger Conroy" <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>> >> > news:d61muc$qp0$1@ctb-nnrp2.saix.net...
>>>>> >> >>

>>>>> >> >> "Dick" <n1rsp@verizon.net> wrote in message
>>>>> >> >> news:LJSge.6280$ix3.3830@trndny07...
>>>>> >> >>> White in color with no cd.Has credit card remote and sounds
>>>>> >> >>> awesome.Don't
>>>>> >> >>> have a clue on generation.
>>>>> >> >>>
>>>>> >> >>
>>>>> >> >> What ham bands and modes can be operated with it?
>>>>> >> >>
>>>>> >> >>
>>>>> >> >>
>>>>> >> >
>>>>> >> >
>>>>> >>
>>>>> >>
>>>>> >
>>>>> >
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

2311. Re: Bose Wave Radio for Trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-17 23:13:39 UTC
From: The Real Roger Conroy <null@null.net>
Message-ID: <JUuie.22309$HJ2.16597@fe11.lga>
Source record: 13473
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Was she that bad, that you really dont remember?

"Roger Conroy" <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:428a755c$0$26111$ed2619ec@ptn-nntp-reader02.plus.net...
>I think i've done your wife
>
> "Ken Taylor" <ken123@xtra.co.nz> wrote in message
> news:aOsie.694$U4.103029@news.xtra.co.nz...
>> I'm Roger Conroy, and so's my wife!
>>
>>
>> "Roger Conroy" <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:428a1ba3$0$26124$ed2619ec@ptn-nntp-reader02.plus.net...
>>> No you are NOT...........I am the real Roger Conroy
>>>
>>>
>>> "The Real Roger Conroy" <null@null.net> wrote in message
>>> news:r%cie.19907$Ee6.14820@fe12.lga...
>>>>I am the real Roger Conroy!!!!
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "Roger Conroy" <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:d6au4u$98i$1@ctb-nnrp2.saix.net...
>>>>> I'm reading this in rec.radio.amateur.equipment.
>>>>> Anyway I'm not interested in arguing the issue any further.
>>>>> I am somewhat upset seeing someone faking my identity and taking this
>>>>> thread
>>>>> into personal insults.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> "Dick" <n1rsp@verizon.net> wrote in message
>>>>> news:7t0ie.2407$Vu6.1847@trndny03...
>>>>>> Hey idiot!Yes you Roger Conroy.Read my post again.I think it is on
>>>>>> topic
>>>>> as
>>>>>> I have posted asking to swap my radio for a scanner.This is a scanner
>>>>> group
>>>>>> I take it.So i aked if someone wanted to swap an ICOM R3 for my Bose.
>>>>>> So if that is off topic,I think I would appoligise for ruffeling your
>>>>>> feathers.But on the other hand if not you should just read it and
>>>>>> stop

>>>>>> posting you negatory remarks and stop being an ass like youseem to
>>>>>> be.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> JUST WHAT WE NEED IS MORE NEWSGROUPS ASSHOLES!!!!!!!!
>>>>>>
>>>>>> --
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> ---
>>>>>> "Are you still wasting your time with spam?...
>>>>>> There is a solution!"
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Protected by GIANT Company's Spam Inspector
>>>>>> The most powerful anti-spam software available.
>>>>>> http://www.giantcompany.com
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "Roger Conroy" <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>> news:d69j96$27i$1@ctb-nnrp2.saix.net...
>>>>>> > Which asshole spoofed my id?
>>>>>> >
>>>>>> > Mr. Null - you can't be too bright if you don't recognise sarcasm!
>>>>>> > So for the benefit of the dummies here I'll explain. My "question"
>>>>>> > about
>>>>>> > ham
>>>>>> > bands and modes is a sarcastic put-down to the idiot who posted a
>>>>> totally
>>>>>> > off topic item!
>>>>>> >
>>>>>> > BTW - if a post that looks like it comes from me does not originate
>>>>>> > from
>>>>>> > news.saix.net then it is not me!
>>>>>> >
>>>>>> >
>>>>>> >
>>>>>> >
>>>>>> > "Roger Conroy" <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>> > news:42875298$0$26105$ed2619ec@ptn-nntp-reader02.plus.net...
>>>>>> >>
>>>>>> >> Yes
>>>>>> >>
>>>>>> >> I am a complete Dick-Wad
>>>>>> >> Thanks for asking, I think we may have gone to the same school..
>>>>>> >> ass-wipe
>>>>>> >>
>>>>>> >> "Mr. Null" <null@null.net> wrote in message
>>>>>> >> news:RFzhe.14124$Ee6.2483@fe12.lga...
>>>>>> >> > Roger
>>>>>> >> >
>>>>>> >> > are you stupid?

>>>>>> >> >
>>>>>> >> >
>>>>>> >> > "Roger Conroy" <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>> >> > news:d61muc$qp0$1@ctb-nnrp2.saix.net...
>>>>>> >> >>
>>>>>> >> >> "Dick" <n1rsp@verizon.net> wrote in message
>>>>>> >> >> news:LJSge.6280$ix3.3830@trndny07...
>>>>>> >> >>> White in color with no cd.Has credit card remote and sounds
>>>>>> >> >>> awesome.Don't
>>>>>> >> >>> have a clue on generation.
>>>>>> >> >>>
>>>>>> >> >>
>>>>>> >> >> What ham bands and modes can be operated with it?
>>>>>> >> >>
>>>>>> >> >>
>>>>>> >> >>
>>>>>> >> >
>>>>>> >> >
>>>>>> >> >>
>>>>>> >> >>
>>>>>> >> >
>>>>>> >> >
>>>>>> >>
>>>>>> >>
>>>>>> >
>>>>>> >
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

2312. Re: Bose Wave Radio for Trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-17 23:51:21 UTC
From: Roger Conroy <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <428a8382$0$26112$ed2619ec@ptn-nntp-reader02.plus.net>
Source record: 13474
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Yep

"The Real Roger Conroy" <null@null.net> wrote in message
news:JUuie.22309$HJ2.16597@fe11.lga...
> Was she that bad, that you really dont remember?
>
>
> "Roger Conroy" <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:428a755c$0$26111$ed2619ec@ptn-nntp-reader02.plus.net...
>>I think i've done your wife
>>
>> "Ken Taylor" <ken123@xtra.co.nz> wrote in message
>> news:aOsie.694$U4.103029@news.xtra.co.nz...
>>> I'm Roger Conroy, and so's my wife!
>>>
>>>
>>> "Roger Conroy" <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:428a1ba3$0$26124$ed2619ec@ptn-nntp-reader02.plus.net...
>>>> No you are NOT...........I am the real Roger Conroy
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "The Real Roger Conroy" <null@null.net> wrote in message
>>>> news:r%cie.19907$Ee6.14820@fe12.lga...
>>>>>I am the real Roger Conroy!!!!
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> "Roger Conroy" <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>> news:d6au4u$98i$1@ctb-nnrp2.saix.net...
>>>>>> I'm reading this in rec.radio.amateur.equipment.
>>>>>> Anyway I'm not interested in arguing the issue any further.
>>>>>> I am somewhat upset seeing someone faking my identity and taking this
>>>>>> thread
>>>>>> into personal insults.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "Dick" <n1rsp@verizon.net> wrote in message
>>>>>> news:7t0ie.2407$Vu6.1847@trndny03...
>>>>>>> Hey idiot!Yes you Roger Conroy.Read my post again.I think it is on
>>>>>>> topic
>>>>>> as
>>>>>>> I have posted asking to swap my radio for a scanner.This is a

>>>>>>> scanner
>>>>>> group
>>>>>>> I take it.So i aked if someone wanted to swap an ICOM R3 for my
>>>>>>> Bose.
>>>>>>> So if that is off topic,I think I would appoligise for ruffeling
>>>>>>> your
>>>>>>> feathers.But on the other hand if not you should just read it and
>>>>>>> stop
>>>>>>> posting you negatory remarks and stop being an ass like youseem to
>>>>>>> be.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> JUST WHAT WE NEED IS MORE NEWSGROUPS ASSHOLES!!!!!!!!
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> --
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> ---
>>>>>>> "Are you still wasting your time with spam?...
>>>>>>> There is a solution!"
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Protected by GIANT Company's Spam Inspector
>>>>>>> The most powerful anti-spam software available.
>>>>>>> http://www.giantcompany.com
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "Roger Conroy" <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>> news:d69j96$27i$1@ctb-nnrp2.saix.net...
>>>>>>> > Which asshole spoofed my id?
>>>>>>> >
>>>>>>> > Mr. Null - you can't be too bright if you don't recognise sarcasm!
>>>>>>> > So for the benefit of the dummies here I'll explain. My "question"
>>>>>>> > about
>>>>>>> > ham
>>>>>>> > bands and modes is a sarcastic put-down to the idiot who posted a
>>>>>> totally
>>>>>>> > off topic item!
>>>>>>> >
>>>>>>> > BTW - if a post that looks like it comes from me does not
>>>>>>> > originate from
>>>>>>> > news.saix.net then it is not me!
>>>>>>> >
>>>>>>> >
>>>>>>> >
>>>>>>> >
>>>>>>> > "Roger Conroy" <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>> > news:42875298$0$26105$ed2619ec@ptn-nntp-reader02.plus.net...
>>>>>>> >>
>>>>>>> >> Yes
>>>>>>> >>
>>>>>>> >> I am a complete Dick-Wad

>>>>>>> >> Thanks for asking, I think we may have gone to the same school..
>>>>>>> >> ass-wipe
>>>>>>> >>
>>>>>>> >> "Mr. Null" <null@null.net> wrote in message
>>>>>>> >> news:RFzhe.14124$Ee6.2483@fe12.lga...
>>>>>>> >> > Roger
>>>>>>> >> >
>>>>>>> >> > are you stupid?
>>>>>>> >> >
>>>>>>> >> >
>>>>>>> >> > "Roger Conroy" <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>> >> > message
>>>>>>> >> > news:d61muc$qp0$1@ctb-nnrp2.saix.net...
>>>>>>> >> >>
>>>>>>> >> >> "Dick" <n1rsp@verizon.net> wrote in message
>>>>>>> >> >> news:LJSge.6280$ix3.3830@trndny07...
>>>>>>> >> >>> White in color with no cd.Has credit card remote and sounds
>>>>>>> >> >>> awesome.Don't
>>>>>>> >> >>> have a clue on generation.
>>>>>>> >> >>>
>>>>>>> >> >>
>>>>>>> >> >> What ham bands and modes can be operated with it?
>>>>>>> >> >>
>>>>>>> >> >>
>>>>>>> >> >>
>>>>>>> >> >
>>>>>>> >> >
>>>>>>> >>
>>>>>>> >>
>>>>>>> >
>>>>>>> >
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

2313. Re: Nextel Walkie Talkie??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-18 01:17:00 UTC
From: Joe Altmyer <altmyerj@thenet.com>
Message-ID: <428A978C.A5017BAA@thenet.com>
Source record: 13477
Cross-posted to: misc.consumers,alt.cellular.nextel,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.cellular

"Tush Smells Bush Kills!!!!!!!!!!!" wrote:
>
> Anyone know if these things work where there is no cellular service
> available?
>
> I have a property in the mountains where there are no signal bars for
> miles and was wondering how I could stay in touch with someone about 20
> miles away.
>
> Are there regular walkie talkies with 20+ mile range?

1. You need service for the walkie-talkie to operate.

2. The only way to talk 20 miles is "line of sight" .... in other
words, NOTHING in between the two units. That's why aviation
(airplanes) can talk hundreds of miles with low wattage.

3. You can hook up to a GMRS group and use their repeater
and get extended range. (Possibly 10-50 miles.)

Joe

2314. Re: Nextel Walkie Talkie??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-18 01:17:50 UTC
From: Joe Altmyer <altmyerj@thenet.com>
Message-ID: <428A97BE.2775F7FB@thenet.com>
Source record: 13475
Cross-posted to: misc.consumers,alt.cellular.nextel,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.cellular

Chris Hill wrote:
>
> On 16 May 2005 07:04:52 -0700, "Tush Smells Bush Kills!!!!!!!!!!!"
> <rsfccarbomb@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >Anyone know if these things work where there is no cellular service
> >available?
> >
> >I have a property in the mountains where there are no signal bars for
> >miles and was wondering how I could stay in touch with someone about 20
> >miles away.
>
> No, they use the cell towers to communicate, it is just a gimmic not a
> true walkie talkie.

Gimmick? How so?

2315. Re: Nextel Walkie Talkie??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-18 01:19:22 UTC
From: Joe Altmyer <altmyerj@thenet.com>
Message-ID: <428A981A.AB9F2E8@thenet.com>
Source record: 13476
Cross-posted to: misc.consumers,alt.cellular.nextel,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.cellular

Ken wrote:
>
> Hardly a gimmick, particularly since Qwest, Verizon and others of the
> local phone companies are starting to offer the service.
>
> It does indeed use the cellular network and won't work if you can't get
> a signal. One major advantage of the "walkie-talkie" feature is that
> when you key up another person, you can just start talking and they
> will hear you. They do not have to "answer" your call to receive your
> voice transmission.
> Another advantage is that the service can be used in "group" mode which
> allows the sender to access several people with one keystroke.
>
> My wife and I have Nextel phones and we find the service very useful.
> It is our primary means of communication when contacting one another.

I am happy you live in an area that has sufficient coverage.

I'm not as lucky.

Joe

2316. Re: Nextel Walkie Talkie??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-18 01:20:43 UTC
From: Joe Altmyer <altmyerj@thenet.com>
Message-ID: <428A986B.F6AD45F3@thenet.com>
Source record: 13480
Cross-posted to: misc.consumers,alt.cellular.nextel,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.cellular

"Steven M. Scharf" wrote:
>
> "Ken" <black_chihuahua@netzero.net> wrote in message
> news:1116259751.201430.65830@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > Hardly a gimmick, particularly since Qwest, Verizon and others of the
> > local phone companies are starting to offer the service.
> >
> > It does indeed use the cellular network and won't work if you can't get
> > a signal. One major advantage of the "walkie-talkie" feature is that
> > when you key up another person, you can just start talking and they
> > will hear you. They do not have to "answer" your call to receive your
> > voice transmission.
>
> It can also be a major annoyance. My wife's employer insists that every
> nurse carries a Nexel phone. They all keep them turned off, because while
> visiting patients, they cannot be disturbed. They can't use the PTT feature
> because they can't be broadcasting personal information. The coverage is
> horrible so they all need to carry another cell phone as well. They call
> PTT, PTA (Push to Annoy.

It can really get on your nerves!

2317. Re: Nextel Walkie Talkie??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-18 01:21:29 UTC
From: Joe Altmyer <altmyerj@thenet.com>
Message-ID: <428A9899.1706776D@thenet.com>
Source record: 13482
Cross-posted to: misc.consumers,alt.cellular.nextel,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.cellular

Gordon Burditt wrote:
>
> >It can also be a major annoyance. My wife's employer insists that every
> >nurse carries a Nexel phone. They all keep them turned off, because while
> >visiting patients, they cannot be disturbed. They can't use the PTT feature
> >because they can't be broadcasting personal information. The coverage is
>
> If you can't broadcast personal information, you can't use a cell
> phone OR "walkie-talkie" mode; they both broadcast whatever is said
> over it. The nurses and the employer DO know that, I hope?
>
> Gordon L. Burditt

So what?

2318. Re: Nextel Walkie Talkie??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-18 01:22:56 UTC
From: Joe Altmyer <altmyerj@thenet.com>
Message-ID: <428A98F0.D089C19C@thenet.com>
Source record: 13478
Cross-posted to: misc.consumers,alt.cellular.nextel,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.cellular

"Steven M. Scharf" wrote:
>
> Gordon Burditt wrote:
> >>It can also be a major annoyance. My wife's employer insists that every
> >>nurse carries a Nexel phone. They all keep them turned off, because while
> >>visiting patients, they cannot be disturbed. They can't use the PTT feature
> >>because they can't be broadcasting personal information. The coverage is
> >>
> > If you can't broadcast personal information, you can't use a cell
> > phone OR "walkie-talkie" mode; they both broadcast whatever is said
> > over it. The nurses and the employer DO know that, I hope?
>
> You're about ten years behind the times. This was the case with the old
> analog AMPS system. With CDMA (Verizon, Sprint) it's extremely secure,
> and with GSM/TDMA (AT&T, Cingular) it's very secure.
>
> The issue with Nextel's PTT is that they can't have patients hearing
> anything about other patients, which would be the case if broadcasting
> with PTT. Using Nextel simply as a cell phone still works, as iDEN is
> also very secure, but Nextel has very poor coverage.

No big deal .... just hit the speaker switch and use the
walkie-talkie with the earpiece instead of the speaker,
to listen.

Joe

2319. Re: Nextel Walkie Talkie??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-18 01:24:34 UTC
From: Joe Altmyer <altmyerj@thenet.com>
Message-ID: <428A9952.1803990B@thenet.com>
Source record: 13479
Cross-posted to: misc.consumers,alt.cellular.nextel,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.cellular

Andy Hill wrote:
>
> "Tush Smells Bush Kills!!!!!!!!!!!" <rsfccarbomb@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >...
> >I have a property in the mountains where there are no signal bars for
> >miles and was wondering how I could stay in touch with someone about 20
> >miles away.
> >
> >Are there regular walkie talkies with 20+ mile range?
> >
> I doubt you'll find anything in the walkie-talkie form factor that can do 20+,
> mainly due to the antenna requirements. To go 20+ in the UHF (such as the
> GMRS radios) band, you'll want a pretty tall antenna to have any chance at of
> doing a point-to-point. Now, if you want to get fancy, you could both set up
> GMRS repeaters at your sites, and then as long as you're both within range of
> your respective repeaters (2 miles, more or less), you can communicate with
> handhelds (but not the cheapie, super-FRS handhelds -- you need a handheld
> capable of duplex operation to use a repeater). Better really want to talk
> to the guy, 'tho -- it won't be cheap to implement.

WE all need to quit making all these radio calls (especially
when driving) and start using the old fashioned landlines ....
besides, my home landline has unlimited long distance.

Joe

2320. Re: Nextel Walkie Talkie??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-18 01:25:26 UTC
From: Joe Altmyer <altmyerj@thenet.com>
Message-ID: <428A9986.4EA5C1A0@thenet.com>
Source record: 13481
Cross-posted to: misc.consumers,alt.cellular.nextel,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.cellular

Gordon Burditt wrote:
>
> >>>It can also be a major annoyance. My wife's employer insists that every
> >>>nurse carries a Nexel phone. They all keep them turned off, because while
> >>>visiting patients, they cannot be disturbed. They can't use the PTT feature
> >>>because they can't be broadcasting personal information. The coverage is
> >>
> >> If you can't broadcast personal information, you can't use a cell
> >> phone OR "walkie-talkie" mode; they both broadcast whatever is said
> >> over it. The nurses and the employer DO know that, I hope?
> >
> >You're about ten years behind the times. This was the case with the old
> >analog AMPS system. With CDMA (Verizon, Sprint) it's extremely secure,
> >and with GSM/TDMA (AT&T, Cingular) it's very secure.
>
> If it sends it over public airwaves, it's not secure.
> Or are you trying to tell me that a key shared between every
> cell tower and/or every Nextel phone can be kept secret? I
> don't believe it.
>
> Gordon L. Burditt

It's the DIGITAL AGE! WAKE UP! It's secured. Nobody can hear
you!

Joe

2321. Re: Nextel Walkie Talkie??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-18 01:27:06 UTC
From: Joe Altmyer <altmyerj@thenet.com>
Message-ID: <428A99EA.823C56EC@thenet.com>
Source record: 13484
Cross-posted to: misc.consumers,alt.cellular.nextel,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.cellular

ameijers wrote:
>
> "Andy Hill" <andy_hill@hp.com> wrote in message
> news:k0th81lmc6ua01pu7d9eftmfjn5vnq58rk@4ax.com...
> > "Tush Smells Bush Kills!!!!!!!!!!!" <rsfccarbomb@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > >...
> > >I have a property in the mountains where there are no signal bars for
> > >miles and was wondering how I could stay in touch with someone about 20
> > >miles away.
> > >
> > >Are there regular walkie talkies with 20+ mile range?
> > >
> > I doubt you'll find anything in the walkie-talkie form factor that can do
> 20+,
> > mainly due to the antenna requirements. To go 20+ in the UHF (such as
> the
> > GMRS radios) band, you'll want a pretty tall antenna to have any chance at
> of
> > doing a point-to-point. Now, if you want to get fancy, you could both
> set up
> > GMRS repeaters at your sites, and then as long as you're both within range
> of
> > your respective repeaters (2 miles, more or less), you can communicate
> with
> > handhelds (but not the cheapie, super-FRS handhelds -- you need a handheld
> > capable of duplex operation to use a repeater). Better really want to
> talk
> > to the guy, 'tho -- it won't be cheap to implement.
> >
> Maybe so, maybe no- depends how tall the mountain is, and if a ridgeline is
> between him and his buddy. We routinely get close to 10 miles point-to-point
> with 5w vhf walkie talkies on our system on flat ground in an urban setting,
> and 40 miles plus running through the repeater 140 feet in the air. Note
> that these are 'real' walkie talkies, about $750 a pop. No idea what the
> licensing costs would be for civilians- this is a federal system. VHF has
> real good range, as long as you can get up high enough for line-of-sight.
> Not advocating breaking any laws, but I do know there are plenty of bootleg
> bastard-frequency systems out there, and the hardware is available cheap on
> ebay. People just find a locally vacant freq, and set up shop.
>
> In the low-buck arena, a couple of CB base stations and tuned/aimed antennas
> on a 20-foot push-up poles would probably work most of the time. Utterly no
> privacy of course, but cheap and easy.

>
> OP may wanna post the same questions over in the rec.radio groups.
>
> aem sends...

All repeaters have good range, period. VHF is much better than
UHF, too!

Joe

2322. Re: Nextel Walkie Talkie??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-18 01:29:04 UTC
From: Joe Altmyer <altmyerj@thenet.com>
Message-ID: <428A9A60.D222760B@thenet.com>
Source record: 13483
Cross-posted to: misc.consumers,alt.cellular.nextel,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.cellular

"Ted B." wrote:
>
> >
> > Nextel is good for its original purpose, at least in many areas. But it
> > sucks as a general purpose phone because of the coverage.
>
> Tell that to employers . . . just about all employers. They think the
> Nextel PTT function is so cool, but they don't realize that the network it
> is on really SUCKS. Odd thing is, even if management types experience the
> poor coverage of Nextel personally, they still don't think to switch to a
> different cellular provider. Over several years, I've heard several excuses
> for putting up with the shitty coverage on nextel, ranging from "but it
> works OK in some areas" to "we got a really good deal on it". Me, I'm
> thinking it doesn't matter how cheap it is if it doesn't work, and working
> OK in SOME areas is simply unacceptable.
>
> My last three employers have INSISTED I carry a Nextel handset. OK, so I've
> carried it, and I've gotten sick and fucking tired of being asked why people
> can't get ahold of me. Could it be because most incoming calls to my nextel
> handsets go straight to voicemail? Nawwww . . . there must be some other
> explanation. (!) The last few years, my Nextel handset has been
> functioning as a pager. That is, it hardly ever rings. But it will
> eventually chime to let me know that I have voicemail. At that point, I
> pick up my personal Cingular handset to call (whoever) back. I don't even
> try to use the nextel handset. It's just not worth the effort. I'm too
> busy to dick around with trying to coax it to work, for a change. -Dave

That's a real drag! Buy a Verizon phone and be done with it.

Joe

2323. Re: Help with Regency Z10.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-18 04:38:22 UTC
From: jon <jonrev1978@wmconnect.com>
Message-ID: <1116391102.384034.301410@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13485

Greetings Frank! Thanks so much for the info! I will make that a
project for the weekend. I loaned a nice scanner that I had bought for
my dad who died last summer to my daughter who has my 4 year old
grandson. I love the boy to death, but the longer it stays at their
house the less likely it comes home in one piece.
Do you or anyone else know where I can get a copy of the owners manual
for the Regency Z-10? I know my son in law can read the book instead
of calling me when they have questions. Thanks again for the help!
Have a great week! Jon.

2324. Re: Pro - 95 EDACS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-18 04:39:34 UTC
From: copiapo <copiapo@localnet.com>
Message-ID: <118lhq6jshbdb08@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 13486

If you have the win95 program and cable there is a file available, that has Worcester PD and FD already set-up.

I drove through Worcester and loaded the file into my pro-95 scanner before i left and it worked great.

You can get the file from www.scancapecod.us
Select 'scanner programming files' on the left side menu, and then click on pro-95. The files is called central massachusetts.

There are a couple of other files avaiable also.

jim

"Worc,Scannerguy" <seamus508@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:1115759421.087903.112780@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> I live in worcester,ma. I have a pro-94 an 95 i programed them both
> with the same freq. 851.46250 851.91250 852.03750 852.36250 854.21250
> 855.48750 855.71250 855.73750 855.96250 855.98750. The 94 is clear the
> 95 sounds like gurgling wtf can anyone help me out. Thanks
>

2325. Re: Nextel Walkie Talkie??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-18 04:47:29 UTC
From: "Ralph A. Schmid, DK5RAS" <nospam@radio-link.net>
Message-ID: <r5il811brqvsq4cpbkvlvh79jm7lvdfsds@4ax.com>
Source record: 13487
Cross-posted to: misc.consumers,alt.cellular.nextel,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.cellular

Joe Altmyer <altmyerj@thenet.com> wrote:

>All repeaters have good range, period. VHF is much better than
>UHF, too!

Not in general. Especially with handhelds often UHF is better, due to
better antennae on both handheld and repeater side.

regards - Ralph

--

Want to get in touch? http://www.radio-link.net/whereisralph.txt

2326. Re: Nextel Walkie Talkie??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-18 05:00:39 UTC
From: Korbin Dallas <korbindallas@dodgeit.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.05.18.05.00.39.9445@dodgeit.com>
Source record: 13488
Cross-posted to: misc.consumers,alt.cellular.nextel,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.cellular

On Tue, 17 May 2005 21:29:04 -0400, Joe Altmyer wrote:

> "Ted B." wrote:
>>
>> >
>> > Nextel is good for its original purpose, at least in many areas. But it
>> > sucks as a general purpose phone because of the coverage.
>>
>> Tell that to employers . . . just about all employers. They think the
>> Nextel PTT function is so cool, but they don't realize that the network it
>> is on really SUCKS. Odd thing is, even if management types experience the
>> poor coverage of Nextel personally, they still don't think to switch to a
>> different cellular provider. Over several years, I've heard several excuses
>> for putting up with the shitty coverage on nextel, ranging from "but it
>> works OK in some areas" to "we got a really good deal on it". Me, I'm
>> thinking it doesn't matter how cheap it is if it doesn't work, and working
>> OK in SOME areas is simply unacceptable.
>>
>> My last three employers have INSISTED I carry a Nextel handset. OK, so I've
>> carried it, and I've gotten sick and fucking tired of being asked why people
>> can't get ahold of me. Could it be because most incoming calls to my nextel
>> handsets go straight to voicemail? Nawwww . . . there must be some other
>> explanation. (!) The last few years, my Nextel handset has been
>> functioning as a pager. That is, it hardly ever rings. But it will
>> eventually chime to let me know that I have voicemail. At that point, I
>> pick up my personal Cingular handset to call (whoever) back. I don't even
>> try to use the nextel handset. It's just not worth the effort. I'm too
>> busy to dick around with trying to coax it to work, for a change. -Dave
>
> That's a real drag! Buy a Verizon phone and be done with it.
>
> Joe

Thats OK, Nextel is going away. You should like the Sprint replacement
better...

--
Korbin Dallas
The name was changed to protect the guilty.

2327. Re: Nextel Walkie Talkie??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-18 05:05:19 UTC
From: Korbin Dallas <korbindallas@dodgeit.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.05.18.05.05.18.611147@dodgeit.com>
Source record: 13489
Cross-posted to: misc.consumers,alt.cellular.nextel,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.cellular

On Tue, 17 May 2005 21:25:26 -0400, Joe Altmyer wrote:

> Gordon Burditt wrote:
>>
>> >>>It can also be a major annoyance. My wife's employer insists that every
>> >>>nurse carries a Nexel phone. They all keep them turned off, because while
>> >>>visiting patients, they cannot be disturbed. They can't use the PTT feature
>> >>>because they can't be broadcasting personal information. The coverage is
>> >>
>> >> If you can't broadcast personal information, you can't use a cell
>> >> phone OR "walkie-talkie" mode; they both broadcast whatever is said
>> >> over it. The nurses and the employer DO know that, I hope?
>> >
>> >You're about ten years behind the times. This was the case with the old
>> >analog AMPS system. With CDMA (Verizon, Sprint) it's extremely secure,
>> >and with GSM/TDMA (AT&T, Cingular) it's very secure.
>>
>> If it sends it over public airwaves, it's not secure.
>> Or are you trying to tell me that a key shared between every
>> cell tower and/or every Nextel phone can be kept secret? I
>> don't believe it.
>>
>> Gordon L. Burditt
>
> It's the DIGITAL AGE! WAKE UP! It's secured. Nobody can hear
> you!
>

I'm not sure if Nextel is as secure as GSM, (Cingular / T-Mobile) or CDMA
(Verizon / Spring) but it is digital, and you cant pickup a Nextel
Scanner at Radio shack so for anything you need to send its more than
secure enough....

--
Korbin Dallas
The name was changed to protect the guilty.

2328. Re: Nextel Walkie Talkie??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-18 05:24:40 UTC
From: NexTech <things2me@myway.com>
Message-ID: <skAie.3599$Ri4.658@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 13490
Cross-posted to: misc.consumers,alt.cellular.nextel,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.cellular

"Korbin Dallas" <korbindallas@dodgeit.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.05.18.05.00.39.9445@dodgeit.com...
> On Tue, 17 May 2005 21:29:04 -0400, Joe Altmyer wrote:
>
> > "Ted B." wrote:
> >>
> >> >
> >> > Nextel is good for its original purpose, at least in many areas. But
it
> >> > sucks as a general purpose phone because of the coverage.
> >>
> >> Tell that to employers . . . just about all employers. They think the
> >> Nextel PTT function is so cool, but they don't realize that the network
it
> >> is on really SUCKS. Odd thing is, even if management types experience
the
> >> poor coverage of Nextel personally, they still don't think to switch to
a
> >> different cellular provider. Over several years, I've heard several
excuses
> >> for putting up with the shitty coverage on nextel, ranging from "but it
> >> works OK in some areas" to "we got a really good deal on it". Me, I'm
> >> thinking it doesn't matter how cheap it is if it doesn't work, and
working
> >> OK in SOME areas is simply unacceptable.
> >>
> >> My last three employers have INSISTED I carry a Nextel handset. OK, so
I've
> >> carried it, and I've gotten sick and fucking tired of being asked why
people
> >> can't get ahold of me. Could it be because most incoming calls to my
nextel
> >> handsets go straight to voicemail? Nawwww . . . there must be some
other
> >> explanation. (!) The last few years, my Nextel handset has been
> >> functioning as a pager. That is, it hardly ever rings. But it will
> >> eventually chime to let me know that I have voicemail. At that point,
I
> >> pick up my personal Cingular handset to call (whoever) back. I don't
even
> >> try to use the nextel handset. It's just not worth the effort. I'm
too
> >> busy to dick around with trying to coax it to work, for a

ange. -Dave
> >
> > That's a real drag! Buy a Verizon phone and be done with it.
> >
> > Joe
>
> Thats OK, Nextel is going away. You should like the Sprint replacement
> better...
>

Good! Then I don't have to work on them anymore and lie to the customers.

2329. Re: Nextel Walkie Talkie??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-18 10:22:03 UTC
From: Bob Ward <bobward@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <7p5m819r254peltfq35579rc1bgbcs72ch@4ax.com>
Source record: 13491
Cross-posted to: misc.consumers,alt.cellular.nextel,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.cellular

On Tue, 17 May 2005 21:24:34 -0400, Joe Altmyer <altmyerj@thenet.com>
wrote:

>Andy Hill wrote:
>>
>> "Tush Smells Bush Kills!!!!!!!!!!!" <rsfccarbomb@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >...
>> >I have a property in the mountains where there are no signal bars for
>> >miles and was wondering how I could stay in touch with someone about 20
>> >miles away.
>> >
>> >Are there regular walkie talkies with 20+ mile range?
>> >
>> I doubt you'll find anything in the walkie-talkie form factor that can do 20+,
>> mainly due to the antenna requirements. To go 20+ in the UHF (such as the
>> GMRS radios) band, you'll want a pretty tall antenna to have any chance at of
>> doing a point-to-point. Now, if you want to get fancy, you could both set up
>> GMRS repeaters at your sites, and then as long as you're both within range of
>> your respective repeaters (2 miles, more or less), you can communicate with
>> handhelds (but not the cheapie, super-FRS handhelds -- you need a handheld
>> capable of duplex operation to use a repeater). Better really want to talk
>> to the guy, 'tho -- it won't be cheap to implement.
>
>WE all need to quit making all these radio calls (especially
>when driving) and start using the old fashioned landlines ....
>besides, my home landline has unlimited long distance.
>
>Joe

I'll be over this weekend - I've got a bunch of calls to make. Thanks
for the offer.

2330. Re: Nextel Walkie Talkie??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-18 11:24:47 UTC
From: Scott Berringer <scanman@scanland.org>
Message-ID: <Xns965A4B3DD84DEscanmanscanlandorg@207.166.192.36>
Source record: 13492
Cross-posted to: misc.consumers,alt.cellular.nextel,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.cellular

Korbin Dallas <korbindallas@dodgeit.com> wrote in
news:pan.2005.05.18.05.00.39.9445@dodgeit.com:

>
> Thats OK, Nextel is going away. You should like the Sprint
> replacement
> better...
>
>
>

I don't think so. How do you figure Nextel is going away? They merely
joined forces with the other company. Nextel is not going anywhere.

2331. Re: writing programs for scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-18 17:16:59 UTC
From: Bill Carty <wmccarty@columbus.rr.com>
Message-ID: <fMKie.18$UP.2@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 13493

Thank you! This has been out there for a week and you are the first one to respond to it.

I thought someone who might be fighting with a program for their scanner might out of sheer frustration want to discuss their problems and maybe the scanner community here might have some answers. I guess not.

Yes most people are not programers, but the one thing I have learned about hanging out here on the news groups that every once in a while some one who is not an ego maniac, and wishes to shre knowledge will share it either on programing, or RC car building, or like here sharing scanner information.

But thanks for the response.

I figured it might be an active x type deal though I still am learning visual basic, it shouldn't be too hard to figure out how to do it.

The key is to find out how the data is written to the scanner. It is most likely a simple csv file that is loaded into a memory location, but I havn't been able to figure out how to talk to my pro 95. Or for that matter my bc 780.

I am going to the ham vention on friday the 20th in dayton. Who know maybe some one will have the information I need.

Oh well time to think about how to make the com port on the computer talk to the PRO 95 to get the codes.

Thank you again.

"Zombie Wolf" <zmbwf@gwi.net> wrote in message
news:cLadnUFQZepLJhTfRVn-gA@giganews.com...
> Posts like this rarely evoke much resonse, since most people are not
> programmers. There is little, if any standardization of radio interfaces,
> which makes this type of programming more radio-specific.
>
> The first thing you would need to do is work out an interface in net for
> the
> computer-radio linkup. An ActiveX control would probably be used for this,
> as it can also provide visual feedback, and will simplify the rest of the
> program to a degree.Optocom has put forth a standard for their stuff. Here
> is a short excerpt.
>
> ==
> =
> ABOUT CI-V
> ==

> =
>
> The serial interface on the OptoScan456(tm) conforms to the Icom CI-V
> interface standard. The CI-V interface is an asynchronous, half-duplex,
> TTL serial interface connected in a wire-OR (bussed) configuration.
> Several
> different devices can be connected to the bus simultaneously, and each
> device has its own unique address. Software developers are strongly
> encouraged to obtain a copy of the Icom Communication Interface - V
> Reference Manual from Icom, Inc. for detailed information on the CI-V
> interface protocol.
>
> You will need to program for database access and logging, of course, I
> will
> leave the details of that to you. An Access database would be nice....
>
> Other functions are outlined in the CI-V document mentioned here. This
> would
> probably be enough to get you started, at any rate, on a program for
> radios
> that use this particular standard.
>
> "Bill Carty" <wmccarty@columbus.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:53uge.23974$Ib4.11474@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com...
>> Hi
>>
>> I am interested in writing programs for the programing of scanners.
>>
>> I have windows visual basic net so I don't have to buy a program to build
>> the build program. So that's one step completed.
>>
>> So my questions are this
>>
>> where does one find the command set scanners that can be written into a
>> program? Do manufacturers offer the command set up? Or does it have to
> be
>> hacked?
>>
>> At one time I had the command codes for my bearcat 895, I forgot where I
> go
>> them. Been trying to find the codes for a pro 95 and have hit a wall.
>>
>> Should I come up with something I am willing to share it but I need to
> find
>> the code somewhere for the scanner(s) and then write the code.
>>
>> For me its mostly a way to have something to do.
>>
>> any guidence that any of you are willing to share will be welcomed
>>

>> Bill Carty
>> wmccarty@columbus.rr.com
>>
>>
>
>

2332. Re: Nextel Walkie Talkie??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-18 18:10:32 UTC
From: clifto <clifto@clifto.com>
Message-ID: <o1dsl2-3jl.ln1@remote.clifto.com>
Source record: 13494
Cross-posted to: misc.consumers,alt.cellular.nextel,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.cellular

Joe Altmyer wrote:
> WE all need to quit making all these radio calls (especially
> when driving) and start using the old fashioned landlines ....
> besides, my home landline has unlimited long distance.

Tried it. The extension cord kept getting wrapped around the Aldi.

--
I miss my .signature.

2333. Re: scanner antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-18 20:20:12 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4funREMOVEBEFOREFLIGHT.com>
Message-ID: <0sNie.6875$w21.5560@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 13495

Bill Crocker wrote:

> Check out the NIL-JON Super M Base Antenna;
> http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/scanants/2063.html
>
> The ScanTenna is great too, but may not hold up in high winds.
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>

60+ MPH winds are not a problem for the AntennaWarehouse Scantenna.
I've used a couple here in FL and have yet had any damage.

Granted the NilJon antennas are more sturdy and preform well but the
Scantenna does out perform the NilJon on everyband.

George - Daytona Beach, FL
http://www.MilAirComms.com

2334. Re: Help with Regency Z10.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-18 20:48:30 UTC
From: Frank346 <frank346@groznyk.com>
Message-ID: <ySNie.23005$mp6.5366953@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 13496

You can get a manual at http://www.bearcat1.com/manuals.htm

Regards,
Frank

"jon" <jonrev1978@wmconnect.com> wrote in message
news:1116391102.384034.301410@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Greetings Frank! Thanks so much for the info! I will make that a
> project for the weekend. I loaned a nice scanner that I had bought for
> my dad who died last summer to my daughter who has my 4 year old
> grandson. I love the boy to death, but the longer it stays at their
> house the less likely it comes home in one piece.
> Do you or anyone else know where I can get a copy of the owners manual
> for the Regency Z-10? I know my son in law can read the book instead
> of calling me when they have questions. Thanks again for the help!
> Have a great week! Jon.
>

2335. Re: scanner antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-18 21:17:55 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <VdadnccoWouELBbfRVn-rA@comcast.com>
Source record: 13497

They must have improved them over the years. Good to know.

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

"GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4funREMOVEBEFOREFLIGHT.com> wrote in message
news:0sNie.6875$w21.5560@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> Bill Crocker wrote:
>
>> Check out the NIL-JON Super M Base Antenna;
>> http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/scanants/2063.html
>>
>> The ScanTenna is great too, but may not hold up in high winds.
>>
>> Bill Crocker
>>
>>
>
> 60+ MPH winds are not a problem for the AntennaWarehouse Scantenna. I've
> used a couple here in FL and have yet had any damage.
>
> Granted the NilJon antennas are more sturdy and preform well but the
> Scantenna does out perform the NilJon on everyband.
>
> George - Daytona Beach, FL
> http://www.MilAirComms.com

2336. Re: writing programs for scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-19 01:52:13 UTC
From: Bob Parnass <not-for-mail@fake.invalid>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.05.19.01.52.12.931355@fake.invalid>
Source record: 13498

The biggest challenge is writing scanner
software is not the GUI. It is deducing the scanner's
command set (when writing control software) and the radio's
memory image layout (when writing configuration/cloning
software).

The latter is especially challenging
because most manufacturers won't share the info
without signing restrictive nondisclosure agreements.
If you don't want to be bound by such
handcuffs, you must learn the memory image
layout through your own experimentation and by sharing info
with other developers.

It gets real tough when manufacturers intentionally
encode the image information in nonintuitive ways.
You've got to love solving puzzles :-)

There are many free tool kits available for writing GUIs,
especially if you steer clear of Microsoft proprietary
stuff. You have a lot of freedom when designing the
GUI, especially if you use open source software.

--
==
Bob Parnass, AJ9S GNU/Linux User http://parnass.com

2337. Re: scanner antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-19 02:36:56 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4funREMOVEBEFOREFLIGHT.com>
Message-ID: <cZSie.7132$w21.3812@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 13499

Bill Crocker wrote:

> They must have improved them over the years. Good to know.
>
> Thanks,
> Bill Crocker
>
>
> "GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4funREMOVEBEFOREFLIGHT.com> wrote in message
> news:0sNie.6875$w21.5560@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
>>Bill Crocker wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Check out the NIL-JON Super M Base Antenna;
>>>http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/scanants/2063.html
>>>
>>>The ScanTenna is great too, but may not hold up in high winds.
>>>
>>>Bill Crocker
>>>
>>>
>>
>>60+ MPH winds are not a problem for the AntennaWarehouse Scantenna. I've
>>used a couple here in FL and have yet had any damage.
>>
>>Granted the NilJon antennas are more sturdy and preform well but the
>>Scantenna does out perform the NilJon on everyband.
>>
>>George - Daytona Beach, FL
>>http://www.MilAirComms.com

Actually about 2 years ago there was an improvement. The older ones had used blue colored plastic pieces to hold the elements together. Over time the thin plastic would get brittle and break. About 2 yrs ago they started using a heavier/thicker plastic and also the elements were slightly thicker. The you can tell the newer ones because the plastic pieces are now black.

I have both the old version and new version, but even the old version survived 60+MPH wind gusts from tropical storms. The new version survived 100 MPH gusts from Hurricane Charley in 2004 with no damage. I took it down during the two other hurricanes due to loose tree branches and other loose flying debre.

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

2338. Re: Help with Regency Z10.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-19 03:41:56 UTC
From: jon <jonrev1978@wmconnect.com>
Message-ID: <1116474116.557772.296220@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13500

Greetiings Frank! You are the man! Thanks so much for the info! I see some other manuals I might have to get. The price is resonable as well. Have a great week! Jon.

2339. FS: Pro2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-19 03:53:43 UTC
From: banjo@haruteqSNIPSNIP.com
Message-ID: <428c0d37.2084341@news.cogeco.ca>
Source record: 13401

Two Pro2004's for sale:
http://home.cogeco.ca/~hveerman1/StuffForSale.htm
-
Check my most up to date email address at:
www.haruteq.com/contact.htm
awesome banjo bridges, tabs, stained glass:
www.haruteq.com

may your moments of need be met by moments of compassion

2340. Re: Nextel Walkie Talkie??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-19 04:19:33 UTC
From: CharlesH <hoch@exemplary.invalid>
Message-ID: <otUie.730$mK.591@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 13402

Scott Berringer wrote:
> Korbin Dallas <korbindallas@dodgeit.com> wrote in
> news:pan.2005.05.18.05.00.39.9445@dodgeit.com:
>
>>Thats OK, Nextel is going away. You should like the Sprint
>>replacement better...
>
> I don't think so. How do you figure Nextel is going away? They merely
> joined forces with the other company. Nextel is not going anywhere.

My understanding is that they plan to phase out the iDen technology in favor of using the SprintPCS CDMA network. The PTT functionality may not change from the user's perspective, but under the covers, it will become a PCS service rather than the trunk-radio (SMR) service it is now.

2341. Re: writing programs for scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-19 11:04:31 UTC
From: Jim Douglas <james.douglas@genesis-software.com>
Message-ID: <p4ednVQmkfg57xHfRVn-sQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 13403

When I started doing this I got a program to monitor how another scanner program was talking to the scanner, it's a real pain. I quickly got over the need to write my own.

"Bill Carty" <wmccarty@columbus.rr.com> wrote in message news:53uge.23974$Ib4.11474@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com...
> Hi
>
> I am interested in writing programs for the programing of scanners.
>
> I have windows visual basic net so I don't have to buy a program to build
> the build program. So that's one step completed.
>
> So my questions are this
>
> where does one find the command set scanners that can be written into a
> program? Do manufacturers offer the command set up? Or does it have to
be
> hacked?
>
> At one time I had the command codes for my bearcat 895, I forgot where I
go
> them. Been trying to find the codes for a pro 95 and have hit a wall.
>
> Should I come up with something I am willing to share it but I need to
find
> the code somewhere for the scanner(s) and then write the code.
>
> For me its mostly a way to have something to do.
>
> any guidence that any of you are willing to share will be welcomed
>
> Bill Carty
> wmccarty@columbus.rr.com
>
>

2342. Re: Scanner at German airports

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-19 11:08:08 UTC
From: Jim Douglas <james.douglas@genesis-software.com>
Message-ID: <etWdnZTAwuAc7hHfRVn-og@comcast.com>
Source record: 13404
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

So you wanted attention, walking around an airport with some type of handheld radio. Sounds like you need to pack that stuff and live without it for a few hours, sorta like not smoking in airports.

"jamoran" <john@analon.com> wrote in message news:NM6dnZ2479Jagx3fRVn-1A@sedona.net...
> has the attitude at Europeean airports changed re scanners since I
> visited a few yers ago ??? I always travel with at least oni hi-tech
> "toy" or radio
>
> a few yers ago, I traveled to Germany to visit relatives and play
> tourist. I flew into Frankfurt (FRA/EDDF)and then on to Hamburg
> (HAM/EDDH), on the way home, we left out of Stuttgart (STG/EDDS)
>
> I listed both the IATA (Airline) and ICAO codes... USA airport ICAO
> codes begin with a K (as in KLAX, KPHX)
>
> Well, at FRankfurt, I had a hand held scanner in my hand and could
> listen to anything I wanted, tower, operations, police and nobody seemed
> to care... same at Hamburg....
>
> When we left via Stuttgart, the security, Airline people and airport
> police asked me what the thing (scanner) was and what I was doing with
> it.. I also had a frequency list on a note pad..frequencies for Southern
> California and the Phoenix area.... I was asked to put the scanner in my
> checked baggage.. comming back from vacation, I had no more room in the
> checked baggage...
>
> Finally, I was ushered into a corner of the terminal, had an Airline
> supervisor, airport security supervisor, and ref from the airport police
> I had to give them the scanner and was able to get it back once hte
> plane landed from an airline rep who had the scanner in an envelope with
> my name on it. The scanner was caried in the cockpit by the pilots
>
> In addition to the scanner, I also had a SONY-2010 shortwave..the
> shortwave was in my briefcase. I remember the security swabbing the
> radio to check for explosive residue.. I had not fertilized my lawn
> before packing so I did not have to worry...I was however asked how the
> thing worked...the SONY-2010 has 32 different buttons on the face...
> either function keys ore one-touch memory buttons...
>
> JOHN
>

>
>

2343. Re: Can I bring my FRS / GMRS Radio to Europe - Austria, Germany, Switzerland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-19 11:09:07 UTC
From: Jim Douglas <james.douglas@genesis-software.com>
Message-ID: <ob2dnZ8xR4gn7hHfRVn-tQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 13405

Ask the scanner vendor. I am sure you are not the first person that had this question.

"Cathy Hui" <kaka.hui@gmail.com> wrote in message news:1115670414.295189.152360@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> I just bought a pair of FRS / GMRS radios and planning on bringing them
> to Europe (i.e. Austra, Germany and Switzerland). Does anyone know if
> FRS legally being used in those countries? If so, if I purchase a GMRS
> license, am I going to be benefitted from the longer range reception
> from the GMRS in Europe?
>
> Thanks!
>

2344. Re: Nextel Walkie Talkie??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-19 13:12:11 UTC
From: Scott Berringer <scanman@scanland.org>
Message-ID: <Xns965B5D91F461Cscanmanscanlandorg@207.166.192.36>
Source record: 13406

CharlesH <hoch@exemplary.invalid> wrote in news:otUie.730$mK.591
@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com:

> Scott Berringer wrote:
>> Korbin Dallas <korbindallas@dodgeit.com> wrote in
>> news:pan.2005.05.18.05.00.39.9445@dodgeit.com:
>>
>>>Thats OK, Nextel is going away. You should like the Sprint
>>>replacement better...
>>
>> I don't think so. How do you figure Nextel is going away? They merely
>> joined forces with the other company. Nextel is not going anywhere.
>
> My understanding is that they plan to phase out the iDen technology in
> favor of using the SprintPCS CDMA network. The PTT functionality may not
> change from the user's perspective, but under the covers, it will become
> a PCS service rather than the trunk-radio (SMR) service it is now.
>

That's not what they said in the billing invoices I've gotten since the
merger. If they were to do away with iDEN, they'd have to supply all their
customers with new phones/radios.

That's not gonna happen.

2345. Pro-43 Manual Free

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-19 15:11:17 UTC
From: DX99 <nospam@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <p02je.2502$fd.202@trnddc03>
Source record: 13407

I have a Radio Shack Pro-43 original manual, great shape, free to anyone who wants to send a SASE - reply here with email addy and I will contact you with mail address...

2346. Re: Nextel Walkie Talkie??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-19 17:31:58 UTC
From: mryan <mryan301@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <36OdnR1EgsVeUBHfRVn-tw@comcast.com>
Source record: 13408
Cross-posted to: misc.consumers,alt.cellular.nextel,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.cellular

So what about their new "Direct Talk" features? These seem not to need the network and would work in out of area conditions. Of course the netword extends range, but sometimes "direct" can be both useful and a lifesaver.

Anyone have the tech details on this? Frequency range? If analog or digital? Etc?

"Joe Altmyer" <altmyerj@thenet.com> wrote in message news:428A978C.A5017BAA@thenet.com...
> "Tush Smells Bush Kills!!!!!!!!!!" wrote:
>>
>> Anyone know if these things work where there is no cellular service
>> available?
>>
>> I have a property in the mountains where there are no signal bars for
>> miles and was wondering how I could stay in touch with someone about 20
>> miles away.
>>
>> Are there regular walkie talkies with 20+ mile range?
>
> 1. You need service for the walkie-talkie to operate.
>
> 2. The only way to talk 20 miles is "line of sight" .... in other
> words, NOTHING in between the two units. That's why aviation
> (airplanes) can talk hundreds of miles with low wattage.
>
> 3. You can hook up to a GMRS group and use their repeater
> and get extended range. (Possibly 10-50 miles.)
>
> Joe

2347. Re: Can I bring my FRS / GMRS Radio to Europe - Austria, Germany, Switzerland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-20 02:35:39 UTC
From: Korbin Dallas <korbindallas@dodgeit.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.05.20.02.35.34.485749@dodgeit.com>
Source record: 13409

On Thu, 19 May 2005 06:09:07 -0500, Jim Douglas wrote:

> Ask the scanner vendor. I am sure you are not the first person that had this
> question.
>
> "Cathy Hui" <kaka.hui@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1115670414.295189.152360@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>> I just bought a pair of FRS / GMRS radios and planning on bringing them
>> to Europe (i.e. Austra, Germany and Switzerland). Does anyone know if
>> FRS legally being used in those countries? If so, if I purchase a GMRS
>> license, am I going to be benefitted from the longer range reception
>> from the GMRS in Europe?
>>
>> Thanks!
>>

FRS/GMRS are Illegal in Europe.
They have a similar radio service to FRS but its on a different set of
frequencies.

--
Korbin Dallas
The name was changed to protect the guilty.

2348. Re: Pro-43 Manual Free

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-20 13:52:28 UTC
From: JetCrafter <XXedtemp@juno.comXX>
Message-ID: <428deb95$1@news.sacoriver.net>
Source record: 13417

I would like that very much. Please contact me at:

jetcrafter@sacoriver.net

Thank you.

Ed Langworthy

"DX99" <nospam@verizon.net> wrote in message news:p02je.2502$fd.202@trnddc03...
> I have a Radio Shack Pro-43 original manual, great shape, free to anyone who
> wants to send a SASE - reply here with email addy and I will contact you
> with mail address...
>
>

2349. For Sale: Portable Mobile Cell Phone Jammer & Bluetooth Jammer...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-20 17:13:01 UTC
From: rehan.yasin@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1116609181.299375.57360@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13410
Cross-posted to: free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.radio.amateur

Hi Guys,

A friend of mine gave me a few cell phone jammers to sell for him. They are identical to this one here:-

http://www.globalgadgetuk.com/Personal.htm

I have 2 jammers for sale, both are "SH066PL2A - For the Americas & Canada". They are brand new and come with a desktop charger, leather case, and rechargable battery pack.

I have no use for these units as they only work in the U.S. and Canada, so if you fancy purchasing one of these, please e-mail me and I will give you full details. The price for them is a VERY LOW £169.99 AND FREE SHIPPING TO THE U.S. On the website quoted above they are charging £194.39 with postage, so you are getting a bargain here. You're absolutely guaranteed to get a higher resale price should you wish to sell them on...

If you are interested in the European Versions do drop me an e-mail as I may be able to help you out.

Also, I believe that I have a few portable bluetooth jammers which have a range of 40 meters jamming range! Up to 40 meters diameter, covers an individual room of 14000 square feet (about 1260 square meter), depending on the type and strength of signal source. They will work anywhere in the world and they are available for the very small price of £99.99 + Shipping. It also can jam 802.11b/g WiFi communication. Prevent PDA or camera phone sends data or picture via Bluetooth or WLAN.

If you are interested in any of these products, please do e-mail me ASAP as they are likely to sell quickly. The portable bluetooth jammer only a few people in the world own because it is a very new concept.

Thank you very much...

P.S. For your protection, I am a verified member of paypal so your purchase will be covered!

Disclaimer: I cannot be held responsible for any misuse of this product, it is upto the purchaser to check the laws in their country before making a purchase.

2350. Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-20 20:08:48 UTC
From: GhettoHaX0r <but@why.com>
Message-ID: <ktrje.100963$Cq2.9202@fe2.news.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 13411

this may sound retarded but i am a complete n00b to radio scanner
can someone please tell me if it is possible to pickup digital mobile signals ?

--------------= Posted using GrabIt =----------------
------= Binary Usenet downloading made easy =---------
-= Get GrabIt for free from http://www.shemes.com/ =-

2351. Re: Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-20 21:18:52 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <Xtsje.240$NL1.111@fe02.lga>
Source record: 13412

If your are talking digital police signals, then for the most part, yes. If they are encrypted (scrambled), you can still pick them up, but your won't hear anything...

If you are referencing anything else that is digital, like cell phone, cordless phone, etc, then no...

"GhettoHaX0r" <but@why.com> wrote in message news:ktrje.100963$Cq2.9202@fe2.news.blueyonder.co.uk...
> this may sound retarded but i am a complete n00b to radio scanner
> can someone please tell me if it is possible to pickup digital mobile signals ?
>
>
> --------------= Posted using GrabIt =----------------
> ------= Binary Usenet downloading made easy =---------
> -= Get GrabIt for free from http://www.shemes.com/ =-
>

2352. Re: writing programs for scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-20 22:23:58 UTC
From: Bill Carty <wmccarty@columbus.rr.com>
Message-ID: <2stje.1041$UP.912@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 13413

thank you all,

you gave given me some stuff to chew on.

I can't say that I like solving puzzels, but I do like a challenge. And this is somethign to do when there's noting else going on when I get up at oh dark thirty and can't get back to sleep.

Now I have to find a way to get or write a capture program for when the computer talks to the scanner. That's not going to be fun.

Although I didn't look hard for it, I would have thought some one at the Hamvention this weekend would hav had it. Maybe they did and I just didn't see it.

(Oh the hamvention is the annual gathering of ham radio and genral communication hobbist at Dayton's Harra arena. I didn't find much but I was also being a scrooge with the money I took.)

SO I guess it time to start googling for a program to start watchign the com port traffic!

Thanks again guys.

Bill Carty

"Bill Carty" <wmccarty@columbus.rr.com> wrote in message news:fMKie.18$UP.2@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com...
> Thank you! This has been out there for a week and you are the first one to
> respond to it.
>
> I thought someone who might be fighting with a program for their scanner
> might out of sheer frustration want to discuss their problems and maybe
> the scanner community here might have some answers. I guess not.
>
> Yes most people are not programers, but the one thing I have learned about
> hanging out here on the news groups that every once in a while some one
> who is not an ego maniac, and wishes to shre knowledge will share it
> either on programing, or RC car building, or like here sharing scanner
> information.
>
> But thanks for the response.
>
> I figured it might be an active x type deal though I still am learning
> visual basic, it shouldn't be too hard to figure out how to do it.
>
> The key is to find out how the data is written to the scanner. It is most

> likely a simple csv file that is loaded into a memory location, but I
> havn't been able to figure out how to talk to my pro 95. Or for that
> matter my bc 780.
>
> I am going to the ham vention on friday the 20th in dayton. Who know
> maybe some one will have the information I need.
>
>
> Oh well time to think about how to make the com port on the computer talk
> to the PRO 95 to get the codes.
>
> Thank you again.
>
> "Zombie Wolf" <zmbwf@gwi.net> wrote in message
> news:cLadnUFQZepLJhTfRVn-gA@giganews.com...
>> Posts like this rarely evoke much resonse, since most people are not
>> programmers. There is little, if any standardization of radio interfaces,
>> which makes this type of programming more radio-specific.
>>
>> The first thing you would need to do is work out an interface in net for
>> the
>> computer-radio linkup. An ActiveX control would probably be used for
>> this,
>> as it can also provide visual feedback, and will simplify the rest of the
>> program to a degree.Optocom has put forth a standard for their stuff.
>> Here
>> is a short excerpt.
>>
>> ==
>> =
>> ABOUT CI-V
>> ==
>> =
>>
>> The serial interface on the OptoScan456(tm) conforms to the Icom CI-V
>> interface standard. The CI-V interface is an asynchronous, half-duplex,
>> TTL serial interface connected in a wire-OR (bussed) configuration.
>> Several
>> different devices can be connected to the bus simultaneously, and each
>> device has its own unique address. Software developers are strongly
>> encouraged to obtain a copy of the Icom Communication Interface - V
>> Reference Manual from Icom, Inc. for detailed information on the CI-V
>> interface protocol.
>>
>> You will need to program for database access and logging, of course, I
>> will
>> leave the details of that to you. An Access database would be nice....
>>
>> Other functions are outlined in the CI-V document mentioned here. This
>> would

>> probably be enough to get you started, at any rate, on a program for
>> radios
>> that use this particular standard.
>>
>> "Bill Carty" <wmccarty@columbus.rr.com> wrote in message
>> news:53uge.23974$Ib4.11474@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com...
>>> Hi
>>>
>>> I am interested in writing programs for the programing of scanners.
>>>
>>> I have windows visual basic net so I don't have to buy a program to
>>> build
>>> the build program. So that's one step completed.
>>>
>>> So my questions are this
>>>
>>> where does one find the command set scanners that can be written into a
>>> program? Do manufacturers offer the command set up? Or does it have to
>> be
>>> hacked?
>>>
>>> At one time I had the command codes for my bearcat 895, I forgot where I
>> go
>>> them. Been trying to find the codes for a pro 95 and have hit a wall.
>>>
>>> Should I come up with something I am willing to share it but I need to
>> find
>>> the code somewhere for the scanner(s) and then write the code.
>>>
>>> For me its mostly a way to have something to do.
>>>
>>> any guidence that any of you are willing to share will be welcomed
>>>
>>> Bill Carty
>>> wmccarty@columbus.rr.com
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

2353. dayton hamvention

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-20 22:26:00 UTC
From: Bill Carty <wmccarty@columbus.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Yttje.1042$UP.25@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 13414

did anyone go and what did they find.

I saw a lot of old analog scanners, not much new. Radio shack had their scanners that I think they no longer sell in their stores on the block, AOR had some stuff nut since I wasn't thinking about buying a scanner didn't spend much time looking.

Any body get anything interesting?

Bill Carty

2354. Re: Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-21 01:01:32 UTC
From: Dwayne <dubbin4@goaway.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1cf852f2f8ad223298978a@news-server.woh.rr.com>
Source record: 13415

In article <Xtsje.240$NL1.111@fe02.lga>, PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net
says...

> If they are encrypted (scrambled), you can still pick them up, but your won't
> hear anything...

You will hear something but you wont understand what they are saying.

--

Dwayne
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/BC895/

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Uniden_BC246T

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ScanFindlay/

2355. Pro 2050

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-21 03:26:33 UTC
From: cl <NOSPAM@NOSPAM.COM>
Message-ID: <bEyje.75479$NC6.32538@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 13416

Info supplied to me by owner, who has no access to internet.

PRO - 2050
Cat # 20-430A

400 channel tracking scanner
Any schematics or preferably - a service manual available for said unit?

Thanks.....

cl

2356. Another Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-21 10:21:19 UTC
From: GhettoHaX0r <but@why.com>
Message-ID: <zYDje.28968$2k2.21469@fe1.news.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 13418

please can someone tell me which is the best scanner to buy for use in the uk one that scans a vast amount of freq's

----------= Posted using GrabIt =----------
------= Binary Usenet downloading made easy =---------
-= Get GrabIt for free from http://www.shemes.com/ =-

2357. Quick Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-21 15:42:16 UTC
From: GhettoHaX0r <but@why.com>
Message-ID: <sFIje.71924$a9.2838@fe3.news.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 13419

anyone know where i can buy unblocked scanners ?

--------------= Posted using GrabIt =----------------
------= Binary Usenet downloading made easy =---------
-= Get GrabIt for free from http://www.shemes.com/ =-

2358. Re: Quick Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-21 16:01:51 UTC
From: Brengsek! <me2@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <vqmu815t5gq3og33doov0m4qmib6ueadpu@4ax.com>
Source record: 13420

On Sat, 21 May 2005 15:42:16 GMT, "GhettoHaX0r" <but@why.com> wrote:

>anyone know where i can buy unblocked scanners ?

Any scanner shop in the Netherlands.

--
Never underestimate the stupidity of people in large groups

2359. Programming to police repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-21 16:18:53 UTC
From: Chris <d@iolfree.ie>
Message-ID: <d6nn1b$3q2$1@reader01.news.esat.net>
Source record: 13421

Hello,

has anybody in the south west of ireland programmed a VHF Transceiver to the police repeaters?I have all the frequencies for Co.Kerry tralee but do not know the inputs.Anybody able to assist me.They don't have encrypted radio waves.

Thanks

Chris

2360. Re: Nextel Walkie Talkie??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-21 16:28:25 UTC
From: Chris <d@iolfree.ie>
Message-ID: <d6nnj7$401$1@reader01.news.esat.net>
Source record: 13422
Cross-posted to: misc.consumers,alt.cellular.nextel,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.cellular

PMR are a good source.You can buy transceivers that encrypt the
transmission.

chris

"Joe Altmyer" <altmyerj@thenet.com> wrote in message
news:428A978C.A5017BAA@thenet.com...
> "Tush Smells Bush Kills!!!!!!!!!!!" wrote:
>>
>> Anyone know if these things work where there is no cellular service
>> available?
>>
>> I have a property in the mountains where there are no signal bars for
>> miles and was wondering how I could stay in touch with someone about 20
>> miles away.
>>
>> Are there regular walkie talkies with 20+ mile range?
>
> 1. You need service for the walkie-talkie to operate.
>
> 2. The only way to talk 20 miles is "line of sight" .... in other
> words, NOTHING in between the two units. That's why aviation
> (airplanes) can talk hundreds of miles with low wattage.
>
> 3. You can hook up to a GMRS group and use their repeater
> and get extended range. (Possibly 10-50 miles.)
>
> Joe

2361. Re: Pro-43 Manual Free

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-21 19:34:20 UTC
From: DX99 <nospam@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <03Mje.6320$fd.3848@trnddc03>
Source record: 13423

Awaiting correspondence from the first person to reply, thanks. If anything goes wrong, you are the second to reply and I will contact you. First reply came in the alt.radio.scanner group - sorry

"JetCrafter" <XXedtemp@juno.comXX> wrote in message news:428deb95$1@news.sacoriver.net...
> I would like that very much. Please contact me at:
>
> jetcrafter@sacoriver.net
>
> Thank you.
>
> Ed Langworthy
>
>
> "DX99" <nospam@verizon.net> wrote in message news:p02je.2502$fd.202@trnddc03...
> > I have a Radio Shack Pro-43 original manual, great shape, free to anyone who
> > wants to send a SASE - reply here with email addy and I will contact you
> > with mail address...
> >
> >
>
>

2362. RE Re Quick Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-21 19:38:41 UTC
From: GhettoHaX0r <but@why.com>
Message-ID: <57Mje.107484$Cq2.39110@fe2.news.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 13424

i'm not a resident of holland i was talking about for purchase online

--------------= Posted using GrabIt =----------------
------= Binary Usenet downloading made easy =---------
-= Get GrabIt for free from http://www.shemes.com/ =-

2363. Re: Quick Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-21 19:59:12 UTC
From: DougSlug <dougslug@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <nqMje.13208$yx.6434@fe08.lga>
Source record: 13425

www.bander.com

"GhettoHaX0r" <but@why.com> wrote in message
news:sFIje.71924$a9.2838@fe3.news.blueyonder.co.uk...
> anyone know where i can buy unblocked scanners ?
>
>
> --------------= Posted using GrabIt =----------------
> ------= Binary Usenet downloading made easy =---------
> -= Get GrabIt for free from http://www.shemes.com/ =-
>

2364. FS: Radio Shack Pro-96 scanner, plus lots of extras...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-21 21:49:14 UTC
From: Estate Liquidator <estates-liquidatorTAKETHISOUT@REMOVETHISALSOgaragesaletoauction.com>
Message-ID: <u1Oje.19479$J12.10092@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 13426
Cross-posted to: alt.ads.forsale,alt.forsale,alt.radio.scanner,misc.forsale.misc,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5776652814

Priced for FAST sale before I head out of town... again! FREE SHIPPING TOO!

2365. Re: Radio Shack Pro-96 scanner, plus lots of extras...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-21 22:50:39 UTC
From: Robert Hawk <rhawk@cfl.rr.com>
Message-ID: <3XOje.40486$w15.2708@tornado.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 13427
Cross-posted to: alt.ads.forsale,alt.forsale,alt.radio.scanner,misc.forsale.misc,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner

You dumb F***.... learn the differance between FS=For Sale and FA= For
A*sholes
from ebay = For Auction Scumbag Spammer

"Estate Liquidator" <estates-liquidatorTAKETHISOUT @
REMOVETHISALSOgaragesaletoauction.com> wrote in message
news:u1Oje.19479$J12.10092@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5776652814
>
> Priced for FAST sale before I head out of town... again! FREE SHIPPING
TOO!
>
>

2366. Re: Radio Shack Pro-96 scanner, plus lots of extras...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-21 23:27:53 UTC
From: ionmale@ionmail.com
Message-ID: <1116718073.714470.280160@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13428
Cross-posted to: alt.ads.forsale,alt.forsale,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I quite agree ... This is supposed to be a forum for discussion and private trading, but the only thing I detest more than eBay itself, are the fucking clods that use it. I mean, there's no room for wondering why these anti-social dolts end-up burned, or in court.

2367. Pro 2023 mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-22 02:40:41 UTC
From: BIGSteve <fitzgerald_s@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1116729641.819506.128190@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13429

Are there any mods for this scanner? anything i can do to recieve cell
and/or 800+ freq.
Thanks
Big Steve

2368. Re: Radio Shack Pro-96 scanner, plus lots of extras...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-22 03:11:02 UTC
From: Dwayne <dubbin4@goaway.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1cf9c2feace083e598978b@news-server.woh.rr.com>
Source record: 13430
Cross-posted to: alt.ads.forsale,alt.forsale,alt.radio.scanner,misc.forsale.misc,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner

In article <3XOje.40486$w15.2708@tornado.tampabay.rr.com>,
rhawk@cfl.rr.com says...
> You dumb F***.... learn the differance between FS=For Sale and FA= For
> A*sholes
> from ebay = For Auction Scumbag Spammer

Real mature there Bobby. Try reading what he has FS next time :/ Now
remember why I was staying away from these groups (people like you).
--

Dwayne
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/BC895/

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Uniden_BC246T

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ScanFindlay/

2369. Re: Quick Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-22 03:11:42 UTC
From: Dwayne <dubbin4@goaway.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1cf9c32ae8d6f65b98978c@news-server.woh.rr.com>
Source record: 13431

In article <sFIje.71924$a9.2838@fe3.news.blueyonder.co.uk>, but@why.com
says...
> anyone know where i can buy unblocked scanners ?
>
>
> --------------= Posted using GrabIt =----------------
> ------= Binary Usenet downloading made easy =---------
> -= Get GrabIt for free from http://www.shemes.com/ =-
>
>

EBAY
--

Dwayne
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/BC895/

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Uniden_BC246T

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ScanFindlay/

2370. Re: Quick Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-22 04:05:36 UTC
From: T-bone <mis@take.net>
Message-ID: <Xns965EF6E950ewrfdgrstnetaakeanfk@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 13432

"GhettoHaX0r" <but@why.com> wrote in news:sFIje.71924$a9.2838
@fe3.news.blueyonder.co.uk:

> anyone know where i can buy unblocked scanners ?
>
Canada, ebay, Japan.
Take your pick.

2371. Re: Radio Shack Pro-96 scanner, plus lots of extras...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-22 05:33:19 UTC
From: Estate Liquidator <estates-liquidatorTAKETHISOUT@REMOVETHISALSOgaragesaletoauction.com>
Message-ID: <zQUje.1646$mK.758@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 13433
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner

WHOA!

It appears that my posting struck someone's nerve. Apologies, but I did first check the following newsgroups for OTHER for sale items, and of course, I saw plenty, even some, GASP, on the apparently much-hated eBay.

alt.radio.scanner (no charter or FAQ listed recently)
rec.radio.amateur (no charter or FAQ listed recently)
rec.radio.scanner (no charter or FAQ listed recently)

I found no charters for any of these newsgroups. Usually, newsgroups that do not allow FA: and FS: postings state that in their newsgroup's charter quite frequently. I guess that using eBay is forbidden in the opinions of "Robert Hawk" & "ionmale@ionmail.com" who feel it is perfectly appropriate to use profanity because they cannot think of a simple way to express their discontent with the posting of items for sale. Pathetic.

Clearly, these same people didn't see fit to FLAME the posting titled:

FOR SALE : ICOM PCR-1000

Which happens to be - are you ready - AN AUCTION TOO!!!

As a gesture of good faith, I will refrain from posting items on "YOUR" newsgroup in the future, but of course, I only have this one scanner for sale, so it is likely I would not have anything else to post for sale or auction on any radio or scanner related newsgroups.

Again, I am truly and genuinely sorry if I caused anyone any undue grief or hardship, but in the future, I would also advise your flaming EVERY SINGLE FS: or FA: posting that has an eBay link within the posting. The least you could do is not be so hypocritical. It makes you look so unintelligent and biased.

"Estate Liquidator" wrote in message
news:u1Oje.19479$J12.10092@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5776652814
>
> Priced for FAST sale before I head out of town... again! FREE SHIPPING
> TOO!
>
>

2372. Re: Quick Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-22 07:32:25 UTC
From: "Ralph A. Schmid, DK5RAS" <nospam@radio-link.net>
Message-ID: <0cd091t6kp9bt9hiikak7nu00b82t7io7e@4ax.com>
Source record: 13434

"GhettoHaX0r" <but@why.com> wrote:

>anyone know where i can buy unblocked scanners ?

In europe.

regards - Ralph

--

Want to get in touch? http://www.radio-link.net/whereisralph.txt

2373. Re: RE Re Quick Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-22 07:33:30 UTC
From: "Ralph A. Schmid, DK5RAS" <nospam@radio-link.net>
Message-ID: <jcd091hrrcfomtlcop6io795afvonmk1d3@4ax.com>
Source record: 13435

"GhettoHaX0r" <but@why.com> wrote:

>i'm not a resident of holland i was talking about for purchase online

Ask at www.neuner.de or www.thiecom.de. The sites are in german, but
just email the owners in english. They both should ship outside
germany.

regards - Ralph

--

Want to get in touch? http://www.radio-link.net/whereisralph.txt

2374. Re: Quick Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-22 08:08:15 UTC
From: Fred <Freddym@gadzooks.com>
Message-ID: <P5Xje.1674$mK.210@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 13436

Forgive me because I'm a complete novice but, what is an unblocked
scanner and why would I want one?

GhettoHaX0r wrote:

> anyone know where i can buy unblocked scanners ?
>
>
> --------------= Posted using GrabIt =----------------
> ------= Binary Usenet downloading made easy =---------
> -= Get GrabIt for free from http://www.shemes.com/ =-
>

2375. Thanks alot for your help roy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-22 09:36:33 UTC
From: GhettoHaX0r <but@why.com>
Message-ID: <BoYje.4162$iq5.113@fe1.news.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 13437

```
--------------= Posted using GrabIt =----------------
------= Binary Usenet downloading made easy =---------
-= Get GrabIt for free from http://www.shemes.com/ =-
```

2376. Re: Another Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-22 10:35:24 UTC
From: Chris <d@iolfree.ie>
Message-ID: <d6pn9a$q3q$1@reader01.news.esat.net>
Source record: 13438

uniden bc180xlt.its portable and i have one myself in ireland.good coverage.

"GhettoHaX0r" <but@why.com> wrote in message
news:zYDje.28968$2k2.21469@fe1.news.blueyonder.co.uk...
> please can someone tell me which is the best scanner to buy for use in the
> uk one that scans a vast amount of freq's
>
>
> --------------= Posted using GrabIt =----------------
> ------= Binary Usenet downloading made easy =---------
> -= Get GrabIt for free from http://www.shemes.com/ =-
>

2377. Re: Radio Shack Pro-96 scanner, plus lots of extras...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-22 13:36:00 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com>
Message-ID: <4V%je.5423$M36.5115@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 13439
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner

Don't sweat it.
Assholes are like opinions. There are a lot of them here...
LOL

"Estate Liquidator" <estates-liquidatorTAKETHISOUT @
REMOVETHISALSOgaragesaletoauction.com> wrote in message
news:zQUje.1646$mK.758@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
> WHOA!
>
> It appears that my posting struck someone's nerve. Apologies, but I did
> first check the following newsgroups for OTHER for sale items, and of
> course, I saw plenty, even some, GASP, on the apparently much-hated eBay.
>
> alt.radio.scanner (no charter or FAQ listed recently)
> rec.radio.amateur (no charter or FAQ listed recently)
> rec.radio.scanner (no charter or FAQ listed recently)
>
> I found no charters for any of these newsgroups. Usually, newsgroups that
do
> not allow FA: and FS: postings state that in their newsgroup's charter
quite
> frequently. I guess that using eBay is forbidden in the opinions of
"Robert
> Hawk" & "ionmale@ionmail.com" who feel it is perfectly appropriate to use
> profanity because they cannot think of a simple way to express their
> discontent with the posting of items for sale. Pathetic.
>
> Clearly, these same people didn't see fit to FLAME the posting titled:
>
> FOR SALE : ICOM PCR-1000
>
> Which happens to be - are you ready - AN AUCTION TOO!!!
>
> As a gesture of good faith, I will refrain from posting items on "YOUR"
> newsgroup in the future, but of course, I only have this one scanner for
> sale, so it is likely I would not have anything else to post for sale or
> auction on any radio or scanner related newsgroups.
>
> Again, I am truly and genuinely sorry if I caused anyone any undue grief
or
> hardship, but in the future, I would also advise your flaming EVERY SINGLE
> FS: or FA: posting that has an eBay link within the posting. The least you
> could do is not be so hypocritical. It makes you look so unintelligent and

> biased.
>
>
>
>
>
> "Estate Liquidator" wrote in message
> news:u1Oje.19479$J12.10092@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5776652814
> >
> > Priced for FAST sale before I head out of town... again! FREE SHIPPING
> > TOO!
> >
> >
>
>

2378. Re: Quick Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-22 13:54:39 UTC
From: DougSlug <dougslug@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Da0ke.45587$HJ2.43232@fe11.lga>
Source record: 13440

Fred,

In the USA, by law scanners must not be capable of receiving cellular telephone frequencies, so they are generally "blocked"; that is, the microprocessor does not allow those frequencies to be tuned in. Usually the same models of scanners are available for purchase outside the US, but without the specific frequency blocking. Although illegal, it is possible to have these unblocked scanners shipped into the US from sources outside the US.

You would want an unblocked scanner if you want to monitor cell phones (which is illegal in the US). Unfortunately, much (but not all) of the cell phone communication is now digital, which is impossible to decode using any scanner sold anywhere--you need special equipment generally only available to cell phone companies and government agencies, etc.

There are also some cases (such as with some Yaesu receivers, among others), where the scanners block some frequencies outside the actual cellular range in order to prevent images of cell frequencies (intermediate and usually undesirable signals resulting from the demodulation process inside the receiver) from being picked up as well. Sometimes those additional (legally monitorable) frequencies carry signals that some people want to monitor, so they seek unblocked receivers to enable that. So it's not always for reasons of illegal cell phone monitoring that unblocked models are sought (but usually it is).

- Doug

"Fred" <Freddym@gadzooks.com> wrote in message
news:P5Xje.1674$mK.210@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
> Forgive me because I'm a complete novice but, what is an unblocked scanner
> and why would I want one?

2379. Re: Radio Shack Pro-96 scanner, plus lots of extras...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-22 14:58:30 UTC
From: JJ <jcecklund@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <q61ke.32$4N2.17@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 13441
Cross-posted to: alt.ads.forsale,alt.forsale,alt.radio.scanner,misc.forsale.misc,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner

WOW! your price for buy it now is way to high! Here in Kansas City you can
buy a new pro-96 at Radio Shack for $ 489.00

"Estate Liquidator" <estates-liquidatorTAKETHISOUT @
REMOVETHISALSOgaragesaletoauction.com> wrote in message
news:u1Oje.19479$J12.10092@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5776652814
>
> Priced for FAST sale before I head out of town... again! FREE SHIPPING
> TOO!
>
>

2380. Re: Quick Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-22 15:01:21 UTC
From: "Ralph A. Schmid, DK5RAS" <nospam@radio-link.net>
Message-ID: <ji7191h2h0cbdur8ku36ti0qkjtddgn59v@4ax.com>
Source record: 13442

"DougSlug" <dougslug@hotmail.com> wrote:

> Sometimes those additional (legally
>monitorable) frequencies carry signals that some people want to monitor, so
>they seek unblocked receivers to enable that. So it's not always for
>reasons of illegal cell phone monitoring that unblocked models are sought

For example, when I am a ham radio operator and want to have a
wideband scanner like the MVT9000 to monitor my own transmitted signal
if there are unwanted spurs in it, then a cellular blocked scanner
really would be annoying. Why buy a wideband scanner, when some parts
of the band are blocked?!

Is it illegal even for ham operators in the US to own a non-blocked
scanner?

regards - Ralph

--

Want to get in touch? http://www.radio-link.net/whereisralph.txt

2381. Re: Radio Shack Pro-96 scanner, plus lots of extras...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-22 15:59:43 UTC
From: Dwayne <dubbin4@goaway.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1cfa7702e67208d698978d@news-server.woh.rr.com>
Source record: 13443
Cross-posted to: alt.ads.forsale,alt.forsale,alt.radio.scanner,misc.forsale.misc,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner

In article <q61ke.32$4N2.17@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>,
jcecklund@sbcglobal.net says...
> WOW! your price for buy it now is way to high! Here in Kansas City you can
> buy a new pro-96 at Radio Shack for $ 489.00
>
Look at everything that comes with it. This is more then just the radio
so NO his price isn't to high and as a matter of fact it is a pretty
good deal.
--

Dwayne
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/BC895/

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Uniden_BC246T

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ScanFindlay/

2382. Re: Quick Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-22 16:02:21 UTC
From: Dwayne <dubbin4@goaway.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1cfa779e1532445298978e@news-server.woh.rr.com>
Source record: 13444

In article <ji7191h2h0cbdur8ku36ti0qkjtddgn59v@4ax.com>, nospam@radio-link.net says...
> Is it illegal even for ham operators in the US to own a non-blocked
> scanner?

It just as illegal for hams as it is fo non hams.

--

Dwayne
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/BC895/

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Uniden_BC246T

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ScanFindlay/

2383. Re: Quick Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-22 16:26:45 UTC
From: "Ralph A. Schmid, DK5RAS" <nospam@radio-link.net>
Message-ID: <dkc1915bh9iii7kg2rct7qubgliipo14f6@4ax.com>
Source record: 13445

Dwayne <dubbin4@goaway.com> wrote:

>It just as illegal for hams as it is fo non hams.

I really love the (relative) freedom here in Germany :-) Anyway, no analogue cellphone service is left, so why bother about frequency ranges?!

regards - Ralph

--

Want to get in touch? http://www.radio-link.net/whereisralph.txt

2384. Re: Quick Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-22 21:12:13 UTC
From: HotShot <MyShotIsHot@HotShotShootsSpam.Com>
Message-ID: <WA6ke.419$dZ5.87944@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 13446

Why does everyone say there's nothing left to analog cellular.

I don't have cellular band in my radio, but I listen the odd time to them using image frequencies. And let me tell you, on any given day, during day time hours, those frequencies are going rampant with non-important day to day seemless phone conversations.

And don't tell me it's illegal to listen to them either, because in Canada we have no laws concerning cellular bands.

Ralph A. Schmid, DK5RAS wrote:
> Dwayne <dubbin4@goaway.com> wrote:
>
>
>>It just as illegal for hams as it is fo non hams.
>
>
> I really love the (relative) freedom here in Germany :-) Anyway, no
> analogue cellphone service is left, so why bother about frequency
> ranges?!
>
>
>
> regards - Ralph
>

2385. Re: writing programs for scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-22 21:42:24 UTC
From: Robert Roland <no.mail@vailable.no>
Message-ID: <quu191td70c2hcuhgsuvfl641rs662pfrh@4ax.com>
Source record: 13447

On Fri, 20 May 2005 22:23:58 GMT, "Bill Carty"
<wmccarty@columbus.rr.com> wrote:

>Now I have to find a way to get or write a capture program for when the
>computer talks to the scanner. That's not going to be fun.

Here's one:

http://www.sysinternals.com/ntw2k/freeware/portmon.shtml

Or you can make a splitter cable and feed the data back into a second
(and third if you want received data too) COM port and watch it using
Hyper Terminal or someting similar.

--
RoRo

2386. Re: Radio Shack Pro-96 scanner, plus lots of extras...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-22 23:34:26 UTC
From: lsmyer <myer413ny@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <5G8ke.2707$NL1.2026@fe02.lga>
Source record: 13448
Cross-posted to: alt.ads.forsale,alt.forsale,alt.radio.scanner,misc.forsale.misc,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner

I'm probably inviting a flamewar, but I happen to appreciate seeing "for sale" and "for auction" listings (even ebay, gasp) here on Usenet. I don't spend time at ebay unless I'm looking to buy or sell something specific, so the only way I would ever know that some radios are for sale is when someone posts it here. I appreciate anyone who takes the time to find us Usenet/radio fans and let us know what they have for sale.

2387. Re: Quick Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-23 02:01:51 UTC
From: DougSlug <dougslug@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <mQake.22255$Ee6.11228@fe12.lga>
Source record: 13449

I think it's well known that cell phone monitoring is illegal only in the US, so if you're outside the US, you can disregard those discussions...no point in scolding a poster who may have forgotten to add "in the US" to the message. We can all act like grown-ups here and forgive that minor oversight.

"HotShot" <MyShotIsHot@HotShotShootsSpam.Com> wrote in message news:WA6ke.419$dZ5.87944@news20.bellglobal.com...
> Why does everyone say there's nothing left to analog cellular.
>
> I don't have cellular band in my radio, but I listen the odd time to them
> using image frequencies. And let me tell you, on any given day, during
> day time hours, those frequencies are going rampant with non-important day
> to day seemless phone conversations.
>
> And don't tell me it's illegal to listen to them either, because in Canada
> we have no laws concerning cellular bands.

2388. Re: Pro-43 Manual Free

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-23 02:13:33 UTC
From: JetCrafter <XXedtemp@juno.comXX>
Message-ID: <42913c38$1@news.sacoriver.net>
Source record: 13301

Ok. Fair enough. Thanks for the update.

Ed

"DX99" <nospam@verizon.net> wrote in message news:03Mje.6320$fd.3848@trnddc03...
> Awaiting correspondence from the first person to reply, thanks. If anything
> goes wrong, you are the second to reply and I will contact you. First reply
> came in the alt.radio.scanner group - sorry
>
> "JetCrafter" <XXedtemp@juno.comXX> wrote in message
> news:428deb95$1@news.sacoriver.net...
> > I would like that very much. Please contact me at:
> >
> > jetcrafter@sacoriver.net
> >
> > Thank you.
> >
> > Ed Langworthy
> >
> >
> > "DX99" <nospam@verizon.net> wrote in message
> news:p02je.2502$fd.202@trnddc03...
> > > I have a Radio Shack Pro-43 original manual, great shape, free to anyone
> who
> > > wants to send a SASE - reply here with email addy and I will contact you
> > > with mail address...
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

2389. Re: Quick Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-23 02:40:35 UTC
From: "David L. Wilson" <dwilson314@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <OeGdnYgiFdww3wzfRVn-jA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 13450

"DougSlug" <dougslug@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:mQake.22255$Ee6.11228@fe12.lga...
...
> "HotShot" <MyShotIsHot@HotShotShootsSpam.Com> wrote in message
> news:WA6ke.419$dZ5.87944@news20.bellglobal.com...
>> Why does everyone say there's nothing left to analog cellular.
>>
>> I don't have cellular band in my radio, but I listen the odd time to them
>> using image frequencies. And let me tell you, on any given day, during
>> day time hours, those frequencies are going rampant with non-important
>> day to day seemless phone conversations.
>>
>> And don't tell me it's illegal to listen to them either, because in
>> Canada we have no laws concerning cellular bands.

Not exactly-Section 184 of The Criminal Code of Canada states that anyone
found
guilty of intercepting cellular phone calls "maliciously or for gain"
can be sentenced to a maximum of five years in prison.

2390. Patrick AFB Area Log - Sunday, 22 May 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-23 04:23:11 UTC
From: AllanStern@aol.com
Message-ID: <1db.3c326899.2fc2b4af@aol.com>
Source record: 13302

Sunday, 22 May 2005
==========

STEEL 83: KC-135E, PA-ANG, Pittsburgh 171ARW
11:31am: Inbound MacDill AFB; 4 crew, 9 duty pax, 2 crew chiefs, other pax; rqsts 30K # fuel.
[311.0]

CG AUX ACFT 705: N42705, CE-182L Cessna Skylane, Dover DE.
11:50am: Security overflight of Port Canaveral; comms with CG Group Mayport. Position 28-36 North, 80-56 West.
[157.15]

MAINE 93: KC-135E, ME-ANG Bangor 101ARW 132ARS
12:38pm: Lands Patrick AFB.
1:35pm: Departs to Eglin AFB. Will return to Patrick this week.
[133.75, 132.65, 118.4, 372.2]
Photos: 066MVC-661, 662.

HAWK 81: F/A-18D, Beaufort MCAS VMFA-533
1:28pm: Transitions area, to W-158A.
[273.55]

SNIPER 01: F-5E Flight of 3, Yuma MCAS VMFT-401.
2:07pm: Northbound.
[346.25, 273.55, 269.325, 377.05]

N146CS: P-3B #154605, CBP.
7:35pm: Comms with CBP "Hammer," and Jax Approach.
[282.425, 291.7 Jax Appr]

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL (28-11N 80-36W) monitoring
Patrick AFB (KCOF) NASA-KSC Shuttle Landing Fac (KX68)
Avon Park Bombing Range (KAGR) Cape Canaveral AFS (KXMR)
JSTARS E-8 Acft Integration Facility, Melbourne IAP (KMLB)
Worldwide Military HF Communications
Life Member: Missile, Space and Range Pioneers.
http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/index.html (My Freqs)
http://hometown.aol.com/scanaddict/index.html (My Equipment)

2391. Re: Quick Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-23 04:42:03 UTC
From: "Ralph A. Schmid, DK5RAS" <nospam@radio-link.net>
Message-ID: <9nn291l42m5v1tgqed2e0lqnn3dm6g3udj@4ax.com>
Source record: 13303

HotShot <MyShotIsHot@HotShotShootsSpam.Com> wrote:

>Why does everyone say there's nothing left to analog cellular.

For germany this is simply a fact. The analog network had been
switched off a few years ago.

regards - Ralph

--

Want to get in touch? http://www.radio-link.net/whereisralph.txt

2392. Re: Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-23 06:31:44 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <QKeke.2806$NL1.530@fe02.lga>
Source record: 13304

"Dwayne" <dubbin4@goaway.com> wrote in message
news:MPG.1cf852f2f8ad223298978a@news-server.woh.rr.com...
> In article <Xtsje.240$NL1.111@fe02.lga>, PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net
> says...
>
> > If they are encrypted (scrambled), you can still pick them up, but your
won't
> > hear anything...
>
> You will hear something but you wont understand what they are saying.
>

Ok, you won't hear anything intelligible...

2393. Re: Scanner at German airports

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-23 07:00:47 UTC
From: Steve Silverwood <kb6ojs@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.1cf85a532e9f199a9896a9@news-server.socal.rr.com>
Source record: 13305
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

In article <etWdnZTAwuAc7hHfRVn-og@comcast.com>, james.douglas@genesis-software.com says...
> So you wanted attention, walking around an airport with some type of
> handheld radio. Sounds like you need to pack that stuff and live without it
> for a few hours, sorta like not smoking in airports.

I don't know, personally I wouldn't want to leave anything of any
significant value in my checked baggage. Too much likelihood of theft.

--

-- //Steve//

Steve Silverwood, KB6OJS
Fountain Valley, CA
Email: kb6ojs@arrl.net

2394. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-23 15:04:19 UTC
From: Hamsoldandnew <drippydimbulbsatdayton@arrl.fish.net>
Message-ID: <1cd26$4291f0f0$d1cc59c0$3860@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 13306
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:GvGdnVkZy6GpiwzfRVn-uQ@comcast.com...
> When I turned in my ticket at the Hamvention I
> normally check out what the prizes are. This year
> I couldn't see even one item from Yaesu. Kenwood
> and Icom etc. had items on display, but not Yaesu.
> I wonder if the Hamvention did something to tick
> them off.

If they exhibited their typical condescending
mentality or mannerisms like they do to the paying
dealers/vendors in the outside flea market, this would
not suprise me at all. I'd not even donate a cheap prize
pair of dollar store headphones. BTW we really liked the
condition of the dealer's parking lot this year!
The blacktop of the Hara Arena reminded me of a
cross between the Lunar landscape and the San Andreas
Fault in some places. As someone on the live chat
commented quite accurately: '..the Hara should rent out
their parking lot to Range Rover for Customer Test Drives
during the weekdays'.

> Also I would say that both the flea market and the
> inside vendor numbers were down by at least 20%.
> There were MANY empty spaces in the flea market
> area when in past years it was mostly full.

Year after year less and less sellers. I attribute this to
e-Bay, the cost of selling at the Hamvention and the
ever diminishing returns. 9:00 AM on Sunday was
positively dismal. I would say that fully 40% of the
outside Vendors packed up and left on Saturday night.

This year was our last, Dayton is just not worth it anymore.
Better to sell it online and have the UPS Truck
come to your house and pick the stuff up for
delivery instead of carting it 500+ miles just to bring
it all back home rain dampened again...

> I also heard that Mendelson wanted more space and a break
> on the flea market rental since they are going out
> of business. The Hamvention, based I what I heard
> around, said no dice, so they didn't even bother
> to show up and they're only a few miles from the
> arena too.

We heard the same story making the rounds
among the dealers on Saturday. Probably true.

> They spaced out many of the inside
> vendor booth areas so it wasn't too obvious that
> the number of vendors was way down.
> What did anybody else notice? I hope the above
> isn't a long term trend.

Those spaces were a combination of that and
a small number of dealers who decided not to
go at all the last minute. The hamfest committee
was hoping that alot of people would show up
and pay for a space...like that was going to happen. (!)

I also saw several tables of 100% cell phone and computer
junk which was a major disappointment. I would be
rather PO'ed also if I paid $40 to get in and I saw
standard flea market type vendors selling stuff that
I could see, at any weekend mart FOR FREE and
with no admission charge!

Forget it folks..
IMHO Dayton is finished, stick a fork into it.
It will never go back to what it once was Years ago
despite all the marketing and hype that they make it.
Oh there will always be the camaraderie and the new
equipment showings, but the flea market is just about finished.
Stick to hamfests located with 30 miles of your QTH.

2395. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-23 15:22:13 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050523112213.845$SO@newsreader.com>
Source record: 13307
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Hamsoldandnew" <drippydimbulbsatdayton@arrl.fish.net> wrote:
> Forget it folks..
> IMHO Dayton is finished, stick a fork into it.
> It will never go back to what it once was Years ago
> despite all the marketing and hype that they make it.
> Oh there will always be the camaraderie and the new
> equipment showings, but the flea market is just about finished.
> Stick to hamfests located with 30 miles of your QTH.
>
I agree. It looked more like a drive-in rummage sale than a hamvention at
the outside vendors booths.

2396. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-23 15:25:29 UTC
From: "John S." <hjsjms@cs.com>
Message-ID: <1116861929.363818.46950@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13308
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

If it was so bad in years past, why did you go this year. My last trip to Dayton was about 1995 and my impression of the used equipment for sale outside was that it had been carted between too many other hamfests. And there was a surprising amount of non-radio electronic gear and parts. The inside was the usual glitzy trade show and one vendor actually had bikini clad women prancing around.

In my experience hamfests in general are showing lower attendance because of both internet-based competition and the ham radio hobby itself being on the far side of the growth and maturity curve.

2397. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-23 15:27:55 UTC
From: dxAce <dxace@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <4291F67B.49A3E9D5@milestones.com>
Source record: 13309
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Steveo wrote:

> "Hamsoldandnew" <drippydimbulbsatdayton@arrl.fish.net> wrote:
> > Forget it folks..
> > IMHO Dayton is finished, stick a fork into it.
> > It will never go back to what it once was Years ago
> > despite all the marketing and hype that they make it.
> > Oh there will always be the camaraderie and the new
> > equipment showings, but the flea market is just about finished.
> > Stick to hamfests located with 30 miles of your QTH.
> >
> I agree. It looked more like a drive-in rummage sale than a hamvention at
> the outside vendors booths.

In the Hamventions defense it's not their fault as to what is brought inside for sale. They're probably trying to do the best they can to put on a good event.

Agreed, most stuff is going to eBay these days, and no problem with that. Can't really fault a seller for wanting to move his/her equipment rather than waiting another year for Dayton.

I've seen the same here even with local fest's over the last number of years.

dxAce (N8KDV)
Michigan
USA

2398. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-23 17:39:14 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <429214de.20632687@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 13310
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On 23 May 2005 15:22:13 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>"Hamsoldandnew" <drippydimbulbsatdayton@arrl.fish.net> wrote:
>> Forget it folks..
>> IMHO Dayton is finished, stick a fork into it.
>> It will never go back to what it once was Years ago
>> despite all the marketing and hype that they make it.
>> Oh there will always be the camaraderie and the new
>> equipment showings, but the flea market is just about finished.
>> Stick to hamfests located with 30 miles of your QTH.
>>
>I agree. It looked more like a drive-in rummage sale than a hamvention at
>the outside vendors booths.

Was the entrance fee really $40.00?

2399. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-23 17:45:47 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050523134547.444$U9@newsreader.com>
Source record: 13311
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> On 23 May 2005 15:22:13 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >"Hamsoldandnew" <drippydimbulbsatdayton@arrl.fish.net> wrote:
> >> Forget it folks..
> >> IMHO Dayton is finished, stick a fork into it.
> >> It will never go back to what it once was Years ago
> >> despite all the marketing and hype that they make it.
> >> Oh there will always be the camaraderie and the new
> >> equipment showings, but the flea market is just about finished.
> >> Stick to hamfests located with 30 miles of your QTH.
> >>
> >I agree. It looked more like a drive-in rummage sale than a hamvention
> >at the outside vendors booths.
>
> Was the entrance fee really $40.00?
>
We paid $25.

2400. Schematics Electra Bearcat BC-350

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-23 20:19:12 UTC
From: Algor <silvio952000@viaquestoyahoo.it>
Message-ID: <42923ab7$0$15911$5fc30a8@news.tiscali.it>
Source record: 13312

Hello I am searching for Electra Bearcat BC-350 schematics.
Anyone can help me please?
me?
Thanks a lot from IZ6DRI

silvio2050 @ yahoo.it

2401. Re: Radio Shack Pro-96 scanner, plus lots of extras...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-23 20:32:15 UTC
From: Estate Liquidator <estates-liquidatorTAKETHISOUT@REMOVETHISALSOgaragesaletoauction.com>
Message-ID: <j5rke.803$rY6.241@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 13313
Cross-posted to: alt.ads.forsale,alt.forsale,alt.radio.scanner,misc.forsale.misc,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner

Hey cool! Thanks for the tip - I did not look for swap, only forsale groups.

Appreciate the guidance.

"Never anonymous Bud" <newskat@katxyzkave.net> wrote in message
news:876291h081padvhp6am9otqe7ehancfa45@4ax.com...
> Using a finger dipped in purple ink, "lsmyer" <myer413ny@hotmail.com>
> scribed:
>
>>I'm probably inviting a flamewar, but I happen to appreciate seeing "for
>>sale" and "for auction" listings (even ebay, gasp) here on Usenet.
>
> That's WHY there is a rec.radio.swap newsgroup.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> --
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
> This sig censored by the Office of Home and Land Insecurity....

2402. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-23 20:39:21 UTC
From: Pioneer 8 <maytheschwartzbewithyou@wookiesonendor.com>
Message-ID: <9a4c6$42923fb6$d8536f58$31465@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 13314
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050523112213.845$SO@newsreader.com...

> I agree. It looked more like a drive-in rummage
> sale than a hamvention at the outside vendors
> booths.

The Bottom Line:

Ham Op's in general and the Hamvention Committee
and the DARA has yet to grasp that timeless law of
Business MBA called: "The Law of Diminishing Returns".

2403. Re: Quick Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-23 20:40:21 UTC
From: HotShot <MyShotIsHot@HotShotShootsSpam.Com>
Message-ID: <4drke.2489$Ot6.431938@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 13316

Maliciously or for gain. Not for hobby purposes which means scanning a
bunch of frequencies and all of a sudden up pops a cellular frequency.

David L. Wilson wrote:

>
> Not exactly-Section 184 of The Criminal Code of Canada states that anyone
> found
> guilty of intercepting cellular phone calls "maliciously or for gain"
> can be sentenced to a maximum of five years in prison.
>
>

2404. Re: Quick Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-23 20:42:02 UTC
From: HotShot <MyShotIsHot@HotShotShootsSpam.Com>
Message-ID: <Ferke.2491$Ot6.432210@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 13317

Well that just sucks now doesn't it.

What happens with everyone's cell phone that they bought years ago that
were analog? Are they not able to use them? Are they forced into
buying a digital cell phone?

Guess the phone companies get the last laugh in eh?

Ralph A. Schmid, DK5RAS wrote:
> HotShot <MyShotIsHot@HotShotShootsSpam.Com> wrote:
>
>
>>Why does everyone say there's nothing left to analog cellular.
>
>
> For germany this is simply a fact. The analog network had been
> switched off a few years ago.
>
>
>
>
>
> regards - Ralph
>

2405. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-23 20:46:58 UTC
From: bob <noone@nowhere.org>
Message-ID: <whrke.7222$5u4.24045@nnrp1.uunet.ca>
Source record: 13315
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

That would be the Laffer Curve.
BTW: tickets were $20 advance, $25 at door.

>
>
> The Bottom Line:
>
> Ham Op's in general and the Hamvention Committee
> and the DARA has yet to grasp that timeless law of
> Business MBA called: "The Law of Diminishing Returns".
>
>

2406. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-24 01:21:26 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <qkvke.5336$lf2.6639684@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 13319
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

First they would have to get someone young enough to realize anything in a position where they could help... krist, hamvention... that looks more like a convenction of geriatric patients at a knitting competition...

Well, it was bright and lit up well--all those bald heads relecting the light... <grin>

Warmest regards,
John

"Pioneer 8" <maytheschwartzbewithyou@wookiesonendor.com> wrote in message news:9a4c6$42923fb6$d8536f58$31465@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050523112213.845$SO@newsreader.com...
>
>> I agree. It looked more like a drive-in rummage
>> sale than a hamvention at the outside vendors
>> booths.
>
> The Bottom Line:
>
> Ham Op's in general and the Hamvention Committee
> and the DARA has yet to grasp that timeless law of
> Business MBA called: "The Law of Diminishing Returns".
>
> ---------
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2407. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-24 02:01:36 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116900096.072591.322740@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13318
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

John S. wrote:
> If it was so bad in years past, why did you go this year. My last
trip
> to Dayton was about 1995 and my impression of the used equipment for
> sale outside was that it had been carted between too many other
> hamfests. And there was a surprising amount of non-radio electronic
> gear and parts. The inside was the usual glitzy trade show and one
> vendor actually had bikini clad women prancing around.

ICOM had Very YL's in bathrobes and slippers.

> In my experience hamfests in general are showing lower attendance
> because of both internet-based competition and the ham radio hobby
> itself being on the far side of the growth and maturity curve.

Maybe if the Hamvention ran an advertisement in AARP...

2408. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-24 02:48:55 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <l8udnXtrpeuKCw_fRVn-vw@comcast.com>
Source record: 13320
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 23 May 2005 19:01:36 -0700, bb wrote:

> ICOM had Very YL's in bathrobes and slippers.

I saw that and I don't know what the point was they were trying to make.

Regards,
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

2409. Re: Nextel Walkie Talkie??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-24 03:43:10 UTC
From: Depot Master <depot@hawaii.rr.com>
Message-ID: <rj859154j9ltu8927nfb7lin0jn2ftiva8@4ax.com>
Source record: 13321
Cross-posted to: misc.consumers,alt.cellular.nextel,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.cellular

On Tue, 17 May 2005 21:17:00 -0400, Joe Altmyer <altmyerj@thenet.com>
wrote:

>"Tush Smells Bush Kills!!!!!!!!!!" wrote:
>>
>> Anyone know if these things work where there is no cellular service
>> available?
>>
>> I have a property in the mountains where there are no signal bars for
>> miles and was wondering how I could stay in touch with someone about 20
>> miles away.
>>
>> Are there regular walkie talkies with 20+ mile range?
>
>1. You need service for the walkie-talkie to operate.
>
>2. The only way to talk 20 miles is "line of sight" .... in other
>words, NOTHING in between the two units. That's why aviation
>(airplanes) can talk hundreds of miles with low wattage.
>
>3. You can hook up to a GMRS group and use their repeater
>and get extended range. (Possibly 10-50 miles.)
>
>Joe

Yah in hawaii I can go to the top of diamond head and standing in a
certain spot I can (most of the time) hear people in alaska talking
lol using a motorola 5 mile radio. That's amazing.

2410. Re: Quick Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-24 04:44:29 UTC
From: "Ralph A. Schmid, DK5RAS" <nospam@radio-link.net>
Message-ID: <svb591h00n1k2pfdi4v9kp4od95iufov5q@4ax.com>
Source record: 13322

HotShot <MyShotIsHot@HotShotShootsSpam.Com> wrote:

>Well that just sucks now doesn't it.

Not really, considering the very different german market.

>What happens with everyone's cell phone that they bought years ago that
>were analog? Are they not able to use them? Are they forced into
>buying a digital cell phone?

Yes, but the analog system was running in parallel for eight years
with the digital systems, and those customers who waited for the
bitter end got a really good offer to change.

>Guess the phone companies get the last laugh in eh?

No, I got the last laugh :-) They gave me a really top-end phone at
this time (the Nokia Communicator 9110i) just for free, together with
great conditions. The second great laugh, our company made real good
money in removing all the antennae and radio components throughout the
whole area.

One difference in Germany, the analog system was not designed for
handheld phones, it was mainly a system for car phone usage, and only
a few handheld were in use, suffering from bad coverage. So ging
digital was really an advantage.

regards - Ralph

--

Want to get in touch? http://www.radio-link.net/whereisralph.txt

2411. Re: Quick Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-24 06:28:32 UTC
From: Rico <BC@dt.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96601933C917Aewrfdgrstnetaakeanfk@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 13323

"Ralph A. Schmid, DK5RAS" <nospam@radio-link.net> wrote in
news:ji7191h2h0cbdur8ku36ti0qkjtddgn59v@4ax.com:

> "DougSlug" <dougslug@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>> Sometimes those additional (legally
>>monitorable) frequencies carry signals that some people want to monitor,
>>so they seek unblocked receivers to enable that. So it's not always for
>>reasons of illegal cell phone monitoring that unblocked models are
>>sought
>
> For example, when I am a ham radio operator and want to have a
> wideband scanner like the MVT9000 to monitor my own transmitted signal
> if there are unwanted spurs in it, then a cellular blocked scanner
> really would be annoying. Why buy a wideband scanner, when some parts
> of the band are blocked?!
>
> Is it illegal even for ham operators in the US to own a non-blocked
> scanner?
>
>
>
> regards - Ralph
>

Its not illegal in the U.S. to own a non-blocked scanner for anyone.
I have 4 of them myself.
Its illegal to sell them new, and its illegal to actually listen to cell
phone calls if you have one.
I can't say I do either, so I'm not worried about the law in the least.

2412. gila river indian frrequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-24 07:57:10 UTC
From: jamoran <john@analon.com>
Message-ID: <MpWdnZYI3NqKQA_fRVn-sg@sedona.net>
Source record: 13324
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

did a google search looking for police/fire frequesies for gila river
indian reservation

count thses I'm not sure if these are new frequencies or just casino
frequencies

866.9125 GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY
866.9375 GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY
867.0500 GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY
867.2750 GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY
867.4000 GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY
867.6250 GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY
867.7000 GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY
867.8875 GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY
867.9500 GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY
868.0875 GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY
868.1750 GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY
868.3250 GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY\
938.2250 LICENSING OFFICE 734221; GILA RIVER CASINO
937.7250 LICENSING OFFICE 734221; GILA RIVER CASINO
939.7250 LICENSING OFFICE 734221; GILA RIVER CASINO

2413. Re: Quick Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-24 09:22:52 UTC
From: "Ralph A. Schmid, DK5RAS" <nospam@radio-link.net>
Message-ID: <lis5915rdedul9m6s2c5pj1d3rbhbg527i@4ax.com>
Source record: 13325

Rico <BC@dt.com> wrote:

>Its not illegal in the U.S. to own a non-blocked scanner for anyone.
>I have 4 of them myself.
>Its illegal to sell them new, and its illegal to actually listen to cell
>phone calls if you have one.
>I can't say I do either, so I'm not worried about the law in the least.

Aah, OK, thank you very much for this information.

regards - Ralph

--

Want to get in touch? http://www.radio-link.net/whereisralph.txt

2414. Re: Yupitaru problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-24 10:31:59 UTC
From: "Jonathan Clough, Javiation, Bradford, UK" <Clough@Javiation.co.uk>
Message-ID: <nhLI1KCfKwkCFwU2@Javiation.co.uk>
Source record: 13326

In article <d53mur01k63@enews2.newsguy.com>, Al Gillis <alg@aracnet.com>
writes

Hi,

>My MVT-7100 went TU a few weeks ago (that would be "transistors up",
>wouldn't it?!?). I bought it several years ago from Javiation and wrote to
>Jonathan but never heard back.

Sorry about that - do you want to send your mail again as I can't see
anything from the address used in your newsgroup post but you may have
used a different one for direct communication.

--
Cheers,
JC
Javiation - Scanners & receivers from all the leading manufacturers
Telephone 01274 639503 International: +44 1274 639503
http://www.javiation.co.uk

2415. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-24 12:09:29 UTC
From: "John S." <hjsjms@cs.com>
Message-ID: <1116936569.488836.23090@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13327
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

bb wrote:
> John S. wrote:
> > If it was so bad in years past, why did you go this year. My last
> trip
> > to Dayton was about 1995 and my impression of the used equipment
for
> > sale outside was that it had been carted between too many other
> > hamfests. And there was a surprising amount of non-radio
electronic
> > gear and parts. The inside was the usual glitzy trade show and one
> > vendor actually had bikini clad women prancing around.
>
> ICOM had Very YL's in bathrobes and slippers.
JS> Very YL as in pre-teens?

>
> > In my experience hamfests in general are showing lower attendance
> > because of both internet-based competition and the ham radio hobby
> > itself being on the far side of the growth and maturity curve.
>
> Maybe if the Hamvention ran an advertisement in AARP...

JS> I suspect that hams are already well represented in the AARP.

2416. Re: Radio Shack Pro-96 scanner, plus lots of extras...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-24 13:56:15 UTC
From: ionmale@ionmail.com
Message-ID: <1116942975.249078.228500@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13328
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Gee, my sincerest aplogies go out to the soft tissue
damage so easily offended, but I just can think of the
dolts who use eBay a anything else but "fucking clods".

2417. Analog scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-24 15:46:23 UTC
From: kc7jfv@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <781c67d2.0505240746.4941c58f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 13330

Is there any of these available to buy?
Instead of punching in the frequency, I'd like to tune it like good
old AM/FM/SW radio.
TIA.

(P.S. I guess then it won't be called scanner but still)

2418. Re: Radio Shack Pro-96 scanner, plus lots of extras...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-24 15:48:10 UTC
From: dxAce <dxace@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <42934CBA.FACDA899@milestones.com>
Source record: 13329
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

ionmale@ionmail.com wrote:

> Gee, my sincerest aplogies go out to the soft tissue
> damage so easily offended, but I just can think of the
> dolts who use eBay a anything else but "fucking clods".

OK 'tard boy... I have a Drake R7, R8 and R8B... a BC-898 and a PRO-2045 scanners all purchased on eBay... not a problem.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

http://www.iserv.net/~n8kdv/dxpage.htm

2419. Re: Radio Shack Pro-96 scanner, plus lots of extras...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-24 15:52:06 UTC
From: dxAce <dxace@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <42934DA6.31052E84@milestones.com>
Source record: 13331
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

dxAce wrote:

> ionmale@ionmail.com wrote:
>
> > Gee, my sincerest aplogies go out to the soft tissue
> > damage so easily offended, but I just can think of the
> > dolts who use eBay a anything else but "fucking clods".
>
> OK 'tard boy... I have a Drake R7, R8 and R8B... a BC-898 and a PRO-2045
> scanners all purchased on eBay... not a problem.

Also have an R8A, not purchased on eBay.

>
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA
>
> http://www.iserv.net/~n8kdv/dxpage.htm

2420. Re: Analog scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-24 16:32:35 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <DGIke.5347$X92.4769@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 13332

"Jim" <kc7jfv@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:781c67d2.0505240746.4941c58f@posting.google.com...
> Is there any of these available to buy?
> Instead of punching in the frequency, I'd like to tune it like good
> old AM/FM/SW radio.
> TIA.
>
> (P.S. I guess then it won't be called scanner but still)

Back when programmable scanners first came out I used to see some of these.
Had one for a while I used to use to listen to the Sheriff. Back then a
Programmable scanner was pretty pricey. The cost has dropped so much now
that there would be no point. Why do you want one? If you are looking to get
a scanner cheap Ebay always has them.
--
Beer is proof that God loves us and wants us to be happy.
--Benjamin Franklin

2421. Re: Analog scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-24 17:02:01 UTC
From: jon <jonrev1978@wmconnect.com>
Message-ID: <1116950699.930836.65270@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13333

Greetings Jim! I haven't seen any that were just vhf fm, and or uhf fm, but there are a bunch of radios that were made portable that are multi-band AM, FM, SW, VHf, and aircraft. VHF usually covering 118-174. I have a few here. Most do pretty well on 118-136, but are poor at best on 136-174. You will find a bunch of them on ebay, and if you go to thrift stores, flea markets, and yard sales they can be found regularly. I have paid as little as $2 for them. The best of the bunch that I have found is an Aircastle model 162F1 eight band. It has a flip over front with a world map, sort of a cheap knock off of a Zenith Transocianic. It has decent reception on most bands. It is analog tuned so it is not a scanner. Even a cheap lower end old scanner is a better buy than one of these unless you are wanting to add shortwave to your listening. That is the reason I keep this Aircastle as it is decent on shortwave. I have a lot of better shortwave radios, but this one is different. Now that I have blabbered on get someone to wake you up. I hope I said something that was of interest. Have a great week! Jon.

2422. Re: Scanner at German airports

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-24 17:22:38 UTC
From: jamoran <john@analon.com>
Message-ID: <_vadnb1RRfY1_A7fRVn-1g@sedona.net>
Source record: 13334
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

azgreed I did not want to putthe radio in checked baggage...since just one of my radios costs over $600 I prefer to keep that with me if possible you have to pay a little bit for good ham, scanner, and shortwave equipemt. I do sometimes have to explain to security what they are.. often if I let them listen to something they (security) are satisifed...

Steve Silverwood wrote:
> In article <etWdnZTAwuAc7hHfRVn-og@comcast.com>, james.douglas@genesis-
> software.com says...
>
>>So you wanted attention, walking around an airport with some type of
>>handheld radio. Sounds like you need to pack that stuff and live without it
>>for a few hours, sorta like not smoking in airports.
>
>
> I don't know, personally I wouldn't want to leave anything of any
> significant value in my checked baggage. Too much likelihood of theft.
>

2423. Re: Analog scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-24 17:27:40 UTC
From: "Ralph A. Schmid, DK5RAS" <nospam@radio-link.net>
Message-ID: <6vo691pcpe92q0qpt8ru0uhr9uk2mi9mp1@4ax.com>
Source record: 13335

"Alex Clayton" <alexx1400@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Why do you want one?

Maybe his only wish is to have a knob to turn to change frequencies?!
Even digital controlled scanners can offer this, but of course not all
of them.

regards - Ralph

--

Want to get in touch? http://www.radio-link.net/whereisralph.txt

2424. New Jersey State Police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-24 20:22:06 UTC
From: russ <compuruss@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1116966126.361988.62010@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13336

Does anybody know what the 3-COMM, 4-COMM, and 5-COMM frequencies are used for, and what the difference is between them?

Thank you.

2425. Re: New Jersey State Police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-24 21:09:38 UTC
From: wbertram <wbertram@aol.com>
Message-ID: <ae6dnY9g8YmOBQ7fRVn-1A@rcn.net>
Source record: 13337

2, 3, 4, and 5 COMM Talkgroups are the dispatch channels for the NJSP patrol cars in different areas. The areas depend on whether you are monitoring Troop A, B, or C trunked systems. The 12 areas are defined in various places on the internet. Start with www.radioreference.oom

russ wrote:
> Does anybody know what the 3-COMM, 4-COMM, and 5-COMM frequencies are
> used for, and what the difference is between them?
>
> Thank you.
>

2426. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-24 23:54:26 UTC
From: bb <billybleepeer@jumbo>
Message-ID: <d70er1esc0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 13338
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1116900096.072591.322740@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

John S. wrote:
> If it was so bad in years past, why did you go this year. My last
trip
> to Dayton was about 1995 and my impression of the used equipment for
> sale outside was that it had been carted between too many other
> hamfests. And there was a surprising amount of non-radio electronic
> gear and parts. The inside was the usual glitzy trade show and one
> vendor actually had bikini clad women prancing around.

ICOM had Very YL's in bathrobes and slippers.

> In my experience hamfests in general are showing lower attendance
> because of both internet-based competition and the ham radio hobby
> itself being on the far side of the growth and maturity curve.

Maybe if the Hamvention ran an advertisement in AARP...

...or had Rely dispensors in the bathrooms....

2427. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-25 00:02:49 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <KgPke.25845$iN4.18576452@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 13339
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

How about offering free eye exams and bottles of laxitives?

Warmest regards,
John

"bb" <billybleepeer@jumbo> wrote in message
news:d70er1esc0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1116900096.072591.322740@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> John S. wrote:
>> If it was so bad in years past, why did you go this year. My last
> trip
>> to Dayton was about 1995 and my impression of the used equipment for
>> sale outside was that it had been carted between too many other
>> hamfests. And there was a surprising amount of non-radio electronic
>> gear and parts. The inside was the usual glitzy trade show and one
>> vendor actually had bikini clad women prancing around.
>
> ICOM had Very YL's in bathrobes and slippers.
>
>> In my experience hamfests in general are showing lower attendance
>> because of both internet-based competition and the ham radio hobby
>> itself being on the far side of the growth and maturity curve.
>
> Maybe if the Hamvention ran an advertisement in AARP...
>
> ...or had Rely dispensors in the bathrooms....
>
>

2428. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-25 01:13:42 UTC
From: RJ <rajohngm@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <ajQke.247674$cg1.76951@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 13340
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Plus $8.00 for the busing for a total of $33.00.

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050523134547.444$U9@newsreader.com...
> Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>> On 23 May 2005 15:22:13 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >"Hamsoldandnew" <drippydimbulbsatdayton@arrl.fish.net> wrote:
>> >> Forget it folks..
>> >> IMHO Dayton is finished, stick a fork into it.
>> >> It will never go back to what it once was Years ago
>> >> despite all the marketing and hype that they make it.
>> >> Oh there will always be the camaraderie and the new
>> >> equipment showings, but the flea market is just about finished.
>> >> Stick to hamfests located with 30 miles of your QTH.
>> >>
>> >I agree. It looked more like a drive-in rummage sale than a hamvention
>> >at the outside vendors booths.
>>
>> Was the entrance fee really $40.00?
>>
> We paid $25.
```

2429. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-25 01:26:04 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050524212604.323$kp@newsreader.com>
Source record: 13341
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"RJ" <rajohngm@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
> Plus $8.00 for the busing for a total of $33.00.
>
>
Why did you pay $8 more than me, did you buy nerd protection..er parking? :)
>
>

> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050523134547.444$U9@newsreader.com...
> > Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> >> On 23 May 2005 15:22:13 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >"Hamsoldandnew" <drippydimbulbsatdayton@arrl.fish.net> wrote:
> >> >> Forget it folks..
> >> >> IMHO Dayton is finished, stick a fork into it.
> >> >> It will never go back to what it once was Years ago
> >> >> despite all the marketing and hype that they make it.
> >> >> Oh there will always be the camaraderie and the new
> >> >> equipment showings, but the flea market is just about finished.
> >> >> Stick to hamfests located with 30 miles of your QTH.
> >> >>
> >> >I agree. It looked more like a drive-in rummage sale than a
> >> >hamvention at the outside vendors booths.
> >>
> >> Was the entrance fee really $40.00?
> >>
> > We paid $25.

2430. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-25 14:06:01 UTC
From: BJ <rajohngm@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <dD%ke.249529$cg1.160317@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 13342
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Yaesu gave away caps that are worth a lot more than a little blue sticker
from Icom. I'm sure the caps cost a few thousand dollars and everyone could
have one.
Bob, AA8X

X
"Hamsoldandnew" <drippydimbulbsatdayton@arrl.fish.net> wrote in message
news:1cd26$4291f0f0$d1cc59c0$3860@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>
> "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
> news:GvGdnVkZy6GpiwzfRVn-uQ@comcast.com...
> > When I turned in my ticket at the Hamvention I
> > normally check out what the prizes are. This year
> > I couldn't see even one item from Yaesu. Kenwood
> > and Icom etc. had items on display, but not Yaesu.
> > I wonder if the Hamvention did something to tick
> > them off.
>
> If they exhibited their typical condescending
> mentality or mannerisms like they do to the paying
> dealers/vendors in the outside flea market, this would
> not suprise me at all. I'd not even donate a cheap prize
> pair of dollar store headphones. BTW we really liked the
> condition of the dealer's parking lot this year!
> The blacktop of the Hara Arena reminded me of a
> cross between the Lunar landscape and the San Andreas
> Fault in some places. As someone on the live chat
> commented quite accurately: '..the Hara should rent out
> their parking lot to Range Rover for Customer Test Drives
> during the weekdays'.
>
> > Also I would say that both the flea market and the
> > inside vendor numbers were down by at least 20%.
> > There were MANY empty spaces in the flea market
> > area when in past years it was mostly full.
>
> Year after year less and less sellers. I attribute this to
> e-Bay, the cost of selling at the Hamvention and the
> ever diminishing returns. 9:00 AM on Sunday was
> positively dismal. I would say that fully 40% of the
> outside Vendors packed up and left on Saturday night.
>
> This year was our last, Dayton is just not worth it anymore.

> Better to sell it online and have the UPS Truck
> come to your house and pick the stuff up for
> delivery instead of carting it 500+ miles just to bring
> it all back home rain dampened again...
>
> > I also heard that Mendelson wanted more space and a break
> > on the flea market rental since they are going out
> > of business. The Hamvention, based I what I heard
> > around, said no dice, so they didn't even bother
> > to show up and they're only a few miles from the
> > arena too.
>
> We heard the same story making the rounds
> among the dealers on Saturday. Probably true.
>
> > They spaced out many of the inside
> > vendor booth areas so it wasn't too obvious that
> > the number of vendors was way down.
> > What did anybody else notice? I hope the above
> > isn't a long term trend.
>
> Those spaces were a combination of that and
> a small number of dealers who decided not to
> go at all the last minute. The hamfest committee
> was hoping that alot of people would show up
> and pay for a space...like that was going to happen. (!)
>
> I also saw several tables of 100% cell phone and computer
> junk which was a major disappointment. I would be
> rather PO'ed also if I paid $40 to get in and I saw
> standard flea market type vendors selling stuff that
> I could see, at any weekend mart FOR FREE and
> with no admission charge!
>
> Forget it folks..
> IMHO Dayton is finished, stick a fork into it.
> It will never go back to what it once was Years ago
> despite all the marketing and hype that they make it.
> Oh there will always be the camaraderie and the new
> equipment showings, but the flea market is just about finished.
> Stick to hamfests located with 30 miles of your QTH.
>

2431. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-25 21:56:08 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117058168.902131.78280@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13343
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Leland C. Scott wrote:
> On Mon, 23 May 2005 19:01:36 -0700, bb wrote:
>
>
> > ICOM had Very YL's in bathrobes and slippers.
>
> I saw that and I don't know what the point was they were trying to make.
>
> Regards,
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO

Hans said it best:

"The correct terminology according to K4YZ is "Musta beem selling
SOMEthing!". I
don't know how you "beem" sell SOMEthing, but I'm sure "Steve" (alias
for K4YZ)
will provide a weasel-worded explanation."

I didn't get the bath robes either.

2432. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-26 03:31:50 UTC
From: Too_Many_Tools <too_many_tools@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1117078310.573154.258100@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13344
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I would agree...the days of hamfests are coming to a close.

I was a faithful attendee of Dayton until 1999....since then I have NO urge to go since Ebay serves my needs well.

This last summer I went to our local hamfest after not going for ten years...it confirmed that I had not missed anything by not going.

I seriously doubt that the Dayton Hamvention will last another ten years.

I also suspect we will begin seeing manufacturers going the same route as the hobby continues to die out.

TMT

2433. Andy Moss,Where are you?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-26 04:37:08 UTC
From: interested <lmCoMmS@efTel.Net.au>
Message-ID: <4295526d$1@news.eftel.com>
Source record: 13345
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

hi all
i'm looking for A chap by the name off Andy Moss
he seems to have wrote a program some years back
for the standard gx3000 which did not need the A3500 eprom
programmer.....most of spat out a .bin file for a standard programmer
anyone know what happened to him or
Mate if you are reading this.....i need help with these bloody
radio's.....there giving me the sH%*'s
regards to all and thanks for reading

m

ps please replace capitals for lower case in my email address

2434. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-26 18:18:19 UTC
From: Kathy Lee <KC8QJP@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <429613bc_2@x-privat.org>
Source record: 13346
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

My old man was going to sell some junk there but he was afraid he'd get his
ass kicked again.
>
"Too_Many_Tools" <too_many_tools@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1117078310.573154.258100@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>I would agree...the days of hamfests are coming to a close.
>
> I was a faithful attendee of Dayton until 1999....since then I have NO
> urge to go since Ebay serves my needs well.
>
> This last summer I went to our local hamfest after not going for ten
> years...it confirmed that I had not missed anything by not going.
>
> I seriously doubt that the Dayton Hamvention will last another ten
> years.
>
> I also suspect we will begin seeing manufacturers going the same route
> as the hobby continues to die out.
>
> TMT
>

2435. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-26 22:54:49 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <MIudnew_hv92zgvfRVn-sA@comcast.com>
Source record: 13347
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Too_Many_Tools" <too_many_tools@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1117078310.573154.258100@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>I would agree...the days of hamfests are coming to a close.
>
> I was a faithful attendee of Dayton until 1999....since then I have NO
> urge to go since Ebay serves my needs well.
>
> This last summer I went to our local hamfest after not going for ten
> years...it confirmed that I had not missed anything by not going.
>
> I seriously doubt that the Dayton Hamvention will last another ten
> years.
>
> I also suspect we will begin seeing manufacturers going the same route
> as the hobby continues to die out.
>
> TMT
>

It won't die out unless prospective hams choose to believe false statements like "... as the hobby continues to die out". You are attempting to create a self fulfilling prophecy. There are bound to be fluctuations both up and down over the years but at over 600,000 licensed hams we are not yet in any danger of dying out unless people believe unsupported statements like yours.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2436. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-26 23:51:34 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <eitle.160$c_1.6296640@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 13348
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Dee:

That is all fine and dandy, and a scope goat for the why "hams are NOT dying" mantra... what group(so) are a smaller minority than the hams, and why should anyone (even the FCC) bother with such a small group--when--it can be combined with other users and managed much more intelligently, fairly and cheaply... hams are just not that special--this is all ancient technology they have and deal with, the future needs to combine young engineers and scientists into communications so everyone ends up with a better use of the radio spectrum...

The younger hams should set the course, they will inherit what they build... within one decade many of those holding ham tickets will be gone, in two decades the numbers are LARGE, in three decades those numbers are FRIGHTENING!!!!

Those under 40 would best pilot this ship...

Regards,
John
"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:MIudnew_hv92zgvfRVn-sA@comcast.com...
>
> "Too_Many_Tools" <too_many_tools@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1117078310.573154.258100@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>I would agree...the days of hamfests are coming to a close.
>>
>> I was a faithful attendee of Dayton until 1999....since then I have NO
>> urge to go since Ebay serves my needs well.
>>
>> This last summer I went to our local hamfest after not going for ten
>> years...it confirmed that I had not missed anything by not going.
>>
>> I seriously doubt that the Dayton Hamvention will last another ten
>> years.
>>
>> I also suspect we will begin seeing manufacturers going the same route
>> as the hobby continues to die out.
>>
>> TMT
>>
>
> It won't die out unless prospective hams choose to believe false
> statements like "... as the hobby continues to die out". You are

> attempting to create a self fulfilling prophecy. There are bound to be
> fluctuations both up and down over the years but at over 600,000 licensed
> hams we are not yet in any danger of dying out unless people believe
> unsupported statements like yours.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

2437. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-27 00:11:21 UTC
From: "John S." <hjsjms@cs.com>
Message-ID: <1117152681.153277.68190@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13349
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Those under 40 would best pilot this ship..."

JS> But look at the pilots of the ARRL.

Do you know of a source for reliable data on ham licenses that drills down below the often quoted total licenses outstanding. Are there any studies that estimate the number of active licenses and ages of the holders and how those numbers have trended over time.

2438. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-27 00:26:19 UTC
From: mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton! CHICKEN BOY! <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1117153579.217102.21740@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13350
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

no, you got the truckstop toiletworker's discount!

2439. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-27 00:32:13 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <kUtle.164$oE3.6513729@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 13351
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

that is an interesting question, I think statistics became so bad in 1999 to
2002 that they have began hiding them, what do you have?

Warmest regards,
John

"John S." <hjsjms@cs.com> wrote in message
news:1117152681.153277.68190@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> "Those under 40 would best pilot this ship..."
>
> JS> But look at the pilots of the ARRL.
>
> Do you know of a source for reliable data on ham licenses that drills
> down below the often quoted total licenses outstanding. Are there any
> studies that estimate the number of active licenses and ages of the
> holders and how those numbers have trended over time.
>

2440. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-27 00:35:29 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <nXtle.165$mY3.6614147@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 13352
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

... well, it usually works like that, you find it just after you claim it is
hard to do, minutes later...
http://ah0a.org/FCC/Licenses.html
http://ah0a.org/FCC/

Warmest regards,
John

"John S." <hjsjms@cs.com> wrote in message
news:1117152681.153277.68190@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> "Those under 40 would best pilot this ship..."
>
> JS> But look at the pilots of the ARRL.
>
> Do you know of a source for reliable data on ham licenses that drills
> down below the often quoted total licenses outstanding. Are there any
> studies that estimate the number of active licenses and ages of the
> holders and how those numbers have trended over time.
>

2441. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-27 01:26:58 UTC
From: nobody <nobody@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <119cufkp6ij7gcd@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 13353
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Been to your local mall lately?... Young girls in pajamas and fuzzy slippers
is apparently the "in" thing right now, but if Icom is trying to associate
itself with this type of crowd there's something terribly wrong...

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1116900096.072591.322740@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

> ICOM had Very YL's in bathrobes and slippers.

2442. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-27 02:54:45 UTC
From: "John S." <hjsjms@cs.com>
Message-ID: <1117162485.610739.296400@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13354
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Unfortunately I don't have much...only rumor and innuendo.

The FCC statistics are interesting and show what appears to be a gradual decline in membership - The total of renewals plus new licenses is less than total expirations. The FCC staff analysis in 2000 was not exactly glowing in it's projection about the future - kind of a gradual decline. It would be interesting to see the data over a longer period of time but I believe FCC changed it's methods around 2000.

What is missing is how much current licenses are used. With that data we could guesstimate expirations. That would take a study that the FCC doesn't have the resources to fund and one the ARRL may not want to know the answer to.

There may be a point in the future where the new loss of members begins to increase but there is no way to forecast that because licenses are good for 10 years and there is no way to project future expirations because we don't have any idea what current license usage is.

2443. FS: Scanner books

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-27 04:29:34 UTC
From: Frank Bals <fjb@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Omxle.255248$cg1.205430@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 13355

All books are in excellent condition:

Radio Monitoring (T.J. Skip Arey)
Scanners and Secret Frequencies (Henry L. Eisenson)
Military Monitoring (Steve A. Douglass)

Price is $30.00 (for all three) plus shipping.....

2444. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-27 05:40:47 UTC
From: Jack Hamilton <jfh@acm.org>
Message-ID: <n2cd915f7vkptdgamu36hip868j9hq9ng2@4ax.com>
Source record: 13356
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>The younger hams should set the course, they will inherit what they build...
>within one decade many of those holding ham tickets will be gone, in two
>decades the numbers are LARGE, in three decades those numbers are
>FRIGHTENING!!!!
>
>Those under 40 would best pilot this ship...

And people under 40 (or under 50, for that matter) aren't going to
bother with Morse Code, for the most part.

It will be interesting to see what the license numbers look like in a
few years in countries that have eliminated the code requirement. Will
the numbers be better there? For that matter, are other countries
seeing the same apparent declines that we see in the US?

--
Jack Hamilton
Sacramento, California
--
<> Qui vit sans folie n'est pas si sage qu'il croit.
<> Franï¿½ois VI, duc de La Rochefoucauld

2445. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-27 06:41:08 UTC
From: Roger <Glendale@WVByGod>
Message-ID: <d76fdh$21b$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 13357
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I still think we should send bb a Get Well card.
Father's Day is upcoming and bb is not well.

2446. Re: PRO-95 "many banks" software?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-27 09:37:10 UTC
From: Bill Carty <wmccarty@columbus.rr.com>
Message-ID: <aTBle.11938$UP.4521@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 13358

you could also put everything into a usb memory card/flash drive.

What wouuld be slick and since the technology is here or about here is to have a USB flash drive port on a scanner that you could program a scanner by just hooking up the usb flash drive and touch a couple of buttons on the scanenr.

Think how slick that would be!

Bill Carty
"Elephant" <elephant@the-zoo.com> wrote in message
news:4283F480.13EB@the-zoo.com...
> Bo Williams wrote:
>>
>> I love the Win95 software for my PRO-95, and I have a lot of fun with
>> it. It's cool, and the price is unbeatable.
>>
>> That said, what would be really SUPER cool--and worth $20 to me,
>> maybe--is the ability to save as many banks as you like, and then pull
>> any ten into the scanner easily. Is there a way to do that and I just
>> don't know about it? I save every Win95 file I come up with right now,
>> just to make sure I don't lose anything I want later--but if I could
>> peel banks off, save them, and pull them back into the radio whenever I
>> wanted, I wouldn't have to do that and I could build the perfect
>> frequency set effortlessly for any situation.
>>
>> BTW, the "close-out" PRO-95 is up to $149.99, and the PRO-97 is up to
>> $219.99, from $199.99 two weeks ago. :(
>> --
>> Bo Williams - williams@hiwaay.net
>> http://hiwaay.net/~williams/
>
> That's an easy one, Bo. I do this all the time.
>
> Simply save your banks with a descriptive file name.
>
> Example: Load all of your PD info including trunking info
> and then save it as PD.p95
>
> Lets say you have another 10 banks that you want as
> fire only. Load the frequencies and then click on
> "save as" and give it a description like FIRE.p95
>
> When you want to listen to a particular item, simply open the file,
> upload it to the scanner and enjoy!

>
> When I travel, I have various city files. When I'm in Phoenix, I
> simply upload the PHX.p95 file into the scanner. When I go to Omaha,
> I simply upload the OMA.p95 file. All frequencies, trunking info, ect
> is saved.
>
> By the way, did you know that the stored files along with the
> program itself is small enough to fit on a floppy disk? So, when you
> travel, just take the disk with you. That is, if you can still find
> a computer that has a floppy drive :) Of course, you have to take
> the transfer cable with you.

2447. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-27 14:38:36 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <4KKdnf8JN4Mm0wrfRVn-ow@gbronline.com>
Source record: 13362
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Too_Many_Tools wrote:
> The drop in the prices in collectibles such as the Collins
> line is another indicator that money is being spent elsewhere as
> interest wanes.

I don't know where you are seeing that, I see Collins gear going for
prices higher than ever.

2448. WTB: Scanner Frequency Directory, 15th Edition

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-27 14:46:58 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <8JKdnaHFKcx-rwrfRVn-qg@comcast.com>
Source record: 13359

WANTED:

Scanner Frequency Directory, 15th Edition, for Northwestern Ohio,
Southeastern Michigan. Published by Radio InfoSystems, by Daryll Symington.

Willing to pay $15.00 + mailing cost.

Also, does anyone know when they plan on releasing the 16th Edition? It's
supposed to be distributed on CD-ROM.

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

2449. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-27 15:21:21 UTC
From: Too_Many_Tools <too_many_tools@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1117207281.823516.14040@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13360
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Dee,

I am basing my comments on what I see within the hobby.

When I went to Dayton, I was a member of a group of about 30 members who spent significant time and money to go and we did that for many years.

>From that group, now only less than five people go to Dayton and even those only go every couple years.

These are people who do hold licenses but use them less and less if at all. Many have since sold their stations.

Ham radio is in its twilight years and as the old guard dies off which is happening at an ever increasing rate, the slide into obscurity will accelerate.

As has been noted earlier, take a hard look at the demographics of the attendees of the Dayton Hamvention. It is obvious to the most casual observer that the age of the attendees is heavily weighted to the 50-60+ year range. Give them another ten years and the numbers decline further, it is doubtful that they will have the numbers to sustain the costs incurred to host the Hamvention.

I also think that manufacturers are preparing for this decline. The recent cancellation of the Drake R8B indicates that the hobby is not capable of supporting its equipment needs or the development of new product. The drop in the prices in collectibles such as the Collins line is another indicator that money is being spent elsewhere as interest wanes.

Your thoughts?

TMT

2450. Re: Yupitaru problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-27 16:03:35 UTC
From: wayne <wayneseals@charter.net>
Message-ID: <XxHle.13815$eR.8544@fe05.lga>
Source record: 13361

If it has a reset button try that.It will be a real small hole.You'll have to use a paperclip or something like that.My Yupiteru mvt7200 has one.

2451. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-27 23:12:27 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <lP6dnUZzStrsWgrfRVn-2Q@gbronline.com>
Source record: 13371
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Siegfried Kemper wrote:
> In article <4KKdnf8JN4Mm0wrfRVn-ow@gbronline.com>
> Cmd Buzz Corey <m...@that.moc> wrote:
>
>>Too_Many_Tools wrote:
>>
>>>The drop in the prices in collectibles such as the Collins
>>>line is another indicator that money is being spent
>
> elsewhere as
>
>>>interest wanes.
>>
>>I don't know where you are seeing that, I see Collins gear
>
> going for
>
>>prices higher than ever.
>
>
> Of course they are, of course they are, because the obsolete
> license BOY says so!
>
>
>
Go back your stale beer and drugs wogie, no one is paying any attention
to what you have to say. Say, how many times do you use that
Restricted Radio Operators *Permit* you keep bragging about? You know,
the one where you don't even take a test, just fill out an FCC form.
What happened to that Second Class ticket you claimed to have? Did the
FCC make you retest for that one too and you failed?
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!

2452. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-27 23:17:30 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050527191730.305$Nh@newsreader.com>
Source record: 13363
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc> wrote:
> Too_Many_Tools wrote:
> > The drop in the prices in collectibles such as the Collins
> > line is another indicator that money is being spent elsewhere as
> > interest wanes.
>
> I don't know where you are seeing that, I see Collins gear going for
> prices higher than ever.
>
There was lots of Collins stuff at Dayton. The 30-L1 was on every corner
it seemed.

2453. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-27 23:43:55 UTC
From: krackula <krackula@i.am>
Message-ID: <1hbf91p0vkbjp5b7967g8p9te0s6l8uu31@4ax.com>
Source record: 13364
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

a number of collins gear models continue to bring in " staggering "
used prices .... this and the interest / prices keep rising on
ebay.

to see what I mean go to the " radio: CB, Ham, & Shortwave "
category and type in Collins and then look at the prices that the
640 " closed out " items have brought and be stunned !!!!!!!

used drake gear is right there behind collins too ....

some examples of recent collins sales :
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=48700&item=5776496330&rd=1
gasp........
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4671&item=5776923356&rd=1
double gasp ....
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4671&item=5776501846&rd=1
omg .....
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4671&item=5775948196&rd=1
huh ???? ....
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=1502&item=5774578908&rd=1
numbing ....
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4674&item=5775229238&rd=1

and 100s more, recently .... simply shocking used prices
.... if this is a " drop " in prices I wouldn't want to see
the higher ones !!!!!!!!

k..............

On 27 May 2005 23:17:30 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc> wrote:
>> Too_Many_Tools wrote:
>> > The drop in the prices in collectibles such as the Collins
>> > line is another indicator that money is being spent elsewhere as
>> > interest wanes.
>>
>> I don't know where you are seeing that, I see Collins gear going for
>> prices higher than ever.
>>
>There was lots of Collins stuff at Dayton. The 30-L1 was on every corner
>it seemed.

2454. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-27 23:49:20 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050527194920.242$M4@newsreader.com>
Source record: 13365
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

krackula <krackula@i.am> wrote:
> a number of collins gear models continue to bring in " staggering "
> used prices .... this and the interest / prices keep rising on
> ebay.
>
> to see what I mean go to the " radio: CB, Ham, & Shortwave "
> category and type in Collins and then look at the prices that the
> 640 " closed out " items have brought and be stunned !!!!!!!
>
> used drake gear is right there behind collins too ....
>
> some examples of recent collins sales :
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=48700&item=5776496
> 330&rd=1 gasp........
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4671&item=57769233
> 56&rd=1 double gasp ....
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4671&item=57765018
> 46&rd=1 omg .....
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4671&item=57759481
> 96&rd=1 huh ???? ....
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=1502&item=57745789
> 08&rd=1 numbing ....
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4674&item=57752292
> 38&rd=1
>
> and 100s more, recently .... simply shocking used prices
> .... if this is a " drop " in prices I wouldn't want to see
> the higher ones !!!!!!!!
>
> k..............
>
> On 27 May 2005 23:17:30 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc> wrote:
> >> Too_Many_Tools wrote:
> >> > The drop in the prices in collectibles such as the Collins
> >> > line is another indicator that money is being spent elsewhere as
> >> > interest wanes.
> >>
> >> I don't know where you are seeing that, I see Collins gear going for
> >> prices higher than ever.
> >>
> >There was lots of Collins stuff at Dayton. The 30-L1 was on every corner

> >it seemed.
>
I,ve had an S-line for at least 20 years or more, they are a very
dependable boat anchor and also forgiving to the occasional dumb-ass attack
that we all suffer from time to time.

2455. FS: BC780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-27 23:53:33 UTC
From: pj <pjnospam@photoreporters.net>
Message-ID: <pjnospam-C2FF06.16533327052005@news.west.cox.net>
Source record: 13366

Uniden BC780XLT scanner in like new condition.

Features include:

* Motorola, Ericsson/EDACS and LTR trunking
* I-CALL (private-call) trunk following
* Motorola "Control-Channel Only" trunking
* 500 channels (50 channels in 10 banks)
* 25 through 1300 MHz continuous coverage less UHF TV and cellular bands
* AM, FM, WFM, NFM receive modes

Comes with AC adapter, antenna, manual and mobile mounting bracket
(sorry, don't have original box). $225 plus shipping from Southern CA.

(Please remove "nospam" if auto-replying)

2456. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-28 00:16:26 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117239386.114474.179390@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13367
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

nobody wrote:
> Been to your local mall lately?... Young girls in pajamas and fuzzy slippers
> is apparently the "in" thing right now, but if Icom is trying to associate
> itself with this type of crowd there's something terribly wrong...

In 1976, outside of Ft Lee Army Installation, there were women shopping
at the grocery store with bathrobes and bare feet. The grocery store
was next to Section 8 housing.

2457. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-28 00:18:36 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050527201836.100$IO@newsreader.com>
Source record: 13368
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote:
> nobody wrote:
> > Been to your local mall lately?... Young girls in pajamas and fuzzy
> > slippers is apparently the "in" thing right now, but if Icom is trying
> > to associate itself with this type of crowd there's something terribly
> > wrong...
>
> In 1976, outside of Ft Lee Army Installation, there were women shopping
> at the grocery store with bathrobes and bare feet. The grocery store
> was next to Section 8 housing.
>
Soul train was a hit too? <g>

2458. Re: Scanner books

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-28 01:05:54 UTC
From: Frank Bals <fjb@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <StPle.258115$cg1.106211@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 13369

I will also include 12 issues of "National Communications". A great scanner, CB, and 2-way radio magazine...

Frank

"Frank Bals" <fjb@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:Omxle.255248$cg1.205430@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> All books are in excellent condition:
>
> Radio Monitoring (T.J. Skip Arey)
> Scanners and Secret Frequencies (Henry L. Eisenson)
> Military Monitoring (Steve A. Douglass)
>
> Price is $30.00 (for all three) plus shipping.........
>
>
>

2459. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-28 01:11:10 UTC
From: Siegfried Kemper <bounce@parsifal.ath.cx>
Message-ID: <0CDJRMJI38499.8815509259@si93kru1.poster>
Source record: 13370
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <4KKdnf8JN4Mm0wrfRVn-ow@gbronline.com>
Cmd Buzz Corey <m...@that.moc> wrote:
>
> Too_Many_Tools wrote:
> > The drop in the prices in collectibles such as the Collins
> > line is another indicator that money is being spent
elsewhere as
> > interest wanes.
>
> I don't know where you are seeing that, I see Collins gear
going for
> prices higher than ever.

Of course they are, of course they are, because the obsolete
license BOY says so!

2460. TrunkPCR Version 0.7.176 Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-28 01:20:44 UTC
From: CrazyC <crazy__c_@hot_mail.com>
Message-ID: <AIPle.10459$Ri4.3709@okepread07>
Source record: 13372

TrunkPCR Version 0.7.176 Update is available for downloading here:
http://members.cox.net/fiftyone.50/TrunkPcr/TrunkPcr.html

Regards,
Jay

2461. MacDill AFB??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-28 01:31:57 UTC
From: warsteiner <no.f1doc.spam@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <hSPle.61397$w15.13299@tornado.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 13377

I'm hearing NOTHING on any of my MacDill freqs except for the control tower?
Did they change? Anyone have the new freqs??

2462. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-28 01:33:30 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <UtqdncijYaf0VwrfRVn-1g@adelphia.com>
Source record: 13373
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Too_Many_Tools wrote:
> Dee,
>
> I am basing my comments on what I see within the hobby.
>
> When I went to Dayton, I was a member of a group of about 30 members
> who spent significant time and money to go and we did that for many
> years.
>
>>From that group, now only less than five people go to Dayton and even
> those only go every couple years.
>
> These are people who do hold licenses but use them less and less if at
> all. Many have since sold their stations.

Well there ya go! These folk have run their course in Ham radio and are bored and dropping out. Happens in a lot of hobbies

> Ham radio is in its twilight years and as the old guard dies off which
> is happening at an ever increasing rate, the slide into obscurity will
> accelerate.

Any hobby dies out for the person that loses interest.

> As has been noted earlier, take a hard look at the demographics of the
> attendees of the Dayton Hamvention. It is obvious to the most casual
> observer that the age of the attendees is heavily weighted to the
> 50-60+ year range. Give them another ten years and the numbers decline
> further, it is doubtful that they will have the numbers to sustain the
> costs incurred to host the Hamvention.

Making a demographic out of the people at Dayton? Just how many young kids are you expecting to see there?

I don't doubt that the Ham radio population is likely an older one. I also don't doubt that demographics for a lot of hobbies are shifting. It wasn't until my 40s that I started to get enough spare time to even think about a hobby. I tried Amateur astronomy, but the wx in central PA is kinda like Seattle, and I looked into amateur radio. BTW, if you think Ham radio is graying, Amateur astronomy would shock you.

The young ones will come into the hobby. We just have to wait for them to grow up.

> I also think that manufacturers are preparing for this decline. The
> recent cancellation of the Drake R8B indicates that the hobby is not

> capable of supporting its equipment needs or the development of new
> product.

Yeah, how many hams do you think ever had a Drake R8B? And of those who do, how many use it as part of their station?

The drop in the prices in collectibles such as the Collins
> line is another indicator that money is being spent elsewhere as
> interest wanes.

Personally I think any price drop in Collins equipment is on account of potential buyers regaining sanity. At Dayton this year, there were a lot of them. Some sold, a fair number didn't. Especially at the one tent where the people were trying to sell them for way over their worth.

Collins is old tube stuff. Fine radios no doubt, but hardly worth the price of a decent new rig.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2463. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-28 01:35:50 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <UtqdncujYadpVwrfRVn-1g@adelphia.com>
Source record: 13374
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> Too_Many_Tools wrote:
>
>> The drop in the prices in collectibles such as the Collins
>> line is another indicator that money is being spent elsewhere as
>> interest wanes.
>
>
> I don't know where you are seeing that, I see Collins gear going for
> prices higher than ever.

So many came out this year at Dayton that potential buyers could pretty much call the shots. After all, you can ask for @ kilobucks for an old Collins, but is it worth that much if no one buys it for that much?

- Mike KB3EIA -

2464. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-28 01:39:32 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <UtqdncqjYadPVgrfRVn-1g@adelphia.com>
Source record: 13375
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

krackula wrote:
>
> a number of collins gear models continue to bring in " staggering "
> used prices .... this and the interest / prices keep rising on
> ebay.
>

They look like they are down a bit too me. Certainly many of the brand displayed at Dayton had similar or higher prices.

Those sat too. I think the bloom is off that rose.....

- Mike KB3EIA -

2465. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-28 01:46:50 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050527214650.447$Tz@newsreader.com>
Source record: 13376
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote:
> krackula wrote:
> >
> > a number of collins gear models continue to bring in " staggering "
> > used prices ........ this and the interest / prices keep rising on
> > ebay.
> >
>
> They look like they are down a bit too me. Certainly many of the
> brand displayed at Dayton had similar or higher prices.
>
> Those sat too. I think the bloom is off that rose.....
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
A lot -o- stuff sat at Dayton, in fact I don't recall many people carrying
anything besides their pocket-protector, and muti-ht's.
```

2466. Scanning Down Under in NEWCASTLE NSW AUSTRALIA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-28 02:14:37 UTC
From: Mike From Newcastlescan <mike*nospam*@newcastlescan.com>
Message-ID: <bvkf91prageve6glnk12pq7md44tna6vsg@4ax.com>
Source record: 13378
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,aus,radio.scanner,aus.radio.cb

Its been a while since I posted to this newsgroup.... but in case you did not know about it check out www.newcastlescan.com

We just had a decent police chase with several vehicles involved and the use of road spikes... TWICE and as a result an innocent driver has had his tyres deflated... is that a first for oz?? anyway the main recording is on the soundbytes page, recorded by co owner of the site Garry....

so check out www.newcastlescan.com and listen to some recordings on our completely free site with over 70 pages of info, pics, storys, free freqs and more.... you can even join our email group.... for free and joing the scanner discussions, shared info, pics and extra soundbytes

Cheers
Mike

PS Garry has now completed his NEW" Scanning the Novocastrians 2005" scanner guide and info on CD in PDF with heaps of extras
for details email garry@newcastlescan.com price is only $AUD15 + postage for up to date info on all major and some minor emergency services including the digital changeover of POLICE in NSW, also covered is air, marine and heaps more

2467. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-28 02:17:18 UTC
From: Ted <nospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <OwQle.1801$MI4.295@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 13379
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

>
>"John S." <hjsjms@cs.com> wrote in message
>news:1117152681.153277.68190@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> "Those under 40 would best pilot this ship..."
>>
>> JS> But look at the pilots of the ARRL.
>>
>> Do you know of a source for reliable data on ham licenses that drills
>> down below the often quoted total licenses outstanding. Are there any
>> studies that estimate the number of active licenses and ages of the
>> holders and how those numbers have trended over time.
>>

John Smith wrote in message ...
>... well, it usually works like that, you find it just after you claim it
is
>hard to do, minutes later...
>http://ah0a.org/FCC/Licenses.html
>http://ah0a.org/FCC/
>
>Warmest regards,
>John

It looks like the bottom line is that back in
June 1997 there were 678,473 licensed hams
and in May of 1995 there were 664,972 licensed hams.
Thats a decrease of 2 percent over 8 years. Its a decline but not by much.

Does anyone have statistics that go back three or four decades??

2468. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-28 03:18:40 UTC
From: krackula <krackula@i.am>
Message-ID: <i0nf91pohrmper5gfcfc1olr28jvpb6s2j@4ax.com>
Source record: 13380
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

>
> Collins is old tube stuff. Fine radios no doubt, but hardly worth the
>price of a decent new rig.
>
>
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

maybe the value of the radio is in the beholder
or it's applications ....

for example , I have a satori / sherwood modded
, full boat, drake r-4c and a fully loaded FT1000 field ....sitting
side by side .. here in the shack and the so called " old tube
r4-c " blows the doors off my much newer
and more expensive FT1000mp v . the FT1000 receiver
is an embarrassment as compared to the drake on
weak DX in a VERY crowded CW band. no comparison
at all. people tell me that many of the collins receivers can be
tuned up to outperform even the drake r4-c receivers. maybe THAT is
why people pay more for a drake r4-c (I have $1200 to $1400
invested in mine , so far) or collins !! certainly the value is
in the weak signal / crowded band performance to me and
looks like it is to a LOT of other people too.

obviously , for your operating needs, solid state rigs do the job
.... but for those of us that need competition grade cw receivers
...... some tube receivers are still doing a better job. different
strokes for different folks. I've got the best of both worlds and ,
for me, tubes still do a better job in certain applications. (both
my amps < a alpha and a henry > have tubes too) .... I do
use my FT1000 for my transmitter / exciter tho .. ha ha ha ha ah.

k.................

2469. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-28 03:42:34 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <M_ednY96V-M3dQrfRVn-3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 13381
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Fri, 27 May 2005 21:33:30 -0400, Mike Coslo wrote:

> Collins is old tube stuff. Fine radios no doubt, but hardly worth the
> price of a decent new rig.

Antiquities are only worth what people are willing to pay for them
including old tube junk. 10 to 15 years ago an old Chrysler Hot Rod from
the 60's or 70's wasn't worth much, now you can't touch one in very good
shape for less than 3 to 4 times the original selling price new. Go figure.

Regards,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

2470. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-28 04:08:34 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <Hs6dnXdmFaFfcwrfRVn-pQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 13382
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 26 May 2005 19:54:45 -0700, John S. wrote:

> What is missing is how much current licenses are used. With that data
> we could guesstimate expirations. That would take a study that the FCC
> doesn't have the resources to fund and one the ARRL may not want to
> know the answer to.

What would be of much more interest is the use to which the licenses are put. A history of how the various classes operated over time would provide a big clue as to what is happening to the service now. Hard numbers are better than the constant speculation I see here all the time. For example a decline in some types of operations could reflect a trend where the technology in use is either unpopular or being replaced by another one. For example AM by SSB. This would even answer the ever present question, and assertion, that Ham Radio is being supplemented by increased computer use and the Internet. Knowing that then the ARRL could spend it's time promoting those things that apparently Ham Radio still had the edge in capabilities. If you want increased license numbers then you have to know how to "market" Ham Radio, and if you don't know what the market wants, or you promote the wrong thing, then you end up with a declining market share, i.e. license numbers.

Regards,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

2471. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-28 04:33:48 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <QwSle.75$Af5.3116198@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 13383
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Why not just do what I did, ask the younger people why they are not
interested in getting a ham ticket... I don't think they are lying... I'd
almost bet they are telling the truth...

Yanno, you can do a lot of things to try to fix something--but when you find
the right fix--you'll know it!!!

Warmest regards,
John

"Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:Hs6dnXdmFaFfcwrfRVn-pQ@comcast.com...
> On Thu, 26 May 2005 19:54:45 -0700, John S. wrote:
>
>
>> What is missing is how much current licenses are used. With that data
>> we could guesstimate expirations. That would take a study that the FCC
>> doesn't have the resources to fund and one the ARRL may not want to
>> know the answer to.
>
> What would be of much more interest is the use to which the licenses are
> put. A history of how the various classes operated over time would provide
> a big clue as to what is happening to the service now. Hard numbers are
> better than the constant speculation I see here all the time. For example
> a decline in some types of operations could reflect a trend where the
> technology in use is either unpopular or being replaced by another one.
> For example AM by SSB. This would even answer the ever present question,
> and assertion, that Ham Radio is being supplemented by increased computer
> use and the Internet. Knowing that then the ARRL could spend it's time
> promoting those things that apparently Ham Radio still had the edge in
> capabilities. If you want increased license numbers then you have to know
> how to "market" Ham Radio, and if you don't know what the market wants, or
> you promote the wrong thing, then you end up with a declining market
> share, i.e. license numbers.
>
> Regards,
>
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO

2472. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-28 07:54:42 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <ze6dneiG9MxfvgXfRVn-uQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 13384
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Fri, 27 May 2005 21:33:48 -0700, John Smith wrote:

> Why not just do what I did, ask the younger people why they are not
> interested in getting a ham ticket...

Well that's straight forward enough at first glance. The sticking point is many don't want a Ham ticket for any reason, they don't even know what it is. The ones you need to ask are those who find they get what they want using other means, computer - Internet, AFTER considering Ham Radio. The ideal place to find that information are the classes the ARRL is pushing under their educational program.

This is an important point because the kids can then make an "informed" choice based facts and first hand experience instead of something they read in a magazine or seen on TV / movies. Also just how many of those kids that do get licensed stick with the hobby? For those that don't these are the ones that have the answers as to why, for example the cell phone - instant messaging fits their needs and interest better. I don't know if the ARRL even bothers to try and collect this kind of data.

>I'd almost bet they are telling the truth...

Why wouldn't they?

> Yanno, you can do a lot of things to try to fix something--but when you
> find the right fix--you'll know it!!!

If you're going to try random chance at least try to narrow down the number of options, improve the odds of success, a bit. That's only going to happen if the ARRL gets systematic about collecting some useful and meaningful data, otherwise known as market research.

Normally I just sandbag on the side in this news group reading the posts. Most of the arguments over licensing numbers are petty much useless since most don't have any hard statistical data to support their points. I have to admit some of the arguments I've read are very well constructed and logical, but without the hard data it's just all speculation.

Regards,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

2473. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-28 08:42:21 UTC
From: Tom <Extra@nospam>
Message-ID: <d79asq$h5c$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 13385
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Leland C. Scott wrote:
> On Fri, 27 May 2005 21:33:48 -0700, John Smith wrote:
>
>
>>Why not just do what I did, ask the younger people why they are not
>>interested in getting a ham ticket...
>
>
> Well that's straight forward enough at first glance. The sticking point is
> many don't want a Ham ticket for any reason, they don't even know what it
> is. The ones you need to ask are those who find they get what they want
> using other means, computer - Internet, AFTER considering Ham Radio. The
> ideal place to find that information are the classes the ARRL is pushing
> under their educational program.
>
> This is an important point because the kids can then make an "informed"
> choice based facts and first hand experience instead of something they
> read in a magazine or seen on TV / movies. Also just how many of those
> kids that do get licensed stick with the hobby? For those that don't these
> are the ones that have the answers as to why, for example the cell phone -
> instant messaging fits their needs and interest better. I don't know if
> the ARRL even bothers to try and collect this kind of data.
>
>
>>I'd almost bet they are telling the truth...
>
>
> Why wouldn't they?
>
>
>>Yanno, you can do a lot of things to try to fix something--but when you
>>find the right fix--you'll know it!!!
>
>
> If you're going to try random chance at least try to narrow down the
> number of options, improve the odds of success, a bit. That's only going
> to happen if the ARRL gets systematic about collecting some useful and
> meaningful data, otherwise known as market research.
>
> Normally I just sandbag on the side in this news group reading the posts.
> Most of the arguments over licensing numbers are petty much useless since
> most don't have any hard statistical data to support their points. I have
> to admit some of the arguments I've read are very well constructed and

> logical, but without the hard data it's just all speculation.
>
> Regards,
>
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO

The dilemma, if you want to describe it as that, of declining Ham licensees is little to worry about. It appears that some few hand-wringers are making much ado about nothing.

I have noted that, over the years, the majority of new Hams are not joining the ARRL. And for good reason, too.

The ARRL is a dinosaur, replete with septegenarians who are interested in doing things the 'old way'. Many new Hams look at the yearly fee to be a member and simply toss the application in the waste basket. I did this very thing some 25 years ago and never looked back.
After all, who really needs the ARRL? What do you get for your membership? A monthly magazine? Big deal.

I will leave the speculation and 'hard data' gathering processes to others who show an interest in data processing. Their efforts will be of little value in the long term scheme, other than they gathered some numbers and percentages that have little, if any, value in the long run.

Meanwhile, catch me on the HF bands. I'll leave the overcrowded two meter repeaters to the handi-talkie newbies.

All the more reason to upgrade.

2474. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-28 09:10:22 UTC
From: Matt <pissoff@spammers.suck.com>
Message-ID: <d79chv$ob6$1@otis.netspace.net.au>
Source record: 13386
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Jack Hamilton" <jfh@acm.org> wrote in message
news:n2cd915f7vkptdgamu36hip868j9hq9ng2@4ax.com...
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> >The younger hams should set the course, they will inherit what they
build...
> >within one decade many of those holding ham tickets will be gone, in two
> >decades the numbers are LARGE, in three decades those numbers are
> >FRIGHTENING!!!!
> >
> >Those under 40 would best pilot this ship...
>
> And people under 40 (or under 50, for that matter) aren't going to
> bother with Morse Code, for the most part.
>
> It will be interesting to see what the license numbers look like in a
> few years in countries that have eliminated the code requirement. Will
> the numbers be better there? For that matter, are other countries
> seeing the same apparent declines that we see in the US?

In VK, we continue to see a steady decline, both in absolute numbers and in
membership of our national radio body (Wireless institute of Australia)
despite the removal of CW as an comulsory entry requirement onto HF.
However, we are about to see a new licence system introduced similar to the
UK system (which I believe resulted in an increase in numbers there). Age
demographics are pretty much on the "old side" - but there continues tobe a
trickle of young amateurs coming on line - how it will go in the end, not
sure.
On a side note, the use of HF appears to have increased, but not
dramatically and it would be difficult to prove conclusively that this is
the case (based mainly on callback numbers to the weekly WIA broadcasts).

Matt

> --
> Jack Hamilton
> Sacramento, California
> --
> <> Qui vit sans folie n'est pas si sage qu'il croit.
> <> Fran&#65533;ois VI, duc de La Rochefoucauld

2475. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-28 11:32:59 UTC
From: Scott in Baltimore <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com>
Message-ID: <429856EB.BF8A79EE@yapoo.com>
Source record: 13387
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> I'll leave the overcrowded two
> meter repeaters to the handi-talkie newbies.

And they think they're hot shit with underpowered radios that barely
cover the noise on the repeater. Two meter simplex is cool, though.

2476. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-28 12:15:49 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <G46dneZuSphh_QXfRVn-pA@comcast.com>
Source record: 13388
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:QwSle.75$Af5.3116198@news.sisna.com...
> Why not just do what I did, ask the younger people why they are not
> interested in getting a ham ticket... I don't think they are lying... I'd
> almost bet they are telling the truth...
>
> Yanno, you can do a lot of things to try to fix something--but when you
> find the right fix--you'll know it!!!
>
> Warmest regards,
> John
>

Need a lot bigger sample size though. The younger people that I know find
the code the most fascinating part. The resistance comes from people taking
up ham radio who are middle aged. However neither your sample nor mine is
large enough to draw conclusions.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

> "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net> wrote in message
> news:Hs6dnXdmFaFfcwrfRVn-pQ@comcast.com...
>> On Thu, 26 May 2005 19:54:45 -0700, John S. wrote:
>>
>>
>>> What is missing is how much current licenses are used. With that data
>>> we could guesstimate expirations. That would take a study that the FCC
>>> doesn't have the resources to fund and one the ARRL may not want to
>>> know the answer to.
>>
>> What would be of much more interest is the use to which the licenses are
>> put. A history of how the various classes operated over time would
>> provide
>> a big clue as to what is happening to the service now. Hard numbers are
>> better than the constant speculation I see here all the time. For example
>> a decline in some types of operations could reflect a trend where the
>> technology in use is either unpopular or being replaced by another one.
>> For example AM by SSB. This would even answer the ever present question,
>> and assertion, that Ham Radio is being supplemented by increased computer
>> use and the Internet. Knowing that then the ARRL could spend it's time
>> promoting those things that apparently Ham Radio still had the edge in
>> capabilities. If you want increased license numbers then you have to know
>> how to "market" Ham Radio, and if you don't know what the market wants,
>> or

>> you promote the wrong thing, then you end up with a declining market
>> share, i.e. license numbers.
>>
>> Regards,
>>
>> Leland C. Scott
>> KC8LDO
>
>

2477. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-28 12:26:29 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <TtKdnRXVkY7h_gXfRVn-1Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 13389
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Matt" <pissoff@spammers.suck.com> wrote in message
news:d79chv$ob6$1@otis.netspace.net.au...
>
> In VK, we continue to see a steady decline, both in absolute numbers and
> in
> membership of our national radio body (Wireless institute of Australia)
> despite the removal of CW as an comulsory entry requirement onto HF.
> However, we are about to see a new licence system introduced similar to
> the
> UK system (which I believe resulted in an increase in numbers there). Age
> demographics are pretty much on the "old side" - but there continues tobe
> a
> trickle of young amateurs coming on line - how it will go in the end, not
> sure.
> On a side note, the use of HF appears to have increased, but not
> dramatically and it would be difficult to prove conclusively that this is
> the case (based mainly on callback numbers to the weekly WIA broadcasts).
>
>
>
> Matt
>

Keep in mind that any change in rules may take years to have a noticeable impact on the number of licensees. How long has VK been codeless? Has it been long enough to have the hoped for impact? If you change licensing systems, the same questions need considered before judging it.

Here in the states, it seems that the last couple of changes in licensing requirements has had no noticeable long term effect.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2478. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-28 13:14:24 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050528091424.724$yd@newsreader.com>
Source record: 13390
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net> wrote:
> Normally I just sandbag on the side in this news group reading the posts.
>
LOL, which NG is that? You're not counting your sock posting aol proxy
account (172.172.158.131) lelnad.

Nice job on the coax, btw.

2479. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-28 13:43:50 UTC
From: "John S." <hjsjms@cs.com>
Message-ID: <1117287830.616003.278890@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13391
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Why not just do what I did, ask the younger people why they are not interested in getting a ham ticket..."

I think the ARRL and the licensed hams need to consider that question too. Most young people don't see ham radio as an up-to-date way of communication. Rather they see the licensing and equipment required as an anachronism. They have numerous highly portable license-free ways of communicating with one another and getting information about the world. Why go through the hassle of getting a license and radio equipment only to find out that the people you work and play with are not on the bands. They don't know what see you on 2 meters means - and when it is explained most of them say but I can do that on a cell phone with lots of people right now.

2480. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-28 22:54:26 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <ZYGdnYCsQdoCawXfRVn-2g@adelphia.com>
Source record: 13392
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

krackula wrote:
>> Collins is old tube stuff. Fine radios no doubt, but hardly worth the
>>price of a decent new rig.
>>
>>
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
>
> maybe the value of the radio is in the beholder
> or it's applications ....
>
> for example , I have a satori / sherwood modded
> , full boat, drake r-4c and a fully loaded FT1000 field ....sitting
> side by side .. here in the shack and the so called " old tube
> r4-c " blows the doors off my much newer
> and more expensive FT1000mp v . the FT1000 receiver
> is an embarrassment as compared to the drake on
> weak DX in a VERY crowded CW band.

What I would like to see is some data to back that up. If the tube
stuff is better, then I'd go for it in a minute.

> no comparison
> at all. people tell me that many of the collins receivers can be
> tuned up to outperform even the drake r4-c receivers. maybe THAT is
> why people pay more for a drake r4-c (I have $1200 to $1400
> invested in mine , so far) or collins !! certainly the value is
> in the weak signal / crowded band performance to me and
> looks like it is to a LOT of other people too.
>
> obviously , for your operating needs, solid state rigs do the job

Perhaps not so obviously. I've been getting into Hollow state
technology recently. Bought a couple olde tube Heathkits and their power
supplies at Dayton, and will restore them. I came into Ham radio (radio
at all) too late for tube stuff, and frankly, the tube rigs seem very
cool to me.

> .... but for those of us that need competition grade cw receivers
> ..... some tube receivers are still doing a better job. different
> strokes for different folks. I've got the best of both worlds and ,
> for me, tubes still do a better job in certain applications. (both

> my amps < a alpha and a henry > have tubes too) .... I do
> use my FT1000 for my transmitter / exciter tho .. ha ha ha ha ah.

It would seem that you should sell that FT1000 and go strictly tubes if all you do is use it for transmitting. 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

2481. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-28 23:00:47 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <vsqdnTA_lcSAZQXfRVn-qA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 13393
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Leland C. Scott wrote:
> On Fri, 27 May 2005 21:33:48 -0700, John Smith wrote:
>
>
>>Why not just do what I did, ask the younger people why they are not
>>interested in getting a ham ticket...
>
>
> Well that's straight forward enough at first glance. The sticking point is
> many don't want a Ham ticket for any reason, they don't even know what it
> is.

Bingo!

> The ones you need to ask are those who find they get what they want
> using other means, computer - Internet, AFTER considering Ham Radio.

I don't find the internet or cell phones to be any competition to
Amateur radio at all. The internet requires the ability to click a
mouse. Cell phones are for yacking on. Neither are technical hobbies.

Cell phones as a hobby? Sounds odd! 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

2482. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-28 23:15:08 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <2Y6me.144$r_2.8274028@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 13394
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Every student going though the electronics and engineering courses at the college here knows very well what a ham ticket is (the instructor is a ham), and darn well pass any of the exams blindfolded... seldom do they see the need or have the desire when they have tuned the bands and listened...

You think most other colleges are different?

Warmest regards,
John

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:vsqdnTA_lcSAZQXfRVn-qA@adelphia.com...
Leland C. Scott wrote:
> On Fri, 27 May 2005 21:33:48 -0700, John Smith wrote:
>
>
>>Why not just do what I did, ask the younger people why they are not
>>interested in getting a ham ticket...
>
>
> Well that's straight forward enough at first glance. The sticking point is
> many don't want a Ham ticket for any reason, they don't even know what it
> is.

Bingo!

> The ones you need to ask are those who find they get what they want
> using other means, computer - Internet, AFTER considering Ham Radio.

I don't find the internet or cell phones to be any competition to
Amateur radio at all. The internet requires the ability to click a
mouse. Cell phones are for yacking on. Neither are technical hobbies.

Cell phones as a hobby? Sounds odd! 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

2483. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-28 23:18:45 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <s%6me.146$oa3.8361061@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 13395
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I have a single russian tube (two tube in push-pull driving it--in the amp) which is outputting approx. 4.5KW... can you imagine what the transistor (mosfet) amp which could match it would look like--or cost!!!!

Warmest regards,
John
"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message news:ZYGdnYCsQdoCawXfRVn-2g@adelphia.com...
krackula wrote:
>> Collins is old tube stuff. Fine radios no doubt, but hardly worth the
>>price of a decent new rig.
>>
>>
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
>
> maybe the value of the radio is in the beholder
> or it's applications ....
>
> for example , I have a satori / sherwood modded
> , full boat, drake r-4c and a fully loaded FT1000 field ....sitting
> side by side .. here in the shack and the so called " old tube
> r4-c " blows the doors off my much newer
> and more expensive FT1000mp v . the FT1000 receiver
> is an embarrassment as compared to the drake on
> weak DX in a VERY crowded CW band.

What I would like to see is some data to back that up. If the tube stuff is better, then I'd go for it in a minute.

> no comparison
> at all. people tell me that many of the collins receivers can be
> tuned up to outperform even the drake r4-c receivers. maybe THAT is
> why people pay more for a drake r4-c (I have $1200 to $1400
> invested in mine , so far) or collins !! certainly the value is
> in the weak signal / crowded band performance to me and
> looks like it is to a LOT of other people too.
>
> obviously , for your operating needs, solid state rigs do the job

Perhaps not so obviously. I've been getting into Hollow state technology recently. Bought a couple olde tube Heathkits and their power

supplies at Dayton, and will restore them. I came into Ham radio (radio at all) too late for tube stuff, and frankly, the tube rigs seem very cool to me.

> .... but for those of us that need competition grade cw receivers
> ..... some tube receivers are still doing a better job. different
> strokes for different folks. I've got the best of both worlds and ,
> for me, tubes still do a better job in certain applications. (both
> my amps < a alpha and a henry > have tubes too) ..... I do
> use my FT1000 for my transmitter / exciter tho .. ha ha ha ha ah.

It would seem that you should sell that FT1000 and go strictly tubes if all you do is use it for transmitting. 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

2484. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-28 23:53:21 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <INidnYsiz4LymQTfRVn-vg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 13396
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

John S. wrote:
> "Why not just do what I did, ask the younger people why they are not
> interested in getting a ham ticket..."
>
> I think the ARRL and the licensed hams need to consider that question
> too. Most young people don't see ham radio as an up-to-date way of
> communication. Rather they see the licensing and equipment required as
> an anachronism. They have numerous highly portable license-free ways
> of communicating with one another and getting information about the
> world.

Before the internet and cell phones, we had television and regular phones.

> Why go through the hassle of getting a license and radio
> equipment only to find out that the people you work and play with are
> not on the bands.

??? I guess your outlook and idea of what Ham radio is is to chat with your buds? In that respect, you are probably correct. If all you want to do is *that*, you are better served by chat rooms or the telephone. Why on earth anyone with that as their main interest would become a ham is beyond me. Ham radio is not the best hobby for that, although we can do that.

They don't know what see you on 2 meters means - and
> when it is explained most of them say but I can do that on a cell phone
> with lots of people right now.

A person that gives that sort of answer is never going to be a Ham.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2485. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-28 23:57:02 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <INidnYoiz4LWmATfRVn-vg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 13397
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

John Smith wrote:
> I have a single russian tube (two tube in push-pull driving it--in the
> amp) which is outputting approx. 4.5KW... can you imagine what the
> transistor (mosfet) amp which could match it would look like--or cost!!!!

Hollow state definitely has its uses!

- Mike KB3EIA -

>
> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net
> <mailto:mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>> wrote in message
> news:ZYGdnYCsQdoCawXfRVn-2g@adelphia.com...
> krackula wrote:
> >> Collins is old tube stuff. Fine radios no doubt, but hardly
> worth the
> >>price of a decent new rig.
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> - Mike KB3EIA -
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > maybe the value of the radio is in the beholder
> > > or it's applications ....
> > >
> > > for example , I have a satori / sherwood modded
> > > , full boat, drake r-4c and a fully loaded FT1000 field ....sitting
> > > side by side .. here in the shack and the so called " old tube
> > > r4-c " blows the doors off my much newer
> > > and more expensive FT1000mp v . the FT1000 receiver
> > > is an embarrassment as compared to the drake on
> > > weak DX in a VERY crowded CW band.
>
> What I would like to see is some data to back that up. If the tube
> stuff is better, then I'd go for it in a minute.
>
>
>
> > no comparison
> > at all. people tell me that many of the collins receivers can be
> > tuned up to outperform even the drake r4-c receivers. maybe THAT is
> > why people pay more for a drake r4-c (I have $1200 to $1400

> > invested in mine , so far) or collins !! certainly the
> value is
> > in the weak signal / crowded band performance to me and
> > looks like it is to a LOT of other people too.
> >
> > obviously , for your operating needs, solid state rigs do the job
>
> Perhaps not so obviously. I've been getting into Hollow state
> technology recently. Bought a couple olde tube Heathkits and their
> power
> supplies at Dayton, and will restore them. I came into Ham radio (radio
> at all) too late for tube stuff, and frankly, the tube rigs seem very
> cool to me.
>
>
> > .... but for those of us that need competition grade cw receivers
> > ..... some tube receivers are still doing a better job. different
> > strokes for different folks. I've got the best of both worlds and ,
> > for me, tubes still do a better job in certain applications. (both
> > my amps < a alpha and a henry > have tubes too) .... I do
> > use my FT1000 for my transmitter / exciter tho .. ha ha ha ha ah.
>
> It would seem that you should sell that FT1000 and go strictly tubes if
> all you do is use it for transmitting. 8^)
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

2486. TrunkPCR Yahoo Group Created...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-29 00:28:03 UTC
From: CrazyC <crazy__c_@hot_mail.com>
Message-ID: <f18me.10560$Ri4.2340@okepread07>
Source record: 13398

I've finally gotten around to creating a group for users who use my program.
Membership requires a user to Send the Registration Info from the program
First...
(If they haven't already done so!)

Group name: TrunkPCR
Group home page: http://groups.yahoo.com/group/TrunkPCR

Regards,
Jay

2487. San Diego Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-29 00:39:36 UTC
From: "news.west.cox.net" <news@cox.net>
Message-ID: <7f8me.3683$vp.2008@fed1read07>
Source record: 13399

I live in San Diego, Ca, I have a trunk scanner. Need to know the
frequencies in lemon Grove, San diego and all of San diego if possible.
Maybe someone can show me where to find them.

thanks.
Willy.

2488. Connecticut Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-29 00:50:54 UTC
From: John Kerkes <jekerkes@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <Ol8me.2829$rk.2064@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 13400
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Just wondering what are some good sources for New Haven County Frequencies?

2489. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-29 01:01:41 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <r-Sdne3XT4buiQTfRVn-2g@adelphia.com>
Source record: 13251
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

John Smith wrote:
> Every student going though the electronics and engineering courses at
> the college here knows very well what a ham ticket is (the instructor is
> a ham), and darn well pass any of the exams blindfolded... seldom do
> they see the need or have the desire when they have tuned the bands and
> listened...
>
> You think most other colleges are different?

Dunno. Where I am employed, one of the EE courses specifically ends in
a Ham ticket being received.

My point is that Internet and cell phones are to Ham radio as fish are
to banjos. 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net
> <mailto:mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>> wrote in message
> news:vsqdnTA_lcSAZQXfRVn-qA@adelphia.com...
> Leland C. Scott wrote:
> > On Fri, 27 May 2005 21:33:48 -0700, John Smith wrote:
> >
> >
> >>Why not just do what I did, ask the younger people why they are not
> >>interested in getting a ham ticket...
> >
> >
> > Well that's straight forward enough at first glance. The sticking
> point is
> > many don't want a Ham ticket for any reason, they don't even know
> what it
> > is.
>
> Bingo!
>
> > The ones you need to ask are those who find they get what they want
> > using other means, computer - Internet, AFTER considering Ham Radio.
>
> I don't find the internet or cell phones to be any competition to
> Amateur radio at all. The internet requires the ability to click a
> mouse. Cell phones are for yacking on. Neither are technical hobbies.
>
> Cell phones as a hobby? Sounds odd! 8^)

>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

2490. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-29 01:22:38 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <GP8me.152$_w5.9277557@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 13253
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Really, have you seen the new devices by nokia? Surf the web, instant message, download, upload.... cell phones are just about to take one GIANT step for mankind....
http://reviews-zdnet.com.com/Nokia_N770_Internet_Tablet/4505-3127_16-31396042-2.html

The new N770 Internet Tablet by Nokia uses Linux (no fees paid to Microsoft or anyone!--so os is free) will blow the socks off anyone not up-to-date....

Warmest regards,
John

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:r-Sdne3XT4buiQTfRVn-2g@adelphia.com...
John Smith wrote:
> Every student going though the electronics and engineering courses at
> the college here knows very well what a ham ticket is (the instructor is
> a ham), and darn well pass any of the exams blindfolded... seldom do
> they see the need or have the desire when they have tuned the bands and
> listened...
>
> You think most other colleges are different?

Dunno. Where I am employed, one of the EE courses specifically ends in
a Ham ticket being received.

My point is that Internet and cell phones are to Ham radio as fish are
to banjos. 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net
> <mailto:mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>> wrote in message
> news:vsqdnTA_lcSAZQXfRVn-qA@adelphia.com...
> Leland C. Scott wrote:
> > On Fri, 27 May 2005 21:33:48 -0700, John Smith wrote:
> >
> >
> >>Why not just do what I did, ask the younger people why they are not
> >>interested in getting a ham ticket...
> >
> >
> > Well that's straight forward enough at first glance. The sticking
> point is
> > many don't want a Ham ticket for any reason, they don't even know
> what it
> > is.

>
> Bingo!
>
> > The ones you need to ask are those who find they get what they want
> > using other means, computer - Internet, AFTER considering Ham Radio.
>
> I don't find the internet or cell phones to be any competition to
> Amateur radio at all. The internet requires the ability to click a
> mouse. Cell phones are for yacking on. Neither are technical hobbies.
>
> Cell phones as a hobby? Sounds odd! 8^)
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

2491. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-29 01:24:27 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <IR8me.153$kF5.9307258@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 13252
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Well, I must have missed all the BIG and EXCITING things I can do with my rig--please enlighten me--I am missing something!!!

Warmest regards,
John
"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message news:lNidnYsiz4LymQTfRVn-vg@adelphia.com...
John S. wrote:
> "Why not just do what I did, ask the younger people why they are not
> interested in getting a ham ticket..."
>
> I think the ARRL and the licensed hams need to consider that question
> too. Most young people don't see ham radio as an up-to-date way of
> communication. Rather they see the licensing and equipment required as
> an anachronism. They have numerous highly portable license-free ways
> of communicating with one another and getting information about the
> world.

Before the internet and cell phones, we had television and regular phones.

> Why go through the hassle of getting a license and radio
> equipment only to find out that the people you work and play with are
> not on the bands.

??? I guess your outlook and idea of what Ham radio is is to chat with your buds? In that respect, you are probably correct. If all you want to do is *that*, you are better served by chat rooms or the telephone. Why on earth anyone with that as their main interest would become a ham is beyond me. Ham radio is not the best hobby for that, although we can do that.

They don't know what see you on 2 meters means - and
> when it is explained most of them say but I can do that on a cell phone
> with lots of people right now.

A person that gives that sort of answer is never going to be a Ham.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2492. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-29 02:18:23 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-AFE751.19182228052005@newssvr13-ext.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 13254
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

In article <r-Sdne3XT4buiQTfRVn-2g@adelphia.com>,
Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote:

> John Smith wrote:
> > Every student going though the electronics and engineering courses
> > at the college here knows very well what a ham ticket is (the
> > instructor is a ham), and darn well pass any of the exams
> > blindfolded... seldom do they see the need or have the desire when
> > they have tuned the bands and listened...
> >
> > You think most other colleges are different?
>
> Dunno. Where I am employed, one of the EE courses specifically ends
> in a Ham ticket being received.
>
> My point is that Internet and cell phones are to Ham radio as fish
> are to banjos. 8^)

This is starting to sound like an over the air Ham conversation.
Exchanges like this are why I have no desire to be one.

Maybe you could drop rec.radio.shortwave off the newsgroup header like I
did.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

2493. Re: writing programs for scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-29 04:16:08 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <biteme@spammers.net>
Message-ID: <4fbme.41691$CR5.18429@bignews1.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 13255

Bill,

You can find the complete VB6 source to my ID Tracker program here:

http://bellsouthpwp.net/k/d/kd5eis/IDTracker/IDTracker.htm

Also, Uniden now publishes the control codes for there scanners on their web site. Another good reference is http://www.freqofnature.com/software/protocols.html

Radio Shack scanners (except for those made by Uniden) do not allow the scanner to be actively controlled or monitored through software. Only programming of the scanner memory is allowed which is why I have avoided those scanners.

--
David M. Hitchner - K5DMH
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
http://home.bellsouth.net/p/PWP-k5dmh

ID Tracker
http://bellsouthpwp.net/k/d/kd5eis/IDTracker/IDTracker.htm

ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
For replies, use my callsign @bellsouth.net.

Artificial Intelligence is no match for Natural Stupidity!

"Bill Carty" <wmccarty@columbus.rr.com> wrote in message news:53uge.23974$Ib4.11474@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com...
> Hi
>
> I am interested in writing programs for the programing of scanners.
>
> I have windows visual basic net so I don't have to buy a program to build
> the build program. So that's one step completed.
>
> So my questions are this
>
> where does one find the command set scanners that can be written into a
> program? Do manufacturers offer the command set up? Or does it have to
> be
> hacked?
>
> At one time I had the command codes for my bearcat 895, I forgot where I

> go
> them. Been trying to find the codes for a pro 95 and have hit a wall.
>
> Should I come up with something I am willing to share it but I need to
> find
> the code somewhere for the scanner(s) and then write the code.
>
> For me its mostly a way to have something to do.
>
> any guidence that any of you are willing to share will be welcomed
>
> Bill Carty
> wmccarty@columbus.rr.com
>
>

2494. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-29 04:48:03 UTC
From: Matt <pissoff@spammers.suck.com>
Message-ID: <d7bhi3$1gu6$1@otis.netspace.net.au>
Source record: 13256
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:TtKdnRXVkY7h_gXfRVn-1Q@comcast.com...
> Keep in mind that any change in rules may take years to have a noticeable
> impact on the number of licensees. How long has VK been codeless? Has it
> been long enough to have the hoped for impact? If you change licensing
> systems, the same questions need considered before judging it.
>
> Here in the states, it seems that the last couple of changes in licensing
> requirements has had no noticeable long term effect.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

CW as a requirement for access to HF was dropped as at 01 Jan 2004. There has not been the expected massive increase in amateur licences - I think that VK currently has around 10,000 licenced amateurs (plus or minus a few) - with numbers pretty stagnant/decreasing slightly. I feel that there has been enough time for the expected increase to be visible, but this has yet to occur - maybe the majority of those with an interest in radios already have their licences, or lost interest in the subject - who knows. Onto another part of the thread - age. Most amateurs are older - when I took out my licence aged 23, I was one of the youngest around - going to the first club meeting, I was somewhat dismayed to see that I was the youngest by at least ten years, but at least I had lots of experience to learn from. Nowdays (ten years later), I am seeing young people getting into the hobby, but not in the numbers that I would like to see, nor in the numbers that we need to have a healthy population. Buggered if I know what to do, but the new foundation licence that is due to come in (not sure when as the date seems to continue slipping right) has great promise to at least increase numbers.

Matt

2495. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-29 10:52:54 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <N46dnQQwR9aEAgTfRVn-vw@comcast.com>
Source record: 13257
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Matt" <pissoff@spammers.suck.com> wrote in message
news:d7bhi3$1gu6$1@otis.netspace.net.au...
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:TtKdnRXVkY7h_gXfRVn-1Q@comcast.com...
>> Keep in mind that any change in rules may take years to have a noticeable
>> impact on the number of licensees. How long has VK been codeless? Has
>> it
>> been long enough to have the hoped for impact? If you change licensing
>> systems, the same questions need considered before judging it.
>>
>> Here in the states, it seems that the last couple of changes in licensing
>> requirements has had no noticeable long term effect.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
> CW as a requirement for access to HF was dropped as at 01 Jan 2004. There
> has not been the expected massive increase in amateur licences - I think
> that VK currently has around 10,000 licenced amateurs (plus or minus a
> few) - with numbers pretty stagnant/decreasing slightly. I feel that
> there
> has been enough time for the expected increase to be visible, but this has
> yet to occur - maybe the majority of those with an interest in radios
> already have their licences, or lost interest in the subject - who knows.
> Onto another part of the thread - age. Most amateurs are older - when I
> took out my licence aged 23, I was one of the youngest around - going to
> the
> first club meeting, I was somewhat dismayed to see that I was the youngest
> by at least ten years, but at least I had lots of experience to learn
> from.
> Nowdays (ten years later), I am seeing young people getting into the
> hobby,
> but not in the numbers that I would like to see, nor in the numbers that
> we
> need to have a healthy population. Buggered if I know what to do, but the
> new foundation licence that is due to come in (not sure when as the date
> seems to continue slipping right) has great promise to at least increase
> numbers.
>
>
>
> Matt
>
>

I don't think a year is really long enough to tell. In addition, is the word getting out to prospective hams? Did the decrease in numbers come from lack of interest on the part of prospective hams or the failure to find prospective hams? Changes in licensing will have no noticeable impact when people don't even know that ham radio exists or barely know it exists but have no idea what it is all about.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2496. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-29 12:30:04 UTC
From: Scott Garvison <ka8jno@btc-bci.com>
Message-ID: <mBime.4$Cb3.1013@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 13258
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

If you are into RACES/ARES or the like, then Ham radio is very useful. What happens when the cell towers, twisted pair & trunked radio systems are overloaded or damaged in a disaster? That is where ham radio can shine. Also it may not be "high tech", but when you build you own Yagi or cubical quad antenna & know that you have to think a little bit to make communication in a certain country (what band to use to make the hop) takes some thought vs. cell phone & internet which takes very little thought. My .02

"Matt" <pissoff@spammers.suck.com> wrote in message news:d7bhi3$1gu6$1@otis.netspace.net.au...
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:TtKdnRXVkY7h_gXfRVn-1Q@comcast.com...
>> Keep in mind that any change in rules may take years to have a noticeable
>> impact on the number of licensees. How long has VK been codeless? Has
>> it
>> been long enough to have the hoped for impact? If you change licensing
>> systems, the same questions need considered before judging it.
>>
>> Here in the states, it seems that the last couple of changes in licensing
>> requirements has had no noticeable long term effect.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
> CW as a requirement for access to HF was dropped as at 01 Jan 2004. There
> has not been the expected massive increase in amateur licences - I think
> that VK currently has around 10,000 licenced amateurs (plus or minus a
> few) - with numbers pretty stagnant/decreasing slightly. I feel that
> there
> has been enough time for the expected increase to be visible, but this has
> yet to occur - maybe the majority of those with an interest in radios
> already have their licences, or lost interest in the subject - who knows.
> Onto another part of the thread - age. Most amateurs are older - when I
> took out my licence aged 23, I was one of the youngest around - going to
> the
> first club meeting, I was somewhat dismayed to see that I was the youngest
> by at least ten years, but at least I had lots of experience to learn
> from.
> Nowdays (ten years later), I am seeing young people getting into the
> hobby,
> but not in the numbers that I would like to see, nor in the numbers that
> we
> need to have a healthy population. Buggered if I know what to do, but the

> new foundation licence that is due to come in (not sure when as the date
> seems to continue slipping right) has great promise to at least increase
> numbers.
>
>
>
> Matt
>
>

2497. Pasco County Talkgroups

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-29 12:59:37 UTC
From: DX99 <nospam@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <Z0jme.2639$615.1260@trnddc08>
Source record: 13259

Have all of the correct freqs but was wondering what TGs apply to Hudson area in this system? Obviously West Dispatch, but that's all I can decipher from the listing. Any info greatly appreciated - thanks!

K

2498. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-29 13:40:47 UTC
From: "John S." <hjsjms@cs.com>
Message-ID: <1117374047.063086.83390@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13260
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Before the internet and cell phones, we had television and regular
phones. "
JS> That is true, we did have television and land line telephones,
with all of their inherent limitations. But the internet provides a
range of communication that is unmatched by any other method of
communication, including 13 channels of VHF, a smattering of channels
on UHF on TV and rotary dial phones.

> Why go through the hassle of getting a license and radio
> equipment only to find out that the people you work and play with are
> not on the bands.

"??? I guess your outlook and idea of what Ham radio is is to
chat with
your buds? In that respect, you are probably correct. If all you want
to
do is *that*, you are better served by chat rooms or the telephone. Why

on earth anyone with that as their main interest would become a ham is
beyond me. Ham radio is not the best hobby for that, although we can do

that. "

JS> Communication is what amateur radio is about...people talking with
one another usually about everyday things or as you say "chatting with
their buds". And it is about the camraderie of people enjoying and
talking about their equipment. And how could we forget band congesting
contesting - how many people one can have a 5 word conversation with
over a set period of time. The hobby isn't about providing useful
emergency communications however. The last time I heard a hurricane
net it descended into chaos with hams trampling over one another trying
to be the first to contact someone in the emergency area. Hams have
not "saved the day" other than in their dreams for a long long time.

If ham radio is about something else, please inform me as well as the
potential hams who see amateur radio as little more than an expensive
and self-limiting way of talking to one another. Or said another way
if you stripped the communications aspect from the hobby what would be
left. And how many people would queue up for licenses?

Hams take a cavalier attitude when it comes to explaining the value of
ham radio, they end up driving the young new entrants away. They find
it difficult to consider new ways that might attract new blood into the
hobby. Hams have to be able to explain what the benefits of being a
ham are. Unfortunately most adopt a bunker mentality that goes like

this: "The requirements are what they are because I had to take them long long ago. Yes morse code is important, but I really can't tell you why. If you have to ask what the benefits of being a ham are, then there is a good chance you won't enjoy being a ham. Please consider another hobby."

2499. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-29 14:33:54 UTC
From: Userbeam Remailer <remailer@userbeam.net>
Message-ID: <d696143f026473665caa35290a776f39@userbeam.net>
Source record: 13261
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <lP6dnUZzStrsWgrfRVn-2Q@gbronline.com>
Cmd Buzz Corey <m...@that.moc> wrote:

> Go back your (IKABWAI)

Go back to molesting your own kids and the neighbor's kids, Butt
Cornholer. Butt Cornholer here was recently arrested for
fondling his neighbor's kids. Nobody is paying attentiopn to
you, obsolete license boy. They found out you don't have a
commercial or pilot's license except in your mentally ill tiny
brain stem. Does gbrobnline.com know you are using their service
to download kiddie porn again?

2500. Re: Pasco County Talkgroups

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-29 14:40:01 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <raCdnYuyPvOrSQTfRVn-tw@comcast.com>
Source record: 13263

http://www.radioreference.com/

Bill Crocker

"DX99" <nospam@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:Z0jme.2639$615.1260@trnddc08...
> Have all of the correct freqs but was wondering what TGs apply to Hudson
> area in this system? Obviously West Dispatch, but that's all I can
> decipher
> from the listing. Any info greatly appreciated - thanks!
>
> K
>
>

2501. Re: Connecticut Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-29 14:40:12 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <_qCdncmtiJ_cSQTfRVn-tw@comcast.com>
Source record: 13262
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

http://www.radioreference.com/

Bill Crocker

"John Kerkes" <jekerkes@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:Ol8me.2829$rk.2064@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
> Just wondering what are some good sources for New Haven County
> Frequencies?
>

2502. Re: San Diego Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-29 14:40:23 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <bdOdnSuEldrRSQTfRVn-qw@comcast.com>
Source record: 13264

http://www.radioreference.com/

Bill Crocker

"news.west.cox.net" <news@cox.net> wrote in message
news:7f8me.3683$vp.2008@fed1read07...
>I live in San Diego, Ca, I have a trunk scanner. Need to know the
> frequencies in lemon Grove, San diego and all of San diego if possible.
> Maybe someone can show me where to find them.
>
> thanks.
> Willy.
>
>

2503. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-29 14:49:03 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1117378143.587838.95910@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13265
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Mike Coslo wrote:
> krackula wrote:
> >> Collins is old tube stuff. Fine radios no doubt, but hardly worth the
> >>price of a decent new rig.
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> - Mike KB3EIA -
> >
> >
> >
> > maybe the value of the radio is in the beholder
> > or it's applications ....
> >
> > for example , I have a satori / sherwood modded
> > , full boat, drake r-4c and a fully loaded FT1000 field ....sitting
> > side by side .. here in the shack and the so called " old tube
> > r4-c " blows the doors off my much newer
> > and more expensive FT1000mp v . the FT1000 receiver
> > is an embarrassment as compared to the drake on
> > weak DX in a VERY crowded CW band.
>
> What I would like to see is some data to back that up. If the tube
> stuff is better, then I'd go for it in a minute.

No problem: http://www.sherweng.com/presentation.html

This guy obviously knows what he's talking about so shaddup and pay
attenetion, you just might learn something Michael.

> > no comparison
> > at all. people tell me that many of the collins receivers can be
> > tuned up to outperform even the drake r4-c receivers. maybe THAT is
> > why people pay more for a drake r4-c (I have $1200 to $1400
> > invested in mine , so far) or collins !!

Yeah . . I have a stock late S/N meatball S3B I just might juice up one
of these days. I also have a JRC NRD-545 you'd probably enjoy. Quirky
thing but once you get on top of it it really cooks.

> > certainly the value is
> > in the weak signal / crowded band performance to me and
> > looks like it is to a LOT of other people too.
> >
> > obviously , for your operating needs, solid state rigs do the job

>
> Perhaps not so obviously. I've been getting into Hollow state
> technology recently. Bought a couple olde tube Heathkits

100% dumpster ballast.

> and their power
> supplies at Dayton, and will restore them. I came into Ham radio (radio
> at all) too late for tube stuff, and frankly, the tube rigs seem very
> cool to me.
>
>
> > .... but for those of us that need competition grade cw receivers
> > ..... some tube receivers are still doing a better job. different
> > strokes for different folks. I've got the best of both worlds and ,
> > for me, tubes still do a better job in certain applications. (both
> > my amps < a alpha and a henry > have tubes too) .... I do
> > use my FT1000 for my transmitter / exciter tho .. ha ha ha ha ah.
>
> It would seem that you should sell that FT1000 and go strictly tubes if
> all you do is use it for transmitting. 8^)

Ouch: How do you spell clueless? I loves you dearly Michael, you're a
very nice guy and yer heart is in the right place but you have this
frustrating penchant for getting over yer head at warp speed when
discussions turn to even moderately deep-end techie sorts of topics.
You done it again.

This guy is obviously into low-band weak signal dxing on 160/80/40 etc.
Those of us take this game seriously understand what it takes in the
way of rcvr performance. He's talking about using his modded R4-C as an
outboard RX because of it's legendary front end performance, it's
blocking dynamic range and it's third order intercept points as they
relate to successfully dealing with very difficult band conditions.
Which leaves out your Heath treasures and all members of the FT-1000
clan in this particular pursuit.

"If the tube stuff is better, then I'd go for it in a minute" eh? Welp
there ya go.

>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

w3rv

2504. cash

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-29 15:25:55 UTC
From: mark new <mn011q7024@blueyonder.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7alme.32295$g12.15699@fe3.news.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 13178

MAKE ALOT OF MONEY!!! NOT A SCAM PLZ READ Posted By: JASON
Date: Tuesday, 30 May 2005 "Hi, I'm 23 and I make more money than my
parents and so can you" Turn $6.00 into $60,000...read this to find out
how!!! READING THIS COULD CHANGE YOUR LIFE! I found this on a
bulletin board like this one and decided to try it. A little while
back, I was browsing through a newsgroups, just like you are now, and came
across an article similar to this that said you could make thousands of
dollars within weeks with only an initial investment of $6.00! So I
thought, "Yeah right, this must be a scam", but like most of us, I was
curious, so I kept reading. Anyway, it said that you need to send $1.00
to each of the 6 names and address stated in the article. You then
place your own name and address in the bottom of the list at #6, and post
the article in at least 200 newsgroups. (There are millions) No catch,
that was it. So after thinking it over, and talking to a few people
first, I thought about trying it. I figured: "what have I got to lose
except 6 stamps and $6.00, right?" Then I invested the measly $6.00.
Well GUESS WHAT!!... within 7 days, I started getting money in the
mail! I was shocked! I figured it would end soon, but the money just kept
coming in. In my first week, I made about $25.00. By the end of the
second week I had made a total of over $1,000.00! In the third week I
had over $10,000.00 and it's still growing. This is now my fourth week
and I have made just over $42,000.00 and it's still coming in rapidly.
It's certainly worth $6.00, and 6 stamps, I have spent more than that on the
lottery!! Let me tell you how this works and most importantly, why it
works.... Also, make sure you print a copy of this article NOW, so you
can get the information off of it as you need it. I promise you
that if you follow the directions exactly, that you will start making more
money than you thought possible by doing something so easy! Suggestion:
Read this entire message carefully! (print it out or download it.)
Follow the simple directions and watch the money come in! It's easy. It's
legal. And, your investment is only $6.00 (Plus postage)
IMPORTANT: This is not a rip-off; it is not indecent; it is not
illegal; and it is virtually no risk - it really works!!!! If all of
the following instructions are adhered to, you will receive extraordinary
dividends. PLEASE NOTE: Please follow these directions EXACTLY,
and $50,000 or more can be yours in 20 to 60 days. This program
remains successful because of the honesty and integrity of the participants.
Please continue it's success by carefully adhering to the instructions.
You will now become part of the Mail Order business. In this business your
product is not solid and tangible, it's a service. You are in the
business of developing Mailing Lists. Many large corporations are happy
to pay big bucks for quality lists. However, the money made from the
mailing lists is secondary to the income which is made from people like you
and me asking to be included in that list. Here are the 4 easy steps

to success: STEP 1: Get 6 separate pieces of paper and write the following on each piece of paper "PLEASE PUT ME ON YOUR MAILING LIST." Now get 6 US $1.00 bills and place ONE inside EACH of the 6 pieces of paper so the bill will not be seen through the envelope (to prevent thievery). Next, place one paper in each of the 6 envelopes and seal them. You should now have 6 sealed envelopes, each with a piece of paper stating the phrase "PLEASE PUT ME ON YOUR MAILING LIST.", your name and address, and a $1.00 bill. What you are doing is creating a service. THIS IS ABSOLUTELY LEGAL! You are requesting a legitimate service and you are paying for it! Like most of us I was a little skeptical and a little worried about the legal aspects of it all. So I checked it out with the U.S. Post Office (1-800-725-2161) and they confirmed that it is indeed legal! Mail the 6 envelopes to the following addresses: #1)S.R. Johnson : 3285 Lewis Ave. : Signal Hill, CA 90807 #2)B. Hooper : 3 Warringah Gve. : Petrie, QLD, Australia 4502 #3)Matthew Speight : 6 Charnwood Ave. : Belfast : N. Ireland : BT15 5DH #4)Andrew Hunter . 7520-1 South Aragon Blvd . Sunrise, FL 33313 #5)Salvatore De Luca . 102 Overlook Ave . North Haledon, NJ 07508 #6)Marc New, 1/7 Sinclair Gardens, Edinburgh, Scotland, U.K. , EH11 1UU. STEP 2: Now take the #1 name off the list that you see above, move the other names up (6 becomes 5, 5 becomes 4, etc...) and add YOUR Name as number 6 on the list. STEP 3: Change anything you need to, but try to keep this article as close to original as possible. Now, post your amended article to at least 200 newsgroups. (I think there are close to 2.4million groups) All you need is 200, but remember, the more you post, the more money you make! This is perfectly legal! If you have any doubts, refer to Title 18 Sec. 1302 & 1341 of the Postal lottery laws. Keep a copy of these steps for yourself and, whenever you need money, you can use it again, and again. PLEASE REMEMBER that this program remains successful because of the honesty and integrity of the participants and by their carefully adhering to the directions. Look at it this way. If you are of integrity, the program will continue and the money that so many others have received will come your way. NOTE: You may want to retain every name and address sent to you, either on a computer or hard copy and keep the notes people send you. This VERIFIES that you are truly providing a service. (Also, it might be a good idea to wrap the $1 bill in dark paper to reduce the risk of mail theft.) So, as each post is downloaded and the directions carefully followed, six members will be reimbursed for their participation as a List Developer with one dollar each. Your name will move up the list geometrically so that when your name reaches the #1 position you will be receiving thousands of dollars in CASH!!! What an opportunity for only $6.00 ($1.00 for each of the first six people listed above) Send it now, add your own name to the list and you're in business! ---DIRECTIONS ----- FOR HOW TO POST TO NEWSGROUPS---------- Step 1) You do not need to re-type this entire letter to do your own posting. Simply put your cursor at the beginning of this letter and drag your cursor to the bottom of this document, and select 'copy' from the edit menu. This will copy the entire letter into the computer's memory. Step 2) Open a blank 'notepad' file and place your cursor at the top of the blank page. From the 'edit' menu select 'paste'. This

will paste a copy of the letter into notepad so that you can add your name to the list. Step 3) Save your new notepad file as a .txt file. If you want to do your postings in different settings, you'll always have this file to go back to. Step 4) Use Netscape or Internet explorer and try searching for various newsgroups (on-line forums, message boards, chat sites, discussions.) Step 5) Visit these message boards and post this article as a new message by highlighting the text of this letter and selecting paste from the edit menu. Fill in the Subject, this will be the header that everyone sees as they scroll through the list of postings in a particular group, click the post message button. You're done with your first one! Congratulations...THAT'S IT! All you have to do is jump to different newsgroups and post away, after you get the hang of it, it will take about 30 seconds for each newsgroup! **REMEMBER, THE MORE NEWSGROUPS YOU POST IN, THE MORE MONEY YOU WILL MAKE!! BUT YOU HAVE TO POST A MINIMUM OF 200** That's it! You will begin receiving money from around the world within days! You may eventually want to rent a P.O.Box due to the large amount of mail you will receive. If you wish to stay anonymous, you can invent a name to use, as long as the postman will deliver it. **JUST MAKE SURE ALL THE ADDRESSES ARE CORRECT.** Now the WHY part: Out of 200 postings, say I receive only 5 replies (a very low example). So then I made $5.00 with my name at #6 on the letter. Now, each of the 5 persons who just sent me $1.00 make the MINIMUM 200 postings, each with my name at #5 and only 5 persons respond to each of the original 5, that is another $25.00 for me, now those 25 each make 200 MINIMUM posts with my name at #4 and only 5 replies each, I will bring in an additional $125.00! Now, those 125 persons turn around and post the MINIMUM 200 with my name at #3 and only receive 5 replies each, I will make an additional $626.00! OK, now here is the fun part, each of those 625 persons post a MINIMUM 200 letters with my name at #2 and they each only receive 5 replies, that just made me $3,125.00!!! Those 3,125 persons will all deliver this message to 200 newsgroups with my name at #1 and if still ONLY 5 persons per 200 newsgroups react I will receive $15,625,00! With an original investment of only $6.00! AMAZING! When your name is no longer on the list, you just take the latest posting in the newsgroups, and send out another $6.00 to names on the list, putting your name at number 6 again. And start posting again. The thing to remember is: do you realize that thousands of people all over the world are joining the internet and reading these articles everyday?, JUST LIKE YOU are now!! So, can you afford $6.00 and see if it really works?? I think so... People have said, "what if the plan is played out and no one sends you the money? So what! What are the chances of that happening when there are tons of new honest users and new honest people who are joining the internet and newsgroups everyday and are willing to give it a try? Estimates are at 20,000 to 50,000 new users, every day, with thousands of those joining the actual internet. REMEMBER, PLAY FAIRLY AND HONESTLY AND THIS WILL WORK FOR YOU!!!

2505. Free Radio Forum up and running

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-29 15:44:12 UTC
From: VE5JL <ve5jl@ve5jlHATES_SPAM.com>
Message-ID: <grlme.1510730$8l.1233293@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 13266
Cross-posted to: alt.games.microsoft.flight-sim,alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Visit my up and running Radio forum. http://www.ve5jl.com/message/

Topics range from:

Scanning
Shortwave Radio
Ham Radio
CB Radio
Radio Shack Stories
Satellite Radio
FM Radio
Medium Wave Radio

and a special section for my other love, Flight Simulator.

73's

Joe
VE4 & 5JL

BTW for Canadian Radio information, visit my website, http://www.ve5jl.com

2506. Re: Pasco County Talkgroups

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-29 20:59:19 UTC
From: DX99 <nospam@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <H2qme.11801$Ri3.3514@trnddc09>
Source record: 13267

Thanks, already a member, but the database is not specific at to which TGs operate in the Hudson/Bayonet Point area other than west side dispatch. Was hoping to hear from someone who knows the area and how PCSO covers the county...which Patrol TG applies to northwest Pasco county

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message news:raCdnYuyPvOrSQTfRVn-tw@comcast.com...
> http://www.radioreference.com/
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
> "DX99" <nospam@verizon.net> wrote in message
> news:Z0jme.2639$615.1260@trnddc08...
> > Have all of the correct freqs but was wondering what TGs apply to Hudson
> > area in this system? Obviously West Dispatch, but that's all I can
> > decipher
> > from the listing. Any info greatly appreciated - thanks!
> >
> > K
> >
> >
>
>

2507. BC 780 New Software - ProScan 780

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-29 23:17:35 UTC
From: Bob <res008wn@gte.net>
Message-ID: <j4sme.5784$Vm4.862@trnddc01>
Source record: 13268

Hello All,

If you have a BC 780 and looking for a good software program to go along with it.

I have just released a program for the BC 780 called ProScan 780. After 10 months of development, it is here. I believe you will find this program easy to use because of the logical layout but at the same time very powerful. Come visit my web site http://www.proscan.org/index.htm and download the 30 day demo. Looking forward to hearing from you. Bob

2508. Re: Connecticut Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-30 00:15:46 UTC
From: GARY <k3fcc@myactv.net>
Message-ID: <d7dm1p02imr@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 13269
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

GO TO WWW.FCC.GOV

2509. Re: San Diego Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-30 02:05:59 UTC
From: copiapo <copiapo@localnet.com>
Message-ID: <119ktbnfj89l5b2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 13270

There is a file on the internet that is set-up for monitoring San Diego.
The File is for downloading into a Radio Shack PRO-95 scanner.

You can go to www.starrsoft.com and download a program called "win95' (its a
freebie).

Then go to YAHOO groups, and join a group called 'P95'
There will a box, on the left side of the screen that says 'files'.
Select files and then the file for San Diego. Once the San Diego file is on
your computer, run the WIN95 program and select the San Diego file.

If you have a PRO-95 scanner your all set, if not, at least you'll be able
to see how someone else programmed their scanner for San Diego.

Jim

"news.west.cox.net" <news@cox.net> wrote in message
news:7f8me.3683$vp.2008@fed1read07...
> I live in San Diego, Ca, I have a trunk scanner. Need to know the
> frequencies in lemon Grove, San diego and all of San diego if possible.
> Maybe someone can show me where to find them.
>
> thanks.
> Willy.
>
>

2510. Re: Pasco County Talkgroups

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-30 16:58:06 UTC
From: DX99 <nospam@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <yCHme.8279$Vm4.1399@trnddc01>
Source record: 13271

Yep, those are the obvious. As I posted, West Dispatch are the obvious ones. Looking more for what patrols are in my sector - those TGs. Hudson/Bayonet Point sheriff patrol - assigned cars, etc. Thanks anyway.

<xxnonexnonexx@tampascanner.info> wrote in message news:jful911u8bqkvgbv2gkise1elnklgko3it@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 29 May 2005 12:59:37 GMT, "DX99" <nospam@verizon.net> wrote:
>
> >Have all of the correct freqs but was wondering what TGs apply to Hudson
> >area in this system? Obviously West Dispatch, but that's all I can decipher
> >from the listing. Any info greatly appreciated - thanks!
>
> Sheriff - W Dispatch - TG 4-043
> Fire - W Dispatch - TG 12-042
>
>
>
>
>
> <antispam>-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
> <antispam>----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2511. Tulsa Oklahoma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-30 17:14:37 UTC
From: Rob Mills <robmills@cox.net>
Message-ID: <YRHme.141$Zt.97@okepread05>
Source record: 13272

This ad will appear in the Tulsa World Thursday, Fri and Sat of this week under "Garage sales general location". Thanks for looking, RM ~

Radio & Electronics House cleaning, shortwave, scanners, ham and cb. Also Household

2512. Re: Nextel Walkie Talkie??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-30 21:17:56 UTC
From: John Eckart <JEckart@mail.com>
Message-ID: <8qLme.3912$MI4.3562@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 13273
Cross-posted to: misc.consumers,alt.cellular.nextel,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.cellular

"Depot Master" <depot@hawaii.rr.com> wrote in message
news:rj859154j9ltu8927nfb7lin0jn2ftiva8@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 17 May 2005 21:17:00 -0400, Joe Altmyer <altmyerj@thenet.com>
> wrote:
>
>>"Tush Smells Bush Kills!!!!!!!!!!" wrote:
>>>
>>> Anyone know if these things work where there is no cellular service
>>> available?
>>>
>>> I have a property in the mountains where there are no signal bars for
>>> miles and was wondering how I could stay in touch with someone about 20
>>> miles away.
>>>
>>> Are there regular walkie talkies with 20+ mile range?
>>
>>1. You need service for the walkie-talkie to operate.
>>
>>2. The only way to talk 20 miles is "line of sight" .... in other
>>words, NOTHING in between the two units. That's why aviation
>>(airplanes) can talk hundreds of miles with low wattage.
>>
>>3. You can hook up to a GMRS group and use their repeater
>>and get extended range. (Possibly 10-50 miles.)
>>
>>Joe
>
> Yah in hawaii I can go to the top of diamond head and standing in a
> certain spot I can (most of the time) hear people in alaska talking
> lol using a motorola 5 mile radio. That's amazing.

It's called Tropospheric Ducting.

2513. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-31 02:25:48 UTC
From: Dave Head <rally2xs@att.net>
Message-ID: <atin91p6pqu91uc57ii8ucm6grgpd48843@4ax.com>
Source record: 13274
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 28 May 2005 03:42:21 -0500, Tom <Extra@nospam> wrote:

>The dilemma, if you want to describe it as that, of declining Ham
>licensees is little to worry about. It appears that some few
>hand-wringers are making much ado about nothing.
>
>I have noted that, over the years, the majority of new Hams are not
>joining the ARRL. And for good reason, too.
>
>The ARRL is a dinosaur, replete with septegenarians who are interested
>in doing things the 'old way'. Many new Hams look at the yearly fee to
>be a member and simply toss the application in the waste basket. I did
>this very thing some 25 years ago and never looked back.
>After all, who really needs the ARRL? What do you get for your
>membership? A monthly magazine? Big deal.

While the current administration would like to make out that the "economy is strong", there seems to be mounting evidence that it is harder for people to increase there economic situation, move up the ladder of success, etc. Immigrants who used to only take 3 generations to get from where they are to the mainstream middle class are now expected to take six generations to do it, if at all. The country is, reportedly, becoming less of a meritocracy, where people move up based on their ability, and more of a "caste system" where people are born to an economic level, and move up only with great diffuculty.

See:

http://www.csmonitor.com/2005/0523/p17s01-cogn.html

This is probably at work for holding back a lot of potential amateurs. You don't go spending the money you might once have spent on a radio if you're 30 yrs old and a technical geek - you now spend it on the rent.

Prosperity is becoming more of a rare thing, with really staggaring numbers of jobs being shipped overseas because their workers will build a software program at a pay of $30K per year, compared with a worker here that gets $80K per year. The general "think" on this by the American public seems to be that the $80K SW engineer here is just too greedy, and deserves to be out of a job in preference to the $30K a year Indian or Russian or whoever. IOW, grassroots opinion is supporting the outsourcing.

So this is probably the basis for how this hobby, and a lot of other hobbies requiring the spending of significant cash, is going to fail - not for lack of personal interest or ability, but from an economic lack of ability to reasonably pursue the hobby. Yeah, we've always sat around and wanted a bigger

tower like Chief Brody wanted a bigger boat - but the basic stuff of ham radio, a decent transciever, is likely harder for the newer hams than it used to be for us. Heck, we had Heathkit! Now, people either don't have good jobs, or their present jobs are so uncertain that they won't spend the money and go in debt for the radio or tower or whatever.

So, welcome to the 21st Century.

What to do about it? The income tax is largely at work here. Corporate taxes make producing things here more expensive. Companies ship their jobs overseas where the aren't taxed so much - if India were to tax a worker there 50%, and take that $30K a year SW engineer down to $15K, is that more or less expensive overall than using the Stateside SW engineer at a 28% rate for that level of salary. 28% of $80K is $22,400. So, that's _more_ $$$ down the drain for taxes here than a 50% tax overseas would be.

Get rid of the income tax, and espcially the corporate income tax, and replace it with a sales tax, and all of a sudden that cheap accounting software package from India that used to cost $200 now costs maybe $260. In the meanwhile, the equivalent product built here, for $250 or so, first has its price go down from the USA-based company not having to pay income tax, and then add the sales tax percentage, and you get a _much_ more competitive USA-built piece of software.

Hooray! Its what we need now... I mean _NOW_.

>I will leave the speculation and 'hard data' gathering processes to
>others who show an interest in data processing. Their efforts will be of
>little value in the long term scheme, other than they gathered some
>numbers and percentages that have little, if any, value in the long run.
>
>Meanwhile, catch me on the HF bands. I'll leave the overcrowded two
>meter repeaters to the handi-talkie newbies.

Well, there's nothing wrong with repeaters, handi-talkies, and newbies. We need the newbies, and having lotsa repeaters is a _good_ thing.

This is 2nd hand from a local ham telling the story of an emergency exercise involving FEMA and a communications network over a local repeater. Everything was going outstandingly when the FEMA guy thought he'd throw a monkey wrench into the works. He went over to the net control guy and told him to simulate the repeater going down. Net control just called the guy with the biggest home signal and told him to tell everybody to go to another local repeater, and the net was back on the air pretty much without skipping a beat. The FEMA fellow said, "How'd you _do_ that?" NC just smiled and said, "Hey, we have backups!"
>
>All the more reason to upgrade.

Always a good idea.

Dave Head

2514. AR8200 MKIII Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-31 02:28:55 UTC
From: "AJ." <scanmil@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <7sin91pdav4jq3nsv8m7g5i50uj6tbt48s@4ax.com>
Source record: 13275

Hi,

Does anyone have or know where i can find the pinouts/schematics to
make a PC cable for the AR8200 MKIII?

TIA

AJ.

2515. Re: AR8200 MKIII Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-31 09:31:13 UTC
From: "Jonathan Clough, Javiation, Bradford, UK" <Clough@Javiation.co.uk>
Message-ID: <CzXhxZDh7CnCFwJi@Javiation.co.uk>
Source record: 13276

In article <7sin91pdav4jq3nsv8m7g5i50uj6tbt48s@4ax.com>, AJ.
<scanmil@gmail.com> writes

>Does anyone have or know where i can find the pinouts/schematics to
>make a PC cable for the AR8200 MKIII?

The AR8200 manual has details of the connections on the Remote socket on
the side of the radio. Note though that from around November 2000 there
was a change to the Violet and Blue wires.

http://www.aoruk.com/8200bulle.htm#sm8200_add

If you get the AOR "Open ended" cable (we call it the OS8200) then the
cable colours/connections will match the manual.

http://www.aoruk.com/pdf/os8200_ad.pdf

As for the actual "interface" there are quite a few designs that have
appeared on web sites over the years. Most normally make use of a Maxim
(or equivalent) MAX232 or 233 IC (the 233 doesn't need external
capacitors).

--
Cheers,
JC
Javiation - Scanners & receivers from all the leading manufacturers
Telephone 01274 639503 International: +44 1274 639503
http://www.javiation.co.uk

2516. Re: AR8200 MKIII Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-31 17:24:05 UTC
From: jamoran <john@analon.com>
Message-ID: <QZadnbxIbY7hAQHfRVn-3Q@sedona.net>
Source record: 13277

should be in manual if not contact AOR thr is an AOR office in Torrance
on Western Avenue...this is not far from 110 & 405 freeways

AJ. wrote:
> Hi,
>
> Does anyone have or know where i can find the pinouts/schematics to
> make a PC cable for the AR8200 MKIII?
>
> TIA
>
> AJ.

2517. "Whip" vs. "Rubber Duckie"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-31 21:17:18 UTC
From: russ <compuruss@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1117574238.537198.211590@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13278

Will replacing my scanner's included "rubber-duckie" antenna with a
"whip" antenna or a longer "rubber-duckie" improve reception?

Thank you,
Russ

2518. Re: "Whip" vs. "Rubber Duckie"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-31 21:50:05 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <APmdnaEnFNmMQQHfRVn-gw@comcast.com>
Source record: 13279

Typically the longer whip antenna will do more for VHF-Low, ie; 25~50MHz. You can also optimize it for various bands by changing it's length, (if its a telescoping whip), shorter for higher frequencies, longer for lower frequencies. The rubber-ducky is typically more of a wide-band antenna, that provides mediocre performance across the spectrum, but is shorter, and flexible. I've always been afraid of damaging a hand-held scanner when using a telescoping whip, because it's more rigid, and could break the BNC mount in the radio. You can also buy rubber-ducky antennas that are optimized for one band. Radio Shack carries a good one for the 800MHz band, that seems to work very well down into the 450MHz band as well.

Bill Crocker

"russ" <compuruss@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1117574238.537198.211590@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Will replacing my scanner's included "rubber-duckie" antenna with a
> "whip" antenna or a longer "rubber-duckie" improve reception?
>
> Thank you,
> Russ
>

2519. What is the best scanner at the moment?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-05-31 22:18:44 UTC
From: Preesi <preesi@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <1OqdnTotBro5fwHfRVn-hA@comcast.com>
Source record: 13280

What is the best scanner right now?
Im talking about digital/analog/trunk etc?

--
preesi
~~~~~~~~~
"The Meek Shall Inherit The Earth?
Yeah, after The Strong Kick The Enemies Asses For You Cowards!"
~~~~~~~~~
My Websites: http://tinyurl.com/yvw45
Where I Hang Out: http://www.there.com
Lets go surfing together: http://www.lluna.de/
My Pogo and AIM name: PreesiGirl
(Come play with me)

2520. Make Thousands of Dollars easily!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-01 03:13:19 UTC
From: TheNormalEmail@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1117595599.588159.37030@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13281

READING THIS COULD CHANGE YOUR LIFE! IT DOES WORK!

I found this on a bulletin board and decided to try it. A little while back, I was browsing through newsgroups, just like you are now and came across an article similar to this that said you could make thousands of dollars within weeks with only an initial investment of $6.00! So I thought, 'Yeah right, this must be a scam', but like most of us, I was curious, so I kept reading. Anyway, it said that you send $1.00 to each of the 6 names and address stated in the article. You then place your own name and address in the bottom of the list at #6, and post the article in at least 200 newsgroups. There are thousands! No catch, that was it. So after thinking it over, and talking to a few people first, I thought about trying it. I figured: 'what have I got to lose except 6 stamps and $6.00, right?' Then I invested the measly $6.00. Well GUESS WHAT!?... within 7 days, I started getting money in the mail! I was shocked! I figured it would end soon, but the money just kept coming in.

In my first week, I made about $25.00. By the end of the second week I had made a total of over $1,000.00! In the third week I had over $10,000.00 and it's still growing. This is now my fourth week and I have made a total of just over $42,000.00 and it's still coming in rapidly. It's certainly worth $6.00, and 6 stamps, I have spent more than that on the lottery!! Let me tell you how this works and most importantly, WHY it works... Also, make sure you print a copy of this article NOW, so you can get the information off of it as you need it.

I promise you that if you follow the directions exactly, that you will start making more money than you thought possible by doing something so easy!

Suggestion: Read this entire message carefully! (print it out or download it.) Follow the simple directions and watch the money come in!

It's easy. It's legal. And, your investment is only $6.00 (Plus postage).

IMPORTANT: This is not a rip-off; it is not indecent; it is not illegal; and it is 99% no risk - it really works!

If all of the following instructions are adhered to, you will receive extraordinary dividends.

PLEASE NOTE:
Please follow these directions EXACTLY, and $50,000 or more can be yours in 20 to 60 days. This program remains successful because of the honesty and integrity of the participants. Please continue its success

by carefully adhering to the instructions.

You will now become part of the Mail Order business. In this business your product is not solid and tangible, it's a service. You are in the business of developing Mailing Lists. Many large corporations are happy to pay big bucks for quality lists. However, the money made from the mailing lists is secondary to the income which is made from people like you and me asking to be included in that list.

Here are the 4 easy steps to success:

STEP 1: Get 6 separate pieces of paper and write the following on each piece of paper 'PLEASE PUT ME ON YOUR MAILING LIST.' Now get 6 US $1.00 bills and place ONE inside EACH of the 6 pieces of paper so the bill will not be seen through the envelope (to prevent thievery). Next, place one paper in each of the 6 envelopes and seal them. You should now have 6 sealed envelopes, each with a piece of paper stating the above phrase, your name and address, and a $1.00 bill. What you are doing is creating a service. THIS IS ABSOLUTELY LEGAL! You are requesting a legitimate service and you are paying for it! Like most of us I was a little skeptical and a little worried about the legal aspects of it all. So I checked it out with the U.S. Post Office (1-800-725-2161) and they confirmed that it is indeed legal. Mail the 6 envelopes to the following addresses:

#1) E. Haynes
3629 Edgar St.
Hannibal, MO 63401

#2) M. Molina
807 Siesta Loop
Robstown, TX 78380

#3) T. Linkous
115 Lee St.
Greenville, NC 27858

#4) E.H. Johnson
P.O.Box 409
Clearlake Oaks, CA 95423

#5) L. Johnson
5700 Ridge Club Loop, #302
Orlando, FL 32839

#6)J. Wine
4502 Jouster Ct, #203
Orlando, FL 32817

STEP 2: Now take the #1 name off the list that you see above, move the other names up (6 becomes 5, 5 becomes 4, etc...) and add YOUR Name as number 6 on the list.

STEP 3: Change anything you need to, but try to keep this article as close to original as possible. Now, post your amended article to at least 200 newsgroups. (I think there are close to 24,000 groups) All you need is 200, but remember, the more you post, the more money you make! You won't get very much unless you post like crazy. :)

This is perfectly legal! If you have any doubts, refer to Title 18 Sec. 1302 & 1341 of the Postal lottery laws. Keep a copy of these steps for yourself and, whenever you need money, you can use it again, and again.

PLEASE REMEMBER that this program remains successful because of the honesty and integrity of the participants and by their carefully adhering to the directions. Look at it this way. If you are of integrity, the program will continue and the money that so many others have received will come your way.

NOTE: You may want to retain every name and address sent to you, either on a computer or hard copy and keep the notes people send you.This VERIFIES that you are truly providing a service. (Also, it might be a good idea to wrap the $1 bill in dark paper to reduce the risk of mail theft.)

So, as each post is downloaded and the directions carefully followed, six members will be reimbursed for their participation as a List Developer with one dollar each. Your name will move up the list geometrically so that when your name reaches the #1 position you will be receiving thousands of dollars in CASH!!! What an opportunity for only $6.00 ($1.00 for each of the first six people listed above) Send it now, add your own name to the list and you're in business!

---DIRECTIONS ----- FOR HOW TO POST TO NEWSGROUPS----------

Step 1) You do not need to re-type this entire letter to do your own posting. Simply put your cursor at the beginning of this letter and drag your cursor to the bottom of this document, and select 'copy' from the edit menu. This will copy the entire letter into the computer's memory.

Step 2) Open a blank 'notepad' file and place your cursor at the top of the blank page. From the 'edit' menu select 'paste'. This will paste a copy of the letter into notepad so that you can add your name to the list.

Step 3) Save your new notepad file as a .txt file. If you want to do your postings in different settings, you'll always have this file to go back to.

Step 4) Use Netscape or Internet explorer and try searching for various newsgroups (on-line forums, message boards, chat sites, discussions.)

Step 5) Visit these message boards and post this article as a new message by highlighting the text of this letter and selecting paste from the edit menu. Fill in the Subject, this will be the header that

everyone sees as they scroll through the list of postings in a particular group, click the post message button. You're done with your first one! Congratulations...THAT'S IT! All you have to do is jump to different newsgroups and post away, after you get the hang of it, it will take about 30 seconds for each newsgroup! **REMEMBER, THE MORE NEWSGROUPS YOU POST IN, THE MORE MONEY YOU WILL MAKE! BUT :YOU HAVE TO POST A MINIMUM OF 200** That's it! You will begin receiving money from around the world within days! You may eventually want to rent a P.O.Box due to the large amount of mail you will receive. If you wish to stay anonymous, you can invent a name to use, as long as the postman will deliver it. **JUST MAKE SURE ALL THE ADDRESSES ARE CORRECT.**

Now, each of the 5 persons who just sent me $1.00 make the MINIMUM 200 postings, each with my name at #5 and only 5 persons respond to each of the original 5, that is another $25.00 for me, now those 25 each make 200 MINIMUM posts with my name at #4 and only 5 replies each, I will bring in an additional $125.00! Now, those 125 persons turn around and post the MINIMUM 200 with my name at #3 and only receive 5 replies each, I will make an additional $625.00! OK, now here is the fun part, each of those 625 persons post a MINIMUM 200 letters with my name at #2 and they each only receive 5 replies, that just made me $3,125.00!!! Those 3,125 persons will all deliver this message to 200 newsgroups with my name at #1 and if still 5 persons per 200 newsgroups react I will receive $15,625,00! With an original investment of only $6.00! AMAZING! When your name is no longer on the list, you just take the latest posting in the newsgroups, and send out another $6.00 to names on the list, putting your name at number 6 again. And start posting again.

The thing to remember is: do you realize that thousands of people all over the world are joining the internet and reading these articles everyday?, JUST LIKE YOU are now!! So, can you afford $6.00 and see if it really works?? I think so... People have said, 'what if the plan is played out and no one sends you the money? So what! What are the chances of that happening when there are tons of new honest users and new honest people who are joining the internet and newsgroups everyday and are willing to give it a try? Estimates are at 20,000 to 50,000 new users, every day, with thousands of those joining the actual internet. Remember, play FAIRLY and HONESTLY and this will really work.

2521. Backup Power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-01 03:46:54 UTC
From: DX99 <nospam@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <Ocane.16813$tv3.6440@trnddc06>
Source record: 13282

Getting ready for the upcoming hurricane season here in FL and wanting to develop an affordable power backup for my radio desk in the inevitable event of a power outtage. I have 3 brand new 12-volt automotive batteries - unused - in my garage. How would I go about wiring to power 4 scanners and a shortwave? All suggestions/directions appreciated.

K

2522. Re: Backup Power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-01 08:36:34 UTC
From: Matt <pissoff@spammers.suck.com>
Message-ID: <d7js2j$1es0$1@otis.netspace.net.au>
Source record: 13283

Put all three batteries in parrallel - i.e. connect a wire from the positive terminal of the first battery to the positive terminal of the second battery, and then to the positive terminal of the third. Do the same with the negative terminals. With a multi meter you should see about 12-14 volts - if it is any more then you have not connected the batteries correctly.
To ensure that the batteries remain charged, connect a charger to the batteries (only one needed as the wiring above will ensure that the other two batteries are also charged up) - unless you are using the batteries, you will only need a relatively low current trickle charger to ensure that they are all kept topped up.
I have a number of smaller gelcell batteries (between 7 and 24 AH in size) that I use in a similar fashion. Works well for me. One additional thing, I also have a small solar panel (about 35W) that I can also use when there is no mains power to recharge the batteries (and you don't want to waste fuel running the car). You can also get some small generators (around the 850W size) for very cheap prices (have seen them for as low as $50) - not a bad idea for provision of emergency power, and can also be used to recharge your batteries. However, your three batteries should well and truly last for the time that it takes to get mains power back up and running, but it always pays to be prepared.
My power distribution consists of a small homemade power bar connected to the batteries. It is a plastic box with two strips running the length of the box which are connected by wires to the batteries. From the metal strips I have a number of terminals that the power cables from the radio gear are plugged into. Hard to explain it without piccies, but no can do as almost all my gear is in storage while I am in the process of moving house!
Bit of a rambling dissertation, but hopefully you understand it - if not, query away.

Matt

"DX99" <nospam@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:Ocane.16813$tv3.6440@trnddc06...
> Getting ready for the upcoming hurricane season here in FL and wanting to
> develop an affordable power backup for my radio desk in the inevitable
event
> of a power outtage. I have 3 brand new 12-volt automotive batteries -
> unused - in my garage. How would I go about wiring to power 4 scanners and
a
> shortwave? All suggestions/directions appreciated.
>
> K
>
>

2523. Re: Backup Power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-01 12:57:20 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <JNidnSLUOqApLQDfRVn-tg@comcast.com>
Source record: 13284

First thing, you have to be very careful with car batteries in your home! Normally, for backup, you would use sealed GelCel batteries. Some car batteries are vented, and release Hydrogen gas while charging. Here is a link that explains what can happen!

http://www.rayvaughan.com/battery_safety.htm

They make battery boxes with safety straps, to help contain such an event, but I still wouldn't recommend it. You'd be better off selling the batteries you have, and buying some GelCels.

Lark Battery sells GelCels on eBay, and is reasonable. I just purchase a couple to rebuilt my UPS (Uninterruptible Power Supply), for my computer room.

Bill Crocker

"DX99" <nospam@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:Ocane.16813$tv3.6440@trnddc06...
> Getting ready for the upcoming hurricane season here in FL and wanting to
> develop an affordable power backup for my radio desk in the inevitable
> event
> of a power outtage. I have 3 brand new 12-volt automotive batteries -
> unused - in my garage. How would I go about wiring to power 4 scanners and
> a
> shortwave? All suggestions/directions appreciated.
>
> K
>
>

2524. IC-R20 or DJ-X2000?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-01 14:25:48 UTC
From: ctalmadg <fuckoff@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <1117635948.715778.249030@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13285

Which model would you buy???

2525. FA - Uniden BC-60XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-01 14:30:01 UTC
From: Not any More <kk4tl@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117636201.607658.225280@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13286

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=40980&item=5778904114

New looking scanner for auction - low opening price.

Thanks for looking.

2526. Re: Backup Power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-01 14:54:34 UTC
From: DX99 <nospam@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <K_jne.10332$Vm4.8307@trnddc01>
Source record: 13287

Great info guys! Thanks very much. Have decided to trade my auto batteries for some gel-cell marine batteries, a local guy here will give me 2 for 3, not a bad deal. I also have a huge solar panel setup on my roof to heat my pool, which I dont even use. I'm new to this, would you recommend configuring the solar panels for backup? Would that be better? More affordable? The gel batteries would be for individual radios - ie, the BC780 and the 2006, 895, and 245. But I would also like to run backup (beyond UPS system) for my shortwaves (R-75, R71) and 2 Dell computers, a fridge and TV. Think I could convert enough energy for all of that from the solar pool panels?

2527. FS: BC-350C 100 Channel Base Scanner with Mobile Power Operation NEW IN BOX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-01 15:40:57 UTC
From: TJ <tj@fcox.com>
Message-ID: <eGkne.24587$Fv.17889@lakeread01>
Source record: 13288

http://www.tjssurplusdepot.com

BC-350C 100 Channel Programmable Base Scanner with Mobile Power Operation

2528. Re: IC-R20 or DJ-X2000?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-01 15:44:17 UTC
From: Jim <none@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <1117640673.3bb58912a86c67085f5dbda5e984d770@teranews>
Source record: 13289

I went with the R-20. Much faster scanning speed and DCS did it for me.

"ctalmadg" <fuckoff@rochester.rr.com> wrote in message
news:1117635948.715778.249030@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> Which model would you buy???
>

2529. Re: IC-R20 or DJ-X2000?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-01 16:36:43 UTC
From: ctalmadg <fuckoff@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <1117643803.067761.276280@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13290

Thanks Jim... Ordered one today... thanks for the input..

2530. NA2LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-01 22:06:35 UTC
From: cnc <cnc@sapo.pt>
Message-ID: <429e24ae$0$2717$a729d347@news.telepac.pt>
Source record: 13291

www.na2list.com

2531. Re: City moving into 700 Mhz range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-02 01:58:24 UTC
From: Zombie <noemail@non.com>
Message-ID: <1Jtne.9466$yG4.793141@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 13292

I imagine a lot of people who read that article probably feel somewhat upset that emergency services are becoming unaccessible to the every day user. Sure, there is a legitamate need to know whats going on around the city, but I think the reality is that many scanner users consider these things as a form of entertainment and manufacturers are aware of this often capitilize on it in their advertising. I think listening to emergency services is very interesting but i dont let my mouth salivate if some druggy does something stupid down town.

I also notice that scanner users and and a desire for cell phone eavesdropping seem to go hand-in-hand. I haven't listened to other ppl's calls before, but im not going to cry about not being able to listen to cell phones. For me, theres no business deals im interested in spying on, or personal information im interested in taking.. I dont want to listen to some bloke yell at his wife.Or some dumb woman driving down the road in her SUV and baby talking to her kids. Or some horney couple exchanging sugary compliments. Or some college management type yuppie calling a dry cleaner. I dont like any of these people and i dont want to hear what comes out of their mouths, and i would imagine when cell capable scanners become more commonplace more people would agree with me that its not worth listening to.

----- Original Message -----
From: <fred@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2005 6:47 PM
Subject: City moving into 700 Mhz range

> City moving into 700 Mhz range
>
>

> The system will carry encryption and trunking capabilities, making common
> scanners all but obsolete on emergency calls.
>
> Kaufman gave the example of a police drug raid as to why the encryption is
> necessary.
>
> "It doesn't help to have a person inside listening to a scanner," he said.
>
> Some scanners can pick up 700 MHz frequencies, but the trunking system
makes it
> difficult, Abraham added, by finding a open channel fewer scanners can
pick up.
>

2532. Re: City moving into 700 Mhz range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-02 04:02:12 UTC
From: krackula <krackula@i.am>
Message-ID: <l60t91dl9rvu10iibq8md37ac96j5oiogr@4ax.com>
Source record: 13293

>
>I also notice that scanner users and and a desire for cell phone
>eavesdropping seem to go hand-in-hand. I haven't listened to other ppl's
>calls before, but im not going to cry about not being able to listen to cell
>phones. For me, theres no business deals im interested in spying on, or
>personal information im interested in taking.. I dont want to listen to some
>bloke yell at his wife.Or some dumb woman driving down the road in her SUV
>and baby talking to her kids. Or some horney couple exchanging sugary
>compliments. Or some college management type yuppie calling a dry cleaner. I
>dont like any of these people and i dont want to hear what comes out of
>their mouths, and i would imagine when cell capable scanners become more
>commonplace more people would agree with me that its not worth listening to.

the time of cellphone scanners has passed with the advance of
technology. most likely there will never be a rebirth of cellphone
scanning , no more than hacking digital c-band satellites,
phreaking, or hacking DTV. time , technology , and legislation
have allowed the makers of portable phones to escape being
eavesdropped upon. myself , I'm quite like you .... I'm not
interested in people's personal calls either . (yes ... I have been
in the past , obviously .... but it's " been there done that "
anymore) thats you and I .... but it's basic human nature
for people to listen to others personal lives and even live their
own life , vicariously , through the lives of others. evidence the
utter and massive world of TV soap operas and the
zillions of people lost in them. (gossip magazines, shock shows
etc.) listening to cellphones (to them , at least) is even better
than soaps .... this because it's real live drama. no actors there.
takes all kinds of people to make a world ....it's all part of
the " rich texture of life " . ... and you wouldn't believe
what the cute teenage girl , next door, and her boyfriend do
.... several hours each night on the phone , before he goes to
bed . WoW ..... smok'in .... ah ah ahaha h ah aahaha

k.............

>
>
>----- Original Message -----
>From: <fred@aol.com>
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
>Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2005 6:47 PM
>Subject: City moving into 700 Mhz range
>
>

>> City moving into 700 Mhz range
>>
>>
>
>> The system will carry encryption and trunking capabilities, making common
>> scanners all but obsolete on emergency calls.
>>
>> Kaufman gave the example of a police drug raid as to why the encryption is
>> necessary.
>>
>> "It doesn't help to have a person inside listening to a scanner," he said.
>>
>> Some scanners can pick up 700 MHz frequencies, but the trunking system
>makes it
>> difficult, Abraham added, by finding a open channel fewer scanners can
>pick up.
>>
>

2533. San Jose PD Air Unit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-02 04:30:19 UTC
From: Charlie Durand <c.durand@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <SOadnd8HwYPBFgPfRVn-tA@giganews.com>
Source record: 13294

Hi there,

I recently moved to San Jose (Willow Glenn area) and I see what I assume to be police helicopters buzzing around from time to time. I'd love to be able to hear what they're up to.

The San Jose police can be found on 460.325 but I've never heard the air units on this frequency. The damn thing was circling not too far from my house one night and I couldn't find him on any of the San Jose PD freqs I have.

Anyone have any guidance on this? All help is appreciated.

Same thing goes for the life flight frequencies.. I am pretty sure I see the choppers landing at the local trama center from time to time as well.

Thanks!

Charlie

2534. Re: Backup Power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-02 04:46:54 UTC
From: "Capt. Purple" <someone@somewhere.com>
Message-ID: <4f5bc$429e8f49$186010f8$1869@KNOLOGY.NET>
Source record: 13295

Typically, the solar panels for the pool don't generate electricity -- that's WAY too inefficient -- they simply heat the water which goes through them. There are exceptions, though.

Near where in FL are you?

Capt. Purple

"DX99" <nospam@verizon.net> wrote in message news:K_jne.10332$Vm4.8307@trnddc01...
> Great info guys! Thanks very much. Have decided to trade my auto batteries
> for some gel-cell marine batteries, a local guy here will give me 2 for 3,
> not a bad deal. I also have a huge solar panel setup on my roof to heat my
> pool, which I dont even use. I'm new to this, would you recommend
> configuring the solar panels for backup? Would that be better? More
> affordable? The gel batteries would be for individual radios - ie, the BC780
> and the 2006, 895, and 245. But I would also like to run backup (beyond UPS
> system) for my shortwaves (R-75, R71) and 2 Dell computers, a fridge and TV.
> Think I could convert enough energy for all of that from the solar pool
> panels?
>
>

2535. Re: Scanner at German airports

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-02 05:19:52 UTC
From: Steve Silverwood <kb6ojs@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.1cfecd35865ecd619896ac@news-server.socal.rr.com>
Source record: 13296
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

In article <_vadnb1RRfY1_A7fRVn-1g@sedona.net>, john@analon.com says...
> azgreed I did not want to putthe radio in checked baggage...since just
> one of my radios costs over $600 I prefer to keep that with me if
> possible you have to pay a little bit for good ham, scanner, and
> shortwave equipemt. I do sometimes have to explain to security what they
> are.. often if I let them listen to something they (security) are
> satisifed...

That's usually the case here in the US, as with any radio: if you can show them that it is a real, working radio, they're okay with it, but they will usually admonish you not to operate it while on board the aircraft. They've been doing the operational-test thing ever since the Lockerbie bombing.

Side note: what you may want to do is check to find out what the ATIS frequency is for the airport(s) you'll be visiting, and put those into memory. That way, you have something for them to listen to when you turn on the scanner, rather than waiting for someone to talk to the air-traffic controllers.

--

-- //Steve//

Steve Silverwood, KB6OJS
Fountain Valley, CA
Email: kb6ojs@arrl.net

2536. Re: Backup Power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-02 05:41:48 UTC
From: DX99 <nospam@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <w_wne.14233$3u3.9067@trnddc07>
Source record: 13297

Ok thanks for the info - I am in north Pasco County - the boonies above Tampa Bay.

"Capt. Purple" <someone@somewhere.com> wrote in message news:4f5bc$429e8f49$186010f8$1869@KNOLOGY.NET...
> Typically, the solar panels for the pool don't generate electricity -- that's WAY too
> inefficient -- they simply heat the water which goes through them. There are exceptions, though.
>
> Near where in FL are you?
>
> Capt. Purple
>
>
> "DX99" <nospam@verizon.net> wrote in message news:K_jne.10332$Vm4.8307@trnddc01...
> > Great info guys! Thanks very much. Have decided to trade my auto batteries
> > for some gel-cell marine batteries, a local guy here will give me 2 for 3,
> > not a bad deal. I also have a huge solar panel setup on my roof to heat my
> > pool, which I dont even use. I'm new to this, would you recommend
> > configuring the solar panels for backup? Would that be better? More
> > affordable? The gel batteries would be for individual radios - ie, the BC780
> > and the 2006, 895, and 245. But I would also like to run backup (beyond UPS
> > system) for my shortwaves (R-75, R71) and 2 Dell computers, a fridge and TV.
> > Think I could convert enough energy for all of that from the solar pool
> > panels?
> >
> >
>

2537. Re: AR8200 MKIII Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-02 06:16:18 UTC
From: Jesse <org@org.orgy>
Message-ID: <Xns9669171C138FDewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 13298

AJ. <scanmil@gmail.com> wrote in news:7sin91pdav4jq3nsv8m7g5i50uj6tbt48s@4ax.com:

> Hi,
>
> Does anyone have or know where i can find the pinouts/schematics to
> make a PC cable for the AR8200 MKIII?
>
> TIA
>
> AJ.
>

Might try here http://www.pro-92.com/pcifcable.htm and check out the links for two way cables that you can make for a little bit of nothing.
Obviously not specifically for the AE8200, but might well work nonetheless.

2538. Re: Scanner at German airports

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-02 12:56:26 UTC
From: Fly Guy <Fly@Guy.com>
Message-ID: <429F01FA.40F2DAEF@Guy.com>
Source record: 13299
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

Steve Silverwood wrote:

> That's usually the case here in the US, as with any radio: if
> you can show them that it is a real, working radio, they're
> okay with it, but they will usually admonish you not to operate
> it while on board the aircraft. They've been doing the
> operational-test thing ever since the Lockerbie bombing.

I usually travel with a small digital radio (about half the size of a deck of cards). I will listen to FM stations if I'm sufficiently bored (no AM reception even when you press the radio against a window). I will also usually fly with my GPS turned on (Gecko). It's about the same size as the radio. I like to record the track of approaches and landings, as well as to record the exact positions of runway take-offs and landings.

The whole issue of not allowing the use of radio's on planes is bogus. Sure, once upon a time radio's emitted a measurable amount of IF RF, but anything made in the last few years, especially if it operates on a couple of AAA's isin't going to screw up the plane's comm or NAV systems.

The real reason is to ban any form of real-time communication that passengers have with the outside world while in flight. It's a "human factors" reason. I guess the argument goes that if passengers learn while in flight that, say, World-War-3 has started, or if there is a coordinated hijacking combined with suicidal crashing into buildings, that it may unnerve the passengers enough to cause caos on board that the FA's couldn't handle. They'd rather have a cabin full of sheep insulated from the happenings of the outside world for the duration of the flight.

It's the age-old balance between what's good for the individual vs what's good for the group (or society). Similar to the wide-spread use of antibiotics from a public health point of view (it may, or will, benefit the individual, but if enough do it it will harm society in general).

Have you ever wondered why the airlines don't pipe in real-time video or audio into their entertainment systems? I garantee you it's not for technical or cost reasons.

2539. Re: San Jose PD Air Unit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-02 13:27:11 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com>
Message-ID: <PODne.11828$uR4.4038@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 13300

If you live in Willow Glen, I believe your district is the Tom district.
460.475. Air 2 operates on whatever freq the district they're in is on.
If you see the chopper land at Valley Med, it's not Air 2. Air 2 is dark
blue, like the cars and has a VERY annoying tail rotor noise. S.J.P.D.
operate on 480.150, 460.275, 460.200, 460.425, 460.4, 460.325, 460.475,
460.525, 460.100, 460.025, and 460.050

"Charlie Durand" <c.durand@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:SOadnd8HwYPBFgPfRVn-tA@giganews.com...
> Hi there,
>
> I recently moved to San Jose (Willow Glenn area) and I see what I assume
to
> be police helicopters buzzing around from time to time. I'd love to be
able
> to hear what they're up to.
>
> The San Jose police can be found on 460.325 but I've never heard the air
> units on this frequency. The damn thing was circling not too far from my
> house one night and I couldn't find him on any of the San Jose PD freqs I
> have.
>
> Anyone have any guidance on this? All help is appreciated.
>
> Same thing goes for the life flight frequencies.. I am pretty sure I see
the
> choppers landing at the local trama center from time to time as well.
>
> Thanks!
>
> Charlie
>
>

2540. Re: Scanner at German airports

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-02 15:21:03 UTC
From: "Ralph A. Schmid, DK5RAS" <nospam@radio-link.net>
Message-ID: <mt8u91ledfqd80l5eudkvmsncu0lkk2qb7@4ax.com>
Source record: 13151
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

Fly Guy <Fly@Guy.com> wrote:

>The real reason is to ban any form of real-time communication that
>passengers have with the outside world while in flight. It's a "human
>factors" reason. I guess the argument goes that if passengers learn
>while in flight that, say, World-War-3 has started, or if there is a
>coordinated hijacking combined with suicidal crashing into buildings,
>that it may unnerve the passengers enough to cause caos on board that
>the FA's couldn't handle. They'd rather have a cabin full of sheep
>insulated from the happenings of the outside world for the duration of
>the flight.

This is pure nonsens. More and more airlines allow direct internet
access, on this way it is even possible to place VoIP-phonecalls.

regards - Ralph

--

Want to get in touch? http://www.radio-link.net/whereisralph.txt

2541. Organizing your scanners!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-02 15:57:37 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <T4OdnSRnlPfpsQLfRVn-2Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 13152

If you have more than one scanner, you know how radios, speakers, power supplies, and related equipment, can quickly consume your desk space. I was considering building a custom desk console, but I change equipment so often, that anything custom would quickly become obsolete.

I found the perfect solution, and it's very functional, and inexpensive. My local Home Depot, and Lowes carry a products from ClosetMaid. They make storage units, shelves, and closet organizing products. Particularly, I'm talking about their Laminate Storage Stackers. Click here for the link: http://www.closetmaid.com/Look/Product_Catalog/index.cfm

The one I'm using is the 24" Horizontal Organizer (Maple). It cost only $9.95! It's made very well, and looks great! This give me three times the effective space! Just thought I'd pass along the info!

Bill Crocker

2542. Re: Connecticut Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-02 16:33:05 UTC
From: JBO <mister.hobnobinn@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <5xGne.12714$zb.419@trndny01>
Source record: 13153
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

John Kerkes wrote:

> Just wondering what are some good sources for New Haven County Frequencies?
>
>
Just go here and log in and enjoy.

http://fordyce.org/scanboard/viewforum.php?f=138

73 de Dave/N2JBO

2543. Re: New Jersey State Police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-02 16:35:29 UTC
From: JBO <mister.hobnobinn@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <lzGne.12715$zb.4374@trndny01>
Source record: 13154

russ wrote:

> Does anybody know what the 3-COMM, 4-COMM, and 5-COMM frequencies are
> used for, and what the difference is between them?
>
> Thank you.
>
I would ask that question here...

http://fordyce.org/scanboard/viewforum.php?f=138

I get a lot of my questions answered here.

73 de Dave/N2JBO

2544. Re: New Jersey State Police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-02 16:39:29 UTC
From: JBO <mister.hobnobinn@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <5DGne.12716$zb.2571@trndny01>
Source record: 13155

JBO wrote:

> russ wrote:
>
>> Does anybody know what the 3-COMM, 4-COMM, and 5-COMM frequencies are
>> used for, and what the difference is between them?
>>
>> Thank you.
>>
> I would ask that question here...
>
> http://fordyce.org/scanboard/viewforum.php?f=138
>
> I get a lot of my questions answered here.
>
> 73 de Dave/N2JBO
Sorry, my link was a little off.

This one is better

http://fordyce.org/scanboard/index.php?c=10

2545. Re: San Jose PD Air Unit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-02 18:33:09 UTC
From: Charlie Durand <c.durand@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <mYqdna05BeV7zQLfRVn-qA@giganews.com>
Source record: 13156

Well I monitor 460.325 and hear calls from my area and once heard the police responding to a nearby fire so I'm pretty certain I have the right frequency for my disctrict, be it Tom or whatever it's called.

I guess when I saw the police chopper hovering near my house they were just watching because I never heard them come on the air. At least not on the frequency I was monitoring.

The helicopter I see hovering from time to time is definitely a police unit. I was also mentioning that on occasion I see what I assume to be medical flights as well. I was curious what frequency those would be on.

"Jim Hackett" <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com> wrote in message news:PODne.11828$uR4.4038@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> If you live in Willow Glen, I believe your district is the Tom district.
> 460.475. Air 2 operates on whatever freq the district they're in is on.
> If you see the chopper land at Valley Med, it's not Air 2. Air 2 is dark
> blue, like the cars and has a VERY annoying tail rotor noise. S.J.P.D.
> operate on 480.150, 460.275, 460.200, 460.425, 460.4, 460.325, 460.475,
> 460.525, 460.100, 460.025, and 460.050
>
>
>
> "Charlie Durand" <c.durand@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:SOadnd8HwYPBFgPfRVn-tA@giganews.com...
>> Hi there,
>>
>> I recently moved to San Jose (Willow Glenn area) and I see what I assume
> to
>> be police helicopters buzzing around from time to time. I'd love to be
> able
>> to hear what they're up to.
>>
>> The San Jose police can be found on 460.325 but I've never heard the air
>> units on this frequency. The damn thing was circling not too far from my
>> house one night and I couldn't find him on any of the San Jose PD freqs I
>> have.
>>
>> Anyone have any guidance on this? All help is appreciated.
>>
>> Same thing goes for the life flight frequencies.. I am pretty sure I see
> the
>> choppers landing at the local trama center from time to time as well.
>>
>> Thanks!

>>
>> Charlie
>>
>>
>
>

2546. Re: Backup Power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-02 22:14:37 UTC
From: Zombie <noemail@non.com>
Message-ID: <dxLne.11058$yG4.915222@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 13157

As someone else, powering all those things with a couple of deep cycle batteries wont work well. With a big inverter they will probably be flat in about 20 minutes powering up all those things. If you dont want to go with a generater, then perhaps you should consider replacing the computers with a laptop (and purchasing a car adaptor so it will run of 12V), for TV you can get one of those mini-lcd tv's that run off AA batteries. Then just get another car adaptor and run the tv off the deepcycle batteries. Doing it this way will give you many hours out of those batteries before they get too deeply discharged to be useful. I cant think of any easy way to power a fridge without a generator though.

Also another safety tip to keep in mind is that make sure you put a fuse or circuit breaker on these batteries. With two deep cycle bats in parallel a short circuit will mean about 1000amps of current flow, and you can bet whatever shorted out and is now trying to handle that is going to explode. It wont be pretty.

"DX99" <nospam@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:K_jne.10332$Vm4.8307@trnddc01...
> Great info guys! Thanks very much. Have decided to trade my auto batteries
> for some gel-cell marine batteries, a local guy here will give me 2 for 3,
> not a bad deal. I also have a huge solar panel setup on my roof to heat my
> pool, which I dont even use. I'm new to this, would you recommend
> configuring the solar panels for backup? Would that be better? More
> affordable? The gel batteries would be for individual radios - ie, the
BC780
> and the 2006, 895, and 245. But I would also like to run backup (beyond
UPS
> system) for my shortwaves (R-75, R71) and 2 Dell computers, a fridge and
TV.
> Think I could convert enough energy for all of that from the solar pool
> panels?
>
>

2547. Re: Yupitaru problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-02 22:21:39 UTC
From: Zombie <noemail@non.com>
Message-ID: <PDLne.11059$yG4.915631@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 13158

I would take it apart and inspect for cracked PCB. Since its physical
trauma, if there is another cause then it will probably make itself evident
the moment you take the cover off.

<mlipin@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1114927112.525308.141660@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> I accidentaly dropped my Yupitaru 9000. Now when I turn it on - keys do
> not work, backlight stays on, backlinght icon and selected bank are
> flashing.
>
> Any suggestions?
>

2548. Re: Scanner at German airports

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-03 00:25:26 UTC
From: Ted <nospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <WrNne.4405$s64.3143@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 13159
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

Steve Silverwood wrote in message ...
>In article <_vadnb1RRfY1_A7fRVn-1g@sedona.net>, john@analon.com says...
>> azgreed I did not want to putthe radio in checked baggage...since just
>> one of my radios costs over $600 I prefer to keep that with me if
>> possible you have to pay a little bit for good ham, scanner, and
>> shortwave equipemt. I do sometimes have to explain to security what they
>> are.. often if I let them listen to something they (security) are
>> satisifed...
>
>That's usually the case here in the US, as with any radio: if you can
>show them that it is a real, working radio, they're okay with it, but
>they will usually admonish you not to operate it while on board the
>aircraft. They've been doing the operational-test thing ever since the
>Lockerbie bombing.
>
>Side note: what you may want to do is check to find out what the ATIS
>frequency is for the airport(s) you'll be visiting, and put those into
>memory. That way, you have something for them to listen to when you
>turn on the scanner, rather than waiting for someone to talk to the air-
>traffic controllers.

www.airnav.com has all the frequencies of all the US airports. Its very
handy

I just got done traveling across the USA in several airliners making about
six hops with a carry on suitcase full of radios and antennas and none of
the security folks seemed to care about them. What they did get very
excited about was my sealed lead acid battery. Its heavy, black, filled
with lead and has wires coming out of it. The airport security folks broke
into my checked luggage to inspect the battery when I flew out to my
destination and then every security stop wanted to see it when I carried it
home in my carry on bags. They took the battery and re ran it through the x
ray machine all by itself a couple of times and wiped it with the little
cloth that that detects explosive residue and then they told me it was ok.

Even though no one asked me to turn my radios on I agree with Steve that you
should be ready to demonstrate that it works to the security folks.

2549. Re: City moving into 700 Mhz range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-03 03:06:50 UTC
From: DxxxxxBxx <abuse@abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz.com>
Message-ID: <blhv91tsj73i9o4nu05vslqtb52jdp5mps@4ax.com>
Source record: 13160

I took away something different than the other posters.

What makes them think that a 700MHz system won't have "dead spots"
like their 500MHz system? I'm not asking for technical details about
propagation and pentration of 700MHz vs 500MHz. I'd be surprised to
find any radio system in the 500-700MHz range that doesn't have "dead
spots".

They are going from 68% effective to 94% effective. They must have 6%
"dead spots" left over. They could probably spend less than half that
money and fill in their current "dead spots". Anyhow, if their
current system is only 68% effective, they need help NOW. (I'm
guessing 68% is from HT's...add mobile extenders!!!)

How will they be able to expand the system to communicate with other
metro agencies? If they are the first in the nation to move to
700MHz, this won't help.

Sure, the system will allow encryption, but encryption is not a factor
of frequency. You can encrypt on 500MHz as well. Give the narco
units radios with that capability. Again, not a multi-million dollar
expense.

They won't be the "firstest" to spend the "mostest" money.

...just my two cents...

On Tue, 12 Apr 2005 15:47:26 -0700, fred@aol.com wrote:

>City moving into 700 Mhz range
>
>
>
>
>By David Tanner
>The Examiner
>
>
>Independence could pilot the first communication system of its kind in the
>nation.
>
>
>
>The city is poised to implement a 700 MHz radio system for its police, fire and
>utilities, as part of a homeland-security grant.
>
>The radios will provide more than just a communication system for police and

>fire calls, Assistant City Manager Larry Kaufman said.
>
>A study team looked at severe weather, snow removal, downed power lines, broken
>water mains, and other types of emergencies when applying for a
>homeland-security grant.
>
>City departments and outside agencies cannot talk to each other under the
>current 500 MHz system.
>
>Communication can drop out because of a valley, a hill, or what's known as a
>dead spot.
>
>"There are areas of the city we don't have radio coverage," Maj. Gordon Abraham
>said.
>
>Abraham and Maj. John Main of the Police Department headed the grant team that
>secured $5.5 million in federal funds through the Department of Homeland
>Security. The city will pay $1.8 million, as part of the $7.3 million system.
>The police and fire departments will pay their share through the voter-approved
>public-safety sales tax.
>
>Currently, police radios have a 68 percent effectiveness. Fire radios are
>effective in 86 percent of the community.
>
>"The uncertainty is always there," Abraham said, "And those are the critical
>times."
>
>The 700 MHz system will bring the city up to 94 percent coverage, one of the
>reasons the city was successful in getting the grant.
>
>The city will also be able to expand the system to communicate with other metro
>agencies in times of emergency.
>
>The system will carry encryption and trunking capabilities, making common
>scanners all but obsolete on emergency calls.
>
>Kaufman gave the example of a police drug raid as to why the encryption is
>necessary.
>
>"It doesn't help to have a person inside listening to a scanner," he said.
>
>Some scanners can pick up 700 MHz frequencies, but the trunking system makes it
>difficult, Abraham added, by finding a open channel fewer scanners can pick up.
>
>The Federal Communication Commission, which regulates radio frequencies, has
>reserved the 700 MHz frequencies for public safety.
>
>The government eyed an 800-MHz system in the 1970s, but mobile-communication and
>cellular companies took up too much of the 800 MHz range.
>

>The FCC could not implement the 700 MHz system, however, because some television
>stations used those frequencies.
>
>But the government has begun to phase out the television stations on the 700 MHz
>frequencies.
>
>In Kansas City, only KSMO TV (The Kansas City WB 62) remains on the 700 MHz
>system.
>
>Kaufman said the freeing up of the frequency allowed Independence to step
>forward with the pilot program for public-safety radios.
>
>Abraham said the departments will not encrypt every police call. Some of the
>city's dispatches could still be heard on scanners. Just not emergencies.
>
>The city hired RCC consultants to determine its needs for the system.
>
>Testing includes finding the areas of the city that have poor radio coverage
>now.
>
>"We identified 57 buildings here in town to test," Kaufman said, such as schools
>and hospitals. Thick walls can diminish radio frequencies, but the new system
>will be better inside buildings, Kaufman said.
>
>The city is considering Motorola as the provider, but so far there is no formal
>contract.
>
>The system will require more towers, Kaufman said.
>
>Tower locations the city identified are Lake City, the city-owned Massman Farm
>off Truman Road, Woods Chapel Road and I-70, Blue Ridge Boulevard and I-70, the
>city Water Department at Truman Road and Forest Avenue, and on private property
>at the LaFarge plant.
>
>With the nation potentially watching to see how Independence fares with the 700
>MHz system, officials, including Mayor Ron Stewart, are confident.
>
>"We're going to be the firstest with the mostest," Stewart said.
>

Spammers, please send email to:
listme@listme.dsbl.org
and get your SMTP server blacklisted!

2550. Re: Organizing your scanners!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-03 03:12:48 UTC
From: DxxxxxBxx <abuse@abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz.com>
Message-ID: <pkiv91lujpl77naiv395lkjobikpojt5bh@4ax.com>
Source record: 13161

Yes, but think of all that sales tax you'd miss paying!

On Thu, 02 Jun 2005 12:22:14 -0400, Mark <me@privacy.net> wrote:

>On Thu, 2 Jun 2005 11:57:37 -0400, "Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
>wrote:
>
>>If you have more than one scanner, you know how radios, speakers, power
>>supplies, and related equipment, can quickly consume your desk space. I was
>>considering building a custom desk console, but I change equipment so often,
>>that anything custom would quickly become obsolete.
>>
>>I found the perfect solution, and it's very functional, and inexpensive. My
>>local Home Depot, and Lowes carry a products from ClosetMaid. They make
>>storage units, shelves, and closet organizing products. Particularly, I'm
>>talking about their Laminate Storage Stackers. Click here for the link:
>>http://www.closetmaid.com/Look/Product_Catalog/index.cfm
>>
>>The one I'm using is the 24" Horizontal Organizer (Maple). It cost only
>>$9.95! It's made very well, and looks great! This give me three times the
>>effective space! Just thought I'd pass along the info!
>
>Simple, yet effective.
>
>To save about $9.00, how about slapping a few scrap pieces of plywood
>together with nails and/or Elmers? That certainly isn't a piece that would
>make Norm jealous.

Spammers, please send email to:
listme@listme.dsbl.org
and get your SMTP server blacklisted!

2551. Re: Scanner at German airports

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-03 04:39:41 UTC
From: "Ralph A. Schmid, DK5RAS" <nospam@radio-link.net>
Message-ID: <0nnv915n2mb0mpl00l41703cbp17opjkrr@4ax.com>
Source record: 13162
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

"Ted" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote:

>www.airnav.com has all the frequencies of all the US airports. Its very
>handy

https://164.214.2.62/products/digitalaero/index.cfm is also a good
source. The enroute supplements hold the frequencies.

regards - Ralph

--

Want to get in touch? http://www.radio-link.net/whereisralph.txt

2552. Re: San Diego Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-03 08:19:14 UTC
From: danco@ns2.pebble.org
Message-ID: <slrnda00on.2bg.danco@ns2.pebble.org>
Source record: 13163

In article <7f8me.3683$vp.2008@fed1read07>, news.west.cox.net wrote:

> I live in San Diego, Ca, I have a trunk scanner. Need to know the
> frequencies in lemon Grove, San diego and all of San diego if possible.
> Maybe someone can show me where to find them.

http://www.scandiego.com/

BTW, I hope you have a Digital scanner.

2553. Re: Repeater back up on 27.620

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-03 12:04:33 UTC
From: Mr Germanium <ck722a0006@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <4f277$42a04739$d1cc452d$4547@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 13164
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

WOW !!!!

A Freeband REPEATER :)

Now this looks like a great DX catch for SWL and Ham alike!

Does it operate 24/7 ?

"I AmnotGeorgeBush" <iamnotgeorge@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:8188-429E3BA8-5@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net...
> Some of the freeband gang been having at it with yet another repeater
> on the Penna/NY line. When they first launched it, there were some
> technical audio issues, but they seem to have straightened them out
> since then. This repeater appears to be among the new "roving" repeaters
> the clubs are moving from place to place in order to avoid detection.
> Enraged hammies, send your foaming-at-the-mouth complaints to the FCC
> flunky field agent in Texas. Tell 'em I sent ya' for good measure!
>
>
>
>
> "Fuck the FCC! Fuck 'em!"..Al Lewis (Grandpa Munster)
>
>
>

2554. BC760Xlt - what does that button do?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-03 19:28:49 UTC
From: Zombie <noemail@non.com>
Message-ID: <Mb2oe.40883$_r1.1220727@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 13165

I recently bought a Uniden BC760XLT scanner from ebay. Not having a manual ,
I'm wondering if anyone knows what these buttons do?

DLY
LMT
SRC

Any help would be really appeciated!!

2555. Re: BC760Xlt - what does that button do?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-03 20:07:15 UTC
From: Don Church <dchurch@tnt21.con>
Message-ID: <42a0bb37$0$1914$2c56edd9@news.cablerocket.com>
Source record: 13166

DLY - delay - scanner stays on channel for a sec or two after transmission is stopped. this is usefull when your trying to listen to both sides of conversation if there is a pause in the middle.

LMT - Limit -you would use this when scanning a range of frequencys.

SRC - Search to resume or search for next freq when scanning a range of frequencys.

"Zombie" <noemail@non.com> wrote in message
news:Mb2oe.40883$_r1.1220727@news20.bellglobal.com...
>I recently bought a Uniden BC760XLT scanner from ebay. Not having a manual
>,
> I'm wondering if anyone knows what these buttons do?
>
> DLY
> LMT
> SRC
>
> Any help would be really appeciated!!
>
>

2556. Re: BC760Xlt - what does that button do?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-03 21:53:50 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <vlednW3uvbvzTD3fRVn-og@comcast.com>
Source record: 13167

You can get a manual for $3.00 from Grove, here:
http://www.grove-ent.com/equipmentmanuals.html

Bill Crocker

"Zombie" <noemail@non.com> wrote in message
news:Mb2oe.40883$_r1.1220727@news20.bellglobal.com...
>I recently bought a Uniden BC760XLT scanner from ebay. Not having a manual
>,
> I'm wondering if anyone knows what these buttons do?
>
> DLY
> LMT
> SRC
>
> Any help would be really appeciated!!
>
>

2557. Re: BC760Xlt - what does that button do?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-03 22:52:06 UTC
From: Zombie <noemail@non.com>
Message-ID: <la5oe.40975$_r1.1261623@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 13169

Hi Don. Thanks for the reply, espicially on delay since it was getting rather annoying to listen to a conversation and have the radio start scanning again as soon as one party let off. I am kind of slow today and i am wondering if you can elaborate on SRC ? Whenever i push SRC i just get "Error" on the LCD. There also seems to be no way to scan a specific frequency range, such as 430-450Mhz, without entering every frequency manually. Is this related to the SRC key?

"Don Church" <dchurch@tnt21.con> wrote in message news:42a0bb37$0$1914$2c56edd9@news.cablerocket.com...
> DLY - delay - scanner stays on channel for a sec or two after transmission
> is stopped. this is usefull when your trying to listen to both sides of
> conversation if there is a pause in the middle.
>
> LMT - Limit -you would use this when scanning a range of frequencys.
>
> SRC - Search to resume or search for next freq when scanning a range of
> frequencys.
>
>
> "Zombie" <noemail@non.com> wrote in message
> news:Mb2oe.40883$_r1.1220727@news20.bellglobal.com...
> >I recently bought a Uniden BC760XLT scanner from ebay. Not having a manual
> >,
> > I'm wondering if anyone knows what these buttons do?
> >
> > DLY
> > LMT
> > SRC
> >
> > Any help would be really appeciated!!
> >
> >
>
>

2558. Re: Organizing your scanners!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-03 23:04:50 UTC
From: DX99 <nospam@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <mm5oe.1203$nr3.429@trnddc02>
Source record: 13168

I built my own heavy duty radio desk (from workbench plan I found on the net) and had enough 2x8s left to build a shelf hutch for radios. Adding the finishing touches (some stain and stainless corner guards) this weekend. Will post some pics next week if I can. Very handsome piece of work, if I do say so myself:) The hutch shelf looks kind of like what you linked to, except heavy duty rich stained wood - thick. I simply added stainless corner guards from Restoration Hardware for a good utility look, stained it lightly to let the natural pine stand out. Looks and works great!

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message news:T4OdnSRnlPfpsQLfRVn-2Q@comcast.com...
> If you have more than one scanner, you know how radios, speakers, power
> supplies, and related equipment, can quickly consume your desk space. I was
> considering building a custom desk console, but I change equipment so often,
> that anything custom would quickly become obsolete.
>
> I found the perfect solution, and it's very functional, and inexpensive. My
> local Home Depot, and Lowes carry a products from ClosetMaid. They make
> storage units, shelves, and closet organizing products. Particularly, I'm
> talking about their Laminate Storage Stackers. Click here for the link:
> http://www.closetmaid.com/Look/Product_Catalog/index.cfm
>
> The one I'm using is the 24" Horizontal Organizer (Maple). It cost only
> $9.95! It's made very well, and looks great! This give me three times the
> effective space! Just thought I'd pass along the info!
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
>

2559. Re: BC760Xlt - what does that button do?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-03 23:28:51 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com>
Message-ID: <TI5oe.15047$w21.10063@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 13170

Or, you can get one HERE for free:
http://mods.dk/view.php?ListManuals=uniden

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:vIednW3uvbvzTD3fRVn-og@comcast.com...
> You can get a manual for $3.00 from Grove, here:
> http://www.grove-ent.com/equipmentmanuals.html
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
> "Zombie" <noemail@non.com> wrote in message
> news:Mb2oe.40883$_r1.1220727@news20.bellglobal.com...
> >I recently bought a Uniden BC760XLT scanner from ebay. Not having a
manual
> >,
> > I'm wondering if anyone knows what these buttons do?
> >
> > DLY
> > LMT
> > SRC
> >
> > Any help would be really appeciated!!
> >
> >
>
>

2560. Re: BC760Xlt - what does that button do?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-04 00:05:58 UTC
From: Zombie <noemail@non.com>
Message-ID: <Bf6oe.41022$_r1.1273008@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 13171

Wow thanks man!!!!

"Jim Hackett" <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com> wrote in message
news:TI5oe.15047$w21.10063@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> Or, you can get one HERE for free:
> http://mods.dk/view.php?ListManuals=uniden
>
>
>
> "Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:vIednW3uvbvzTD3fRVn-og@comcast.com...
> > You can get a manual for $3.00 from Grove, here:
> > http://www.grove-ent.com/equipmentmanuals.html
> >
> > Bill Crocker
> >
> >
> > "Zombie" <noemail@non.com> wrote in message
> > news:Mb2oe.40883$_r1.1220727@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > >I recently bought a Uniden BC760XLT scanner from ebay. Not having a
> manual
> > >,
> > > I'm wondering if anyone knows what these buttons do?
> > >
> > > DLY
> > > LMT
> > > SRC
> > >
> > > Any help would be really appeciated!!
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

2561. Re: Organizing your scanners!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-04 01:53:04 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <q9-dndvQMf0clDzfRVn-qA@comcast.com>
Source record: 13172

I'd like to see that!

Bill Crocker

"DX99" <nospam@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:mm5oe.1203$nr3.429@trnddc02...
>I built my own heavy duty radio desk (from workbench plan I found on the
> net) and had enough 2x8s left to build a shelf hutch for radios. Adding
> the
> finishing touches (some stain and stainless corner guards) this weekend.
> Will post some pics next week if I can. Very handsome piece of work, if I
> do
> say so myself:) The hutch shelf looks kind of like what you linked to,
> except heavy duty rich stained wood - thick. I simply added stainless
> corner
> guards from Restoration Hardware for a good utility look, stained it
> lightly
> to let the natural pine stand out. Looks and works great!
>
>
> "Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:T4OdnSRnlPfpsQLfRVn-2Q@comcast.com...
>> If you have more than one scanner, you know how radios, speakers, power
>> supplies, and related equipment, can quickly consume your desk space. I
> was
>> considering building a custom desk console, but I change equipment so
> often,
>> that anything custom would quickly become obsolete.
>>
>> I found the perfect solution, and it's very functional, and inexpensive.
> My
>> local Home Depot, and Lowes carry a products from ClosetMaid. They make
>> storage units, shelves, and closet organizing products. Particularly,
>> I'm
>> talking about their Laminate Storage Stackers. Click here for the link:
>> http://www.closetmaid.com/Look/Product_Catalog/index.cfm
>>
>> The one I'm using is the 24" Horizontal Organizer (Maple). It cost only
>> $9.95! It's made very well, and looks great! This give me three times
> the
>> effective space! Just thought I'd pass along the info!
>>
>> Bill Crocker
>>
>>

>>
>
>

2562. Re: BC760Xlt - what does that button do?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-04 06:23:19 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com>
Message-ID: <rNboe.12621$uR4.1719@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 13173

No problem. Glad to help...

"Zombie" <noemail@non.com> wrote in message
news:Bf6oe.41022$_r1.1273008@news20.bellglobal.com...
> Wow thanks man!!!!
>
> "Jim Hackett" <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com> wrote in message
> news:TI5oe.15047$w21.10063@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> > Or, you can get one HERE for free:
> > http://mods.dk/view.php?ListManuals=uniden
> >
> >
> >
> > "Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
> > news:vIednW3uvbvzTD3fRVn-og@comcast.com...
> > > You can get a manual for $3.00 from Grove, here:
> > > http://www.grove-ent.com/equipmentmanuals.html
> > >
> > > Bill Crocker
> > >
> > >
> > > "Zombie" <noemail@non.com> wrote in message
> > > news:Mb2oe.40883$_r1.1220727@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > > >I recently bought a Uniden BC760XLT scanner from ebay. Not having a
> > manual
> > > >,
> > > > I'm wondering if anyone knows what these buttons do?
> > > >
> > > > DLY
> > > > LMT
> > > > SRC
> > > >
> > > > Any help would be really appeciated!!
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

2563. Re: BC760Xlt - what does that button do?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-04 13:05:36 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <xEhoe.1840$rt3.357@fe03.lga>
Source record: 13174

That's an easy one. Use the LMT key first, then the SRC key...

For your example below, you would stop the scanning by pressing manual, or MAN, or whatever the button is labeled on your scanner, then set yourself up on an empty channel. Key in 430, then press LMT. Now, key in 450 and press LMT again. Now press SRC, and you will be searching all available frequencies between (and including) 430MHz to 450MHz...

"Zombie" <noemail@non.com> wrote in message
news:la5oe.40975$_r1.1261623@news20.bellglobal.com...
> Hi Don. Thanks for the reply, espicially on delay since it was getting
> rather annoying to listen to a conversation and have the radio start
> scanning again as soon as one party let off. I am kind of slow today and i
> am wondering if you can elaborate on SRC ? Whenever i push SRC i just get
> "Error" on the LCD. There also seems to be no way to scan a specific
> frequency range, such as 430-450Mhz, without entering every frequency
> manually. Is this related to the SRC key?
>
>

2564. FA - Shortwave Receivers & More

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-04 15:29:34 UTC
From: kk4tl <kk4tl@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117898974.713226.319710@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13175
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.scanner

Yaesu FRG-7 (Sears branded) - late version w/ fine tune control
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=15051&item=5778900464

Sony 7600GR - Nearly new
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=15051&item=5778913477

Uniden / Bearcat 30 channel scanner
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=40980&item=5778904114

256mb laptop memory
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=31575&item=6773964974

2565. 36th Elvaston Castle National Radio Rally

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-04 15:46:05 UTC
From: Pete Watts <1@2.3>
Message-ID: <42a1ccc4$0$292$cc9e4d1f@news-text.dial.pipex.com>
Source record: 13176

Don't forget that Sunday 12th of June is the 36th Elvaston Castle National Radio Rally.
As usual we have more than 150 traders selling Radio, Computer and Electronics equipment in a series of large marquees, large outdoor flea market and a further marquee for the grand bring and buy. The manufacturers marquee will also be there as usual with displays from Yaesu, Icom and Kenwood.
This year there will be two free prize draws, one from the event organizers and one from the Manufacturers.
The Six Meter Group will be attending this year and as always we have the Reception Marquee with tables and chairs for visitors to use and a wide range of caterers on site.
GB2ECR will be active from the previous Wednesday and as last year will be operating from the Saturday from the Bell Radio (http://www.bellradio.co.uk/amateur.htm) 6x6 ex Russian Army radio vehicle with a special license to operate up to 1kw. This year we we also have a second Russian Radio vehicle adding to the Military display. We will also have a vintage and in service Fire Engine on site during the day. For the rest of the family there is the usual Craft Marquee and we have a some large bouncy castles for the children. The event is held in the beautiful grounds of Elvaston Castle country park where there are many paths through the woods, parklands and round the lakes.
This year the rally program is available for download from the website.
More details at http://www.elvastonrally.co.uk
Entry cost is ï¿½6/car. Talk in is on 145.550mhz.
We hope to see you on the day..

2566. Antenna Recomendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-04 20:15:14 UTC
From: Skydog <glapier@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1117916109.011070.297520@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13177

Hi,

I'm new here and hope that someone can help. I have a Bearcat SC150B scanner that I need to replace the antenna. My question is what would be a good replacement antenna be? I listen mostly to the Aircraft band. Some of the one's that I've seen are - Austin Condor, Smiley Super Stick II, Smiley Flexi-Coil and Maxon WTA-1. I'd really like to improve my reception when I buy a replacement. Thanks for any help that can be provided.

Jerry
glapier@gmail.com

2567. manual for BC 300

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-05 04:17:44 UTC
From: Michael <warrior5@gte.net>
Message-ID: <I1voe.6070$_w.4056@trnddc01>
Source record: 13179
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Looking for a manual for a BC 300

2568. Re: manual for BC 300

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-05 04:45:13 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com>
Message-ID: <trvoe.15660$w21.5534@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 13180
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Try www.mods.dk

"Michael" <warrior5@gte.net> wrote in message
news:I1voe.6070$_w.4056@trnddc01...
> Looking for a manual for a BC 300

2569. Firehouse FM Radio Show

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-05 05:11:33 UTC
From: "nyscan@gmail.com" <nyscan@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1117948293.328791.236680@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13181

The new FireHouse.fm Radio show has been released for your listening pleasure.

This week, IBN highlights many channel changes and additions as well as a California apartment fire as the audio clip.

Also an interview from the Yakima Fire Chief regarding a shooting incident while fighting a fire.

http://www.firehouse.fm

2570. Re: BC760Xlt - what does that button do?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-05 14:28:28 UTC
From: "Mark S." <mark@caiman.us>
Message-ID: <MPG.1d0cab276b3b87e398975e@news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 13182

In article <Mb2oe.40883$_r1.1220727@news20.bellglobal.com>,
noemail@non.com says...
> I recently bought a Uniden BC760XLT scanner from ebay. Not having a manual ,
> I'm wondering if anyone knows what these buttons do?
>
> DLY
> LMT
> SRC
>
> Any help would be really appeciated!!
>
>
>
Here are a few extra key codes that aren't in the manual...

With the unit off, press and hold the 3 buttons- 2,9, & MANUAL then
turn the unit on to ZERO out all frequencies.

Try the same "trick" only with the 2, 9, and SCAN buttons.
(2, 9, and SCAN) + power, gives these results on BC 760xlt...
My guess would be that this is a test/reset mode.

Channel Freq. Displayed Channel Freq. Displayed
1 30.050 11 127.175
2 40.840 12 135.500
3 49.900 13 66.450
4 138.150 14 76.825
5 162.400 15 87.425
6 173.225 16 806.000
7 406.875 17 857.200
8 453.250 18 888.987
9 511.912 19 911.500
10 118.800 20 954.912
21-100 000.000 L/O (Displayed)

On all channels the bank number is displayed.

Note: The freqs. in channels; 13,14, and 15 are NOT even capable of
being programmed!

2571. Re: BC760Xlt - what does that button do?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-05 15:38:16 UTC
From: Zombie <noemail@non.com>
Message-ID: <B%Eoe.948$_n2.79683@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 13183

Thats kind of interesting about 13, 14 and 15, will the radio still tune to them?

----- Original Message -----
From: "Mark S." <mark@caiman.us>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Sent: Sunday, June 05, 2005 10:28 AM
Subject: Re: BC760Xlt - what does that button do?

> Channel Freq. Displayed Channel Freq. Displayed
> 1 30.050 11 127.175
> 2 40.840 12 135.500
> 3 49.900 13 66.450
> 4 138.150 14 76.825
> 5 162.400 15 87.425
> 6 173.225 16 806.000
> 7 406.875 17 857.200
> 8 453.250 18 888.987
> 9 511.912 19 911.500
> 10 118.800 20 954.912
> 21-100 000.000 L/O (Displayed)
>
> On all channels the bank number is displayed.
>
> Note: The freqs. in channels; 13,14, and 15 are NOT even capable of
> being programmed!

2572. Norad 364.2 Freq: Newbie Question: Is This AM ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-05 16:49:59 UTC
From: Robert11 <rgsrose@notme.com>
Message-ID: <H5Kdnd6IsYGqsD7fRVn-qQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 13184

Hello:
New at this.

The Norad freq.: NORAD: 364.2

Is this AM ?

Might as well also ask. Where (at what freq's) do the Mil aviation freq's
switch to FM ?
Would this be "narrow fm," or regular fm ?

Thanks,
B.

2573. Newbie Quest: Norad Freq. of 364.2 Is This AM ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-05 16:51:58 UTC
From: Robert11 <rgsrose@notme.com>
Message-ID: <vOednXUq2KYwsD7fRVn-tQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 13185

Hello:
New at this.

The Norad freq.: NORAD : 364.2

Is this AM ?

Might as well also ask.

Where (at what freq's) do the Mil aviation freq's
switch to FM ? Would this be "narrow fm," or regular fm ?

How about to SSB (upper or lower ?) ?

Thanks,
B.

2574. Re: Newbie Quest: Norad Freq. of 364.2 Is This AM ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-05 16:58:26 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4funREMOVEBEFOREFLIGHT.com>
Message-ID: <SaGoe.14173$M36.5963@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 13186

Robert11 wrote:

> Hello:
> New at this.
>
> The Norad freq.: NORAD : 364.2
>
> Is this AM ?
>
> Might as well also ask.
>
> Where (at what freq's) do the Mil aviation freq's
> switch to FM ? Would this be "narrow fm," or regular fm ?
>
> How about to SSB (upper or lower ?) ?
>
> Thanks,
> B.

This would be AM. 99.9% of MilAir activity between 225-400 MHz is in AM mode.

George - Daytona Beach
http://www.MilAirComms.com

2575. Re: San Jose PD Air Unit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-05 17:37:45 UTC
From: dystopian <dystopian@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <h0e6a1tdsgnau9vibvsg3isq6n51bqb92o@4ax.com>
Source record: 13187

On Thu, 2 Jun 2005 11:33:09 -0700, "Charlie Durand"
<c.durand@comcast.net> wrote:

>I guess when I saw the police chopper hovering near my house they were just
>watching because I never heard them come on the air. At least not on the
>frequency I was monitoring.
>
There are 3 police helo's that work the valley

SJPD Air 2
Sheriff Star 1
CHP Helicopter 32

So it could have been any one of the 3, and the latter not necessarily
on the SJPD channel for your district.

Althought he first two use Eurocopters with the distinctive and
annoying tail rotor sound.

2576. Re: Repeater back up on 27.620

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-06 22:20:13 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <x_3pe.344$2e7.308@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 13188
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Mr Germanium" <ck722a0006@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:4f277$42a04739$d1cc452d$4547@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> WOW !!!!
>
> A Freeband REPEATER :)
>
> Now this looks like a great DX catch for SWL and Ham alike!
>
> Does it operate 24/7 ?
>

Mr. Germanium says it all.

With all due regards from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

ps - why Mr. Germanium says it all will, unfortunately, be over the heads of many in the groups. It will not be over the heads of the technically astute.

2577. Re: Repeater back up on 27.620

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-06 23:13:19 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050606191319.860$GD@newsreader.com>
Source record: 13189
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
> "Mr Germanium" <ck722a0006@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:4f277$42a04739$d1cc452d$4547@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> > WOW !!!!
> >
> > A Freeband REPEATER :)
> >
> > Now this looks like a great DX catch for SWL and Ham alike!
> >
> > Does it operate 24/7 ?
> >
>
> Mr. Germanium says it all.
>
> With all due regards from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
> ps - why Mr. Germanium says it all will, unfortunately, be over the heads
> of many in the groups. It will not be over the heads of the technically
> astute.
>
Hello Jim.

I luv purple geraniums. They is pretty!

2578. Re: Repeater back up on 27.620

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-06 23:34:50 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <u45pe.299$tw5.28@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 13190
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050606191319.860$GD@newsreader.com...
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
> > "Mr Germanium" <ck722a0006@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:4f277$42a04739$d1cc452d$4547@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> > > WOW !!!!
> > >
> > > A Freeband REPEATER :)
> > >
> > > Now this looks like a great DX catch for SWL and Ham alike!
> > >
> > > Does it operate 24/7 ?
> > >
> >
> > Mr. Germanium says it all.
> >
> > With all due regards from Rochester, NY
> > Jim AA2QA
> >
> > ps - why Mr. Germanium says it all will, unfortunately, be over the
heads
> > of many in the groups. It will not be over the heads of the technically
> > astute.
> >
> Hello Jim.
>
> I luv purple geraniums. They is pretty!

Hello, Mopar

I suspect strongly that you are one of the group(s) that didn't have this
one go over your head :))

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

2579. Re: Repeater back up on 27.620

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-06 23:44:30 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050606194430.499$54@newsreader.com>
Source record: 13191
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050606191319.860$GD@newsreader.com...
> > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
> > > "Mr Germanium" <ck722a0006@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > news:4f277$42a04739$d1cc452d$4547@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> > > > WOW !!!!
> > > >
> > > > A Freeband REPEATER :)
> > > >
> > > > Now this looks like a great DX catch for SWL and Ham alike!
> > > >
> > > > Does it operate 24/7 ?
> > > >
> > >
> > > Mr. Germanium says it all.
> > >
> > > With all due regards from Rochester, NY
> > > Jim AA2QA
> > >
> > > ps - why Mr. Germanium says it all will, unfortunately, be over the
> heads
> > > of many in the groups. It will not be over the heads of the
> > > technically astute.
> > >
> > Hello Jim.
> >
> > I luv purple geraniums. They is pretty!
>
> Hello, Mopar
>
> I suspect strongly that you are one of the group(s) that didn't have this
> one go over your head :))
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
Duuno 'nuttin bout dat. I like cabbage as landscaping too. <the musical
fruit>

I'm scarfing some cooked cabbage/noodles/bacon/olive oil whilst' I type
this.. Looks like some keyboard maintenace may be in order after I finish
too. yum! :)

2580. Best 780 Software?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-07 01:55:25 UTC
From: DX99 <nospam@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <h87pe.7873$nr3.249@trnddc02>
Source record: 13192

Whats the general opinion of the existing software available out there?
Best? Worst? Freebies?

Thanks

2581. Re: Organizing your scanners!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-07 03:24:39 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <Kp8pe.6465$rt3.894@fe03.lga>
Source record: 13193

Ahhh... Figures... Strolled over to Home Depot... Nothing. All they have in ClosetMaid is the stupid wire racks, and wire shelves. Nothing in particle board... They have another brand name which has something similar, Mill's Pride, unfortunately it only comes in WHITE. Thought I'd check HomeDepot.com. No such luck. Same junk on their website.

Which store did you actually get it at? Must have been Lowes. I don't have one of those anywhere near me...

I found some other sources online, however the prices were like $23 to $28 plus shipping. Too much for a little self unit... I did find one on Target.com for $10.99. Price sounds great, until you find out that it's available online only, and they want a second shot of $10.99 for the shipping bringing the total back up there in the $22 category...

Oh well... Guess it's a white one... Maybe a little spray paint or something... One thing that the Mill's Pride shelf has over the one you bought (besides being $3 more at $12.97), is that the middle shelf is fully adjustable, and not at a fixed height...

Mill's Pride can be seen here... Only imagery is available is that of their .PDF catalog, sorry... You will need a .PDF reader to view... Item #C7. Can be found at Home Depot via this number also.

http://www.millspride.com/media/pdf/stor_prodcatalog.pdf

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message news:T4OdnSRnlPfpsQLfRVn-2Q@comcast.com...
> If you have more than one scanner, you know how radios, speakers, power
> supplies, and related equipment, can quickly consume your desk space. I
was
> considering building a custom desk console, but I change equipment so
often,
> that anything custom would quickly become obsolete.
>
> I found the perfect solution, and it's very functional, and inexpensive.
My
> local Home Depot, and Lowes carry a products from ClosetMaid. They make
> storage units, shelves, and closet organizing products. Particularly, I'm
> talking about their Laminate Storage Stackers. Click here for the link:
> http://www.closetmaid.com/Look/Product_Catalog/index.cfm
>
> The one I'm using is the 24" Horizontal Organizer (Maple). It cost only
> $9.95! It's made very well, and looks great! This give me three times
the
> effective space! Just thought I'd pass along the info!

>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
>

2582. Re: Repeater back up on 27.620

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-07 03:35:16 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <UB8pe.625$bv7.209@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 13194
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050606194430.499$54@newsreader.com...
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:20050606191319.860$GD@newsreader.com...
>> > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
>> > > "Mr Germanium" <ck722a0006@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> > > news:4f277$42a04739$d1cc452d$4547@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>> > > > WOW !!!!
>> > > >
>> > > > A Freeband REPEATER :)
>> > > >
>> > > > Now this looks like a great DX catch for SWL and Ham alike!
>> > > >
>> > > > Does it operate 24/7 ?
>> > > >
>> > >
>> > > Mr. Germanium says it all.
>> > >
>> > > With all due regards from Rochester, NY
>> > > Jim AA2QA
>> > >
>> > > ps - why Mr. Germanium says it all will, unfortunately, be over the
>> heads
>> > > of many in the groups. It will not be over the heads of the
>> > > technically astute.
>> > >
>> > Hello Jim.
>> >
>> > I luv purple geraniums. They is pretty!
>>
>> Hello, Mopar
>>
>> I suspect strongly that you are one of the group(s) that didn't have this
>> one go over your head :))
>>
>> 73 from Rochester, NY
>> Jim
>>
> Duuno 'nuttin bout dat. I like cabbage as landscaping too. <the musical
> fruit>
>
> I'm scarfing some cooked cabbage/noodles/bacon/olive oil whilst' I type

> this.. Looks like some keyboard maintenace may be in order after I finish
> too. yum! :)

LMAO!!!! too funny.

Landshark

--
My bad..the camera is mightier than the blowhard(s)..in most respects.

2583. Re: Repeater back up on 27.620

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-07 03:50:41 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050606235041.333$NU@newsreader.com>
Source record: 13195
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > I'm scarfing some cooked cabbage/noodles/bacon/olive oil whilst' I type
> > this.. Looks like some keyboard maintenace may be in order after I
> > finish too. yum! :)
>
> LMAO!!!! too funny.
>
> Landshark
>
Pull my finger. hehe

2584. Re: Repeater back up on 27.620

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-07 04:39:55 UTC
From: "Ralph A. Schmid, DK5RAS" <nospam@radio-link.net>
Message-ID: <i89aa19pubcl00fib2ttm0s4somi7vg27n@4ax.com>
Source record: 13196
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>I luv purple geraniums. They is pretty!

I do not; they stink.

regards - Ralph

--

Want to get in touch? http://www.radio-link.net/whereisralph.txt

2585. Re: Repeater back up on 27.620

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-07 13:06:52 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <ft6ba1hp1hn10elkf94emlfn9kkc6sf2qd@4ax.com>
Source record: 13197
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 06 Jun 2005 22:20:13 GMT, "Jim Hampton"
<aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:

>
>"Mr Germanium" <ck722a0006@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:4f277$42a04739$d1cc452d$4547@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>> WOW !!!!
>>
>> A Freeband REPEATER :)
>>
>> Now this looks like a great DX catch for SWL and Ham alike!
>>
>> Does it operate 24/7 ?
>>
>
>Mr. Germanium says it all.
>
>
>With all due regards from Rochester, NY
>Jim AA2QA
>
>ps - why Mr. Germanium says it all will, unfortunately, be over the heads of
>many in the groups. It will not be over the heads of the technically
>astute.
>

Well it certainly does speak for his age and probably experience......

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2586. Tick..Tick..Tick

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-07 13:31:08 UTC
From: Wayne Howell <whowell@gensearch.com>
Message-ID: <tZ-dne4xfrIHPDjfRVn-3A@cablespeedwa.com>
Source record: 13198

I've recently bought a PRO-95 and am enjoying scanning.....

Several times now, while listening to our local police/sheriff channel, I'll run receive an unintelligible message. The voices are very high pitched, sounding like a cross between Minnie Mouse and Alvin the Chipmunk, and I know they're talking but I can't quite understand what they are saying. Superimposed over this is a loud ticking sound.

What have I run into??

Wayne
Port Townsend, WA

2587. Meaning Of Stratcom and CP ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-07 13:38:10 UTC
From: Robert11 <rgsrose@notme.com>
Message-ID: <naqdnYH32OugPjjfRVn-tg@comcast.com>
Source record: 13199

Hi,

New at this.
Re some Air Combat Command nomenclature:

CP I guess means Combat Air Patrol. Right ?

What does Stratcom mean or signify ?

Thanks,
Bob

2588. FA - FRG-7 and Sony 7600GR *** Ending Tuesday Night ***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-07 14:30:34 UTC
From: kk4tl <kk4tl@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118154634.457599.241430@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13201
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Yaesu FRG-7 (Sears branded) - late version w/ fine tune control
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=15051&item=577...

Sony 7600GR - Nearly new
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=15051&item=577...

Uniden / Bearcat 30 channel scanner
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=40980&item=577...

2589. FA - Uniden BC-60XLT - No Reserve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-07 14:37:16 UTC
From: Not any More <kk4tl@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118155036.564246.84270@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13200

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=40980&item=5778904114

2590. Re: FA - FRG-7 and Sony 7600GR *** Ending Tuesday Night ***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-07 15:50:05 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <newskat@katxyzkave.net>
Message-ID: <uggba1d3c6lhvfhuhn61ohkvgk9jf5k4gh@4ax.com>
Source record: 13202
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Using a finger dipped in purple ink, "kk4tl" <kk4tl@aol.com> scribed:

>Yaesu FRG-7 (Sears branded) - late version w/ fine tune control
>http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=15051&item=577...

Invalid Item
This listing (577..) has been removed by eBay or is no longer available.
Please make sure that you've entered the item number correctly.

--

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

This sig censored by the Office of Home and Land Insecurity....

2591. FA - FRG-7 and Sony 7600GR *** Ending Tuesday Night ***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-07 15:51:20 UTC
From: kk4tl <kk4tl@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118159480.822613.41260@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13203
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.scanner

Yaesu FRG-7 (Sears branded) - late version w/ fine tune control
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=15051&item=577...

Sony 7600GR - Nearly new
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=15051&item=577...

Uniden / Bearcat 30 channel scanner
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=40980&item=577...

2592. Re: FA - FRG-7 and Sony 7600GR *** Ending Tuesday Night ***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-07 17:21:39 UTC
From: krackula <krackula@i.am>
Message-ID: <9alba1luffogeonu24nnt5dnprg19kp2g3@4ax.com>
Source record: 13204
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.scanner

are " misposting " the ebay urls intentionally ? ..... or is it a
mistake ? the auction locations you are posting (read them
yourself) do NOT reflect the auction number urls.
(only item 577...)

people " can " find your frg-7 auction and the 7 others by searching
" by seller " and typing in your " kk4tl_is_me " ebay ID and then
going roundabout to " sellers items " .

typing in yaesu FRG-7 in a general ebay search also works .....

73s
k.....

On 7 Jun 2005 08:51:20 -0700, "kk4tl" <kk4tl@aol.com> wrote:

>Yaesu FRG-7 (Sears branded) - late version w/ fine tune control
>http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=15051&item=577...
>
>Sony 7600GR - Nearly new
>http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=15051&item=577...
>
>Uniden / Bearcat 30 channel scanner
>http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=40980&item=577...

2593. Re: Tick..Tick..Tick

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-07 18:40:05 UTC
From: "Ralph A. Schmid, DK5RAS" <nospam@radio-link.net>
Message-ID: <6eqba1hmob82v4rito8o719otbvpje0hev@4ax.com>
Source record: 13205

Wayne Howell <whowell@gensearch.com> wrote:

>I've recently bought a PRO-95 and am enjoying scanning.....
>
>Several times now, while listening to our local police/sheriff channel,
>I'll run receive an unintelligible message. The voices are very high
>pitched, sounding like a cross between Minnie Mouse and Alvin the
>Chipmunk, and I know they're talking but I can't quite understand what
>they are saying. Superimposed over this is a loud ticking sound.
>
>What have I run into??

Rolling code voice inversion, the "tick" is the sync telegram. Should
be near to impossible to decode this, sorry.

Transcrypt is a common manufaturer of such toys, also AFAIK Daxon.

>Wayne
>Port Townsend, WA

regards - Ralph

--

Want to get in touch? http://www.radio-link.net/whereisralph.txt

2594. Re: FA - FRG-7 and Sony 7600GR *** Ending Tuesday Night ***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-07 21:05:49 UTC
From: El Dubya <kk4tl@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118178348.963529.191750@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13206
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.scanner

Sorry guys - don't know what went wrong with the link - it's

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=15051&item=5778900464&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

Hope it works now.

2595. Re: FA - FRG-7 and Sony 7600GR *** Ending Tuesday Night ***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-07 21:16:48 UTC
From: kk4tl <kk4tl@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118179008.001305.240690@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13207
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Hey the other links didn't work either (still don't know how they
all got shortened to 577's.

Anyway maybe these will work better. Or work period.
Uniden BC-60XLT
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=40980&item=5778904114

Sony 7600GR
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=15051&item=5778913477

And it wasn't purple ink - it was green and blue and orange. :-)

2596. Re: Tick..Tick..Tick

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-08 01:21:49 UTC
From: Pseudo Leader <ThePL@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <42a6481c$1@nntp.zianet.com>
Source record: 13208

Voice scrambling of the audio.

"Wayne Howell" <whowell@gensearch.com> wrote in message
news:tZ-dne4xfrIHPDjfRVn-3A@cablespeedwa.com...
> I've recently bought a PRO-95 and am enjoying scanning.....
>
> Several times now, while listening to our local police/sheriff channel,
> I'll run receive an unintelligible message. The voices are very high
> pitched, sounding like a cross between Minnie Mouse and Alvin the
> Chipmunk, and I know they're talking but I can't quite understand what
> they are saying. Superimposed over this is a loud ticking sound.
>
> What have I run into??
>
> Wayne
> Port Townsend, WA

2597. Re: Tick..Tick..Tick

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-08 01:43:52 UTC
From: Jesse <org@org.orgy>
Message-ID: <Xns966EDD0F9F7D7ewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 13209

Wayne Howell <whowell@gensearch.com> wrote in news:tZ-dne4xfrIHPDjfRVn-3A@cablespeedwa.com:

> I've recently bought a PRO-95 and am enjoying scanning.....
>
> Several times now, while listening to our local police/sheriff channel,
> I'll run receive an unintelligible message. The voices are very high
> pitched, sounding like a cross between Minnie Mouse and Alvin the
> Chipmunk, and I know they're talking but I can't quite understand what
> they are saying. Superimposed over this is a loud ticking sound.
>
> What have I run into??
>
> Wayne
> Port Townsend, WA

A 2-way conversation between Alvin the Chipmumk & Minnie Mouse ?

2598. Re: Tick..Tick..Tick

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-08 02:59:05 UTC
From: bob <noone@nowhere.org>
Message-ID: <_9tpe.9313$5u4.30763@nnrp1.uunet.ca>
Source record: 13210

If it's voice inversion, even if the base freq shifts about, should be pretty straight forward to decode. Any .wav (or whatever format) samples on the net available to download and examine?

B.

Ralph A. Schmid, DK5RAS wrote:
> Wayne Howell <whowell@gensearch.com> wrote:
>
>
>>I've recently bought a PRO-95 and am enjoying scanning.....
>>
>>Several times now, while listening to our local police/sheriff channel,
>>I'll run receive an unintelligible message. The voices are very high
>>pitched, sounding like a cross between Minnie Mouse and Alvin the
>>Chipmunk, and I know they're talking but I can't quite understand what
>>they are saying. Superimposed over this is a loud ticking sound.
>>
>>What have I run into??
>
>
> Rolling code voice inversion, the "tick" is the sync telegram. Should
> be near to impossible to decode this, sorry.
>
> Transcrypt is a common manufaturer of such toys, also AFAIK Daxon.
>
>
>>Wayne
>>Port Townsend, WA
>
>
>
>
>
> regards - Ralph
>

2599. Patrick AFB Area Log - Tues, 7 Jun 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-08 07:05:55 UTC
From: AllanStern@aol.com
Message-ID: <81.2940d26a.2fd7f2d3@aol.com>
Source record: 13211

Patrick AFB Area Log - Tues, 7 Jun 2005
==========

LIMA LIMA 21: P-3C, Jacksonville NAS VP-30 "Pro's Nest" Sqdn
9:30am: Pattern work at Patrick.
[133.75]
Photo: P6070003.

VIDAR 45: S-3B, Jacksonville NAS VS-22 "Checkmates"
9:52am: Southbound.
[307.25, 269.3, 353.6, 263.1]

BOLT 21: KC-135R tanker, MacDill AFB 6AMW
10:05am: 20 min out w 7 pax 1 crew to deplane, then pattern work.
[311.0]

JOLLY 51: HH-60G Pave Hawk Helo, Moody AFB 41RQS.
11:10am: Arr Patrick AFB.
[133.75]

LIFTER 35: C-17A, Charleston AFB 437AMW.
11:11am: Pattern work at Patrick AFB; RTB Charleston.
[133.75]
Photos: P6070001,2

UNID
11:26am: Tanker checking with Robins AFB Peachtree Ops re JSTARS 33.
[293.525]

NASA 906: T-38N #65-10326, Johnson Space Ctr, Houston.
11:27am: Lands, KSC Shuttle Landing Facility.
2:10pm: Departs.
[128.55, 124.8, 133.475]

NASA 902: T-38N #63-8193, Johnson Space Ctr, Houston.
11:29am: Lands? Departs? KSC Shuttle Landing Facility.
[125.075]

N21NG: LJ-35A #343, Northrop Grumman JSTARS Integration
Fac, Melbourne IAP.
11:37am: Inbound KMLB.
[132.65, 118.2]

GRITS 31: C-17A, Charleston AFB 437AW
11:54am: Pattern work at Patrick.
[133.75]
Photos: P6070001,2,3

COIL 01: F-15, FL-ANG 125FW, Jacksonville IAP
12:53pm: Area transition.
[346.25, 273.55, 269.25]

PATRICK AFB TOWER
1:08pm: Announced field closure due to fire.
Patrick Fire Dept comms heard on base
400-MHz trunk Talk Groups.
[133.75]

DOS 671: UH-1H #73-21671, US State Dept Air Wing,
Patrick AFB
1:26pm: Local training.
[133.75]

OMNI 31: C-130T Brunswick NAS VR-62
2:16pm: Self IDs as C-130. Rqsts Jacksonville NAS Base Ops
relay msg to Brunswick (DSN 476-1461) re ETA there
1740 Local. Also reports acft in trail will land
at Jax NAS.
[310.2]

LIMA 42: CBP Acft
5:48pm: "Estimating Jackpot in about an hour."
[282.425]

ROMAN 99: F/A-18C, Oceana NAS VFA-106 "Gladiators"
7:45pm: Area transition, FL 410.
[344.6, 319.0]

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL (28-11N 80-36W) monitoring
Patrick AFB (KCOF) NASA-KSC Shuttle Landing Fac (KX68)
Avon Park Bombing Range (KAGR) Cape Canaveral AFS (KXMR)
JSTARS E-8 Acft Integration Facility, Melbourne IAP (KMLB)
Worldwide Military HF Communications
Life Member: Missile, Space and Range Pioneers.
http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/index.html (My Freqs)
http://hometown.aol.com/scanaddict/index.html (My Equipment)

2600. RE: New scanner purchase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-08 09:27:11 UTC
From: "Steve L." <srlynch@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <PRype.25717$J12.11884@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 13213

Would someone please tell me if it is worth the extra $50 to purchase the PRO-97 scanner instead of the PRO-95?
I am new to "trunking" scanners, so I don't know what the advantage of having Triple-Trunking vs. Dual-Trunking available to me. I was going to purchase the PRO-96 digital, but my area doesn't have anybody that uses the digital channels right now.
Thanks in advance for the advice.

2601. Pro-94 trunk/non-trunk question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-08 13:18:49 UTC
From: kuzen001@duke.edu
Message-ID: <d86r7p$l8l$1@gargoyle.oit.duke.edu>
Source record: 13212

I've got a Pro-94 scannner, and I would
like to hear ONE particular user on a
trunked system, PLUS two non-trunked
frequencies. If I use the "HOLD"
feature for the trunked user, the radio
won't scan the other two non-trunked
frequencies. At least, it won't the
way I have it programmed--I'm no expert
on scanning, I just like hearing the
helicopter ambulances.

I thought about locking out ALL the
other trunked IDs, so it would "scan"
just the one trunked and then the two
non-trunked---but the Pro-94 only has
100 lockouts and there are many more
users on this system.

Does anybody with a Pro-94 know a way
I can hear just the one trunked, plus
two non-trunked, frequencies? TIA!

--Ken

____
Ken Kuzenski AC4RD ken . kuzenski at duke .edu
____
All disclaimers apply, see? www.duke.edu/~kuzen001

2602. Re: Pro-94 trunk/non-trunk question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-08 15:43:21 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <tmEpe.1028$hK3.427@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 13214

<kuzen001@duke.edu> wrote in message
news:d86r7p$l8l$1@gargoyle.oit.duke.edu...
>
> I've got a Pro-94 scannner, and I would
> like to hear ONE particular user on a
> trunked system, PLUS two non-trunked
> frequencies. If I use the "HOLD"
> feature for the trunked user, the radio
> won't scan the other two non-trunked
> frequencies. At least, it won't the
> way I have it programmed--I'm no expert
> on scanning, I just like hearing the
> helicopter ambulances.
>
> I thought about locking out ALL the
> other trunked IDs, so it would "scan"
> just the one trunked and then the two
> non-trunked---but the Pro-94 only has
> 100 lockouts and there are many more
> users on this system.
>
> Does anybody with a Pro-94 know a way
> I can hear just the one trunked, plus
> two non-trunked, frequencies? TIA!
>
> --Ken
>
> ____
> Ken Kuzenski AC4RD ken . kuzenski at duke .edu
> ____
> All disclaimers apply, see? www.duke.edu/~kuzen001

There is probably an easier way to do this, I am no expert at this, but this
is how I do it with a Pro-95.
The city has 2 dispatch, North and South, they are trunked. The Sheriff
has 2 dispatch, they are not trunked. At work there are times I want to only
hear one of the Sheriff, and one of the city. I set up one bank on the
scanner that only has the Talk group for the one City, and the one channel
for the Sheriff. When I just want to monitor those 2, I just turn on that
bank, and turn off the others. I think this would work with your 94 also.
Now someone here that knows more about these may have an easier solution,
but if not this should do what you want.
--
Beer is proof that God loves us and wants us to be happy.

--Benjamin Franklin

2603. Re: Pro-94 trunk/non-trunk question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-08 16:01:02 UTC
From: kuzen001@duke.edu
Message-ID: <d874nulqg1@gargoyle.oit.duke.edu>
Source record: 13216

Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com> wrote:
> hear one of the Sheriff, and one of the city. I set up one bank on the
> scanner that only has the Talk group for the one City, and the one channel
> for the Sheriff. When I just want to monitor those 2, I just turn on that

Alex, many thanks--I'll dig out the manual and see if I can
do this with my pro-94. I don't know anything about putting
talk groups into banks--I just have the trunked system's
frequencies in one bank and the other two frequencies in
another bank. It sounds like if I can put a talk-group ID
into a bank, that'll do exactly what I want--many thanks!
--Ken

_____
Ken Kuzenski AC4RD ken . kuzenski at duke .edu

_____
All disclaimers apply, see? www.duke.edu/~kuzen001

2604. Re: Tick..Tick..Tick

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-08 16:02:21 UTC
From: "Ralph A. Schmid, DK5RAS" <nospam@radio-link.net>
Message-ID: <ej5ea15phaf1iqm7jflqm51rtfgfdkhdqh@4ax.com>
Source record: 13215

bob <noone@nowhere.org> wrote:

>If it's voice inversion, even if the base freq shifts about, should be
>pretty straight forward to decode. Any .wav (or whatever format) samples
>on the net available to download and examine?

How do you want to find out what inversion frequency is used at what
moment?

regards - Ralph

--

Want to get in touch? http://www.radio-link.net/whereisralph.txt

2605. Re: New scanner purchase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-08 19:15:14 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com>
Message-ID: <6tHpe.1257$pa3.108@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 13217

It all depends on whether or not you need L.T.R. trunking.
Dual does Motorola and Edacs
Triple trunking adds L.T.R....

teve L." <srlynch@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:PRype.25717$J12.11884@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
> Would someone please tell me if it is worth the extra $50 to purchase
the
> PRO-97 scanner instead of the PRO-95?
> I am new to "trunking" scanners, so I don't know what the advantage of
> having Triple-Trunking vs. Dual-Trunking available to me. I was going to
> purchase the PRO-96 digital, but my area doesn't have anybody that uses
the
> digital channels right now.
> Thanks in advance for the advice.
>
>

2606. Penticton BC Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-08 20:16:26 UTC
From: burgessfred33@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1118261786.863231.81780@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13218

Does any one have RCMP, fire, ambulance, and Alpine Helicopter
frequecnies for Penticton? Also any other interesting channels?
Thanks
Fred Burgess

2607. Radio Shack PRO-90 mod for 800 MHZ Range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-08 20:27:52 UTC
From: Per Karlsen <pkarlsen@swfla.rr.com>
Message-ID: <cxIpe.105436$w15.67422@tornado.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 13219

Is this any type of modification that can be made to the subject scanner to pick up the cell phone range ?

2608. Re: Radio Shack PRO-90 mod for 800 MHZ Range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-08 20:45:05 UTC
From: Samuel Warren <samuelREMOVE_THIS@myrealbox.com>
Message-ID: <dPOdne42M5NPxTrfRVn-vw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 13220

That's an easy one. NOPE!

This message was written on 100% recycled spam. SAM >>
samuelREMOVE_THIS@myrealbox.com
"Per Karlsen" <pkarlsen@swfla.rr.com> wrote in message
news:cxIpe.105436$w15.67422@tornado.tampabay.rr.com...
> Is this any type of modification that can be made to the subject scanner
to
> pick up the cell phone range ?
>
>

2609. Re: Tick..Tick..Tick

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-08 22:32:38 UTC
From: bob <noone@nowhere.org>
Message-ID: <9mKpe.9402$5u4.30918@nnrp1.uunet.ca>
Source record: 13221

First you have to determine ow many 'base' frequencies are utilized. it's likely a small number, likely 8, 16 at the most. If it's a variable scale, it could be a larger number, but again, not an impossible task.

You will have to determine how the key is sent, or if it's a rolling sequence. (a rolling sequence would not require continuous sync pulses, so it's likely the receiver looks for the next key (base freq) and changes the mix frequency accordingly.

To analyze it manually (to at least get some details about the transmission format) just grab a small (perhaps a few seconds) sample and tear it apart manually. Break it up with a computer sound editing program and play each slice repeatedly into a frequency inversion circuit (Ramsay sold one, there are also a few schematics floating about the net) until you recover natural sounding snippits of audio. Splice it all back together and see how it sounds. Document each mixer freq needed, and compare them to each 'tick' data byte. (you'll need a data slicer for this, again, see the net.) This will give you a good selection of raw data.

Just to clairfy things, when I said "...it should be pretty straightfroward to decode." I was not suggesting it would not be labour intense. It could turn out to be a royal pain in the a$$, however, if it's simply inversion with a variable mixer freq, there's no real security there. It would be inconvienent to analyze, but again, the process is straightforward. To automate it will also take a lot of time, but again, unlike with digital ciphering, you're not up against large numbers and even larger permutations.

Is there a sample of the audio somewhere on the net that could be downloaded?

B.

Ralph A. Schmid, DK5RAS wrote:
> bob <noone@nowhere.org> wrote:
>
>
>>If it's voice inversion, even if the base freq shifts about, should be
>>pretty straight forward to decode. Any .wav (or whatever format) samples
>>on the net available to download and examine?
>
>
> How do you want to find out what inversion frequency is used at what
> moment?
>

>
>
> regards - Ralph
>

2610. Re: Meaning Of Stratcom and CP ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-09 00:16:38 UTC
From: Sarah Czepiel <ninety7gt@cox.net>
Message-ID: <kh2fa15bn4vl88n8v3sk537gh78gonjpav@4ax.com>
Source record: 13222

On Tue, 7 Jun 2005 09:38:10 -0400, "Robert11" <rgsrose@notme.com>
wrote:

>Hi,
>
>New at this.
>Re some Air Combat Command nomenclature:
>
>CP I guess means Combat Air Patrol. Right ?
>
>What does Stratcom mean or signify ?

Strategic Command? Located in Omaha I believe.

>Thanks,
>Bob
>

Drug addled Guvner <http://img147.echo.cx/img147/7369/guvnor4pn.jpg>:

"why go to a pub, down 10 pints of beer and get into a fight, when you
can take ecstasy, go to a rave and meet hundreds of beautiful people"

"George \"Pops\" Foster" <Jazzy@bass.gov>
Message-ID: <rTFoe.19423$HP1.1806@fe08.lga> addressing Guvner:

"All I've noted was how sarah ridiculed you yet again."

2611. Re: Meaning Of Stratcom and CP ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-09 02:30:47 UTC
From: "David L. Wilson" <dwilson314@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <HbKdnQ6_7_FBNDrfRVn-3A@adelphia.com>
Source record: 13223

"Sarah Czepiel" <ninety7gt@cox.net> wrote in message
news:kh2fa15bn4vl88n8v3sk537gh78gonjpav@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 7 Jun 2005 09:38:10 -0400, "Robert11" <rgsrose@notme.com>
> wrote:
>
>>Hi,
>>
>>New at this.
>>Re some Air Combat Command nomenclature:
>>
>>CP I guess means Combat Air Patrol. Right ?

No, Command Post. Comabat Air patorls is CAP.

>>What does Stratcom mean or signify ?
>
> Strategic Command? Located in Omaha I believe.

Why not go to their home page
http://www.stratcom.mil/

2612. Re: Tick..Tick..Tick

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-09 04:13:52 UTC
From: "Ralph A. Schmid, DK5RAS" <nospam@radio-link.net>
Message-ID: <vdgfa1d67kruqo0nr6fmtomvs4hptlia47@4ax.com>
Source record: 13224

bob <noone@nowhere.org> wrote:

>roward to decode." I was not suggesting it would not be labour
>intense. It could turn out to be a royal pain in the a$$, however, if
>it's simply inversion with a variable mixer freq, there's no real
>security there.

The problem may be that the tick usually has nothing to do with the number of frequency changes. The inversion frequency may change several hundred times per minute, and the tick is just used to keep in sync.

regards - Ralph

--

Want to get in touch? http://www.radio-link.net/whereisralph.txt

2613. Re: New scanner purchase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-09 05:00:55 UTC
From: "Steve L." <srlynch@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <b2Qpe.1710$Z44.1256@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 13225

Jim,
Thanks for taking the time to respond; could you tell me what L.T.L. stands for? (As I said, I'm new to "trunking" radios. Thanks

"Jim Hackett" <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com> wrote in message news:6tHpe.1257$pa3.108@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> It all depends on whether or not you need L.T.R. trunking.
> Dual does Motorola and Edacs
> Triple trunking adds L.T.R....
>
>
> teve L." <srlynch@pacbell.net> wrote in message
> news:PRype.25717$J12.11884@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
>> Would someone please tell me if it is worth the extra $50 to purchase
> the
>> PRO-97 scanner instead of the PRO-95?
>> I am new to "trunking" scanners, so I don't know what the advantage of
>> having Triple-Trunking vs. Dual-Trunking available to me. I was going to
>> purchase the PRO-96 digital, but my area doesn't have anybody that uses
> the
>> digital channels right now.
>> Thanks in advance for the advice.
>>
>>
>
>

2614. Re: New scanner purchase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-09 06:55:23 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com>
Message-ID: <vJRpe.1470$pa3.56@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 13226

I believe it stands for:
Logic Trunked Radio
It is also known as E.F. Johnson

"Steve L." <srlynch@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:b2Qpe.1710$Z44.1256@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
> Jim,
> Thanks for taking the time to respond; could you tell me what L.T.L.
stands
> for? (As I said, I'm new to "trunking" radios. Thanks
>
>
> "Jim Hackett" <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com> wrote in message
> news:6tHpe.1257$pa3.108@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> > It all depends on whether or not you need L.T.R. trunking.
> > Dual does Motorola and Edacs
> > Triple trunking adds L.T.R....
> >
> >
> > teve L." <srlynch@pacbell.net> wrote in message
> > news:PRype.25717$J12.11884@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
> >> Would someone please tell me if it is worth the extra $50 to
purchase
> > the
> >> PRO-97 scanner instead of the PRO-95?
> >> I am new to "trunking" scanners, so I don't know what the advantage
of
> >> having Triple-Trunking vs. Dual-Trunking available to me. I was going
to
> >> purchase the PRO-96 digital, but my area doesn't have anybody that uses
> > the
> >> digital channels right now.
> >> Thanks in advance for the advice.
> >>
> >>
> > >
> > >
>
>

2615. Re: Tick..Tick..Tick

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-09 13:30:19 UTC
From: bob <noone@nowhere.org>
Message-ID: <JvXpe.9467$5u4.31135@nnrp1.uunet.ca>
Source record: 13227

Okay, so if it's several hundred times per minute, that would definitely change everything. However, if that's the case, would it not be most likely they're employing some sort of sinewave (or sawtooth, or stepwave) 'seed' signal into a VCO which supplies the mixer freq?

It's not impossible, but it may be unlikely the mixer freq changes that often. If it changes too much, then it itself starts to become a problem, because the switching itself will begin to encroach into the audio spectrum. The mathematical limit would be 3000 seed changes per minute, as this would impose a 50Hz noise on the information. I suppose part of the problem is my not ever hearing this type of signal, so I'm only able to imagine what it sounds like. Is the system is truly an analog mangling of the source material? Also, there was another system out back in the 70's where they took the passband, inverted it, sliced it up, and shifted the pieces about. It think Radio-Electronics did a feature on it, including a project for one that broke 300Hz-3000Hz into 4 pieces, mangled them a bit and out popped your encoded audio. It was a symmetrical system.

The more I think about it, it could be a nasty chore to straighten it out. Harris has a system for HF that's straight analog but certainly not easy to decipher, but their's is not straight inversion either. If however, the mixer truly shifts about that much, it's simply not worth the effort, unless you don't mind placing your sanity at risk... :)

Ralph A. Schmid, DK5RAS wrote:
> bob <noone@nowhere.org> wrote:
>
>
>>roward to decode." I was not suggesting it would not be labour
>>intense. It could turn out to be a royal pain in the a$$, however, if
>>it's simply inversion with a variable mixer freq, there's no real
>>security there.
>
>
> The problem may be that the tick usually has nothing to do with the
> number of frequency changes. The inversion frequency may change
> several hundred times per minute, and the tick is just used to keep in
> sync.
>
>
>
> regards - Ralph
>

2616. Re: Tick..Tick..Tick

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-09 13:42:41 UTC
From: Wayne Howell <whowell@gensearch.com>
Message-ID: <JpOdnUK7ofHR2jXfRVn-sA@cablespeedwa.com>
Source record: 13228

The tick that started this thread is at a one second interval......

These scrambled (?) messages occur quite infrequently (perhaps 2-3 times a day) and it is always in incoming message. The dispatcher usually (but not always!) responds in plain talk.

About half the time, from the context of the dispatcher's message, I can identify which field radio the scrambled (?) speech is coming from. And, in those cases I will have heard the same radio in clear speech and will hear it later on also.

Wayne Howell
Port Townsend, WA

2617. Re: Tick..Tick..Tick

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-09 15:14:50 UTC
From: "Ralph A. Schmid, DK5RAS" <nospam@radio-link.net>
Message-ID: <m1nga1hls714mi34toagf7p7m5ofgs20n7@4ax.com>
Source record: 13229

bob <noone@nowhere.org> wrote:

>Okay, so if it's several hundred times per minute, that would definitely
>change everything. However, if that's the case, would it not be most
>likely they're employing some sort of sinewave (or sawtooth, or
>stepwave) 'seed' signal into a VCO which supplies the mixer freq?

Both seems to be in use, depending on the manufacturer.

Check out those sites:

www.transcrypt.com
www.kavitsecure.com
http://www.daxonfil.com/en/index.html

>It's not impossible, but it may be unlikely the mixer freq changes that
>often.

It is not too unlikely - anything else would decrease the security too
much.

>The more I think about it, it could be a nasty chore to straighten it
>out. Harris has a system for HF that's straight analog but certainly not
>easy to decipher, but their's is not straight inversion either. If
>however, the mixer truly shifts about that much, it's simply not worth
>the effort, unless you don't mind placing your sanity at risk... :)

g

regards - Ralph

--

Want to get in touch? http://www.radio-link.net/whereisralph.txt

2618. Re: Tick..Tick..Tick

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-09 15:16:02 UTC
From: "Ralph A. Schmid, DK5RAS" <nospam@radio-link.net>
Message-ID: <38nga19mn8ot0tv495eosdt84c54et9orb@4ax.com>
Source record: 13230

Wayne Howell <whowell@gensearch.com> wrote:

>The tick that started this thread is at a one second interval......
>
>These scrambled (?) messages occur quite infrequently (perhaps 2-3 times
>a day) and it is always in incoming message. The dispatcher usually
>(but not always!) responds in plain talk.

The same here with some APCO25 users :) Often only one person
scrambles, the other not :-)

>About half the time, from the context of the dispatcher's message, I can
>identify which field radio the scrambled (?) speech is coming from.
>And, in those cases I will have heard the same radio in clear speech and
>will hear it later on also.

Same here, too.

>Wayne Howell
>Port Townsend, WA

regards - Ralph

--

Want to get in touch? http://www.radio-link.net/whereisralph.txt

2619. Re: Tick..Tick..Tick

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-09 15:31:15 UTC
From: krackula <krackula@i.am>
Message-ID: <0nnga19uivkd12kark90j10838c7mufbe6@4ax.com>
Source record: 13231

the sync ticks give it away as hopping " rolling code voice inversion
encryption "

Rolling/Hopping Code Scrambling

This inverts the audio around a frequency which keeps changing. This
requires synchronization of the inversion frequency between sender and
receivers.

The security of this system depends on the combination of how often
the inversion frequency changes and how random the change is. The use
of random codes to specify the inversion frequency allows a high level
of control over the security.

This allows the techniques of key generation used in digital data
security to be applied, yielding immensely large number of codes, and
the added security from these changing frequently as well.

"
http://www.machine-ware.co.nz/Safehand.htm

rather than " muse " yourself into oblivion , why not just type
" rolling code voice inversion " into Google to learn why this is
the " latest and greatest " form of super secure encryption ,
even used extensively by the military.

you'd have more luck breaking into your cable box than
decoding this newer encryption product ......

k.................

On Thu, 09 Jun 2005 09:30:19 -0400, bob <noone@nowhere.org> wrote:

>Okay, so if it's several hundred times per minute, that would definitely
>change everything. However, if that's the case, would it not be most
>likely they're employing some sort of sinewave (or sawtooth, or
>stepwave) 'seed' signal into a VCO which supplies the mixer freq?
>
>It's not impossible, but it may be unlikely the mixer freq changes that
>often. If it changes too much, then it itself starts to become a
>problem, because the switching itself will begin to encroach into the
>audio spectrum. The mathematical limit would be 3000 seed changes per
>minute, as this would impose a 50Hz noise on the information. I suppose
>part of the problem is my not ever hearing this type of signal, so I'm
>only able to imagine what it sounds like. Is the system is truly an
>analog mangling of the source material? Also, there was another system
>out back in the 70's where they took the passband, inverted it, sliced

>it up, and shifted the pieces about. It think Radio-Electronics did a
>feature on it, including a project for one that broke 300Hz-3000Hz into
>4 pieces, mangled them a bit and out popped your encoded audio. It was a
>symmetrical system.
>
>The more I think about it, it could be a nasty chore to straighten it
>out. Harris has a system for HF that's straight analog but certainly not
>easy to decipher, but their's is not straight inversion either. If
>however, the mixer truly shifts about that much, it's simply not worth
>the effort, unless you don't mind placing your sanity at risk... :)
>
>
>
>
>
>
>Ralph A. Schmid, DK5RAS wrote:
>> bob <noone@nowhere.org> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>roward to decode." I was not suggesting it would not be labour
>>>intense. It could turn out to be a royal pain in the a$$, however, if
>>>it's simply inversion with a variable mixer freq, there's no real
>>>security there.
>>
>>
>> The problem may be that the tick usually has nothing to do with the
>> number of frequency changes. The inversion frequency may change
>> several hundred times per minute, and the tick is just used to keep in
>> sync.
>>
>>
>>
>> regards - Ralph
>>

2620. Re: Radio Shack PRO-90 mod for 800 MHZ Range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-09 15:45:31 UTC
From: consulting911@comcast.net
Message-ID: <1118331931.760290.63750@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13232

http://www.prweb.com/releases/2005/6/prweb248270.php

2621. Need Antenna Advice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-09 16:25:53 UTC
From: Rob Ramm <nospamtherammer@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3crga1pcvrc5a6ialgpdtud3ojsa7hmpk6@4ax.com>
Source record: 13233

I need some advice on a CLP5130-1 Log Peiodic made by Create.
I have had one of these mounted vertical on a fiberglass mast for 10 years now and it survived many windstorms until last week. It would have survived that one also if my 68ft selfsupport tower did not land on it :)

Anyways, what I intend to do is to repurchase a new one to mount vertical, and also another one to mount just below it horizontal for Television/FM reception. The one I use for scanning I feed with 5/8 hardline and I was intending on putting some Times microwave 75ohm hardline (1/2 inch) LMR 600-75 on the second one used for television,reduce it down to an F connector and put in a regular VHF/UHF preamp that adapts out to the "N' connector of the Create.

My question is, has anyone used these as a television antenna. I know they claim 10-12db gain across the whole band which is what my TV antenna boasted as well. I have seen posts that they do not really have as much gain as Create says, but then, does my Delhi Deep Fringe tv antenna which I have to replace also have the stated 7db gain on VHF lo and 13db gain on VHF-HI/UHF.

Looking at the specs, you would say, they should perform the same. I guess I am looking for some advice as to real world experiences since you cannot believe what is printed.
Do these work good as a tv antenna? You may say this is a bit extreme in cost. The wind loading on these antennas is stated around 1 square ft . Their relitively small "wind footprint" is attractive to me as I am looking for lighter alternative with both being at the top of a new 68ft tower I want to stay up.

Thanks,

Rob Ramm

2622. Re: New scanner purchase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-09 16:37:12 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <oJOdnSE4F_6k7TXfRVn-pw@comcast.com>
Source record: 13234

Here is more than you'll ever want to know about LTR:
http://home.hvc.rr.com/bpetrow/ltr.htm

Bill Crocker

"Steve L." <srlynch@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:b2Qpe.1710$Z44.1256@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
> Jim,
> Thanks for taking the time to respond; could you tell me what L.T.L.
> stands for? (As I said, I'm new to "trunking" radios. Thanks
>
>
> "Jim Hackett" <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com> wrote in message
> news:6tHpe.1257$pa3.108@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>> It all depends on whether or not you need L.T.R. trunking.
>> Dual does Motorola and Edacs
>> Triple trunking adds L.T.R....
>>
>>
>> teve L." <srlynch@pacbell.net> wrote in message
>> news:PRype.25717$J12.11884@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
>>> Would someone please tell me if it is worth the extra $50 to purchase
>> the
>>> PRO-97 scanner instead of the PRO-95?
>>> I am new to "trunking" scanners, so I don't know what the advantage
>>> of
>>> having Triple-Trunking vs. Dual-Trunking available to me. I was going to
>>> purchase the PRO-96 digital, but my area doesn't have anybody that uses
>> the
>>> digital channels right now.
>>> Thanks in advance for the advice.
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

2623. Re: Best 780 Software?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-09 16:41:53 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <GKWdnR4m7KfO7DXfRVn-sw@comcast.com>
Source record: 13235

Without question, Butel ARC780. You can download a free demo here:
http://www.butel.nl/

Bill Crocker

"DX99" <nospam@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:h87pe.7873$nr3.249@trnddc02...
> Whats the general opinion of the existing software available out there?
> Best? Worst? Freebies?
>
> Thanks
>
>

2624. Decent mods for pro-2052

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-09 16:56:55 UTC
From: AC <cryfrdawn@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11agt2adm9ilmf5@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 13236

Im looking for any decent mods for the 2052 base... not cell but other freq mods below 29 and also a good SSB mod...

2625. Re: Decent mods for pro-2052

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-09 18:31:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <CJ-dnX33cLx4FzXfRVn-sw@comcast.com>
Source record: 13237

Not gonna happen.

Bill Crocker

"AC" <cryfrdawn@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:11agt2adm9ilmf5@corp.supernews.com...
> Im looking for any decent mods for the 2052 base... not cell but other
> freq
> mods below 29 and also a good SSB mod...
>
>

2626. Re: New scanner purchase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-09 20:50:33 UTC
From: Sarah Czepiel <ninety7gt@cox.net>
Message-ID: <eraha1t27dhf01pfnspukkutq0i0al9fdn@4ax.com>
Source record: 13238

On Thu, 9 Jun 2005 12:37:12 -0400, "Bill Crocker"
<wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote:

>Here is more than you'll ever want to know about LTR:
>http://home.hvc.rr.com/bpetrow/ltr.htm
>
>Bill Crocker

Hi Bill,

Thanks for posting that link. Very informative. :)

Regards, Sarah

>"Steve L." <srlynch@pacbell.net> wrote in message
>news:b2Qpe.1710$Z44.1256@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
>> Jim,
>> Thanks for taking the time to respond; could you tell me what L.T.L.
>> stands for? (As I said, I'm new to "trunking" radios. Thanks
>>
>>
>> "Jim Hackett" <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com> wrote in message
>> news:6tHpe.1257$pa3.108@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>> It all depends on whether or not you need L.T.R. trunking.
>>> Dual does Motorola and Edacs
>>> Triple trunking adds L.T.R....
>>>
>>>
>>> teve L." <srlynch@pacbell.net> wrote in message
>>> news:PRype.25717$J12.11884@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
>>>> Would someone please tell me if it is worth the extra $50 to purchase
>>> the
>>>> PRO-97 scanner instead of the PRO-95?
>>>> I am new to "trunking" scanners, so I don't know what the advantage
>>>> of
>>>> having Triple-Trunking vs. Dual-Trunking available to me. I was going to
>>>> purchase the PRO-96 digital, but my area doesn't have anybody that uses
>>> the
>>>> digital channels right now.
>>>> Thanks in advance for the advice.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>

>

Drug addled Guvner <http://img147.echo.cx/img147/7369/guvnor4pn.jpg>:

"why go to a pub, down 10 pints of beer and get into a fight, when you
can take ecstasy, go to a rave and meet hundreds of beautiful people"

"George \"Pops\" Foster" <Jazzy@bass.gov>
Message-ID: <rTFoe.19423$HP1.1806@fe08.lga> addressing Guvner:

"All I've noted was how sarah ridiculed you yet again."

2627. Buying Advice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-09 22:27:02 UTC
From: "Buford T. Justice" <???@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <Wm3qe.21843$ld3.14853@trnddc04>
Source record: 13239
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I would like to buy a new scanner that does military aircraft band and has selectable AM and FM on all bands since I like to listen to the military. Would this scanner be a wise choice?
http://www.uniden.com/productpop/00_productpop.cfm?prd_code=BC898T

Would that be a good one or might someone have a recommendation? I would like to stay around $200.

I am pretty sure no one in my area uses Trunk Tracking, but that could always change.

Thanks,
BTJustice

2628. Re: Tick..Tick..Tick

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-09 23:40:28 UTC
From: bob <noone@nowhere.org>
Message-ID: <Kr4qe.9510$5u4.31603@nnrp1.uunet.ca>
Source record: 13240

Even back in high school the cable boxes were never a significant problem... ;-)

I will concur that a high rate rolling code inversion could be a complete pain in the keester, but I also maintain it would not be as secure as an asymmetrical digital system with an exception, that being key changes at a significant rate. If the rate of key changes equal the information rate, then you're flummoxed. If it's much less, then you have a good chance of figuring it out. Speech itself is simply the concatenation of known allophones that can only be serialized in limited and well known sequences, so the number of possibilities of inverted speech is limited. Digital encryption allows the absolute obscurity of the source information. Please don't read into this that cracking analog systems is a 'walk in the park' so to speak, but they are not nearly as secure as a digital system. I initially assumed the source signal was simple inverted speech with a key change once or twice a second; that would be easily deciphered. It would seem this is not at all the case with respect to the communiques being discussed.

That said, there are some valuable cribs to be found in utility communications; call signs, phrases such as 10-4, roger, etc, are dead giveaways to someone on the right track, if indeed they are attacking something with a significantly lower rate of frequency shifts.

B.

krackula wrote:
> the sync ticks give it away as hopping " rolling code voice inversion
> encryption "
>
> Rolling/Hopping Code Scrambling
>
> This inverts the audio around a frequency which keeps changing. This
> requires synchronization of the inversion frequency between sender and
> receivers.
>
> The security of this system depends on the combination of how often
> the inversion frequency changes and how random the change is. The use
> of random codes to specify the inversion frequency allows a high level
> of control over the security.
>
> This allows the techniques of key generation used in digital data
> security to be applied, yielding immensely large number of codes, and
> the added security from these changing frequently as well.
>

> "
> http://www.machine-ware.co.nz/Safehand.htm
>
>
>
>
> rather than " muse " yourself into oblivion , why not just type
> " rolling code voice inversion " into Google to learn why this is
> the " latest and greatest " form of super secure encryption ,
> even used extensively by the military.
>
> you'd have more luck breaking into your cable box than
> decoding this newer encryption product ......
>
>
>
> k.................
>
>
>
>
> On Thu, 09 Jun 2005 09:30:19 -0400, bob <noone@nowhere.org> wrote:
>
>
>>Okay, so if it's several hundred times per minute, that would definitely
>>change everything. However, if that's the case, would it not be most
>>likely they're employing some sort of sinewave (or sawtooth, or
>>stepwave) 'seed' signal into a VCO which supplies the mixer freq?
>>
>>It's not impossible, but it may be unlikely the mixer freq changes that
>>often. If it changes too much, then it itself starts to become a
>>problem, because the switching itself will begin to encroach into the
>>audio spectrum. The mathematical limit would be 3000 seed changes per
>>minute, as this would impose a 50Hz noise on the information. I suppose
>>part of the problem is my not ever hearing this type of signal, so I'm
>>only able to imagine what it sounds like. Is the system is truly an
>>analog mangling of the source material? Also, there was another system
>>out back in the 70's where they took the passband, inverted it, sliced
>>it up, and shifted the pieces about. It think Radio-Electronics did a
>>feature on it, including a project for one that broke 300Hz-3000Hz into
>>4 pieces, mangled them a bit and out popped your encoded audio. It was a
>>symmetrical system.
>>
>>The more I think about it, it could be a nasty chore to straighten it
>>out. Harris has a system for HF that's straight analog but certainly not
>>easy to decipher, but their's is not straight inversion either. If
>>however, the mixer truly shifts about that much, it's simply not worth
>>the effort, unless you don't mind placing your sanity at risk... :)
>>
>>

>>
>>
>>
>>
>>Ralph A. Schmid, DK5RAS wrote:
>>
>>>bob <noone@nowhere.org> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>roward to decode." I was not suggesting it would not be labour
>>>>intense. It could turn out to be a royal pain in the a$$, however, if
>>>>it's simply inversion with a variable mixer freq, there's no real
>>>>security there.
>>>
>>>
>>>The problem may be that the tick usually has nothing to do with the
>>>number of frequency changes. The inversion frequency may change
>>>several hundred times per minute, and the tick is just used to keep in
>>>sync.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>regards - Ralph
>>>
>
>

2629. Re: Uniden BC898T any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-10 01:55:29 UTC
From: "Buford T. Justice" <???@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <lq6qe.8582$Kj3.4492@trnddc03>
Source record: 13241

Weren't you the guy raving about the BC898T last year?

BTJustice

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:Wc6dnR16qfs4rsDfRVn-oQ@comcast.com...
>I would go for the BC780XLT, if Mil-Air is your primary interest. I think
>it's the best available to the general consumer right now, with that
>coverage. It also has Alpha-Tagging, which is a nice feature! Not to
>mention, it's much smaller, and has a better build quality.
>
> When the BC898-T was first released, it had a bug in the CTCSS circuit,
> that would cause the scanner to lock-up, after about an hour. New
> firmware is supposed to resolve this, but I haven't confirmed that it
> worked. I wasn't overly impressed with this radio, and sold it after a
> month.
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
> "Frank Bals" <fjb@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:UW87e.71465$cg1.46592@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>> Looking for comments on this new scanner. How well does it work on the
>> military air bands? How does it compare to the 780XLT?
>>
>> Frank
>>
>
>

2630. New York area scanners -- listen on 42.8 mHz this Saturday!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-10 07:36:54 UTC
From: chobbs@socal.rr.com
Message-ID: <1118389014.853182.97490@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13242

http://alpha.fdu.edu/wfdu/wfdufm/index2.html

The clock will be turned back on Saturday, June 11, 2005, when a transmitter broadcasting on an original FM frequency will be switched on at the Alpine Tower in Alpine, NJ, to commemorate the 70th anniversary of the first public demonstration of FM radio by its inventor Major Edwin Howard Armstrong.

A special program, hosted by WINS Anchorwoman Judy DeAngelis, will originate from the site of the world's first FM station in Alpine. The broadcast will begin at 12 noon. It will tell the tale of the difficult birth of FM radio through personal recollections by some of the people who worked with Major Armstrong - including Mr. Renville H. McMann Jr., former VP, CBS Technology Center. McMann worked for the Major at age 14 while in school.

Mr. Tom Lewis, author of Empire Of The Air, is slated to offer his insights into Armstrong's struggles with industry giants of the time as well as the inventor's remarkable impact on all forms of present day communications. The book served as the basis of the Ken Burns PBS special of the same name.

Included in Saturday's broadcast will be excerpts from a recording of a special 1941 test broadcast of the original New England Yankee Network-the first such network to use FM radio links instead of telephone lines to connect stations. A radio dramatization of Empire Of The Air, originally produced by David Ossman for American Public Radio, will be broadcast.

The commemorative broadcast day is scheduled to conclude with the 1954 signoff of the Alpine station W2XMN following the death of Major Armstrong.

For those who do not have an FM radio on the 42.8Mc frequency, the broadcast can be heard through the facilities of WFDU(FM) 89.1 MHz

2631. Web ring of scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-11 01:30:12 UTC
From: Patrick <alizees971@gmail.enlever_cela.com>
Message-ID: <42aa3eb3$0$3103$8fcfb975@news.wanadoo.fr>
Source record: 13243

Dear all,

First of all, sorry but I am a newbie here.
I'm looking for web rings of scanners. Do you have somme good URLs ?

A friend of mine told me that it is also possible to control some REAL scanner through the web ? Is this correct ? Is there any URL to test the concept ?

Best regards,

Pat.

2632. dawn cruise ship freqs ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-11 02:54:14 UTC
From: iflyatiger <iflyanospamtiger@msm.com>
Message-ID: <nnsqe.72898$NZ1.1140@fe09.lga>
Source record: 13244

Hello all..

Did a deja search and didn't come with any current info.

Does anyone have the freqs for scanning onboard the
Norwegian Dawn ship ?

Thanks in advance for any help..

JG

2633. Bethany Beach Deleware Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-11 03:49:38 UTC
From: Tyler Harpster <tyler881@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <0MadnZAvhbzKwjffRVn-gg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 13245

Does anybody have any frequencies for Bethany Beach Delaware?

I found a few, but none of them are verified by someone who's been there.

Thanks!

Tyler

2634. Firehouse Radio Show # 15

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-11 13:51:14 UTC
From: "nyscan@gmail.com" <nyscan@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1118497874.821658.227180@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13246

Firehouse Radio Show 15 has been uploaded.

Listen now at http://firehouse.fm

Don't forget to vote while your there!

2635. Re: friends dog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-11 13:58:18 UTC
From: Bill <Bill@birchnet.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <oeOvGWd50uqCFwGP@birchnet.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 13247

In message <1117861942.659096@www.vif.com>, news.vif.com
<root@NoReply-AntiSpam.com> writes
>Picture friends dog

Woof woof
--
Bill

2636. Pro 2021 Troubles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-11 19:19:29 UTC
From: pancake <pancake@griddle.com>
Message-ID: <hpdma1dccu26dbkjikr2u1vp87a9fvleko@4ax.com>
Source record: 13248

Bought at flea market, low band vhf is ok, but high band needs to be
tuned 15khz high. Example: enter 154.25 to recieve a carrier on
154.01. Suggestions ?
Pancake

2637. Re: Buying Advice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-12 16:33:05 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <pJednc8YV4Vf_jHfRVn-ug@comcast.com>
Source record: 13249
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Consider the new GRE PRO-97, sold at Radio Shack @ $199.99. In addition to doing with the BC898T does, it also has alpha-tag display, so you can assign text labels to your frequencies.

Bill Crocker

"Buford T. Justice" <???@verizon.net> wrote in message news:Wm3qe.21843$ld3.14853@trnddc04...
>I would like to buy a new scanner that does military aircraft band and has
>selectable AM and FM on all bands since I like to listen to the military.
>Would this scanner be a wise choice?
>http://www.uniden.com/productpop/00_productpop.cfm?prd_code=BC898T
>
> Would that be a good one or might someone have a recommendation? I would
> like to stay around $200.
>
> I am pretty sure no one in my area uses Trunk Tracking, but that could
> always change.
>
> Thanks,
> BTJustice
>

2638. bearcat 800

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-12 18:20:19 UTC
From: Daniel Rzonca <danrzonca@cox.net>
Message-ID: <82%qe.12311$6s.9974@fed1read02>
Source record: 13250

Good morning everyone.
My grandfather let me have his bearcat 800xlt. Can you receive cellphone
with this scanner? Please let me know. The frequencies.
Thank you very much

2639. Discriminator outputs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-12 18:39:03 UTC
From: CROTALUS7 <crotalus7@rogers.com>
Message-ID: <nvydnTMK5ZLFHTHfRVn-sw@rogers.com>
Source record: 13101

Hello All:

I'm having a bit of a dilemma WRT a recent discriminator mod to my
PRO-2006. I've dona a lot of research and looked at it a lot.
So i install a .22uF capacitor to test point 2 and feed that to the
center conductor of a shielded cable to an earphone jack i install
in the rear of the chassis.
So i plug my handy audio cable into the new output and hear absolutely
squat. I mean nothing. As far as i know, yes the output is very
quiet, but even on active channels, there is nothing coming through.
And as an added "feature", the radio now will not scan programmed
channels,each channel has to be gone through one-at-a-friggin-time.
as well, the only way i can get the radio to scann through programmed
channels, is to reset each and every programmed freq into WFM.It's the
only way i can scann through the banks and the squelch will work.

Does ANYONE out there in scanner-land have any thoughts or
reccomendations on this?? I'm really perplexed by this one.....

Mike

2640. Re: Discriminator outputs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-12 20:16:57 UTC
From: Frank346 <frank346@groznyk.com>
Message-ID: <ZK0re.24225$IX4.12766@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 13102

A capacitor will not isolate the discriminator output as you found out. If you unplug the audio cable does the radio then function normally? What does this audio cable connect the radio to?

"CROTALUS7" <crotalus7@rogers.com> wrote in message news:nvydnTMK5ZLFHTHfRVn-sw@rogers.com...
> Hello All:
>
> I'm having a bit of a dilemma WRT a recent discriminator mod to my
> PRO-2006. I've dona a lot of research and looked at it a lot.
> So i install a .22uF capacitor to test point 2 and feed that to the
> center conductor of a shielded cable to an earphone jack i install
> in the rear of the chassis.
> So i plug my handy audio cable into the new output and hear absolutely
> squat. I mean nothing. As far as i know, yes the output is very
> quiet, but even on active channels, there is nothing coming through.
> And as an added "feature", the radio now will not scan programmed
> channels,each channel has to be gone through one-at-a-friggin-time.
> as well, the only way i can get the radio to scann through programmed
> channels, is to reset each and every programmed freq into WFM.It's the
> only way i can scann through the banks and the squelch will work.
>
> Does ANYONE out there in scanner-land have any thoughts or
> reccomendations on this?? I'm really perplexed by this one.....
>
>
> Mike

2641. Re: Scanner at German airports

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-12 23:06:10 UTC
From: glenn P <jaag@telpacific.com.au>
Message-ID: <42acbfe7@news.rivernet.com.au>
Source record: 13104
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

Interesting theory, but was there chaos on board the 9-11 jets? Not in my opinion.....

"Fly Guy" <Fly@Guy.com> wrote in message news:429F01FA.40F2DAEF@Guy.com...
> Steve Silverwood wrote:
>
>> That's usually the case here in the US, as with any radio: if
>> you can show them that it is a real, working radio, they're
>> okay with it, but they will usually admonish you not to operate
>> it while on board the aircraft. They've been doing the
>> operational-test thing ever since the Lockerbie bombing.
>
> I usually travel with a small digital radio (about half the size of a
> deck of cards). I will listen to FM stations if I'm sufficiently
> bored (no AM reception even when you press the radio against a
> window). I will also usually fly with my GPS turned on (Gecko). It's
> about the same size as the radio. I like to record the track of
> approaches and landings, as well as to record the exact positions of
> runway take-offs and landings.
>
> The whole issue of not allowing the use of radio's on planes is
> bogus. Sure, once upon a time radio's emitted a measurable amount of
> IF RF, but anything made in the last few years, especially if it
> operates on a couple of AAA's isin't going to screw up the plane's
> comm or NAV systems.
>
> The real reason is to ban any form of real-time communication that
> passengers have with the outside world while in flight. It's a "human
> factors" reason. I guess the argument goes that if passengers learn
> while in flight that, say, World-War-3 has started, or if there is a
> coordinated hijacking combined with suicidal crashing into buildings,
> that it may unnerve the passengers enough to cause caos on board that
> the FA's couldn't handle. They'd rather have a cabin full of sheep
> insulated from the happenings of the outside world for the duration of
> the flight.
>
> It's the age-old balance between what's good for the individual vs
> what's good for the group (or society). Similar to the wide-spread
> use of antibiotics from a public health point of view (it may, or
> will, benefit the individual, but if enough do it it will harm society
> in general).
>
> Have you ever wondered why the airlines don't pipe in real-time video

> or audio into their entertainment systems? I garantee you it's not
> for technical or cost reasons.

2642. Re: Discriminator outputs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-12 23:07:58 UTC
From: Frank346 <frank346@groznyk.com>
Message-ID: <if3re.24255$IX4.17342@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 13105

"CROTALUS7" <crotalus7@rogers.com> wrote in message
news:42ACC0A4.3030007@rogers.com...
> If i unplug the audio cable from the radio, the radio remaing acting the
> same. I can't explain it at all. The audio cable connects to my PC on
> which i run apps like CoolEdit Pro etc...
>
> Mike
>

Then you have a shorted cable or an incorrectly wired jack. Use an Ohmmeter
to check.

2643. Re: Discriminator outputs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-12 23:09:24 UTC
From: CROTALUS7 <crotalus7@rogers.com>
Message-ID: <42ACC0A4.3030007@rogers.com>
Source record: 13103

If i unplug the audio cable from the radio, the radio remaing acting the
same. I can't explain it at all. The audio cable connects to my PC on
which i run apps like CoolEdit Pro etc...

Mike

Frank346 wrote:
> A capacitor will not isolate the discriminator output as you found out. If
> you unplug the audio cable does the radio then function normally? What does
> this audio cable connect the radio to?
>
> "CROTALUS7" <crotalus7@rogers.com> wrote in message
> news:nvydnTMK5ZLFHTHfRVn-sw@rogers.com...
>
>>Hello All:
>>
>>I'm having a bit of a dilemma WRT a recent discriminator mod to my
>>PRO-2006. I've dona a lot of research and looked at it a lot.
>>So i install a .22uF capacitor to test point 2 and feed that to the
>>center conductor of a shielded cable to an earphone jack i install
>>in the rear of the chassis.
>>So i plug my handy audio cable into the new output and hear absolutely
>>squat. I mean nothing. As far as i know, yes the output is very
>>quiet, but even on active channels, there is nothing coming through.
>>And as an added "feature", the radio now will not scan programmed
>>channels,each channel has to be gone through one-at-a-friggin-time.
>>as well, the only way i can get the radio to scann through programmed
>>channels, is to reset each and every programmed freq into WFM.It's the
>>only way i can scann through the banks and the squelch will work.
>>
>>Does ANYONE out there in scanner-land have any thoughts or
>>reccomendations on this?? I'm really perplexed by this one.........
>>
>>
>>Mike
>
>
>

2644. Re: Discriminator outputs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-12 23:14:10 UTC
From: CROTALUS7 <crotalus7@rogers.com>
Message-ID: <42ACC1C2.2000905@rogers.com>
Source record: 13106

Ok, i'll try it. Do you think that the 2.2 Cap is an issue as well??

Mike

Frank346 wrote:
> "CROTALUS7" <crotalus7@rogers.com> wrote in message
> news:42ACC0A4.3030007@rogers.com...
>
>>If i unplug the audio cable from the radio, the radio remaing acting the
>>same. I can't explain it at all. The audio cable connects to my PC on
>>which i run apps like CoolEdit Pro etc...
>>
>>Mike
>>
>
>
> Then you have a shorted cable or an incorrectly wired jack. Use an Ohmmeter
> to check.
>
>

2645. Re: Discriminator outputs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-12 23:49:17 UTC
From: Frank346 <frank346@groznyk.com>
Message-ID: <1S3re.24260$IX4.8442@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 13107

"CROTALUS7" <crotalus7@rogers.com> wrote in message
news:42ACC1C2.2000905@rogers.com...
> Ok, i'll try it. Do you think that the 2.2 Cap is an issue as well??
>
>
> Mike

The capacitor is useless but shouldn't cause the problems you are having.

For more info look at

http://home.hvc.rr.com/bpetrow/discrim.txt

2646. Remote Head Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-13 01:29:13 UTC
From: frank@damato.com
Message-ID: <1118626153.183687.253620@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13108

I wonder why we have not yet seen a scanner with remote head capabilities from uniden. I know that Scannermaster has produced a remote head for the 780, 785 & 796, but it's way too expensive. If most of the Amateur mobiles have the remote head kits, i'm sure it's no big deal for a company like Uniden or Radio Shack to manufacture one. Even at $100 option is worth it.

I really love my 785 & 796, all the features you can want are in the scanner.

Wake up UNIDEN, get crackin on this.

KB2MXV

2647. Re: Discriminator outputs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-13 01:31:35 UTC
From: Mike Burch <mburch46@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <Xl5re.53792$nG6.9027@attbi_s22>
Source record: 13109

try this link http://www.mods.dk/view.php?ArticleId=2352

2648. Re: Discriminator outputs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-13 01:57:55 UTC
From: CROTALUS7 <crotalus7@rogers.com>
Message-ID: <42ACE823.5060005@rogers.com>
Source record: 13110

Thanks Frank:
I guess that i'll have to work on this. It's very srange i have to
admit.Up until this time i've never had an issue with the radio....
Hmmm makes me wonder

Mike

Frank346 wrote:
> "CROTALUS7" <crotalus7@rogers.com> wrote in message
> news:42ACC1C2.2000905@rogers.com...
>
>>Ok, i'll try it. Do you think that the 2.2 Cap is an issue as well??
>>
>>
>>Mike
>
>
> The capacitor is useless but shouldn't cause the problems you are having.
>
> For more info look at
>
> http://home.hvc.rr.com/bpetrow/discrim.txt
>
>

2649. Antenna Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-13 08:07:18 UTC
From: plushbee <chaboogier@ywahoo.com>
Message-ID: <QPKdnWTWL9krozDfRVn-ow@comcast.com>
Source record: 13111

Is there a difference between antennae used for hand held scanners and base station scanners? I seem to recall something about it and I'm foggy on the details. Any links would help.

Thanks,
BJ

2650. Re: Scanner at German airports

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-13 13:45:27 UTC
From: Fly Guy <Fly@Guy.com>
Message-ID: <42AD8DF7.7F052251@Guy.com>
Source record: 13112
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

glenn P wrote:

> Interesting theory, but was there chaos on board the 9-11 jets?
> Not in my opinion.....

And what if the passengers of the other 4-thousand odd planes in the air at the time learned of the hijackings and suicide crashings while they were still in the air?

You don't think there'd be some freeky stuff happening on some of those planes if they knew?

When all those planes were forced to land, I bet they were told something like "ladies and gentlemen, this is the Captain speaking. We've got a small problem with (you-name-it) and so as a precaution we're going to make an unscheduled landing. Nothing to fear or be worried about. Just sit tight and relax and we should be on our way again shortly."

Heck, the flight crew never tells you the straight goods regarding the status of your own flight (like delays, ETA, connections, etc) just to keep you calm and not a pain in their side.

The less you know about anything happening in real time, the better. Whether it's about the flight you're on, the goings-on in the cabin, or the outside world in general.

2651. Re: Pro 2021 Troubles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-13 17:09:23 UTC
From: "Mike M." <notme@invalid.email.net>
Message-ID: <8uera1pbqadmecs2ll9kqdnh669j7jctk7@4ax.com>
Source record: 13113

On Sat, 11 Jun 2005 15:19:29 -0400, pancake <pancake@griddle.com>
wrotF:

>Bought at flea market, low band vhf is ok, but high band needs to be
>tuned 15khz high. Example: enter 154.25 to recieve a carrier on
>154.01. Suggestions ?
>Pancake

Probably needs alignment. According to the service manual TC6 is the
reference oscillator trimmer. If that is out of adjustment all bands
should be off frequency not just VHF Hi.

2652. Newbie needing Gurus Software 4 Pro-97(20-527)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-13 17:12:36 UTC
From: rjack <R.Jackson108@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <1Yydnb9f9aXilzDfRVn-iQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 13114
Cross-posted to: alt.binaries.radio-scanner,alt.comp.periphs.scanners,alt.radio-shack,rec.radio.scanner

Bought the software data loader recommending by RS desk clerk.
Course it doesn't work with the pro-97.

What software does?

Is there a large freq database downloadble online? inlcuding forest service,
local, news, etc?
text id'd? is it sorted by geography? zip code? does it include the mariana
islands?
is it ready to be copied into the software tables?
Are there trunking ID's included?

If not, why not? and who want's to work on it?

2653. Re: Newbie needing Gurus Software 4 Pro-97(20-527)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-13 18:18:40 UTC
From: WCA <charlie@swl-ute.com>
Message-ID: <tPednRdpCIydUzDfRVn-hw@bright.net>
Source record: 13115
Cross-posted to: alt.binaries.radio-scanner,alt.comp.periphs.scanners,alt.radio-shack,rec.radio.scanner

win97 works great - http://www.starrsoft.com/software/win97/default.asp the demo works in full, it is just limited to 30 days.

I am not sure if that cable will even work - so may as well reture it. The proper RS cable is 20-289 (it is 24.99 I think)

"rjack" <R.Jackson108@comcast.net> wrote in message news:1Yydnb9f9aXiIzDfRVn-iQ@comcast.com...
> Bought the software data loader recommending by RS desk clerk.
> Course it doesn't work with the pro-97.
>
> What software does?
>
> Is there a large freq database downloadble online? inlcuding forest
> service, local, news, etc?
> text id'd? is it sorted by geography? zip code? does it include the
> mariana islands?
> is it ready to be copied into the software tables?
> Are there trunking ID's included?
>
> If not, why not? and who want's to work on it?
>

2654. INNOCENT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-13 21:45:02 UTC
From: Christopher O'Callaghan <d.ocallaghan@iolfree.ie>
Message-ID: <d8kuov$pi9$1@reader01.news.esat.net>
Source record: 13116

MICHEAL JACKSON CLEARED OF ALL CHARGES

2655. Re: INNOCENT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-13 21:49:07 UTC
From: Wayne Howell <whowell@gensearch.com>
Message-ID: <3L-dnTQK5oLMYjDfRVn-jQ@cablespeedwa.com>
Source record: 13117

Christopher O'Callaghan wrote:
> MICHEAL JACKSON CLEARED OF ALL CHARGES
>
>
>
Sorry......he was found Not Guilty---not Innocent.

2656. Re: INNOCENT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-13 21:57:09 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com>
Message-ID: <Vinre.3472$eM6.2480@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 13118

That is a BIG difference.

"Wayne Howell" <whowell@gensearch.com> wrote in message
news:3L-dnTQK5oLMYjDfRVn-jQ@cablespeedwa.com...
> Christopher O'Callaghan wrote:
> > MICHEAL JACKSON CLEARED OF ALL CHARGES
> >
> >
> >
> Sorry......he was found Not Guilty---not Innocent.
>

2657. Re: Pro 2021 Troubles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-13 22:02:13 UTC
From: pancake <pancake@griddle.com>
Message-ID: <h90sa15n2cvtk8m7uu91jq1ppvhitrlmae@4ax.com>
Source record: 13119

On Mon, 13 Jun 2005 17:09:23 GMT, Mike M. <notme@invalid.email.net>
wrote:

>On Sat, 11 Jun 2005 15:19:29 -0400, pancake <pancake@griddle.com>
>wrotF:
>
>>Bought at flea market, low band vhf is ok, but high band needs to be
>>tuned 15khz high. Example: enter 154.25 to recieve a carrier on
>>154.01. Suggestions ?
>>Pancake
>
>Probably needs alignment. According to the service manual TC6 is the
>reference oscillator trimmer. If that is out of adjustment all bands
>should be off frequency not just VHF Hi.

I'm thinking that one or more dc voltages may be off, gonna start by
replacing the electrolytic caps. Thanks for your input.

2658. Re: INNOCENT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-13 22:03:31 UTC
From: Lord Floyd <nospam@biteme.invalid>
Message-ID: <Xns967499310E71DSMEGHEAD@199.45.49.11>
Source record: 13120

"Jim Hackett" <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com>, feigning moral
superiority, sputtered incoherently in news:Vinre.3472$eM6.2480
@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net:

>>
>

Morons

--
You are a fluke of the Universe
You have no right to be here
And whether you can hear it or not,
the Universe is laughing behind your back

"Five-eleven's your height, one-ninety your weight
You cash in your chips around page ninety-eight."

2659. Want Icom R3 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-13 22:08:52 UTC
From: N1RSP <n1rsp@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <Utnre.792$qr1.695@trndny07>
Source record: 13121
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I am looking for a resonable priced R3 scanner.Let me know what you have and price.Thanks for looking.

--
73s from N1RSP
Grid 42
IRLP Node #4162
The Home of The Perfect Storm

2660. Re: Antenna Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-13 22:19:19 UTC
From: mikeFNB <mikefnb@moc.dlrowltn>
Message-ID: <HDnre.22424$cN2.18080@newsfe4-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 13123

what do you mean difference?
size wise yes!

mike

"plushbee" <chaboogier@ywahoo.com> wrote in message
news:QPKdnWTWL9krozDfRVn-ow@comcast.com...
> Is there a difference between antennae used for hand held scanners and
> base
> station scanners? I seem to recall something about it and I'm foggy on
> the
> details. Any links would help.
>
> Thanks,
> BJ
>
>

2661. Re: Want Icom R3 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-13 22:20:11 UTC
From: Dave Bushong <5rzi0dhtmrmew92@jetable.com>
Message-ID: <vcqdnR323-48mzPfRVn-gw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 13122
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

N1RSP wrote:
> I am looking for a resonable priced R3 scanner.Let me know what you have and
> price.Thanks for looking.
>

I have one, near perfect condition. Bought in Japan, no gaps in coverage.

Contact me at the email address at the top of this, or use my qrz.com
address.

Dave
kz1o

2662. Re: Antenna Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-14 01:09:46 UTC
From: plushbee <chaboogier@ywahoo.com>
Message-ID: <j8KdnVLgm7DBszPfRVn-iA@comcast.com>
Source record: 13124

This is going to probably sound stupid but something in the volts or watts or whatever. Like i say, any links would be much appreciated. I don't expect an enormous explanantion. I'm really new to this and my local radio shack doesn't know much.
Thanks

"mikeFNB" <mikefnb@moc.dlrowltn> wrote in message
news:HDnre.22424$cN2.18080@newsfe4-gui.ntli.net...
> what do you mean difference?
> size wise yes!
>
> mike
>
> "plushbee" <chaboogier@ywahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:QPKdnWTWL9krozDfRVn-ow@comcast.com...
> > Is there a difference between antennae used for hand held scanners and
> > base
> > station scanners? I seem to recall something about it and I'm foggy on
> > the
> > details. Any links would help.
> >
> > Thanks,
> > BJ
> >
> >
>
>

2663. Re: INNOCENT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-14 02:01:16 UTC
From: "Homer.Simpson" <Homer.Simpson@SpringfieldBB.com.INVALID>
Message-ID: <Xns9674D5CE24C0Bnope@204.153.244.170>
Source record: 13125

Christopher O'Callaghan said

> MICHEAL JACKSON CLEARED OF ALL CHARGES

Perhaps now they will go after the numbnut parents who allow their
kids to do 'sleep-overs' with MJ. ;-)

2664. Re: Antenna Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-14 02:17:05 UTC
From: DougSlug <dougslug@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <G6rre.75188$NZ1.25749@fe09.lga>
Source record: 13126

Antennas for handhelds are usually "rubber ducky" style, that is, short (6" to 9"), rubber-covered, flexible antennas. They are generally designed for a wide range of frequencies, and as such, generally exhibit mediocre performance.

Base antennas vary greatly in style, but are much larger and require mounting to a mast of some sort. Since they are mounted at some distance from the receiver (usually outside), a length of coaxial cable is needed to connect them to the radio. They generally perform MUCH better than handheld antennas.

Besides being cut to resonate at the frequencies of interest, all antennas require a RF (radio frequency) ground of some sort to be effective. Handheld antennas rely on proximity to your body for RF grounding, which is not a very effective way to ground an antenna. Vertical base antennas often use radials (multiple horizontal or downward pointing elements) for their RF grounds, which allows them to be tuned much more effectively, and therefore more efficient with well-controlled radiation patterns. These concepts are just the tip of the iceberg. There is a lot of science and experience involved in proper antenna design...far beyond what I could easily describe here or understand myself.

Ratings for power capacity are irrelevant for scanner use (receive only), but for transmitting applications, base antennas are almost always rated for higher transmit powers. Handheld antennas are generally rated in the 5 to 10 Watt range, while base antennas can be rated anywhere from 50 Watts to thousands of Watts depending on the design.

- Doug

"plushbee" <chaboogier@ywahoo.com> wrote in message
news:QPKdnWTWL9krozDfRVn-ow@comcast.com...
> Is there a difference between antennae used for hand held scanners and
> base
> station scanners? I seem to recall something about it and I'm foggy on
> the
> details. Any links would help.
>
> Thanks,
> BJ
>
>

2665. Re: INNOCENT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-14 04:26:28 UTC
From: "news.vif.com" <root@NoReply-AntiSpam.com>
Message-ID: <1118723192.305324@www.vif.com>
Source record: 13127

OH NO WACKO JACKO ON THE LOSE!
AS THEY SAY! MONEY TALKS BULLSHIT WALKS

I THINK THE GUY FUCKEN MORON! AND SHOULD GONE TO JAIL!
AND BTW. HIS SONG SUXS SHIT!

Christopher O'Callaghan wrote:
> MICHEAL JACKSON CLEARED OF ALL CHARGES
>
>
>

--

2666. Re: INNOCENT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-14 05:12:41 UTC
From: keith <mke1965@harbornet.com>
Message-ID: <3opsa15vla4f2djt1num8sa8ljvd44m5vu@4ax.com>
Source record: 13128

The kids parents should have put a finger in one of the diet cokes and
gone for some "pain and suffering".

K
On Mon, 13 Jun 2005 22:45:02 +0100, "Christopher O'Callaghan"
<d.ocallaghan@iolfree.ie> wrote:

>MICHEAL JACKSON CLEARED OF ALL CHARGES
>
>

2667. Patrick AFB Area Log - Monday 13 June 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-14 05:16:43 UTC
From: AllanStern@aol.com
Message-ID: <e1.15683b13.2fdfc23b@aol.com>
Source record: 13129

Patrick AFB Area Log - Monday 13 June 2005
==========

LIMA LIMA 45: P-3C (Tail LL-528), Jacksonville NAS VP-30 "Pro's Nest"
9:30am: Pattern work at Patrick.
[118.4, 133.75, 132.65, 124.8, 134.0]
Photos: P613005 thru 7;P613013 thru 017.

LIMA LIMA 04: P-3C #160284 (Tail LL-284), Jacksonville NAS VP-30 "Pro's Nest"
9:40am: Pattern work at Patrick.
[118.4, 133.75, 132.65, 124.8, 134.0]
Photos: P613008 thru 012.

DOS 671: UH-1H #73-21671, US State Dept Air Wing, Patrick AFB
10:40am: Departs Patrick.
9:40pm; Lands Patrick.
[133.75, 132.65]

PAT 086: US Army Prioroty Air Transport
11:19am: Area transition.
[135.075]

MOON 60: T-1A/BE-40 Columbus AFB 14FTW
12:30pm: Departs to Columbus AFB.
[118.4, 133.75, 132,65, 124.8]

MOON 79: T-1A/BE-40 Columbus AFB 14FTW
12:33pm: Departs to Columbus AFB.
[118.4, 133.75, 132,65, 124.8]

CG 1720: HC-130H7, USCG Air Station - Clearwater FL
12:35pm: Pattern work at Patrick AFB; bird-strike; RTB KPIE.
8:48pm: Returns to Patrick for pattern work.
9:30pm: RTB KPIE.
[133.75, 132.65, 120.15, 124.8]
Photos: P6130019-0024.

ROCKET 11: F-15E, Seymour Johnson AFB 4FW 336FS "Rocketeers"
1:00pm: Arrives Avon Park Bombing Range; cancels visit to MacDill AFB. Short stay at Avon; RTB Seymour Johnson.
[292.2, 319.0]
Clay & Jody heard their RTB Air-air comms on 301.225.

AIR TRANSPORT 400: DC-8, Air Transport Intl (USAF Contractor)
1:30pm: Departs Patrick to Antigua, for weekly milk run to ETR downrange sites.
[133.75, 132.65, 133.475, 119.825]

Photo 6130025.

JOSA 813: C-21A USAF VIP Transport
1:19pm: Announced inbound to MacDill AFB.
[311.0]

RAPTORs: F/A-22, Tyndall AFB
1:42pm: Air-air comms; "37,000 feet."
[256.6]
Thanks to Clay for heads-up IM.

STAVE 01: F-15C, Eglin AFB 46TW 40FLTS
2:15pm: Testing sortie over Cape Canaveral AFS. Comms with "Project."
[270.0, 393.0]

LIMA LIMA 79: P-3C, Jacksonville NAS VP-30 "Pro's Nest"
4:05pm: Pattern work at Patrick.
6:35pm: RTB KNIP.
[118.4, 133.75, 132.65]

LIMA LIMA 24: P-3C, Jacksonville NAS VP-30 "Pro's Nest"
4:45pm: Pattern work at Patrick.
[133.75]

UNID, poss Robins 19ARG KC-135 #62-3523
5:53pm: Air-Air Refueling comms; "clear to contact"; passes tail 3523.
[327.6]

KING 55: HC-130P, Patrick AFB AFSOC 920RQW 39RQS
6:57pm: Departs Patrick to K_AH (probably either KPAH or KIAH).
11:30pm: Inbound, pattern work before landing at Patrick AFB.
[118.4, 133.75, 132.65, 124.8, 134.0]

OMAMA 472: C-12C KingAir, CBP (Customs)
6:42pm: Comms with Hammer. "ETA Jackpot at 15 past hour; please inform Jackpot."
[282.425]

OMAHA 47: P-3 #152722 (Dome type); CBP (Customs), Jacksonville AMB
6:57pm: Descent from FL240 to 17,000; "Direct NAS Jax."
[127.475, 134.0]

MILSAT
8:57pm: Foreign language; strong signal.
[253.65]

BOLT 13: KC-135R, MacDill AFB 6AMW
11:56pm; Announces inbound MacDill AFB.
[311.0]

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL (28-11N 80-36W) monitoring
Patrick AFB (KCOF) NASA-KSC Shuttle Landing Fac (KX68)
Avon Park Bombing Range (KAGR) Cape Canaveral AFS (KXMR)
JSTARS E-8 Acft Integration Facility, Melbourne IAP (KMLB)

Worldwide Military HF Communications
Life Member: Missile, Space and Range Pioneers.
http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/index.html (My Freqs)
http://hometown.aol.com/scanaddict/index.html (My Equipment)

2668. Re: INNOCENT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-14 05:57:51 UTC
From: "news.vif.com" <root@NoReply-AntiSpam.com>
Message-ID: <1118728676.289763@www.vif.com>
Source record: 13130

keith wrote:

> The kids parents should have put a finger in one of the diet cokes and
> gone for some "pain and suffering".
>
> K
> On Mon, 13 Jun 2005 22:45:02 +0100, "Christopher O'Callaghan"
> <d.ocallaghan@iolfree.ie> wrote:
>
>
>>MICHEAL JACKSON CLEARED OF ALL CHARGES
>>

>>
>
>
MICHEAL JACKSON CAN GO TO HELL ALL I CARE! INNOCENT MY ASS!
ITS FUNNY HOW MANY IDIOTS SHOWED UP & STANDING THEIR! OH PLEASE!

AM SURE BUBBA WOULD GIVE HIM GOOD WORK OUT! HE'LL BE SING BANG ME! BANG ME!
--

2669. Re: Scanner at German airports

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-14 07:34:20 UTC
From: A Guy Called Tyketto <tyketto@sbcglobal.net.invalid>
Message-ID: <0Mvre.781$NU5.278@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 13131
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

In rec.travel.air Fly Guy <Fly@guy.com> wrote:
> glenn P wrote:
>
>> Interesting theory, but was there chaos on board the 9-11 jets?
>> Not in my opinion.....

UAL more than likely had Ch. 9 on at the time the hijacks took
place, then, in one way or another turned them off. Unfortunately, we
will never know.

> And what if the passengers of the other 4-thousand odd planes in the
> air at the time learned of the hijackings and suicide crashings while
> they were still in the air?
>
> You don't think there'd be some freeky stuff happening on some of
> those planes if they knew?

Actually, there would be a lot of praying going on, and yes,
the occasional fanatic. But, you'd be surprised about how well people
can pull themselves together.

> When all those planes were forced to land, I bet they were told
> something like "ladies and gentlemen, this is the Captain speaking.
> We've got a small problem with (you-name-it) and so as a precaution
> we're going to make an unscheduled landing. Nothing to fear or be
> worried about. Just sit tight and relax and we should be on our way
> again shortly."
>
> Heck, the flight crew never tells you the straight goods regarding the
> status of your own flight (like delays, ETA, connections, etc) just to
> keep you calm and not a pain in their side.

There was an article posted in this very group about UAL890
(B744, NRT-LAX) which had Ch. 9 and the video maps on during the
hijackings. Neither the FAs, nor pilots mentioned anything about what
was going on, until their flight and 249 others were rerouted to YVR.
Only then, did the pilot tell the passengers *and* crew anything. In
fact, it's still out at
http://www.usatoday.com/news/sept11/2002-08-12-hijacker-daytwo_x.htm .

> The less you know about anything happening in real time, the better.
> Whether it's about the flight you're on, the goings-on in the cabin,

> or the outside world in general.

Ch. 9 on any UAL flight, maps on and maps on JBU and FFT
flights beg to differ, as those are in real time.

BL.
- --
Brad Littlejohn | Email: tyketto@sbcglobal.net
Unix Systems Administrator, | tyketto@ozemail.com.au
Web + NewsMaster, BOFH.. Smeghead! :) | http://www.sbcglobal.net/~tyketto
PGP: 1024D/E319F0BF 6980 AAD6 7329 E9E6 D569 F620 C819 199A E319 F0BF

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.4.1 (GNU/Linux)

iD8DBQFCroh4yBkZmuMZ8L8RAnAvAKCzLTiVgRWKdsEUKXYnZodMMCNsJACgqPUH
N2SMAUHM2Zb/ZT+deTcfG5Y=
=3lCE
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

2670. One Best Portable Rig?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-14 11:01:06 UTC
From: Bob <rljames@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9675478804DE5rljameshotmailcom@208.34.105.14>
Source record: 13132

I'm *thinking* of replacing my Icom R8500 and Yaesu VR-120D with ONE all purpose portable.

Can anyone recommend a good handheld rig? I'd prefer something that can be purchased with no gaps in the frequency coverage.

How about the Icom R20? Or maybe something not yet released but rumored to be in the near future?

Thanx,
Bob

2671. Virginia Beach - Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-14 15:20:50 UTC
From: JBO <mister.hobnobinn@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <mBCre.8119$Nx1.3296@trndny05>
Source record: 13133

I'm heading to Virginia Beach in the morning.

Are there any good repeaters in the area ?

Are there any Ham Radio "Toy Stores" in the area ?

Thanks in advance,

Dave - N2JBO "Just Being Obnoxious"

2672. Re: Virginia Beach - Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-14 19:35:24 UTC
From: Don <wxfreqrs@cableone.net>
Message-ID: <11aucbuaon27oad@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 13134

try this
http://www.artscipub.com/repeaters/dsprpts.asp?state=Virginia&order=call

--
Don
SEMPER VIGILIS
DUTY, HONOR, COUNTRY
http://www.unitedstatesborderpatrolaux.com/ US Border Patrol Auxiliary
http://www.jcmsara.org Ham radio link
http://myweb.cableone.net/wxfreqrs/ Pascagoula Ms Real Time Observations
"JBO" <mister.hobnobinn@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:mBCre.8119$Nx1.3296@trndny05...
> I'm heading to Virginia Beach in the morning.
>
> Are there any good repeaters in the area ?
>
> Are there any Ham Radio "Toy Stores" in the area ?
>
>
>
> Thanks in advance,
>
> Dave - N2JBO "Just Being Obnoxious"

2673. Another question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-14 22:00:21 UTC
From: plushbee <chaboogier@ywahoo.com>
Message-ID: <37adna8hh7brzjLfRVn-sA@comcast.com>
Source record: 13135

Okay so I have this bc246t and a bc350c. When I scan using them both I'll pick up the same transmission like Thurston Co sheriff 155.5500 and another at 155.5400 and it will be the same transmission/conversation but where one receiver has drop outs the other doesn't and visaversa. It's not like they are divided into separate conversations. It was strange. i can see how this could get addicting.
Beej
(saving for apco)

2674. Re: Scanner at German airports

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-14 22:03:39 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <gokua1ttuebb6sp2podlih1navg74mlapt@4ax.com>
Source record: 13136
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

On Mon, 13 Jun 2005 09:45:27 -0400, Fly Guy <Fly@Guy.com> wrote:

>glenn P wrote:
>
>> Interesting theory, but was there chaos on board the 9-11 jets?
>> Not in my opinion.....
>
>And what if the passengers of the other 4-thousand odd planes in the
>air at the time learned of the hijackings and suicide crashings while
>they were still in the air?

Can you suggest what, with that knowledge, they could
reasonably have done to improve their chances of landing safely,
beyond listening to the crew telling them to quit running around and
screwing up the balance of the plane.

>
>You don't think there'd be some freeky stuff happening on some of
>those planes if they knew?

I don't know. I'd be inclined to sit there and ponder what
useful action I could take beyond coldcocking those screwing up my
chances of landing safely.

>
>When all those planes were forced to land, I bet they were told
>something like "ladies and gentlemen, this is the Captain speaking.
>We've got a small problem with (you-name-it) and so as a precaution
>we're going to make an unscheduled landing. Nothing to fear or be
>worried about. Just sit tight and relax and we should be on our way
>again shortly."

It's certainly preferable to telling them, "When in danger,
fear or doubt, wave your arms and run about."

>Heck, the flight crew never tells you the straight goods regarding the
>status of your own flight (like delays, ETA, connections, etc) just to
>keep you calm and not a pain in their side.

You're now on the flight crew. What do you do?

>The less you know about anything happening in real time, the better.
>Whether it's about the flight you're on, the goings-on in the cabin,
>or the outside world in general.

Bring along a lot of Sominex on your next flight and you'll be
fine.

2675. Re: Newbie needing Gurus Software 4 Pro-97(20-527)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-14 22:05:53 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <n7lua15d07qjstcqgvi404mn48105bjc5u@4ax.com>
Source record: 13137
Cross-posted to: alt.binaries.radio-scanner,alt.comp.periphs.scanners,alt.radio-shack,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 13 Jun 2005 13:12:36 -0400, "rjack" <R.Jackson108@comcast.net>
wrote:

>Bought the software data loader recommending by RS desk clerk.
>Course it doesn't work with the pro-97.
>
>What software does?
>
>Is there a large freq database downloadble online? inlcuding forest service,
>local, news, etc?
>text id'd? is it sorted by geography? zip code? does it include the mariana
>islands?
>is it ready to be copied into the software tables?
>Are there trunking ID's included?
>
>If not, why not? and who want's to work on it?
>

I don't kow, but if I were that interested in the answers to
so many questions, I'd sure learn to use Google. But then I'm not all
that lazy.

2676. Re: INNOCENT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-14 22:16:14 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <galua1hjtt9ls5kv84hv6j1r3jb1dqbrqj@4ax.com>
Source record: 13138

On Mon, 13 Jun 2005 21:57:09 GMT, "Jim Hackett"
<h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com> wrote:

>That is a BIG difference.
>
>"Wayne Howell" <whowell@gensearch.com> wrote in message
>news:3L-dnTQK5oLMYjDfRVn-jQ@cablespeedwa.com...
>> Christopher O'Callaghan wrote:
>> > MICHEAL JACKSON CLEARED OF ALL CHARGES
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> Sorry......he was found Not Guilty---not Innocent.

Insofar as you are presumed to be innocent until proven
guilty, then being found not guilty equates to being found innocent.
Your view notwithstanding.

If you were to publicly proclaim him guilty (without clearly
expressing that it was the _opinion_ of yourself or others) in view
of the court's determination, you could well be successfully sued for
slander.

That's why the news media carefully use the term "allegedly"
in reference to presumed criminals all the way up to the moment of
conviction. They wish to avoid prosecution, generally in the case of
media, for libel.

2677. Re: Buying Advice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-15 05:56:20 UTC
From: "Buford T. Justice" <???@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <8qPre.11658$lb5.8336@trnddc04>
Source record: 13139
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I should have said I was looking for a base. That is a good price though on
the PRO-97.

Thanks,
BTJustice

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:pJednc8YV4Vf_jHfRVn-ug@comcast.com...
> Consider the new GRE PRO-97, sold at Radio Shack @ $199.99. In addition
> to doing with the BC898T does, it also has alpha-tag display, so you can
> assign text labels to your frequencies.
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
> "Buford T. Justice" <???@verizon.net> wrote in message
> news:Wm3qe.21843$ld3.14853@trnddc04...
>>I would like to buy a new scanner that does military aircraft band and has
>>selectable AM and FM on all bands since I like to listen to the military.
>>Would this scanner be a wise choice?
>>http://www.uniden.com/productpop/00_productpop.cfm?prd_code=BC898T
>>
>> Would that be a good one or might someone have a recommendation? I would
>> like to stay around $200.
>>
>> I am pretty sure no one in my area uses Trunk Tracking, but that could
>> always change.
>>
>> Thanks,
>> BTJustice
>>
>
>

2678. RE: Excellent AOR 8600 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-16 09:08:03 UTC
From: Tony <yahton12@yahoo.co.uk>
Message-ID: <Xns967767122B095yahton12yahoocouk@195.8.68.217>
Source record: 13140

Check out ebay for excellent bargain scanner. Grab yourself a bargain.

2679. FA - Amplified Scanner Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-16 12:37:44 UTC
From: Not any More <kk4tl@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118925464.065087.219910@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13141

Amplified Scanner Antenna
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=40979&item=5782654792&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

Includes a BNC connector.

2680. pro-2006 el circuit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-16 20:49:28 UTC
From: steve bowyer <wz7e@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.06.16.20.49.27.625000@comcast.net>
Source record: 13142

My pro-2006 scanner has a bad backlight driver circuit. I discovered that the el backlight element can run directly from 120v ac. I know, I tried it. So why not simply tap 120v ac from the transformer power cord, passed through the light/dimmer switch and eliminate the el driver circuit entirely? Anyone tried this or see any reason not to do this?

Steve B.

2681. Firehouse Radio Show # 16

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-17 05:24:00 UTC
From: "nyscan@gmail.com" <nyscan@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1118985840.112091.115740@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13143

Firehouse Radio: So you want to be a Millionaire!

We have an exclusive Interview with Robin and Chris Sorensen, Founders of the Major Franchise "Firehouse Subs." The Bazillionaire Brothers talk about their humble beginnings at the Jacksonville Fire Department and Future Plans for their(Highly Successful) Business.

You've got to check out this show!

Don't forget to vote to and Enter to Win a New BCD396T Scanner!

http://Firehouse.FM

2682. Re: pro-2006 el circuit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-18 00:24:05 UTC
From: r2000swler@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1119054245.738825.72910@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13144

Other then the risk of electrocution, it ought to work.
One of the things Ilike about 12V radios is it hard to
get killed working on them. I operate all of my
radio gear from a +12V Lamda supply.

If you get across the EL driver in the 2004, it will bit
you, but won't kill you, or at least it didn't kill me.

I would be sure to put a label on the ouside and inside
warning of this mod.

I bet you driver trasnsitor died. In the '2004, it is Q701
and is a 2SC945QA, or the dimmer switch opened.

The '2004 and '2005 are very similar, I would guess the
'2006 is also.

Terry

2683. RadioShack Pro 2051

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-18 14:44:33 UTC
From: Howard <hkowall@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <lrWse.1729860$6l.1331712@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 13145

does anyone have any thoughts on the Pro 2051 ???
its on sale now for $149.00
thinking about getting one,(for that price might be worth it)
i live in Canada and not offerd here
thanx
hk

2684. Re: One Best Portable Rig?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-18 22:19:05 UTC
From: "fasteddy64@yahoo.com" <fasteddy64@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1119133145.753854.183060@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13146

I tried the R20 and ended up sending it back. It is deaf in the
250-300 mhz range and as a milair listener that is unacceptable.

The Yupiteru MVT-7100 is still my favorite.

Ed

2685. WTB: Pro-2042 w/O535 Installed OR maybe:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-19 00:40:04 UTC
From: Scan Da Bands <vzeip5vc@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <nmf9b1hu87s3o8na6tve5tr2vnrutaqng4@4ax.com>
Source record: 13147

Looking for a MINT or close Realistic Pro-2042 with the
Optoelectronics 535 installed. Might consider an Opto Optocom if we
can work a deal. Reply below.

Also have a fully working Realistic Pro-2006 with FULL (Not Lite) 456
Opto installed. Rocks with Probe 7.0 might consider trading or will
sell for right offer.

Thanks, Tom

tommyboys_ads(AT)verizon(DOT)net

(AT) = @

(DOT) = .

2686. Re: writing programs for scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-19 01:30:43 UTC
From: Michael Harpe <mike@ple-technology.com>
Message-ID: <2005061821304816807%mike@pletechnologycom>
Source record: 13148

On 2005-05-18 21:52:13 -0400, Bob Parnass <not-for-mail@fake.invalid> said:

> The biggest challenge is writing scanner
> software is not the GUI. It is deducing the scanner's
> command set (when writing control software) and the radio's
> memory image layout (when writing configuration/cloning
> software).
>
> The latter is especially challenging
> because most manufacturers won't share the info
> without signing restrictive nondisclosure agreements.
> If you don't want to be bound by such
> handcuffs, you must learn the memory image
> layout through your own experimentation and by sharing info
> with other developers.
>

I have to respectfully disagree with Mr. Parnass on this. The situation he describes has not been true for some time. At least since the time of the Icom R-8500.

Icom and Uniden both document and publish their command sets. I downloaded them from public manufacturer web sites. They will provide this information for the asking. Uniden has already released the command set for their upcoming BCD-396T TrunkTracker IV scanner on their web site. Icom makes their command sets available either in documentation provided with the unit or from their web site. The rig manufacturers don't want to be in the software business (and Icom should get out of it because their software is terrible IMO) and they know that software availability sells radios. Now, they may not give it to you before it's widely available . That's a different kettle of fish.

The part I disagree the most about is the importance of the GUI. The user interface is CRITICAL! If you don't get that right it doesn't matter if you understand how the radio works or not. The user won't use the software. Believe me, I have tried this and the GUI is the hard part. I had a program working with an ICOM R-8500 in an afternoon. It could do the basics, command the radio to scan, read back the frequency, set the frequency, etc. That's very straightforward serial interface programming. ActiveX controls make that part trivial.

The GUI was a bear! Drag and drop, multi-column tables, setting functions, etc. takes a lot of thought when laying out the interface. The radio is fairly complex and doesn't have the best control layout to begin with. Making that into a useable interface is tough.

What I would like to see in radio software is the following...

1. I WANT TO BE ABLE TO DIRECTLY IMPORT CSV FILES FROM THE PUBLIC FCC DATABASE!

I should be able to do queries from the ULS, get the result as a CSV and import that result into the program. This is not trivial to program but not impossible either. This feature should be standard and a central feature of the software.

Export to CSV should also be available so I back the radio memory up.

If you really get modern, do all the memory management with XML.

2. Be able to layout the memory by dragging and dropping the frequency memory entries. In other words, you download the radio memory to the program and work with it there, then upload the result.

Example: Louisville Metro PD channel 2 is in location 474 and I want it in 389. I should be able to drag it (or cut and paste) to 389. I should not have to re-type it. This is supported in every modern OS. There's no reason not to implement this.

Also for this one, use checkboxes for on/off features and pulldowns for the multiple mode settings. Picture a spreadsheet and you get the idea perfectly.

3. The design of the software should be oriented to frequency memory management, not remote control. There is no need for remote control by a PC for most applications. The radio works fine standing alone and you want to be able to use it without a laptop (unless it's a WinRadio, duh! I know that..) if you want to. Full blown remote control of a rig should be a secondary "nice to have" feature.

4. If you provide audio recording inside the application, implement it properly using a standard media format like MP3. By properly I mean with a clear user interface. Make sure to implement some kind of metadata tagging so that a person can actually tell what the recorded content is. Frequency, callsign, description and time is a good place to start. The ID3 tags support this. USE STANDARDS!

In general, write your code as generically as possible. Keep all the rig-specific controls in a separate DLL or other library. This will let you implement different radios with very little additional work. What you need is a program that implements common features that all radios have, then specialize in product specific features like trunk tracking.

Do not dumb down your software because some idiot might be "confused". Someone who is easily confused probably can't get the software installed and the radio connected to the computer.

Visual Basic .NET is more than enough to do this job.

It would be worth your time to study the scanner market and figure out where the need is. If the Uniden scanners are the market leaders, write

your code for them first.

Write a good application, install tough commercial copy protection (authorization keys at least) and go for it! If you write a good one, I think people will come because most of the available software sucks, some loudly.

Michael Harpe, N4PLE
Sellersburg, IN

2687. Re: Buying Advice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-19 03:38:13 UTC
From: Pete KE9OA <p.gianako@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <FM5te.336189$cg1.26346@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 13149
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have had the 898 for about six months.....good radio. This radio has much high I.F. gain in the AM mode than previous units. It also has dual bandwidths for NBFM modes, so it will be good for the new splinter frequency assignments.

Pete

"Buford T. Justice" <???@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:8qPre.11658$lb5.8336@trnddc04...
>I should have said I was looking for a base. That is a good price though
>on the PRO-97.
>
> Thanks,
> BTJustice
>
> "Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:pJednc8YV4Vf_jHfRVn-ug@comcast.com...
>> Consider the new GRE PRO-97, sold at Radio Shack @ $199.99. In addition
>> to doing with the BC898T does, it also has alpha-tag display, so you can
>> assign text labels to your frequencies.
>>
>> Bill Crocker
>>
>>
>> "Buford T. Justice" <???@verizon.net> wrote in message
>> news:Wm3qe.21843$ld3.14853@trnddc04...
>>>I would like to buy a new scanner that does military aircraft band and
>>>has selectable AM and FM on all bands since I like to listen to the
>>>military. Would this scanner be a wise choice?
>>>http://www.uniden.com/productpop/00_productpop.cfm?prd_code=BC898T
>>>
>>> Would that be a good one or might someone have a recommendation? I
>>> would like to stay around $200.
>>>
>>> I am pretty sure no one in my area uses Trunk Tracking, but that could
>>> always change.
>>>
>>> Thanks,
>>> BTJustice
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

2688. Re: WTB: Pro-2042 w/O535 Installed OR maybe:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-19 20:48:25 UTC
From: krackula <krackula@i.am>
Message-ID: <c9lbb155j5h7nh9m1r9agff8u64gen5l49@4ax.com>
Source record: 13150

keep the 2006 and forget the 2042. the 2042s had NO internal
shielding to speak of and had VERY easily overloaded front ends.
this causing a LOT of overload , spurious , and intermod issues that
the 2005 / 6s did not have.

the inside of the 2042 is a simple circuit card inside a plastic box.
the 2005 / 6s had many shielded compartments and a lot of bypassing.
when using either the 2042 OR the 2005 / 6 with the preferred
" Probe " software (when using the opto boards accessory) ...
the abilities of channel selection and amount of channels
scanned (unlimited) is controlled by the computer anyway .....
making the basic channel abilities of the scanner unimportant.

the 2042 looked better than the 2006 and had that nifty manual
tune knob.... but " in real life " ..... when using an installed
Opto board, one NEVER touches the radio in normal use so either
is unnecessary. BOTH radios can track at very high channel rates
using Probe software (50 - 70 channels per sec) and neither is
superior in that respect.

if you must use the 2042 with the opto board , a " must have "
accessory is a Stridsberg milticoupler . this , not for the multi set
capability it provides , but for the " small ' amount of gain and
enormous front end overload protection (31 dbm of 3rd order
IP3 and 17 dbm of P1) it provides. nearly ANY consumer
scanner needs one of these, but the 2042 especially benefits
form using one of those.

the 20 digit " secret code " (available all over the web) unlocks
nearly all of the opto boards that were ever made... except
for the more recently made boards. older opto boards are preferred
for this reason. this is a " serious " issue on the (normally)
unlockable 2042 because it needs an older opto board to
open it up completely. (and is ONLY opened up under computer
control)

k.........

On Sun, 19 Jun 2005 00:40:04 GMT, Scan Da Bands <vzeip5vc@verizon.net>
wrote:

>Looking for a MINT or close Realistic Pro-2042 with the
>Optoelectronics 535 installed. Might consider an Opto Optocom if we
>can work a deal. Reply below.
>
>Also have a fully working Realistic Pro-2006 with FULL (Not Lite) 456

>Opto installed. Rocks with Probe 7.0 might consider trading or will
>sell for right offer.
>
>Thanks, Tom
>
>tommyboys_ads(AT)verizon(DOT)net
>
>(AT) = @
>
>(DOT) = .

2689. Scanners for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-19 20:57:10 UTC
From: te <xxxx@xxxs.net>
Message-ID: <d94m7602cbq@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 13051

I have two BC-245 scanners in very good shape. I can only find one box and one programming cord so I would like to sell them as a package deal.
One has a 1600 ma ni-Mh battery and I have a heavy duty leather case that I will include. Asking $220.00 plus $10.00 shipping.

One Pro-60 in very good shape. Military air band covered in this scanner. A nice handheld for air shows.

One BC-350 in very good shape asking $50.

If anyone is interested please reply to wfm013@yahoo.com

thanks
bill

2690. Replace a Pro-60

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-19 22:35:07 UTC
From: Jim <chief_jim@go.com>
Message-ID: <9nmte.1773$Q05.600@fe08.lga>
Source record: 13052

Attended another airshow today taking along my trusty Pro-60. Met a fellow with a Radio Shack something that had 1000 stored channels and a very fast scan feature. Impressed but when I browse the Radio Shack site I see several possible versions.

My primary interest is aviation, civil and military, VHF and UHF. Wondering what is recommended these days with a $200 ballpark upper limit?

2691. Re: WTB: Pro-2042 w/O535 Installed OR maybe:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-20 00:47:45 UTC
From: Scan Da Bands <vzeip5vc@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <og4cb1lqq5do5jkckb1a9dr30nq71gngp8@4ax.com>
Source record: 13054

Hey Krakula Da Man .....I have the strisberg....LOL

On Sun, 19 Jun 2005 13:48:25 -0700, krackula <krackula@i.am> wrote:

>
>keep the 2006 and forget the 2042. the 2042s had NO internal
>shielding to speak of and had VERY easily overloaded front ends.
>this causing a LOT of overload , spurious , and intermod issues that
>the 2005 / 6s did not have.
>
>the inside of the 2042 is a simple circuit card inside a plastic box.
>the 2005 / 6s had many shielded compartments and a lot of bypassing.
>when using either the 2042 OR the 2005 / 6 with the preferred
>" Probe " software (when using the opto boards accessory) ...
>the abilities of channel selection and amount of channels
>scanned (unlimited) is controlled by the computer anyway .....
>making the basic channel abilities of the scanner unimportant.
>
>the 2042 looked better than the 2006 and had that nifty manual
>tune knob.... but " in real life " ..... when using an installed
>Opto board, one NEVER touches the radio in normal use so either
>is unnecessary. BOTH radios can track at very high channel rates
>using Probe software (50 - 70 channels per sec) and neither is
>superior in that respect.
>
> if you must use the 2042 with the opto board , a " must have "
>accessory is a Stridsberg milticoupler . this , not for the multi set
>capability it provides , but for the " small ' amount of gain and
>enormous front end overload protection (31 dbm of 3rd order
>IP3 and 17 dbm of P1) it provides. nearly ANY consumer
>scanner needs one of these, but the 2042 especially benefits
>form using one of those.
>
>
>the 20 digit " secret code " (available all over the web) unlocks
>nearly all of the opto boards that were ever made... except
>for the more recently made boards. older opto boards are preferred
>for this reason. this is a " serious " issue on the (normally)
>unlockable 2042 because it needs an older opto board to
>open it up completely. (and is ONLY opened up under computer
>control)
>
>
>k.........
>

>
>
>
>
>
>On Sun, 19 Jun 2005 00:40:04 GMT, Scan Da Bands <vzeip5vc@verizon.net>
>wrote:
>
>>Looking for a MINT or close Realistic Pro-2042 with the
>>Optoelectronics 535 installed. Might consider an Opto Optocom if we
>>can work a deal. Reply below.
>>
>>Also have a fully working Realistic Pro-2006 with FULL (Not Lite) 456
>>Opto installed. Rocks with Probe 7.0 might consider trading or will
>>sell for right offer.
>>
>>Thanks, Tom
>>
>>tommyboys_ads(AT)verizon(DOT)net
>>
>>(AT) = @
>>
>>(DOT) = .

2692. Re: WTB: Pro-2042 w/O535 Installed OR maybe:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-20 00:49:36 UTC
From: Scan Da Bands <vzeip5vc@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <uh4cb1lgcffjt0b5js96vh08012jkitnsd@4ax.com>
Source record: 13053

Hey Krakula Da Man....I have the strisberg.....when are you going to ever offer me the scanner I'm looking for...you know the one "The ultimate 2006" ..... later and thanks once again for the info ... much appreciated.

On Sun, 19 Jun 2005 13:48:25 -0700, krackula <krackula@i.am> wrote:

>
>keep the 2006 and forget the 2042. the 2042s had NO internal
>shielding to speak of and had VERY easily overloaded front ends.
>this causing a LOT of overload , spurious , and intermod issues that
>the 2005 / 6s did not have.
>
>the inside of the 2042 is a simple circuit card inside a plastic box.
>the 2005 / 6s had many shielded compartments and a lot of bypassing.
>when using either the 2042 OR the 2005 / 6 with the preferred
>" Probe " software (when using the opto boards accessory) ...
>the abilities of channel selection and amount of channels
>scanned (unlimited) is controlled by the computer anyway .....
>making the basic channel abilities of the scanner unimportant.
>
>the 2042 looked better than the 2006 and had that nifty manual
>tune knob.... but " in real life " ..... when using an installed
>Opto board, one NEVER touches the radio in normal use so either
>is unnecessary. BOTH radios can track at very high channel rates
>using Probe software (50 - 70 channels per sec) and neither is
>superior in that respect.
>
> if you must use the 2042 with the opto board , a " must have "
>accessory is a Stridsberg milticoupler . this , not for the multi set
>capability it provides , but for the " small ' amount of gain and
>enormous front end overload protection (31 dbm of 3rd order
>IP3 and 17 dbm of P1) it provides. nearly ANY consumer
>scanner needs one of these, but the 2042 especially benefits
>form using one of those.
>
>
>the 20 digit " secret code " (available all over the web) unlocks
>nearly all of the opto boards that were ever made... except
>for the more recently made boards. older opto boards are preferred
>for this reason. this is a " serious " issue on the (normally)
>unlockable 2042 because it needs an older opto board to
>open it up completely. (and is ONLY opened up under computer
>control)
>

>
>k.........
>
>
>
>
>
>
>On Sun, 19 Jun 2005 00:40:04 GMT, Scan Da Bands <vzeip5vc@verizon.net>
>wrote:
>
>>Looking for a MINT or close Realistic Pro-2042 with the
>>Optoelectronics 535 installed. Might consider an Opto Optocom if we
>>can work a deal. Reply below.
>>
>>Also have a fully working Realistic Pro-2006 with FULL (Not Lite) 456
>>Opto installed. Rocks with Probe 7.0 might consider trading or will
>>sell for right offer.
>>
>>Thanks, Tom
>>
>>tommyboys_ads(AT)verizon(DOT)net
>>
>>(AT) = @
>>
>>(DOT) = .

2693. Re: WTB: Pro-2042 w/O535 Installed OR maybe:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-20 00:50:17 UTC
From: Scan Da Bands <vzeip5vc@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <hl4cb1tftbkgl90u3rm140tp66gko559kj@4ax.com>
Source record: 13055

too funny , I saw that earlier today.....thanks though.

On Sun, 19 Jun 2005 11:17:51 -0400, chris <> wrote:

>http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=Forums&file=viewtopic&t=26578

2694. Re: writing programs for scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-20 03:25:07 UTC
From: Bob Parnass <not-for-mail@fake.invalid>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.06.20.03.25.07.132590@fake.invalid>
Source record: 13056

On Sun, 19 Jun 2005 01:30:43 +0000, Michael Harpe wrote:

> I have to respectfully disagree with Mr. Parnass on this. The situation he
> describes has not been true for some time. At least since the time of the
> Icom R-8500.

In the original posting which began this thread, Bill Carty asked about
the Radio Shack PRO-95 scanner. The PRO-95 is made for Radio Shack
by GRE, which does not make the memory layout and cloning commands
freely available for their scanners.

> Icom and Uniden both document and publish their command sets. I downloaded
> them from public manufacturer web sites. They will provide this
> information for the asking. ...

Some ICOM radios can be remotely controlled while others can
only be cloned or configured via software.

ICOM publishes remote control commands for some of their
models, but they have not freely published the information needed
to write configuration software for their "clone only" models. To
obtain this information requires signing a nondisclosure agreement.

The Uniden web site requires that one agree to
a series of preconditions before downloading the documentation for
their commands. That includes the BC396T documentation at:
<http://uniden.com/productsupport_downloadfile.cfm?product=BCD396T&dwnld_id=67>

--
==
Bob Parnass, AJ9S GNU/Linux User http://parnass.com

2695. Re: Buying Advice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-20 06:42:56 UTC
From: "Buford T. Justice" <???@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <Qztte.22206$lb5.14079@trnddc04>
Source record: 13057
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

```
Thanks for the advice. I appreciate it.

BTJustice

"Pete KE9OA" wrote in message
news:FM5te.336189$cg1.26346@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>I have had the 898 for about six months..........good radio. This radio
>has much high I.F. gain in the AM mode than previous units. It also has
>dual bandwidths for NBFM modes, so it will be good for the new splinter
>frequency assignments.
>
> Pete
>
> "Buford T. Justice" wrote in message
> news:8qPre.11658$lb5.8336@trnddc04...
>>I should have said I was looking for a base. That is a good price though
>>on the PRO-97.
>>
>> Thanks,
>> BTJustice
>>
>> "Bill Crocker" wrote in message
>> news:pJednc8YV4Vf_jHfRVn-ug@comcast.com...
>>> Consider the new GRE PRO-97, sold at Radio Shack @ $199.99. In addition
>>> to doing with the BC898T does, it also has alpha-tag display, so you can
>>> assign text labels to your frequencies.
>>>
>>> Bill Crocker
>>>
>>>
>>> "Buford T. Justice" wrote in message
>>> news:Wm3qe.21843$ld3.14853@trnddc04...
>>>>I would like to buy a new scanner that does military aircraft band and
>>>>has selectable AM and FM on all bands since I like to listen to the
>>>>military. Would this scanner be a wise choice?
>>>>http://www.uniden.com/productpop/00_productpop.cfm?prd_code=BC898T
>>>>
>>>> Would that be a good one or might someone have a recommendation? I
>>>> would like to stay around $200.
>>>>
>>>> I am pretty sure no one in my area uses Trunk Tracking, but that could
>>>> always change.
>>>>
```

>>>> Thanks,
>>>> BTJustice
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

2696. Re: Replace a Pro-60

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-20 13:57:59 UTC
From: Bo Williams <williams@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <11bdiqcc9ht7c01@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 13058

Jim wrote:
> Attended another airshow today taking along my trusty Pro-60. Met a
> fellow with a Radio Shack something that had 1000 stored channels and a
> very fast scan feature. Impressed but when I browse the Radio Shack
> site I see several possible versions.
>
> My primary interest is aviation, civil and military, VHF and UHF.
> Wondering what is recommended these days with a $200 ballpark upper limit?

With these criteria, you want the PRO-97. The PRO-95 doesn't have
mil-air coverage.
--
Bo Williams - williams@hiwaay.net
http://hiwaay.net/~williams/

2697. Re: RadioShack Pro 2051

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-20 16:35:48 UTC
From: kiwirat@gmail.com
Message-ID: <61sdb1h108nce1thnokv7lul9v4uponjir@4ax.com>
Source record: 13059

I bought one last month, I really like it. Haven't been able to find
any software to use with it at this moment, must be to new, but so far
I'm happy with the unit.

On Sat, 18 Jun 2005 14:44:33 GMT, "Howard" <hkowall@shaw.ca> wrote:

>does anyone have any thoughts on the Pro 2051 ???
>its on sale now for $149.00
>thinking about getting one,(for that price might be worth it)
>i live in Canada and not offerd here
>thanx
>hk
>

2698. Re: WTB: Pro-2042 w/O535 Installed OR maybe:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-20 16:42:00 UTC
From: krackula <krackula@i.am>
Message-ID: <uopdb1t148tg8ucnkedf4nid84cul6pfrb@4ax.com>
Source record: 13060

On Mon, 20 Jun 2005 00:49:36 GMT, Scan Da Bands <vzeip5vc@verizon.net>
wrote:

>Hey Krakula Da Man....I have the strisberg.....when are you going to
>ever offer me the scanner I'm looking for...you know the one "The
>ultimate 2006" ..... later and thanks once again for the info ... much
>appreciated.
>

haaaaaay doooooooooooode .... ;^)

2699. WTB "Ultimate" Pro-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-20 17:45:09 UTC
From: Scan Da Bands <vzeip5vc@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <340eb1lpa2nrc466r0todae1ojuafg8e15@4ax.com>
Source record: 13061

I am looking for a heavily modded Pro-2006. As many of the Bill Cheek mods the better. LED , Analog s-meter , 232 interface , etc. If you have one you want to sell please contact me , I have many scanners to trade or will listen to your price. MUST be in 100% working order. Thanks , Tom

2700. Re: Replace a Pro-60

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-20 22:57:20 UTC
From: Jim <chief_jim@go.com>
Message-ID: <PNHte.16772$l_2.4262@fe09.lga>
Source record: 13062

Bo Williams wrote:

>
> With these criteria, you want the PRO-97. The PRO-95 doesn't have
> mil-air coverage.

Will check that out.

2701. Re: RadioShack Pro 2051

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-21 00:19:27 UTC
From: Korbin Dallas <korbindallas@dodgeit.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.06.21.00.19.20.981428@dodgeit.com>
Source record: 13063

On Mon, 20 Jun 2005 16:35:48 +0000, kiwirat wrote:

> I bought one last month, I really like it. Haven't been able to find
> any software to use with it at this moment, must be to new, but so far
> I'm happy with the unit.
>
> On Sat, 18 Jun 2005 14:44:33 GMT, "Howard" <hkowall@shaw.ca> wrote:
>
>>does anyone have any thoughts on the Pro 2051 ???
>>its on sale now for $149.00
>>thinking about getting one,(for that price might be worth it)
>>i live in Canada and not offerd here
>>thanx
>>hk
>>

My guess they did not change the simple command language they used on
other scanners. Try software for a 2052 and see if that works for you.

--
Korbin Dallas
The name was changed to protect the guilty.

2702. RADIO SHACK PRO 96 QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-21 03:17:56 UTC
From: William Falconer <falconw@accesscable.net>
Message-ID: <EFLte.85965$tt5.43807@edtnps90>
Source record: 13064

After listening to the radio for several months using the AC power supply I decided to take the scanner mobile and when the AC supply was disconnected the scanner went dead. Checked the rechargable batteries and all was good but no sound. Put in the other battery pack with the regular batteries and still no joy. Has anyone else out there come across this same type of problem. I suspect that there is a fuse for the batteries inside the radio but don't want to open it up just yet as there may still be warrenty

Bill

2703. Re: RADIO SHACK PRO 96 QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-21 11:46:13 UTC
From: Bob <bob@nowhere.nil>
Message-ID: <42B7FE05.10309@nowhere.nil>
Source record: 13066

This happened to me once many years ago with a portable radio. I left the barrel connector of the power adapter plugged into the radio for almost a year. What the problem turned out to be was the spring contact inside the DC jack had been compressed for so long (and obviously made from unsuitable metal) it lost its ability to spring back once the DC barrel plug was pulled out of the socket. I had to open up the radio and replace the DC jack with a new one.

This may or may not be the problem with your scanner, but a good test would be to try it again on the adapter. If it's okay on external power, but the thing goes dead once the plug is removed from the side of the rig, this may likely be the problem.

Good luck!

William Falconer wrote:
> After listening to the radio for several months using the AC power supply I
> decided to take the scanner mobile and when the AC supply was disconnected
> the scanner went dead. Checked the rechargable batteries and all was good
> but no sound. Put in the other battery pack with the regular batteries and
> still no joy. Has anyone else out there come across this same type of
> problem. I suspect that there is a fuse for the batteries inside the radio
> but don't want to open it up just yet as there may still be warrenty
>
> Bill
>
>

2704. Re: RADIO SHACK PRO 96 QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-21 11:46:35 UTC
From: Bob <bob@nowhere.nil>
Message-ID: <42B7FE1B.4020603@nowhere.nil>
Source record: 13065

This happened to me once many years ago with a portable radio. I left the barrel connector of the power adapter plugged into the radio for almost a year. What the problem turned out to be was the spring contact inside the DC jack had been compressed for so long (and obviously made from unsuitable metal) it lost its ability to spring back once the DC barrel plug was pulled out of the socket. I had to open up the radio and replace the DC jack with a new one.

This may or may not be the problem with your scanner, but a good test would be to try it again on the adapter. If it's okay on external power, but the thing goes dead once the plug is removed from the side of the rig, this may likely be the problem.

Good luck!

William Falconer wrote:
> After listening to the radio for several months using the AC power supply I
> decided to take the scanner mobile and when the AC supply was disconnected
> the scanner went dead. Checked the rechargable batteries and all was good
> but no sound. Put in the other battery pack with the regular batteries and
> still no joy. Has anyone else out there come across this same type of
> problem. I suspect that there is a fuse for the batteries inside the radio
> but don't want to open it up just yet as there may still be warrenty
>
> Bill
>
>

2705. Re: RADIO SHACK PRO 96 QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-21 11:48:01 UTC
From: Phoneguy <phoneguy99@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <42B7FE71.1070204@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 13067

This happened to me once many years ago with a portable radio. I left the barrel connector of the power adapter plugged into the radio for almost a year. What the problem turned out to be was the spring contact inside the DC jack had been compressed for so long (and obviously made from unsuitable metal) it lost its ability to spring back once the DC barrel plug was pulled out of the socket. I had to open up the radio and replace the DC jack with a new one.

This may or may not be the problem with your scanner, but a good test would be to try it again on the adapter. If it's okay on external power, but the thing goes dead once the plug is removed from the side of the rig, this may likely be the problem.

Good luck!

William Falconer wrote:
> After listening to the radio for several months using the AC power supply I
> decided to take the scanner mobile and when the AC supply was disconnected
> the scanner went dead. Checked the rechargable batteries and all was good
> but no sound. Put in the other battery pack with the regular batteries and
> still no joy. Has anyone else out there come across this same type of
> problem. I suspect that there is a fuse for the batteries inside the radio
> but don't want to open it up just yet as there may still be warrenty
>
> Bill
>
>

2706. Radio shack and marketing scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-21 13:31:28 UTC
From: Don't blame me I voted for Kerry <mykonos21@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <huCdnXUNO6gviyXfRVn-hQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 13068

Friends
Does your local Radio shack market scanners at all? Our local Radio shack franchise store doesn't. .Even tho they were told to set up and display a Radio shack Pro95. It sits there on a shelf unplugged. When I go in and clone it they keep it on for the time being. When I leave it looks like they unplug it and remove the batteries and leave the batteries out. I go in to see how things are going with it. There it sits unplugged. The memory is gone because they leave the batteries out for extended periods of time. They were TOLD to market scanners. They say they are trying. .They're not. Why can't they get a simple case. Put a Pro95 Pro96 and Pro97 in it. Program them and you've done something. You've put the Radio back in RADIO SHACK! YAY! Steve

2707. Re: Radio shack and marketing scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-21 14:47:12 UTC
From: Wayne Howell <whowell@gensearch.com>
Message-ID: <Z_KdnRf98MjztSXfRVn-oA@cablespeedwa.com>
Source record: 13069

Don't blame me I voted for Kerry wrote:
> Friends
> Does your local Radio shack market scanners at all? Our local Radio
> shack franchise store doesn't. .Even tho they were told to set up and
> display a Radio shack Pro95. It sits there on a shelf unplugged. When I
> go in and clone it they keep it on for the time being. When I leave it
> looks like they unplug it and remove the batteries and leave the
> batteries out. I go in to see how things are going with it. There it
> sits unplugged. The memory is gone because they leave the batteries out
> for extended periods of time. They were TOLD to market scanners. They
> say they are trying. .They're not. Why can't they get a simple case. Put
> a Pro95 Pro96 and Pro97 in it. Program them and you've done something.
> You've put the Radio back in RADIO SHACK! YAY! Steve
>
When I got interested in getting a Pro-95, a couple of months ago, I
went into our local Radio Shack and asked to see one. I was told "We
don't sell scanners." I advised them that both the catalog and the web
site showed that the did. The clerk told me "Radio Shack has
discontinued doing scanner.....we can't get any more." I tried once
more--insisting that even if _they_ didn't have them, RS was still
selling them. Again the clerk said "We've tried. We can't even order
scanners any more, RC has discontinued them.

I drove nearly 50 miles to the next closest (I live way out in the
"sticks" up here in the upper left corner) RC where they had a large
display....told them the story and they almost didn't believe me until
they called my local store and inquired--and were told the same thing!!

Wayne Howell
Photon Phlats Observatory
Port Townsend, WA

2708. Re: Radio shack and marketing scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-21 15:52:46 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <iJWte.6984$hK3.4887@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 13070

"Wayne Howell" <whowell@gensearch.com> wrote in message
news:Z_KdnRf98MjztSXfRVn-oA@cablespeedwa.com...
> Don't blame me I voted for Kerry wrote:
>> Friends
>> Does your local Radio shack market scanners at all? Our local Radio shack
>> franchise store doesn't. .Even tho they were told to set up and display a
>> Radio shack Pro95. It sits there on a shelf unplugged. When I go in and
>> clone it they keep it on for the time being. When I leave it looks like
>> they unplug it and remove the batteries and leave the batteries out. I go
>> in to see how things are going with it. There it sits unplugged. The
>> memory is gone because they leave the batteries out for extended periods
>> of time. They were TOLD to market scanners. They say they are trying.
>> .They're not. Why can't they get a simple case. Put a Pro95 Pro96 and
>> Pro97 in it. Program them and you've done something. You've put the Radio
>> back in RADIO SHACK! YAY! Steve
>>
> When I got interested in getting a Pro-95, a couple of months ago, I went
> into our local Radio Shack and asked to see one. I was told "We don't
> sell scanners." I advised them that both the catalog and the web site
> showed that the did. The clerk told me "Radio Shack has discontinued
> doing scanner.....we can't get any more." I tried once more--insisting
> that even if _they_ didn't have them, RS was still selling them. Again
> the clerk said "We've tried. We can't even order scanners any more, RC
> has discontinued them.
>
> I drove nearly 50 miles to the next closest (I live way out in the
> "sticks" up here in the upper left corner) RC where they had a large
> display....told them the story and they almost didn't believe me until
> they called my local store and inquired--and were told the same thing!!
>
> Wayne Howell
> Photon Phlats Observatory
> Port Townsend, WA

I would have to "guess" the stores are a franchise? I guess some store
owners don't want to sell them for some reason, who knows why. If that's the
case, who cares? Buy it online.
--
If at first you don't succeed, destroy all evidence that you tried.

2709. Re: Radio shack and marketing scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-21 16:28:45 UTC
From: JLewis <jh-lewis@charter.net>
Message-ID: <UcXte.12484$wm2.4024@fe05.lga>
Source record: 13071

The modern day radio shack is just a world wide corp. selling gizmos and batteries - the employees knowledge of electronics is non-existanant.

Employees don't really have to know what they're talking about - just show up on schedule and know where to find the product in the back room is about the extent of what's demanded of them...

And it shows...

YMMV.

"Wayne Howell" <whowell@gensearch.com> wrote in message news:Z_KdnRf98MjztSXfRVn-oA@cablespeedwa.com...
> Don't blame me I voted for Kerry wrote:
> > Friends
> > Does your local Radio shack market scanners at all? Our local Radio
> > shack franchise store doesn't. .Even tho they were told to set up and
> > display a Radio shack Pro95. It sits there on a shelf unplugged. When I
> > go in and clone it they keep it on for the time being. When I leave it
> > looks like they unplug it and remove the batteries and leave the
> > batteries out. I go in to see how things are going with it. There it
> > sits unplugged. The memory is gone because they leave the batteries out
> > for extended periods of time. They were TOLD to market scanners. They
> > say they are trying. .They're not. Why can't they get a simple case. Put
> > a Pro95 Pro96 and Pro97 in it. Program them and you've done something.
> > You've put the Radio back in RADIO SHACK! YAY! Steve
> >
> When I got interested in getting a Pro-95, a couple of months ago, I
> went into our local Radio Shack and asked to see one. I was told "We
> don't sell scanners." I advised them that both the catalog and the web
> site showed that the did. The clerk told me "Radio Shack has
> discontinued doing scanner.....we can't get any more." I tried once
> more--insisting that even if _they_ didn't have them, RS was still
> selling them. Again the clerk said "We've tried. We can't even order
> scanners any more, RC has discontinued them.
>
> I drove nearly 50 miles to the next closest (I live way out in the
> "sticks" up here in the upper left corner) RC where they had a large
> display....told them the story and they almost didn't believe me until
> they called my local store and inquired--and were told the same thing!!
>
> Wayne Howell
> Photon Phlats Observatory
> Port Townsend, WA

2710. Re: Radio shack and marketing scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-21 16:34:54 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <c8KdncmTkf4y3CXfRVn-3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 13072

All they know how to sell, or want to sell, is cell phones, and extended service polices on anything else you might buy. It's a joke.

Scanner radios is one of their high-ticket items. You'd think they'd be really pushing them. Strange.

Bill Crocker

"Don't blame me I voted for Kerry" <mykonos21@adelphia.net> wrote in message news:huCdnXUNO6gviyXfRVn-hQ@adelphia.com...
> Friends
> Does your local Radio shack market scanners at all? Our local Radio shack
> franchise store doesn't. .Even tho they were told to set up and display a
> Radio shack Pro95. It sits there on a shelf unplugged. When I go in and
> clone it they keep it on for the time being. When I leave it looks like
> they unplug it and remove the batteries and leave the batteries out. I go
> in to see how things are going with it. There it sits unplugged. The
> memory is gone because they leave the batteries out for extended periods
> of time. They were TOLD to market scanners. They say they are trying.
> .They're not. Why can't they get a simple case. Put a Pro95 Pro96 and
> Pro97 in it. Program them and you've done something. You've put the Radio
> back in RADIO SHACK! YAY! Steve
>

2711. Re: RADIO SHACK PRO 96 QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-21 16:40:17 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <K7OdnVA5MPxu3yXfRVn-sA@comcast.com>
Source record: 13073

This may be an obvious question, but are you certain you're using the correct battery holders? The black one is intended for Alkaline batteries. If you're putting your re-chargeables in there, they won't recharge. You need to use the yellow battery holder for re-chargeables. Also make sure you're inserting the battery holder into the radio properly. It has metal contacts that need to mate with the ones in the radio.

Bill Crocker

"William Falconer" <falconw@accesscable.net> wrote in message news:EFLte.85965$tt5.43807@edtnps90...
> After listening to the radio for several months using the AC power supply
> I
> decided to take the scanner mobile and when the AC supply was disconnected
> the scanner went dead. Checked the rechargable batteries and all was good
> but no sound. Put in the other battery pack with the regular batteries and
> still no joy. Has anyone else out there come across this same type of
> problem. I suspect that there is a fuse for the batteries inside the radio
> but don't want to open it up just yet as there may still be warrenty
>
> Bill
>
>

2712. Re: RadioShack Pro 2051

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-22 04:40:05 UTC
From: lnh <lnoh@spamfree.net>
Message-ID: <lnoh-FFE78C.23400421062005@newssvr30-ext.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 13074

Apparently, they did change it. The other software will not work. The person who writes the Scancat software has said he is waiting on Radio Shack for info to finish his software. And someone else (I don't remember his name) has stopped all development due to this problem. So this is a good scanner, but maybe not one for computer connection.

In article <pan.2005.06.21.00.19.20.981428@dodgeit.com>,
Korbin Dallas <korbindallas@dodgeit.com> wrote:

> On Mon, 20 Jun 2005 16:35:48 +0000, kiwirat wrote:
>
> > I bought one last month, I really like it. Haven't been able to find
> > any software to use with it at this moment, must be to new, but so far
> > I'm happy with the unit.
> >
> > On Sat, 18 Jun 2005 14:44:33 GMT, "Howard" <hkowall@shaw.ca> wrote:
> >
> >>does anyone have any thoughts on the Pro 2051 ???
> >>its on sale now for $149.00
> >>thinking about getting one,(for that price might be worth it)
> >>i live in Canada and not offerd here
> >>thanx
> >>hk
> >>
>
> My guess they did not change the simple command language they used on
> other scanners. Try software for a 2052 and see if that works for you.

2713. FS: PRO-2006 / Bill Cheek Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-22 12:35:03 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <ptSdnWFjy-NlxyTfRVn-jg@comcast.com>
Source record: 13076

I'm selling for a friend, the following:

Radio Shack PRO-2006, with the following Bill Cheek Mods, and their original cost:

003D: Speed - 16MHz $47.00
004: Squelch $28.00
011: MOV Transient Protection $40.00
044: Data Squelch $49.95
029: Extended Delay $100.00
026B: LED S-Meter w/Mod #25 $85.00
027: LED Center Tune Meter $85.00

This radio has sixteen LED's on the front panel, 3-red, 4-yellow, 8-green, and 1-orange! It also has five toggle switches on the back, and an external jack for an analog S-Meter!

The radio is in clean, excellent working, and cosmetic condition! However, no box, no antenna (easily replaced), and no manual (PDF copy supplied). Blue backlight on display is working fine!

He's asking $275.00 + shipping. If you've been looking for a PRO-2006, this one is a classic!

Bill Crocker

2714. 27.620 FM Repeater heard ! (new rare 'DX catch')

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-22 18:40:19 UTC
From: SWL DX News <73varney@nosp.ucdavis.edu>
Message-ID: <a6b57$42b9b094$d1cc4e62$10819@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 13075
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Exercpted from The KY4KY News : http://ky4ky.com/fyi.htm

(6/19/05) Floating Freeband (FM) Repeater On * 27.620 *

If you hear what sounds like a repeater outputting in the spectrum
between the 11 meter class D citizens band and the 10 meter
ham band, it's not an illusion. Rather it appears to be the creation
of some enterprising Freebanders who are operating this
latest, unlicensed repeater.

According to several reports received by Newsline, and others
posted to various Internet websites, the system in question
outputs on 27.620 MHz FM. It also seems to be located
not far from Pennsylvania's eastern most border.

But there is the interesting part. While it's not yet been
verified, the word on the street is that the input kind of floats
around the low end of the 10 meter ham band. There is some
speculation that the operators of this repeater monitor
the input frequency and move it when ham radio operators
running legal high power Morse come on the air on top of it.
At least there have been reports of strange announcements
which may be information to users as to where the input will next be found.

One Internet post describes this machine as one of a new
breed of Freeband roving repeater of the type first noticed
in the St. Louis area about two years ago. That is, those
who run these systems are physically moving the frequencies
and the geographic location of the machine to avoid detection
by ham radio T-Hunt squads and the FCC.

This is just the latest report in a string of 11 meter repeaters
that go way back to the early 1970's when
Freebanders - then called H-F'ers -- began tying together old
crystal controlled 11 meter AM transceivers as repeaters.
Most had outputs on channel 1 or 2 and inputs on CB Channel 40
or above. None worked very well and most were soon abandoned.

Note: We heard the "27.620 Machine" just before noon today in SE MO.
No word yet of they have a "QSL card address", although if they do this
\would be a RARE CATCH to confirm.

2715. Re: 27.620 FM Repeater heard ! (new rare 'DX catch')

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-22 21:31:41 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@pizzahoradiosltd.com>
Message-ID: <d9cl5hufe0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 13077
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

There are Freeband repeaters operating in Charleston, SC,
Atlanta, Ga., Little Rock, AR, and several other cities.

"SWL DX News" <73varney@nosp.ucdavis.edu> wrote in message
news:a6b57$42b9b094$d1cc4e62$10819@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> Exercpted from The KY4KY News : http://ky4ky.com/fyi.htm
>
> (6/19/05) Floating Freeband (FM) Repeater On * 27.620 *
>
> If you hear what sounds like a repeater outputting in the spectrum
> between the 11 meter class D citizens band and the 10 meter
> ham band, it's not an illusion. Rather it appears to be the creation
> of some enterprising Freebanders who are operating this
> latest, unlicensed repeater.
>
> According to several reports received by Newsline, and others
> posted to various Internet websites, the system in question
> outputs on 27.620 MHz FM. It also seems to be located
> not far from Pennsylvania's eastern most border.
>
> But there is the interesting part. While it's not yet been
> verified, the word on the street is that the input kind of floats
> around the low end of the 10 meter ham band. There is some
> speculation that the operators of this repeater monitor
> the input frequency and move it when ham radio operators
> running legal high power Morse come on the air on top of it.
> At least there have been reports of strange announcements
> which may be information to users as to where the input will next be
> found.
>
> One Internet post describes this machine as one of a new
> breed of Freeband roving repeater of the type first noticed
> in the St. Louis area about two years ago. That is, those
> who run these systems are physically moving the frequencies
> and the geographic location of the machine to avoid detection
> by ham radio T-Hunt squads and the FCC.
>
> This is just the latest report in a string of 11 meter repeaters
> that go way back to the early 1970's when
> Freebanders - then called H-F'ers -- began tying together old
> crystal controlled 11 meter AM transceivers as repeaters.
> Most had outputs on channel 1 or 2 and inputs on CB Channel 40
> or above. None worked very well and most were soon abandoned.

>
> -----------
>
> Note: We heard the "27.620 Machine" just before noon today in SE MO.
> No word yet of they have a "QSL card address", although if they do this
> \would be a RARE CATCH to confirm.
>

2716. Re: 27.620 FM Repeater heard ! (new rare 'DX catch')

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-22 23:02:18 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@pizzahoradiosltd.com>
Message-ID: <d9cqfd$c8a$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 13078
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

The Charleston and Little Rock freeband repeaters are linked
to business band repeaters and a seldom used ham repeater in one
case. There is a set up in Nashville where a repeater is cross
linked to repeaters in three other radio services.

"Lloyd" <lloyd@pizzahoradiosltd.com> wrote in message
news:d9cl5hufe0@pita.alt.net...
> There are Freeband repeaters operating in Charleston, SC,
> Atlanta, Ga., Little Rock, AR, and several other cities.
>
>
>
> "SWL DX News" <73varney@nosp.ucdavis.edu> wrote in message
> news:a6b57$42b9b094$d1cc4e62$10819@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>> Exercpted from The KY4KY News : http://ky4ky.com/fyi.htm
>>
>> (6/19/05) Floating Freeband (FM) Repeater On * 27.620 *
>>
>> If you hear what sounds like a repeater outputting in the spectrum
>> between the 11 meter class D citizens band and the 10 meter
>> ham band, it's not an illusion. Rather it appears to be the creation
>> of some enterprising Freebanders who are operating this
>> latest, unlicensed repeater.
>>
>> According to several reports received by Newsline, and others
>> posted to various Internet websites, the system in question
>> outputs on 27.620 MHz FM. It also seems to be located
>> not far from Pennsylvania's eastern most border.
>>
>> But there is the interesting part. While it's not yet been
>> verified, the word on the street is that the input kind of floats
>> around the low end of the 10 meter ham band. There is some
>> speculation that the operators of this repeater monitor
>> the input frequency and move it when ham radio operators
>> running legal high power Morse come on the air on top of it.
>> At least there have been reports of strange announcements
>> which may be information to users as to where the input will next be
>> found.
>>
>> One Internet post describes this machine as one of a new
>> breed of Freeband roving repeater of the type first noticed
>> in the St. Louis area about two years ago. That is, those
>> who run these systems are physically moving the frequencies

>> and the geographic location of the machine to avoid detection
>> by ham radio T-Hunt squads and the FCC.
>>
>> This is just the latest report in a string of 11 meter repeaters
>> that go way back to the early 1970's when
>> Freebanders - then called H-F'ers -- began tying together old
>> crystal controlled 11 meter AM transceivers as repeaters.
>> Most had outputs on channel 1 or 2 and inputs on CB Channel 40
>> or above. None worked very well and most were soon abandoned.
>>
>> -----------
>>
>> Note: We heard the "27.620 Machine" just before noon today in SE MO.
>> No word yet of they have a "QSL card address", although if they do this
>> \would be a RARE CATCH to confirm.
>>
>
>

2717. Re: 27.620 FM Repeater heard ! (new rare 'DX catch')

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-23 00:59:35 UTC
From: mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton! CHICKEN BOY! <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1119488375.282017.202610@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13079
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Lloyd wrote:
> The Charleston and Little Rock freeband repeaters are linked
> to business band repeaters and a seldom used ham repeater in one
> case. There is a set up in Nashville where a repeater is cross
> linked to repeaters in three other radio services.
>
>
> "Lloyd" <lloyd@pizzahoradiosltd.com> wrote in message
> news:d9cl5hufe0@pita.alt.net...
> > There are Freeband repeaters operating in Charleston, SC,
> > Atlanta, Ga., Little Rock, AR, and several other cities.
> >
> >
> >
> > "SWL DX News" <73varney@nosp.ucdavis.edu> wrote in message
> > news:a6b57$42b9b094$d1cc4e62$10819@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> >> Exercpted from The KY4KY News : http://ky4ky.com/fyi.htm
> >>
> >> (6/19/05) Floating Freeband (FM) Repeater On * 27.620 *
> >>
> >> If you hear what sounds like a repeater outputting in the spectrum
> >> between the 11 meter class D citizens band and the 10 meter
> >> ham band, it's not an illusion. Rather it appears to be the creation
> >> of some enterprising Freebanders who are operating this
> >> latest, unlicensed repeater.
> >>
> >> According to several reports received by Newsline, and others
> >> posted to various Internet websites, the system in question
> >> outputs on 27.620 MHz FM. It also seems to be located
> >> not far from Pennsylvania's eastern most border.
> >>
> >> But there is the interesting part. While it's not yet been
> >> verified, the word on the street is that the input kind of floats
> >> around the low end of the 10 meter ham band. There is some
> >> speculation that the operators of this repeater monitor
> >> the input frequency and move it when ham radio operators
> >> running legal high power Morse come on the air on top of it.
> >> At least there have been reports of strange announcements
> >> which may be information to users as to where the input will next be
> >> found.
> >>
> >> One Internet post describes this machine as one of a new
> >> breed of Freeband roving repeater of the type first noticed

> >> in the St. Louis area about two years ago. That is, those
> >> who run these systems are physically moving the frequencies
> >> and the geographic location of the machine to avoid detection
> >> by ham radio T-Hunt squads and the FCC.
> >>
> >> This is just the latest report in a string of 11 meter repeaters
> >> that go way back to the early 1970's when
> >> Freebanders - then called H-F'ers -- began tying together old
> >> crystal controlled 11 meter AM transceivers as repeaters.
> >> Most had outputs on channel 1 or 2 and inputs on CB Channel 40
> >> or above. None worked very well and most were soon abandoned.
> >>
> >> -----------
> >>
> >> Note: We heard the "27.620 Machine" just before noon today in SE MO.
> >> No word yet of they have a "QSL card address", although if they do this
> >> \would be a RARE CATCH to confirm.

Just goes to show what CB queers will do to hook up for gay play.

2718. Re: 27.620 FM Repeater heard ! (new rare 'DX catch')

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-23 01:47:51 UTC
From: The Last Day Profit of Refried Beans <frequentdribbler@penisenvy.com>
Message-ID: <37757$42ba14cd$d1cc56eb$7774@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 13080
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Lloyd" <lloyd@pizzahoradiosltd.com> wrote in message
news:d9cqfd$c8a$0@pita.alt.net...
> The Charleston and Little Rock freeband repeaters are linked
> to business band repeaters and a seldom used ham repeater in one
> case. There is a set up in Nashville where a repeater is cross
> linked to repeaters in three other radio services.

OK.....

What SPECIFIC FREQUENCIES do they operate on then ??

Please provide your list of the same or a URL with info on the same.

Thanks!

>
>
> "Lloyd" <lloyd@pizzahoradiosltd.com> wrote in message
> news:d9cl5hufe0@pita.alt.net...
> > There are Freeband repeaters operating in Charleston, SC,
> > Atlanta, Ga., Little Rock, AR, and several other cities.

Frequencies of operation for the above please.....?

2719. Mogoo Mobile Search Service (USA) -- www.mogoo.biz ---2024598735

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-23 04:25:30 UTC
From: MogooMobileSearch <MogooMobileSearch@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1119500730.226635.209310@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13081

Mogoo Mobile Search Service Launched -- www.mogoo.biz ---2024598735 ---
MSN: mogoo_inc@hotmail.com
Search with text message on your mobile phone, anytime, anywhere! It's FREE*!
Mogoo Services
+ Price search (comparison shopping)
+ Coupon & Rebates
+ News
+ Weather
+ Real-time Stock Quotes
+ Local Business Directory
+ Driving Directions
+ Traffic Info
+ Movies Times
+ Flight Updates
+ White Pages
+ Music,MP3 & Ringtones
+ E-mail Notifications
Wish to make a comparison shopping? Like to get the show time for movies playing near you? Want a driving directions to show you where you want to go and tells you what you'll find when you get there? Need to find the number of your favorite delivery place?
All these seem not to be easily satisfied. But now with the emergence of Mogoo, everything is easy! Just with your mobile phone, Mogoo will solve all your problems after pressing few phone-keys.
What is Mogoo
Mogoo is a mobile search service that gives your cell phone the power to get other instant mobile information wherever you are and whatever you want.
Who can use it
Any cell phone capable of text messaging can use Mogoo services to quickly access the information. Best of all, it's free and no registration is required.
How to use it
Use Mogoo services according to the following steps:
(1) Enter your query as a text message (tips for how to query specific areas can be found on the "Query specific areas")
(2) Send the message to the short code number: 2024598735
(3) Get your instant information back within 10 seconds.
Tip: For ease of use, store the number 2024598735 as a contact in your cell phone address book.

2720. Re: FS: PRO-2006 / Bill Cheek Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-23 05:31:03 UTC
From: T-bone <mis@take.net>
Message-ID: <Xns967EF702821Fewrfdgrstnetaakeanfk@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 13082

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in
news:ptSdnWFjy-NlxyTfRVn-jg@comcast.com:

> I'm selling for a friend, the following:
>

> 026B: LED S-Meter w/Mod #25 $85.00
> 027: LED Center Tune Meter $85.00
>

Don't know about the others - How would 10 little led's and a few
resitors/caps cost $85 ?
Just curious.
I did those mods myself and doubt if they cost $5 each.
Of course, if your adding the hourly cost of a union journeyman electrician
installing the circuit, maybe that would explain it.

The 2006 was/is a great scanner, and your price seems reasonable I guess.
No need to wildly exagerate the costs of the mods.

2721. Re: 27.620 FM Repeater heard ! (new rare 'DX catch')

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-23 09:07:38 UTC
From: jonz <overhere@overthere.com>
Message-ID: <42ba7c62@dnews.tpgi.com.au>
Source record: 13083
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton! CHICKEN BOY!"
<keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1119488375.282017.202610@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Lloyd wrote:
> > The Charleston and Little Rock freeband repeaters are linked
> > to business band repeaters and a seldom used ham repeater in one
> > case. There is a set up in Nashville where a repeater is cross
> > linked to repeaters in three other radio services.
> >
> >
> > "Lloyd" <lloyd@pizzahoradiosltd.com> wrote in message
> > news:d9cl5hufe0@pita.alt.net...
> > > There are Freeband repeaters operating in Charleston, SC,
> > > Atlanta, Ga., Little Rock, AR, and several other cities.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > "SWL DX News" <73varney@nosp.ucdavis.edu> wrote in message
> > > news:a6b57$42b9b094$d1cc4e62$10819@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> > >> Exercpted from The KY4KY News : http://ky4ky.com/fyi.htm
> > >>
> > >> (6/19/05) Floating Freeband (FM) Repeater On * 27.620 *
> > >>
> > >> If you hear what sounds like a repeater outputting in the spectrum
> > >> between the 11 meter class D citizens band and the 10 meter
> > >> ham band, it's not an illusion. Rather it appears to be the creation
> > >> of some enterprising Freebanders who are operating this
> > >> latest, unlicensed repeater.
> > >>
> > >> According to several reports received by Newsline, and others
> > >> posted to various Internet websites, the system in question
> > >> outputs on 27.620 MHz FM. It also seems to be located
> > >> not far from Pennsylvania's eastern most border.
> > >>
> > >> But there is the interesting part. While it's not yet been
> > >> verified, the word on the street is that the input kind of floats
> > >> around the low end of the 10 meter ham band. There is some
> > >> speculation that the operators of this repeater monitor
> > >> the input frequency and move it when ham radio operators
> > >> running legal high power Morse come on the air on top of it.
> > >> At least there have been reports of strange announcements

> > >> which may be information to users as to where the input will next be
> > >> found.
> > >>
> > >> One Internet post describes this machine as one of a new
> > >> breed of Freeband roving repeater of the type first noticed
> > >> in the St. Louis area about two years ago. That is, those
> > >> who run these systems are physically moving the frequencies
> > >> and the geographic location of the machine to avoid detection
> > >> by ham radio T-Hunt squads and the FCC.
> > >>
> > >> This is just the latest report in a string of 11 meter repeaters
> > >> that go way back to the early 1970's when
> > >> Freebanders - then called H-F'ers -- began tying together old
> > >> crystal controlled 11 meter AM transceivers as repeaters.
> > >> Most had outputs on channel 1 or 2 and inputs on CB Channel 40
> > >> or above. None worked very well and most were soon abandoned.
> > >>
> > >> -----------
> > >>
> > >> Note: We heard the "27.620 Machine" just before noon today in SE MO.
> > >> No word yet of they have a "QSL card address", although if they do
this
> > >> \would be a RARE CATCH to confirm.
>
> Just goes to show what CB queers will do to hook up for gay play.
>
just go away you fuckin oxygen thief.......jonz

2722. Re: FS: PRO-2006 / Bill Cheek Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-23 16:48:43 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <MqadneXDRLRweiffRVn-gA@comcast.com>
Source record: 13084

I'm not exaggerating anything. I'm just pointing out what the mods, performed by Bill Cheek, originally cost. I have the original receipt also. Considering this radio, has over $400.00 worth of modifications, by Bill Cheek's pricing, and that the radio itself cost around $300.00 at the time, I think $275.00 is a fair asking price. We've all seen these radios without any modifications go for much more than that, at times. Not everyone is capable of doing this type of work. The disassembly of the front panel, and the precision locating, and drilling for the LED's is a real challenge in itself. Someone who is a collector, or who appreciates/wants the modifications this radio has, would be happy to get it. It is very clean, and in excellent working condition.

Bill Crocker

"T-bone" <mis@take.net> wrote in message
news:Xns967EF702821Fewrfdgrstnetaakeanfk@140.99.99.130...
> "Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in
> news:ptSdnWFjy-NlxyTfRVn-jg@comcast.com:
>
>> I'm selling for a friend, the following:
>>
>
>> 026B: LED S-Meter w/Mod #25 $85.00
>> 027: LED Center Tune Meter $85.00
>>
>
> Don't know about the others - How would 10 little led's and a few
> resitors/caps cost $85 ?
> Just curious.
> I did those mods myself and doubt if they cost $5 each.
> Of course, if your adding the hourly cost of a union journeyman
> electrician
> installing the circuit, maybe that would explain it.
>
> The 2006 was/is a great scanner, and your price seems reasonable I guess.
> No need to wildly exagerate the costs of the mods.

2723. Re: FS: PRO-2006 / Bill Cheek Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-23 17:42:33 UTC
From: T-bone <mis@take.net>
Message-ID: <Xns967E8B751BDD0ewrfdgrstnetaakeanfk@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 13085

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in
news:MqadneXDRLRweiffRVn-gA@comcast.com:

> I'm not exaggerating anything. I'm just pointing out what the mods,
> performed by Bill Cheek, originally cost. I have the original receipt
> also. Considering this radio, has over $400.00 worth of modifications,
> by Bill Cheek's pricing, and that the radio itself cost around $300.00
> at the time, I think $275.00 is a fair asking price. We've all seen
> these radios without any modifications go for much more than that, at
> times. Not everyone is capable of doing this type of work. The
> disassembly of the front panel, and the precision locating, and drilling
> for the LED's is a real challenge in itself. Someone who is a
> collector, or who appreciates/wants the modifications this radio has,
> would be happy to get it. It is very clean, and in excellent working
> condition.
>
> Bill Crocker

I don't disagree that the asking price is fair.
I've performed most of the same mods, and more, and know the parts and
labor involved.
If your considering labor charges, then theres no good way to put a rice on
that.
If its just parts then the costs of the mods is nowhere near what you said.
>
>
>
> "T-bone" <mis@take.net> wrote in message
> news:Xns967EF702821Fewrfdgrstnetaakeanfk@140.99.99.130...
>> "Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in
>> news:ptSdnWFjy-NlxyTfRVn-jg@comcast.com:
>>
>>> I'm selling for a friend, the following:
>>>
>>
>>> 026B: LED S-Meter w/Mod #25 $85.00
>>> 027: LED Center Tune Meter $85.00
>>>
>>
>> Don't know about the others - How would 10 little led's and a few
>> resitors/caps cost $85 ?
>> Just curious.
>> I did those mods myself and doubt if they cost $5 each.
>> Of course, if your adding the hourly cost of a union journeyman
>> electrician

>> installing the circuit, maybe that would explain it.
>>
>> The 2006 was/is a great scanner, and your price seems reasonable I
>> guess. No need to wildly exagerate the costs of the mods.
>
>
>

2724. Re: RADIO SHACK PRO 96 QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-24 07:58:51 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <17fnb1tiqf4bi1pvsffhvvqo4uan489rdl@4ax.com>
Source record: 13086

On Tue, 21 Jun 2005 12:40:17 -0400, "Bill Crocker"
<wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote:

>This may be an obvious question, but are you certain you're using the
>correct battery holders? The black one is intended for Alkaline batteries.
>If you're putting your re-chargeables in there, they won't recharge. You
>need to use the yellow battery holder for re-chargeables. Also make sure
>you're inserting the battery holder into the radio properly. It has metal
>contacts that need to mate with the ones in the radio.
>
>Bill Crocker
>
>
>"William Falconer" <falconw@accesscable.net> wrote in message
>news:EFLte.85965$tt5.43807@edtnps90...
>> After listening to the radio for several months using the AC power supply
>> I
>> decided to take the scanner mobile and when the AC supply was disconnected
>> the scanner went dead. Checked the rechargable batteries and all was good
>> but no sound. Put in the other battery pack with the regular batteries and
>> still no joy. Has anyone else out there come across this same type of
>> problem. I suspect that there is a fuse for the batteries inside the radio
>> but don't want to open it up just yet as there may still be warrenty

FWIW, if it's like the PRO-95, the battery cover won't seat if
the holder isn't correctly oriented.

2725. Petition to Deny Renewal to K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-24 21:40:37 UTC
From: policy-ham <hamop_62@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1119649237.556139.108330@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13087
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Do any of you know about this on line petition to the fcc
to stop them from renewing the ham license of K1MAN? I found
it on eham.net.

L.L.

Petition to Deny Renewal to K1MAN:
Brian (K3VR) on June 15, 2005

By now, you've probably heard that K1MAN has received a large NAL
(fine) from the FCC, for Broadcasting, Wilful Interference, Lack of
Station Control, Failure to File Required Information, and Pecuniary
Interest.

Even if K1MAN were to pay this NAL, there is no guarantee that his
obnoxious behavior will change. If he were to lose his license, and yet
still continue to transmit, at least the Government could seize his
equipment.

The fact is, K1MAN has received lots of letters from the FCC over the
years and nothing to date has stopped his trashing of Amateur Radio.
For this reason, I believe he should permanently lose his license to
transmit over amateur radio.

As it happens, K1MAN's license is due to expire on October 17th. The
FCC already has a procedure in place where we, and the rest of the
listening public, can make our voices heard regarding K1MAN's license
renewal.

I propose we use the FCC's standard procedures to file what's known as
a "Formal Petition to Deny Renewal." Basically, the petition would be
signed by all of us who believe K1MAN's license should NOT be renewed.

As it happens, there is a website being written right now to accomplish
this task. The "Petition to Deny License Renewal to K1MAN" is in the
process of being written by Keith Lamonica (W7DXX).

Based on K1MAN's on-air behavior, we will ask that the FCC not renew
K1MAN's license this fall. I've already begun collecting comments.

All you need to do is indicate in writing, that you believe K1MAN's
amateur radio licenses should NOT be renewed.

Referencing his NAL letter, send your comments to:

Brian Crow
2550 Mosside Blvd

Suite 212
Monroeville, PA
15146

Include your comment in favor of the petition to deny license renewal to K1MAN, along with your name, callsign, address, signature, and a daytime phone number for verification.

Your comments will be submitted to the FCC along with the petition. Even a postcard with the required information will do it. If you have a lot to say, send me a letter.

Please join me in supporting this Formal Petition to Deny License Renewal to K1MAN. We need YOUR comments!

The website and petition, now in progress of being completed, is located at:

http://www.no2k1man.com/

Thanks for your support!

2726. Re: Petition to Deny Renewal to K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-24 22:12:59 UTC
From: Herb <herb@yupyoufoool.com>
Message-ID: <d9i0au$sc5$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 13088
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

"policy-ham" <hamop_62@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1119649237.556139.108330@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Do any of you know about this on line petition to the fcc
> to stop them from renewing the ham license of K1MAN? I found
> it on eham.net.
>

Yeah, it is just more whining and crying from you
cry baby hams. Grow up and get a life.

2727. Re: Petition to Deny Renewal to K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-24 22:15:35 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <aC%ue.29$9x6.3967033@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 13092
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

... and somewhere in the world another freebander is born...

John

"policy-ham" <hamop_62@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1119649237.556139.108330@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Do any of you know about this on line petition to the fcc
> to stop them from renewing the ham license of K1MAN? I found
> it on eham.net.
>
> L.L.
>
> Petition to Deny Renewal to K1MAN:
> Brian (K3VR) on June 15, 2005
>
> By now, you've probably heard that K1MAN has received a large NAL
> (fine) from the FCC, for Broadcasting, Wilful Interference, Lack of
> Station Control, Failure to File Required Information, and Pecuniary
> Interest.
>
> Even if K1MAN were to pay this NAL, there is no guarantee that his
> obnoxious behavior will change. If he were to lose his license, and
> yet
> still continue to transmit, at least the Government could seize his
> equipment.
>
> The fact is, K1MAN has received lots of letters from the FCC over the
> years and nothing to date has stopped his trashing of Amateur Radio.
> For this reason, I believe he should permanently lose his license to
> transmit over amateur radio.
>
> As it happens, K1MAN's license is due to expire on October 17th. The
> FCC already has a procedure in place where we, and the rest of the
> listening public, can make our voices heard regarding K1MAN's license
> renewal.
>
> I propose we use the FCC's standard procedures to file what's known as
> a "Formal Petition to Deny Renewal." Basically, the petition would be
> signed by all of us who believe K1MAN's license should NOT be renewed.
>
> As it happens, there is a website being written right now to
> accomplish
> this task. The "Petition to Deny License Renewal to K1MAN" is in the
> process of being written by Keith Lamonica (W7DXX).

>
> Based on K1MAN's on-air behavior, we will ask that the FCC not renew
> K1MAN's license this fall. I've already begun collecting comments.
>
> All you need to do is indicate in writing, that you believe K1MAN's
> amateur radio licenses should NOT be renewed.
>
> Referencing his NAL letter, send your comments to:
>
> Brian Crow
> 2550 Mosside Blvd
> Suite 212
> Monroeville, PA
> 15146
>
>
> Include your comment in favor of the petition to deny license renewal
> to K1MAN, along with your name, callsign, address, signature, and a
> daytime phone number for verification.
>
> Your comments will be submitted to the FCC along with the petition.
> Even a postcard with the required information will do it. If you have
> a
> lot to say, send me a letter.
>
> Please join me in supporting this Formal Petition to Deny License
> Renewal to K1MAN. We need YOUR comments!
>
> The website and petition, now in progress of being completed, is
> located at:
>
> http://www.no2k1man.com/
>
> Thanks for your support!
>

2728. Re: Petition to Deny Renewal to K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-24 22:19:25 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com>
Message-ID: <NF%ue.11376$VK4.8046@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 13089
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

So who IS Glen Baxter, and what state is ME?

"policy-ham" <hamop_62@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1119649237.556139.108330@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Do any of you know about this on line petition to the fcc
> to stop them from renewing the ham license of K1MAN? I found
> it on eham.net.
>
> L.L.
>
> Petition to Deny Renewal to K1MAN:
> Brian (K3VR) on June 15, 2005
>
> By now, you've probably heard that K1MAN has received a large NAL
> (fine) from the FCC, for Broadcasting, Wilful Interference, Lack of
> Station Control, Failure to File Required Information, and Pecuniary
> Interest.
>
> Even if K1MAN were to pay this NAL, there is no guarantee that his
> obnoxious behavior will change. If he were to lose his license, and yet
> still continue to transmit, at least the Government could seize his
> equipment.
>
> The fact is, K1MAN has received lots of letters from the FCC over the
> years and nothing to date has stopped his trashing of Amateur Radio.
> For this reason, I believe he should permanently lose his license to
> transmit over amateur radio.
>
> As it happens, K1MAN's license is due to expire on October 17th. The
> FCC already has a procedure in place where we, and the rest of the
> listening public, can make our voices heard regarding K1MAN's license
> renewal.
>
> I propose we use the FCC's standard procedures to file what's known as
> a "Formal Petition to Deny Renewal." Basically, the petition would be
> signed by all of us who believe K1MAN's license should NOT be renewed.
>
> As it happens, there is a website being written right now to accomplish
> this task. The "Petition to Deny License Renewal to K1MAN" is in the
> process of being written by Keith Lamonica (W7DXX).
>
> Based on K1MAN's on-air behavior, we will ask that the FCC not renew
> K1MAN's license this fall. I've already begun collecting comments.

>
> All you need to do is indicate in writing, that you believe K1MAN's
> amateur radio licenses should NOT be renewed.
>
> Referencing his NAL letter, send your comments to:
>
> Brian Crow
> 2550 Mosside Blvd
> Suite 212
> Monroeville, PA
> 15146
>
>
> Include your comment in favor of the petition to deny license renewal
> to K1MAN, along with your name, callsign, address, signature, and a
> daytime phone number for verification.
>
> Your comments will be submitted to the FCC along with the petition.
> Even a postcard with the required information will do it. If you have a
> lot to say, send me a letter.
>
> Please join me in supporting this Formal Petition to Deny License
> Renewal to K1MAN. We need YOUR comments!
>
> The website and petition, now in progress of being completed, is
> located at:
>
> http://www.no2k1man.com/
>
> Thanks for your support!
>

2729. Radio Shack pricing schemes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-24 23:23:49 UTC
From: Jim <chief_jim@go.com>
Message-ID: <zD0ve.1729$ZD2.883@fe09.lga>
Source record: 13090

Been considering the PRO-97 scanner. Printed the data sheet about a week ago. Price was $199.99. This week it shows $219.99.

Wondering if they are practicing the old Sears routine of raising prices for a couple of weeks only to advertise later $20 off. Netting the same previously non-adjusted regular price.

2730. Not Receiving Transmissions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-24 23:45:01 UTC
From: SC_Dave <dwooten@sc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <1119656701.720950.322610@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13091

Hi, I am new to this board. I just bought a R/S Pro 95. Bought Scancat Lite Plus to help with programing. I have a list of all the trunked frequencies and talk group ID's in my area. Got them all programed in, Sheriff's Dept, Police Dept, Fire Etc. I am hearing trasmissions from all of the above. However I am not getting anything on the three High Patrol channels. I double checked the Group ID numbers but I am getting nothing. Any suggestions????? David

2731. Re: FS: PRO-2006 / Bill Cheek Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-25 01:53:43 UTC
From: Mike Burch <mburch46@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <GO2ve.101220$xm3.49490@attbi_s21>
Source record: 13093

Hi Bill, Would you happen to have a picture of that creation? I recall seeing one quite a while ago.

Thanks Mike Burch K8MB

2732. Re: Petition to Deny Renewal to K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-25 02:19:55 UTC
From: Scott in Baltimore <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com>
Message-ID: <42BCBF4B.1B11393E@yapoo.com>
Source record: 13094
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Jim Hackett wrote:
>
> So who IS Glen Baxter, and what state is ME?

I guess you think Alaska is AL...

2733. Re: Petition to Deny Renewal to K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-25 03:34:45 UTC
From: Bob <bob@nowhere.nil>
Message-ID: <Qg4ve.356$p5.109@nnrp.ca.mci.com!nnrp1.uunet.ca>
Source record: 13095
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Jim Hackett wrote:
> So who IS Glen Baxter, and what state is ME?
>
>

ME is Meshetuchatts of course...
:)

2734. Re: Petition to Deny Renewal to K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-25 05:17:14 UTC
From: Not Roger Too <SocialMisfit@notRoger>
Message-ID: <d9ipbflho0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 13096
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

"Bob" <bob@nowhere.nil> wrote in message
news:Qg4ve.356$p5.109@nnrp.ca.mci.com!nnrp1.uunet.ca...
Jim Hackett wrote:
> So who IS Glen Baxter, and what state is ME?
>
>

ME is Meshetuchatts of course...
:)

Baxter lives in Massatoosets, or is it Massatoochits? Or in Massa Two Tits?
Any way you cut it, however you spell it, Glenn and his Blabfests are
hopefully going to be a thing of the past.
It will be interesting to see if Baxter will go down with both guns blazing
as he cohosts with arrogant Richard Whiten, ex WB2OTK and fellow jammer by
his side.
That $21,000 fine is sure to put a dent in his coffers, even for a
"professional engineer" of his self-proclaimed high standing.
Hey, Glenn. Ever entertain the idea of initiating a legal defense fund? I'll
donate two cents.

2735. Re: Who gives a fuck about some greasy haired x-posting ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-25 05:21:13 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050625012110.107$hy_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 13097
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Who gives a fuck?

2736. Re: Who gives a fuck about some greasy haired x-posting ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-25 06:56:40 UTC
From: Roger Wiseman <ab8mq@nospam>
Message-ID: <d9iv5r$25q$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 13098
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Steveo wrote:
> Who gives a fuck?

You do.

2737. Re: Who gives a fuck about some greasy haired x-posting ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-25 12:05:54 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050625080550.585$Hg@newsreader.com>
Source record: 13099
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Roger Wiseman <ab8mq@nospam> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > Who gives a fuck?
>
> You do.
>
Hi, greasy haired fuck.

2738. Re: Who gives a fuck about some greasy haired x-posting ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-25 12:08:05 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050625080801.290$ul@newsreader.com>
Source record: 13100
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

```
Roger Wiseman <ab8mq@nospam> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > Who gives a fuck?
>
> You do.
>
ROGER WISEMAN'S GREYHOUND MEN'S ROOM BAND
```

Lead vocal: Dr. Winston O'Boogie

It was twenty years ago today,
Roger Wiseman forced the boys to be gay
He's been going in and outa their rears
And he's made them into ravin' queers.
So watch out or I'll force on you
The act we've hated all these years,
Roger Wiseman's Greyhound Men's Room Band.

We're Roger Wiseman's Greyhound Men's Room Band,
Disciples of the Bucktoothed Choad,
Roger Wiseman's Greyhound Men's Room Band,
Sit back and watch us shoot a load.
Roger Wiseman's Greyhound, Roger Wiseman's Greyhound,
Roger Wiseman's Greyhound Men's Room Band.
It's wonderful to be here,
But Wiseman's full of crap,
The dirty goddamn pederast:
That fucker gave us syphilis,
That fucker gave us clap.

I don't really want to stop the show,
But I thought you had all better know,
That Wiseman's going to play a song,
On a stickpin that he stuck through his schlong.
So let me introduce to you
The one and only Bucktoothed Choad
And Roger Wiseman's Greyhound Men's Room Band.

2739. Re: Petition to Deny Renewal to K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-25 13:30:40 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com>
Message-ID: <40dve.11784$VK4.6844@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 13001
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Ahh thanks. One of those funny little states over on the other side of the yard...

"Bob" <bob@nowhere.nil> wrote in message news:Qg4ve.356$p5.109@nnrp.ca.mci.com!nnrp1.uunet.ca...
> Jim Hackett wrote:
> > So who IS Glen Baxter, and what state is ME?
> >
> >
>
> ME is Meshetuchatts of course...
> :)

2740. Where to Buy a Cellular Phone Jammer? (no lectures please)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-25 18:33:41 UTC
From: Kathleen Carmody <councilmembercarmody@ci.brooklyn-center.mn.us>
Message-ID: <Ma2dnbN9_uAYPiDfRVnyjw@skypoint.com>
Source record: 13002
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

(-PLEASE- No lectures or legal opinions needed or desired)

Anyone know where to purchase a cellular phone jammer, preferably stateside. There are vendors off shore, but none here in CONUS that I know of. Please post here any US vendors that sell cellular jammers. (Extra points for relating your experience with using one.)

2741. Re: Where to Buy a Cellular Phone Jammer? (no lectures please)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-25 21:43:18 UTC
From: krackula <krackula@i.am>
Message-ID: <adjrb1lp2unndcu257q4dum2tjfds2778r@4ax.com>
Source record: 13003
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 25 Jun 2005 13:33:41 -0500, Kathleen Carmody
<councilmembercarmody@ci.brooklyn-center.mn.us> wrote:

>
>
>
>(-PLEASE- No lectures or legal opinions needed or desired)
>
>Anyone know where to purchase a cellular phone jammer, preferably
>stateside. There are vendors off shore, but none here in CONUS
>that I know of. Please post here any US vendors that sell cellular
>jammers. (Extra points for relating your experience with using one.)
>

" no lectures please " ..... ha ah hah aa trippy.

let me see now !!! ..... if I understand this correctly .....
you want someone to list the location of a store or site
selling equipment that violates US federal laws ..... and
" extra points " for people that will " relate " their
experiences (right here in front of everyone)
while committing federal felonies , using this illegal
equipment !!!! is that right ??? ha ha ha ha ha ha

this has got to be one of the most LAME and
shallow " sting " attempts that I have seen in some time
(and " I " have seen a bunch) .

heck , while you're at it ..... " everyone here that has
a murdered body buried in their back yard ,
please raise their hand too !!! " (no lectures please
ah aha ha ha)

well kathleen , looks like you have really thought this out
eh ???

k.....

2742. Re: Not Receiving Transmissions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-25 21:46:42 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <42bdd0a2.1748243@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 13004

I don't know where you are located, but make sure they have not gone
digital. The 95 will not receive digital

On 24 Jun 2005 16:45:01 -0700, "SC_Dave" <dwooten@sc.rr.com> wrote:

>Hi, I am new to this board. I just bought a R/S Pro 95. Bought
>Scancat Lite Plus to help with programing. I have a list of all the
>trunked frequencies and talk group ID's in my area. Got them all
>programed in, Sheriff's Dept, Police Dept, Fire Etc. I am hearing
>trasmissions from all of the above. However I am not getting anything
>on the three High Patrol channels. I double checked the Group ID
>numbers but I am getting nothing. Any suggestions????? David
>

2743. Re: Where to Buy a Cellular Phone Jammer? (no lectures please)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-26 01:59:18 UTC
From: matt weber <matthеww50@cox.net>
Message-ID: <0u2sb19j4ef3oaka9579vfaisgvakviiql@4ax.com>
Source record: 13005
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 25 Jun 2005 13:33:41 -0500, Kathleen Carmody
<councilmembercarmody@ci.brooklyn-center.mn.us> wrote:

>
>
>
>(-PLEASE- No lectures or legal opinions needed or desired)
>
>Anyone know where to purchase a cellular phone jammer, preferably
>stateside. There are vendors off shore, but none here in CONUS
>that I know of. Please post here any US vendors that sell cellular
>jammers. (Extra points for relating your experience with using one.)
>
Sorry, but it would be illegal to import or sell such a device in the
USA. That is the long and the short of why they are not for sale.

2744. Re: Petition to Deny Renewal to K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-26 03:14:17 UTC
From: Brian Denley <b.denley@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <e9adnZouzv0XgCPfRVn-2A@comcast.com>
Source record: 13006
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Jim Hackett wrote:
> Ahh thanks. One of those funny little states over on the other side
> of the yard...
>
>
>
> "Bob" <bob@nowhere.nil> wrote in message
> news:Qg4ve.356$p5.109@nnrp.ca.mci.com!nnrp1.uunet.ca...
>> Jim Hackett wrote:
>>> So who IS Glen Baxter, and what state is ME?
>>>
>>>
>>
>> ME is Meshetuchatts of course...
>> :)

For those who can spell, ME is the abbreviation for Maine. Massachusetts is
MA .
--
Brian Denley
http://home.comcast.net/~b.denley/index.html

2745. Re: Radio Shack pricing schemes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-26 03:32:24 UTC
From: "Mr. Man with the Master Plan" <null@null.net>
Message-ID: <rlpve.10510$ik5.8265@fe12.lga>
Source record: 13007

Is this just an excuse to bitch about Radioshack?

Radiohsack has done this with many products over the past few years. If it is something that is high in demand, they will raise the price as would most companies that are looking to maximize profits

I bought the PRO-97 when it first became available, adn I think the extra $20 for it is reasonable. I think it is worth $220.

Although.......

The knob set does give it a somewhat cheap feel. Easy to fix, go join the PRO-97 yahoo group to see pix of the rig with an alternate knob set

"Jim" <chief_jim@go.com> wrote in message
news:zD0ve.1729$ZD2.883@fe09.lga...
> Been considering the PRO-97 scanner. Printed the data sheet about a week
> ago. Price was $199.99. This week it shows $219.99.
>
> Wondering if they are practicing the old Sears routine of raising prices
> for a couple of weeks only to advertise later $20 off. Netting the same
> previously non-adjusted regular price.

2746. WinRadio Client/Server

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-26 04:25:46 UTC
From: Eric Homa <n6nmz@n6nmz.net>
Message-ID: <e7qve.4132$Bx6.2505@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 13009

Hi,

Anyone out there running a WinRadio 1550E and also using the Client/Server
software? We are having some difficulty getting ours to stream the audio.
Any experts out there?

Thanks,

Eric Homa
N6NMZ

2747. Re: Petition to Deny Renewal to K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-26 04:32:00 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com>
Message-ID: <4dqve.12113$VK4.2277@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 13008
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

```
LOL
"Brian Denley" wrote in message
news:e9adnZouzv0XgCPfRVn-2A@comcast.com...
> Jim Hackett wrote:
> > Ahh thanks. One of those funny little states over on the other side
> > of the yard...
> >
> >
> >
> > "Bob" wrote in message
> > news:Qg4ve.356$p5.109@nnrp.ca.mci.com!nnrp1.uunet.ca...
> >> Jim Hackett wrote:
> >>> So who IS Glen Baxter, and what state is ME?
> >>>
> >>>
> >>
> >> ME is Meshetuchatts of course...
> >> :)
>
> For those who can spell, ME is the abbreviation for Maine. Massachusetts
is
> MA .
> --
> Brian Denley
> http://home.comcast.net/~b.denley/index.html
>
>
```

2748. Re: Radio Shack pricing schemes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-26 13:08:14 UTC
From: Jim <chief_jim@go.com>
Message-ID: <2Nxve.36$t07.23@fe12.lga>
Source record: 13010

Mr. Man with the Master Plan wrote:
> ..go join the PRO-97 yahoo group...

Great information there. Thanks

2749. Test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-26 15:06:49 UTC
From: No oNe At All <No_member@newsguy.com>
Message-ID: <d9mga901cvl@drn.newsguy.com>
Source record: 13011

Test Dammit!

2750. Re: Petition to Deny Renewal to K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-26 16:15:44 UTC
From: Brian Hill <jonnysocko@jps.net>
Message-ID: <RwAve.11598$xm1.967@fe06.lga>
Source record: 13012
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

"Jim Hackett" <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com> wrote in message
news:4dqve.12113$VK4.2277@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> LOL

It do be funny around here Jim. LOL

B.H.

2751. Re: Where to Buy a Cellular Phone Jammer? (no lectures please)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-26 19:43:37 UTC
From: Me <Me@shadow.orgs>
Message-ID: <Me-D3231F.11434026062005@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 13013
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <Ma2dnbN9_uAYPiDfRVnyjw@skypoint.com>,
Kathleen Carmody <councilmembercarmody@ci.brooklyn-center.mn.us>
wrote:

> (-PLEASE- No lectures or legal opinions needed or desired)
>
> Anyone know where to purchase a cellular phone jammer, preferably
> stateside. There are vendors off shore, but none here in CONUS
> that I know of. Please post here any US vendors that sell cellular
> jammers. (Extra points for relating your experience with using one.)
>
>

Hey Babe, If you can con enough people to get yourself elected to
a Council, you certainly should be able to use Google to find out what
your asking.....Secondly, If you had a CLUE about the technology that
you are asking about, you would know that these devices are not FCC Type
Accepted for use, or sale inside the US..... thirdly if you had Brain1
in'your whole head, you would know that every National Guard Signal Corp
Unit has some on the shelf ready to use should the Civil Authority,
require that service. We all hope that you are just a LAMER..... but
if you really are on the "for real", please "Get a Life" and "Go Away"
real soon......

Me who thinks maybe you are a Moorroooon (bugs bunny definition)

2752. Re: Radio shack and marketing scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-26 19:59:53 UTC
From: Scott en Aztl&#65533;n <scottenaztlan@yahooNOSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <vp1ub1h2hrtmdp997hq1vcu782fnnmer0r@4ax.com>
Source record: 13014

On Tue, 21 Jun 2005 07:47:12 -0700, Wayne Howell
<whowell@gensearch.com> wrote:

>When I got interested in getting a Pro-95, a couple of months ago, I
>went into our local Radio Shack and asked to see one. I was told "We
>don't sell scanners." I advised them that both the catalog and the web
>site showed that the did. The clerk told me "Radio Shack has
>discontinued doing scanner.....we can't get any more." I tried once
>more--insisting that even if _they_ didn't have them, RS was still
>selling them. Again the clerk said "We've tried. We can't even order
>scanners any more, RC has discontinued them.

Here's what I would have done:

Asked the clerk for his name and the name and phone number of his
district manager. If he asked me why, I'd reply that I want to confirm
Radio Shack's decision to discontinue carrying scanners. If he didn't
cave in at that point, I would call the district manager right then
and there from my cell phone, ask the question, and then explain that
<insert clerk's name here> at <inster store number and/or location
here> is telling me that RS no longer carries scanners. If necessary,
I'd hand the phone to the clerk and have him tell his DM what he just
told me. Then I would have watched as the clerk began wincing from the
tongue-lashing the DM would give him.

Within a week or two, that store would have a new manager... And there
would be a full line of scanners gracing the shelves. And that lying
punk of a clerk would be gone, as well.

2753. A trip to Australia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-26 20:25:13 UTC
From: Christopher O'Callaghan <d.ocallaghan@iolfree.ie>
Message-ID: <d9n2va$c6k$1@reader01.news.esat.net>
Source record: 13015

Hi,

In a month i will be making a long trip to australia for a holiday.I of course plan on bringing my Bearcat portable radio scanner.I just nned to know is it illegal for me to bring it with me on the plane?

Chris

2754. Re: Petition to Deny Renewal to K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-26 20:34:25 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <o84ub11mit602f4dj0mm72mua5n5c83ukp@4ax.com>
Source record: 13016
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

On 24 Jun 2005 14:40:37 -0700, "policy-ham" <hamop_62@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Do any of you know about this on line petition to the fcc
>to stop them from renewing the ham license of K1MAN? I found
>it on eham.net.

Sounds like he is an asshole. Does he have some sort of agenda or purpose for
these broadcasts and these stiff-arms of the FCC?

Vinnie S.

2755. Re how exactly what is http://66.139.239.116:8080/hyl-ander_secrt/cyberstalkerbackdoor.html ?? once again the criminal john gagon of 11919 QUAIL CREEK DR HOUSTON TX 77070-2313 has to resort to hiding his stalking by hidden code in thread http://groups-beta.google.com/-group/alt.support.autism/msg/1cbee1d3bb2abbb2?dmode=source&hl=en

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-26 20:53:30 UTC
From: Phineas Snott <halvhofe@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1119819210.405887.219320@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13017
Cross-posted to: alt.support.autism,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Lord Alfred Henry wrote:
> Crossposting troll. Can't you see it's shit like your crossposting and
> constant spamming of puns and constant harassments
> and driving people up walls that gets you into so much shit? Now you've
> just made a bunch more groups mad ad A.S.A.
> I'm sure people here and the many lawyers they know won't appreciate
> that!
>
> It's very hard to believe you have Morris in league with you at this
> point. You are insane!

how do you mean?

why are you so threatened?

I mean you have been stalking me and my family.

You did make a personal website to track the spyware you went to the
trouble of putting on my computer.

You did try to blackmail me off of alt.support.autism

You did commit id theft.

I'm not the one makeing them mad.

You are.

You and your stalking activities...

2756. Re: Back exactly what is http://66.139.239.116:8080/hylander_secrt/cybersta-lkerbackdoor.html ?? once again the criminal john gagon of 11919 QUAIL CREEK DR HOUSTON TX 77070-2313 has to resort to hiding his stalking by hidden code in thread http://groups-beta.google.com/group/alt.support.au-tism/msg/1cbee1d3bb2abbb2?dmode=source&hl=en

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-26 21:02:55 UTC
From: Phineas Snott <halvhofe@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1119819297.479909.231350@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13018
Cross-posted to: alt.support.autism,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Lord Alfred Henry wrote:
> Phineas Snott wrote:
> > my family knows all about you stalker. So does the police, the fbi and
> > some lawyers.
> >
> > Lord Alfred Henry wrote:
> > > Here is your chance to "look better" than me. Post less than I do for
> > > once.
> >
> > what and you will not blackmail me?
> >
> > WE DO NOT *DEAL* WITH TERRORISTS.
> >
> > Now go back into the dung hole you crwaled out of...
>
> Interesting you mention dung. Seems to be a natural environment for you
> to extract your metaphors from. (not to mention food) Many were about
> to consider you a kind of "dung beetle"....but that must have been more
> lure. Actually, dung beetles are too good for you.

so what youa re saying is been there dung that?? :D :D :D :D

(you and your crappy emoticons....)

2757. FS Icom R3

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-26 22:26:05 UTC
From: N1RSP <n1rsp@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <1YFve.637$At6.101@trndny09>
Source record: 13019
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have an Icom R3 for sale with a copy of manual.I have no original box for it.It is the unblocked version.I am asking $300.00 or BRO for it plus shipping.Email me if interested.
Reason for sale is it is to complicated for me to play with.

--
73s from N1RSP
Grid 42
IRLP Node #4162
The Home of The Perfect Storm

2758. Re: Radio shack and marketing scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-27 00:42:08 UTC
From: Mike Tschel <Mike@Hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <wfGdnbT3WNig0SLfRVn-gA@look.ca>
Source record: 13020

"Scott en Aztl&#65533;n" <scottenaztlan@yahooNOSPAM.com> wrote in message
news:vp1ub1h2hrtmdp997hq1vcu782fnnmer0r@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 21 Jun 2005 07:47:12 -0700, Wayne Howell
> <whowell@gensearch.com> wrote:
>
> >When I got interested in getting a Pro-95, a couple of months ago, I
> >went into our local Radio Shack and asked to see one. I was told "We
> >don't sell scanners." I advised them that both the catalog and the web
> >site showed that the did. The clerk told me "Radio Shack has
> >discontinued doing scanner.....we can't get any more." I tried once
> >more--insisting that even if _they_ didn't have them, RS was still
> >selling them. Again the clerk said "We've tried. We can't even order
> >scanners any more, RC has discontinued them.
>
> Here's what I would have done:
>
> Asked the clerk for his name and the name and phone number of his
> district manager. If he asked me why, I'd reply that I want to confirm
> Radio Shack's decision to discontinue carrying scanners. If he didn't
> cave in at that point, I would call the district manager right then
> and there from my cell phone, ask the question, and then explain that
> <insert clerk's name here> at <inster store number and/or location
> here> is telling me that RS no longer carries scanners. If necessary,
> I'd hand the phone to the clerk and have him tell his DM what he just
> told me. Then I would have watched as the clerk began wincing from the
> tongue-lashing the DM would give him.
>
> Within a week or two, that store would have a new manager... And there
> would be a full line of scanners gracing the shelves. And that lying
> punk of a clerk would be gone, as well.<

Well, since I'm in Canada, RS is not even an issue as far as buying gear.
I'll tell you one thing - I had a similar experience - even to the point of
showing the the Cat. Number, and was willing to pay cash on the spot. The
'manager' - then told me - "Even if you order it today, it'll take 6 weeks
before we can get the item. Besides, the radio you want won't pick up
Ambulance, Fire or Police was they use "SPECIAL TONES" that are scrambled.

We were talking about the Pro-95.

I calmly waived the 600.00 cash in her direction, and said 'thanks...think
I'll go elsewhere.".

They didn't even try to dissuade me from leaving! Unbelieveable. I went
elsewhere and bought a great scanner for less, plus I received a floppy of

local freq's and a printout of many more useful freq's that were active in my area. I received a free leather case as I purchased on a 'sale-day'.

For plugs, wire and misc electronics I'll still go to RS if I have to. Anything over 10 bucks, I take my business elsewhere.

If I had time, I'd post the real story. It's a funny story, however it's a very sad indicator of the Radio Shack chain's refusal to help customers.

Mike T.

>

2759. Re: Where to Buy a Cellular Phone Jammer? (no lectures please)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-27 05:11:29 UTC
From: Jesse <org@org.orgy>
Message-ID: <Xns9682C1F854C2ewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 13021
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Kathleen Carmody <councilmembercarmody@ci.brooklyn-center.mn.us> wrote in
news:Ma2dnbN9_uAYPiDfRVnyjw@skypoint.com:

>
>
>
> (-PLEASE- No lectures or legal opinions needed or desired)
>
> Anyone know where to purchase a cellular phone jammer, preferably
> stateside. There are vendors off shore, but none here in CONUS
> that I know of. Please post here any US vendors that sell cellular
> jammers. (Extra points for relating your experience with using one.)
>
>

Sure, walmarts, $19.99 plus tax, works great !
Love it ! Won't leave home without it !

hope this helped

2760. Re: A trip to Australia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-27 14:57:24 UTC
From: jamoran <john@analon.com>
Message-ID: <y-CdnYriBcbljl3fRVn-iA@sedona.net>
Source record: 13022
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

should not be a problem, I take a couple of handheld scanners and a large shortwave (sony-2010) with me when I travel all the time

I sometimes need to explain why the 2010 has two sets of batteries but other than that no problems.... I also take a coil of wire and some alligator clips with me to use as the shortwave antenna

Christopher O'Callaghan wrote:

> Hi,
>
> In a month i will be making a long trip to australia for a holiday.I of
> course plan on bringing my Bearcat portable radio scanner.I just nned to
> know is it illegal for me to bring it with me on the plane?
>
> Chris
>
>

2761. Re: A trip to Australia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-27 15:21:30 UTC
From: clifffreeling@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1119885690.702404.46720@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13023
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

>
> Christopher O'Callaghan wrote:
>
> > Hi,
> >
> > In a month i will be making a long trip to australia for a holiday.

Must have relatives there or something Christopher. Why else would
someone want to sit on a plane for umpteen hours, just to get to
a God-forsaken place full of poisonous critters of all kinds on
land, and in the water, including probably the biggest Great White
Shark population on Earth. Oh, and the people walk around saying
"maeet" all the time, and their ancestors killed the natives for
sport. And that area between Perth and the east coast is
the absolute garden spot of the world. Swell place, Australia.

--
Cliff

2762. Re: A trip to Australia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-28 02:07:39 UTC
From: Q <Q>
Message-ID: <Xns9682E0E21E6A3nospamdotcom@216.196.97.136>
Source record: 13024

"Christopher O'Callaghan" <d.ocallaghan@iolfree.ie> wrote in news:d9n2va
$c6k$1@reader01.news.esat.net:

> Hi,
>
> In a month i will be making a long trip to australia for a holiday.I of
> course plan on bringing my Bearcat portable radio scanner.I just nned
to
> know is it illegal for me to bring it with me on the plane?
>
> Chris

Of course it's legal! Granted, once you get there, if you go into the
bush, it's gonna be deaf.

Took my AR8200 into the bush in 2001, (Flinder and Gawler ranges, SA) and
didn't get any comms, DUH!

I'd suggest bringing one of the new scanners with "near field" frequency
features. The pro 83 or pro 97 (in my stable) but don't know what steps
and bands are predominant in Oz.

Good luck!

Q

--
Andy
http://tinyurl.com/bczgr

2763. Re: A trip to Australia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-28 06:05:55 UTC
From: David Bennetts <davibenne-nospam@yahoo.com.au>
Message-ID: <bN5we.59$4s4.2213@nnrp1.ozemail.com.au>
Source record: 13025
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

<cliffreeling@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1119885690.702404.46720@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
>> Christopher O'Callaghan wrote:
>>
>> > Hi,
>> >
>> > In a month i will be making a long trip to australia for a holiday.
>
> Must have relatives there or something Christopher. Why else would
> someone want to sit on a plane for umpteen hours, just to get to
> a God-forsaken place full of poisonous critters of all kinds on
> land, and in the water, including probably the biggest Great White
> Shark population on Earth. Oh, and the people walk around saying
> "maeet" all the time, and their ancestors killed the natives for
> sport. And that area between Perth and the east coast is
> the absolute garden spot of the world. Swell place, Australia.
>
> --
> Cliff

I'd want to get back home to Australia after visiting a country with
gun-toting idiots walking the streets carrying $5 specials, yellowing out
"howdy" all the time, whose ancestors killed off the native American tribes
to push them off their land.. Deserts full of prickly cactus, rattlesnakes
and sidewinders. Weapons of mass destruction stored all over the country.
With awful TV shows with canned laughter, crap restaurants, and oversize gas
guzzling pickup trucks.

Swell place, America

Regards

David Bennetts
Australia

2764. Re: A trip to Australia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-28 06:45:32 UTC
From: mnc@admin.u.nu
Message-ID: <96SdncRVa4SRb13fRVn-uw@speakeasy.net>
Source record: 13026
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

David Bennetts <davibenne-nospam@yahoo.com.au> wrote:
> <cliffreeling@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> Must have relatives there or something Christopher. Why else would
>> someone want to sit on a plane for umpteen hours, just to get to
>> a God-forsaken place full of poisonous critters of all kinds on
>> land, and in the water, including probably the biggest Great White
>> Shark population on Earth. Oh, and the people walk around saying
>> "maeet" all the time, and their ancestors killed the natives for
>> sport. And that area between Perth and the east coast is
>> the absolute garden spot of the world. Swell place, Australia.
>
> I'd want to get back home to Australia after visiting a country with
> gun-toting idiots walking the streets carrying $5 specials, yellowing out
> "howdy" all the time, whose ancestors killed off the native American
> tribes to push them off their land.. Deserts full of prickly cactus,
> rattlesnakes and sidewinders. Weapons of mass destruction stored all over
> the country. With awful TV shows with canned laughter, crap restaurants,
> and oversize gas guzzling pickup trucks.

You were making your point just fine until you stepped over the line and
hauled out the TV shows. The country that brought the world Hey Dad and
Stingers needs to tread very gingerly on that topic.

miguel
--
Hit The Road! Photos from 36 countries on 5 continents: http://travel.u.nu
Latest photos: Queens Day in Amsterdam; the Grand Canyon; Amman, Jordan

2765. Re: A trip to Australia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-28 06:56:14 UTC
From: Paul Keenleyside <beeceepleights@teluz.net>
Message-ID: <iw6we.97180$9A2.41891@edtnps89>
Source record: 13027
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

"Miguel Cruz" <mnc@admin.u.nu> wrote in message
news:96SdncRVa4SRb13fRVn-uw@speakeasy.net...
> David Bennetts <davibenne-nospam@yahoo.com.au> wrote:
>> <clifffreeling@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>> Must have relatives there or something Christopher. Why else would
>>> someone want to sit on a plane for umpteen hours, just to get to
>>> a God-forsaken place full of poisonous critters of all kinds on
>>> land, and in the water, including probably the biggest Great White
>>> Shark population on Earth. Oh, and the people walk around saying
>>> "maeet" all the time, and their ancestors killed the natives for
>>> sport. And that area between Perth and the east coast is
>>> the absolute garden spot of the world. Swell place, Australia.
>>
>> I'd want to get back home to Australia after visiting a country with
>> gun-toting idiots walking the streets carrying $5 specials, yellowing out
>> "howdy" all the time, whose ancestors killed off the native American
>> tribes to push them off their land.. Deserts full of prickly cactus,
>> rattlesnakes and sidewinders. Weapons of mass destruction stored all
>> over
>> the country. With awful TV shows with canned laughter, crap restaurants,
>> and oversize gas guzzling pickup trucks.
>
> You were making your point just fine until you stepped over the line and
> hauled out the TV shows. The country that brought the world Hey Dad and
> Stingers needs to tread very gingerly on that topic.

I would say anyone from a country that brought the world such television
delights such as The Brady Bunch, Me and The Chimp, WWF Wrestling, and Mork
and Mindy would need to tread very gingerly on the topic.

2766. Re: A trip to Australia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-28 08:44:45 UTC
From: Alan S <nothere@there.com>
Message-ID: <n332c19g1drba4nt0r6hmimqdu2kd6e5mo@4ax.com>
Source record: 13028
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

On Tue, 28 Jun 2005 01:45:32 -0500, mnc@admin.u.nu (Miguel
Cruz) wrote:

>
>You were making your point just fine until you stepped over the line and
>hauled out the TV shows. The country that brought the world Hey Dad and
>Stingers needs to tread very gingerly on that topic.
>
>miguel

You left out the "good" ones - Kingswood Country, Norman
Gunston, Homicide, Division 4 - you're either too young or
you weren't here long enough.

And Neighbours, E Street, Home and Away, A Country practice,
Number 96, etc for all the soapy lovers.

That's just the tip of the iceberg:-)

On Cliff - there are some tourists you sincerely hope will
listen to their own advice - and stay home.

Cheers, Alan, Australia

2767. Re: A trip to Australia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-28 12:40:19 UTC
From: clifffreeling@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1119962419.914547.111680@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13029
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

David Bennetts wrote:

> I'd want to get back home to Australia after visiting a country with
> gun-toting idiots walking the streets carrying $5 specials,

What streets you been hangin' out on? Been watching too much TV?

> yellowing out "howdy" all the time,

:)
Huh? Howdy? Been watching old Westerns. I generally just nod,
thank you.

> whose ancestors killed off the native American tribes
> to push them off their land..

They were damn sure pushed off their land, that's for sure; and, er,
I guess there was a little genocide here and there, but they were
never hunted for sport. And our ancestors never killed any group to
extinction, as did yours with the people of Tasmania...

> Deserts full of prickly cactus, rattlesnakes
> and sidewinders.

Hey! I love our deserts, and mountains, and seashores, and wildlife.
We did have Bill Clinton as Prez for 8 years, but the ecomony DID boom.
We now have little Caesar as Prez, but we are all suffering through his
last term, but hey, nothing's perfect.

>Weapons of mass destruction stored all over the country.

Our country is such a jewel on Earth that everybody wants it. It's
called *protection*.

> With awful TV shows with canned laughter,

Have to agree here. TV/movies have gone into the crapper, but there's
lots of good stuff from the past to watch.

> crap restaurants,

Maybe your choices are just bad.

> and oversize gas guzzling pickup trucks.

Have to agree here too about the pig haulers, but at least folks
have that choice if they so desire.

> Swell place, America

Indeed it is. I wouldn't shoot a rabid skunkape trying to leave
Australia.

--
Cliff

2768. Re: Re how exactly what is http://66.139.239.116:8080/hyl��ander_secrt/cyberstalkerbackd�o�or.html ?? once again the criminal john gagon of 11919 QUAIL CREEK DR HOUSTON TX 77070-2313 has to resort to hiding his stalking by hidden code in thread http://grou

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-28 14:42:24 UTC
From: Todd N9OGL <N9OGL@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <1119969812.654b5516d6feba27acd06a969e3295c4@teranews>
Source record: 13030
Cross-posted to: alt.support.autism,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Why does everyone hate me?
>
"Phineas Snott" <halvhofe@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1119819210.405887.219320@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Lord Alfred Henry wrote:
>> Crossposting troll. Can't you see it's shit like your crossposting and
>> constant spamming of puns and constant harassments
>> and driving people up walls that gets you into so much shit? Now you've
>> just made a bunch more groups mad ad A.S.A.
>> I'm sure people here and the many lawyers they know won't appreciate
>> that!
>>
>> It's very hard to believe you have Morris in league with you at this
>> point. You are insane!
>
> how do you mean?
>
> why are you so threatened?
>
> I mean you have been stalking me and my family.
>
> You did make a personal website to track the spyware you went to the
> trouble of putting on my computer.
>
> You did try to blackmail me off of alt.support.autism
>
> You did commit id theft.
>
> I'm not the one makeing them mad.
>
> You are.
>
> You and your stalking activities...
>

2769. FS 800 Mhz Motorola STX Handheld Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-28 16:47:01 UTC
From: klenchm@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1119977221.264651.48290@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13031

http://cgi.ebay.co.uk/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4669&item=7525237920&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

This radio comes complete with the following.

Long 800 MHZ antenna

Ni-cad Battery pack

Motorola Rapid Charger (Model Number NLN4038)

I have tested the radio and the following simplex frequencies are
programmed.

858 MHZ
859 MHZ
859.5 MHZ
862 MHZ
862.5 MHZ
864 MHZ
865 MHZ

The Ni-cad pack can take and hold a charge,

2770. San Jose Fire Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-28 19:56:24 UTC
From: Charlie Durand <c.durand@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Y_6dne3igYH0NlzfRVn-oA@giganews.com>
Source record: 13032

Hey there,

I recently moved to San Jose. I am trying to get used to monitoring in a city with multiple frequencies. I used to live in Mountain View and it was easy, 2 channels for PD and 1 for FD.

What would be the frequency for the fire department in my area? The closest big intersection would be 280 and Meridian. The police frequency for my neighborhood is 460.325.

Thanks!

Charlie

2771. Re: San Jose Fire Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-28 19:59:10 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com>
Message-ID: <i_hwe.13171$pa3.1595@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 13033

Fire Primary: 155.025

"Charlie Durand" <c.durand@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:Y_6dne3igYH0NlzfRVn-oA@giganews.com...
> Hey there,
>
> I recently moved to San Jose. I am trying to get used to monitoring in a
> city with multiple frequencies. I used to live in Mountain View and it
was
> easy, 2 channels for PD and 1 for FD.
>
> What would be the frequency for the fire department in my area? The
closest
> big intersection would be 280 and Meridian. The police frequency for my
> neighborhood is 460.325.
>
> Thanks!
>
> Charlie
>
>

2772. Re: A trip to Australia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-28 21:35:35 UTC
From: Gregory Morrow <gregorymorrowEMERGENCYCANCELLATIONARCHIMEDES@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Hojwe.13206$pa3.12518@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 13034
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

Alan S wrote:

> You left out the "good" ones - Kingswood Country, Norman
> Gunston, Homicide, Division 4 - you're either too young or
> you weren't here long enough.
>
> And Neighbours, E Street, Home and Away, A Country practice,
> Number 96, etc for all the soapy lovers.
>
> That's just the tip of the iceberg:-)

And at the tippy - top of that iceberg is _Prisoner: Cell Block H_...

--
Best
Greg

2773. Re: Where to Buy a Cellular Phone Jammer? (no lectures please)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-28 21:50:00 UTC
From: "fasteddy64@yahoo.com" <fasteddy64@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1119995400.765493.259760@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 13035
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

You have to love all the love and helpful attitudes here!

2774. Bearcat BC100XLT problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-28 22:58:56 UTC
From: max-man@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <42c1d52b.137995140@news.freeserve.net>
Source record: 13036

My BC100XLT seems to have the 'standard' fault where there is no audio and the backlight won't illuminate. Other than that it seems okay!

I've tried the relevant repair tips as listed here:

http://repairfaq.ece.drexel.edu/REPAIR/F_BC_Repair.html

I found a shorted 200uf electrolytic but replacing that didn't fix the fault. The transistor at Q201 checks out okay and as I didn't have a spare I carried out the mod to short out the collector and emitter but still no sound or backlight. I've checked the rest of the electrolytics and transistors but cannot find any other faults.

Any ideas please anyone?

Or is there a suitable messageboard where I should be asking about this?

Many thanks

2775. Re: Bearcat BC200XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-28 23:03:45 UTC
From: Frank346 <frank346@groznyk.com>
Message-ID: <lHkwe.28420$IX4.12677@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 13037

http://www.mods.dk/view.php?ListManuals=uniden

<Mebart> wrote in message news:cai3c19i6mpjjibn7rtqldn90r66a1msn1@4ax.com...
> Need service manual, mine has gone completely dead, and it's NOT due
> to the battery.
>
> I remember reading something about a faulty low battery indicator
> electronics bug, which shuts the scanner down completely. But, can't
> find details on it.
>
> Anyone know if the Sefrvice Manual is posted anywhere online?
>
> Thanks,
>
> M

2776. Re: A trip to Australia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-28 23:12:28 UTC
From: Christopher O'Callaghan <d.ocallaghan@iolfree.ie>
Message-ID: <d9slgt$7u4$1@reader01.news.esat.net>
Source record: 13038

Many went way out of the subject here ,except for 1 or 2 people that were kind enough to give their opinions on what i posted and actually answered the question i asked.
Many thanks to those who answered my Question!

Chris

2777. Re: A trip to Australia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-28 23:20:49 UTC
From: Troy Rowland <t_rowlandNOSPAM@ozemail.com.au>
Message-ID: <pXkwe.5$vv5.508@nnrp1.ozemail.com.au>
Source record: 13039

Where do you intend to go Chris? That will play a big part in what you can hear.

Urban areas will be just like the U.S. Outback areas are serviced by HF and satphone, so you won't hear a great deal.

Troy

<Q> wrote in message news:Xns9682E0E21E6A3nospamdotcom@216.196.97.136...
> "Christopher O'Callaghan" <d.ocallaghan@iolfree.ie> wrote in news:d9n2va
> $c6k$1@reader01.news.esat.net:
>
>> Hi,
>>
>> In a month i will be making a long trip to australia for a holiday.I of
>> course plan on bringing my Bearcat portable radio scanner.I just nned
> to
>> know is it illegal for me to bring it with me on the plane?
>>
>> Chris
>
>
> Of course it's legal! Granted, once you get there, if you go into the
> bush, it's gonna be deaf.
>
> Took my AR8200 into the bush in 2001, (Flinder and Gawler ranges, SA) and
> didn't get any comms, DUH!
>
> I'd suggest bringing one of the new scanners with "near field" frequency
> features. The pro 83 or pro 97 (in my stable) but don't know what steps
> and bands are predominant in Oz.
>
> Good luck!
>
> Q
>
>
>
> --
> Andy
> http://tinyurl.com/bczgr

2778. Re: Bearcat BC100XLT problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-28 23:57:51 UTC
From: max-man@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <42c1e3ad.141786296@news.freeserve.net>
Source record: 13040

On Tue, 28 Jun 2005 22:58:56 GMT, max-man@hotmail.com (max-man) wrote:

Please ignore that - being an idiot I accidentally shorted the
collector and emitter of Q204 instead of Q201. Whoops!

Is that mistake with Q204 likely to have caused any new problems?

Thanks

2779. Re: San Jose Fire Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-29 00:27:45 UTC
From: SkyPilot <skypilotnospam@writeme.com>
Message-ID: <7nq3c19kcprb770oafpcgl3mufvcdru2u0@4ax.com>
Source record: 13041

"Charlie Durand" <c.durand@comcast.net> pounded on the keyboard and wrote:

>Hey there,
>
>I recently moved to San Jose. I am trying to get used to monitoring in a
>city with multiple frequencies. I used to live in Mountain View and it was
>easy, 2 channels for PD and 1 for FD.
>
>What would be the frequency for the fire department in my area? The closest
>big intersection would be 280 and Meridian. The police frequency for my
>neighborhood is 460.325.
>
>Thanks!
>
>Charlie
>

http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=10

Scroll down to the bottom.
--

Brian J. Rueger | Hampton Div. of Fire & Rescue | "Who dares wins"
Lt./Paramedic | Fire Communications Officer | Hampton, VA.
B.S. Comm/I/SEL Pilot | MSgt, USAF (Ret.) 49199 | NREMT-P
Check out my home page: http://members.cox.net/brueger
Some of my photography: http://www.usefilm.com/photographer/34185.html
"Life's too short to drink LITE beer!"

2780. Re: A trip to Australia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-29 01:34:25 UTC
From: TOliver <toliverjrFIX@Hot.rr.com>
Message-ID: <BUmwe.93070$PR6.17361@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 13042
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

"Gregory Morrow"
<gregorymorrowEMERGENCYCANCELLATIONARCHIMEDES@earthlink.net> wrote in
message news:Hojwe.13206$pa3.12518@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> Alan S wrote:
>
>> You left out the "good" ones - Kingswood Country, Norman
>> Gunston, Homicide, Division 4 - you're either too young or
>> you weren't here long enough.
>>
>> And Neighbours, E Street, Home and Away, A Country practice,
>> Number 96, etc for all the soapy lovers.
>>
>> That's just the tip of the iceberg:-)
>
>
> And at the tippy - top of that iceberg is _Prisoner: Cell Block H_...
>

Having seen so little Ozmandian TV so as to be unaware of/unaquainted with its excellence (or lack thereof), it does seem likely that the best "rating system" available for it would be the list of H'ainglish speaking countries whose networks clamor for shows from Oz.

Let's listen........Very muted clamor (and only shows apparently making the Transpacific leap with any ease, that funny reptile feller and a corps of girlish cowhoydens on the "We" (Women's network) channel). Let's see....I get three Spanish networks and only two sporadic Ozzian half hours.....

TMO

2781. Whats up with Javiation's email?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-29 02:17:44 UTC
From: Automan <nobody@anywhere.com>
Message-ID: <8t04c11o7siku3r4odemdk2aqbk1lm9clj@4ax.com>
Source record: 13043

I've sent a couple emails to Javiation over the past year asking about the avaliability of the new AOR 5000A+3, 3GHz receiver. They still list the old 2600MHz receiver. I don't know if the email address on their site works, I haven't ever received a responce. Anyone know if their email works?

2782. keypad connector on Pro-2052

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-29 03:21:54 UTC
From: Sean <seaningram@tds.net>
Message-ID: <42c21309$1_1@newspeer2.tds.net>
Source record: 13044

Hello, name here is Sean.

I own a Pro-2052 and the white keypad [female] to the main ckt board [male] connector is experiencing a short.

I would like to find an exact connector and re-strip the wires and re-insert new contact terminals inside the connector. These are the connectors that slide right down on to their point of connection. i.e. similiar to ribbon cable type connectors seen in computers, only much smaller.

I noticed the other day that I had no keypad response when selecting a function. My scanner works and has power going to it.

This should be an easy fix rather than sending it to radio shack.

Any help is welcome.

Sean

2783. Re: Re how exactly what is http://66.139.239.116:8080/hyl��ander_secrt/cyberstalkerbackd�o�or.html ?? once again the criminal john gagon of 11919 QUAIL CREEK DR HOUSTON TX 77070-2313 has to resort to hiding his stalking by hidden code in thread http://grou

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-29 06:02:22 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace - WH2T" <Ace@WH2T.com>
Message-ID: <7d46e$42c23959$4e0dba7$13889@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 13045
Cross-posted to: alt.support.autism,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Everyone doesn't hate you. Everyone doesn't know you.

"Todd N9OGL" <N9OGL@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:1119969812.654b5516d6feba27acd06a969e3295c4@teranews...
> Why does everyone hate me?

>>
> "Phineas Snott" <halvhofe@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1119819210.405887.219320@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>
>> Lord Alfred Henry wrote:
>>> Crossposting troll. Can't you see it's shit like your crossposting and
>>> constant spamming of puns and constant harassments
>>> and driving people up walls that gets you into so much shit? Now you've
>>> just made a bunch more groups mad ad A.S.A.

2784. Re: Re how exactly what is http://66.139.239.116:8080/hyl-ander_secrt/cyberstalkerbackdoor.html ?? once again the criminal john gagon of 11919 QUAIL CREEK DR HOUSTON TX 77070-2313 has to resort to hiding his stalking by hidden code in thread http://groups-beta.google.com/-group/alt.support.autism/msg/1cbee1d3bb2abbb2?dmode=source&hl=en

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-29 06:57:28 UTC
From: "news.vif.com" <root@NoReply-AntiSpam.com>
Message-ID: <1120028244.89933@www.vif.com>
Source record: 13046
Cross-posted to: alt.support.autism,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

WHY DON'T BOTH YOU JUST FUCKEN GROW UP!
TAKE YOUR PERSONAL AFFAIRS SOMEWHERE ELSE NOT HERE!

IF YOU CAN'T MAKE YOUR DIFFERENCES THEN GET A FUCKEN GUN AND PUT YOUR
HEAD AND PULL THE TRIGGER
--

2785. snip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-29 07:09:53 UTC
From: Lord Alfred Henry <askandreceive@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <5Prwe.831$Ox3.599@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 13047
Cross-posted to: alt.support.autism,rec.radio.scanner

snip

2786. snip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-29 07:10:30 UTC
From: Lord Alfred Henry <askandreceive@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <GPrwe.832$Ox3.474@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 13048
Cross-posted to: alt.support.autism,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

snip

2787. BCD396T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-29 11:24:28 UTC
From: Buzzygirl <spammers@re.shite.com>
Message-ID: <lsGdnecl9494GV_fRVn-uQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 13049

Monitoring Times gave this a great review... about the only thing the reviewer didn't like about it was the blue backlight.

Anyone have one? If so, do you find it to be worth the price (which is pretty high, IMO)?

Jackie

2788. Re: BCD396T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-29 11:26:31 UTC
From: Buzzygirl <spammers@re.shite.com>
Message-ID: <u4qdndSV1uL9GF_fRVn-tA@comcast.com>
Source record: 13050

I feel dumb following up to my own messages... I'm not sure this is even available yet in stores. If not, then I wonder when it will be available to the general public.

Jackie

2789. Re: BCD396T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-29 12:37:03 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <PBwwe.32013$IX4.28890@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 12951

This one looks to be a kick-ass scanner.

Yes, a bit pricey, but loaded with features.

Jeff at THE HAM STATION informs me he will be shipping by the end of the
week and is taking pre-orders now.

Dan

> I feel dumb following up to my own messages... I'm not sure this is even
> available yet in stores. If not, then I wonder when it will be available to
> the general public.
>
> Jackie
>
>

2790. Re: BCD396T [blue LEDs]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-29 13:25:43 UTC
From: Rob <nospam@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <ljxwe.9093$mK5.582434@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 12952

I'd have to agree, I find Blue LEDs hard on the eyes. Especially in low light conditions. What makes it worse is the plethora of manufacturers who have jumped on the 'loaded with blue LEDs' bandwagon simply because they're relatively new to the market.

Rob.

Buzzygirl wrote:
> Monitoring Times gave this a great review... about the only thing the
> reviewer didn't like about it was the blue backlight.
>
> Anyone have one? If so, do you find it to be worth the price (which is
> pretty high, IMO)?
>
> Jackie
>
>

2791. Re: A trip to Australia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-29 13:52:33 UTC
From: Christopher O'Callaghan <d.ocallaghan@iolfree.ie>
Message-ID: <d9u931mss1@reader01.news.esat.net>
Source record: 12953

I plan on visiting relatives in New South Wales from newcastle to
sydney.Then i go to Brisbane for a holiday.

chris

"Troy Rowland" <t_rowlandNOSPAM@ozemail.com.au> wrote in message
news:pXkwe.5$vv5.508@nnrp1.ozemail.com.au...
> Where do you intend to go Chris? That will play a big part in what you
> can hear.
>
> Urban areas will be just like the U.S. Outback areas are serviced by HF
> and satphone, so you won't hear a great deal.
>
> Troy
>
> <Q> wrote in message news:Xns9682E0E21E6A3nospamdotcom@216.196.97.136...
>> "Christopher O'Callaghan" <d.ocallaghan@iolfree.ie> wrote in news:d9n2va
>> $c6k$1@reader01.news.esat.net:
>>
>>> Hi,
>>>
>>> In a month i will be making a long trip to australia for a holiday.I of
>>> course plan on bringing my Bearcat portable radio scanner.I just nned
>> to
>>> know is it illegal for me to bring it with me on the plane?
>>>
>>> Chris
>>
>>
>> Of course it's legal! Granted, once you get there, if you go into the
>> bush, it's gonna be deaf.
>>
>> Took my AR8200 into the bush in 2001, (Flinder and Gawler ranges, SA) and
>> didn't get any comms, DUH!
>>
>> I'd suggest bringing one of the new scanners with "near field" frequency
>> features. The pro 83 or pro 97 (in my stable) but don't know what steps
>> and bands are predominant in Oz.
>>
>> Good luck!
>>
>> Q
>>
>>
>>

>> --
>> Andy
>> http://tinyurl.com/bczgr
>
>

2792. Re: Re how exactly what is http://66.139.239.116:8080/hyl-ander_secrt/cyberstalkerbackd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-29 16:10:41 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120061441.387339.219470@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12954
Cross-posted to: alt.support.autism,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

such a reply to a reply to a forged post

news.vif.com wrote:
> WHY DON'T BOTH YOU JUST FUCKEN GROW UP!
> TAKE YOUR PERSONAL AFFAIRS SOMEWHERE ELSE NOT HERE!
>
> IF YOU CAN'T MAKE YOUR DIFFERENCES THEN GET A FUCKEN GUN AND PUT YOUR
> HEAD AND PULL THE TRIGGER
> --

2793. snip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-29 16:13:44 UTC
From: Hylander <john.gagon@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120061624.236409.9770@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12955
Cross-posted to: alt.support.autism,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

snip

2794. Re: Where to Buy a Cellular Phone Jammer? (no lectures please)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-29 19:34:20 UTC
From: Rufus Leaking <traalfaz2@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1120073659.967364.98090@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12956
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

<< Hey Babe, If you can con enough people to get yourself elected to
a Council >>

and look where, good old BCMN which gave James Janos, pka Jesse "The
Body" Ventura his start in politics...

hmmm maybe a few e-m's to abuse@ci.brooklyn-center.mn.us detailing this
obvious waste of her taxpayer's monies. surfin' the net instead of
doing her council biz????

Much better trolls on aml-z, at least they have some smarts!

RbflL

2795. Re: Where to Buy a Cellular Phone Jammer? (no lectures please)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-29 20:00:53 UTC
From: Rufus Leaking <traalfaz2@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1120075253.266656.321520@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12957
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

<< (-PLEASE- No lectures or legal opinions needed or desired) >>

one given. If I lived in BCMN, I'd be pretty pissed off that a
councilwoman was wasting her citie's resources, i.e. their e-mail and
internet accounts to be posting all the crap I saw you've been posting
all over usenet with one google search. Jesse was a goofball when he
was mayor there, but even he didn't go blowhard at the city's expense
like you've done...

2796. Re: BCD396T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-29 20:27:51 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <UKqdnQqeT7bUmV7fRVn-rA@comcast.com>
Source record: 12958

Scanners Unlimited received their first shipment this week. He clams to have received a few more than he had back orders for, so if it's not too late, you might give him a try!

http://www.scannersunlimited.com/

Excellent company to do business with!

Bill Crocker

"Buzzygirl" <spammers@re.shite.com> wrote in message news:u4qdndSV1uL9GF_fRVn-tA@comcast.com...
>I feel dumb following up to my own messages... I'm not sure this is even
> available yet in stores. If not, then I wonder when it will be available
> to
> the general public.
>
> Jackie
>
>

2797. Re: BCD396T [blue LEDs]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-29 22:45:47 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <42c3247d.2516077@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 12959

My local Uniden distributor says his tech could replace that with a
different color. Lets see just how bad it is

On Wed, 29 Jun 2005 09:25:43 -0400, Rob <nospam@nospam.org> wrote:

>I'd have to agree, I find Blue LEDs hard on the eyes. Especially in low
>light conditions. What makes it worse is the plethora of manufacturers
>who have jumped on the 'loaded with blue LEDs' bandwagon simply because
>they're relatively new to the market.
>
>Rob.
>
>
>Buzzygirl wrote:
>> Monitoring Times gave this a great review... about the only thing the
>> reviewer didn't like about it was the blue backlight.
>>
>> Anyone have one? If so, do you find it to be worth the price (which is
>> pretty high, IMO)?
>>
>> Jackie
>>
>>

2798. BC246T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-29 23:33:57 UTC
From: ScanMan <Bubba@TruckerNet.com>
Message-ID: <42c32fce$1@nntp.zianet.com>
Source record: 12961

Is the BC246T available in stores? If so, where?

2799. Re: BCD396T [blue LEDs]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-29 23:44:43 UTC
From: BDK <kingratatatboy@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1d2cfc7622c0871498995d@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 12960

In article <ljxwe.9093$mK5.582434@news20.bellglobal.com>,
nospam@nospam.org says...
> I'd have to agree, I find Blue LEDs hard on the eyes. Especially in low
> light conditions. What makes it worse is the plethora of manufacturers
> who have jumped on the 'loaded with blue LEDs' bandwagon simply because
> they're relatively new to the market.
>
> Rob.
>
>
> Buzzygirl wrote:
> > Monitoring Times gave this a great review... about the only thing the
> > reviewer didn't like about it was the blue backlight.
> >
> > Anyone have one? If so, do you find it to be worth the price (which is
> > pretty high, IMO)?
> >
> > Jackie
> >
> >
>

Hey, at least it's not that damn orange color. I like the blue most of
the time myself, but green is probably the best color..

BDK

2800. Re: BCD396T [blue LEDs]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-30 01:35:23 UTC
From: Bob <bob@nowhere.nil>
Message-ID: <v%Hwe.1193$p5.660@nnrp.ca.mci.com!nnrp1.uunet.ca>
Source record: 12962

I agree, green is fine, as are red and yellow. But that almost
violet-blue colour just burns the eyes.

>>>
>>>
>>
>
> Hey, at least it's not that damn orange color. I like the blue most of
> the time myself, but green is probably the best color..
>
> BDK

2801. Re: BCD396T [blue LEDs]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-30 03:03:26 UTC
From: BDK <kingratatatboy@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1d2d2b09d9af078a98995f@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 12963

In article <v%Hwe.1193$p5.660@nnrp.ca.mci.com!nnrp1.uunet.ca>,
bob@nowhere.nil says...
> I agree, green is fine, as are red and yellow. But that almost
> violet-blue colour just burns the eyes.
>
>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>
> >
> > Hey, at least it's not that damn orange color. I like the blue most of
> > the time myself, but green is probably the best color..
> >
> > BDK
>

I have an blue LCD display in the front of my PC, it doesn't have enough
contrast, but the color is fine..

BDK

2802. Patrick AFB Area Log - Wed., 29 June 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-30 03:39:50 UTC
From: AllanStern@aol.com
Message-ID: <1c7.2b7f430f.2ff4c386@aol.com>
Source record: 12964

```
Patrick AFB Area Log - Wed., 29 June 2005
=========================================

CG VESSEL 433 (Poss Aux) with CG Sta Canaveral
9:08am: Ops Normal, position.

LANCER 61: F-15, Seymour Johnson AFB 4FW
9:09am: Works Avon Park Bombing Range, then to MacDill AFB.
[307.25, 348.7, 319.0, 269.3, 292.2, 285.725, 349.0]

JOSA 961: C-21A
9:12am: Calls Jax Metro while abeam St Augustine; gets MacDill wx.
[343.5]

LF 50: P-3C, Jacksonville VP-16 "War Eagles"
9:25am: Transitions area.
[132.65]

REACH 279T: C-17A
10:15am: Lands Patrick AFB
[372.2, 132.65, 133.75]

LANCER 71: F-15, Seymour Johnson AFB 4FW
9:51am: Works Avon Park Bombing Range; AAR with BOLT 34.
[292.2, 285.725, 238.9]

GRITS 37: C-17A, Charleston 437AW
10:07am: Touch-and-goes at Patrick; departs to tanker.
[133.75, 132.65]

MAKO 11: F-16C, Homestead AFB 482FW
10:22am: Works at Avon Park Bombing Range with FAC Dark Cloud.
[292.2, 264.625]

SHARK 21: F-16C flight of four, Homestead AFB 482FW
10:24am: Works in Placid MOA, Block FL210-230.
11:10am: Departs.
[292.2]

BOLT 34: KC-135R, MaCDill AFB 6AMW
11:23am: AAR with Lancer flights; pattern work at Patrick AFB; RTB MacDill.
[238.9, 334.7, 133.75]

LIFTER 31: C-17A, Charleston AFB 437AW
11:15am: Pattern work at Patrick AFB.
[133.75, 132.65, 135.225]
```

REEF 11: F-16, Homestead
11:48am: En route Homestead ARB.

NASA 903: T-38N #63-8200, Johnson Space Ctr, Houston
12:42pm: To/from Shuttle Landing Facility.
[132.65]

LIFTER 41: C-17A, Charleston AFB 437AW
12:01pm: Pattern work at Patrick AFB.
[133.75]

AIR TRANSPORT 300: DC-8 (USAF Contractor)
1:10pm: Departs Patrick to McGuire AFB.
[133.75, 132.65]

LANCER 81: F-15, Seymour Johnson AFB
1:28pm: Works Avon Park Bombing Range with FAC Dark Cloud.
[292.2, 285.725]

LANCER 71: F-15, Seymour Johnson AFB
2:30pm: Works Avon Park Bombing Range with FAC Dark Cloud.
[292.2, 285.725, 269.3, 348.7, 307.25]

MAKO 11: F-16, Flight of two, Homestead ARB
2:52pm: Working Avon Park Bombing Range.
[264.625, 269.3, 239.25]

LANCER 61: F-15 Flight of four, Seymour Johnson AFB
2:54pm: Works Avon Park Bombing Range with FAC Dark Cloud.
[292.2, 285.725, 269.3, 370.9, 273.55]

SHARK 21: F-16C flight of four, Homestead AFB
3:02pm: Arrives Avon Park Bombing Range.
[292.2, 307.1, 281.5]

AX 360: C-130T, Andrews VR-53 "Capitol Express"
4:24pm: Files flight plan from Ocala RAP to Ocala RAP for 15 pax and 4 crew (10 min duration); then flight plan from Ocala RAP to Washington NAF (Andrews) for 0 pax and 4 crew. Seems like a sightseeing tour over Pinecastle Range for USN bigwigs during the USS TR exercise there.
[255.4, 133.475]

CBP
5:35pm: Long whining about all AORs and all travel vouchers having to be put in today.
[350.025]

NASA 909: T-38N #65-10351, Johnson Space Ctr, Houston.
5:50pm: Arr/Dep Shuttle Landing Facility.

BEAR 31: E-2C, Norfolk NAS VAW-124 "Bear Aces"
6:03pm: Passes info to Sealord re LION 47 flight.
[267.5]

AR BOOM COMMS
6:32pm: "Side 100, clear to contact."
6:36pm: 100 departs; 302 is cleared to contact.
[273.35]

KING 55: HC-130P #64-14855, Patrick AFB 920RQW 39RQS
8:25pm: Departs Patrick AFB.
[133.75]

KING 22: HC-130, Moody or Gabreski
9:20pm: Drops in Judy DZ.
[133.75, 251.9]

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL (28-11N 80-36W) monitoring
Patrick AFB (KCOF) NASA-KSC Shuttle Landing Fac (KX68)
Avon Park Bombing Range (KAGR) Cape Canaveral AFS (KXMR)
JSTARS E-8 Acft Integration Facility, Melbourne IAP (KMLB)
Worldwide Military HF Communications
Life Member: Missile, Space and Range Pioneers.
http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/index.html (My Freqs)
http://hometown.aol.com/scanaddict/index.html (My Equipment)

2803. Re: Whats up with Javiation's email?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-30 03:56:43 UTC
From: Al Gillis <alg@aracnet.com>
Message-ID: <d9vqci06bt@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 12965

Hi Automan...

I posted something via Javiations "Contact Us" link a while ago and got no response. After waiting some time I posted a message here (or maybe it was on alt.radio.scanner) asking that same question. In a day Jonathan had sent me private e-mail offering to help (with my MVT-7100 problem). He said the message on his web site had been overlooked. So I was convinced he was still a pretty good guy! Now all I need to do is get back to him on how to get my Yupi fixed! I suspect he's already replied to you, but if not, try him again!

Al

"Automan" <nobody@anywhere.com> wrote in message
news:8t04c11o7siku3r4odemdk2aqbk1lm9clj@4ax.com...
> I've sent a couple emails to Javiation over the past year asking about
> the avaliability of the new AOR 5000A+3, 3GHz receiver. They still
> list the old 2600MHz receiver. I don't know if the email address on
> their site works, I haven't ever received a responce. Anyone know if
> their email works?

2804. Re: BCD396T [blue LEDs]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-30 08:55:27 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <K4udnf_Nu8odLl7fRVn-1g@comcast.com>
Source record: 12966

I have a weird problem with red. My wife's Pontiac Grand Prix instrument panel is illuminated in red, and it always looks a little blurry to me. I think green is probably the best overall. Remember the "green screen" monochrome IBM monitors? I actually kind of miss them. Text was sharp!

Bill Crocker

"Bob" <bob@nowhere.nil> wrote in message
news:v%Hwe.1193$p5.660@nnrp.ca.mci.com!nnrp1.uunet.ca...
>I agree, green is fine, as are red and yellow. But that almost violet-blue
>colour just burns the eyes.
>
>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>
>> Hey, at least it's not that damn orange color. I like the blue most of
>> the time myself, but green is probably the best color..
>>
>> BDK

2805. Re: A trip to Australia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-30 10:54:29 UTC
From: Scott Howard <scott@hunterlink.net.au>
Message-ID: <1120128850.369813@docbert>
Source record: 12967
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

>> In a month i will be making a long trip to australia for a holiday.I of
>> course plan on bringing my Bearcat portable radio scanner.I just nned to
>> know is it illegal for me to bring it with me on the plane?

On every flight I've been on recently, including flights between
Australia and the USA, the use of "radio transmitters or receivers" has
been specifically mentioned as one of the the things which can't be done
during flight (not just takeoff/landing).

That said, I'm not sure if anyone would actually care...

Scott.

2806. Re: BCD396T [blue LEDs]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-30 14:54:39 UTC
From: BDK <kingratatatboy@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1d2dd1bd671e4b43989960@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 12968

In article <K4udnf_Nu8odLl7fRVn-1g@comcast.com>, wcrocker007@comcast.net says...
> I have a weird problem with red. My wife's Pontiac Grand Prix instrument
> panel is illuminated in red, and it always looks a little blurry to me. I
> think green is probably the best overall. Remember the "green screen"
> monochrome IBM monitors? I actually kind of miss them. Text was sharp!
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
> "Bob" <bob@nowhere.nil> wrote in message
> news:v%Hwe.1193$p5.660@nnrp.ca.mci.com!nnrp1.uunet.ca...
> >I agree, green is fine, as are red and yellow. But that almost violet-blue
> >colour just burns the eyes.
> >
> >
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>
> >>
> >> Hey, at least it's not that damn orange color. I like the blue most of
> >> the time myself, but green is probably the best color..
> >>
> >> BDK
>
>
>

Yeah, I liked the old green monitors that I used to use with my RTTY decoders. My friend had two amber ones on his and I hated them, even though they were just as clear as the green was, I just don't like that color as a display..

BDK

2807. Re: BCD396T [blue LEDs]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-30 15:45:15 UTC
From: "Ralph A. Schmid, DK5RAS" <nospam@radio-link.net>
Message-ID: <tp48c1pe3chvqlagp74hi6gh5rip48bloc@4ax.com>
Source record: 12969

BDK <kingratatatboy@buckeye-express.com> wrote:

>Yeah, I liked the old green monitors that I used to use with my RTTY
>decoders. My friend had two amber ones on his and I hated them, even
>though they were just as clear as the green was, I just don't like that
>color as a display..

My wife and me have obtained an old Toshiba laptop, with plasma
display - superb! This display beats all the early LCDs! We use this
machine for CW practise.

regards - Ralph

--

Want to get in touch? http://www.radio-link.net/whereisralph.txt

2808. Cell Phone Jammers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-30 17:18:16 UTC
From: willow <liegebay@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <da19ui0133d@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 12970

FCC Enforcement: Cell phone Jammers

The Enforcement Bureau of the Federal Communications Commission has issued a "Citation" to Grand Trades Co., (www.grandtrades.net), for selling unauthorized radio frequency devices-specifically wireless devices and cell phone jammers, and long range cordless telephones. The jamming devices are a double no-no. First, they are unauthorized intentional radiators, and hence violate the FCC's marketing rules, and second, they could never be authorized as it is forbidden under the Communications Act for any person to "willfully or maliciously interfere with or cause interference to any radio communications." In addition to jamming devices, Grand Trades' site sold a "long-range" cordless telephone (the Senao Model 358), which appears to operate in the amateur 2 and 1 ½ meter bands with a base station power in excess of 4 watts. These are clearly not cordless phones conforming to the authorized bands and emission limits of Part 15.

The citation is a warning. No fine has been levied, but the FCC may impose a fine of up to $11,000 for each violation or continuing violation that occurs after the date the citation is issued. The citation was issued after a letter of inquiry in March garnered the response that the company was based outside of the U. S., did not hold inventory in the U. S., nor did it direct business to the US. The company's web site, however, appeared to recommend some of its models to the North American market. It seems highly likely the FCC is going to pay close attention to this matter because of the high potential for interference to authorized communications that the offered equipment appears to hold.

In addition to taking liberties with radio regulations, Grand Trades Co. also appears to give short shift to tax laws, making the offer of a reduced value invoice to enable its European customers evade VAT (value added taxes).

On-line reference: FCC DA 05-1622,
http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/DA-05-1622A1.pdf

From e-NewsBreaks Conformity's Online Newsletter Thu Jun 30 12:14:38 2005

2809. Re: 800 mghz antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-30 18:47:15 UTC
From: NeonHomer <neonhomerWHEELS@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <9cf8c1t68vejivop8p5b43scsjrukt09sd@4ax.com>
Source record: 12971
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have one of those 800MHz antennas on my Pro95 as well.. I get the same signal w/ the antenna, or w/o it... or w/o any antenna whatsoever!!

I found the pro95 works better when you leave the first channel (channel 0) blank on a bank that is trunked... for some reason it works a whole lot better.. for me anyway... This is with an EDACS system, not a Motorola... I haven't tried it w/ moto yet.

On Mon, 24 Jan 2005 21:04:16 GMT, "Alex Clayton" <alexx1400@yahoo.com> wrote:

>First let me start with, I know nothing about radio, and antenna's other
>than what I experience. When I mentioned a while back I had found I could
>shorten my antenna on a Pro-95 and still get the 800 trunked police calls
>the same I got a bunch of e-mails from people who are MUCH more educated
>than me, telling me all the reasons it would not work and do not try it. I
>already had done it, with an extra antenna and it works just as well as the
>antennas that came with the Pro-79 and Pro-95.
> Now it did hinder the county police calls here that come out on the 150's
>band, but I seldom listen to them and did not care. Also this involves Radio
>shack. I know a lot of you have an ax to grind with them, again I don't care
>so don't bother e-mailing me to tell me they are the worst place on the
>planet to buy anything from. So all that out of the way <G>:
> Since the city here started switching over to 800 trunked I learned to
>program the Pro-95 I had bought a couple years ago. I found the reception on
>the 800 band works better than the old 400 band, which the police are still
>using while they up date all their radios, in areas that were hard to
>receive before. Such as inside buildings where I work for example. It used
>to be hard to pick up calls inside the buildings if the scanner was worn on
>my belt, with the 800 it seems to make no difference, inside, outside, on my
>belt or on my shoulder which was nice. When the weather was bad reception
>did get a lot of static on the 800, but you could still hear them. Anyway I
>noticed RS offered an antenna that was supposed to work better on the 800
>trunked. I finally decided to give it a try, figured if it did nothing I
>would just take it back. Well after trying it, it does work better, than the
>original antennas, at least to my un educated ears. They "claim" it works
>twice as well, now to my again un trained ears that's a stretch, but it does
>work better. The new one does also work just as well on the 400 and 150's
>band, even though they warned me at the store that it would not. I did not
>care, but since the police here are transmitting on both right now it's easy
>to check. So anyway for anyone who is an novice like me, if you are
>listening to the 800 and having trouble it may be worth trying one of these.
>You can order them off the RS site, I just went to the local store and

>bought one.

2810. Re: 800 mghz antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-06-30 22:37:36 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Qu_we.12327$hK3.9401@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 12972
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"NeonHomer" <neonhomerWHEELS@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:9cf8c1t68vejivop8p5b43scsjrukt09sd@4ax.com...
>I have one of those 800MHz antennas on my Pro95 as well.. I get the
> same signal w/ the antenna, or w/o it... or w/o any antenna
> whatsoever!!
>
> I found the pro95 works better when you leave the first channel
> (channel 0) blank on a bank that is trunked... for some reason it
> works a whole lot better.. for me anyway... This is with an EDACS
> system, not a Motorola... I haven't tried it w/ moto yet.
>
>
>

I tried that back when I was "experimenting". With no antenna it will not pick up the 100 and 400 MHz's at all. It will pick up the 800MHz's, but barely. This is with a Mot. type II, don't know if that makes any difference. I rarely listen to the 100MHz (County here), but when I do I found the 800 antenna seems to do just as well as the one that came with the scanner, even though they (sales people) warned me when I bought the 800 antenna that it would not work with the lower frequencies.
I have noticed that the city police seem to have no better radio reception with the new system they spent all that money on though. When they were touting it and justifying the cost that was supposed to be the big deal, it never turned out that way. They did get the use of a few encrypted channels though so they can talk to each other without anyone hearing, which I suspect was the real reason for the change. I think they just did not feel they would get the Ok to spend the cash if they told the people that's why they wanted the new system. I am surprised they have not just changed the main dispatch to the encrypted channels to save them selves the embarrassment of people hearing a lot of the stuff they do that is great for a few laughs.
--
You never really learn to swear until you learn to drive.

2811. USS Truman Exercise off Florida Coast

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-01 01:29:31 UTC
From: "Allan.Stern@gmail.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120181371.610272.140810@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12973

USS TR Exercise Activity 05-06-30
==========

It is Thurs 9:04pm EDT.
USS TR comms continuing; getting heavier the past 10 minutes.
BEAR 601 (OM) and BEAR 604 (YL) especially talkative.
BEAR 601 just announced to Red Crown on 364.075: "Mother is [radial]
240 for 16 [DME]."
BEAR 601 is also up on 258.7 discussing tankers with "TP."
BEAR 604 on 284.85 (Bear Tac) says that tanker EARL 98 is supposed
to come up for mission tanking.
Tanker TAHOE 81 just came up on 307.25 (Jax Ctr @ St Augustine).
Other freqs with USS TR-related tfc in last few minutes:
258.700
267.500
273.350
284.850
286.100
325.450
351.800
357.950
364.075
370.100
372.600

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL (28-11N 80-36W) monitoring
Patrick AFB (KCOF) NASA-KSC Shuttle Landing Fac (KX68)
Avon Park Bombing Range (KAGR) Cape Canaveral AFS (KXMR)
JSTARS E-8 Acft Integration Facility, Melbourne IAP (KMLB)
Worldwide Military HF Communications
Life Member: Missile, Space and Range Pioneers.
http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/index.html (My Freqs)
http://hometown.aol.com/scanaddict/index.html (My Equipment)

2812. Correct my USS Truman posting to USS Roosevelt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-01 01:37:44 UTC
From: "Allan.Stern@gmail.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120181864.737377.291790@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12974

Accept my apology. I typed USS Truman in the Subject block and meant to type USS Roosevelt. The information posted is otherwise correct.

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL

2813. AOR 8200 Thumbwheel Switch Modification

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-01 03:51:40 UTC
From: Chris Bryden <cjb@NOSPAMneonbox.org>
Message-ID: <g53xe.170080$Hk2.115106@fe06.news.easynews.com>
Source record: 12975
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Hi All,

This is my first post here, but thought you all might be interested in getting the unused thumbwheel switch to do something useful on the mighty AOR 8200. I couldn't find any info on this, so went ahead and had a go and wrote up the results...

Well, I've managed to get it wired to act as the ENT/M.in key on the keypad, details of how todo it can be found here - http://www.neonbox.org/aor/index.html

Hope you find it useful...

Cheers,

C

2814. Re: Who gives a fuck about some greasy haired x-posting ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-01 11:28:00 UTC
From: "Stagger Lee, Childraper" <stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1120217280.099447.254300@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12976
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

lager-no-spam@cotse.net.invalid whined with his cocksucking lisp:
> Oh no, Woger is still here.

Oh, no, Gopher face has a lisp from sucking his drunk of a daddy off in
Richfield and thinks Roger is behind every post.

> How is Big Marie Wogie??? How is that fat
> pig-of-a-mother of yours? Can she still walk? Or is she to fat for that
> now Wogie??

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHA....You think Roger's mommy is fat?
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA..she is lucky to weigh 115 pounds.

"Big Marie?" BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHA..looks like you fucked up again
since Marie is really petite.

Get a refund on your Junior Dickless Tracy detective kit, loser.
>
> Roger Wiseman wrote:

Wrong guess, fucknuts. Keep dancing,though.

> > Steveo wrote:
> > > Who gives a fuck?
> >
> > You do.

2815. Re: Who gives a fuck about some greasy haired x-posting ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-01 11:31:37 UTC
From: "Stagger Lee, Childraper" <stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1120217497.313929.137730@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12977
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Steveo wrote:
> Roger Wiseman <ab8mq@nospam> wrote:
> > Steveo wrote:
> > > Who gives a fuck?
> >
> > You do.
> >
> Hi, greasy haired fuck.

Hi, baby raper and pedophile Steveo. Does Shirley still not trust you enough to leave you alone with that newborn mulatto bastard baby of hers? BTW, That previous post nor is this Roger Wiseman, dumbass, you see Roger everywhere.
And he doesn't have greasy hair, either, loser. But we all know you are a mongrel kike.

2816. Re: Who gives a fuck about some greasy haired x-posting ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-01 11:36:00 UTC
From: "Stagger Lee, Childraper" <stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1120217760.559249.252890@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12978
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Steveo wrote:
> Roger Wiseman <ab8mq@nospam> wrote:
> > Steveo wrote:
> > > Who gives a fuck?
> >
> > You do.
> >
> Ro (Poor plagiarizing Lardassed Misery Pedo)

CALL STEVE
(Debbie Harry/Blondie/Purple Haze)

Cold nuts this winter, baby,
Call Steve to keep them warm
Need a blowjob this winter, darling,
Steve'll go down wherever you are
Steve'll use all his charm,
He likes to drink his cum lukewarm

Call Steve, Call Steve
For that wet blowjob
Call Steve any, anytime
Call Steve, Call Steve
For a wet blow job
You can call Steve any day or night
Call Steve

Steve covers dicks with kisses, baby,
Steve covers testicles with love,
Hairy, sweaty, or sal-ty, maybe
Steve can never get enough,
Faggots call Steve, he don't know why,
Breathless Steve never runs out of k-Y

Call Steve, Call Steve
For that wet blowjob
Call Steve any, anytime
Call Steve, Call Steve
For a wet blowjob
You can call Steve any day or night
Call Steve
Steve sucks on anything,
When you're ready, Steve can swallow your jing
Call Steve

[Break]

Oooooh oooohh Niggerdicks are Steve's only love,
Oooooh oooohh Niggerdicks, Steve can't get enough,
Oooooh oooohh Steve, moi cherie, au revioir
Anytime, anyplace, anywhere, anyway....
Steve'll suck anytime, anyplace, anyday---ay

[Break]

Call Steve, Call Steve
Sucking niggerdicks is his life
Call Steve, call him any, anytime
Call Steve, Call Steve
Call Steve for blowjobs some other time
Call Steve, Call Steve
Sucking niggerdicks is his life
Call Steve for his sweet desire
Call Steve, Call Steve
Call Steve for his lovin' of your dick big-time
Call Steve, Call Steve
Sucking niggerdicks is his life
Call Steve any, anytime
Call Steve, uh-hummm,
Call Steve
(fade out)

611 W 9th St
Kennett, MO 63857
(573)717-7705
(573)717-7706

2817. Finding frequencies on my scanner (Stupid Q?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-01 18:10:57 UTC
From: "Nick E. Name" <barelybad@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120241457.107245.299370@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12979

July 1, 2005

Hello, all,

If this is a stupid question please let me know or ignore me.

If it's not, I'd sure like to learn the answer, or at least some pointers to where I can get it. I'm quite new to the community of scanner users, but this newsgroup seemed like a good place to ask.

I have a Radio Shack PRO-90 300-Channel TrunkTracker Scanner that I bought I don't know how many years ago, and I live in the Kansas City area, near 75th and Pflumm in Shawnee, Kansas, if that helps.

Where can I find a list of interesting frequencies to scan?

It seems like someone or several someones would have already come up with good lists of frequencies for various geographical areas. Am I right about this? If so, are they secret?

Thanks.

-- Johnny
johnnyg aattsign barelybad d.o.t c-o-m

2818. Re: Finding frequencies on my scanner (Stupid Q?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-01 18:48:06 UTC
From: Eric Ferguson <geferg@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Gdgxe.19487$GH6.13091@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 12980

First, as my Dad use to say, there is no such thing as a stupid question. You don't know and there are probably others that don't. You just took the big step and asked. Bravo!

As to the frequencies, I live on the west coast near San Francisco, so I don't have the answers. You might go to various Radio Shacks nearby and ask for frequencies. When I use to work at a RS store, we had ready made lists to hand out of the local freqs. We may have been the exemption there. But most stores will have some knowledge (hopefully) of the local PD, FD, etc.

If there are scanner clubs in the area, there can be a wealth of info. If you know any Hams, ask them.

Out here in California, we have a guy who publishes whole books on frequencies for the state, broken down by areas. See if there is a Ham store or Scanner store near you. They may have the same idea.

Sorry I can't be of more help than that. Let us know what you find, and maybe post some freqs, or tell us where you finally found the info. After all, there's probably someone lurking, just wondering how to find the same info.

Oh, as some people will probably say (and it can be oh, so, true) Google is your friend <G>. Give it a whirl. What have you got to lose?

Eric KA6USJ

2819. Re: Finding frequencies on my scanner (Stupid Q?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-01 18:51:11 UTC
From: Eric Ferguson <geferg@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <zggxe.19489$GH6.1672@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 12981

I almost forgot. You can also ask on alt.radio.scanner That's another good scanner news group. Eric

2820. Re: Finding frequencies on my scanner (Stupid Q?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-01 19:00:13 UTC
From: Eric Ferguson <geferg@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <1pgxe.19494$GH6.10389@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 12982

One more time....Went to Google. Typed in shawnee + kansas + frequencies and got over 5000 hits. One of the first ten even listed a TrunkTrack Fleet Call. How's that for research? BTW there is a space between the + signs and the names and words. Other than that, just the three words.

All kinds of frequencies. Even noticed one in the first hit for a Country Club near your....That might make for some good and/or boring listening...Good Hunting and have fun. Welcome to the scannerist world!
Eric

2821. Re: 800 mghz antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-01 20:30:48 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <42c5a7cc.2270865@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 12983
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

That's because on EDACS you need to program the channels in LCN order,
and the first LCN is always 1. Channel 0 is never used.

On Thu, 30 Jun 2005 18:47:15 GMT, NeonHomer
<neonhomerWHEELS@gmail.com> wrote:

>I have one of those 800MHz antennas on my Pro95 as well.. I get the
>same signal w/ the antenna, or w/o it... or w/o any antenna
>whatsoever!!
>
>I found the pro95 works better when you leave the first channel
>(channel 0) blank on a bank that is trunked... for some reason it
>works a whole lot better.. for me anyway... This is with an EDACS
>system, not a Motorola... I haven't tried it w/ moto yet.
>
>
>
>On Mon, 24 Jan 2005 21:04:16 GMT, "Alex Clayton" <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
>wrote:
>
>>First let me start with, I know nothing about radio, and antenna's other
>>than what I experience. When I mentioned a while back I had found I could
>>shorten my antenna on a Pro-95 and still get the 800 trunked police calls
>>the same I got a bunch of e-mails from people who are MUCH more educated
>>than me, telling me all the reasons it would not work and do not try it. I
>>already had done it, with an extra antenna and it works just as well as the
>>antennas that came with the Pro-79 and Pro-95.
>> Now it did hinder the county police calls here that come out on the 150's
>>band, but I seldom listen to them and did not care. Also this involves Radio
>>shack. I know a lot of you have an ax to grind with them, again I don't care
>>so don't bother e-mailing me to tell me they are the worst place on the
>>planet to buy anything from. So all that out of the way <G>:
>> Since the city here started switching over to 800 trunked I learned to
>>program the Pro-95 I had bought a couple years ago. I found the reception on
>>the 800 band works better than the old 400 band, which the police are still
>>using while they up date all their radios, in areas that were hard to
>>receive before. Such as inside buildings where I work for example. It used
>>to be hard to pick up calls inside the buildings if the scanner was worn on
>>my belt, with the 800 it seems to make no difference, inside, outside, on my
>>belt or on my shoulder which was nice. When the weather was bad reception
>>did get a lot of static on the 800, but you could still hear them. Anyway I
>>noticed RS offered an antenna that was supposed to work better on the 800
>>trunked. I finally decided to give it a try, figured if it did nothing I
>>would just take it back. Well after trying it, it does work better, than the

>>original antennas, at least to my un educated ears. They "claim" it works
>>twice as well, now to my again un trained ears that's a stretch, but it does
>>work better. The new one does also work just as well on the 400 and 150's
>>band, even though they warned me at the store that it would not. I did not
>>care, but since the police here are transmitting on both right now it's easy
>>to check. So anyway for anyone who is an novice like me, if you are
>>listening to the 800 and having trouble it may be worth trying one of these.
>>You can order them off the RS site, I just went to the local store and
>>bought one.
>

2822. Re: BCD396T [blue LEDs]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-01 20:39:52 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <42c5a9df.2801648@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 12984

The backlight is awful. The contrast is bad, and the color HURTS
I'll bet either Uniden will have to re-do these, or there will be a
cottage industry in retro-fitting an orange or green LED

On Wed, 29 Jun 2005 21:35:23 -0400, Bob <bob@nowhere.nil> wrote:

>I agree, green is fine, as are red and yellow. But that almost
>violet-blue colour just burns the eyes.
>
>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>
>> Hey, at least it's not that damn orange color. I like the blue most of
>> the time myself, but green is probably the best color..
>>
>> BDK

2823. Re: Whats up with Javiation's email?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-01 20:47:54 UTC
From: Automan <nobody@anywhere.com>
Message-ID: <mu9bc1l4od7m4gqborhcpi5m7d5ptq6alt@4ax.com>
Source record: 12985

You are right, Jonathan replied today. He said they were closed last
week and he is still trying to catch up.

If I order, he said I may be charged Import Duty, what ever that is,
and receivers of that type have to be payed by Bank transfer, I've
never done payment of that type. I guess ordering from another
country with be a bit of a learning experience.

Anyone who has ordered from over seas have any advice, if I order?

On Wed, 29 Jun 2005 20:56:43 -0700, "Al Gillis" <alg@aracnet.com>
wrote:

>Hi Automan...
>
>I posted something via Javiations "Contact Us" link a while ago and got no
>response. After waiting some time I posted a message here (or maybe it was
>on alt.radio.scanner) asking that same question. In a day Jonathan had sent
>me private e-mail offering to help (with my MVT-7100 problem). He said the
>message on his web site had been overlooked. So I was convinced he was
>still a pretty good guy! Now all I need to do is get back to him on how to
>get my Yupi fixed! I suspect he's already replied to you, but if not, try
>him again!
>
>Al
>
>
>
>"Automan" <nobody@anywhere.com> wrote in message
>news:8t04c11o7siku3r4odemdk2aqbk1lm9clj@4ax.com...
>> I've sent a couple emails to Javiation over the past year asking about
>> the avaliability of the new AOR 5000A+3, 3GHz receiver. They still
>> list the old 2600MHz receiver. I don't know if the email address on
>> their site works, I haven't ever received a responce. Anyone know if
>> their email works?
>

2824. Re: BCD396T [blue LEDs]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-01 22:20:18 UTC
From: r2000swler@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1120256418.395822.22950@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12986

Bill Crocker wrote:

I have a weird problem with red. My wife's Pontiac Grand Prix
instrument
panel is illuminated in red, and it always looks a little blurry to me.
I
think green is probably the best overall. Remember the "green screen"
monochrome IBM monitors? I actually kind of miss them. Text was
sharp!

Bill Crocker

A frined's uncle was a enginner at HP and worked on their
first LED calculator. They ran into an odd problem. The durves
that showed the visual response of the human eye where not
all that good. Many men had major problems first LEDs displays
they made if there was any room light. A rapid reformulation of
the LEDs saved teh day. Many men can not see nearly as deep
into the red as the charts still show. And a few can see well into
IR. I have no idea how a females eyes respond, but I suspect the
same data appplies. They were con cerend with males, because
that is who bought the early calculators.

I do know that most women as a gerenal rule can discern subtle
color variations that most men can't.

This relates to LASER pointers. Human vision has a fairly fast
drop in response at the red end, and only a few nM in wavelength
can make a LASER lose a lot of apparent brightness. The deeper
reds LASES are much cheaper then the higher red LASERS.

Terry

2825. Re: BCD396T [blue LEDs]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-02 01:10:29 UTC
From: BDK <kingratatatboy@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1d2fb392f70bb2e9989965@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 12987

In article <42c5a9df.2801648@news-server.optonline.net>,
scosgt@worldnet.att.net says...
> The backlight is awful. The contrast is bad, and the color HURTS
> I'll bet either Uniden will have to re-do these, or there will be a
> cottage industry in retro-fitting an orange or green LED
>
> On Wed, 29 Jun 2005 21:35:23 -0400, Bob <bob@nowhere.nil> wrote:
>
> >I agree, green is fine, as are red and yellow. But that almost
> >violet-blue colour just burns the eyes.
> >
> >
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>
> >>
> >> Hey, at least it's not that damn orange color. I like the blue most of
> >> the time myself, but green is probably the best color..
> >>
> >> BDK
>
>

Hmm, I used to do a lot of repairs to handhelds, I wonder how hard it's
going to be to change them?

BDK

2826. Re: 800 mghz antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-02 02:05:09 UTC
From: Norm C <norm6318@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <pDmxe.93549$g5.43639@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 12988
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I wonder if that applies to the PRO 95 or other radios as well,such as
Unidens.
Norm C

"Bruce Markowitz" <scosgt@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:42c5a7cc.2270865@news-server.optonline.net...
> That's because on EDACS you need to program the channels in LCN order,
> and the first LCN is always 1. Channel 0 is never used.
>
> On Thu, 30 Jun 2005 18:47:15 GMT, NeonHomer
> <neonhomerWHEELS@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>>I have one of those 800MHz antennas on my Pro95 as well.. I get the
>>same signal w/ the antenna, or w/o it... or w/o any antenna
>>whatsoever!!
>>
>>I found the pro95 works better when you leave the first channel
>>(channel 0) blank on a bank that is trunked... for some reason it
>>works a whole lot better.. for me anyway... This is with an EDACS
>>system, not a Motorola... I haven't tried it w/ moto yet.
>>
>>
>>
>>On Mon, 24 Jan 2005 21:04:16 GMT, "Alex Clayton" <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
>>wrote:
>>
>>>First let me start with, I know nothing about radio, and antenna's other
>>>than what I experience. When I mentioned a while back I had found I could
>>>shorten my antenna on a Pro-95 and still get the 800 trunked police calls
>>>the same I got a bunch of e-mails from people who are MUCH more educated
>>>than me, telling me all the reasons it would not work and do not try it.
>>>I
>>>already had done it, with an extra antenna and it works just as well as
>>>the
>>>antennas that came with the Pro-79 and Pro-95.
>>> Now it did hinder the county police calls here that come out on the
>>> 150's
>>>band, but I seldom listen to them and did not care. Also this involves
>>>Radio
>>>shack. I know a lot of you have an ax to grind with them, again I don't
>>>care
>>>so don't bother e-mailing me to tell me they are the worst place on the
>>>planet to buy anything from. So all that out of the way <G>:

>>> Since the city here started switching over to 800 trunked I learned to
>>>program the Pro-95 I had bought a couple years ago. I found the reception
>>>on
>>>the 800 band works better than the old 400 band, which the police are
>>>still
>>>using while they up date all their radios, in areas that were hard to
>>>receive before. Such as inside buildings where I work for example. It
>>>used
>>>to be hard to pick up calls inside the buildings if the scanner was worn
>>>on
>>>my belt, with the 800 it seems to make no difference, inside, outside, on
>>>my
>>>belt or on my shoulder which was nice. When the weather was bad reception
>>>did get a lot of static on the 800, but you could still hear them. Anyway
>>>I
>>>noticed RS offered an antenna that was supposed to work better on the 800
>>>trunked. I finally decided to give it a try, figured if it did nothing I
>>>would just take it back. Well after trying it, it does work better, than
>>>the
>>>original antennas, at least to my un educated ears. They "claim" it works
>>>twice as well, now to my again un trained ears that's a stretch, but it
>>>does
>>>work better. The new one does also work just as well on the 400 and 150's
>>>band, even though they warned me at the store that it would not. I did
>>>not
>>>care, but since the police here are transmitting on both right now it's
>>>easy
>>>to check. So anyway for anyone who is an novice like me, if you are
>>>listening to the 800 and having trouble it may be worth trying one of
>>>these.
>>>You can order them off the RS site, I just went to the local store and
>>>bought one.
>>
>

2827. Re: Who gives a fuck about some greasy haired x-posting ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-02 03:24:48 UTC
From: Billy Bob <WmRobert@spammers>
Message-ID: <da51cf$r45$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 12989
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

"Stagger Lee, Childraper" <stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com> wrote in
message news:1120217280.099447.254300@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
lager-no-spam@cotse.net.invalid whined with his cocksucking lisp:
> Oh no, Woger is still here.

Oh, no, Gopher face has a lisp from sucking his drunk of a daddy off in
Richfield and thinks Roger is behind every post.

> How is Big Marie Wogie??? How is that fat
> pig-of-a-mother of yours? Can she still walk? Or is she to fat for that
> now Wogie??

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHA....You think Roger's mommy is fat?
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA..she is lucky to weigh 115 pounds.

"Big Marie?" BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHA..looks like you fucked up again
since Marie is really petite.

Get a refund on your Junior Dickless Tracy detective kit, loser.
.....

"Big Marie" ought to be petite by now.
Considering that she passed away in 2002, she is now but a mere skeleton of
herself, isn't she?

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

2828. Re: Who gives a fuck about some greasy haired x-posting ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-02 03:32:24 UTC
From: Billy Bob <WmRobert@spammers>
Message-ID: <da51qntbc0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 12990
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

"Stagger Lee, Childraper" <stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com> wrote in
message news:1120217497.313929.137730@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

Steveo wrote:
> Roger Wiseman <ab8mq@nospam> wrote:
.
And he doesn't have greasy hair, either, loser. But we all know you are
a mongrel kike.

.

Not greasy? What is the cause of that "sheen"? Brylcreem? Crisco? 10W-30?
Or simple poor hygiene?

We've seen your photo Wogie, and you are obviously NOT on familiar terms
with shampoo.

Your hair IS greasy.

2829. Re: Where to Buy a Cellular Phone Jammer? (no lectures please)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-02 05:53:38 UTC
From: krackula <krackula@i.am>
Message-ID: <uracc1la3tigqovi9rtnkdlpq8dpk73jmg@4ax.com>
Source record: 12991
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On 29 Jun 2005 13:00:53 -0700, "Rufus Leaking" <traalfaz2@aol.com>
wrote:

>>< (-PLEASE- No lectures or legal opinions needed or desired) >>
>
>one given. If I lived in BCMN, I'd be pretty pissed off that a
>councilwoman was wasting her citie's resources, i.e. their e-mail and
>internet accounts to be posting all the crap I saw you've been posting
>all over usenet with one google search. Jesse was a goofball when he
>was mayor there, but even he didn't go blowhard at the city's expense
>lik

" all that " .. PLUS what would THEY think about her asking for info
about how to break federal laws and asking for advice / info from
people that have done so, to boot !!! haw haw that'd be trippy
....

2830. (joe job) Where to Buy a Cellular Phone Jammer? (no lectures please)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-02 08:17:35 UTC
From: Conan Ford <conanford@com.hotmail>
Message-ID: <Xns9687175926281conanfordhotmailcom1@24.71.223.159>
Source record: 12992
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Kathleen Carmody <councilmembercarmody@ci.brooklyn-center.mn.us> wrote in
news:Ma2dnbN9_uAYPiDfRVnyjw@skypoint.com:

>
>
>
> (-PLEASE- No lectures or legal opinions needed or desired)
>
> Anyone know where to purchase a cellular phone jammer, preferably
> stateside. There are vendors off shore, but none here in CONUS
> that I know of. Please post here any US vendors that sell cellular
> jammers. (Extra points for relating your experience with using one.)
>
>
>

People, this is probably a forged post.

2831. Re: Where to Buy a Cellular Phone Jammer? (no lectures please)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-02 08:18:06 UTC
From: Conan Ford <conanford@com.hotmail>
Message-ID: <Xns9687176FCDD1Aconanfordhotmailcom1@24.71.223.159>
Source record: 12993
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Rufus Leaking" <traalfaz2@aol.com> wrote in news:1120075253.266656.321520
@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com:

> << (-PLEASE- No lectures or legal opinions needed or desired) >>
>
> one given. If I lived in BCMN, I'd be pretty pissed off that a
> councilwoman was wasting her citie's resources, i.e. their e-mail and
> internet accounts to be posting all the crap I saw you've been posting
> all over usenet with one google search. Jesse was a goofball when he
> was mayor there, but even he didn't go blowhard at the city's expense
> like you've done...
>
>

I doubt that the councillers post through Comcast from their work
computers, the return address is probably forged.

2832. Re: BCD396T [blue LEDs]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-02 11:49:25 UTC
From: Bob <bob@nowhere.nil>
Message-ID: <2bvxe.1452$p5.1110@nnrp.ca.mci.com!nnrp1.uunet.ca>
Source record: 12994

Bill,
My wife's Pontaic Grand Am has the same red lit instrumentation and I
find the same thing, it looks a bit blurry at night.
All this time I thought it was my eyes!

Bob

> Bill Crocker wrote:
>
> I have a weird problem with red. My wife's Pontiac Grand Prix
> instrument
> panel is illuminated in red, and it always looks a little blurry to me.
> I
> think green is probably the best overall. Remember the "green screen"
> monochrome IBM monitors? I actually kind of miss them. Text was
> sharp!
>
> Bill Crocker

2833. Check out Radio Reference web site!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-02 15:02:15 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <p8Wdnf2pwoPkMVvfRVn-qQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 12995

For those of you that haven't already done so, be sure to check out the Radio Reference web site! It is a very active, and current resource for everything having to do with our scanner radio hobby. They have a national frequency database like no other! You have to create a user I.D., and Password to access it, but its no trouble. They do accept donations, (definitely worth it), but it's not required. No riff-raff either!

http://www.radioreference.com

Bill Crocker

2834. Re: Where to Buy a Cellular Phone Jammer? (no lectures please)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-02 15:08:18 UTC
From: sideshowhaas <sideshowhaas@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <1120316898.047601.57830@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12996
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

>People, this is probably a forged post.

Pretty obvious (I hope) if you look at "her" previous posts.

2835. USS Roosevelt Acft Active off Florida Coast

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-02 17:18:01 UTC
From: "Allan.Stern@gmail.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120324681.384080.15460@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12997

```
USS Roosevelt Acft Active
=========================

It is Sat., 12:52pm EDT (1652z).
There are a lot of weather issues today, but the USS Roosevelt acft
are active today.
Among the freqs I am hearing at the moment are:
252.200
258.700
267.500
268.300
273.350
274.375
286.100
357.000
380.800
Among the players:
BEAR
BOLT 61 "We are the tanker for this afternoon."
FELIX 31
LION 42, 47
PARTY 22, 33, 403
BEAR has informed players "Our system is midnight right now; we are
unable to give you positions."
Also, on 380.8 (Pinecastle): "Iron Cross is not on station today."
Due to wx limitations elsewhere, they have opened Area 31J from
surface to 10,000 ft.

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL (28-11N 80-36W) monitoring
Patrick AFB (KCOF) NASA-KSC Shuttle Landing Fac (KX68)
Avon Park Bombing Range (KAGR) Cape Canaveral AFS (KXMR)
JSTARS E-8 Acft Integration Facility, Melbourne IAP (KMLB)
Worldwide Military HF Communications
Life Member: Missile, Space and Range Pioneers.
http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/index.html (My Freqs)
http://hometown.aol.com/scanaddict/index.html (My Equipment)
```

2836. Re: Finding frequencies on my scanner (Stupid Q?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-02 18:26:29 UTC
From: "Nick E. Name" <barelybad@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120328789.760568.98280@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12998

Dear Mr. Ferguson,

Thanks for all the help. I thought I was Googling correctly, but apparently I wasn't.

I am so unfamiliar with scanners that I don't know what "trunked" or "ID" or "Type II" mean, and I don't know what my scanner is capable of (apparently not much in my hands so far). I can never seem to get any of the frequencies or frequencies ranges I try to find anyone talking. Is there a Web site or other online source of information you would recommend?

--Johnny

2837. Re: Finding frequencies on my scanner (Stupid Q?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-02 19:28:54 UTC
From: sideshowhaas <sideshowhaas@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <1120332534.070698.73020@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12999

Also, try

http://cityfreq.com/ks/shawnee/

2838. Re: 800 mghz antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-02 20:21:44 UTC
From: "Ken R." <kenr55@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <ZGCxe.29206$du.19474@bignews1.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 13000
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Norm C" <norm6318@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:pDmxe.93549$g5.43639@twister.nyroc.rr.com...
>I wonder if that applies to the PRO 95 or other radios as well,such as
>Unidens.
> Norm C

That applies to all trunk tracking scanners.

Ken

> "Bruce Markowitz" <scosgt@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:42c5a7cc.2270865@news-server.optonline.net...
>> That's because on EDACS you need to program the channels in LCN order,
>> and the first LCN is always 1. Channel 0 is never used.

2839. Re: A trip to Australia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-02 21:58:44 UTC
From: SP Cook <pacefsc@msn.com>
Message-ID: <1120341524.406492.177380@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12901
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

>
> Christopher O'Callaghan wrote:
>
> > Hi,
> >
> > In a month i will be making a long trip to australia for a holiday.I of
> > course plan on bringing my Bearcat portable radio scanner.I just nned to
> > know is it illegal for me to bring it with me on the plane?
> >
Scanner as it it receives broadcasts other than SW, AM and FM
commercial bands? Like a police scanner?

If you are asking whether you can use it on a plane, it probably won't
work anyway. If you are asking if it is legal to use in Australia, you
should contact the Australian Embassy or the Australian equilivant of
the FCC directly. You will probably need some sort of Australian
liscense, and you may find that the freqs. used for various purposes
are different there.

2840. Any Idea What This Is?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-02 23:11:12 UTC
From: Scott en Aztl&#65533;n <scottenaztlan@yahooNOSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <pi7ec1p0c8g5bsk3ipmkso34nn1rqgmdnd@4ax.com>
Source record: 12902
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,la.general,oc.general,misc.transport.road

I've seen several of these devices attached to the street light poles
in Irvine, CA. Clearly there is some kind of radio inside the box, but
what is their purpose?

http://tinypic.com/6okknl.jpg

2841. Re: Any Idea What This Is?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-02 23:51:00 UTC
From: Robert Cruickshank <shank_wa@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <pY2dnTLKoJb4tVrfRVn-jg@comcast.com>
Source record: 12903

Scott en Aztlï¿½n wrote:
> I've seen several of these devices attached to the street light poles
> in Irvine, CA. Clearly there is some kind of radio inside the box, but
> what is their purpose?
>
> http://tinypic.com/6okknl.jpg

Are they attached to random street light poles, or are they at/near
intersections? And what streets are these on - which street was that one on?

According to the City of Irvine's website, the city's Traffic Research
and Control Center has various mobile, wireless cameras set up around
Irvine to monitor flow on major arterials and some other side streets.
Might that device in the image be related to that?

--
Robert I. Cruickshank
roadgeek, historian, progressive

2842. Re: Any Idea What This Is?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-03 00:17:19 UTC
From: Brad <"bradvk2qq AT w6ir.com">
Message-ID: <42c72e36$0$18639$14726298@news.sunite.dk>
Source record: 12904

"Scott en Aztl&#65533;n" <scottenaztlan@yahooNOSPAM.com> wrote in message
news:pi7ec1p0c8g5bsk3ipmkso34nn1rqgmdnd@4ax.com...
> I've seen several of these devices attached to the street light poles
> in Irvine, CA. Clearly there is some kind of radio inside the box, but
> what is their purpose?
>
> http://tinypic.com/6okknl.jpg
>

Could also be a microcell for cellphones. Ours are usually mounted about
halfway up the pole.
I can't make out the blak dome above. It may be a photocell to turn lighting
on at dusk, or perhaps a GPS antenna. If GPS, then the unit may be a DGPS
transmitter.

Brad.

2843. Re: Finding frequencies on my scanner (Stupid Q?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-03 00:50:04 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <k4adnVt4Vs2gq1rfRVn-vQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 12905

I sent a list to your personal email.

Bill Crocker

"Nick E. Name" <barelybad@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1120241457.107245.299370@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> July 1, 2005
>
> Hello, all,
>
> If this is a stupid question please let me know or ignore me.
>
> If it's not, I'd sure like to learn the answer, or at least some
> pointers to where I can get it. I'm quite new to the community of
> scanner users, but this newsgroup seemed like a good place to ask.
>
> I have a Radio Shack PRO-90 300-Channel TrunkTracker Scanner that I
> bought I don't know how many years ago, and I live in the Kansas City
> area, near 75th and Pflumm in Shawnee, Kansas, if that helps.
>
> Where can I find a list of interesting frequencies to scan?
>
> It seems like someone or several someones would have already come up
> with good lists of frequencies for various geographical areas. Am I
> right about this? If so, are they secret?
>
> Thanks.
>
> -- Johnny
> johnnyg aattsign barelybad d.o.t c-o-m
>

2844. [Fwd: Re: Any Idea What This Is?]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-03 01:40:14 UTC
From: Phoneguy <phoneguy99@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <WlHxe.1534$p5.1229@nnrp.ca.mci.com!nnrp1.uunet.ca>
Source record: 12906

Could it be a terrestrial repeater for either Sirius or XM?

The more I look at it, the more I think not. But it appears the power
tap is the grey module inserted between the lamp and the LDR switch,
even though the LDRs are typically grey, they can be black.

The antenna appears to be a 5/8 over 5/8 over 1/4 somewhere around
900MHz. Could it be a police or public service voting receiver?

Get a good zoom lens and take a shot of the label on the bottom of the
unit. It's possible that info would reveal a few hints.

Now that you've piqued everyone's curiosity...

Brad wrote:
> "Scott en Aztlï¿½n" <scottenaztlan@yahooNOSPAM.com> wrote in message
> news:pi7ec1p0c8g5bsk3ipmkso34nn1rqgmdnd@4ax.com...
>
>>I've seen several of these devices attached to the street light poles
>>in Irvine, CA. Clearly there is some kind of radio inside the box, but
>>what is their purpose?
>>
>>http://tinypic.com/6okknl.jpg
>>
>
>
> Could also be a microcell for cellphones. Ours are usually mounted about
> halfway up the pole.
> I can't make out the blak dome above. It may be a photocell to turn lighting
> on at dusk, or perhaps a GPS antenna. If GPS, then the unit may be a DGPS
> transmitter.
>
> Brad.
>
>

2845. Re: Any Idea What This Is?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-03 02:14:09 UTC
From: "Richard Carlson, N9JIG" <usenet@usenet.net>
Message-ID: <usenet-21D2D1.21140902072005@comcast.dca.giganews.com>
Source record: 12907

In article <pi7ec1p0c8g5bsk3ipmkso34nn1rqgmdnd@4ax.com>,
Scott en Aztl?n <scottenaztlan@yahooNOSPAM.com> wrote:

> I've seen several of these devices attached to the street light poles
> in Irvine, CA. Clearly there is some kind of radio inside the box, but
> what is their purpose?
>
> http://tinypic.com/6okknl.jpg

SCADA controllers for the lights would be my guess.

2846. Re: Any Idea What This Is?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-03 02:50:50 UTC
From: Guy Macon <http>
Message-ID: <11cekkimh66b543@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 12908
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,la.general,oc.general,misc.transport.road

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8Bit

Scott en Aztl&#65533;n wrote:
>
>I've seen several of these devices attached to the street light poles
>in Irvine, CA. Clearly there is some kind of radio inside the box, but
>what is their purpose?
>
>http://tinypic.com/6okknl.jpg

I am guessing that they are close to the 16000 block of Von
Karman Avenue - the IPMobileNet HQ. Am I right?

IPMobileNet makes the XpressNet 802.11 Mesh System, which is
often set up using SmartCell access points on light poles.

That box looks like a standard NEMA enclosure that anyone can
use. The antenna looks like a WiFi (zoom in, it's longer than
it looks at first).

2847. Re: A trip to Australia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-03 03:05:28 UTC
From: Dave Proctor <thadocta@SPAMBAIT.westnet.REMOVE.com.au>
Message-ID: <1dlec1tas7fkvsfkte18otcavddt56agmk@4ax.com>
Source record: 12909
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

On 2 Jul 2005 14:58:44 -0700, "SP Cook" <pacefsc@msn.com> wrote:

>
>
>>
>> Christopher O'Callaghan wrote:
>>
>> > Hi,
>> >
>> > In a month i will be making a long trip to australia for a holiday.I of
>> > course plan on bringing my Bearcat portable radio scanner.I just nned to
>> > know is it illegal for me to bring it with me on the plane?
>> >
>Scanner as it it receives broadcasts other than SW, AM and FM
>commercial bands? Like a police scanner?
>
>If you are asking whether you can use it on a plane, it probably won't
>work anyway. If you are asking if it is legal to use in Australia, you
>should contact the Australian Embassy or the Australian equilivant of
>the FCC directly. You will probably need some sort of Australian
>liscense, and you may find that the freqs. used for various purposes
>are different there.

Perfectly legal to use in Australia, without the need for a licence.
And despite what the police will try and tell you, it is not illegal
to listen in to police radio either (they will try to tell you it is
illegal, but when they catch you doing so they will have nothing to
charge you with).

Dave

=====

There are 10 types of people - those who understand binary, and those who don't.

2848. Re: BCD396T [blue LEDs]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-03 05:53:19 UTC
From: "Mike M." <notme@invalid.email.net>
Message-ID: <m0vec1dref3jkj0c00fgs0ob02lderi5mb@4ax.com>
Source record: 12910

On Thu, 30 Jun 2005 04:55:27 -0400, "Bill Crocker"
<wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrotF:

>I have a weird problem with red. My wife's Pontiac Grand Prix instrument
>panel is illuminated in red, and it always looks a little blurry to me. I
>think green is probably the best overall. Remember the "green screen"
>monochrome IBM monitors? I actually kind of miss them. Text was sharp!
>
>Bill Crocker
>
I had the same problem when I got my eyeglass prescription changed a
couple years ago. I could see green l.e.d displays fine, but red was
out of focus. I had to get the prescription changed to correct the
problem.

2849. Re: A trip to Australia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-03 10:30:47 UTC
From: Troy Rowland <t_rowlandNOSPAM@ozemail.com.au>
Message-ID: <v7Pxe.871$8i.16941@nnrp1.ozemail.com.au>
Source record: 12911

Chris,

Have a look at the following sites:-
www.scanaustralia.com.au
www.scannsw.aesvn.org/
They should give you pretty much what you are after. Bear in mind that NSW Police us VHF mid band (78MHz) in many country areas. This may pose a problem if you do not have a wideband scanner.

Regards
Troy

"Christopher O'Callaghan" <d.ocallaghan@iolfree.ie> wrote in message news:d9u931mss1@reader01.news.esat.net...
>I plan on visiting relatives in New South Wales from newcastle to
>sydney.Then i go to Brisbane for a holiday.
>
> chris
>
>
>
> "Troy Rowland" <t_rowlandNOSPAM@ozemail.com.au> wrote in message
> news:pXkwe.5$vv5.508@nnrp1.ozemail.com.au...
>> Where do you intend to go Chris? That will play a big part in what you
>> can hear.
>>
>> Urban areas will be just like the U.S. Outback areas are serviced by HF
>> and satphone, so you won't hear a great deal.
>>
>> Troy
>>
>> <Q> wrote in message news:Xns9682E0E21E6A3nospamdotcom@216.196.97.136...
>>> "Christopher O'Callaghan" <d.ocallaghan@iolfree.ie> wrote in news:d9n2va
>>> $c6k$1@reader01.news.esat.net:
>>>
>>>> Hi,
>>>>
>>>> In a month i will be making a long trip to australia for a holiday.I of
>>>> course plan on bringing my Bearcat portable radio scanner.I just nned
>>> to
>>>> know is it illegal for me to bring it with me on the plane?
>>>>
>>>> Chris
>>>
>>>

>>> Of course it's legal! Granted, once you get there, if you go into the
>>> bush, it's gonna be deaf.
>>>
>>> Took my AR8200 into the bush in 2001, (Flinder and Gawler ranges, SA)
>>> and
>>> didn't get any comms, DUH!
>>>
>>> I'd suggest bringing one of the new scanners with "near field" frequency
>>> features. The pro 83 or pro 97 (in my stable) but don't know what steps
>>> and bands are predominant in Oz.
>>>
>>> Good luck!
>>>
>>> Q
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> --
>>> Andy
>>> http://tinyurl.com/bczgr
>>
>>
>
>

2850. Re: A trip to Australia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-03 12:04:05 UTC
From: rerj37 <rerjohnson@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120392245.725383.83850@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12912
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

Christopher O'Callaghan would be well advised to pack the scanner, less batteries, in one bag and check it, and the batteries in another and check that too. I still would not guarantee airport security would not sit up and take notice as they go past the other kind of scanner, though.

Robin

2851. Re: Who gives a fuck about some greasy haired x-posting ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-03 13:53:44 UTC
From: "Stagger Lee, Childraper" <stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1120398824.298593.287430@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12913
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

```
Billy Bob wrote:
> "Stagger Lee, Childraper" <stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com> wrote in
> message news:1120217497.313929.137730@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Steveo wrote:
> > Roger Wiseman <ab8mq@nospam> wrote:
> .
> And he doesn't have greasy hair, either, loser. But we all know you are
> a mongrel kike.
>
> .
>
> Not

No, dumbass, Roger doesn't have long hair. You are a fucking idiot,
Billy Boob....
```

2852. Re: Petition to Deny Renewal to K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-03 15:58:40 UTC
From: theposter <mailguy2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ojihg0uha2yqpef.030720050902@kirk>
Source record: 12914
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

k1man is my hero

the hams are on his rear more than they attack the cb'ers or truckers

think about it, he must be doing something right

if anything, let's donate to his defense fund

"Brian Denley" wrote in message
news:e9adnZouzv0XgCPfRVn-2A@comcast.com...
> Jim Hackett wrote:
>> Ahh thanks. One of those funny little states over on the other
>> side
>> of the yard...
>>
>>
>>
>> "Bob" wrote in message
>> news:Qg4ve.356$p5.109@nnrp.ca.mci.com!nnrp1.uunet.ca...
>>> Jim Hackett wrote:
>>>> So who IS Glen Baxter, and what state is ME?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>> ME is Meshetuchatts of course...
>>> :)
>
> For those who can spell, ME is the abbreviation for Maine.
> Massachusetts is MA .
> --
> Brian Denley
> http://home.comcast.net/~b.denley/index.html
>
>
>

2853. Re: A trip to Australia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-03 18:10:11 UTC
From: Volker Tonn <odo@despammed.com>
Message-ID: <da99jnjok2@news01.versatel.de>
Source record: 12915
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

rerj37 schrieb:

>Christopher O'Callaghan would be well advised to pack the scanner, less
>batteries, in one bag and check it, and the batteries in another and
>check that too. I still would not guarantee airport security would not
>sit up and take notice as they go past the other kind of scanner,
>though.
>
In case you would like to take your "radio" into the cabin you will be
asked to 'turn the radio on' just to verify it is a radio and not some
kind of bomb.
So it might be a good idea to have some freqs of the lokal radio
stations programmed into your "radio".

2854. Re: Any Idea What This Is?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-03 18:51:41 UTC
From: Scott en Aztl&#65533;n <scottenaztlan@yahooNOSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <olcgc11dn16dp7hjspln6bk8v93s1qlfh6@4ax.com>
Source record: 12916
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,la.general,oc.general

On Sun, 03 Jul 2005 02:50:50 +0000, Guy Macon
<http://www.guymacon.com/> wrote:

>>http://tinypic.com/6okknl.jpg
>
>I am guessing that they are close to the 16000 block of Von
>Karman Avenue - the IPMobileNet HQ. Am I right?

While there may be one of them at that location, the one in the
picture is near the 5 freeway and Culver Drive. The other one I saw
was on Alton Parkway near Jeronimo.

>IPMobileNet makes the XpressNet 802.11 Mesh System, which is
>often set up using SmartCell access points on light poles.
>
>That box looks like a standard NEMA enclosure that anyone can
>use. The antenna looks like a WiFi (zoom in, it's longer than
>it looks at first).

Hmm... Any idea which SSID(s) they use? I can use NetStumbler to see
if that SSID shows up. Also, there is a cable leading from the NEMA
enclosure to a cylindrical device on top of the pole; any idea what
that is? Is it some sort of sensor that is being remotely monitored
via the radio in the NEMA enclosure?

2855. Re: Any Idea What This Is?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-03 19:30:30 UTC
From: gray-beard <gray-beard@tryandguess.com>
Message-ID: <R-Kdnf3lI5PPoFXfRVn-og@comcast.com>
Source record: 12917
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,la.general,oc.general

That cylindrical device on the top is nothing more than a simple photo
cell to turn the street light on at dusk and off at dawn.
It looks as though they are merely getting power through the exposed cord.
Still don't know what the unit is though.
We have them here in Denver too.

GB

Scott en Aztlï¿½n wrote:
> On Sun, 03 Jul 2005 02:50:50 +0000, Guy Macon
> <http://www.guymacon.com/> wrote:
>
>
>>>http://tinypic.com/6okknl.jpg
>>
>>I am guessing that they are close to the 16000 block of Von
>>Karman Avenue - the IPMobileNet HQ. Am I right?
>
>
> While there may be one of them at that location, the one in the
> picture is near the 5 freeway and Culver Drive. The other one I saw
> was on Alton Parkway near Jeronimo.
>
>
>>IPMobileNet makes the XpressNet 802.11 Mesh System, which is
>>often set up using SmartCell access points on light poles.
>>
>>That box looks like a standard NEMA enclosure that anyone can
>>use. The antenna looks like a WiFi (zoom in, it's longer than
>>it looks at first).
>
>
> Hmm... Any idea which SSID(s) they use? I can use NetStumbler to see
> if that SSID shows up. Also, there is a cable leading from the NEMA
> enclosure to a cylindrical device on top of the pole; any idea what
> that is? Is it some sort of sensor that is being remotely monitored
> via the radio in the NEMA enclosure?
>

--
"There's no way to rule innocent men. The only power any government
has is the power to crack down on criminals. Well, when there aren't
enough criminals, one makes them. One declares so many things to be a
crime that it becomes impossible to live without breaking laws."

-- Ayn Rand, Atlas Shrugged

2856. Re: [Fwd: Re: Any Idea What This Is?]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-03 21:10:54 UTC
From: Mike <km6ab@REMOVETHIS.pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <yvYxe.115$6%2.110@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 12918

In My area we have cell phone extenders that look about like this.
XM terrestrial repeaters are usually on large buildings or hills and
they use a several KW Klystron amplifier, which takes a 6ft rack for the
redundant pair. Don't think that is stuffed inside the lamp pole....

Mike

Phoneguy wrote:
>
> Could it be a terrestrial repeater for either Sirius or XM?
>
> The more I look at it, the more I think not. But it appears the power
> tap is the grey module inserted between the lamp and the LDR switch,
> even though the LDRs are typically grey, they can be black.
>
> The antenna appears to be a 5/8 over 5/8 over 1/4 somewhere around
> 900MHz. Could it be a police or public service voting receiver?
>
> Get a good zoom lens and take a shot of the label on the bottom of the
> unit. It's possible that info would reveal a few hints.
>
> Now that you've piqued everyone's curiosity...
>
>
>
> Brad wrote:
>
>> "Scott en Aztlï¿½n" <scottenaztlan@yahooNOSPAM.com> wrote in message
>> news:pi7ec1p0c8g5bsk3ipmkso34nn1rqgmdnd@4ax.com...
>>
>>> I've seen several of these devices attached to the street light poles
>>> in Irvine, CA. Clearly there is some kind of radio inside the box, but
>>> what is their purpose?
>>>
>>> http://tinypic.com/6okknl.jpg
>>>
>>
>>
>> Could also be a microcell for cellphones. Ours are usually mounted
>> about halfway up the pole.
>> I can't make out the blak dome above. It may be a photocell to turn
>> lighting on at dusk, or perhaps a GPS antenna. If GPS, then the unit
>> may be a DGPS transmitter.
>>
>> Brad.

>>
>

2857. Re: Any Idea What This Is?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-04 01:25:02 UTC
From: Phoneguy <phoneguy99@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <Dd0ye.1738$p5.1199@nnrp.ca.mci.com!nnrp1.uunet.ca>
Source record: 12919

I'll accept something like that. What frequency range does the
terrestrial signal occupy?

I would have figured something smaller and several of them in a city,
not just one multi-kilowatt transmitter.

Mike wrote:
> In My area we have cell phone extenders that look about like this.
> XM terrestrial repeaters are usually on large buildings or hills and
> they use a several KW Klystron amplifier, which takes a 6ft rack for the
> redundant pair. Don't think that is stuffed inside the lamp pole....
>

2858. Re: A trip to Australia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-04 04:00:27 UTC
From: mrtravel <mrtravel@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <vv2ye.38762$J12.10848@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 12920
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

Volker Tonn wrote:
>
>
> rerj37 schrieb:
>
>> Christopher O'Callaghan would be well advised to pack the scanner, less
>> batteries, in one bag and check it, and the batteries in another and
>> check that too. I still would not guarantee airport security would not
>> sit up and take notice as they go past the other kind of scanner,
>> though.
>>
> In case you would like to take your "radio" into the cabin you will be
> asked to 'turn the radio on' just to verify it is a radio and not some
> kind of bomb.

A ridiculous security standard, since there is no reason it can't be
both a bomb and a radio.

2859. Re: Any Idea What This Is?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-04 04:30:26 UTC
From: Mike Burch <mburch46@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <CX2ye.130235$nG6.55989@attbi_s22>
Source record: 12921

I am going to guess its a mind control transmitter to make drivers come
to a complete stop rather than a rolling one. Or it might keep vagrants
from washing windshields at intersections by making them consider
getting real jobs. :-). Mike Burch K8MB

Scott en Aztlï¿½n wrote:
> I've seen several of these devices attached to the street light poles
> in Irvine, CA. Clearly there is some kind of radio inside the box, but
> what is their purpose?
>
> http://tinypic.com/6okknl.jpg
>
>

2860. Re: Any Idea What This Is?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-04 04:56:43 UTC
From: FrankBurns <canapepd@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <cc6bb$42c8c18c$d1cc5a5d$19017@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 12922

"Scott en Aztlï¿½n" <scottenaztlan@yahooNOSPAM.com> wrote in message
news:pi7ec1p0c8g5bsk3ipmkso34nn1rqgmdnd@4ax.com...
> I've seen several of these devices attached to the street light poles
> in Irvine, CA. Clearly there is some kind of radio inside the box, but
> what is their purpose?
>
> http://tinypic.com/6okknl.jpg

I've seen these on some poles in upstate NY also.
Think they are used to signal the electric utility when
the streetlight goes out. You will note the round
power adapter that is sandwiched in-between the
125 VAC photocell which switches on the lamp.
These are a 3-conductor / pole twistlock connector.

2861. Re: Any Idea What This Is?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-04 06:24:05 UTC
From: krackula <krackula@i.am>
Message-ID: <k5lhc1pkhg1fvmlfv2essv21vvm6rnfvjt@4ax.com>
Source record: 12923
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,la.general,oc.general

On Sun, 03 Jul 2005 11:51:41 -0700, Scott en Aztlï¿½n
<scottenaztlan@yahooNOSPAM.com> wrote:

>On Sun, 03 Jul 2005 02:50:50 +0000, Guy Macon
><http://www.guymacon.com/> wrote:
>
>>>http://tinypic.com/6okknl.jpg
>>
>>I am guessing that they are close to the 16000 block of Von
>>Karman Avenue - the IPMobileNet HQ. Am I right?
>
>While there may be one of them at that location, the one in the
>picture is near the 5 freeway and Culver Drive. The other one I saw
>was on Alton Parkway near Jeronimo.
>
>>IPMobileNet makes the XpressNet 802.11 Mesh System, which is
>>often set up using SmartCell access points on light poles.
>>
>>That box looks like a standard NEMA enclosure that anyone can
>>use. The antenna looks like a WiFi (zoom in, it's longer than
>>it looks at first).
>
>Hmm... Any idea which SSID(s) they use? I can use NetStumbler to see
>if that SSID shows up. Also, there is a cable leading from the NEMA
>enclosure to a cylindrical device on top of the pole; any idea what
>that is? Is it some sort of sensor that is being remotely monitored
>via the radio in the NEMA enclosure?

one thought about these : this device is located on a utility company
light pole. it's highly unlikely that it belongs to anyone
commercial other than the utility company / or the " city of "
people. could easily be part of the new equipment that
cities are installing that allow cops and city workers to have hand
held and " in car " wi-fi " (mdt style) data terminals.
we have those recently installed in my city and they resemble these
devices. they ' do not " show up on netstumbler type
receiving equipment .

2862. Icon R10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-04 12:39:49 UTC
From: Edward Cook <edcook@advnet.net>
Message-ID: <b6372$42c92e11$4527467c$3537@123.NET>
Source record: 12924

I have an Icon R10 is there a way to program tones into it? The local Police have added tones. Thanks Ed

2863. Re: Finding frequencies on my scanner (Stupid Q?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-04 13:41:24 UTC
From: "Nick E. Name" <barelybad@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120484484.075081.222280@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12925

Thanks for the tip. I had found this by Googling better, but I'm
pleased to know this site, or at least this page, has your endorsement.

2864. Re: Finding frequencies on my scanner (Stupid Q?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-04 13:47:56 UTC
From: "Nick E. Name" <barelybad@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120484875.956572.195370@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12926

Mr. Crocker,

Thanks for the list. I didn't realize scanner people might think of "Shawnee, Kansas" as meaning the COUNTY of Shawnee, which is quite far away from the city of Shawnee where I live.

Still, thanks for the effort.

2865. Re: Any Idea What This Is?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-04 14:27:20 UTC
From: SAMUEL LA RUE <SamLaRue@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <cHbye.1078705$w62.690843@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 12927

Wireless Modem network from the late 90's. Before I retired I lived in San Francisco a few years, these units were on light poles about one per city block.
"Scott en Aztl&#65533;n" <scottenaztlan@yahooNOSPAM.com> wrote in message news:pi7ec1p0c8g5bsk3ipmkso34nn1rqgmdnd@4ax.com...
> I've seen several of these devices attached to the street light poles
> in Irvine, CA. Clearly there is some kind of radio inside the box, but
> what is their purpose?
>
> http://tinypic.com/6okknl.jpg
>
>

2866. Re: Any Idea What This Is?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-04 15:03:24 UTC
From: SAMUEL LA RUE <SamLaRue@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <0dcye.1078858$w62.331567@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 12928

Ricochet wireless ISP, is the name of the company I found in my notes.
"Scott en Aztl&#65533;n" <scottenaztlan@yahooNOSPAM.com> wrote in message
news:pi7ec1p0c8g5bsk3ipmkso34nn1rqgmdnd@4ax.com...
> I've seen several of these devices attached to the street light poles
> in Irvine, CA. Clearly there is some kind of radio inside the box, but
> what is their purpose?
>
> http://tinypic.com/6okknl.jpg
>
>

2867. Re: Finding frequencies on my scanner (Stupid Q?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-04 15:35:02 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <GGcye.878$BK1.510@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 12929

"Nick E. Name" <barelybad@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1120484484.075081.222280@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Thanks for the tip. I had found this by Googling better, but I'm
> pleased to know this site, or at least this page, has your endorsement.
>

Have you tried the :
http://www.radioreference.com/index.php
?
They have a hell of a lot of info. Back when Our city police switched over to 800 trunked, I already had a Pro-95 I had bought a year or so earlier. I had one hell of a time trying to learn how to understand the manual. Asked for help on a couple of groups and got nothing back then. I finally found what I needed after much MUCH searching <G>. After I saw a link to this place, and sure wished I had known about it from the start as it had everything I needed in easy to understand info.
--
You never really learn to swear until you learn to drive.

2868. Re: Any Idea What This Is?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-04 15:35:31 UTC
From: "Ralph A. Schmid, DK5RAS" <nospam@radio-link.net>
Message-ID: <molic1d716r72vbah5hvcdhbrpjnvb45h2@4ax.com>
Source record: 12930
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,la.general,oc.general

krackula <krackula@i.am> wrote:

>they ' do not " show up on netstumbler type
>receiving equipment .

In case it is really WiFi, then it can be found with such equipment,
when using the right equipment and the right software and waiting for
some real traffic on the network.

regards - Ralph

--

Want to get in touch? http://www.radio-link.net/whereisralph.txt

2869. Re: Any Idea What This Is?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-04 15:39:28 UTC
From: Mike <km6ab@REMOVETHIS.pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <42C95777.4040605@REMOVETHIS.pacbell.net>
Source record: 12931

I forget the exact frequency, somewhere in the 2GHz range. Xicom makes
the amplifiers.

Phoneguy wrote:
>
> I'll accept something like that. What frequency range does the
> terrestrial signal occupy?
>
> I would have figured something smaller and several of them in a city,
> not just one multi-kilowatt transmitter.
>
>
>
>
> Mike wrote:
>
>> In My area we have cell phone extenders that look about like this.
>> XM terrestrial repeaters are usually on large buildings or hills and
>> they use a several KW Klystron amplifier, which takes a 6ft rack for
>> the redundant pair. Don't think that is stuffed inside the lamp pole....
>>

2870. Re: Finding frequencies on my scanner (Stupid Q?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-04 15:58:12 UTC
From: Sarah Czepiel <ninety7gt@cox.net>
Message-ID: <t0nic1hb4uiqtb3dd4ne64q92fo4rh9hff@4ax.com>
Source record: 12932

On 4 Jul 2005 06:47:56 -0700, "Nick E. Name" <barelybad@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Mr. Crocker,
>
>Thanks for the list. I didn't realize scanner people might think of
>"Shawnee, Kansas" as meaning the COUNTY of Shawnee, which is quite far
>away from the city of Shawnee where I live.
>
>Still, thanks for the effort.

Probably most people reading your response don't give a hoot about
where you live and the next time you ask a question these same folks
aren't going to bother reading or responding. Nice job.

2871. Re: Any Idea What This Is?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-04 16:08:48 UTC
From: gray-beard <gray-beard@tryandguess.com>
Message-ID: <v8qdnZzVz7wWwlTfRVn-jg@comcast.com>
Source record: 12933

http://www.ricochet.com/about.aspx

GB

SAMUEL LA RUE wrote:
> Ricochet wireless ISP, is the name of the company I found in my notes.
> "Scott en Aztlï¿½n" <scottenaztlan@yahooNOSPAM.com> wrote in message
> news:pi7ec1p0c8g5bsk3ipmkso34nn1rqgmdnd@4ax.com...
>
>>I've seen several of these devices attached to the street light poles
>>in Irvine, CA. Clearly there is some kind of radio inside the box, but
>>what is their purpose?
>>
>>http://tinypic.com/6okknl.jpg
>>
>>
>
>
>

--
"There's no way to rule innocent men. The only power any government
has is the power to crack down on criminals. Well, when there aren't
enough criminals, one makes them. One declares so many things to be a
crime that it becomes impossible to live without breaking laws."

-- Ayn Rand, Atlas Shrugged

2872. Re: Any Idea What This Is?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-04 18:04:35 UTC
From: Jack Myers <jmyers@n6wuz.net>
Message-ID: <11ciuhj6sja7sf3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 12934
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,la.general,oc.general

krackula <krackula@i.am> wrote:
> On Sun, 03 Jul 2005 11:51:41 -0700, Scott en Aztl?n
> <scottenaztlan@yahooNOSPAM.com> wrote:

> >On Sun, 03 Jul 2005 02:50:50 +0000, Guy Macon
> ><http://www.guymacon.com/> wrote:
> >
> >>>http://tinypic.com/6okknl.jpg
> >>

> one thought about these : this device is located on a utility company
> light pole. it's highly unlikely that it belongs to anyone
> commercial other than the utility company / or the " city of "
> people.

Are we looking at equipment left over from the old Metricom Ricochet
service? Their strateqy was to operate a mesh network in the unlicensed
ISM band. By cutting a deal with the city to use lamposts they could
avoid having to pay the high utility pole attachment fees.

2873. Re: Any Idea What This Is?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-04 19:29:20 UTC
From: Scott en Aztl&#65533;n <scottenaztlan@yahooNOSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <ka3jc1t3u5kje1ctosvek23e3j9mkag958@4ax.com>
Source record: 12935
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,la.general,oc.general

On Mon, 04 Jul 2005 18:04:35 -0000, "Jack Myers" <jmyers@n6wuz.net>
wrote:

>Are we looking at equipment left over from the old Metricom Ricochet
>service?

Did they ever serve Irvine, CA?

According to

http://www.ricochet.com/FAQs_Comparisons.aspx?FAQ=Coverage%20Areas

the closest market they serve is San Diego.

2874. Re: Any Idea What This Is?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-04 19:30:40 UTC
From: Scott en Aztl&#65533;n <scottenaztlan@yahooNOSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <vg3jc1tg6h05tebbcp8psm4bb279ohm7gr@4ax.com>
Source record: 12936
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,la.general,oc.general

On Sun, 03 Jul 2005 13:30:30 -0600, gray-beard
<gray-beard@tryandguess.com> wrote:

>That cylindrical device on the top is nothing more than a simple photo
>cell to turn the street light on at dusk and off at dawn.

That seems likely. An identical device is also present on the poles
which lack the boxes.

2875. Re: Any Idea What This Is?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-04 20:32:07 UTC
From: Jack Myers <jmyers@n6wuz.net>
Message-ID: <11cj76778cq9s64@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 12937
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,la.general,oc.general

Scott en Aztl?n <scottenaztlan@yahoonospam.com> wrote:
> On Mon, 04 Jul 2005 18:04:35 -0000, "Jack Myers" <jmyers@n6wuz.net>
> wrote:

> >Are we looking at equipment left over from the old Metricom Ricochet
> >service?

> Did they ever serve Irvine, CA?

Yes. <http://tinyurl.com/arkyz>

2876. Re: Icon R10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-04 20:37:45 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <4-adneCtiKOBA1TfRVn-2g@comcast.com>
Source record: 12938

If you're referring to CTCSS, no the Icom R10 does not have that feature. However, you do not need to program CTCSS tones to monitor, you program them so as not to monitor others using the same frequency. So nothing should change, and it should not affect your monitoring of the local police.

Bill Crocker

"Edward Cook" <edcook@advnet.net> wrote in message news:b6372$42c92e11$4527467c$3537@123.NET...
>I have an Icon R10 is there a way to program tones into it? The local
>Police have added tones. Thanks Ed
>

2877. Re: Any Idea What This Is?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-05 03:10:30 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <86udndu-Uqm7Z1TfRVn-hA@comcast.com>
Source record: 12939
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,la.general,oc.general

That's what they looked like to me. I've seen them in Troy, Michigan also.

Bill Crocker

"Jack Myers" <jmyers@n6wuz.net> wrote in message
news:11ciuhj6sja7sf3@corp.supernews.com...
> krackula <krackula@i.am> wrote:
>> On Sun, 03 Jul 2005 11:51:41 -0700, Scott en Aztl?n
>> <scottenaztlan@yahooNOSPAM.com> wrote:
>
>> >On Sun, 03 Jul 2005 02:50:50 +0000, Guy Macon
>> ><http://www.guymacon.com/> wrote:
>> >
>> >>>http://tinypic.com/6okknl.jpg
>> >>
>
>> one thought about these : this device is located on a utility company
>> light pole. it's highly unlikely that it belongs to anyone
>> commercial other than the utility company / or the " city of "
>> people.
>
> Are we looking at equipment left over from the old Metricom Ricochet
> service? Their strateqy was to operate a mesh network in the unlicensed
> ISM band. By cutting a deal with the city to use lamposts they could
> avoid having to pay the high utility pole attachment fees.
>
>

2878. Re: Any Idea What This Is?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-05 12:36:43 UTC
From: Phoneguy <phoneguy99@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <i9vye.1873$p5.1249@nnrp.ca.mci.com!nnrp1.uunet.ca>
Source record: 12940

I forwarded the photo to an distribution engineer friend of mine at a nearby power utility. He suggested it could be a remote meter reading transponder repeater. The technology has numerous ways of doing it, and low power radio modems are used in some demand metering systems where individual phone lines are not practical. The transponder gets its power via the power tap inserted between the lamp body and the light controlled switch. The nearby low power transponders all report into their local transponder which in turn relays the reports to a central gathering station. That's how the system works. Whether or not that is what it is is yet to be verified.

The antenna's element and coil dimensions look too big to be 2.4GHz. It does however look right for ISM.

2879. Re: Any Idea What This Is?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-05 13:42:52 UTC
From: SamSez <samtheman@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <w7wye.2317$gD5.1632@trndny06>
Source record: 12941

Are they not boxes for switching traffic lights for emergency vehicles?

2880. Re: Finding frequencies on my scanner (Stupid Q?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-05 16:41:49 UTC
From: "Nick E. Name" <barelybad@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120581709.491401.119240@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12942

==========

July 5, 2005

Dear Ms. Czepiel,

Thanks for writing, but I'm unclear on what you're saying.

As you can see from the prior posts, at least a few people gave a hoot.
For instance, Mr. Crocker, whom you quote, was kind enough to conduct
some research and send it to me based on where I live.

My question to you and other participants is what it is you mean by
"Nice job."

--Johnny

P.S. Thanks again to all of you, including those who responded
off-list, for your help. I am overwhelmed by your generosity in
listing frequencies for my area, and for showing me how to find more.

==========

On July 4 Sarah Czepiel wrote:

> On 4 Jul 2005 06:47:56 -0700, "Nick E. Name" <barelybad@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Mr. Crocker,
> >
> >Thanks for the list. I didn't realize scanner people might think of
> >"Shawnee, Kansas" as meaning the COUNTY of Shawnee, which is quite far
> >away from the city of Shawnee where I live.
> >
> >Still, thanks for the effort.
>
> Probably most people reading your response don't give a hoot about
> where you live and the next time you ask a question these same folks
> aren't going to bother reading or responding. Nice job.

2881. Watson W-901 Airband Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-05 16:53:50 UTC
From: Frank Bals <fjb@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <yWyye.389918$cg1.8466@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 12943

How does this antenna compare to the Diamond RH77CA and Radio Shack
center-loaded whip on the MilAir frequencies?

Is it worth the $39.95 price?

2882. Re: Watson W-901 Airband Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-05 23:08:56 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Z9ednYWZI_CUjlbfRVn-jA@comcast.com>
Source record: 12944

Hi Frank!

I'm not familiar with the Watson W-901. However, if you buy one, and you
have a Diamond RH77CA for sale, I'd be interested in it.

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

"Frank Bals" <fjb@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:yWyye.389918$cg1.8466@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> How does this antenna compare to the Diamond RH77CA and Radio Shack
> center-loaded whip on the MilAir frequencies?
>
> Is it worth the $39.95 price?
>

2883. Re: Finding frequencies on my scanner (Stupid Q?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-06 02:35:18 UTC
From: Sarah Czepiel <ninety7gt@cox.net>
Message-ID: <negmc152s9a5a9cp99t8a98muisfbh8dk2@4ax.com>
Source record: 12945

On 5 Jul 2005 09:41:49 -0700, "Nick E. Name" <barelybad@hotmail.com>
wrote:

"Thanks for the list, even if you were wrong and thought it was for
the county and far away from the city where I actually live. "

There is a way to say things and then there's a way not to say things.

>====================================
>
>July 5, 2005
>
>Dear Ms. Czepiel,
>
>Thanks for writing, but I'm unclear on what you're saying.
>
>As you can see from the prior posts, at least a few people gave a hoot.
> For instance, Mr. Crocker, whom you quote, was kind enough to conduct
>some research and send it to me based on where I live.
>
>My question to you and other participants is what it is you mean by
>"Nice job."
>
>--Johnny

This is what you should have said the first time. IMHO. YMMV.

>P.S. Thanks again to all of you, including those who responded
>off-list, for your help. I am overwhelmed by your generosity in
>listing frequencies for my area, and for showing me how to find more.

>====================================
>
>On July 4 Sarah Czepiel wrote:
>
>> On 4 Jul 2005 06:47:56 -0700, "Nick E. Name" <barelybad@hotmail.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >Mr. Crocker,
>> >
>> >Thanks for the list. I didn't realize scanner people might think of
>> >"Shawnee, Kansas" as meaning the COUNTY of Shawnee, which is quite far
>> >away from the city of Shawnee where I live.
>> >
>> >Still, thanks for the effort.
>>

>> Probably most people reading your response don't give a hoot about
>> where you live and the next time you ask a question these same folks
>> aren't going to bother reading or responding. Nice job.

2884. Seeking OptoScan 535

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-06 04:33:41 UTC
From: "D. Brown" <dvbrown5Pants@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <ZomdnaCmVIu7wlbfRVn-tw@comcast.com>
Source record: 12946
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Does anyone know where to find one of these?
Doesn't look as though Optoelectronics carries them any longer.

Thank you.

2885. Re: Finding frequencies on my scanner (Stupid Q?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-06 13:45:27 UTC
From: "Nick E. Name" <barelybad@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120657527.101285.214150@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12947

July 6, 2005

Dear Ms. Czepiel,

First, you have changed your story. Originally your complaint was about my mentioning where I live, and now it's that I made that statement in a way you disapprove of.

Second, hilariously, you misquoted me. I did NOT say what you claim I said.

And I still want to know what you meant by "Nice job."

--Johnny

2886. Re: Any Idea What This Is?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-06 13:53:07 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <JdmdnfHFAK7Zf1bfRVn-3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 12948

Not in Troy, MI. The Fire Dept. uses the modulated light beam aimed at photoelectric sensors for that.

Bill Crocker

"SamSez" <samtheman@verizon.net> wrote in message news:w7wye.2317$gD5.1632@trndny06...
> Are they not boxes for switching traffic lights for emergency vehicles?
>
>

2887. HP Tech Support now gone overseas (ot)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-06 14:07:45 UTC
From: Bonobo Roger <hpsucks@hpsucks.com>
Message-ID: <d177c$42cbe5bc$d1cc5062$25442@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 12949
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

If your thinking of buying an HP computer product....DON'T !

HP has gone to India for all it's telephone Customer
Support and they all speak bad English and you can't
understand a word they are saying.

Buy an HP....
Have a problem...
Call up their Tech Support hotline....
You'll get "Pasheesh-from-Punjab" who talks like
he's got a mouthfull of marbles and can't understand
a word your saying because he'e been trained to
read from a script like a trained spider monkey....

(HINT: You'd be better off calling Habib at the local Dunkin-Dounutz for
tech support..)

---> F*CK Hewlett-Packard!! (another formerly great USA company that's
now frigged it's historic reputation for great customer service by
going to half-ass
overseas tech support in)

Buy someone else's product instead and tell HP (..and Aglient Technologies
too!)
to go do somehing with themselves and their crap products and support.

Signed: a former HP Business/Commercial customer.

2888. Re: HP Tech Support now gone overseas (ot)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-06 14:20:40 UTC
From: Bonobo Roger <hpsucks@hpsucks.com>
Message-ID: <c2726$42cbe8b2$d1cc5062$26277@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 12950
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Read about some overseas Tech Support HORROR STORIES here:

(you'll laugh...you'll cry...you'll want to go take a shi+...they are all
so very familiar!)

http://www.gamingforce.com/forums/archive/index.php/t-44421.html

http://www.techcomedy.com/users/submitted_content.php?nick=drachen

MAIN: http://www.techcomedy.com/

http://reviews.cnet.com/5208-10168-0-10.html?forumID=104&threadID=59876&messageID=732020&s
tart=-137

2889. A car with 6 antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-06 15:28:42 UTC
From: Christopher O'Callaghan <d.ocallaghan@iolfree.ie>
Message-ID: <dagtbb$dt4$1@reader01.news.esat.net>
Source record: 12851

never saw a car with 6 big antennas(3-4 feet)and 3 small ones all on mag mounts...WOW

2890. Re: A car with 6 antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-06 15:34:42 UTC
From: Andy <Q>
Message-ID: <Xns968C758CBBB01nospamdotcom@216.196.97.136>
Source record: 12852

"Christopher O'Callaghan" <d.ocallaghan@iolfree.ie> wrote in news:dagtbb
$dt4$1@reader01.news.esat.net:

> never saw a car with 6 big antennas(3-4 feet)and 3 small ones all on mag
> mounts...WOW

If there's a public safety emblem on the car, those are the radio
engineer's cars used by counties or cities for testing purposes.

Otherwise, you've spotted a MAJOR scannist.

Imho,

Andy

2891. Re: Finding frequencies on my scanner (Stupid Q?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-06 15:56:03 UTC
From: Sarah Czepiel <ninety7gt@cox.net>
Message-ID: <5jvnc11m3aeg7eeumu2c7os6rq7ie993i7@4ax.com>
Source record: 12853

On 6 Jul 2005 06:45:27 -0700, "Nick E. Name" <barelybad@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>July 6, 2005
>
>Dear Ms. Czepiel,
>
>First, you have changed your story. Originally your complaint was
>about my mentioning where I live, and now it's that I made that
>statement in a way you disapprove of.

I have to remember being subtle doesn't compute with some people.

>Second, hilariously, you misquoted me. I did NOT say what you claim I
>said.

I didn't quote you at all.

>And I still want to know what you meant by "Nice job."

And it looks like you're going to die not knowing it too.

>--Johnny

You want to get into an argument, meet me on an appropriate fora.

alt.nuke.the.usa

2892. Re: Finding frequencies on my scanner (Stupid Q?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-06 16:46:20 UTC
From: "Nick E. Name" <barelybad@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120668380.494389.282510@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12854

I apologize, Sarah.

I responded to you as someone who is not a juvenile or a mentally
retarded adult, and I won't do that again.

But, dear Sarah, you can always grow and learn, so don't give up trying
to make sense of the world and the other people in it. It's confusing
to all of us no matter how old or how smart we are, so hang in there.

--Johnny

2893. Re: Finding frequencies on my scanner (Stupid Q?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-06 17:28:11 UTC
From: Sarah Czepiel <ninety7gt@cox.net>
Message-ID: <nv4oc19k2ub21nf9hjd600h1ua69jqnuuc@4ax.com>
Source record: 12855

On 6 Jul 2005 09:46:20 -0700, "Nick E. Name" <barelybad@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>I apologize, Sarah.
>
>I responded to you as someone who is not a juvenile or a mentally
>retarded adult, and I won't do that again.

>But, dear Sarah, you can always grow and learn, so don't give up trying
>to make sense of the world and the other people in it. It's confusing
>to all of us no matter how old or how smart we are, so hang in there.

That's actually a mildly amusing response coming from someone who
can't figure out how to use a search engine.

>--Johnny

2894. Re: HP Tech Support now gone overseas (ot)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-06 19:29:37 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <w_Kdnaluu_6_rFHfRVn-tQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 12856
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Good luck! HP bought Compaq, so same applies. Last I heard, Dell was also
outsourcing to India for support. IBM sold out to China!

Bill Crocker
(Unemployed Computer Engineer)

"Bonobo Roger" <hpsucks@hpsucks.com> wrote in message
news:d177c$42cbe5bc$d1cc5062$25442@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> If your thinking of buying an HP computer product....DON'T !
>
> HP has gone to India for all it's telephone Customer
> Support and they all speak bad English and you can't
> understand a word they are saying.
>
> Buy an HP....
> Have a problem...
> Call up their Tech Support hotline....
> You'll get "Pasheesh-from-Punjab" who talks like
> he's got a mouthfull of marbles and can't understand
> a word your saying because he'e been trained to
> read from a script like a trained spider monkey....
>
> (HINT: You'd be better off calling Habib at the local Dunkin-Dounutz for
> tech support..)
>
> ---> F*CK Hewlett-Packard!! (another formerly great USA company that's
> now frigged it's historic reputation for great customer service by
> going to half-ass
> overseas tech support in)
>
> Buy someone else's product instead and tell HP (..and Aglient Technologies
> too!)
> to go do somehing with themselves and their crap products and support.
>
>
> Signed: a former HP Business/Commercial customer.
>
>
>
>

2895. Re: HP Tech Support now gone overseas (ot)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-06 20:45:35 UTC
From: George Washington Bush <gb@presidents.r.us.gov>
Message-ID: <paiqhbur85p3gik.060720051345@kirk>
Source record: 12857
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

It is going to be tough boys, you are going to have to tighten your belts for your country, we have to provide excessive profits for out buddies in the large corporations and the friends of our noble families who need a standard of living approaching that of royalty (did you ever notice my dad was president too, we are the beginning of a blue-blood line of royalty, respect that.)

We just have to outsource these jobs to the people of the world who are left to take advantage of, your fellow americans have become too educated to work as slaves and live in poverty.

If things really get tough for you here, catch a boat to india or china, we will have a job for you there, just tell them george sent you.

Have you ever been to mexico, you might want to try there, it is closer and they have great tacos there for lunch... although smuggling drugs across the border is highly dangerous, it does provide big lucrative returns if you do not get caught.

Don't be afraid to try something new...

G.W.B.

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:w_Kdnaluu_6_rFHfRVn-tQ@comcast.com...
> Good luck! HP bought Compaq, so same applies. Last I heard, Dell
> was also outsourcing to India for support. IBM sold out to China!
>
> Bill Crocker
> (Unemployed Computer Engineer)
>
>
>
>
> "Bonobo Roger" <hpsucks@hpsucks.com> wrote in message
> news:d177c$42cbe5bc$d1cc5062$25442@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>> If your thinking of buying an HP computer product....DON'T !
>>
>> HP has gone to India for all it's telephone Customer
>> Support and they all speak bad English and you can't
>> understand a word they are saying.
>>
>> Buy an HP....
>> Have a problem...

>> Call up their Tech Support hotline....
>> You'll get "Pasheesh-from-Punjab" who talks like
>> he's got a mouthfull of marbles and can't understand
>> a word your saying because he'e been trained to
>> read from a script like a trained spider monkey....
>>
>> (HINT: You'd be better off calling Habib at the local
>> Dunkin-Dounutz for
>> tech support..)
>>
>> ---> F*CK Hewlett-Packard!! (another formerly great USA company
>> that's
>> now frigged it's historic reputation for great customer
>> service by
>> going to half-ass
>> overseas tech support in)
>>
>> Buy someone else's product instead and tell HP (..and Aglient
>> Technologies
>> too!)
>> to go do somehing with themselves and their crap products and
>> support.
>>
>>
>> Signed: a former HP Business/Commercial customer.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>

2896. Re: HP Tech Support now gone overseas (ot)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-06 20:53:58 UTC
From: Larry <spin@liblefts pinlarry.com>
Message-ID: <dahg5ujoh0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 12858
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

You can still get hired by the FCC, if you are a
black female drug addict.

"George Washington Bush" <gb@presidents.r.us.gov> wrote in message
news:paiqhbur85p3gik.060720051345@kirk...
> It is going to be tough boys, you are going to have to tighten your belts
> for your country, we have to provide excessive profits for out buddies in
> the large corporations and the friends of our noble families who need a
> standard of living approaching that of royalty (did you ever notice my dad
> was president too, we are the beginning of a blue-blood line of royalty,
> respect that.)
>
> We just have to outsource these jobs to the people of the world who are
> left to take advantage of, your fellow americans have become too educated
> to work as slaves and live in poverty.
>
> If things really get tough for you here, catch a boat to india or china,
> we will have a job for you there, just tell them george sent you.
>
> Have you ever been to mexico, you might want to try there, it is closer
> and they have great tacos there for lunch... although smuggling drugs
> across the border is highly dangerous, it does provide big lucrative
> returns if you do not get caught.
>
> Don't be afraid to try something new...
>
> G.W.B.
>
> "Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:w_Kdnaluu_6_rFHfRVn-tQ@comcast.com...
>> Good luck! HP bought Compaq, so same applies. Last I heard, Dell was
>> also outsourcing to India for support. IBM sold out to China!
>>
>> Bill Crocker
>> (Unemployed Computer Engineer)
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> "Bonobo Roger" <hpsucks@hpsucks.com> wrote in message
>> news:d177c$42cbe5bc$d1cc5062$25442@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>>> If your thinking of buying an HP computer product....DON'T !
>>>

>>> HP has gone to India for all it's telephone Customer
>>> Support and they all speak bad English and you can't
>>> understand a word they are saying.
>>>
>>> Buy an HP....
>>> Have a problem...
>>> Call up their Tech Support hotline....
>>> You'll get "Pasheesh-from-Punjab" who talks like
>>> he's got a mouthfull of marbles and can't understand
>>> a word your saying because he'e been trained to
>>> read from a script like a trained spider monkey....
>>>
>>> (HINT: You'd be better off calling Habib at the local Dunkin-Dounutz
>>> for
>>> tech support..)
>>>
>>> ---> F*CK Hewlett-Packard!! (another formerly great USA company that's
>>> now frigged it's historic reputation for great customer service
>>> by
>>> going to half-ass
>>> overseas tech support in)
>>>
>>> Buy someone else's product instead and tell HP (..and Aglient
>>> Technologies
>>> too!)
>>> to go do somehing with themselves and their crap products and support.
>>>
>>>
>>> Signed: a former HP Business/Commercial customer.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2897. Re: A car with 6 antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-06 21:20:30 UTC
From: Matt <pissoff@spammers.suck.com>
Message-ID: <dahhut$1eik$1@otis.netspace.net.au>
Source record: 12859

"Mark" <me@privacy.net> wrote in message
news:vq4oc1hal22aiku0p15e9qomjd8716lafj@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 06 Jul 2005 10:34:42 -0500, Andy <Q> wrote:
>
> >"Christopher O'Callaghan" <d.ocallaghan@iolfree.ie> wrote in news:dagtbb
> >$dt4$1@reader01.news.esat.net:
> >
> >> never saw a car with 6 big antennas(3-4 feet)and 3 small ones all on
mag
> >> mounts...WOW
> >
> >
> >If there's a public safety emblem on the car, those are the radio
> >engineer's cars used by counties or cities for testing purposes.
> >
> >Otherwise, you've spotted a MAJOR scannist.
>
> Or an old fashioned HAM.

Seven on my car at last count - 1 for the stereo, 1 for the mobile phone and
5 radio type antennas (1 HF auto tune, 1 HF monobander, 2 UHF antennas, and
a combo 2m/70cm). Still need to find room for the 6m antenna......

Matt

2898. Re: HP Tech Support now gone overseas (ot)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-06 21:26:46 UTC
From: "helmsman@mindspring.com" <helmsman@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <v2joc1l50cuc8v61dqjqkr9kk43ufsn3ef@4ax.com>
Source record: 12860
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote:

>Good luck! HP bought Compaq, so same applies. Last I heard, Dell was also
>outsourcing to India for support. IBM sold out to China!
Dell also outsources to the Philippines.
>
>Bill Crocker
>(Unemployed Computer Engineer)
>
>
>
>
>"Bonobo Roger" <hpsucks@hpsucks.com> wrote in message
>news:d177c$42cbe5bc$d1cc5062$25442@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>> If your thinking of buying an HP computer product....DON'T !
>>
>> HP has gone to India for all it's telephone Customer
>> Support and they all speak bad English and you can't
>> understand a word they are saying.
>>
>> Buy an HP....
>> Have a problem...
>> Call up their Tech Support hotline....
>> You'll get "Pasheesh-from-Punjab" who talks like
>> he's got a mouthfull of marbles and can't understand
>> a word your saying because he'e been trained to
>> read from a script like a trained spider monkey....
>>
>> (HINT: You'd be better off calling Habib at the local Dunkin-Dounutz for
>> tech support..)
>>
>> ---> F*CK Hewlett-Packard!! (another formerly great USA company that's
>> now frigged it's historic reputation for great customer service by
>> going to half-ass
>> overseas tech support in)
>>
>> Buy someone else's product instead and tell HP (..and Aglient Technologies
>> too!)
>> to go do somehing with themselves and their crap products and support.
>>
>>
>> Signed: a former HP Business/Commercial customer.
>>

>>
>>
>>
>

2899. Re: HP Tech Support now gone overseas (ot)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-06 22:03:55 UTC
From: FDR <_remove_spam_block_rzitka@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <fzYye.16940$0i3.1781@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 12861
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Bonobo Roger" <hpsucks@hpsucks.com> wrote in message
news:d177c$42cbe5bc$d1cc5062$25442@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> If your thinking of buying an HP computer product....DON'T !
>
> HP has gone to India for all it's telephone Customer
> Support and they all speak bad English and you can't
> understand a word they are saying.

I've had good experiences lately with a HP PC problem and a HP printer
problem using the on-line chat option. Both problems were taken care of,
and quickly.

>
> Buy an HP....
> Have a problem...
> Call up their Tech Support hotline....
> You'll get "Pasheesh-from-Punjab" who talks like
> he's got a mouthfull of marbles and can't understand
> a word your saying because he'e been trained to
> read from a script like a trained spider monkey....
>
> (HINT: You'd be better off calling Habib at the local Dunkin-Dounutz for
> tech support..)
>
> ---> F*CK Hewlett-Packard!! (another formerly great USA company that's
> now frigged it's historic reputation for great customer service by
> going to half-ass
> overseas tech support in)
>
> Buy someone else's product instead and tell HP (..and Aglient Technologies
> too!)
> to go do somehing with themselves and their crap products and support.
>
>
> Signed: a former HP Business/Commercial customer.
>
>
>
>

2900. Re: HP Tech Support now gone overseas (ot)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-06 22:25:40 UTC
From: Jim Douglas <james.douglas@genesis-software.com>
Message-ID: <Z5SdnU_tiKGZx1HfRVn-sw@comcast.com>
Source record: 12862
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Right, like thats friggin new you idiot! HP, DELL, and pretty much any other MFG today is there!

"Bonobo Roger" <hpsucks@hpsucks.com> wrote in message news:d177c$42cbe5bc$d1cc5062$25442@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> If your thinking of buying an HP computer product....DON'T !
>
> HP has gone to India for all it's telephone Customer
> Support and they all speak bad English and you can't
> understand a word they are saying.
>
> Buy an HP....
> Have a problem...
> Call up their Tech Support hotline....
> You'll get "Pasheesh-from-Punjab" who talks like
> he's got a mouthfull of marbles and can't understand
> a word your saying because he'e been trained to
> read from a script like a trained spider monkey....
>
> (HINT: You'd be better off calling Habib at the local Dunkin-Dounutz for
> tech support..)
>
> ---> F*CK Hewlett-Packard!! (another formerly great USA company that's
> now frigged it's historic reputation for great customer service by
> going to half-ass
> overseas tech support in)
>
> Buy someone else's product instead and tell HP (..and Aglient Technologies
> too!)
> to go do somehing with themselves and their crap products and support.
>
>
> Signed: a former HP Business/Commercial customer.
>
>
>
>

2901. Re: A car with 6 antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-06 23:36:08 UTC
From: Korbin Dallas <korbindallas@dodgeit.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.07.06.23.35.58.846576@dodgeit.com>
Source record: 12863

On Thu, 07 Jul 2005 06:50:30 +0930, Matt wrote:

> "Mark" <me@privacy.net> wrote in message
> news:vq4oc1hal22aiku0p15e9qomjd8716lafj@4ax.com...
>> On Wed, 06 Jul 2005 10:34:42 -0500, Andy <Q> wrote:
>>
>> >"Christopher O'Callaghan" <d.ocallaghan@iolfree.ie> wrote in news:dagtbb
>> >$dt4$1@reader01.news.esat.net:
>> >
>> >> never saw a car with 6 big antennas(3-4 feet)and 3 small ones all on
> mag
>> >> mounts...WOW
>> >
>> >
>> >If there's a public safety emblem on the car, those are the radio
>> >engineer's cars used by counties or cities for testing purposes.
>> >
>> >Otherwise, you've spotted a MAJOR scannist.
>>
>> Or an old fashioned HAM.
>
> Seven on my car at last count - 1 for the stereo, 1 for the mobile phone and
> 5 radio type antennas (1 HF auto tune, 1 HF monobander, 2 UHF antennas, and
> a combo 2m/70cm). Still need to find room for the 6m antenna......
>
>
>
> Matt

On my SUV:
2m APRS
2m
220
440
HF - 80-10 Screwdriver
6m
800 Mhz Trunked
850/1900 Cellphone
2400 Mhz Network
AM/FM Stereo
XM Satellite
GPS
Multi Band Scanner

Only 1 Mag mount, the XM.

--
Korbin Dallas
The name was changed to protect the guilty.

2902. Re: Any Idea What This Is?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-06 23:44:43 UTC
From: Korbin Dallas <korbindallas@dodgeit.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.07.06.23.44.33.152634@dodgeit.com>
Source record: 12864

On Tue, 05 Jul 2005 08:36:43 -0400, Phoneguy wrote:

> I forwarded the photo to an distribution engineer friend of mine at a
> nearby power utility. He suggested it could be a remote meter reading
> transponder repeater. The technology has numerous ways of doing it, and
> low power radio modems are used in some demand metering systems where
> individual phone lines are not practical. The transponder gets its power
> via the power tap inserted between the lamp body and the light
> controlled switch. The nearby low power transponders all report into
> their local transponder which in turn relays the reports to a central
> gathering station. That's how the system works. Whether or not that is
> what it is is yet to be verified.
>
> The antenna's element and coil dimensions look too big to be 2.4GHz. It
> does however look right for ISM.

That is a Ricochet Radio.
Part of a Mesh network on 900 Mhz for Internet connectivity.

The company has been about about 7 or 8 years and has had a hard time of
it. I first ran into them in there home city of Seattle in 1998.
In some places they pulled the plug on the network leaving the radios
on the poles. I thought they were out of business.

http://www.ricochet.com/

--
Korbin Dallas
The name was changed to protect the guilty.

2903. FA: BC560XLT mobile/base scanner + 2 CB Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-07 00:40:06 UTC
From: jimbradley@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1120696806.707843.282400@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12865

CB 1
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dl...item=5787640466

CB 2
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dl...item=5787641946

Moble/home use scanner
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dl...item=5787638757

VIEW ALL AUCTIONS!!!

http://search.ebay.com/_W0QQsassZjimQ2dofQ2dware

2904. Re: Who gives a fuck about some greasy haired x-posting ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-07 04:41:16 UTC
From: Sparky Voltz <sparky_unit_412@yahooEY.com>
Message-ID: <kccpc1p8l28pbbluesj7lng8cuihcmhvvj@4ax.com>
Source record: 12866
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Yuk. Plonk again. Goodness... this place is full of 'em. I think
maybe I'll go to another bar and order up a cold one and see if the
clientele there is of any higher caliber. This place is one scary
outfit.

Is this commonplace, or is it... wait... this is a cross-post. Guess
it's not isolated to this particular newsgroup.

Adios, loser.

~Sparky~

On 3 Jul 2005 06:53:44 -0700, "Stagger Lee, Childraper"
<stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com> replied:

>
>
>Billy Bob wrote:
>> "Stagger Lee, Childraper" <stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> message news:1120217497.313929.137730@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>
>> Steveo wrote:
>> > Roger Wiseman <ab8mq@nospam> wrote:
>> .
>> And he doesn't have greasy hair, either, loser. But we all know you are
>> a mongrel kike.
>>
>> .
>>
>> Not
>
>No, dumbass, Roger doesn't have long hair. You are a fucking idiot,
>Billy Boob....

2905. Re: A car with 6 antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-07 04:41:56 UTC
From: krackula <krackula@i.am>
Message-ID: <nhcpc19u0c9ktj9rurk96ibfp9vmu68n1e@4ax.com>
Source record: 12867

you should see the " last car " in the president's motorcade.
it has so many antennae on it , it looks like a porcupine .

On Wed, 6 Jul 2005 16:28:42 +0100, "Christopher O'Callaghan"
<d.ocallaghan@iolfree.ie> wrote:

>never saw a car with 6 big antennas(3-4 feet)and 3 small ones all on mag
>mounts...WOW
>
>

2906. Re: A trip to Australia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-07 14:30:40 UTC
From: Mike From Newcastlescan <mike*nospam*@newcastlescan.com>
Message-ID: <i3fqc15f2omgonpi8gqq18db7vi7s8k6nf@4ax.com>
Source record: 12868

and check out www.newcastlescan.com

what scanner do you have to bring over

cheers
mike

On Wed, 29 Jun 2005 14:52:33 +0100, "Christopher O'Callaghan"
<d.ocallaghan@iolfree.ie> wrote:

>I plan on visiting relatives in New South Wales from newcastle to
>sydney.Then i go to Brisbane for a holiday.
>
>chris
>
>
>
>"Troy Rowland" <t_rowlandNOSPAM@ozemail.com.au> wrote in message
>news:pXkwe.5$vv5.508@nnrp1.ozemail.com.au...
>> Where do you intend to go Chris? That will play a big part in what you
>> can hear.
>>
>> Urban areas will be just like the U.S. Outback areas are serviced by HF
>> and satphone, so you won't hear a great deal.
>>
>> Troy
>>
>> <Q> wrote in message news:Xns9682E0E21E6A3nospamdotcom@216.196.97.136...
>>> "Christopher O'Callaghan" <d.ocallaghan@iolfree.ie> wrote in news:d9n2va
>>> $c6k$1@reader01.news.esat.net:
>>>
>>>> Hi,
>>>>
>>>> In a month i will be making a long trip to australia for a holiday.I of
>>>> course plan on bringing my Bearcat portable radio scanner.I just nned
>>> to
>>>> know is it illegal for me to bring it with me on the plane?
>>>>
>>>> Chris
>>>
>>>
>>> Of course it's legal! Granted, once you get there, if you go into the
>>> bush, it's gonna be deaf.
>>>
>>> Took my AR8200 into the bush in 2001, (Flinder and Gawler ranges, SA) and

>>> didn't get any comms, DUH!
>>>
>>> I'd suggest bringing one of the new scanners with "near field" frequency
>>> features. The pro 83 or pro 97 (in my stable) but don't know what steps
>>> and bands are predominant in Oz.
>>>
>>> Good luck!
>>>
>>> Q
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> --
>>> Andy
>>> http://tinyurl.com/bczgr
>>
>>
>

2907. Re: A car with 6 antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-07 17:34:07 UTC
From: krackula <krackula@i.am>
Message-ID: <dooqc19uboua4l50n6dc6odqolgv40caad@4ax.com>
Source record: 12869

On Thu, 07 Jul 2005 10:09:00 -0700, Evan Platt
<evan@theobvious.espphotography.com> wrote:

>On Wed, 06 Jul 2005 21:41:56 -0700, krackula <krackula@i.am> wrote:
>
>>
>>you should see the " last car " in the president's motorcade.
>>it has so many antennae on it , it looks like a porcupine .
>
>This one?
>
>http://image16.webshots.com/16/5/46/79/190854679ikZemg_fs.jpg

yea, very similar except 4 of those biggest black antennas (2 front
and 2 rear) a couple more of those satellite antennas (the short
, big around ones) and several more misc short whip antennas ......
maybe not visible in this view. that van behind the suv looks like it
might be that mobile S.A.M (surface to air missile) unit that
people have been talking about that the secret service uses.
(I occasionally see the foot agents with trench coats that conceal
m72a2 LAW launcher tubes too)

interestingly enough , standing right nearby one of these mobile
commo suvs , using a Opto R-10 receiver , NONE of the
transmissions (you can see them talking to each other and
surely some of those antennae represent packet style
node networks of some sort) will register on the r-10.
probably spread spectrum, wi-fi or UWB based equipment
in the suv.

wouldn't you love to get a tech tour of THAT puppy ?
ha ha ha ha ha it'd probably be an eye popping
experience, no doubt the " latest and greatest " .

2908. Re: Where to Buy a Cellular Phone Jammer? (no lectures please)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-07 18:41:30 UTC
From: r2000swler@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1120761690.835343.215730@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12870
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Me wrote:
Hey Babe, If you can con enough people to get yourself elected to
a Council, you certainly should be able to use Google to find out what
your asking.....Secondly, If you had a CLUE about the technology that
you are asking about, you would know that these devices are not FCC
Type
Accepted for use, or sale inside the US..... thirdly if you had Brain1
in'your whole head, you would know that every National Guard Signal
Corp
Unit has some on the shelf ready to use should the Civil Authority,
require that service. We all hope that you are just a LAMER..... but
if you really are on the "for real", please "Get a Life" and "Go Away"
real soon......

Me who thinks maybe you are a Moorroooon (bugs bunny
definition)

I doubt if local NG units will need to "jam" cell phones.
In London they civil authroities just had them turned off.
I suspect that the same would happen here(USA).

They turned them off to prevent cell phones from being
use as "command detonators". Given the bombs in
Madrid were set off with cell phones, it was liekly a wise
choice.

Terry

2909. Re: HP Tech Support now gone overseas (ot)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-07 23:45:26 UTC
From: RJ <rajohngm@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <q8jze.398424$cg1.311990@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 12871
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Never buy a HP or Compaq as you will not get any tech support. When I had to restore my system I found one of the disk in the restore set was bad. Never able to receive help needed to fix problem and HP didn't have the correct replacement CD. Ended up scraping system although it was only over a year old. NEVER BUY HP PRODUCTS.
R. John

"Jim Douglas" <james.douglas@genesis-software.com> wrote in message news:Z5SdnU_tiKGZx1HfRVn-sw@comcast.com...
> Right, like thats friggin new you idiot! HP, DELL, and pretty much any
> other
> MFG today is there!
>
>
> "Bonobo Roger" <hpsucks@hpsucks.com> wrote in message
> news:d177c$42cbe5bc$d1cc5062$25442@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>> If your thinking of buying an HP computer product....DON'T !
>>
>> HP has gone to India for all it's telephone Customer
>> Support and they all speak bad English and you can't
>> understand a word they are saying.
>>
>> Buy an HP....
>> Have a problem...
>> Call up their Tech Support hotline....
>> You'll get "Pasheesh-from-Punjab" who talks like
>> he's got a mouthfull of marbles and can't understand
>> a word your saying because he'e been trained to
>> read from a script like a trained spider monkey....
>>
>> (HINT: You'd be better off calling Habib at the local Dunkin-Dounutz for
>> tech support..)
>>
>> ---> F*CK Hewlett-Packard!! (another formerly great USA company that's
>> now frigged it's historic reputation for great customer service
>> by
>> going to half-ass
>> overseas tech support in)
>>
>> Buy someone else's product instead and tell HP (..and Aglient
>> Technologies
>> too!)
>> to go do somehing with themselves and their crap products and support.

>>
>>
>> Signed: a former HP Business/Commercial customer.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>

2910. Re: Any Idea What This Is?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-08 01:41:51 UTC
From: SamSez <samtheman@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <zRkze.33819$mr4.18360@trnddc05>
Source record: 12872

"soliton" <DELETEscanner-ngTHIS@DELETEsoliton.THIScotse.net> wrote in message
news:b3erc1ljvriuulbh7g9gl4nfr0kh391l58@4ax.com...
> "SamSez" <samtheman@verizon.net> wrote:
>
> >Are they not boxes for switching traffic lights for emergency vehicles?
>
>
> In the photo, it is connected to a street light not a stop light so it
> would be useless for controlling a stop light.

what in gods name does where it is mounted have to do with what it does?

2911. Re: HP Tech Support now gone overseas (ot)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-08 02:45:32 UTC
From: Clark Neider <cneider@cox.net>
Message-ID: <eNlze.64679$go.16261@fed1read05>
Source record: 12873
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Roger that. I finally gave up on my HP S20 Photosmart scanner after sending it in for out of warranty repair (to take care of any hardware problem) and have it sent back with note that there isn't anything wrong with it. I also paid for and went through their tech support line with no success. I finally tossed it and bought a much nicer Epson Perfection 4870 that does double duty as a document scanner. My other HP flatbed scanner is gathering dust on a shelf. HP? Never again!

"RJ" <rajohngm@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:q8jze.398424$cg1.311990@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Never buy a HP or Compaq as you will not get any tech support. When I had
> to restore my system I found one of the disk in the restore set was bad.
> Never able to receive help needed to fix problem and HP didn't have the
> correct replacement CD. Ended up scraping system although it was only over
> a year old. NEVER BUY HP PRODUCTS.
> R. John
>
>
>
>
> "Jim Douglas" <james.douglas@genesis-software.com> wrote in message
> news:Z5SdnU_tiKGZx1HfRVn-sw@comcast.com...
>> Right, like thats friggin new you idiot! HP, DELL, and pretty much any
>> other
>> MFG today is there!
>>
>>
>> "Bonobo Roger" <hpsucks@hpsucks.com> wrote in message
>> news:d177c$42cbe5bc$d1cc5062$25442@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>>> If your thinking of buying an HP computer product....DON'T !
>>>
>>> HP has gone to India for all it's telephone Customer
>>> Support and they all speak bad English and you can't
>>> understand a word they are saying.
>>>
>>> Buy an HP....
>>> Have a problem...
>>> Call up their Tech Support hotline....
>>> You'll get "Pasheesh-from-Punjab" who talks like
>>> he's got a mouthfull of marbles and can't understand
>>> a word your saying because he'e been trained to
>>> read from a script like a trained spider monkey....
>>>

>>> (HINT: You'd be better off calling Habib at the local Dunkin-Dounutz
>>> for
>>> tech support..)
>>>
>>> ---> F*CK Hewlett-Packard!! (another formerly great USA company that's
>>> now frigged it's historic reputation for great customer service
>>> by
>>> going to half-ass
>>> overseas tech support in)
>>>
>>> Buy someone else's product instead and tell HP (..and Aglient
>>> Technologies
>>> too!)
>>> to go do somehing with themselves and their crap products and support.
>>>
>>>
>>> Signed: a former HP Business/Commercial customer.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

2912. Re: Radio shack and marketing scanners follow up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-08 02:57:11 UTC
From: Don't blame me I voted for Kerry <mykonos21@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <9KGdnbU7O4o8dIDfRVn-rw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 12874

HOLD THE PHONE! "Scanners are a hight ticket item"? Are you sure about that statement? Wouldn't say a t.v be more of a profit maker? Because if scanners do provide more of a profit then this store is making their money some other way .What way I do not know!? Steve

Bill Crocker wrote:
> All they know how to sell, or want to sell, is cell phones, and extended
> service polices on anything else you might buy. It's a joke.
>
> Scanner radios is one of their high-ticket items. You'd think they'd be
> really pushing them. Strange.
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>

2913. Re: Any Idea What This Is?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-08 04:14:07 UTC
From: Bob <bob@nowhere.nil>
Message-ID: <%3nze.2174$p5.557@nnrp.ca.mci.com!nnrp1.uunet.ca>
Source record: 12875

>would be useless for controlling a stop light.
>
>
> what in gods name does where it is mounted have to do with what it does?
>
>

Quite a lot actually.

The ricochet radio answer gets my vote.

2914. Re: Any Idea What This Is?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-08 04:37:08 UTC
From: Scott en Aztl&#65533;n <scottenaztlan@yahooNOSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <ei0sc1d4q1eielkimmhheojdcmbt9d3ps8@4ax.com>
Source record: 12876

On Fri, 08 Jul 2005 00:14:07 -0400, Bob <bob@nowhere.nil> wrote:

>The ricochet radio answer gets my vote.

Give the men a see-gar!

A closer look at the box with a pair of binoculars reveals the name
"Metricom" printed on the outside.

2915. Military Acft Comms Receptions, Thurs 7 July 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-08 05:08:24 UTC
From: "Allan.Stern@gmail.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120799304.076192.90380@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12877

Patrick AFB Area Log - Thurs 7 July 2005
==

SNAKEs 21, 22, 23, 24: F-15, FL-ANG 125FW JAX
9:25am: ACM
[288.4]

FANGs: F-15, FL-ANG 125FW JAX
9:30am: ACM
[288.4, 343.0, 267.5]

UNID
9:34am: Comms.
[316.3]

JSTARS 86: E-8C, Robins AFB 116ACW
9:50am: "Training will be in the JSTARS 4 Area."
[349.8]

WIDGET 47: E-9A #84-0047, Tyndall AFB 53WEG 82ATRS
10:58am: Pattern work at Patrick.
[133.75]
Photos: P7070087 through 97

NASA 902: T-38N #63-8193, Johnson Space Ctr, Houston.
12:26pm: Departs from Shuttle Landing Facility
[127.475, 125.175]

DRAGNET UNIFORM: E-3 AWACS, Tinker AFB 552ACW 966ACS
1:17pm: Will be in AW-003 Area.
[251.25]

CG 47301: Vessel
2:00pm: Comms with CG Sta Port Canaveral.
[157.15]

LIFTER 35: C-17A, Charleston 437AW
2:20pm: Pattern work at Patrick.
3:15pm: RTB CHS.
[133.75]
Photos: P7070098-100

STARGATE: E-8C JSTARS Mission Crew, Robins AFB 116ACW
2:55pm: with Dragnet Uniform; RTB Eglin.
[364.2]

NASA 961: T-38N #70-1555, Johnson Space Ctr, Houston
4:04pm: Departure from Shuttle Landing Facility.

[127.475, 125.175]

TITAN 11: C-130T, Cherry Point MCAS
4:30pm: Pattern work at Patrick.
[133.75, 132.65]
Photos: P7070101 through 114

CAP FLIGHT 827
6:50pm: Departs Merritt Island A/p.
[123.05]

COIL 01 Flight: F-15, FL-ANG, Jacksonville
7:38pm: Comms with Sealord, Oakgrove.
[267.5, 265.4]

AIREVAC ###
7:57pm: Phone patch via MacDill's Lightning Ops to DSN 779-0305 Scott AFB to request K-loader or high-lift truck, plus ambulance equipped with oxygen.
[311.0]

JOLLY 37: HH-60G Pave Hawk Helo #90-26237, Patrick AFB 920RQW
9:16pm: "The 130 broke down at the Cape due to overspeed."
[255.5]

UNID
11:36pm: AAR training: multiple connects, disconnects.
[327.6]

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL (28-11N 80-36W) monitoring
Patrick AFB (KCOF) NASA-KSC Shuttle Landing Fac (KX68)
Avon Park Bombing Range (KAGR) Cape Canaveral AFS (KXMR)
JSTARS E-8 Acft Integration Facility, Melbourne IAP (KMLB)
Worldwide Military HF Communications
Life Member: Missile, Space and Range Pioneers.
http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/index.html (My Freqs)
http://hometown.aol.com/scanaddict/index.html (My Equipment)

2916. Re: A car with 6 antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-08 14:43:52 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <liwze.17333$XB2.5025269@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 12878

>
>
> interestingly enough , standing right nearby one of these mobile
> commo suvs , using a Opto R-10 receiver , NONE of the
> transmissions (you can see them talking to each other and
> surely some of those antennae represent packet style
> node networks of some sort) will register on the r-10.
> probably spread spectrum, wi-fi or UWB based equipment
> in the suv.

Unless you had their specific frequencies programmed into your R-10,
you're not gonna hear a thing.

If you were standing there with a 246 or 396 in close call mode, you
would get hits on their frequencies.

Dan

2917. Re: Any Idea What This Is?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-08 17:51:37 UTC
From: Me <Me@shadow.orgs>
Message-ID: <Me-A96B0D.09515608072005@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 12879

In article <ei0sc1d4q1eielkimmhheojdcmbt9d3ps8@4ax.com>,
Scott en Aztl?n <scottenaztlan@yahooNOSPAM.com> wrote:

> On Fri, 08 Jul 2005 00:14:07 -0400, Bob <bob@nowhere.nil> wrote:
>
> >The ricochet radio answer gets my vote.
>
> Give the men a see-gar!
>
> A closer look at the box with a pair of binoculars reveals the name
> "Metricom" printed on the outside.
>

then it is a leftover from the old Ricochet Network that went
tits-up a few years back... Makes a nice 928 Mhz packet system.....

Me who actually ownes a complete Ricochet 800Mhz
Base Station including the router

2918. Re: A car with 6 antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-08 21:12:16 UTC
From: krackula <krackula@i.am>
Message-ID: <q4ptc1lgc4ukmvhidmhbvfqq9srnpai67v@4ax.com>
Source record: 12880

On Fri, 08 Jul 2005 14:43:52 GMT, Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote:

>
>>
>>
>> interestingly enough , standing right nearby one of these mobile
>> commo suvs , using a Opto R-10 receiver , NONE of the
>> transmissions (you can see them talking to each other and
>> surely some of those antennae represent packet style
>> node networks of some sort) will register on the r-10.
>> probably spread spectrum, wi-fi or UWB based equipment
>> in the suv.
>
>Unless you had their specific frequencies programmed into your R-10,
>you're not gonna hear a thing.
>
>If you were standing there with a 246 or 396 in close call mode, you
>would get hits on their frequencies.
>
>
>
>Dan

I'm speaking of the " OPTOELECTRONICS " R10 nearfield
surveillance receiver. (the one with " intercept " on the front
panel)
the model before the R11 which was locked out on the cell bands.
the r11 had a kewler looking front panel and equipment feel .
(the more desirable r10 was NOT locked out on cell freqs)

this communications intercept surveillance receiver had NO frequency
programming keys on it ,
only the ability to " lock out " certain undesired freqs. it existed
a " decade " before the " close call " models you mention above.
it has a range (depending on the antenna and filters on the input)
of 3 to 5 miles and more. (depending on the power of the
transmitter too)

these extremely desirable " pro quality " nearfield surveillance
" signal intercept " receivers are still seen occasionally on ebay
but sell for many 100s of dollars nowadays.

this has nothing to do with the programmable ICOM IC-R10.

the opto R10 or R11 will blow the doors off the current so called
" close call " receivers and it " does not " find ANY of the

equipment in the mentioned comms vehicle. (nor would
any of the , less sophisticated , close call rigs)

dunno about the " digital " signal intercept receiver made
by opto called the " digital explorer " ..... haven't tried it
near one of those comms suvs yet. maybe " it " could capture
some comms since it (unlike MOST other equipment)
can " see " digital signals.

k.................

2919. Re: A car with 6 antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-08 22:55:56 UTC
From: Matt <pissoff@spammers.suck.com>
Message-ID: <dan09t$49g$1@otis.netspace.net.au>
Source record: 12881

OK, you win.

"Korbin Dallas" <korbindallas@dodgeit.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.07.06.23.35.58.846576@dodgeit.com...
> On Thu, 07 Jul 2005 06:50:30 +0930, Matt wrote:
>
> > "Mark" <me@privacy.net> wrote in message
> > news:vq4oc1hal22aiku0p15e9qomjd8716lafj@4ax.com...
> >> On Wed, 06 Jul 2005 10:34:42 -0500, Andy <Q> wrote:
> >>
> >> >"Christopher O'Callaghan" <d.ocallaghan@iolfree.ie> wrote in
news:dagtbb
> >> >$dt4$1@reader01.news.esat.net:
> >> >
> >> >> never saw a car with 6 big antennas(3-4 feet)and 3 small ones all on
> > mag
> >> >> mounts...WOW
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >If there's a public safety emblem on the car, those are the radio
> >> >engineer's cars used by counties or cities for testing purposes.
> >> >
> >> >Otherwise, you've spotted a MAJOR scannist.
> >>
> >> Or an old fashioned HAM.
> >
> > Seven on my car at last count - 1 for the stereo, 1 for the mobile phone
and
> > 5 radio type antennas (1 HF auto tune, 1 HF monobander, 2 UHF antennas,
and
> > a combo 2m/70cm). Still need to find room for the 6m antenna......
> >
> >
> >
> > Matt
>
> On my SUV:
> 2m APRS
> 2m
> 220
> 440
> HF - 80-10 Screwdriver
> 6m
> 800 Mhz Trunked

> 850/1900 Cellphone
> 2400 Mhz Network
> AM/FM Stereo
> XM Satellite
> GPS
> Multi Band Scanner
>
> Only 1 Mag mount, the XM.
>
>
>
> --
> Korbin Dallas
> The name was changed to protect the guilty.
>

2920. Re: A car with 6 antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-09 07:48:34 UTC
From: "Ralph A. Schmid, DK5RAS" <nospam@radio-link.net>
Message-ID: <r60vc1994s87i6lp3brkq00qck4vqvo5mk@4ax.com>
Source record: 12882

krackula <krackula@i.am> wrote:

>
>the opto R10 or R11 will blow the doors off the current so called
>" close call " receivers and it " does not " find ANY of the
>equipment in the mentioned comms vehicle. (nor would
>any of the , less sophisticated , close call rigs)

I guess they use some kind of spread spectrum.

>dunno about the " digital " signal intercept receiver made
>by opto called the " digital explorer " ..... haven't tried it
>near one of those comms suvs yet. maybe " it " could capture
>some comms since it (unlike MOST other equipment)
>can " see " digital signals.

After some tests with a digital capable counter, it was able to
discover GSM carriers, and it shows the frequencies of WLANs. Also it
shows the frequency of the very short bursts which are used by MPT1327
to register or request a connection.

regards - Ralph

--

Want to get in touch? http://www.radio-link.net/whereisralph.txt

2921. Re: Any Idea What This Is?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-09 11:59:03 UTC
From: "brokedownlineman@gmail.com" <brokedownlineman@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120910343.781728.115150@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12883

edison uses them as line of sight transmitters for remote switching of
the power lines and outage indicators.

2922. WTB: PRO-2004 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-09 15:31:13 UTC
From: Frank Bals <fjb@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <55Sze.1109289$w62.581359@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 12884

Must be clean, 100% working condition, and bright backlight.
Original box and manual would be nice, but not necessary.

Frank

2923. Re: Coyote Valley Tribal Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-09 16:06:52 UTC
From: sideshowhaas <sideshowhaas@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <1120925212.623422.50160@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12885

What scanner picks up smoke signals? Do they have trunking smoke?

2924. Re: A car with 6 antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-09 18:57:21 UTC
From: krackula <krackula@i.am>
Message-ID: <fh30d1pmn6oc3e4ns0gdn95nkaau1hh4lg@4ax.com>
Source record: 12886

On Sat, 09 Jul 2005 09:48:34 +0200, "Ralph A. Schmid, DK5RAS"
<nospam@radio-link.net> wrote:

>krackula <krackula@i.am> wrote:
>
>>
>>the opto R10 or R11 will blow the doors off the current so called
>>" close call " receivers and it " does not " find ANY of the
>>equipment in the mentioned comms vehicle. (nor would
>>any of the , less sophisticated , close call rigs)
>
>I guess they use some kind of spread spectrum.

roger that ralph. I'd guess , since it's guarding the president,
that no expense has been spared and much of their
commo equipment is tied into the " extensively deployed "
UWB equipment that the military now uses. some of government's
UWB systems are into the 3rd generation (phase) by now.

http://www.google.com/search?hl=en&lr=&q=military+deployment+of+UWB&btnG=Search
http://www.computing.co.uk/vnunet/news/2138542/air-force-secure-uwb-wireless

military deployment of UWB equipment is (of course) far in advance
of what us average civilians usually know about , look at how
the military had spread spectrum for 15 years before " we "
got wind of it. guess UWB is supposed to be more difficult
to detect than even SS was .....

>
>>dunno about the " digital " signal intercept receiver made
>>by opto called the " digital explorer " ..... haven't tried it
>>near one of those comms suvs yet. maybe " it " could capture
>>some comms since it (unlike MOST other equipment)
>>can " see " digital signals.
>
>After some tests with a digital capable counter, it was able to
>discover GSM carriers, and it shows the frequencies of WLANs. Also it
>shows the frequency of the very short bursts which are used by MPT1327
>to register or request a connection.

it'd be interesting to be able to get some civilian UWB equipment
(which is due out pretty soon)

http://www.asiatele.com/ViewArt.cfm?Magid=4&Artid=15541&Catid=5&subcat=48
http://www.med.govt.nz/rsm/spp/uwb/discussion/discussion-05.html

to use as a signal source and then develop some kind of very wide band mixer (the injection oscillator being an ultra wide sweep gen) and " then " drop the product into a detector of some type (maybe a standard hp microwave in-line detector) then into your digital counter. this to , at least , be able to detect the " presence " of these UWB devices. if all the nanny cams and wi-fi links etc. of the near future are going to switch over to UWB (as the industry is projecting) then a LOT of us are going to want to (at least) find these devices , even if they aren't hackable (ie: SS) . judging from the difficulty that SS presents (of detection) , this isn't gonna be an easy task.

since UWB signals have such different characteristics , the wide band mixer
, mentioned above , would need some kind of variable width injection oscillator and a comparators circuit that samples the product for amplitude and adjusts it's width automatically to the signal detected . this to optimize the mixing bandwidth to the signal present. it would be simple to limit the narrow most aperture of the mixer to about (say) half a meg (or maybe several megs) to preclude the possibility of " ordinary " signals from becoming a problem, and guarantee that you are hearing a UWB signal.

>
>
>
>regards - Ralph

2925. Re: Coyote Valley Tribal Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-09 20:16:22 UTC
From: burgessfred33@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1120940182.724302.21260@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12887

sideshowhaas wrote:
> What scanner picks up smoke signals? Do they have trunking smoke?
I find that to be a very racist remark. Keep your racism to yourself.
Fred Burgess

2926. Re: WTB: PRO-2004 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-10 14:54:14 UTC
From: Bill Hammon <billhammon@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <1007200509541478 69%billhammon@comcast.net>
Source record: 12888

[[This message was both posted and mailed: see
the "To," "Cc," and "Newsgroups" headers for details.]]

I have a Pro 2006 I'm no longer using. It's in very good shape, and
has a bright backlight. Cell mod has already been done. $100

In article
<55Sze.1109289$w62.581359@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>, Frank
Bals <fjb@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

> Must be clean, 100% working condition, and bright backlight.
> Original box and manual would be nice, but not necessary.
>
> Frank
>
>
>
>
>

2927. radio and A trip to Australia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-10 16:06:27 UTC
From: jamoran <analon1@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <zdSdnSHAFeSq2kzfRVn-iQ@sedona.net>
Source record: 12889
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

the frequencies used in australia are readily available if you do a
google yahoo or altavista search several people have comprehensive lists
of australia frequencies on their web pages

same applies for Germany and europe I tool two scanners and a sony 2010
shortwave with me.... no real problems....I found that the airline
operation frequencies ar the same as in the us 460.6 to 461 mhz

2928. Comparison of "Close call" and "Signal Stalker II"?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-10 22:10:43 UTC
From: aarduengo@sbcglobal.net
Message-ID: <br5agvnr.fsf@sbcglobal.net>
Source record: 12890

I am debating the choice of which scanner I would like to buy next. I am interested in the newest Uniden (396T) and the Pro-97. I currently own a Pro-96 which I love and I want to add something with a feature like Close Call or Signal Stalker as well as keep my trunking capability. I know there is a $350 difference between these two scanners but that is irrelevant. I mainly want to get the best local detection I can and so far have not found any definitive comparisons of the two implementations. Has anyone reading experienced both that could comment?

Thanks!
-Al A.

2929. Re: Comparison of "Close call" and "Signal Stalker II"?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-11 02:52:45 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <42d1deca.15943815@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 12891

The PRO-97 is not digital and probably NOT able to handle re-banding.
So save your money or buy one of those cheepie PRO-83 things with
signal stalker

On Sun, 10 Jul 2005 22:10:43 GMT, aarduengo@sbcglobal.net wrote:

>I am debating the choice of which scanner I would like to buy next. I
>am interested in the newest Uniden (396T) and the Pro-97. I currently
>own a Pro-96 which I love and I want to add something with a feature
>like Close Call or Signal Stalker as well as keep my trunking
>capability. I know there is a $350 difference between these two
>scanners but that is irrelevant. I mainly want to get the best local
>detection I can and so far have not found any definitive comparisons
>of the two implementations. Has anyone reading experienced both that
>could comment?
>
>Thanks!
>-Al A.

2930. PATRICK AFB AREA LOG - Sunday 10 July 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-11 05:02:48 UTC
From: "Allan.Stern@gmail.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121058168.041435.255650@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12892

PATRICK AFB AREA LOG - Sunday 10 July 2005

Civil Air Patrol Exercise

CAP Flight 831
CAP Flight 837
CAP
Flight 840
Reno 02
Reno 03
Acft arrive and depart Patrick AFB.
[133.75]

US Coast Guard

CG Vessel 47301 dispatched by CG Sta Port Canaveral to boat in
distress.
[157.15]

NASA Aircraft

The astronaut crew of 7 that will depart on the STS-114 Return to
Flight mission to the ISS arrived Saturday, one day early due to
concerns for weather. They arrived together in a NASA Gulfstream
instead of flying to the Shuttle Landing Facility in their T-38s. They
flew the Shuttle Training Acft (STAs) tonight.
NASA 4: Gulfstream II
NASA 950: Gulfstream II
NASA 945: STA
NASA 928: WB-57 High-Altitude Research Acft
NASA 902: T-38N
[133.75, 132.65, 124.8, 125.075, 133.475, 128.55, 126.65, 284.0; 235.4
air-air]

USS Theodore Roosevelt Acft

Aircraft off of the USS Theodore Roosevelt worked the ranges during the
afternoon despite bad weather, and then returned to work the range
until nearly midnight. Bad wx in the ALTRV severely hampered
operations; and several of the acft had to RTB to USS Ship because of
low fuel level incurred by wx deviations. Some attacked targets at Avon
Park and Pinecastle Ranges; also engaged in ACM and AAR.

CALLSIGNS:
Bear 20, Bear 30, Bear 600 (E-2C, Norfolk)
Lion 31, Lion 32 (F-14, Oceana)

Felix 31, Felix 32, Felix 33 (F-14. Oceana)
Party (F/A-18)
Pride 57 (F/A-18 #164655, Oceana)
Rhet 12 (KC-135R, Robins AFB)
Bolt 88 (KC-135R, MacDill AFB)

FREQUENCIES:
262.650 Lion, Felix Air-air
267.500 Sealord
268.300 USS Theodore Roosevelt Strike
269.300 Miami Ctr
273.350 AR Boom
286.100 USS Theodore Roosevelt Strike; Bolt AAR comms
292.200 Avon Park Bombing Range Ctrl
317.975 USS Theodore Roosevelt Marshalling
340.450 Air-Air
341.100 Sealord Discrete; AAR
343.700 Bear, ACM BRAAs, Lion, Pride.
344.600 Patrick AFB Metro
357.000 Sealord Check-in for Pinecastle
357.950 ACM
380.800 Pinecastle Range

VIP Transports

JOSA 445 (C-21A) with Code A-3 aboard
JOSA 124 (C-21A #40091) with two Code A-3s, one Code A-4.
Both acft inbound MacDill; 311.0 Lightning Ops.

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL (28-11N 80-36W) monitoring
Patrick AFB (KCOF) NASA-KSC Shuttle Landing Fac (KX68)
Avon Park Bombing Range (KAGR) Cape Canaveral AFS (KXMR)
JSTARS E-8 Acft Integration Facility, Melbourne IAP (KMLB)
Worldwide Military HF Communications
Life Member: Missile, Space and Range Pioneers.
http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/index.html (My Freqs)
http://hometown.aol.com/scanaddict/index.html (My Equipment)

2931. Re: Any Idea What This Is?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-11 16:03:14 UTC
From: Cubit <no@not.not>
Message-ID: <6LwAe.308$Rv7.140@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 12893

I can verify that these were the transceivers for the Ricochet wireless ISP
of a few years ago.

I used to be a subscriber. I could run 19K full time at the cheap grade of
service. I was living where it was difficult to add another dialup line at
the time.

They went out of business.

"Phoneguy" <phoneguy99@sympatico.ca> wrote in message
news:i9vye.1873$p5.1249@nnrp.ca.mci.com!nnrp1.uunet.ca...
> I forwarded the photo to an distribution engineer friend of mine at a
> nearby power utility. He suggested it could be a remote meter reading
> transponder repeater. The technology has numerous ways of doing it, and
> low power radio modems are used in some demand metering systems where
> individual phone lines are not practical. The transponder gets its power
> via the power tap inserted between the lamp body and the light
> controlled switch. The nearby low power transponders all report into
> their local transponder which in turn relays the reports to a central
> gathering station. That's how the system works. Whether or not that is
> what it is is yet to be verified.
>
> The antenna's element and coil dimensions look too big to be 2.4GHz. It
> does however look right for ISM.
>
>
>
>

2932. MURS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-11 16:06:16 UTC
From: Cubit <no@not.not>
Message-ID: <YNwAe.310$Rv7.142@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 12894

Did MURS ever catch on?
Is it better than FRS?

2933. Re: MURS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-11 16:33:29 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <48ydnTJXgv_BAk_fRVn-tg@comcast.com>
Source record: 12895

Not like I thought it would. It's better than FRS in some respects. You can run up to 2-watts on MURS, where FRS is limited to 1/2-watt. You can use various antennas, including outside, roof mounted, where you're not allowed to alter the tiny rubber ducky on FRS. You won't find too many kids, and their moms on MURS. MURS also allows for a lot of different uses, where FRS has some restrictions.

Bill Crocker

"Cubit" <no@not.not> wrote in message
news:YNwAe.310$Rv7.142@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
> Did MURS ever catch on?
> Is it better than FRS?
>
>

2934. NASA WB-57F comms from 55,000 feet over Florida

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-11 18:17:15 UTC
From: "Allan.Stern@gmail.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121105834.901778.252580@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12896

NASA's two WB-57Fs are MARSA over Florida at 55,000 ft
==========

NASA 926, one of their WB-57F High Altitude Research Acft departed
Patrick at 12:05pm EDT and ascended in a spiral to work at 55,000 ft.
The acft was heard in comms with Miami Ctr on 119.825; many other
commercial airliners asked the ATC "What is that acft with the huge
wings?" NASA 926 had air-air comms with another NASA acft on 235.4.
Then, moments ago, at 1:50pm EDT (1750z), the other WB-57F "NASA
928" departed Patrick. He is headed to 53,000 feet now, and according
to NASA 926 comms, the two acft will be MARSA soon.
Both are up with Miami ATC 119.825 now.

At 2:06pm EDT, both are MARSA.

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL (28-11N 80-36W) monitoring
Patrick AFB (KCOF) NASA-KSC Shuttle Landing Fac (KX68)
Avon Park Bombing Range (KAGR) Cape Canaveral AFS (KXMR)
JSTARS E-8 Acft Integration Facility, Melbourne IAP (KMLB)
Worldwide Military HF Communications
Life Member: Missile, Space and Range Pioneers.
http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/index.html (My Freqs)
http://hometown.aol.com/scanaddict/index.html (My Equipment)

2935. Re: MURS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-11 21:15:38 UTC
From: r2000swler@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1121116538.503198.114250@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12897

Cubit wrote:

Did MURS ever catch on?
Is it better than FRS?

"Kind of".
My wife, and some friends, all use MURs when we are out and about.
It is about like any 2M ham HT running 2W. We have only heard one
other user and that was in Columbus OH.

Conversly, FRS are a lot smaller, lighter and much cheaper!!!
so they are much more convinient in a mall.

The Radio Shack FRS units that had all the guts in a plastic
blob with the antenna that magneticaly mounted on the roof out
performs the MURs on the highwya. If one of our friends didn't
own a 2 way shop and sell us the MURs "at cost" beause they
didn't move, it wouldn't have really made sense. I do know of some
guys in westeren KUY using Radius HT reprogramed to MURs
freqs. Not real legal, but I doubt if they will get caught. Makes much
more sense then some fools I know using marine VHF gear.

Terrry

2936. Re: FS Icom R3

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-11 23:11:26 UTC
From: Steve Dunn <neham@despammed.com>
Message-ID: <eoCdnRz-Rcc9YU_fRVn-rA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 12898
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

N1RSP wrote:
> I have an Icom R3 for sale with a copy of manual.I have no original box for
> it.It is the unblocked version.I am asking $300.00 or BRO for it plus
> shipping.Email me if interested.
> Reason for sale is it is to complicated for me to play with.
>

If it is really an unblocked version of the R3, I'll buy it from you for
$300, if it is in good condx. Where are you located? How much shipping?

Steve

2937. Gotta love it ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-12 02:05:55 UTC
From: wholebean@ionmail.com
Message-ID: <1121133955.874631.71150@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12899
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Who ever said the cell freqs had nothing to offer doesn't have a capable scanner, or no conception of great drama when they hear it.

They're alive with activity that rivals any 5 star script ... everything from mall security dragnets, TV personalities swearing off the air, mil-ops transmissions taking place locally, drive-thrus, to catching cops speaking candidly on cell phones.

It's obvious to me why the gestapo outlawed our means to eavesdrop, but the interesting part of the private conversations it doesn't want us to hear, is that they reveal a lot more than the censor thinks.

Meaning -- despite the attempts to suppress truth and shut us all up, people KNOW what's going on.

Look, we all enjoy the same enthusiasms, but if you don't have a no-gap rig, you'll never know why only law enforcement can buy them that way.

For me, this ain't no hobby --it's a picture window.

2938. Gotta love it ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-12 02:11:50 UTC
From: wholebean@ionmail.com
Message-ID: <1121134310.375906.317510@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12900
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Who ever said the cell freqs had nothing to offer doesn't have a capable scanner, or no conception of great drama when they hear it.

They're alive with activity that rivals any 5 star script ... everything from mall security dragnets, TV personalities swearing off the air, mil-ops transmissions taking place locally, drive-thrus, to catching cops speaking candidly on cell phones.

It's obvious to me why the gestapo outlawed our means to eavesdrop, but the interesting part of the private conversations it doesn't want us to hear, is that they reveal a lot more than the censor thinks.

Meaning -- despite the attempts to suppress truth and shut us all up, people KNOW what's going on.

Look, we all enjoy the same enthusiasms, but if you don't have a no-gap rig, you'll never know why only law enforcement can buy them that way.

For me, this ain't no hobby --it's a picture window.

2939. FS: GRE Super Amplifier 3001 (Base Unit), NEW!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-12 03:02:03 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <G6OdnYym6OQxr07fRVn-iQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 12801

FOR SALE:

GRE Super Amplifier 3001. This is the base unit that is no longer manufactured. It is brand new, in the box, with manual, and cable. This runs on an internal 9V battery. However, I'm also including a used (but like new) Radio Shack AC power pack, 9VDC, 800ma.

$100.00 shipped (with in the U.S.)

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

2940. FS: BC780-XLT Uniden Bearcat Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-12 03:04:32 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <N_Wdnfy_5v_crk7fRVn-hA@comcast.com>
Source record: 12802

FOR SALE:

Uniden BC780-XLT Scanner. Possibly one of the best MilAir scanners out there! No longer made. This one is "mint", in it's original box, with all that comes with it.

$250.00 shipped (with in the U.S.)

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

2941. FS: PRO-2096 Digital Base/Mobile Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-12 03:06:38 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <vqidnVOiTecirk7fRVn-2Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 12803

FOR SALE:

Radio Shack PRO-2096 Digital Scanner. This is their base/mobile version. Just like new, in original box, with all that comes with it. Still under warranty!

$350.00 shipped (with in the U.S.)

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

2942. FA: ICOM R20 MINT CONDITION SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-12 06:24:30 UTC
From: "news.mi.comcast.giganews.com" <seskindell@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <_pOdnWXMb4K8_07fRVn-1g@comcast.com>
Source record: 12804

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5788955582

Full Coverage Scanner - MINT CONDITION IN ICOM BOX

2943. Re: Gotta love it ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-12 06:36:25 UTC
From: krackula <krackula@i.am>
Message-ID: <5ho6d1h30n5644t9nhqoaqj3kp3ack9mqq@4ax.com>
Source record: 12805
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

this must be a reprint from back in the early 90s ....

dunno where " YOU " live , but in my west coast metro
area of 1.2 million population, you could count " all "
the daily " analog " cellphone traffic on your fingers and toes.

99.9 % of all previous analog cell calls have been switched to
digital, out here, and there is pretty much " nothing " of ANY
substance to be found on the analog cellband anymore.
just a rare few thinly scattered traffic on the " B " band
channels. the " a " channels are ALL digital traffic now.

if you actually do have active analog cell activity in your area ,
treasure it while it lasts, it's not long for this world. not many
people have much analog traffic left. the ole " picture window "
has shrunk to less than a " peep hole " these days .

k.....................

On 11 Jul 2005 19:11:50 -0700, wholebean@ionmail.com wrote:

>
>Who ever said the cell freqs had nothing to offer doesn't have a
>capable scanner, or no conception of great drama when they hear it.
>
>They're alive with activity that rivals any 5 star script ...
>everything from mall security dragnets, TV personalities swearing off
>the air, mil-ops transmissions taking place locally, drive-thrus, to
>catching cops speaking candidly on cell phones.
>
>It's obvious to me why the gestapo outlawed our means to eavesdrop, but
>the interesting part of the private conversations it doesn't want us to
>hear, is that they reveal a lot more than the censor thinks.
>
>Meaning -- despite the attempts to suppress truth and shut us all up,
>people KNOW what's going on.
>
>Look, we all enjoy the same enthusiasms, but if you don't have a no-gap
>rig, you'll never know why only law enforcement can buy them that way.
>
>For me, this ain't no hobby --it's a picture window.

2944. Re: Gotta love it ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-12 11:52:14 UTC
From: Bob <bob@nowhere.nil>
Message-ID: <n9OAe.2734$p5.1529@nnrp.ca.mci.com!nnrp1.uunet.ca>
Source record: 12806
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

krackula wrote:
> if you actually do have active analog cell activity in your area ,
> treasure it while it lasts, it's not long for this world. not many
> people have much analog traffic left. the ole " picture window "
> has shrunk to less than a " peep hole " these days .
>
>

Well said!

(I have found scanning while traveling through the middle of nowhere
usually yields mostly analog stuff. The deep rural crowd are clinging to
their bag phones with both hands as they know the itsy-bitsy junk just
does not cut it out there.)

2945. Re: Gotta love it ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-12 12:17:36 UTC
From: wholebean@ionmail.com
Message-ID: <1121170656.586716.305110@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12807
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Yeah, I know you guys are right -- I'm not naive and I do read like a voice from the past, but you'd never know it listening to the cell ranges in this region. That's the reality I was talking about.

I agree, it won't be long before we're all put out of business. That's how I knew the MK3 I purchased last year would be the last scanner I'd ever buy and I didn't even need a peep-hole to see it.

2946. Re: Coyote Valley Tribal Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-12 15:26:12 UTC
From: sideshowhaas <sideshowhaas@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <1121181972.310393.134960@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12808

How incredibly un-PC of me. Someone asked for freqs. I replied in a manner in which I found a small amount of humor. If others here found it "racist" I would like to say I am sorry. If it provided a smile on anyone else's face, you're welcome.

2947. [SOLD] Re: PRO-2096 Digital Base/Mobile Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-12 16:06:48 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <BIWdnUNPct4Ed07fRVn-vA@comcast.com>
Source record: 12809

This item has been sold.

Thanks,
Bill

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:vqidnVOiTecirk7fRVn-2Q@comcast.com...
> FOR SALE:
>
> Radio Shack PRO-2096 Digital Scanner. This is their base/mobile version.
> Just like new, in original box, with all that comes with it. Still under
> warranty!
>
> $350.00 shipped (with in the U.S.)
>
> Thanks,
> Bill Crocker
>

2948. Re: Coyote Valley Tribal Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-12 21:06:54 UTC
From: Jeff Seale <jseale18@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <OhWAe.147659$x96.33852@attbi_s72>
Source record: 12810

soliton wrote:

> Is there a list of Coyote Valley Tribal frequencies (other than
> casino)? They are located in North California near Ukiah. I am not
> having any luck with Web searching for this info. Thanks.

Looked at radioreference.com but it only came up with police and fire frequencies for Ukiah and for Mendocino County. If you can get your hands on a scanner with signal seeking capabilities (I have the Pro97), you might wanna' try using that to see what you can pick up around the reservation.

Jeff

2949. Re: Coyote Valley Tribal Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-12 21:19:28 UTC
From: sideshowhaas <sideshowhaas@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <1121203168.737385.290310@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12811

I forgot to mention going to

http://tinyurl.com/dj3av

http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/UlsSearch/searchGeographic.jsp

and entering 95470 for the zip code. Lotsa licenses- not sure what,
exactly, you're looking for.

2950. Re: MURS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-13 00:32:40 UTC
From: Buzzygirl <buzzygirl@nospam.comcast.net>
Message-ID: <wdSdnQGXBO-1_EnfRVn-ow@comcast.com>
Source record: 12812

"Cubit" <no@not.not> wrote in message
news:YNwAe.310$Rv7.142@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
> Did MURS ever catch on?

It hasn't so far (at least around my area).

I would still hope that MURS catches on, since you can use higher power on those freqs than you can on FRS, and you can also use different antennas than the "stock" ones.

I would imagine that a big part of the paucity of MURS use is due to a relative lack of available radios and dealers who sell them. I recall doing a search for MURS radios about 6 months ago, and I think I fould like two online dealers who actually carry these radios. I see no advertising for them, either. They are also much more expensive than FRS "bubble pack" radios. Seems like only radio/scanner/amateur radio aficionados have a clue as to what MURS is.

Jackie

2951. Re: MURS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-13 01:05:15 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <3ZOdnWD5iYFR9UnfRVn-2g@comcast.com>
Source record: 12813

There are a lot of radios on eBay that can be used legally for MURS. Some
of the Motorola are higher wattage, but if you keep the switch on 2-watts,
or less, they're legal.

Bill Crocker

"Buzzygirl" <buzzygirl@nospam.comcast.net> wrote in message
news:wdSdnQGXBO-1_EnfRVn-ow@comcast.com...
>
> "Cubit" <no@not.not> wrote in message
> news:YNwAe.310$Rv7.142@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
>> Did MURS ever catch on?
>
> It hasn't so far (at least around my area).
>
> I would still hope that MURS catches on, since you can use higher power on
> those freqs than you can on FRS, and you can also use different antennas
> than the "stock" ones.
>
> I would imagine that a big part of the paucity of MURS use is due to a
> relative lack of available radios and dealers who sell them. I recall
> doing
> a search for MURS radios about 6 months ago, and I think I fould like two
> online dealers who actually carry these radios. I see no advertising for
> them, either. They are also much more expensive than FRS "bubble pack"
> radios. Seems like only radio/scanner/amateur radio aficionados have a
> clue
> as to what MURS is.
>
> Jackie
>
>

2952. Re: MURS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-13 01:53:16 UTC
From: r2000swler@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1121219596.769825.184020@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12814

MURS has an odd deviation limit on three channels.
<http://www.provide.net/~prsg/mursrule.htm#95.631>

"5.632 MURS transmitter frequencies.

(a) The MURS transmitter channel frequencies are
151.820 MHz,
151.880 MHz
151.940 MHz
154.570 MHz
154.600 MHz.

(b) The authorized bandwidth is 11.25 kHz on frequencies 151.820
MHz,
151.880 MHz and 151.940 MHz. The authorized bandwidth is 20.0 kHz on
frequencies 154.570 and 154.600 MHz.

(c) MURS transmitters must maintain a frequency stability of 5.0
ppm,
or 2.0 ppm if designed to operate with a 6.25 kHz bandwidth.

PRSG Comment: The use of conventional NBFM ("narrowband FM")
transmitters, those having a maximum deviation of +/- 5 KHz, is again
permissible on the two MURS 154 MHz frequencies. On the three MURS 151
MHz frequencies, only the narrower deviation (+/- 2.5 KHz) is now
permitted.

Out units are five channels and have +/-2.5KHz deviaiton on
all 5 channels.

Other then type acceptance, I guess you could clip the magic diode in
an Icom IC28/A/H and turn down the power and use it on two MURS freqs.

Terry

2953. [SOLD] Re: GRE Super Amplifier 3001 (Base Unit), NEW!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-13 02:06:19 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <PomdnUARX9-A6knfRVn-3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 12815

Item has been sold, thanks!

Bill Crocker

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:G6OdnYym6OQxr07fRVn-iQ@comcast.com...
> FOR SALE:
>
> GRE Super Amplifier 3001. This is the base unit that is no longer
> manufactured. It is brand new, in the box, with manual, and cable. This
> runs on an internal 9V battery. However, I'm also including a used (but
> like new) Radio Shack AC power pack, 9VDC, 800ma.
>
> $100.00 shipped (with in the U.S.)
>
> Thanks,
> Bill Crocker
>

2954. FA: Fair Mate HP-2000 AOR 1000 clone full frequency scanner reciever

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-13 05:05:38 UTC
From: Xray <pl@yer.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9692B20CC5A9ewrfdgrstnetaakeanfk@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 12816

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=14956&item=5787659173

Regards

2955. Re: MURS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-13 13:45:11 UTC
From: DougSlug <dougslug@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <SV8Be.136496$mx5.8263@fe08.lga>
Source record: 12820

Any ham transceiver with a 2W or lower power setting and a half deviation capability would satisfy the "spirit" of the regulations, however the type acceptance issue sometimes gives rise to a heated debate. But since a large number of potential MURS users are not hams, the general unavailability of low cost, type accepted HTs alongside the FRS/GMRS bubble-pack radios is one of the big reasons why MURS didn't catch on. I briefly used my Yaesu VX-150 for MURS, but I don't really have any reason to use MURS any more. The only activity I hear in my area is on the upper two channels, and is always business-related--probably hold-overs from when the channels were licensed. It's a shame it didn't catch on because it's a great service.

<r2000swler@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1121219596.769825.184020@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> MURS has an odd deviation limit on three channels.
> Other then type acceptance, I guess you could clip the magic diode in
> an Icom IC28/A/H and turn down the power and use it on two MURS freqs.
>
> Terry

2956. Listening Online to the Space Shuttle Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-13 14:59:14 UTC
From: zerg90 <zerg90@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121266754.893777.321400@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12818

The USA will launch a Space Shuttle from Florida today at approx 351 PM local. Lets wish them the best. Peter S

Video Feeds: http://www.nasa.gov/multimedia/nasatv/114_schedule.html

Audio Feed: http://ve3nsv.no-ip.org:8000/nasa.m3u (Online when Tom activates his server)

Also give liveatc.net a try in a few hours - maybe around noon EDT - for a possible shortwave feed

2957. Space Shuttle related comms; other Florida comms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-13 15:53:19 UTC
From: "Allan.Stern@gmail.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121269999.196368.232280@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12817

For those interested in listening in on Shuttle Launch Control freqs,
146.94 is rebroadcasting them. Wonderful signal into the south Brevard
County area.

I have about 25 scanners staying super hot with non-stop comms related
to Shuttle, USS Theodore Roosevelt aircraft, Military Combat Air Patrol
(CAP) aircraft and NORAD Oakgrove, and even a Hurricane Hunter C-130.
Hard to log it all.

Here's just a small sampling of freqs:
USS TR (Bear 27, etc) :
364.075
357.0
261.425

CAP (Tanker 35, with chicks in tow; Pitman F-16s):
252.2

USCG STS-114 ETR Range Clearance, Security (CG 2117, CG 6538, CG 6595,
plus Aux Vessels):
156.8
157.075
157.1
157.15

CBP:
164.775 Secure and clear

Hurricane Hunter Acft
338.0 (Teal 88 with NAS Key West Base Ops)

CCAFS Talk Groups
13776
1936
336 (Camera alignment, zoom adjustments)
1952
32656

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL (28-11N 80-36W) monitoring
Patrick AFB (KCOF) NASA-KSC Shuttle Landing Fac (KX68)
Avon Park Bombing Range (KAGR) Cape Canaveral AFS (KXMR)
JSTARS E-8 Acft Integration Facility, Melbourne IAP (KMLB)
Worldwide Military HF Communications
Life Member: Missile, Space and Range Pioneers.
http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/index.html (My Freqs)
http://hometown.aol.com/scanaddict/index.html (My Equipment)

2958. Poss HF Freqs for Space Shuttle Launch Comms Rebroadcast

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-13 17:01:07 UTC
From: "Allan.Stern@gmail.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121273396.146460.3070@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12819

HF rebroadcast freqs for Shuttle Launch Control

I am getting a lot of Emails from people wanting to know HF freqs that might be rebroadcasting the Shuttle Launch Control comms that I am hearing here. I do not know if Goddard ARC will be rebroadcasting the comms for STS-114, but since it is so important a launch (Return to Flight), my guess would be that they might broadcast.

Here are the freqs that they used for the Shuttle Launch Control comms in the past:

3.860 USB
7.185 USB
14.295 USB
21.395 USB
28.650 USB

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL (28-11N 80-36W) monitoring
Patrick AFB (KCOF) NASA-KSC Shuttle Landing Fac (KX68)
Avon Park Bombing Range (KAGR) Cape Canaveral AFS (KXMR)
JSTARS E-8 Acft Integration Facility, Melbourne IAP (KMLB)
Worldwide Military HF Communications
Life Member: Missile, Space and Range Pioneers.
http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/index.html (My Freqs)
http://hometown.aol.com/scanaddict/index.html (My Equipment)

2959. Re: Poss HF Freqs for Space Shuttle Launch Comms Rebroadcast

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-13 22:03:12 UTC
From: Buzzygirl <buzzygirl@nospam.comcast.net>
Message-ID: <H9KdnQLGVpQCEkjfRVn-jQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 12821

<Allan.Stern@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1121273396.146460.3070@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
HF rebroadcast freqs for Shuttle Launch Control

Thank you for this info, Allan. Too bad they had to scrub today's launch. Hope they can launch by the weekend, but the solution to the problem with the fuel tank sensors seems to be elusive. I was just listening to NPR on the way home from work this evening... they were interviewing someone from NASA (I think) and he said that they had this problem before, but could not pinpoint what caused it. If they can't locate the problem and come up with a quick fix, the launch may be delayed until September.

Jackie

2960. Re: Comparison of "Close call" and "Signal Stalker II"?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-14 02:01:05 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <CHjBe.2058$B17.1762@fe03.lga>
Source record: 12822

While I'm no expert on the matter, I would have to say that there would be minimal, if any, difference between the two, as the technology was developed by Uniden. I'd guess the only real difference is the name, as a Radio Shack branded scanner can not use the same terminology due to copyright...

<aarduengo@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:br5agvnr.fsf@sbcglobal.net...
> I am debating the choice of which scanner I would like to buy next. I
> am interested in the newest Uniden (396T) and the Pro-97. I currently
> own a Pro-96 which I love and I want to add something with a feature
> like Close Call or Signal Stalker as well as keep my trunking
> capability. I know there is a $350 difference between these two
> scanners but that is irrelevant. I mainly want to get the best local
> detection I can and so far have not found any definitive comparisons
> of the two implementations. Has anyone reading experienced both that
> could comment?
>
> Thanks!
> -Al A.

2961. Re: MURS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-14 03:35:42 UTC
From: Me <Me@shadow.orgs>
Message-ID: <Me-BBA6C8.19360913072005@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 12823

In article <3ZOdnWD5iYFR9UnfRVn-2g@comcast.com>,
"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote:

> There are a lot of radios on eBay that can be used legally for MURS. Some
> of the Motorola are higher wattage, but if you keep the switch on 2-watts,
> or less, they're legal.
>
> Bill Crocker

Bzzzzt, Wrong, Would you like to try again for what is behind Door #3?

MURS requires Specific Type Acceptance for that Radio Service, and no
Higher Powered Radios with user switchable Power levels would pass Type
Acceptance for MURS Radio Service........

Me

2962. Re: Comparison of "Close call" and "Signal Stalker II"?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-15 00:26:04 UTC
From: Frank346 <frank346@groznyk.com>
Message-ID: <woDBe.2355$Y54.2274@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 12824

"PowerHouse Communications" <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote in message
news:CHjBe.2058$B17.1762@fe03.lga...
> While I'm no expert on the matter, I would have to say that there would be
> minimal, if any, difference between the two, as the technology was
developed
> by Uniden. I'd guess the only real difference is the name, as a Radio
Shack
> branded scanner can not use the same terminology due to copyright...
>
Signal Stalker = Close Call = Uniden (PRO-83, BC246T, BCD396T etc)
Signal Stalker II = GRE (PRO-97)

The Uniden version captures signals faster than the GRE version. However the
GRE version picks up signals from a greater distance.

2963. Re: MURS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-15 01:21:57 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <M_SdnRqtsOkqkkrfRVn-3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 12825

My bad! I guess I was misled somewhere and will have to live with this
mistake the rest of my life!

Bill Crocker

"Me" <Me@shadow.orgs> wrote in message
news:Me-BBA6C8.19360913072005@netnews.worldnet.att.net...
> In article <3ZOdnWD5iYFR9UnfRVn-2g@comcast.com>,
> "Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote:
>
>> There are a lot of radios on eBay that can be used legally for MURS.
>> Some
>> of the Motorola are higher wattage, but if you keep the switch on
>> 2-watts,
>> or less, they're legal.
>>
>> Bill Crocker
>
> Bzzzzt, Wrong, Would you like to try again for what is behind Door #3?
>
> MURS requires Specific Type Acceptance for that Radio Service, and no
> Higher Powered Radios with user switchable Power levels would pass Type
> Acceptance for MURS Radio Service........
>
>
> Me

2964. Nasa Comms. In Birmingham, Alabama?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-15 14:28:50 UTC
From: Chris_Gaming <chris_gaming@charter.net>
Message-ID: <DKPBe.4081$fs3.712@fe04.lga>
Source record: 12826

I have an AOR AR8200 MarkIII. I ordered it from the UK, so it's unblocked. This handheld receiver covers all frequencies from 500 KHz to 3GHz.

I live in Birmingham, Alabama; which is in north central Alabama. I have a simple question. Sitting here at home in my front yard, is there anyway for me to listen to the communications between Ground Control and the Shuttle and/or the Space Station? My exact location is Latitude: 33.57618 Longitude: -86.76385 I know I'm a newbie to this but I don't want to miss the chance to listen in on NASA communications if possible. BTW - I know a lot of websites broadcast the communications, but I want to use my own handheld receiver to listen to them, as opposed to just getting something relayed off a website. Thanks so much.

2965. I like my PRO-43 also, but this is ridiculius!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-16 03:58:13 UTC
From: Frank Bals <fjb@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <pB%Be.1479$5N3.1334@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 12827

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5787691398&category=40979&ssPageName=WDVW&rd=1

2966. Re: I like my PRO-43 also, but this is ridiculius!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-16 06:27:51 UTC
From: Xray <pl@yer.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96951910F1E2Cewrfdgrstnetaakeanfk@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 12828

"Frank Bals" <fjb@worldnet.att.net> wrote in
news:pB%Be.1479$5N3.1334@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net:

> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5787691398&category=40
> 979&ssPageName=WDVW&rd=1

Ludicrous indeed.
Both 0 feedback newbie bidders who are driving the price up have registered
within the last week, so it looks like its safe to say theres some shill
bidding going on here or something.
I don't know what these things go for on average, but even the first legit
looking bid of $200 seems mighty steep to me for a chopped up band plan old
scanner like that.
Hell I couldn't even sell an AOR 1000 for $100 on ebay, and that scanner is
leaps and bounds better than the 43.

2967. Scans VHF but not UHF Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-16 06:52:06 UTC
From: Doc <moody1951@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121496726.529790.93690@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12829

Why would a scanner automatically scan and stop at VHF frequences but not UHF frequencies?

2968. Re: Scans VHF but not UHF Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-16 07:58:59 UTC
From: Xray <pl@yer.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96952884296AFewrfdgrstnetaakeanfk@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 12830

"Doc" <moody1951@aol.com> wrote in news:1121496726.529790.93690
@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

> Why would a scanner automatically scan and stop at VHF frequences but
> not UHF frequencies?
>
Are you saying you can set the squelch wide open in UHF, but it keeps right
on scanning ?

2969. Re: I like my PRO-43 also, but this is ridiculius!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-16 13:28:28 UTC
From: Jeff <oldog@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <0Y7Ce.158181$_o.145637@attbi_s71>
Source record: 12831

"Frank Bals" <fjb@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:pB%Be.1479$5N3.1334@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5787691398&category=40979&ssPageName=
WDVW&rd=1
>
> ---

With the high bidder with the 0 feedback, looks to be a new member
7/11/05 which is also the day of his first bid..... Looks mighty suspicious
to me. It aint "that" great of a scanner.

J

2970. Re: I like my PRO-43 also, but this is ridiculius!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-16 13:50:47 UTC
From: Frank Bals <fjb@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Xg8Ce.2739$5N3.803@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 12832

I've been able to pick up Military Satellites in the 260 Mhz FM range with
mine, just using a 220 Mhz Ham Rubber Duck antenna! Most milsat listening
you need a beam antenna with a preamp, but not with the PRO-43!

For that reason alone I won't sell mine!

Frank

"Jeff" <oldog@(nospam)mchsi.com> wrote in message
news:0Y7Ce.158181$_o.145637@attbi_s71...
>
> "Frank Bals" <fjb@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:pB%Be.1479$5N3.1334@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5787691398&category=40979&ssPageName
=WDVW&rd=1
>>
>> --
>
> With the high bidder with the 0 feedback, looks to be a new
> member
> 7/11/05 which is also the day of his first bid..... Looks mighty
> suspicious
> to me. It aint "that" great of a scanner.
>
>
>
> J
>
>

2971. Re: Scans VHF but not UHF Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-16 14:36:11 UTC
From: DougSlug <dougslug@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <JX8Ce.86$Vn2.64@fe11.lga>
Source record: 12796

What model scanner? Maybe the scanner can only scan in one specific band at a time by design.

"Doc" <moody1951@aol.com> wrote in message news:1121496726.529790.93690@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Why would a scanner automatically scan and stop at VHF frequences but
> not UHF frequencies?
>

2972. Re: I like my PRO-43 also, but this is ridiculius!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-16 14:40:13 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com>
Message-ID: <h%8Ce.11819$aY6.1801@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 12797

Not to mention that in the "questions" section, Someone named damselfly75
writes to say:

I am extremely interested in this item. I have done business with you in
the past, and have been treated with utmost respect as a buyer. All items I
have dealt with have exceeded my expectations. Thank you, fishman495, for
such positive buying experiences. respectfully, damselfly75
Yet there is no feedback from them...
Something DOES sound fishyman"

"Xray" <pl@yer.com> wrote in message
news:Xns96951910F1E2Cewrfdgrstnetaakeanfk@140.99.99.130...
> "Frank Bals" <fjb@worldnet.att.net> wrote in
> news:pB%Be.1479$5N3.1334@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net:
>
> >
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5787691398&category=40
> > 979&ssPageName=WDVW&rd=1
>
> Ludicrous indeed.
> Both 0 feedback newbie bidders who are driving the price up have
registered
> within the last week, so it looks like its safe to say theres some shill
> bidding going on here or something.
> I don't know what these things go for on average, but even the first legit
> looking bid of $200 seems mighty steep to me for a chopped up band plan
old
> scanner like that.
> Hell I couldn't even sell an AOR 1000 for $100 on ebay, and that scanner
is
> leaps and bounds better than the 43.
>

2973. Re: I like my PRO-43 also, but this is ridiculius!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-16 17:40:14 UTC
From: Jeff <oldog@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <2EbCe.159171$_o.111663@attbi_s71>
Source record: 12833

"Frank Bals" <fjb@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:Xg8Ce.2739$5N3.803@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> I've been able to pick up Military Satellites in the 260 Mhz FM range with
> mine, just using a 220 Mhz Ham Rubber Duck antenna! Most milsat listening
> you need a beam antenna with a preamp, but not with the PRO-43!
>

Pardon my skepticism but by the time any satellite signal reaches
earth you're talking signals in the nanowatt range. Thats the reason why
you need a beam and preamp, or a dish with a LNA. And you want
us to believe a consumer grade RS handheld can do this feat with
just a rubber duck??????? Come on.

J

2974. Re: I like my PRO-43 also, but this is ridiculius!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-16 18:41:17 UTC
From: Frank Bals <fjb@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <hxcCe.430019$cg1.163950@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 12834

I have no reason to lie...I was surprised to. I've heard military callsigns
and Spanish and Russian 2-way conversations...

Frank

"Jeff" <oldog@(nospam)mchsi.com> wrote in message
news:2EbCe.159171$_o.111663@attbi_s71...
>
> "Frank Bals" <fjb@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:Xg8Ce.2739$5N3.803@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>> I've been able to pick up Military Satellites in the 260 Mhz FM range
>> with
>> mine, just using a 220 Mhz Ham Rubber Duck antenna! Most milsat listening
>> you need a beam antenna with a preamp, but not with the PRO-43!
>>
> ---
>
> Pardon my skepticism but by the time any satellite signal reaches
> earth you're talking signals in the nanowatt range. Thats the reason why
> you need a beam and preamp, or a dish with a LNA. And you want
> us to believe a consumer grade RS handheld can do this feat with
> just a rubber duck??????? Come on.
>
>
>
> J
>
>

2975. Re: I like my PRO-43 also, but this is ridiculius!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-16 18:46:09 UTC
From: Xray <pl@yer.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9695964149506ewrfdgrstnetaakeanfk@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 12835

"Jim Hackett" <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com> wrote in news:h%8Ce.11819$aY6.1801
@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net:

> Not to mention that in the "questions" section, Someone named damselfly75
> writes to say:
>
> I am extremely interested in this item. I have done business with you in
> the past, and have been treated with utmost respect as a buyer. All items I
> have dealt with have exceeded my expectations. Thank you, fishman495, for
> such positive buying experiences. respectfully, damselfly75
> Yet there is no feedback from them...
> Something DOES sound fishyman"
>

Fishy indeed.
Even the names damselfly75 & fishman495 ring the same ding on a bell.
Hopefully this bozo will end up with the high bid on his own radio.

2976. Re: I like my PRO-43 also, but this is ridiculius!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-16 19:53:38 UTC
From: DougSlug <dougslug@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <6BdCe.142263$mx5.72245@fe08.lga>
Source record: 12836

I don't know how the military satellites in question compare, but it is possible to pick up the amateur LEO satellites and the ISS with a handheld and decent ducky. Of course a whip or beam works better, but if the pass is high enough and your antenna is pointed the right direction, it can be done. The time window is narrow, so you really have to actively chase the signal and adjust for doppler shift as well.

- Doug

"Jeff" <oldog@(nospam)mchsi.com> wrote in message
news:2EbCe.159171$_o.111663@attbi_s71...
>
> "Frank Bals" <fjb@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:Xg8Ce.2739$5N3.803@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>> I've been able to pick up Military Satellites in the 260 Mhz FM range
>> with
>> mine, just using a 220 Mhz Ham Rubber Duck antenna! Most milsat listening
>> you need a beam antenna with a preamp, but not with the PRO-43!
>>
> ---
>
> Pardon my skepticism but by the time any satellite signal reaches
> earth you're talking signals in the nanowatt range. Thats the reason why
> you need a beam and preamp, or a dish with a LNA. And you want
> us to believe a consumer grade RS handheld can do this feat with
> just a rubber duck??????? Come on.
>
>
>
> J
>
>

2977. Re: I like my PRO-43 also, but this is ridiculius!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-16 20:05:40 UTC
From: Jeff <oldog@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <oMdCe.158127$x96.52918@attbi_s72>
Source record: 12837

"Frank Bals" <fjb@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:hxcCe.430019$cg1.163950@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> I have no reason to lie...I was surprised to. I've heard military callsigns
> and Spanish and Russian 2-way conversations...
>

Ok........ I didnt mean to imply you were lieing. I really
did not think this was possible. Can you give some specific freqs.
Id like to try them on my VR 5K on a discone with low loss cable.
I have found discones pretty good at catching signals coming down
from a high angle. Good listening

J

2978. Re: I like my PRO-43 also, but this is ridiculius!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-16 21:33:22 UTC
From: Xray <pl@yer.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9695B29709DB3ewrfdgrstnetaakeanfk@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 12838

Xray <pl@yer.com> wrote in
news:Xns9695964149506ewrfdgrstnetaakeanfk@140.99.99.130:

> "Jim Hackett" <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com> wrote in
> news:h%8Ce.11819$aY6.1801 @newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net:
>
>> Not to mention that in the "questions" section, Someone named
>> damselfly75 writes to say:
>>
>> I am extremely interested in this item. I have done business with you
>> in
>> the past, and have been treated with utmost respect as a buyer. All
>> items I have dealt with have exceeded my expectations. Thank you,
>> fishman495, for such positive buying experiences. respectfully,
>> damselfly75 Yet there is no feedback from them...
>> Something DOES sound fishyman"
>>
>
> Fishy indeed.
> Even the names damselfly75 & fishman495 ring the same ding on a bell.
> Hopefully this bozo will end up with the high bid on his own radio.
>

Item took down by ebay.
Apparently they smelled something fishy as well.

2979. Re: I like my PRO-43 also, but this is ridiculius!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-16 23:31:40 UTC
From: Dave Holford <holford@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <42D998DC.29C0DE89@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 12839

My ICOM IC-T7 does a great job on the ISS even with the stock rubber duck while it is hanging on my belt. I can count on a good signal as soon as the ISS comes over the horizon.

I have also managed to copy some military satellite traffic on my PRO-43, but the signals were pretty marginal on the rubber duck. Mind you, at 45N, I'm probably on the edge of the footprint.

Dave

DougSlug wrote:

> I don't know how the military satellites in question compare, but it is
> possible to pick up the amateur LEO satellites and the ISS with a handheld
> and decent ducky. Of course a whip or beam works better, but if the pass is
> high enough and your antenna is pointed the right direction, it can be done.
> The time window is narrow, so you really have to actively chase the signal
> and adjust for doppler shift as well.
>
> - Doug
>
> "Jeff" <oldog@(nospam)mchsi.com> wrote in message
> news:2EbCe.159171$_o.111663@attbi_s71...
> >
> > "Frank Bals" <fjb@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> > news:Xg8Ce.2739$5N3.803@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >> I've been able to pick up Military Satellites in the 260 Mhz FM range
> >> with
> >> mine, just using a 220 Mhz Ham Rubber Duck antenna! Most milsat listening
> >> you need a beam antenna with a preamp, but not with the PRO-43!
> >>
> > ----------
> >
> > Pardon my skepticism but by the time any satellite signal reaches
> > earth you're talking signals in the nanowatt range. Thats the reason why
> > you need a beam and preamp, or a dish with a LNA. And you want
> > us to believe a consumer grade RS handheld can do this feat with
> > just a rubber duck??????? Come on.
> >
> >
> >
> > J
> >
> >

2980. Re: Scans VHF but not UHF Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-17 01:25:28 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <vaednY12q78ULkTfRVn-iA@comcast.com>
Source record: 12840

Possibly some one attempted a cellular mod, and botched it?

Bill Crocker

"Doc" <moody1951@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1121496726.529790.93690@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Why would a scanner automatically scan and stop at VHF frequences but
> not UHF frequencies?
>

2981. WTB: Yaesu FRV-7700 VHF option

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-17 01:40:17 UTC
From: Scan Da Bands <vzeip5vc@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <dndjd1dluf7v4auhi0cdvohi1qn3hen3sn@4ax.com>
Source record: 12841

Looking for a Yaesu FRV-7700 VHF option or the internal one for an
FRG-8800.

2982. Re: I like my PRO-43 also, but this is ridiculius! .... not so ,,,,,,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-17 01:55:49 UTC
From: krackula <krackula@i.am>
Message-ID: <3gejd1p2rve418jk4stmq12hffrdl4u2sf@4ax.com>
Source record: 12842

>>
>
>Item took down by ebay.
>Apparently they smelled something fishy as well.

take another look ..... it was back faster than ebay could pull it
.....
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=40979&item=5790108516

2983. Re: I like my PRO-43 also, but this is ridiculius!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-17 02:39:05 UTC
From: Mike <km6ab@REMOVETHIS.pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <dxjCe.3940$_%4.3545@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 12844

I have also picked up UHF mil satellites on my PRO-43 and stock rubber duck. It was comms between McMurdo, Antarctica and the mainland at around 261.?MHz This was from one of the new UHF follow on sats, which is a little hotter than previous series but was loud and clear on the rubber ant. The signal was first picked up using a military satcom antenna and then I switched to the rubber ant. I have owned a lot of scanners, commercial and mil radios and the PRO-43 is the best analog hand held scanner I have found.
m.

Dave Holford wrote:
> My ICOM IC-T7 does a great job on the ISS even with the stock rubber duck while it
> is hanging on my belt. I can count on a good signal as soon as the ISS comes over
> the horizon.
>
> I have also managed to copy some military satellite traffic on my PRO-43, but the
> signals were pretty marginal on the rubber duck. Mind you, at 45N, I'm probably on
> the edge of the footprint.
>
> Dave
>
> DougSlug wrote:
>
>
>>I don't know how the military satellites in question compare, but it is
>>possible to pick up the amateur LEO satellites and the ISS with a handheld
>>and decent ducky. Of course a whip or beam works better, but if the pass is
>>high enough and your antenna is pointed the right direction, it can be done.
>>The time window is narrow, so you really have to actively chase the signal
>>and adjust for doppler shift as well.
>>
>> - Doug
>>
>>"Jeff" <oldog@(nospam)mchsi.com> wrote in message
>>news:2EbCe.159171$_o.111663@attbi_s71...
>>
>>>"Frank Bals" <fjb@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
>>>news:Xg8Ce.2739$5N3.803@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>>>
>>>>I've been able to pick up Military Satellites in the 260 Mhz FM range
>>>>with
>>>>mine, just using a 220 Mhz Ham Rubber Duck antenna! Most milsat listening
>>>>you need a beam antenna with a preamp, but not with the PRO-43!
>>>>

>>>
>>>--
>>>
>>> Pardon my skepticism but by the time any satellite signal reaches
>>>earth you're talking signals in the nanowatt range. Thats the reason why
>>>you need a beam and preamp, or a dish with a LNA. And you want
>>>us to believe a consumer grade RS handheld can do this feat with
>>>just a rubber duck??????? Come on.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>J
>>>
>>>
>
>

2984. Re: A trip to Australia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-17 02:39:59 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <56hjd15gcagi3mr0nfo96jo4oid8mhn098@4ax.com>
Source record: 12843
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

On Mon, 04 Jul 2005 04:00:27 GMT, mrtravel <mrtravel@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:

>Volker Tonn wrote:
>>
>>
>> rerj37 schrieb:
>>
>>> Christopher O'Callaghan would be well advised to pack the scanner, less
>>> batteries, in one bag and check it, and the batteries in another and
>>> check that too. I still would not guarantee airport security would not
>>> sit up and take notice as they go past the other kind of scanner,
>>> though.
>>>
>> In case you would like to take your "radio" into the cabin you will be
>> asked to 'turn the radio on' just to verify it is a radio and not some
>> kind of bomb.
>
>A ridiculous security standard,

An attribute shared by most of the rest of TSA's standards.

> since there is no reason it can't be
>both a bomb and a radio.

2985. Re: A trip to Australia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-17 02:41:29 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <19hjd1t699h9roae318bqank8u7vmobnqi@4ax.com>
Source record: 12845

On Wed, 29 Jun 2005 00:12:28 +0100, "Christopher O'Callaghan"
<d.ocallaghan@iolfree.ie> wrote:

>
>Many went way out of the subject here ,except for 1 or 2 people that were
>kind enough to give their opinions on what i posted and actually answered
>the question i asked.
>Many thanks to those who answered my Question!
>
>Chris
>

You've only been on usenet a couple of months, then?

2986. Re: BCD396T [blue LEDs]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-17 02:51:31 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <4qhjd1dqk32993vpk9dohhfrm1r8m2n5a8@4ax.com>
Source record: 12846

On Wed, 29 Jun 2005 21:35:23 -0400, Bob <bob@nowhere.nil> wrote:

>I agree, green is fine, as are red and yellow. But that almost
>violet-blue colour just burns the eyes.

Probably why cat eyes (blue dots) were outlawed on taillights
in the 50s -- the color screws up your depth perception. I notice
they're making a comeback.

2987. Re: Finding frequencies on my scanner (Stupid Q?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-17 03:11:52 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <61jjd19eep11u9e5rdpn7sd0jtk0q4g4m9@4ax.com>
Source record: 12847

On Wed, 06 Jul 2005 11:56:03 -0400, Sarah Czepiel <ninety7gt@cox.net>
wrote:

>
>You want to get into an argument, meet me on an appropriate fora.
>

Preferably one where they know how to spell the singular form
of fora. I'm sure she can be just as much of a blowhard there.

2988. Re: Coyote Valley Tribal Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-17 03:28:59 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <k1kjd1l31eem6237o8ehsf38g3k3l7ubbp@4ax.com>
Source record: 12848

On 9 Jul 2005 13:16:22 -0700, burgessfred33@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>
>sideshowhaas wrote:
>> What scanner picks up smoke signals? Do they have trunking smoke?
>I find that to be a very racist remark. Keep your racism to yourself.
>Fred Burgess

Please list the two or three (if that many) topics which you
consider to still be available for your oh so politically correct
sense of humor.

2989. Re: HP Tech Support now gone overseas (ot)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-17 03:32:57 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <l5kjd1dplb54vjnbeog6fgqceccsj1cr25@4ax.com>
Source record: 12849
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Wed, 6 Jul 2005 10:07:45 -0400, "Bonobo Roger"
<hpsucks@hpsucks.com> wrote:

>If your thinking of buying an HP computer product....DON'T !
>
>HP has gone to India for all it's telephone Customer
>Support and they all speak bad English and you can't
>understand a word they are saying.
>
>Buy an HP....
>Have a problem...
>Call up their Tech Support hotline....
>You'll get "Pasheesh-from-Punjab" who talks like
>he's got a mouthfull of marbles and can't understand
>a word your saying because he'e been trained to
>read from a script like a trained spider monkey....
>
>(HINT: You'd be better off calling Habib at the local Dunkin-Dounutz for
>tech support..)
>
>---> F*CK Hewlett-Packard!! (another formerly great USA company that's
> now frigged it's historic reputation for great customer service by
>going to half-ass
> overseas tech support in)
>
>Buy someone else's product instead and tell HP (..and Aglient Technologies
>too!)
>to go do somehing with themselves and their crap products and support.
>
>
>Signed: a former HP Business/Commercial customer.

I've had recent tech support for two HP computers I own. Both
the US and the Indian support men and women were of very high caliber.

2990. Re: I like my PRO-43 also, but this is ridiculius! .... not so ,,,,,,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-17 04:27:12 UTC
From: Xray <pl@yer.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96964A6E8EC5ewrfdgrstnetaakeanfk@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 12850

krackula <krackula@i.am> wrote in
news:3gejd1p2rve418jk4stmq12hffrdl4u2sf@4ax.com:

>
>>>
>>
>>Item took down by ebay.
>>Apparently they smelled something fishy as well.
>
>
> take another look ..... it was back faster than ebay could pull it
> ....
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=40979&item=5790108
> 516
>

What a world class idiot this everly1125 must be.
I wonder if he knows that he could have got a brand new, top of the line
Icom, Alinco, AOR or Yaesu for just a bit more.

2991. Florida Military Aircraft Receptions - Sat 16 Jul 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-17 04:41:30 UTC
From: "Allan.Stern@gmail.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121575290.908020.264880@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12751

PATRICK AFB Area Log - Sat., 16 Jul 2005
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

BOLT 25: KC-135R, MacDill AFB 6AMW
12:32am: Inbound MacDill.
[124.8, 311.0]

CG 2112: HU-25C Falcon Jet, CGAS Corpus Christi
10:33am: Dep Patrick AFB to Opa Locka (CGAS-Miami)
[133.75]
Note: Flight plan was for CG 2113 (HU-25B, CGAS Miami).
Photos: P7160170 - 72.

KING 77: WC-130H #65-0977, Keesler AFB 403AW 53WRS "Hurricane Hunter"
11:00am: Pattern work at Patrick AFB.
1:05pm: Departs Patrick area. 2:05pm: Lands Patrick AFB.
[133.75, 344.6]
Photos: P7160173 - 176; 179 - 184.

CG 6538: HH-65B, CGAS Miami.
11:25am: Departs Patrick AFB, southbound.
[133.75, 118.2]

KING 22: HC-130N #88-2102, Moody AFB 41RQW 71RQS
12:05pm: Departs Patrick AFB
[133.75, 132.65, 124.8]
Photos: P7160177, 78

ROCK 23: C-130, Willow Grove 913AW 327AS
1:29pm: Arr Patrick AFB, pattern work, lands; 20K fuel.
[133.75]
Pictures: P7160186, 187.

JOLLY 81: HH-60G Pave Hawk Helo
2:15pm: Lands Patrick AFB.
[133.75]

SUMIT 18: C-130H, Peterson AFB 302AW
2:19pm: "South of Valdosta." Inbd MacDill; ETA 1922z.
[311.0]

COOL 62: T-38, Laughlin 47FTW
3:25pm: Departs Patrick AFB.
269.375, 281.425, 307.0, 273.55, 346.25]

CG 47301: USCG Boat, CG Port Canaveral
3:40pm: Comms with CG Sta Canaveral.
[157.15]
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

KING 55: HC-1130P #64-14855, Patrick AFB 920RQW 39RQS
6:30pm: Take off from Patrick. "200 at 30 miles, FAC on track; go east immediately!" "FAC firing at 200 miles!"
6:51pm: Comms with BLUEBALL: "2245z." "C-42, C-52, C-74, C-38, C-82, C-80, C-46, C-32, C-082." Departs area.
8:32pm: Returns to area, PJ and RAM-Z drops at BamBam DZ.
[133.75, 321.0, 138.275]

CG STA FT PIERCE
11:22pm: Announcement.
[157.1]

USS Theodore Roosevelt Exercise
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Freqs active:
245.1
252.2
258.7
267.5
268.3
286.1
292.0 ("Button 10")
299.5
322.0
364.075
380.8
390.95

Acft 513
Acft 7188?: 286.1 ["Rqsts status of cyber-gadget."]
Bolt 89 (KC-135R, MacDill): 267.5, 258.7, 286.1 ["Wl ctct Omega."]
Lion 12 (F-14D, VF-213) cls Gunslinger: 292.0?, 322.0
Felix 02 (F-14D, VF-31): 268.3, 252.2
Party (F/A-18C, VFA-87)
Sledge 18, 27 (poss VFA-34)
Mauler 14 (S-3B, VS-32): 267.5
Magic (NATO E-3 AWACS): 364.075 w Red Crown re two Hornets.
Tango Papa: 258.7

Bu Numbers:
164239?
164661: I have this as Side #305, Pride
164667
164669: I have this as Side #411, Party

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL (28-11N 80-36W) monitoring
Patrick AFB (KCOF) NASA-KSC Shuttle Landing Fac (KX68)
Avon Park Bombing Range (KAGR) Cape Canaveral AFS (KXMR)
JSTARS E-8 Acft Integration Facility, Melbourne IAP (KMLB)
Worldwide Military HF Communications
Life Member: Missile, Space and Range Pioneers.
http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/index.html (My Freqs)
http://hometown.aol.com/scanaddict/index.html (My Equipment)
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

2992. Re: I like my PRO-43 also, but this is ridiculius! .... not so ,,,,,,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-17 06:41:58 UTC
From: Xray <pl@yer.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96961B806396Bewrfdgrstnetaakeanfk@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 12752

Xray <pl@yer.com> wrote in news:Xns96964A6E8EC5ewrfdgrstnetaakeanfk@
140.99.99.130:

> krackula <krackula@i.am> wrote in
> news:3gejd1p2rve418jk4stmq12hffrdl4u2sf@4ax.com:
>
>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>Item took down by ebay.
>>>Apparently they smelled something fishy as well.
>>
>>
>> take another look ..... it was back faster than ebay could pull it
>> ....
>> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=40979&item=
5790108
>> 516
>>
>
> What a world class idiot this everly1125 must be.
> I wonder if he knows that he could have got a brand new, top of the line
> Icom, Alinco, AOR or Yaesu for just a bit more.
>

Have had a few words with this fishman.
I asked him why a decade old scanner thats worth maybe $100 tops is going
for $300 .. Anything special about it ?
He said:

"For your information, I have just recieved payment for the scanner that
you said wasn't worth much. The reason this scanner sold so quick, is
because as most Pilots are aware of, this scanner is the Pilots choice, the
pre ban pro 43, not the new ones. The person that paid over $300.00 for it,
said they are going from 275-450. at the air shows......hmmmmm. So maybe
your not the smarter than the average bear.....I know my stuff. I had this
unit since new and I know what it's worth......so see ya....."

Pilots choice ? And $450 at air shows ?
Maybe I'm missing out, but I go to 4/5 airshows a year average, and I've
never seen any bought and sold there.
Don't really think offhand that theres that many folks with that kind of
money to blow at air airshow to buy a scanner on a whim.
And what good would a 1 way radio do a pilot ? Pre ban ? That affected only
the cellular range, not a range of primary interest to pilots.

This guy is as flaky as a fresh cooked biscuit.

2993. Re: I like my PRO-43 also, but this is ridiculius!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-17 08:47:04 UTC
From: "Ralph A. Schmid, DK5RAS" <nospam@radio-link.net>
Message-ID: <jm6kd19621qtcbee2q577cbl476hk1mlaj@4ax.com>
Source record: 12753

"Jeff" <oldog@(nospam)mchsi.com> wrote:

> Pardon my skepticism but by the time any satellite signal reaches
>earth you're talking signals in the nanowatt range. Thats the reason why
>you need a beam and preamp, or a dish with a LNA. And you want
>us to believe a consumer grade RS handheld can do this feat with
>just a rubber duck??????? Come on.

It is definetely possible, BTDT. Why should there any difference to my satellite handheld phone, which also works just with some flimsy antenna?!

regards - Ralph

--

Want to get in touch? http://www.radio-link.net/whereisralph.txt

2994. Re: I like my PRO-43 also, but this is ridiculius!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-17 12:58:03 UTC
From: Jeff <oldog@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <vBsCe.182271$xm3.176159@attbi_s21>
Source record: 12754

"Ralph A. Schmid, DK5RAS" <nospam@radio-link.net> wrote in message
news:jm6kd19621qtcbee2q577cbl476hk1mlaj@4ax.com...
> "Jeff" <oldog@(nospam)mchsi.com> wrote:
>
> > Pardon my skepticism but by the time any satellite signal reaches
> >earth you're talking signals in the nanowatt range. Thats the reason why
> >you need a beam and preamp, or a dish with a LNA. And you want
> >us to believe a consumer grade RS handheld can do this feat with
> >just a rubber duck??????? Come on.
>
> It is definetely possible, BTDT. Why should there any difference to my
> satellite handheld phone, which also works just with some flimsy
> antenna?!

Years ago I bought a Drake TR 270 to do amateur sat. work.
(2mtr. uplink and 440 downlink) when I figured out that I was going
to have to get for an antenna and possibly a dual rotor setup to track
the sat. I dropped the idea. I think at that time you needed a beam
with about 12db of gain for it to work reliably. That translates into
about a 14-15 element dual polarized beam. That is one big antenna.
Plus any mil-sat equipment Ive ever seen invariably use the little
mini dish's to use in conjunction with it. Thats where I got the idea.
I guess things have changed. I know the signals that come down
from space are extremely low power,, with the possible exception
to ISS, I think they may be beaming their signals to earth and running
at a higher power so people can pick them up easily. A geo-synchronous
sat. at 25,000 miles out running on 40-50 watts isnt much power.
The OP gave me some freqs. to try on my VR 5K and Im going to
give it a shot and see what I come up with.. I guess I had a case of
"open mouth and insert foot"

J

2995. Re: I like my PRO-43 also, but this is ridiculius! .... not so ,,,,,,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-17 13:01:41 UTC
From: Jeff <oldog@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <VEsCe.182275$xm3.151552@attbi_s21>
Source record: 12755

```
"Xray" <pl@yer.com> wrote in message
news:Xns96964A6E8EC5ewrfdgrstnetaakeanfk@140.99.99.130...
> krackula <krackula@i.am> wrote in
> news:3gejd1p2rve418jk4stmq12hffrdl4u2sf@4ax.com:
>
> >
> >>>
> >>
> >>Item took down by ebay.
> >>Apparently they smelled something fishy as well.
> >
> >
> > take another look ..... it was back faster than ebay could pull it
> > ............
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=40979&item=5790108
> > 516
> >
>
> What a world class idiot this everly1125 must be.
> I wonder if he knows that he could have got a brand new, top of the line
> Icom, Alinco, AOR or Yaesu for just a bit more.
--------------------------------------------------------------------------

The whole thing looks fishy,, it gets taken down by ebay and
within a few hours its back up with a buy it now price and this
dweeb buys it instantly.............

J
```

2996. Re: Scans VHF but not UHF Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-17 17:03:18 UTC
From: "Ian W. Douglas" <idouglas@calcna.ab.ca>
Message-ID: <Pine.A41.4.05.10507171048190.16458-100000@srv1.calcna.ab.ca>
Source record: 12756

On 15 Jul 2005, Doc wrote:

> Why would a scanner automatically scan and stop at VHF frequences but
> not UHF frequencies?
>

5 possible reasons:

1) The squelch is not set properly.

2) One or more of the UHF frequencies you expect to pick up is out of the frequency range of the scanner, or the scanner skips over the frequency or freqs you expect to pick up, because of the step size and where each step lands on, etc.

3) You are using an antenna unsuited to some of the frequencies you wish to pick up.

4) UHF stations in your area are, by sheer "fluke" or coincidence, too far away and/or the signals are too weak; or you do not have close enough to line of sight conditions to pick up these stations.

5) Your antenna is somewhat directionally sensitive and this happens, by sheer "fluke" (see above) to make picking up UHF stations in your area more difficult than would be otherwise.

You haven't been very specific with your question. Is this a problem you have actually had with your scanner, or is this a "hypothetical" question? What is the make and model of your scanner? What are the frequency ranges that it covers, and how big are the steps in each range? What is the size, type, and configuration of your antenna? Are you sure that moving from VHF to UHF scanning is the problem, and what makes you so sure of this? Do you even have a scanner? Have you done some basic things to improve your scanner's performance (if these are possible in your case) such as rig up a smooth power supply to filter out noise, 60 cycle hum, etc. and/or ground your antenna?

2997. Opinion of these scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-17 20:43:29 UTC
From: Drifter <zespectre@askme.com>
Message-ID: <6dgld1ltmuthosit54g7nv8dfk65mu7jn1@4ax.com>
Source record: 12757

I inherited the following scanners..
PRO-46
PRO-92 (Win92 software and a data cable)
PRO-2052 (Data cable and ScanControl Software)
A few different types of antenna and miscl stuff.

So after a LONG time away from recreational listening I find myself
equipped to be back in the hobby

I've done some research and found some information but I was wondering
if any of these scanners should get a "particular job". For example
the PRO-2052 will become my "active frequency seeker" and research
leads me to believe it is the one to use for MilAir, but I can't seem
to turn up much specific info on the other units.

Thanks for the input

Drifter
"I've been here, I've been there..."

2998. Re: Opinion of these scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-18 01:57:39 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <ALmdnT1xhtsJkUbfRVn-2A@comcast.com>
Source record: 12758

Much depends on your needs.

None of these are capable of the newer "Digital Mode" systems that are popping up all over the place. Only the PRO-2052 is capable of Trunk Tracking. While the PRO-2052 can receive MilAir in the UHF spectrum, it can not in the VHF spectrum. All of these could be impacted in a negative way, once re-banding of the 800MHz spectrum occurs, which is already taking place in some states. If none of these are a concern for your area, and requirements, then I'd go with the PRO-2052.

Bill Crocker

"Drifter" <zespectre@askme.com> wrote in message
news:6dgld1ltmuthosit54g7nv8dfk65mu7jn1@4ax.com...
>I inherited the following scanners..
> PRO-46
> PRO-92 (Win92 software and a data cable)
> PRO-2052 (Data cable and ScanControl Software)
> A few different types of antenna and miscl stuff.
>
> So after a LONG time away from recreational listening I find myself
> equipped to be back in the hobby
>
> I've done some research and found some information but I was wondering
> if any of these scanners should get a "particular job". For example
> the PRO-2052 will become my "active frequency seeker" and research
> leads me to believe it is the one to use for MilAir, but I can't seem
> to turn up much specific info on the other units.
>
> Thanks for the input
>
>
> Drifter
> "I've been here, I've been there..."

2999. [SOLD] Re: BC780-XLT Uniden Bearcat Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-18 01:59:01 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <IMadnZqbodN7kUbfRVn-hQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 12759

Item sold.

Thanks,
Bill

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:N_Wdnfy_5v_crk7fRVn-hA@comcast.com...
> FOR SALE:
>
> Uniden BC780-XLT Scanner. Possibly one of the best MilAir scanners out
> there! No longer made. This one is "mint", in it's original box, with
> all that comes with it.
>
> $250.00 shipped (with in the U.S.)
>
> Thanks,
> Bill Crocker
>

3000. Re: Opinion of these scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-18 02:35:31 UTC
From: Drifter <zespectre@askme.com>
Message-ID: <ks4md1dvoujqeq9up7kik5t10nsajrcpoi@4ax.com>
Source record: 12760

On Sun, 17 Jul 2005 21:57:39 -0400, "Bill Crocker"
<wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote:

>Much depends on your needs.
>
>None of these are capable of the newer "Digital Mode" systems that are
>popping up all over the place. Only the PRO-2052 is capable of Trunk
>Tracking. While the PRO-2052 can receive MilAir in the UHF spectrum, it can
>not in the VHF spectrum. All of these could be impacted in a negative way,
>once re-banding of the 800MHz spectrum occurs, which is already taking place
>in some states. If none of these are a concern for your area, and
>requirements, then I'd go with the PRO-2052.
>
>Bill Crocker

Hey Bill, thanks for the reply though I think you misunderstood me a
bit. I don't have to choose between the scanners, I inherited all of
the equipment and was trying to decide what to use it for.

Oh, and the PRO-92 is also a trunking scanner though I'm thanking God
I have the software/data cable because I can't make any sense out of
the manual method of entering trunking.

The DC metro area is a real grab bag of frequencies right now with DC
itself having gone to a primarily digital system (guess I'll be
picking up a digital scanner eventually) but with a lot of
conventional simulcasting and a TON of non digital stuff (and non
800mhz) still in use.

I was thinking of using the oldest scanner (PRO-46) for monitoring the
Amateur radio bands, and the PRO-2052 for frequency hunts (since I
have the computer logging software as well) but I can't quite decide
what to do with the PRO-92.

It really does seem to be an uncertain time for the hobby, not like
the last time I dabbled back in '93 (geez I sound like a geezer don't
I <grin>).

Drifter
"I've been here, I've been there..."

3001. Re: Opinion of these scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-18 02:58:08 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <6-qdnQlUc71fh0bfRVn-sg@comcast.com>
Source record: 12761

My bad! You are correct, the PRO-92 does support Trunk Tracking...miss that in my original post.

You are also correct, I thought you were trying to choose which one to buy. Sorry.

As for what to use the PRO-92 for? It's good for seeking out CTCSS tone codes! Look on the back, by the actual Cat No., see if there is an [A], or [B] suffix after it. The [A] version corrected some minor bugs in the original design. The [B] is pretty much the same as the [A], but they changed the country they were manufactured in. One was Japan, one was China, can't remember which.

Bill Crocker

"Drifter" <zespectre@askme.com> wrote in message
news:ks4md1dvoujqeq9up7kik5t10nsajrcpoi@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 17 Jul 2005 21:57:39 -0400, "Bill Crocker"
> <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote:
>
>>Much depends on your needs.
>>
>>None of these are capable of the newer "Digital Mode" systems that are
>>popping up all over the place. Only the PRO-2052 is capable of Trunk
>>Tracking. While the PRO-2052 can receive MilAir in the UHF spectrum, it
>>can
>>not in the VHF spectrum. All of these could be impacted in a negative
>>way,
>>once re-banding of the 800MHz spectrum occurs, which is already taking
>>place
>>in some states. If none of these are a concern for your area, and
>>requirements, then I'd go with the PRO-2052.
>>
>>Bill Crocker
>
> Hey Bill, thanks for the reply though I think you misunderstood me a
> bit. I don't have to choose between the scanners, I inherited all of
> the equipment and was trying to decide what to use it for.
>
> Oh, and the PRO-92 is also a trunking scanner though I'm thanking God
> I have the software/data cable because I can't make any sense out of
> the manual method of entering trunking.
>
> The DC metro area is a real grab bag of frequencies right now with DC
> itself having gone to a primarily digital system (guess I'll be

> picking up a digital scanner eventually) but with a lot of
> conventional simulcasting and a TON of non digital stuff (and non
> 800mhz) still in use.
>
> I was thinking of using the oldest scanner (PRO-46) for monitoring the
> Amateur radio bands, and the PRO-2052 for frequency hunts (since I
> have the computer logging software as well) but I can't quite decide
> what to do with the PRO-92.
>
> It really does seem to be an uncertain time for the hobby, not like
> the last time I dabbled back in '93 (geez I sound like a geezer don't
> I <grin>).
>
>
> Drifter
> "I've been here, I've been there..."

3002. Pro-92 FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-18 12:04:00 UTC
From: te <xxxx@xxxs.net>
Message-ID: <dbg5sc02n9p@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 12762

I have a Pro-92 in very good shape for sale. It was just repaired by
RS so the 90 day warranty is still in effect. It is the "B" model
with the latest firmware. I only have the black battery holder and no
wallwart. Looking for any reasonable offer.
Please reply to wfm0@hotmail.com
bill

3003. Re: I like my PRO-43 also, but this is ridiculius!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-18 15:11:16 UTC
From: Mike <km6ab@REMOVETHIS.pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <oEPCe.612$Fk4.311@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 12763

Can be easily done with a PRO-43, its nearly as sensitive as the radios used for satcom. One of the early satcom radios (PSC-3) used a simple short whip to receive selective calling signals via the satellite while the radio was on a G.I.s back. He would then attach the larger CP antenna to transmit back to the satellite. You can uplink to some the UHF military satellites with as little as 5w into a CP 5dBi gain antenna. Normal power is about 20w. A dish for UHF sats?? Maybe you should read up on typical equipment used for this....

Ralph A. Schmid, DK5RAS wrote:
> "Jeff" <oldog@(nospam)mchsi.com> wrote:
>
>
>> Pardon my skepticism but by the time any satellite signal reaches
>>earth you're talking signals in the nanowatt range. Thats the reason why
>>you need a beam and preamp, or a dish with a LNA. And you want
>>us to believe a consumer grade RS handheld can do this feat with
>>just a rubber duck??????? Come on.
>
>
> It is definetely possible, BTDT. Why should there any difference to my
> satellite handheld phone, which also works just with some flimsy
> antenna?!
>
>
>
> regards - Ralph
>

3004. Re: I like my PRO-43 also, but this is ridiculius!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-18 19:16:30 UTC
From: DougSlug <dougslug@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <SdTCe.150026$mx5.114216@fe08.lga>
Source record: 12764

The difference might be big...the receiver in the satellite phone will be optimized for a narrow range of frequencies and may have more gain at those frequencies to compensate for the lower level signal. It's not just antenna type & size that are important.

>> It is definetely possible, BTDT. Why should there any difference to my
>> satellite handheld phone, which also works just with some flimsy
>> antenna?!
>>
>> regards - Ralph
>>

3005. Re: Opinion of these scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-18 22:41:39 UTC
From: Drifter <zespectre@askme.com>
Message-ID: <rrbod19jp0kp3egnvb4108h6ahqcfhdn4i@4ax.com>
Source record: 12765

On Sun, 17 Jul 2005 22:58:08 -0400, "Bill Crocker"
<wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote:

>My bad! You are correct, the PRO-92 does support Trunk Tracking...miss that
>in my original post.
>
>You are also correct, I thought you were trying to choose which one to buy.
>Sorry.
>
>As for what to use the PRO-92 for? It's good for seeking out CTCSS tone
>codes! Look on the back, by the actual Cat No., see if there is an [A], or
>[B] suffix after it. The [A] version corrected some minor bugs in the
>original design. The [B] is pretty much the same as the [A], but they
>changed the country they were manufactured in. One was Japan, one was
>China, can't remember which.
>
>Bill Crocker
--->snip<----

The PRO-92 is a "B" version.

I'm thinking that I'll program the PRO-46 with "intersystem emergency"
stuff because it's keyboard entry and that is a royal pain in the
posterior! So I figure if all it has is nationwide freqs then maybe I
won't have to program it again anytime soon <grin>.

Hadn't thought about using the PRO-92 for the CTCSS stuff (heck I've
been out of it for so long I had to look up what CTCSS was!). Good
suggestion, thanks.

Drifter
"I've been here, I've been there..."

3006. Re: Comparison of "Close call" and "Signal Stalker II"?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-18 22:47:09 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <BjWCe.6098$wN3.4232@fe07.lga>
Source record: 12766

"Frank346" <frank346@groznyk.com> wrote in message
news:woDBe.2355$Y54.2274@twister.nyc.rr.com...
>
> "PowerHouse Communications" <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote in message
> news:CHjBe.2058$B17.1762@fe03.lga...
> > While I'm no expert on the matter, I would have to say that there would
be
> > minimal, if any, difference between the two, as the technology was
> developed
> > by Uniden. I'd guess the only real difference is the name, as a Radio
> Shack
> > branded scanner can not use the same terminology due to copyright...
> >
> Signal Stalker = Close Call = Uniden (PRO-83, BC246T, BCD396T etc)
> Signal Stalker II = GRE (PRO-97)
>
> The Uniden version captures signals faster than the GRE version. However
the
> GRE version picks up signals from a greater distance.
>

Ah, thanks for the clarification... I missed the 'II'...

Any idea what the approximate distance difference is with the SSII? I know
that I can pick up stronger signals from quite some distance on my PRO-83...
The local police repeater, which I believe operates at about 50-watts
(155.310MHz) trips the SS on my PRO-83 at up to just about 3-miles away
using a mobile mag mount scanner antenna from Radio Shack...

3007. Re: I like my PRO-43 also, but this is ridiculius!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-19 02:50:08 UTC
From: Mike <km6ab@REMOVETHIS.pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <ATZCe.4654$_%4.2737@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 12767

Usually the UHF satcom radios are full 225 to 400MHz, not that narrow.

DougSlug wrote:
> The difference might be big...the receiver in the satellite phone will be
> optimized for a narrow range of frequencies and may have more gain at those
> frequencies to compensate for the lower level signal. It's not just antenna
> type & size that are important.
>
>
>
>>>It is definetely possible, BTDT. Why should there any difference to my
>>>satellite handheld phone, which also works just with some flimsy
>>>antenna?!
>>>
>>>regards - Ralph
>>>
>
>
>

3008. Re: Scans VHF but not UHF Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-19 05:35:53 UTC
From: Ralph <none@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <qoadnSqFKo-nDEHfRVn-pg@giganews.com>
Source record: 12768

#6 The frontend of the receiver is broke

"Ian W. Douglas" <idouglas@calcna.ab.ca> wrote in message
news:Pine.A41.4.05.10507171048190.16458-100000@srv1.calcna.ab.ca...
>
>
> On 15 Jul 2005, Doc wrote:
>
>> Why would a scanner automatically scan and stop at VHF frequences but
>> not UHF frequencies?
>>
>
> 5 possible reasons:
>
> 1) The squelch is not set properly.
>
> 2) One or more of the UHF frequencies you expect to pick up is out of
> the frequency range of the scanner, or the scanner skips over the
> frequency or freqs you expect to pick up, because of the step size and
> where each step lands on, etc.
>
> 3) You are using an antenna unsuited to some of the frequencies you wish
> to pick up.
>
> 4) UHF stations in your area are, by sheer "fluke" or coincidence, too far
> away and/or the signals are too weak; or you do not have close enough to
> line of sight conditions to pick up these stations.
>
> 5) Your antenna is somewhat directionally sensitive and this happens, by
> sheer "fluke" (see above) to make picking up UHF stations in your area
> more difficult than would be otherwise.
>
> You haven't been very specific with your question. Is this a problem you
> have actually had with your scanner, or is this a "hypothetical"
> question? What is the make and model of your scanner? What are the
> frequency ranges that it covers, and how big are the steps in each range?
> What is the size, type, and configuration of your antenna? Are you sure
> that moving from VHF to UHF scanning is the problem, and what makes you so
> sure of this? Do you even have a scanner? Have you done some basic
> things to improve your scanner's performance (if these are possible in
> your case) such as rig up a smooth power supply to filter out noise, 60
> cycle hum, etc. and/or ground your antenna?
>

3009. Re: Poss HF Freqs for Space Shuttle Launch Comms Rebroadcast

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-19 05:40:44 UTC
From: Ralph <none@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <O6KdnTxJyeDBD0HfRVn-rg@giganews.com>
Source record: 12769

You would think a quick review of the schematic would solve it.
Wait, they may not have a schematic as the shuttle has a few million parts!!

I'm being sarcastic and I really hope they find the problem and get back to
space.

"Buzzygirl" <buzzygirl@nospam.comcast.net> wrote in message
news:H9KdnQLGVpQCEkjfRVn-jQ@comcast.com...
>
> <Allan.Stern@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1121273396.146460.3070@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> HF rebroadcast freqs for Shuttle Launch Control
>
> Thank you for this info, Allan. Too bad they had to scrub today's launch.
> Hope they can launch by the weekend, but the solution to the problem with
> the fuel tank sensors seems to be elusive. I was just listening to NPR on
> the way home from work this evening... they were interviewing someone from
> NASA (I think) and he said that they had this problem before, but could
> not
> pinpoint what caused it. If they can't locate the problem and come up with
> a
> quick fix, the launch may be delayed until September.
>
> Jackie
>
>

3010. Uniden 72XLT - Close Call RF Capture Technology - what is it ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-19 18:27:59 UTC
From: Andy <no@nospam.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dbjgmqqvl1@newsg1.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 12770

Just seen an ad on ebay for Uniden UBC72XLT saying it has "close call RF capture technology". Which reckons it will pull in close signals of nearby traffic instantly ! - Now sorry for appearing a little cautious here but that cannot be true, surely ?. How far can it do this up to and what power must the transmitter be transmitting on ?. Surely it cannot pick up the, say, average taxi over the street transmitting to his base can it ? (just an example for arguments sake !) ?

Cheers
Andy

3011. What antenna for the 'Shack Pro-2028

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-19 18:31:24 UTC
From: salmonsm <salmonsm@missouri.edu>
Message-ID: <1121797884.718399.244090@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12771

Hello,

I've obtained a Pro-2028 and haven't seen it yet, but from the description the whip is not present. So I'm looking into getting an external antenna for it, but have no idea what antenna jack it has. I've tried support.radioshack.com but the exploded view doesn't show the jack and the description of the jack in the parts list is as follows: "antenna jack." The RS part number for the jack is 10730703. (Still trying to get useful info from Radio Shack about it). Does anyone here know what connector the PRO-2028 uses? My plan is to get a rooftop antenna and the one I want comes with BNC connections. Think this could be a compatible combination?

Thanks,

Michael Salmons

3012. Re: Scans VHF but not UHF Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-19 19:45:10 UTC
From: "Ian W. Douglas" <idouglas@calcna.ab.ca>
Message-ID: <Pine.A41.4.05.10507191344080.19020-100000@srv1.calcna.ab.ca>
Source record: 12772

That would lock out all freqs, not just UHF freqs.

On Tue, 19 Jul 2005, Ralph wrote:

> #6 The frontend of the receiver is broke
>
> "Ian W. Douglas" <idouglas@calcna.ab.ca> wrote in message
> news:Pine.A41.4.05.10507171048190.16458-100000@srv1.calcna.ab.ca...
> >
> >
> > On 15 Jul 2005, Doc wrote:
> >
> >> Why would a scanner automatically scan and stop at VHF frequences but
> >> not UHF frequencies?

3013. Re: Gotta love it ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-20 02:16:16 UTC
From: Fred the Second <fred@jupiter.huh>
Message-ID: <Yb2dnTyKCvdyLkDfRVn-2Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 12773
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"krackula" <krackula@i.am> wrote in message
news:5ho6d1h30n5644t9nhqoaqj3kp3ack9mqq@4ax.com...
>
> this must be a reprint from back in the early 90s ....
>
> dunno where " YOU " live , but in my west coast metro
> area of 1.2 million population, you could count " all "
> the daily " analog " cellphone traffic on your fingers and toes.
>
> 99.9 % of all previous analog cell calls have been switched to
> digital, out here, and there is pretty much " nothing " of ANY
> substance to be found on the analog cellband anymore.

Except for OnStar. That great GM feature uses analog, at least here on
theWest coast.

- Fred #2

3014. Re: Gotta love it ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-20 02:42:52 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com>
Message-ID: <MSiDe.14123$aY6.9912@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 12774
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

What's Onstar's frequency?

"Fred the Second" <fred@jupiter.huh> wrote in message
news:Yb2dnTyKCvdyLkDfRVn-2Q@comcast.com...
> "krackula" <krackula@i.am> wrote in message
> news:5ho6d1h30n5644t9nhqoaqj3kp3ack9mqq@4ax.com...
> >
> > this must be a reprint from back in the early 90s ....
> >
> > dunno where " YOU " live , but in my west coast metro
> > area of 1.2 million population, you could count " all "
> > the daily " analog " cellphone traffic on your fingers and toes.
> >
> > 99.9 % of all previous analog cell calls have been switched to
> > digital, out here, and there is pretty much " nothing " of ANY
> > substance to be found on the analog cellband anymore.
>
>
> Except for OnStar. That great GM feature uses analog, at least here on
> theWest coast.
>
> - Fred #2
>
>

3015. Re: Gotta love it ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-20 02:54:16 UTC
From: Fred the Second <fred@jupiter.huh>
Message-ID: <-5OdnbcN0OpKIUDfRVn-vQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 12775
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Don't rightly know - I think they use the same base/repeater scheme as other cell phones so to my knowledge they are not using any dedicated frequency. But then, I'm just a casual amateur.

The interesting thing about OnStar, when you first contact them to set up your vehicle and account you do it by pressing the blue OnStar button in your vehicle. They then ask you for such information as your name, home address, home phone, credit card number, CC security code, your occupation, where you work, and they ask you for an access code and password to ensure you are you when you need help. All that information is dutifully repeated back to you by the OnStar representative, for accuracy, so the information is aired twice.

Gives me warm fuzzies!

- Fred #2

"Jim Hackett" <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com> wrote in message
news:MSiDe.14123$aY6.9912@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> What's Onstar's frequency?
>
>
>
> "Fred the Second" <fred@jupiter.huh> wrote in message
> news:Yb2dnTyKCvdyLkDfRVn-2Q@comcast.com...
>> "krackula" <krackula@i.am> wrote in message
>> news:5ho6d1h30n5644t9nhqoaqj3kp3ack9mqq@4ax.com...
>> >
>> > this must be a reprint from back in the early 90s ....
>> >
>> > dunno where " YOU " live , but in my west coast metro
>> > area of 1.2 million population, you could count " all "
>> > the daily " analog " cellphone traffic on your fingers and toes.
>> >
>> > 99.9 % of all previous analog cell calls have been switched to
>> > digital, out here, and there is pretty much " nothing " of ANY
>> > substance to be found on the analog cellband anymore.
>>
>>
>> Except for OnStar. That great GM feature uses analog, at least here on
>> theWest coast.
>>
>> - Fred #2
>>

>>
>
>

3016. Re: Gotta love it ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-20 03:06:14 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com>
Message-ID: <GcjDe.1673$6f.194@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 12776
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Yea, from what I've found through Google, they use standard 800 Mhz ANALOG
cell phone frequencies. That does inspire confidence ;)

"Fred the Second" <fred@jupiter.huh> wrote in message
news:-5OdnbcN0OpKIUDfRVn-vQ@comcast.com...
> Don't rightly know - I think they use the same base/repeater scheme as
other
> cell phones so to my knowledge they are not using any dedicated frequency.
> But then, I'm just a casual amateur.
>
> The interesting thing about OnStar, when you first contact them to set up
> your vehicle and account you do it by pressing the blue OnStar button in
> your vehicle. They then ask you for such information as your name, home
> address, home phone, credit card number, CC security code, your
occupation,
> where you work, and they ask you for an access code and password to ensure
> you are you when you need help. All that information is dutifully
repeated
> back to you by the OnStar representative, for accuracy, so the information
> is aired twice.
>
> Gives me warm fuzzies!
>
> - Fred #2
>
> "Jim Hackett" <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com> wrote in message
> news:MSiDe.14123$aY6.9912@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> > What's Onstar's frequency?
> >
> >
> >
> > "Fred the Second" <fred@jupiter.huh> wrote in message
> > news:Yb2dnTyKCvdyLkDfRVn-2Q@comcast.com...
> >> "krackula" <krackula@i.am> wrote in message
> >> news:5ho6d1h30n5644t9nhqoaqj3kp3ack9mqq@4ax.com...
> >> >
> >> > this must be a reprint from back in the early 90s ....
> >> >
> >> > dunno where " YOU " live , but in my west coast metro
> >> > area of 1.2 million population, you could count " all "
> >> > the daily " analog " cellphone traffic on your fingers and toes.
> >> >
> >> > 99.9 % of all previous analog cell calls have been switched to

> >> > digital, out here, and there is pretty much " nothing " of ANY
> >> > substance to be found on the analog cellband anymore.
> >>
> >>
> >> Except for OnStar. That great GM feature uses analog, at least here on
> >> theWest coast.
> >>
> >> - Fred #2
> >>
> >>
> > >
> > >
>
>

3017. Dispatch Codes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-20 09:46:10 UTC
From: "V.V." <NoSpam@ccomcast.net>
Message-ID: <gLidnVzxxvjRgEPfRVn-vg@comcast.com>
Source record: 12777
Cross-posted to: alt.binaries.radio-scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I live in Naples Florida. I am trying to find a list of the codes they use. The one I found via the Naples News article does not work. Any guidence would be appreciated. Not sure where to find the info-

Newbie Guy

3018. casa grande arizona

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-20 10:59:08 UTC
From: jamoran <analon1@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <U9GdncdoTrmks0PfRVn-3w@sedona.net>
Source record: 12778
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

anyone have a list of frequencies used by casa grande and eloy arizona

I noticed on the trunk of the Casa Grande PD cars there are two
different antennas... on appears to be a VHF antanna for 155mhz while
the other is either for low band (its longer with two loading coils)
or it's for 800mhz (les likely)

appreciate any help in figuring out what the longer antenna is used for

thanks

JOHN

I know Pinal Sheriff is 158.76

Pinal County Arizona is also home to several private prisons, an
immigration detention center, at least one Federal prison, and two large
state prisons....

3019. Re: Uniden 72XLT - Close Call RF Capture Technology - what is it ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-20 14:57:57 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <DDtDe.11955$P77.1204@fe07.lga>
Source record: 12779

You read correctly... I assume you have been hiding away somewhere for the last year? Uniden has Close Call, and Radio Shack has Signal Stalker. Both are the same, and developed by Uniden. Note, that's NOT Signal Stalker II...

It will instantly bring up the strongest local frequency. Distance from scanner depends on the signal strength (i.e. relative power output) of the transmitter... As an idea, Fast Food drive through, a few feet from the box at most. My local PD (50-watt transmitter on 155.310MHz up on a LARGE hill), I can get out to as far as 2 to 3 miles with a clear view of the antenna, though terrain and the like (yada, yada) will effect the distance... I'd say average would be about a few blocks for a moderately powered transmitter. I believe the specs say up to 1000 feet...

Sites to reference:
http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/scanners/4072.html
http://www.uniden.com/productpop/00_productpop.cfm?prd_code=BC72XLT
Spec Sheet: http://www.uniden.com/productpop/BC72XLT_ss.pdf

"Andy" <no@nospam.co.uk> wrote in message
news:dbjgmqqvl1@newsg1.svr.pol.co.uk...
> Just seen an ad on ebay for Uniden UBC72XLT saying it has "close call RF
> capture technology". Which reckons it will pull in close signals of nearby
> traffic instantly ! - Now sorry for appearing a little cautious here but
> that cannot be true, surely ?. How far can it do this up to and what power
> must the transmitter be transmitting on ?. Surely it cannot pick up the,
> say, average taxi over the street transmitting to his base can it ? (just
an
> example for arguments sake !) ?
>
> Cheers
> Andy
>
>
>

3020. Someone at Uniden smoking something good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-20 15:10:39 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <xPtDe.12058$P77.9156@fe07.lga>
Source record: 12780
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Was wondering if anybody has ever heard of these new NASCAR scanners from Uniden? The BC78XLT, or maybe the BC98XLT, or how about the BC230? Me neither.....

Whoever wrote up this Spec Sheet must have been on a good high that day. Front page is fine, back page lists non-existent hardware, even at the bottom, where all three units have the same barcode...

http://www.uniden.com/productpop/BC72XLT_ss.pdf

3021. Re: Uniden 72XLT - Close Call RF Capture Technology - what is it ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-20 16:42:47 UTC
From: Andy <no@nospam.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dbluu4$8j5$1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 12781

Another very important thing was "Does the UK version have Close Call on it"
????. Some (most) say it doesn't !! - so i have wasted money again, bearing
in mind i already have the IC-R5 which is similar, but was buying the 72XLT
purely for the Close Call feature !!!! hmmmm

Cheers
Andy

"PowerHouse Communications" <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote in message
news:DDtDe.11955$P77.1204@fe07.lga...
> You read correctly... I assume you have been hiding away somewhere for
the
> last year? Uniden has Close Call, and Radio Shack has Signal Stalker.
Both
> are the same, and developed by Uniden. Note, that's NOT Signal Stalker
II...
>
> It will instantly bring up the strongest local frequency. Distance from
> scanner depends on the signal strength (i.e. relative power output) of the
> transmitter... As an idea, Fast Food drive through, a few feet from the
box
> at most. My local PD (50-watt transmitter on 155.310MHz up on a LARGE
> hill), I can get out to as far as 2 to 3 miles with a clear view of the
> antenna, though terrain and the like (yada, yada) will effect the
> distance... I'd say average would be about a few blocks for a moderately
> powered transmitter. I believe the specs say up to 1000 feet...
>
> Sites to reference:
> http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/scanners/4072.html
> http://www.uniden.com/productpop/00_productpop.cfm?prd_code=BC72XLT
> Spec Sheet: http://www.uniden.com/productpop/BC72XLT_ss.pdf
>
>
> "Andy" <no@nospam.co.uk> wrote in message
> news:dbjgmqqvl1@newsg1.svr.pol.co.uk...
> > Just seen an ad on ebay for Uniden UBC72XLT saying it has "close call RF
> > capture technology". Which reckons it will pull in close signals of
nearby
> > traffic instantly ! - Now sorry for appearing a little cautious here but
> > that cannot be true, surely ?. How far can it do this up to and what
power
> > must the transmitter be transmitting on ?. Surely it cannot pick up the,
> > say, average taxi over the street transmitting to his base can it ?
(just
> an

> > example for arguments sake !) ?
> >
> > Cheers
> > Andy
> >
> >
> >
>
>

3022. Re: Someone at Uniden smoking something good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-20 16:46:16 UTC
From: Jack Ricci <ricci@mnsi.net>
Message-ID: <dblv4p02q2h@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 12782
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Are they Canadian, American, or European Part numbers?

Jack

"PowerHouse Communications" <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote in message
news:xPtDe.12058$P77.9156@fe07.lga...
> Was wondering if anybody has ever heard of these new NASCAR scanners from
> Uniden? The BC78XLT, or maybe the BC98XLT, or how about the BC230? Me
> neither.....
>
> Whoever wrote up this Spec Sheet must have been on a good high that day.
> Front page is fine, back page lists non-existent hardware, even at the
> bottom, where all three units have the same barcode...
>
> http://www.uniden.com/productpop/BC72XLT_ss.pdf
>
>
>

3023. FS: BC796D Uniden Digital Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-20 18:29:08 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <FLGdndZxA9biCkPfRVn-jw@comcast.com>
Source record: 12783

FOR SALE:

BC796D Uniden Bearcat Digital Scanner.

Only about a month old, and I have the original receipt, box, and all that came with it. I purchased two, brand new, for a computer controlled data logging project. Now that I've compiled all the data I wanted, I no longer need both. My loss, your gain!

$475.00 shipped within the U.S.

Thanks,
Bill

3024. Re: Someone at Uniden smoking something good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-20 22:23:13 UTC
From: Stark <hsafdhg@kjhvehf.com>
Message-ID: <42decef1$0$5024$ba620dc5@nova.planet.nl>
Source record: 12784
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

They have been available for some time at racescan.com , the bc230 is the sc230.

"PowerHouse Communications" <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> schreef in bericht news:xPtDe.12058$P77.9156@fe07.lga...
> Was wondering if anybody has ever heard of these new NASCAR scanners from
> Uniden? The BC78XLT, or maybe the BC98XLT, or how about the BC230? Me
> neither.....

3025. Pro-95 97 blues (long)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-20 22:25:00 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <0bADe.1291$0C.938@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 12785
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Damn what a day! I decided to get another scanner as it comes in so handy at work I wanted an extra in case one dies. Looked at Ebay for a while, used 95's were going for too close to the cost new. I had meant to buy another 95 when they were closing them out, never got around to it, you know how that goes. Anyway finally went to RS today bought a 97. It was nice as it's noticeably smaller than the 95. Tried to program it and problems. It's almost the same as the 95. Programmed the city, put it in closed mode and programmed the police talk groups. It would keep stopping on the control channel, and would pick up all the talk groups, not just the ones I wanted as is supposed to happen in closed mode. Checked everything according to instructions, cleared them all, re did it again carefully following the instructions, same results. It's like it just will not trunk, even though it says I am programming it in MOT mode which is what they use here. It also was not showing the talk groups when it would receive. Finally gave up took it all back to the shack. As expected no one there knew anything about scanners, they took my word for it that something was wrong, started to get me another one when I noticed they had a 95 in the case marked "clearance". I said hey just give me that. It was $80 cheaper, I thought great. Got it home, Noticed 2 stickers on it saying it had a premium (or something like that) warranty as it as a return that had been factory serviced. I thought "oh oh". It programs fine and trunks, BUT reception is shit. I have a RS 800 Mghz. antenna on my old one, tried switching them, still the same, old one sounds great, new one like shit. Finally took it back with the old one that works. Sales guy started to try to tell me "maybe it's not the scanner". I stopped him right there said I figured you would think that, I brought a working one if you want to hear the difference. They said no. Wanted to give me another 97 as the 95 was the last one they had, I declined. I'm a little gun shy now.
So has anyone had any trouble with the new 97's? Think maybe I'll just buy a Uniden on line somewhere. What a frustrating day!! <G>
--
Experience is something you don't get until just after you need it.

3026. Re: Pro-95 97 blues (long)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-20 22:36:05 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <4OSdnRDtdqrBTEPfRVn-og@comcast.com>
Source record: 12786
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

The PRO-97 is an excellent scanner! Some systems require you to skip the
first channel when programming. Possible?

Bill Crocker

"Alex Clayton" <alexx1400@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:0bADe.1291$0C.938@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Damn what a day! I decided to get another scanner as it comes in so handy
> at work I wanted an extra in case one dies. Looked at Ebay for a while,
> used 95's were going for too close to the cost new. I had meant to buy
> another 95 when they were closing them out, never got around to it, you
> know how that goes. Anyway finally went to RS today bought a 97. It was
> nice as it's noticeably smaller than the 95. Tried to program it and
> problems. It's almost the same as the 95. Programmed the city, put it in
> closed mode and programmed the police talk groups. It would keep stopping
> on the control channel, and would pick up all the talk groups, not just
> the ones I wanted as is supposed to happen in closed mode. Checked
> everything according to instructions, cleared them all, re did it again
> carefully following the instructions, same results. It's like it just will
> not trunk, even though it says I am programming it in MOT mode which is
> what they use here. It also was not showing the talk groups when it would
> receive. Finally gave up took it all back to the shack. As expected no one
> there knew anything about scanners, they took my word for it that
> something was wrong, started to get me another one when I noticed they had
> a 95 in the case marked "clearance". I said hey just give me that. It was
> $80 cheaper, I thought great. Got it home, Noticed 2 stickers on it saying
> it had a premium (or something like that) warranty as it as a return that
> had been factory serviced. I thought "oh oh". It programs fine and trunks,
> BUT reception is shit. I have a RS 800 Mghz. antenna on my old one, tried
> switching them, still the same, old one sounds great, new one like shit.
> Finally took it back with the old one that works. Sales guy started to try
> to tell me "maybe it's not the scanner". I stopped him right there said I
> figured you would think that, I brought a working one if you want to hear
> the difference. They said no. Wanted to give me another 97 as the 95 was
> the last one they had, I declined. I'm a little gun shy now.
> So has anyone had any trouble with the new 97's? Think maybe I'll just
> buy a Uniden on line somewhere. What a frustrating day!! <G>
> --
> Experience is something you don't get until just after you need it.
>

3027. Re: Pro-95 97 blues (long)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-20 22:57:37 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <BFADe.1312$0C.831@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 12787
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:4OSdnRDtdqrBTEPfRVn-og@comcast.com...
> The PRO-97 is an excellent scanner! Some systems require you to skip the
> first channel when programming. Possible?
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
> "Alex Clayton" <alexx1400@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:0bADe.1291$0C.938@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> Damn what a day!

We use the Mot.Type II here. My 95 works fantastic, and the instructions for the 97 were almost identical. The only difference I could see was a slight difference in things like to change mode, it was a different button and such. I did not read anything about skipping a channel, and I did try to very carefully follow the instructions step by step, twice, and got the same results twice. That's why I was wondering if it was something I was doing wrong. I remember well a couple years ago when the city switched over to trunked here, I already had my 95, and it took some frustrating trial and error trying to get it to work. The manual was not real easy to understand, but of course it's easy now. It would of course have helped if the "staff" there at the shack had any idea what they were doing, but alas none of them had a clue how these work. I have been very happy with my 95, just wanted an extra on hand because I have come to rely on these things at the one job. It was worth it too me to have an extra around. The 95 they exchanged it for programmed fine, it just did not work well at all at least compared to my old 95. I suppose if I did not already have the other 95 I might have not known anything was wrong for a while.
--
Experience is something you don't get until just after you need it.

3028. Scanner Pro ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-20 23:02:52 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <wKADe.1313$0C.1008@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 12788
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Anyone have any dealings with these guys? Good or bad? I had intended to buy one of these late last year when I saw them looked kind of nice. never did, now after the experience today trying to get an extra to go with my 95 I guess I will give them (Uniden) a try, but I don't know of any stores here that sell them. If I don't hear any bad stuff about these guys I will try ordering one from them. Thanks.
E-mail addy is good BTW if anyone has anything to say they would rather send that way.

http://www.scannerpro.com/Merchant2/merchant.mv?Screen=PROD&Store_Code=AW&Product_Code=BC246T&Category_Code=S

3029. Re: Someone at Uniden smoking something good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-20 23:41:11 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <9iBDe.4692$lv5.4588@fe02.lga>
Source record: 12789
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

These would be American, and I'd also assume Canadian, as I don't believe Uniden has alternate part numbers for the Canadian market, though I could be wrong...

In any case, the two responses I have received so far are analyzing this thing too much... I was just pointing out some pretty bad mistakes in the Spec Sheet... Just requires a look and a laugh; no analysis or additional questions are required to accomplish this...

"Jack Ricci" <ricci@mnsi.net> wrote in message
news:dblv4p02q2h@enews3.newsguy.com...
> Are they Canadian, American, or European Part numbers?
>
> Jack
>
> "PowerHouse Communications" <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote in message
> news:xPtDe.12058$P77.9156@fe07.lga...
> > Was wondering if anybody has ever heard of these new NASCAR scanners
from
> > Uniden? The BC78XLT, or maybe the BC98XLT, or how about the BC230? Me
> > neither.....
> >
> > Whoever wrote up this Spec Sheet must have been on a good high that day.
> > Front page is fine, back page lists non-existent hardware, even at the
> > bottom, where all three units have the same barcode...
> >
> > http://www.uniden.com/productpop/BC72XLT_ss.pdf
> >
> >
> >
>
>

3030. Re: Scanner Pro ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-20 23:49:21 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <QpBDe.4733$lv5.81@fe02.lga>
Source record: 12790
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Can not comment on that place. If you are looking for cheap, try here...
Same price, AFTER shipping costs... I know they are reliable..
http://www.usascan.com/files/bc246T.cfm

It's also available at Amazon.com for 4-cents more, shipping included if you
shop there...
http://makeashorterlink.com/?S1FB2577B

"Alex Clayton" <alexx1400@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:wKADe.1313$0C.1008@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Anyone have any dealings with these guys? Good or bad? I had intended to
buy
> one of these late last year when I saw them looked kind of nice. never
did,
> now after the experience today trying to get an extra to go with my 95 I
> guess I will give them (Uniden) a try, but I don't know of any stores here
> that sell them. If I don't hear any bad stuff about these guys I will try
> ordering one from them. Thanks.
> E-mail addy is good BTW if anyone has anything to say they would rather
send
> that way.
>
>
>
http://www.scannerpro.com/Merchant2/merchant.mv?Screen=PROD&Store_Code=AW&Product_Code=BC2
46T&Category_Code=S
>
>

3031. Re: Scanner Pro ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-21 00:23:59 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <zWBDe.1334$0C.1201@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 12791
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"PowerHouse Communications" <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote in message
news:QpBDe.4733$Iv5.81@fe02.lga...
> Can not comment on that place. If you are looking for cheap, try here...
> Same price, AFTER shipping costs... I know they are reliable..
> http://www.usascan.com/files/bc246T.cfm
>
> It's also available at Amazon.com for 4-cents more, shipping included if
> you
> shop there...
> http://makeashorterlink.com/?S1FB2577B
>
>
> "Alex Clayton" <alexx1400@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:wKADe.1313$0C.1008@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> Anyone have any dealings with these guys? Good or bad? I had intended to
> buy
>> one of these late last year when I saw them looked kind of nice. never
> did,
>> now after the experience today trying to get an extra to go with my 95 I
>> guess I will give them (Uniden) a try, but I don't know of any stores
>> here
>> that sell them. If I don't hear any bad stuff about these guys I will try
>> ordering one from them. Thanks.
>> E-mail addy is good BTW if anyone has anything to say they would rather
> send
>> that way.
>>
>>
>>
> http://www.scannerpro.com/Merchant2/merchant.mv?Screen=PROD&Store_Code=AW&Product_Code=B
C246T&Category_Code=S
>>
>>
>
>

Thanks, looks like a good place to try.
--
Experience is something you don't get until just after you need it.

3032. Re: Someone at Uniden smoking something good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-21 01:29:38 UTC
From: RadAct <n7rcm@pobox.com>
Message-ID: <6UCDe.1222$Uk3.601@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 12792
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"PowerHouse Communications" <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote in message
news:xPtDe.12058$P77.9156@fe07.lga...
> Was wondering if anybody has ever heard of these new NASCAR scanners from
> Uniden? The BC78XLT, or maybe the BC98XLT, or how about the BC230? Me
> neither.....
>
> Whoever wrote up this Spec Sheet must have been on a good high that day.
> Front page is fine, back page lists non-existent hardware, even at the
> bottom, where all three units have the same barcode...
>
> http://www.uniden.com/productpop/BC72XLT_ss.pdf
>
>
>
Last month a guy came into our radio shop for me to teach him
how to program these scanners (72XLT). Even though he had
the manual, he couldn't figure it out.

3033. Re: Gotta love it ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-21 01:54:00 UTC
From: brentwood_east@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1121910840.671602.18870@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12793
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Indeed ... I pick-up OnStar transmissions all the time, and it can be from anywhere in the country.

Sometimes I hear the full conversation, or just one side of it, but it's always clear as a bell.

I get a kick out of the Cadillac boneheads crying for unlocking service because they did the obvious.

I especially like catching people calling in to retrieve their "private" messages on voice mail.

That's worth the price of admission alone.

Maybe our eavesdropping days are numbered, but scanning the cellular ranges is still a lot of fun.

3034. Re: Uniden 72XLT - Close Call RF Capture Technology - what is it ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-21 01:55:56 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <NgDDe.5323$Iv5.3662@fe02.lga>
Source record: 12794

Oops.. I'm sorry about that... I didn't notice the UBC, or that you were in the UK...

I don't see any mention of Close Call on these sites based out of the UK, however I DO see the symbol for the Close Call on it's face, and it is branded UBC72XLT, so I can't say for sure... This may just be a picture of the Australian UBC72XLT which DOES have Close Call...
http://www.nevadaradio.co.uk/acatalog/UBC_72.html
http://www.ogormans.co.uk/airband.htm

It would appear that the UK version does NOT have Close Call. I have found a sight with an image of the actual UK version...
http://www.thunderpole.co.uk/uniden_bc_72.htm

If they showed an actual image of the unit you bought, look at the face; there will be a symbol between the HOLD SCAN 1 and 4 buttons that looks almost like a spider web... If it's there, then you have Close Call, and most likely an Australian version of the UBC72...

I did a search on eBay and eBayUK and came up with only one hit on the UK site for a completed UBC72, and it didn't say anything about Close Call in the auction, so hopefully that wasn't the one you were looking at and bought...

"Andy" <no@nospam.co.uk> wrote in message
news:dbluu4$8j5$1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk...
> Another very important thing was "Does the UK version have Close Call on it"
> ????. Some (most) say it doesn't !! - so i have wasted money again, bearing
> in mind i already have the IC-R5 which is similar, but was buying the 72XLT
> purely for the Close Call feature !!!! hmmmm
>
> Cheers
> Andy
>
>
>
>
>
> "PowerHouse Communications" <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote in message
> news:DDtDe.11955$P77.1204@fe07.lga...
> > You read correctly... I assume you have been hiding away somewhere for the
> > last year? Uniden has Close Call, and Radio Shack has Signal Stalker.

> Both
> > are the same, and developed by Uniden. Note, that's NOT Signal Stalker
> II...
> >
> > It will instantly bring up the strongest local frequency. Distance from
> > scanner depends on the signal strength (i.e. relative power output) of
the
> > transmitter... As an idea, Fast Food drive through, a few feet from the
> box
> > at most. My local PD (50-watt transmitter on 155.310MHz up on a LARGE
> > hill), I can get out to as far as 2 to 3 miles with a clear view of the
> > antenna, though terrain and the like (yada, yada) will effect the
> > distance... I'd say average would be about a few blocks for a
moderately
> > powered transmitter. I believe the specs say up to 1000 feet...
> >
> > Sites to reference:
> > http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/scanners/4072.html
> > http://www.uniden.com/productpop/00_productpop.cfm?prd_code=BC72XLT
> > Spec Sheet: http://www.uniden.com/productpop/BC72XLT_ss.pdf
> >
> >
> > "Andy" <no@nospam.co.uk> wrote in message
> > news:dbjgmqqvl1@newsg1.svr.pol.co.uk...
> > > Just seen an ad on ebay for Uniden UBC72XLT saying it has "close call
RF
> > > capture technology". Which reckons it will pull in close signals of
> nearby
> > > traffic instantly ! - Now sorry for appearing a little cautious here
but
> > > that cannot be true, surely ?. How far can it do this up to and what
> power
> > > must the transmitter be transmitting on ?. Surely it cannot pick up
the,
> > > say, average taxi over the street transmitting to his base can it ?
> (just
> > an
> > > example for arguments sake !) ?
> > >
> > > Cheers
> > > Andy
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

3035. Re: Any Idea What This Is?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-21 03:03:30 UTC
From: derdude <derdude@dirk.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1d48b05dccb28c9998969f@news.newsguy.com>
Source record: 12795

In article <pi7ec1p0c8g5bsk3ipmkso34nn1rqgmdnd@4ax.com>,
scottenaztlan@yahooNOSPAM.com says...
> I've seen several of these devices attached to the street light poles
> in Irvine, CA. Clearly there is some kind of radio inside the box, but
> what is their purpose?
>
> http://tinypic.com/6okknl.jpg
>
>
>
In my area "San Diego County" they used to be for a wireless internet
service called Ricochet. They went under a couple of years ago.

3036. [SOLD] Re: BC796D Uniden Digital Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-21 13:24:45 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Pd-dnb9n2MaDP0LfRVn-2Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 12798

Item has been sold.

Bill

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:FLGdndZxA9biCkPfRVn-jw@comcast.com...
> FOR SALE:
>
> BC796D Uniden Bearcat Digital Scanner.
>
> Only about a month old, and I have the original receipt, box, and all that
> came with it. I purchased two, brand new, for a computer controlled data
> logging project. Now that I've compiled all the data I wanted, I no longer
> need both. My loss, your gain!
>
> $475.00 shipped within the U.S.
>
> Thanks,
> Bill
>
>

3037. Re: Someone at Uniden smoking something good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-21 15:41:23 UTC
From: Quaoar <quaoar@tenthplanet.net>
Message-ID: <hqydnaAyGs-4X0LfRVn-gw@comcast.com>
Source record: 12799
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

RadAct wrote:
> "PowerHouse Communications" <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote in
> message news:xPtDe.12058$P77.9156@fe07.lga...
>> Was wondering if anybody has ever heard of these new NASCAR scanners
>> from Uniden? The BC78XLT, or maybe the BC98XLT, or how about the
>> BC230? Me neither.....
>>
>> Whoever wrote up this Spec Sheet must have been on a good high that
>> day. Front page is fine, back page lists non-existent hardware, even
>> at the bottom, where all three units have the same barcode...
>>
>> http://www.uniden.com/productpop/BC72XLT_ss.pdf
>>
>>
>>
> Last month a guy came into our radio shop for me to teach him
> how to program these scanners (72XLT). Even though he had
> the manual, he couldn't figure it out.

I don't think it is a matter of intelligence, but some people simply have not had much, if any, real exposure to following complex instructions and their minds simply freeze under the pressure. When walked through the process slowly and methodically, they tend to then understand what the words actually mean. We forget that scanner instructions are laced with specific wording and phrasing that one can find nowhere else outside of the scanner world, i.e., a backwater dialect.

Q

3038. Re: Scanner Pro ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-21 21:21:38 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <CIUDe.1757$0C.398@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 12800
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"PowerHouse Communications" <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote in message
news:QpBDe.4733$Iv5.81@fe02.lga...
> Can not comment on that place. If you are looking for cheap, try here...
> Same price, AFTER shipping costs... I know they are reliable..
> http://www.usascan.com/files/bc246T.cfm
>
> It's also available at Amazon.com for 4-cents more, shipping included if
> you
> shop there...
> http://makeashorterlink.com/?S1FB2577B
>
>

Thanks again, I ordered one when I got home from work this morning, and they already sent me the Tracking info on the shipment. Can't beat that for service. Down loaded the manual, will have time to read it through a bit before it gets here so it should be easier to get it going. Looking forward to seeing how it works. Still bothers me that RS seems to care less. I guess the turnover there is just too much any more for them to attract "help" that stays around long enough to be able to know something about what they sell. In any case I'll let everyone know how this one works.
--
Experience is something you don't get until just after you need it.

3039. Easier to Read BC246T Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-21 22:36:32 UTC
From: marksscanners@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1121985392.761957.102700@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12651

I've just finished an easier to read manual for the BC246T.

Have a look:

http://marksscanners.home.comcast.net/BC246/246-1.html

3040. Re: Dispatch Codes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-22 01:06:24 UTC
From: zerg90 <zerg90@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121994384.096848.317630@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12652

You might try posting your question to the CFLG list on
yahoogroups.com. Peter S

3041. Re: casa grande arizona

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-22 01:10:08 UTC
From: zerg90 <zerg90@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121994608.891587.306420@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12653
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

ArizonaScanner is a active group at Yahoogroups.com - someone there might be able to answer your question. Peter S

3042. .mem files for 796D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-22 04:03:15 UTC
From: 69FLH <blowme@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <88dc8$42e07009$ce94c008$4034@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 12654

looking for any mem files for the Inland Empire/Rancho Cucamonga area
specific.
any help appreciated...

3043. 512MHz to 806MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-22 14:30:34 UTC
From: The Other Guy <not@today.com>
Message-ID: <Xns969B6B8C4ACD1nottodaycom@130.81.64.196>
Source record: 12655

Can NE1 tell me what the heck is inbetween 512MHz to 806MHz?? Why is this left out of every scanner I have ever seen?? Whats in that area?? Why is it left out??

--
That Other George

Please send your spam to: uce@ftc.gov

http://webpages.charter.net/moment/

http://webpages.charter.net/moment/winjunk.htm

3044. Re: 512MHz to 806MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-22 15:09:16 UTC
From: Alan Dye <alandye@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <w_7Ee.1938$Fk4.1597@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 12656

The Other Guy wrote:
> Can NE1 tell me what the heck is inbetween 512MHz to 806MHz?? Why is this
> left out of every scanner I have ever seen?? Whats in that area?? Why is it
> left out??
>

As I recall, television stations with over the air broadcast, see
http://www.angelfire.com/pa/n3pbq/tvfreq.html for detail. Of course
interspersed is likely military. Much military is between about 200 and
400mhz mostly military air. I occasionally find something going on in
this range, but not much...

Wide band receivers like the Yaesu VR-5000, VR-500, and the AOR 8600 and
AOR 8200 receive this space. Check out
http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/widerxvr.html for a list of some
of the current wide-coverage receivers available.

Alan

3045. Re: Dispatch Codes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-22 19:39:40 UTC
From: "V.V." <NoSpam@ccomcast.net>
Message-ID: <tLCdnYPbybHn1nzfRVn-1Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 12657

Thanks very much!!
<xxnonexnonexx@tampascanner.info> wrote in message
news:dkb2e1tfhha18hob0m3meviu0gjsel330b@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 20 Jul 2005 05:46:10 -0400, "V.V." <NoSpam@ccomcast.net> wrote:
>
>>I live in Naples Florida. I am trying to find a list of the codes they
>>use.
>>The one I found via the Naples News article does not work. Any guidence
>>would be appreciated. Not sure where to find the info-
>
> http://www.tampascanner.info/fl/collr.htm
>
> x
>
>
> -----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
> News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+
> Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption
> =----

3046. List of Scanner Groups

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-22 20:31:12 UTC
From: zerg90 <zerg90@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122064272.228325.53240@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12658

I have updated my list of scanner groups. If anyone has additions or corrections, please drop me a note. peter S

All lists are located at YahooGroups.com unless otherwise indicated.

==========

Regional Message Boards - www.radioreference.com : Long Island Scanning Resource (NE states) : NW Intercept (?WA + OR?) : Grove Enterprises : Strong Signals : cityfreq.com has a message board - Aug 20, 2004 : www.scancapecod.us

==========

FCC-Grant-CA (California)

FCC-Grant-TRS

etc from Rich McVicar - biweekly listing from FCC

==========

Alabama - southalabamascan

Alaska - scan-alaska?

Arizona - ArizonaScanner : ScannerbuffsofArizona : TARMA-AZ (Tucson area - new - June 2005) : SEARM (southeast az radio monitors)

Arkansas - ScanningArkansas

California - socalscan : norcalscan : bayscan : inlandempirescanning : SanDiegoScan (has 138 members in Sept 2004 - formed 30 Jan 2003) : Chief_Biddles_Live_Fire_Radio : cdf_fire_radio : CenCalScan (central area) : SCANsacramento : SCMA (South Cal Monitor Assoc) (?closed Nov 2004) : norcalonlinescanning : InlandEmpireScan : Socal-Scanner : California_Trunking (started April 2003 - 55 members as of June 2005) : ScanDiego (Nov 2003) : ScanDiego.com (has active message boards as of June 2005) : San_Jose_Scanners : SoCalScan-Discussion (started Jan 29, 2004 - has 69 members as of 22 Aug 2004) : scan_california : baymilcom? : SoCalMilCom or SoCalMilComm : ScanningCenCal : ScanFresno

Colorado - see www.radioreference.com : (ScanColo nor scancolo nor ScanColorado are listed at Yahoo - 8/04) : Denverscannerbuffs (30 members - 5/99 - no posts in 2004) : colodtrs : coscanclub

Connecticut - ConnScan : scan-connecticut

Delaware - ?

Florida - FloridaScan : CFLG (Central Florida Listening Groups - Orlando area) : ScanJax (Jacksonville area) : SEFLORIDA : FLACOM : TampaBayTRS (241 members - 20 Aug 2004) : tampabayscan (?defunct 20 Aug 2004 - 47 members - started Oct 4, 2001) : ?I95 scan? : floridaairplanespotters : FL_scan : ?Orlando? : Mansotaflscan (Manistee area and Sarasota area - 68 members as of 22 Aug 2004 - started July 31, 1999) : PanScan (NW FL area - started Nov 24 by Chuck Gysi n2dup at aol) : BrowardRadio

Georgia - ScanAtlanta : scan_nega (NorthEast Georgia) : scansavannah : ScanJax (Jacksonville FL area) : ?I95 scan?

Hawaii : Radio-Monitoring-Hawaii

Idaho - IdaScan

Indiana - SAFET (800 TRS) : scanindiana?

Illinois - carmachicago : CARMA780XLT : chicagoscanningclub : StLASE (St Louis Area Scan Enthusiasts) : QuadCitiesEDACS : il-scan : Rockford_Scan : carmachicago2 : STARCOM21 (the new statewide 800 Mhz TRS) : Military-Air : CarmaFiles

Iowa - ScanIowa : QuadCitiesEDACS : SIOUXSCAN

Kansas - kc-scanning

Kentucky - group via KY Univ? : scan_ky_wv

Louisiana - scanbatonrouge : cajunfrequencies : nolafrequencies (New Orleans)

Maine - ScanME : possibly also ScanMe at smartgroups.com

Maryland - scan-dc @ qth.net : Scan-HagerstownMD : SouthernMDScannerForum : MD_scan : Scan_Baltimore

Massachusetts - sme2 : scan-mass-east : ScanWesternMass : MA_scan : ?MAtrunking? : scanmassachusetts

Michigan - scan_michigan (502 members - started 9/98) : ?DetroitMonitors? : michigantrunktracking2003 (Dec 2003) : approx 2003 or 2002 there was a list devoted to the Statewide TRS : Centralmichiganpolicescanners (18 members as of 05 Oct 2004 - formed 13 July 2004) : grcomm (Grand Rapids)

Minnesota - MML (old - no posts in 2004 apparently - spam killed this list and a absent owner apparently - archives might be still online in Oct 2004) : ScanMN (new in July 2002 - continuation of MML list - has 155 members in 4/04)

Mississippi - MSscannergroup

Missouri - StLASE (St Louis Area Scan Enthusiasts) : kc-scanning : semoradio (created Dec 99 - has 20 members as of 12/04) : StLouisScannerEnthusiasts (formed June 2001 - 27 members as of 12/04)

Montana - scan-montana or ScanMontana?

Nevada - HiDesertScan : Chief_Biddles_Live_Fire_Radio : LasVegasSCAN : ScanningClarkCountyNevadaLasVegas

New Hampshire - NewHampshireScanner : nhradioscanners

New Jersey - nnj-scan : PhillyScanner : ScanCom : Central-New-Jersey-Scanning : Scan-NJ

New Mexico - NewMexicoScanner (?new in March 2004)

New York - scan-nyc : message boards at Long Island Scanning Resource : CNY-Scan : ENY-Scan : li-scanner (Long Island) : WNY-Scan : ?capitalregionscan? (Albany area) : NNY-Scan (northern areas) : ScanCom (?Long Island?) : Scanners-TARA (Albany area)

North Carolina - carolinascanner (Carolinascanner) : TarheelScanner : NC_scan : ncscanner : WNC-SCAN (west NC) : Pro95-NC : CarolinaScannin : monitoring-durhamandorange : obx_scanning (Outer Banks) : WNC (west)

North Dakota - ScanND

Ohio - neohioscanner : ?OhioScan? : AOSC (private subsciption) : NWOhioScanner (new in April 2004) : Ohio_MARCS : MedinaScanner : Cuyahoga_Scanner

Oklahoma - scanokc (?old?) : Scan-OKC (?new?)

Oregon - nwscan

Pennsylvania - PhillyScanner : wpascan : Central_PA_Scanner_Club : NECENTRALPASCANNING : message board at Long Island Scanning Resource : OVSD (Ohio Valley) : ScanningLehighValley : SCAN-PA : LackawannaCountyPA : PA-800Mhz or something similar (9/04) : wc911 (Westmoreland County) : pamilitarymonitors

Rhode Island - RI-scan

South Dakota - ScanSD : SIOUXSCAN

South Carolina - ?scscanner : carolinascanner (Carolinascanner) : Charleston_Scan : scansc : CarolinaScannin : TarheelScanner (Might cover only NC)

Tennessee - volscanner : chattradio (Chattanooga) (172 members - created 9/98 - as of 2/05) : scan-tn : tn-scan : MSLG (Mid South Listeners Group - Memphis - 9 members - started in 2001)

Texas - dfwscan : HoustonScan : CenTexComm : AustinScanner? : SAScan? : ?bellandcoryell? : satscan (San Antonio Trunking) : SCAN-ASA (south Texas) : houston_scan : scanninglaredo : TexasScan (267 members - started 6/99) : wtxscan (42 members - formed Jan 2002 - sparse posting in 2004) : netare (NE TX area - 11 members - May 5 2003 formed)

Utah - UtahScanner

Vermont - VermontScanner : west-river_radio : VermontCommunications

Virginia - Scan-DC at qth.net : scanfredericksburg :
?TidewaterMonitors? : VA_E,Com : Fairfaxarea (hams) : VA_scan (203
members - 20 Aug 2004) : Scan_VA (68 members - 20 Aug 2004) :
SWVAListen (SW Virginia area - 9 members - 20 Aug 2004)

Washington - nwscan : WashingtonSCAN (287 members - 6/01) :
?NWintercept

West Virginia - scan_ky_wv

Wisconsin - Scan-Wis : WCTRS (Washington County Trunked Radio System) :
Rockford_Scan

Wyoming - Scan-Wyoming at qth.net : WY-Scan : scan_wyoming

==========

FedCom at qth.net

MilCom at qth.net

==========

Canada

British Columbia - ?message boards at ?scanbc.com? : ScanBC

Manitoba : MB-Scanners

Ontario : OTTAWASCAN (OttawaScan) : Ontscan : WATSCAN (Waterloo area -
14 members - started May 2000) : scanont

Nova Scotia + New Brunswick + PEI - ScanMaritimes at YahooCanada - 05
Aug 2004

Quebec - ?

Saskatchewan -

==============================

International

ScanInter

UK-scanner-frequencies

ScanPromaUK

GMSUK (Greater Manchester UK)

Australia

SA-scan (?South Australia?)

scan-vic (Victoria)

Trunk_Scan_Australia

NSW_Scan

---

scan-mexico

==========

General lists

sonofrcma

Scan-L @ University of Arkansas (listserv.uark.edu) - FAQ:
http://plaws.net/scan/scan-l.txt

AirScan

ARC250 (scanner software by Gommert Buysen)

DigiScan (763 members in April 2004 - Chuck Gysi is moderator)

digitalradio

DIGITAL-SCANNING (1699 members - April 2004)

eastcoast_milcom (1/05) (eastcoast-milcom)

edacs

emergcomm (mostly hams)

FedCom at qth.net

FireScanners

freqofnature

iscanmarine (marine comms0

LiveScannerAudio

LiveScannerAudioFire

LiveScannerBroadcasts

live-scanner-feeds

LMR (Land Mobile Radio)

mid_atlantic_milcom

MilAirCommsChat

MilCom at qth.net

MilGovMonitoring

Military-Air (Illinois area)

Motorola

Newscanner-antenna

NEXTEL-1 (?gone 12 Aug 2004?)

norcalonlinescanning

Openness

pdxmilcom

police-scanner-uses

PortlandMilCom

PrivateWirelessForum

pro-alphanumericscanners@smartGroups.com

provscannerfeed (???)(Dec 2003)

RailScan

scan

scan-l (backup to scan-l list above)

scanalert

ScanAmerica

ScanCat (scanner software by Jim Springer)

ScanControl (Kenny D)

scan_frequencies

Scan-I

scanner

ScannerTech

scan4good

scannerenthusiastsgroup

Scanner_News_Stories

Scanner-Radio

ScanningFilesforRaceTrack

SCANNIST

ScanNorthAmerica (list was formed in Dec 99 - has 161 members as of Dec 2004)

SCPC-FDM (Single Carrier - Freq Div Multiplex)

SIGINT

SmartNet

sonofrcma

themilitarymonitoringpost

TrunkComm2 (formed Dec 99 - 35 members as of Dec 2004 - very few messages)

trunker

TrunkingForum at egroups.com - Nov 2003

TrunkingForum

TrunkTrackingRadios (formed 12/02 - 479 members - few posts)

USscanningsGroup (formed May 1999 - 165 members as of 12/04)

UnidenBC780XLTSouthernStates (formed Dec 2001 - 193 messages as of 12/04)

vhfskip

WildlandScanner

WFHSG (Wireless Forum Homeland Security Group) (?gone - Aug 12 , 2004)

==========

Specific Scanner Radios

bc296d

bc796d

ezscan (Sportcat 200 and Pro-89)

pro93 at smartgroups.com

Pro-93_95

Pro95

Pro95Owners2 (Dec 2003)

Pro-96

RS_GRE-Digital-Scanner

scancontrol

Uniden-bc-780

UnidenBC250D

==========

Notification Nets

bosal (Boston MA)

BreakingNews

breakingTVnews@egroups.com

chicagolisteners

chicagofirelive

CrisisAlert

DailyBrief

FireAlerts

FDNYING (NYC FD)

Hou_Disp (Houston TX)

incident_broadcast

inprogress (?Southern Calif?)

inprogressmonitoring (Chicago IL)

LiveScannerAudioIncidentAlerts

LiveScannerBroadcasts

Live-Scanner-Feeds

MASSRESPONSE (Massachusetts)

MVALERT (Merrimack Valley Massachusetts)

National_Incident_Notification_Network

norcalonlinescannning

NSALERT (North Shore Massachusetts)

NYSING (New York State)

Ontario_hotshot (Canada)

policepursuits@egroups.com

TampaBayTRS_Calls (103 members 20 Aug 2004)

tji2

WI-IncidentAlert (Wisconsin)

==========

Ham-ATV

hamradioandshortwavedxclub

==========

Updated - 16 Oct 2004 - added wtxscan - 24 Nov 2004 - added PanScan in FL - 23 Dec 2004 - 04 Jan 2005 (scan-l) - 18 Jan 2005 - 22 May 2005 - 03 July 2005 -

File created Aug 2004 or thereabouts

This file is archived at http://www.yahoogroups.com/group/sonofrcma

3047. FS: BC796D & Butel Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-23 02:12:53 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <2fGdnQRrpqE7OnzfRVn-hw@comcast.com>
Source record: 12659

FOR SALE:

BC796D Uniden Digital Base/Mobile Scanner. Like new, about one month old, have original receipt from Universal Radio. Still under warranty!

I purchased two of these, for a data logging via PC, to determine active frequencies in my area. My list is compiled, and I no longer have a need for two. Scanner is absolutely like new, never left my office.

BONUS: I will include licensed Butel ARC250 PRO Software at no extra charge! A $69.95 value!

I paid $534.95 + $69.95 = $604.90

Your cost, only: $450.00 including shipping! (U.S. Only)
PayPal Accepted!

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

3048. Bearcat BC200XLT battery needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-23 11:54:08 UTC
From: mogur2 <mogur2@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <qsb4e1167vfine1evc1ja7bh859jtv4u1t@4ax.com>
Source record: 12660

I need a new battery for the Bearcat BC200XLT. Suggest a vendor? Any NiMH battery packs available?

Thanks,
Warm Regards,
Lou
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

3049. Re: Bearcat BC200XLT battery needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-23 13:57:14 UTC
From: Bob Parnass <not-for-mail@fake.invalid>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.07.23.13.57.13.331644@fake.invalid>
Source record: 12661

On Sat, 23 Jul 2005 04:54:08 -0700, mogur2 wrote:

> I need a new battery for the Bearcat BC200XLT. Suggest a vendor?
> Any NiMH battery packs
> available?
>
> Thanks, Warm Regards, Lou

I bought a replacement NiCd insert pack from E. H. Yost
many years ago and I installed it inside the original BP-200 case.
They now sell NiMH inserts, too.

The name on the web has changed to Batteries America. Check out the web
site:

<http://www.batteriesamerica.com/hamradio.htm#Uniden>

I've bought UPS other ham radio HT batteries from E. H. Yost over the
years with good results.

--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S GNU/Linux User http://parnass.com

3050. new scanner reccommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-23 19:55:47 UTC
From: ai8o <ai8o@LexComInc.net>
Message-ID: <dbu7c4$3mrr$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 12662

I am thinking of getting a new handheld scanner.
I am NOT interested in a trunktracker.
I only want to scan 30-470 MHz, analog, FM and AM mode for aircraft.

Any suggestions?

3051. Re: 512MHz to 806MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-23 20:05:04 UTC
From: ai8o <ai8o@LexComInc.net>
Message-ID: <dbu7tj$3nf0$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 12663

Mostly TV signals, a radio astronomy quiet band in what used to be channel 37, and some mobile aircraft navigation aids.

The FCC wants to move public safety comms into the 700 MHz range, but this idea is none to popular with NON-federal public safety users, and is consequently going nowhere.

nothing really to get excited about, unless you like to hear

BRAAAP BRAAAP BUZZ WHINE!

Dan Yemiola

"The Other Guy" <not@today.com> wrote in message
news:Xns969B6B8C4ACD1nottodaycom@130.81.64.196...
> Can NE1 tell me what the heck is inbetween 512MHz to 806MHz?? Why is this
> left out of every scanner I have ever seen?? Whats in that area?? Why is
> it
> left out??
>
> --
> That Other George
>
> Please send your spam to: uce@ftc.gov
>
> http://webpages.charter.net/moment/
>
> http://webpages.charter.net/moment/winjunk.htm

3052. Re: new scanner reccommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-23 23:00:10 UTC
From: Jim <none@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <1122159508.ac1cba819f54a888d088a707fa7278a7@teranews>
Source record: 12664

Try the ICOM R-20. Top of the line if you do not need digital or trunking.

73
W2USB

"ai8o" <ai8o@LexComInc.net> wrote in message
news:dbu7c4$3mrr$1@news3.infoave.net...
>I am thinking of getting a new handheld scanner.
> I am NOT interested in a trunktracker.
> I only want to scan 30-470 MHz, analog, FM and AM mode for aircraft.
>
> Any suggestions?
>
>

3053. Re: new scanner reccommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-23 23:08:28 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <vJadnfSK37JwUH_fRVn-og@comcast.com>
Source record: 12665

If you want to buy a "new" handheld scanner, and you want to monitor "military" aircraft, then you're best choice would be Radio Shack's new PRO-97, Cat #20-527, at $219.99. It's a Triple Trunk Tracker, but you can just ignore that feature.

http://tinyurl.com/9vlym

Or, if you just want to monitor commercial aircraft, then the Radio Shack PRO-82, Cat #20-315 will meet your needs, at $99.99.

http://tinyurl.com/pajl

If you're not in a hurry, Radio Shack occasionally prices the PRO-97 at $199.99, and the PRO-82 at $79.99. You might want to wait, and watch their web site for a sale.

Bill Crocker

"ai8o" <ai8o@LexComInc.net> wrote in message
news:dbu7c4$3mrr$1@news3.infoave.net...
>I am thinking of getting a new handheld scanner.
> I am NOT interested in a trunktracker.
> I only want to scan 30-470 MHz, analog, FM and AM mode for aircraft.
>
> Any suggestions?
>
>

3054. Re: Bearcat BC200XLT battery needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-23 23:10:23 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <newskat@katxyzkave.net>
Message-ID: <4ij5e11l2hit7qqfhr9054g5bsh7vcphve@4ax.com>
Source record: 12666

Using a finger dipped in purple ink, Bob Parnass <not-for-mail@fake.invalid> scribed:

> <http://www.batteriesamerica.com/hamradio.htm#Uniden>

Also, check www.nicdlady.com

--

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

This sig censored by the Office of Home and Land Insecurity....

3055. Re: Bearcat BC200XLT battery needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-24 10:43:59 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <PhKEe.47877$up5.28248@lakeread02>
Source record: 12667

mogur2 wrote:

> I need a new battery for the Bearcat BC200XLT. Suggest a vendor? Any
> NiMH battery packs available?
>
> Thanks,
> Warm Regards,
> Lou
> ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

Batteries Plus locations still rebuild them.
also a dealer I know of may still market them (www.kenwoo.com).

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.

3056. Re: BC796D & Butel Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-24 16:10:47 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <RpKdnbAtn48VIH7fRVn-3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 12668

Last call...posting on eBay tomorrow.

Thanks,
Bill

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:2fGdnQRrpqE7OnzfRVn-hw@comcast.com...
> FOR SALE:
>
> BC796D Uniden Digital Base/Mobile Scanner. Like new, about one month old,
> have original receipt from Universal Radio. Still under warranty!
>
> I purchased two of these, for a data logging via PC, to determine active
> frequencies in my area. My list is compiled, and I no longer have a need
> for two. Scanner is absolutely like new, never left my office.
>
> BONUS: I will include licensed Butel ARC250 PRO Software at no extra
> charge! A $69.95 value!
>
> I paid $534.95 + $69.95 = $604.90
>
> Your cost, only: $450.00 including shipping! (U.S. Only)
> PayPal Accepted!
>
> Thanks,
> Bill Crocker
>

3057. Re: HP Tech Support now gone overseas (ot)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-24 21:21:16 UTC
From: Frances Mullane <mullanef@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <1CTEe.22524$Wt3.5125@bignews3.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 12669
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

It is true that almost everyone outsources. Intuit also does. I have had very good support people from India with impeccable English and good skills and I have also had horrid ones who could not understand or speak English and did not know what they were doing.

I was told by one TS guy from India... one for Intuit that they are really trained and it is a lengthly process etc. etc. He was excellent. So I think each company decides how much to invest in this outsourced TS. Even if they don't outsource... if they don't train then it is garbage... It is the company's ethics rather than merely outsourcing per se.

3058. [SOLD]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-25 01:57:04 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <OoidnVbnnuB223nfRVn-og@comcast.com>
Source record: 12670

Sold

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:2fGdnQRrpqE7OnzfRVn-hw@comcast.com...
> FOR SALE:
>
> BC796D Uniden Digital Base/Mobile Scanner. Like new, about one month old,
> have original receipt from Universal Radio. Still under warranty!
>
> I purchased two of these, for a data logging via PC, to determine active
> frequencies in my area. My list is compiled, and I no longer have a need
> for two. Scanner is absolutely like new, never left my office.
>
> BONUS: I will include licensed Butel ARC250 PRO Software at no extra
> charge! A $69.95 value!
>
> I paid $534.95 + $69.95 = $604.90
>
> Your cost, only: $450.00 including shipping! (U.S. Only)
> PayPal Accepted!
>
> Thanks,
> Bill Crocker
>

3059. Re: 512MHz to 806MHz UHF tv

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-25 10:03:22 UTC
From: jamoran <analon1@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3aqdnUVHfas3JXnfRVn-rQ@sedona.net>
Source record: 12671

5`2 to 806 mhz is sued for UHF tv each channel is 6mhz wide

channel 14 is 470-476 with audio at 475.75 in wide FM mode

you can calculate the frequency if you know the channel
(channel - 14)*6 + 475.75

Alan Dye wrote:

> The Other Guy wrote:
>
>> Can NE1 tell me what the heck is inbetween 512MHz to 806MHz?? Why is
>> this left out of every scanner I have ever seen?? Whats in that area??
>> Why is it left out??
>>
>
> As I recall, television stations with over the air broadcast, see
> http://www.angelfire.com/pa/n3pbq/tvfreq.html for detail. Of course
> interspersed is likely military. Much military is between about 200 and
> 400mhz mostly military air. I occasionally find something going on in
> this range, but not much...
>
> Wide band receivers like the Yaesu VR-5000, VR-500, and the AOR 8600 and
> AOR 8200 receive this space. Check out
> http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/widerxvr.html for a list of some
> of the current wide-coverage receivers available.
>
> Alan

3060. Re: 512MHz to 806MHz UHF tv

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-25 11:30:20 UTC
From: "David L. Wilson" <dwilson314@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <r-6dnc2jmdHTUHnfRVn-3A@adelphia.com>
Source record: 12672

"jamoran" <analon1@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3aqdnUVHfas3JXnfRVn-rQ@sedona.net...
> 5`2 to 806 mhz is sued for UHF tv each channel is 6mhz wide
>
> channel 14 is 470-476 with audio at 475.75 in wide FM mode
>
> you can calculate the frequency if you know the channel
> (channel - 14)*6 + 475.75

And then remember that the FCC offsets *many* by either +0.01 or -0.01 MHz
to help against interference problems. Not real important when receioving
in WFM but curcial when using NFM for weak and multiple station receiving.

3061. ICOM R5 for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-25 12:49:25 UTC
From: Neil Bell <neilbell@att.notreally.net>
Message-ID: <drn9e11hs6h69vauvpvhaq0ha6l87p6n9u@4ax.com>
Source record: 12673

I just put my spare Mint Condition ICOM R5 up for sale on E-Bay. It includes Original box, manual, belt clip, A/C adapter, antenna etc. PLUS a PC interface cable!! All in great shape.

Item number is 5792331192

Check my feedback - 100% positive

Current bid is $75.00

Neil Bell

3062. Re: Scanner Pro ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-25 18:24:39 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <fgaFe.24233$Iv5.21282@fe02.lga>
Source record: 12674
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Alex Clayton" <alexx1400@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:ClUDe.1757$0C.398@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> "PowerHouse Communications" <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote in message
> news:QpBDe.4733$Iv5.81@fe02.lga...
> > Can not comment on that place. If you are looking for cheap, try
here...
> > Same price, AFTER shipping costs... I know they are reliable..
> > http://www.usascan.com/files/bc246T.cfm
> >
> > It's also available at Amazon.com for 4-cents more, shipping included if
> > you
> > shop there...
> > http://makeashorterlink.com/?S1FB2577B
> >
> >
>
> Thanks again, I ordered one when I got home from work this morning, and
they
> already sent me the Tracking info on the shipment. Can't beat that for
> service. Down loaded the manual, will have time to read it through a bit
> before it gets here so it should be easier to get it going. Looking
forward
> to seeing how it works. Still bothers me that RS seems to care less. I
guess
> the turnover there is just too much any more for them to attract "help"
that
> stays around long enough to be able to know something about what they
sell.
> In any case I'll let everyone know how this one works.

Glad to here everything is going well so far... I have been looking at
these as well, but there are so many new units out there recently, that I'm
not sure which to get and which I can actually afford... :)

3063. Binghamton NY police codes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-25 23:49:30 UTC
From: te <xxxx@xxxs.net>
Message-ID: <dc3top0248n@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 12675

Anyone have a list of the Binghamton NY police codes?
vic

3064. Scanner programs for the Computer.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-26 04:49:37 UTC
From: "news.west.cox.net" <news@cox.net>
Message-ID: <nnjFe.21617$HV1.1802@fed1read07>
Source record: 12676

By any chance has anyone come across any programs that are downloadable for the computer that will in affect/effect be able to scan the city and their frequencies to hear ambulance and etc...

I came across one awhile back, but had to reformat the computer, thus loosing this software.

I am able to with winamp listen to frequencies in the city, but not able to program my own frequencies if that makes any sense at all.

thanks well in advance

Erwi31@cox.net

3065. Re: Scanner programs for the Computer.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-26 11:15:28 UTC
From: Drifter <zespectre@askme.com>
Message-ID: <rp6ce118k1r6425kv9tbbg4i0l9tkvk4hf@4ax.com>
Source record: 12677

On Mon, 25 Jul 2005 21:49:37 -0700, "news.west.cox.net" <news@cox.net>
wrote:

>By any chance has anyone come across any programs that are downloadable for
>the computer that will in affect/effect be able to scan the city and their
>frequencies to hear ambulance and etc...
>
>I came across one awhile back, but had to reformat the computer, thus
>loosing this software.
>
>I am able to with winamp listen to frequencies in the city, but not able to
>program my own frequencies if that makes any sense at all.
>
>thanks well in advance
>
>Erwi31@cox.net

there are several but we need to know what make/model scanner you are
using.
Drifter
"I've been here, I've been there..."

3066. Digital Scanners On-Sale at Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-26 13:05:24 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <6KudnVxkAbeLqHvfRVn-qw@comcast.com>
Source record: 12678

Radio Shack has their Digital Scanners on sale, $50.00 off!

Both the PRO-96 hand-held, and the PRO-2096 base/mobile @ $449.99.

http://tinyurl.com/cd55v

Bill Crocker

3067. french ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-26 15:16:06 UTC
From: Alexandre Agui&#65533; <chezalex@worldonline.fr>
Message-ID: <42e653c6$0$5339$636a15ce@news.free.fr>
Source record: 12679

y'a t'il des francais dans le coin ?

3068. Re: french ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-26 16:29:27 UTC
From: Martin Lambert <lambertmartin@videotron.ca>
Message-ID: <BxtFe.66949$mv2.959242@weber.videotron.net>
Source record: 12680

Oui pourquoi ?
"Alexandre Agui" <chezalex@worldonline.fr> a crit dans le message de news:
42e653c6$0$5339$636a15ce@news.free.fr...
> y'a t'il des francais dans le coin ?
>

3069. Re: french ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-26 17:48:37 UTC
From: Alexandre Agui&#65533; <chezalex@worldonline.fr>
Message-ID: <42e67786$0$4680$636a15ce@news.free.fr>
Source record: 12681

juste que je cherche a communiquer avec des scanneurs francais
tu as MSN ?
"Martin Lambert" <lambertmartin@videotron.ca> a &#65533;crit dans le message de
news: BxtFe.66949$mv2.959242@weber.videotron.net...
> Oui pourquoi ?
> "Alexandre Agui&#65533;" <chezalex@worldonline.fr> a &#65533;crit dans le message de
> news: 42e653c6$0$5339$636a15ce@news.free.fr...
>> y'a t'il des francais dans le coin ?
>>
>
>

3070. Sony Air-8 audio problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-26 19:49:46 UTC
From: salmonsm <salmonsm@missouri.edu>
Message-ID: <1122407386.920979.251120@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12682

Hi,

I just picked up a used Sony Air-8 and it has an audio problem, and I've gathered from what I've read online that this is a common problem with this model. This made me wonder if perhaps the solution's well known as well. The symptom is the audio through both speaker and ear jack is very, very low, but just audible, and the volume control doesn't change the level at all. Any information would be greatly appreciated!

Michael Salmons
salmonsm@missouri.edu

3071. Orange county, CA law enforcement freqencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-26 22:41:10 UTC
From: "atomooka@gmail.com" <atomooka@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122417670.739521.150700@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12683

I just got a Uniden BC72XLT as a gift. I live in Huntington Beach, CA and would like to monitor some of the police freqencies in the area to know what is going on. Where can I find the freqencies for law enforcement and fire departments in the area?

3072. Re: Orange county, CA law enforcement freqencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-26 23:45:58 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <newskat@katxyzkave.net>
Message-ID: <keide1p8tqs2hrrg8ui7g73jo8q1usj8i1@4ax.com>
Source record: 12684

Using a finger dipped in purple ink, "atomooka@gmail.com" <atomooka@gmail.com> scribed:

>I just got a Uniden BC72XLT as a gift. I live in Huntington Beach, CA
>and would like to monitor some of the police freqencies in the area to
>know what is going on. Where can I find the freqencies for law
>enforcement and fire departments in the area?

Orange County is on a Motorola trunked system,
AND they're encrypted.

The radio you have is useless in being non-trunked,
but even if it did trunking, the encryption makes
it impossible to listen to them.

--

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

This sig censored by the Office of Home and Land Insecurity....

3073. frequency question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-27 01:47:17 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122428837.109241.92660@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12685
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.scanner

what sort of activity exist between aprox 185 and 195 Mhz looking at modifiing tranverter to expand its range was curious as to what sort of unit would porduce that range on its own

3074. Re: frequency question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-27 03:23:35 UTC
From: Pat Stevens <patstevens196@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <X6DFe.43921$e%5.37843@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 12686
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.scanner

It definitely isn't anything in Amateur Radio, no matter what country you
are in.

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1122428837.109241.92660@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> what sort of activity exist between aprox 185 and 195 Mhz looking at
> modifiing tranverter to expand its range was curious as to what sort
> of unit would porduce that range on its own
>

3075. Re: frequency question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-27 04:05:55 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122437155.574654.154170@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12687
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.scanner

Pat Stevens wrote:
> It definitely isn't anything in Amateur Radio, no matter what country you
> are in.

yes I know that does not eman i can't use ithe freqs as a LO
>
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1122428837.109241.92660@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> > what sort of activity exist between aprox 185 and 195 Mhz looking at
> > modifiing tranverter to expand its range was curious as to what sort
> > of unit would porduce that range on its own
> >

3076. Re: frequency question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-27 04:56:32 UTC
From: KC4IH <nospam@no_server.com>
Message-ID: <6bmdnaHexu9rjnrfRVn-tw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 12688
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.scanner

In the U. S. those frequencies will fall on television channels 8, 9 and 10.
73
Ken

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1122437155.574654.154170@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Pat Stevens wrote:
>> It definitely isn't anything in Amateur Radio, no matter what country you
>> are in.
>
> yes I know that does not eman i can't use ithe freqs as a LO
>>
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1122428837.109241.92660@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>> > what sort of activity exist between aprox 185 and 195 Mhz looking at
>> > modifiing tranverter to expand its range was curious as to what sort
>> > of unit would porduce that range on its own
>> >
>

3077. Re: french ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-27 09:31:22 UTC
From: Benoit <f5ngu@yahoo.fr>
Message-ID: <mn.dab37d5736f055a0.31345@yahoo.fr>
Source record: 12689

Alexandre Aguiï¿œ avait ï¿œcrit le 26/07/2005 :
> y'a t'il des francais dans le coin ?

Bonjour,
Oui il y en a !!

--
Ceci est une signature automatique de MesNews.
Site : http://www.mesnews.net

3078. Re: french ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-27 09:58:54 UTC
From: Alexandre Agui&#65533; <chezalex@worldonline.fr>
Message-ID: <42e75af2$0$15059$626a14ce@news.free.fr>
Source record: 12690

est ce que quelqu'un &#65533; msn ??
"Benoit" <f5ngu@yahoo.fr> a &#65533;crit dans le message de news:
mn.dab37d5736f055a0.31345@yahoo.fr...
> Alexandre Agui&#65533; avait &#65533;crit le 26/07/2005 :
>> y'a t'il des francais dans le coin ?
>
> Bonjour,
> Oui il y en a !!
>
> --
> Ceci est une signature automatique de MesNews.
> Site : http://www.mesnews.net
>
>

3079. Scanner Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-27 15:14:56 UTC
From: DragonWitch1@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3499-42E7A4F0-66@storefull-3351.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 12691

Hi,

I have a Radio Shack TunkTracker Pro 2050, the orange light on it burned out and I wanted to know if I could get it fixed, and where can I get it fixed. Can Radio Shack fix it? I live in southern Indiana,btw.

3080. Re: Scanner Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-27 20:27:49 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <ZsCdncqyWvVQcHrfRVn-jA@comcast.com>
Source record: 12692

You could probably replace it yourself, or have a local ham radio buddy help you.

To order parts call 1-800-843-7422 or visit your local RadioShack store.

REF # PL001
CAT # 10791416
DESCRIPTION: LAMP,PILOT 8V 0.2A
NP PART # L0200

Or, if you're not comfortable doing that, take it into to your local Radio Shack, and they can send it in for repair.

Bill Crocker

"Equine Goddess" <DragonWitch1@webtv.net> wrote in message news:3499-42E7A4F0-66@storefull-3351.bay.webtv.net...
> Hi,
>
> I have a Radio Shack TunkTracker Pro 2050, the orange light on it burned
> out and I wanted to know if I could get it fixed, and where can I get it
> fixed. Can Radio Shack fix it? I live in southern Indiana,btw.
>

3081. BC-895 FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-28 01:25:44 UTC
From: t <chinatom@hutchmail.com>
Message-ID: <dc9c6o01m9t@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 12694

Uniden BC-895XLT. Absolutely mint condition with everything that was
included when new. Also includes a programming cable. TrunkTracking radio
but also covers Military Air band.

Here is a link to Rich Wells 'Strong Signals' site with a very positive
review of the 895.

http://www.strongsignals.net/access/reviews/reviews.cgi?type=display&rtype=fi&class=recv&num=002

Asking $200.00 plus $15.00 Priority USPS shipping.

Also have a Pro-92, looking for reasonable offer.

thanks

Please reply to wfm013@yahoo.com

TIA

bill

3082. FA: FRS Radios (3) New in Pkg. (walkie-talkies) only $11.95

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-28 01:42:10 UTC
From: Dave <dave@somehere.net>
Message-ID: <2tdge11r253emjikkub6q8lcf6v05bmkn2@4ax.com>
Source record: 12693

I have 3 FRS radios on ebay with a starting bid of only 11.95 for all three.

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5792890238&rd=1&sspagename=STRK%3AMESE%3AIT&rd=1

Thanks

Dave

3083. FA: Radio Shack Pro-2026 VHF-UHF Scanner (Desirable Older Model)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-28 02:05:41 UTC
From: Dave <dave@somehere.net>
Message-ID: <67fge15e431o6t39lrg7u32dejmddnrnvv@4ax.com>
Source record: 12695

I have this for sale on ebay:

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5793370818&rd=1&sspagename=STRK%3AMESE%3AIT&rd=1

Be sure to check the frequency specs.

Thanks

Dave

3084. Re: Scanner Pro ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-28 03:51:56 UTC
From: alexx1400@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1122522716.895256.141580@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12696
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

PowerHouse Communications wrote:
> Can not comment on that place. If you are looking for cheap, try here...
> Same price, AFTER shipping costs... I know they are reliable..
> http://www.usascan.com/files/bc246T.cfm
>
> It's also available at Amazon.com for 4-cents more, shipping included if you
> shop there...
> http://makeashorterlink.com/?S1FB2577B
>

Thanks again for the recommendation. Got the scanner today. It was a
"challenge" to learn how to program for trunking, but after a while
I got the hang of it enough to get the city police and fire. Great
little scanner, will be MUCH easier to use at work because of the size,
and I very much like the way the system works.

3085. Re: BC-895 FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-28 14:41:55 UTC
From: John <none@none.non>
Message-ID: <Y86Ge.31$VU1.28@fe07.lga>
Source record: 12697

I'm just curious - you're free to sell it for whatever price you want, but
the last 895 I bought was in new condition, box, all accessories, with a
cable and scanning software, for $110.

Why are you asking $215?

"t" <chinatom@hutchmail.com> wrote in message
news:dc9c6o01m9t@enews4.newsguy.com...
> Uniden BC-895XLT. Absolutely mint condition with everything that was
> included when new. Also includes a programming cable. TrunkTracking
> radio but also covers Military Air band.
>
> Here is a link to Rich Wells 'Strong Signals' site with a very positive
> review of the 895.
>
> http://www.strongsignals.net/access/reviews/reviews.cgi?type=display&rtype=fi&class=recv
&num=002
>
> Asking $200.00 plus $15.00 Priority USPS shipping.
>
> Also have a Pro-92, looking for reasonable offer.
>
> thanks
>
> Please reply to wfm013@yahoo.com
>
> TIA
>
> bill
>
>
>
>

3086. Re: BC-895 FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-28 15:15:53 UTC
From: Stark <hsafdhg@kjhvehf.com>
Message-ID: <42e8f6c1$0$1564$ba620dc5@nova.planet.nl>
Source record: 12698

> Asking $200.00 plus $15.00 Priority USPS shipping.

duh... the new BC898 is $189.00 ...

3087. Re: frequency question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-28 23:03:53 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122591833.308123.153840@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12699
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.scanner

KC4IH wrote:
> In the U. S. those frequencies will fall on television channels 8, 9 and 10.
> 73
> Ken

thank you helps me make up my mind about a few things
>
>
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1122437155.574654.154170@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > Pat Stevens wrote:
> >> It definitely isn't anything in Amateur Radio, no matter what country you
> >> are in.
> >
> > yes I know that does not eman i can't use ithe freqs as a LO
> >>
> >> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1122428837.109241.92660@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >> > what sort of activity exist between aprox 185 and 195 Mhz looking at
> >> > modifiing tranverter to expand its range was curious as to what sort
> >> > of unit would porduce that range on its own
> >> >
> >

3088. Anyone experience with "Channel Stalker" feature on Pro83 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-29 20:11:47 UTC
From: Andy100 <andy100@aog.com>
Message-ID: <dce2hp$gb9$1@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 12700

Has anyone had any experience, good or bad, with the "Channel Stalker" feature on the PRO83 handheld scanner. I'm sure i have seen this being asked in here before but cannot find the answer.

I am thinking of buying one of these and had a few questions:

1) I assumed that the "Channel Stalker" feature would instantly find the frequency of, say a PMR radio across the road, or taxi over the road, am i right in thinking that (assuming the frequency the mobile was transmitting on was within the limits of the scanner)

2) Do ALL Pro83's come with this feature. I know the Bearcat UBC72XLT doesn't, while the BC72XLT does ! - is it the same for this scanner, or do they ALL have the feature on ?

3) Which is better ? Channel Stalker, or Uniden's "Close Call", or are they similar technologies ?

Thanks for any help. Just need a bit of info before buying. I have Googled for Channels Stalker, but there doesn't seem to be a lot of info out there.

Cheers
Andrew

3089. NE1 looking for the FCC Database??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-29 22:34:37 UTC
From: The Other Guy <scannerwarez@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <Xns96A2BD93CBB7Dnottodaycom@130.81.64.196>
Source record: 12701

We just got the new FCC Database on CD, go to:

http://mysite.verizon.net/moment_1/scannertesting/

and get your copy today :-)

--
That Other George

Please send your spam to: uce@ftc.gov

http://mysite.verizon.net/moment_1/scannertesting/

3090. Re: Anyone experience with "Channel Stalker" feature on Pro83 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-30 02:56:19 UTC
From: "M.S." <notvalid@email.add>
Message-ID: <p%BGe.69$FN5.32045@sooner.brightok.net>
Source record: 12702

First off, the Pro-83 feature is "Signal Stalker". It will stop on the first RF signal detected in the band(s) being searched, generally the closest and/or strongest transmitter. All Pro-83s have this feature. The Pro-83 is made for Radio Shack by Uniden, so it has the same basis as the "Close Call" feature on Uniden-branded scanners. There are some feature differences in operation, and I wouldn't be surprised if the Uniden-made Pro-83s are not quite as sensitive as the Uniden-branded scanners. The BC-72XLT and the Pro-83 are very similar, but do have some operational differences. I have the Pro-83, which is a nice little scanner, but not the BC-72XLT or the BC-92XLT (which is the next level up from the Pro-83), so I can't offer a comparison between them.

"Andy100" <andy100@aog.com> wrote in message
news:dce2hp$gb9$1@news5.svr.pol.co.uk...
> Has anyone had any experience, good or bad, with the "Channel Stalker"
> feature on the PRO83 handheld scanner. I'm sure i have seen this being
> asked
> in here before but cannot find the answer.
>
> I am thinking of buying one of these and had a few questions:
>
> 1) I assumed that the "Channel Stalker" feature would instantly find the
> frequency of, say a PMR radio across the road, or taxi over the road, am i
> right in thinking that (assuming the frequency the mobile was transmitting
> on was within the limits of the scanner)
>
> 2) Do ALL Pro83's come with this feature. I know the Bearcat UBC72XLT
> doesn't, while the BC72XLT does ! - is it the same for this scanner, or do
> they ALL have the feature on ?
>
> 3) Which is better ? Channel Stalker, or Uniden's "Close Call", or are
> they
> similar technologies ?
>
>
> Thanks for any help. Just need a bit of info before buying. I have Googled
> for Channels Stalker, but there doesn't seem to be a lot of info out
> there.
>
>
> Cheers
> Andrew
>
>

3091. Re: Anyone experience with "Channel Stalker" feature on Pro83 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-30 03:54:36 UTC
From: Buzzygirl <buzzygirl@nospam.comcast.net>
Message-ID: <JeqdnQCP3NJoZHffRVn-gQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 12703

1) I assumed that the "Channel Stalker" feature would instantly find the
> frequency of, say a PMR radio across the road, or taxi over the road, am i
> right in thinking that (assuming the frequency the mobile was transmitting
> on was within the limits of the scanner)

I have the Pro 83. Yeah, that is how it works, but I find that I have to be pretty close to a radio source before this feature will pick it up, unless it's a really strong source. A PMR across the road or nearby taxi (like within 1/4-1/2 mile) should be reachable.

I like this scanner. It picks up everything my Pro 95 does, except of course the Pro 83 is not a trunking radio.

Jackie

3092. Re: Anyone experience with "Channel Stalker" feature on Pro83 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-30 07:51:24 UTC
From: Andy100 <andy100@aog.com>
Message-ID: <dcfbhb$o8p$1@newsg1.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 12704

Thanks both for the good info there, one further thing, does it pick up the frequency instantly ??. Say i saw someone using a radio (transmitting) and i turned the "Signal Stalker" on, would it find the signal before he has stopped transmitting (i.e. within a second or so) ????

Cheers
Andy

"Buzzygirl" <buzzygirl@nospam.comcast.net> wrote in message news:JeqdnQCP3NJoZHffRVn-gQ@comcast.com...
>
> 1) I assumed that the "Channel Stalker" feature would instantly find the
> > frequency of, say a PMR radio across the road, or taxi over the road, am i
> > right in thinking that (assuming the frequency the mobile was transmitting
> > on was within the limits of the scanner)
>
> I have the Pro 83. Yeah, that is how it works, but I find that I have to be
> pretty close to a radio source before this feature will pick it up, unless
> it's a really strong source. A PMR across the road or nearby taxi (like
> within 1/4-1/2 mile) should be reachable.
>
> I like this scanner. It picks up everything my Pro 95 does, except of course
> the Pro 83 is not a trunking radio.
>
> Jackie
>
>

3093. Re: NE1 looking for the FCC Database??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-30 15:28:59 UTC
From: Mike <km6ab@REMOVETHIS.pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <42EB9BED.2060606@REMOVETHIS.pacbell.net>
Source record: 12705

Interesting site. I'd like to know how you got 8dB more gain with a pair of Scanner Warehouse beams over a Create Log Periodic? Do you know the actual gain of each single antenna? There is an optimum spacing for a specific frequency antenna, how did you arrive at the spacing for all frequencies the antennas cover?
Mike

The Other Guy wrote:
> We just got the new FCC Database on CD, go to:
>
> http://mysite.verizon.net/moment_1/scannertesting/
>
> and get your copy today :-)
>

3094. Re: french ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-30 16:12:28 UTC
From: Benoit <f5ngu@yahoo.fr>
Message-ID: <mn.f4447d57eb816de2.31345@yahoo.fr>
Source record: 12706

```
Alexandre Aguiï¿œ avait soumis l'idï¿œe :
> est ce que quelqu'un ï¿œ msn ??
> "Benoit" <f5ngu@yahoo.fr> a ï¿œcrit dans le message de news:
> mn.dab37d5736f055a0.31345@yahoo.fr...
>> Alexandre Aguiï¿œ avait ï¿œcrit le 26/07/2005 :
>>> y'a t'il des francais dans le coin ?
>>
>> Bonjour,
>> Oui il y en a !!
>>
>> -- Ceci est une signature automatique de MesNews.
>> Site : http://www.mesnews.net
>>
>>

Pour moi :
f5ngu@msn.com

--
Ceci est une signature automatique de MesNews.
Site : http://www.mesnews.net
```

3095. Re: Anyone experience with "Channel Stalker" feature on Pro83 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-30 16:25:34 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <2SNGe.6428$0C.4281@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 12707

"Andy100" <andy100@aog.com> wrote in message
news:dcfbhb$o8p$1@newsg1.svr.pol.co.uk...
> Thanks both for the good info there, one further thing, does it pick up
> the
> frequency instantly ??. Say i saw someone using a radio (transmitting) and
> i
> turned the "Signal Stalker" on, would it find the signal before he has
> stopped transmitting (i.e. within a second or so) ????
>
> Cheers
> Andy
>
>
>

That is going to depend on how far and wide you are scanning. The wider the
"net" the longer between hits is going to be. Also if you are going to get
this make sure you are not going to be wanting to pick up trunked systems.
If there are any trunked systems you would be better off paying the extra
and getting a 246T. They are about twice as much, but you have trunking, and
close call, and they are very small also with all this in the package.
--
Experience is something you don't get until just after you need it.

3096. Re: Anyone experience with "Channel Stalker" feature on Pro83 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-30 16:29:40 UTC
From: Andy100 <andy100@aog.com>
Message-ID: <dcg9tbhqa1@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 12708

True, true ! - But in general would it take a matter of seconds or tens of seconds ? Say i was scanning around the 440MHz area ?

Cheers
Andy

"Alex Clayton" <alexx1400@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:2SNGe.6428$0C.4281@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> "Andy100" <andy100@aog.com> wrote in message
> news:dcfbhb$o8p$1@newsg1.svr.pol.co.uk...
> > Thanks both for the good info there, one further thing, does it pick up
> > the
> > frequency instantly ??. Say i saw someone using a radio (transmitting)
and
> > i
> > turned the "Signal Stalker" on, would it find the signal before he has
> > stopped transmitting (i.e. within a second or so) ????
> >
> > Cheers
> > Andy
> >
> >
> >
>
> That is going to depend on how far and wide you are scanning. The wider
the
> "net" the longer between hits is going to be. Also if you are going to get
> this make sure you are not going to be wanting to pick up trunked systems.
> If there are any trunked systems you would be better off paying the extra
> and getting a 246T. They are about twice as much, but you have trunking,
and
> close call, and they are very small also with all this in the package.
> --
> Experience is something you don't get until just after you need it.
>
>

3097. AOR AR8000 reset button ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-30 20:55:59 UTC
From: Andy100 <andy100@aog.com>
Message-ID: <dcgpglogl1@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 12709

Is there a quick way to restore factory settings to the AOR AR-8000 handheld scanner. I got one off e-bay and the previous owner had all the channels full of frequencies that are 'dead' up here. I can manually delete each one, or overwrite with my own, but wondered if there was a simple set of key-strokes which wipes the memory. Similar to the Realistic models where you hold 2, 9 and switch the unit on.

Cheers
Andrew

3098. Re: NE1 looking for the FCC Database??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-31 21:05:49 UTC
From: no@spam.org
Message-ID: <96A4AB821nospamorg@130.81.64.196>
Source record: 12710

km6ab@REMOVETHIS.pacbell.net (Mike) wrote in <42EB9BED.2060606
@REMOVETHIS.pacbell.net>:

>Interesting site. I'd like to know how you got 8dB more gain with a pair
>of Scanner Warehouse beams over a Create Log Periodic? Do you know the
>actual gain of each single antenna? There is an optimum spacing for a
>specific frequency antenna, how did you arrive at the spacing for all
>frequencies the antennas cover?
>Mike

Welp Mike I didn't figur out anything, but the 2 guys that did, tell me at 8' 4
" they are dead center of the 10KHz to 1.3MHz rang, they also say on a vertical
antenna it dose not mater. And they say the gain is plus + 8 over the Create. I
really have no clue of the what's or why's I'm a software and computer guy :-)
They on the other hand are both radio engineering type qeeks that set around
and talk about wave lengths and opening up the clarifier and moding the scanner
for a discriminator output, half the time I have no clue about what the heck
thay are talking about.

That Other George

Please send your spam to: uce@ftc.gov

Find us on:

IRC #ScannerRadio_Warez For all your Scanner Controll Warez

UseNET: alt.binaries.radio-scanner For all your Scanner Controll Warez

UseNET: alt.binaries.radio.scanner.warez For all your Scanner Controll Warez

http://mysite.verizon.net/moment_1/

3099. Re: NE1 looking for the FCC Database??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-31 22:18:44 UTC
From: Mike <km6ab@REMOVETHIS.pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <87cHe.181$C11.63@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 12711

OK, makes sense. You should find a way to verify the the gain and
spacing info, it's incorrect and misleading. Nice site otherwise.
Mike

No Spam wrote:
> km6ab@REMOVETHIS.pacbell.net (Mike) wrote in <42EB9BED.2060606
> @REMOVETHIS.pacbell.net>:
>
>
>>Interesting site. I'd like to know how you got 8dB more gain with a pair
>>of Scanner Warehouse beams over a Create Log Periodic? Do you know the
>>actual gain of each single antenna? There is an optimum spacing for a
>>specific frequency antenna, how did you arrive at the spacing for all
>>frequencies the antennas cover?
>>Mike
>
>
> Welp Mike I didn't figur out anything, but the 2 guys that did, tell me at 8' 4
> " they are dead center of the 10KHz to 1.3MHz rang, they also say on a vertical
> antenna it dose not mater. And they say the gain is plus + 8 over the Create. I
> really have no clue of the what's or why's I'm a software and computer guy :-)
> They on the other hand are both radio engineering type qeeks that set around
> and talk about wave lengths and opening up the clarifier and moding the scanner
> for a discriminator output, half the time I have no clue about what the heck
> thay are talking about.
>
>
> That Other George
>
> Please send your spam to: uce@ftc.gov
>
> Find us on:
>
> IRC #ScannerRadio_Warez For all your Scanner Controll Warez
>
> UseNET: alt.binaries.radio-scanner For all your Scanner Controll Warez
>
> UseNET: alt.binaries.radio.scanner.warez For all your Scanner Controll Warez
>
> http://mysite.verizon.net/moment_1/

3100. Re: AOR AR8000 reset button ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-31 22:46:34 UTC
From: jamoran <analon1@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <x4qdnRsOW4wRyXDfRVn-iQ@sedona.net>
Source record: 12712

for aor-8000
there is a hard reset an a soft reset
verify you do have frequencies programmed in the scan banks if htere are
no frequencies programmed it may act funky

go to the AOR web and download the PDF manual, the specific reset
instructions can be found there

Andy100 wrote:
> Is there a quick way to restore factory settings to the AOR AR-8000 handheld
> scanner. I got one off e-bay and the previous owner had all the channels
> full of frequencies that are 'dead' up here. I can manually delete each one,
> or overwrite with my own, but wondered if there was a simple set of
> key-strokes which wipes the memory. Similar to the Realistic models where
> you hold 2, 9 and switch the unit on.
>
> Cheers
> Andrew
>
>

3101. Re: Anyone experience with "Channel Stalker" feature on Pro83 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-31 23:34:32 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <cedHe.371$I04.77@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 12713

"Andy100" <andy100@aog.com> wrote in message
news:dcg9tbhqa1@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk...
> True, true ! - But in general would it take a matter of seconds or tens of
> seconds ? Say i was scanning around the 440MHz area ?
>
> Cheers
> Andy
>
> "Alex Clayton" <alexx1400@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:2SNGe.6428$0C.4281@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> "Andy100" <andy100@aog.com> wrote in message
>> news:dcfbhb$o8p$1@newsg1.svr.pol.co.uk...
>> > Thanks both for the good info there, one further thing, does it pick up
>> > the
>> > frequency instantly ??. Say i saw someone using a radio (transmitting)
> and
>> > i
>> > turned the "Signal Stalker" on, would it find the signal before he has
>> > stopped transmitting (i.e. within a second or so) ????
>> >
>> > Cheers
>> > Andy
>> >
>> >
>> >
>>
>> That is going to depend on how far and wide you are scanning. The wider
> the
>> "net" the longer between hits is going to be. Also if you are going to
>> get
>> this make sure you are not going to be wanting to pick up trunked
>> systems.
>> If there are any trunked systems you would be better off paying the extra
>> and getting a 246T. They are about twice as much, but you have trunking,
> and
>> close call, and they are very small also with all this in the package.
>> --
>> Experience is something you don't get until just after you need it.
>>
>>
>
>

If you are scanning between 440-450 you should not even be able to tell. If you could hear the guy with the radio, it would sound like you had another radio, not a scanner. They scan so fast that if you know that close to what you want to hear there really is no delay. Now if you are scanning say from the 150's to the 460's you would occasionally miss a small part of some transmissions. Normally the only real "miss" you are going to get with a small spread is if one call is holding the scanner, then when it lets go you pick up on another one already in progress. For a conventional system they should work fine, but more and more places are going to some form of trunking.
--
Experience is something you don't get until just after you need it.

3102. line noise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-07-31 23:50:05 UTC
From: Drifter <zespectre@askme.com>
Message-ID: <aqoqe192k2upficdbltuh0h03pbbc876o5@4ax.com>
Source record: 12714

Ever since a lightning storm last week it seems like I'm getting a lot of line noise (60 cycle hum) from my Pro 92. Running on batteries it seems fine. Would you all consider it likely that the "wall wart" is the problem or is there some other type of transformer in the radio itself.

Thanks

Drifter
"I've been here, I've been there..."

3103. Re: line noise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-01 02:21:11 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <rGfHe.414$I04.269@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 12715

"Drifter" <zespectre@askme.com> wrote in message
news:aqoqe192k2upficdbltuh0h03pbbc876o5@4ax.com...
> Ever since a lightning storm last week it seems like I'm getting a lot
> of line noise (60 cycle hum) from my Pro 92. Running on batteries it
> seems fine. Would you all consider it likely that the "wall wart" is
> the problem or is there some other type of transformer in the radio
> itself.
>
> Thanks
>
>
> Drifter
> "I've been here, I've been there..."

Sure sounds like the AC adapter could be the problem. I would take it to a
place like a RS, and ask if they have a "universal AC adapter" that would
fit it. Act like you don't know what they are, and see if they will demo one
for you. If they can see if the noise is gone when you try theirs, then you
will know if you need to replace the one you have.
--
Experience is something you don't get until just after you need it.

3104. Re: AOR AR8000 reset button ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-01 04:36:28 UTC
From: Andy100 <andy100@aog.com>
Message-ID: <dck8s5$8sn$1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 12716

Can someone not just post what the reset procedure is. I had already looked
at that manual, but couldn't find them,

Thanks
Andy

"jamoran" <analon1@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:x4qdnRsOW4wRyXDfRVn-iQ@sedona.net...
> for aor-8000
> there is a hard reset an a soft reset
> verify you do have frequencies programmed in the scan banks if htere are
> no frequencies programmed it may act funky
>
> go to the AOR web and download the PDF manual, the specific reset
> instructions can be found there
>
>
> Andy100 wrote:
> > Is there a quick way to restore factory settings to the AOR AR-8000
handheld
> > scanner. I got one off e-bay and the previous owner had all the channels
> > full of frequencies that are 'dead' up here. I can manually delete each
one,
> > or overwrite with my own, but wondered if there was a simple set of
> > key-strokes which wipes the memory. Similar to the Realistic models
where
> > you hold 2, 9 and switch the unit on.
> >
> > Cheers
> > Andrew
> >
> >

3105. Re: line noise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-01 12:49:12 UTC
From: Bob <bob@nowhere.nil>
Message-ID: <ySoHe.5493$p5.700@nnrp.ca.mci.com!nnrp1.uunet.ca>
Source record: 12717

Alex Clayton wrote:
> "Drifter" <zespectre@askme.com> wrote in message
> news:aqoqe192k2upficdbltuh0h03pbbc876o5@4ax.com...
>
>>Ever since a lightning storm last week it seems like I'm getting a lot
>>of line noise (60 cycle hum) from my Pro 92. Running on batteries it
>>seems fine. Would you all consider it likely that the "wall wart" is
>>the problem or is there some other type of transformer in the radio
>>itself.
>>
>>Thanks
>>
>>
>>Drifter
>>"I've been here, I've been there..."
>
>
> Sure sounds like the AC adapter could be the problem. I would take it to a
> place like a RS, and ask if they have a "universal AC adapter" that would
> fit it. Act like you don't know what they are, and see if they will demo one
> for you. If they can see if the noise is gone when you try theirs, then you
> will know if you need to replace the one you have.

Why act?? Tell them you think the original one has become noisy and if
their's runs the radio and is nice and quiet you'll be purchasing it.
Also, instead of the universal model, test one with the same voltage and
current capacity as the original.

3106. Rider revolt on motorman-only "L" train

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-01 13:11:30 UTC
From: Joel Rubin <jmrubin@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <6cpHe.12162$oZ.4633@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 12718
Cross-posted to: nyc.transit,rec.radio.scanner

(I believe that it is illegal to repeat any content heard on radio other than on broadcast and ham radio.)

http://www.nynewsday.com/news/local/newyork/ny-nysub014367126aug01,0,2057024.column?coll=ny-ny-columnists

IN THE SUBWAYS

Straphangers flex their muscles
Ray Sanchez

August 1, 2005

Little trace remains of the early-morning mutiny on a conductorless L train in Brooklyn.

Still, the rebellion is noteworthy as the Metropolitan Transportation Authority moves ahead with plans to eliminate more than 300 conductors on four subway lines in coming years.

The tale of mutinous MetroCard holders was recounted by Jon Schachter, a Manhattan resident and longtime subway activist, who deciphered it from transit radio frequencies.

It starts sometime after 2:30 a.m. on July 10, in the tension-filled days after the first terrorist bombings in the London Underground. Schachter was riding the L beneath the East Village, listening, as he always does on the train, to his portable scanner.

"There was a Manhattan-bound train and transit control center says, 'Hold at Lorimer with your doors open,'" Schachter recalled.

That would be Lorimer Street station in Brooklyn. It was unclear what the trouble was. Maybe signal problems. There was a track gang working on the line beneath Sixth Avenue in Manhattan, Schachter said.

"They held the train at Lorimer for a while before they said, 'Proceed to Bedford and discharge the train,'" he said.

The lone motorman took his eight-car train to Bedford Avenue, the last stop in Brooklyn before the train enters Manhattan via a tube under the East River. One-person train operation, a money-saving measure known as OPTO, was introduced on the L last month - on nights and weekends, for now.

The controversial move counters the transit agency's supposed focus on security in the wake of terrorist bombings on trains in London and

Madrid. The MTA plans to eliminate the positions of 313 train conductors on four subway lines, starting in 2007, according to a published report.

"The train proceeds to Bedford Avenue and, of course, there's only one person on the train," said Schachter, referring to the train operator. At that hour, people leaving clubs and restaurants along Bedford flock to the subway.

"He calls back on his radio and says people won't get off the train," Schachter continued. "This guy has eight cars of people to discharge by himself. They called police and it was almost three in the morning and the police never showed."

Frustration on the L line had been running high for months. The MTA hopes to run its first automated trains on the line. Service disruptions have been frequent as the line's antiquated signal system is replaced.

Schachter believes riders at Bedford Avenue that morning had had enough.

"There were too many people," he said. "They said, 'No, we're not getting off. We're not taking this crap. We want to get home.'"

A New York City Transit spokesman, Charles Seaton, said there was no record of the incident. But this was not a denial. "It means we can't find a record of it," he said.

"I'm sure he was sound asleep at the time," Schachter said of the transit spokesman.

A police spokesman yesterday said the incident would likely go unreported by cops. "There was no crime committed," he said.

So Schachter's recollection of radio transmissions is the hardest information available on the mutinous L line riders.

"Finally, somebody at the control center said, 'I have a solution, call me on the phone,'" he said. "Moments later you hear the announcement, 'OK, we're going to send this train and the next one into Manhattan.' They let the train roll because people would not get off."

"I know that occasionally there are mutinies, but I didn't hear the details of that particular one," said Andrew Albert, a nonvoting member of the MTA board who represents riders.

Last week, London's largest transit union threatened to strike if rail guards were not reinstated on all tube trains. Rail guards, as London's conductors are known, were eliminated decades ago.

A motorman on the L line yesterday said passenger mutinies are not uncommon.

"People get fed up," he said. "If they don't sense that it's a dangerous situation, they're not going to get off your train."

He added, "From our point of view, it's only a matter of time before the New York subway is attacked. When it happens, I hope I have a conductor on my train."

Copyright ï¿½ 2005, Newsday, Inc.

3107. Re: AOR AR8000 reset button ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-01 15:57:58 UTC
From: Me <me@here.com>
Message-ID: <afhse1lme3smu8tkmvrciqq5cuv3n5ucde@4ax.com>
Source record: 12719

I just looked in my 8000 manual and all I can find is the soft reset.
It doesn't seem to clear the memories. Hold down the clear key while
turning the radio on.

Can't find the 'hard' reset anywhere so far.

Ben

On Mon, 1 Aug 2005 05:36:28 +0100, "Andy100" <andy100@aog.com> wrote:

>Can someone not just post what the reset procedure is. I had already looked
>at that manual, but couldn't find them,
>
>Thanks
>Andy
>
>
>
>"jamoran" <analon1@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:x4qdnRsOW4wRyXDfRVn-iQ@sedona.net...
>> for aor-8000
>> there is a hard reset an a soft reset
>> verify you do have frequencies programmed in the scan banks if htere are
>> no frequencies programmed it may act funky
>>
>> go to the AOR web and download the PDF manual, the specific reset
>> instructions can be found there
>>
>>
>> Andy100 wrote:
>> > Is there a quick way to restore factory settings to the AOR AR-8000
>handheld
>> > scanner. I got one off e-bay and the previous owner had all the channels
>> > full of frequencies that are 'dead' up here. I can manually delete each
>one,
>> > or overwrite with my own, but wondered if there was a simple set of
>> > key-strokes which wipes the memory. Similar to the Realistic models
>where
>> > you hold 2, 9 and switch the unit on.
>> >
>> > Cheers
>> > Andrew
>> >
>> >
>

3108. Re: line noise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-01 16:37:33 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <hdsHe.7030$0C.3777@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 12720

"Bob" <bob@nowhere.nil> wrote in message
news:ySoHe.5493$p5.700@nnrp.ca.mci.com!nnrp1.uunet.ca...
> Alex Clayton wrote:
>> "Drifter" <zespectre@askme.com> wrote in message
>> news:aqoqe192k2upficdbltuh0h03pbbc876o5@4ax.com...
>>
>>>Ever since a lightning storm last week it seems like I'm getting a lot
>>>of line noise (60 cycle hum) from my Pro 92. Running on batteries it
>>>seems fine. Would you all consider it likely that the "wall wart" is
>>>the problem or is there some other type of transformer in the radio
>>>itself.
>>>
>>>Thanks
>>>
>>>
>>>Drifter
>>>"I've been here, I've been there..."
>>
>>
>> Sure sounds like the AC adapter could be the problem. I would take it to
>> a place like a RS, and ask if they have a "universal AC adapter" that
>> would fit it. Act like you don't know what they are, and see if they will
>> demo one for you. If they can see if the noise is gone when you try
>> theirs, then you will know if you need to replace the one you have.
>
> Why act?? Tell them you think the original one has become noisy and if
> their's runs the radio and is nice and quiet you'll be purchasing it.
> Also, instead of the universal model, test one with the same voltage and
> current capacity as the original.

The reason I said "act" was I don't know if the poster wants to buy anything
from RS. A lot of people have all kinds of problems with them.
--
Experience is something you don't get until just after you need it.

3109. Anyone Have Info on Rock County Wisconsin?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-01 17:01:51 UTC
From: zerg90 <zerg90@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122915711.080676.161830@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12721

I was doing a little research on Rock County Wisconsin. Anybody know what 159.27 and 154.04 are used for? Can anyone confirm that 153.95 is the input to both the North and South Fire Ops repeaters? Is 158.73 now a repeater channel? Is 155.55 a repeater at the jail or courthouse? Does Beloit FD still use 452.90 and 453.4875? What does Beloit PD use 453.5625 and 453.9625 for? Did Beloit DPW move from 154.10R in 1994 to 155.94R now? What does Janesville FD use portable radios on 150.775 and 150.79 for? I just noticed that the Sheriff has a link radio on 457.90 - perhaps this is a link to Beloit FD? RadioRef says that 155.685R is PD tac in Janesville - true? Rock County Sheriff also has 25 portable radios licensed on 159.03 - maybe these are for portable extenders?

I checked various sources around the Internet, but was unable to come up with detailed info.

Peter S - Boston MA - Scanning USA Magazine

3110. Re: Anyone Have Info on Rock County Wisconsin?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-01 17:25:03 UTC
From: The Other Guy <scannerwarez@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <Xns96A5891A5D84Cnottodaycom@130.81.64.196>
Source record: 12722

"zerg90" <zerg90@gmail.com> wrote in news:1122915711.080676.161830
@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

> I was doing a little research on Rock County Wisconsin. Anybody know
> what 159.27 and 154.04 are used for? Can anyone confirm that 153.95 is
> the input to both the North and South Fire Ops repeaters? Is 158.73 now
> a repeater channel? Is 155.55 a repeater at the jail or courthouse?
> Does Beloit FD still use 452.90 and 453.4875? What does Beloit PD use
> 453.5625 and 453.9625 for? Did Beloit DPW move from 154.10R in 1994 to
> 155.94R now? What does Janesville FD use portable radios on 150.775 and
> 150.79 for? I just noticed that the Sheriff has a link radio on 457.90
> - perhaps this is a link to Beloit FD? RadioRef says that 155.685R is
> PD tac in Janesville - true? Rock County Sheriff also has 25 portable
> radios licensed on 159.03 - maybe these are for portable extenders?
>
> I checked various sources around the Internet, but was unable to come
> up with detailed info.
>
> Peter S - Boston MA - Scanning USA Magazine
>

Well Peter this is what's on the FCC DataBase for Beloit:

FREQUENCY DESCRIPTION
0.5300 BELOIT CITY OF
25.0000 PELLEGRINI RALPH; SKOKUT GREG
30.8400 HM ELECTRONICS INC; BURGER KING REST 1060; TACO BELL
31.2400 TACO BELL
33.1400 TACO BELL
33.1600 HM ELECTRONICS INC; BURGER KING REST 1060; TACO BELL
33.4000 TACO BELL
35.0200 TACO BELL
35.0600 BRUCES RADIO; QUIGLEY SMART INC
35.0800 BRUCES RADIO; QUIGLEY SMART INC
35.1200 TACO BELL
35.8800 TACO BELL
35.9600 TACO BELL
72.0200 BELOIT CORPORATION INC
72.0600 BELOIT CORPORATION INC
72.0800 COLTEC INDUSTRIES
72.1000 BELOIT CORPORATION
72.1200 Primex Inc; Beloit Memorial Hospital
72.1600 BELOIT CORPORATION INC
72.2000 BELOIT CORPORATION INC
72.2200 Primex Inc; Beloit Memorial Hospital

72.2400 BELOIT CORPORATION; COLTEC INDUSTRIES
72.3200 BELOIT CORPORATION
72.3400 BELOIT CORPORATION INC
72.5200 BELOIT CORPORATION
150.0000 PELLEGRINI RALPH; SKOKUT GREG
150.8450 GENERAL COMMUNICATIONS INC; DEWEYS SERVICE INC
151.0400 TURTLE TOWN OF; BELOIT TOWN OF
151.5200 FEDERAL LICENSING INC; PRAIRIE AVENUE CONCRETE
151.5950 BELOIT REDI MIX INC
151.6250 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; BELOIT TURNER HIGH SCHOOL
151.6850 KADES MOTOR PARTS INC
151.7150 PEPSI COLA GENERAL BOTTLERS INC
151.7450 TACO BELL
151.7750 TACO BELL
151.8050 TACO BELL
151.8350 MARCOS CORPORATION; TACO BELL
151.8950 TACO BELL; BELOIT CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT
152.2700 CD TRANSPORTATION CO INC
152.9900 ATKINSON FARMS INC
153.0650 OMC PARTS ACCESSORIES
153.0800 COLTEC INDUSTRIES INC
153.3800 COLTEC INDUSTRIES INC
154.0400 ROCK, COUNTY OF
154.2800 City of Beloit Fire Department; BELOIT CITY OF
154.2950 City of Beloit Fire Department; BELOIT CITY OF; BELOIT TOWN
OF; TURTLE TOWN OF
154.3100 City of Beloit Fire Department; BELOIT CITY OF; BELOIT TOWN
OF; TURTLE TOWN OF
154.3400 BELOIT TOWN OF; TURTLE TOWN OF
154.5150 HM ELECTRONICS INC; BURGER KING REST 1060; TACO BELL;
COMMUNICATIONS LICENSING CONSULTING; BELOIT MEMORIAL HOSPITAL
154.5400 TACO BELL
154.5700 TACO BELL
154.6000 TACO BELL
154.8000 BELOIT TOWN OF
155.1600 GENERAL COMMUNICATIONS; BELOIT MEMORIAL HOSPITAL
155.2500 GENERAL COMMUNICATIONS; BELOIT CITY OF
155.2800 EVANS INDUSTRIAL COMMUNICATIONS; BELOIT MEMORIAL HOSPITAL
155.3400 EVANS INDUSTRIAL COMMUNICATIONS; BELOIT MEMORIAL HOSPITAL
155.3700 GENERAL COMMUNICATIONS; BELOIT CITY OF; BELOIT TOWN OF
155.4750 BELOIT TOWN OF
155.9400 GENERAL COMMUNICATIONS INC; BELOIT CITY OF
157.5300 CD TRANSPORTATION CO INC
158.3250 COMMUNICATIONS LICENSING CONSULTING; BELOIT MEMORIAL
HOSPITAL
158.7300 GENERAL COMMUNICATIONS; BELOIT CITY OF; BELOIT TOWN OF
158.8950 GENERAL COMMUNICATIONS INC; BELOIT CITY OF
159.0900 BELOIT TOWN OF
159.2700 ROCK, COUNTY OF
450.0000 PELLEGRINI RALPH; SKOKUT GREG

451.6250 EVANS INDUSTRIAL COMMUNICATIONS; BELOIT CORPORATION
451.7750 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; HAHN GARY W
452.0250 EVANS INDUSTRIAL COMMUNICATIONS; DREVDAHL AUTOBODY
452.2250 COMELEC; BAUER GROUNDS MAINTENANCE
452.4750 COMELEC; BAUER GROUNDS MAINTENANCE
452.6250 GENERAL COMMUNICATIONS; BELOIT CITY OF
452.8250 EVANS INDUSTRIAL COMMUNICATIONS; BELOIT CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT
452.9000 Beloit Fire Department; BELOIT FIRE CITY OF
453.4875 City of Beloit Fire Department; BELOIT CITY OF
453.5625 GENERAL COMMUNICATIONS; BELOIT CITY OF
453.9625 GENERAL COMMUNICATIONS; BELOIT CITY OF
456.6250 EVANS INDUSTRIAL COMMUNICATIONS; BELOIT CORPORATION
456.7750 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; HAHN GARY W
457.5250 DOUG THOMPSON; COUNTRY CLUB OF BELOIT
457.5500 DOUG THOMPSON; COUNTRY CLUB OF BELOIT
457.5750 DOUG THOMPSON; COUNTRY CLUB OF BELOIT
457.6000 DOUG THOMPSON; COUNTRY CLUB OF BELOIT
457.6250 GENERAL COMMUNICATIONS; BELOIT CITY OF
457.9000 Beloit Fire Department; BELOIT FIRE CITY OF
458.4875 City of Beloit Fire Department; BELOIT CITY OF
460.0500 GENERAL COMMUNICATIONS; BELOIT CITY OF
460.0750 GENERAL COMMUNICATIONS; BELOIT CITY OF
460.2000 GENERAL COMMUNICATIONS; BELOIT CITY OF
460.2500 GENERAL COMMUNICATIONS; BELOIT CITY OF
460.8875 WENDYS
461.0125 DYNATECH WIRELESS TECHNOLOGIES; TACO BELL 01660
461.0250 FEDERAL LICENSING INC; R H BATTERMAN INC
461.3125 DYNATECH WIRELESS TECHNOLOGIES; TACO BELL 01660
461.5000 FEDERAL LICENSING INC; R H BATTERMAN INC
461.5250 FEDERAL LICENSING INC; FALLIN JEFF
461.7000 COMELEC SERVICE EAST INC; CALPINE RIVERSIDE ENERGY CENTER
461.9625 BOMBARDIER MOTOR CORPORATION OF AMERICA
462.0125 BELOIT BOX BOARD CO INC
462.2000 Bandt Communications Inc; GENENCOR INTERNATIONAL; ENZYME BIO
SYSTEMS LTD
462.3250 Bandt Communications Inc; GENENCOR INTERNATIONAL; ENZYME BIO
SYSTEMS LTD
462.4000 FEDERAL LICENSING INC; R H BATTERMAN INC
462.7750 BELOIT BOX BOARD CO INC
462.8250 Alcoa Wheels Beloit
462.8625 BOMBARDIER MOTOR CORPORATION OF AMERICA
463.3000 COMELEC SERVICE EAST INC; CALPINE RIVERSIDE ENERGY CENTER
463.9625 BOMBARDIER MOTOR CORPORATION OF AMERICA
464.0125 DAIRY QUEEN BRAZIER
464.0250 BELOIT COLLEGE
464.0875 DAIRY QUEEN BRAZIER
464.1000 BELOIT COLLEGE
464.1375 DAIRY QUEEN BRAZIER
464.1875 DAIRY QUEEN BRAZIER
464.2125 DAIRY QUEEN BRAZIER

464.2250 BUSINESS RADIO LICENSING; WILDE ACRES CORPORATION
464.2875 DAIRY QUEEN BRAZIER
464.3250 AARONS LOCK SAFE INC
464.3750 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; KAYE EUGENE R
464.3875 DAIRY QUEEN BRAZIER
464.4875 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; BELOIT MEMORIAL HIGH SCHOOL
464.5750 LATHERS JAMES R
464.6000 FEDERAL LICENSING INC; R H BATTERMAN INC
464.6250 FEDERAL LICENSING INC; R H BATTERMAN INC
464.6500 FEDERAL LICENSING INC; R H BATTERMAN INC
464.6750 SCHOOL DIST OF BELOIT TURNER
465.0000 BELOIT MEMORIAL HOSPITAL
465.0500 GENERAL COMMUNICATIONS; BELOIT CITY OF
465.0750 GENERAL COMMUNICATIONS; BELOIT CITY OF
465.2000 GENERAL COMMUNICATIONS; BELOIT CITY OF
465.2500 GENERAL COMMUNICATIONS; BELOIT CITY OF
465.8875 WENDYS
466.5000 FEDERAL LICENSING INC; R H BATTERMAN INC
466.7000 COMELEC SERVICE EAST INC; CALPINE RIVERSIDE ENERGY CENTER
467.0125 BELOIT BOX BOARD CO INC
467.2000 Bandt Communications Inc; GENENCOR INTERNATIONAL
467.3250 Bandt Communications Inc; GENENCOR INTERNATIONAL
467.8500 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; BELOIT MEMORIAL HIGH SCHOOL
467.8750 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; BELOIT MEMORIAL HIGH SCHOOL
468.3000 COMELEC SERVICE EAST INC; CALPINE RIVERSIDE ENERGY CENTER
469.0125 DAIRY QUEEN BRAZIER
469.0250 BELOIT COLLEGE
469.0875 DAIRY QUEEN BRAZIER
469.1000 BELOIT COLLEGE
469.1375 DAIRY QUEEN BRAZIER
469.1875 DAIRY QUEEN BRAZIER
469.2125 DAIRY QUEEN BRAZIER
469.2250 BUSINESS RADIO LICENSING; WILDE ACRES CORPORATION
469.2625 DYNATECH WIRELESS TECHNOLOGIES; TACO BELL 01660
469.2875 DAIRY QUEEN BRAZIER
469.3250 AARONS LOCK SAFE INC
469.3750 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; KAYE EUGENE R
469.3875 DAIRY QUEEN BRAZIER
469.4875 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; BELOIT MEMORIAL HIGH SCHOOL
469.6750 SCHOOL DIST OF BELOIT TURNER
469.8625 DYNATECH WIRELESS TECHNOLOGIES; TACO BELL 01660
806.0000 PELLEGRINI RALPH; SKOKUT GREG
821.0000 PELLEGRINI RALPH
851.0000 PELLEGRINI RALPH; SKOKUT GREG
866.0000 PELLEGRINI RALPH
896.0000 PELLEGRINI RALPH; SKOKUT GREG
935.0000 PELLEGRINI RALPH; SKOKUT GREG
10525.0000 SKOKUT GREG
24150.0000 SKOKUT GREG

There is no 153.95 or 158.73 listed in the town of Beloit or Rock county

And this is whats in Janesville

FREQUENCY DESCRIPTION
25.0000 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC
30.8400 TACO BELL; HM ELECTRONICS INC
31.2400 TACO BELL; HM ELECTRONICS INC
33.1400 TACO BELL; HM ELECTRONICS INC
33.1600 Business Radio Licensing; BURGER KING REST 5960; TACO BELL;
HM ELECTRONICS INC
33.4000 Business Radio Licensing; BURGER KING REST 5960; TACO BELL;
HM ELECTRONICS INC
35.0200 TACO BELL; HM ELECTRONICS INC
35.1200 TACO BELL; HM ELECTRONICS INC
35.8800 TACO BELL; HM ELECTRONICS INC
35.9600 TACO BELL; HM ELECTRONICS INC
39.8200 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; DELAVAN TOWN OF
43.0200 ROCK ROAD COMPANIES INC
43.1200 LAO 609904; RYAN INCORPORATD CENTRAL
43.1400 LAO; RYAN INCORPORATED CENTRAL
44.5600 JANESVILLE CITY OF
45.0800 ROCK COUNTY OF
45.5600 JANESVILLE CITY OF
46.3800 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; SHARON VILLAGE OF
49.4400 COMMUNICATIONS LICENSING CONSULTING; LYCON INC
49.4800 COMMUNICATIONS LICENSING CONSULTING; LYCON INC
49.5200 LYCON INC
49.5400 Four Lakes Fuel Corp; FOUR LAKES FUEL CORP
49.5600 JANESVILLE SAND GRAVEL CO
49.5800 COMMUNICATIONS LICENSING CONSULTING; LYCON INC
72.0400 FEDERAL LICENSING INC; GIDDINGS AND LEWIS INC
72.1000 St John Vianney School; Primex Inc
72.1400 GIDDINGS LEWIS INC
72.1800 GIDDINGS LEWIS INC
72.2800 FEDERAL LICENSING INC; GIDDINGS AND LEWIS INC
150.0000 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC
150.7750 JANESVILLE CITY OF
150.7900 JANESVILLE CITY OF; GENERAL COMMUNICATIONS; ROCK COUNTY OF
151.0400 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; CLINTON VILLAGE OF; FOOTVILLE
VILLAGE OF
151.2650 GENERAL COMMUNICATIONS INC; ROCK COUNTY OF
151.2800 GENERAL COMMUNICATIONS INC; JANESVILLE CITY OF; BANDT
COMMUNICATIONS; ROCK COUNTY OF
151.4900 Business Radio Licensing; ARNDT FARMS INC
151.5050 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; J P CULLEN SONS INC
151.5200 MAC DONALD THOMAS E
151.6250 JEHOVAHS WITNESSES ASSEMBLY HALL OF WISCONSIN INC; BANDT
COMMUNICATIONS INC; MONROE TRUCK; BELOIT TURNER HIGH SCHOOL; J P CULLEN
SONS INC
151.6475 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; JONES BUS SERVICE
151.6850 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS; FANNING EXCAVATING CONSTRUCTION; Bandt

Communications Inc; Fanning Excavating Construction
151.7150 FREI JERRY
151.7450 WESTPHAL COMPANY INC; Bandt Communications Inc; ROSCOVIUS CHRIS; TACO BELL; HM ELECTRONICS INC
151.7750 TACO BELL; FANNING EXCAVATING CONSTRUCTION; HM ELECTRONICS INC
151.8050 ST PAULS EVANGELICAL LUTHERAN CHURCH; LLOYDS PLUMBING HEATING CORP; TACO BELL; HM ELECTRONICS INC
151.8350 EVANS INDUSTRIAL COMMUNICATIONS; UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN CENTER ROCK COUNTY; TACO BELL; HM ELECTRONICS INC
151.8650 KUBIAK HARRY J
151.8950 TACO BELL; HM ELECTRONICS INC
151.9550 STAR AUTO PARTS INC
152.3150 Bandt Communications Inc; Lear Seating
152.3750 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; McClellan Farms Inc
152.8775 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; JONES BUS SERVICE
152.9000 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; JELLYSTONE PARK CONDO ASSOC
152.9300 AUSTIN FARMS INC; SILHA FRANK
152.9600 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; W N YOSS CONSTRUCTION INC
153.0350 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; KUHNKE TRUST INC
153.7850 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; FONTANA VILLAGE OF
153.8150 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; FONTANA VILLAGE OF
153.8300 GENERAL COMMUNICATIONS INC; ROCK COUNTY OF
153.9500 GENERAL COMMUNICATIONS INC; ROCK COUNTY OF
154.0400 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS; EVANSVILLE CITY OF; ROCK COUNTY OF
154.2650 GENERAL COMMUNICATIONS INC; ROCK COUNTY OF
154.2800 GENERAL COMMUNICATIONS INC; ROCK COUNTY OF; JANESVILLE CITY OF
154.2950 GENERAL COMMUNICATIONS INC; ROCK COUNTY OF; JANESVILLE CITY OF; BANDT COMMUNICATIONS
154.3100 GENERAL COMMUNICATIONS INC; ROCK COUNTY OF; JANESVILLE CITY OF
154.3400 GENERAL COMMUNICATIONS INC; ROCK COUNTY OF
154.4900 LEIN OIL CO INC
154.5150 BLACKHAWK TECHNICAL COLLEGE; Business Radio Licensing; BURGER KING REST 5960; TACO BELL; HM ELECTRONICS INC
154.5400 Business Radio Licensing; BURGER KING REST 5960; TACO BELL; HM ELECTRONICS INC
154.5700 MARLING LUMBER; BLACKHAWK TECHNICAL COLLEGE; TACO BELL; HM ELECTRONICS INC; WISCONSIN SCHOOL; BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; PALMYRA EAGLE SCHOOL DISTRICT
154.6000 GOODENOUGH INC; BLACKHAWK TECHNICAL COLLEGE; TACO BELL; HM ELECTRONICS INC; WISCONSIN SCHOOL; BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; D C ENTERPRISES INC; MILTON SCHOOL DISTRICT; PANORAMIC INC; SCHOOL DISTRICT OF JANESVILLE
154.8000 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS; ROCK COUNTY OF
154.9500 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS; ROCK COUNTY OF
155.2800 UNIVERSAL LICENSING SERVICE INC; MERCY HOSPITAL OF JANESVILLE; GENERAL COMMUNICATIONS INC; MERCY HEALTH SYSTEM
155.3400 UNIVERSAL LICENSING SERVICE INC; MERCY HOSPITAL OF

JANESVILLE; GENERAL COMMUNICATIONS INC; MERCY HEALTH SYSTEM; ROCK COUNTY
OF; BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; DELAVAN RESCUE SQUAD INC
155.3700 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS; ROCK COUNTY OF; JANESVILLE CITY OF
155.4000 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; DELAVAN RESCUE SQUAD INC
155.4300 GENERAL COMMUNICATIONS; ROCK COUNTY OF
155.4750 GENERAL COMMUNICATIONS; ROCK COUNTY OF; BANDT COMMUNICATIONS
155.5500 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS; ROCK COUNTY OF
155.5800 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS; ROCK COUNTY OF; JANESVILLE CITY OF
155.6700 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS; ROCK COUNTY OF
155.6850 GENERAL COMMUNICATIONS; JANESVILLE CITY OF
155.7150 GENERAL COMMUNICATIONS INC; ROCK COUNTY OF
155.8350 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; FONTANA VILLAGE OF
155.9850 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS; ROCK COUNTY OF; Bandt Communications
Inc; CLINTON VILLAGE OF
156.0600 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; MILTON CITY OF
156.1650 Bandt Communications Inc; Williams Bay Village of
157.5000 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; McClellan Farms Inc
157.5600 BLACKHAWK TECHNICAL COLLEGE
157.6350 WELLNITZ GREGWELLNITZ JOE DBA WELLNITZ FARMS
158.3925 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; JONES BUS SERVICE
158.4000 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; J P CULLEN SONS INC; CARA
Enterprises Inc; Automation Solutions of America
158.7300 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS; ROCK COUNTY OF
158.8500 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; FONTANA VILLAGE OF
158.8800 JANESVILLE CITY OF
159.0000 GENERAL COMMUNICATIONS; JANESVILLE CITY OF
159.0300 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS; ROCK COUNTY OF
159.0900 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS; ROCK COUNTY OF
159.1200 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; MILTON CITY OF
159.1350 Bandt Communicatons Inc; FONTANA VILLAGE OF
159.2700 GENERAL COMMUNICATIONS INC; ROCK COUNTY OF
159.5550 Bandt Communications Inc; Jones Bus
159.5700 Bandt Communications Inc; Jones Bus
159.7650 Bandt Communications Inc; Jones School Bus
160.0350 Bandt Communications Inc; Jones Bus
160.0800 Bandt Communications Inc; Board of Regents University of
Wisconsin System
160.1250 Bandt Communications Inc; Jones Bus; BANDT COMMUNICATIONS
INC; JELLYSTONE PARK CONDO ASSOC
161.3550 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; MID CONTINENT RAILWAY HISTORICAL
SOC INC
161.4900 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; MID CONTINENT RAILWAY HISTORICAL
SOC INC
173.3375 JANESVILLE CITY OF
173.3963 CARA ENTERPRISES INC; JANESVILLE CITY OF
450.0000 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC
451.1250 LAO 20216802; ROCK COUNTY ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE ASSN
451.1750 GIDDINGS LEWIS INC; Atlas License Company; Janesville City
of
451.1875 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; ABBEY SPRINGS INC

451.2250 Atlas License Company; Janesville City of
451.2750 Atlas License Company; Janesville City of
451.3000 Bandt Communications Inc; Lear Seating
451.3125 Bearcom Operating LP; Abitec Corporation
451.3250 Atlas License Company; Janesville City of; Bandt Communications Inc; Interlaken Resort Spa
451.3500 Atlas License Company; Janesville City of
451.4000 Atlas License Company; Janesville City of; BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; LEFT HANDER CHASSIS
451.4250 Atlas License Company; Janesville City of
451.4750 Atlas License Company; Janesville City of
451.5000 Atlas License Company; Janesville City of
451.5250 Atlas License Company; Janesville City of
451.6000 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; WESTPHAL ELECTRIC INC
451.6375 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC
451.7750 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; HAHN GARY W
451.8875 LYCON INC; Bandt Communications Inc; Datex Ohmeda Inc
451.9000 SENECA FOODS CORPORATION
451.9250 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; TWIN STATES ENGINEERING CHEMICAL CO
451.9750 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; FRANK BROTHERS INC
451.9875 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC
452.0625 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC
452.1000 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC
452.1500 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC
452.2000 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC
452.2500 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC
452.2750 GENERAL COMMUNICATIONS INC; MERCY HOSPITAL OF JANESVILLE
452.3500 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC
452.4000 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; WESTPHAL ELECTRIC INC
452.4125 Bearcom Operating LP; Abitec Corporation
452.4500 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC
452.5000 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC
452.6875 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC
452.7500 JANESVILLE CITY OF
452.8625 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC
453.0750 MERCY HOSPITAL OF JANESVILLE
453.1000 Bandt Communications Inc; Williams Bay Village of
453.1750 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; DELAVAN RESCUE SQUAD INC
453.2500 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; DELAVAN RESCUE SQUAD INC
453.4000 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; ROCK COUNTY OF
453.4500 Bandt Communications Inc; LaGrange Town Of
453.5000 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; ROCK COUNTY OF
453.5125 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; RICHMOND TOWN OF
453.6500 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; DELAVAN TOWN OF
453.7000 ROCK COUNTY OF; BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; WILLIAMS BAY VILLAGE OF
453.8000 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; WILLIAMS BAY VILLAGE OF
453.9000 ROCK COUNTY OF
456.1250 LAO 20216802; ROCK COUNTY ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE ASSN

456.1875 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; ABBEY SPRINGS INC
456.3000 Bandt Communications Inc; Lear Seating
456.3250 Bandt Communications Inc; Interlaken Resort Spa
456.4000 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; LEFT HANDER CHASSIS
456.6000 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; WESTPHAL ELECTRIC INC
456.6375 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC
456.7750 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; HAHN GARY W
456.8875 LYCON INC; Bandt Communications Inc; Datex Ohmeda Inc
456.9000 SENECA FOODS CORPORATION
456.9750 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; FRANK BROTHERS INC
456.9875 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC
457.0625 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC
457.1000 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC
457.1500 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC
457.2000 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC
457.2500 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC
457.2750 GENERAL COMMUNICATIONS INC; MERCY HOSPITAL OF JANESVILLE
457.3500 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC
457.4000 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; WESTPHAL ELECTRIC INC
457.4500 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC
457.5000 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC
457.6875 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC
457.7500 JANESVILLE CITY OF
457.8625 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC
457.9000 ROCK COUNTY OF
458.1000 Bandt Communications Inc; Williams Bay Village of
458.1750 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; DELAVAN RESCUE SQUAD INC
458.2500 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; DELAVAN RESCUE SQUAD INC
458.4000 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; ROCK COUNTY OF
458.4500 Bandt Communications Inc; LaGrange Town Of
458.5000 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; ROCK COUNTY OF
458.6500 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; DELAVAN TOWN OF
458.7000 ROCK COUNTY OF; BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; WILLIAMS BAY
VILLAGE OF
458.9000 ROCK COUNTY OF
460.4625 ROCK COUNTY OF
460.6000 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS; SHARON VILLAGE OF; BANDT
COMMUNICATIONS INC
461.0125 DYNATECH WIRELESS TECHNOLOGIES; TACO BELL 00613; DYNATECH
WIRELESS TCHNOLOGIES; TACO BELL 02047; EVANS INDUSTRIAL COMMUNICATIONS;
LE MANS CORPORATION
461.0250 PROFESSIONAL LICENSING CONSULTANTS INC; RYAN INCORPORATED
CENTRAL
461.0375 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; DEAN FOODS
461.0500 WESTPHAL COMPANY INC
461.0625 EVANS INDUSTRIAL COMMUNICATIONS; CUSTOMIZED TRANSPORTATION
INC
461.0750 PROFESSIONAL LICENSING CONSULTANTS INC; RYAN INCORPORATED
CENTRAL; BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; EISENMANN CORP
461.1000 PROFESSIONAL LICENSING CONSULTANTS INC; RYAN INCORPORATED

CENTRAL
461.1125 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; LEAR SEATING; Bandt Communications Inc; Lear Seating
461.2875 WOODMANS FOODMART
461.3125 DYNATECH WIRELESS TECHNOLOGIES; TACO BELL 00613; DYNATECH WIRELESS TCHNOLOGIES; TACO BELL 02047
461.3375 FEDERAL LICENSING INC; GILMAN ENGINEERING MANUFACTURING
461.3625 GENERAL COMMUNICATIONS INC; UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN ROCK COUNTY
461.4875 LEAR SEATING
461.5000 OWEN VENDING CO
461.5250 NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS; WITMER PAUL
461.5875 COMMUNICATIONS LICENSING CONSULTING; BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC
461.6375 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC
461.6625 DYNATECH WIRELESS TECHNOLOGIES; MERCY HOSPITAL
461.6875 WOODMANS FOODMART
461.7625 Bandt Communications Inc; Seneca Foods Corp
461.8125 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; LEAR SEATING
461.9625 SSI TECHNOLOGIES
461.9875 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC
462.0000 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; J P CULLEN SONS INC
462.0250 CONSOLIDATED SECURITY SERVICES LTD; MC ROBERTS WILLIAM A; K W GREENERY; KOROTKO SCOTT
462.1250 MORTON ARCHIE J; PROFESSIONAL LICENSING CONSULTANTS INC; RYAN INCORPORATED CENTRAL
462.2000 Bandt Communications Inc; GENENCOR INTERNATIONAL
462.2125 CARA Enterprises Inc; Riverside Golf Course
462.3000 GENERAL COMMUNICATIONS INC; MERCY HOSPITAL OF JANESVILLE
462.3250 Bandt Communications Inc; GENENCOR INTERNATIONAL
462.3375 CARA Enterprises Inc; Riverside Golf Course
462.3750 PROFESSIONAL LICENSING CONSULTANTS INC; RYAN INCORPORATED CENTRAL; BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; SCOTT WOOD PRODUCTS INC
462.4000 PROFESSIONAL LICENSING CONSULTANTS INC; RYAN INCORPORATED CENTRAL
462.4625 CARA Enterprises Inc; Glen Erin Golf Club
462.7500 ROCK COUNTY HEALTH CARE CENTER ROCK HAVEN
462.9125 LEAR SEATING
462.9500 MERCY HOSPITAL OF JANESVILLE; GENERAL COMMUNICATIONS INC; MERCY HEALTH SYSTEM
462.9750 MERCY HOSPITAL OF JANESVILLE; GENERAL COMMUNICATIONS INC; MERCY HEALTH SYSTEM
463.0000 MERCY HOSPITAL OF JANESVILLE; GENERAL COMMUNICATIONS INC; MERCY HEALTH SYSTEM
463.0250 MERCY HOSPITAL OF JANESVILLE; GENERAL COMMUNICATIONS INC; MERCY HEALTH SYSTEM
463.0500 MERCY HOSPITAL OF JANESVILLE; GENERAL COMMUNICATIONS INC; MERCY HEALTH SYSTEM
463.0750 MERCY HOSPITAL OF JANESVILLE; GENERAL COMMUNICATIONS INC; MERCY HEALTH SYSTEM

463.1000 MERCY HOSPITAL OF JANESVILLE; GENERAL COMMUNICATIONS INC;
MERCY HEALTH SYSTEM
463.1250 MERCY HOSPITAL OF JANESVILLE; GENERAL COMMUNICATIONS INC;
MERCY HEALTH SYSTEM
463.1500 MERCY HOSPITAL OF JANESVILLE; GENERAL COMMUNICATIONS INC;
MERCY HEALTH SYSTEM
463.1750 MERCY HOSPITAL OF JANESVILLE; GENERAL COMMUNICATIONS INC;
MERCY HEALTH SYSTEM
463.2125 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; WISCONSINS ROCK RIVER LEISURE
ESTATES INC
463.2375 HUFCOR INC
463.2500 JANESVILLE DOOR COMPANY LTD; HAROLD L COMBS ASSOCIATES INC;
GUNN MARK
463.2750 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; MACFARLANE PHEASANT
463.3000 SCHOOL DISTRICT OF JANESVILLE
463.3250 ROCK COUNTY OF; JANESVILLE MAYTAG INC
463.4125 FEDERAL LICENSING SERVICE; GILMAN ENGINEERING MANUFACTURING
463.4250 INWAVE ELECTRONICS
463.4375 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC
463.4750 GUNN JOHN A; WURTZ SERVICE CENTER INC
463.4875 COMMUNICATIONS LICENSING CONSULTING; BANDT COMMUNICATIONS
INC
463.5125 DYNATECH WIRELESS TECHNOLOGIES; MERCY HOSPITAL
463.5375 FEDERAL LICENSING SERVICE; GILMAN ENGINEERING MANUFACTURING
463.5875 COMMUNICATIONS LICENSING CONSULTING; BANDT COMMUNICATIONS
INC
463.6000 BLACKHAWK TECH COLLEGE
463.6125 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC
463.6625 EVANS INDUSTRIAL COMMUNICATIONS; CUSTOMIZED TRANSPORTATION
INC
463.6750 RICHARD PLUMBING BOILER INC; MC GRATH ELECTRIC INC
463.6875 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; COMMUNICATIONS LICENSING
CONSULTING
463.7125 FEDERAL LICENSING INC; GILMAN ENGINEERING MANUFACTURING
463.7375 COMMUNICATIONS LICENSING CONSULTING; BANDT COMMUNICATIONS
INC
463.8250 BLACKHAWK TECHNICAL COLLEGE
463.8625 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC
463.9000 OWEN VENDING COMPANY
463.9375 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; COMMUNICATIONS LICENSING
CONSULTING
463.9500 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC
464.0125 SEED RESTAURANT GROUP DBA FAZOLIS RESTAURANT
464.0375 EVANS INDUSTRIAL COMMUNICATIONS; ISUZU MOTORS OF AMERICA INC
464.0750 SHAMROCK FARMS
464.1250 DOUGLAS KIRK; RANUM PAUL
464.1375 SEED RESTAURANT GROUP DBA FAZOLIS RESTAURANT
464.1875 SEED RESTAURANT GROUP DBA FAZOLIS RESTAURANT
464.2125 SEED RESTAURANT GROUP DBA FAZOLIS RESTAURANT
464.2375 SEED RESTAURANT GROUP DBA FAZOLIS RESTAURANT

464.3250 ROCK COUNTY APPLIANCE TV INC; ROCK AG CENTER INC; MULLIKIN ROBERT
464.3375 SEED RESTAURANT GROUP DBA FAZOLIS RESTAURANT
464.3750 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; KAYE EUGENE R
464.3875 SEED RESTAURANT GROUP DBA FAZOLIS RESTAURANT
464.4125 GENERAL COMMUNICATIONS INC; SIMMONS CO LLC
464.4250 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; SHARON FARM SUPPLY INC
464.4625 LAB SAFETY SUPPLY
464.4875 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; BELOIT MEMORIAL HIGH SCHOOL
464.5000 ADVANCED SECURITY AND INVESTIGATION INC; BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; PROFESSIONAL LICENSING CONSULTANTS INC; RYAN INCORPORATED CENTRAL; J P CULLEN SONS INC
464.5375 DYNATECH WIRELESS TECHNOLOGIES; MERCY HOSPITAL
464.5500 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS; WITMER PAUL; PROFESSIONAL LICENSING CONSULTANTS INC; RYAN INCORPORATED CENTRAL
464.6000 PROFESSIONAL LICENSING CONSULTANTS INC; RYAN INCORPORATED CENTRAL
464.6250 PROFESSIONAL LICENSING CONSULTANTS INC; RYAN INCORPORATED CENTRAL
464.6500 PROFESSIONAL LICENSING CONSULTANTS INC; RYAN INCORPORATED CENTRAL; Bandt Communications Inc; LSI
464.6750 VENABLE FARMS INC; FEDERAL LICENSING INC
464.7000 PROFESSIONAL LICENSING CONSULTANTS INC; RYAN INCORPORATED CENTRAL
464.7125 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC
464.7250 PROFESSIONAL LICENSING CONSULTANTS INC; RYAN INCORPORATED CENTRAL
464.7375 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; COMMUNICATIONS LICENSING CONSULTING
464.7500 PROFESSIONAL LICENSING CONSULTANTS INC; RYAN INCORPORATED CENTRAL
464.7625 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC
464.7750 LAB SAFETY SUPPLY
464.8625 COMMUNICATIONS LICENSING CONSULTING; BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC
464.8875 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC
464.9125 SSI TECHNOLOGIES
464.9375 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC
464.9750 JANESVILLE PROPERTIES COMPANY; Communications Electronics; Janesville Mall
465.0000 MERCY ASSISTED CARE INC
465.0750 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS; ROCK COUNTY OF
465.2000 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS; ROCK COUNTY OF
465.4625 ROCK COUNTY OF
465.6000 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS; SHARON VILLAGE OF; BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC
466.0375 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; DEAN FOODS
466.0500 WESTPHAL COMPANY INC
466.0750 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; EISENMANN CORP
466.1125 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; LEAR SEATING; Bandt Communications

Inc; Lear Seating
466.3625 GENERAL COMMUNICATIONS INC; UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN ROCK COUNTY
466.4875 LEAR SEATING
466.5000 OWEN VENDING CO
466.5250 NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS; WITMER PAUL
466.5875 COMMUNICATIONS LICENSING CONSULTING; BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC
466.6375 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC
466.6625 DYNATECH WIRELESS TECHNOLOGIES; MERCY HOSPITAL
466.7625 Bandt Communications Inc; Seneca Foods Corp
466.8125 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; LEAR SEATING
466.8875 DYNATECH WIRELESS TECHNOLOGIES; MERCY HOSPITAL
466.9625 SSI TECHNOLOGIES
466.9875 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC
467.0000 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; J P CULLEN SONS INC
467.0250 CONSOLIDATED SECURITY SERVICES LTD; MC ROBERTS WILLIAM A; K W GREENERY; KOROTKO SCOTT
467.1250 MORTON ARCHIE J
467.2000 Bandt Communications Inc; GENENCOR INTERNATIONAL
467.2875 CARA Enterprises Inc; Riverside Golf Course
467.3000 GENERAL COMMUNICATIONS INC; MERCY HOSPITAL OF JANESVILLE
467.3125 CARA Enterprises Inc; Riverside Golf Course
467.3250 Bandt Communications Inc; GENENCOR INTERNATIONAL
467.3750 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; SCOTT WOOD PRODUCTS INC
467.4625 CARA Enterprises Inc; Glen Erin Golf Club
467.7500 DANIELS OF JANESVILLE LLC
467.7625 SSI Technologies Inc
467.8125 JANESVILLE PARKER HIGH SCHOOL; SSI Technologies Inc
467.8250 FRESH BRANDS DISTRIBUTING INC; PALAN FOODS INC DBA PIGGLY WIGGLY 129
467.8500 PROFESSIONAL LICENSING CONSULTANTS INC; RYAN INCORPORATED CENTRAL; Bandt Communications Inc; Tomah Products Inc; BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; BELOIT MEMORIAL HIGH SCHOOL; SSI Technologies Inc; DANIELS OF JANESVILLE LLC; GENERAL COMMUNICATIONS INC; MERCY HOSPITAL OF JANESVILLE
467.8750 PROFESSIONAL LICENSING CONSULTANTS INC; RYAN INCORPORATED CENTRAL; BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; BELOIT MEMORIAL HIGH SCHOOL; SSI Technologies Inc
467.9000 FRESH BRANDS DISTRIBUTING INC; PALAN FOODS INC DBA PIGGLY WIGGLY 129; AMERICAN FARM IMPLEMENT SPECIALTY; PROFESSIONAL LICENSING CONSULTANTS INC; RYAN INCORPORATED CENTRAL; SSI Technologies Inc
467.9125 LEAR SEATING
467.9250 FREEDOM PLASTICS INC; PROFESSIONAL LICENSING CONSULTANTS INC; RYAN INCORPORATED CENTRAL; Bandt Communications Inc; Tomah Products Inc
467.9500 MERCY HOSPITAL OF JANESVILLE
467.9750 MERCY HOSPITAL OF JANESVILLE
468.0000 MERCY HOSPITAL OF JANESVILLE
468.0250 MERCY HOSPITAL OF JANESVILLE

468.0500 MERCY HOSPITAL OF JANESVILLE
468.0750 MERCY HOSPITAL OF JANESVILLE
468.1000 MERCY HOSPITAL OF JANESVILLE
468.1250 MERCY HOSPITAL OF JANESVILLE
468.1500 MERCY HOSPITAL OF JANESVILLE
468.1750 MERCY HOSPITAL OF JANESVILLE
468.2125 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; WISCONSINS ROCK RIVER LEISURE ESTATES INC
468.2500 JANESVILLE DOOR COMPANY LTD; HAROLD L COMBS ASSOCIATES INC; GUNN MARK
468.2750 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; MACFARLANE PHEASANT
468.3000 SCHOOL DISTRICT OF JANESVILLE
468.3125 EVANS INDUSTRIAL COMMUNICATIONS; ISUZU MOTORS OF AMERICA INC
468.3250 ROCK COUNTY OF; JANESVILLE MAYTAG INC
468.4125 FEDERAL LICENSING SERVICE; GILMAN ENGINEERING MANUFACTURING
468.4250 INWAVE ELECTRONICS
468.4375 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC
468.4750 GUNN JOHN A; WURTZ SERVICE CENTER INC
468.4875 COMMUNICATIONS LICENSING CONSULTING; BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC
468.5125 DYNATECH WIRELESS TECHNOLOGIES; MERCY HOSPITAL
468.5875 COMMUNICATIONS LICENSING CONSULTING; BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC
468.6000 BLACKHAWK TECH COLLEGE
468.6125 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC
468.6750 RICHARD PLUMBING BOILER INC; MC GRATH ELECTRIC INC
468.6875 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; COMMUNICATIONS LICENSING CONSULTING
468.7375 DYNATECH WIRELESS TECHNOLOGIES; MERCY HOSPITAL; COMMUNICATIONS LICENSING CONSULTING; BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC
468.8250 BLACKHAWK TECHNICAL COLLEGE
468.8625 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC
468.9000 OWEN VENDING COMPANY
468.9375 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; COMMUNICATIONS LICENSING CONSULTING
468.9500 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC
469.0125 SEED RESTAURANT GROUP DBA FAZOLIS RESTAURANT
469.0625 SEED RESTAURANT GROUP DBA FAZOLIS RESTAURANT
469.0750 SHAMROCK FARMS
469.1250 DOUGLAS KIRK; RANUM PAUL
469.1375 SEED RESTAURANT GROUP DBA FAZOLIS RESTAURANT
469.1875 SEED RESTAURANT GROUP DBA FAZOLIS RESTAURANT
469.2125 SEED RESTAURANT GROUP DBA FAZOLIS RESTAURANT
469.2375 SEED RESTAURANT GROUP DBA FAZOLIS RESTAURANT
469.2625 DYNATECH WIRELESS TECHNOLOGIES; TACO BELL 00613; DYNATECH WIRELESS TCHNOLOGIES; TACO BELL 02047
469.3250 ROCK COUNTY APPLIANCE TV INC; ROCK AG CENTER INC; MULLIKIN ROBERT
469.3375 SEED RESTAURANT GROUP DBA FAZOLIS RESTAURANT
469.3750 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; KAYE EUGENE R

469.3875 SEED RESTAURANT GROUP DBA FAZOLIS RESTAURANT
469.4125 GENERAL COMMUNICATIONS INC; SIMMONS CO LLC
469.4625 LAB SAFETY SUPPLY
469.4750 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; BIG FOOT FARMS INC
469.4875 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; BELOIT MEMORIAL HIGH SCHOOL
469.5000 RADIO COMMUNICATION CO INC; GIDDINGS LEWIS ASSEMBLY AUTOMATION; BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; FEDERAL LICENSING INC; GILMAN ENGINEERING MANUFACTURING; J P CULLEN SONS INC
469.5375 DYNATECH WIRELESS TECHNOLOGIES; MERCY HOSPITAL
469.5500 RADIO COMMUNICATION CO INC; GIDDINGS LEWIS ASSEMBLY AUTOMATION; BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; FEDERAL LICENSING INC; GILMAN ENGINEERING MANUFACTURING
469.6500 Bandt Communications Inc; LSI
469.6750 VENABLE FARMS INC; FEDERAL LICENSING INC
469.7125 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC
469.7375 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC; COMMUNICATIONS LICENSING CONSULTING
469.7625 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC
469.7750 LAB SAFETY SUPPLY
469.7875 DYNATECH WIRELESS TECHNOLOGIES; MERCY HOSPITAL
469.8625 DYNATECH WIRELESS TECHNOLOGIES; TACO BELL 00613; DYNATECH WIRELESS TCHNOLOGIES; TACO BELL 02047; COMMUNICATIONS LICENSING CONSULTING; BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC
469.8875 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC
469.9250 JANESVILLE BRICK TILE CO INC
469.9375 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC
469.9750 JANESVILLE PROPERTIES COMPANY; Communications Electronics; Janesville Mall
470.0000 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC
806.0000 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC
807.6125 ROCK COUNTY OF; GENERAL COMMUNICATIONS INC
808.4875 SENECA FOODS CORP
814.2625 GENERAL COMMUNICATIONS INC; ROCK COUNTY OF
822.6875 General Communication; ROCK COUNTY OF
823.7375 General Communication; ROCK COUNTY OF
851.0000 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC
852.6125 ROCK COUNTY OF; Rock County of 911 Center; Rock County of; GENERAL COMMUNICATIONS INC
859.2625 Rock County of 911 Center; Rock County of; GENERAL COMMUNICATIONS INC; ROCK COUNTY OF
867.6875 General Communication; ROCK COUNTY OF
868.7375 General Communication; ROCK COUNTY OF
896.0000 BANDT COMMUNICATIONS INC
10525.0000 Bandt Communications Inc; FONTANA VILLAGE OF

If you would like a FCC DataBase CD you can get it at

http://www.scannertesting.com/index.html

click the last link :-)

--
That Other George

Please send your spam to: uce@ftc.gov

IRC #ScannerRadio_Warez For all your Scanner Controll Warez

UseNET: alt.binaries.radio-scanner For all your Scanner Controll Warez

UseNET: alt.binaries.radio.scanner.warez For all your Scanner Controll Warez

http://mysite.verizon.net/moment_1/

3111. Re: AOR AR8000 reset button ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-01 18:49:53 UTC
From: Andy100 <andy100@aog.com>
Message-ID: <dclqsf$r7r$1@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 12723

Thanks Ben, yeah i had the same problem too. I know of the soft reset, but it doesn't wipe the memories back to factory settings. Think i might just clear them all manually. You'd have thought AOR would include this feature though !

Cheers
Andy

"Me" <me@here.com> wrote in message
news:afhse1lme3smu8tkmvrciqq5cuv3n5ucde@4ax.com...
> I just looked in my 8000 manual and all I can find is the soft reset.
> It doesn't seem to clear the memories. Hold down the clear key while
> turning the radio on.
>
> Can't find the 'hard' reset anywhere so far.
>
> Ben
>
>
> On Mon, 1 Aug 2005 05:36:28 +0100, "Andy100" <andy100@aog.com> wrote:
>
> >Can someone not just post what the reset procedure is. I had already
looked
> >at that manual, but couldn't find them,
> >
> >Thanks
> >Andy
> >
> >
> >
> >"jamoran" <analon1@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >news:x4qdnRsOW4wRyXDfRVn-iQ@sedona.net...
> >> for aor-8000
> >> there is a hard reset an a soft reset
> >> verify you do have frequencies programmed in the scan banks if htere
are
> >> no frequencies programmed it may act funky
> >>
> >> go to the AOR web and download the PDF manual, the specific reset
> >> instructions can be found there
> >>
> >>
> >> Andy100 wrote:
> >> > Is there a quick way to restore factory settings to the AOR AR-8000
> >handheld

> >> > scanner. I got one off e-bay and the previous owner had all the
channels
> >> > full of frequencies that are 'dead' up here. I can manually delete
each
> >one,
> >> > or overwrite with my own, but wondered if there was a simple set of
> >> > key-strokes which wipes the memory. Similar to the Realistic models
> >where
> >> > you hold 2, 9 and switch the unit on.
> >> >
> >> > Cheers
> >> > Andrew
> >> >
> >> >
> >
>

3112. Re: Rider revolt on motorman-only "L" train

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-01 22:24:53 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com>
Message-ID: <VixHe.12322$oZ.3104@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 12724
Cross-posted to: nyc.transit,rec.radio.scanner

So then I can call a friend up and tell them that I just heard on the
scanner that the cops are coming to raid his house? Cool...

"Cyrus Afzali" <pnsmnyv@lnubb.pbz> wrote in message
news:4t5te1hcc0dtq42mddtmu5i6cfftqgfia9@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 01 Aug 2005 13:11:30 GMT, Joel Rubin <jmrubin@ix.netcom.com>
> wrote:
>
> >(I believe that it is illegal to repeat any content heard on radio
> >other than on broadcast and ham radio.)
>
> No it's not. As long as someone receives a radio transmission through
> a legal device, what's said on it is totally open to the public.
> Surely you've seen cases where the transcripts and/or tapes from
> police departments and other emergency operators has been made public.
> What IS illegal is modifying a device to receive cellular phone calls
> or other private transmissions between private individuals. I don't
> even think it's that easy to modify scanners to do that these days.
> But back before everything was digital, it was fairly simple if you
> were comfortable with making your own modifications.
> >
>
>http://www.nynewsday.com/news/local/newyork/ny-nysub014367126aug01,0,205702
4.column?coll=ny-ny-columnists
> >
> >IN THE SUBWAYS
> >
> >Straphangers flex their muscles
> >Ray Sanchez

3113. Re: line noise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-01 22:46:53 UTC
From: Drifter <zespectre@askme.com>
Message-ID: <5h9te1ph9m2i82qkpm9oaqudrbn5s6shjn@4ax.com>
Source record: 12725

On Mon, 01 Aug 2005 16:37:33 GMT, "Alex Clayton" <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>"Bob" <bob@nowhere.nil> wrote in message
>news:ySoHe.5493$p5.700@nnrp.ca.mci.com!nnrp1.uunet.ca...
>> Alex Clayton wrote:
>>> "Drifter" <zespectre@askme.com> wrote in message
>>> news:aqoqe192k2upficdbltuh0h03pbbc876o5@4ax.com...
>>>
>>>>Ever since a lightning storm last week it seems like I'm getting a lot
>>>>of line noise (60 cycle hum) from my Pro 92. Running on batteries it
>>>>seems fine. Would you all consider it likely that the "wall wart" is
>>>>the problem or is there some other type of transformer in the radio
>>>>itself.
>>>>
>>>>Thanks
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Drifter
>>>>"I've been here, I've been there..."
>>>
>>>
>>> Sure sounds like the AC adapter could be the problem. I would take it to
>>> a place like a RS, and ask if they have a "universal AC adapter" that
>>> would fit it. Act like you don't know what they are, and see if they will
>>> demo one for you. If they can see if the noise is gone when you try
>>> theirs, then you will know if you need to replace the one you have.
>>
>> Why act?? Tell them you think the original one has become noisy and if
>> their's runs the radio and is nice and quiet you'll be purchasing it.
>> Also, instead of the universal model, test one with the same voltage and
>> current capacity as the original.
>
>The reason I said "act" was I don't know if the poster wants to buy anything
>from RS. A lot of people have all kinds of problems with them.

I have no problem with Radio Shack. Went in and got an updated model
of the power supply I had... problem solved. Thanks for the help
guys.

Drifter
"I've been here, I've been there..."

3114. Re: line noise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-01 22:55:00 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <8LxHe.7153$0C.1105@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 12726

"Drifter" <zespectre@askme.com> wrote in message
news:5h9te1ph9m2i82qkpm9oaqudrbn5s6shjn@4ax.com...
>>
>>The reason I said "act" was I don't know if the poster wants to buy
>>anything
>>from RS. A lot of people have all kinds of problems with them.
>
> I have no problem with Radio Shack. Went in and got an updated model
> of the power supply I had... problem solved. Thanks for the help
> guys.
>
>
> Drifter
> "I've been here, I've been there..."

Glad to hear it was a simple fix. I am not a RS basher, but you read a lot
of posts here from people who seem to have a real ax to grind with them. I
have had trouble with a couple things I bought from the Shack, but I NEVER
got ANY static from them about getting my money back.
I now have a 246T that I very much love, but my years old Pro-95 still
works great and is still in daily use by me. Before the City went to trunked
system here I was using a many years old, passed down to me, Pro-79. It is
so well used that you can't read the buttons any more, yet it still works
fine.
--
Experience is something you don't get until just after you need it.

3115. Re: Rider revolt on motorman-only "L" train

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-01 22:59:55 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <LPxHe.7155$0C.4478@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 12727
Cross-posted to: nyc.transit,rec.radio.scanner

"Jim Hackett" <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com> wrote in message
news:VixHe.12322$oZ.3104@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> So then I can call a friend up and tell them that I just heard on the
> scanner that the cops are coming to raid his house? Cool...
>
>
>
Not being a lawyer, I can't say. I would "Guess" that if you did that, and
they could prove you did, like his phone was tapped and they heard you do
it, or you were stupid enough to admit you did, that you could be in for
some trouble. Whether they could convict you of anything would probably
depend on your local laws, but if something went bad, say someone got shot
and they felt it was because you called him and told him, I would guess some
judge would try to find some way to make you miserable.
--
Experience is something you don't get until just after you need it.

3116. FA: PRO 2006 with Full OptoScan 456 board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-02 02:36:39 UTC
From: Rick Kempe <r.kempe@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <X_AHe.7243$0C.7157@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 12728

Unblocked, full Opto 456 installed, bright backlight, all original packaging and manuals, Probe version 7 licensed software included, discriminator tap installed. Excellent condition, thanks for looking!
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5794550710&fromMakeTrack=true#Returns

3117. FA: Uniden Bearcat 780 XLT Trunk Tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-02 02:47:55 UTC
From: Rick Kempe <r.kempe@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <v9BHe.7250$0C.2042@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 12729

Thanks for looking!

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5794573503

3118. FA: AOR AR-3000a Comunications Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-02 02:50:15 UTC
From: Rick Kempe <r.kempe@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <HbBHe.7252$0C.6991@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 12730

Full coverage, discriminator tap. Thanks for looking!

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5794573492

3119. discriminator tapping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-02 13:00:13 UTC
From: SMV <vanmatre@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <a8rue157il410sljkkrbmflaebk1rjgf2k@4ax.com>
Source record: 12731

Hello fellow radio/scanner folks. I'm looking for info on discriminator tapping.....seems like I have a lot of questions. And I cant seem to find any answers for them. And yes, I have 2 scanners capable of the mod.

Is there a website that explains in full detail how to do this?

Once i do the discriminator mod, do I need any softwere to control it?

How does it get connected to the computer?

Can I receive police MDT data?

What other data can i receive?

If anyone has the answers, please steer me in the right direction...because im kinda lost on this!!!!!

3120. Re: Rider revolt on motorman-only "L" train

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-02 13:22:56 UTC
From: "Ed(NY)" <ebny27@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <QsKHe.2151$va1.1651@trndny09>
Source record: 12732
Cross-posted to: nyc.transit,rec.radio.scanner

```
What's the connection between passengers being fed up with delays/discharges
and there not being a conductor on the train? I guess if there had been a
conductor, he could have instructed passengers with statements like "I don't
know what the problem is, we just have to discharge the train."
```

--
Remove numbers, if any, from e-mail address to reply.
"Joel Rubin" <jmrubin@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:6cpHe.12162$oZ.4633@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> (I believe that it is illegal to repeat any content heard on radio
> other than on broadcast and ham radio.)
>
>
http://www.nynewsday.com/news/local/newyork/ny-nysub014367126aug01,0,2057024.column?coll=ny-ny-columnists
>
> IN THE SUBWAYS
>
> Straphangers flex their muscles
> Ray Sanchez
>
> August 1, 2005
>
> Little trace remains of the early-morning mutiny on a conductorless L
> train in Brooklyn.
>
> Still, the rebellion is noteworthy as the Metropolitan Transportation
> Authority moves ahead with plans to eliminate more than 300 conductors
> on four subway lines in coming years.
>
> The tale of mutinous MetroCard holders was recounted by Jon Schachter,
> a Manhattan resident and longtime subway activist, who deciphered it
> from transit radio frequencies.
>
> It starts sometime after 2:30 a.m. on July 10, in the tension-filled
> days after the first terrorist bombings in the London Underground.
> Schachter was riding the L beneath the East Village, listening, as he
> always does on the train, to his portable scanner.
>
> "There was a Manhattan-bound train and transit control center says,
> 'Hold at Lorimer with your doors open,'" Schachter recalled.
>
> That would be Lorimer Street station in Brooklyn. It was unclear what
> the trouble was. Maybe signal problems. There was a track gang working

> on the line beneath Sixth Avenue in Manhattan, Schachter said.
>
> "They held the train at Lorimer for a while before they said, 'Proceed
> to Bedford and discharge the train,'" he said.
>
> The lone motorman took his eight-car train to Bedford Avenue, the last
> stop in Brooklyn before the train enters Manhattan via a tube under
> the East River. One-person train operation, a money-saving measure
> known as OPTO, was introduced on the L last month - on nights and
> weekends, for now.
>
> The controversial move counters the transit agency's supposed focus on
> security in the wake of terrorist bombings on trains in London and
> Madrid. The MTA plans to eliminate the positions of 313 train
> conductors on four subway lines, starting in 2007, according to a
> published report.
>
> "The train proceeds to Bedford Avenue and, of course, there's only one
> person on the train," said Schachter, referring to the train operator.
> At that hour, people leaving clubs and restaurants along Bedford flock
> to the subway.
>
> "He calls back on his radio and says people won't get off the train,"
> Schachter continued. "This guy has eight cars of people to discharge
> by himself. They called police and it was almost three in the morning
> and the police never showed."
>
> Frustration on the L line had been running high for months. The MTA
> hopes to run its first automated trains on the line. Service
> disruptions have been frequent as the line's antiquated signal system
> is replaced.
>
> Schachter believes riders at Bedford Avenue that morning had had
> enough.
>
> "There were too many people," he said. "They said, 'No, we're not
> getting off. We're not taking this crap. We want to get home.'"
>
> A New York City Transit spokesman, Charles Seaton, said there was no
> record of the incident. But this was not a denial. "It means we can't
> find a record of it," he said.
>
> "I'm sure he was sound asleep at the time," Schachter said of the
> transit spokesman.
>
> A police spokesman yesterday said the incident would likely go
> unreported by cops. "There was no crime committed," he said.
>
> So Schachter's recollection of radio transmissions is the hardest

> information available on the mutinous L line riders.
>
> "Finally, somebody at the control center said, 'I have a solution,
> call me on the phone,'" he said. "Moments later you hear the
> announcement, 'OK, we're going to send this train and the next one
> into Manhattan.' They let the train roll because people would not get
> off."
>
> "I know that occasionally there are mutinies, but I didn't hear the
> details of that particular one," said Andrew Albert, a nonvoting
> member of the MTA board who represents riders.
>
> Last week, London's largest transit union threatened to strike if rail
> guards were not reinstated on all tube trains. Rail guards, as
> London's conductors are known, were eliminated decades ago.
>
> A motorman on the L line yesterday said passenger mutinies are not
> uncommon.
>
> "People get fed up," he said. "If they don't sense that it's a
> dangerous situation, they're not going to get off your train."
>
> He added, "From our point of view, it's only a matter of time before
> the New York subway is attacked. When it happens, I hope I have a
> conductor on my train."
>
> Copyright © 2005, Newsday, Inc.
>

3121. Re: Rider revolt on motorman-only "L" train

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-02 13:56:46 UTC
From: kuzen001@duke.edu
Message-ID: <dcnu2u$4i8$1@gargoyle.oit.duke.edu>
Source record: 12733
Cross-posted to: nyc.transit,rec.radio.scanner

Cyrus Afzali <pnsmnyv@lnubb.pbz> wrote:
> >(I believe that it is illegal to repeat any content heard on radio
>
> No it's not. As long as someone receives a radio transmission through
> a legal device, what's said on it is totally open to the public.

This is not true in the United States, as I understand it.
From NF2G's very nice site: "Section 705 (47 USCA 605) states that it is unlawful to disclose the content of radio transmissions overheard unless they are amateur radio traffic, broadcasts to the public or distress calls. It is unlawful under this section to use traffic monitored for personal gain."

Please note that police transmissions are NOT "broadcasts to the public" but are rather two-way communications. Unless the law has changed drastically since my youth, it is in fact, in the US, illegal to disclose the content of monitored transmissions unless they are intended for public use.

Ken Kuzenski AC4RD ken . kuzenski at duke .edu

All disclaimers apply, see? www.duke.edu/~kuzen001

3122. Re: BC246T Broken Volume knob (almost)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-02 15:36:47 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <jqMHe.961$I04.412@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 12734
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Craven Morehead" <cmorehead@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:TVLHe.1978$0p.1475@bignews6.bellsouth.net...
> Any other BC246 owners seeing this problem. Look closely. Mine is about
> 3
> months old with NO abuse. A small crack has developed in the "volume"
> knob.
> Since the diameter of the knob is not much greater than the shaft, I can
> see
> this might be a design problem. I fired off a note to the Uniden Customer
> Care folks a few minutes ago. We'll see what happens. Anyone else have
> this problem? Thanks.
>
>

You have taken it off to compare the Diameter of the knob to the shaft? Was
the crack there before or after you pulled the knob off?
--
Experience is something you don't get until just after you need it.

3123. Re: FA: PRO 2006 with Full OptoScan 456 board .....Oooops !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-02 16:30:12 UTC
From: krackula <krackula@i.am>
Message-ID: <tp5ve1ln532bdp8uoh04ul95v97cqv5kv3@4ax.com>
Source record: 12735

prepare to have your ad cancelled . you have offered a copy of
" Probe " software with your auction which you are NOT
authorized to resell. that maker allows you to buy it , but NOT to
resell it and aggressively attacks those that try.
(I have already experienced his rath !!!!) try to modify
(by adding on to it) your auction , right away, to say that the
probe software is included " FREE " , as a gift , to the
successful bidder or just show it (next time) but NOT mention
it in the auction , if your auction gets cancelled !!!!
(might work, might now .... dunno)

I took the trouble to actually buy his software (3X to support the
author) instead of using a (freely available) pirate
copy. in return I got attacked on ebay when I offered it
(like you) in a auction , along with a scanner. like
everything else in life , there are two sides to every story.
myself, I don't subscribe to the concept of paying money
for something and not owning it. in his case you pay him
the money and HE owns it for you.

with me , his actions have cost him dearly as I have
taken " special care " to give him some of my specialized
attention in return for his. posting his " software license "
info on his web site would go a long ways to heading
off future issues , but I suspect (as I'm sure he does)
that such postings would drive off a bit of his potential
business too.

just FYI ....

k..............

On Tue, 02 Aug 2005 02:36:39 GMT, "Rick Kempe" <r.kempe@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>Unblocked, full Opto 456 installed, bright backlight, all original packaging
>and manuals, Probe version 7 licensed software included, discriminator tap
>installed. Excellent condition, thanks for looking!
>http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5794550710&fromMakeTrack=true#Returns
>

3124. Re: AOR AR-3000a Comunications Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-02 17:18:29 UTC
From: Sir_Oblivion <sir@oblivion.org>
Message-ID: <3e0f1$42efaaf0$3f874b10$20152@NETROX.NET>
Source record: 12736

Nice receiver, will keep an eye on the auction.

"Rick Kempe" <r.kempe@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:HbBHe.7252$0C.6991@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Full coverage, discriminator tap. Thanks for looking!
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5794573492
>

3125. FA: Radio Shack Pro-2026 VHF-UHF Scanner (Very Desirable - You Know Why!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-02 17:28:51 UTC
From: Dave <dave@somehere.net>
Message-ID: <i8bve19imhd32lfu9m3d7i2b2evdlqhobo@4ax.com>
Source record: 12737

I have this for sale on ebay:

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5793370818&rd=1&sspagename=STRK%3AMESE%3AIT&rd=1

Be sure to check the frequency specs.

Thanks

Dave

3126. Re: AOR AR8000 reset button ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-02 18:10:15 UTC
From: Me <me@here.com>
Message-ID: <2idve11b6i1403m7nfenri1qmrj6cl35al@4ax.com>
Source record: 12738

When I first read your post I thought it was something like holding
the func button on the side and turning it on. Then I looked at the
manual and no luck. Don't wanna try it on mine...

I have an old program that loads/reads channels that may be able to
clear all channels but I'm not sure. You may want to look for the old
AR8000 software.

Ben

On Mon, 1 Aug 2005 19:49:53 +0100, "Andy100" <andy100@aog.com> wrote:

>Thanks Ben, yeah i had the same problem too. I know of the soft reset, but
>it doesn't wipe the memories back to factory settings. Think i might just
>clear them all manually. You'd have thought AOR would include this feature
>though !
>
>Cheers
>Andy
>
>
>"Me" <me@here.com> wrote in message
>news:afhse1lme3smu8tkmvrciqq5cuv3n5ucde@4ax.com...
>> I just looked in my 8000 manual and all I can find is the soft reset.
>> It doesn't seem to clear the memories. Hold down the clear key while
>> turning the radio on.
>>
>> Can't find the 'hard' reset anywhere so far.
>>
>> Ben
>>
>>
>> On Mon, 1 Aug 2005 05:36:28 +0100, "Andy100" <andy100@aog.com> wrote:
>>
>> >Can someone not just post what the reset procedure is. I had already
>looked
>> >at that manual, but couldn't find them,
>> >
>> >Thanks
>> >Andy
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >"jamoran" <analon1@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> >news:x4qdnRsOW4wRyXDfRVn-iQ@sedona.net...

>> >> for aor-8000
>> >> there is a hard reset an a soft reset
>> >> verify you do have frequencies programmed in the scan banks if htere
>are
>> >> no frequencies programmed it may act funky
>> >>
>> >> go to the AOR web and download the PDF manual, the specific reset
>> >> instructions can be found there
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> Andy100 wrote:
>> >> > Is there a quick way to restore factory settings to the AOR AR-8000
>> >handheld
>> >> > scanner. I got one off e-bay and the previous owner had all the
>channels
>> >> > full of frequencies that are 'dead' up here. I can manually delete
>each
>> >one,
>> >> > or overwrite with my own, but wondered if there was a simple set of
>> >> > key-strokes which wipes the memory. Similar to the Realistic models
>> >where
>> >> > you hold 2, 9 and switch the unit on.
>> >> >
>> >> > Cheers
>> >> > Andrew
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >
>>
>

3127. Re: AOR AR8000 reset button ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-02 20:00:51 UTC
From: Andy100 <andy100@aog.com>
Message-ID: <dcojdfkug1@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 12739

Haven't got the cable for PC comms to scanner anyway, nevermind !. Cheers
Ben.

Andy

"Me" <me@here.com> wrote in message
news:2idve11b6i1403m7nfenri1qmrj6cl35al@4ax.com...
> When I first read your post I thought it was something like holding
> the func button on the side and turning it on. Then I looked at the
> manual and no luck. Don't wanna try it on mine...
>
> I have an old program that loads/reads channels that may be able to
> clear all channels but I'm not sure. You may want to look for the old
> AR8000 software.
>
> Ben
>
>
> On Mon, 1 Aug 2005 19:49:53 +0100, "Andy100" <andy100@aog.com> wrote:
>
> >Thanks Ben, yeah i had the same problem too. I know of the soft reset,
but
> >it doesn't wipe the memories back to factory settings. Think i might just
> >clear them all manually. You'd have thought AOR would include this
feature
> >though !
> >
> >Cheers
> >Andy
> >
> >
> >"Me" <me@here.com> wrote in message
> >news:afhse1lme3smu8tkmvrciqq5cuv3n5ucde@4ax.com...
> >> I just looked in my 8000 manual and all I can find is the soft reset.
> >> It doesn't seem to clear the memories. Hold down the clear key while
> >> turning the radio on.
> >>
> >> Can't find the 'hard' reset anywhere so far.
> >>
> >> Ben
> >>
> >>
> >> On Mon, 1 Aug 2005 05:36:28 +0100, "Andy100" <andy100@aog.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >Can someone not just post what the reset procedure is. I had already

> >looked
> >> >at that manual, but couldn't find them,
> >> >
> >> >Thanks
> >> >Andy
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >"jamoran" <analon1@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >> >news:x4qdnRsOW4wRyXDfRVn-iQ@sedona.net...
> >> >> for aor-8000
> >> >> there is a hard reset an a soft reset
> >> >> verify you do have frequencies programmed in the scan banks if htere
> >are
> >> >> no frequencies programmed it may act funky
> >> >>
> >> >> go to the AOR web and download the PDF manual, the specific reset
> >> >> instructions can be found there
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >> Andy100 wrote:
> >> >> > Is there a quick way to restore factory settings to the AOR
AR-8000
> >> >handheld
> >> >> > scanner. I got one off e-bay and the previous owner had all the
> >channels
> >> >> > full of frequencies that are 'dead' up here. I can manually delete
> >each
> >> >one,
> >> >> > or overwrite with my own, but wondered if there was a simple set
of
> >> >> > key-strokes which wipes the memory. Similar to the Realistic
models
> >> >where
> >> >> > you hold 2, 9 and switch the unit on.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Cheers
> >> >> > Andrew
> >> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >
> >>
> >
>

3128. Re: discriminator tapping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-02 20:33:44 UTC
From: Bob <bob@nowhere.nil>
Message-ID: <%LQHe.5725$p5.2542@nnrp.ca.mci.com!nnrp1.uunet.ca>
Source record: 12740

SMV wrote:
> Hello fellow radio/scanner folks. I'm looking for info on
> discriminator tapping......seems like I have a lot of questions. And I
> cant seem to find any answers for them. And yes, I have 2 scanners
> capable of the mod.
>
> Is there a website that explains in full detail how to do this?
try mods.dk or a google search. There are hundreds of mod sites.
>
> Once i do the discriminator mod, do I need any softwere to control it?
>
> How does it get connected to the computer?
with a data slicer, again, google it.
>
> Can I receive police MDT data?
sure, but you'll not likely be able to decode it.
>
> What other data can i receive?
pagers, telemetry, pretty much any non-spread data transmission
>
> If anyone has the answers, please steer me in the right
> direction...because im kinda lost on this!!!!!

start with your favorite search engine, that'll keep you busy for weeks!

3129. Software Development Questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-02 21:24:00 UTC
From: Dave <SRPro@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <d2pve1hiq2ga0cnbqkpsu1kipg2kbedssl@4ax.com>
Source record: 12741
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Hello,

I'm in the process of writing a new Windows based audio recorder and would like to find out how people feel about some of the features I am thinking of including. If people who use software VOX recorders could tell me how they feel about whether some features I am considering adding are useful, it would help me to make the new software better.

This is an informal survey and I know many people hate surveys. But if you can help provide some answers to my questions, I can use this info to make my recorder better. A competitor can use this info too, to make their product better. Everybody wins if everyone knows what people expect to see in a recorder.

I've already published a free VOX recorder for almost 10 years but didn't put many features on it that people have been asking for since I first wrote it. It was too hard to modify since it wasn't designed with all the desired features in mind at the beginning.

Here's some of the features I want to add and was wondering whether these are useful enough for people to want to pay a small amount for....(if you don't mind helping, I would really appreciate it).

++++++++ If you could indicate a YES or NO for each feature I listed that would be a great help.

*** The ability to separate VOX events into separate files for each event. As well as dividing the files by time-span or time of day. And of course that requires an auto-file-naming capability.

*** A Review Button: Pushing a button in order to hear the last VOX event that was captured. (or the last 30 seconds, rather). The audio would have to be kept in memory and so it would have a limited length.

*** A repeating clock/calendar to control automatic starts and stops like a VCR has.

*** Splitting the stereo content into two mono output files
and allowing a separate VOX setting for each mono output
file.

*** Having the ability to record .wav files with more than 2
stereo channels, (such as 4 channels) I think this might
require MS Direct Show....but am not sure yet.

*** .MP3 file output format....in addition to the native mp3
support MS already has for .WAV files.

*** A spectrum analyzer for viewing on the front panel
during a recording or while monitoring the audio input.

*** A complex looking (busy) style of display with lots of
blinking lights, clocks, meters, log and stats all to make
it look sophisticated like a lot of receiver panels look.

or

*** A simple basic looking display style with nothing but
the very basic controls.

or

*** both types of panel formats with a switch to change
them.

This next question is not an easy one, but I'm wondering how
much people would pay for a recorder that they think is a
very good piece of software:

*** $5 to $10 USD price range
*** $10 to $20 USD price range
*** $20 to $50 USD price range
*** above $50 USD if it has everything imaginable included.

Not very scientific, I know....

I didn't include a full feature list here because there are
some things that obviously need to be part of a recorder,
like a log file and an auto-start recording control. But
some of the features I listed will take some effort to
produce and I want to avoid wasting a lot of time writing
code that will not be used much.

Feel free to add the features you need to have that I did
not mention, if you think it'll be widely needed by others
too.

And thanks in advance for helping!

Dave
(Author of Scanner Recorder and Scanner Recorder Pro)

3130. Re: Software Development Questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-02 23:46:49 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <hbednXqhRZBxmG3fRVn-rw@comcast.com>
Source record: 12742
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Hi Dave!

I think it's super that you are continuing to enhance your software. Do you want us to respond in the newsgroup, or do you have an email address you'd like us to use?

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

"Dave" <SRPro@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:d2pve1hiq2ga0cnbqkpsu1kipg2kbedssl@4ax.com...
> Hello,
>
> I'm in the process of writing a new Windows based audio
> recorder and would like to find out how people feel about
> some of the features I am thinking of including. If people
> who use software VOX recorders could tell me how they feel
> about whether some features I am considering adding are
> useful, it would help me to make the new software better.
>
> This is an informal survey and I know many people hate
> surveys. But if you can help provide some answers to my
> questions, I can use this info to make my recorder better. A
> competitor can use this info too, to make their product
> better. Everybody wins if everyone knows what people expect
> to see in a recorder.
>
> I've already published a free VOX recorder for almost 10
> years but didn't put many features on it that people have
> been asking for since I first wrote it. It was too hard to
> modify since it wasn't designed with all the desired
> features in mind at the beginning.
>
> ------------------
>
> Here's some of the features I want to add and was wondering
> whether these are useful enough for people to want to pay a
> small amount for....(if you don't mind helping, I would
> really appreciate it).
>
> ++++++++ If you could indicate a YES or NO for each feature
> I listed that would be a great help.
>
> *** The ability to separate VOX events into separate files

> for each event. As well as dividing the files by time-span
> or time of day. And of course that requires an
> auto-file-naming capability.
>
> *** A Review Button: Pushing a button in order to hear the
> last VOX event that was captured. (or the last 30 seconds,
> rather). The audio would have to be kept in memory and so it
> would have a limited length.
>
> *** A repeating clock/calendar to control automatic starts
> and stops like a VCR has.
>
> *** Splitting the stereo content into two mono output files
> and allowing a separate VOX setting for each mono output
> file.
>
> *** Having the ability to record .wav files with more than 2
> stereo channels, (such as 4 channels) I think this might
> require MS Direct Show....but am not sure yet.
>
> *** .MP3 file output format....in addition to the native mp3
> support MS already has for .WAV files.
>
> *** A spectrum analyzer for viewing on the front panel
> during a recording or while monitoring the audio input.
>
> *** A complex looking (busy) style of display with lots of
> blinking lights, clocks, meters, log and stats all to make
> it look sophisticated like a lot of receiver panels look.
>
> or
>
> *** A simple basic looking display style with nothing but
> the very basic controls.
>
> or
>
> *** both types of panel formats with a switch to change
> them.
>
> This next question is not an easy one, but I'm wondering how
> much people would pay for a recorder that they think is a
> very good piece of software:
>
> *** $5 to $10 USD price range
> *** $10 to $20 USD price range
> *** $20 to $50 USD price range
> *** above $50 USD if it has everything imaginable included.
>
> Not very scientific, I know....

>
> --------------------------
>
> I didn't include a full feature list here because there are
> some things that obviously need to be part of a recorder,
> like a log file and an auto-start recording control. But
> some of the features I listed will take some effort to
> produce and I want to avoid wasting a lot of time writing
> code that will not be used much.
>
> Feel free to add the features you need to have that I did
> not mention, if you think it'll be widely needed by others
> too.
>
> And thanks in advance for helping!
>
> Dave
> (Author of Scanner Recorder and Scanner Recorder Pro)

3131. Re: Software Development Questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-03 01:01:29 UTC
From: Al Gillis <alg@aracnet.com>
Message-ID: <dcp4qv0311q@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 12743
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

I thought it was a great idea as well and prepared an answer via Outlooks "Reply" function. After pressing "Send" I got a message back saying that the address SRPro@nospam.com had been "rejected by the server". The reply also said "That domain does not have a proper MX record" whatever that means. (That sounds serious doesn't it? I mean not having a proper MX record! I didn't think this was that kind of a place!)

Regardless what "Dave" is planning sounds cool and I'd like him to proceed. Also to post a suitable e-mail address if he's interested in responses.

Al

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message news:hbednXqhRZBxmG3fRVn-rw@comcast.com...
> Hi Dave!
>
> I think it's super that you are continuing to enhance your software. Do you
> want us to respond in the newsgroup, or do you have an email address you'd
> like us to use?
>
> Thanks,
> Bill Crocker
>
>
> "Dave" <SRPro@nospam.com> wrote in message
> news:d2pve1hiq2ga0cnbqkpsu1kipg2kbedssl@4ax.com...
> > Hello,
> >
> > I'm in the process of writing a new Windows based audio
> > recorder and would like to find out how people feel about
> > some of the features I am thinking of including. If people
> > who use software VOX recorders could tell me how they feel
> > about whether some features I am considering adding are
> > useful, it would help me to make the new software better.
> >
> > This is an informal survey and I know many people hate
> > surveys. But if you can help provide some answers to my
> > questions, I can use this info to make my recorder better. A
> > competitor can use this info too, to make their product
> > better. Everybody wins if everyone knows what people expect
> > to see in a recorder.
> >
> > I've already published a free VOX recorder for almost 10

> > years but didn't put many features on it that people have
> > been asking for since I first wrote it. It was too hard to
> > modify since it wasn't designed with all the desired
> > features in mind at the beginning.
> >
> > -------------------
> >
> > Here's some of the features I want to add and was wondering
> > whether these are useful enough for people to want to pay a
> > small amount for....(if you don't mind helping, I would
> > really appreciate it).
> >
> > ++++++++ If you could indicate a YES or NO for each feature
> > I listed that would be a great help.
> >
> > *** The ability to separate VOX events into separate files
> > for each event. As well as dividing the files by time-span
> > or time of day. And of course that requires an
> > auto-file-naming capability.
> >
> > *** A Review Button: Pushing a button in order to hear the
> > last VOX event that was captured. (or the last 30 seconds,
> > rather). The audio would have to be kept in memory and so it
> > would have a limited length.
> >
> > *** A repeating clock/calendar to control automatic starts
> > and stops like a VCR has.
> >
> > *** Splitting the stereo content into two mono output files
> > and allowing a separate VOX setting for each mono output
> > file.
> >
> > *** Having the ability to record .wav files with more than 2
> > stereo channels, (such as 4 channels) I think this might
> > require MS Direct Show....but am not sure yet.
> >
> > *** .MP3 file output format....in addition to the native mp3
> > support MS already has for .WAV files.
> >
> > *** A spectrum analyzer for viewing on the front panel
> > during a recording or while monitoring the audio input.
> >
> > *** A complex looking (busy) style of display with lots of
> > blinking lights, clocks, meters, log and stats all to make
> > it look sophisticated like a lot of receiver panels look.
> >
> > or
> >
> > *** A simple basic looking display style with nothing but
> > the very basic controls.

> >
> > or
> >
> > *** both types of panel formats with a switch to change
> > them.
> >
> > This next question is not an easy one, but I'm wondering how
> > much people would pay for a recorder that they think is a
> > very good piece of software:
> >
> > *** $5 to $10 USD price range
> > *** $10 to $20 USD price range
> > *** $20 to $50 USD price range
> > *** above $50 USD if it has everything imaginable included.
> >
> > Not very scientific, I know....
> >
> > -------------------------
> >
> > I didn't include a full feature list here because there are
> > some things that obviously need to be part of a recorder,
> > like a log file and an auto-start recording control. But
> > some of the features I listed will take some effort to
> > produce and I want to avoid wasting a lot of time writing
> > code that will not be used much.
> >
> > Feel free to add the features you need to have that I did
> > not mention, if you think it'll be widely needed by others
> > too.
> >
> > And thanks in advance for helping!
> >
> > Dave
> > (Author of Scanner Recorder and Scanner Recorder Pro)
>
>

3132. Patrick AFB Area Log - Tues, 2 Aug 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-03 06:24:35 UTC
From: AllanStern@aol.com
Message-ID: <9e.2aef3817.3021bd23@aol.com>
Source record: 12744

Patrick AFB Area Log - Tues, 2 Aug 2005
==========

MAKO 11: F-16, Homestead
10:00am: At Avon Park Bombing Range; Tactical Air Reconnaissance System (TARS) work.
[292.2, 138.125, 307.1]

NASA 963: T-38N #59-1603, Johnson Space Ctr, Houston.
10:20am: My favorite NASA T-38, flown by a YL pilot-astronaut; lands on Shuttle Landing Facility Rnwy 15.
3:55pm: Departs.
[284.0, 125.075, 132.65, 128.55]

BOLT 21: KC-135R, MacDill AFB 6AMW
10:30am: Inbound MacDill; 15 pax, 4 air crew.
[327.6, 311.0]

US COAST GUARD, Port Canaveral
10:05am: Announcement re disabled vessel "Hot Line." Also Tow Boat with "Hot Line."
[157.1]

SHARK 21 - 24: F-16, Homestead ARB 482FW
10:20am: Arrive Avon Park Bombing Range.
[292.2, 285.725, 139.8, 307.1]

KING 77: WC-130H #65-0977, Keesler AFB "Hurricane Hunter"
11:05am: Pattern work at Patrick AFB.
[133.75, 132.65]
Photos: P8020269 through 8020272.

LIMA LIMA 70: P-3C, NAS Jacksonville VP-30 "Pro's Nest"
1:30pm: Touch-and-goes at Patrick AFB.
[133.75, 269.375]

N21NG; LearJet, Northrop Grumman JSTARS Integration Facility.
2:10pm: Departs KMLB.
[118.2, 132.65, 124.8]

USCG - PORT CANAVERAL
2:20pm: Comms with unit 423.
[157.15]

COIL 01: F-15, FL-ANG 125FW, Jacksonville IAP.
2:20pm: Area transition, northbound.
3:50pm: Area transition.

[319.0, 256.875]

NASA 918: T-38N #66-8384, Johnson Space Ctr, Houston.
2:35pm: Inbound Shuttle Landing Facility.
[126.525, 133.475, 124.8, 128.55]

NASA 912: T-38N #65-10354, Johnson Space Ctr, Houston.
2:35pm: Departs Shuttle Landing Facility.
[128.55, 132.65, 134.0]

AKULA 31: F-16, Homestead ARB 482FW
3:00pm: Work at Avon Park Bombing Range.
[292.2, 264.625]

MAKOs: F-16, Homestead ARB 482FW
3:00pm: Work at Avon Park Bombing Range.
[292.2]

SHARKs: F-16, Homestead ARB 482FW
3:30pm: Work at Avon Park Bombing Range.
[292.2]

DARKSTAR: AWACS
4:41pm: Controlling FANGs.
[376.9]

CG 1720: HC-130H, CGAS Clearwater.
8:57pm; Comms with Maintenance; 20 min out.
[157.075]

BOLT 23: KC-1135R, MacDill AFB 6AMW
10:20pm: Inbound MacDill.
[311.0]

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL (28-11N 80-36W) monitoring
Patrick AFB (KCOF) NASA-KSC Shuttle Landing Fac (KX68)
Avon Park Bombing Range (KAGR) Cape Canaveral AFS (KXMR)
JSTARS E-8 Acft Integration Facility, Melbourne IAP (KMLB)
Worldwide Military HF Communications
Life Member: Missile, Space and Range Pioneers.
http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/index.html (My Freqs)
http://hometown.aol.com/scanaddict/index.html (My Equipment)

3133. Discone Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-03 12:44:34 UTC
From: Chris Cummins <cummins.chris@gtemail.net>
Message-ID: <X_2Ie.1$5P1.315@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 12745

Can anyone recomend a good discone antenna, I'll be using a uniden bc796d and a winradio 1550e. Any advice would be appreciated.

Thanks!

Chris Cummins
cummins.chris@gtemail.net

3134. Re: Anyone experience with "Channel Stalker" feature on Pro83 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-03 15:14:11 UTC
From: Mike <km6ab@REMOVETHIS.pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <7b5Ie.1194$fJ1.392@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 12746

Does the PRO-83 display PL or DPL info when it stops in the Channel
Stalker mode?
Mike

Andy100 wrote:
> Has anyone had any experience, good or bad, with the "Channel Stalker"
> feature on the PRO83 handheld scanner. I'm sure i have seen this being asked
> in here before but cannot find the answer.
>
> I am thinking of buying one of these and had a few questions:
>
> 1) I assumed that the "Channel Stalker" feature would instantly find the
> frequency of, say a PMR radio across the road, or taxi over the road, am i
> right in thinking that (assuming the frequency the mobile was transmitting
> on was within the limits of the scanner)
>
> 2) Do ALL Pro83's come with this feature. I know the Bearcat UBC72XLT
> doesn't, while the BC72XLT does ! - is it the same for this scanner, or do
> they ALL have the feature on ?
>
> 3) Which is better ? Channel Stalker, or Uniden's "Close Call", or are they
> similar technologies ?
>
>
> Thanks for any help. Just need a bit of info before buying. I have Googled
> for Channels Stalker, but there doesn't seem to be a lot of info out there.
>
>
> Cheers
> Andrew
>
>

3135. Re: discriminator tapping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-03 15:50:20 UTC
From: no@spam.org
Message-ID: <96A7774F9nospamorg@130.81.64.196>
Source record: 12747

vanmatre@verizon.net (SMV) wrote in <a8rue157il410sljkkrbmflaebk1rjgf2k@4ax.com>:

>Hello fellow radio/scanner folks. I'm looking for info on
>discriminator tapping......seems like I have a lot of questions. And I
>cant seem to find any answers for them. And yes, I have 2 scanners
>capable of the mod.
>
>Is there a website that explains in full detail how to do this?
>
>Once i do the discriminator mod, do I need any softwere to control it?
>
>How does it get connected to the computer?
>
>Can I receive police MDT data?
>
>What other data can i receive?
>
>If anyone has the answers, please steer me in the right
>direction...because im kinda lost on this!!!!!
>

Well if you would have told us what 2 scanner you have maybe some one could have pointed you to the right URL that not only answers your questions but shows just what and how to do it.

--
That Other George

Please send your spam to: uce@ftc.gov

IRC #ScannerRadio_Warez For all your Scanner Controll Warez

UseNET: alt.binaries.radio-scanner For all your Scanner Controll Warez

UseNET: alt.binaries.radio.scanner.warez For all your Scanner Controll Warez

http://mysite.verizon.net/moment_1/

3136. Bearcat BC396T anyone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-03 20:28:20 UTC
From: Aspen37 <pottsladd13@nospam.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <EN9Ie.540106$cg1.415931@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 12748
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I'm not sure if this discussion has come up yet, so I guess I'll give er a
go.
Has anyone purchased and/or used the 396T yet?
If so, what are your impressions over the 296, APCO25 decoding, rx,
programming, etc.

thnx

Lou

3137. Re: Discone Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-03 20:39:11 UTC
From: Bill Gates <bgates@msn.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96A7A99B79780bgatesmsncom@38.119.106.45>
Source record: 12749

"Chris Cummins" <cummins.chris@gtemail.net> wrote in news:X_2Ie.1$5P1.315
@news.uswest.net:

> Can anyone recomend a good discone antenna, I'll be using a uniden bc796d
> and a winradio 1550e. Any advice would be appreciated.
>
> Thanks!
>
> Chris Cummins
> cummins.chris@gtemail.net
>
>

Only one thing to say Chris, CLCIK

http://mysite.verizon.net/moment_1/scannertesting/antenna.htm

3138. Uniden 245XLT Tracking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-03 21:05:23 UTC
From: TheLoad <tmory@inbox.lv>
Message-ID: <1123103123.514264.213500@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12750

Does anyone Know a good site that shows how to program a trunked radio system into the 245. Years ago our town went to a trunked system and i was able to program them all in , whether correct or not it worked.
Now a neighboring town has a trunked system not sure what kind but now the Frequencies and the talk group codes.
Any help would be greatly appreciated,
- TOM -

3139. Re: Uniden 245XLT Tracking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-03 23:08:39 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <X7cle.353$WD.8@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 12601

"TheLoad" <tmory@inbox.lv> wrote in message
news:1123103123.514264.213500@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Does anyone Know a good site that shows how to program a trunked radio
> system into the 245. Years ago our town went to a trunked system and i
> was able to program them all in , whether correct or not it worked.
> Now a neighboring town has a trunked system not sure what kind but now
> the Frequencies and the talk group codes.
> Any help would be greatly appreciated,
> - TOM -
>

http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&ctid=2984

This would probably be a good place to start. Don't know that particular
scanner, but you need to know what type of system they have where you want
to listen. This place may have that info.
--
"Money is truthful. If a man speaks of his honor make him pay cash."
:Lazarus Long

3140. Re: Discone Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-03 23:50:15 UTC
From: Brian <victhedog@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <hvl2f1pvd92j09u62pp9h1a4luiii9ffbc@4ax.com>
Source record: 12602

On Wed, 03 Aug 2005 15:39:11 -0500, Bill Gates <bgates@msn.com> wrote:

get a real antenna
my dressler is great lots of bandwith from a small antenna

>"Chris Cummins" <cummins.chris@gtemail.net> wrote in news:X_2Ie.1$5P1.315
>@news.uswest.net:
>
>> Can anyone recomend a good discone antenna, I'll be using a uniden bc796d
>> and a winradio 1550e. Any advice would be appreciated.
>>
>> Thanks!
>>
>> Chris Cummins
>> cummins.chris@gtemail.net
>>
>>
>
>Only one thing to say Chris, CLCIK
>
>http://mysite.verizon.net/moment_1/scannertesting/antenna.htm

3141. Re: Bearcat BC396T anyone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-04 03:59:00 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <8ogIe.485$x43.244690@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 12603
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Lou,

Go to the YAHOO Groups group for the 396T. Literally thousands of
postings relative to this new scanner.

As you can see, the newsgroups have become a wasteland. Literally.

All the action is in Yahoo groups, or the various radio forums like
Strong Signals, and Radio Reference.

Pricey, but a great scanner, BTW.

Dan

> I'm not sure if this discussion has come up yet, so I guess I'll give er a
> go.
> Has anyone purchased and/or used the 396T yet?
> If so, what are your impressions over the 296, APCO25 decoding, rx,
> programming, etc.
>
> thnx
>
> Lou
>
>

3142. Re: Discone Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-04 04:24:19 UTC
From: Mike <km6ab@REMOVETHIS.pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <TLgIe.9660$_%4.4648@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 12604

Here is a ï¿½reprintï¿½ of a previous post about Dressler active VHF/UHF antennas. Save your money and build or buy something else!
Mike

The Dressler VHF/UHF active antennas are far from impressive, especially for the price. I borrowed two of the active VHF/UHF Dresslers from the local rep (forget the model but went to 2GHz and was not the high IP3 version) and tested them on a antenna range against a Radio Shack Discone. The source antenna was a commercial 50MHz to 1GHz log periodic. I donï¿½t have the results handy but above the mid VHF range the Dressler did a little better than the Discone but not by much, the best performance was about 5 or 6dB at 1ghz if I remember correctly, which was my upper test limit at the time. Oddly, the Discone worked about the same on VHF high band and much better in the VHF air band. What was more surprising was the Discone was better from 50MHz to 100MHz than the Dressler even though the Discone was below itï¿½s natural cut off freq of around 100MHz. I thought something was wrong with the Dressler but both units gave the same results and the Dressler gain control was all the way up in both cases. The rep confirmed they were both working properly when returned also. Without opening the Dressler, we could only conclude the ï¿½antennaï¿½ element inside was a poor design and the internal pre-amp can only do so much with what its fed. Much better performance could be had starting with a Dressler and feeding it with a Dressler or similar pre-amp, which the local rep uses himself (whatï¿½s up with that?). If you hear of a good report from a Dressler owner, itï¿½s probably because they have to justify the
high price they paid for the thing.

Brian wrote:
> On Wed, 03 Aug 2005 15:39:11 -0500, Bill Gates <bgates@msn.com> wrote:
>
>
>
> get a real antenna
> my dressler is great lots of bandwith from a small antenna
>
>
>>"Chris Cummins" <cummins.chris@gtemail.net> wrote in news:X_2Ie.1$5P1.315
>>@news.uswest.net:
>>
>>
>>>Can anyone recomend a good discone antenna, I'll be using a uniden bc796d
>>>and a winradio 1550e. Any advice would be appreciated.
>>>
>>>Thanks!

>>>
>>>Chris Cummins
>>>cummins.chris@gtemail.net
>>>
>>>
>>
>>Only one thing to say Chris, CLCIK
>>
>>http://mysite.verizon.net/moment_1/scannertesting/antenna.htm
>
>

3143. Re: Software Development Questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-04 07:00:14 UTC
From: Dave <SRPro@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <n7d3f1hf0rdlcvettd7eus3t01mljl07ft@4ax.com>
Source record: 12605
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

If you'd like to send an email instead of post it here then
you can send to

S-R-P-R-O-@-D-A-V-E-E-.-C-O-M (without the dashes). The
address in the header is a nospam (fake) address as you can
see.

Thanks to all who can help answer this survey. I usually get
lots of suggestions after the fact, from users who are
trying the software for the first time. But I really am
trying to get the features written down that are important
to people BEFORE I have finished the project, not after. I
already have several hundred letters to sift through, but
today things might be different than when these letters were
first sent to me years ago. So I am trying to ask about a
couple of features that are not easy to write in the code
and I want to see if they are worth the trouble. In
particular, the splitting of stereo into two files is one of
them and the review/playback last n minuets on demand is the
other feature I'm most concerned about.

I realize that there's other software that does the same
thing as mine. I don't think the market for audio recorders
that have special capabilities is saturated yet. I'm still
seeing hundreds of downloads per day. I had 2803 downloads
of the free version of Scanrec last month. And that's after
leaving the recorder to rot in the wind for several years.
This thing's been available and unchanging for many years
and there's still demand for it. So if there's still that
much traffic there must be some room for a better version
that has more features. And since people pay for Recall with
its list of features, surely someone will want something
that has more features than Recall has. Frankly I haven't
looked at Recall in a long time. I bought a license a few
years ago. So it might already have everything I mentioned
in my survey.

Anyway, I'm hoping people WILL answer the questions and help
me to get the impression on whether the features I mentioned
are needed or just a waste of time. I need to know before I
start doing that extra work, not after I start publishing
the beta version.

thanks,
Dave

3144. Re: Rider revolt on motorman-only "L" train

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-04 10:51:53 UTC
From: kuzen001@duke.edu
Message-ID: <dcss09$mc0$1@gargoyle.oit.duke.edu>
Source record: 12606
Cross-posted to: nyc.transit,rec.radio.scanner

Cyrus Afzali <pnsmnyv@lnubb.pbz> wrote:
> On Tue, 2 Aug 2005 13:56:46 +0000 (UTC), <kuzen001@duke.edu> wrote:

> >This is not true in the United States, as I understand it.
> >From NF2G's very nice site: "Section 705 (47 USCA 605) states that it is
> >unlawful to disclose the content of radio transmissions overheard unless
>
> Monitoring the conversations for the sake of your own recreation or
> information is absolutely, positively NOT illegal.

I DIDN'T say that, Cyrus. I said it's, by my understanding,
illegal to DISCLOSE the content of two-way communications to
others, not to listen to them.

Maybe you should get a dictionary. Or find an adult to help
you with the big words.

--
Ken Kuzenski AC4RD ken . kuzenski at duke .edu
--
All disclaimers apply, see? www.duke.edu/~kuzen001

3145. Re: discriminator tapping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-04 12:26:35 UTC
From: silverchair@freakshow.com
Message-ID: <%PnIe.44820$S%5.42773@trnddc06>
Source record: 12607

the 2 scanners i have are a pro-2050 and a pro-2026

i did get some info.....but still curious if the output wire off of the #9 pin gets a 4.7-uF capacitor on it? this if for the pro-2050.

if anyone has a finished product pic of the internal setup, it would be great

3146. Re: discriminator tapping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-04 12:39:32 UTC
From: Martijn <post@in.group>
Message-ID: <dct27s$g5r$1@news4.zwoll1.ov.home.nl>
Source record: 12608

SMV wrote:
> Hello fellow radio/scanner folks. I'm looking for info on
> discriminator tapping......seems like I have a lot of questions. And I
> cant seem to find any answers for them. And yes, I have 2 scanners
> capable of the mod.
>
> Is there a website that explains in full detail how to do this?
>
> Once i do the discriminator mod, do I need any softwere to control it?
>
> How does it get connected to the computer?
>
> Can I receive police MDT data?
>
> What other data can i receive?
>
> If anyone has the answers, please steer me in the right
> direction...because im kinda lost on this!!!!!

Hi,

Look at http://www.discriminator.nl/index-en.html for all your questions.

Good luck,
Martijn

3147. Re: Bearcat BC396T anyone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-04 12:41:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <cbmdnXDFxcZAkW_fRVn-3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 12609
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Radio Reference is an excellent site for info on the new BCD396T!
http://www.radioreference.com

Bill Crocker

"Aspen37" <pottsladd13@nospam.yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:EN9Ie.540106$cg1.415931@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> I'm not sure if this discussion has come up yet, so I guess I'll give er a
> go.
> Has anyone purchased and/or used the 396T yet?
> If so, what are your impressions over the 296, APCO25 decoding, rx,
> programming, etc.
>
> thnx
>
> Lou
>

3148. Re: HP Tech Support now gone overseas (ot)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-04 13:34:50 UTC
From: SteveJ <SJ@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <8JadnaS7WKXghG_fRVn-gg@comcast.com>
Source record: 12610
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Dell does the same thing, not just HP

"Frances Mullane" <mullanef@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:1CTEe.22524$Wt3.5125@bignews3.bellsouth.net...
> It is true that almost everyone outsources. Intuit also does. I have had
> very good support people from India with impeccable English and good
> skills and I have also had horrid ones who could not understand or speak
> English and did not know what they were doing.
>
> I was told by one TS guy from India... one for Intuit that they are really
> trained and it is a lengthly process etc. etc. He was excellent. So I
> think each company decides how much to invest in this outsourced TS. Even
> if they don't outsource... if they don't train then it is garbage... It is
> the company's ethics rather than merely outsourcing per se.
>

3149. Re: Discone Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-04 14:55:23 UTC
From: Mike <km6ab@REMOVETHIS.pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <v%pIe.1474$C11.998@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 12611

There was a typo at the bottom of the post, it should read "Much better performance could be had starting with a DISCONE and feeding it with a Dressler or similar pre-amp, which the local rep uses himself (whatï¿½s up with that?).

Mike wrote:
> Here is a ï¿½reprintï¿½ of a previous post about Dressler active VHF/UHF
> antennas. Save your money and build or buy something else!
> Mike
>
> The Dressler VHF/UHF active antennas are far from impressive, especially
> for the price. I borrowed two of the active VHF/UHF Dresslers from the
> local rep (forget the model but went to 2GHz and was not the high IP3
> version) and tested them on a antenna range against a Radio Shack
> Discone. The source antenna was a commercial 50MHz to 1GHz log periodic.
> I donï¿½t have the results handy but above the mid VHF range the Dressler
> did a little better than the Discone but not by much, the best
> performance was about 5 or 6dB at 1ghz if I remember correctly, which
> was my upper test limit at the time. Oddly, the Discone worked about the
> same on VHF high band and much better in the VHF air band. What was more
> surprising was the Discone was better from 50MHz to 100MHz than the
> Dressler even though the Discone was below itï¿½s natural cut off freq of
> around 100MHz. I thought something was wrong with the Dressler but both
> units gave the same results and the Dressler gain control was all the
> way up in both cases. The rep confirmed they were both working properly
> when returned also. Without opening the Dressler, we could only conclude
> the ï¿½antennaï¿½ element inside was a poor design and the internal pre-amp
> can only do so much with what its fed. Much better performance could be
> had starting with a Dressler and feeding it with a Dressler or similar
> pre-amp, which the local rep uses himself (whatï¿½s up with that?). If you
> hear of a good report from a Dressler owner, itï¿½s probably because they
> have to justify the
> high price they paid for the thing.
>
>
> Brian wrote:
>
>> On Wed, 03 Aug 2005 15:39:11 -0500, Bill Gates <bgates@msn.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>> get a real antenna my dressler is great lots of bandwith from a
>> small antenna
>>

>>
>>> "Chris Cummins" <cummins.chris@gtemail.net> wrote in
>>> news:X_2Ie.1$5P1.315
>>> @news.uswest.net:
>>>
>>>
>>>> Can anyone recomend a good discone antenna, I'll be using a uniden
>>>> bc796d
>>>> and a winradio 1550e. Any advice would be appreciated.
>>>>
>>>> Thanks!
>>>>
>>>> Chris Cummins
>>>> cummins.chris@gtemail.net
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>> Only one thing to say Chris, CLCIK
>>>
>>> http://mysite.verizon.net/moment_1/scannertesting/antenna.htm
>>
>>
>>

3150. Re: Rider revolt on motorman-only "L" train

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-04 15:06:54 UTC
From: kuzen001@duke.edu
Message-ID: <dctaue$uc6$1@gargoyle.oit.duke.edu>
Source record: 12612
Cross-posted to: nyc.transit,rec.radio.scanner

Cyrus Afzali <pnsmnyv@lnubb.pbz> wrote:
> >
> >> >This is not true in the United States, as I understand it.
> >> >From NF2G's very nice site: "Section 705 (47 USCA 605) states that it is
> >> >unlawful to disclose the content of radio transmissions overheard unless

> No, you need to get a clue and stop being an ass. You are free to
> disclose the content of ANY, and I mean ANY, conversation that's sent
> out over the public airwaves. A person can't be charged with a crime
> for passing along the content of something that's not illegal to
> monitor in the first place.

That's EXACTLY what the law I quoted above says, very explicitly:
you MAY monitor these transmissions but you may NOT disclose the
contents to any other person. If you can't understand the very
plain language above, perhaps your babysitter can help.

> Really, for the love of God, I hope you're not studying law if you are
> at Duke. If you are, PLEASE get a refund and try a new vocation.

I'm a proctologist, and I look in the scanner newsgroup from
time to time because there are so many gaping *ssh*les here.

Ken Kuzenski AC4RD ken . kuzenski at duke .edu

All disclaimers apply, see? www.duke.edu/~kuzen001

3151. Re: Bearcat BC396T anyone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-04 19:45:18 UTC
From: Neil Bell <neilbell@att.notreally.net>
Message-ID: <41s4f1dpq1u1ihhee2dni012m86oq0591i@4ax.com>
Source record: 12613

On Thu, 04 Aug 2005 03:59:00 GMT, Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote:

I'm not sure if this discussion has come up yet, so I guess I'll give
er a
>> go.
>> Has anyone purchased and/or used the 396T yet?
>> If so, what are your impressions over the 296, APCO25 decoding, rx,
>> programming, etc.

Yep and it is a GREAT scanner!

Neil

3152. Re: Rider revolt on motorman-only "L" train

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-04 20:14:07 UTC
From: Bill <k4wge@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <1123186447.347178.212070@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12614
Cross-posted to: nyc.transit,rec.radio.scanner

18 USC ss 2510-2522

Sec. 2511. Interception and disclosure of wire, oral, or electronic communications prohibited

(1) Except as otherwise specifically provided in this chapter any person who--

(a) intentionally intercepts, endeavors to intercept, or procures any other person to intercept or endeavor to intercept, any wire, oral, or electronic communication;

(b) intentionally uses, endeavors to use, or procures any other person to use or endeavor to use any electronic, mechanical, or other device to intercept any oral communication when--

(i) such device is affixed to, or otherwise transmits a signal through, a wire, cable, or other like connection used in wire communication; or

(ii) such device transmits communications by radio, or interferes with the transmission of such communication; or

(iii) such person knows, or has reason to know, that such device or any component thereof has been sent through the mail or transported in interstate or foreign commerce; or

(iv) such use or endeavor to use (A) takes place on the premises of any business or other commercial establishment the operations of which affect interstate or foreign commerce; or (B) obtains or is for the purpose of obtaining information relating to the operations of any business or other commercial establishment the operations of which affect interstate or foreign commerce; or

(v) such person acts in the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, or any territory or possession of the United States;

(c) intentionally discloses, or endeavors to disclose, to any other person the contents of any wire, oral, or electronic communication, knowing or having reason to know that the information was obtained through the interception of a wire, oral, or electronic communication in violation of this subsection;

(d) intentionally uses, or endeavors to use, the contents of any wire, oral, or electronic communication, knowing or having reason to know that the information was obtained through the interception of a

wire, oral, or electronic communication in violation of this subsection; or

(e)(i) intentionally discloses, or endeavors to disclose, to any other person the contents of any wire, oral, or electronic communication, intercepted by means authorized by sections 2511(2)(A)(ii), 2511(b)-(c), 2511(e), 2516, and 2518 of this subchapter, (ii) knowing or having reason to know that the information was obtained through the interception of such a communication in connection with a criminal investigation, (iii) having obtained or received the information in connection with a criminal investigation, and (iv) with intent to improperly obstruct, impede, or interfere with a duly authorized criminal investigation, shall be punished as provided in subsection (4) or shall be subject to suit as provided in subsection (5).

3153. Re: Rider revolt on motorman-only "L" train

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-04 21:26:28 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ikpn841.pminews@newsgroups.comcast.net>
Source record: 12615
Cross-posted to: nyc.transit,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 03 Aug 2005 23:04:45 -0400, Cyrus Afzali wrote:

>You're talking about two completely different things. Using
>information that's sent over public airwaves for any kind of personal
>gain that includes an illegal activity is obviously illegal. That is
>what is meant by personal gain.

That's only ONE of the actions which constitute "personal gain".
There are others.

>Monitoring the conversations for the sake of your own recreation or
>information is absolutely, positively NOT illegal. If that were so, it
>would be illegal to sell scanners in the U.S. and it's absolutely,
>positively not.

Stand by - it may become such. See my penultimate paragraph below.

>>Please note that police transmissions are NOT "broadcasts to
>>the public" but are rather two-way communications. Unless the
>>law has changed drastically since my youth, it is in fact, in
>>the US, illegal to disclose the content of monitored transmissions
>>unless they are intended for public use.
>>
>Again, you're very, very confused. Disclosing for personal gain is
>obviously not legal. But it's absolutely false to say that you cannot
>monitor two-way radio conversations. Police departments themselves
>have long given the public not only the frequencies they use for
>communication, but the accompanying "10 code" sheets that explain what
>the various 10-XX codes are.

You are the one who is confused - you are missing the point very
widely and giving people bad information.

The (Federal) Communications Act (47 U.S.C. s. 605) is very clear on
this point - contents of monitored transmissions (except AM/FM/TV
broadcast, aviation and marine traffic, and amateur and CB radio
communications) MAY NOT BE DIVULGED to anyone except to the sender
or recipient without permission of the sender or to another
communications carrier or pursuant to a court order. Period. No
wiggle room.

Do not confuse this with the provisions of the above section which
deal with "using for gain" or with the provisions of the Electronic
Communications Privacy Act (18 U.S.C. s. 2511) which allow the mere
monitoring of such communications as long as they are not encrypted
for security.

In the case of police transmissions being quoted by the press, the
former has given the latter such permission.

That's the way the law is today.

We pros in the field are quietly shuddering at the possibility that
it may become a lot stricter in regard to who may monitor non-broadcast,
non-marine/aviation, non-amateur/CB radio transmissions in the name
of "domestic security" as most foreign countries do, democratic or
not. One does not wander around a railyard today with a camera and
scanner without prior permission if one is smart...

Yes, I am a lawyer and this is the specific area of expertise of my
law practice. Further questions?

--
===> Stand Clear of the Closing Doors, Please <===

Phil Kane -- Beaverton, Oregon
PNW Milepost 754 -- Tillamook District

3154. Re: Rider revolt on motorman-only "L" train

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-04 21:38:56 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ikpnsw2.pminews@newsgroups.comcast.net>
Source record: 12617
Cross-posted to: nyc.transit,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 04 Aug 2005 09:50:26 -0400, Cyrus Afzali wrote:

>No, you need to get a clue and stop being an ass. You are free to
>disclose the content of ANY, and I mean ANY, conversation that's sent
>out over the public airwaves. A person can't be charged with a crime
>for passing along the content of something that's not illegal to
>monitor in the first place.

I'll say it again - read Section 605. It's clear that what you have
stated is NOT accurate. Violations of that section can be
prosecuted administratively (civil forfeiture/fine) by the FCC or
criminally by the Justice Department.

Civil forfeitures was what I did at the FCC before I retired.

--
===> Stand Clear of the Closing Doors, Please <===

Phil Kane -- Beaverton, Oregon
PNW Milepost 754 -- Tillamook District

3155. Re: Rider revolt on motorman-only "L" train

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-04 21:42:23 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ikpnyn3.pminews@newsgroups.comcast.net>
Source record: 12616
Cross-posted to: nyc.transit,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 04 Aug 2005 13:46:53 -0400, Cyrus Afzali wrote:

>Under that logic, nobody could obtain 911 calls or broadcast them. And
>both happen all the time. If you're not a lawyer or federal judge,
>your opinion is a layman's and nothing but.

I'm a lawyer practicing in this specialty and you are incorrect.
You are missing the "permission of the sender" point.

Next.....

--
===> Stand Clear of the Closing Doors, Please <===

Phil Kane -- Beaverton, Oregon
PNW Milepost 754 -- Tillamook District

3156. Re: Software Development Questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-05 02:21:11 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@gwi.net>
Message-ID: <z6adnW4zdp91P2_fRVn-jw@giganews.com>
Source record: 12618
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Ok, It would be nice to have it record in mp3 format, and be able to set the bit rate of the file, a time stamp and freq stamp would be nice to have..... And having it put the recordings into folders of your choosing would be extra nice... The time stamp and freq stamp could be put into the id3 tags, so there is already a place in the mp3 file to put it...

Having it compile a microsoft database for you would be a bit arduous to program, but would certainly be a nice feature, hit counters, and of course, putting the tones info into the mp3 tag would be super, etc. writing such a program under vb6 , or net if you are into it, would probably involve writing a series of activeX controls, then using them to control and 3extract the data for these various functions. development will be faster, and the program will be easier to maintain in the long run, since the functions will be "encapsulated" in the activeX controls....
For the database and logging functions , a good class module would undoubtedly do, nothing more will be needed, as we are not looking for "real-time" display of these functions anyway..

the system clock, of course, can be tapped for the time/date info , and quite easily.

I wish I had time to write some of this stuff for you, but with all the projects i currently have on the fire, it's simply too demanding of time and resources. Maybe when winter sets in again....
"Dave" <SRPro@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:d2pve1hiq2ga0cnbqkpsu1kipg2kbedssl@4ax.com...
> Hello,
>
> I'm in the process of writing a new Windows based audio
> recorder and would like to find out how people feel about
> some of the features I am thinking of including. If people
> who use software VOX recorders could tell me how they feel
> about whether some features I am considering adding are
> useful, it would help me to make the new software better.
>
> This is an informal survey and I know many people hate
> surveys. But if you can help provide some answers to my
> questions, I can use this info to make my recorder better. A
> competitor can use this info too, to make their product
> better. Everybody wins if everyone knows what people expect
> to see in a recorder.
>
> I've already published a free VOX recorder for almost 10
> years but didn't put many features on it that people have

> been asking for since I first wrote it. It was too hard to
> modify since it wasn't designed with all the desired
> features in mind at the beginning.
>
> -------------------
>
> Here's some of the features I want to add and was wondering
> whether these are useful enough for people to want to pay a
> small amount for....(if you don't mind helping, I would
> really appreciate it).
>
> ++++++++ If you could indicate a YES or NO for each feature
> I listed that would be a great help.
>
> *** The ability to separate VOX events into separate files
> for each event. As well as dividing the files by time-span
> or time of day. And of course that requires an
> auto-file-naming capability.
>
> *** A Review Button: Pushing a button in order to hear the
> last VOX event that was captured. (or the last 30 seconds,
> rather). The audio would have to be kept in memory and so it
> would have a limited length.
>
> *** A repeating clock/calendar to control automatic starts
> and stops like a VCR has.
>
> *** Splitting the stereo content into two mono output files
> and allowing a separate VOX setting for each mono output
> file.
>
> *** Having the ability to record .wav files with more than 2
> stereo channels, (such as 4 channels) I think this might
> require MS Direct Show....but am not sure yet.
>
> *** .MP3 file output format....in addition to the native mp3
> support MS already has for .WAV files.
>
> *** A spectrum analyzer for viewing on the front panel
> during a recording or while monitoring the audio input.
>
> *** A complex looking (busy) style of display with lots of
> blinking lights, clocks, meters, log and stats all to make
> it look sophisticated like a lot of receiver panels look.
>
> or
>
> *** A simple basic looking display style with nothing but
> the very basic controls.
>

> or
>
> *** both types of panel formats with a switch to change
> them.
>
> This next question is not an easy one, but I'm wondering how
> much people would pay for a recorder that they think is a
> very good piece of software:
>
> *** $5 to $10 USD price range
> *** $10 to $20 USD price range
> *** $20 to $50 USD price range
> *** above $50 USD if it has everything imaginable included.
>
> Not very scientific, I know....
>
> -------------------------
>
> I didn't include a full feature list here because there are
> some things that obviously need to be part of a recorder,
> like a log file and an auto-start recording control. But
> some of the features I listed will take some effort to
> produce and I want to avoid wasting a lot of time writing
> code that will not be used much.
>
> Feel free to add the features you need to have that I did
> not mention, if you think it'll be widely needed by others
> too.
>
> And thanks in advance for helping!
>
> Dave
> (Author of Scanner Recorder and Scanner Recorder Pro)

3157. Re: Bearcat BC396T anyone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-05 03:51:04 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <sZ-dnT54HdO0f2_fRVn-uA@comcast.com>
Source record: 12619
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

About the only negative comments coming from owners are that the markings, labels, key numbers, etc, are wearing off very prematurely. Uniden is aware of the problem, and is looking into a solution.

Bill Crocker

"Aspen37" <pottsladd13@nospam.yahoo.com> wrote in message news:EN9Ie.540106$cg1.415931@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> I'm not sure if this discussion has come up yet, so I guess I'll give er a
> go.
> Has anyone purchased and/or used the 396T yet?
> If so, what are your impressions over the 296, APCO25 decoding, rx,
> programming, etc.
>
> thnx
>
> Lou
>

3158. Re: Scanner Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-05 03:55:13 UTC
From: "Mr. Man with the Master Plan" <null@null.net>
Message-ID: <AqBIe.20390$sf6.13603@fe08.lga>
Source record: 12620

The light is really not orange. It is a simple grain of wheat bulb with a orange insert over it in a white diffuser set about an inch or so from the LCD display.

I replaced a bulb in a PRO-2052 (same form factor as the 2050) with yellow two LED's and a resistor.

I forget the voltage , but that is easy to measure and take it from there.

For someone handy, figure it would take about 15 minutes at most. Someone who is nto as apt, might take a bit longer.

Or you could jsut go to the radioshack website, get the detailed parts list for the radio, and order the bulb to your house.

"Equine Goddess" <DragonWitch1@webtv.net> wrote in message news:3499-42E7A4F0-66@storefull-3351.bay.webtv.net...
> Hi,
>
> I have a Radio Shack TunkTracker Pro 2050, the orange light on it burned
> out and I wanted to know if I could get it fixed, and where can I get it
> fixed. Can Radio Shack fix it? I live in southern Indiana,btw.
>

3159. Patrick AFB Area Mil Comms Log - Thurs 4 Aug 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-05 03:57:13 UTC
From: "Allan.Stern@gmail.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123214233.466018.7270@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12621

Patrick AFB Area Log - Thurs 4 Aug 2005
==========

MAKO 11: F-16C/D, Homestead ARB 482FW
9:59am: Working at Avon Park Bombing Range.
[292.2, 285.725, 138.125, 307.1, 281.5]

CG AUX VESSEL 7512
10:00am: Comms with CG Sta Port Canaveral; under Pineda Bridge on
Indian River, patrolling; heading north.
[157.175]

BOLT 41: KC-135R, MacDill AFB 6AMW
10:01am: Air-air Refueling training with LIFTER 31.
[327.6]

LIFTER 31: C-17A, Charleston AFB 437AW
10:01am: Air-air Refueling training with BOLT 41.
1:00pm: Pattern work at Patrick AFB.
[327.6, 135.075, 132.65, 133.75]

SHARK 21: F-16, flight of 3, Homestead ARB 482FW
10:38am: Working at Avon Park Bombing Range, Placid MOA, buddy-lasing.
[292.2, 307.1, 285.725, 139.8]

MUSTANG 01: CE-208B Caravan, US State Dept Air Wing, Patrick AFB.
10:39am: Touch-and-goes at Patrick.
[133.75]

JOSA 315: C-21A #84-0076
10:45am: Inbound MacDill AFB; no DVs or Duty pax aboard.
[372.2]

220: INS-Orlando Dispatcher
11:08am: Checks with INS-Deer Park Radio Maintenance.
[165.85]

LIMA FOXTROT 69: P-3C, Jacksonville NAS VP-16 "War Eagles"
11:33am: Area transition.
[133.475]

JOLLY 11: HH-60G Pave Hawk Helo, Patrick AFB 920RQW 301RQS
11:55am: Departs Patrick; local ops.
[269.375]

KIMG 55: HC-130P #64-14855, Patrick AFB AFSOC 920RQW 39RQS
12:35pm: Departs Patrick.
[133.75]

SATCOM
12:30pm: Clear voice, Spanish.
[253.65]

LIMA LIMA 66: P-3C, Jacksonville NAS VP-30 "Pro's Nest"
3:57pm: Working in Whiskey Area.
[267.5 Sealord]

GITMO 02: UC-12B, Guantanamo Bay
5:09pm: Inbound Jacksonville NAS.
[310.2]

KING 77: WC-130H #65-0977, Keesler AFB 403W 53WRS "Hurricane Hunters"
9:16pm: Parrot check with Oakgrove on AICC while overhead Patrick.
10:06pm: Deploying pyrotechnics offshore Patrick (115 radial, 40DME,
20DME) at 5500 feet.
10:45pm: Lands Patrick.
[133.75, 132.65, 133.475, 132.25, 364.2]
NOTE: Evidentally, USCG Sta Canaveral was not advised of this work; and
they have been announcing "flares spotted offshore."

DOS 671: UH-1H #73-21671, US State Dept Air Wing, Patrick AFB
9:20pm: Local ops.
10:15pm: Lands Patrick.
[133.75]

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL (28-11N 80-36W) monitoring
Patrick AFB (KCOF) NASA-KSC Shuttle Landing Fac (KX68)
Avon Park Bombing Range (KAGR) Cape Canaveral AFS (KXMR)
JSTARS E-8 Acft Integration Facility, Melbourne IAP (KMLB)
Worldwide Military HF Communications
Life Member: Missile, Space and Range Pioneers.
http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/index.html (My Freqs)
http://hometown.aol.com/scanaddict/index.html (My Equipment)

3160. Re: Software Development Questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-05 05:32:57 UTC
From: Dave <SRPro@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <ups5f1p1dmd6etddtoc02jedgcqhih8576@4ax.com>
Source record: 12622
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 4 Aug 2005 19:21:11 -0700, "Zombie Wolf"
<zmbwf@gwi.net> wrote:

>Ok, It would be nice to have it record in mp3 format, and be able to set the
>bit rate of the file, a time stamp and freq stamp would be nice to
>have..... And having it put the recordings into folders of your choosing
>would be extra nice...

How would the recorder decide what folder to put a file
into? Every recorder already puts files into a folder of the
user's choosing. So you must mean that the program knows how
to decide which folder? Please explain.

>Having it compile a microsoft database for you would be a bit arduous to
>program, but would certainly be a nice feature

You mean similar to Scancat? Do you mean that you want the
recorder to use the database in a random access way keyed by
the frequency so that statistics can be tallied in the DB
for each freq that was recorded?

>putting the tones info into the mp3 tag would be super,

What tones are you talking about? Detecting tones such as
DTMF? Tone detection can be done but it has to be designed
with the types of tone in mind. One frequency tone detection
would not work with DTMF. And how would tone info be stored?
As a frequency?

Thanks for answering. So far, you and only one other person
have provided any help.

People avoid surveys like the plague. But how else can they
get what they want in a new product? If it's already
completed before the ideas come in, then the ideas are less
likely to be added (afterward) unless it happens to be
something easy to retrofit. Some of the things I'm asking
for opinions on are not trivial to add later. That's why I'm
asking people up front before it becomes too late to make
modifications of that caliber.

If more people could help that would be great. Here's a
survey page I just added today.

http://www.davee.com/scanrec/survey/survey-form.html

3161. Re: Software Development Questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-05 05:40:37 UTC
From: Dave <SRPro@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <5nu5f1td20jmpflsla5prutf9b3b1i15a8@4ax.com>
Source record: 12623
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 2 Aug 2005 19:46:49 -0400, "Bill Crocker"
<wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote:

>I think it's super that you are continuing to enhance your software. Do you
>want us to respond in the newsgroup, or do you have an email address you'd
>like us to use?

If you'd like, I prepared a web survey to make it easier.

I haven't received any email yet and maybe it's just too
hard for most people to put their ideas in writing. But
here's an easy alternative. It's your typical small survey
page with less than 10 questions and no writing necessary.

Thanks!!!

http://www.davee.com/scanrec/survey/survey-form.html

3162. Re: Software Development Questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-05 05:54:16 UTC
From: Dave <SRPro@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <gnv5f1lljihmbs2qgunm1rls0sgv3th9h1@4ax.com>
Source record: 12624
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 04 Aug 2005 22:40:37 -0700, Dave <SRPro@nospam.com>
wrote:

>with less than 10 questions

Ok, I admit it. I can't count.

;v)

3163. Re: Software Development Questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-05 06:05:31 UTC
From: miso@sushi.com
Message-ID: <1123221931.258397.171720@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12625
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

I've been using your program for some time. Here are my suggestions:

1) Multichannel with individual squelch would sure work for me, and quite frankly it would be the only way to get me to pay for the software. I'm sure there is a pro-audio multitrack file format.

2) Some sort of periodic auto-save function is needed. I often record in the field and don't always catch the notebook battery meter indicating a low function.

Note that I always record in PCM and then convert to compressed audio later. This has a few advantages. One, I can "normalize" the audio in soundforge prior to compressing it. If you adjust the audio level of a compressed file, I believe it gets compressed twice. Second, I I can take individual bits of audio and boost the level of just that section. Audio levels are all over the map if you record military air. Third, the filters work better on PCM. Often I need to notch 400hz and 1200Hz from aircraft generators.

Now this leads to another feature:

3) Dump the auto-clip scheme. Sixteen bits of audio is plenty of headroom. Encourage the user to leave a bit of headroom when recording. Then for each segment of audio, normalize it. This will adjust for variations in audio level from radio to radio. This implies you will need a buffer for the audio segment, and the ability to simultaneously normalize one segment of audio while still recording the next segment.

Here is an idea for another product. These flash recorders (Iriver, Creative, etc) are getting quite cheap, but they still haven't mastered the idea of a vox. A program that would take a file from a flash recorder and strip out the silence would be useful.

Ultimately, the audio recorder belong in the scanner itself. The manufacturers are idiots for not doing so. It would have the advantage that the audio segment could be tagged with frequency, time, talk group,etc.You could scan multiple frequencies and then reassemble all the audio segments using the tags. Uniden and GRE just can't think out of the box. For P25, you could just store the digital audio.

3164. Re: Software Development Questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-05 07:10:42 UTC
From: Dave <SRPro@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <t426f1lvdj1uevvkc38q67kkddjmieeibp@4ax.com>
Source record: 12626
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

I think these ideas are some of the best I've heard so far.
Especially the auto-normalize. That seems so useful and
after all these years, I never though of that one and no one
else has suggested that.

On 4 Aug 2005 23:05:31 -0700, miso@sushi.com wrote:

>I've been using your program for some time. Here are my suggestions:
>
>1) Multichannel with individual squelch would sure work for me, and
>quite frankly it would be the only way to get me to pay for the
>software. I'm sure there is a pro-audio multitrack file format.
>
>2) Some sort of periodic auto-save function is needed. I often record
>in the field and don't always catch the notebook battery meter
>indicating a low function.

Would auto-save to a new file name (say, using a sequence
number) be acceptable instead of re-opening the previously
written file?

A .wav or .mp3 file has to be closed before it's considered
to be safely stored. That means that it cannot be appended
to without a lot of potential problems. If the format is raw
data with no headers (like the .RAW format used by Sound
forge) then it would be easy to append after closing the
file. Maybe I should consider adding a .RAW format for that
reason.

But IMHO, it's not safe to update the headers (which is
necessary to append more audio) of the .WAV or .MP3 file
that's already been closed because the computer could die in
the middle of the update and that might leave the file
crippled. Manipulating the previously saved headers can
invite other problems too, such as adding a lot of disk
seeking and CPU time to reopen the file for appending after
it's already been closed. Appending more audio requires a
read-scan of the entire file in order to locate and change
the existing audio (RIFF) headers.

>Note that I always record in PCM and then convert to compressed audio
>later. This has a few advantages. One, I can "normalize" the audio in
>soundforge prior to compressing it. If you adjust the audio level of a
>compressed file, I believe it gets compressed twice. Second, I I can
>take individual bits of audio and boost the level of just that section.

>Audio levels are all over the map if you record military air. Third,
>the filters work better on PCM. Often I need to notch 400hz and 1200Hz
>from aircraft generators.
>
>Now this leads to another feature:
>
>3) Dump the auto-clip scheme.

If you're talking about the Scanner Recorder anti-clip, that
has to do with preventing the audio from being clipped off
at the beginning the way a mechanical VOX recorder does.
That works by keeping the last 10 MS of audio in a buffer
and drawing from that buffer whenever the VOX is opened to
prevent that pop sound. Like if it records a person saying
the word "testing" and it ends up sounding like "esting".

> Sixteen bits of audio is plenty of
>headroom. Encourage the user to leave a bit of headroom when recording.
>Then for each segment of audio, normalize it. This will adjust for
>variations in audio level from radio to radio. This implies you will
>need a buffer for the audio segment, and the ability to simultaneously
>normalize one segment of audio while still recording the next segment.
>
>Here is an idea for another product. These flash recorders (Iriver,
>Creative, etc) are getting quite cheap, but they still haven't mastered
>the idea of a vox. A program that would take a file from a flash
>recorder and strip out the silence would be useful.

Sound Forge can do that using Auto-Region I think.
>
>Ultimately, the audio recorder belong in the scanner itself. The
>manufacturers are idiots for not doing so. It would have the advantage
>that the audio segment could be tagged with frequency, time, talk
>group,etc.You could scan multiple frequencies and then reassemble all
>the audio segments using the tags. Uniden and GRE just can't think out
>of the box. For P25, you could just store the digital audio.

I really appreciate the great ideas! Thanks.

3165. Re: Rider revolt on motorman-only "L" train

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-05 15:24:34 UTC
From: kuzen001@duke.edu
Message-ID: <dd00bi$7pq$1@gargoyle.oit.duke.edu>
Source record: 12627
Cross-posted to: nyc.transit,rec.radio.scanner

Cyrus Afzali <pnsmnyv@lnubb.pbz> wrote:
> >
> >That's true. What *IS* illegal is to disclose to anyone what you have
>
> Again, if that were true, people wouldn't be able to get transcripts
> of radio logs. And those are public record and have been forever.

OK, everybody, I finally realized what's going on.

NOBODY is as stupid as this "Cyrus" pretends to be; even
a grade-school kid could understand the difference between
listening to communications (legal) and disclosing the
content to others (illegal) after having it pointed out
so many times. The only conclusion, then, is that "Cyrus" is
trolling and just PRETENDING to be this stupid and clueless.

Nothing else makes sense.

Good one, "Cyrus."

Ken Kuzenski AC4RD ken . kuzenski at duke .edu

All disclaimers apply, see? www.duke.edu/~kuzen001

3166. Re: Bearcat BC396T anyone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-05 20:49:36 UTC
From: Aspen37 <pottsladd13@nospam.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <AhQIe.552288$cg1.5137@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 12628
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Thanks for all the info.....
I'm looking to replace my Pro-96 with a real radio (Uniden), and heard nothing but bad reports in regards to the 296D, so wanted to get a heads up on the 396T.

thnx

Lou

3167. Re: Software Development Questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-06 00:33:14 UTC
From: miso@sushi.com
Message-ID: <1123288394.663422.77760@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12629
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

I think of clipping as in reaching the maximum audio level, not clipping off a bit of sound. However, I can see why someone would think that way. I could have sworn the audio sounded more distorted with the clipping turned on, but it could be I was doing something else wrong at the time.

Since I don't know the details in file format, I obviously have to leave autosave up to you.However, the notion of RAW sounds good to me. Even notebook HDs are big enough not to need compression for the local file. I only compress for internet use.

Another idea might be an intentional user definable :"snip" at the end of each segment of audio. Some scanners have an annoying squelch tail that would sound better snipped off.

Lastly, perhaps the scanner recorder could be set up to either process "live" audio or audio files. This way someone could record audio with the Irver or Create Nuvo, then remove the gaps later. I've recorded audio in locations where it really isn't all that handy to bring a notebook computer.

These were done with the scanner recorder and a notebook computer.
http://www.lazygranch.com/red_audio.htm

This is a typical file with all the warts of mil air in field recording:
http://www.lazygranch.com/sound/redflag/aug2004/24pm308p6mp3.wav
Everything is simplex with constant changing distances. With AM, weak signals really sound bad, so MP3 recording before processing just doesn't work. The newer files I've done have been encoded in ADPCM. Not all that dense, but much better quality.

http://www.lazygranch.com/sound/janet/tower_control_civ_07212005.wav

Here the planes on the ground are very wek since I don't have line of sight. The audio would sound quite poor in mp3, but is passable in ADPCM.

3168. Re: Bearcat BC396T anyone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-06 02:35:31 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <_IWdnf3JBMNuvGnfRVn-uA@comcast.com>
Source record: 12630
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

You don't consider the PRO-96 a "real radio"? I'd be interested in your reasons why. I've owned Unidens, and Radio Shack's (GRE) scanners. I currently own the PRO-96, and the PRO-2096, and find they both work extremely well, including the digital function while monitoring MPSCS in Michigan. What problems have you had?

Bill Crocker

"Aspen37" <pottsladd13@nospam.yahoo.com> wrote in message news:AhQIe.552288$cg1.5137@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Thanks for all the info.....
> I'm looking to replace my Pro-96 with a real radio (Uniden), and heard
> nothing but bad reports in regards to the 296D, so wanted to get a heads
> up on the 396T.
>
> thnx
>
> Lou
>

3169. Re: Software Development Questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-06 10:31:59 UTC
From: Dave <SRPro@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <t739f1lkrm1oav8lj4s94v4m58dpdanit2@4ax.com>
Source record: 12631
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

On 5 Aug 2005 17:33:14 -0700, miso@sushi.com wrote:

>I think of clipping as in reaching the maximum audio level, not
>clipping off a bit of sound. However, I can see why someone would think
>that way. I could have sworn the audio sounded more distorted with the
>clipping turned on, but it could be I was doing something else wrong at
>the time.

It's my fault for not providing much help to people on what
it is. Funny thing is that when I put a switch in to turn
the anti-clip off, I couldn't tell the difference in how it
sounded. No moving parts responds real quickly. I never
needed it in the first place.

>Since I don't know the details in file format, I obviously have to
>leave autosave up to you.However, the notion of RAW sounds good to me.
>Even notebook HDs are big enough not to need compression for the local
>file. I only compress for internet use.
>
>Another idea might be an intentional user definable :"snip" at the end
>of each segment of audio. Some scanners have an annoying squelch tail
>that would sound better snipped off.

Will add that to the list.

>Lastly, perhaps the scanner recorder could be set up to either process
>"live" audio or audio files. This way someone could record audio with
>the Irver or Create Nuvo, then remove the gaps later. I've recorded
>audio in locations where it really isn't all that handy to bring a
>notebook computer.

A utility tool maybe to do that. It's just that I'm not sure
how to implement it yet. It currently uses "push" logic to
push the audio downstream from the capture to the file.
Processing a file is reversed. It's "pull" logic. It might
be too confusing for me to put both together in one program
just yet.

>These were done with the scanner recorder and a notebook computer.
>http://www.lazygranch.com/red_audio.htm
>
>This is a typical file with all the warts of mil air in field
>recording:
>http://www.lazygranch.com/sound/redflag/aug2004/24pm308p6mp3.wav
>Everything is simplex with constant changing distances. With AM, weak

>signals really sound bad, so MP3 recording before processing just
>doesn't work. The newer files I've done have been encoded in ADPCM. Not
>all that dense, but much better quality.
>
>http://www.lazygranch.com/sound/janet/tower_control_civ_07212005.wav
>
>Here the planes on the ground are very wek since I don't have line of
>sight. The audio would sound quite poor in mp3, but is passable in
>ADPCM.

You have a great web site. I really enjoyed exploring. Will be going back there to check out more things. I found the plane wreck expeditions very interesting and wish I were able to accomplish that kind of expedition. It must've been great fun to go to those sites! It reminds me - a couple of years ago a friend who I met at the RC flying field (Morgan Hill) had a hanger full of plane parts and other junk. Up on the top level inside the hanger was a full sized, wreck of a WW2 spitfire with the tail numbers on it. I went on the internet and found lots of pics of that plane and made contact with someone in the UK who had a database of many planes and their dispositions. He had that plane in his list as "missing" and I was happy to tell him that I found his missing plane in the Morgan Hill area south of San Jose. He was real happy to be able to update his listing. It was a fatal crash of a pilot flying it between air-shows. He was enroute to somewhere in Nevada and had a full speed cruising impact into a hill due to poor vis. The entire (or most of it) plane was in the hanger and it was really messed up badly.

BTW: You have my email from the Scanrec about page. Feel free to email any time if you want to talk about it more.

Anyway, thanks a lot for all the ideas. I appreciate it!!

3170. Kern County California

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-06 17:03:27 UTC
From: Burr <pitz2@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <z36Je.2760$vD.1345@trnddc05>
Source record: 12632

Kern County California

They installed a new radio system for the KCFD and KCSD a few months ago.

They are sure having problems, very low volumes, and cross feed from local radio stations when mike keyed.

Burr

3171. k - newbie stumped right at the start! Help me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-06 17:11:45 UTC
From: Mary <mthill@buckeye-expressNOSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <uaadnSZDKNIdbWnfRVn-vg@buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 12633

I just bought a PRO-2033 and would like to hear 460.300 and what's listed as the 'talk-around'. I am completely ignorant and trying to get a grasp on this stuff. My Dad was a chief of police (passed away in 2000) and I've got tons of cops in the family but hate to admit I never paid attention and ask one of them ;)

When I hit the scan button, the digital readout just runs 1 through 10 and flashes all zeros every time. If I turn the squelch down, it stops, but it's just static. So it appears auto scanning doesn't even pick anything up at all. Is it my location or my reception?

When I try to manually enter the frequency, it gives me an error every time or simply nothing.

Do you think I am a) doing it wrong. b) the scanner itself doesn't function properly or c) my antenna isn't working?

Is it also possible that the previous owner locked out lots of frequencies? I think the only way to unlock one is to enter the exact number first.

Any guidance for a complete new person who is seriously interested in doing this?

TIA,

Mary

3172. Re: k - newbie stumped right at the start! Help me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-06 19:03:48 UTC
From: kuzen001@duke.edu
Message-ID: <dd31ik$v7k$1@gargoyle.oit.duke.edu>
Source record: 12634

Mary <mthill@buckeye-expressnospam.com> wrote:
> I just bought a PRO-2033 and would like to hear 460.300 and what's listed
> Do you think I am a) doing it wrong. b) the scanner itself doesn't function

Mary, a good first step would be to check the manual for that
radio (Radio Shack has many available online if you don't have
it) and perform a "reset." That will get you back to the shape
the radio was in when it came out of the box. Then follow the
manual for entering a new frequency. If the radio is working
properly, that ought to get you started. If it DOESN'T work,
your radio may not be working right. Oh--you DO have an
antenna of some kind hooked to it?

_____
Ken Kuzenski AC4RD ken . kuzenski at duke .edu
_____
All disclaimers apply, see? www.duke.edu/~kuzen001

3173. Re: k - newbie stumped right at the start! Help me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-06 19:39:57 UTC
From: Bill Eitner <kd6tas@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <hm8Je.3246$fJ1.1774@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 12635

The "manual"--perhaps an altogether
forgotten concept. ;-P
--

kuzen001@duke.edu wrote:
> Mary <mthill@buckeye-expressnospam.com> wrote:
>
>>I just bought a PRO-2033 and would like to hear 460.300 and what's listed
>>Do you think I am a) doing it wrong. b) the scanner itself doesn't function
>
>
> Mary, a good first step would be to check the manual for that
> radio (Radio Shack has many available online if you don't have
> it) and perform a "reset." That will get you back to the shape
> the radio was in when it came out of the box. Then follow the
> manual for entering a new frequency. If the radio is working
> properly, that ought to get you started. If it DOESN'T work,
> your radio may not be working right. Oh--you DO have an
> antenna of some kind hooked to it?
>
>
> ____
> Ken Kuzenski AC4RD ken . kuzenski at duke .edu
> ____
> All disclaimers apply, see? www.duke.edu/~kuzen001

3174. Re: k - newbie stumped right at the start! Help me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-06 20:32:54 UTC
From: Mary <mthill@buckeye-expressNOSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <M9GdnSO4lq3ig2jfRVn-iw@buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 12636

<kuzen001@duke.edu> wrote in message
news:dd31ik$v7k$1@gargoyle.oit.duke.edu...
> Mary <mthill@buckeye-expressnospam.com> wrote:
>> I just bought a PRO-2033 and would like to hear 460.300 and what's listed
>> Do you think I am a) doing it wrong. b) the scanner itself doesn't
>> function
> Oh--you DO have an
> antenna of some kind hooked to it?
>
>

I said newbie, not stupid ;)

3175. Re: k - newbie stumped right at the start! Help me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-06 20:35:25 UTC
From: Mary <mthill@buckeye-expressNOSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <wYWdndLP7atHvWjfRVn-sg@buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 12637

"Bill Eitner" <kd6tas@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:hm8Je.3246$fJ1.1774@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
> The "manual"--perhaps an altogether
> forgotten concept. ;-P
> --
>

Actually, that was the first thing I looked for - they have instructions & help but no actual manual for this model (at least not at radio shack's site). Just very, very basic instructions. I'll look again though and see if there isn't one posted elsewhere.

I'm the type that reads manuals from cover to cover and enjoy pointing out every little feature that people who 'know' how to work things never realized existed because they don't read them.

Thanks!

3176. Re: k - newbie stumped right at the start! Help me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-06 23:29:34 UTC
From: BDK <kingratay@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1d5f11e5b44398bf9899f7@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 12638

In article <M9GdnSO4lq3ig2jfRVn-iw@buckeye-express.com>, mthill@buckeye-expressNOSPAM.com says...
>
> <kuzen001@duke.edu> wrote in message
> news:dd31ik$v7k$1@gargoyle.oit.duke.edu...
> > Mary <mthill@buckeye-expressnospam.com> wrote:
> >> I just bought a PRO-2033 and would like to hear 460.300 and what's listed
> >> Do you think I am a) doing it wrong. b) the scanner itself doesn't
> >> function
> > Oh--you DO have an
> > antenna of some kind hooked to it?
> >
> >
>
> I said newbie, not stupid ;)
>
>
>

This is how you do it:

(From the info on the 2033 (There is no manual online!)

STORING FREQUENCIES INTO CHANNELS

You can store a frequency into each of the scanner's 10 channels. Good references for active frequencies are Radio Shack's "Police Call Guide Including Fire and Emergency Services," "Maritime Frequency Directory," and "Aeronautical Frequency Directory." We update these directories every year so be sure to get a current copy.

1. Press MANUAL. Enter the channel number you want to store a frequency in.

2. Press PROGRAM. 000.000 flashes on the display.

3. Enter the desired frequency. (example 460.300, remember the decimal point!)

4. Press E (enter) to store the frequency. The stored frequency flashes
on the display.

If you made a mistake in Step 3, ERROR appears on the display. Press */ CLEAR and repeat Steps 3-4.

5. Repeat Steps 1-4 to program more channels.

If you want to program the next channel in order, repeat Steps 2-

BDK

3177. Re: k - newbie stumped right at the start! Help me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-07 00:22:59 UTC
From: Panzer240 <panzer240@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96AAD9859C3CEfw190a8@198.161.157.145>
Source record: 12639

Barry OGrady <atheist.xxx@gmail.com> wrote in
news:rmiaf15355tk59n16sm77n46fv6m47n1h9@4ax.com:

>
> RTFM.
>
>
> Barry
>=====
> Home page
> http://members.iinet.net.au/~barry.og
>

Very helpful :(

Mary you can try initalizing the scanner by doing the following:

INITIALIZING THE SCANNER

If the scanner's display locks up or does not work properly after you
connect to power, follow these steps to initialize the scanner.

Caution: This procedure clears all information in the scanner's memory.
Initialize the scanner only when you are sure the scanner is not
working properly.

1. Turn off your scanner by turning VOLUME toward OFF until it clicks.

2. Press and hold 2, 9, and */CLEAR, then turn VOLUME toward MAX to turn
on the scanner.

Which came of Radio Shacks web site, They don't have the manual there as
you said but there is some info about features etc and this was in the
installation section :)

Hoep it helps a bit :)

--
Panzer

3178. Re: k - newbie stumped right at the start! Help me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-07 00:46:57 UTC
From: Mary <mthill@buckeye-expressNOSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <k7ednYq3gdUzxmjfRVn-2Q@buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 12640

"Panzer240" <panzer240@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:Xns96AAD9859C3CEfw190a8@198.161.157.145...
> Barry OGrady <atheist.xxx@gmail.com> wrote in
> news:rmiaf15355tk59n16sm77n46fv6m47n1h9@4ax.com:
>
>>
>> RTFM.
>>
>>
>> Barry
>>=====
>> Home page
>> http://members.iinet.net.au/~barry.og
>>
>
> Very helpful :(
>
> Mary you can try initalizing the scanner by doing the following:
>
> INITIALIZING THE SCANNER
>
> If the scanner's display locks up or does not work properly after you
> connect to power, follow these steps to initialize the scanner.
>
> Caution: This procedure clears all information in the scanner's memory.
> Initialize the scanner only when you are sure the scanner is not
> working properly.
>
> 1. Turn off your scanner by turning VOLUME toward OFF until it clicks.
>
> 2. Press and hold 2, 9, and */CLEAR, then turn VOLUME toward MAX to turn
> on the scanner.
>
> Which came of Radio Shacks web site, They don't have the manual there as
> you said but there is some info about features etc and this was in the
> installation section :)
>
> Hoep it helps a bit :)
>
>
> --
> Panzer
>

Thank you!! 'preciate it :)

3179. Coos and Curry County, Ore. frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-07 01:11:32 UTC
From: David Courtland <pariah@vcn.bc.ca>
Message-ID: <dd3n44sio1@luna.vcn.bc.ca>
Source record: 12641
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Does anyone have scanner frequencies for Coos County and Curry County,
Ore., or know where I can find them?

--
David Courtland
E-mail: pariah@vcn.bc.ca
--
"If one cannot command attention by one's admirable qualities one can at
least be a nuisance." -- Margery Allingham, "Death of a Ghost"

3180. Re: k - newbie stumped right at the start! Help me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-07 01:43:26 UTC
From: Mary <mthill@buckeye-expressNOSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <LMCdnZ2dnZ3TKByVnZ2dnev6aN-dnZ2dRVn-052dnZ0@buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 12642

```
"Panzer240" <panzer240@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:Xns96AAD9859C3CEfw190a8@198.161.157.145...
> Barry OGrady <atheist.xxx@gmail.com> wrote in
> news:rmiaf15355tk59n16sm77n46fv6m47n1h9@4ax.com:
>
>>
>> RTFM.
>>
>>
>> Barry
>>=====
>> Home page
>> http://members.iinet.net.au/~barry.og
>>
>
> Very helpful :(
>
> Mary you can try initalizing the scanner by doing the following:
>
> INITIALIZING THE SCANNER
>
> If the scanner's display locks up or does not work properly after you
> connect to power, follow these steps to initialize the scanner.
>
> Caution: This procedure clears all information in the scanner's memory.
> Initialize the scanner only when you are sure the scanner is not
> working properly.
>
> 1. Turn off your scanner by turning VOLUME toward OFF until it clicks.
>
> 2. Press and hold 2, 9, and */CLEAR, then turn VOLUME toward MAX to turn
> on the scanner.
>
> Which came of Radio Shacks web site, They don't have the manual there as
> you said but there is some info about features etc and this was in the
> installation section :)
>
> Hoep it helps a bit :)
>
>
> --
> Panzer
>
```

I tried all the suggestions here, including resetting the unit, but with no good results. I removed the antenna while it was on and there was no difference whatsoever in what I was hearing. Here is a description of the antenna that came with it:

30 to 512MHz. Extends to 40". (Use plug adapter #278-117 for scanners that have BNC connector.)

So how do I know if it has a BNC connector? Maybe the antenna needs an adapter? Could this be the case, even though I do get the 'wx' broadcasts?

All new stuff to me - I feel like other people do when I talk about software :) In too deep.

Thanks for the hand!!

3181. Re: k - newbie stumped right at the start! Help me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-07 04:21:01 UTC
From: Mary <mthill@buckeye-expressNOSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <v5ednZ36dsULEGjfRVn-pw@buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 12643

"Mary" <mthill@buckeye-expressNOSPAM.com> wrote in message
news:uaadnSZDKNIdbWnfRVn-vg@buckeye-express.com...
>I just bought a PRO-2033 and would like to hear 460.300 and what's listed
>as the 'talk-around'. I am completely ignorant and trying to get a grasp
>on this stuff. My Dad was a chief of police (passed away in 2000) and I've
>got tons of cops in the family but hate to admit I never paid attention and
>ask one of them ;)
>
> When I hit the scan button, the digital readout just runs 1 through 10 and
> flashes all zeros every time. If I turn the squelch down, it stops, but
> it's just static. So it appears auto scanning doesn't even pick anything
> up at all. Is it my location or my reception?
>
> When I try to manually enter the frequency, it gives me an error every
> time or simply nothing.
>
> Do you think I am a) doing it wrong. b) the scanner itself doesn't
> function properly or c) my antenna isn't working?
>
> Is it also possible that the previous owner locked out lots of
> frequencies? I think the only way to unlock one is to enter the exact
> number first.
>
> Any guidance for a complete new person who is seriously interested in
> doing this?
>
> TIA,
>
> Mary
>

OK - I feel like such a geek. I'm sitting her past midnight with a grin on
my face because I finally figured out what my problem was!! It was scanning
1 through 10 and flashing zeros because *I didn't know it was only scanning
the programmed frequencies*. Of which there were none yet! I thought scan
would scan through all available frequencies not just ones I specified.
Anyone know if there is a way to scan that way, too?

Gees - thanks for all the help. I'm off to bed!

Mary

3182. FA - AOR3000A and AOR3000 Silent Key Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-07 07:33:59 UTC
From: steve <stephen.lawrence@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <dd4dh7msk1@nwrdmz03.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com>
Source record: 12644

Hi there.

I have been asked to sell these two excellent communications receivers,
after the owner sadly passed away. These are in great condition and fully
working.

take a look
http://cgi.ebay.co.uk/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5796474921&rd=1&sspagename=STRK%3AMESE%3AIT&rd=1

and
http://cgi.ebay.co.uk/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5796479985&rd=1&sspagename=STRK%3AMESE%3AIT&rd=1

Many thanks - any questions via e-bay please.

3183. Re: Coos and Curry County, Ore. frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-07 13:54:50 UTC
From: HotShot <MyShotIsHot@HotShotShootsSpam.Com>
Message-ID: <MooJe.97$6d4.26836@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 12645
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Same way you found this newsgroup to post the question. Dumbass.

David Courtland wrote:
> Does anyone have scanner frequencies for Coos County and Curry County,
> Ore., or know where I can find them?
>

3184. FS: AR2700 AOR2700 manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-07 16:03:56 UTC
From: Ron <RTeeBee@beehive.net>
Message-ID: <k1ccf1t88q11masmeho0n8aq28bhpuslp2@4ax.com>
Source record: 12646

I have ab AR2700 manual for sale -- Hand Portable Radio Receiver scanner manual.

NOT A COPY!!

$8.00

3185. Icom R10 belt clip?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-07 16:09:33 UTC
From: Rod <Cabletek73@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <1nqJe.2868$f.1970@trndny09>
Source record: 12647

Any idea's on where I can find a replacement?

3186. Re: Coos and Curry County, Ore. frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-07 16:11:02 UTC
From: Jack Ricci <ricci@mnsi.net>
Message-ID: <dd5bqo02j9e@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 12648
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hey HotShot...Does there always have to be an ignorant, arrogant bastard in every newsgroup? If you don't have anything good or useful to communicate, just shutdahell up and let the willing participants carry on business. Although your point is valid, your maturity level needs upgrading. Stop watching that Beevus and Butthead crap on TV...It's rubbing off on you. Thanks.

Jack

"HotShot" <MyShotIsHot@HotShotShootsSpam.Com> wrote in message news:MooJe.97$6d4.26836@news20.bellglobal.com...
> Same way you found this newsgroup to post the question. Dumbass.
>
>
> David Courtland wrote:
>> Does anyone have scanner frequencies for Coos County and Curry County,
>> Ore., or know where I can find them?
>>

3187. Re: Trunking question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-07 16:34:49 UTC
From: jamoran <analon1@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <EuadnUQtc5RqqmvfRVn-qg@sedona.net>
Source record: 12649
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

depends on the systems sometimes the reply is heard on the same
frequency, other times its on the same "virtual channel" but different
frequency. a "virtual channel" can pop up on any available frequency.

Jim wrote:

> When a mobile unit calls the dispatcher on a trunked system is that
> particular frequency in use the one the reply from the dispatcher will
> use? Purpose of the question is that if the answer is yes I'll want to
> click on the delay option.

3188. FA: UNIDEN BCT2 BEAR TRACKER BEARCAT POLICE SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-07 21:54:23 UTC
From: Mark Petereit <Mark@You-and-Improved.com>
Message-ID: <1123451663.360293.123040@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12650
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Silent Key Auction for Herb Petereit, W0AFY. If you knew Herb, you knew that he was one of the "old timers". One of his first jobs after moving to Omaha, Nebraska, in the 60's was building Galaxy V's at World Radio. He knew his gear inside and out, knew how everything worked, and took a lot of pride in keeping everything running in top shape. For many HAMS in the Omaha area in the 70's and 80's, Herb was the guy to go to when you needed help.

Herb passed away on Veteran's Day, November 11th, 2003, after a severe bout of pneumonia. He was 71 years old.

This auction is for a Uniden BCT2 Bear Tracker Bearcat Police Scanner. As far as I know it is in good working condition.

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5796213575

Please be sure to check out the auction listings for other items from my dad's shack. Thanks!

http://search.ebay.com/_W0QQsassZpetereitQQhtZ-1

3189. WTB: Popular Communications Years 1990-1994

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-08 01:51:01 UTC
From: Frank Bals <fjb@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <9UyJe.565803$cg1.276219@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 12551

Looking for as many back issues as possible between these years. Complete years would be nice, but I will take partial years. Let me know.

Frank

3190. FS: AOR 8200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-08 13:43:27 UTC
From: Jerry <JOPP2@EARTHLINK.NET>
Message-ID: <JOPP2-A1ADAE.09432708082005@optonline.svc.highwinds-media.com>
Source record: 12552

LIKE NEW. EVERYTHING YOU COULD WANT IN AN UNBLOCKED SCANNER. ASKING $475.

3191. Live Scanner Audio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-08 16:15:31 UTC
From: mitbr <t.rb@VERIZON.net>
Message-ID: <1a93f$42f78525$1860265d$16649@KNOLOGY.NET>
Source record: 12553

Live scanner for west coast of Florida Tampa Fl area! Have Teamspeak use the
url below if not go to the www link below
teamspeak://tampabay.no-ip.com:8767/
Tim
kg4jjs
Webmaster of the Tampa Bay Radio Page
http://www.knology.net/~res0plht/Mi01000.htm

3192. Re: Coos and Curry County, Ore. frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-08 17:06:46 UTC
From: zerg90 <zerg90@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123520806.636030.152530@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12554
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Try looking in the Database at www.radioreference.com - also do a Google search for 'scanner coos curry county' - you should get a few good hits.

Also - www.fcc.gov/wtb - look under 'Databases'

Peter S

3193. Re: k - newbie stumped right at the start! Help me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-08 17:12:29 UTC
From: Craven Morehead <cmorehead@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ImMJe.93$Aq.19@bignews1.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 12555

That's what the "search" function does.
"Mary" <mthill@buckeye-expressNOSPAM.com> wrote in message
news:v5ednZ36dsULEGjfRVn-pw@buckeye-express.com...
>
> "Mary" <mthill@buckeye-expressNOSPAM.com> wrote in message
> news:uaadnSZDKNIdbWnfRVn-vg@buckeye-express.com...
> >I just bought a PRO-2033 and would like to hear 460.300 and what's listed
> >as the 'talk-around'. I am completely ignorant and trying to get a grasp
> >on this stuff. My Dad was a chief of police (passed away in 2000) and
I've
> >got tons of cops in the family but hate to admit I never paid attention
and
> >ask one of them ;)
> >
> > When I hit the scan button, the digital readout just runs 1 through 10
and
> > flashes all zeros every time. If I turn the squelch down, it stops, but
> > it's just static. So it appears auto scanning doesn't even pick anything
> > up at all. Is it my location or my reception?
> >
> > When I try to manually enter the frequency, it gives me an error every
> > time or simply nothing.
> >
> > Do you think I am a) doing it wrong. b) the scanner itself doesn't
> > function properly or c) my antenna isn't working?
> >
> > Is it also possible that the previous owner locked out lots of
> > frequencies? I think the only way to unlock one is to enter the exact
> > number first.
> >
> > Any guidance for a complete new person who is seriously interested in
> > doing this?
> >
> > TIA,
> >
> > Mary
> >
>
> OK - I feel like such a geek. I'm sitting her past midnight with a grin on
> my face because I finally figured out what my problem was!! It was
scanning
> 1 through 10 and flashing zeros because *I didn't know it was only
scanning
> the programmed frequencies*. Of which there were none yet! I thought

scan
> would scan through all available frequencies not just ones I specified.
> Anyone know if there is a way to scan that way, too?
>
> Gees - thanks for all the help. I'm off to bed!
>
> Mary
>
>

3194. Aviation Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-08 18:51:42 UTC
From: Dave <johndsykes@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <bdGdnZ2dnZ19fiCvnZ2dnV00at-dnZ2dRVn-y52dnZ0@newedgenetworks.com>
Source record: 12556

Does anyone know any good online aviation scanners?

Specifically, I'm looking for anything in the El Dorado County area of Northern California.

I'm looking into buying a handheld, but I'm not there yet, and I'm interested in the traffic chatter of the Placerville Airport behind my house. I'm a pilot in training as well.

Thanks,

Dave

3195. Re: Aviation Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-09 00:24:31 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <je2dnQFBGttdamrfRVn-pg@comcast.com>
Source record: 12557

Radio Shack PRO-97

Bill Crocker

"Dave" <johndsykes@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:bdGdnZ2dnZ19fiCvnZ2dnV00at-dnZ2dRVn-y52dnZ0@newedgenetworks.com...
> Does anyone know any good online aviation scanners?
>
> Specifically, I'm looking for anything in the El Dorado County area of
> Northern California.
>
> I'm looking into buying a handheld, but I'm not there yet, and I'm
> interested in the traffic chatter of the Placerville Airport behind my
> house. I'm a pilot in training as well.
>
> Thanks,
>
> Dave
>
>

3196. Shuttle landing preparation; comms at NASA-KSC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-09 05:45:19 UTC
From: "Allan.Stern@gmail.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123566319.638634.30660@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12558

NASA weather observations acft flown
==========

It is Tues, 1:30am EDT (0530z).
Preparations are underway for the arrival and landing of STS-114.
After providing weather observations from over the Cape, Kent
Rominger has landed T-38N "NASA 913." Next acft up will probably be
one of the STAs, most likely "NASA 945."
Comms between Rominger and the ground were heard on 126.65. He noted
no significant weather in the area immediate to the Shuttle Landing
Facility, only extremely thin cirrus. Traveling further away he
reported a thunderstorm and some other activity that he said bears
watching. He was up at 42,000 feet and should have been heard by
monitors at great distance from the Cape.

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL (28-11N 80-36W) monitoring
Patrick AFB (KCOF) NASA-KSC Shuttle Landing Fac (KX68)
Avon Park Bombing Range (KAGR) Cape Canaveral AFS (KXMR)
JSTARS E-8 Acft Integration Facility, Melbourne IAP (KMLB)
Worldwide Military HF Communications
Life Member: Missile, Space and Range Pioneers.
http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/index.html (My Freqs)
http://hometown.aol.com/scanaddict/index.html (My Equipment)

3197. More acft working over Shuttle Landing Facility

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-09 06:38:26 UTC
From: "Allan.Stern@gmail.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123569506.882461.29820@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12559

More acft working over the Shuttle Landing Facility
==========

It is Tues, 2:26am EDT.
As I anticipated in my previous posting, STA acft "NASA 945" was the
next plane to take off after T-38N NASA 913 landed. NASA 945 is now
over the Shuttle Landing Facility and is reporting weather conditions
and landing/lighting conditions in anticipation of STS-114 Orbiter
Discovery's arrival and landing at KSC.
NASA 945 is up on 126.65 with Tower and on 264.8 with Cape Control.
NASA UN-1N Helo "Search 01" has just departed Patrick AFB and is
heading into the Cape area. He is up on 133.8 with Cape Control.

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL (28-11N 80-36W) monitoring
Patrick AFB (KCOF) NASA-KSC Shuttle Landing Fac (KX68)
Avon Park Bombing Range (KAGR) Cape Canaveral AFS (KXMR)
JSTARS E-8 Acft Integration Facility, Melbourne IAP (KMLB)
Worldwide Military HF Communications
Life Member: Missile, Space and Range Pioneers.
http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/index.html (My Freqs)
http://hometown.aol.com/scanaddict/index.html (My Equipment)

3198. Shuttle to land at Edwards AFB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-09 09:20:13 UTC
From: "Allan.Stern@gmail.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123579213.797878.15050@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12560

Moments ago, at 5:03am EDT (0903z), Shuttle Discovery Cmdr Eileen Collins was informed that instability of wx at Kennedy Space Center has caused them to waive off the landing here and prepare the orbiter to land at Edwards. The shuttle is scheduled to touch down at Edwards at 8:12am EDT (5:12am PDT; 1212z).

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL (28-11N 80-36W) monitoring
Patrick AFB (KCOF) NASA-KSC Shuttle Landing Fac (KX68)
Avon Park Bombing Range (KAGR) Cape Canaveral AFS (KXMR)
JSTARS E-8 Acft Integration Facility, Melbourne IAP (KMLB)
Worldwide Military HF Communications
Life Member: Missile, Space and Range Pioneers.
http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/index.html (My Freqs)
http://hometown.aol.com/scanaddict/index.html (My Equipment)

3199. FS: A0R 8200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-09 13:29:30 UTC
From: Jerry <JOPP2@EARTHLINK.NET>
Message-ID: <JOPP2-CE14F7.09293009082005@optonline.svc.highwinds-media.com>
Source record: 12561

Mint condition, hand held, unblocked, military olive green scanner.
everything you could want in the radio spectrum. hardly used. been
sitting in my desk. Asking $475. will ship free CONUS.

3200. Realistic Pro-83 Scanner review?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-09 18:04:41 UTC
From: "mikem@evenlink.com" <mikem@evenlink.com>
Message-ID: <1123610680.981899.122010@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12562

I noticed that RS has a sale on the above scanner for $99.99.
Anyone own one that would like to give a review? How does the feature
"Signal Stalker" work?

Thanks in advance.
Mike

3201. Re: Realistic Pro-83 Scanner review?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-09 18:08:44 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Mi6Ke.3612$RS.3580@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 12563

<mikem@evenlink.com> wrote in message
news:1123610680.981899.122010@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>I noticed that RS has a sale on the above scanner for $99.99.
> Anyone own one that would like to give a review? How does the feature
> "Signal Stalker" work?
>
> Thanks in advance.
> Mike
>

They work great as long as you are not wanting to listen to Trunked Radio.
Where are you wanting to use it, and what are you wanting to listen to?
--
"Money is truthful. If a man speaks of his honor make him pay cash."
:Lazarus Long

3202. Re: Decoding Programs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-09 22:58:20 UTC
From: gray-beard <gray-beard@tryandguess.com>
Message-ID: <LNCdnZ2dnZ1xIsOdnZ2dnQ2pZN-dnZ2dRVn-zp2dnZ0@comcast.com>
Source record: 12564

http://www.scanaustralia.bigpondhosting.com/software/software1.html

GB

alfazulu@walla.com wrote:
> Other than WinTone, does anyone know of any other decoding programs
> that can decode CTCSS?
>
> Your help is much appreciated.
>

--
"There's no way to rule innocent men. The only power any government
has is the power to crack down on criminals. Well, when there aren't
enough criminals, one makes them. One declares so many things to be a
crime that it becomes impossible to live without breaking laws."

-- Ayn Rand, Atlas Shrugged

3203. Re: Coos and Curry County, Ore. frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-09 23:29:49 UTC
From: HotShot <MyShotIsHot@HotShotShootsSpam.Com>
Message-ID: <O%aKe.727$yH2.106968@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 12565
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Yes, there is ignorant, arrogant bastards in every newsgroup. Get used to it.

There's also a bunch of dumbasses that don't know how to use a search engine either to look for this stuff first. Chances are if you took the time to do so, you'd probably find them on the most popular website for frequencies. Which I won't post, because most people who do know how to use a search engine will already know what it is and it isn't cityfreq.com either

And I don't watch beevus and butthead. I'm better than that. I watch South Park.

Now shut the hell up.

Jack Ricci wrote:
> Hey HotShot...Does there always have to be an ignorant, arrogant bastard
> in every newsgroup? If you don't have anything good or useful to
> communicate, just shutdahell up and let the willing participants carry on
> business. Although your point is valid, your maturity level needs upgrading.
> Stop watching that Beevus and Butthead crap on TV...It's rubbing off on you.
> Thanks.
>
> Jack

3204. Re: Coos and Curry County, Ore. frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-10 00:30:29 UTC
From: HotShot <MyShotIsHot@HotShotShootsSpam.Com>
Message-ID: <HUbKe.7425$6d4.968377@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 12566
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Here, this may help you.

http://www.c-cproductions.org/sa/google.gif

>
> Jack Ricci wrote:
>
>> Hey HotShot...Does there always have to be an ignorant, arrogant
>> bastard in every newsgroup? If you don't have anything good or useful
>> to communicate, just shutdahell up and let the willing participants
>> carry on business. Although your point is valid, your maturity level
>> needs upgrading. Stop watching that Beevus and Butthead crap on
>> TV...It's rubbing off on you. Thanks.
>>
>> Jack

3205. Re: Realistic Pro-83 Scanner review?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-10 01:31:44 UTC
From: "mikem@evenlink.com" <mikem@evenlink.com>
Message-ID: <1123637504.080969.34750@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12567

Alex,
I live rural. We have a lot of 155 mhz traffic, utilities etc. How
does the signal stalker feature differ than frequency search?
Thanks,
Mike

3206. Re: Realistic Pro-83 Scanner review?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-10 02:12:48 UTC
From: Buzzygirl <buzzygirl@nospam.comcast.net>
Message-ID: <O-2dnbX1dvQ__2TfRVn-tQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 12568

<mikem@evenlink.com> wrote in message
news:1123637504.080969.34750@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> Alex,
> I live rural. We have a lot of 155 mhz traffic, utilities etc. How
> does the signal stalker feature differ than frequency search?

This scanner works fine in that range. The Signal Stalker will find active frequencies in your area when you have it turned on, and it will alert you as it does. Personally, I've found the SS capability to be limited to around 1/4-1/2 mile range in my area; admittedly, I live in an area that is low compared to most of my surroundings, topographically speaking.

Jackie

3207. Re: Realistic Pro-83 Scanner review?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-10 04:10:53 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <h7fKe.3317$Wi6.19@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 12569

<mikem@evenlink.com> wrote in message
news:1123637504.080969.34750@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> Alex,
> I live rural. We have a lot of 155 mhz traffic, utilities etc. How
> does the signal stalker feature differ than frequency search?
> Thanks,
> Mike
>

It will work fine for that. If you turn it on it checks for close calls,
range depends on terrain, every couple seconds, and locks on to them if it
finds one. They are nice in that they are so small, makes them easier to
carry.
--
Experience is something you don't get until just after you need it.

3208. PRO-97 vs. PRO-43 for MilAir

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-10 13:29:18 UTC
From: Frank Bals <fjb@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <OinKe.84520$5N3.21392@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 12570

Anybody compared these 2 scanners for reception on the 225-400 Mhz band? I have a PRO-43 that works well, but I'm wondering if it's time to upgrade to something newer like the PRO-97....

Frank

3209. Once a reporter - always a reporter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-10 14:03:43 UTC
From: zerg90 <zerg90@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1123682623.077191.43340@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12571

http://www.desmoinesregister.com/apps/pbcs.dll/article?AID=/20050810/NEWS01/508100341/1001/RSS01

Former Iowa reporter uses his scanner to fill in details of a multiple shooting in Pocahontas County

3210. Re:test?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-10 22:59:43 UTC
From: Pismo <adflja@aol.com>
Message-ID: <20050810180831750 15%adflja@aolcom>
Source record: 12572

```
User-Agent: Unison/1.5.1

--
Pismo
```

3211. Icom-question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-10 23:15:17 UTC
From: Pismo <Aviator@aol.com>
Message-ID: <2005081018240541212%Aviator@aolcom>
Source record: 12573

User-Agent: Unison/1.5.1

Does anyone have or know of the software for the IC-A3 Icom Transceiver
to download freq and such or could point me to right newsgroup?

--
Pismo

3212. Re: Realistic Pro-83 Scanner review?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-11 00:48:41 UTC
From: Domestos <thatswhen@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <42faa05a@quokka.wn.com.au>
Source record: 12574

Check out other users views here http://www.eham.net/reviews/detail/4708

<mikem@evenlink.com> wrote in message
news:1123610680.981899.122010@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>I noticed that RS has a sale on the above scanner for $99.99.
> Anyone own one that would like to give a review? How does the feature
> "Signal Stalker" work?
>
> Thanks in advance.
> Mike
>

3213. Re: PRO-97 vs. PRO-43 for MilAir

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-11 02:54:26 UTC
From: "Mr. Man with the Master Plan" <null@null.net>
Message-ID: <76zKe.26594$sf6.11888@fe08.lga>
Source record: 12575

Although I have not used the still popular PRO-43, my PRO-97 works pretty
well on 225-400, plus you have alpha numeric capability.

Also if you plan to go to some air shows, and that particular base uses the
400Mhz trunked system, you have an all in one radio...almost

-MMWTMP

"Frank Bals" <fjb@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:OinKe.84520$5N3.21392@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Anybody compared these 2 scanners for reception on the 225-400 Mhz band? I
> have a PRO-43 that works well, but I'm wondering if it's time to upgrade
> to something newer like the PRO-97....
>
>
> Frank
>

3214. Re: Realistic Pro-83 Scanner review?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-11 02:55:43 UTC
From: "Mr. Man with the Master Plan" <null@null.net>
Message-ID: <k7zKe.26595$sf6.4420@fe08.lga>
Source record: 12576

PRO-83 is good. Stop kicking the tires, and buy one already . lol

-MMWTMP

<mikem@evenlink.com> wrote in message
news:1123610680.981899.122010@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>I noticed that RS has a sale on the above scanner for $99.99.
> Anyone own one that would like to give a review? How does the feature
> "Signal Stalker" work?
>
> Thanks in advance.
> Mike
>

3215. Re: PRO-97 vs. PRO-43 for MilAir

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-11 11:52:57 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <NqOdnYsaZ46HoWbfRVn-qQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 12577

How does it perform on the VHF MilAir?

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

"Mr. Man with the Master Plan" <null@null.net> wrote in message
news:76zKe.26594$sf6.11888@fe08.lga...
> Although I have not used the still popular PRO-43, my PRO-97 works pretty
> well on 225-400, plus you have alpha numeric capability.
>
> Also if you plan to go to some air shows, and that particular base uses
> the 400Mhz trunked system, you have an all in one radio...almost
>
> -MMWTMP
>
>
> "Frank Bals" <fjb@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:OinKe.84520$5N3.21392@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>> Anybody compared these 2 scanners for reception on the 225-400 Mhz band?
>> I have a PRO-43 that works well, but I'm wondering if it's time to
>> upgrade to something newer like the PRO-97....
>>
>>
>> Frank
>>
>
>

3216. Re: Coos and Curry County, Ore. frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-11 16:09:45 UTC
From: The Other George <bgates@msn.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96AF7BF576036bgatesmsncom@38.119.100.145>
Source record: 12578
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

David Courtland <pariah@vcn.bc.ca> wrote in news:dd3n44sio1
@luna.vcn.bc.ca:

> Does anyone have scanner frequencies for Coos County and Curry County,
> Ore., or know where I can find them?
>

Well David unlike the hot shot moron this might help.

FREQUENCY DESCRIPTION
47.7400 Knutson Towboat Company; KNUTSON TOWBOAT COMPANY INC
48.3600 Knutson Towboat Company; KNUTSON TOWBOAT COMPANY INC
48.7200 Knutson Towboat Company; KNUTSON TOWBOAT COMPANY INC
49.0200 BURNT MOUNTAIN LOGGING INC
49.4200 BURNT MOUNTAIN LOGGING INC
75.5600 FIT; SMITH WIRTH INC; D M LOGGING INC
150.7750 Radio Licensing Services; Sumner Rural Fire Department
150.8050 RADIO LICENSING SERVICES; MILLINGTON RURAL FIRE PROTECTION
DISTRICT
150.8600 CLACKAMAS COMMUNICATIONS; WATERMAN JAMES
150.9050 CLACKAMAS COMMUNICATIONS; JAMES FOREST PRODUCTS
150.9200 B B LOGGING INC
151.5050 JOHNSON ROCK PRODUCTS INC
151.5800 CLACKAMAS COMMUNICATIONS; F R ENT INC
151.7150 PANASONIC; 3 M MANAGEMENT LLC; MAGUIRES MC DONALDS
RESTAURANTS
151.7750 PANASONIC; 3 M MANAGEMENT LLC; MAGUIRES MC DONALDS
RESTAURANTS
151.8050 RADIO LICENSING SERVICES; BAY AREA HOSPITAL
151.8650 FEDERAL LICENSING INC; EASLEY B M
152.3150 CARA Enterprises Inc; D H LOGGING
152.3900 COOS YELLOW CAB INC
152.4200 JOHNSON ROCK PRODUCTS INC
153.0200 RADIO LICENSING SERVICES; BAY AREA HOSPITAL
153.1250 CLACKAMAS COMMUNICATIONS; D H LOGGING INC
153.2150 MASTERSON COMMUNICATIONS; BONEBRAKE INC
153.2600 FEDERAL LICENSING INC; TRM CUTTING INC
153.2750 MASTERSON COMMUNICATIONS; BONEBRAKE INC
153.3800 CLACKAMAS COMMUNICATION; GEORGIA PACIFIC
153.4700 COOS BAY NORTH BEND WATER BOARD
153.6050 RESERVATION RANCH; FIT; F R ENTERPRISES INC
153.6800 DAY WIRELESS SYSTEMS; G D LOGGING
153.7700 PRIME SITES INC; BANDON RURAL FIRE PROTECTION DIST
154.0100 CHARLESTON RURAL FIRE PROTECTION DIST NO 6

154.0250 COOS BAY CITY OF
154.0700 PRIME SITES INC; BANDON RURAL FIRE PROTECTION DIST
154.1300 CHARLESTON RURAL FIRE PROTECTION DIST NO 6
154.1450 COOS BAY CITY OF
154.1750 CHARLESTON RURAL FIRE PROTECTION DIST NO 6
154.2050 Radio Licensing Services; Sumner Rural Fire Department
154.2500 PRIME SITES INC; BANDON RURAL FIRE PROTECTION DIST
154.3100 RADIO LICENSING SERVICES; MILLINGTON RURAL FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT
154.3850 CHARLESTON RURAL FIRE PROTECTION DIST NO 6
154.4300 GREENACRES RURAL FIRE PROTECTION DIST; RADIO LICENSING SERVICES; MILLINGTON RURAL FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT; CHARLESTON RURAL FIRE PROTECTION DIST NO 6; PRIME SITES INC; BANDON RURAL FIRE PROTECTION DIST; Business Radio Licensing; COOS BAY CITY OF
154.5150 FEDERAL LICENSING INC; EASLEY B M
154.5700 MAGUIRES MC DONALDS RESTAURANTS; PANASONIC; 3 M MANAGEMENT LLC; PROFESSIONAL REFORESTATION OF OREGON INC; FIT; SMITH WIRTH INC; G D LOGGING INC
154.6000 MAGUIRES MC DONALDS RESTAURANTS; PANASONIC; 3 M MANAGEMENT LLC; BURNT MOUNTAIN LOGGING INC; PROFESSIONAL REFORESTATION OF OREGON INC; FIT; SMITH WIRTH INC; G D LOGGING INC
154.7100 Coos Bay Police Department; COOS BAY CITY OF
154.9500 COQUILLE CITY OF
154.9800 CLACKAMAS COMMUNICATIONS; BANDON CITY OF
155.0100 Coos Bay Police Department; COOS BAY CITY OF
155.1000 COOS BAY CITY OF
155.2200 BAY CITIES AMBULANCE
155.3400 BAY CITIES AMBULANCE; MOTOROLA INC; BAY AREA HOSPITAL; BUSINESS RADIO LICENSING
155.4000 BAY CITIES AMBULANCE; MOTOROLA INC; BAY AREA HOSPITAL; BUSINESS RADIO LICENSING
155.4900 Coos Bay Police Department; COOS BAY CITY OF
155.6850 Coos Bay Police Department; COOS BAY CITY OF
155.7900 CLACKAMAS COMMUNICATIONS; BANDON CITY OF
155.9700 COQUILLE CITY OF
156.0000 LAO 713403; OREGON STATE OF
157.5300 CLACKAMAS COMMUNICATIONS; JAMES FOREST PRODUCTS
157.5750 CARA Enterprises Inc; D H LOGGING
157.6200 STEVEDORING SERVICES OF AMERICA DBA COOS BAY STEVEDORING; RADIO LICENSING SERVICES; BAY AREA HOSPITAL
157.6500 COOS YELLOW CAB INC
157.6800 STEVEDORING SERVICES OF AMERICA DBA COOS BAY STEVEDORING
158.1450 FEDERAL LICENSING INC; TRM CUTTING INC
158.2200 CLACKAMAS COMMUNICATIONS; D H LOGGING INC
158.3100 CLACKAMAS COMMUNICATION; GEORGIA PACIFIC
158.4000 JOHNSON ROCK PRODUCTS INC
158.8950 Coos Bay Police Department; COOS BAY CITY OF
158.9400 LAO 713403; OREGON STATE OF
158.9700 CLACKAMAS COMMUNICATIONS; BANDON CITY OF
159.4950 RESERVATION RANCH; FIT; F R ENTERPRISES INC

159.5700 CLACKAMAS COMMUNICATION; UNGER JEFF
159.5850 B B LOGGING INC
159.6300 RADIO LICENSING SERVICES; BAY AREA HOSPITAL
159.7350 DAY WIRELESS SYSTEMS; G D LOGGING
159.8850 CARA Enterprises Inc; Sweet Trucking Inc
169.4450 PANASONIC; 3 M MANAGEMENT LLC; MAGUIRES MC DONALDS
RESTAURANTS
170.2450 MAGUIRES MC DONALDS RESTAURANTS; PANASONIC; 3 M MANAGEMENT
LLC
171.1050 MAGUIRES MC DONALDS RESTAURANTS; PANASONIC; 3 M MANAGEMENT
LLC
171.9050 PANASONIC; 3 M MANAGEMENT LLC; MAGUIRES MC DONALDS
RESTAURANTS
451.1000 BAY CITIES AMBULANCE
451.5125 FIT; SMITH WIRTH INC
451.7250 CLACKAMAS COMMUNICATIONS; GLENBROOK NICKEL
451.8750 LEE WEBSTER EXCAVATING INC
452.4125 FIT; F R ENTERPRISES INC
452.4500 SOUTH COAST BUSINESS EMPLOYMENT CORPORATION
453.3500 COOS BAY CITY OF
453.7250 COOS BAY CITY OF
453.8375 DYER PARTNERSHIP ENGINEERS PLANNERS IN; EAGLE POINT CITY OF
453.9375 COOS BAY CITY OF
456.1000 BAY CITIES AMBULANCE
456.7250 CLACKAMAS COMMUNICATIONS; GLENBROOK NICKEL
456.8750 LEE WEBSTER EXCAVATING INC
457.4125 FIT; F R ENTERPRISES INC
457.4500 SOUTH COAST BUSINESS EMPLOYMENT CORPORATION
457.5500 RADIO LICENSING SERVICES; BAY AREA HOSPITAL
457.5750 RADIO LICENSING SERVICES; BAY AREA HOSPITAL
458.3500 COOS BAY CITY OF
458.7250 COOS BAY CITY OF
458.8375 DYER PARTNERSHIP ENGINEERS PLANNERS IN; EAGLE POINT CITY OF
458.9375 COOS BAY CITY OF
460.6875 RADIO LICENSING SERVICES; BAY AREA HOSPITAL
461.0250 SOUTH COAST BUSINESS EMPLOYMENT CORPORATION; ATLAS LICENSE
COMPANY; STUNTZNER ENGINEERING FORESTRY LLC
461.0375 NORAND CORPORATION; K E MCKAY S MARKET OF COOS BAY INC
461.0625 NORAND CORPORATION; K E MCKAY S MARKET OF COOS BAY INC
461.0750 ATLAS LICENSE COMPANY; STUNTZNER ENGINEERING FORESTRY LLC
461.0875 K E MC KAYS MARKET OF COOS BAY INC
461.1000 ATLAS LICENSE COMPANY; STUNTZNER ENGINEERING FORESTRY LLC
461.2375 K E MC KAYS MARKET OF COOS BAY INC
461.5500 SOUTH COAST BUSINESS EMPLOYMENT CORPORATION
461.8500 FEDERAL LICENSING INC; LTM INC DBA BRACELIN YEAGER
EXCAVATING TRUCKING INC
462.1125 K E MC KAYS MARKET OF COOS BAY INC
462.1250 ATLAS LICENSE COMPANY; STUNTZNER ENGINEERING FORESTRY LLC
462.1375 FEDERAL LICENSING INC; CASH CARRY 538
462.3750 ATLAS LICENSE COMPANY; STUNTZNER ENGINEERING FORESTRY LLC

462.4000 ATLAS LICENSE COMPANY; STUNTZNER ENGINEERING FORESTRY LLC
463.2500 Radio Licensing Services; LES SANITATION
463.4625 FEDERAL LICENSING INC; CASH CARRY 538
463.7000 STALCUP TRUCKING INC; RADIO LICENSING SERVICES
463.7750 BAY AREA SANITARY INC DBA COOS BAY SANITARY
463.8500 RADIO LICENSING SERVICES; STALCUP TRUCKING INC
464.0125 FEDERAL LICENSING INC; CASH CARRY 538
464.1125 PANASONIC; 3 M MANAGEMENT LLC
464.1375 PANASONIC; 3 M MANAGEMENT LLC
464.1625 PANASONIC; 3 M MANAGEMENT LLC
464.1875 PANASONIC; 3 M MANAGEMENT LLC
464.3125 PANASONIC; 3 M MANAGEMENT LLC
464.3375 PANASONIC; 3 M MANAGEMENT LLC
464.3875 PANASONIC; 3 M MANAGEMENT LLC
464.5000 OREGON PACIFIC LEASING INC; ATLAS LICENSE COMPANY; STUNTZNER ENGINEERING FORESTRY LLC
464.5500 OREGON PACIFIC LEASING INC; ATLAS LICENSE COMPANY; STUNTZNER ENGINEERING FORESTRY LLC
464.6000 ATLAS LICENSE COMPANY; STUNTZNER ENGINEERING FORESTRY LLC
464.6250 ATLAS LICENSE COMPANY; STUNTZNER ENGINEERING FORESTRY LLC
464.6500 ATLAS LICENSE COMPANY; STUNTZNER ENGINEERING FORESTRY LLC; BILLMEYERS 2WAY COMMUNICATIONS; FULL MOON TAXI INCORPORATED
464.7250 ATLAS LICENSE COMPANY; STUNTZNER ENGINEERING FORESTRY LLC
464.7500 ATLAS LICENSE COMPANY; STUNTZNER ENGINEERING FORESTRY LLC
464.8250 PRIME SITES INC; SUNSHINE TAXI
464.8500 RADIO LICENSING SERVICES; STALCUP TRUCKING INC
465.6625 RADIO LICENSING SERVICES; BAY AREA HOSPITAL
465.6875 RADIO LICENSING SERVICES; BAY AREA HOSPITAL
465.7125 RADIO LICENSING SERVICES; BAY AREA HOSPITAL
465.7375 RADIO LICENSING SERVICES; BAY AREA HOSPITAL
465.7625 RADIO LICENSING SERVICES; BAY AREA HOSPITAL
465.7875 RADIO LICENSING SERVICES; BAY AREA HOSPITAL
465.8125 RADIO LICENSING SERVICES; BAY AREA HOSPITAL
465.8375 RADIO LICENSING SERVICES; BAY AREA HOSPITAL
465.8625 RADIO LICENSING SERVICES; BAY AREA HOSPITAL
466.0375 NORAND CORPORATION; K E MCKAY S MARKET OF COOS BAY INC
466.5500 SOUTH COAST BUSINESS EMPLOYMENT CORPORATION
466.8500 FEDERAL LICENSING INC; LTM INC DBA BRACELIN YEAGER EXCAVATING TRUCKING INC
467.7500 RADIO LICENSING SERVICES; BAY AREA HOSPITAL
467.7750 RADIO LICENSING SERVICES; BAY AREA HOSPITAL
467.8000 RADIO LICENSING SERVICES; BAY AREA HOSPITAL
467.8125 DARRIC INC DBA PORTERS RV
467.8250 RADIO LICENSING SERVICES; BAY AREA HOSPITAL
467.8500 RADIO LICENSING SERVICES; BAY AREA HOSPITAL
467.8750 NORAND CORPORATION; K E MCKAY S MARKET OF COOS BAY INC; K E MC KAYS MARKET OF COOS BAY INC; RADIO LICENSING SERVICES; BAY AREA HOSPITAL
467.9000 RADIO LICENSING SERVICES; BAY AREA HOSPITAL
467.9250 RADIO LICENSING SERVICES; BAY AREA HOSPITAL

468.2500 Radio Licensing Services; LES SANITATION
468.2875 FEDERAL LICENSING INC; CASH CARRY 538
468.4875 HM ELECTRONICS INC; DAIRY QUEEN 16074
468.7000 STALCUP TRUCKING INC; RADIO LICENSING SERVICES
468.7375 PANASONIC; 3 M MANAGEMENT LLC
468.7750 BAY AREA SANITARY INC DBA COOS BAY SANITARY
468.8125 PANASONIC; 3 M MANAGEMENT LLC
468.8500 RADIO LICENSING SERVICES; STALCUP TRUCKING INC
468.8625 PANASONIC; 3 M MANAGEMENT LLC
468.9375 PANASONIC; 3 M MANAGEMENT LLC
468.9875 PANASONIC; 3 M MANAGEMENT LLC
469.1125 PANASONIC; 3 M MANAGEMENT LLC
469.1375 PANASONIC; 3 M MANAGEMENT LLC
469.1625 PANASONIC; 3 M MANAGEMENT LLC
469.1875 PANASONIC; 3 M MANAGEMENT LLC
469.3125 PANASONIC; 3 M MANAGEMENT LLC
469.3375 PANASONIC; 3 M MANAGEMENT LLC
469.3875 PANASONIC; 3 M MANAGEMENT LLC
469.4125 PANASONIC; 3 M MANAGEMENT LLC
469.4875 PANASONIC; 3 M MANAGEMENT LLC
469.5375 PANASONIC; 3 M MANAGEMENT LLC
469.6125 PANASONIC; 3 M MANAGEMENT LLC
469.6500 BILLMEYERS 2WAY COMMUNICATIONS; FULL MOON TAXI INCORPORATED
469.6875 PANASONIC; 3 M MANAGEMENT LLC
469.7375 PANASONIC; 3 M MANAGEMENT LLC
469.7875 PANASONIC; 3 M MANAGEMENT LLC
469.8250 PRIME SITES INC; SUNSHINE TAXI
469.8375 PANASONIC; 3 M MANAGEMENT LLC
469.8500 RADIO LICENSING SERVICES; STALCUP TRUCKING INC
469.9125 PANASONIC; 3 M MANAGEMENT LLC
469.9625 PANASONIC; 3 M MANAGEMENT LLC

If you would have post what town your in would have been more help!!

The Other George

http://www.scannertesting.com

Posted Via Usenet.com Premium Usenet Newsgroup Services

** SPEED ** RETENTION ** COMPLETION ** ANONYMITY **
----
http://www.usenet.com

3217. Re: Coos and Curry County, Ore. frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-11 16:12:40 UTC
From: The Other George <bgates@msn.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96AF7C73AEB4Ebgatesmsncom@38.119.100.145>
Source record: 12579
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"zerg90" <zerg90@gmail.com> wrote in news:1123520806.636030.152530
@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

> Try looking in the Database at www.radioreference.com - also do a
> Google search for 'scanner coos curry county' - you should get a few
> good hits.
>
> Also - www.fcc.gov/wtb - look under 'Databases'
>
> Peter S
>

Radio Referance will not you let you anything these days if you don't give
them money.. A better referance is http://www.cityfreq.com screw the pay
for info mofo's.

The Other George

http://www.scannertesting.com

Posted Via Usenet.com Premium Usenet Newsgroup Services

** SPEED ** RETENTION ** COMPLETION ** ANONYMITY **
----
http://www.usenet.com

3218. Complete FCC Licensing Division Master Database

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-11 16:30:30 UTC
From: The Other George <bgates@msn.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96AF7F79B39CBbgatesmsncom@38.119.100.145>
Source record: 12580

Only one thing to say "CLICK"

http://mysite.verizon.net/moment_1/scannertesting/contact.htm

Thats where to get it...

--
That Other George

Please send your spam to: uce@ftc.gov

IRC #ScannerRadio_Warez For all your Scanner Controll Warez

UseNET: alt.binaries.radio-scanner For all your Scanner Controll Warez

UseNET: alt.binaries.radio.scanner.warez For all your Scanner Controll
Warez

http://www.scannertesting.com

Posted Via Usenet.com Premium Usenet Newsgroup Services

** SPEED ** RETENTION ** COMPLETION ** ANONYMITY **
----
http://www.usenet.com

3219. Re: Coos and Curry County, Ore. frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-11 18:29:59 UTC
From: The Other George <bgates@msn.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96AF93BBE849bgatesmsncom@38.119.100.145>
Source record: 12581
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

The Other George <bgates@msn.com> wrote in
news:Xns96AF7C73AEB4Ebgatesmsncom@38.119.100.145:

> "zerg90" <zerg90@gmail.com> wrote in news:1123520806.636030.152530
> @g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
>> Try looking in the Database at www.radioreference.com - also do a
>> Google search for 'scanner coos curry county' - you should get a few
>> good hits.
>>
>> Also - www.fcc.gov/wtb - look under 'Databases'
>>
>> Peter S
>>
>
>
> Radio Referance will not you let you anything these days if you don't
> give them money.. A better referance is http://www.cityfreq.com
> screw the pay for info mofo's.
>
> The Other George
>
> http://www.scannertesting.com
>
> Posted Via Usenet.com Premium Usenet Newsgroup Services
> --
> ** SPEED ** RETENTION ** COMPLETION ** ANONYMITY **
> --
> http://www.usenet.com

Lets retry this, i.e. Radio Referance will not let you do anything, these
days if you don't give them money.. A better referance is
http://www.cityfreq.com screw the pay for info mofo's.

there that looks mo gooder :-)

fingers don't work real well before the coffee gose in

--
That Other George

Please send your spam to: uce@ftc.gov

IRC #ScannerRadio_Warez For all your Scanner Controll Warez

UseNET: alt.binaries.radio-scanner For all your Scanner Controll Warez

UseNET: alt.binaries.radio.scanner.warez For all your Scanner Controll Warez

http://www.scannertesting.com

Posted Via Usenet.com Premium Usenet Newsgroup Services

** SPEED ** RETENTION ** COMPLETION ** ANONYMITY **
---
http://www.usenet.com

3220. Re: 100+million now cannot see your ads!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-11 18:33:25 UTC
From: The Other George <bgates@msn.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96AF94518C459bgatesmsncom@38.119.100.145>
Source record: 12582

Your a fucking spamer and should be hung, skined, stabed, shoot and run over. fucking spammer

"Tony" <info@moneywebpage.com> wrote in news:42e0ea62$0$15933$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au:

> We have launched Hover-iT Lite and will launch Hover-iT Pro later on
> this month and we are looking for affiliate partners to resell these
> programs to their list of clients or to promote as part of their range
> of affiliate programs.
>
> Hover ads are the next generation in Banner and Pop up advertising as
> they can be designed to be very appealing and attention grabbing but
> also not intrusive.
>
> Check out some of the features http://www.hover-it.com
> Web masters or any one who has a web site can insert any HTML, Flash,
> Java, Form ect documents they want and blend it in with the page.
>
> Effects to choose from are "Fade" "Drop Down" "Scroll Down" "Scroll
> out" "Wiggle" etc.
>
> Unlike Pop ups hover ads CANNOT be blocked UNLESS a user chooses to do
> so. Pop up blockers CANNOT block hover ads.
>
> ***They are ideal for quick product offers and reminders for: Opt in
> Forms, Free gifts, Timed promotions, Booking events notifications,
> Alerts on updates, Special offers, Receive e-mail addresses (special
> inbuilt form) And can be triggered to appear anywhere on the page and
> at any time.***
>
> We are offering up to 60% on the PRO version when it is released in
> the meantime you can check out the Lite version at
> http://www.hover-it.com.
>
> Please contact me using the information below:
> ---
> This message complies with the latest Spam guidelines it contains
> unsubscribe links and contact details. if this message is NOT intended
> for your news group or you do NOT allow these type of posts please
> send a blank message to unsubscribe@moneywebpage.com
> ---
>
> Tony Saffioti
>

> TSR PTY LTD
> PO BOX 75 RAMSGATE N.S.W 2217
> AUSTRALIA
> PH: 61 02 9531 2058
> FAX: 61 02 9531 2068
> or e-mail
> Tony@hover-it.com
>
>
> ---
> MAF Anti-Spam ID: 20050625214348T8a8PkF3
>
>
>

--
That Other George

Please send your spam to: uce@ftc.gov

IRC #ScannerRadio_Warez For all your Scanner Controll Warez

UseNET: alt.binaries.radio-scanner For all your Scanner Controll Warez

UseNET: alt.binaries.radio.scanner.warez For all your Scanner Controll
Warez

http://www.scannertesting.com

Posted Via Usenet.com Premium Usenet Newsgroup Services

** SPEED ** RETENTION ** COMPLETION ** ANONYMITY **
----
http://www.usenet.com

3221. Re: 100+million now cannot see your ads!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-11 19:13:03 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com>
Message-ID: <3rNKe.6506$ns.3170@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 12583

Don't hold back George, tell us how you REALLY feel.
LOL

"The Other George" <bgates@msn.com> wrote in message
news:Xns96AF94518C459bgatesmsncom@38.119.100.145...
> Your a fucking spamer and should be hung, skined, stabed, shoot and run
> over. fucking spammer
>
>
> "Tony" <info@moneywebpage.com> wrote in
> news:42e0ea62$0$15933$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au:
>
> > We have launched Hover-iT Lite and will launch Hover-iT Pro later on
> > this month and we are looking for affiliate partners to resell these
> > programs to their list of clients or to promote as part of their range
> > of affiliate programs.
> >
> > Hover ads are the next generation in Banner and Pop up advertising as
> > they can be designed to be very appealing and attention grabbing but
> > also not intrusive.
> >
> > Check out some of the features http://www.hover-it.com
> > Web masters or any one who has a web site can insert any HTML, Flash,
> > Java, Form ect documents they want and blend it in with the page.
> >
> > Effects to choose from are "Fade" "Drop Down" "Scroll Down" "Scroll
> > out" "Wiggle" etc.
> >
> > Unlike Pop ups hover ads CANNOT be blocked UNLESS a user chooses to do
> > so. Pop up blockers CANNOT block hover ads.
> >
> > ***They are ideal for quick product offers and reminders for: Opt in
> > Forms, Free gifts, Timed promotions, Booking events notifications,
> > Alerts on updates, Special offers, Receive e-mail addresses (special
> > inbuilt form) And can be triggered to appear anywhere on the page and
> > at any time.***
> >
> > We are offering up to 60% on the PRO version when it is released in
> > the meantime you can check out the Lite version at
> > http://www.hover-it.com.
> >
> > Please contact me using the information below:
> > _____
> > This message complies with the latest Spam guidelines it contains

> > unsubscribe links and contact details. if this message is NOT intended
> > for your news group or you do NOT allow these type of posts please
> > send a blank message to unsubscribe@moneywebpage.com
> > _____
> >
> > Tony Saffioti
> >
> > TSR PTY LTD
> > PO BOX 75 RAMSGATE N.S.W 2217
> > AUSTRALIA
> > PH: 61 02 9531 2058
> > FAX: 61 02 9531 2068
> > or e-mail
> > Tony@hover-it.com
> >
> >
> > ---
> > MAF Anti-Spam ID: 20050625214348T8a8PkF3
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
>
> --
> That Other George
>
> Please send your spam to: uce@ftc.gov
>
> IRC #ScannerRadio_Warez For all your Scanner Controll Warez
>
> UseNET: alt.binaries.radio-scanner For all your Scanner Controll Warez
>
> UseNET: alt.binaries.radio.scanner.warez For all your Scanner Controll
> Warez
>
> http://www.scannertesting.com
>
> Posted Via Usenet.com Premium Usenet Newsgroup Services
> ----------
> ** SPEED ** RETENTION ** COMPLETION ** ANONYMITY **
> ----------
> http://www.usenet.com

3222. Re: Coos and Curry County, Ore. frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-12 10:06:51 UTC
From: HotShot <MyShotIsHot@HotShotShootsSpam.Com>
Message-ID: <4x_Ke.18285$6d4.1366472@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 12584
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Sorry to burst your bubble but you don't have to pay for radio
reference. You don't even need to register if you want to check out the
database. Seeing as you're a cheap ass. You don't even have to dig into
your pockets for your pennies with the funny face on the front.

Clean those glasses off or get off the whiskey. Your starting to make
not any sense.

kapeeshhhh

The Other George wrote:
>>
>>Radio Referance will not you let you anything these days if you don't
>>give them money.. A better referance is http://www.cityfreq.com
>>screw the pay for info mofo's.
>>
>>The Other George
>>
>>http://www.scannertesting.com
>>
>> Posted Via Usenet.com Premium Usenet Newsgroup Services
>>--
>> ** SPEED ** RETENTION ** COMPLETION ** ANONYMITY **
>>--
>> http://www.usenet.com
>
>
>
> Lets retry this, i.e. Radio Referance will not let you do anything, these
> days if you don't give them money.. A better referance is
> http://www.cityfreq.com screw the pay for info mofo's.
>
> there that looks mo gooder :-)
>
>
> fingers don't work real well before the coffee gose in
>
>

3223. Ontario frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-12 11:40:18 UTC
From: Vance <b_lasalle@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <BU%Ke.8910$yH2.570773@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 12585
Cross-posted to: alt.binaries.radio-scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

ca i get frequencies for the provincial radio system ?
thanks, Vance.

3224. Re: PRO-97 vs. PRO-43 for MilAir

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-12 12:00:13 UTC
From: "Mr. Man with the Master Plan" <null@null.net>
Message-ID: <kb0Le.16478$6%2.11516@fe10.lga>
Source record: 12586

pretty good on VHF A2A, even with the stock rubber duck

-MMWTMP

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:NqOdnYsaZ46HoWbfRVn-qQ@comcast.com...
> How does it perform on the VHF MilAir?
>
> Thanks,
> Bill Crocker
>
>
> "Mr. Man with the Master Plan" <null@null.net> wrote in message
> news:76zKe.26594$sf6.11888@fe08.lga...
>> Although I have not used the still popular PRO-43, my PRO-97 works
>> pretty well on 225-400, plus you have alpha numeric capability.
>>
>> Also if you plan to go to some air shows, and that particular base uses
>> the 400Mhz trunked system, you have an all in one radio...almost
>>
>> -MMWTMP
>>
>>
>> "Frank Bals" <fjb@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
>> news:OinKe.84520$5N3.21392@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>>> Anybody compared these 2 scanners for reception on the 225-400 Mhz band?
>>> I have a PRO-43 that works well, but I'm wondering if it's time to
>>> upgrade to something newer like the PRO-97....
>>>
>>>
>>> Frank
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

3225. Re: Complete FCC Licensing Division Master Database

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-12 12:40:12 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <7M0Le.1279$rc6.78@fe03.lga>
Source record: 12587

To quote yourself,

"Your a fucking spamer and should be hung, skined, stabed, shoot and run
over. fucking spammer"

"The Other George" <bgates@msn.com> wrote in message
news:Xns96AF7F79B39CBbgatesmsncom@38.119.100.145...
> Only one thing to say "CLICK"
>
> http://mysite.verizon.net/moment_1/scannertesting/contact.htm
>
> Thats where to get it...
>
>
>
> --
> That Other George
>
> Please send your spam to: uce@ftc.gov
>
> IRC #ScannerRadio_Warez For all your Scanner Controll Warez
>
> UseNET: alt.binaries.radio-scanner For all your Scanner Controll Warez
>
> UseNET: alt.binaries.radio.scanner.warez For all your Scanner Controll
> Warez
>
> http://www.scannertesting.com
>
> Posted Via Usenet.com Premium Usenet Newsgroup Services
> --
> ** SPEED ** RETENTION ** COMPLETION ** ANONYMITY **
> --
> http://www.usenet.com

3226. Re: Coos and Curry County, Ore. frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-12 15:24:36 UTC
From: The Other George <bgates@msn.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96B0744EB5BD0bgatesmsncom@38.119.100.145>
Source record: 12588
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

So every time I go there and try to do anything and they put the PayPal
give us money if you want to see that bull shit, it's just my glass need
cleaning?? Well then heck I'll clean them and try again... Op's PayPal
give us money for them radio frequ's in your area.. D'oh cleanning the
glass didn't help... And I'm never going to sign up for PayRip

http://www.paypaksucks.com

http://mysite.verizon.net/moment_1/paypal.htm

I could put 10,000 URL's about PayRip up there, but 2 will do!!

The Other George

HotShot <MyShotIsHot@HotShotShootsSpam.Com> wrote in
news:4x_Ke.18285$6d4.1366472@news20.bellglobal.com:

> Sorry to burst your bubble but you don't have to pay for radio
> reference. You don't even need to register if you want to check out
> the database. Seeing as you're a cheap ass. You don't even have to dig
> into your pockets for your pennies with the funny face on the front.
>
> Clean those glasses off or get off the whiskey. Your starting to make
> not any sense.
>
> kapeeshhhh
>
>
>
> The Other George wrote:
>>>
>>>Radio Referance will not you let you anything these days if you don't
>>>give them money.. A better referance is http://www.cityfreq.com
>>>screw the pay for info mofo's.
>>>
>>>The Other George
>>>
>>>http://www.scannertesting.com
>>>
>>> Posted Via Usenet.com Premium Usenet Newsgroup Services
>>>--
>>> ** SPEED ** RETENTION ** COMPLETION ** ANONYMITY **
>>>--
>>> http://www.usenet.com
>>

>>
>>
>> Lets retry this, i.e. Radio Referance will not let you do anything,
>> these days if you don't give them money.. A better referance is
>> http://www.cityfreq.com screw the pay for info mofo's.
>>
>> there that looks mo gooder :-)
>>
>>
>> fingers don't work real well before the coffee gose in
>>
>>
>

--
That Other George

Please send your spam to: uce@ftc.gov

IRC #ScannerRadio_Warez For all your Scanner Controll Warez

UseNET: alt.binaries.radio-scanner For all your Scanner Controll Warez

UseNET: alt.binaries.radio.scanner.warez For all your Scanner Controll
Warez

http://www.scannertesting.com

Posted Via Usenet.com Premium Usenet Newsgroup Services

** SPEED ** RETENTION ** COMPLETION ** ANONYMITY **
----
http://www.usenet.com

3227. Re: Ontario frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-12 19:21:09 UTC
From: "Steve St. Denis" <slstdenis@porchlight.ca>
Message-ID: <ddisoukoj1@utornnr1pp.grouptelecom.net>
Source record: 12589
Cross-posted to: alt.binaries.radio-scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

go hear you will find everything you need http://www.radioreference.com/
Steve
"Vance" <b_lasalle@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:BU%Ke.8910$yH2.570773@news20.bellglobal.com...
> ca i get frequencies for the provincial radio system ?
> thanks, Vance.
>

3228. Re: Re:test?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-12 23:31:52 UTC
From: "Mr. Man with the Master Plan" <fist@your.face.net>
Message-ID: <PjaLe.8648$9v3.4085@fe09.lga>
Source record: 12590

I think you may need to inflate your tires to 60psi or so

_MMWTMP

"Pismo" <adflja@aol.com> wrote in message
news:200508101808317501 5%adflja@aolcom...
>
>
>
> User-Agent: Unison/1.5.1
>
>
> --
> Pismo
>

3229. Icom IC-R20 Opinions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-13 12:40:37 UTC
From: DougSlug <dougslug@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8TILe.16600$6%2.1107@fe10.lga>
Source record: 12591
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

How does the Icom IC-R20 stack up against similar wide receive/all-mode analog receivers like the Yaesu VR-500 or AOR AR-8200MkIII in terms of performance (particularly HF), scan speed, overall ease-of-use and quality? All opinions welcome!

Thanks,
Doug

3230. Icom IC-R20 with Opto Scout

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-13 12:42:56 UTC
From: DougSlug <dougslug@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <jVlLe.16601$6%2.545@fe10.lga>
Source record: 12592

Considering the purchase of an IC-R20. I see that it has CI-V
capability...can the R20 be used for reaction tuning with the Opto Scout?
If so, is a cable readily available for that purpose?

Thanks,
Doug

3231. 911 And Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-13 13:13:49 UTC
From: junkhunter <junkman18@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <hmmLe.1380$A%3.201@newssvr33.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 12593

Real 911 Calls, "BELIEVE" it or not!

Dispatcher: 9-1-1 What is your emergency?
Caller: I heard what sounded like gunshots coming from the brown house on the corner.
Dispatcher: Do you have an address?
Caller: No, I'm wearing a blouse and slacks, why?

Dispatcher: 9-1-1 What is your emergency?
Caller: Someone broke into my house and took a bite out of my ham and cheese sandwich.
Dispatcher: Excuse me?
Caller: I made a ham and cheese sandwich and left it on the kitchen table and when I came back from the bathroom, someone had taken a bite out of it.
Dispatcher: Was anything else taken?
Caller: No, but this has happened to me before and I'm sick and tired of it

Dispatcher: 9-1-1 Fire or emergency?
Caller: Fire, I guess.
Dispatcher: How can I help you sir?
! Caller I was wondering.....does the Fire Dept. put snow chains on their trucks?
Dispatcher: Yes sir, do you have an emergency?
Caller: Well, I've spent the last 4 hours trying to put these chains on my tires and... well.. do you think the Fire Dept. could come over and help me?
Dispatcher: Help you what?
Caller: Help me get these chains on my car!

! Dispatcher: 9-1-1 What is the nature of your emergency?
Caller: I'm trying to reach nine eleven but my phone doesn't have an eleven on it.
Dispatcher: This is nine eleven.
Caller: I thought you just said it was nine-one-one
Dispatcher: Yes, ma'am nine-one-one and nine-eleven are the same thing.
Caller: Honey, I may be old, but I'm not stupid.

Dispatcher: 9-1-1 What's the nature of your emergency?
Caller: My wife is pregnant and her contractions are only two minutes apart.
! Dispatcher: Is this her first child?
Caller: No, you idiot! This is her husband!

And the winner is.....

Dispatcher: 9-1-1
Caller: Yeah, I'm having trouble breathing. I'm all out of breath.
Darn....I think I'm going to pass out.
Dispatcher: Sir, where are you calling from?
Caller: I'm at a pay phone. North and Foster. Damn......
Dispatcher: Sir, an ambulance is on the way. Are you an asthmatic?
Caller: No
Dispatcher: What were you doing before you started having trouble
breathing?
Caller: Running from the Police

3232. Re: Aviation Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-13 13:52:06 UTC
From: "Mr. Man with the Master Plan" <fist@your.face.net>
Message-ID: <bWmLe.29672$Kx6.1922@fe12.lga>
Source record: 12594

Bill needs to read just a bit more closely. He said ONLINE scanners

Dave, try this. http://www.liveatc.net/ Just be aware that you have a
delay.

You may also want to check this out to augment what you hear
http://www.passur.com/sites.htm

These might not service you area directly but are still some good links to
bookmark

-MMWTMP

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:je2dnQFBGttdamrfRVn-pg@comcast.com...
> Radio Shack PRO-97
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
> "Dave" <johndsykes@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:bdGdnZ2dnZ19fiCvnZ2dnV00at-dnZ2dRVn-y52dnZ0@newedgenetworks.com...
>> Does anyone know any good online aviation scanners?
>>
>> Specifically, I'm looking for anything in the El Dorado County area of
>> Northern California.
>>
>> I'm looking into buying a handheld, but I'm not there yet, and I'm
>> interested in the traffic chatter of the Placerville Airport behind my
>> house. I'm a pilot in training as well.
>>
>> Thanks,
>>
>> Dave
>>
>>
>
>

3233. Re: Popular Communications Years 1990-1994

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-13 13:57:04 UTC
From: "Mr. Man with the Master Plan" <fist@your.face.net>
Message-ID: <S_mLe.29673$Kx6.25964@fe12.lga>
Source record: 12595

why?

"Frank Bals" <fjb@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:9UyJe.565803$cg1.276219@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Looking for as many back issues as possible between these years. Complete
> years would be nice, but I will take partial years. Let me know.
>
>
> Frank
>

3234. Re: Icom IC-R20 Opinions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-13 16:41:07 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <vdWdnVrWdYI5v2PfRVn-3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 12596
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I see a lot of used ones for sale. It seems many people are selling them shortly after purchasing them! I think it's most likely a very good "communications receiver", but a big disappointment when used as a "scanner" Scanners are far superior features when it comes to scanning.

On Radio Reference a guy had one listed for around $375.00.

Bill Crocker

"DougSlug" <dougslug@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:8TlLe.16600$6%2.1107@fe10.lga...
> How does the Icom IC-R20 stack up against similar wide receive/all-mode
> analog receivers like the Yaesu VR-500 or AOR AR-8200MkIII in terms of
> performance (particularly HF), scan speed, overall ease-of-use and
> quality? All opinions welcome!
>
> Thanks,
> Doug
>

3235. Re: Aviation Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-13 16:43:15 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <DbudnY6D8La5vmPfRVn-2g@comcast.com>
Source record: 12597

Oops! My bad. :)

Bill Crocker

"Mr. Man with the Master Plan" <fist@your.face.net> wrote in message
news:bWmLe.29672$Kx6.1922@fe12.lga...
>
> Bill needs to read just a bit more closely. He said ONLINE scanners
>
> Dave, try this. http://www.liveatc.net/ Just be aware that you have a
> delay.
>
> You may also want to check this out to augment what you hear
> http://www.passur.com/sites.htm
>
> These might not service you area directly but are still some good links to
> bookmark
>
> -MMWTMP
>
>
>
> "Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:je2dnQFBGttdamrfRVn-pg@comcast.com...
>> Radio Shack PRO-97
>>
>> Bill Crocker
>>
>>
>> "Dave" <johndsykes@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:bdGdnZ2dnZ19fiCvnZ2dnV00at-dnZ2dRVn-y52dnZ0@newedgenetworks.com...
>>> Does anyone know any good online aviation scanners?
>>>
>>> Specifically, I'm looking for anything in the El Dorado County area of
>>> Northern California.
>>>
>>> I'm looking into buying a handheld, but I'm not there yet, and I'm
>>> interested in the traffic chatter of the Placerville Airport behind my
>>> house. I'm a pilot in training as well.
>>>
>>> Thanks,
>>>
>>> Dave
>>>
>>>

>>
>>
>
>

3236. Re: Icom IC-R20 Opinions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-13 19:39:38 UTC
From: DougSlug <dougslug@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <30sLe.3871$Gx1.3146@fe11.lga>
Source record: 12598
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Bill, what types of features would you say "scanners" have over the R20?
And which models might you be referring to? I think I'm probably more
interested in the "communications receiver" type of performance, but I'd
like to understand some of the differences.

- Doug

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:vdWdnVrWdYI5v2PfRVn-3g@comcast.com...
>I see a lot of used ones for sale. It seems many people are selling them
>shortly after purchasing them! I think it's most likely a very good
>"communications receiver", but a big disappointment when used as a
>"scanner" Scanners are far superior features when it comes to scanning.
>
> On Radio Reference a guy had one listed for around $375.00.
>
> Bill Crocker

3237. FS Pro-92 & BC-895

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-13 20:35:48 UTC
From: te <xxxx@xxxs.net>
Message-ID: <ddllj6083f@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 12599

I have a Pro-92 in very good condition and a BC-895 in excellent condition
for sale. Will consider any reasonable offers.
tnx
tom

3238. Re: Icom IC-R20 Opinions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-13 23:06:20 UTC
From: Jim <none@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <1123974259.0b89a94019060fdef632c67bde86d9ea@teranews>
Source record: 12501
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Doug,

AOR 8200 MKIII needs add on cards for CTCSS and Recording. The IC-R20 needs no silly add on cards and has DCS too.

Yaesu VR-500 has no CTCSS/DCS and recording. But is a few hundred cheaper.

I agonized between the VR-500, Alinco's DJX-2000 and the Icom R-20 for weeks before deciding on the ICOM R-20.
I have not been disappointed. Remember with portable HF monitoring, your antenna and atmospheric conditions will make or break your monitoring session.

73,
W2USB

"DougSlug" <dougslug@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:8TlLe.16600$6%2.1107@fe10.lga...
> How does the Icom IC-R20 stack up against similar wide receive/all-mode
> analog receivers like the Yaesu VR-500 or AOR AR-8200MkIII in terms of
> performance (particularly HF), scan speed, overall ease-of-use and
> quality? All opinions welcome!
>
> Thanks,
> Doug
>

3239. Re: Icom IC-R20 Opinions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-13 23:11:55 UTC
From: Jim <none@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <1123974593.4ea9e8a3175d28170f837b81a11c9439@teranews>
Source record: 12600
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

What "Scanner" covers HF with USB LSB ? I think he said he was interested
in HF all mode analog.

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:vdWdnVrWdYI5v2PfRVn-3g@comcast.com...
>I see a lot of used ones for sale. It seems many people are selling them
>shortly after purchasing them! I think it's most likely a very good
>"communications receiver", but a big disappointment when used as a
>"scanner" Scanners are far superior features when it comes to scanning.
>
> On Radio Reference a guy had one listed for around $375.00.
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
> "DougSlug" <dougslug@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:8TlLe.16600$6%2.1107@fe10.lga...
>> How does the Icom IC-R20 stack up against similar wide receive/all-mode
>> analog receivers like the Yaesu VR-500 or AOR AR-8200MkIII in terms of
>> performance (particularly HF), scan speed, overall ease-of-use and
>> quality? All opinions welcome!
>>
>> Thanks,
>> Doug
>>
>
>

3240. Re: Icom IC-R20 Opinions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-13 23:48:04 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <t-ednaVRDvgoG2PfRVn-jw@comcast.com>
Source record: 12502
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

One of the things he asked about was scan speed. Scan speed on the R20 is very slow. Ease of use? No Icom's I've seen are what you would classify, "easy to use".

Oh yea, and he said, "All opinions welcome!"

Bill Crocker

"Jim" <none@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:1123974593.4ea9e8a3175d28170f837b81a11c9439@teranews...
> What "Scanner" covers HF with USB LSB ? I think he said he was interested
> in HF all mode analog.
>
> "Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:vdWdnVrWdYI5v2PfRVn-3g@comcast.com...
>>I see a lot of used ones for sale. It seems many people are selling them
>>shortly after purchasing them! I think it's most likely a very good
>>"communications receiver", but a big disappointment when used as a
>>"scanner" Scanners are far superior features when it comes to scanning.
>>
>> On Radio Reference a guy had one listed for around $375.00.
>>
>> Bill Crocker
>>
>>
>> "DougSlug" <dougslug@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:8TlLe.16600$6%2.1107@fe10.lga...
>>> How does the Icom IC-R20 stack up against similar wide receive/all-mode
>>> analog receivers like the Yaesu VR-500 or AOR AR-8200MkIII in terms of
>>> performance (particularly HF), scan speed, overall ease-of-use and
>>> quality? All opinions welcome!
>>>
>>> Thanks,
>>> Doug
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

3241. Re: Icom IC-R20 Opinions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-13 23:49:48 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <6a6dneZ5U_-AGmPfRVn-sA@comcast.com>
Source record: 12503
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

For your needs, you'll probably be content with the R20. But down the road, should you want to monitor trunked, or digital public safety, you'll be out of luck.

Bill Crocker

"DougSlug" <dougslug@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:30sLe.3871$Gx1.3146@fe11.lga...
> Bill, what types of features would you say "scanners" have over the R20?
> And which models might you be referring to? I think I'm probably more
> interested in the "communications receiver" type of performance, but I'd
> like to understand some of the differences.
>
> - Doug
>
> "Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:vdWdnVrWdYI5v2PfRVn-3g@comcast.com...
>>I see a lot of used ones for sale. It seems many people are selling them
>>shortly after purchasing them! I think it's most likely a very good
>>"communications receiver", but a big disappointment when used as a
>>"scanner" Scanners are far superior features when it comes to scanning.
>>
>> On Radio Reference a guy had one listed for around $375.00.
>>
>> Bill Crocker
>
>

3242. Re: FS Pro-92 & BC-895

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-14 01:00:30 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <a7OdnUbYhuwsCmPfRVn-gw@comcast.com>
Source record: 12504

What vintage on the PRO-92?

(shown on the label on the back of the scanner)

200-0522
200-0522[A]
200-0522[B]

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

"te" <xxxx@xxxs.net> wrote in message news:ddllj6083f@enews2.newsguy.com...
>I have a Pro-92 in very good condition and a BC-895 in excellent condition
>for sale. Will consider any reasonable offers.
> tnx
> tom
>

3243. FDNY 9/11 Scanner Audio is here (re: CNN)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-14 03:39:28 UTC
From: Jamey 51 <squad51s@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3967$42febce1$d1cc589d$20995@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 12505

http://ny1.com/ny1/content/index.jsp?stid=1&aid=52732

These are all Real Player Streams (at above link)

NOTE: If anyone has or knows where the FULL COMPLETE
and UN-EDITED audio transcripts may be downloaded
and saved to disc, - - PLEASE - - post a follow-up message
to this posting with a URL .

These are the transcripts that were mentioned on CNN and other media today.

3244. Re: Icom IC-R20 Opinions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-14 15:14:31 UTC
From: Jim <none@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <1124032348.6844c0e163e3ede5fa7f8271928b8758@teranews>
Source record: 12507
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

He asked how the ICOM R-20 stacked up against SIMILAR WIDE RECEIVE/ALL MODE ANALOG RECEIVERS.
You told him "scanners are far more superior features when it comes to scanning". I asked what scanner covers HF with USB and LSB. I guess all opinions are welcome as long as they are yours. BTW, I find the ICOM very easy to use.

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message news:t-ednaVRDvgoG2PfRVn-jw@comcast.com...
> One of the things he asked about was scan speed. Scan speed on the R20 is
> very slow. Ease of use? No Icom's I've seen are what you would classify,
> "easy to use".
>
> Oh yea, and he said, "All opinions welcome!"
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
>
> "Jim" <none@nospam.com> wrote in message
> news:1123974593.4ea9e8a3175d28170f837b81a11c9439@teranews...
>> What "Scanner" covers HF with USB LSB ? I think he said he was
>> interested in HF all mode analog.
>>
>> "Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
>> news:vdWdnVrWdYI5v2PfRVn-3g@comcast.com...
>>>I see a lot of used ones for sale. It seems many people are selling them
>>>shortly after purchasing them! I think it's most likely a very good
>>>"communications receiver", but a big disappointment when used as a
>>>"scanner" Scanners are far superior features when it comes to scanning.
>>>
>>> On Radio Reference a guy had one listed for around $375.00.
>>>
>>> Bill Crocker
>>>
>>>
>>> "DougSlug" <dougslug@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:8TlLe.16600$6%2.1107@fe10.lga...
>>>> How does the Icom IC-R20 stack up against similar wide receive/all-mode
>>>> analog receivers like the Yaesu VR-500 or AOR AR-8200MkIII in terms of
>>>> performance (particularly HF), scan speed, overall ease-of-use and
>>>> quality? All opinions welcome!
>>>>

>>>> Thanks,
>>>> Doug
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

3245. Even MORE eBay insanity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-14 15:23:16 UTC
From: Scan Da Bands <vzeip5vc@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <scouf1df03bc4tdgufs4b9jldleftqr4p7@4ax.com>
Source record: 12506

You guys don't think this is rigged do you..... ?? wow !!

http://cgi.ebay.com/Radio-Shack-PRO-43-Police-Scanner-Excellent-Condition_W0QQitemZ5798901026QQcategoryZ40979QQrdZ1QQcmdZViewItem

3246. Re: Even MORE eBay insanity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-14 15:39:34 UTC
From: HotShot <MyShotIsHot@HotShotShootsSpam.Com>
Message-ID: <9BJLe.1995$kz6.70960@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 12508

hard to tell until you look at this page
http://offer.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewBids&item=5798901026

Scan Da Bands wrote:
> You guys don't think this is rigged do you..... ?? wow !!
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/Radio-Shack-PRO-43-Police-Scanner-Excellent-Condition_W0QQitemZ5798901026QQcategoryZ40979QQrdZ1QQcmdZViewItem

3247. Re: Icom IC-R20 Opinions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-14 16:27:25 UTC
From: DougSlug <dougslug@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ThKLe.30460$Kx6.17550@fe12.lga>
Source record: 12509
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Thanks for the all the feedback. Please don't argue...I did say "all opinions welcome"...

Bill, I had considered the possibility of needing trunking and digital...what models would you recommended for those requirements? I once owned a PRO-95 and played around with the NJ State Police trunked system...it worked OK for that (I guess; I had nothing to compare to), but overall I didn't really like the PRO-95. I think it was just culture clash, though--I was used to Yaesu and AOR receivers, and I hated that there was no VFO type mode on the 95. I also prefer having TV and FM broadcast bands in the same receiver, which the 95 didn't have. I did think the audio was "hissy" on the PRO-95 even with otherwise full quieting signals. It looks like the BCD396T is the one to have for trunking and digital. Maybe I'll just have to get both!!

- Doug

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message news:6a6dneZ5U_-AGmPfRVn-sA@comcast.com...
> For your needs, you'll probably be content with the R20. But down the
> road, should you want to monitor trunked, or digital public safety, you'll
> be out of luck.
>
> Bill Crocker

3248. Re: Even MORE eBay insanity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-14 17:04:26 UTC
From: krackula <krackula@i.am>
Message-ID: <ncuuf1t0uu37psif1avfkj64eo8ilm5h8k@4ax.com>
Source record: 12510

looks suspiciously like that guy a while back that also (allegedly)
sold one for the same amount. who would even bid that much on
scanners that are selling for between $80 - $100 on a regular basis
with a couple available right now on ebay ???

worse yet, why buy a " cellular " capable scanner with by
about a year and a half the FCC is killing analog cell service,
nation wide. ??? in 2007 the analog cellband is mandated to
go digital making those analog cell scanners useless !!!

"
AMPS, or Advanced Mobile Phone Service, analog cellular, is scheduled
to end in America in 2007. The Federal Communications Commission in
early August decided that cellular carriers would no longer be
required to keep open a few analog channels for the now small number
of non-digital phones. You can download the official F.C.C. document
by clicking here. AMPS audio sounded great, many will miss it, but it
took up too much bandwidth. Now we have digital wireless, bandwidth
friendly, feature laden, but often with poor audio because of over
compression. That's because the cellular carrier wants as many calls
over the air as possible, all scrunched together, with voice quality
now a small concern. AMPS, we will miss you.) "

(doncha wonder what " On Star " service is going to do with
ALL those analog units deployed in the field ?? ha h ah aha)

trippy ....

theres a scam around every corner , these days , and this
auction is a great example..

k..........

>You guys don't think this is rigged do you..... ?? wow !!
>
>http://cgi.ebay.com/Radio-Shack-PRO-43-Police-Scanner-Excellent-Condition_W0QQitemZ5798901026QQcategoryZ40979QQrdZ1QQcmdZViewItem

3249. Norfolk county ambulance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-14 18:05:33 UTC
From: Vance <b_lasalle@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <QJLLe.5206$kz6.120284@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 12511

What have the Norfolk County ambulance crews changed there frequency to?
they are no longer on 155.490. P.L. 118.8.

Thanks, Vance

3250. New user/shopper for a scanner???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-14 20:33:59 UTC
From: chaz <chaznsc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <XUNLe.6071$Je.1361@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 12512

Hi,
Looking at / for a handheld scanner. I live in a relatively large metro area, near Augusta, GA. Several communities and I would like to just scan for the fun of it. I dont know what "trunking" is but a lot of the new scanners offer this capability, maybe all?

Question is, whats a good starter unit? Im not looking to eavesdrop on the CIA or IRS, just want to listen in on the local stuff. Dont want to spend a lot of money as this wont even really be a hobby. I just dont want to purchase old technology thats an obvious waste.

Any advice appreciated.

chaz

3251. Re: New user/shopper for a scanner???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-14 21:04:28 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <wIOLe.6102$WD.3102@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 12513

"chaz" <chaznsc@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:XUNLe.6071$Je.1361@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> Hi,
> Looking at / for a handheld scanner. I live in a relatively large metro
> area, near Augusta, GA. Several communities and I would like to just scan
> for the fun of it. I dont know what "trunking" is but a lot of the new
> scanners offer this capability, maybe all?
>
> Question is, whats a good starter unit? Im not looking to eavesdrop on the
> CIA or IRS, just want to listen in on the local stuff. Dont want to spend
> a
> lot of money as this wont even really be a hobby. I just dont want to
> purchase old technology thats an obvious waste.
>
> Any advice appreciated.
>
> chaz
>

Look at the :

http://www.radioreference.com/index.php

Find the area you want to scan, make sure it's not digital. If it is Trunked of any kind you need a Trunk Tracking scanner. The low end models are Radio Shack Pro-97 and Uniden makes a couple. The Uniden BC246T would be my first choice. Cost is the same as the RS, and it includes a PC cable, and AC adapter. Not to mention it's a very small package, easy to carry around. If you can still find a Pro-95 that RS discontinued to make the 97 it will work great also. Little complicated to get one set up for Trunking, but there is lots of help on line to get you over the hump.

--
"Money is truthful. If a man speaks of his honor make him pay cash."
:Lazarus Long

3252. Re: New user/shopper for a scanner???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-14 21:19:46 UTC
From: chaz <chaznsc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <SzOLe.7886$ns.5676@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 12514

"Alex Clayton" <alexx1400@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:wlOLe.6102$WD.3102@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> "chaz" <chaznsc@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:XUNLe.6071$Je.1361@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>> Hi,
>> Looking at / for a handheld scanner. I live in a relatively large metro
>> area, near Augusta, GA. Several communities and I would like to just scan
>> for the fun of it. I dont know what "trunking" is but a lot of the new
>> scanners offer this capability, maybe all?
>>
>> Question is, whats a good starter unit? Im not looking to eavesdrop on
>> the
>> CIA or IRS, just want to listen in on the local stuff. Dont want to spend
>> a
>> lot of money as this wont even really be a hobby. I just dont want to
>> purchase old technology thats an obvious waste.
>>
>> Any advice appreciated.
>>
>> chaz
>>
>
> Look at the :
>
> http://www.radioreference.com/index.php
>
>
> Find the area you want to scan, make sure it's not digital. If it is
> Trunked
> of any kind you need a Trunk Tracking scanner. The low end models are
> Radio
> Shack Pro-97 and Uniden makes a couple. The Uniden BC246T would be my
> first
> choice. Cost is the same as the RS, and it includes a PC cable, and AC
> adapter. Not to mention it's a very small package, easy to carry around.
> If
> you can still find a Pro-95 that RS discontinued to make the 97 it will
> work
> great also. Little complicated to get one set up for Trunking, but there
> is
> lots of help on line to get you over the hump.
>
> --
> "Money is truthful. If a man speaks of his honor make him pay cash."

> :Lazarus Long
>
>

Alex,
How will i know if an area is TRUNKED? (digital??) I see the tables on the site you sent, but it means nothing to me, because i am a neophyte :)

3253. Re: Icom IC-R20 Opinions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-14 22:05:53 UTC
From: SamSez <samtheman@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <5fPLe.3810$H_4.1403@trnddc07>
Source record: 12515
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Jim" <none@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:1124032348.6844c0e163e3ede5fa7f8271928b8758@teranews...
> He asked how the ICOM R-20 stacked up against SIMILAR WIDE RECEIVE/ALL MODE
> ANALOG RECEIVERS.
> You told him "scanners are far more superior features when it comes to
> scanning". I asked what scanner covers HF with USB and LSB.

Yupi 7100?

3254. FS: Realistic PRO-2004 w/Cellular Mod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-14 22:25:13 UTC
From: Frank Bals <fjb@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <dxPLe.607563$cg1.228517@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 12516

Excellent condition. Bright backlight. Has the cellular modification,
scan/search speed increase, tighter squelch, and extra 100 channels.
Original box and manual. I will include a external 12VDC adapter I use to
eliminlate heat inside the radio.

Price is $175 shipped in CONUS

3255. FS: Bill Cheek's Scanner Mod Handbooks 1, 2, and 3

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-14 22:28:04 UTC
From: Frank Bals <fjb@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <UzPLe.607578$cg1.316387@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 12517

All three are in excellent condition.

Price is $35.00 shipped in CONUS

3256. Re: Popular Communications Years 1990-1994

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-14 22:49:59 UTC
From: Frank Bals <fjb@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <rUPLe.607688$cg1.333744@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 12518

Because.....

"Mr. Man with the Master Plan" <fist@your.face.net> wrote in message
news:S_mLe.29673$Kx6.25964@fe12.lga...
> why?
>
>
> "Frank Bals" <fjb@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:9UyJe.565803$cg1.276219@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>> Looking for as many back issues as possible between these years. Complete
>> years would be nice, but I will take partial years. Let me know.
>>
>>
>> Frank
>>
>
>

3257. Re: New user/shopper for a scanner???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-14 23:10:31 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <HbQLe.5247$Wi6.96@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 12519

```
"chaz" <chaznsc@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:SzOLe.7886$ns.5676@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "Alex Clayton" <alexx1400@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:wlOLe.6102$WD.3102@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> "chaz" <chaznsc@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:XUNLe.6071$Je.1361@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>> Hi,
>>> Looking at / for a handheld scanner. I live in a relatively large metro
>>> area, near Augusta, GA. Several communities and I would like to just
>>> scan
>>> for the fun of it. I dont know what "trunking" is but a lot of the new
>>> scanners offer this capability, maybe all?
>>>
>>> Question is, whats a good starter unit? Im not looking to eavesdrop on
>>> the
>>> CIA or IRS, just want to listen in on the local stuff. Dont want to
>>> spend a
>>> lot of money as this wont even really be a hobby. I just dont want to
>>> purchase old technology thats an obvious waste.
>>>
>>> Any advice appreciated.
>>>
>>> chaz
>>>
>>
>> Look at the :
>>
>> http://www.radioreference.com/index.php
>>
>>
>> Find the area you want to scan, make sure it's not digital. If it is
>> Trunked
>> of any kind you need a Trunk Tracking scanner. The low end models are
>> Radio
>> Shack Pro-97 and Uniden makes a couple. The Uniden BC246T would be my
>> first
>> choice. Cost is the same as the RS, and it includes a PC cable, and AC
>> adapter. Not to mention it's a very small package, easy to carry around.
>> If
>> you can still find a Pro-95 that RS discontinued to make the 97 it will
>> work
>> great also. Little complicated to get one set up for Trunking, but there
>> is
```

>> lots of help on line to get you over the hump.
>>
>> --
>> "Money is truthful. If a man speaks of his honor make him pay cash."
>> :Lazarus Long
>>
>>
>
> Alex,
> How will i know if an area is TRUNKED? (digital??) I see the tables on the
> site you sent, but it means nothing to me, because i am a neophyte :)
>
>
>

3258. Re: New user/shopper for a scanner???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-14 23:22:33 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ZmQLe.6197$WD.1217@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 12520

```
"chaz" <chaznsc@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:SzOLe.7886$ns.5676@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "Alex Clayton" <alexx1400@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:wlOLe.6102$WD.3102@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> "chaz" <chaznsc@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:XUNLe.6071$Je.1361@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>> Hi,
>>> Looking at / for a handheld scanner. I live in a relatively large metro
>>> area, near Augusta, GA. Several communities and I would like to just
>>> scan
>>> for the fun of it. I dont know what "trunking" is but a lot of the new
>>> scanners offer this capability, maybe all?
>>>
>>> Question is, whats a good starter unit? Im not looking to eavesdrop on
>>> the
>>> CIA or IRS, just want to listen in on the local stuff. Dont want to
>>> spend a
>>> lot of money as this wont even really be a hobby. I just dont want to
>>> purchase old technology thats an obvious waste.
>>>
>>> Any advice appreciated.
>>>
>>> chaz
>>>
>>
>> Look at the :
>>
>> http://www.radioreference.com/index.php
>>
>>
>> Find the area you want to scan, make sure it's not digital. If it is
>> Trunked
>> of any kind you need a Trunk Tracking scanner. The low end models are
>> Radio
>> Shack Pro-97 and Uniden makes a couple. The Uniden BC246T would be my
>> first
>> choice. Cost is the same as the RS, and it includes a PC cable, and AC
>> adapter. Not to mention it's a very small package, easy to carry around.
>> If
>> you can still find a Pro-95 that RS discontinued to make the 97 it will
>> work
>> great also. Little complicated to get one set up for Trunking, but there
>> is
```

>> lots of help on line to get you over the hump.
>>
>> --
>> "Money is truthful. If a man speaks of his honor make him pay cash."
>> :Lazarus Long
>>
>>
>
> Alex,
> How will i know if an area is TRUNKED? (digital??) I see the tables on the
> site you sent, but it means nothing to me, because i am a neophyte :)
>
>
>

Ok I'm FAR from any kind of expert at this, but it looks to me like there is no digital yet. There does seem to be a LOT of trunked stuff to choose from. These names don't mean anything to me as I don't live there but look at this page:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=TRSDB&sid=429

There is a lot of Motorola Trunked stuff there. Are any of these communities near you? If so you want a trunking scanner. Looks like there is some standard stuff you could pick up with any scanner, but the majority seems to be Trunked. A cheap new scanner that does not Trunk Track is about $100.00. A very good, Trunk Tracking scanner is about $200.00 new. So I would recommend getting the 246T. Looks like there will be a hell of a lot of stuff to listen to there.
If you decide to get one, join the Radio Reference page it's free, and if you like what they have, as you should, send them a few bucks to show it. A 10$ donation to them is appreciated, and if you buy a book at a place like RS they are twice that and the RR forum will give you much better info. If you decide to buy a scanner, there's LOTS of help getting it going. They are a lot of fun. I started with one at one job as a necessity. Soon I found myself listening at home to for fun.
--
"Money is truthful. If a man speaks of his honor make him pay cash."
:Lazarus Long

3259. FA: Stridsberg Antenna Multicoupler

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-15 00:36:01 UTC
From: Rick Kempe <r.kempe@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <RrRLe.5279$Wi6.961@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 12521

MCA 202, two port 50 Mhz - 1 Ghz, NO RESERVE. Thanks for looking!

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5798822329&ssPageName=MERC_VI_RSCC_Pr4_PcY_BID_Stores

3260. Re: Even MORE eBay insanity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-15 12:54:22 UTC
From: Scan Da Bands <vzeip5vc@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <1v31g1lk7qsl4muh99u560ekj1bbulpvni@4ax.com>
Source record: 12522

Krakula , hey man wazzzzzz up ? Tom in Maine,USA ....you got any decoders or any addons left from your 2006/Opto days? (or the scanner themselves too)

I'm setup here with one - FULL with Probe 7.0 , nice scanning with all the conventional we have.....always looking for the above.....later my friend.

On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 10:04:26 -0700, krackula <krackula@i.am> wrote:

>
>
>
>looks suspiciously like that guy a while back that also (allegedly)
>sold one for the same amount. who would even bid that much on
>scanners that are selling for between $80 - $100 on a regular basis
>with a couple available right now on ebay ???
>
>worse yet, why buy a " cellular " capable scanner with by
>about a year and a half the FCC is killing analog cell service,
>nation wide. ??? in 2007 the analog cellband is mandated to
>go digital making those analog cell scanners useless !!!
>
>"
>AMPS, or Advanced Mobile Phone Service, analog cellular, is scheduled
>to end in America in 2007. The Federal Communications Commission in
>early August decided that cellular carriers would no longer be
>required to keep open a few analog channels for the now small number
>of non-digital phones. You can download the official F.C.C. document
>by clicking here. AMPS audio sounded great, many will miss it, but it
>took up too much bandwidth. Now we have digital wireless, bandwidth
>friendly, feature laden, but often with poor audio because of over
>compression. That's because the cellular carrier wants as many calls
>over the air as possible, all scrunched together, with voice quality
>now a small concern. AMPS, we will miss you.) "
>
>
>(doncha wonder what " On Star " service is going to do with
>ALL those analog units deployed in the field ?? ha h ah aha)
>
>trippy ....
>
>
>theres a scam around every corner , these days , and this
>auction is a great example..

>
>
>k..........
>
>
>>You guys don't think this is rigged do you....... ?? wow !!
>>
>>http://cgi.ebay.com/Radio-Shack-PRO-43-Police-Scanner-Excellent-Condition_W0QQitemZ57989
01026QQcategoryZ40979QQrdZ1QQcmdZViewItem

3261. FS: Uniden BC796D (N.I.B)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-15 14:02:14 UTC
From: HomebruElectronics@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1124114534.259344.126990@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12523

Hello, I have a brand new in the box Uniden BC796D. It was taken out once and played with for less than 1 hour when I first got it, then went right back in the box. I know it is a really top-notch scanner, but i've lost interest for the hobby. I did not pay for it myself, but I was told it was bought @ around $600. I would like $350 plus half of shipping. Thanks

3262. Re: Even MORE eBay insanity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-15 17:47:42 UTC
From: krackula <krackula@i.am>
Message-ID: <6vi1g1h3488v0sf6jl10tcjev4l2ni66mu@4ax.com>
Source record: 12524

On Mon, 15 Aug 2005 12:54:22 GMT, Scan Da Bands <vzeip5vc@verizon.net>
wrote:

>Krakula , hey man wazzzzzz up ? Tom in Maine,USA ....you got any
>decoders or any addons left from your 2006/Opto days? (or the scanner
>themselves too)
>
>I'm setup here with one - FULL with Probe 7.0 , nice scanning with all
>the conventional we have.....always looking for the above.....later my
>friend.
>
>On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 10:04:26 -0700, krackula <krackula@i.am> wrote:
>

haaaaaaaaaaay doooooooooooode .....

whatz happ'in ????? ahahah a aha ah

ditched all my sw and vhf/micro gear and I'm " deep " into freqs
below 1600hz now. (where RF propagation and static ceases)
those and especially below 12 hz and geomagnetic natural (maybe ??
ah aha) waves. I have discovered little known or completely
unknown magnetic waves that exist mostly in the nearly
Sierra mountains and related areas. these are probably like the study
done here.....

http://72.14.207.104/search?q=cache:-2_wUSAtYCgJ:www.vogelstudy.org/Archive/01070001.pdf+strong+natural++magnetic+waveforms++&hl=en
(which he concludes are manmade in nature ..... oh this neieve
person..... doesn't suspect where they are coming from at all h
ahahah)

but this guy in , this study , doesn't realize that these waves are
" all over " the place and come in enormously different strengths and
have unique identities. if you drive around looking for them mobile,
instead of sitting in one place waiting for them, you find " tons " of
them ..... all over.
I'm using the newly available " resonant " frerro magnetic mega
cores as the basis of my home made instruments ......
equipment similar to stuff on this site ....
rods ......
http://www.stormwise.com/superlow.htm
circuits ......
http://www.stormwise.com/vlf1574projects.htm

I'm making equipment similar to their circuits except more elaborate and sensitive. my main instrument is a solid state switched " charged pump " , up converting , ULF / SLF receiving front end that has about 2 miles of wire wound on the ferro resonant ferrite rod. it uses , selectable , one or two stage dc coupled preamp coil and and works sub 1 hz to about 300 hz. works into the a.m. or f.m. radio on your car for the i.f. . I have several others for different, slightly higher , hz ranges too. I'm going to show people how to make a wave " finder " , using commonly available, inexpensive modified (gauss master) equipment. all for under $80 to get started.

I'm working on a web site describing these waves, will have examples in .wav format , to listen to , and compare against , and will provide technical information to quantify / classify them and add to the site repository of wave info. it's pretty exciting and very interesting. the government has several new , super secret . toys that emit waves in those ranges and a wide array of wave signals are to be found with sources below the ground and , evidently, in near sub space. trippy stuff, I'll keep you posted. I think scanning is a " been there " , " done that " hobby ... one that is currently in a period of unfortunate decline. looks like there is a " whole " new frontier of scanning possibilities where " man " has yet dared to tread (frequency wise) . the government likes it so well , they are hiding quite a lot down there. like with other , pioneering level , equipment ..... this stuff is able to be detected and intercepted by the hobbyist .... so far. ah ah hah hahah he potential for signal discovery from " natural " resources is immense. might be a whole new "ball game " in the offing. (if the government doesn't put their foot on my neck for disclosing too much to civilians ah ahahah)

k.............

FYI: did you know that the US government employs several " sub contractor " companies to set up and maintain / monitor secret installations with professional level equipment (like my home made stuff) 24 / 7. this equipment listens to the freqs below 300 hz and monitors " active " particles too ..... with about 12 " known " installations (and very probably more) around the USA and possessions. the most well known installation, and massive being in the Rocky Mountains west of Boulder , Colo. little is known about what they are " listening " for ..... but it's very interesting , never the less.

3263. Icom R2 software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-15 19:52:15 UTC
From: Canonian <f@f.ff>
Message-ID: <ddqrph$n9n$1@news5.zwoll1.ov.home.nl>
Source record: 12525

Hi,

Has anyone Windows based software available for the Icom IC-R2 scanning
radio ???

Barry

3264. BEWARE...Waters and Stanton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-15 20:34:16 UTC
From: G-Text <grumbletext@grumbletext.com>
Message-ID: <c%6Me.10375$1F5.3437@newsfe4-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 12526
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.amateur-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

Waters And Stanton

I purchased a scanner radio from this company and have had nothing but trouble with them since.
I first ordered a Icom R5 for £89.00p (used) I paid for this using my wife's debit card, it arrived on 3/8/05. I sent it back to them on the 4/8/05, they recieved it on the 5/8/05.
I requested the debit card be refunded with the £89.00p. I was assured via email from a "Nigel McAlpine" that, and I quote .....
----- Original Message -----
From: "Nigel McAlpine" <mcalpinen@wsplc.com>
To: "**********"
Sent: Saturday, August 06, 2005 2:47 PM
Subject: RE: My order

> Thank you for your e-mail.
>
> We can confirm that your order has been cancelled and a refund
> of £89.00 will be refunded to your card account as requested.
>
> Kind regards.
>
> Nigel McAlpine
>
> Waters & Stanton PLC
> Tel: 01702206835 Fax:01702205843
> Web: www.wsplc.com
> E-mail: nigel.mcalpine@wsplc.com <mailto:nigel.mcalpine@wsplc.com>

I telephoned them on the Monday only to be told that the money was debited to my wifes card on the 3/8/05 ??????
I don't know how they managed this as I did not send the radio back untill the 4/8/05.
I was also charged for "next day delivery" it did not arrive, I phoned them and it had not even left the store, (another rip off) and lies.
Now it is the 16/8/05 and still the £89.00p has NOT been debited to my wife's debit card.
I emailed them today, but they do not have the decency to reply.
I shall give it till Wednesday and if I do not hear from them or have not received the £89.00p I shall pass the matter over to the Trading Standards Department.

I shall keep you posted about this rip-off company.

--

"Are you still wasting your time with spam?...
There is a solution!"

Protected by GIANT Company's Spam Inspector
The most powerful anti-spam software available.
http://www.giantcompany.com

3265. Re: BEWARE...Waters and Stanton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-15 20:50:21 UTC
From: Ade <afuller@fcd.co.uk>
Message-ID: <he7Me.10484$wh6.4771@newsfe2-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 12527
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.amateur-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

"G-Text" <grumbletext@grumbletext.com> wrote in message
news:c%6Me.10375$1F5.3437@newsfe4-win.ntli.net...
> Waters And Stanton
>
> I purchased a scanner radio from this company and have had nothing
> but trouble with them since.
> I first ordered a Icom R5 for £89.00p (used) I paid for this using my
> wife's debit card, it arrived on 3/8/05. I sent it back to them on the
> 4/8/05, they recieved it on the 5/8/05.
> I requested the debit card be refunded with the £89.00p. I was
> assured via email from a "Nigel McAlpine" that, and I quote .....
>
RUBBISH DELETED
>
>
Never read so much rubbish. I have done business with Waters & Stanton numerous times with no trouble, and so have thousands of others. If *YOU* bought something and changed your mind then that's your problem. They don't offer a try before you buy service like catalogue shops.
Please don't keep us posted, no one is interested. If you had a case you wouldn't be shouting about it here. I think you have probably been told exactly what the returns policy is and have been unable to bully them into doing things *your* way. I hope they sue you.
Waters & Stanton is a perfectly reputable company, which is why they are well known. Moaning old people tend to be forgotten about, so give it a rest.
Please also check your newsreader settings as you have posted to a lot of groups, so I have replied to the ones you used. You will now be placed in the killfile where you belong.

3266. Re: BEWARE...Waters and Stanton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-15 20:52:15 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050815165215.085$NZ@newsreader.com>
Source record: 12528
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.amateur-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

"G-Text" <grumbletext@grumbletext.com> wrote:
> "Are you still wasting your time with spam?...
> There is a solution!"

> Protected by SPAM Company's Spam Inspector
> The most powerful anti-spam software available.
> http://www.giantspam.com
>
Why are you cross-posting this spam?

--
http://NewsReader.Com/

3267. Re: BEWARE...Waters and Stanton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-15 20:54:24 UTC
From: Ken Taylor <ken123@xtra.co.nz>
Message-ID: <5i7Me.3125$iM2.282887@news.xtra.co.nz>
Source record: 12529
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.amateur-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

"G-Text" <grumbletext@grumbletext.com> wrote in message
news:c%6Me.10375$1F5.3437@newsfe4-win.ntli.net...
> Waters And Stanton
>
> I purchased a scanner radio from this company and have had nothing
> but trouble with them since.
> I first ordered a Icom R5 for ?89.00p (used) I paid for this using my
> wife's debit card, it arrived on 3/8/05. I sent it back to them on the
> 4/8/05, they recieved it on the 5/8/05.
> I requested the debit card be refunded with the ?89.00p. I was
> assured via email from a "Nigel McAlpine" that, and I quote .....
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Nigel McAlpine" <mcalpinen@wsplc.com>
> To: "**********"
> Sent: Saturday, August 06, 2005 2:47 PM
> Subject: RE: My order
>
>
> > Thank you for your e-mail.
> >
> > We can confirm that your order has been cancelled and a refund
> > of ?89.00 will be refunded to your card account as requested.
> >
> > Kind regards.
> >
> > Nigel McAlpine
> >
> > Waters & Stanton PLC
> > Tel: 01702206835 Fax:01702205843
> > Web: www.wsplc.com
> > E-mail: nigel.mcalpine@wsplc.com <mailto:nigel.mcalpine@wsplc.com>
>
> I telephoned them on the Monday only to be told that the money was
> debited to my wifes card on the 3/8/05 ??????
> I don't know how they managed this as I did not send the radio back
> untill the 4/8/05.
> I was also charged for "next day delivery" it did not arrive, I
> phoned them and it had not even left the store, (another rip off) and
> lies.
> Now it is the 16/8/05 and still the ?89.00p has NOT been debited to
> my wife's debit card.

> I emailed them today, but they do not have the decency to reply.
> I shall give it till Wednesday and if I do not hear from them or have
> not received the £89.00p I shall pass the matter over to the Trading
> Standards Department.
>
> I shall keep you posted about this rip-off company.
>
>
Was the claim that it was "debited on 3/8/05" a misunderstanding - that would appear to be the day they would have debited your card for the sale, not credit for the refund. There may just be a misunderstanding so maybe check again that the boss knows there should be a *refund*, rather than just the original debit.

Good luck.

Ken

3268. Re: BEWARE...Waters and Stanton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-15 20:58:02 UTC
From: G-Text <grumbletext@grumbletext.com>
Message-ID: <ul7Me.4660$2C5.3035@newsfe1-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 12530
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.amateur-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

Suit yourself pal, just telling people that they are in no hurry to refund
your money, by the way, the returns policy is 7 days no quibble, although I
expect you know about that if you have been dealing with them for years, eh

--

"Are you still wasting your time with spam?...
There is a solution!"

Protected by GIANT Company's Spam Inspector
The most powerful anti-spam software available.
http://www.giantcompany.com

"Ade" <afuller@fcd.co.uk> wrote in message
news:he7Me.10484$wh6.4771@newsfe2-win.ntli.net...
>
> "G-Text" <grumbletext@grumbletext.com> wrote in message
> news:c%6Me.10375$1F5.3437@newsfe4-win.ntli.net...
>> Waters And Stanton
>>
>> I purchased a scanner radio from this company and have had nothing
>> but trouble with them since.
>> I first ordered a Icom R5 for ?89.00p (used) I paid for this using
>> my wife's debit card, it arrived on 3/8/05. I sent it back to them on the
>> 4/8/05, they recieved it on the 5/8/05.
>> I requested the debit card be refunded with the ?89.00p. I was
>> assured via email from a "Nigel McAlpine" that, and I quote .....
>>
> *RUBBISH DELETED*
>>
>>
> Never read so much rubbish. I have done business with Waters & Stanton
> numerous times with no trouble, and so have thousands of others. If *YOU*
> bought something and changed your mind then that's your problem. They
> don't offer a try before you buy service like catalogue shops.
> Please don't keep us posted, no one is interested. If you had a case you
> wouldn't be shouting about it here. I think you have probably been told
> exactly what the returns policy is and have been unable to bully them into
> doing things *your* way. I hope they sue you.
> Waters & Stanton is a perfectly reputable company, which is why they are
> well known. Moaning old people tend to be forgotten about, so give it a
> rest.

> Please also check your newsreader settings as you have posted to a lot of
> groups, so I have replied to the ones you used. You will now be placed in
> the killfile where you belong.
>
>
>

3269. Re: BEWARE...Waters and Stanton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-15 21:00:01 UTC
From: G-Text <grumbletext@grumbletext.com>
Message-ID: <ln7Me.4665$2C5.985@newsfe1-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 12531
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.amateur-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

Hi Ken
I checked with them by phone 4 times and they are certain that my wifes card
was refunded the ?89.00p on the 3rd
wierd
cheers

--

"Are you still wasting your time with spam?...
There is a solution!"

Protected by GIANT Company's Spam Inspector
The most powerful anti-spam software available.
http://www.giantcompany.com

"Ken Taylor" <ken123@xtra.co.nz> wrote in message
news:5i7Me.3125$iM2.282887@news.xtra.co.nz...
> "G-Text" <grumbletext@grumbletext.com> wrote in message
> news:c%6Me.10375$1F5.3437@newsfe4-win.ntli.net...
>> Waters And Stanton
>>
>> I purchased a scanner radio from this company and have had nothing
>> but trouble with them since.
>> I first ordered a Icom R5 for ?89.00p (used) I paid for this using
>> my wife's debit card, it arrived on 3/8/05. I sent it back to them on the
>> 4/8/05, they recieved it on the 5/8/05.
>> I requested the debit card be refunded with the ?89.00p. I was
>> assured via email from a "Nigel McAlpine" that, and I quote .....
>> ----- Original Message -----
>> From: "Nigel McAlpine" <mcalpinen@wsplc.com>
>> To: "**********"
>> Sent: Saturday, August 06, 2005 2:47 PM
>> Subject: RE: My order
>>
>>
>> > Thank you for your e-mail.
>> >
>> > We can confirm that your order has been cancelled and a refund
>> > of ?89.00 will be refunded to your card account as requested.
>> >
>> > Kind regards.
>> >

>> > Nigel McAlpine
>> >
>> > Waters & Stanton PLC
>> > Tel: 01702206835 Fax:01702205843
>> > Web: www.wsplc.com
>> > E-mail: nigel.mcalpine@wsplc.com <mailto:nigel.mcalpine@wsplc.com>
>>
>> I telephoned them on the Monday only to be told that the money was
>> debited to my wifes card on the 3/8/05 ??????
>> I don't know how they managed this as I did not send the radio back
>> untill the 4/8/05.
>> I was also charged for "next day delivery" it did not arrive, I
>> phoned them and it had not even left the store, (another rip off) and
>> lies.
>> Now it is the 16/8/05 and still the ?89.00p has NOT been debited to
>> my wife's debit card.
>> I emailed them today, but they do not have the decency to reply.
>> I shall give it till Wednesday and if I do not hear from them or
>> have not received the ?89.00p I shall pass the matter over to the Trading
>> Standards Department.
>>
>> I shall keep you posted about this rip-off company.
>>
>>
> Was the claim that it was "debited on 3/8/05" a misunderstanding - that
> would appear to be the day they would have debited your card for the sale,
> not credit for the refund. There may just be a misunderstanding so maybe
> check again that the boss knows there should be a *refund*, rather than
> just the original debit.
>
> Good luck.
>
> Ken
>
>

3270. Re: BEWARE...Waters and Stanton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-15 21:07:32 UTC
From: hgr <hgr@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ou7Me.4682$2C5.3424@newsfe1-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 12532
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.amateur-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

--

"Are you still wasting your time with spam?...
There is a solution!"

Protected by GIANT Company's Spam Inspector
The most powerful anti-spam software available.
http://www.giantcompany.com

"Ade" <afuller@fcd.co.uk> wrote in message
news:he7Me.10484$wh6.4771@newsfe2-win.ntli.net...
>
> "G-Text" <grumbletext@grumbletext.com> wrote in message
> news:c%6Me.10375$1F5.3437@newsfe4-win.ntli.net...
>> Waters And Stanton
>>
>> I purchased a scanner radio from this company and have had nothing
>> but trouble with them since.
>> I first ordered a Icom R5 for ?89.00p (used) I paid for this using
>> my wife's debit card, it arrived on 3/8/05. I sent it back to them on the
>> 4/8/05, they recieved it on the 5/8/05.
>> I requested the debit card be refunded with the ?89.00p. I was
>> assured via email from a "Nigel McAlpine" that, and I quote .....
>>
> *RUBBISH DELETED*
>>
>>
> Never read so much rubbish. I have done business with Waters & Stanton
> numerous times with no trouble, and so have thousands of others. If *YOU*
> bought something and changed your mind then that's your problem. They
> don't offer a try before you buy service like catalogue shops.
> Please don't keep us posted, no one is interested. If you had a case you
> wouldn't be shouting about it here. I think you have probably been told
> exactly what the returns policy is and have been unable to bully them into
> doing things *your* way. I hope they sue you.
> Waters & Stanton is a perfectly reputable company, which is why they are
> well known. Moaning old people tend to be forgotten about, so give it a
> rest.
> Please also check your newsreader settings as you have posted to a lot of
> groups, so I have replied to the ones you used. You will now be placed in
> the killfile where you belong.

>
>
>
I wonder if this guy "ade" works for W/S :-))
I tried them once, they were not much help.
If they owe you money, give the trading standards a call
ghr

3271. Re: BEWARE...Waters and Stanton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-15 21:29:29 UTC
From: G-Text <grumbletext@grumbletext.com>
Message-ID: <ZO7Me.10428$1F5.8444@newsfe4-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 12533
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.amateur-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

--

"Are you still wasting your time with spam?...
There is a solution!"

Protected by GIANT Company's Spam Inspector
The most powerful anti-spam software available.
http://www.giantcompany.com

"Ade" <afuller@fcd.co.uk> wrote in message
news:he7Me.10484$wh6.4771@newsfe2-win.ntli.net...
>
> "G-Text" <grumbletext@grumbletext.com> wrote in message
> news:c%6Me.10375$1F5.3437@newsfe4-win.ntli.net...
>> Waters And Stanton
>>
>> I purchased a scanner radio from this company and have had nothing
>> but trouble with them since.
>> I first ordered a Icom R5 for ?89.00p (used) I paid for this using
>> my wife's debit card, it arrived on 3/8/05. I sent it back to them on the
>> 4/8/05, they recieved it on the 5/8/05.
>> I requested the debit card be refunded with the ?89.00p. I was
>> assured via email from a "Nigel McAlpine" that, and I quote .....
>>
> *RUBBISH DELETED*
>>
>>
> Never read so much rubbish. I have done business with Waters & Stanton
> numerous times with no trouble, and so have thousands of others. If *YOU*
> bought something and changed your mind then that's your problem. They
> don't offer a try before you buy service like catalogue shops.
> Please don't keep us posted, no one is interested. If you had a case you
> wouldn't be shouting about it here. I think you have probably been told
> exactly what the returns policy is and have been unable to bully them into
> doing things *your* way. I hope they sue you.
> Waters & Stanton is a perfectly reputable company, which is why they are
> well known. Moaning old people tend to be forgotten about, so give it a
> rest.
> Please also check your newsreader settings as you have posted to a lot of
> groups, so I have replied to the ones you used. You will now be placed in
> the killfile where you belong.

>
>
>
I see you deleted the most important part, that is, the confirmation email from them stating that the money WAS being refunded.
I am not bullying them at all, I returned the scanner under the 7 day returns policy because it was "deaf" end of story. I now await my very long overdue refund, what's your problem.
G-Text

3272. Re: BEWARE...Waters and Stanton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-15 21:33:13 UTC
From: Ken Taylor <ken123@xtra.co.nz>
Message-ID: <uS7Me.3135$iM2.283934@news.xtra.co.nz>
Source record: 12534
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.amateur-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

I'd be checking with the bank as well. Unless they are different beasts in UK, they have been known to have sticky fingers and not pass on money as quickly as one would otherwise hope.

Ken

"G-Text" <grumbletext@grumbletext.com> wrote in message
news:ln7Me.4665$2C5.985@newsfe1-win.ntli.net...
> Hi Ken
> I checked with them by phone 4 times and they are certain that my wifes
> card was refunded the &#65533;89.00p on the 3rd
> wierd
> cheers
>
>
> --
>
>
> ---
> "Are you still wasting your time with spam?...
> There is a solution!"
>
> Protected by GIANT Company's Spam Inspector
> The most powerful anti-spam software available.
> http://www.giantcompany.com
>
>
> "Ken Taylor" <ken123@xtra.co.nz> wrote in message
> news:5i7Me.3125$iM2.282887@news.xtra.co.nz...
>> "G-Text" <grumbletext@grumbletext.com> wrote in message
>> news:c%6Me.10375$1F5.3437@newsfe4-win.ntli.net...
>>> Waters And Stanton
>>>
>>> I purchased a scanner radio from this company and have had nothing
>>> but trouble with them since.
>>> I first ordered a Icom R5 for &#65533;89.00p (used) I paid for this using
>>> my wife's debit card, it arrived on 3/8/05. I sent it back to them on
>>> the 4/8/05, they recieved it on the 5/8/05.
>>> I requested the debit card be refunded with the &#65533;89.00p. I was
>>> assured via email from a "Nigel McAlpine" that, and I quote .....
>>> ----- Original Message -----
>>> From: "Nigel McAlpine" <mcalpinen@wsplc.com>

>>> To: "**********"
>>> Sent: Saturday, August 06, 2005 2:47 PM
>>> Subject: RE: My order
>>>
>>>
>>> > Thank you for your e-mail.
>>> >
>>> > We can confirm that your order has been cancelled and a refund
>>> > of ?89.00 will be refunded to your card account as requested.
>>> >
>>> > Kind regards.
>>> >
>>> > Nigel McAlpine
>>> >
>>> > Waters & Stanton PLC
>>> > Tel: 01702206835 Fax:01702205843
>>> > Web: www.wsplc.com
>>> > E-mail: nigel.mcalpine@wsplc.com
>>> <mailto:nigel.mcalpine@wsplc.com>
>>>
>>> I telephoned them on the Monday only to be told that the money was
>>> debited to my wifes card on the 3/8/05 ??????
>>> I don't know how they managed this as I did not send the radio back
>>> untill the 4/8/05.
>>> I was also charged for "next day delivery" it did not arrive, I
>>> phoned them and it had not even left the store, (another rip off) and
>>> lies.
>>> Now it is the 16/8/05 and still the ?89.00p has NOT been debited to
>>> my wife's debit card.
>>> I emailed them today, but they do not have the decency to reply.
>>> I shall give it till Wednesday and if I do not hear from them or
>>> have not received the ?89.00p I shall pass the matter over to the
>>> Trading Standards Department.
>>>
>>> I shall keep you posted about this rip-off company.
>>>
>>>
>> Was the claim that it was "debited on 3/8/05" a misunderstanding - that
>> would appear to be the day they would have debited your card for the
>> sale, not credit for the refund. There may just be a misunderstanding so
>> maybe check again that the boss knows there should be a *refund*, rather
>> than just the original debit.
>>
>> Good luck.
>>
>> Ken
>>
>>
>

>

3273. Re: BEWARE...Waters and Stanton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-15 21:34:49 UTC
From: G-Text <grumbletext@grumbletext.com>
Message-ID: <ZT7Me.7377$QU4.3610@newsfe5-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 12536
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.amateur-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

Hi Ken, yes, done that. they state that no transaction for a refund has been recieved by the bank.
Ah well, we shall give it a few more days.
It's ridiculous though as it takes them all this time, and yet about 3 months ago I had cause to return a faulty item to Maplins, they had no more in stock, offered me a refund and it was back in my bank in under 48 hours, so far it has taken W/S 13 days, and still nothing :-((
cheers

--

"Are you still wasting your time with spam?...
There is a solution!"

Protected by GIANT Company's Spam Inspector
The most powerful anti-spam software available.
http://www.giantcompany.com

"Ken Taylor" <ken123@xtra.co.nz> wrote in message
news:uS7Me.3135$iM2.283934@news.xtra.co.nz...
> I'd be checking with the bank as well. Unless they are different beasts in
> UK, they have been known to have sticky fingers and not pass on money as
> quickly as one would otherwise hope.
>
> Ken
>
> "G-Text" <grumbletext@grumbletext.com> wrote in message
> news:ln7Me.4665$2C5.985@newsfe1-win.ntli.net...
>> Hi Ken
>> I checked with them by phone 4 times and they are certain that my wifes
>> card was refunded the ?89.00p on the 3rd
>> wierd
>> cheers
>>
>>
>> --
>>
>>
>> ---
>> "Are you still wasting your time with spam?...
>> There is a solution!"
>>

>> Protected by GIANT Company's Spam Inspector
>> The most powerful anti-spam software available.
>> http://www.giantcompany.com
>>
>>
>> "Ken Taylor" <ken123@xtra.co.nz> wrote in message
>> news:5i7Me.3125$iM2.282887@news.xtra.co.nz...
>>> "G-Text" <grumbletext@grumbletext.com> wrote in message
>>> news:c%6Me.10375$1F5.3437@newsfe4-win.ntli.net...
>>>> Waters And Stanton
>>>>
>>>> I purchased a scanner radio from this company and have had nothing
>>>> but trouble with them since.
>>>> I first ordered a Icom R5 for ?89.00p (used) I paid for this using
>>>> my wife's debit card, it arrived on 3/8/05. I sent it back to them on
>>>> the 4/8/05, they recieved it on the 5/8/05.
>>>> I requested the debit card be refunded with the ?89.00p. I was
>>>> assured via email from a "Nigel McAlpine" that, and I quote .....
>>>> ----- Original Message -----
>>>> From: "Nigel McAlpine" <mcalpinen@wsplc.com>
>>>> To: "**********"
>>>> Sent: Saturday, August 06, 2005 2:47 PM
>>>> Subject: RE: My order
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> > Thank you for your e-mail.
>>>> >
>>>> > We can confirm that your order has been cancelled and a refund
>>>> > of ?89.00 will be refunded to your card account as requested.
>>>> >
>>>> > Kind regards.
>>>> >
>>>> > Nigel McAlpine
>>>> >
>>>> > Waters & Stanton PLC
>>>> > Tel: 01702206835 Fax:01702205843
>>>> > Web: www.wsplc.com
>>>> > E-mail: nigel.mcalpine@wsplc.com
>>>> <mailto:nigel.mcalpine@wsplc.com>
>>>>
>>>> I telephoned them on the Monday only to be told that the money was
>>>> debited to my wifes card on the 3/8/05 ??????
>>>> I don't know how they managed this as I did not send the radio
>>>> back untill the 4/8/05.
>>>> I was also charged for "next day delivery" it did not arrive, I
>>>> phoned them and it had not even left the store, (another rip off) and
>>>> lies.
>>>> Now it is the 16/8/05 and still the ?89.00p has NOT been debited
>>>> to my wife's debit card.

>>>> I emailed them today, but they do not have the decency to reply.
>>>> I shall give it till Wednesday and if I do not hear from them or
>>>> have not received the £89.00p I shall pass the matter over to the
>>>> Trading Standards Department.
>>>>
>>>> I shall keep you posted about this rip-off company.
>>>>
>>>>
>>> Was the claim that it was "debited on 3/8/05" a misunderstanding - that
>>> would appear to be the day they would have debited your card for the
>>> sale, not credit for the refund. There may just be a misunderstanding so
>>> maybe check again that the boss knows there should be a *refund*, rather
>>> than just the original debit.
>>>
>>> Good luck.
>>>
>>> Ken
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

3274. Re: BEWARE...Waters and Stanton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-15 21:35:11 UTC
From: Frank Turner-Smith G3VKI <g3vki@turner-smith.co.uk>
Message-ID: <jU7Me.6943$9b6.6569@newsfe3-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 12535
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.amateur-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

G-Text wrote:
> Hi Ken
> I checked with them by phone 4 times and they are certain that my wifes card
> was refunded the ï¿½89.00p on the 3rd
> wierd
> cheers
>
>
The problem could be with the card company, have you contacted them?
--
;-)
73 de Frank Turner-Smith G3VKI - mine's a pint.
http://turner-smith.co.uk

3275. Re: BEWARE...Waters and Stanton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-15 22:05:29 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Jk8Me.2144$ZG2.914877@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 12537
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.amateur-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

Lesson learned here....

ALWAYS use a regular CREDIT CARD for these transactions. Period.

Had you done that, either the refund process would have been easier, or, you could have directed your spam to the credit card company in the form of a dispute, instead of whining about it here.

Using copies of your e-mails from W & S, you would have had a SOLID credit dispute and the CC company would have to credit the amount and investigate the matter thoroughly on your behalf.

I assume the Fair Credit laws are similar in the UK to what we have here in the US.

Dan

3276. Re: Realistic Pro-83 Scanner review?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-15 22:25:08 UTC
From: "mikem@evenlink.com" <mikem@evenlink.com>
Message-ID: <1124144708.147799.250440@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12538

HI,
The 25th wedding anniversary came up and the Wife bought me one. I
am learning how to use it as I write this.

Mike

3277. Re: Realistic Pro-83 Scanner review?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-15 22:25:09 UTC
From: "mikem@evenlink.com" <mikem@evenlink.com>
Message-ID: <1124144708.976817.195020@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12539

HI,
The 25th wedding anniversary came up and the Wife bought me one. I
am learning how to use it as I write this.

Mike

3278. Re: BEWARE...Waters and Stanton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-15 22:31:38 UTC
From: JC <johncalias-newsgroupsATyahooD0TcoD0Tuk>
Message-ID: <mf52g1pig1ffn03amdkkt3bdhrf2t64dik@4ax.com>
Source record: 12541
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.amateur-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

On Mon, 15 Aug 2005 20:34:16 GMT, "G-Text" <grumbletext@grumbletext.com>
wrote:

> Waters And Stanton
>
> I purchased a scanner radio from this company and have had nothing but
>trouble with them since.
[Snipped complaint and personal email]

Well, I have to speak as I find ..and in my recent dealings with W&S, they
have been excellent.

I purchased a used Yaesu VR500 from them after spending about 90 minutes
trying various other scanners, together with a micro mag mount and a gainer
rubber duck.

After a couple of days at home, it became apparent that I required some
additional functionality that was offered by one of the other models I
tried, together with another antenna, larger than the micro mag mount.

I returned the scanner, micro mag mount and gainer rubber duck and
exchanged the lot for a new Uniden Bearcat UBC3300XLT, together with desk
top dipole.

I got a full refund on everything I bought, without quibble against the
subsequent purchases.

The staff were helpful and courteous at all times...and I left the store
thinking "That's what I call service"!!

I would definitely recommend them to anyone and would not hesitate to use
them again!!
--

Regards

John [Essex, UK]
Remove the obvious spamtrap to reply

3279. Re: Icom R2 software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-15 22:31:53 UTC
From: Bob Parnass <not-for-mail@fake.invalid>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.15.22.31.52.926487@fake.invalid>
Source record: 12540
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 15 Aug 2005 21:53:07 +0200, Canonian wrote:

> Has anyone Windows based software available for the Icom IC-R2 scanning
> radio ???
>
> Barry

You are welcome to use tk2, the free, open source software
I wrote for the IC-R2. It works on Linux, Windows, MacOS X,
and other systems.

See http://parnass.com for screen shots, downloading,
and more info.

--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S GNU/Linux User http://parnass.com

3280. Re: BEWARE...Waters and Stanton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-15 23:52:08 UTC
From: Wankel Rotary <no@no.yes.no.yes.no.org>
Message-ID: <IU9Me.10557$1F5.4364@newsfe4-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 12542
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.amateur-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

G-Text wrote:
> Waters And Stanton
>
> I purchased a scanner radio from this company and have had nothing but
> trouble with them since.
> I first ordered a Icom R5 for ï¿½89.00p (used) I paid for this using my
> wife's debit card, it arrived on 3/8/05. I sent it back to them on the
> 4/8/05, they recieved it on the 5/8/05.
> I requested the debit card be refunded with the ï¿½89.00p. I was assured
> via email from a "Nigel McAlpine" that, and I quote .....

Next time - why not use the telephone - despite our advancing
technologies and the growth of internet purchasing, gripes, complaints
and problems are often better dealt with by actually SPEAKING with someone.

WR.

3281. Re: Icom R2 software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-15 23:53:51 UTC
From: SamSez <samtheman@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <jW9Me.152$zb.97@trndny04>
Source record: 12543

"Canonian" <f@f.ff> wrote in message
news:ddqrph$n9n$1@news5.zwoll1.ov.home.nl...
> Hi,
>
> Has anyone Windows based software available for the Icom IC-R2 scanning
> radio ???
>
> Barry
>
>

several versions at:

http://www.thiecom.de/ftp/icom/icr2/software/win/

3282. Re: Popular Communications Years 1990-1994

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-15 23:58:28 UTC
From: "Mr. Man with the Master Plan" <fist@your.face.net>
Message-ID: <X_9Me.11698$9v3.10186@fe09.lga>
Source record: 12544

ok, let me try a different approach......

Because why?

I actually have from 1990-present, why do you want em?

Ill givem to ya, just curiuos to knwo why you need so much bathroom reading?

"Frank Bals" <fjb@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:rUPLe.607688$cg1.333744@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Because.....
>
>
>
> "Mr. Man with the Master Plan" <fist@your.face.net> wrote in message
> news:S_mLe.29673$Kx6.25964@fe12.lga...
>> why?
>>
>>
>> "Frank Bals" <fjb@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
>> news:9UyJe.565803$cg1.276219@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>>> Looking for as many back issues as possible between these years.
>>> Complete years would be nice, but I will take partial years. Let me
>>> know.
>>>
>>>
>>> Frank
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

3283. Re: BEWARE...Waters and Stanton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-16 00:07:58 UTC
From: chaz <chaznsc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <y7aMe.6045$RZ2.2067@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 12545
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.amateur-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

"G-Text" <grumbletext@grumbletext.com> wrote in message
news:ul7Me.4660$2C5.3035@newsfe1-win.ntli.net...
> Suit yourself pal, just telling people that they are in no hurry to refund
> your money, by the way, the returns policy is 7 days no quibble, although
> I expect you know about that if you have been dealing with them for years,
> eh

Debit/Credit refunds dont just happen. You need to exercise some level of
patience. To date, you havent. I understand your frustration, but you arent
correct here.

>
>
> --
>
>
> ---
> "Are you still wasting your time with spam?...
> There is a solution!"
>
> Protected by GIANT Company's Spam Inspector
> The most powerful anti-spam software available.
> http://www.giantcompany.com
>
>
> "Ade" <afuller@fcd.co.uk> wrote in message
> news:he7Me.10484$wh6.4771@newsfe2-win.ntli.net...
>>
>> "G-Text" <grumbletext@grumbletext.com> wrote in message
>> news:c%6Me.10375$1F5.3437@newsfe4-win.ntli.net...
>>> Waters And Stanton
>>>
>>> I purchased a scanner radio from this company and have had nothing
>>> but trouble with them since.
>>> I first ordered a Icom R5 for 89.00p (used) I paid for this using
>>> my wife's debit card, it arrived on 3/8/05. I sent it back to them on
>>> the 4/8/05, they recieved it on the 5/8/05.
>>> I requested the debit card be refunded with the 89.00p. I was
>>> assured via email from a "Nigel McAlpine" that, and I quote .....
>>>
>> *RUBBISH DELETED*
>>>

>>>
>> Never read so much rubbish. I have done business with Waters & Stanton
>> numerous times with no trouble, and so have thousands of others. If
>> *YOU* bought something and changed your mind then that's your problem.
>> They don't offer a try before you buy service like catalogue shops.
>> Please don't keep us posted, no one is interested. If you had a case you
>> wouldn't be shouting about it here. I think you have probably been told
>> exactly what the returns policy is and have been unable to bully them
>> into doing things *your* way. I hope they sue you.
>> Waters & Stanton is a perfectly reputable company, which is why they are
>> well known. Moaning old people tend to be forgotten about, so give it a
>> rest.
>> Please also check your newsreader settings as you have posted to a lot of
>> groups, so I have replied to the ones you used. You will now be placed
>> in the killfile where you belong.
>>
>>
>>
>
>

3284. Re: BEWARE...Waters and Stanton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-16 00:50:06 UTC
From: Paul R <yaesuradio@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <2LaMe.6984$9b6.2076@newsfe3-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 12546
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.amateur-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

<<<SNIP>>>

I have bought a few items from them over the years, and cannot remember a problem, apart from the one at "Lowes" Matlock... where they cant be bothered !

Problem is, only the irate customer will moan on the newsgoups.... there must be hundreds of happy ones ? Shame the moaners are the ones who get remembered...

3285. Scanner Antenna in Tree

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-16 00:55:42 UTC
From: warrenshudson@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1124153742.598548.198100@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12547

Hi. I live in a wooded park like neighborhood in suburban detroit mi. Birmingham to be exact. My neighbor gave me a huge live pine tree that is probabaly close to 100' feet tall, at least exactly double the high voltage wires on the power pole's height. I am in the top ten percent of trees height for many miles, but not eneugh to see the horizon. I am going to mount a scanner antenna and a tv antenna in this tree for my scanner and tv/fm use. Can anyone give me some reccomendations as to how to do this? Thanks, Bud

3286. Re: BEWARE...Waters and Stanton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-16 01:27:26 UTC
From: Chaney <chaney@i12.com>
Message-ID: <2ibMe.5894$0u2.953@fe2.news.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 12548
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.amateur-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

I've read with interest...not just your original post...but...all the replies so far...and as you have cross posted this to mainly scanner groups I can only assume that you are not a licensed amateur...I wonder on what you have based your comparison???...I mean to say...when you say deaf you have compared it to another receiver!!!...I wonder what receiver you have bought instead!!!...

--

Chaney

Chaney's World
www.chaney.i12.com

"G-Text" <grumbletext@grumbletext.com> wrote in message
news:c%6Me.10375$1F5.3437@newsfe4-win.ntli.net...
> Waters And Stanton
>
> I purchased a scanner radio from this company and have had nothing
> but trouble with them since.
> I first ordered a Icom R5 for £89.00p (used) I paid for this using my
> wife's debit card, it arrived on 3/8/05. I sent it back to them on the
> 4/8/05, they recieved it on the 5/8/05.
> I requested the debit card be refunded with the £89.00p. I was
> assured via email from a "Nigel McAlpine" that, and I quote .....
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Nigel McAlpine" <mcalpinen@wsplc.com>
> To: "**********"
> Sent: Saturday, August 06, 2005 2:47 PM
> Subject: RE: My order
>
>
> > Thank you for your e-mail.
> >
> > We can confirm that your order has been cancelled and a refund
> > of £89.00 will be refunded to your card account as requested.
> >
> > Kind regards.
> >
> > Nigel McAlpine
> >
> > Waters & Stanton PLC
> > Tel: 01702206835 Fax:01702205843

> > Web: www.wsplc.com
> > E-mail: nigel.mcalpine@wsplc.com <mailto:nigel.mcalpine@wsplc.com>
>
> I telephoned them on the Monday only to be told that the money was
> debited to my wifes card on the 3/8/05 ??????
> I don't know how they managed this as I did not send the radio back
> untill the 4/8/05.
> I was also charged for "next day delivery" it did not arrive, I
> phoned them and it had not even left the store, (another rip off) and
> lies.
> Now it is the 16/8/05 and still the ?89.00p has NOT been debited to
> my wife's debit card.
> I emailed them today, but they do not have the decency to reply.
> I shall give it till Wednesday and if I do not hear from them or have
> not received the ?89.00p I shall pass the matter over to the Trading
> Standards Department.
>
> I shall keep you posted about this rip-off company.
>
>
> --
>
>
> ---
> "Are you still wasting your time with spam?...
> There is a solution!"
>
> Protected by GIANT Company's Spam Inspector
> The most powerful anti-spam software available.
> http://www.giantcompany.com
>
>
>

3287. Re: Scanner Antenna in Tree

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-16 03:37:11 UTC
From: warrenshudson@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1124163431.679610.28990@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12549

haha she dosent care, and said that the aerial wires can go from the tree to my radio hut in the air. I wish it could be moved

3288. Price reduced.....$125 plus shipping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-16 04:02:50 UTC
From: Frank Bals <fjb@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <KzdMe.102891$5N3.88573@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 12550

"Frank Bals" <fjb@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:dxPLe.607563$cg1.228517@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Excellent condition. Bright backlight. Has the cellular modification,
> scan/search speed increase, tighter squelch, and extra 100 channels.
> Original box and manual. I will include a external 12VDC adapter I use to
> eliminlate heat inside the radio.
>
> Price is $175 shipped in CONUS
>

3289. Re: Software Development Questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-16 04:08:20 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <aep2g1tv0e7qhskegh7mv0sbh63be08obe@4ax.com>
Source record: 12401
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 4 Aug 2005 19:21:11 -0700, "Zombie Wolf" <zmbwf@gwi.net> said
in alt.radio.scanner:

>Having it compile a microsoft database for you would be a bit arduous to
>program

That's one of the trivial programming tasks these days. Designing the
database usually takes longer.

> but would certainly be a nice feature, hit counters, and of course,
>putting the tones info into the mp3 tag would be super, etc. writing such a
>program under vb6 , or net if you are into it

Please, no. Those of us who are forced to use Dot Not have to keep
the latest version loaded. Since MicroThought can't seem to make
their frameworks compatible with each other (I can no longer run
programs written under 1.x), that's just one more reason to listen to
Nancy Regan.

3290. Re: Software Development Questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-16 04:15:53 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3sp2g192e225ol11t5egcsiuoefok8u545@4ax.com>
Source record: 12402
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 05 Aug 2005 00:10:42 -0700, Dave <SRPro@nospam.com> said in
alt.radio.scanner:

>But IMHO, it's not safe to update the headers (which is
>necessary to append more audio) of the .WAV or .MP3 file
>that's already been closed because the computer could die in
>the middle of the update and that might leave the file
>crippled.

Same problem text editors have. You update a copy of the file,
deleting and renaming only after a successful update. (Which is why
Word leaves ~garbage.doc files around.)

> Manipulating the previously saved headers can
>invite other problems too, such as adding a lot of disk
>seeking and CPU time to reopen the file for appending after
>it's already been closed. Appending more audio requires a
>read-scan of the entire file in order to locate and change
>the existing audio (RIFF) headers.

My computer can do video decode at 150fps or better, while streaming
audio to the web. I don't think CPU power is something we have to
worry about with files or audio any longer.

Unlike Zombie Wolf, I don't have any pressing projects at the moment,
so if you'd like a collaborator, let me know. :)

3291. Re: Icom R2 software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-16 04:54:53 UTC
From: Canonian <f@f.ff>
Message-ID: <ddrrj0klv1@news4.zwoll1.ov.home.nl>
Source record: 12403

"SamSez" <samtheman@verizon.net> schreef in bericht
news:jW9Me.152$zb.97@trndny04...
>
> "Canonian" <f@f.ff> wrote in message
> news:ddqrph$n9n$1@news5.zwoll1.ov.home.nl...
> > Hi,
> >
> > Has anyone Windows based software available for the Icom IC-R2 scanning
> > radio ???
> >
> > Barry
> >
> >
>
> several versions at:
>
> http://www.thiecom.de/ftp/icom/icr2/software/win/
>
>

ok,

thnx

Barry

3292. Re: BEWARE...Waters and Stanton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-16 06:56:42 UTC
From: Llareggub <llareggub@hotmail.co.uk>
Message-ID: <p7gMe.7260$CF.49700@news-1.opaltelecom.net>
Source record: 12404
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.amateur-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

"Ade" <afuller@fcd.co.uk> wrote in message
news:he7Me.10484$wh6.4771@newsfe2-win.ntli.net...
>
> "G-Text" <grumbletext@grumbletext.com> wrote in message
> news:c%6Me.10375$1F5.3437@newsfe4-win.ntli.net...
> >
> Never read so much rubbish. I have done business with Waters & Stanton
> numerous times with no trouble, and so have thousands of others. If *YOU*
> bought something and changed your mind then that's >your problem.

Read the Distance Selling Regulations which cover transactions of this type.
They offer protection for purchasers including the facility to return goods
after they have been received.

3293. Re: BEWARE...Waters and Stanton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-16 07:04:34 UTC
From: Conrad Poos <poos@hamsexual.com>
Message-ID: <6egMe.6740$0u2.3878@fe2.news.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 12405
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.amateur-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

G-Text wrote:

>
> I shall keep you posted about this rip-off company.

After 'experiences' with the competition, I have always used Waters and Stanton and have spent a lot of money with them over the years without a single problem. I have always found them to be polite, friendly and helpful.

Considering the high number of transactions that your bank, credit card company and W&S make each week, its inevitable that one or two of those transactions are going to go wrong now and again...its just one of those things.

However, your attitude is going to make a big difference in resolving the situation. Having a x-posting temper tantrum on usenet isn't the best way to deal with the situation and it isn't going to stop me buying stuff from W&S.

Have a little patience, discuss the problem with your bank and W&S in a polite and non-accusing manner, and I'm sure that before long you'll be happy to demonstrate your personal integrity by x-posting once again to tell us that W&S wasn't to blame after all.

Poos

--
vy 73 de Conrad Poos

"Ich bin ein radio amateur"

3294. Re: BEWARE...Waters and Stanton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-16 07:42:52 UTC
From: EL84 <el84@nospam.aol.com>
Message-ID: <3mdjo3F16h51nU1@individual.net>
Source record: 12406
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.amateur-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

"Ade" <afuller@fcd.co.uk> wrote in message
news:he7Me.10484$wh6.4771@newsfe2-win.ntli.net...
> Never read so much rubbish. I have done business with Waters & Stanton
> numerous times with no trouble,

I think you must be a company stooge, or arse licker, I have dealt with the company three times, once they got my order correct, the other two times were a disaster, a 33% success rate and you call that good ! wrong items arrived LATE, and refunds took an age to return to my account. I buy direct from the states now, far cheaper even with postage, and plenty of change over compared to the rip off Britain emporiums.

3295. Re: BEWARE...Waters and Stanton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-16 08:18:30 UTC
From: G-Text <grumbletext@grumbletext.com>
Message-ID: <qjhMe.10547$JB4.3652@newsfe6-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 12407
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.amateur-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

Use the telephone!!!!
I have phoned them EVERY DAY, sometimes twice a day, since they received
the radio back, and still I am getting no-where.
G-Text

--

"Are you still wasting your time with spam?...
There is a solution!"

Protected by GIANT Company's Spam Inspector
The most powerful anti-spam software available.
http://www.giantcompany.com

"Wankel Rotary" <no@no.yes.no.yes.no.org> wrote in message
news:IU9Me.10557$1F5.4364@newsfe4-win.ntli.net...
> G-Text wrote:
>> Waters And Stanton
>>
>> I purchased a scanner radio from this company and have had nothing
>> but trouble with them since.
>> I first ordered a Icom R5 for £89.00p (used) I paid for this using
>> my wife's debit card, it arrived on 3/8/05. I sent it back to them on the
>> 4/8/05, they recieved it on the 5/8/05.
>> I requested the debit card be refunded with the £89.00p. I was
>> assured via email from a "Nigel McAlpine" that, and I quote .....
>
> Next time - why not use the telephone - despite our advancing technologies
> and the growth of internet purchasing, gripes, complaints and problems are
> often better dealt with by actually SPEAKING with someone.
>
> WR.

3296. Re: BEWARE...Waters and Stanton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-16 08:24:50 UTC
From: G-Text <grumbletext@grumbletext.com>
Message-ID: <mphMe.10549$JB4.7606@newsfe6-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 12408
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.amateur-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

I have had numerous radios, scanners over the years and normally test them
on the Bristol Airport ATIS, all my previous scanners etc could receive this
on the supplied stock antenna, then on the base antenna, all the scanners
would obviously show a greater signal reading. This R5 could not pick it up
at all. and only just about pick it up on the base antenna (no, the att was
not on) I compared it straight away with my VR-500 on the same antenna, and
the vr500 showed full signal.
cheers

--

"Are you still wasting your time with spam?...
There is a solution!"

Protected by GIANT Company's Spam Inspector
The most powerful anti-spam software available.
http://www.giantcompany.com

"Chaney" <chaney@i12.com> wrote in message
news:2ibMe.5894$0u2.953@fe2.news.blueyonder.co.uk...
> I've read with interest...not just your original post...but...all the
> replies so far...and as you have cross posted this to mainly scanner
> groups I can only assume that you are not a licensed amateur...I wonder on
> what you have based your comparison???...I mean to say...when you say deaf
> you have compared it to another receiver!!!...I wonder what receiver you
> have bought instead!!!...
>
> --
>
> Chaney
>
> Chaney's World
> www.chaney.i12.com
>
> "G-Text" <grumbletext@grumbletext.com> wrote in message
> news:c%6Me.10375$1F5.3437@newsfe4-win.ntli.net...
>> Waters And Stanton
>>
>> I purchased a scanner radio from this company and have had nothing
>> but trouble with them since.
>> I first ordered a Icom R5 for £89.00p (used) I paid for this using
>> my wife's debit card, it arrived on 3/8/05. I sent it back to them on the

>> 4/8/05, they recieved it on the 5/8/05.
>> I requested the debit card be refunded with the £89.00p. I was
>> assured via email from a "Nigel McAlpine" that, and I quote .....
>> ----- Original Message -----
>> From: "Nigel McAlpine" <mcalpinen@wsplc.com>
>> To: "**********"
>> Sent: Saturday, August 06, 2005 2:47 PM
>> Subject: RE: My order
>>
>>
>> > Thank you for your e-mail.
>> >
>> > We can confirm that your order has been cancelled and a refund
>> > of £89.00 will be refunded to your card account as requested.
>> >
>> > Kind regards.
>> >
>> > Nigel McAlpine
>> >
>> > Waters & Stanton PLC
>> > Tel: 01702206835 Fax:01702205843
>> > Web: www.wsplc.com
>> > E-mail: nigel.mcalpine@wsplc.com <mailto:nigel.mcalpine@wsplc.com>
>>
>> I telephoned them on the Monday only to be told that the money was
>> debited to my wifes card on the 3/8/05 ??????
>> I don't know how they managed this as I did not send the radio back
>> untill the 4/8/05.
>> I was also charged for "next day delivery" it did not arrive, I
>> phoned them and it had not even left the store, (another rip off) and
>> lies.
>> Now it is the 16/8/05 and still the £89.00p has NOT been debited to
>> my wife's debit card.
>> I emailed them today, but they do not have the decency to reply.
>> I shall give it till Wednesday and if I do not hear from them or
>> have not received the £89.00p I shall pass the matter over to the Trading
>> Standards Department.
>>
>> I shall keep you posted about this rip-off company.
>>
>>
>> --
>>
>>
>> ---
>> "Are you still wasting your time with spam?...
>> There is a solution!"
>>
>> Protected by GIANT Company's Spam Inspector

>> The most powerful anti-spam software available.
>> http://www.giantcompany.com
>>
>>
>>
>
>

3297. Re: BEWARE...Waters and Stanton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-16 08:45:25 UTC
From: G-Text <grumbletext@grumbletext.com>
Message-ID: <FIhMe.10553$JB4.5736@newsfe6-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 12409
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.amateur-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

I can understand all the people who have had good dealings with W/S who have posted in in their defence, but if you had spent the past ten days being told one thing, and then another, promised this and promised that, spent ages on the phone day after day and still not getting anywhere, maybe you would think differently. I have dealt wth other companies obviously, (some good, some not so good) but have never had reason to actually post on the groups about it, the matter was always satisfactorily solved with a day or so. But these are beyond a joke, it is like banging your head against a brick wall.
It is a pity I live over 130 miles from the store, otherwise I would of been in and got it sorted by now.
I tell you though. I definately WOULD NOT recommend this company to anyone.
You are entitled to your opinions, then please allow me to be entitled to mine. If by my posting I have caused just one single customer to go elsewhere, and not have to go through what I have, then my posting has been worth it
G-Text

--

"Are you still wasting your time with spam?...
There is a solution!"

Protected by GIANT Company's Spam Inspector
The most powerful anti-spam software available.
http://www.giantcompany.com

"G-Text" <grumbletext@grumbletext.com> wrote in message
news:ln7Me.4665$2C5.985@newsfe1-win.ntli.net...
> Hi Ken
> I checked with them by phone 4 times and they are certain that my wifes
> card was refunded the £89.00p on the 3rd
> wierd
> cheers
>
>
> --
>
>
> ---
> "Are you still wasting your time with spam?...
> There is a solution!"

>
> Protected by GIANT Company's Spam Inspector
> The most powerful anti-spam software available.
> http://www.giantcompany.com
>
>
> "Ken Taylor" <ken123@xtra.co.nz> wrote in message
> news:5i7Me.3125$iM2.282887@news.xtra.co.nz...
>> "G-Text" <grumbletext@grumbletext.com> wrote in message
>> news:c%6Me.10375$1F5.3437@newsfe4-win.ntli.net...
>>> Waters And Stanton
>>>
>>> I purchased a scanner radio from this company and have had nothing
>>> but trouble with them since.
>>> I first ordered a Icom R5 for ?89.00p (used) I paid for this using
>>> my wife's debit card, it arrived on 3/8/05. I sent it back to them on
>>> the 4/8/05, they recieved it on the 5/8/05.
>>> I requested the debit card be refunded with the ?89.00p. I was
>>> assured via email from a "Nigel McAlpine" that, and I quote .....
>>> ----- Original Message -----
>>> From: "Nigel McAlpine" <mcalpinen@wsplc.com>
>>> To: "**********"
>>> Sent: Saturday, August 06, 2005 2:47 PM
>>> Subject: RE: My order
>>>
>>>
>>> > Thank you for your e-mail.
>>> >
>>> > We can confirm that your order has been cancelled and a refund
>>> > of ?89.00 will be refunded to your card account as requested.
>>> >
>>> > Kind regards.
>>> >
>>> > Nigel McAlpine
>>> >
>>> > Waters & Stanton PLC
>>> > Tel: 01702206835 Fax:01702205843
>>> > Web: www.wsplc.com
>>> > E-mail: nigel.mcalpine@wsplc.com
>>> <mailto:nigel.mcalpine@wsplc.com>
>>>
>>> I telephoned them on the Monday only to be told that the money was
>>> debited to my wifes card on the 3/8/05 ??????
>>> I don't know how they managed this as I did not send the radio back
>>> untill the 4/8/05.
>>> I was also charged for "next day delivery" it did not arrive, I
>>> phoned them and it had not even left the store, (another rip off) and
>>> lies.
>>> Now it is the 16/8/05 and still the ?89.00p has NOT been debited to

>>> my wife's debit card.
>>> I emailed them today, but they do not have the decency to reply.
>>> I shall give it till Wednesday and if I do not hear from them or
>>> have not received the £89.00p I shall pass the matter over to the
>>> Trading Standards Department.
>>>
>>> I shall keep you posted about this rip-off company.
>>>
>>>
>> Was the claim that it was "debited on 3/8/05" a misunderstanding - that
>> would appear to be the day they would have debited your card for the
>> sale, not credit for the refund. There may just be a misunderstanding so
>> maybe check again that the boss knows there should be a *refund*, rather
>> than just the original debit.
>>
>> Good luck.
>>
>> Ken
>>
>>
>
>

3298. Re: BEWARE...Waters and Stanton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-16 08:53:12 UTC
From: G-Text <grumbletext@grumbletext.com>
Message-ID: <YPhMe.10554$JB4.4670@newsfe6-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 12410
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.amateur-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

This is for "ade" who states I am not entitled to any refund.....
Here is a copy from their website regarding the distance selling returns
policy.
quote.....
OTHER RETURNS - NO QUIBBLE & NO FUSS RETURNS POLICY

If you purchase products by mail order, you have the right to cancel the transaction for any reason and return the products within 7 days after delivery for a full refund (but not the original carriage charge). Products must be returned in as new condition together with all accessories, instructions and original manufacturers packaging. Failure to do so may incur a charge for replacing the missing or damaged items. In order to avoid administration delays, we urge you to first telephone us for a Returns Note number which should be clearly written on the outside of the parcel. We can arrange collection if required, but you will be charged for the carriage costs incurred by us.

end quote.....

The radio was returned by post in less than 2 hours of my receiving it.
G-Text

3299. Re: BEWARE...Waters and Stanton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-16 08:54:32 UTC
From: G-Text <grumbletext@grumbletext.com>
Message-ID: <cRhMe.10555$JB4.8677@newsfe6-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 12411
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.amateur-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

```
--

------------------------------------------------------------------------
"Are you still wasting your time with spam?...
There is a solution!"

Protected by GIANT Company's Spam Inspector
The most powerful anti-spam software available.
http://www.giantcompany.com

"Walt Davidson" <g3nyy@despammed.com> wrote in message
news:4aa3g19dpqce29dodthsarsthtcphvlipa@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 16 Aug 2005 08:18:30 GMT, "G-Text"
> <grumbletext@grumbletext.com> wrote:
>
>>Use the telephone!!!!
>>I have phoned them EVERY DAY, sometimes twice a day, since they received
>>the radio back, and still I am getting no-where.
>
> Perhaps they are delaying the refund deliberately, just to wind you
> up.
>
> I know I would, in the circumstances!
>
> :-)))
>
> 73 de G3NYY
>
> --
> Walt Davidson Email: g3nyy @despammed.com

Well, we shall see how they "wind up" the Trading Standards Department.
```

3300. Re: BEWARE...Waters and Stanton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-16 10:18:28 UTC
From: alpha one <aperson@NOSPAMPLEASE.com>
Message-ID: <ddsehj$q35$1@nwrdmz02.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com>
Source record: 12412
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.amateur-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

>
> I shall keep you posted about this rip-off company.
>
>

No way is W+S a rip-off company. I have used them many times, ordering stuff over the Internet, and they are always very reliable and very efficient.

I'd use them again and again.

Simes

3301. Re: BEWARE...Waters and Stanton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-16 10:21:46 UTC
From: G-Text <grumbletext@grumbletext.com>
Message-ID: <_6jMe.10634$wh6.9301@newsfe2-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 12413
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.amateur-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

"alpha one" <aperson@NOSPAMPLEASE.com> wrote in message
news:ddsehj$q35$1@nwrdmz02.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com...

> No way is W+S a rip-off company. I have used them many times, ordering
> stuff over the Internet, and they are always very reliable and very
> efficient.
>
> I'd use them again and again.
>
> Simes

Good for you!

G-Text

3302. Re: FDNY 9/11 Scanner Audio is here (re: CNN)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-16 12:37:41 UTC
From: wf3h@comcast.net
Message-ID: <4301de0a.1318573@newsgroups.comcast.net>
Source record: 12414

On Sat, 13 Aug 2005 23:39:28 -0400, "Jamey 51" <squad51s@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>http://ny1.com/ny1/content/index.jsp?stid=1&aid=52732
>
>These are all Real Player Streams (at above link)
>
>NOTE: If anyone has or knows where the FULL COMPLETE
>and UN-EDITED audio transcripts may be downloaded
>and saved to disc, - - PLEASE - - post a follow-up message
>to this posting with a URL .
>
>These are the transcripts that were mentioned on CNN and other media today.
>
try

http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/8926416
----
to see who "wf3h" is, go to "qrz.com"
and enter 'wf3h' in the field

3303. Re: BEWARE...Waters and Stanton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-16 13:32:54 UTC
From: chaz <chaznsc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11g3qo7h0pstf73@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 12415
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.amateur-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

"G-Text" <grumbletext@grumbletext.com> wrote in message
news:YPhMe.10554$JB4.4670@newsfe6-win.ntli.net...
> This is for "ade" who states I am not entitled to any refund..........
> Here is a copy from their website regarding the distance selling returns
> policy.
> quote.................................
> OTHER RETURNS - NO QUIBBLE & NO FUSS RETURNS POLICY
>
> If you purchase products by mail order, you have the right to cancel the
> transaction for any reason and return the products within 7 days after
> delivery for a full refund (but not the original carriage charge).
> Products must be returned in as new condition together with all
> accessories, instructions and original manufacturers packaging. Failure to
> do so may incur a charge for replacing the missing or damaged items. In
> order to avoid administration delays, we urge you to first telephone us
> for a Returns Note number which should be clearly written on the outside
> of the parcel. We can arrange collection if required, but you will be
> charged for the carriage costs incurred by us.
>
> end quote.....................
>
> The radio was returned by post in less than 2 hours of my receiving it.
> G-Text
>
>

2 hours? Good grief man, you need to get some patience pills! I dont
disagree you need your refund, but give these people sometime. CC/Debit card
refunds arent automatic. I also feel you posting across usenet was totally
inappropriate.

chaz

3304. Re: BEWARE...Waters and Stanton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-16 14:20:29 UTC
From: G-Text <grumbletext@grumbletext.com>
Message-ID: <NCmMe.10870$wh6.9977@newsfe2-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 12416
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.amateur-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

"chaz" <chaznsc@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:11g3qo7h0pstf73@corp.supernews.com...
>
> 2 hours? Good grief man, you need to get some patience pills! I dont
> disagree you need your refund, but give these people sometime. CC/Debit
> card refunds arent automatic. I also feel you posting across usenet was
> totally inappropriate.
>
> chaz

I was merely pointing out that I discovered the radio was faulty immediately upon receipt, I sent it back straight away by next day before noon delivery. My point is that they are adamant that they paid a refund back to my debit card on the 3/8/05. this is very strange as I did not receive the radio until the 4/8/05. and to this day, 17-August-2005, no refund as yet.
G-Text

3305. Re: BEWARE...Waters and Stanton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-16 16:08:07 UTC
From: Conrad Poos <poos@hamsexual.com>
Message-ID: <HboMe.5458$Wq4.661@fe1.news.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 12417
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.amateur-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

Walt Davidson wrote:

>>"Ich bin ein radio amateur"

> Ich bin kein Frankfurter!

welche wurst sie dann sind?

Poos

--
vy 73 de Conrad Poos

"Ich bin ein radio amateur"

3306. ARC2 crack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-16 19:05:01 UTC
From: Canonian <f@f.ff>
Message-ID: <ddtdcutea1@news1.zwoll1.ov.home.nl>
Source record: 12418

Hi,

I've downloaded the ARC2 softwarepackage with a keygen. It functions well:
after a new licensekey input, it says license ok.
Nevertheless, I am still not able to upload to the R2 scanner (download is
ok).

Does anybody has a better plug-n-play software package or crack?

The TK2 package is too open source for me. Too much to install, I do not
want all the tk2 develop environment.
The CS-R2 package is very basic (DOS) but functions well.
ICR2 is not functioning properly as well.

Please...

Barry

3307. Re: Icom R2 software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-16 19:09:12 UTC
From: Canonian <f@f.ff>
Message-ID: <ddtdkp$176$1@news1.zwoll1.ov.home.nl>
Source record: 12419
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hey Bob,

It must be a great software package, but I do not want all the development
environment on my PC.
Just the runtime version is ok for me. Is it possible to send me a runtime
version, without needing al the sourcecode and compiler engines?

Barry

"Bob Parnass" <not-for-mail@fake.invalid> schreef in bericht
news:pan.2005.08.15.22.31.52.926487@fake.invalid...
> On Mon, 15 Aug 2005 21:53:07 +0200, Canonian wrote:
>
>
> > Has anyone Windows based software available for the Icom IC-R2 scanning
> > radio ???
> >
> > Barry
>
> You are welcome to use tk2, the free, open source software
> I wrote for the IC-R2. It works on Linux, Windows, MacOS X,
> and other systems.
>
> See http://parnass.com for screen shots, downloading,
> and more info.
>
> --
> ==
> Bob Parnass, AJ9S GNU/Linux User http://parnass.com
>

3308. Software recommendation?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-16 19:12:03 UTC
From: chaz <chaznsc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11g4ek4d7vjmq53@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 12420

I just picked up a Radio Shack Pro-97. I have read that some scanners can be hooked to the pc for programming, I assume. What are the advantages and what would you recommend I purchase? Are there freeware versions? And of course, what kind of cable will I need.

chaz

3309. Re: BEWARE...Waters and Stanton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-16 19:41:59 UTC
From: Ken Taylor <ken123@xtra.co.nz>
Message-ID: <ckrMe.3349$iM2.311466@news.xtra.co.nz>
Source record: 12421
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.amateur-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

"Walt Davidson" <g3nyy@despammed.com> wrote in message
news:ega3g1pvo5995bq1ekhvmbok3laldv4qcm@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 16 Aug 2005 07:04:34 GMT, Conrad Poos <poos@hamsexual.com>
> wrote:
>
>>"Ich bin ein radio amateur"
>
> Ich bin kein Frankfurter!
>
> 73 de G3NYY
>
> --
> Walt Davidson Email: g3nyy @despammed.com

Hey, last night I ate a frankfurter!

Ken

3310. Re: Icom R2 software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-16 21:48:49 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <qmn4g19lv0n6eqlcvn16sv2ennjn6591a1@4ax.com>
Source record: 12423
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 16 Aug 2005 21:09:12 +0200, "Canonian" <f@f.ff> said in
alt.radio.scanner:

>It must be a great software package, but I do not want all the development
>environment on my PC.
>Just the runtime version is ok for me. Is it possible to send me a runtime
>version, without needing al the sourcecode and compiler engines?

For programs written in Tcl, the source *is* the runtime "version".
The Tcl interpreter, which you can download for Windows, runs the
source code. "all the development environment" can be as simple as
Notepad.

3311. Re: Complete FCC Licensing Division Master Database

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-16 23:07:28 UTC
From: The Other Guy <scannerwarez@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <Xns96B4C338D3955nottodaycom@130.81.64.196>
Source record: 12424

Justice Gustine <justicegustine@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:vebof19pmdamfsmmcb9gpehjts9vdf5eg9@4ax.com:

> The Other George <bgates@msn.com> wrote:
>
>>Only one thing to say "CLICK"
>>
>>http://mysite.verizon.net/moment_1/scannertesting/contact.htm
>>
>>Thats where to get it...
>
> How about an ISO download?
>
>

NO

--
That Other George

Please send your spam to: uce@ftc.gov

IRC #ScannerRadio_Warez For all your Scanner Controll Warez

UseNET: alt.binaries.radio-scanner For all your Scanner Controll Warez

UseNET: alt.binaries.radio.scanner.warez For all your Scanner Controll
Warez

http://mysite.verizon.net/moment_1/

3312. Re: Software recommendation?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-16 23:20:34 UTC
From: The Other Guy <scannerwarez@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <Xns96B4C5715DC07nottodaycom@130.81.64.196>
Source record: 12425

"chaz" <chaznsc@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:11g4ek4d7vjmq53@corp.supernews.com:

> I just picked up a Radio Shack Pro-97. I have read that some scanners
> can be hooked to the pc for programming, I assume. What are the
> advantages and what would you recommend I purchase? Are there freeware
> versions? And of course, what kind of cable will I need.
>
> chaz
>
>
>

Well I use the Uniden BC780XLT there is no good freeware software out there and you need a RS232C M/F 9-Pin cable Do not get one from RadioShit or from ScannerMaster they want your right arm and your left nut. It's a NULL Modem cable you can get one at:

http://www.cablesforless.com/index.asp?PageAction=VIEWCATS&Category=431

for $3.99 and the good software is ARC780 at

http://www.butel.nl/

advantages you ask, well with ARC780 you can add any frequ you see on any web-site in the world with a simple cut and past. So you don't have to set for hours programing the radio by hand. You can record right to hard drive anything you hear going on. And the cost of the BC780XLT is reansonable, i.e. you can pick one up on eBay for about $250.00 I got my 3rd one there for $190.00 a few months ago. The BC780XLT and ARC780 are an excelent combo.

That Other George

Please send your spam to: uce@ftc.gov

IRC #ScannerRadio_Warez For all your Scanner Controll Warez

UseNET: alt.binaries.radio-scanner For all your Scanner Controll Warez

UseNET: alt.binaries.radio.scanner.warez For all your Scanner Controll Warez

http://www.scannertesting.com

3313. 10-codes losing popularity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-17 03:11:28 UTC
From: Paul Hirose <iszintjv55@earINVALIDthlink.net>
Message-ID: <AVxMe.6436$Wi6.2603@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 12426

The wheel seems to be coming full circle: plain language is gaining
popularity over 10-codes in emergency communciations. The problem is
that too many local 10-code dialects have developed over the years.
They can cause misunderstandings when multiple agencies work together
in a major incident.

In my area of California the sheriff departments use 10-codes but the
fire departments don't. I have no idea how long this state of affairs
has prevailed, since I only got into the scanning hobby last summer.

http://www.dailynews.com/Stories/0,1413,200%257E20954%257E3008975,00.html

--
Paul Hirose <iszintjv55@earINVALIDthlink.net>
To reply by email remove INVALID

3314. Re: ARC2 crack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-17 03:16:31 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <4302abf4.19725343@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 12427

Ask the guy you stole it from.
(Yes, using cracked software is stealing).

On Tue, 16 Aug 2005 21:05:01 +0200, "Canonian" <f@f.ff> wrote:

>Hi,
>
>I've downloaded the ARC2 softwarepackage with a keygen. It functions well:
>after a new licensekey input, it says license ok.
>Nevertheless, I am still not able to upload to the R2 scanner (download is
>ok).
>
>Does anybody has a better plug-n-play software package or crack?
>
>The TK2 package is too open source for me. Too much to install, I do not
>want all the tk2 develop environment.
>The CS-R2 package is very basic (DOS) but functions well.
>ICR2 is not functioning properly as well.
>
>Please...
>
>Barry
>
>

3315. Re: BEWARE...Waters and Stanton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-17 04:17:34 UTC
From: "Mr. Man with the Master Plan" <fist@your.face.net>
Message-ID: <YTyMe.11$oK.7@fe10.lga>
Source record: 12429
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.amateur-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

and posting this helps you resolve your issue how?

"G-Text" <grumbletext@grumbletext.com> wrote in message
news:c%6Me.10375$1F5.3437@newsfe4-win.ntli.net...
> Waters And Stanton
>
> I purchased a scanner radio from this company and have had nothing
> but trouble with them since.
> I first ordered a Icom R5 for ?89.00p (used) I paid for this using my
> wife's debit card, it arrived on 3/8/05. I sent it back to them on the
> 4/8/05, they recieved it on the 5/8/05.
> I requested the debit card be refunded with the ?89.00p. I was
> assured via email from a "Nigel McAlpine" that, and I quote .....
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Nigel McAlpine" <mcalpinen@wsplc.com>
> To: "**********"
> Sent: Saturday, August 06, 2005 2:47 PM
> Subject: RE: My order
>
>
> > Thank you for your e-mail.
> >
> > We can confirm that your order has been cancelled and a refund
> > of ?89.00 will be refunded to your card account as requested.
> >
> > Kind regards.
> >
> > Nigel McAlpine
> >
> > Waters & Stanton PLC
> > Tel: 01702206835 Fax:01702205843
> > Web: www.wsplc.com
> > E-mail: nigel.mcalpine@wsplc.com <mailto:nigel.mcalpine@wsplc.com>
>
> I telephoned them on the Monday only to be told that the money was
> debited to my wifes card on the 3/8/05 ??????
> I don't know how they managed this as I did not send the radio back
> untill the 4/8/05.
> I was also charged for "next day delivery" it did not arrive, I
> phoned them and it had not even left the store, (another rip off) and
> lies.
> Now it is the 16/8/05 and still the ?89.00p has NOT been debited to

> my wife's debit card.
> I emailed them today, but they do not have the decency to reply.
> I shall give it till Wednesday and if I do not hear from them or have
> not received the ?89.00p I shall pass the matter over to the Trading
> Standards Department.
>
> I shall keep you posted about this rip-off company.
>
>
> --
>
>
> ---
> "Are you still wasting your time with spam?...
> There is a solution!"
>
> Protected by GIANT Company's Spam Inspector
> The most powerful anti-spam software available.
> http://www.giantcompany.com
>
>
>

3316. Dropouts when using "Close Call" feature

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-17 07:01:38 UTC
From: jacob <jake_alexander@msn.com>
Message-ID: <1124259299.257274.248700@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12430

Hi All,

I have a Uniden ubc72xlt. When I have the "Close Call" feature enabled in the background I get regular dropouts in the signal that I'm monitoring (every 3 or 4 seconds the signal is interrupted with a pulse of silence). As soon as I turn the "Close Call" feature off the dropouts dissapear. Just to make it extra clear: I'm not getting the dropouts in a signal which Close Call has locked onto, but just a normal signal that I'm monitoring, with Close Call in the background listening for signals. Is it normal for these scanners with Close Call to behave like this with the feature enabled, or is my scanner a dud in some way?

Cheers in advance,

Jake Simmonds.

3317. Re: Scanner Antenna in Tree

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-17 07:07:24 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <g8o5g157mrjmj0k0mvp4k47p9jf1gp7kv5@4ax.com>
Source record: 12431

On Wed, 17 Aug 2005 04:50:54 -0400, "Zombie Wolf" <zmbwf@gwi.net> said
in rec.radio.scanner:

>Here is how you go about this task.

>First, we need a support for the coax, especially if you have to run over
>125 feet of it. this is usually done by running a cable from the tree to a
>post firmly embedded in the ground right next to your house. We then support
>the coax by whipping it around and around this cable, to take the strain off
>it. We literally wind the coax around the cable

Bad idea - you're forming a pretty good choke at anything above
VHF-lo. Use steel wire to wrap both the coax and the messenger wire
(that's what it's called - it's usually 14k stainless "aircraft"
cable) to each other.

>Suggestion for the climber: Assemble whole pipe and antenna on the ground,
>then when in position in tree, use rope to "haul" antenna and pipe up to
>your position. Start with pipe and antenna upside - down, and install first
>bolt , but not completely tight. then, climb a bit further up, and use rope
>to turn whole thing right side up by rotating on installed lag bolt. Install
>second lag bolt and tighten.

Good if there's enough room between foliage, otherwise haul it up
right-side-up.

One problem - it sounds as if the coax is going to have to be long
enough that, even with LMR600, it might not be better than an antenna
mounted lower but much closer.

3318. Re: Norfolk county ambulance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-17 07:08:55 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <rio5g1p7rr9pkku1im17jjc38414s5a5uu@4ax.com>
Source record: 12432

On Sun, 14 Aug 2005 14:05:33 -0400, "Vance" <b_lasalle@hotmail.com>
said in rec.radio.scanner:

>What have the Norfolk County ambulance crews changed there frequency to?
>they are no longer on 155.490. P.L. 118.8.

http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR

3319. Re: Decoding Programs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-17 07:12:36 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <lqo5g1tsbn6bugr4tfmsd30c4ubqsfjokt@4ax.com>
Source record: 12433

On 9 Aug 2005 15:50:39 -0700, alfazulu@walla.com said in
rec.radio.scanner:

>Other than WinTone, does anyone know of any other decoding programs
>that can decode CTCSS?

Audacity and Counter are 2.

3320. Re: BEWARE...Waters and Stanton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-17 08:43:30 UTC
From: G-Text <grumbletext@grumbletext.com>
Message-ID: <SMCMe.9850$6i5.4969@newsfe4-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 12434
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.amateur-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

"Mr. Man with the Master Plan" <fist@your.face.net> wrote in message news:YTyMe.11$oK.7@fe10.lga...
> and posting this helps you resolve your issue how?
Well, since the posting I have received confirmation by email, including reference number and authorisation code to state that the refund is now actually in the process of going through.

G-Text

3321. Re: Scanner Antenna in Tree

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-17 08:50:54 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@gwi.net>
Message-ID: <zK2dnVnbRJUhzJ_eRVn-hg@giganews.com>
Source record: 12422

Here is how you go about this task.

First, we need a support for the coax, especially if you have to run over 125 feet of it. this is usually done by running a cable from the tree to a post firmly embedded in the ground right next to your house. We then support the coax by whipping it around and around this cable, to take the strain off it. We literally wind the coax around the cable

next, if you can get someone to climb the tree, you should have them mount the antenna pipe to the tree, in the following manner:

1st method: drill a couple of holes thru the antenna pipe , one a foot or so from the bottom , another a foot from the top. 1/4 inch holes work well. Get some 3 or 3 1/2 inch, quarter inch lag bolts from the local hardware store. Screw these thru the antenna pipe into the tree trunk until tight. You probably should have the antenna on the pipe when you do this, and should hook the coax up also. A good strain relief for the coax at the bottom of the pipe would consist of a couple of regular mercy clamps, with rubber inserted under them between the coax and the clamp. This will take the pull from the coax . Do not over-tighten the clamps as this will tend to crush the coax too much and destroy its impedance and performance. Use good coax, like Belden 9913 for this, since losses in coax tend to be high at scanner frequencies if you dont use good stuff.

Suggestion for the climber: Assemble whole pipe and antenna on the ground, then when in position in tree, use rope to "haul" antenna and pipe up to your position. Start with pipe and antenna upside - down, and install first bolt , but not completely tight. then, climb a bit further up, and use rope to turn whole thing right side up by rotating on installed lag bolt. Install second lag bolt and tighten. Hook up coax, weatherproof connection, and install mercy clamps near bottom of pipe, with coax going thru them, and rubber in place. You are done. Come down and have beer, it has been a VERY good day in scanner land......
<warrenshudson@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1124153742.598548.198100@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> Hi. I live in a wooded park like neighborhood in suburban detroit mi.
> Birmingham to be exact. My neighbor gave me a huge live pine tree that
> is probabaly close to 100' feet tall, at least exactly double the high
> voltage wires on the power pole's height. I am in the top ten percent
> of trees height for many miles, but not eneugh to see the horizon. I
> am going to mount a scanner antenna and a tv antenna in this tree for
> my scanner and tv/fm use. Can anyone give me some reccomendations as
> to how to do this? Thanks, Bud
>

3322. Re: BEWARE...Waters and Stanton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-17 08:53:47 UTC
From: "news.vif.com" <root@NoReply-AntiSpam.com>
Message-ID: <1124268830.24536@www.vif.com>
Source record: 12435
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.amateur-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

G-Text wrote:
> "Mr. Man with the Master Plan" <fist@your.face.net> wrote in message
> news:YTyMe.11$oK.7@fe10.lga...
>
>>and posting this helps you resolve your issue how?
>
> Well, since the posting I have received confirmation by email, including
> reference number and authorisation code to state that the refund is now
> actually in the process of going through.
>
> G-Text
>
>
CRy! Cry! CRy! happy now you got your refund
--

3323. Re: 10-codes losing popularity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-17 11:34:39 UTC
From: clfe <postmaster@clfurent.com>
Message-ID: <xeyMe.30151$Tf5.29302@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 12428

"Paul Hirose" <iszintjv55@earINVALIDthlink.net> wrote in message
news:AVxMe.6436$Wi6.2603@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> The wheel seems to be coming full circle: plain language is gaining
> popularity over 10-codes in emergency communciations. The problem is
> that too many local 10-code dialects have developed over the years.
> They can cause misunderstandings when multiple agencies work together
> in a major incident.
>
> In my area of California the sheriff departments use 10-codes but the
> fire departments don't. I have no idea how long this state of affairs
> has prevailed, since I only got into the scanning hobby last summer.
>
> http://www.dailynews.com/Stories/0,1413,200%257E20954%257E3008975,00.html
>
> --
> Paul Hirose <iszintjv55@earINVALIDthlink.net>
> To reply by email remove INVALID
>

Here - before "our" 911 system came on board - the 10 codes tended to vary among Police units like people's choice of colors of homes. It could be very confusing. As to the Fire Services, the city - so far as I know, barely uses them if at all. Here in the rural area, there are a very few 10-codes used by the fire departments, but they coincide with those used by the police where appropriate. Therefore - less confusion for the 911 center. The Phonetic Alphabet still creates some confusion. IF a cop has a brain freeze and calls off a letter such as "F" as "funny" or "friday" the 911 center will call them on it. They stick to "a" form of the alphabet and that is it. I'm trying to think now - I don't think they use the same as say the Hams do.

clf

3324. Re: 10-codes losing popularity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-17 11:40:11 UTC
From: wf3h@comcast.net
Message-ID: <430321d4.84109626@newsgroups.comcast.net>
Source record: 12436

On Tue, 16 Aug 2005 23:34:39 -1200, "clfe" <postmaster@clfurent.com>
wrote:

>"Paul Hirose" <iszintjv55@earINVALIDthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:AVxMe.6436$Wi6.2603@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> The wheel seems to be coming full circle: plain language is gaining
>> popularity over 10-codes in emergency communciations. The problem is
>> that too many local 10-code dialects have developed over the years.
>> They can cause misunderstandings when multiple agencies work together
>> in a major incident.
>>
>> In my area of California the sheriff departments use 10-codes but the
>> fire departments don't. I have no idea how long this state of affairs
>> has prevailed, since I only got into the scanning hobby last summer.
>>
>> http://www.dailynews.com/Stories/0,1413,200%257E20954%257E3008975,00.html
>>
>> --
>> Paul Hirose <iszintjv55@earINVALIDthlink.net>
>> To reply by email remove INVALID
>>
>
>Here - before "our" 911 system came on board - the 10 codes tended to vary
>among Police units like people's choice of colors of homes. It could be very
>confusing. As to the Fire Services, the city - so far as I know, barely uses
>them if at all. Here in the rural area, there are a very few 10-codes used
>by the fire departments, but they coincide with those used by the police
>where appropriate. Therefore - less confusion for the 911 center. The
>Phonetic Alphabet still creates some confusion. IF a cop has a brain freeze
>and calls off a letter such as "F" as "funny" or "friday" the 911 center
>will call them on it. They stick to "a" form of the alphabet and that is it.
>I'm trying to think now - I don't think they use the same as say the Hams
>do.
>

there is a military phonetic alphabet...the one we use in the coast
guard and it's the same one used by hams. the coast guard is a
stickler to the point that we get called on it if we use 'oh' instead
of 'zero' in a number.

---
to see who "wf3h" is, go to "qrz.com"
and enter 'wf3h' in the field

3325. Re: BEWARE...Waters and Stanton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-17 11:43:20 UTC
From: G-Text <grumbletext@grumbletext.com>
Message-ID: <spFMe.2757$914.729@newsfe6-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 12437
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.amateur-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

"news.vif.com" <root@NoReply-AntiSpam.com> wrote in message
news:1124268830.24536@www.vif.com...
>
>
> G-Text wrote:
>> "Mr. Man with the Master Plan" <fist@your.face.net> wrote in message
>> news:YTyMe.11$oK.7@fe10.lga...
>>
>>>and posting this helps you resolve your issue how?
>>
>> Well, since the posting I have received confirmation by email, including
>> reference number and authorisation code to state that the refund is now
>> actually in the process of going through.
>>
>> G-Text
>>
>>
> CRy! Cry! CRy! happy now you got your refund
> --

Haven't actually got it yet, when I see it in the bank account I shall be
happy then AND NOT BEFORE.
If you are prepared to let these companies walk all over you, that's fine,
I, on the other hand will not.
The likes of Maplins and Argos can manage to get money back into your
account in less than 48 hours (not 21 days) if they can do it, so can this
outfit.

G-Text

3326. Re: BEWARE...Waters and Stanton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-17 11:56:12 UTC
From: Mike GW8IJT <me@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <3mgmurF16m9ocU1@individual.net>
Source record: 12438
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.amateur-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

"G-Text" <grumbletext@grumbletext.com> wrote in message
news:c%6Me.10375$1F5.3437@newsfe4-win.ntli.net...
> Waters And Stanton
>
> I purchased a scanner radio from this company and have had
nothing but
> trouble with them since.

Well I once bought an amateaur band 2 metre/70 cms handheld transceiver
from them and I had a spot of bother with it and I received superb
service from them, I was well satisfied.
I think they are a good firm.
Regards Mike.

3327. >>Scanner Mod Software???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-17 12:14:20 UTC
From: chaz <chaznsc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11g6agsefr8s610@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 12439

I was on ebay and ran across this:

http://cgi.ebay.com/SOFTWARE-MOD-Unlock-Pro-93-Pro-95-Pro-2053-scanners_W0QQitemZ5796227355QQcategoryZ40979QQrdZ1QQcmdZViewItem

Has anyone done this, and is it worth the risk? Or is thre any risk?

chaz

3328. Re: Complete FCC Licensing Division Master Database

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-17 13:19:07 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <xUGMe.35784$_41.11820@fe02.lga>
Source record: 12441

"Justice Gustine" <justicegustine@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:vebof19pmdamfsmmcb9gpehjts9vdf5eg9@4ax.com...
>
> How about an ISO download?
>

Sure, why not... It would be just as illegal as what he is doing now...
Selling illegal copies of copyrighted software... I wonder if he ever
stopped to think of WHY the FCC SELLS the CD in the first place? At his
claimed price, it certainly isn't to cover the cost of printing it!

3329. Re: Dropouts when using "Close Call" feature

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-17 13:25:40 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <yUGMe.35785$_41.15207@fe02.lga>
Source record: 12440

"jacob" <jake_alexander@msn.com> wrote in message
news:1124259299.257274.248700@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> I have a Uniden ubc72xlt. When I have the "Close Call" feature enabled
> in the background I get regular dropouts in the signal that I'm
> monitoring (every 3 or 4 seconds the signal is interrupted with a pulse
> of silence).<SNIP> Is it normal for these scanners with Close Call
> to behave like this with the feature enabled, or is my scanner a dud in
> some way?

This is normal behavior... The silence you here is when the scanner jumps
over and quickly scans the frequency range(s) you have selected in the
"close call" options... You will here this same thing if you were to turn
on the "Priority" scan as well...

3330. 396T Or 296D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-17 13:42:56 UTC
From: junkhunter <junkman18@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <A9HMe.174$L03.76@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 12442

Will the 396T receive the digital Arkansas State Police? Also will the 296D?
Does anyone have either of these scanners to receive the state police?

Thanks

3331. Pro-34 memory retention problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-17 16:21:06 UTC
From: cbonetoo <cbonetoo@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1uycnWQUZM9v_p7eUSdV9g@ptd.net>
Source record: 12443

Can anyone help me out? I have a pro-34 hendheld that works great until i power the unit off then I lose all my frequencies that I entered. I cannot locate a service manual for this model. I put new batteries in the unit but it wont retain any frequencies that i enter. If i leave the power on then the frequencies are retained. thanks in advance to anyone that can help me with this problem.

3332. Re: >>Scanner Mod Software???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-17 18:26:10 UTC
From: TomS <nobody@home.com>
Message-ID: <ddvvgc$58n$1@avnika.corp.mot.com>
Source record: 12444

Read up about it here: http://www.starrsoft.com/freeware/win95/default.asp

Quote from the Win95 developers:

"There has been an item sold on eBay that claims to "unlock" the frequencies
in the PRO-92/2067, PRO-93/2053, and PRO-95. I have been informed that this
item is merely a set of 151 .P95 files (for use with my Win95 app).
Together, these files contain all of the frequencies in all of the "extra
ranges" as added by Win93 and Win95. One could create this data himself by
using a program like Microsoft Excel to fill columns with incremental
frequency data, then copy and paste to Win93 or Win95."

"chaz" <chaznsc@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:11g6agsefr8s610@corp.supernews.com...
>I was on ebay and ran across this:
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/SOFTWARE-MOD-Unlock-Pro-93-Pro-95-Pro-2053-scanners_W0QQitemZ5796227
355QQcategoryZ40979QQrdZ1QQcmdZViewItem
>
> Has anyone done this, and is it worth the risk? Or is thre any risk?
>
> chaz
>

3333. Re: Scanner Antenna in Tree

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-17 21:16:02 UTC
From: wh349055@netscape.net
Message-ID: <1124313362.785612.299750@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12445

> One problem - it sounds as if the coax is going to have to be long
> enough that, even with LMR600, it might not be better than an antenna
> mounted lower but much closer.

I am going to go and measure again, but assuming I measured wrong before, Is a 100 foot run too long for the antenna to work properly? I am probabaly going to use 300 ohm twin lead for the lead in, unless people think it should really be coax. See a picture of the proposed set up at http://community.webshots.com/photo/424625000/424626583NExHgY

3334. Re: 10-codes losing popularity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-17 22:18:07 UTC
From: rtc <rsmith98@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <11g7dsvilm2s9b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 12446

The TV show "Cops" did a show years ago from
Broward(?) County Fla.,which is the Miami area.
It was Shocking...they were using Q-signals!
At first I thought I was imagining it,but the
dispatcher was saying things like QSL and QTH.
Really gets your attention...

"Bob" <wf3h@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:430321d4.84109626@newsgroups.comcast.net...
> On Tue, 16 Aug 2005 23:34:39 -1200, "clfe" <postmaster@clfurent.com>
> wrote:
>
> >"Paul Hirose" <iszintjv55@earINVALIDthlink.net> wrote in message
> >news:AVxMe.6436$Wi6.2603@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> >> The wheel seems to be coming full circle: plain language is gaining
> >> popularity over 10-codes in emergency communciations. The problem is
> >> that too many local 10-code dialects have developed over the years.
> >> They can cause misunderstandings when multiple agencies work together
> >> in a major incident.
> >>
> >> In my area of California the sheriff departments use 10-codes but the
> >> fire departments don't. I have no idea how long this state of affairs
> >> has prevailed, since I only got into the scanning hobby last summer.
> >>
> >>
http://www.dailynews.com/Stories/0,1413,200%257E20954%257E3008975,00.html
> >>
> >> --
> >> Paul Hirose <iszintjv55@earINVALIDthlink.net>
> >> To reply by email remove INVALID
> >>
> >
> >Here - before "our" 911 system came on board - the 10 codes tended to
vary
> >among Police units like people's choice of colors of homes. It could be
very
> >confusing. As to the Fire Services, the city - so far as I know, barely
uses
> >them if at all. Here in the rural area, there are a very few 10-codes
used
> >by the fire departments, but they coincide with those used by the police
> >where appropriate. Therefore - less confusion for the 911 center. The
> >Phonetic Alphabet still creates some confusion. IF a cop has a brain
freeze

> >and calls off a letter such as "F" as "funny" or "friday" the 911 center
> >will call them on it. They stick to "a" form of the alphabet and that is
it.
> >I'm trying to think now - I don't think they use the same as say the Hams
> >do.
> >
>
> there is a military phonetic alphabet...the one we use in the coast
> guard and it's the same one used by hams. the coast guard is a
> stickler to the point that we get called on it if we use 'oh' instead
> of 'zero' in a number.
>
> ---------------------------
> to see who "wf3h" is, go to "qrz.com"
> and enter 'wf3h' in the field

3335. Re: k - newbie stumped right at the start! Help me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-17 23:31:23 UTC
From: The Other Guy <scannerwarez@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <Xns96B5C74971CC8nottodaycom@130.81.64.196>
Source record: 12447

"Mary" <mthill@buckeye-expressNOSPAM.com> wrote in
news:wYWdndLP7atHvWjfRVn-sg@buckeye-express.com:

>
> "Bill Eitner" <kd6tas@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
> news:hm8Je.3246$fJ1.1774@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
>> The "manual"--perhaps an altogether
>> forgotten concept. ;-P
>> --
>>
>
> Actually, that was the first thing I looked for - they have
> instructions & help but no actual manual for this model (at least not
> at radio shack's site). Just very, very basic instructions. I'll look
> again though and see if there isn't one posted elsewhere.
>
> I'm the type that reads manuals from cover to cover and enjoy pointing
> out every little feature that people who 'know' how to work things
> never realized existed because they don't read them.
>
> Thanks!
>
>

Well actually yes they do have a manual, i.e. click the link :-)

http://support.radioshack.com/support_electronics/doc6/6361.htm

Thats the manual

and for the rest of you folks with RadioShit I mean Shack scanners
this is the list of manuals for everythang they make :-)

http://support.radioshack.com/productinfo/ProductResults.asp?
Name=Radio_Scanners&ID=004001001

--
That Other George

Please send your spam to: uce@ftc.gov

IRC #ScannerRadio_Warez For all your Scanner Controll Warez

UseNET: alt.binaries.radio-scanner For all your Scanner Controll Warez

UseNET: alt.binaries.radio.scanner.warez For all your Scanner Controll
Warez

http://mysite.verizon.net/moment_1/

3336. Aftermarket belt clip for PRO-95?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-18 01:28:34 UTC
From: Bo Williams <williams@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <11g7p235j5vk9d8@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 12448

Anyone seen such a thing?
--
Bo Williams - williams@hiwaay.net
http://hiwaay.net/~williams/

3337. Re: BEWARE...Waters and Stanton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-18 11:09:04 UTC
From: JC <johncalias-newsgroupsATyahooD0TcoD0Tuk>
Message-ID: <c1r8g11edkjsr0fbck383k1h7eojd4prfa@4ax.com>
Source record: 12449
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.amateur-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

On Tue, 16 Aug 2005 08:53:12 GMT, "G-Text" <grumbletext@grumbletext.com>
wrote:

> In order to avoid
>administration delays, we urge you to first telephone us for a Returns Note
>number which should be clearly written on the outside of the parcel

Just out of interest..did you do this???

--

Regards

John [Essex, UK]
Remove the obvious spamtrap to reply

3338. Re: BEWARE...Waters and Stanton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-18 19:06:42 UTC
From: G-Text <gtext@grumbletext.com>
Message-ID: <6%4Ne.11715$wh6.5949@newsfe2-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 12450
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.amateur-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

"JC" <johncalias-newsgroupsATyahooD0TcoD0Tuk> wrote in message
news:c1r8g11edkjsr0fbck383k1h7eojd4prfa@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 16 Aug 2005 08:53:12 GMT, "G-Text" <grumbletext@grumbletext.com>
> wrote:
>
>> In order to avoid
>>administration delays, we urge you to first telephone us for a Returns
>>Note
>>number which should be clearly written on the outside of the parcel
>
>
> Just out of interest..did you do this???
>
>
> --
>
> Regards
>
> John [Essex, UK]
> Remove the obvious spamtrap to reply

Hi John
Yes, I followed the instructions exactly, Anyway, pleased to say the refund has finally reached the bank account, although it took 15 days, it amazes me how these companies can take money from your account within seconds, yet take over a fortnight to return it. As I said before, Argos and Maplins can do a refund in under 48 hours. It's not just the refund that pi**ed me off, I was charged for "next day delivery" I work nights (7pm to 7am) and had to wait up for the postman, then when it never arrived and after a few more phone calls I discovered it hadn't even left the store. this meant I had to wait up again the next day.
I wouldn't deal with them again.
regards

G-Text

No virus attached, guaranteed!!!!!
Email Virus Scanner by Thunderbolt-Excalibur Gold

3339. Re: BEWARE...Waters and Stanton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-18 22:21:24 UTC
From: JC <johncalias-newsgroupsATyahooD0TcoD0Tuk>
Message-ID: <j72ag1h9pof179qslnq73dserh6far5vg3@4ax.com>
Source record: 12451
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.amateur-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

On Thu, 18 Aug 2005 19:06:42 GMT, "G-Text" <gtext@grumbletext.com> wrote:

>
>"JC" <johncalias-newsgroupsATyahooD0TcoD0Tuk> wrote in message
>news:c1r8g11edkjsr0fbck383k1h7eojd4prfa@4ax.com...
>> On Tue, 16 Aug 2005 08:53:12 GMT, "G-Text" <grumbletext@grumbletext.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>>> In order to avoid
>>>administration delays, we urge you to first telephone us for a Returns
>>>Note
>>>number which should be clearly written on the outside of the parcel
>>
>>
>> Just out of interest..did you do this???
>>
>>
>> --
>>
>> Regards
>>
>> John [Essex, UK]
>> Remove the obvious spamtrap to reply
>
>Hi John
>Yes, I followed the instructions exactly, Anyway, pleased to say the refund
>has finally reached the bank account, although it took 15 days, it amazes me
>how these companies can take money from your account within seconds, yet
>take over a fortnight to return it. As I said before, Argos and Maplins can
>do a refund in under 48 hours. It's not just the refund that pi**ed me off,
>I was charged for "next day delivery" I work nights (7pm to 7am) and had to
>wait up for the postman, then when it never arrived and after a few more
>phone calls I discovered it hadn't even left the store. this meant I had to
>wait up again the next day.
>I wouldn't deal with them again.
>regards
>
>G-Text

Did you get a full refund...including any postage charges??

If you did..you've had a result as the passage you quoted states

"If you purchase products by mail order, you have the right to cancel the transaction for any reason and return the products within 7 days after delivery for a full refund (but not the original carriage charge). "

I suppose it all depends on whether you returned it as faulty or under the no quibble guarantee

--

Regards

John [Essex, UK]
Remove the obvious spamtrap to reply

3340. Re: Scanner Antenna in Tree

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-19 01:48:01 UTC
From: HotShot <MyShotIsHot@HotShotShootsSpam.Com>
Message-ID: <lTaNe.12953$7R.765472@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 12452

I see no one took in the concept that this might just turn into one helluva a lightning rod!!!!!!!!!!

3341. How much is my Radio Shack 2006 worth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-19 04:17:06 UTC
From: Vince <duster@duster.com>
Message-ID: <63dNe.90$Ux3.43@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 12453

I want to upgrade to a Digital scanner. .
Thanks

3342. Re: Scanner Antenna in Tree

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-19 12:10:01 UTC
From: "Homer.Simpson" <Homer.Simpson@SpringfieldBB.com.INVALID>
Message-ID: <Xns96B748E7BDC4Anope@204.153.244.170>
Source record: 12454

said

> On 15 Aug 2005 17:55:42 -0700, warrenshudson@gmail.com wrote:
>
>>My neighbor gave me a huge
>>live pine tree that is probabaly close to 100' feet tall, at
>>least exactly double the high voltage wires on the power pole's
>>height.
>
> i'd like to hear the reccommendations on how to move the tree
> from his yard to yours

I was thinking the same thing. I guess it depends on what the
definition of "gave" is. ;-)

3343. Re: k - newbie stumped right at the start! Help me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-19 14:22:51 UTC
From: The Other George <bgates@msn.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96B769DD7C3D2bgatesmsncom@38.119.106.45>
Source record: 12455

BDK <kingratay@buckeye-express.com> wrote in
news:MPG.1d5f11e5b44398bf9899f7@news.buckeye-express.com:

> In article <M9GdnSO4lq3ig2jfRVn-iw@buckeye-express.com>,
> mthill@buckeye- expressNOSPAM.com says...
>>
>> <kuzen001@duke.edu> wrote in message
>> news:dd31ik$v7k$1@gargoyle.oit.duke.edu...
>> > Mary <mthill@buckeye-expressnospam.com> wrote:
>> >> I just bought a PRO-2033 and would like to hear 460.300 and what's
>> >> listed Do you think I am a) doing it wrong. b) the scanner itself
>> >> doesn't function
>> > Oh--you DO have an
>> > antenna of some kind hooked to it?
>> >
>> >
>>
>> I said newbie, not stupid ;)
>>
>>
>>
>
>
> This is how you do it:
>
> (From the info on the 2033 (There is no manual online!)
>
> STORING FREQUENCIES INTO CHANNELS
>
> You can store a frequency into each of the scanner's 10 channels.
> Good references for active frequencies are Radio Shack's "Police Call
> Guide Including Fire and Emergency Services," "Maritime Frequency
> Directory," and "Aeronautical Frequency Directory." We update these
> directories every year so be sure to get a current copy.
>
> 1. Press MANUAL. Enter the channel number you want to store a
> frequency in.
>
> 2. Press PROGRAM. 000.000 flashes on the display.
>
> 3. Enter the desired frequency. (example 460.300, remember the
> decimal point!)
>
> 4. Press E (enter) to store the frequency. The stored frequency

> flashes
> on the display.
>
> If you made a mistake in Step 3, ERROR appears on the display. Press
> */ CLEAR and repeat Steps 3-4.
>
> 5. Repeat Steps 1-4 to program more channels.
>
> If you want to program the next channel in order, repeat Steps 2-
>
> BDK

So you cut and pasted the info I gave her at

http://support.radioshack.com/support_electronics/doc6/6361.htm

--
That Other George

Please send your spam to: uce@ftc.gov

IRC #ScannerRadio_Warez For all your Scanner Controll Warez

UseNET: alt.binaries.radio-scanner For all your Scanner Controll Warez

UseNET: alt.binaries.radio.scanner.warez For all your Scanner Controll
Warez

http://www.scannertesting.com

3344. Re: k - newbie stumped right at the start! Help me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-19 15:51:27 UTC
From: BDK <kingratay@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1d6fca0dafacb6e0989a2a@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 12456

```
In article <Xns96B769DD7C3D2bgatesmsncom@38.119.106.45>, bgates@msn.com
says...
> BDK <kingratay@buckeye-express.com> wrote in
> news:MPG.1d5f11e5b44398bf9899f7@news.buckeye-express.com:
>
> > In article <M9GdnSO4lq3ig2jfRVn-iw@buckeye-express.com>,
> > mthill@buckeye- expressNOSPAM.com says...
> >>
> >> <kuzen001@duke.edu> wrote in message
> >> news:dd31ik$v7k$1@gargoyle.oit.duke.edu...
> >> > Mary <mthill@buckeye-expressnospam.com> wrote:
> >> >> I just bought a PRO-2033 and would like to hear 460.300 and what's
> >> >> listed Do you think I am a) doing it wrong. b) the scanner itself
> >> >> doesn't function
> >> > Oh--you DO have an
> >> > antenna of some kind hooked to it?
> >> >
> >> >
> >>
> >> I said newbie, not stupid ;)
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
> > This is how you do it:
> >
> > (From the info on the 2033 (There is no manual online!)
> >
> > STORING FREQUENCIES INTO CHANNELS
> >
> > You can store a frequency into each of the scanner's 10 channels.
> > Good references for active frequencies are Radio Shack's "Police Call
> > Guide Including Fire and Emergency Services," "Maritime Frequency
> > Directory," and "Aeronautical Frequency Directory." We update these
> > directories every year so be sure to get a current copy.
> >
> > 1. Press MANUAL. Enter the channel number you want to store a
> > frequency in.
> >
> > 2. Press PROGRAM. 000.000 flashes on the display.
> >
> > 3. Enter the desired frequency. (example 460.300, remember the
> > decimal point!)
```

> >
> > 4. Press E (enter) to store the frequency. The stored frequency
> > flashes
> > on the display.
> >
> > If you made a mistake in Step 3, ERROR appears on the display. Press
> > */ CLEAR and repeat Steps 3-4.
> >
> > 5. Repeat Steps 1-4 to program more channels.
> >
> > If you want to program the next channel in order, repeat Steps 2-
> >
> > BDK
>
>
> So you cut and pasted the info I gave her at
>
> http://support.radioshack.com/support_electronics/doc6/6361.htm
>
>
>
>
>

Well Yeah, I wasn't going to type it all in myself! I needed to go to
bed, just like I do now.

Later...

BDK

3345. PerCon WTF?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-19 17:36:11 UTC
From: "D. Brown" <dvbrown5@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <nsSdnQY7BZg4hZveRVn-gw@comcast.com>
Source record: 12457
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I subscribed to Spectrum online last Tues and have not received an ID or password yet. I've sent them a couple of emails, no reply so far and I even called their support number, all I get is voice mail. At least they haven't charged my credit card yet.

Does anyone know what the deal is? I've always heard good things about them, I'm not too impressed thus far.

3346. Re: 10-codes losing popularity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-19 18:38:04 UTC
From: Paul Hirose <iszintjv55@earINVALIDthlink.net>
Message-ID: <gGpNe.7568$Wi6.3144@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 12458

Here's more on the National Incident Management System plain language
requirement that the newspaper article mentioned.

http://www.nimsteam.com/nims_faq.htm#14f

"All exercises that responders participate in should feature plain
English commands so they can function in a multi-jurisdiction
environment."

--
Paul Hirose <iszintjv55@earINVALIDthlink.net>
To reply by email remove INVALID

3347. Re: How much is my Radio Shack 2006 worth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-19 22:31:06 UTC
From: chaz <chaznsc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <K4tNe.198$I93.81@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 12459

"Vince" <duster@duster.com> wrote in message
news:63dNe.90$Ux3.43@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
>I want to upgrade to a Digital scanner. .
> Thanks
>
>

Over the last 30 days they have sold for as little as 86.00 and as much as
225.00

HTH

chaz

3348. Re: How much is my Radio Shack 2006 worth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-19 22:33:18 UTC
From: chaz <chaznsc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <O6tNe.199$I93.62@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 12460

"Vince" <duster@duster.com> wrote in message
news:63dNe.90$Ux3.43@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
>I want to upgrade to a Digital scanner. .
> Thanks
>
>

Here is a link to ebay sale completed in the last 30 days

http://search-completed.ebay.com/radio-shack-2006_W0QQcatrefZC6QQfisZ2QQfromZR32QQfsooZ2QQfsopZ1QQsacatZQ2d1

chaz

3349. Philippines

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-19 22:48:19 UTC
From: Burr <pitz2@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <TktNe.131$Vg7.42@trnddc06>
Source record: 12461

I'm moving to the Philippines in two weeks and I would like to have some English freq.'s for the area.

Like over head air routes, military if any and shipping plus navy freq.'s for any passing ships

Any body have any info for the area, PLEASE

TIA,

Burr

3350. TrunkPCR Version 0.7.177 Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-20 01:10:22 UTC
From: CrazyC <crazy__c_@hot_mail.com>
Message-ID: <bqvNe.756$UI.138@okepread05>
Source record: 12462

TrunkPCR Version 0.7.177 Update is available for downloading here:
http://members.cox.net/fiftyone.50/TrunkPcr/TrunkPcr.html

This update fixes a couple bugs:

Aug 05,2005 - Fixed: Listening to Continuation Calls (Same ID).
Aug 08,2005 - -----: "Save Bank" now saves Group/Radio ID's if changed.
Aug 10,2005 - -----: Combined Trunk ID Edit and Group/Radio Stats.
Aug 10,2005 - -----: Changed Trunk Menu to reflect above change.
Aug 15,2005 - Fixed: Saving Settings in Registry with Permissions..
Aug 16,2005 - Fixed: Saving Last Used Channel if VFO turned CCW.
Aug 16,2005 - Fixed: Scanning During Trunking. Previous change in
decoder...

Plus a few other things...

Regards,
Jay

3351. FS: Uniden 796D-

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-20 14:50:45 UTC
From: HomebruElectronics@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1124549445.603889.32470@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12463

Hello, I have a brand new in the box Uniden BC796D. It was taken out once and played with for less than 1 hour when I first got it, then went right back in the box. I know it is a really top-notch scanner, but i've lost interest for the hobby. I did not pay for it myself, but I was told it was bought @ around $600. I would like $350 plus half of shipping. Thanks

3352. Re: Uniden 796D-

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-20 16:54:40 UTC
From: chaz <chaznsc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <kfJNe.468$I93.100@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 12464

<HomebruElectronics@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1124549445.603889.32470@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Hello, I have a brand new in the box Uniden BC796D. It was taken out
> once and played with for less than 1 hour when I first got it, then
> went right back in the box. I know it is a really top-notch scanner,
> but i've lost interest for the hobby. I did not pay for it myself, but
> I was told it was bought @ around $600. I would like $350 plus half of
> shipping. Thanks
>

have you thought of selling it on ebay? you could get 450 for it easy

3353. Re: k - newbie stumped right at the start! Help me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-20 17:32:44 UTC
From: The Other George <bgates@msn.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96B88A110C853bgatesmsncom@38.119.97.5>
Source record: 12465

>> >
>> > If you want to program the next channel in order, repeat Steps 2-
>> >
>> > BDK
>>
>>
>> So you cut and pasted the info I gave her at
>>
>> http://support.radioshack.com/support_electronics/doc6/6361.htm
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
> Well Yeah, I wasn't going to type it all in myself! I needed to go to
> bed, just like I do now.
>
> Later...
>
> BDK
>

hahahaha I wanted her to go read it for her self, anytime you hand info
to anyone on a plate they don't learn anything :-)

--
That Other George

Please send your spam to: uce@ftc.gov

IRC #ScannerRadio_Warez For all your Scanner Controll Warez

UseNET: alt.binaries.radio-scanner For all your Scanner Controll Warez

UseNET: alt.binaries.radio.scanner.warez For all your Scanner Controll
Warez

http://www.scannertesting.com

Posted Via Usenet.com Premium Usenet Newsgroup Services

** SPEED ** RETENTION ** COMPLETION ** ANONYMITY **
----
http://www.usenet.com

3354. Re: 10-codes and NIMS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-21 01:04:36 UTC
From: Steve Uhrig <Steve@swssec.com>
Message-ID: <rfkfg1ljs62ali7tj296d64hsn6cm2308m@4ax.com>
Source record: 12466

On Fri, 19 Aug 2005 18:38:04 GMT, "Paul Hirose"
<iszintjv55@earINVALIDthlink.net> wrote:

> Here's more on the National Incident Management System plain language
> requirement that the newspaper article mentioned.

> "All exercises that responders participate in should feature plain
> English commands so they can function in a multi-jurisdiction
> environment."

Our county mandates NIMS/ICS (Incident Command System) for all
agencies. They still use APCO 10 codes for day to day chatter, where
NIMS is a management system and not radio-specific. I don't recall any
mention in this or advanced NIMS courses about avoiding 10 codes, but
an unwritten given is all should be using the standard APCO 10 code.

FEMA offers a simple and free online NIMS intro course here open to
anyone:

http://168.143.180.84/FEMA/VC/is700_NIMS

It takes about 3 hours, has multimedia and is well done. You take an
online final, get an email pass/fail, and a paper certificate about 8
weeks later via post.

The above IS-700 course is mandatory for RACES membership in our
county. Good idea, as it makes sure everyone is on the same page in
the big picture, and defines who does what.

Steve

****
Steve Uhrig, SWS Security, Maryland (USA)
Mfrs of electronic surveillance equip
mailto:Steve@swssec.com website http://www.swssec.com
tel +1+410-879-4035, fax +1+410-836-1190
"In God we trust, all others we monitor"
****

3355. Re: Uniden 796D-

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-21 02:07:30 UTC
From: Paul Keenleyside <beeceepelights@teluz.net>
Message-ID: <CIRNe.151742$wr.123850@clgrps12>
Source record: 12467

"chaz" <chaznsc@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:kfJNe.468$I93.100@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> <HomebruElectronics@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1124549445.603889.32470@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> Hello, I have a brand new in the box Uniden BC796D. It was taken out
>> once and played with for less than 1 hour when I first got it, then
>> went right back in the box. I know it is a really top-notch scanner,
>> but i've lost interest for the hobby. I did not pay for it myself, but
>> I was told it was bought @ around $600. I would like $350 plus half of
>> shipping. Thanks
>>
>
> have you thought of selling it on ebay? you could get 450 for it easy

Nahh $50.00. He did not pay for himself, so why a cash windfall all of a
sudden? No recouping a purchase here,
just someone out to make a Fast Four Hundred. Why pay a large sum so that
this guy can cash in and get seed
money for a 50" Big Screen to watch NFL games while munching on Pork Rinds?

3356. Re: k - newbie stumped right at the start! Help me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-21 02:28:54 UTC
From: BDK <kingratay@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1d71b0eec8f2d9eb989a45@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 12468

In article <Xns96B88A110C853bgatesmsncom@38.119.97.5>, bgates@msn.com
says...
> >> >
> >> > If you want to program the next channel in order, repeat Steps 2-
> >> >
> >> > BDK
> >>
> >>
> >> So you cut and pasted the info I gave her at
> >>
> >> http://support.radioshack.com/support_electronics/doc6/6361.htm
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> > >
> > > Well Yeah, I wasn't going to type it all in myself! I needed to go to
> > > bed, just like I do now.
> > >
> > > Later...
> > >
> > > BDK
> > >
> >
> > hahahaha I wanted her to go read it for her self, anytime you hand info
> > to anyone on a plate they don't learn anything :-)
> >
> >
> >
> >

Well, I figured if she heard something, she would stick with it..

BDK

3357. Outdoor Antenna Questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-21 03:57:13 UTC
From: "bcd396@gmail.com" <bcd396@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124596633.918742.81690@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12469

Hello All, I've got some questions about outdoor antennas. I monitor a motorola type II trunked system in Colorado Sprigns, CO.

I've got a 20-043 discone from RS, a uniden bcd396t, and 70 feet of RG-6 quad-shield of cable inbetween. The antenna is on a mast 12 feet in the air above the house.

Much of the radio communication is choppy; is that a artifact of how I set up the system? Is this a good setup of cable/antenna/radio? I've read some information about tuning antennas, but is that something I still need to do in this case?

Thanks!

3358. FS - Pro 2006 with FULL 456 Installed + MORE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-21 13:07:34 UTC
From: Scan Da Bands <vzeip5vc@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <24vgg1lnfrgbn1neq44l7n961chbp4k4qb@4ax.com>
Source record: 12470

Pro-2006 w/Opto FULL 456 and Toshiba 550CDT Laptop,Probe 5.0.

$600 BEST OFFER.

Have all cables etc. and disk for Probe with Probe manual.If
interested e-mail me for more specs if needed.Also yes both are 100%
functional. Yes it will on the mods with the Probe.

also have the following :

Timewave DSP-9.$100 BEST OFFER

Optoelectronics DC-442.$125 BEST OFFER

Dell Dimension 2350 Desktop LOADED.$400 BEST OFFER

3359. Re: Outdoor Antenna Questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-21 18:13:58 UTC
From: Don <wxfreqrs@cableone.net>
Message-ID: <11ghh3f7mngft5b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 12471

first check all connections
then get the ant up in the air 30 feet minimum about housetop
if you still have problems then cut coax run
70 feet coax for a 12 ft above house run.....
that's first place i would look
was coax new or used when you put it up

--
Don
SEMPER VIGILIS
DUTY, HONOR, COUNTRY
http://www.californiaminutemen.com/cmm/maritime.htm Maritime Borderwatch
http://www.jcmsara.org Ham radio link
http://myweb.cableone.net/wxfreqrs/ Pascagoula Ms Real Time Observations
<bcd396@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1124596633.918742.81690@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> Hello All, I've got some questions about outdoor antennas. I monitor a
> motorola type II trunked system in Colorado Sprigns, CO.
>
> I've got a 20-043 discone from RS, a uniden bcd396t, and 70 feet of
> RG-6 quad-shield of cable inbetween. The antenna is on a mast 12 feet
> in the air above the house.
>
> Much of the radio communication is choppy; is that a artifact of how I
> set up the system? Is this a good setup of cable/antenna/radio? I've
> read some information about tuning antennas, but is that something I
> still need to do in this case?
>
> Thanks!
>

3360. Re: PerCon WTF?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-21 20:36:08 UTC
From: "D. Brown" <dvbrown5@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <8vadnZ2dnZ28fuqbnZ2dnSd4ld6dnZ2dRVn-yZ2dnZ0@comcast.com>
Source record: 12472
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

<xxnonexnonexx@tampascanner.info> wrote in message
news:<dgueg19q2s1bh4t2cc7ssp8ddci59qsnj7@4ax.com>...
> On Fri, 19 Aug 2005 13:36:11 -0400, "D. Brown" <dvbrown5@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >I subscribed to Spectrum online last Tues and have not received an ID or
> >password yet. I've sent them a couple of emails, no reply so far and I
> >even
> >called their support number, all I get is voice mail. At least they
> >haven't
> >charged my credit card yet.
> >
> >Does anyone know what the deal is? I've always heard good things about
> >them,
> >I'm not too impressed thus far.
> >
>
> They went down hill after they got their government contract to supply
> various
> databases to various agencies. Right after they got that the Spectrum CD
> was
> killed and only the Pro DB remained. Thank fully others have stepped in to
> supply a similar product at the same price point for those not needing the
> Pro
> DB.

Who else offers a freq database?

I already have police call IMO, other than public safety there's not much
else on the CD or in the book that is very interesting.

3361. Re: 10-codes losing popularity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-21 20:58:26 UTC
From: wf3h@comcast.net
Message-ID: <4308ea9b.191382459@newsgroups.comcast.net>
Source record: 12473

On Wed, 17 Aug 2005 17:18:07 -0500, "rtc" <rsmith98@hiwaay.net> wrote:

>The TV show "Cops" did a show years ago from
>Broward(?) County Fla.,which is the Miami area.
>It was Shocking...they were using Q-signals!
>At first I thought I was imagining it,but the
>dispatcher was saying things like QSL and QTH.
>Really gets your attention...
>

yep...saw a movie..an old one starring montgomery clift. the credits
said everyone in the movie apart from clift was active duty
military...it was about the berlin airlift.

as one of the planes comes into the airport, the controller asked him
to 'qsy' to another freq. was amazed...

---
to see who "wf3h" is, go to "qrz.com"
and enter 'wf3h' in the field

3362. Re: Outdoor Antenna Questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-22 01:31:19 UTC
From: "bcd396@gmail.com" <bcd396@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124674279.463356.311020@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12474

I got another 6 feet, so it's about 20 feet in the air, and that was it. I have a tin roof, and that might have been blocking when the antenna was lower.

I also routed the coax around the eve of the roof to the office, instead of through the garage to the basement up through the floor. It might have had some interference in the basement.

I have one single run of coax, 70 feet, and I used compression bnc fittings on both ends. I got the cable right off the spool at a local home improvement store.

Thanks!

3363. Yupiteru new models very scarce

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-22 05:11:15 UTC
From: Ray Colvill <rcolvill@paradise.net.nz>
Message-ID: <43095e7e@clear.net.nz>
Source record: 12475
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

No new models from them for while in the scanner arena.

The website has no scanners on the front page any more.

They seemed to have branched in another direction.

Any one know any more about what's up.

Protected by GIANT Company's Spam Inspector
The most powerful anti-spam software available.
http://mail.spaminspector.com

3364. FS:Uniden BC246T Trunk Tracker III 800 MHz 1600 ch Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-22 06:24:13 UTC
From: Vic <ent001@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124691853.829920.134770@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12476

New Uniden BC246T Trunk Tracker III 800 MHz Scanner still have the Box
it came in and all the Paper work asking $220 Shipped if you wanna buy
it or have any ? e/mail me

3365. Re: Outdoor Antenna Questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-22 07:59:35 UTC
From: Quaoar <quaoar@tenthplanet.net>
Message-ID: <pdednYpE_Y91GJTeRVn-gQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 12477

bcd396@gmail.com wrote:
> Hello All, I've got some questions about outdoor antennas. I monitor
> a motorola type II trunked system in Colorado Sprigns, CO.
>
> I've got a 20-043 discone from RS, a uniden bcd396t, and 70 feet of
> RG-6 quad-shield of cable inbetween. The antenna is on a mast 12 feet
> in the air above the house.
>
> Much of the radio communication is choppy; is that a artifact of how I
> set up the system? Is this a good setup of cable/antenna/radio? I've
> read some information about tuning antennas, but is that something I
> still need to do in this case?
>
> Thanks!

The distance and quality of the cable might well be the problem, i.e.,
signal loss. Also, anyone in CO with an antenna should take care with
lightning protection, metal roof or not.

You might consult www.strongsignals.net for estimating the signal loss
vs cable quality.

3366. A trip to Australia with scanner radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-22 11:28:25 UTC
From: jamoran <analon1@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <hoednaVr168zK5TeRVn-tg@sedona.net>
Source record: 12478
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

there are many australian scanner web sites, go do a search for
australian scanner frequencies and ask the web page operator

I have bought scanner radios from UK and Australian merchants nad had
them shipped into the USA, they work just fine..

I also frequently with at least one (usually 2) scanners and a shortwave
radio (sony-2010) plus I have a frequency database in my laptop

It's fun to listen to the tower, approach, and center frequencies as
well as the airline ground frequencies. The civil aviation frequencies

are the same 108-118 mhz for navigational nd airport beacons and
118-137mhz for voice and ACARS data.

ARINC (aeronautical radio incorporated) also has an international HF or
shortwave radio network they operate for aircraft flying internationally
and over oceans to report positions and receive traffic clearances. This
in addition to the USA network. ARINC VHF frequencies are in the
128-132mhz range and AM modulated.

the first time they saw me, airport security asked what the scanner was,
now, I usualy have the tower or one of the active TRACON (approach or
departure) frequencies in the scanner when I go through security, IF I
dont, the security people often ask to listen to the tower more as a
matter of curiousity.

SP Cook wrote:

>
>>Christopher O'Callaghan wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Hi,
>>>
>>>In a month i will be making a long trip to australia for a holiday.I of
>>>course plan on bringing my Bearcat portable radio scanner.I just nned to
>>>know is it illegal for me to bring it with me on the plane?
>>>
>
> Scanner as it it receives broadcasts other than SW, AM and FM
> commercial bands? Like a police scanner?
>
> If you are asking whether you can use it on a plane, it probably won't
> work anyway. If you are asking if it is legal to use in Australia, you
> should contact the Australian Embassy or the Australian equilivant of

> the FCC directly. You will probably need some sort of Australian
> liscense, and you may find that the freqs. used for various purposes
> are different there.
>

3367. Re: A trip to Australia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-22 12:23:22 UTC
From: James Robinson <wascana@212.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96BA555B43704wascanamailcircuitco@216.196.97.142>
Source record: 12479

"Christopher O'Callaghan" <d.ocallaghan@iolfree.ie> wrote:

> i will be making a long trip to australia for a holiday.I of
> course plan on bringing my Bearcat portable radio scanner.I just nned to
> know is it illegal for me to bring it with me on the plane?

It is legal to possess and use scanners in Australia. No license is required, and the usual limitations apply, like you cannot use it commit a crime, cannot listen to mobile or cordless phones, and cannot make financial gain on the information you hear over the radio.

It is also legal to carry a scanner on the plane. Since scanners frequently look like radio transmitters, you might get some hassle at security about whether it is turned off. In some cases I have heard them ask that the battery be disconnected. When I've taken one in carry-on baggage, I've never had any problems.

3368. Re: FS:Uniden BC246T Trunk Tracker III 800 MHz 1600 ch Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-22 15:29:16 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <gbmOe.109$z2.22@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 12480

"Vic" <ent001@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1124691853.829920.134770@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> New Uniden BC246T Trunk Tracker III 800 MHz Scanner still have the Box
> it came in and all the Paper work asking $220 Shipped if you wanna buy
> it or have any ? e/mail me
>

Or they could just go here and get it new for $214.00 including the
shipping.

http://www.usascan.com/files/bc246T.cfm

3369. Re: Yupiteru new models very scarce

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-22 19:32:02 UTC
From: Barb <barb.b@q.com>
Message-ID: <SKpOe.21$jz4.10@newsfe5-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 12481
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

"Ray Colvill" <rcolvill@paradise.net.nz> wrote in message
news:43095e7e@clear.net.nz...
> No new models from them for while in the scanner arena.
>
> The website has no scanners on the front page any more.
>
> They seemed to have branched in another direction.
>
> Any one know any more about what's up.
>
>
>
It reflects the current market - as in not much call for scanners now in the UK. Let's face it, people only bought them to listen to police, phones and PMR. Now a lot of it has gone digital and the only real enthusiasts are left, they only form a small percentage of the market. So companies have decided not to flog a dead horse so to speak. There is no point in bringing out new models when there is no real demand for current models. Sales have fallen consistently.
That's about it. If anyone else thinks differently then fine. Try selling a scanner now, people ask "what can I listen to" and the reply is a very short one! Not much.
We have to face facts and companies have, so that's why you're no longer seeing any new models!
It's a shame really. Scanning has gone the same way as CB radio in the UK.

3370. Re: A trip to Australia with scanner radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-22 19:45:27 UTC
From: kiwirat@gmail.com
Message-ID: <gjakg1d2dghnc1h89hu8220vnv2e1n26m9@4ax.com>
Source record: 12482
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

Just got back from a trip to Australia. Took my Radio Shack PRO-94,
had no problems whatsoever. The security people just asked me to turn
it on to make sure it worked. We had a 24hr layover in Narita Japan
the motel was right next to Narita airport, listened to the tower just
about all night long.
While in AU, I scanned all the air freq's till I heard something, the
fact we spent most of our time in Cairns, the airport was fairly busy.
I then scanned all the HF fereq, till we heard something, so in short
there was always something to listen to.

On Mon, 22 Aug 2005 04:28:25 -0700, jamoran <analon1@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>there are many australian scanner web sites, go do a search for
>australian scanner frequencies and ask the web page operator
>
>I have bought scanner radios from UK and Australian merchants nad had
>them shipped into the USA, they work just fine..
>
>I also frequently with at least one (usually 2) scanners and a shortwave
>radio (sony-2010) plus I have a frequency database in my laptop
>
>It's fun to listen to the tower, approach, and center frequencies as
>well as the airline ground frequencies. The civil aviation frequencies
>
>are the same 108-118 mhz for navigational nd airport beacons and
>118-137mhz for voice and ACARS data.
>
>ARINC (aeronautical radio incorporated) also has an international HF or
>shortwave radio network they operate for aircraft flying internationally
>and over oceans to report positions and receive traffic clearances. This
>in addition to the USA network. ARINC VHF frequencies are in the
>128-132mhz range and AM modulated.
>
>the first time they saw me, airport security asked what the scanner was,
>now, I usualy have the tower or one of the active TRACON (approach or
>departure) frequencies in the scanner when I go through security, IF I
>dont, the security people often ask to listen to the tower more as a
>matter of curiousity.
>
>
>
>SP Cook wrote:
>

>>
>>>Christopher O'Callaghan wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Hi,
>>>>
>>>>In a month i will be making a long trip to australia for a holiday.I of
>>>>course plan on bringing my Bearcat portable radio scanner.I just nned to
>>>>know is it illegal for me to bring it with me on the plane?
>>>>
>>
>> Scanner as it it receives broadcasts other than SW, AM and FM
>> commercial bands? Like a police scanner?
>>
>> If you are asking whether you can use it on a plane, it probably won't
>> work anyway. If you are asking if it is legal to use in Australia, you
>> should contact the Australian Embassy or the Australian equilivant of
>> the FCC directly. You will probably need some sort of Australian
>> liscense, and you may find that the freqs. used for various purposes
>> are different there.
>>

3371. Re: Yupiteru new models very scarce

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-22 19:53:04 UTC
From: PromaBoss <Promaboss@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <A2qOe.5$hU1.4@newsfe4-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 12483
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

yes but no manufacture just made scanners for UK only

the fact is while like of AOR/JUPITERU/

forget the scanning market Uniden in USA is making scannerrs like there is no tomorow and although some things are only needed in USA people in UK are buying them and making good use of them

the police marrket was only a small market,most largest i would say is AIRBAND,civil and less but still substantial mil airr listening.

police and 999 were big too,but people apart from those just into police area realsising there is so much more out there.

and indee d the reporting of those freqs found has never been so good,when people listend to police i hadly got any freqs sent that were non police,now i get loads.

and in fact scanners are actiually selling pretty well,if someone selling cant tell people whats out there honestly then thats a pretty poor company

last yea i happlily told people remember this wont pick up police on there new sysatem,and people often said,well i never wanted thenm in first place.

sadly i will prob buy anything new if i can afford it from USA,i can get stuff cheaper and just as quick and now for first time a better choice ofd radios with more advanced features for my needs.

the pmr on site market for a start has never been so busy,its only wide area systems that really have dived in use.

take a look in a town main street and shopping areas,so many radio councils security wardens/shops/pubs/leusure centres.

just in last 3 months anoth 1200 freqs i have added to PROMA dbase here is just some

some i have to say are also re confirmations of freqs already in but most are newly found,ie those airband ones arre just re confimations

86.35000 FM SCOUT ASSOCIATION NATIONAL CH2 RESERVE NATIONAL USE
86.36250 FM SCOUT ASSOCIATION NATIONAL CH1 RESERVE NATIONAL USE
118.02500 AM AIR-GROUND FAIR ISLE AIRFIELD SCOTLAND
118.12500 AM AIR-GROUND LITTLE SNORING AIRFIELD BEDFORDSHIRE
118.90000 AM AIR-GND ROUGHAM AIRFIELD BURY ST EDMIUNDS SUFFOLK
119.10000 AM AIR-GROUND DEFFORD AIRFIELD GLOUCESTERSHIRE
119.45000 AM AIR-GROUND HINTON IN THE HEDGES AIRFIELD BRACKLEY

NORTHAMPTONSHIRE
123.50000 AM AIR-GROUND FELTHORPE AIRFIELD NORWICH NORFOLK
123.82500 AM AIR-GROUND LITTLE STAUGHTON AIRFIELD BEDFORDSHIRE
125.27500 AM LOW LEVEL NORTH SEA ALERTING ANGLIA RADAR
126.60000 AM VOLMET AIRPORT WEATHER NORTH
128.60000 AM VOLMET AIRPORT WEATHER SOUTH
128.92500 AM LOW LEVEL NORTH SEA ALERTING ANGLIA RADAR
129.72500 AM AIR-GROUND DEANLAND AIRFILED LEWES SUSSEX
129.80000 AM AFIS BREIGHTON AIRFIELD YORKSHIRE
129.90000 AM VERITAIR OPERATIONS TREMORFA HELIPAD CARDIFF SOUTH WALES
135.37500 AM VOLMET AIRPORT WEATHER MAIN
157.85000 FM LOCH LOMOND RANGERS LOCH LOMOND SCOTLAND
159.51250 FM P & O EXPRESS LARNE NORTHERN IRELAND
159.51250 FM P & O EXPRESS TROON AYRSHIRE SCOTLAND
159.51250 FM P & O FERRIES CAIRNRYAN AYRSHIRE SCOTLAND
161.47500 FM SEAWHEEL FERRIES FELIXSTOWE DOCK SUFFOLK
163.86250 159.36250 FM KENT COUNTY SHOWGROUND DETLING KENT
166.46250 171.26250 FM Sussex Ambulance Trust Reserve Portable Repeater used
by ST John Ambulance etc SUSSEX
167.58750 172.38750 FM ASHLEY WOOD GARAGE TARRANT KEYNSTON DORSET
167.66250 FM ROYAL MAIL SECURITY CHESTERFIELD DERYBYSHIRE
167.66250 FM ROYAL MAIL SECURITY NATIONAL
168.30000 FM FARM BALDOCK HERTFORDSHIRE
168.85000 FM MUSEUM OF FLIGHT EAST FORTUNE EAST FORTUNE AIRFIELD EAST
LOTHIAN
168.97500 FM PENTALVER TRANSPORT FELIXSTOWE DOCKS SUFFOLK
169.11250 FM FELIXSTOWE DOCKS NORTH FREIGHTLINER TERMINAL FELIXSTOWE DOCK
SUFFOLK
169.17500 FM FELIXSTOWE DOCKS FELIXSTOWE DOCK SUFFOLK
169.26250 FM FELIXSTOWE DOCKS SOUTH FREIGHTLINER TERMINAL FELIXSTOWE DOCK
SUFFOLK
169.28750 FM FELIXSTOWE DOCKS NORTH FREIGHTLINER TERMINAL FELIXSTOWE DOCK
SUFFOLK
169.30000 FM FELIXSTOWE DOCKS SOUTH FREIGHTLINER TERMINAL FELIXSTOWE DOCK
SUFFOLK
169.32500 FM BENJYS COFFEE SHOP/ALL FOOD OUTLETS EASTBOURNE ESPLANADE
EASTBOURNE SUSSEX
169.33750 FM CHURCH FARM HOLIDAY VILLAGE BOURNE LEISURE GROUP
MAINT/ADMIN/SECURITY PAGHAM CHICHESTER SUSSEX
169.83750 FM FELIXSTOWE DOCKS NORTH FREIGHTLINER TERMINAL FELIXSTOWE DOCK
SUFFOLK
169.96250 FM FELIXSTOWE DOCKS SOUTH FREIGHTLINER TERMINAL FELIXSTOWE DOCK
SUFFOLK
205.20000 197.20000 FM FELIXSTOWE DOCKS NORTH FREIGHTLINER TERMINAL
FELIXSTOWE DOCK SUFFOLK
205.25000 197.25000 FM FELIXSTOWE DOCKS SOUTH FREIGHTLINER TERMINAL
FELIXSTOWE DOCK SUFFOLK
283.47500 AM LOW LEVEL NORTH SEA ALERTING ANGLIA RADAR
309.55000 AM TOWER RAF CHETWYIND SHROPSHIRE
359.47500 AM 29 Sqdn Air-Air RAF CONINGSBY LINCOLNSHIRE

372.50000 AM GROUND RAF ODIHAM HAMPSHIRE
440.78750 426.28750 FM PARKING ENFORCEMENT MANCHESTER AIRPORT GREATER MANCHESTER
446.15000 FM VUE CINEMA OCEAN TERMINAL SHOPPING CENTRE LEITH EDINBURGH LOTHIAN SCOTLAND
446.20000 FM OCEAN TERMINAL SHOPPING CENTRE LEITH EDINBURGH LOTHIAN SCOTLAND
446.28750 FM FELIXSTOWE DOCKS FELIXSTOWE DOCK SUFFOLK
446.36250 FM FELIXSTOWE DOCKS FELIXSTOWE DOCK SUFFOLK
446.40000 FM UCG CINEMA STAFF TROCADERO CENTRE PICCADILY LONDON W LONDON
447.72500 FM P & O PRIDE OF PORTSMOUTH DOCKSIDE CH6 PORTSMOUTH HAMPSHIRE
447.78750 FM EDINBURGH AIRPORT EDINBURGH AIRPORT LOTHIAN SCOTLAND
449.31250 FM BLAIR DRUMMOND SAFARI PARK ADMIN/ANIMAL WELFARE CH1 STIRLING SCOTLAND
449.31250 FM St John Ambulance Ch.1 RICOH STADIUM COVENTRY WEST MIDLANDS
449.40000 FM BLAIR DRUMMOND SAFARI PARK RETAIL OUTLETS CH2 STIRLING SCOTLAND
449.40000 FM St John Ambulance Ch.2 RICOH STADIUM COVENTRY WEST MIDLANDS
449.47500 FM BLAIR DRUMMOND SAFARI PARK CH3 STIRLING SCOTLAND
449.47500 FM St John Ambulance Ch.3 RICOH STADIUM COVENTRY WEST MIDLANDS
450.07500 FM Ch.78 Tally Ho Police Training Centre EDGBASTON WEST MIDLANDS
450.25000 464.25000 FM Ch.68 Police Cadets SUSSEX
453.12500 459.62500 FM BMI BRTISH MIDLAND OPERATIONS EDINBURGH AIRPORT LOTHIAN SCOTLAND
453.20000 459.70000 FM PDQ COURIERS EDINBURGH LOTHIAN SCOTLAND
453.20000 FM FELIXSTOWE DOCKS SOUTH FREIGHTLINER TERMINAL FELIXSTOWE DOCK SUFFOLK
453.30000 FM APPLE COMPUTERS SUPERSTORE REGENT STREET LONDON W LONDON
453.47500 459.97500 FM SHOPWATCH SECURITY HOUNSLOW LONDON TW3 LONDON
453.50000 460.00000 FM IKEA FURNITURE SUPERSTORE STRAITON EDINBURGH LOTHIAN SCOTLAND
453.52500 FM AIRLINE RAMP OPERATIONS EDINBURGH AIRPORT LOTHIAN SCOTLAND
453.62500 460.02500 FM FELIXSTOWE DOCK FELIXSTOWE DOCK SUFFOLK
453.72500 460.22500 FM BRITISH AIRWAYS OPERATIONS EDINBURGH AIRPORT LOTHIAN SCOTLAND
453.77500 460.27500 FM SASSCO DOORMEN/SECURITY PUB STAFF/CCTV CH2 SPEECH INVERSION AVAILABLE BRIGHTON SUSSEX
453.77500 460.27500 FM SASSCO MANAGEMENT CHANNEL CH3 SPEECH INVERSION AVAILABLE BRIGHTON SUSSEX
453.77500 460.27500 FM THE MALL SHOPPING CENTRE MPT1237 TRUNK CONTROL CHANNEL ROMFORD ESSEX
453.82500 460.32500 FM EDINBURGH AIRPORT EDINBURGH AIRPORT LOTHIAN SCOTLAND
453.82500 460.32500 FM PARKING ENFORCEMENT WORTHING SUSSEX
453.92500 460.42500 FM FELIXSTOWE DOCKS FELIXSTOWE DOCK SUFFOLK
453.97500 FM FELIXSTOWE DOCKS NORTH FREIGHTLINER TERMINAL FELIXSTOWE DOCK SUFFOLK
453.98750 460.48750 FM SHOPWATCH SECURITY SOUTHAMPTON HAMPSHIRE
456.01250 461.51250 FM RICOH ARENA[TEMPORARY] MPT1327 TRUNK NET DATA CONTROL COVENTRY WEST MIDLANDS
456.02500 461.52500 FM EDINBURGH AIRPORT EDINBURGH AIRPORT LOTHIAN SCOTLAND

456.02500 461.52500 FM SHOREHAM PORT FORWARDING SPF SHOREHAM DOCKS SUSSEX
456.05000 461.55000 FM METROBUS CRAWLEY SUSSEX
456.33750 461.73750 FM RICOH ARENA[TEMPORARY] MPT1327 TRUNK NET VOICE
COVENTRY WEST MIDLANDS
456.35000 461.85000 FM GAP FASHION STORE STAFF MATBLE ARCH LONDON W LONDON
456.38750 FM PALACE OF WESTMINSTER TOUR GUIDES LONDON SW1 LONDON
456.45000 461.95000 FM PARKING ENFORCEMENT POOLE DORSET
456.45000 461.95000 FM PARKING ENFORCEMENT DORCHESTER/BRIDPORT/WEST DORSET
WEST DORSET
456.46250 461.96250 FM RICOH ARENA[TEMPORARY] MPT1327 TRUNK NET VOICE
COVENTRY WEST MIDLANDS
456.52500 462.02500 FM FELIXSTOWE DOCKS FELIXSTOWE DOCK SUFFOLK
456.56250 462.06250 FM RICOH ARENA[TEMPORARY] MPT1327 TRUNK NET VOICE
COVENTRY WEST MIDLANDS
456.60000 462.10000 FM THE MALL SHOPPING CENTRE MPT1327 TRUNK VOICE CHANNEL
ROMFORD ESSEX
456.65000 462.15000 FM AIRPORT OPERATIONS CH2 ROBIN HOOD AIRPORT DONCASTER
SOUTH YORKSHIRE
456.68750 462.18750 FM RICOH ARENA[TEMPORARY] MPT1327 TRUNK NET VOICE
COVENTRY WEST MIDLANDS
456.70000 462.20000 FM AIRRADIO TRUNK NET MPT1327 MULTI USER VOICE
AVIANCE/SECURITY SOUTHAMPTON AIRPORT HAMPSHIRE
456.72500 462.22500 FM FELIXSTOWE DOCKS FELIXSTOWE DOCK SUFFOLK
456.77500 462.27500 FM FELIXSTOWE DOCKS FELIXSTOWE DOCK SUFFOLK
456.80000 462.30000 FM FELIXSTOWE DOCKS FELIXSTOWE DOCK SUFFOLK
456.86250 FM LLANDUDNO PIER SECURITY/STAFF LLANDUDNO NORTH WALES
456.87500 462.27500 FM SHOPWATCH SECURITY PORTSMOUTH HAMPSHIRE
456.95000 462.45000 FM ROYAL YACHT BRITANNIA LEITH EIDNBURGH LOTHIAN
SCOTLAND
456.95000 462.45000 FM SHOPWATCH SECURITY DUDLEY WEST MIDLANDS
456.98750 FM ROYAL MAIL NATIONAL DISTRIBUTION CENTRE NORTHAMPTON
NORTHAMPTONSHIRE
457.52500 FM SEAWHEEL FERRIES FELIXSTOWE DOCK SUFFOLK
459.95000 FM FELIXSTOWE DOCKS FELIXSTOWE DOCK SUFFOLK
461.33750 FM GAP CLOTHES STORE MARBLE ARCH LONDON W LONDON
461.88750 FM ROYAL MAIL NATIONAL DISTRIBUTION CENTRE NORTHAMPTON
NORTHAMPTONSHIRE
462.10000 FM TREATY CENTRE SECURITY HOUNSLOW LONDON TW3 LONDON
462.12500 FM WAGN FINSBURY PARK STATION LONDON N LONDON
462.37500 FM FELIXSTOWE DOCKS RAIL SHUNTING ALL AREAS FELIXSTOWE DOCK
SUFFOLK
464.95000 450.95000 FM Holyrood Palace Maintenance/Administration EDINBURGH
LOTHIAN SCOTLAND
466.20000 452.20000 FM Edinburgh Castle Police EDINBURGH LOTHIAN SCOTLAND
467.52500 FM FELIXSTOWE DOCKS NORFOLK LINE FELIXSTOWE DOCKS SUFFOLK

409.00000 FM AAC Wattisham Tower AAC WATTISHAM SUFFOLK
419.85000 ???.???? FM MILITARY ARMY M25 SURREY/HAMPSHIRE
433.47500 FM Army Dalton Barracks
434.02500 439.77500 FM British Energy Generation Trunked UK NUCLEUR POWER

STATIONS RUN BY BRITISH ENERGY ALL 8
436.02500 443.65000 FM British Energy Generation Trunked UK NUCLEUR POWER
STATIONS RUN BY BRITISH ENERGY ALL 8
437.02500 443.77500 FM British Energy Generation Trunked UK NUCLEUR POWER
STATIONS RUN BY BRITISH ENERGY ALL 8
437.06250 444.15000 FM British Energy GenerationTrunked UK NUCLEUR POWER
STATIONS RUN BY BRITISH ENERGY ALL 8
438.06250 444.75000 FM British Energy Generation Trunked UK NUCLEUR POWER
STATIONS RUN BY BRITISH ENERGY ALL 8
439.06250 445.06250 FM British Energy Generation Trunked UK NUCLEUR POWER
STATIONS RUN BY BRITISH ENERGY ALL 8
440.17500 425.67500 FM OLYMPIC AIRWAYS T2 HEATHROW AIRPORT LONDON/MIDDLESEX
UB7 LONDON
440.20000 425.70000 FM LAKESIDE SHOPPING CENTRE MPT1327 TRUNK NETWORK VOICE
WEST THURROCK ESSEX
440.42500 425.92500 FM NORTHSIDE MEDICS HEATHROW AIRPORT LONDON/MIDDLESEX
UB7 LONDON
440.47500 425.97500 FM HEATHROW CONTRACTORS [NOT T5] HEATHROW AIRPORT
LONDON/MIDDLESEX UB7 LONDON
440.55000 426.05000 FM CLEANERS HEATHROW AIRPORT LONDON/MIDDLESEX UB7 LONDON
440.55000 426.05000 FM LAKESIDE SHOPPING CENTRE MPT1327 TRUNK NETWORK VOICE
WEST THURROCK ESSEX
440.63750 426.13750 FM SHOPWATCH SECURITY WEST BROMWICH WEST MIDLANDS
440.75000 426.25000 FM TRANSPORT SERVICE HEATHROW AIRPORT LONDON/MIDDLESEX
UB7 LONDON
440.90000 426.40000 FM HOTEL EDINBURGH LOTHIAN SCOTLAND
440.90000 426.40000 FM HOUSE OF FRASER RACKHAMS BIRMINGHAM WEST MIDLANDS
440.91250 426.41250 FM AIRCRAFT SECURITY T1/T4 HEATHROW AIRPORT
LONDON/MIDDLESEX UB7 LONDON
441.03750 426.53750 FM JAL CARGO OPS HEATHROW AIRPORT LONDON/MIDDLESEX UB7
LONDON
441.26250 426.76250 FM AIRCRAFT ENGINEERS HEATHROW AIRPORT LONDON/MIDDLESEX
UB7 LONDON
441.28750 426.78750 FM UNIVERSITY OF CENTRAL ENGLAND MPT1327 TRUNK NET
CONTROL CHANNEL BIRMINGHAM WEST MIDLANDS
441.31250 426.81250 FM UNIVERSITY OF CENTRAL ENGLAND MPT1327 TRUNK NET VOICE
CHANNEL BIRMINGHAM WEST MIDLANDS
441.77500 427.27500 FM AIRPORT TAXI COORDINATION/FLIGHT ARRIVAL UPDATES
ROBIN HOOD AIRPORT DONCASTER SOUTH YORKSHIRE
441.91250 427.41250 FM UNIVERSITY SPORT BIRMINGHAM UNIVERSITY BIRMINGHAM
WEST MIDLANDS
442.17500 427.67500 FM PARKING ENFORCEMENT FULHAM LONDON LONDON
442.65000 428.15000 FM AIR FARYE CATERING HEATHROW AIRPORT LONDON/MIDDLESEX
UB7 LONDON
442.72500 428.22500 FM SAUDIA AIRLINES HEATHROW AIRPORT LONDON/MIDDLESEX UB7
LONDON
442.77500 428.27500 FM GLOBEGROUND/SERVISAIR T2/T3 HEATHROW AIRPORT
LONDON/MIDDLESEX UB7 LONDON
442.96250 428.46250 FM COURTLANDS/BUDGET RENT A CAR HEATHROW AIRPORT
LONDON/MIDDLESEX UB7 LONDON

443.00000 428.50000 FM LAKESIDE SHOPPING CENTRE MPT1327 TRUNK NETWORK VOICE
WEST THURROCK ESSEX
443.02500 428.52500 FM LAKESIDE SHOPPING CENTRE MPT1327 TRUNK NETWORK DATA
CONTROL WEST THURROCK ESSEX
443.10000 428.60000 FM SWISSPORT CARGO SERVICE CENTRE HEATHROW AIRPORT
LONDON/MIDDLESEX UB7 LONDON
444.52500 FM Escorting Group 4 Prison Vans Police/Immigration
445.51250 425.01250 FM NU-VENTURE BUSES MAIDSTONE/AYLESFORD/MEDWAY KENT
445.52500 FM DEEPDALE RETAIL PARK SECURITY PRESTON LANCASHIRE
445.53750 425.03750 FM SECURITAS EXPRESS CASH VANS LONDON LONDON
446.03125 FM FAIRBOURNE RAILWAY
446.05625 FM PMR446 CH5 CLUB/PUB WHITE SWAN SOUTHSEA PORTSMOUTH HAMPSHIRE
446.11250 FM EASTGATE SHOPPING MALL SECURITY GLOUCESTER GLOUCESTERSHIRE
446.11250 FM PARKING/CAR RENTALS HEATHROW AIRPORT LONDON/MIDDLESEX UB7
LONDON
446.11250 FM TOWN HALL CHELTENHAM GLOUCESTERSHIRE
446.15000 FM P & O FERRIES TROON AYRSHIRE SCOTLAND
446.15000 FM P & O FERRIES LARNE NORTHERN IRELAND
446.22500 FM COVENTRY COUNCIL OFFICES COVENTRY WEST MIDLANDS
446.22500 FM TRANSFER BUS COMPANY HEATHROW AIRPORT LONDON/MIDDLESEX UB7
LONDON
446.28750 FM EASTGATE SHOPPING MALL CLEANERS GLOUCESTER GLOUCESTERSHIRE
446.28750 FM UNID PARKING/CAR RENTALS HEATHROW AIRPORT LONDON/MIDDLESEX UB7
LONDON
446.38750 FM VOPAK GAS WORKS PURFLEET ESSEX
447.52500 FM Merseyside Ambulance Repeater MERSEYSIDE
447.71250 FM CAR PARKING/RENTALS HEATHROW AIRPORT LONDON/MIDDLESEX UB7
LONDON
449.12500 FM EWS ENGLISH WELSH AND SCOTTISH CANTON RAIL DEPOT CARDIFF SOUTH
WALES
449.12500 FM EWS ENGLISH WELSH AND SCOTTISH COMMON CHANNEL NATIONAL
449.12500 FM EWS ENGLISH WELSH AND SCOTTISH TRAINCARE DEPOT AYR AYRSHIRE
SCOTLAND
449.12500 FM EWS ENGLISH WELSH AND SCOTTISH TRAINCARE MURCHO COVENTRY WEST
MIDLANDS
449.12500 FM EWS ENGLISH WLESH AND SCOTTISH CANTON RAIL DEPOT CARDIFF SOUTH
WALES
449.28750 FM EWS ENGLISH WELSH AND SCOTTISH PETERBOROUGH CAMBRIDGESHIRE
449.31250 FM HOTEL HEATHROW AIRPORT LONDON/MIDDLESEX UB7 LONDON
449.31250 FM SCOUT ASSOCIATION NATIONAL CH1 NATIONAL USE
449.31250 FM WENTWORTH ESTATE BODYGUARDS/SECURITY WENTWORTH SURREY
449.31250 FM WOOLWORTHS CH1 STAFF LOWESTOFT SUFFOLK
449.40000 FM POSS SUSSAR LSAR TEAM CALLSIGN FRONTLINE ALPHA
449.40000 FM SCOUT ASSOCIATION NATIONAL CH2 NATIONAL USE
449.40000 FM WENTWORTH ESTATE BODYGUARDS/SECURITY WENTWORTH SURREY
449.40000 FM WOOLWORTHS CH2 LOWESTOFT SUFFOLK
449.47500 FM NSR COMMUNICATIONS SETTING UP CHANNEL
449.47500 FM WOOLWORTHS CH3 LOWESTOFT SUFFOLK

3372. Re: FS:Uniden BC246T Trunk Tracker III 800 MHz 1600 ch Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-22 19:58:13 UTC
From: jhLewis <none@none.non>
Message-ID: <i7qOe.9572$1g2.3605@fe05.lga>
Source record: 12484

Nice place to know about - thanks.

John

"Alex Clayton" <alexx1400@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:gbmOe.109$z2.22@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> "Vic" <ent001@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1124691853.829920.134770@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> New Uniden BC246T Trunk Tracker III 800 MHz Scanner still have the Box
>> it came in and all the Paper work asking $220 Shipped if you wanna buy
>> it or have any ? e/mail me
>>
>
> Or they could just go here and get it new for $214.00 including the
> shipping.
>
> http://www.usascan.com/files/bc246T.cfm
>

3373. Listening to airband frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-22 20:09:35 UTC
From: Pred02 <gbozovic@bu.edu>
Message-ID: <1124741375.452636.265120@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12485

Hi,
I just bought a radio scanner (radioshack pro-83) and want to listen to airband frequencies, however, I cannot find any on the airband. I tried doing the scan, and even tuning to specific ones, like Boston ATC and whatnot, but cannot hear anything.

Other frequencies, such as Police/Fire I hear just fine. I am approximately 10 miles away from a major airport.

Am I doing something wrong, or I really have to be close to the airport to hear them on the scanner?

Thanks.

Kind regards,
george

3374. Re: Listening to airband frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-22 20:38:09 UTC
From: Dave S <djsmartremovethisbit@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <430a37c5$0$22099$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 12486

10 miles. I would have thought you'd hear things OK.

You'd be better scanning or tuning to the specific frequencies the airport uses. Do an Internet search for the airport and the frequencies it has. Best start would be the approach or departure frequencies, particularly at a time you have aircraft operating over or near your home. You can try control tower and ground frequencies later.

However, eliminate the distance question for a start. Grab a list of the frequencies and go to or near the airport and make sure you are receiving them there. Once you're confident with the scanner at close quarters, try again from home.

--

Dave S

"Pred02" <gbozovic@bu.edu> wrote in message
news:1124741375.452636.265120@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> Hi,
> I just bought a radio scanner (radioshack pro-83) and want to listen to
> airband frequencies, however, I cannot find any on the airband. I tried
> doing the scan, and even tuning to specific ones, like Boston ATC and
> whatnot, but cannot hear anything.
>
> Other frequencies, such as Police/Fire I hear just fine. I am
> approximately 10 miles away from a major airport.
>
> Am I doing something wrong, or I really have to be close to the airport
> to hear them on the scanner?
>
> Thanks.
>
> Kind regards,
> george
>

3375. Re: Outdoor Antenna Questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-22 21:35:35 UTC
From: "bcd396@gmail.com" <bcd396@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124746535.299915.158020@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12487

Oh I agree! I've got 6 gauge colid copper from the bottom of the mast
to an 8-ft ground stake (shared with house utilities). I still need to
find an inline supressor though for the coax.

3376. Re: Yupiteru new models very scarce

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-22 22:40:35 UTC
From: JC <johncalias-newsgroupsATyahooD0TcoD0Tuk>
Message-ID: <u0lkg1l2s1k77av94ibhna23gtn3k9ni82@4ax.com>
Source record: 12488
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

On Mon, 22 Aug 2005 19:53:04 GMT, "PromaBoss" <Promaboss@ntlworld.com>
wrote:

>453.77500 460.27500 FM THE MALL SHOPPING CENTRE MPT1237 TRUNK CONTROL
>CHANNEL ROMFORD ESSEX

What type of scanner will pick up the MPT1237 trunked transmissions??

--

Regards

John [Essex, UK]
Remove the obvious spamtrap to reply

3377. Re: FS:Uniden BC246T Trunk Tracker III 800 MHz 1600 ch Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-22 22:50:07 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <zEsOe.364$5B4.201@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 12489

```
"jhLewis" <none@none.non> wrote in message
news:i7qOe.9572$1g2.3605@fe05.lga...
> Nice place to know about - thanks.
>
> John
>
> "Alex Clayton" <alexx1400@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:gbmOe.109$z2.22@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> "Vic" <ent001@aol.com> wrote in message
>> news:1124691853.829920.134770@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>> New Uniden BC246T Trunk Tracker III 800 MHz Scanner still have the Box
>>> it came in and all the Paper work asking $220 Shipped if you wanna buy
>>> it or have any ? e/mail me
>>>
>>
>> Or they could just go here and get it new for $214.00 including the
>> shipping.
>>
>> http://www.usascan.com/files/bc246T.cfm
>>
>
>

No problem it was someone here who told me about it. I ordered mine from
them online, and within a matter of a couple hours I had the tracking # for
it. Took a few days UPS ground which is included in the price, along with
insurance. If you want it faster they offer that too, but you have to pay
more. There was a few on line dealers that matched the price shipping and
all, I tried this one because they were recommended first. If you check the
246T Yahoo group many people had equal success with a couple other dealers
also. I can't see someone paying anywhere near $200.00 for a used one when
you get a new one, complete with all the accessories for that.
--
"Money is truthful. If a man speaks of his honor make him pay cash."
:Lazarus Long
```

3378. Re: Listening to airband frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-22 23:13:32 UTC
From: Pred02 <gbozovic@bu.edu>
Message-ID: <1124752412.873051.266350@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12490

Hmm.. I cannot hear any frequencies on the airband. I tried tuning into many of the local frequencies, but even with the search function on the airband I cannot hear anything. There is a blank noise on 125.0, other than that nothing. The scan function works fine with tunning to weather and police/fire frequencies, but nothing for airband.

I suppose I am doing something wrong. I have the Pro-83.

Any suggestions?

kind regards,
george

3379. Re: FS:Uniden BC246T Trunk Tracker III 800 MHz 1600 ch Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-23 01:48:49 UTC
From: Kenwood <XXX@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <5gvOe.11700$0U6.2449@trnddc09>
Source record: 12491

Alex Clayton wrote:
> "jhLewis" <none@none.non> wrote in message
> news:i7qOe.9572$1g2.3605@fe05.lga...
>
>>Nice place to know about - thanks.
>>
>>John
>>
>>"Alex Clayton" <alexx1400@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>news:gbmOe.109$z2.22@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>
>>>"Vic" <ent001@aol.com> wrote in message
>>>news:1124691853.829920.134770@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>
>>>>New Uniden BC246T Trunk Tracker III 800 MHz Scanner still have the Box
>>>>it came in and all the Paper work asking $220 Shipped if you wanna buy
>>>>it or have any ? e/mail me
>>>>
>>>
>>>Or they could just go here and get it new for $214.00 including the
>>>shipping.
>>>
>>>http://www.usascan.com/files/bc246T.cfm
>>>
>>
>>
>
> No problem it was someone here who told me about it. I ordered mine from
> them online, and within a matter of a couple hours I had the tracking # for
> it. Took a few days UPS ground which is included in the price, along with
> insurance. If you want it faster they offer that too, but you have to pay
> more. There was a few on line dealers that matched the price shipping and
> all, I tried this one because they were recommended first. If you check the
> 246T Yahoo group many people had equal success with a couple other dealers
> also. I can't see someone paying anywhere near $200.00 for a used one when
> you get a new one, complete with all the accessories for that.

Depends if it is a I,II or a III.

3380. Re: Yupiteru new models very scarce

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-23 04:15:14 UTC
From: PromaBoss <Promaboss@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <mpxOe.1187$li.26@newsfe6-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 12492
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

you can use assitional softwarre or hardware on mpt1327 but in facts its not needed so much as following converations especially on the on site trunk nets is usually quite easy 4/5 freqs,the annoying ones are ones where control ch has been set to change and rotate through the other channels every few mins

but if on site all one does is then monitor the input,mobile freq.

as far as ican make out thee for example ob that ione is one xontrol and one voice,so in fact all comms on one freq,as you can have single/dual channel or multi channel mpt1327 and not always fully trunking between 3 o more freqs.

others are set up so forr 99 per cent of day to day listeninin is on ay 456.6 the voice channel i havve on the above one,but then suddenly a seocnd freq for voice is found because more than usual amount of activity is on the net,then you suddenly find another freq in the trunk net.

so just type in the voice channels known of any tunk net and listen,if you then want to use softwae and hardaware for some systems then there are some who are really into that and know a lot more
regards,Paul
"JC" <johncalias-newsgroupsATyahooD0TcoD0Tuk> wrote in message
news:u0lkg1l2s1k77av94ibhna23gtn3k9ni82@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 22 Aug 2005 19:53:04 GMT, "PromaBoss" <Promaboss@ntlworld.com>
> wrote:
>
>
>>453.77500 460.27500 FM THE MALL SHOPPING CENTRE MPT1237 TRUNK CONTROL
>>CHANNEL ROMFORD ESSEX
>
> What type of scanner will pick up the MPT1237 trunked transmissions??
>
>
> --
>
> Regards
>
> John [Essex, UK]
> Remove the obvious spamtrap to reply

3381. Re: Listening to airband frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-23 04:22:13 UTC
From: Jesse <1@2.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96BB3C609B07ewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 12493

"Pred02" <gbozovic@bu.edu> wrote in news:1124752412.873051.266350
@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

> Hmm.. I cannot hear any frequencies on the airband. I tried tuning into
> many of the local frequencies, but even with the search function on the
> airband I cannot hear anything. There is a blank noise on 125.0, other
> than that nothing. The scan function works fine with tunning to weather
> and police/fire frequencies, but nothing for airband.
>
> I suppose I am doing something wrong. I have the Pro-83.
>
> Any suggestions?
>
Around Boston there must be quite a few active freqs.
Things to consider:

* All air comms are AM
* In search, use 25 khz steps [or 12.5 or 50] from 119 to 140 mhz
* You might hear only the aircraft side on the transmissions at 10 miles with
a rubber duck antenna.

Still, during any day you should have no problems finding active freqs as
aircraft travel to and fro.

3382. Re: Yupiteru new models very scarce

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-23 08:28:01 UTC
From: Roger Shaw <rogers.posts@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <l6BOe.244$vX1.223@newsfe4-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 12494
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Now didn't I tell you this would happen months ago, yet I was ridiculed for
even suggesting this. seems I was right after all. :-))
Shaw

--

"Are you still wasting your time with spam?...
There is a solution!"

Protected by GIANT Company's Spam Inspector
The most powerful anti-spam software available.
http://mail.spaminspector.com

"Barb" <barb.b@q.com> wrote in message
news:SKpOe.21$jz4.10@newsfe5-win.ntli.net...
>
> "Ray Colvill" <rcolvill@paradise.net.nz> wrote in message
> news:43095e7e@clear.net.nz...
>> No new models from them for while in the scanner arena.
>>
>> The website has no scanners on the front page any more.
>>
>> They seemed to have branched in another direction.
>>
>> Any one know any more about what's up.
>>
>>
>>
> It reflects the current market - as in not much call for scanners now in
> the UK. Let's face it, people only bought them to listen to police,
> phones and PMR. Now a lot of it has gone digital and the only real
> enthusiasts are left, they only form a small percentage of the market. So
> companies have decided not to flog a dead horse so to speak. There is no
> point in bringing out new models when there is no real demand for current
> models. Sales have fallen consistently.
> That's about it. If anyone else thinks differently then fine. Try
> selling a scanner now, people ask "what can I listen to" and the reply is
> a very short one! Not much.
> We have to face facts and companies have, so that's why you're no longer
> seeing any new models!
> It's a shame really. Scanning has gone the same way as CB radio in the
> UK.
>

>

3383. Re: Yupiteru new models very scarce

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-23 09:04:43 UTC
From: PromaBoss <Promaboss@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <LEBOe.32$Rq3.10@newsfe3-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 12495
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

how do you figure you were right???

wqho is right ??

scanners are still selling both new and secondhand,ok its not as good as it was,but many people are coming into this hobby brand new and ok would like yo have police but they donyt generally worry about it.

i have new members join scanproma every week of whixh some are new to hobby completey and AIRWAVE did not put them off there purchase.

there is definately a need for AOR/YUPITEU to brring in new models ctcss/dcs/tagging perhaps there own versions of close call.

but Alinco and Icom do not see,m to have been put off by AIRWAVE do they?

icom r5/r20 and alinco dj-x2000 all totally new models even tohugh airwave was already there in many pats of uk.

the market as said is not as big as it was,but again thats down to people like AOR/Jupiteru not listening to the needs and making things to suit there needs and not ours

AOR expecting peopole to pay £70 for add in boards that most scanner manufactees have built in now as standard really piut me off AOR

why should i pay £70 for a ctccs board ,a sub standard one at that,whwen i can buy a scanner with both ctcss and dcs built in??

AOR need to take note of what out there in USA,and start offering us something better.

at the moment the UK market is being pushed by the USA market,those who dont know about the features on scanners go out and buy there bulk standard models in uk shops but those in the know will source thwe USA scanners.

as for things to listen to i have proved a thousand times how much there is out there and latest scanning directory will show even more.

its there,what you choose to listen to is personal,many will happily listen to things that others say are boring,if you dont want to listen or find new freqs then so be it,i prefer to have less scannerists providing me with betterr information than someone just senidng me aleady published police/fire freqs.

the uniden models relased in USA can easliy be released by Uniden europe but again they are as short sighted as AOR and the others.

the markets there,they just dont have any ideas to utilise it

"Roger Shaw" <rogers.posts@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
news:l6BOe.244$vX1.223@newsfe4-gui.ntli.net...
> Now didn't I tell you this would happen months ago, yet I was ridiculed
> for even suggesting this. seems I was right after all. :-))
> Shaw
>
>
> --
>
>
> ---
> "Are you still wasting your time with spam?...
> There is a solution!"
>
> Protected by GIANT Company's Spam Inspector
> The most powerful anti-spam software available.
> http://mail.spaminspector.com
>
>
> "Barb" <barb.b@q.com> wrote in message
> news:SKpOe.21$jz4.10@newsfe5-win.ntli.net...
>>
>> "Ray Colvill" <rcolvill@paradise.net.nz> wrote in message
>> news:43095e7e@clear.net.nz...
>>> No new models from them for while in the scanner arena.
>>>
>>> The website has no scanners on the front page any more.
>>>
>>> They seemed to have branched in another direction.
>>>
>>> Any one know any more about what's up.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>> It reflects the current market - as in not much call for scanners now in
>> the UK. Let's face it, people only bought them to listen to police,
>> phones and PMR. Now a lot of it has gone digital and the only real
>> enthusiasts are left, they only form a small percentage of the market.
>> So companies have decided not to flog a dead horse so to speak. There is
>> no point in bringing out new models when there is no real demand for
>> current models. Sales have fallen consistently.
>> That's about it. If anyone else thinks differently then fine. Try
>> selling a scanner now, people ask "what can I listen to" and the reply is
>> a very short one! Not much.
>> We have to face facts and companies have, so that's why you're no longer
>> seeing any new models!
>> It's a shame really. Scanning has gone the same way as CB radio in the
>> UK.
>>

>>
>
>

3384. Re: Yupiteru new models very scarce

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-23 11:22:55 UTC
From: Melv <melvyn.rattenburynojunk@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <jGDOe.88$NV.20@newsfe5-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 12496
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

I agree Paul, Uniden europe need to pull their fingers out and realise there is a market for the features employed on Uniden USA scanners. I mean the decision to leave close call feature out ot the ubc 92 to save money only saved our money because there is no way we are going to bloody well buy it!!
As for AOR I asked them about this and this was their reply
"We have not abandoned the hobby market, as a SMALL company we cannot bring new product to all market sectors simultaneously... currently we are busy with other commercial / government products."
Melv

"PromaBoss" <Promaboss@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
news:LEBOe.32$Rq3.10@newsfe3-gui.ntli.net...
> how do you figure you were right???
>
>
> wqho is right ??
>
> scanners are still selling both new and secondhand,ok its not as good as
it
> was,but many people are coming into this hobby brand new and ok would like
> yo have police but they donyt generally worry about it.
>
> i have new members join scanproma every week of whixh some are new to
hobby
> completey and AIRWAVE did not put them off there purchase.
>
> there is definately a need for AOR/YUPITEU to brring in new models
> ctcss/dcs/tagging perhaps there own versions of close call.
>
> but Alinco and Icom do not see,m to have been put off by AIRWAVE do they?
>
> icom r5/r20 and alinco dj-x2000 all totally new models even tohugh airwave
> was already there in many pats of uk.
>
> the market as said is not as big as it was,but again thats down to people
> like AOR/Jupiteru not listening to the needs and making things to suit
there
> needs and not ours
>
> AOR expecting peopole to pay £70 for add in boards that most scanner
> manufactees have built in now as standard really piut me off AOR
>
> why should i pay £70 for a ctccs board ,a sub standard one at that,whwen i

> can buy a scanner with both ctcss and dcs built in??
>
> AOR need to take note of what out there in USA,and start offering us
> something better.
>
> at the moment the UK market is being pushed by the USA market,those who
dont
> know about the features on scanners go out and buy there bulk standard
> models in uk shops but those in the know will source thwe USA scanners.
>
> as for things to listen to i have proved a thousand times how much there
is
> out there and latest scanning directory will show even more.
>
> its there,what you choose to listen to is personal,many will happily
listen
> to things that others say are boring,if you dont want to listen or find
new
> freqs then so be it,i prefer to have less scannerists providing me with
> betterr information than someone just senidng me aleady published
> police/fire freqs.
>
> the uniden models relased in USA can easliy be released by Uniden europe
but
> again they are as short sighted as AOR and the others.
>
> the markets there,they just dont have any ideas to utilise it
>
>
> "Roger Shaw" <rogers.posts@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
> news:l6BOe.244$vX1.223@newsfe4-gui.ntli.net...
> > Now didn't I tell you this would happen months ago, yet I was ridiculed
> > for even suggesting this. seems I was right after all. :-))
> > Shaw
> >
> >
> > --
> >
> >
> > ---
> > "Are you still wasting your time with spam?...
> > There is a solution!"
> >
> > Protected by GIANT Company's Spam Inspector
> > The most powerful anti-spam software available.
> > http://mail.spaminspector.com
> >
> >
> > "Barb" <barb.b@q.com> wrote in message

> > news:SKpOe.21$jz4.10@newsfe5-win.ntli.net...
> >>
> >> "Ray Colvill" <rcolvill@paradise.net.nz> wrote in message
> >> news:43095e7e@clear.net.nz...
> >>> No new models from them for while in the scanner arena.
> >>>
> >>> The website has no scanners on the front page any more.
> >>>
> >>> They seemed to have branched in another direction.
> >>>
> >>> Any one know any more about what's up.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >> It reflects the current market - as in not much call for scanners now
in
> >> the UK. Let's face it, people only bought them to listen to police,
> >> phones and PMR. Now a lot of it has gone digital and the only real
> >> enthusiasts are left, they only form a small percentage of the market.
> >> So companies have decided not to flog a dead horse so to speak. There
is
> >> no point in bringing out new models when there is no real demand for
> >> current models. Sales have fallen consistently.
> >> That's about it. If anyone else thinks differently then fine. Try
> >> selling a scanner now, people ask "what can I listen to" and the reply
is
> >> a very short one! Not much.
> >> We have to face facts and companies have, so that's why you're no
longer
> >> seeing any new models!
> >> It's a shame really. Scanning has gone the same way as CB radio in the
> >> UK.
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
>
>

3385. Re: Outdoor Antenna Questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-23 14:10:24 UTC
From: gpfudd@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1124806224.651126.83870@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12497

Isn't RG6 coax 75 ohm TV cable? I think your scanner is designed to
take 50 ohm coax for optimum performance. Suggest you change the coax
to 50 ohm and if you have to use 70 feet, upgrade to something like
RG8/U or RG213.

Steve

bcd396@gmail.com wrote:
> Hello All, I've got some questions about outdoor antennas. I monitor a
> motorola type II trunked system in Colorado Sprigns, CO.
>
> I've got a 20-043 discone from RS, a uniden bcd396t, and 70 feet of
> RG-6 quad-shield of cable inbetween. The antenna is on a mast 12 feet
> in the air above the house.
>
> Much of the radio communication is choppy; is that a artifact of how I
> set up the system? Is this a good setup of cable/antenna/radio? I've
> read some information about tuning antennas, but is that something I
> still need to do in this case?
>
> Thanks!

3386. Re: Outdoor Antenna Questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-23 14:14:35 UTC
From: BDK <kingratay@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1d74f951d9001c97989a6c@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 12498

In article <1124806224.651126.83870@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
gpfudd@yahoo.com says...
> Isn't RG6 coax 75 ohm TV cable? I think your scanner is designed to
> take 50 ohm coax for optimum performance. Suggest you change the coax
> to 50 ohm and if you have to use 70 feet, upgrade to something like
> RG8/U or RG213.
>
> Steve
>
>
> bcd396@gmail.com wrote:
> > Hello All, I've got some questions about outdoor antennas. I monitor a
> > motorola type II trunked system in Colorado Sprigns, CO.
> >
> > I've got a 20-043 discone from RS, a uniden bcd396t, and 70 feet of
> > RG-6 quad-shield of cable inbetween. The antenna is on a mast 12 feet
> > in the air above the house.
> >
> > Much of the radio communication is choppy; is that a artifact of how I
> > set up the system? Is this a good setup of cable/antenna/radio? I've
> > read some information about tuning antennas, but is that something I
> > still need to do in this case?
> >
> > Thanks!
>
>

Again, it doesn't matter. No scanner is 50 ohms across hundreds of MHZ
anyway, and a 1.5 to 1 mismatch is nothing, especially on receive only.

BDK

3387. Re: Listening to airband frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-23 14:31:21 UTC
From: Pred02 <gbozovic@bu.edu>
Message-ID: <1124807480.955088.199510@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12499

Nothing on the airband around my house. Perhaps I am using the scanner the wrong way or something, when I search the airbamd 108-134. Perhaps I will drive closer to the airport and try to get some frequencies over there to see if it works at all.

But I bet I am doing something wrong.

3388. Re: Listening to airband frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-23 14:34:46 UTC
From: kuzen001@duke.edu
Message-ID: <defc66$j29$1@gargoyle.oit.duke.edu>
Source record: 12351

Pred02 <gbozovic@bu.edu> wrote:
> With the squelch set all the way clockwise, it scans the entire band
> without finding anything. If I put the squelch all the way
> counterclockwise, it stops the search as it finds static. Perhaps the
> squelch should be set differently?

George, the usual way to set the squelch is to start all the
way clockwise (fully on), begin scanning, and slowly turn the
squelch anti-clockwise until you hear noise--that is, until
the squelch is below the ambient noise level. From that point,
turn the squelch back clockwise enough to make the noise stop,
plus just a bit more.

With the squelch set all the way ON, as you had it, only a
very strong nearby signal will be enough to break the squelch,
so this may well be why you aren't hearing anything yet!
Good luck!

____
Ken Kuzenski AC4RD ken . kuzenski at duke .edu
____
All disclaimers apply, see? www.duke.edu/~kuzen001

3389. Re: Listening to airband frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-23 14:42:35 UTC
From: Pred02 <gbozovic@bu.edu>
Message-ID: <1124808155.493007.315250@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12500

With the squelch set all the way clockwise, it scans the entire band without finding anything. If I put the squelch all the way counterclockwise, it stops the search as it finds static. Perhaps the squelch should be set differently?

Thanks,
George

3390. Re: Outdoor Antenna Questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-23 14:52:57 UTC
From: Bob <bob@nowhere.nil>
Message-ID: <2LGOe.8443$p5.766@nnrp.ca.mci.com!nnrp1.uunet.ca>
Source record: 12352

I agree. Further to that, your RG8, RG213, or heaven forbid RG58, has
much more loss per unit length than a good quality RG6. Any signal lost
due to the 75ohm cable will be considerably less than the loss of the
other feedline.

Good quality RG6 makes fantastic scanner coax, but you have to be very
careful with your terminations and adaptors.

> Again, it doesn't matter. No scanner is 50 ohms across hundreds of MHZ
> anyway, and a 1.5 to 1 mismatch is nothing, especially on receive only.
>
> BDK

3391. Re: FS:Uniden BC246T Trunk Tracker III 800 MHz 1600 ch Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-23 15:42:39 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <PtHOe.694$z2.267@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 12353

"Kenwood" <XXX@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:5gvOe.11700$0U6.2449@trnddc09...
>>
>> No problem it was someone here who told me about it. I ordered mine from
>> them online, and within a matter of a couple hours I had the tracking #
>> for it. Took a few days UPS ground which is included in the price, along
>> with insurance. If you want it faster they offer that too, but you have
>> to pay more. There was a few on line dealers that matched the price
>> shipping and all, I tried this one because they were recommended first.
>> If you check the 246T Yahoo group many people had equal success with a
>> couple other dealers also. I can't see someone paying anywhere near
>> $200.00 for a used one when you get a new one, complete with all the
>> accessories for that.
>
>
> Depends if it is a I,II or a III.
>
>
>

Did not know there was a I or II. What's the difference? Are the I and II
more valuable? Why? Never even heard of them. Where do they sell the I and
II, and why are they worth used what a new III goes for?
--
"Money is truthful. If a man speaks of his honor make him pay cash."
:Lazarus Long

3392. Re: Listening to airband frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-23 16:17:34 UTC
From: Dave S <djsmartremovethisbit@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <430b4c79$0$21701$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 12354

Well, yes. I thought I gave you some suggestions. To repeat:

"You'd be better scanning or tuning to the specific frequencies the airport uses. Do an Internet search for the airport and the frequencies it has. Best start would be the approach or departure frequencies, particularly at a time you have aircraft operating over or near your home. You can try control tower and ground frequencies later.

"However, eliminate the distance question for a start. Grab a list of the frequencies and go to or near the airport and make sure you are receiving them there. Once you're confident with the scanner at close quarters, try again from home."

Did you try this?

--

Dave S

"Pred02" <gbozovic@bu.edu> wrote in message
news:1124752412.873051.266350@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Hmm.. I cannot hear any frequencies on the airband. I tried tuning into
> many of the local frequencies, but even with the search function on the
> airband I cannot hear anything. There is a blank noise on 125.0, other
> than that nothing. The scan function works fine with tunning to weather
> and police/fire frequencies, but nothing for airband.
>
> I suppose I am doing something wrong. I have the Pro-83.
>
> Any suggestions?
>
> kind regards,
> george
>

3393. Re: Listening to airband frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-23 17:04:00 UTC
From: Peter Bjoern <PeterNOSPAM@mail.dk>
Message-ID: <eilmg1pog82a6fb832pg10smhoqmif7qeg@4ax.com>
Source record: 12355

On 23 Aug 2005 07:31:21 -0700, "Pred02" <gbozovic@bu.edu> wrote in
rec.radio.scanner:

>Nothing on the airband around my house. Perhaps I am using the scanner
>the wrong way or something, when I search the airbamd 108-134. Perhaps
>I will drive closer to the airport and try to get some frequencies over
>there to see if it works at all.
>
>But I bet I am doing something wrong.

Search from 118.0 to 137.0 in 25 KHz increments.

Make absolutely sure you are using AM modulation and not FM, NFM or WFM.

If you keep it going for long enough you *must* hear something eventually,
unless you scanner is broken.

Regards

Peter

3394. Re: FS:Uniden BC246T Trunk Tracker III 800 MHz 1600 ch Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-24 00:22:30 UTC
From: Kenwood <XXX@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <a5POe.15473$M3.4230@trnddc05>
Source record: 12356

Alex Clayton wrote:
> "Kenwood" <XXX@verizon.net> wrote in message
> news:5gvOe.11700$0U6.2449@trnddc09...
>
>>>No problem it was someone here who told me about it. I ordered mine from
>>>them online, and within a matter of a couple hours I had the tracking #
>>>for it. Took a few days UPS ground which is included in the price, along
>>>with insurance. If you want it faster they offer that too, but you have
>>>to pay more. There was a few on line dealers that matched the price
>>>shipping and all, I tried this one because they were recommended first.
>>>If you check the 246T Yahoo group many people had equal success with a
>>>couple other dealers also. I can't see someone paying anywhere near
>>>$200.00 for a used one when you get a new one, complete with all the
>>>accessories for that.
>>
>>
>>Depends if it is a I,II or a III.
>>
>>
>>
>
>
> Did not know there was a I or II. What's the difference? Are the I and II
> more valuable? Why? Never even heard of them. Where do they sell the I and
> II, and why are they worth used what a new III goes for?

It appears that the I,II or III equates to the protocall that the
receiver will accept. Check Google for the BC246T and you will see
hundreds of sellers. Price seems to be around $215 or so.

3395. Re: PerCon WTF?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-24 02:55:40 UTC
From: "D. Brown" <dvbrown5@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <LtednZCOV98ifJbeRVn-qA@comcast.com>
Source record: 12357
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

<xxnonexnonexx@tampascanner.info> wrote in message
news:uvomg1d4m05menfb8mn3vl0k3kn09s7q0o@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 21 Aug 2005 16:36:08 -0400, "D. Brown" <dvbrown5@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>Who else offers a freq database?
>>
>>I already have police call IMO, other than public safety there's not much
>>else on the CD or in the book that is very interesting.
>
> Police Call was good in its day, its day has past written and CD.
>
> For a spectrum like product I suggest you look at:
>
> http://www.fccdata.com
>
> This CD is all license data EXCEPT nexhell, which you don't need any way,
> BUT it
> does require a little effort to use on the end users part. Either a
> version of
> Dbase or follow the on CD instructions to create a Percon Spectrum
> compatible
> version or mslop access file.
>
> Some times this shows up on EBay as well and you can get it at quite a
> savings.
>
Hey thanks,

I finally received an email from PerCon this last Monday (guess they where on vacation) with User ID and Password. I wouldn't have made a fuss about it if the printed receipt didn't say "Your User ID and Password will be e-mailed to you within one business day." That Thurs I sent out an e-mail to them and didn't hear back so I was wondering if they'd gone out of business.

I was all geeked up to spend the weekend putting it all together and programming my scanner too.

Anyhow, I will definitely check out the FCC Data CD. I'm really seeing what I've been missing with the amount of info I'm getting from PerCon. And $19-$30 is definitely within my budget. I know I could've found much of this on the FCC site, but getting it into a comprehensive format would've been a real chore.

One question about the FCC CD, I never had the PerCon CD to install, so is it possible to create the mslop access file without ever having a owned a PerCon product?

Thank you for your suggestions.

3396. Re: Listening to airband frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-24 03:17:57 UTC
From: "D. Brown" <dvbrown5@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <mKGdndpTtddre5beRVn-hg@comcast.com>
Source record: 12358

"Pred02" <gbozovic@bu.edu> wrote in message
news:1124741375.452636.265120@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> Hi,
> I just bought a radio scanner (radioshack pro-83) and want to listen to
> airband frequencies, however, I cannot find any on the airband. I tried
> doing the scan, and even tuning to specific ones, like Boston ATC and
> whatnot, but cannot hear anything.
>
> Other frequencies, such as Police/Fire I hear just fine. I am
> approximately 10 miles away from a major airport.
>
> Am I doing something wrong, or I really have to be close to the airport
> to hear them on the scanner?
>
> Thanks.
>
> Kind regards,
> george
>

Hello Predo,

My 83 was deaf in the airband until I switched from the stock antenna to a Diamond RH77CA.

The telescoping antenna that Radio Shack caries may also work as well. I did notice that if you have the stalker turned on with the Diamond ant, you get a lot of false captures. I would try the RS antenna, and if that doesn't work you can always return it.

Good luck...

3397. Re: FS:Uniden BC246T Trunk Tracker III 800 MHz 1600 ch Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-24 06:32:12 UTC
From: Vic <ent001@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1124865132.012205.10850@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12359

well i payed $220 for my Uniden BC246T Scanner and i still have the Box
and all the paper work for this scanner i will let it go for $200
shipped

3398. Re: Philippines

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-24 13:09:22 UTC
From: zerg90 <zerg90@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124888962.496562.37510@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12360

Try 156 Mhz for marine traffic - and 118 to 136 Mhz AM for aviation traffic. I think that public safety agencies in Phillipines use 800 Mhz, 148 Mhz, etc. Peter S

3399. A Bunch of Police Scanner Stories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-24 13:12:12 UTC
From: zerg90 <zerg90@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124889132.558002.14070@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12361

CHAPLAIN helps others to cope
Albuquerque Tribune - Albuquerque,NM,USA
... This time, he is also reminded of someone else's words. Vorhis was
between calls last Thursday when he heard the police scanner crackle:
"Officers down. ...
<http://www.abqtrib.com/albq/nw_local/article/0,2564,ALBQ_19858_4023446,00.html>

TEN codes a thing of the past?
McCook Daily Gazette - McCook,Nebraska,USA
For anyone who didn't grow up watching Dragnet, or CHIPS, or who never
owned a CB radio or listened to a police scanner, 10-codes are left
over
from the early ...
<http://www.mccookgazette.com/story/1115124.html>

REGIONAL digest
Worcester Telegram (subscription) - Worcester,MA,USA
... During the response to the 5:19 pm accident, there were calls on
the
police scanner for rescue workers to come to the scene quickly. ...
<http://www.telegram.com/apps/pbcs.dll/article?AID=/20050823/DIGESTS/508230343/1005/NEWS06
>
(this item seems to take forever to load)

DOG Alerts Neighbors When Woman Collapses
Washington Post - United States
... Neighbor Colleen Willits, who had heard a report on her police
scanner
about a woman screaming at the home, went next door to check on Huffer.
...
<http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2005/08/23/AR2005082301102.html>

IS Suspected Meth Fence Back In Business?
Katu.com - Portland,OR,USA
... They confiscated a container filled with an undetermined white
substance,
a digital police scanner and a plastic container that the investigators
said ...
<http://www.katu.com/news/story.asp?ID=79195>

This as it happens Google Alert is brought to you by Google...
- -

3400. Re: A trip to Australia with scanner radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-24 15:01:54 UTC
From: Matt <pissoff@spammers.suck.com>
Message-ID: <dei253$t16$1@otis.netspace.net.au>
Source record: 12362
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

Was the scanner in checked or carry on luggage? I have always been
toocowardly to take mine with me for fear that I will be told that I cannot
take it with me (admittedly I normally use an amateur HT as my scanner).

Matt

<kiwirat@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:gjakg1d2dghnc1h89hu8220vnv2e1n26m9@4ax.com...
> Just got back from a trip to Australia. Took my Radio Shack PRO-94,
> had no problems whatsoever. The security people just asked me to turn
> it on to make sure it worked. We had a 24hr layover in Narita Japan
> the motel was right next to Narita airport, listened to the tower just
> about all night long.
> While in AU, I scanned all the air freq's till I heard something, the
> fact we spent most of our time in Cairns, the airport was fairly busy.
> I then scanned all the HF fereq, till we heard something, so in short
> there was always something to listen to.
>
>
> On Mon, 22 Aug 2005 04:28:25 -0700, jamoran <analon1@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >there are many australian scanner web sites, go do a search for
> >australian scanner frequencies and ask the web page operator
> >
> >I have bought scanner radios from UK and Australian merchants nad had
> >them shipped into the USA, they work just fine..
> >
> >I also frequently with at least one (usually 2) scanners and a shortwave
> >radio (sony-2010) plus I have a frequency database in my laptop
> >
> >It's fun to listen to the tower, approach, and center frequencies as
> >well as the airline ground frequencies. The civil aviation frequencies
> >
> >are the same 108-118 mhz for navigational nd airport beacons and
> >118-137mhz for voice and ACARS data.
> >
> >ARINC (aeronautical radio incorporated) also has an international HF or
> >shortwave radio network they operate for aircraft flying internationally
> >and over oceans to report positions and receive traffic clearances. This
> >in addition to the USA network. ARINC VHF frequencies are in the
> >128-132mhz range and AM modulated.
> >
> >the first time they saw me, airport security asked what the scanner was,

> >now, I usualy have the tower or one of the active TRACON (approach or
> >departure) frequencies in the scanner when I go through security, IF I
> >dont, the security people often ask to listen to the tower more as a
> >matter of curiousity.
> >
> >
> >
> >SP Cook wrote:
> >
> >>
> >>>Christopher O'Callaghan wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>Hi,
> >>>>
> >>>>In a month i will be making a long trip to australia for a holiday.I
of
> >>>>course plan on bringing my Bearcat portable radio scanner.I just nned
to
> >>>>know is it illegal for me to bring it with me on the plane?
> >>>>
> >>
> >> Scanner as it it receives broadcasts other than SW, AM and FM
> >> commercial bands? Like a police scanner?
> >>
> >> If you are asking whether you can use it on a plane, it probably won't
> >> work anyway. If you are asking if it is legal to use in Australia, you
> >> should contact the Australian Embassy or the Australian equilivant of
> >> the FCC directly. You will probably need some sort of Australian
> >> liscense, and you may find that the freqs. used for various purposes
> >> are different there.
> >>
>

3401. Re: FS:Uniden BC246T Trunk Tracker III 800 MHz 1600 ch Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-24 16:16:26 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <u31Pe.1401$z2.1346@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 12363

"Kenwood" <XXX@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:a5POe.15473$M3.4230@trnddc05...
> Alex Clayton wrote:
>> "Kenwood" <XXX@verizon.net> wrote in message
>> news:5gvOe.11700$0U6.2449@trnddc09...
>>
>>>>No problem it was someone here who told me about it. I ordered mine from
>>>>them online, and within a matter of a couple hours I had the tracking #
>>>>for it. Took a few days UPS ground which is included in the price, along
>>>>with insurance. If you want it faster they offer that too, but you have
>>>>to pay more. There was a few on line dealers that matched the price
>>>>shipping and all, I tried this one because they were recommended first.
>>>>If you check the 246T Yahoo group many people had equal success with a
>>>>couple other dealers also. I can't see someone paying anywhere near
>>>>$200.00 for a used one when you get a new one, complete with all the
>>>>accessories for that.
>>>
>>>
>>>Depends if it is a I,II or a III.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>> Did not know there was a I or II. What's the difference? Are the I and II
>> more valuable? Why? Never even heard of them. Where do they sell the I
>> and II, and why are they worth used what a new III goes for?
>
>
> It appears that the I,II or III equates to the protocall that the receiver
> will accept. Check Google for the BC246T and you will see hundreds of
> sellers. Price seems to be around $215 or so.
>

All I can find is BC246T III. I "think" if someone told you there was a I or
II, they either were just making it up to have a laugh at your expense, or
you just made it up because you don't know what these are. The "III" seems
to be "third generation Trunking Scanner". It does not look like there is
any such thing as a BC246T I or II. As to price they are all over the place.
Obviously some people are careless enough to pay more for a used one than
they could get a new one with warranty for. <shrug> Hell if anyone wants to
buy some new ones for $250.00 or so I hope they let me know. I will be glad
to sell them new ones in the box for that, since I can buy them for $214.00,
and less. <G>

--
"Stupidity should be painful"

3402. Re: Yupiteru new models very scarce

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-24 16:26:31 UTC
From: kevcat <kevcat@dodo.com.au>
Message-ID: <430C9FE9.F7F4C7E6@dodo.com.au>
Source record: 12364
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

JC wrote:
>
> On Mon, 22 Aug 2005 19:53:04 GMT, "PromaBoss" <Promaboss@ntlworld.com>
> wrote:
>
> >453.77500 460.27500 FM THE MALL SHOPPING CENTRE MPT1237 TRUNK CONTROL
> >CHANNEL ROMFORD ESSEX
>
> What type of scanner will pick up the MPT1237 trunked transmissions??
>
> --
>
> Regards
>
> John [Essex, UK]
> Remove the obvious spamtrap to reply

Winradio has the software that will let their scanner track an MPT1327
trunking

other than that you will need at least two scanners(one needs to be
computer controlled) and some software

Kev

3403. Re: A trip to Australia with scanner radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-24 18:13:27 UTC
From: kiwirat@gmail.com
Message-ID: <01epg11btfssd9nv18t4dfpg96o2jm19c1@4ax.com>
Source record: 12365
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

We didn't take any checked baggage, carried right onto the plane with
no problems. Now I knew I could not use on the plane. I had a computer
case with a portable DVD player, 2 digital cameras, mp3 player,
scanner and all the cords and chargers that went with all that. They
never blinked an eye when it went thru the scanners. *shrugs*

On Thu, 25 Aug 2005 00:31:54 +0930, "Matt" <pissoff@spammers.suck.com>
wrote:

>Was the scanner in checked or carry on luggage? I have always been
>toocowardly to take mine with me for fear that I will be told that I cannot
>take it with me (admittedly I normally use an amateur HT as my scanner).
>
>
>
>
>Matt
>
><kiwirat@gmail.com> wrote in message
>news:gjakg1d2dghnc1h89hu8220vnv2e1n26m9@4ax.com...
>> Just got back from a trip to Australia. Took my Radio Shack PRO-94,
>> had no problems whatsoever. The security people just asked me to turn
>> it on to make sure it worked. We had a 24hr layover in Narita Japan
>> the motel was right next to Narita airport, listened to the tower just
>> about all night long.
>> While in AU, I scanned all the air freq's till I heard something, the
>> fact we spent most of our time in Cairns, the airport was fairly busy.
>> I then scanned all the HF fereq, till we heard something, so in short
>> there was always something to listen to.
>>
>>
>> On Mon, 22 Aug 2005 04:28:25 -0700, jamoran <analon1@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >there are many australian scanner web sites, go do a search for
>> >australian scanner frequencies and ask the web page operator
>> >
>> >I have bought scanner radios from UK and Australian merchants nad had
>> >them shipped into the USA, they work just fine..
>> >
>> >I also frequently with at least one (usually 2) scanners and a shortwave
>> >radio (sony-2010) plus I have a frequency database in my laptop
>> >
>> >It's fun to listen to the tower, approach, and center frequencies as

>> >well as the airline ground frequencies. The civil aviation frequencies
>> >
>> >are the same 108-118 mhz for navigational nd airport beacons and
>> >118-137mhz for voice and ACARS data.
>> >
>> >ARINC (aeronautical radio incorporated) also has an international HF or
>> >shortwave radio network they operate for aircraft flying internationally
>> >and over oceans to report positions and receive traffic clearances. This
>> >in addition to the USA network. ARINC VHF frequencies are in the
>> >128-132mhz range and AM modulated.
>> >
>> >the first time they saw me, airport security asked what the scanner was,
>> >now, I usualy have the tower or one of the active TRACON (approach or
>> >departure) frequencies in the scanner when I go through security, IF I
>> >dont, the security people often ask to listen to the tower more as a
>> >matter of curiousity.
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >SP Cook wrote:
>> >
>> >>
>> >>>Christopher O'Callaghan wrote:
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>>>Hi,
>> >>>>
>> >>>>In a month i will be making a long trip to australia for a holiday.I
>of
>> >>>>course plan on bringing my Bearcat portable radio scanner.I just nned
>to
>> >>>>know is it illegal for me to bring it with me on the plane?
>> >>>>
>> >>
>> >> Scanner as it it receives broadcasts other than SW, AM and FM
>> >> commercial bands? Like a police scanner?
>> >>
>> >> If you are asking whether you can use it on a plane, it probably won't
>> >> work anyway. If you are asking if it is legal to use in Australia, you
>> >> should contact the Australian Embassy or the Australian equilivant of
>> >> the FCC directly. You will probably need some sort of Australian
>> >> liscense, and you may find that the freqs. used for various purposes
>> >> are different there.
>> >>
>>
>

3404. Uniden BCT2 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-24 19:45:04 UTC
From: Burt Smith <burtsmith@direcway.com>
Message-ID: <430cce46$0$32118$ec3e2dad@news.usenetmonster.com>
Source record: 12366

Does anyone have or know where I can get the list of
frequencies that are preprogrammed?

Also, is it in an EEPROM that could be duplicated then modified to
work a better list for my needs?

Thanks,

Burt
KF6YIQ

3405. Re: Yupiteru new models very scarce

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-24 21:08:29 UTC
From: PromaBoss <Promaboss@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <hl5Pe.74$%h6.63@newsfe4-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 12367
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

yes but that not abslutely necessary

one can follow mpt1327 epsecially on site with not too many problems and
indeed some are just one voice and one control channel 99 per cent of the
time so in fsct you mostly stay on one freq

i listen to many trunk nets and dont use any software or hardware,apart from
met police which use a differant trunking one can get scanners for till
airwave anyway

"kevcat" <kevcat@dodo.com.au> wrote in message
news:430C9FE9.F7F4C7E6@dodo.com.au...
>
>
> JC wrote:
>>
>> On Mon, 22 Aug 2005 19:53:04 GMT, "PromaBoss" <Promaboss@ntlworld.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >453.77500 460.27500 FM THE MALL SHOPPING CENTRE MPT1237 TRUNK CONTROL
>> >CHANNEL ROMFORD ESSEX
>>
>> What type of scanner will pick up the MPT1237 trunked transmissions??
>>
>> --
>>
>> Regards
>>
>> John [Essex, UK]
>> Remove the obvious spamtrap to reply
>
>
> Winradio has the software that will let their scanner track an MPT1327
> trunking
>
> other than that you will need at least two scanners(one needs to be
> computer controlled) and some software
>
> Kev

3406. New scanner advice please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-24 22:22:50 UTC
From: Ted Griffin <griff_39@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <_q6Pe.1260$rS4.684@newssvr22.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 12368

My old Uniden (BC855XLT) finally died last week. It did me just fine for many years and now, trying to replace it gets more confusing every day. One guy says I need trunking, another says triple trunking, yet another says they'll be coming out with quad-trunking soon. I'm considering the BC898T at around $200 but wanted to run it by here first. Good choice?

--
Griff - Whose clear conscience is undoubtedly the sign of failing memory.

3407. Re: New scanner advice please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-24 23:01:27 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b%6Pe.846$Wd7.474@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 12369

"Ted Griffin" <griff_39@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:_q6Pe.1260$rS4.684@newssvr22.news.prodigy.net...
> My old Uniden (BC855XLT) finally died last week. It did me just fine for
> many years and now, trying to replace it gets more confusing every day.
> One guy says I need trunking, another says triple trunking, yet another
> says they'll be coming out with quad-trunking soon. I'm considering the
> BC898T at around $200 but wanted to run it by here first. Good choice?
>
> --
> Griff - Whose clear conscience is undoubtedly the sign of failing memory.
>
>

What you "need" is to find out if what you want to listen to is now, or is
planning on going digital. The Radio Reference page makes a great place to
start. If what you want to listen to is mostly 800 Trunked there are a
couple great choices, but if you need digital the Trunking will not help.
I have a BC246T and love it! They do not get Mil Air, which I don't care
about. They run $215.00 delivered to your door and are a very good compact
scanner.
--
"Money is truthful. If a man speaks of his honor make him pay cash."
:Lazarus Long

3408. Re: Yupiteru new models very scarce

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-25 03:17:54 UTC
From: JJ <jimjen@ionmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124939874.309207.35610@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12370
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Barb wrote:
> "Ray Colvill" <rcolvill@paradise.net.nz> wrote in message
> news:43095e7e@clear.net.nz...
> > No new models from them for while in the scanner arena.
> >
> > The website has no scanners on the front page any more.
> >
> > They seemed to have branched in another direction.
> >
> > Any one know any more about what's up.
> >
> >
> >
> It reflects the current market - as in not much call for scanners now in the
> UK. Let's face it, people only bought them to listen to police, phones and
> PMR. Now a lot of it has gone digital and the only real enthusiasts are
> left, they only form a small percentage of the market. So companies have
> decided not to flog a dead horse so to speak. There is no point in bringing
> out new models when there is no real demand for current models. Sales have
> fallen consistently.
> That's about it. If anyone else thinks differently then fine. Try selling
> a scanner now, people ask "what can I listen to" and the reply is a very
> short one! Not much.
> We have to face facts and companies have, so that's why you're no longer
> seeing any new models!
> It's a shame really. Scanning has gone the same way as CB radio in the UK.

I can't argue with anything you said ... yeah, we're being phased-out,
but I spend lots of quality time with my MK3, even though I suspect it
is the last scanner I'll ever buy.

Maybe scanning isn't what it used to be, but every range in my neck of
the woods is still alive with non-stop action. In fact, I have it on
bank scan right now, and it's chirping like a songbird.

Sometimes I'd like to add a trunking unit, and Uniden is making it
mighty tempting, but frankly, I really don't think I need one.

3409. Re: Backlight?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-25 04:18:55 UTC
From: "ScanAustraliaPix@gmail.com" <ScanAustraliaPix@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1124943534.978516.65810@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12371
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

knowing uniden
you probably cant

D

3410. Re: Yupiteru new models very scarce

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-25 06:19:20 UTC
From: PromaBoss <Promaboss@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <IpdPe.366$p4.34@newsfe7-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 12372
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

the unidens have many feautures but the trunking they have is for USA
systems,some do work on the current 3 systems in UK using the same protocol

but we dont buy unidens now pimarily for trunking

memories the latesdt ones have 1500-5500 mems[dpeendant on model]
alpha tagging
ctcss
dcs
excellant scanniung and search facitilities
and close calll whixh is a freq counter with audio,you go into town orr
indeed on this one in a reasonable area you can sit at home and depening on
aerial used it can sniff freqs out and you listen to the audio

on my bc246 on an active aerial in the loft i have aircaft round
bovindon/barrkway/brookmans park at 30,000 ft come in on the close call,or
working stanted or luton or local airfields or compoany ops etc or indeed i
see 2 aircraft in formation and suddenly my counter clocks the freq in use.

AOR sting you for £70 for a card to just do ctcss and its a pretty poor
quality arrangememnt at that,very hit and miss
£70 for more memories
£70 for softwarre and lead

btw lead comes with latest modela.

at the time AOR8200 came out ctcss was being put on radios like thw yause
vx1,a tiny little radio,so tell me why AOR did not add into the
radio,already icom and others wee alsoe adding into small radios,yet the AOR
commes out and then the base AOR8600 and again an add on at great
expense,sorrry i dont think thats a good way to treat customerrs,squeezing
money out of them for thigs that were added into other sets.

> I can't argue with anything you said ... yeah, we're being phased-out,
> but I spend lots of quality time with my MK3, even though I suspect it
> is the last scanner I'll ever buy.
>
> Maybe scanning isn't what it used to be, but every range in my neck of
> the woods is still alive with non-stop action. In fact, I have it on
> bank scan right now, and it's chirping like a songbird.
>
> Sometimes I'd like to add a trunking unit, and Uniden is making it
> mighty tempting, but frankly, I really don't think I need one.
>

3411. Re: Listening to airband frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-25 06:31:19 UTC
From: rojolo <rojoloho@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <XAdPe.1974$eQ.330@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 12373

How about the name of the Airport ??
Maybe we can help !!

"Pred02" <gbozovic@bu.edu> wrote in message
news:1124741375.452636.265120@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> Hi,
> I just bought a radio scanner (radioshack pro-83) and want to listen to
> airband frequencies, however, I cannot find any on the airband. I tried
> doing the scan, and even tuning to specific ones, like Boston ATC and
> whatnot, but cannot hear anything.
>
> Other frequencies, such as Police/Fire I hear just fine. I am
> approximately 10 miles away from a major airport.
>
> Am I doing something wrong, or I really have to be close to the airport
> to hear them on the scanner?
>
> Thanks.
>
> Kind regards,
> george
>

3412. Re: Listening to airband frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-25 12:16:06 UTC
From: The Other Guy <scannerwarez@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <Xns96BD54D40F50Anottodaycom@130.81.64.196>
Source record: 12374

"Pred02" <gbozovic@bu.edu> wrote in news:1124741375.452636.265120
@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com:

> Hi,
> I just bought a radio scanner (radioshack pro-83) and want to listen to
> airband frequencies, however, I cannot find any on the airband. I tried
> doing the scan, and even tuning to specific ones, like Boston ATC and
> whatnot, but cannot hear anything.
>
> Other frequencies, such as Police/Fire I hear just fine. I am
> approximately 10 miles away from a major airport.
>
> Am I doing something wrong, or I really have to be close to the airport
> to hear them on the scanner?
>
> Thanks.
>
> Kind regards,
> george
>
>

Well your first problem is it's a Radio Shit I mean Shack "Compact
Handheld Scanner" and your 10 miles away from the airport.. If you where
1 mile away it might, I say might work!! The antenna on that "Compact
Handheld Scanner" is junk. You need a real antenn pluged into it.. A
better bet for what you want to do would have been the Pro-2052 heck
Radio Shit only wants $149.99 for it.

http://www.radioshack.com/product.asp?catalog%5Fname=CTLG&product%5Fid=
20-432

or a Uniden BC895XLT or any base or moble scanner with a real antenna,
any real antenna... That crapy rubber ducky thingy on that "Compact
Handheld Scanner" is just there for looks, you want to hear the airport
click the link

http://www.radioshack.com/product.asp?catalog%5Fname=CTLG&product%5Fid=
20-043

mount it 20 feet above your home.

--
That Other George

Please send your spam to: uce@ftc.gov

IRC #ScannerRadio_Warez For all your Scanner Controll Warez

UseNET: alt.binaries.radio-scanner For all your Scanner Controll Warez

UseNET: alt.binaries.radio.scanner.warez For all your Scanner Controll Warez

http://mysite.verizon.net/moment_1/

3413. Re: Backlight?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-25 12:56:10 UTC
From: Mike From Newcastlescan <mike*nospam*@newcastlescan.com>
Message-ID: <dtfrg116bugdor1gd4hb36u40j1pfd8e7s@4ax.com>
Source record: 12375
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

This correct, another uniden scanner which has some inflexibilty,
would not have expected that :P

cheers
Mike
www.newcastlescan.com

On 24 Aug 2005 21:18:55 -0700, "ScanAustraliaPix@gmail.com"
<ScanAustraliaPix@gmail.com> wrote:

>knowing uniden
>you probably cant
>
>D

3414. Re: A trip to Australia with scanner radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-25 14:06:40 UTC
From: Matt <pissoff@spammers.suck.com>
Message-ID: <dekj9h$1uas$1@otis.netspace.net.au>
Source record: 12376
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

Ahhhh, camouflaged scanners - now that is a good idea. Guess that as it was taken with a lot of other electronic type gear, they probably didn't twig (or maybe care), who knows.

Thanks

Matt

<kiwirat@gmail.com> wrote in message news:01epg11btfssd9nv18t4dfpg96o2jm19c1@4ax.com...
> We didn't take any checked baggage, carried right onto the plane with
> no problems. Now I knew I could not use on the plane. I had a computer
> case with a portable DVD player, 2 digital cameras, mp3 player,
> scanner and all the cords and chargers that went with all that. They
> never blinked an eye when it went thru the scanners. *shrugs*
>
> On Thu, 25 Aug 2005 00:31:54 +0930, "Matt" <pissoff@spammers.suck.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Was the scanner in checked or carry on luggage? I have always been
> >toocowardly to take mine with me for fear that I will be told that I
cannot
> >take it with me (admittedly I normally use an amateur HT as my scanner).
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >Matt

3415. Re: New scanner advice please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-25 18:39:48 UTC
From: Ted Griffin <griff_39@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <UfoPe.3914$L77.2939@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 12377

I'm about 40 miles south of Chicago.

xxnonexnonexx@tampascanner.info wrote:

>On Wed, 24 Aug 2005 22:22:50 GMT, Ted Griffin <griff_39@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>
>
>
>>My old Uniden (BC855XLT) finally died last week. It did me just fine
>>for many years and now, trying to replace it gets more confusing every
>>day. One guy says I need trunking, another says triple trunking, yet
>>another says they'll be coming out with quad-trunking soon. I'm
>>considering the BC898T at around $200 but wanted to run it by here
>>first. Good choice?
>>
>>
>
>First, what area?
>
>Second, and possibly most important.. Does your area currently or possibly in
>the future have Motorola Trunking systems in use? If so then YOU ONLY WANT to
>consider the following radios:
>
>Radio Shack: Pro-96, 2096, 2055
>Uniden/BearCat: BCT8, 246T 396T, 796, 296, 898
>
>Why? In the future the 800MHz area will be rebanded and this will cause the
>functions of the 800MHz Motorola trunking firmware in many scanners to quit
>working. This applies only to Motorola systems, and only to 3600 baud Analog or
>Digital voice using the 3600 Baud CAI, does NOT APPLY to Motorola systems using
>the APCO P25 CAI or 9600 baud control channels.
>
>See: http://www.tampascanner.info/rebanding/800mhzreband.htm for more info on
>this.
>
>IF and ONLY if Motorola trunking is not a factor then you can consider other
>units.
>
>
>

3416. Re: New scanner advice please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-25 19:12:12 UTC
From: The Other George <bgates@msn.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96BD9AF33BD4Fbgatesmsncom@38.119.100.145>
Source record: 12378

Ted Griffin <griff_39@sbcglobal.net> wrote in news:_q6Pe.1260$rS4.684
@newssvr22.news.prodigy.net:

> My old Uniden (BC855XLT) finally died last week. It did me just fine
> for many years and now, trying to replace it gets more confusing every
> day. One guy says I need trunking, another says triple trunking, yet
> another says they'll be coming out with quad-trunking soon. I'm
> considering the BC898T at around $200 but wanted to run it by here
> first. Good choice?
>

Hey Teb check your e-mail, I was about to post a scanner on eBay with
programing software and programing cable. I sent you the info on it :-)

Posted Via Usenet.com Premium Usenet Newsgroup Services

** SPEED ** RETENTION ** COMPLETION ** ANONYMITY **
----
http://www.usenet.com

3417. Re: Listening to airband frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-26 03:36:13 UTC
From: "D. Brown" <dvbrown5@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <UdWdnUPj58XKE5PeRVn-tQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 12379

> Well your first problem is it's a Radio Shit I mean Shack "Compact
> Handheld Scanner"

It's a Bearcat BC92XLT, manufactured by Uniden and repackaged for RS.

It's decent for a $69 scanner.
I like mine.

3418. Re: Ontario frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-26 13:50:34 UTC
From: Waffle <hound107@hotmail22.com>
Message-ID: <18FPe.1684$Rc.510648@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 12380
Cross-posted to: alt.binaries.radio-scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

sure as long as you have a digital scanner with the apco 25 card.

"Vance" <b_lasalle@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:BU%Ke.8910$yH2.570773@news20.bellglobal.com...
> ca i get frequencies for the provincial radio system ?
> thanks, Vance.
>
>

3419. raw data stream from discriminator?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-26 17:51:00 UTC
From: aaa@mytrashmail.com
Message-ID: <1125078660.929902.14920@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12381

Hi All!
can anybody post a small example in c/c++ for linux which would print
raw data stream from discriminator?

thanks.

3420. Re: Aftermarket belt clip for PRO-95?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-26 20:31:48 UTC
From: Al Bell <allbell@ctc.net>
Message-ID: <Se6dnX31Gcup4ZLeRVn-3g@ctc.net>
Source record: 12382

Bo Williams <williams@hiwaay.net> writes:

>Anyone seen such a thing?

I'm hoping the standard Uniden clip works for the PRO-94, because I just went on the Web site and ordered one for about $8 total, including shipping and handling.

I guess I'll know if the Uniden clip works in a few days.

3421. Newbie legal/detection questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-26 20:45:22 UTC
From: Al Bell <allbell@ctc.net>
Message-ID: <IoWdnUTApsf_4pLeRVn-uQ@ctc.net>
Source record: 12383

Newbie legal/detection questions:

I have been lurking here for a long time but haven't posted here
because it's hard for me to post from work. Now I'm on vacation.

Anyhow, I live in the New York metropolitan area and bought a scanner right
after 9/11 because I want to know more about what's going on.

Questions:

- Just how ferocious is the law prohibiting people from sharing what
they've heard over the scanner? In reality, can I tell my spouse about
interesting calls that I've heard? If I were a crime reporter, could I
legally call the police PR people to ask them about an incident I
learned about while monitoring the scanner? (Technically, of course, that
would be a form of sharing information with a third party.)

- I have a Radio Shack PRO-94 scanner. Just in case the authorities ever
do outlaw scanners, does my scanner actually emit some signal while
operating that could alert authorities to the fact that I'm using it?
To people "scanning for scanners," does a scanner appear to be different
from a regular radio or a regular television set?

3422. Re: Listening to airband frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-26 20:49:28 UTC
From: The Other George <bgates@msn.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96BEAB73E10F2bgatesmsncom@38.119.100.145>
Source record: 12384

"D. Brown" <dvbrown5@yahoo.com> wrote in news:UdWdnUPj58XKE5PeRVn-tQ@comcast.com:

>
>> Well your first problem is it's a Radio Shit I mean Shack "Compact
>> Handheld Scanner"
>
> It's a Bearcat BC92XLT, manufactured by Uniden and repackaged for RS.
>
> It's decent for a $69 scanner.
> I like mine.
>
>

$69.00 the Radio Shit I mean Shack web page show it at $119.95

PRO-83 Compact Handheld Scanner with Signal Stalker and Skywarn Button

$119.99 Brand: RadioShack
Catalog #: 20-501 Model: PRO-83

"Skywarn Button" a button to warn you if the sky is falling :-)

It still needs an antenna 20' above the roof of the house to hear an Airport 10 miles away.

--
That Other George

Please send your spam to: uce@ftc.gov

IRC #ScannerRadio_Warez For all your Scanner Controll Warez

UseNET: alt.binaries.radio-scanner For all your Scanner Controll Warez

UseNET: alt.binaries.radio.scanner.warez For all your Scanner Controll Warez

http://www.scannertesting.com

Posted Via Usenet.com Premium Usenet Newsgroup Services

** SPEED ** RETENTION ** COMPLETION ** ANONYMITY **
----
http://www.usenet.com

3423. Re: raw data stream from discriminator?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-26 22:21:59 UTC
From: krackula <krackula@i.am>
Message-ID: <ke3vg11imcsvpisr77v4sbt3fnnk360msi@4ax.com>
Source record: 12385

huh ? what kinda DATA stream ???

dunno about Linux , but to do it in MS ..... (and probably
any other OS)

1. to make that work , you have to know the type of datastream
and then choose appropriate stuff / procedures for that
type of stream.

2. for example ..... to decode the datastream of a trunking
control channel , you need a single level slicer or a
simple schmidt trigger to convert the analog level slicer stream into
a digital level stream. the output is (often) plain serial ,
digital, after that. an ordinary " packet " sniffer or serial port
dumper / monitor program could give you the raw data. specific
streams require stream specific programs to decode to useable output.
many programs had " raw " output mode. programs like " pager software
" pager serial streams, trunking software for trunking control
channels, and cellular software for cellular tower data streams.

3. flex / 4 level encoded data streams require a quad level slicer
to separate the digital data streams properly. then you need an
appropriate program with " raw " output (many of them have it)
for that stream..... like in decoding " flex " paging systems.
raw dumps of 4 level slicers would likely only produce
confusing (to humans) meaningless looking output.

4. if your signal is a digital " codec " wireless mike , for example ,
then you must use a schmidt trigger or single level slicer into
an external compatible " codec " chip intermediate translator
device to properly decode the datastream. optionally you can
, if you know how, use the MS selection of audio codecs contained
within every current MS OS and choose one of them to decode the stream
and output it through your sound card. a " raw " output of this type
stream is of NO human value , except for design and testing purposes.
there are many more types and variations of digital data stream
handling. you must know the type of stream and develop a strategy to
manage THAT particular type of digital demodulation. your program /
hardware selection " must " address the specific type of stream you
anticipate encountering.

many people utilize an " intermediate " decoding device to accomplish
digital signal decoding. apco 25 digital , for example , has NO MS OS
specific codec . it takes a specialized apco 25 decoding chip to
deliver the digital voice codec from a apco 25 encoded signal. people
might buy a used 1st gen apco 25 HT on ebay and then feed the output

from a single level slicer into the decoder chip portion of the HT to obtain a voice output from the disc signal output. this has been used successfully , all the way back into the early cellular telephone days when old brick phones were used simply for their decoder chips to feed the output from a scanner into . a study of those old cellular digital decoding processes are a good resource for this type of endeavor.

it's WAY more complicated than most people expect.

k.....

On 26 Aug 2005 10:51:00 -0700, aaa@mytrashmail.com wrote:

>Hi All!
>can anybody post a small example in c/c++ for linux which would print
>raw data stream from discriminator?
>
>thanks.

3424. FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-26 22:44:43 UTC
From: Maritime Phone Patcher <baaahahahahah@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <8b74a$430f9b49$d1cc79e4$5792@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 12386
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

*** SAY GOODBYE TO K1MAN FOLKS !!!!! ***

ENFORCEMENT: FCC SETS ASIDE K1MAN LICENSE RENEWAL
(from the ARRL Letter)

The FCC has confirmed that the agency has set aside the license renewal application of Glenn Baxter, K1MAN, of Belgrade Lakes, Maine. This after routinely granting it on July 22nd.

As previously reported, Baxter received a $21,000 Notice of Apparent Liability from the agency which has not yet been paid. His current license expires on October 18th. In years past, if a person contested fine and his or her license expired during the period when the matter was being adjudicted, that person could continue to operate until a final determination was made.

That all changed in November of 2004 when the agency enacted the so-called "Red Light Rule" With the "Red Light Rule" in place, the FCC can decline to renew a license during the appeals process and order the person off the air once his or her current license expires.

The agency's July 25th notice to K1MAN was signed by Tracy Simmons who is Associate Chief for Licensing Operations of the Public Safety and Critical Infrastructure. Division of the FCC. In it, the FCC says that the set aside is for enforcement review purposes. Whether or not the regulartory agency will invoke the Red Light rule in Baxter's case won't be known until after October 18th unless he pays the NAL or settles the matter in another way before that date.

Back on November 1, 2004, the FCC's put its "Red Light" rule into effect. Under it, the agency must withhold action on applications and other requests for benefits when the applicant is shown in the FCC's database as being delinquent in non-tax debts owed to the Commission. This means that the regulatory agency will no longer accept applications from debtors, process their pending requests, or provide other benefits until the delinquency is resolved.

To make this work the Commission checks its records against each application under that applicant's federal registration number.

This, to determine if the applicant or any other entity using
the same taxpayer identification number is delinquent in
any debt owed to the Commission. If the Commission finds
that the person to be a delinquent debtor, the request is "red lighted."
The Commission then stops the processing and notifies the
applicant that he or she has 30 days to either pay the debt
or make arrangements for payment of the debt. If payment
arrangements or correction of the records is not made,
the Commission will dismiss the application or request.

There are three extremely limited exceptions to this rule.
First, if the applicant through an attorney files a timely
administrative appeal or has contested the existence
or amount of the debt, the debt will not be considered
delinquent under the red light rule until the order in
question is final. Also, the FCC will not consider it to
be an immediate debt if the automatic stay provisions
of the United States Bankruptcy Code have been
invoked and are deemed to be applicable in a given case.
Lastly, the Commission will process applications for
emergency or special temporary authority involving safety
of life or property including national security emergencies.
Other than these, the delinquent applicant either must
pay up or take the chance of loosing his or her ability
to continue on the air.

"Herbie Baby is Number One !"

3425. Re: raw data stream from discriminator?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-26 23:03:00 UTC
From: "aaa@mytrashmail.com" <aaa@mytrashmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125097380.502722.73790@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12387

yeah, I have scanner and slicer connected to serial port. now , I just
want to get "stream" of bits, I guess. at this point I don't want to
decode anything. I think this step should be same for any type of
transmission?
thanks.

3426. Re: Newbie legal/detection questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-27 00:35:40 UTC
From: matt weber <matthеww50@cox.net>
Message-ID: <0advg1t5ee24ear5l3p8j1ca5dc48eo46s@4ax.com>
Source record: 12388

On Fri, 26 Aug 2005 14:21:35 -0700, Mean 1 <meanguys@newsguys.com>
wrote:

>On Fri, 26 Aug 2005 15:45:22 -0500, Al Bell <allbell@ctc.net> wrote:
>
>>Just how ferocious is the law prohibiting people from sharing what
>>they've heard over the scanner? In reality, can I tell my spouse about
>>interesting calls that I've heard?
>
> The prohibition does not affect unencrypted government
>communications.
It also prohibits you from financial gain from the information, or
publication of the information.

3427. Re: raw data stream from discriminator?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-27 01:36:09 UTC
From: krackula <krackula@i.am>
Message-ID: <94gvg1p6v9qot18oge5e98mposjpcadsk7@4ax.com>
Source record: 12389

On 26 Aug 2005 16:03:00 -0700, "aaa@mytrashmail.com"
<aaa@mytrashmail.com> wrote:

>yeah, I have scanner and slicer connected to serial port. now , I just
>want to get "stream" of bits, I guess. at this point I don't want to
>decode anything. I think this step should be same for any type of
>transmission?
>thanks.

any of those handy serial port monitor programs similar to
Link Ferret , and the zillions of others, will look at what you have
going into the serial port OK. shouldn't be a problem.

like these ....
http://www.hhdsoftware.com/sermon.html
http://www.aggsoft.com/serial-port-monitor/

if you happen to know the serial datastream is supposed to be
handy ascii , then an old trick is to connect an old dumb terminal
to the slicer to take a " cheap " look. using some of those
generic " terminal programs " (like comes in MS OS)
and setting them into raw download mode or dumb terminal
mode will do about the same thing without fiddling with switch
settings. good luck to ya ..... sounds like phun ....

k.....

3428. Re: Newbie legal/detection questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-27 03:09:11 UTC
From: The Other George <bgates@msn.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96BEEBD5B551Abgatesmsncom@38.119.97.5>
Source record: 12390

Al Bell <allbell@ctc.net> wrote in news:IoWdnUTApsf_4pLeRVn-uQ@ctc.net:

> Newbie legal/detection questions:
>
> I have been lurking here for a long time but haven't posted here
> because it's hard for me to post from work. Now I'm on vacation.
>
> Anyhow, I live in the New York metropolitan area and bought a scanner
> right after 9/11 because I want to know more about what's going on.
>
> Questions:
>
> - Just how ferocious is the law prohibiting people from sharing what
> they've heard over the scanner? In reality, can I tell my spouse about
> interesting calls that I've heard? If I were a crime reporter, could I
> legally call the police PR people to ask them about an incident I
> learned about while monitoring the scanner? (Technically, of course,
> that would be a form of sharing information with a third party.)
>
> - I have a Radio Shack PRO-94 scanner. Just in case the authorities
> ever do outlaw scanners, does my scanner actually emit some signal
> while operating that could alert authorities to the fact that I'm
> using it? To people "scanning for scanners," does a scanner appear to
> be different from a regular radio or a regular television set?
>

There really is no law on what you do with the info you hear or even
record from a scanner.. The only thing the FCC does not like you to do is
retransmit cordless phone calls. other then that tell anyone you want,
record it all day long..

--
That Other George

Please send your spam to: uce@ftc.gov

IRC #ScannerRadio_Warez For all your Scanner Controll Warez

UseNET: alt.binaries.radio-scanner For all your Scanner Controll Warez

UseNET: alt.binaries.radio.scanner.warez For all your Scanner Controll
Warez

http://www.scannertesting.com

Posted Via Usenet.com Premium Usenet Newsgroup Services

** SPEED ** RETENTION ** COMPLETION ** ANONYMITY **
----
http://www.usenet.com

3429. Re: Listening to airband frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-27 03:15:04 UTC
From: The Other George <bgates@msn.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96BEECD54EF71bgatesmsncom@38.119.97.5>
Source record: 12391

"rojolo" <rojoloho@sbcglobal.net> wrote in news:XAdPe.1974$eQ.330
@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com:

> How about the name of the Airport ??
> Maybe we can help !!
>
>

Really don't need the name of the airport if he's 10 miles away and using a hand held he is not going to hear anythang!!! He need to put an antenna up on da roof...and here you go the name and frequ's of every airport on the world :-)

http://www.airnav.com/airports/

--
That Other George

Please send your spam to: uce@ftc.gov

IRC #ScannerRadio_Warez For all your Scanner Controll Warez

UseNET: alt.binaries.radio-scanner For all your Scanner Controll Warez

UseNET: alt.binaries.radio.scanner.warez For all your Scanner Controll Warez

http://www.scannertesting.com

Posted Via Usenet.com Premium Usenet Newsgroup Services

** SPEED ** RETENTION ** COMPLETION ** ANONYMITY **
----
http://www.usenet.com

3430. Re: FS:Uniden BC246T Trunk Tracker III 800 MHz 1600 ch Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-27 03:25:31 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <430fdd13.8301877@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 12392

Is "payed" a word?
Look it up in your Funk and Wagnells.

On 23 Aug 2005 23:32:12 -0700, "Vic" <ent001@aol.com> wrote:

>well i payed $220 for my Uniden BC246T Scanner and i still have the Box
>and all the paper work for this scanner i will let it go for $200
>shipped
>

3431. Re: Listening to airband frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-27 04:31:33 UTC
From: Jesse <1@2.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96BF55ABEC7Dewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 12393

The Other George <bgates@msn.com> wrote in
news:Xns96BEECD54EF71bgatesmsncom@38.119.97.5:

> "rojolo" <rojoloho@sbcglobal.net> wrote in news:XAdPe.1974$eQ.330
> @newssvr30.news.prodigy.com:
>
>> How about the name of the Airport ??
>> Maybe we can help !!
>>
>>
>
> Really don't need the name of the airport if he's 10 miles away and
> using a hand held he is not going to hear anythang!!! He need to put an
> antenna up on da roof...and here you go the name and frequ's of every
> airport on the world :-)
>
> http://www.airnav.com/airports/
>
>
Correction - He may or may not hear any ground communications at 10 mile
range with stock antenna - He most certainly should hear the aircraft side
though.

3432. Airport Freq.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-27 06:22:51 UTC
From: Glenan <glenan@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <NPmdnZ-NWoolm43eRVn-jw@comcast.com>
Source record: 12394

Hi all:

I just purchased my first scanner in hopes of getting into a new hobby. With this in mind, I will apologize in advance if some of my questions seem "elementary"

I am also interested in aviation but cannot seem to locate a good listing of airport frequencies. I am assuming that airports all operate on one specific frequency for a great deal of their communications with the aircraft. Could someone please give me these frequencies or direct me to a website where I could get them?

Also, I have been told that purchasing a frequency "guide" would be a waste of my money. Could I please have your opinion that would include a good source of frequencies?

I live in South Florida, Broward county to be exact, and would also be interested in a local "club".

Thank you in advance fir any help you may be able to give me.
Glenn

3433. Re: Airport Freq.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-27 09:31:31 UTC
From: Brad <"bradvk2qq AT w6ir.com">
Message-ID: <431032f9$0$18650$14726298@news.sunsite.dk>
Source record: 12395

"Glenan" <glenan@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:NPmdnZ-NWoolm43eRVn-jw@comcast.com...
> Hi all:
>
> I just purchased my first scanner in hopes of getting into a new hobby.
> With this in mind, I will apologize in advance if some of my questions
> seem "elementary"
>
> I am also interested in aviation but cannot seem to locate a good listing
> of airport frequencies. I am assuming that airports all operate on one
> specific frequency for a great deal of their communications with the
> aircraft. Could someone please give me these frequencies or direct me to
> a website where I could get them?
>

Right about this point I would like to introduce you to a system known as
Google. It's quite incredible really. I'm surprised you seem unfamiliar with
it operation.

Airports do not operate on one frequency. They use several (small GA
airports) to dozens (larger airports)

a search on Broward County Airport returned:

Airport / FBO Information
KFLL FORT LAUDERDALE/HOLLYWOOD INTL FORT LAUDERDALE
FL Time Zone: ET

Lat: N 2604.4 Long: W 08009.2 Elev: 9 Var: +04 Longest
Runway: 9000
Clr Del: 128.4 Dept. ATIS: 135.0 Arr. ATIS: 135.0 Ground:
121.4/121.7 Tower: 119.3/120.2

ATIS is Airport Terminal Information service.
CLR DEL is Clearance Delivery
Dept Departures
Ground is for ground movements
Tower is, well, for the Tower.

Try it for yourself, you'll get results within millisecond, instead of days
awaiting others to do all the work for you.

Brad.

3434. Re: Airport Freq.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-27 12:54:18 UTC
From: DougSlug <dougslug@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <2oZPe.295$kS1.4@fe08.lga>
Source record: 12396

I believe you'll find what you're looking for at http://www.airnav.com.

I'm sorry if my answer wasn't snotty, sarcastic or arrogant.

"Glenan" <glenan@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:NPmdnZ-NWoolm43eRVn-jw@comcast.com...
> Hi all:
>
> I just purchased my first scanner in hopes of getting into a new hobby.
> With this in mind, I will apologize in advance if some of my questions
> seem "elementary"
>
> I am also interested in aviation but cannot seem to locate a good listing
> of airport frequencies. I am assuming that airports all operate on one
> specific frequency for a great deal of their communications with the
> aircraft. Could someone please give me these frequencies or direct me to
> a website where I could get them?
>
> Also, I have been told that purchasing a frequency "guide" would be a
> waste of my money. Could I please have your opinion that would include a
> good source of frequencies?
>
> I live in South Florida, Broward county to be exact, and would also be
> interested in a local "club".
>
> Thank you in advance fir any help you may be able to give me.
> Glenn

3435. Re: BEWARE...Waters and Stanton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-27 15:27:48 UTC
From: Scott en Aztl&#65533;n <scottenaztlan@yahooNOSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <0c11h19n47dsg5eicujg42j0gegkoqoqfj@4ax.com>
Source record: 12397
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.amateur-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

On Tue, 16 Aug 2005 00:07:58 GMT, "chaz" <chaznsc@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>"G-Text" <grumbletext@grumbletext.com> wrote in message
>news:ul7Me.4660$2C5.3035@newsfe1-win.ntli.net...
>> Suit yourself pal, just telling people that they are in no hurry to refund
>> your money, by the way, the returns policy is 7 days no quibble, although
>> I expect you know about that if you have been dealing with them for years,
>> eh
>
>Debit/Credit refunds dont just happen. You need to exercise some level of
>patience.

BULLSHIT.

A transaction is a transaction. The fact that one has a minus sign in
front doesn't change the speed at which a transaction can be
processed. The only reason refunds take longer to appear than the
original charges is because companies purposely drag their feet. And
the reason they get away with dragging their feet is because sheep
like you put up with it.

Bottom line, this company has a return policy, which the OP coimplied
with. They have their merchandise back, but the OP doesn't have his
money. And that ain't right.

3436. RE: Linux POCSAG decoder (1997) :-)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-27 15:31:40 UTC
From: "aaa@mytrashmail.com" <aaa@mytrashmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125156700.583899.77960@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12398

Hi All !
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.scanner/browse_frm/thread/c93acb59cb0d8881/4cd721e6ca8334ad?lnk=st&q=&rnum=11&hl=en#4cd721e6ca8334ad

did anybody get a copy of this program? can anybody post source code
here?
I couldn't reach this web site.

thanks.

3437. Re: Linux POCSAG decoder (1997) :-)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-27 16:11:37 UTC
From: krackula <krackula@i.am>
Message-ID: <f541h1dko0tbpipsveiq1l0j8oe2o7n734@4ax.com>
Source record: 12399

On 27 Aug 2005 08:31:40 -0700, "aaa@mytrashmail.com"
<aaa@mytrashmail.com> wrote:

>Hi All !
>http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.scanner/browse_frm/thread/c93acb59cb0d8881/4cd72
1e6ca8334ad?lnk=st&q=&rnum=11&hl=en#4cd721e6ca8334ad
>
>did anybody get a copy of this program? can anybody post source code
>here?
>I couldn't reach this web site.
>
>thanks.

http://www.zorg.org/radio/pocsag.shtml ....

3438. Re: Linux POCSAG decoder (1997) :-)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-27 16:30:20 UTC
From: "aaa@mytrashmail.com" <aaa@mytrashmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125160220.682180.212570@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12400

hi krackula,
I'm interested in source code. remember? (linux, serial port
,discriminator)
We've talked yesterday about that. :-)

krackula wrote:
> On 27 Aug 2005 08:31:40 -0700, "aaa@mytrashmail.com"
> <aaa@mytrashmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Hi All !
> >http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.scanner/browse_frm/thread/c93acb59cb0d8881/4cd
721e6ca8334ad?lnk=st&q=&rnum=11&hl=en#4cd721e6ca8334ad
> >
> >did anybody get a copy of this program? can anybody post source code
> >here?
> >I couldn't reach this web site.
> >
> >thanks.
>
>
> http://www.zorg.org/radio/pocsag.shtml ....

3439. Re: BEWARE...Waters and Stanton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-27 16:58:51 UTC
From: Ken Ward <ken.g1itv@MTLworld.com>
Message-ID: <fZ0Qe.477$h4.209@newsfe4-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 12301
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.amateur-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

"Scott en Aztl&#65533;n" <scottenaztlan@yahooNOSPAM.com> wrote in message .
>
> A transaction is a transaction. The fact that one has a minus sign in
> front doesn't change the speed at which a transaction can be
> processed. The only reason refunds take longer to appear than the
> original charges is because companies purposely drag their feet. And
> the reason they get away with dragging their feet is because sheep
> like you put up with it.
>
> Bottom line, this company has a return policy, which the OP coimplied
> with. They have their merchandise back, but the OP doesn't have his
> money. And that ain't right.

You a little behind the times eh?

He had his money days ago.

KW

3440. posting 20050827

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-27 17:53:23 UTC
From: armin_j@40networks.com
Message-ID: <4310a893.rec.radio.scanner@mail2>
Source record: 12302

"I believe you," Joshua said. "Really I do."
That's the second decoy ambulance.
"What happens now?" I asked Gwedif.
One of these groups, the Jewish Actors Association, went so far as to place a full-page ad in film industry trade magazine Variety on Friday, decrying t he movie as "stunt casting" and calling upon director Lanois and the Spiegel man family to drop Beck for a more suitable actress.

3441. Re: Listening to airband frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-27 18:08:14 UTC
From: Buzzygirl <buzzygirl@nospam.comcast.net>
Message-ID: <cbSdndPGspGUMY3eRVn-1w@comcast.com>
Source record: 12303

> My 83 was deaf in the airband until I switched from the stock antenna to a
> Diamond RH77CA.

The Diamond RH77CA is an excellent scanner antenna, much better than the short stock duckie of the Pro-83. I just picked up the RH77CA for my 83 and lo and behold, it "hears" much better now on all frequencies. I got the antenna at Universal Radio. I would suggest that Pred02 pick up one of these as it will probably make a noticeable difference in reception.

Jackie

3442. Re: Linux POCSAG decoder (1997) :-)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-27 18:35:17 UTC
From: krackula <krackula@i.am>
Message-ID: <tub1h1ltvnc7j0gunhk21nadn22rohq40q@4ax.com>
Source record: 12304

On 27 Aug 2005 09:30:20 -0700, "aaa@mytrashmail.com"
<aaa@mytrashmail.com> wrote:

>hi krackula,
>I'm interested in source code. remember? (linux, serial port
>,discriminator)
>We've talked yesterday about that. :-)
>

since you couldn't get the other program because that site was dead ,
I thought you would get this one and simply disassemble it to see
whats inside ! just a thought ! ;^)

k.....

the linux (c) BCH codes, used in pocsag decoding and even the "
golay " codes info can be found here too ..... might be useful ....
http://www.eccpage.com/ . down the page a bit .

3443. Re: Airport Freq.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-27 18:45:12 UTC
From: Allan9 <exatc@neo1.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Yw2Qe.60766$gB.6927@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 12305

Sounds like a snide remark with undertones
Al

"DougSlug" <dougslug@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:2oZPe.295$kS1.4@fe08.lga...
>I believe you'll find what you're looking for at http://www.airnav.com.
>
> I'm sorry if my answer wasn't snotty, sarcastic or arrogant.
>
>
> "Glenan" <glenan@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:NPmdnZ-NWoolm43eRVn-jw@comcast.com...
>> Hi all:
>>
>> I just purchased my first scanner in hopes of getting into a new hobby.
>> With this in mind, I will apologize in advance if some of my questions
>> seem "elementary"
>>
>> I am also interested in aviation but cannot seem to locate a good listing
>> of airport frequencies. I am assuming that airports all operate on one
>> specific frequency for a great deal of their communications with the
>> aircraft. Could someone please give me these frequencies or direct me to
>> a website where I could get them?
>>
>> Also, I have been told that purchasing a frequency "guide" would be a
>> waste of my money. Could I please have your opinion that would include a
>> good source of frequencies?
>>
>> I live in South Florida, Broward county to be exact, and would also be
>> interested in a local "club".
>>
>> Thank you in advance fir any help you may be able to give me.
>> Glenn
>
>

3444. Re: Airport Freq.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-27 19:14:15 UTC
From: Glenan <glenan@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <jZidnZ2dnZ0HBKnunZ2dnRcmjd6dnZ2dRVn-0J2dnZ0@comcast.com>
Source record: 12306

I am quite familiar with Google. They are my homepage.

I always thought that newsgroups were intended for a purpose like this (among others) ((Please correct me if I am mistaken and I will not post any such type of messages anymore)). I did not intend for anyone to do any "work" for me and I guess I must apologize to Brad for any inconvenience I might have caused him.

As my Grandfather used to say... "It takes all kinds"

A sincere thank you to those who have helped me in a civil manner.

Glenn

"Glenan" <glenan@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:NPmdnZ-NWoolm43eRVn-jw@comcast.com...
> Hi all:
>
> I just purchased my first scanner in hopes of getting into a new hobby.
> With this in mind, I will apologize in advance if some of my questions
> seem "elementary"
>
> I am also interested in aviation but cannot seem to locate a good listing
> of airport frequencies. I am assuming that airports all operate on one
> specific frequency for a great deal of their communications with the
> aircraft. Could someone please give me these frequencies or direct me to
> a website where I could get them?
>
> Also, I have been told that purchasing a frequency "guide" would be a
> waste of my money. Could I please have your opinion that would include a
> good source of frequencies?
>
> I live in South Florida, Broward county to be exact, and would also be
> interested in a local "club".
>
> Thank you in advance fir any help you may be able to give me.
> Glenn
>

3445. Re: Aftermarket belt clip for PRO-95?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-27 19:19:14 UTC
From: Hogger <andy@hogger.com>
Message-ID: <1qa1h1h28deqh7tr3o5rg19mi0kio0hufl@4ax.com>
Source record: 12307

On Fri, 26 Aug 2005 15:31:48 -0500, Al Bell <allbell@ctc.net> wrote:

Nope, searched high and low, and I too feel the RS belt clips are all
too cheap, and a have a "toy" feel to them.

Silly.. for a precision instrument to be dangling on your belt like
that. (especially if you're in an 'active' line of work, ie-
scrambling about on foot at response scenes, etc..)

Anyway, I came up with a cool solution for the PRO-95.

By the way, I own the PRO-95, and the PRO-97. Both EXCELLENT radios.

However, the PRO-95 has more of a "rugged" outdoorsy feel to it.. with
it's heft, and all- black casing. It's also the size and shape of a
professional 2-way radio, (ala Motorola HT-1000, etc).

So, after struggling with a solution, I had to make my own, to bring
it up to par for the reliability I demand when outdoors.

Here's what I came up with... (warning: it's going to cost you some
bread if you can't find an old motorola clip laying around... you may
just have to by one.. but it requires destroying it.. you'll see.)

I can't upload images to this newsgroup, so here's the link to what I
did:

http://www.hogger.com/scanner/

I hope this helps.

-Hogger

>Bo Williams <williams@hiwaay.net> writes:
>
>>Anyone seen such a thing?
>
>I'm hoping the standard Uniden clip works for the PRO-94, because I just
>went on the Web site and ordered one for about $8 total, including
>shipping and handling.
>
>I guess I'll know if the Uniden clip works in a few days.

3446. Re: Newbie legal/detection questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-27 19:39:32 UTC
From: Hogger <andy@hogger.com>
Message-ID: <2nf1h19ff3sbduqqegi6husjir0map7kdf@4ax.com>
Source record: 12308

At least here in NYC, all the news agencies, have personel sitting at their headquarters monitoring the first-responder's frequencies.

If something goes down, they use their two-way radio to their guys in the field, and dispatch them to the location.

I monitor THEM too... and it's kind of funny to hear them relay the information back and forth.. and their commentary.

The other thing, most 'stringers' carry their own portable scanners, and rove about the city late at night, hoping to get a scoop, and good photos of an incident.

If used repsonsibly, scanners are a TERRIFIC thing for civilians to have.

At almost every large fire, you'lll see the "buffs" together, with their scanners on the sidelines.

Community watch groups and civilian security patrols most use them to help and protect their own communities.

When there's an APB out for a missing child, or car used in commission of a crime, extra eyes and ears in the field provide a wealth of help to our already understaffed forces.

Just don't interfere with first-responder's operations, stay low-key, and don't ever try to be a hero. It's not really worth it.

Information is their best help right now.. so, if you see something, say something. Call 911.

As far as specifically tracking someone RECEIVING radio frequencies, I'm not familiar with any piece of equipment capable of doing that.

If such a device existed, I have no idea how it would differentiate someone's AM/FM stereo, wireless router, baby monitor, coordless phone,or garage door opener from a scanner. (Yes, I know, some of them transmit.. and that can be detected.. I mean from the "receiving" point of view, for example.)

3447. Re: New scanner advice please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-27 22:15:25 UTC
From: keith <mke1965@harbornet.com>
Message-ID: <icp1h11vkj2an26dbnbe7kor2ft2slv670@4ax.com>
Source record: 12309

Go with the 246 from uniden.

it is the best value dollar for dollar in the market I have owned most scanners on the market offered today. It does not have wfm and mil air so if you need these you might wait for the follow up scanner due out soon which is supposed to be full coverage down to the shortwave bands.

Keith

3448. Re: Listening to airband frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-28 02:15:09 UTC
From: "D. Brown" <dvbrown5@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3-OdnYnp0Iavg4zeRVn-sA@comcast.com>
Source record: 12310

> $69.00 the Radio Shit I mean Shack web page show it at $119.95

Day after Thanksgiving day sale. It was on sale for $69.00

>
> PRO-83 Compact Handheld Scanner with Signal Stalker and Skywarn Button
>
> $119.99 Brand: RadioShack
> Catalog #: 20-501 Model: PRO-83
>
> "Skywarn Button" a button to warn you if the sky is falling :-)
>
> It still needs an antenna 20' above the roof of the house to hear an
> Airport 10 miles away.

I live 14.73 miles from our airport, with the Diamond RH77CA on my Pro-83 I
can hear the Tower, Approach and Departure as clear as day.

If he wants to put "an antenna 20' above the roof" I'm sure he could hear
the Airport, but I don't think it's necessary.

>
>
> --
> That Other George

3449. Re: Airport Freq.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-28 02:32:29 UTC
From: Brad <"bradvk2qq AT w6ir.com">
Message-ID: <43112242$0$18639$14726298@news.sunsite.dk>
Source record: 12311

"DougSlug" <dougslug@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:2oZPe.295$kS1.4@fe08.lga...
>I believe you'll find what you're looking for at http://www.airnav.com.
>
> I'm sorry if my answer wasn't snotty, sarcastic or arrogant.
>
You forgot helpful. Not only did I tell him where to find the information, I also went the extra mile, unlike you, and found it for him, listed it and even explained the terminology.

But you are right about my response being snotty. It was intended to be. Someone with Google for a Homepage ought to ask it first. What was that old story about Teaching a Man to Fish?

Brad.

3450. Re: Airport Freq.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-28 02:37:36 UTC
From: Brad <"bradvk2qq AT w6ir.com">
Message-ID: <4311237a$0$18641$14726298@news.sunsite.dk>
Source record: 12312

"Glenan" <glenan@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:jZidnZ2dnZ0HBKnunZ2dnRcmjd6dnZ2dRVn-0J2dnZ0@comcast.com...
>I am quite familiar with Google. They are my homepage.
>
> As my Grandfather used to say... "It takes all kinds"
>
> A sincere thank you to those who have helped me in a civil manner.
>
> Glenn

And my Grandfather used to say The Lord helps those who help themselves. If after that, you have difficulty understanding something, then we (I) am only too happy to assist in any way possible. But too many people want something for nothing in this world.

Despite that, you received only two replies, one was a URL from Doug, and mine contained all the local frequencies and explanation of the terminology. I'm sorry if you did not find my reply helpful.

Brad.

3451. Re: Airport Freq.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-28 03:52:29 UTC
From: Glenan <glenan@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <YeednZ2dnZ0Hb1KenZ2dnWOpjN6dnZ2dRVn-0Z2dnZ0@comcast.com>
Source record: 12313

It was not that I did not find your post helpful. It was the sarcasm that you applied. In the original message, I stated that "I was having trouble locating..." i.e.: I had already looked for myself.

And now a suggestion for you: Perhaps you should try comprehending a post more thoroughly before sarcastically insulting people.

Glenn

"Brad" <bradvk2qq AT w6ir.com> wrote in message
news:4311237a$0$18641$14726298@news.sunsite.dk...
>
> "Glenan" <glenan@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:jZidnZ2dnZ0HBKnunZ2dnRcmjd6dnZ2dRVn-0J2dnZ0@comcast.com...
>>I am quite familiar with Google. They are my homepage.
>>
>> As my Grandfather used to say... "It takes all kinds"
>>
>> A sincere thank you to those who have helped me in a civil manner.
>>
>> Glenn
>
> And my Grandfather used to say The Lord helps those who help themselves.
> If after that, you have difficulty understanding something, then we (I)
> am only too happy to assist in any way possible. But too many people want
> something for nothing in this world.
>
> Despite that, you received only two replies, one was a URL from Doug, and
> mine contained all the local frequencies and explanation of the
> terminology. I'm sorry if you did not find my reply helpful.
>
> Brad.
>

3452. Re: Icom R7100 problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-28 03:54:10 UTC
From: Bob Parnass <not-for-mail@fake.invalid>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.08.28.03.54.09.55531@fake.invalid>
Source record: 12314
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 23:18:37 +0000, Pete KE9OA wrote about
his ICOM IC-R7100:

> Apparently, the reference crystal has drifted down in frequency over
> the years.

I have serviced multiple IC-R7000s, IC-R7100As, and other ICOM radios
(e.g., IC-275H) with the same problem. The reference oscillator crystals
in those radios aged after use and shifted frequency. In some cases,
like yours, they go too far off frequency and must be replaced. Check
with ICOM USA for a replacement crystal.

Too bad ICOM didn't burn in the crystals *before* final factory
alignment.

I've not had this problem with the IC-R8500.

--
==
Bob Parnass, AJ9S GNU/Linux User http://parnass.com

3453. Re: Airport Freq.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-28 04:10:42 UTC
From: Brad <"bradvk2qq AT w6ir.com">
Message-ID: <43113947$0$18649$14726298@news.sunsite.dk>
Source record: 12315

Well, you know, I'm over 16,000 kilometers away, have no knowledge of your area, county or local airport, and yet I was able to type in Broward County airport and hit it in one. Why wouldn't I be sarcastic? I did read your post and answered it accurately. Would you like me to email you a map too?

Brad.

"Glenan" <glenan@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:YeednZ2dnZ0Hb1KenZ2dnWOpjN6dnZ2dRVn-0Z2dnZ0@comcast.com...
> It was not that I did not find your post helpful. It was the sarcasm that
> you applied. In the original message, I stated that "I was having trouble
> locating..." i.e.: I had already looked for myself.
>
> And now a suggestion for you: Perhaps you should try comprehending a post
> more thoroughly before sarcastically insulting people.
>
> Glenn
>
>
> "Brad" <bradvk2qq AT w6ir.com> wrote in message
> news:4311237a$0$18641$14726298@news.sunsite.dk...
>>
>> "Glenan" <glenan@comcast.net> wrote in message
>> news:jZidnZ2dnZ0HBKnunZ2dnRcmjd6dnZ2dRVn-0J2dnZ0@comcast.com...
>>>I am quite familiar with Google. They are my homepage.
>>>
>>> As my Grandfather used to say... "It takes all kinds"
>>>
>>> A sincere thank you to those who have helped me in a civil manner.
>>>
>>> Glenn
>>
>> And my Grandfather used to say The Lord helps those who help themselves.
>> If after that, you have difficulty understanding something, then we (I)
>> am only too happy to assist in any way possible. But too many people want
>> something for nothing in this world.
>>
>> Despite that, you received only two replies, one was a URL from Doug, and
>> mine contained all the local frequencies and explanation of the
>> terminology. I'm sorry if you did not find my reply helpful.
>>
>> Brad.
>>
>
>

3454. PRO-43 vs. PRO-97

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-28 04:25:08 UTC
From: Frank Bals <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <E0bQe.422$m56.172@newssvr24.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 12316

How do these 2 Radio Shack models compare for listening to military aircraft (225-400 Mhz) band?

Frank

3455. Pro-34 opinions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-28 05:21:08 UTC
From: Buzzygirl <buzzygirl@nospam.comcast.net>
Message-ID: <6qGdnemkL5Mg1IzeRVn-iA@comcast.com>
Source record: 12317

I was just given a Pro-34 scanner by a friend who bought it some years back to monitor airband frequencies (he's a pilot), but then he got a dedicated airband transceiver shortly after purchasing the Pro-34. So he put the scanner away; he said he used it for a total of less than two hours. It appears brand new, there's no dust on it, but there is no AC adapter or manual (I found an operating guide online). I already have a couple of scanners, but I said I would take it anyway.

I turned in on last night and programmed a few local frequencies into it. It worked great, even with the stock antenna. I replaced that with a Diamond RH77CA antenna, which pulls in signals much better. I was pretty impressed by its sound and sensitivity. It's bigger than anything I currently own, but still not too ungainly for a portable scanner.

So, my questions: has anybody on the group ever owned of these scanners? How did you find their performance to be? How does it compare to more current models? I don't really need this scanner, but I don't know whether it is even worth putting it up for sale. I noticed that several of these sold on eBay recently for between $50-$72 US, which seems rather high to me, but I have no idea if that price range is realistic or not.

If the consensus is that it's a good performer, I may just end up keeping it, since we have very little trunked scanner activity in my listening area anyway. But I need another scanner like I need un agujero en la cabeza... :)

Jackie

3456. Re: Pro-34 opinions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-28 06:30:10 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com>
Message-ID: <SRcQe.3064$_84.2663@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 12318

It's a decent performer. It can also easily be modified for cell
frequencies. That would probably explain the high prices. I have seen them
go for much more...

"Buzzygirl" <buzzygirl@nospam.comcast.net> wrote in message
news:6qGdnemkL5Mg1IzeRVn-iA@comcast.com...
> I was just given a Pro-34 scanner by a friend who bought it some years
back
> to monitor airband frequencies (he's a pilot), but then he got a dedicated
> airband transceiver shortly after purchasing the Pro-34. So he put the
> scanner away; he said he used it for a total of less than two hours. It
> appears brand new, there's no dust on it, but there is no AC adapter or
> manual (I found an operating guide online). I already have a couple of
> scanners, but I said I would take it anyway.
>
> I turned in on last night and programmed a few local frequencies into it.
It
> worked great, even with the stock antenna. I replaced that with a Diamond
> RH77CA antenna, which pulls in signals much better. I was pretty impressed
> by its sound and sensitivity. It's bigger than anything I currently own,
but
> still not too ungainly for a portable scanner.
>
> So, my questions: has anybody on the group ever owned of these scanners?
How
> did you find their performance to be? How does it compare to more current
> models? I don't really need this scanner, but I don't know whether it is
> even worth putting it up for sale. I noticed that several of these sold on
> eBay recently for between $50-$72 US, which seems rather high to me, but I
> have no idea if that price range is realistic or not.
>
> If the consensus is that it's a good performer, I may just end up keeping
> it, since we have very little trunked scanner activity in my listening
area
> anyway. But I need another scanner like I need un agujero en la cabeza...
:)
>
> Jackie
>
>
>

3457. Re: Icom R7100 problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-28 07:13:54 UTC
From: Pete KE9OA <p.gianako@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <SudQe.688097$cg1.466654@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 12319
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I did check the varactor diode voltage and it checked ok.....I wanted to make sure that the thermistor didn't open up.
Thank you also for your tip, Bob.
The problem did turn out to be the crystal.....apparently, some of the metal from the plates that hold the quartz element itself migrated to the element, increasing its mass.
It's funny.....on Icom's website they mention that this is an ongoing problem with the R7000. One would think that they would address this problem in later iterations.
I did repair the unit. First of all, I replaced that cheap plastic trimmer with a good microwave unit (Ceratrim). Next, I removed that 1pF shunt capacitor that was in parallel with the old cap. As it stands right now, I can tune the 2nd LO up to 1.5kHz above the desired frequency. Not as much range as I would like, but hopefully, the crystal has done its ageing process and I won't have to go into the receiver again.
I am going to order a replacement for the reference crystal, just to have on hand.
Overall, the 7100 is a good receiver. Looking through the service manual, it appears that all 3 of the mixers are doubly-balanced diode ring mixers that operate with +10dBm of LO rejection, so the IMD performance should be pretty decent. It also appears that Icom finally decided to use glass epoxy circuit boards. I didn't know when they had decided to start doing this, since this receiver was designed during that "transition time" when there was a mix of both FR4 and Phenolic being used.
Maybe they finally got tired of repairing those cold solder joints that would develop over time.
Oh, their quality control.....it was pretty funny when I removed the covers from the shield assemblies and found fingerprints on the inside!
I've got the receiver burning in right now.....after another half hour of running, I will do the final calibration on the 2nd and 1st LOs.
Thanks again for the tips, Mike and Bob!

Pete

"Bob Parnass" <not-for-mail@fake.invalid> wrote in message news:pan.2005.08.28.03.54.09.55531@fake.invalid...
> On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 23:18:37 +0000, Pete KE9OA wrote about
> his ICOM IC-R7100:
>
>
> > Apparently, the reference crystal has drifted down in frequency over
> > the years.
>
> I have serviced multiple IC-R7000s, IC-R7100As, and other ICOM radios

> (e.g., IC-275H) with the same problem. The reference oscillator crystals
> in those radios aged after use and shifted frequency. In some cases,
> like yours, they go too far off frequency and must be replaced. Check
> with ICOM USA for a replacement crystal.
>
> Too bad ICOM didn't burn in the crystals *before* final factory
> alignment.
>
> I've not had this problem with the IC-R8500.
>
> --
> ==
> Bob Parnass, AJ9S GNU/Linux User http://parnass.com
>

3458. Re: Airport Freq.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-28 12:31:14 UTC
From: DougSlug <dougslug@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <q8iQe.1405$bT1.705@fe08.lga>
Source record: 12320

Glenn,

My advice, unsolicited as it may be, is to just step away from it and move on. Brad is clearly one of these "last word/always right" types who flourish on the Internet. Take the high road...there's plenty of lanes open on the low road for Brad and his buddies.

Happy scanning!

"Glenan" <glenan@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:YednZ2dnZ0Hb1KenZ2dnWOpjN6dnZ2dRVn-0Z2dnZ0@comcast.com...
> It was not that I did not find your post helpful. It was the sarcasm that
> you applied. In the original message, I stated that "I was having trouble
> locating..." i.e.: I had already looked for myself.
>
> And now a suggestion for you: Perhaps you should try comprehending a post
> more thoroughly before sarcastically insulting people.
>
> Glenn
>
>
> "Brad" <bradvk2qq AT w6ir.com> wrote in message
> news:4311237a$0$18641$14726298@news.sunsite.dk...
>>
>> "Glenan" <glenan@comcast.net> wrote in message
>> news:jZidnZ2dnZ0HBKnunZ2dnRcmjd6dnZ2dRVn-0J2dnZ0@comcast.com...
>>>I am quite familiar with Google. They are my homepage.
>>>
>>> As my Grandfather used to say... "It takes all kinds"
>>>
>>> A sincere thank you to those who have helped me in a civil manner.
>>>
>>> Glenn
>>
>> And my Grandfather used to say The Lord helps those who help themselves.
>> If after that, you have difficulty understanding something, then we (I)
>> am only too happy to assist in any way possible. But too many people want
>> something for nothing in this world.
>>
>> Despite that, you received only two replies, one was a URL from Doug, and
>> mine contained all the local frequencies and explanation of the
>> terminology. I'm sorry if you did not find my reply helpful.
>>
>> Brad.
>>

>
>

3459. Re: Pro-34 opinions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-28 15:49:52 UTC
From: krackula <krackula@i.am>
Message-ID: <87m3h1l50eufqgvqffsef0ocpmiefr8fr4@4ax.com>
Source record: 12321

the pro-34 has held a little higher resale value (than other
similar scanners) due to it's ability to listen to the cellular
band.

if I were you I'd try to sell it on ebay, while the value is still
holding , because in less than 18 months the analog cellular
band will no longer exist. the FCC has decided to ' kill "
analog use of the 800 mhz cell band , by no longer requiring
providers to maintain services for the nearly non existent
remaining analog cellfones. after the cell band dies totally
(it's already nearly dead most places) , " all " new scanners
will most probably begin to cover that range of freqs again.
(because eavesdropping on cell calls will no longer be possible)
the near future value of that scanner is likely to decrease
dramatically. I say " sell it on ebay " , because the sale can
reach customers where the analog band is still active (south
america , some pacific rim areas) and because selling here in the NG
would most likely be futile since people here are aware that it will
soon become nearly valueless.

k..

On Sun, 28 Aug 2005 00:21:08 -0500, "Buzzygirl"
<buzzygirl@nospam.comcast.net> wrote:

>I was just given a Pro-34 scanner by a friend who bought it some years back
>to monitor airband frequencies (he's a pilot), but then he got a dedicated
>airband transceiver shortly after purchasing the Pro-34. So he put the
>scanner away; he said he used it for a total of less than two hours. It
>appears brand new, there's no dust on it, but there is no AC adapter or
>manual (I found an operating guide online). I already have a couple of
>scanners, but I said I would take it anyway.
>
>I turned in on last night and programmed a few local frequencies into it. It
>worked great, even with the stock antenna. I replaced that with a Diamond
>RH77CA antenna, which pulls in signals much better. I was pretty impressed
>by its sound and sensitivity. It's bigger than anything I currently own, but
>still not too ungainly for a portable scanner.
>
>So, my questions: has anybody on the group ever owned of these scanners? How
>did you find their performance to be? How does it compare to more current
>models? I don't really need this scanner, but I don't know whether it is
>even worth putting it up for sale. I noticed that several of these sold on
>eBay recently for between $50-$72 US, which seems rather high to me, but I
>have no idea if that price range is realistic or not.

>
>If the consensus is that it's a good performer, I may just end up keeping
>it, since we have very little trunked scanner activity in my listening area
>anyway. But I need another scanner like I need un agujero en la cabeza... :)
>
>Jackie
>
>

3460. Re: Aftermarket belt clip for PRO-95?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-28 17:47:00 UTC
From: "Steven L. Lubetkin APR Fellow PRSA" <steve@lubetkin.net>
Message-ID: <a32dd0394a4d78c779ee1a8ee516@news.verizon.net>
Source record: 12322

Check out Scannermaster.com. They sell cases for Pro-95 that are very sturdy
with an easy-twist release bracket for the Pro-95 case.
http://www.scannermaster.com/store/01-580852.html

> On Fri, 26 Aug 2005 15:31:48 -0500, Al Bell <allbell@ctc.net> wrote:
>
> Nope, searched high and low, and I too feel the RS belt clips are all
> too cheap, and a have a "toy" feel to them.
>
> Silly.. for a precision instrument to be dangling on your belt like
> that. (especially if you're in an 'active' line of work, ie-
> scrambling about on foot at response scenes, etc..)
>
> Anyway, I came up with a cool solution for the PRO-95.
>
> By the way, I own the PRO-95, and the PRO-97. Both EXCELLENT radios.
>
> However, the PRO-95 has more of a "rugged" outdoorsy feel to it.. with
> it's heft, and all- black casing. It's also the size and shape of a
> professional 2-way radio, (ala Motorola HT-1000, etc).
>
> So, after struggling with a solution, I had to make my own, to bring
> it up to par for the reliability I demand when outdoors.
>
> Here's what I came up with... (warning: it's going to cost you some
> bread if you can't find an old motorola clip laying around... you may
> just have to by one.. but it requires destroying it.. you'll see.)
>
> I can't upload images to this newsgroup, so here's the link to what I
> did:
>
> http://www.hogger.com/scanner/
>
> I hope this helps.
>
> -Hogger
>
>> Bo Williams <williams@hiwaay.net> writes:
>>
>>> Anyone seen such a thing?
>>>
>> I'm hoping the standard Uniden clip works for the PRO-94, because I
>> just went on the Web site and ordered one for about $8 total,
>> including shipping and handling.

>>
>> I guess I'll know if the Uniden clip works in a few days.
>>

3461. Re: Listening to airband frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-28 18:54:13 UTC
From: "D. Brown" <dvbrown5@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <14mdnZ2dnZ2ClZmGnZ2dncOVj96dnZ2dRVn-yJ2dnZ0@comcast.com>
Source record: 12323

"Buzzygirl" <buzzygirl@nospam.comcast.net> wrote in message
news:cbSdndPGspGUMY3eRVn-1w@comcast.com...
>> My 83 was deaf in the airband until I switched from the stock antenna to
>> a
>> Diamond RH77CA.
>
> The Diamond RH77CA is an excellent scanner antenna, much better than the
> short stock duckie of the Pro-83. I just picked up the RH77CA for my 83
> and
> lo and behold, it "hears" much better now on all frequencies. I got the
> antenna at Universal Radio. I would suggest that Pred02 pick up one of
> these
> as it will probably make a noticeable difference in reception.
>
> Jackie

I really like this antenna. I purchased 3 of them from Universal Radio. I originally bought one for my VR500, thought I lost the first RH77CA so I ordered two more. Found the first one under the seat of my car after the other two arrived, so I have now replaced the stock antennas on 3 of my radios.

It really brings the bands to life, even HF. Well definitely better in HF than the stock antennas are.

3462. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-28 19:33:14 UTC
From: AMEN <Nomail@msn.com>
Message-ID: <_joQe.287420$x96.10473@attbi_s72>
Source record: 12324
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Maritime Phone Patcher" <baaahahahahah@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:8b74a$430f9b49$d1cc79e4$5792@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>
> *** SAY GOODBYE TO K1MAN FOLKS !!!!! ***

No one ever accused the FCC of acting hastily in this matter, did they? OM
==========
January 29, 2002

Mr. Glenn A. Baxter
RR1 Box 779
Belgrade Lakes, ME 04918
Re: Amateur Radio license K1MAN

Dear Mr. Baxter:

On May 14, 1999, after numerous complaints about your Amateur station regarding broadcasting, deliberate interference, failure to identify, poor signal quality and erratic starting and stopping times, personnel from the Boston office of the Commission monitored your station and attempted to inspect it. There was no one present at your station while it was transmitting on Amateur Service frequencies. The transmissions continued while Commission personnel went to your residence at 1 Long Point Road, but there was no one present there either. Again on May 15 while your station was transmitting, Commission personnel attempted an inspection but there was no one present.

Since the Amateur Radio Service rules require that Amateur stations be under the control of a control operator, and that the control operator must ensure the immediate proper operation of the station, we requested by letter dated June 25, 1999, that you provide information to the Commission, pursuant to Section 308(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, regarding the operation of your Amateur station. You responded by letter dated July 25, 1999, but your response failed to provide the information we requested. We notified you of that fact by letter dated August 4, 1999, and detailed the inadequacies of your response.

Specifically, you did not provide the name, address or phone number of the control operator for any of the "broadcasts" or "bulletins" such as prerecorded interviews, transmissions of answering machine responses, live interviews, or live, pre-recorded or automated station identifications transmitted by your station. Among other deficiencies of the response, you did not provide dates and times Amateur station K1MAN transmitted without a control operator.

You also stated in your July 25, 1999 reply that you had not received our June 25 letter by certified mail and that our letter had "misrepresented itself as Certified Mail". We reminded you that you had signed the certified receipt for that letter, and we provided you with a copy of that certified receipt.

You responded to our August 4 letter by letter dated August 24, 1999. During our review of the information you provided, your Amateur station ceased operation. As a result, we held our inquiry in abeyance. The U.S. District Court in Maine subsequently dismissed your lawsuit against the Commission, and dismissed your petition for reconsideration of that dismissal as well.

You recently resumed operation of Amateur station K1MAN. In view of that resumption and the resulting complaints we are receiving about deliberate interference, threats against those who do not relinquish frequencies for your use or who would complain, or who have complained, erratic operation, broadcasting, unauthorized tape recording and broadcast of telephone conversations, business use of an Amateur station and lack of station control, we have resumed our inquiry into the operation of K1MAN.
In reviewing your letter dated August 24, 1999, referenced above, and your actions subsequent to coming back on Amateur frequencies, it appears that your understanding of certain Amateur Radio Service rules may be incorrect.

We will outline the apparent misunderstandings below.

Amateur Station Control
With regard to control of an Amateur station, your letter of August 24 indicated that the control device for your station was a "Radio Shack timer", and that the control points for the station were "the main power switch at the transmitter site" and at the location of the control operator, wherever that may be". You further stated that on the dates in question, May 14 and 15, 1999, you were the control operator and were in "both Belgrade Lakes and Augusta in either mobile or portable modes".

Section 97.7 of the Commission's rules states that "when transmitting, each Amateur station must have a control operator". Section 97.105 states that "the control operator must ensure the immediate proper operation of the station, regardless of the type of control (emphasis added). Section 97.109 states that "each Amateur station must have at least one control point", and that the control operator must be at the control point when the station is locally controlled or remotely controlled.

Section 97.7 further states that a control operator need not be at the control point if a station is automatically controlled. However, as Section 97.109 also explains, only stations specifically designated in Part 97, such as a space, repeater, beacon or auxiliary station, may be controlled automatically. There is no provision in Part 97 that allows an Amateur station transmitting information bulletins to be automatically controlled.

In summary, your control of the station by a "Radio Shack timer" while you are absent from your Amateur station is a violation of the Commission's Rules. You were not in control of your station on May 14 and 15, 1999 while you were in "both Belgrade Lakes and Augusta in either mobile or portable

modes". Your statement in your response that "K1MAN has never transmitted during the current license term without a control operator acting in full compliance with rules 97.105 and 97.109 as well as all other applicable FCC rules" is untrue.

Simply put, to comply with Commission rules, you must be at the transmitter, or at the transmitter control point, every moment your station is transmitting, when your station is locally or remotely controlled. If the station is controlled by telecommand from the control point using a radio link, the frequencies used for telecommand must comply with the Section 97.201 requirements for an auxiliary station (may transmit only on the 1.25 m and shorter wavelength bands, except 219-220, 222-222.150, 431-433 and 435-438 MHz segments).

Publishing a Transmitting Schedule & Interference
It appears that you may be under the misconception that by publishing a transmitting schedule of K1MAN, you have certain rights under Commission rules regarding the control operator and frequency sharing requirements that you would not otherwise have.

With regard to the requirement for a control operator, publishing a schedule does not relieve you of that requirement in any way whatsoever. Section 97.113(d) of the Amateur Radio rules states that by publishing a schedule of transmissions at least 30 days in advance, a control operator of a club station may accept compensation for the periods of time when the station is transmitting...information bulletins, providing certain additional conditions are met. Publishing a schedule of proposed transmissions, therefore, relates only to a club station control operator accepting compensation. We note that this is irrelevant to the operation of K1MAN because K1MAN is not a club station.

Simply put, to the extent that you start your transmissions on top of ongoing communications of other amateur service stations, you will be engaging in deliberate interference, in violation of Section 97.101 of the Commission's rules. No amateur service frequency is assigned for the exclusive use of any station. Your publishing an intended transmission schedule does not exempt you from that rule.

Threats to Complainants and Other Users
Your sending "Felony Complaint Affidavits" to other Amateur Service licensees who complain or intend to complain of your operation, or who do not relinquish frequencies to you, was the subject of a Warning letter to you dated December 11, 2001. You have also been warned about such "Felony Complaint Affidavits" by the United States Attorney in Maine.

The Commission will view any threats made by telephone, through the U.S. Mail or on radio frequencies to Amateur Radio licensees who file complaints with the Commission, or who do not relinquish frequencies for your use, as very serious.

Broadcasting
We also note that on your web site and in your radio transmissions, you

refer to your transmissions variously as "broadcasts, programs, talk shows, bulletins, pre-recorded interviews" and so forth. We have received complaints that you have broadcast comedy shows and commercial messages. We caution you that Section 97.113(b) of the Amateur service rules prohibits amateur station from engaging in any form of broadcasting. Certain one-way transmissions, such as information bulletins, are allowed as specified in the rules.

Tape Recording and Broadcasting Telephone Conversations
We have received and have under review complaints that you have tape-recorded telephone conversations without the consent of the recorded party and that you have broadcast such tape recordings. We caution you that such recording without consent may be in violation of state or federal law. We will contact you under separate cover regarding those complaints.

In summary, it is very important for you to understand that we will review all valid complaints concerning the operation of your Amateur station, and that Commission personnel will again inspect your station. The rules of the Amateur Service are straightforward and easy to understand. To the extent that you do not comply with Commission rules regarding the Amateur Radio Service, then to that extent enforcement action will be taken against your licenses. That enforcement action may include revocation of your station license, suspension of your operator license, a modification proceeding to restrict your operating privileges, or monetary forfeiture.

It is also important for you to understand that if these matters are not resolved, your operator/primary station licenses will not be routinely renewed; but instead will be designated for hearing before an Administrative Law Judge. In such a proceeding, you would have the burden of proof to show that your licenses should be renewed.

3463. Re: Scanner Antenna in Tree

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-28 20:39:00 UTC
From: jamoran <analon1@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <VbCdnVgtmpAmvY_eRVn-qg@sedona.net>
Source record: 12325

I've strung a wire either between two trees while at a city park or
between the house nad a tree for a shortwave antenna

scanner antenna usually wavelengths are 4 meters or less and a 2 meter
ham or 2m/440 antenna will work just fine an antenna for 150mhz
will work for 300, 600 even 900mhz as it is a multiple of the wavelength

the antenna does not have to be exact but hte cloer the better

wh349055@netscape.net wrote:
>>One problem - it sounds as if the coax is going to have to be long
>>enough that, even with LMR600, it might not be better than an antenna
>>mounted lower but much closer.
>
>
> I am going to go and measure again, but assuming I measured wrong
> before, Is a 100 foot run too long for the antenna to work properly? I
> am probabaly going to use 300 ohm twin lead for the lead in, unless
> people think it should really be coax. See a picture of the proposed
> set up at http://community.webshots.com/photo/424625000/424626583NExHgY
>

3464. Re: Listening to airband frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-28 20:43:50 UTC
From: jamoran <analon1@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1ZqdnTcGH_RCvI_eRVn-pw@sedona.net>
Source record: 12326

what you can receive is a function of how much power the target
transmits with. I am not far from KPHX airport but some frequencies I
can receive easier than others.....I would bet that they transmit with
different power outputs... even when I am at the field, some frequencies
are louder than others...

the best place for plane spotting and airport scnner listening at KPHX
is on the roof of either the terminal-3 or terminal-4 garage.

the tower is right next to terminal-3 and they are building a new tower
and tracon facility just east of termina-3

D. Brown wrote:

> > $69.00 the Radio Shit I mean Shack web page show it at $119.95
>
> Day after Thanksgiving day sale. It was on sale for $69.00
>
>
>>PRO-83 Compact Handheld Scanner with Signal Stalker and Skywarn Button
>>
>>$119.99 Brand: RadioShack
>>Catalog #: 20-501 Model: PRO-83
>>
>>"Skywarn Button" a button to warn you if the sky is falling :-)
>>
>>It still needs an antenna 20' above the roof of the house to hear an
>>Airport 10 miles away.
>
>
> I live 14.73 miles from our airport, with the Diamond RH77CA on my Pro-83 I
> can hear the Tower, Approach and Departure as clear as day.
>
> If he wants to put "an antenna 20' above the roof" I'm sure he could hear
> the Airport, but I don't think it's necessary.
>
>
>>
>>--
>>That Other George
>
>
>

3465. Re: Aftermarket belt clip for PRO-95?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-28 22:09:49 UTC
From: Bo Williams <williams@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <11h4dhh466vkr5f@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 12327

Hogger wrote:
> On Fri, 26 Aug 2005 15:31:48 -0500, Al Bell <allbell@ctc.net> wrote:
>
> Nope, searched high and low, and I too feel the RS belt clips are all
> too cheap, and a have a "toy" feel to them.

It's 100% Mickey Mouse. I've used the clip perhaps a dozen times in a couple of years of owning the radio, and it's about to break--right at the topmost row of molded dimples. Still in one piece for now, but definitely not trustworthy.

I love this radio and definitely would have paid $5 or $10 more for it to have included a real belt clip.

[...]

> I can't upload images to this newsgroup, so here's the link to what I
> did:
>
> http://www.hogger.com/scanner/
>
> I hope this helps.

I appreciate that and will keep it in my back pocket if I can't find another solution. Thing is, I've got a super leather cover for it already that I really like.

However, you have given me the idea of cannibalizing a two-piece clip of some kind, which I hadn't thought of before. Then just the mount would have to fit the holes in the radio, which sounds rather easier than trying to force a non-standard standalone clip onto it.

Thanks for your reply. I appreciate it.
--
Bo Williams - williams@hiwaay.net
http://hiwaay.net/~williams/

3466. Re: Aftermarket belt clip for PRO-95?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-28 22:10:56 UTC
From: Bo Williams <williams@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <11h4djh9o42sn97@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 12328

Steven L. Lubetkin APR Fellow PRSA wrote:
> Check out Scannermaster.com. They sell cases for Pro-95 that are very
> sturdy with an easy-twist release bracket for the Pro-95 case.
> http://www.scannermaster.com/store/01-580852.html

Thanks for the link. I've got the leather part down already, but as I
said in another post I hadn't thought of adapting a two-piece clip to
the radio. I'll have to mull that a bit, but I'm liking it on initial read.

Thanks,
--
Bo Williams - williams@hiwaay.net
http://hiwaay.net/~williams/

3467. Hurricane Katrina - scanner feeds ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-28 22:29:20 UTC
From: Match <avolioREMOVE@cyberwizardsDotcomDotau>
Message-ID: <43123ac5$1_4@news.chariot.net.au>
Source record: 12329

Any live scanner feeds , if so please post .
Thanks

Match
http://www.gorgecreekorchards.com.au/scanner.html

3468. Re: Newbie legal/detection questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-29 00:42:16 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <i5m4h1db2jcnghv8uot4b6t6an01gn34d6@4ax.com>
Source record: 12330

On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 19:39:32 GMT, Hogger <andy@hogger.com> wrote:

>At least here in NYC, all the news agencies, have personel sitting at
>their headquarters monitoring the first-responder's frequencies.
>
>If something goes down, they use their two-way radio to their guys in
>the field, and dispatch them to the location.
>
>I monitor THEM too... and it's kind of funny to hear them relay the
>information back and forth.. and their commentary.
>
>The other thing, most 'stringers' carry their own portable scanners,
>and rove about the city late at night, hoping to get a scoop, and good
>photos of an incident.
>
>If used repsonsibly, scanners are a TERRIFIC thing for civilians to
>have.
>
>At almost every large fire, you'lll see the "buffs" together, with
>their scanners on the sidelines.
>
>Community watch groups and civilian security patrols most use them to
>help and protect their own communities.
>
>When there's an APB out for a missing child, or car used in commission
>of a crime, extra eyes and ears in the field provide a wealth of help
>to our already understaffed forces.
>
>Just don't interfere with first-responder's operations, stay low-key,
>and don't ever try to be a hero. It's not really worth it.
>
>Information is their best help right now.. so, if you see something,
>say something. Call 911.
>
>As far as specifically tracking someone RECEIVING radio frequencies,
>I'm not familiar with any piece of equipment capable of doing that.
>
>If such a device existed, I have no idea how it would differentiate
>someone's AM/FM stereo, wireless router, baby monitor, coordless
>phone,or garage door opener from a scanner. (Yes, I know, some of
>them transmit.. and that can be detected.. I mean from the "receiving"
>point of view, for example.)

Frequency of operation. And changes thereto.

Concert stadia use scanners to detect emissions from tape recorders and eject people found using them.

Some years back, a US satellite outfit found people using their small dishes in concealed locations and prosecuted them for theft of sevice if they were not subscribers.

In the UK, where TV owners have to pay a license fee, similar means are used to detect working sets from out in the street.

Scanners likely put out rapidly-changing emissions as they scan.

3469. Re: Scanner Antenna in Tree

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-29 03:26:52 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <4sv4h1hn4auh8h5kn6cjo3m6l0oefuhv1d@4ax.com>
Source record: 12331

On 17 Aug 2005 14:16:02 -0700, wh349055@netscape.net said in rec.radio.scanner:

>I am going to go and measure again, but assuming I measured wrong >before, Is a 100 foot run too long for the antenna to work properly?

It's the loss, not the length. LMR600 has only 0.781db loss/100 feet, perfectly acceptable.

>I am probabaly going to use 300 ohm twin lead for the lead in, unless >people think it should really be coax.

If you want the least loss (within practical limits - you *don't* want 3" dry nitrogen rigid cable) use ladder line, but the tuner will be a bit much. Even LMR200 is less than 2db loss/100 feet, and RG8 (not 8X) is a hair over 2db.

3470. Re: FS:Uniden BC246T Trunk Tracker III 800 MHz 1600 ch Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-29 03:35:00 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <kf05h19fde1077cqc6j19qrhj2avh8sabl@4ax.com>
Source record: 12332

On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 03:25:31 GMT, scosgt@worldnet.att.net (Bruce Markowitz) said in rec.radio.scanner:

>Is "payed" a word?
>Look it up in your Funk and Wagnells.

Is "Wagnells" a word?
Look it up in your Funk & Wagnall's. :)

And why should anyone buy a used scanner without a warranty for $200 when he can buy a new one for only $14 more?

3471. Re: Listening to airband frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-29 03:38:10 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <cn05h1l4k3jjt5ohvb9jsrvsce17lu1pg5@4ax.com>
Source record: 12333

On Fri, 26 Aug 2005 22:15:04 -0500, The Other George <bgates@msn.com>
said in rec.radio.scanner:

>Really don't need the name of the airport if he's 10 miles away and using a
>hand held he is not going to hear anythang!!!

I hear plenty from 40 miles away from an airport using the rubber
duck.

You're sure a fountain of misinformation, aren't you?

3472. Re: Newbie legal/detection questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-29 03:49:15 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <ov05h11v2i3tiepblmrm98ittsdem9umph@4ax.com>
Source record: 12335

On Fri, 26 Aug 2005 14:21:35 -0700, Mean 1 <meanguys@newsguys.com>
said in rec.radio.scanner:

>On Fri, 26 Aug 2005 15:45:22 -0500, Al Bell <allbell@ctc.net> wrote:

>>Just how ferocious is the law prohibiting people from sharing what
>>they've heard over the scanner? In reality, can I tell my spouse about
>>interesting calls that I've heard?

> The prohibition does not affect unencrypted government
>communications.

Sorry, but the Communications Act of 1934, As Amended, prohibits
disclosure of anything heard by radio EXCEPT signals broadcast to the
general public, unless you were a party to the conversation. (That
includes ONLY AM, FM and TV broadcast band stations and amateur
radio.) On-line police scanner streams are illegal, discussing what
you heard with your attorney, while privileged, is illegal, discussing
it with your spouse is illegal, etc. (It says "one", so you can
disclose it to your pets all you like, but not with any human being.)

Is the law vigorously enforced? At the moment I can recall only one
instance of enforcement, and that was disclosure of something heard
being discussed on a cell phone.

Will the authorities prohibit reception of public service frequencies?
Right now federal law specifically permits it.

Can a scanner be detected? Yes, if the person detecting it is
sufficiently educated in electronics, it can be detected in a way that
will stand up in court but, just as almost any electronics engineer
can defeat a radar speeding ticket issued by almost any police officer
(at least on paper - in some courts, having been stopped is
overwhelming evidence of guilt), almost any electronics engineer will
be able to defeat a "scanner" ticket. The evidence is far from
"beyond a reasonable doubt". In fact, it's highly doubtful evidence.

3473. Re: Icom R7100 problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-29 03:49:31 UTC
From: Pete KE9OA <p.gianako@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <fBvQe.633$ym5.290@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 12334
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

I still need to check the 3rd LO injection frequency and I do have to adjust
the LSB / USB BFO injection frequencies.
It appears that the main board for this unit is underneath the convertor /
regulator assembly.
The service manual is not clear on how to dissamble the unit. Can anybody
give me any tips on how to get this thing apart? Do the front and rear
panels need to be removed in order to do this?

Pete

"Pete KE9OA" wrote in message
news:SudQe.688097$cg1.466654@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>I did check the varactor diode voltage and it checked ok.....I wanted
>to make sure that the thermistor didn't open up.
> Thank you also for your tip, Bob.
> The problem did turn out to be the crystal.....apparently, some of the
> metal from the plates that hold the quartz element itself migrated to the
> element, increasing its mass.
> It's funny.....on Icom's website they mention that this is an ongoing
> problem with the R7000. One would think that they would address this
> problem in later iterations.
> I did repair the unit. First of all, I replaced that cheap plastic trimmer
> with a good microwave unit (Ceratrim). Next, I removed that 1pF shunt
> capacitor that was in parallel with the old cap. As it stands right now, I
> can tune the 2nd LO up to 1.5kHz above the desired frequency. Not as much
> range as I would like, but hopefully, the crystal has done its ageing
> process and I won't have to go into the receiver again.
> I am going to order a replacement for the reference crystal, just to have
> on hand.
> Overall, the 7100 is a good receiver. Looking through the service manual,
> it appears that all 3 of the mixers are doubly-balanced diode ring mixers
> that operate with +10dBm of LO rejection, so the IMD performance should be
> pretty decent. It also appears that Icom finally decided to use glass
> epoxy circuit boards. I didn't know when they had decided to start doing
> this, since this receiver was designed during that "transition time" when
> there was a mix of both FR4 and Phenolic being used.
> Maybe they finally got tired of repairing those cold solder joints that
> would develop over time.
> Oh, their quality control.....it was pretty funny when I
> removed the covers from the shield assemblies and found fingerprints on
> the inside!
> I've got the receiver burning in right now.....after another half hour
> of running, I will do the final calibration on the 2nd and 1st LOs.

> Thanks again for the tips, Mike and Bob!
>
> Pete
>
> "Bob Parnass" wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.08.28.03.54.09.55531@fake.invalid...
>> On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 23:18:37 +0000, Pete KE9OA wrote about
>> his ICOM IC-R7100:
>>
>>
>> > Apparently, the reference crystal has drifted down in frequency over
>> > the years.
>>
>> I have serviced multiple IC-R7000s, IC-R7100As, and other ICOM radios
>> (e.g., IC-275H) with the same problem. The reference oscillator crystals
>> in those radios aged after use and shifted frequency. In some cases,
>> like yours, they go too far off frequency and must be replaced. Check
>> with ICOM USA for a replacement crystal.
>>
>> Too bad ICOM didn't burn in the crystals *before* final factory
>> alignment.
>>
>> I've not had this problem with the IC-R8500.
>>
>> --
>> ==
>> Bob Parnass, AJ9S GNU/Linux User http://parnass.com
>>
>
>

3474. Re: Newbie legal/detection questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-29 03:57:29 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <5e15h1l833g6gj16h12bbmrtm0c8c6fpt5@4ax.com>
Source record: 12336

On Fri, 26 Aug 2005 22:09:11 -0500, The Other George <bgates@msn.com>
said in rec.radio.scanner:

>There really is no law on what you do with the info you hear or even
>record from a scanner.

Thank you, TOG. I think the rule of thumb should be "Read TOG's post
and assume that the fact is the exact opposite".

*R*E*A*D* the Communications Act of 1934, As Amended, before someone
ends up in prison because he quoted you as a defense. Pay special
attention to Sec. 2511 1 e 1 of the ECPA. "shall be punished". We
don't legally punish people for doing things that aren't illegal.

3475. Re: Newbie legal/detection questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-29 03:58:53 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <lu15h1d8j5f2g6578t2qqkp15h8rho05g4@4ax.com>
Source record: 12337

On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 19:39:32 GMT, Hogger <andy@hogger.com> said in
rec.radio.scanner:

>As far as specifically tracking someone RECEIVING radio frequencies,
>I'm not familiar with any piece of equipment capable of doing that.

>If such a device existed, I have no idea how it would differentiate
>someone's AM/FM stereo, wireless router, baby monitor, coordless
>phone,or garage door opener from a scanner. (Yes, I know, some of
>them transmit.. and that can be detected.. I mean from the "receiving"
>point of view, for example.)

By the frequency of the local oscillator, but that's very iffy as
legal evidence.

3476. Re: Airport Freq.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-29 04:09:05 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <rh25h1lq0hdtr5030qdvpq5bl2h4lph9sr@4ax.com>
Source record: 12338

On Sun, 28 Aug 2005 12:37:36 +1000, "Brad" <bradvk2qq AT w6ir.com>
said in rec.radio.scanner:

>And my Grandfather used to say The Lord helps those who help themselves.

And some help themselves by posting questions on newsgroups.

3477. Re: Airport Freq.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-29 04:11:36 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <gl25h1t6eo8eiet2miqbpspiinu8eon2ei@4ax.com>
Source record: 12339

On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 23:52:29 -0400, "Glenan" <glenan@comcast.net> said
in rec.radio.scanner:

>It was not that I did not find your post helpful. It was the sarcasm that
>you applied. In the original message, I stated that "I was having trouble
>locating..." i.e.: I had already looked for myself.

You might also want to look at
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&ctid=321 for
non-aircraft frequencies.

3478. Re: Pro-34 opinions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-29 04:17:37 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <tu25h114lqpsu3gtajkgog25nm310eb8q4@4ax.com>
Source record: 12340

On Sun, 28 Aug 2005 00:21:08 -0500, "Buzzygirl"
<buzzygirl@nospam.comcast.net> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>I was just given a Pro-34 scanner by a friend who bought it some years back
>to monitor airband frequencies (he's a pilot), but then he got a dedicated
>airband transceiver shortly after purchasing the Pro-34. So he put the
>scanner away; he said he used it for a total of less than two hours.

It's a decent receiver for the frequencies it covers. (Mine is buried
somewhere. Basement? Storage room?)

> It appears brand new, there's no dust on it, but there is no AC adapter

9 volts, 300 mAh or more, center positive, IIRC.

3479. Re: Aftermarket belt clip for PRO-95?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-29 08:25:35 UTC
From: "Mike M." <notme@invalid.email.net>
Message-ID: <s7h5h1hu52v6gs3ivbdh0mr154agtmqcog@4ax.com>
Source record: 12341

On Wed, 17 Aug 2005 20:28:34 -0500, Bo Williams <williams@hiwaay.net>
wrotF:

>Anyone seen such a thing?

You could probably adapt the clip from a Radio Shack HTX-202 or 404.
They are nothing fancy, just a 1.75" wide by 3.75" long piece of thin
spring steel. You'd probably break the radio before the clip. They
were still available from the Shack last year.

3480. Re: Newbie legal/detection questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-29 08:49:32 UTC
From: "Mike M." <notme@invalid.email.net>
Message-ID: <bei5h1tpislbnr3vp0lrcjmjae4dl81j74@4ax.com>
Source record: 12342

On Fri, 26 Aug 2005 15:45:22 -0500, Al Bell <allbell@ctc.net> wrotF:

>Newbie legal/detection questions:
>
>I have been lurking here for a long time but haven't posted here
>because it's hard for me to post from work. Now I'm on vacation.
>
>Anyhow, I live in the New York metropolitan area and bought a scanner right
>after 9/11 because I want to know more about what's going on.
>
>Questions:
>
>- Just how ferocious is the law prohibiting people from sharing what
>they've heard over the scanner? In reality, can I tell my spouse about
>interesting calls that I've heard? If I were a crime reporter, could I
>legally call the police PR people to ask them about an incident I
>learned about while monitoring the scanner? (Technically, of course, that
>would be a form of sharing information with a third party.)
>
>- I have a Radio Shack PRO-94 scanner. Just in case the authorities ever
>do outlaw scanners, does my scanner actually emit some signal while
>operating that could alert authorities to the fact that I'm using it?
>To people "scanning for scanners," does a scanner appear to be different
>from a regular radio or a regular television set?

Most of what you hear on the police, fire, aircraft , marine and
amateur bands is not protected and you can tell the old lady about if.
Cell phones, cordless phones and anything encrypted is another story.

From the ECPA of 1986

(4)Section 2511(2) of title 18, United
States Code, is amended by adding at the
end the following:
"(g)it shall not be unlawful under this
chapter or chapter 121 this title for
Post p. 1860 any person---
"(i)to intercept or access an
electronic communication made through
an electronic communication system
that is configured so that such
electronic communication is readily
accessible to the general public;
"(ii) to intercept any radio
communication which is transmitted--

"(I) by any station for the use
of the general public, or that
relates to ships, aircraft, vehicles,
or persons in distress;
"(II)by any governmental, law
enforcement, civil defense, private
land mobile, or public safety
communications system, including
police and fire, readily accessible
to the general public;
"(III) by a station operating on
an authorized frequency within the
bands allocated to the amateur,
citizens band, or general mobile
radio services; or
"(IV) by any marine or
aeronautical communications system;
"(iii) to engage in any conduct
which--
"(I) is prohibited by section 633
47 USC 553. of the Communications Act of 1934;or
"(II) is excepted from the
application of section 705(a) of the
47 USC 605. Communications Act of 1934 by section
705(b) of that Act;
"(iv) to intercept any wire or
electronic communication the
transmission of which is causing
harmful interference to any lawfully
operating station or consumer
electronic equipment, to the extent
necessary to identify the source of
such interference; or
"(v) for other users of the same
frequency to intercept any radio
communication made through a system that
utilizes frequencies monitored by
individuals engaged in the provision or
the use of such system,, if such
communication is not scrambled or
encrypted

3481. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-29 10:21:49 UTC
From: Chameleon <nobody@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <hco5h1dnqk0fq8jdrl67h6lb5stc2s2alc@4ax.com>
Source record: 12344
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Fri, 26 Aug 2005 18:44:43 -0400, "Maritime Phone Patcher"
<baaahahahahah@yahoo.com> wrote:

>As previously reported, Baxter received a $21,000 Notice
>of Apparent Liability from the agency which has not yet
>been paid.

Of course it hasn't been "paid."
You don't PAY an "apparent" liability.
You pay it IF and WHEN when it becomes a fine.

3482. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-29 10:22:49 UTC
From: Chameleon <nobody@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <bfo5h1t3f01k3eq30570i8irto06fm19ig@4ax.com>
Source record: 12343
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

It ain't over until it's over.

On Fri, 26 Aug 2005 18:44:43 -0400, "Maritime Phone Patcher"
<baaahahahahah@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>*** SAY GOODBYE TO K1MAN FOLKS !!!!! ***
>
>
>
>ENFORCEMENT: FCC SETS ASIDE K1MAN LICENSE RENEWAL
>(from the ARRL Letter)
>
>The FCC has confirmed that the agency has set aside the license
>renewal application of Glenn Baxter, K1MAN, of Belgrade
>Lakes, Maine. This after routinely granting it on July 22nd.
>
>As previously reported, Baxter received a $21,000 Notice
>of Apparent Liability from the agency which has not yet
>been paid. His current license expires on October 18th.
>In years past, if a person contested fine and his or her
>license expired during the period when the matter was
>being adjudicted, that person could continue to operate
>until a final determination was made.
>
>That all changed in November of 2004 when the
>agency enacted the so-called "Red Light Rule"
>With the "Red Light Rule" in place, the FCC can
>decline to renew a license during the appeals
>process and order the person off the air once his
>or her current license expires.
>
>The agency's July 25th notice to K1MAN was signed
>by Tracy Simmons who is Associate Chief for Licensing
>Operations of the Public Safety and Critical Infrastructure.
>Division of the FCC. In it, the FCC says that the set
>aside is for enforcement review purposes. Whether or not
>the regulartory agency will invoke the Red Light rule in
>Baxter's case won't be known until after October 18th
>unless he pays the NAL or settles the matter in another
>way before that date.
>
>Back on November 1, 2004, the FCC's put its "Red Light"
>rule into effect. Under it, the agency must withhold action

>on applications and other requests for benefits when the
>applicant is shown in the FCC's database as being delinquent
>in non-tax debts owed to the Commission. This means that
>the regulatory agency will no longer accept applications
>from debtors, process their pending requests, or provide
>other benefits until the delinquency is resolved.
>
>To make this work the Commission checks its records against
>each application under that applicant's federal registration number.
>This, to determine if the applicant or any other entity using
>the same taxpayer identification number is delinquent in
>any debt owed to the Commission. If the Commission finds
>that the person to be a delinquent debtor, the request is "red lighted."
>The Commission then stops the processing and notifies the
>applicant that he or she has 30 days to either pay the debt
>or make arrangements for payment of the debt. If payment
>arrangements or correction of the records is not made,
>the Commission will dismiss the application or request.
>
>There are three extremely limited exceptions to this rule.
>First, if the applicant through an attorney files a timely
>administrative appeal or has contested the existence
>or amount of the debt, the debt will not be considered
>delinquent under the red light rule until the order in
>question is final. Also, the FCC will not consider it to
>be an immediate debt if the automatic stay provisions
>of the United States Bankruptcy Code have been
>invoked and are deemed to be applicable in a given case.
>Lastly, the Commission will process applications for
>emergency or special temporary authority involving safety
>of life or property including national security emergencies.
>Other than these, the delinquent applicant either must
>pay up or take the chance of loosing his or her ability
>to continue on the air.
>
>-----------------------
>
>"Herbie Baby is Number One !"
>

3483. Re: Hurricane Katrina - scanner feeds ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-29 12:58:54 UTC
From: "Rob L." <rjlusenet14@spamex.com>
Message-ID: <H0adnbOo6YYfm47eUSdV9g@ptd.net>
Source record: 12345

Match wrote:
> Any live scanner feeds , if so please post .
> Thanks

>> http://207.44.198.6:8000/listen.pls
>
>
>> http://ve3nsv.no-ip.org:8000/Hurricane.m3u
This feed will be quiet at times
>

3484. Re: Pro-34 opinions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-29 15:34:14 UTC
From: r2000swler@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125329654.823798.171990@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12346

Center is negative, shell is positve.
A PITA as I like the shell to be (-) so there
are no arcs if it touches ground.

Terry

3485. Re: Yupiteru silly trivia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-29 16:53:13 UTC
From: retsuhcs@xinap.moc
Message-ID: <devehpqou1@reader2.panix.com>
Source record: 12347

In article <1125190834.617592.113260@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>,
Pops <mikebrady02134@hotmail.com> wrote:
>On a keyboard, the keys needed to type the word YUPITERU are all on the
>same row.
>As Gomer Pyle once said, "Makes ya thank."

Actually the origin of the brand name is equally fascinating. It is,
perhaps, related to the reason why a famous video game of the 1980's
involving a large monkey ended up being known to the English-speaking
world as DONKEY Kong.

"YUPITERU" is "JUPITER" transliterated into Japanese and then (badly) back
into English.

3486. Re: Pro-34 opinions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-29 18:21:07 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <3fk6h15koaff8h8i42meosmtlan94hn4rc@4ax.com>
Source record: 12348

On 29 Aug 2005 08:34:14 -0700, r2000swler@hotmail.com said in rec.radio.scanner:

>Center is negative, shell is positve.

From memory, so I sincerely hope no one blew up their Pro-34 on my account.

3487. New Orleans Live PD scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-29 19:25:29 UTC
From: Oranges <orangejuice@msn.net>
Message-ID: <ecc66$4313610f$d1cc4ef9$1504@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 12349

Any links to the same?

Should be curious as night falls and the africoons
start to come out to celebrate "xmas in August".

(....if you get what I mean - grin)

3488. FA Uniden Scanners BEARCAT BC860XLT 142XL BC147XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-29 20:20:17 UTC
From: dchu <davidchu@excite.com>
Message-ID: <1125346817.602299.231990@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12350

We have Uniden Scanners, listed on ebay starting at 99 cents... with no
reserves, so good luck... please take a look at:

Bearcat BC147XLT
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5803679189&rd=1&sspagename=STRK%3AMESE%3AIT&rd=1

Bearcat 142XL
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5803679178&rd=1&sspagename=STRK%3AMESE%3AIT&rd=1

BEARCAT BC860XLT
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5803679160&rd=1&sspagename=STRK%3AMESE%3AIT&rd=1

All my listing
http://search.ebay.com/_W0QQsassZdavidchuQQhtZ-1

thanks,
dchu

3489. Ladd2 frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-29 20:23:36 UTC
From: burgessfred33@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125347016.563649.72830@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12201

Does anyone have the frequencies for the Ladd2 radios. I have the info
for Ladd1 and have been searching the Internet for Ladd2.
Thanks
Fred Burgess

3490. Re: New Orleans Live PD scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-29 23:21:59 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <a467h11bejt5vhf631bfe5ofi1kjg1d4he@4ax.com>
Source record: 12202

On Mon, 29 Aug 2005 15:25:29 -0400, "Oranges" <orangejuice@msn.net>
wrote:

>Any links to the same?
>
>Should be curious as night falls and the africoons
>start to come out to celebrate "xmas in August".
>
>(....if you get what I mean - grin)

Cracker.

3491. Re: Airport Freq.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-30 00:10:20 UTC
From: Glenan <glenan@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <RaCdnczNaZpoPo7eRVn-pg@comcast.com>
Source record: 12203

Okay, now that my blood pressure has leveled off and I have realized that
Brad definitely IS the always right / last word types, I would like to thank
all of you that have offered suggestions and help IN A CIVIL manner.
Anyway, I laughed brad off by telling my wife I was going to start a NG
...."rec.how.to.be.rude"

I guess in reality, I took it to far by letting this get to me.

Thanks again,
Glenn.
"Glenan" <glenan@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:NPmdnZ-NWoolm43eRVn-jw@comcast.com...
> Hi all:
>
> I just purchased my first scanner in hopes of getting into a new hobby.
> With this in mind, I will apologize in advance if some of my questions
> seem "elementary"
>
> I am also interested in aviation but cannot seem to locate a good listing
> of airport frequencies. I am assuming that airports all operate on one
> specific frequency for a great deal of their communications with the
> aircraft. Could someone please give me these frequencies or direct me to
> a website where I could get them?
>
> Also, I have been told that purchasing a frequency "guide" would be a
> waste of my money. Could I please have your opinion that would include a
> good source of frequencies?
>
> I live in South Florida, Broward county to be exact, and would also be
> interested in a local "club".
>
> Thank you in advance fir any help you may be able to give me.
> Glenn
>

3492. owners manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-30 01:10:38 UTC
From: "daddysmurf47@msn.com" <daddysmurf47@msn.com>
Message-ID: <1125364238.266187.290660@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12204

i have a rca scantrak model no. RP-6120A and i lost the owners manual
does anyone have a manual or tell me where i might get one e-mailed the
company but they do not have any for my scanner thank you

3493. Re: Pro-34 opinions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-30 01:27:34 UTC
From: Buzzygirl <buzzygirl@nospam.comcast.net>
Message-ID: <yf2dnZ2dnZ09bL6UnZ2dnWEojt6dnZ2dRVn-0Z2dnZ0@comcast.com>
Source record: 12205

"Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message
news:3fk6h15koaff8h8i42meosmtlan94hn4rc@4ax.com...

> From memory, so I sincerely hope no one blew up their Pro-34 on my
> account.

Heh, don't worry. I haven't gone out to buy an adaptor for the ol' girl yet.

I'm fairly impressed by the performance of this older scanner. I live in a populous metropolitan area, made up of two fairly large cities (Minneapolis and St. Paul) and many smaller cities (grand total of the Twin Towns and their 'burbs is almost 3 million now). Hennepin County (the most populous county in the state, which includes the city of Minneapolis) is using trunked radio, but I've heard nothing terribly interesting coming out of there so far on my Pro-95. The St. Paul Police Department is still using UHF and the local state patrol car-to-base is using VHF. Lots of action between just those two entities.

So, having used it for a few nights now, I can say that the Pro-34 works quite well, and picks up everything my Pro-95 and Pro-83 picks up, even with its stock antenna.

I dunno... I may keep it, or I may sell it, but probably not on eBay. I don't have a seller's account there, and don't really care to set one up just to sell one item.

Jackie

3494. Re: Yupiteru silly trivia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-30 01:34:03 UTC
From: Buzzygirl <buzzygirl@nospam.comcast.net>
Message-ID: <v8KdndXXsJ8fKo7eRVn-jw@comcast.com>
Source record: 12206

"Mike S." <retsuhcs@xinap.moc> wrote in message
news:devehpqou1@reader2.panix.com...

> Actually the origin of the brand name is equally fascinating. It is,
> perhaps, related to the reason why a famous video game of the 1980's
> involving a large monkey ended up being known to the English-speaking
> world as DONKEY Kong.

I just told this to my teenaged son (who really liked that game when he was
younger) and he said, "I always wondered what that name was all about."

I just assumed they meant it to be "MONKEY Kong," or some such thing.

Speaking of goofy Japanese/English translations... www.engrish.com

I laugh every time I visit. It's updated daily.

Jackie
"All my base are belong to me"

3495. 400 Mhz Motorola trunking questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-30 03:28:18 UTC
From: Southern Kiwi <southern_kiwi@*spamsucks*hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <4313d714$1@clear.net.nz>
Source record: 12207
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi All,

Long time scanner.............., trunking virgin.

Using Uniden BC785D without the digital card and am using ARC250pro and IDtracker software for computer control.

I have done a normal search and logged the repeater frequencies in the designated trunking band for my area for two days and have come up with 10 frequencies including a couple of "birdie" channels (control?) that seem to be used.
Sometimes I hear both sides of a conversation, other times just one.

I'm struggling to get these to trunk in my scanner.

I have chosen "Motorola type II uhf" as the system for the bank

I am having difficulty trying to figure out the "base frequency" (lowest logged?), and the offset. (I know the step is 12.5khz)

I have loaded them into bank 1, and set the band & frequencies as "trunk", but when I push scan I hear nothing, when I switch over to search there is activity)

Also, how do I figure out the ID's ? (Id tracker just comes up with the frequencies)

Any "un-gobbledeegook" advice appreciated.

Also....Any New Zealand scanners lurking here??

--
Cheers
Southern Kiwi
southern_kiwi@*spamsucks*hotmail.com
Word of wisdom from high in the mountains....you know...like a Guru...but
not as old....or mystic.....or wise....or high... :)

3496. Re: owners manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-30 04:55:46 UTC
From: Tim Buktoo <fun@timspad.org>
Message-ID: <pjp7h19mfl86a87b0571p71ujedp5eijkj@4ax.com>
Source record: 12208

On 29 Aug 2005 18:10:38 -0700, "daddysmurf47@msn.com"
<daddysmurf47@msn.com> wrote:

> i have a rca scantrak model no. RP-6120A and i lost the owners manual
>does anyone have a manual or tell me where i might get one e-mailed the
>company but they do not have any for my scanner thank you

Here you go: http://myweb.accessus.net/~090/bearcatting.html

It's down toward the bottom of the page.

3497. Re: 400 Mhz Motorola trunking questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-30 08:14:41 UTC
From: Legs <secret@notvalid.co.nz>
Message-ID: <PzUQe.7073$iM2.713016@news.xtra.co.nz>
Source record: 12209
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Southern Kiwi wrote:
> Hi All,
>
> Long time scanner....., trunking virgin.
>
> Using Uniden BC785D without the digital card and am using ARC250pro and
> IDtracker software for computer control.
>
> I have done a normal search and logged the repeater frequencies in the
> designated trunking band for my area for two days and have come up with 10
> frequencies including a couple of "birdie" channels (control?) that seem to
> be used.
> Sometimes I hear both sides of a conversation, other times just one.
>
> I'm struggling to get these to trunk in my scanner.
>
> I have chosen "Motorola type II uhf" as the system for the bank
>
> I am having difficulty trying to figure out the "base frequency" (lowest
> logged?), and the offset. (I know the step is 12.5khz)
>
> I have loaded them into bank 1, and set the band & frequencies as "trunk",
> but when I push scan I hear nothing, when I switch over to search there is
> activity)
>
> Also, how do I figure out the ID's ? (Id tracker just comes up with the
> frequencies)
>
> Any "un-gobbledeegook" advice appreciated.
>
> Also....Any New Zealand scanners lurking here??
>

Ummm - yes
goto :-

http://www.nzscanners.org.nz/

3498. FS: PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-30 14:40:30 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <43146fb0.10762535@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 12210

New backlight, mods done. With antenna only. Good condition. $175 plus shipping
PayPal to confirmed address only

3499. FS: Yaesu VR-500 unblocked

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-30 14:41:14 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <43146fe1.10810905@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 12211

```
In box as new $225
PayPal to confirmed address, plus shipping
```

3500. Re: 400 Mhz Motorola trunking questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-30 20:09:59 UTC
From: Legs <secret@notvalid.co.nz>
Message-ID: <r23Re.7230$iM2.728271@news.xtra.co.nz>
Source record: 12212
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Southern Kiwi wrote:
> Hi All,
>
> Long time scanner....., trunking virgin.
>
> Using Uniden BC785D without the digital card and am using ARC250pro and
> IDtracker software for computer control.
>
> I have done a normal search and logged the repeater frequencies in the
> designated trunking band for my area for two days and have come up with 10
> frequencies including a couple of "birdie" channels (control?) that seem to
> be used.
> Sometimes I hear both sides of a conversation, other times just one.
>
> I'm struggling to get these to trunk in my scanner.
>
> I have chosen "Motorola type II uhf" as the system for the bank
>
> I am having difficulty trying to figure out the "base frequency" (lowest
> logged?), and the offset. (I know the step is 12.5khz)
>
> I have loaded them into bank 1, and set the band & frequencies as "trunk",
> but when I push scan I hear nothing, when I switch over to search there is
> activity)
>
> Also, how do I figure out the ID's ? (Id tracker just comes up with the
> frequencies)
>
> Any "un-gobbledeegook" advice appreciated.
>
> Also....Any New Zealand scanners lurking here??
>

You will have difficulty decoding these trunking systems on 400MHz in
New Zealand because the trunking system used is MPT1327. Because this
system is not extensively used in the USA, there has been no commercial
imperative to develop or build scanning receivers capable of decoding
this trunking system. There are a limited number of EDACS systems in
main centres in the 800MHz band but they do not have the same saturation
as the MPT1327 trunking system which is marketed by the radio telephone
outlet of our favourite telephone company.

3501. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-30 23:51:44 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125445904.226015.220920@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12213
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

It isn't over yet, there is a long process that the FCC and K1MAN has to go through. including a hearing before an administrative law judge and the US Court of Appeal.

Todd N9OGL

3502. FS: PRO-2004 Best Offer!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-31 00:57:38 UTC
From: Frank <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <6g7Re.3081$v83.1502@newssvr33.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 12214

Excellent condition. Bright backlight. Has the cellular modification,
scan/search speed increase, tighter squelch, and extra 100 channels.
Original box and manual. I will include a external 12VDC adapter I use to
eliminlate heat inside the radio.

Price......Best offer!

3503. Re: 400 Mhz Motorola trunking questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-31 11:31:07 UTC
From: Melv <melvyn.rattenburynojunk@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <%xgRe.3197$w4.302@newsfe5-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 12215
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Good news, there is software available ftrunk and trunksniffer are the best.
Melv
"Legs" <secret@notvalid.co.nz> wrote in message
news:r23Re.7230$iM2.728271@news.xtra.co.nz...
> Southern Kiwi wrote:
> > Hi All,
> >
> > Long time scanner....., trunking virgin.
> >
> > Using Uniden BC785D without the digital card and am using ARC250pro and
> > IDtracker software for computer control.
> >
> > I have done a normal search and logged the repeater frequencies in the
> > designated trunking band for my area for two days and have come up with
10
> > frequencies including a couple of "birdie" channels (control?) that seem
to
> > be used.
> > Sometimes I hear both sides of a conversation, other times just one.
> >
> > I'm struggling to get these to trunk in my scanner.
> >
> > I have chosen "Motorola type II uhf" as the system for the bank
> >
> > I am having difficulty trying to figure out the "base frequency" (lowest
> > logged?), and the offset. (I know the step is 12.5khz)
> >
> > I have loaded them into bank 1, and set the band & frequencies as
"trunk",
> > but when I push scan I hear nothing, when I switch over to search there
is
> > activity)
> >
> > Also, how do I figure out the ID's ? (Id tracker just comes up with the
> > frequencies)
> >
> > Any "un-gobbledeegook" advice appreciated.
> >
> > Also....Any New Zealand scanners lurking here??
> >
>
>
> You will have difficulty decoding these trunking systems on 400MHz in

> New Zealand because the trunking system used is MPT1327. Because this
> system is not extensively used in the USA, there has been no commercial
> imperative to develop or build scanning receivers capable of decoding
> this trunking system. There are a limited number of EDACS systems in
> main centres in the 800MHz band but they do not have the same saturation
> as the MPT1327 trunking system which is marketed by the radio telephone
> outlet of our favourite telephone company.

3504. Several Scanner Stories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-31 13:29:54 UTC
From: zerg90 <zerg90@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125494994.698106.187020@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12216

POLICE scanner traffic tells tale of the storm
Hattiesburg American - Hattiesburg,MS,USA
Hattiesburg American senior reporter Janet Braswell spent much of
Monday
monitoring traffic on the police scanner. The following ...
<http://www.hattiesburgamerican.com/apps/pbcs.dll/article?AID=/20050830/NEWS05/50830006>

4 car burglary suspects accused of using scanner to avoid police
The Jersey Journal - Jersey City,NJ,USA
Police have arrested three Jersey City men and a Bayonne teen-ager they
say were using a police scanner to keep track of police while breaking
into parked cars ...
<http://www.nj.com/news/jjournal/index.ssf?/base/news-0/1125393181150150.xml&coll=3>

BE ruthless with looters, police and National Guard told
Independent - London,England,UK
... In Biloxi, local reporters listening on a police scanner, heard the
Harrison County Sheriff, George Payne, angrily tell his deputies to
make
room in the county ...
<http://news.independent.co.uk/world/americas/article309222.ece>

MISSISSIPPI governor: Possible 80 dead
Biloxi Sun Herald - MS, USA
... A furious Harrison County Sheriff George Payne was heard on the
police
scanner telling his deputies to make room in the jail for thieves. ...
<http://www.sunherald.com/mld/sunherald/news/special_packages/hurricane_katrina/12512932.h
tm>

PURSUIT of robbery suspect brings honor
Sturgis Journal - Sturgis,MI,USA
... Vedder's father was listening to a police scanner at the time and
called
his daughter, and gave her a description of the suspect vehicle. ...
<http://www.sturgisjournal.com/main.asp?SectionID=2&SubSectionID=65&ArticleID=19320&TM=337
4.926>

==========

My Yahoo lists - firerad2, firealert, SonOfRCMA, MassFire, sme2

3505. Re: 400 Mhz Motorola trunking questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-31 17:30:21 UTC
From: kevcat <kevcat@dodo.com.au>
Message-ID: <4315E964.60E86BB4@dodo.com.au>
Source record: 12217
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Melv wrote:
>
> Good news, there is software available ftrunk and trunksniffer are the best.
> Melv

the thing with this software is you need two scanners to track the
conversations
one to listen on the control channel and the other(computer
controllable) to track

but yes they are both good programs

Kev

3506. Re: Airport Freq.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-31 17:42:27 UTC
From: Craven Morehead <cmorehead@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <FZIRe.2766$xw1.447@bignews6.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 12218

Brad, the asshole.

"Brad" <bradvk2qq AT w6ir.com> wrote in message
news:431032f9$0$18650$14726298@news.sunsite.dk...
>
> "Glenan" <glenan@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:NPmdnZ-NWoolm43eRVn-jw@comcast.com...
> > Hi all:
> >
> > I just purchased my first scanner in hopes of getting into a new hobby.
> > With this in mind, I will apologize in advance if some of my questions
> > seem "elementary"
> >
> > I am also interested in aviation but cannot seem to locate a good
listing
> > of airport frequencies. I am assuming that airports all operate on one
> > specific frequency for a great deal of their communications with the
> > aircraft. Could someone please give me these frequencies or direct me
to
> > a website where I could get them?
> >
>
> Right about this point I would like to introduce you to a system known as
> Google. It's quite incredible really. I'm surprised you seem unfamiliar
with
> it operation.
>
> Airports do not operate on one frequency. They use several (small GA
> airports) to dozens (larger airports)
>
> a search on Broward County Airport returned:
>
> Airport / FBO Information
> KFLL FORT LAUDERDALE/HOLLYWOOD INTL FORT LAUDERDALE
> FL Time Zone: ET
>
> Lat: N 2604.4 Long: W 08009.2 Elev: 9 Var: +04 Longest
> Runway: 9000
> Clr Del: 128.4 Dept. ATIS: 135.0 Arr. ATIS: 135.0
Ground:
> 121.4/121.7 Tower: 119.3/120.2
>
> ATIS is Airport Terminal Information service.
> CLR DEL is Clearance Delivery

> Dept Departures
> Ground is for ground movements
> Tower is, well, for the Tower.
>
> Try it for yourself, you'll get results within millisecond, instead of
days
> awaiting others to do all the work for you.
>
> Brad.
>
>

3507. Re: Airport Freq.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-31 20:06:35 UTC
From: LiRM <LiRM@unicomp.com>
Message-ID: <dd2ch1pep002jdggfmhnrfdkpl1vnanc6s@4ax.com>
Source record: 12219

On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 02:22:51 -0400, "Glenan" <glenan@comcast.net>
wrote:

>Hi all:
>
>I just purchased my first scanner in hopes of getting into a new hobby.
>With this in mind, I will apologize in advance if some of my questions seem
>"elementary"
>
>I am also interested in aviation but cannot seem to locate a good listing of
>airport frequencies. I am assuming that airports all operate on one
>specific frequency for a great deal of their communications with the
>aircraft. Could someone please give me these frequencies or direct me to a
>website where I could get them?
>
>Also, I have been told that purchasing a frequency "guide" would be a waste
>of my money. Could I please have your opinion that would include a good
>source of frequencies?
>
>I live in South Florida, Broward county to be exact, and would also be
>interested in a local "club".
>
>Thank you in advance fir any help you may be able to give me.
>Glenn
>

Glenn,

Greetings. I can recommend a few things to you since I live in your
area.

First, there is a local group that is part of Yahoo Groups. I'm not
sure if you are familiar with this or not, but go here and do some
reading:

http://groups.yahoo.com/

The name of the group I'm talking about is SEFLORIDA. Here is a
direct URL for the group:

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/SEFLORIDA/

SEFLORIDA is a group dedicated to South East Florida scanning. It's a
nice bunch of folks. I have done very little posting on the group -
mostly I lurk, but there is a lot to be learned by just reading.

Also, one of the guys on the group makes and sells a book/CD called the South Florida trunking guide. Here is a link for that book:

http://www.scannerdude.com/

I know you said you into scanning airports, but this book covers all the counties in South Florida and is a wealth of info. No, I'm not affiliated with it at all, just a happy customer.

This should give you a pretty good start.

Also, you might want to take a look through Yahoo Groups for your particular scanner type - there are groups set up for particular scanners - for example - I've got a Uniden BCD396T and I've learned a tremendous amount from that group.

Good luck and enjoy. I enjoy listening to the airports myself on occasion.

Here's a few to get you going for starters:

Air Emergency 121.5000
Mil Air Emerg 243.0000
Miami Inter. 118.3000
Miami - Depart 119.4500
Miami - Approach 120.5000
Miami - Helo 123.0250
Miami - Helo 123.0500
Miami Inter. 123.9000
Miami - Approach 124.8500
Miami - Approach 125.7500
Miami - Approach 127.6500

Air Emergency 121.5000
Ft.Laud-Approach 119.3000
Ft.Laud 120.2000
Ft.Laud Exec AP 120.9000

Air Emergency 121.5000
PBI Airport 118.7500
PBI Airport 119.1000
PBI Approach 124.6000
PBI Approach 125.0000
PBI Approach 125.2000
PBI Depart 127.3500
PBI Depart 128.3000

Air Emergency 121.5000
Opa Locka 118.6000
Opa Locka 125.6000
American 129.2000
United 129.5000
Delta 129.5500
Delta 130.1000

Delta 131.4500

Good luck,

LiRM

3508. Re: Airport Freq.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-31 20:46:11 UTC
From: Brad <"bradvk2qq AT w6ir.com">
Message-ID: <43161717$0$18644$14726298@news.sunsite.dk>
Source record: 12220

"Craven Morehead" <cmorehead@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:FZlRe.2766$xw1.447@bignews6.bellsouth.net...
> Brad, the asshole.
>

Now that was completely unnecessary.

Brad.

3509. Re: Aftermarket belt clip for PRO-95?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-08-31 21:06:10 UTC
From: Hogger <andy@hogger.com>
Message-ID: <7a7ch1pgutaem10p7b86qb3k2cpdc12bji@4ax.com>
Source record: 12221

LoL! No, actually I am a utility worker by trade, and part time
photographer! I use my scanner for both of those duties.

But yeah, it get's beat up a bit. The truth is, the PRO-97 is a bit
too "nice" to have dangling from your belt exposed.

That silver finish scratches much easier... so I prefer to use the
PRO-95 outdoors and at work.

I hope the pictures helped give some other guys ideas on a durable
clip.

On Mon, 29 Aug 2005 13:56:31 -0400, Mark <me@privacy.net> wrote:

>On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 19:19:14 GMT, Hogger <andy@hogger.com> wrote:
>
>>http://www.hogger.com/scanner/
>
>From this site:
>
>"Now, you're all set for action! The PRO-95's super durable case, and
>all-black color, makes it a good candidate for this modification, as it
>looks really pro hanging from your belt, police style."
>
>
>Please tell me you're actually a cop and not one of those freaks driving
>around pulling people over in an ex-cruiser.........

3510. Re: Pro-34 opinions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-01 01:54:08 UTC
From: r2000swler@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125539648.799339.234920@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12222

Buzzygirl wrote:

Heh, don't worry. I haven't gone out to buy an adaptor for the ol' girl yet.

I'm fairly impressed by the performance of this older scanner. I live in a populous metropolitan area, made up of two fairly large cities (Minneapolis and St. Paul) and many smaller cities (grand total of the Twin Towns and their 'burbs is almost 3 million now). Hennepin County (the most populous county in the state, which includes the city of Minneapolis) is using trunked radio, but I've heard nothing terribly interesting coming out of there so far on my Pro-95. The St. Paul Police Department is still using UHF and the local state patrol car-to-base is using VHF. Lots of action between just those two entities.

So, having used it for a few nights now, I can say that the Pro-34 works quite well, and picks up everything my Pro-95 and Pro-83 picks up, even with its stock antenna.

I dunno... I may keep it, or I may sell it, but probably not on eBay. I don't have a seller's account there, and don't really care to set one up just to sell one item.

Jackie

First sorry is two very similar messages show up. Yahoo barfed on my first post attempt.

You might consider starting a "bug out bag". If some local event, like a train wreck with a hazmat leak, force you to evacuate your home it is nice to be able to evesdrop on what is happening.

I have my old PR034, a Sangen ATS909, a really ancient IC-2AT (Ham HT), and other usefull items, in a water proof bag ready to "deploy". While I have no expectations of a Katrina like event, it never hurts to be prepared. From my personal experience

you aren't going to get very much out old scanners/receivers,
so for me, it makes more sense to keep them for backups,
or for real emergencies. I don't keep batteries in the bag,
they are kept in the fridge in an air tight container and changed
out every other year.

Oh, keep a list of usefull(!!current!!) freqs.

Terry

3511. Re: Pro-34 opinions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-01 02:43:53 UTC
From: Buzzygirl <buzzygirl@nospam.comcast.net>
Message-ID: <qv2dncEjTKVx94veRVn-gg@comcast.com>
Source record: 12223

<r2000swler@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1125539648.799339.234920@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

> You might consider starting a "bug out bag". If some local event,
> like a train wreck with a hazmat leak, force you to evacuate your
> home it is nice to be able to evesdrop on what is happening.
>
> I have my old PR034, a Sangen ATS909, a really ancient IC-2AT
> (Ham HT), and other usefull items, in a water proof bag ready to
> "deploy".

Excellent ideas; thanks for the reminder. I've been telling myself that I need to set up a "go" bag for some time now.

I have enough radio-related items to fill a bug-out bag, and then some. All I really need is to get a decent waterproof backpack and put the stuff I have into it. Need to buy some more batteries though; lots more batteries. Perhaps a portable power supply as well.

We don't have any seismic or even many weather-related emergencies where I live, but I do live one block east of a busy railroad track, and who knows what those cars are carrying besides multiple graffiti tags.

The Pro-34 looks kinda bride-of-Frankensteinish compared to my other rigs. Cool in a retro way, but not as cool as the 1930's Philco cathedral radio that's also next to me. I like the juxtaposition of these two retro-rigs, one on either side of me as I'm typing this. :-)

Jackie

3512. HiTECK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-01 03:33:31 UTC
From: SteveJ <SJ@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <B8udnQ41rJYQ64veRVn-1w@comcast.com>
Source record: 12224

Here we are in this fine HiTECK society, and with all this great New Trucking radio systems are not worth a shit in a real emergency like in the Big Easy, and the police can not talk anywhere. Car to Car does not work either.
Hell CB would work better or something. All police should have a backup simplex Radio to use if this happens again as at least they could talk if the repeater went down. I bet right now in most areas we live if the main base went out all communications would go to crap..
Sometimes keep it simple really works.

3513. Re: New Orleans Live PD scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-01 03:46:31 UTC
From: SteveJ <SJ@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <HNudndSK_ccH5IveRVn-pg@comcast.com>
Source record: 12225

Just what we thought, as times get hard Looters come out like the wild Africans. Just can not shake the wild jungle out of them even after 200-300 years of training.
Steal, or get crack is all they can thing about and if they cant carry it away they just shit on it like a wild bunch of monkeys.
Remember the movie Planet of the Apes, does this look familiar?

<kashe@sonic.net> wrote in message news:a467h11bejt5vhf631bfe5ofi1kjg1d4he@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 29 Aug 2005 15:25:29 -0400, "Oranges" <orangejuice@msn.net>
> wrote:
>
>>Any links to the same?
>>
>>Should be curious as night falls and the africoons
>>start to come out to celebrate "xmas in August".
>>
>>(....if you get what I mean - grin)
>
> Cracker.

3514. Re: Newbie legal/detection questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-01 12:50:29 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <6OCRe.17978$ih4.10090@fe02.lga>
Source record: 12226

"Al Klein" <rukbat@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:lu15h1d8j5f2g6578t2qqkp15h8rho05g4@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 19:39:32 GMT, Hogger <andy@hogger.com> said in
> rec.radio.scanner:
>
> >As far as specifically tracking someone RECEIVING radio frequencies,
> >I'm not familiar with any piece of equipment capable of doing that.
>
> >If such a device existed, I have no idea how it would differentiate
> >someone's AM/FM stereo, wireless router, baby monitor, coordless
> >phone,or garage door opener from a scanner. (Yes, I know, some of
> >them transmit.. and that can be detected.. I mean from the "receiving"
> >point of view, for example.)
>
> By the frequency of the local oscillator, but that's very iffy as
> legal evidence.

Well, it doesn't seem too iffy in Virginia and D.C. They use devices (VG2
detectors) that pick up local oscillator frequencies in radar detectors, and
have no problem using it for legal evidence...

3515. Re: Pro-34 opinions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-01 12:52:33 UTC
From: r2000swler@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125579153.873119.269300@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12227

Buzzygirl wrote:

Excellent ideas; thanks for the reminder. I've been telling myself that
I
need to set up a "go" bag for some time now.

I have enough radio-related items to fill a bug-out bag, and then some.
All
I really need is to get a decent waterproof backpack and put the stuff
I
have into it. Need to buy some more batteries though; lots more
batteries.
Perhaps a portable power supply as well.

We don't have any seismic or even many weather-related emergencies
where I
live, but I do live one block east of a busy railroad track, and who
knows
what those cars are carrying besides multiple graffiti tags.

The Pro-34 looks kinda bride-of-Frankensteinish compared to my other
rigs.
Cool in a retro way, but not as cool as the 1930's Philco cathedral
radio
that's also next to me. I like the juxtaposition of these two
retro-rigs,
one on either side of me as I'm typing this. :-)

Jackie

If you can stand the Ot political BS,
<http://groups.google.com/group/misc.survivalism>
can be a usefull, though frustrating NG.

Whne cooler weahter gets here I am going to put a R390 into service.
The waste heat will be usefull, and my wife's cat loves the heated top.

My first SWs, a Zenith and a Heath GR64, are so deaf compared to
anyh o fmy modern SW, even when compared to the Grundig "emergency"
FR200, that I really ought to toss them. But like my first decent CB
HT, a
Midland 13-110H 2Ch (100mW!), I just can't bring myself to gfet rid of
them.
Too many memories. While school taught me some things, trying to get a
"better" antenna, to listen and talk further really did me a favour and
got me

started in electronics.

Terry

3516. Re: Yupiteru new models very scarce

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-01 15:47:26 UTC
From: "Mike Cawood, HND BIT" <me@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <43172280$1_2@mk-nntp-2.news.uk.tiscali.com>
Source record: 12228
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

"Ray Colvill" <rcolvill@paradise.net.nz> wrote in message
news:43095e7e@clear.net.nz...
> No new models from them for while in the scanner arena.
>
> The website has no scanners on the front page any more.
>
> They seemed to have branched in another direction.
>
> Any one know any more about what's up.
>
>
I'm a bit surprised by that, but as they've put the old MVT-7100 back
into production, I guess they've no spare manufacturing capacity.
Still there are plenty of other makes out there with some excellent new
model scanners.
Regards Mike.

3517. Ideal computer / scanner combination?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-01 16:24:23 UTC
From: Alan Dye <alandye@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <XWFRe.261$pt.132@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 12229

I've been looking to setup the ideal computer/software/scanner combo here in my shack to ferret out what frequencies are actively in use in my area based on Percon's data from the FCC. Seems a lot of people license frequencies, and then don't use them a lot.

Based on my analysis, there should be nearly 3,000 active frequencies in my reception area -- a tiny corner on the south edge of San Francisco and San Mateo counties near the coast -- but inital programming of several hundred into my 785D shows limited use.

I'd like to use the computer to control the scanner and collect hits and record the audio of certain channels so I can review the results in my limited hobby time in the evenings after work.

I've been using a laptop with XP, Scancat Gold/SE and a Bearcat 785D, but it's been rough going, mostly due to the software. Scancat comes highly recommended, but the interface for importing is quirky and difficult, and the manual is impossible. The software seems to have been originally designed for something else. The trunking capture module is useable, and I do use it to collect talkgroup activity on the SF DECS Trunked system, but for conventional use it is unpleasant at best.

It might be a combination of the radio and the scanner.

What would be the best combination of hardware (scanner) and software to monitor and eavesdrop on both conventional and trunked scanning and collect both hits and audio?

Alan

p.s. also any recommendations on process, how you'd go about covering all 3000 frequencies in the shortest period of time while maintaining the maximum amount of information collection.

3518. Re: Newbie legal/detection questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-01 16:30:36 UTC
From: krackula <krackula@i.am>
Message-ID: <4t8eh1l2uj6d7mkt00kldsombeacshe6gh@4ax.com>
Source record: 12230

On Thu, 1 Sep 2005 07:50:29 -0500, "PowerHouse Communications"
<PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote:

>
>"Al Klein" <rukbat@optonline.net> wrote in message
>news:lu15h1d8j5f2g6578t2qqkp15h8rho05g4@4ax.com...
>> On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 19:39:32 GMT, Hogger <andy@hogger.com> said in
>> rec.radio.scanner:
>>
>> >As far as specifically tracking someone RECEIVING radio frequencies,
>> >I'm not familiar with any piece of equipment capable of doing that.
>>
>> >If such a device existed, I have no idea how it would differentiate
>> >someone's AM/FM stereo, wireless router, baby monitor, coordless
>> >phone,or garage door opener from a scanner. (Yes, I know, some of
>> >them transmit.. and that can be detected.. I mean from the "receiving"
>> >point of view, for example.)
>>
>> By the frequency of the local oscillator, but that's very iffy as
>> legal evidence.

detecting people " receiving " signals is a time honored tradition
and process dating from before WWII to present times. besides the
" detector detectors " mentioned below , cable companies have been
finding service theft cases from listening to their " local
oscillators " and making legal cases against them. if those people
are listening to channels (indicated by the radiated local
oscillator freqs) that their converter isn't authorized to receive ,
then that constitutes theft of service conditions. (the cable company
sends out signals that normally " locks out " those channels)
since there are slight variations in each piece of equipment, the
cable company can tell how many converters you have on-line too .
way back in WWII, radio receivers were illegal in England. trucks
with directional receiving equipment drove through the streets
locating receivers (of spies , they hoped) via their local
oscillators.
Al, all the equipment you mention above, transmits (inadvertently
) LO type signals on " completely " different freqs and of differing
types, that signal their presence. equipment commonly exists that
can locate most of them from several blocks to several miles distant.
ANY well made piece of government RF surveillance equipment (WJ
blk boxes for instance) has been designed to do just " exactly " that
for decades.
modern types are totally computer automated and can " describe a local
RF environment " (scan everything and project the type and number of

ALL RF devices present within a certain radius) in just minutes. the computers can analyze " lo " signals and project (based on known computer model algorithms) the exact types and numbers of equipment present. they can (and do) scan for protracted periods of time and constantly update the report status.

I have a home made (new voodoo technology for civilians) ferro resonant core ULF sensor (parts and sample circuits available from this site http://www.stormwise.com/vlf1574projects.htm)
) set up on the roof rack of my SUV that can " hear " the RF radiation " clicks " of a new memorex shirt pocket solid state dictation / voice memo machine , a block and half down the street. the so called signal from this device is " extremely " small but easily detectable using the new technology (government has had them for decades) ferro rods . (completely NEW type of resonant circuits as compared to past RF devices . THESE need NO caps or circuitry as we used to know it, they are " self resonant " and the " circuits " are just pick off coils < like the 2 turn tap in a pi-net > to extract the signals these rods accumulate)

http://www.tscm.com/tmdedemod.html

>
>Well, it doesn't seem too iffy in Virginia and D.C. They use devices (VG2
>detectors) that pick up local oscillator frequencies in radar detectors, and
>have no problem using it for legal evidence...
>
>

hay Al, where you been ..... been a while since I saw you around ?
should come back and stir stuff up more often ... ah ah ahah ah aha h!

k.........

3519. Re: Pro-34 opinions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-01 18:47:08 UTC
From: Not a Steven fan <notstevenfan@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <11hej5d2pspn1e7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 12231

I sure wouldn't say $50 to $72 is high for these... that was a $150 to $200 scanner on Ebay 3-5 years ago when cell monitoring was popular. If you can get a nice one for around current Ebay values, then go for it.

"Buzzygirl" <buzzygirl@nospam.comcast.net> wrote in message news:6qGdnemkL5Mg1IzeRVn-iA@comcast.com...

> If the consensus is that it's a good performer, I may just end up keeping
> it, since we have very little trunked scanner activity in my listening
> area
> anyway. But I need another scanner like I need un agujero en la cabeza...
> :)

3520. Re: Ideal computer / scanner combination?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-01 19:08:10 UTC
From: Southern Kiwi <southern_kiwi@*spamsucks*hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <431751ba$1@clear.net.nz>
Source record: 12232

Alan Dye wrote:
> I've been looking to setup the ideal computer/software/scanner combo
> here in my shack to ferret out what frequencies are actively in use in
> my area based on Percon's data from the FCC. I'd like to use the computer
> to control the scanner and collect hits
> and record the audio of certain channels so I can review the results
> in my limited hobby time in the evenings after work.
> It might be a combination of the radio and the scanner.
>
> What would be the best combination of hardware (scanner) and software
> to monitor and eavesdrop on both conventional and trunked scanning
> and collect both hits and audio?
>
> Alan

Hi Alan, I have the same rig as you.
I program the 785D to autostore freqs from a search range into a "clean"
bank while I'm away at work.
When I get back I then set it scannng those found freqs. I use ARC250pro for
laptop control and upload the new freqs.
I set it to log and record all conversations in my fresh bank (I usually do
this then go off to work or where ever for at least 7 - 8 hours to ensure a
good sample of conversation)
When I finally sit down to listen, I have a days worth of conversation that
I can listen to in about half an hour, and figure out who belongs where, (or
use a freq database if they are still obscure) log those details to
ARC250pro then download all the new information to the scanner.
As there are no trunked set up here I have not dealt with that side.

--
Cheers
Southern Kiwi
southern_kiwi@*spamsucks*hotmail.com
Word of wisdom from high in the mountains....you know...like a
Guru...but not as old....or mystic.....or wise....or high... :)

3521. Re: Airport Freq.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-01 20:59:13 UTC
From: Glenan <glenan@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <C4adnU6ufJ4_9oreRVn-iA@comcast.com>
Source record: 12233

```
Was it?!? I didn't say it just to be polite.
"Brad" <bradvk2qq AT w6ir.com> wrote in message
news:43161717$0$18644$14726298@news.sunsite.dk...
>
> "Craven Morehead" <cmorehead@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:FZlRe.2766$xw1.447@bignews6.bellsouth.net...
>> Brad, the asshole.
>>
>
> Now that was completely unnecessary.
>
> Brad.
>
```

3522. New Orleans Radio Scanner Audio Stream

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-02 02:48:22 UTC
From: Gary Brown <gbrown@nc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <g4ffh1hgc29bc5c7e3jpnqgoq4qjdairgg@4ax.com>
Source record: 12234
Cross-posted to: triangle.radio,triangle.general,rec.radio.scanner

Needs WinAmp

http://205.252.89.181:8000/

Hear FEMA, LSP, Guard, Coast Guard, etc.

Incredible communications.
Very busy server. May take several tries with the Play button to hear
the feed.

Gary
K4GPB

3523. Re: New Orleans Radio Scanner Audio Stream

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-02 03:11:49 UTC
From: Gary Brown <gbrown@nc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <2kgfh1hiv8p6299sj1ehgue7a81mmueqa1@4ax.com>
Source record: 12235
Cross-posted to: triangle.radio,triangle.general,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 02 Sep 2005 02:48:22 GMT, Gary Brown <gbrown@nc.rr.com> wrote:

>Needs WinAmp
>
>http://205.252.89.181:8000/
>
>Hear FEMA, LSP, Guard, Coast Guard, etc.
>
>Incredible communications.
>Very busy server. May take several tries with the Play button to hear
>the feed.
>
>Gary
>K4GPB

Comments about what's been heard today:
http://forums.fark.com/cgi/fark/comments.pl?IDLink=1647609

3524. new drink?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-02 04:53:05 UTC
From: lloyd the toide <flushhhhhh@yahooblows.com>
Message-ID: <cc0b9$4317dab4$d1cc7c3d$16727@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 12236
Cross-posted to: alt.bible.prophecy,alt.religion.christian.baptist,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

From the Chicago Sun-Times:

http://www.suntimes.com/output/feder/cst-fin-feder01.html

On [Dahl's] (105.9) afternoon show Tuesday, he mused about creating a drink inspired by
reports of "floating corpses" in New Orleans. And on his Web log Wednesday, he elaborated.

"Okay, so maybe now I feel a little guilty that I wanted to see New Orleans completely
covered in water," Dahl wrote. "You have to admit, though, that the helicopter rescues
and the looting make for some interesting TV. I guess I understand the looting for food
and water. If I were flooded out of my home, I think I would crave a 32-ounce bottle of
Dasani water and a can of SpaghettiOs myself. But trying on clothes while standing knee
deep in floodwater? That seems a bit much. I'm still waiting on the floating corpses.

"To that end, I have sent away for five pounds of Chocolate Babies to act as 'floaters' in
the new drink I'll be inventing this week: The Floating Corpse. ... I'm thinking Creme de
Cacao, Kahlua, some rum, maybe a little cream and a floating Chocolate Baby.

"Of course, I'll fine tune that and come up with a 'secret ingredient' or two, but that's the
general cocktail framework that I'm thinking of presently. Sort of a Mudslide, without the
mud. More of a Muddy Waters.

"Also, just for the record, the Chocolate Baby thing is not meant to be racist in any way.
Yes, there have been a lot of African Americans on TV being rescued and the like, but they
don't make anything other than Chocolate Babies or Licorice Babies, and it seemed to me
that chocolate was less offensive. It's a judgment call. If they made Strawberry Babies, I
would have ordered five pounds of them too."

I nearly peed myself folks.... ;)

3525. Hurricane Katrina HF Response and Recovery Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-02 06:28:18 UTC
From: Andy <andh@comp.com>
Message-ID: <j4sfh1laa4n2ibto7mmnmiur3a5itfplri@4ax.com>
Source record: 12237

```
Hurricane Katrina HF Response and Recovery Frequencies

02802.4 USB American Red Cross Disaster (F-91) **
03171.4 USB American Red Cross Disaster (F-92) **
05136.4 USB American Red Cross Disaster (F-93) **
05141.4 USB American Red Cross Disaster (F-94) **
06859.5 USB American Red Cross Disaster (F-95) **
07507.0 USB USN/USCG hurricane net (pri)
07550.5 USB American Red Cross Disaster (F-96 - primary) **
07698.5 USB American Red Cross Disaster (F-97) **
09380.0 USB USN/USCG hurricane net (sec)

** Type-accepted equipment and an issued US FCC license are required
to transmit on Red Cross frequencies

AMATEUR HIGH-FREQUENCY GULF COAST HURRICANE NETS
03845.0 LSB Gulf Coast West Hurricane
03862.5 LSB Mississippi Section Traffic
03873.0 LSB Central Gulf Coast Hurricane
03873.0 LSB Louisiana ARES Emergency (night)
03873.0 LSB Texas ARES Emergency (night)
03873.0 LSB Mississippi ARES Emergency
03910.0 LSB Mississippi ARES
03910.0 LSB Louisiana Traffic
03923.0 LSB Mississippi ARES
03925.0 LSB Central Gulf Coast Hurricane
03925.0 LSB Louisiana Emergency (altn)
03935.0 LSB Central Gulf Coast Hurricane
03935.0 LSB Louisiana ARES (health & welfare)
03935.0 LSB Texas ARES (health & welfare)
03935.0 LSB Mississippi ARES (health & welfare)
03935.0 LSB Alabama Emergency
03940.0 LSB Southern Florida Emergency
03950.0 LSB Northern Florida Emergency
03955.0 LSB South Texas Emergency
03965.0 LSB Alabama Emergency (altn)
03967.0 LSB Gulf Coast (outgoing traffic)
03975.0 LSB Texas RACES
03993.5 LSB Gulf Coast (health & welfare)
03995.0 LSB Gulf Coast Wx
07225.0 LSB Central Gulf Coast Hurricane
07235.0 LSB Louisiana Emergency
07235.0 LSB Central Gulf Coast Hurricane
07235.0 LSB Louisiana Emergency
07240.0 LSB American Red Cross US Gulf Coast Disaster
```

07240.0 LSB Texas Emergency
07243.0 LSB Alabama Emergency
07245.0 LSB Southern Louisiana
07248.0 LSB Texas RACES
07250.0 LSB Texas Emergency
07260.0 LSB Gulf Coast West Hurricane
07264.0 LSB Gulf Coast (health & welfare)
07265.0 LSB Salvation Army Team Emergency Radio (SATERN) (altn)
07273.0 LSB Texas ARES (altn)
07280.0 LSB NTS Region 5
07280.0 LSB Louisiana Emergency (altn)
07283.0 LSB Gulf Coast (outgoing only)
07285.0 LSB West Gulf ARES Emergency (day)
07285.0 LSB Louisiana ARES Emergency (day)
07285.0 LSB Mississippi ARES Emergency
07285.0 LSB Texas ARES Emergency (day)
07290.0 LSB Central Gulf Coast Hurricane
07290.0 LSB Gulf Coast Wx
07290.0 LSB Texas ARES (health & welfare)
07290.0 LSB Louisiana ARES (health & welfare) (day)
07290.0 LSB Texas ARES (health & welfare)
07290.0 LSB Mississippi ARES (health & welfare)
14265.0 USB Salvation Army Team Emergency Radio (SATERN) (health &
welfare)
14300.0 USB Intercontinental Traffic
14300.0 USB Maritime Mobile Service
14303.0 USB International Assistance & Traffic
14313.0 USB Intercontinental Traffic (altn)
14313.0 USB Maritime Mobile Service (altn)
14316.0 USB Health & Welfare
14320.0 USB Health & Welfare
14325.0 USB Hurricane Watch (Amateur-to-National Hurricane Center)
14340.0 USB Louisiana (1900)

3526. Re: New Orleans Radio Scanner Audio Stream

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-02 09:42:32 UTC
From: wf3h@comcast.net
Message-ID: <43181e7a.44444064@newsgroups.comcast.net>
Source record: 12238
Cross-posted to: triangle.radio,triangle.general,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 02 Sep 2005 02:48:22 GMT, Gary Brown <gbrown@nc.rr.com> wrote:

>Needs WinAmp
>
>http://205.252.89.181:8000/
>
>Hear FEMA, LSP, Guard, Coast Guard, etc.
>
>Incredible communications.
>Very busy server. May take several tries with the Play button to hear
>the feed.
>
>Gary
>K4GPB

looks like a busted link

---
to see who "wf3h" is, go to "qrz.com"
and enter 'wf3h' in the field

3527. Re: New Orleans Radio Scanner Audio Stream

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-02 16:50:11 UTC
From: BDK <kingratay@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1d824cc9490cf378989a8e@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 12239
Cross-posted to: triangle.radio,triangle.general,rec.radio.scanner

In article <43181e7a.44444064@newsgroups.comcast.net>, wf3h@comcast.net
says...
> On Fri, 02 Sep 2005 02:48:22 GMT, Gary Brown <gbrown@nc.rr.com> wrote:
>
> >Needs WinAmp
> >
> >http://205.252.89.181:8000/
> >
> >Hear FEMA, LSP, Guard, Coast Guard, etc.
> >
> >Incredible communications.
> >Very busy server. May take several tries with the Play button to hear
> >the feed.
> >
> >Gary
> >K4GPB
>
>
> looks like a busted link
>
> ---------------------------
> to see who "wf3h" is, go to "qrz.com"
> and enter 'wf3h' in the field
>

Works here!

BDK

3528. FA: Radio Shack Pro 83 Signal Stalker - No Reserve!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-02 17:06:25 UTC
From: Rick Kempe <r.kempe@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <IE%Re.3916$4P5.1369@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 12240

Brand new, unopened, in original blister pack. NO RESERVE, minimum bid only $9.95! Thanks for looking.

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5805017279&rd=1&sspagename=STRK%3AMEWA%3AIT&rd=1

3529. Re: Listening to airband frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-02 18:59:02 UTC
From: The Other George <bgates@msn.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96C598C11BD54bgatesmsncom@38.119.106.45>
Source record: 12241

Al Klein <rukbat@optonline.net> wrote in
news:cn05h1l4k3jjt5ohvb9jsrvsce17lu1pg5@4ax.com:

> On Fri, 26 Aug 2005 22:15:04 -0500, The Other George <bgates@msn.com>
> said in rec.radio.scanner:
>
>>Really don't need the name of the airport if he's 10 miles away and
>>using a hand held he is not going to hear anythang!!!
>
> I hear plenty from 40 miles away from an airport using the rubber
> duck.
>
> You're sure a fountain of misinformation, aren't you?
>

Then you ether live in the middle of a desert or on the planes with
nothing from you to the airport!!! Or on a mountain overlooking the
airport. I have lived 12.7 miles away from KPIT Pittsburgh International
and before I put up a Scantenna the only thing I could hear was the
planes, after going up 45 feet I now hear the tower. While in the U.S.
Army as a gun ship driver for 24 years I could never hear the tower on a
hand held if I was 4 or more miles away with out going up on a building
or being higher then the buildings I was near. And yes I am a fountain of
information :-)

--
That Other George

Please send your spam to: uce@ftc.gov

IRC #ScannerRadio_Warez For all your Scanner Controll Warez

UseNET: alt.binaries.radio-scanner For all your Scanner Controll Warez

UseNET: alt.binaries.radio.scanner.warez For all your Scanner Controll
Warez

http://www.scannertesting.com

3530. Digital scanner ?? - Got to be a scam ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-02 21:20:05 UTC
From: Andy100 <andy100@aog.com>
Message-ID: <dfafle$4rq$1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 12242

I found this on e-bay, saying it can pick up all new digital phones, digital police radio etc, but surely it's a scam isn't it ??? I thought the new police radio's codes were uncrackable !

http://cgi.ebay.co.uk/POLICE-DIGITAL-SCANNER-TRANCIEVER-CHARGER-U-H-F-B-N-BX_W0QQitemZ5804950925QQcategoryZ40979QQrdZ1QQcmdZViewItem

Cheers
Andy

3531. NEED TO KNOW ONLY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-02 22:49:39 UTC
From: natl@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125701379.891328.29820@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12243

The information you about to read is far from conjecture, the question
is what to do about it, if anything?

over 10 million listeners heard on Coast To Coast a
very deadly serious discussion on a tecnhology that
has been around for over 30 years. It's imperative
that all become aware of this and know that the
"Perfect Storm" isn't always created by Mother Nature.

See http://www.coasttocoastam.com
http://www.weatherwars.info/Katrina.htm
http://www.enterprisemission.com/weblog/weblog.htm
http://www.kathleenkeating.com/stevens012505.mp3

I'm just one of many modern day "Minute Men" trying to
warn of this impending catastrophe. I say this to the
doubting Thomass, I bet you guys would have shot Paul
Revere when he rode to warn that "The British Were
Coming" British, what the hell is this guy talking
about, what British, suddenly a column of RedCoats
appear and your dead! This is not in the mainstream
because it will create a terrible panic, I don't know
how this can be managed without doing that, please
take that in consideration.

And now last nights guest, another "wacko" [b](To the
people of LA.)I would suspect if you read this whole
information you people of LA. are going to be very
angry and demand some answers and accountability, it's
the damned least they can do, since they let it
happen![/b]
[b]Charles Ostman

Senior Fellow - Institute for Global Futures

Charles Ostman has spent 25+ years working in the
fields of electronics, physics, computers, artificial
intelligence, and most recently, various aspects of
applied and theoretical Nanotechnology. Starting with
eight years at Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory at the
University of California, Berkeley, he has since
worked in a variety of private industry technology
laboratories and development facilities. He currently
is a member of the science advisory board of
Nanothinc, a San Francisco based privately held
Nanotechnology focus and development group, and a

senior fellow of the Institute for Global Futures. He is also currently science editor of Mondo 2000, a Berkeley based, worldwide circulated technology and future culture magazine, and technical editor/author for Midnight Engineering, a technical trade journal which addresses the entrepreneurial engineering community. He has been published in a number of books, including CyberLife and Secrets. His primary interests are in the development of the next generation of self evolving computing systems, artificial life forms, Nanotechnology, and virtual reality as an art medium. He also worked at Los Alamos Laboratory[/b]

I shared his credentials,because I find them respectable and I dare someone to call him a "Nut Job" (Again to the Doubting Thomass,)I'm just curious are any of you familiar with the tale "The Emperors New Clothes"? because quite frankly guys, the Emperor is stark naked, and you guys think he's fully clothed! lol

Guess what? He is now the fourth person/expert to join the other "Cranks,tin hats,wackos,nut jobs" who poses a "strong theory" that weather manipulation is real, and it's being used for "geopolotical purposes" He cites this article in "India Daily" which is like India's version of the Wall Street Journal, I.E. very respected in their country "Methods of Artificial Weather Manipulation(AWM) help agriculture, devastate the enemy and control the world economy http://www.indiadaily.com/editorial/2070.asp He also made mention to how Russia offered publicly to help China steer away a Typhoon. Don't believe it? Just do a search for it, it was in the news and I remember the headline, and I couldn't believe what I was reading!

Yep he was also interviewed on C 2 C. Funny thing is George Noory the host himself sides with the Doubters, ironically, he has been quoted as saying "Why can't we just say it's Mother Nature and leave it at that?" He has a hard time believing this, and even got a fax/email from a listener that said "Your guests, who say this, our all nuts, come on weather manipulation, it's impossible" Response from Oster was, i'm not saying it, the data is available just search for the information. They mentioned "Oil Storm" the FX movie http://www.fxnetworks.com/shows/originals/oilstorm/main.html and how the scenario is now playing itself out,he postured that perhaps the movie was a preview of things to come? One thing I have to ask what are the Odds of a "Hurricane" hitting Port Fourchon, dead

on,destroying N.O. and this close to Labor Day, as was in the movie? They have to be astronomical! You have to admit that's a little too damned coincidental!

He made a reference to HAARP and how they, the U.S. military found that not only can it be used for LF com with submarines, but it can create heat in the atmosphere,which can plausibly heat up storm systems. He also said that there is a very good chance this method has been perfected. I did a search for HAARP and russia and look what I found on the web site for "Federation Of American Scientists", (Again to the Doubters) more nut jobs, right?

"Title: Russian parliament concerned about US plans to develop new weapon"
Document Number: FBIS-SOV-2002-0808
Document Date: 08 Aug 2002
Division: Russia, North America
Subdivision: Russia, United States
Sourceline: CEP20020808000087 Moscow Interfax in English 1009 GMT 8 Aug 02
Citysource: Moscow Interfax
Language: English"

[FBIS Transcribed Text] MOSCOW. Aug 8 (Interfax) - The Russian State
Duma has expressed concern about the United States' program to develop a qualitatively new type of weapon.

"Under the High Frequency Active Auroral Research Program (HAARP), the
U.S. is creating new integral geophysical weapons that may influence the
near-Earth medium with high-frequency radio waves," the State Duma said
in an appeal circulated on Thursday.
"The significance of this qualitative leap could be compared to the
transition from cold steel to fire arms, or from conventional weapons to
nuclear weapons. This new type of weapons differs from previous types in
that the near-Earth medium becomes at once an object of direct influence
and its component.
These conclusions were made by the commission of the State Duma's
international affairs and defense committees, the statement reads.
The committees reported that the U.S. is planning

to test three
facilities of this kind. One of them is located on the
military testing
ground in Alaska and its full-scale tests are to begin
in early 2003. The
second one is in Greenland and the third one in
Norway.
"When these facilities are launched into space from
Norway, Alsaka and
Greenland, a closed contour will be created with a
truly fantastic
integral potential for influencing the near-Earth
medium," the State Duma
said.
The U.S. plans to carry out large-scale scientific
experiments, under
the HAARP program, and not controlled by the global
community, will
create weapons capable of breaking radio communication
lines and
equipment installed on spaceships and rockets, provoke
serious accidents
in electricity networks and in oil and gas pipelines
and have a negative
impact on the mental health of people populating
entire regions, the
deputies said.
They demanded that an international ban be put on
such large-scale
geophysical experiments.
The appeal, signed by 90 deputies, has been sent to
President Vladimir
Putin, to the United Nations and other international
organizations, to
the parliaments and leaders of the UN member
countries, to the scientific
public and to mass media outlets.
Among those who signed the appeal are Tatyana
Astrakhankina, Nikolai
Kharitonov, Yegor Ligachev, Sergei Reshulsky, Vitaly
Sevastyanov, Viktor
Cherepkov, Valentin Zorkaltsev and Alexei Mitrofanov.

[Description of Source: Moscow Interfax in English --
non-government
information agency known for its aggressive reporting,
extensive economic
coverage, and good coverage of Russia's regions]
http://www.fas.org/irp/program/collect/haarp-duma.htm

Apparently this "E.M. Scalar technology can also influence fault lines "

"MOSCOW, Mar 05, 2002 (Itar-Tass via COMTEX) -- The earthquake that shook Central and South Asia last Sunday might have been triggered by super-modern weapons intensively used by the US aviation in the seismically dangerous areas of Afghanistan, a source in a Moscow geophysical research center has told Itar-Tass on condition of anonymity. He said the supposition was confirmed by the unusually strong and lengthy tremors (up to one minute) that originated in the Hindu Kush Mountains and spread onto Afghanistan, Tajikistan, Uzbekistan, Pakistan and India. Even old residents of Kabul do not remember an earthquake similar to the Sunday tremor that exceeded 6 points on the Richter scale."

On Sunday, March 3, the area in which the US is fighting Afganistan Muslim Al-Aqeda forces was suddenly hit by an historically unusual 7.2 Richter Scale earthquake. Let us quickly examine this news report:

No one is saying these storms were created, only that they were taken control of. If you Mensa members don't want to even explore the plausability then fine, but the data and evidence is there, and soon you will be in the minority. A caller brought up the so-called hoax "Report From Iron Mountain" from the 60's that says there is just no chance for peace and the only way to control the population is continue to wage horrific wars, with horrific technology. Hell there is even a U.N. treaty that forbids weather modification, why the hell would you have a treaty for something that is impossible? "NEWS BRIEF: "United States and Other World Powers Should Outlaw Tampering With Weather for Use as War Weapon", Editorial by Senator Claiborne Pell, D- Rhode Island, The Providence Journal Bulletin, 1975.

"The U.S. and other world powers should sign a treaty to outlaw the tampering with weather as an instrument of war. It may seem farfetched to think of using weather as a weapon -- but I'm convinced that the U.S. did, in fact, use rainmaking techniques as a weapon of war in Southeast Asia."

Let us stop right here and now and digest just what Senator Claiborne Pell has told us. He said that the United States used Weather as a Weapon during the Vietnam War. We fought the Vietnam War from 1965-74 in a large capacity. Using Weather Weapons in the early

1970's would fit the time frame with which we are familiar.

The U.N. treaty we discussed above was passed one year after this article appeared quoting Senator Pell. Now, let us hear some more from Senator Pell.

"We need a treaty now to prevent such actions -- before the military leaders of the world start directing storms, manipulating climates, and inducing earthquakes against their enemies. The basic idea of environmental warfare is simple -- if a nation can learn to trigger natural events it can inflict terrible damage on an enemy through rainfall, flooding, tidal waves, earthquakes, and even climate changes that could devastate an enemy nation's agriculture." [Ibid.] "

An Interesting point Oster made was, why is the response from the Govt. so slow, and late? He theorizes that they the Govt. know this was a "Weather Attack" and that if they had a response team ready to go ala post 9-11 preparedness, I.E. homeland security etc. That they would have to explain themselves, or something like that, I only wish you doubting Thomases could have listened to the interview. So I suppose when Kennedy said "Man will someday go to the moon" you guys would have said "He's a crackpot" well unless your one of those wackos who believes, we never went, guess what not only was it possbile but we went! So are you Mensas ready to say, hmm maybe there is something to this Weather Wars after all, or do we just wait for the next volly and hope another city like N.O. doesn't get decimated?

BTW no one is going to convince me that Katrina was a Cat 3, I have never seen a Cat 3 do so much damage. Are people that naive to think that we and the Russians and Chinese don't control these types of W.M.D.? The only thing is the Russians have had the money from the U.S. to have their brillian scientists work on it a much longer time and perfect it better! If people doubt all this then,the shouldn't be too surprised what comes next! "Truth Is Stranger Than Fiction" and that truth is going bite us all in the ass! (This is to the detractors of the theory of "Peak Oil" http://www.peakol.com Why is this so important to P.O.? because as you can see it's sped up the scenario! Another interesting point, why if we are at peace with Russia we have refused disaster help from them? Others are asking similar questions,

http://www.libertypost.org/cgi-bin/readart.cgi?ArtNum=107231
but in all fairness Oster brought that up, not me, but
it makes you wonder. I suspect the cold war never
ended, it just shifted to controling "Mother Nature"
As the commerical used to say "It's not nice to fool
with Mother Nature" :)
God Help Us!

3532. NEED TO KNOW ONLY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-02 22:49:49 UTC
From: natl@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125701389.523713.110010@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12244

The information you about to read is far from conjecture, the question
is what to do about it, if anything?

over 10 million listeners heard on Coast To Coast a
very deadly serious discussion on a tecnhology that
has been around for over 30 years. It's imperative
that all become aware of this and know that the
"Perfect Storm" isn't always created by Mother Nature.

See http://www.coasttocoastam.com
http://www.weatherwars.info/Katrina.htm
http://www.enterprisemission.com/weblog/weblog.htm
http://www.kathleenkeating.com/stevens012505.mp3

I'm just one of many modern day "Minute Men" trying to
warn of this impending catastrophe. I say this to the
doubting Thomass, I bet you guys would have shot Paul
Revere when he rode to warn that "The British Were
Coming" British, what the hell is this guy talking
about, what British, suddenly a column of RedCoats
appear and your dead! This is not in the mainstream
because it will create a terrible panic, I don't know
how this can be managed without doing that, please
take that in consideration.

And now last nights guest, another "wacko" [b](To the
people of LA.)I would suspect if you read this whole
information you people of LA. are going to be very
angry and demand some answers and accountability, it's
the damned least they can do, since they let it
happen![/b]
[b]Charles Ostman

Senior Fellow - Institute for Global Futures

Charles Ostman has spent 25+ years working in the
fields of electronics, physics, computers, artificial
intelligence, and most recently, various aspects of
applied and theoretical Nanotechnology. Starting with
eight years at Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory at the
University of California, Berkeley, he has since
worked in a variety of private industry technology
laboratories and development facilities. He currently
is a member of the science advisory board of
Nanothinc, a San Francisco based privately held
Nanotechnology focus and development group, and a

senior fellow of the Institute for Global Futures. He is also currently science editor of Mondo 2000, a Berkeley based, worldwide circulated technology and future culture magazine, and technical editor/author for Midnight Engineering, a technical trade journal which addresses the entrepreneurial engineering community. He has been published in a number of books, including CyberLife and Secrets. His primary interests are in the development of the next generation of self evolving computing systems, artificial life forms, Nanotechnology, and virtual reality as an art medium. He also worked at Los Alamos Laboratory[/b]

I shared his credentials,because I find them respectable and I dare someone to call him a "Nut Job" (Again to the Doubting Thomass,)I'm just curious are any of you familiar with the tale "The Emperors New Clothes"? because quite frankly guys, the Emperor is stark naked, and you guys think he's fully clothed! lol

Guess what? He is now the fourth person/expert to join the other "Cranks,tin hats,wackos,nut jobs" who poses a "strong theory" that weather manipulation is real, and it's being used for "geopolotical purposes" He cites this article in "India Daily" which is like India's version of the Wall Street Journal, I.E. very respected in their country "Methods of Artificial Weather Manipulation(AWM) help agriculture, devastate the enemy and control the world economy http://www.indiadaily.com/editorial/2070.asp He also made mention to how Russia offered publicly to help China steer away a Typhoon. Don't believe it? Just do a search for it, it was in the news and I remember the headline, and I couldn't believe what I was reading!

Yep he was also interviewed on C 2 C. Funny thing is George Noory the host himself sides with the Doubters, ironically, he has been quoted as saying "Why can't we just say it's Mother Nature and leave it at that?" He has a hard time believing this, and even got a fax/email from a listener that said "Your guests, who say this, our all nuts, come on weather manipulation, it's impossible" Response from Oster was, i'm not saying it, the data is available just search for the information. They mentioned "Oil Storm" the FX movie http://www.fxnetworks.com/shows/originals/oilstorm/main.html and how the scenario is now playing itself out,he postured that perhaps the movie was a preview of things to come? One thing I have to ask what are the Odds of a "Hurricane" hitting Port Fourchon, dead

on,destroying N.O. and this close to Labor Day, as was in the movie? They have to be astronomical! You have to admit that's a little too damned coincidental!

He made a reference to HAARP and how they, the U.S. military found that not only can it be used for LF com with submarines, but it can create heat in the atmosphere,which can plausibly heat up storm systems. He also said that there is a very good chance this method has been perfected. I did a search for HAARP and russia and look what I found on the web site for "Federation Of American Scientists", (Again to the Doubters) more nut jobs, right?

"Title: Russian parliament concerned about US plans to develop new weapon"
Document Number: FBIS-SOV-2002-0808
Document Date: 08 Aug 2002
Division: Russia, North America
Subdivision: Russia, United States
Sourceline: CEP20020808000087 Moscow Interfax in English 1009 GMT 8 Aug 02
Citysource: Moscow Interfax
Language: English"

[FBIS Transcribed Text] MOSCOW. Aug 8 (Interfax) - The Russian State
Duma has expressed concern about the United States' program to develop a qualitatively new type of weapon.

"Under the High Frequency Active Auroral Research Program (HAARP), the
U.S. is creating new integral geophysical weapons that may influence the
near-Earth medium with high-frequency radio waves," the State Duma said
in an appeal circulated on Thursday.
"The significance of this qualitative leap could be compared to the
transition from cold steel to fire arms, or from conventional weapons to
nuclear weapons. This new type of weapons differs from previous types in
that the near-Earth medium becomes at once an object of direct influence
and its component.
These conclusions were made by the commission of the State Duma's
international affairs and defense committees, the statement reads.
The committees reported that the U.S. is planning

to test three
facilities of this kind. One of them is located on the
military testing
ground in Alaska and its full-scale tests are to begin
in early 2003. The
second one is in Greenland and the third one in
Norway.
"When these facilities are launched into space from
Norway, Alsaka and
Greenland, a closed contour will be created with a
truly fantastic
integral potential for influencing the near-Earth
medium," the State Duma
said.
The U.S. plans to carry out large-scale scientific
experiments, under
the HAARP program, and not controlled by the global
community, will
create weapons capable of breaking radio communication
lines and
equipment installed on spaceships and rockets, provoke
serious accidents
in electricity networks and in oil and gas pipelines
and have a negative
impact on the mental health of people populating
entire regions, the
deputies said.
They demanded that an international ban be put on
such large-scale
geophysical experiments.
The appeal, signed by 90 deputies, has been sent to
President Vladimir
Putin, to the United Nations and other international
organizations, to
the parliaments and leaders of the UN member
countries, to the scientific
public and to mass media outlets.
Among those who signed the appeal are Tatyana
Astrakhankina, Nikolai
Kharitonov, Yegor Ligachev, Sergei Reshulsky, Vitaly
Sevastyanov, Viktor
Cherepkov, Valentin Zorkaltsev and Alexei Mitrofanov.

[Description of Source: Moscow Interfax in English --
non-government
information agency known for its aggressive reporting,
extensive economic
coverage, and good coverage of Russia's regions]
http://www.fas.org/irp/program/collect/haarp-duma.htm

Apparently this "E.M. Scalar technology can also influence fault lines "

"MOSCOW, Mar 05, 2002 (Itar-Tass via COMTEX) -- The earthquake that shook Central and South Asia last Sunday might have been triggered by super-modern weapons intensively used by the US aviation in the seismically dangerous areas of Afghanistan, a source in a Moscow geophysical research center has told Itar-Tass on condition of anonymity. He said the supposition was confirmed by the unusually strong and lengthy tremors (up to one minute) that originated in the Hindu Kush Mountains and spread onto Afghanistan, Tajikistan, Uzbekistan, Pakistan and India. Even old residents of Kabul do not remember an earthquake similar to the Sunday tremor that exceeded 6 points on the Richter scale."

On Sunday, March 3, the area in which the US is fighting Afganistan Muslim Al-Aqeda forces was suddenly hit by an historically unusual 7.2 Richter Scale earthquake. Let us quickly examine this news report:

No one is saying these storms were created, only that they were taken control of. If you Mensa members don't want to even explore the plausability then fine, but the data and evidence is there, and soon you will be in the minority. A caller brought up the so-called hoax "Report From Iron Mountain" from the 60's that says there is just no chance for peace and the only way to control the population is continue to wage horrific wars, with horrific technology. Hell there is even a U.N. treaty that forbids weather modification, why the hell would you have a treaty for something that is impossible? "NEWS BRIEF: "United States and Other World Powers Should Outlaw Tampering With Weather for Use as War Weapon", Editorial by Senator Claiborne Pell, D- Rhode Island, The Providence Journal Bulletin, 1975.

"The U.S. and other world powers should sign a treaty to outlaw the tampering with weather as an instrument of war. It may seem farfetched to think of using weather as a weapon -- but I'm convinced that the U.S. did, in fact, use rainmaking techniques as a weapon of war in Southeast Asia."

Let us stop right here and now and digest just what Senator Claiborne Pell has told us. He said that the United States used Weather as a Weapon during the Vietnam War. We fought the Vietnam War from 1965-74 in a large capacity. Using Weather Weapons in the early

1970's would fit the time frame with which we are familiar.

The U.N. treaty we discussed above was passed one year after this article appeared quoting Senator Pell. Now, let us hear some more from Senator Pell.

"We need a treaty now to prevent such actions -- before the military leaders of the world start directing storms, manipulating climates, and inducing earthquakes against their enemies. The basic idea of environmental warfare is simple -- if a nation can learn to trigger natural events it can inflict terrible damage on an enemy through rainfall, flooding, tidal waves, earthquakes, and even climate changes that could devastate an enemy nation's agriculture." [Ibid.] "

An Interesting point Oster made was, why is the response from the Govt. so slow, and late? He theorizes that they the Govt. know this was a "Weather Attack" and that if they had a response team ready to go ala post 9-11 preparedness, I.E. homeland security etc. That they would have to explain themselves, or something like that, I only wish you doubting Thomases could have listened to the interview. So I suppose when Kennedy said "Man will someday go to the moon" you guys would have said "He's a crackpot" well unless your one of those wackos who believes, we never went, guess what not only was it possbile but we went! So are you Mensas ready to say, hmm maybe there is something to this Weather Wars after all, or do we just wait for the next volly and hope another city like N.O. doesn't get decimated?

BTW no one is going to convince me that Katrina was a Cat 3, I have never seen a Cat 3 do so much damage. Are people that naive to think that we and the Russians and Chinese don't control these types of W.M.D.? The only thing is the Russians have had the money from the U.S. to have their brillian scientists work on it a much longer time and perfect it better! If people doubt all this then,the shouldn't be too surprised what comes next! "Truth Is Stranger Than Fiction" and that truth is going bite us all in the ass! (This is to the detractors of the theory of "Peak Oil" http://www.peakoil.com Why is this so important to P.O.? because as you can see it's sped up the scenario! Another interesting point, why if we are at peace with Russia we have refused disaster help from them? Others are asking similar questions,

http://www.libertypost.org/cgi-bin/readart.cgi?ArtNum=107231
but in all fairness Oster brought that up, not me, but
it makes you wonder. I suspect the cold war never
ended, it just shifted to controling "Mother Nature"
As the commerical used to say "It's not nice to fool
with Mother Nature" :)
God Help Us!

3533. Re: NEED TO KNOW ONLY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-02 23:29:54 UTC
From: SteveJ <SJ@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <8sGdnTD7nI3mfYXeRVn-tw@comcast.com>
Source record: 12245

A bunch of BullShit

<natl@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1125701389.523713.110010@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> The information you about to read is far from conjecture, the question
> is what to do about it, if anything?
>
> over 10 million listeners heard on Coast To Coast a
> very deadly serious discussion on a tecnhology that
> has been around for over 30 years. It's imperative
> that all become aware of this and know that the
> "Perfect Storm" isn't always created by Mother Nature.
>
> See http://www.coasttocoastam.com
> http://www.weatherwars.info/Katrina.htm
> http://www.enterprisemission.com/weblog/weblog.htm
> http://www.kathleenkeating.com/stevens012505.mp3
>
> I'm just one of many modern day "Minute Men" trying to
> warn of this impending catastrophe. I say this to the
> doubting Thomass, I bet you guys would have shot Paul
> Revere when he rode to warn that "The British Were
> Coming" British, what the hell is this guy talking
> about, what British, suddenly a column of RedCoats
> appear and your dead! This is not in the mainstream
> because it will create a terrible panic, I don't know
> how this can be managed without doing that, please
> take that in consideration.
>
> And now last nights guest, another "wacko" [b](To the
> people of LA.)I would suspect if you read this whole
> information you people of LA. are going to be very
> angry and demand some answers and accountability, it's
> the damned least they can do, since they let it
> happen![/b]
> [b]Charles Ostman
>
> Senior Fellow - Institute for Global Futures
>
> Charles Ostman has spent 25+ years working in the
> fields of electronics, physics, computers, artificial
> intelligence, and most recently, various aspects of
> applied and theoretical Nanotechnology. Starting with
> eight years at Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory at the

> University of California, Berkeley, he has since
> worked in a variety of private industry technology
> laboratories and development facilities. He currently
> is a member of the science advisory board of
> Nanothinc, a San Francisco based privately held
> Nanotechnology focus and development group, and a
> senior fellow of the Institute for Global Futures. He
> is also currently science editor of Mondo 2000, a
> Berkeley based, worldwide circulated technology and
> future culture magazine, and technical editor/author
> for Midnight Engineering, a technical trade journal
> which addresses the entrepreneurial engineering
> community. He has been published in a number of books,
> including CyberLife and Secrets. His primary interests
> are in the development of the next generation of self
> evolving computing systems, artificial life forms,
> Nanotechnology, and virtual reality as an art medium.
> He also worked at Los Alamos Laboratory[/b]
>
> I shared his credentials,because I find them
> respectable and I dare someone to call him a "Nut Job"
> (Again to the Doubting Thomass,)I'm just curious are
> any of you familiar with the tale "The Emperors New
> Clothes"? because quite frankly guys, the Emperor is
> stark naked, and you guys think he's fully clothed!
> lol
>
> Guess what? He is now the fourth person/expert to join
> the other "Cranks,tin hats,wackos,nut jobs" who poses
> a "strong theory" that weather manipulation is real,
> and it's being used for "geopolotical purposes" He
> cites this article in "India Daily" which is like
> India's version of the Wall Street Journal, I.E. very
> respected in their country "Methods of Artificial
> Weather Manipulation(AWM) help agriculture, devastate
> the enemy and control the world economy
> http://www.indiadaily.com/editorial/2070.asp He also
> made mention to how Russia offered publicly to help
> China steer away a Typhoon. Don't believe it? Just do
> a search for it, it was in the news and I remember the
> headline, and I couldn't believe what I was reading!
>
> Yep he was also interviewed on C 2 C. Funny thing is
> George Noory the host himself sides with the Doubters,
> ironically, he has been quoted as saying "Why can't we
> just say it's Mother Nature and leave it at that?" He
> has a hard time believing this, and even got a
> fax/email from a listener that said "Your guests, who
> say this, our all nuts, come on weather manipulation,
> it's impossible" Response from Oster was, i'm not

> saying it, the data is available just search for the
> information. They mentioned "Oil Storm" the FX movie
> http://www.fxnetworks.com/shows/originals/oilstorm/main.html
> and how the scenario is now playing itself out,he
> postured that perhaps the movie was a preview of
> things to come? One thing I have to ask what are the
> Odds of a "Hurricane" hitting Port Fourchon, dead
> on,destroying N.O. and this close to Labor Day, as was
> in the movie? They have to be astronomical! You have
> to admit that's a little too damned coincidental!
>
> He made a reference to HAARP and how they, the U.S.
> military found that not only can it be used for LF
> com with submarines, but it can create heat in the
> atmosphere,which can plausibly heat up storm systems.
> He also said that there is a very good chance this
> method has been perfected. I did a search for HAARP
> and russia and look what I found on the web site for
> "Federation Of American Scientists", (Again to the
> Doubters) more nut jobs, right?
>
> "Title: Russian parliament concerned about US plans to
> develop new weapon"
> Document Number: FBIS-SOV-2002-0808
> Document Date: 08 Aug 2002
> Division: Russia, North America
> Subdivision: Russia, United States
> Sourceline: CEP20020808000087 Moscow Interfax in
> English 1009 GMT 8 Aug 02
> Citysource: Moscow Interfax
> Language: English"
>
> [FBIS Transcribed Text] MOSCOW. Aug 8 (Interfax) -
> The Russian State
> Duma has expressed concern about the United States'
> program to develop a qualitatively new type of weapon.
>
> "Under the High Frequency Active Auroral Research
> Program (HAARP), the
> U.S. is creating new integral geophysical weapons that
> may influence the
> near-Earth medium with high-frequency radio waves,"
> the State Duma said
> in an appeal circulated on Thursday.
> "The significance of this qualitative leap could be
> compared to the
> transition from cold steel to fire arms, or from
> conventional weapons to
> nuclear weapons. This new type of weapons differs from
> previous types in

> that the near-Earth medium becomes at once an object
> of direct influence
> and its component.
> These conclusions were made by the commission of
> the State Duma's
> international affairs and defense committees, the
> statement reads.
> The committees reported that the U.S. is planning
> to test three
> facilities of this kind. One of them is located on the
> military testing
> ground in Alaska and its full-scale tests are to begin
> in early 2003. The
> second one is in Greenland and the third one in
> Norway.
> "When these facilities are launched into space from
> Norway, Alsaka and
> Greenland, a closed contour will be created with a
> truly fantastic
> integral potential for influencing the near-Earth
> medium," the State Duma
> said.
> The U.S. plans to carry out large-scale scientific
> experiments, under
> the HAARP program, and not controlled by the global
> community, will
> create weapons capable of breaking radio communication
> lines and
> equipment installed on spaceships and rockets, provoke
> serious accidents
> in electricity networks and in oil and gas pipelines
> and have a negative
> impact on the mental health of people populating
> entire regions, the
> deputies said.
> They demanded that an international ban be put on
> such large-scale
> geophysical experiments.
> The appeal, signed by 90 deputies, has been sent to
> President Vladimir
> Putin, to the United Nations and other international
> organizations, to
> the parliaments and leaders of the UN member
> countries, to the scientific
> public and to mass media outlets.
> Among those who signed the appeal are Tatyana
> Astrakhankina, Nikolai
> Kharitonov, Yegor Ligachev, Sergei Reshulsky, Vitaly
> Sevastyanov, Viktor
> Cherepkov, Valentin Zorkaltsev and Alexei Mitrofanov.

>
> [Description of Source: Moscow Interfax in English --
> non-government
> information agency known for its aggressive reporting,
> extensive economic
> coverage, and good coverage of Russia's regions]
> http://www.fas.org/irp/program/collect/haarp-duma.htm
>
>
> Apparently this "E.M. Scalar technology can also
> influence fault lines "
>
> "MOSCOW, Mar 05, 2002 (Itar-Tass via COMTEX) -- The
> earthquake that shook Central and South Asia last
> Sunday might have been triggered by super-modern
> weapons intensively used by the US aviation in the
> seismically dangerous areas of Afghanistan, a source
> in a Moscow geophysical research center has told
> Itar-Tass on condition of anonymity. He said the
> supposition was confirmed by the unusually strong and
> lengthy tremors (up to one minute) that originated in
> the Hindu Kush Mountains and spread onto Afghanistan,
> Tajikistan, Uzbekistan, Pakistan and India. Even old
> residents of Kabul do not remember an earthquake
> similar to the Sunday tremor that exceeded 6 points on
> the Richter scale."
>
> On Sunday, March 3, the area in which the US is
> fighting Afganistan Muslim Al-Aqeda forces was
> suddenly hit by an historically unusual 7.2 Richter
> Scale earthquake. Let us quickly examine this news
> report:
>
> No one is saying these storms were created, only that
> they were taken control of. If you Mensa members don't
> want to even explore the plausability then fine, but
> the data and evidence is there, and soon you will be
> in the minority. A caller brought up the so-called
> hoax "Report From Iron Mountain" from the 60's that
> says there is just no chance for peace and the only
> way to control the population is continue to wage
> horrific wars, with horrific technology. Hell there is
> even a U.N. treaty that forbids weather modification,
> why the hell would you have a treaty for something
> that is impossible? "NEWS BRIEF: "United States and
> Other World Powers Should Outlaw Tampering With
> Weather for Use as War Weapon", Editorial by Senator
> Claiborne Pell, D- Rhode Island, The Providence
> Journal Bulletin, 1975.
>

> "The U.S. and other world powers should sign a treaty
> to outlaw the tampering with weather as an instrument
> of war. It may seem farfetched to think of using
> weather as a weapon -- but I'm convinced that the U.S.
> did, in fact, use rainmaking techniques as a weapon of
> war in Southeast Asia."
>
> Let us stop right here and now and digest just what
> Senator Claiborne Pell has told us. He said that the
> United States used Weather as a Weapon during the
> Vietnam War. We fought the Vietnam War from 1965-74 in
> a large capacity. Using Weather Weapons in the early
> 1970's would fit the time frame with which we are
> familiar.
>
> The U.N. treaty we discussed above was passed one year
> after this article appeared quoting Senator Pell. Now,
> let us hear some more from Senator Pell.
>
> "We need a treaty now to prevent such actions --
> before the military leaders of the world start
> directing storms, manipulating climates, and inducing
> earthquakes against their enemies. The basic idea of
> environmental warfare is simple -- if a nation can
> learn to trigger natural events it can inflict
> terrible damage on an enemy through rainfall,
> flooding, tidal waves, earthquakes, and even climate
> changes that could devastate an enemy nation's
> agriculture." [Ibid.] "
>
> An Interesting point Oster made was, why is the
> response from the Govt. so slow, and late? He
> theorizes that they the Govt. know this was a
> "Weather Attack" and that if they had a response team
> ready to go ala post 9-11 preparedness, I.E. homeland
> security etc. That they would have to explain
> themselves, or something like that, I only wish you
> doubting Thomases could have listened to the
> interview. So I suppose when Kennedy said "Man will
> someday go to the moon" you guys would have said "He's
> a crackpot" well unless your one of those wackos who
> believes, we never went, guess what not only was it
> possbile but we went! So are you Mensas ready to say,
> hmm maybe there is something to this Weather Wars
> after all, or do we just wait for the next volly and
> hope another city like N.O. doesn't get decimated?
>
> BTW no one is going to convince me that Katrina was a
> Cat 3, I have never seen a Cat 3 do so much damage.
> Are people that naive to think that we and the

> Russians and Chinese don't control these types of
> W.M.D.? The only thing is the Russians have had the
> money from the U.S. to have their brillian scientists
> work on it a much longer time and perfect it better!
> If people doubt all this then,the shouldn't be too
> surprised what comes next! "Truth Is Stranger Than
> Fiction" and that truth is going bite us all in the
> ass! (This is to the detractors of the theory of "Peak
> Oil" http://www.peakoil.com Why is this so important to
> P.O.? because as you can see it's sped up the
> scenario! Another interesting point, why if we are at
> peace with Russia we have refused disaster help from
> them? Others are asking similar questions,
> http://www.libertypost.org/cgi-bin/readart.cgi?ArtNum=107231
> but in all fairness Oster brought that up, not me, but
> it makes you wonder. I suspect the cold war never
> ended, it just shifted to controling "Mother Nature"
> As the commerical used to say "It's not nice to fool
> with Mother Nature" :)
> God Help Us!
>

3534. Re: NEED TO KNOW ONLY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-02 23:43:08 UTC
From: jm <nospam@garbagecan.com>
Message-ID: <jr5Se.32396$Tf5.20105@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 12246

<natl@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1125701389.523713.110010@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> The information you about to read is far from conjecture, the question
> is what to do about it, if anything?
>

WOW - I'd like to have had what you've been smoking - back when I "was"
smoking. What a blast. The reason that guy's paperwork probably is in
another country's paper is because no one in their right mind would print
that bull shit here - except maybe the Enquirer, Globe or one of the other
"wipe your ass" newspapers.

Being this is in a radio related group - I'll give it a 10+ on the scale of
1-10 - in noise.

Next you'll be telling us Jesus Christ is here with us, Superman truly does
exist and so on.

jm

3535. Re: NEED TO KNOW ONLY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-03 00:24:48 UTC
From: "news.vif.com" <root@NoReply-AntiSpam.com>
Message-ID: <1125707093.516786@www.vif.com>
Source record: 12247

```
Please get a...
[ ] clue
[ ] grip
[ ] job
[x] life
[x] note from your mom

Suggested other activities besides trolling...
[x] Consider another hobby
[ ] Get your ego boost instead by helping out at a local hospital
[ ] Helping someone else
[ ] Posting something constructive
[ ] Spending some time with your family instead of your magazines

Thank you for your submission.

You have...
[ ] failed, must try harder
[x] failed utterly, without hope of redemption.
[ ] passed
[ ] passed with honors
```

3536. Re: NEED TO KNOW ONLY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-03 00:59:16 UTC
From: Merlin3rd <merlinjacobs@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <1125709156.785511.197800@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12248

I'm safe I have my aluminum foil wrapped around my head!

3537. Re: New Orleans Radio Scanner Audio Stream

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-03 01:49:51 UTC
From: BDK <kingratay@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1d82cb49f7d54699989a90@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 12249
Cross-posted to: triangle.radio,triangle.general,rec.radio.scanner

```
In article <u3thh19cpnc7h0qp6v4gaq11t9upb84jfk@4ax.com>,
atheist.xxx@gmail.com says...
> On Fri, 2 Sep 2005 12:50:11 -0400, BDK <kingratay@buckeye-express.com> wrote:
>
> >In article <43181e7a.44444064@newsgroups.comcast.net>, wf3h@comcast.net
> >says...
> >> On Fri, 02 Sep 2005 02:48:22 GMT, Gary Brown <gbrown@nc.rr.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >Needs WinAmp
> >> >
> >> >http://205.252.89.181:8000/
> >> >
> >> >Hear FEMA, LSP, Guard, Coast Guard, etc.
> >> >
> >> >Incredible communications.
> >> >Very busy server. May take several tries with the Play button to hear
> >> >the feed.
>
> There is no play button.
>
>
> >> >
> >> >Gary
> >> >K4GPB
> >>
> >>
> >> looks like a busted link
> >>
> >> ----------------------------
> >> to see who "wf3h" is, go to "qrz.com"
> >> and enter 'wf3h' in the field
> >>
> >>
> >Works here!
> >
> >BDK
>
> Barry
> =====
> Home page
> http://members.iinet.net.au/~barry.og
>
```

Still works fine here..

BDK

3538. Re: Listening to airband frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-03 04:11:05 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <pf8ih19edjr4r4c18jhvf7kj4uthmtkkq6@4ax.com>
Source record: 12250

On Fri, 02 Sep 2005 13:59:02 -0500, The Other George <bgates@msn.com>
said in rec.radio.scanner:

>Al Klein <rukbat@optonline.net> wrote in
>news:cn05h1l4k3jjt5ohvb9jsrvsce17lu1pg5@4ax.com:
>
>> On Fri, 26 Aug 2005 22:15:04 -0500, The Other George <bgates@msn.com>
>> said in rec.radio.scanner:
>>
>>>Really don't need the name of the airport if he's 10 miles away and
>>>using a hand held he is not going to hear anythang!!!
>>
>> I hear plenty from 40 miles away from an airport using the rubber
>> duck.
>>
>> You're sure a fountain of misinformation, aren't you?
>>
>
>
>Then you ether live in the middle of a desert or on the planes with
>nothing from you to the airport!!! Or on a mountain overlooking the
>airport. I have lived 12.7 miles away from KPIT Pittsburgh International
>and before I put up a Scantenna the only thing I could hear was the
>planes, after going up 45 feet I now hear the tower. While in the U.S.
>Army as a gun ship driver for 24 years I could never hear the tower on a
>hand held if I was 4 or more miles away with out going up on a building
>or being higher then the buildings I was near. And yes I am a fountain of
>information :-)

Read George's claim again - he didn't say "he is not going to hear
anything transmitted from the ground", he said "he is not going to
hear anythang". I said that I hear many air band signals. Unless you
live far from civilization, you will too - with a rubber ducky.

3539. Re: Newbie legal/detection questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-03 04:15:15 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <7j8ih19rqgurltco02usb0autu01tli7tt@4ax.com>
Source record: 12251

On Thu, 1 Sep 2005 07:50:29 -0500, "PowerHouse Communications"
<PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>"Al Klein" <rukbat@optonline.net> wrote in message
>news:lu15h1d8j5f2g6578t2qqkp15h8rho05g4@4ax.com...

>> By the frequency of the local oscillator, but that's very iffy as
>> legal evidence.

>Well, it doesn't seem too iffy in Virginia and D.C. They use devices (VG2
>detectors) that pick up local oscillator frequencies in radar detectors, and
>have no problem using it for legal evidence...

Since that's an oscillator on the radar frequency, there's not much
doubt.

Since you can't be forced to testify against yourself (meaning that
anything you have can't be seized and used against you without due
process), they'll have a slim chance of proving that, since your local
oscillator was running at 140.4 MHz, you were receiving 155.85 MHz.

All it takes is one case lost in the highest court in the state, and
the whole picture changes. It used to be normal in NY for you to
appear once to set a trial date and a second time to be tried. These
days, if the court isn't ready on your first ordered appearance, and
you know enough to ask for a dismissal, the case is dismissed. All it
took was 1 case. But someone has to bring that case.

3540. Re: Newbie legal/detection questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-03 04:35:10 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <m09ih15uld0u1hovvfgnf0cqk7s9oo4tj5@4ax.com>
Source record: 12252

On Thu, 01 Sep 2005 09:30:36 -0700, krackula <krackula@i.am> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>oscillators " and making legal cases against them. if those people
>are listening to channels (indicated by the radiated local
>oscillator freqs) that their converter isn't authorized to receive ,
>then that constitutes theft of service conditions.

Since 1) you're modifying THEIR equipment, 2) their business is charging for delivered signals and 3) they know the specs of their equipment, they can prove their case beyond a reasonable doubt.

Since the police don't know the IF of your scanner, unless they seize it, they have a much rougher job of proving a case beyond reasonable doubt.

> Al, all the equipment you mention above, transmits (inadvertently
>) LO type signals on " completely " different freqs and of differing
>types, that signal their presence. equipment commonly exists that
>can locate most of them from several blocks to several miles distant.
>ANY well made piece of government RF surveillance equipment (WJ
>blk boxes for instance) has been designed to do just " exactly " that
>for decades.

But very little equipment can determine the first IF of your receiver without the person doing the detection having the receiver in his hands, and to get it he needs probable cause to get a warrant, which he can't have until he has the scanner in his hands.

It's a case of "we know he did it, but we can't prove it in court unless we violate the law to get evidence". And, in this case, not even "know" - more like "strongly suspect".
.
>they can (and do) scan for protracted periods of time and
>constantly update the report status.

Which does no good against scanners in cars doing 65 on an interstate.

>I have a home made (new voodoo technology for civilians) ferro
>resonant core ULF sensor (parts and sample circuits available from
>this site http://www.stormwise.com/vlf1574projects.htm)
>) set up on the roof rack of my SUV that can " hear " the RF
>radiation " clicks " of a new memorex shirt pocket solid state
>dictation / voice memo machine , a block and half down the street.
>the so called signal from this device is " extremely " small but
>easily detectable using the new technology (government has had them
>for decades) ferro rods . (completely NEW type of resonant circuits

>as compared to past RF devices . THESE need NO caps or circuitry
>as we used to know it, they are " self resonant " and the " circuits "
>are just pick off coils < like the 2 turn tap in a pi-net > to
>extract the signals these rods accumulate)

Now use one of them on an interstate to prove that *my* scanner was running its LO at F1 and its IF is F2 - while I'm doing 65 and you have to be non-obvious. Sit behind me with some weird looking device sitting on your dash and my wife (if I'm listening to the scanner she's driving) will probably slow down to 30 or less, and pull onto the shoulder if you don't get off her tail - AFTER she stands on the brake pedal and puts holes in your radiator, in an accident you're fully responsible for. She just loves tailgaters, and more so if they're MOS. Anal sphincters come in all sizes - even uniformed. I know - I used to have some working for me - not for long, just until I could pawn them off on someone else.

Heck, I can defeat most "radar" tickets, and courts accept them as "proven" technology. It's a lot easier to defeat state of the art - just show that it's not technology, it's voodoo, and the court will be very uncomfortable. (Most judges are Luddites. Those who aren't, and I've only met 2 in all the years I've been in courts, would laugh a "scanner in a car" case out of their courts.)

Oh, if you ever do get a ticket for a scanner in your car, ask the officer, after he finishes testifying, what it was that first made him suspect that you had an illegal scanner in your car. Unless he stopped you for something else, and you were stupid enough to leave your scanner on, he has no case. (My red [police only, in NY] fireball is usually between the front seats of my car - never know when you might need it. I've only been asked once why I had it - and it was on the roof, lit and turning. You can't see it when I'm driving unless you stop me and come over to my car, so there's no PC to stop me for having it.)

Of course none of this holds true in "the cop stopped you - that'll cost you $150" courts, but real courts tend to follow the law, and PC and proof are part of it.

3541. Re: Pro-34 opinions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-03 04:44:48 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <n8aih1l58o2jd2f2jman0b66c9cv1d85h2@4ax.com>
Source record: 12253

On Wed, 31 Aug 2005 21:43:53 -0500, "Buzzygirl"
<buzzygirl@nospam.comcast.net> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>I have enough radio-related items to fill a bug-out bag, and then some. All
>I really need is to get a decent waterproof backpack and put the stuff I
>have into it. Need to buy some more batteries though; lots more batteries.
>Perhaps a portable power supply as well.

A 12-volt power supply and a car cord for every piece of equipment (or
enough universal cords to run anything you might want to run
simultaneously).

Alternatively, an inverter and AC wall warts for everything.

Make sure that you have every cable, adapter, antenna, mount,
programming CD, etc., in that bag too. It's not really great to get
somewhere only to realize that you just need the SMA-BNC adapter (or
something else Radio Shack never carried) to use the only scanner that
can receive xxx - and you don't have one with you. It's also nice if
the bag has a pocket you can keep paperwork safe in.

3542. Re: New Orleans Live PD scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-03 04:45:35 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <jiaih19j46bkq5rtk4j9kdb25rbiskqo03@4ax.com>
Source record: 12254

On Wed, 31 Aug 2005 22:46:31 -0500, "SteveJ" <SJ@comcast.net> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>Just what we thought, as times get hard Looters come out like the wild
>Africans. Just can not shake the wild jungle out of them even after 200-300
>years of training.

Which is why white cops were looting too? Or were they justified?

3543. Re: HiTECK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-03 04:55:16 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <b3bih199qpmp4e7mrsfaod0aos9qi6etdt@4ax.com>
Source record: 12255

On Wed, 31 Aug 2005 22:33:31 -0500, "SteveJ" <SJ@comcast.net> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>Here we are in this fine HiTECK society, and with all this great New
>Trucking radio systems are not worth a shit in a real emergency like in the
>Big Easy, and the police can not talk anywhere. Car to Car does not work
>either.
>Hell CB would work better or something. All police should have a backup
>simplex Radio

That's implementation-dependent. The systems can be set up with TACs, so they can talk without repeaters.

You can give a man water, but if he's too stupid to drink, he'll still die of thirst.

3544. Re: Ideal computer / scanner combination?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-03 04:59:50 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <i8bih1t382l5sbovi8a4cs2mjma9nkvsfr@4ax.com>
Source record: 12256

On Thu, 01 Sep 2005 16:24:23 GMT, Alan Dye <alandye@pacbell.net> said
in rec.radio.scanner:

>What would be the best combination of hardware (scanner) and software to
> monitor and eavesdrop on both conventional and trunked scanning and
>collect both hits and audio?

Any Uniden scanner that covers the frequencies and modes you want to
monitor for hardware.

For software, the protocol of most Uniden scanners is available, so
why don't you write software that does exactly what you want. Nothing
you find on the web, or buy, will be that close to what you need.

>p.s. also any recommendations on process, how you'd go about covering
>all 3000 frequencies in the shortest period of time while maintaining
>the maximum amount of information collection.

Keep scanning them all and record the hits, without duplicates. (You
could store them to a database, using the frequency as a
non-duplicable key.) You might also want to record the length of time
the scanner stayed on that frequency.

3545. Re: Digital scanner ?? - Got to be a scam ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-03 05:09:44 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <3mbih1dglvsbuo3hnpkc4liipvsgogh0dc@4ax.com>
Source record: 12257

On Fri, 2 Sep 2005 22:20:05 +0100, "Andy100" <andy100@aog.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>I found this on e-bay, saying it can pick up all new digital phones, digital
>police radio etc, but surely it's a scam isn't it ???

It just claims to be a digital scanner - it doesn't say anything about picking up digital phones. Of course it also claims to be UHF and go *up to* 47.999999 MHz - 47 isn't UHF, and there's no channel on that frequency (there are no countries that operate on 1 Hz channelization). So, although the digital claim may not be phony, the rest of it is as phony as a 33-1/3 cent bill.

>I thought the new police radio's codes were uncrackable !

Encryption is practically unbreakable. (It's breakable, but do you really want to spend a million dollars for the computer to do it and wait 5 years for the results? The key changes faster than that.) But there are many *real* scanners on the market today that receive digital signals - Radio Shack Pro-96, Uniden 296, Uniden 396, etc.

3546. Re: HiTECK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-03 07:14:22 UTC
From: jm <nospam@garbagecan.com>
Message-ID: <n2cSe.32429$Tf5.10278@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 12258

"Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message
news:b3bih199qpmp4e7mrsfaod0aos9qi6etdt@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 31 Aug 2005 22:33:31 -0500, "SteveJ" <SJ@comcast.net> said in
> rec.radio.scanner:
>
>>Here we are in this fine HiTECK society, and with all this great New
>>Trucking radio systems are not worth a shit in a real emergency like in
>>the
>>Big Easy, and the police can not talk anywhere. Car to Car does not work
>>either.
>>Hell CB would work better or something. All police should have a backup
>>simplex Radio
>
> That's implementation-dependent. The systems can be set up with TACs,
> so they can talk without repeaters.
>

Please forgive my rant.... but it is worth thinking about - even if you find yourself disagreeing.

Question is - is were they set up as such? One would like to think so, but ..... And the next question is - if they were - then what could be the reason for "not" using them? A likely reason is all battery power is exhausted - and that could be the case if the only radios left were the ones being carried by the officers at the time. All the rest "may" have been destroyed. With no power, they couldn't recharge any if they had a charger left to do so - at all. The only building(s) that I'm aware of which had anything left to it with a generator - was "a" hospital or so and the arena. They could probably have "tried" to recharge the radios there - IF they had a charger - which again - was probably gone. There ARE reasons why no radios were left behind or now usable. Lets face it, this hurricane put them back a few hundred if not a thousand years.

Instead of blaming people, we ALL need to sit down and re-evaluate the situation before the next one comes - just what "would" we do - for ourselves, our family. In any event like this - you LEARN. Just like 911 - the city of New York's Public Service radios were swamped beyond use. The system failed. They know that now and are "hopefully" rectifying the situation. Yes, it is easy as hell to point fingers, fact is - it does no good - it only hampers efforts. Maybe there are some to be held accountable, but now is not the time. This was one hell of a wake up call for the U.S. As a reporter said on TV, recently we've been hearing preparedness for Terrorists attacks. This could be likened to a small to medium scale nuclear attack. Sad to say, but for a catastrophic disaster like this, it will ALWAYS catch people off guard and unprepared. The system is just

overwhelmed. There are only so many hospital beds, medical equipment, medicines, doctors, nurses, medics, etc. Also, people - individuals need to start keeping some sort of supplies together to rely on to get by. The American Red Cross offers a check list of those items. They suggest like a week's worth. Were this done, much of this current situation could be eased - at least for those stranded - still waiting to be rescued. Second, many folks just plain do not listen to EMA guides. When told to evacuate, many choose not to. You can't blame the EMA officials for that. Folks take their lives in their own hands when they refuse to leave an area. IF they couldn't, that is one thing, but if they had a choice and didn't, then they held their lives in their own hands - to an extent. Another thing, MORE people need to get involved in community service - such as Red Cross workers, Salvation Army, Ham and yes, CB for Comms (recreate SEAT REACT - if not active), whatever. In some areas - as Volunteer EMS or Fire. Many areas are hurting for volunteers in these fields and lets face it, in a disaster, they are going to be hard pressed to do any good. There was a program started here and I believe it was a "national" program - designed to train common folks in basic techniques to assist the Fire/EMS folks. I can't speak for other areas, but here - no one applied for this "free" training. It was not "fire fighting or saving lives" but just basic stuff that a fire department or EMS would be called to - that folks could do in a disaster to help alleviate the situation until help can arrive. This is sad... this is a good reason the system is overwhelmed. If one person in every family learned some basic techniques, or went so far as to be more thoroughly trained in some sort of rescue or relief effort training, just think of the many more people we'd have available to help.

Lawlessness is foolishness. Taking food, water/drinks, medicines, diapers - though I don't condone stealing/looting, those are "survival" items. IF that store was to be re-opened, the majority of that stuff left - would be thrown away anyway and written off as a tax loss. But to steal TVs - Stereos which operated off of AC (only) when there is none - makes no sense, most probably is ruined anyway. They sure can't haul it on a bus with them. To take "small" radios or battery operated items to listen to news - that too "is" understandable. Again, I'm not condoning theft, just the "legitimate" use of the items. To shoot at the very people coming to rescue you.... that can not and should not be tolerated. We who are working to rescue others who "desire" help - shouldn't have to be put into that situation. Those who do such things have no place in our society. "I" would issue "martial law" immediately to deal with it. That is my only suggestion - not blame. It is understandable they are upset, but those actions do no one any good. I say "we" who are working only because I've been in this sort of operation and involved in EMA locally - but do not take any credit whatsoever for "this" event. I'm in an area far removed from the current event.

Yes, there are a HUGE amount of problems here. With cooperation, help, money, it will start to take shape. It won't be easy, and it sure won't be as quickly as it happened. The whole country is going to wear this badge. ALL of those people have to be fed, clothed, etc. They no longer have pay checks or jobs to return to for a pay check. Someone has to keep them! The tax payer is going to foot the bill.

We can all argue about the hows and whys until we die, it won't undo what Mother Nature's fury has done. We can blame anyone and everyone and as some have done - use a race card. WE ARE ALL INVOLVED - whether we like it or not. WE are ALL going to pay for it somehow. Just pull up the boots, and get to work. The sooner people quit spouting off and start doing - the sooner things will start to improve. It is so easy to sit back and criticize and not do anything to help. HELP make a difference. CONTRIBUTE. Everyone has "something" they can contribute. You are entitled to your opinion - everyone is, but in a case as this, use it to make "constructive" criticizm. Maybe you have a solution no one else has thought of which could alleviate a problem in the future. IF you can't do it nationally - do so - locally in your area.

Being we're still in the midst of what was called a prolonged and more dangerous Hurricane season - even before Katrina hit, we need to start looking ahead for the next one - should it happen - OR - ANY disaster. Use this as a learning example. Don't sit around and do nothing. BE PREPARED. IT could be YOUR life or those of your loved ones that you're saving. Many have the "it won't happen to us" attitude. People, we're not covered under an umbrella of protection. Disaster can and will strike anywhere, anytime and to anyone. NO ONE is safe.

Just think for a moment.... given what we're seeing now - what will we do when the situations as supposed - "may" happen

1. The devastating earthquake in the West Coast - strikes.
2. Other hurricanes
3. Nuclear or Biological attack.
4. "Any" war on our homeland
5. "Any" other threat not mentioned - perhaps an Asteroid! (Assuming anyone is left to deal with it!)

This my friends IS a wake up call and could only be the beginning - being the season for hurricanes isn't over. Any future calamities could be far worse - not trying to trivialize or minimize this event. IF they are - then what? We need to pay attention and get to work to be even more prepared - individually and as a country.

I apologize for my preaching, but being involved in both EMA and Communications - though I don't have all the answers, I put some ideas out to the masses for food for thought - to hopefully help in any future event.

May God be with those victims, their families and the rescue workers who are there.

jm (used only to thwart an onslaught of spam)

3547. Re: Digital scanner ?? - Got to be a scam ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-03 07:23:05 UTC
From: Andy100 <andy100@aog.com>
Message-ID: <dfbivs$u69$1@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 12259

Surely, even though the scanners you mention can receive digital signals, broadcasters such as the police would still be unintelligible ??. I hope so, after the amount of money the local police force have spent on encrypted airwave radios !!

PS Are the 'digital' frequencies you mention still within the 0-1300MHz band scope ??

Cheers
Andy

"Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message news:3mbih1dglvsbuo3hnpkc4liipvsgogh0dc@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 2 Sep 2005 22:20:05 +0100, "Andy100" <andy100@aog.com> said in
> rec.radio.scanner:
>
> >I found this on e-bay, saying it can pick up all new digital phones, digital
> >police radio etc, but surely it's a scam isn't it ???
>
> It just claims to be a digital scanner - it doesn't say anything about
> picking up digital phones. Of course it also claims to be UHF and go
> *up to* 47.999999 MHz - 47 isn't UHF, and there's no channel on that
> frequency (there are no countries that operate on 1 Hz
> channelization). So, although the digital claim may not be phony, the
> rest of it is as phony as a 33-1/3 cent bill.
>
> >I thought the new police radio's codes were uncrackable !
>
> Encryption is practically unbreakable. (It's breakable, but do you
> really want to spend a million dollars for the computer to do it and
> wait 5 years for the results? The key changes faster than that.) But
> there are many *real* scanners on the market today that receive
> digital signals - Radio Shack Pro-96, Uniden 296, Uniden 396, etc.

3548. Re: Digital scanner ?? - Got to be a scam ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-03 08:26:56 UTC
From: Brad <"bradvk2qq AT w6ir.com">
Message-ID: <43195e53$0$18649$14726298@news.sunsite.dk>
Source record: 12260

"Andy100" <andy100@aog.com> wrote in message
news:dfbivs$u69$1@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk...
> Surely, even though the scanners you mention can receive digital signals,
> broadcasters such as the police would still be unintelligible ??. I hope
> so,
> after the amount of money the local police force have spent on encrypted
> airwave radios !!
>
> PS Are the 'digital' frequencies you mention still within the 0-1300MHz
> band
> scope ??
>
> Cheers
> Andy

Andy, don't confuse "digital" with "encryption". The two are separate functions. A signal can be analog * or digital, plain or encrypted, or any combination of the two.

The digital scanners can receive a digital mode called APCO25. If your Police are using this mode, unencrypted, then you will be able to hear them. If the Police are encrypted, then as a previous writer said, you won't be able to decrypt it within any reasonable timeframe.

Our Police use digital at the 468MHz range, our State Government is planning on changing it's 400-420MHz system to digital in a couple of years, your local Police may be in the 800MHz band. You'll need to do some searching for that information, it varies.

* analog = analogue (Barry O'Grady, author of Dictionary for Pedants)

Brad.

3549. Re: Digital scanner ?? - Got to be a scam ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-03 12:51:42 UTC
From: Andy100 <andy100@aog.com>
Message-ID: <dfc67u$n6j$1@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 12261

So would a "digital Scanner" be able to pick up the digital radio (DAB)
which is broadcast on or around 225MHz and be intelligible to listen to ?.
I'm assuming normal DAB is not encrypted ?

Cheers
Andy

"Brad" <bradvk2qq AT w6ir.com> wrote in message
news:43195e53$0$18649$14726298@news.sunsite.dk...
>
> "Andy100" <andy100@aog.com> wrote in message
> news:dfbivs$u69$1@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk...
> > Surely, even though the scanners you mention can receive digital
signals,
> > broadcasters such as the police would still be unintelligible ??. I hope
> > so,
> > after the amount of money the local police force have spent on encrypted
> > airwave radios !!
> >
> > PS Are the 'digital' frequencies you mention still within the 0-1300MHz
> > band
> > scope ??
> >
> > Cheers
> > Andy
>
>
> Andy, don't confuse "digital" with "encryption". The two are separate
> functions. A signal can be analog * or digital, plain or encrypted, or any
> combination of the two.
>
> The digital scanners can receive a digital mode called APCO25. If your
> Police are using this mode, unencrypted, then you will be able to hear
them.
> If the Police are encrypted, then as a previous writer said, you won't be
> able to decrypt it within any reasonable timeframe.
>
> Our Police use digital at the 468MHz range, our State Government is
planning
> on changing it's 400-420MHz system to digital in a couple of years, your
> local Police may be in the 800MHz band. You'll need to do some searching
for
> that information, it varies.
>
> * analog = analogue (Barry O'Grady, author of Dictionary for Pedants)

>
>
> Brad.
>
>

3550. Re: Digital scanner ?? - Got to be a scam ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-03 13:03:51 UTC
From: Brad <"bradvk2qq AT w6ir.com">
Message-ID: <43199f3b$0$18641$14726298@news.sunsite.dk>
Source record: 12262

"Andy100" <andy100@aog.com> wrote in message
news:dfc67u$n6j$1@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk...
> So would a "digital Scanner" be able to pick up the digital radio (DAB)
> which is broadcast on or around 225MHz and be intelligible to listen to ?.
> I'm assuming normal DAB is not encrypted ?
>
> Cheers
> Andy
>

Nope. That's a whole different digital mode again, and different to the
sound on digital tv and different to the digital pay tv. Sorry.

Brad.

3551. FEMA National Situation Update Sept 2 2005 Friday

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-03 14:43:01 UTC
From: zerg90 <zerg90@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125758581.131887.175400@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12263

Skip standard sub page navigations

Hazards Assistance Flood Maps NPSC Preparedness Disaster
Fact Sheets Declared Disasters
First Responder Situation Reports Training Publications
CERT
Kids Teacher Resources Conferences NFA & EMI Courses
Under Secretary Michael Brown Agency Background PSAs News
Releases Photo Library Radio Network FEMA In the News
Region I Region II Region III Region IV Region V Region
VI Region VII Region VIII Region IX Region X

Search FEMA

» Advanced Search

Emergency Managers
· Current News
· National Situation Updates
· Training
· Resources
· Related Sites
Home » Emergency Managers » National Situation Update: Friday,
September 2, 2005

National Situation Update: Friday, September 2, 2005
Homeland Security Threat Level: YELLOW (ELEVATED).
Texas Agrees to Take 50,000 More Refugees
The state of Texas agreed Thursday to take in three times more refugees from Hurricane Katrina than officials initially expected, bringing the total number of evacuees to nearly 75,000. Texas Gov. Rick Perry announced that 50,000 more refugees would relocate to Texas, with plans to house 25,000 each in San Antonio and Dallas. Those people would join 23,000 others who are already being sent from New Orleans to the Astrodome in Houston.

Late Thursday, however, after accepting more than 12,000 Hurricane Katrina refugees, officials said the Astrodome was full and began sending buses to other area shelters and as far away as Huntsville, about an hour north of Houston. Perry declared an emergency disaster for the state, freeing up money to provide services for hurricane victims.

The hurricane "has created emergency conditions in Texas that will require all available resources of both federal and state governments to overcome," Perry said. "We will do all we can as a state and a

people to help our neighbors to the east who have lost so much."

A shelter is being created in San Antonio in a huge warehouse at KellyUSA, a city-owned complex that once was home to an Air Force base. In Dallas, the refugees will go to Reunion Arena, the former home of the NBA's Dallas Mavericks. "Whatever we are called upon to do ... we intend to welcome these people with open arms and to try to give them some dignity which these circumstances have taken away from them," San Antonio Mayor Phil Hardberger said.

The governor asked the state Department of Housing and Community Affairs to set aside all vacant low-income housing units for refugees. So far 7,000 units have been reserved for hurricane victims. Texas will also open its schools and hospitals to some of the hurricane's most desperate refugees. The state Health and Human Services Department planned to extend office hours to help people with Medicaid, food stamps and prescription benefits.

"We're getting calls across the country from people who want to help," Perry said. "It's going to be the largest influx of refugees in American history." The American Red Cross has opened about 20 shelters in other Texas cities. Texas is a relatively close drive for New Orleans evacuees, many of whom escaped the city on Interstate 10 and Interstate 20 before Katrina struck. Tens of thousands of survivors continued to fill hotel rooms across the state days after the storm. Some hurricane survivors planned to start over in Texas. Many are poor. Some lived on the streets of New Orleans. Others lost homes or their jobs when the hurricane flooded their city.

"I'm not going back. I'm going to rebuild in Dallas," said Thomas Washington, 46, who arrived in a caravan of cars carrying 26 people. The group left New Orleans on Sunday and stayed first in motels. They eventually turned to the evacuee shelter at Reunion Arena in downtown Dallas. Washington, who worked as a security officer at a Naval facility, said his home near Lake Pontchartrain is gone. "All I have is a pair of jeans and a shirt," he said.

Perry, who agreed to Louisiana Gov. Kathleen Blanco's request Wednesday to take in the evacuees, said Texas naturally wants to help its neighbor. "I think we all understand it's by the grace of God that this terrible tragedy didn't come ashore a few hundred miles west," Perry said. (Media Sources)

Katrina Response Overview
Coordinated major airlift of evacuees to begin 8:00 a.m. CDT, September 2, 2005.

Evacuees will depart New Orleans Superdome by bus, and be flown at a rate of 4-5 planes per hour from New Orleans Airport to Lackland Air Force Base, TX for reception and housing at Kelly AFB.

Simultaneous bus evacuation continues: 12,000 evacuees to be housed at Houston Astrodome (12,000 evacuees capacity per Fire Marshall); and

10,000 evacuees to be housed at Dallas, TX, Reunion Center.

As of 9 p.m. EDT, September 1, 2005, 10,000 people have been evacuated from the Superdome (1,500 special needs, 8,500 general population)

American Red Cross/FEMA Family Assistance Planning completed. The 1-800 call-in number for family members to call to locate relatives will be functional Friday, September 2, 2005. The ARC website (www.familylinks.icrc.org) was activated September 1, 2005.

Tulane Medical Center evacuated; hospital evacuations still ongoing at Memorial (45 patients), Methodist (400 people) and Kindred (number unknown), 194 patients moved by MedEvac from New Orleans International Airport for evaluation and transport to Houston, TX.

43 National Disaster Medical System Teams with 1,196 personnel deployed.

National Guard has a total of 27,000 troops arriving over the next 5 days. More than 70 Federal Protective Services Officers and 100 Border Protection Officers assisting operations in Louisiana.

28 Urban Search and Rescue Teams staged in Louisiana and Mississippi - operations have ceased in New Orleans until National Guard can assist teams with security.

Planning to move 4 million MREs daily for the next three days.

76,000 people being sheltered.

13 assessment teams for hazardous materials have been deployed.

Coordinating with Amtrak on 1,500-passenger train for evacuation.

Developed and now implementing a National Communications Response Plan in partnership with industry.

Coordinating a strategic National Priority Plan for provision of fuel to responders.

Coordinating with Union Pacific for use of 60-75 tank cars for fuel movement.

Public Safety in New Orleans
Critical needs:

High-water vehicles
Additional portable radios because no power to charge current ones

Rescue operations have never been suspended except for NDMS and FEMA (ERT-A) employees in the Superdome area. They will return once get go ahead from LTG Honore that area is secure.

Currently have lost connectivity and visibility of operations at Superdome so they cannot determine if security issues have been resolved.

Commodity distribution continued - deliveries made to Superdome and throughout state.
2,000 law enforcement officials should arrive today.

Search and rescue continues, although team members have expressed concern about safety.

Working with ESF #13 to get appropriate Federal armed agents to support.

JOC established at LA State Police headquarters.

Temporary jail facility being established, need plastic handcuffs and shackles.

FBI Special Agent in Charge providing air support and special weapons teams.

Public Safety in Mississippi
Isolated incidents, but nothing widespread.
Important to have a strong showing of National Guard forces to deter unrest. 10,000 National Guard troops are being assigned to the area; 4,000-5,000 are there now.

Housing
FEMA is leading housing plan

Looking to secure cruise ships for housing support; have identified 3 ships with 6,500. Need approval to move them close to New Orleans.
1600 dorm rooms identified
400 hotel room identified
45,000 mobile homes and trailers identified - need to find site to place them

Logistics
Searching alternate sources for meals
2 million MREs are going into the affected area

MS EOC
Critical issues:

No communications on the coast, push-to-talk with counties will be available later today (have asked ESF #2 for help)
Health issues on the coast - looking at declaring a public health emergency
Attempting to get trucks to Chevron to refuel
Issue of releasing fuel to local governments
Shortages of MREs and getting food to shelters

Search and rescue - 11 teams there now, 19 will eventually be there.

NGOs and faith-based organizations are arriving, but still having problems providing meals.

8 refrigerator trucks have arrived and have requested additional body bags from FL.

Expect to have 100,000 people in shelters across the state.

Electricity is improving

AL EOC
Critical issues

Steady flow of commodities
Vouchers from ARC for workers
Movement of fuel

232,000 without power.

15 shelters are open and housing 1670 people.

20 distribution sites in 6 counties (1.9 million pounds of ice distributed; 460,000 MREs distributed).

7 fueling stations for first responders.

8 mobile kitchens are in place and 7 more to arrive.

LA EOC

Additional 7,500 National Guard coming into State, working on how to best integrate them into the response.

TX EOC
Critical needs:

Buses, drivers, and security.
Locations to shelter additional folks from LA (have spoke to mayor of San Antonio and worked out plan).

81 shelters available for 44,258 people; 44 are open and housing 9,346 people.

Have deployed all TX search and rescue assets to LA.

Have 10 helos available plus 2 fixed wing air ambulances; 60 ambulances; 1 blimp; EMS personnel, nurses, and doctors.

1,232 TX National Guard are in LA. (FEMA HQ)

National Forecast
Northeast: After a moisture-starved secondary cold front and vigorous upper-level system pop a few showers from the Adirondacks to interior Maine today and tomorrow, a sprawling area of high pressure will take total control of the weather across the Northeast and Mid-Atlantic. This will mean plenty of sunshine and no rain region-wide from Sunday and Labor Day right through midweek. High temperatures from the 70s in northern Maine to the 90s in Virginia will be replaced with highs from the 60s across Upstate New York and northern New England to the 80s in Virginia by Sunday.

South: The southern Plains and lower Mississippi Valley will remain hot with highs in the 90s right through the Labor Day weekend while the

Southeast will see highs in the 90s gradually give way to the more pleasant 80s by Labor Day. High pressure will dominate much of the region, keeping most areas rain free. A few isolated thunderstorms could pop west of the Mississippi, but otherwise thunderstorms will be confined to the immediate Gulf Coast and the Florida Peninsula. Thunderstorms could be particularly torrential in Florida, the South's potentially wettest spot this holiday weekend.

Midwest: Low pressure north of the Great Lakes could pop a few showers across northern Michigan before high pressure over the Plains begins a slow slide eastward for the Labor Day weekend, keeping most of the Midwest dry. The Plains will heat up this weekend with high temperatures approaching 100 degrees (near record levels) in parts of South Dakota tomorrow. A few isolated clusters of thunderstorms could roam west of the Mississippi from time to time although most places in the Plains will be rain free. By Labor Day, a cold front will swing eastward across the Dakotas, possibly providing a better focus for scattered strong thunderstorms.

West: A new Pacific cold front will move across the Northwest this weekend, bringing a few showers to western Washington and a few thunderstorms to the northern Rockies. The 90s in eastern Montana will be replaced with 70s by Labor Day. Meanwhile, moisture will be on the increase across the Southwest. The risk for isolated-to-scattered, mainly p.m. thunderstorms over southern Colorado and New Mexico will gradually extend westward across Utah, Arizona, easternmost Nevada and southeast California over the next few days. Temperatures in the Southwest will be 5 degrees either side of average. (NWS, Media Sources)

Tropical Depression Fourteen Remains Over The Open Tropical Atlantic
At 5 pm ast...2100z...the center of tropical depression fourteen was located near latitude 19.6 north longitude 46.6 west or about 1085 miles (1740 km) east of the Northern Leeward Islands.

The depression is moving toward the west-northwest near 12 mph (19 km/hr) and this motion is expected to continue for the next 24 Hours.

Maximum sustained winds are near 35 mph (55 km/hr) with higher gusts. Little change in strength is forecast during the next 24 hours. Estimated minimum central pressure is 1008 mb (29.77 inches).

The depression has changed little in organization during the afternoon with an exposed low-level circulation southeast of the main area of deep convection. The upper-level low causing the southeasterly shear over the system is expected to move westward over the next day or two which should place the depression under a slightly more favorable environment with less shear.

Model guidance continues to show a large split in forecast intensities. With all of the global models except the UKMET model dissipating the system in 48-72 hours while the ships intensity guidance bringing the

system to near hurricane strength in about 72 hours. The forecast intensity will be very similar to the previous package which keeps the system below tropical storm strength for 12 hours followed by slow strengthening. Needless to say, this is a very low-confidence forecast.

The initial motion is 300/10 -- slower and slightly to the right of the previous motion. The depression is moving around the southwestern periphery of a deep-layered ridge over the eastern Atlantic. The system is expected to make a gradual turn to the northwest over the next few days as the high builds to the east and an upper low remains near 65w between Bermuda and Puerto Rico. Due in large part to the change in the initial motion, the track is shifted slightly east of the previous forecast and remains in close agreement with the dynamical model suite through 48 hours. Beyond this time the forecast track follows a general motion to the northwest and north-northwest between the high to the east and
the upper low to the west. (National Hurricane Center)

Wildfire Update
National Preparedness Level 4

CURRENT SITUATION:
Initial attack activity was light nationally with 92 fires reported. Two new large fires were reported, one each in the Rocky Mountain and Northern Rockies Areas. Four large fires were contained, one each in the Northern Rockies, Rocky Mountain, Southern and Western Great Basin Areas. Very high to extreme fire indices were reported in California, Idaho, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, Oregon, South Dakota, Utah, Washington and Wyoming.

A Presidential Disaster Declaration was issued on 8/27 for Hurricane Katrina in Mississippi, Alabama and Louisiana. An Area Command Team (Williams-Rhodes) is deployed to the Regional Response Coordination Center in Atlanta, GA. for Hurricane Katrina Support. Numerous resources are being mobilized as part of the relief effort. In recognition of current and predicted support levels to Hurricane Katrina, the National Preparedness Level has been elevated from PL-3 to PL-4.
OUTLOOK: Weather Discussion: Warming and drying conditions will move over much of the West as a high pressure ridge builds aloft. Afternoon wet thunderstorms are possible over the higher elevations of Colorado and New Mexico.

Colorado
NEW Blowout (Western Slope Center, Grand Junction, Bureau of Land Management): 426 acres at 10 percent contained. The fire is located five miles south of Palisade. Residences, structures, cultural resources, and a watershed are threatened. Steep terrain and limited access are hampering containment efforts. Extreme fire behavior was reported.

Idaho
Frank Church (Payette National Forest): 26,948 acres. This lightning-caused Wildland Fire Use (WFU) incident, comprised of the Bear Creek, Root Creek, West Fork/Joe, Missouri Ridge and several other fires is 50 miles northeast of McCall. The fire is being managed to accomplish resource objectives. Commercial property, residences and historical structures are threatened; structure protection is in place. Smoldering and creeping was observed.

Montana
Signal Rock (Beaverhead/Deerlodge National Forest): 7,650 acres at 10 percent contained. The fire is 17 miles southwest of Philipsburg and is burning on both the Bitterroot and Beaverhead/Deerlodge National Forests. Structures remain threatened and a protection plan is being developed. Torching and spotting was reported.
Seepay #2 (Flathead Agency, Bureau of Indian Affairs): 6,000 acres at 40 percent contained. The fire is two miles southwest of Perma. A microwave communications site, structures, and cultural sites are threatened. Road closures are in effect. Torching with short crown runs and spotting were observed.

Selway-Salmon Complex (Bitterroot National Forest): 14,839 acres. This lightning-caused Wildland Fire Use (WFU) complex, comprised of the El Capitan, Wapiti, Beaverjack, Reynolds Lake and numerous other fires, is 26 miles southwest of Hamilton. This complex, burning on both the Salmon-Challis and Bitterroot National Forests, is being managed to accomplish resource objectives. A campground, historic ranger station, and a lookout are threatened. Structure protection remains in place. Magruder Corridor Road will reopen today. Creeping and some active surface fire was reported.

Hazard Lake (Lewis and Clark National Forest): 750 acres. This lightning-caused Wildland Fire Use (WFU) fire is 33 miles near Choteau. This fire is being managed to accomplish resource objectives. Private land and a cabin are potentially threatened. Structure protection is in place. Creeping and smoldering was reported. This will be the last report unless significant activity occurs.

Oregon
Granite Complex (Wallowa-Whitman National Forest): 32,556 acres. This lightning-caused Wildland Fire Use (WFU) incident is 30 miles east of Enterprise. This complex is being managed to accomplish resource objectives. Structure protection is currently being reassessed. Fire activity increased in heavier fuels. (National Interagency Fire Center, National Interagency Information Center)

FEMA Regional Activity
Typhoon Nabi passed through the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands August 30-31, 2005. Reporting indicates the eye of the storm passed approximately 35 miles north of Saipan. Reporting of damage from the CNMI Emergency Operations Center (EOC) has been received [via Pacific Area Office (PAO)].

Preliminary reporting from the CNMI EOC includes: Saipan - homes destroyed and homes with both major and minor damage; Tinian also reported homes with damage (unspecified); Saipan - overflow of sewage along roads and "major debris" reported throughout island with some road washouts (up to 3 feet deep). No damage information was received from Rota by the time of CNMI EOC reporting. Rota has 100% of its power. Tinian has 90% of its power restored and Saipan has 40% power restoration. Full power is predicted to resume in Tinian and Saipan within 3-4 days. Two (2) debris collection sites have been identified and are in use by public works for debris removal in Saipan.

Saipan EOC was activated. Shelters were opened in anticipation of the typhoon with 675 evacuees occupying the shelters. Preliminary damage assessment by CNMI EMO was conducted. Debris removal has been initiated. Electrical power restoration efforts are underway.

A FEMA representative from the PAO was liaison to CNMI EOC during landfall of the typhoon. Request for joint CNMI/FEMA IA/PA PDAs was requested by CNMI. A five member PDA Team (IA/PA) is leaving for CNMI from PAO, Hawaii today. PAO,

An earthquake occurred today at 2127 EDT today, September 01, 2005. The magnitude of 4.8 event has been located in Southern California, 1 mile ESE from Obsidian Butte, CA and 7 miles WNW from Calipatria, CA at a depth of 3.7 miles. No report of damage or injuries. There is no state action anticipated and no request for Federal assistance has been received. (FEMA Region IX)

Other Tropical Cyclone Activity
In the Eastern/Central Pacific, no storms threaten land or any U.S. interests.

At 11:00 pm EDT, Typhoon (ty) 14w (Nabi)position near 15.7N 145.8E, or approximately 35 nm northeast of Saipan. Typhoon Nabi has tracked west-northwestward at 10 mph over the past six hours. Maximum sustained winds - 103 mph, gusts 127 mph, and significant wave height is 35 feet. (National Hurricane Center, Joint Typhoon Warning Center)

Earthquake Activity
No additional earthquake activity occurred during the past 24 hours. (USGS National Earthquake Information Center)

Preliminary Damage Assessments (PDAs)
No significant change during the past 24 hours. (FEMA HQ)

Disaster Declaration Activity
No new information during the past 24 hours. (FEMA HQ)

Last Updated: September 02, 2005 10:21 AM
Español | Privacy Policy | Accessibility | Site Help | Site Index | Contact Us | FEMA Home
FEMA 500 C Street, SW Washington, D.C. 20472 Phone: (202) 566-1600

3552. Re: New Orleans Radio Scanner Audio Stream

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-03 15:10:13 UTC
From: FatHam <nospam@address.invalid>
Message-ID: <dfceab$86f$1@nyytiset.pp.htv.fi>
Source record: 12264
Cross-posted to: triangle.radio,triangle.general,rec.radio.scanner

Gary Brown wrote:
> Needs WinAmp
>
> http://205.252.89.181:8000/
>
> Hear FEMA, LSP, Guard, Coast Guard, etc.

4-6 main live audio scanner streams from the area are available at
http://wiki.nola-intel.org/index.php?title=Audio_Streams

Each audio stream is being transcribed in real time on IRC at the
corresponding #channel.

Other information (websites, live TV-feed, photos, blogs, etc.) available at

http://wiki.nola-intel.org/index.php?title=Main_Page

3553. Brown Deer , Wi.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-03 15:51:42 UTC
From: Franko <headboltusa@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125762702.881990.212100@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12265

I have noticed that my scanner has gone silent in Brown Deer Wi. ,
Police is gone but North Shore fire is still using some of the
frequencys from the way it sounds. I think that police have now
switched frequencys . Does any body know about this or can you supply
the new frequencys. Thanks / Franko

3554. Re: Digital scanner ?? - Got to be a scam ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-03 16:38:33 UTC
From: Andy100 <andy100@aog.com>
Message-ID: <dfcji8$1a3$1@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 12266

Cheers Brad for the useful info !. Yeah, it's getting a very complicated scene out there. When i started scanning (Aircraft mainly) about 20 years ago, there was just AM/NFM/WFM and SSB (USB/LSB/CW). I was scanning on a Signal R532 ! (beauty !). No digital, airwave, tetra, motorolla, DAB blah blah !!!!!!!!!!!

Cheers
Andy

"Brad" <bradvk2qq AT w6ir.com> wrote in message
news:43199f3b$0$18641$14726298@news.sunsite.dk...
>
> "Andy100" <andy100@aog.com> wrote in message
> news:dfc67u$n6j$1@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk...
> > So would a "digital Scanner" be able to pick up the digital radio (DAB)
> > which is broadcast on or around 225MHz and be intelligible to listen to
?.
> > I'm assuming normal DAB is not encrypted ?
> >
> > Cheers
> > Andy
> >
>
> Nope. That's a whole different digital mode again, and different to the
> sound on digital tv and different to the digital pay tv. Sorry.
>
> Brad.
>
>

3555. Re: NEED TO KNOW ONLY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-03 22:24:10 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <lf8kh11fcme9sntkkek16sn2gsk4d6vook@4ax.com>
Source record: 12267

On Fri, 2 Sep 2005 18:29:54 -0500, "SteveJ" <SJ@comcast.net> wrote:

>A bunch of BullShit

Even though the original was posted three times, you had to
repeat the entire screed to add your contentless nine lines to it.
Very smart.

3556. Re: New Orleans Live PD scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-03 22:31:15 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <ts8kh11ndts1dirvto87moobqkbrgp3o04@4ax.com>
Source record: 12268

On Sat, 03 Sep 2005 00:45:35 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
wrote:

>On Wed, 31 Aug 2005 22:46:31 -0500, "SteveJ" <SJ@comcast.net> said in
>rec.radio.scanner:
>
>>Just what we thought, as times get hard Looters come out like the wild
>>Africans. Just can not shake the wild jungle out of them even after 200-300
>>years of training.
>
>Which is why white cops were looting too? Or were they justified?

Especially the ones who stopped the looting at Walmart and
then occupied the building as a "command station", whence they started
sucking down the supplies "because we don't have any." Nothing short
of looting under color of authority. I'll bet they laid waste the
donut section in the first hour.

3557. Re: New Orleans Live PD scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-04 01:11:43 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <n8ikh1p1t8ge58r68rhiin6r4eclrftle0@4ax.com>
Source record: 12269

On Sun, 04 Sep 2005 10:11:54 +1000, Barry OGrady
<atheist.xxx@gmail.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>On Sat, 03 Sep 2005 22:31:15 GMT, kashe@sonic.net wrote:

>>Especially the ones who stopped the looting at Walmart and
>>then occupied the building as a "command station", whence they started
>>sucking down the supplies "because we don't have any." Nothing short
>>of looting under color of authority. I'll bet they laid waste the
>>donut section in the first hour.

>The food will go off anyway, so what does it matter if people take food?

Not your choice, though. It's "stolen" until it gets "donated" - and
the only one who can decide when that happens is the legal owner.
Wal-Mart has the legal right to let it all rot if that's what they
want to do.

Not that I'm advocating hoarding, which is what that would be, but
legally they have the right to keep what they own.

And I'll bet that the only "discipline" those cops get is for letting
the public get wind of their looting. They'll probably be told -
unofficially and it never happened, of course - that they should have
shot the TV crew and some civilian and claimed that the "looter" shot
the crew.

3558. Re: HiTECK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-04 01:40:26 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <fiikh1h1oql5lbbf6psf4blrdt3p18q4h2@4ax.com>
Source record: 12270

On Sat, 3 Sep 2005 03:14:22 -0400, "jm" <nospam@garbagecan.com> said
in rec.radio.scanner:

>"Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message
>news:b3bih199qpmp4e7mrsfaod0aos9qi6etdt@4ax.com...

>> That's implementation-dependent. The systems can be set up with TACs,
>> so they can talk without repeaters.

>Please forgive my rant.... but it is worth thinking about - even if you find
>yourself disagreeing.

>Question is - is were they set up as such?

I have no idea, but that's irrelevant. If the mechanism exists, and
the department chooses to not take advantage of it, it's not a problem
with the technology, it's a problem with the department. Give them
any technology and they'd probably refuse to implement it.

>..... And the next question is - if they were - then what could be the
>reason for "not" using them? A likely reason is all battery power is
>exhausted - and that could be the case if the only radios left were the ones
>being carried by the officers at the time. All the rest "may" have been
>destroyed. With no power, they couldn't recharge any if they had a charger
>left to do so - at all.

Generators. Solar chargers. Again, the technology is there. If the
department chose to not take advantage of it ...

Tell me again, after enough time has passed that they would have run
out of any realistic amount of fuel for the generators, and it's
impossible to truck in more. (It isn't - NG trucks have been bringing
in fuel since the NG got there.)

>The only building(s) that I'm aware of which had
>anything left to it with a generator - was "a" hospital or so and the arena.
>They could probably have "tried" to recharge the radios there - IF they had
>a charger - which again - was probably gone. There ARE reasons why no radios
>were left behind or now usable. Lets face it, this hurricane put them back a
>few hundred if not a thousand years.

But, with proper advance planning, they would have had everything they
need (as far as communications goes). All they had to do was to move
their fully equipped, snorkel-engined commo van - with a generator,
full fuel tanks, chargers for every type of radio they use, spare
radios, spare batteries, etc. - to a high, protected spot before the
hurricane struck. Then drive it back into town after the hurricane

passed.

>Instead of blaming people, we ALL need to sit down and re-evaluate the
>situation before the next one comes - just what "would" we do - for
>ourselves, our family. In any event like this - you LEARN. Just like 911 -
>the city of New York's Public Service radios were swamped beyond use.

Nothing like that at all. There were problems, but the system wasn't
"swamped". Talk to the people actually involved in NYC commo - FDNY
commo supervisors and run board supervisors. (And supervisors of the
commo bureaus of other departments. Ham radio was operating as well
as people in total shock can be expected to operate all day, so why
wasn't a professional service like FDNY? I'm not knocking FDNY -
there were problems, but being "swamped" wasn't one of them.)

The technology is there. People have to learn that as soon as someone
says "there has to be ..." all conversation has to stop, and everyone
has to find out why that statement was totally wrong. (My answer to
that is always "why?", and no one can give me any answer that isn't
religious or totally monomaniacal.)

Then, and only then (once everyone realizes that man has almost no
control over his environment) can anything constructive be done.

But the technology is there. The only impediment to being better
prepared is inertia - the inertia of the human jackasses who are
usually in charge of those things.

Do you have all your important data files backed up right now? (I
just put up a new 300 gig drive, about 20 minutes ago, and the program
I put up on my web site 10 minutes ago is backed up to it. If I save,
I save to the backup drive too. It's a pain, but I've had drives fail
so I take precautions.)

>Lawlessness is foolishness. Taking food, water/drinks, medicines, diapers -
>though I don't condone stealing/looting, those are "survival" items.

I agree completely. It's larceny, but I wouldn't find the
perpetrators guilty if I were on the jury. I think it comes under the
same umbrella as self defense - a crime, but justifiable.

>But to steal TVs - Stereos which
>operated off of AC (only) when there is none - makes no sense, most probably
>is ruined anyway.

Ruined or not, it's theft. *Those* I *would* convict.

>To shoot at the very people coming to rescue you.... that can not
>and should not be tolerated. We who are working to rescue others who
>"desire" help - shouldn't have to be put into that situation. Those who do
>such things have no place in our society. "I" would issue "martial law"
>immediately to deal with it. That is my only suggestion - not blame. It is
>understandable they are upset, but those actions do no one any good.

They claim that the situation gave them the opportunity to "get back"
at those who "enslaved" them "all these years". That's nice, but
looting is still a crime. And killing a cop should be a capital
crime, even if it *is* a corrupt cop.

>Yes, there are a HUGE amount of problems here. With cooperation, help,
>money, it will start to take shape. It won't be easy, and it sure won't be
>as quickly as it happened. The whole country is going to wear this badge.
>ALL of those people have to be fed, clothed, etc. They no longer have pay
>checks or jobs to return to for a pay check. Someone has to keep them! The
>tax payer is going to foot the bill.

"The taxpayer" is they who "longer have pay checks or jobs to return
to for a pay check". NOLA won't be collecting taxes for a long time.
NOLA won't even be thinking of opening schools until some time next
year, according to them.

>Being we're still in the midst of what was called a prolonged and more
>dangerous Hurricane season

And it's not going to get better. Hurricanes are heat engines and
global warming (it's a fact - no scientist is arguing about *whether*
it is, just about why and how much) is causing worse hurricanes and,
since we're short-term-profit-driven, not logic-driven, global warming
is just going to get worse, so hurricanes are just going to get
stronger and more destructive.

3559. Re: New Orleans Radio Scanner Audio Stream

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-04 01:43:54 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <d5kkh1l403ngeb0t8bq27d5h2192ecekd1@4ax.com>
Source record: 12271
Cross-posted to: triangle.radio,triangle.general,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 03 Sep 2005 18:10:13 +0300, FatHam <nospam@address.invalid>
said in rec.radio.scanner:

>Gary Brown wrote:
>> Needs WinAmp
>>
>> http://205.252.89.181:8000/
>>
>> Hear FEMA, LSP, Guard, Coast Guard, etc.
>
>
>4-6 main live audio scanner streams from the area are available at
>http://wiki.nola-intel.org/index.php?title=Audio_Streams
>
>Each audio stream is being transcribed in real time on IRC at the
>corresponding #channel.
>
For those interested, you should mention that IRC info is available at
http://wiki.nola-intel.org/index.php?title=IRC_Channels

3560. Re: Digital scanner ?? - Got to be a scam ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-04 01:49:34 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <0ckkh1lpbcuh23qtrhosi12tfg5ev4rgnm@4ax.com>
Source record: 12272

On Sat, 3 Sep 2005 08:23:05 +0100, "Andy100" <andy100@aog.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>Surely, even though the scanners you mention can receive digital signals,
>broadcasters such as the police would still be unintelligible ??

Not at all. Not unless they're running an encrypted system, and that has nothing to do with whether they're using analog or digital modulation. You can encrypt anything and you can use digital modulation of one kind or another for anything - one is completely independent of the other.

>I hope so,
>after the amount of money the local police force have spent on encrypted
>airwave radios !!

You're confusing "digital" and encrypted". Digital is only "encrypted" if all you have is an analog scanner. But if you don't understand French, I can "encrypt" what I'm saying (from you) by speaking French.

>PS Are the 'digital' frequencies you mention still within the 0-1300MHz band
>scope ??

Of course, except for those above 1300 MHz and those not on radio. You can encrypt any communication - 10 GHz, 50 GHz, laser light going through fiber optic cables, audio telephone conversations - anything. Gordon Pugh could even encrypt his voice, but that's another conversation.

3561. Re: Digital scanner ?? - Got to be a scam ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-04 01:52:51 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <snkkh19bch7rlhntnmc4i6q8cosasbtsdc@4ax.com>
Source record: 12273

tOn Sat, 3 Sep 2005 17:38:33 +0100, "Andy100" <andy100@aog.com> said
in rec.radio.scanner:

>Cheers Brad for the useful info !. Yeah, it's getting a very complicated
>scene out there. When i started scanning (Aircraft mainly) about 20 years
>ago, there was just AM/NFM/WFM and SSB (USB/LSB/CW). I was scanning on a
>Signal R532 ! (beauty !). No digital, airwave, tetra, motorolla, DAB blah
>blah !!!!!!!!!!!!

When I started listening (scanning? what was that?) there was no USB,
LSB, TV ...

Just plain old AM and FM.

But we have to keep up with the times or end up scraping off the moss
and algae. I was born before the first computer was built (aside from
Babbage's Engine) - now I write computer programs.

3562. Re: NEED TO KNOW ONLY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-04 01:53:27 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <7skkh158oshcstmjc37jjrm1nht4qspt4e@4ax.com>
Source record: 12274

On Sat, 03 Sep 2005 22:24:10 GMT, kashe@sonic.net said in
rec.radio.scanner:

>On Fri, 2 Sep 2005 18:29:54 -0500, "SteveJ" <SJ@comcast.net> wrote:
>
>>A bunch of BullShit
>
> Even though the original was posted three times, you had to
>repeat the entire screed to add your contentless nine lines to it.
>Very smart.

First time anyone accused Steve of that!

3563. Re: Brown Deer , Wi.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-04 01:57:46 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <fukkh1tm5f9fk7jravcgbs160u8ces48ft@4ax.com>
Source record: 12275

On 3 Sep 2005 08:51:42 -0700, "Franko" <headboltusa@gmail.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>I have noticed that my scanner has gone silent in Brown Deer Wi. ,
>Police is gone but North Shore fire is still using some of the
>frequencys from the way it sounds. I think that police have now
>switched frequencys . Does any body know about this or can you supply
>the new frequencys.

Maybe - what county?

Or you can check
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&stid=55

3564. Re: New Orleans Live PD scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-04 02:01:00 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <b6lkh1pfr88pl1mm3kbbq4u0egralefauv@4ax.com>
Source record: 12276

On Sun, 04 Sep 2005 10:11:54 +1000, Barry OGrady
<atheist.xxx@gmail.com> wrote:

>On Sat, 03 Sep 2005 22:31:15 GMT, kashe@sonic.net wrote:
>
>>On Sat, 03 Sep 2005 00:45:35 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
>>wrote:
>>
>>>On Wed, 31 Aug 2005 22:46:31 -0500, "SteveJ" <SJ@comcast.net> said in
>>>rec.radio.scanner:
>>>
>>>>Just what we thought, as times get hard Looters come out like the wild
>>>>Africans. Just can not shake the wild jungle out of them even after 200-300
>>>>years of training.
>>>
>>>Which is why white cops were looting too? Or were they justified?
>>
>> Especially the ones who stopped the looting at Walmart and
>>then occupied the building as a "command station", whence they started
>>sucking down the supplies "because we don't have any." Nothing short
>>of looting under color of authority. I'll bet they laid waste the
>>donut section in the first hour.
>
>The food will go off anyway, so what does it matter if people take food?

Agreed, but for the cops to "defend" the food only to plunder
it themselves is pretty abusive.

And much of the food, canned, bottled, dried, etc. would not
go off. That's why they recommend storing it for emergencies.

>
>Barry
>=====
>Home page
>http://members.iinet.net.au/~barry.og

3565. Re: New Orleans Live PD scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-04 02:05:24 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <6blkh11oe9p8uvlqao4657bmo8ntdico7n@4ax.com>
Source record: 12277

On Sat, 03 Sep 2005 21:11:43 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
wrote:

>On Sun, 04 Sep 2005 10:11:54 +1000, Barry OGrady
><atheist.xxx@gmail.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:
>
>>On Sat, 03 Sep 2005 22:31:15 GMT, kashe@sonic.net wrote:
>
>>>Especially the ones who stopped the looting at Walmart and
>>>then occupied the building as a "command station", whence they started
>>>sucking down the supplies "because we don't have any." Nothing short
>>>of looting under color of authority. I'll bet they laid waste the
>>>donut section in the first hour.
>
>>The food will go off anyway, so what does it matter if people take food?
>
>Not your choice, though. It's "stolen" until it gets "donated" - and
>the only one who can decide when that happens is the legal owner.

You have an overly legalistic definition of what's right if
you think it's OK to let people starve because your goddamned cash
registers can't ring up sales.

Were you ever given the opportunity to play Charles Laughton's
role in Les Miserables? It seems it would be a natural part for you --
no acting required.

>Wal-Mart has the legal right to let it all rot if that's what they
>want to do.
>
>Not that I'm advocating hoarding, which is what that would be, but
>legally they have the right to keep what they own.
>
>And I'll bet that the only "discipline" those cops get is for letting
>the public get wind of their looting. They'll probably be told -
>unofficially and it never happened, of course - that they should have
>shot the TV crew and some civilian and claimed that the "looter" shot
>the crew.

3566. Charged with impersonating Boston officer;

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-04 02:05:47 UTC
From: Pntx <pntx@aol.com>
Message-ID: <g6udnRxgAY3zy4feRVn-pw@comcast.com>
Source record: 12278

http://www.boston.com/news/local/massachusetts/articles/2005/09/03/teen_in_trouble_with_real_police/

Teen in trouble with real police
Charged with impersonating Boston officer
By Suzanne Smalley, Globe Staff | September 3, 2005

A West Roxbury High School freshman who admits he is obsessed with police procedures says he called in false reports to Boston Police in a series of radio transmissions and now faces charges of impersonating an officer, authorities said.

The 14-year-old, who the Globe is not identifying because he is a juvenile, was also charged with disturbing the peace and was arraigned yesterday in West Roxbury District Court. He was released to the custody of his family and is due back in court on Oct. 28.
In an interview yesterday, the teen said he is a Boston Police Department enthusiast who keeps a green binder labeled ''BPD Notes" in his bedroom for logging calls he hears over his police scanner. He said he began calling in phony traffic stops and radio checks on Wednesday. He made the calls with a Motorola handheld radio he bought on eBay.

The teenager said he began making the calls because he wanted.....

3567. Re: HiTECK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-04 02:06:07 UTC
From: jm <nospam@garbagecan.com>
Message-ID: <vDsSe.32472$Tf5.23483@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 12279

"Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message
news:fiikh1h1oql5lbbf6psf4blrdt3p18q4h2@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 3 Sep 2005 03:14:22 -0400, "jm" <nospam@garbagecan.com> said
> in rec.radio.scanner:
>
>>"Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message
>>news:b3bih199qpmp4e7mrsfaod0aos9qi6etdt@4ax.com...
>
>>> That's implementation-dependent. The systems can be set up with TACs,
>>> so they can talk without repeaters.
>
>>Please forgive my rant.... but it is worth thinking about - even if you
>>find
>>yourself disagreeing.
>
>>Question is - is were they set up as such?
>
> I have no idea, but that's irrelevant. If the mechanism exists, and
> the department chooses to not take advantage of it, it's not a problem
> with the technology, it's a problem with the department. Give them
> *any* technology and they'd probably refuse to implement it.
>
>>..... And the next question is - if they were - then what could be the
>>reason for "not" using them? A likely reason is all battery power is
>>exhausted - and that could be the case if the only radios left were the
>>ones
>>being carried by the officers at the time. All the rest "may" have been
>>destroyed. With no power, they couldn't recharge any if they had a charger
>>left to do so - at all.
>
> Generators. Solar chargers. Again, the technology is there. If the
> department chose to not take advantage of it ...
>
> Tell me again, after enough time has passed that they would have run
> out of any realistic amount of fuel for the generators, and it's
> impossible to truck in more. (It isn't - NG trucks have been bringing
> in fuel since the NG got there.)
>
>>The only building(s) that I'm aware of which had
>>anything left to it with a generator - was "a" hospital or so and the
>>arena.
>>They could probably have "tried" to recharge the radios there - IF they
>>had
>>a charger - which again - was probably gone. There ARE reasons why no

>>radios
>>were left behind or now usable. Lets face it, this hurricane put them back
>>a
>>few hundred if not a thousand years.
>
> But, with proper advance planning, they would have had everything they
> need (as far as communications goes). All they had to do was to move
> their fully equipped, snorkel-engined commo van - with a generator,
> full fuel tanks, chargers for every type of radio they use, spare
> radios, spare batteries, etc. - to a high, protected spot before the
> hurricane struck. Then drive it back into town after the hurricane
> passed.
>
>>Instead of blaming people, we ALL need to sit down and re-evaluate the
>>situation before the next one comes - just what "would" we do - for
>>ourselves, our family. In any event like this - you LEARN. Just like 911 -
>>the city of New York's Public Service radios were swamped beyond use.
>
> Nothing like that at all. There were problems, but the system wasn't
> "swamped". Talk to the people actually involved in NYC commo - FDNY
> commo supervisors and run board supervisors. (And supervisors of the
> commo bureaus of other departments. Ham radio was operating as well
> as people in total shock can be expected to operate all day, so why
> wasn't a professional service like FDNY? I'm not knocking FDNY -
> there were problems, but being "swamped" wasn't one of them.)

I won't argue the point that they "could" have been better prepared. Why they weren't, is the question. As to FDNY - my info differs from yours, but so be it. The point is, we all need to learn from the mistakes to be better prepared for the next event. Otherwise, I think we basically agree! The bigger the problem is, the worst it is going to get. Everyone needs to take an active role in the positive to make sure things go much smoother for them and everyone else. The "system" can't do it all - as much as some would like to think.

jm

3568. Re: Brown Deer , Wi.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-04 02:59:15 UTC
From: Scott en Aztl&#65533;n <scottenaztlan@yahooNOSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <cgokh1dmp1uk1tikud0fjbokkcu3qohi7s@4ax.com>
Source record: 12280

On 3 Sep 2005 08:51:42 -0700, "Franko" <headboltusa@gmail.com> wrote:

>I have noticed that my scanner has gone silent in Brown Deer Wi. ,
>Police is gone but North Shore fire is still using some of the
>frequencys from the way it sounds. I think that police have now
>switched frequencys .

Either that or they just went bankrupt like the Northridge Mall. :)

3569. BE AWARE OF PEOPLE AROUND YOU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-04 03:06:56 UTC
From: SteveJ <SJ@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <-7KdneAcKZVI-YfeRVn-tw@comcast.com>
Source record: 12281

BE CAREFUL!!! AND BE AWARE OF PEOPLE AROUND YOU!!

The Hurricane and Dangerous People on Your Streets

Hello Everyone,

New Orleans is toast. It's the biggest natural disaster in an urban area in American history.

It came to my attention that you and I may have a bunch of dangerous people in our towns and on our streets. South Louisiana has 1.4 million people from New Orleans scattered around here. Some are being bused to Houston.

The 100,000 + people that stayed in New Orleans are being "rescued" and turned loose along Interstate 10.

Looting is going on all over New Orleans. The "brothers" are out of control. A lot of these people are the lowest of the low.

Former governor Mike Foster, in the past, has called New Orleans a third world jungle.

Former governor Edwin Edwards threatened many times to send in the National Guard to take over control of the city from it's mayor, DA, and police chief.

They are possibly headed into your town.

They have no money, no drugs, no "pimp-mobiles" to low-ride in, no new Nike's, etc.

Last night some masked men broke into a Lafayette home, killed the man of the house and forced the wife to open the family safe. They pocketed the families life savings and left. (This incident has not been verified.)

I was out and about town today. I saw some scary looking people driving on the streets and in some of the stores I was in. Some of the lowest of the low.

This is a good time to be alert and watch what you're doing and where you are.

Alert your wife and kids.

No night-time shopping for a while.

No night-time bar hopping for a while.

Get in the habit of locking your house doors in the daytime.

Keep car doors locked.

Stay out of the crummy parts of town. No excuse to be there.

All the other common sense safety rules.

Finally.....if you don't have a gun, get one. You might need it.

3570. Re: BE AWARE OF PEOPLE AROUND YOU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-04 04:15:25 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <83tkh11b5fkjdaplfti01dm6nu5jhu98i7@4ax.com>
Source record: 12282

On Sat, 3 Sep 2005 22:06:56 -0500, "SteveJ" <SJ@comcast.net> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>Last night some masked men broke into a Lafayette home, killed the man of
>the house and forced the wife to open the family safe. They pocketed the
>families life savings and left. (This incident has not been verified.)

Don't let that stop you from spreading it.

>I was out and about town today. I saw some scary looking people driving on
>the streets and in some of the stores I was in.

You looked in a mirror?

>Some of the lowest of the low.

The lowest are bigots. Or were you looking at your betters?

Welcome to my killfile - you'll find a lot of like-"minded" people in there.

3571. Is Inmarsat still receivable ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-04 11:24:26 UTC
From: "T.G." <TG@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <KPASe.3006$vC4.2701@newsfe4-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 12283

It's been a few years since I fooled about with this stuff and I'm a bit lost right now but does anybody know if the Inmarsats are still receivable ? Are things still in the clear ? I remember I used to be able to tune into AFRS radio which was a great way to line up and test my setup but I know that they ended it on Inmarsat since.

Is there any up to date websites on receiving the Inmarsats ?

Many Thanks for any info.

T

3572. Re: Icom R7100 problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-04 12:41:29 UTC
From: Pete KE9OA <p.gianako@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <ZXBSe.181902$5N3.132973@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 12284
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

I called Icom and the tech told me that I would need to loosen up the front panel in order to remove the top plate that contains the downconvertor and the regulator board. This wasn't the case.....it only required the removal of two screws on the rear panel and two screws at the top front of the plate assembly. The 10.245MHz LO was only 70Hz low, but the both of the BFO injection frequencies were at least 1kHz off-frequency so it was good that I opened up the unit.
I did order the 51.2MHz crystal from Icom and I received it a couple of days ago.....just in case the present crystal continues to drift.
It was surprising to see glass epoxy boards on the bottom and top units, but to see that the main receiver board was of a phenolic material. They must have used the same board from the R-7000.....not a bad thing, but I was surprised.

Pete

"Pete KE9OA" wrote in message
news:fBvQe.633$ym5.290@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>I still need to check the 3rd LO injection frequency and I do have to
>adjust the LSB / USB BFO injection frequencies.
> It appears that the main board for this unit is underneath the convertor /
> regulator assembly.
> The service manual is not clear on how to dissamble the unit. Can anybody
> give me any tips on how to get this thing apart? Do the front and rear
> panels need to be removed in order to do this?
>
> Pete
>
> "Pete KE9OA" wrote in message
> news:SudQe.688097$cg1.466654@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>>I did check the varactor diode voltage and it checked ok.....I wanted
>>to make sure that the thermistor didn't open up.
>> Thank you also for your tip, Bob.
>> The problem did turn out to be the crystal.....apparently, some of the
>> metal from the plates that hold the quartz element itself migrated to the
>> element, increasing its mass.
>> It's funny.....on Icom's website they mention that this is an
>> ongoing problem with the R7000. One would think that they would address
>> this problem in later iterations.
>> I did repair the unit. First of all, I replaced that cheap plastic
>> trimmer with a good microwave unit (Ceratrim). Next, I removed that 1pF
>> shunt capacitor that was in parallel with the old cap. As it stands right
>> now, I can tune the 2nd LO up to 1.5kHz above the desired frequency. Not

>> as much range as I would like, but hopefully, the crystal has done its
>> ageing process and I won't have to go into the receiver again.
>> I am going to order a replacement for the reference crystal, just to have
>> on hand.
>> Overall, the 7100 is a good receiver. Looking through the service manual,
>> it appears that all 3 of the mixers are doubly-balanced diode ring mixers
>> that operate with +10dBm of LO rejection, so the IMD performance should
>> be pretty decent. It also appears that Icom finally decided to use glass
>> epoxy circuit boards. I didn't know when they had decided to start doing
>> this, since this receiver was designed during that "transition time" when
>> there was a mix of both FR4 and Phenolic being used.
>> Maybe they finally got tired of repairing those cold solder joints that
>> would develop over time.
>> Oh, their quality control.....it was pretty funny when I
>> removed the covers from the shield assemblies and found fingerprints on
>> the inside!
>> I've got the receiver burning in right now.....after another half hour
>> of running, I will do the final calibration on the 2nd and 1st LOs.
>> Thanks again for the tips, Mike and Bob!
>>
>> Pete
>>
>> "Bob Parnass" wrote in message
>> news:pan.2005.08.28.03.54.09.55531@fake.invalid...
>>> On Sat, 27 Aug 2005 23:18:37 +0000, Pete KE9OA wrote about
>>> his ICOM IC-R7100:
>>>
>>>
>>> > Apparently, the reference crystal has drifted down in frequency over
>>> > the years.
>>>
>>> I have serviced multiple IC-R7000s, IC-R7100As, and other ICOM radios
>>> (e.g., IC-275H) with the same problem. The reference oscillator
>>> crystals
>>> in those radios aged after use and shifted frequency. In some cases,
>>> like yours, they go too far off frequency and must be replaced. Check
>>> with ICOM USA for a replacement crystal.
>>>
>>> Too bad ICOM didn't burn in the crystals *before* final factory
>>> alignment.
>>>
>>> I've not had this problem with the IC-R8500.
>>>
>>> --
>>> ==
>>> Bob Parnass, AJ9S GNU/Linux User
>>> http://parnass.com
>>>
>>
>>

>
>

3573. Re: 400 Mhz Motorola trunking questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-04 14:59:49 UTC
From: Melv <melvyn.rattenburynojunk@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <FZDSe.1560$741.882@newsfe6-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 12285
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Only one required with Trunksniffer and also ftrunk has one scanner option
"kevcat" <kevcat@dodo.com.au> wrote in message
news:4315E964.60E86BB4@dodo.com.au...
>
>
> Melv wrote:
> >
> > Good news, there is software available ftrunk and trunksniffer are the
best.
> > Melv
>
>
> the thing with this software is you need two scanners to track the
> conversations
> one to listen on the control channel and the other(computer
> controllable) to track
>
> but yes they are both good programs
>
> Kev

3574. Brown Deer Talkgroups on the Milwaukee County Trunked Radio System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-04 15:07:16 UTC
From: J&D Schnoor <jimdianes@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <E4ESe.6236$cg.3051@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 12286

```
Brown Deer Talkgroups

DEC HEX Mode Description
36656 8f3 A Police A01
36688 8f5 A Police A02
```

3575. Katrina USCG air audio request

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-04 15:20:22 UTC
From: Jarek <autosport_nospam@neostrada.pl>
Message-ID: <dff3c3$760$1@nemesis.news.tpi.pl>
Source record: 12287

May anyone that has any means of broadcasting audio from New Orleans
onto to web set their receiver to USCG air frequency ? And post the link ?

Often they use 381.8 but others maybe used. Freq list is here:
http://www.wunclub.com/files/uscg_air.html

Thank you

Phil

3576. Re: New Orleans Live PD scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-04 15:32:39 UTC
From: lnh <lnoh@spamfree.net>
Message-ID: <lnoh-025737.10323804092005@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 12288

Yeah, right.

Like the two photos in the news?
The black folks with goods are "looters",
and the white folks are "getting supplies".

Desperate people do desperate things in desperate times,
but ignorant people are always ignorant.

In article <HNudndSK_ccH5IveRVn-pg@comcast.com>,
"SteveJ" <SJ@comcast.net> wrote:

> Just what we thought, as times get hard Looters come out like the wild
> Africans. Just can not shake the wild jungle out of them even after 200-300
> years of training.
> Steal, or get crack is all they can thing about and if they cant carry it
> away they just shit on it like a wild bunch of monkeys.
> Remember the movie Planet of the Apes, does this look familiar?
>
>
>
>
> <kashe@sonic.net> wrote in message
> news:a467h11bejt5vhf631bfe5ofi1kjg1d4he@4ax.com...
> > On Mon, 29 Aug 2005 15:25:29 -0400, "Oranges" <orangejuice@msn.net>
> > wrote:
> >
> >>Any links to the same?
> >>
> >>Should be curious as night falls and the africoons
> >>start to come out to celebrate "xmas in August".
> >>
> >>(....if you get what I mean - grin)
> >
> > Cracker.

3577. Re: Brown Deer , Wi.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-04 19:06:40 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <%AHSe.4138$3R1.2391@fe06.lga>
Source record: 12289

"Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message
news:fukkh1tm5f9fk7jravcgbs160u8ces48ft@4ax.com...
> On 3 Sep 2005 08:51:42 -0700, "Franko" <headboltusa@gmail.com> said in
> rec.radio.scanner:
>
> >I have noticed that my scanner has gone silent in Brown Deer Wi. ,
>
> Maybe - what county?
>

Not sure, but that last time I checked, WI (Wisconsin) was in the USA...

3578. Re: Katrina USCG air audio request

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-04 19:37:29 UTC
From: Jarek <autosport_nospam@neostrada.pl>
Message-ID: <dffie4pks1@nemesis.news.tpi.pl>
Source record: 12290

Thank you very much for your replay to my request.
Phil

xxnonexnonexx@tampascanner.info wrote:
> On Sun, 04 Sep 2005 17:20:22 +0200, Jarek <autosport_nospam@neostrada.pl> wrote:
>
>
>>May anyone that has any means of broadcasting audio from New Orleans
>>onto to web set their receiver to USCG air frequency ? And post the link ?
>
>
> Below is info a stream which has what I think your after.
>
>
>> Often they use 381.8 but others maybe used. Freq list is here:
>>http://www.wunclub.com/files/uscg_air.html
>
>
> 381.800 is NO LONGER an NTIA AUTHORIZED frequency for USCG. See:
>
> As of 1 Jan 05, USCG comms will no longer be authorized on 381.8. The US
> Coast Guard freq setup will include the following:
> Ops Primary: 345.0
> Ops Secondary: 237.9
> Air-Ground Working, Primnary: 326.15
> Air-Ground Working, Secondary: 379.05
>
>
> On Fri, 02 Sep 2005 20:15:50 -0000, in
> yahoo.groups.alt.radio.scanner.pkbgwvscanwatch you wrote:
>
>
>>David Hitchner in Baton Rouge is providing a dual stereo from Baton
>>Rouge. The Right Channel is in Search mode providing all of Baton
>>Rouge's State system site freqs. The Left channel is providing 345.0
>>AM US Customs/US Coast Guard Operations. This feed can be found here:
>
>
>>http://nola-intel.org:8003/fema - 1000 users max, Bandwidth donated
>>by 15minuteservers.com
>>http://mirror1.ev1helps.net:8080/lspbtr.m3u - 2000 users max
>>http://stfunoob.com/nola/scanner.pls - 2500 users max
>>http://us3.comclub.org:8000/fema_scanner - 1000 users
>>http://radio2.wrpn.net:5000/nola_scanner.m3u - 32 clients max;

>>located in Columbus, OH, USA
>>http://stream.etherkiller.de:8000/no2.mp3
>>http://fyreplace.com:12345 - 50 clients max; located in Houston, TX,
>>USA
>>http://radio.artplz.com:8000/BatonRouge - 600 clients max; located in
>>Dallas, TX, USA
>>http://egg.dishnuts.net:8000/LASP.m3u - 100 clients max; located in
>>Boise, ID, USA
>>http://69.18.113.212:8088 - 50 streams; located in Central Florida
>>PFish, located in NW Louisiana, is streaming talkgroups from the
>>State Smartzone site located there:
>>The Main Feed: http://205.252.89.181:8000/live.m3u (300 User Limit -
>>Please Use as Relay Source Only)
>>http://nola-intel.org:8001/scan - 1000 users max, Bandwidth donated
>>by 15minuteservers.com
>>http://ev1helps.net:8000 - 2000 users max
>>http://stfunoob.com/nola/scanner.pls - 2500 users max, Both scanner
>>streams
>>http://us3.comclub.org:8000/no_scanner - 1000 users max
>>http://216.22.26.45:8002 - 200 users max
>>http://tinyurl.com/dc4tx
>>http://64.202.112.69:8080/scanner
>>http://www.homecomputersecurity.net:8000
>>http://216.66.21.240:8000
>>http://66.98.242.32:8000
>>http://www.firefeeds.com/feedroom/la-neworleans.html - listen in-page
>>Houston AstroDome Operations
>>http://stream.etherkiller.de:8000/no.mp3
>>http://radio.artplz.com:8000/NewOrleans.mp3.m3u - 600 clients max;
>>located in Dallas, Texas, USA
>>http://broadcast.umbc.edu:8000/nola-scanner
>>http://www.goodphuck.com:8088/listen.pls
>>San Antonio Operations
>>http://www.radioreference.com:8080/satx_katerina
>>HF Amateur Radio Nets
>>http://68.6.31.23:8000/listen.pls -Satern Net Hurricane Disaster
>>Communications 14265.0 (12 Listeners)
>>http://142.167.186.130:8000/listen.pls - Hurricane Katrina recovery
>>HF communications (scanner) - various channels (30 Listeners)
>>http://ve3nsv.no-ip.org:8000/canwarnlive.m3u - Gulf Coast Emergency
>>ARES Net (80 meter Gulf Coast ARES Net 3.873) (300 Listeners)
>>http://209.189.239.77:8048/listen.pls - HF Hurricane Katrina Watch
>>Net /Health and Welfare - KA4EPS Deerfield Beach, FL
>>http://207.44.198.6:8000/listen.pls - (K4MFC Hurricane Welfare
>>Information Feed 3873KHZ) (32 Listeners)
>
>
> ----
> Support your LOCAL scanner frequency site and groups!
> http://www.wpascanner.com http://www.tampascanner.info

> --
> http://www.gulfcoastdish.net
>
> -----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
> -----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3579. Re: 10-codes losing popularity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-05 00:11:34 UTC
From: Lumpy <lumpy@digitalcartography.com>
Message-ID: <3o1gq4F3kk4hU1@individual.net>
Source record: 12291

clfe wrote:
> Here - before "our" 911 system came on
> board - the 10 codes tended to vary among
> Police units like people's choice of colors
> of homes. It could be very confusing...

I used to be the director of communications
at an S.O. Our codes shared SOME common
terms with the PD, others vaired quite a bit.

Example:
Signal 10 (SO) Minor traffic accident
Signal 10 (PD) Armed robbery

So one day one of the local armored car
transporters, who all had the SO frequency
for emergencies, called in and said -
"Advise the PD they have a signal 10
here at the corner of central and 3rd"

Were they reporting a fender bender or
were they reporting that the armored car
had been robbed?

PD, hearing the traffic on their scanners,
went screaming to the scene code 3, to find
a fender bender, no robbery. BTW code 3 meant
"Injury Accident" to yet another local agency.

Another difference:

One agency 10-53 = tow truck
10-54 = ambulance
Neighbor agency 10-53 = ambulance
10-54 = tow truck
Very confusing when one agency sends a terminal
message or comes up on your freq and says
"We have a 53 enroute to XXX location"

I'm a firm believer in plain language.
Although that has it's problems as well.

Example:

DISPATCHER "County units, I'll be off the air
for a few minutes, troubleshooting
in the jail"

Came across to some mobile units as
"triple shooting in the jail"

COUNTY ELECTION OFFICIAL:
"We have a machine gone down in the courthouse"
Came across as
"We have a machine gun down in the courthouse"

Sgt Lumpy

3580. Re: 10-codes losing popularity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-05 00:19:13 UTC
From: Lumpy <lumpy@digitalcartography.com>
Message-ID: <3o1h8gF3q76eU1@individual.net>
Source record: 12292

Bob wrote:

> yep...saw a movie..an old one starring montgomery clift. the
> credits said everyone in the movie apart from clift was active
> duty military...it was about the berlin airlift.
>
> as one of the planes comes into the airport, the controller asked
> him to 'qsy' to another freq. was amazed...

In the 60's movie "Them" about giant ants
that attacked New Mexico, the cops, supposed
to be NM State Police used KMA628 for their
callsign.

Not radio related, but on some of the old "Flipper"
TV shows, the coast guard helicopter winch guy
had a helmet that read "NAVY".

And speaking of Flipper, what kind of callsign
is WD9598, that Ranger Ricks used to say on his radio?

Sarge

3581. Re: HiTECK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-05 01:51:43 UTC
From: DxxxxxBxx <abuse@abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz.com>
Message-ID: <ci8nh15hb8nfnq1g8d5v2dmmc2flsskh43@4ax.com>
Source record: 12293

On Sat, 03 Sep 2005 21:40:26 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
wrote:

>And it's not going to get better. Hurricanes are heat engines and
>global warming (it's a fact - no scientist is arguing about *whether*
>it is, just about why and how much) is causing worse hurricanes and,
>since we're short-term-profit-driven, not logic-driven, global warming
>is just going to get worse, so hurricanes are just going to get
>stronger and more destructive.

Sorry, I just couldn't let this one go.

I am a scientist. True, I am not arguing, but I do not agree with
"global warming". Interesting how when we were young, a new ice age
is approaching. Now it is global warming. Chicken little said the
sky is falling. This is a trick to take money from the U. S. taxpayer
and give it away to liberal concerns.

As far as hurricanes getting worse, this is another typical liberal
statement. This is no where near the worst hurricane in the recorded
weather history of the USA. True, it may have affected more human
life, but that is because they live there--NOT because the hurricane
was the worst. Once again, there is no evidence that any hurricanes
we have had in the last 10 years are worse that hurricanes in recorded
weather history of the USA.

"Logic-driven"? I think not. You rant, but you rant feelings (or
maybe agenda). Neither logic nor facts are in your message.
Spammers, please send email to:
listme@listme.dsbl.org
and get your SMTP server blacklisted!

3582. WTB: Icom R8500 Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-05 01:54:10 UTC
From: ritchi50@optonline.net
Message-ID: <r99nh1pd58ind52s5hbt2aau5gemf7pshg@4ax.com>
Source record: 12294

```
WTB: Icom R8500 Receiver
Please leave condion and price email.
Rich NJ
```

3583. Re: HiTECK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-05 03:34:01 UTC
From: jm <nospam@garbagecan.com>
Message-ID: <N%OSe.33582$Tf5.15983@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 12295

"DxxxxxBxx" <abuse@abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz.com> wrote in message
news:ci8nh15hb8nfnq1g8d5v2dmmc2flsskh43@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 03 Sep 2005 21:40:26 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
> wrote:
>
>>And it's not going to get better. Hurricanes are heat engines and
>>global warming (it's a fact - no scientist is arguing about *whether*
>>it is, just about why and how much) is causing worse hurricanes and,
>>since we're short-term-profit-driven, not logic-driven, global warming
>>is just going to get worse, so hurricanes are just going to get
>>stronger and more destructive.
>
> Sorry, I just couldn't let this one go.
>
> I am a scientist. True, I am not arguing, but I do not agree with
> "global warming". Interesting how when we were young, a new ice age
> is approaching. Now it is global warming. Chicken little said the
> sky is falling. This is a trick to take money from the U. S. taxpayer
> and give it away to liberal concerns.
>
> As far as hurricanes getting worse, this is another typical liberal
> statement. This is no where near the worst hurricane in the recorded
> weather history of the USA. True, it may have affected more human
> life, but that is because they live there--NOT because the hurricane
> was the worst. Once again, there is no evidence that any hurricanes
> we have had in the last 10 years are worse that hurricanes in recorded
> weather history of the USA.
>
> "Logic-driven"? I think not. You rant, but you rant feelings (or
> maybe agenda). Neither logic nor facts are in your message.

You're confusing messages for starters... Secondly I don't think anyone said
this was the "worst" hurricane in history. Third - Liberal as to
predictions? NOT - that came from the folks at NOAA and the Weather Channel.
They are "supposed" to be scientists or Meteorologists if you will - not
slanted in any one direction. So, though you may be a scientist, you sure
must not pay much attention to what is broadcast via those in the know. As
to "WHY" they may get stronger and more destructive - "I" am not a scientist
or meteorologist, so I won't try to pretend I am and overstep my bounds in
information. "I" get my information from those aforementioned who are
"supposed" to know what is going on.
You may be a scientist - self proclaimed perhaps, but it doesn't mean you
know "everything".

jm

3584. Re: HiTECK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-05 04:41:53 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <cpinh1hrvtv52s4qh0ctt3qhvub49fke67@4ax.com>
Source record: 12296

On Mon, 05 Sep 2005 01:51:43 GMT, DxxxxxBxx
<abuse@abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>On Sat, 03 Sep 2005 21:40:26 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
>wrote:

>>And it's not going to get better. Hurricanes are heat engines and
>>global warming (it's a fact - no scientist is arguing about *whether*
>>it is, just about why and how much) is causing worse hurricanes and,
>>since we're short-term-profit-driven, not logic-driven, global warming
>>is just going to get worse, so hurricanes are just going to get
>>stronger and more destructive.

>Sorry, I just couldn't let this one go.

>I am a scientist.

In a relevant field?

>True, I am not arguing, but I do not agree with
>"global warming". Interesting how when we were young, a new ice age
>is approaching.

Study paleometeorology.

>Now it is global warming.

And if I told you that I have a broken leg and my car is out of gas,
you'd see something mutually exclusive there too?

There's another glaciation cycle coming. (The continents haven't
shifted enough yet to stop it.) We're experiencing global warming.
The two aren't really connected.

>Chicken little said the
>sky is falling. This is a trick to take money from the U. S. taxpayer
>and give it away to liberal concerns.

And that's the scientific viewpoint? What science? Political
science?

>As far as hurricanes getting worse, this is another typical liberal
>statement. This is no where near the worst hurricane in the recorded
>weather history of the USA.

I never said it was. I said that, due to the fact that hurricanes are
heat-driven, and due to the fact that we're experiencing warming,
hurricanes are going to get worse.

Scientists are supposed to know something about significance and accuracy.

>"Logic-driven"? I think not. You rant, but you rant feelings (or >maybe agenda).

Speaking of agenda ... I'm not the one who defined someone he never spoke to before as a liberal.

3585. Re: Brown Deer , Wi.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-05 04:44:33 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <d6jnh11s8nv1ld67o4lvf49pmm17prqm0s@4ax.com>
Source record: 12297

On Sun, 4 Sep 2005 14:06:40 -0500, "PowerHouse Communications"
<PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>"Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message
>news:fukkh1tm5f9fk7jravcgbs160u8ces48ft@4ax.com...
>> On 3 Sep 2005 08:51:42 -0700, "Franko" <headboltusa@gmail.com> said in
>> rec.radio.scanner:

>> >I have noticed that my scanner has gone silent in Brown Deer Wi. ,

>> Maybe - what county?

>Not sure, but that last time I checked, WI (Wisconsin) was in the USA...

Still is, but there are at least 72 counties in the state, and I'm not
going to spend a few hours researching something for someone I don't
know, when he can tell me where he lives and I can get the information
he wants in a couple of minutes at the most.

3586. Re: BE AWARE OF PEOPLE AROUND YOU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-05 04:48:04 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <najnh11fusa0ldqe3kbniep1uqe15s75vj@4ax.com>
Source record: 12298

On Mon, 05 Sep 2005 10:26:00 +1000, Barry OGrady
<atheist.xxx@gmail.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>On Sun, 04 Sep 2005 05:31:55 GMT, Never anonymous Bud <newskat@katxyzkave.net> wrote:
>>Using a finger dipped in purple ink, "SteveJ" <SJ@comcast.net> scribed:
>>>BE CAREFUL!!! AND BE AWARE OF PEOPLE AROUND YOU!!

>>Racist asshole (YES, I realize that's redundant).

>Seems he is just being realistic.

BArry, since you probably know about as much about the US as a dingo
does, you wouldn't know "US realistic" if it jumped up and bit you.
Steve is a racist - that's realistic. Racists are a few levels below
asshole - that's realistic.

If Steve would let the color on his neck fade, and take the gun racks
off his pickup (the one with the gigantic rebel flag flying on a 10
foot mast mounted in the bed), he might be able to pass for
semi-intelligent. Until he opened his mouth.

3587. Re: Brown Deer , Wi.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-05 06:35:43 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <ZGRSe.2749$tc7.1750@fe03.lga>
Source record: 12299

"Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message
news:d6jnh11s8nv1ld67o4lvf49pmm17prqm0s@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 4 Sep 2005 14:06:40 -0500, "PowerHouse Communications"
> <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> said in rec.radio.scanner:
>
> >"Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message
> >news:fukkh1tm5f9fk7jravcgbs160u8ces48ft@4ax.com...
> >> On 3 Sep 2005 08:51:42 -0700, "Franko" <headboltusa@gmail.com> said in
> >> rec.radio.scanner:
>
> >> >I have noticed that my scanner has gone silent in Brown Deer Wi. ,
>
> >> Maybe - what county?
>
> >Not sure, but that last time I checked, WI (Wisconsin) was in the USA...
>
> Still is, but there are at least 72 counties in the state, and I'm not
> going to spend a few hours researching something for someone I don't
> know, when he can tell me where he lives and I can get the information
> he wants in a couple of minutes at the most.

My goof... I misread what you originally typed... Sorry...
Here, did the research to make up for it...
http://www.epodunk.com/cgi-bin/genInfo.php?locIndex=23817

3588. Toronto Air Show (CIAS) - Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-05 13:27:31 UTC
From: elconcho@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1125926851.050495.224390@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12300

Hi all,

I went to the Canadian International Airshow (CIAS) aka the Toronto Air Show yesterday and found that several of the frequencies posted around the internet were incorrect. Here's what I found:

Island TOWER 118.200
Island ARR/DEP 133.400
Boss Control: 119.200
Show Radar: 124.100
118.000
Pilot Commentary: 123.600
SnowBirds: 272.100

Metro Police marine units 861.1875 (fm)
Canadian Coast Guard Search & Rescue 157.125 (fm)
Air emergency 121.500
156.275 (fm)
Pearson Mil Air 234.800

The most interesting stuff was listening to Boss Control coordinate the show on 119.2. A plane would enter the show through that coordination then some would switch to 123.6 and talk to the croud. This commendary is piped through the P/A system at the CNE. When their performance was finished they'd exit on 119.2 then switch to 118.2 to get landing vectors to the Island airport, or directions to pierson, markham or buttonville.

The finale was the Snowbirds and it was truely awesome listening to them call their formations on 272.1.

Hope this helps someone.

3589. Four Long Days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-05 14:07:38 UTC
From: TNT <T@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <DOGdnaJYRd6yzIHeRVn-ug@comcast.com>
Source record: 12151

It has taken four long days for state and federal officials to figure
> out how to deal with the disaster in New Orleans. I can't blame them,
> because it has also taken me four long days to figure out what is going
> on there. The reason is that the events there make no sense if you think
> that we are confronting a natural disaster.
>
> If this is just a natural disaster, the response for public officials is
> obvious: you bring in food, water, and doctors; you send transportation
> to evacuate refugees to temporary shelters; you send engineers to stop
> the flooding and rebuild the city's infrastructure. For journalists,
> natural disasters also have a familiar pattern: the heroism of ordinary
> people pulling together to survive; the hard work and dedication of
> doctors, nurses, and rescue workers; the steps being taken to clean up
> and rebuild.
>
> Public officials did not expect that the first thing they would have to
> do is to send thousands of armed troops in armored vehicle, as if they
> are suppressing an enemy insurgency. And journalists--myself
> included--did not expect that the story would not be about rain, wind,
> and flooding, but about rape, murder, and looting.
>
> But this is not a natural disaster. It is a man-made disaster.
>
> The man-made disaster is not an inadequate or incompetent response by
> federal relief agencies, and it was not directly caused by Hurricane
> Katrina. This is where just about every newspaper and television channel
> has gotten the story wrong.
>
> The man-made disaster we are now witnessing in New Orleans did not
> happen over the past four days. It happened over the past four decades.
> Hurricane Katrina merely exposed it to public view.
>
> The man-made disaster is the welfare state.
>
> For the past few days, I have found the news from New Orleans to be
> confusing. People were not behaving as you would expect them to behave
> in an emergency--indeed; they were not behaving as they have behaved in
> other emergencies. That is what has shocked so many people: they have
> been saying that this is not what we expect from America. In fact, it is
> not even what we expect from a Third World country.
> When confronted with a disaster, people usually rise to the occasion.
> They work together to rescue people in danger, and they spontaneously
> organize to keep order and solve problems. This is especially true in
> America. We are an enterprising people, used to relying on our own

> initiative rather than waiting around for the government to take care of
> us. I have seen this a hundred times, in small examples (a small town
> whose main traffic light had gone out, causing ordinary citizens to get
> out of their cars and serve as impromptu traffic cops, directing cars
> through the intersection) and large ones (the spontaneous response of
> New Yorkers to September 11).
> So what explains the chaos in New Orleans?
>
> To give you an idea of the magnitude of what is going on, here is a
> description from a Washington Times story:
> "Storm victims are raped and beaten; fights erupt with flying fists,
> knives and guns; fires are breaking out; corpses litter the streets; and
> police and rescue helicopters are repeatedly fired on.
> "The plea from Mayor C. Ray Nagin came even as National Guardsmen poured
> in to restore order and stop the looting, carjackings and gunfire....
> "Last night, Gov. Kathleen Babineaux Blanco said 300 Iraq-hardened
> Arkansas National Guard members were inside New Orleans with
> shoot-to-kill orders.
> "'These troops are...under my orders to restore order in the streets,"
> she said. "They have M-16s, and they are locked and loaded. These troops
> know how to shoot and kill and they are more than willing to do so if
> necessary and I expect they will."
> The reference to Iraq is eerie. The photo that accompanies this article
> shows National Guard troops, with rifles and armored vests, riding on an
> armored vehicle through trash-strewn streets lined by a rabble of
> squalid, listless people, one of whom appears to be yelling at them. It
> looks exactly like a scene from Sadr City in Baghdad.
>
> What explains bands of thugs using a natural disaster as an excuse for
> an orgy of looting, armed robbery, and rape? What causes unruly mobs to
> storm the very buses that have arrived to evacuate them, causing the
> drivers to drive away, frightened for their lives? What causes people to
> attack the doctors trying to treat patients at the Super Dome?
>
> Why are people responding to natural destruction by causing further
> destruction? Why are they attacking the people who are trying to help
> them?
>
> My wife, Sherri, figured it out first, and she figured it out on a
> sense-of-life level. While watching the coverage last night on Fox News
> Channel, she told me that she was getting a familiar feeling. She
> studied architecture at the Illinois Institute of Chicago, which is
> located in the South Side of Chicago just blocks away from the Robert
> Taylor Homes, one of the largest high-rise public housing projects in
> America. "The projects," as they were known, were infamous for
> uncontrollable crime and irremediable squalor. (They have since,
> mercifully, been demolished.)
>
> What Sherri was getting from last night's television coverage was a
> whiff of the sense of life of "the projects." Then the "crawl"--the

> informational phrases flashed at the bottom of the screen on most news
> channels--gave some vital statistics to confirm this sense: 75% of the
> residents of New Orleans had already evacuated before the hurricane, and
> of the 300,000 or so who remained, a large number were from the city's
> public housing projects. Jack Wakeland then gave me an additional,
> crucial fact: early reports from CNN and Fox indicated that the city had
> no plan for evacuating all of the prisoners in the city's jails--so they
> just let many of them loose. There is no doubt a significant overlap
> between these two populations--that is, a large number of people in the
> jails used to live in the housing projects, and vice versa.
>
> There were many decent, innocent people trapped in New Orleans when the
> deluge hit--but they were trapped alongside large numbers of people from
> two groups: criminals--and wards of the welfare state, people selected,
> over decades, for their lack of initiative and self-induced
> helplessness. The welfare wards were a mass of sheep--on whom the
> incompetent administration of New Orleans unleashed a pack of wolves.
>
> All of this is related, incidentally, to the apparent incompetence of
> the city government, which failed to plan for a total evacuation of the
> city, despite the knowledge that this might be necessary. But in a city
> corrupted by the welfare state, the job of city officials is to ensure
> the flow of handouts to welfare recipients and patronage to political
> supporters--not to ensure a lawful, orderly evacuation in case of
> emergency.
>
> No one has really reported this story, as far as I can tell. In fact,
> some are already actively distorting it, blaming President Bush, for
> example, for failing to personally ensure that the Mayor of New Orleans
> had drafted an adequate evacuation plan. The worst example is an
> execrable piece from the Toronto Globe and Mail, by a supercilious
> Canadian who blames the chaos on American "individualism." But the truth
> is precisely the opposite: the chaos was caused by a system that was the
> exact opposite of individualism.
>
> What Hurricane Katrina exposed was the psychological consequences of the
> welfare state. What we consider "normal" behavior in an emergency is
> behavior that is normal for people who have values and take the
> responsibility to pursue and protect them. People with values respond to
> a disaster by fighting against it and doing whatever it takes to
> overcome the difficulties they face. They don't sit around and complain
> that the government hasn't taken care of them. They don't use the chaos
> of a disaster as an opportunity to prey on their fellow men.
>
> But what about criminals and welfare parasites? Do they worry about
> saving their houses and property? They don't, because they don't own
> anything. Do they worry about what is going to happen to their
> businesses or how they are going to make a living? They never worried
> about those things before. Do they worry about crime and looting? But
> living off of stolen wealth is a way of life for them.

>
> The welfare state--and the brutish, uncivilized mentality it sustains
> and encourages--is the man-made disaster that explains the moral
> ugliness that has swamped New Orleans. And that is the story that no one
> is reporting.

3590. Re: Brown Deer , Wi.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-05 16:00:22 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <cpqoh1l52lrkslijvu2kcvb0mgb3n1d7j1@4ax.com>
Source record: 12152

On Mon, 5 Sep 2005 01:35:43 -0500, "PowerHouse Communications"
<PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>My goof... I misread what you originally typed... Sorry...
>Here, did the research to make up for it...
>http://www.epodunk.com/cgi-bin/genInfo.php?locIndex=23817

The OP will probably appreciate that. There doesn't seem to be
anything listed for the village, but the county page on RR is at:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&ctid=3092

3591. Re: 10-codes losing popularity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-05 17:06:32 UTC
From: Robbie McFerren <robbie.mcferren@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <wM%Se.40$Av4.1137721@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 12153

They are somewhat common in my area of south central Pa at least for the PD.
I have noticed that they have their regular meaning (not sure about 10-10)
but the ones I noticed are 10-1 (Weak Signal) 10-4 (Afirmative) and 10-10
(Negative). I used to play around with CBs and have these codes in a book
somewhere.
"Paul Hirose" <iszintjv55@earINVALIDthlink.net> wrote in message
news:AVxMe.6436$Wi6.2603@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> The wheel seems to be coming full circle: plain language is gaining
> popularity over 10-codes in emergency communciations. The problem is
> that too many local 10-code dialects have developed over the years.
> They can cause misunderstandings when multiple agencies work together
> in a major incident.
>
> In my area of California the sheriff departments use 10-codes but the
> fire departments don't. I have no idea how long this state of affairs
> has prevailed, since I only got into the scanning hobby last summer.
>
> http://www.dailynews.com/Stories/0,1413,200%257E20954%257E3008975,00.html
>
> --
> Paul Hirose <iszintjv55@earINVALIDthlink.net>
> To reply by email remove INVALID
>

3592. Re: Pro-34 opinions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-05 18:19:00 UTC
From: krackula <krackula@i.am>
Message-ID: <4m1ph153sui11bfmbfq93e7mtiuptrkveu@4ax.com>
Source record: 12114

On Sat, 10 Sep 2005 11:30:06 GMT, Robert@.com wrote:

>On Sun, 28 Aug 2005 08:49:52 -0700, krackula <krackula@i.am> wrote:
>
>What is your source for the claim that the FCC is going eliminate
>analog 800 MHz cellular usage? I want to follow-up on it. Thanks.

try this site at the bottom of the page

http://www.privateline.com/Cellbasics/Cellbasics.html

or read the FCC document here ......
http://www.privateline.com/Cellbasics/FCCanalogcellular.doc

or ....
http://www.heavydutytrucking.com/2002/11/068a0211.asp

if you have an analog cellfone, after late 2007 , there will be
NO requirement for service providers to keep it alive. all big
metro providers need the BW for their more popular and profitable
digital phones. probably , in out lying areas and from small
providers, analog service will last a bit longer as they will
be slow to buy new equipment. it was a blast while it lasted,
but the " fat lady " is singing loud and clear !!!! ;^)

hope you don't have " onstar " ha hahah aha ha a

k..............

3593. Who is OMAHA FOUR THREE - FOUR FOUR - NOLA area ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-05 20:20:11 UTC
From: Lumpy <lumpy@digitalcartography.com>
Message-ID: <3o3nkaF3vt69U1@individual.net>
Source record: 12154

Hearing air controllers directing aircraft
(mil and civ) around New Orleans. Controllers
are OMAHA 43 and OMAHA 44.

Who/where are these controller stations?

tnx - n0eq

3594. Re: 10-codes losing popularity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-05 20:31:57 UTC
From: Lumpy <lumpy@digitalcartography.com>
Message-ID: <3o3oacF42u1tU1@individual.net>
Source record: 12155

Robbie McFerren wrote:
> They are somewhat common in my area of south central Pa at least
> for the PD. I have noticed that they have their regular meaning
> (not sure about 10-10) but the ones I noticed are 10-1 (Weak
> Signal) 10-4 (Afirmative) and 10-10 (Negative)...

10-4 is the only one that I've ever seen
universally mean the same thing.

Variants I've seen
10-1:
- Relay this traffic "10-1 this info to the field supervisor"
- Enroute to hosp "Medic 2 10-1 to St Anthony's"
- Are you ok status request "ADAM SIX 10-1?"
- Sober "This subject is 10-1"

10-10:
- Lunch "Unit 9 10-10 at Wendy's"
- Paperwork "Pick up your 10-10's at the jail"
- Arriving on scene "Medic ONE is 10-10 the accident scene"
- Your call cancelled "Medic TWO you have been 10-10'd"

n0eq Lumpy

3595. Re: Who is OMAHA FOUR THREE - FOUR FOUR - NOLA area ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-05 21:01:37 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <vfcph15daqbvccmhjf36nrgb436d0ln0gj@4ax.com>
Source record: 12156

On Mon, 5 Sep 2005 13:20:11 -0700, "Lumpy"
<lumpy@digitalcartography.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>Hearing air controllers directing aircraft
>(mil and civ) around New Orleans. Controllers
>are OMAHA 43 and OMAHA 44.

>Who/where are these controller stations?

Omaha ## are US Customs aircraft.

3596. WTB: Icom R8500 Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-05 21:24:59 UTC
From: ritchi50@optonline.net
Message-ID: <3tdph19fromaa00dhlb0imunn2ecoglmts@4ax.com>
Source record: 12157

```
WTB: Icom R8500 Receiver
Please leave price and condition
through email or call me at 973-857-1195 NJ
Thanks Rich
```

3597. My scanner don't work no more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-06 02:42:13 UTC
From: Jesse <1@2.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96C8E6F63A531ewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 12158

Why ?

3598. Re: My scanner don't work no more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-06 02:51:21 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <111qh1hfgdn8hettkf7p5720fdtmq7jsfu@4ax.com>
Source record: 12159

On Tue, 06 Sep 2005 02:42:13 GMT, Jesse <1@2.com> said in
rec.radio.scanner:

>Why ?

What did you do?

3599. Will Everthing Go Digital? The future of SWL/scanning.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-06 03:25:00 UTC
From: sean <seaningram@tds.net>
Message-ID: <431d0a98_3@newspeer2.tds.net>
Source record: 12160

Hello, all :)
Name here is Sean, KD4ADV
QTH is Amelia County, Virginia 23002 , USA

Besides public safety,
what else is planned for the transition to digital?

(here's where I start to step up on my "Pelican Box"
-this is only for an impromptu and speaking my beef)

I hope Billy Tauzin and Ed Markey don't start their whining crap again.
Politicians!
I didn't vote for "them" to protect my scanner rights and privileges so that they could ban scanners from not only me, but from Public Safety groups as well.

I hope they're doing other things like working at walmart or something where they can stay out of the way of the American Citizens who take great interest in their enjoyment of their hobby of scanning, SWL, and Amateur Radio aspects.

If a politician in your area is planning on banning the use of scanners all together --- don't vote for that person and let them know that in a non-violent way, yet express your position and what will happen when scanners go the way of the Do-Do Bird!

I bought my first Bearcat BC-100 from BEST Products in Richmond, VA across from the Cloverleaf Mall.

I saved money over the years and bought the Pro - 2052 in 2000 and this year it went bye-bye electronically!

I want to invest on a good digital scanner, yet I am awaiting the 1st phase of the Virginia State Police STARS to take effect, yet I want to keep listening to the non-digital acitivity like :

The VHF band
Maritime
Railroad
Air - AM
and some of the existing analog Public Safety VHF Low/High Band.

UHF -
GMRS/ FRS
Military Air - AM
(I hate those scanners that only allow a small portion to be heard)
It's supposed to be 225 - 399.9995 MHz

The Bearcat BC-3000 is a good hand-held scanner because you can select which mode you want to program instead of the pre-programmed firmware(CPU / diode matrix) inside deciding for you.

I also like the scanners that go from 25 MHz to 1.3 GHz (excluding the cellular - i don't care 'bout that area.).

Some existing analog Public Safety.

Amateur 73cm band ("440"- band)

Is there anything that can be heard between 54 MHz and 87.7 MHz?

Look at all that has happened in Central New Orleans, LA and Hattiesburg, MISS.
Those that are not even near that area can monitor activity on receivers that have SSB and can monitor the ARES and RACES and other Emergency Amateur stations as well as military patrols and rescues and such.

I'm tired of seeing repeated photos on tv - there's no current photos - just "file - footage" of days during the Hurricane.

So, Americans have the Absolute Right to know how things in Louisiana are going more than they will hear from repeated reports on Headline News or CNN!
(cause they repeat that stuff over and over!)

No, I' m not badmouthing -- I'm just stating that there is an alternative to TV broadcasts and satellite remotes.
It's called shortwave, amateur radio and scanner.

Yes, not everyone knows/understands the purpose of Amateur Radio.
Nor can everyone own or afford such equipment unless they are licensed nor even have a receiver with multi-modes and 1000 channels so they can keep tabs on outside locations.

I would love to see ALL Automobiles / Trucks equipped with radios that can receive more than just the FM and outside the AM standard broadcasts and the Satellite radio stuff.
Shortwave begins on 1.8 and stops at 29.9995 MHz

Technology should be able to fabricate an antenna that will receive these frequencies without the need for a long-ass antenna hanging on their vehicle.

And that should have to cost the car or truck buyer a damn thing extra.

Speaking of cars.
What vehicle should be the Next "KITT 2K6"?

Imagine KITT, KARR, or *KIFT*, if he had the ability to receive digital trunked systems and display them on his screen.
Of course trunking was not heard of or thought of back in1982.
(*Knight Industries Four Thousand*)

Ok, I am through with my impromptu and speaking my beef.

Tnx,
Sean

3600. Re: My scanner don't work no more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-06 05:50:09 UTC
From: Southern Kiwi <southern_kiwi@*spamsucks*hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <431d2e21$1@clear.net.nz>
Source record: 12161

Jesse wrote:
> Why ?

.....because you turned it off?

--
Cheers
Southern Kiwi
southern_kiwi@*spamsucks*hotmail.com
Word of wisdom from high in the mountains....you know...like a
Guru...but not as old....or mystic.....or wise....or high... :)

3601. Re: My scanner don't work no more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-06 07:36:52 UTC
From: Lumpy <lumpy@digitalcartography.com>
Message-ID: <3o4v99F487k5U1@individual.net>
Source record: 12162

Jesse wrote:
> Why ?

Operating in buildings or neighborhoods with
extremely high impedances will gradually cause
the flux capacitor to increase in predominance.
A predominant flux capacitor loses it's gratuity
and can quickly cause the IM overput to granularize.
If you catch it early enough it can be repaired
by illumination solder applied to the reductor
contacts. But if it's gone on too long the
radio will no longer receive it's intended
frequencies. You can still use it as an
"Ocean Wave Sound" generator. Turn the
squelch all the way off and vary the
volume control to your liking. A Yagoplane
antenna will probably allow you to get the
best ocean effect.

Lump n0eq

3602. Re: My scanner don't work no more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-06 12:41:18 UTC
From: Wayne Howell <whowell@gensearch.com>
Message-ID: <n4GdneosEO_2E4DenZ2dnUVZ_tGdnZ2d@cablespeedwa.com>
Source record: 12163

Lumpy wrote:

>
>
> Operating in buildings or neighborhoods with
> extremely high impedances will gradually cause
> the flux capacitor to increase in predominance.
> A predominant flux capacitor loses it's gratuity
> and can quickly cause the IM overput to granularize.
> If you catch it early enough it can be repaired
> by illumination solder applied to the reductor
> contacts. But if it's gone on too long the
> radio will no longer receive it's intended
> frequencies. You can still use it as an
> "Ocean Wave Sound" generator. Turn the
> squelch all the way off and vary the
> volume control to your liking. A Yagoplane
> antenna will probably allow you to get the
> best ocean effect.
>
>
> Lump n0eq
>
>

Thank, Lumpy! You confirmed exactly what I had suspected had happened
to mine several weeks ago. Unfortunately, Radio Shack advised me that
this particular problem wasn't covered under their warranty....after
they finished looking strangely at me as I explained it to them.

I attached a reciprocating cam arm to the volume control so that the
sound changes rhythmically changes, thus greatly maximizing the wave
sound effect. But now I find that I wake up periodically.....?
I haven't figured that out yet.

3603. Re: BE AWARE OF PEOPLE AROUND YOU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-06 18:26:06 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <431DDF3E.E19347FB@milestones.com>
Source record: 12164

Barry OGrady wrote:

> On Sun, 04 Sep 2005 05:31:55 GMT, Never anonymous Bud <newskat@katxyzkave.net> wrote:
>
> >Using a finger dipped in purple ink, "SteveJ" <SJ@comcast.net> scribed:
> >>BE CAREFUL!!! AND BE AWARE OF PEOPLE AROUND YOU!!
> > >
> >Racist asshole (YES, I realize that's redundant).
>
> Seems he is just being realistic.

Yes, but being realistic causes the left-wing shitstains to go ballistic.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3604. Introduction - hello

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-06 21:10:21 UTC
From: Buther Boy <me@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <ma1sh1ddb1t2utkpp62g58jblp50qg1957@4ax.com>
Source record: 12165

Hi,

I'm an avid scanner listener, and I was wondering what kind of
listening schedules everyone keeps. (I keep it on 24 hours and
whenever I'm nearby, I TRY and pay attention.)

Buther Boy

--
Remove the word Spam from my e-mail to contact me...
http://www.PrivacySig.com/SIGbutherboySpam-gmail-black.png
~

3605. Fraudulent Websites for Hurricane Katrina Victims

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-07 00:16:00 UTC
From: John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com>
Message-ID: <u0csh1d8vr0gds729t4j9ei9pcsi4mqj5b@4ax.com>
Source record: 12166
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Please be aware:

NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF CYBER SECURITY AND CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE
COORDINATION CYBER INFORMATION BULLETIN
DATE ISSUED:
September 1, 2005

SUBJECT:
Fraudulent Websites for Hurricane Katrina Victims

OVERVIEW:
We have received information indicating that Internet domain names are
being created that could be used to lure unwary users into visiting
potentially malicious web sites.

BULLETIN:
Relief and charity efforts for the victims of Hurricane Katrina began
immediately after the hurricane devastated the Gulf Coast area.
Shortly
thereafter, web sites began to appear which were designed to defraud
unsuspecting users. Some of the activities include soliciting
donations
for seemingly charitable purposes, attempting to collect personal
information through phishing scams and also spreading malware to
unsuspecting users. Over the past few days, domain names that redirect
users to malicious web sites have appeared online, in addition to
email
scams requesting donations for those impacted by the hurricane. While
some of these sites and messages may be legitimate, many are not. At
the
time of this bulletin, please be aware that the following domains are
reported to be suspicious:

katrinahelp.com
katrinacleanup.com
katrinarelief.com.

Please note that this is not an exhaustive list and additional domains
may continue to appear.

In addition to fraudulent web sites, opportunists may use this event
as
a vehicle for other types of online attacks. For example, email
messages that claim to contain attachments with photos, video, or
other

information about Hurricane Katrina may actually contain viruses,
worms,
or other malware.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

We recommend that staff be advised to:

* Validate the relief fund or charity through a known reliable
entity. Please refer to the FEMA link below for a list of reputable
disaster relief resources for Hurricane Katrina.
* When a message containing a request for donations for these
victims appears, do not respond unless you are certain it is a valid
message.
* Avoid visiting untrusted web sites.
* Avoid opening email messages and attachments that claim to
contain video, photos, or other information relating to relief
solicitation for Hurricane Katrina.
* Follow standard best practices for email and web browsing
security.

REFERENCES:

SANS:
http://isc.sans.org/diary.php?date=2005-08-31
<http://isc.sans.org/diary.php?date=2005-08-31>

Washington Post:
http://blogs.washingtonpost.com/securityfix/2005/08/katrina_phishin.html
<http://blogs.washingtonpost.com/securityfix/2005/08/katrina_phishin.htm
l>

Better Business Bureau:
http://www.give.org/news/disaster_pr.asp
<http://www.give.org/news/disaster_pr.asp>

Federal Emergency Management Agency:
http://www.fema.gov/press/2005/resources_katrina.shtm
<http://www.fema.gov/press/2005/resources_katrina.shtm>

NYS Cyber Security & Critical Infrastructure Coordination
30 South Pearl Street, Suite P2
Albany, NY 12207
(518) 474-0865
7x24 CSAC 1-866-787-4722

CSCIC PGP Public Keys are available at:
http://www.cscic.state.ny.us/security/incident_reporting/public_keys/ind
ex.htm
<http://www.cscic.state.ny.us/security/incident_reporting/public_keys/in
dex.htm>

3606. Re: Pro-34 opinions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-07 02:41:12 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <rmksh11uiv5a2lk6uei13efjsabn2rqb8a@4ax.com>
Source record: 12167

On Tue, 06 Sep 2005 23:00:10 +1000, Barry OGrady
<atheist.xxx@gmail.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>The Pro-34 has a sensitive receiver but it overloads easily.

That seems to be a trademark of GRE.

>Also, it has almost no image rejection.

10.7 MHz IF - so the lack of image rejection above 107 MHz isn't
surprising. (10:1, which is one reason for multiple conversion
receivers - it's difficult to get narrow bandwidth with a 90 MHz IF.)

3607. Re: BE AWARE OF PEOPLE AROUND YOU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-07 02:41:58 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <tqksh190os7afnqrh9lamn6712j0c7u6u3@4ax.com>
Source record: 12168

On Tue, 06 Sep 2005 14:26:06 -0400, dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> said
in rec.radio.scanner:

>Yes, but being realistic causes the left-wing shitstains to go ballistic.

Nice example of realism.

<plonk>

3608. FS: BC 780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-07 04:13:15 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <431e68b9.27151501@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 12169

```
Fos Sale:
Uniden BC 780XLT in mint condition in box
$225
PayPal to confirmed address.
```

3609. Re: My scanner don't work no more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-07 05:11:02 UTC
From: Ken <ktm@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <431E7666.6040406@frontiernet.net>
Source record: 12170

Wayne Howell wrote:
> Lumpy wrote:
>
>>
>>
>> Operating in buildings or neighborhoods with
>> extremely high impedances will gradually cause
>> the flux capacitor to increase in predominance.
>> A predominant flux capacitor loses it's gratuity
>> and can quickly cause the IM overput to granularize.
>> If you catch it early enough it can be repaired
>> by illumination solder applied to the reductor
>> contacts. But if it's gone on too long the
>> radio will no longer receive it's intended
>> frequencies. You can still use it as an
>> "Ocean Wave Sound" generator. Turn the
>> squelch all the way off and vary the
>> volume control to your liking. A Yagoplane
>> antenna will probably allow you to get the
>> best ocean effect.
>>
>>
>> Lump n0eq
>>
>>
> Thank, Lumpy! You confirmed exactly what I had suspected had happened
> to mine several weeks ago. Unfortunately, Radio Shack advised me that
> this particular problem wasn't covered under their warranty....after
> they finished looking strangely at me as I explained it to them.
>
> I attached a reciprocating cam arm to the volume control so that the
> sound changes rhythmically changes, thus greatly maximizing the wave
> sound effect. But now I find that I wake up periodically.....?
> I haven't figured that out yet.

What time are you waking up?
Is it the same time, everytime?

3610. Javiation?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-07 11:18:19 UTC
From: rljames <rljames@netacc.net>
Message-ID: <1126091899.504424.198320@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12171

Anyone know if Javiation is still in business? The webSite is still up
but at least one posted price appears somewhat outdated and a recent
online order seems ignored and eMails go without reply...

Several years ago my business with Jonathan went relatively well and it
would be disappointing if things have changed for the worse.

Thanx,
Bob

3611. Re: My scanner don't work no more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-07 12:22:01 UTC
From: HotShot <MyShotIsHot@HotShotShootsSpam.Com>
Message-ID: <JXATe.14492$I02.706348@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 12172

I'd say, keep it in the position that it looks like to you. I could only assume that it's the "OFF" position.

Don't worry though, you don't need to know what your neighbour's are talking about on there cordless phones. It would only upset you and your family.

By the way, from what my friends say, if I only program in the frequency 26.000 mhz in all my channels, that having a smart radio, it'll already know what I want to listen to, so it should be smart enough to pick them up on 26.000 mhz. The way I see it, I don't need any more than 10 channels. I should have a few spare ones at that. What would u suggest I put into those. Because the radio is so smart, the instruction book tells me it only has one priority channel because it is so smart, it'll let me know what's on any channel whenever something might come through.

I tried the frequency of 26.000 mhz but came up with nothing.

Another friend of mine (over in the subsidized part of the village) on the cb radio portable I have told me that I could use the frequency 31.000 mhz, and it should be just as smart. So, being that I don't know much about scanners I tried that one too. But the scanner was so smart, that it outsmarted me, and did the same thing that 26.000 mhz did.

Guess I'll have to by another scanner soon. Which model would u consider? I may have to get a portable though. I hear I can get much closer to the signal if I have one of them.

3612. Re: new drink?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-07 14:14:40 UTC
From: Herbert Khaury <tiptoe@tulip.me>
Message-ID: <v6adnZ2dnZ0o4bvanZ2dnU1og96dnZ2dRVn-zp2dnZ0@comcast.com>
Source record: 12173
Cross-posted to: alt.bible.prophecy,alt.religion.christian.baptist,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

How do you make a dead looter float?

First you get a tall glass, add two scoops of dead looter and 8 oz of cold milk, top it off with whipped cream and a cherry.

--

"lloyd the toide" <flushhhhhh@yahooblows.com> wrote in message news:cc0b9$4317dab4$d1cc7c3d$16727@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> From the Chicago Sun-Times:
>
> http://www.suntimes.com/output/feder/cst-fin-feder01.html
>
> On [Dahl's] (105.9) afternoon show Tuesday, he mused about creating a drink
> inspired by
> reports of "floating corpses" in New Orleans. And on his Web log Wednesday,
> he elaborated.
[...]

3613. Re: Introduction - hello

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-07 20:07:47 UTC
From: burgessfred33@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1126123667.854553.300420@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12174

Hello, welcome to the group. I vary my listening times during the day,
I listen an average of 8 hours a day, and vary what I monitor, as not
to get bored, and to try different frequencies.
I'm kinda curious of where you live and what scanner you use. I live
in Calgary, Alberta, Canada and have an oldie but goodie Pro - 25.
Fred Burgess
Buther Boy wrote:
> Hi,
>
> I'm an avid scanner listener, and I was wondering what kind of
> listening schedules everyone keeps. (I keep it on 24 hours and
> whenever I'm nearby, I TRY and pay attention.)
>
> Buther Boy
>
> --
> Remove the word Spam from my e-mail to contact me...
> http://www.PrivacySig.com/SIGbutherboySpam-gmail-black.png
> ~

3614. Re: Will Everthing Go Digital? The future of SWL/scanning.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-07 20:19:34 UTC
From: burgessfred33@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1126124374.755194.304190@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12175

I think more and more services will go digital and trunked. Even our local Canadian Pacific Railway police went to Apco 25. But I do not think scanning will die, as digital scanners get cheaper and the restrictions on them will not continue. I agree that scanning and shortwave radio is an excellent alternative to the TV, am/fm radio, satellite and even newspapers. I know a little bit about amateur radio, and they can be very helpfull in emergency situations, as well as being a life line in areas where their is no other means of communicating.
Fred Burgess

3615. Re: new drink?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-07 21:18:16 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050907171816.843$lz@newsreader.com>
Source record: 12176
Cross-posted to: alt.bible.prophecy,alt.religion.christian.baptist,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Herbert Khaury" <tiptoe@tulip.me> wrote:
> How do you make a dead looter float?
>
Send it to the Bijou.

3616. Re: FS: PRO-2004 Best Offer!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-08 00:41:48 UTC
From: HECFOP <HECFOP.1v0spj@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <HECFOP.1v0spj@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 12178

Frank Wrote:
> Excellent condition. Bright backlight. Has the cellular modification,
> scan/search speed increase, tighter squelch, and extra 100 channels.
> Original box and manual. I will include a external 12VDC adapter I use
> to
> eliminlate heat inside the radio.
>
> Price......Best offer!
hello frank.i live in nyc.and i have $100.00 plus shipping to nyc. if
you still have it let me know what you think.thanks for your time.

--
HECFOP

3617. Re: FS: BC 780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-08 00:44:16 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <431f8956.23534500@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 12177

Scanner is sold
On Wed, 07 Sep 2005 04:13:15 GMT, scosgt@worldnet.att.net (Bruce
Markowitz) wrote:

>Fos Sale:
>Uniden BC 780XLT in mint condition in box
>$225
>PayPal to confirmed address.

3618. Radio Scanners For Computers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-08 08:06:13 UTC
From: Paul Maskell <paul@maskell17.plus.com>
Message-ID: <431ff108$0$1301$ed2619ec@ptn-nntp-reader02.plus.net>
Source record: 12179
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Hello,

I would like to buy a radio scanner that fits inside my PC.
Does anyone know if these type of scanners are still aviable to buy?
Thanks.

3619. Re: Radio Scanners For Computers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-08 08:31:38 UTC
From: Ken Ward <ken.g1itv@MTLworld.com>
Message-ID: <KFSTe.4607$zw1.3346@newsfe2-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 12180
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

"Paul Maskell" <paul@maskell17.plus.com> wrote in message
news:431ff108$0$1301$ed2619ec@ptn-nntp-reader02.plus.net...
> Hello,
>
> I would like to buy a radio scanner that fits inside my PC.
> Does anyone know if these type of scanners are still aviable to buy?
> Thanks.
Search for "WinRadio"

or go to..

http://www.broadercasting.com/home/comparison.htm

KW

3620. Re: PerCon WTF?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-08 14:32:23 UTC
From: That Other Guy <spam@ftc.gov>
Message-ID: <Xns96CB6C0063D30nottodaycom@130.81.64.196>
Source record: 12181
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"D. Brown" <dvbrown5@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:8vadnZ2dnZ28fuqbnZ2dnSd4ld6dnZ2dRVn-yZ2dnZ0@comcast.com:

> <xxnonexnonexx@tampascanner.info> wrote in message
> news:<dgueg19q2s1bh4t2cc7ssp8ddci59qsnj7@4ax.com>...
>> On Fri, 19 Aug 2005 13:36:11 -0400, "D. Brown" <dvbrown5@yahoo.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >I subscribed to Spectrum online last Tues and have not received an
>> >ID or password yet. I've sent them a couple of emails, no reply so
>> >far and I even
>> >called their support number, all I get is voice mail. At least they
>> >haven't
>> >charged my credit card yet.
>> >
>> >Does anyone know what the deal is? I've always heard good things
>> >about them,
>> >I'm not too impressed thus far.
>> >
>>
>> They went down hill after they got their government contract to
>> supply various
>> databases to various agencies. Right after they got that the Spectrum
>> CD was
>> killed and only the Pro DB remained. Thank fully others have stepped
>> in to supply a similar product at the same price point for those not
>> needing the Pro
>> DB.
>
> Who else offers a freq database?
>
> I already have police call IMO, other than public safety there's not
> much else on the CD or in the book that is very interesting.
>
>
>

You can get the complete FCC Licensing Division Master Database from the
folks at http://mysite.verizon.net/moment_1/scannertesting/contact.htm
it is a frequency database covering ALL 50 US states plus all US
territories, so everything that has an FCC license is on it.

That Other Guy

3621. Re: PerCon WTF?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-08 14:37:22 UTC
From: That Other Guy <spam@ftc.gov>
Message-ID: <Xns96CB6CD919904nottodaycom@130.81.64.196>
Source record: 12182
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

xxnonexnonexx@tampascanner.info wrote in
news:uvomg1d4m05menfb8mn3vl0k3kn09s7q0o@4ax.com:

> On Sun, 21 Aug 2005 16:36:08 -0400, "D. Brown" <dvbrown5@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>
>>Who else offers a freq database?
>>
>>I already have police call IMO, other than public safety there's not
>>much else on the CD or in the book that is very interesting.
>
> Police Call was good in its day, its day has past written and CD.
>
> For a spectrum like product I suggest you look at:
>
> http://www.fccdata.com
>
> This CD is all license data EXCEPT nexhell, which you don't need any
> way, BUT it does require a little effort to use on the end users part.
> Either a version of Dbase or follow the on CD instructions to create a
> Percon Spectrum compatible version or mslop access file.
>
> Some times this shows up on EBay as well and you can get it at quite a
> savings.
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/SUMMER-2005-FCC-SCANNER-FREQUENCY-DATABASE-CD-POLIC
> E_W0QQitemZ5800514524QQcategoryZ296QQssPageNameZWDVWQQrdZ1QQcmdZViewIte
> m
>
>
> ----
> Support your LOCAL scanner frequency site and groups!
> http://www.wpascanner.com http://www.tampascanner.info
> --
> http://www.gulfcoastdish.net
>
> ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
> News==---- http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the
> World! 120,000+ Newsgroups ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms -
> Total Privacy via Encryption =----
>

wow $30.00 plus $6.00 shipping?? take a left leg and both nuts why don't
you!!

The guys at http://mysite.verizon.net/moment_1/scannertesting/contact.htm only want $15.00 plus shipping $5.00 to anywhere in the USA. And there database was updated August 1, 2005 When was the last update on this Summer database??

That Other Guy

3622. Re: PerCon WTF?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-08 14:42:09 UTC
From: That Other Guy <spam@ftc.gov>
Message-ID: <Xns96CB6DA8A706Bnottodaycom@130.81.64.196>
Source record: 12183
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

xxnonexnonexx@tampascanner.info wrote in
news:5capg195pp2rtsl2ijtghh86k26psro3ed@4ax.com:

> On Tue, 23 Aug 2005 22:55:40 -0400, "D. Brown" <dvbrown5@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>
>>
>>$19-$30 is definitely within my budget. I know I could've found much
>>of this on the FCC site, but getting it into a comprehensive format
>>would've been a real chore.
>
> I've pulled daily FCC license transactions for years and manually go
> through them as I simply don't have the time to write something that
> would automate this process other than its daily down load. I can
> search thru the file pretty quick for the areas of interest and move
> on.
>
> Theres tons of other data on there if it were my full time job to be
> able to deal with I could do some things with. Others have done some
> great work with scripts or programs like the Grants groups on Yahoo
> and the freqofnature site http://66.116.100.15/grants/

Yup tons of info on that page!! 1 out of date frequ and it would not give
me anything in my county, yup tons of stuff. "NOT"

That Other Guy

3623. Re: PerCon WTF?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-08 21:27:05 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <t02Ue.7062$tc7.140@fe03.lga>
Source record: 12184
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

> You can get the complete FCC Licensing Division Master Database from the
> folks at http://XXXX.verizon.net/moment_1/scannertesting/contact.htm
> it is a frequency database covering ALL 50 US states plus all US
> territories, so everything that has an FCC license is on it.
>

Way to SPAM for your own website...
Make it sound like a recommendation instead of what it really is... SPAM...

3624. Re: PerCon WTF?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-08 21:27:27 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <P02Ue.7063$tc7.1797@fe03.lga>
Source record: 12185
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"That Other Guy" <spam@ftc.gov> wrote in message
news:Xns96CB6CD919904nottodaycom@130.81.64.196...
>
> wow $30.00 plus $6.00 shipping?? take a left leg and both nuts why don't
> you!!
> The guys at http://mysite.verizon.net/moment_1/scannertesting/contact.htm
> only want $15.00 plus shipping $5.00 to anywhere in the USA. And there
> database was updated August 1, 2005 When was the last update on this
> Summer database??
>

Way to SPAM for your own website...
Make it sound like a recommendation instead of what it really is... SPAM...

3625. Re: PerCon WTF?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-08 21:34:13 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <a72Ue.7064$tc7.508@fe03.lga>
Source record: 12186
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"That Other Guy" <spam@ftc.gov> wrote in message
news:Xns96CB6DA8A706Bnottodaycom@130.81.64.196...
> xxnonexnonexx@tampascanner.info wrote in
> news:5capg195pp2rtsl2ijtghh86k26psro3ed@4ax.com:
> > Theres tons of other data on there if it were my full time job to be
> > able to deal with I could do some things with. Others have done some
> > great work with scripts or programs like the Grants groups on Yahoo
> > and the freqofnature site http://66.116.100.15/grants/
>
> Yup tons of info on that page!! 1 out of date frequ and it would not give
> me anything in my county, yup tons of stuff. "NOT"
>

If you weren't half stupid, you'd grasp the concept that the site is for searching for RECENT CHANGES ONLY. It's not a complete database...

Considering the data in the search is all NEW data that was published on the FCC site between 8/9/05 and 8/14/05, I'd guess that it's FAR from being "out of date," as you claim. I'd actually have to say that it's probably MORE IN-DATE than your 6 month old Database CD you trying to sell illegal copies of...

3626. Re: Pro-34 opinions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-08 23:46:38 UTC
From: Steve Stone <spfleck@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.1d8a97617fbbe5f49896c3@news.citlink.net>
Source record: 12187

In article <rmksh11uiv5a2lk6uei13efjsabn2rqb8a@4ax.com>,
rukbat@invalid.net says...
> On Tue, 06 Sep 2005 23:00:10 +1000, Barry OGrady
> <atheist.xxx@gmail.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:
>
> >The Pro-34 has a sensitive receiver but it overloads easily.
>
> That seems to be a trademark of GRE.
>
> >Also, it has almost no image rejection.
>
> 10.7 MHz IF - so the lack of image rejection above 107 MHz isn't
> surprising. (10:1, which is one reason for multiple conversion
> receivers - it's difficult to get narrow bandwidth with a 90 MHz IF.)
>

My BC-796D overloads more often then my Pro-34.
My Pro-2005 never seems to overload.

Steve

3627. Re: PerCon WTF?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-09 00:10:49 UTC
From: That Other Guy <spam@ftc.gov>
Message-ID: <Xns96CBCE1314719nottodaycom@130.81.64.196>
Source record: 12188
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"PowerHouse Communications" <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote in
news:P02Ue.7063$tc7.1797@fe03.lga:

> "That Other Guy" <spam@ftc.gov> wrote in message
> news:Xns96CB6CD919904nottodaycom@130.81.64.196...
>>
>> wow $30.00 plus $6.00 shipping?? take a left leg and both nuts why
>> don't you!!
>> The guys at
>> http://mysite.verizon.net/moment_1/scannertesting/contact.htm only
>> want $15.00 plus shipping $5.00 to anywhere in the USA. And there
>> database was updated August 1, 2005 When was the last update on this
>> Summer database??
>>
>
> Way to SPAM for your own website...
> Make it sound like a recommendation instead of what it really is...
> SPAM...
>
Well if you would take your head out of your ass and read his post, i'e'

>>Who else offers a freq database?

You would know that I was pointing him to the guys at Scannertesting.com
for his answer.. And yes you still look stupid with your dumb ass spam
answers. And yes they still sell the FCC database for $15.00 :-)

That Other Guy

3628. Re: Pro-34 memory retention problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-09 03:02:59 UTC
From: nicadflyer <nicadflyer@aol.com>
Message-ID: <057d31e36efcd48f074b62fe3c479f4e@localhost.talkaboutelectronicequipment.com>
Source record: 12189

There are two sets of batteries for this scanner. the "memory" batteries are under the six AA batts and their removable holder. Just lift the cover and replace them. I can't remember the battery #'s but it should not be to hard to find them.

Paul

3629. Re: Pro-34 opinions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-09 03:10:53 UTC
From: BDK <kingratay@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1d8ac744fd990e73989aad@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 12190

In article <MPG.1d8a97617fbbe5f49896c3@news.citlink.net>,
spfleck@citlink.net says...
> In article <rmksh11uiv5a2lk6uei13efjsabn2rqb8a@4ax.com>,
> rukbat@invalid.net says...
> > On Tue, 06 Sep 2005 23:00:10 +1000, Barry OGrady
> > <atheist.xxx@gmail.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:
> >
> > >The Pro-34 has a sensitive receiver but it overloads easily.
> >
> > That seems to be a trademark of GRE.
> >
> > >Also, it has almost no image rejection.
> >
> > 10.7 MHz IF - so the lack of image rejection above 107 MHz isn't
> > surprising. (10:1, which is one reason for multiple conversion
> > receivers - it's difficult to get narrow bandwidth with a 90 MHz IF.)
> >
>
> My BC-796D overloads more often then my Pro-34.
> My Pro-2005 never seems to overload.
>
> Steve
>

I didn't reply to the claim a 34 overloads easily, but I might as well chime in now. The Pro 34 I had and 37 too, had very little overload problems. My 2004 and 2005 are pretty much bulletproof. My BC9000 overloads like crazy from pagers and the really close PD tower that is full quieting, and beyond, without any antenna at all. All the Uniden scanners and Uniden built RS scanners I've had have had overload problems, very few GRE made radios ever have.

I buy both and give them a shot, but the GRE stuff more often stays. The lack of Uniden quality control makes me leery too. They seem to forget to solder stuff a lot.

BDK

3630. Re: Pro-34 memory retention problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-09 04:30:15 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com>
Message-ID: <rd8Ue.9203$_84.546@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 12191

My PRO-34 doesn't have these "memory" batteries. ONLY the 6 batteries in
the holder...

"nicadflyer" <nicadflyer@aol.com> wrote in message
news:057d31e36efcd48f074b62fe3c479f4e@localhost.talkaboutelectronicequipment
.com...
> There are two sets of batteries for this scanner. the "memory" batteries
> are under the six AA batts and their removable holder. Just lift the cover
> and replace them. I can't remember the battery #'s but it should not be
> to hard to find them.
>
> Paul
>

3631. Re: PerCon WTF?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-09 05:24:52 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <c372i114rnkr7ukq9lb8a63hfmevdpu430@4ax.com>
Source record: 12192
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 09 Sep 2005 00:10:49 GMT, That Other Guy <spam@ftc.gov> said
in alt.radio.scanner:

>You would know that I was pointing him to the guys at Scannertesting.com
>for his answer.. And yes you still look stupid with your dumb ass spam
>answers. And yes they still sell the FCC database for $15.00 :-)

"They" "sell" a free database for $15, so it's spam.

3632. Re: Pro-34 memory retention problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-09 16:05:07 UTC
From: r2000swler@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1126281907.923651.101660@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12193

I think the Pro34 uses a large value, ~0.47F, not uF but "F".
With age these dry out and loss the ability to hold a charge.

I don't rmember where it is located, but I htink it is near the CPU,
"bigish" IC. It will be a farily large but "squaty' cap.

Terry

3633. Uniden scanner detachable head unit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-09 16:06:31 UTC
From: Christopher O'Callaghan <d.ocallaghan@iolfree.ie>
Message-ID: <dfsbu6$r1p$1@reader01.news.esat.net>
Source record: 12194

Does anybody know what the names are of the scanners with detachable head units??

3634. Re: PerCon WTF?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-09 16:53:03 UTC
From: kla1899 <spam@ftc.gov>
Message-ID: <Xns96CC83DB7DC2Cnottodaycom@130.81.64.196>
Source record: 12195
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in
news:c372i114rnkr7ukq9lb8a63hfmevdpu430@4ax.com:

> On Fri, 09 Sep 2005 00:10:49 GMT, That Other Guy <spam@ftc.gov> said
> in alt.radio.scanner:
>
>>You would know that I was pointing him to the guys at Scannertesting.com
>>for his answer.. And yes you still look stupid with your dumb ass spam
>>answers. And yes they still sell the FCC database for $15.00 :-)
>
> "They" "sell" a free database for $15, so it's spam.
>

It's free?? where can I get it for free? please tell us where we can all
get that CD-ROM with all 50 US States plus all US territories on it for
free!! And please don't say download it, it's a 730Meg .dbf file that is
not offered for download anywhere. So please tell us all where to get this
database for free.

--
kla1899

3635. Re: Radio Scanners For Computers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-09 16:55:28 UTC
From: kla1899 <spam@ftc.gov>
Message-ID: <Xns96CC8444AD362nottodaycom@130.81.64.196>
Source record: 12196
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

"Paul Maskell" <paul@maskell17.plus.com> wrote in news:431ff108$0$1301
$ed2619ec@ptn-nntp-reader02.plus.net:

> Hello,
>
> I would like to buy a radio scanner that fits inside my PC.
> Does anyone know if these type of scanners are still aviable to buy?
> Thanks.
>
>
>

Yup you can get one from http://www.winradio.com

--
kla1899

3636. Re: Digital scanner ?? - Got to be a scam ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-09 17:05:25 UTC
From: kla1899 <spam@ftc.gov>
Message-ID: <Xns96CC85F438A34nottodaycom@130.81.64.196>
Source record: 12197

"Andy100" <andy100@aog.com> wrote in news:dfafle$4rq$1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk:

> _W0QQitemZ5804950925QQcategoryZ40979QQrdZ1QQcmdZViewItem

Well that says "FREQUENCY UP TO 47.999999" and with everything in my area
of the world from 140.0000MHz to 920.0000MHz it wouldn't realy work. It
also says it's in the "United Kingdom" so I'll pass on that scanner.

--
kla1899

3637. Re: New Orleans Radio Scanner Audio Stream

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-09 17:11:11 UTC
From: kla1899 <spam@ftc.gov>
Message-ID: <Xns96CC86EEBD0EFnottodaycom@130.81.64.196>
Source record: 12198
Cross-posted to: triangle.radio,triangle.general,rec.radio.scanner

Gary Brown <gbrown@nc.rr.com> wrote in
news:g4ffh1hgc29bc5c7e3jpnqgoq4qjdairgg@4ax.com:

> Needs WinAmp
>
> http://205.252.89.181:8000/
>
> Hear FEMA, LSP, Guard, Coast Guard, etc.
>
> Incredible communications.
> Very busy server. May take several tries with the Play button to hear
> the feed.
>
> Gary
> K4GPB

The page cannot be displayed
The page you are looking for is currently unavailable. The Web site might
be experiencing technical difficulties, or you may need to adjust your
browser settings.

--
kla1899

3638. Re: Ideal computer / scanner combination?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-09 17:15:42 UTC
From: kla1899 <spam@ftc.gov>
Message-ID: <Xns96CC87B295BC5nottodaycom@130.81.64.196>
Source record: 12199

Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in
news:i8bih1t382l5sbovi8a4cs2mjma9nkvsfr@4ax.com:

> On Thu, 01 Sep 2005 16:24:23 GMT, Alan Dye <alandye@pacbell.net> said
> in rec.radio.scanner:
>
>>What would be the best combination of hardware (scanner) and software
to
>> monitor and eavesdrop on both conventional and trunked scanning and
>>collect both hits and audio?
>
> Any Uniden scanner that covers the frequencies and modes you want to
> monitor for hardware.
>
> For software, the protocol of most Uniden scanners is available, so
> why don't you write software that does exactly what you want. Nothing
> you find on the web, or buy, will be that close to what you need.
>
>>p.s. also any recommendations on process, how you'd go about covering
>>all 3000 frequencies in the shortest period of time while maintaining
>>the maximum amount of information collection.
>
> Keep scanning them all and record the hits, without duplicates. (You
> could store them to a database, using the frequency as a
> non-duplicable key.) You might also want to record the length of time
> the scanner stayed on that frequency.
>

nothing?? ever hear of TrunkStar Pro
http://www.scanstar.com/products/trunkstarpro.htm
it does just what he wants to do with his 785D
--
kla1899

3639. Re: Digital scanner ?? - Got to be a scam ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-09 22:58:49 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com>
Message-ID: <JsoUe.9962$FW1.8678@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 12200

Too bad. You missed out. It went for a paltry $471. Someone is either EXTREMELY ignorant or the whole thing was a scam and they used another ebay name to win their own purchase so there wouldn't be a problem from a "real" buyer.

I wrote and asked what brand and model it was but my wuestion was ignored by "seedeegirl".
Sounds pretty seedy to me...

"kla1899" <spam@ftc.gov> wrote in message
news:Xns96CC85F438A34nottodaycom@130.81.64.196...
> "Andy100" <andy100@aog.com> wrote in
news:dfafle$4rq$1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk:
>
> > _W0QQitemZ5804950925QQcategoryZ40979QQrdZ1QQcmdZViewItem
>
> Well that says "FREQUENCY UP TO 47.999999" and with everything in my area
> of the world from 140.0000MHz to 920.0000MHz it wouldn't realy work. It
> also says it's in the "United Kingdom" so I'll pass on that scanner.
>
> --
> kla1899
>
>

3640. Re: Radio Scanners For Computers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-09 23:47:35 UTC
From: Matt <pissoff@spammers.suck.com>
Message-ID: <dg0pp5$1r7c$1@otis.netspace.net.au>
Source record: 12124
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

"Ken Ward" <ken.g1itv@MTLworld.com> wrote in message
news:KFSTe.4607$zw1.3346@newsfe2-gui.ntli.net...
>
> "Paul Maskell" <paul@maskell17.plus.com> wrote in message
> news:431ff108$0$1301$ed2619ec@ptn-nntp-reader02.plus.net...
> > Hello,
> >
> > I would like to buy a radio scanner that fits inside my PC.
> > Does anyone know if these type of scanners are still aviable to buy?
> > Thanks.
> Search for "WinRadio"
>
> or go to..
>
> http://www.broadercasting.com/home/comparison.htm
>

or go to..

http://www.winradio.com/
http://www.winradio.co.uk/
http://www.winradio.net.au/

3641. Re: Uniden scanner detachable head unit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-09 23:57:46 UTC
From: "Richard Carlson, N9JIG" <usenet@usenet.net>
Message-ID: <usenet-8FB8B3.18574609092005@comcast.dca.giganews.com>
Source record: 12101

In article <dfsbu6$r1p$1@reader01.news.esat.net>,
"Christopher O'Callaghan" <d.ocallaghan@iolfree.ie> wrote:

> Does anybody know what the names are of the scanners with detachable head
> units??

I don't think ANY scanners come with removable heads, but you can buy
retrofit kits from ScannerMaster for the BC780, BC785 and BC796. I have
one on my 796 and it works great.

3642. Monitoring Times

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-10 01:02:18 UTC
From: Scott Nelson <treasuredude62@nospamyahoo.com>
Message-ID: <VsWdneLfVt04rb_eRVn-2w@midco.net>
Source record: 12102

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=6977179200

3643. Re: PerCon WTF?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-10 01:39:55 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <o9e4i1phui31pedv2tdbvg5c4gdp20d19s@4ax.com>
Source record: 12103
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 09 Sep 2005 16:53:03 GMT, kla1899 <spam@ftc.gov> said in
alt.radio.scanner:

>It's free?? where can I get it for free? please tell us where we can all
>get that CD-ROM with all 50 US States plus all US territories on it for
>free!!

The latest info from the FCC as of 6 months ago? From the web site.

> And please don't say download it, it's a 730Meg .dbf file that is
>not offered for download anywhere. So please tell us all where to get this
>database for free.

Stop spamming the ng.

3644. Re: Uniden scanner detachable head unit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-10 03:53:54 UTC
From: Lumpy <lumpy@digitalcartography.com>
Message-ID: <3of3msF5l2bdU1@individual.net>
Source record: 12104

Richard Carlson, N9JIG wrote:

> I don't think ANY scanners come with removable heads, but you can
> buy retrofit kits from ScannerMaster for the BC780, BC785 and
> BC796. I have one on my 796 and it works great.

How does that work, Richard?
Do you have to remove the faceplate from the
796 and attach it to some kind of remote
mountable shell? Then some kind of plate
with a cat 5 connector attaches to the
front of the 796?

Geez they want $450 for the remote kit!

n0eq Lumpy

3645. Re: PerCon WTF?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-10 05:18:28 UTC
From: "D. Brown" <dvbrown5@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <0LudnYyarL0s8b_eRVn-gg@comcast.com>
Source record: 12105
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

> You can get the complete FCC Licensing Division Master Database from the
> folks at http://mysite.verizon.net/moment_1/scannertesting/contact.htm
> it is a frequency database covering ALL 50 US states plus all US
> territories, so everything that has an FCC license is on it.
>
>
> That Other Guy
>
What type of interface does it have? Can I search by State, County, City, RS Code etc? Can the information be edited (easily) in an Excel spread-sheet, then copied and pasted into a radio control program?

I can find all the information I want from the FCC site, just couldn't find a way to get it organized the way I want it.

What would be the difference if it is just a big file thrown on a CD without a means to search and organize it?

And $5 to mail a CD?

3646. Re: New Orleans Radio Scanner Audio Stream

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-10 05:34:02 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <h1s4i1ts6jifn1dar5h6fpkhvkdhho7t8q@4ax.com>
Source record: 12106
Cross-posted to: triangle.radio,triangle.general,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 09 Sep 2005 17:11:11 GMT, kla1899 <spam@ftc.gov> said in
rec.radio.scanner:

>Gary Brown <gbrown@nc.rr.com> wrote in
>news:g4ffh1hgc29bc5c7e3jpnqgoq4qjdairgg@4ax.com:
>
>> Needs WinAmp
>>
>> http://205.252.89.181:8000/
>>
>> Hear FEMA, LSP, Guard, Coast Guard, etc.
>>
>> Incredible communications.
>> Very busy server. May take several tries with the Play button to hear
>> the feed.
>>
>> Gary
>> K4GPB
>
>The page cannot be displayed
>The page you are looking for is currently unavailable. The Web site might
>be experiencing technical difficulties, or you may need to adjust your
>browser settings.

That's what "May take several tries with the Play button to hear the
feed" means.

3647. Re: Uniden scanner detachable head unit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-10 11:12:43 UTC
From: "Richard Carlson, N9JIG" <usenet@usenet.net>
Message-ID: <usenet-69863D.06124310092005@comcast.dca.giganews.com>
Source record: 12107

In article <3of3msF5l2bdU1@individual.net>,
"Lumpy" <lumpy@digitalcartography.com> wrote:

> Richard Carlson, N9JIG wrote:
>
> > I don't think ANY scanners come with removable heads, but you can
> > buy retrofit kits from ScannerMaster for the BC780, BC785 and
> > BC796. I have one on my 796 and it works great.
>
> How does that work, Richard?
> Do you have to remove the faceplate from the
> 796 and attach it to some kind of remote
> mountable shell? Then some kind of plate
> with a cat 5 connector attaches to the
> front of the 796?
>
> Geez they want $450 for the remote kit!
>
>
> n0eq Lumpy

That is exactly how it works. The kit comes with 2 circuit boards, one attached to the new front for the radio unit and another that attaches to the rear of the board on the head. A mounting shell for the head and a front for the radio, as well as a cable to connect the two are included. Of course it also has the various internal cables and connecters needed.

Yes, it is pricey, but it is obvious that a lot of work and engineering went into this. Ya cant just extend the wires...

3648. Re: Pro-34 opinions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-10 12:36:36 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <fpk5i1l6dfm8ouf3r9qmqkeahm890afe31@4ax.com>
Source record: 12108

On Sat, 10 Sep 2005 11:30:06 GMT, Robert@.com said in
rec.radio.scanner:

>On Sun, 28 Aug 2005 08:49:52 -0700, krackula <krackula@i.am> wrote:

>What is your source for the claim that the FCC is going eliminate
>analog 800 MHz cellular usage? I want to follow-up on it. Thanks.

It's been in the works for years. You must have been living on Mars
all this time.

3649. Re: PerCon WTF?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-10 13:16:47 UTC
From: kla1899 <spam@ftc.gov>
Message-ID: <Xns96CD5F318526nottodaycom@130.81.64.196>
Source record: 12109
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in
news:o9e4i1phui31pedv2tdbvg5c4gdp20d19s@4ax.com:

> On Fri, 09 Sep 2005 16:53:03 GMT, kla1899 <spam@ftc.gov> said in
> alt.radio.scanner:
>
>>It's free?? where can I get it for free? please tell us where we can
>>all get that CD-ROM with all 50 US States plus all US territories on
>>it for free!!
>
> The latest info from the FCC as of 6 months ago? From the web site.
>
>> And please don't say download it, it's a 730Meg .dbf file that is
>>not offered for download anywhere. So please tell us all where to get
>>this database for free.
>
> Stop spamming the ng.

So you don't have any free database download is that what your ignorant
post is saying?? At least 3 people asked you to post this free download
site, I mean you say it's a free download so whats the URL?? where is
this free download site?? here I will start you off, http://www.
??????????

--
kla1899

3650. Re: PerCon WTF?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-10 13:25:43 UTC
From: kla1899 <spam@ftc.gov>
Message-ID: <Xns96CD60B5670C3nottodaycom@130.81.64.196>
Source record: 12110
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"D. Brown" <dvbrown5@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:0LudnYyarL0s8b_eRVn-gg@comcast.com:

>> You can get the complete FCC Licensing Division Master Database from
>> the folks at
>> http://mysite.verizon.net/moment_1/scannertesting/contact.htm it is a
>> frequency database covering ALL 50 US states plus all US territories,
>> so everything that has an FCC license is on it.
>>
>>
>> That Other Guy
>>
> What type of interface does it have? Can I search by State, County,
> City, RS Code etc? Can the information be edited (easily) in an Excel
> spread-sheet, then copied and pasted into a radio control program?
>
> I can find all the information I want from the FCC site, just couldn't
> find a way to get it organized the way I want it.
>
> What would be the difference if it is just a big file thrown on a CD
> without a means to search and organize it?
>
> And $5 to mail a CD?
>
>
>

Yes they add $5.00 to mail a CD because the CD envelopes cost $1.20 and
someone has to put $1.45 worth of postage on it and then take them all to
the post office. so in todays world of $3.50 a gal gas don't you think that
guy should get at least 1gal for doing the jub or do you think everything
should be free to you?? The interface is bDase they recompile it from the
Adode formate .pdf where you can't do a thing to dBase and yes you can cut
and past your heart out. and yes you can search by state, county, city,
call sign RS code name and anything eles you can think of. And if you went
to: http://mysite.verizon.net/moment_1/scannertesting/contact.htm and read
what it says you would no all that :-)

--
kla1899

3651. Re: PerCon WTF?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-10 13:39:50 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <bdo5i1hin461bdpnb3bacpdghc3d5reqm5@4ax.com>
Source record: 12111
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 10 Sep 2005 13:16:47 GMT, kla1899 <spam@ftc.gov> said in
alt.radio.scanner:

>So you don't have any free database download is that what your ignorant
>post is saying?? At least 3 people asked you to post this free download
>site

I must have missed those posts, seeing how buried under your spam they
were.

It's www.fcc,gov

Not everyone needs to be led by the nose, as you obviously do.

Oh - and the data at that site is up to date, not like your 6 month
old (and getting older every day) CD.

3652. Re: PerCon WTF?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-10 13:50:19 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <hho5i1tt7ltr2ub4fbcodl71r550dft91u@4ax.com>
Source record: 12112
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 10 Sep 2005 13:25:43 GMT, kla1899 <spam@ftc.gov> said in
alt.radio.scanner:

>Yes they add $5.00 to mail a CD because the CD envelopes cost $1.20

Bull, unless you're buying them from yourself. Mailers cost about a
dime - sleeves cost a couple of cents.

and
>someone has to put $1.45 worth of postage

$1.45? A CD in a mailer only weighs barely 2 ounces (actually 1 gram
less). That would be $0.60. The $0.85 is just part of the rip-off
fee.

> on it and then take them all to
>the post office. so in todays world of $3.50 a gal gas

It was the same price long before gas went up, so that dog won't hunt
either.

>The interface is bDase they recompile it from the
>Adode formate .pdf where you can't do a thing to dBase and yes you can cut
>and past your heart out.

Or just read it into a database. Those of us who do that kind of work
every day know how full of it you are with just that one claim. No
one who knows what he's doing copies and pastes data into a database.

Oh, and who's this mysterious "they" you keep referring to? You have
multiple personalities?

Go post on alt.i'm.a.dummy. Maybe someone there will fall for it.

3653. Thank's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-10 14:38:58 UTC
From: Franko <headboltusa@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126363138.821500.155540@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12113

Thank you for helping me out with a few places to look crew. Sorry it took so long to get back to the group.

3654. For sale-Uniden BC 780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-10 19:14:00 UTC
From: Christopher O'Callaghan <d.ocallaghan@iolfree.ie>
Message-ID: <dfvb9n$p63$1@reader01.news.esat.net>
Source record: 12115

Factory sealed...

€200.

P&P-€15.00-worldwide

3655. Re: For sale-Uniden BC 780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-10 19:15:50 UTC
From: Christopher O'Callaghan <d.ocallaghan@iolfree.ie>
Message-ID: <dfvbd6$p6l$1@reader01.news.esat.net>
Source record: 12116

Paypal accepted with confirmed addresses...

3656. Re: PerCon WTF?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-10 19:16:43 UTC
From: kla1899 <spam@ftc.gov>
Message-ID: <Xns96CD9C37D1193nottodaycom@130.81.64.196>
Source record: 12117
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in
news:hho5i1tt7ltr2ub4fbcodl71r550dft91u@4ax.com:

> On Sat, 10 Sep 2005 13:25:43 GMT, kla1899 <spam@ftc.gov> said in
> alt.radio.scanner:
>
>>Yes they add $5.00 to mail a CD because the CD envelopes cost $1.20
>
> Bull, unless you're buying them from yourself. Mailers cost about a
> dime - sleeves cost a couple of cents.
>
> and
>>someone has to put $1.45 worth of postage
>
> $1.45? A CD in a mailer only weighs barely 2 ounces (actually 1 gram
> less). That would be $0.60. The $0.85 is just part of the rip-off
> fee.
>
>> on it and then take them all to
>>the post office. so in todays world of $3.50 a gal gas
>
> It was the same price long before gas went up, so that dog won't hunt
> either.
>
>>The interface is bDase they recompile it from the
>>Adode formate .pdf where you can't do a thing to dBase and yes you can
>>cut and past your heart out.
>
> Or just read it into a database. Those of us who do that kind of work
> every day know how full of it you are with just that one claim. No
> one who knows what he's doing copies and pastes data into a database.
>
> Oh, and who's this mysterious "they" you keep referring to? You have
> multiple personalities?
>
> Go post on alt.i'm.a.dummy. Maybe someone there will fall for it.
>

has to be at least 1 fucking ass hole in the group no mater what you say
theirs always one that says something eles, for you moron make the
postage $20.00 just because you think the world owes you and we should
all work for free.

--
kla1899

3657. Re: PerCon WTF?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-10 19:23:53 UTC
From: kla1899 <spam@ftc.gov>
Message-ID: <Xns96CD9D6FD70DDnottodaycom@130.81.64.196>
Source record: 12118
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in
news:bdo5i1hin461bdpnb3bacpdghc3d5reqm5@4ax.com:

> On Sat, 10 Sep 2005 13:16:47 GMT, kla1899 <spam@ftc.gov> said in
> alt.radio.scanner:
>
>>So you don't have any free database download is that what your ignorant
>>post is saying?? At least 3 people asked you to post this free download
>>site
>
> I must have missed those posts, seeing how buried under your spam they
> were.
>
> It's www.fcc,gov
>
> Not everyone needs to be led by the nose, as you obviously do.
>
> Oh - and the data at that site is up to date, not like your 6 month
> old (and getting older every day) CD.
>

no moron there is nothiung at http://www.fcc.gov that will let you
download the complete FCC frequency database covering all 50 US States
plus all US territories, and again you look as stupid as you sound. and
the other guys asking you to post that link where, The Other George, That
Other Guy, No Spam oh and me. thats 4 people and yet you have still not
posted a link to this free download?? Why is that?? could it be because
there is no free download?? D'oh! See folks wouldn't know how stupid you
are if you just keep your mouth closed, but when you open it you remove
all doubt.......

--
kla1899

3658. Re: PerCon WTF?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-10 22:40:34 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <f2o6i1p2jorgh00orl2sp42jllj3h6s94a@4ax.com>
Source record: 12119
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 10 Sep 2005 19:23:53 GMT, kla1899 <spam@ftc.gov> said in
alt.radio.scanner:

>no moron there is nothiung at http://www.fcc.gov that will let you
>download the complete FCC frequency database covering all 50 US States
>plus all US territories

Then I guess my IP just triggers something special at the site.
Advertising on a non-advertising newsgroup is spamming - making up
lies to support your "product" just makes you look bad.

>the other guys asking you to post that link where, The Other George, That
>Other Guy, No Spam oh and me. thats 4 people

You mean your 4 addresses? Or are they your partners?

> and yet you have still not posted a link to this free download??

I did, spamming liar.

3659. Re: PerCon WTF?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-10 22:41:48 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <c7o6i11f3pk2lenardk8cm7510c08ca55g@4ax.com>
Source record: 12120
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 10 Sep 2005 19:16:43 GMT, kla1899 <spam@ftc.gov> said in
alt.radio.scanner:

>has to be at least 1 fucking ass hole in the group

And you go to such great lengths to prove it.

>for you moron make the
>postage $20.00 just because you think the world owes you and we should
>all work for free.

Claiming that $0.60 postage is $1.40 is just plain stealing.

<plonk>

3660. Analog signals above 2.4GHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-10 23:35:16 UTC
From: DougSlug <dougslug@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <U4KUe.4178$IR2.777@fe11.lga>
Source record: 12121
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Besides the ham bands and the few, if any, analog cordless telephones, what other analog signals might one be able to receive and understand in the 2.4GHz to 3.3 GHz range (assuming a suitable antenna is used)? And besides analog, what other digital signals decodable with a sound card and appropriate software might one find in that range as well? In other words, will the extra range offered by the Icom IC-R20 above 1.3 GHz really be useful for anything?

- Doug

3661. Re: PerCon WTF?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-11 03:31:35 UTC
From: "D. Brown" <dvbrown5@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <OPGdnTIb1cmPOL7eRVn-ug@comcast.com>
Source record: 12122
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

>And if you went
>to: <sniped the spam link> and
>read
>what it says you would no all that :-)

I did go there and it didn't really say all of that. In fact, reading the site (misspellings, poor grammar and all) gives me the impression that it's just a bunch of clueless, slack-jawed goamers, sitting around trying to come up with something profound.

I'll spend my money on professional products, someone who puts out quality rather than some hicks trying to pull together some hooch money.

3662. Maldor AL-500H Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-11 04:15:04 UTC
From: Frank <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <cbOUe.1885$mj1.523@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 12123

Has anyone tried this new rubber duck antenna made for civilian and military aero bands? I'm wondering how it compares to the Diamond RH77CA?

Frank

3663. Re: PerCon WTF?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-11 13:27:27 UTC
From: kla1899 <spam@ftc.gov>
Message-ID: <Xns96CE6101E3FCFnottodaycom@130.81.64.196>
Source record: 12125
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in
news:f2o6i1p2jorgh00orl2sp42jllj3h6s94a@4ax.com:

> On Sat, 10 Sep 2005 19:23:53 GMT, kla1899 <spam@ftc.gov> said in
> alt.radio.scanner:
>
>>no moron there is nothiung at http://www.fcc.gov that will let you
>>download the complete FCC frequency database covering all 50 US States
>>plus all US territories
>
> Then I guess my IP just triggers something special at the site.
> Advertising on a non-advertising newsgroup is spamming - making up
> lies to support your "product" just makes you look bad.
>
>>the other guys asking you to post that link where, The Other George,
>>That Other Guy, No Spam oh and me. thats 4 people
>
> You mean your 4 addresses? Or are they your partners?
>
>> and yet you have still not posted a link to this free download??
>
> I did, spamming liar.

No moron giving the general URL of http://www.fcc.gov is not giving the
URL of where to download this fictional free file you keep talking about.
In fact there is nothing to download at http://www.fcc.gov but
"Headlines" in ether Word or Acrobat. So you must injoy making people
think you know what your talking about when in fact you don't have a
clue. You saying this information is free and can be downloaded from
fcc.gov is just sending people there looking for something that is not to
be found! Your wasting there time and your looking like just what your
are, a moron kid trying to look like a big boy that knows it all. a URL
where something can be downloaded would be:
http://wireless.fcc.gov/commoperators/eqp.html
giving people a general web-site and saying you can get the complete fcc
database there is misleading to say the least, and calling me a liar
because I pointed out that fact really makes you look like a fool. Now go
back to playing your computer racing game and leave the big boys alone.
Or better yet put a real URL up to the page where this fictional file
can be downloaded, like I said I'll start it for you http://
any one with a 10 or higher IQ can call them at 1-888-225-5322 or e-mail
them at fccinfo@fcc.gov and ask about buying the database. You see I
really don't care if you buy it from them so I'm happy to give you that
info, and when you pay $75.95 for it and it comes in a .pdf mormat that

will not work with any spreadsheet or bDase program you can figurout how to use it. And again I'll point out the fact that this thrid was a gent asking where to get another database other then PerCon that also cost mony so I guess he's a spammer to right?? anyone that gave him an answer must be a spammer!!

--
kla1899

3664. Re: New Orleans Radio Scanner Audio Stream

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-11 13:34:51 UTC
From: kla1899 <spam@ftc.gov>
Message-ID: <Xns96CE62439C698nottodaycom@130.81.64.196>
Source record: 12126
Cross-posted to: triangle.radio,triangle.general,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in
news:h1s4i1ts6jifn1dar5h6fpkhvkdhho7t8q@4ax.com:

> On Fri, 09 Sep 2005 17:11:11 GMT, kla1899 <spam@ftc.gov> said in
> rec.radio.scanner:
>
>>Gary Brown <gbrown@nc.rr.com> wrote in
>>news:g4ffh1hgc29bc5c7e3jpnqgoq4qjdairgg@4ax.com:
>>
>>> Needs WinAmp
>>>
>>> http://205.252.89.181:8000/
>>>
>>> Hear FEMA, LSP, Guard, Coast Guard, etc.
>>>
>>> Incredible communications.
>>> Very busy server. May take several tries with the Play button to
>>> hear the feed.
>>>
>>> Gary
>>> K4GPB
>>
>>The page cannot be displayed
>>The page you are looking for is currently unavailable. The Web site
>>might be experiencing technical difficulties, or you may need to
>>adjust your browser settings.
>
> That's what "May take several tries with the Play button to hear the
> feed" means.
>

when you get a "page not found" it means there will be no PLAY BUTTON
MORON. the page can not be found to start Win Amp so you can't click on
any Play button.. and you just keep looking like a fool. Like I've said
before, if you just keep your mouth shout no onw would know how dumb you
really are, but you have to open it and remove all doubt!!

--
kla1899

3665. Re: PerCon WTF?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-11 15:48:43 UTC
From: "David L. Wilson" <dwilson314@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <gtKdncFvUpskzLneRVn-pg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 12127
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"kla1899" <spam@ftc.gov> wrote in message
news:Xns96CE6101E3FCFnottodaycom@130.81.64.196...
> Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in
> news:f2o6i1p2jorgh00orl2sp42jllj3h6s94a@4ax.com:
>
>> On Sat, 10 Sep 2005 19:23:53 GMT, kla1899 <spam@ftc.gov> said in
>> alt.radio.scanner:
>>
>>>no moron there is nothiung at http://www.fcc.gov that will let you
>>>download the complete FCC frequency database covering all 50 US States
>>>plus all US territories
>>
>> Then I guess my IP just triggers something special at the site.
>> Advertising on a non-advertising newsgroup is spamming - making up
>> lies to support your "product" just makes you look bad.
>>
>>>the other guys asking you to post that link where, The Other George,
>>>That Other Guy, No Spam oh and me. thats 4 people
>>
>> You mean your 4 addresses? Or are they your partners?
>>
>>> and yet you have still not posted a link to this free download??
>>
>> I did, spamming liar.
>
> No moron giving the general URL of http://www.fcc.gov is not giving the
> URL of where to download this fictional free file you keep talking about.
> In fact there is nothing to download at http://www.fcc.gov but
> "Headlines" in ether Word or Acrobat ..

And a link to the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau (right side) , then
link to Dabases (left side) and from there one ends up at
http://wireless.fcc.gov/cgi-bin/wtb-datadump.pl
but it is true the format pf the downloaded files is not at all friendly and
one must be good at database work to use it making most much much better off
buying someone elses CDROM where they have put it in a more useable form.
(If you have never worked with databases, do not bother downloading the
files from the site as you will not know what to do with them.)

3666. Re: New Orleans Radio Scanner Audio Stream

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-11 20:13:46 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <lp39i1patsgvig1ajdb15to001fito15oj@4ax.com>
Source record: 12128
Cross-posted to: triangle.radio,triangle.general,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 11 Sep 2005 10:10:21 -0400, Gary L. Burnore
<gburnore@databasix.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>On Sun, 11 Sep 2005 13:34:51 GMT, kla1899 <spam@ftc.gov> wrote:
>
>>Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in
>>news:h1s4i1ts6jifn1dar5h6fpkhvkdhho7t8q@4ax.com:
>>
>>> On Fri, 09 Sep 2005 17:11:11 GMT, kla1899 <spam@ftc.gov> said in
>>> rec.radio.scanner:
>>>
>>>>Gary Brown <gbrown@nc.rr.com> wrote in
>>>>news:g4ffh1hgc29bc5c7e3jpnqgoq4qjdairgg@4ax.com:
>>>>
>>>>> Needs WinAmp
>>>>>
>>>>> http://205.252.89.181:8000/
>>>>>
>>>>> Hear FEMA, LSP, Guard, Coast Guard, etc.
>>>>>
>>>>> Incredible communications.
>>>>> Very busy server. May take several tries with the Play button to
>>>>> hear the feed.
>>>>>
>>>>> Gary
>>>>> K4GPB
>>>>
>>>>The page cannot be displayed
>>>>The page you are looking for is currently unavailable. The Web site
>>>>might be experiencing technical difficulties, or you may need to
>>>>adjust your browser settings.
>>>
>>> That's what "May take several tries with the Play button to hear the
>>> feed" means.
>>>
>>
>>when you get a "page not found" it means there will be no PLAY BUTTON
>>MORON.
>
>He didn't say "Play Button Moron". He said "Play Button", moron.

I guess kla1899 missed the "Needs WinAmp" part. I've never seen "This
page cannot be displayed" in Winamp.

Of course, kla1899, being something other than a moron, knows better than all of us put together so, for him, using a web browser should work. The rest of us will just have to use Winamp to listen to Winamp feeds.

3667. BC350 Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-12 02:40:48 UTC
From: B940 <B940.1v87dq@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <B940.1v87dq@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 12129

Hi! i recently bought a BC350C and im wondering if there is any mods
around for this unit?

--
B940

3668. Re: BC350 Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-12 06:03:28 UTC
From: Scan Da Bands <vzeip5vc@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <vg6ai1d21b8akbt42lu4absvq16r3ir3gl@4ax.com>
Source record: 12130

NO!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!NONE!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

On Mon, 12 Sep 2005 02:40:48 +0000, B940
<B940.1v87dq@news.radiobanter.com> wrote:

>
>Hi! i recently bought a BC350C and im wondering if there is any mods
>around for this unit?

3669. FS Uiden BC 780XLt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-12 15:32:10 UTC
From: Disturbed1 <tempting78@chartermi.net>
Message-ID: <XbhVe.30896$ih4.12319@fe02.lga>
Source record: 12131

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5808453276&rd=1&sspagename=STRK%3AMESE%3AIT&rd=1

3670. FS Radio Shack PRO-96

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-12 15:33:15 UTC
From: Disturbed1 <tempting78@chartermi.net>
Message-ID: <YchVe.30900$ih4.11090@fe02.lga>
Source record: 12132

Don't let this get away 42 minutes left bid up to 328.00
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5807546317&rd=1&sspagename=STRK%3AMESE%3AIT&rd=1

3671. FS: Uniden BC796D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-12 17:11:05 UTC
From: HomebruElectronics@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1126545065.797989.136980@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12133

Hello, I have a brand new in the box Uniden BC796D. It was taken out
once and played with for less than 1 hour when I first got it, then
went right back in the box. I know it is a really top-notch scanner,
but i've lost interest for the hobby. I did not pay for it myself,
but I was told it was bought @ around $600. I would like $350 plus half

of shipping. Thanks

3672. pro-93 problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-12 23:43:47 UTC
From: Andre <pequiste@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <dh4ci1plfjge7h6a9uc658i50it505o5i6@4ax.com>
Source record: 12134

unit does not receive anymore, it scan but nothing, I think I have
seen related problems on this model, if so, someone have a solution?
thanks vs2que

3673. Re: pro-93 problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-13 00:08:24 UTC
From: mikeFNB <mikefnb@moc.dlrowltn>
Message-ID: <YLoVe.12779$7p3.234@newsfe4-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 12135

try a reset
if not the aerial connector centre has come unsoldered from the pcb thru
twisting

mike

"Andre" <pequiste@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:dh4ci1plfjge7h6a9uc658i50it505o5i6@4ax.com...
> unit does not receive anymore, it scan but nothing, I think I have
> seen related problems on this model, if so, someone have a solution?
> thanks vs2que

3674. Iridium...can you receive it ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-13 01:15:42 UTC
From: Bla <bla@geenmail.nl>
Message-ID: <e1a61$43262836$3ec20f3b$26661@news.chello.nl>
Source record: 12136

Hi, i'd like to invite those interested in Iridium
to join our discussion-group where we currently
look at the possibilties of receiving and decoding
Iridium signals.

As you may have noticed the Iridium satphone system
has currently gained a lot of attention thanks to
it's use in Iraq and in the Katrina area.

Here's a few technical details:

Handset TX-power is an average 0,6 Watts
RX sensitivity is -118 dBM
Frequency-band 1616-1626,5 MHz (L-Band)
Duplex is Time Division Duplex TDD (RX/TX same freq)
Data-rate 2400 Bd (V42b compression)
Modulation is QPSK
Acess/Multiplex is TDMA/FDMA
Coverage: 100% Worldwide (sat in sight)
Calls currently barred to: N.Korea,N.SriLanka
Use forbidden in: Cuba,Iran,Libya,Sudan,Angola
Services: Voice,Data,Paging,Voice PTT,Group calls
Mobile < > Sat link=encryption NO (?)
Sat < > Groundstation link-encryption YES (?)
Sat < > Sat link-encryption: UNKNOWM (probably NO)
Standard end-to-end encryption: NO
Add-on encryption units available: YES

To take part in this discussion sign up at:

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/gsm-scanner

membership is free,the group is moderated.

this group is mainly talking about GSM and Cellphone
interception,encryption and security
but we will now extend our interests to satphones.

Greetings

contranl (moderator Yahoo group GSM-scanners)

3675. Re: Iridium...can you receive it ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-13 01:55:57 UTC
From: Bob <NOSPAM@fed.com>
Message-ID: <5ccci1ha5q5e7d99apg360pvlcphqjs9eq@4ax.com>
Source record: 12137

DOD 625 encryption.
Couldn't break it with a bomb.

On Tue, 13 Sep 2005 03:15:42 +0200, "Bla" <bla@geenmail.nl> wrote:

>Hi, i'd like to invite those interested in Iridium
>to join our discussion-group where we currently
>look at the possibilties of receiving and decoding
>Iridium signals.
>
>As you may have noticed the Iridium satphone system
>has currently gained a lot of attention thanks to
>it's use in Iraq and in the Katrina area.
>
>Here's a few technical details:
>
>Handset TX-power is an average 0,6 Watts
>RX sensitivity is -118 dBM
>Frequency-band 1616-1626,5 MHz (L-Band)
>Duplex is Time Division Duplex TDD (RX/TX same freq)
>Data-rate 2400 Bd (V42b compression)
>Modulation is QPSK
>Acess/Multiplex is TDMA/FDMA
>Coverage: 100% Worldwide (sat in sight)
>Calls currently barred to: N.Korea,N.SriLanka
>Use forbidden in: Cuba,Iran,Libya,Sudan,Angola
>Services: Voice,Data,Paging,Voice PTT,Group calls
>Mobile < > Sat link=encryption NO (?)
>Sat < > Groundstation link-encryption YES (?)
>Sat < > Sat link-encryption: UNKNOWM (probably NO)
>Standard end-to-end encryption: NO
>Add-on encryption units available: YES
>
>To take part in this discussion sign up at:
>
>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/gsm-scanner
>
>membership is free,the group is moderated.
>
>this group is mainly talking about GSM and Cellphone
>interception,encryption and security
>but we will now extend our interests to satphones.
>
>
>Greetings

>
>contranl (moderator Yahoo group GSM-scanners)
>

3676. Re: Uniden BC796D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-13 02:12:35 UTC
From: Disturbed1 <tempting78@chartermi.net>
Message-ID: <oBqVe.31109$ih4.13144@fe02.lga>
Source record: 12138

Do you take paypal?
<HomebruElectronics@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1126545065.797989.136980@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Hello, I have a brand new in the box Uniden BC796D. It was taken out
> once and played with for less than 1 hour when I first got it, then
> went right back in the box. I know it is a really top-notch scanner,
> but i've lost interest for the hobby. I did not pay for it myself,
> but I was told it was bought @ around $600. I would like $350 plus half
>
> of shipping. Thanks
>

3677. Re: Iridium...can you receive it ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-13 03:41:23 UTC
From: Bla <bla@geenmail.nl>
Message-ID: <9e45$43264a5c$3ec20f3b$10494@news.chello.nl>
Source record: 12139

Hi Bob...that's only true for some DOD users (not even all)
then their are other users (commercial,private,rescue,ships,oil...etc)
and then there's the paging-service wich is not encrypted..
signalling is not encrypted at all !

contranl

3678. Beware BCD396t

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-13 14:41:58 UTC
From: AL
Message-ID: <dg6pdf0iu@news2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 12141

Bought brand new, case is wearing.
For 500.00 expect much, much more. Plus audio just plain sucks and always need adjusting.
My recommendation is Radio Shack.

At least those scanner work, and I have one going on twenty years still working just fine. Most Uniden stuff doesn't make it past four years, and usually earlier has multiple problems.

AL

3679. Re: PerCon WTF?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-13 14:42:21 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <9zBVe.7403$Ma.5953@fe07.lga>
Source record: 12140
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"kla1899" <spam@ftc.gov> wrote in message
news:Xns96CE6101E3FCFnottodaycom@130.81.64.196...
>
> No moron giving the general URL of http://www.fcc.gov is not giving the
> URL of where to download this fictional free file you keep talking about.
> In fact there is nothing to download at http://www.fcc.gov but
> "Headlines" in ether Word or Acrobat.

WRONG, you're just too stupid to find it I guess...

> So you must injoy making people
> think you know what your talking about when in fact you don't have a
> clue.

Look in the mirror.. (Nice Spelling BTW)

> You saying this information is free and can be downloaded from
> fcc.gov is just sending people there looking for something that is not to
> be found! Your wasting there time and your looking like just what your
> are, a moron kid trying to look like a big boy that knows it all. a URL
> where something can be downloaded would be:
> http://wireless.fcc.gov/commoperators/eqp.html

Again, it exists, you're just too stupid to find it... I love those that
think they know everything, yet when they speak, or type, in this case,
removes all doubt of just how little they actually do know...
BTW, the only thing you will download at you're link is examination
questions for commercial operators. Quite useless in this case.

> giving people a general web-site and saying you can get the complete fcc
> database there is misleading to say the least, and calling me a liar
> because I pointed out that fact really makes you look like a fool.

You pointed out nothing. You made yourself look like a fool...

> Now go
> back to playing your computer racing game and leave the big boys alone.
> Or better yet put a real URL up to the page where this fictional file
> can be downloaded, like I said I'll start it for you http://

Fictional, it is not... Too lazy to look for yourself? Yeah, thought so...

> any one with a 10 or higher IQ can call them at 1-888-225-5322 or e-mail
> them at fccinfo@fcc.gov and ask about buying the database.

Yup, you could, but why? You can download the information, UPDATED WEEKLY,
off their website for FREE...

> ...and it comes in a .pdf mormat that
> will not work with any spreadsheet or bDase program you can figurout how
> to use it.

Wrong, again. It comes as a .ZIP file that contains numerous ".dat" (text) files, that can easily be imported into ANY database program, provided you know how to use one. It can then be exported into whatever format you'd like it to be in... (Great spelling, again, BTW!)

3680. Re: Beware BCD396t

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-13 15:33:28 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <3jCVe.3096$6Z3.986@fe06.lga>
Source record: 12142

Treat it well, and it will return the favor... No problems with any of my
Uniden Scanners, new or old...

"AL" <al145@.x..com> wrote in message news:dg6pdf0iu@news2.newsguy.com...
> Bought brand new, case is wearing.
> For 500.00 expect much, much more. Plus audio just plain sucks and always
> need adjusting.
> My recommendation is Radio Shack.
>
> At least those scanner work, and I have one going on twenty years still
> working just fine. Most Uniden stuff doesn't make it past four years, and
> usually earlier has multiple problems.
>
> AL
>
>

3681. Re: Beware BCD396t

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-13 16:27:35 UTC
From: AL
Message-ID: <dg6vrq0316a@news4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 12143

It has been treat well.
My portable two-way radios which get used in the fire service and are years old, look better that this piece of Uniden junk.

My pro-34 looks better than this piece of junk. Uniden is selling junk.
Buyer beware!

AL

"PowerHouse Communications" <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote in message news:3jCVe.3096$6Z3.986@fe06.lga...
> Treat it well, and it will return the favor... No problems with any of my
> Uniden Scanners, new or old...
>
>
> "AL" <al145@.x..com> wrote in message news:dg6pdf0iu@news2.newsguy.com...
>> Bought brand new, case is wearing.
>> For 500.00 expect much, much more. Plus audio just plain sucks and always
>> need adjusting.
>> My recommendation is Radio Shack.
>>
>> At least those scanner work, and I have one going on twenty years still
>> working just fine. Most Uniden stuff doesn't make it past four years, and
>> usually earlier has multiple problems.
>>
>> AL
>>
>>
>
>

3682. WTB: Icom R8500 Receiver.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-13 21:53:13 UTC
From: ritchi50@optonline.net
Message-ID: <0iiei1db5hqe34nakka3gf20kjobu1sai4@4ax.com>
Source record: 12144

```
WTB: Icom R8500 Receiver
Please leave condion and price email.
973-857-1195
Rich NJ
```

3683. Re: PerCon WTF?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-13 22:36:37 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <hskei1dm45miabr8kel0kcpu4f6kfuc4i0@4ax.com>
Source record: 12145
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 13 Sep 2005 09:42:21 -0500, "PowerHouse Communications"
<PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> said in alt.radio.scanner:

>"kla1899" <spam@ftc.gov> wrote in message
>news:Xns96CE6101E3FCFnottodaycom@130.81.64.196...

>> No moron giving the general URL of http://www.fcc.gov is not giving the
>> URL of where to download this fictional free file you keep talking about.
>> In fact there is nothing to download at http://www.fcc.gov but
>> "Headlines" in ether Word or Acrobat.

>WRONG, you're just too stupid to find it I guess...

If this were a moderated newsgroup ... :)

I especially love this part:

>> Now go
>> back to playing your computer racing game and leave the big boys alone.

What he doesn't know is that he aimed that at someone who's most
likely old enough to be his father, and who has been dealing with the
FCC since before he was born. My first phone ticket, which hasn't
gone by that name in decades, is older than he is.

But let's not confuse him by giving him facts. Let the little boy run
his lemonade stand. Some people might like unsweetened lemonade.

3684. Re: Beware BCD396t

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-13 22:43:28 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <55lei1p1f6g1av9sbhu413hc0qp3vi1pra@4ax.com>
Source record: 12146

On Tue, 13 Sep 2005 09:41:58 -0500, "AL" <al145@.x..com> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>Bought brand new, case is wearing.

Uniden has a repair for that. Try contacting them.

>For 500.00 expect much, much more.

I never expect human beings to be perfect. You *always* get disappointed if you do. The only person who was perfect either (depending on your beliefs) never existed, died almost 2,000 years ago or is still with us. Everyone else - everyone - even you, makes mistakes.

> Plus audio just plain sucks

Mine sounds fine. Maybe you have a defective one and it should be returned. (Bad speaker? There were a couple of those. Rattling sound? It needs thicker shims.)

> and always need adjusting.

If you're talking about the P25 decode, learn how to set it properly and you won't have to reset it unless something is changed in the system itself.

>My recommendation is Radio Shack.

Want to sell yours cheap? After all, it does suck, right? Say $100 and I'll pay shipping and insurance? I know a sucky scanner isn't worth even that much, but I really don't want to see you hurting like this, being forced to keep a scanner you can't stand owning.

3685. Re: Beware BCD396t

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-14 13:15:26 UTC
From: AL
Message-ID: <dg97v30169s@news1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 12149

"Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message
news:55lei1p1f6g1av9sbhu413hc0qp3vi1pra@4ax.com...
> I never expect human beings to be perfect. You *always* get
> disappointed if you do. The only person who was perfect either
> (depending on your beliefs) never existed, died almost 2,000 years ago
> or is still with us. Everyone else - everyone - even you, makes
> mistakes.
>

You're mistake was replying to this post. Pathological, can't leave it along. You are the definition of an ass, on this group and all the mailing lists that I see your inane postings just for the sake of postings. Oh and don't forget to tell how you've done it for years and years. But the 396 is way beyond your skills.

> If you're talking about the P25 decode, learn how to set it properly
> and you won't have to reset it unless something is changed in the
> system itself.

actually I can set it and have, and have, and have, audio still sucks. Gee I can even do it manually just like the manual says... Radio Shack does it automatically, each and every time.
And if you want to buy it, make a real offer, like 475.00

Go crawl back into you superior "I'm a radio tech hole" and sniff some more ozone and watch the tubes glow while the drool drips down your chin onto your bib. Obviously you've inhaled to much ozone and its fried your brain.

AL

3686. MedFleet Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-14 13:23:57 UTC
From: Tmack <floridianospam@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <NvVVe.69104$p_1.16825@tornado.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 12147

I am looking for frequencies for a company in Pasco County, Florida, called MedFleet, an ambulance company operating in north Tampa area. Anyone?

Thanks

T

3687. Radio Shack Pro 2051 Scanner Opinions...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-14 13:26:03 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <BxVVe.4342$6Z3.4063@fe06.lga>
Source record: 12148
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I'm looking for opinions on the Pro-2051 scanner. The only experience I have had with "pre-programmed" scanners are my 2 older Uniden BCT7s. I live in Michigan, so I know that I "need" digital, however I have yet to purchase ANY scanner that is digital capable, as they are far beyond my budget, unfortunately.

The scanner will be used to replace my BCT7 in my car, in case anybody is wondering... I guess I am just wondering if there is any reason to buy this to replace my current unit. Would it be worth my while, as perhaps it offers better performance than my BCT7? At the very least, I'm sure it will provide me some relief from all the cellular phone images in the 800-band, as mine is the older, DUAL conversion unit, not the newer triple conversion...

Currently setup consists of the Mobile Mag-mount RS scanner antenna, with my (older style) BCT7.

Thanks for any input!

3688. FS: PRO-2067 with computer cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-14 18:46:46 UTC
From: k5die@sbcglobal.net
Message-ID: <1126723605.964931.147510@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12150

RADIO SHACK PRO-2067 500 Channel Trunk-Tracking Scanner, 29-54,
108-174, 380- 512, 806-823, 849-868, 894-960 MHz, Used as base. Box,
manual, mobile mounting bracket, 120v. power supply, computer cable and
program. Has been in non-smoking environment. $150.00 plus shipping -
This item for sale only - no trades!
Selling equipment to buy HF rig.

Pictures on my web page: http://www.angelfire.com/in4/jnk47130/

Thanks,
Jim K5DIE

3689. Re: Beware BCD396t

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-14 20:51:40 UTC
From: Christopher O'Callaghan <d.ocallaghan@iolfree.ie>
Message-ID: <dga2gt$d64$1@reader01.news.esat.net>
Source record: 12051

Uniden is a great brand for scanners..I wouldnt trust radio shack..
"AL" <al145@.x..com> wrote in message news:dg97v30169s@news1.newsguy.com...
>
> "Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message
> news:55lei1p1f6g1av9sbhu413hc0qp3vi1pra@4ax.com...
>> I never expect human beings to be perfect. You *always* get
>> disappointed if you do. The only person who was perfect either
>> (depending on your beliefs) never existed, died almost 2,000 years ago
>> or is still with us. Everyone else - everyone - even you, makes
>> mistakes.
>>
>
>
> You're mistake was replying to this post. Pathological, can't leave it
> along. You are the definition of an ass, on this group and all the mailing
> lists that I see your inane postings just for the sake of postings. Oh and
> don't forget to tell how you've done it for years and years. But the 396
> is way beyond your skills.
>
>> If you're talking about the P25 decode, learn how to set it properly
>> and you won't have to reset it unless something is changed in the
>> system itself.
>
> actually I can set it and have, and have, and have, audio still sucks. Gee
> I can even do it manually just like the manual says... Radio Shack does it
> automatically, each and every time.
> And if you want to buy it, make a real offer, like 475.00
>
> Go crawl back into you superior "I'm a radio tech hole" and sniff some
> more ozone and watch the tubes glow while the drool drips down your chin
> onto your bib. Obviously you've inhaled to much ozone and its fried your
> brain.
>
> AL
>

3690. Re: Radio Shack Pro 2051 Scanner Opinions...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-14 21:23:13 UTC
From: Frank346 <frank346@groznyk.com>
Message-ID: <5x0We.13075$x43.2486032@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 12052
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

The 2051 is missing lots of features like CTCSS/DCS, alpha tags, Mot 900 MHz tracking, and EDACS narrow. It is apparently now discontinued and may be worth getting if the price drops below $100. Otherwise consider the PRO-2055 instead. There is no manual for the PRO-2055 on the Radio Shack site but you can compare features by downloading the PRO-97 manual and the PRO-2051 manual.

"PowerHouse Communications" <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote in message news:BxVVe.4342$6Z3.4063@fe06.lga...
> I'm looking for opinions on the Pro-2051 scanner. The only experience I
> have had with "pre-programmed" scanners are my 2 older Uniden BCT7s. I live
> in Michigan, so I know that I "need" digital, however I have yet to purchase
> ANY scanner that is digital capable, as they are far beyond my budget,
> unfortunately.
>
> The scanner will be used to replace my BCT7 in my car, in case anybody is
> wondering... I guess I am just wondering if there is any reason to buy this
> to replace my current unit. Would it be worth my while, as perhaps it
> offers better performance than my BCT7? At the very least, I'm sure it will
> provide me some relief from all the cellular phone images in the 800-band,
> as mine is the older, DUAL conversion unit, not the newer triple
> conversion...
>
> Currently setup consists of the Mobile Mag-mount RS scanner antenna, with my
> (older style) BCT7.
>
> Thanks for any input!
>
>

3691. Re: Beware BCD396t

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-14 22:52:31 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <hbahi11g2jun8v2e2c5h2qu5kd07l0t335@4ax.com>
Source record: 12053

On Wed, 14 Sep 2005 08:15:26 -0500, "AL" <al145@.x..com> said in
rec.radio.scanner:

>And if you want to buy it, make a real offer, like 475.00

For a piece of crap like the 396? Those things you're walking on
aren't eggshells - they're the screws that are so loose they fell out.

3692. Q: AOR Serial Protocol

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-15 01:22:42 UTC
From: Dave <SRPro@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <6pihi19nnc9r6lk94q7pf962e2ljj7i079@4ax.com>
Source record: 12054
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Hi,

Has anyone ever programmed their PC to communicate with an
AOR receiver?

I just got a protocol guide and want to be able to read the
frequency from my AR8200 using software I am going to write.

Does anyone have or know where to find some sample code to
communicate with an AOR radio from the PC?

In particular, I would like to learn how to read the
frequency from the receiver and I think I need to use the LC
(Frequency & level status) command to do that.

Thanks for any help,
Dave

3693. Re: Monitoring Times

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-15 06:17:43 UTC
From: Jesse <1@2.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96D21759BB1E3ewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 12055

Scott Nelson <treasuredude62@nospamyahoo.com> wrote in
news:VsWdneLfVt04rb_eRVn-2w@midco.net:

> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=6977179200

You'd better have a good explanation ready for the post office of how a 40
pound box could qualify for media mail, which is basically for individual
cds/dvds and books with no advertisements.

3694. Re: PerCon WTF?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-15 09:24:56 UTC
From: Casper Milquetoast <CasperMilquetoast@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <X5CdnWhwBfNxoLTeRVn-og@comcast.com>
Source record: 12056
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Al,

You might be older, but if boh of you continue to engage each other in your dumb spat, you're clearly not any wiser than him.

"Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message news:hskei1dm45miabr8kel0kcpu4f6kfuc4i0@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 13 Sep 2005 09:42:21 -0500, "PowerHouse Communications"
> <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> said in alt.radio.scanner:

> I especially love this part:
>
>>> Now go
>>> back to playing your computer racing game and leave the big boys alone.
>
> What he doesn't know is that he aimed that at someone who's most
> likely old enough to be his father, and who has been dealing with the
> FCC since before he was born. My first phone ticket, which hasn't
> gone by that name in decades, is older than he is.
stand. Some people might like unsweetened lemonade.

3695. Re: Is Inmarsat still receivable ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-15 09:44:17 UTC
From: Jarek <autosport_nospam@neostrada.pl>
Message-ID: <43294271.6000200@neostrada.pl>
Source record: 12057

Hello,

I was wondering about the same. Have you learned f/m anybody if analog
portion of Inmarsat is still worth setting up for ?

Thanx
Phil

T.G. wrote:
> It's been a few years since I fooled about with this stuff and I'm a bit
> lost right now but does anybody know if the Inmarsats are still receivable ?
> Are things still in the clear ? I remember I used to be able to tune into
> AFRS radio which was a great way to line up and test my setup but I know
> that they ended it on Inmarsat since.
>
> Is there any up to date websites on receiving the Inmarsats ?
>
> Many Thanks for any info.
>
> T
>
>
>

3696. An Up Coming Event in Northen Ohio For Scannists:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-15 14:59:08 UTC
From: thepolishdude@excite.com
Message-ID: <1126796348.798346.225250@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12058

...and shops in your store.

Hi, how are you. Got some events for you that you might be interested
in or NOT;-)

1. Radio Scanning Meeting:

Subject: Scanner Meeting Scheduled For 09-18-2005

We will once again be having a meeting,
This one will be @ the Parma - Snow Road Branch of the
Cuyahohga County Public Library. The meeting will
start @ 13:00 Hours or 1 P.M. for you civilians out
there.;-)

There will be videos and presentations and even a
BC396T Radio Scanner.
Come one, come all. Meet some new people.
Make some new friends and have a informative good
time.

Here is a map of where the meeting will take place:

http://maps.yahoo.com/py/maps.py?BFCat=&Pyt=Tmap&newFL=Use+Address+Below&addr=21\
21+Snow+Road&csz=Parma+OH+44134&country=us&Get Map=Get+Map

HERE IS THE ADDRESS:

2121 Snow Road
Parma, Ohio 44134-2728

3697. Come Visit My Yahoo Group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-15 15:04:32 UTC
From: thepolishdude@excite.com
Message-ID: <1126796672.303200.190270@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12059

Medina Scanner:

All about scanning in Medina County Ohio.

3698. Re: Analog signals above 2.4GHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-15 15:06:46 UTC
From: thepolishdude@excite.com
Message-ID: <1126796806.762931.202460@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12060
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Wiresless Cameras?

3699. Re: An Up Coming Event in Northen Ohio For Scannists:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-15 15:10:27 UTC
From: thepolishdude@excite.com
Message-ID: <1126797027.667460.216250@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12061

In case the link does NOT work, the meeting will be @ the Parma - Snow Branch of the Cuyahogha County Library.

3700. Now this is a nifty radio !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-16 17:15:00 UTC
From: Dave <davebong@bongmaker5.com>
Message-ID: <69875$432afd90$d1cc4e42$18655@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 12062
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

And it has 2 meters also!

http://www.tagpilotsupply.com/index.asp?PageAction=VIEWPROD&ProdID=793

3701. Re: Now this is a nifty radio !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-16 17:48:07 UTC
From: sdaniel13@nyc.rr.com
Message-ID: <1126892887.812265.261280@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12063
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Then why don't you tote it, 'tard.

3702. Re: Now this is a nifty radio !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-16 18:16:47 UTC
From: Casey <nospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <j_DWe.2695$5n4.2313@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 12064
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Dave wrote:
> And it has 2 meters also!

Agree, very nice and it would be a great radio for when you are way out in the middle of nowhere with an emergency. You can always contact a plane flying over to relay your position and situation to local authorities and not have to rely on a Ham 2 meter repeater being nearby. Very cool radio and nice size and battery life too.

3703. FS - Radio Shack PRO-97

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-16 18:29:50 UTC
From: John Schneider <thecop@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <zaEWe.766$gE7.259@fe08.lga>
Source record: 12065

I am selling a PRO-97 in as new conditon. Radio will not come in its' original packaging, but will be shipped with the rechargeable and alkaline battery holders and original manual.

$150.00 + shipping

If you are interested, my ebay user ID is npdxo.

Pleas email off list if interested.

Thanks...John

3704. Re: Now this is a nifty radio !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-16 19:29:53 UTC
From: Harveyat8c43z0@aol.com
Message-ID: <1126898993.586762.180740@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12066
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Dave wrote:
> And it has 2 meters also!
>
> http://www.tagpilotsupply.com/index.asp?PageAction=VIEWPROD&ProdID=793

Nice..
I've seen similar equipment discussed in ultralight Backpacking Yahoo
group..

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ultralightbackpacking/

Someone (Hiking alone) Took a 40 foot tumble down a cliff..
Survived..
now carries something like whats in the link above..
Use it only once and it's paid for immediatly .. .

3705. WTB: Icom R8500 Receiver.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-16 23:21:12 UTC
From: ritchi50@optonline.net
Message-ID: <2rkmi15g7rjvec8r3uihnrf74v598pgtor@4ax.com>
Source record: 12067

```
WTB: Icom R8500 Receiver
Please leave condion and price email.
973-857-1195
Rich NJ
```

3706. Help finding Scanner for Orange County, CA, USA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-16 23:25:32 UTC
From: jpolaski@rgs.uci.edu
Message-ID: <1126913132.551815.293340@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12068

I'm haing trouble figuring out which would be a good scanner for Orange County, California. By radioreference.co, for Orange County (http://tinyurl.com/c6ya9) it looks like everything is on Motorolla II or LTR trunking, so I figure I need to get a good trunking scanner. I'm having a hard time figuring out which one to get, though.

The police are on Motorolla II, but are digitally encrypted. Does that mean I need a regular digital scanner, like the RS PRO-2096 or Bearcat BC796D to listen to them?

I'm hoping to get the most scanner for my money... I'd like to keep it under $200, but could go up to $500 if it was really needed (if I really needed digital, for example). I'd like to end up with a good all-around scanner, but the main thing is it has to work well if there is a big emergency (like an earthquake). So I'd really like to be able to hear police and other emergency services. Maybe even National Guard or other military chanels.

Thanks for you help,

Jeff P

3707. Re: Now this is a nifty radio !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-16 23:43:37 UTC
From: Al Arduengo <aarduengo@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.09.16.23.43.34.886187@sbcglobal.net>
Source record: 12069
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Fri, 16 Sep 2005 18:16:47 +0000, Casey wrote:

> Dave wrote:
>> And it has 2 meters also!
>
> Agree, very nice and it would be a great radio for when you are way out in
> the middle of nowhere with an emergency. You can always contact a plane
> flying over to relay your position and situation to local authorities and
> not have to rely on a Ham 2 meter repeater being nearby. Very cool radio
> and nice size and battery life too.

Odd. It looks remarkably like a Yaesu VX-7R.

--
Vote for Pedro

3708. Prob Monitoring Detroit's New System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-17 00:16:11 UTC
From: Chris <n8cop@wowway.com>
Message-ID: <pKidnXtHJsTR_bbeRVn-qg@wideopenwest.com>
Source record: 12070
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Anybody with digital scanners in the Detroit area, have any problems monitoring their new simulcast system?? I have an R/S Pro-96 (firmware upgraded to 1.2), Pro-2096 (firmware upgraded to 1.2) and a Uniden BC796D which I use to listen to digital systems. For some odd reason, all 3 are having problems decoding the Detroit system. All 3 scanners claim they can decode CQPSK/C4M, which this system supposedly is, but none of them are able to offer an intelligible signal. On some occasions, you can hear part or all of the transmission, but its hit or miss. All 3 do an awesome job with all of the other systems I listen to, so what gives?

Chris

3709. Re: Now this is a nifty radio !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-17 02:07:15 UTC
From: Tom Holden <holden_family@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <sTKWe.4267$6Z1.1038731@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 12073
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Al Arduengo" <aarduengo@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.09.16.23.43.34.886187@sbcglobal.net...
> On Fri, 16 Sep 2005 18:16:47 +0000, Casey wrote:
>
> Odd. It looks remarkably like a Yaesu VX-7R.

Yaesu changed its company name to Vertex Standard and markets Yaesu to hams
and Vertex to commercial.

3710. Re: Prob Monitoring Detroit's New System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-17 02:16:35 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <v2vmi1l8ohegr0ffckop3njd3rdiisf957@4ax.com>
Source record: 12071
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Fri, 16 Sep 2005 20:16:11 -0400, "Chris" <n8cop@wowway.com> said in alt.radio.scanner:

>Anybody with digital scanners in the Detroit area, have any problems
>monitoring their new simulcast system?? I have an R/S Pro-96 (firmware
>upgraded to 1.2), Pro-2096 (firmware upgraded to 1.2) and a Uniden BC796D
>which I use to listen to digital systems. For some odd reason, all 3 are
>having problems decoding the Detroit system. All 3 scanners claim they can
>decode CQPSK/C4M, which this system supposedly is, but none of them are able
>to offer an intelligible signal. On some occasions, you can hear part or all
>of the transmission, but its hit or miss. All 3 do an awesome job with all
>of the other systems I listen to, so what gives?

Which particular system (MPSCS)? Which particular talk groups?

3711. Re: Prob Monitoring Detroit's New System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-17 02:21:33 UTC
From: **THE-RFI-EMI-GUY** <rhyolite@nettally.com>
Message-ID: <432B7F02.1010703@nettally.com>
Source record: 12072
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I will go out on a limb here. I assume you are evaluating all three radios from a fixed location? Could it be you are located outside the Detroit area? Simulcast systems are very particular with respect to phasing. If your monitoring location is such that you are hearing two or more sites that have a differential of more than about 15 miles, you might be getting poorly phased simulcast signals. Try moving the receivers to a different closer in site. If that works, you may need a yagi antenna for you base to discriminate the best site for reception.

Chris wrote:

>Anybody with digital scanners in the Detroit area, have any problems
>monitoring their new simulcast system?? I have an R/S Pro-96 (firmware
>upgraded to 1.2), Pro-2096 (firmware upgraded to 1.2) and a Uniden BC796D
>which I use to listen to digital systems. For some odd reason, all 3 are
>having problems decoding the Detroit system. All 3 scanners claim they can
>decode CQPSK/C4M, which this system supposedly is, but none of them are able
>to offer an intelligible signal. On some occasions, you can hear part or all
>of the transmission, but its hit or miss. All 3 do an awesome job with all
>of the other systems I listen to, so what gives?
>
>Chris
>
>
>
>

--
Joe Leikhim K4SAT
"The RFI-EMI-GUY"

The Lost Deep Thoughts By: Jack Handey
Before a mad scientist goes mad, there's probably a time
when he's only partially mad. And this is the time when he's
going to throw his best parties.

3712. Re: New Orleans Radio Scanner Audio Stream

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-17 02:53:10 UTC
From: DanTheMam <spam@ftc.gov>
Message-ID: <Xns96D3E9A3EB37Dnottodaycom@130.81.64.196>
Source record: 12074
Cross-posted to: triangle.radio,triangle.general,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in
news:lp39i1patsgvig1ajdb15to001fito15oj@4ax.com:

> On Sun, 11 Sep 2005 10:10:21 -0400, Gary L. Burnore
> <gburnore@databasix.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:
>
>>On Sun, 11 Sep 2005 13:34:51 GMT, kla1899 <spam@ftc.gov> wrote:
>>
>>>Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in
>>>news:h1s4i1ts6jifn1dar5h6fpkhvkdhho7t8q@4ax.com:
>>>
>>>> On Fri, 09 Sep 2005 17:11:11 GMT, kla1899 <spam@ftc.gov> said in
>>>> rec.radio.scanner:
>>>>
>>>>Gary Brown <gbrown@nc.rr.com> wrote in
>>>>news:g4ffh1hgc29bc5c7e3jpnqgoq4qjdairgg@4ax.com:
>>>>>
>>>>> Needs WinAmp
>>>>>
>>>>> http://205.252.89.181:8000/
>>>>>
>>>>> Hear FEMA, LSP, Guard, Coast Guard, etc.
>>>>>
>>>>> Incredible communications.
>>>>> Very busy server. May take several tries with the Play button to
>>>>> hear the feed.
>>>>>
>>>>> Gary
>>>>> K4GPB
>>>>>
>>>>The page cannot be displayed
>>>>The page you are looking for is currently unavailable. The Web site
>>>>might be experiencing technical difficulties, or you may need to
>>>>adjust your browser settings.
>>>>
>>>> That's what "May take several tries with the Play button to hear the
>>>> feed" means.
>>>>
>>>
>>>when you get a "page not found" it means there will be no PLAY BUTTON
>>>MORON.
>>
>>He didn't say "Play Button Moron". He said "Play Button", moron.

>
> I guess kla1899 missed the "Needs WinAmp" part. I've never seen "This
> page cannot be displayed" in Winamp.
>
> Of course, kla1899, being something other than a moron, knows better
> than all of us put together so, for him, using a web browser should
> work. The rest of us will just have to use Winamp to listen to Winamp
> feeds.
>

but if the browser is saying "error 404 can't fine the page" then tell us all just how you make your wen-amp run on the???? Just like when I to tried it I to got a error 404 this page can not be deplayed.. if there is no WinAmp feed just how do you listen to it????

DanTheMan

3713. Re: San Diego County Scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-17 04:24:15 UTC
From: Anonymous Poster <askme@youknow.com>
Message-ID: <ZNMWe.23865$sx2.18086@fed1read02>
Source record: 12075
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Cross Post.

Anonymous Poster wrote:
> IYHO, what is the best digital scanner for listening to LE, FD and
> general interest in North San Diego County? I'm interested in Carlsbad,
> Oceanside and Escondido PD, SDSO and Rancho Fire Agencies.
>
> Please advise.

3714. Re: Help finding Scanner for Orange County, CA, USA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-17 04:56:10 UTC
From: David Harmon <source@netcom.com>
Message-ID: <4344a133.599868453@news.west.earthlink.net>
Source record: 12076

On 16 Sep 2005 16:25:32 -0700 in rec.radio.scanner,
jpolaski@rgs.uci.edu wrote,
>The police are on Motorolla II, but are digitally encrypted. Does that
>mean I need a regular digital scanner, like the RS PRO-2096 or Bearcat
>BC796D to listen to them?

No, that means it's practically impossible to listen to them.
Figure out what you would like to hear, excluding OC police, and
base your choice on that.

3715. Re: Now this is a nifty radio !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-17 05:16:19 UTC
From: Dan <newsgroupemail99@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <wKSdnY1h0rtUO7beRVn-3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 12077
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Ok But,
does it play MP3's

Dan

"Dave" <davebong@bongmaker5.com> wrote in message
news:69875$432afd90$d1cc4e42$18655@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> And it has 2 meters also!
>
> http://www.tagpilotsupply.com/index.asp?PageAction=VIEWPROD&ProdID=793
>
>
>

3716. Re: Now this is a nifty radio !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-17 05:16:49 UTC
From: Dan <newsgroupemail99@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <wKSdnYxh0rtUO7beRVn-3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 12078
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Ok But,
does it play MP3's

Dan

"Dave" <davebong@bongmaker5.com> wrote in message
news:69875$432afd90$d1cc4e42$18655@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> And it has 2 meters also!
>
> http://www.tagpilotsupply.com/index.asp?PageAction=VIEWPROD&ProdID=793
>
>
>

3717. Re: Now this is a nifty radio !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-17 05:17:00 UTC
From: Dan <newsgroupemail99@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3KednfA0iutOO7beRVn-rg@comcast.com>
Source record: 12079
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Ok But,
does it play MP3's

Dan

"Dave" <davebong@bongmaker5.com> wrote in message
news:69875$432afd90$d1cc4e42$18655@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> And it has 2 meters also!
>
> http://www.tagpilotsupply.com/index.asp?PageAction=VIEWPROD&ProdID=793
>
>
>

3718. Re: San Diego County Scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-17 06:50:42 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <m4fni1t3palns0oedoinus1i6qq14fg6fq@4ax.com>
Source record: 12080
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 16 Sep 2005 21:24:15 -0700, Anonymous Poster
<askme@youknow.com> said in alt.radio.scanner:

IMO the best digital scanner at the moment is the Uniden BCD396T. But
I'd wait until September 30 to make any final decision.

3719. Re: Now this is a nifty radio !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-17 10:16:24 UTC
From: Fred Garvin <garv@elaborate.net>
Message-ID: <2005091706263938165%garv@elaboratenet>
Source record: 12081
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On 2005-09-16 13:15:00 -0400, "Dave" <davebong@bongmaker5.com> said:

> And it has 2 meters also!
>
> http://www.tagpilotsupply.com/index.asp?PageAction=VIEWPROD&ProdID=793

WAY too much money for that.
--
Chris: "Dad, what's a blowhole for?"
Peter: "I'll tell you what it's NOT for and then you'll know why I can
never go back to Sea World."

3720. Re: Prob Monitoring Detroit's New System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-17 11:54:02 UTC
From: Chris <n8cop@wowway.com>
Message-ID: <_OedndANI-hHnrHeRVn-rA@wideopenwest.com>
Source record: 12082
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Which particular system (MPSCS)? Which particular talk groups?

Its the newly added simulcast system, (listed on radioreference.com as MPSCS #701), which claims to have 10 sites. It just came online last week and is being used by MSP and the City of Detroit. I do notice that the previous Detroit system for MSP is now off the air. I believe that it was listed as #209 in the MPSCS list. I had no problem monitoring that.

Chris

3721. Re: Now this is a nifty radio !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-17 12:02:02 UTC
From: HotShot <MyShotIsHot@HotShotShootsSpam.Com>
Message-ID: <2BTWe.4352$6Z1.1103690@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 12084

Um yah, but too bad it doesn't transmit in the air band.

Power Output: 5 watts Airband, 5 watts 144-148 Mhz FM
Receive: Airband 108-137 Mhz, NOAA Weather, FM Broadcast 88-108 Mhz

Casey wrote:
> Dave wrote:
>
>>And it has 2 meters also!
>
>
> Agree, very nice and it would be a great radio for when you are way out in
> the middle of nowhere with an emergency. You can always contact a plane
> flying over to relay your position and situation to local authorities and
> not have to rely on a Ham 2 meter repeater being nearby. Very cool radio
> and nice size and battery life too.
>
>

3722. Re: Prob Monitoring Detroit's New System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-17 12:04:39 UTC
From: Chris <n8cop@wowway.com>
Message-ID: <oOednT2MhtbKm7HeRVn-uw@wideopenwest.com>
Source record: 12083
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Yes you are correct. I am located in a suburb of Detroit, aprox. 10-20 miles from the 10 sites. 2 of the radios (the Radio Shacks) are using telescoping antennas. The BC796D is fed with a commercial 800 mhz vertical (9913 coax), tuned to the 866-869 band. I would have figured that at least that radio/antenna would have no problem with reception? There seems to be adequate signal, according to the s-meters on the radios. I've had no problem monitoring other non-CQPSK/C4M 3600/9600 baud systems until now.

You do state that a Yagi would be preferable.....hmmm, that might be the answer. This hobby is getting dogone expensive!!!

Thanks,

Chris

"**THE-RFI-EMI-GUY**" <rhyolite@nettally.com> wrote in message news:432B7F02.1010703@nettally.com...

>I will go out on a limb here. I assume you are evaluating all three radios
>from a fixed location? Could it be you are located outside the Detroit
>area? Simulcast systems are very particular with respect to phasing. If
>your monitoring location is such that you are hearing two or more sites
>that have a differential of more than about 15 miles, you might be getting
>poorly phased simulcast signals. Try moving the receivers to a different
>closer in site. If that works, you may need a yagi antenna for you base to
>discriminate the best site for reception.
>

3723. Re: Now this is a nifty radio !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-17 12:44:16 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <ucUWe.9931$Ma.6539@fe07.lga>
Source record: 12085

Um, yah, but your quote would seen to say otherwise...

"HotShot" <MyShotIsHot@HotShotShootsSpam.Com> wrote in message
news:2BTWe.4352$6Z1.1103690@news20.bellglobal.com...
> Um yah, but too bad it doesn't transmit in the air band.
>
> # Power Output: 5 watts Airband, 5 watts 144-148 Mhz FM
> # Receive: Airband 108-137 Mhz, NOAA Weather, FM Broadcast 88-108 Mhz
>

3724. Re: Now this is a nifty radio !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-17 14:07:09 UTC
From: HotShot <MyShotIsHot@HotShotShootsSpam.Com>
Message-ID: <kqVWe.4391$6Z1.1126764@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 12086

oops

PowerHouse Communications wrote:
> Um, yah, but your quote would seen to say otherwise...
>
>
> "HotShot" <MyShotIsHot@HotShotShootsSpam.Com> wrote in message
> news:2BTWe.4352$6Z1.1103690@news20.bellglobal.com...
>
>>Um yah, but too bad it doesn't transmit in the air band.
>>
>># Power Output: 5 watts Airband, 5 watts 144-148 Mhz FM
>># Receive: Airband 108-137 Mhz, NOAA Weather, FM Broadcast 88-108 Mhz
>>
>
>
>

3725. Re: San Diego County Scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-17 15:44:30 UTC
From: Anonymous Poster <askme@youknow.com>
Message-ID: <HLWWe.23901$sx2.14137@fed1read02>
Source record: 12087
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:
> On Fri, 16 Sep 2005 21:24:15 -0700, Anonymous Poster
> <askme@youknow.com> said in alt.radio.scanner:
>
> IMO the best digital scanner at the moment is the Uniden BCD396T. But
> I'd wait until September 30 to make any final decision.
What happens 30 September?

3726. Football Coach Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-17 16:25:45 UTC
From: Steven Hardy <gtg945q@mail.gatech.edu>
Message-ID: <dghg04$n0i$1@news-int.gatech.edu>
Source record: 12088

Would anybody know if the wireless headset radios that football coaches use, particularly college but also NFL are able to be picked up by scanners? I have been told that they are often digitally encrypted, but others have told me they can be picked up in the 450-465 range just like any other short range radio.

Thanks for the help,
Steven Hardy

3727. Re: PerCon WTF?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-17 17:53:57 UTC
From: kla1899 <spam@ftc.gov>
Message-ID: <Xns96D48E3AC2387nottodaycom@130.81.64.196>
Source record: 12089
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"David L. Wilson" <dwilson314@adelphia.net> wrote in
news:gtKdncFvUpskzLneRVn-pg@adelphia.com:

>
> "kla1899" <spam@ftc.gov> wrote in message
> news:Xns96CE6101E3FCFnottodaycom@130.81.64.196...
>> Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in
>> news:f2o6i1p2jorgh00orl2sp42jllj3h6s94a@4ax.com:
>>
>>> On Sat, 10 Sep 2005 19:23:53 GMT, kla1899 <spam@ftc.gov> said in
>>> alt.radio.scanner:
>>>
>>>>no moron there is nothiung at http://www.fcc.gov that will let you
>>>>download the complete FCC frequency database covering all 50 US
>>>>States plus all US territories
>>>
>>> Then I guess my IP just triggers something special at the site.
>>> Advertising on a non-advertising newsgroup is spamming - making up
>>> lies to support your "product" just makes you look bad.
>>>
>>>>the other guys asking you to post that link where, The Other George,
>>>>That Other Guy, No Spam oh and me. thats 4 people
>>>
>>> You mean your 4 addresses? Or are they your partners?
>>>
>>>> and yet you have still not posted a link to this free download??
>>>
>>> I did, spamming liar.
>>
>> No moron giving the general URL of http://www.fcc.gov is not giving
>> the URL of where to download this fictional free file you keep
>> talking about. In fact there is nothing to download at
>> http://www.fcc.gov but "Headlines" in ether Word or Acrobat ..
>
> And a link to the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau (right side) ,
> then link to Dabases (left side) and from there one ends up at
> http://wireless.fcc.gov/cgi-bin/wtb-datadump.pl
> but it is true the format pf the downloaded files is not at all
> friendly and one must be good at database work to use it making most
> much much better off buying someone elses CDROM where they have put it
> in a more useable form. (If you have never worked with databases, do
> not bother downloading the files from the site as you will not know
> what to do with them.)

>
>
>

Well ever your site does not have the 2 files we get from the FCC when we buy there database from them. What we get comes on 2 CD's and it is in .pdf formate, we convert it to .dbf dBASE format that can be read with any dBase type program. On our CD is a link to a free download of the old Visual dBASE 7.5 and it works beautifully for doing anything you want to do with a .dbf file. The files the FCC sends us are both bigger then 700Meg and to convert them from .pdf to .dbf takes just under 18hr.

And yes I do get a bit pissed off when some moron comes on telling folks it's free it's free when we just shelled out $75.95 for the CD's and when 4 people ask him for the like to these two 724meg and 729meg files he writes some bull shit like fcc.gov.. You can search fcc.gov from now tell hell freezes over and not find there complete lcensing database for download, i. e. if they send it to us on two CD's, the total file would be 1.453Gig to download. So a guy on a 56K dial up would be download for the next two weeks. It piss me off even more when this thread was a guy asking for any other date base because he was having a problem accessing the one he just paid for, and the moron say spamer spamer spamer.. God what a 5 year old.. Pointing the gey to a good priced database is not spaming.. I guess he thinks every one should pay for a data base and work for hours to convert it and set it up so even he can use it then offer it for free "NOT"

--
kla1899

3728. Re: San Diego County Scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-17 19:34:43 UTC
From: AL
Message-ID: <dghr6g0epf@news1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 12090
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Anonymous Poster" <askme@youknow.com> wrote in message
news:HLWWe.23901$sx2.14137@fed1read02...
> What happens 30 September?

They are announcing a new Uniden scanner. Which won't be available for 3-5 months.
Then will require several upgrades, and maybe even ship the unit back to the factory for something they forgot or left out.

Buy a Pro-96 for digital scanning. It's available and it works great. And none have had the letters and numbers wear off like the brand new BCD396.

AL

3729. Re: San Diego County Scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-17 22:12:35 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <jr4pi1lnt54rpoth69c9dfgqm36e7h2jsp@4ax.com>
Source record: 12091
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 17 Sep 2005 14:34:43 -0500, "AL" <al145@.x..com> said in
alt.radio.scanner:

>Buy a Pro-96 for digital scanning. It's available and it works great. And
>none have had the letters and numbers wear off like the brand new BCD396.

Or have ever opened on a fire tone-out. Or have free software to
program them. Or can be remotely read or controlled. And still costs
as much as (or a little more than, depending on where you shop) the
BCD396T.

And, if any of the firmware (any - CPU, DSP, anything) needs a fix, no
matter how minor, the scanner has to be shipped back to Radio Shack -
at your expense.

And Uniden maintains a presence on the Yahoo groups and the fora -
Radio Shack and, more importantly, GRE, is nowhere to be seen. You
want something changed? Write it down and burn the paper - maybe the
gods will be kind. Uniden doesn't just listen to us - they
participate in discussions, and modify the firmware due to users'
suggestions and desires - as witness the fire tone-out mod in the
latest firmware.

So, Al, tell us - when's the last time you were perfect? You still
haven't answered that one.

3730. Re: Now this is a nifty radio !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-17 22:13:40 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <k75pi11ii6jg1mdi9n3vn3besheb9o7gcn@4ax.com>
Source record: 12092

On Sat, 17 Sep 2005 08:02:02 -0400, HotShot
<MyShotIsHot@HotShotShootsSpam.Com> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>Um yah, but too bad it doesn't transmit in the air band.

So tell me again ... what does it do that my VX-5 won't do? For less
money?

3731. Re: Football Coach Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-17 22:15:38 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <795pi15offtjqb7vn4h07lc63u4um19md1@4ax.com>
Source record: 12093

On Sat, 17 Sep 2005 12:25:45 -0400, "Steven Hardy"
<gtg945q@mail.gatech.edu> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>Would anybody know if the wireless headset radios that football coaches use,
>particularly college but also NFL are able to be picked up by scanners? I
>have been told that they are often digitally encrypted, but others have told
>me they can be picked up in the 450-465 range just like any other short
>range radio.

If they're using non-encrypted radios that aren't DSS, they might as
well post their plays on the board for everyone to see. IOW, don't
bet on intercepting those signals without some very sophisticated,
very expensive (and probably very illegal) equipment. Except, maybe,
for high school games.

3732. JACARS Acars Decoder Program ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-17 23:21:47 UTC
From: Robert11 <rgsros@notme.com>
Message-ID: <OoGdnd_vmKaWOLHeRVn-1A@comcast.com>
Source record: 12094

Hi,

Heard about an ACARS decoder program called jacars.

Can't seem to find a link to it that works.

Anyone know anything about it, or how to get it ?

Thnks,
Bob

3733. Re: JACARS Acars Decoder Program ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-17 23:32:04 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <4n9pi1pg9dteg5606bqf906llr6cgl2ues@4ax.com>
Source record: 12095

On Sat, 17 Sep 2005 19:21:47 -0400, "Robert11" <rgsros@notme.com> said
in rec.radio.scanner:

>Heard about an ACARS decoder program called jacars.

>Can't seem to find a link to it that works.

>Anyone know anything about it, or how to get it ?

Try http://www.acarsd.org/download/acarsd-1.60.zip for the Windows
version.

3734. Re: San Diego County Scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-18 02:10:24 UTC
From: Netnews <jess784@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <MvqdnaEnQ4oFUbHeRVn-vw@comcast.com>
Source record: 12096
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Al Klein"

Yep, I agree with Al. Plus, with Radio Shack stuff Everything is an extra; wall warts; cables; software and so on. Batteries. If you can get the scanner out of the plastic prison they pack it in; it still won't work unless you spend 60 - 70 dollars more for the mandatory accessories.

Jim

3735. Re: new drink?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-18 03:02:09 UTC
From: "Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <RM4Xe.25964$uD6.10317@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 12097
Cross-posted to: alt.bible.prophecy,alt.religion.christian.baptist,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

An even more intriquing question is:

How do you make a dead floater loot?

"Herbert Khaury" <tiptoe@tulip.me> wrote in message
news:v6adnZ2dnZ0o4bvanZ2dnU1og96dnZ2dRVn-zp2dnZ0@comcast.com...
> How do you make a dead looter float?
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> First you get a tall glass, add two scoops of dead looter and 8 oz of cold
> milk, top it off with whipped cream and a cherry.
>
>
> --
>
> "lloyd the toide" <flushhhhhh@yahooblows.com> wrote in message
> news:cc0b9$4317dab4$d1cc7c3d$16727@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>> From the Chicago Sun-Times:
>>
>> http://www.suntimes.com/output/feder/cst-fin-feder01.html
>>
>> On [Dahl's] (105.9) afternoon show Tuesday, he mused about creating a
> drink
>> inspired by
>> reports of "floating corpses" in New Orleans. And on his Web log
> Wednesday,
>> he elaborated.
> [...]
>
>

3736. Re: Now this is a nifty radio !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-18 03:34:32 UTC
From: "Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <cf5Xe.25973$uD6.15490@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 12098
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Seems like a lot of money for an HT--nifty or not.

"Dave" <davebong@bongmaker5.com> wrote in message
news:69875$432afd90$d1cc4e42$18655@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> And it has 2 meters also!
>
> http://www.tagpilotsupply.com/index.asp?PageAction=VIEWPROD&ProdID=793
>
>
>

3737. Re: new drink?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-18 06:00:22 UTC
From: Digital <Wogie@BrainDed.cz>
Message-ID: <dgivmq$t89$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 12099
Cross-posted to: alt.bible.prophecy,alt.religion.christian.baptist,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com> wrote in message
news:RM4Xe.25964$uD6.10317@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com...
An even more intriquing question is:

How do you make a dead floater loot?

....

Easy enough to do. Have someone claim his body, call the ACLU and they will
continue the looting via the legal system.

3738. Re: new drink?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-18 06:29:03 UTC
From: "Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <PO7Xe.25996$uD6.18130@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 12100
Cross-posted to: alt.bible.prophecy,alt.religion.christian.baptist,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

LOL!

"Digital" <Wogie@BrainDed.cz> wrote in message
news:dgivmq$t89$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:RM4Xe.25964$uD6.10317@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com...
> An even more intriquing question is:
>
> How do you make a dead floater loot?
>
> ....
>
> Easy enough to do. Have someone claim his body, call the ACLU and they
> will
> continue the looting via the legal system.
>
>
>

3739. Re: Now this is a nifty radio !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-18 06:49:49 UTC
From: "Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <h68Xe.25999$uD6.16002@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 12001
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Please quit spamming our news groups.

"Dave" <davebong@bongmaker5.com> wrote in message
news:69875$432afd90$d1cc4e42$18655@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> And it has 2 meters also!
>
> http://www.tagpilotsupply.com/index.asp?PageAction=VIEWPROD&ProdID=793
>
>
>

3740. From OP: Acarsd That Downloaded The Same As JACARS ? Re: JACARS Acars Decoder Program ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-18 11:33:58 UTC
From: Robert11 <rgsros@notme.com>
Message-ID: <boqdnWjq0c86zbDeRVn-ug@comcast.com>
Source record: 12002

Hello,
Thanks for help and link.

Is this acarsd that downloaded the same as the JACARS program that I found a
mention to, and
wanted to try out ?

Is it just a name change, or... ?

Bob

"Robert11" <rgsros@notme.com> wrote in message
news:OoGdnd_vmKaWOLHeRVn-1A@comcast.com...
> Hi,
>
> Heard about an ACARS decoder program called jacars.
>
> Can't seem to find a link to it that works.
>
> Anyone know anything about it, or how to get it ?
>
> Thnks,
> Bob
>

3741. Re: Now this is a nifty radio !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-18 13:39:49 UTC
From: John Ferrell <johnferrell@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <F6eXe.72$vw6.16@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 12003
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

If I were still flying it would be of interest. As Ham equipment it is
pricey, but as aircraft equipment it is competitive.

BTW, how come you consider this spam?
de W8CCW

--
John Ferrell
http://DixieNC.US

"Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com> wrote in message
news:h68Xe.25999$uD6.16002@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com...
> Please quit spamming our news groups.
>
> "Dave" <davebong@bongmaker5.com> wrote in message
> news:69875$432afd90$d1cc4e42$18655@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>> And it has 2 meters also!
>>
>> http://www.tagpilotsupply.com/index.asp?PageAction=VIEWPROD&ProdID=793
>>
>>
>>
>
>

3742. Re: Q: AOR Serial Protocol

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-18 21:36:21 UTC
From: "aaa@mytrashmail.com" <aaa@mytrashmail.com>
Message-ID: <1127079381.710539.299090@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12004
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

what language are you going to use?

3743. Re: Q: AOR Serial Protocol

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-18 22:19:02 UTC
From: "aaa@mytrashmail.com" <aaa@mytrashmail.com>
Message-ID: <1127081942.344710.325000@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12005
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Hi Dave,
I've seen your post about your vox recorder. can you give me a hint how
I can check sound in line and find out if it's voice or not? or was
your vox recording based on just level of signal?
is there open source algorithm which I'd be able to use(c,c++ or java)?

thanks.

3744. NASCAR DRIVERS??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-18 22:25:44 UTC
From: John Kerkes <jekerkes@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <IPIXe.120$4u4.98@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 12006
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Just wondering if anyone knows where i might be able to Download the frequencies used by the drivers and nascar officals? Any help would be appreciated.

3745. Re: NASCAR DRIVERS??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-18 23:27:20 UTC
From: gray-beard <gray-beard@tryandguess.com>
Message-ID: <Yeadnct7kfjLarDeRVn-vQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 12007
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Well I found years ago that search engines like yahoo and google are in
fact good for something.
I typed "nascar frequencies" into yahoo and it came up with 214,000 hits.
Try it, you will find what you are looking for.
That's about all the help you will get here.

GB

John Kerkes wrote:
> Just wondering if anyone knows where i might be able to Download the
> frequencies used by the drivers and nascar officals? Any help would be
> appreciated.
>
>

--
"There's no way to rule innocent men. The only power any government
has is the power to crack down on criminals. Well, when there aren't
enough criminals, one makes them. One declares so many things to be a
crime that it becomes impossible to live without breaking laws."

-- Ayn Rand, Atlas Shrugged

3746. Re: Q: AOR Serial Protocol

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-19 00:11:18 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <if0si1ttihuvgobm6h8tces9ik5j0hrod2@4ax.com>
Source record: 12008
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

On 18 Sep 2005 15:19:02 -0700, "aaa@mytrashmail.com"
<aaa@mytrashmail.com> said in alt.radio.scanner:

>I've seen your post about your vox recorder. can you give me a hint how
>I can check sound in line and find out if it's voice or not? or was
>your vox recording based on just level of signal?
>is there open source algorithm which I'd be able to use(c,c++ or java)?

Voice as opposed to music is fairly simple. Voice as opposed to data
is trivial. Absolute "this is voice" is impossible.

3747. Re: Now this is a nifty radio !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-19 00:15:15 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <vi0si1pt097fn4s17aj8k6c40qggrc90dn@4ax.com>
Source record: 12009
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 18 Sep 2005 13:39:49 GMT, "John Ferrell"
<johnferrell@earthlink.net> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>If I were still flying it would be of interest. As Ham equipment it is
>pricey, but as aircraft equipment it is competitive.

It's not aircraft equipment, it's a single-band ham transceiver that
can receive aircraft. There are cheaper multi-band ham transceivers
that have wider receivers than that. My VX-5, for example, can
receive aircraft - AND any frequency from 0.5 MHz to 999 MHz, AM, FM
or WFM, and can transmit on 6, 2 and 440. And it's cheaper.

3748. Re: Now this is a nifty radio !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-19 00:28:06 UTC
From: dplatt@radagast.org
Message-ID: <11is1gmkkc7aof3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 12010
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <vi0si1pt097fn4s17aj8k6c40qggrc90dn@4ax.com>,
Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote:

>>If I were still flying it would be of interest. As Ham equipment it is
>>pricey, but as aircraft equipment it is competitive.
>
>It's not aircraft equipment, it's a single-band ham transceiver that
>can receive aircraft. There are cheaper multi-band ham transceivers
>that have wider receivers than that. My VX-5, for example, can
>receive aircraft - AND any frequency from 0.5 MHz to 999 MHz, AM, FM
>or WFM, and can transmit on 6, 2 and 440. And it's cheaper.

From what I see on their web page, it appears that the VXA-700 is an
airband transceiver (not just a receiver), with 2-meter amateur
operation added.

It does look as if it re-uses a lot of the VX-7 construction.

--
Dave Platt <dplatt@radagast.org> AE6EO
Hosting the Jade Warrior home page: http://www.radagast.org/jade-warrior
I do _not_ wish to receive unsolicited commercial email, and I will
boycott any company which has the gall to send me such ads!

3749. Re: San Diego County Scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-19 02:10:44 UTC
From: Anonymous Poster <askme@youknow.com>
Message-ID: <J0pXe.24031$sx2.4018@fed1read02>
Source record: 12011
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:
> On Fri, 16 Sep 2005 21:24:15 -0700, Anonymous Poster
> <askme@youknow.com> said in alt.radio.scanner:
>
> IMO the best digital scanner at the moment is the Uniden BCD396T. But
> I'd wait until September 30 to make any final decision.
Thanks everyone. I went with the 2096. So far I'm pretty happy.
ALthough I'm still learning it.

3750. Re: Now this is a nifty radio !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-19 03:58:21 UTC
From: Mike Burch <Libertybell7@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <xHqXe.341246$x96.130397@attbi_s72>
Source record: 12012
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

sdaniel13@nyc.rr.com wrote:
> Then why don't you tote it, 'tard.
>
That was a stupid remark. Mike K8MB

3751. Re: Now this is a nifty radio !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-19 04:01:17 UTC
From: Mike Burch <Libertybell7@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <hKqXe.341262$x96.180845@attbi_s72>
Source record: 12013
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Wayne P. Muckleroy wrote:

> Please quit spamming our news groups.

Aw, come on... get off it. That wasn't spam at all.

3752. Re: Now this is a nifty radio !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-19 04:51:01 UTC
From: m II <None@owl.nest.org>
Message-ID: <VsrXe.291511$on1.152897@clgrps13>
Source record: 12014
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Mike Burch wrote:

> sdaniel13@nyc.rr.com wrote:
>> Then why don't you tote it, 'tard.
>>
> That was a stupid remark. Mike K8MB

Any stupider than when the self appointed 'Ace' uses it ad nauseam? I
don't think so. It just can't get ANY more stupid.

mike

3753. Re: Football Coach Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-19 06:06:56 UTC
From: Jim <james@the-computer-shop.co.uk>
Message-ID: <4AsXe.12800$QU3.4810@newsfe1-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 12015

Steven Hardy wrote:
> Would anybody know if the wireless headset radios that football coaches use,
> particularly college but also NFL are able to be picked up by scanners? I
> have been told that they are often digitally encrypted, but others have told
> me they can be picked up in the 450-465 range just like any other short
> range radio.
>
> Thanks for the help,
> Steven Hardy
>
>

don't know about Stateside, but here in the UK, PMR446 is increasingly used for such diverse situations as intercom, vehicle control, site security and even school outings. There's 304 channels available (8x38) seperated by (I think) 6.25KHz from 446.0-447.9MHz. The reason for its gaining popularity is twofold: clarity of signal and amplitude over given distances up to 10-15 miles for professional gear, 1-2 miles outdoors for leisure units; and the fact that a license to operate one is not required. I own and operate a sizeable collection of such units myself, including two pairs of leisure units (one pair of BT Freeways and one pair of Albas) and one pair of pro units (Kenwood). The Kenwoods are good for 10 miles solid on a bad day.

--
Cheers, http://www.dotware.co.uk
Jim http://www.dotware-entertainment.co.uk

It is by caffeine alone I set my mind in motion, It is by the beans of Java that thoughts acquire speed, the hands acquire shaking, the shaking becomes a warning, it is by caffeine alone I set my mind in motion.

3754. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-19 12:11:49 UTC
From: Steve Silverwood <kb6ojs@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.1d8f7a65b9b5775a9896c9@news-server.socal.rr.com>
Source record: 12017
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <1125445904.226015.220920@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>,
toddaug555@yahoo.com says...
> It isn't over yet, there is a long process that the FCC and K1MAN has
> to go through. including a hearing before an administrative law judge
> and the US Court of Appeal.

However, his "broadcasting" days are about to come to a close -- owing
to the FCC "red light rule" discussed in other threads, the setting
aside of his renewal application is going to put him off the air at the
expiration of his present license. If he continues transmitting after
that date, he'll start racking up more fines for unlicensed
operation....

Forget soap operas and "reality shows." This stuff is =way= more
interesting.

--

-- //Steve//

Steve Silverwood, KB6OJS
Fountain Valley, CA
Email: kb6ojs@arrl.net

3755. Re: Prob Monitoring Detroit's New System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-19 12:21:01 UTC
From: zerg90 <zerg90@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1127132461.366914.255280@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12016
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Chris - have you tried moving the antennas around? Longer - shorter - lean left - lean right - etc? How about adding some attenuation? (perhaps a cellphone site recently activated near you).

Also - the advice that you received about a Yagi sounded reasonable to me.

The new simulcast systems probably are not designed to offer service 10 miles outside of the city.

Peter S

3756. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-19 14:11:29 UTC
From: Little Al <LittleAl@sevengangofal.net>
Message-ID: <dgmgs6dar0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 12018
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Steve Silverwood" <kb6ojs@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:MPG.1d8f7a65b9b5775a9896c9@news-server.socal.rr.com...
>
> However, his "broadcasting" days are about to come to a close -- owing
> to the FCC "red light rule" discussed in other threads, the setting
> aside of his renewal application is going to put him off the air at the
> expiration of his present license. If he continues transmitting after
> that date, he'll start racking up more fines for unlicensed
> operation....

If/when MAN goes OTA, you MAN haters will have nothing
left to obsess over in your pointless lives, at least until you find
a new target to fill the void in your empty existence.

3757. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-19 15:14:28 UTC
From: Dave <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <_vednYvL-dVLSLPeRVn-oQ@crocker.com>
Source record: 12019
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Little Al" <LittleAl@sevengangofal.net> wrote in message
news:dgmgs6dar0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Steve Silverwood" <kb6ojs@arrl.net> wrote in message
> news:MPG.1d8f7a65b9b5775a9896c9@news-server.socal.rr.com...
>>
>> However, his "broadcasting" days are about to come to a close -- owing
>> to the FCC "red light rule" discussed in other threads, the setting
>> aside of his renewal application is going to put him off the air at the
>> expiration of his present license. If he continues transmitting after
>> that date, he'll start racking up more fines for unlicensed
>> operation....
>
>
> If/when MAN goes OTA, you MAN haters will have nothing
> left to obsess over in your pointless lives, at least until you find
> a new target to fill the void in your empty existence.

where is he on the air now? what frequencies and times???? sounds to me
like he's been off the air for a while now already.

3758. Re: NASCAR DRIVERS??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-19 17:13:13 UTC
From: GARY <k3fcc@myactv.net>
Message-ID: <dgmrou02ubo@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 12021
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

NASCAR Driver/Crew Frequencies

Car/Driver Frequencey MHz
1 Steve Park 464.9250
1 Steve Park-Backup 451.3250
2 Rusty Wallace 451.8250
2 Rusty Wallace-Backup 452.6750
2 Rusty Wallace-Backup 464.8250
2 Rusty Wallace-Backup 453.6750
4 Mike Skinner 461.7500
4 Mike Skinner - Backup 464.3000
4 Mike Skinner - Backup 464.3875
5 Terry Labonte 468.2125
5 Terry Labonte - Backup 469.4875
5 Terry Labonte - Backup 467.0375
6 Mark Martin 460.9500
6 Mark Martin-Backup 466.7500
6 Mark Martin-Backup 468.5625
6 Mark Martin-Backup 463.4000
7 Casey Atwood 457.3750
7 Casey Atwood - Backup 463.1750
8 Dale Earnhardt Jr 452.0500
8 Dale Earnhardt Jr - Backup 464.8750
8 Dale Earnhardt Jr - Backup 467.0250
8 Dale Earnhardt Jr - Backup 465.8875
9 Bill Elliott 451.8500
9 Bill Elliott - Backup 452.6500
9 Bill Elliott - Backup 462.7625
9 Bill Elliott - Backup 462.5875
10 Johnny Benson 457.1750
10 Johnny Benson - Backup 452.1250
10 Johnny Benson - Backup 457.2125
10 Johnny Benson - Backup 465.7125
11 Brett Bodine 461.7875
11 Brett Bodine - Backup 456.1625
12 Ryan Newman 464.8000
12 Ryan Newman - Backup 464.8500
12 Ryan Newman - Backup 465.9750
12 Ryan Newman - Backup 469.6625
14 Stacy Compton 460.4875
14 Stacy Compton - Backup 462.7375
15 Michael Waltrip 464.9500
15 Michael Waltrip - Backup 453.7250

15 Michael Waltrip - Backup 463.7250
15 Michael Waltrip - Backup 451.9000
16 Greg Biffle 451.1375
16 Greg Biffle - Backup 468.4500
17 Matt Kenseth 462.2000
17 Matt Kenseth - Backup 462.4500
17 Matt Kenseth - Backup 463.9500
17 Matt Kenseth - Backup 463.7125
18 Bobby Labonte 451.3000
18 Bobby Labonte - Backup 451.3500
18 Bobby Labonte - Backup 451.9500
19 Jeremy Mayfield 452.4500
19 Jeremy Mayfield - Backup 456.8500
19 Jeremy Mayfield - Backup 452.9750
19 Jeremy Mayfield - Backup 465.9875
20 Tony Steward 451.4000
20 Tony Steward - Backup 451.5000
20 Tony Steward - Backup 451.6000
21 Elliott Sadler 451.3750
21 Elliott Sadler - Backup 452.2000
22 Ward Burton 468.9375
22 Ward Burton - Backup 462.8375
22 Ward Burton - Backup 460.1625
23 Hut Stricklin 460.1625
23 Hut Stricklin - Backup 464.1750
24 Jeff Gordon 467.0625
24 Jeff Gordon - Backup 468.2125
24 Jeff Gordon - Backup 462.0625
24 Jeff Gordon - Backup 465.8625
25 Joe Nemechek 466.7875
25 Joe Nemechek - Backup 465.0250
25 Joe Nemechek - Backup 469.4625
26 Frank Kimmel 469.8375
26 Frank Kimmel - Backup 469.7625
26 Frank Kimmel - Backup 457.5500
28 Ricky Rudd 466.9500
28 Ricky Rudd - Backup 466.4500
29 Kevin Harvick 469.0125
29 Kevin Harvick - Backup 466.0250
29 Kevin Harvick - Backup 462.0250
29 Kevin Harvick - Backup 463.2250
30 Jeff Green 464.2125
30 Jeff Green - Backup 469.4625
31 Robby Gordon 468.5750
31 Robby Gordon - Backup 468.6000
31 Robby Gordon - Backup 464.0750
31 Robby Gordon - Backup 468.2500
32 Ricky Craven 46..3500
32 Ricky Craven - Backup 857.7875
32 Ricky Craven - Backup 860.8625

32 Ricky Craven - Backup 467.7500
33 Mike Wallace 466.7375
33 Mike Wallace - Backup 468.7750
36 Ken Schrader 463.2875
36 Ken Schrader - Backup 461.2175
36 Ken Schrader - Backup 461.2125
40 Sterling Marlin 462.1000
40 Sterling Marlin - Backup 461.3750
40 Sterling Marlin - Backup 463.9375
41 Jimmy Spencer 457.0250
41 Jimmy Spencer - Backup 460.9750
43 John Andretti 467.7750
43 John Andretti - Backup 464.4500
43 John Andretti - Backup 464.3250
44 Steve Grisson 464.3250
44 Steve Grisson - Backup 465.6750
44 Steve Grisson - Backup 461.5500
44 Steve Grisson - Backup 464.3500
45 Kyle Petty 461.2250
45 Kyle Petty - Backup 464.4000
45 Kyle Petty - Backup 466.1750
48 Jimmy Johnson 451.9875
48 Jimmy Johnson - Backup 452.2375
48 Jimmy Johnson - Backup 466.3375
49 Shawna Robinson 461.4175
49 Shawna Robinson - Backup 466.2875
54 Kevin Grubb 463.3125
54 Kevin Grubb - Backup 461.8375
55 Bobby Hamilton 467.8875
55 Bobby Hamilton - Backup 461.9875
57 Ron Hornaday 462.2875
62 Brendan Gaughn 461.6500
66 Todd Bondine 468.8625
66 Todd Bondine - Backup 469.3625
66 Todd Bondine - Backup 466.3500
66 Todd Bondine - Backup 469.7625
71 Dick Trickle 467.5625
71 Dick Trickle - Backup 456.5625
73 Tony Raines 469.8750
73 Tony Raines - Backup 463.7000
74 Chad Little 468.8125
74 Chad Little - Backup 468.8250
77 Dave Blaney 463.8875
77 Dave Blaney - Backup 468.8875
77 Dave Blaney - Backup 466.2625
85 Carl Long 468.7125
88 Dale Jarrett 468.5250
88 Dale Jarrett - Backup 466.4125
88 Dale Jarrett - Backup 466.3750
90 Rick Mast 467.1625

90 Rick Mast - Backup 461.5375
92 Robert Pressley 463.9750
92 Robert Pressley - Backup 464.1750
97 Kurt Bush 469.4500
97 Kurt Bush - Backup 463.4250
98 Kenny Wallace 464.6625
98 Kenny Wallace - Backup 463.2375
99 Jeff Burton 466.2750
99 Jeff Burton - Backup 466.8625
02 Hermie Sadler 459.3625
09 Geoffrey Bodine 469.2875

3759. Re: Prob Monitoring Detroit's New System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-19 20:25:34 UTC
From: Chris <n8cop@wowway.com>
Message-ID: <utydncf-J-8lg7LeRVn-sA@wideopenwest.com>
Source record: 12022
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I've tried everything except the Yagi, Peter. I guess I will have to take a trip towards Detroit, with the portable, and see if things sound better. Grrrr. This is frustrating.

Chris

"zerg90" <zerg90@gmail.com> wrote in message news:1127132461.366914.255280@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Chris - have you tried moving the antennas around? Longer - shorter -
> lean left - lean right - etc? How about adding some attenuation?
> (perhaps a cellphone site recently activated near you).
>
> Also - the advice that you received about a Yagi sounded reasonable to
> me.
>
> The new simulcast systems probably are not designed to offer service 10
> miles outside of the city.
>
> Peter S
>

3760. Re: Football Coach Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-19 21:06:37 UTC
From: RadAct <n7rcm@pobox.com>
Message-ID: <xLFXe.718$oc.591@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 12023

"Barry OGrady" <atheist.xxx@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:22cti1ls80s4nvqclel7vthbj2mckhtfr3@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 19 Sep 2005 06:06:56 GMT, Jim <james@the-computer-shop.co.uk>
wrote:
>
> >Steven Hardy wrote:
> >> Would anybody know if the wireless headset radios that football coaches
use,
> >> particularly college but also NFL are able to be picked up by scanners?
I
> >> have been told that they are often digitally encrypted, but others have
told
> >> me they can be picked up in the 450-465 range just like any other short
> >> range radio.
> >>
> >> Thanks for the help,
> >> Steven Hardy
> >>
> >>
> >
> >don't know about Stateside, but here in the UK, PMR446 is increasingly
> >used for such diverse situations as intercom, vehicle control, site
> >security and even school outings. There's 304 channels available (8x38)
> >seperated by (I think) 6.25KHz from 446.0-447.9MHz.
>
> You got that wrong. There are 8 channels from 446.0 to 446.1 with 12.5 Khz
> channel spacing and a 6.25 Khz offset.

In the U.S., those freqs are right in the middle of the ham band or, is it
different in the UK?

3761. Re: Football Coach Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-19 23:29:53 UTC
From: matt weber <matthеww50@cox.net>
Message-ID: <8diui1t0kv08ktbhu3rhnva0doe3ndk30j@4ax.com>
Source record: 12020

On Mon, 19 Sep 2005 22:36:21 +1000, Barry OGrady
<atheist.xxx@gmail.com> wrote:

>On Mon, 19 Sep 2005 06:06:56 GMT, Jim <james@the-computer-shop.co.uk> wrote:
>
>>Steven Hardy wrote:
>>> Would anybody know if the wireless headset radios that football coaches use,
>>> particularly college but also NFL are able to be picked up by scanners? I
>>> have been told that they are often digitally encrypted, but others have told
>>> me they can be picked up in the 450-465 range just like any other short
>>> range radio.
>>>
>>> Thanks for the help,
>>> Steven Hardy
The NFL uses an encrypted wireless system built by Motorola to insure
that neither you, or the opposing team can listen in. You can probably
pick it up on a scanner, but since it is encrypted, you won't hear
anything intelligible.
>>>

3762. Re: Q: AOR Serial Protocol

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-20 00:39:36 UTC
From: Dave <SRPro@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <jnkui1hnuiqg3658ljv9ulb62ubp7v3129@4ax.com>
Source record: 12024
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

On 18 Sep 2005 15:19:02 -0700, "aaa@mytrashmail.com"
<aaa@mytrashmail.com> wrote:

>can you give me a hint how
>I can check sound in line and find out if it's voice or not? or was
>your vox recording based on just level of signal?

I only know how to use the signal level. But voice squelch
is probably a bandpass filter (for voice frequencies) before
the signal level is measured...I wish I knew.

>is there open source algorithm which I'd be able to use(c,c++ or java)?

I don't know. But I did a google and saw some info about it.
You might be able to find somebody who submitted some code
to CodeProject.com or CodeGuru.com maybe.

I can help explain how mine works if you send an email to me
at the same address except the domain is davee.com. I use
c++ for my algorithms.

Dave

3763. Military band capable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-20 00:59:02 UTC
From: kc7jfv@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1127177942.500786.165350@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12025

Was thinking of PRO-96 but it lacks mil freq band.
I wouldn't want to spend $$ and not be able to receive that band.
Which is the best gear under $500 you recommend?
And what should I expect to hear on normal scanner? TIA
-Bill

3764. Re: Military band capable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-20 01:09:28 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <66oui118t6e3qi0b9jk41mog93ip4pjmgb@4ax.com>
Source record: 12026

On 19 Sep 2005 17:59:02 -0700, kc7jfv@hotmail.com said in
rec.radio.scanner:

>Was thinking of PRO-96 but it lacks mil freq band.
>I wouldn't want to spend $$ and not be able to receive that band.
>Which is the best gear under $500 you recommend?
>And what should I expect to hear on normal scanner? TIA

The Pro-96, after you buy the AC supply/charger, programming cable,
batteries and software is well over $500. For less money you could
buy the Uniden BCD396T and get MilAir coverage and fire tone-out
alert.

3765. Re: San Diego County Scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-20 01:18:47 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <qqoui1160fisqdjsdoha5idpq5jpij9abm@4ax.com>
Source record: 12027
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 16 Sep 2005 21:24:15 -0700, Anonymous Poster
<askme@youknow.com> wrote:

>Cross Post.

And you have a problem with cross-posting to TWO relevant
groups with a question that os ON-TOPIC for both? Steady, big fella,
before you have an apoplectic fit and fall off your horse.

>
>Anonymous Poster wrote:
>> IYHO, what is the best digital scanner for listening to LE, FD and
>> general interest in North San Diego County? I'm interested in Carlsbad,
>> Oceanside and Escondido PD, SDSO and Rancho Fire Agencies.
>>
>> Please advise.

3766. Re: San Diego County Scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-20 01:19:50 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <tvoui1polqdpt06cfpv1bf4ce3fo2d5d1f@4ax.com>
Source record: 12028
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 17 Sep 2005 08:44:30 -0700, Anonymous Poster
<askme@youknow.com> wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:
>> On Fri, 16 Sep 2005 21:24:15 -0700, Anonymous Poster
>> <askme@youknow.com> said in alt.radio.scanner:
>>
>> IMO the best digital scanner at the moment is the Uniden BCD396T. But
>> I'd wait until September 30 to make any final decision.
>What happens 30 September?

Day before the new bankruptcy laws kick in making credit card
debt non-dischargeable?

3767. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-20 02:28:06 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1127183286.132818.195670@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12029
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

>However, his "broadcasting" days are about to come to a close -- owing
>to the FCC "red light rule" discussed in other threads, the setting
>aside of his renewal application is going to put him off the air at the
>expiration of his present license. If he continues transmitting after
>that date, he'll start racking up more fines for unlicensed
>operation....
>Forget soap operas and "reality shows." This stuff is =way= more
>interesting.

>-- //Steve//

>Steve Silverwood, KB6OJS
>Fountain Valley, CA
>Email: kb6...@arrl.net

It is FAR from over, after the FCC makes it's decision it goes to Court
of Appeal where he can challenge the FCC rules and or decision. If he
transmits, he will be unlicensed which can speed the process to the
court systems like I said it's FAR from over.

Todd N9OGL
The N9OGL Show
14.321.00 Mhz

3768. Re: San Diego County Scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-20 03:13:32 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <bhvui1115n7famjfs9pldq1p1koibks5dd@4ax.com>
Source record: 12030
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 20 Sep 2005 01:19:50 GMT, kashe@sonic.net said in
alt.radio.scanner:

>On Sat, 17 Sep 2005 08:44:30 -0700, Anonymous Poster
><askme@youknow.com> wrote:
>
>>Al Klein wrote:
>>> On Fri, 16 Sep 2005 21:24:15 -0700, Anonymous Poster
>>> <askme@youknow.com> said in alt.radio.scanner:
>>>
>>> IMO the best digital scanner at the moment is the Uniden BCD396T. But
>>> I'd wait until September 30 to make any final decision.
>>What happens 30 September?
>
> Day before the new bankruptcy laws kick in making credit card
>debt non-dischargeable?

Uniden's coming our with some news on the 30th. Probably a new
scanner. All we know so far is that it'll be smaller than a bread
box.

3769. Re: Military band capable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-20 03:55:47 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <tvydnUK5Hq_ZFbLeRVn-iQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 12031

The PRO-96 can be enabled on MilAir, using Win96 software. It works very well in fact!

Another consideration, if money is a consideration, and you don't need digital, is Radio Shack's (GRE Mfg'rd) new PRO-97. MilAir right out of the box, and on sale right now for $179.99!

Bill Crocker

<kc7jfv@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:1127177942.500786.165350@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Was thinking of PRO-96 but it lacks mil freq band.
> I wouldn't want to spend $$ and not be able to receive that band.
> Which is the best gear under $500 you recommend?
> And what should I expect to hear on normal scanner? TIA
> -Bill
>

3770. Re: Prob Monitoring Detroit's New System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-20 03:58:23 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <NYCdncM67Jt8FbLeRVn-jQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 12032
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Don't panic. Wait awhile until all the dust settles. You are not the only one experiencing problems with that system, and I have a gut feeling it will get sorted out soon.

Bill Crocker

"Chris" <n8cop@wowway.com> wrote in message news:pKidnXtHJsTR_bbeRVn-qg@wideopenwest.com...
> Anybody with digital scanners in the Detroit area, have any problems
> monitoring their new simulcast system?? I have an R/S Pro-96 (firmware
> upgraded to 1.2), Pro-2096 (firmware upgraded to 1.2) and a Uniden BC796D
> which I use to listen to digital systems. For some odd reason, all 3 are
> having problems decoding the Detroit system. All 3 scanners claim they can
> decode CQPSK/C4M, which this system supposedly is, but none of them are
> able to offer an intelligible signal. On some occasions, you can hear part
> or all of the transmission, but its hit or miss. All 3 do an awesome job
> with all of the other systems I listen to, so what gives?
>
> Chris
>

3771. Re: Football Coach Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-20 05:54:43 UTC
From: Ralph <none@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Cu-dnQftKo2_ObLeRVn-gQ@giganews.com>
Source record: 12033

What Matt Weber said,
The headsets are digitally encrypted.
My nephew is the head electrician at the dome here in St. Louis and he tells me that the hard wired comms are also digital and encrypted as well.
I'm sure it all has something to do with dollars$$!!

"Steven Hardy" <gtg945q@mail.gatech.edu> wrote in message news:dghg04$n0i$1@news-int.gatech.edu...
> Would anybody know if the wireless headset radios that football coaches
> use,
> particularly college but also NFL are able to be picked up by scanners? I
> have been told that they are often digitally encrypted, but others have
> told
> me they can be picked up in the 450-465 range just like any other short
> range radio.
>
> Thanks for the help,
> Steven Hardy
>
>

3772. Re: Icom R8500 Receiver.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-20 05:57:57 UTC
From: Ralph <none@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <_qSdnXlVVK14ObLeRVn-gg@giganews.com>
Source record: 12034

Did you check Ebay?

I wanted an R7000 and found me one for cheap on Ebay.
The remote was damaged in shipping but otherwise, I have a great R7000 with
a repaired remote!

The remote just needed some super glue and is working well.
Great receiver!

<ritchi50@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:2rkmi15g7rjvec8r3uihnrf74v598pgtor@4ax.com...
> WTB: Icom R8500 Receiver
> Please leave condion and price email.
> 973-857-1195
> Rich NJ

3773. Re: Uniden scanner detachable head unit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-20 06:28:50 UTC
From: Ralph <none@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <g-mdnWeb1a6_MbLeRVn-tA@giganews.com>
Source record: 12035

Crud,
I built a detachable plate for my old BC-220 back around April of 1994 I think.
I mounted the radio under the dash and extended the keyboard and display wires to the dash.
The display was just above the steering wheel where the gear indicator would be on a tree shift.
The keypad was just above the factory radio mounted in a factory wood grain panel.
The volume and Squelch controls were mounted just below the factory radio and the speaker was wired into the cars sound system with switch that would select radio or scanner or both.
I had to modify the factory radio for this.
It also had a battery backup under the dash that provided power to the scanner and car radio as well as alarm system.

If anyone remembers SCAN magazine, I won an award for this design!
I was even asked to be a technical writer for them but I declined.
I'm not sure how much longer they (Scan Magazine) lasted after that.
They sent me several issues of the issue that I had my winning entry in as well.

I also was the one who found that a PRO-2004 could be expanded to 400 channels.
I'd imagine that someone else will try and claim that but I can still dig up original text from my old color computer to a local BBS explaining how it can be done!
I'm talking before the internet and when everything was a BBS or bulletin board.
Some of them were fido net boards
Somehow along the transfer across fidonet boards, I lost creditability as the one who figured this mod out.

Am I pissed? No - but I'm disappointed!

Rich Carlson, N9JIG" <usenet@usenet.net> wrote in message news:usenet-69863D.06124310092005@comcast.dca.giganews.com...
> In article <3of3msF5l2bdU1@individual.net>,
> "Lumpy" <lumpy@digitalcartography.com> wrote:
>
>> Richard Carlson, N9JIG wrote:
>>
>> > I don't think ANY scanners come with removable heads, but you can
>> > buy retrofit kits from ScannerMaster for the BC780, BC785 and
>> > BC796. I have one on my 796 and it works great.

>>
>> How does that work, Richard?
>> Do you have to remove the faceplate from the
>> 796 and attach it to some kind of remote
>> mountable shell? Then some kind of plate
>> with a cat 5 connector attaches to the
>> front of the 796?
>>
>> Geez they want $450 for the remote kit!
>>
>>
>> n0eq Lumpy
>
> That is exactly how it works. The kit comes with 2 circuit boards, one
> attached to the new front for the radio unit and another that attaches
> to the rear of the board on the head. A mounting shell for the head and
> a front for the radio, as well as a cable to connect the two are
> included. Of course it also has the various internal cables and
> connecters needed.
>
> Yes, it is pricey, but it is obvious that a lot of work and engineering
> went into this. Ya cant just extend the wires...

3774. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-20 06:52:08 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1127199128.674472.44100@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12036
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Steve Silverwood wrote:
> In article <1125445904.226015.220920@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>,
> toddaug555@yahoo.com says...
> > It isn't over yet, there is a long process that the FCC and K1MAN has
> > to go through. including a hearing before an administrative law judge
> > and the US Court of Appeal.
>
> However, his "broadcasting" days are about to come to a close -- owing
> to the FCC "red light rule" discussed in other threads, the setting
> aside of his renewal application is going to put him off the air at the
> expiration of his present license. If he continues transmitting after
> that date, he'll start racking up more fines for unlicensed
> operation....
>
> Forget soap operas and "reality shows." This stuff is =way= more
> interesting.

you need to watch better TV then
>
> --
>
> -- //Steve//
>
> Steve Silverwood, KB6OJS
> Fountain Valley, CA
> Email: kb6ojs@arrl.net

3775. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-20 07:11:17 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <2jdvi1d0k67pdqa9s28flggt3dlu9pc534@4ax.com>
Source record: 12037
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 19 Sep 2005 10:11:29 -0400, "Little Al"
<LittleAl@sevengangofal.net> wrote:

>
>"Steve Silverwood" <kb6ojs@arrl.net> wrote in message
>news:MPG.1d8f7a65b9b5775a9896c9@news-server.socal.rr.com...
>>
>> However, his "broadcasting" days are about to come to a close -- owing
>> to the FCC "red light rule" discussed in other threads, the setting
>> aside of his renewal application is going to put him off the air at the
>> expiration of his present license. If he continues transmitting after
>> that date, he'll start racking up more fines for unlicensed
>> operation....
>
>
>If/when MAN goes OTA, you MAN haters will have nothing
>left to obsess over in your pointless lives, at least until you find
>a new target to fill the void in your empty existence.

Gee, you must be the group existentialist.

3776. Re: Military band capable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-20 14:35:17 UTC
From: r2000swler@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1127226917.031280.318830@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12038

>From what I have read, I gathered the PRO2067 is the desktop,
or underdash version of either the PRO95 or PRO96.
Can the PRO2067 be MilAir enabled using software
like Win96, or Win95? Sorry if this is a silly question, but I received

a PRO2067 as part of a complex trade and haven't had much of a
chance to invesitgate it's capability.

Terry

3777. New home has Housewide stereo, what do I need?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-20 15:18:28 UTC
From: brettjbyers@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1127229507.981016.45840@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12039

My wife and I just bought a home with a housewide stereo system which the previous owner says is "plug in play"- not sure if that is more than just marketing. The basement has three Bose amplifiers which run to speakers in several rooms. Each room has a Bose volume control, and some rooms have input/output jacks which the owner said are for things like a cd player but not a stereo.

I "think" I need a pre-amp to plug my DVD player, Direct Tv, etc into. And if I understand this stuff correctly, I need to scrap my receiver and if I want FM the I need a "tuner"? But that FM is less important.

Do I sound on track? And if so, can you recommend a decent preamp at an affordable price (preferably below $200, please don't laugh).
Brett

3778. Re: New home has Housewide stereo, what do I need?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-20 16:10:58 UTC
From: BDK <kingratay@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1d99fea09d5da6ac989ae2@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 12040

In article <1127229507.981016.45840@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
brettjbyers@gmail.com says...
> My wife and I just bought a home with a housewide stereo system which
> the previous owner says is "plug in play"- not sure if that is more
> than just marketing. The basement has three Bose amplifiers which run
> to speakers in several rooms. Each room has a Bose volume control, and
> some rooms have input/output jacks which the owner said are for things
> like a cd player but not a stereo.
>
> I "think" I need a pre-amp to plug my DVD player, Direct Tv, etc into.
> And if I understand this stuff correctly, I need to scrap my receiver
> and if I want FM the I need a "tuner"? But that FM is less important.
>
> Do I sound on track? And if so, can you recommend a decent preamp at
> an affordable price (preferably below $200, please don't laugh).
> Brett
>
>

If a CD player plugs in, then a DVD will too. Or, you can just run any
"Line out" on your receiver to those jacks and that should work fine
too. Since your receiver should work fine, the FM will too.

Now Surround, that's the problem, but regular stereo shouldn't be..

BDK

3779. Re: Military band capable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-20 17:08:40 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <bfg0j19sr2vu0l3m85rt0rgffhf1a8ioen@4ax.com>
Source record: 12041

On 20 Sep 2005 07:35:17 -0700, r2000swler@hotmail.com said in
rec.radio.scanner:

>>From what I have read, I gathered the PRO2067 is the desktop,
>or underdash version of either the PRO95 or PRO96.
>Can the PRO2067 be MilAir enabled using software
>like Win96, or Win95? Sorry if this is a silly question, but I received

>a PRO2067 as part of a complex trade and haven't had much of a
>chance to invesitgate it's capability.

It can probably be made to *tune to* MilAir. It can't be made to have
any sensitivity there without major hardware redesign.

3780. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-20 21:40:55 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <HI%Xe.1669$QE1.598@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 12042
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1127183286.132818.195670@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >However, his "broadcasting" days are about to come to a close -- owing
>>to the FCC "red light rule" discussed in other threads, the setting
>>aside of his renewal application is going to put him off the air at the
>>expiration of his present license. If he continues transmitting after
>>that date, he'll start racking up more fines for unlicensed
>>operation....
>>Forget soap operas and "reality shows." This stuff is =way= more
>>interesting.
>
>
>>-- //Steve//
>
>>Steve Silverwood, KB6OJS
>>Fountain Valley, CA
>>Email: kb6...@arrl.net
>
> It is FAR from over, after the FCC makes it's decision it goes to Court
> of Appeal where he can challenge the FCC rules and or decision. If he
> transmits, he will be unlicensed which can speed the process to the
> court systems like I said it's FAR from over.
>
> Todd N9OGL
> The N9OGL Show
> 14.321.00 Mhz
>
Remind me not to ask you for any legal advise.

Dan/W4NTI

3781. Radio Schack stores Pro-97 Purchasing saga

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-20 23:11:19 UTC
From: NOTvalid@XmasNYC.Info
Message-ID: <1127257879.293016.32430@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12043
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Radio Schack stores Pro-97 Purchasing saga

Flyer mentions free programming

Queens Elmhurst Jackson Heights
Rosevelt Avenue and 82 St
in stock but can not program for me
had to pass 50 feet from store anyhow

Manahattan
Herald Mall,
Herald Square
recently moved to new and bigger store in same mall.
Can not program
and have no cable
and no book
had to go by there to get something at 32 Street bargain stores

Manhattan
Grenenich Village
779 Broadway near 10 Street
store employee says
"the free progaming means we give you a number to call up and they
tell you how to program it over the phone"
Had to go by that area to go my bank anyhow

I am sure glad I did not make a special trip to those areas.

Is the program that came with last year's Scanner book from Radio
Schack good for this scanner?

3782. .SSD or .mem Files for SW Ontario?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-20 23:14:16 UTC
From: R & K Bilyea <Not@likely.com>
Message-ID: <mu-dnd9tJZ5YCq3eRVn-sw@golden.net>
Source record: 12044

Does anyone have a link to .ssd files or .mem files for the SW ontario area? London area would be nice, but I will take what I can get right now...

I have a new-to-me BC296D en route...

TIA,

Randy.

3783. ID Tracker Beta Release

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-21 00:44:15 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <biteme@spammers.net>
Message-ID: <N%1Ye.187$Ql.182@bignews3.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 12045

Now available:

ID Tracker V3.8.0 Beta 1

- Added U/BCT8 support.
- Made main display resizable for better visibility.

http://bellsouthpwp.net/k/d/kd5eis/IDTracker/IDTracker.htm

--
David M. Hitchner - K5DMH
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
http://home.bellsouth.net/p/PWP-k5dmh

ID Tracker
http://bellsouthpwp.net/k/d/kd5eis/IDTracker/IDTracker.htm

ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
For replies, use my callsign @bellsouth.net.

Artificial Intelligence is no match for Natural Stupidity!

3784. Re: Radio Schack stores Pro-97 Purchasing saga

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-21 01:20:26 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <uz2Ye.1314$0m6.501@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 12046
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

<NOTvalid@XmasNYC.Info> wrote in message
news:1127257879.293016.32430@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Radio Schack stores Pro-97 Purchasing saga
>
> Flyer mentions free programming
>
> Queens Elmhurst Jackson Heights
> Rosevelt Avenue and 82 St
> in stock but can not program for me
> had to pass 50 feet from store anyhow
>
> Manahattan
> Herald Mall,
> Herald Square
> recently moved to new and bigger store in same mall.
> Can not program
> and have no cable
> and no book
> had to go by there to get something at 32 Street bargain stores
>
> Manhattan
> Grenenich Village
> 779 Broadway near 10 Street
> store employee says
> "the free progaming means we give you a number to call up and they
> tell you how to program it over the phone"
> Had to go by that area to go my bank anyhow
>
> I am sure glad I did not make a special trip to those areas.
>
> Is the program that came with last year's Scanner book from Radio
> Schack good for this scanner?
>

I "tried" a 97 a while back. The store by me has always been "trying" to say the least. Every time I go in there it's all new help, which says a lot. I have a 95, works great. Decided I wanted another one in case something happened to the 95. Bought it, brought it home, programmed it, just as the manual says, it's almost the same as the 95. The police here are on a Mot. Trunked system. The scanner picked up the frequencies, but it would not "trunk", kept stopping on the control channel. I finally went up to the store with it, none of the people there had a clue. I gave up, ordered a 246T. Then a month or so ago I wanted another one of the RS 800 MHz

antennas. I have one, it does work better here, so I put the one I had on the 95 on the 246-T. Stopped in on my way to work to pick one up. Their web site said they had them in stock. Again, all new employee's. Antenna's were not where they used to be. Girl asked me what I was looking for, she had no idea what I was talking about. She looked it up on line, then said "oh yes we have 3 of them." Of course had no idea where they went, other 2 robots gave her a blank stare when she asked them, she pulled out her cell phone and called someone to ask, after listening to her try to explain to whoever she was talking to about what she was looking for, I finally gave up. Told her never mind, I have to go to work. I will just order one on line, which I did. I never have trouble ordering stuff from them on line, but I can't remember ever having a pleasant experience when I go into one of the stores. Either can't find what I need, or can find it, then can't get a clerk to ring it up. I often wonder how they stay in business.
As to the 97 if you want to listen to any Motorola 800 Trunked Systems you should read up on the Re banding of the 800 frequencies. From what I have "heard" the 97 will no longer be able to pick them up. Don't know if it's true, but when I started reading it, I was glad I bought the Uniden.
--
Experience is something you don't get until just after you need it.

3785. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-21 02:28:40 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1127269720.921519.251270@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12047
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Why, it's the truth, if he goes on the air without the license the FCC will file a paper in the courts to shut him down and then he can challenge the FCC in the courts.

Todd N9OGL

3786. Free to browse gothic gallery!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-21 07:06:50 UTC
From: shockley_gg@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1127286410.451488.247360@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12048

Check out our large photo gallery for gothic and their admirers. Still looking for that special someone?

http://www.aboutme.com/gothicclub/gothicmodelsuck.htm

3787. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-21 13:14:27 UTC
From: "John S." <hjsjms@cs.com>
Message-ID: <1127308466.970027.58600@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 12049
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Maritime Phone Patcher wrote:
> *** SAY GOODBYE TO K1MAN FOLKS !!!!! ***
>
>
>
> ENFORCEMENT: FCC SETS ASIDE K1MAN LICENSE RENEWAL
> (from the ARRL Letter)
>

As much as I would like to think the FCC will shut this guy down
permanently, I don't really think they have gotten that much closer.
K1MAN seems to be relatively fast on his feet because he knows how to
stretch the administrative process and just ignore rulings.
The FCC on the other hand seems to personify the hidebound federal
agency that is so tied up in its own administrative process that it can
only react sluggishly to violations of the law.

I've always wondered if Baxter sits up nights listening to himself
rebroadcast over and over and over.

3788. Re: .SSD or .mem Files for SW Ontario?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-21 13:47:53 UTC
From: HotShot <MyShotIsHot@HotShotShootsSpam.Com>
Message-ID: <dwdYe.8095$0u2.1475258@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 12050

probably in http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanont

R & K Bilyea wrote:
> Does anyone have a link to .ssd files or .mem files for the SW ontario
> area? London area would be nice, but I will take what I can get right
> now...
>
>
> I have a new-to-me BC296D en route...
>
>
> TIA,
>
> Randy.
>
>
>

3789. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-21 15:09:38 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1127315378.575630.103070@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11951
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

As if you would know anything, Toad.

3790. Re: Military band capable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-21 15:14:25 UTC
From: kla1899 <spam@ftc.gov>
Message-ID: <Xns96D873506C6E2nottodaycom@130.81.64.196>
Source record: 11952

kc7jfv@hotmail.com wrote in news:1127177942.500786.165350
@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:

> Was thinking of PRO-96 but it lacks mil freq band.
> I wouldn't want to spend $$ and not be able to receive that band.
> Which is the best gear under $500 you recommend?
> And what should I expect to hear on normal scanner? TIA
> -Bill
>
>

Well Bill the biggest bang for the buck is still the Uniden BC780XLT
you can find them on eBay running from $175.00 to $320.00 for a new one.

--
kla1899

3791. BC55XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-21 16:29:39 UTC
From: Gary <gary.b@nf.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <TTfYe.94535$Ph4.2975329@ursa-nb00s0.nbnet.nb.ca>
Source record: 11953

just purchased a used scanner and was wondering if some one could point me in the right direction to find set up and programming information.. i.e., websites

thanks

3792. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-21 19:00:31 UTC
From: AMEN <Nomail@msn.com>
Message-ID: <j5iYe.357189$_o.126093@attbi_s71>
Source record: 11954
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1127183286.132818.195670@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> It is FAR from over, after the FCC makes it's decision it goes to Court
> of Appeal where he can challenge the FCC rules and or decision. If he
> transmits, he will be unlicensed which can speed the process to the
> court systems like I said it's FAR from over.
>
> Todd N9OGL
> The N9OGL Show
> 14.321.00 Mhz

Wayne Green had by far the best solution to the problem back in 1992:

Wayne Green W2NSD/1
WGI Center
Peterborough NH 03458

Sep. 13, 1992

"While I can appreciate your frustration with Baxter, which I share, your approach to disenfranchising him seems to indicate that you either do not read my editorials or at least don't remember them. I've God knows how many times been quite blunt about NOT annoying the FCC with our problems. That's not only a waste of time, it's downright dangerous... and can cause far more trouble than poor little Baxter. Please remember that we keep trying to convince the FCC that we are self-regulating. Note the term "self."

If a few hams ever want to get rid of Baxter that's not all that difficult... and you don't even have to pay a hit man to clean off 14,275. All it takes is a little creativity and some coordinated effort.

As a matter of fact it would be fun to wipe out Baxter. And you wouldn't even have to have a small group of like-minded hams drive to Maine with hack saws for his coax and tower or a concentrated campaign to turn his neighbors against him with propaganda. No, all it would take is a few hams with good signals and some coordination.

I'm sure you can come up with much more fitting tortures for Baxter than anything I could dream up, but my mind turns to getting the channel about ten minutes before his broadcasts with my own anti-IARN broadcasts. I'll bet I could come up with some material which would burn Baxter, yet would not quite be actionable. Or I might tape one of his broadcasts and just repeat it as a "service" right on top of him and wipe him out. I've got as good a signal as anyone and I'm only a couple hundred miles from him. Knowing the love they have for him in Maine I'm sure you can find one, two or even three

strong stations fairly near him to join in the effort to "help" him get his broadcasts out.

Of course if you don't know anyone capable of outsmarting Baxter, then you have no choice but to give up and take up stamp collecting. Baxter may be loud, but I haven't yet seen any signs of a three digit IQ behind the mess he's been making for several years.

If you do decide to actually do something instead of wasting your time on the FCC and ARRL, please let me know. "

Cheers ...
Wayne

3793. Re: BC55XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-21 20:24:23 UTC
From: JJ <jcecklund@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <XjjYe.1295$OC2.276@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 11955

Hi Gary,

For frequency information in your area, check out
www.radioreference.com and if you need help with operation of your scanner
you might do a search on the internet and type in the name and model of your
scanner and see what comes up?

"Gary" <gary.b@nf.sympatico.ca> wrote in message
news:TTfYe.94535$Ph4.2975329@ursa-nb00s0.nbnet.nb.ca...
> just purchased a used scanner and was wondering if some one could point me
> in the right direction to find set up and programming information.. i.e.,
> websites
>
> thanks
>
>

3794. FS: ICOM R10 RECEIVER/SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-21 21:19:13 UTC
From: ritchi50@optonline.net
Message-ID: <8ij3j1l5ar12dceu64uqdm8nd00i9rfss4@4ax.com>
Source record: 11956

FS: ICOM R10 RECEIVER/SCANNER
From 0.500 to 823.999, 849.0001 to 868.9999 and 894.0001 to 1300.000
MHz.
Modes are FM, AM, WFM, USB, LSB and CW.
It's used but looks like new!
Comes with antenna and manual.
Of course it will not be dead on arrival, Works like new also.
Price: 225.00
ritchi50@optonline.net

3795. FS Icom R7000 Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-21 21:47:00 UTC
From: ritchi50@optonline.net
Message-ID: <f6l3j11ofai61d1ilgqlej1hnc8mv171k1@4ax.com>
Source record: 11957

FS Icom R7000 Receiver
30 Mhz through 2 GHz No Gaps.
AllMode Non Smoker!
Price: 425.00 I have the original Box
ritchi50@optonline.net

3796. Re: FS Icom R7000 Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-21 21:49:59 UTC
From: Brengsek! <pilatus@herejezus.nl>
Message-ID: <n8l3j193lulua863vqfj9tr5ti977k8rpq@brengsek.invalid>
Source record: 11958

On Wed, 21 Sep 2005 17:47:00 -0400, ritchi50@optonline.net wrote:

>30 Mhz through 2 GHz No Gaps.

Yes, it has gaps. The R7000 is a receiver covering 25-1000 MHz and
1025-2000 MHz.

Rene

--
Boldly going forward, 'cause we can't find reverse

3797. www.ukradioamateur

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-22 00:43:11 UTC
From: Chris I Townsend <chris@cbnr.net>
Message-ID: <wejjOCXf4fMDFwgD@holmfirth.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 11924

Hi,

Some of you, hopefully many of you, may be aware of the existence of the "Amateur Radio Course" project which provides a number on-line and downloadable tutorials for the various UK amateur radio examinations.

Due to the sudden, unexpected and unexplained withdrawal of support by the company who up to now have very kindly hosted our web-site free of charge, and their even more unexpected failure to communicate with me regarding how we might transfer or redirect the URL which they registered for us but now will not let us use, our site is no longer available to the clubs and individuals who benefited from it.

Can you therefore help us and please publicise as widely as possible the following information.

The "Amateur Radio Course" which was located at www.ukradioamateur.org is now relocated at www.radioamateur.co.uk

There may be a number of functions that are not working due to the sudden move, but they will be fixed within the next few days.

It remains, as always, a non-profit making activity sponsored and supported entirely by the group of individuals who give up their time and resources free of charge to support and promote interest in the hobby.

I apologise for posting this message in so many newsgroups, but I need the new URL to be publicised as rapidly as possible.

Many thanks to you for your help, best wishes and 73's

Chris
G8PUT

--
Chris I Townsend

(chris@cbnr.net)

3798. www.ukradioamateur 2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-22 00:59:00 UTC
From: Chris I Townsend <chris@cbnr.net>
Message-ID: <FTx+tqfUHgMDFw2g@holmfirth.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 11959

Hi,

2nd post, the first contained an error, the new address should have been given as www.ukradioamateur.co.uk

Some of you, hopefully many of you, may be aware of the existence of the "Amateur Radio Course" project which provides a number on-line and downloadable tutorials for the various UK amateur radio examinations.

Due to the sudden, unexpected and unexplained withdrawal of support by the company who up to now have very kindly hosted our web-site free of charge, and their even more unexpected failure to communicate with me regarding how we might transfer or redirect the URL which they registered for us but now will not let us use, our site is no longer available to the clubs and individuals who benefited from it.

Can you therefore help us and please publicise as widely as possible the following information.

The "Amateur Radio Course" which was located at www.ukradioamateur.org is now relocated at www.ukradioamateur.co.uk

There may be a number of functions that are not working due to the sudden move, but they will be fixed within the next few days.

It remains, as always, a non-profit making activity sponsored and supported entirely by the group of individuals who give up their time and resources free of charge to support and promote interest in the hobby.

I apologise for posting this message in so many newsgroups, but I need the new URL to be publicised as rapidly as possible.

Many thanks to you for your help, best wishes and 73's

Chris
G8PUT

--
Chris I Townsend

(chris@cbnr.net)

3799. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-22 01:53:35 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <j234j11a8ttlihb6volbc6gqa3d1ric52a@4ax.com>
Source record: 11960
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Wed, 21 Sep 2005 19:00:31 GMT, "AMEN" <Nomail@msn.com> wrote:

>
>"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:1127183286.132818.195670@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>> It is FAR from over, after the FCC makes it's decision it goes to Court
>> of Appeal where he can challenge the FCC rules and or decision. If he
>> transmits, he will be unlicensed which can speed the process to the
>> court systems like I said it's FAR from over.
>>
>> Todd N9OGL
>> The N9OGL Show
>> 14.321.00 Mhz
>
>
>Wayne Green had by far the best solution to the problem back in 1992:

This just shows how Wayne contradicts himself. Remember how he used to
bitch about the mess on 14.313? Yet, he's advocating creating another
mess under the guise of "self-policing"...and this is all coming from
a guy who believes crackpot pseudo-scince, like electro-shock therapy,
can cure AIDS, cancer, and even re-grow severed limbs.

3800. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-22 02:22:05 UTC
From: AMEN <Nomail@msn.com>
Message-ID: <hzoYe.155271$084.25937@attbi_s22>
Source record: 11961
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Uncle Ted" <malican40@verizon.com> wrote in message
news:j234j11a8ttlihb6volbc6gqa3d1ric52a@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 21 Sep 2005 19:00:31 GMT, "AMEN" <Nomail@msn.com> wrote:
> >Wayne Green had by far the best solution to the problem back in 1992:
>
> This just shows how Wayne contradicts himself. Remember how he used to
> bitch about the mess on 14.313? Yet, he's advocating creating another
> mess under the guise of "self-policing"...and this is all coming from
> a guy who believes crackpot pseudo-scince, like electro-shock therapy,
> can cure AIDS, cancer, and even re-grow severed limbs.

If the FCC could ever be decisive, I would have to agree with you.

3801. Re: New home has Housewide stereo, what do I need?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-22 03:17:10 UTC
From: Jesse <org@org.orgy>
Message-ID: <Xns96D8ECE0D3983ewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 11962

brettjbyers@gmail.com wrote in news:1127229507.981016.45840
@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

> My wife and I just bought a home with a housewide stereo system which
> the previous owner says is "plug in play"- not sure if that is more
> than just marketing. The basement has three Bose amplifiers which run
> to speakers in several rooms. Each room has a Bose volume control, and
> some rooms have input/output jacks which the owner said are for things
> like a cd player but not a stereo.
>
> I "think" I need a pre-amp to plug my DVD player, Direct Tv, etc into.
> And if I understand this stuff correctly, I need to scrap my receiver
> and if I want FM the I need a "tuner"? But that FM is less important.
>
> Do I sound on track? And if so, can you recommend a decent preamp at
> an affordable price (preferably below $200, please don't laugh).
> Brett
>

Oh yeah and my dog keeps shitting on the rug, and my truck is making some
weird squealing sound coming from under it, and my new guitar is out of tune.
What should I do ?

Idiot.

3802. Re: New home has Housewide stereo, what do I need?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-22 03:54:36 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <5la4j158bodjg77jhqksqseubvlmeshgs8@4ax.com>
Source record: 11963

On 20 Sep 2005 08:18:28 -0700, brettjbyers@gmail.com wrote:

>My wife and I just bought a home with a housewide stereo system which
>the previous owner says is "plug in play"- not sure if that is more
>than just marketing.

Tell him your sex life is none of his damned business.

> The basement has three Bose amplifiers which run
>to speakers in several rooms. Each room has a Bose volume control, and
>some rooms have input/output jacks which the owner said are for things
>like a cd player but not a stereo.
>
>I "think" I need a pre-amp to plug my DVD player, Direct Tv, etc into.
>And if I understand this stuff correctly, I need to scrap my receiver
>and if I want FM the I need a "tuner"? But that FM is less important.
>
>Do I sound on track? And if so, can you recommend a decent preamp at
>an affordable price (preferably below $200, please don't laugh).
>Brett

How many scanners will this setup handle?

3803. Re: Radio Schack stores Pro-97 Purchasing saga

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-22 04:05:14 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <78b4j1p0ean9n45mgv7pmeqtn4klkepg3t@4ax.com>
Source record: 11964
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On 20 Sep 2005 16:11:19 -0700, NOTvalid@XmasNYC.Info said in
alt.radio.scanner:

>Queens Elmhurst Jackson Heights
>Rosevelt Avenue and 82 St

>Manahattan
>Herald Mall,
>Herald Square

>Manhattan
>Grenenich Village
>779 Broadway near 10 Street

Hop on the Long Island RailRoad. Go to Port Jefferson. Take a cab to
the Rocky Point RS store. (Call first, and make sure that Melissa is
there - she's the one who knows what she's doing. Paul, the manager,
does too.)

Hey, at least ONE store in the NY Metro area can program scanners. :)

3804. Re: FS Icom R7000 Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-22 06:23:02 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <X4sYe.6055$GK2.6025@lakeread07>
Source record: 11965

Brengsek! wrote:

> On Wed, 21 Sep 2005 17:47:00 -0400, ritchi50@optonline.net wrote:
>
>>30 Mhz through 2 GHz No Gaps.
>
> Yes, it has gaps. The R7000 is a receiver covering 25-1000 MHz and
> 1025-2000 MHz.
>
> Rene
>
yeah, *a single 25 Mhz gap* that isn't much of a gap man.
--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.

3805. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-22 12:06:51 UTC
From: Carter-K8VT <k8vt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <v7xYe.626$4u4.359@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 11966
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Uncle Ted wrote:

> This just shows how Wayne contradicts himself. Remember how he used to
> bitch about the mess on 14.313? Yet, he's advocating creating another
> mess under the guise of "self-policing"...and this is all coming from
> a guy who believes crackpot pseudo-scince, like electro-shock therapy,
> can cure AIDS, cancer, and even re-grow severed limbs.

...not to mention that he thinks the Apollo moon landings were faked.

3806. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-22 14:16:00 UTC
From: AMEN <Nomail@msn.com>
Message-ID: <A0zYe.356710$x96.215024@attbi_s72>
Source record: 11967
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Carter-K8VT" <k8vt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:v7xYe.626$4u4.359@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com...
> Uncle Ted wrote:
>
>
> > This just shows how Wayne contradicts himself. Remember how he used to
> > bitch about the mess on 14.313? Yet, he's advocating creating another
> > mess under the guise of "self-policing"...and this is all coming from
> > a guy who believes crackpot pseudo-scince, like electro-shock therapy,
> > can cure AIDS, cancer, and even re-grow severed limbs.
>
> ...not to mention that he thinks the Apollo moon landings were faked.

Well, you have a point. But I still like his hacksaw idea.

3807. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-22 15:11:13 UTC
From: "Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <lQzYe.15878$ib1.3210@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 11968
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

It's never a good idea to use vigilante tactics to solve a problem. There are rules for which all of us must abide. One of those rules is that we must not intentionally block or interfere with another transmission that is in progress. We must all share the frequencies in an orderly fashion.

These are the rules. If we were to all ignore these rules and transmit whenever, however, and wherever we pleased, there would be mass chaos on most bands. The rules are structured and enforced by the FCC. I cannot condone any other approach to eliminating unruly examples like K1MAN--as frustrating as that approach may be.

Wayne P. Muckleroy
(KC8UIO)

"AMEN" <Nomail@msn.com> wrote in message
news:j5iYe.357189$_o.126093@attbi_s71...
>
> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1127183286.132818.195670@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>> It is FAR from over, after the FCC makes it's decision it goes to Court
>> of Appeal where he can challenge the FCC rules and or decision. If he
>> transmits, he will be unlicensed which can speed the process to the
>> court systems like I said it's FAR from over.
>>
>> Todd N9OGL
>> The N9OGL Show
>> 14.321.00 Mhz
>
>
> Wayne Green had by far the best solution to the problem back in 1992:
>
>
> Wayne Green W2NSD/1
> WGI Center
> Peterborough NH 03458
>
> Sep. 13, 1992
>
> "While I can appreciate your frustration with Baxter, which I share, your
> approach to disenfranchising him seems to indicate that you either do not
> read my editorials or at least don't remember them. I've God knows how
> many
> times been quite blunt about NOT annoying the FCC with our problems.
> That's

> not only a waste of time, it's downright dangerous... and can cause far
> more
> trouble than poor little Baxter. Please remember that we keep trying to
> convince the FCC that we are self-regulating. Note the term "self."
>
> If a few hams ever want to get rid of Baxter that's not all that
> difficult... and you don't even have to pay a hit man to clean off 14,275.
> All it takes is a little creativity and some coordinated effort.
>
> As a matter of fact it would be fun to wipe out Baxter. And you wouldn't
> even have to have a small group of like-minded hams drive to Maine with
> hack
> saws for his coax and tower or a concentrated campaign to turn his
> neighbors
> against him with propaganda. No, all it would take is a few hams with good
> signals and some coordination.
>
> I'm sure you can come up with much more fitting tortures for Baxter than
> anything I could dream up, but my mind turns to getting the channel about
> ten minutes before his broadcasts with my own anti-IARN broadcasts. I'll
> bet
> I could come up with some material which would burn Baxter, yet would not
> quite be actionable. Or I might tape one of his broadcasts and just repeat
> it as a "service" right on top of him and wipe him out. I've got as good a
> signal as anyone and I'm only a couple hundred miles from him. Knowing the
> love they have for him in Maine I'm sure you can find one, two or even
> three
> strong stations fairly near him to join in the effort to "help" him get
> his
> broadcasts out.
>
> Of course if you don't know anyone capable of outsmarting Baxter, then you
> have no choice but to give up and take up stamp collecting. Baxter may be
> loud, but I haven't yet seen any signs of a three digit IQ behind the mess
> he's been making for several years.
>
> If you do decide to actually do something instead of wasting your time on
> the FCC and ARRL, please let me know. "
>
> Cheers ...
> Wayne
>
>
>

3808. Re: Radio Schack stores Pro-97 Purchasing saga

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-22 16:16:21 UTC
From: BDK <kingratay@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1d9ca2df98ac583c989aed@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 11969
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <78b4j1p0ean9n45mgv7pmeqtn4klkepg3t@4ax.com>,
rukbat@invalid.net says...
> On 20 Sep 2005 16:11:19 -0700, NOTvalid@XmasNYC.Info said in
> alt.radio.scanner:
>
> >Queens Elmhurst Jackson Heights
> >Rosevelt Avenue and 82 St
>
> >Manahattan
> >Herald Mall,
> >Herald Square
>
> >Manhattan
> >Grenenich Village
> >779 Broadway near 10 Street
>
> Hop on the Long Island RailRoad. Go to Port Jefferson. Take a cab to
> the Rocky Point RS store. (Call first, and make sure that Melissa is
> there - she's the one who knows what she's doing. Paul, the manager,
> does too.)
>
> Hey, at least ONE store in the NY Metro area can program scanners. :)
>

They do seem to be few and far between these days, don't they?

When I went into the close by one that used to have someone who had a clue, they told me he had gotten a better job, and "nobody else knows much about scanners". No kidding. I was looking to get a PRO 95 for a friend and when I called, they said they had two.

Well, while I waited while he looked for one, I played with a PRO 96, and a couple of others, none of them programmed with much of anything at all. I had to ask the guy when he finally came out with one, "Why don't you have the displays loaded up with freqs so people can hear something when they look at them?"

"Well, it's pretty complicated!"

You know what that kid is going to be??

A lawyer...scary.

BDK

3809. Re: Radio Schack stores Pro-97 Purchasing saga

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-22 20:01:28 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <s4EYe.2250$0m6.842@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 11970
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"BDK" <kingratay@buckeye-express.com> wrote in message
news:MPG.1d9ca2df98ac583c989aed@news.buckeye-express.com...
> In article <78b4j1p0ean9n45mgv7pmeqtn4klkepg3t@4ax.com>,
> rukbat@invalid.net says...
>> On 20 Sep 2005 16:11:19 -0700, NOTvalid@XmasNYC.Info said in
>> alt.radio.scanner:
>>
>> >Queens Elmhurst Jackson Heights
>> >Rosevelt Avenue and 82 St
>>
>> >Manahattan
>> >Herald Mall,
>> >Herald Square
>>
>> >Manhattan
>> >Grenenich Village
>> >779 Broadway near 10 Street
>>
>> Hop on the Long Island RailRoad. Go to Port Jefferson. Take a cab to
>> the Rocky Point RS store. (Call first, and make sure that Melissa is
>> there - she's the one who knows what she's doing. Paul, the manager,
>> does too.)
>>
>> Hey, at least ONE store in the NY Metro area can program scanners. :)
>>
>
> They do seem to be few and far between these days, don't they?
>
> When I went into the close by one that used to have someone who had a
> clue, they told me he had gotten a better job, and "nobody else knows
> much about scanners". No kidding. I was looking to get a PRO 95 for a
> friend and when I called, they said they had two.
>
> Well, while I waited while he looked for one, I played with a PRO 96,
> and a couple of others, none of them programmed with much of anything at
> all. I had to ask the guy when he finally came out with one, "Why don't
> you have the displays loaded up with freqs so people can hear something
> when they look at them?"
>
> "Well, it's pretty complicated!"
>
> You know what that kid is going to be??
>

> A lawyer...scary.
>
> BDK

Hell he'll be the store manager soon. <G>
--
Experience is something you don't get until just after you need it.

3810. Re: Radio Schack stores Pro-97 Purchasing saga

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-22 22:18:28 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <e8b6j1djpn1cf9d97tjderfn05h47bkqng@4ax.com>
Source record: 11971
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Thu, 22 Sep 2005 12:16:21 -0400, BDK
<kingratay@buckeye-express.com> said in alt.radio.scanner:

>Well, while I waited while he looked for one, I played with a PRO 96,
>and a couple of others, none of them programmed with much of anything at
>all. I had to ask the guy when he finally came out with one, "Why don't
>you have the displays loaded up with freqs so people can hear something
>when they look at them?"

Considering that you're talking about NYC, it's not like there aren't
very many things he could program into it. (Can a Pro-96 really hold
all the frequencies NYC uses? Just programming the entire PD by hand
would take a day or so.)

>"Well, it's pretty complicated!"

>You know what that kid is going to be??

>A lawyer...scary.

Nah - lawyers and politicians don't have to know anything - for proof
just look at most lawyers and/or politicians.

3811. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-22 22:24:38 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <vnb6j1lieiot1ndpauj7qcn3r34ctgjsvo@4ax.com>
Source record: 11972
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 22 Sep 2005 14:16:00 GMT, "AMEN" <Nomail@msn.com> said in
rec.radio.scanner:

>Well, you have a point. But I still like his hacksaw idea.

A straight pin through the coax is more subtle - and a lot more
difficult to diagnose.

3812. Re: Radio Schack stores Pro-97 Purchasing saga

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-23 00:09:28 UTC
From: BDK <kingratay@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1d9d11c1f1869fcf989af5@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 11973
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <e8b6j1djpn1cf9d97tjderfn05h47bkqng@4ax.com>,
rukbat@invalid.net says...
> On Thu, 22 Sep 2005 12:16:21 -0400, BDK
> <kingratay@buckeye-express.com> said in alt.radio.scanner:
>
> >Well, while I waited while he looked for one, I played with a PRO 96,
> >and a couple of others, none of them programmed with much of anything at
> >all. I had to ask the guy when he finally came out with one, "Why don't
> >you have the displays loaded up with freqs so people can hear something
> >when they look at them?"
>
> Considering that you're talking about NYC, it's not like there aren't
> very many things he could program into it. (Can a Pro-96 really hold
> all the frequencies NYC uses? Just programming the entire PD by hand
> would take a day or so.)

Well, no, I was talking about Toledo, Ohio and it's many nearby towns,
about 2-300 easily programmed PS freqs.

>
> >"Well, it's pretty complicated!"
>
> >You know what that kid is going to be??
>
> >A lawyer...scary.
>
> Nah - lawyers and politicians don't have to know anything - for proof
> just look at most lawyers and/or politicians.
>

That's true, but lawyers usually have to get good grades, and that some
of these dolts can be so damn dumb, and get them amazes me.

BDK

3813. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-23 01:15:35 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1127438135.819746.45530@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11974
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Wayne P. Muckleroy wrote:
> It's never a good idea to use vigilante tactics to solve a problem. There
> are rules for which all of us must abide. One of those rules is that we must
> not intentionally block or interfere with another transmission that is in
> progress. We must all share the frequencies in an orderly fashion.
>
> These are the rules. If we were to all ignore these rules and transmit
> whenever, however, and wherever we pleased, there would be mass chaos on
> most bands. The rules are structured and enforced by the FCC. I cannot
> condone any other approach to eliminating unruly examples like K1MAN--as
> frustrating as that approach may be.
>
> Wayne P. Muckleroy
> (KC8UIO)
>
The rules should also apply to W1AW who has also caused malicious
interference. The problem is W1AW is allowed to interfere with on going
transmissions and K1MAN isn't. the rules should apply to all. One final
note, rules are only valid until a court says otherwise.

Todd N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW
14.321.00

3814. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-23 01:58:57 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <uin6j11lr3cqvh9et09u1jve4ekcjp7sq0@4ax.com>
Source record: 11977
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 22 Sep 2005 18:24:38 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
wrote:

>On Thu, 22 Sep 2005 14:16:00 GMT, "AMEN" <Nomail@msn.com> said in
>rec.radio.scanner:
>
>>Well, you have a point. But I still like his hacksaw idea.
>
>A straight pin through the coax is more subtle - and a lot more
>difficult to diagnose.

Maybe at one time, but many radio operators have taken steps to
discourage such vandalism, such as running the coax via a path that is
difficult to get to without being noticed. Besides, Baxter is probably
someone that has video security around his station. Do you really
think pinning his coax is something you actually think can feasibly be
accomplished?

3815. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-23 02:22:53 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <hYydnaqZxtGVxa7eRVn-gQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 11975
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Uncle Ted wrote:

>
> This just shows how Wayne contradicts himself. Remember how he used to
> bitch about the mess on 14.313? Yet, he's advocating creating another
> mess under the guise of "self-policing"...and this is all coming from
> a guy who believes crackpot pseudo-scince, like electro-shock therapy,
> can cure AIDS, cancer, and even re-grow severed limbs.

Obviously it couldn't cure stupid, as Wayne was a shining example.

3816. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-23 02:26:34 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <hYydnaSZxtF4xa7eRVn-gQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 11976
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

N9OGL wrote:
>
>
> The rules should also apply to W1AW who has also caused malicious
> interference. The problem is W1AW is allowed to interfere with on going
> transmissions and K1MAN isn't. the rules should apply to all. One final
> note, rules are only valid until a court says otherwise.

Still stupid as ever. Will you ever grow up?

3817. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-23 03:01:00 UTC
From: jm <jm@notrash.com>
Message-ID: <UdKYe.36231$Tf5.13020@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 11978
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Uncle Ted" <malican40@verizon.com> wrote in message
news:uin6j11lr3cqvh9et09u1jve4ekcjp7sq0@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 22 Sep 2005 18:24:38 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
> wrote:
>
>>On Thu, 22 Sep 2005 14:16:00 GMT, "AMEN" <Nomail@msn.com> said in
>>rec.radio.scanner:
>>
>>>Well, you have a point. But I still like his hacksaw idea.
>>
>>A straight pin through the coax is more subtle - and a lot more
>>difficult to diagnose.
>
> Maybe at one time, but many radio operators have taken steps to
> discourage such vandalism, such as running the coax via a path that is
> difficult to get to without being noticed. Besides, Baxter is probably
> someone that has video security around his station. Do you really
> think pinning his coax is something you actually think can feasibly be
> accomplished?

How about a grapple hook tied to a good strong truck, thrown quickly to the tower and it yanked down? "Possibly" done rather quickly and easily if one has a good throwing arm. OR how about a laser beam fired from a car on the street - using an AC Inverter for the power?

Seriously though - if someone wanted to "really" take him or his transmitter out - it could be done. No one is safe in this day and age. Hang in there, the FCC will do it..... I've seen them bust others and haul all the equipment away - towers included.

jm

3818. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-23 03:21:07 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <54t6j19dnm69jo0fl971hml0dqg1c45tp7@4ax.com>
Source record: 11979
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 22 Sep 2005 21:58:57 -0400, Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
said in rec.radio.scanner:

>On Thu, 22 Sep 2005 18:24:38 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
>wrote:
>
>>On Thu, 22 Sep 2005 14:16:00 GMT, "AMEN" <Nomail@msn.com> said in
>>rec.radio.scanner:
>>
>>>Well, you have a point. But I still like his hacksaw idea.
>>
>>A straight pin through the coax is more subtle - and a lot more
>>difficult to diagnose.
>
>Maybe at one time, but many radio operators have taken steps to
>discourage such vandalism, such as running the coax via a path that is
>difficult to get to without being noticed. Besides, Baxter is probably
>someone that has video security around his station. Do you really
>think pinning his coax is something you actually think can feasibly be
>accomplished?

If cutting it with a hacksaw can, yes.

3819. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-23 07:48:10 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1127461690.939007.82870@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11980
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

grow up

Barry OGrady wrote:
> On Thu, 22 Sep 2005 15:11:13 GMT, "Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com> wrote:
>
> >It's never a good idea to use vigilante tactics to solve a problem. There
> >are rules for which all of us must abide.
>
> One of those rules is that we must never top post.

no such rule

there may be a convention, but no rule
>
> >One of those rules is that we must
> >not intentionally block or interfere with another transmission that is in
> >progress. We must all share the frequencies in an orderly fashion.
> >
> >These are the rules. If we were to all ignore these rules and transmit
> >whenever, however, and wherever we pleased, there would be mass chaos on
> >most bands. The rules are structured and enforced by the FCC. I cannot
> >condone any other approach to eliminating unruly examples like K1MAN--as
> >frustrating as that approach may be.
>
> A: Top posting
> Q: What is the most annoying thing on usenet?

not even even close
>
>
>
> >Wayne P. Muckleroy
> >(KC8UIO)
> >
> >"AMEN" <Nomail@msn.com> wrote in message
> >news:j5iYe.357189$_o.126093@attbi_s71...
cut

3820. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-23 10:49:39 UTC
From: "Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <75RYe.17006$ib1.4855@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 11981
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

OK, so let's idolize K1DUDE and follow his example. We'll all start ignoring the rules and do as we please as long as we can get away with it. Before long, all amateur bands would be reduced to a bunch of "Citizen's Bands."

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1127438135.819746.45530@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Wayne P. Muckleroy wrote:
>> It's never a good idea to use vigilante tactics to solve a problem. There
>> are rules for which all of us must abide. One of those rules is that we
>> must
>> not intentionally block or interfere with another transmission that is in
>> progress. We must all share the frequencies in an orderly fashion.
>>
>> These are the rules. If we were to all ignore these rules and transmit
>> whenever, however, and wherever we pleased, there would be mass chaos on
>> most bands. The rules are structured and enforced by the FCC. I cannot
>> condone any other approach to eliminating unruly examples like K1MAN--as
>> frustrating as that approach may be.
>>
>> Wayne P. Muckleroy
>> (KC8UIO)
>>
> The rules should also apply to W1AW who has also caused malicious
> interference. The problem is W1AW is allowed to interfere with on going
> transmissions and K1MAN isn't. the rules should apply to all. One final
> note, rules are only valid until a court says otherwise.
>
> Todd N9OGL
> THE N9OGL SHOW
> 14.321.00
>

3821. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-23 10:52:47 UTC
From: "Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <38RYe.17007$ib1.12331@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 11982
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

What's a "top post" and what section of the FCC codes is that rule found?
Once I know this information, I will comply fully with the regulation.

"Barry OGrady" <atheist.xxx@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:h3a7j1pvsatee1vlgqectbug55vjrdc7oo@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 22 Sep 2005 15:11:13 GMT, "Wayne P. Muckleroy"
> <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com> wrote:
>
>>It's never a good idea to use vigilante tactics to solve a problem. There
>>are rules for which all of us must abide.
>
> One of those rules is that we must never top post.
>
>>One of those rules is that we must
>>not intentionally block or interfere with another transmission that is in
>>progress. We must all share the frequencies in an orderly fashion.
>>
>>These are the rules. If we were to all ignore these rules and transmit
>>whenever, however, and wherever we pleased, there would be mass chaos on
>>most bands. The rules are structured and enforced by the FCC. I cannot
>>condone any other approach to eliminating unruly examples like K1MAN--as
>>frustrating as that approach may be.
>
> A: Top posting
> Q: What is the most annoying thing on usenet?
>
>
>
>>Wayne P. Muckleroy
>>(KC8UIO)
>>
>>"AMEN" <Nomail@msn.com> wrote in message
>>news:j5iYe.357189$_o.126093@attbi_s71...
>>>
>>> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>> news:1127183286.132818.195670@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>>>> It is FAR from over, after the FCC makes it's decision it goes to Court
>>>> of Appeal where he can challenge the FCC rules and or decision. If he
>>>> transmits, he will be unlicensed which can speed the process to the
>>>> court systems like I said it's FAR from over.
>>>>
>>>> Todd N9OGL
>>>> The N9OGL Show

>>>> 14.321.00 Mhz
>>>
>>>
>>> Wayne Green had by far the best solution to the problem back in 1992:
>>>
>>>
>>> Wayne Green W2NSD/1
>>> WGI Center
>>> Peterborough NH 03458
>>>
>>> Sep. 13, 1992
>>>
>>> "While I can appreciate your frustration with Baxter, which I share,
>>> your
>>> approach to disenfranchising him seems to indicate that you either do
>>> not
>>> read my editorials or at least don't remember them. I've God knows how
>>> many
>>> times been quite blunt about NOT annoying the FCC with our problems.
>>> That's
>>> not only a waste of time, it's downright dangerous... and can cause far
>>> more
>>> trouble than poor little Baxter. Please remember that we keep trying to
>>> convince the FCC that we are self-regulating. Note the term "self."
>>>
>>> If a few hams ever want to get rid of Baxter that's not all that
>>> difficult... and you don't even have to pay a hit man to clean off
>>> 14,275.
>>> All it takes is a little creativity and some coordinated effort.
>>>
>>> As a matter of fact it would be fun to wipe out Baxter. And you wouldn't
>>> even have to have a small group of like-minded hams drive to Maine with
>>> hack
>>> saws for his coax and tower or a concentrated campaign to turn his
>>> neighbors
>>> against him with propaganda. No, all it would take is a few hams with
>>> good
>>> signals and some coordination.
>>>
>>> I'm sure you can come up with much more fitting tortures for Baxter than
>>> anything I could dream up, but my mind turns to getting the channel
>>> about
>>> ten minutes before his broadcasts with my own anti-IARN broadcasts. I'll
>>> bet
>>> I could come up with some material which would burn Baxter, yet would
>>> not
>>> quite be actionable. Or I might tape one of his broadcasts and just
>>> repeat
>>> it as a "service" right on top of him and wipe him out. I've got as good
>>> a

>>> signal as anyone and I'm only a couple hundred miles from him. Knowing
>>> the
>>> love they have for him in Maine I'm sure you can find one, two or even
>>> three
>>> strong stations fairly near him to join in the effort to "help" him get
>>> his
>>> broadcasts out.
>>>
>>> Of course if you don't know anyone capable of outsmarting Baxter, then
>>> you
>>> have no choice but to give up and take up stamp collecting. Baxter may
>>> be
>>> loud, but I haven't yet seen any signs of a three digit IQ behind the
>>> mess
>>> he's been making for several years.
>>>
>>> If you do decide to actually do something instead of wasting your time
>>> on
>>> the FCC and ARRL, please let me know. "
>>>
>>> Cheers ...
>>> Wayne
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>
> Barry
> =====
> Home page
> http://members.iinet.net.au/~barry.og

3822. Glass mount orientation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-23 12:50:58 UTC
From: daedalusnj@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <1127479858.466610.114850@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11983

I had an audio shop install my PRO-2069 in my new car yesterday. They used a Valor though-the-glass scanner antenna. The sensitivity is just terrible. The receiver works just fine with the supplied telescoping antenna connected to the back of the radio. I checked connectivity by removing the antenna from the mount while listening to the WX channel. There is a difference, but it is not great.

Question: is there a specification on which way the interior glass mount is to be oriented? I seem to recall from the past that the inner piece had to be oriented properly with the outer. If that was wrong it would not work. I'm hoping that this is the problem. I don't see any 'orientation arrows' on the mount.

I'd like to get some input before I go back to the installer.

Thanks!

3823. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-23 13:42:50 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <uDTYe.2563$0m6.487@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 11984
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Thanks, Barry, for the reminder.

"Barry OGrady" <atheist.xxx@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:h3a7j1pvsatee1vlgqectbug55vjrdc7oo@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 22 Sep 2005 15:11:13 GMT, "Wayne P. Muckleroy"
> <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com> wrote:
>
>>It's never a good idea to use vigilante tactics to solve a problem. There
>>are rules for which all of us must abide.
>
> One of those rules is that we must never top post.
>
>>One of those rules is that we must
>>not intentionally block or interfere with another transmission that is in
>>progress. We must all share the frequencies in an orderly fashion.
>>
>>These are the rules. If we were to all ignore these rules and transmit
>>whenever, however, and wherever we pleased, there would be mass chaos on
>>most bands. The rules are structured and enforced by the FCC. I cannot
>>condone any other approach to eliminating unruly examples like K1MAN--as
>>frustrating as that approach may be.
>
> A: Top posting
> Q: What is the most annoying thing on usenet?
>
>
>
>>Wayne P. Muckleroy
>>(KC8UIO)
>>
>>"AMEN" <Nomail@msn.com> wrote in message
>>news:j5iYe.357189$_o.126093@attbi_s71...
>>>
>>> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>> news:1127183286.132818.195670@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>>>> It is FAR from over, after the FCC makes it's decision it goes to Court
>>>> of Appeal where he can challenge the FCC rules and or decision. If he
>>>> transmits, he will be unlicensed which can speed the process to the
>>>> court systems like I said it's FAR from over.
>>>>
>>>> Todd N9OGL
>>>> The N9OGL Show
>>>> 14.321.00 Mhz

>>>
>>>
>>> Wayne Green had by far the best solution to the problem back in 1992:
>>>
>>>
>>> Wayne Green W2NSD/1
>>> WGI Center
>>> Peterborough NH 03458
>>>
>>> Sep. 13, 1992
>>>
>>> "While I can appreciate your frustration with Baxter, which I share, your
>>> approach to disenfranchising him seems to indicate that you either do not
>>> read my editorials or at least don't remember them. I've God knows how
>>> many
>>> times been quite blunt about NOT annoying the FCC with our problems.
>>> That's
>>> not only a waste of time, it's downright dangerous... and can cause far
>>> more
>>> trouble than poor little Baxter. Please remember that we keep trying to
>>> convince the FCC that we are self-regulating. Note the term "self."
>>>
>>> If a few hams ever want to get rid of Baxter that's not all that
>>> difficult... and you don't even have to pay a hit man to clean off 14,275.
>>> All it takes is a little creativity and some coordinated effort.
>>>
>>> As a matter of fact it would be fun to wipe out Baxter. And you wouldn't
>>> even have to have a small group of like-minded hams drive to Maine with
>>> hack
>>> saws for his coax and tower or a concentrated campaign to turn his
>>> neighbors
>>> against him with propaganda. No, all it would take is a few hams with good
>>> signals and some coordination.
>>>
>>> I'm sure you can come up with much more fitting tortures for Baxter than
>>> anything I could dream up, but my mind turns to getting the channel about
>>> ten minutes before his broadcasts with my own anti-IARN broadcasts. I'll
>>> bet
>>> I could come up with some material which would burn Baxter, yet would not
>>> quite be actionable. Or I might tape one of his broadcasts and just repeat
>>> it as a "service" right on top of him and wipe him out. I've got as good a
>>> signal as anyone and I'm only a couple hundred miles from him. Knowing the
>>> love they have for him in Maine I'm sure you can find one, two or even
>>> three
>>> strong stations fairly near him to join in the effort to "help" him get
>>> his
>>> broadcasts out.
>>>
>>> Of course if you don't know anyone capable of outsmarting Baxter, then you
>>> have no choice but to give up and take up stamp collecting. Baxter may be

>>> loud, but I haven't yet seen any signs of a three digit IQ behind the mess
>>> he's been making for several years.
>>>
>>> If you do decide to actually do something instead of wasting your time on
>>> the FCC and ARRL, please let me know. "
>>>
>>> Cheers ...
>>> Wayne
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>
> Barry
> =====
> Home page
> http://members.iinet.net.au/~barry.og

3824. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-23 14:08:30 UTC
From: Dave <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <2IGdnSbftaj1kaneRVn-uQ@crocker.com>
Source record: 11985
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

but has anyone actually heard k1man on the air in the last few months????

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:uDTYe.2563$0m6.487@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Thanks, Barry, for the reminder.
>
> "Barry OGrady" <atheist.xxx@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:h3a7j1pvsatee1vlgqectbug55vjrdc7oo@4ax.com...
>> On Thu, 22 Sep 2005 15:11:13 GMT, "Wayne P. Muckleroy"
>> <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com> wrote:
>>
>>>It's never a good idea to use vigilante tactics to solve a problem. There
>>>are rules for which all of us must abide.
>>
>> One of those rules is that we must never top post.
>>
>>>One of those rules is that we must
>>>not intentionally block or interfere with another transmission that is in
>>>progress. We must all share the frequencies in an orderly fashion.
>>>
>>>These are the rules. If we were to all ignore these rules and transmit
>>>whenever, however, and wherever we pleased, there would be mass chaos on
>>>most bands. The rules are structured and enforced by the FCC. I cannot
>>>condone any other approach to eliminating unruly examples like K1MAN--as
>>>frustrating as that approach may be.
>>
>> A: Top posting
>> Q: What is the most annoying thing on usenet?
>>
>>
>>
>>>Wayne P. Muckleroy
>>>(KC8UIO)
>>>
>>>"AMEN" <Nomail@msn.com> wrote in message
>>>news:j5iYe.357189$_o.126093@attbi_s71...
>>>>
>>>> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:1127183286.132818.195670@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>>>>> It is FAR from over, after the FCC makes it's decision it goes to
>>>>> Court
>>>>> of Appeal where he can challenge the FCC rules and or decision. If he
>>>>> transmits, he will be unlicensed which can speed the process to the

>>>>> court systems like I said it's FAR from over.
>>>>>
>>>>> Todd N9OGL
>>>>> The N9OGL Show
>>>>> 14.321.00 Mhz
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Wayne Green had by far the best solution to the problem back in 1992:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Wayne Green W2NSD/1
>>>> WGI Center
>>>> Peterborough NH 03458
>>>>
>>>> Sep. 13, 1992
>>>>
>>>> "While I can appreciate your frustration with Baxter, which I share,
>>>> your
>>>> approach to disenfranchising him seems to indicate that you either do
>>>> not
>>>> read my editorials or at least don't remember them. I've God knows how
>>>> many
>>>> times been quite blunt about NOT annoying the FCC with our problems.
>>>> That's
>>>> not only a waste of time, it's downright dangerous... and can cause far
>>>> more
>>>> trouble than poor little Baxter. Please remember that we keep trying to
>>>> convince the FCC that we are self-regulating. Note the term "self."
>>>>
>>>> If a few hams ever want to get rid of Baxter that's not all that
>>>> difficult... and you don't even have to pay a hit man to clean off
>>>> 14,275.
>>>> All it takes is a little creativity and some coordinated effort.
>>>>
>>>> As a matter of fact it would be fun to wipe out Baxter. And you
>>>> wouldn't
>>>> even have to have a small group of like-minded hams drive to Maine with
>>>> hack
>>>> saws for his coax and tower or a concentrated campaign to turn his
>>>> neighbors
>>>> against him with propaganda. No, all it would take is a few hams with
>>>> good
>>>> signals and some coordination.
>>>>
>>>> I'm sure you can come up with much more fitting tortures for Baxter
>>>> than
>>>> anything I could dream up, but my mind turns to getting the channel
>>>> about
>>>> ten minutes before his broadcasts with my own anti-IARN broadcasts.

>>>> I'll
>>>> bet
>>>> I could come up with some material which would burn Baxter, yet would
>>>> not
>>>> quite be actionable. Or I might tape one of his broadcasts and just
>>>> repeat
>>>> it as a "service" right on top of him and wipe him out. I've got as
>>>> good a
>>>> signal as anyone and I'm only a couple hundred miles from him. Knowing
>>>> the
>>>> love they have for him in Maine I'm sure you can find one, two or even
>>>> three
>>>> strong stations fairly near him to join in the effort to "help" him get
>>>> his
>>>> broadcasts out.
>>>>
>>>> Of course if you don't know anyone capable of outsmarting Baxter, then
>>>> you
>>>> have no choice but to give up and take up stamp collecting. Baxter may
>>>> be
>>>> loud, but I haven't yet seen any signs of a three digit IQ behind the
>>>> mess
>>>> he's been making for several years.
>>>>
>>>> If you do decide to actually do something instead of wasting your time
>>>> on
>>>> the FCC and ARRL, please let me know. "
>>>>
>>>> Cheers ...
>>>> Wayne
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>
>> Barry
>> =====
>> Home page
>> http://members.iinet.net.au/~barry.og
>
>

3825. Re: Glass mount orientation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-23 15:44:36 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <nq78j1dhtfg8udsfgjb8pi6bpqaomtq64i@4ax.com>
Source record: 11986

On 23 Sep 2005 05:50:58 -0700, daedalusnj@earthlink.net said in rec.radio.scanner:

>Question: is there a specification on which way the interior glass >mount is to be oriented? I seem to recall from the past that the inner >piece had to be oriented properly with the outer. If that was wrong it >would not work. I'm hoping that this is the problem. I don't see any >'orientation arrows' on the mount.

Look again. If there aren't any, it's probably a cheap knock-off antenna that works equally poorly in any orientation. Get a Larsen. (Even my 144/470 Larsen on-glass works pretty well on all bands, and their 150/450/850 works better.)

I hope the antenna is installed at the very top of the glass. There's about 10db loss between the top and bottom. And it's installed to sit vertically, right? Not at some "sexy" angle, like a lot of cellphone antennas?

>I'd like to get some input before I go back to the installer.

Next time go to a two-way radio shop instead of an audio shop.

3826. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-23 17:26:26 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1127496385.984379.106650@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11987
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

your the one who's fucking stupid asshole

3827. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-23 19:19:33 UTC
From: AMEN <Nomail@msn.com>
Message-ID: <9zYYe.359783$x96.294182@attbi_s72>
Source record: 11988
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dave" <noone@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:2IGdnSbftaj1kaneRVn-uQ@crocker.com...
> but has anyone actually heard k1man on the air in the last few months????

You will - for ten more sad years, if the FCC ever renews his license.

3828. Nice Bearcat BC-780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-23 19:41:52 UTC
From: Christopher O'Callaghan <d.ocallaghan@iolfree.ie>
Message-ID: <dh1lpv$vm7$1@reader01.news.esat.net>
Source record: 11989

Brand new from factory...Loads of extras to buy for the scanner

Get bidding

http://cgi.ebay.ie/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5811830233&rd=1&sspagename=STRK%3AMESE%3AIT&rd=1

3829. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-23 20:01:03 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1127505663.594608.144440@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11991
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I don't sponge of my parents, try again asshole

3830. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-23 20:06:51 UTC
From: Dave <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <CKadnfjfz9P5_aneRVn-gg@crocker.com>
Source record: 11992
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

i haven't heard him in quite a while, either on 75 or 20m... has anyone
else???

"AMEN" <Nomail@msn.com> wrote in message
news:9zYYe.359783$x96.294182@attbi_s72...
>
> "Dave" <noone@nowhere.com> wrote in message
> news:2IGdnSbftaj1kaneRVn-uQ@crocker.com...
>> but has anyone actually heard k1man on the air in the last few months????
>
> You will - for ten more sad years, if the FCC ever renews his license.
>
>

3831. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-23 20:55:35 UTC
From: Carter-K8VT <k8vt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <bZZYe.873$rx5.599@newssvr22.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 11993
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

N9OGL wrote:

> The rules should also apply to W1AW who has also caused malicious
> interference.

Todd,

If you substitute "incidental" or "unintentional" for your use of
malicious, I might agree with your premise, at least on the surface.
However...

N9OGL wrote:

> The problem is W1AW is allowed to interfere with on going
> transmissions and K1MAN isn't.

...the only other thing that can be said in defense of W1AW is that it
is a *national* organization representing tens of thousands of hams that
is presumably "broadcasting" for the common good (and follows a regular,
published schedule, allowing others to dodge the "interference" if
they so wish), while K1MAN is a --single--private--individual-- who has
had some peculiar (shady ?) pecuniary interests associated with his
"broadcasts" in the past.

-73-
Carter
K8VT

3832. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-23 20:56:45 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <372dnVsODZKKwKneRVn-gg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 11990
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

N9OGL wrote:
> your the one who's fucking stupid asshole
>

Aw toddieboy, you hurt my feelings. NOT!!! Still using your jr.
high-school kewel language I see. Can you get any more stupid?
Think you will ever grow up and stop sponging off your mommy and daddy?

3833. Re: Glass mount orientation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-23 22:03:09 UTC
From: Korbin Dallas <korbindallas@dodgeit.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.09.23.22.03.09.993701@dodgeit.com>
Source record: 11996

On Fri, 23 Sep 2005 05:50:58 -0700, daedalusnj wrote:

> I had an audio shop install my PRO-2069 in my new car yesterday. They
> used a Valor though-the-glass scanner antenna. The sensitivity is just
> terrible. The receiver works just fine with the supplied telescoping
> antenna connected to the back of the radio. I checked connectivity by
> removing the antenna from the mount while listening to the WX channel.
> There is a difference, but it is not great.
>
> Question: is there a specification on which way the interior glass
> mount is to be oriented? I seem to recall from the past that the inner
> piece had to be oriented properly with the outer. If that was wrong it
> would not work. I'm hoping that this is the problem. I don't see any
> 'orientation arrows' on the mount.
>
> I'd like to get some input before I go back to the installer.
>
> Thanks!

I have rented some GM models lately that have someone in the Window Tint
that is blocking RF. I cant use my XM radio & GPS sitting on the dash in
there new vehicles. I would suspect this would have a similar effect on
Glass mount Antennas...

--
Korbin Dallas
The name was changed to protect the guilty.

3834. Re: Digital scanner ?? - Got to be a scam ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-23 22:32:23 UTC
From: Mike Berger <berger@shout.net>
Message-ID: <dh1vpm$em2$3@roundup.shout.net>
Source record: 11997

Her auction descriptions are peppered with "L@@k", which is a
sure sign of a scammer, not scanner.

Andy100 wrote:
> I found this on e-bay, saying it can pick up all new digital phones, digital
> police radio etc, but surely it's a scam isn't it ??? I thought the new
> police radio's codes were uncrackable !
>
> http://cgi.ebay.co.uk/POLICE-DIGITAL-SCANNER-TRANCIEVER-CHARGER-U-H-F-B-N-BX
> _W0QQitemZ5804950925QQcategoryZ40979QQrdZ1QQcmdZViewItem
>
> Cheers
> Andy
>
>

3835. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-23 22:51:36 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <O5edndgWyref5anenZ2dnUVZ_tGdnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 11994
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

N9OGL wrote:
> I don't sponge of my parents, try again asshole
>
So you keep saying, but why does a 30 something-year-old keep living
with mommy and daddy? Why don't you get a real job and get out and fend
for yourself for a change?

3836. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-23 22:52:25 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <O5edndsWyreu5anenZ2dnUVZ_tGdnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 11995
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Carter-K8VT wrote:

>
>
> ...the only other thing that can be said in defense of W1AW is that it
> is a *national* organization representing tens of thousands of hams that
> is presumably "broadcasting" for the common good (and follows a regular,
> *published* schedule, allowing others to dodge the "interference" if
> they so wish), while K1MAN is a --single--private--individual-- who has
> had some peculiar (shady ?) pecuniary interests associated with his
> "broadcasts" in the past.

Toddyboy can't relate to what you are saying, he wants to be another
K1MAN and 'broadcast'.

3837. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-24 00:29:11 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1127521751.928004.86060@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11998
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

>...the only other thing that can be said in defense of W1AW is that it
>is a *national* organization representing tens of thousands of hams that
>is presumably "broadcasting" for the common good (and follows a regular,
>*published* schedule, allowing others to dodge the "interference" if
>they so wish), while K1MAN is a --single--private--individual-- who has
>had some peculiar (shady ?) pecuniary interests associated with his
>"broadcasts" in the past.

doesn't matter Interference is interference, and ANYONE or GROUP who
causes interference should pay regardless if it for the "common good".
As for published schedule the FCC has stated that do mean squat, my
view is that all who cause interference should pay.

Todd N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW
14.321.00

3838. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-24 00:33:35 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1127522015.816665.99700@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11999
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I know a lot of people who live with their parents, I'm right now in college so I don't have the time with all my classes to get a job. Unlike a little troll like yourself I'm going to school to better myself.

3839. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-24 03:05:20 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <oig9j1hpr7e4bdl74rlrur3mgj6cl8perl@4ax.com>
Source record: 12000
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On 23 Sep 2005 17:29:11 -0700, "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>my view is that all who cause interference should pay.

The FCC doesn't share your view.

3840. Re: Glass mount orientation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-24 03:07:18 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <mkg9j1p04o6rr4e5upjhn4rc20j1sd637r@4ax.com>
Source record: 11901

On Fri, 23 Sep 2005 22:03:09 GMT, Korbin Dallas
<korbindallas@dodgeit.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>I have rented some GM models lately that have someone in the Window Tint
>that is blocking RF. I cant use my XM radio & GPS sitting on the dash in
>there new vehicles. I would suspect this would have a similar effect on
>Glass mount Antennas...

Some cars - I don't know about GM - have a clear spot, about 2" on a
side, at the top center of the rear window - to mount an on-glass cell
phone antenna. Since it's clear glass, you can mount any on-glass
antenna you like there.

3841. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-24 07:01:43 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <jqadnSsDvpJ_d6neRVn-tQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 11902
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

N9OGL wrote:
> I know a lot of people who live with their parents, I'm right now in
> college so I don't have the time with all my classes to get a job.

(translation: I can't get a job, no one will hire me).

I would think by this time you could be man enough to hold a job and go to college at the same time. Many who are much younger than you do it and don't spong off their parents.

> Unlike a little troll like yourself I'm going to school to better
> myself.

I'm not sure even school can help you in that matter. So when will you be moving out from under mommy and daddy? Five, ten, fifteen years?

3842. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-24 07:03:52 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <jqadnSoDvpL8dqneRVn-tQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 11903
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Al Klein wrote:
> On 23 Sep 2005 17:29:11 -0700, "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> said in
> rec.radio.scanner:
>
>
>>my view is that all who cause interference should pay.
>
>
> The FCC doesn't share your view.

And it's the FCC's view that counts, toddyboys view doesn't count for
anything.

3843. Police wont say if shot fired - Southborough MA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-24 13:19:12 UTC
From: zerg90 <zerg90@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1127567952.701014.197610@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11904

The MetroWest Daily News, Fri, 23 Sep 2005 9:29 PM PDT
Police won't say if shot fired

http://www.metrowestdailynews.com/localRegional/view.bg?articleid=109686

SOUTHBOROUGH -- It has been four days since a report that a police officer fired his gun during a search for a suspect running away from a crash, but department officials continue to refuse to say if a shot was actually fired.

(A TV station reported that a shot had been fired into the ground - scanner also said a shot was fired)

3844. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-24 14:15:58 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1127571358.892647.205470@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11905
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

YOUR A FUCKING DICKHEAD WHY DON'T GO FUCK YOURSELF YOU FUCKING
BITCHWHORE!!

3845. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-24 14:16:05 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1127571365.772594.46800@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11906
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

YOUR A FUCKING DICKHEAD WHY DON'T GO FUCK YOURSELF YOU FUCKING
BITCHWHORE!!

3846. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-24 14:16:05 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1127571365.445060.6290@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11908
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

YOUR A FUCKING DICKHEAD WHY DON'T GO FUCK YOURSELF YOU FUCKING
BITCHWHORE!!

3847. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-24 14:16:06 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1127571366.154064.163030@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11907
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

YOUR A FUCKING DICKHEAD WHY DON'T GO FUCK YOURSELF YOU FUCKING
BITCHWHORE!!

3848. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-24 17:48:26 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <LMidnR98XIvpH6jeRVn-tw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 11909
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

N9OGL wrote:
> YOUR A FUCKING DICKHEAD WHY DON'T GO FUCK YOURSELF YOU FUCKING
> BITCHWHORE!!
>

And you are an little immature idiot. When do you think you will grow up.

3849. Is the BC-246T any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-24 18:00:03 UTC
From: crb <anon@net.com>
Message-ID: <dh43uq010ct@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 11910

just saw one in a magazine for the first time. sure looks like it has a lot of features and is compact.

what are the pro's and con's of this radio? Is there one better?

3850. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-24 18:28:58 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1127586538.714153.167380@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11911
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

AS SOON AS STOP BRING A PRICK

3851. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-24 20:47:38 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <vuOdnfFtc9PpMajeRVn-jw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 11912
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

N9OGL wrote:
> AS SOON AS STOP BRING A PRICK
>

What is 'stop bring a prick'?
When will you stop being an idiot? Answer: Never.

3852. Re: Is the BC-246T any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-24 22:03:26 UTC
From: vjc <scubie1647cim@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <O2kZe.6019$y64.979@trnddc06>
Source record: 11913

Just purchaced one, nice radio, works real good, but it is a lot of work to program. Nothing like anything I've had before it.

"crb" <anon@net.com> wrote in message news:dh43uq010ct@enews3.newsguy.com...
> just saw one in a magazine for the first time. sure looks like it has a
> lot of features and is compact.
>
> what are the pro's and con's of this radio? Is there one better?

3853. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-24 23:44:38 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1127605478.429499.106780@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11914
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I mean't when you stop being a PRICK, which you are you fucking
prick...

asshole

3854. For Sale Or Trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-25 01:42:47 UTC
From: Disturbed1 <tempting78@chartermi.net>
Message-ID: <qgnZe.7936$845.7814@fe03.lga>
Source record: 11915

Brand New Uniden BC796D only had it a week. I do not like it. For sale $525.00 or trade for a Pro-96 or 2096

3855. Re: Is the BC-246T any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-25 02:37:29 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <383cj118fvn7p4b8jck84tk71k3vkgbdgq@4ax.com>
Source record: 11916

On Sat, 24 Sep 2005 22:03:26 GMT, "vjc" <scubie1647cim@verizon.net>
said in rec.radio.scanner:

>Just purchaced one, nice radio, works real good, but it is a lot of work to
>program. Nothing like anything I've had before it.

Once you learn dynamic memory you'll love it. A system only takes as
many memory slots as there are frequencies in that system - no more 10
channels of a bank used and 90 wasted. You CAN have a few dozen LTR
trunked systems in memory at the same time now.

3856. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-25 04:53:30 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <HPOdnfpo3LfJg6veRVn-jw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 11917
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

N9OGL wrote:
> I mean't when you stop being a PRICK, which you are you fucking
> prick...
>
> asshole
>

Try to grow up sometime toddyboy.

3857. Pro 2045 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-25 05:48:27 UTC
From: Hamguy <hamguy@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <LSqZe.4217$Xl2.223@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 11918

Is this a decent scanner? I have a chance to buy one, and I kinda' like the 200/300 MHz range. Any comments?

3858. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-25 06:19:37 UTC
From: "Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <ZjrZe.23186$ib1.5449@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 11919
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

What morons. The intellect level of some in this newsgroup frightens me. It would seem that they only have enough mental capacity to be dangerous.

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1127571366.154064.163030@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> YOUR A FUCKING DICKHEAD WHY DON'T GO FUCK YOURSELF YOU FUCKING
> BITCHWHORE!!
>

3859. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-25 06:48:11 UTC
From: Victor Victoria <Kooks@nutzcases.im>
Message-ID: <dh5h49caj0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 11920
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

You are giving them too much credit. Most have no mental capacity
whatsoever.

"Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com> wrote in message
news:ZjrZe.23186$ib1.5449@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com...
What morons. The intellect level of some in this newsgroup frightens me. It
would seem that they only have enough mental capacity to be dangerous.

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1127571366.154064.163030@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> YOUR A FUCKING DICKHEAD WHY DON'T GO FUCK YOURSELF YOU FUCKING
> BITCHWHORE!!
>

3860. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-25 07:21:46 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1127632906.130891.209620@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11921
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I don't have to put up with his or anyone else harassment and bullshit.
Instead of debate the issue assholes like CornWhore, K4YZ and a few
other assholes on here would attack a person. So I if you and Victor
Victoria agree with cornwhore and the other harassing individual on
here, then my opinion is your assholes just like them and don't
derserve to have a logical debate

Todd N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW
14.321.00 MHz.

3861. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-25 07:26:00 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1127633160.740215.225140@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11922
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Sorry here's the Correction
I don't have to put up with his or anyone else harassment and bullshit
on here.
Instead of debate the issue assholes like CornWhore, K4YZ and a few
other on here would attack a person instead of debating the issue. So
if you and Victor
Victoria agree with cornwhore and the other harassing individual on
here, then my opinion is your assholes just like them and don't
derserve to have a logical debate

Todd N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW
14.321.00 MHz.

3862. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-25 08:14:21 UTC
From: Dudley Dooright <Dudley@DoIt.ri>
Message-ID: <dh5m5svmd0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 11923
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Todd. Sorry, bud, but your comments are disjointed and make little sense.
You really should step back a pace or two, look at your posts and give a good, long look at why the guys in this group are laughing at you.
In spite of your attempts to post what you see as facts, Todd, you are and will be looked upon as a Usenet fool.
I guess that I am, in your words, "your assholes just like them".
(I think you meant to say, "You are assholes just like them", but who am I to second guess you?)

And, Todd, you don't debate. You call names. We understand this, Todd, and realize that this is all you are capable of.

Why? Because you have no idea of what Debate means.

Grow up, Todd. Kiss your Mommy goodnight and shut down her computer and call it a night.

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1127633160.740215.225140@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
Sorry here's the Correction
I don't have to put up with his or anyone else harassment and bullshit on here.
Instead of debate the issue assholes like CornWhore, K4YZ and a few other on here would attack a person instead of debating the issue. So if you and Victor
Victoria agree with cornwhore and the other harassing individual on here, then my opinion is your assholes just like them and don't derserve to have a logical debate

Todd N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW
14.321.00 MHz.

3863. Re: Pro 2045 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-25 12:36:23 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <433699C7.3626A0A4@milestones.com>
Source record: 11925

Hamguy wrote:

> Is this a decent scanner? I have a chance to buy one, and I kinda' like the
> 200/300 MHz range. Any comments?

I've had one for quite a while. I like it. Nice audio and it does of course have
the mil-aircraft band.

Would I buy another? Yes.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3864. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-25 13:08:43 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1127653723.487485.59040@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11926
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

N9OGL wrote:
> YOUR A FUCKING DICKHEAD WHY DON'T GO FUCK YOURSELF YOU FUCKING
> BITCHWHORE!!

My, that's quite a little fag fit you're having, Toadie.

3865. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-25 13:10:26 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1127653826.219907.252110@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11927
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Try taking a remedial English course, Toadie!

3866. Re: Pro 2045 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-25 13:47:46 UTC
From: D Ruhe <ruhe@pitt.edu>
Message-ID: <11jdak3bnc6mu5d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 11928

I own three of them, you can not go wrong. Excellent preformer in
MilAir. It seems to me that it out preforms others in the VHF Low Band
as well

Go for it
--Dan-- KE3UC

dxAce wrote:
>
> Hamguy wrote:
>
>
>>Is this a decent scanner? I have a chance to buy one, and I kinda' like the
>>200/300 MHz range. Any comments?
>
>
> I've had one for quite a while. I like it. Nice audio and it does of course have
> the mil-aircraft band.
>
> Would I buy another? Yes.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA
>
>

3867. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-25 18:36:31 UTC
From: Greg <gfight@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <BF5C679E.4924E%gfight@tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 11930
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> From: "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
> Organization: http://groups.google.com
> Newsgroups:
> rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.sh
> ortwave
> Date: 25 Sep 2005 00:26:00 -0700
> Subject: Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME
>
> Sorry here's the Correction
> I don't have to put up with his or anyone else harassment and bullshit
> on here.
> Instead of debate the issue assholes like CornWhore, K4YZ and a few
> other on here would attack a person instead of debating the issue. So
> if you and Victor
> Victoria agree with cornwhore and the other harassing individual on
> here, then my opinion is your assholes just like them and don't
> derserve to have a logical debate
>
>
> Todd N9OGL
> THE N9OGL SHOW
> 14.321.00 MHz.
>
I think you still need to work on it some more.

Greg

3868. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-25 19:02:43 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1127674963.110296.325940@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11929
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

FUCK YOU! I have debate a number of things on various newsgroups it's assholes like cornwhore and all your little bitchs on here a agitate and harass so again fuck you!

3869. Primecell Batteries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-25 19:13:27 UTC
From: DXer <nospam@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <rFCZe.103658$xl6.40441@tornado.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 11932

Anyone have any feedback about co. called Primecell advertising 2300 NiMH betteries for BC245 on ebay? Was going to get the one from Lee's Electronics but they are out of stock as of right now. Primecell battery sounds better and much more affordable, just wondering about them as I have not heard of them b4 - anyone?

3870. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-25 19:33:03 UTC
From: Greg <gfight@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <BF5C74E0.49277%gfight@tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 11931
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> From: "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
> Organization: http://groups.google.com
> Newsgroups:
> rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.sh
> ortwave
> Date: 25 Sep 2005 12:02:43 -0700
> Subject: Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME
>
> FUCK YOU! I have debate a number of things on various newsgroups it's
> assholes like cornwhore and all your little bitchs on here a agitate
> and harass so again fuck you!
>
Predictable. Barely intelligible, but predictable.
Have a nice day,

Greg

3871. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-25 20:21:53 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <mj1ej11utjtkd7r2spc6hev5j84vjfllcm@4ax.com>
Source record: 11933
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On 25 Sep 2005 00:26:00 -0700, "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> said in
rec.radio.scanner:

>I don't have to put up with his or anyone else harassment and bullshit
>on here.

No, you sure don't, Todd. No one is forcing you to use usenet. As
Harry S said, if you can't stand the heat ...

Oh, and <plonk> My 5-year-old grandson is more mature than you are,
and he's hyperactive.

3872. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-25 20:23:08 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <po1ej1ttp1emh9oohoov8a590njrnqbhme@4ax.com>
Source record: 11934
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On 25 Sep 2005 06:10:26 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com said in rec.radio.scanner:

>Try taking a remedial English course, Toadie!

You're talking to a child and telling him to act like an adult. :)

3873. FS: ICOM R10 RECEIVER/SCANNER.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-25 20:44:19 UTC
From: ritchi50@optonline.net
Message-ID: <u03ej1thktr0gig6c9d82eu7dr4q6rb53b@4ax.com>
Source record: 11935

FS: ICOM R10 RECEIVER/SCANNER
From 0.500 to 823.999, 849.0001 to 868.9999 and 894.0001 to 1300.000
MHz.
Modes are FM, AM, WFM, USB, LSB and CW.
It's used but looks like new!
Comes with antenna and manual.
Of course it will not be dead on arrival, Works like new also.
Price: 225.00
ritchi50@optonline.net

3874. Free tv for only 1 euro

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-25 21:09:00 UTC
From: Christopher O'Callaghan <d.ocallaghan@iolfree.ie>
Message-ID: <dh73ljmoj2@reader01.news.esat.net>
Source record: 11936

Might i recommend this to you. Ebay item number 5297402959

1 euro for tv on your computer.Its very good and thought you might be interested.

All the best

Chris

3875. FS bc 890xlt & sc 150

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-25 23:11:14 UTC
From: Vance <b_lasalle@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <q8GZe.1232$cq2.147326@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 11937
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have 2 scanners to sel a BC 890xlt and a sc 150...

Vance

3876. Re: Is the BC-246T any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-26 00:29:42 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <WhHZe.3895$0m6.106@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 11939

"crb" <anon@net.com> wrote in message news:dh43uq010ct@enews3.newsguy.com...
> just saw one in a magazine for the first time. sure looks like it has a
> lot of features and is compact.
>
> what are the pro's and con's of this radio? Is there one better?

As long as you do not need Mil Air, they are great. If you are wanting trunked systems, and have used other scanners, they take some getting used to. If you program manually you have to kind of "un learn" what you knew. Took me a couple hours to get mine going when I first got it, but it is great because of how small it is. I found on mine one of the 800 MHz antennas did make an improvement listening to the 800 police. Others have said they did not help.
--
Experience is something you don't get until just after you need it.

3877. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-26 01:09:08 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <UaCdnXxowMmhpqreRVn-rg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 11938
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

N9OGL wrote:
> I don't have to put up with his or anyone else harassment and bullshit.
> Instead of debate the issue assholes like CornWhore, K4YZ and a few
> other assholes on here would attack a person. So I if you and Victor
> Victoria agree with cornwhore and the other harassing individual on
> here, then my opinion is your assholes just like them and don't
> derserve to have a logical debate
>
> Todd N9OGL
> THE N9OGL SHOW
> 14.321.00 MHz.
>

It would be impossible to have a logical debate with you toddieboy.

3878. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-26 04:02:35 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <-dudnZTHc-B-_qreRVn-sg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 11940
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

N9OGL wrote:
> I don't have to put up with his or anyone else harassment and bullshit.

You're absolutely correct, you can always leave the newsgroups and make
everybody happy.

3879. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-26 04:06:40 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1127707600.319292.76750@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11941
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

And you can eat shit and die!!!!

this isn't your fucking newsgroup

3880. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-26 05:33:20 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <Jv2dnXPqtai15KreRVn-3g@gbronline.com>
Source record: 11942
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

N9OGL wrote:
> And you can eat shit and die!!!!
>
>

You really do need to make an attempt to grow up toddieboy.

> this isn't your fucking newsgroup

And where did I ever say it was?

3881. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-26 05:52:11 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <i33fj1p0teriihnlou6ib60lo0oqvmd5vi@4ax.com>
Source record: 11943
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 25 Sep 2005 22:33:20 -0700, Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc> said
in rec.radio.scanner:

>N9OGL wrote:
>> And you can eat shit and die!!!!

>You really do need to make an attempt to grow up toddieboy.

Or at least to expand his vocabulary a little.

3882. FS BC785D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-26 12:48:08 UTC
From: Bill Williams <n5hdd@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <b8GdnSBx0sskcKreRVn-jw@comcast.com>
Source record: 11944

For Sale Uniden BC785D mint condition, smoke free area, original box and all the accessories used less than 10 hrs... $225.00 money order plus $12.00 shipping..

Contact David
home--469-366-6651 or cell 214-460-6026 before 2:30 p.m. for I work the even shift...

3883. Re: Primecell Batteries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-26 13:42:10 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <sqednWqF-dcpZ6reRVn-qQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 11945

Primecell is excellent! You can deal with them at their web site too, if
you don't want to use eBay:

http://www.primecell.com/ebay/scanmax/2300website.htm

Bill Crocker

"DXer" <nospam@tampabay.rr.com> wrote in message
news:rFCZe.103658$xl6.40441@tornado.tampabay.rr.com...
> Anyone have any feedback about co. called Primecell advertising 2300 NiMH
> betteries for BC245 on ebay? Was going to get the one from Lee's
> Electronics
> but they are out of stock as of right now. Primecell battery sounds better
> and much more affordable, just wondering about them as I have not heard of
> them b4 - anyone?
>
>

3884. Re: For Sale Or Trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-26 13:43:07 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <n46dnYVZgIhzZ6reRVn-uQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 11946

Can't you return it for a refund/credit?

Bill Crocker

"Disturbed1" <tempting78@chartermi.net> wrote in message
news:qgnZe.7936$845.7814@fe03.lga...
> Brand New Uniden BC796D only had it a week. I do not like it. For sale
> $525.00 or trade for a Pro-96 or 2096
>

3885. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-26 14:08:44 UTC
From: Greg <gfight@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <BF5D7A5E.49597%gfight@tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 11947
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> From: "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
> Organization: http://groups.google.com
> Newsgroups:
> rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.sh
> ortwave
> Date: 25 Sep 2005 21:06:40 -0700
> Subject: Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME
>
> And you can eat shit and die!!!!
>
> this isn't your fucking newsgroup
>
Your grammar is improving.

Greg

3886. Re: Glass mount orientation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-26 15:24:34 UTC
From: Usenetboy <usenetboy@netexpress.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.09.26.15.24.32.315716@netexpress.com>
Source record: 11948

On Fri, 23 Sep 2005 06:50:58 -0700, daedalusnj wrote:

> Question: is there a specification on which way the interior glass
> mount is to be oriented? I seem to recall from the past that the inner
> piece had to be oriented properly with the outer. If that was wrong it
> would not work. I'm hoping that this is the problem. I don't see any
> 'orientation arrows' on the mount.

I can't speak for the Valor antenna (although they very similar), but I know with the Radio Shack through the glass antenna there most definitely is a specific orientation called out in the documentation. I've read posts from folks who got it wrong and their symptoms are really poor signal strength until they re-oriented the antenna components per the instructions.

http://www.radioshack.com/images/ProductCatalog/Manuals/OME20-011.pdf

Good luck.

3887. Re: Glass mount orientation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-26 19:19:57 UTC
From: Jeff <oldog@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <xRXZe.164104$084.6572@attbi_s22>
Source record: 11949

> On Fri, 23 Sep 2005 06:50:58 -0700, daedalusnj wrote:
>
> > Question: is there a specification on which way the interior glass
> > mount is to be oriented? I seem to recall from the past that the inner
> > piece had to be oriented properly with the outer. If that was wrong it
> > would not work. I'm hoping that this is the problem. I don't see any
> > 'orientation arrows' on the mount.
>
---

all the 2 pieces are more or less are the 2 "plates"
of a capacitor with the glass acting as a dielectric. So in short
the signal is capacitively coupled from the inside to the ouside.
There are no arrows on it because it really doesnt matter wich
corner of one side is matched up with wich corner on the outside.
As long as they are aligned and not off center or on a piece of
glass with wires in it or other material, it doesnt matter. Used to
have one for years and I change vehicles every other year so I
changed mine a lot. I routed the inside box for the easiest cable
run, still worked fine.

J

3888. Re: For Sale Or Trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-26 22:30:15 UTC
From: Disturbed1 <tempting78@chartermi.net>
Message-ID: <VD_Ze.318$wg7.128@fe06.lga>
Source record: 11950

No I bought it brand new from someone off eBay
"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:n46dnYVZgIhzZ6reRVn-uQ@comcast.com...
> Can't you return it for a refund/credit?
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
> "Disturbed1" <tempting78@chartermi.net> wrote in message
> news:qgnZe.7936$845.7814@fe03.lga...
>> Brand New Uniden BC796D only had it a week. I do not like it. For sale
>> $525.00 or trade for a Pro-96 or 2096
>>
>
>

3889. Re: What Do You Think

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-26 22:41:47 UTC
From: poboxdc@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <4338792B.C42C3A64@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 11851
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

BDK wrote:
>
> In article <s%xZe.8$lc1.5@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>, junkman18
> @hotmail.com says...
> > I've almost had it with this 396. I expected quality from Uniden,
> > having purchased the 785D a couple of years ago and being extremely
> > pissed off with the performance...
> >
> > Many of you guys seem to say your units work fine. I beg to differ!
> > Since 2002, I've been using multiple Motorola ASTRO radios to
> > monitor my public safety agencies--I also used these radios for an
> > unrelated project, so they served a dual purpose.
> >
> > There has never been a problem with "machine gun noises" or "late
> > unmuting" with my ASTRO radios. This 396 is a POS when it comes to
> > decoding P25 transmissions. But I think it's only fair that I allow
> > Uniden to correct the defects via firmware updates, so I will be
> > patient.
> >
> > Let me give a little background on the system I'm monitoring. It's a
> > Motorola SmartZone Omnilink 4.1, 3600bps mixed-mode 800 MHz trunk. I
> > monitor analog/digital comms on my other radios no problem. I use
> > the 396, and I frequently get machine gun noises at the
> > beginning/end of each transmission.
> >
> > I have "fine tuned" (Funny, since you don't have to "fine tune" a
> > Motorola radio) the 396 so the error number is around 2, which is
> > more than acceptable.
> >
> > The firmware version is 1.07.01. The other day, I downloaded and
> > applied the 1.08.07 update--and just as everyone says on here, it
> > makes the scanner perform worse when monitoring P25 signals. I
> > reverted back to 1.07.01, which isn't much better than 1.08.07, but
> > there are less machine gun noises.
> >
> > OK, so by now you're saying, "What's your point". Here's my point:
> >
> > - Uniden, why can you not let us strap analog/digital on a per
> > talkgroup basis, so the silly scanner doesn't try to "sample and
> > guess" when it's receiving, to determine whether or not the P25 IMBE
> > decoder should be applied? This is easy, and solves a lot of
> > problems. This is what the machine gun issue is... The machine gun
> > noise is NOT IMBE, it's just a hang-time/carrier from a quantar that

> > keeps the channel open, and that's why the scanner unmutes to analog
> > and blasts it out of the speaker. It's easy to fix, and I'm not sure
> > why you've had this problem in all of your digital scanners since
> > the 250D?
> >
> > - Firmware testing. Why can't you test it first, or at least let the
> > 396 yahoogroup "beta test" it for you, before releasing it on your
> > website? That way we can report bugs, in a documented way. We use
> > our scanners more than your in-house engineers do.
> >
> > - What's with the cheesy AGC control? It doesn't do anything. It's a
> > useless feature. Why don't you fix it, to make it work similar to
> > the PRO-96?
> >
> > - What' with the HORRIBLE P25 AUDIO?!?!? The audio sounds like a guy
> > talking to me from 20,000 leagues under the sea. I'm sure you can do
> > something (hopefully your firmware update allows you to update the
> > DSP codec?) about this? My Motorola ASTRO radios are all equipped
> > with DSP8, which makes P25 sound superior to anything else out
> > there. I realize this is just a scanner, but man.. if you guys could
> > hear the DSP8 audio from a REAL ASTRO radio, you'd be complaining
> > too. This "warble" effect from the 396 is really bad. The PRO-96
> > sounds 10x better.
> >
> > I guess I'm just sour after spending $519 on this thing. I hated the
> > 250 and the 785, but thought after 2 years that you'd get your act
> > together before releasing another scanner with poor P25
> > performance...
> >
> > The other features in the scanner are very nice. I'm impressed with
> > the Close Call feature, and WFM performance on the 88-108 band
> > actually works not too bad. Overall a good scanner, but P25 sucks on
> > this thing.
> >
> > My intent isn't to slam anyone else who bought one. I just want it
> > to work satisfactory in P25 mode. Right now it's really
> >
> >
> >
>
> Your results are similar to what a friend of mine is having with his
> 396. His Pro 96 works well on digital, and he's probably going to dump
> the 396 on ebay soon. Too bad, it has a lot of great features, but the
> negatives outweigh the positive.
>
> I haven't kept a Uniden made scanner since I bought my BC9000, and it
> just barely made the "cut", RF performance wise. It's going to be moved
> down to "back up" very soon.
>
> BDK

Uniden has a "fix" for this noise you are hearing. You can
download the updated firmware (V. 1.7?) (I believe) at their website.

3890. Re: What Do You Think

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-26 23:30:08 UTC
From: BDK <kingratay@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1da24e8f4d5a2260989b1b@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 11852
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <4338792B.C42C3A64@ix.netcom.com>, poboxdc@ix.netcom.com says...
> BDK wrote:
> >
> > In article <s%xZe.8$lc1.5@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>, junkman18@hotmail.com says...
> > > I've almost had it with this 396. I expected quality from Uniden, having purchased the 785D a couple of years ago and being extremely pissed off with the performance...
> > >
> > > Many of you guys seem to say your units work fine. I beg to differ! Since 2002, I've been using multiple Motorola ASTRO radios to monitor my public safety agencies--I also used these radios for an unrelated project, so they served a dual purpose.
> > >
> > > There has never been a problem with "machine gun noises" or "late unmuting" with my ASTRO radios. This 396 is a POS when it comes to decoding P25 transmissions. But I think it's only fair that I allow Uniden to correct the defects via firmware updates, so I will be patient.
> > >
> > > Let me give a little background on the system I'm monitoring. It's a Motorola SmartZone Omnilink 4.1, 3600bps mixed-mode 800 MHz trunk. I monitor analog/digital comms on my other radios no problem. I use the 396, and I frequently get machine gun noises at the beginning/end of each transmission.
> > >
> > > I have "fine tuned" (Funny, since you don't have to "fine tune" a Motorola radio) the 396 so the error number is around 2, which is more than acceptable.
> > >
> > > The firmware version is 1.07.01. The other day, I downloaded and applied the 1.08.07 update--and just as everyone says on here, it makes the scanner perform worse when monitoring P25 signals. I reverted back to 1.07.01, which isn't much better than 1.08.07, but there are less machine gun noises.
> > >
> > > OK, so by now you're saying, "What's your point". Here's my point:
> > >
> > > - Uniden, why can you not let us strap analog/digital on a per talkgroup basis, so the silly scanner doesn't try to "sample and guess" when it's receiving, to determine whether or not the P25 IMBE decoder should be applied? This is easy, and solves a lot of

> > > problems. This is what the machine gun issue is... The machine gun
> > > noise is NOT IMBE, it's just a hang-time/carrier from a quantar that
> > > keeps the channel open, and that's why the scanner unmutes to analog
> > > and blasts it out of the speaker. It's easy to fix, and I'm not sure
> > > why you've had this problem in all of your digital scanners since
> > > the 250D?
> > >
> > > - Firmware testing. Why can't you test it first, or at least let the
> > > 396 yahoogroup "beta test" it for you, before releasing it on your
> > > website? That way we can report bugs, in a documented way. We use
> > > our scanners more than your in-house engineers do.
> > >
> > > - What's with the cheesy AGC control? It doesn't do anything. It's a
> > > useless feature. Why don't you fix it, to make it work similar to
> > > the PRO-96?
> > >
> > > - What' with the HORRIBLE P25 AUDIO?!?!? The audio sounds like a guy
> > > talking to me from 20,000 leagues under the sea. I'm sure you can do
> > > something (hopefully your firmware update allows you to update the
> > > DSP codec?) about this? My Motorola ASTRO radios are all equipped
> > > with DSP8, which makes P25 sound superior to anything else out
> > > there. I realize this is just a scanner, but man.. if you guys could
> > > hear the DSP8 audio from a REAL ASTRO radio, you'd be complaining
> > > too. This "warble" effect from the 396 is really bad. The PRO-96
> > > sounds 10x better.
> > >
> > > I guess I'm just sour after spending $519 on this thing. I hated the
> > > 250 and the 785, but thought after 2 years that you'd get your act
> > > together before releasing another scanner with poor P25
> > > performance...
> > >
> > > The other features in the scanner are very nice. I'm impressed with
> > > the Close Call feature, and WFM performance on the 88-108 band
> > > actually works not too bad. Overall a good scanner, but P25 sucks on
> > > this thing.
> > >
> > > My intent isn't to slam anyone else who bought one. I just want it
> > > to work satisfactory in P25 mode. Right now it's really
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > Your results are similar to what a friend of mine is having with his
> > 396. His Pro 96 works well on digital, and he's probably going to dump
> > the 396 on ebay soon. Too bad, it has a lot of great features, but the
> > negatives outweigh the positive.
> >
> > I haven't kept a Uniden made scanner since I bought my BC9000, and it
> > just barely made the "cut", RF performance wise. It's going to be moved
> > down to "back up" very soon.

> >
> > BDK
>
> Uniden has a "fix" for this noise you are hearing. You can
> download the updated firmware (V. 1.7?) (I believe) at their website.
>

He already did it, it's better, but still bad.

Thanks for the reply.

BDK

3891. repair help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-26 23:43:20 UTC
From: TomCat4680 <tomcatgbmi@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1127778200.890806.24540@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11853

i dropped my Pro 96 on the floor and the reception was worse than usual. i had my uncle resolder the antenna wire but the reception is still poor. what else is the problem and how can it be fixed?

Tom

3892. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-27 00:33:47 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <Lr0_e.5548$q1.1925@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 11854
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1127674963.110296.325940@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> FUCK YOU! I have debate a number of things on various newsgroups it's
> assholes like cornwhore and all your little bitchs on here a agitate
> and harass so again fuck you!
>

I'm sorry...but I just can't resist here.....Toad claims to have been born in 1968 was it? And now he claims to be going to college, and don't seem to have a problem with living with his parents....Let's see now....that makes him....37....THIRTY SEVEN YEARS OLD.

Uh......at that age....

1. Why are you still in college?

2. Why do you still live with your parents

3. Just exactly what are you learning in community college Toad?

Just curious.

And please stop the juvenile pre-high school silliness and language, if you can.

Dan/W4NTI

3893. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-27 00:39:26 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <2x0_e.5552$q1.2198@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 11855
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1127521751.928004.86060@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >...the only other thing that can be said in defense of W1AW is that it
>>is a *national* organization representing tens of thousands of hams that
>>is presumably "broadcasting" for the common good (and follows a regular,
>>*published* schedule, allowing others to dodge the "interference" if
>>they so wish), while K1MAN is a --single--private--individual-- who has
>>had some peculiar (shady ?) pecuniary interests associated with his
>>"broadcasts" in the past.
>
> doesn't matter Interference is interference, and ANYONE or GROUP who
> causes interference should pay regardless if it for the "common good".
> As for published schedule the FCC has stated that do mean squat, my
> view is that all who cause interference should pay.
>
> Todd N9OGL
> THE N9OGL SHOW
> 14.321.00
>

I have decided to start a new net....I pick 14.321. I will be replaying ARRL bulletins and information from RAIN. Then claim it as original. I will also be using a prompt from Walter Cronkike, even tho his lawyers asked me to stop.

Then if anyone interferes with me I will send out "Felony affidavits".

What you think Toad? Looks like your future to me.

Dan/W4NTI

3894. Re: What Do You Think

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-27 01:00:25 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <mb6hj15llsuopjakj0dla7q7ukr5met887@4ax.com>
Source record: 11856
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 26 Sep 2005 18:41:47 -0400, poboxdc@ix.netcom.com said in alt.radio.scanner:

>Uniden has a "fix" for this noise you are hearing. You can
>download the updated firmware (V. 1.7?) (I believe) at their website.

The new firmware, released 9/26, is 1.09.00.
<http://www.uniden.com/rn_productsupport_downloads.cfm?product=BCD396T>

3895. Re: What Do You Think

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-27 01:48:22 UTC
From: BDK <kingratay@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1da26ef15c06fc38989b1d@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 11857
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <mb6hj15llsuopjakj0dla7q7ukr5met887@4ax.com>,
rukbat@invalid.net says...
> On Mon, 26 Sep 2005 18:41:47 -0400, poboxdc@ix.netcom.com said in
> alt.radio.scanner:
>
> >Uniden has a "fix" for this noise you are hearing. You can
> >download the updated firmware (V. 1.7?) (I believe) at their website.
>
> The new firmware, released 9/26, is 1.09.00.
> <http://www.uniden.com/rn_productsupport_downloads.cfm?product=BCD396T>
>

Does it fix the "down in the well" sound?

I guess my friend is about to find out..

BDK

3896. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-27 03:39:47 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <23b69$4338bee6$4e0db8a$17191@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 11858
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Yes, Todd's college education is starting to pay off !!!

"Greg" <gfight@tampabay.rr.com> wrote in message
news:BF5D7A5E.49597%gfight@tampabay.rr.com...
>
>
>> From: "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
>> Organization: http://groups.google.com
>> Newsgroups:
>> rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.sh
>> ortwave
>> Date: 25 Sep 2005 21:06:40 -0700
>> Subject: Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME
>>
>> And you can eat shit and die!!!!
>>
>> this isn't your fucking newsgroup
>>
> Your grammar is improving.
>
> Greg
>

3897. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-27 06:42:20 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <ctphj1t6mf3avkd0af14oc97u574c0p8q0@4ax.com>
Source record: 11859
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 22 Sep 2005 18:24:38 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
wrote:

>On Thu, 22 Sep 2005 14:16:00 GMT, "AMEN" <Nomail@msn.com> said in
>rec.radio.scanner:
>
>>Well, you have a point. But I still like his hacksaw idea.
>
>A straight pin through the coax is more subtle - and a lot more
>difficult to diagnose.

Har. I have no idea what either of those did, but the second
reminds me of my first job. Our direct boss was as much of a nervous
narvus as I've ever seen. The office, in the early 60s, was wired with
an arrangement whereby his boss could call any one of my boss' four
peers into his office using a buzzer to each of their desks.

We found we could poke a pin into the right cable against the
wall and frequently set his buzzer off. Then he'd go into his boss'
office and get chewed out for being an idiot for showing up when he
hadn't been called.

We also found out that if you leaned back hard enough in one
of the office chairs, it made nearly the same sound.

I suspect the guy could have lived a few extra years if he
didn't have the four of us as his temporary summer messengers a couple
of years running.

3898. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-27 06:49:53 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <1rqhj1tb86irfrtpleapqo7q12hq899jmn@4ax.com>
Source record: 11860
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On 23 Sep 2005 17:29:11 -0700, "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:

>>...the only other thing that can be said in defense of W1AW is that it
>>is a *national* organization representing tens of thousands of hams that
>>is presumably "broadcasting" for the common good (and follows a regular,
>>*published* schedule, allowing others to dodge the "interference" if
>>they so wish), while K1MAN is a --single--private--individual-- who has
>>had some peculiar (shady ?) pecuniary interests associated with his
>>"broadcasts" in the past.
>
>doesn't matter Interference is interference, and ANYONE or GROUP who
>causes interference should pay regardless if it for the "common good".
>As for published schedule the FCC has stated that do mean squat, my
>view is that all who cause interference should pay.
>

Including the interference your splatter is causing on this
group?

>Todd N9OGL
>THE N9OGL SHOW
>14.321.00

3899. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-27 06:51:07 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <3tqhj1dgirkvd4na75et2sngond1p8emto@4ax.com>
Source record: 11861
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Do you have a count of the people you've convinced not to top
post?

On Fri, 23 Sep 2005 17:03:34 +1000, Barry OGrady
<atheist.xxx@gmail.com> wrote:

>On Thu, 22 Sep 2005 15:11:13 GMT, "Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com> wrote:
>
>>It's never a good idea to use vigilante tactics to solve a problem. There
>>are rules for which all of us must abide.
>
>One of those rules is that we must never top post.
>
>>One of those rules is that we must
>>not intentionally block or interfere with another transmission that is in
>>progress. We must all share the frequencies in an orderly fashion.
>>
>>These are the rules. If we were to all ignore these rules and transmit
>>whenever, however, and wherever we pleased, there would be mass chaos on
>>most bands. The rules are structured and enforced by the FCC. I cannot
>>condone any other approach to eliminating unruly examples like K1MAN--as
>>frustrating as that approach may be.
>
>A: Top posting
>Q: What is the most annoying thing on usenet?
>
>
>
>>Wayne P. Muckleroy
>>(KC8UIO)
>>
>>"AMEN" <Nomail@msn.com> wrote in message
>>news:j5iYe.357189$_o.126093@attbi_s71...
>>>
>>> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>> news:1127183286.132818.195670@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>>>> It is FAR from over, after the FCC makes it's decision it goes to Court
>>>> of Appeal where he can challenge the FCC rules and or decision. If he
>>>> transmits, he will be unlicensed which can speed the process to the
>>>> court systems like I said it's FAR from over.
>>>>
>>>> Todd N9OGL
>>>> The N9OGL Show
>>>> 14.321.00 Mhz

>>>
>>>
>>> Wayne Green had by far the best solution to the problem back in 1992:
>>>
>>>
>>> Wayne Green W2NSD/1
>>> WGI Center
>>> Peterborough NH 03458
>>>
>>> Sep. 13, 1992
>>>
>>> "While I can appreciate your frustration with Baxter, which I share, your
>>> approach to disenfranchising him seems to indicate that you either do not
>>> read my editorials or at least don't remember them. I've God knows how
>>> many
>>> times been quite blunt about NOT annoying the FCC with our problems.
>>> That's
>>> not only a waste of time, it's downright dangerous... and can cause far
>>> more
>>> trouble than poor little Baxter. Please remember that we keep trying to
>>> convince the FCC that we are self-regulating. Note the term "self."
>>>
>>> If a few hams ever want to get rid of Baxter that's not all that
>>> difficult... and you don't even have to pay a hit man to clean off 14,275.
>>> All it takes is a little creativity and some coordinated effort.
>>>
>>> As a matter of fact it would be fun to wipe out Baxter. And you wouldn't
>>> even have to have a small group of like-minded hams drive to Maine with
>>> hack
>>> saws for his coax and tower or a concentrated campaign to turn his
>>> neighbors
>>> against him with propaganda. No, all it would take is a few hams with good
>>> signals and some coordination.
>>>
>>> I'm sure you can come up with much more fitting tortures for Baxter than
>>> anything I could dream up, but my mind turns to getting the channel about
>>> ten minutes before his broadcasts with my own anti-IARN broadcasts. I'll
>>> bet
>>> I could come up with some material which would burn Baxter, yet would not
>>> quite be actionable. Or I might tape one of his broadcasts and just repeat
>>> it as a "service" right on top of him and wipe him out. I've got as good a
>>> signal as anyone and I'm only a couple hundred miles from him. Knowing the
>>> love they have for him in Maine I'm sure you can find one, two or even
>>> three
>>> strong stations fairly near him to join in the effort to "help" him get
>>> his
>>> broadcasts out.
>>>
>>> Of course if you don't know anyone capable of outsmarting Baxter, then you
>>> have no choice but to give up and take up stamp collecting. Baxter may be

>>> loud, but I haven't yet seen any signs of a three digit IQ behind the mess
>>> he's been making for several years.
>>>
>>> If you do decide to actually do something instead of wasting your time on
>>> the FCC and ARRL, please let me know. "
>>>
>>> Cheers ...
>>> Wayne
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>
>Barry
>=====
>Home page
>http://members.iinet.net.au/~barry.og

3900. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-27 06:52:58 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <jvqhj1d50pucl9u72nmap1jvmu9nakahu4@4ax.com>
Source record: 11862
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On 23 Sep 2005 00:48:10 -0700, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>grow up
>
>Barry OGrady wrote:
>> On Thu, 22 Sep 2005 15:11:13 GMT, "Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com> wrote:
>>
>> >It's never a good idea to use vigilante tactics to solve a problem. There
>> >are rules for which all of us must abide.
>>
>> One of those rules is that we must never top post.
>
>no such rule
>
>there may be a convention, but no rule
>>
>> >One of those rules is that we must
>> >not intentionally block or interfere with another transmission that is in
>> >progress. We must all share the frequencies in an orderly fashion.
>> >
>> >These are the rules. If we were to all ignore these rules and transmit
>> >whenever, however, and wherever we pleased, there would be mass chaos on
>> >most bands. The rules are structured and enforced by the FCC. I cannot
>> >condone any other approach to eliminating unruly examples like K1MAN--as
>> >frustrating as that approach may be.
>>
>> A: Top posting
>> Q: What is the most annoying thing on usenet?
>
>not even even close
>>

Actually the bozo who's recently resorted to profane,
capitalized shrieking is far more annoying.

>>
>>
>> >Wayne P. Muckleroy
>> >(KC8UIO)
>> >
>> >"AMEN" <Nomail@msn.com> wrote in message
>> >news:j5iYe.357189$_o.126093@attbi_s71...
>cut

3901. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-27 08:57:46 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1127811466.165152.74910@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11863
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

>I'm sorry...but I just can't resist here.....Toad claims to have been born
>in 1968 was it?

There's no claim about it danny, check the FCC records.

>1. Why are you still in college?

Well Danny, to start I just returned to school last year. I had
attended a university once when I got out of high school which was back
1987. I know whith someone like you with your learning disability you
don't understand that if you want to make it in this world you need a
education, and not just a high school education.

>2. Why do you still live with your parents

Well first off a lot of people in this area still live at home. but to
anwer your question my parents are retiring a few more years and plan
to move up north, while I will be given the house, it kind of like a
inheritance. As I stated before I very seldom see my parents i live on
the fourth floor while they occupy the second.

>3. Just exactly what are you learning in community college Toad?

I was going to study physics but I've been really looking into going
into pre-law, currently I am in the "liberal arts" studies.

>please stop the juvenile pre-high school silliness and language, if you
>can.

See Dan You were nice to me so I was nice back, perhaps other could
learn from it.

Todd N9OGL

3902. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-27 11:56:43 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1127822203.657714.127660@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11864
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

N9OGL wrote:
> >I'm sorry...but I just can't resist here.....Toad claims to have been born
> >in 1968 was it?
>
> There's no claim about it danny, check the FCC records.

Born in 1968, mental age about 6 years old.

> >1. Why are you still in college?
>
> Well Danny, to start I just returned to school last year. I had
> attended a university once when I got out of high school which was back
> 1987.

Translation, Toadie flunked or was kicked out.

>I know whith someone like you with your learning disability you
^^

Toadie blathers, "with" irony.

> don't understand that if you want to make it in this world you need a
> education, and not just a high school education.

Dan has already "made it" in this world, stupid.

> >2. Why do you still live with your parents
>
> Well first off a lot of people in this area still live at home.

Only losers like you, Toadie.

> but to anwer

What's "anwer"????? Better take a remedial English course, Toadie.

> your question my parents are retiring a few more years and plan
> to move up north, while I will be given the house, it kind of like a
> inheritance.

Toadie is leeching off them until they croak or are in the nursing home
and he gets the house.

> As I stated before I very seldom see my parents i live on
> the fourth floor while they occupy the second.

Oh, is the basement considered the "fourth floor", Toadie? I'd stay two
stories away from an idiot like you if I were your parents, too.

> >3. Just exactly what are you learning in community college Toad?
>
> I was going to study physics but I've been really looking into going
> into pre-law, currently I am in the "liberal arts" studies.

Given your communications skills you should concentrate on remedial
English.

>
> >please stop the juvenile pre-high school silliness and language, if you
> >can.
>
> See Dan You were nice to me so I was nice back, perhaps other could
> learn from it.

Not from an English-impaired little Toadie, though.

3903. Recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-27 15:22:58 UTC
From: "Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <mtd_e.23631$ib1.23040@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 11865
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Please use spell and grammar check before posting. I'm starting to get a
headache!

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1127811466.165152.74910@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >I'm sorry...but I just can't resist here.....Toad claims to have been
> >born
>>in 1968 was it?
>
> There's no claim about it danny, check the FCC records.
>
>>1. Why are you still in college?
>
> Well Danny, to start I just returned to school last year. I had
> attended a university once when I got out of high school which was back
> 1987. I know whith someone like you with your learning disability you
> don't understand that if you want to make it in this world you need a
> education, and not just a high school education.
>
>>2. Why do you still live with your parents
>
> Well first off a lot of people in this area still live at home. but to
> anwer your question my parents are retiring a few more years and plan
> to move up north, while I will be given the house, it kind of like a
> inheritance. As I stated before I very seldom see my parents i live on
> the fourth floor while they occupy the second.
>
>>3. Just exactly what are you learning in community college Toad?
>
> I was going to study physics but I've been really looking into going
> into pre-law, currently I am in the "liberal arts" studies.
>
>
>>please stop the juvenile pre-high school silliness and language, if you
>>can.
>
> See Dan You were nice to me so I was nice back, perhaps other could
> learn from it.
>
> Todd N9OGL
>

3904. Re: Recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-27 15:25:46 UTC
From: "Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <_vd_e.23632$ib1.10515@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 11866
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

This posting is directed to N9OGL.

"Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com> wrote in message
news:mtd_e.23631$ib1.23040@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com...
> Please use spell and grammar check before posting. I'm starting to get a
> headache!
>
> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1127811466.165152.74910@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >I'm sorry...but I just can't resist here.....Toad claims to have been
>> >born
>>>in 1968 was it?
>>
>> There's no claim about it danny, check the FCC records.
>>
>>>1. Why are you still in college?
>>
>> Well Danny, to start I just returned to school last year. I had
>> attended a university once when I got out of high school which was back
>> 1987. I know whith someone like you with your learning disability you
>> don't understand that if you want to make it in this world you need a
>> education, and not just a high school education.
>>
>>>2. Why do you still live with your parents
>>
>> Well first off a lot of people in this area still live at home. but to
>> anwer your question my parents are retiring a few more years and plan
>> to move up north, while I will be given the house, it kind of like a
>> inheritance. As I stated before I very seldom see my parents i live on
>> the fourth floor while they occupy the second.
>>
>>>3. Just exactly what are you learning in community college Toad?
>>
>> I was going to study physics but I've been really looking into going
>> into pre-law, currently I am in the "liberal arts" studies.
>>
>>
>>>please stop the juvenile pre-high school silliness and language, if you
>>>can.
>>
>> See Dan You were nice to me so I was nice back, perhaps other could
>> learn from it.
>>

>> Todd N9OGL
>>
>
>

3905. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-27 16:29:50 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <2se_e.5874$q1.5151@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 11867
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1127811466.165152.74910@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >I'm sorry...but I just can't resist here.....Toad claims to have been
> >born
>>in 1968 was it?
>
> There's no claim about it danny, check the FCC records.
>
>>1. Why are you still in college?
>
> Well Danny, to start I just returned to school last year. I had
> attended a university once when I got out of high school which was back
> 1987. I know whith someone like you with your learning disability you
> don't understand that if you want to make it in this world you need a
> education, and not just a high school education.
>

What learning disability is that Toad? Just because you have one, does not
mean I do.

>>2. Why do you still live with your parents
>
> Well first off a lot of people in this area still live at home.

Why is that Toad? Are most of the younger generation where you are at so
sorry they can't live their own lives?

but to
> anwer your question my parents are retiring a few more years and plan
> to move up north, while I will be given the house, it kind of like a
> inheritance. As I stated before I very seldom see my parents i live on
> the fourth floor while they occupy the second.
>

Can't stand you, eh?

>>3. Just exactly what are you learning in community college Toad?
>
> I was going to study physics but I've been really looking into going
> into pre-law, currently I am in the "liberal arts" studies.
>

Now why does that not surprise me? Liberal arts. What a deal.

You would make a great lawyer Toad. Especially when the law clerk laughed
at you every time you submitted a "paper". Get a spell checker, it will

help your credibility.

>
>>please stop the juvenile pre-high school silliness and language, if you
>>can.
>
> See Dan You were nice to me so I was nice back, perhaps other could
> learn from it.
>
> Todd N9OGL
>

I've learned a lot from you Toad. Mainly how I thank God I didn't grow up
like you.

Dan/W4NTI

3906. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-27 19:20:39 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1127848838.959857.148390@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11868
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

No dan, but I will talking about this weekend on my show and how people like you and your little bitches on here don't need to be amateur radio operators. I will also be talking about the CC&R rules congress is trying to pass and how if amateurs treat other amateurs like shit they really don't deserve that bill.

Todd N9OGL
14.321.00 MHz
Saturday @4.00 CST

3907. Kracars: Acars Decoder: Where To Download From ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-27 23:13:39 UTC
From: Robert11 <rgsros@notme.com>
Message-ID: <DKednfElw7GCT6TenZ2dnUVZ_sudnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 11871

Hello:

Would like to try out the Acars decoder called "Kracars".

But, can't seem to find a link to download it.
Also, I understand that there's a "new" Windows based version of it; the original was DOS based.

Can anyone help me out with a link ?
Is there truly a Windows vers out now ?

Thanks
Bob

3908. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-27 23:15:52 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <xa6dnYH-OIszXqTeRVn-1A@gbronline.com>
Source record: 11869
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

N9OGL wrote:
> No dan, but I will talking about this weekend on my show and how people
> like you and your little bitches on here don't need to be amateur radio
> operators. I will also be talking about the CC&R rules congress is
> trying to pass and how if amateurs treat other amateurs like shit they
> really don't deserve that bill.
>
>
> Todd N9OGL
> 14.321.00 MHz
> Saturday @4.00 CST
>

So if someone disagrees with you then they should be prevented from
being an amateur radio operator. Sounds like that denying of freedom of
speech you keep yapping about. You really are a turd.

3909. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-27 23:50:50 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <iZKdnQtLTPp9VqTenZ2dnUVZ_sudnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 11870
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Al Klein wrote:
> On Sun, 25 Sep 2005 22:33:20 -0700, Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc> said
> in rec.radio.scanner:
>
>
>>N9OGL wrote:
>>
>>>And you can eat shit and die!!!!
>
>
>>You really do need to make an attempt to grow up toddieboy.
>
>
> Or at least to expand his vocabulary a little.

He will as soon as he can get back down to the mall and hide behind the
artificial bushes to spy on the jr highschoolers and pick up some new words.

3910. Re: Kracars: Acars Decoder: Where To Download From ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-28 00:23:56 UTC
From: Domestos <thatswhen@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <4339e36f@quokka.wn.com.au>
Source record: 11872

....found via Goggle....http://www.qsl.net/g4hbt/cars.htm

"Robert11" <rgsros@notme.com> wrote in message
news:DKednfElw7GCT6TenZ2dnUVZ_sudnZ2d@comcast.com...
> Hello:
>
> Would like to try out the Acars decoder called "Kracars".
>
> But, can't seem to find a link to download it.
> Also, I understand that there's a "new" Windows based version of it; the
> original was DOS based.
>
> Can anyone help me out with a link ?
> Is there truly a Windows vers out now ?
>
> Thanks
> Bob
>

3911. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-28 02:05:41 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1127873141.136263.104960@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11873
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

your a fucking asshole, you probably kiss the FCC asses too don't you.

3912. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-28 03:18:30 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <kP6dnbCRhsERYaTeRVn-qQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 11874
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

N9OGL wrote:
> your a fucking asshole, you probably kiss the FCC asses too don't you.
>

You just can't respond without the vulgarities can you toddieboy?

3913. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-28 03:27:09 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <gb3kj1p874o4thqvpq06kiq4a2kibi7fnu@4ax.com>
Source record: 11875
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Tue, 27 Sep 2005 20:18:30 -0700, Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc> said
in rec.radio.scanner:

>N9OGL wrote:
>> your a fucking asshole, you probably kiss the FCC asses too don't you.

>You just can't respond without the vulgarities can you toddieboy?

A limited vocabulary is a sign of limited intelligence.

3914. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-28 06:45:32 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1127889932.792737.203400@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11876
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

That's what you think dickhead

3915. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-28 07:54:45 UTC
From: "Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <9%r_e.23702$ib1.732@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 11877
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Why would one that has such limited oral communication skills host an amateur radio talk show? That must be a hoot! Do you use such vulgarity on the air? I'm surprised that the FCC has not clamped down on you or fined you for such language.

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1127848838.959857.148390@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> No dan, but I will talking about this weekend on my show and how people
> like you and your little bitches on here don't need to be amateur radio
> operators. I will also be talking about the CC&R rules congress is
> trying to pass and how if amateurs treat other amateurs like shit they
> really don't deserve that bill.
>
>
> Todd N9OGL
> 14.321.00 MHz
> Saturday @4.00 CST
>

3916. Re: Free tv for only 1 euro

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-28 10:16:05 UTC
From: Jim <james@the-computer-shop.co.uk>
Message-ID: <F3u_e.70$0f3.42@newsfe1-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 11878

Christopher O'Callaghan wrote:
> Might i recommend this to you. Ebay item number 5297402959
>
>
> 1 euro for tv on your computer.Its very good and thought you might be
> interested.
>
> All the best
>
> Chris
>
>
>

oh right, free and it costs a euro? There's irony. Pull the other one,
it's got bells on it.

--
Cheers, http://www.dotware.co.uk
Jim http://www.dotware-entertainment.co.uk

It is by caffeine alone I set my mind in motion, It is by the beans of
Java that thoughts acquire speed, the hands acquire shaking, the shaking
becomes a warning, it is by caffeine alone I set my mind in motion.

3917. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-28 14:40:36 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1127918436.901204.88540@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11879
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I was held back in first grade, because I spend that year in the
hospital near death if you fucking want to know asshole.

3918. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-28 15:50:35 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <nqelj150t2ql1esnkr96iemem3u6q83l7g@4ax.com>
Source record: 11880
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Wed, 28 Sep 2005 11:01:28 -0400, Mark <me@privacy.net> wrote:

>On 28 Sep 2005 07:40:36 -0700, "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>I was held back in first grade, because I spend that year in the
>>hospital near death if you fucking want to know asshole.
>
>Took you 2 days to come up with that? OK. I guess you're just still slow.
>
>What disease did you have?

Apparently, he had diarrhea and almost lost his brains...

3919. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-28 17:19:09 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <hgA_e.5077$vw6.4049@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 11881
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1127848838.959857.148390@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> No dan, but I will talking about this weekend on my show and how people
> like you and your little bitches on here don't need to be amateur radio
> operators. I will also be talking about the CC&R rules congress is
> trying to pass and how if amateurs treat other amateurs like shit they
> really don't deserve that bill.
>
>
> Todd N9OGL
> 14.321.00 MHz
> Saturday @4.00 CST
>

You get exactly what you bring upon yourself.

Dan/W4NTI

3920. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-28 18:43:13 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dheo81lli1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 11883
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Dan/W4NTI wrote:

> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1127848838.959857.148390@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>>No dan, but I will talking about this weekend on my show and how people
>>like you and your little bitches on here don't need to be amateur radio
>>operators. I will also be talking about the CC&R rules congress is
>>trying to pass and how if amateurs treat other amateurs like shit they
>>really don't deserve that bill.
>>
>>
>>Todd N9OGL
>>14.321.00 MHz
>>Saturday @4.00 CST
>>
>
>
> You get exactly what you bring upon yourself.

You're finally becoming famous, Dan!

- Mike KB3EIA -

3921. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-28 18:48:07 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <eZWdnS9yDpHwS6feRVn-gA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 11882
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

N9OGL wrote:
> That's what you think dickhead
>

At least he thinks, that's more than you can do.

3922. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-28 19:03:37 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1127934217.669158.116960@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11884
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

And you still have that AIDS, don't you, FAGGOT?

3923. RF connectors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-29 00:15:12 UTC
From: Mike Burch <Libertybell7@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <kmG_e.373128$x96.107286@attbi_s72>
Source record: 11885

Hi Fella's

Do any of you have any favorite places to mail order RF connectors/adapters from? I need a bnc to N adapter and a phono to N adapter for this HP signal generator I just picked up.

Thank you in advance.

Mike Burch K8MB
Apache Junction, AZ

3924. Re: RF connectors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-29 00:48:25 UTC
From: Wiz <mtaustinNOSPAM@REMOVE.onr.com>
Message-ID: <tRG_e.37528$S26.35586@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 11886

Mike,
I have used L-Com for years and have been happy with their service. Moser electronics is another good one.
Both online.

Mark
N5UMT

"Mike Burch" <Libertybell7@mchsi.com> wrote in message news:kmG_e.373128$x96.107286@attbi_s72...
> Hi Fella's
>
> Do any of you have any favorite places to mail order RF
> connectors/adapters from? I need a bnc to N adapter and a phono to N
> adapter for this HP signal generator I just picked up.
>
> Thank you in advance.
>
> Mike Burch K8MB
> Apache Junction, AZ

3925. Re: RF connectors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-29 01:16:55 UTC
From: Jim <james@the-computer-shop.co.uk>
Message-ID: <bgH_e.1070$Nv6.730@newsfe6-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 11887

Mike Burch wrote:
> Hi Fella's
>
> Do any of you have any favorite places to mail order RF
> connectors/adapters from? I need a bnc to N adapter and a phono to N
> adapter for this HP signal generator I just picked up.
>
> Thank you in advance.
>
> Mike Burch K8MB
> Apache Junction, AZ

MoD surplus (Anchor Surplus, Nottingham UK, google for the number)

--
Cheers, http://www.dotware.co.uk
Jim http://www.dotware-entertainment.co.uk

It is by caffeine alone I set my mind in motion, It is by the beans of
Java that thoughts acquire speed, the hands acquire shaking, the shaking
becomes a warning, it is by caffeine alone I set my mind in motion.

3926. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-29 05:31:38 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <c0vmj1lttf6sip76esall2rfhu5diue46f@4ax.com>
Source record: 11888
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Wed, 28 Sep 2005 07:30:37 -0400, Mark <me@privacy.net> said in
rec.radio.scanner:

>On 27 Sep 2005 19:05:41 -0700, "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>your a fucking asshole, you probably kiss the FCC asses too don't you.
>
>It's not "your", it's "you're".
>
>Born in 68, graduated in 87 - why are you avoiding answering which year you
>were held back? One can only assume it was for English.

He was held back in '68. It was for being born before he was
conceived. Now we see the result of that biology experiment.

3927. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-29 05:32:07 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <d2vmj1t39srdi1i5ulrtr283gi8e0bt79e@4ax.com>
Source record: 11889
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Wed, 28 Sep 2005 11:50:35 -0400, Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
said in rec.radio.scanner:

>On Wed, 28 Sep 2005 11:01:28 -0400, Mark <me@privacy.net> wrote:
>
>>On 28 Sep 2005 07:40:36 -0700, "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>>>I was held back in first grade, because I spend that year in the
>>>hospital near death if you fucking want to know asshole.
>>
>>Took you 2 days to come up with that? OK. I guess you're just still slow.
>>
>>What disease did you have?
>
>Apparently, he had diarrhea and almost lost his brains...

Almost?

3928. Re: RF connectors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-29 14:24:07 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <mumdnUMNisqUZKbeRVn-hg@comcast.com>
Source record: 11890

If you need them quick, I actually think Radio Shack has these on the shelf!

Bill Crocker

"Mike Burch" <Libertybell7@mchsi.com> wrote in message
news:kmG_e.373128$x96.107286@attbi_s72...
> Hi Fella's
>
> Do any of you have any favorite places to mail order RF
> connectors/adapters from? I need a bnc to N adapter and a phono to N
> adapter for this HP signal generator I just picked up.
>
> Thank you in advance.
>
> Mike Burch K8MB
> Apache Junction, AZ

3929. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-29 15:12:08 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <un0oj19r1me1nj3qocc0sreo2hv4b36n3t@4ax.com>
Source record: 11891
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 29 Sep 2005 01:32:07 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
wrote:

>On Wed, 28 Sep 2005 11:50:35 -0400, Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
>said in rec.radio.scanner:
>
>>On Wed, 28 Sep 2005 11:01:28 -0400, Mark <me@privacy.net> wrote:
>>
>>>On 28 Sep 2005 07:40:36 -0700, "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>>I was held back in first grade, because I spend that year in the
>>>>hospital near death if you fucking want to know asshole.
>>>
>>>Took you 2 days to come up with that? OK. I guess you're just still slow.
>>>
>>>What disease did you have?
>>
>>Apparently, he had diarrhea and almost lost his brains...
>
>Almost?

Yes. A few survived, and these managed to obtain a ham license.

3930. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-29 15:33:51 UTC
From: "Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <zPT_e.25580$ib1.14066@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 11892
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

To K1DUDE, an FCC rule is something to be avoided and worked around at all costs. He has spent considerable thought and money resource on fighting the FCC to get his way. If he had that same passion about complying with the rules, I'm sure he could work with the FCC and still accomplish what he wants.

It is to late for him now. K1DUDE is the type of person that draws negative attention to this wonderful hobby. We need more positive examples if this hobby is to survive. I just think that, at some point, the FCC will give into the cellular, BPS, and other special interest groups and scrap the whole amateur program. Then where would be?

Wayne-
(KC8UIO)
"Barry OGrady" <atheist.xxx@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:d6mkj15qrpdjeh2l46n3vocmo6ohdn1ebl@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 23 Sep 2005 10:52:47 GMT, "Wayne P. Muckleroy"
> <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com> wrote:
>
> What's an FCC rule?
>
>
>
>
>>What's a "top post" and what section of the FCC codes is that rule found?
>>Once I know this information, I will comply fully with the regulation.
>>
>>"Barry OGrady" <atheist.xxx@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>news:h3a7j1pvsatee1vlgqectbug55vjrdc7oo@4ax.com...
>>> On Thu, 22 Sep 2005 15:11:13 GMT, "Wayne P. Muckleroy"
>>> <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>>It's never a good idea to use vigilante tactics to solve a problem.
>>>>There
>>>>are rules for which all of us must abide.
>>>
>>> One of those rules is that we must never top post.
>>>
>>>>One of those rules is that we must
>>>>not intentionally block or interfere with another transmission that is
>>>>in
>>>>progress. We must all share the frequencies in an orderly fashion.
>>>>
>>>>These are the rules. If we were to all ignore these rules and transmit

>>>>whenever, however, and wherever we pleased, there would be mass chaos on
>>>>most bands. The rules are structured and enforced by the FCC. I cannot
>>>>condone any other approach to eliminating unruly examples like K1MAN--as
>>>>frustrating as that approach may be.
>>>
>>> A: Top posting
>>> Q: What is the most annoying thing on usenet?
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>Wayne P. Muckleroy
>>>>(KC8UIO)
>>>>
>>>>"AMEN" <Nomail@msn.com> wrote in message
>>>>news:j5iYe.357189$_o.126093@attbi_s71...
>>>>>
>>>>> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>>>> news:1127183286.132818.195670@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>>>>>> It is FAR from over, after the FCC makes it's decision it goes to
>>>>>> Court
>>>>>> of Appeal where he can challenge the FCC rules and or decision. If he
>>>>>> transmits, he will be unlicensed which can speed the process to the
>>>>>> court systems like I said it's FAR from over.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Todd N9OGL
>>>>>> The N9OGL Show
>>>>>> 14.321.00 Mhz
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Wayne Green had by far the best solution to the problem back in 1992:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Wayne Green W2NSD/1
>>>>> WGI Center
>>>>> Peterborough NH 03458
>>>>>
>>>>> Sep. 13, 1992
>>>>>
>>>>> "While I can appreciate your frustration with Baxter, which I share,
>>>>> your
>>>>> approach to disenfranchising him seems to indicate that you either do
>>>>> not
>>>>> read my editorials or at least don't remember them. I've God knows how
>>>>> many
>>>>> times been quite blunt about NOT annoying the FCC with our problems.
>>>>> That's
>>>>> not only a waste of time, it's downright dangerous... and can cause
>>>>> far
>>>>> more
>>>>> trouble than poor little Baxter. Please remember that we keep trying

>>>>> to
>>>>> convince the FCC that we are self-regulating. Note the term "self."
>>>>>
>>>>> If a few hams ever want to get rid of Baxter that's not all that
>>>>> difficult... and you don't even have to pay a hit man to clean off
>>>>> 14,275.
>>>>> All it takes is a little creativity and some coordinated effort.
>>>>>
>>>>> As a matter of fact it would be fun to wipe out Baxter. And you
>>>>> wouldn't
>>>>> even have to have a small group of like-minded hams drive to Maine
>>>>> with
>>>>> hack
>>>>> saws for his coax and tower or a concentrated campaign to turn his
>>>>> neighbors
>>>>> against him with propaganda. No, all it would take is a few hams with
>>>>> good
>>>>> signals and some coordination.
>>>>>
>>>>> I'm sure you can come up with much more fitting tortures for Baxter
>>>>> than
>>>>> anything I could dream up, but my mind turns to getting the channel
>>>>> about
>>>>> ten minutes before his broadcasts with my own anti-IARN broadcasts.
>>>>> I'll
>>>>> bet
>>>>> I could come up with some material which would burn Baxter, yet would
>>>>> not
>>>>> quite be actionable. Or I might tape one of his broadcasts and just
>>>>> repeat
>>>>> it as a "service" right on top of him and wipe him out. I've got as
>>>>> good
>>>>> a
>>>>> signal as anyone and I'm only a couple hundred miles from him. Knowing
>>>>> the
>>>>> love they have for him in Maine I'm sure you can find one, two or even
>>>>> three
>>>>> strong stations fairly near him to join in the effort to "help" him
>>>>> get
>>>>> his
>>>>> broadcasts out.
>>>>>
>>>>> Of course if you don't know anyone capable of outsmarting Baxter, then
>>>>> you
>>>>> have no choice but to give up and take up stamp collecting. Baxter may
>>>>> be
>>>>> loud, but I haven't yet seen any signs of a three digit IQ behind the
>>>>> mess
>>>>> he's been making for several years.
>>>>>

>>>>> If you do decide to actually do something instead of wasting your time
>>>>> on
>>>>> the FCC and ARRL, please let me know. "
>>>>>
>>>>> Cheers ...
>>>>> Wayne
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>> Barry
>>> =====
>>> Home page
>>> http://members.iinet.net.au/~barry.og
>>
>
> Barry
> =====
> Home page
> http://members.iinet.net.au/~barry.og

3931. analog or dig?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-29 21:17:49 UTC
From: MnMikew <mnmiikkew@aol.com>
Message-ID: <3q33vtFct0pbU1@individual.net>
Source record: 11893

I found the freqs I need to listen to but are these digital or analog? Can I program these into my Pro-95?

System: Minneapolis/St Paul Metro/State of Minnesota
County: Hennepin/Ramsey & 13 other counties
Type: Apco 25 9600 baud
Use: State Patrol, Fire, EMS and Works

3932. Re: 10-codes losing popularity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-29 21:24:01 UTC
From: Joe <jmp883@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <PXY_e.3034$dl2.1948@fe08.lga>
Source record: 11894

I've been a public-safety dispatcher for the last 15 years now for 2 agencies (1 full-time, 1 on-call), dispatching PD/FD/EMS. I disagree with the statement that 10-codes are losing popularity. At least in this God-forsaken Hell of northern NJ 10-codes seem to be holding their own. Both agencies I work for are in the same county of northern NJ and each of the 2 police departments use their own 10-code list. The FD in the full-time agency also has their own 10-code/signal list. The EMS unit uses some of the PD 10-codes. The dispatchers and officers use a mixture of 10-codes/signals and plain english. The supervisors, including the comm center supervisors, do not enforce the use of either style. Their only concern is that we are clear, precise, and professional-sounding on the radio. The on-call agency only has 10-codes/signals for the PD, and again there is no enforcement of either style, just be professional on the air. However, most dispatchers strive to be as professional as possible and use the 10-codes/signals.

From a security standpoint the 10-code/signals do nothing. Anyone who listens to an agency for even a short amount of time will be able to figure out what the 10-codes/signals are. We're all scanner buffs here and I venture to say that almost every single one of us didn't know what we were listening to when we first set up our first scanner. But after a short amount of time we figured it out.

My opinion is that 10-code/signals are fine for everyday ops involving just your agency. Those incidents that require multiple jurisdictions are obviously candidates for plain english. Again, security is not an issue here.....look at how many media helicopters, TV and radio news vans show up during a multi-agency event. Everybody is listening to their scanners...if they weren't they wouldn't be there. What is an issue is the ability for agencies to work together on a common frequency (such as SPEN in NJ) with no misunderstanding. If there is sensitive information to be relayed it can (and has been already) relayed via cell-phone or Nextel.

It'll be interesting to see what happens in the next few years. Now...can somebody get me out of this Hell that is NJ????? I HATE THIS STATE!!!!!

--
....lately it occurs to me....what a long, strange trip it's been.

3933. Re: analog or dig?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-29 22:04:19 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <DxZ_e.1134$4h2.95@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 11895

"MnMikew" <mnmiikkew@aol.com> wrote in message
news:3q33vtFct0pbU1@individual.net...
>I found the freqs I need to listen to but are these digital or analog? Can
>I
> program these into my Pro-95?
>
> System: Minneapolis/St Paul Metro/State of Minnesota
> County: Hennepin/Ramsey & 13 other counties
> Type: Apco 25 9600 baud
> Use: State Patrol, Fire, EMS and Works
>
>
>

Need a digital scanner for Apco 25
--
Experience is something you don't get until just after you need it.

3934. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-30 00:34:14 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <gu1pj1ls3fds3bkk7h69oi19citsucrge3@4ax.com>
Source record: 11896
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Wed, 28 Sep 2005 07:30:37 -0400, Mark <me@privacy.net> wrote:

>On 27 Sep 2005 19:05:41 -0700, "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>your a fucking asshole, you probably kiss the FCC asses too don't you.
>
>It's not "your", it's "you're".
>
>Born in 68, graduated in 87 - why are you avoiding answering which year you
>were held back? One can only assume it was for English.

OK, you've spelling-nazied the guy, but made no response to
the charge of being an asshole. Why?

3935. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-30 00:35:30 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <n12pj117fi29uae783rmpjprm959ptsai8@4ax.com>
Source record: 11897
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Wed, 28 Sep 2005 11:03:04 -0400, Mark <me@privacy.net> wrote:

>On 28 Sep 2005 07:40:36 -0700, "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>I was held back in first grade, because I spend that year in the
>>hospital near death if you fucking want to know asshole.
>
>Took you 2 days to come up with that? OK. I guess you're just still slow.
>
>What disease did you have?
>
>
>(Am I supposed to end my post with an "asshole" too? You do this with all
>your posts, so I'm not sure if that's just part of your normal
>communication and expect it. Let me know and I will oblige you going
>forward.)

He may think it mans the same as "over".

3936. Re: 10-codes losing popularity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-30 00:43:16 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <782pj1po94ck922nk4qd7t27skga4a9rj3@4ax.com>
Source record: 11898

On Thu, 29 Sep 2005 17:24:01 -0400, "Joe" <jmp883@optonline.net>
wrote:

>I've been a public-safety dispatcher for the last 15 years now for 2
>agencies (1 full-time, 1 on-call), dispatching PD/FD/EMS. I disagree with
>the statement that 10-codes are losing popularity. At least in this
>God-forsaken Hell of northern NJ 10-codes seem to be holding their own.
>Both agencies I work for are in the same county of northern NJ and each of
>the 2 police departments use their own 10-code list. The FD in the
>full-time agency also has their own 10-code/signal list. The EMS unit uses
>some of the PD 10-codes. The dispatchers and officers use a mixture of
>10-codes/signals and plain english. The supervisors, including the comm
>center supervisors, do not enforce the use of either style. Their only
>concern is that we are clear, precise, and professional-sounding

Fine until someone gives a 10-xx (meaning I'm going to lunch)
in response to someone who thinks it means I'm responding.

Nice that they want you to be professional _sounding_, but
real professionals would clear up this "my way" childishness to avoid
confusion or disaster in a real emergency where multiple agencies were
thrown together.

> on the
>radio. The on-call agency only has 10-codes/signals for the PD, and again
>there is no enforcement of either style, just be professional on the air.
>However, most dispatchers strive to be as professional as possible and use
>the 10-codes/signals.
>
>From a security standpoint the 10-code/signals do nothing. Anyone who
>listens to an agency for even a short amount of time will be able to figure
>out what the 10-codes/signals are. We're all scanner buffs here and I
>venture to say that almost every single one of us didn't know what we were
>listening to when we first set up our first scanner. But after a short
>amount of time we figured it out.
>
>My opinion is that 10-code/signals are fine for everyday ops involving just
>your agency. Those incidents that require multiple jurisdictions are
>obviously candidates for plain english. Again, security is not an issue
>here.....look at how many media helicopters, TV and radio news vans show up
>during a multi-agency event. Everybody is listening to their scanners...if
>they weren't they wouldn't be there. What is an issue is the ability for
>agencies to work together on a common frequency (such as SPEN in NJ) with no
>misunderstanding. If there is sensitive information to be relayed it can
>(and has been already) relayed via cell-phone or Nextel.

>
>It'll be interesting to see what happens in the next few years. Now...can
>somebody get me out of this Hell that is NJ????? I HATE THIS STATE!!!!!

3937. Re: RF connectors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-30 00:56:08 UTC
From: Mike Burch <Libertybell7@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <I20%e.375573$x96.126273@attbi_s72>
Source record: 11899

Your right Bill, I have mentally written Radio Shack off.
:-). With the price of gas this high I am hesitant to
drive all the way over there and get the old Radio Shack let
down. So how are things in Michigan? How does the states
economy look to you? BTW thanks to all of you who
responded to my question. Well that is it for now and
thanks again. Mike Burch K8MB Apache Junction AZ

3938. Need frequencies for Battle lake police and Henning Police, Minnesota

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-30 01:12:59 UTC
From: "adslparts@yahoo.com" <adslparts@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128042779.535052.129040@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11900

I just bought a Pro-91 scanner and live in the sticks.
Does anyone have the police frequencies for Battle Lake PD, MN and
Henning PD in minnesota? It would be very much appreciated.

Thanks.
James
adslparts@yahoo.com

3939. Re: FS:Uniden BC246T Trunk Tracker III 800 MHz 1600 ch Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-30 02:37:49 UTC
From: The Other George <bgates@msn.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96E0E71921D3Bbgatesmsncom@130.81.64.196>
Source record: 11801

"Alex Clayton" <alexx1400@yahoo.com> wrote in news:gbmOe.109$z2.22
@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net:

> http://www.usascan.com/files/bc246T.cfm

or go here

http://www.bearcatscanner.com/

and get it for $199.99

--
That Other George

Please send your spam to: uce@ftc.gov

IRC #ScannerRadio_Warez For all your Scanner Controll Warez

UseNET: alt.binaries.radio-scanner For all your Scanner Controll Warez

UseNET: alt.binaries.radio.scanner.warez For all your Scanner Controll
Warez

http://www.scannertesting.com

3940. am/narrow-fm/wide-fm/lsb/usb what else?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-30 04:18:12 UTC
From: kc7jfv@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1128053892.752817.89640@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11802

there has to be some other modes that normal scanners wouldn't decode.
any thoughts?

3941. Re: am/narrow-fm/wide-fm/lsb/usb what else?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-30 13:35:23 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com>
Message-ID: <vab%e.6070$vw6.3597@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 11803

Digital?

<kc7jfv@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1128053892.752817.89640@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> there has to be some other modes that normal scanners wouldn't decode.
> any thoughts?
>

3942. Re: Need frequencies for Battle lake police and Henning Police, Minnesota

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-30 14:41:55 UTC
From: Hamguy <hamguy@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <T8c%e.16355$Xl2.13381@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 11804

Try www.cityfreq.com

<adslparts@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1128042779.535052.129040@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>I just bought a Pro-91 scanner and live in the sticks.
> Does anyone have the police frequencies for Battle Lake PD, MN and
> Henning PD in minnesota? It would be very much appreciated.
>
> Thanks.
> James
> adslparts@yahoo.com
>

3943. Re: 10-codes losing popularity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-30 15:16:21 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <dllqj1l2sc22egk7hd6g5700mj76cvqv5o@4ax.com>
Source record: 11805

On Fri, 30 Sep 2005 00:43:16 GMT, kashe@sonic.net said in
rec.radio.scanner:

> Nice that they want you to be professional _sounding_, but
>real professionals would clear up this "my way" childishness to avoid
>confusion or disaster in a real emergency where multiple agencies were
>thrown together.

And you've been a professional dispatcher for how long?

3944. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-30 15:17:28 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <7nlqj11nc3m7aqs04b56k7qrbmpaobbd2r@4ax.com>
Source record: 11806
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 29 Sep 2005 11:12:08 -0400, Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
said in rec.radio.scanner:

>On Thu, 29 Sep 2005 01:32:07 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
>wrote:
>>On Wed, 28 Sep 2005 11:50:35 -0400, Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
>>said in rec.radio.scanner:

>>>Apparently, he had diarrhea and almost lost his brains...

>>Almost?

>Yes. A few survived, and these managed to obtain a ham license.

From what I've been seeing recently, that doesn't take brains any
longer.

3945. FS: BC245XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-30 15:26:00 UTC
From: RadAct <n7rcm@pobox.com>
Message-ID: <cOc%e.5480$oc.41@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 11807

This unit has been taken good care of. Comes with scanner, ant, 4mo old battery, charger, leather case and programming cable. I have the cable but no software, figure that. I upgraded and don't need this.

Asking $115 shipped. MO, Cashiers Check but, prefer PayPal

3946. Re: Need frequencies for Battle lake police and Henning Police, Minnesota

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-30 15:26:25 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <o4mqj1dl35b8q88tsn7f0ebjht7ft89ldm@4ax.com>
Source record: 11808

On Fri, 30 Sep 2005 14:41:55 GMT, "Hamguy" <hamguy@arrl.net> said in
rec.radio.scanner:

><adslparts@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:1128042779.535052.129040@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>I just bought a Pro-91 scanner and live in the sticks.
>> Does anyone have the police frequencies for Battle Lake PD, MN and
>> Henning PD in minnesota? It would be very much appreciated.

>Try www.cityfreq.com

Close, but no cigar.

For Otter Tail County, try
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&ctid=1365

Neither department seems to have its own radio system. Maybe they're
both on ARMER.

3947. Re: am/narrow-fm/wide-fm/lsb/usb what else?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-30 15:28:59 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <0amqj19d2fupdlgj50e9th2tejgmiqf5si@4ax.com>
Source record: 11809

On 29 Sep 2005 21:18:12 -0700, kc7jfv@hotmail.com said in
rec.radio.scanner:

>there has to be some other modes that normal scanners wouldn't decode.
>any thoughts?

TV.

BTW, "normal" scanners don't "decode" sideband or CW.

If you want to see other "modes", just look at the modulation
definitions at the FCC. Do you consider "speed radar" to be a mode?
How about radiolocation services?

3948. Re: am/narrow-fm/wide-fm/lsb/usb what else?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-30 15:29:31 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <1emqj1pek1qaei78t9aj99eqobcs0s0m14@4ax.com>
Source record: 11810

On Fri, 30 Sep 2005 13:35:23 GMT, "Jim Hackett"
<h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>Digital?

Most of what we call "digital" is NFM or SNFM.

3949. Pro-2051 vs Pro-2052

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-30 16:00:21 UTC
From: Daniel-01@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20286-433D6115-658@storefull-3233.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 11811

Which is the better scanner, does anybody know?

Better by far that you should forget and smile,
Than that you should remember and be sad

anonymous

3950. Re: Need frequencies for Battle lake police and Henning Police, Minnesota

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-30 19:08:25 UTC
From: radio nutt <ottertailsherriff@mn.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96E18FD86B905ASSnotcom@69.28.186.121>
Source record: 11812

Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in
news:o4mqj1dl35b8q88tsn7f0ebjht7ft89ldm@4ax.com:

> On Fri, 30 Sep 2005 14:41:55 GMT, "Hamguy" <hamguy@arrl.net> said in
> rec.radio.scanner:
>
>><adslparts@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>news:1128042779.535052.129040@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>I just bought a Pro-91 scanner and live in the sticks.
>>> Does anyone have the police frequencies for Battle Lake PD, MN and
>>> Henning PD in minnesota? It would be very much appreciated.
>
>>Try www.cityfreq.com
>
> Close, but no cigar.
>
> For Otter Tail County, try
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&ctid=1365
>
> Neither department seems to have its own radio system. Maybe they're
> both on ARMER.
>

nope not on ARMER dispatched by ottertail county.

3951. Re: Digital scanner ?? - Got to be a scam ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-30 19:10:20 UTC
From: radio nutt <radio@raio.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96E1902B957C5ASSnotcom@69.28.186.121>
Source record: 11813

Mike Berger <berger@shout.net> wrote in
news:dh1vpm$em2$3@roundup.shout.net:

> Her auction descriptions are peppered with "L@@k", which is a
> sure sign of a scammer, not scanner.
>
> Andy100 wrote:
>> I found this on e-bay, saying it can pick up all new digital phones,
>> digital police radio etc, but surely it's a scam isn't it ??? I
>> thought the new police radio's codes were uncrackable !
>>
>> http://cgi.ebay.co.uk/POLICE-DIGITAL-SCANNER-TRANCIEVER-CHARGER-U-H-F-
>> B-N-BX _W0QQitemZ5804950925QQcategoryZ40979QQrdZ1QQcmdZViewItem
>>
>> Cheers
>> Andy
>>
>>
>

nope not a scam only if they encrypt is it not listenable. but plain
digial is fine with the correct radio

3952. Re: Digital scanner ?? - Got to be a scam ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-30 21:36:24 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <vtbrj1phed7tqhvpp1om3huubhi5127tij@4ax.com>
Source record: 11814

On Fri, 30 Sep 2005 19:10:20 GMT, radio nutt <radio@raio.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>nope not a scam only if they encrypt is it not listenable. but plain
>digial is fine with the correct radio

Read the description. The seller knows nothing about scanners.

3953. Re: Need frequencies for Battle lake police and Henning Police, Minnesota

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-30 21:39:02 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <svbrj11flibh6ehg7av5h9qaam530vpbfm@4ax.com>
Source record: 11815

On Fri, 30 Sep 2005 19:08:25 GMT, radio nutt
<ottertailsherriff@mn.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>nope not on ARMER dispatched by ottertail county.

"Dispatched by" and "on" don't mean the same thing - they could be on
ARMER, being dispatched by a county dispatch center. Look at the
Ottertail County listings in the FCC database and tell me which one is
Battle Lake or Henning - that would be "its own system".

3954. Re: analog or dig?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-09-30 23:09:16 UTC
From: Paul Keenleyside <beeceepelights@teluz.net>
Message-ID: <wAj%e.257349$9A2.166759@edtnps89>
Source record: 11816

"Alex Clayton" <alexx1400@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:DxZ_e.1134$4h2.95@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> "MnMikew" <mnmiikkew@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:3q33vtFct0pbU1@individual.net...
>>I found the freqs I need to listen to but are these digital or analog? Can
>>I
>> program these into my Pro-95?

Yes you can program those frequencies in, but you won't hear anything.

The Pro-95 won't receive APCO-25 properly.

You need to go digital.

3955. Re: am/narrow-fm/wide-fm/lsb/usb what else?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-01 01:43:09 UTC
From: Korbin Dallas <korbindallas@dodgeit.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.10.01.01.43.10.202824@dodgeit.com>
Source record: 11817

On Fri, 30 Sep 2005 11:29:31 -0400, Al Klein wrote:

> On Fri, 30 Sep 2005 13:35:23 GMT, "Jim Hackett"
> <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:
>
>>Digital?
>
> Most of what we call "digital" is NFM or SNFM.

Actually "Digital" is C4FM.

--
Korbin Dallas
The name was changed to protect the guilty.

3956. Re: am/narrow-fm/wide-fm/lsb/usb what else?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-01 01:50:30 UTC
From: Dave Holford <holford@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <433DEB66.51943B2B@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 11818

kc7jfv@hotmail.com wrote:

> there has to be some other modes that normal scanners wouldn't decode.
> any thoughts?

My winradio, running in the background as I type this, has:
AM/AMN/AMS/LSB/USB/CW/DSB/ISB/FM3/FM6/FMN
then there are all the digital data, and voice modes.

Dave

3957. First Post: Where can I find a very cheap scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-01 02:31:25 UTC
From: playadom@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1128133885.336597.88000@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11819

Where can I find a very good deal on a scanner?

3958. Re: Radio Shack Pro 2051 Scanner Opinions...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-01 03:32:38 UTC
From: Dissonant1 <brianm11@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <YIidnSb1DpzBnqPeRVn-jQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 11820
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I am a total amateur and know next to nothing about this. However, I bought a Radio Shack 2051 model "triple Trunking" scanner on clrearance for $150.00. I took it to a local electronics place and they programmed it for me with all the local channels I wanted. It came preprogrammed with many frequencies which work well, but now I have all the local frequencies programmed as well.
As I said, I know nothing about this. The man who programmed it said it was very similar to a Uniden Bearcat scanner in design, but used different software. He said that one advantage was that it would accept programming for EDACS, Motorola, and one other format and was one of the only scanners that would accept this programming without actually being within range of the signal broadcasting. So far I am very happy with it.

"PowerHouse Communications" <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote in message news:BxVVe.4342$6Z3.4063@fe06.lga...
> I'm looking for opinions on the Pro-2051 scanner. The only experience I
> have had with "pre-programmed" scanners are my 2 older Uniden BCT7s. I
> live
> in Michigan, so I know that I "need" digital, however I have yet to
> purchase
> ANY scanner that is digital capable, as they are far beyond my budget,
> unfortunately.
>
> The scanner will be used to replace my BCT7 in my car, in case anybody is
> wondering... I guess I am just wondering if there is any reason to buy
> this
> to replace my current unit. Would it be worth my while, as perhaps it
> offers better performance than my BCT7? At the very least, I'm sure it
> will
> provide me some relief from all the cellular phone images in the 800-band,
> as mine is the older, DUAL conversion unit, not the newer triple
> conversion...
>
> Currently setup consists of the Mobile Mag-mount RS scanner antenna, with
> my
> (older style) BCT7.
>
> Thanks for any input!
>
>

3959. Re: Radio Shack Pro 2051 Scanner Opinions...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-01 03:43:39 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <be1sj15938h661vs5dt54a095c44iba19i@4ax.com>
Source record: 11821
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 30 Sep 2005 23:32:38 -0400, "Dissonant1"
<brianm11@adelphia.net> said in alt.radio.scanner:

> As I said, I know nothing about this. The man who programmed it said
>it was very similar to a Uniden Bearcat scanner in design, but used
>different software. He said that one advantage was that it would accept
>programming for EDACS, Motorola, and one other format and was one of the
>only scanners that would accept this programming without actually being
>within range of the signal broadcasting.

The Uniden scanners are the other type. :)

Enjoy.

3960. Re: am/narrow-fm/wide-fm/lsb/usb what else?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-01 03:45:46 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <uf1sj11ue0erosmj5flhnnmjkk2apan4e1@4ax.com>
Source record: 11822

On Sat, 01 Oct 2005 01:43:09 GMT, Korbin Dallas
<korbindallas@dodgeit.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>On Fri, 30 Sep 2005 11:29:31 -0400, Al Klein wrote:
>> On Fri, 30 Sep 2005 13:35:23 GMT, "Jim Hackett"
>> <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>>>Digital?

>> Most of what we call "digital" is NFM or SNFM.

>Actually "Digital" is C4FM.

And the modulation on the carrier is??? AM? PM?? FM??

What bandwidth is it?

There's a difference between the process of putting information on the
carrier and the format that information comes in. The OP was asking
about the physical layer, as specified by "AM/FM ..." etc..

3961. Re: First Post: Where can I find a very cheap scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-01 03:47:14 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <fk1sj11jp4cq9uqlebmia41fh6tv2c5qfg@4ax.com>
Source record: 11823

On 30 Sep 2005 19:31:25 -0700, playadom@gmail.com said in rec.radio.scanner:

>Where can I find a very good deal on a scanner?

A good deal or a cheap scanner? The two are just about mutually exclusive.

3962. Re: First Post: Where can I find a very cheap scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-01 04:03:05 UTC
From: Drifter <zespectre@askme.com>
Message-ID: <4i2sj19v0aqftlej3um9sdprpd29sdkgag@4ax.com>
Source record: 11824

On 30 Sep 2005 19:31:25 -0700, playadom@gmail.com wrote:

>Where can I find a very good deal on a scanner?
Radio shack is having one of it's sales (couple of different decent
units for $150 or so).

Unless you are in an area going over to digital then you'll need to
pony up the bucks to listen.
Drifter
"I've been here, I've been there..."

3963. Re: First Post: Where can I find a very cheap scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-01 04:29:06 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <q24sj15dq0dvcvn404e4a5je6nh19mn2gd@4ax.com>
Source record: 11825

On Sat, 01 Oct 2005 00:03:05 -0400, Drifter <zespectre@askme.com> said
in rec.radio.scanner:

>On 30 Sep 2005 19:31:25 -0700, playadom@gmail.com wrote:

>>Where can I find a very good deal on a scanner?
>Radio shack is having one of it's sales (couple of different decent
>units for $150 or so).

>Unless you are in an area going over to digital

or have any 800 MHz Motorola trunked systems you want to keep
monitoring after rebanding

>then you'll need to pony up the bucks to listen.

3964. Re: 10-codes losing popularity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-01 06:00:11 UTC
From: "M." <notvalid@email.add>
Message-ID: <NBp%e.11$e4.6130@sooner.brightok.net>
Source record: 11826

"Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message
news:dllqj1l2sc22egk7hd6g5700mj76cvqv5o@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 30 Sep 2005 00:43:16 GMT, kashe@sonic.net said in
> rec.radio.scanner:
>
>> Nice that they want you to be professional _sounding_, but
>>real professionals would clear up this "my way" childishness to avoid
>>confusion or disaster in a real emergency where multiple agencies were
>>thrown together.
>
> And you've been a professional dispatcher for how long?

Not to mention how many multi-jurisdictional incidents has he worked?
Sounds like all hat and no cattle, as we say here in the southwest. A GOOD
dispatcher adapts to the circumstances. If you can't handle changing
circumstances, let somebody who can do the job.

Been there, done that!
A former PD dispatcher

3965. Re: 10-codes losing popularity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-01 06:18:14 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <b2asj190cdp3ibr37g237km6cei4gpn8cr@4ax.com>
Source record: 11827

On Sat, 1 Oct 2005 01:00:11 -0500, "M." <notvalid@email.add> said in
rec.radio.scanner:

>Not to mention how many multi-jurisdictional incidents has he worked?

Or how many years has he spent communicating with more than one agency
(and more than one set of codes) on a daily basis?

>Sounds like all hat and no cattle, as we say here in the southwest. A GOOD
>dispatcher adapts to the circumstances. If you can't handle changing
>circumstances, let somebody who can do the job.

Yep. I tell my wife about the bad accident with a few seriously
injured people (even though she's picked up most of the codes by now,
just hearing me on the cell phone), my OTJ friends in the city about
the bad 10-53/54 and my friends out here about the 10-10 with SPI. And
if I have to talk to a fire department dispatcher I speak English.

Come on - we have 5 year old kids who are multi-lingual. Adults can't
speak more than one "language"?

>Been there, done that!

The 'gummint should leave the decisions to the people who actually do
the job. At least in this case they finally got it right. Someone
must have been on vacation.

>A former PD dispatcher

"Bloody fingers" like mine.

3966. FS: BC796D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-01 12:21:12 UTC
From: Philip King <kingop@idirect.com>
Message-ID: <-sSdnRLd8bbW4qPeRVn-gA@look.ca>
Source record: 11828

One year old Uniden 796D for sale. I am the original owner and original receipt is available.

Like new cosmetic and operating condition. Has been used as a base unit only.

Original box and all accessories, AC adapter, manual, disk, mobile bracket, booklets etc. etc.

Presently programmed for the Hamilton Ontario area. Interested local buyers are wecome to try it out. Anytime is ok as I am retired. I can take a few pic's if requested.

Firm price: C$450. or US$370. Includes shipping. Paypal is ok.

Reason for selling: My interest in surround sound is in need of $.

Thanx,
Philip

3967. Re: am/narrow-fm/wide-fm/lsb/usb what else?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-01 19:48:00 UTC
From: Korbin Dallas <korbindallas@dodgeit.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.10.01.19.47.59.292730@dodgeit.com>
Source record: 11829

On Fri, 30 Sep 2005 23:45:46 -0400, Al Klein wrote:

> On Sat, 01 Oct 2005 01:43:09 GMT, Korbin Dallas
> <korbindallas@dodgeit.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:
>
>>On Fri, 30 Sep 2005 11:29:31 -0400, Al Klein wrote:
>>> On Fri, 30 Sep 2005 13:35:23 GMT, "Jim Hackett"
>>> <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:
>
>>>>Digital?
>
>>> Most of what we call "digital" is NFM or SNFM.
>
>>Actually "Digital" is C4FM.
>
> And the modulation on the carrier is??? AM? PM?? FM??
>
> What bandwidth is it?
>
> There's a difference between the process of putting information on the
> carrier and the format that information comes in. The OP was asking
> about the physical layer, as specified by "AM/FM ..." etc..

The standard is APCO 25, which defines BW to be 6Khz and Modulation as
C4FM.

For more details see: http://www.apcointl.org/frequency/project25/

--
Korbin Dallas
The name was changed to protect the guilty.

3968. ss_dum_s@yahoo.com - any contacts??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-01 20:02:46 UTC
From: dhmoone@aol.com
Message-ID: <1128196966.471968.291220@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11830

Anyone have contact with this individual: ss_dum_s@yahoo.com
offering to sell you something?
Please contact me if you have.
Thanks

3969. Re: am/narrow-fm/wide-fm/lsb/usb what else?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-01 21:49:28 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <o01uj1pj4vl67a4v9asrki6qrtj7vh3qnn@4ax.com>
Source record: 11831

On Sat, 01 Oct 2005 19:48:00 GMT, Korbin Dallas
<korbindallas@dodgeit.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>On Fri, 30 Sep 2005 23:45:46 -0400, Al Klein wrote:
>
>> On Sat, 01 Oct 2005 01:43:09 GMT, Korbin Dallas
>> <korbindallas@dodgeit.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:
>>
>>>On Fri, 30 Sep 2005 11:29:31 -0400, Al Klein wrote:
>>>> On Fri, 30 Sep 2005 13:35:23 GMT, "Jim Hackett"
>>>> <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:
>>
>>>>>Digital?
>>
>>>> Most of what we call "digital" is NFM or SNFM.
>>
>>>Actually "Digital" is C4FM.
>>
>> And the modulation on the carrier is??? AM? PM?? FM??
>>
>> What bandwidth is it?
>>
>> There's a difference between the process of putting information on the
>> carrier and the format that information comes in. The OP was asking
>> about the physical layer, as specified by "AM/FM ..." etc..
>
>The standard is APCO 25, which defines BW to be 6Khz and Modulation as
>C4FM.

Again - what's the physical layer? Id the frequency of the carrier
changed? The amplitude? The phase? Some other characteristic of it?
The OP wasn't asking about the logical layer (which is where C4FM
sits), he was asking about the physical layer.

3970. Re: am/narrow-fm/wide-fm/lsb/usb what else?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-02 03:54:02 UTC
From: kc7jfv@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1128225242.560416.219420@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11832

i guess i was trying to find out how many ways signal can be modulated.
even if the signal is digital, isn't it still modulated in fm?

3971. Re: am/narrow-fm/wide-fm/lsb/usb what else?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-02 06:20:45 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <bruuj1l08jo2e2oimpgl421c2fvoj22bvn@4ax.com>
Source record: 11833

On 1 Oct 2005 20:54:02 -0700, kc7jfv@hotmail.com said in
rec.radio.scanner:

>i guess i was trying to find out how many ways signal can be modulated.
> even if the signal is digital, isn't it still modulated in fm?

Unless it's modulated in AM, SSB (which is really AM or FM, but we
usually use the term to mean one AM sideband) or some weird modulation
I haven't heard of.

Digital is the format of the data being used to modulate, FM is the
method of modulation.

It's like English/French vs. VHS/Beta. Either language can be put on
either tape format. Any data format (analog, any form of digital) can
be impressed on the amplitude of the carrier (AM), the frequency of
the carrier (FM) or, presumably on some other characteristic of the
carrier, if someone can come up with one.

3972. Re: First Post: Where can I find a very cheap scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-02 06:21:55 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <63vuj1tdkuojia3ji0151qd4o7qlqmor0t@4ax.com>
Source record: 11834

On Sun, 02 Oct 2005 09:29:42 +1000, Barry OGrady
<atheist.xxx@gmail.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>On Fri, 30 Sep 2005 23:47:14 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote:
>
>>On 30 Sep 2005 19:31:25 -0700, playadom@gmail.com said in
>>rec.radio.scanner:
>>
>>>Where can I find a very good deal on a scanner?
>>
>>A good deal or a cheap scanner? The two are just about mutually
>>exclusive.
>
>DSE were selling flat bed scanners new for $19.95.

Okay, Barry, that's just funny enough that I'll give it to you. :)

3973. Mission Texas - scanner provides only info on escapee

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-02 12:38:55 UTC
From: zerg90 <zerg90@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128256735.399381.52870@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11835

http://www.themonitor.com/SiteProcessor.cfm?Template=/GlobalTemplates/Details.cfm&StoryID=9449&Section=Local

This newspaper article concerning an escaped prisoner in Mission Texas indicates that police would not comment to the media - details were provided by scanner traffic.

3974. Re: First Post: Where can I find a very cheap scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-02 14:43:22 UTC
From: apollo2011 <apollo2011@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128264202.219506.205290@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11836

eBay is the best place to get a good scanner for a good price. I got a
Pro 94 there for $66

3975. Re: am/narrow-fm/wide-fm/lsb/usb what else?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-02 15:30:23 UTC
From: DougSlug <dougslug@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <l2T%e.44$Hd2.3@fe11.lga>
Source record: 11837

When I think about what parameters of a carrier could be controlled with a modulating signal, there's amplitude, frequency, phase, and waveshape, and there are numerous ways to modulate these parameters, both continuous and discrete. An example of waveshape modulation would be pulsewidth modulation (PWM). It is possible to encode information digitally by modulating any of those four parameters...it doesn't have to be FM. With more sophistication, you could modulate more than one parameter at a time to get more information out of a given bandwidth.

Have a look at "modulation" in Wikipedia (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Modulation).

- Doug

<kc7jfv@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:1128225242.560416.219420@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>i guess i was trying to find out how many ways signal can be modulated.
> even if the signal is digital, isn't it still modulated in fm?
>

3976. Pro-2035 frequency coverage?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-02 20:52:14 UTC
From: CommCtr1 <pottsladd13@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <2MX%e.5658$794.759@trndny01>
Source record: 11838
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Can anyone tell me the frequency coverage of the Pro-2035?

thnx

pottsladd13@yahoo.com

3977. Bali taxi driver had a police scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-02 22:00:54 UTC
From: zerg90 <zerg90@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128290453.967026.112300@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11839

The Advocate, Sun, 02 Oct 2005 10:05 AM PDT
Coasters caught up in nightmare
http://www.theadvocate.com.au/news.cgi?type=1&storyID=209649
Date published: Monday, October 3, 2005. FOR five Coasters in Bali, the last two days have been a struggle for information. Chris and Karen Medcraft and Tammy and Grant Augostin and their son Jacob will return to their homes in Devonport today after a week and a half in Bali.

Bali taxi driver had a police scanner and warned Australian tourists of bombings.

3978. Pro-94 Functioning Properly?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-02 22:48:13 UTC
From: apollo2011 <apollo2011@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128293293.434326.179490@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11840

I just bought a Radio Shack Pro 94 scanner off eBay. I got it, started playing around with it, and everything worked. Now that I have had it for a week, I am beginning to wonder if it really works as well as it should. I haven't yet picked up any police conversations enough to verify what town they were in. It seems to pick up the Weather station reasonable well. I don't really live in the center of town, although that shouldn't explain the lack of police radio coverage where I am, so I took it in to the center of town near the police department and I started picking up more talk on the Police band, but nothing was received without a fair amount of static and breakups in the words.

I am just curious as to whether or not it is fucntioning well and how I can test it to see if it does have a problem.

3979. FS: 3 Gently Used Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-02 23:03:45 UTC
From: Mike <room4me@rocketmail.com>
Message-ID: <rKmdnTPgbKSW-t3enZ2dnUVZ_sudnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 11841

Going through the shack and noticed I have 3 scanners going unused.

These are not start of the art, but work, and are good for general scanning applications, ham radio, marine radio, weather, emergency services, aircraft (PRO-2039) or perhaps getting a beginner started in the hobby. Used over the years in a non smoking ham radio enviroment.

The frequency's indicated are from the label on the rear of the scanner, or the posted OEM specs. I cannot advise on whether they are modifiable. None are modified. No boxes, paperwork, manuals, warrantees etc.

I'm not expecting high prices; would just like to pass these on to hobbyists, who can use them. So make an offer. USPS shipping costs, from the Seattle area will be the ACTUAL cost plus $1.00 for packaging etc.

If you need a picture, let me know and I'll send you one.

Email or post inquiry here is fine.

Radio Shack Pro-2039
200 channel, programmable
30-54 MHz
108-136.975 MHz (RS Manual, on the Site indicates it includes aircraft)
137-174 MHz
308-512 MHz
806-8239575 MHz
896-960 MHz
Condition is very good. Working. Mfg code 12A4
120 VAC only.

Radio Shack Pro-57.
10 Channel, programmable
30-54 MHz
138-174 MHz
380-512 MHz
Condition is good. Working. Mfg code 8A9
Operates on 12vdc. Includes 120v to 12dc adapter
Missing original, internal whip antenna, but has external antenna jack.

Bearcat 210
10 Channel
32-50 MHz
147-174 MHZ
416-512 MHz
Condition good. Working, needs memory back up battery.
120AC or 12DC
Missing 120VDC cord. (Scanner confirmed working on 120v)

Includes OEM whip antenna, external antenna jack.

3980. Re: Pro-2035 frequency coverage?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-02 23:24:27 UTC
From: Harry <haryharms@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <L_Z%e.5411$U51.4998@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 11842
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

a.. Frequency Coverage: 25-520MHz and 760-1300MHz
b.. Modes: AM, NFM, WFM
c.. Increment steps: 5kHz, 12.5kHz, 50kHz
d.. Memory Channels: 1100 (10 Banks of 100 plus 100 temporary monitor channels)
e.. Search Banks: 10

a.. Sensitivity (from the manual)
AM (20dB S/N with 60% modulation): 25-1000MHz 2uV, 1000-1300MHz 5uV
NFM (20dB at 3KHz deviation): 25-1000MHz 0.5uV, 1000-1300MHz 3uV
WFM (30dB S/N at 22.5KHz deviation): 25-1000MHz 3uV, 1000-1300MHz 10uV

a.. Selectivity (from the manual)
AM: +/- 6KHz = -6dB, +/- 12KHz = -50dB
NFM: +/- 10KHz = -6dB, +/- 20KHz = -50dB
WFM: +/- 150KHz = -6dB, +/- 300KHz = -50dB

a.. Intermediate Frequencies: 1st IF = 609-005-613.5MHz, 2nd IF = 48.50MHz

a.. Scanning Speed: Upto 50 Channels per second
Search Speed: Upto 50 increments per second

a.. Audio Output: 1.3 watts

a.. Size: 90mm (h) x 232mm (w) x 210mm (d)
Weight: 2 Kg
"
CommCtr1" <pottsladd13@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:2MX%e.5658$794.759@trndny01...
: Can anyone tell me the frequency coverage of the Pro-2035?
:
: thnx
:
: pottsladd13@yahoo.com
:
:
:

3981. Re: am/narrow-fm/wide-fm/lsb/usb what else?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-03 00:30:13 UTC
From: matt weber <matthеww50@cox.net>
Message-ID: <enu0k1tm7egr0eb7mignouqv0higegb5q7@4ax.com>
Source record: 11843

On 1 Oct 2005 20:54:02 -0700, kc7jfv@hotmail.com wrote:

>i guess i was trying to find out how many ways signal can be modulated.
> even if the signal is digital, isn't it still modulated in fm?
Actually most digital schemes use PM (yes there is such a thing, Phase Modulation). At low modulation indices, with FM, you get Narrow Band FM, which is mathematically the same as AM with about 25% modulation. You can carry a lot more information more easily in a given bandwidth with PM than you can with FM.

3982. Australian Scanner - 24/7 Online Radio Scanner Locked Onto Queensland Police Service [Outer Southern Brisbane Suburbs and Outer Northern Gold Coast Suburbs]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-03 05:19:24 UTC
From: VKR <vkr@scanqld.net.nospam>
Message-ID: <4340bf4b$0$19797$5a62ac22@per-qv1-newsreader-01.iinet.net.au>
Source record: 11844

Fellow Scanner Enthusiasts,

I have initiated a live 24/7 stream from my scanner located in Brisbane, Queensland, Australia.

I am broadcasting a 24/7 online radio scanner locked onto Queensland Police Service [outer southern Brisbane suburbs and outer northern Gold Coast suburbs].

Please use the following URL to listen to the stream: mms://scanaustralia8.no-ip.org:8080

Everyone is welcome to listen. Any constructive feedback is appreciated.

Regards

VKR

3983. Re: Pro-94 Functioning Properly?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-03 05:50:18 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <sfh1k1h6d2gspu638hrlsj0pddvv6n2j2p@4ax.com>
Source record: 11845

On 2 Oct 2005 15:48:13 -0700, "apollo2011" <apollo2011@gmail.com> said
in rec.radio.scanner:

>I am just curious as to whether or not it is fucntioning well and how I
>can test it to see if it does have a problem.

A common problem with portable scanners is that the antenna jack
connection to the scanner breaks. Take the antenna off and see if the
reception dies completely. If not, that could be your problem. (It
just has to be resoldered.)

If not, the front end could have a problem - damage from a strong
signal, detuning, etc. Or the antenna could be damaged. The scanner
should pick up signals from the same place the users of the system can
pick up signals without too much static and no breakup.

3984. Re: 10-codes losing popularity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-03 06:55:59 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <adl1k19e1imihj4ag5do1fd34sluqfj9bf@4ax.com>
Source record: 11846

On Fri, 30 Sep 2005 11:16:21 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
wrote:

>On Fri, 30 Sep 2005 00:43:16 GMT, kashe@sonic.net said in
>rec.radio.scanner:
>
>> Nice that they want you to be professional _sounding_, but
>>real professionals would clear up this "my way" childishness to avoid
>>confusion or disaster in a real emergency where multiple agencies were
>>thrown together.
>
>And you've been a professional dispatcher for how long?

A rescue team with each member speaking his own language is a
disaster in waiting.. Or did your people learn nothing from the
screwed-up comms on 9/11.

3985. Re: 10-codes losing popularity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-03 06:58:50 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <thl1k11evbu5kgpldgbeo3ivg0lmotae1b@4ax.com>
Source record: 11847

On Sat, 01 Oct 2005 02:18:14 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
wrote:

>
>The 'gummint should leave the decisions to the people who actually do
>the job. At least in this case they finally got it right. Someone
>must have been on vacation.
>

We saw how well comms went on 9/11, even with all the
"professionals" supposedly spwaking the same language. But "the people
who actually do the job" ouldn't even work each other on the same
frequencies.

3986. Alteration To Previous Post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-03 13:26:45 UTC
From: VKR <vkr@scanqld.net.nospam>
Message-ID: <43413195$0$19768$5a62ac22@per-qv1-newsreader-01.iinet.net.au>
Source record: 11848

Fellow Scanner Enthusiasts,

The URL I have posted is incorrect, please accept my apologies.

The correct URL is: http://www.scanaustralia.com. Upon registering as a member you will be able to listen to live radio scanner feeds from across Australia.

Regards

VKR

"VKR" <vkr@scanqld.net.nospam> wrote in message news:4340bf4b$0$19797$5a62ac22@per-qv1-newsreader-01.iinet.net.au...
> Fellow Scanner Enthusiasts,
>
> I have initiated a live 24/7 stream from my scanner located in Brisbane,
> Queensland, Australia.
>
> I am broadcasting a 24/7 online radio scanner locked onto Queensland
> Police Service [outer southern Brisbane suburbs and outer northern Gold
> Coast suburbs].
>
> Please use the following URL to listen to the stream:
> mms://scanaustralia8.no-ip.org:8080
>
> Everyone is welcome to listen. Any constructive feedback is appreciated.
>
> Regards
>
>
>
> VKR
>

3987. Re: Pro-94 Functioning Properly?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-03 18:53:34 UTC
From: apollo2011 <apollo2011@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128365614.867306.173440@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11849

Thanks for the reply Al.

I wouldn't have expected the signal to die completely when the antenna is disconnected, I would have expected to still be able to hear something. However, I tested my scanner by tuning and holding a weather station, and then disconnecting the antenna. The signal went dead completely, turning the Squelch down caused static, but I expected that. So based on that, the antenna connector seems to not be the problem. I'm going to play around with it somemore and see if I can deduce the problem anymore.

Thanks again.

3988. OPP scanner online?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-03 20:27:14 UTC
From: Don Church <dchurch@tnt21.con>
Message-ID: <43419505$0$14235$2c56edd9@news.cablerocket.com>
Source record: 11850

Does anyone have an OPP scanner online? preferably in the Grey?bruce area?

3989. Instructions for putting a scanner feed online

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-03 20:42:07 UTC
From: zerg90 <zerg90@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128372127.875684.67500@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11701

from the livescanneraudio list

8) To start you off, you may visit the following websites to obtain
detailed tutorials on how you can put your stream online as well.

- http://www.scanmassachusetts.net/livescan/feed_tutorial.pdf
- http://www.scanmassachusetts.net/livescan/feed_tutorial.doc
- http://scannerbuff.net/teamspeak/ts-stream.html
- http://www.knology.net/~res0plht/tutorial.htm
- http://www.incidentbroadcast.com/index.php

3990. Re: 10-codes losing popularity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-03 21:47:18 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <3693k1lp3190376njs63dl5svghdblbrj8@4ax.com>
Source record: 11702

On Mon, 03 Oct 2005 06:58:50 GMT, kashe@sonic.net said in
rec.radio.scanner:

>On Sat, 01 Oct 2005 02:18:14 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
>wrote:

>>The 'gummint should leave the decisions to the people who actually do
>>the job. At least in this case they finally got it right. Someone
>>must have been on vacation.

> We saw how well comms went on 9/11, even with all the
>"professionals" supposedly spwaking the same language.

Those political appointees couldn't get a paper bag to interop with a
bonfire to produce ash.

> But "the people who actually do the job" ouldn't even work each other on the same
>frequencies.

First, NYPD operates on 450 MHz. FDNY operates on 150 MHz. The
equipment isn't cross-compatible.

Second, the people who "do the job" of specifying the systems for NYC
are professional politicians, not professional communicators. Please
don't get me started - I spent 12 years pulling my hair out over their
supposed "expertise", buying systems that covered 25% of the area the
vendors promised coverage for, or portables that were "state of the
art" - in everything but providing communications.

Now NYS is putting in a MA/Com system for statewide interop. I guess
the question of who's going to pay for all the additional equipment
that every LE and FD in the state will have to buy (and then leave to
rot on a shelf unless it's ever needed, since very few systems in NYS
are MA/Com) isn't something that concerns them. And the fact that, in
the mountainous areas, the state couldn't afford enough coverage on
850 MHz.

There may be some states that have people on the ground making the
decisions, but the federal government and New York (both city and
state) aren't among them.

Michael Brown, anyone?

3991. Re: 10-codes losing popularity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-03 21:49:49 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <kn93k1l4ndvhknl3emhkd8vhhtu6hq4o17@4ax.com>
Source record: 11703

On Mon, 03 Oct 2005 06:55:59 GMT, kashe@sonic.net said in
rec.radio.scanner:

>On Fri, 30 Sep 2005 11:16:21 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
>wrote:
>
>>On Fri, 30 Sep 2005 00:43:16 GMT, kashe@sonic.net said in
>>rec.radio.scanner:
>>
>>> Nice that they want you to be professional _sounding_, but
>>>real professionals would clear up this "my way" childishness to avoid
>>>confusion or disaster in a real emergency where multiple agencies were
>>>thrown together.
>>
>>And you've been a professional dispatcher for how long?
>
> A rescue team with each member speaking his own language is a
>disaster in waiting.. Or did your people learn nothing from the
>screwed-up comms on 9/11.

You quite obviously know nothing about the situation in NYC. But
you've evaded the question yet again - HOW LONG HAVE YOU BEEN A
PROFESSIONAL DISPATCHER?

You won't answer that one, will you? Because you aren't one - you
just know how to make things up and hope they sound good to people who
don't know the actual situation. Surprise! You've been found out.

3992. Re: Pro-94 Functioning Properly?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-03 21:51:13 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <ls93k1hmll6cvtfu2lnlffloff6b5t6oei@4ax.com>
Source record: 11704

On 3 Oct 2005 11:53:34 -0700, "apollo2011" <apollo2011@gmail.com> said
in rec.radio.scanner:

>I wouldn't have expected the signal to die completely when the antenna
>is disconnected, I would have expected to still be able to hear
>something. However, I tested my scanner by tuning and holding a weather
>station, and then disconnecting the antenna. The signal went dead
>completely, turning the Squelch down caused static, but I expected
>that. So based on that, the antenna connector seems to not be the
>problem.

Good diagnosis. At this point I'd actually measure the sensitivity,
but that takes some instrumentation. Maybe some ham in your area
could check it for you.

3993. Re: Monitoring Times

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-03 22:13:44 UTC
From: Cubit <no@not.not>
Message-ID: <s2i0f.8564$oO2.5713@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 11705

They seem to want periodicals/magazines to go by the printed bound matter
rate. it is almost the same rate as media mail.

"Jesse" <1@2.com> wrote in message
news:Xns96D21759BB1E3ewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130...
> Scott Nelson <treasuredude62@nospamyahoo.com> wrote in
> news:VsWdneLfVt04rb_eRVn-2w@midco.net:
>
> > > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=6977179200
>
> You'd better have a good explanation ready for the post office of how a 40
> pound box could qualify for media mail, which is basically for individual
> cds/dvds and books with no advertisements.
>
>

3994. Re: Monitoring Times

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-03 23:05:50 UTC
From: "David L. Wilson" <dwilson314@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <CcqdnQbE1K1LJdzeRVn-qg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 11706

"Cubit" <no@not.not> wrote in message
news:s2i0f.8564$oO2.5713@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net...
> They seem to want periodicals/magazines to go by the printed bound matter
> rate. it is almost the same rate as media mail.
>
> "Jesse" <1@2.com> wrote in message
> news:Xns96D21759BB1E3ewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130...
>> Scott Nelson <treasuredude62@nospamyahoo.com> wrote in
>> news:VsWdneLfVt04rb_eRVn-2w@midco.net:
>>
>> > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=6977179200
>>
>> You'd better have a good explanation ready for the post office of how a
>> 40
>> pound box could qualify for media mail, which is basically for individual
>> cds/dvds and books with no advertisements.

Media rate has nothing to do with individual cds/dvds and books. Yes it
does say not for advertising and the weight limit is 70 lbs. Rate is based
on weight. I suspect media rate in this case would be ruled proper by the
post office.

http://www.usps.com/customersguide/dmm100.htm

3995. Re: 10-codes losing popularity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-03 23:19:16 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <90f3k1lpr1plnpmqem60k1jthhue1k18hj@4ax.com>
Source record: 11707

On Mon, 03 Oct 2005 17:47:18 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
wrote:

>On Mon, 03 Oct 2005 06:58:50 GMT, kashe@sonic.net said in
>rec.radio.scanner:
>
>>On Sat, 01 Oct 2005 02:18:14 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
>>wrote:
>
>>>The 'gummint should leave the decisions to the people who actually do
>>>the job. At least in this case they finally got it right. Someone
>>>must have been on vacation.
>
>> We saw how well comms went on 9/11, even with all the
>>"professionals" supposedly spwaking the same language.
>
>Those political appointees couldn't get a paper bag to interop with a
>bonfire to produce ash.

Cops and firemen aren't politicaal appointees.

>
>> But "the people who actually do the job" ouldn't even work each other on the same
>>frequencies.
>
>First, NYPD operates on 450 MHz. FDNY operates on 150 MHz. The
>equipment isn't cross-compatible.
>
My point exactly -- they had no common frequencies with which
to coordinate.

>Second, the people who "do the job" of specifying the systems for NYC
>are professional politicians, not professional communicators. Please
>don't get me started - I spent 12 years pulling my hair out over their
>supposed "expertise", buying systems that covered 25% of the area the
>vendors promised coverage for, or portables that were "state of the
>art" - in everything but providing communications.

Ditto.

>
>Now NYS is putting in a MA/Com system for statewide interop. I guess
>the question of who's going to pay for all the additional equipment
>that every LE and FD in the state will have to buy (and then leave to
>rot on a shelf unless it's ever needed, since very few systems in NYS
>are MA/Com) isn't something that concerns them. And the fact that, in

>the mountainous areas, the state couldn't afford enough coverage on
>850 MHz.
>
>There may be some states that have people on the ground making the
>decisions, but the federal government and New York (both city and
>state) aren't among them.
>
>Michael Brown, anyone?

3996. Re: 10-codes losing popularity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-03 23:21:34 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <u3f3k19o97ev88euh5676tt1tglcq05qu9@4ax.com>
Source record: 11708

On Mon, 03 Oct 2005 17:49:49 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
wrote:

>On Mon, 03 Oct 2005 06:55:59 GMT, kashe@sonic.net said in
>rec.radio.scanner:
>
>>On Fri, 30 Sep 2005 11:16:21 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
>>wrote:
>>
>>>On Fri, 30 Sep 2005 00:43:16 GMT, kashe@sonic.net said in
>>>rec.radio.scanner:
>>>
>>>> Nice that they want you to be professional _sounding_, but
>>>>real professionals would clear up this "my way" childishness to avoid
>>>>confusion or disaster in a real emergency where multiple agencies were
>>>>thrown together.
>>>
>>>And you've been a professional dispatcher for how long?
>>
>> A rescue team with each member speaking his own language is a
>>disaster in waiting.. Or did your people learn nothing from the
>>screwed-up comms on 9/11.
>
>You quite obviously know nothing about the situation in NYC. But
>you've evaded the question yet again - HOW LONG HAVE YOU BEEN A
>PROFESSIONAL DISPATCHER?
>
>You won't answer that one, will you? Because you aren't one - you
>just know how to make things up and hope they sound good to people who
>don't know the actual situation. Surprise! You've been found out.

No, I've found out that the alleged professionals can't
coordinate a toilet seat and its lid.

And those who think that failure to standardize on a single
protocol (the name of the thread, right?) are failing in their
responsibilities.

3997. Re: am/narrow-fm/wide-fm/lsb/usb what else?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-03 23:28:37 UTC
From: Korbin Dallas <korbindallas@dodgeit.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.10.03.23.28.33.902297@dodgeit.com>
Source record: 11709

On Sat, 01 Oct 2005 17:49:28 -0400, Al Klein wrote:

> On Sat, 01 Oct 2005 19:48:00 GMT, Korbin Dallas
> <korbindallas@dodgeit.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:
>
>>On Fri, 30 Sep 2005 23:45:46 -0400, Al Klein wrote:
>>
>>> On Sat, 01 Oct 2005 01:43:09 GMT, Korbin Dallas
>>> <korbindallas@dodgeit.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:
>>>
>>>>On Fri, 30 Sep 2005 11:29:31 -0400, Al Klein wrote:
>>>>> On Fri, 30 Sep 2005 13:35:23 GMT, "Jim Hackett"
>>>>> <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:
>>>
>>>>>>Digital?
>>>
>>>>> Most of what we call "digital" is NFM or SNFM.
>>>
>>>>Actually "Digital" is C4FM.
>>>
>>> And the modulation on the carrier is??? AM? PM?? FM??
>>>
>>> What bandwidth is it?
>>>
>>> There's a difference between the process of putting information on the
>>> carrier and the format that information comes in. The OP was asking
>>> about the physical layer, as specified by "AM/FM ..." etc..
>>
>>The standard is APCO 25, which defines BW to be 6Khz and Modulation as
>>C4FM.
>
> Again - what's the physical layer? Id the frequency of the carrier
> changed? The amplitude? The phase? Some other characteristic of it?
> The OP wasn't asking about the logical layer (which is where C4FM
> sits), he was asking about the physical layer.

Gee I don't know, Since it has FM in the NAME maybe its FM.
Or perhaps you prefer the Emission designator of 8K10F1E.

I always thought Modulation and Channel access methods are typically
placed at layer 1.

--
Korbin Dallas
The name was changed to protect the guilty.

3998. Re: 10-codes losing popularity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-03 23:33:20 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <kef3k19bmqlg6ddc77s4etb7qi401e57er@4ax.com>
Source record: 11710

On Mon, 03 Oct 2005 23:19:16 GMT, kashe@sonic.net said in
rec.radio.scanner:

>On Mon, 03 Oct 2005 17:47:18 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
>wrote:
>>On Mon, 03 Oct 2005 06:58:50 GMT, kashe@sonic.net said in
>>rec.radio.scanner:

>>> We saw how well comms went on 9/11, even with all the
>>>"professionals" supposedly spwaking the same language.

>>Those political appointees couldn't get a paper bag to interop with a
>>bonfire to produce ash.

> Cops and firemen aren't politicaal appointees.

Cops and firemen have no say in the design of the radio systems in NYC
- they're just the professionals who have to use them.

>>> But "the people who actually do the job" ouldn't even work each other on the same
>>>frequencies.

>>First, NYPD operates on 450 MHz. FDNY operates on 150 MHz. The
>>equipment isn't cross-compatible.

> My point exactly -- they had no common frequencies with which
>to coordinate.

Because the people on the ground have no say in how the systems are
set up. You're proving my point.

3999. Re: 10-codes losing popularity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-03 23:33:24 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <thf3k1lbenolb2m0ua0gs65t02i4tg28cg@4ax.com>
Source record: 11711

On Mon, 03 Oct 2005 23:21:34 GMT, kashe@sonic.net said in
rec.radio.scanner:

>No, I've found out that the alleged professionals can't
>coordinate a toilet seat and its lid.

Which is why the people on the ground, not the "Michael Brown
professional" types, should be making the decisions.

>And those who think that failure to standardize on a single
>protocol (the name of the thread, right?) are failing in their
>responsibilities.

The single protocol - PER SYSTEM (we can't have the same language
spoken on all systems in the universe) - is pretty well set. For
cross-system use, the "protocol" in this country is plain language
English. We don't need Michael Brown and his ilk (and you're numbered
in there) telling us how to do something we've been doing longer than
most of the people on usenet have been alive. No dispatcher worthy of
his pay check uses his department's codes when communicating with
another department.

You still haven't told us how much actual professional experience you
have. I guess "zero" is a fair approximation.

4000. Re: Monitoring Times

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-03 23:34:50 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <vtf3k1pso1sh2eqpfoac1stm7fimrjcdlg@4ax.com>
Source record: 11712

On Mon, 03 Oct 2005 22:13:44 GMT, "Cubit" <no@not.not> wrote:

>They seem to want periodicals/magazines to go by the printed bound matter
>rate. it is almost the same rate as media mail.
>
>"Jesse" <1@2.com> wrote in message
>news:Xns96D21759BB1E3ewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130...
>> Scott Nelson <treasuredude62@nospamyahoo.com> wrote in
>> news:VsWdneLfVt04rb_eRVn-2w@midco.net:
>>
>> > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=6977179200
>>
>> You'd better have a good explanation ready for the post office of how a 40
>> pound box could qualify for media mail, which is basically for individual
>> cds/dvds and books with no advertisements.

My book club supscriptions come with multiple boks in the box
and have flyers advertising other materials included. I doubt Scott's
prescription applies.

4001. Re: am/narrow-fm/wide-fm/lsb/usb what else?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-04 00:43:30 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <7uj3k1puqp04f0npe6k6h5e1lv4pbbdc4m@4ax.com>
Source record: 11713

On Mon, 03 Oct 2005 23:28:37 GMT, Korbin Dallas
<korbindallas@dodgeit.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>On Sat, 01 Oct 2005 17:49:28 -0400, Al Klein wrote:
>> Again - what's the physical layer? Id the frequency of the carrier
>> changed? The amplitude? The phase? Some other characteristic of it?
>> The OP wasn't asking about the logical layer (which is where C4FM
>> sits), he was asking about the physical layer.

>Gee I don't know, Since it has FM in the NAME maybe its FM.
>Or perhaps you prefer the Emission designator of 8K10F1E.

Point, head, whoosh.

>I always thought Modulation and Channel access methods are typically
>placed at layer 1.

Modulation is layer 1. "Channel access"? Wazzat? PL?

4002. Re: am/narrow-fm/wide-fm/lsb/usb what else?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-04 10:39:59 UTC
From: nospam@nospam.com
Message-ID: <43425bdf.1678828@news-server>
Source record: 11714

On 29 Sep 2005 21:18:12 -0700, kc7jfv@hotmail.com wrote:

>there has to be some other modes that normal scanners wouldn't decode.
>any thoughts?
>

ISB, DSB ?

DRM?

4003. Re: OPP scanner online?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-04 14:35:06 UTC
From: HotShot <MyShotIsHot@HotShotShootsSpam.Com>
Message-ID: <Bqw0f.3792$2F2.461538@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 11715

OPP is the Ontario Provincial Police.

US Equivalent to a State Trooper and Sherrif all in one. Oh and
probably more. :s

Mark wrote:
> On Tue, 04 Oct 2005 07:06:22 -0700, Evan Platt
> <evan@theobvious.espphotography.com> wrote:
>
>
>>On Mon, 3 Oct 2005 16:27:14 -0400, "Don Church" <dchurch@tnt21.con>
>>wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Does anyone have an OPP scanner online? preferably in the Grey?bruce area?
>>
>>Umm.. Overland Park Police?
>>What is OPP?
>
>
> Google is your friend. He SHOULD have made it clearer however.....
>
>
> http://www.google.com/search?hl=en&q=opp+grey+bruce

4004. End of a scanning era in Arizona

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-04 22:15:25 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <L9D0f.874$sE3.487@lakeread07>
Source record: 11716

well, as of 0500 local time monday, Phoenix Police pretty much went 800 digital, closing all but 4 channels in the vhf spectrum (all the UHF channels are dead).

The original assignment of frequencies in the Phoenix Area had PPD on 19 assigned VHF chans and % UHF chans. Over the last 4 years, Phoenix has been transitioning to 800 Mhz Digital and was supposed to have "gone dark" on all chans in VHF/UHF by june 1 (as of this writing, they are 4 + months behind schedule).

so? whats next? fire Dept going Digital? that remains to be seen.

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence has its limits.

4005. Re: End of a scanning era in Arizona

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-04 22:29:42 UTC
From: gray-beard <gray-beard@tryandguess.com>
Message-ID: <Z5OdnWFLboXPn97eRVn-rA@comcast.com>
Source record: 11717

You sound like it's the end of the world.
There are a number of choices of scanners that will monitor the APCO-25
system that Phoenix using.
Move on to the next generation of scanning.
Eventually every area will go digital. It's inevitable.

GB

N7ZZT - Eric Oyen wrote:
> well, as of 0500 local time monday, Phoenix Police pretty much went 800
> digital, closing all but 4 channels in the vhf spectrum (all the UHF
> channels are dead).
>
> The original assignment of frequencies in the Phoenix Area had PPD on 19
> assigned VHF chans and % UHF chans. Over the last 4 years, Phoenix has been
> transitioning to 800 Mhz Digital and was supposed to have "gone dark" on
> all chans in VHF/UHF by june 1 (as of this writing, they are 4 + months
> behind schedule).
>
> so? whats next? fire Dept going Digital? that remains to be seen.
>
>

--
"There's no way to rule innocent men. The only power any government
has is the power to crack down on criminals. Well, when there aren't
enough criminals, one makes them. One declares so many things to be a
crime that it becomes impossible to live without breaking laws."

-- Ayn Rand, Atlas Shrugged

4006. Re: End of a scanning era in Arizona

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-04 23:18:43 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <n5E0f.8354$wf6.1346167@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 11718

Yea, but when they digitally ENCRYPT their transmissions, scanning will
be dead.

Dan

4007. Re: End of a scanning era in Arizona

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-05 06:47:14 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <yFK0f.3670$sE3.1735@lakeread07>
Source record: 11719

gray-beard wrote:

> You sound like it's the end of the world.
> There are a number of choices of scanners that will monitor the APCO-25
> system that Phoenix using.

all fine and good. just 1 small problem: they are Encrypting their
emissions, so an APCO25 capable scanner really isn't all that good, now
isn't it?

> Move on to the next generation of scanning.
> Eventually every area will go digital. It's inevitable.

yeah, and if they go the current route that phoenix is taking, well,
scanning as we know it (or will comes to know it) will be a dead issue.

and anyone thinking of decrypting their emissions: think about the federal
laws you will be violating by doing so (min. 10 years and $250K per
instance just for starters).

a little hard to "move on" when the law says you cannot proceed beyond this
point.

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.

4008. Re: End of a scanning era in Arizona

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-05 11:25:28 UTC
From: Brad <"bradvk2qq at w6ir.com">
Message-ID: <4343b82b$0$49018$14726298@news.sunsite.dk>
Source record: 11720

QUITTER!

"N7ZZT - Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
news:L9D0f.874$sE3.487@lakeread07...
> well, as of 0500 local time monday, Phoenix Police pretty much went 800
> digital, closing all but 4 channels in the vhf spectrum (all the UHF
> channels are dead).
>
> The original assignment of frequencies in the Phoenix Area had PPD on 19
> assigned VHF chans and % UHF chans. Over the last 4 years, Phoenix has
> been
> transitioning to 800 Mhz Digital and was supposed to have "gone dark" on
> all chans in VHF/UHF by june 1 (as of this writing, they are 4 + months
> behind schedule).
>
> so? whats next? fire Dept going Digital? that remains to be seen.
>
>
> --
> DE N7ZZT
> Eric Oyen
> Phoenix, Arizona
> e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
> the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
> has its limits.

4009. Re: End of a scanning era in Arizona

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-05 13:24:30 UTC
From: gray-beard <gray-beard@tryandguess.com>
Message-ID: <plidnTBub8ySSd7enZ2dnUVZ_sydnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 11721

According to the information I found,

http://www.radioreference.com/

Phoenix is not encrypted and is just APCO-25 Standard. Have you even
tried with a digital Scanner or did just assume that all digital is
encrypted. Most areas do not encrypt because of the extra costs.
Dig deeper and find out for sure, before you just give up.

GB

N7ZZT - Eric Oyen wrote:
>
> all fine and good. just 1 small problem: they are Encrypting their
> emissions, so an APCO25 capable scanner really isn't all that good, now
> isn't it?
>
>
>
> yeah, and if they go the current route that phoenix is taking, well,
> scanning as we know it (or will comes to know it) will be a dead issue.
>
> and anyone thinking of decrypting their emissions: think about the federal
> laws you will be violating by doing so (min. 10 years and $250K per
> instance just for starters).
>
> a little hard to "move on" when the law says you cannot proceed beyond this
> point.
>

--
"There's no way to rule innocent men. The only power any government
has is the power to crack down on criminals. Well, when there aren't
enough criminals, one makes them. One declares so many things to be a
crime that it becomes impossible to live without breaking laws."

-- Ayn Rand, Atlas Shrugged

4010. Re: Antenna Length Formula

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-05 19:09:01 UTC
From: albert@aladincom.com
Message-ID: <96E6E7CAEalbertaladincom@192.114.47.10>
Source record: 11722

ddforsling@msn.com (Don Forsling) wrote in
<115ijhu243c22bf@news.supernews.com>:

>Folks, I'm old, I'm tired, and I'm lazy. I'm also forgetful.
>
>Would someone kindly provide the formula for deterring the length of a
>full-wave antenna for a given frequency (a full-wave whip comes to
>mind)? Then, I can do the math. Better yet, I'll give you the frequency
>and _you_ can do the math. It's 160.890 mHz.
>
>I will never ask this question again. Sorry. Thanks, etc.
>
>Best,

Once upon a time when I was taught physics, I was told that full wave lenght should be calculated by dividing the speed of a light (299820km/s) with the frequency(in MHz)/1000, so for full wave dipole it should be 299820*160.89/1000=1.864m, for half-wave dipole divide the result by two.

Albert

4011. Re: End of a scanning era in Arizona

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-05 21:20:09 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <PrX0f.3715$sE3.316@lakeread07>
Source record: 11723

gray-beard wrote:

> According to the information I found,
>
> http://www.radioreference.com/
>
> Phoenix is not encrypted and is just APCO-25 Standard. Have you even
> tried with a digital Scanner or did just assume that all digital is
> encrypted. Most areas do not encrypt because of the extra costs.
> Dig deeper and find out for sure, before you just give up.

oh really?

I find it hard to believe you when the source of my information just happens to be the primary tech for the Phoenix Police dept radio maintenance office.

Standard APCO25 trunking routines are used to maintain compatibility in the phoenix metro area with several services, but phoenix police ENCRYPT their emissions as a matter of course using DES modules programmed into each handheld. The Encryption algorithm they are using was not (and will not be) discussed for open (read that as public) consumption.

Also, before you ask who it is I have spoken with per the PPD radio division, he has requested that I not use his name in any way, shape or form.

as for the argument against "extra costs": Phoenix Police had an outlay of $4,000 per radio plus the $27 million invested in contract with motorola to install the new "trunking" system. A standard trunking system (using only APCO25 routines and analog FM) runs roughly 1/4 of that and $500.00 per handheld.

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence has its limits.

4012. Re: OPP scanner online?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-05 21:22:46 UTC
From: Casper Milquetoast <CasperMilquetoast@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <qLWdnTXFrNS22dnenZ2dnUVZ_s2dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 11724

"Evan Platt" <evan@theobvious.espphotography.com> wrote in message
news:ltn7k11a8t7crjpo29rnnrb2d8ashbnqnp@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 04 Oct 2005 10:27:38 -0400, Mark <me@privacy.net> wrote:
>
>>Google is your friend. He SHOULD have made it clearer however.....
>>
>>
>>http://www.google.com/search?hl=en&q=opp+grey+bruce
>
> Yes, I am quite aware of Google.

Evan is aware of Google, he's just too lazy to try using it on his own.

4013. Re: End of a scanning era in Arizona

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-05 22:18:53 UTC
From: gray-beard <gray-beard@tryandguess.com>
Message-ID: <yf2dndHbKLfWzNneRVn-qw@comcast.com>
Source record: 11725

Ok, I guess you're screwed

GB

N7ZZT - Eric Oyen wrote:
> gray-beard wrote:
>
>
>>According to the information I found,
>>
>>http://www.radioreference.com/
>>
>>Phoenix is not encrypted and is just APCO-25 Standard. Have you even
>>tried with a digital Scanner or did just assume that all digital is
>>encrypted. Most areas do not encrypt because of the extra costs.
>>Dig deeper and find out for sure, before you just give up.
>
>
> oh really?
>
> I find it hard to believe you when the source of my information just happens
> to be the primary tech for the Phoenix Police dept radio maintenance
> office.
>
> Standard APCO25 trunking routines are used to maintain compatibility in the
> phoenix metro area with several services, but phoenix police ENCRYPT their
> emissions as a matter of course using DES modules programmed into each
> handheld. The Encryption algorithm they are using was not (and will not be)
> discussed for open (read that as public) consumption.
>
> Also, before you ask who it is I have spoken with per the PPD radio
> division, he has requested that I not use his name in any way, shape or
> form.
>
> as for the argument against "extra costs": Phoenix Police had an outlay of
> $4,000 per radio plus the $27 million invested in contract with motorola to
> install the new "trunking" system. A standard trunking system (using only
> APCO25 routines and analog FM) runs roughly 1/4 of that and $500.00 per
> handheld.
>
>

--
"There's no way to rule innocent men. The only power any government
has is the power to crack down on criminals. Well, when there aren't

enough criminals, one makes them. One declares so many things to be a crime that it becomes impossible to live without breaking laws."

-- Ayn Rand, Atlas Shrugged

4014. Re: OPP scanner online?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-06 00:21:00 UTC
From: HotShot <MyShotIsHot@HotShotShootsSpam.Com>
Message-ID: <O5_0f.7640$2F2.729987@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 11726

Or just taken a guess and maybe typed in http://www.opp.ca/ into his web browser. Probably would've been the simplest thing to do.

4015. Re: am/narrow-fm/wide-fm/lsb/usb what else?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-06 01:18:23 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <6pu8k19upbqk38de62rn4c66sfi7b7cdgk@4ax.com>
Source record: 11727

On Tue, 04 Oct 2005 10:39:59 GMT, nospam@nospam.com (Peter Newman)
said in rec.radio.scanner:

>On 29 Sep 2005 21:18:12 -0700, kc7jfv@hotmail.com wrote:

>>there has to be some other modes that normal scanners wouldn't decode.
>>any thoughts?

>ISB, DSB ?

Any receiver that will receive SSB will also receive DSB, since both
sidebands are the same. ISB too, but only 1 at a time.

4016. Re: End of a scanning era in Arizona

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-06 01:21:00 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <rtu8k1p9skpemasg2605jjea8vfjb6omvv@4ax.com>
Source record: 11728

On Tue, 04 Oct 2005 23:18:43 GMT, Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>Yea, but when they digitally ENCRYPT their transmissions, scanning will >be dead.

They can do that to a digital TRS, they can do it to an analog TRS - they can even do it to an old analog radio on a conventional frequency. One has nothing to do with the other.

4017. Re: Info needed for Regency Touch K-500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-06 01:24:04 UTC
From: Bob Parnass <not-for-mail@fake.invalid>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.10.06.01.24.03.188929@fake.invalid>
Source record: 11730
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 04 Oct 2005 18:07:24 -0400, John KB5AG wrote:

> .. And, I can't get the backup battery to do anything other than die
> in a day, so something's wrong there.
>
> Any help appreciated.
>
> john KB5AG

The K-500 was designed to use four rechargeable
AA NiCd batteries for memory backup. The scanner must remain connected
to a voltage source for several hours to keep the batteries charged.

Inspect the batteries once in a while to make sure they haven't
leaked. Another caveat is that plastic battery holder inside the radio
can crack due to pressure from the spring contacts. Mine cracked.

--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S GNU/Linux User http://parnass.com

4018. Re: End of a scanning era in Arizona

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-06 01:24:18 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <k2v8k1hghtr1vgig8nvjv9smlk7fn1g00c@4ax.com>
Source record: 11729

On Wed, 05 Oct 2005 14:20:09 -0700, N7ZZT - Eric Oyen
<n7zzt@127.0.0.1> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>Standard APCO25 trunking routines are used to maintain compatibility in the
>phoenix metro area with several services, but phoenix police ENCRYPT their
>emissions as a matter of course using DES modules programmed into each
>handheld. The Encryption algorithm they are using was not (and will not be)
>discussed for open (read that as public) consumption.

It doesn't have to be - DES is a published standard.

BTW, did he tell you that the handhelds have a switch allowing the
user to decide whether to make a transmission in the clear or
encrypted?

>as for the argument against "extra costs": Phoenix Police had an outlay of
>$4,000 per radio plus the $27 million invested in contract with motorola to
>install the new "trunking" system. A standard trunking system (using only
>APCO25 routines and analog FM) runs roughly 1/4 of that and $500.00 per
>handheld.

Motorola 800 MHZ handhelds for $500?

4019. Re: End of a scanning era in Arizona

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-06 01:25:44 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <g6v8k19gqqnsitimibdjnq87oe717aoq2i@4ax.com>
Source record: 11731

On Wed, 05 Oct 2005 22:43:56 GMT, Never Anonymous Bud
<newskat@katxyzkave.net> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>Using a finger dipped in purple ink,

>> as for the argument against "extra costs": Phoenix Police had an outlay of
>> $4,000 per radio plus the $27 million invested in contract with motorola to
>> install the new "trunking" system. A standard trunking system (using only
>> APCO25 routines and analog FM) runs roughly 1/4 of that and $500.00 per
>> handheld.

>You DON'T know much about Motorola radios, do you??

And he got this misinformation from "the primary tech for the Phoenix
Police dept radio maintenance office". I guess Motorola isn't the
only one not bothering to kiss the PPD. :)

4020. NEW ICom CIV Test Tool (need help if you have an icom radio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-06 04:13:18 UTC
From: Dave <SRPro@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <ef89k1l17ootlpdl38skoqrdvd84dnp3jr@4ax.com>
Source record: 11732
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hi,

This is a request for minor software testing help from Icom radio owners.

If you own an icom radio that has a serial cable connected to your computer, I could use some help testing a little tool I wrote. The purpose of testing this tool is to see if my serial code works with your computer and radio.

I'm trying to test a part of SR-Pro that interfaces with ICOM radios. This test tool has code that will be used inside SR Pro after it is finished being tested.

I will also be adding support for other radios but I'm starting with ICOM since I have an ICOM hooked up to the PC right now.

Any help would be appreciated.

Thanks

http://www.davee.com/DaveeCIV/

4021. Re: NEW ICom CIV Test Tool (need help if you have an icom radio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-06 04:24:14 UTC
From: diesel_fuel <diesel_fuel@SPAMISCRAPvolcanomail.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1daf51fdf3b157299896c7@news-vip.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 11733
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

It works for me
Icom IC-R10 scanner

In article <ef89k1l17ootlpdl38skoqrdvd84dnp3jr@4ax.com>, SRPro@nospam.com says...
> Hi,
>
> This is a request for minor software testing help from Icom
> radio owners.
>
> If you own an icom radio that has a serial cable connected
> to your computer, I could use some help testing a little
> tool I wrote. The purpose of testing this tool is to see if
> my serial code works with your computer and radio.
>
> I'm trying to test a part of SR-Pro that interfaces with
> ICOM radios. This test tool has code that will be used
> inside SR Pro after it is finished being tested.
>
> I will also be adding support for other radios but I'm
> starting with ICOM since I have an ICOM hooked up to the PC
> right now.
>
> Any help would be appreciated.
>
> Thanks
>
> http://www.davee.com/DaveeCIV/
>

4022. Re: NEW ICom CIV Test Tool (need help if you have an icom radio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-06 04:29:10 UTC
From: Dave <SRPro@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <1s99k190utv9imqf43jrksb3gkh5iq3984@4ax.com>
Source record: 11734
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Thu, 6 Oct 2005 14:24:14 +1000, diesel_fuel
<diesel_fuel@SPAMISCRAPvolcanomail.com> wrote:

>It works for me
>Icom IC-R10 scanner
>

That was fast. Are you using Windows XP?

Thanks for helping me test it!

4023. Re: NEW ICom CIV Test Tool (need help if you have an icom radio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-06 04:48:27 UTC
From: diesel_fuel <diesel_fuel@SPAMISCRAPvolcanomail.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1daf57a6a640de549896c8@news-vip.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 11735
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Running Windows 2000 SP4
Will try on Win 98/ME

In article <1s99k190utv9imqf43jrksb3gkh5iq3984@4ax.com>, SRPro@nospam.com says...
> On Thu, 6 Oct 2005 14:24:14 +1000, diesel_fuel
> <diesel_fuel@SPAMISCRAPvolcanomail.com> wrote:
>
> >It works for me
> >Icom IC-R10 scanner
> >
>
> That was fast. Are you using Windows XP?
>
> Thanks for helping me test it!
>
>

4024. Where to start?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-06 05:35:15 UTC
From: Bill <duckybill@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <nl21f.81010$vJ4.25091@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 11736
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Jeesh, where does a beginner start? Digital, trunk tracking, which scanner?
How do you program these new high tech scanners? Please help.

Bill

4025. KRAKULA - LQQK !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-06 06:17:49 UTC
From: Scan Da Bands <vzeip5vc@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <h8g9k1tc3rgnrmnp3b6idtbain0s3eonqq@4ax.com>
Source record: 11737

You familiar with Atlantic Electronics software at all.....this is a
disk from 1995 and it's called SM-1073PC-W ????????????

4026. Re: NEW ICom CIV Test Tool (need help if you have an icom radio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-06 06:53:44 UTC
From: diesel_fuel <diesel_fuel@SPAMISCRAPvolcanomail.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1daf750238b27ee39896c9@news-vip.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 11738
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Tried it on Windows ME it required 2 dll's
"mfc71.dll" and "msvcr71.dll" I installed them
and got the CIV test tool but it would not connect
"not responding"

In article <MPG.1daf57a6a640de549896c8@news-vip.optusnet.com.au>,
diesel_fuel@SPAMISCRAPvolcanomail.com says...
> Running Windows 2000 SP4
> Will try on Win 98/ME
>
> In article <1s99k190utv9imqf43jrksb3gkh5iq3984@4ax.com>, SRPro@nospam.com says...
> > On Thu, 6 Oct 2005 14:24:14 +1000, diesel_fuel
> > <diesel_fuel@SPAMISCRAPvolcanomail.com> wrote:
> >
> > >It works for me
> > >Icom IC-R10 scanner
> > >
> >
> > That was fast. Are you using Windows XP?
> >
> > Thanks for helping me test it!
> >
> >
>

4027. Re: End of a scanning era in Arizona

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-06 07:15:16 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <H941f.3959$sE3.1792@lakeread07>
Source record: 11739

Never Anonymous Bud wrote:

> Using a finger dipped in purple ink,
>
>> as for the argument against "extra costs": Phoenix Police had an outlay
>> of $4,000 per radio plus the $27 million invested in contract with
>> motorola to install the new "trunking" system. A standard trunking system
>> (using only APCO25 routines and analog FM) runs roughly 1/4 of that and
>> $500.00 per handheld.
>
> You DON'T know much about Motorola radios, do you??

I own a bunch of them. I have, at one time even worked on them.

The current model of motorola radio being used here comes in several
versions. PPD uses the upper line version (complete with encryption
capabilities, cellular capable, etc). the low end of that particular line
of radios runs about $750.00/each.

to say I don't know anything about motorola would be false. I do, however,
know more than some and not as much as others.

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.

4028. Re: End of a scanning era in Arizona

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-06 07:30:02 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <xn41f.3960$sE3.195@lakeread07>
Source record: 11740

Al Klein wrote:

> On Tue, 04 Oct 2005 23:18:43 GMT, Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com> said in
> rec.radio.scanner:
>
>>Yea, but when they digitally ENCRYPT their transmissions, scanning will
>>be dead.
>
> They can do that to a digital TRS, they can do it to an analog TRS -
> they can even do it to an old analog radio on a conventional
> frequency. One has nothing to do with the other.

how hard is it to modify an older model radio to do this?

most of the radios I have run across with encryption capability have a place for it on board (as a removable module). encrypting outboard to the radio (say at the audio input) and decrypting same are a bit more complicated. you may think its easier, but when you look at all the extra stuff you have to mount on the radio (or plug into it), it just isn't worth the problems that can come up. Building in as a removable module BY DESIGN removes a lot of the complexity and additional problems encountered by "outboard, non-standard" equipment.

and yes, they do have a lot to do with each other. "integrated" systems (such as those I have described) are far more reliable and less prone to "add-on flaws".

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence has its limits.

4029. Re: End of a scanning era in Arizona

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-06 07:37:34 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <Cu41f.3961$sE3.218@lakeread07>
Source record: 11741

Al Klein wrote:

> On Wed, 05 Oct 2005 14:20:09 -0700, N7ZZT - Eric Oyen
> <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> said in rec.radio.scanner:
>
>>Standard APCO25 trunking routines are used to maintain compatibility in
>>the phoenix metro area with several services, but phoenix police ENCRYPT
>>their emissions as a matter of course using DES modules programmed into
>>each handheld. The Encryption algorithm they are using was not (and will
>>not be) discussed for open (read that as public) consumption.
>
> It doesn't have to be - DES is a published standard.

and therein is the rub: DES is breakable. However, the ecnryption routines
they are using are far in advance of DES. DES is OLD NEWS.

>
> BTW, did he tell you that the handhelds have a switch allowing the
> user to decide whether to make a transmission in the clear or
> encrypted?
>

in their case, its not a switch at all. its a programming function (and is
generally left on). Also, it appears that this is not a user controllable
function (according to a half dozen cops I have asked the same questions
of, they all report that the radios are programmed fro them and that they
are instructed never to alter that programming).

>>as for the argument against "extra costs": Phoenix Police had an outlay of
>>$4,000 per radio plus the $27 million invested in contract with motorola
>>to install the new "trunking" system. A standard trunking system (using
>>only APCO25 routines and analog FM) runs roughly 1/4 of that and $500.00
>>per handheld.
>
> Motorola 800 MHZ handhelds for $500?
the basic, no frills model ,yes. I have 3.

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.

4030. Re: NEW ICom CIV Test Tool (need help if you have an icom radio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-06 08:12:14 UTC
From: Dave <SRPro@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <1cm9k157ivmhegqvkcdor65b10s1bvha18@4ax.com>
Source record: 11742
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Thu, 6 Oct 2005 16:53:44 +1000, diesel_fuel
<diesel_fuel@SPAMISCRAPvolcanomail.com> wrote:

>Tried it on Windows ME it required 2 dll's
>"mfc71.dll" and "msvcr71.dll" I installed them
>and got the CIV test tool but it would not connect
>"not responding"

Oh, I hope it's not bugs in my prog. If so, I'll have to
figure out why Win/ME is different. Supposedly it should
even work on '95, but that might be too optimistic.

I just uploaded another copy in a zip file which is a debug
build with more error checking, (and no longer needs the
.dlls). If you happen to get a chance, could you try the
debug version on Win/ME to see if that one crashes or
reports any errors? If you get a chance, that would be
great. But you've already helped me a lot and I really
appreciate it.

Dave

4031. Re: End of a scanning era in Arizona

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-06 08:55:57 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <pfp9k1taiudomgkvj3g5phvhd1oijtaurk@4ax.com>
Source record: 11743

On Wed, 05 Oct 2005 21:25:44 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
wrote:

>On Wed, 05 Oct 2005 22:43:56 GMT, Never Anonymous Bud
><newskat@katxyzkave.net> said in rec.radio.scanner:
>
>>Using a finger dipped in purple ink,
>
>>> as for the argument against "extra costs": Phoenix Police had an outlay of
>>> $4,000 per radio plus the $27 million invested in contract with motorola to
>>> install the new "trunking" system. A standard trunking system (using only
>>> APCO25 routines and analog FM) runs roughly 1/4 of that and $500.00 per
>>> handheld.
>
>>You DON'T know much about Motorola radios, do you??
>
>And he got this misinformation from "the primary tech for the Phoenix
>Police dept radio maintenance office". I guess Motorola isn't the
>only one not bothering to kiss the PPD. :)

Sure glad the job title qualifies as bulletproof anonymity. I
wonder how the PPD police chief would react to a copy of this thread
and whether he'd consider a counseling session with his "primary tech"
on department policy about disclosure of technical information.

4032. Re: Where to start?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-06 11:39:30 UTC
From: Buzzygirl <buzzygirl@nospam.comcast.net>
Message-ID: <XvCdnSR6CZp1kdjeRVn-jg@comcast.com>
Source record: 11744

"Bill" <duckybill@indy.rr.com> wrote in message
news:nI21f.81010$vJ4.25091@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com...
> Jeesh, where does a beginner start? Digital, trunk tracking, which
scanner?
> How do you program these new high tech scanners? Please help.

First, where do you live? Do you live in a large urban area, or in a rural area? Where you live will be a big indicator of what there is to listen to, and what sort of scanner you'll need.

What sort of communications are you interested in listening to? Emergency comms only, or are you interested in hearing military air comms?

Lots of people use computer software for scanner programming. With today's scanners, you really need to read all the documentation that comes with them so you don't get frustrated.

Jackie

4033. Re: Where to start?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-06 14:16:47 UTC
From: junkman18 <junkman18@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <jla1f.780$ht7.300@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 11745
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

It's a BIG pain in the ass.

But it helps if you have programming software for your scanner. And the right in put information everything has to be right or it wont work. And read the book 20 times to begin to understand it.

"Bill" <duckybill@indy.rr.com> wrote in message news:nI21f.81010$vJ4.25091@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com...
> Jeesh, where does a beginner start? Digital, trunk tracking, which
> scanner? How do you program these new high tech scanners? Please help.
>
> Bill
>

4034. Re: Antenna Length Formula

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-06 16:27:51 UTC
From: k5die@sbcglobal.net
Message-ID: <1128616071.226250.69370@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11746

2808 divided by the frequency in MHz will give
the length in inches for a 1/4 whip. Roughly
17.5 inches. Times 4 will give you a full wave.

Can't understand why you would want a full wave
whip. The impedence would be very high and
would not match a scanner or two way radio. 1/4,
5/8, or 3/4 in much easier to match.

Jim, K5DIE/9

Al wrote:
> ddforsling@msn.com (Don Forsling) wrote in
> <115ijhu243c22bf@news.supernews.com>:
>
> >Folks, I'm old, I'm tired, and I'm lazy. I'm also forgetful.
> >
> >Would someone kindly provide the formula for deterring the length of a
> >full-wave antenna for a given frequency (a full-wave whip comes to
> >mind)? Then, I can do the math. Better yet, I'll give you the frequency
> >and _you_ can do the math. It's 160.890 mHz.
> >
> >I will never ask this question again. Sorry. Thanks, etc.
> >
> >Best,
>
> Once upon a time when I was taught physics, I was told that full wave lenght should be
> calculated by dividing the speed of a light (299820km/s) with the frequency(in MHz)/1000,
> so for full wave dipole it should be 299820*160.89/1000=1.864m, for half-wave dipole
> divide the result by two.
>
> Albert

4035. Re: Where to start?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-06 17:01:19 UTC
From: junkman18 <junkman18@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <zLc1f.1510$we3.1064@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 11747
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Http://www.butelsoftware.com

I have this software and use it with my Uniden 250D. It works good and much better than the uniden software that they make for it. You might look at the Uniden 296D or the new 396T, BUTLE has software for them to.

I have used scannerworld.com for years and had good luck with them, But there are others.

Have Fun

4036. Re: Antenna Length Formula

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-06 17:26:30 UTC
From: James Robinson <wascana@212.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96E788C396C7Fwascanamailcircuitco@216.196.97.142>
Source record: 11748

albert@aladincom.com (Al) wrote:

> Once upon a time when I was taught physics, I was told that full wave
> lenght should be calculated by dividing the speed of a light
> (299820km/s) with the frequency(in MHz)/1000, so for full wave dipole
> it should be 299820*160.89/1000=1.864m, for half-wave dipole divide
> the result by two.

You need to reduce that number by 5% for typical vertical whip antenna
calculations.

4037. Re: Antenna Length Formula

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-06 17:34:46 UTC
From: mikeFNB <mikefnb@moc.dlrowltn>
Message-ID: <Wed1f.1932$1u1.762@newsfe4-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 11749

if it's for RX the imp matters not

mike

<k5die@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:1128616071.226250.69370@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> 2808 divided by the frequency in MHz will give
> the length in inches for a 1/4 whip. Roughly
> 17.5 inches. Times 4 will give you a full wave.
>
> Can't understand why you would want a full wave
> whip. The impedence would be very high and
> would not match a scanner or two way radio. 1/4,
> 5/8, or 3/4 in much easier to match.
>
> Jim, K5DIE/9
>
>
> Al wrote:
>> ddforsling@msn.com (Don Forsling) wrote in
>> <115ijhu243c22bf@news.supernews.com>:
>>
>> >Folks, I'm old, I'm tired, and I'm lazy. I'm also forgetful.
>> >
>> >Would someone kindly provide the formula for deterring the length of a
>> >full-wave antenna for a given frequency (a full-wave whip comes to
>> >mind)? Then, I can do the math. Better yet, I'll give you the frequency
>> >and _you_ can do the math. It's 160.890 mHz.
>> >
>> >I will never ask this question again. Sorry. Thanks, etc.
>> >
>> >Best,
>>
>> Once upon a time when I was taught physics, I was told that full wave
>> lenght should be
>> calculated by dividing the speed of a light (299820km/s) with the
>> frequency(in MHz)/1000,
>> so for full wave dipole it should be 299820*160.89/1000=1.864m, for
>> half-wave dipole
>> divide the result by two.
>>
>> Albert
>

4038. Re: KRAKULA - LQQK !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-06 21:30:36 UTC
From: krackula <krackula@i.am>
Message-ID: <pr5bk19hha06pqrm6rvtid2f67lnbqejkc@4ax.com>
Source record: 11750

would that be for their Trackplot product or their GPS maps /
software ? or ? if their GPS products, then Magellan stuff is
supposed to be a substitute.

I'm not familiar with that SM number , sorry doooooooode.

On Thu, 06 Oct 2005 06:17:49 GMT, Scan Da Bands <vzeip5vc@verizon.net>
wrote:

>You familiar with Atlantic Electronics software at all.....this is a
>disk from 1995 and it's called SM-1073PC-W ????????????

4039. Re: End of a scanning era in Arizona

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-06 23:08:56 UTC
From: Quaoar <quaoar@tenthplanet.net>
Message-ID: <X9adnWZRssEVM9jeRVn-iQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 11751

"N7ZZT - Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
news:L9D0f.874$sE3.487@lakeread07...
> well, as of 0500 local time monday, Phoenix Police pretty much went
> 800
> digital, closing all but 4 channels in the vhf spectrum (all the UHF
> channels are dead).
>
> The original assignment of frequencies in the Phoenix Area had PPD on
> 19
> assigned VHF chans and % UHF chans. Over the last 4 years, Phoenix has
> been
> transitioning to 800 Mhz Digital and was supposed to have "gone dark"
> on
> all chans in VHF/UHF by june 1 (as of this writing, they are 4 +
> months
> behind schedule).
>
> so? whats next? fire Dept going Digital? that remains to be seen.
>
>
> --
> DE N7ZZT
> Eric Oyen
> Phoenix, Arizona
> e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
> the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
> has its limits.

The Edison incandescent lamp destroyed the market for city gas lighting
(with its attendant carbon monoxide that killed thousands) almost
overnight.

Get over it.

Q

4040. Re: Where to start?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-06 23:17:26 UTC
From: Paul Keenleyside <beeceepelights@teluz.net>
Message-ID: <agi1f.7656$lo.3956@clgrps13>
Source record: 11752
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Bill" <duckybill@indy.rr.com> wrote in message
news:nI21f.81010$vJ4.25091@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com...
> Jeesh, where does a beginner start? Digital, trunk tracking, which
> scanner? How do you program these new high tech scanners? Please help.

Digital? Works only with APCO-25 systems, mostly urban areas, but not all
urban areas use APCO-25.
If you find that your agency uses ProVoice, you're out of luck.Scanners are
deaf in trying to hear Pro-Voice systems as
the system is proprietary and no store bought scanner can listen in to it.
Some use Motorola systems which if they
are analog can be heard. If there's no digital system within 10 miles of
where you live, save the money and buy
an analog type scanner.

Trunk Tracking? A must in urban/suburban areas since many agencies use
frequencies subdivided to many talkgroups.
Takes a bit of programming to seat the frequencies and set talkgroups, but
works nicely when set properly.

Trunking can use a control frequency and a few others in a series to build
the environment upon which many talkgroups
exist. Think of one talk group for fire, another for garbage, another for
city buses, and another for road crews. Each
is seperate from the other. Trunk tracking allows a person to keep one or
more talkgroups on to listen to.

Programming? Either the finger dance or use software such as Win95 for the
Radio Shack Pro-95/96. Butel has
reasonably good software but it's not the only option out there.

Read the manual 10 times. Scanners have notoriously badly written manuals.
Some are worse than the manuals that
come with software.

Product? Depends. Two choices. Uniden or Radio Shack.

www.uniden.com

www.radioshack.com

4041. Re: End of a scanning era in Arizona

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-06 23:17:58 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <oubbk1t38m5v38qqhjcpkspqvcde9779vk@4ax.com>
Source record: 11753

On Thu, 06 Oct 2005 06:53:06 -0700, pelamela@no.spam wrote:

>you have no business listening-in anyways, but you're too blind to
>see that, you wannabe cop

Why do you think it's a bad idea for the public to monitor the
activities of their paid protectors.

Remember when cerain racial minorities were referred to by
names like "blues" to evade regulations prohibiting pejorative
epithets? If the public can't monitor and detect this kind of abuse,
it will just be encouraged.

>
>On Tue, 04 Oct 2005 23:47:14 -0700, N7ZZT - Eric Oyen
><n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote:
>
>>gray-beard wrote:
>>
>>> You sound like it's the end of the world.
>>> There are a number of choices of scanners that will monitor the APCO-25
>>> system that Phoenix using.
>>
>>all fine and good. just 1 small problem: they are Encrypting their
>>emissions, so an APCO25 capable scanner really isn't all that good, now
>>isn't it?
>>
>>
>>> Move on to the next generation of scanning.
>>> Eventually every area will go digital. It's inevitable.
>>
>>yeah, and if they go the current route that phoenix is taking, well,
>>scanning as we know it (or will comes to know it) will be a dead issue.
>>
>>and anyone thinking of decrypting their emissions: think about the federal
>>laws you will be violating by doing so (min. 10 years and $250K per
>>instance just for starters).
>>
>>a little hard to "move on" when the law says you cannot proceed beyond this
>>point.

4042. Re: am/narrow-fm/wide-fm/lsb/usb what else?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-07 03:37:32 UTC
From: matt weber <matthеww50@cox.net>
Message-ID: <earbk11a7h1qtmpsfq8bjr24dm1tm4afsn@4ax.com>
Source record: 11754

On Wed, 05 Oct 2005 21:18:23 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
wrote:

>On Tue, 04 Oct 2005 10:39:59 GMT, nospam@nospam.com (Peter Newman)
>said in rec.radio.scanner:
>
>>On 29 Sep 2005 21:18:12 -0700, kc7jfv@hotmail.com wrote:
>
>>>there has to be some other modes that normal scanners wouldn't decode.
>>>any thoughts?
>
>>ISB, DSB ?
>
>Any receiver that will receive SSB will also receive DSB, since both
>sidebands are the same. ISB too, but only 1 at a time.
Only if the IF is narrow enough to keep the other sideband out,
otherwise you get both at once.....

4043. Re: NEW ICom CIV Test Tool (need help if you have an icom radio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-07 06:16:57 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <kk4ck15tesuec1kkvt92gg307c3rr30sqd@4ax.com>
Source record: 11755
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Thu, 06 Oct 2005 01:12:14 -0700, Dave <SRPro@nospam.com> said in
alt.radio.scanner:

>Oh, I hope it's not bugs in my prog. If so, I'll have to
>figure out why Win/ME is different. Supposedly it should
>even work on '95, but that might be too optimistic.

That's already been figured out - it's a bug disguised as an operating
system. :)

4044. Re: Where to start?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-07 06:17:41 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <on4ck19uv6b0ofjd31qvqd33d65bu2lbnu@4ax.com>
Source record: 11756
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Thu, 06 Oct 2005 05:35:15 GMT, "Bill" <duckybill@indy.rr.com> said
in alt.radio.scanner:

>Jeesh, where does a beginner start? Digital, trunk tracking, which scanner?
>How do you program these new high tech scanners? Please help.

http://www.radioreference.com/wiki/index.php/Main_Page has some good
basic information.

4045. Re: Where to start?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-07 06:25:34 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <3q4ck19nlh4qlihq50uau4j5del9b2ged4@4ax.com>
Source record: 11757
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Thu, 06 Oct 2005 23:17:26 GMT, "Paul Keenleyside"
<beeceepelights@teluz.net> said in alt.radio.scanner:

>Digital? Works only with APCO-25 systems

APCO25 isn't the only digital standard.

>mostly urban areas, but not all urban areas use APCO-25.

And not all rural areas use analog. There's no correlation between
"urban" and "digital".

>If you find that your agency uses ProVoice, you're out of luck.Scanners are
>deaf in trying to hear Pro-Voice systems

Not deaf, they just can't track them.

>the system is proprietary and no store bought scanner can listen in to it.

No scanner can, store bought or handmade.. Only ProVoice radios can.

>Some use Motorola systems which if they are analog can be heard.

Or if they're digital.

>If there's no digital system within 10 miles of where you live, save the money and buy
>an analog type scanner.

Unless there's a digital system within reception range - which can,
under the right circumstances, be as much as 50 miles - or more with a
good outside antenna.

>Trunk Tracking? A must in urban/suburban areas

And rural areas in which trunking systems are used.

>Product? Depends. Two choices. Uniden or Radio Shack.

Actually GRE and Uniden. Some of Radio shack's scanners are GRE, some
are Uniden.

Another consideration is to make sure that the scanner you buy will
(probably, since no one can say for certain yet) survive rebanding. It
doesn't make sense to buy a scanner that may be obsolete in a few
months.

4046. Re: Antenna Length Formula

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-07 06:33:10 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <gj5ck1dn92aa0cgja5ao8rkqkk39oj7trj@4ax.com>
Source record: 11758

On Thu, 06 Oct 2005 17:34:46 GMT, "mikeFNB" <mikefnb@moc.dlrowltn>
said in rec.radio.scanner:

>if it's for RX the imp matters not

That old nonsense again?

SWR isn't directional. Of course impendence matters, unless you don't
care how much signal you lose between the source and load.

4047. Re: am/narrow-fm/wide-fm/lsb/usb what else?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-07 06:36:38 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <0m5ck19qcpn8c48j83vb72m71s5u0u93k8@4ax.com>
Source record: 11759

On Thu, 06 Oct 2005 20:37:32 -0700, matt weber <matthewww50@cox.net>
said in rec.radio.scanner:

>On Wed, 05 Oct 2005 21:18:23 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
>wrote:
>
>>On Tue, 04 Oct 2005 10:39:59 GMT, nospam@nospam.com (Peter Newman)
>>said in rec.radio.scanner:
>>
>>>On 29 Sep 2005 21:18:12 -0700, kc7jfv@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>>>>there has to be some other modes that normal scanners wouldn't decode.
>>>>any thoughts?
>>
>>>ISB, DSB ?
>>
>>Any receiver that will receive SSB will also receive DSB, since both
>>sidebands are the same. ISB too, but only 1 at a time.
>Only if the IF is narrow enough to keep the other sideband out,
>otherwise you get both at once.....

A SSB receiver is one that has a bandwidth narrow enough to receive
only 1 sideband. If it's 6 KHz wide it's a DSB receiver. (Yes,
almost any ham receiver is either SSB or DSB, depending on the filter
you have running at the moment.)

Of course finding DSBSC signals on the air today is a bit difficult.
(They used to be much more prevalent, but ARC5s are expensive antiques
today, not cheap transmitters. And is there still 1 operational
DSB-100?)

4048. Re: End of a scanning era in Arizona

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-07 06:38:51 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <9s5ck1l1bmgd32rc36p4cipougo2nogr5c@4ax.com>
Source record: 11760

On Thu, 06 Oct 2005 00:30:02 -0700, N7ZZT - Eric Oyen
<n7zzt@127.0.0.1> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>Al Klein wrote:
>
>> On Tue, 04 Oct 2005 23:18:43 GMT, Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com> said in
>> rec.radio.scanner:
>>
>>>Yea, but when they digitally ENCRYPT their transmissions, scanning will
>>>be dead.
>>
>> They can do that to a digital TRS, they can do it to an analog TRS -
>> they can even do it to an old analog radio on a conventional
>> frequency. One has nothing to do with the other.

>most of the radios I have run across with encryption capability have a place
>for it on board (as a removable module). encrypting outboard to the radio
>(say at the audio input) and decrypting same are a bit more complicated.
>you may think its easier, but when you look at all the extra stuff you have
>to mount on the radio (or plug into it), it just isn't worth the problems
>that can come up. Building in as a removable module BY DESIGN removes a lot
>of the complexity and additional problems encountered by "outboard,
>non-standard" equipment.
>
>and yes, they do have a lot to do with each other. "integrated" systems
>(such as those I have described) are far more reliable and less prone to
>"add-on flaws".

Which has nothing at all to do with whether only digital trunked
systems can be encrypted, which is the only claim I was addressing.

4049. Re: End of a scanning era in Arizona

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-07 06:41:36 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <n06ck1ll1g47civ334dom82ismk849j5hs@4ax.com>
Source record: 11761

On Thu, 06 Oct 2005 00:15:16 -0700, N7ZZT - Eric Oyen
<n7zzt@127.0.0.1> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>Never Anonymous Bud wrote:
>
>> Using a finger dipped in purple ink,
>>
>>> as for the argument against "extra costs": Phoenix Police had an outlay
>>> of $4,000 per radio plus the $27 million invested in contract with
>>> motorola to install the new "trunking" system. A standard trunking system
>>> (using only APCO25 routines and analog FM) runs roughly 1/4 of that and
>>> $500.00 per handheld.
>>
>> You DON'T know much about Motorola radios, do you??
>
>I own a bunch of them. I have, at one time even worked on them.

I had a boss who "worked on them". He didn't know a damned thing
about radio, though.

>to say I don't know anything about motorola would be false. I do, however,
>know more than some

Almost everyone knows more than tree branches.

> and not as much as others.

Evidently not as much as about 50% of people who post here.

BTW, what's "using only APCO25 routines and analog FM"? Something you
invented?

4050. Re: End of a scanning era in Arizona

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-07 06:45:56 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <d56ck1h0gp0j6iut04hl06t1vsun3lafri@4ax.com>
Source record: 11762

On Thu, 06 Oct 2005 00:37:34 -0700, N7ZZT - Eric Oyen
<n7zzt@127.0.0.1> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>Al Klein wrote:
>
>> On Wed, 05 Oct 2005 14:20:09 -0700, N7ZZT - Eric Oyen
>> <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> said in rec.radio.scanner:
>>
>>>Standard APCO25 trunking routines are used to maintain compatibility in
>>>the phoenix metro area with several services, but phoenix police ENCRYPT
>>>their emissions as a matter of course using DES modules programmed into
>>>each handheld. The Encryption algorithm they are using was not (and will
>>>not be) discussed for open (read that as public) consumption.
>>
>> It doesn't have to be - DES is a published standard.
>
>and therein is the rub: DES is breakable. However, the ecnryption routines
>they are using are far in advance of DES. DES is OLD NEWS.

I was only commenting on *YOUR* claim that the *DES* encryption that
they use isn't public.

It would help your case immeasurably if you engaged your brain before
you moved your fingers.

>Also, it appears that this is not a user controllable
>function (according to a half dozen cops I have asked the same questions
>of, they all report that the radios are programmed fro them and that they
>are instructed never to alter that programming).

Oh, sure, ask cops about radio technology. Do you go to a gardener
when you're sick?

>>>as for the argument against "extra costs": Phoenix Police had an outlay of
>>>$4,000 per radio plus the $27 million invested in contract with motorola
>>>to install the new "trunking" system. A standard trunking system (using
>>>only APCO25 routines and analog FM) runs roughly 1/4 of that and $500.00
>>>per handheld.

>> Motorola 800 MHZ handhelds for $500?

>the basic, no frills model ,yes. I have 3.

Read what you wrote - APCO25 trunked radios - that's not your basic,
single channel analog FM radio, which is "no frills". And "APCO25
routines and analog FM" is something Motorola never heard of. APCO25
is a digital format.

4051. Re: End of a scanning era in Arizona

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-07 06:46:48 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <pd6ck196pbokes6n8skqr6o3ou8sbjd2e1@4ax.com>
Source record: 11763

On Thu, 06 Oct 2005 23:17:58 GMT, kashe@sonic.net said in
rec.radio.scanner:

>On Thu, 06 Oct 2005 06:53:06 -0700, pelamela@no.spam wrote:
>
>>you have no business listening-in anyways, but you're too blind to
>>see that, you wannabe cop
>
> Why do you think it's a bad idea for the public to monitor the
>activities of their paid protectors.

I believe the comment addressed the law, not whether it's a good law.

4052. Re: NEW ICom CIV Test Tool (need help if you have an icom radio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-07 07:12:19 UTC
From: Dave <SRPro@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <fk7ck1t4ab5lj52gooe8dh4rnfvnvpia0r@4ax.com>
Source record: 11764
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Fri, 07 Oct 2005 02:16:57 -0400, Al Klein
<rukbat@invalid.net> wrote:

>That's already been figured out - it's a bug disguised as an operating
>system. :)

;v)

It's my bug until I prove otherwise...unfortunately. Some
people say "don't use overlapped I/O when using serial
ports". Others (including Microsoft) say to use it. Who
knows. I'll get it fixed in time by the "puling out hair and
banging my fists on the table" method.

4053. Re: NEW ICom CIV Test Tool (need help if you have an icom radio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-07 17:19:29 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <0cbdk15siiner9qab8k7s1hiskj68vgr27@4ax.com>
Source record: 11765
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Fri, 07 Oct 2005 00:12:19 -0700, Dave <SRPro@nospam.com> said in
alt.radio.scanner:

>It's my bug until I prove otherwise...unfortunately. Some
>people say "don't use overlapped I/O when using serial
>ports". Others (including Microsoft) say to use it. Who
>knows. I'll get it fixed in time by the "puling out hair and
>banging my fists on the table" method.

I've been doing serial I/O (in software) since before BASCOM, yet I've
never come across "overlapped I/O", as a term. Do you mean handling 2
ports simultaneously? What language? How are you doing the I/O?
(ocx? raw code?) (The program I'm currently working on does serial
and internet simultaneously, but that's not the same thing.)

4054. Re: NEW ICom CIV Test Tool (need help if you have an icom radio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-07 17:30:01 UTC
From: "St. John Smythe" <UndisclosedRecipient@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <di6asm$a40$3@n4vu2.n4vu.com>
Source record: 11766
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:
> I've been doing serial I/O (in software) since before BASCOM, yet I've
> never come across "overlapped I/O", as a term. Do you mean handling 2
> ports simultaneously? What language? How are you doing the I/O?
> (ocx? raw code?) (The program I'm currently working on does serial
> and internet simultaneously, but that's not the same thing.)

<http://www.cpupedia.com/definition/overlapped+i_o.aspx>
--
St. John

4055. Re: Pro-2035 frequency coverage?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-07 22:19:00 UTC
From: CommCtr1 <pottsladd13@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ovC1f.27$C62.5@trndny05>
Source record: 11767
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Thanks

4056. Re: NEW ICom CIV Test Tool (need help if you have an icom radio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-08 01:35:54 UTC
From: Dave <SRPro@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <0b8ek1lf1hkmlnvo1l42qsu00k2ce030oh@4ax.com>
Source record: 11768
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Fri, 07 Oct 2005 17:30:01 GMT, "St. John Smythe"
<UndisclosedRecipient@privacy.net> wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:
>> I've been doing serial I/O (in software) since before BASCOM, yet I've
>> never come across "overlapped I/O", as a term. Do you mean handling 2
>> ports simultaneously? What language? How are you doing the I/O?
>> (ocx? raw code?) (The program I'm currently working on does serial
>> and internet simultaneously, but that's not the same thing.)
>
><http://www.cpupedia.com/definition/overlapped+i_o.aspx>

Got it working on Win 2k, XP, Win/Me and Win98. Thanks to
some nice people who volunteered to help test it for me.

Regarding overlapped: If overlapped I/O is not used then
there is no way to cancel an I/O operation because the
thread is blocked. WIN32 has a CancelIO function in the same
API but CancelIO cannot cancel I/O on another thread, only
the same thread. That makes it a real pain in the ass. But
overlapping allows the programmer to break the I/O off and
clean up without having to be in the same thread, AFAIK.
That's why I had to use it in this case.

4057. Re: Antenna Length Formula

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-08 01:46:38 UTC
From: Jerry <grosengr@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <av8ek151gl98t8o2ufsnbip1urnfq7f7nh@4ax.com>
Source record: 11769

On Fri, 07 Oct 2005 02:33:10 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
wrote:

>On Thu, 06 Oct 2005 17:34:46 GMT, "mikeFNB" <mikefnb@moc.dlrowltn>
>said in rec.radio.scanner:
>
>>if it's for RX the imp matters not
>
>That old nonsense again?
>
>SWR isn't directional. Of course impendence matters, unless you don't
>care how much signal you lose between the source and load.

Ah yes, that old nonsense again,

Yes, it is true that you will not damage a receiver with impedance
mismatch, but you will have less signal. Signal budget, system budget,
call it what you may.

And the beat goes on . . . . .

Jerry
ARINC LAXMM

4058. Alinco DJ-X7t

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-08 02:15:44 UTC
From: Bill Amann Jr <wamann@columbus.rr.com>
Message-ID: <kZF1f.1441$Hs.318@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 11770

Does anyone have any info on this new scanner like how fast the scan speed is? I used to have a DJ-X 10 and it was S-L-O-W about 5 channels a second..
Thanks Bill

4059. BCD-396T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-08 04:15:37 UTC
From: "news.vif.com" <root@NoReply-AntiSpam.com>
Message-ID: <1128744951.159531@www.vif.com>
Source record: 11771
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Trashed my PRO 96, I think it Suxs. BC-396T kicks ass.
This scanner makes circles around Pro-96

What peace of useless crap.
-- Proud owner of BCD-396T --

4060. Re: BCD-396T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-08 04:21:40 UTC
From: CommCtr1 <pottsladd13@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <oPH1f.63$tU1.31@trndny07>
Source record: 11772
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Finally a good report on the Uniden!
I have a Pro96, didn't want to buy it, but all I've heard is horror stories about the Unidens.
Sounds like you like yours? The P25 decoding works better than previous models I assume?

4061. Re: NEW ICom CIV Test Tool (need help if you have an icom radio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-08 04:47:33 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <bojek1pfapjj022v9ftk0iv7hof4tf8lnn@4ax.com>
Source record: 11773
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Fri, 07 Oct 2005 18:35:54 -0700, Dave <SRPro@nospam.com> said in
alt.radio.scanner:

>Regarding overlapped: If overlapped I/O is not used then
>there is no way to cancel an I/O operation because the
>thread is blocked. WIN32 has a CancelIO function in the same
>API but CancelIO cannot cancel I/O on another thread, only
>the same thread. That makes it a real pain in the ass. But
>overlapping allows the programmer to break the I/O off and
>clean up without having to be in the same thread, AFAIK.
>That's why I had to use it in this case.

Seems like plain old polled I/O - you can cancel any time the I/O
routine is still in the wait loop. The last time I did that, though,
was under DOS 2.1, so I have no idea if it can still be done in a
Windows API.

4062. Re: Info needed for Regency Touch K-500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-08 05:06:04 UTC
From: John KB5AG <myname@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4fb66$434753c0$943fa0f6$17717@STARBAND.NET>
Source record: 11774
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Thanks, Bob. The nicads were missing and the compartment corroded when I
got it. I assumed a 9v battery was the backup, but obviously I was wrong!

John

"Bob Parnass" <not-for-mail@fake.invalid> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.10.06.01.24.03.188929@fake.invalid...
> On Tue, 04 Oct 2005 18:07:24 -0400, John KB5AG wrote:
>
> > .. And, I can't get the backup battery to do anything other than die
> > in a day, so something's wrong there.
> >
> > Any help appreciated.
> >
> > john KB5AG
>
> The K-500 was designed to use four rechargeable
> AA NiCd batteries for memory backup. The scanner must remain connected
> to a voltage source for several hours to keep the batteries charged.
>
> Inspect the batteries once in a while to make sure they haven't
> leaked. Another caveat is that plastic battery holder inside the radio
> can crack due to pressure from the spring contacts. Mine cracked.
>
> --
> ==
> Bob Parnass, AJ9S GNU/Linux User http://parnass.com
>

4063. Re: BCD-396T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-08 05:18:55 UTC
From: "news.vif.com" <root@NoReply-AntiSpam.com>
Message-ID: <1128748745.591742@www.vif.com>
Source record: 11775
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

CommCtr1 wrote:

> Finally a good report on the Uniden!
> I have a Pro96, didn't want to buy it, but all I've heard is horror stories
> about the Unidens.
> Sounds like you like yours? The P25 decoding works better than previous
> models I assume?
>

I've owned both Uniden 380XLT/296D/and now BCD-396T. All reviews I've
reed on both 296D and 396T, they came on top.
5500 Channels (never run out of room) & includes 3 recharable batteries
belt clip, software (d/l from uniden which suxs, ARC396T way to go)

Their are some issues /w 296D need be addressed & to fix (APOC-25),
doesn't sound all great @ times and garble.

Hopefully uniden comes out /w europe version soon.

John Medica
System & Network administrator
Listening-Post
Toronto Ontario, Canada

4064. trunktracking with IC-R20?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-08 05:43:03 UTC
From: zarro <tages@adres.nl>
Message-ID: <1128750183.494678.177990@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11776

I just bought an Icom IC-R20. great reciever, except that most of the police radios around here use the trunking system. Anyone know how this type of radio can be used to track trunking calls? is there any software out there to make programming easier? I hope I won't have to but two different scanners...

4065. Re: KRAKULA - LQQK !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-08 06:00:12 UTC
From: Scan Da Bands <vzeip5vc@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <b1oek1hgdau0r2e459pgm1dp32146iq1ms@4ax.com>
Source record: 11777

Nah that's quite allright......got it with a bunch of
Optoelectonics/Icom PCR stuff......maybe I'll "fire it up" and let
you know ...later man....

On Thu, 06 Oct 2005 14:30:36 -0700, krackula <krackula@i.am> wrote:

>
>would that be for their Trackplot product or their GPS maps /
>software ? or ? if their GPS products, then Magellan stuff is
>supposed to be a substitute.
>
>I'm not familiar with that SM number , sorry doooooooode.
>
>
>
>
>On Thu, 06 Oct 2005 06:17:49 GMT, Scan Da Bands <vzeip5vc@verizon.net>
>wrote:
>
>>You familiar with Atlantic Electronics software at all.....this is a
>>disk from 1995 and it's called SM-1073PC-W ?????????????

4066. Re: BCD-396T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-08 08:28:02 UTC
From: Andrew Kenna <akenna01@iprimus.com.au>
Message-ID: <lpL1f.321$Tu1.11286@nnrp1.ozemail.com.au>
Source record: 11778
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

how about giving some examples instead of bolding saying it sucks... that
way your claim will have some credibility..

If your unable to make any examples you will be categorised a tosser

Andrew

"news.vif.com" <root@NoReply-AntiSpam.com> wrote in message
news:1128744951.159531@www.vif.com...
> Trashed my PRO 96, I think it Suxs. BC-396T kicks ass.
> This scanner makes circles around Pro-96
>
> What peace of useless crap.
> -- Proud owner of BCD-396T --

4067. Re: BCD-396T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-08 10:00:04 UTC
From: "news.vif.com" <root@NoReply-AntiSpam.com>
Message-ID: <1128765614.343995@www.vif.com>
Source record: 11779
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Andrew Kenna wrote:

> how about giving some examples instead of bolding saying it sucks... that
> way your claim will have some credibility..
>
Screw the credibility, plain & simple (PRO-96 suxs). People say its
the best thing out, oh please (mistake).

4068. www.liveboard.8my.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-08 10:47:33 UTC
From: thegreat_lover2003@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128768453.042123.200660@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11780

Hello Friend

You are invited to join www.liveboard.8my.com

There you can discuss / port on various topics like Poems, Love,
Romance, Flirting, Quotes, Shayris Movies, Music Personal Problem
Discussions,, Computers & Internet (Hacking at security end),
Animations, Business Employment and lots more.

Register at www.liveboard.8my.com which is absolutely free.

See you there

Note: This is not SPAM

4069. Re: NEW ICom CIV Test Tool (need help if you have an icom radio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-08 11:41:52 UTC
From: Dave <SRPro@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <nmbfk11hk3jih8pj1tdqhs29qraf9vdml0@4ax.com>
Source record: 11781
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Sat, 08 Oct 2005 00:47:33 -0400, Al Klein
<rukbat@invalid.net> wrote:

>Seems like plain old polled I/O - you can cancel any time the I/O
>routine is still in the wait loop. The last time I did that, though,
>was under DOS 2.1, so I have no idea if it can still be done in a
>Windows API.

You can poll the serial port in Windows but it's not as
efficient as waiting for an event to happen while sleeping.
Normally, Windows wakes you up when the char arrives at the
serial port.

The way I set mine up is to issue a read of one byte while
in a loop. The loop is sleeping on the read command until
the char arrives. There is no CPU needed to poll since the
loop is asleep.

It's not a very easy to use API as I just found out but I
only have to write it and debug it once. Now that I have
something that works, I could send yo the source (in C++) if
you want to see what it looks like. It's a C++ class called
CComPort and it has 2 commands, ReadRxBuffer and
TransmitData. Both can be used simultaneously from different
threads without interfering with each other. It's quite nice
because I can use it for anything that needs to access the
serial ports without having to rewrite anything.

Well, it's late. I better try to get to sleep now. (4:40 am
Pacific time). I'm up late as usual.

4070. Re: BCD-396T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-08 15:30:49 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <DNGdnQbHyKWse9reRVn-qQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 11782
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

What actual issues did you have with the PRO-96?

Bill Crocker

"news.vif.com" <root@NoReply-AntiSpam.com> wrote in message
news:1128765614.343995@www.vif.com...
>
>
> Andrew Kenna wrote:
>
>> how about giving some examples instead of bolding saying it sucks... that
>> way your claim will have some credibility..
>>
> Screw the credibility, plain & simple (PRO-96 suxs). People say its
> the best thing out, oh please (mistake).

4071. Re: Airport Freq.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-08 15:51:49 UTC
From: kla1899 <spam@ftc.gov>
Message-ID: <Xns96E9799ECDE24nottodaycom@130.81.64.196>
Source record: 11783

"Glenan" <glenan@comcast.net> wrote in
news:NPmdnZ-NWoolm43eRVn-jw@comcast.com:

> Hi all:
>
> I just purchased my first scanner in hopes of getting into a new
> hobby. With this in mind, I will apologize in advance if some of my
> questions seem "elementary"
>
> I am also interested in aviation but cannot seem to locate a good
> listing of airport frequencies. I am assuming that airports all
> operate on one specific frequency for a great deal of their
> communications with the aircraft. Could someone please give me these
> frequencies or direct me to a website where I could get them?
>
> Also, I have been told that purchasing a frequency "guide" would be a
> waste of my money. Could I please have your opinion that would
> include a good source of frequencies?
>
> I live in South Florida, Broward county to be exact, and would also be
> interested in a local "club".
>
> Thank you in advance fir any help you may be able to give me.
> Glenn
>
>

Don't mined the ass hole's Glenn, theirs at least 4 or 5 in every news
group.. They have no life so they razzz people to make them selfs look
big.. Just set your news reader to ignore them.. And to answer your
quest this might help.

http://www.airnav.com/

and

http://www.milaircomms.com/index.html

If you need any other help just ask us at
http://www.scannertesting.com We are happy to help a novice in the hobby
:-)

--
kla1899

4072. Re: trunktracking with IC-R20?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-08 16:08:15 UTC
From: DougSlug <dougslug@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Q9S1f.12679$Ge5.10908@fe10.lga>
Source record: 11784

Assuming they are analog trunked systems, you can still listen--you just won't have the ability to track the conversations by talk group. Since the R20 has lots of memory banks, you can assign just the frequencies for a particular system to one bank, then scan that bank or scan in bank-link mode to scan multiple systems. You will have to mark the control channels using the PSKIP function to avoid always stopping on them (and blasting you with the horrible digital hash).

Scanners with trunk-tracking will allow you to set up and label talk groups, then follow conversations by talk group so you can understand what's going on better. They will also automatically find and skip the control channels. The drawback with using a conventional scanner for listening to trunked systems is that the control channel often skips around to different channels, and as a result you have to manually mark them for skipping and remember to clear the skip markers for the previously used channels so you don't miss anything.

I assume you didn't find out about the use of trunked systems in your area until after you bought the R20...that sucks. One of the often repeated pieces of advice given in these scanner groups is to research the comms in your area before deciding on a scanner to buy. I know that isn't helpful for you now, but look at it this way: now you have a good reason to go out and buy another scanner!

Regarding software for the R20: I suggest joining the Yahoo!Groups "IC-R20" group. There you will find some software approaches for easier programming using just a standard USB cable. Also look here:

http://pages.videotron.com/scanman/R20Page/R20page.htm

- Doug

"zarro" <tages@adres.nl> wrote in message
news:1128750183.494678.177990@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>I just bought an Icom IC-R20. great reciever, except that most of the
> police radios around here use the trunking system. Anyone know how this
> type of radio can be used to track trunking calls? is there any
> software out there to make programming easier? I hope I won't have to
> but two different scanners...
>

4073. Re: KRAKULA - LQQK !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-08 18:08:48 UTC
From: Steve Uhrig <Steve@swssec.com>
Message-ID: <vf2gk1d43rjbl03h8l6ss51en64l07393e@4ax.com>
Source record: 11785

On Thu, 06 Oct 2005 06:17:49 GMT, Scan Da Bands <vzeip5vc@verizon.net>
wrote:

> You familiar with Atlantic Electronics software at all.....this is a
> disk from 1995 and it's called SM-1073PC-W ?????????????

Atlantic Electronics is a Silver Spring, Maryland company who makes
primarily signal monitor accessories for receivers for government
agencies. They also make other types of special purpose devices all as
accessories to receivers, as well as line of antenna multicouplers.

The products are designed and sold exclusively by www.cse-assoc.com,
and he generally deals only with government.

The Atlantic Electronic products are superb, often copied.

The software, I believe, is to port the I.F. of the receiver over to a
laptop for an on-screen analysis. The hardware boxes all have CRTs or
LCDs for display.

Regards .... Steve

****
Steve Uhrig, SWS Security, Maryland (USA)
Mfrs of electronic surveillance equip
mailto:Steve@swssec.com website http://www.swssec.com
tel +1+410-879-4035, fax +1+410-836-1190
"In God we trust, all others we monitor"
****

4074. Re: NEW ICom CIV Test Tool (need help if you have an icom radio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-08 18:24:48 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <gj3gk1lrsv52rs7dpdlinrkepfhvinpkjp@4ax.com>
Source record: 11786
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Sat, 08 Oct 2005 04:41:52 -0700, Dave <SRPro@nospam.com> said in
alt.radio.scanner:

>It's not a very easy to use API as I just found out but I
>only have to write it and debug it once. Now that I have
>something that works, I could send yo the source (in C++) if
>you want to see what it looks like. It's a C++ class called
>CComPort and it has 2 commands, ReadRxBuffer and
>TransmitData. Both can be used simultaneously from different
>threads without interfering with each other. It's quite nice
>because I can use it for anything that needs to access the
>serial ports without having to rewrite anything.

I have a control that works pretty much the same way, except that the
Tx command can't be recalled - but I don't think I'll be needing that
capability.

I wouldn't mind having the code anyway, just in case. If you'd send
it to "Al at Webdingers dot com" (standard munging), please?

4075. Re: BCD-396T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-08 18:30:36 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <up3gk1le1ehoqbptriaqtcb883vg2j1nm9@4ax.com>
Source record: 11787
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 08 Oct 2005 04:21:40 GMT, "CommCtr1" <pottsladd13@yahoo.com>
said in alt.radio.scanner:

>Sounds like you like yours? The P25 decoding works better than previous
>models I assume?

That area could still use some work. The attack time on the AGC is
still way too long. It still has problems with systems that aren't
set up in the standard manner, both 3600 baud and 9600 baud. And the
little speaker in the little plastic box still sounds like a little
speaker in a little plastic box (which a good external communications
speaker fixes).

But it still has features that the Pro-96 lacks and the total price is
a bit lower (batteries, wall wart, cable, software).

Oh, and it has a real belt clip, not something that's only good enough
to allow the scanner to fall off your belt.

All in all, I'd say the 396 is the better buy.

4076. Re: KRAKULA - LQQK !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-08 18:44:39 UTC
From: krackula <krackula@i.am>
Message-ID: <bj3gk1h32falrsvtk8vk17epeooev24a04@4ax.com>
Source record: 11788

On Sat, 08 Oct 2005 18:08:48 GMT, Steve Uhrig <Steve@swssec.com>
wrote:

>On Thu, 06 Oct 2005 06:17:49 GMT, Scan Da Bands <vzeip5vc@verizon.net>
>wrote:
>
>> You familiar with Atlantic Electronics software at all.....this is a
>> disk from 1995 and it's called SM-1073PC-W ?????????????
>
>Atlantic Electronics is a Silver Spring, Maryland company who makes
>primarily signal monitor accessories for receivers for government
>agencies. They also make other types of special purpose devices all as
>accessories to receivers, as well as line of antenna multicouplers.
>
>The products are designed and sold exclusively by www.cse-assoc.com,
>and he generally deals only with government.
>
>The Atlantic Electronic products are superb, often copied.
>
>The software, I believe, is to port the I.F. of the receiver over to a
>laptop for an on-screen analysis. The hardware boxes all have CRTs or
>LCDs for display.
>
>Regards .... Steve
>

thanks for that info about one of the many companies named Atlantic
Electronics Steve. the only company, by that name, I was familiar
with (with software) was the well known one that has nautical
navigation / gps tracking hardware and software. their navigation /
tracking software is widely used , and VERY expensive ! I know
several people that use it myself. http://www.ael.ca/index.php

then there is also the well known Atlantic Electronics
from Palm Beach, Fla ..... but as far as I know , they only have
electronics parts and services and don't sell software products.
http://www.atlantic-electronics.com/ , not sure about that , tho.

of course there are 1000s more companies with that name , but those
two are the only ones I routinely run across.

I'll make a note of those Atlantic Electronics products at CSE .

cheers
k

4077. Re: trunktracking with IC-R20?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-08 18:51:41 UTC
From: zarro <tages@adres.nl>
Message-ID: <1128797501.194777.137130@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11789

Thanks Doug.
So far, only one agency in this area use the digital trunked system, so at this point I am dealing with analog. However,I suspect that more and more agencies will use the digital system in the future. The R-20 will be nice for rural scanning, and I'm not sorry I bought it--good for shortwave also. I knew at some point I would have to buy a digital,anyways.

4078. Norfolk, VA? websites...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-08 20:05:35 UTC
From: Paone@nonesorry.com
Message-ID: <jEV1f.13016$0b3.5517@fe09.news.easynews.com>
Source record: 11790

Anyone have frequencies for Norfolk, VA?
Also, any good websites for finding frequencies?
TIA

4079. Re: Norfolk, VA? websites...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-08 20:45:54 UTC
From: Buzzygirl <buzzygirl@nospam.comcast.net>
Message-ID: <2KCdne2DzpidrdXeRVn-gA@comcast.com>
Source record: 11791

<Paone@nonesorry.com> wrote in message
news:jEV1f.13016$0b3.5517@fe09.news.easynews.com...
> Anyone have frequencies for Norfolk, VA?

http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&stid=51

> Also, any good websites for finding frequencies?

I was going to suggest www.cityfreq.com, but the site appears not to be
working...

http://www.radioreference.com/
http://www.nationalradiodata.com/

Enjoy

Jackie

4080. help with pro 2035 discrim output

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-08 23:19:11 UTC
From: hongbits@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1128813551.152639.119500@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11792

hi all, i have read all i can find (which is prob not as much as most of you out there but hey , its a start) about the place to "tap" but i am not too sure which pin that would be . does anyone have a pic of a modded 2035 or have a visual aid to which pin/pins i should attach my wires too. any help would be great on this and i do meany "ANY" thanks for taking the time to read this and thanks in advance for any help.

hb...

4081. Re: am/narrow-fm/wide-fm/lsb/usb what else?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-09 01:17:44 UTC
From: Dave Holford <holford@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <43486FB8.1B7135A3@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 11793

Al Klein wrote:

> On Thu, 06 Oct 2005 20:37:32 -0700, matt weber <matthewww50@cox.net>
> said in rec.radio.scanner:
>
> >On Wed, 05 Oct 2005 21:18:23 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
> >wrote:
> >
> >>On Tue, 04 Oct 2005 10:39:59 GMT, nospam@nospam.com (Peter Newman)
> >>said in rec.radio.scanner:
> >>
> >>>On 29 Sep 2005 21:18:12 -0700, kc7jfv@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>
> >>>>there has to be some other modes that normal scanners wouldn't decode.
> >>>>any thoughts?
> >>
> >>>ISB, DSB ?
> >>
> >>>Any receiver that will receive SSB will also receive DSB, since both
> >>>sidebands are the same. ISB too, but only 1 at a time.
> >>Only if the IF is narrow enough to keep the other sideband out,
> >>otherwise you get both at once.....
> >
> A SSB receiver is one that has a bandwidth narrow enough to receive
> only 1 sideband. If it's 6 KHz wide it's a DSB receiver. (Yes,
> almost any ham receiver is either SSB or DSB, depending on the filter
> you have running at the moment.)
>
> Of course finding DSBSC signals on the air today is a bit difficult.
> (They used to be much more prevalent, but ARC5s are expensive antiques
> today, not cheap transmitters. And is there still 1 operational
> DSB-100?)

You can't assume that any filter over 3kHz or so is symetrical about the
'carrier' frequency just because that is the normal configuation of consumer
equipment.

Just for fun I am currently listening to an LSB conversation on 3763 kHz with
a 7.5 kHz filter and I cannot hear any sign of the conversation on 3765 - but,
the noise is a bit higher due to the wider filter. I wouldn't normally do this
but just had to try it. Of course, if I select DSB or ISB I get both signals,
although the 3765 conversation is inverted and shifted in frequency.

I don't know how much DSB is still on the air but a quick google search for
"double side band' gets over 12 million hits. It may not be encountered in

normal voice communications on HF and scanner frequencies but it is hiding in other places and frequencies from a quick look at some of the hits.

Dave

4082. Re: BCD-396T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-09 05:03:32 UTC
From: Flu Panic <flupanic@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <139hk11j8pqllbe47s7022dja8oe5gd7ra@4ax.com>
Source record: 11794
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 08 Oct 2005 04:21:40 GMT, "CommCtr1" <pottsladd13@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>Finally a good report on the Uniden!
>I have a Pro96, didn't want to buy it, but all I've heard is horror stories
>about the Unidens.
>Sounds like you like yours? The P25 decoding works better than previous
>models I assume?
>

Sure 396T is great as long as you listen to only ONE APCO25 system.

If you program multiple systems, you have to constantly fiddle with
the settings to hear anything with decent audio.

The audio still SUCKS on uniden products.

PITA.

4083. Re: BCD-396T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-09 06:40:07 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <2pehk1tsv2l1o1a9pgk0fn8q85vuojf7ik@4ax.com>
Source record: 11795
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 08 Oct 2005 22:03:32 -0700, Flu Panic <flupanic@gmail.com>
said in alt.radio.scanner:

>Sure 396T is great as long as you listen to only ONE APCO25 system.

>If you program multiple systems, you have to constantly fiddle with
>the settings to hear anything with decent audio.

Unless you actually know what you're doing. Each system setting is
separate.

4084. Re: am/narrow-fm/wide-fm/lsb/usb what else?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-09 06:44:52 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <qqehk1hcr4n076ac54bi6nrgvqu8jabn1c@4ax.com>
Source record: 11796

On Sat, 08 Oct 2005 21:17:44 -0400, Dave Holford
<holford@sympatico.ca> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>You can't assume that any filter over 3kHz or so is symetrical about the
>'carrier' frequency just because that is the normal configuation of consumer
>equipment.

You can't assume that any given SSB signal will fit in a 3 KHz
bandwidth, just because all current signals do.

But I can assume that all filters currently in production are
symmetrical about the center frequency within 10% - because they all
are.

>Just for fun I am currently listening to an LSB conversation on 3763 kHz with
>a 7.5 kHz filter and I cannot hear any sign of the conversation on 3765 - but,
>the noise is a bit higher due to the wider filter. I wouldn't normally do this
>but just had to try it. Of course, if I select DSB or ISB I get both signals,
>although the 3765 conversation is inverted and shifted in frequency.

With what receiver and which filter? What kind of detector? I can
design any number of receivers that will do what you claim, but that
doesn't negate the fact that the standard filtered superhet SSB
receiver will receive a signal on the "wrong" sideband if the filter
is wide enough and the detector is set correctly.

>I don't know how much DSB is still on the air but a quick google search for
>"double side band' gets over 12 million hits. It may not be encountered in
>normal voice communications on HF and scanner frequencies but it is hiding in
>other places and frequencies from a quick look at some of the hits.

I said DSBSC, not DSB. There's loads of DSB on the air today, it's
just not DSBSC.

4085. Re: help with pro 2035 discrim output

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-09 06:49:06 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <59fhk1lmm3vvb815scrtagvo6fi3nfd6pf@4ax.com>
Source record: 11797

On 8 Oct 2005 16:19:11 -0700, hongbits@gmail.com said in rec.radio.scanner:

>hi all, i have read all i can find (which is prob not as much as most >of you out there but hey , its a start) about the place to "tap" but i >am not too sure which pin that would be . does anyone have a pic of a >modded 2035 or have a visual aid to which pin/pins i should attach my >wires too. any help would be great on this and i do meany "ANY" thanks >for taking the time to read this and thanks in advance for any help.

You take the tap from IC2 (a TK-10420), pin 9. Sorry, but I have no pictures.

4086. Re: BCD-396T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-09 08:00:17 UTC
From: "news.vif.com" <root@NoReply-AntiSpam.com>
Message-ID: <1128844828.365028@www.vif.com>
Source record: 11798
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

```
>
> The audio still SUCKS on uniden products.
>
> PITA.
>
Your an idiot. Firmware update fixed all that.
--
```

4087. Software to decode the 1090MHz tone-bursts aircraft transmit ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-09 08:42:00 UTC
From: Andy100 <andy100@aog.com>
Message-ID: <dial47$8jk$1@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 11799

I guess i'm right in thinking the answer would be NO for this but, does anyone know if there is any software to decode the pulse tone sent out on 1090MHz by most aircraft. I know there is a company who sell a unit for
£500, which decodes onto a radar screen, this is what made me think that there might be a bit more involved than just getting a hold of 'Free Software' !.

Anyway, just like we can now decode pager messages, ACARS etc, i thought maybe somewhere this software existed. I don't mean free software which will place the aircraft on a radar screen, but just simple software which would at least decode what flight number the aircraft was at least ?. I can pick the signals up no bother, would be interesting to decode them !!

Cheers
Andy

4088. Frequency lists (mainly data Pocsag, Baudot, SW, VHF/UHF etc etc) ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-09 08:52:34 UTC
From: Andy100 <andy100@aog.com>
Message-ID: <dialo1lej1@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 11800

I have just purchased a unit off e-bay called the "Universal M-400 Decoder". It supposedly decodes POCSAG (pager messaging), AUTOR, GOLAY, SUPOCS, DTMF, DCS, CTCSS, SWED-ARQ, FEC-A, ASCII, BAUDOT, FAX messages.

I have only been able to decode ACARS messages (Aircraft) up to now. Mainly due to the fact that i cannot find out any frequencies for the above types of broadcast. I have googled, but just keep getting sites which are either out of date, not there any longer or don't have any detailed info there.

I'd be eternally greatful if anyone knows of any links to websites which have a list of the types of broadcast listed above (both SW and VHF/UHF etc). I do have a SW frequency list, but it's 1994 and is way out of date. Even generally where abouts on the frequency spectrum to look would be handy !, i just don't have a clue.

Many Thanks
Andrew

4089. Re: help with pro 2035 discrim output

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-09 09:41:47 UTC
From: Brengsek! <pilatus@herejezus.nl>
Message-ID: <j9phk1h8irfoob1ftdjnnucccb9qmq7d2n@brengsek.invalid>
Source record: 11652

On 8 Oct 2005 16:19:11 -0700, hongbits@gmail.com wrote:

>hi all, i have read all i can find (which is prob not as much as most
>of you out there but hey , its a start) about the place to "tap" but i
>am not too sure which pin that would be . does anyone have a pic of a
>modded 2035 or have a visual aid to which pin/pins i should attach my
>wires too. any help would be great on this and i do meany "ANY" thanks
>for taking the time to read this and thanks in advance for any help.

The PRO-2035 is similar to the PRO-2042. See
http://www.discriminator.nl/pro-2042/index-en.html

Rene

--
Boldly going forward, 'cause we can't find reverse

4090. Yupiteru MVT-8000 user manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-09 09:43:28 UTC
From: Smeghead <smegheadozAThotmail.com>
Message-ID: <4348e462$0$12114$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 11651

Does anyone have a copy of the user manual for a Yupiteru MVT-8000 they could email me? Thanks in advance.

smegheadozAThotmail.com. Replace the AT with @.

4091. Plectron group established

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-09 12:15:41 UTC
From: "Richard Carlson, N9JIG" <usenet@usenet.net>
Message-ID: <usenet-83BE03.07154109102005@comcast.dca.giganews.com>
Source record: 11653

For those interested in Plectron and other alert receivers (like
Minitors, Veetronix Federals etc.) I have started a Yahoo Group called
"Plectron" at

http://www.groups.yahoo.com/group/Plectron

Enjoy!

Rich Carlson, N9JIG

4092. Re: Frequency lists (mainly data Pocsag, Baudot, SW, VHF/UHF etc etc) ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-09 14:13:41 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery28146@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <pA92f.11385$vw6.9760@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 11654

"Andy100" <andy100@aog.com> wrote in message
news:dialo1lej1@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk...
> I have just purchased a unit off e-bay called the "Universal M-400
Decoder".
> It supposedly decodes POCSAG (pager messaging), AUTOR, GOLAY, SUPOCS,
DTMF,
> DCS, CTCSS, SWED-ARQ, FEC-A, ASCII, BAUDOT, FAX messages.
>
> I have only been able to decode ACARS messages (Aircraft) up to now.
Mainly
> due to the fact that i cannot find out any frequencies for the above types
> of broadcast. I have googled, but just keep getting sites which are either
> out of date, not there any longer or don't have any detailed info there.

The pocsag can be found around 150 to 155 mhz or so. The DTMF is the touchtones on your telephone. Very seldom used on the radio. Hams use it to control some repeaters but it is seldom used. CTCSS is the subaudio tones often found on many vhf 2-way transmitters. Not really much to decode other than the rewquency they are using. You probably have to tap off the 'discriminator' to get them as the start around 88 hz and quit around 250 hz. Thsi low frequency audio will not pass most audio stages in the scanner.
Baudot is seldom found outside the ham bands. Look around 14.080 to 14.100 mhz . It will be 60 wpm and 170 hz shift. Set the receiver for LSB and you may have to set the decoder to the Reverse position. I am not familiar with that converter.

4093. Re: am/narrow-fm/wide-fm/lsb/usb what else?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-09 14:50:22 UTC
From: Dave Holford <holford@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <43492E2E.B61F2809@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 11655

Al Klein wrote:

> On Sat, 08 Oct 2005 21:17:44 -0400, Dave Holford
> <holford@sympatico.ca> said in rec.radio.scanner:
>
> >You can't assume that any filter over 3kHz or so is symetrical about the
> >'carrier' frequency just because that is the normal configuation of consumer
> >equipment.
>
> You can't assume that any given SSB signal will fit in a 3 KHz
> bandwidth, just because all current signals do.
>
> But I can assume that all filters currently in production are
> symmetrical about the center frequency within 10% - because they all
> are.
>

Certainly most current crystal filters are symmetrical about the centre frequency, as are most people symmetrical about the centre (externally at least, and I'm not going to quibble about the orientation since I'm sure you know what I mean) - I wrote 'carrier' frequency.

I'm not sure about current mechanicals (somewhere I have an old Collins filter catalog with asymmetrical SSB curves).

>
> >Just for fun I am currently listening to an LSB conversation on 3763 kHz with
> >a 7.5 kHz filter and I cannot hear any sign of the conversation on 3765 - but,
> >the noise is a bit higher due to the wider filter. I wouldn't normally do this
> >but just had to try it. Of course, if I select DSB or ISB I get both signals,
> >although the 3765 conversation is inverted and shifted in frequency.
>
> With what receiver and which filter? What kind of detector? I can
> design any number of receivers that will do what you claim, but that
> doesn't negate the fact that the standard filtered superhet SSB
> receiver will receive a signal on the "wrong" sideband if the filter
> is wide enough and the detector is set correctly.
>

As I stated in my first posting, a Winradio.

The rest of the paragraph is true if you are talking about 'the standard filtered superhet SSB receiver' provided that it is also fitted with AM filters which can be used in the SSB mode - not universally true. I can do it with my TS-450 but not my IC-706 for example. Not all receivers have the ability to select mode and filter independently.

>
> >I don't know how much DSB is still on the air but a quick google search for
> >"double side band' gets over 12 million hits. It may not be encountered in
> >normal voice communications on HF and scanner frequencies but it is hiding in
> >other places and frequencies from a quick look at some of the hits.
>
> I said DSBSC, not DSB. There's loads of DSB on the air today, it's
> just not DSBSC.

True, my mistake in assuming DSBRC or DSBSC.

Here I am trying to make a simple point that one should not assume that just because a situation is common in one's own experience it is universal; and I make an assumption - Hoist with my own Petard!

And, I can't help noting how a simple point can grow into a complex discussion of differing views - I guess that's how politics and the law got started!

Dave

4094. scanner comparison

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-09 14:52:44 UTC
From: Pat <pate24@mts.net>
Message-ID: <39a2f.2190$lb7.24547@news1.mts.net>
Source record: 11656

Hi

Thinking of buying a new scanner for both civil and miltary aircraft.

Options are:

1) Alinco Dj-x3
2) Icom IC-r5
3) Yeasu VR-120D

Any comments?

Thanks
Pat

4095. Re: BCD-396T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-09 14:55:16 UTC
From: junkman18 <junkman18@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <oba2f.21$tV6.7@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 11657
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Because someone needs help does not mean they are a idiot. It just means
you are a ass hole for saying that !!
We are here to try to help each other not to be a prick to each other
>>
> Your an idiot. Firmware update fixed all that.
> --

4096. Re: BCD-396T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-09 15:41:50 UTC
From: Simon Templar <usenet@vk3xem.net>
Message-ID: <43493a3e$1_2@news.melbourne.pipenetworks.com>
Source record: 11658
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

junkman18 wrote:
> Because someone needs help does not mean they are a idiot. It just means
> you are a ass hole for saying that !!
> We are here to try to help each other not to be a prick to each other
>
>>Your an idiot. Firmware update fixed all that.

Here in Australia it is spelt *arse* not ass! :P

--
The views I present are my own and NOT of any organisation I belong to.

73 de Simon, VK3XEM.
http://www.aca.gov.au/pls/radcom/client_search.client_lookup?pCLIENT_NO=157452
VoIP http://www.TALKonIP.com.au/
Domain Hosting http://www.GizNet.com/

4097. Re: scanner comparison

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-09 16:57:51 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com>
Message-ID: <j_b2f.11867$QE1.304@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 11659

If those 3 are the ONLY ones to consider, I would go with the Yaesu...

"Pat" <pate24@mts.net> wrote in message
news:39a2f.2190$lb7.24547@news1.mts.net...
> Hi
>
> Thinking of buying a new scanner for both civil and miltary aircraft.
>
> Options are:
>
> 1) Alinco Dj-x3
> 2) Icom IC-r5
> 3) Yeasu VR-120D
>
> Any comments?
>
> Thanks
> Pat
>
>

4098. Re: BCD-396T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-09 18:24:54 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <22oik1l8a93jb1064njqhn97kakgb4quuu@4ax.com>
Source record: 11660
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 10 Oct 2005 01:41:50 +1000, Simon Templar <usenet@vk3xem.net>
said in alt.radio.scanner:

>junkman18 wrote:
>> Because someone needs help does not mean they are a idiot. It just means
>> you are a ass hole for saying that !!
>> We are here to try to help each other not to be a prick to each other
>>
>>>Your an idiot. Firmware update fixed all that.
>
>Here in Australia it is spelt *arse* not ass! :P

Here it's spelled America, not Australia.

Or don't you know that "ass" and "arse" are different words?

4099. Re: Frequency lists (mainly data Pocsag, Baudot, SW, VHF/UHF etc etc) ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-09 18:27:47 UTC
From: Andy100 <andy100@aog.com>
Message-ID: <dibnebmjp1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 11661

Thanks Ralph, i'll have a go at that. That helps a lot !

Kind Regards
Andrew

"Ralph Mowery" <rmowery28146@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:pA92f.11385$vw6.9760@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "Andy100" <andy100@aog.com> wrote in message
> news:dialo1lej1@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk...
> > I have just purchased a unit off e-bay called the "Universal M-400
> Decoder".
> > It supposedly decodes POCSAG (pager messaging), AUTOR, GOLAY, SUPOCS,
> DTMF,
> > DCS, CTCSS, SWED-ARQ, FEC-A, ASCII, BAUDOT, FAX messages.
> >
> > I have only been able to decode ACARS messages (Aircraft) up to now.
> Mainly
> > due to the fact that i cannot find out any frequencies for the above
types
> > of broadcast. I have googled, but just keep getting sites which are
either
> > out of date, not there any longer or don't have any detailed info there.
>
> The pocsag can be found around 150 to 155 mhz or so. The DTMF is the
> touchtones on your telephone. Very seldom used on the radio. Hams use it
> to control some repeaters but it is seldom used. CTCSS is the subaudio
> tones often found on many vhf 2-way transmitters. Not really much to
decode
> other than the rewquency they are using. You probably have to tap off the
> 'discriminator' to get them as the start around 88 hz and quit around 250
> hz. Thsi low frequency audio will not pass most audio stages in the
> scanner.
> Baudot is seldom found outside the ham bands. Look around 14.080 to
14.100
> mhz . It will be 60 wpm and 170 hz shift. Set the receiver for LSB and
you
> may have to set the decoder to the Reverse position. I am not familiar
with
> that converter.
>
>

4100. Re: am/narrow-fm/wide-fm/lsb/usb what else?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-09 18:33:39 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <b4oik19m38ld9jpvg69tpvlv2durcjmjfl@4ax.com>
Source record: 11662

On Sun, 09 Oct 2005 10:50:22 -0400, Dave Holford
<holford@sympatico.ca> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>Al Klein wrote:

>> But I can assume that all filters currently in production are
>> symmetrical about the center frequency within 10% - because they all
>> are.

>Certainly most current crystal filters

Mechanical filters, ceramic filters, lumped-constant filters, op-amp
filters ... any discrete piece of hardware that's supposed to pass a
band of frequencies in the IF of a modern (within the last 40 years or
so) receiver.

>are symmetrical about the centre frequency,
>as are most people symmetrical about the centre (externally at least, and I'm not
>going to quibble about the orientation since I'm sure you know what I mean) - I
>wrote 'carrier' frequency.

If you put the carrier (no need for tics - carrier whether suppressed
or not) at the center of the filter's bandpass, the filter is
symmetrical about the carrier - if you put the carrier outside the
bandpass of the filter are you going to claim that bandpass filters
don't pass signals? The filter is symmetrical about any signal
centered in the bandpass if the filter has a symmetrical bandpass - by
definition.

>I'm not sure about current mechanicals (somewhere I have an old Collins filter
>catalog with asymmetrical SSB curves).

Within 10%? None of mine were ever that distorted, although some of
the Collins filters were about the most asymmetrical filters I've ever
seen. They were designed that way. But the receivers were designed
to work with "asymmetrical" filters.

>> >Just for fun I am currently listening to an LSB conversation on 3763 kHz with
>> >a 7.5 kHz filter and I cannot hear any sign of the conversation on 3765 - but,
>> >the noise is a bit higher due to the wider filter. I wouldn't normally do this
>> >but just had to try it. Of course, if I select DSB or ISB I get both signals,
>> >although the 3765 conversation is inverted and shifted in frequency.

>> With what receiver and which filter? What kind of detector? I can
>> design any number of receivers that will do what you claim, but that
>> doesn't negate the fact that the standard filtered superhet SSB
>> receiver will receive a signal on the "wrong" sideband if the filter

>> is wide enough and the detector is set correctly.

>As I stated in my first posting, a Winradio.

Oh, you mean that a DSP is capable of not hearing the opposite
sideband. Nothing to do with filters. "Filtering" isn't always done
by "filters".

>The rest of the paragraph is true if you are talking about 'the standard filtered
>superhet SSB receiver' provided that it is also fitted with AM filters which can be
>used in the SSB mode - not universally true. I can do it with my TS-450 but not my
>IC-706 for example. Not all receivers have the ability to select mode and filter
>independently.

And my Honda can't receive ISB either. If we're comparing fruits,
chickens don't count.

4101. Re: Software to decode the 1090MHz tone-bursts aircraft transmit ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-09 18:37:31 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <5ooik1p79hr4bia82kghoudqlkand3t1hl@4ax.com>
Source record: 11663

On Sun, 9 Oct 2005 09:42:00 +0100, "Andy100" <andy100@aog.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>Anyway, just like we can now decode pager messages, ACARS etc, i thought
>maybe somewhere this software existed. I don't mean free software which will
>place the aircraft on a radar screen, but just simple software which would
>at least decode what flight number the aircraft was at least ?. I can pick
>the signals up no bother, would be interesting to decode them !!

Is the tone burst the flight number, or just the squawk number? (The two aren't the same.)

If the format is known, the software can be written, if it hasn't been already, but knowing that the aircraft is squawking xxx wouldn't tell you much, unless they were declaring an in-flight emergency.

4102. Re: am/narrow-fm/wide-fm/lsb/usb what else?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-09 18:54:58 UTC
From: Dave Holford <holford@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <43496782.9E4BB871@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 11664

Al Klein wrote:

> On Sun, 09 Oct 2005 10:50:22 -0400, Dave Holford
> <holford@sympatico.ca> said in rec.radio.scanner:
>
> >Al Klein wrote:
>
> >> But I can assume that all filters currently in production are
> >> symmetrical about the center frequency within 10% - because they all
> >> are.
>
> >Certainly most current crystal filters
>
> Mechanical filters, ceramic filters, lumped-constant filters, op-amp
> filters ... any discrete piece of hardware that's supposed to pass a
> band of frequencies in the IF of a modern (within the last 40 years or
> so) receiver.
>
> >are symmetrical about the centre frequency,
> >as are most people symmetrical about the centre (externally at least, and I'm not
> >going to quibble about the orientation since I'm sure you know what I mean) - I
> >wrote 'carrier' frequency.
>
> If you put the carrier (no need for tics - carrier whether suppressed
> or not) at the center of the filter's bandpass, the filter is
> symmetrical about the carrier - if you put the carrier outside the
> bandpass of the filter are you going to claim that bandpass filters
> don't pass signals? The filter is symmetrical about any signal
> centered in the bandpass if the filter has a symmetrical bandpass - by
> definition.
>
> >I'm not sure about current mechanicals (somewhere I have an old Collins filter
> >catalog with asymmetrical SSB curves).
>
> Within 10%? None of mine were ever that distorted, although some of
> the Collins filters were about the most asymmetrical filters I've ever
> seen. They were designed that way. But the receivers were designed
> to work with "asymmetrical" filters.
>
> >> >Just for fun I am currently listening to an LSB conversation on 3763 kHz with
> >> >a 7.5 kHz filter and I cannot hear any sign of the conversation on 3765 - but,
> >> >the noise is a bit higher due to the wider filter. I wouldn't normally do this
> >> >but just had to try it. Of course, if I select DSB or ISB I get both signals,
> >> >although the 3765 conversation is inverted and shifted in frequency.

>
> >> With what receiver and which filter? What kind of detector? I can
> >> design any number of receivers that will do what you claim, but that
> >> doesn't negate the fact that the standard filtered superhet SSB
> >> receiver will receive a signal on the "wrong" sideband if the filter
> >> is wide enough and the detector is set correctly.
>
> >As I stated in my first posting, a Winradio.
>
> Oh, you mean that a DSP is capable of not hearing the opposite
> sideband. Nothing to do with filters. "Filtering" isn't always done
> by "filters".
>
> >The rest of the paragraph is true if you are talking about 'the standard filtered
> >superhet SSB receiver' provided that it is also fitted with AM filters which can be
> >used in the SSB mode - not universally true. I can do it with my TS-450 but not my
> >IC-706 for example. Not all receivers have the ability to select mode and filter
> >independently.
>
> And my Honda can't receive ISB either. If we're comparing fruits,
> chickens don't count.

You are saying exactly what I've been saying, except that you want to argue about it.

Gotta go, lunch is ready.

Dave

4103. Re: am/narrow-fm/wide-fm/lsb/usb what else?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-09 18:58:44 UTC
From: Dave Holford <holford@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <43496864.498D618C@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 11665

Al Klein wrote:

> On Sun, 09 Oct 2005 10:50:22 -0400, Dave Holford
> <holford@sympatico.ca> said in rec.radio.scanner:
>
> >Al Klein wrote:
>
> >> But I can assume that all filters currently in production are
> >> symmetrical about the center frequency within 10% - because they all
> >> are.
>
> >Certainly most current crystal filters
>
> Mechanical filters, ceramic filters, lumped-constant filters, op-amp
> filters ... any discrete piece of hardware that's supposed to pass a
> band of frequencies in the IF of a modern (within the last 40 years or
> so) receiver.
>
> >are symmetrical about the centre frequency,
> >as are most people symmetrical about the centre (externally at least, and I'm not
> >going to quibble about the orientation since I'm sure you know what I mean) - I
> >wrote 'carrier' frequency.
>
> If you put the carrier (no need for tics - carrier whether suppressed
> or not) at the center of the filter's bandpass, the filter is
> symmetrical about the carrier - if you put the carrier outside the
> bandpass of the filter are you going to claim that bandpass filters
> don't pass signals? The filter is symmetrical about any signal
> centered in the bandpass if the filter has a symmetrical bandpass - by
> definition.
>
> >I'm not sure about current mechanicals (somewhere I have an old Collins filter
> >catalog with asymmetrical SSB curves).
>
> Within 10%? None of mine were ever that distorted, although some of
> the Collins filters were about the most asymmetrical filters I've ever
> seen. They were designed that way. But the receivers were designed
> to work with "asymmetrical" filters.
>
> >> >Just for fun I am currently listening to an LSB conversation on 3763 kHz with
> >> >a 7.5 kHz filter and I cannot hear any sign of the conversation on 3765 - but,
> >> >the noise is a bit higher due to the wider filter. I wouldn't normally do this
> >> >but just had to try it. Of course, if I select DSB or ISB I get both signals,
> >> >although the 3765 conversation is inverted and shifted in frequency.

>
> >> With what receiver and which filter? What kind of detector? I can
> >> design any number of receivers that will do what you claim, but that
> >> doesn't negate the fact that the standard filtered superhet SSB
> >> receiver will receive a signal on the "wrong" sideband if the filter
> >> is wide enough and the detector is set correctly.
>
> >As I stated in my first posting, a Winradio.
>
> Oh, you mean that a DSP is capable of not hearing the opposite
> sideband. Nothing to do with filters. "Filtering" isn't always done
> by "filters".
>
> >The rest of the paragraph is true if you are talking about 'the standard filtered
> >superhet SSB receiver' provided that it is also fitted with AM filters which can be
> >used in the SSB mode - not universally true. I can do it with my TS-450 but not my
> >IC-706 for example. Not all receivers have the ability to select mode and filter
> >independently.
>
> And my Honda can't receive ISB either. If we're comparing fruits,
> chickens don't count.

You are saying exactly what I've been saying, except that you want to argue about it.

Gotta go, lunch is ready.

Dave

4104. Re: Software to decode the 1090MHz tone-bursts aircraft transmit ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-09 20:15:07 UTC
From: Andy100 <andy100@aog.com>
Message-ID: <dibtnires1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 11666

I assume the software has already been written. You can now buy a decoder box and software which will give you a radar screen on your PC. See http://www.airbandonline.co.uk/kapl_sbs1.htm. I think the box was just a fancy scanner with 1090MHz aerial, something that may just be the same as a scanner ?

Cheers
Andy

"Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message news:5ooik1p79hr4bia82kghoudqlkand3t1hl@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 9 Oct 2005 09:42:00 +0100, "Andy100" <andy100@aog.com> said in
> rec.radio.scanner:
>
> >Anyway, just like we can now decode pager messages, ACARS etc, i thought
> >maybe somewhere this software existed. I don't mean free software which will
> >place the aircraft on a radar screen, but just simple software which would
> >at least decode what flight number the aircraft was at least ?. I can pick
> >the signals up no bother, would be interesting to decode them !!
>
> Is the tone burst the flight number, or just the squawk number? (The
> two aren't the same.)
>
> If the format is known, the software can be written, if it hasn't been
> already, but knowing that the aircraft is squawking xxx wouldn't tell
> you much, unless they were declaring an in-flight emergency.

4105. Re: help with pro 2035 discrim output

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-09 20:32:58 UTC
From: hongbitsATgmailDOTcom edited for spam prevention <hongbits@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128889978.001238.65040@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11667

thank you both very very very much! i am very excited to try this out
and now with the pics i can do it today. is there a difference between
tp1 and tp2? the one in the pics is tp2 but mentions tp1 . is one
better than the other? can i do both? again thank you very very much.

hb...

4106. Re: help with pro 2035 discrim output

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-09 20:38:37 UTC
From: hongbitsATgmailDOTcom edited for spam prevention <hongbits@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128890317.772028.96190@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11668

damn i should have waited to send that other post. i just noticd that
there is infor about
"PRO-2035 NFM/AM (tp2)" and "PRO-2035 WFM (tp1)" and is the 2032 the
same as the 2035??

now i am done. lol sorry about that everyone.
hb...

4107. Re: help with pro 2035 discrim output

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-09 20:39:13 UTC
From: Brengsek! <pilatus@herejezus.nl>
Message-ID: <8uvik1phl8t5c4v6ihbhuotnbsnicpqee0@brengsek.invalid>
Source record: 11669

On 9 Oct 2005 13:32:58 -0700, "hongbitsATgmailDOTcom edited for spam prevention" <hongbits@gmail.com> wrote:

>thank you both very very very much! i am very excited to try this out
>and now with the pics i can do it today. is there a difference between
>tp1 and tp2? the one in the pics is tp2 but mentions tp1 . is one
>better than the other? can i do both? again thank you very very much.

I don't see TP1 mentioned.

Rene

--
Boldly going forward, 'cause we can't find reverse

4108. Re: BCD-396T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-10 01:16:48 UTC
From: Andrew Kenna <akenna01@iprimus.com.au>
Message-ID: <3hj2f.140$fG4.848@nnrp1.ozemail.com.au>
Source record: 11670
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Well you truely don't have a clue then, its simple theory in life.

If you think something sucks back it up with some results otherwise go and hide in your hole you little twot

Andrew

"news.vif.com" <root@NoReply-AntiSpam.com> wrote in message news:1128765614.343995@www.vif.com...
>
>
> Andrew Kenna wrote:
>
> > how about giving some examples instead of bolding saying it sucks... that
> > way your claim will have some credibility..
> >
> Screw the credibility, plain & simple (PRO-96 suxs). People say its
> the best thing out, oh please (mistake).

4109. icom r20

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-10 02:44:11 UTC
From: jwb <j.beltz@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <%zk2f.469236$xm3.429843@attbi_s21>
Source record: 11671

ebay 5816716405

4110. Re: NEW ICom CIV Test Tool (need help if you have an icom radio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-10 02:50:15 UTC
From: Jacknopants <jsvk@nopants.com>
Message-ID: <HFk2f.19524$yS6.17474@clgrps12>
Source record: 11672
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

It works with the ICR7000. with Windows ME, XP, 2000 and 2003 server

4111. Re: help with pro 2035 discrim output

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-10 03:28:17 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <jtnjk1lg6n84befqrta9s4kqvurotau71g@4ax.com>
Source record: 11673

On 9 Oct 2005 13:38:37 -0700, "hongbitsATgmailDOTcom edited for spam prevention" <hongbits@gmail.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>is the 2032 the same as the 2035??

As far as the discriminator chip goes they're the same.

4112. Re: KRAKULA - LQQK !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-10 04:27:55 UTC
From: Scan Da Bands <vzeip5vc@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <05rjk1lqmaj5qc7jtqtbu0orr6b32m8ibh@4ax.com>
Source record: 11674

Yes STEVE and KRAKULA thanks for the info.....it seems to be some sort of spectrum analyzer.....

Here's what was on the readme file (sorry I didn't see that)

Atlantic Electronics Spectrum Monitor Software
Distribution Diskette
Version 1.0, 8 March 1995

This software is intended to run on any machine capable of running Windows 3.1 or better; a 386 processor or above. High speed serial ports utilizing 16550 chips are preferred, but to take advantage of the internal FIFO, Windows 3.11 or Windows for Work Groups 3.11 must be used. A Windows mappable Serial Port, numbered from 1 - 4, must be provided to communicate with the SM-1073W Spectrum Analyzer engine. The PC software utilizes one of the Windows Timers, so this must be available.

Compression modes are available to reduce the processing burden on Windows without undue cost to the performance of the Spectrum Monitor. This is described both in the online Help system in the PC software and in the SM-1073W Technical Manual.

An extensive On Line Help system in the PC Software documents its features and how to employ them.

This distribution disk contains all the files necessary to install and operate the Atlantic Electronics' SM-1073 Windows Spectrum Monitor Software, which is referred to from here on as the SM-1073PC-W. This is a distribution disk, rather than an install disk, which means that a windows aware person needs to take the following steps to use this software.

1). Create a subdirectory to hold all the data files supplied. Please see list below.
2). Copy all the files from this disk to that sub directory.
3). Create a Program Group under windows, or add these programs to an existing Program Group.

The program may now be run. However to actually get meaningful data from the software, the SM-1073W must be attached to the PC and be running.

Files:
SM1073W.EXE An executable of the Spectrum Analyzer software itself.
*.DLL Custom Controls used by the

SM-1073PC-W.
SM1073.HLP The Help File which supports the
above.
ATLANTIC.ICO An Icon for use with these programs.
DDESVR.EXE An executable of the Poke Display Server.
DDESVR.CPP The source of the above, showing the DDEML
commands involved.
README.WRI This file.

The executable file for the SM-1073PC-W uses the DLL's
supplied above as part of the user interface for the SM-1073W. The
DLL's are copyright by their respective owners, Borland International,
and ProtoView Development Corporation. Distributed with permission,
only for this application.

When closing a running SM-1073PC-W session, a file labeled
"SM1073W.INI" will be created in the default directory or path. This
file remembers the parameters used by the SM software from one session
to another.
rom one session to another.

On Sat, 08 Oct 2005 18:08:48 GMT, Steve Uhrig <Steve@swssec.com>
wrote:

>On Thu, 06 Oct 2005 06:17:49 GMT, Scan Da Bands <vzeip5vc@verizon.net>
>wrote:
>
>> You familiar with Atlantic Electronics software at all.....this is a
>> disk from 1995 and it's called SM-1073PC-W ?????????????
>
>Atlantic Electronics is a Silver Spring, Maryland company who makes
>primarily signal monitor accessories for receivers for government
>agencies. They also make other types of special purpose devices all as
>accessories to receivers, as well as line of antenna multicouplers.
>
>The products are designed and sold exclusively by www.cse-assoc.com,
>and he generally deals only with government.
>
>The Atlantic Electronic products are superb, often copied.
>
>The software, I believe, is to port the I.F. of the receiver over to a
>laptop for an on-screen analysis. The hardware boxes all have CRTs or
>LCDs for display.
>
>Regards .... Steve
>
>**
>Steve Uhrig, SWS Security, Maryland (USA)
>Mfrs of electronic surveillance equip
>mailto:Steve@swssec.com website http://www.swssec.com
>tel +1+410-879-4035, fax +1+410-836-1190

>"In God we trust, all others we monitor"
>**********

4113. Re: BCD-396T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-10 05:25:23 UTC
From: "news.vif.com" <root@NoReply-AntiSpam.com>
Message-ID: <1128921923.18305@www.vif.com>
Source record: 11675
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Andrew Kenna wrote:

> Well you truely don't have a clue then, its simple theory in life.
>
> If you think something sucks back it up with some results otherwise go and
> hide in your hole you little twot
>
> Andrew
>
--
Take your fucken prozac (wanker) :) go off the deep end just because
i said idiot.

4114. Re: Airport Freq.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-10 08:20:34 UTC
From: Brad <"bradvk2qq AT w6ir.com">
Message-ID: <434a2455$0$49021$14726298@news.sunsite.dk>
Source record: 11676

"kla1899" <spam@ftc.gov> wrote in message
news:Xns96E9799ECDE24nottodaycom@130.81.64.196...
> "Glenan" <glenan@comcast.net> wrote in
>
> Don't mined the ass hole's Glenn, theirs at least 4 or 5 in every news
> group.. They have no life so they razzz people to make them selfs look
> big.. Just set your news reader to ignore them.. And to answer your
> quest this might help.
>
> http://www.airnav.com/
>
> and
>
> http://www.milaircomms.com/index.html
>
> If you need any other help just ask us at
> http://www.scannertesting.com We are happy to help a novice in the hobby
> :-)
>
> --
> kla1899

Congratulations. You've just totally proved the point I was making in my original reply to Glenan "Try it for yourself, you'll get results within millisecond, instead of days awaiting others to do all the work for you."

Glenan posed the original question 6 WEEKS ago. In your reply, apart from resorting to foul language, you repeated the same URL given him by others, and also the first URL to top the list in a Google enquiry.

I find it curious that the first and only people in this group to resort to swearing and name calling have all been Americans. You must be so proud.

Brad.

4115. Re: NEW ICom CIV Test Tool (need help if you have an icom radio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-10 08:36:54 UTC
From: Dave <SRPro@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <bv9kk19sadk03rlfne0q8lgmv3f52kg30i@4ax.com>
Source record: 11677
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Mon, 10 Oct 2005 02:50:15 GMT, "Jacknopants"
<jsvk@nopants.com> wrote:

>It works with the ICR7000. with Windows ME, XP, 2000 and 2003 server
>

Thanks for helping me test it. I'll add your radio to the
list of radios tested.

Best wishes!!

4116. Re: BCD-396T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-10 12:49:57 UTC
From: Simon Templar <usenet@vk3xem.net>
Message-ID: <434a6376_3@news.melbourne.pipenetworks.com>
Source record: 11678
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:
> On Mon, 10 Oct 2005 01:41:50 +1000, Simon Templar <usenet@vk3xem.net>
> said in alt.radio.scanner:
>
>
>>junkman18 wrote:
>>
>>> Because someone needs help does not mean they are a idiot. It just means
>>>you are a ass hole for saying that !!
>>> We are here to try to help each other not to be a prick to each other
>>>
>>>
>>>>Your an idiot. Firmware update fixed all that.
>>
>>Here in Australia it is spelt *arse* not ass! :P
>
>
> Here it's spelled America, not Australia.
>
> Or don't you know that "ass" and "arse" are different words?

Don't know about you jerk, but I am replying to a post on aus.radio.scanner

The world does not revolve around the US!

--
The views I present are my own and NOT of any organisation I belong to.

73 de Simon, VK3XEM.
http://www.aca.gov.au/pls/radcom/client_search.client_lookup?pCLIENT_NO=157452
VoIP http://www.TALKonIP.com.au/
Domain Hosting http://www.GizNet.com/

4117. Re: am/narrow-fm/wide-fm/lsb/usb what else?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-10 14:18:45 UTC
From: nospam@nospam.com
Message-ID: <434a775d.17378109@news-server>
Source record: 11679

On Sun, 09 Oct 2005 10:50:22 -0400, Dave Holford
<holford@sympatico.ca> wrote:

>> With what receiver and which filter? What kind of detector? I can
>> design any number of receivers that will do what you claim, but that
>> doesn't negate the fact that the standard filtered superhet SSB
>> receiver will receive a signal on the "wrong" sideband if the filter
>> is wide enough and the detector is set correctly.
>>
>
>As I stated in my first posting, a Winradio.
>

Yes, the WinRadio G303 and G313 appear to be the only commercially
available eceivers with genuine ISB and DSB modes. The DSB is actually
so good that you can receive standard AM with it and can hardly hear
any difference (i.e. the digital BFO inserts the carrier spot on
frequency, like with synchronous AM mode).

I did an interesting experiment with my G303e/PD by using stereo
speakers in DSB mode on an AM broadcast station, and you can actually
hear a phase difference variation between the two sidebands. This
causes an amazing "floating in space" audio sensation.

Peter

4118. Re: help with pro 2035 discrim output

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-10 19:54:38 UTC
From: hongbitsATgmailDOTcom edited for spam prevention <hongbits@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128974078.763673.99000@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11680

if you look for the pro-2035 on this page:
http://66.102.7.104/search?q=cache:Gw55Z37CdZ0J:web.textfiles.com/phreak/scandata.txt+pro+2035+discriminator+modification&hl=en&client=firefox-a
you will see 2 listings for the 2035 one ref's the tp1 and the other
the tp2 and they both ref to the 2035 but one is NFM/AM and the other
WFM this is what i am confused about.

hb...

4119. Re: BCD-396T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-10 22:21:04 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <27qlk1tbv8j5ce1dat93c6dempu2vob20k@4ax.com>
Source record: 11681
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 10 Oct 2005 22:49:57 +1000, Simon Templar <usenet@vk3xem.net>
said in alt.radio.scanner:

>Don't know about you jerk, but I am replying to a post on aus.radio.scanner

>The world does not revolve around the US!

The world doesn't revolve around people who post to usenet without
knowing what they're doing, either.

You posted to rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner.uk,
aus.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner and rec.radio.scanner. If you
want to limit your posts to a single group, it's your responsibility
to do so, not mine to guess which group you're reading.

4120. Re: help with pro 2035 discrim output

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-10 22:27:52 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <1kqlk150c9djvj0hgkutsoga0tvm10ud5q@4ax.com>
Source record: 11682

On 10 Oct 2005 12:54:38 -0700, "hongbitsATgmailDOTcom edited for spam prevention" <hongbits@gmail.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>if you look for the pro-2035 on this page:
>http://66.102.7.104/search?q=cache:Gw55Z37CdZ0J:web.textfiles.com/phreak/scandata.txt+pro+2035+discriminator+modification&hl=en&client=firefox-a
> you will see 2 listings for the 2035 one ref's the tp1 and the other
>the tp2 and they both ref to the 2035 but one is NFM/AM and the other
>WFM this is what i am confused about.

If you want to tap WFM (broadcast FM band stations - 88-108 MHz) - for whatever reason (you could decode SCA transmissions, for instance, but that's illegal in the US), tap the WFM point. For all normal "scanner discriminator tap" functions, tap the NFM point.

4121. Re: am/narrow-fm/wide-fm/lsb/usb what else?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-10 22:56:48 UTC
From: Dave Holford <holford@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <434AF1B0.23023E29@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 11683

Peter Newman wrote:

> On Sun, 09 Oct 2005 10:50:22 -0400, Dave Holford
> <holford@sympatico.ca> wrote:
>
> >As I stated in my first posting, a Winradio.
> >
>
> Yes, the WinRadio G303 and G313 appear to be the only commercially
> available eceivers with genuine ISB and DSB modes. The DSB is actually
> so good that you can receive standard AM with it and can hardly hear
> any difference (i.e. the digital BFO inserts the carrier spot on
> frequency, like with synchronous AM mode).
>
> I did an interesting experiment with my G303e/PD by using stereo
> speakers in DSB mode on an AM broadcast station, and you can actually
> hear a phase difference variation between the two sidebands. This
> causes an amazing "floating in space" audio sensation.
>
> Peter

I have the same setup and also found that DSB on AM fascinating.

I have used ISB to set the master oscillator on frequency. Tuned to WWV,
ideally on 20 MHz, one can hear the beat between the two sidebands and
adjust the frequency until the beat is zero, or at least less than 1 Hz,
then this figure is used to offset the oscillator.

I have been pleasantly surprised with the stability of the receiver. From a
cold start through to several hours of operation the drift is substantially
less than 10 Hz.

That, along with the spectrum analysis capability and the almost infinitely
adjustable filters makes it a most interesting tool/toy.

Regards
Dave

4122. Re: BCD-396T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-10 23:34:35 UTC
From: junkman18 <junkman18@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <fUC2f.321$Zv5.48@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 11684
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

fucking WOW

"Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message
news:27qlk1tbv8j5ce1dat93c6dempu2vob20k@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 10 Oct 2005 22:49:57 +1000, Simon Templar <usenet@vk3xem.net>
> said in alt.radio.scanner:
>
>>Don't know about you jerk, but I am replying to a post on
>>aus.radio.scanner
>
>>The world does not revolve around the US!
>
> The world doesn't revolve around people who post to usenet without
> knowing what they're doing, either.
>
> You posted to rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner.uk,
> aus.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner and rec.radio.scanner. If you
> want to limit your posts to a single group, it's your responsibility
> to do so, not mine to guess which group you're reading.

4123. where to buy Uniden BC296D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-11 09:40:39 UTC
From: TomCat4680 <tomcatgbmi@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129023639.493272.142670@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11685

where can i go to buy a Uniden BC296D? i can't buy one through mail order because I don't have a credit card or bank account.

Tom
Mt. Morris, MI

4124. Re: am/narrow-fm/wide-fm/lsb/usb what else?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-11 10:25:38 UTC
From: nospam@nospam.com
Message-ID: <434b91b7.4911625@news-server>
Source record: 11686

On Mon, 10 Oct 2005 18:56:48 -0400, Dave Holford
<holford@sympatico.ca> wrote:

>> >As I stated in my first posting, a Winradio.
>> >
>>
>> Yes, the WinRadio G303 and G313 appear to be the only commercially
>> available eceivers with genuine ISB and DSB modes. The DSB is actually
>> so good that you can receive standard AM with it and can hardly hear
>> any difference (i.e. the digital BFO inserts the carrier spot on
>> frequency, like with synchronous AM mode).
>>
>> I did an interesting experiment with my G303e/PD by using stereo
>> speakers in DSB mode on an AM broadcast station, and you can actually
>> hear a phase difference variation between the two sidebands. This
>> causes an amazing "floating in space" audio sensation.
>>
>> Peter
>
>I have the same setup and also found that DSB on AM fascinating.
>
>I have used ISB to set the master oscillator on frequency. Tuned to WWV,
>ideally on 20 MHz, one can hear the beat between the two sidebands and
>adjust the frequency until the beat is zero, or at least less than 1 Hz,
>then this figure is used to offset the oscillator.

This is a very good method. In fact I used CW for this (old habits die
hard), but I agree it is much easier with ISB.

>I have been pleasantly surprised with the stability of the receiver. From a
>cold start through to several hours of operation the drift is substantially
>less than 10 Hz.

I found that too. The G303e manufacturer's specs says 10ppm frequency
stability, but I have found it to be much better than specified, at
least within my home temperature range.

>That, along with the spectrum analysis capability and the almost infinitely
>adjustable filters makes it a most interesting tool/toy.

Agreed. It is also fun to use. This radio brought me back to the
hobby, after a few years of absence.

Peter

4125. Re: where to buy Uniden BC296D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-11 10:40:02 UTC
From: Noah Little <me@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <dig4m8$a40$6@n4vu2.n4vu.com>
Source record: 11687

TomCat4680 wrote:
> where can i go to buy a Uniden BC296D? i can't buy one through mail
> order because I don't have a credit card or bank account.

First, find a reputable Internet seller by reviewing past posts in this
forum. Then buy it with a U.S. Postal money order. I guess I fail to
see the problem.
--
Noah

4126. Re: am/narrow-fm/wide-fm/lsb/usb what else?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-11 13:22:04 UTC
From: Dave Holford <holford@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <434BBC7C.8B0CA8C@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 11688

Peter Newman wrote:

> On Mon, 10 Oct 2005 18:56:48 -0400, Dave Holford
> <holford@sympatico.ca> wrote:
>
> >> >As I stated in my first posting, a Winradio.
> >> >
> >>
> >> Yes, the WinRadio G303 and G313 appear to be the only commercially
> >> available eceivers with genuine ISB and DSB modes. The DSB is actually
> >> so good that you can receive standard AM with it and can hardly hear
> >> any difference (i.e. the digital BFO inserts the carrier spot on
> >> frequency, like with synchronous AM mode).
> >>
> >> I did an interesting experiment with my G303e/PD by using stereo
> >> speakers in DSB mode on an AM broadcast station, and you can actually
> >> hear a phase difference variation between the two sidebands. This
> >> causes an amazing "floating in space" audio sensation.
> >>
> >> Peter
> >
> >I have the same setup and also found that DSB on AM fascinating.
> >
> >I have used ISB to set the master oscillator on frequency. Tuned to WWV,
> >ideally on 20 MHz, one can hear the beat between the two sidebands and
> >adjust the frequency until the beat is zero, or at least less than 1 Hz,
> >then this figure is used to offset the oscillator.
>
> This is a very good method. In fact I used CW for this (old habits die
> hard), but I agree it is much easier with ISB.
>
> >I have been pleasantly surprised with the stability of the receiver. From a
> >cold start through to several hours of operation the drift is substantially
> >less than 10 Hz.
>
> I found that too. The G303e manufacturer's specs says 10ppm frequency
> stability, but I have found it to be much better than specified, at
> least within my home temperature range.
>
> >That, along with the spectrum analysis capability and the almost infinitely
> >adjustable filters makes it a most interesting tool/toy.
>
> Agreed. It is also fun to use. This radio brought me back to the
> hobby, after a few years of absence.

>
> Peter

I find that I use the Winradio far more than my other receivers, and if I can find the money I think a VHF/UHF Winradiio would add a lot of versatility to my listening above HF as well.

Regards
Dave

4127. Re: where to buy Uniden BC296D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-11 13:24:39 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <vJ6dnTWwCe2AINbeRVn-qg@comcast.com>
Source record: 11689

I see them quite often on eBay. Typical price is $499.00. They normally accept a US Postal Money Order. I purchased one from this guy: http://tinyurl.com/8gbbg

Bill Crocker
Macomb, MI

"TomCat4680" <tomcatgbmi@aol.com> wrote in message news:1129023639.493272.142670@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> where can i go to buy a Uniden BC296D? i can't buy one through mail
> order because I don't have a credit card or bank account.
>
> Tom
> Mt. Morris, MI
>

4128. BCD396T Faceplate Fixed?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-11 14:16:36 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <9PP2f.40527$Xa.12176@fe12.lga>
Source record: 11690

Does anyone know if Uniden has resolved its faceplate lettering issue? If so, are there any known retailers that sell the corrected version? Tnx es...

--
Vy 73 de Bert
WA2SI
FISTS #9384/CC #1736
QRP ARCI #11782

4129. Re: BCD396T Faceplate Fixed?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-11 14:40:32 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <fljnk112fsfog8q67lv77qlq24k52h22sh@4ax.com>
Source record: 11691

On Tue, 11 Oct 2005 10:16:36 -0400, "Bert Craig"
<wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>Does anyone know if Uniden has resolved its faceplate lettering issue? If
>so, are there any known retailers that sell the corrected version?

Yes and all of them.

And hello, neighbor, de

Al, W2PMX

4130. Re: where to buy Uniden BC296D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-11 14:49:20 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <u1knk1lb79ld7kdmokjsjsc8v0avegs4qu@4ax.com>
Source record: 11692

On Tue, 11 Oct 2005 09:24:39 -0400, "Bill Crocker"
<wcrocker007@comcast.net> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>I see them quite often on eBay. Typical price is $499.00. They normally
>accept a US Postal Money Order. I purchased one from this guy:
>http://tinyurl.com/8gbbg

Considering that he's getting more than twice the going price, do you
really think that's the way to go? (Scannermaster has them for $219.)

4131. Re: where to buy Uniden BC296D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-11 16:56:37 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <U8S2f.8648$Zf.3080@fe05.lga>
Source record: 11693

"Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message
news:u1knk1lb79ld7kdmokjsjsc8v0avegs4qu@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 11 Oct 2005 09:24:39 -0400, "Bill Crocker"
> <wcrocker007@comcast.net> said in rec.radio.scanner:
>
> >I see them quite often on eBay. Typical price is $499.00. They normally
> >accept a US Postal Money Order. I purchased one from this guy:
> >http://tinyurl.com/8gbbg
>
> Considering that he's getting more than twice the going price, do you
> really think that's the way to go? (Scannermaster has them for $219.)

You better check those model numbers again... The BC296D are going for
$499.95 at scannermaster...

4132. Re: where to buy Uniden BC296D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-11 18:03:20 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <thvnk1d3to4h78e86crplppiq4jvdue20i@4ax.com>
Source record: 11694

On Tue, 11 Oct 2005 11:56:37 -0500, "PowerHouse Communications"
<PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>You better check those model numbers again... The BC296D are going for
>$499.95 at scannermaster...

Oops, you're right. I guess I need computer glasses now - to be able
to tell the difference between 246 and 296.

4133. RE: ss_dum_s@yahoo.com-- any contacts??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-11 22:25:27 UTC
From: Joe <joe.amp@NOSPAMverizon.net>
Message-ID: <rZW2f.1110$t43.549@trndny02>
Source record: 11695

I have, He says he in Europe.......no history of discussion about radio, nothing, has a yahoo address

Sound like a scammer to me

From: email contact [mailto:ss_dum_s@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, October 11, 2005 9:33 AM
To: joe.amp
Subject: TS140s or 430s ACC male DIN plug

i saw your "wanted ad" on the internet and i wanted to know if you are still intrested any more...?if so don't hesitate to contact me ....i have one for sell and i will be more than glad to sell it for a fair price...i am from europe so...the shipp in europe will be paid by me and the shipp to america,australia,...will be for extra charge...thank's in advance

4134. Re: Airport Freq.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-12 04:17:23 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <vg3pk1hacgpiofskbsuglvh6naia8tatnh@4ax.com>
Source record: 11696

On Mon, 10 Oct 2005 18:20:34 +1000, "Brad" <bradvk2qq AT w6ir.com>
wrote:

>
>"kla1899" <spam@ftc.gov> wrote in message
>news:Xns96E9799ECDE24nottodaycom@130.81.64.196...
>> "Glenan" <glenan@comcast.net> wrote in
>>
>> Don't mined the ass hole's Glenn, theirs at least 4 or 5 in every news
>> group.. They have no life so they razzz people to make them selfs look
>> big.. Just set your news reader to ignore them.. And to answer your
>> quest this might help.
>>
>> http://www.airnav.com/
>>
>> and
>>
>> http://www.milaircomms.com/index.html
>>
>> If you need any other help just ask us at
>> http://www.scannertesting.com We are happy to help a novice in the hobby
>> :-)
>>
>> --
>> kla1899
>
>
>Congratulations. You've just totally proved the point I was making in my
>original reply to Glenan "Try it for yourself, you'll get results within
>millisecond, instead of days awaiting others to do all the work for you."
>
>Glenan posed the original question 6 WEEKS ago. In your reply, apart from
>resorting to foul language, you repeated the same URL given him by others,
>and also the first URL to top the list in a Google enquiry.
>
>I find it curious that the first and only people in this group to resort to
>swearing and name calling have all been Americans. You must be so proud.
>
>Brad.
>

Narrow-minded, judgemental bigot.

Next time we let them eat you.

4135. Re: Software to decode the 1090MHz tone-bursts aircraft transmit ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-12 11:43:23 UTC
From: Brad <"bradvk2qq at w6ir.com">
Message-ID: <434cf6dd$0$49018$14726298@news.sunsite.dk>
Source record: 11697

>
> "Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message
> news:5ooik1p79hr4bia82kghoudqlkand3t1hl@4ax.com...
>> On Sun, 9 Oct 2005 09:42:00 +0100, "Andy100" <andy100@aog.com> said in
>> rec.radio.scanner:
>>
>> >Anyway, just like we can now decode pager messages, ACARS etc, i thought
>> >maybe somewhere this software existed. I don't mean free software which
> will
>> >place the aircraft on a radar screen, but just simple software which
> would
>> >at least decode what flight number the aircraft was at least ?. I can
> pick
>> >the signals up no bother, would be interesting to decode them !!

You could just monitor the output of the detector with an oscilloscope.

Basically, they aren't tone bursts. There are two types of signal transmitted depending on mode. One is a pulsed carrier containing binary coded pulses 0.45uS wide. The other is a phase modulated carrier.

Most of the information transmitted is just numbers and if there are VFR aircraft around, a lot of those numbers will simply decode as 1200, with an altitude.

Brad

4136. Re: Antenna Length Formula

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-12 18:25:32 UTC
From: kla1899 <spam@ftc.gov>
Message-ID: <Xns96ED93B3B255Dnottodaycom@130.81.64.196>
Source record: 11698

albert@aladincom.com (Al) wrote in
news:96E6E7CAEalbertaladincom@192.114.47.10:

> ddforsling@msn.com (Don Forsling) wrote in
> <115ijhu243c22bf@news.supernews.com>:
>
>>Folks, I'm old, I'm tired, and I'm lazy. I'm also forgetful.
>>
>>Would someone kindly provide the formula for deterring the length of a
>>full-wave antenna for a given frequency (a full-wave whip comes to
>>mind)? Then, I can do the math. Better yet, I'll give you the
>>frequency and _you_ can do the math. It's 160.890 mHz.
>>
>>I will never ask this question again. Sorry. Thanks, etc.
>>
>>Best,
>
> Once upon a time when I was taught physics, I was told that full wave
> lenght should be calculated by dividing the speed of a light
> (299820km/s) with the frequency(in MHz)/1000, so for full wave dipole
> it should be 299820*160.89/1000=1.864m, for half-wave dipole divide
> the result by two.
>
> Albert
>

To easy Al click the link

http://mysite.verizon.net/moment_1/scannertesting/calculation.htm

--
kla1899

4137. Re: Frequency lists (mainly data Pocsag, Baudot, SW, VHF/UHF etc etc) ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-12 23:48:11 UTC
From: Domestos <thatswhen@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <434da0ba@quokka.wn.com.au>
Source record: 11699

For a great place to find more about the world of Utility frequencies go to
http://www.wunclub.com/ all will be revealed !!!!

JOHN

"Andy100" <andy100@aog.com> wrote in message
news:dialo1lej1@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk...
>I have just purchased a unit off e-bay called the "Universal M-400
>Decoder".
> It supposedly decodes POCSAG (pager messaging), AUTOR, GOLAY, SUPOCS,
> DTMF,
> DCS, CTCSS, SWED-ARQ, FEC-A, ASCII, BAUDOT, FAX messages.
>
> I have only been able to decode ACARS messages (Aircraft) up to now.
> Mainly
> due to the fact that i cannot find out any frequencies for the above types
> of broadcast. I have googled, but just keep getting sites which are either
> out of date, not there any longer or don't have any detailed info there.
>
> I'd be eternally greatful if anyone knows of any links to websites which
> have a list of the types of broadcast listed above (both SW and VHF/UHF
> etc). I do have a SW frequency list, but it's 1994 and is way out of date.
> Even generally where abouts on the frequency spectrum to look would be
> handy
> !, i just don't have a clue.
>
> Many Thanks
> Andrew
>
>

4138. Re: Frequency lists (mainly data Pocsag, Baudot, SW, VHF/UHF etc etc) ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-13 02:34:26 UTC
From: Steven Fritts <w4sef@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <6shrk15allipmh69co1al0qs2spamguv3s@4ax.com>
Source record: 11700

Amateur RTTY is normally 45 baud at 170 shift NOT 60 baud

Steve W4SEF

On Sun, 09 Oct 2005 14:13:41 GMT, "Ralph Mowery"
<rmowery28146@earthlink.net> wrote:

>
>"Andy100" <andy100@aog.com> wrote in message
>news:dialo1lej1@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk...
>> I have just purchased a unit off e-bay called the "Universal M-400
>Decoder".
>> It supposedly decodes POCSAG (pager messaging), AUTOR, GOLAY, SUPOCS,
>DTMF,
>> DCS, CTCSS, SWED-ARQ, FEC-A, ASCII, BAUDOT, FAX messages.
>>
>> I have only been able to decode ACARS messages (Aircraft) up to now.
>Mainly
>> due to the fact that i cannot find out any frequencies for the above types
>> of broadcast. I have googled, but just keep getting sites which are either
>> out of date, not there any longer or don't have any detailed info there.
>
>The pocsag can be found around 150 to 155 mhz or so. The DTMF is the
>touchtones on your telephone. Very seldom used on the radio. Hams use it
>to control some repeaters but it is seldom used. CTCSS is the subaudio
>tones often found on many vhf 2-way transmitters. Not really much to decode
>other than the rewquency they are using. You probably have to tap off the
>'discriminator' to get them as the start around 88 hz and quit around 250
>hz. Thsi low frequency audio will not pass most audio stages in the
>scanner.
>Baudot is seldom found outside the ham bands. Look around 14.080 to 14.100
>mhz . It will be 60 wpm and 170 hz shift. Set the receiver for LSB and you
>may have to set the decoder to the Reverse position. I am not familiar with
>that converter.
>

4139. Re: Frequency lists (mainly data Pocsag, Baudot, SW, VHF/UHF etc etc) ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-13 09:26:37 UTC
From: "David L. Wilson" <dwilson314@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <2eSdna7bn_pWtdPeRVn-pg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 11601

"Steven Fritts" <w4sef@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:6shrk15allipmh69co1al0qs2spamguv3s@4ax.com...
> Amateur RTTY is normally 45 baud at 170 shift NOT 60 baud

He said 60 WPM which is 45 baud.

> On Sun, 09 Oct 2005 14:13:41 GMT, "Ralph Mowery"
> <rmowery28146@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
>>
>>"Andy100" <andy100@aog.com> wrote in message
>>news:dialo1lej1@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk...
>>> I have just purchased a unit off e-bay called the "Universal M-400
>>Decoder".
>>> It supposedly decodes POCSAG (pager messaging), AUTOR, GOLAY, SUPOCS,
>>DTMF,
>>> DCS, CTCSS, SWED-ARQ, FEC-A, ASCII, BAUDOT, FAX messages.
>>>
>>> I have only been able to decode ACARS messages (Aircraft) up to now.
>>Mainly
>>> due to the fact that i cannot find out any frequencies for the above
>>> types
>>> of broadcast. I have googled, but just keep getting sites which are
>>> either
>>> out of date, not there any longer or don't have any detailed info there.
>>
>>The pocsag can be found around 150 to 155 mhz or so. The DTMF is the
>>touchtones on your telephone. Very seldom used on the radio. Hams use it
>>to control some repeaters but it is seldom used. CTCSS is the subaudio
>>tones often found on many vhf 2-way transmitters. Not really much to
>>decode
>>other than the rewquency they are using. You probably have to tap off the
>>'discriminator' to get them as the start around 88 hz and quit around 250
>>hz. Thsi low frequency audio will not pass most audio stages in the
>>scanner.
>>Baudot is seldom found outside the ham bands. Look around 14.080 to
>>14.100
>>mhz . It will be 60 wpm and 170 hz shift. Set the receiver for LSB and
>>you
>>may have to set the decoder to the Reverse position. I am not familiar
>>with
>>that converter.
>>

4140. Re: BCD396T Faceplate Fixed?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-13 11:54:03 UTC
From: WA2SI <wa2si@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <1129204443.897454.326480@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11602

Al Klein wrote:
> On Tue, 11 Oct 2005 10:16:36 -0400, "Bert Craig"
> <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> said in rec.radio.scanner:
>
> >Does anyone know if Uniden has resolved its faceplate lettering issue? If
> >so, are there any known retailers that sell the corrected version?
>
> Yes and all of them.
>
> And hello, neighbor, de
>
> Al, W2PMX

Hi back neighbor,

Tnx for the info. I have a PRO-96 and it's served me pretty well but
you know how it is... <hihi>

Glad to hear that Uniden did the right thing. While I'm fortunate
enough that I don't much bristle at a $500+ rig purchase, the faceplate
issue should just plain not have been present on a $500+ scanner. Hmm,
Christmas is coming up soon... I'd better start dropping the hints to
Santa Spouse. (...& Ten-Tec's just released their Orion II!)

Take care es hpe c u ota.

Vy 73 de Bert
WA2SI

4141. LINDA WEST of Chipman Moving and Storage/CHIPMAN UNITED VAN LINES likes to commit Fraud & Forgery likes to commit Fraud & Forgery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-13 15:31:26 UTC
From: Vulvazb@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1129217486.761614.166960@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11603

LINDA WEST of Chipman Moving and Storage/CHIPMAN UNITED VAN LINES LINDA WEST Lwest@chipmancorp.com www.Lwest@chipmancorp.com of Chipman Moving & Storage/CHIPMAN UNITED VAN LINES likes to commit LINDA WEST Lwest@chipmancorp.com www.Lwest@chipmancorp.com of Chipman Moving & Storage/CHIPMAN UNITED VAN LINES likes to commit Fraud & Forgery or she likes to put peoples names on moving contracts without there Knowledge.
Caton Mayflower Moving & Storage Movers & Relocation
Dublin,ca & concord,ca 925) 876-7441, 925-887-5515,
925-825-5000, (925) 876-7441, 800-825-3866,800-447-9771,
925-609-1800, 800-447-1771, 925-935-4073, 925-828-3985
Linda West United Van Lines CHIPMAN RELOCATIONS
Voice Mail: 925/887-5515, 800/447-9771,Fax: 925/687-8304
5060 Forni Dr, Ste. C Concord,Cs 94520
Email Lwest@chipmancorp.com

4142. I found an old radio scanner but don't know how to use it...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-13 17:48:56 UTC
From: Bruno <mongkon.anousak@skynet.be>
Message-ID: <434e9dee$0$25737$ba620e4c@news.skynet.be>
Source record: 11604

Hello everybody,

I found an old scanner today, an F.B Air RO-774EA (VHF)
It's equipped with 8 switches (from 1 to 8), each switch having 3 possible positions: A, A+B, and B (up-mid-down position).
There's a button that has 3 positions: Manual-Scan- Delay.

Also a Select button (a push button) and a red and green light, called "A" and "B"

If one of you know what model it is, could you tell me how to tune frequency?

Thank you very much,

Bruno,
Brussels

4143. Re: BCD396T Faceplate Fixed?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-13 18:11:44 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <kl8tk11ncof9sgvn295thsdoq254pcjhd4@4ax.com>
Source record: 11605

On 13 Oct 2005 04:54:03 -0700, "WA2SI" <wa2si@arrl.net> said in
rec.radio.scanner:

>Glad to hear that Uniden did the right thing. While I'm fortunate
>enough that I don't much bristle at a $500+ rig purchase, the faceplate
>issue should just plain not have been present on a $500+ scanner.

A few of them didn't get clear-coated. Things happen.

>Take care es hpe c u ota.

Radio Central once every few years, otherwise I'm not very active -
too much other stuff going on.

Winamp:suffolkscanner.redirectme.net:8000/stream

4144. F.S. Uniden BC895XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-13 23:32:57 UTC
From: Stuart <kb8viy@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <1129246377.667882.52440@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11606

Greetings,

I have for sale a BC895XLT in brand new condtion. I have the box, but no manual. Comes with wal-wart and antenna. You can get the manual for free from the www.uniden.com website. I have all ready checked. I am selling the scanner due to the County that I live in went digital. I am asking $100.00 shipped. Thanks in advance. 73, Stuart KB8VIY

4145. uniden scanner ubc175xl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-14 01:52:16 UTC
From: Rick <zrick001@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129254736.246865.196710@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11607

Hi, I am looking for a circut diagram for this uniden scanner ubc
175xl, if anybody can help.
Cheers
Rick

4146. Re: BC350 Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-14 04:32:42 UTC
From: masterblaster777 <user@domain.invalid>
Message-ID: <npednWXne4B8qdLeRVn-pA@athenet.net>
Source record: 11608

B940 wrote:
> Hi! i recently bought a BC350C and im wondering if there is any mods
> around for this unit?
>
>
Well, there's always the "Universal Mod" that works on all scanners to
"Open Up Coverage." Smash it with a steel hammer. Bango!!! You will
have open coverage. :)

No other ones that I know of at this time.

4147. FA: Yaesu VR-120D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-14 14:53:47 UTC
From: rljames <rljames@netacc.net>
Message-ID: <1129301627.845899.11110@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11609

eBay Auction of Yaesu VR-120D

http://tinyurl.com/7ozrz

This is a great little receiver that has never let me down in terms of performing better than expected. Good for TV audio, FM and even AM if your willing to move the radio around a little for best internal antenna orientation. AA battery life is exceptional.

Thanks for looking...

4148. Re: help with pro 2035 discrim output

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-14 17:45:56 UTC
From: hongbitsATgmailDOTcom edited for spam prevention <hongbits@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129311956.049310.193770@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11610

that was the answer i was looking for. thank you very much.

hb.

4149. Re: Yaesu VR-120D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-14 19:12:49 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com>
Message-ID: <RqT3f.13767$vw6.3238@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 11611

Have to agree 100%!

"rljames" <rljames@netacc.net> wrote in message
news:1129301627.845899.11110@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> eBay Auction of Yaesu VR-120D
>
> http://tinyurl.com/7ozrz
>
> This is a great little receiver that has never let me down in terms of
> performing better than expected. Good for TV audio, FM and even AM if
> your willing to move the radio around a little for best internal
> antenna orientation. AA battery life is exceptional.
>
> Thanks for looking...
>

4150. Mesa AZ City Website lists freqs and codes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-14 19:25:11 UTC
From: zerg90 <zerg90@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129317911.540953.264690@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11612

http://www.ci.mesa.az.us/police/communications/technology.asp (see the links on the left side for freqs and codes) - Peter S

I have a list of official websites that list their freqs at http://www.yahoogroups.com/group/sonofrcma/files

4151. OT:Is anyone on group at Chinese Grand Prix NOW? Help get a message to my wife?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-14 22:03:25 UTC
From: "G1LVN (for it is he)" <gareth.paley@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <dip9vd$2pe$1@nwrdmz03.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com>
Source record: 11613

My wife is in Shanghai, China right now. It's her 40th Birthday on Sunday and as she was already going to a conference in Beijing next week, I've bought her tickets to go to the Grand Prix. It's such a shame that I now cannot be with her (due to work commitments).

Sorry I know it's off-topic but can anyone let me know when the qualifying is on (local time) so I can txt her? She's having a bit of trouble finding this out locally.

Also, [a long shot I know] does anyone know how I would go about arranging for a "Happy 40th Birthday Lynne from Xavi, Monty and Gareth XXX" message on the track scoreboard on Sunday? It would make her day.

Regards
Gareth
--
73deG1LVN (M0WWS) Scanner and F1 http://www.g1lvn.org.uk/

4152. Re: Antenna Length Formula

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-15 13:53:29 UTC
From: kla1899 <spam@ftc.gov>
Message-ID: <Xns96F065984840nottodaycom@130.81.64.196>
Source record: 11614

k5die@sbcglobal.net wrote in
news:1128616071.226250.69370@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

> 2808 divided by the frequency in MHz will give
> the length in inches for a 1/4 whip. Roughly
> 17.5 inches. Times 4 will give you a full wave.
>
> Can't understand why you would want a full wave
> whip. The impedence would be very high and
> would not match a scanner or two way radio. 1/4,
> 5/8, or 3/4 in much easier to match.
>
> Jim, K5DIE/9
>
>
> Al wrote:
>> ddforsling@msn.com (Don Forsling) wrote in
>> <115ijhu243c22bf@news.supernews.com>:
>>
>> >Folks, I'm old, I'm tired, and I'm lazy. I'm also forgetful.
>> >
>> >Would someone kindly provide the formula for deterring the length of
>> >a full-wave antenna for a given frequency (a full-wave whip comes
>> >to mind)? Then, I can do the math. Better yet, I'll give you the
>> >frequency and _you_ can do the math. It's 160.890 mHz.
>> >
>> >I will never ask this question again. Sorry. Thanks, etc.
>> >
>> >Best,
>>
>> Once upon a time when I was taught physics, I was told that full wave
>> lenght should be calculated by dividing the speed of a light
>> (299820km/s) with the frequency(in MHz)/1000, so for full wave dipole
>> it should be 299820*160.89/1000=1.864m, for half-wave dipole divide
>> the result by two.
>>
>> Albert
>
>

Due it's to simple, click the link:

http://mysite.verizon.net/moment_1/scannertesting/calculation.htm

put in your frequ hit Calculate "DUNE"

--
kla1899

4153. scanner antenna for WiFi?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-15 19:09:17 UTC
From: snow <dlessard1@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <xtc4f.900$%L.126@trndny09>
Source record: 11615

Anyone try a desktop scanner antenna for a
home Wifi network? Any improved results? I am trying to extend my Wifi
reception to the end of my house. The router is one on one end of the house,
but I can't receive a signal on the other end....about 10 feet short. I
can't move the router setup..so I am looking for an antenna improvement over
the cheap stubby antenna. Must be a way to do this without buying extra
routers, etc. Any ideas appreciated. I do have an extra desktop scanner
antenna I can dig out of the attic..lokks like it may fit the back of the
router..must be about 3 or 4 times the length of the stubby.

4154. Re: scanner antenna for WiFi?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-15 19:40:14 UTC
From: Mike <room4me@rocketmail.com>
Message-ID: <m7-dnf8VQNROx8zeRVn-2g@comcast.com>
Source record: 11616

Snow,

Your scanner antenna is not likely to work. The cable for your antenna will have a huge loss at 2.5GHz, and the connector is also not likely fit the back of your wireless router, or it may damage the connector.

This may seem is a bit off topic, but I found an elegant and FREE solution to your problem. It can be used for scanner antennas too. You can find it on this site. http://www.freeantennas.com/prod03.htm . If you go down the page to the easy 12db parabolic template, you'll find the template called windsurfer.jpg. This is very easy to make. You basically fold it and put the other piece's tabs thru the slots and you have a perfect parabola. I printed this and cut it out of manila folder material and glued foil to the back of the unit. It works very well. Since you can scale this template (keeping the reference square, square) for any physical size, it can be used for Ham or other scanner frequencies as well. There are numerous other useful antenna designs as well.

Mike

"snow" <dlessard1@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:xtc4f.900$%L.126@trndny09...
> Anyone try a desktop scanner antenna for a
> home Wifi network? Any improved results? I am trying to extend my Wifi
> reception to the end of my house. The router is one on one end of the
house,
> but I can't receive a signal on the other end....about 10 feet short. I
> can't move the router setup..so I am looking for an antenna improvement
over
> the cheap stubby antenna. Must be a way to do this without buying extra
> routers, etc. Any ideas appreciated. I do have an extra desktop scanner
> antenna I can dig out of the attic..lokks like it may fit the back of the
> router..must be about 3 or 4 times the length of the stubby.
>
>

4155. WTB:Windows compatible software for Optoelectronics 456

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-15 20:12:34 UTC
From: Mike <room4me@rocketmail.com>
Message-ID: <Pb6dnQ27wYz5_8zeRVn-vQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 11617

I have a Pro2006, with a Opto-456 card, and decided to fire it up with the computer attached. The software I got with the Opto board is dated. I see there are several programs available, but they all seem to have disclaimers on their compatibility with the latest Windows versions.So, rather than buy a new copy to play with and waste the money, I'm looking for a used copy. Scancat Gold, Probe or similar, which will work with the Opto-456.
Thanks.

Mike

4156. Re: WTB:Windows compatible software for Optoelectronics 456

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-15 21:02:52 UTC
From: krackula <krackula@i.am>
Message-ID: <aar2l1hn8gf3m2qssgp0qlrruv51pejdvu@4ax.com>
Source record: 11618

Probe is by far and wide the most desirable software for that set up.
you can often get 80 to 100 channels scan rate with it.
(closer to 80 most of the time)

you can keep unlimited scanning freq files in your computer for an
unlimited number of channels, and Probe will convert standard
formats of FCC frequency disks to make things much easier for you.

the other programs like Scancat look kewl (their GUIs) but are
painfully slow as compared to Probe. Scancat , for example , makes
a nice " manual " control for your scanner , when you want to use it
as a tunable receiver.

k

On Sat, 15 Oct 2005 13:12:34 -0700, "Mike" <room4me@rocketmail.com>
wrote:

>I have a Pro2006, with a Opto-456 card, and decided to fire it up with the
>computer attached. The software I got with the Opto board is dated. I see
>there are several programs available, but they all seem to have disclaimers
>on their compatibility with the latest Windows versions.So, rather than buy
>a new copy to play with and waste the money, I'm looking for a used copy.
>Scancat Gold, Probe or similar, which will work with the Opto-456.
>Thanks.
>
>Mike
>

4157. Re: scanner antenna for WiFi?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-15 21:50:36 UTC
From: snow <dlessard1@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <MQe4f.2507$Gt2.1261@trndny01>
Source record: 11619

Thanks Mike...excellant site.
"snow" <dlessard1@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:xtc4f.900$%L.126@trndny09...
> Anyone try a desktop scanner antenna for a
> home Wifi network? Any improved results? I am trying to extend my Wifi
> reception to the end of my house. The router is one on one end of the
house,
> but I can't receive a signal on the other end....about 10 feet short. I
> can't move the router setup..so I am looking for an antenna improvement
over
> the cheap stubby antenna. Must be a way to do this without buying extra
> routers, etc. Any ideas appreciated. I do have an extra desktop scanner
> antenna I can dig out of the attic..lokks like it may fit the back of the
> router..must be about 3 or 4 times the length of the stubby.
>
>

4158. Re: scanner antenna for WiFi?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-15 22:27:51 UTC
From: mikeFNB <mikefnb@moc.dlrowltn>
Message-ID: <Hnf4f.646$cz6.524@newsfe1-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 11620

have a look at the many 'reflectors' you can make & fit to wifi ducks.

and no most scanner stuff drops out at 1300MHz & even then it's crap!

mike

"snow" <dlessard1@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:xtc4f.900$%L.126@trndny09...
> Anyone try a desktop scanner antenna for a
> home Wifi network? Any improved results? I am trying to extend my Wifi
> reception to the end of my house. The router is one on one end of the
> house,
> but I can't receive a signal on the other end....about 10 feet short. I
> can't move the router setup..so I am looking for an antenna improvement
> over
> the cheap stubby antenna. Must be a way to do this without buying extra
> routers, etc. Any ideas appreciated. I do have an extra desktop scanner
> antenna I can dig out of the attic..lokks like it may fit the back of the
> router..must be about 3 or 4 times the length of the stubby.
>
>

4159. Re: WTB:Windows compatible software for Optoelectronics 456

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-16 00:57:16 UTC
From: Mike <room4me@rocketmail.com>
Message-ID: <WMGdnRWVdr2_OMzenZ2dnUVZ_tOdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 11621

Krackula,

Thanks for the feedback. Probe seems like a good choice. I looked at the Probe site the other day and they have a major disclaimer on compatibility with all the new Windows OS's. The interesting part, is they don't offer a demo version, so you can't confirm the compatibility. I don't mind buying software, but it's a gamble, spending $60.00 for their software. With a bit of luck , a used copy, might show up on Ebay or a ham site. Less invested should it prove incompatible.

Mike

"krackula" <krackula@i.am> wrote in message
news:aar2l1hn8gf3m2qssgp0qlrruv51pejdvu@4ax.com...
>
>
> Probe is by far and wide the most desirable software for that set up.
> you can often get 80 to 100 channels scan rate with it.
> (closer to 80 most of the time)
>
> you can keep unlimited scanning freq files in your computer for an
> unlimited number of channels, and Probe will convert standard
> formats of FCC frequency disks to make things much easier for you.
>
> the other programs like Scancat look kewl (their GUIs) but are
> painfully slow as compared to Probe. Scancat , for example , makes
> a nice " manual " control for your scanner , when you want to use it
> as a tunable receiver.
>
>
>
> k
>
>
> On Sat, 15 Oct 2005 13:12:34 -0700, "Mike" <room4me@rocketmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >I have a Pro2006, with a Opto-456 card, and decided to fire it up with
the
> >computer attached. The software I got with the Opto board is dated. I see
> >there are several programs available, but they all seem to have
disclaimers
> >on their compatibility with the latest Windows versions.So, rather than
buy
> >a new copy to play with and waste the money, I'm looking for a used copy.

> >Scancat Gold, Probe or similar, which will work with the Opto-456.
> >Thanks.
> >
> >Mike
> >
>

4160. Re: WTB:Windows compatible software for Optoelectronics 456

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-16 02:36:47 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@dim.com>
Message-ID: <03f3l1th1bqtg8bjd79dvhalv8hhs97ml8@4ax.com>
Source record: 11622

I keep a separate computer running Win9 (with option for DOS only) to
run many ham/scanner/SWL-related programs that cannot run on current
versions of Windoze. Plenty of old computers are capable of filling
that role, for a cheap price.

Regards,

Eric

"Mike" <room4me@rocketmail.com> wrote:

> Krackula,
>
> Thanks for the feedback. Probe seems like a good choice. I looked at the
> Probe site the other day and they have a major disclaimer on compatibility
> with all the new Windows OS's. The interesting part, is they don't offer a
> demo version, so you can't confirm the compatibility. I don't mind buying
> software, but it's a gamble, spending $60.00 for their software. With a bit
> of luck , a used copy, might show up on Ebay or a ham site. Less invested
> should it prove incompatible.
>
> Mike
>
> "krackula" <krackula@i.am> wrote in message
> news:aar2l1hn8gf3m2qssgp0qlrruv51pejdvu@4ax.com...
> > >
> > >
> > > Probe is by far and wide the most desirable software for that set up.
> > > you can often get 80 to 100 channels scan rate with it.
> > > (closer to 80 most of the time)
> > >
> > > you can keep unlimited scanning freq files in your computer for an
> > > unlimited number of channels, and Probe will convert standard
> > > formats of FCC frequency disks to make things much easier for you.
> > >
> > > the other programs like Scancat look kewl (their GUIs) but are
> > > painfully slow as compared to Probe. Scancat , for example , makes
> > > a nice " manual " control for your scanner , when you want to use it
> > > as a tunable receiver.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > k
> > >
> > >

> > On Sat, 15 Oct 2005 13:12:34 -0700, "Mike" <room4me@rocketmail.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >I have a Pro2006, with a Opto-456 card, and decided to fire it up with
> the
> > >computer attached. The software I got with the Opto board is dated. I see
> > >there are several programs available, but they all seem to have
> disclaimers
> > >on their compatibility with the latest Windows versions.So, rather than
> buy
> > >a new copy to play with and waste the money, I'm looking for a used copy.
> > >Scancat Gold, Probe or similar, which will work with the Opto-456.
> > >Thanks.
> > >
> > >Mike
> > >
> >
>

--
Eric F. Richards, efricha@dim.com
"Nature abhors a vacuum tube." -- J. R. Pierce, Bell Labs, c. 1940

4161. Re: WTB:Windows compatible software for Optoelectronics 456

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-16 06:25:04 UTC
From: Scan Da Bands <vzeip5vc@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <4sr3l1582og9kf64r4o1od041fg2j14lrt@4ax.com>
Source record: 11623

Mike I run Probe 7.0 on the 2006/Opto 456 combo with an IBM 390 laptop
and it is a match made in heaven.....Scancat Gold doesn't even
come close and Yes I've used both.....ScanCat might be "prettier" but
the Probe - wow. The logging events alone "dust" ANY software I've
used with my setup. And also I have used Probe from within XP also and
it worked fine , but I like the older laptops as to have it dedicated
to the 456....they also are a bit cheaper. Oh and I run the FULL Opto
456 , hope that's what you have (doesn't matter but you'll miss out
kind of sorta without being able to decode the
CTSS,DCS,etc.).....Probe - WELL WORTH THE PRICE my friend.....if
you decide not to go with the combo , let me know I would be glad to
"take it off your hands" as long as we could agree and all that happy
stuff.....

KRAKULA if you read this did you get the info on that disk I
mentioned.....I found a WORD document with some info on it.....

On Sat, 15 Oct 2005 17:57:16 -0700, "Mike" <room4me@rocketmail.com>
wrote:

>Krackula,
>
>Thanks for the feedback. Probe seems like a good choice. I looked at the
>Probe site the other day and they have a major disclaimer on compatibility
>with all the new Windows OS's. The interesting part, is they don't offer a
>demo version, so you can't confirm the compatibility. I don't mind buying
>software, but it's a gamble, spending $60.00 for their software. With a bit
>of luck , a used copy, might show up on Ebay or a ham site. Less invested
>should it prove incompatible.
>
>Mike
>
>"krackula" <krackula@i.am> wrote in message
>news:aar2l1hn8gf3m2qssgp0qlrruv51pejdvu@4ax.com...
>>
>>
>> Probe is by far and wide the most desirable software for that set up.
>> you can often get 80 to 100 channels scan rate with it.
>> (closer to 80 most of the time)
>>
>> you can keep unlimited scanning freq files in your computer for an
>> unlimited number of channels, and Probe will convert standard
>> formats of FCC frequency disks to make things much easier for you.
>>
>> the other programs like Scancat look kewl (their GUIs) but are

>> painfully slow as compared to Probe. Scancat , for example , makes
>> a nice " manual " control for your scanner , when you want to use it
>> as a tunable receiver.
>>
>>
>>
>> k
>>
>>
>> On Sat, 15 Oct 2005 13:12:34 -0700, "Mike" <room4me@rocketmail.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >I have a Pro2006, with a Opto-456 card, and decided to fire it up with
>the
>> >computer attached. The software I got with the Opto board is dated. I see
>> >there are several programs available, but they all seem to have
>disclaimers
>> >on their compatibility with the latest Windows versions.So, rather than
>buy
>> >a new copy to play with and waste the money, I'm looking for a used copy.
>> >Scancat Gold, Probe or similar, which will work with the Opto-456.
>> >Thanks.
>> >
>> >Mike
>> >
>>
>

4162. Re: Antenna Length Formula

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-17 01:38:34 UTC
From: Brian Aase <res0qrqr@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <h106l1da0a7m4746ofm54uguc3qdvr0fra@4ax.com>
Source record: 11624

Does this (or should it?) include any correction for propagation
factor?

On Sat, 15 Oct 2005 13:53:29 GMT, kla1899 <spam@ftc.gov> wrote:

>k5die@sbcglobal.net wrote in
>news:1128616071.226250.69370@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
>> 2808 divided by the frequency in MHz will give
>> the length in inches for a 1/4 whip. Roughly
>> 17.5 inches. Times 4 will give you a full wave.
<cut>
>
>Due it's to simple, click the link:
>
>http://mysite.verizon.net/moment_1/scannertesting/calculation.htm
>
>put in your frequ hit Calculate "DUNE"

_____
Posted Via Uncensored-News.Com - Accounts Starting At $6.95 -
http://www.uncensored-news.com
<><><><><><><><> The Worlds Uncensored News Source <><><><><><><><><>

4163. Re: Antenna Length Formula

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-17 01:51:57 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <hr06l1lsilc4pd833n80dbapltb7h8cqec@4ax.com>
Source record: 11625

On 17 Oct 2005 01:38:34 GMT, Brian Aase <res0qrqr@verizon.net> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>Does this (or should it?) include any correction for propagation
>factor?

For a whip? Not unless you're running a ground wire alongside it. Did you mean correction for end effect? (The prop factor is affected mainly by the capacitance between conductors along their length.)

4164. Re: WTB:Windows compatible software for Optoelectronics 456

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-17 03:23:19 UTC
From: Mike <room4me@rocketmail.com>
Message-ID: <2d-dnZE9QKxGhc7eRVn-rw@comcast.com>
Source record: 11626

Well the consensus is Probe V7 is the one. I guess I'll splurge on that, and see how it goes.
Thanks to all who have taken the time and recommended the software.

Mike

"Scan Da Bands" <vzeip5vc@verizon.net> wrote in message news:4sr3l1582og9kf64r4o1od041fg2j14lrt@4ax.com...
> Mike I run Probe 7.0 on the 2006/Opto 456 combo with an IBM 390 laptop
> and it is a match made in heaven.....Scancat Gold doesn't even
> come close and Yes I've used both.....ScanCat might be "prettier" but
> the Probe - wow. The logging events alone "dust" ANY software I've
> used with my setup. And also I have used Probe from within XP also and
> it worked fine , but I like the older laptops as to have it dedicated
> to the 456....they also are a bit cheaper. Oh and I run the FULL Opto
> 456 , hope that's what you have (doesn't matter but you'll miss out
> kind of sorta without being able to decode the
> CTSS,DCS,etc.).....Probe - WELL WORTH THE PRICE my friend.....if
> you decide not to go with the combo , let me know I would be glad to
> "take it off your hands" as long as we could agree and all that happy
> stuff.....
>
> KRAKULA if you read this did you get the info on that disk I
> mentioned.....I found a WORD document with some info on it.....
>
>
>
> On Sat, 15 Oct 2005 17:57:16 -0700, "Mike" <room4me@rocketmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Krackula,
> >
> >Thanks for the feedback. Probe seems like a good choice. I looked at the
> >Probe site the other day and they have a major disclaimer on
compatibility
> >with all the new Windows OS's. The interesting part, is they don't offer
a
> >demo version, so you can't confirm the compatibility. I don't mind buying
> >software, but it's a gamble, spending $60.00 for their software. With a
bit
> >of luck , a used copy, might show up on Ebay or a ham site. Less invested
> >should it prove incompatible.
> >
> >Mike
> >

> >"krackula" <krackula@i.am> wrote in message
> >news:aar2l1hn8gf3m2qssgp0qlrruv51pejdvu@4ax.com...
> >>
> >>
> >> Probe is by far and wide the most desirable software for that set up.
> >> you can often get 80 to 100 channels scan rate with it.
> >> (closer to 80 most of the time)
> >>
> >> you can keep unlimited scanning freq files in your computer for an
> >> unlimited number of channels, and Probe will convert standard
> >> formats of FCC frequency disks to make things much easier for you.
> >>
> >> the other programs like Scancat look kewl (their GUIs) but are
> >> painfully slow as compared to Probe. Scancat , for example , makes
> >> a nice " manual " control for your scanner , when you want to use it
> >> as a tunable receiver.
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> k
> >>
> >>
> >> On Sat, 15 Oct 2005 13:12:34 -0700, "Mike" <room4me@rocketmail.com>
> >> wrote:
> >>
> >> >I have a Pro2006, with a Opto-456 card, and decided to fire it up with
> >the
> >> >computer attached. The software I got with the Opto board is dated. I
see
> >> >there are several programs available, but they all seem to have
> >disclaimers
> >> >on their compatibility with the latest Windows versions.So, rather
than
> >buy
> >> >a new copy to play with and waste the money, I'm looking for a used
copy.
> >> >Scancat Gold, Probe or similar, which will work with the Opto-456.
> >> >Thanks.
> >> >
> >> >Mike
> >> >
> >>
> >
>

4165. Re: WTB:Windows compatible software for Optoelectronics 456

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-17 05:24:24 UTC
From: Scan Da Bands <vzeip5vc@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <rad6l1dtr5ut1ar795qm01cnopasg2b66k@4ax.com>
Source record: 11627

Most welcome Mike......let us know how you make out...my bet it is
you'll like it once you get it setup...

On Sun, 16 Oct 2005 20:23:19 -0700, "Mike" <room4me@rocketmail.com>
wrote:

>Well the consensus is Probe V7 is the one. I guess I'll splurge on that, and
>see how it goes.
>Thanks to all who have taken the time and recommended the software.
>
>Mike
>
>"Scan Da Bands" <vzeip5vc@verizon.net> wrote in message
>news:4sr3l1582og9kf64r4o1od041fg2j14lrt@4ax.com...
>> Mike I run Probe 7.0 on the 2006/Opto 456 combo with an IBM 390 laptop
>> and it is a match made in heaven.........Scancat Gold doesn't even
>> come close and Yes I've used both.....ScanCat might be "prettier" but
>> the Probe - wow. The logging events alone "dust" ANY software I've
>> used with my setup. And also I have used Probe from within XP also and
>> it worked fine , but I like the older laptops as to have it dedicated
>> to the 456....they also are a bit cheaper. Oh and I run the FULL Opto
>> 456 , hope that's what you have (doesn't matter but you'll miss out
>> kind of sorta without being able to decode the
>> CTSS,DCS,etc.).........Probe - WELL WORTH THE PRICE my friend......if
>> you decide not to go with the combo , let me know I would be glad to
>> "take it off your hands" as long as we could agree and all that happy
>> stuff.......
>>
>> KRAKULA if you read this did you get the info on that disk I
>> mentioned.....I found a WORD document with some info on it.....
>>
>>
>>
>> On Sat, 15 Oct 2005 17:57:16 -0700, "Mike" <room4me@rocketmail.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >Krackula,
>> >
>> >Thanks for the feedback. Probe seems like a good choice. I looked at the
>> >Probe site the other day and they have a major disclaimer on
>>compatibility
>> >with all the new Windows OS's. The interesting part, is they don't offer
>>a
>> >demo version, so you can't confirm the compatibility. I don't mind buying
>> >software, but it's a gamble, spending $60.00 for their software. With a

>bit
>> >of luck , a used copy, might show up on Ebay or a ham site. Less invested
>> >should it prove incompatible.
>> >
>> >Mike
>> >
>> >"krackula" <krackula@i.am> wrote in message
>> >news:aar2l1hn8gf3m2qssgp0qlrruv51pejdvu@4ax.com...
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> Probe is by far and wide the most desirable software for that set up.
>> >> you can often get 80 to 100 channels scan rate with it.
>> >> (closer to 80 most of the time)
>> >>
>> >> you can keep unlimited scanning freq files in your computer for an
>> >> unlimited number of channels, and Probe will convert standard
>> >> formats of FCC frequency disks to make things much easier for you.
>> >>
>> >> the other programs like Scancat look kewl (their GUIs) but are
>> >> painfully slow as compared to Probe. Scancat , for example , makes
>> >> a nice " manual " control for your scanner , when you want to use it
>> >> as a tunable receiver.
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> k
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> On Sat, 15 Oct 2005 13:12:34 -0700, "Mike" <room4me@rocketmail.com>
>> >> wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >I have a Pro2006, with a Opto-456 card, and decided to fire it up with
>> >the
>> >> >computer attached. The software I got with the Opto board is dated. I
>see
>> >> >there are several programs available, but they all seem to have
>> >disclaimers
>> >> >on their compatibility with the latest Windows versions.So, rather
>than
>> >buy
>> >> >a new copy to play with and waste the money, I'm looking for a used
>copy.
>> >> >Scancat Gold, Probe or similar, which will work with the Opto-456.
>> >> >Thanks.
>> >> >
>> >> >Mike
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >
>>

>

4166. Live scanner feed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-17 18:59:53 UTC
From: mitbr <tampabayfl@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <56061$4353f4ac$1860265d$844@KNOLOGY.NET>
Source record: 11628

Updated my Pinellas County Florida (Tampa area) live scanner broadcast now
with better sound and much better signal reception with new antenna visit my
web site to listen.Connect to the Winamp feed to see the text tags of what
your listening to.
Tim
kg4jjs
Webmaster of the Tampa Bay Radio Page
http://www.knology.net/~res0plht/Mi01000.htm

4167. What is the best mobile scanner for CB use?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-18 02:05:09 UTC
From: "J.A. Rodgers" <erudite@charter.net>
Message-ID: <ktl8l1poqc0ddf9f0g8nl6955el534j2qk@4ax.com>
Source record: 11629

I pulled my old Cobra 148 GT out of the trunk this afternoon thinking
I would hook it up and see if it still worked. I bought this thing
back in 1987 in a pawn shop in Everett, Washington. It worked fine for
years, but I haven't used it in a long long time.

I suspect the technology today is much much better now than it was
then.

Since I haven't a clue as to what the top shelf car scanner would be,
I'm asking for some recommendations.

Thank you.

I Am A Man...and nothing human is alien to me.

The Earth is One Great Country, and humankind it's citizens.

Join the worlds greatest no-spin discussion forum for only $100,000 a year USD

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/the_big_picture/

4168. CTCSS Board Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-18 03:42:16 UTC
From: John <avengerb32@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <sa_4f.73406$7b6.1264@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 11630

Does anyone know where to get the optional CTCSS board for the radio Shack Pro-2045 scanner? Rat Shack's catalog number is 20-0031 .

4169. Re: What is the best mobile scanner for CB use?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-18 04:13:03 UTC
From: John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com>
Message-ID: <6vr8l1h4qpauvvfo51e0cmpppm0ie9j05o@4ax.com>
Source record: 11631

On Mon, 17 Oct 2005 21:05:09 -0500, J.A. Rodgers <erudite@charter.net>
wrote:

>I pulled my old Cobra 148 GT out of the trunk this afternoon thinking
>I would hook it up and see if it still worked. I bought this thing
>back in 1987 in a pawn shop in Everett, Washington. It worked fine for
>years, but I haven't used it in a long long time.
>
>I suspect the technology today is much much better now than it was
>then.
>
>Since I haven't a clue as to what the top shelf car scanner would be,
>I'm asking for some recommendations.
>
>Thank you.

Just a quick warning before you go installing a scanner in your car,
you might want to find out what the law is where you live. In may
places, putting a scanner in a motor vehicle is illegal.

If all you're interested in scanning is the CB band, you can get a
mobile CB rig that will scan all 40 channels without running afoul of
scanner laws. The Cobra 75 WX ST and Cobra 18 WX ST II are two mobile
CBs that I know of that have channel scan.

If you can legally have a scanner in your car or wish to do it
illegally (although certainly I don't recommend the latter!), the list
of possibilities is a lot longer. For whatever it's worth, the Uniden
BCT8 that I have covers the 40 CB channels, and much more.

John Kasupski, Tonawanda, New York
Amateur Radio (KC2HMZ), SWL/Scanner Monitoring (KNY2VS)
zIRC #monitor Admin

4170. Re: CTCSS Board Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-18 05:36:27 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <ae29l198lkl1gj1dhp13ihjec1a5tbcp44@4ax.com>
Source record: 11632

On Tue, 18 Oct 2005 03:42:16 GMT, "John" <avengerb32@hotmail.com> said
in rec.radio.scanner:

>Does anyone know where to get the optional CTCSS board for the radio Shack
>Pro-2045 scanner? Rat Shack's catalog number is 20-0031 .

Radio Shack doesn't have any more in the warehouse?

4171. Re: CTCSS Board Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-18 15:37:12 UTC
From: John <avengerb32@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <IE85f.79361$7b6.11116@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 11633

I called 1-800-TheShack and asked for the CTCSS tone board (20-0031) and
they had no idea what I was talking about.

"Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message
news:ae29l198lkl1gj1dhp13ihjec1a5tbcp44@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 18 Oct 2005 03:42:16 GMT, "John" <avengerb32@hotmail.com> said
> in rec.radio.scanner:
>
>>Does anyone know where to get the optional CTCSS board for the radio Shack
>>Pro-2045 scanner? Rat Shack's catalog number is 20-0031 .
>
> Radio Shack doesn't have any more in the warehouse?

4172. Re: CTCSS Board Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-18 15:49:38 UTC
From: Bob Parnass <not-for-mail@fake.invalid>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.10.18.15.49.37.514906@fake.invalid>
Source record: 11634
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Tue, 18 Oct 2005 03:42:16 +0000, John wrote:

> Does anyone know where to get the optional CTCSS board for the radio Shack
> Pro-2045 scanner? Rat Shack's catalog number is 20-0031 .

FYI, the PRO-2045 used the same optional CTCSS board sold for
the discontinued Uniden/Bearcat BC9000XLT and BC8500XLT models.
Uniden identified the CTCSS board as a BC005.

Perhaps you can find a Uniden dealer who still has one in stock.

--
==
Bob Parnass, AJ9S GNU/Linux User http://parnass.com

4173. Re: What is the best mobile scanner for CB use?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-18 20:06:35 UTC
From: Ken <ktm@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <fBc5f.10243$cg.7941@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 11635

> >I suspect the technology today is much much better now than it was
> >then.

I think alot of the new stuff is junk, the older radios were made much
better.
Technology has improved, but quality sucks.

> >Since I haven't a clue as to what the top shelf car scanner would be,
> >I'm asking for some recommendations.

The uniden BCT8 is a good one. I have the older BCT7 in my truck &
really enjoy it. I use my RS Pro95 for trunking.

> Just a quick warning before you go installing a scanner in your car,
> you might want to find out what the law is where you live. In may
> places, putting a scanner in a motor vehicle is illegal.
> John Kasupski, Tonawanda, New York
> Amateur Radio (KC2HMZ), SWL/Scanner Monitoring (KNY2VS)
> zIRC #monitor Admin

This is true

4174. Re: CTCSS Board Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-18 20:35:01 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <r1nal19lbp4lukiahvgf8v31npcvbb8uin@4ax.com>
Source record: 11636

On Tue, 18 Oct 2005 15:37:12 GMT, "John" <avengerb32@hotmail.com> said
in rec.radio.scanner:

>I called 1-800-TheShack and asked for the CTCSS tone board (20-0031) and
>they had no idea what I was talking about.

No surprise. Call them and give them a part number. (It should be in
the manual.)

For minimum wage you expect them to learn something about the products
they "support"? :)

4175. Digging for Scanners on the Internet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-18 20:42:17 UTC
From: martin@hydrogen.cis.okstate.edu
Message-ID: <dj3mn9$f81$1@hydrogen.cis.okstate.edu>
Source record: 11637

I enjoy listening to scanner feeds from other cities from time to time. I have found them through Google searches that lead to scanner sites, etc. One small fly in the ointment is that, as a computer user who uses Linux and who also happens to be blind, I can not presently use IE or Netscape or Mozzilla. There are interfaces for blind Windows users, but they really don't fit in to the UNIX world all that gracefully if at all.

By using the mplayer (movie player) program in Linux, I can listen to almost any Realaudio file along with many other popular streaming audio protocols such as WMA, etc. Now for the problem.

Several scanner sites such as the one for Cleveland, Ohio, NYC, Portland, Oregon and Tulsa, Oklahoma appear to have scanner links that are put together in some sort of Windows-centric way, per haps with javascript, such that I don't see a URL to an audio feed. It could also be that they use Shockwave which is one of the few formats that mplayer usually can't play unless one has built it with special drivers.

Does anyone know the actual link to the feeds for these cities?

What happens is that one gets to a link that says something like "Live Scanner Feed," selects it and there isn't anything useful inside.

On city feeds like Denver or Washington D.C, there is an .asx file or maybe a .pls file that can be fed to the mplayer program as the playlist option.

The Washington D.C. feed appears to be sponsored by the local chapter of the FOP and lets you listen to their digital system. Trust me. If you hear one, it sounds like nothing else. If someone is speaking on the radio and there is another radio nearby, the slight delay caused by the digital processing makes for a very weird reverb effect.

Surprisingly, there appears to be no present feed for Los Angeles. There used to be one at one time, but the fellow who ran it had a message on his site stating that it was down due to home remodeling.

Finally, a word of thanks to those people and groups who go to the trouble and expense of putting such feeds on the Internet.

Thanks for any ideas that might resolve some of these sites.
--

Martin McCormick WB5AGZ Stillwater, OK
Information Technology Division Network Operations Group

4176. Re: CTCSS Board Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-18 20:43:15 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <GcCdnYfs7Zf0w8jeRVn-jg@comcast.com>
Source record: 11638

As Bob Parnass mentioned, same as the Uniden BC005. I did a quick Google search, and found a place that claims they have it in stock!

http://www.randl.com/cgi-local/cart/cart.cgi?cart=Search&groupnumber=(8000)

Bill Crocker

"John" <avengerb32@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:sa_4f.73406$7b6.1264@twister.nyroc.rr.com...
> Does anyone know where to get the optional CTCSS board for the radio Shack
> Pro-2045 scanner? Rat Shack's catalog number is 20-0031 .
>

4177. Re: Digging for Scanners on the Internet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-18 22:24:19 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <p8tal1dg09fpn6a0j7u4b6oh4cgc5s8p7s@4ax.com>
Source record: 11639

On 18 Oct 2005 15:42:17 -0500, martin@hydrogen.cis.okstate.edu (Martin McCormick) said in rec.radio.scanner:

>On city feeds like Denver or Washington D.C, there is an .asx
>file or maybe a .pls file that can be fed to the mplayer program as
>the playlist option.

That's a Winamp feed. There are a number of reasons to use it, among them free alpha text streaming. (The listener can see what the scanner has stopped on.)

If you're interested in Long Island, NY, try
http://suffolkscanner.redirectme.net:8000/stream
I don't know whether any software you use will be able to play it, but the Windows Media Player link on my site
(http://www.webdingers.com/scanner.html) handles it.

4178. Re: What is the best mobile scanner for CB use?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-18 22:24:45 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com>
Message-ID: <NCe5f.18141$q1.2052@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 11640

I'll chime in and agree. I have a BCT-8 in my -Z- and it works great for
everything it receives...

"Ken" <ktm@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:fBc5f.10243$cg.7941@news02.roc.ny...
>
> > >I suspect the technology today is much much better now than it was
> > >then.
>
> I think alot of the new stuff is junk, the older radios were made much
> better.
> Technology has improved, but quality sucks.
>
> > >Since I haven't a clue as to what the top shelf car scanner would be,
> > >I'm asking for some recommendations.
>
> The uniden BCT8 is a good one. I have the older BCT7 in my truck &
> really enjoy it. I use my RS Pro95 for trunking.
>
> > Just a quick warning before you go installing a scanner in your car,
> > you might want to find out what the law is where you live. In may
> > places, putting a scanner in a motor vehicle is illegal.
> > John Kasupski, Tonawanda, New York
> > Amateur Radio (KC2HMZ), SWL/Scanner Monitoring (KNY2VS)
> > zIRC #monitor Admin
>
> This is true
>
>

4179. NoteBook Computer 4-Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-19 00:01:23 UTC
From: Bill <bgates@msn.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96F3CCADA886Bbgatesmsncom@130.81.64.196>
Source record: 11641

Looking for a Notebook?? This one rocks :-)

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=6813132360

Thanks for checking it out :-)

--
Bill

--
Bill

4180. Re: Digging for Scanners on the Internet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-19 01:40:10 UTC
From: martin@hydrogen.cis.okstate.edu
Message-ID: <dj485q$g2j$1@hydrogen.cis.okstate.edu>
Source record: 11642

In article <p8tal1dg09fpn6a0j7u4b6oh4cgc5s8p7s@4ax.com>,
Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote:
>
>
>That's a Winamp feed. There are a number of reasons to use it, among
>them free alpha text streaming. (The listener can see what the
>scanner has stopped on.)
>
>If you're interested in Long Island, NY, try
>http://suffolkscanner.redirectme.net:8000/stream
>I don't know whether any software you use will be able to play it, but
>the Windows Media Player link on my site
>(http://www.webdingers.com/scanner.html) handles it.

It works like a charm. Sounds like a Motorola Smartnet
system. Here's what I did:

#! /bin/sh
mpg123 http://suffolkscanner.redirectme.net:8000/stream

Those of you who are UNIX devotees will see that's a shell
script, all be it a very simple one. I call it longisland so all I
need to do is type

longisland

at the shell prompt and I am hearing it. Many thanks.
--

Martin McCormick WB5AGZ Stillwater, OK
Information Technology Division Network Operations Group

4181. Re: CTCSS Board Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-19 02:26:37 UTC
From: John <avengerb32@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <x9i5f.69302$K91.56680@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 11643

Thanks fellas.

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:GcCdnYfs7Zf0w8jeRVn-jg@comcast.com...
> As Bob Parnass mentioned, same as the Uniden BC005. I did a quick Google
> search, and found a place that claims they have it in stock!
>
> http://www.randl.com/cgi-local/cart/cart.cgi?cart=Search&groupnumber=(8000)
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
>
> "John" <avengerb32@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:sa_4f.73406$7b6.1264@twister.nyroc.rr.com...
>> Does anyone know where to get the optional CTCSS board for the radio
>> Shack Pro-2045 scanner? Rat Shack's catalog number is 20-0031 .
>>
>
>

4182. Re: What is the best mobile scanner for CB use?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-19 02:54:27 UTC
From: John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com>
Message-ID: <urcbl1d5pcvorir9vl1pscg6dss57n39nu@4ax.com>
Source record: 11644

On Tue, 18 Oct 2005 20:06:35 GMT, "Ken" <ktm@frontiernet.net> wrote:

>I think alot of the new stuff is junk, the older radios were made much
>better.
>Technology has improved, but quality sucks.

Some of this is hard to get around from a technical standpoint,
though. Today's receivers cover such a wide portion of the spectrum,
there's no way they can be built with the same filtering that radios
had twenty years ago. The "tight front end" of receivers from circa
1975 would render todays receivers stone deaf across half their
receiving range. Hence the receivers today are more susceptible to
intermod, image reception, and problems like that, that the rigs 25
years ago didn't have because that stuff was filtered out, and the
radios then concentrated on a smaller slice of spectrum.

In other words, it's a trade-off. If you want your radio to receive
everything from DC to daylight, then you're going to have to put up
with some unwanted signals sometimes.

>The uniden BCT8 is a good one. I have the older BCT7 in my truck &
>really enjoy it. I use my RS Pro95 for trunking.

I have a PRO-94 and the BCT8 that are trunking scanners. I won't get
into the dozen or so older ones I still have and use (including one
rockbound desktop model), but my favorite is still the PRO-2045. The
only reason I bought the PRO-94 and then the BCT8 is that there are a
couple of agencies locally using trunked systems now. The BCT-8 is in
my van; I carry the PRO-94 at work.

John Kasupski, Tonawanda, New York
Amateur Radio (KC2HMZ), SWL/Scanner Monitoring (KNY2VS)
zIRC #monitor Admin

4183. Re: What is the best mobile scanner for CB use?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-19 03:47:53 UTC
From: John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com>
Message-ID: <rddbl1lbf0mmrfildmjv965lltjlqn1cqs@4ax.com>
Source record: 11645

On Tue, 18 Oct 2005 22:24:45 GMT, "Jim Hackett"
<h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com> wrote:

>I'll chime in and agree. I have a BCT-8 in my -Z- and it works great for
>everything it receives...

I've had mine for less than a month, so I'm still learning it, but I haven't had any trouble getting it to follow the two trunked systems I have programmed into it (one EDACS and one Motorola), and of course it works fine on conventional repeater and simplex systems.

The BCT8 was bought to upgrade from a BC-750A in my van. I've described the BCT8 to friends of mine as a BC-750A on steroids. It uses a similar approach to the way it handles service banks and searching, but programming the private bank is a lot easier, and the frequency coverage and trunking on the BCT8 are superior to the 750A.

I did look at the BC-350C before buying the BCT8 but opted to add the trunking capability - the main difference between the 750A and the 350C was in the number of user-programmable channels available, so buying the 350C wouldn't have added all that much, but going to the BCT8 was a major upgrade in capability and, to me anyway, worth the extra cost.

John Kasupski, Tonawanda, New York
Amateur Radio (KC2HMZ), SWL/Scanner Monitoring (KNY2VS)
zIRC #monitor Admin

4184. Re: Digging for Scanners on the Internet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-19 05:03:37 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <aokbl15eom37p8h0gh0ng1jfnmibpfj4jf@4ax.com>
Source record: 11646

On 18 Oct 2005 20:40:10 -0500, martin@hydrogen.cis.okstate.edu (Martin McCormick) said in rec.radio.scanner:

>In article <p8tal1dg09fpn6a0j7u4b6oh4cgc5s8p7s@4ax.com>,
>Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote:
>>
>>
>>That's a Winamp feed. There are a number of reasons to use it, among
>>them free alpha text streaming. (The listener can see what the
>>scanner has stopped on.)
>>
>>If you're interested in Long Island, NY, try
>>http://suffolkscanner.redirectme.net:8000/stream
>>I don't know whether any software you use will be able to play it, but
>>the Windows Media Player link on my site
>>(http://www.webdingers.com/scanner.html) handles it.
>
> It works like a charm. Sounds like a Motorola Smartnet
>system. Here's what I did:
>
>#! /bin/sh
>mpg123 http://suffolkscanner.redirectme.net:8000/stream
>
> Those of you who are UNIX devotees will see that's a shell
>script, all be it a very simple one. I call it longisland so all I
>need to do is type
>
>longisland
>
>at the shell prompt and I am hearing it. Many thanks.

With your permission, that's going up on the site for *nix users (attributed to you, of course).

And, yes, it's an 800 MHz Motorola Type 2 SmartZone system.

4185. Re: Digging for Scanners on the Internet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-19 05:30:27 UTC
From: HotShot <MyShotIsHot@HotShotShootsSpam.Com>
Message-ID: <WRk5f.10654$ns3.751075@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 11647

Actually, pretty well the whole state is covered for scanner feeds.
check out http://www.mattlogic.com/

And there's a few fellas from
http://www.scannerbuff.net/teamspeak/teamspeak.html that have LAPD and
LA County.

Martin McCormick wrote:
>
> Surprisingly, there appears to be no present feed for Los
> Angeles. There used to be one at one time, but the fellow who ran it
> had a message on his site stating that it was down due to home
> remodeling.

4186. Re: NoteBook Computer 4-Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-19 14:32:54 UTC
From: Bill <bgates@msn.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96F46C4C497D3bgatesmsncom@130.81.64.196>
Source record: 11648

Evan Platt <evan@theobvious.espphotography.com> wrote in
news:mkkcl1558i5fjicd7tata1qbgskcvonfhd@4ax.com:

> On Wed, 19 Oct 2005 00:01:23 GMT, Bill <bgates@msn.com> wrote:
>
>>Looking for a Notebook?? This one rocks :-)
>>
>>http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=
>>
>>
>>Thanks for checking it out :-)
>
> What radio frequencies does this receive?
>

Well it has ARC780, ARC898, ScanCat and WinScan 780 on it, so it will
program from 1Kh to 13Gh any other questions??

--
Bill

4187. Re: Digging for Scanners on the Internet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-19 15:06:22 UTC
From: martin@hydrogen.cis.okstate.edu
Message-ID: <dj5ndeicl1@hydrogen.cis.okstate.edu>
Source record: 11649

In article <aokbl15eom37p8h0gh0ng1jfnmibpfj4jf@4ax.com>,
Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote:
>With your permission, that's going up on the site for *nix users
>(attributed to you, of course).

Certainly! That's fine.
--

Martin McCormick WB5AGZ Stillwater, OK
Information Technology Division Network Operations Group

4188. Re: NoteBook Computer 4-Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-19 16:51:48 UTC
From: Scan Da Bands <vzeip5vc@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <gcucl15pbrgdbdpm7ust24ehj2e02tq77p@4ax.com>
Source record: 11650

GRIN

On Wed, 19 Oct 2005 14:32:54 GMT, Bill <bgates@msn.com> wrote:
>
>Well it has ARC780, ARC898, ScanCat and WinScan 780 on it, so it will
>program from 1Kh to 13Gh any other questions??

4189. Re: Yupiteru new models very scarce

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-19 20:34:28 UTC
From: Danny Travers <danny_travers@tiscali.co.uk>
Message-ID: <4356add7_3@mk-nntp-2.news.uk.tiscali.com>
Source record: 11551
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

criky i have just bought the 7100 at maplin in aberdeen cos it was the best
in the shop. not much of a boost 4 me readin yor message
"Mike Cawood, HND BIT" <me@privacy.net> wrote in message
news:43172280$1_2@mk-nntp-2.news.uk.tiscali.com...
> "Ray Colvill" <rcolvill@paradise.net.nz> wrote in message
> news:43095e7e@clear.net.nz...
>> No new models from them for while in the scanner arena.
>>
>> The website has no scanners on the front page any more.
>>
>> They seemed to have branched in another direction.
>>
>> Any one know any more about what's up.
>>
>>
> I'm a bit surprised by that, but as they've put the old MVT-7100 back
> into production, I guess they've no spare manufacturing capacity.
> Still there are plenty of other makes out there with some excellent new
> model scanners.
> Regards Mike.
>

4190. Re: NoteBook Computer 4-Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-19 21:06:48 UTC
From: nfr545 <nfr545@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <11lddcvksn6kdf9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 11552

Been wanting a laptop with a built in communications receiver. I'll go ahead and bid on it.

"Bill" <bgates@msn.com> wrote in message news:Xns96F46C4C497D3bgatesmsncom@130.81.64.196...

> Well it has ARC780, ARC898, ScanCat and WinScan 780 on it, so it will
> program from 1Kh to 13Gh any other questions??

4191. FA: Viking Professional 10 Hour Recorder w/ VOX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-20 00:45:24 UTC
From: JJ <jj@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <1didnW2qyKrKdMveRVn-qg@comcast.com>
Source record: 11553
Cross-posted to: alt.binaries.radio-scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.shortwave,phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5820543157&rd=1&sspagename=STRK%3AMESE%3AIT&rd=1

This unique professional recorder is a 10 hour long play and recorder using standard cassettes.
Can be used for "time shifting" radio programs. VOX (Voice activated recording) is perfect for scanner and shortwave applications.

You can see more info at the Viking site-
http://www.vikingint.com/viking.htm

4192. Re: FA: Viking Professional 10 Hour Recorder w/ VOX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-20 06:23:59 UTC
From: miso@sushi.com
Message-ID: <1129789439.511165.236340@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11554
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I bought one years ago. A total piece of crap, but the company did
refund my money. They mod some stock recorder to make it run slower.
Mine had something loose rattling inside.

Use your computer and the scanner recorder at www.davee.com

JJ wrote:
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5820543157&rd=1&sspagename=STRK%3AMESE%3AIT&rd=1
>
> This unique professional recorder is a 10 hour long play and recorder using
> standard cassettes.
> Can be used for "time shifting" radio programs. VOX (Voice activated
> recording) is perfect for scanner and shortwave applications.
>
> You can see more info at the Viking site-
> http://www.vikingint.com/viking.htm

4193. Re: Digging for Scanners on the Internet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-20 11:08:26 UTC
From: martin@hydrogen.cis.okstate.edu
Message-ID: <dj7tra$n6r$1@hydrogen.cis.okstate.edu>
Source record: 11555

In article <WRk5f.10654$ns3.751075@news20.bellglobal.com>,
HotShot <MyShotIsHot@HotShotShootsSpam.Com> wrote:
>
>
>Actually, pretty well the whole state is covered for scanner feeds.
>check out http://www.mattlogic.com/
>
>And there's a few fellas from
>http://www.scannerbuff.net/teamspeak/teamspeak.html that have LAPD and
>LA County.

Thank you very much for the info. I'll have to give those
feeds a try.
--

Martin McCormick WB5AGZ Stillwater, OK
Information Technology Division Network Operations Group

4194. Re: NoteBook Computer 4-Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-20 11:39:23 UTC
From: The Joe <joegrimes@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129808363.839154.75380@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11556

Wow! A $99 paperweight!

4195. Lompoc CA Scannerists Assist FD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-20 13:06:23 UTC
From: zerg90 <zerg90@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129813583.210486.130460@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11557

Lompoc Record, Wed, 19 Oct 2005 11:38 PM PDT
Residents led firefighters to fire in dense brush
http://www.lompocrecord.com/articles/2005/10/20/news/news07.txt
When a brush fire broke out near south Vandenberg Village recently, two
good samaritans played a pivotal role in firefighters' efforts to get
to the blaze.

in southern California

4196. Re: FA: Viking Professional 10 Hour Recorder w/ VOX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-20 13:40:34 UTC
From: wavetrapper <k3pi@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <1129815634.868293.316300@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11558
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On the other hand, I got one years ago and it has performed admirably
and is still going strong.

4197. Re: FA: Viking Professional 10 Hour Recorder w/ VOX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-20 15:33:53 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <BNO5f.160752$qY1.91781@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 11559
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

wavetrapper wrote:
> On the other hand, I got one years ago and it has performed admirably
> and is still going strong.
>

So is mine.

4198. Re: Digging for Scanners on the Internet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-20 20:33:31 UTC
From: martin@hydrogen.cis.okstate.edu
Message-ID: <dj8uur$p25$1@hydrogen.cis.okstate.edu>
Source record: 11560

In article <WRk5f.10654$ns3.751075@news20.bellglobal.com>,
HotShot <MyShotIsHot@HotShotShootsSpam.Com> wrote:
>Actually, pretty well the whole state is covered for scanner feeds.
>check out http://www.mattlogic.com/

It all comes back to me now. I tried

http://www.mattlogic.com/

and remembered that I had found that site some time last Spring, but
unfortunately, the problems of incompatible technology hit big time.

This isn't a personal gripe, but here is what can happen:

I have two browsers that work in text mode in UNIX. There is
one called lynx which is kind of the gold standard for text browsers.
It does just about everything well except javascript which it doesn't
do at all. The other browser is confusingly called "links" which
sounds the same, but obviously is different. The links browser does
do javascript but doesn't seem to be as good at taking a page apart
which is sometimes necessary to make things fit when they don't
normally want to.:-)

The links browser, while having some javascript capabilities,
misses the mark many times on problem sites so it only sometimes makes
a real difference. If I use links to go to mattlogic.com, the browser
sees the first page and kind of goes in to a coma in which all you can
do is shut it down.

If I use lynx, I get a message that says,

FRAME: Header
FRAME: LeftMenu
FRAME: Center

Viewing this page requires a browser capable of displaying frames.

Actually, you can look at frames just fine and select any
links you want on some sites, including this one.
FRAME: LeftMenu is what you want to see the resource selections.

It opens nicely up as follows:

Home
online scanners
chp cad Forums fire weather local weather CA Webcams

California Online Scanning
fcc frequency lookup feed status page Guestbook Get Firefox!

So, I selected online scanners and then Bay Area scanners and read:

BAY AREA ONLINE SCANNERS

SCANNER 1 SFFD & SFPD
SCANNER 2 CDF (Bay Area) & CDF (Sierra's & Northern Ca)
SCANNER 3 Bay Area & Central Valley FD's on VHF 1 & 2
SCANNER 4 CHP
SCANNER 6 Sacramento Trs, ALCO TRS & Livermore TRS

Of these, I selected

SCANNER 1 SFFD & SFPD

That's when things went South. The next page showed what appear to be trunk IDs

[EMBED]

This is a Uniden 780 Trunking Scanner that I have In the computer Loft of my home. I live in Livermore CA in Eastern Alameda County.

Right Speaker

Left Speaker

14800 SFFD-A1
14832 SFFD-A2
14864 SFFD-A3
14896 SFFD-A4

and so on. There were no discernible links below this list.

I even dumped the source, not to be evil, but sometimes, one can build an absolute link (one that actually works) from javascript's cute way of making relative links which breaks lynx. I didn't find anything so I don't know how the audio stream gets going.

This is by no means a unique problem to this site. The web publishing software these days is very proprietary and one has to really work to make it behave in a generic manner.

Anyway, that's what happened when I tried this site. One never knows what will happen exactly on any given site because some sites have plenty of graphics and javascript but are still accessible because the underlying navigation doesn't require a mouse or doesn't do whizzbang stuff to assemble the audio feed. You just have to try it and see.

My thanks to those who set up sites like this since it takes a lot of work and resources to provide this service. My real frustration is with Microsoft and other corporate types who dream up some of this technology with no interest or desire to try to make it

work for all. That leaves the web designers having to do lots of extra work which is unfair to them, so nobody should take these observations as a flame or put-down. It is simply a description of what happened.
--

Martin McCormick WB5AGZ Stillwater, OK
Information Technology Division Network Operations Group

4199. Encryption?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-20 20:37:36 UTC
From: Toolmaker <fmj240gr@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129840656.101395.60990@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11561

Does Akron, OH PD use encryption? I guess I'm asking, "if I buy a scanner can I listen and understand?" I've been reading some of this group so I know what I need, just want to make sure it doesn't come out in a series of high pitched squeaks and chirps. Thanks for the help.

4200. Re: Encryption?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-20 20:58:48 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <l51gl15rhvmftheuibd4edcmqqcq4tr5qr@4ax.com>
Source record: 11562

On 20 Oct 2005 13:37:36 -0700, "Toolmaker" <fmj240gr@yahoo.com> said
in rec.radio.scanner:

>Does Akron, OH PD use encryption? I guess I'm asking, "if I buy a
>scanner can I listen and understand?" I've been reading some of this
>group so I know what I need, just want to make sure it doesn't come out
>in a series of high pitched squeaks and chirps. Thanks for the help.

http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=TRSDB&sid=2707

Digital, but not encrypted, so you need a digital scanner. Radio
Shack Pro-96, Pro-2096 or Uniden BCD396T. Check the specs and what's
included in the price, though - don't go on price alone.

4201. F.S. BC895XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-20 23:51:30 UTC
From: Stuart <kb8viy@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <1129852290.090188.56930@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11563

Greetings,

I have for sale a BC895XLT in brand new condtion. I have the box, but no manual. Comes with wal-wart and antenna. You can get the manual for free from the www.uniden.com website. I have all ready checked. I am selling the scanner due to the County that I live in went digital. I am asking $100.00 shipped. Thanks in advance. 73, Stuart KB8VIY

4202. Re: Digging for Scanners on the Internet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-21 02:00:55 UTC
From: Finkstinger <Whirled@piece.invalid>
Message-ID: <Xns96F5C17AE3D75mrthomdddn666shooba@64.59.144.76>
Source record: 11564

martin@hydrogen.cis.okstate.edu (Martin McCormick) wrote in
news:dj7tra$n6r$1@hydrogen.cis.okstate.edu:

> In article <WRk5f.10654$ns3.751075@news20.bellglobal.com>,
> HotShot <MyShotIsHot@HotShotShootsSpam.Com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>Actually, pretty well the whole state is covered for scanner
>>feeds. check out http://www.mattlogic.com/
>>
>>And there's a few fellas from
>>http://www.scannerbuff.net/teamspeak/teamspeak.html that have LAPD
>>and LA County.
>
> Thank you very much for the info. I'll have to give those
> feeds a try.

Hey, Martin,

If you're still looking for Los Angeles try this (it's a Windows media
file): mms://68.171.134.111:8080

Mike T

4203. Re: NoteBook Computer 4-Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-21 03:21:17 UTC
From: Bill <bgates@msn.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96F5EE95DB8Bbgatesmsncom@130.81.64.196>
Source record: 11565

"The Joe" <joegrimes@gmail.com> wrote in news:1129808363.839154.75380
@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

> Wow! A $99 paperweight!
>
>

Yup kinda like your brain

--
Bill

4204. Re: Digging for Scanners on the Internet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-21 18:10:26 UTC
From: Arnold Schwarzenegger <billg@microsoft.com>
Message-ID: <Daa6f.90451$lq6.82018@fed1read01>
Source record: 11566

Hi Martin!

"Martin McCormick" <martin@hydrogen.cis.okstate.edu> wrote:
> [EMBED]

By viewing the source of the page I've found that in the <EMBED> tag there is a link to:

mms://mattlogic.no-ip.org:8085

It did not work for my Windows Media Player, which compained that the URL has to have filename.
Maybe it will work in your Unix Media Player.

Other pages on the same site have similar links with different port numbers (e.g. 8081).

Good luck,

Sylvek

4205. Re: Digging for Scanners on the Internet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-21 19:28:25 UTC
From: Arnold Schwarzenegger <billg@microsoft.com>
Message-ID: <sjb6f.90456$lq6.9947@fed1read01>
Source record: 11567

Hi Evan!

"Evan Platt" <evan@theobvious.espphotography.com> wrote:
> Works fine for me here.
> Perhaps you need to modify / allow that port in your firewall?

Thanks for the heads up. Now it works for me too.
My firewall was OK, the culprit was the experimental proxy server
that I've recently installed. With the old proxy server or without proxy
they do work just fine both WMP 6 and WMP 9 that I've tested.

Thanks again,

Sylvek

4206. Tampa Fl LIve scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-21 23:26:37 UTC
From: mitbr <tampabayfl@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <d5df5$4359792e$1860265d$18288@KNOLOGY.NET>
Source record: 11568

My Pinellas County Fl scanner will be online this weekend during hurricane
Wilma to listen visit my website.
Tim
kg4jjs
Webmaster of the Tampa Bay Radio Page
http://www.knology.net/~res0plht/Mi01000.htm

4207. TWO-WAY RADIO SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-22 05:33:52 UTC
From: publicsafetyspec <sales@publicsafetyspec.com>
Message-ID: <c02f24b68f9df7669d0b3484e5cb9a9d@localhost.talkaboutelectronicequipment.com>
Source record: 11569

TWO-WAY RADIO SALE ON ICOM VERTEX AND MOTOROLA RADIOS. CHEAPEST PRICES ON THE NET. www.publicsafetyspec.com

4208. Re: Viking Professional 10 Hour Recorder w/ VOX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-22 05:41:29 UTC
From: Bob the Printer <bdolson@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <AbCdncrMHdmXTMTeRVn-hA@comcast.com>
Source record: 11570
Cross-posted to: alt.binaries.radio-scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.shortwave,phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"JJ" <jj@netscape.net> wrote in message
news:1didnW2qyKrKdMveRVn-qg@comcast.com...
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5820543157&rd=1&sspagename=STRK%3AMESE%3AIT&rd=1
>
> This unique professional recorder is a 10 hour long play and recorder
> using standard cassettes.

Cassettes? How quaint!

4209. Re: Viking Professional 10 Hour Recorder w/ VOX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-22 05:47:27 UTC
From: Has <has@ham.net>
Message-ID: <vvednVts-aH3T8TeRVn-sw@comcast.com>
Source record: 11571
Cross-posted to: alt.binaries.radio-scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.shortwave,phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Bob the Printer" <bdolson@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:AbCdncrMHdmXTMTeRVn-hA@comcast.com...
>
> "JJ" <jj@netscape.net> wrote in message
> news:1didnW2qyKrKdMveRVn-qg@comcast.com...
>> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5820543157&rd=1&sspagename=STRK%3AMESE%3AIT&rd=1
>>
>> This unique professional recorder is a 10 hour long play and recorder
>> using standard cassettes.
>
> Cassettes? How quaint!
>
>

Quiet now...techno police...

4210. FS: BC296D - Perfect Condition

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-22 15:47:20 UTC
From: R & K Bilyea <Not@likely.com>
Message-ID: <m8udnTRLfJuZwsfeRVn-pQ@golden.net>
Source record: 11572

I have a brand new BC296D for sale, warranty never registered.

This unit comes with the AC adapter, original antenna, software, programming cable, all manuals and original packaging.

Unit is in flawless, perfect condition. (Did I mention flawless??)

I can send pictures to anyone interested.

Asking $500.00 USD, shipping to Canada and CONUS included. I will ship anywhere else as well, for extra $$. I will take an INTL money order from non-canadian buyers or certified cheque if in Canada.

I am located in SW Ontario, Canada.

I bought this about 2 weeks ago, and just can't justify the $$ to the amount of time I spend listening....(well...not to my wife anyway...)

Anyone interested email me at wtp07rsb at yahoo dot com

Randy.

4211. Re: NoteBook Computer 4-Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-22 17:32:45 UTC
From: Bill <bgates@msn.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96F78AD6BD552bgatesmsncom@130.81.64.196>
Source record: 11573

Evan Platt <evan@theobvious.espphotography.com> wrote in
news:q66il1p27so6iat3ekl2i7gdqoag87a6om@4ax.com:

> On Wed, 19 Oct 2005 14:32:54 GMT, Bill <bgates@msn.com> wrote:
>
>>Well it has ARC780, ARC898, ScanCat and WinScan 780 on it, so it will
>>program from 1Kh to 1.3Gh any other questions??
>
> Yes.
>
> Why not use FA as the newsgroup FAQ states?
>
> Why would someone buy from someone with 92% feedback? (Sorry, 92.6%)

Well if you could read the american language you would see that I was the buyer in all thoes feedbacks, and when you buy something a seller says is like new and it's really a hunk of non-working junk and you tell the world that fact, they get mad and write bad thnangs in your feedback.. So try reading the feed back next time and stop being a moron..... And yes all the programing software on the laptop would program from 1KHz to 1.3GHz and some like ARC780 or ARC898 will go from 00.0001KHz to 99.9999GHz But the unit sold this morning with a "Buy it Now $259.95" so your out of luck :P

--
Bill

4212. Improving airband radio reception

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-22 18:06:03 UTC
From: kevin@unseen.org
Message-ID: <1130004363.276531.327200@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11574

Hi

I would like to improve my airband reception for my local airfield (Sywell). Currently I can hardly make out what the tower is saying and struggle with 75% of aircraft as the voice signal levels dissappear into the noise levels. Most signals do activate the squelch ok, but the audio noise levels are just to high.

My current setup consist of a dual band (2m & 70cm) vertical fiber glass antenna (I can't find the exact details at the mo) on a 2.5m meter pole which takes the top of the aerial just above level with the neighbouring houses roofs. It then feeds into the loft with about 3 M of Y[1] cable, through a small lightening discharge unit (which I still need to put the ground connection in for) and then through 3m meters more of Y[1] cable. Then inside the loft room it goes into a panel mount BNC connector and through 1M of RG-59/U cable before going into the AOR AR900 scanner.

[1] It has no numbers written on it, but it is a 1cm diameter coax cable
original bought for amateur radio use.

I suspect the loft room is fairly RF noisy as it is my study including two computers, monitors, ADSL, wireless 802.11b etc. Connecting the scanner to mains (via it's transformer, or battery makes no difference.

I'm looking for a rough idea of how much the following options would improve my reception experience against the cost / difficulty of completing those changes:

1) Change aerial (must also be able to Rx & Tx on 2m and 70cm)
2) Raise aerial by 1m or so, so that it is above neighbours roofs.
3) Change aerial feed cable
4) Ground the lightening arrestor (something I know I need to do anyway)
5) Change Rx end BNC to BNC cable (is that the right kind of cable? Impedance mismatch?)
6) Change panel mount BNC connector (does this let noise in due to short unshielded bit of inner cable or impedance mismatch?)
7) Add a band pass filter for airband at the Rx end
8) Add a pre-amp for airband as close to the aerial as possible

Any other suggestions?

Thanks in advance
Kev

4213. Re: Improving airband radio reception

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-22 21:03:55 UTC
From: Brad <"bradvk2qq AT w6ir.com">
Message-ID: <435aa93d$0$41141$14726298@news.sunsite.dk>
Source record: 11575

<kevin@unseen.org> wrote in message
news:1130004363.276531.327200@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> Hi
>
> I would like to improve my airband reception for my local airfield
> (Sywell). Currently I can hardly make out what the tower is saying and
> struggle with 75% of aircraft as the voice signal levels dissappear
> into the noise levels. Most signals do activate the squelch ok, but
> the audio noise levels are just to high.
>
> My current setup consist of a dual band (2m & 70cm) vertical fiber
> glass antenna (I can't find the exact details at the mo) on a 2.5m
> meter pole which takes the top of the aerial just above level with the
> neighbouring houses roofs. It then feeds into the loft with about 3 M
> of Y[1] cable, through a small lightening discharge unit (which I still
> need to put the ground connection in for) and then through 3m meters
> more of Y[1] cable. Then inside the loft room it goes into a panel
> mount BNC connector and through 1M of RG-59/U cable before going into
> the AOR AR900 scanner.
>
> [1] It has no numbers written on it, but it is a 1cm diameter coax
> cable
> original bought for amateur radio use.
>
> I suspect the loft room is fairly RF noisy as it is my study including
> two computers, monitors, ADSL, wireless 802.11b etc. Connecting the
> scanner to mains (via it's transformer, or battery makes no difference.
>
> I'm looking for a rough idea of how much the following options would
> improve my reception experience against the cost / difficulty of
> completing those changes:
>
> 1) Change aerial (must also be able to Rx & Tx on 2m and 70cm)
> 2) Raise aerial by 1m or so, so that it is above neighbours roofs.
> 3) Change aerial feed cable
> 4) Ground the lightening arrestor (something I know I need to do
> anyway)
> 5) Change Rx end BNC to BNC cable (is that the right kind of cable?
> Impedance mismatch?)
> 6) Change panel mount BNC connector (does this let noise in due to
> short unshielded bit of inner cable or impedance mismatch?)
> 7) Add a band pass filter for airband at the Rx end
> 8) Add a pre-amp for airband as close to the aerial as possible
>

> Any other suggestions?
>
> Thanks in advance
> Kev
>

Just out of curiosity, you say the aircraft on the ground are noisy and the tower too. Are aircraft in the circuit area ok and readable or are ALL affected?
Silly question, but you are in AM Mode, aren't you?
The antenna you have is not designed for this frequency range, but ought to work well enough as I am able to listen to aircraft on a similar arrangement.
Further height will always help, especially as you want to hear ground signals. It will make little difference with airborne signals.
If oyu are having trouble with ALL aircraft signals, then you really need to consider relocating that antenna. Consider turning off some of that equipment to isolate the possible noise source that may be drowning them out.
If the signals are affected by local noise, a preamp will amplify that too.
Putting an airband preamp on won't allow you to use it for 2m and 70cm.
I don't understand the part about "short unshielded bit". None of the coax or bnc plugs should allow you to have any "short unshielded bits" and might be a starting point.
Grounding the lightning arrestor should make no difference to reception, only offer protection in the event of a local discharge, and it won't do much in the event of a direct hit, so don't place too much faith in it.

Brad.

4214. Re: Improving airband radio reception

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-22 21:47:19 UTC
From: kevin@unseen.org
Message-ID: <1130017639.086011.219190@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11576

Hi Brad

Thanks for the quick response. Yes I am in AM mode :) Aircraft on the ground are barely readable, the Tower is slightly better but still I can only make out 25% of what is said. In general flying aircraft are better, with 25% being very clear, but equally 25% being worse than the tower.

I will try turning everything off up here tomorrow and see if it makes a difference reducing the electrical noise.

The aerial is on the chimney, so about the best position I can get it other than on a longer pole on the chimney.

Pre-amp wise I could get it just where the coax comes in through the roof tiles, and then I could take it out when I want to Tx.

The 'short unshielded bits' - it is a Panel Mount BNC Socket, so the shield is twisted together and soldered to a lug on the outside, leaving about 1cm of center unshielded.

Other option I thought off was to take a dedicated airband aerial, soemthing like this:

http://cgi.ebay.co.uk/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5773226141

and either place that high up in the loft space?

Thanks again
Kev

4215. Re: Improving airband radio reception

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-22 22:08:07 UTC
From: Brad <"bradvk2qq AT w6ir.com">
Message-ID: <435ab84c$0$41147$14726298@news.sunsite.dk>
Source record: 11577

<kevin@unseen.org> wrote in message
news:1130017639.086011.219190@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

> The 'short unshielded bits' - it is a Panel Mount BNC Socket, so the
> shield is twisted together and soldered to a lug on the outside,
> leaving about 1cm of center unshielded.

That part won't matter. I was more concerned with poor terminations in the
feedline.

That antenna on ebay doesn't specify a length, but looks like a similar
style to mine. That's certainly where the gain needs to be. If you really
want to receive that airport, you could consider a short yagi, 3el perhaps.

How far away is this airport? What terrain between your locations?
Brad.

4216. Re: Improving airband radio reception

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-23 01:59:05 UTC
From: Dave Holford <holford@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <435AEE69.22A3049B@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 11578

kevin@unseen.org wrote:

> Aircraft on the
> ground are barely readable, the Tower is slightly better but still I
> can only make out 25% of what is said. In general flying aircraft are
> better, with 25% being very clear, but equally 25% being worse than
> the tower.

This is not an uncommon situation, I have encountered it in a couple of places I have lived.
The tower transmitting antennas may very well be quite a distance from the airport and if they are on the opposite side to you, or shielded by hills of buildings you could very well have problems.
Aircraft on the ground will likely be often blocked by buildings.
Airborne aircraft will usually be in your line-of-sight and easy to hear, but if they are low and on the far side of the field could pose a problem.

At my location I can hear most traffic at four airports:
One is very close and I can hear everything.
The others are some miles away and although I can hear aircraft until they are quite low I loose them on final approach or on the ground. One tower is very weak or inaudible. the other two just are not there.

Just because there are lots of antennas on top of the control tower, or near by, does not guarantee they are the ones used for normal transmission.
Several towers I have worked in only use the antennas on the roof for receivers or back-up transmission. the main transmitter site was usually some distance away.

Dave

4217. Re: NoteBook Computer 4-Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-23 02:33:21 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <oitll1picn87m7d4h1n95nncqpk2u0i7al@4ax.com>
Source record: 11579

On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 12:13:52 -0700, Evan Platt
<evan@theobvious.espphotography.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>You were made a offer to sell off eBay. Apparently you took it. You've
>now lost any sort of seller protection eBay offers.

And any credibility or reputation for honesty.

4218. Re: Improving airband radio reception

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-23 02:51:01 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <c0ull19pt2fp2evfa6femhho1hkjl3atdv@4ax.com>
Source record: 11580

On 22 Oct 2005 11:06:03 -0700, kevin@unseen.org said in
rec.radio.scanner:

>I'm looking for a rough idea of how much the following options would
>improve my reception experience against the cost / difficulty of
>completing those changes:

>1) Change aerial (must also be able to Rx & Tx on 2m and 70cm)

Not much change, since the antenna is really a random length (and a
short one) or wire at aircraft frequencies. Cost for a significantly
better antenna - significant.

>2) Raise aerial by 1m or so, so that it is above neighbours roofs.

Not much difference. See below. Cost - relatively little.

>3) Change aerial feed cable

Unless the cable is really bad, 7 meters of cable isn't going to have
too much loss at 100 MHz. Cost - relatively small.

>4) Ground the lightening arrestor (something I know I need to do
>anyway)

If a lot of your noise is from static on the antenna, this may help a
bit. Ground the antenna for a moment and see if the noise goes away
for at least a minute or two. Cost - almost none.

>5) Change Rx end BNC to BNC cable (is that the right kind of cable?
>Impedance mismatch?)

What's "BNC cable"?

>6) Change panel mount BNC connector (does this let noise in due to
>short unshielded bit of inner cable or impedance mismatch?)

What's the panel connector now?

>7) Add a band pass filter for airband at the Rx end

Noise is broad-band - it's on the aircraft band too. Cost -
relatively high.

>8) Add a pre-amp for airband as close to the aerial as possible

It'll probably increase the noise as much as it increases the signal.
Cost for a good one - very high.

>Any other suggestions?

1) Be aware of what kind of system you're trying to receive. The aircraft communications system is designed for 3 things:

a) air to ground communications
b) ground to air communications
c) air to air communications

One thing it's *NOT* designed for, except ar extremely close range, is ground to ground communications. (The tower can see the aircraft on the ground. Can you?) Unless you're within a km or 2 of the airport, concentrate on receiving aircraft that are a) not too high up and b) near you. Very few people have the equipment to DX aircraft signals.

2) Your receiver's AM detector may not be as good as an AM detector can be.

4219. pro 96

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-23 03:25:01 UTC
From: mary08069@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14201-435B028D-628@storefull-3217.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 11581

does anyone know how to get a freq back from search mode
on lock out

4220. Re: Improving airband radio reception

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-23 07:04:16 UTC
From: kevin@unseen.org
Message-ID: <1130051056.619857.227300@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11582

Hi

'BNC Cable' = 1M of RG-59/U

The BNC Panel connector is still a panel connector, ie an open backed soldered connector that does leave a short bit of unshielded core.

The airport is Sywell, about 5 miles away from me, a small local grass airfield. I'm looking to hear this airfield well as I want to do my PPL from there at some point soon. I will try and get a better idea of the terain between Sywell and myself at the top of Wellingborough later, but there certanily isnt a mountain between us :)

I'm thinking that a short Yagi might be the best bet for my situation, as from what you guys are saying there is nothing terrible in my current setup at the moment, and aircraft close to Sywell and the Tower at Sywell is what I really want to improve if I had to pick a more specific target to improve.

Comments & sugestions welcome.

Cheers
Kev

4221. Pro 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-23 07:32:22 UTC
From: Stuart <kb8viy@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <1130052742.573162.150240@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11583

What is the price for 2006 these days? Thx in advance. Stuart

4222. Re: Improving airband radio reception

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-23 07:50:24 UTC
From: kevin@unseen.org
Message-ID: <1130053824.440828.280850@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11584

Looking around at Yagi's the largest selection is obviously for the amateur bands. How much gain will I loose on airband from a 2M (144Mhz ish) Yagi? Somehting like this maybe:

http://cgi.ebay.co.uk/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5821390009

Cheers
Kev

4223. Re: Improving airband radio reception

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-23 08:34:23 UTC
From: Brad <"bradvk2qq AT w6ir.com">
Message-ID: <435b4b11$0$41145$14726298@news.sunsite.dk>
Source record: 11585

<kevin@unseen.org> wrote in message
news:1130053824.440828.280850@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Looking around at Yagi's the largest selection is obviously for the
> amateur bands. How much gain will I loose on airband from a 2M (144Mhz
> ish) Yagi? Somehting like this maybe:
>
> http://cgi.ebay.co.uk/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5821390009
>
> Cheers
> Kev
>

4224. Re: Improving airband radio reception

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-23 08:46:51 UTC
From: Brad <"bradvk2qq AT w6ir.com">
Message-ID: <435b4dfd$0$41145$14726298@news.sunsite.dk>
Source record: 11586

<kevin@unseen.org> wrote in message
news:1130053824.440828.280850@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Looking around at Yagi's the largest selection is obviously for the
> amateur bands. How much gain will I loose on airband from a 2M (144Mhz
> ish) Yagi? Somehting like this maybe:
>
> http://cgi.ebay.co.uk/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5821390009
>
> Cheers
> Kev

The loss will be considerable. Yagis are quite narrow band. For a few bucks/pounds/euros you can buy some aluminium tubing from a hardware store and build your own.

If the countryside around you is reasonably flat, it makes sense that you are having trouble hearing GA aircaft. The top mounted antennas are barely 5ft off the ground, the belly antennas merely 18" off the ground. That's not a lot of antenna height. Most GA aircraft coms run less than 10W.

Brad.

4225. Ontario Canada Ambulance Frequencies?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-23 15:14:49 UTC
From: Doug Mitton <doug_mitton@hotmail.x.com>
Message-ID: <j1anl1lier2vqh8e3lb42ggbu4viu173a1@4ax.com>
Source record: 11587

Last week the local Eastern Ontario EMT/Ambulance services (Brockville and Kingston area) switched to a new frequency. They were on 150.100 Mhz and they disappeared in 1 day.

Does anyone know of any references to what they may have switched to and any links to scanning them?

Thanks in advance.
--
---
http://www3.sympatico.ca/dmitton
SPAM Reduction: Remove "x." from my domain.

4226. Re: Pro 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-23 15:58:31 UTC
From: nfr545 <nfr545@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <11lncp84lqbkj05@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 11588

$150-$175 if it's like new via Ebay. Add $50-$75 if it has an Opto board. Seen average 2006s for $100 at hamfests. Long way from the $400-$500 they were bringing a few years ago. I just found a PRO-43 handheld at a hamfest for $20. Luckily our local services still use a very small 2 channel trucked system.

"Stuart" <kb8viy@comcast.net> wrote in message news:1130052742.573162.150240@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...

> What is the price for 2006 these days? Thx in advance. Stuart
>

4227. rebanding?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-23 17:19:03 UTC
From: Bob the Printer <bdolson@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <4OudnQXyBeSVW8beRVn-ug@comcast.com>
Source record: 11589

I still don't quite understand this 'rebanding' thing. My current trunking systems go from 855.2125 MHZ to 860.9375 MHZ. I don't think this will be affected by the rebanding, but I'm not sure... The part I'm confused about is the frequencies above 860 MHZ as these seem to fall into the 'expansion band' part??

Thanks in advance for any clarification.

4228. Re: Improving airband radio reception

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-23 18:02:02 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <tsjnl1diptr8dcjr1mtu762hhpcvigj241@4ax.com>
Source record: 11590

On Sun, 23 Oct 2005 18:46:51 +1000, "Brad" <bradvk2qq AT w6ir.com>
said in rec.radio.scanner:

>The loss will be considerable. Yagis are quite narrow band. For a few
>bucks/pounds/euros you can buy some aluminium tubing from a hardware store
>and build your own.

Or look for "broomstick and wire hanger" designs on the web. If you
have a long enough piece of wood for the boom and a few wire hangers
it'll cost you nothing and still work as well. Or you might look into
building a quad - more gain with less antenna.

4229. Re: rebanding?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-23 20:12:39 UTC
From: Tad Danley <nospam@atcomcast.net>
Message-ID: <9s2dnRcLOeEqc8beRVn-gw@comcast.com>
Source record: 11591

It's all here - maybe more than you wanted to know. Check out the FAQ.
http://www.800mhzrebanding.com/index.html

--
* Do NOT use Reply *

Reply to K3TD via arrl dot net

Tad, K3TD

4230. Re: rebanding?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-23 21:09:22 UTC
From: Bob the Printer <bdolson@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <0sidnVZ6qM-eYcbeRVn-hA@comcast.com>
Source record: 11592

"Tad Danley" <nospam@atcomcast.net> wrote in message
news:9s2dnRcLOeEqc8beRVn-gw@comcast.com...
> It's all here - maybe more than you wanted to know. Check out the FAQ.
> http://www.800mhzrebanding.com/index.html

Yeah, that's way beyond me..

Can ANYONE tell me with a fairly short answer if any of the below public
service (mostly NJ State) trunking frequencies will be moving?

855.2125
855.4625
855.7125
856.4375
856.9375
857.4375
857.9375
858.4375
858.9375
859.4375
859.9375
860.4625
860.9375

or Vineland NJ public service

856.4625
857.4625
858.4625
859.4625
859.9625
860.4625
860.9625

Thanks in advance!

4231. scanner manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-23 21:21:01 UTC
From: Patricia McCarthy <pm001g6455@blueyonder.co.uk>
Message-ID: <19T6f.169890$RW.58641@fe2.news.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 11593

can anyone tell me were I can download a instruction manual for my GRE PSR - 282 scanner

4232. Canadian Scanner Site Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-23 21:38:33 UTC
From: VE5JL <ve5jl@ve5jlHATES_SPAM.com>
Message-ID: <tpT6f.272053$oW2.114620@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 11594
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Check out my site http://www.ve5jl.com

I'm starting to use MySql to load the scanner database onto the site. You can see the latest listings for Northern Saskatchewan.

I you know of a good search script in .php let me know so I can use that too.

Also I have a Message board if you want to use it, it is generally for Scanning Shortwave Ham Radio and CB radio.

Thx

73's

Joe
VE4 & 5JL

4233. Re: Canadian Scanner Site Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-23 22:45:21 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <ni4ol11j400ch87bg4745o4fpoqntc0d1c@4ax.com>
Source record: 11595
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 23 Oct 2005 21:38:33 GMT, "VE5JL" <ve5jl@ve5jlHATES_SPAM.com>
said in alt.radio.scanner:

>I you know of a good search script in .php let me know so I can use that
>too.

http://www.isearchthenet.com/isearch/

or try
<http://www.google.com/search?q=php+%2Bsearch&sourceid=mozilla-search&start=0&start=0&ie=utf-8&oe=utf-8&client=firefox-a&rls=org.mozilla:en-US:official>

4234. Re: rebanding?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-23 22:53:43 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <8o4ol1t9c7e5gvffutcb585hf55v4l74dm@4ax.com>
Source record: 11596

On Sun, 23 Oct 2005 17:09:22 -0400, "Bob the Printer"
<bdolson@comcast.net> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>"Tad Danley" <nospam@atcomcast.net> wrote in message
>news:9s2dnRcLOeEqc8beRVn-gw@comcast.com...
>> It's all here - maybe more than you wanted to know. Check out the FAQ.
>> http://www.800mhzrebanding.com/index.html
>
>Yeah, that's way beyond me..
>
>Can ANYONE tell me with a fairly short answer if any of the below public
>service (mostly NJ State) trunking frequencies will be moving?
>
>855.2125
>855.4625
>855.7125
>856.4375
>856.9375
>857.4375
>857.9375
>858.4375
>858.9375
>859.4375
>859.9375
>860.4625
>860.9375
>
>or Vineland NJ public service
>
>856.4625
>857.4625
>858.4625
>859.4625
>859.9625
>860.4625
>860.9625

According to the list, none of them have to. That doesn't mean that
none of them will. Stations in the 854-861MHz range aren't required
to move.

4235. Re: Ontario Canada Ambulance Frequencies?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-24 01:11:11 UTC
From: HotShot <MyShotIsHot@HotShotShootsSpam.Com>
Message-ID: <PwW6f.2820$Nj3.166563@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 11597

Yes, they're on the fleetnet trunking system now.

If you do a google search you'll find them.

Mind you there's only one website that has all the information your looking for so I suggest finding that one.

Doug Mitton wrote:
> Last week the local Eastern Ontario EMT/Ambulance services (Brockville
> and Kingston area) switched to a new frequency. They were on 150.100
> Mhz and they disappeared in 1 day.
>
> Does anyone know of any references to what they may have switched to
> and any links to scanning them?
>
> Thanks in advance.

4236. Looking for information on transceivers I have.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-24 01:48:33 UTC
From: Ad Hoc Guy <PussyFart@hic-ups.org>
Message-ID: <B3X6f.2836$Nj3.176332@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 11598

Hello,

I bought a few used transceivers in a garage sale and it looks like they
were used for railroad communications. Fairly recent, they have approx. 40
channels each and a LCD display with toggle selection switches for channels
and volume. One is GE the other is Motorola.
I checked and they work perfectly but I do not want to interfere with RR
communications.
They seem to have a prong on the side of the portable that attaches in order
to be programmed.
Does anyone know what type of cable and computer program is needed to
re-program these units ?
Thank You

--
"Diplomacy is the art of saying 'Nice doggie!'... until you can find a rock"

4237. Re: rebanding?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-24 03:09:42 UTC
From: Bob the Printer <bdolson@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <mZKdnfc2Wa7qzcHeRVn-iA@comcast.com>
Source record: 11599

"Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message
news:8o4ol1t9c7e5gvffutcb585hf55v4l74dm@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 23 Oct 2005 17:09:22 -0400, "Bob the Printer"
> <bdolson@comcast.net> said in rec.radio.scanner:
>
>>"Tad Danley" <nospam@atcomcast.net> wrote in message
>>news:9s2dnRcLOeEqc8beRVn-gw@comcast.com...
>>> It's all here - maybe more than you wanted to know. Check out the FAQ.
>>> http://www.800mhzrebanding.com/index.html
>>
>>Yeah, that's way beyond me..
>>
>>Can ANYONE tell me with a fairly short answer if any of the below public
>>service (mostly NJ State) trunking frequencies will be moving?
>>
>>855.2125
>>855.4625
>>855.7125
>>856.4375
>>856.9375
>>857.4375
>>857.9375
>>858.4375
>>858.9375
>>859.4375
>>859.9375
>>860.4625
>>860.9375
>>
>>or Vineland NJ public service
>>
>>856.4625
>>857.4625
>>858.4625
>>859.4625
>>859.9625
>>860.4625
>>860.9625
>
> According to the list, none of them have to. That doesn't mean that
> none of them will. Stations in the 854-861MHz range aren't required
> to move.

Thank you for the succinct answer! That's what I was looking for!

4238. Re: Pro 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-24 03:29:26 UTC
From: Mike Burch <Libertybell7@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <qyY6f.270970$084.226679@attbi_s22>
Source record: 11600

Stuart wrote:
> What is the price for 2006 these days? Thx in advance. Stuart
>
I have three of them now but I would advise you to invest in
a more up to date radio if you are going to part with a lot
of money. The pro-2006 is an exceptional performer for what
it was designed for but its now becoming obsolete. It
surely is a nicely made radio however and I think it is a
real masterpiece.

Best wishes..

Mike Burch K8MB
Apache Junction, AZ

4239. FS: HP-2000 Full Coverage handheld

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-24 04:10:30 UTC
From: Xray <pl@yer.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96F91CB042D0ewrfdgrstnetaakeanfk@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 11501

For sale - Fairmate HP-2000 [AOR-1000 clone] hand held scanner.
Recieves from 2-1300 MHZ, no gaps [was purchased before the cell block].
Has 1000 channels, 10 search banks, 10 scan banks.
Selectable user steps, selectable modes AM/FM/WFM [no SSB].
Unit has some light surface scratches, and is in tip top working order.
The only flaw worth mentioning is the anntenna connector [BNC] is slightly loose - Not much of a problem if you don't plan on changing antennas frequently, and in any case its a matter of popping open the case and tightening a nut.
Runs on 4 AA batteries, and comes with protective leather/plastic jacket, AV power plug, instructions and a carrying strap.
Note - I installed a new keypad a couple years ago, as the stock one went bad, as keypads all eventually do, so this keypad should be good to go for quite some time. I anticipated at the time I found this one that it would be very hard to find another one when and if I ever needed one, so included also in another brand new keypad, ready to install when/if needed.
Pics &/or ebay selling identity available upon request.
Price $110.00, insured UPS shipping included.
Payment accepted - US postal money order, certified check [10 day hold on delivery] & paypal, as long as the paypal payment is not funded by a credit card [account balance only].
I am in the metro Detroit area, and will consider $100 if local pickup is an option.
Email any offers/questions to udontneedmyrealemailaddy@comcast.net .... And yes, thats a real email addy.
I use a comast identity that I use for a few months in newsgroups until it gets overwhelmed by spam, then dump it and get another one.

Regards

4240. Re: Ontario Canada Ambulance Frequencies?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-24 05:35:23 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <tjsol15i86th7apg158omm82im8cnk2424@4ax.com>
Source record: 11502

On Sun, 23 Oct 2005 21:11:11 -0400, HotShot
<MyShotIsHot@HotShotShootsSpam.Com> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>Doug Mitton wrote:
>> Last week the local Eastern Ontario EMT/Ambulance services (Brockville
>> and Kingston area) switched to a new frequency. They were on 150.100
>> Mhz and they disappeared in 1 day.

>> Does anyone know of any references to what they may have switched to
>> and any links to scanning them?

>Yes, they're on the fleetnet trunking system now.
>
>If you do a google search you'll find them.
>
>Mind you there's only one website that has all the information your
>looking for so I suggest finding that one.

<http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=TRSDB&action=regexp&regexp=fleetnet>
might have the information.

4241. Re: Looking for information on transceivers I have.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-24 05:36:07 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <nlsol118764taglia0qog060uhtv7a6pa9@4ax.com>
Source record: 11503

On Sun, 23 Oct 2005 21:48:33 -0400, "Ad Hoc Guy"
<PussyFart@hic-ups.org> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>I bought a few used transceivers in a garage sale and it looks like they
>were used for railroad communications. Fairly recent, they have approx. 40
>channels each and a LCD display with toggle selection switches for channels
>and volume. One is GE the other is Motorola.
>I checked and they work perfectly but I do not want to interfere with RR
>communications.
>They seem to have a prong on the side of the portable that attaches in order
>to be programmed.
>Does anyone know what type of cable and computer program is needed to
>re-program these units ?

Look for labels. Post model numbers.

4242. Re: rebanding?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-24 05:36:52 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <6nsol155sbdcjdi1kqek2r6eb7l3oskcgo@4ax.com>
Source record: 11504

On Sun, 23 Oct 2005 23:09:42 -0400, "Bob the Printer"
<bdolson@comcast.net> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>Thank you for the succinct answer! That's what I was looking for!

A definite "maybe", huh? :)

4243. Re: Improving airband radio reception

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-24 11:18:49 UTC
From: kevin@unseen.org
Message-ID: <1130152729.940403.51740@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11505

Hi

I liked the idea of the 'broom stick and coat hanger' antenna, but have strugled on the practicalties. I went through the design here:

http://k7mem.150m.com/Electronic_Notebook/antennas/yagi_vhf.html

and ended up with a very large (about 48" square) antenna that still complained it was to short and the elements too thin!

It also doesn't explain the driven element connection details.

Help please :)

Cheers
Kev

4244. Digital scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-24 12:35:27 UTC
From: Scott <nitt@nitt.com>
Message-ID: <85lpl11l1vavljvsbva37idj2gsaf4oret@4ax.com>
Source record: 11506

I have been looking at get a scanner that follows digital for awhile now. I've had my eye on the Pro 96 for some time. RS site shows 499.00 but now they have a Pro 97 for 219.00 I believe. Does the pro 97 also receive digital?

4245. Some Scanning Groups For You

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-24 14:33:33 UTC
From: scannerman6@juno.com
Message-ID: <1130164412.991832.134850@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11507
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

```
==========================================================================
Southwestern Cuyahoga County Ohio, Parma Ohio & Strongsville Ohio Radio
Scanner Group:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Southwest_Parma_Strongsville/
--------------------------------------------------------------------------
County Of Medina Ohio County Scanner Group:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/medinascanner/
==========================================================================
```

4246. Re: Digital scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-24 14:35:15 UTC
From: scannerman6@juno.com
Message-ID: <1130164515.731563.145290@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11508

No, it is ANALOG only but, it has room for up to 16 Alphanumeric Tags
instead of 12.

4247. Re: Some Scanning Groups For You

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-24 17:00:15 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <z8KdndGww-q5jsDeRVn-2g@comcast.com>
Source record: 11509
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Yahoo!? No thanks. Have you ever read their privacy policy? You have
none!

Bill Crocker

<scannerman6@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1130164412.991832.134850@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> ==========
> Southwestern Cuyahoga County Ohio, Parma Ohio & Strongsville Ohio Radio
> Scanner Group:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Southwest_Parma_Strongsville/
> ----------
> County Of Medina Ohio County Scanner Group:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/medinascanner/
> ==========
>

4248. Re: Digital scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-24 17:01:22 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <_4adnXPRdfH7jsDeRVn-pQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 11510

Wait a little longer...the PRO-96 is rumored to be going on sale soon, for under $400.00.

Bill Crocker

"Scott" <nitt@nitt.com> wrote in message news:85lpl11l1vavljvsbva37idj2gsaf4oret@4ax.com...
>I have been looking at get a scanner that follows digital for awhile
> now. I've had my eye on the Pro 96 for some time. RS site shows 499.00
> but now they have a Pro 97 for 219.00 I believe. Does the pro 97 also
> receive digital?

4249. Re: Improving airband radio reception

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-25 02:31:05 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <0q4rl1hurobqasll5602hgmbkeb1u3je7e@4ax.com>
Source record: 11511

On 24 Oct 2005 04:18:49 -0700, kevin@unseen.org said in
rec.radio.scanner:

>I liked the idea of the 'broom stick and coat hanger' antenna, but have
>strugled on the practicalties. I went through the design here:
>
>http://k7mem.150m.com/Electronic_Notebook/antennas/yagi_vhf.html
>
>and ended up with a very large (about 48" square) antenna that still
>complained it was to short and the elements too thin!

48" square? The antenna is 1" thick at the center (the boom) and
~1/8" thick for the rest (the element thickness). It's about 12' long
and 4' wide at the reflector end. You can shorten the boom by asking
for less gain - the gain is determined by boom length, but you can't
shorten the elements. 1/4 wavelength is fixed by the laws of physics
for any given frequency. (A quad is smaller for the same gain than a
Yagi.)

Try this - it's for 10dbd gain (~10 times the signal strength - signal
doubles for each 3dbs of gain) at the center of the band (122 MHz):

122 MHz, 8 Elements, 10.575 dBd Estimated Gain

Warning: The specified Gain of 10 dBd is too Small. Gain should be
greater than 11.8 dBd.

41.4 Degrees Horizontal Beam Width

44.3 Degrees Vertical Beam Width

N/A Diameter, Non-Metalic Boom with Insulated Elements. N0 Boom
Correction applied.

Electrical Boom Length of 148.9" (12' 4-7/8"). Allow for overhang when
cutting boom to length.

00.125" (0-1/8") Driven Element Diameter.

00.125" (0-1/8") Parasitic Element Diameter.

Cumulative Spacing-Element Name-Element Length

Zero Reflector 47-7/16"
19-3/8" Driven Element 47-1/8"
26-5/8" Director1 44"
44" D2 43-11/16"
64-13/16" D3 43-5/16"

89" D4 42-15/16"
116-1/8" D5 42-9/16"
145-1/8" D6 42-5/16"

>It also doesn't explain the driven element connection details.

You can use just about any driven element. The simplest one is to offset the 2 halves (the elements are all total length, except the driven element, each half of which is 1" longer than half the total length. Drive these halves into the boom about 1/4" apart, centered on the correct mounting point (IOW, 1 piece 1/8" in front of the 12-3/8" point, the other 1/8" behind that point). Connect the cable center conductor to one half, shield to the other half.

Or you could do this:
<http://k7mem.150m.com/Electronic_Notebook/antennas/yagi_vhf_feed.htm>

Dress the cable along the boom to the balance point, at which point you'll mount the mast, and dress the cable down the mast.

It probably won't be too great for transmitting, but it should beat the pants off the rubber ducky for receiving.

4250. AR8200 MK3 opinion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-25 05:20:16 UTC
From: clu <sconnet@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <435dbfd8$1_4@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 11512

I'm new to this, but am interested. I was looking online at some portable scanners and found this one. AR8200 MK3

Is it any good? It seems to have a large frequency range (500kHz to 3GHz). I am not sure if the frequency range is what I should be looking at to determine whether it's a good scanner or not.

Any opinions? Comments? Advice?

--
clu

4251. Re: Digital scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-25 08:43:23 UTC
From: Scott <nitt@nitt.com>
Message-ID: <7vrrl1ttgob2o6o4be9eh5o1k5mcqu7qvq@4ax.com>
Source record: 11513

On Mon, 24 Oct 2005 13:01:22 -0400, "Bill Crocker"
<wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote:

I was confused by the Follows APCO 25 digital on RS homepage. I
thought that meant that it did follow the digital frequencies. I
appreciate the help. Thanks for the heads up on the possible sale of
the Pro 96.

>Wait a little longer...the PRO-96 is rumored to be going on sale soon, for
>under $400.00.
>
>Bill Crocker
>
>
>"Scott" <nitt@nitt.com> wrote in message
>news:85lpl11l1vavljvsbva37idj2gsaf4oret@4ax.com...
>>I have been looking at get a scanner that follows digital for awhile
>> now. I've had my eye on the Pro 96 for some time. RS site shows 499.00
>> but now they have a Pro 97 for 219.00 I believe. Does the pro 97 also
>> receive digital?
>

4252. Re: AR8200 MK3 opinion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-25 09:12:54 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <aotrl1p5g6mul06j34f3jrjvtkhot4f673@4ax.com>
Source record: 11514

On Mon, 24 Oct 2005 22:20:16 -0700, clu <sconnet@gmail.com> said in
rec.radio.scanner:

>I'm new to this, but am interested. I was looking online at some
>portable scanners and found this one. AR8200 MK3
>
>Is it any good? It seems to have a large frequency range (500kHz to
>3GHz). I am not sure if the frequency range is what I should be looking
>at to determine whether it's a good scanner or not.

It's a receiver, not a scanner.

4253. Watson W889

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-25 10:16:16 UTC
From: Bill <duckybill@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <QBn7f.70530$Hs.7094@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 11515
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

This is an expensive HH antenna. Is it worth the price?

Bill

4254. Re: Watson W889

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-25 11:04:33 UTC
From: Mike GW8IJT <me@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <3s6hprFm6i1cU1@individual.net>
Source record: 11516
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

"Bill" <duckybill@indy.rr.com> wrote in message
news:QBn7f.70530$Hs.7094@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com...
> This is an expensive HH antenna. Is it worth the price?
>
> Bill
>
>
I would buy the W881 Super Gainer, that's what I use.
Regards Mike.

4255. Re: Watson W889

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-25 12:03:53 UTC
From: Melv <melvyn.rattenburynojunk@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <Jap7f.8554$Ce5.8371@newsfe1-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 11517
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

I use the watson w-889 in all of my reviews as I have found it to be one of
the best.
M.Rattenbury
www.ukmidlandscanner.co.uk
"Mike GW8IJT" <me@privacy.net> wrote in message
news:3s6hprFm6i1cU1@individual.net...
> "Bill" <duckybill@indy.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:QBn7f.70530$Hs.7094@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com...
> > This is an expensive HH antenna. Is it worth the price?
> >
> > Bill
> >
> >
> I would buy the W881 Super Gainer, that's what I use.
> Regards Mike.
>

4256. Re: Watson W889

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-25 15:36:01 UTC
From: mikeFNB <mikefnb@moc.dlrowltn>
Message-ID: <Bhs7f.5706$Sx4.1905@newsfe3-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 11518
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

same here nice on 2/70 and a very good scanner aerial as well.

mike

"Mike GW8IJT" <me@privacy.net> wrote in message
news:3s6hprFm6i1cU1@individual.net...
> "Bill" <duckybill@indy.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:QBn7f.70530$Hs.7094@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com...
>> This is an expensive HH antenna. Is it worth the price?
>>
>> Bill
>>
>>
> I would buy the W881 Super Gainer, that's what I use.
> Regards Mike.
>

4257. Re: Need frequencies for Battle lake police and Henning Police, Minnesota

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-25 17:19:26 UTC
From: radio nutt <joe@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96FA7D5C216AAASSnotcom@69.28.186.121>
Source record: 11519

Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in
news:svbrj11flibh6ehg7av5h9qaam530vpbfm@4ax.com:

> On Fri, 30 Sep 2005 19:08:25 GMT, radio nutt
> <ottertailsherriff@mn.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:
>
>>nope not on ARMER dispatched by ottertail county.
>
> "Dispatched by" and "on" don't mean the same thing - they could be on
> ARMER, being dispatched by a county dispatch center. Look at the
> Ottertail County listings in the FCC database and tell me which one is
> Battle Lake or Henning - that would be "its own system".

OK I SAID THEY NOT ON ARMER AND THE ARE DISPATACED BY OTTERTAIL ON THE
SHERIFFIFF FREQ MAYBE THIS YELLING WILL GET IT IN YOUR FUCKING HEAD.

you know plonked I will not be helping you assholes again

4258. Re: Digital scanner ?? - Got to be a scam ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-25 17:22:09 UTC
From: radio nutt <alklien@asswipe.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96FA7DD21B7DFASSnotcom@69.28.186.121>
Source record: 11520

Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in
news:vtbrj1phed7tqhvpp1om3huubhi5127tij@4ax.com:

> On Fri, 30 Sep 2005 19:10:20 GMT, radio nutt <radio@raio.com> said in
> rec.radio.scanner:
>
>>nope not a scam only if they encrypt is it not listenable. but plain
>>digial is fine with the correct radio
>
> Read the description. The seller knows nothing about scanners.

you have prove asswipe i hate people like u I try to help and all people
like u do is start shit.

plonk you go

4259. Re: Need frequencies for Battle lake police and Henning Police, Minnesota

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-25 17:40:03 UTC
From: "St. John Smythe" <UndisclosedRecipient@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <djlq9m$3e6$4@n4vu2.n4vu.com>
Source record: 11521

radio nutt wrote to Big Al:

> you know plonked I will not be helping you assholes again

Given the clarity of your writing, that'll be doing them a favor.

4260. Re: AR8200 MK3 opinion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-25 18:03:09 UTC
From: clu <sconnet@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1130263389.089195.296400@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11522

What's the difference between a scanner and receiver? One of the key features for the AR8200 MK3 says, "Extensive search & scan facilities."

Sorry for the dumb questions, I am just so new to this I don't know the difference.

--
clu

4261. Re: Digital scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-25 18:55:50 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <UKmdnZ2_town4sPeRVn-iw@comcast.com>
Source record: 11523

The PRO-96 is APCO-25 compliant, but not the PRO-97.

Bill Crocker

"Scott" <nitt@nitt.com> wrote in message
news:7vrrl1ttgob2o6o4be9eh5o1k5mcqu7qvq@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 24 Oct 2005 13:01:22 -0400, "Bill Crocker"
> <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote:
>
> I was confused by the Follows APCO 25 digital on RS homepage. I
> thought that meant that it did follow the digital frequencies. I
> appreciate the help. Thanks for the heads up on the possible sale of
> the Pro 96.
>
>>Wait a little longer...the PRO-96 is rumored to be going on sale soon, for
>>under $400.00.
>>
>>Bill Crocker
>>
>>
>>"Scott" <nitt@nitt.com> wrote in message
>>news:85lpl11l1vavljvsbva37idj2gsaf4oret@4ax.com...
>>>I have been looking at get a scanner that follows digital for awhile
>>> now. I've had my eye on the Pro 96 for some time. RS site shows 499.00
>>> but now they have a Pro 97 for 219.00 I believe. Does the pro 97 also
>>> receive digital?
>>
>

4262. Re: Pro 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-25 20:41:06 UTC
From: Stuart <kb8viy@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <1130272866.084518.170070@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11524

Thanks for all of the info. I guess I might as well hold onto mine.
Use it for uhf/uhf listening. I bought a BC296 for 800Mhz digital
trunking in my area now. 73, Stuart KB8VIY

4263. basic railroad circuits

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-25 20:41:20 UTC
From: shockingd@bluefrog.com
Message-ID: <1130272880.772855.219870@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11525

I have a GRS 75th birthday year book. I am interested in selling it.
Please contact me with a bid.

4264. Re: Digital scanner ?? - Got to be a scam ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-25 21:10:58 UTC
From: MnMikew <mnmiikkew@aol.com>
Message-ID: <3s7lb3Flt07pU1@individual.net>
Source record: 11526

"radio nutt" <alklien@asswipe.com> wrote in message
news:Xns96FA7DD21B7DFASSnotcom@69.28.186.121...
> Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in
> news:vtbrj1phed7tqhvpp1om3huubhi5127tij@4ax.com:
>
> > On Fri, 30 Sep 2005 19:10:20 GMT, radio nutt <radio@raio.com> said in
> > rec.radio.scanner:
> >
> >>nope not a scam only if they encrypt is it not listenable. but plain
> >>digial is fine with the correct radio
> >
> > Read the description. The seller knows nothing about scanners.
>
> you have prove asswipe i hate people like u I try to help and all people
> like u do is start shit.
>
> plonk you go

You may want to stop by the clue store on the way home today and pick one
up.

4265. Re: Digital scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-25 22:09:19 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <c7btl116fffgi4gkbe5606pb71900vjthr@4ax.com>
Source record: 11527

On Tue, 25 Oct 2005 04:43:23 -0400, Scott <nitt@nitt.com> said in
rec.radio.scanner:

>On Mon, 24 Oct 2005 13:01:22 -0400, "Bill Crocker"
><wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote:

>I was confused by the Follows APCO 25 digital on RS homepage. I
>thought that meant that it did follow the digital frequencies.

Digital systems - there's no frequency that's specifically digital.

4266. Re: Need frequencies for Battle lake police and Henning Police, Minnesota

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-25 22:10:32 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <eabtl1lmo867ggjhin1hlu3hlhtaui8ofm@4ax.com>
Source record: 11528

On Tue, 25 Oct 2005 17:40:03 GMT, "St. John Smythe"
<UndisclosedRecipient@privacy.net> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>radio nutt wrote to Big Al:
>
>> you know plonked I will not be helping you assholes again
>
>Given the clarity of your writing, that'll be doing them a favor.

Was it something I said? :)

4267. Re: Digital scanner ?? - Got to be a scam ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-25 22:11:22 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <cbbtl190pu987qo6nndh89on5ikb1ebqr0@4ax.com>
Source record: 11529

On Tue, 25 Oct 2005 17:22:09 GMT, radio nutt <alklien@asswipe.com>
said in rec.radio.scanner:

>Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in
>news:vtbrj1phed7tqhvpp1om3huubhi5127tij@4ax.com:
>
>> On Fri, 30 Sep 2005 19:10:20 GMT, radio nutt <radio@raio.com> said in
>> rec.radio.scanner:
>>
>>>nope not a scam only if they encrypt is it not listenable. but plain
>>>digial is fine with the correct radio
>>
>> Read the description. The seller knows nothing about scanners.
>
>you have prove asswipe i hate people like u I try to help and all people
>like u do is start shit.
>
>plonk you go

Thank you. I expect that I won't have to watch you drool in response
to this post, then.

4268. Re: Ontario Canada Ambulance Frequencies?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-25 22:49:47 UTC
From: Doug Mitton <doug_mitton@hotmail.x.com>
Message-ID: <fjdtl1dkf7i9nfm60d80qr2qekg41d846a@4ax.com>
Source record: 11530

Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote:

>On Sun, 23 Oct 2005 21:11:11 -0400, HotShot
><MyShotIsHot@HotShotShootsSpam.Com> said in rec.radio.scanner:
>
>>Doug Mitton wrote:
>>> Last week the local Eastern Ontario EMT/Ambulance services (Brockville
>>> and Kingston area) switched to a new frequency. They were on 150.100
>>> Mhz and they disappeared in 1 day.
>
>>> Does anyone know of any references to what they may have switched to
>>> and any links to scanning them?
>
>>Yes, they're on the fleetnet trunking system now.
>>
>>If you do a google search you'll find them.
>>
>>Mind you there's only one website that has all the information your
>>looking for so I suggest finding that one.
>
><http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=TRSDB&action=regexp&regexp=fleetnet>
>might have the information.

Thank you! Looks like I have some studying to do! :-)

--
---
http://www3.sympatico.ca/dmitton
SPAM Reduction: Remove "x." from my domain.

4269. ID Tracker 3.8.0 Released

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-25 23:59:43 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <biteme@spammers.net>
Message-ID: <NDz7f.7248$x6.4395@bignews6.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 11531
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

```
ID Tracker V3.8.0 Released

+ Added U/BCT8 support.
+ Made main display resizable for better visibility.

http://bellsouthpwp.net/k/d/kd5eis/IDTracker/IDTracker.htm
```

4270. Re: AR8200 MK3 opinion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-26 00:36:58 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <hsjtl1pqma0a56rf0sdjejsl25rmgpjql1@4ax.com>
Source record: 11532

On Sun, 25 Dec 2005 20:08:38 -0500, "DougSlug" <dougslug@hotmail.com>
said in rec.radio.scanner:

>A scanner is, generally speaking, a VHF/UHF receiver that is optimized for
>quickly scanning preset memories, usually using narrow FM mode or AM mode
>for air band. The AR8200 is really more of a communications receiver, which
>is a more flexible unit capable of receiving a wider range of frequencies
>and modes (such as CW and SSB) with finer control over the tuning step size
>and other parameters. The AR8200 is also capable of scanning preset
>memories, of course. Traditionally, comms receivers are more expensive, but
>now with the digital scanners available, that's not always true.

And the AR8200 doesn't do digital and it doesn't track trunked
systems.

4271. Re: AR8200 MK3 opinion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-26 01:43:55 UTC
From: clu <sconnet@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <435edea2$1_1@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 11533

Al Klein wrote:
> On Sun, 25 Dec 2005 20:08:38 -0500, "DougSlug" <dougslug@hotmail.com>
> said in rec.radio.scanner:
>
>
>>A scanner is, generally speaking, a VHF/UHF receiver that is optimized for
>>quickly scanning preset memories, usually using narrow FM mode or AM mode
>>for air band. The AR8200 is really more of a communications receiver, which
>>is a more flexible unit capable of receiving a wider range of frequencies
>>and modes (such as CW and SSB) with finer control over the tuning step size
>>and other parameters. The AR8200 is also capable of scanning preset
>>memories, of course. Traditionally, comms receivers are more expensive, but
>>now with the digital scanners available, that's not always true.
>
>
> And the AR8200 doesn't do digital and it doesn't track trunked
> systems.

From what I've been reading, these two features appear very important
in this day and age.

So instead of the AR8200, I am starting to look at the Uniden BR330T. Is
that a decent enough one that'll keep me busy and interested in this
potential hobby?

That's too bad about the AR8200. Too bad it doesn't do digital and track
trunked systems. I saw a product that is capable of decoding the digital
stuff, called APCO 25 something or another. It was an add-on device. I
cannot recall the name of it or model number and can't seem to find the
web site anymore. Is it something that can be attached to the AR8200?

--
clu

4272. Re: AR8200 MK3 opinion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-26 03:17:02 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <91ttl1pm121euvr65qm56lrgfpapp37uue@4ax.com>
Source record: 11534

On Tue, 25 Oct 2005 18:43:55 -0700, clu <sconnet@gmail.com> said in
rec.radio.scanner:

>Al Klein wrote:

>> And the AR8200 doesn't do digital and it doesn't track trunked
>> systems.

> From what I've been reading, these two features appear very important
>in this day and age.

If you have no trunked systems and no digital systems you want to
listen to, it's not important - to you.

>So instead of the AR8200, I am starting to look at the Uniden BR330T. Is
>that a decent enough one that'll keep me busy and interested in this
>potential hobby?

If you don't need digital. Although it's really a BC246T with Nascar
stuff added. (You can program a driver's frequencies, then just hop
to that driver. Or something like that. I don't do Nascar. :)) And
the 246 is cheaper.

>That's too bad about the AR8200. Too bad it doesn't do digital and track
>trunked systems. I saw a product that is capable of decoding the digital
>stuff, called APCO 25 something or another. It was an add-on device. I
>cannot recall the name of it or model number and can't seem to find the
>web site anymore. Is it something that can be attached to the AR8200?

Yes - it's an external box, so the pair isn't exactly
hang-on-your-belt portable. And it still won't track trunked systems.
But it will, IIRC, do SSB, and goes a lot lower in frequency than most
scanners.

You have to decide which is more important for the things you want to
listen to. It's a highly personal thing.

4273. FS..uniden bcd396t

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-26 04:31:46 UTC
From: spectro <spectrometal@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <bMadnQFzReeMm8LeRVn-uA@comcast.com>
Source record: 11535

asking $475.00 digital with all accessories.

4274. Patrick AFB Area Log - Tues, 25 Oct 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-26 05:11:22 UTC
From: "Allan.Stern@gmail.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1130303482.828326.29820@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11536

Patrick AFB Area Log - Tues, 25 Oct 2005

CG SECTOR JACKSONVILLE
12:30am: Pan Pan announcement re red flare spotted over Indian River, north of Eau Gallie Cswy; repeated several times.
[156.8, 157.15]

CG VESSEL 25433 (Rigid Hull Inflatable Boat), Port Canaveral.
2:00am: Ops normal; coords to CG Sta Port Canaveral.
[157.15]
Note: For several weeks I have been also hearing CG asset(s) IDd as "CG 433," "CG 65433," and "CG 21433"; I am trying to make heads and tails of all of them.

CG CUTTER 619 (CGC CONFIDENCE), Port Canaveral.
8:10am: Requests entry to Port Canaveral along with CGC 617 (CGC Vigilant); comms with Canaveral Pilot, to West Basin home port.
[156.6]

CG VESSEL 25442, Port Canaveral.
10:31am, 2:14pm: Comms with CG Sta Port Canaveral.
[157.15]

CG 6533: HH-65C (Self-ID).
10:35am: Area transition, comms with CG Sta Port Canaveral at 28-19 North, 80-41 West, course 342, speed 80 knots, to CG Port Canaveral at 500 feet.
[133.75, 157.15]

MUSTANG 01: CE-208B #208B0163, US State Dept, Patrick AFB
10:38am: Departs Patrick AFB.
3:20pm: Lands Patrick.
[133.75, 132.65]

CG CUTTER ELM (WLB-204), Ocean Going Buoy Vessel, Atlantic Beach NC.
10:45am: Comms with CG Sta Port Canaveral while relocating buoys disturbed by Hurricane Wilma; comms with Canaveral Pilots; comms with CG Sta Port Canaveral. WLB-204 is supposedly based at Atlantic Beach NC, but Canaveral Pilot IDd him as based at Ponce Inlet FL, perhaps temporarily.
[156.6, 157.15]

CG CUTTER VIGILANT (WMEC-617), Port Canaveral.
11:01am: Comms with Canaveral Pilot.
[156.6]

SEARCH 01: UH-1N, NASA-KSC Security/Survey Helo.
11:02am: Lands Patrick.
[133.75]

ACFT 712: P-3C
11:20am: Air-air comms with Acft 711; passes coded location coordinates.
[303.0]

CG 65433 (21433?)
11:56am, 12:28pm.

SWEET 03: C-130H #88-4403, Mitchell Field, Milwaukee 440AW AFRC
12:25pm: Arrives Patrick.
1:19pm: Departs to Moody AFB.
[118.4, 133.75, 132.65, 372.2]

ASCOT 5438: Royal Air Force.
12:38pm: En route to Belize.
[133.475, 133.275]

N___CS: P-3 (Self-ID), CBP.
12:43pm: Comms with Sealord: "Going operational."
[267.5]

LIMA LIMA 05: P-3C, NAS Jacksonville VP-30 "Pro's Nest"
12:45pm: Area transition.
[132.65]

JSTARS 03: E-8C.
12:48pm: Lands Melbourne IAP
[132.65, 118.2]

LA 052: P-3C, Jacksonville NAS VP-5 "Mad Foxes."
1:00pm: To NAS Key West, then RTB NAS Jacksonville.
[132.65, 132.25]

REACH 571T: Pre-POTUS-Trip Acft.
2:24pm: Southbound.
[133.475]
NOTE: Dubya will be visiting the South Florida area Thursday to survey the damage from Hurricane Wilma.

FANG 01, FANG 04: F-15, FL-ANG 125FW, Jacksonville IAP.
2:35pm: Checks into Charlie Airspace.
[301.0]

SNAKE 01, SNAKE 02: F-15, FL-ANG 125FW, Jacksonville IAP.
2:46pm: Checks into Charlie Airspace. SNAKE 01 is #75029. SNAKE 02 is #75049.
[301.0, 343.0]

REACH 578T: Pre-POTUS-Trip Acft.
3:05pm: Southbound.
[133.475]

REACH 577T: Pre-POTUS-Trip Acft.
3:07pm: Southbound.
[132.15]

AIR TRANSPORT 300: DC-8 (USAF Contractor)
5:25pm: Arrives Patrick.
8:20pm: Departs to TAPA (Antigua, downrange ETR site).
[133.75, 132.65, 132.25, 119.825]

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL (28-11N 80-36W) monitoring
Patrick AFB (KCOF) NASA-KSC Shuttle Landing Fac (KX68)
Avon Park Bombing Range (KAGR) Cape Canaveral AFS (KXMR)
JSTARS E-8 Acft Integration Facility, Melbourne IAP (KMLB)
Worldwide Military HF Communications
Life Member: Missile, Space and Range Pioneers.
http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/index.html (My Freqs)
http://hometown.aol.com/scanaddict/index.html (My Equipment)

4275. WTT - Icom R20 for tabletop shortwave receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-26 11:42:47 UTC
From: theoldcop@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1130326967.247841.291090@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11537

I have a 2 month old Icom R20 in mint condition (I am the original owner) that I would like to swap for a desktop shortwave receiver in the same condition (Lowe HF-150, 225, Icom R75 etc.). The R20 will be shipped in its' original box with all stock paperwork/accessories.

Please contact me off list if you are interested.

Thank you, John Schneider
thecopATоptonline.net

4276. FS RADIO SHACK PRO 2067 SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-26 19:35:58 UTC
From: Brian <victhedog@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <0cmvl1h30thtadvlgoncatopo31pfmnssv@4ax.com>
Source record: 11538

THIS IS A USED PRO 2067 SCANNER
HAS NEVER BEEN MOBLE
WORKS 100%
HAS BEEN SITTING ON SHELF GETTING DUSTY
NEEDS TO GO

$150 OR BEST OFFER

4277. FS BC895XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-26 21:07:25 UTC
From: Stuart <kb8viy@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <1130360845.859273.248100@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11539

Greetings,

I have for sale a BC895XLT in brand new condtion. I have the box, but no manual. Comes with wal-wart and antenna. You can get the manual for free from the www.uniden.com website. I have all ready checked. I am selling the scanner due to the County that I live in went digital. I am asking $100.00 shipped. Thanks in advance. 73, Stuart KB8VIY

4278. FS Radio Shack pro-95 digital trunking scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-26 23:54:46 UTC
From: K5IN <K5IN@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <xsGdnSJjFdLXiv3eRVn-tw@comcast.com>
Source record: 11540

I have two of these that are extra to my needs. Original box, manual, battery packs and rubber duck. I also have the 800mhz smaller duck which will go with the first one sold. $110.00 shipped and I do take pay pal.

Brian, k5in@comcast.net

4279. Which Is The Best?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-27 04:28:57 UTC
From: Bill <duckybill@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <dIY7f.96806$tD4.81717@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 11541
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Ok I know it all depends, but which do you consider the top of the line base and handheld scanners and overall 'best'? Also which are best buys? The BC246T looks like a good deal for the money. What do you like? Will the best of the digital scanners also do as well as the non digital scanners for non digital listening? Or are there pitfalls to avoid? I'm not sure if I want a base or handheld for a starter.

Bill

4280. Re: Digital scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-27 08:28:57 UTC
From: Scott <nitt@nitt.com>
Message-ID: <oo31m1dubr1ee62onu95l77alp10tbec1q@4ax.com>
Source record: 11542

On Tue, 25 Oct 2005 14:55:50 -0400, "Bill Crocker"
<wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote:

>The PRO-96 is APCO-25 compliant, but not the PRO-97.

Found my problem....RS had the Pro 97 listed as APCO-25 Compliant. I
called to double check and no they have changed it. I thought I must
be going crazy

4281. Re: Which Is The Best?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-27 11:41:14 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <pO2dnV9M5px9If3enZ2dnUVZ_tKdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 11543
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Suggest you visit RadioReference web site. Membership is FREE! Visit their forums, and you will find more information than you could imagine! Also be sure to check out their frequency database for the entire U.S.!
http://www.radioreference.com/
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR

Bill Crocker

"Bill" <duckybill@indy.rr.com> wrote in message
news:dIY7f.96806$tD4.81717@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com...
> Ok I know it all depends, but which do you consider the top of the line
> base and handheld scanners and overall 'best'? Also which are best buys?
> The BC246T looks like a good deal for the money. What do you like? Will
> the best of the digital scanners also do as well as the non digital
> scanners for non digital listening? Or are there pitfalls to avoid? I'm
> not sure if I want a base or handheld for a starter.
>
> Bill
>

4282. Air Force One backup acft SAM 28000 at Patrick AFB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-27 17:28:25 UTC
From: "Allan.Stern@gmail.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1130434105.574549.266730@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11544

Precisely at 12:30pm EDT (1630z), SAM 28000 (the backup plane for Air Force One) landed at Patrick AFB. His inbound handoff sequence was 124.8 > 132.65 > 133.75.

I was able to take a fine photo of his arrival today. If anyone wants a copy, drop an Email to me.

Also, when at the magazine stand, check this month's issue of Popular Communications for my article. If you ever have any Mil communications info that you might want me to include in a future article, be sure to send it along to me.

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL (28-11N 80-36W) monitoring
Patrick AFB (KCOF) NASA-KSC Shuttle Landing Fac (KX68)
Avon Park Bombing Range (KAGR) Cape Canaveral AFS (KXMR)
JSTARS E-8 Acft Integration Facility, Melbourne IAP (KMLB)
Worldwide Military HF Communications
Life Member: Missile, Space and Range Pioneers.
http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/index.html (My Freqs)
http://hometown.aol.com/scanaddict/index.html (My Equipment)

4283. Re: FS Radio Shack pro-95 digital trunking scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-27 18:01:34 UTC
From: MnMikew <mnmiikkew@aol.com>
Message-ID: <3scivvFnj2tdU1@individual.net>
Source record: 11545

"K5IN" <K5IN@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:xsGdnSJjFdLXiv3eRVn-tw@comcast.com...
> I have two of these that are extra to my needs. Original box, manual,
> battery packs and rubber duck. I also have the 800mhz smaller duck which
> will go with the first one sold. $110.00 shipped and I do take pay pal.
>
> Brian, k5in@comcast.net
>
The pro95 isnt digital.

4284. Re: NoteBook Computer 4-Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-28 00:41:44 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <its2m15lg7v2kijna174iocea7lthef9ql@4ax.com>
Source record: 11546

On Wed, 26 Oct 2005 16:00:48 -0700, Evan Platt
<evan@theobvious.espphotography.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 22:33:21 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
>wrote:

>>And any credibility or reputation for honesty.

>Bill sure disappeared quick....

I've noticed that most people with nothing to hide usually don't hide.
:)

4285. For Sale: Radio Shack Pro 91

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-28 12:12:27 UTC
From: TomCat4680 <tomcatgbmi@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130501547.899949.151940@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11547
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

For Sale: Radio Shack Pro 91. Great Condition.
with original manual and rubber duckie antenna.
50 dollars U.S.
email me if interested at tomcatgbmi@aol.com

4286. For Sale: Radio Shack Pro 92

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-28 12:15:02 UTC
From: TomCat4680 <tomcatgbmi@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130501702.270044.326830@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11548
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

For Sale: Radio Shack Pro 92.
with original manual and rubber duckie antenna.
I don't know why, but for some reason it displays the wrong PL tones.
Other than that it works fine.
60 dollars U.S.
email me at tomcatgbmi@aol.com if interested.

4287. Re: Which Is The Best?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-28 17:46:52 UTC
From: George <slacdude@inreach.com>
Message-ID: <20051028104652275590%slacdude@inreachcom>
Source record: 11549

On 2005-10-26 21:28:57 -0700, "Bill" <duckybill@indy.rr.com> said:

> Ok I know it all depends, but which do you consider the top of the line
> base and handheld scanners and overall 'best'? Also which are best
> buys? The BC246T looks like a good deal for the money. What do you
> like? Will the best of the digital scanners also do as well as the non
> digital scanners for non digital listening? Or are there pitfalls to
> avoid? I'm not sure if I want a base or handheld for a starter.
>
> Bill

Hi Bill

Please allow my "two cents" worth...

Just yesterday I purchased a Uniden BC796D. This unit will sit on the shelf and be strictly for base station use. For a hand-held I have an Icom IC-R20, which is really an all-mode communications receiver.

After first powering up the BC796D, I was able to immediately enter frequencies into memory channels and start the scanning function. This scanner will require a bit of a learning curve because of all the features it has to offer. The operators manual was downloaded first to become familiarized with it's operation and to discover what features it actually had.

Features which are most important may include:

1. A "VFO" control. This will allow the frequencies to be tuned very easily, as opposed to using the "up & down" controls used on most scanners. On the BC796D, this control will also allow quick memory channel selection. So far, the VFO knob is way to small on the BC796D, as opposed to the VFO control knob on the BC898T. That is about the only negative issue so far, but then I've only been playing with it less than 24 hours!

2. Selectable tuning steps instead of the default tuning step ranges which may be off a few KC's from where you want to listen. Also the option of selecting the mode, AM - FM - WFM - NFM.

3. "NLD" Nice Large Display. This is something the BC796D excels in.

4. Since my vision isn't what it used to be, I've found the newer units, wether it be Radio Shack or Uniden, to name just a couple, now have the complete front panel and push-buttons backlit. I would have to say that this alone is the main reason I chose the BC9796D. In a dimly lighted room the controls are very easy to navigate. Plus, it's

looks way cool!

5. Continuos frequency coverage! This is a very handy option. Even though the Uniden BC796D has a few gaps, the range is sufficient for my needs. The Icom IC-R20 covers everything from 100KHZ - 3Ghz, except 800Mhz cellular. The R20 is a receiver with complete control over tuning steps, modes etc. Plus it has dual VFO's. This will allow the reception of both the input and output frequencies for repeater systems, very handy!

6. Radio Shack as well as some of the other manufactures have a feature called "Signal-Stalker" which could be of interest. The "Pro-2051" has this feature and it's a close-out at $149.95. In my opinion, including that and the display is about the only thing it has going for it. Reduced frequency coverage, non-selectable frequency steps, however it does have good audio.

7. Good sensitivity, rejection to intermod, adjacent signal rejection, the list goes on.

8. Is the reception of digital modes of importance?

So far I'm very impressed with this radio. It is connected to a Icom AH-7000 Discone antenna outside at about sixteen feet above ground. This unit hears very well and should provide many years of reliable use.

Well I hope this information will be of some use in your decision and hopefully not add to any confusion you may have already had.

Best regards

george

4288. Outdoor Scanner Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-28 18:30:45 UTC
From: Scott <nitt@nitt.com>
Message-ID: <88r4m1hf197ktlh9d80kv51rb5afk26cnp@4ax.com>
Source record: 11550

What could I expect from an outdoor Antenna? I have nothing to attach
an antenna to on my roof. I'm newbie at this, and was curious as to
how hard it would be to install this

http://www.radioshack.com/product/index.jsp?parentPage=search&cp=&productId=2103160&kw=base+scanners&tab=support

Years ago my neighbor was a cb freak, and he had a freaking tall
antenna on his roof. How would I get this up high enough? Could
someone really help a newbie out on what i would need to buy to get
this antenna just right for scanning. I'm not afraid to do anything,
but seriously just don't know what all I need, and what i should
expect from this type of setup.

4289. Re: Which Is The Best?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-28 22:11:52 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <lmx8f.2867$RI1.1924@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 11451
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Bill" <duckybill@indy.rr.com> wrote in message
news:dIY7f.96806$tD4.81717@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com...
> Ok I know it all depends, but which do you consider the top of the line
> base and handheld scanners and overall 'best'? Also which are best buys?
> The BC246T looks like a good deal for the money. What do you like? Will
> the best of the digital scanners also do as well as the non digital
> scanners for non digital listening? Or are there pitfalls to avoid? I'm
> not sure if I want a base or handheld for a starter.
>
> Bill
>

I have a 246 that I love!! The Police here do not use digital so I have
never bought or tried one. I started with a Pro-95 and then bought a 246.
The 246 works just as well, and is MUCH smaller which is what I like so
much. Makes it much easier to carry.
The 246 is about $200.00 including the AC adapter and PC cable. Well worth
it.
--
Beer is proof that God loves us and wants us to be happy.
--Benjamin Franklin

4290. Re: Outdoor Scanner Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-28 22:52:23 UTC
From: Reloader <Reloader.1xn8s0@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Reloader.1xn8s0@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 11453

When I lived in San Antonio, I had a Grove Scanner Beam up 25 ft. With it, I could easily pick up car to car transmissons over 150 miles away. Can you put up an antenna that high> Yes, it is directional and you could mount a rotor on the pole.

--
Reloader

4291. Re: Outdoor Scanner Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-28 23:26:20 UTC
From: George <slacdude@inreach.com>
Message-ID: <2005102816262027590%slacdude@inreachcom>
Source record: 11452

On 2005-10-28 11:30:45 -0700, Scott <nitt@nitt.com> said:

> What could I expect from an outdoor Antenna? I have nothing to attach
> an antenna to on my roof. I'm newbie at this, and was curious as to
> how hard it would be to install this
>
> http://www.radioshack.com/product/index.jsp?parentPage=search&cp=&productId=2103160&kw=base+scanners&tab=support

Years
>
> ago my neighbor was a cb freak, and he had a freaking tall
> antenna on his roof. How would I get this up high enough? Could
> someone really help a newbie out on what i would need to buy to get
> this antenna just right for scanning. I'm not afraid to do anything,
> but seriously just don't know what all I need, and what i should
> expect from this type of setup.

Hi Scott

The discone type antenna is probably the best antenna available for a scanner. Of course there are some other considerations to be aware of, specifically, what are your "bands of interest"? Would you also like to be able to receive transmissions from satellites, and/or other overhead aircraft? Are you interested in receiving signals from a great distance, or just local traffic? You're in luck, the Discone does all that!

If you have a "vent pipe" on your roof, it would then only require a short section of mast to mount the Discone to, and then mount the mast to the vent pipe. This is exactly how I have mounted my discone, and it works very well.

I have even used a Discone antenna inside with quite acceptable results. The advantage here is that only a very short cable is required.

There are many types of Discone antennas available, and for different parts of the RF spectrum. AOR has one which is good form 75Mhz - 3Ghz, and it is less than three feet tall. Do a search for "DS3000".

Hope this helps.

Best regards

george

4292. Re: Outdoor Scanner Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-29 11:43:52 UTC
From: Scott <nitt@nitt.com>
Message-ID: <qin6m1l365rb4trccr9o5jloudsd2kjj26@4ax.com>
Source record: 11454

On Fri, 28 Oct 2005 16:26:20 -0700, George <slacdude@inreach.com>
wrote:

Sounds like what I need then. Will the work with a handheld scanner? I
have the Pro 95. I see that I need the discone Antenna, the vent pipe
mount that i also saw at RS...What about wiring? I need cable and
adapter plugs to get everything hooked up from the Antenna to my
scanner. What type of Cable if I go with the outside setup?

I'm also wondering what type of connectors I need to hook the antenna
up to the pro 95 handheld. I basically just need to know exactly what
type of cable and connectors I need to finish this job. I see so many
connectors but dont know which ones I need :(Help!!! What else do i
need? Remember I'm a newbie :)

>On 2005-10-28 11:30:45 -0700, Scott <nitt@nitt.com> said:
>
>> What could I expect from an outdoor Antenna? I have nothing to attach
>> an antenna to on my roof. I'm newbie at this, and was curious as to
>> how hard it would be to install this
>>
>> http://www.radioshack.com/product/index.jsp?parentPage=search&cp=&productId=2103160&kw=
base+scanners&tab=support
>
>Years
>>
>> ago my neighbor was a cb freak, and he had a freaking tall
>> antenna on his roof. How would I get this up high enough? Could
>> someone really help a newbie out on what i would need to buy to get
>> this antenna just right for scanning. I'm not afraid to do anything,
>> but seriously just don't know what all I need, and what i should
>> expect from this type of setup.
>
>Hi Scott
>
>The discone type antenna is probably the best antenna available for a
>scanner. Of course there are some other considerations to be aware of,
>specifically, what are your "bands of interest"? Would you also like
>to be able to receive transmissions from satellites, and/or other
>overhead aircraft? Are you interested in receiving signals from a great
>distance, or just local traffic? You're in luck, the Discone does all
>that!
>
>If you have a "vent pipe" on your roof, it would then only require a
>short section of mast to mount the Discone to, and then mount the mast

>to the vent pipe. This is exactly how I have mounted my discone, and
>it works very well.
>
>I have even used a Discone antenna inside with quite acceptable
>results. The advantage here is that only a very short cable is
>required.
>
>There are many types of Discone antennas available, and for different
>parts of the RF spectrum. AOR has one which is good form 75Mhz - 3Ghz,
>and it is less than three feet tall. Do a search for "DS3000".
>
>Hope this helps.
>
>Best regards
>
>george

4293. Re: Outdoor Scanner Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-29 15:07:20 UTC
From: kla1899 <spam@ftc.gov>
Message-ID: <Xns96FE723139940nottodaycom@130.81.64.196>
Source record: 11455

Scott <nitt@nitt.com> wrote in
news:88r4m1hf197ktlh9d80kv51rb5afk26cnp@4ax.com:

> What could I expect from an outdoor Antenna? I have nothing to attach
> an antenna to on my roof. I'm newbie at this, and was curious as to
> how hard it would be to install this
>
> http://www.radioshack.com/product/index.jsp?parentPage=search&cp=&produ
> ctId=2103160&kw=base+scanners&tab=support
>
> Years ago my neighbor was a cb freak, and he had a freaking tall
> antenna on his roof. How would I get this up high enough? Could
> someone really help a newbie out on what i would need to buy to get
> this antenna just right for scanning. I'm not afraid to do anything,
> but seriously just don't know what all I need, and what i should
> expect from this type of setup.

Well yes you do have things on your roof to attach an antenna to :-)
You have 2" or 3" or 4" pipes sticking out the top of your roof, i.e.
every home or apartment in the USA has them. If your buying that
RadioShit antenna then you also need to pick up:

http://www.radioshack.com/product/index.jsp?productId=2062040&cp

and

http://www.radioshack.com/product/index.jsp?productId=2062033
&cp=&kw=antenna+mast&parentPage=search

and you will need

http://www.radioshack.com/product/index.jsp?productId=2103483&cp

and last

http://www.radioshack.com/search/index.jsp?kwCatId=&kw=278-120

And that will put you 5 feet in the air.. You can stop into Lowe's and
pickup a 10 foot mast for $14.00 rather then use the 5 footer from
RadioShit..

--
kla1899

4294. Re: Outdoor Scanner Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-29 17:45:03 UTC
From: Bob Anderson <gdeadbitwisebob@aol.com>
Message-ID: <a7d7m11svln6bcpmlspkqdkikljf6r7lc3@4ax.com>
Source record: 11457

On Fri, 28 Oct 2005 14:30:45 -0400, Scott <nitt@nitt.com> wrote:

>What could I expect from an outdoor Antenna?

strong signals will overload most scanners, and you will get stuff on
frequencies you won't expect or want. might need a notch filter to
go along with the antenna.

Bob Anderson*Bitwisebob
Eugene Oregon

4295. which old scanners receive cellular

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-29 18:04:41 UTC
From: Scott <nitt@nitt.com>
Message-ID: <m9e7m1ljtq3fpcjo0j03jk285mm7ujgqvf@4ax.com>
Source record: 11456

and cordless phones bands. By searching ebay I come across one that stated it was manufactured before those bands were blocked. What other name brands plus models should I be searching for.

4296. another question about pro 95

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-29 18:23:39 UTC
From: Scott <nitt@nitt.com>
Message-ID: <ubf7m15qharhehft15po0ulmcf6g6huplv@4ax.com>
Source record: 11458

I now this might sound crazy but you never know what you might pick up. I have a pro 95 and I also have a wilson 1000 cb antenna, if I wanted to try and hook this antenna to my pro 95 what type of connector would I need. Could someone point me to the correct item at radio shack.

4297. Re: Which Is The Best?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-29 18:34:48 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <kcf7m1h0hm011dbgfq0hr8i3pck3810300@4ax.com>
Source record: 11459

On Fri, 28 Oct 2005 10:46:52 -0700, George <slacdude@inreach.com> said
in rec.radio.scanner:

>2. Selectable tuning steps instead of the default tuning step ranges
>which may be off a few KC's from where you want to listen.

If the frequency is "a few KCs off", someone should notify the
licensee, because they're operating illegally. If it's 5 KHz off,
you're probably trying to receive the wrong frequency. A lot of PS
frequencies found on the internet were found by people who had their
scanners on the wrong frequency, and when you try to match that
frequency with a better scanner, you think that the transmitter is off
frequency. No, it's the knowledge of the person reporting the
frequency that was off. Most technicians know how to keep the
transmitters on frequency - it's what they were hired for.

>5. Continuos frequency coverage! This is a very handy option. Even
>though the Uniden BC796D has a few gaps, the range is sufficient for my
>needs. The Icom IC-R20 covers everything from 100KHZ - 3Ghz, except
>800Mhz cellular.

It covers 1.8 GHz cellular? Or did you just forget to mention that?
(Not that receiving it would do any good - there are NO analog
services in the "PCS" band.)

>7. Good sensitivity, rejection to intermod

Not in any receiver ever made, if the intermod is external to the
scanner. If it's on the frequency you're receiving, you'll receive
it. GRE, Uniden or a Motorola G-strip (which was probably the
tightest receiver ever made - the thing had cavities for front end
filters).

You may mean a receiver that doesn't suffer much from front end
overload. Typical of Uniden, NOT typical of GRE. (You're almost
assured of overloading the front end of a GRE scanner if you put on an
external antenna, unless you don't live anywhere near any
transmitter.)

> adjacent signal rejection

Also not with almost any scanner ever made. Most scanners have a
fixed IF bandwidth. It's difficult to be wide enough to not distort
on an 11 KHz channel and still not pick up adjacent channel
interference with 5 KHz channel spacing.

4298. Re: Outdoor Scanner Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-29 19:12:53 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <u8g7m11sonc2pvi2ljm34kbmv05sptmbu3@4ax.com>
Source record: 11460

On Fri, 28 Oct 2005 14:30:45 -0400, Scott <nitt@nitt.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>Could someone really help a newbie out on what i would need to buy to get
>this antenna just right for scanning. I'm not afraid to do anything,
>but seriously just don't know what all I need, and what i should
>expect from this type of setup.

Four things you should be aware of:

1) A discone is a negative gain antenna - that is, it has less gain than a dipole, which is the standard by which 0 gain is measured. Since the signal it receives is so weak, the cable is VERY important - the lower the loss the better, even if it's a fairly short run. DON'T buy your cable from Radio Shack - they don't sell any low loss cable - only cable that's lowER loss than the regular cable. Go to this site <http://www.timesmicrowave.com/cgi-bin/calculate.pl>, plug in the highest frequency you're interested in (will you be listening to 850?), the cable type (try a few of the common ones - RG6, RG8, RG58) and the approximate length of cable, and you'll see how much signal the cable will lose. Look at the "efficiency". Subtract that from 100 and that's how much signal is being lost.

Don't let anyone tell you that it only matters if you're transmitting. Do you see any "direction" choice there? The same amount of signal is lost in both directions. (Actually a little more is lost with a scanner because the scanner isn't a 50 ohm load to the cable.)

Be prepared to spend at least 50 cents/foot for decent cable and up to $2/foot for good cable. Putting up a gainless antenna and lossy cable (like 100 feet of RG58 for 850 MHz - 96% of the signal is lost in the cable) is just a waste of time and money. You'll probably receive better with a rubber duck on the scanner.

2) Don't buy hype, buy an antenna.

If a discone is designed properly, and very well made, it will cover a frequency range of 4:1. (Most real - not on paper - discones are more like 3:1.) The lowest frequency is that at which the radials are 1/4 wave long. So to receive VHF-lo well they have to be about 6 feet long. The highest frequency this antenna will be any good at will be about 120 MHz.

The typical discone with 3 foot radials will cover from about 75-225 MHz.

25-1300 MHz is advertising copy, not measured performance. If anyone can get a discone (without a whip sticking up on top - just a pure discone - if you want whips, buy a Scantenna, or something like it) to cover a 50:1 frequency range (25-1300), you're going to hear him named a Nobel prize winner in physics. And science will have to be rethought.

ANYTHING that's not an insulator will receive signals. The question is whether that thing, at the end of some reasonable length of affordable cable will receive any more signal than an antenna plugged right into the scanner. Why put up an antenna that gives you 6db gain over the antenna on the scanner and connect it with cable that gives you 9db loss? You just REDUCED the signal you're going to hear by 50% (3db). Sure the antenna has 6db gain over the one on the scanner - but it's not going to do you any good unless the cable loss is less than 6db.

3) Wherever you put the antenna, and no matter how high you put it, figure out where it will fall if the mount breaks away at the base. It should fall within the confines of your property - for a few of reasons.

1) You don't want a neighbor getting hurt if it falls.
2) You WILL be considered legally negligent if you mount it so that it can fall outside your property line.
3) Your insurance won't cover the judgment against you - you'll pay it all out of your pocket.

But don't mount an antenna on your chimney. Aside from the fact that a chimney isn't made to withstand the torque produced when the wind hits the antenna, part of what goes up the flue is sulphur and, when it comes into contact with water (like from air with any humidity at all), it forms sulphuric acid. Do you really want your antenna bathed in that for months at a time every year?

Add last, but by no means least, if you're going to put up an outside antenna, PLEASE put in a good grounding system, ground the mast to it and use a static discharge device on the cable. The ground system should tie ALL the grounds in the house to one point - telephone, electric, antenna. And a decent ground (not a good one, a decent one) is AT LEAST 4 10 foot ground rods spaced in a rectangle at least 10 feet on a side, with wire going from 1 to the next, but NOT forming a loop, with all your grounds connected at one point along that run - any one point, preferably near the middle.

I'm not making this up - read the National Electric Code on grounding, or ask an electrician. This is important - people are killed every year by bad antenna installations. Not many - but if you're one of them, it doesn't matter how many there are.

With all that in mind, this is a hobby, so enjoy it.

4299. Re: Which Is The Best?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-29 21:10:13 UTC
From: George <slacdude@inreach.com>
Message-ID: <20051029141013275 90%slacdude@inreachcom>
Source record: 11461

Hi Al

Having just purchased this unit last Thursday, I'm still getting use to the way a scanner works, and believe it or not, this Uniden BC796D is my very first scanner, and the very first time I've ever responded to something in the newsgroups.

Thanks for the correction on the "few KC's off" line. What I should have said is "five or ten KC"s off frequency". By "off frequency", the scanner itself is unable to tune in finer steps, and only has "default" steps, which get close but not right on the frequency I'm trying to receive.

While looking at just some the various scanners, I noticed that at least one did not tune the UHF Ham repeater sub-band correctly, and I was unable receive some of the repeaters in that portion. It would only tune in 15KC increments. In Northern California the UHF 440 - 450 repeater sub-band has 25KC spacing and in Southern California they use 20KC spacing. This is just one example.

Just went through the entire range on the IC-R20 and apparently the 800Mhz cellular portion is the only area with any gap in it. My IC-R100 receives the entire range from 100Khz - 1854Mhz continuously and without any gaps. However, the IC-R100 is probably the slowest radio around when it comes to scanning. This is the reason for finally getting a scanner, and the BC796D rips right along at this function!

"Good sensitivity, rejection to intermod and adjacent signal rejection".

Well perhaps I now live in an area where this isn't really an issue. When I lived up in Northern California, Mt. Diablo produced a fair share of undesired signals, and then again some were of considerable interest too!

Best regards

george

On 2005-10-29 11:34:48 -0700, Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net> said:

> On Fri, 28 Oct 2005 10:46:52 -0700, George <slacdude@inreach.com> said
> in rec.radio.scanner:
>
>> 2. Selectable tuning steps instead of the default tuning step ranges
>> which may be off a few KC's from where you want to listen.
>
> If the frequency is "a few KCs off", someone should notify the

> licensee, because they're operating illegally. If it's 5 KHz off,
> you're probably trying to receive the wrong frequency. A lot of PS
> frequencies found on the internet were found by people who had their
> scanners on the wrong frequency, and when you try to match that
> frequency with a better scanner, you think that the transmitter is off
> frequency. No, it's the knowledge of the person reporting the
> frequency that was off. Most technicians know how to keep the
> transmitters on frequency - it's what they were hired for.
>
>> 5. Continuos frequency coverage! This is a very handy option. Even
>> though the Uniden BC796D has a few gaps, the range is sufficient for my
>> needs. The Icom IC-R20 covers everything from 100KHZ - 3Ghz, except
>> 800Mhz cellular.
>
> It covers 1.8 GHz cellular? Or did you just forget to mention that?
> (Not that receiving it would do any good - there are NO analog
> services in the "PCS" band.)
>
>> 7. Good sensitivity, rejection to intermod
>
> Not in any receiver ever made, if the intermod is external to the
> scanner. If it's on the frequency you're receiving, you'll receive
> it. GRE, Uniden or a Motorola G-strip (which was probably the
> tightest receiver ever made - the thing had cavities for front end
> filters).
>
> You may mean a receiver that doesn't suffer much from front end
> overload. Typical of Uniden, NOT typical of GRE. (You're almost
> assured of overloading the front end of a GRE scanner if you put on an
> external antenna, unless you don't live anywhere near any
> transmitter.)
>
>> adjacent signal rejection
>
> Also not with almost any scanner ever made. Most scanners have a
> fixed IF bandwidth. It's difficult to be wide enough to not distort
> on an 11 KHz channel and still not pick up adjacent channel
> interference with 5 KHz channel spacing.

4300. Re: Outdoor Scanner Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-29 21:29:26 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <3cq7m19aq6ja2p1rcgvrb0ntlg8ti4hg05@4ax.com>
Source record: 11462

On Sat, 29 Oct 2005 10:45:03 -0700, Bob Anderson
<gdeadbitwisebob@aol.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>On Fri, 28 Oct 2005 14:30:45 -0400, Scott <nitt@nitt.com> wrote:

>>What could I expect from an outdoor Antenna?

>strong signals will overload most scanners, and you will get stuff on
>frequencies you won't expect or want. might need a notch filter to
>go along with the antenna.

A lot of notch filters. FM Broadcast. A few to cover the TV
broadcast spectrum.

GRE receivers don't like big antennas - they overload easily.

4301. Re: Outdoor Scanner Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-29 21:44:04 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <pdq7m19mqfqvpv9j68d0ht4idcftqvie0a@4ax.com>
Source record: 11463

On Sat, 29 Oct 2005 07:43:52 -0400, Scott <nitt@nitt.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>Sounds like what I need then. Will the work with a handheld scanner?

The antenna will work with anything. A GRE (Radio Shack) scanner will overload easily so, if there are any transmitters within a few miles of you, you probably don't want too much antenna.

>What about wiring? I need cable and
>adapter plugs to get everything hooked up from the Antenna to my
>scanner. What type of Cable if I go with the outside setup?

Again - how long and what frequency?

> I'm also wondering what type of connectors I need to hook the antenna
>up to the pro 95 handheld.

BNC for the scanner end. I'm not sure about that particular antenna, but I think it takes a PL-259 (278-205). Soldered. Even at 150 MHz, you don't want a non-solder connector. At 850 MHz, it's as good as 5 feet of cable missing between the antenna and scanner. Radio Shack doesn't list a solder-type BNC connector, so you might want to use another PL-259 (they come 2 to a pack at RS) and use an adapter (278-120) to go to the scanner.

4302. Re: another question about pro 95

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-29 21:45:09 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <u9r7m1tsvd3u8mn5gqqj1c2ebqojp3fdm9@4ax.com>
Source record: 11464

On Sat, 29 Oct 2005 14:23:39 -0400, Scott <nitt@nitt.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>I now this might sound crazy but you never know what you might pick >up. I have a pro 95 and I also have a wilson 1000 cb antenna, if I >wanted to try and hook this antenna to my pro 95 what type of >connector would I need. Could someone point me to the correct item at >radio shack.

Regardless of the antenna, the Pro-95 takes a BNC connector. But RS doesn't sell a solder-type male BNC.

4303. Fa - Icom R20

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-29 21:50:06 UTC
From: John Schneider <thecop@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <S7S8f.24535$ol.7636@fe08.lga>
Source record: 11465

I have an absolutely mint R20 for auction...

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5824649668

4304. Re: which old scanners receive cellular

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-29 21:59:33 UTC
From: krackula <krackula@i.am>
Message-ID: <pqr7m19b8kqb0qdgd9qgtue3fcq6vva44b@4ax.com>
Source record: 11466

why bother ? the FCC has ended the requirement to maintain analog
cellular services by 2007. analog conversations in most large metro
areas are already mostly dead, with the remaining services generally
found in remote areas poorly served by digital services.

don't spend a lot for your analog cellular scanner (pro-43 or similar
for example) as it will soon become nearly worthless.

On Sat, 29 Oct 2005 14:04:41 -0400, Scott <nitt@nitt.com> wrote:

>and cordless phones bands. By searching ebay I come across one that
>stated it was manufactured before those bands were blocked. What other
>name brands plus models should I be searching for.

4305. Re: another question about pro 95

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-29 23:57:46 UTC
From: Scott <nitt@nitt.com>
Message-ID: <0338m15t9f3ufudhve85k3ffrv09jrgcln@4ax.com>
Source record: 11467

On Sat, 29 Oct 2005 17:45:09 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
wrote:

>On Sat, 29 Oct 2005 14:23:39 -0400, Scott <nitt@nitt.com> said in
>rec.radio.scanner:
>
>>I now this might sound crazy but you never know what you might pick
>>up. I have a pro 95 and I also have a wilson 1000 cb antenna, if I
>>wanted to try and hook this antenna to my pro 95 what type of
>>connector would I need. Could someone point me to the correct item at
>>radio shack.
>
>Regardless of the antenna, the Pro-95 takes a BNC connector. But RS
>doesn't sell a solder-type male BNC.

That explains why I couldn't find it. I searched and searched. Thanks.

4306. Re: which old scanners receive cellular

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-29 23:59:46 UTC
From: Scott <nitt@nitt.com>
Message-ID: <t438m1tuqpfgqaupaogt9v74gdfa236ofk@4ax.com>
Source record: 11468

On Sat, 29 Oct 2005 14:59:33 -0700, krackula <krackula@i.am> wrote:

>
>why bother ? the FCC has ended the requirement to maintain analog
>cellular services by 2007. analog conversations in most large metro
>areas are already mostly dead, with the remaining services generally
>found in remote areas poorly served by digital services.
>
>don't spend a lot for your analog cellular scanner (pro-43 or similar
>for example) as it will soon become nearly worthless.
>

I understand what you mean, but to be honest I doubt that my county
will ever bother going digital. If so it'll be 15 years after everyone
else does. They stay behind on everything.

4307. Re: which old scanners receive cellular

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-30 00:02:32 UTC
From: Scott <nitt@nitt.com>
Message-ID: <ia38m1h1gkhvqku86i61j7u9oa3pducu7t@4ax.com>
Source record: 11469

On Sat, 29 Oct 2005 14:59:33 -0700, krackula <krackula@i.am> wrote:

>
>why bother ? the FCC has ended the requirement to maintain analog
>cellular services by 2007. analog conversations in most large metro
>areas are already mostly dead, with the remaining services generally
>found in remote areas poorly served by digital services.
>
>don't spend a lot for your analog cellular scanner (pro-43 or similar
>for example) as it will soon become nearly worthless.

By the way I live in Newnan, GA Coweta County.....You have any
information about them going digital. Were part of the Metro Atlanta
area.

4308. Re: which old scanners receive cellular

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-30 00:27:05 UTC
From: BDK <kingratay@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1dcddd5b6a4585b9989b9f@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 11470

In article <ia38m1h1gkhvqku86i61j7u9oa3pducu7t@4ax.com>, nitt@nitt.com says...
> On Sat, 29 Oct 2005 14:59:33 -0700, krackula <krackula@i.am> wrote:
>
> >
> >why bother ? the FCC has ended the requirement to maintain analog
> >cellular services by 2007. analog conversations in most large metro
> >areas are already mostly dead, with the remaining services generally
> >found in remote areas poorly served by digital services.
> >
> >don't spend a lot for your analog cellular scanner (pro-43 or similar
> >for example) as it will soon become nearly worthless.
>
>
> By the way I live in Newnan, GA Coweta County.....You have any
> information about them going digital. Were part of the Metro Atlanta
> area.
>

I can almost guarantee you that nearly all cell traffic in your area is digital and has been for some time now. Don't even bother.

BDK

4309. Re: another question about pro 95

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-30 00:36:45 UTC
From: BDK <kingratay@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1dcddfab4e19b580989ba0@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 11471

In article <0338m15t9f3ufudhve85k3ffrv09jrgcln@4ax.com>, nitt@nitt.com says...
> On Sat, 29 Oct 2005 17:45:09 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
> wrote:
>
> >On Sat, 29 Oct 2005 14:23:39 -0400, Scott <nitt@nitt.com> said in
> >rec.radio.scanner:
> >
> >>I now this might sound crazy but you never know what you might pick
> >>up. I have a pro 95 and I also have a wilson 1000 cb antenna, if I
> >>wanted to try and hook this antenna to my pro 95 what type of
> >>connector would I need. Could someone point me to the correct item at
> >>radio shack.
> >
> >Regardless of the antenna, the Pro-95 takes a BNC connector. But RS
> >doesn't sell a solder-type male BNC.
>
> That explains why I couldn't find it. I searched and searched. Thanks.
>

A pl259 to BNC adaptor should fix you right up..

Be warned, the BNC connector on a 95 (or almost any RS or UNiden scanner is not the best quality and if you have RG8 coax (half inch) and connect it directly to the scanner, it's not going to last very long.

Get a barrel connector and get a short RG58 jumper, and then use the PL259 to BNC adaptor on it...

Don't expect to hear all that much, any 40 buck CB will blow it away, scanners don't do AM all that well and on the lower end freqs tend to do even worse...

Sticking the antenna on top of the fridge or if you have metal countertop will help.

BDK

4310. Re: Which Is The Best?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-30 00:48:06 UTC
From: BDK <kingratay@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1dcde2553f5d3ee1989ba1@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 11472

In article <kcf7m1h0hm011dbgfq0hr8i3pck3810300@4ax.com>,
rukbat@invalid.net says...
> On Fri, 28 Oct 2005 10:46:52 -0700, George <slacdude@inreach.com> said
> in rec.radio.scanner:
>
> >2. Selectable tuning steps instead of the default tuning step ranges
> >which may be off a few KC's from where you want to listen.
>
> If the frequency is "a few KCs off", someone should notify the
> licensee, because they're operating illegally. If it's 5 KHz off,
> you're probably trying to receive the wrong frequency. A lot of PS
> frequencies found on the internet were found by people who had their
> scanners on the wrong frequency, and when you try to match that
> frequency with a better scanner, you think that the transmitter is off
> frequency. No, it's the knowledge of the person reporting the
> frequency that was off. Most technicians know how to keep the
> transmitters on frequency - it's what they were hired for.
>
> >5. Continuos frequency coverage! This is a very handy option. Even
> >though the Uniden BC796D has a few gaps, the range is sufficient for my
> >needs. The Icom IC-R20 covers everything from 100KHZ - 3Ghz, except
> >800Mhz cellular.
>
> It covers 1.8 GHz cellular? Or did you just forget to mention that?
> (Not that receiving it would do any good - there are NO analog
> services in the "PCS" band.)
>
> >7. Good sensitivity, rejection to intermod
>
> Not in any receiver ever made, if the intermod is external to the
> scanner. If it's on the frequency you're receiving, you'll receive
> it. GRE, Uniden or a Motorola G-strip (which was probably the
> tightest receiver ever made - the thing had cavities for front end
> filters).
>
> You may mean a receiver that doesn't suffer much from front end
> overload. Typical of Uniden, NOT typical of GRE. (You're almost
> assured of overloading the front end of a GRE scanner if you put on an
> external antenna, unless you don't live anywhere near any
> transmitter.)
>
> > adjacent signal rejection
>
> Also not with almost any scanner ever made. Most scanners have a

> fixed IF bandwidth. It's difficult to be wide enough to not distort
> on an 11 KHz channel and still not pick up adjacent channel
> interference with 5 KHz channel spacing.
>

LOL. Al, Only in your universe are Uniden radios more resistant to overload than most GRE built scanners. Uniden is FAMOUS for overloading.

In our universe, that is..

They have gotten better though, the BC8500 was hands down the worst scanner I ever had. It was a total disaster, and was sent back right away. They seemed to partially learn their lesson after that train wreck, but their low end radios are pretty bad. Worse than most of the GRE radios I've had here over the years..

And I've owned, and repaired a large number of scanners from both manufacturers and most of the ones I buy, or keep, tend to be GRE, for RF performance, if not for quality control issues, like non soldered speaker leads, antennas, loose screws, loose BNC connectors, etc...

That's in my universe..

BDK

4311. Digital Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-30 07:17:55 UTC
From: Bill <duckybill@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Ds_8f.100341$tD4.83399@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 11473
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Ok even if our police and fire depts. aren't using digital aren't there other digi comms around? Businesses? etc. Would a digital scanner be wasted money? I'm nearly convinced to buy the Uniden BR330T non digital scanner.

Bill

4312. Boston, Ma and surrounding area freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-30 14:12:40 UTC
From: CommCtr1 <pottsladd13@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <sx49f.34193$7Z5.12322@trnddc07>
Source record: 11474
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I'm heading to Boston next month and I'm trying to find the current
frequency info. However after looking at several different websites, I'm not
sure which one to believe.
One site shows Boston on 800mhz Motorola system, another shows Boston FD on
UHF.
If anyone has current info, please pass it along.

thnx

Lou

pottsladd13@yahoo.com

4313. Re: Outdoor Scanner Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-30 15:27:34 UTC
From: Jeff <oldog@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <GD59f.501294$_o.162324@attbi_s71>
Source record: 11475

"Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message
> Four things you should be aware of:
>
> 1) A discone is a negative gain antenna - that is, it has less gain
> than a dipole, which is the standard by which 0 gain is measured.

Name 1 "scanner" antenna that does have gain? Not an
amatuer antenna a "scanner" antenna. NONE, have any gain.
If you want to use a amateur antenna as in a dual band, fine.
But its going to operate in negative gain territory outside of its
design limits also. Not many people want to listen to just 2 areas
of the spectrum, i.e. 146Mhz and or 440Mhz. With trunking a
fact of life nowdays most people want to listen anywhere from
100 to 900Mhz. There are only 2 antennas that work well all
the way thru that area, one is the discone and the other is the
Austin Ferret. With the Ferret running at 225$ most people dont
want to spend that much.

> Since the signal it receives is so weak, the cable is VERY important -
> the lower the loss the better, even if it's a fairly short run. DON'T
> buy your cable from Radio Shack - they don't sell any low loss cable -
> only cable that's lowER loss than the regular cable. Go to this site
> <http://www.timesmicrowave.com/cgi-bin/calculate.pl>, plug in the
> highest frequency you're interested in (will you be listening to
> 850?), the cable type (try a few of the common ones - RG6, RG8, RG58)
> and the approximate length of cable, and you'll see how much signal
> the cable will lose. Look at the "efficiency". Subtract that from
> 100 and that's how much signal is being lost.

Actually a nice link, very informative and agree with it
totally. I use Quad Shielded RG 6 with only about a 25' run. Im good
up to about 1 Gig. Not much above that to listen to anyway.

> Be prepared to spend at least 50 cents/foot for decent cable and up to
> $2/foot for good cable. Putting up a gainless antenna and lossy cable
> (like 100 feet of RG58 for 850 MHz - 96% of the signal is lost in the
> cable) is just a waste of time and money. You'll probably receive
> better with a rubber duck on the scanner.
>
> 2) Don't buy hype, buy an antenna.
>
> If a discone is designed properly, and very well made, it will cover a
> frequency range of 4:1. (Most real - not on paper - discones are more

> like 3:1.) The lowest frequency is that at which the radials are 1/4
> wave long. So to receive VHF-lo well they have to be about 6 feet
> long. The highest frequency this antenna will be any good at will be
> about 120 MHz.

Actually a good discone is closer to a 10: 1 ratio. Most, without
the vertical stinger are good from 100-1000Mhz. I have transmitted
on mine on 52, 144, 440, and 904Mhz with anywhere from excellent
to good results. (mine does have the stinger) Actually mine works
very well in the 900Mhz area in general, better than any other scanner
antennas I have used, and I have had them all up at one time or another.
Scantenna, Scan King 1500, various Antenna Specialist scanner antennas
and most of them were almost deaf in that range.
>
> The typical discone with 3 foot radials will cover from about 75-225
> MHz.
> ---
The math for a discone is totally different than the math for
a dipole. They just dont work the same way.

> 3) Wherever you put the antenna, and no matter how high you put it,
> figure out where it will fall if the mount breaks away at the base. It
> should fall within the confines of your property - for a few of
> reasons.
>
Agreed

> Add last, but by no means least, if you're going to put up an outside
> antenna, PLEASE put in a good grounding system, ground the mast to it
> and use a static discharge device on the cable. The ground system
> should tie ALL the grounds in the house to one point - telephone,
> electric, antenna. And a decent ground (not a good one, a decent one)
> is AT LEAST 4 10 foot ground rods spaced in a rectangle at least 10
> feet on a side, with wire going from 1 to the next, but NOT forming a
> loop, with all your grounds connected at one point along that run -
> any one point, preferably near the middle.

You're getting carried away here again with the grounding thing.
Nobody, and I mean nobody puts a grd system in as you describe here.
It simply isnt needed.

> I'm not making this up - read the National Electric Code on grounding,
> or ask an electrician. This is important - people are killed every
> year by bad antenna installations. Not many - but if you're one of
> them, it doesn't matter how many there are.

The people that do get killed are the idiots that put up a mast
and an antenna 10' from a power line, and when it goes down and lands
on a power line bad things happen. Rule No. 1, do not put ANY

antenna even remotely close to ANY power line and you wont have
any problems.

4314. Re: Digital Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-30 16:14:04 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <s4ednY25j4nQbPneRVn-ig@comcast.com>
Source record: 11476
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I live in Macomb County, Michigan. Digital is in wide use for Public Safety, but not much else that I'm aware of. There are other considerations however. The FCC is rolling out what's called a re-banding project, that will impact most of the 800MHz trunked systems. It appears that the scanners that will remain fully compatible after this, for the most part be the digital scanners, because of their current design, and technology. However, the Uniden BR330T that you mentioned, looks like it will remain in the game as well. So if you're pretty certain you won't need digital for some time, then the BR330T looks like a good buy! There are some dealers offering pretty good prices on the BR330T, on eBay.

Bill Crocker

"Bill" <duckybill@indy.rr.com> wrote in message
news:Ds_8f.100341$tD4.83399@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com...
> Ok even if our police and fire depts. aren't using digital aren't there
> other digi comms around? Businesses? etc. Would a digital scanner be
> wasted money? I'm nearly convinced to buy the Uniden BR330T non digital
> scanner.
>
> Bill
>

4315. Re: Outdoor Scanner Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-30 16:23:02 UTC
From: George <slacdude@inreach.com>
Message-ID: <20051030082302275 90%slacdude@inreachcom>
Source record: 11477

Hi Scott

There are many types of Discone antennas available depending on what frequency range your interested in receiving. Cable is a very important consideration and often overlooked. When I purchased the Icom AH-7000 Discone antenna, about twelve years ago, a fifty foot section of their so called "low loss" cable was included, and terminated with "N" connectors at both ends. Personally I wouldn't even give that included cable away to someone I didn't know, as it is pretty poor quality.

When this particular Discone was installed, the cable I selected was Belden 9913. This cable has a 9 1/2 AWG solid center conductor, a solid spiral teflon tube continuously running around the center conductor which is enclosed in a air dielectric teflon tube bonded with copper foil on the outside and that is covered with a braided copper shield and covered with a soft PVC water-proof jacket. There is an "N" connector with the center pin specifically made for this exact cable.

Since this cable is not as flexible as some installations may require, "Cable Experts" has a "9913" equivalent cable which is a little more flexible, it's "CXP1318" and is available in various lengths terminated with either an "N" or "PL-259" connector.

Believe it or not, the difference in this cable compared to the cable included with the Discone was significant. This test was made with the Icom AH-7000 Discone using equal lengths of both cables, fifty feet, and both cables were terminated with type "N" connectors. Using my Icom IC-970H multi-mode transceiver, I observed about SEVEN S units in signal strength at 432Mhz. That's right, SEVEN! I now have a twenty two foot section of "Andrews Heliax" hardline cable connected to a "KB6KQ 432Mhz loop antenna". Realizing I'm getting off topic here, the point I'm attempting to make is the CABLE is a VERY important issue!

May I suggest that before you finalize your anticipated antenna installation, do some testing with antenna placement, you may find that it may not be necessary to have it mounted outside in the weather. I'm located right on the beach and routinely need to remove, clean and replace my Discone every few months due to corrosion. This next week I'll be purchasing the AOR DS3000A Discone antenna. This will be mounted inside with only a six foot section of CXP1318 cable and dedicated to the Uniden BC796D I purchased just last week. Believe it or not, it is my very first "scanner".

You may even have an area in the attic which will accommodate your installation. I say this because when you start installing antennas

outside, sometimes your neighbors suddenly become interested in what
your doing. Something else you may want to consider. My nearest
neighbor is probably less than a mile away, so I do not worry about
this and have various antennas mounted outside only because their also
used for transmitting as well.

If you do not plan on using your Discone for transmitting you may get
away with it mounted inside. Above all, use good cable and if possible,
keep cable lengths to a minimum!

Best regards

george

On 2005-10-29 12:12:53 -0700, Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net> said:

> On Fri, 28 Oct 2005 14:30:45 -0400, Scott <nitt@nitt.com> said in
> rec.radio.scanner:
>
>> Could someone really help a newbie out on what i would need to buy to get
>> this antenna just right for scanning. I'm not afraid to do anything,
>> but seriously just don't know what all I need, and what i should
>> expect from this type of setup.
>
> Four things you should be aware of:
>
> 1) A discone is a negative gain antenna - that is, it has less gain
> than a dipole, which is the standard by which 0 gain is measured.
> Since the signal it receives is so weak, the cable is VERY important -
> the lower the loss the better, even if it's a fairly short run. DON'T
> buy your cable from Radio Shack - they don't sell any low loss cable -
> only cable that's lowER loss than the regular cable. Go to this site
> <http://www.timesmicrowave.com/cgi-bin/calculate.pl>, plug in the
> highest frequency you're interested in (will you be listening to
> 850?), the cable type (try a few of the common ones - RG6, RG8, RG58)
> and the approximate length of cable, and you'll see how much signal
> the cable will lose. Look at the "efficiency". Subtract that from
> 100 and that's how much signal is being lost.
>
> Don't let anyone tell you that it only matters if you're transmitting.
> Do you see any "direction" choice there? The same amount of signal is
> lost in both directions. (Actually a little more is lost with a
> scanner because the scanner isn't a 50 ohm load to the cable.)
>
> Be prepared to spend at least 50 cents/foot for decent cable and up to
> $2/foot for good cable. Putting up a gainless antenna and lossy cable
> (like 100 feet of RG58 for 850 MHz - 96% of the signal is lost in the
> cable) is just a waste of time and money. You'll probably receive
> better with a rubber duck on the scanner.
>
> 2) Don't buy hype, buy an antenna.
>

> If a discone is designed properly, and very well made, it will cover a
> frequency range of 4:1. (Most real - not on paper - discones are more
> like 3:1.) The lowest frequency is that at which the radials are 1/4
> wave long. So to receive VHF-lo well they have to be about 6 feet
> long. The highest frequency this antenna will be any good at will be
> about 120 MHz.
>
> The typical discone with 3 foot radials will cover from about 75-225
> MHz.
>
> 25-1300 MHz is advertising copy, not measured performance. If anyone
> can get a discone (without a whip sticking up on top - just a pure
> discone - if you want whips, buy a Scantenna, or something like it) to
> cover a 50:1 frequency range (25-1300), you're going to hear him named
> a Nobel prize winner in physics. And science will have to be
> rethought.
>
> ANYTHING that's not an insulator will receive signals. The question
> is whether that thing, at the end of some reasonable length of
> affordable cable will receive any more signal than an antenna plugged
> right into the scanner. Why put up an antenna that gives you 6db gain
> over the antenna on the scanner and connect it with cable that gives
> you 9db loss? You just REDUCED the signal you're going to hear by 50%
> (3db). Sure the antenna has 6db gain over the one on the scanner -
> but it's not going to do you any good unless the cable loss is less
> than 6db.
>
> 3) Wherever you put the antenna, and no matter how high you put it,
> figure out where it will fall if the mount breaks away at the base. It
> should fall within the confines of your property - for a few of
> reasons.
>
> 1) You don't want a neighbor getting hurt if it falls.
> 2) You WILL be considered legally negligent if you mount it so that it
> can fall outside your property line.
> 3) Your insurance won't cover the judgment against you - you'll pay it
> all out of your pocket.
>
> But don't mount an antenna on your chimney. Aside from the fact that
> a chimney isn't made to withstand the torque produced when the wind
> hits the antenna, part of what goes up the flue is sulphur and, when
> it comes into contact with water (like from air with any humidity at
> all), it forms sulphuric acid. Do you really want your antenna bathed
> in that for months at a time every year?
>
> Add last, but by no means least, if you're going to put up an outside
> antenna, PLEASE put in a good grounding system, ground the mast to it
> and use a static discharge device on the cable. The ground system
> should tie ALL the grounds in the house to one point - telephone,
> electric, antenna. And a decent ground (not a good one, a decent one)

> is AT LEAST 4 10 foot ground rods spaced in a rectangle at least 10
> feet on a side, with wire going from 1 to the next, but NOT forming a
> loop, with all your grounds connected at one point along that run -
> any one point, preferably near the middle.
>
> I'm not making this up - read the National Electric Code on grounding,
> or ask an electrician. This is important - people are killed every
> year by bad antenna installations. Not many - but if you're one of
> them, it doesn't matter how many there are.
>
> With all that in mind, this is a hobby, so enjoy it.

4316. Best bang-for-buck beginner's base scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-30 16:50:21 UTC
From: DJ Bjorklund <bjork57@hickorytech.net>
Message-ID: <mau9m1pbrep0pjtkirra8p6e0vnuqgcc3v@4ax.com>
Source record: 11478

What is it in the Uniden line...?
I want to start scanning as a hobby.

4317. Need instructions for Uniden BC142XL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-30 18:09:18 UTC
From: spence_27408@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <es2am151m9kelhg92765cegrm3vpd1rprn@4ax.com>
Source record: 11479

I reccently got a bearcat scanner from my dad's. This one was given
to him by my mom back in the late 80's or early 90's and I can't find
the instructions for programming it. I live next to a fire station
and about a mile from the airport and thought it would be neat to hear
what was going on. Please help!
Tom

4318. Re: Fa - Icom R20

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-30 19:14:59 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <lbKdnQkxdrEohvjeRVn-pA@comcast.com>
Source record: 11480

Thanks for the heads-up Evan! I retracted my bid.

Bill Crocker

"Evan Platt" <evan@theobvious.espphotography.com> wrote in message
news:qnu9m1hb7og4f8p8u2sd5dlasnkhddi7g4@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 29 Oct 2005 17:50:06 -0400, John Schneider
> <thecop@optonline.net> wrote:
>
>>I have an absolutely mint R20 for auction...
>>
>>http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5824649668
>
> Wow... $28 for priority mail??
>
> Padding the shipping a bit there?
> From Shoreham, NY to my zip code, a 9 pound package is $17.50 Priority
> Mail according to USPS.
>
> By your calculations, the scanner weighs 15 pounds, which is what USPS
> says would cost $28 to ship to me.
> --
> To reply, remove TheObvious from my e-mail address.

4319. Re: which old scanners receive cellular

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-30 20:05:29 UTC
From: Jesse <1@2.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96FF9988444FFewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 11481

BDK <kingratay@buckeye-express.com> wrote in
news:MPG.1dcddd5b6a4585b9989b9f@news.buckeye-express.com:

> In article <ia38m1h1gkhvqku86i61j7u9oa3pducu7t@4ax.com>, nitt@nitt.com
> says...
>> On Sat, 29 Oct 2005 14:59:33 -0700, krackula <krackula@i.am> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >why bother ? the FCC has ended the requirement to maintain analog
>> >cellular services by 2007. analog conversations in most large metro
>> >areas are already mostly dead, with the remaining services generally
>> >found in remote areas poorly served by digital services.
>> >
>> >don't spend a lot for your analog cellular scanner (pro-43 or similar
>> >for example) as it will soon become nearly worthless.
>>
>>
>> By the way I live in Newnan, GA Coweta County.....You have any
>> information about them going digital. Were part of the Metro Atlanta
>> area.
>>
>
> I can almost guarantee you that nearly all cell traffic in your area is
> digital and has been for some time now. Don't even bother.
>
> BDK
>
Which isn't to say nothing of interest will ever pop up on the now defunct
cell band.
Not everyone is content with a frequency challenged scanner.

4320. Re: which old scanners receive cellular

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-30 20:41:42 UTC
From: krackula <krackula@i.am>
Message-ID: <u6aam19ms0u9fu8sa03042eer2v1bg3dq3@4ax.com>
Source record: 11482

" exactly "

actually , there absolutely will be new services / transmissions that will fill those old analog freqs and 700- 800 mhz in general. new scanners (those built after 2007) would logically be able to receive the whole 700 - 800 mhz band , with no exceptions , because the banned analog cellular calls will be gone.

trouble is (with old / current scanners , that is) , not only would they be " frequency challenged " as you say , they will also be " scanning " challenged because the new reorganization of the 700 - 800 (and others) bands will have different channel spacing and modes of transmission. the only currently made scanners that will survive those changes (properly) will be the ones with manually programmable channel spacing (on all bands) and additionally they must have manually programmable mode selection too. a great many scanners, as a matter of fact , most current scanners have some sort of preprogrammed spacing / mode selection built into their eproms for use in automatic selection operation.

serious scanner hobbyist will almost certainly want a scanner that addresses the new changes in process , esp band / mode changes . one especially, that doesn't prevent them from listening (properly) to the new activities that are in the works.

if you are worried about having a scanner that will pick up the banned cellular freqs , forget about clinging to your old scanners and look to the new ones when they come out (2007 and beyond) as they will surely be able to, since the banned restrictions will no longer apply. most people will want scanners with eproms that fit the new band spacing / freq / mode changes , basically flooding the market with current / older scanners that do not fit properly into the current changes taking place.
zillions of current high end scanners will be had for a song when the new jobbies hit the market. you can bet that scanner makers have already geared up their production to address these issues and will try to beat each other to production. all the people that have bought those current expensive digital scanners or any scanner with preprogrammed eproms (nearly any and all models) will be stuck with old technology and be angry that their new $500 scanner only lasted 2 or 3 years before becoming obsolete.

mega changes in the cages are at hand for everyone in this hobby.

>>
>Which isn't to say nothing of interest will ever pop up on the now defunct

>cell band.
>Not everyone is content with a frequency challenged scanner.

4321. Re: Boston, Ma and surrounding area freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-30 22:25:07 UTC
From: Pntx <pntx@aol.com>
Message-ID: <QpWdnTpaGuvZ1fjeRVn-hA@comcast.com>
Source record: 11483
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

The Boston 800 system is mostly for city services. The PD and FD have some
talk groups on them but I have never heard them used.
PD and FD channels are on UHF.

http://www.scanboston.com/Freqs.htm

The State Police have jurisdiction in Boston also, they are responsible for
I 93(the big dig), Piers and the airport. They are also on an 800 system.The
airport 800 system is low power, you may not hear it in all parts of the
city.

http://users.adelphia.net/~kc1ho/ematrunk/

Enjoy your visit.

"CommCtr1" <pottsladd13@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:sx49f.34193$7Z5.12322@trnddc07...
> I'm heading to Boston next month and I'm trying to find the current
> frequency info. However after looking at several different websites, I'm
> not sure which one to believe.
> One site shows Boston on 800mhz Motorola system, another shows Boston FD
> on UHF.
> If anyone has current info, please pass it along.
>
> thnx
>
> Lou
>
> pottsladd13@yahoo.com
>

4322. Re: Outdoor Scanner Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-30 22:30:00 UTC
From: Limited Viability <limitedviability@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <j4iam1pka9g8mf62avq8pq38udv4ugnt2q@4ax.com>
Source record: 11484

On Fri, 28 Oct 2005 14:30:45 -0400, Scott <nitt@nitt.com> wrote:

>What could I expect from an outdoor Antenna? I have nothing to attach
>an antenna to on my roof. I'm newbie at this, and was curious as to
>how hard it would be to install this

Just use a 1/4 wave VHF whip (unity gain on VHF, 1/2 wave gain on
UHF) and some decent quality RG6X quad shield satellite cable. Put
the whole thing on a short mast on your roof, use an "F to PL259"
adapter on the antenna end, and an "F to BNC" adapter on the scanner
end inside.

Should give you PLENTY of improved performance over a duck.

Discones are very low gain and wide band. They also bring in a LOT of
unwanted interference with intermod/etc.

You'll do fine with a VHF 1/4 wave as a base station antenna for
scanner use.

The impedence mis-match of the cable won't make a whole lot of
difference to the scanner.

4323. Re: Best bang-for-buck beginner's base scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-30 23:00:06 UTC
From: Jim <none@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <1130713007.518231ba7970cbda813294dc7d73ff68@teranews>
Source record: 11485

Go with the Bearcat 396T for a portable.

Go with the BC796D for a Base/Mobile.

These are the top of the line Uniden scanners. They have trunking and digital capabilities if you need them. They can scan conventional channels. Don't settle for a cheaper scanner that doesn't trunk trac or follow digital systems. Good luck.

"DJ Bjorklund" <bjork57@hickorytech.net> wrote in message news:mau9m1pbrep0pjtkirra8p6e0vnuqgcc3v@4ax.com...
> What is it in the Uniden line...?
> I want to start scanning as a hobby.

4324. Re: Best bang-for-buck beginner's base scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-31 00:21:45 UTC
From: DJ Bjorklund <bjork57@hickorytech.net>
Message-ID: <qhoam1dffnbtlumpmukadoobi8ri03cn5h@4ax.com>
Source record: 11486

I live in a small MN college town about 70 miles south of the Twin
Cities. Will all the features of the units you recommend be
over-kill. If not, fine, just trying to be sure about the equipment I
start with.

I was thinking of getting this
http://tinyurl.com/ban7u
Good idea?

On Sun, 30 Oct 2005 18:00:06 -0500, "Jim" <none@nospam.com> wrote:

>Go with the Bearcat 396T for a portable.
>
>Go with the BC796D for a Base/Mobile.
>
>These are the top of the line Uniden scanners. They have trunking and
>digital capabilities if you need them. They can scan conventional channels.
>Don't settle for a cheaper scanner that doesn't trunk trac or follow digital
>systems. Good luck.
>
>"DJ Bjorklund" <bjork57@hickorytech.net> wrote in message
>news:mau9m1pbrep0pjtkirra8p6e0vnuqgcc3v@4ax.com...
>> What is it in the Uniden line...?
>> I want to start scanning as a hobby.
>

4325. Re: which old scanners receive cellular

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-31 02:05:53 UTC
From: Jesse <1@2.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96FFD6C30BED4ewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 11487

krackula <krackula@i.am> wrote in news:u6aam19ms0u9fu8sa03042eer2v1bg3dq3@
4ax.com:

should probably consider leaving at least a portion of quoted text [on top]
to preserve continuity.

>
>
> " exactly "
>
> actually , there absolutely will be new services / transmissions that
> will fill those old analog freqs and 700- 800 mhz in general. new
> scanners (those built after 2007) would logically be able to
> receive the whole 700 - 800 mhz band , with no exceptions , because
> the banned analog cellular calls will be gone.
>
> trouble is (with old / current scanners , that is) , not only
> would they be " frequency challenged " as you say , they will also be
> " scanning " challenged because the new reorganization of the 700 -
> 800 (and others) bands will have different channel spacing and
> modes of transmission. the only currently made scanners that will
> survive those changes (properly) will be the ones with manually
> programmable channel spacing (on all bands) and additionally they
> must have manually programmable mode selection too. a great many
> scanners, as a matter of fact , most current scanners have some sort
> of preprogrammed spacing / mode selection built into their eproms
> for use in automatic selection operation.
>
> serious scanner hobbyist will almost certainly want a scanner that
> addresses the new changes in process , esp band / mode changes . one
> especially, that doesn't prevent them from listening (properly) to
> the new activities that are in the works.

Good point - But do you really think that they would come out with some
sort of bizzare channel spacing like 13.8 khz, that would render it
impossible for scanners, which generally will all recieve in 5/25 khz
steps, to recieve ?
Even with the above example, most scanners would likely catch the
transmission just fine, if slighlty out of tune.
There are not too many numbers which you can get very far from 5khz steps.
Don't know if the way I put that makes complete sense, but I trust you
gather what the gist of my point is.

>
> if you are worried about having a scanner that will pick up the banned

> cellular freqs , forget about clinging to your old scanners and look
> to the new ones when they come out (2007 and beyond) as they will
> surely be able to, since the banned restrictions will no longer
> apply. most people will want scanners with eproms that fit the new
> band spacing / freq / mode changes , basically flooding the market
> with current / older scanners that do not fit properly into the
> current changes taking place.

I will beleive the restriction is lifted when I see it happen.
Once passed, laws/regulations are no so easily rescinded ... Even if
rescinding makes perfect sense.

> zillions of current high end scanners will be had for a song when
> the new jobbies hit the market. you can bet that scanner makers have
> already geared up their production to address these issues and will
> try to beat each other to production. all the people that have
> bought those current expensive digital scanners or any scanner with
> preprogrammed eproms (nearly any and all models) will be stuck with
> old technology and be angry that their new $500 scanner only lasted 2
> or 3 years before becoming obsolete.
>
> mega changes in the cages are at hand for everyone in this hobby.
>
>
True, but the same can be said gor any technology just about.
I spent $3,000 in 1997 to get a top of the line computer - A Pentium 2 266
mhz beast running W 95.
Today, I'd be lucky to get $100 for it, from someone wanting an extra
computer for their grandchild or something.
I paid about the same less than a year ago for a P4 3.60 GHZ as I did for
the first - And no doubt 5 years from now it will be a quaint little joke,
suitable to play old games and run word programs on.
Such is life, and scanners are no exception.

4326. Re: Fa - Icom R20

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-31 02:11:49 UTC
From: Jesse <1@2.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96FFD7C4C364Dewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 11488

Evan Platt <evan@theobvious.espphotography.com> wrote in
news:qnu9m1hb7og4f8p8u2sd5dlasnkhddi7g4@4ax.com:

> On Sat, 29 Oct 2005 17:50:06 -0400, John Schneider
> <thecop@optonline.net> wrote:
>
>>I have an absolutely mint R20 for auction...
>>
>>http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5824649668
>
> Wow... $28 for priority mail??
>
> Padding the shipping a bit there?
> From Shoreham, NY to my zip code, a 9 pound package is $17.50 Priority
> Mail according to USPS.
>
> By your calculations, the scanner weighs 15 pounds, which is what USPS
> says would cost $28 to ship to me.

Was calculated as $16.20 to my location which [MI], if insured, sounds in the
ballpark.
And if that $25 rebate is legit, sounds pretty good.
Don't need this though, as I have a Canadian bought Alinco DJ-X2000.

4327. Re: Fa - Icom R20

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-31 02:13:04 UTC
From: Jesse <1@2.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96FFD7FB16E2Dewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 11489

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in news:lbKdnQkxdrEohvjeRVn-pA@comcast.com:

> Thanks for the heads-up Evan! I retracted my bid.
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
I'm in MI too, and s&h was $16.20.

4328. Re: Best bang-for-buck beginner's base scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-31 02:19:23 UTC
From: Jim <none@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <1130724963.d49a9e8fae22a222c5ed70f318f085f2@teranews>
Source record: 11490

Better to have the features if you need them at a later time than to waste
money on a scanner that may be a paper weight once 800 MHZ rebanding happens
or your local agency goes digital.
"DJ Bjorklund" <bjork57@hickorytech.net> wrote in message
news:qhoam1dffnbtlumpmukadoobi8ri03cn5h@4ax.com...
>I live in a small MN college town about 70 miles south of the Twin
> Cities. Will all the features of the units you recommend be
> over-kill. If not, fine, just trying to be sure about the equipment I
> start with.
>
> I was thinking of getting this
> http://tinyurl.com/ban7u
> Good idea?
>
>
>
>
>
>
> On Sun, 30 Oct 2005 18:00:06 -0500, "Jim" <none@nospam.com> wrote:
>
>>Go with the Bearcat 396T for a portable.
>>
>>Go with the BC796D for a Base/Mobile.
>>
>>These are the top of the line Uniden scanners. They have trunking and
>>digital capabilities if you need them. They can scan conventional
>>channels.
>>Don't settle for a cheaper scanner that doesn't trunk trac or follow
>>digital
>>systems. Good luck.
>>
>>"DJ Bjorklund" <bjork57@hickorytech.net> wrote in message
>>news:mau9m1pbrep0pjtkirra8p6e0vnuqgcc3v@4ax.com...
>>> What is it in the Uniden line...?
>>> I want to start scanning as a hobby.
>>

4329. pro 2035 to pro 43

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-31 03:05:34 UTC
From: "Johnny V. Mullins" <jvm333@alltel.net>
Message-ID: <215e5$436589fd$438d244b$3268@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 11491

Hey gang !! Anyone interested in swapping a nice clean good working Pro 43 for a very nice working Pro 2035 with orginal box and owners manual. Also. I may consider other triple conversion rig with coverage from 25 MHZ up

Johnny

4330. Re: Digital Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-31 06:29:18 UTC
From: Bill <duckybill@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <2Ri9f.161677$Il5.76092@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 11492
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:s4ednY25j4nQbPneRVn-ig@comcast.com...
>I live in Macomb County, Michigan. Digital is in wide use for Public
>Safety, but not much else that I'm aware of. There are other
>considerations however. The FCC is rolling out what's called a re-banding
>project, that will impact most of the 800MHz trunked systems. It appears
>that the scanners that will remain fully compatible after this, for the
>most part be the digital scanners, because of their current design, and
>technology. However, the Uniden BR330T that you mentioned, looks like it
>will remain in the game as well. So if you're pretty certain you won't
>need digital for some time, then the BR330T looks like a good buy! There
>are some dealers offering pretty good prices on the BR330T, on eBay.
>
> Bill Crocker

Thanks Bill, the more I have looked at the BR330T the more I like it. I
think it's only been out about a month maybe? Here's a quote from CEI:

" Looking for a scanner that's better, sorry, this is a unique product and
we have no additional recommendations. "

Bill

>
>
> "Bill" <duckybill@indy.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:Ds_8f.100341$tD4.83399@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com...
>> Ok even if our police and fire depts. aren't using digital aren't there
>> other digi comms around? Businesses? etc. Would a digital scanner be
>> wasted money? I'm nearly convinced to buy the Uniden BR330T non digital
>> scanner.
>>
>> Bill
>>
>
>

4331. Re: Which Is The Best?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-31 10:38:31 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <n2sbm1t3u2jr3ep2sglui0ee49edrklf57@4ax.com>
Source record: 11493

On Sat, 29 Oct 2005 14:10:13 -0700, George <slacdude@inreach.com> said
in rec.radio.scanner:

>Thanks for the correction on the "few KC's off" line. What I should
>have said is "five or ten KC"s off frequency". By "off frequency", the
>scanner itself is unable to tune in finer steps, and only has "default"
>steps, which get close but not right on the frequency I'm trying to
>receive.

That's an older scanner that wasn't designed for current bandplans.
It's like an old scanner that can't tune to an 850 MHz frequency.
You're comparing apples to ducks, since there aren't any older tunable
scanners, unless you include ham gear.

>While looking at just some the various scanners, I noticed that at
>least one did not tune the UHF Ham repeater sub-band correctly, and I
>was unable receive some of the repeaters in that portion. It would
>only tune in 15KC increments.

At one time UHF equipment operated on 30 KHz channellization, so 15
KHz steps were just fine. (Actually, at one time, a mile was an
unreachable goal at 400 MHz. And frequency stability was so poor that
"frequency" was a courtesy, not a measurement. Look up "Vocaline" for
kicks, or look at
<http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5813581566&category=296>.
Using that today would probably get you free room and board, but I
used a pair of them in the early 60s. Frequency? Around 465 MHz.
Counters were no good up there, so the best we could do was Lecher
lines, so we got the frequency accurate to about 1/4" of wavelength -
as long as it didn't drift to rapidly.)

>In Northern California the UHF 440 - 450
>repeater sub-band has 25KC spacing and in Southern California they use
>20KC spacing. This is just one example.

And modern scanners allow 5 KHz steps.

4332. Re: Which Is The Best?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-31 10:42:24 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <p2tbm15osbf8ip15jshamgd5t93bb3nc5n@4ax.com>
Source record: 11494

On Sat, 29 Oct 2005 20:48:06 -0400, BDK
<kingratay@buckeye-express.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>They have gotten better though, the BC8500 was hands down the worst
>scanner I ever had. It was a total disaster, and was sent back right
>away. They seemed to partially learn their lesson after that train
>wreck, but their low end radios are pretty bad. Worse than most of the
>GRE radios I've had here over the years..

>And I've owned, and repaired a large number of scanners from both
>manufacturers and most of the ones I buy, or keep, tend to be GRE, for
>RF performance, if not for quality control issues, like non soldered
>speaker leads, antennas, loose screws, loose BNC connectors, etc...

Pro-95 vs. BC246. Pro-96 vs. BCD396. 2 signal generators. Cross-mod
tests.

4333. Re: Outdoor Scanner Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-31 10:57:39 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <i9tbm1tl401s6s2ju0f8j79eq12pou97qr@4ax.com>
Source record: 11495

On Sun, 30 Oct 2005 15:27:34 GMT, "Jeff" <oldog@(nospam)mchsi.com>
said in rec.radio.scanner:

>"Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message
>> Four things you should be aware of:

>> 1) A discone is a negative gain antenna - that is, it has less gain
>> than a dipole, which is the standard by which 0 gain is measured.

>Name 1 "scanner" antenna that does have gain? Not an
>amatuer antenna a "scanner" antenna.

Since we never covered "scanner antenna" in antenna theory, define
what you mean.

Dipoles (or ground planes) have, by definition, 0dbd gain. A discone
has negative gain, vis-a-vis a dipole.

>With trunking a
>fact of life nowdays most people want to listen anywhere from
>100 to 900Mhz. There are only 2 antennas that work well all
>the way thru that area, one is the discone

The discone operates, at best, over a 4:1 frequency range, not exactly
VHF-hi to 850.

> Actually a nice link, very informative and agree with it
>totally. I use Quad Shielded RG 6 with only about a 25' run. Im good
>up to about 1 Gig. Not much above that to listen to anyway.

I'll go along with 2.3db loss being ok, but what is quad shielding
buying you with an unshielded scanner?

> Actually a good discone is closer to a 10: 1 ratio.

When are they awarding you your Nobel prize?

Most antenna engineers will quote you 3:1 for real world antennas.
Some claim that they can actually achieve 4:1, but I suspect the
machining costs would make the antenna unaffordable.

> Most, without
>the vertical stinger are good from 100-1000Mhz. I have transmitted
>on mine on 52, 144, 440, and 904Mhz with anywhere from excellent
>to good results.

I can do the same thing with my R7, but that doesn't make the antenna
an antenna at those frequencies. Conductors don't accumulate signal,
they radiate it.

> The math for a discone is totally different than the math for
>a dipole. They just dont work the same way.

Yep - the rule of thumb is that the lowest frequency is that at which the radials are 1/4 wave and the highest frequency is 3 times that for a good design that's been well implemented.

> You're getting carried away here again with the grounding thing.
>Nobody, and I mean nobody puts a grd system in as you describe here.

No one you know - okay. Many people I know have and do.

>It simply isnt needed.

Not unless you want a good ground. I'm not talking about not having the mic bite you, I'm talking about not living in a hole in the ground after the pole pig on the pole in front of your house suffers a direct strike.

>> I'm not making this up - read the National Electric Code on grounding,
>> or ask an electrician. This is important - people are killed every
>> year by bad antenna installations. Not many - but if you're one of
>> them, it doesn't matter how many there are.

> The people that do get killed are the idiots that put up a mast
>and an antenna 10' from a power line, and when it goes down and lands
>on a power line bad things happen.

I'm talking about those killed by lightning. You don't run a ground system to blow breakers on a 440 line that are located a few miles, and a few transformations, from the ground.

>Rule No. 1, do not put ANY
>antenna even remotely close to ANY power line and you wont have
>any problems.

Until the clouds gather.

I hope you sleep well when someone actually takes your advice and it results in his death.

*N*E*V*E*R* take *A*N*Y* chances with lightning. 50 million volts can't be "handled" by anything man can do when it has tens of thousands of amps available behind it.

4334. Re: Outdoor Scanner Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-31 11:06:37 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <1dubm1t14c7onnaseml61kmcqhb0h00ejr@4ax.com>
Source record: 11496

On Sun, 30 Oct 2005 14:30:00 -0800, Limited Viability
<limitedviability@gmail.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>Just use a 1/4 wave VHF whip (unity gain on VHF, 1/2 wave gain on
>UHF)

Minor point - 1/4 wavelength at 150 MHz is 3/4 wavelength at 450 MHz.

>Discones are very low gain and wide band. They also bring in a LOT of
>unwanted interference with intermod/etc.

Being broadband, they bring in signals that may cause intermod, but
that can't "bring in intermod".

>You'll do fine with a VHF 1/4 wave as a base station antenna for
>scanner use.

Better than with a discone, but not for more than 2 bands - 150 and
450 - or 1 band of his choice.

>The impedence mis-match of the cable won't make a whole lot of
>difference to the scanner.

It won't make any difference to the scanner - the load doesn't care
about impedance mismatch.

4335. Re: Fa - Icom R20

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-31 11:20:34 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <20vbm19hj56951c2jg3tqtj3281bcsdlfj@4ax.com>
Source record: 11497

On Sun, 30 Oct 2005 08:59:02 -0800, Evan Platt
<evan@theobvious.espphotography.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>On Sat, 29 Oct 2005 17:50:06 -0400, John Schneider
><thecop@optonline.net> wrote:

>>I have an absolutely mint R20 for auction...

>>http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5824649668

>Wow... $28 for priority mail??

>Padding the shipping a bit there?

A bit?

I can walk to Shoreham (it's about 7 miles) and the calculator says
$11.05 to zip 11764.

Padded more than just a bit.

4336. Re: Digital Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-31 12:41:19 UTC
From: nospam@nospam.com
Message-ID: <43660fd9.11366140@news-server>
Source record: 11498
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Sun, 30 Oct 2005 11:14:04 -0500, "Bill Crocker"
<wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote:

>I live in Macomb County, Michigan. Digital is in wide use for Public
>Safety, but not much else that I'm aware of. There are other considerations
>however. The FCC is rolling out what's called a re-banding project, that
>will impact most of the 800MHz trunked systems. It appears that the
>scanners that will remain fully compatible after this, for the most part be
>the digital scanners, because of their current design, and technology.
>However, the Uniden BR330T that you mentioned, looks like it will remain in
>the game as well. So if you're pretty certain you won't need digital for
>some time, then the BR330T looks like a good buy! There are some dealers
>offering pretty good prices on the BR330T, on eBay.
>
>Bill Crocker
>

The thing is, we are relying on the fact that the transmission remains
unencrypted. But with digital systems, turning the encryption on is as
easy as to click a checkbox in a menu.

In fact, I am curious as to why many of them have not done so yet.
Perhaps because digital comms are still new. But as soon as too many
people have digital scanners, then the users will simply turn the
encryption on. and we are all stuffed. Then digital scanners will
become worthless...

George Bell

4337. Re: Digital Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-31 13:10:04 UTC
From: "St. John Smythe" <UndisclosedRecipient@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <dk54iq$6or$4@n4vu2.n4vu.com>
Source record: 11499
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

George Bell wrote:
> In fact, I am curious as to why many of them have not done so yet.

Maybe because the more enlightened law enforcement departments realize the public service/public relations benefits of having the public able to listen.

> But as soon as too many
> people have digital scanners, then the users will simply turn the
> encryption on. and we are all stuffed. Then digital scanners will
> become worthless...

I'd expect that we'll more often see the "general" channels (e.g., dispatch) remaining unencrypted, with tactical or admin channels encrypted. Just a guess.
--
St. John, whose first scanner had six crystal slots

4338. antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-31 13:56:37 UTC
From: stryped@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130766997.254910.200380@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11500

Will putting my 2 meter ham radio antenna near my remote car starter antenna cause a problem?

4339. Re: Best bang-for-buck beginner's base scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-31 14:17:33 UTC
From: "3ddaniels@gmail.com" <3ddaniels@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1130768253.142704.51000@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11401

Have you tried RadioReference? See if this link gets you there, from
there you have to know the counties interested in;
"http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&stid=27"
You may have to join, but it is free (donations excepted). If you tell
me the exact area....County, City, perhaps even the College you are
interested in, I can be of better help with frequencies, and the type
of scanner.
David D.

4340. Re: Fa - Icom R20

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-31 15:42:47 UTC
From: John Schneider <thecop@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <UXq9f.30885$ol.23810@fe08.lga>
Source record: 11402

I have no idea how that happened and I thank everyone for the heads up !!!

I will not charge anymore than my cost for shipping. Re: handling...I have the orinal double-box set-up that I received the radio in from Lentinis and that,s how it will be sent.

I will see what I can do about modifying that part of the auction listing.

Thanks again.

John

"John Schneider" <thecop@optonline.net> wrote in message news:S7S8f.24535$ol.7636@fe08.lga...
>I have an absolutely mint R20 for auction...
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5824649668

4341. Re: Fa - Icom R20

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-31 15:53:18 UTC
From: John Schneider <thecop@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <J5r9f.30886$ol.15625@fe08.lga>
Source record: 11403

The listing has been ammended to reflect the change in shipping cost.

The original shipping was a left over boiler plate from a previous listing
and I just did not pick it up until you pointed it out to me.

Thank you (I, too, would not have bid or would have removed my bid based on
that shipping info.!!!)

John

"Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message
news:20vbm19hj56951c2jg3tqtj3281bcsdlfj@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 30 Oct 2005 08:59:02 -0800, Evan Platt
> <evan@theobvious.espphotography.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:
>
>>On Sat, 29 Oct 2005 17:50:06 -0400, John Schneider
>><thecop@optonline.net> wrote:
>
>>>I have an absolutely mint R20 for auction...
>
>>>http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5824649668
>
>>Wow... $28 for priority mail??
>
>>Padding the shipping a bit there?
>
> A bit?
>
> I can walk to Shoreham (it's about 7 miles) and the calculator says
> $11.05 to zip 11764.
>
> Padded more than just a bit.

4342. Ammended listing - Icom R20

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-31 16:00:31 UTC
From: John Schneider <thecop@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <vcr9f.30889$ol.30362@fe08.lga>
Source record: 11404

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5824649668

The listing has been ammended to reflect the change in shipping cost.

The original shipping was a left over boiler plate from a previous listing
and I just did not pick it up until you pointed it out to me.

Thank you (I, too, would not have bid or would have removed my bid based on
that shipping info.!!!)

John

4343. Re: which old scanners receive cellular

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-31 16:34:26 UTC
From: krackula <krackula@i.am>
Message-ID: <c0hcm1hek8e1hr4qv4e0eq6sh4jboqd47i@4ax.com>
Source record: 11405

On Mon, 31 Oct 2005 02:05:53 GMT, Jesse <1@2.com> wrote:

>krackula <krackula@i.am> wrote in news:u6aam19ms0u9fu8sa03042eer2v1bg3dq3@
>4ax.com:
>
>should probably consider leaving at least a portion of quoted text [on top]
>to preserve continuity.

10 - 4

>
>>
>>
>> " exactly "
>>
>> actually , there absolutely will be new services / transmissions that
>> will fill those old analog freqs and 700- 800 mhz in general. new
>> scanners (those built after 2007) would logically be able to
>> receive the whole 700 - 800 mhz band , with no exceptions , because
>> the banned analog cellular calls will be gone.
>>
>> trouble is (with old / current scanners , that is) , not only
>> would they be " frequency challenged " as you say , they will also be
>> " scanning " challenged because the new reorganization of the 700 -
>> 800 (and others) bands will have different channel spacing and
>> modes of transmission. the only currently made scanners that will
>> survive those changes (properly) will be the ones with manually
>> programmable channel spacing (on all bands) and additionally they
>> must have manually programmable mode selection too. a great many
>> scanners, as a matter of fact , most current scanners have some sort
>> of preprogrammed spacing / mode selection built into their eproms
>> for use in automatic selection operation.
>>
>> serious scanner hobbyist will almost certainly want a scanner that
>> addresses the new changes in process , esp band / mode changes . one
>> especially, that doesn't prevent them from listening (properly) to
>> the new activities that are in the works.
>
>Good point - But do you really think that they would come out with some
>sort of bizzare channel spacing like 13.8 khz, that would render it
>impossible for scanners, which generally will all recieve in 5/25 khz
>steps, to recieve ?
>Even with the above example, most scanners would likely catch the
>transmission just fine, if slighlty out of tune.
>There are not too many numbers which you can get very far from 5khz steps.

>Don't know if the way I put that makes complete sense, but I trust you
>gather what the gist of my point is.

I think you are right , that many (if not most) scanners will still
work " ok " with the new 800 mhz channel spacing because it
packs more channels into smaller bands of freqs . like you said, these
will likely still work it's just that scanners in general won't be
preprogrammed for the correct spacing in 800 mhz and most average
scanners don't receive the new 700 mhz bands at all. myself , I'm
picky and like to have all my presets (in eprom) fit the bands I'm
listening to. either way , it will still be 3 or 4 years or more
before all this gets completely on a roll. by that time , totally
new modes such as UWB will be getting started , changing things up
all over again ! aha h ahah ha aha with analog TV channels mandated
to end (now) in 2008 , who can foresee what all that change will
mean for scanner hobbyists with all those freqs open too. quite a
lot of change as compared to past times. maybe the time is close at
hand for completely software programmable DSP based scanners, so
that we can keep up with all these changes !

>
>>
>> if you are worried about having a scanner that will pick up the banned
>> cellular freqs , forget about clinging to your old scanners and look
>> to the new ones when they come out (2007 and beyond) as they will
>> surely be able to, since the banned restrictions will no longer
>> apply. most people will want scanners with eproms that fit the new
>> band spacing / freq / mode changes , basically flooding the market
>> with current / older scanners that do not fit properly into the
>> current changes taking place.
>
>I will beleive the restriction is lifted when I see it happen.
>Once passed, laws/regulations are no so easily rescinded ... Even if
>rescinding makes perfect sense.
>
>> zillions of current high end scanners will be had for a song when
>> the new jobbies hit the market. you can bet that scanner makers have
>> already geared up their production to address these issues and will
>> try to beat each other to production. all the people that have
>> bought those current expensive digital scanners or any scanner with
>> preprogrammed eproms (nearly any and all models) will be stuck with
>> old technology and be angry that their new $500 scanner only lasted 2
>> or 3 years before becoming obsolete.
>>
>> mega changes in the cages are at hand for everyone in this hobby.
>>
>>
>True, but the same can be said gor any technology just about.
>I spent $3,000 in 1997 to get a top of the line computer - A Pentium 2 266
>mhz beast running W 95.
>Today, I'd be lucky to get $100 for it, from someone wanting an extra

>computer for their grandchild or something.
>I paid about the same less than a year ago for a P4 3.60 GHZ as I did for
>the first - And no doubt 5 years from now it will be a quaint little joke,
>suitable to play old games and run word programs on.
>Such is life, and scanners are no exception.

hah ah ah hah you've got a point there !!! it doesn't seem like
" that " long ago when a friend paid over $4500 to get the latest
" 2 external , single sided , disk drive " Apple IIE computer with
color monitor and 128k memory upgrade ! h ahaha h haha

4344. Re: Boston, Ma and surrounding area freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-31 16:39:27 UTC
From: CommCtr1 <pottsladd13@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3Nr9f.838$0d.142@trnddc03>
Source record: 11406
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Thank you for the info.... I wasn't sure. I looked at a couple different sites and some showed FD on 800mhz and some on UHF.

thnx

4345. FA PRO-94

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-31 19:42:59 UTC
From: "W. Charles Alexander" <swl_ute@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7tu9f.161735$Il5.464@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 11407
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

See e-bay
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5825202490&rd=1&sspagename=STRK%3AMESE%3AIT&rd=1

for info.

Cheers Charlie W8WCA

4346. FA BC-895

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-31 19:43:38 UTC
From: "W. Charles Alexander" <swl_ute@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Ktu9f.161736$Il5.99634@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 11408

see
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5825204404&rd=1&sspagename=STRK%3AMESE%3AIT&rd=1
for info.

Cheers Charlie W8WCA

4347. FA Alinco DJ-X10 1200 Channel Scannet Wideband

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-31 19:45:09 UTC
From: "W. Charles Alexander" <swl_ute@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9vu9f.161738$ll5.59129@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 11409
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

see
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5825206351&rd=1&sspagename=STRK%3AMESE%3AIT&rd=1
for details

Cheers Charlie W8WCA

4348. Re: Which Is The Best?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-31 19:54:14 UTC
From: BDK <kingratay@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1dd04073f7aecc90989bb2@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 11410

In article <p2tbm15osbf8ip15jshamgd5t93bb3nc5n@4ax.com>,
rukbat@invalid.net says...
> On Sat, 29 Oct 2005 20:48:06 -0400, BDK
> <kingratay@buckeye-express.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:
>
> >They have gotten better though, the BC8500 was hands down the worst
> >scanner I ever had. It was a total disaster, and was sent back right
> >away. They seemed to partially learn their lesson after that train
> >wreck, but their low end radios are pretty bad. Worse than most of the
> >GRE radios I've had here over the years..
>
> >And I've owned, and repaired a large number of scanners from both
> >manufacturers and most of the ones I buy, or keep, tend to be GRE, for
> >RF performance, if not for quality control issues, like non soldered
> >speaker leads, antennas, loose screws, loose BNC connectors, etc...
>
> Pro-95 vs. BC246. Pro-96 vs. BCD396. 2 signal generators. Cross-mod
> tests.
>

Funny, in OVER THE AIR tests here right where I'm typing, the Unidens
have problems with the nearby 155MHZ Police and Ch 24 TV, and sometimes
30 too, doing all kinds of stuff they shouldn't have been doing. The 93
and 95 don't have any real problems, at least on freqs where I need to
listen to, and the 96 has just a tad of ch 24 interference. This is the
usual pattern, going back to the period where the Pro-2004 came out.
Before that, they were both really bad. The first decent base scanner
that Uniden made was the BC9000XLT, and mine has issues with the 155mhz
PD tower directly across the river causing background hash everywhere
when they key up (nearly continuously) and they can be heard clearly on
many VHF, UHF, and 800 freqs, either in the background, or clear as if
they were on the correct freq. I have repaired several 9000s, mostly BNC
connectors and display lights, and they all do it, some worse than mine,
some a little better..

The GRE radios like the Pro 43,92 (one of the few duds they've made
recently) 93/95 are always better than the Unidens in about every way,
RF wise, but sensitivity, and audio output. Not perfect by any means,
but an easy choice.

Time after time, model after model, the GRE radios (not the really old
and real cheap ones) are better performers than the Unidens. It's not
even close most of the time. The 396 I had here a few days ago wasn't
horrible at all, but it stopped scanning on a lot of channels it
shouldn't have, and it annoyed the hell out of me. Not as bad as my 3000

was, but annoying enough to probably make me get a 96, it worked well here.

I have bought many Uniden handhelds over the years, and they just don't stay... My Pro 43 is a senior citizen now. Not used much, but works fine when I take out at work when there's something big going on. Another huge strike against the Uniden handhelds, until recently, was the damn battery pack nonsense. At least they fixed that..

BDK

4349. Re: MURS List of radios?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-10-31 21:58:23 UTC
From: Ronny Julian <k4rjj@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <8KSdnfCkpMNsCfveRVn-iQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 11411

MURS is such a free for all now anyway who would bother checking if no
one complains? For all this talk I have never seen anyone write up a
list of acceptable radios. If there is one please forward.

Me wrote:
> In article <3ZOdnWD5iYFR9UnfRVn-2g@comcast.com>,
> "Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote:
>
>
>>There are a lot of radios on eBay that can be used legally for MURS. Some
>>of the Motorola are higher wattage, but if you keep the switch on 2-watts,
>>or less, they're legal.
>>
>>Bill Crocker
>
>
> Bzzzzt, Wrong, Would you like to try again for what is behind Door #3?
>
> MURS requires Specific Type Acceptance for that Radio Service, and no
> Higher Powered Radios with user switchable Power levels would pass Type
> Acceptance for MURS Radio Service........
>
>
> Me

4350. Re: Outdoor Scanner Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-01 00:26:18 UTC
From: matt weber <mattheww50@cox.net>
Message-ID: <qcddm1hspm8aenpgovkh8uqisgnfvud4o3@4ax.com>
Source record: 11412

On Mon, 31 Oct 2005 05:57:39 -0500, Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
wrote:

>On Sun, 30 Oct 2005 15:27:34 GMT, "Jeff" <oldog@(nospam)mchsi.com>
>said in rec.radio.scanner:
>
>>"Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message
>>> Four things you should be aware of:
>
>>> 1) A discone is a negative gain antenna - that is, it has less gain
>>> than a dipole, which is the standard by which 0 gain is measured.
>
>>Name 1 "scanner" antenna that does have gain? Not an
>>amatuer antenna a "scanner" antenna.
>
>Since we never covered "scanner antenna" in antenna theory, define
>what you mean.
>
>Dipoles (or ground planes) have, by definition, 0dbd gain.
Incorrect, gain is normally quoted over an Isotropic radiator, which a
Dipole is NOT. However relative to an isotropic radiatior, and
Discone is a zero gain antenna. The attraction of the Discone is from
the low VSWR over vast frequency range. A very high VSWR can turn a
high gain antenna into a losing proposition because of feedline
losses.

> A discone
>has negative gain, vis-a-vis a dipole.
T

4351. Re: Digital Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-01 02:01:32 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <23jdm1hhi5jp5irsfpbrf540mark7r73o0@4ax.com>
Source record: 11413
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Mon, 31 Oct 2005 13:10:04 GMT, "St. John Smythe"
<UndisclosedRecipient@privacy.net> said in alt.radio.scanner:

>I'd expect that we'll more often see the "general" channels (e.g.,
>dispatch) remaining unencrypted, with tactical or admin channels
>encrypted. Just a guess.

A pretty good one, since that's the way it already is on many systems.

4352. Re: Which Is The Best?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-01 02:04:53 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <p6jdm19qm57vg76nfnnqbo1mnt672j7qd4@4ax.com>
Source record: 11414

On Mon, 31 Oct 2005 14:54:14 -0500, BDK
<kingratay@buckeye-express.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>Funny, in OVER THE AIR tests here right where I'm typing, the Unidens
>have problems with the nearby 155MHZ Police and Ch 24 TV, and sometimes
>30 too, doing all kinds of stuff they shouldn't have been doing. The 93
>and 95 don't have any real problems, at least on freqs where I need to
>listen to

And that, to you, is the real world, and if anyone else has ever had
any other experience, why should you be concerned?

So much for things like "credibility".

<plonk>

4353. Re: Outdoor Scanner Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-01 02:06:34 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <6bjdm1lr5gkifjrvjjg3re7tv2jv66t6nc@4ax.com>
Source record: 11415

On Mon, 31 Oct 2005 17:26:18 -0700, matt weber <mattheww50@cox.net>
said in rec.radio.scanner:

>On Mon, 31 Oct 2005 05:57:39 -0500, Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
>wrote:

>>Dipoles (or ground planes) have, by definition, 0dbd gain.

>Incorrect, gain is normally quoted over an Isotropic radiator, which a
>Dipole is NOT.

Normally advertising hype is quoted in dbi, while honest antenna gain
is quoted in dbd. So is engineering gain.

4354. Re: which old scanners receive cellular

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-01 02:11:39 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <nfjdm1tme1uj4ffjk2kujtu94tdc2k8jis@4ax.com>
Source record: 11416

On Mon, 31 Oct 2005 08:34:26 -0800, krackula <krackula@i.am> said in
rec.radio.scanner:

>with analog TV channels mandated
>to end (now) in 2008 , who can foresee what all that change will
>mean for scanner hobbyists with all those freqs open too.

Not only the ones directly affected. Who knows how many hams living
in areas with a channel 2 TV station will start operating on 6 meters,
opening up all kinds of skip possibilities.

>hah ah ah hah you've got a point there !!! it doesn't seem like
> " that " long ago when a friend paid over $4500 to get the latest
>" 2 external , single sided , disk drive " Apple IIE computer with
>color monitor and 128k memory upgrade ! h ahaha h haha

I still have one. No monitor, but "4 external , single sided , disk
drives", modem, Z-80 card. I ran a BBS on it for a few years.

4355. Re: Fa - Icom R20

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-01 02:16:25 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <8ojdm1dhgp2rdqqfce56e7hip1vndan1sc@4ax.com>
Source record: 11417

On Mon, 31 Oct 2005 10:53:18 -0500, "John Schneider"
<thecop@optonline.net> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>The listing has been ammended to reflect the change in shipping cost.

Nope - I just checked - Shoreham to Miller Place is still $11. Is the
mailman going to hand carry it all the way, and I get to pay for his
stop at Deks?

For $11 I could have Lindys deliver it in about 15 minutes.

4356. Re: antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-01 02:18:14 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <g1kdm1ljldpvukqr2616hptpgaolfo4pho@4ax.com>
Source record: 11418

On 31 Oct 2005 05:56:37 -0800, stryped@hotmail.com said in
rec.radio.scanner:

>Will putting my 2 meter ham radio antenna near my remote car starter
>antenna cause a problem?

It'll cause a change in the radiation pattern. What change it will
cause is impossible to say without actually seeing the installation.
How near is "near"?

4357. Re: Which Is The Best?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-01 03:03:17 UTC
From: Bo Williams <williams@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <11mdmrgc1tu7263@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 11419

Al Klein wrote:
> On Mon, 31 Oct 2005 14:54:14 -0500, BDK
> <kingratay@buckeye-express.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:
>
>
>>Funny, in OVER THE AIR tests here right where I'm typing, the Unidens
>>have problems with the nearby 155MHZ Police and Ch 24 TV, and sometimes
>>30 too, doing all kinds of stuff they shouldn't have been doing. The 93
>>and 95 don't have any real problems, at least on freqs where I need to
>>listen to
>
>
> And that, to you, is the real world, and if anyone else has ever had
> any other experience, why should you be concerned?
>
> So much for things like "credibility".
>
> <plonk>

I didn't read his post as antagonistic or otherwise unproductive
whatsoever. You, sir, are overreacting.
--
Bo Williams - williams@hiwaay.net
http://hiwaay.net/~williams/

4358. Re: Which Is The Best?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-01 05:20:50 UTC
From: BDK <kingratay@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1dd0c54186e33c95989bb3@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 11420

In article <p6jdm19qm57vg76nfnnqbo1mnt672j7qd4@4ax.com>,
rukbat@invalid.net says...
> On Mon, 31 Oct 2005 14:54:14 -0500, BDK
> <kingratay@buckeye-express.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:
>
> >Funny, in OVER THE AIR tests here right where I'm typing, the Unidens
> >have problems with the nearby 155MHZ Police and Ch 24 TV, and sometimes
> >30 too, doing all kinds of stuff they shouldn't have been doing. The 93
> >and 95 don't have any real problems, at least on freqs where I need to
> >listen to
>
> And that, to you, is the real world, and if anyone else has ever had
> any other experience, why should you be concerned?
>
> So much for things like "credibility".
>
> <plonk>
>

Fine, "plonk" me ahole. As if I give a shit.

BDK

4359. Re: Which Is The Best?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-01 05:22:40 UTC
From: BDK <kingratay@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1dd0c5ac32eb1b86989bb4@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 11421

In article <11mdmrgc1tu7263@news.supernews.com>, williams@hiwaay.net
says...
> Al Klein wrote:
> > On Mon, 31 Oct 2005 14:54:14 -0500, BDK
> > <kingratay@buckeye-express.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:
> >
> >
> >>Funny, in OVER THE AIR tests here right where I'm typing, the Unidens
> >>have problems with the nearby 155MHZ Police and Ch 24 TV, and sometimes
> >>30 too, doing all kinds of stuff they shouldn't have been doing. The 93
> >>and 95 don't have any real problems, at least on freqs where I need to
> >>listen to
> >
> >
> > And that, to you, is the real world, and if anyone else has ever had
> > any other experience, why should you be concerned?
> >
> > So much for things like "credibility".
> >
> > <plonk>
>
> I didn't read his post as antagonistic or otherwise unproductive
> whatsoever. You, sir, are overreacting.
>

As usual. His ego will accept no other opinions but his. That he
compares using a lab setup to the real world, well, it cracks me up..

BDK

4360. Re: Fa - Icom R20

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-01 12:16:40 UTC
From: John Schneider <thecop@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <C0J9f.1070$ex4.210@fe08.lga>
Source record: 11422

Al,

I am not a liar and I take exception to your sarcasm.

Read the item description for the amendment. A bid has been placed on the item and that locks in a lot of the auction info., including the shipping formula. I could not change the shipping formula so I added to the narrative...just as I said I did.

John

"Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message
news:8ojdm1dhgp2rdqqfce56e7hip1vndan1sc@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 31 Oct 2005 10:53:18 -0500, "John Schneider"
> <thecop@optonline.net> said in rec.radio.scanner:
>
>>The listing has been ammended to reflect the change in shipping cost.
>
> Nope - I just checked - Shoreham to Miller Place is still $11. Is the
> mailman going to hand carry it all the way, and I get to pay for his
> stop at Deks?
>
> For $11 I could have Lindys deliver it in about 15 minutes.

4361. IP1kC updated + new IP1k2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-01 14:21:17 UTC
From: jjintokyo@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130854877.275251.162990@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11423

Dear All,

First of all, I would like to thank you very much for sending me so many e-mails from all over the world to tell me how much you like IP1kC.

Sorry if I wasn't able to reply to all, but the two most common questions were 1) Do I need a Null Modem Adapter to connect my Palm with my PCR1000 / PCR100 ? and 2) Would you please send me the source code of IP1kC ?

Well, I'm pleased to answer YES to both questions.

YES, you do need a Null Modem Adapter and YES, I have decided to release the full source code.
Maybe somebody with more time than me will pick up from here, improve IP1kC and share it with the community :-)

http://www.geocities.com/jjintokyo/

Oh and check out my new IP1k2 page. It is a simple Windows version, but it does what I need and does it well. Also provided with the full VB6 source code.

http://www.geocities.com/jjintokyo/vb6.html

Please keep sending those e-mails. It is your words of support and encouragement that keep me going.

LONG LIVE THE PCR1000 + PCR100...

JJ in Tokyo.

4362. Re: MURS List of radios?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-01 14:58:35 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <ZtudndFnO_kCH_reRVn-rw@comcast.com>
Source record: 11424

I've never seen any reference to a "type acceptance" applying to MURS. I could be wrong however. So like you, I invite someone to refer us to such guidelines, and information. It would be appreciated. I would think if you had, say an older Motorola programmed, so you could only use the approved MURS frequencies, at the approve output level, no one would put you in jail.

Bill Crocker

"Ronny Julian" <k4rjj@comcast.net> wrote in message news:8KSdnfCkpMNsCfveRVn-iQ@comcast.com...
> MURS is such a free for all now anyway who would bother checking if no one
> complains? For all this talk I have never seen anyone write up a list of
> acceptable radios. If there is one please forward.
>
> Me wrote:
>> In article <3ZOdnWD5iYFR9UnfRVn-2g@comcast.com>,
>> "Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>There are a lot of radios on eBay that can be used legally for MURS.
>>>Some of the Motorola are higher wattage, but if you keep the switch on
>>>2-watts, or less, they're legal.
>>>
>>>Bill Crocker
>>
>>
>> Bzzzzt, Wrong, Would you like to try again for what is behind Door #3?
>>
>> MURS requires Specific Type Acceptance for that Radio Service, and no
>> Higher Powered Radios with user switchable Power levels would pass Type
>> Acceptance for MURS Radio Service........
>>
>>
>> Me

4363. Re: Digital Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-01 15:08:12 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Fp6dnTwWVdh9GfreRVn-jQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 11425
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"George Bell" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:43660fd9.11366140@news-server...
>
> The thing is, we are relying on the fact that the transmission remains
> unencrypted. But with digital systems, turning the encryption on is as
> easy as to click a checkbox in a menu.
>
> In fact, I am curious as to why many of them have not done so yet.
> Perhaps because digital comms are still new. But as soon as too many
> people have digital scanners, then the users will simply turn the
> encryption on. and we are all stuffed. Then digital scanners will
> become worthless...
>
> George Bell
>

The older Motorola's required that an encryption module be installed, and programmed, before one could use encryption. It's my understanding this was a very expensive option...not that it needed to be, but Motorola isn't about to give anything away. In Michigan, I have heard on the MPSCS, only MSP, Michigan State Police, use encryption on a few occasions. The only exception to that was technicians, while doing testing on new installations. I too feared this would soon become the norm, but someone in-the-know, claimed it was extremely unlikely. One, for the reason I stated before...VERY expensive! It may be on the newer radios, just a "check box" as you say, when programming them, but I doubt the end user has access to this, and would require cooperation, and charges from the radio manufacture. The other problem would be public outrage, asking what they were trying to hide, etc. While I realize there is a time, and place for encryption, and it will be used on occasion, I doubt we'll see it implemented on a full time basis.

Bill Crocker

4364. Re: antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-01 15:11:47 UTC
From: stryped@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130857907.210078.241610@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11426

I guess I am more worried of either frying the radio or remote start.
Al Klein wrote:
> On 31 Oct 2005 05:56:37 -0800, stryped@hotmail.com said in
> rec.radio.scanner:
>
> >Will putting my 2 meter ham radio antenna near my remote car starter
> >antenna cause a problem?
>
> It'll cause a change in the radiation pattern. What change it will
> cause is impossible to say without actually seeing the installation.
> How near is "near"?

4365. WTB:Optoelectronics Optocom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-01 18:46:16 UTC
From: Scan Da Bands <vzeip5vc@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <btdfm1lso9tfqg1eu57as8kmkput393b6s@4ax.com>
Source record: 11427

Looking for an Optoelectronics Optocom with bitbanger if possible...

reply to tommyboysUNDERSCOREads@verizonDOTnet

4366. Re: MURS List of radios?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-01 20:16:51 UTC
From: Ronny Julian <k4rjj@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <xv6dnRby5t8wU_renZ2dnUVZ_tCdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 11428

My PC 10s have been working fine for 2 years now. I need to put PL boards in them sometime so I'don't hear the KFC drive thru! They are only 1 watt but cover the apartment complex just fine. The apartment complex staff is even on 154.600 and they don't hear me at all with their PL in place.

Waiting for a list to come up on here. I'm surprised the PRSG staff has not weighed in on this and submitted a PDF of guidelines.

Bill Crocker wrote:
> I've never seen any reference to a "type acceptance" applying to MURS. I
> could be wrong however. So like you, I invite someone to refer us to such
> guidelines, and information. It would be appreciated. I would think if you
> had, say an older Motorola programmed, so you could only use the approved
> MURS frequencies, at the approve output level, no one would put you in jail.
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
> "Ronny Julian" <k4rjj@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:8KSdnfCkpMNsCfveRVn-iQ@comcast.com...
>
>>MURS is such a free for all now anyway who would bother checking if no one
>>complains? For all this talk I have never seen anyone write up a list of
>>acceptable radios. If there is one please forward.
>>
>>Me wrote:
>>
>>>In article <3ZOdnWD5iYFR9UnfRVn-2g@comcast.com>,
>>> "Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>There are a lot of radios on eBay that can be used legally for MURS.
>>>>Some of the Motorola are higher wattage, but if you keep the switch on
>>>>2-watts, or less, they're legal.
>>>>
>>>>Bill Crocker
>>>
>>>
>>>Bzzzzt, Wrong, Would you like to try again for what is behind Door #3?
>>>
>>>MURS requires Specific Type Acceptance for that Radio Service, and no
>>>Higher Powered Radios with user switchable Power levels would pass Type
>>>Acceptance for MURS Radio Service........

>>>
>>>
>>>Me
>
>
>

4367. Re: Digital Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-01 23:56:19 UTC
From: nospam@nospam.com
Message-ID: <4367fdb5.3160312@news-server>
Source record: 11429
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Tue, 1 Nov 2005 10:08:12 -0500, "Bill Crocker"
<wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote:

>
>"George Bell" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message
>news:43660fd9.11366140@news-server...
>>
>> The thing is, we are relying on the fact that the transmission remains
>> unencrypted. But with digital systems, turning the encryption on is as
>> easy as to click a checkbox in a menu.
>>
>> In fact, I am curious as to why many of them have not done so yet.
>> Perhaps because digital comms are still new. But as soon as too many
>> people have digital scanners, then the users will simply turn the
>> encryption on. and we are all stuffed. Then digital scanners will
>> become worthless...
>>
>> George Bell
>>
>
>The older Motorola's required that an encryption module be installed, and
>programmed, before one could use encryption. It's my understanding this was
>a very expensive option...not that it needed to be, but Motorola isn't about
>to give anything away. In Michigan, I have heard on the MPSCS, only MSP,
>Michigan State Police, use encryption on a few occasions. The only
>exception to that was technicians, while doing testing on new installations.
>I too feared this would soon become the norm, but someone in-the-know,
>claimed it was extremely unlikely. One, for the reason I stated
>before...VERY expensive! It may be on the newer radios, just a "check box"
>as you say, when programming them, but I doubt the end user has access to
>this, and would require cooperation, and charges from the radio manufacture.
>The other problem would be public outrage, asking what they were trying to
>hide, etc. While I realize there is a time, and place for encryption, and
>it will be used on occasion, I doubt we'll see it implemented on a full time
>basis.
>
>Bill Crocker
>

Well I surely hope that you are right!

I generally agree with your reasoning except here: "The other problem
would be public outrage, asking what they were trying to hide, etc." -
I can't see this happen. The reason is that "they" can always say that

the general public has no right to listen to police transmissions in the first place. They can also raise the issue of terrorism, necessitating an increased need for protection of government communications. The question of "what they are trying to hide" then becomes irrelevant.

And about the cost of turning the encryption on, as you imply, the cost is rather artificial. When Motorola, etc., see that this option is not selling, the will make sure it does - by lowering the price and/or by lobbying the government to install or turn the encryption on for operational safety reasons.

I am finding such scenario quite likely. The only thing, which IMHO can stop it or delay it is simply inertia and incompetence. I mean if I was a Motorola salesman, I would not sleep at night until they finally bought my encryption. If I was a police chief, I would want one, too. So, for these most important parties, the interests are aligned. Our interest does not matter to them, in fact it's an added incentive for them to proceed.

George Bell

4368. Re: Radio is the most homosexual thing on Earth !!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-02 00:17:45 UTC
From: Sir Chadwick of Ousinge <Bchedricke@runbissh.com>
Message-ID: <e6f19$43680595$d1cc7a97$7592@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 11430
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Gerry Lintonice" <miami_cure@yahoo.com.au> wrote in message
news:1130839017.128433.180260@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Yes, radios are sending gay-waves to male members, turning the men into
> faggots who will buttfuck other men to infect them with more gayness.
>
> Very very GAY GAY GAY !!!
>

You need to go here: http://www.homemade-sex-toys.com/

4369. Re: Digital Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-02 00:50:43 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <C2U9f.6846$IB.1967@dukeread11>
Source record: 11431
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Bill Crocker wrote:

>>
>
> The older Motorola's required that an encryption module be installed, and
> programmed, before one could use encryption. It's my understanding this
> was a very expensive option...not that it needed to be, but Motorola isn't
> about
> to give anything away. In Michigan, I have heard on the MPSCS, only MSP,
> Michigan State Police, use encryption on a few occasions. The only
> exception to that was technicians, while doing testing on new
> installations. I too feared this would soon become the norm, but someone
> in-the-know,
> claimed it was extremely unlikely. One, for the reason I stated
> before...VERY expensive! It may be on the newer radios, just a "check
> box" as you say, when programming them, but I doubt the end user has
> access to this, and would require cooperation, and charges from the radio
> manufacture. The other problem would be public outrage, asking what they
> were trying to
> hide, etc. While I realize there is a time, and place for encryption, and
> it will be used on occasion, I doubt we'll see it implemented on a full
> time basis.
>
> Bill Crocker

Bill,
unfortunately, that is now the normal mode here in Phoenix. :(
Encryption over APCO25 is in full use by Phoenix Police on all radio
equipment that is APCO25 capable. There has already been some noise from
local Groups seeking access to those transmissions (including, but not
limited to: news agencies).

So far, the Police Dept. has been "mum" on this subject (no public comment
or publically stated policy at all) and unofficially, have stated that
encryption is for the express purpose of keeping the newshounds and
ambulance chasers away.

Still, that does not ease the feeling I have that this was meant to do
something more insidious.

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com

the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.

4370. Re: MURS List of radios?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-02 01:36:09 UTC
From: RadAct <n7rcm@pobox.com>
Message-ID: <dKU9f.4547$RI1.4079@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 11432

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:ZtudndFnO_kCH_reRVn-rw@comcast.com...
> I've never seen any reference to a "type acceptance" applying to MURS. I
> could be wrong however. So like you, I invite someone to refer us to such
> guidelines, and information. It would be appreciated. I would think if
you
> had, say an older Motorola programmed, so you could only use the approved
> MURS frequencies, at the approve output level, no one would put you in
jail.
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
> "Ronny Julian" <k4rjj@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:8KSdnfCkpMNsCfveRVn-iQ@comcast.com...
> > MURS is such a free for all now anyway who would bother checking if no
one
> > complains? For all this talk I have never seen anyone write up a list
of
> > acceptable radios. If there is one please forward.
> >
> > Me wrote:
> >> In article <3ZOdnWD5iYFR9UnfRVn-2g@comcast.com>,
> >> "Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>> There are a lot of radios on eBay that can be used legally for MURS.
> >>> Some of the Motorola are higher wattage, but if you keep the switch on
> >>> 2-watts, or less, they're legal.
> >>>
> >>> Bill Crocker
> >>
> >>
> >> Bzzzzt, Wrong, Would you like to try again for what is behind Door #3?
> >>
> >> MURS requires Specific Type Acceptance for that Radio Service, and no
> >> Higher Powered Radios with user switchable Power levels would pass Type
> >> Acceptance for MURS Radio Service.....
> >>
> >>
> >> Me
>
>

You just can't use any commercial radio on MURS. Here are the rules.

http://www.provide.net/~prsg/murs_faq.htm

4371. Re: Radio is the most homosexual thing on Earth !!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-02 02:21:45 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1130898105.563590.111170@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11433
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

SCoO - You Sir are NOT a Sir [.] ~ RHF

.

.

. .

4372. Re: Radio is the most homosexual thing on Earth !!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-02 15:05:45 UTC
From: Beerbelly <xxx@xxx.xxx>
Message-ID: <uclhm1pilh7ig8v5itirqrn33dk28pmf01@4ax.com>
Source record: 11434
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Tue, 1 Nov 2005 19:17:45 -0500, "Sir Chadwick of Ousinge"
<Bchedricke@runbissh.com> wrote:

>
>"Gerry Lintonice" <miami_cure@yahoo.com.au> wrote in message
>news:1130839017.128433.180260@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> Yes, radios are sending gay-waves to male members, turning the men into
>> faggots who will buttfuck other men to infect them with more gayness.
>>
>> Very very GAY GAY GAY !!!
>>
>
>You need to go here: http://www.homemade-sex-toys.com/

mII is slightly gayer!

4373. Re: pro 2035 to pro 43

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-02 16:55:49 UTC
From: "Johnny V. Mullins" <jvm333@alltel.net>
Message-ID: <aa552$4368ef9b$438cac95$19120@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 11435

Hummmmmmmm, Nobody interested in this realy nice pro-2035 ??

Johnny

jvm333@alltel.net

~~~~~~

"Johnny V. Mullins" <jvm333@alltel.net> wrote in message
news:215e5$436589fd$438d244b$3268@ALLTEL.NET...
> Hey gang !! Anyone interested in swapping a nice clean good working Pro
> 43 for a very nice working Pro 2035 with orginal box and owners manual.
> Also. I may consider other triple conversion rig with coverage from 25 MHZ
> up
>
> Johnny
>
~~~~~~

4374. Re: Tracy Fort is the most homosexual thing on Earth !!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-03 01:59:39 UTC
From: m II <None@owl.nest.org>
Message-ID: <faeaf.74135$y_1.33365@edtnps89>
Source record: 11436
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Beerbelly wrote:

> mII is slightly gayer!

Tracy Fort's necrophilia and a deceased seventy two year old cancer victim, who happened to be my mother:

The drunk has so far posted:

==========
==========
I'm not drunk. I haven't finished my first 12 pak yet.
I'll get there and when I do it's back to the cemetary.

She gives great cancerous BJ's.....thanks Canucky boy!

How far north did the Katrina effect? I saw someone's mom floatin down the mighty Mississippi!

Dug her ass right up! She was too damn ugly to have sex with before she died. The old bag o bones fell apart in my hands! She didn't complain about much either other than the lousy sex she got from those foursomes with you, Mike and her husband.

love dem bones....dem bones....dem bones

rattllin' bones!

squeek...squeek...

Skeleton rides 50 cents a pop! If you can stand the smell....I wonder is she stunk that bad in life?

hahahaha....I'm still laughin' at your sorry ass family! This is funny stuff!

She is very fresh but not that fresh!

hehe...I love dem bony women....rotten to the core.....

um...umm....good!

I love it....did she do it for you too?

Please accept my apology for abusing her. I'm sorry that I did not toss her back into the black depths that she came from. Next time,I will be sure to take care of that. I promise that I will refill the hole with the dirt that came out too. In addition, I will re sod the plot at no additional charge. Let me also apologize for the milky

substance in her left eye socket, that was an unavoidable accident.
please feel free to include this post in your sig file!

You might as weel keep it up there...I love the ole boney bitch!

Dem Bones! Dem Bones! Dem Bones! I loved playing dem Bones!
Dem Bones! Dem Bones! Dem Bones!
I loved playing dem Bones! I ran my fingers uo and down dem bones and
she began to come alive!
I played dem bones like they never been played and she smiled for me all
the day.

Pork and bones....

DON'T HURT CANADA! I'll miss those nights with the ole bony lady!

The most fun I ever had!

Sporting a new moniker (GraveDigger) just for you and mommy...

He knows the inside of his mom's boney butt! hahahaha

hahahaha.....when you got thru lickin I was stickin.....

M/Sgt. Tracy Fort 116 AMX
250 Martin Luther King Blvd.
Robins ANG,
GA. 31098-2009

beerbarrel@cox.net

http://www.qrz.com/detail/KE4ODD

==========
==========

This .sig file will continue until Mister Fort posts a sincere apology
to all cancer victims and their families. His refusal to do so says more
about his attitude and upbringing than any of his thoughtless and
hurtful postings in rec.radio.shortwave. He seems to be very proud of
the fact that he can buy expensive radios using the refunded deposit
money from his empty beer containers and has frequently posted the fact.

It appears he is well on his way to purchasing his next radio. Anyone
caring about his well-being should mention the good work of Alcoholics
Anonymous to him. They may be able to help him restore his self respect
and dignity so he stops posting this drunken garbage on the internet.

4375. Re: Digital Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-03 02:51:57 UTC
From: Steve Uhrig <Steve@swssec.com>
Message-ID: <gluim1da99m8oqqmplgtr8sd3ub5213ora@4ax.com>
Source record: 11437
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Mon, 31 Oct 2005 12:41:19 GMT, nospam@nospam.com (George Bell)
wrote:

> The thing is, we are relying on the fact that the transmission remains
> unencrypted. But with digital systems, turning the encryption on is as
> easy as to click a checkbox in a menu.

> In fact, I am curious as to why many of them have not done so yet.
> Perhaps because digital comms are still new.

On the Motorola XTS5000, using encryption cuts battery life by 2/3.
You need three batteries to get through a shift. That's a major pain
in the butt. Encryption generally is used only when mandated by a
particular activity like drugs or raids.

Steve

****
Steve Uhrig, SWS Security, Maryland (USA)
Mfrs of electronic surveillance equip
mailto:Steve@swssec.com website http://www.swssec.com
tel +1+410-879-4035, fax +1+410-836-1190
"In God we trust, all others we monitor"
****

4376. Re: antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-03 05:00:09 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <c66jm1lbt7b7sglg9o8hbarn8821djsk6n@4ax.com>
Source record: 11438

On 1 Nov 2005 07:11:47 -0800, stryped@hotmail.com said in
rec.radio.scanner:

>Al Klein wrote:
>> On 31 Oct 2005 05:56:37 -0800, stryped@hotmail.com said in
>> rec.radio.scanner:
>>
>> >Will putting my 2 meter ham radio antenna near my remote car starter
>> >antenna cause a problem?
>>
>> It'll cause a change in the radiation pattern. What change it will
>> cause is impossible to say without actually seeing the installation.
>> How near is "near"?

>I guess I am more worried of either frying the radio or remote start.

I doubt that you'll fry the radio - the remote start is a receiver. As
far as frying the remote start - it's possible. It's also possible to
fry the car's computer - manufacturers don't guarantee that the
computers can withstand radio transmitters of any kind in cars these
days.

But you can mount the 2 meter antenna so that it's not close enough to
the remote start antenna to do any damage. I run 50 watts on 2 and
440 in the car and the alarm still works.

4377. FS:Uniden BC246T Trunk Tracker III 800 MHz Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-03 05:05:28 UTC
From: Vic <ent001@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130994328.773096.102050@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11439

Like New Uniden BC246T Trunk Tracker III 800 MHz Scanner still have
the box it came in and all the paper work for it asking $200 + shipping
e/mail me at ent001@aol.com if you wanna buy it

4378. Re: FS:Uniden BC246T Trunk Tracker III 800 MHz Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-03 10:25:09 UTC
From: Jan Smit <no@no.com>
Message-ID: <4369e58c$0$1930$ba620dc5@nova.planet.nl>
Source record: 11440

duh, new 246: 199.99 @ bearcatscanner.com

"Vic" <ent001@aol.com> schreef in bericht
news:1130994328.773096.102050@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Like New Uniden BC246T Trunk Tracker III 800 MHz Scanner still have
> the box it came in and all the paper work for it asking $200 + shipping
> e/mail me at ent001@aol.com if you wanna buy it
>

4379. Re: Tracy Fort is the most homosexual thing on Earth !!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-03 10:34:54 UTC
From: Beerbelly <xxx@xxx.xxx>
Message-ID: <gtpjm15pf6j0q8dg900g0ngk0l18el01s8@4ax.com>
Source record: 11441
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

hahahahaha......Can't you come up with your own material?

On Thu, 03 Nov 2005 01:59:39 GMT, m II <None@owl.nest.org> wrote:

>Beerbelly wrote:
>
>
>> mII is slightly gayer!
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>Tracy Fort's necrophilia and a deceased seventy two year old cancer
>victim, who happened to be my mother:
>
>The drunk has so far posted:
>
>
>
>==========
>==========
>I'm not drunk. I haven't finished my first 12 pak yet.
>I'll get there and when I do it's back to the cemetary.
>
>She gives great cancerous BJ's.....thanks Canucky boy!
>
>How far north did the Katrina effect? I saw someone's mom floatin down
>the mighty Mississippi!
>
>Dug her ass right up! She was too damn ugly to have sex with before
>she died. The old bag o bones fell apart in my hands! She didn't
>complain about much either other than the lousy sex she got from those
>foursomes with you, Mike and her husband.
>
>love dem bones....dem bones....dem bones
>
>rattllin' bones!
>
>squeek...squeek...
>
>Skeleton rides 50 cents a pop! If you can stand the smell....I wonder
>is she stunk that bad in life?

>
>hahahaha....I'm still laughin' at your sorry ass family! This is funny
>stuff!
>
>She is very fresh but not that fresh!
>
>hehe...I love dem bony women....rotten to the core.....
>
>um...umm....good!
>
>I love it....did she do it for you too?
>
>Please accept my apology for abusing her. I'm sorry that I did not
>toss her back into the black depths that she came from. Next time,I
>will be sure to take care of that. I promise that I will refill the
>hole with the dirt that came out too. In addition, I will re sod the
>plot at no additional charge. Let me also apologize for the milky
>substance in her left eye socket, that was an unavoidable accident.
>please feel free to include this post in your sig file!
>
>You might as weel keep it up there...I love the ole boney bitch!
>
>Dem Bones! Dem Bones! Dem Bones! I loved playing dem Bones!
>Dem Bones! Dem Bones! Dem Bones!
>I loved playing dem Bones! I ran my fingers uo and down dem bones and
>she began to come alive!
>I played dem bones like they never been played and she smiled for me all
>the day.
>
>Pork and bones....
>
>DON'T HURT CANADA! I'll miss those nights with the ole bony lady!
>
>The most fun I ever had!
>
>Sporting a new moniker (GraveDigger) just for you and mommy...
>
>He knows the inside of his mom's boney butt! hahahaha
>
>hahahaha.....when you got thru lickin I was stickin.....
>
>M/Sgt. Tracy Fort 116 AMX
>250 Martin Luther King Blvd.
>Robins ANG,
>GA. 31098-2009
>
>beerbarrel@cox.net
>
>http://www.qrz.com/detail/KE4ODD
>

>==
>==
>
>This .sig file will continue until Mister Fort posts a sincere apology
>to all cancer victims and their families. His refusal to do so says more
>about his attitude and upbringing than any of his thoughtless and
>hurtful postings in rec.radio.shortwave. He seems to be very proud of
>the fact that he can buy expensive radios using the refunded deposit
>money from his empty beer containers and has frequently posted the fact.
>
>It appears he is well on his way to purchasing his next radio. Anyone
>caring about his well-being should mention the good work of Alcoholics
>Anonymous to him. They may be able to help him restore his self respect
>and dignity so he stops posting this drunken garbage on the internet.
>

4380. Re: Tracy Fort is the most homosexual thing on Earth !!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-03 12:10:14 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1131019814.708702.239410@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11442
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

BB - "M II" is trying to be the Cana-Duh-ian Rodney Dangerfield

Hey - "M II" Can't Get No Respect - No Respect At All !

Cause after all 'm ii' is simply a Cana-Duh-ian !

oh cana-duh ! - the joke is on 'u' ~ RHF
.
.
. .
.

4381. White Male Looking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-03 14:49:35 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <io8km11egbfu7narquredumb05oj209pbn@4ax.com>
Source record: 11443
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,az.general

am a 31 yo Blind white male in the central
Phoenix area. I am looking
for a male\female age 25-35 to share experiences
with. I am disease free
and drug free. The one problem I have is: I am
allergic to latex (rash,
etc.). Seeking for similar interests like hiking,
camping, fine dining,
movies, sci-fi conventions, and the like.=20 I am
somewhat shy and still
very unskilled at any kind of sexual =routine.
Because my blindness
prevents me from getting out and =socializing on a
regular basis, I am
seeking here. I hope to hear from a =nice female
soon.
I can be e-mailed by relying via lookup on QRZ.com

Thanks.
Eric.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4382. Re: White Male Looking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-03 16:33:42 UTC
From: Bob the Printer <bdolson@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <yb2dnUDdKfB6pvfenZ2dnUVZ_tGdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 11444
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,az.general

Have I suddenly stumbled upon the lonely hearts newsgroup??

4383. Re: White Male Looking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-03 17:03:57 UTC
From: Doc <sheltronics.spam.not@ifrance.com>
Message-ID: <afmdnTgkN6Zk3_fenZ2dnUVZ_tOdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 11445
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,az.general

I don't think it's his heart that's lonely.

"Bob the Printer" <bdolson@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:yb2dnUDdKfB6pvfenZ2dnUVZ_tGdnZ2d@comcast.com...
> Have I suddenly stumbled upon the lonely hearts newsgroup??
>
>
>

4384. Re: White Male Looking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-03 17:15:13 UTC
From: Phxbrd <lesliesethhammond@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3suuuuFq37d8U1@individual.net>
Source record: 11446
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,az.general

If sincere, I believe his pleas are touching. Everyone needs someone
else....

"Doc" <sheltronics.spam.not@ifrance.com> wrote in message
news:afmdnTgkN6Zk3_fenZ2dnUVZ_tOdnZ2d@giganews.com...
> I don't think it's his heart that's lonely.
>
> "Bob the Printer" <bdolson@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:yb2dnUDdKfB6pvfenZ2dnUVZ_tGdnZ2d@comcast.com...
> > Have I suddenly stumbled upon the lonely hearts newsgroup??
> >
> >
> >
>
>

4385. Re: White Male Looking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-03 17:27:41 UTC
From: hprecdocks <hprecdocks@attwireless.com>
Message-ID: <11mki4bekcdvgfc@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 11447
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,az.general

It's not. This guy's posts have been forged many times. The reference to latex is the big clue.

"Phxbrd" <lesliesethhammond@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:3suuuuFq37d8U1@individual.net...

> If sincere, I believe his pleas are touching. Everyone needs someone
> else....

4386. Re: White Male Looking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-03 17:57:36 UTC
From: k <spam@uce.gov>
Message-ID: <kcsaf.3568$Jo3.800@tornado.socal.rr.com>
Source record: 11448
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,az.general

If he's blind, how the hell did he get on the computer/internet! In
fact, how the hell is he going to read any replies.. har...

He's allergic to latex, which is telling all the fags he doesn't like to
use a rubber! Sheeze!

K

"N7ZZT - Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
news:io8km11egbfu7narquredumb05oj209pbn@4ax.com...
: am a 31 yo Blind white male in the central
: Phoenix area. I am looking
: for a male\female age 25-35 to share experiences
: with. I am disease free
: and drug free. The one problem I have is: I am
: allergic to latex (rash,
: etc.). Seeking for similar interests like hiking,
: camping, fine dining,
: movies, sci-fi conventions, and the like.=20 I am
: somewhat shy and still
: very unskilled at any kind of sexual =routine.
: Because my blindness
: prevents me from getting out and =socializing on a
: regular basis, I am
: seeking here. I hope to hear from a =nice female
: soon.
: I can be e-mailed by relying via lookup on QRZ.com
:
: Thanks.
: Eric.
:
: --
: Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
: More than 140,000 groups
: Unlimited download
: http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4387. Re: White Male Looking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-03 18:03:21 UTC
From: Phxbrd <lesliesethhammond@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3sv1paFq0jvqU1@individual.net>
Source record: 11449
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,az.general

We've had many posts here from a Phoenix man who's legally blind. I haven't seen his posts for a year or more. He spoke up a lot when we fought over light rail....

"k" <spam@uce.gov> wrote in message
news:kcsaf.3568$Jo3.800@tornado.socal.rr.com...
> If he's blind, how the hell did he get on the computer/internet! In
> fact, how the hell is he going to read any replies.. har...
>
> He's allergic to latex, which is telling all the fags he doesn't like to
> use a rubber! Sheeze!
>
> K
>
> "N7ZZT - Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
> news:io8km11egbfu7narquredumb05oj209pbn@4ax.com...
> : am a 31 yo Blind white male in the central
> : Phoenix area. I am looking
> : for a male\female age 25-35 to share experiences
> : with. I am disease free
> : and drug free. The one problem I have is: I am
> : allergic to latex (rash,
> : etc.). Seeking for similar interests like hiking,
> : camping, fine dining,
> : movies, sci-fi conventions, and the like.=20 I am
> : somewhat shy and still
> : very unskilled at any kind of sexual =routine.
> : Because my blindness
> : prevents me from getting out and =socializing on a
> : regular basis, I am
> : seeking here. I hope to hear from a =nice female
> : soon.
> : I can be e-mailed by relying via lookup on QRZ.com
> :
> : Thanks.
> : Eric.
> :
> : _____
> : Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> : More than 140,000 groups
> : Unlimited download
> : http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
>

>

4388. Re: White Male Looking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-03 19:47:36 UTC
From: Jesse <1@2.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9703967D3F123ewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 11450
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,az.general

"k" <spam@uce.gov> wrote in news:kcsaf.3568$Jo3.800@tornado.socal.rr.com:

> If he's blind, how the hell did he get on the computer/internet! In
> fact, how the hell is he going to read any replies.. har...
>
> He's allergic to latex, which is telling all the fags he doesn't like to
> use a rubber! Sheeze!
>
> K
>
There are many programs designed for the visually challenged which can write spoken words in any text app, and will in fact play back words of highlighted text - So it is possible.
I have some of these apps myself, not because I can't see but because I think they are cool.
Having said that, yeah I think the 'latex' comment gives this joker away as a troll, though a rather subtle one.

4389. Re: White Male Looking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-03 23:08:04 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <6Kwaf.15412$IB.10201@dukeread11>
Source record: 11351
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,az.general

Bob the Printer wrote:

> Have I suddenly stumbled upon the lonely hearts newsgroup??
nope. just an asswipe that got put in his place (and now he's calling
attention to that fact).

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.

4390. Re: White Male Looking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-04 00:17:10 UTC
From: Ray Gun <me@hbo.com>
Message-ID: <5Mxaf.13481$gj1.6546@fed1read05>
Source record: 11352
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,az.general

Check out Michelle.

4391. Re: Tracy Fort is the most homosexual thing on Earth !!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-04 03:31:49 UTC
From: m II <None@owl.nest.org>
Message-ID: <FCAaf.102482$ir4.52@edtnps90>
Source record: 11353
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Beerbelly wrote:

> hahahahaha......Can't you come up with your own material?

Tracy Fort's necrophilia and a deceased seventy two year old cancer victim, who happened to be my mother:

The drunkard Tracy Fort has so far posted:

==========
==========
I'm not drunk. I haven't finished my first 12 pak yet.
I'll get there and when I do it's back to the cemetary.

She gives great cancerous BJ's.....thanks Canucky boy!

How far north did the Katrina effect? I saw someone's mom floatin down the mighty Mississippi!

Dug her ass right up! She was too damn ugly to have sex with before she died. The old bag o bones fell apart in my hands! She didn't complain about much either other than the lousy sex she got from those foursomes with you, Mike and her husband.

love dem bones....dem bones....dem bones

rattllin' bones!

squeek...squeek...

Skeleton rides 50 cents a pop! If you can stand the smell....I wonder is she stunk that bad in life?

hahahaha....I'm still laughin' at your sorry ass family! This is funny stuff!

She is very fresh but not that fresh!

hehe...I love dem bony women....rotten to the core.....

um...umm....good!

I love it....did she do it for you too?

Please accept my apology for abusing her. I'm sorry that I did not toss her back into the black depths that she came from. Next time,I will be sure to take care of that. I promise that I will refill the hole with the dirt that came out too. In addition, I will re sod the plot at no additional charge. Let me also apologize for the milky

substance in her left eye socket, that was an unavoidable accident.
please feel free to include this post in your sig file!

You might as weel keep it up there...I love the ole boney bitch!

Dem Bones! Dem Bones! Dem Bones! I loved playing dem Bones!
Dem Bones! Dem Bones! Dem Bones!
I loved playing dem Bones! I ran my fingers uo and down dem bones and
she began to come alive!
I played dem bones like they never been played and she smiled for me all
the day.

Pork and bones....

DON'T HURT CANADA! I'll miss those nights with the ole bony lady!

The most fun I ever had!

Sporting a new moniker (GraveDigger) just for you and mommy...

He knows the inside of his mom's boney butt! hahahaha

hahahahaha......Can't you come up with your own material?

hahahaha.....when you got thru lickin I was stickin.....

M/Sgt. Tracy Fort 116 AMX
250 Martin Luther King Blvd.
Robins ANG,
GA. 31098-2009

beerbarrel@cox.net

http://www.qrz.com/detail/KE4ODD

==========
==========

This .sig file will continue until Mister Fort posts a sincere apology
to all cancer victims and their families. His refusal to do so says more
about his attitude and upbringing than any of his thoughtless and
hurtful postings in rec.radio.shortwave. He seems to be very proud of
the fact that he can buy expensive radios using the refunded deposit
money from his empty beer containers and has frequently posted the fact.

It appears he is well on his way to purchasing his next radio. Anyone
caring about his well-being should mention the good work of Alcoholics
Anonymous to him. They may be able to help him restore his self respect
and dignity so he stops posting this drunken garbage on the internet.

4392. Help Finding Radio Programming Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-04 03:33:10 UTC
From: XeroVariX <xerovarix@yahoo.ca>
Message-ID: <1131075190.491624.178610@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11354

Help Finding Radio Programming Software

Hello,

I'm currently looking for software used to program radios through a computer... this software, I'm told is called MSV and is made by General Electric...

Can anyone validate this and tell me where I can get it... or is there a better alternative to it?

Thanks

XeroVariX

4393. Re: Help Finding Radio Programming Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-04 04:37:21 UTC
From: Bob the Printer <bdolson@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <B42dnbTwwa5DePfeRVn-vg@comcast.com>
Source record: 11355

"XeroVariX" <xerovarix@yahoo.ca> wrote in message
news:1131075190.491624.178610@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Help Finding Radio Programming Software
>
>
> Hello,
>
> I'm currently looking for software used to program radios through a
> computer... this software, I'm told is called MSV and is made by
> General Electric...
>
> Can anyone validate this and tell me where I can get it... or is there
> a better alternative to it?

It would probably help your cause if you told us which radio you wanted to program, as different scanners require different software (due to the manufacturers using sometimes completely different protocols, etc, even between different models).

Or isn't it a scanner that you want to program?

You must be much more specific in your request than 'help finding radio programming software'..

4394. Re: White Male Looking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-04 08:42:51 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <V8Faf.17893$IB.5896@dukeread11>
Source record: 11356

bonified forge...

guess I managed to get under *someones* skin!

N7ZZT - Eric Oyen wrote:

> am a 31 yo Blind white male in the central
> Phoenix area. I am looking
<snip>
> _____________________________________
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.

4395. AOR AR8000 Service Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-04 23:08:50 UTC
From: Ulf Wetzker <AR8000@mailinator.com>
Message-ID: <dkgpp1$6oo$1@newsserver.rz.tu-ilmenau.de>
Source record: 11357

Hello,
I'm looking for the AOR AR8000 service manual to get some infomation in
order to change the internal filter to 30kHz.
I whant to try to receive NOAA satellite transmissions with my AR8000
but the problem is the hight bandwith of the signal.

ulf

4396. seems dead on here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-05 04:55:01 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <cVWaf.18113$IB.15041@dukeread11>
Source record: 11358

wow,
not a single post for 24 hours.

where's all the scanner chat?

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.

4397. FS: ICOM IC-R2 Wide-Band Mini-Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-05 07:19:02 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <uuWdnXSWp697wfHenZ2dnUVZ_s6dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 11359

FOR SALE:

ICOM IC-R2 Wide-Band Mini-Scanner.
Includes:
R2 Scanner
Rechargeable Batteries (un-opened)
AC Charger
Belt-Clip
Manual, and Warranty Card
Note: Original 7" antenna replaced with Comet SMA501 Mini-Antenna (a $25.00 option!)
Also included, original Icom Software, plus R.T. Systems software, plus Icom RS232 Programming Cable.

Asking: $80.00 (includes Priority Mail shipping, with insurance) PayPal Accepted!

Everything exactly like-new!

4398. FA - Icom IC-R20

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-05 14:52:30 UTC
From: John Schneider <thecop@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <PG3bf.44$rc7.29@fe12.lga>
Source record: 11360

Less than 7 hours:

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5824649668

4399. Walmart Cobra Microtalk FRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-05 14:53:37 UTC
From: Scott <nitt@nitt.com>
Message-ID: <rmhpm11m658ropnquchlm6a69b51p2295j@4ax.com>
Source record: 11361

I noticed today that my local walmart uses these for communication.
What freq range would I scan with my scanner to hear them?

4400. FCC Frequency search

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-05 15:13:52 UTC
From: Scott <nitt@nitt.com>
Message-ID: <ouipm1tebdhu55tdc1b5ufcoms8p62ci0a@4ax.com>
Source record: 11362

Awhile back I came across a site where I could enter a frequency and it would give me a listing of frequencies and who those freq's belonged to. Anyone know a good site that I can use to find out this information?

4401. Re: Walmart Cobra Microtalk FRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-05 15:32:27 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <TtydnbwzrJNHTfHeRVn-vA@comcast.com>
Source record: 11363

Click here: http://www.csgnetwork.com/frsfreqtable.html

Bill Crocker

"Scott" <nitt@nitt.com> wrote in message
news:rmhpm11m658ropnquchlm6a69b51p2295j@4ax.com...
>I noticed today that my local walmart uses these for communication.
> What freq range would I scan with my scanner to hear them?

4402. [SOLD] Re: ICOM IC-R2 Wide-Band Mini-Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-05 15:33:45 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Ttydnb8zrJNHTfHeRVn-vA@comcast.com>
Source record: 11364

R2 has been sold.

Bill

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:uuWdnXSWp697wfHenZ2dnUVZ_s6dnZ2d@comcast.com...
> FOR SALE:
>
> ICOM IC-R2 Wide-Band Mini-Scanner.
> Includes:
> R2 Scanner
> Rechargeable Batteries (un-opened)
> AC Charger
> Belt-Clip
> Manual, and Warranty Card
> Note: Original 7" antenna replaced with Comet SMA501 Mini-Antenna (a
> $25.00 option!)
> Also included, original Icom Software, plus R.T. Systems software, plus
> Icom RS232 Programming Cable.
>
> Asking: $80.00 (includes Priority Mail shipping, with insurance) PayPal
> Accepted!
>
> Everything exactly like-new!
>

4403. Re: FCC Frequency search

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-05 15:48:43 UTC
From: sideshowhaas <sideshowhaas@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <1131205723.061007.215040@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11365

http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/UlsSearch/searchGeographic.jsp;JSESSIONID_ULSSEARCH=DsTzNhciybSArDZm4un2lfKSTcu1wOq32uwkaE0OlwFK7YgPgAVh!1168414282!915250670

or

http://tinyurl.com/bxbyz

4404. Re: Walmart Cobra Microtalk FRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-05 19:57:22 UTC
From: Scott <nitt@nitt.com>
Message-ID: <nh3qm19dqss9o1sm1is691s13ccs4uvq6b@4ax.com>
Source record: 11366

On Sat, 5 Nov 2005 10:32:27 -0500, "Bill Crocker"
<wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote:

Thanks. One question? I've been scanning through those freq's and
never get nothing. Wal-Mart is only about 5 miles from me. From
reading these Cobra's can reach up to about 10 miles. Any idea why I'm
not hearing anything. Is there a way that Wal-Mart could possibly have
them blocked from being heard?

>Click here: http://www.csgnetwork.com/frsfreqtable.html
>
>Bill Crocker
>
>
>
>"Scott" <nitt@nitt.com> wrote in message
>news:rmhpm11m658ropnquchlm6a69b51p2295j@4ax.com...
>>I noticed today that my local walmart uses these for communication.
>> What freq range would I scan with my scanner to hear them?
>

4405. Re: Walmart Cobra Microtalk FRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-05 22:17:21 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131229041.268433.318440@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11367

Scott wrote:
> On Sat, 5 Nov 2005 10:32:27 -0500, "Bill Crocker"
> <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote:
>
> Thanks. One question? I've been scanning through those freq's and
> never get nothing. Wal-Mart is only about 5 miles from me. From
> reading these Cobra's can reach up to about 10 miles. Any idea why I'm
> not hearing anything. Is there a way that Wal-Mart could possibly have
> them blocked from being heard?

a lot fo metal in the structure of most Walmarts (and a lot of other
stores) saoks up the signals
>
> >Click here: http://www.csgnetwork.com/frsfreqtable.html
> >
> >Bill Crocker
> >
> >
> >
> >"Scott" <nitt@nitt.com> wrote in message
> >news:rmhpm11m658ropnquchlm6a69b51p2295j@4ax.com...
> >>I noticed today that my local walmart uses these for communication.
> >> What freq range would I scan with my scanner to hear them?
> >

4406. Re: Walmart Cobra Microtalk FRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-05 23:53:10 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <zLCdnUEE2s972PDenZ2dnUVZ_t2dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 11368

In the real world, FRS does about two miles. The distance claims on FRS radios is highly exaggerated! Yes, there are circumstances they could do ten miles, maybe over water, or if you both were standing on high hills in the desert. Added to that, scanners are not optimized for those frequencies, so it may be that an inexpensive FRS radio, will do better receiving another FRS radio, than the typical scanner can.

Bill Crocker

"Scott" <nitt@nitt.com> wrote in message
news:nh3qm19dqss9o1sm1is691s13ccs4uvq6b@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 5 Nov 2005 10:32:27 -0500, "Bill Crocker"
> <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote:
>
> Thanks. One question? I've been scanning through those freq's and
> never get nothing. Wal-Mart is only about 5 miles from me. From
> reading these Cobra's can reach up to about 10 miles. Any idea why I'm
> not hearing anything. Is there a way that Wal-Mart could possibly have
> them blocked from being heard?
>
>>Click here: http://www.csgnetwork.com/frsfreqtable.html
>>
>>Bill Crocker
>>
>>
>>
>>"Scott" <nitt@nitt.com> wrote in message
>>news:rmhpm11m658ropnquchlm6a69b51p2295j@4ax.com...
>>>I noticed today that my local walmart uses these for communication.
>>> What freq range would I scan with my scanner to hear them?
>>
>

4407. Re: Walmart Cobra Microtalk FRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-06 00:10:56 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <8diqm1p29tn1k76u8bjbd6lj6pnmhrrnla@4ax.com>
Source record: 11369

On Sat, 05 Nov 2005 14:57:22 -0500, Scott <nitt@nitt.com> said in
rec.radio.scanner:

>Thanks. One question? I've been scanning through those freq's and
>never get nothing. Wal-Mart is only about 5 miles from me. From
>reading these Cobra's can reach up to about 10 miles. Any idea why I'm
>not hearing anything.

Ten miles is hype. Even 5 miles would be hype. Maybe a little more
than 1 mile, but probably not much more.

> Is there a way that Wal-Mart could possibly have
>them blocked from being heard?

No. Bring a scanner into the store and you'll see what frequency
they're on. Then you can see how fast the signal falls into the noise
as you drive down the road.

4408. Re: Walmart Cobra Microtalk FRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-06 00:39:55 UTC
From: TNT <tnt@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <O5ednZi1kdh8zfDeRVn-tA@comcast.com>
Source record: 11370

Also notice that FRS uses a smaller deviation than
other services. Their audio will be lower

.

"Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message
news:8diqm1p29tn1k76u8bjbd6lj6pnmhrrnla@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 05 Nov 2005 14:57:22 -0500, Scott <nitt@nitt.com> said in
> rec.radio.scanner:
>
>>Thanks. One question? I've been scanning through those freq's and
>>never get nothing. Wal-Mart is only about 5 miles from me. From
>>reading these Cobra's can reach up to about 10 miles. Any idea why I'm
>>not hearing anything.
>
> Ten miles is hype. Even 5 miles would be hype. Maybe a little more
> than 1 mile, but probably not much more.
>
>> Is there a way that Wal-Mart could possibly have
>>them blocked from being heard?
>
> No. Bring a scanner into the store and you'll see what frequency
> they're on. Then you can see how fast the signal falls into the noise
> as you drive down the road.

4409. Re: Walmart Cobra Microtalk FRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-06 01:07:11 UTC
From: hprecdocks <hprecdocks@attwireless.com>
Message-ID: <11mqlr4s2i4n603@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 11371

When FRS radios boast 5 and 10 mile range, I vision the manufacturer's test taking place on a perfectly flat open desert. One radio transmits and the other barely breaks squelch for a microsecond. "That proves it... put 10 mile range all over the packaging!" tout the execs.

No 400 MHz radio with a stub antenna and a tenth of a watt of power or less is going to transmit well over 5 or 10 miles consistently... let alone a mile or two.

"Scott" <nitt@nitt.com> wrote in message news:nh3qm19dqss9o1sm1is691s13ccs4uvq6b@4ax.com...

> Thanks. One question? I've been scanning through those freq's and
> never get nothing. Wal-Mart is only about 5 miles from me. From
> reading these Cobra's can reach up to about 10 miles.

4410. Great Liberty Net 10:00 speaks on FRANCE !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-06 01:22:47 UTC
From: "Rev. Cleetus Hogjowles" <yosementesamhf@buzzblogs.us>
Message-ID: <709a2$436d5aee$d1cc50d4$28418@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 11372
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Yes folks! be sure to toon into the Great Liberty
Net this evening as the topic of discussion will be
the destruction of France by youthfull Islamic immigrants.

Tonight's installment should be even better that The Hal Turner Show.....

BE THERE 10:00 PM Est 3.950 mHz LSB (+/- 10.0 KC)

Background info: http://apnews.myway.com/article/20051105/D8DMKAVGC.html

4411. Need help with Pro-97

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-06 01:23:20 UTC
From: Perry <biffmalibu@rocketmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9705B0DF7DE8Chificom@216.196.97.131>
Source record: 11373

Hey all - just bought a Pro-97 and have a little problem.

I entered the 20 or so freqs. for the trunking system in my area (Motorola II). I also entered a bunch (like 20 or 30) of talkgroup IDs. The scanner is in open mode and nothing is locked out.

What happens is this - when it scans, it won't display the talkgroup IDs unless I change the mode from FM to MO (for Motorola) for each channel. If I do that, it ceases to stop on an active frequency when scanning. If I set each channel back to FM mode, it scans fine as before (but doesn't display the talkgroup ID). What am I doing wrong? According to the manual, you're supposed to enter the trunk mode for each channel but it doesn't seem to work properly if I do that.

Thanks.
Perry

4412. Re: Walmart Cobra Microtalk FRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-06 02:29:34 UTC
From: HotShot <MyShotIsHot@HotShotShootsSpam.Com>
Message-ID: <gUdbf.20585$LF3.1145743@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 11374

too many computer signals coming in to them, well with all gibberish
coming across the wireless networks that they have, showing them how
many $$$$$$$$$$$$$$$'s are ringing through there ears. there's too much rf.

my scanner doesn't work well in the local one here either. can't hear
nothing from the outside.

the other thing i always wondered was how they can sell 8 different
kinds of frs/gmrs radios that all advertised different distances. all
operate at the same frequencies and output yet they all have different
prices.

Bill Crocker wrote:
> In the real world, FRS does about two miles. The distance claims on FRS
> radios is highly exaggerated! Yes, there are circumstances they could do
> ten miles, maybe over water, or if you both were standing on high hills in
> the desert. Added to that, scanners are not optimized for those
> frequencies, so it may be that an inexpensive FRS radio, will do better
> receiving another FRS radio, than the typical scanner can.
>
> Bill Crocker
>

4413. Re: Walmart Cobra Microtalk FRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-06 02:51:17 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <mpadncj7kN858vDenZ2dnUVZ_s2dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 11375

Actually, you're getting FRS, and GMRS mixed up. FRS is limited to 1/2 watt, and they do not require a license. They usually do not make claims of over two miles, and under very rare circumstances, some have actually reached two miles. GMRS, or FRS/GMRS combination radios will have higher wattage on the GMRS channels. Sometimes as high as five watts (ten times the power of an FRS radio). These are the radios making claims of five, seven, ten, and even 12 miles, but again, it doesn't happen in the real world. Using a GMRS radio requires a license from the FCC. It cost about $80.00, and is good for five years.

Some frequencies are shared by FRS, and GMRS, but not all. Check here:

http://www.ba-marc.org/writeups/gmrs-frs-freq.htm

Bill Crocker

"HotShot" <MyShotIsHot@HotShotShootsSpam.Com> wrote in message news:gUdbf.20585$LF3.1145743@news20.bellglobal.com...
> too many computer signals coming in to them, well with all gibberish
> coming across the wireless networks that they have, showing them how many
> $$$$$$$$$$$$$$$'s are ringing through there ears. there's too much rf.
>
> my scanner doesn't work well in the local one here either. can't hear
> nothing from the outside.
>
> the other thing i always wondered was how they can sell 8 different kinds
> of frs/gmrs radios that all advertised different distances. all operate at
> the same frequencies and output yet they all have different prices.
>
>
> Bill Crocker wrote:
>> In the real world, FRS does about two miles. The distance claims on FRS
>> radios is highly exaggerated! Yes, there are circumstances they could do
>> ten miles, maybe over water, or if you both were standing on high hills
>> in the desert. Added to that, scanners are not optimized for those
>> frequencies, so it may be that an inexpensive FRS radio, will do better
>> receiving another FRS radio, than the typical scanner can.
>>
>> Bill Crocker
>>

4414. Re: Walmart Cobra Microtalk FRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-06 03:37:32 UTC
From: John <jlwsecure-usenet4@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9705E62CD17FBgmrsid@207.115.63.158>
Source record: 11376

Scott <nitt@nitt.com> wrote in news:nh3qm19dqss9o1sm1is691s13ccs4uvq6b@
4ax.com:

> On Sat, 5 Nov 2005 10:32:27 -0500, "Bill Crocker"
><wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote:
>
> Thanks. One question? I've been scanning through those freq's and
> never get nothing. Wal-Mart is only about 5 miles from me. From
> reading these Cobra's can reach up to about 10 miles. Any idea why I'm
> not hearing anything. Is there a way that Wal-Mart could possibly have
> them blocked from being heard?
>
Those radios have a .75 mile range on the average. That 10 mile range crap
is just that, crap. I have NEVER heard any FRS radio go more than a couple
miles.

--
John L. Wilkerson Jr.

GMRS Consumer information website:
http://www.geocities.com/gmrspage/

4415. Re: Walmart Cobra Microtalk FRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-06 03:47:00 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <p3vqm1tjq197d3ru4gkun37b42v5e82nph@4ax.com>
Source record: 11377

On Sun, 06 Nov 2005 03:37:32 GMT, John <jlwsecure-usenet4@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>Scott <nitt@nitt.com> wrote in news:nh3qm19dqss9o1sm1is691s13ccs4uvq6b@
>4ax.com:
>
>> On Sat, 5 Nov 2005 10:32:27 -0500, "Bill Crocker"
>><wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote:
>>
>> Thanks. One question? I've been scanning through those freq's and
>> never get nothing. Wal-Mart is only about 5 miles from me. From
>> reading these Cobra's can reach up to about 10 miles. Any idea why I'm
>> not hearing anything. Is there a way that Wal-Mart could possibly have
>> them blocked from being heard?
>>
>Those radios have a .75 mile range on the average. That 10 mile range crap
>is just that, crap. I have NEVER heard any FRS radio go more than a couple
>miles.

mostly a matteroft too many users on the freq

all identcal 440 band units can't operate over 20 miles in the right
condictions

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4416. Re: Need help with Pro-97

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-06 03:49:47 UTC
From: Perry <biff@malibu.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9705C9B39398Fhificom@216.196.97.131>
Source record: 11378

ebgujm <ebgujm@gmail.com > wrote in news:dkjrvd015jn@news4.newsguy.com:

> On Sat, 05 Nov 2005 19:23:20 -0600, Perry <biffmalibu@rocketmail.com>
> wrote:
>
>>Hey all - just bought a Pro-97 and have a little problem.
>>
>>I entered the 20 or so freqs. for the trunking system in my area
>>(Motorola II). I also entered a bunch (like 20 or 30) of talkgroup
>>IDs. The scanner is in open mode and nothing is locked out.
>>
>>What happens is this - when it scans, it won't display the talkgroup
>>IDs unless I change the mode from FM to MO (for Motorola) for each
>>channel. If I do that, it ceases to stop on an active frequency when
>>scanning. If I set each channel back to FM mode, it scans fine as
>>before (but doesn't display the talkgroup ID). What am I doing wrong?
>>According to the manual, you're supposed to enter the trunk mode for
>>each channel but it doesn't seem to work properly if I do that.
>>
>>Thanks.
>>Perry
>
> You need to set the *bank* and the channels to Motorola Type II
> trunking.
>
>

Thanks, I figured it out. I had the control channels locked-out. Don't ask.

4417. Re: 800/900 MHz Motorola Band Plan Channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-06 04:37:48 UTC
From: Gerry <mitch@frii.com>
Message-ID: <11mr2504ill9m2e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 11379

start here

http://www.radioreference.com/

4418. Re: Walmart Cobra Microtalk FRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-06 05:25:57 UTC
From: Bob the Printer <bdolson@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <wtKdnQyyzep7DvDenZ2dnUVZ_t6dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 11380

"Scott" <nitt@nitt.com> wrote in message
news:nh3qm19dqss9o1sm1is691s13ccs4uvq6b@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 5 Nov 2005 10:32:27 -0500, "Bill Crocker"
> <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote:
>
> Thanks. One question? I've been scanning through those freq's and
> never get nothing. Wal-Mart is only about 5 miles from me. From
> reading these Cobra's can reach up to about 10 miles. Any idea why I'm
> not hearing anything. Is there a way that Wal-Mart could possibly have
> them blocked from being heard?

'never get nothing' ???? Better learn how to write in English!

Anyhow, maybe they're using GMRS channels???

Or one or some of the other frequencies available to commercial customers.

4419. Re: Improving airband radio reception

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-06 06:18:23 UTC
From: "Mike M." <notme@invalid.email.net>
Message-ID: <vs7rm1hl633tk0u9jsa6bq4hvkkaujtng8@4ax.com>
Source record: 11381

On 24 Oct 2005 04:18:49 -0700, kevin@unseen.org wrotF:

>Hi
>
>I liked the idea of the 'broom stick and coat hanger' antenna, but have
>strugled on the practicalties. I went through the design here:
>
>http://k7mem.150m.com/Electronic_Notebook/antennas/yagi_vhf.html
>
>and ended up with a very large (about 48" square) antenna that still
>complained it was to short and the elements too thin!
>
>It also doesn't explain the driven element connection details.
>
>Help please :)
>
>Cheers
>Kev
Take a look here.
<http://k7mem.150m.com/Electronic_Notebook/antennas/yagi_vhf_feed.html#Page_Top>

4420. Re: Great Liberty Net 10:00 speaks on FRANCE !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-06 10:56:41 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dkknh0$4uk$1@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 11382
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,uk.rec.radio.cb

"Rev. Cleetus Hogjowles" <yosementesamhf@buzzblogs.us> wrote in message
news:709a2$436d5aee$d1cc50d4$28418@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> Yes folks! be sure to toon into the Great Liberty
> Net this evening as the topic of discussion will be
> the destruction of France by youthfull Islamic immigrants.
>
> Tonight's installment should be even better that The Hal Turner Show.....
>
> BE THERE 10:00 PM Est 3.950 mHz LSB (+/- 10.0 KC)
>
> Background info: http://apnews.myway.com/article/20051105/D8DMKAVGC.html

The situation in France is absolutely crazy... there is absolutely no need
for it. They do it because they think (and often do) that they can get away
with it. Poor Black /Muslim people facing poverty and live in squalor and so
on... never mind the fact they created their own squalor in the first place.
Why the hell dont they just get on with life as most other cultures try to.
Why is it any excuse to get out there and riot... even little kids were out
there setting fire to cars.. where the hell are these kids parents....
(probably tourching the cars in the next street)
But... anyone drawing attention to the fact that the majority of the poor
black/muslim race in ANY country are hellbent on riots at the drop of the
hat and acting like animals will be instantly branded as rascist whether
their points are FACT or not.... liberal limp wristed liberals will be the
cause of many many more riots in the future as they accuse all the
black/muslim peoples problems on rascism rather than the point that many are
lazy scumbags who expect the world to owe them a living... and do nothing
for themselves to lift thereselves and their familys out of the gutter....
which is where they put themselves as a group in the first place.... as
someone in here once said, TBB.... its proven time after time....

4421. Re: Great Liberty Net 10:00 speaks on FRANCE !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-06 12:22:30 UTC
From: Bob Downonit <Down@gag.net>
Message-ID: <2005110607223013512%Down@gagnet>
Source record: 11383
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On 2005-11-05 20:22:47 -0500, "Rev. Cleetus Hogjowles"
<yosementesamhf@buzzblogs.us> said:

> Yes folks! be sure to toon into the Great Liberty
> Net this evening as the topic of discussion will be
> the destruction of France by youthfull Islamic immigrants.
>
> Tonight's installment should be even better that The Hal Turner Show.....
>
> BE THERE 10:00 PM Est 3.950 mHz LSB (+/- 10.0 KC)
>

No one cares.

--
Chris: "Dad, what's a blowhole for?"
Peter: "I'll tell you what it's NOT for and then you'll know why I can
never go back to Sea World."

4422. Re: PerCon WTF?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-06 15:19:23 UTC
From: Michael Harpe <mharpe79msdms@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <20051106101924752 49%mharpe79msdms@yahoocom>
Source record: 11384

> > Who else offers a freq database?
> >

You can go straight to the FCC and get the same thing you used to get from PerCon and much more. PerCon stopped doing their CDs after the FCC put this site up. There's no need for them if you're just a scanner hobbyist looking for frequencies.

If you're knowledgeable about databases, you can even download the entire raw database into your own database and build your own queries to do anything you want. They even send out daily updates so you can keep your database synchronized with the FCC.

It's funny to think that back in the 1980s I made the trip to Chicago to look at the microfiche.

Check out:

http://wireless.fcc.gov/database_info.html

It's all there...

Mike Harpe, N4PLE

4423. Re: PerCon WTF?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-06 15:23:57 UTC
From: Michael Harpe <mike@ple-technology.com>
Message-ID: <2005110610235950073%mike@pletechnologycom>
Source record: 11385

On 2005-09-10 09:16:47 -0400, kla1899 <spam@ftc.gov> said:

> Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in
> news:o9e4i1phui31pedv2tdbvg5c4gdp20d19s@4ax.com:
> > On Fri, 09 Sep 2005 16:53:03 GMT, kla1899 <spam@ftc.gov> said in
> > alt.radio.scanner:
> > >>It's free?? where can I get it for free? please tell us where we can
> >>all get that CD-ROM with all 50 US States plus all US territories on
> >>it for free!!
> > > The latest info from the FCC as of 6 months ago? From the web site.
> > >> And please don't say download it, it's a 730Meg .dbf file that is
>>not offered for download anywhere. So please tell us all where to get
> >>this database for free.
> > > Stop spamming the ng.
>
> So you don't have any free database download is that what your ignorant
post is saying?? At least 3 people asked you to post this free download
site, I mean you say it's a free download so whats the URL?? where is this
free download site?? here I will start you off, http://www. ???????????
>
> -- kla1899
>
>
>

Herz thu free cite fer yew meth types...

http://wireless.fcc.gov/database_info.html

4424. Re: PerCon WTF?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-06 15:35:55 UTC
From: Joe <joe@joe.com>
Message-ID: <2005110610355643658%joe@joecom>
Source record: 11386

Well cuz here is the link why don't you go back to smoking your crack and looking for more Sudafeds to make a new batch of meth to further screw up yer brains with muck that don't mean nothing to any one you Jerry Springer lookin' fourth grade graduate moron idiot bozo turd making prick who ain't got to common sense and wets himself all the time why don't you just say yew don't no nuffin bout this and go back to smoking the crack that couldn't sell to schoolkids because you couldn't leave the trailer because judge judy wuz on and you still had beer left so that masde the day pointless and then you passed out after vomiting all over the floor and falling in it then it was 9 oclock and all the schoolkids were home so you got on the internet and foind ourt that yer scam was over because we all no how tew get the channels to hear the cops so yew can sguard your meth lab which is out on yer uncles land and has a dog so anyone who comes there wilsl get bit and therefor yew are much smarter than that guy down the street who rapes young gerls and takes your money when he sees you and makes yyou play with him and it makes you feel like a freeak and you stay home and listen to the cops because they are cominng to get yerw bnecause you know stuff like the internnet and how the guverment wants to come and smother you becuz you no what happened in roswell and about how the guvermunt has helicopters and they watch you all the time even while you watch Jerry and smoke yourr crakc oh yeah were back to that i know about you and you are always high and I take all yer money and spend it on girls and beer and have all the fun selling your bogus fcc discs at the flea market down the street and then I go buy stuff and my neet car with the spinnin rims like a pimp and you stay home like the stupid lil bitch slut that you are

http://wireless.fcc.gov/database_info.html

4425. Re: Walmart Cobra Microtalk FRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-06 15:46:27 UTC
From: Scott <nitt@nitt.com>
Message-ID: <489sm19fe7r4t2b6i3guopjpv32vk3cmqe@4ax.com>
Source record: 11387

On Sun, 6 Nov 2005 00:25:57 -0500, "Bob the Printer"
<bdolson@comcast.net><<<<<<<<<<<FUCK YOU>>>>>>>>> wrote:

I knew out of all the posts there would be one asshole. It looks like
you took the prize Mother Fucker. Thanks to everyone else.

>
>'never get nothing' ???? Better learn how to write in English!
>
>Anyhow, maybe they're using GMRS channels???
>
>Or one or some of the other frequencies available to commercial customers.
>
>

4426. Re: Walmart Cobra Microtalk FRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-06 17:12:55 UTC
From: Jeff <oldog@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <rQqbf.519068$x96.310239@attbi_s72>
Source record: 11388

"Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message
news:8diqm1p29tn1k76u8bjbd6lj6pnmhrrnla@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 05 Nov 2005 14:57:22 -0500, Scott <nitt@nitt.com> said in
> rec.radio.scanner:
>
> >Thanks. One question? I've been scanning through those freq's and
> >never get nothing. Wal-Mart is only about 5 miles from me. From
> >reading these Cobra's can reach up to about 10 miles. Any idea why I'm
> >not hearing anything.
>
> Ten miles is hype. Even 5 miles would be hype. Maybe a little more
> than 1 mile, but probably not much more.
>

But Al you know how they test these range stats. 2 canoes
on a large lake using the anchor chains as an rf grd. ;-)

J

4427. Mode For 162.325 C.G. ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-06 17:59:56 UTC
From: Robert11 <rgsros@notme.com>
Message-ID: <dP-dndaRtuME2fPenZ2dnUVZ_sadnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 11389

Hello:

New at this -

162.325 supposedly being used by Point Judith C.G. :

Would this be USB mode, or... ?

Thanks,
B.

4428. Re: Walmart Cobra Microtalk FRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-06 18:22:41 UTC
From: Me <Me@shadow.orgs>
Message-ID: <Me-4E8E51.09231806112005@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 11390

In article <rQqbf.519068$x96.310239@attbi_s72>,
"Jeff" <oldog@(nospam)mchsi.com> wrote:

> "Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message
> news:8diqm1p29tn1k76u8bjbd6lj6pnmhrrnla@4ax.com...
> > On Sat, 05 Nov 2005 14:57:22 -0500, Scott <nitt@nitt.com> said in
> > rec.radio.scanner:
> >
> > >Thanks. One question? I've been scanning through those freq's and
> > >never get nothing. Wal-Mart is only about 5 miles from me. From
> > >reading these Cobra's can reach up to about 10 miles. Any idea why I'm
> > >not hearing anything.
> >
> > Ten miles is hype. Even 5 miles would be hype. Maybe a little more
> > than 1 mile, but probably not much more.
> >
> ----------
>
> But Al you know how they test these range stats. 2 canoes
> on a large lake using the anchor chains as an rf grd. ;-)
>
>
>
> J
>
>

Hey Dufus, just how much RF Ground do you think is required at 450 Mhz,
considering that 1/4 Lambda is about 4 inches??????

The one thing you fellows have overlooked is that most of these things
at WallyWorld are being used INSIDE a Concrete Rebared Structure with
few holes except the front doors.......

Me

4429. Re: Mode For 162.325 C.G. ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-06 18:25:39 UTC
From: Me <Me@shadow.orgs>
Message-ID: <Me-E73B76.09261606112005@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 11391

In article <dP-dndaRtuME2fPenZ2dnUVZ_sadnZ2d@comcast.com>,
"Robert11" <rgsros@notme.com> wrote:

> Hello:
>
> New at this -
>
> 162.325 supposedly being used by Point Judith C.G. :
>
> Would this be USB mode, or... ?
>
> Thanks,
> B.
>
>

Nope, NBFM......

4430. Re: Walmart Cobra Microtalk FRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-06 18:28:16 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <epism1dvl4e9m7sl5vj70ic4aoqbg5sb8r@4ax.com>
Source record: 11392

On Sun, 06 Nov 2005 18:22:41 GMT, Me <Me@shadow.orgs> wrote:

>In article <rQqbf.519068$x96.310239@attbi_s72>,
> "Jeff" <oldog@(nospam)mchsi.com> wrote:
>
>> "Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message
>> news:8diqm1p29tn1k76u8bjbd6lj6pnmhrrnla@4ax.com...
>> > On Sat, 05 Nov 2005 14:57:22 -0500, Scott <nitt@nitt.com> said in
>> > rec.radio.scanner:
>> >
>> > >Thanks. One question? I've been scanning through those freq's and
>> > >never get nothing. Wal-Mart is only about 5 miles from me. From
>> > >reading these Cobra's can reach up to about 10 miles. Any idea why I'm
>> > >not hearing anything.
>> >
>> > Ten miles is hype. Even 5 miles would be hype. Maybe a little more
>> > than 1 mile, but probably not much more.
>> >
>> --
>>
>> But Al you know how they test these range stats. 2 canoes
>> on a large lake using the anchor chains as an rf grd. ;-)
>>
>>
>>
>> J
>>
>>
>
>Hey Dufus, just how much RF Ground do you think is required at 450 Mhz,
>considering that 1/4 Lambda is about 4 inches??????
>
>The one thing you fellows have overlooked is that most of these things
>at WallyWorld are being used INSIDE a Concrete Rebared Structure with
>few holes except the front doors.......

with repsect I HAVE noted that in this thread
>
>
>Me

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download

http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4431. Re: Walmart Cobra Microtalk FRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-06 22:57:10 UTC
From: Jeff <oldog@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <9Tvbf.309004$084.293796@attbi_s22>
Source record: 11393

"Me" <Me@shadow.orgs> wrote in message
news:Me-4E8E51.09231806112005@netnews.worldnet.att.net...
> In article <rQqbf.519068$x96.310239@attbi_s72>,
> "Jeff" <oldog@(nospam)mchsi.com> wrote:
>
> > "Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message
> > news:8diqm1p29tn1k76u8bjbd6lj6pnmhrrnla@4ax.com...
> > > On Sat, 05 Nov 2005 14:57:22 -0500, Scott <nitt@nitt.com> said in
> > > rec.radio.scanner:
> > >
> > > >Thanks. One question? I've been scanning through those freq's and
> > > >never get nothing. Wal-Mart is only about 5 miles from me. From
> > > >reading these Cobra's can reach up to about 10 miles. Any idea why I'm
> > > >not hearing anything.
> > >
> > > Ten miles is hype. Even 5 miles would be hype. Maybe a little more
> > > than 1 mile, but probably not much more.
> > >
> > ----------
> >
> > But Al you know how they test these range stats. 2 canoes
> > on a large lake using the anchor chains as an rf grd. ;-)
> >
> >
> >
> > J
> >
> >
>
> Hey Dufus, just how much RF Ground do you think is required at 450 Mhz,
> considering that 1/4 Lambda is about 4 inches??????
---

it was a joke ASSHOLE

4432. PRO-25

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-07 17:24:46 UTC
From: RA3TMO <ra3tmo@rol.ru>
Message-ID: <dko2k3flr1@news.rol.ru>
Source record: 11394

Hi all !

Send me , please , schematic diagram
to scanner PRO - 25 Radio Shake .

WBR , Sergey

4433. Scanner plays role in capturing mad dogs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-07 21:32:48 UTC
From: zerg90 <zerg90@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131399168.471395.143480@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11395

Northwest Herald, Sun, 06 Nov 2005 11:12 PM PST
Neighborhood scarred after pit-bull rampage
http://www.nwherald.com/MainSection/318431695735120.php
CARY - Neighbors prayed Sunday for two children whose lives might have ended in a vicious pit-bull attack were it not for the quick thinking and action of area residents.

Kane County Chronicle, Sun, 06 Nov 2005 10:35 PM PST
Cary neighborhood scarred by weekend pit bull attack
http://www.kcchronicle.com/SportsSection/5602395074056.php
CARY - Neighbors prayed Sunday for two children whose lives might have ended in a vicious pit-bull attack were it not for the quick thinking and action of residents.
Illinois

4434. Ottawa mystery jamming on 390 MHz stops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-07 23:29:41 UTC
From: Paul Hirose <iszintjv55@earINVALIDthlink.net>
Message-ID: <FrRbf.1066$Xo.514@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 11396

The mysterious interference on 390 MHz that began two weeks ago in the
Ottawa area has disappeared, so garage door openers are working again.
This story at the CBC site implies the signal was a military land
mobile radio system operating from the U.S. embassy:

http://www.cbc.ca/story/canada/national/2005/11/07/garage-signal051107.html

--
Paul Hirose <iszintjv55@earINVALIDthlink.net>
To reply by email remove INVALID

4435. Re: PRO-25

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-07 23:30:09 UTC
From: Iman Nassoul <Nowhere@youdontknow.com>
Message-ID: <071120051730090417%Nowhere@youdontknow.com>
Source record: 11397

Use a monospaced font to view (like Courier)

```
|
\ ----|--------- /
\ | | / <--Radio & Milk (2% for lower
\ I -| / calorie version)
\ /
\ ></
| | <- Blender
| |
| DDDD |
|______ |
```

Blend until smooth! Enjoy!

In article <dko2k3flr1@news.rol.ru>, RA3TMO <ra3tmo@rol.ru> wrote:

> Hi all !
>
> Send me , please , schematic diagram
> to scanner PRO - 25 Radio Shake .
>
> WBR , Sergey
>
>
>
>

4436. FS: BC-780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-08 00:47:30 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <436ff585.15760302@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 11398

Like new in box with all
$225/offer
PayPal OK

4437. Re: Digital Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-08 03:22:04 UTC
From: Steve Stone <spfleck@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.1dd9e3eab34a26609896d6@news.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 11399
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I live near the Delaware River.

I hear P25 comms used by the National Park Service,
Federal Prison in Otisville, NY
A couple of other federal freqs in 400 mhz and 160 mhz bands,
A few town and village PD's

4438. PRO-43 Discriminator Tap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-08 03:26:58 UTC
From: Mike <heymoe1@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <ml60n1pgvhjhijqqnok02pqj550d28osk5@4ax.com>
Source record: 11400

Hi,

I seem to remember an online site that explained, step-by-step, the procedure to tap off the PRO-43 discriminator.

Can anyone help me with this particular process?

Thank You!

Mike

4439. Newsgroup charter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-08 12:12:01 UTC
From: John Schneider <thecop@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <nC0cf.10624$rc7.9363@fe12.lga>
Source record: 11301

Would someone be kind enough to point me in the direction of this newsgroup charter/policy?

TIA

4440. Re: PRO-83 Discriminator Tap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-08 15:07:41 UTC
From: chesucat <me@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <dkqevt$cr6$2@chessie.cirr.com>
Source record: 11302

Mike wrote:
M>Hi,
M>I seem to remember an online site that explained, step-by-step, the
M>procedure to tap off the PRO-83 discriminator.
M>Can anyone help me with this particular process?
M>Thank You!
M>Mike

Yes, the Autumn 2005 issue of 2600 on page 13 has some fun with the
PRO-83 that you are looking for. I think there's also a discriminator
tap modification that was posted on the Internet by Gary Hahn, KB9UKD[1].

"A discriminator is a circuit that voice-band filters the base-band audio
coming out of the FM detector, so that the audio coming out of the speaker
and headphone jack sounds good."

Hope this helps?! This will void your warranty on the scanner.

<chesucat twitched>

[1] http://kb9ukd.com/camera/2004/041126-Pro83/index.html

--
chesucat_at_sdf_dit_lonestar_dot_org_dah!
SDF Public Access UNIX System, Est. 1987 <http://sdf.lonestar.org/>

Emotional glossolalia is pervasive in our society!

4441. Re: PRO-25

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-08 17:03:38 UTC
From: RA3TMO <ra3tmo@rol.ru>
Message-ID: <dkqlod$859$1@news.rol.ru>
Source record: 11303

"Iman Nassoul" <Nowhere@youdontknow.com> >

Use a monospaced font to view (like Courier)
==========

Iman , you big jokester!

But schemes you has no?

Serg

4442. Military Communications Logging - Florida

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-09 05:23:37 UTC
From: "Allan.Stern@gmail.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131513817.320620.174940@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11304

Patrick AFB Area Log - Tues, 8 Nov 2005

LIMA LIMA 03: P-3C, #160999, Jacksonville NAS VP-30 "Pro's Nest" Sqdn.
10:00am: Touch-and-goes at Patrick AFB.
[133.75]
Photos: PB080368 - 0372.

BRONCO 01: OV-10D, US State Dept, Patrick AFB
10:45am: Touch-and-goes at Patrick.
[133.75]
Photos: PB080373, 374.

KING 76: HC-130P #65-0976, Patrick AFB 920RQW 39RQS.
11:00am: PJ drops at Judy DZ; comms with PJ retrieval boat "Gondola Charlie," King Ops, and CG Sta Port Canaveral.
[133.75, 321.0, 251.9, 157.1]

DOS 236: UH-1H (poss #62-12362 N1236P), US State Dept, Patrick AFB.
11:07am: Local training.
[133.75]

OMAHA 85U: PA-42 #42-5501034, CBP (US Customs)
11:17am: Flying covert, witnessing arrest; comms with Hammer.
[282.425]

JOLLY 11: HH-60G Pave Hawk Helo, Patrick AFB 920RQW 301RQS
11:25am: Local ops.
[133.75]

SHARK 71: C-130
11:46am: Comms with Mayport Ops: "Inbound; 10 minutes out."
[308.5; 133.475, 134.0]

COIL 01: F-15, Jacksonville IAP FL-ANG 125FW
11:48am: Transition.
[346.25]

TIGER 01
12:23pm: Air-air w Tiger 02 in W Area; also comms with Sealord.
3:40pm: Comms continue.
[336.5 Air-air; 267.5, 341.1].

JAZZ 88: C-130, #62-1859, New Orleans NAS LA-ANG 159FW 122FS.
12:43pm: Lands Patrick AFB.
[133.75]

CG 6520: HH-65B, Miami CGAS.
12:44pm: Northbound, e/r DAB; comms with CG Sta Ft Pierce, CG Sta Port Canaveral, CG Sector Mayport; 3 POB; 28-24 North, 80-44 West.
[133.75, 157.175, 156.8]

CG 6012?
1:36pm: Passes coordinates.
[157.15]

Traumahawk 1
1:39pm: Comms with Orlando Hospital.
[155.4]

CG __01
1:54pm: Comms with CG Sta Port Canaveral: "Once on scene, Sector is requesting the drift."
[157.15]

Unid
1:50pm: Air-air Refueling in Track AR-202.
[327.6]

BAT 85: Prob KC-135, IA-ANG.
2:30pm: Comms with MacDill Metro; e/r KNBG, 2300z ETA. PIREP from "25 miles WNW of Ft Myers at FL 340." Great reception for that distance from my QTH.
[344.6]

MOON 61: T-1A (BE-40), Columbus AFB 14FTW 48FTS
2:30pm: Lands Patrick AFB.
[133.75; 293.4 air-air]

MOON 62: T-1A (BE-40), Columbus AFB 14FTW 48FTS
2:34pm: Touch-and-goes, then lands Patrick AFB.
[133.75; 293.4 air-air]

MOON 63: T-1A (BE-40), Columbus AFB 14FTW 48FTS
2:40pm: Lands Patrick AFB.
[133.75]

CG Aux Vessel 639
2:42pm, 3:12pm: Position reports to CG Sta Port Canaveral.
[157.175]

VICTORY 95
2:48pm: Area transition.
[346.25]

STAVE 41: F-15C, Eglin AFB 46TW 40FLTS
3:10pm: Lands Cape Canaveral AFS.
[239.275, 393.0, 270.0]

HAWK 81: F/A-18D, Beaufort MCAS VMFA(AW)-533
3:13pm: Working Pinecastle Range targets w HAWKs 82, 83, 84, 85. Comms with "Convoy Cmdr" re LGTRs; "tally on spinner vehicles" and "vehicles

with spinners" (mostlikely the radar vehicles/targets that JSTARS calls
"rotator vehicles."
[357.0, 380.8; 299.3 air-air]

Unid:
3:50pm: Acft in comms with MacDill Tower (across the state from me).
[294.7]

CG 1718: HC-130H, CGAS Clearwater
4:04pm; Area transition; comms with Miami Air.
[345.0]

N21NG: LJ-35A #343, Northrop Grumman JSTARS Facility, Melbourne FL
4:25pm: Lands KMLB; later departs.
[132.65, 118.2; 123.2 Ops]

LIMA LIMA 18: P-3C Jacksonville NAS VP-30 "Pro's Nest" Sqdn
5:10pm: Pattern work at Patrick AFB.
[133.75, 132.65]

CD 172: T-45A Kingsville NAS TW-2
5:45pm: Enters 5 Xray Area.
[267.5 Sealord]

CD 120: T-45A Kingsville NAS TW-2
5:45pm: RTBs Cecil Field.
[267.5 Sealord]

KING 77: C-130H #65-0977, Patrick AFB 920RQW 39RQS
8:38pm: Drops at Judy DZ; comms with PJ retireval boat "Gondola
Charlie" and JOLLY helos.
[133.75, 321.0, 251.9]

JOLLY 21 Flight: HH-60G Helos, Patrick AFB 920RQW 301RQS
8:47pm: Drops at Judy DZ; comms with PJ retireval boat "Gondola Charlie
and KING 77.
[133.75, 321.0, 255.5, 251.9]

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL (28-11N 80-36W) monitoring
Patrick AFB (KCOF) NASA-KSC Shuttle Landing Fac (KX68)
Avon Park Bombing Range (KAGR) Cape Canaveral AFS (KXMR)
JSTARS E-8 Acft Integration Facility, Melbourne IAP (KMLB)
Worldwide Military HF Communications
Life Member: Missile, Space and Range Pioneers.
http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/index.html (My Freqs)
http://hometown.aol.com/scanaddict/index.html (My Equipment)

4443. Pro 97 PL codes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-09 19:08:16 UTC
From: sogs <nospam@aol.com>
Message-ID: <97i4n1hbp31ki0jocifsom0l5mcjbgq884@4ax.com>
Source record: 11305

Does the entry of a PL code in this radio work in both manual & scan mode or just one of them?

4444. K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-09 20:02:32 UTC
From: netmanager2@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131566552.306650.245880@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11306
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

K1MAN BULLETIN - B051109A -

K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA, WALTER CRONKITE

9 November 2005

Walter Cronkite, KB2GSD
51 W. 52 St. Suite 1934
New York, N.Y. 10019

Walter,

I enjoyed the Neil Armstrong piece on "60 Minutes" yesterday. You were sure at the top of your game back then, for sure!

Dan Rather lectured at the University of Maine last week and suggested that journalists should get a "spine transplant." I immediately thought of you, caving in to anonymous hams and or unconfirmed false reports threatening to implicate you as well me with child molesting. Check your sources, Walter.

Perhaps you simply handled the matter wrongly. You did an ID for me when you were trying to promote your new autobiography. OK so far. You attached no limitations to my use of the ID. I used the ID on my world wide short wave program. Many of my detractors wrote to you and, of course, said I was illegal, etc., and that I was a convicted child molester, etc., which you would be associated with. Your secretary called me about the child molesting issue. You then wrote and asked me to stop using the ID. I agreed if you would come on my program and explain your reasons. You declined. I continued using the ID so as not to cave in to the blackmail as you were so willing to do. Then you call ME unethical?! Who is being unethical here?

You hypocrite! You lobby the FCC to revoke my license after John F. Kennedy threatened you and CBS with trying to influence the FCC when you insisted on running his flubbed remarks during a presidential campaign interview with you. You didn't cave in then!

Here is what you should have done with K1MAN. Ignore the idiots or else publish a disclaimer. Yes, you did the ID but no, you do not endorse AARA, or whatever; not publish a letter on the internet that Glenn Baxter is "unethical." Pretty strong word, Walter!

Believe me, if I were illegal doing what W1AW has done for almost 100 years, the FCC and U.S. Government are smart enough and powerful

enough to do something about it other than defame me with their silly and anemic notices of APPARENT liability. Let's go to court, Walter, and I will call you as my first witness! God bless America, the land of the free and home of the brave.

The FCC is a corrupt agency. Big deal. This is America, and we do have some corruption. We also have laws. I am protected by our wonderful laws.

I am devoting a very critical chapter about you in my new philosophical autobiography which is being published on the internet as I write it. See www.K1MAN.com. Your scurrilous letter about me will be all over the internet for many years. You and your employee, Brian Crow, sure do take some real cheap shots! I feel truly sorry for both of you, but I forgive you both.

God bless you and your family. You looked just great on TV last night.

73,

(signed)
Glenn Baxter, K1MAN

P.S. My book covers your many good traits as well as your very bad ones. Let the readers and history be the judge.

Paul wrote to the Philippians, New Living Translation:

1-28. "Don't be intimidated by your enemies. This will be a sign to them that they are going to be destroyed, but that you are going to be saved, even by God himself."

And, in the King James Version:

4-8. "Finally brethren, whatsoever things are true, whatsoever things are honest, whatsoever things are just, whatsoever things are pure, whatsoever things are lovely, whatsoever things are of good report; if there be any virtue, and if there be any praise, think on these things."

cc: Brian Crow, K3VR

AARA Membership

www.K1MAN.com

GLENN BAXTER, K1MAN, AUTOBIOGRAPHY

BELGRADE LAKES INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED RESEARCH

4445. nothing at all

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-09 20:09:40 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131566980.193724.112970@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11307
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

netmanag...@yahoo.com wrote:
cuting the message

lets see if this thread will die before it lives

4446. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-09 23:12:08 UTC
From: "The Hon. Shaliek Haznarob" <shaznarob@shaznaroboilltd.org>
Message-ID: <dktvm1$ed9$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 11308
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Mr Baxter is a very honorable man, a gentleman and a scholar, who is waging a battle with the FCC culture of corruption, a battle that all ham radio should thank him for waging. Mr Baxter is a modern day Ham Radio Hero!

ARRL & FCC - partners in the culture of corruption.

4447. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-10 00:03:36 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <lh35n1tivangpmqf3gn02gmacbfqlhgvrj@4ax.com>
Source record: 11309
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Who cares?

Vinnie S.

4448. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-10 00:56:48 UTC
From: Falky foo <falkyfoo@bonksbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <kVwcf.14626$tV6.2140@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 11310
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

just be thankful that usenet provides an outlet for these nuts before they
start shooting up their towns.

"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
news:lh35n1tivangpmqf3gn02gmacbfqlhgvrj@4ax.com...
>
> Who cares?
>
> Vinnie S.

4449. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-10 01:00:31 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <8s65n1hh5oqst046qvi5r61dv9filt04dh@4ax.com>
Source record: 11311
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 10 Nov 2005 00:56:48 GMT, "Falky foo" <falkyfoo@bonksbcglobal.net>
wrote:

>just be thankful that usenet provides an outlet for these nuts before they
>start shooting up their towns.

I guess. It just seems some people are born to be miserable, all the time.

Vinnie S.

4450. Re: Walmart Cobra Microtalk FRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-10 02:03:52 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <jja5n1pjnhpmkjt0c8qeira4hqa5m04kc3@4ax.com>
Source record: 11312

On Sun, 06 Nov 2005 17:12:55 GMT, "Jeff" <oldog@(nospam)mchsi.com>
said in rec.radio.scanner:

>But Al you know how they test these range stats. 2 canoes
>on a large lake using the anchor chains as an rf grd. ;-)

And especially short "miles".

4451. Re: Need help with Pro-97

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-10 02:07:02 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <cpa5n1ll13br5v7d9nndaj30ltcnpad5o8@4ax.com>
Source record: 11313

On Sat, 05 Nov 2005 21:49:47 -0600, Perry <biff@malibu.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>Thanks, I figured it out. I had the control channels locked-out. Don't ask.

The control channels are the only ones you should program in.

4452. Re: PerCon WTF?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-10 02:12:22 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <qsa5n1tk6qlo0a3smcfsr4glh5728geva2@4ax.com>
Source record: 11314

On Sun, 06 Nov 2005 15:23:57 GMT, Michael Harpe
<mike@ple-technology.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:

> So you don't have any free database download is that what your ignorant
>post is saying?

The database is available at the FCC website (http://www.fcc.gov) for
free download.

That *you* can't load it into some database program and have a
ready-made searchable system is your failing, not mine. I'm perfectly
capable of loading raw data into a few dozen tables and constructing
some SQL queries to find what I want.

>At least 3 people asked you to post this free download
>site

And I did - in this post and previous ones. (BTW, your sock puppets
aren't "people".)

>I mean you say it's a free download so whats the URL?? where is this
>free download site??

Can't find it? Try using your fingers. Or, if you can find it, your
brain.

4453. Re: PRO-83 Discriminator Tap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-10 02:14:54 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <l7b5n15biohskja8juvjsbi7nqdli17ggq@4ax.com>
Source record: 11315

On Tue, 8 Nov 2005 15:07:41 +0000 (UTC), chesucat <me@privacy.net>
said in rec.radio.scanner:

>"A discriminator is a circuit that voice-band filters the base-band audio
>coming out of the FM detector, so that the audio coming out of the speaker
>and headphone jack sounds good."

Whoever you're quoting should first have learned what a discriminator
does. That's not it.

4454. Re: Pro 97 PL codes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-10 02:15:20 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <d9b5n15sb7ciokjfth6edfsa3em4ak4skh@4ax.com>
Source record: 11316

On Wed, 09 Nov 2005 19:08:16 GMT, sogs <nospam@aol.com> said in
rec.radio.scanner:

>Does the entry of a PL code in this radio work in both manual & scan
>mode or just one of them?

Any mode.

4455. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-10 02:16:51 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <ebb5n19tdf62uv1grbgjd4qvsm3iqf6ne7@4ax.com>
Source record: 11317
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Is it my imagination, or has the topic suddenly been filled with sock
puppets?

4456. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-10 02:22:36 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131589356.500433.17660@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11318
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:
> Is it my imagination, or has the topic suddenly been filled with sock
> puppets?

your imgination? sock pupets would make more sense if they were posting

K1MAN is not worth the effort these clowns and other put into him

He is fighting a pricipled fight, badly and foolishly but having
started it I hope he prevails, his distractor are are best source of
real justification for the manner in which he is fighting the ARRL/FCC
a very sad and soory busness all round

4457. Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-10 02:40:26 UTC
From: Rachael <tempting78@chartermi.net>
Message-ID: <xrycf.5511$GT3.3945@fe02.lga>
Source record: 11319

Anyone know were I might find a user's manual for a Uniden 210xlt?

I am using the free version of SPAMfighter for private users.
It has removed 395 spam emails to date.
Paying users do not have this message in their emails.
Try www.SPAMfighter.com for free now!

4458. scancat - scancat-lite 1.2.8.zip.000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-10 02:40:59 UTC
From: sandy@thebeach.com
Message-ID: <fpc5n1h7enhcn00kfsn4ehibhbteh439qg@4ax.com>
Source record: 11320
Body handling: Inline uuencoded payload not displayed: scancat-lite 1.2.8.zip.000

```
[Inline uuencoded payload not displayed: scancat-lite 1.2.8.zip.000]
```

4459. Re: Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-10 02:49:31 UTC
From: mikeFNB <mikefnb@moc.dlrowltn>
Message-ID: <%yycf.12753$Ua6.2395@newsfe6-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 11321

on the uniden site
http://www.uniden.com/productsupport2.cfm?product=BC200XLT

google is your friend

mike

"Rachael" <tempting78@chartermi.net> wrote in message
news:xrycf.5511$GT3.3945@fe02.lga...
> Anyone know were I might find a user's manual for a Uniden 210xlt?
>
>
> ---------------------------------------
> I am using the free version of SPAMfighter for private users.
> It has removed 395 spam emails to date.
> Paying users do not have this message in their emails.
> Try www.SPAMfighter.com for free now!
>
>

4460. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-10 17:15:11 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11n7011nc1vs401@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 11322
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"The Hon. Shaliek Haznarob" <shaznarob@shaznaroboilltd.org> wrote in message news:dktvm1$ed9$0@pita.alt.net...
> Mr Baxter is a very honorable man, a gentleman and a scholar, who
> is waging a battle with the FCC culture of corruption, a battle that
> all ham radio should thank him for waging. Mr Baxter is a modern
> day Ham Radio Hero!
>
> ARRL & FCC - partners in the culture of corruption.
>
>
>
>

Why do you hams continue to cross post this shit to rec.radio.cb? Most of us and in particular ME could give a rats ass less about K1MAN, Baxter, the FCC, the ARRL. None of this shit has anything to do with 11 meters which is all I am concerned with.

4461. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-10 20:22:00 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@no.spam>
Message-ID: <8ee97017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 11323
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 10 Nov 2005 11:15:11 -0600, DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> Why do you hams continue to cross post this shit to rec.radio.cb? Most of us
> and in particular ME could give a rats ass less about K1MAN, Baxter, the
> FCC, the ARRL. None of this shit has anything to do with 11 meters which is
> all I am concerned with.

Most of these guys are arguing with one another about the law.
Remember that: the law? Nawww, being a CBer you probably don't give a
rats ass about the FCC or any other law enforcement body. Does it
tick you off that we're reminding you that rules exist, because we're
so passionate about them?

I hope so.

K1MAN is a great man because he's passionate about the real law and he
defends the little guy against the FCC toadies with his own lawyers.
The FCC bureaucrats are scared to death of K1MAN.

Now go play in the traffic, "Dr Death."

4462. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-10 20:26:47 UTC
From: Mike Hunt <MH@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <4373adda$1@usenet.zapto.org>
Source record: 11324
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spam> wrote in message
news:8ee97017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net...
> On Thu, 10 Nov 2005 11:15:11 -0600, DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>>
>> Why do you hams continue to cross post this shit to rec.radio.cb? Most of
>> us
>> and in particular ME could give a rats ass less about K1MAN, Baxter, the
>> FCC, the ARRL. None of this shit has anything to do with 11 meters which
>> is
>> all I am concerned with.
>
> Most of these guys are arguing with one another about the law.
> Remember that: the law? Nawww, being a CBer you probably don't give a
> rats ass about the FCC or any other law enforcement body. Does it
> tick you off that we're reminding you that rules exist, because we're
> so passionate about them?
>
> I hope so.
>
> K1MAN is a great man because he's passionate about the real law and he
> defends the little guy against the FCC toadies with his own lawyers.
> The FCC bureaucrats are scared to death of K1MAN.
>
> Now go play in the traffic, "Dr Death."
>
>
Beat It! (Lloyd Davies'Theme)
M. Jackson/?

Lloydie Davies can never get a date at night
"I'll just order up some food, I'm sure I'll be alright."
He goes under the stained sheets and he turns off all the lights
So beat it, Lloydie, just beat it.

He's got his shemale tapes, he's got a few gay porn DVDs
He's got the Daleks, he never needs real pussy!
The time is right, I'd better use the pics on the PC
So beat it, choke your dick like it owes you money!

Lloydie will Just beat it, beat it
'Till the urge has been defeated
Show them how lonely and sad is your life
Hearin' it's wrong, but feelin' so right

Just beat it (beat it.)
Just beat it (beat it)

His mom came by, she needed to get her makeup bag
She went to check on him, if just to be a nag
She opened up his door and she started to gag
Lloydie, don't beat it! Don't beat it!

A girl invited him to come see her favorite band
"I think that would be fun, why won't you be my man?"
He told her and he told her, "You just don't understand,"
"I just beat it, I make love to my hand!"

So Lloydie, beat it, just beat it
Soon your inferior genes will be depleted!
Show them how lonely and sad is your life
Regular sex to you it's wrong but jacking off is so right
Just beat it, beat it
'Till the urge has been defeated
Show them how lonely and sad is your life
Regular sex to you it's wrong but jacking off is so right.
Just beat it (beat it)
Just beat it (beat it)

(Continue to fadeout)

4463. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-10 21:53:32 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <ab08f$4373c15e$4e105e7$22074@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 11325
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"DrDeath" <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:11n7011nc1vs401@corp.supernews.com...
> "The Hon. Shaliek Haznarob" <shaznarob@shaznaroboilltd.org> wrote in
> message news:dktvm1$ed9$0@pita.alt.net...
>> Mr Baxter is a very honorable man, a gentleman and a scholar, who
>> is waging a battle with the FCC culture of corruption, a battle that
>> all ham radio should thank him for waging. Mr Baxter is a modern
>> day Ham Radio Hero!
>>
>> ARRL & FCC - partners in the culture of corruption.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
> Why do you hams continue to cross post this shit to rec.radio.cb? Most of
> us and in particular ME could give a rats ass less about K1MAN, Baxter,
> the FCC, the ARRL. None of this shit has anything to do with 11 meters
> which is all I am concerned with.
>

4 - 10

Ace WH2T

4464. Re: PRO-83 Discriminator Tap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-11 02:11:51 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <gev7n1dk9it5j778mr0kt8e7t1dql7n83f@4ax.com>
Source record: 11326

On Thu, 10 Nov 2005 05:30:02 +0000 (UTC), chesucat <me@privacy.net>
said in rec.radio.scanner:

>Al Klein wrote:
>>>"A discriminator is a circuit that voice-band filters the base-band audio
>>>coming out of the FM detector, so that the audio coming out of the speaker
>>>and headphone jack sounds good."

>AK>Whoever you're quoting should first have learned what a discriminator
>AK>does. That's not it.

>You know who I am quoting, Al!

Nope.

>A discriminator is the part of an FM receiver that extracts the desired
>signal from an incoming FM wave by changing frequency variations into
>amplitude variations.

Yep.

4465. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-11 03:28:29 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <ZpudnWKOENqmnOnenZ2dnUVZ_vudnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 11327
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

DrDeath wrote:

> Why do you hams continue to cross post this shit to rec.radio.cb? Most of us
> and in particular ME could give a rats ass less about K1MAN, Baxter, the
> FCC, the ARRL. None of this shit has anything to do with 11 meters which is
> all I am concerned with.

You *could* give a rats ass less? Don't you mean you *couldn't* give a
rats ass less. Gee, you cber can't even get that right.
>
>

4466. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-11 04:48:27 UTC
From: Nurse Lada Boobs <vegateblewoob7@yahoo.nospm.com>
Message-ID: <13b94$4374229a$d1cc7a87$3394@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 11328
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

<netmanager2@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1131566552.306650.245880@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA, WALTER CRONKITE

k1man needs to see a headshrinker, and I mean FAST !

I mean it folks.

One minute he's biting your ankles like
an enraged Chihuahua dog, the next minute
he's on his back wanting you to rub his tummy
and then wants to lick you like a puppy.

This guy is definitely got a BIPOLAR DISORDER.

For Glen's own good, I really hope he's on Prozac, Paxil, Luvox,
Valium or some other orally administered psychotropic drug.

If not I am afraid he'll show up on the 6:00 news some night as
the latest ham op who went apeshit someplace......(you know
hams...their usually crazy SOB's to begin with !!)

4467. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-11 04:51:06 UTC
From: Nurse Lada Boobs <vegateblewoob7@yahoo.nospm.com>
Message-ID: <61fc0$43742337$d1cc7a87$3433@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 11329
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message
news:ebb5n19tdf62uv1grbgjd4qvsm3iqf6ne7@4ax.com...
> Is it my imagination, or has the topic suddenly been filled with sock
> puppets?

Not to worry Al.

(...unless of course the sock puppets start talking all by themselves
to their owner at 1:00 in the morning...THEN I would be VERY
concerned - especially if their conversations are like those of "Mr Hat"
from Southpark)

:)

4468. Re: Beware: tinfoil hats enhance government mind control!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-11 05:06:08 UTC
From: Nurse Lada Boobs <vegateblewoob7@yahoo.nospm.com>
Message-ID: <19f29$437426c2$d1cc7a87$3806@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 11331
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Modemac" <modemac@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1131655632.236824.305740@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> MIT study reveals that aluminum foil headwear actually amplifies
> certain frequency bands allocated to the US government, as well as a
> mobile phone range, and is largely ineffective through the rest of the
> radio spectrum:
>
> http://people.csail.mit.edu/rahimi/helmet/
>

This is SCARY SHIT folks !!

Quoting from the above link:

"Among a fringe community of paranoids, aluminum helmets (tinfoil hats)
serve
as the protective measure of choice against invasive radio signals. We
investigate
the efficacy of three aluminum helmet designs on a sample group of four
individuals. Using a $250,000 network analyser, we find that although on
average all helmets attenuate invasive radio frequencies in either
directions (either emanating from an outside source, or emanating from
the cranium of the subject), certain frequencies are in fact greatly
amplified.
These amplified frequencies coincide with radio bands reserved for
government use according to the Federal Communication Commission (FCC).
Statistical evidence suggests the use of helmets may in fact enhance
the government's invasive abilities. We theorize that the government
may in fact have started the helmet craze for this reason..."

Jeebzus-H-Christ on a kaiser roll with cream cheeze folks !!!!
Perhaps the loons on domestic short-wave radio who have
been selling those grossly overpriced questionable gold coins, dollar
store LED keychain lights for $49.95 as "Berkie Illuminators"
and colloidal silver south pole magnetic piss-water as magic
curatives for baldness.....were right all along!

(Alex Jones must be whacking off like a chimpanzee with a bunch of
bananas in a glass cage at the Cleveland zoo right now.....)

4469. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-11 05:06:20 UTC
From: Democrats are Losers <abc@abcdemocratsidiots.org>
Message-ID: <dl18pd$4ls$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 11330
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Thank you "doctor." Now wander off in the corner and
play with your ink spot cards.

"Nurse Lada Boobs" <vegateblewoob7@yahoo.nospm.com> wrote in message
news:13b94$4374229a$d1cc7a87$3394@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>
> <netmanager2@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1131566552.306650.245880@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA, WALTER CRONKITE
>
>
> k1man needs to see a headshrinker, and I mean FAST !
>
> I mean it folks.
>
> One minute he's biting your ankles like
> an enraged Chihuahua dog, the next minute
> he's on his back wanting you to rub his tummy
> and then wants to lick you like a puppy.
>
> This guy is definitely got a BIPOLAR DISORDER.
>
> For Glen's own good, I really hope he's on Prozac, Paxil, Luvox,
> Valium or some other orally administered psychotropic drug.
>
> If not I am afraid he'll show up on the 6:00 news some night as
> the latest ham op who went apeshit someplace......(you know
> hams...their usually crazy SOB's to begin with !!)
>
>

4470. Re: Beware: tinfoil hats enhance government mind control!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-11 09:40:55 UTC
From: hudleypearse@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131702055.413172.295700@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11332
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Maybe they're not grounding their tinfoil hats.

Hudley Pearse

4471. Re: Beware: tinfoil hats enhance government mind control!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-11 12:31:09 UTC
From: Jan Panteltje <pNaonStpealmtje@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <dl22ugntb1@news.datemas.de>
Source record: 11333
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On a sunny day (11 Nov 2005 01:40:55 -0800) it happened
hudleypearse@hotmail.com wrote in
<1131702055.413172.295700@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:

>Maybe they're not grounding their tinfoil hats.
>
>Hudley Pearse
>
It is common knowledge that governments have burried underground
transmission stations.
These beam up in the microwave range, and the hats focus (like a dish)
the radiation in the brain.

The recommended solution is to glue the hat on, and walk on your hands,
upside down.

4472. BC246T Concerns...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-11 13:56:32 UTC
From: "E.F." <fadely@cox.net>
Message-ID: <iq1df.5026$mm5.4582@dukeread03>
Source record: 11334

Hello All,

I just bought my aging father a BC246T so that he would be able to listen to the city around him. I bought it for him for Christmas. My concern is that I want to send it to him with remarks of "Don't open till Christmas" because I am in the Navy and am scheduled to Deploy in 2 weeks. Now, I just spent about 6 hours programming the scanner for Wichita KS. If the batteries happen to die while in the box waiting, will the systems and groups be deleted?? I say this because my POS Palm Pilot will delete all saved material if the battery dies.

Thanks in advance

Eric F.

4473. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-11 16:46:36 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131727596.416744.205900@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11335
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Commode Butt Cornholer of little boys wrote:
> DrDeath wrote:
>
> > Why do you hams continue to cross post this shit to rec.radio.cb? Most of us
> > and in particular ME could give a rats ass less about K1MAN, Baxter, the
> > FCC, the ARRL. None of this shit has anything to do with 11 meters which is
> > all I am concerned with.
>
> You *could* give a rats ass less? Don't you mean you *couldn't* give a
> rats ass less. Gee, you cber can't even get that right.
^^

"Gee, you cber can't even get that right." Butt Cornholer makes good
sentence! Butt Cornholer should quit drinking that woman's beer of bud
lite.

4474. IR-C5

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-11 17:07:03 UTC
From: Kingjed <gary.johnson99@btopenworld.com>
Message-ID: <1131728823.872084.287350@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11336

Hello all

i just got an irc-5 and live in cheshire england near manchester
airport

would love advice on what i need and what software and ariels etc so i
can

scan airtraffic, ACARS, military emercency services telecomunications
and decrypt satalite signals onto my pc so images of sat signals can
bee seen.

would be great to hear from you all with ADVICE whats COOL TO LISTEN
TOO and just about anything to do with scanning as a hobby

when i was young had an okd analouge scanner but since then not got
back into it now in 34 looking to this as my serious hobby

cheers all

Jed

(Gary Johnson)

4475. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-11 17:43:47 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dl2l85$u28$1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 11337
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote in message
news:ZpudnWKOENqmnOnenZ2dnUVZ_vudnZ2d@gbronline.com...
> DrDeath wrote:
>
> > Why do you hams continue to cross post this shit to rec.radio.cb? Most
of us
> > and in particular ME could give a rats ass less about K1MAN, Baxter, the
> > FCC, the ARRL. None of this shit has anything to do with 11 meters which
is
> > all I am concerned with.
>
> You *could* give a rats ass less? Don't you mean you *couldn't* give a
> rats ass less. Gee, you cber can't even get that right.
> >
> >

Surely its "Most of us couldnt give a rats arse about K1MAN" you amateur
Amateur. D'oh......

4476. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-11 17:45:16 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131731108.634651.38830@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11338
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Nurse Lada Boobs wrote:
> <netmanager2@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1131566552.306650.245880@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> > K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA, WALTER CRONKITE
>
>
> k1man needs to see a headshrinker, and I mean FAST !
>
> I mean it folks.
>
> One minute he's biting your ankles like
> an enraged Chihuahua dog, the next minute
> he's on his back wanting you to rub his tummy
> and then wants to lick you like a puppy.
>
> This guy is definitely got a BIPOLAR DISORDER.

or something like this.

but everyone knows it and yet the FCC chooses him to set an example. I
consider that shamefull

>
> For Glen's own good, I really hope he's on Prozac, Paxil, Luvox,
> Valium or some other orally administered psychotropic drug.
>
> If not I am afraid he'll show up on the 6:00 news some night as
> the latest ham op who went apeshit someplace......(you know
> hams...their usually crazy SOB's to begin with !!)

4477. Re: Beware: tinfoil hats enhance government mind control!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-11 17:46:53 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dl2ldv$f99$1@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 11339
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Jan Panteltje" <pNaonStpealmtje@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:dl22ugntb1@news.datemas.de...
> On a sunny day (11 Nov 2005 01:40:55 -0800) it happened
> hudleypearse@hotmail.com wrote in
> <1131702055.413172.295700@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:
>
> >Maybe they're not grounding their tinfoil hats.
> >
> >Hudley Pearse
> >
> It is common knowledge that governments have burried underground
> transmission stations.
> These beam up in the microwave range, and the hats focus (like a dish)
> the radiation in the brain.
>
> The recommended solution is to glue the hat on, and walk on your hands,
> upside down.

Thanks for the warning... I will go and cancel my "tin hat" order right away
;o)

4478. Re: Beware: tinfoil hats enhance government mind control!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-11 18:13:29 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@gwi.net>
Message-ID: <yaOdnfm0Pv2lQuneRVn-pA@giganews.com>
Source record: 11340
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

well, you could always wear the hat on your ass, and it would block out the radiation from these "underground" sources.... but of course, this would open you to other radiation from other directions, unless you also wear one on your head. the best solution to looking "normal" here would be to line your grundies with tinfoil....

"Jan Panteltje" <pNaonStpealmtje@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:dl22ugntb1@news.datemas.de...
> On a sunny day (11 Nov 2005 01:40:55 -0800) it happened
> hudleypearse@hotmail.com wrote in
> <1131702055.413172.295700@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:
>
>>Maybe they're not grounding their tinfoil hats.
>>
>>Hudley Pearse
>>
> It is common knowledge that governments have burried underground
> transmission stations.
> These beam up in the microwave range, and the hats focus (like a dish)
> the radiation in the brain.
>
> The recommended solution is to glue the hat on, and walk on your hands,
> upside down.
>
>

4479. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-11 18:41:43 UTC
From: Ernest W Block <zaw@ernieblocker.net>
Message-ID: <dl2oie$77r$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 11341
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1131731108.634651.38830@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Nurse Lada Boobs wrote:
>> <netmanager2@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:1131566552.306650.245880@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> > K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA, WALTER CRONKITE
>>
>>
>> k1man needs to see a headshrinker, and I mean FAST !
>>
>> I mean it folks.
>>
>> One minute he's biting your ankles like
>> an enraged Chihuahua dog, the next minute
>> he's on his back wanting you to rub his tummy
>> and then wants to lick you like a puppy.
>>
>> This guy is definitely got a BIPOLAR DISORDER.
>
> or something like this.
>
> but everyone knows it and yet the FCC chooses him to set an example. I
> consider that shamefull

So, what are you saying? Are you implying you have access to
K1MAN's medical records? Please explain how you gained
access to Mr Baxter's medical records or how you are qualified
to make a psychiatric diagnosis? Where did you do your
residency in psychiatry?

4480. Re: Beware: tinfoil hats enhance government mind control!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-11 18:57:33 UTC
From: krackula <krackula@i.am>
Message-ID: <57q9n1ln0t092ohr3aiuoatkn6i4ecv6u9@4ax.com>
Source record: 11342
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Fri, 11 Nov 2005 13:13:29 -0500, "Zombie Wolf" <zmbwf@gwi.net>
wrote:

>well, you could always wear the hat on your ass, and it would block out the
>radiation from these "underground" sources.... but of course, this would
>open you to other radiation from other directions, unless you also wear one
>on your head. the best solution to looking "normal" here would be to line
>your grundies with tinfoil....

thats not necessary , as the nice folks at LessEMF already have you
covered (sic). just see the products here
http://www.lessemf.com/personal.html ,

especially the first type on the page. protection from all those "
rays " is easy when you know where to shop !!!

k ....

>
>"Jan Panteltje" <pNaonStpealmtje@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:dl22ugntb1@news.datemas.de...
>> On a sunny day (11 Nov 2005 01:40:55 -0800) it happened
>> hudleypearse@hotmail.com wrote in
>> <1131702055.413172.295700@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:
>>
>>>Maybe they're not grounding their tinfoil hats.
>>>
>>>Hudley Pearse
>>>
>> It is common knowledge that governments have burried underground
>> transmission stations.
>> These beam up in the microwave range, and the hats focus (like a dish)
>> the radiation in the brain.
>>
>> The recommended solution is to glue the hat on, and walk on your hands,
>> upside down.
>>
>>
>

4481. Re: Beware: tinfoil hats enhance government mind control!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-11 19:52:52 UTC
From: Brad <"bradvk2qq AT w6ir.com">
Message-ID: <4374f696$0$41140$14726298@news.sunsite.dk>
Source record: 11343
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"krackula" <krackula@i.am> wrote in message
news:57q9n1ln0t092ohr3aiuoatkn6i4ecv6u9@4ax.com...
> thats not necessary , as the nice folks at LessEMF already have you
> covered (sic). just see the products here
> http://www.lessemf.com/personal.html ,
>
> especially the first type on the page. protection from all those "
> rays " is easy when you know where to shop !!!

Ii like the looks of the Deluxe Shielding Dress Shirt. I wonder how that would go at airport security? Then there's a shorts. You'd be stripped to nothing but socks and still setting off alarms!

And the hat, wow. "Many people can actually sense the differences in the levels of "mind noise" from RF radiation. Provide your brain a quiet place without interference to your mental processes from RF radiation." I'd love to do a double blind test with placebo hats and see the results!

B

4482. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-11 20:20:55 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131740455.140611.258020@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11344
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Ernest W Block wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1131731108.634651.38830@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Nurse Lada Boobs wrote:
> >> <netmanager2@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1131566552.306650.245880@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >> > K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA, WALTER CRONKITE
> >>
> >>
> >> k1man needs to see a headshrinker, and I mean FAST !
> >>
> >> I mean it folks.
> >>
> >> One minute he's biting your ankles like
> >> an enraged Chihuahua dog, the next minute
> >> he's on his back wanting you to rub his tummy
> >> and then wants to lick you like a puppy.
> >>
> >> This guy is definitely got a BIPOLAR DISORDER.
> >
> > or something like this.
> >
> > but everyone knows it and yet the FCC chooses him to set an example. I
> > consider that shamefull
>
>
>
> So, what are you saying? Are you implying you have access to
> K1MAN's medical records?
not impling it sating it quite directly I have as does anybody that has
read the record of the case has limited access

By virtue of the paper trail in volved in the long history i know that
he has mental haelth problems Bipolar disorder is reffered by name (it
may not be the right diagnosis but it is a clear
> Please explain how you gained
> access to Mr Baxter's medical records

by reading the record of letters in the case
>or how you are qualified
> to make a psychiatric diagnosis? Where did you do your
> residency in psychiatry?

neither of the above is required

4483. Re: IR-C5

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-11 20:28:42 UTC
From: Kingjed <gary.johnson99@btopenworld.com>
Message-ID: <1131740922.224464.60530@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11345

sorry should read IC-5

ICOM IC-5

4484. Re: writing programs for scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-11 20:56:59 UTC
From: martin@hydrogen.cis.okstate.edu
Message-ID: <dl30ir$r5k$1@hydrogen.cis.okstate.edu>
Source record: 11346

In article <4fbme.41691$CR5.18429@bignews1.bellsouth.net>,
David M. Hitchner <biteme@spammers.net> wrote:
>Also, Uniden now publishes the control codes for there scanners on their web
>site. Another good reference is
>http://www.freqofnature.com/software/protocols.html
>
>Radio Shack scanners (except for those made by Uniden) do not allow the
>scanner to be actively controlled or monitored through software. Only
>programming of the scanner memory is allowed which is why I have avoided
>those scanners.

That is good to know. As a Linux/UNIX/FreeBSD user and general
electronics tinkerer who happens to be blind, that kind of capability
is more than just another bell or whistle. It's the difference
between being able to really use the scanner and having the impulse to
drop kick it in to orbit.
I was thrilled to find
out how much I could use my BC780. The freqofnature site was where I
got the protocols.html document and I first started messing with the
780 by using an old DOS P.C. running Kermit in terminal emulation
mode. You can even set up hillbilly scanner control programs just by
writing kermit scripts. I have had a ball since one can read the
display and or various parts of it. That is the opposite of the
normal state of affairs in which, if you can't see the screen, you're
out of luck if you happen to hit the wrong button and wonder now why
it isn't doing anything.:-(

As an amateur radio operator, I would like to find a modern
transceiver with as much control as the Unidens have since setting
such things as CTCSS and memory programming are much easier if one can
use some means to directly read back information. For transmitters,
this is even more important since we hams, like any other licensed
users of the radio spectrum need to be sure we are operating within
the correct frequencies. It is a neighborly thing to do and the law
also says we must.

The ability to remotely control the functions of the scanner
and to read its operating parameters is a big force multiplier.
I have a BC780 set up at home that I can listen to from work or
anywhere I am on the Internet. I can send control commands to it and
even tell what channel or frequency I am on remotely.

If we ever get digital APCO25 trunking in this neck of the
woods, I will have to buy a newer scanner to receive it and hope that
I can get one that has the same digital control methods as the BC780.

In North-central Oklahoma, our local emergency services still
use a lot of discrete channels and CTCSS, but our Highway Patroll has
a couple of SmartZone systems in the Tulsa and Oklahoma City areas
that are audible from Stillwater and work perfectly on the BC780. I
can even capture the ID's in a file and sort them from busiest to
least used by using normal UNIX tools such as sort and uniq.

You need to set search mode and then be sure ID displaying is
on with IDN and the ID's will stream out with each transmission.
--

Martin McCormick WB5AGZ Stillwater, OK
Information Technology Division Network Operations Group

4485. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-11 21:28:08 UTC
From: Ernest W Block <zaw@ernieblocker.net>
Message-ID: <dl32ag$m3a$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 11347
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1131740455.140611.258020@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Ernest W Block wrote:
>> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:1131731108.634651.38830@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Nurse Lada Boobs wrote:
>> >> <netmanager2@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:1131566552.306650.245880@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> >> > K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA, WALTER CRONKITE
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> k1man needs to see a headshrinker, and I mean FAST !
>> >>
>> >> I mean it folks.
>> >>
>> >> One minute he's biting your ankles like
>> >> an enraged Chihuahua dog, the next minute
>> >> he's on his back wanting you to rub his tummy
>> >> and then wants to lick you like a puppy.
>> >>
>> >> This guy is definitely got a BIPOLAR DISORDER.
>> >
>> > or something like this.
>> >
>> > but everyone knows it and yet the FCC chooses him to set an example. I
>> > consider that shamefull
>>
>>
>>
>> So, what are you saying? Are you implying you have access to
>> K1MAN's medical records?
> not impling it sating it quite directly I have as does anybody that has
> read the record of the case has limited access
>
> By virtue of the paper trail in volved in the long history i know that
> he has mental haelth problems Bipolar disorder is reffered by name (it
> may not be the right diagnosis but it is a clear
>> Please explain how you gained
>> access to Mr Baxter's medical records
>
> by reading the record of letters in the case

>>or how you are qualified
>> to make a psychiatric diagnosis? Where did you do your
>> residency in psychiatry?
>
> neither of the above is required
>

Yes "doctor," of course your "diagnosis" is beyond question.
Good luck in the contest, AND your obsession with K1MAN's
personal affairs.

4486. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-11 21:38:46 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131745126.017647.324080@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11348
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Ernest W Block wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1131740455.140611.258020@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
cut

> > by reading the record of letters in the case
> >>or how you are qualified
> >> to make a psychiatric diagnosis? Where did you do your
> >> residency in psychiatry?
> >
> > neither of the above is required
> >
>
>
> Yes "doctor," of course your "diagnosis" is beyond question.
> Good luck in the contest, AND your obsession with K1MAN's
> personal affairs.

I don't care anything about his personal affiars, no interest at all.
My interest in K1MAN is limited to the interest I think we all should
have in the FCC trying to use and exploit someone less able to mount an
effective defense of himself to establish precedent that might be used
against the rest of us

as to his pesonal affiars, I don't care he ma be bipolar (except as
above) or for that matter if he fucks chickens (as long as he own said
chickens

4487. Re: Beware: tinfoil hats enhance government mind control!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-11 21:49:12 UTC
From: rtc <rsmith98@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <11na4f08nueop1d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 11349
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Anything worth doing is worth doing
right:

http://zapatopi.net/afdb/

"Brad" <bradvk2qq AT w6ir.com> wrote in message
news:4374f696$0$41140$14726298@news.sunsite.dk...
>
> "krackula" <krackula@i.am> wrote in message
> news:57q9n1ln0t092ohr3aiuoatkn6i4ecv6u9@4ax.com...
> > thats not necessary , as the nice folks at LessEMF already have you
> > covered (sic). just see the products here
> > http://www.lessemf.com/personal.html ,
> >
> > especially the first type on the page. protection from all those "
> > rays " is easy when you know where to shop !!!
>
>
> Ii like the looks of the Deluxe Shielding Dress Shirt. I wonder how that
> would go at airport security? Then there's a shorts. You'd be stripped to
> nothing but socks and still setting off alarms!
>
> And the hat, wow. "Many people can actually sense the differences in the
> levels of "mind noise" from RF radiation. Provide your brain a quiet place
> without interference to your mental processes from RF radiation." I'd love
> to do a double blind test with placebo hats and see the results!
>
> B
>
>

4488. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-11 22:06:45 UTC
From: Ernest W Block <zaw@ernieblocker.net>
Message-ID: <dl34ip$120$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 11350
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

```
"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1131745126.017647.324080@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Ernest W Block wrote:
>> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:1131740455.140611.258020@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
> cut
>
>> > by reading the record of letters in the case
>> >>or how you are qualified
>> >> to make a psychiatric diagnosis? Where did you do your
>> >> residency in psychiatry?
>> >
>> > neither of the above is required
>> >
>>
>>
>> Yes "doctor," of course your "diagnosis" is beyond question.
>> Good luck in the contest, AND your obsession with K1MAN's
>> personal affairs.
>
> I don't care anything about his personal affiars, no interest at all.
> My interest in K1MAN is limited to the interest I think we all should
> have in the FCC trying to use and exploit someone less able to mount an
> effective defense of himself to establish precedent that might be used
> against the rest of us
>
> as to his pesonal affiars, I don't care he ma be bipolar (except as
> above) or for that matter if he fucks chickens (as long as he own said
> chickens
>

You K1MAN haters all deny having an obsession with his personal
affairs, but your actions say otherwise.
```

4489. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-11 22:28:21 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131748101.692462.46310@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11201
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Ernest W Block wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1131745126.017647.324080@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Ernest W Block wrote:
> >> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1131740455.140611.258020@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> > cut
> >
> >> > by reading the record of letters in the case
> >> >>or how you are qualified
> >> >> to make a psychiatric diagnosis? Where did you do your
> >> >> residency in psychiatry?
> >> >
> >> > neither of the above is required
> >> >
> >>
> >>
> >> Yes "doctor," of course your "diagnosis" is beyond question.
> >> Good luck in the contest, AND your obsession with K1MAN's
> >> personal affairs.
> >
> > I don't care anything about his personal affiars, no interest at all.
> > My interest in K1MAN is limited to the interest I think we all should
> > have in the FCC trying to use and exploit someone less able to mount an
> > effective defense of himself to establish precedent that might be used
> > against the rest of us
> >
> > as to his pesonal affiars, I don't care he ma be bipolar (except as
> > above) or for that matter if he fucks chickens (as long as he own said
> > chickens
> >
>
>
> You K1MAN haters all deny having an obsession with his personal
> affairs, but your actions say otherwise.

I don't hate K1MAN. I don't know him personaly

Indeed I support his principled stand against the FCC. I do wish the
fight was between a man less limited in his abilty to defend
himself/make his case. I hope he prevails in this matter

You sir appear to one of those Fellows we see so often in here. If you are 178 percent something then you Hate it. I am more 74 percent supportor of K1MAN but I also yes the man has his faults. Who doesn't? I am concerned his faults may affect the outcome in ways that the FCC should be allowing

wether the Nurse that first comented on his Bipolar was attacking him or not we;; the nurse will have to answer

I am disgusted with the FCC targeting him for this sort of attack, and not moderating ait actions to accomodate his condiction

4490. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-11 23:32:25 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <4333a$43752a02$4fc66e7$32623@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 11202
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"DrDeath" <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:11n7011nc1vs401@corp.supernews.com...
> "The Hon. Shaliek Haznarob" <shaznarob@shaznaroboilltd.org> wrote in
> message news:dktvm1$ed9$0@pita.alt.net...
>> Mr Baxter is a very honorable man, a gentleman and a scholar, who
>> is waging a battle with the FCC culture of corruption, a battle that
>> all ham radio should thank him for waging. Mr Baxter is a modern
>> day Ham Radio Hero!
>>
>> ARRL & FCC - partners in the culture of corruption.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
> Why do you hams continue to cross post this shit to rec.radio.cb? Most of
> us and in particular ME could give a rats ass less about K1MAN, Baxter,
> the FCC, the ARRL. None of this shit has anything to do with 11 meters
> which is all I am concerned with.
>

Why do you CBers continue to cross post shit to rec.radio.amateur groups?
None of us give a shit about anything that has anything to do with 11
meters.
Ace - WH2T

4491. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-12 00:02:53 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051111190253.633$sw@newsreader.com>
Source record: 11203
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> Commode Butt Cornholer of little boys wrote:
> > DrDeath wrote:
> >
> > > Why do you hams continue to cross post this shit to rec.radio.cb?
> > > Most of us and in particular ME could give a rats ass less about
> > > K1MAN, Baxter, the FCC, the ARRL. None of this shit has anything to
> > > do with 11 meters which is all I am concerned with.
> >
> > You *could* give a rats ass less? Don't you mean you *couldn't* give a
> > rats ass less. Gee, you cber can't even get that right.
> ^^
>
> "Gee, you cber can't even get that right." Butt Cornholer makes good
> sentence! Butt Cornholer should quit drinking that woman's beer of bud
> lite.
>
Please donut throw CBer's on the same short bus that commode rides.

tia

4492. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-12 00:17:11 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051111191711.477$Y6@newsreader.com>
Source record: 11204
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com> wrote:
>> Why do you CBers continue to cross post shit to rec.radio.amateur
>> groups?
> None of us give a shit about anything that has anything to do with 11
> meters.
> Ace - WH2T
>
Paybacks suck. :)

4493. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-12 01:19:28 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11nagp0m106654c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 11205
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spam> wrote in message
news:8ee97017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net...
> On Thu, 10 Nov 2005 11:15:11 -0600, DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>>
>> Why do you hams continue to cross post this shit to rec.radio.cb? Most of
>> us
>> and in particular ME could give a rats ass less about K1MAN, Baxter, the
>> FCC, the ARRL. None of this shit has anything to do with 11 meters which
>> is
>> all I am concerned with.
>
> Most of these guys are arguing with one another about the law.
> Remember that: the law? Nawww, being a CBer you probably don't give a
> rats ass about the FCC or any other law enforcement body. Does it
> tick you off that we're reminding you that rules exist, because we're
> so passionate about them?

Ah yes, the being a CBer defense. That'a as old as the crust in your
underwear.
I have friends in law enforcement and I also at one time in my life worked
security in a maximum security unit.
But you are correct about my feelings about the FCC, as they are a worthless
bunch of fucks.
I'm not pissed about being reminded of rules, but K1MAN is a ham, not a
CBer. It's YOUR problem so go post YOUR problem in the proper forum.
>
> I hope so.
>
> K1MAN is a great man because he's passionate about the real law and he
> defends the little guy against the FCC toadies with his own lawyers.
> The FCC bureaucrats are scared to death of K1MAN.
>

Yeah, right, the FCC is cringing behind there desks in fear of the allmighty
K1MAN. ROFLMAO

> Now go play in the traffic, "Dr Death."

Go play with your self, LOSER!

4494. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-12 01:29:15 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11nahbc3ko88j49@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 11206
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote in message
news:ZpudnWKOENqmnOnenZ2dnUVZ_vudnZ2d@gbronline.com...
> DrDeath wrote:
>
>> Why do you hams continue to cross post this shit to rec.radio.cb? Most of
>> us and in particular ME could give a rats ass less about K1MAN, Baxter,
>> the FCC, the ARRL. None of this shit has anything to do with 11 meters
>> which is all I am concerned with.
>
> You *could* give a rats ass less? Don't you mean you *couldn't* give a
> rats ass less. Gee, you cber can't even get that right.
>>>>

I see we have a fuckin (yes I spelled it that way on purpose) english
professor chiming in.

>Gee, you cber can't even get that right.

I could dissect your grammar from the above sentence, but it's a waste of
time.
Now if you could explain to the group what this has to do with my post, as
you obviously are a troll with nothing to add to this thread.

4495. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-12 01:34:07 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11nahkgdpui52c3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 11207
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"Nurse Lada Boobs" <vegateblewoob7@yahoo.nospm.com> wrote in message
news:61fc0$43742337$d1cc7a87$3433@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>
> "Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message
> news:ebb5n19tdf62uv1grbgjd4qvsm3iqf6ne7@4ax.com...
>> Is it my imagination, or has the topic suddenly been filled with sock
>> puppets?
>
> Not to worry Al.
>
> (...unless of course the sock puppets start talking all by themselves
> to their owner at 1:00 in the morning...THEN I would be VERY
> concerned - especially if their conversations are like those of "Mr Hat"
> from Southpark)
>
> :)
>

Don't be dissin Mr. Hat. LOL

4496. radio prices

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-12 02:05:02 UTC
From: Hamradiostuffing <hamradiostuffing@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131761102.512863.267210@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11208

This is a snip from EHAM

'Has anyone noticed the price jump on Icom's IC-706 MKIIG. At most dealers the pricing was averaging $769.00 and now the prices have jumped to $950.00 -- nearly a $200.00 dollar increase. I contacted HRO out of Atlanta and was given partial truth for the reasoning behind the jump. I think the 706 Mark IIG has been popular, and has also been a lot more expensive. The radio has stayed for quite some time in a reasonable range, but now has started a sharp rise up.

The reason I was given for the price gouge was the Japanese Yen is higher compared to the American dollar. By the way, is true but only by 12.5%, and that increase has taken nine months to rise. In this case the Radio should have only risen to around the $850.00 range. With our Ham community constantly battling to grow and maintain itself against an ever growing younger population more willing to go to the Internet. These Ham radio suppliers seem to be willing to price themselves and us out of business. If the reasoning is the Japanese Yen, why have other radios of Japanese make not made the price jump???

Sincerely,
KG4RVP"

4497. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-12 02:48:48 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051111214848.303$kM@newsreader.com>
Source record: 11210
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

CB wrote:
> Yeah, 'donut' throw cber's on the bus, throw them under it.
>
10-4, CB.

4498. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-12 03:25:52 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3OidnbnQ7MIqz-jeRVn-rA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 11209
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Steveo wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
>>Commode Butt Cornholer of little boys wrote:
>>
>>>DrDeath wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Why do you hams continue to cross post this shit to rec.radio.cb?
>>>>Most of us and in particular ME could give a rats ass less about
>>>>K1MAN, Baxter, the FCC, the ARRL. None of this shit has anything to
>>>>do with 11 meters which is all I am concerned with.
>>>
>>>You *could* give a rats ass less? Don't you mean you *couldn't* give a
>>>rats ass less. Gee, you cber can't even get that right.
>>
>>^^
>>
>>"Gee, you cber can't even get that right." Butt Cornholer makes good
>>sentence! Butt Cornholer should quit drinking that woman's beer of bud
>>lite.
>>
>
> Please donut throw CBer's on the same short bus that commode rides.
>
> tia

Yeah, 'donut' throw cber's on the bus, throw them under it.

4499. Re: BC246T Concerns...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-12 03:34:25 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <5pddf.695$104.597@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 11211

"E.F." <fadely@cox.net> wrote in message
news:iq1df.5026$mm5.4582@dukeread03...
> Hello All,
>
> I just bought my aging father a BC246T so that he would be able to listen
> to the city around him. I bought it for him for Christmas. My concern is
> that I want to send it to him with remarks of "Don't open till Christmas"
> because I am in the Navy and am scheduled to Deploy in 2 weeks. Now, I
> just spent about 6 hours programming the scanner for Wichita KS. If the
> batteries happen to die while in the box waiting, will the systems and
> groups be deleted?? I say this because my POS Palm Pilot will delete all
> saved material if the battery dies.
>
> Thanks in advance
>
> Eric F.
>

I doubt it, but just to be sure it works when he gets it just pop a couple
Throw away alkaline batteries in it. Just make sure you put the switch to
the right position, and let him know to read up on using the rechargeables
that come with it for after the throw away's die.
--
Beer is proof that God loves us and wants us to be happy.
--Benjamin Franklin

4500. Listen to scanners.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-12 06:50:22 UTC
From: Dana <dana@panix.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.NEB.4.63.0511120148300.12602@panix1.panix.com>
Source record: 11212
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Can someone send me a link to listen to a scanner over the internet? I am looking for a stream that will work with winamp or windows media player. They work best with a screen reader, thanks.

4501. Re: Improving airband radio reception

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-12 11:55:15 UTC
From: albert@aladincom.om
Message-ID: <970C83CABalbertaladincom@192.114.47.10>
Source record: 11213

kevin@unseen.org wrote in <1130004363.276531.327200
@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:

>Hi
>
>I would like to improve my airband reception for my local airfield
>(Sywell). Currently I can hardly make out what the tower is saying and
>struggle with 75% of aircraft as the voice signal levels dissappear
>into the noise levels. Most signals do activate the squelch ok, but
>the audio noise levels are just to high.
>
>My current setup consist of a dual band (2m & 70cm) vertical fiber
>glass antenna (I can't find the exact details at the mo) on a 2.5m
>meter pole which takes the top of the aerial just above level with the
>neighbouring houses roofs. It then feeds into the loft with about 3 M
>of Y[1] cable, through a small lightening discharge unit (which I still
>need to put the ground connection in for) and then through 3m meters
>more of Y[1] cable. Then inside the loft room it goes into a panel
>mount BNC connector and through 1M of RG-59/U cable before going into
>the AOR AR900 scanner.
>
>[1] It has no numbers written on it, but it is a 1cm diameter coax
>cable
>original bought for amateur radio use.
>
>I suspect the loft room is fairly RF noisy as it is my study including
>two computers, monitors, ADSL, wireless 802.11b etc. Connecting the
>scanner to mains (via it's transformer, or battery makes no difference.
>
>I'm looking for a rough idea of how much the following options would
>improve my reception experience against the cost / difficulty of
>completing those changes:
>
>1) Change aerial (must also be able to Rx & Tx on 2m and 70cm)
>2) Raise aerial by 1m or so, so that it is above neighbours roofs.
>3) Change aerial feed cable
>4) Ground the lightening arrestor (something I know I need to do
>anyway)
>5) Change Rx end BNC to BNC cable (is that the right kind of cable?
>Impedance mismatch?)
>6) Change panel mount BNC connector (does this let noise in due to
>short unshielded bit of inner cable or impedance mismatch?)
>7) Add a band pass filter for airband at the Rx end
>8) Add a pre-amp for airband as close to the aerial as possible

>
>Any other suggestions?
>
>Thanks in advance
>Kev
>

Antenna is undoubtedly important, but have you checked your scanner in other environments? May be there is something wrong with it, especially if it's bought second hand?
Al Levy

4502. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-12 12:02:59 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dl4lkvcqo1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 11214
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com> wrote in message
news:4333a$43752a02$4fc66e7$32623@DIALUPUSA.NET...
>
> "DrDeath" <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:11n7011nc1vs401@corp.supernews.com...
> > "The Hon. Shaliek Haznarob" <shaznarob@shaznaroboilltd.org> wrote in
> > message news:dktvm1$ed9$0@pita.alt.net...
> >> Mr Baxter is a very honorable man, a gentleman and a scholar, who
> >> is waging a battle with the FCC culture of corruption, a battle that
> >> all ham radio should thank him for waging. Mr Baxter is a modern
> >> day Ham Radio Hero!
> >>
> >> ARRL & FCC - partners in the culture of corruption.
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >
> > Why do you hams continue to cross post this shit to rec.radio.cb? Most
of
> > us and in particular ME could give a rats ass less about K1MAN, Baxter,
> > the FCC, the ARRL. None of this shit has anything to do with 11 meters
> > which is all I am concerned with.
> >
>
> Why do you CBers continue to cross post shit to rec.radio.amateur groups?
> None of us give a shit about anything that has anything to do with 11
> meters.
> Ace - WH2T

Then kindly explain why so many Amateurs hold so much interest in
rec.radio.cb ? Theres plenty in there..some are even nice people... I
personally have no interest in K1MAN in one way or another. I also have no
real interest in the backstabbing and bitching that goes on amongst your
fellow Hams and Hams/CB'ers, I just read some of the threads and unless I
see something that aggrieves me i simply let it go... im not aggrieved now
btw. im simply trying to say you are wrong and a few Hams are interested in
things 11 meters.

73's

Graham

4503. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-12 12:48:53 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@no.spam>
Message-ID: <dr.death.is.a.loser@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 11215
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 11 Nov 2005 19:19:28 -0600, DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> wrote:
> "Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:8ee97017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net...
> >
> > K1MAN is a great man because he's passionate about the real law and he
> > defends the little guy against the FCC toadies with his own lawyers.
> > The FCC bureaucrats are scared to death of K1MAN.
> >
>
> Yeah, right, the FCC is cringing behind there desks in fear of the allmighty
> K1MAN. ROFLMAO

Do you know how it makes you look when you type "there desks" instead
of "their desks?" ROTFLMAO

> > Now go play in the traffic, "Dr Death."
>
> Go play with your self, LOSER!

At least I can choose the correct English words to put into a
sentence. That suggests that I'm neither (a) a programmer, nor (b) a
rec.radio.cb regular.

4504. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-12 12:48:54 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@no.spam>
Message-ID: <dr.death.speaks.ebonics@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 11216
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 11 Nov 2005 19:34:07 -0600, DrDeath eboniced:
>
> Don't be dissin Mr. Hat. LOL
>

"Dissing - [African American Vernacular English, short for DISRESPECT.]"
-- http://www.answers.com/dissing&r=67

4505. Re: Listen to scanners.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-12 13:27:27 UTC
From: jio@wqa.org
Message-ID: <MPG.1ddf8d9cec5d3e1c989680@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 11217
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <Pine.NEB.4.63.0511120148300.12602@panix1.panix.com>,
dana@panix.com says...
> Can someone send me a link to listen to a scanner over the internet? I am
> looking for a stream that will work with winamp or windows media player.
> They work best with a screen reader, thanks.
>
>
Try http://www.policescan.us/

4506. Pro 2040 trunking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-12 13:57:54 UTC
From: BIGSteve <fitzgerald_s@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131803874.008311.244460@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11218

is there anyway to recieve the trunk system on a pro 2040? what is a
descriminator?
and are there any mods at all for this scanner?
Thanks
Steve

4507. Polygamists with Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-12 15:58:05 UTC
From: zerg90 <zerg90@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131811085.875318.108340@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11219

I wonder if each polygamist has multiple scanners?

Saint George Spectrum, Sat, 12 Nov 2005 4:05 AM PST
Did Jeffs get away?
http://www.thespectrum.com/apps/pbcs.dll/article?AID=/20051112/NEWS01/511120311/1002
Jeffs is known as the leader and prophet of a polygamous sect known as
the Fundamentalist Church of Jesus Christ of Latter Day Saints, with an
established following in the communities of Hildale and Colorado City.

4508. Re: latin fast house

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-12 17:16:35 UTC
From: Cannibinal <hannible@lech.ter>
Message-ID: <7t8cn1pknn580e65o4tv5e0q91uuvfasol@4ax.com>
Source record: 11220
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.audio.pro,alt.fashion,alt.home.repair,rec.games.pinball

On 12 Nov 2005 16:13:27 GMT, Gumbo Lyah <gumbolyah@gumbol.yah> wrote:

>can someone tell me where it is please

Where are you coming from dude?

4509. Re: Ontario Canada Ambulance Frequencies?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-12 18:44:10 UTC
From: Charlie <pDOTcharlie@sympatico.caNADA>
Message-ID: <RIqdf.25454$1L3.1077811@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 11221

Hi Doug,

Did you happen to find the new ambulance channel for Leeds and Grenville
or know a range that I can search? I can't find anything usable on
www.radioreference.com or maybe I'm not looking in the right place.

Paul :-)

Doug Mitton wrote:

> Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote:
>
>
>>On Sun, 23 Oct 2005 21:11:11 -0400, HotShot
>><MyShotIsHot@HotShotShootsSpam.Com> said in rec.radio.scanner:
>>
>>
>>>Doug Mitton wrote:
>>>
>>>>Last week the local Eastern Ontario EMT/Ambulance services (Brockville
>>>>and Kingston area) switched to a new frequency. They were on 150.100
>>>>Mhz and they disappeared in 1 day.
>>
>>>>Does anyone know of any references to what they may have switched to
>>>>and any links to scanning them?
>>
>>>Yes, they're on the fleetnet trunking system now.
>>>
>>>If you do a google search you'll find them.
>>>
>>>Mind you there's only one website that has all the information your
>>>looking for so I suggest finding that one.
>>
>><http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=TRSDB&action=regexp&regexp=fleetnet>
>>might have the information.
>
>
> Thank you! Looks like I have some studying to do! :-)
>

--
To reply, change the "DOT" to a "." and canada to "ca".

4510. handheld scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-12 20:47:20 UTC
From: Peter Vollan <pvollan@jps.net>
Message-ID: <4376547E.9060700@jps.net>
Source record: 11222

I may soon be in the market for a handheld, and I need it to be
cheap.... any suggestions?

I'd like it to have full 800 MHz coverage, but I'm more interested in
900 to 912 MHz.

A trunktracker is probably more than I need and more than I'd like to spend.

4511. Re: handheld scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-12 20:51:17 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <qKqdnX7josdayOvenZ2dnUVZ_s2dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 11223

If you don't need "digital", then check out Radio Shack's (Mfg. by GRE),
PRO-97.
http://tinyurl.com/bac2e

Bill Crocker

"Peter Vollan" <pvollan@jps.net> wrote in message
news:4376547E.9060700@jps.net...
>I may soon be in the market for a handheld, and I need it to be cheap....
>any suggestions?
>
> I'd like it to have full 800 MHz coverage, but I'm more interested in 900
> to 912 MHz.
>
> A trunktracker is probably more than I need and more than I'd like to
> spend.
>

4512. Re: latin fast house

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-12 22:02:26 UTC
From: Cannibinal <hannible@lech.ter>
Message-ID: <fspcn1la6d2m8161s0464q1hb6d7cdub4q@4ax.com>
Source record: 11224
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.audio.pro,alt.fashion,alt.home.repair,rec.games.pinball

On Sat, 12 Nov 2005 12:29:17 -0600, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:

>I think it is somewhere in Latin America,probally in Brazil.
>cuhulin

Dude, do you really use webtv?? :)

4513. Re: Listen to scanners.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-12 22:26:37 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <bvqcn1la5h9evdrorb0h25h83i4t0g9nvf@4ax.com>
Source record: 11225
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 12 Nov 2005 01:50:22 -0500, Dana <dana@panix.com> said in
alt.radio.scanner:

>Can someone send me a link to listen to a scanner over the internet? I am
>looking for a stream that will work with winamp or windows media player.
>They work best with a screen reader, thanks.

A little self-promotion:
http://suffolkscanner.redirectme.net:8000/stream

It's a Winamp stream.

4514. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-12 22:28:29 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <p2rcn1l6at43rtegp4gn3p6v7l1u3rinde@4ax.com>
Source record: 11226
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On 12 Nov 2005 12:48:53 GMT, "Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spam> said in
rec.radio.scanner:

>On Fri, 11 Nov 2005 19:19:28 -0600, DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> "Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spam> wrote in message

>At least I can choose the correct English words to put into a
>sentence. That suggests that I'm neither (a) a programmer

I think I insinuate that resentment. :)

4515. Re: Pro 2040 trunking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-12 22:42:13 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <59rcn19cndpi3a6t4059dcunrtfume6ka1@4ax.com>
Source record: 11227

On 12 Nov 2005 05:57:54 -0800, "BIGSteve" <fitzgerald_s@hotmail.com>
said in rec.radio.scanner:

>is there anyway to recieve the trunk system on a pro 2040?

You can receive the channels - just program them in. (Don't program
Motorola control channels unless you like listening to digital noise -
that's all you'll hear on those channels.)

But the scanner won't follow a particular talkgroup - you'll just hear
whatever is on whichever channel it stops on.

You can tap the discriminator at pin 9 of the discriminator chip,
IC-2, a MC3361BP. Then you can run a tracker program and control a
computer-controllable scanner to track trunked systems.

> what is a descriminator?

The stage in the receiver that converts the frequency changes (FM)
into audio.

>and are there any mods at all for this scanner?

Probably mods to unblock the 800 MHz cellphone range but, since analog
cellphone usage is pretty sparse, and you can't decode digital
cellphone signals (not aren't allowed to - you can't), those mods are
pretty useless.

4516. Re: handheld scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-12 22:44:28 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <p0scn1590obnvdk9nji64t71ml2lb5elhp@4ax.com>
Source record: 11228

On Sat, 12 Nov 2005 15:51:17 -0500, "Bill Crocker"
<wcrocker007@comcast.net> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>If you don't need "digital", then check out Radio Shack's (Mfg. by GRE),
>PRO-97.
>http://tinyurl.com/bac2e

Or the Pro-94, which should be on sale (store sale only - he'll
probably have to tell them to do a price check) for about $75.

4517. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-13 02:46:50 UTC
From: "Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <uOxdf.95825$Hs.22556@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 11229
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Nurse...can we see your "lada boobs?"
PLEASE???

"Nurse Lada Boobs" <vegateblewoob7@yahoo.nospm.com> wrote in message
news:61fc0$43742337$d1cc7a87$3433@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>
> "Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message
> news:ebb5n19tdf62uv1grbgjd4qvsm3iqf6ne7@4ax.com...
>> Is it my imagination, or has the topic suddenly been filled with sock
>> puppets?
>
> Not to worry Al.
>
> (...unless of course the sock puppets start talking all by themselves
> to their owner at 1:00 in the morning...THEN I would be VERY
> concerned - especially if their conversations are like those of "Mr Hat"
> from Southpark)
>
> :)
>

4518. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-13 02:51:46 UTC
From: "Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <6Txdf.95826$Hs.12689@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 11230
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

That's funny. The most trusted man in America is commented on by the least respected (laughing stock) man in amateur radio.

Wayne-
<netmanager2@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1131566552.306650.245880@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> K1MAN BULLETIN - B051109A -
>
> K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA, WALTER CRONKITE
>
>
> 9 November 2005
>
> Walter Cronkite, KB2GSD
> 51 W. 52 St. Suite 1934
> New York, N.Y. 10019
>
> Walter,
>
> I enjoyed the Neil Armstrong piece on "60 Minutes" yesterday. You
> were sure at the top of your game back then, for sure!
>
> Dan Rather lectured at the University of Maine last week and suggested
> that journalists should get a "spine transplant." I immediately
> thought of you, caving in to anonymous hams and or unconfirmed false
> reports threatening to implicate you as well me with child molesting.
> Check your sources, Walter.
>
> Perhaps you simply handled the matter wrongly. You did an ID for me
> when you were trying to promote your new autobiography. OK so far.
> You attached no limitations to my use of the ID. I used the ID on my
> world wide short wave program. Many of my detractors wrote to you
> and, of course, said I was illegal, etc., and that I was a convicted
> child molester, etc., which you would be associated with. Your
> secretary called me about the child molesting issue. You then wrote
> and asked me to stop using the ID. I agreed if you would come on my
> program and explain your reasons. You declined. I continued
> using the ID so as not to cave in to the blackmail as you were so
> willing to do. Then you call ME unethical?! Who is being unethical
> here?
>
> You hypocrite! You lobby the FCC to revoke my license after John
> F. Kennedy threatened you and CBS with trying to influence the FCC

> when you insisted on running his flubbed remarks during a presidential
> campaign interview with you. You didn't cave in then!
>
> Here is what you should have done with K1MAN. Ignore the idiots or
> else publish a disclaimer. Yes, you did the ID but no, you do not
> endorse AARA, or whatever; not publish a letter on the internet that
> Glenn Baxter is "unethical." Pretty strong word, Walter!
>
> Believe me, if I were illegal doing what W1AW has done for almost 100
> years, the FCC and U.S. Government are smart enough and powerful
> enough to do something about it other than defame me with their silly
> and anemic notices of APPARENT liability. Let's go to court, Walter,
> and I will call you as my first witness! God bless America, the
> land of the free and home of the brave.
>
> The FCC is a corrupt agency. Big deal. This is America, and we do
> have some corruption. We also have laws. I am protected by our
> wonderful laws.
>
> I am devoting a very critical chapter about you in my new
> philosophical autobiography which is being published on the internet
> as I write it. See www.K1MAN.com. Your scurrilous letter about me
> will be all over the internet for many years. You and your employee,
> Brian Crow, sure do take some real cheap shots! I feel truly sorry
> for both of you, but I forgive you both.
>
> God bless you and your family. You looked just great on TV last
> night.
>
> 73,
>
>
>
> (signed)
> Glenn Baxter, K1MAN
>
>
>
> P.S. My book covers your many good traits as well as your very bad
> ones. Let the readers and history be the judge.
>
> Paul wrote to the Philippians, New Living Translation:
>
> 1-28. "Don't be intimidated by your enemies. This will be a sign to
> them that they are going to be destroyed, but that you are going to be
> saved, even by God himself."
>
> And, in the King James Version:
>
> 4-8. "Finally brethren, whatsoever things are true, whatsoever

> things are honest, whatsoever things are just, whatsoever things are
> pure, whatsoever things are lovely, whatsoever things are of good
> report; if there be any virtue, and if there be any praise, think on
> these things."
>
>
> cc: Brian Crow, K3VR
>
>
> AARA Membership
>
> www.K1MAN.com
>
> GLENN BAXTER, K1MAN, AUTOBIOGRAPHY
>
> BELGRADE LAKES INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED RESEARCH
>

4519. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-13 03:15:09 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@pizzamanhamlloyd.org>
Message-ID: <dl6b18kdm0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 11231
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com> wrote in message
news:6Txdf.95826$Hs.12689@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com...
> That's funny. The most trusted man in America is commented on by the least
> respected (laughing stock) man in amateur radio.
>

Yup, Mr Baxter does laugh. As the owner of several hundred
acres of prime Maine real estate, a large estate home, an
extensive well-stocked ham radio shack, owner of a
multi-million dollar trust fund, and having earned an undergraduate
and a graduate degree in engineering with honors, Mr Baxter sure
does laugh, *All The Way To The Bank* And he laughs at
you, sitting there in your 800 sq ft rented hovel, spewing hate
on the internet with your low-end computer and your dial up
connection, drinking cheap beer and waiting for your next
welfare check to arrive.

ROTFLMAO!

4520. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-13 03:16:57 UTC
From: "Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Jeydf.95829$Hs.56639@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 11232
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

I seek every opportunity to poke fun at K1MAN's many faults. This is my sole interest in this blithering blowhard!

Wayne-

"Ernest W Block" <zaw@ernieblocker.net> wrote in message news:dl34ip$120$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1131745126.017647.324080@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Ernest W Block wrote:
>>> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>> news:1131740455.140611.258020@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>> >
>> cut
>>
>>> > by reading the record of letters in the case
>>> >>or how you are qualified
>>> >> to make a psychiatric diagnosis? Where did you do your
>>> >> residency in psychiatry?
>>> >
>>> > neither of the above is required
>>> >
>>>
>>>
>>> Yes "doctor," of course your "diagnosis" is beyond question.
>>> Good luck in the contest, AND your obsession with K1MAN's
>>> personal affairs.
>>
>> I don't care anything about his personal affiars, no interest at all.
>> My interest in K1MAN is limited to the interest I think we all should
>> have in the FCC trying to use and exploit someone less able to mount an
>> effective defense of himself to establish precedent that might be used
>> against the rest of us
>>
>> as to his pesonal affiars, I don't care he ma be bipolar (except as
>> above) or for that matter if he fucks chickens (as long as he own said
>> chickens
>>
>
>
> You K1MAN haters all deny having an obsession with his personal
> affairs, but your actions say otherwise.

>
>
>

4521. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-13 03:52:06 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11nde37av592cad@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 11233
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spam> wrote in message
news:dr.death.is.a.loser@tarrnews.net...
> Do you know how it makes you look when you type "there desks" instead
> of "their desks?" ROTFLMAO
>
>> > Now go play in the traffic, "Dr Death."
>>
>> Go play with your self, LOSER!
>
> At least I can choose the correct English words to put into a
> sentence. That suggests that I'm neither (a) a programmer, nor (b) a
> rec.radio.cb regular.

It proves you are a TROLL

4522. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-13 03:57:23 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11nded3ssbfe39d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 11234
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spam> wrote in message
news:dr.death.speaks.ebonics@tarrnews.net...
> On Fri, 11 Nov 2005 19:34:07 -0600, DrDeath eboniced:
>>
>> Don't be dissin Mr. Hat. LOL
>>
>
> "Dissing - [African American Vernacular English, short for DISRESPECT.]"
> -- http://www.answers.com/dissing&r=67

You could have just asked a black guy at work or anyone who has kids over
the age of 9.

4523. Is there a FAQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-13 05:55:06 UTC
From: GotBonus? <gotbonus@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <UyAdf.484$7B.424@fed1read02>
Source record: 11235

Hello !
Just wanted to view the FAQ for this group to see what questions it may answer for me before I start firing questions at the group.

I have an old uniden 200xlt that I just dug out but think that it has probably reached it point of no return.
My renewed interest in scanning has been brought on by helicopters and squads surrounding and hovering over the neighborhood next to mine last evening in Gilbert Arizona.

I am sure I will have a load of questions, but will be looking for a good versatile handheld scanner to replace this 200xlt.

Thanks !

--
New Online Poker Players ! GotBonus?
Deposit bonus code information for the top poker rooms on the internet.
$25 to $600 added at Partypoker, Full Tilt Poker and Empire Poker.
Details at http://www.GotBonus.com

4524. Re: Beware: tinfoil hats enhance government mind control!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-13 06:32:18 UTC
From: "Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <S5Bdf.95861$Hs.59077@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 11236
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

It works as long as you use Renolyd's Wrap

"Nurse Lada Boobs" <vegateblewoob7@yahoo.nospm.com> wrote in message
news:19f29$437426c2$d1cc7a87$3806@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>
> "Modemac" <modemac@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1131655632.236824.305740@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> MIT study reveals that aluminum foil headwear actually amplifies
>> certain frequency bands allocated to the US government, as well as a
>> mobile phone range, and is largely ineffective through the rest of the
>> radio spectrum:
>>
>> http://people.csail.mit.edu/rahimi/helmet/
>>
>
> This is SCARY SHIT folks !!
>
> Quoting from the above link:
>
> "Among a fringe community of paranoids, aluminum helmets (tinfoil hats)
> serve
> as the protective measure of choice against invasive radio signals. We
> investigate
> the efficacy of three aluminum helmet designs on a sample group of four
> individuals. Using a $250,000 network analyser, we find that although on
> average all helmets attenuate invasive radio frequencies in either
> directions (either emanating from an outside source, or emanating from
> the cranium of the subject), certain frequencies are in fact greatly
> amplified.
> These amplified frequencies coincide with radio bands reserved for
> government use according to the Federal Communication Commission (FCC).
> Statistical evidence suggests the use of helmets may in fact enhance
> the government's invasive abilities. We theorize that the government
> may in fact have started the helmet craze for this reason..."
>
> ---------------------------------
>
> Jeebzus-H-Christ on a kaiser roll with cream cheeze folks !!!!
> Perhaps the loons on domestic short-wave radio who have
> been selling those grossly overpriced questionable gold coins, dollar
> store LED keychain lights for $49.95 as "Berkie Illuminators"
> and colloidal silver south pole magnetic piss-water as magic
> curatives for baldness.....were right all along!

>
> (Alex Jones must be whacking off like a chimpanzee with a bunch of
> bananas in a glass cage at the Cleveland zoo right
> now.....)
>

4525. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-13 07:07:46 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <jhpdn1hpkfa0udufsc7q0pdn4kbq1clmsu@4ax.com>
Source record: 11237
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 12 Nov 2005 21:52:06 -0600, "DrDeath"
<drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>"Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spam> wrote in message
>news:dr.death.is.a.loser@tarrnews.net...
>> Do you know how it makes you look when you type "there desks" instead
>> of "their desks?" ROTFLMAO
>>
>>> > Now go play in the traffic, "Dr Death."
>>>
>>> Go play with your self, LOSER!
>>
>> At least I can choose the correct English words to put into a
>> sentence. That suggests that I'm neither (a) a programmer, nor (b) a
>> rec.radio.cb regular.
>
>It proves you are a TROLL

Erudition is trollish?

4526. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-13 07:09:15 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <rjpdn1to6hu668mul8o3otno4r88cto21l@4ax.com>
Source record: 11238
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 12 Nov 2005 22:15:09 -0500, "Lloyd"
<lloyd@pizzamanhamlloyd.org> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>Yup, Mr Baxter does laugh. As the owner of several hundred
>acres of prime Maine real estate, a large estate home, an
>extensive well-stocked ham radio shack, owner of a
>multi-million dollar trust fund, and having earned an undergraduate
>and a graduate degree in engineering with honors, Mr Baxter sure
>does laugh, *All The Way To The Bank*

Somehow, "Daddy's money" and "intelligent" never seem to meet.

4527. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-13 08:44:32 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11nf22bf798i7a2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 11250
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message
news:jhpdn1hpkfa0udufsc7q0pdn4kbq1clmsu@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 12 Nov 2005 21:52:06 -0600, "DrDeath"
> <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:
>
>>"Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spam> wrote in message
>>news:dr.death.is.a.loser@tarrnews.net...
>>> Do you know how it makes you look when you type "there desks" instead
>>> of "their desks?" ROTFLMAO
>>>
>>>> > Now go play in the traffic, "Dr Death."
>>>>
>>>> Go play with your self, LOSER!
>>>
>>> At least I can choose the correct English words to put into a
>>> sentence. That suggests that I'm neither (a) a programmer, nor (b) a
>>> rec.radio.cb regular.
>>
>>It proves you are a TROLL
>
> Erudition is trollish?

No, your lack of ability to refrain from acting as the newsgroup professor
and inability to follow the thread makes you a troll.

4528. Re: handheld scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-13 11:45:07 UTC
From: Kingjed <gary.johnson99@btopenworld.com>
Message-ID: <1131882307.193721.140650@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11239

try this site out mate

i got my new one from here the icom R5 (IC-R5)

service is tops always ready to advise and can get you anything and
when your ready to say get external arials or upgrade they are willing
to advise for free

the guy is an enthusiast and passionate about the equipment so you cant
go wrong with this chap

http://www.stewart-aviation.co.uk/rs/index-page4.html

4529. Re: Ontario Canada Ambulance Frequencies?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-13 13:17:43 UTC
From: Doug Mitton <doug_mitton@hotmail.x.com>
Message-ID: <soeen1t7vihb1e2beim3vjfv0cjk1hqa7g@4ax.com>
Source record: 11240

No, I haven't yet. I was just going to type in a range of frequencies
as listed in the tables in the link below and see if I hear anything.
I don't have a digital trunk scanner so I'm not sure if it will work
or not.

The tables don't mention Leeds and Grenville BUT do mention Prescott
and Winchester. I really haven't read up enough yet. Maybe someone
else will step in with more info.

Charlie <pDOTcharlie@sympatico.caNADA> wrote:

>Hi Doug,
>
>Did you happen to find the new ambulance channel for Leeds and Grenville
>or know a range that I can search? I can't find anything usable on
>www.radioreference.com or maybe I'm not looking in the right place.
>
>Paul :-)
>
>Doug Mitton wrote:
>
>> Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>On Sun, 23 Oct 2005 21:11:11 -0400, HotShot
>>><MyShotIsHot@HotShotShootsSpam.Com> said in rec.radio.scanner:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Doug Mitton wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>Last week the local Eastern Ontario EMT/Ambulance services (Brockville
>>>>>and Kingston area) switched to a new frequency. They were on 150.100
>>>>>Mhz and they disappeared in 1 day.
>>>
>>>>>Does anyone know of any references to what they may have switched to
>>>>>and any links to scanning them?
>>>
>>>>Yes, they're on the fleetnet trunking system now.
>>>>
>>>>If you do a google search you'll find them.
>>>>
>>>>Mind you there's only one website that has all the information your
>>>>looking for so I suggest finding that one.
>>>
>>><http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=TRSDB&action=regexp&regexp=fleetnet>

>>>might have the information.
>>
>>
>> Thank you! Looks like I have some studying to do! :-)
>>

--
---
http://www3.sympatico.ca/dmitton
SPAM Reduction: Remove "x." from my domain.

4530. Re: Ontario Canada Ambulance Frequencies?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-13 13:47:53 UTC
From: Charlie <pDOTcharlie@sympatico.caNADA>
Message-ID: <%sHdf.243$w84.14872@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 11241

Hi Doug,

Prescott ambulance is covered by Leeds & Grenville Fire Control in
Brockville but still dispatched by the province out of Kingston,
Winchester is covered out of Ottawa.

Paul :-)

Doug Mitton wrote:
> No, I haven't yet. I was just going to type in a range of frequencies
> as listed in the tables in the link below and see if I hear anything.
> I don't have a digital trunk scanner so I'm not sure if it will work
> or not.
>
> The tables don't mention Leeds and Grenville BUT do mention Prescott
> and Winchester. I really haven't read up enough yet. Maybe someone
> else will step in with more info.

--
To reply, change the "DOT" to a "." and canada to "ca".

4531. Re: Is this SPAM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-13 14:14:04 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <GSHdf.10295$GT3.2152@fe02.lga>
Source record: 11242

Is this a disguised piece of SPAM? If not, I'd suggest changing or not posting your signature line. You may not like the results after you are reported multiple times for SPAMming the group...

"GotBonus?" <gotbonus@gmail.com> wrote in message news:UyAdf.484$7B.424@fed1read02...
>
> --
> New Online Poker Players ! GotBonus?
> Deposit bonus code information for the top poker rooms on the internet.
> $25 to $600 added at Partypoker, Full Tilt Poker and Empire Poker.
> Details at http://www.GotBonus.com
>
>
>
>

4532. Re: Pro 2040 trunking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-13 14:53:33 UTC
From: BIGSteve <fitzgerald_s@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131893612.973673.113010@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11243

So if i tap the descriminator chip then somehow hook it up to the computer is there a program that will let me hear the trunked system?

4533. Chicago PD & Fire trunked - Yes or No?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-13 16:43:40 UTC
From: Paul Carter <pwcarter@texas.net>
Message-ID: <m0qen1d838cgc5um7f753btj0gdo9hnqh8@4ax.com>
Source record: 11244

I'm moving from Texas to Chicago in a few weeks (I'll be living in the city, not one of the suburbs). I assumed that the Public Service (PD, Fire, EMS, etc.) in a city of that size would have to be trunked. But, while I was there on a pre-move visit a couple of weeks ago, I called a local Rat Shack, and the clerk told me that only the Illinois State Police is trunked.

Is this information accurate? If so, what type of trunk-tracking scanner do I need to buy to pick up the S.P. (and the C.P.D. / C.F.D., etc., if necessary)? I know that there's more than one type of trunking system in use today, but beyond that, I'm a clueless newbie.

Thanks!

Paul

--

Paul Carter (pwcarter@texas.net)

"There is only one boss: The Customer. And he can fire everybody in the company, from the chairman on down, simply by spending his money somewhere else." - Sam Walton

4534. Question from Germany

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-13 17:31:54 UTC
From: Hans Muecke <luv4tn@arcor.de>
Message-ID: <43777884$0$21952$9b4e6d93@newsread2.arcor-online.net>
Source record: 11245

Howdy guys,

I read a lot about "trunked" systems in this group ... what exactly does it mean?

Talk to you later ... Hans
--
2005/11/13 16:20
EDDS 131620Z 07006KT CAVOK 04/02 Q1021 NOSIG

4535. Re: Question from Germany

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-13 17:58:26 UTC
From: Brengsek! <pilatus@herejezus.nl>
Message-ID: <bhven197nclpj9v20lemcmll7sg5mstd4k@brengsek.invalid>
Source record: 11246

On Sun, 13 Nov 2005 11:31:54 -0600, Hans Muecke <luv4tn@arcor.de>
wrote:

>I read a lot about "trunked" systems in this group ... what exactly does it
>mean?

In German, these systems are called Bï¿½ndelfunk.
http://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/B%C3%BCndelfunk

Rene

--
Brace for impact. Brace! Brace! Brace!

4536. Re: Chicago PD & Fire trunked - Yes or No?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-13 18:00:49 UTC
From: gray-beard <gray-beard@tryandguess.org>
Message-ID: <1sydnTsUe8vG4ureRVn-sg@comcast.com>
Source record: 11247

http://www.radioreference.com/

GB

Paul Carter wrote:

> I'm moving from Texas to Chicago in a few weeks (I'll be living in the
> city, not one of the suburbs). I assumed that the Public Service (PD,
> Fire, EMS, etc.) in a city of that size would have to be trunked. But,
> while I was there on a pre-move visit a couple of weeks ago, I called
> a local Rat Shack, and the clerk told me that only the Illinois State
> Police is trunked.
>
> Is this information accurate? If so, what type of trunk-tracking
> scanner do I need to buy to pick up the S.P. (and the C.P.D. / C.F.D.,
> etc., if necessary)? I know that there's more than one type of
> trunking system in use today, but beyond that, I'm a clueless newbie.
>
> Thanks!
>
> Paul
>
> --
>
> Paul Carter (pwcarter@texas.net)
>
> "There is only one boss: The Customer. And he can fire everybody
> in the company, from the chairman on down, simply by spending his
> money somewhere else." - Sam Walton

4537. Re: Chicago PD & Fire trunked - Yes or No?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-13 18:02:12 UTC
From: "Richard Carlson, N9JIG" <usenet@usenet.net>
Message-ID: <usenet-DD994C.12021213112005@comcast.dca.giganews.com>
Source record: 11248

In article <m0qen1d838cgc5um7f753btj0gdo9hnqh8@4ax.com>,
Paul Carter <pwcarter@texas.net> wrote:

> I'm moving from Texas to Chicago in a few weeks (I'll be living in the
> city, not one of the suburbs). I assumed that the Public Service (PD,
> Fire, EMS, etc.) in a city of that size would have to be trunked. But,
> while I was there on a pre-move visit a couple of weeks ago, I called
> a local Rat Shack, and the clerk told me that only the Illinois State
> Police is trunked.
>
> Is this information accurate? If so, what type of trunk-tracking
> scanner do I need to buy to pick up the S.P. (and the C.P.D. / C.F.D.,
> etc., if necessary)? I know that there's more than one type of
> trunking system in use today, but beyond that, I'm a clueless newbie.

The main dispatch for CPD is on 460.xxx conventional repeaters, 13
"Zones" and 7 "Citywides". There are a couple simplex UHF tac channels
as well.

CPD also has a Motorola Type II analog trunked system for detectives,
surveillance, special details etc.

CFD is dispatched on 2 VHF repeaters for Fire and 2 UHF repeaters for
EMS. They are working (slowly) on a UHF T-Band Astro system but that
will be a while yet...

There is also some specialty trunked systems around, such as an 800 MHz.
analog at O'Hare for city ops like Police and Fire.

The State Police used a pair of 10 channel EDACS analog trunked systems.
Cook County Sheriff is in the process of deploying an Astro 800 MHz.
trunked system. Suburban police are mostly on UHF T-Band for police and
VHF for fire, but there are several analog and digital 800 MHz. trunked
systems in the area.

Go to www.carmachicago.com for more info, and if you join the
CarmaChicago Yahoo group at http://groups.yahoo.com/group/carmachicago/
you can download a complete pdf file detailing all the Chicago
operations. You can also email me direct and I will send you the files
you want.

Enjoy!

Rich Carlson, N9JIG
rich at n9jig dot com

4538. Re: Question from Germany

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-13 18:34:13 UTC
From: Hans Muecke <luv4tn@arcor.de>
Message-ID: <4377871f$0$21941$9b4e6d93@newsread2.arcor-online.net>
Source record: 11249

Sonntag, 13. November 2005 11:58
Brengsek! wrote in rec.radio.scanner:

> On Sun, 13 Nov 2005 11:31:54 -0600, Hans Muecke <luv4tn@arcor.de>
> wrote:
>
>>I read a lot about "trunked" systems in this group ... what exactly does
>>it mean?
>
> In German, these systems are called Bï¿œndelfunk.
> http://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/B%C3%BCndelfunk

Thanks Rene ...

English is my second language, but sometimes I miss the "fine tuning".

Wir mailen uns ... Hans
--
2005/11/13 17:20
EDDS 131720Z 07008KT CAVOK 03/02 Q1021 NOSIG

4539. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-13 18:53:36 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <2r2fn1h4aul0efmdjsoo99mfoeg4i00ndu@4ax.com>
Source record: 11251
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 13 Nov 2005 02:44:32 -0600, "DrDeath"
<drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>"Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message
>news:jhpdn1hpkfa0udufsc7q0pdn4kbq1clmsu@4ax.com...
>> On Sat, 12 Nov 2005 21:52:06 -0600, "DrDeath"
>> <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:
>>
>>>"Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spam> wrote in message
>>>news:dr.death.is.a.loser@tarrnews.net...
>>>> Do you know how it makes you look when you type "there desks" instead
>>>> of "their desks?" ROTFLMAO
>>>>
>>>>> > Now go play in the traffic, "Dr Death."
>>>>>
>>>>> Go play with your self, LOSER!
>>>>
>>>> At least I can choose the correct English words to put into a
>>>> sentence. That suggests that I'm neither (a) a programmer, nor (b) a
>>>> rec.radio.cb regular.
>>>
>>>It proves you are a TROLL
>>
>> Erudition is trollish?
>
>No, your lack of ability to refrain from acting as the newsgroup professor
>and inability to follow the thread makes you a troll.
>
Mine? Did you lose track of who's who here? Or do you think that
anyone who disagrees with you is the same person? It was Lloyd's
erudition that was under your attack. (Those who attack their betters
merely lower themselves further.)

4540. Re: Pro 2040 trunking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-13 18:56:47 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <tt2fn1968k0bvm7k2gkgspbph0dj4a3od8@4ax.com>
Source record: 11252

On 13 Nov 2005 06:53:33 -0800, "BIGSteve" <fitzgerald_s@hotmail.com>
said in rec.radio.scanner:

>So if i tap the descriminator chip then somehow hook it up to the
>computer is there a program that will let me hear the trunked system?

There's a program (maybe more than one) that will provide serial port
signals to a scanner that can be computer controlled (only Uniden
scanners can be controlled that way at this point) to make it follow
the trunk groups. But it can be a cheap scanner - as long as it can
be computer controlled and it can cover the frequencies you want. It
doesn't even need a working keyboard or display, since you're not
going to be controlling it, and the computer can't press the keys or
read the display.

4541. Re: Is this SPAM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-13 18:57:44 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <h43fn15h5ue3eu58ac821q0t1qpqeg9bju@4ax.com>
Source record: 11253

On Sun, 13 Nov 2005 08:14:04 -0600, "PowerHouse Communications"
<PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>Is this a disguised piece of SPAM?

No, it's blatant, undisguised SPAM. :)

4542. Re: Is there a FAQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-13 18:59:11 UTC
From: GotBonus? <gotbonus@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <02Mdf.39$K26.28@fed1read02>
Source record: 11254

Apologies for the sig in the previous post, I did indeed forget to remove
it, it was NOT intended to be spam.

"GotBonus?" <gotbonus@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:UyAdf.484$7B.424@fed1read02...
> Hello !
> Just wanted to view the FAQ for this group to see what questions it may
> answer for me before I start firing questions at the group.
>
> I have an old uniden 200xlt that I just dug out but think that it has
> probably reached it point of no return.
> My renewed interest in scanning has been brought on by helicopters and
> squads surrounding and hovering over the neighborhood next to mine last
> evening in Gilbert Arizona.
>
> I am sure I will have a load of questions, but will be looking for a good
> versatile handheld scanner to replace this 200xlt.
>
> Thanks !
>

4543. Re: Chicago PD & Fire trunked - Yes or No?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-13 19:07:12 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <783fn1h5mqkq26ce52meubrltstk4qeb2p@4ax.com>
Source record: 11255

On Sun, 13 Nov 2005 10:43:40 -0600, Paul Carter <pwcarter@texas.net>
said in rec.radio.scanner:

>Is this information accurate? If so, what type of trunk-tracking
>scanner do I need to buy to pick up the S.P. (and the C.P.D. / C.F.D.,
>etc., if necessary)?

A RS Pro-97 or Uniden 246 will do. Or, if you want to prepare for
digital in the future, a RS Pro-96 or Uniden 396. (I'm assuming
hand-held. Everything but the 396 comes in mobile flavors too.) The
SP system is digital, so if that's important to you ("important", as
in a couple of hundred dollars more) your choices are the Pro-96 (or
2096 mobile), the Uniden 396 or, if you want computer control, digital
and mobile, the Uniden 996 which a) won't be out for a few months and
b) will carry a price tag near $900.

You have to decide whether you want analog-only/analog-digital,
computer control or just computer programming, fire tone-out (Uniden
only), frequency counter (Uniden only), etc. Look at all the specs,
and the prices (RS has in-store reductions on at least the Pro-97 -
maybe the 96 also - through the middle of December, but only in-store,
not on line), and decide which one best suits your needs,
reception-wise, feature-wise and price-wise.

Remember, only you can prevent ... er ... make the choice. :)

4544. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-13 19:14:16 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11nf447tg8cgp8a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 11256
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message
news:2r2fn1h4aul0efmdjsoo99mfoeg4i00ndu@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 13 Nov 2005 02:44:32 -0600, "DrDeath"
> <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:
>
>>"Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message
>>news:jhpdn1hpkfa0udufsc7q0pdn4kbq1clmsu@4ax.com...
>>> On Sat, 12 Nov 2005 21:52:06 -0600, "DrDeath"
>>> <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:
>>>
>>>>"Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spam> wrote in message
>>>>news:dr.death.is.a.loser@tarrnews.net...
>>>>> Do you know how it makes you look when you type "there desks" instead
>>>>> of "their desks?" ROTFLMAO
>>>>>
>>>>>> > Now go play in the traffic, "Dr Death."
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Go play with your self, LOSER!
>>>>>
>>>>> At least I can choose the correct English words to put into a
>>>>> sentence. That suggests that I'm neither (a) a programmer, nor (b) a
>>>>> rec.radio.cb regular.
>>>>
>>>>It proves you are a TROLL
>>>
>>> Erudition is trollish?
>>
>>No, your lack of ability to refrain from acting as the newsgroup professor
>>and inability to follow the thread makes you a troll.
>>
> Mine? Did you lose track of who's who here? Or do you think that
> anyone who disagrees with you is the same person? It was Lloyd's
> erudition that was under your attack. (Those who attack their betters
> merely lower themselves further.)

I did lose track and am man enough to apologize.

4545. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-13 19:26:43 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131910003.818405.312580@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11257
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

DrDeath wrote:
> "Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message
> news:2r2fn1h4aul0efmdjsoo99mfoeg4i00ndu@4ax.com...
> > On Sun, 13 Nov 2005 02:44:32 -0600, "DrDeath"
> > <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:
cut
> > Mine? Did you lose track of who's who here? Or do you think that
> > anyone who disagrees with you is the same person? It was Lloyd's
> > erudition that was under your attack. (Those who attack their betters
> > merely lower themselves further.)
>
> I did lose track and am man enough to apologize.

Good for you Sir

I salute you for this couargous act

4546. Re: Question from Germany

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-13 19:50:57 UTC
From: Brengsek! <pilatus@herejezus.nl>
Message-ID: <k36fn1hi4tsjs24l080gps78u94lsb71hg@brengsek.invalid>
Source record: 11258

On Sun, 13 Nov 2005 12:34:13 -0600, Hans Muecke <luv4tn@arcor.de>
wrote:

>>>I read a lot about "trunked" systems in this group ... what exactly does
>>>it mean?

>> In German, these systems are called Bï¿½ndelfunk.
>> http://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/B%C3%BCndelfunk
>
>Thanks Rene ...
>
>English is my second language, but sometimes I miss the "fine tuning".

Kein Thema, Hans. English is my second language as well. German comes
third, French fourth. Improving on my Spanish, though ;-)

Tschï¿½ss!
Rene

--
Brace for impact. Brace! Brace! Brace!

4547. Re: Question from Germany

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-13 20:01:03 UTC
From: Brengsek! <pilatus@herejezus.nl>
Message-ID: <pm6fn19um03ldopvrejo7c3gditns1bq58@brengsek.invalid>
Source record: 11259

On Sun, 13 Nov 2005 20:50:57 +0100, Brengsek! <pilatus@herejezus.nl>
wrote:

>>English is my second language, but sometimes I miss the "fine tuning".

>Kein Thema, Hans. English is my second language as well. German comes
>third, French fourth. Improving on my Spanish, though ;-)

Which reminds me -

How do you call someone who speaks three languages? - Tri-lingual
How do you call someone who speaks two languages? - Bi-lingual
How do you call someone who speaks one language? - American. British
will do equally.

No offense meant ;-)

--
Brace for impact. Brace! Brace! Brace!

4548. Re: Ontario Canada Ambulance Frequencies?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-13 20:08:44 UTC
From: Doug Mitton <doug_mitton@hotmail.x.com>
Message-ID: <m47fn1hsqbfrug2h084lc67uj2q91cbljr@4ax.com>
Source record: 11260

I still get the fire department fine, OPP still seems active on one of
their old repeaters and Brockville Police still use the old frequency
(Provincial Common) quite often; BUT I haven't found ambulance yet BUT
I haven't been doing a lot of searching either. Maybe I should just
add in the Prescott frequency and see what happens. :-)

Charlie <pDOTcharlie@sympatico.caNADA> wrote:

>Hi Doug,
>
>Prescott ambulance is covered by Leeds & Grenville Fire Control in
>Brockville but still dispatched by the province out of Kingston,
>Winchester is covered out of Ottawa.
>
>Paul :-)
>
>Doug Mitton wrote:
>> No, I haven't yet. I was just going to type in a range of frequencies
>> as listed in the tables in the link below and see if I hear anything.
>> I don't have a digital trunk scanner so I'm not sure if it will work
>> or not.
>>
>> The tables don't mention Leeds and Grenville BUT do mention Prescott
>> and Winchester. I really haven't read up enough yet. Maybe someone
>> else will step in with more info.

--
---
http://www3.sympatico.ca/dmitton
SPAM Reduction: Remove "x." from my domain.

4549. Re: Question from Germany

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-13 21:34:27 UTC
From: Bill <Bill@birchnet.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <QpulsVNeE7dDFwvv@birchnet.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 11261

In message <pm6fn19um03ldopvrejo7c3gditns1bq58@brengsek.invalid>,
Brengsek! <pilatus@herejezus.nl> writes
>How do you call someone who speaks three languages? - Tri-lingual
>How do you call someone who speaks two languages? - Bi-lingual
>How do you call someone who speaks one language? - American. British
>will do equally.
>
>No offense meant ;-)
None taken, It is too true!!!
We just shout a lot and hope for the best !!!!!!!!!!

>

--
Bill (English)

4550. Antenna's for Dummies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-13 23:34:17 UTC
From: "E.F." <fadely@cox.net>
Message-ID: <X3Qdf.5327$mm5.1495@dukeread03>
Source record: 11262

Is there a web site out there that bring's it down to the basics?? I want to build an antenna for my portable YB400 but do not understand what a balun or turner is or what it does. I also need to find out if my small back yard is large enough for an antenna. What is overload and how do I prevent it...

...stuff like that

Thanks

EF

4551. Oops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-13 23:35:48 UTC
From: "E.F." <fadely@cox.net>
Message-ID: <m5Qdf.5329$mm5.5239@dukeread03>
Source record: 11263

Sorry, wrong group...

They are right next to each other on my list...

EF

"E.F." <fadely@cox.net> wrote in message
news:X3Qdf.5327$mm5.1495@dukeread03...
> Is there a web site out there that bring's it down to the basics?? I want
> to build an antenna for my portable YB400 but do not understand what a
> balun or turner is or what it does. I also need to find out if my small
> back yard is large enough for an antenna. What is overload and how do I
> prevent it...
>
>
> ...stuff like that
>
> Thanks
>
> EF
>

4552. Re: Latin Fast House

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-14 00:13:49 UTC
From: Pooh Bear <poohbear@the.zoo>
Message-ID: <polfn11rl39tqesf4t27o6flftf88bid2u@4ax.com>
Source record: 11264
Cross-posted to: alt.fashion,rec.woodworking,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.antiques.radio+phono,alt.tv.survivor,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On 12 Nov 2005 20:21:53 -0800, "SueB" <zobgob@yahoo.com> wrote:

>WTF is this doing in alt.fashion?
>
>Sue
>
>"Clinton lied, but no one died."

It is fashionable to be Latino and all that goes with it.

Did you get an invitation yet?

4553. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-14 04:35:46 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <vv4gn1l1par4a9lolbba7qi0cgss0csrhv@4ax.com>
Source record: 11265
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 13 Nov 2005 13:14:16 -0600, "DrDeath"
<drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>I did lose track and am man enough to apologize.

Okay, I can accept that. But apologize to Lloyd.

4554. Re: Question from Germany

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-14 04:39:19 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <r45gn1h3h845t9m0b2654u1d88s95tqn20@4ax.com>
Source record: 11266

On Sun, 13 Nov 2005 21:01:03 +0100, Brengsek! <pilatus@herejezus.nl>
said in rec.radio.scanner:

>On Sun, 13 Nov 2005 20:50:57 +0100, Brengsek! <pilatus@herejezus.nl>
>wrote:
>
>>>English is my second language, but sometimes I miss the "fine tuning".
>
>>Kein Thema, Hans. English is my second language as well. German comes
>>third, French fourth. Improving on my Spanish, though ;-)
>
>Which reminds me -
>
>How do you call someone who speaks three languages? - Tri-lingual
>How do you call someone who speaks two languages? - Bi-lingual
>How do you call someone who speaks one language? - American. British
>will do equally.

>No offense meant ;-)

None taken by this American, but you miscounted. Americans, these
days, speak no language. Some of us older folk still remember how to
speak our native tongue, though.

4555. Re: Antenna's for Dummies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-14 04:44:24 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <p85gn1h38mofouea2fuj1bb41njq3i97vm@4ax.com>
Source record: 11267

On Sun, 13 Nov 2005 18:34:17 -0500, "E.F." <fadely@cox.net> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>Is there a web site out there that bring's it down to the basics?? I want
>to build an antenna for my portable YB400 but do not understand what a balun
>or turner is or what it does. I also need to find out if my small back yard
>is large enough for an antenna. What is overload and how do I prevent it...

Nothing wrong with posting the questions in this group.

How you build an antenna depends on the frequency you want to build it for.

Unless you're talking about a frequency lower than 10 MHz or so, a few square feet is enough. Vertical antennas don't need much space.

Overload is simply giving the receiver more signal than it's designed to take. Like putting 40 ounces of water in a pint container. Unless your receiver is within a foot or two of a portable transmitter, or 10 or so feet from a base transmitting antenna, it won't do any permanent damage, but it can cause all sorts of interference.

Try
<http://www.google.com/search?q=%22receiver+overload%22&sourceid=mozilla-search&start=0&start=0&ie=utf-8&oe=utf-8&client=firefox-a&rls=org.mozilla:en-US:official>
to read about it.

4556. FS: AC Adapters, Grab Bag!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-14 04:45:18 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <OOOdnQz-2YP_i-XenZ2dnUVZ_tqdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 11268

FOR SALE: AC Adapters, Grab Bag!

1- Creative, 9VDC, 700ma, black
1- Radio Shack #20-188 9VDC, 300ma, black, center pin is Negative!
1- Panasonic 12VDC, 500ma, black, center pin is Negative!
1- Motorola (for StarTAC 3000), 5.9VDC, 400ma, black
1- Unknown brand, 12VDC, 300ma, black, center pin is positive
1- Radio Shack #19-1202, 12VDC, 70ma, black, center pin is positive
1- Radio Shack #273-1767, 9VDC, 300ma, white, new style, changable tip
1- Radio Shack #273-1773, 12VDC, 500ma, white, new style, changable tip
1- Radio Shack #273-1758, 6VDC, 300ma, white, new style, changable tip
1- Archer, #273-1652B, 12VDC, 500MA, black, changable tip

$35.00 for all, including shipping! (U.S. only)

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

4557. Re: Is there a FAQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-14 06:31:45 UTC
From: Bob Parnass <not-for-mail@fake.invalid>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.11.14.06.31.45.82851@fake.invalid>
Source record: 11269
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 12 Nov 2005 18:12:32 -0700, GotBonus? wrote:

>
> Just wanted to view the FAQ for this group to see what questions it may
> answer for me before I start firing questions at the group.

See the articles at:

<ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-group/rec.radio.scanner>

--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S GNU/Linux User http://parnass.com

4558. Re: handheld scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-14 07:24:00 UTC
From: keith <mke1965@harbornet.com>
Message-ID: <2oegn1dj87cipf8vakqv2hnctm6vm94e7u@4ax.com>
Source record: 11270

Uniden br330t is your scanner. 0 - 1300 minus cellular. great
scanner. also of importance is the ability to modulate in wfm which
some of the other's do not ;)

I have owned every handheld scanner from yupi, aor (all), rs, yaesu,
icom and this one is really great!!!! Just does not do cellular
zzzzzzzzz, and digital.

Keith
On Sat, 12 Nov 2005 20:47:20 GMT, Peter Vollan <pvollan@jps.net>
wrote:

>I may soon be in the market for a handheld, and I need it to be
>cheap.... any suggestions?
>
>I'd like it to have full 800 MHz coverage, but I'm more interested in
>900 to 912 MHz.
>
>A trunktracker is probably more than I need and more than I'd like to spend.

4559. (OT) : The Inverted "CK" Antenna - For that Rumble Bumble in Your Head !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-14 07:37:29 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1131953849.801692.220380@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11271
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

NLB - Oh No You Didn't Mention "Aluminum Helmets" - AKA : Tin-Foil Hats

Off in the distance there it was . . .
all shinny and strangely out of place.

Slowly I crept-up-on-it - foot-by-foot - inch-by-inch
CREEP ! Creep. creep,
Then I could see it clearly - - -
It had been worn, deformed and discarded - alone !

I knew what I had to do - - -
So I Leaped and Wrestled with It
and finally with much screaming and yelling I had it
Firmly on My Head - At Last I was Safe - We Were
Once Again United and Free from Them - The Outside
was Out There and Now Only 'i' was with-in
- Free to Be Me : hee, Hee. HEE ! {Mad About Me :o}
[We Are We An We Be Three :<] ~ RHF

WARNING: Aluminum and Tin Foil Deflector Beanies simply will
NOT get the job done in today's High RFI and EMF Environment.
When one wears a Tin Foil Hat . . .
.
Tin Foil Hat firmly ensconced :
One tries to impress, by the Waving of Hands and Shouting
without making a single concrete point, or any point at all.
Yes it is an Art Form of Pure Hand Waving Rhetoric without
a single meaningful idea to get in the way of the Craziness.

IASF: Aluminum and Tin Foil are poor substitutes for solid Copper !
The Inverted "CK" Antenna (Patent Pending) is the Ultimate
Solution for All Your Cranial Reception and Cerebral
Interference Needs. CAUTION: When Properly Installed :o}
"Near Field Mode": "Tune-In-the-Truth"
(What Ever It May Be)
- - - A-n-D - - -
"Far Field Mode": "Tune-Out-the-Absurd"
(I Don't Know What You Call It... But I Know It - When I Hear It !)
YES- The Inverted "CK" Antenna - For that Rumble Bumble in Your Head !
One-Moment-Please:
Time to Check and Adjust my 'Inverted "CK" Antenna'
AHaaa... Now I Am Safe :o)
NOTE: With the 'Inverted "CK" Antenna' I have been able to Cut My
MEDs in Half: But they still won't let me use sharp objects yet ;-{
OBTW: A Non-Inverted "CK" Antenna when half filled with Ice

makes a great 'Chiller' for a Six-Pak of Beer ;-}
So Say I ~ RHF
The Inventor of the 'Inverted "CK" Antenna'. {Patient Pending ;-}
.
FWIW - Screaming Howard Dean head of the DNC wears
a "Tin Foil {Hat} Beanie" to Bed Every Night as part of his contract
with the DNC.
DNC = Democrats kNow Crazy
.
It Is So Simple to use the 'Inverted "CK" Antenna':
1. Go to your local Flea Market / Swap Meet.
2. Find a "Cazo de Cobre" Copper Kettle [Pot] that will cover
your Head (either partially or completely).
3. Clean it up and Polish it so that it Shines inside and out.
4. Invert the "CK" [Copper Kettle]
5. Place the "CK" on your Head. {Inverted "CK" Antenna}
never mind, - For the Voices and Noise are Gone.
Never Mind. - For the Strange Thoughts and Ideas are Gone.
NEVER MIND! - For Your Reality is with the Boundaries of the "CK" and
within the "CK" Your Mind is Your Own and... That Can Be Frightening !
wow - it's time to put the solid copper kettle pot back on ~ RHF
[The Tin Foil Hat Just Can't Get The Crazy Job Done.]

.
Hell Some People Think I Speak in "Tin-Foil-Hat English"
.
WARNING: Aluminum and Tin Foil Deflector Beanies simply will
NOT get the job done in today's High RFI and EMF Environment.
IASF: Aluminum and Tin Foil are poor substitutes for solid Copper !
.
The Inverted "CK" Antenna (Patent Pending) is the Ultimate
Solution for All Your Cranial Reception and Cerebral
Interference Needs. CAUTION: When Properly Installed :o}
.
"Near Field Mode": "Tune-In-the-Truth"
(What Ever It May Be)
.
- - - A-n-D - - -
"Far Field Mode": "Tune-Out-the-Absurd"
(I Don't Know What You Call It... But I Know It - When I Hear It !)
.
YES- The Inverted "CK" Antenna - For that Rumble Bumble in Your Head !
.
One-Moment-Please:
Time to Check and Adjust my 'Inverted "CK" Antenna'
AHaaa... Now I Am Safe :o)
.
NOTE: With the 'Inverted "CK" Antenna' I have been able to Cut My
MEDs in Half: But they still won't let me use sharp objects yet ;-{
.

OBTW: A Non-Inverted "CK" Antenna when half filled with Ice
makes a great 'Chiller' for a Six-Pak of Beer ;-}
.
cOOPER kETTLE eNGLISH - mAY bE ;-}
.
So Say I ~ RHF
The Inventor of the 'Inverted "CK" Antenna'. {Patient Pending ;-}
.
.
. .
.

4560. Re: Is there a FAQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-14 10:59:30 UTC
From: GotBonus? <gotbonus@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <h6_df.128$K26.66@fed1read02>
Source record: 11272
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Bob Parnass" <not-for-mail@fake.invalid> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.11.14.06.31.45.82851@fake.invalid...
> On Sat, 12 Nov 2005 18:12:32 -0700, GotBonus? wrote:
>
>>
>> Just wanted to view the FAQ for this group to see what questions it may
>> answer for me before I start firing questions at the group.
>
> See the articles at:
>
> <ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-group/rec.radio.scanner>
> ==
> Bob Parnass, AJ9S GNU/Linux User http://parnass.com
>

Thanks for the resource !

4561. Re: Chicago PD & Fire trunked - Yes or No?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-14 11:29:10 UTC
From: "Richard Carlson, N9JIG" <usenet@usenet.net>
Message-ID: <usenet-20D303.05291014112005@comcast.dca.giganews.com>
Source record: 11273

In article <783fn1h5mqkq26ce52meubrltstk4qeb2p@4ax.com>,
Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote:

> On Sun, 13 Nov 2005 10:43:40 -0600, Paul Carter <pwcarter@texas.net>
> said in rec.radio.scanner:
>
> >Is this information accurate? If so, what type of trunk-tracking
> >scanner do I need to buy to pick up the S.P. (and the C.P.D. / C.F.D.,
> >etc., if necessary)?
>
> A RS Pro-97 or Uniden 246 will do. Or, if you want to prepare for
> digital in the future, a RS Pro-96 or Uniden 396. (I'm assuming
> hand-held. Everything but the 396 comes in mobile flavors too.) The
> SP system is digital, so if that's important to you ("important", as
> in a couple of hundred dollars more) your choices are the Pro-96 (or
> 2096 mobile), the Uniden 396 or, if you want computer control, digital
> and mobile, the Uniden 996 which a) won't be out for a few months and
> b) will carry a price tag near $900.
>
> You have to decide whether you want analog-only/analog-digital,
> computer control or just computer programming, fire tone-out (Uniden
> only), frequency counter (Uniden only), etc. Look at all the specs,
> and the prices (RS has in-store reductions on at least the Pro-97 -
> maybe the 96 also - through the middle of December, but only in-store,
> not on line), and decide which one best suits your needs,
> reception-wise, feature-wise and price-wise.
>
> Remember, only you can prevent ... er ... make the choice. :)

The State Police system is still analog EDACS, no digital at all.

It is true however that eventually the ISP system will migrate to the
digital StarCom system but the Chicago area will be the last to go, not
likely to occur until at least 2008.

Currently only the McLean County area (Bloomington/Normal) is actively
using StarCom, some other downstate sites are in testing phase.

4562. BC246T Linux Control Program Tcl/Tk

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-14 14:06:15 UTC
From: Keith <spam@spam.spam>
Message-ID: <dla5k702g6b@news3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 11274

I have created a program to control the BC246T using the Tcl/Tk
program. You can download it at

http://home.comcast.net/~kilowattradio/ftp/
The program is free and is released under the GPL.

4563. Re: Latin Fast House

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-14 18:19:33 UTC
From: Cannibinal <hannible@lech.ter>
Message-ID: <rflhn1562fjreb3u5bgkv52q4nkffg3qs5@4ax.com>
Source record: 11275
Cross-posted to:
alt.fashion,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.audio.pro,rec.games.pinball,alt.funk-you

On Mon, 14 Nov 2005 17:09:18 GMT, "Buck"
<Buck@Buckarooville.cowtown.net> all the way from Buckarooville, USA
managed to form a sentence and show the whole world just how
intelligent a cowtown boy can be when he drawwwllled...

>You are an idiot.
>
>PLONK!!
>
>
>"SueB" <zobgob@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:1131855713.712314.133540@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>> WTF is this doing in alt.fashion?
>>
>> Sue
>>
>> "Clinton lied, but no one died."
>>
>

Do you know where the latin fast house is? Do you need an invitation?

4564. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-14 19:14:25 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@lloydsfriendpizza.org>
Message-ID: <dlanjqdah0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 11276
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message
news:vv4gn1l1par4a9lolbba7qi0cgss0csrhv@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 13 Nov 2005 13:14:16 -0600, "DrDeath"
> <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:
>
>>I did lose track and am man enough to apologize.
>
> Okay, I can accept that. But apologize to Lloyd.

There is more than one Lloyd posting here. Only Wiseman continually
thinks some guy named Lloyd in Alabama is the one and only
Lloyd posting here. All one has to do is examine the properties
of the post, but Wiseman, despite his correspondence Masters Degree
from DeVry, is unable to do that. BTW, I am not the offended
Lloyd. I have lived in Detroit all my life.

73,

Lloyd

4565. Re: Ontario Canada Ambulance Frequencies?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-14 21:37:48 UTC
From: Charlie <pDOTcharlie@sympatico.caNADA>
Message-ID: <sr7ef.1120$w84.206932@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 11277

Hi Doug,

Don't get rid of 150.100 yet, I heard the Kingston dispatcher using it
today to communicate with an air ambulance...

Paul :-)

Doug Mitton wrote:
> I still get the fire department fine, OPP still seems active on one of
> their old repeaters and Brockville Police still use the old frequency
> (Provincial Common) quite often; BUT I haven't found ambulance yet BUT
> I haven't been doing a lot of searching either. Maybe I should just
> add in the Prescott frequency and see what happens. :-)

--
To reply, change the "DOT" to a "." and canada to "ca".

4566. Cheap Trunker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-14 22:14:48 UTC
From: Mick <lesnightfly@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <nh2in1pvf6fapp68btu1o2j78lv7bhecj7@4ax.com>
Source record: 11278

I am getting back into the scanner situation, I own a old, I repeat
old aor 1000 and an aor 8200. I miss the trunkered situations though.
Portability is not an issue. I am looking at both the 2051 and the
handheld 97. Can either be used with an USB connection?
Thank You.

4567. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-14 22:46:10 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11ni4tgeafact91@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 11279
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message
news:vv4gn1l1par4a9lolbba7qi0cgss0csrhv@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 13 Nov 2005 13:14:16 -0600, "DrDeath"
> <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:
>
>>I did lose track and am man enough to apologize.
>
> Okay, I can accept that. But apologize to Lloyd.

If you mean the Lloyd that continues to correct my spelling and grammar, I
think not.

4568. Can you believe this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-15 00:23:02 UTC
From: Home Boy <homeboy@campfed.joint.for.life>
Message-ID: <pl6in15hjf3m7c7gu8rb1709jkb3uk4lqf@4ax.com>
Source record: 11280
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

http://action.truemajority.org/ct/C1SvrE91xzvg

4569. replacing a bc 200XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-15 00:52:26 UTC
From: GotBonus? <gotbonus@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <9jaef.188$K26.47@fed1read02>
Source record: 11281

I have an old bc200xlt that I am going to replace with a new model.
BUT I really don't know what I need.
I am in the Phoenix area...Gilbert specifically and would like to be able to
listen to police,ambulance, fire, air and if possible military in the area

I like the idea of being able to program it via software(I remember seeing
a site that will program "it" for 29 bucks...but I cant find the link).
If I get a digital unit are they backwards compatible for analog
frequencies?

Any recommendations for a good all around handheld?

Thanks

4570. Re: Can you believe this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-15 01:22:25 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <4ce6b$4379383b$4fc473d$6082@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 11282
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Home Boy" <homeboy@campfed.joint.for.life> wrote in message
news:pl6in15hjf3m7c7gu8rb1709jkb3uk4lqf@4ax.com...
>
>
> http://action.truemajority.org/ct/C1SvrE91xzvg

More left wing, bleeding heart liberal BS propaganda.
God Bless the U.S.A.
and
God Bless our military personnel and our veterans.
Ace - WH2T

4571. Mission Accomplisthed !!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-15 01:35:17 UTC
From: Hop a Long <lmnop@qrs.tuv>
Message-ID: <ukdin116gcj6h56q42q6f0npg8cqr15khs@4ax.com>
Source record: 11283
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Doubleya is finally losing it. He'll be wandering the Whitehouse asking for advice from Lincoln and Washington before long.

Bwahahahahahahahahaha

Published on Sunday, November 13, 2005 by The New Republic
The Worst Speech of Bush's Presidency
by David Kusnet

For speechwriters drafting a presidential address for a patriotic holiday such as Independence Day, Memorial Day, or Veterans Day, there are three rules: Don't be wordy; don't be wonky; and, most important, don't be partisan. In his Veterans Day remarks today at the Tobyhanna Army Depot near Wilkes-Barre, Pennsylvania, President Bush and his staff broke all three rules, producing a strident speech that went on for almost 50 minutes, included a lengthy comparison of "Islamic radicalism" and "the ideology of communism," and concluded by attacking "some Democrats," while taking an implicit shot at "my opponent during the last election." It may have been the worst speech of his presidency.

At a time when Bush would benefit from sounding cheerful, forward looking, and above partisan politics, just as Ronald Reagan did during his second term even in the midst of the Iran-Contra scandal, Bush instead sounded like Richard Nixon or Lyndon Johnson during the worst days of the Vietnam War, although neither is remembered for flubbing a speech on a national holiday. It's as if Bush was reading from a cue-card that proclaimed, "Message: I'm embattled and embittered."

When a president speaks angrily and defensively for almost an hour, he might well be extemporizing, but that clearly was not true of this president and this speech: We know this because while the address may have seemed interminable, it was not ungrammatical, and it subjected listeners to a lecture about a bewildering array of personalities and events, including "Al Qaeda's number two man, a guy named Zawahari"; "his chief deputy in Iraq, the terrorist Zarqawi"; the Syrian democracy advocate Kamal Labwani; and "the Mehlis investigation into the assassination of Lebanon's former prime minister."

There is a time and place for such a detailed explanation of world events, but it is a formal speech at a major academic institution such as Georgetown or West Point -- not a commemorative occasion such as today, when the president should speak as the leader of the entire nation.

Moreover, Veterans Day is certainly not the venue for a president to attack the opposition party or single out a defeated opponent, as Bush did today. Towards the end of his speech, Bush declared, "While it's perfectly legitimate to criticize my decision or the conduct of the war, it is deeply irresponsible to rewrite the history of how that war began." He criticized "some Democrats and antiwar critics" for "claiming we manipulated the intelligence and misled the American people about why we went to war." Then he did something that no president in recent memory has done: He took what could be read as an implicit shot at the man he defeated, explaining, "Many of these critics supported my opponent during the last election, who explained his position to support the resolution in Congress this way." Bush then quoted Kerry's statement defending his vote to authorize the use of force in Iraq -- essentially holding Kerry up for ridicule, since Kerry is now a war critic. Do you remember Clinton criticizing Bob Dole in 1997; or Reagan criticizing Walter Mondale in 1985; or even Nixon criticizing George McGovern in 1973? Of course not -- second-term presidents tend to co-opt, not condemn, defeated opponents.

Bush's speech also adopted two of Nixon's smarmiest rhetorical techniques: attacking the nameless but nefarious "some," just as Nixon used to disagree with "some who say"; and lumping together very different people--the villainous "some Democrats," the "antiwar critics" who could be anyone from Russ Feingold to Ramsey Clark, and, finally, Kerry himself.

What's most remarkable about this speech is how Bush has bungee-jumped from the rhetorical high-road he usually takes to the lowest road any recent president has taken on a national holiday. Unlike previous presidents from both parties, Bush up until now has rarely attacked the opposition party, individual adversaries, or even ideological categories. (For instance, unlike Reagan and Nixon, he has rarely if ever criticized liberals or secularists.) So it is especially surprising that a president who generally avoided attacking his opponents in State of the Union speeches is now attacking them in a Veterans Day address; and it seems a sign that his shrewdest advisers -- Karl Rove, Karen Hughes, and Michael Gerson -- had no input into this speech.

As for the substantive points in the speech, they were either familiar or flimsy. Once again, Bush defended the Iraq war only after reporting on less controversial endeavors, such as the response to 9/11, the Afghanistan war, and efforts to destroy Al Qaeda.

Less familiar was Bush's lengthy comparison of Islamic extremism with the Communism of the Cold War era. Both, Bush said, were violent, dictatorial, and "dismissive of free peoples." But Communism was also atheist and internationalist, while Al Qaeda is neither. If current enemies have to be equated with twentieth-century totalitarianisms, why not compare Islamic extremism with fascism, which made more use of nationalist emotions and was less hostile to religion?

This was a speech that presented Bush's case implausibly and inappropriately. It's hard for a president to sound unpresidential on a patriotic holiday, but Bush achieved that dubious distinction today.

David Kusnet was chief speechwriter for former President Bill Clinton from 1992 through 1994. He is writing a book about workplace conflicts in today's America, Love the Work, Hate the Job, for John Wiley and Sons.

Copyright 2005, The New Republic

FAIR USE NOTICE
This site contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available in our efforts to advance understanding of environmental, political, human rights, economic, democracy, scientific, and social justice issues, etc. We believe this constitutes a 'fair use' of any such copyrighted material as provided for in section 107 of the US Copyright Law. In accordance with Title 17 U.S.C. Section 107, the material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving the included information for research and educational purposes. For more information go to: http://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/17/107.shtml. If you wish to use copyrighted material from this site for purposes of your own that go beyond 'fair use', you must obtain permission from the copyright owner.

4572. Re: Can you believe this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-15 01:35:54 UTC
From: Rob <Robwilson@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ef5fc$43793ba7$8b371c2a$5782@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 11284
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Propaganda my ass....its the truth!

I guess your too fucking stupid to watch what comes outta congress and the
UN and outta Bushites mouth and see the difference

By now I guess you have forgot I called you stupid eh?

Right wing.....No Memory
"Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com> wrote in message
news:4ce6b$4379383b$4fc473d$6082@DIALUPUSA.NET...
>
> "Home Boy" <homeboy@campfed.joint.for.life> wrote in message
> news:pl6in15hjf3m7c7gu8rb1709jkb3uk4lqf@4ax.com...
> >
> >
> > http://action.truemajority.org/ct/C1SvrE91xzvg
>
> More left wing, bleeding heart liberal BS propaganda.
> God Bless the U.S.A.
> and
> God Bless our military personnel and our veterans.
> Ace - WH2T
>
>

4573. Re: Can you believe this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-15 01:43:01 UTC
From: Harveyat8c43z0@aol.com
Message-ID: <1132018981.522423.45080@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11285
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

http://www.ajc.com/opinion/content/shared-blogs/ajc/luckovich/index.html

4574. PRO-93 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-15 01:48:39 UTC
From: Andre <pequiste@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <79fin1d12ddlq834gsql9f8jg9thbuj581@4ax.com>
Source record: 11286

I got a pro-93 and reception was poor now no reception at all,
everything seems to work but no signal, I think there was a common
problem on thoses, someone remember about that?

4575. Re: PerCon WTF?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-15 02:17:33 UTC
From: kla1899 <spam@ftc.gov>
Message-ID: <Xns970ED9BD11402nottodaycom@130.81.64.196>
Source record: 11287

Michael Harpe <mike@ple-technology.com> wrote in news:200511061023595007 3%
mike@pletechnologycom:

> http://wireless.fcc.gov/database_info.html

Well after spending several min on that site all we could find is

"Licensee Name Callsign / File Num Status Service" no frequ's???

--
kla1899

4576. Re: Can you believe this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-15 02:20:01 UTC
From: Home Boy <homeboy@campfed.joint.for.life>
Message-ID: <0bhin1176g1ih44j181r197dnvrk6ses09@4ax.com>
Source record: 11288
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 14 Nov 2005 19:45:29 -0600, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:

>Rob,I believe you are Stupid.
>cuhulin

It doesn't much matter what you believe. Any moron that posts from webtv doesn't have a clue to begin with. So what you believe or say is inconsequential.

Notice I didn't have to stoop to your level to call you STUPID? I didn't think so.

4577. Re: radio prices

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-15 02:25:13 UTC
From: kla1899 <spam@ftc.gov>
Message-ID: <Xns970EDB0A0F690nottodaycom@130.81.64.196>
Source record: 11289

Never Anonymous Bud <newskat@katxyzkave.net> wrote in
news:5nkan1d64f2gdc8f9ihps0g66kgov5vii4@4ax.com:

> Using a finger dipped in purple ink, "Hamradiostuffing"
> <hamradiostuffing@hotmail.com> scribed:
>
>>This is a snip from EHAM
>>
>>'Has anyone noticed the price jump on Icom's IC-706 MKIIG.
>
> Is that a scanner? Never heard of it. Does it do trunking??
>
>
>
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
> This sig censored by the Office of Home and Land Insecurity...
>
> Remove XYZ to email me
>

noit's a "Ultra compact HF/6 meter/2 meter/440MHz transceiver"
and has nothing to do with scanners....

--
kla1899

4578. Re: Mission Accomplisthed !!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-15 15:56:58 UTC
From: MnMikew <mnmiikkew@aol.com>
Message-ID: <3tueqaFtv0ncU1@individual.net>
Source record: 11290
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

"Hop a Long" <lmnop@qrs.tuv> wrote in message
news:ukdin116gcj6h56q42q6f0npg8cqr15khs@4ax.com...

"David Kusnet was chief speechwriter for former President Bill Clinton"

4579. (OT) : Hop-A-Long - I Wish You Would ~ RHF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-15 16:16:13 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1132071373.416175.257510@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11291
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Hop-A-Long - I Wish You Would ~ RHF

Gee - Another Democrat Party of the USA Sock-Puppet Posting
an Off-Topic Political Message to a Shortwave Radio NewGroup.

Wow - I Am All Excited - Howard Dean's "Scream It To The People"
Daily Talking Points from the DNC {Democrat National Communtern}

Howdy - At Least Put and (OT) : at the start of the Subject Line.

4580. (OT) : Home Boy - Another Democrat Party of the USA Sock-Puppet Posting an Off-Topic Political Message to a Shortwave Radio NewGroup.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-15 16:44:08 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1132073048.841229.319670@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11292
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Home Boy - Go Home ! ~ RHF

Gee - Another Democrat Party of the USA Sock-Puppet Posting
an Off-Topic Political Message to a Shortwave Radio NewGroup.

Wow - I Am All Excited - Howard Dean's "Scream It To The People"
Daily Talking Points from the DNC {Democrat National Communtern}

Howdy - At Least Put and (OT) : at the start of the Subject Line.
.
.
. .
.

4581. Re: Digging for Scanners on the Internet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-15 17:32:13 UTC
From: martin@hydrogen.cis.okstate.edu
Message-ID: <dld62t$4s5$1@hydrogen.cis.okstate.edu>
Source record: 11293

In article <Xns96F5C17AE3D75mrthomdddn666shooba@64.59.144.76>,
Finkstinger <Whirled@piece.invalid> wrote:
>If you're still looking for Los Angeles try this (it's a Windows media
>file): mms://68.171.134.111:8080

Thanks!! It works.

I think the last time I ever listened to LAPD live was
probably on 1730 KHZ from Arkansas in the Fall of 1966.

As most on this list are probably aware, the frequencies just
above the AM broadcast band were used for public safety between around
1930 and even in to the mid sixties. By the sixties, when I was old
enough to appreciate what I was hearing, the vast majority of public
safety radio traffic was in either the VHF low band of 30-50 MHZ or
the VHF high band of 150-174 MHZ. I remember hearing that a few
cities like Chicago were even going in to the 450 MHZ ranges.

If you had a good short wave receiver around central Oklahoma
or Arkansas at night, you mostly heard navigational beacons from the
coasts between 1600 KHZ and the top of the 160-meter amateur band.
Sometimes, however, one could still hear an echo of the not so ancient
past.

My most vivid memory of such an experience was New Year's Eve
in 1965. My family lived in North Central Oklahoma at the time and I
was tuning just above the AM broadcast band that evening and heard a
clear signal consisting of several mostly female and a few male voices
speaking in rapid cadence. Having watched "Dragnet," at times, I
thought this might be Los Angeles police calls. I think I heard
street names and so forth that made it pretty certain that this must
be LA.

I kept listening as the signal was unusually good that evening
and finally heard a male voice give the call letters followed by
"Los Angeles Police Department, ten-fifteen P.M." One can't get any
more positive of an ID than that.

In Oklahoma, it was now 15 minutes in to 1966. Later that
year, we would move to Hot Springs, Arkansas and I do vaguely remember
hearing Los Angeles a few more times on 1730, but I also kind of
remember listening for a period of time and not hearing them any more
that Fall and Winter so they must have discontinued the AM transmitter
in the Fall of 1966.

I must say as I sit here listening to the mix of conventional
analog and obviously digital transmissions that things have certainly

changed in 39 years.:-).

Again, many thanks.
--

Martin McCormick WB5AGZ Stillwater, OK
Information Technology Division Network Operations Group

4582. Re: PRO-93 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-15 20:39:11 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <-8Sdnf4lJvfv2ufeRVn-jQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 11294

Check inside, look at the solder connection to the BNC antenna connector.

Bill Crocker

"Andre" <pequiste@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:79fin1d12ddlq834gsql9f8jg9thbuj581@4ax.com...
>I got a pro-93 and reception was poor now no reception at all,
> everything seems to work but no signal, I think there was a common
> problem on thoses, someone remember about that?

4583. Re: Mission Accomplisthed !!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-15 22:34:53 UTC
From: Brian Hill <jonnysocko@jps.net>
Message-ID: <iotef.8306$Cw4.8252@fe03.lga>
Source record: 11295
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

"Hop a Long" <lmnop@qrs.tuv> wrote in message
news:ukdin116gcj6h56q42q6f0npg8cqr15khs@4ax.com...
> Doubleya is finally losing it. He'll be wandering the Whitehouse
> asking for advice from Lincoln and Washington before long.
>
> Bwahahahahahahahahaha

Oh Boy! Another one legged mother f--cker in an ass kicking contest.

B.H.

4584. Need help with new Uniden BC 895XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-15 22:49:59 UTC
From: danparkhurst@sbcglobal.net
Message-ID: <rCtef.15092$D13.1609@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 11296

I bought a new Uniden BC 895XLT scanner. To use it in Austin Texas, It is supposed to pick up Austin Police. All I can get is a loud "buzzing" on their channels (900-T channels). The reciever is a "trunk-tracker" and is for CTCSS opereations. I asked the police comminications officer about what kind of signal they use and they refuse to tell the public. Im a retired fire man and they have no low here prohibiting my listening.

Please, does any here have any kind of idea of what kind of signal Austin, Texas uses and if my new Bearcat BC 895XLT
is capable of working with their local signals.

I have the book but cannot make it work on the local police radios. It works great on other police and fire calls.
Thanks any one so much.

4585. Re: Ontario Canada Ambulance Frequencies?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-15 22:52:44 UTC
From: Doug Mitton <doug_mitton@hotmail.x.com>
Message-ID: <8jpkn11vh2foc7mvt19vt2qblnhrp11l4q@4ax.com>
Source record: 11297

Charlie <pDOTcharlie@sympatico.caNADA> wrote:

>Hi Doug,
>
>Don't get rid of 150.100 yet, I heard the Kingston dispatcher using it
>today to communicate with an air ambulance...
>
>Paul :-)
>
>Doug Mitton wrote:
>> I still get the fire department fine, OPP still seems active on one of
>> their old repeaters and Brockville Police still use the old frequency
>> (Provincial Common) quite often; BUT I haven't found ambulance yet BUT
>> I haven't been doing a lot of searching either. Maybe I should just
>> add in the Prescott frequency and see what happens. :-)

Thanks for the info. I don't typically delete any as I still have
fair capacity in my IC-T90A. Thats why I still leave the OPP in there
as well ... they still fall back to the old system.

I do have to get this new one figured out though!

--
---
http://www3.sympatico.ca/dmitton
SPAM Reduction: Remove "x." from my domain.

4586. Re: Ontario Canada Ambulance Frequencies?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-15 23:39:15 UTC
From: HotShot <MyShotIsHot@HotShotShootsSpam.Com>
Message-ID: <Gkuef.2092$w84.366586@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 11298

Have you thought about using any of the vehicle repeater frequencies?

I'm sure there's either an ambulance base or hospital in the area.

Try these.

http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&aid=575

Doug Mitton wrote:
> Charlie <pDOTcharlie@sympatico.caNADA> wrote:
>
>
>>Hi Doug,
>>
>>Don't get rid of 150.100 yet, I heard the Kingston dispatcher using it
>>today to communicate with an air ambulance...
>>
>>Paul :-)
>>
>>Doug Mitton wrote:
>>
>>>I still get the fire department fine, OPP still seems active on one of
>>>their old repeaters and Brockville Police still use the old frequency
>>>(Provincial Common) quite often; BUT I haven't found ambulance yet BUT
>>>I haven't been doing a lot of searching either. Maybe I should just
>>>add in the Prescott frequency and see what happens. :-)
>
>
> Thanks for the info. I don't typically delete any as I still have
> fair capacity in my IC-T90A. Thats why I still leave the OPP in there
> as well ... they still fall back to the old system.
>
> I do have to get this new one figured out though!
>

4587. Re: Can you believe this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-16 00:27:59 UTC
From: Brian Hill <jonnysocko@jps.net>
Message-ID: <k2vef.73850$RG4.19494@fe05.lga>
Source record: 11299
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Home Boy" <homeboy@campfed.joint.for.life> wrote in message

> It doesn't much matter what you believe. Any moron that posts from
> webtv doesn't have a clue to begin with. So what you believe or say is
> inconsequential.
>
> Notice I didn't have to stoop to your level to call you STUPID? I
> didn't think so.

What doe's WebTV have to do with being stupid? Using your superior skills of reasoning and deduction one would deduce that someone with the name of "Home Boy" must be a ignorant, gangbanging, drugdealing, inner-city thug.

Notice how I had to stoop to your level to show how STUPID your thinking is?

BH

4588. Re: Can you believe this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-16 01:22:11 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <767-437A89C3-1295@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 11300

Ahhhh,excuse me,,, I don't think there is anything wrong with this Piano.(Ray Charles,Blues Brothers movie) Webtv can do some things computers can not do (why else would I hang on to webtv?) and computers can do some things (why else would I hang on?) webtv can not do.So,I have the best of both Worlds.And if that guy over yonder in Rankn County next door to Jackson prefers to go ahead on and pay $564.00 for a new license plate for his new Motorcycle,,, why shouldn't I hang on to my hobby?
cuhulin

4589. Re: PRO-93 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-16 03:00:03 UTC
From: Andre <pequiste@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <t48ln110ll6ctba8bju7umg78opf92481g@4ax.com>
Source record: 11151

I did but it seems fine,,,,,
thanks
On Tue, 15 Nov 2005 15:39:11 -0500, "Bill Crocker"
<wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote:

>Check inside, look at the solder connection to the BNC antenna connector.
>
>Bill Crocker
>
>
>
>"Andre" <pequiste@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:79fin1d12ddlq834gsql9f8jg9thbuj581@4ax.com...
>>I got a pro-93 and reception was poor now no reception at all,
>> everything seems to work but no signal, I think there was a common
>> problem on thoses, someone remember about that?
>

4590. Re: radio prices

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-16 03:06:14 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Gmxef.10405$ek6.7524@news-wrt-01.rdc-nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 11152

>
>
> noit's a "Ultra compact HF/6 meter/2 meter/440MHz transceiver"
> and has nothing to do with scanners....
>
That explains why the post is in a scanner group.

4591. Re: (OT) : Home Boy - Another Democrat Party of the USA Sock-Puppet Posting an Off-Topic Political Message to a Shortwave Radio NewGroup.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-16 03:49:32 UTC
From: John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <437AAC54.6BC2ED47@shaw.ca>
Source record: 11153
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

RHF wrote:

> Home Boy - Go Home ! ~ RHF
>
> Gee - Another Democrat Party of the USA Sock-Puppet Posting
> an Off-Topic Political Message to a Shortwave Radio NewGroup.
>
> Wow - I Am All Excited - Howard Dean's "Scream It To The People"
> Daily Talking Points from the DNC {Democrat National Communtern}
>
> Howdy - At Least Put and (OT) : at the start of the Subject Line.
> .
> .
> . .
> .

RHF!

You are ready for Soylent Grey!

JB

4592. Re: (OT) Soylent Green is SHEEPLE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-16 04:21:52 UTC
From: m II <None@telus.ca>
Message-ID: <Atyef.113318$S4.7173@edtnps84>
Source record: 11154
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

John Barnard wrote:

> You are ready for Soylent Grey!

..sounds like Soylent Green leftovers...

mike

4593. Re: Mission Accomplisthed !!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-16 04:24:56 UTC
From: m II <None@telus.ca>
Message-ID: <swyef.113319$S4.92442@edtnps84>
Source record: 11155
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Brian Hill wrote:

> Oh Boy!

..cough...cough...cough....

mike

4594. Re: (OT) : Home Boy - Another Democrat Party of the USA Sock-Puppet Posting an Off-Topic Political Message to a Shortwave Radio NewGroup.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-16 08:28:36 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1132129716.863519.281730@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11156
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

JB - No but "Home Boy" seems to like his
special brand of Liquid Soylent {Cool-Aid} ~ RHF
.
.
. .
.

4595. Re: Need help with new Uniden BC 895XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-16 11:59:27 UTC
From: "E.F." <fadely@cox.net>
Message-ID: <vaFef.7642$mm5.6244@dukeread03>
Source record: 11157

In accordance with this web site Austin is part of the Austin/Travis County Project 25 trunked system. The only frequencies listed for Austin are conventional which are linked to the Project 25 system.

http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&aid=16

Not sure if your 895 will track the whole system or what it's going to sound like when you enter them in to your scanner

EF
Virginia Beach

<danparkhurst@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:rCtef.15092$D13.1609@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
>I bought a new Uniden BC 895XLT scanner. To use it in Austin Texas, It is
> supposed to pick up Austin Police. All I can get is a loud "buzzing" on
> their channels (900-T channels). The reciever is a "trunk-tracker" and is
> for CTCSS opereations. I asked the police comminications officer about
> what
> kind of signal they use and they refuse to tell the public. Im a retired
> fire man and they have no low here prohibiting my listening.
>
> Please, does any here have any kind of idea of what kind of signal
> Austin,
> Texas uses and if my new Bearcat BC 895XLT
> is capable of working with their local signals.
>
> I have the book but cannot make it work on the local police radios. It
> works great on other police and fire calls.
> Thanks any one so much.

4596. Re: Ontario Canada Ambulance Frequencies?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-16 12:28:22 UTC
From: Charlie <pDOTcharlie@sympatico.caNADA>
Message-ID: <cAFef.1449$KP5.247155@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 11158

Hi HotShot,

I have tried a few of the 413.XXX channels and not heard a peep out of them. The Ambulance service has changed over to Bell Fleetnet and dropped the Motorola channels other then using 150.100 for air ambulance and to contact Brockville Control to get them to open and close doors when the arrive back at the fire halls they are based at.

Not even sure what band to start searching to find the new channel.

Paul :-)

HotShot wrote:
> Have you thought about using any of the vehicle repeater frequencies?
>
> I'm sure there's either an ambulance base or hospital in the area.
>
> Try these.
>
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&aid=575

--
To reply, change the "DOT" to a "." and canada to "ca".

4597. GRE 225 / Commtel 225

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-16 14:25:59 UTC
From: niall.mcguffog@ntlworld.com
Message-ID: <1132151159.528221.72950@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11159

Hello everyone,

Does anyone know where I could get a schematic diagram for the above scanner. Preferably online. My problem is the scanner will not receive any signals at all, not even a signal strength.
If anyone has any answers I would appreciate it.

thanks, Niall

4598. SCANNING USA, How They Really Feel!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-16 14:34:49 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <xK6dnR7dLcsS3ubenZ2dnUVZ_tOdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 11160

I picked up the December 2005 issue of SCANNING USA magazine yesterday. On page 8, under a title of "The News From Ground Zero", with a sub title of "Behind The Scenes, The Scanner Community Uncensored", was an interesting story directed at their customers!

The first paragraph begins with the words, "Obsessive, hostile, combative, angry and paranoid"! They claim these words apply to "to those of the scanner community"! Later they qualify that by saying this applies to a small percentage, about 10%, but they said it just the same. The entire story talks about all the different kinds of abuse they receive from unsatisfied customers, attempting to inquire about the status of their subscription.

The article go's on about how obsessed some scanner listeners are, and sites a few examples of occurrences that have been in the news. The story quickly changes to how professional SCANNING MAGAZINE staff is, and how serious they take the hobby.

According to SCANNING USA magazine, "We feel that to get a subscription is a privilege" If you rattle their cage they will "REFUSE your order". It must be nice to be so successful, and have so much business, that you can take this attitude! It's interesting to note, no one took credit for this article, as it was nameless!

I had attempted to subscribe to SCANNING USA myself, several months back. Some of you may recall, I inquired on "RR" as to how long this process took, as I had been waiting over three months. I wasn't obsessive, hostile, combative, angry or paranoid. I just asked, because like everyone, you want to get what you paid for. Some of you suggested I call them. I didn't because I didn't think it should be necessary. I'm glad now I didn't. God forbid I might upset someone!

My personal feelings are that it's very apparent that no one ever told the staff at SCANNING USA that "the customer is always right". Now we all know that isn't a true statement, but in business, when you're selling a product, it almost always applies, if you want to keep customers. I've worked many jobs where I've had to deal with obsessive, hostile, combative, angry and paranoid clients, in the computer industry for many years. I never had the luxury to tell them I will "REFUSE" them service. Nor have I ever read in any other magazine, the staff complaining about how some of their customers treat them! I'm paying $6.00 for a magazine about "SCANNING", not "WHINING"!

On the positive side, I liked SCANNING USA magazine, as evidence by the fact that I just paid $6.00 for it off the news stand (because my attempt to subscribe failed). But it's not something I can't live without. In the 60 pages, only 25 pages were what I would call useful content. The balance was

ads, and 29 pages of frequency updates, from around the nation, aka filler. I also like Monitoring Times, and Popular Communications magazines, both of which I subscribed to with no problems. Neither one of these magazines have ever complained about their customers! Maybe because they don't have internal problems servicing their customers.

4599. CBer designs small Linux vox control program

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-16 16:40:53 UTC
From: Jan Panteltje <pNaonStpealmtje@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <dlfnerfib1@news.datemas.de>
Source record: 11161
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Well I enjoyed RSGB radio book when I was younger,
and other interesting amateur electronic circuits.
So I decided it share this with you.
Maybe it is not suitable for amateurs...
But it works great for me.

4600. BC396T MPT1327?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-16 20:15:41 UTC
From: stuart <stuart.doc@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132172141.341801.226870@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11162

Can anyone tell me if the BC396T scans MPT1327 traffic?

_+_
Stuart

4601. Re: Question from Germany

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-16 22:12:23 UTC
From: Christopher O'Callaghan <d.ocallaghan@iolfree.ie>
Message-ID: <dlgas6$b2v$1@reader01.news.esat.net>
Source record: 11163

Guten Tag Hans,
to answer your question hans.Trunked systems are basically lots of frequencies crammed into each other.As the future comes ore frequencies are required and eventually there will be no room, so thats when trunked systems come in.They use either tones to make contact with a certain individual and take them to a vacant frequency and so on and so on.German is my second language by the way:-)

chris
Ireland

"Hans Muecke" <luv4tn@arcor.de> wrote in message
news:43777884$0$21952$9b4e6d93@newsread2.arcor-online.net...
> Howdy guys,
>
> I read a lot about "trunked" systems in this group ... what exactly does
> it
> mean?
>
> Talk to you later ... Hans
> --
> 2005/11/13 16:20
> EDDS 131620Z 07006KT CAVOK 04/02 Q1021 NOSIG

4602. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-16 22:32:55 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <rncnn1tunfjbbak1s8sreldcq6gopci0dq@4ax.com>
Source record: 11164
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On 12 Nov 2005 12:48:53 GMT, "Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spam> wrote:

>On Fri, 11 Nov 2005 19:19:28 -0600, DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> "Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spam> wrote in message
>> news:8ee97017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net...
>> >
>> > K1MAN is a great man because he's passionate about the real law and he
>> > defends the little guy against the FCC toadies with his own lawyers.
>> > The FCC bureaucrats are scared to death of K1MAN.
>> >
>>
>> Yeah, right, the FCC is cringing behind there desks in fear of the allmighty
>> K1MAN. ROFLMAO
>
>Do you know how it makes you look when you type "there desks" instead
>of "their desks?" ROTFLMAO
>
>> > Now go play in the traffic, "Dr Death."
>>
>> Go play with your self, LOSER!
>
>At least I can choose the correct English words to put into a
>sentence. That suggests that I'm neither (a) a programmer, nor (b) a
>rec.radio.cb regular.

Fuck off, lunatic. Since when don't programmers have a
facility with English. Many of the programmers I work with have
degrees in multiple languages, including English.

You're only showing yourself to be an ignorant little crap
with your grammar/spelling nazi weaselshit.

4603. Re: Ontario Canada Ambulance Frequencies?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-17 03:30:32 UTC
From: HotShot <MyShotIsHot@HotShotShootsSpam.Com>
Message-ID: <wPSef.3036$w84.543193@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 11165

Well, they are on VHF Fleetnet, check out Radioreference they're all there. If you don't have a trunking radio to be able to listen to them, then you have no choice but to use the vehicle repeaters. Try using them without the pl tone enabled. also search around 410-420 mhz and look for them there.

You will only hear the EMS on the UHF frequencies if there's a unit in the area. if not. your sol.

good luck.
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=TRSDB&sid=2560

Charlie wrote:
> Hi HotShot,
>
> I have tried a few of the 413.XXX channels and not heard a peep out of
> them. The Ambulance service has changed over to Bell Fleetnet and
> dropped the Motorola channels other then using 150.100 for air ambulance
> and to contact Brockville Control to get them to open and close doors
> when the arrive back at the fire halls they are based at.
>
> Not even sure what band to start searching to find the new channel.
>
> Paul :-)
>
> HotShot wrote:
>
>> Have you thought about using any of the vehicle repeater frequencies?
>>
>> I'm sure there's either an ambulance base or hospital in the area.
>>
>> Try these.
>>
>> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&aid=575
>
>

4604. Re: Listen to scanners.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-17 03:34:38 UTC
From: Scott in Baltimore <sDcOoNtTtS_PuAnMiMtE_69@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <94WdnVjcFcLZZ-benZ2dnUVZ_sOdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 11166
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Dana wrote:
> Can someone send me a link to listen to a scanner over the internet? I
> am looking for a stream that will work with winamp or windows media
> player. They work best with a screen reader, thanks.
>

live365.com used to have a lot

4605. Re: replacing a bc 200XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-17 04:01:03 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <900on11r985urf3s6qj5as68venc7h7k6b@4ax.com>
Source record: 11167

On Mon, 14 Nov 2005 17:52:26 -0700, "GotBonus?" <gotbonus@gmail.com>
said in rec.radio.scanner:

>I like the idea of being able to program it via software(I remember seeing
>a site that will program "it" for 29 bucks.

If you can post to usenet you can program a scanner - you don't need
anyone else programming it for you.

>If I get a digital unit are they backwards compatible for analog
>frequencies?

Yes.

>Any recommendations for a good all around handheld?

For digital? Radio Shack Pro-96 or Uniden BCD396T. For analog only,
Uniden BC246. (The Radio Shack equivalent, the Pro-97, won't survive
rebanding.)

4606. Re: PRO-93 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-17 04:03:02 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <g60on1dnnan5or72lcd9op62pb2s7kti0p@4ax.com>
Source record: 11168

On Tue, 15 Nov 2005 22:00:03 -0500, Andre <pequiste@hotmail.com> said
in rec.radio.scanner:

>I did but it seems fine,,,,,

A hairline crack would keep the scanner from receiving. Reflow the
solder. It might fix it, and it can't hurt.

4607. Re: (OT) Soylent Green is SHEEPLE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-17 07:21:50 UTC
From: John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <437C2F99.4FC248A4@shaw.ca>
Source record: 11169
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

m II wrote:

> John Barnard wrote:
>
> > You are ready for Soylent Grey!
>
> ..sounds like Soylent Green leftovers...
>
> mike

Beyond a certain age, it all goes grey.

JB

4608. Re: handheld scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-17 11:17:41 UTC
From: Kingjed <gary.johnson99@btopenworld.com>
Message-ID: <1132226261.918409.252220@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11170

the IC-R5 can do cellular you just have to find a programme off the net
and connect your scanner via the cable and decode your heart out

4609. Re: Ontario Canada Ambulance Frequencies?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-17 12:00:43 UTC
From: Charlie <pDOTcharlie@sympatico.caNADA>
Message-ID: <cg_ef.2213$KP5.303678@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 11171

Thanks very much, I'll post the frequency if I pick it up.

Paul :-)

HotShot wrote:
> Well, they are on VHF Fleetnet, check out Radioreference they're all
> there. If you don't have a trunking radio to be able to listen to them,
> then you have no choice but to use the vehicle repeaters. Try using
> them without the pl tone enabled. also search around 410-420 mhz and
> look for them there.
>
> You will only hear the EMS on the UHF frequencies if there's a unit in
> the area. if not. your sol.
>
> good luck.
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=TRSDB&sid=2560
>
> Charlie wrote:
>
>> Hi HotShot,
>>
>> I have tried a few of the 413.XXX channels and not heard a peep out of
>> them. The Ambulance service has changed over to Bell Fleetnet and
>> dropped the Motorola channels other then using 150.100 for air
>> ambulance and to contact Brockville Control to get them to open and
>> close doors when the arrive back at the fire halls they are based at.
>>
>> Not even sure what band to start searching to find the new channel.
>>
>> Paul :-)
>>
>> HotShot wrote:
>>
>>> Have you thought about using any of the vehicle repeater frequencies?
>>>
>>> I'm sure there's either an ambulance base or hospital in the area.
>>>
>>> Try these.
>>>
>>> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&aid=575
>>
>>
>>

--
To reply, change the "DOT" to a "." and canada to "ca".

4610. Re: BC396T MPT1327?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-17 14:14:34 UTC
From: "Jonathan Clough, Javiation, Bradford, UK" <Clough@Javiation.co.uk>
Message-ID: <oI1bfZCKBJfDFw6D@Javiation.co.uk>
Source record: 11172

In article <1132172141.341801.226870@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
stuart <stuart.doc@gmail.com> writes

>Can anyone tell me if the BC396T scans MPT1327 traffic?

No it won't I'm afraid.

--
Cheers,
JC
Javiation - Scanners & receivers from all the leading manufacturers
Telephone 01274 639503 International: +44 1274 639503
http://www.javiation.co.uk

4611. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-17 15:23:47 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1132241027.668474.90240@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11173
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Lardass Lloyd wrote:
> "Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message
> news:vv4gn1l1par4a9lolbba7qi0cgss0csrhv@4ax.com...
> > On Sun, 13 Nov 2005 13:14:16 -0600, "DrDeath"
> > <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:
> >
> >>I did lose track and am man enough to apologize.
> >
> > Okay, I can accept that. But apologize to Lloyd.
>
>
> There is more (You see Wiseman behind every post, fucknuts.)

Quit lying, you fat mentally ill retard.

Behold he shall ride upon a hard dick and his name shall be Lloyd Austin Davies.

And it came to pass that the king of knob jobs in Alabama, (as Lloyd is known) was gone for a long time, and some of the people celebrated and some speculated that he had died, some speculated that he was ill, some speculated that he was in Maryland at Ray Chason's apartment, and some even thought that the king of knob jobs in Alabama had been made a sex slave by Ray Chason and his butt buddies. It was then the people started to rejoice.

And when the niggers and crackheads heard the murmuring and rumours of the people they assured them that the king of knob jobs in Alabama was just busy sucking on one of his nigger neighbors big black dick and would address the people as soon as he could swallow that big load if nigger jizz. The neighbors in his hud apartment complex also knew that the project was the sucking of nigger dicks and telling lies and more lies, but they did not tell the people this for they did not desire to have a vice squad nightmare in the city of Deliverance Extras. Alabama liked to hear about the fat retarded one who proclaimed he was the king of knob jobs in Alabama.

And the niggers and crackheads reassured the people that everything was all right, and told them to prepare pyres and to cook meat, and to ice down beer and nehi soda, and to go out and buy potato chips and other unhealthy snacks from the traders who had immigrated to the kingdom from vietnam and the land of gaza. and so the went down to these little stores owned and bought these things. and they went home and warmed up their tv's, for the sabbath approached and the sabbath would bring more action from the mediocre football team at u of alabama, and the sabbath

would bring plenty of exciting nob gobbling action and goo gobbling from the king of knob jobs in Alabama.

The king of knob jobs in Alabama in reality is a ghastly pale porker who stands only 5'6" and weighs an unhealthy 290 Lbs. His skin is as white as copying paper due to his night job of delivering pizzas to the ghetto neighborhood where he dwells in a filthy, roach infested hud hovel. He doesn't venture outside during the daylight hours, instead preferring to play with star trek and dalek dolls, post lies and filth on the internet and jack off and dream of finally actually getting some pussy. Not stick pussy which his homosexual lover Steve Holsten feeds him several times a year when Lloyd goes for his visits, usually around Thanksgiving.

Lately, the king of knob jobs in Alabama has been consumed with posting pictures of someone he says is Roger Wiseman. In fact, the obviously botched purple rendering of somebody else has been cut and pasted, photoshopped and distributed all over the internet by the king of knob jobs in Alabama and his homosexual fantasy lover Ray Chason who is the king of knob jobs in Maryland. Chason who is also a porker has set up a blog of Roger's ex-wife "Regina" telling even more lies. Roger wasn't ever married to anyone named Regina. Of course Chason and Lloyd refuse to let truth get in the way of their lies, like their butt buddy friends who post lies, lies and more lies about anybody who reveals the truth about this band of homosexual predators.

4612. Re: Listen to scanners.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-17 15:49:23 UTC
From: "A.Pismo Clam" <Alaininvc@'86DASPAMnetscape.net>
Message-ID: <ql-dnV3e-_oZO-HenZ2dnUVZ_sadnZ2d@got.net>
Source record: 11174
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

http://live-scanner.net/faq/teamspeak-faq.htm
http://forum.goteamspeak.com/index.php
http://www.scanct.com/
http://search.netscape.com/ns/search?query=live+scanner+streaming+audio&x=17&y=11&st=webresults&fromPage=NSCPResults

4613. New scanner Icom IC-R1500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-17 20:50:29 UTC
From: bla <nomail@nomail.nl>
Message-ID: <1f3ad$437ced0c$3ec20fde$2874@news.chello.nl>
Source record: 11175

Hi,

I have started a new Yahoo-group for the new Icom scanner
called IC-R1500

It's similar to the wellknown blackbox called PCR1000

The new is that this one now goes up to 3300 Mhz !

Just like the PCR1000 you control it from a PC
but the IC-R1500 comes with a remote control-head included !

Check out the new group here:

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ICOM-IC-R1500/

You're welcome :)

4614. SACRAMENTO RADIO STORES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-18 00:18:11 UTC
From: Randy Walden <smallscreen@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <1711200516181119 94%smallscreen@shaw.ca>
Source record: 11176

Heading down to Sacramento in mid-December and I'm wondering if there are any good radio retailers in the region. Looking for a wide range of things, actually: scanners, frequency counters, shortwave... that sorta thing.

Hard to find good retailers these days.

If anyone out there knows of anything please re-post and lemme know. Thanks.

Randy

4615. Re: SACRAMENTO RADIO STORES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-18 02:58:37 UTC
From: Ken <ktm@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <xrbff.2904$lg.2775@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 11177

Chickenlip's cb's & stuff
8196 Belvadere #110
95826
916-451-7530

"Randy Walden" <smallscreen@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:1711200516181119 94%smallscreen@shaw.ca...
> Heading down to Sacramento in mid-December and I'm wondering if there
> are any good radio retailers in the region. Looking for a wide range
> of things, actually: scanners, frequency counters, shortwave... that
> sorta thing.
>
> Hard to find good retailers these days.
>
> If anyone out there knows of anything please re-post and lemme know.
> Thanks.
>
> Randy

4616. Re: handheld scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-18 03:48:36 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <qnjqn1dgs87snrt5bl6dbg1cr0dqdl6fev@4ax.com>
Source record: 11178

On 17 Nov 2005 03:17:41 -0800, "Kingjed"
<gary.johnson99@btopenworld.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>the IC-R5 can do cellular you just have to find a programme off the net
>and connect your scanner via the cable and decode your heart out

A program to decode iDen, GSM or CDMA?

Rotsa ruck.

4617. Re: handheld scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-18 09:42:48 UTC
From: Kingjed <gary.johnson99@btopenworld.com>
Message-ID: <1132306968.518774.312050@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11179

what are uk mobiles running on?

4618. Re: Is this SPAM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-18 14:24:45 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <Lulff.27652$7s1.27034@fe04.lga>
Source record: 11180

"Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message
news:h43fn15h5ue3eu58ac821q0t1qpqeg9bju@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 13 Nov 2005 08:14:04 -0600, "PowerHouse Communications"
> <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> said in rec.radio.scanner:
>
> >Is this a disguised piece of SPAM?
>
> No, it's blatant, undisguised SPAM. :)

Sorry, might be my fault on that one. I clipped the actual message and left
only the sig... There was a "relevant" body posted with that, so it wasn't
just what you saw.

4619. Re: handheld scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-18 20:37:52 UTC
From: Brad <"bradvk2qq AT w6ir.com">
Message-ID: <437e3ba2$0$41151$14726298@news.sunsite.dk>
Source record: 11181

"Kingjed" <gary.johnson99@btopenworld.com> wrote in message
news:1132306968.518774.312050@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> what are uk mobiles running on?
>
Batteries.

just kidding.
GSM. You mean mobile phones, right?

4620. What scanner will advise to buy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-18 21:04:06 UTC
From: RA3TMO <ra3tmo@rol.ru>
Message-ID: <dllfif$t6u$1@news.rol.ru>
Source record: 11182

WHAT SCANNER BETTER ?

WBR , Serg

4621. Re: SACRAMENTO RADIO STORES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-18 23:00:00 UTC
From: Randy Walden <smallscreen@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <1811200515000005428%smallscreen@shaw.ca>
Source record: 11183

Thanks for this. I'll check it out.

In article <xrbff.2904$lg.2775@news01.roc.ny>, Ken
<ktm@frontiernet.net> wrote:

> Chickenlip's cb's & stuff
> 8196 Belvadere #110
> 95826
> 916-451-7530
>
>
> "Randy Walden" <smallscreen@shaw.ca> wrote in message
> news:171120051618111994%smallscreen@shaw.ca...
> > Heading down to Sacramento in mid-December and I'm wondering if there
> > are any good radio retailers in the region. Looking for a wide range
> > of things, actually: scanners, frequency counters, shortwave... that
> > sorta thing.
> >
> > Hard to find good retailers these days.
> >
> > If anyone out there knows of anything please re-post and lemme know.
> > Thanks.
> >
> > Randy
>
>

4622. Scanner to buy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-18 23:55:07 UTC
From: Randy <REMOVErcss_97@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <11nsqgqiv6ich59@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 11184

I have had the Icom R5 for about 2 weeks now, took a little bit to understand the different commands to what I was use to with a Radio Shack model, but over all I would rate this model a 8 out 10
I might add it takes a little bit to understand the computer interface, since I didn't buy the Icom software and was able to get freeware software.

I purchased this unit from http://www.radioworld.ca
and have always been a fan of their great support in Canadian amateur Radio. I would recommend these guys because over the past 20 years they have been the best in Canada.

I purchased the Radio, with the antennae adapter and cable for under $360.00. I purchased my Radio Shack model 5 years prior for $499.00 plus taxes.......

Thanks again...and I am not any affiliation of radioworld, just an avid radio comm guy.

Randy

4623. Pro96 or Bearcat 250

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-19 14:31:46 UTC
From: Steve Rabinowitz <steve86@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <mHGff.1134$rM2.124@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 11185

Which is the better scanner, the radio shack pro-96 or the Uniden Bearcat 250d.

4624. Cellular Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-19 15:02:00 UTC
From: Steve Rabinowitz <steve86@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <I7Hff.3012$s14.1778@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 11186

I know it is illegal but if someone had a digital scanner capable of picking up cellular frequencies would they be able to listen to my boring conversations or is my cell encrypted.

4625. Re: Listen to scanners.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-19 17:20:44 UTC
From: Clark Martin <cmnews@sonic.net>
Message-ID: <cmnews-F0745E.09204419112005@nnrp-virt.nntp.sonic.net>
Source record: 11187
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <94WdnVjcFcLZZ-benZ2dnUVZ_sOdnZ2d@comcast.com>,
Scott in Baltimore <sDcOoNtTtS_PuAnMiMtE_69@yahoo.com> wrote:

> Dana wrote:
> > Can someone send me a link to listen to a scanner over the internet? I
> > am looking for a stream that will work with winamp or windows media
> > player. They work best with a screen reader, thanks.
> >
>
> live365.com used to have a lot

Try:

<http://www.railroadradio.net/>

--
Clark Martin
Redwood City, CA, USA Macintosh / Internet Consulting

"I'm a designated driver on the Information Super Highway"

4626. Re: Cellular Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-19 17:45:50 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <3xJff.4051$El5.3095@fe06.lga>
Source record: 11188

"Evan Platt" <evan@theobvious.espphotography.com> wrote in message
news:tboun197j9o0396gpfa87ouuq3hfdt8d6c@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 19 Nov 2005 15:02:00 GMT, "Steve Rabinowitz"
> <steve86@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> >I know it is illegal but if someone had a digital scanner capable of
picking
> >up cellular frequencies would they be able to listen to my boring
> >conversations or is my cell encrypted.
>
> No. Cellular is encrypted.
>

Since when did cellular get encrypted? It's digital, yes. Encrypted, not
likely...

4627. Re: Cellular Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-19 18:34:54 UTC
From: Ad Hoc Guy <PussyFart@hic-ups.org>
Message-ID: <lfKff.4720$w84.919197@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 11189

I've listened in on a few good ones since cells have been out (LOL)
Especially those woman who cheat on their hubbies....

--
"Two antennas meet on a roof, fall in love and get married. The
ceremony wasn't much, but the reception was excellent."

"PowerHouse Communications" <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote in message
news:3xJff.4051$El5.3095@fe06.lga...
>
> "Evan Platt" <evan@theobvious.espphotography.com> wrote in message
> news:tboun197j9o0396gpfa87ouuq3hfdt8d6c@4ax.com...
>> On Sat, 19 Nov 2005 15:02:00 GMT, "Steve Rabinowitz"
>> <steve86@earthlink.net> wrote:
>>
>> >I know it is illegal but if someone had a digital scanner capable of
> picking
>> >up cellular frequencies would they be able to listen to my boring
>> >conversations or is my cell encrypted.
>>
>> No. Cellular is encrypted.
>>
>
> Since when did cellular get encrypted? It's digital, yes. Encrypted, not
> likely...
>
>
>

4628. LAPD?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-19 21:04:08 UTC
From: cividale99@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132434248.529780.266270@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11190

I'm interested in buying a police scanner to hear transmissions from
the LAPD.
I'm assuming I need a digital scanner.
Does Radio Shack have any good ones?

Thanks

4629. FRIEND: as are You, Brandon is Awesome.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-19 22:56:52 UTC
From: nikool18a@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1132441012.161177.224860@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11191

FRIEND,

Hi. Check out www.ChezBrandon.com ; it's awesome. As are you, Brandon is highly intelligent, knowledgeable, and good. May you have an awesome day.

A Succinct Warning: Elements of the Mossad, sated with cash from the murderous and destructive narcotics trade, are using some medical, pharmacy, and food service workers to murder men, women, and children. (A certain tasteless acid, for instance, causes cancer.)

Victor Ostrovsky's books BY WAY OF DECEPTION and THE OTHER SIDE OF DECEPTION: A ROGUE AGENT EXPOSES THE MOSSAD'S SECRET AGENDA offers elucidation, as does James Ennes, Jr.'s ASSAULT ON THE LIBERTY.

Again, may you have an awesome day, and check out www.reopen911.org and www.ChezBrandon.com
-- NICK

4630. Re: LAPD?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-20 01:38:16 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <0rkvn1dgg6eb53e949nlt3aimb7kdi5120@4ax.com>
Source record: 11192

On 19 Nov 2005 13:04:08 -0800, cividale99@hotmail.com said in
rec.radio.scanner:

>I'm interested in buying a police scanner to hear transmissions from
>the LAPD.
>I'm assuming I need a digital scanner.

Correct.

>Does Radio Shack have any good ones?

Pro96 or 2096.

Uniden has the BCD396T.

4631. Re: Cellular Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-20 01:56:54 UTC
From: Bob <bob@atlantis.edu>
Message-ID: <tJQff.3721$43.2405@nnrp.ca.mci.com!nnrp1.uunet.ca>
Source record: 11193

Cellular is digitally encoded, NOT encrypted. Go buy yourself a GSM
service monitor (if you have about $15 to $20 to spare) and you can
listen to your heart's content. You'll need a CDMA and a TDMA one also
if you want to hear most the traffic.

B.

PowerHouse Communications wrote:
> "Evan Platt" <evan@theobvious.espphotography.com> wrote in message
> news:tboun197j9o0396gpfa87ouuq3hfdt8d6c@4ax.com...
>
>>On Sat, 19 Nov 2005 15:02:00 GMT, "Steve Rabinowitz"
>><steve86@earthlink.net> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>I know it is illegal but if someone had a digital scanner capable of
>
> picking
>
>>>up cellular frequencies would they be able to listen to my boring
>>>conversations or is my cell encrypted.
>>
>>No. Cellular is encrypted.
>>
>
>
> Since when did cellular get encrypted? It's digital, yes. Encrypted, not
> likely...
>
>
>

4632. Re: Cellular Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-20 02:05:40 UTC
From: Bob <bob@atlantis.edu>
Message-ID: <GRQff.3722$43.2084@nnrp.ca.mci.com!nnrp1.uunet.ca>
Source record: 11194

Oops, that's $15-$20 THOUSAND dollars, just in case someone actually
thought one could be had for twenty bucks...

:)

Bob wrote:
> Cellular is digitally encoded, NOT encrypted. Go buy yourself a GSM
> service monitor (if you have about $15 to $20 to spare) and you can
> listen to your heart's content. You'll need a CDMA and a TDMA one also
> if you want to hear most the traffic.
>
>
>
>
> B.
>
>
>
>
> PowerHouse Communications wrote:
>
>> "Evan Platt" <evan@theobvious.espphotography.com> wrote in message
>> news:tboun197j9o0396gpfa87ouuq3hfdt8d6c@4ax.com...
>>
>>> On Sat, 19 Nov 2005 15:02:00 GMT, "Steve Rabinowitz"
>>> <steve86@earthlink.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>> I know it is illegal but if someone had a digital scanner capable of
>>
>>
>> picking
>>
>>>> up cellular frequencies would they be able to listen to my boring
>>>> conversations or is my cell encrypted.
>>>
>>>
>>> No. Cellular is encrypted.
>>>
>>
>>
>> Since when did cellular get encrypted? It's digital, yes. Encrypted,
>> not
>> likely...
>>

>>
>>

4633. a plug for a new radcom site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-20 02:08:43 UTC
From: "webmaster@repeater-builders" <mitch@NOrepeater-buildersSPAM.com.au>
Message-ID: <437fdaad$1@news.eftel.com>
Source record: 11195
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.cb.skip,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

hi all
just a plug for a new site
there is a new forum for lmr,pmr,cb and repeater op's
and has irc chat

free online radio/electronics classifieds for Australia and the World
(give it a go...)

and a whole heap more in the works including a files section
the more who get interested the more i'll add :)

thanks for reading

--
http://www.repeater-builders.com.au/cgi-bin/classifieds/classifieds.cgi
RBA Online FREE Classifieds

http://repeater-builders.com.au/phpbb2/index.php
RBA Forum

http://repeater-builders.com.au
RBA Website

4634. Re: Cellular Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-20 02:59:22 UTC
From: bla <nomail@nomail.nl>
Message-ID: <2b28b$437fe680$3ec20fde$454@news.chello.nl>
Source record: 11196

New Cellular/Cellphones is/are digital & encrypted.

CDMA
UMTS
2G 3G
GSM

For example GSM :

The voice is first digitized using a vocoder and a GSM-codec
then it is encrypted using a special encryption-protocol
that protocol is called A5/1 A5/2 ...etc
After that it is put in 1 of 8 timeslots (TDMA)
after that it is transmitted on a RF-carrier.

hhhuuuhuuuh ....not finished yet :)

...after that the RF-carrier could be
"frequency-hopping" but this is not everywhere implemented

It depends on the network-operator if and wich crypto is used,
worlwide there are just a few countries without it...

Here's a Yahoo-group wich discusses:

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/gsm-scanner

GSM-scanners , GSM-interception , GSM-monitoring
GSM-detectors , GSM-encryption , GSM-jammers
GSM-cryptophones , GSM-bugs , GSM-spyphones
GSM-Security , IMSI-catcher , SMS-tricks
GSM-tracking+locating , SIM-Cloning , Bluetooth-tricks
Cellphones , WiFi-phones , CDMA , iDEN , DCS , UMTS , DECT
Satphones , Iridium , Thuraya , Globalstar , Teledesic , Inmarsat

Sales or advertising of illegal equipment is not allowed !

We don't allow or encourage any unlawful behaviour !

This group is moderated wich means that it may take a while
before your postings appear.

There's a few lists about if and where encryption on GSM is used
and how to find that out using your own phone (monitor-mode)
...etc...etc.

4635. Re: What scanner will advise to buy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-20 05:22:56 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <QKTff.2899$jI5.2042@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 11197

"RA3TMO" <ra3tmo@rol.ru> wrote in message news:dllfif$t6u$1@news.rol.ru...
> WHAT SCANNER BETTER ?
>
> WBR , Serg
>
>
>

NEED MORE INFO.
--
"Liberalism is a mental disorder"

Michael Savage

4636. Re: Pro96 or Bearcat 250

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-20 08:36:55 UTC
From: TomCat4680 <tomcatgbmi@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132475815.864009.271980@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11198

Pro 96

4637. Re: Cellular Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-20 17:09:54 UTC
From: Bob <bob@atlantis.edu>
Message-ID: <m52gf.3743$43.103@nnrp.ca.mci.com!nnrp1.uunet.ca>
Source record: 11199

Yes, but the initial keys are exchanged in the clear. Hash that against
the IMEI for the working key and you can monitor the GSM phone. The
phone sends its IMEI as part of the login sequence.
(CDMA shares a few similarities (no, they are not the same) with GSM,
but the frames are much larger.)

There are several manuals for GSM service monitors floating about the
net and they each address this in detail. The trick is you have to snag
the key exchange when the phone logs into the network on power up, and
that can only be done if you're on the control channel of the local site
the phone initially powers up in.

If there's one thing we should all be able to agree on, it is for all
intents and purposes, your digital phone is not likely to be listened to
by any hobbiest, it's a far too expensive proposition.

B.

bla wrote:
> New Cellular/Cellphones is/are digital & encrypted.
>
> CDMA
> UMTS
> 2G 3G
> GSM
>
> For example GSM :
>
> The voice is first digitized using a vocoder and a GSM-codec
> then it is encrypted using a special encryption-protocol
> that protocol is called A5/1 A5/2 ...etc
> After that it is put in 1 of 8 timeslots (TDMA)
> after that it is transmitted on a RF-carrier.
>
> hhhuuuhuuuh ....not finished yet :)
>
> ...after that the RF-carrier could be
> "frequency-hopping" but this is not everywhere implemented
>
> It depends on the network-operator if and wich crypto is used,
> worlwide there are just a few countries without it...
>
> Here's a Yahoo-group wich discusses:
>
>

> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/gsm-scanner
>
>
> --
>
> GSM-scanners , GSM-interception , GSM-monitoring
> GSM-detectors , GSM-encryption , GSM-jammers
> GSM-cryptophones , GSM-bugs , GSM-spyphones
> GSM-Security , IMSI-catcher , SMS-tricks
> GSM-tracking+locating , SIM-Cloning , Bluetooth-tricks
> Cellphones , WiFi-phones , CDMA , iDEN , DCS , UMTS , DECT
> Satphones , Iridium , Thuraya , Globalstar , Teledesic , Inmarsat
>
> Sales or advertising of illegal equipment is not allowed !
>
> We don't allow or encourage any unlawful behaviour !
>
> This group is moderated wich means that it may take a while
> before your postings appear.
>
> --
>
>
> There's a few lists about if and where encryption on GSM is used
> and how to find that out using your own phone (monitor-mode)
> ...etc...etc.
>
>
>
>
>

4638. FS: Uniden BC 780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-20 19:15:23 UTC
From: Frank <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <fX3gf.897$Ba6.880@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 11200

Excellent condition. Has discriminator tap. With box, manual, and all accessories. Has a few scratches on sides but works perfectly!

Price is $225 plus shipping (firm)

E-mail: Fjbals@sbcglobal.net

4639. FS BC785D w/APCO P25 installed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-21 00:06:41 UTC
From: ryesnake@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <rpi2o1l39uv91ffbs1mogo73mp3lgm355k@4ax.com>
Source record: 11101

Thinking of upgrading to a 796D therefore will sell

BC785D with APCO P25 card installed - $420

interested please reply to

ryesnake@yahoo.com

4640. PNI Traveller RX7000 Radar detector

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-21 07:53:24 UTC
From: trinitybctcstaff@ev1.net
Message-ID: <1132559604.701870.94080@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11102

I seen a radar detector on EBAY the PNI Traveller RX7000 Radar
Detector, brand NEW for $58.50 (on ebay) and was wondering if this is a

good price for the battery operated unit. and If this this a Good
detector to use.. I wnat to use it on a motorcycle and this one has an
ear plug..
Thanks
James..

4641. Re: handheld scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-21 09:31:38 UTC
From: Kingjed <gary.johnson99@btopenworld.com>
Message-ID: <1132565498.506465.12900@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11103

yes

4642. Galaxy Electronics Founder Leo Meyerson Asks FCC To Deny K1MAN Renewal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-21 14:01:21 UTC
From: policy-ham <hamop_62@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <dlsjvh$14q$1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 11104
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.broadcasting

This is a post to QRZ.COM by K3VR and reads as follows:

Leo Meyerson, W0GFQ, is the latest high profile ham to come out publicly about Glenn Baxter's license. You probably remember Leo as the founder of WRL and Galaxy Electronics. Walter Cronkite also came out publicly against Baxter's (ex-k1man) renewal.

In fact, Leo just sent a letter to the FCC about Baxter. You can find the letter and a discussion of Baxter's renewal here:

"Leo Meyerson is an acknowledged pioneer in the field of radio. Leo is the founder of World Radio Laboratories and Galaxy Electronics. Throughout the years Leo has been very active in public service. A life member of the Quarter Century Wireless Association, Leo served as a National Director from 1977 to 1987. In 1991 he was named the QCWA Amateur Member of the year and in 1994 he was inducted into the QCWA Hall of Fame. Leo is also a life member of the American Radio Relay League, Society of Wireless Pioneers, and International Mission Radio Association among other associations. In 1980 Leo was elected a fellow of the Radio Club of America, one of the most prestigious radio clubs in America.

Leo Meyerson is the second radio icon to denounce Glenn Baxter, ex-K1MAN. The other, famous broadcaster Walter Cronkite, has publicly stated that he has experience with Baxter's lack of ethics. Cronkite also called on the FCC to deny renewal of Baxter's amateur radio licenses."

http://home.ripway.com/2005-7/357648/

73, K3VR

4643. dallas, TX freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-22 06:27:05 UTC
From: wf3h@comcast.net
Message-ID: <4382ba21.15368236@newsgroups.comcast.net>
Source record: 11105

are the dallas area systems trunked? good freqs? thanks all...

---
to see who "wf3h" is, go to "qrz.com"
and enter 'wf3h' in the field

4644. WTB: Pro-2006 w/ full Opto 456 or Pro-2042 w/ Opto 535 board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-22 16:05:40 UTC
From: GAR <mischfpair@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ajHgf.50885$xK1.6704@bignews7.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 11106

Unfortunately, I was JUST outbid on ebay form one of these radios. If anyone knows of another one for sale, I'd sure appreciate it.

Thanks

GAR

4645. Re: dallas, TX freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-22 19:53:02 UTC
From: sweston <sweston2@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <11o6torlunrphba@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 11107

Everything you'd ever want to know and then some can be found at:

http://www.radioreference.com/index.php

Look in the Texas scanner forum for specific Dallas info.

"Bob" <wf3h@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:4382ba21.15368236@newsgroups.comcast.net...

> are the dallas area systems trunked? good freqs? thanks all...

4646. discriminator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-22 21:25:47 UTC
From: Peter Vollan <pvollan@jps.net>
Message-ID: <43838C8C.3060609@jps.net>
Source record: 11108

I have a question about discriminator tapping, and please don't tell me to read up on in because I belive I have read all the web pages, the problem is that they are not consistent.

Bill Cheeks seems to recomment a capacitor in line with the discriminator tap, but even he seems unclear on the recommended value. It seems to vary from 0.1 to 10 uF depending on who you talk to, other's say you don't need it at all. A more recent post recommends a 10 K resistor instead. Also a small 0.001 uF cap is recommended by some folks connecting the tap and ground.

I am successfully monitoring pocsag and flex with none of this, but obviously there is always room for improvement. So everybody's opinions on this are hereby solicitied.

Also, it looks like I should build a data slicer; at this time I am using my sound card.

4647. Free Flatscreen tv!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-22 21:36:23 UTC
From: posh_chip@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1132695383.527338.112030@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11109

Hey There! Want a Free Flat Screen TV? Completely Legitimate Offer! All you have to do is sign up and complete an offer online and refer 8 friends to do the same!It's that simple,
but remember,YOU MUST complete at least one offer online to get your Free Flat Screen TV. (If you Do Not complete at least one offer, you will Not get your Flatscreen TV!) For more info, please visit: www.flatscreens.freepay.com/?r=14531506 Thanks.

**Tip: Go For Blockbuster:) Its easy, just sign up for there Free Trial membership (they

will ask for credit card, but wont charge you as long as you cancel it before the Trial

membership ends.) and you dont need to pay for anything:) and you get your flatscreen tv:)

4648. Re: Galaxy Electronics Founder Leo Meyerson Asks FCC To Deny K1MAN Renewal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-22 22:28:40 UTC
From: Rabbi Phil <kosher@kosherdelihedrin.org>
Message-ID: <dm062ofnd1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 11110
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.broadcasting

"policy-ham" <hamop_62@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:dlsjvh$14q$1@xuxa.iecc.com...
> This is a post to QRZ.COM by K3VR and reads as follows:
>

K3VR has been abnormally obsessed with K1MAN for years.

FCC & ARRL = Partners in the Culture of Corruption.

4649. Re: dallas, TX freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-22 23:25:12 UTC
From: wf3h@comcast.net
Message-ID: <4383a8cf.76262437@newsgroups.comcast.net>
Source record: 11111

On Tue, 22 Nov 2005 13:53:02 -0600, "sweston" <sweston2@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Everything you'd ever want to know and then some can be found at:
>
>http://www.radioreference.com/index.php
>
>Look in the Texas scanner forum for specific Dallas info.
>
>"Bob" <wf3h@comcast.net> wrote in message
>news:4382ba21.15368236@newsgroups.comcast.net...
>
>> are the dallas area systems trunked? good freqs? thanks all...
>
>

thanks much!

---
to see who "wf3h" is, go to "qrz.com"
and enter 'wf3h' in the field

4650. Re: White Male Looking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-23 01:04:12 UTC
From: cam lowes <lowesc1@cox.net>
Message-ID: <HdPgf.22720$sg5.19138@dukeread12>
Source record: 11112

Uhhh...how bigs your "antenna" Eric- and do you do "digital"

LMFAO,
Camster

4651. Re: discriminator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-23 01:42:47 UTC
From: mikeFNB <mikefnb@moc.dlrowltn>
Message-ID: <rOPgf.1415$GC1.1123@newsfe6-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 11113

it really depends on the build of the radio.
the only sure way to see if you do need a cap &/or resistor is to scope the tapping point and look to see if you are 'loading' it too much or if you are getting varying results on decode, to see if there is noise therewhich is causing you the reliabilty problem.

i always do use a cap normally 1uf, but the value might need to be selected to ensure you get the freqs you need.

the calc for capacitive reactance will give you the info you need.

mike

if you
"Peter Vollan" <pvollan@jps.net> wrote in message
news:43838C8C.3060609@jps.net...
>
> I have a question about discriminator tapping, and please don't tell me to
> read up on in because I belive I have read all the web pages, the problem
> is that they are not consistent.
>
> Bill Cheeks seems to recomment a capacitor in line with the discriminator
> tap, but even he seems unclear on the recommended value. It seems to vary
> from 0.1 to 10 uF depending on who you talk to, other's say you don't need
> it at all. A more recent post recommends a 10 K resistor instead. Also a
> small 0.001 uF cap is recommended by some folks connecting the tap and
> ground.
>
> I am successfully monitoring pocsag and flex with none of this, but
> obviously there is always room for improvement. So everybody's opinions on
> this are hereby solicitied.
>
> Also, it looks like I should build a data slicer; at this time I am using
> my sound card.
>
>

4652. Re: Free Flatscreen tv!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-23 12:55:33 UTC
From: Scott <nitt@nitt.com>
Message-ID: <jlp8o1p5lpbg08ag606ebj29k8eo0b60hu@4ax.com>
Source record: 11114

On 22 Nov 2005 13:36:23 -0800, posh_chip@yahoo.com wrote:

>Hey There! Want a Free Flat Screen TV? Completely Legitimate Offer! All
>you have to do is sign up and complete an offer online and refer 8
>friends to do the same!It's that simple,
>but remember,YOU MUST complete at least one offer online to get your
>Free Flat Screen TV. (If you Do Not complete at least one offer, you
>will Not get your Flatscreen TV!) For more info, please visit:
>www.flatscreens.freepay.com/?r=14531506 Thanks.
>
>**Tip: Go For Blockbuster:) Its easy, just sign up for there Free Trial
>membership (they
>
>will ask for credit card, but wont charge you as long as you cancel it
>before the Trial
>
>membership ends.) and you dont need to pay for anything:) and you get
>your flatscreen tv:)

Yeah......

4653. Re: BC246T Concerns...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-24 00:22:44 UTC
From: Nicik Name <orbits@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <oJ7hf.2904$aA2.761@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 11115

"E.F." <fadely@cox.net> wrote in message
news:iq1df.5026$mm5.4582@dukeread03...
> Hello All,
>
> I just bought my aging father a BC246T so that he would be able to listen
to
> the city around him. I bought it for him for Christmas. My concern is
that
> I want to send it to him with remarks of "Don't open till Christmas"
because
> I am in the Navy and am scheduled to Deploy in 2 weeks. Now, I just spent
> about 6 hours programming the scanner for Wichita KS. If the batteries
> happen to die while in the box waiting, will the systems and groups be
> deleted?? I say this because my POS Palm Pilot will delete all saved
> material if the battery dies.
>
> Thanks in advance
>
> Eric F.
1.No.
2.Synchronize the palm every now and then
>
>

4654. Re: White Male Looking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-24 03:25:43 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <loahf.13890$ih5.11794@dukeread11>
Source record: 11116

cam lowes wrote:

> Uhhh...how bigs your "antenna" Eric- and do you do "digital"
>
> LMFAO,
> Camster
you just can't let it go, can you?

do not say you weren't warned.

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.

4655. FS: Pro-2053 and Pro-93

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-24 04:08:03 UTC
From: Jim <fendelhessi@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <D0bhf.14293$Ox.3603@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 11117
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Two Scanners For Sale....

Pro-93 Handheld and Pro-2053 Base...

- Both are in excellent condition.
- Original boxes
- Manuals
- Original rubber duck antenna on the Pro-93
- Both black and yellow battery holders for the Pro-93
- Free shipping in the continental US

- Each radio $80 or get both for $150

Contact:

Jim
fendelhessi@yahoo.com

4656. AOR, Alinco or Icom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-24 04:19:56 UTC
From: Steve Rabinowitz <steve86@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Mbbhf.1449$A23.246@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 11118

Thinking about moving up from Radio Shack to either the AOR 8200, Alinco DJ-X2000 or the Icom R-20. Would appreciate feedback on which to get, thanks.

4657. Cobra 200 gtl mods needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-24 05:23:17 UTC
From: r392 <r392@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <97chf.9483$%Z5.7321@trndny07>
Source record: 11119

I cant seem to log onto re.radio.cb anymore so I thought I would put the question out here.
Any mods for the 200gtl yet I know about the frequency expansion but what about the clarifier audio and power?
Any help is appreciated.
Doug

4658. Re: dallas, TX freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-24 08:23:32 UTC
From: Korbin Dallas <korbindallas@null.org>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.11.24.08.23.32.158381@null.org>
Source record: 11120

On Tue, 22 Nov 2005 06:27:05 +0000, Bob wrote:

> are the dallas area systems trunked? good freqs? thanks all...
>
>
> ---------------------------
> to see who "wf3h" is, go to "qrz.com"
> and enter 'wf3h' in the field

The City of Dallas is UHF Conventional.
Most if not all of the surrounding cities are Trunked. Mostly on 800 Mhz.
The DFW Airport is Pro-Voice Digital, I am not aware of a scanner that
receives this format.

--
Korbin Dallas
The name was changed to protect the guilty.

4659. FRIEND: as are You, Brandon and www.ChezBrandon.com are Awesome.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-24 11:48:12 UTC
From: nikool18a@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1132832892.137574.171050@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11121

FRIEND,

Hi. Check out www.ChezBrandon.com ; it's awesome. As are you, Brandon is highly intelligent, knowledgeable, and good. May you have an awesome day.

A Succinct Warning: Elements of the Mossad, sated with cash from the murderous and destructive narcotics trade, are using some medical, pharmacy, and food service workers to murder men, women, and children. (A certain tasteless acid, for instance, causes cancer.)

Victor Ostrovsky's books BY WAY OF DECEPTION and THE OTHER SIDE OF DECEPTION: A ROGUE AGENT EXPOSES THE MOSSAD'S SECRET AGENDA offers elucidation, as does James Ennes, Jr.'s ASSAULT ON THE LIBERTY.

Again, may you have an awesome day, and check out www.reopen911.org and www.ChezBrandon.com
-- NICK

4660. PRO-97 for MilAir

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-24 13:52:17 UTC
From: Frank <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <lAjhf.502$kG.154@newssvr31.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 11122

How good is the PRO-97 for VHF and UHF MilAir listening? Anybody compared it to the older PRO-43?

Frank

4661. Island of Malta Scanner frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-24 18:32:55 UTC
From: MeLin <mrcord81@nextgen.net.mt>
Message-ID: <1132857175.208707.140060@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11123

Hello,

Is there anyone here in Malta who would like to share scanner
frequencies. If so, please contact me on mrcord81@nextgen.net.mt

Thanks all

MerLin

4662. Re: AOR, Alinco or Icom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-25 02:32:24 UTC
From: Bob <bob@atlantis.edu>
Message-ID: <Aluhf.4042$43.422@nnrp.ca.mci.com!nnrp1.uunet.ca>
Source record: 11124

Steve Rabinowitz wrote:
> Thinking about moving up from Radio Shack to either the AOR 8200, Alinco
> DJ-X2000 or the Icom R-20. Would appreciate feedback on which to get,
> thanks.
>
>

AOR units have fantastic receiver specs. I have numerous AOR receivers
and they outperform any of my RS, Alinco or Uniden scanners. (However
they do not have a model with built in APCO25 nor trunk tracking. They
make high end receivers with scanning features, not scanners with
compromised receivers.) They are also the number 1 choice of government
and intelligence agencies. That ought to speak well for their gear.
If you need APCO25 or trunk tracking, stick with Uniden or RS, but if
you want a high end receiver, AOR is a winner.

My .02,

Bob

4663. Frequency site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-25 11:44:14 UTC
From: finalquest <finalquest@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <iOChf.3384$aA2.3241@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 11125

I'm looking for a good site for frequencies in the Broome County area of New York State. Jim Fordyce closed down his LI Scanning site that was a wealth of info. Too bad but any help would be appreciated.

4664. Pro-2045 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-25 11:49:23 UTC
From: ChrisRoth <chris.roth@rogers.blackberry.net>
Message-ID: <44948dff0113c92d8cfd5c7026a95830@localhost.talkaboutelectronicequipment.com>
Source record: 11126

For Sale: Radio Shack Pro-2045 Hi/Lo-Air, 200 Channel Direct Entry
Programmable Am/Fm Scanning Receiver. Includes HYPERSCAN(CTCSS)with Triple
Conversion. Includes original box and manuals. Never really used, and paid
$485 2 years ago. I will ship anywhere in North America, and can accept
all major credit cards, Paypal, or alternate arrangements.
Asking $150 CDN. or best-offer. Please email chrisroth@rogers.com.
(Canada)

4665. Re: PRO-97 for MilAir

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-25 15:08:16 UTC
From: Frank <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <ANFhf.2304$nA2.329@newssvr22.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 11127

What does that have to do with the PRO-97?

Frank

"chesucat" <me@privacy.net> wrote in message
news:dm66flipl4@chessie.cirr.com...
> Frank wrote:
> F>How good is the PRO-97 for VHF and UHF MilAir listening? Anybody
> compared it
> F>to the older PRO-43?
>
> Radio Shack is selling the PRO-94 for $75 now! Half price, 'eh?!
> I don't know if the PRO-94 is a good scanner or not?
>
> <chesucat twitches>
>
> --
> chesucat_at_sdf_dit_lonestar_dot_org_dah!
> SDF Public Access UNIX System, Est. 1987 <http://sdf.lonestar.org/>
>
> Emotional glossolalia is pervasive in our society!

4666. Digital Scanner - Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-25 16:52:39 UTC
From: "J. Mc Laughlin" <jcm@power-net.net>
Message-ID: <11oeg9d1pq69na3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 11128

I have some questions about the digital, trunking system being used in Michigan by governmental agencies. I have read several messages on this site that are relevant. It appears that one needs a RS 96 or 2096 (I have studied its manual), or a BCD396T (I have also studied its manual). The prices of these radios are similar.

It is obvious that the VHF system used by the Genesee County Sheriff is no longer in use. It is reasonable to conclude that all police services (except the Flint VHF system) have migrated to the 800 MHz system operated out of Post 35 of the Michigan State Police. I am about 7 miles from Post 35.

Questions:
1. Do all of the aforementioned radios work to receive the Michigan system?
2. While the BCD396T appears to have a few more features than the RS radios, reservations about the BCD396T have been expressed by members of this group. Please note your experience with these radios and express your opinions about the desirability (or undesirability) of specific models.
3. Should you know, where might one find the best mail-order delivered price on a BCD396T from a reputable company?

Thank you for such advice as you may be able to provide to assist in the goal of receiving the Michigan digital system. 73 Mac N8TT

--
J. Mc Laughlin; Michigan U.S.A.
Home: JCM@Power-Net.Net

4667. Re: discriminator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-25 19:00:31 UTC
From: Brengsek! <pilatus@herejezus.nl>
Message-ID: <4bneo1do15lm5e0o9f6vtq067sej5ck46j@brengsek.invalid>
Source record: 11129

On Tue, 22 Nov 2005 21:25:47 GMT, Peter Vollan <pvollan@jps.net>
wrote:

>I have a question about discriminator tapping, and please don't tell me
>to read up on in because I belive I have read all the web pages, the
>problem is that they are not consistent.

>Bill Cheeks seems to recomment a capacitor in line with the
>discriminator tap, but even he seems unclear on the recommended value.
>It seems to vary from 0.1 to 10 uF depending on who you talk to, other's
>say you don't need it at all. A more recent post recommends a 10 K
>resistor instead. Also a small 0.001 uF cap is recommended by some folks
>connecting the tap and ground.

Any value between 0.1 and 10 uF will probably do the job. It's not
critical.

The main reasons I use a 10 k resistor are twofold:

- Connecting or disconnecting an interface to the discriminator
output might cause a short-circuit. The 10 k resistor prevents
the discriminator IC from being loaded or damaged.

- With some discriminator ICs, especially the MC3361, some IF
signal 'leaks' into the discriminator output. The 10 k resistor
with an additional 2.2 nF capacitor to ground forms a simple
filter that attenuates the 455 kHz IF signal, while leaving the
digital baseband signal intact.

>Also, it looks like I should build a data slicer; at this time I am
>using my sound card.

You can find the schematics of a slicer optimised for paging at
http://www.discriminator.nl/hamcomm/index-en.html

Regards,
Rene
Webmaster www.discriminator.nl/english/

--
Brace for impact. Brace! Brace! Brace!

4668. Re: Digital Scanner - Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-26 15:48:12 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <DeedndTswL0gHhXenZ2dnUVZ_tGdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 11130

"J. Mc Laughlin" <jcm@power-net.net> wrote in message
news:11oeg9d1pq69na3@corp.supernews.com...
>I have some questions about the digital, trunking system being used in
> Michigan by governmental agencies. I have read several messages on this
> site that are relevant. It appears that one needs a RS 96 or 2096 (I have
> studied its manual), or a BCD396T (I have also studied its manual). The
> prices of these radios are similar.
>
> It is obvious that the VHF system used by the Genesee County Sheriff is no
> longer in use. It is reasonable to conclude that all police services
> (except the Flint VHF system) have migrated to the 800 MHz system operated
> out of Post 35 of the Michigan State Police. I am about 7 miles from Post
> 35.
>
> Questions:
> 1. Do all of the aforementioned radios work to receive the Michigan
> system?
> 2. While the BCD396T appears to have a few more features than the RS
> radios, reservations about the BCD396T have been expressed by members of
> this group. Please note your experience with these radios and express
> your
> opinions about the desirability (or undesirability) of specific models.
> 3. Should you know, where might one find the best mail-order delivered
> price on a BCD396T from a reputable company?
>
> Thank you for such advice as you may be able to provide to assist in
> the
> goal of receiving the Michigan digital system. 73 Mac N8TT
>
> --
> J. Mc Laughlin; Michigan U.S.A.
> Home: JCM@Power-Net.Net
>
>

Hello!

First I suggest you drop in at Radio Reference (
http://www.radioreference.com). It has to be one of the worlds largest
resources for the hobby! In addition to that, they have extensive reviews,
and user opinions on the subjects you're interested in. They also have a
frequency database like no other! It's totally free, but they do ask for
donations. With a donation as little as $5.00, you will have access to
programming features you'll soon realize you can't live without.

That being said, I live in Macomb County, Michigan. I have owned the Uniden BC296D, BC796D, and borrowed the BCD396T digital scanners, as well as the Radio Shack PRO-96. I currently own the Radio Shack PRO-2096 digital scanner. All of them work very well. I found the BCD396T a little overwhelming to program, as it uses a totally different memory management, and programming scheme. The reason I settled on the Radio Shack (GRE) is because of their AGC (automatic gain control). When scanning digital, often a few channels will come in much louder than others. The AGC takes care of this, so the volume level is more uniform. The newer BCD396T has AGC, but I didn't find that it worked as well as the Radio Shack offerings.

Uniden has a press release, regarding a new base/mobile GPS enabled scanner, due out early next year:
http://www.uniden.com/press/BCD996T_Release_FNL.pdf

Hope this helps!

Bill Crocker

4669. FS: PRO-2066 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-26 16:14:36 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <ddudnbQmPrlwFBXeRVn-hw@comcast.com>
Source record: 11131

FOR SALE:

Radio Shack (Uniden) PRO-2066 Scanner. This model is particularly good for monitoring railroad coms!

Excellent condition, includes original box, a Uniden AC power supply, telescoping antenna.

$65.00 shipped! (U.S. only)

Check out First Impressions, by Rich Wells, of Strong Signals:
http://tinyurl.com/c96se

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

4670. Re: Digital Scanner - Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-26 16:38:08 UTC
From: "J. Mc Laughlin" <jcm@power-net.net>
Message-ID: <11oh3q5mhdtjr7d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 11132

Dear Mr. Crocker:
Thank you for your useful and helpful communication. I had hoped that I would hear from you since I knew from other communications on this list that you were in Michigan and had useful experience.
I have consulted radioreference.com and noted their extensive frequency information. The reviews I did not see. I shall make a donation.
The first complex radio that one programs will inevitably seem overwhelming at first. Once one has mastered that radio's programming, the next radio will seem strange.
Problems with inconsistent volume with digital modulation are endemic. The cure is probably to be implemented post detection. Thank you for the observation that you have found the RS 2096 better at combating the problem than the BCD396T. If the BCD396T is dealing with inconsistent volume after detection, it is unlikely that a future change to the radio's "firmware" will help the problem.
The good news may be that the huge investment made in the present Michigan system tends to inhibit changes to another system. One may expect one of the present, high-end radios to be useful for a number of years for unscrambled, governmental radio communications.
Thank you again for your assistance.
Regards, Mac

--
J. Mc Laughlin; Michigan U.S.A.
Home: JCM@Power-Net.Net
"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:DeedndTswL0gHhXenZ2dnUVZ_tGdnZ2d@comcast.com...
>
> "J. Mc Laughlin" <jcm@power-net.net> wrote in message
> news:11oeg9d1pq69na3@corp.supernews.com...
> >I have some questions about the digital, trunking system being used in
> > Michigan by governmental agencies. I have read several messages on this
> > site that are relevant. It appears that one needs a RS 96 or 2096 (I
have
> > studied its manual), or a BCD396T (I have also studied its manual). The
> > prices of these radios are similar.
> >
> > It is obvious that the VHF system used by the Genesee County Sheriff is
no
> > longer in use. It is reasonable to conclude that all police services
> > (except the Flint VHF system) have migrated to the 800 MHz system
operated
> > out of Post 35 of the Michigan State Police. I am about 7 miles from
Post

> > 35.
> >
> > Questions:
> > 1. Do all of the aforementioned radios work to receive the Michigan
> > system?
> > 2. While the BCD396T appears to have a few more features than the RS
> > radios, reservations about the BCD396T have been expressed by members of
> > this group. Please note your experience with these radios and express
> > your
> > opinions about the desirability (or undesirability) of specific models.
> > 3. Should you know, where might one find the best mail-order delivered
> > price on a BCD396T from a reputable company?
> >
> > Thank you for such advice as you may be able to provide to assist in
> > the
> > goal of receiving the Michigan digital system. 73 Mac N8TT
> >
> > --
> > J. Mc Laughlin; Michigan U.S.A.
> > Home: JCM@Power-Net.Net
> >
> >
>
> Hello!
>
> First I suggest you drop in at Radio Reference (
> http://www.radioreference.com). It has to be one of the worlds largest
> resources for the hobby! In addition to that, they have extensive
reviews,
> and user opinions on the subjects you're interested in. They also have a
> frequency database like no other! It's totally free, but they do ask for
> donations. With a donation as little as $5.00, you will have access to
> programming features you'll soon realize you can't live without.
>
> That being said, I live in Macomb County, Michigan. I have owned the
Uniden
> BC296D, BC796D, and borrowed the BCD396T digital scanners, as well as the
> Radio Shack PRO-96. I currently own the Radio Shack PRO-2096 digital
> scanner. All of them work very well. I found the BCD396T a little
> overwhelming to program, as it uses a totally different memory management,
> and programming scheme. The reason I settled on the Radio Shack (GRE) is
> because of their AGC (automatic gain control). When scanning digital,
often
> a few channels will come in much louder than others. The AGC takes care
of
> this, so the volume level is more uniform. The newer BCD396T has AGC, but
I
> didn't find that it worked as well as the Radio Shack offerings.
>
> Uniden has a press release, regarding a new base/mobile GPS enabled

scanner,
> due out early next year:
> http://www.uniden.com/press/BCD996T_Release_FNL.pdf
>
> Hope this helps!
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>

4671. Recent Airline Company Frequencies ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-26 16:55:33 UTC
From: Robert11 <rgsros@notme.com>
Message-ID: <VsGdnTwP04gcDhXeRVn-rA@comcast.com>
Source record: 11133

Hello:

Have been trying to find some of the most used "Company Frequencies" for commercial airlines that
hopefully would be hearable in the northeast around Boston.

Have found several sites that list some, but these listings are apparently really
old; still have Eastern airlines, etc. on them.

Does anyone have a list of the primary used ones, or perhaps you can direct me
to a link that has reasonably up to date listings ?

Thanks,
Bob

BTW: Are ARINC conversations encoded, or possibly digital ?
What modes are used by them ?
Are there a few freq's that are used most often ?

4672. Re: Frequency site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-26 17:21:15 UTC
From: mcclure_john@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133017304.178766.314390@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11134

http://kc2gvx.proboards33.com/index.cgi? this is the new website

4673. Re: Frequency site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-26 17:21:17 UTC
From: mcclure_john@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133017374.874466.104130@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11135

http://kc2gvx.proboards33.com/index.cgi? this is the new website

4674. Re: Frequency site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-26 17:21:40 UTC
From: mcclure_john@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133017433.886976.322380@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11136

http://kc2gvx.proboards33.com/index.cgi? this is the new website

4675. Re: Frequency site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-26 17:23:26 UTC
From: mcclure_john@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133017611.337332.90210@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11137

finalquest wrote: http://kc2gvx.proboards33.com/index.cgi? here's the
new website
> I'm looking for a good site for frequencies in the Broome County area of New
> York State. Jim Fordyce closed down his LI Scanning site that was a wealth
> of info. Too bad but any help would be appreciated.

4676. Re: Frequency site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-26 17:25:19 UTC
From: mcclure_john@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133019060.224729.206930@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11138

finalquest wrote: http://kc2gvx.proboards33.com/index.cgi? here's the
new website
> I'm looking for a good site for frequencies in the Broome County area of New
> York State. Jim Fordyce closed down his LI Scanning site that was a wealth
> of info. Too bad but any help would be appreciated.

4677. Re: Frequency site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-26 17:28:17 UTC
From: mcclure_john@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133020686.430422.204770@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11139

http://kc2gvx.proboards33.com/index.cgi?
finalquest wrote:
> I'm looking for a good site for frequencies in the Broome County area of New
> York State. Jim Fordyce closed down his LI Scanning site that was a wealth
> of info. Too bad but any help would be appreciated.

4678. Re: Frequency site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-26 17:30:15 UTC
From: mcclure_john@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133021508.994343.258160@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11140

http://kc2gvx.proboards33.com/index.cgi?
finalquest wrote:
> I'm looking for a good site for frequencies in the Broome County area of New
> York State. Jim Fordyce closed down his LI Scanning site that was a wealth
> of info. Too bad but any help would be appreciated.

4679. Re: Frequency site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-26 17:30:21 UTC
From: mcclure_john@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133021612.419232.301240@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11141

http://kc2gvx.proboards33.com/index.cgi?
finalquest wrote:
> I'm looking for a good site for frequencies in the Broome County area of New
> York State. Jim Fordyce closed down his LI Scanning site that was a wealth
> of info. Too bad but any help would be appreciated.

4680. Re: Frequency site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-26 17:30:34 UTC
From: mcclure_john@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133021579.286939.262480@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11142

finalquest wrote:
> I'm looking for a good site for frequencies in the Broome County area of New
> York State. Jim Fordyce closed down his LI Scanning site that was a wealth
> of info. Too bad but any help would be appreciated.

4681. Re: Galaxy Electronics Founder Leo Meyerson Asks FCC To Deny K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-26 18:19:42 UTC
From: Scott in Baltimore <sDcOoNtTtS_PuAnMiMtE_69@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <dma8vuagk1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 11143
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.broadcasting

From ARNewsline #1476:

ENFORCEMENT: WRL / GALAXY ELECTRONICS FOUNDER MEYERSON ASKS FCC NOT TO RENEW K1MAN'S LICENSE

Another well known ham has written to the FCC asking the agency not to renew the license of American Amateur Radio Association founder Glen Baxter, K1MAN. In a letter to FCC rules enforcer Riley Hollingsworth that's been posted in cyberspace, Leo I. Meyerson, W0GFQ, charges that Baxter has been distributing unsolicited commercial e-mail that uses his name to promote business interests. He goes on to say that his now public letter is intended to let the FCC and the public know that Baxter has no authorization to use his name, photo nor that of Meyerson's wife. Nor does he support any of Baxter's activities, business or otherwise.

For those of you new to the hobby, Leo Meyerson, W0GFQ, is a walking legend. He was the founder of World Radio Laboratories and Galaxy Electronics. His simple but effective Globe Chief and Globe Scout transmitters were the first station for many new hams in the 1940's, 50's and 60's. He was also among the first to bring high frequency transceivers to ham radio through his Galaxy product line.

Meyerson's letter goes on to say that he is aware that the FCC has issued a $21,000 Notice of Apparent Liability against Baxter's Amateur Radio station. He ends by asking the Commisson to not renew Baxter's license which has been set aside by the FCC.

Meyerson's letter to the FCC concerning Baxter's pending license renewal is the second such note this year. As previously reported, retired CBS Newsman Walter Cronkite, KB2GSD, wrote the FCC last June after Baxter allegedl;y refused to stop using 1996 era promotional material containing Cronkite's voice. (Via E-Mail)

4682. Coast Guard Helo Freq Of 164.55: Narrow fm ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-26 20:04:20 UTC
From: Robert11 <rgsros@notme.com>
Message-ID: <rv2dnQ3hI_tdIhXeRVn-iA@comcast.com>
Source record: 11144

Hello:

Re the Coast Guard Helo S&R freq of 164.55

This is narrow fm, right ?

Thanks,
B.

4683. Scanner Crystals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-26 20:45:43 UTC
From: Bill <bgates@msn.com>
Message-ID: <Xns971AA18EA144Abgatesmsncom@130.81.64.196>
Source record: 11145

Are you in need of Scanner Crystals??

We offer Scanner Crystals for Bearcat, Regency, Electra, Plectron, Pace, Johnson,
Channel Master, Cobra, and Radio Shack Scanners. High Band, Low Band, T-Band,
and UHF Band for all Police Scanner Frequencies.

We have over 30,000 Radio Frequencies in-stock and ready to ship. All Scanner
Crystals are checked individually with a Signal Generator and Frequency Counter
to insure your scanner frequency accuracy and scanner crystal performance.

http://www.go-mccs.com/scanner.htm

And thanks to the guys over at http://www.scannertesting.com

--
Bill

--
Bill

4684. Re: NoteBook Computer 4-Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-26 20:52:36 UTC
From: Bill <bgates@msn.com>
Message-ID: <Xns971AA2B849848bgatesmsncom@130.81.64.196>
Source record: 11146

Evan Platt <evan@theobvious.espphotography.com> wrote in
news:hk20m196thf61rbsfid2vtmbsg5cgalj8q@4ax.com:

> On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 22:33:21 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
> wrote:
>
>>And any credibility or reputation for honesty.
>
> Bill sure disappeared quick....

Really?? where did I go??

--
Bill

4685. Re: NoteBook Computer 4-Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-26 20:53:28 UTC
From: Bill <bgates@msn.com>
Message-ID: <Xns971AA2DE2E52Fbgatesmsncom@130.81.64.196>
Source record: 11147

Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in
news:its2m15lg7v2kijna174iocea7lthef9ql@4ax.com:

> On Wed, 26 Oct 2005 16:00:48 -0700, Evan Platt
> <evan@theobvious.espphotography.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:
>
>>On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 22:33:21 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
>>wrote:
>
>>>And any credibility or reputation for honesty.
>
>>Bill sure disappeared quick....
>
> I've noticed that most people with nothing to hide usually don't hide.
>:)

OK and where is it that I'm hiding?? oh yeah under your mom's dress, man
her pussy stinks

--
Bill

4686. Re: NoteBook Computer 4-Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-26 20:58:07 UTC
From: Bill <bgates@msn.com>
Message-ID: <Xns971AA3A785C9Cbgatesmsncom@130.81.64.196>
Source record: 11148

Evan Platt <evan@theobvious.espphotography.com> wrote in
news:mkkcl1558i5fjicd7tata1qbgskcvonfhd@4ax.com:

> On Wed, 19 Oct 2005 00:01:23 GMT, Bill <bgates@msn.com> wrote:
>
>>Looking for a Notebook?? This one rocks :-)
>>
>>http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=
>>
>>
>>Thanks for checking it out :-)
>
> What radio frequencies does this receive?
>

it receives any frequencie you can program with:

WinScan780,
Arc780,
Arc898T,
ScanCat Gold,
TrunkScan Pro,
ScanPro 780,
ScanPro 895,
and
Uniden BC898T

Because thats all the programs that where on the puter.. Any other
questions?? But it sold so don't bother asking them :-)

--
Bill

4687. Re: NoteBook Computer 4-Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-26 20:58:13 UTC
From: Bill <bgates@msn.com>
Message-ID: <Xns971AA3AC787EBbgatesmsncom@130.81.64.196>
Source record: 11149

Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in
news:oitll1picn87m7d4h1n95nncqpk2u0i7al@4ax.com:

> On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 12:13:52 -0700, Evan Platt
> <evan@theobvious.espphotography.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:
>
>>You were made a offer to sell off eBay. Apparently you took it. You've
>>now lost any sort of seller protection eBay offers.
>
> And any credibility or reputation for honesty.
>

Why would I need the nothing that Ebay does not offer?? You get nothing from Ebay, i.e. they will not help you with anything, all they want is your money!! They are not the Inernet police, They will not kick ass holes that bid and don't pay, they will do nothing to ass holes who sell you something other then what it says in there auction!! And if you think they will help you when you get fucked on Ebay your smoking some really good grass.... I sold the unit and the buyer paid in full before it was even shipped to her so why would I need " You've now lost any sort of seller protection eBay offers." hahahaha They don't offer any protection to anyone.

--
Bill

4688. Help with RS Pro-97 and trunking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-27 01:02:17 UTC
From: OregonMike <OregonMike@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133052296.833604.8130@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11150

Hey all,

I'm having a helluva time programming in trunked systems. I've tried following the manual verbatim but it have had zero luck. Can anybody recommend a "Programming Trunk Systems on RS Scanners for Dummies" type site or something similarly helpful?

I have been able to get frequency listings, talk group IDs, and the trunking system type but not doing something correctly. I'll keep plugging away at this and check in here for a scanner savior :)

THanks,

OM

4689. [SOLD] Re: PRO-2066 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-27 03:00:30 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <9eidndX2-fDLvBTeRVn-uA@comcast.com>
Source record: 11051

Scanner has been sold.

Thanks!

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:ddudnbQmPrlwFBXeRVn-hw@comcast.com...
> FOR SALE:
>
> Radio Shack (Uniden) PRO-2066 Scanner. This model is particularly good
> for monitoring railroad coms!

4690. Re: Galaxy Electronics Founder Leo Meyerson Asks FCC To Deny K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-27 05:03:23 UTC
From: Landshark <landshark-hed@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133067803.201555.44580@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11052
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,alt.politics

Scott in Baltimore wrote:
> From ARNewsline #1476:
>
> ENFORCEMENT: WRL / GALAXY ELECTRONICS FOUNDER MEYERSON ASKS FCC NOT TO
> RENEW K1MAN'S LICENSE
>
> Another well known ham has written to the FCC asking the agency not to
> renew the license of American Amateur Radio <SNIP THE HAMMIE-HOMO SHIT>

THAT MEYERSON SOUNDS LIKE A REAL DICK HAM, BUT I STILL LOVE MY GALAXY
99!

4691. Re: Help with RS Pro-97 and trunking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-27 14:17:26 UTC
From: Bo Williams <williams@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <11ojg3jaludl091@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 11053

OregonMike wrote:
> Hey all,
>
> I'm having a helluva time programming in trunked systems. I've tried
> following the manual verbatim but it have had zero luck. Can anybody
> recommend a "Programming Trunk Systems on RS Scanners for Dummies" type
> site or something similarly helpful?
>
> I have been able to get frequency listings, talk group IDs, and the
> trunking system type but not doing something correctly. I'll keep
> plugging away at this and check in here for a scanner savior :)

Have you tried Win97 software for it?

http://www.starrsoft.com/software/win97/default.asp

Go ahead and spend the money for it (and a cable):

http://www.starrsoft.com/software/Win96/cables/cables.html

It will turn programming from a chore into a pleasure.
--
Bo Williams - williams@hiwaay.net
http://hiwaay.net/~williams/

4692. Re: Frequency site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-27 15:48:58 UTC
From: mcclure_john@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133106538.426402.19010@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11054

my isp was slow and didn't mean to post it so many times

4693. Here comes the Digital TV disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-27 16:29:50 UTC
From: Kookwatch 968549 <undermyhat@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9271a$4389ed07$d1cc5677$26533@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 11055
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Here comes Digital TV !!

http://www.dtv.gov/

(....otherwise known as the FCC's pending DISASTER when regular
analog broadcasting goes off the air and suddenly millions upon
millions of TV sets suddenly are "obsolete" and no longer work)

Can you just imagine what will happen the first weekend after
the DTV shi+ hits the fan and Bubba can't watch his BALL
GAMES or his NASCAR on his, now utterly useless TeeVee...?
Unless of course he buys a $100 set-top converter box for every TV
Want to talk about an excuse for rioting in the streets? Here it is, or
will be soon!
Not to mention also the fact that DTV will cause RFI to public
service commnications to go thru the roof, including cell phones which
will be useless anywhere near the transmitter. (this should be fun)

http://www.dtv.gov/consumercorner.html#obsolete

"The target date for the end of the transition from
analog to digital television signals is December 31, 2008.
That date may be extended, however, until most
homes (85%) in an area are able to watch the DTV
programming. At that point, broadcasting the
current ï¿½analogï¿½ channels will end and that spectrum
will be put to other uses. Until the transition to DTV
is complete, television stations will continue broadcasting
on both their digital and analog channels."

DTV broadcast is HIGHLY prone to multipath distortion problems
caused by shortcomings of the 8-channel vestigial sideband (8VSB)
transmission standard, which has been mandated for use by all
broadcasters in the United States by the Federal Communications
Commission. In short, if you think it was hard to get TV reception
back in the 60's and 70's before cable using a pair of rabbit ears
then you ain't seen nothing yet! With DTV you get nothing if even
one bit is missing from the broadcast stream. Black screen DOA.

Finally, here is the URL of the people who pushed this
pending disaster thru Congress: http://www.dtvcoalition.com/

Other articles on how flawed DTV really is and how
consumers are likely to react in anger when their sets
go dark in late 2009: http://dtvfacts.com/

4694. Re: AOR, Alinco or Icom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-27 16:58:03 UTC
From: "fasteddy64@yahoo.com" <fasteddy64@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133110683.118168.13180@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11056

If you are interested in UHF mil-air listening stay away from the R20.

4695. Re: Here comes the Digital TV disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-27 17:23:27 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <vpqjo193ibpqu9as2c12h7p3a9ir6gtu5u@4ax.com>
Source record: 11057
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 27 Nov 2005 11:29:50 -0500, "Kookwatch 968549"
<undermyhat@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Here comes Digital TV !!
>
>http://www.dtv.gov/
>
>(....otherwise known as the FCC's pending DISASTER when regular
> analog broadcasting goes off the air and suddenly millions upon
> millions of TV sets suddenly are "obsolete" and no longer work)
>
>Can you just imagine what will happen the first weekend after
>the DTV shi+ hits the fan and Bubba can't watch his BALL
>GAMES or his NASCAR on his, now utterly useless TeeVee...?
>Unless of course he buys a $100 set-top converter box for every TV
>Want to talk about an excuse for rioting in the streets? Here it is, or
>will be soon!
>Not to mention also the fact that DTV will cause RFI to public
>service commnications to go thru the roof, including cell phones which
>will be useless anywhere near the transmitter. (this should be fun)
>
>http://www.dtv.gov/consumercorner.html#obsolete
>
>"The target date for the end of the transition from
>analog to digital television signals is December 31, 2008.
>That date may be extended, however, until most
>homes (85%) in an area are able to watch the DTV
>programming. At that point, broadcasting the
>current ï¿½analogï¿½ channels will end and that spectrum
>will be put to other uses. Until the transition to DTV
>is complete, television stations will continue broadcasting
>on both their digital and analog channels."
>
>DTV broadcast is HIGHLY prone to multipath distortion problems
>caused by shortcomings of the 8-channel vestigial sideband (8VSB)
>transmission standard, which has been mandated for use by all
>broadcasters in the United States by the Federal Communications
>Commission. In short, if you think it was hard to get TV reception
>back in the 60's and 70's before cable using a pair of rabbit ears
>then you ain't seen nothing yet! With DTV you get nothing if even
>one bit is missing from the broadcast stream. Black screen DOA.
>
>Finally, here is the URL of the people who pushed this

>pending disaster thru Congress: http://www.dtvcoalition.com/
>
>Other articles on how flawed DTV really is and how
>consumers are likely to react in anger when their sets
>go dark in late 2009: http://dtvfacts.com/
>
>
Most people already have the necessary converter. It's called a cable
box. The STBs for the rest of the peeps will most likely be ca $25.
Closer to that than $100.

4696. PRO-2051's for $99.00 + shipping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-27 18:08:47 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <h5udnQ3mreGtaxTenZ2dnUVZ_t2dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 11058

There is a person on ebay selling (not an auction) Radio Shack (Uniden)
PRO-2051 scanners, refurbished for $99.00 + $15.00 shipping. I picked one
up myself. They're showing 41 left at this time. Here is the link, if
you're interested:
http://tinyurl.com/dtahw

Bill Crocker

4697. Re: PRO-2051's for $99.00 + shipping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-27 18:15:44 UTC
From: Bill <bgates@msn.com>
Message-ID: <Xns971B881F2CDD8bgatesmsncom@130.81.64.196>
Source record: 11059

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in
news:h5udnQ3mreGtaxTenZ2dnUVZ_t2dnZ2d@comcast.com:

> There is a person on ebay selling (not an auction) Radio Shack
> (Uniden) PRO-2051 scanners, refurbished for $99.00 + $15.00 shipping.
> I picked one up myself. They're showing 41 left at this time. Here
> is the link, if you're interested:
> http://tinyurl.com/dtahw
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
>

Why would you give them $100.00 for a non-auction when the ones that are
being auctioned are going for an avg of $75.00 to $80.00??

http://search-completed.ebay.com/search/search.dll?GetResult&sadis=200
&copagenum=1&saprclo=&sargn=-1&fsop=2&sbrftog=1&catref=C6&fpos=ZIP%
2FPostal&coaction=compare&fstype=1&ftrv=1&ftrt=1&from=R10&fis=2&sacat=40978
&so=Show+Items&sofocus=so&fcl=1&satitle=PRO-2051
&saprchi=&coentrypage=search

--
Bill

4698. Re: Here comes the Digital TV disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-27 18:21:15 UTC
From: clifto <clifto@clifto.com>
Message-ID: <r1ap53-la8.ln1@remote.clifto.com>
Source record: 11060
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

David wrote:
> The STBs for the rest of the peeps will most likely be ca $25.
> Closer to that than $100.

Oh, really? High-quality, flat-response tuner / IF strip / demodulator,
plus a scan convertor to convert from any of the gazillion digital
formats to NTSC, and RF modulator and power supply, all for $25 consumer
price?

--
If John McCain gets the 2008 Republican Presidential nomination,
my vote for President will be a write-in for Jiang Zemin.

4699. Re: Galaxy Electronics Founder Leo Meyerson Asks FCC To Deny K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-27 18:34:32 UTC
From: OregonMike <OregonMike@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <dmcu7ofil1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 11062
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.broadcasting

I'm thankful that that loser, K1MAN, is on the east coast and his
signals are easily ignored over here in micro$oft land.

4700. Re: Here comes the Digital TV disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-27 18:37:23 UTC
From: Invader3K <beckermt@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133116643.503962.255200@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11061
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

People talk like this whole DTV conversion is coming as a surprise.
It's been planned and in the news regularly for the last decade
(literally). This isn't some overnight switheroo that Congress is
trying to pull. There's been plenty of advance notice. If some people
choose to ignore the news and are surprised four years from now when
they can't watch regular TV (and that is only if they receive over the
air only, which applies to roughly less than 15% of the country), so be
it.

Personally I'm looking forward to the highly increased picture quality
and digital surround sound that DTV can provide. If some people want to
stick with their 1950s technology, so be it; but don't hold it against
those of us who want to move into the 21st century, thank you very
much. Many parts of the world like Japan and Western Europe have pretty
much moved to DTV already, and those countries haven't been engulfed in
mobs of angry people who can't watch TV anymore.

4701. Re: Here comes the Digital TV disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-27 18:45:14 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <lgvjo1p58n5128sl4n1t3aihl9q5m1cu25@4ax.com>
Source record: 11063
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 27 Nov 2005 12:21:15 -0600, clifto <clifto@clifto.com> wrote:

>David wrote:
>> The STBs for the rest of the peeps will most likely be ca $25.
>> Closer to that than $100.
>
>Oh, really? High-quality, flat-response tuner / IF strip / demodulator,
>plus a scan convertor to convert from any of the gazillion digital
>formats to NTSC, and RF modulator and power supply, all for $25 consumer
>price?

http://www.maxim-ic.com/quick_view2.cfm/qv_pk/3975

http://www.ati.com/products/nxt2000/features.html

http://www.st.com/stonline/press/news/year1997/p686p.htm

4702. DSP JPS NTR-1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-27 19:03:23 UTC
From: Maurizio Bonfanti <maurizio.bonfanti@libero.it>
Message-ID: <%pnif.9370$S6.159776@twister2.libero.it>
Source record: 11064

Can JPS NTR-1 (noise reduction) be useful for listening to FM-N mode?

Maurizio

4703. Re: PRO-2051's for $99.00 + shipping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-27 19:18:58 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <WZadnfFy6Ms-mxfeRVn-pA@comcast.com>
Source record: 11065

I had bids on three @ $76.66, missed them all. My schedule didn't allow me
to watch them closely. The Buy-It-Now was not unreasonable.

Bill Crocker

"Bill" <bgates@msn.com> wrote in message
news:Xns971B881F2CDD8bgatesmsncom@130.81.64.196...
> "Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in
> news:h5udnQ3mreGtaxTenZ2dnUVZ_t2dnZ2d@comcast.com:
>
>> There is a person on ebay selling (not an auction) Radio Shack
>> (Uniden) PRO-2051 scanners, refurbished for $99.00 + $15.00 shipping.
>> I picked one up myself. They're showing 41 left at this time. Here
>> is the link, if you're interested:
>> http://tinyurl.com/dtahw
>>
>> Bill Crocker
>>
>>
>>
>
> Why would you give them $100.00 for a non-auction when the ones that are
> being auctioned are going for an avg of $75.00 to $80.00??
>
> --
> Bill
>
>
>

4704. Re: Here comes the Digital TV disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-27 19:43:46 UTC
From: clifto <clifto@clifto.com>
Message-ID: <jsep53-la8.ln1@remote.clifto.com>
Source record: 11066
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Invader3K wrote:
> Personally I'm looking forward to the highly increased picture quality
> and digital surround sound that DTV can provide. If some people want to
> stick with their 1950s technology, so be it; but don't hold it against
> those of us who want to move into the 21st century, thank you very
> much. Many parts of the world like Japan and Western Europe have pretty
> much moved to DTV already, and those countries haven't been engulfed in
> mobs of angry people who can't watch TV anymore.

The problem isn't moving into the 21st Century, Mr. Futuristic Modern Dude.
We're already there. The problem is *removing* the existing broadcasts.

There'd be room for everyone if Congress wasn't so easily bought by
commercial interests bent on forcing the public to throw away literally
TRILLIONS OF DOLLARS worth of equipment.

I'm as far from a treehugger as one can get, but have you given any
thought whatever to the landfill problem when hundreds of millions of
television sets suddenly need landfill for disposal?

--
If John McCain gets the 2008 Republican Presidential nomination,
my vote for President will be a write-in for Jiang Zemin.

4705. Re: Here comes the Digital TV disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-27 19:48:55 UTC
From: clifto <clifto@clifto.com>
Message-ID: <86fp53-la8.ln1@remote.clifto.com>
Source record: 11067
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

David wrote:
> On Sun, 27 Nov 2005 12:21:15 -0600, clifto <clifto@clifto.com> wrote:
>>Oh, really? High-quality, flat-response tuner / IF strip / demodulator,
>>plus a scan convertor to convert from any of the gazillion digital
>>formats to NTSC, and RF modulator and power supply, all for $25 consumer
>>price?
>
> http://www.maxim-ic.com/quick_view2.cfm/qv_pk/3975

That's completely silly. No one could make *anything* for $25 if they
are forced to do it in runs of ten or fifteen at a time because the
suppliers don't have parts.

> http://www.ati.com/products/nxt2000/features.html

Vague.

> http://www.st.com/stonline/press/news/year1997/p686p.htm

Here you might have something... except the chip has to be about 25
cents to pass the rule of 10. Figure $8 in 10,000 qty, then your
set top box might make $100.

--
If John McCain gets the 2008 Republican Presidential nomination,
my vote for President will be a write-in for Jiang Zemin.

4706. Re: Coast Guard Helo Freq Of 164.55: Narrow fm ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-27 19:55:45 UTC
From: John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com>
Message-ID: <rh2ko19vr98au86a8r77ohq59nff3tuokk@4ax.com>
Source record: 11068

On Sat, 26 Nov 2005 15:04:20 -0500, "Robert11" <rgsros@notme.com> wrote:

>Hello:
>
>Re the Coast Guard Helo S&R freq of 164.55
>
>This is narrow fm, right ?

Right.

USCG aircraft will only use AM in the civilian (118-134) and military (225-400) aircraft bands. On the HF bands they'll use USB. On the VHF marine radiotelephone channels - and on government freqs such as 164.55 - you'll hear narrowband FM,

John Kasupski, Tonawanda, New York
Amateur Radio (KC2HMZ), SWL/Scanner Monitoring (KNY2VS)
zIRC #monitor Admin

4707. Re: Here comes the Digital TV disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-27 20:38:57 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <ja6ko1hhsqtj04hm7v68gramhle4usoshl@4ax.com>
Source record: 11069
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 27 Nov 2005 13:48:55 -0600, clifto <clifto@clifto.com> wrote:

>David wrote:
>> On Sun, 27 Nov 2005 12:21:15 -0600, clifto <clifto@clifto.com> wrote:
>>>Oh, really? High-quality, flat-response tuner / IF strip / demodulator,
>>>plus a scan convertor to convert from any of the gazillion digital
>>>formats to NTSC, and RF modulator and power supply, all for $25 consumer
>>>price?
>>
>> http://www.maxim-ic.com/quick_view2.cfm/qv_pk/3975
>
>That's completely silly. No one could make *anything* for $25 if they
>are forced to do it in runs of ten or fifteen at a time because the
>suppliers don't have parts.
>
>> http://www.ati.com/products/nxt2000/features.html
>
>Vague.
>
>> http://www.st.com/stonline/press/news/year1997/p686p.htm
>
>Here you might have something... except the chip has to be about 25
>cents to pass the rule of 10. Figure $8 in 10,000 qty, then your
>set top box might make $100.

4708. Mode For ARINC LDOC Freq's ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-27 21:16:59 UTC
From: Robert11 <rgsros@notme.com>
Message-ID: <m_OdnavXDvzbvxfeRVn-rQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 11070

Hello

a. Are the ARINC LDOC (long distance operating control frequencies) such as
3494,6640, 8983, 13330,...
all USB Mode ?

b. Also, the ARINC freq of 129.4 would be normal AM. Right ?

Thanks,
B.

4709. FS: Aor Sdu5600 and Pro96 + Misc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-27 22:04:27 UTC
From: Allen <info@cincypeddler.com>
Message-ID: <L3qif.125438$Hs.100448@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 11071
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

http://cincypeddler.com/Electronics/electronic_results.asp

4710. Re: Here comes the Digital TV disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-27 23:14:08 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <dmdee0sjn1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 11072
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:vpqjo193ibpqu9as2c12h7p3a9ir6gtu5u@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 27 Nov 2005 11:29:50 -0500, "Kookwatch 968549"
> <undermyhat@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>Here comes Digital TV !!
>>
>>http://www.dtv.gov/
>>
>>(....otherwise known as the FCC's pending DISASTER when regular
>> analog broadcasting goes off the air and suddenly millions upon
>> millions of TV sets suddenly are "obsolete" and no longer work)
>>
>>Can you just imagine what will happen the first weekend after
>>the DTV shi+ hits the fan and Bubba can't watch his BALL
>>GAMES or his NASCAR on his, now utterly useless TeeVee...?
>>Unless of course he buys a $100 set-top converter box for every TV
>>Want to talk about an excuse for rioting in the streets? Here it is, or
>>will be soon!
>>Not to mention also the fact that DTV will cause RFI to public
>>service commnications to go thru the roof, including cell phones which
>>will be useless anywhere near the transmitter. (this should be fun)
>>
>>http://www.dtv.gov/consumercorner.html#obsolete
>>
>>"The target date for the end of the transition from
>>analog to digital television signals is December 31, 2008.
>>That date may be extended, however, until most
>>homes (85%) in an area are able to watch the DTV
>>programming. At that point, broadcasting the
>>current "analog" channels will end and that spectrum
>>will be put to other uses. Until the transition to DTV
>>is complete, television stations will continue broadcasting
>>on both their digital and analog channels."
>>
>>DTV broadcast is HIGHLY prone to multipath distortion problems
>>caused by shortcomings of the 8-channel vestigial sideband (8VSB)
>>transmission standard, which has been mandated for use by all
>>broadcasters in the United States by the Federal Communications
>>Commission. In short, if you think it was hard to get TV reception
>>back in the 60's and 70's before cable using a pair of rabbit ears
>>then you ain't seen nothing yet! With DTV you get nothing if even

>>one bit is missing from the broadcast stream. Black screen DOA.
>>
>>Finally, here is the URL of the people who pushed this
>>pending disaster thru Congress: http://www.dtvcoalition.com/
>>
>>Other articles on how flawed DTV really is and how
>>consumers are likely to react in anger when their sets
>>go dark in late 2009: http://dtvfacts.com/
>>
>>
> Most people already have the necessary converter. It's called a cable
> box. The STBs for the rest of the peeps will most likely be ca $25.
> Closer to that than $100.

Ever price one of those cable boxes? They probably don't cost much to build, but if you go to buy them, they're on the order of $300-$400, at least the addressable ones. The cheapies, that do no decoding still run into the $100+ range for one that doesn't break in a month or two.

4711. Help Me Understand Rebanding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-27 23:43:45 UTC
From: Tom Humphrey <thumph@l812.net>
Message-ID: <NJrif.3864$G11.3176@fe07.lga>
Source record: 11073

I have a pro 95 and I am currently monitoring a analog Motorola system
(Madison WI) I am told that my pro 95 will not work on this system
after they reprogram. what scanners will work??? Uniden 246T ?

Thanks
Tom

4712. Re: Help Me Understand Rebanding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-28 02:43:08 UTC
From: **THE-RFI-EMI-GUY** <rhyolite@nettally.com>
Message-ID: <438A6F2F.8060202@nettally.com>
Source record: 11074

When the band is redone, the FCC channel numbering scheme will change to accomodate the NPSPAC channels (12.5 KHz offsets) in the lower 120 channels. Motorola's SmartNet controller transmits the FCC channel number to the subscriber unit when assigning a talkgroup. The subscriber radio calculates the frequency from the channel number. Unless the firmware in the subscriber unit or scanner is updated, those receivers will not know where to listen. Personally I would not buy a scanner unless the manufacturer commits to a post rebanding firmware change.

Tom Humphrey wrote:

> I have a pro 95 and I am currently monitoring a analog Motorola system
> (Madison WI) I am told that my pro 95 will not work on this system
> after they reprogram. what scanners will work??? Uniden 246T ?
>
>
> Thanks
> Tom

--
Joe Leikhim K4SAT
"The RFI-EMI-GUY"

"Follow The Money"

4713. Re: Frequency site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-28 04:36:25 UTC
From: DeWayne <k9kz@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <dPvif.144700$tD4.88705@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 11075

"finalquest" <finalquest@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:iOChf.3384$aA2.3241@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> I'm looking for a good site for frequencies in the Broome County area of
> New York State. Jim Fordyce closed down his LI Scanning site that was a
> wealth of info. Too bad but any help would be appreciated.

Cityfreq.com and radioreference.com

>
>

4714. Re: Help Me Understand Rebanding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-28 04:42:22 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <1YCdnQVpsegsFxfenZ2dnUVZ_tOdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 11076

FCC re-banding info can be found here:
http://www.apcointl.org/frequency/800hp.htm

Bill Crocker

"Tom Humphrey" <thumph@I812.net> wrote in message
news:NJrif.3864$G11.3176@fe07.lga...
>I have a pro 95 and I am currently monitoring a analog Motorola system
>(Madison WI) I am told that my pro 95 will not work on this system after
>they reprogram. what scanners will work??? Uniden 246T ?
>
>
> Thanks
> Tom

4715. Re: Mode For ARINC LDOC Freq's ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-28 07:58:54 UTC
From: "bradvk2qq@w6ir.com" <bradvk2qq@w6ir.com>
Message-ID: <1133164734.866275.92210@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11077

(a) Yes and (b) Yes.

Brad.

4716. Re: Here comes the Digital TV disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-28 08:11:56 UTC
From: Roger <Delete-Invallid.stuff.groups@tm.net>
Message-ID: <9helo11br4l0sglavk07iheulafthb831o@4ax.com>
Source record: 11078
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 27 Nov 2005 12:21:15 -0600, clifto <clifto@clifto.com> wrote:

>David wrote:
>> The STBs for the rest of the peeps will most likely be ca $25.
>> Closer to that than $100.
>
>Oh, really? High-quality, flat-response tuner / IF strip / demodulator,
>plus a scan convertor to convert from any of the gazillion digital
>formats to NTSC, and RF modulator and power supply, all for $25 consumer
>price?

5 Newsgroups?

and my set top box was free when I signed up. I get excellent picture
and sound and no interferrence between the ham stations (One in the
shop and one in the house). 1.8 through 440. Legal limit 1.8 through
30. 100 watts out on 50 MHz and 200 watts on 144 MHz.
I'm running an Alpha 76A in the house for HF and a Henry 2K-4 in the
shop.

Remember Digital is not necessiarlily HDTV, but they will be moving up
out of the VHF bands.

BTW, the computers and ham rigs are less than 3' apart and the network
cable runs with the coax cables for a ways.

I can run a 2-meter HT on the desk with the computers running although
if I get within about a foot of this one it will open the squelch.
Still I can set the HT next to the left side of the monitor and it
works fine.

Roger Halstead (K8RI & ARRL life member)
(N833R, S# CD-2 Worlds oldest Debonair)
www.rogerhalstead.com

4717. Re: Help with RS Pro-97 and trunking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-28 08:31:23 UTC
From: OregonMike <OregonMike@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133166683.035455.97560@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11079

Thanks for the insight.

OM

4718. Re: Here comes the Digital TV disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-28 08:34:04 UTC
From: Roger <Delete-Invallid.stuff.groups@tm.net>
Message-ID: <0lflo1lt65javtbknvdcdrag1dno9hal6q@4ax.com>
Source record: 11080
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 28 Nov 2005 08:14:08 +0900, "Brenda Ann"
<brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote:

>
>"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
>news:vpqjo193ibpqu9as2c12h7p3a9ir6gtu5u@4ax.com...
>> On Sun, 27 Nov 2005 11:29:50 -0500, "Kookwatch 968549"
>> <undermyhat@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>>>Here comes Digital TV !!
>>>
>>>http://www.dtv.gov/
>>>
>>>(....otherwise known as the FCC's pending DISASTER when regular
>>> analog broadcasting goes off the air and suddenly millions upon
>>> millions of TV sets suddenly are "obsolete" and no longer work)
>>>
>>>Can you just imagine what will happen the first weekend after
>>>the DTV shi+ hits the fan and Bubba can't watch his BALL
>>>GAMES or his NASCAR on his, now utterly useless TeeVee...?
>>>Unless of course he buys a $100 set-top converter box for every TV
>>>Want to talk about an excuse for rioting in the streets? Here it is, or
>>>will be soon!
>>>Not to mention also the fact that DTV will cause RFI to public
>>>service commnications to go thru the roof, including cell phones which
>>>will be useless anywhere near the transmitter. (this should be fun)
>>>
>>>http://www.dtv.gov/consumercorner.html#obsolete
>>>
>>>"The target date for the end of the transition from
>>>analog to digital television signals is December 31, 2008.
>>>That date may be extended, however, until most
>>>homes (85%) in an area are able to watch the DTV
>>>programming. At that point, broadcasting the
>>>current "analog" channels will end and that spectrum
>>>will be put to other uses. Until the transition to DTV
>>>is complete, television stations will continue broadcasting
>>>on both their digital and analog channels."
>>>
>>>DTV broadcast is HIGHLY prone to multipath distortion problems
>>>caused by shortcomings of the 8-channel vestigial sideband (8VSB)
>>>transmission standard, which has been mandated for use by all
>>>broadcasters in the United States by the Federal Communications

>>>Commission. In short, if you think it was hard to get TV reception
>>>back in the 60's and 70's before cable using a pair of rabbit ears
>>>then you ain't seen nothing yet! With DTV you get nothing if even
>>>one bit is missing from the broadcast stream. Black screen DOA.

Tain't nearly that bad. It depends on what bits are missing, but what
happens it the picture will start to break up if enough of the right
bits are missing.

You do need a good UHF antenna for local HDTV at present and probably
will for Digital as well. Rabbit ears definitely will not cut it. and
if you live near woods it's likely a small antenna on a roof mounted
tripod won't either. I get a reasonably good signal for 60 to 90 miles
and do copy one station quite well from nearly 100 miles (Traverse
City), but their digital antenna is out this direction so I'm not sure
just how far it is to the antenna.

>>>
>>>Finally, here is the URL of the people who pushed this
>>>pending disaster thru Congress: http://www.dtvcoalition.com/
>>>
>>>Other articles on how flawed DTV really is and how
>>>consumers are likely to react in anger when their sets
>>>go dark in late 2009: http://dtvfacts.com/
>>>
>>>
>> Most people already have the necessary converter. It's called a cable
>> box. The STBs for the rest of the peeps will most likely be ca $25.
>> Closer to that than $100.
>
>
>Ever price one of those cable boxes? They probably don't cost much to build,
>but if you go to buy them, they're on the order of $300-$400, at least the
>addressable ones. The cheapies, that do no decoding still run into the $100+
>range for one that doesn't break in a month or two.
>

Yup. Free.
Both my cable box and my digital satellite box were free with a years
subscription. Actually the same went for the cable modem at 3 Mbs
with free installation which meant running almost 200 feet of cable .
It's a 130 feet from the pole so that's 160 feet and there are 3 drops
in the house so I guess it's a tad more than 200 feet along with 3
splitters which are cheap.

I'll probably keep right on using the one old 37" analog set down in
the basement until it gives out. The tuner gave out long ago, but I
use it as a monitor with the VCR as the tuner.
>
Roger Halstead (K8RI & ARRL life member)
(N833R, S/N CD-2 Worlds oldest Debonair)
www.rogerhalstead.com

4719. Trunk PCR Version 0.7.182 Update Is Now Available for Downloading..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-28 08:52:58 UTC
From: CrazyC <crazy__c_@hot_mail.com>
Message-ID: <Gzzif.11863$mm5.3865@dukeread03>
Source record: 11081

Trunk PCR Version 0.7.182 Update Is Now Available for Downloading..
http://members.cox.net/fiftyone.50/TrunkPcr/TrunkPcr.html

This update fixes a couple bugs and adds some new features...

Sep 18,2005 - Fixed: Manual Stepping Now Tracks an Individual ID. (Broke
it in 177)
Sep 19,2005 - Added: Motorola 800Mhz ReBanding Support
NEW 800MHZ Band Plan: (Could Change!)
Base Freq Step Offset Low High
851.03750 12.50 2 2 39
851.53750 12.50 40 40 77
852.03750 12.50 78 78 115
852.53750 12.50 116 116 153
853.03750 12.50 154 154 230
854.01250 25.00 231 231 260
Sep 19,2005 - -----: Changed Trunk Settings MOTO 400/VHF to
Custom/Extended...
400 and VHF use the Custom Map. 800 Rebanding
uses Extended...
Sep 19,2005 - Fixed: Switching Between Moto Systems doesn't Reset
Settings..
Oct 09,2005 - Added: Selection of when New ID's are Saved
Oct 09,2005 - Added: Selection of how Hits are Counted
Oct 10,2005 - -----: Trunk Menu Edit Options - Always Enabled for Trunk
Banks.
Oct 17,2005 - Added: Viewing of Group Patches in CC Activity Window
Oct 17,2005 - Added: Viewing of Affiliations in CC Activity Window
Oct 21,2005 - Fixed: Saving Display Mode...
Oct 21,2005 - -----: Changed Color and Fonts in CC Activity Windows
Oct 22,2005 - Added: Display of MOTO Group Types in CC Activity Window...
Oct 23,2005 - Fixed: Improved Hunting of CC on Data Loss...
Oct 23,2005 - -----: Increased Radio ID Limits...
Oct 24,2005 - -----: Mode & IF Options Now set to Defaults when Changing
from Conv to Trunk.
Oct 24,2005 - -----: Increased TrunkBanks to 27 from 23...
Oct 24,2005 - -----: Default TrunkBank Now set to 27 (?)...
Oct 24,2005 - -----: Increased ID Descriptions to 40 Characters...
Oct 25,2005 - Added: Display of Edacs AFS format in CC Activity Windows.
Oct 27,2005 - Added: Show Search Results from Search Limit Banks.
Oct 27,2005 - Added: Printing of Above Search Results.
Oct 30,2005 - Added: Printing Group/Radio ID's.
Oct 30,2005 - Added: Print Preview for Above.
Oct 30,2005 - Added: Export Group/Radio ID's to Excel CSV File.
Oct 30,2005 - Added: Export Search Results to Excel CSV File.

Oct 30,2005 - -----: Display Mode of ID's in CC Activity Windows
Immediately updated...
Oct 30,2005 - -----: Search Results Limit Set to Donation Amount.
Version 0.7.180 Released 10/31/2005

Regards,
Jay

4720. Send spam this way

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-28 17:35:42 UTC
From: Christopher O'Callaghan <d.ocallaghan@iolfree.ie>
Message-ID: <dmff5ains1@reader01.news.esat.net>
Source record: 11082

sallowswayne@aol.com

send all the spam you want to this address..I can then forward this to piss
of my enemy.....

4721. Re: Here comes the Digital TV disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-28 17:48:42 UTC
From: FDR <_remove_spam_block_rzitka@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <_pHif.36201$DL6.24839@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 11083
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Roger" <Delete-Invallid.stuff.groups@tm.net> wrote in message
news:0lflo1lt65javtbknvdcdrag1dno9hal6q@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 28 Nov 2005 08:14:08 +0900, "Brenda Ann"
> <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
>>news:vpqjo193ibpqu9as2c12h7p3a9ir6gtu5u@4ax.com...
>>> On Sun, 27 Nov 2005 11:29:50 -0500, "Kookwatch 968549"
>>> <undermyhat@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>>Here comes Digital TV !!
>>>>
>>>>http://www.dtv.gov/
>>>>
>>>>(....otherwise known as the FCC's pending DISASTER when regular
>>>> analog broadcasting goes off the air and suddenly millions upon
>>>> millions of TV sets suddenly are "obsolete" and no longer work)
>>>>
>>>>Can you just imagine what will happen the first weekend after
>>>>the DTV shi+ hits the fan and Bubba can't watch his BALL
>>>>GAMES or his NASCAR on his, now utterly useless TeeVee...?
>>>>Unless of course he buys a $100 set-top converter box for every TV
>>>>Want to talk about an excuse for rioting in the streets? Here it is, or
>>>>will be soon!
>>>>Not to mention also the fact that DTV will cause RFI to public
>>>>service commnications to go thru the roof, including cell phones which
>>>>will be useless anywhere near the transmitter. (this should be fun)
>>>>
>>>>http://www.dtv.gov/consumercorner.html#obsolete
>>>>
>>>>"The target date for the end of the transition from
>>>>analog to digital television signals is December 31, 2008.
>>>>That date may be extended, however, until most
>>>>homes (85%) in an area are able to watch the DTV
>>>>programming. At that point, broadcasting the
>>>>current "analog" channels will end and that spectrum
>>>>will be put to other uses. Until the transition to DTV
>>>>is complete, television stations will continue broadcasting
>>>>on both their digital and analog channels."
>>>>
>>>>DTV broadcast is HIGHLY prone to multipath distortion problems

>>>>caused by shortcomings of the 8-channel vestigial sideband (8VSB)
>>>>transmission standard, which has been mandated for use by all
>>>>broadcasters in the United States by the Federal Communications
>>>>Commission. In short, if you think it was hard to get TV reception
>>>>back in the 60's and 70's before cable using a pair of rabbit ears
>>>>then you ain't seen nothing yet! With DTV you get nothing if even
>>>>one bit is missing from the broadcast stream. Black screen DOA.
>
> Tain't nearly that bad. It depends on what bits are missing, but what
> happens it the picture will start to break up if enough of the right
> bits are missing.
>
> You do need a good UHF antenna for local HDTV at present and probably
> will for Digital as well. Rabbit ears definitely will not cut it. and
> if you live near woods it's likely a small antenna on a roof mounted
> tripod won't either. I get a reasonably good signal for 60 to 90 miles
> and do copy one station quite well from nearly 100 miles (Traverse
> City), but their digital antenna is out this direction so I'm not sure
> just how far it is to the antenna.

I got a $20 plain-jane antenna and it works fine for HDTV.

>
>>>>
>>>>Finally, here is the URL of the people who pushed this
>>>>pending disaster thru Congress: http://www.dtvcoalition.com/
>>>>
>>>>Other articles on how flawed DTV really is and how
>>>>consumers are likely to react in anger when their sets
>>>>go dark in late 2009: http://dtvfacts.com/
>>>>
>>>>
>>> Most people already have the necessary converter. It's called a cable
>>> box. The STBs for the rest of the peeps will most likely be ca $25.
>>> Closer to that than $100.
>>
>>
>>Ever price one of those cable boxes? They probably don't cost much to
>>build,
>>but if you go to buy them, they're on the order of $300-$400, at least the
>>addressable ones. The cheapies, that do no decoding still run into the
>>$100+
>>range for one that doesn't break in a month or two.
>>
>
> Yup. Free.
> Both my cable box and my digital satellite box were free with a years
> subscription. Actually the same went for the cable modem at 3 Mbs
> with free installation which meant running almost 200 feet of cable .
> It's a 130 feet from the pole so that's 160 feet and there are 3 drops
> in the house so I guess it's a tad more than 200 feet along with 3

> splitters which are cheap.
>
> I'll probably keep right on using the one old 37" analog set down in
> the basement until it gives out. The tuner gave out long ago, but I
> use it as a monitor with the VCR as the tuner.
>>
> Roger Halstead (K8RI & ARRL life member)
> (N833R, S# CD-2 Worlds oldest Debonair)
> www.rogerhalstead.com

4722. what disater

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-28 19:16:09 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133205369.956261.291950@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11084
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Kookwatch 968549 wrote:
> Here comes Digital TV !!
>
> http://www.dtv.gov/
>
> (....otherwise known as the FCC's pending DISASTER when regular
> analog broadcasting goes off the air and suddenly millions upon
> millions of TV sets suddenly are "obsolete" and no longer work)
>
> Can you just imagine what will happen the first weekend after
> the DTV shi+ hits the fan and Bubba can't watch his BALL
> GAMES or his NASCAR on his, now utterly useless TeeVee...?
> Unless of course he buys a $100 set-top converter box for every TV
> Want to talk about an excuse for rioting in the streets? Here it is, or
> will be soon!
where is the disaster

4723. Re: Help Me Understand Rebanding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-28 21:37:59 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <gI6dnQqDa9ok5RbeRVn-pQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 11085

Nice site. The Radio Shack/GRE chart needs to be updated. There are a
couple new models not listed.

Bill Crocker

<xxnonexnonexx@tampascanner.info> wrote in message
news:66fmo11osbfj0gv0nhj5movje1sf1bnklf@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 27 Nov 2005 17:43:45 -0600, Tom Humphrey <thumph@I812.net> wrote:
>
>>I have a pro 95 and I am currently monitoring a analog Motorola system
>>(Madison WI) I am told that my pro 95 will not work on this system
>>after they reprogram. what scanners will work??? Uniden 246T ?
>
> Complete info and break down on what will work and what wont work after
> rebanding.
>
> http://www.wpascanner.com/reband/reband.htm
>
>
> ----
> Support your LOCAL scanner frequency site and groups!
> http://www.wpascanner.com http://www.tampascanner.info
> --
> http://www.gulfcoastdish.net
>
> -----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet
> News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+
> Newsgroups
> -----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption
> =-----

4724. Re: Here comes the Digital TV disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-28 22:22:52 UTC
From: Wes Stewart <n7ws*@*yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7b0no1dbdd6be7ck4bpoperis6ekpijcvd@4ax.com>
Source record: 11086
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 27 Nov 2005 11:29:50 -0500, "Kookwatch 968549"
<undermyhat@yahoo.com> wrote:

[snip]

>
>DTV broadcast is HIGHLY prone to multipath distortion problems
>caused by shortcomings of the 8-channel vestigial sideband (8VSB)
>transmission standard, which has been mandated for use by all
>broadcasters in the United States by the Federal Communications
>Commission. In short, if you think it was hard to get TV reception
>back in the 60's and 70's before cable using a pair of rabbit ears
>then you ain't seen nothing yet! With DTV you get nothing if even
>one bit is missing from the broadcast stream. Black screen DOA.

My situation exactly. While I'm at 2500' ASL and my local stations
are at 8800' and 4500', there are higher peaks between them and me. So
signal levels are down and reflections off local peaks (that are
line-of-sight to me and the transmitters) are as strong as those via
the obstructed path.

This is a technological disaster, which of course is what you get when
you put politicians in charge of science projects.

DTV, BPL, the morning after pill, all screwed up by politicians with
agendas.

4725. Re: Here comes the Digital TV disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-28 23:49:46 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <8s5no1l0mukl05pnloemli2q21vu7ha52h@4ax.com>
Source record: 11087
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 28 Nov 2005 15:22:52 -0700, Wes Stewart <n7ws*@*yahoo.com>
wrote:

>
>My situation exactly. While I'm at 2500' ASL and my local stations
>are at 8800' and 4500', there are higher peaks between them and me. So
>signal levels are down and reflections off local peaks (that are
>line-of-sight to me and the transmitters) are as strong as those via
>the obstructed path.

>
So get cable.

4726. Re: Here comes the Digital TV disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-29 00:13:58 UTC
From: R! <hobbob123@mailinator.com>
Message-ID: <1133223237.932842@nnrp2.phx1.gblx.net>
Source record: 11088
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Kookwatch 968549" <undermyhat@yahoo.com> wrote in news:9271a
$4389ed07$d1cc5677$26533@snip.allthenewsgroups.com:

> Here comes Digital TV !!
>
> http://www.dtv.gov/
>
> (....otherwise known as the FCC's pending DISASTER when regular
> analog broadcasting goes off the air and suddenly millions upon
> millions of TV sets suddenly are "obsolete" and no longer work)
>
> Can you just imagine what will happen the first weekend after
> the DTV shi+ hits the fan and Bubba can't watch his BALL
> GAMES or his NASCAR on his, now utterly useless TeeVee...?
> Unless of course he buys a $100 set-top converter box for every TV
> Want to talk about an excuse for rioting in the streets? Here it
> is, or
> will be soon!
> Not to mention also the fact that DTV will cause RFI to public
> service commnications to go thru the roof,
> including cell phones which
> will be useless anywhere near the transmitter. (this should be fun)
>
> http://www.dtv.gov/consumercorner.html#obsolete
>
> "The target date for the end of the transition from
> analog to digital television signals is December 31, 2008.
> That date may be extended, however, until most
> homes (85%) in an area are able to watch the DTV
> programming. At that point, broadcasting the
> current &#65533;analog&#65533; channels will end and that spectrum
> will be put to other uses. Until the transition to DTV
> is complete, television stations will continue broadcasting
> on both their digital and analog channels."
>
> DTV broadcast is HIGHLY prone to multipath distortion problems
> caused by shortcomings of the 8-channel vestigial sideband (8VSB)
> transmission standard, which has been mandated for use by all
> broadcasters in the United States by the Federal Communications
> Commission. In short, if you think it was hard to get TV reception
> back in the 60's and 70's before cable using a pair of rabbit ears
> then you ain't seen nothing yet! With DTV you get nothing if even

> one bit is missing from the broadcast stream. Black screen DOA.
>
> Finally, here is the URL of the people who pushed this
> pending disaster thru Congress: http://www.dtvcoalition.com/
>
> Other articles on how flawed DTV really is and how
> consumers are likely to react in anger when their sets
> go dark in late 2009: http://dtvfacts.com/
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

I meed a good excuse to quit watchin' the "BOOB TUBE"
maybee this is it...

I us'd to repair TVee... Most people were satisfied with
a picture that looked like a pair of those 3D glasses when
the show wasn't in 3D...

If you done anything to make it better they complained it
jus' doesn't look rit'...

R!

4727. Opinions on PRO-2051?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-29 00:27:02 UTC
From: nickolas80@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1133224022.087977.132100@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11089

Any opinions/comments on the PRO-2051 from Radio Shack?
Seen here...
http://www.radioshack.com/product/index.jsp?productId=2036234&cp=2032052.2032072

Looking to get started with a scanner and thought this one would be
good to start with.
How does it compare to the handeld PRO-97? found here ...
http://www.radioshack.com/product/index.jsp?productId=2102425&cp=2032052.2032072

Any opinions on either of these?

Thanks,
Nickolas

4728. Pro-97 have headphone jack?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-29 00:33:13 UTC
From: nickolas80@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1133224393.512659.220070@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11090

does the rs pro-97 have a headphone jack?

4729. Pro-97 and CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-29 00:43:52 UTC
From: nickolas80@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1133225032.364087.62950@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11091

How good is the pro-97 at listening to CB? Are any scanners good at hearing CB chatter?

4730. Re: Pro-97 have headphone jack?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-29 01:30:23 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <d4ednfCX3sKsMhbeRVn-gA@comcast.com>
Source record: 11092

Yes.

Bill Crocker

<nickolas80@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1133224393.512659.220070@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> does the rs pro-97 have a headphone jack?
>

4731. Re: Opinions on PRO-2051?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-29 01:33:58 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <gq6dnbPDIdmVLRbenZ2dnUVZ_tSdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 11093

Currently, there is a firmware issue with the PRO-2051, that prevents it from interfacing with software. Also it does not have any alpha-tags (if that is important to you), and after re-banding is in effect, it won't work properly in some of the 800MHz trunked systems.

If you're looking for a base/mobile equivalent of the PRO-97, check out the PRO-2055. However, both the PRO-97, and PRO-2055 may have issues after re-banding as well.

Bill Crocker

<nickolas80@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1133224022.087977.132100@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Any opinions/comments on the PRO-2051 from Radio Shack?
> Seen here...
> http://www.radioshack.com/product/index.jsp?productId=2036234&cp=2032052.2032072
>
> Looking to get started with a scanner and thought this one would be
> good to start with.
> How does it compare to the handeld PRO-97? found here ...
> http://www.radioshack.com/product/index.jsp?productId=2102425&cp=2032052.2032072
>
> Any opinions on either of these?
>
> Thanks,
> Nickolas
>

4732. Re: Pro-97 and CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-29 01:36:42 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <HN2dnb0aJaIxLRbeRVn-tw@comcast.com>
Source record: 11094

Scanners do not do very well on CB. One reason is, that if the scanner covers the CB frequencies at all, it is at the very low-end of the scanners capabilities, so performance is not optimal. Another issue is that none of the scanners come with antennas that are anywhere near being the proper length for CB frequencies.

You would be better off just buying an inexpensive CB.

Bill Crocker

<nickolas80@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1133225032.364087.62950@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> How good is the pro-97 at listening to CB? Are any scanners good at
> hearing CB chatter?
>

4733. Re: Here comes the Digital TV disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-29 02:16:11 UTC
From: Andre <pequiste@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <edeno1h73cp0c5fjrihk69dvgd2t539d39@4ax.com>
Source record: 11095
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

at my eyes the piont is not entering 21'' century problem is RFI going
to 21 will cost healt...
My two cents

On Sun, 27 Nov 2005 11:29:50 -0500, "Kookwatch 968549"
<undermyhat@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Here comes Digital TV !!
>
>http://www.dtv.gov/
>
>(....otherwise known as the FCC's pending DISASTER when regular
> analog broadcasting goes off the air and suddenly millions upon
> millions of TV sets suddenly are "obsolete" and no longer work)
>
>Can you just imagine what will happen the first weekend after
>the DTV shi+ hits the fan and Bubba can't watch his BALL
>GAMES or his NASCAR on his, now utterly useless TeeVee...?
>Unless of course he buys a $100 set-top converter box for every TV
>Want to talk about an excuse for rioting in the streets? Here it is, or
>will be soon!
>Not to mention also the fact that DTV will cause RFI to public
>service commnications to go thru the roof, including cell phones which
>will be useless anywhere near the transmitter. (this should be fun)
>
>http://www.dtv.gov/consumercorner.html#obsolete
>
>"The target date for the end of the transition from
>analog to digital television signals is December 31, 2008.
>That date may be extended, however, until most
>homes (85%) in an area are able to watch the DTV
>programming. At that point, broadcasting the
>current ï¿½analogï¿½ channels will end and that spectrum
>will be put to other uses. Until the transition to DTV
>is complete, television stations will continue broadcasting
>on both their digital and analog channels."
>
>DTV broadcast is HIGHLY prone to multipath distortion problems
>caused by shortcomings of the 8-channel vestigial sideband (8VSB)
>transmission standard, which has been mandated for use by all
>broadcasters in the United States by the Federal Communications
>Commission. In short, if you think it was hard to get TV reception
>back in the 60's and 70's before cable using a pair of rabbit ears

>then you ain't seen nothing yet! With DTV you get nothing if even
>one bit is missing from the broadcast stream. Black screen DOA.
>
>Finally, here is the URL of the people who pushed this
>pending disaster thru Congress: http://www.dtvcoalition.com/
>
>Other articles on how flawed DTV really is and how
>consumers are likely to react in anger when their sets
>go dark in late 2009: http://dtvfacts.com/
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

4734. Re: Here comes the Digital TV disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-29 02:29:10 UTC
From: Kim_Jong_Il@volcanomail.com
Message-ID: <1133231350.701305.243810@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11096
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"... all for $25 consumer price?"

DVD players for $17.88? Portable DVD players and even DVRs under $100?
Check. Routers for $5? Check. 20" color TV with remote, $79.95? Check.
Laptop for $400? Check.

Hardly seems possible, but the ads are in the Sunday paper every week.

4735. Re: White Male Looking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-29 03:13:02 UTC
From: Mike Burch <mburch46@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <2HPif.367431$084.233310@attbi_s22>
Source record: 11097
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,az.general

Phxbrd wrote:
> We've had many posts here from a Phoenix man who's legally blind. I haven't
> seen his posts for a year or more. He spoke up a lot when we fought over
> light rail....

I bet he hasn't seen his posts either. :-)

Mike Burch

Apache Junction AZ

4736. Re: White Male Looking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-29 03:18:15 UTC
From: Phxbrd <lesliesethhammond@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3v1vmsF13mpg7U1@individual.net>
Source record: 11098
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,az.general

"Mike Burch" <mburch46@mchsi.com> wrote in message
news:2HPif.367431$084.233310@attbi_s22...
>
>
> Phxbrd wrote:
> > We've had many posts here from a Phoenix man who's legally blind. I
haven't
> > seen his posts for a year or more. He spoke up a lot when we fought
over
> > light rail....
>
> I bet he hasn't seen his posts either. :-)
>
> Mike Burch
>
> Apache Junction AZ

He has software that converts the written word to oral.

Now if only you had software that converts stupid to rational....

4737. Re: Pro-97 and CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-29 03:57:15 UTC
From: Buzzygirl <buzzygirl@nospam.comcast.net>
Message-ID: <fsGdnVN8FLUBTBbeRVn-oQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 11099

<nickolas80@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1133225032.364087.62950@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> How good is the pro-97 at listening to CB? Are any scanners good at
> hearing CB chatter?

No... most scanner antennas are insufficient for picking up CB signals...

4738. Re: White Male Looking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-29 04:19:40 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <CFQif.43805$2k5.493@dukeread09>
Source record: 11100
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,az.general

Mike Burch wrote:

>
>
> I bet he hasn't seen his posts either. :-)
>
> Mike Burch
>
> Apache Junction AZ
BAAAAAD! joke! :)

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.

4739. FS RS pro-95 scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-29 04:34:28 UTC
From: K5IN <K5IN@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <ssKdnUFNrrPFRxbenZ2dnUVZ_tGdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 10901

I have two of these units. Original boxes, manuals and battery packs and so on. $80.00 each.

Brian, Olympia Washington

4740. Re: Digital Scanner - Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-29 04:57:15 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <onnno1dljp9rl22fet1bo5b4ba4rn08bjh@4ax.com>
Source record: 10902

On Sat, 26 Nov 2005 11:38:08 -0500, "J. Mc Laughlin"
<jcm@power-net.net> wrote:

> Problems with inconsistent volume with digital modulation are endemic.
>The cure is probably to be implemented post detection. Thank you for the
>observation that you have found the RS 2096 better at combating the problem
>than the BCD396T. If the BCD396T is dealing with inconsistent volume after
>detection, it is unlikely that a future change to the radio's "firmware"
>will help the problem.

Since the 396's only AGC problem is an attack time that's too long by
an order of magnitude or so, it can easily be fixed by a firmware
change.

The other main complaints were silk screen rub-off, which was due to a
manufacturing glitch on some of the radios in the first production
run, some early speaker failures, which Uniden replaced under warranty
and some "distortion on low volume" complaints that don't seem to be
very repeatable.

4741. Re: Galaxy Electronics Founder Leo Meyerson Asks FCC To Deny K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-29 04:58:19 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <eunno11l6af6k088mfg49sqehccnsmfbro@4ax.com>
Source record: 10903
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,alt.politics

On 26 Nov 2005 21:03:23 -0800, "Landshark" <landshark-hed@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
>Scott in Baltimore wrote:
>> From ARNewsline #1476:
>>
>> ENFORCEMENT: WRL / GALAXY ELECTRONICS FOUNDER MEYERSON ASKS FCC NOT TO
>> RENEW K1MAN'S LICENSE
>>
>> Another well known ham has written to the FCC asking the agency not to
>> renew the license of American Amateur Radio <SNIP THE HAMMIE-HOMO SHIT>
>
>
>THAT MEYERSON SOUNDS LIKE A REAL DICK HAM, BUT I STILL LOVE MY GALAXY
>99!

Lost your caps-lock key, did you?

4742. Re: NoteBook Computer 4-Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-29 04:59:58 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <91ono117qg5lsf0s884ppv470dk4ina1b2@4ax.com>
Source record: 10904

On Sat, 26 Nov 2005 20:53:28 GMT, Bill <bgates@msn.com> wrote:

>Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in
>news:its2m15lg7v2kijna174iocea7lthef9ql@4ax.com:
>
>> On Wed, 26 Oct 2005 16:00:48 -0700, Evan Platt
>> <evan@theobvious.espphotography.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:
>>
>>>On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 22:33:21 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
>>>wrote:
>>
>>>>And any credibility or reputation for honesty.
>>
>>>Bill sure disappeared quick....
>>
>> I've noticed that most people with nothing to hide usually don't hide.
>>:)
>
>OK and where is it that I'm hiding?? oh yeah under your mom's dress, man
>her pussy stinks

Yours would too if you'd been in your grave for years. Want to climb
out of hers now?

4743. Re: Opinions on PRO-2051?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-29 05:17:16 UTC
From: nickolas80@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1133241436.185093.80190@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10905

thanks for the info.

When does this rebanding take effect?

4744. Re: Here comes the Digital TV disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-29 05:22:31 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <dmgock$4bq$1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 10906

"chesucat" <me@privacy.net> wrote in message
news:dmgkivqor4@chessie.cirr.com...
> In rec.radio.scanner David <rickets@knac.com> wrote:
> D>On Mon, 28 Nov 2005 15:22:52 -0700, Wes Stewart <n7ws*@*yahoo.com>
> D>wrote:
>>>
>>>My situation exactly. While I'm at 2500' ASL and my local stations
>>>are at 8800' and 4500', there are higher peaks between them and me. So
>>>signal levels are down and reflections off local peaks (that are
>>>line-of-sight to me and the transmitters) are as strong as those via
>>>the obstructed path.
>>>
> D>So get cable.
>
> I will NEVER pay for cable! Fuck Cable! Rabbit ears and a bowtie all
> the way, baby!

Fight pay TV in any form!!! (says the gal with four satellite dishes and a
cable connection).

Anyone else old enough to remember those banners on the movie screen at your
local drive-in?

4745. What do you listen to?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-29 05:31:52 UTC
From: nickolas80@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1133242312.875209.91870@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10907

Just wanted to take a poll in what everyone most listens to with there scanner?

Police/Fire?
Military?
Air?
Maritime?
any others?

4746. Re: Here comes the Digital TV disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-29 06:04:08 UTC
From: DTV_DuDE <No_DTV_4_Me@aol.com>
Message-ID: <438BEF53.7AE1753E@aol.com>
Source record: 10908
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Big problem is all the lawyers on the FCC board,

only two of the current comm. have any tech
degrees, all the rest are lawyers and political types.

DTV, BPL oh yeah baby, the wave of the future.

BPL nirvana of internet access

4747. Re: Here comes the Digital TV disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-29 06:04:30 UTC
From: DTV_DuDE <No_DTV_4_Me@aol.com>
Message-ID: <438BEF6D.C267D76B@nospam.com>
Source record: 10909
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Big problem is all the lawyers on the FCC board,

only two of the current comm. have any tech
degrees, all the rest are lawyers and political types.

DTV, BPL oh yeah baby, the wave of the future.

BPL nirvana of internet access

4748. Re: Here comes the Digital TV disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-29 06:28:02 UTC
From: sweston <sweston2@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <11ont7gopl4i659@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 10910
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Ain't gonna happen anywhere near the deadline. All analog TVs both past and present will be able to be used easily and cheaply at least during our lifetime...

"Kookwatch 968549" <undermyhat@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:9271a$4389ed07$d1cc5677$26533@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...

> Can you just imagine what will happen the first weekend after
> the DTV shi+ hits the fan and Bubba can't watch his BALL
> GAMES or his NASCAR on his, now utterly useless TeeVee...?
> Unless of course he buys a $100 set-top converter box for every TV
> Want to talk about an excuse for rioting in the streets? Here it is, or
> will be soon!

4749. Pro -97 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-29 06:31:49 UTC
From: Tom Hughes <t.hughes@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <pBSif.2092$4o7.1454@newssvr24.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 10911

Currently using the RS Pro-91` and see that RS has the 97 currently on sale for 149.00. plus I have a RS $10.00 off coupon burning a hole in my pocket.

I have seen words here regarding rebanding??

Is it worth it at this time to move up to the pro-97.

Wife is somewhat skeptical (she suffers some from tecnophobia) about 91 vs 97.

Any advive welcomed.....

Tom in Tomball, TX

4750. Re: What do you listen to?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-29 12:44:46 UTC
From: Buzzygirl <buzzygirl@nospam.comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Npidndk76YOj0BHeRVn-og@comcast.com>
Source record: 10912

<nickolas80@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1133242312.875209.91870@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Just wanted to take a poll in what everyone most listens to with there
> scanner?

Police, highway patrol (I'm near a major interstate), fire, paramedics, air traffic. Most of the interesting stuff to listen to in my area isn't yet trunked.

Jackie

4751. Re: Pro -97 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-29 13:35:10 UTC
From: Bo Williams <williams@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <11oom8fpt1nf815@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 10913

Tom Hughes wrote:
> Currently using the RS Pro-91` and see that RS has the 97 currently on sale
> for 149.00. plus I have a RS $10.00 off coupon burning a hole in my pocket.
>
> I have seen words here regarding rebanding??
>
> Is it worth it at this time to move up to the pro-97.

You're talking about $150 delivered to have a scanner that you want.
How long do you have to enjoy it for it to be worth $150 to you? That
time period isn't very long for me. Folks talk about making sure you
can upgrade firmware to account for rebanding, etc., and I understand
that to some degree, but the other side of it is that there's stuff to
listen to NOW. In my view sitting around paralyzed by fear of
obsolescence isn't any way to do things, particularly concerning the
relatively small amount of money you're talking about. If you were
kicking around dropping a grand or something, that would be different.

>
> Wife is somewhat skeptical (she suffers some from tecnophobia) about 91 vs
> 97.

Any wife who has any sort of informed opinion on the PRO-91 vs. the
PRO-97 is definitely NOT technophobic. :)

[...]
--
Bo Williams - williams@hiwaay.net
http://hiwaay.net/~williams/

4752. Worlds Greatest... Radio Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-29 13:58:53 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <y7Zif.7267$DW6.342@fe03.lga>
Source record: 10914
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

An interesting article pertaining to scanners, truckers, and Cross-Country Racing (Across Europe)...

http://us.gizmodo.com/gadgets/columns/worlds-greatest-radio-scanners-139130.php

4753. Re: Here comes the Digital TV disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-29 14:14:16 UTC
From: Wes Stewart <n7ws*@*yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <sbooo1padcolpo9nm8913e7j4q81i3gp3v@4ax.com>
Source record: 10915
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 28 Nov 2005 23:49:46 GMT, David <rickets@knac.com> wrote:

>On Mon, 28 Nov 2005 15:22:52 -0700, Wes Stewart <n7ws*@*yahoo.com>
>wrote:
>
>>
>>My situation exactly. While I'm at 2500' ASL and my local stations
>>are at 8800' and 4500', there are higher peaks between them and me. So
>>signal levels are down and reflections off local peaks (that are
>>line-of-sight to me and the transmitters) are as strong as those via
>>the obstructed path.
>
>>
>So get cable.

You want to run 15 miles of cable out to my place on the unlikely
chance that I will pay $40-60 per month just to watch TV?

4754. Re: Here comes the Digital TV disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-29 15:23:39 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <fjsoo1pt70hrk0sn9gs4nk2qkmu4vgr44p@4ax.com>
Source record: 10916
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Tue, 29 Nov 2005 07:14:16 -0700, Wes Stewart <n7ws*@*yahoo.com>
wrote:

>On Mon, 28 Nov 2005 23:49:46 GMT, David <rickets@knac.com> wrote:
>
>>On Mon, 28 Nov 2005 15:22:52 -0700, Wes Stewart <n7ws*@*yahoo.com>
>>wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>>My situation exactly. While I'm at 2500' ASL and my local stations
>>>>are at 8800' and 4500', there are higher peaks between them and me. So
>>>>signal levels are down and reflections off local peaks (that are
>>>>line-of-sight to me and the transmitters) are as strong as those via
>>>>the obstructed path.
>>
>>>
>>>So get cable.
>
>You want to run 15 miles of cable out to my place on the unlikely
>chance that I will pay $40-60 per month just to watch TV?
>
Well then get DiSH.

4755. Re: What do you listen to?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-29 15:27:08 UTC
From: John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com>
Message-ID: <krroo1dti5p03i0n1fvs3ab8ro8kbekhsq@4ax.com>
Source record: 10917

On 28 Nov 2005 21:31:52 -0800, nickolas80@gmail.com wrote:

>Just wanted to take a poll in what everyone most listens to with there
>scanner?
>
>Police/Fire?

Police, fire, and EMS, the public safety "big three"

>Military?

Yep...I live near a joint facility that's ANG/USAFR and listen to milair as well
as base comms on the ground.

>Air?

Yep, civilian and military aircraft. Also ground ops at the airports.

>Maritime?

Yes - The VHF-FM marine band as well as the USCG Group near here, Group Buffalo,
as well as USCG activity on the civilian and military air bands.

>any others?

Yep:

1. Local government (DPW and highway crews, civil defense/emergency management,
DOT, hazmat teams, and other governmental agencies like that which don't fall
into the police/fire/EMS category.

2. Public and private security/police organizations at nearby colleges and
universities....which I don't necessarily lump in with police since some of them
are duly sworn police officers while at other schools they are not.

3. Ham radio operators in the 6m/2m/70cm bands.

4. NOAA weather radio broadcasts.

John Kasupski, Tonawanda, New York
Amateur Radio (KC2HMZ), SWL/Scanner Monitoring (KNY2VS)
zIRC #monitor Admin

4756. Re: Help Me Understand Rebanding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-29 15:33:57 UTC
From: Tom Humphrey <thumph@l812.net>
Message-ID: <fz_if.11769$Mj.3888@fe04.lga>
Source record: 10918

Thank You very much for your replies,now I know what direction to go
in......maybe

Tom

Tom Humphrey wrote:
> I have a pro 95 and I am currently monitoring a analog Motorola system
> (Madison WI) I am told that my pro 95 will not work on this system
> after they reprogram. what scanners will work??? Uniden 246T ?
>
>
> Thanks
> Tom

4757. Re: Here comes the Digital TV disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-29 15:46:42 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <CJ_if.10266$aA2.1726@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 10919
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

<Kim_Jong_Il@volcanomail.com> wrote in message
news:1133231350.701305.243810@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> "... all for $25 consumer price?"
>
> DVD players for $17.88? Portable DVD players and even DVRs under $100?
> Check. Routers for $5? Check. 20" color TV with remote, $79.95? Check.
> Laptop for $400? Check.
>
> Hardly seems possible, but the ads are in the Sunday paper every week.

The local WalMart had a 24" color on sale for $99. The 19" for
the same price included a built-in DVD/CD player.

Cheers and happy shopping
Bill K2UNK

4758. Re: Here comes the Digital TV disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-29 15:50:38 UTC
From: Wes Stewart <n7ws*@*yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <f3uoo1do1b9175oo61mi6t035hmmkr2u6c@4ax.com>
Source record: 10920
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Tue, 29 Nov 2005 15:23:39 GMT, David <rickets@knac.com> wrote:

[snip]
>>
>>You want to run 15 miles of cable out to my place on the unlikely
>>chance that I will pay $40-60 per month just to watch TV?
>>
>Well then get DiSH.

You must have missed the part where I said, "unlikely chance that I
will pay $40-60 per month just to watch TV."

4759. Re: Pro -97 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-29 18:21:39 UTC
From: Tom Hughes <t.hughes@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <T_0jf.2686$nA2.1663@newssvr22.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 10921

Point well taken.....thanks
"Bo Williams" <williams@hiwaay.net> wrote in message
news:11oom8fpt1nf815@news.supernews.com...
> Tom Hughes wrote:
>> Currently using the RS Pro-91` and see that RS has the 97 currently on
>> sale for 149.00. plus I have a RS $10.00 off coupon burning a hole in my
>> pocket.
>>
>> I have seen words here regarding rebanding??
>>
>> Is it worth it at this time to move up to the pro-97.
>
> You're talking about $150 delivered to have a scanner that you want. How
> long do you have to enjoy it for it to be worth $150 to you? That time
> period isn't very long for me. Folks talk about making sure you can
> upgrade firmware to account for rebanding, etc., and I understand that to
> some degree, but the other side of it is that there's stuff to listen to
> NOW. In my view sitting around paralyzed by fear of obsolescence isn't
> any way to do things, particularly concerning the relatively small amount
> of money you're talking about. If you were kicking around dropping a
> grand or something, that would be different.
>
>
>
>>
>> Wife is somewhat skeptical (she suffers some from tecnophobia) about 91
>> vs 97.
>
> Any wife who has any sort of informed opinion on the PRO-91 vs. the PRO-97
> is definitely NOT technophobic. :)
>
> [...]
> --
> Bo Williams - williams@hiwaay.net
> http://hiwaay.net/~williams/

4760. Re: Here comes the Digital TV disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-29 18:26:15 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <787po15i8muid3o3rstim3n8lt3oh57ipr@4ax.com>
Source record: 10922
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Tue, 29 Nov 2005 08:50:38 -0700, Wes Stewart <n7ws*@*yahoo.com>
wrote:

>On Tue, 29 Nov 2005 15:23:39 GMT, David <rickets@knac.com> wrote:
>
>[snip]
>>>
>>>You want to run 15 miles of cable out to my place on the unlikely
>>>chance that I will pay $40-60 per month just to watch TV?
>>>
>>Well then get DiSH.
>
>You must have missed the part where I said, "unlikely chance that I
>will pay $40-60 per month just to watch TV."
>
>
W were talking about DTV, but the only TV worth watching is movies and
The Weather Channel. If TV is not important to you, quit whining
about the mountains.

4761. Re: White Male Looking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-29 18:37:56 UTC
From: "Larry J." <usenet2@DE.LETE.THISljvideo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns971D76640605thefrogprince@70.169.32.36>
Source record: 10923
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,az.general

Waiving the right to remain silent, "Phxbrd"
<lesliesethhammond@yahoo.com> said:

> "Mike Burch" <mburch46@mchsi.com> wrote in message
> news:2HPif.367431$084.233310@attbi_s22...
>>
>>
>> Phxbrd wrote:
>> > We've had many posts here from a Phoenix man who's legally
>> > blind. I haven't seen his posts for a year or more. He
>> > spoke up a lot when we fought over light rail....
>>
>> I bet he hasn't seen his posts either. :-)
>>
>> Mike Burch
>>
>> Apache Junction AZ
>
> He has software that converts the written word to oral.
>
> Now if only you had software that converts stupid to
> rational....

Bwahahaha..!

Best post of the day award goes to the Bird.

--
Larry J. - Remove spamtrap in ALLCAPS to e-mail

"I've come here to enjoy nature. Don't talk to me
about the environment!" - 'Denny Crane'

4762. Re: what disater

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-29 19:35:15 UTC
From: George.E.Hollis@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1133292915.003165.13270@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10924
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Can you say BPL??

4763. Re: what disater

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-29 20:06:30 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133294790.495860.46930@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10925
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

George.E.Hollis@gmail.com wrote:
> Can you say BPL??

I can but that is not the subject of the thread

4764. Re: what disater

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-29 21:21:39 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <DD3jf.2091$YT3.1878@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 10926
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

<George.E.Hollis@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1133292915.003165.13270@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Can you say BPL??

Certainly: Brass Pounders League.

--

... Hank

http://home.earthlink.net/~horedson
http://home.earthlink.net/~w0rli

4765. Re: Here comes the Digital TV disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-29 21:50:10 UTC
From: Wes Stewart <n7ws*@*yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <n0ipo11hov237h9ke4bs7qmtrl3799gobu@4ax.com>
Source record: 10927
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Tue, 29 Nov 2005 18:26:15 GMT, David <rickets@knac.com> wrote:

>On Tue, 29 Nov 2005 08:50:38 -0700, Wes Stewart <n7ws*@*yahoo.com>
>wrote:
>
>>On Tue, 29 Nov 2005 15:23:39 GMT, David <rickets@knac.com> wrote:
>>
>>[snip]
>>>>
>>>>You want to run 15 miles of cable out to my place on the unlikely
>>>>chance that I will pay $40-60 per month just to watch TV?
>>>>
>>>Well then get DiSH.
>>
>>You must have missed the part where I said, "unlikely chance that I
>>will pay $40-60 per month just to watch TV."
>>
>>
>W were talking about DTV, but the only TV worth watching is movies and
>The Weather Channel. If TV is not important to you, quit whining
>about the mountains.

I'm not "whining" about the mountains, I'm pointing out that a bunch
of dumbshit politicians with dollar signs in their eyes made some
stupid decisions (pardon the redundancies) and are trying to foist
upon the public a technology that is both unnecessary and unworkable
for a large number of people.

When my government takes something away from me for no good purpose,
then I'm going to complain about it.

It's also curious that when I asked the chief engineer of a local VHF
TV station, who is a personal friend, why they didn't put a UHF
translator out my way he replied that they have wanted to for years
but the FCC would not grant a license. That spectrum is too valuable,
doncha know. So public interest isn't important, revenue is.

And I sure don't to pay big bucks to know what the weather is, when I
can walk outside and get an accurate picture, rather that some
wild-ass guess.

4766. Re: Here comes the Digital TV disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-29 23:44:16 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <happo1tsa0jm8vs7vkv2g987ui4ms2vhl6@4ax.com>
Source record: 10928
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Tue, 29 Nov 2005 14:50:10 -0700, Wes Stewart <n7ws*@*yahoo.com>
wrote:

>On Tue, 29 Nov 2005 18:26:15 GMT, David <rickets@knac.com> wrote:
>
>>On Tue, 29 Nov 2005 08:50:38 -0700, Wes Stewart <n7ws*@*yahoo.com>
>>wrote:
>>
>>>On Tue, 29 Nov 2005 15:23:39 GMT, David <rickets@knac.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>[snip]
>>>>>
>>>>>You want to run 15 miles of cable out to my place on the unlikely
>>>>>chance that I will pay $40-60 per month just to watch TV?
>>>>>
>>>>Well then get DiSH.
>>>
>>>You must have missed the part where I said, "unlikely chance that I
>>>will pay $40-60 per month just to watch TV."
>>>
>>>
>>W were talking about DTV, but the only TV worth watching is movies and
>>The Weather Channel. If TV is not important to you, quit whining
>>about the mountains.
>
>I'm not "whining" about the mountains, I'm pointing out that a bunch
>of dumbshit politicians with dollar signs in their eyes made some
>stupid decisions (pardon the redundancies) and are trying to foist
>upon the public a technology that is both unnecessary and unworkable
>for a large number of people.
>
>When my government takes something away from me for no good purpose,
>then I'm going to complain about it.
>
>It's also curious that when I asked the chief engineer of a local VHF
>TV station, who is a personal friend, why they didn't put a UHF
>translator out my way he replied that they have wanted to for years
>but the FCC would not grant a license. That spectrum is too valuable,
>doncha know. So public interest isn't important, revenue is.
>
>And I sure don't to pay big bucks to know what the weather is, when I
>can walk outside and get an accurate picture, rather that some
>wild-ass guess.

>
Translators are granted on a ''Secondary'' status meaning that if a
higher priority use is sought for the channel the secondary user must
vacate. Otherwise they chug on for years.

4767. Re: Help with RS Pro-97 and trunking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-29 23:49:39 UTC
From: TNT <tnt@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <lOWdnco6L_GIdBHenZ2dnUVZ_sSdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 10929

Radio Scrap should give it away.

"OregonMike" <OregonMike@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1133166683.035455.97560@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> Thanks for the insight.
>
> OM
>

4768. Re: Help with RS Pro-97 and trunking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-30 00:10:37 UTC
From: Bo Williams <williams@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <11oprcjbnel1c04@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 10930

TNT wrote:
> Radio Scrap should give it away.

It's certainly not very pleasant to program the trunking manually in an
RS/GRE scanner, that's for sure.
--
Bo Williams - williams@hiwaay.net
http://hiwaay.net/~williams/

4769. Re: Help with RS Pro-97 and trunking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-30 01:32:17 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <t70qo1drpp6ipp7cv3kg92gqhdsr0c3868@4ax.com>
Source record: 10931

On 26 Nov 2005 17:02:17 -0800, "OregonMike" <OregonMike@gmail.com>
wrote:

>I have been able to get frequency listings, talk group IDs, and the
>trunking system type but not doing something correctly. I'll keep
>plugging away at this and check in here for a scanner savior :)

Are you sure you're marking both the bank and all the channels as the
trunking type, not FM?

4770. Re: Opinions on PRO-2051?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-30 01:37:16 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <-vOdnVS1xeTNnxDeRVn-pQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 10932

It depends on where you live, and what frequencies are currently being used in your area. From what I understand, they have already run into significant problems, which may cause a delay in the original plans.

Here are a couple links to keep tabs on the situation:

http://www.apcointl.org/frequency/800hp.htm

http://www.wpascanner.com/reband/reband.htm

I wouldn't let it stop me from buying a scanner today. In the event that it is affected by re-banding, it can always be used for everything else it covers.

Bill Crocker

<nickolas80@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1133241436.185093.80190@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> thanks for the info.
>
> When does this rebanding take effect?
>

4771. Re: DSP JPS NTR-1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-30 02:07:51 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <bb2qo1lh10egqii5n8koo1isqcjivqdhg5@4ax.com>
Source record: 10933

On Sun, 27 Nov 2005 19:03:23 GMT, "Maurizio Bonfanti"
<maurizio.bonfanti@libero.it> wrote:

>Can JPS NTR-1 (noise reduction) be useful for listening to FM-N mode?

If the signal is noisy, yes.

4772. 40 Mhz mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-30 02:20:41 UTC
From: "roger@rogndeb.us" <roger@rogndeb.us>
Message-ID: <1133317241.542572.19500@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10934

Looking for recommendations on mobile antenna setup (external) for CHP
frequencies,
40-42Mhz area.

4773. Re: MURS List of radios?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-30 04:06:08 UTC
From: Ronny Julian <k4rjj@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <iZ-dnUzyhshVuxDenZ2dnUVZ_sWdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 10935

RadAct wrote:
> "Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:ZtudndFnO_kCH_reRVn-rw@comcast.com...
>
>>I've never seen any reference to a "type acceptance" applying to MURS. I
>>could be wrong however. So like you, I invite someone to refer us to such
>>guidelines, and information. It would be appreciated. I would think if
>
> you
>
>>had, say an older Motorola programmed, so you could only use the approved
>>MURS frequencies, at the approve output level, no one would put you in
>
> jail.
>
>>Bill Crocker
>>
>>
>>"Ronny Julian" <k4rjj@comcast.net> wrote in message
>>news:8KSdnfCkpMNsCfveRVn-iQ@comcast.com...
>>
>>>MURS is such a free for all now anyway who would bother checking if no
>
> one
>
>>>complains? For all this talk I have never seen anyone write up a list
>
> of
>
>>>acceptable radios. If there is one please forward.
>>>
>>>Me wrote:
>>>
>>>>In article <3ZOdnWD5iYFR9UnfRVn-2g@comcast.com>,
>>>> "Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>There are a lot of radios on eBay that can be used legally for MURS.
>>>>>Some of the Motorola are higher wattage, but if you keep the switch on
>>>>>2-watts, or less, they're legal.
>>>>>
>>>>>Bill Crocker
>>>>

>>>>
>>>>Bzzzzt, Wrong, Would you like to try again for what is behind Door #3?
>>>>
>>>>MURS requires Specific Type Acceptance for that Radio Service, and no
>>>>Higher Powered Radios with user switchable Power levels would pass Type
>>>>Acceptance for MURS Radio Service........
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Me
>>
>>
>
> You just can't use any commercial radio on MURS. Here are the rules.
>
> http://www.provide.net/~prsg/murs_faq.htm
>
>
Not an FCC site. Show me the REAL rules.

4774. Re: Here comes the Digital TV disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-30 04:36:00 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.iqqv400.pminews@newsgroups.comcast.net>
Source record: 10936
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Tue, 29 Nov 2005 06:04:08 GMT, DTV_DuDE wrote:

>Big problem is all the lawyers on the FCC board,
>
>only two of the current comm. have any tech
>degrees, all the rest are lawyers and political types.

All five of the Commissioners are political types - have to be in
order to be appointed and confirmed to that position.

Two with technical degrees? In your dreams. The last "engineer
Commissioner" was George Sterling during the late 1940s. In the
1980s some of us wanted to have the Comm Act changed to require at
least one to have an engineering degree but we were shot doen by the
political types.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
(A lawyer and engineer who
spent 28 years on the FCC staff)

4775. Re: Here comes the Digital TV disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-30 05:01:03 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133326863.292649.270910@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10937
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Phil Kane wrote:
> On Tue, 29 Nov 2005 06:04:08 GMT, DTV_DuDE wrote:
>
> >Big problem is all the lawyers on the FCC board,
> >
> >only two of the current comm. have any tech
> >degrees, all the rest are lawyers and political types.
>
> All five of the Commissioners are political types - have to be in
> order to be appointed and confirmed to that position.

ture enough
>
> Two with technical degrees? In your dreams. The last "engineer
> Commissioner" was George Sterling during the late 1940s. In the
> 1980s some of us wanted to have the Comm Act changed to require at
> least one to have an engineering degree but we were shot doen by the
> political types.

sounds like sour grapes to me Phil
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
> (A lawyer and engineer who
> spent 28 years on the FCC staff)
```

4776. Re: Here comes the Digital TV disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-30 09:46:15 UTC
From: Roger <Delete-Invallid.stuff.groups@tm.net>
Message-ID: <g3tqo1trejtn69t8dc76egitn4a2e0cjop@4ax.com>
Source record: 10938
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On 28 Nov 2005 18:29:10 -0800, Kim_Jong_Il@volcanomail.com wrote:

>"... all for $25 consumer price?"
>
>DVD players for $17.88? Portable DVD players and even DVRs under $100?
>Check. Routers for $5? Check. 20" color TV with remote, $79.95? Check.
>Laptop for $400? Check.

About 4 months ago I paid about $75 for a dual layer DVD dual layer
drive that does about every flavour of DVD and CD, read and write.

Roger Halstead (K8RI & ARRL life member)
(N833R, S# CD-2 Worlds oldest Debonair)
www.rogerhalstead.com
>
>Hardly seems possible, but the ads are in the Sunday paper every week.

4777. Re: Here comes the Digital TV disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-30 10:07:01 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <dmjte2$8lp$1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 10939
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Roger" <Delete-Invallid.stuff.groups@tm.net> wrote in message
news:g3tqo1trejtn69t8dc76egitn4a2e0cjop@4ax.com...
> On 28 Nov 2005 18:29:10 -0800, Kim_Jong_Il@volcanomail.com wrote:
>
>>"... all for $25 consumer price?"
>>
>>DVD players for $17.88? Portable DVD players and even DVRs under $100?
>>Check. Routers for $5? Check. 20" color TV with remote, $79.95? Check.
>>Laptop for $400? Check.
>
> About 4 months ago I paid about $75 for a dual layer DVD dual layer
> drive that does about every flavour of DVD and CD, read and write.

And at this moment you can get one (16x DVD+/- R/RW DL Pioneer DVR-110) from
Meritline for $47... simply bloody amazing..

4778. Re: MURS List of radios?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-30 16:25:11 UTC
From: MnMikew <mnmiikkew@aol.com>
Message-ID: <3v6238Fssan8U1@individual.net>
Source record: 10940

"Ronny Julian" <k4rjj@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:iZ-dnUzyhshVuxDenZ2dnUVZ_sWdnZ2d@comcast.com...
> RadAct wrote:
> > "Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
> > news:ZtudndFnO_kCH_reRVn-rw@comcast.com...
> >
> >>I've never seen any reference to a "type acceptance" applying to MURS.
I
> >>could be wrong however. So like you, I invite someone to refer us to
such
> >>guidelines, and information. It would be appreciated. I would think if
> >
> > you
> >
> >>had, say an older Motorola programmed, so you could only use the
approved
> >>MURS frequencies, at the approve output level, no one would put you in
> >
> > jail.
> >
> >>Bill Crocker
> >>
> >>
> >>"Ronny Julian" <k4rjj@comcast.net> wrote in message
> >>news:8KSdnfCkpMNsCfveRVn-iQ@comcast.com...
> >>
> >>>MURS is such a free for all now anyway who would bother checking if no
> >
> > one
> >
> >>>complains? For all this talk I have never seen anyone write up a list
> >
> > of
> >
> >>>acceptable radios. If there is one please forward.
> >>>
> >>>Me wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>In article <3ZOdnWD5iYFR9UnfRVn-2g@comcast.com>,
> >>>> "Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>There are a lot of radios on eBay that can be used legally for MURS.

> >>>>>Some of the Motorola are higher wattage, but if you keep the switch
on
> >>>>>2-watts, or less, they're legal.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>Bill Crocker
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>Bzzzzt, Wrong, Would you like to try again for what is behind Door #3?
> >>>>
> >>>>MURS requires Specific Type Acceptance for that Radio Service, and no
> >>>>Higher Powered Radios with user switchable Power levels would pass
Type
> >>>>Acceptance for MURS Radio Service........
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>Me
> >>
> >>
> >
> > You just can't use any commercial radio on MURS. Here are the rules.
> >
> > http://www.provide.net/~prsg/murs_faq.htm
> >
> >
> Not an FCC site. Show me the REAL rules.

Try the FCC, duh.
http://wireless.fcc.gov/services/personal/murs/

4779. Re: What do you listen to?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-30 21:16:16 UTC
From: burgessfred33@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133385376.541985.121780@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10941

Royal Canadian Mounted Police, fire, ems, air, and trains.
Fred Burgess

nickolas80@gmail.com wrote:
> Just wanted to take a poll in what everyone most listens to with there
> scanner?
>
> Police/Fire?
> Military?
> Air?
> Maritime?
> any others?

4780. Re: MURS List of radios?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-11-30 22:41:25 UTC
From: Ronny Julian <k4rjj@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <cuKdnczJHLKrsRPeRVn-qA@comcast.com>
Source record: 10942

Well thank you Mr Helper! No what I was asking earlier in the thread is since everyone is so quick to point out the rules would you mind sharing some model numbers of units that meet this type acceptance? No one has steped up with this needed bit of knowledge. I do know (by service monitor measurement) that the pair of Motorola PC-10s I have pass on 154.600 and 154.570. Since those are used in most of the fast food places around I'd love to find a set that would be ok on the bottom 3 as well.

> Try the FCC, duh.
> http://wireless.fcc.gov/services/personal/murs/
>
>
>

4781. Re: 40 Mhz mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-01 04:03:00 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <1ctso1l1o879h6pfh15ec02rgiq466josv@4ax.com>
Source record: 10943

On 29 Nov 2005 18:20:41 -0800, "roger@rogndeb.us" <roger@rogndeb.us>
wrote:

>Looking for recommendations on mobile antenna setup (external) for CHP
>frequencies,
>40-42Mhz area.

Trunk or fender mounted whip, full quarter wave. At that frequency
there's no reason not to - it's only 6 feet.

4782. Re: Send spam this way

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-01 05:28:47 UTC
From: Carl Johnson <mrhogan@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <438e80ef$0$20290$5ec1c3@news.usenethost.com>
Source record: 10944

It's not unfortunately. The Internet provider is www.esat.net but the company providing him with usenet access is www.usenethost.com and he has clearly broken the TOS.

CJ

"Evan Platt" <evan@theobvious.espphotography.com> wrote in message news:5i5no1h5pb0ssipdr6kmhd4hg2q49s230f@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 28 Nov 2005 17:35:42 -0000, "Christopher O'Callaghan"
> <d.ocallaghan@iolfree.ie> wrote:
>
>>sallowswayne@aol.com
>>
>>send all the spam you want to this address..I can then forward this to
>>piss
>>of my enemy.....
>
> I hope d.ocallaghan@iolfree.ie is in fact your real e-mail address,
> because spambots go by the from address, not any address you type in
> the body of the message.

http://www.USENETHOST.com 100% Uncensored , 100% Anonymous, 5$/month Only!

4783. Re: MURS List of radios?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-01 09:15:58 UTC
From: John <jlwsecure-usenet5@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns971F2B7866F27jlwsecure@207.115.63.158>
Source record: 10945

Ronny Julian <k4rjj@comcast.net> wrote in news:cuKdnczJHLKrsRPeRVn-qA@comcast.com:

> Well thank you Mr Helper! No what I was asking earlier in the thread is
> since everyone is so quick to point out the rules would you mind sharing
> some model numbers of units that meet this type acceptance? No one has
> steped up with this needed bit of knowledge. I do know (by service
> monitor measurement) that the pair of Motorola PC-10s I have pass on
> 154.600 and 154.570. Since those are used in most of the fast food
> places around I'd love to find a set that would be ok on the bottom 3 as
> well.
>

Take the FCC ID and look them up your own damn self.
MURS type-acceptance would fall under Part 95 sub part E

https://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/prod/oet/cf/eas/reports/GenericSearch.cfm

4784. DSP DCSS48 not automatic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-01 10:15:35 UTC
From: "maurizio.bonfanti" <maurizio.bonfanti@libero.it>
Message-ID: <b3Ajf.15552$S6.262949@twister2.libero.it>
Source record: 10946

Is there a way making DSP DCSS48 noise reduction not automatic?

Maurizio

4785. Re: NoteBook Computer 4-Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-01 13:14:33 UTC
From: The Joe <joegrimes@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133442873.489536.92350@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10947

"The Joe" <joegri...@gmail.com> wrote in news:1129808363.839154.75380
@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

> Wow! A $99 paperweight!

Yup kinda like your brain

--
Bill

Sounds like another teenager on Ebay selling his damaged goods. Thanks
for the comment on my brain. I'm not sure it's even worth 99 cents
since I'm replying to you.

I'm glad you could take a joke.

It's windy in my office, got any more laptops...i mean paperweights for
sale?

4786. Re: 40 Mhz mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-01 13:36:09 UTC
From: "brokedownlineman@gmail.com" <brokedownlineman@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133444169.187606.80370@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10948

i bought this and it works great, 18" on the trunk lid

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=14956&item=5815661001

4787. Re: 40 Mhz mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-01 14:05:23 UTC
From: Dale Parfitt <par@parelectronics.com>
Message-ID: <DqDjf.2160$w74.573@trnddc03>
Source record: 10949

<brokedownlineman@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1133444169.187606.80370@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>i bought this and it works great, 18" on the trunk lid
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=14956&item=5815661001
>

The advice of the full quarter wave (just under 6') is spot on. This will
give you the maximum bandwidth and gain of any moble antenna you could use
at 40 MHz.

The above EBay antenna (even if it were set up for VHF Low, which it does
not appear to be) would be a real compromise in gain and BW.

Dale W4OP

4788. Re: Help with RS Pro-97 and trunking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-01 14:36:33 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <1f-dnb4z15zqlxLeRVn-jQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 10950

"TNT" <tnt@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:lOWdnco6L_GIdBHenZ2dnUVZ_sSdnZ2d@comcast.com...
> Radio Scrap should give it away.
>

Win97 is not Radio Shack's software. It's not theirs to give away.

Bill Crocker

4789. Re: Help with RS Pro-97 and trunking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-01 14:37:56 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <0fydnVE7lolXlxLenZ2dnUVZ_tadnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 10951

"Bo Williams" <williams@hiwaay.net> wrote in message
news:11oprcjbnel1c04@news.supernews.com...
> TNT wrote:
>> Radio Scrap should give it away.
>
> It's certainly not very pleasant to program the trunking manually in an
> RS/GRE scanner, that's for sure.
> --
> Bo Williams - williams@hiwaay.net
> http://hiwaay.net/~williams/

Ha! If you think RS/GRE is difficult, try manually programming Uniden's new
BCD396T!

Bill Crocker

4790. Re: Digital Scanner - Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-01 14:55:30 UTC
From: "J. Mc Laughlin" <jcm@power-net.net>
Message-ID: <11ou3n8cu8hl701@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 10952

Dear Mr. Klein:
You too have been helpful. Thank you.
Slow squelch actuation is also a major deficiency of the IC-R2 that I have used for some time. That, of course, sounds the same as a slow AGC, but has a different cause.
I have been able to confirm that the BCD396T will be able to be re-programmed when the frequency scheme in the 800 MHz range is rearranged. It is said also to be true for the RS radios.
I was not able to find useful reviews at the site mentioned by Mr. Crocker. Other reviews, and your comments, have provided assistance.
A BCD396T has been ordered as my Christmas present. In the holiday time, I will master its use. Having computer programming is a big plus. I routinely use a computer to program my IC-R2.

Any other observations or opinions about the BCD396T or the Michigan digital system are solicited.

Thanks again. Mac

--
J. Mc Laughlin; Michigan U.S.A.
Home: JCM@Power-Net.Net
"Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message news:onnno1dljp9rl22fet1bo5b4ba4rn08bjh@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 26 Nov 2005 11:38:08 -0500, "J. Mc Laughlin"
> <jcm@power-net.net> wrote:
>
> > Problems with inconsistent volume with digital modulation are endemic.
> >The cure is probably to be implemented post detection. Thank you for the
> >observation that you have found the RS 2096 better at combating the problem
> >than the BCD396T. If the BCD396T is dealing with inconsistent volume after
> >detection, it is unlikely that a future change to the radio's "firmware"
> >will help the problem.
>
> Since the 396's only AGC problem is an attack time that's too long by
> an order of magnitude or so, it can easily be fixed by a firmware
> change.
>
> The other main complaints were silk screen rub-off, which was due to a
> manufacturing glitch on some of the radios in the first production
> run, some early speaker failures, which Uniden replaced under warranty
> and some "distortion on low volume" complaints that don't seem to be

> very repeatable.

4791. Re: Digital Scanner - Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-01 15:02:41 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Ns-dnaxAfKkKjRLeRVn-hg@comcast.com>
Source record: 10953

"Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message >
> Since the 396's only AGC problem is an attack time that's too long by
> an order of magnitude or so, it can easily be fixed by a firmware
> change.
>

I would normally agree with your comments, however, Uniden has already had a few firmware updates, but has been unsuccessful in resolving the AGC issue. To date, none of the three "digital" scanners made by Uniden can handle AGC as well as the Radio Shack (GRE) offerings.

Bill Crocker

4792. Multiple Radios in Vehicle

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-01 15:14:22 UTC
From: CF <careyfisher@ncsradio.com>
Message-ID: <%oEjf.29281$i7.8325@bignews2.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 10954

Check out our C250 on the Commercial side of our website for a great little unit that will control 4-8 radios in a mobile environment.

Our website is:

www.ncsradio.com

4793. Re: What do you listen to?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-01 15:55:16 UTC
From: George <slacdude@inreach.com>
Message-ID: <20051201075516275 90%slacdude@inreachcom>
Source record: 10955

On 2005-11-28 21:31:52 -0800, nickolas80@gmail.com said:

> Just wanted to take a poll in what everyone most listens to with there
> scanner?
>
> Police/Fire?
> Military?
> Air?
> Maritime?
> any others?

Ragchewers on 20 and 40 meters.

A few 2-meter repeaters.

Military aircraft.

And just about any RF within 1/4 mile from my QTH.

4794. Re: Worlds Greatest... Radio Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-01 16:12:13 UTC
From: The Joe <joegrimes@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133453533.670763.284380@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10956
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

This is a great article. Thanks for posting something worth reading!

4795. Here's Grove's list of models Rebanding safe.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-01 21:05:27 UTC
From: Hatfield <utility_infielder@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <1133471127.759227.43230@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10957

http://www.grove-ent.com/radiorumors.html

Above is a small article posted by Grove Enterprises providing their customers with info on which scanners can be safely bought for use after rebanding....

1 "The BCT-8/898T/296D/796D/246T/BCD396T/BC330T can all be reflashed with software updates that will be available from Uniden on their website".

2 "Here are the people who will have to get new scanners if they are tracking a Motorola system. Owners of a Uniden BCT-235/BCT-245/BC-780/BC-250D/BC-785D or a Radio Shack Pro-91/92A/92B/93/94/95 handhelds or the Pro-2050/2052/2053/2055/2066/2067 base and base/mobiles, they will have to replace their scanners when rebanding goes into effect in their area or they will no longer be able to trunk track their local systems." (..."The customer will have to buy a new scanner to monitor any system that changes frequencies due to rebanding. If their system doesn't have to move, they will not see any changes.)"

3 "Reportedly, the only safe RS scanners are the Pro-2096 and Pro-96 (reflashed) and Pro-97/92 (reprogrammed). Any other RS scanner will have to be replaced if their Motorola system is impacted by the rebanding plan."

So for example Grove seems to be saying Pro-92 is rebanding safe, Pro-92A/92-B are not.

4796. Re: Digital Scanner - Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-02 01:24:52 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <lg8vo11b7fk1hrupnj932ilok4o4dqh866@4ax.com>
Source record: 10958

On Thu, 1 Dec 2005 10:02:41 -0500, "Bill Crocker"
<wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote:

>"Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message >
>> Since the 396's only AGC problem is an attack time that's too long by
>> an order of magnitude or so, it can easily be fixed by a firmware
>> change.

>I would normally agree with your comments, however, Uniden has already had a
>few firmware updates, but has been unsuccessful in resolving the AGC issue.

Unsuccessful? Or they just haven't tried? 1.09 still has MUCH too
long an attack time. Maybe some day they'll actually have someone
shorten it.

4797. Re: Digital Scanner - Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-02 01:30:23 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <7j8vo19rb44fg9ndtr4r3hkfap4sa10v18@4ax.com>
Source record: 10959

On Thu, 1 Dec 2005 09:55:30 -0500, "J. Mc Laughlin"
<jcm@power-net.net> wrote:

> Any other observations or opinions about the BCD396T

Throw out everything you know. Dynamic memory is completely different from anything you're used to. Once you can think "System-Group-Channel", instead of "Bank-Channel", you'll find it fairly easy to program.

Download the UASD (the program used to program the scanner) from Uniden's site now and start setting your systems up. (Use Radio Reference <http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR> as your source.)

Find a charger that will charge 3 AA batteries at a time; that's one of their oversights - most chargers handle 2 or 4. (You can charge the batteries in the scanner but if a battery fails catastrophically, would you rather destroy a charger or the scanner?)

4798. Re: Digital Scanner - Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-02 02:01:21 UTC
From: Bo Williams <williams@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <11ovajoamsenib1@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 10960

Al Klein wrote:

> Find a charger that will charge 3 AA batteries at a time; that's one
> of their oversights - most chargers handle 2 or 4.

I highly recommend Thomas Distributing

http://www.thomas-distributing.com/

for rechargeable batteries and chargers. I've done several thousand dollars of business with them and have never been less than 100% pleased. There are a few Maha chargers that will charge three batteries independently. The Ansmann Energy 8 and 16 are also good pieces. The LaCrosse BC-900 is also a fine piece.

No connection other than being a thoroughly satisfied customer.

[...]
--
Bo Williams - williams@hiwaay.net
http://hiwaay.net/~williams/

4799. Re: Digital Scanner - Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-02 03:47:37 UTC
From: "J. Mc Laughlin" <jcm@power-net.net>
Message-ID: <11ovgtaljgho590@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 10961

Dear Mr. Klein & Mr. Williams:
Useful ideas. I do not have the time to "play" with the programming program at the moment, but it is wise to download same. It is reasonable to believe, from reading the radio's manual, that programming will be reasonably straight forward. I do not have to unlearn anything.
I have a charger for two batteries. Excellent idea to acquire a charger capable of dealing with three batteries.

The APCO site suggests that our local Michigan digital system may not be converted (by shifting everything down 15 MHz) for some time as we are on the Canadian side of Line A.

Thanks for your assistance. The box with radio has arrived and awaits Christmas. Regards, Mac

--
J. Mc Laughlin; Michigan U.S.A.
Home: JCM@Power-Net.Net
"Bo Williams" <williams@hiwaay.net> wrote in message news:11ovajoamsenib1@news.supernews.com...
> Al Klein wrote:
>
> > Find a charger that will charge 3 AA batteries at a time; that's one
> > of their oversights - most chargers handle 2 or 4.
>
> I highly recommend Thomas Distributing
>
> http://www.thomas-distributing.com/
>
> for rechargeable batteries and chargers. I've done several thousand
> dollars of business with them and have never been less than 100%
> pleased. There are a few Maha chargers that will charge three batteries
> independently. The Ansmann Energy 8 and 16 are also good pieces. The
> LaCrosse BC-900 is also a fine piece.
>
> No connection other than being a thoroughly satisfied customer.
>
> [...]
> --
> Bo Williams - williams@hiwaay.net
> http://hiwaay.net/~williams/

4800. Re: Help with RS Pro-97 and trunking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-02 15:20:08 UTC
From: Bo Williams <williams@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <11p0phbcb72bfc4@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 10962

Bill Crocker wrote:
> "Bo Williams" <williams@hiwaay.net> wrote in message
> news:11oprcjbnel1c04@news.supernews.com...
>
>>TNT wrote:
>>
>>>Radio Scrap should give it away.
>>
>>It's certainly not very pleasant to program the trunking manually in an
>>RS/GRE scanner, that's for sure.
>>--
>>Bo Williams - williams@hiwaay.net
>>http://hiwaay.net/~williams/
>
>
> Ha! If you think RS/GRE is difficult, try manually programming Uniden's new
> BCD396T!

It's not even really that it's difficult. I mean, if you do what it says in the manual, you will successfully program the scanner. It's just tedious, time-consuming, and completely unintuitive, such that you continue to NEED the manual (and potentially hours of time). Honestly, I can become proficient at even an Icom push-this-hold-that-turn-this-other-thing scheme more quickly than program a GRE for trunking manually with any efficiency.

OTOH, with Win9*, it takes only a few minutes to be swapping trunked systems in and out of the scanner at will.

If RS had any idea what to do with itself other than stumble and bumble toward death, it would see about paying Mr. Starr $5 a unit or something to license that software and include it in the box.
--
Bo Williams - williams@hiwaay.net
http://hiwaay.net/~williams/

4801. Re: Multiple Radios in Vehicle

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-02 17:51:52 UTC
From: CF <careyfisher@ncsradio.com>
Message-ID: <IO%jf.34152$i7.28465@bignews2.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 10963

"Evan Platt" <evan@theobvious.espphotography.com> wrote in message
news:8cu0p1pv1402rjjeqf9tv15si4cp3prlpb@4ax.com...
On Thu, 1 Dec 2005 10:14:22 -0500, "CF" <careyfisher@ncsradio.com>
wrote:

>>Check out our C250 on the Commercial side of our website for a great
>>little
>>unit that will control 4-8 radios in a mobile environment.

>And why would the average SCANNER user have an interest in this?
--
Because many "average" scanner users have multiple scanners. Some are even
HAMS. And some others work in jobs where they have one or more two-way
radios in their vehicles in addition to one or more scanners. And on the
Amateur Radio side of the website is more cool stuff that "average" scanner
enthusiasts may like.

It's a mess if you have a bunch of mics and speakers in most vehicles. Our
equipment cuts down on the clutter because you only need one microphone (for
two way radio) and two speakers (one for Selected Audio; the other for all
other Unselected Audios) for up to 8 radios.

www.ncsradio.com

4802. Re: Multiple Radios in Vehicle

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-02 17:53:43 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <3s2dnb7kUYa6Fw3enZ2dnUVZ_vudnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 10964

Very nice gear, no doubt...but way too pricey for what it does.

Bill Crocker

"CF" <careyfisher@ncsradio.com> wrote in message
news:%oEjf.29281$i7.8325@bignews2.bellsouth.net...
> Check out our C250 on the Commercial side of our website for a great
> little
> unit that will control 4-8 radios in a mobile environment.
>
> Our website is:
>
> www.ncsradio.com
>
>
>

4803. Re: Multiple Radios in Vehicle

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-02 18:52:45 UTC
From: CF <careyfisher@ncsradio.com>
Message-ID: <MH0kf.34160$i7.29783@bignews2.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 10965

What do you know of that's even close in price that does what the C250 does
including:
-1 mic (any mic)-4 radios (any radios)
-crossband repeater (VOX or COR)
-PA output
-Selected & Unselected Audio Outputs with separate volume controls
-Busy Light
-expandable to 8 radios
-Hookswitch function
-Multi-Cast
-Multi-Rpt

???

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:3s2dnb7kUYa6Fw3enZ2dnUVZ_vudnZ2d@comcast.com...
Very nice gear, no doubt...but way too pricey for what it does.

Bill Crocker

"CF" <careyfisher@ncsradio.com> wrote in message
news:%oEjf.29281$i7.8325@bignews2.bellsouth.net...
> Check out our C250 on the Commercial side of our website for a great
> little
> unit that will control 4-8 radios in a mobile environment.
>
> Our website is:
>
> www.ncsradio.com
>
>
>

4804. Re: Multiple Radios in Vehicle

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-02 20:13:32 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <79CdndRyGq5xNw3eRVn-ow@comcast.com>
Source record: 10966

Well I guess that's the reason for the price...apparently you have no competition! That sill doesn't fully justify the price, for what you're actually getting.

In a commercial, or industrial market, where people pay several thousands of dollars for a two-way, they probably wouldn't consider your prices to be out of line. But for the amateur radio, or scanner hobbyist market, it is way too expensive.

Just my opinion of course, but then I could be a potential customer. Maybe your company should consider a non-commercial version that's more affordable?

Bill Crocker

"CF" <careyfisher@ncsradio.com> wrote in message
news:MH0kf.34160$i7.29783@bignews2.bellsouth.net...
> What do you know of that's even close in price that does what the C250
> does
> including:
> -1 mic (any mic)-4 radios (any radios)
> -crossband repeater (VOX or COR)
> -PA output
> -Selected & Unselected Audio Outputs with separate volume controls
> -Busy Light
> -expandable to 8 radios
> -Hookswitch function
> -Multi-Cast
> -Multi-Rpt
>
> ???
>
>
> "Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:3s2dnb7kUYa6Fw3enZ2dnUVZ_vudnZ2d@comcast.com...
> Very nice gear, no doubt...but way too pricey for what it does.
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
>
> "CF" <careyfisher@ncsradio.com> wrote in message
> news:%oEjf.29281$i7.8325@bignews2.bellsouth.net...
>> Check out our C250 on the Commercial side of our website for a great
>> little

>> unit that will control 4-8 radios in a mobile environment.
>>
>> Our website is:
>>
>> www.ncsradio.com
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>

4805. Re: Multiple Radios in Vehicle

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-02 23:37:11 UTC
From: r2000swler@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133566631.499655.57690@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10967

Evan Platt wrote:
> On Thu, 1 Dec 2005 10:14:22 -0500, "CF" <careyfisher@ncsradio.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Check out our C250 on the Commercial side of our website for a great little
> >unit that will control 4-8 radios in a mobile environment.
>
> And why would the average SCANNER user have an interest in this?
> --
> To reply, remove TheObvious from my e-mail address.

I built a similar project abvout 20 years ago and it was very nice to
only have one microphone and one speaker to deal with.
In my version the selected audio was 100% and the others where
about 20%. I could mute the others in an emergency.
MAde it much easire to manage my ham radio, my CB, company business
band and the 3 scanners.

Of course my "controler" cost me about 50$.
I added a I/O for a Headset and a vox for another ~30$

$649?
Yikes

Terry

4806. Re: Digital Scanner - Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-03 00:51:50 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <f0r1p1p6fi3ekn7l6ei6ku7bmcpgp4nmlv@4ax.com>
Source record: 10968

On Thu, 01 Dec 2005 20:01:21 -0600, Bo Williams <williams@hiwaay.net>
wrote:

>No connection other than being a thoroughly satisfied customer.

I'm another one, I just keep forgetting to mention them.

4807. Re: Multiple Radios in Vehicle

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-03 00:59:40 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <1cr1p1l6spt1qg7jd1o4be92u2l2m76prc@4ax.com>
Source record: 10969

On Fri, 2 Dec 2005 15:13:32 -0500, "Bill Crocker"
<wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote:

>In a commercial, or industrial market, where people pay several thousands of
>dollars for a two-way, they probably wouldn't consider your prices to be out
>of line. But for the amateur radio, or scanner hobbyist market, it is way
>too expensive.

>Just my opinion of course

Mine too. He talks about the average scanner hobbyist, but prices his
products WAY above the reach of the average hobbyist - who has to
think twice before buying a $50 outside antenna.

I'd rather build my own than buy from someone with that attitude - let
alone that pricing structure.

4808. Re: AOR, Alinco or Icom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-03 04:30:03 UTC
From: Steve Rabinowitz <steve86@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <fb9kf.707$Of1.187@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 10970

Well I bought the Alinco DJ-X2000 but I hope I will like it as soon as I can understand how to use it & program it. I am used to Radio Shack scanners which are simple to use & program. I still have not been able to program the Alinco yet, manual not much help.

<fasteddy64@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1133110683.118168.13180@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> If you are interested in UHF mil-air listening stay away from the R20.
>

4809. Re: Multiple Radios in Vehicle

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-03 05:28:11 UTC
From: CF <careyfisher@ncsradio.com>
Message-ID: <v%9kf.34392$i7.10238@bignews2.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 10971

>Maybe make a similar device for hobbyists, lacking some of the
>'commercial' features, i.e. PA hookup, cross band repeat, multiple
>transmit on multiple radios?

The C250 is priced for the commercial market. But check the ham versions on the Amateur Radio side of the website:

www.ncsradio.com

4810. thumbs up or thumbs down on this scanner PRO-2052

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-03 05:34:18 UTC
From: Michael <warrior5@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <u7akf.3682$H84.1532@trnddc04>
Source record: 10972
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

wondering if anyone has any good reviews or is it good someone asked
me to give them my Xmas list so i want to hear if this is a good scanner
to use at home u can email me aback or to this group

4811. Re: Here comes the Digital TV disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-03 09:17:44 UTC
From: Roger <Delete-Invallid.stuff.groups@tm.net>
Message-ID: <v1n2p1dv778r51u4jb0c3mmj2hb9tp2bg9@4ax.com>
Source record: 10973
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Wed, 30 Nov 2005 19:07:01 +0900, "Brenda Ann"
<brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote:

>
>"Roger" <Delete-Invallid.stuff.groups@tm.net> wrote in message
>news:g3tqo1trejtn69t8dc76egitn4a2e0cjop@4ax.com...
>> On 28 Nov 2005 18:29:10 -0800, Kim_Jong_Il@volcanomail.com wrote:
>>
>>>"... all for $25 consumer price?"
>>>
>>>DVD players for $17.88? Portable DVD players and even DVRs under $100?
>>>Check. Routers for $5? Check. 20" color TV with remote, $79.95? Check.
>>>Laptop for $400? Check.
>>
>> About 4 months ago I paid about $75 for a dual layer DVD dual layer
>> drive that does about every flavour of DVD and CD, read and write.
>
>
>And at this moment you can get one (16x DVD+/- R/RW DL Pioneer DVR-110) from
>Meritline for $47... simply bloody amazing..
>
>
And mine are only 8X for DVDs and 40X on CDs. <sigh> Left behind
again<:-))

BTW I live about 14 miles from the world's largest Poly crystal
Silicon supplier. I think they also sell wafers. (very large wafers).

In 1960 a single crystal rod of the best purity you could get sold for
$165 a gram. Today the poly is of higher purity than those 10 pass,
float zone refined rods and as I recall it sells for something like a
couple bucks a Kilo. . Back then a single crystal rod of 3/4"
diameter and 16" long was about the biggest thing you'd see with poly
rods about the same size.
Now days wafers are running some where between 10" and 14". I'm not
sure the current size as I've been away from it for a long time. Poly
crystal rods are huge. It takes power hoists to move them.

Some time in the late 60's (as I recall) they were able to change to a
new method of sawing wafers which reduced the waste by about 50% or a
little better. At least one firm went out of business as overnight
they had doubled the single crystal yield with no increase in, cost,
time, or labor.

Last week there was an article in the local paper that the plant is undergoing another expansion. Not just an expansion, they are doubling the plant capacity and they are already the world's largest supplier but a good margin.

They have gone from a small operation in a cement block garage while using an old two story farm house for an office building to one huge complex.

Think about the amount of silicon used in a transistor, CPU die, or even the CCD in a camera which is probably the largest typical die and then think of the thousands of metric tons of the stuff this place produces.

The engineering costs to produce any particular IC or CPU are high, but once in mass production it drops to almost unbelievable levels. Remember CPUs starting out at a $1000 dollars or more and in about a year of so they are less than a $100 and often still in production. They end up being dropped from production, not because they are losing money as they aren't, but the new *stuff* brings a premium price so it's a no brainer to make the switch. Sure the price is high so they can write off the engineering costs in a hurry, but they are still making a healthy profit.

The same is true for tuners, decoders, converters, and other set top boxes. As that stuff is mass produced and there is a tremendous market for it, they will be moving to one and two chip designs dropping the costs to a couple of dollars where the broadcasters and cable companies can give them away with each subscription.

A nearby company purchased a large batch of high quality 19 or 20" LCD monitors. The cost was some where in the low $200 range. What was the price on those just a year ago, or two years ago if you could find one that large? <:-)) Currently the price is one of supply and demand for those. They could be sold far cheaper to the individual and it won't be long before they are.

Now If I could just convince my wife of all the good reasons for getting rid of the old 19" CRTs here and going to 21" LCDs. <:-))

I hate to say, or admit this, but I remember when the new TV sets finally came out with 21" CRTs <:-)) and those *were*expensive* Carried forward to today's dollars they cost more than one of the big 50 some inch plasma sets.

Roger Halstead (K8RI & ARRL life member)
(N833R, S# CD-2 Worlds oldest Debonair)
www.rogerhalstead.com

4812. stevie lies still more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-03 18:04:12 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <bol3p15saapq20r1vop8bnn5cm726vor3f@4ax.com>
Source record: 10974

K4YZ wrote:
Mark Morgan Admits Spamming Group, Demands Answer, Get's It, Then Goes
On Anyway

more lies by stevie
> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > On Tue, 29 Nov 2005 23:25:01 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >an old friend wrote:
> > >
> > >> more lies for cowards
> > >> cuting stevie playing speling cop
> > >
> > >I doubt that Steve is any more or less cute after your work.
> >
> > never said anything about stevie being cute ever
>
> Sure you did.

no i have not
>
> Over and over.

more lies
>
> > he isn't despite his claims I lust after his body
>
> And there you go again, Markie.

tell the truth
>
> Outright lies.

nope you clearly wnat my body

you can't have it of course
>
> > >> iundeed a whole new thread just to attack my spelling while he conitues
> > >> to avoid the issue like the coward he is
> > >
> > >"Iundeed"! Please "conitue" your butchery of the "lang".
> >
> > I don't need your presmission to post but it is nice of your to admit
> > I have the right
>

> Oh, it's not a matter of "rights", Markie.

yes it is a matter of right

so stevie lies again
>
> It's a matter of laughing our buns off at you!

more of your sexual obsession
>
> > >Who here has begun more recent threads than you?
> >
> > no one and it will go one till Steve answeris than question
>
> Then two things are noteworthy at this poiint:
>
> (1) I have "answered" that question, as late as today. Sorry you
> didn't like the asnwer, but thems the breaks

you have not answered the question at al

you have not explain way you claim it is relavant to radio to say Todd
is gay

>
> (2) Your admission that you're intentionally spamming the group
> says loads about who the "unstable" person is.

no spam at all

you ned to learn the meaning of words

I am flooding the gruop yes
not spaming

in spaming Id be trying to sell something

but as to unstable nah you lied again
it shows I am determeined to engage you

>
> Steve, K4YZ
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4813. Alinco DJ-X2000 Help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-04 03:46:16 UTC
From: Steve Rabinowitz <steve86@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <cEtkf.1084$Of1.623@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 10975

Just got the Alinco DJ-X2000 & am totally lost. I am used to Radio Shack which are simple. I cannot enter the frequencies on the Alinco. I tried & nothing happens, I followed the instruction manual which says enter the frequencies & press enter but when I touch the keypad & press a number it looks like it just changes banks. What am I doing wrong.

4814. Re: what disater

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-04 03:52:51 UTC
From: Timoleon <timoleonIII@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1dfc321996b0084e989681@news.verizon.net>
Source record: 10976
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <DD3jf.2091$YT3.1878@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
horedson@earthlink.net says...
> <George.E.Hollis@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1133292915.003165.13270@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > Can you say BPL??
>
>
> Certainly: Brass Pounders League.
>
>
Hank,

We miss you over at sci.crypt. Did you hear that TSD has flown the
coop?

--
Remove the Roman numeral three to reply

4815. Re: thumbs up or thumbs down on this scanner PRO-2052

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-04 11:19:15 UTC
From: DanOfWA <danofwa@nventure.com>
Message-ID: <R6mdnZ-57u8nTQ_eRVn-vw@nventure.com>
Source record: 10977
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I own the Pro 2052
and it is not bad...but it does have a limitation...it can not scan
trunked and standard bands at the same time - one or the other
also it can only scan one bank of trunked freqs at a time

For cities with tons of talk groups this is a problem since there are
only 50 entries per bank in trunked mode (Seattle police alone have more
than 50 talk groups)

If you are listening to non trunked freqs it is not bad at all 20 banks
of 50 channels makes it easy to seperate various agencies into own bank

if you are going for trunked listening... it would not be good choice if
there are over 50 talk groups per command channel that you want to
listen to....

There is a program that will switch between the modes (trunked and non
trunked) every 2 min and it also has other features that are nice (lets
you program the Pro-2052 from computer and operate it as well0

If I had noticed that this radio didn't have the ability to scan both
trunked and nontrunked systems and couldn't scan more than 1 bank of
trunked at a time I wouldn't have got it....prolly would have gotten one
of the hand helds that can (Pro 95, 96 or 97) and used it on my base
antenna.

Michael wrote:
> wondering if anyone has any good reviews or is it good someone asked me
> to give them my Xmas list so i want to hear if this is a good scanner to
> use at home u can email me aback or to this group

--

NOTE: This email does not and is not to be considered as evidence of,
creation of nor proof of an existing business relationship between
myself and any other party and shall supercede any existent policy
concerning formation or continuation of a business relationship the
other party to this email has when communicating with me. The sole
determination of existing, creation or proof of business relationship
shall be determined a positive statement of creating said relationship
in the content of the email originating FROM my computer and NOT that of
the other parties computer, system or network.

==========
NOTICE TO BULK/COMMERICAL E-MAILERS:
==========

Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, p.227, any and all unsolicited commercial E-mail sent to this address is subject to a download and archival fee in the amount of $500 US.

Anyone who sends unsolicited commercial e-mail to this account will be charged a $500 processing fee. Viewing this mail will be considered official notification and acceptance of these conditions.

Failure to abide by this will result in legal action.

RCW 19.190.020
Unpermitted or misleading electronic mail -- Prohibition.
(1) No person may initiate the transmission, conspire with another to initiate the transmission, or assist the transmission, of a commercial electronic mail message from a computer located in Washington or to an electronic mail address that the sender knows, or has reason to know, is held by a Washington resident that:
(a) Uses a third party's internet domain name without permission of the third party, or otherwise misrepresents or obscures any information in identifying the point of origin or the transmission path of a commercial electronic mail message; or
(b) Contains false or misleading information in the subject line.

RCW 19.190.040
Violations -- Damages.
(1) Damages to the recipient of a commercial electronic mail message sent in violation of this chapter are five hundred dollars, or actual damages, whichever is greater.

4816. Re: Alinco DJ-X2000 Help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-04 13:03:30 UTC
From: Steve Rabinowitz <steve86@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <COBkf.5375$A23.5108@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 10978

I have read over the manual & it does not show anything about it. I have tried entering frequencies like it says but the buttons do not respond. Also does not show which banks to use, A,B,C,D have some frequencies on it & E is blank but wont let me add. When I press 1 for instance to start a frequency it changes it to another bank in the bank from lets say A2 to A1. Really confusing compared to Radio Shack.
"Evan Platt" <evan@theobvious.espphotography.com> wrote in message news:i295p11ovgo8jm4n7qtrb5cg29pn01edto@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 04 Dec 2005 03:46:16 GMT, "Steve Rabinowitz"
> <steve86@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
>>Just got the Alinco DJ-X2000 & am totally lost. I am used to Radio Shack
>>which are simple. I cannot enter the frequencies on the Alinco. I tried &
>>nothing happens, I followed the instruction manual which says enter the
>>frequencies & press enter but when I touch the keypad & press a number it
>>looks like it just changes banks. What am I doing wrong.
>
> Sounds like it's in scan mode?
>
> Put it into manual mode is my guess. I don't have that scanner so I
> can't help you but that's what it sounds like.
> --
> To reply, remove TheObvious from my e-mail address.

4817. ScannerDesk .com Updated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-04 13:08:54 UTC
From: ScannerDesk <bate.david@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133701734.639643.305280@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10979

Hi All,

Just to let you know that I have updated the Durham Region freq lists
and few other pages..

Durham East
Durham Central
Durham West
Durham North
City of Kawartha
Rail and my Satellite page...

--
David Bate - A+, Network+, CNE(A), MCP, RHCT, CJLE
ScannerDesk & FlightDesk

- http://www.scannerdesk.com/
- http://www.aus-city.com/cgi-bin/ultimatebb.cgi
- Registered VHF/UHF Monitor VEM3ONT-19
- Worldwide Military HF Communications

'I have never been lost - I was merely unsure of my position for three
days once.

4818. Re: Listen to scanners.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-04 15:29:04 UTC
From: Rob <cme2c@rogers.com>
Message-ID: <gbudnQY8QLa5lw7eRVn-rg@rogers.com>
Source record: 10980
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

http://www.scannerfeeds.us/

"Dana" <dana@panix.com> wrote in message
news:Pine.NEB.4.63.0511120148300.12602@panix1.panix.com...
> Can someone send me a link to listen to a scanner over the internet? I am
> looking for a stream that will work with winamp or windows media player.
> They work best with a screen reader, thanks.
>

4819. Re: thumbs up or thumbs down on this scanner PRO-2052

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-04 15:47:52 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <_LSdnZao14s0kg7eRVn-uw@comcast.com>
Source record: 10981
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Depending on your location, and requirements. The PRO-2052 will not receive the newer APCO-25 digital modes that many public safety departments are switching to. It's also on the list of scanners that will be adversely effected once rebanding of the 800MHz trunked systems takes place. This may, or may not be an issue, depending on your location, and the system's frequencies.

It is one of the few scanners on the market that is capable of receiving UHF Military Air, in the AM mode.

Bill Crocker

"Michael" <warrior5@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:u7akf.3682$H84.1532@trnddc04...
> wondering if anyone has any good reviews or is it good someone asked me
> to give them my Xmas list so i want to hear if this is a good scanner to
> use at home u can email me aback or to this group

4820. Re: ScannerDesk .com Updated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-04 15:57:57 UTC
From: r2000swler@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133711877.801441.140440@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10982

ScannerDesk wrote:
snip
> 'I have never been lost - I was merely unsure of my position for three
> days once.

Man you need to break down and buy a GPS unit!
I run DeLorme's Streat Atlas in an old junker 486 laptop.
It is handy for driving, storm spotting and for keeping up
with the action on the scanner. At max resolution, you have
to go over 100MPH before you can outdrive the map update.

A friend with a restored 280Z was very happy to help me test it.
At 105MPH I was screaming "Stop for God's sake stop!"

And yes, I know the quote is from Daniel Boone.

Terry

4821. Re: PRO-2051's for $99.00 + shipping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-04 17:34:02 UTC
From: sweston <sweston2@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <11p6a494pv882b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 10983

Maybe because it's his money and his business??

"Bill" <bgates@msn.com> wrote in message
news:Xns971B881F2CDD8bgatesmsncom@130.81.64.196...

> Why would you give them $100.00 for a non-auction when the ones that are
> being auctioned are going for an avg of $75.00 to $80.00??

4822. Bearcat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-04 18:27:05 UTC
From: pwick@execpc.com
Message-ID: <1133720984_64497@spool6-east.superfeed.net>
Source record: 10985

good

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

4823. Free spell check program

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-04 18:27:38 UTC
From: John Deere <NotRoger2@anon.up>
Message-ID: <dmvc9v$b2v$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 10984
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Here you go, Markie. This may help the rest of us get through your laborious third grade level posts.

ieSpell - A Spell Checker for Internet Explorer
Introduction
ieSpell is a free Internet Explorer browser extension that spell checks text input boxes on a webpage. It should come in particularly handy for users who do a lot of web-based text entry (e.g. web mails, forums, blogs, diaries). Even if your web application already includes spell checking functionality, you might still want to install this utility because it is definitely much faster than a server-side solution. Plus you get to store and use your personal word list across all your applications, instead of maintaining separate ones on each application.

http://www.iespell.com/

4824. Re: Bearcat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-04 18:30:02 UTC
From: Kingsdish <Kingsdish@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133721161_64535@spool6-east.superfeed.net>
Source record: 10986

huh?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

4825. FS Kenwood RZ-1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-04 18:40:49 UTC
From: Wuff Hung <kennjd@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <9_CdnV2rkL_fpQ7eRVn-pQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 10987

Kenwood RZ-1.
Wide Band Receiver. Traditional "DIN" style unit may be easily installed in the dash of your car providing inconspicuous operation. People will never know you have monitoring and eavesdropping capabilities. Unit has been used indoors for scanning bands and is mounted on rubber feet which may be removed. Receiver is in excellant condition with owners manual.

The Kenwood RZ-1 tunes from 500 kHz to 905 MHz. Modes of reception are AM, FM and FM-W with tuning steps at 5, 12.5, 20 and 25 kHz. This incredibly wide frequency coverage includes almost everything. Enjoy AM broadcast band and complete shortwave coverage as well as VHF and UHF public service bands. It can even pick up TV broadcast audio, cell phones, baby monitors, cordless phones, etc. One hundred memories are available with 7 character alpha-tagging to label the stations you have stored. The numeric keys let you recall memories or directly enter the desired frequency. VFO and memory scanning are both supported. There is even a NTSC video output jack for TV video reception with an external monitor (not supplied). Other output jacks include: Left and Right Line Output and external speaker.

$250 shipped conus

WB9YCJ

EMAIL AT:

KENNJD AT ADELPHIA DOT NET

4826. Re: What do you listen to?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-05 01:27:58 UTC
From: OregonMike <OregonMike@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <hr57p1p910eg4pbnrqnahjfep6feir91fg@4ax.com>
Source record: 10988

On Tue, 29 Nov 2005 06:44:46 -0600, "Buzzygirl"
<buzzygirl@nospam.comcast.net> wrote:

>Most of the interesting stuff to listen to in my area isn't yet
>trunked.
>
>Jackie

I wish I could say the same. It seems most everything pertinent to my
area in King Co., WA is trunked and the signals aren't great to my
condo.

4827. Re: 40 Mhz mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-05 01:38:04 UTC
From: OregonMike <OregonMike@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <9667p1ptueos1h43kf44k3g4gu6h1keuc9@4ax.com>
Source record: 10989

On Thu, 01 Dec 2005 14:05:23 GMT, "Dale Parfitt"
<par@parelectronics.com> wrote:

>
>The advice of the full quarter wave (just under 6') is spot on. This will
>give you the maximum bandwidth and gain of any moble antenna you could use
>at 40 MHz.
>

Whereas there's no gain involved with a 1/4 wave vertical per se
(unless referenced to a crap antenna like that on ebay), the 1/4 wave
vertical is "spot on" as Dale mentions. It'll also be resonant on
your desired reception freq. which does matter a lot with unbalanced
systems like that.

>The above EBay antenna (even if it were set up for VHF Low, which it does
>not appear to be) would be a real compromise in gain and BW.
>
>Dale W4OP

Dale's spot on here as well. When you sacrifice proper antenna length
(still speaking of unbalanced verticals) with tuning networks, you're
going to get a performance hit that is often not worth the trouble or
money.

OM

4828. Re: Help with RS Pro-97 and trunking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-05 01:58:29 UTC
From: OregonMike <OregonMike@g.ma.i.l.DieSpammers.NOw.com>
Message-ID: <3c77p1l24n902ge50vgen0l9eivf8jffsr@4ax.com>
Source record: 10990

On Tue, 29 Nov 2005 20:32:17 -0500, Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
wrote:

>On 26 Nov 2005 17:02:17 -0800, "OregonMike" <OregonMike@gmail.com>
>wrote:
>
>>I have been able to get frequency listings, talk group IDs, and the
>>trunking system type but not doing something correctly. I'll keep
>>plugging away at this and check in here for a scanner savior :)
>
>Are you sure you're marking both the bank and all the channels as the
>trunking type, not FM?

Hey Al,

I did, indeed, have the banks and channels marked as trunking but it wasn't work. We may be able to chalk it up to lackluster reception strength on the trunking system I was trying to receive whle down in Oregon. Though, admittingly, I believe I didn't have everything program in properly. I finally figured out the basic steps of programming in the data channel for Motorola Systems and then manually entering in the Talk Group #'s and AlphaNumeric tags. The manual could have been quite a bit more insightful and less ambiguous and confusing. I finally googled my way to a couple of sights with some good, basic facts that pushed me forward much quickly than the manual would ever do.

OM

4829. Re: Here's Grove's list of models Rebanding safe.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-05 04:51:52 UTC
From: chessucat <chessucat@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133758312.760195.42620@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10991

"Currently, we have determined that the PRO-96 and the PRO-2096
scanners are upgradeable, and that the PRO-97 scanner will require
replacement."

http://tinyurl.com/92fwn

<chessucat corrects>

4830. "Klingenfuss 2005/2006 Guide to Utility Radio Stations" Thopughts On ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-05 13:49:44 UTC
From: Robert11 <rgsros@notme.com>
Message-ID: <bcWdnVrcq8Lp2AnenZ2dnUVZ_sOdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 10992

Hello:

Anyone have any thoughts on the:

"Klingenfuss 2005/2006 Guide to Utility Radio Stations
Worldwide HF Communication Today" ?

It's fairly pricey, and would sure like to get a few opinions on.

- "worth" it ?
- accurate ?

BTW: is it avail from anyone directly in the U.S. ?

Thanks,
B.

4831. Uniden BC-60 xlt repair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-05 13:57:56 UTC
From: Hog'r <hoggerCAPS@soundrail.com>
Message-ID: <8qh8p1le04l2tlhnjppu5hmescouqfhnsr@4ax.com>
Source record: 10993

Hi

Have one of these with a problem. When you turn it on, crackling
sound
emits from the spkr. Previously I could remove the batteries and the
radio
would work agian. Now it doesn't. Turning the squelch doen't seem to
matter at all. Volume works and you can hit the 'scan' button which
will
change the freq.

Somebody seen this? Repair instructions avail or pointers?

thanks

todh

4832. Scanner Amsterdam Police ON-LINE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-05 20:57:29 UTC
From: Cop <police@amstelland.nl>
Message-ID: <4394a9bf$0$37543$dbd43001@news.wanadoo.nl>
Source record: 10994
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

The Amsterdam Police scanner is on line.

www.webcamsue.nl or www.webcamsue.com

Have fun

4833. Re: Uniden BC-60 xlt repair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-06 00:06:44 UTC
From: mikeFNB <mikefnb@moc.dlrowltn>
Message-ID: <oC4lf.21015$0o2.19131@newsfe3-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 10995

try a factory reset

http://www.racescanners.com/~draley/tips.htm

mike

"Hog'r" <hoggerCAPS@soundrail.com> wrote in message
news:8qh8p1le04l2tlhnjppu5hmescouqfhnsr@4ax.com...
> Hi
>
> Have one of these with a problem. When you turn it on, crackling
> sound
> emits from the spkr. Previously I could remove the batteries and the
> radio
> would work agian. Now it doesn't. Turning the squelch doen't seem to
> matter at all. Volume works and you can hit the 'scan' button which
> will
> change the freq.
>
> Somebody seen this? Repair instructions avail or pointers?
>
> thanks
>
> todh
>

4834. Re: Free spell check program

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-06 00:57:24 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <jfo9p15udc4td6gupo8i0skqtgqdkqgfj7@4ax.com>
Source record: 10997
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 4 Dec 2005 13:27:38 -0500, "John Deere" <NotRoger2@anon.up>
wrote:

>Here you go, Markie. This may help the rest of us get through your laborious
>third grade level posts.

>ieSpell - A Spell Checker for Internet Explorer

Maybe using a real newsreader - with an integral spell-checker - would
be even better.

4835. Re: Help with RS Pro-97 and trunking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-06 00:57:27 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <lfo9p1d4esm52vtlq7u509p3u8s8q4ea2u@4ax.com>
Source record: 10996

On Sun, 04 Dec 2005 17:58:29 -0800, OregonMike
<OregonMike@g.ma.i.l.DieSpammers.NOw.com> wrote:

>The manual could
>have been quite a bit more insightful and less ambiguous and
>confusing. I finally googled my way to a couple of sights with some
>good, basic facts that pushed me forward much quickly than the manual
>would ever do.

Neither the Uniden or GRE manuals are worth much if you don't already
know how to use the scanner.

4836. Re: Here's Grove's list of models Rebanding safe.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-06 00:57:31 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <pfo9p15l0ntmr7qvh1hqatqcjg281tetao@4ax.com>
Source record: 10998

On 4 Dec 2005 20:51:52 -0800, "chessucat" <chessucat@yahoo.com> wrote:

>"Currently, we have determined that the PRO-96 and the PRO-2096
>scanners are upgradeable, and that the PRO-97 scanner will require
>replacement."

Since Motorola hasn't yet finalized their modifications to the systems in question, no one can make a definitive statement about which scanners will survive and which won't with any degree of certanty. At this time it's still all guesswork.

4837. Pro-97 Scancat Lite

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-06 03:25:47 UTC
From: Me@home.com
Message-ID: <439503f7.13046060@news2.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 10999

Hi and I was wondering if anyone out there uses scancat light for
their scanners and does it work good. or does the win 97 work better.
I also have a pro94b and ws wondering if scancat would switch between
scanners easily?
Can you upload and download from the pro94 or is it just upload?
thanks

4838. posting manuals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-06 04:21:02 UTC
From: Elbert <elbert.clarke@**adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <pa4ap1d8cjhgsnhjmtkiljagtfouh1kr3a@4ax.com>
Source record: 11000

Guys..... in alt.binaries-e-book-technical

some guy is posting a number of radio and scanner manuals

I thought some of the people here would have an interest in

such things.

---
elbert.clarke@**adelphia.net
remove ** to email

4839. Denton County, Texas (The Colony)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-06 05:47:10 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com>
Message-ID: <yB9lf.9895$N45.8959@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 11001
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Anyone here from this area? Thinking of moving there and was wondering what there is to hear. Any military bases? Local police trunked/digital?
I imagine h.f. listening would be different than my present location (San Jose, Ca.). I spent a little amount of time Googling the area but it appears to be a very small town...

4840. Re: Help with RS Pro-97 and trunking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-06 08:11:29 UTC
From: OregonMike <OregonMike@g.ma.i.l.DieSpammers.NOw.com>
Message-ID: <kshap1hj9tf7bb0lqdsbetj33fegp8777u@4ax.com>
Source record: 11002

On Mon, 05 Dec 2005 19:57:27 -0500, Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
wrote:

>
>Neither the Uniden or GRE manuals are worth much if you don't already
>know how to use the scanner.

Sadly, I have to agree with you based on my experience with this 97.

OM

4841. Re: Denton County, Texas (The Colony)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-06 11:06:45 UTC
From: James Douglas <james.douglas@genesis-software.com>
Message-ID: <HfidnRWDXpQZ8gjeRVn-vw@comcast.com>
Source record: 11003
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Jim Hackett wrote:
> Anyone here from this area? Thinking of moving there and was wondering what
> there is to hear. Any military bases? Local police trunked/digital?
> I imagine h.f. listening would be different than my present location (San
> Jose, Ca.). I spent a little amount of time Googling the area but it
> appears to be a very small town...
>
>
I am about 10 miles south of The Colony. I listen to the Carrollton
police and fire stuff on the Pro95. The Colony is a smaller town than
where I am. DFW very close and can monitor them. I believe there is some
type of AirForce,Navy stuff in the FT Worth area, about 50miles from
where you are talking about.

4842. Re: Denton County, Texas (The Colony)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-06 15:20:21 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com>
Message-ID: <V_hlf.156$Dd2.77@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 11004
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Thanks for the info James. I appreciate it.

"James Douglas" <james.douglas@genesis-software.com> wrote in message
news:HfidnRWDXpQZ8gjeRVn-vw@comcast.com...
> Jim Hackett wrote:
> > Anyone here from this area? Thinking of moving there and was wondering
what
> > there is to hear. Any military bases? Local police trunked/digital?
> > I imagine h.f. listening would be different than my present location
(San
> > Jose, Ca.). I spent a little amount of time Googling the area but it
> > appears to be a very small town...
> >
> >
> I am about 10 miles south of The Colony. I listen to the Carrollton
> police and fire stuff on the Pro95. The Colony is a smaller town than
> where I am. DFW very close and can monitor them. I believe there is some
> type of AirForce,Navy stuff in the FT Worth area, about 50miles from
> where you are talking about.

4843. FS: Opto Optolinx

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-06 17:18:23 UTC
From: Scan Da Bands <vzeip5vc@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <7uhbp1dhf882li3allnm0j98vi5si39sst@4ax.com>
Source record: 11006

I have an Optoelectronics Optolinx in excellent condition with the AOR cable for $ $100 SHIPPED.

Here is an ACTUAL picture of the Optolinx.

http://img451.imageshack.us/img451/8766/4996975zs.jpg

Thanks for looking , Tom

my mail tommyboysUNDERSCOREads@verizon.net

4844. FS: Pro-2026

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-06 17:18:26 UTC
From: Scan Da Bands <vzeip5vc@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <vshbp1tf5sdjf7q1i0jjmsn28lfa5r97m3@4ax.com>
Source record: 11005

Pro-2026 with 800 mhz mod and mem mod DONE. $85 shipped

Here's an ACTUAL picture of it.

http://mysite.verizon.net/vze1p5vc/onestop/2026_6.jpg

Thanks for looking , Tom

my mail tommyboysUNDERSCOREads@verizon.net

4845. FS: Universal M-400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-06 17:18:34 UTC
From: Scan Da Bands <vzeip5vc@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <grhbp15guocg67koto7npfa03dbn1pqrok@4ax.com>
Source record: 11007

I have a Universal M-400 decoder in excellent condition for sale.
$250/BEST OFFER

Here is an ACTUAL picture of the M-400.

http://img477.imageshack.us/img477/8973/6513tl.jpg

Thanks for looking,Tom

my mail tommyboysUNDERSCOREads@verizon.net

4846. Re: What do you listen to?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-06 17:33:22 UTC
From: Eric Ferguson <GEFERG.KBHR@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <CXjlf.19$iv.1@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 11008

Don't know how I missed this thread, but....

At home, local PD and FD's for several communities around me, California Highway Patrol, the local airport. Then there's the itinerant channels, FRS, MURS, (ahem), some of the older cordless phone freqs (just to make sure the scanners work in the VHF freqs, of course <g>), also some of the aeronautical freqs that pass over me.

On the road, when on a trip up to the state of Washington, (I have a dedicated scanner), CHP, OSP, WSP, the various DOT's and general county channels (Sheriff, County Fire, some local stuff, and areo's.) In some cases, it's saved me from some tickets. I tend to drive with a lead foot <g>.

Another dedicated scanner (both Pro-2042's), is dedicated for day trips to the coastal region of California. It has CHP, Marine, State and National Park freqs (a bank for each) and then a separate banks for local frequencies of each county from Sonoma down to Monterey, Seven counties in all.

Also scan Ham and CB in the search banks, as well as news freqs. Plus what ever else may strike my fancy at the time.

Now if there were some way to program my 3 2042's with the computer.....Oh well

Eric

4847. Re: Uniden BC-60 xlt repair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-06 17:57:39 UTC
From: Hog'r <hoggerCAPS@soundrail.com>
Message-ID: <l6kbp15mgao2nbg6e0v8u9moc9enaa595r@4ax.com>
Source record: 11009

Nope, cleared all the freq's but still can't adjust static away using
squelch.

todh

On Tue, 06 Dec 2005 00:06:44 GMT, "mikeFNB" <mikefnb@moc.dlrowltn>
wrote:

>try a factory reset
>
>http://www.racescanners.com/~draley/tips.htm
>
>mike
>
>"Hog'r" <hoggerCAPS@soundrail.com> wrote in message
>news:8qh8p1le04l2tlhnjppu5hmescouqfhnsr@4ax.com...
>> Hi
>>
>> Have one of these with a problem. When you turn it on, crackling
>> sound
>> emits from the spkr. Previously I could remove the batteries and the
>> radio
>> would work agian. Now it doesn't. Turning the squelch doen't seem to
>> matter at all. Volume works and you can hit the 'scan' button which
>> will
>> change the freq.
>>
>> Somebody seen this? Repair instructions avail or pointers?
>>
>> thanks
>>
>> todh
>>
>

4848. K1MAN Holiday Message: Blood is Thicker Than Water

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-06 21:47:38 UTC
From: netmanager2@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1133905658.691046.67330@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11010
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

K1MAN ESSAY - ES051202 -

Blood is Thicker Than Water

America is all of us. If you come from another country and/or another culture, you are welcome by me as we offer you citizenship and the protections of our precious Constitution. Those of us who were born here, however, are willing, by definition, to die in the protection of our precious birth land and our precious Constitution.

Even though America is all of us, and it is, we will not allow you to do some quite important things such as being our President if you were not born here. There is a damn good reason for this. If push comes to shove, we are afraid you might "cut and run." I say "might." We simply don't want to take this chance, however slight it may be.

In that sense, therefore, those who are not born in America are "second class" Americans.

Same deal in Maine. If you were not born in Maine, you are not a Mainer. I "deal" with that. Mainers do cut me SOME slack, however, since I have been a citizen of Maine for 48 years. SOME slack, not very much. That is just how it is, blood is thicker than water.

America is predominantly Christian, and we do, indeed, have a national Christmas tree. That is just how it is, blood is thicker than water. In this case, we are remembering the holy birth of Jesus and the blood many of us believe he shed for all of us. That is just how it is, blood is thicker than water.

English is the predominant language in America. Some day there well might be more Spanish speaking Americans born here than English speaking Americans born here. There might well be more "African Americans" born here that "whites" born here. Hey man, this is America; I just love being American!

When the first human, an American, set foot on the moon, a Russian said to an American:

"It must be great to be an American tonight!"

No, "Ivan:"

"It is great to be an American EVERY night!"

That is just how it is, blood is thicker than water.

If America is threatened or if our Constitution is threatened, you had better watch out! I will STAND UP and fight, and if I need help, I will choose a "kid" born in America, educated in America, who played sports in America, and who was trained by the U.S Marines, U.S Army, U.S. Navy, U.S Air Force, the U.S. Military Academy, our Air Force Academy, Annapolis, etc., etc., over someone else who might just "cut and run" when push comes to shove, as happened in WWII and countless other situations that have cropped up over our brief 200 year history.

If your native country got "raped" during WWII, YOU are the ones who that let it happen, and those kids mentioned above are the ones who came over to "bail out" your collective asses. The "goose step" did not frighten those kids very much. Americans don't know the total humiliation of being "raped." We have NO FEAR of someone coming in the middle of the night to take one of us away. Our Constitution specifically allows us to have guns for just such an occasion if such ever occurred, however unlikely. Many Americans have more "fire power" than the so called "professionals." That is just how it is, blood is thicker than water. Be careful! Don't count America out yet! That is just how it is, blood is thicker than water.

May God bless you and your family during this holy season.

73 and GL de K1MAN

Glenn Baxter, P.E.

4849. Re: Scanner Amsterdam Police ON-LINE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-06 23:50:23 UTC
From: mark jb <"nukeleer at internode dot on dot net">
Message-ID: <439623c0$1@duster.adelaide.on.net>
Source record: 11011
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

> Thanks for the tip, please let us know when you get the
> Amsterdam New York USA police comms online. :-)

Fortunately, there is a world outside America; even if most american citizens couldn't locate Canada or Mexico on a map.

-mark

4850. Re: Uniden BC-60 xlt repair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-06 23:57:42 UTC
From: mikeFNB <mikefnb@moc.dlrowltn>
Message-ID: <Wzplf.18836$y36.5546@newsfe1-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 11012

ok, is it under warranty?

if you are in the uk i would contact w+s or martin lynch or WHY & ask about
if there is a factory reset routing which totally resets the beasty.
there will be one, but i can't dind it on the net.
unless the one you have tried is the only one?

what does it do on an external psu?

do you get anything at all rx'ed on it on say a very strong station or
nearby H/H

seems like a visit to a repair shop is in order.

mike

"Hog'r" <hoggerCAPS@soundrail.com> wrote in message
news:l6kbp15mgao2nbg6e0v8u9moc9enaa595r@4ax.com...
> Nope, cleared all the freq's but still can't adjust static away using
> squelch.
>
> todh
>
> On Tue, 06 Dec 2005 00:06:44 GMT, "mikeFNB" <mikefnb@moc.dlrowltn>
> wrote:
>
>>try a factory reset
>>
>>http://www.racescanners.com/~draley/tips.htm
>>
>>mike
>>
>>"Hog'r" <hoggerCAPS@soundrail.com> wrote in message
>>news:8qh8p1le04l2tlhnjppu5hmescouqfhnsr@4ax.com...
>>> Hi
>>>
>>> Have one of these with a problem. When you turn it on, crackling
>>> sound
>>> emits from the spkr. Previously I could remove the batteries and the
>>> radio
>>> would work agian. Now it doesn't. Turning the squelch doen't seem to
>>> matter at all. Volume works and you can hit the 'scan' button which
>>> will
>>> change the freq.
>>>
>>> Somebody seen this? Repair instructions avail or pointers?

>>>
>>> thanks
>>>
>>> todh
>>>
>>

4851. Re: Scanner Amsterdam Police ON-LINE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-07 01:16:24 UTC
From: Netnews <jess784@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <F8-dnbbbR8VwqgveRVn-iA@comcast.com>
Source record: 11013
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Well said Dave.

"Diamond Dave" <sjester911@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:55acp15kdk9e9uerv855us5qbg6djhd6t3@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 7 Dec 2005 10:50:23 +1100, "mark jb" <nukeleer at internode dot on
> dot
> net> wrote:
>
>>> Thanks for the tip, please let us know when you get the
>>> Amsterdam New York USA police comms online. :-)
>>
>>Fortunately, there is a world outside America; even if most american
>>citizens couldn't locate Canada or Mexico on a map.
>
> We know exactly where Canada and Mexico are, one sends us snow and the
> other
> sends us cheap labor and drugs. We also know where Europe is; that's the
> big
> socialist cluster fuck across the pond from us, the greatest producer of
> whine
> on earth.

4852. Re: K1MAN Holiday Message: Blood is Thicker Than Water

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-07 01:35:57 UTC
From: E <NOSPAM@msn.com>
Message-ID: <60rlf.17$5A6.36901@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 11014
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Stay in Maine you ignorant redneck! You probably think the Iraq war is
justified as well!
Curl up this Christmas season with your gun, bigot attitude, and religious
intolerance.

<netmanager2@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1133905658.691046.67330@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> K1MAN ESSAY - ES051202 -
>
> Blood is Thicker Than Water
>
>
> America is all of us. If you come from another country and/or
> another culture, you are welcome by me as we offer you citizenship and
> the protections of our precious Constitution. Those of us who were
> born here, however, are willing, by definition, to die in the
> protection of our precious birth land and our precious Constitution.
>
> Even though America is all of us, and it is, we will not allow you to
> do some quite important things such as being our President if you were
> not born here. There is a damn good reason for this. If push
> comes to shove, we are afraid you might "cut and run." I say "might."
> We simply don't want to take this chance, however slight it may be.
>
> In that sense, therefore, those who are not born in America are
> "second class" Americans.
>
> Same deal in Maine. If you were not born in Maine, you are not a
> Mainer. I "deal" with that. Mainers do cut me SOME slack, however,
> since I have been a citizen of Maine for 48 years. SOME slack, not
> very much. That is just how it is, blood is thicker than water.
>
> America is predominantly Christian, and we do, indeed, have a national
> Christmas tree. That is just how it is, blood is thicker than water.
> In this case, we are remembering the holy birth of Jesus and the blood
> many of us believe he shed for all of us. That is just how it is,
> blood is thicker than water.
>
> English is the predominant language in America. Some day there well
> might be more Spanish speaking Americans born here than English
> speaking Americans born here. There might well be more "African
> Americans" born here that "whites" born here. Hey man, this is
> America; I just love being American!

>
> When the first human, an American, set foot on the moon, a Russian
> said to an American:
>
> "It must be great to be an American tonight!"
>
> No, "Ivan:"
>
> "It is great to be an American EVERY night!"
>
>
> That is just how it is, blood is thicker than water.
>
> If America is threatened or if our Constitution is threatened, you had
> better watch out! I will STAND UP and fight, and if I need help, I
> will choose a "kid" born in America, educated in America, who played
> sports in America, and who was trained by the U.S Marines, U.S Army,
> U.S. Navy, U.S Air Force, the U.S. Military Academy, our Air Force
> Academy, Annapolis, etc., etc., over someone else who might just "cut
> and run" when push comes to shove, as happened in WWII and countless
> other situations that have cropped up over our brief 200 year history.
>
> If your native country got "raped" during WWII, YOU are the ones who
> that let it happen, and those kids mentioned above are the ones who
> came over to "bail out" your collective asses. The "goose step" did
> not frighten those kids very much. Americans don't know the total
> humiliation of being "raped." We have NO FEAR of someone coming in
> the middle of the night to take one of us away. Our Constitution
> specifically allows us to have guns for just such an occasion if such
> ever occurred, however unlikely. Many Americans have more "fire
> power" than the so called "professionals." That is just how it is,
> blood is thicker than water. Be careful! Don't count America out
> yet! That is just how it is, blood is thicker than water.
>
> May God bless you and your family during this holy season.
>
> 73 and GL de K1MAN
>
> Glenn Baxter, P.E.
>

4853. Re: Scanner Amsterdam Police ON-LINE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-07 02:00:39 UTC
From: mark jb <"nukeleer at internode dot on dot net">
Message-ID: <43964248$1@duster.adelaide.on.net>
Source record: 11015
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Yes, the poms whinge every time we beat them at cricket. Which would account
for a lot of the noise.
-mark

4854. Re: Denton County, Texas (The Colony)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-07 04:15:12 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <ldocp1l2g8hb1ofnckar8ms6uf242qfm52@4ax.com>
Source record: 11016
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Tue, 06 Dec 2005 05:47:10 GMT, "Jim Hackett"
<h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com> wrote:

>I imagine h.f. listening would be different than my present location (San
>Jose, Ca.).

Depends on the frequency. On most it would be the same.

4855. Re: Help with RS Pro-97 and trunking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-07 04:19:55 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <0nocp1h0t9ha4v79v38k0qq140jkeme9o0@4ax.com>
Source record: 11017

On Tue, 6 Dec 2005 06:45:00 +0000 (UTC), chesucat <me@privacy.net>
wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:
>AK>Neither the Uniden or GRE manuals are worth much if you don't already
>AK>know how to use the scanner.
>
>Well, that's nice to know!:-| After I just purchased a BC256T yesterday
>and I'm now reading the owner's manual.

256 or 246?

4856. Re: Uniden BC-60 xlt repair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-07 04:21:32 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <9oocp1tquungb7i5re9pr4imuh1dn060k3@4ax.com>
Source record: 11018

On Tue, 06 Dec 2005 17:57:39 GMT, Hog'r <hoggerCAPS@soundrail.com>
wrote:

>Nope, cleared all the freq's but still can't adjust static away using
>squelch.

Does it go away if you turn the volume down?

If it does, the problem is between the squelch point in the audio and
the volume control (not much circuitry there). If it doesn't it's
between the volume control and the speaker.

4857. Re: Scanner Amsterdam Police ON-LINE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-07 06:26:50 UTC
From: Paul Keenleyside <beeceepelights@teluz.net>
Message-ID: <Kgvlf.194624$lo.139959@clgrps13>
Source record: 11019
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

"Diamond Dave" <sjester911@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:55acp15kdk9e9uerv855us5qbg6djhd6t3@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 7 Dec 2005 10:50:23 +1100, "mark jb" <nukeleer at internode dot on
> dot
> net> wrote:
>
>>> Thanks for the tip, please let us know when you get the
>>> Amsterdam New York USA police comms online. :-)
>>
>>Fortunately, there is a world outside America; even if most american
>>citizens couldn't locate Canada or Mexico on a map.
>
> We know exactly where Canada and Mexico are, one sends us snow and the
> other
> sends us cheap labor and drugs.

That's not all we send.

New England runs mostly on hydroelectric power from Quebec. The Boston Globe
and New York Times is made
with Quebec paper.

In California, electricity has been sent from British Columbia as has
natrual gas.

American builders use high quality wood products from British Columbia and
Ontario.

Sacremento, Seattle, and many other large cities use Canadian made buses and
rapid transit systems.

The robotic arm aboard the NASA Space Shuttle is Canadian. In fact the
Apollo programme was built using Canadian
talent.

As for Europe, The Brits have given Americans The Beatles, Elton John, and
Boy George. Not to mention Rolls Royces and
Jaguars and real movie heroes such as James Bond. The French has given the
world culture and fine cuisine. Germany has given the world precision
instruments, top quality cameras, Beemers and Volkwagens. Italy has given
fine cars such as
Lambrogini, and fine art.

So what has the USA given the world lately? A bit of a headache caused by
George Dubya's patriotic flag waving and
telling the world the US is the best democracy there is. Which it isn't.

Over there in the UK -- sorry lads. We have to put up with this neighbour of ours to the south all the time. They're a bit of a handfull at times.

4858. Re: K1MAN Holiday Message: Blood is Thicker Than Water

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-07 06:46:19 UTC
From: Steveo <steveo-hed@excite.com>
Message-ID: <1133937979.878287.276510@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11020
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

E wrote:

<bitch snipped>
HEY E,,,,,,, WANNA WATCH ME JACK OFF ON MY WEB CAM?

4859. Re: Scanner Amsterdam Police ON-LINE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-07 11:45:43 UTC
From: Ken Ward <ken.g1itv@MTLworld.com>
Message-ID: <HXzlf.19691$y36.11682@newsfe1-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 11021
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

"mark jb" <nukeleer at internode dot on dot net> wrote in message
news:43964248$1@duster.adelaide.on.net...
> Yes, the poms whinge every time we beat them at cricket. Which would
> account for a lot of the noise.

Whatever you do... Don't mention Rugby League, especially the last match at
Leeds!

4860. Re: Scanner Amsterdam Police ON-LINE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-07 13:07:31 UTC
From: PromaBoss <Promaboss@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <n8Blf.22346$Cj5.8672@newsfe6-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 11022
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

>good answer

what does the USA do for us,well only some good scanners but thats about it

sadly its human rights patriotic flag waving and wish to control the whole world and give us more terrorism ,we can thank USA for the 7/7 attacks for a start

> So what has the USA given the world lately? A bit of a headache caused by
> George Dubya's patriotic flag waving and
> telling the world the US is the best democracy there is. Which it isn't.
>
> Over there in the UK -- sorry lads. We have to put up with this neighbour
> of ours to the south all the time. They're a bit of a handfull at times.
>
>
>
>
>
>

4861. Re: Help with RS Pro-97 and trunking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-07 13:46:58 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <4spdp1hba9ac1en3qcc85b7ali9j3b9s06@4ax.com>
Source record: 11023

On Wed, 7 Dec 2005 05:54:27 +0000 (UTC), chesucat <me@privacy.net>
wrote:

>Al Klein queried:
>AK>256 or 246?
>
>Uniden BC246T

If you're familiar wih scanners, stop thinking bank/channel and think
system/group/channel. Until you can do that, programming (and even
using) a scanner with dynamic memory will be difficult.

4862. Re: K1MAN Holiday Message: Blood is Thicker Than Water

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-07 18:56:19 UTC
From: johnboy <johnboy4455@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <196a6$43973054$8b371884$10265@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 11024
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Yea and SHIT like you is thicker'n both of em!
<netmanager2@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1133905658.691046.67330@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> K1MAN ESSAY - ES051202 -
>
> Blood is Thicker Than Water
>
>
> America is all of us. If you come from another country and/or
> another culture, you are welcome by me as we offer you citizenship and
> the protections of our precious Constitution. Those of us who were
> born here, however, are willing, by definition, to die in the
> protection of our precious birth land and our precious Constitution.
>
> Even though America is all of us, and it is, we will not allow you to
> do some quite important things such as being our President if you were
> not born here. There is a damn good reason for this. If push
> comes to shove, we are afraid you might "cut and run." I say "might."
> We simply don't want to take this chance, however slight it may be.
>
> In that sense, therefore, those who are not born in America are
> "second class" Americans.
>
> Same deal in Maine. If you were not born in Maine, you are not a
> Mainer. I "deal" with that. Mainers do cut me SOME slack, however,
> since I have been a citizen of Maine for 48 years. SOME slack, not
> very much. That is just how it is, blood is thicker than water.
>
> America is predominantly Christian, and we do, indeed, have a national
> Christmas tree. That is just how it is, blood is thicker than water.
> In this case, we are remembering the holy birth of Jesus and the blood
> many of us believe he shed for all of us. That is just how it is,
> blood is thicker than water.
>
> English is the predominant language in America. Some day there well
> might be more Spanish speaking Americans born here than English
> speaking Americans born here. There might well be more "African
> Americans" born here that "whites" born here. Hey man, this is
> America; I just love being American!
>
> When the first human, an American, set foot on the moon, a Russian
> said to an American:
>

> "It must be great to be an American tonight!"
>
> No, "Ivan:"
>
> "It is great to be an American EVERY night!"
>
>
> That is just how it is, blood is thicker than water.
>
> If America is threatened or if our Constitution is threatened, you had
> better watch out! I will STAND UP and fight, and if I need help, I
> will choose a "kid" born in America, educated in America, who played
> sports in America, and who was trained by the U.S Marines, U.S Army,
> U.S. Navy, U.S Air Force, the U.S. Military Academy, our Air Force
> Academy, Annapolis, etc., etc., over someone else who might just "cut
> and run" when push comes to shove, as happened in WWII and countless
> other situations that have cropped up over our brief 200 year history.
>
> If your native country got "raped" during WWII, YOU are the ones who
> that let it happen, and those kids mentioned above are the ones who
> came over to "bail out" your collective asses. The "goose step" did
> not frighten those kids very much. Americans don't know the total
> humiliation of being "raped." We have NO FEAR of someone coming in
> the middle of the night to take one of us away. Our Constitution
> specifically allows us to have guns for just such an occasion if such
> ever occurred, however unlikely. Many Americans have more "fire
> power" than the so called "professionals." That is just how it is,
> blood is thicker than water. Be careful! Don't count America out
> yet! That is just how it is, blood is thicker than water.
>
> May God bless you and your family during this holy season.
>
> 73 and GL de K1MAN
>
> Glenn Baxter, P.E.
>

4863. Re: Scanner Amsterdam Police ON-LINE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-07 20:16:45 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <4397432D.B47080AD@milestones.com>
Source record: 11025
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Jim wrote:

> On Wed, 07 Dec 2005 11:42:12 -0700, Diamond Dave <sjester911@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>
> >On Wed, 07 Dec 2005 17:21:27 +0000, Jim <afton370@yahoo.co.uk> wrote:
> >
> >>And the big socialist state is doing one hell of a lot better
> >>than your big fascist empire.
> >
> >By what measure?
>
> By ANY measure.

Keep it coming!

LMFAO

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4864. K1MAN Says ARRL Response To His W1AW Information Demand Not Satisfactory

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-07 22:03:58 UTC
From: netmanager2@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1133993038.323503.4310@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11026
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

DAVE SUMNER, K1ZZ, CEO OF ARRL, RESPONDS TO K1MAN's LETTER

December 2, 2005

Glenn A. Baxter
Long Point Lodge
Belgrade Lakes, Maine 04918

Dear Mr. Baxter:

This is in response to your email of December 1, 2005 inquiring about W1AW operating practices.

W1AW is unique in that it is the only station that operates in accordance with the provisions of 97.113(d) of the FCC rules. The rules require that the station schedule operations on at least six MF and HF amateur bands using reasonable measures to maximize coverage, and that a schedule of operating times be published at least 30 days in advance.

As W1AW trustee it is my policy that W1AW adhere to the FCC rules. The station operates in accordance with the published schedule. The W1AW trustee and control operators also take seriously our obligation under 97.101(b) to cooperate in selecting transmitting channels and in making the most effective use of amateur service frequencies. For example, we transmit two brief warning messages prior to the commencement of a scheduled transmission as a courtesy to operators who may be engaged in communications on the scheduled frequencies (Ed. comment: This practice was FIRST used by K1MAN), and we deliberately keep our voice bulletin transmissions brief and limited in number.

Sincerely,

(signed)
David Sumner, K1ZZ
Trustee, W1AW

K1MAN editorial comment:

In other words, 97.113(d) of the FCC rules allows W1AW to pay a control operator for a 40 hour work week (pecuniary interest) for doing exactly what K1MAN does without any pay whatsoever, and doing it infinitely better, thus drawing a $21,000 "fine." W1AW "dumps" on existing QSO's every day and K1MAN only when some moron like K3VR

parks there on frequency in order to be "dumped" on, a criminal violation by K3VR of the Goldwater Law. Sumner was a law school drop out and Hollingsworth was sick on the day they taught law at law school. Sumner's letter is a "get out of jail free" card for K1MAN, and Dave will certainly be called as a witess in court along with Hollingsworth. Both men are in alleged criminal violation of the Goldwater Law as well by failing to discourage interference with K1MAN amateur information bulletins:

It is an interesting snub that K1ZZ does not use the call K1MAN in his arrogant middle manager mental midget letter, Hi. Eat your heart out Dave, AARA, the American Amateur Radio Association, has more class than ARRL, the "national association for amateur radio." By the way, exactly what is a "radio relay league" anyway?

73 and GL de K1MAN.

See www.K1MAN.com

Dave Sumner, K1ZZ
ARRL Chief Executive Officer
American Radio Relay League
225 Main Street
Newington, Conn. 06111

1 December 2005

Dave,

What is W1AW's current policy with regard to its bulletins, and can you refer me to the ARRL Board minute which established this policy? Specifically, does W1AW listen and/or QRZ each published scheduled frequency before transmitting? If the frequency is busy, does W1AW QSY, delay, or even terminate the scheduled bulletin?

The policy published in QST that I have found is to stick to the published schedule regardless of whether the frequency is busy or not, just as is the policy of K1MAN for information bulletins, which is also consistent with many years of W1AW operating practice as well.

You will remember that K1MAN was "fined" in 1990 with regard to this policy, and my appeal of these "fines" to the full Commission was "deep sixed" and thus blocking my further appeals to the D.C. Court of Appeals and the United Stated Supreme Court. Also, these "fines" were never litigated in Federal District Court here in Maine, and my subsequent civil suit to resolve this matter in Federal District Court against the FCC was dismissed. My related civil suit in Federal District Court against ARRL was also dismissed. My many attempts to resolve this matter have thus been repeatedly thwarted. K1MAN was then "fined" again regarding this same policy in April of 2005, a full fifteen years after the original FCC action, thus creating a further legal nightmare for the Commission, of course.

I am a member of ARRL. Thank you for your attention to this request.

Best personal regards. 73.

(signed)

Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., K1MAN
AARA Executive Director
IARN Manager

cc: W. Riley Hollingsworth, Esq.
Distribution

4865. K1MAN Says ARRL Response To His W1AW Information Demand Not Satisfactory

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-07 22:04:12 UTC
From: netmanager2@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1133993052.592507.218630@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11027
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

DAVE SUMNER, K1ZZ, CEO OF ARRL, RESPONDS TO K1MAN's LETTER

December 2, 2005

Glenn A. Baxter
Long Point Lodge
Belgrade Lakes, Maine 04918

Dear Mr. Baxter:

This is in response to your email of December 1, 2005 inquiring about W1AW operating practices.

W1AW is unique in that it is the only station that operates in accordance with the provisions of 97.113(d) of the FCC rules. The rules require that the station schedule operations on at least six MF and HF amateur bands using reasonable measures to maximize coverage, and that a schedule of operating times be published at least 30 days in advance.

As W1AW trustee it is my policy that W1AW adhere to the FCC rules. The station operates in accordance with the published schedule. The W1AW trustee and control operators also take seriously our obligation under 97.101(b) to cooperate in selecting transmitting channels and in making the most effective use of amateur service frequencies. For example, we transmit two brief warning messages prior to the commencement of a scheduled transmission as a courtesy to operators who may be engaged in communications on the scheduled frequencies (Ed. comment: This practice was FIRST used by K1MAN), and we deliberately keep our voice bulletin transmissions brief and limited in number.

Sincerely,

(signed)
David Sumner, K1ZZ
Trustee, W1AW

K1MAN editorial comment:

In other words, 97.113(d) of the FCC rules allows W1AW to pay a control operator for a 40 hour work week (pecuniary interest) for doing exactly what K1MAN does without any pay whatsoever, and doing it infinitely better, thus drawing a $21,000 "fine." W1AW "dumps" on existing QSO's every day and K1MAN only when some moron like K3VR

parks there on frequency in order to be "dumped" on, a criminal violation by K3VR of the Goldwater Law. Sumner was a law school drop out and Hollingsworth was sick on the day they taught law at law school. Sumner's letter is a "get out of jail free" card for K1MAN, and Dave will certainly be called as a witess in court along with Hollingsworth. Both men are in alleged criminal violation of the Goldwater Law as well by failing to discourage interference with K1MAN amateur information bulletins:

It is an interesting snub that K1ZZ does not use the call K1MAN in his arrogant middle manager mental midget letter, Hi. Eat your heart out Dave, AARA, the American Amateur Radio Association, has more class than ARRL, the "national association for amateur radio." By the way, exactly what is a "radio relay league" anyway?

73 and GL de K1MAN.

See www.K1MAN.com

Dave Sumner, K1ZZ
ARRL Chief Executive Officer
American Radio Relay League
225 Main Street
Newington, Conn. 06111

1 December 2005

Dave,

What is W1AW's current policy with regard to its bulletins, and can you refer me to the ARRL Board minute which established this policy? Specifically, does W1AW listen and/or QRZ each published scheduled frequency before transmitting? If the frequency is busy, does W1AW QSY, delay, or even terminate the scheduled bulletin?

The policy published in QST that I have found is to stick to the published schedule regardless of whether the frequency is busy or not, just as is the policy of K1MAN for information bulletins, which is also consistent with many years of W1AW operating practice as well.

You will remember that K1MAN was "fined" in 1990 with regard to this policy, and my appeal of these "fines" to the full Commission was "deep sixed" and thus blocking my further appeals to the D.C. Court of Appeals and the United Stated Supreme Court. Also, these "fines" were never litigated in Federal District Court here in Maine, and my subsequent civil suit to resolve this matter in Federal District Court against the FCC was dismissed. My related civil suit in Federal District Court against ARRL was also dismissed. My many attempts to resolve this matter have thus been repeatedly thwarted. K1MAN was then "fined" again regarding this same policy in April of 2005, a full fifteen years after the original FCC action, thus creating a further legal nightmare for the Commission, of course.

I am a member of ARRL. Thank you for your attention to this request.

Best personal regards. 73.

(signed)

Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., K1MAN
AARA Executive Director
IARN Manager

cc: W. Riley Hollingsworth, Esq.
Distribution

4866. K1MAN Says ARRL Response To His W1AW Information Demand Not Satisfactory

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-07 22:04:34 UTC
From: netmanager2@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1133993074.428001.220280@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11028
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

DAVE SUMNER, K1ZZ, CEO OF ARRL, RESPONDS TO K1MAN's LETTER

December 2, 2005

Glenn A. Baxter
Long Point Lodge
Belgrade Lakes, Maine 04918

Dear Mr. Baxter:

This is in response to your email of December 1, 2005 inquiring about W1AW operating practices.

W1AW is unique in that it is the only station that operates in accordance with the provisions of 97.113(d) of the FCC rules. The rules require that the station schedule operations on at least six MF and HF amateur bands using reasonable measures to maximize coverage, and that a schedule of operating times be published at least 30 days in advance.

As W1AW trustee it is my policy that W1AW adhere to the FCC rules. The station operates in accordance with the published schedule. The W1AW trustee and control operators also take seriously our obligation under 97.101(b) to cooperate in selecting transmitting channels and in making the most effective use of amateur service frequencies. For example, we transmit two brief warning messages prior to the commencement of a scheduled transmission as a courtesy to operators who may be engaged in communications on the scheduled frequencies (Ed. comment: This practice was FIRST used by K1MAN), and we deliberately keep our voice bulletin transmissions brief and limited in number.

Sincerely,

(signed)
David Sumner, K1ZZ
Trustee, W1AW

K1MAN editorial comment:

In other words, 97.113(d) of the FCC rules allows W1AW to pay a control operator for a 40 hour work week (pecuniary interest) for doing exactly what K1MAN does without any pay whatsoever, and doing it infinitely better, thus drawing a $21,000 "fine." W1AW "dumps" on existing QSO's every day and K1MAN only when some moron like K3VR

parks there on frequency in order to be "dumped" on, a criminal violation by K3VR of the Goldwater Law. Sumner was a law school drop out and Hollingsworth was sick on the day they taught law at law school. Sumner's letter is a "get out of jail free" card for K1MAN, and Dave will certainly be called as a witess in court along with Hollingsworth. Both men are in alleged criminal violation of the Goldwater Law as well by failing to discourage interference with K1MAN amateur information bulletins:

It is an interesting snub that K1ZZ does not use the call K1MAN in his arrogant middle manager mental midget letter, Hi. Eat your heart out Dave, AARA, the American Amateur Radio Association, has more class than ARRL, the "national association for amateur radio." By the way, exactly what is a "radio relay league" anyway?

73 and GL de K1MAN.

See www.K1MAN.com

Dave Sumner, K1ZZ
ARRL Chief Executive Officer
American Radio Relay League
225 Main Street
Newington, Conn. 06111

1 December 2005

Dave,

What is W1AW's current policy with regard to its bulletins, and can you refer me to the ARRL Board minute which established this policy? Specifically, does W1AW listen and/or QRZ each published scheduled frequency before transmitting? If the frequency is busy, does W1AW QSY, delay, or even terminate the scheduled bulletin?

The policy published in QST that I have found is to stick to the published schedule regardless of whether the frequency is busy or not, just as is the policy of K1MAN for information bulletins, which is also consistent with many years of W1AW operating practice as well.

You will remember that K1MAN was "fined" in 1990 with regard to this policy, and my appeal of these "fines" to the full Commission was "deep sixed" and thus blocking my further appeals to the D.C. Court of Appeals and the United Stated Supreme Court. Also, these "fines" were never litigated in Federal District Court here in Maine, and my subsequent civil suit to resolve this matter in Federal District Court against the FCC was dismissed. My related civil suit in Federal District Court against ARRL was also dismissed. My many attempts to resolve this matter have thus been repeatedly thwarted. K1MAN was then "fined" again regarding this same policy in April of 2005, a full fifteen years after the original FCC action, thus creating a further legal nightmare for the Commission, of course.

I am a member of ARRL. Thank you for your attention to this request.

Best personal regards. 73.

(signed)

Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., K1MAN
AARA Executive Director
IARN Manager

cc: W. Riley Hollingsworth, Esq.
Distribution

4867. Re: Scanner Amsterdam Police ON-LINE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-07 22:06:19 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <43975CDB.DA5CD305@milestones.com>
Source record: 11029
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Jim wrote:

> On Wed, 07 Dec 2005 15:16:45 -0500, dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote:
>
> You don't have to add your location - we'd already worked out
> that you are a Yankee Doodle.

Yeah, it only took you about an hour and 45 minutes.

Run along, 'tard boy.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4868. Re: What do you listen to?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-07 23:17:41 UTC
From: John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com>
Message-ID: <k2rep1t67ttppd644q0ejq5s0kpno5te9l@4ax.com>
Source record: 11031

On Tue, 06 Dec 2005 17:33:22 GMT, "Eric Ferguson" <GEFERG.KBHR@prodigy.net>
wrote:

>Now if there were some way to program my 3 2042's with the computer.....Oh
>well
>
>Eric

Allow me to brighten your holiday season, Eric. You can indeed computer-program
the PRO-2042 if you have either an Optoelectronics OS535 or a COMMtronics
CE/HB-232 to provide the computer interface.

http://www.strongsignals.net/access/content/rr_pro-2042.html

Happy Holidays and 73 de
John Kasupski, Tonawanda, New York
Amateur Radio (KC2HMZ), SWL/Scanner Monitoring (KNY2VS)
zIRC #monitor Admin

4869. Re: K1MAN Says ARRL Response To His W1AW Information Demand Not Satisfactory

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-07 23:25:38 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133997938.436510.205520@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11030
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

FACT: W1AW DOES interfere with ongoing communications
FACT: WIAW DOES transmit their website address in their transmissions
FACT: W1AW DOES have pecuniary interest

Todd Daugherty N9OGL

4870. Re: K1MAN Says ARRL Response To His W1AW Information Demand Not Satisfactory

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-08 00:17:15 UTC
From: Has <has@ham.net>
Message-ID: <itqdneKdOZcR5greRVn-rg@comcast.com>
Source record: 11032
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

It's rather pathetic when someone can only their own self-worth by comparing himself to the perceived flaws in others.

-Has

4871. Re: 96 chevy truck radio location help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-08 00:44:05 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <u70fp19h56lm47oa9ke3ipr7p1q6er9nuh@4ax.com>
Source record: 11033
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

On 7 Dec 2005 05:30:20 -0800, stryped@hotmail.com wrote:

>I just bought a 96 extended cab 4x4. Where would be the best looking
>and usable placement of my icom 2 meter radio?

Sit behind the wheel. Reach out toward the dash with your right hand.
Wherever you can touch without stretching uncomfortably is a good
place for the mic. Youy should be able to see the speaker grill
(obstructions to sight also obstruct sound).

> What would be the best looking antenna configuration.

You want the best looking one, or one that works best? Remember, the
trunk lid is about 5db down from the roof, so use the trunk if you
want the loss, the roof if you don't. Or use a Larsen 5/8 on-glass if
you want something that works and doesn't leave holes in the car.

4872. Re: Help with RS Pro-97 and trunking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-08 01:15:17 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <m32fp152hcfphpmd3jcidit0a6teul24mb@4ax.com>
Source record: 11034

On Wed, 7 Dec 2005 22:26:04 +0000 (UTC), chesucat <me@privacy.net>
wrote:

>I don't understand bank/channel, I'm new to the scanning hobby, I made
>a system named "Cobb County" and then I added groups called "Police"
>and "Fire", then I added frequencies in the system and setup channels
>names with their respective "talk group ID". It seemed to be working
>okay so far, although there is still some static on some of the channels

Some of the transmitters are probably further away from you than
others.

>and the Close-Call feature seems to pick up frequencies that don't have
>voice traffic on it, just static and buzzing.

Close Call picks up everything. You can lock out what it reports to
you, but it hears everything strong enough, regardless of what you
have programmed.

>Also, I have used up 75%
>of memory and I only programmed police and fire, I guess I should delete
>the "pre-programmed" systems that I can't receive. My firmware version
>is 1.42! I downloaded a freeware backup utility from Butel and made a
>backup and it seemed to work fine.

Then delete anything you don't need. You can always restore it.

>I downloaded Uniden's BC246T
>software and tried to install it and it failed to install on my computer.
>The install program just hangs and won't install anything, very weird!
>I have Pentium II 266Mhz with 128MB of RAM and 6GB hard drive.

What version of Windows?

4873. Re: K1MAN Says ARRL Response To His W1AW Information Demand Not Satisfactory

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-08 06:36:50 UTC
From: "M." <notvalid@email.add>
Message-ID: <bwQlf.106$e4.55216@sooner.brightok.net>
Source record: 11035
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

In the immortal words of Bugs Bunny: "Wadda Maroon!!"

click-buzz

<netmanager2@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1133993038.323503.4310@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> DAVE SUMNER, K1ZZ, CEO OF ARRL, RESPONDS TO K1MAN's LETTER
>
> December 2, 2005
>
> Glenn A. Baxter
> Long Point Lodge
> Belgrade Lakes, Maine 04918
>
> Dear Mr. Baxter:
>
> This is in response to your email of December 1, 2005 inquiring about
> W1AW operating practices.
>
> W1AW is unique in that it is the only station that operates in
> accordance with the provisions of 97.113(d) of the FCC rules. The
> rules require that the station schedule operations on at least six MF
> and HF amateur bands using reasonable measures to maximize coverage,
> and that a schedule of operating times be published at least 30 days
> in advance.
>
> As W1AW trustee it is my policy that W1AW adhere to the FCC rules.
> The station operates in accordance with the published schedule. The
> W1AW trustee and control operators also take seriously our obligation
> under 97.101(b) to cooperate in selecting transmitting channels and
> in making the most effective use of amateur service frequencies. For
> example, we transmit two brief warning messages prior to the
> commencement of a scheduled transmission as a courtesy to operators
> who may be engaged in communications on the scheduled frequencies
> (Ed. comment: This practice was FIRST used by K1MAN), and we
> deliberately keep our voice bulletin transmissions brief and limited
> in number.
>
> Sincerely,
>
>
>
> (signed)

> David Sumner, K1ZZ
> Trustee, W1AW
>
>
> K1MAN editorial comment:
>
> In other words, 97.113(d) of the FCC rules allows W1AW to pay a
> control operator for a 40 hour work week (pecuniary interest) for
> doing exactly what K1MAN does without any pay whatsoever, and doing it
> infinitely better, thus drawing a $21,000 "fine." W1AW "dumps" on
> existing QSO's every day and K1MAN only when some moron like K3VR
> parks there on frequency in order to be "dumped" on, a criminal
> violation by K3VR of the Goldwater Law. Sumner was a law school drop
> out and Hollingsworth was sick on the day they taught law at law
> school. Sumner's letter is a "get out of jail free" card for K1MAN,
> and Dave will certainly be called as a witess in court along with
> Hollingsworth. Both men are in alleged criminal violation of the
> Goldwater Law as well by failing to discourage interference with K1MAN
> amateur information bulletins:
>
> It is an interesting snub that K1ZZ does not use the call K1MAN in his
> arrogant middle manager mental midget letter, Hi. Eat your heart
> out Dave, AARA, the American Amateur Radio Association, has more class
> than ARRL, the "national association for amateur radio." By the way,
> exactly what is a "radio relay league" anyway?
>
> 73 and GL de K1MAN.
>
> See www.K1MAN.com
>
>
> Dave Sumner, K1ZZ
> ARRL Chief Executive Officer
> American Radio Relay League
> 225 Main Street
> Newington, Conn. 06111
>
> 1 December 2005
>
>
> Dave,
>
> What is W1AW's current policy with regard to its bulletins, and can
> you refer me to the ARRL Board minute which established this policy?
> Specifically, does W1AW listen and/or QRZ each published scheduled
> frequency before transmitting? If the frequency is busy, does W1AW
> QSY, delay, or even terminate the scheduled bulletin?
>
> The policy published in QST that I have found is to stick to the
> published schedule regardless of whether the frequency is busy or not,

> just as is the policy of K1MAN for information bulletins, which is
> also consistent with many years of W1AW operating practice as well.
>
> You will remember that K1MAN was "fined" in 1990 with regard to this
> policy, and my appeal of these "fines" to the full Commission was
> "deep sixed" and thus blocking my further appeals to the D.C. Court of
> Appeals and the United Stated Supreme Court. Also, these "fines"
> were never litigated in Federal District Court here in Maine, and my
> subsequent civil suit to resolve this matter in Federal District Court
> against the FCC was dismissed. My related civil suit in Federal
> District Court against ARRL was also dismissed. My many attempts to
> resolve this matter have thus been repeatedly thwarted. K1MAN was
> then "fined" again regarding this same policy in April of 2005, a full
> fifteen years after the original FCC action, thus creating a
> further legal nightmare for the Commission, of course.
>
> I am a member of ARRL. Thank you for your attention to this request.
>
> Best personal regards. 73.
>
> (signed)
>
> Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., K1MAN
> AARA Executive Director
> IARN Manager
>
>
> cc: W. Riley Hollingsworth, Esq.
> Distribution
>

4874. Re: K1MAN Holiday Message: Blood is Thicker Than Water

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-08 07:01:50 UTC
From: OregonMike <OregonMike@g.ma.i.l.DieSpammers.NOw.com>
Message-ID: <dimfp119og4bu5uo3njgl6ik65bi335na2@4ax.com>
Source record: 11036

And stupid is thicker than dumb?

4875. Re: K1MAN Says ARRL Response To His W1AW Information Demand Not Satisfactory

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-08 07:07:31 UTC
From: OregonMike <OregonMike@g.ma.i.l.DieSpammers.NOw.com>
Message-ID: <urmfp1dghjhgrd18k0210ucrtovbda1bpf@4ax.com>
Source record: 11037

On Wed, 7 Dec 2005 16:17:15 -0800, "Has" <has@ham.net> wrote:

>It's rather pathetic when someone can only their own self-worth by comparing
>himself to the perceived flaws in others.
>
>-Has
>

Well, he is mentally challenged and holding a vendetta. As has been
said, stupid is as K1MAN does.

OM

4876. Adding serial ports to PC notebook

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-08 08:44:44 UTC
From: Hatfield <utility_infielder@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <1134031484.348375.120180@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11038

http://www.cablestogo.com/product.asp?cat%5Fid=3001&sku=30511

I need to connect two radios to my new notebook which has no serial ports, rigs are Icom R75 SW rcvr and RS Pro 75 scanner.

Link above is to a $65 CardBus card with 2 serial ports. Any thoughts if this type of interface might be more compatible to various serial devices than a USB/serial adaptor?

Thanks for any advice...

4877. Re: Adding serial ports to PC notebook

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-08 11:46:29 UTC
From: "Richard Carlson, N9JIG" <usenet@usenet.net>
Message-ID: <usenet-98B449.05462908122005@comcast.dca.giganews.com>
Source record: 11039

In article <1134031484.348375.120180@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>,
"Hatfield" <utility_infielder@earthlink.net> wrote:

> http://www.cablestogo.com/product.asp?cat%5Fid=3001&sku=30511
>
> I need to connect two radios to my new notebook which has no serial
> ports, rigs are Icom R75 SW rcvr and RS Pro 75 scanner.
>
>
> Link above is to a $65 CardBus card with 2 serial ports. Any thoughts
> if this type of interface might be more compatible to various serial
> devices than a USB/serial adaptor?
>
> Thanks for any advice...

I have used the Socket serial port adaptors in my laptops for years and
they work great. They even work with DOS applications such as Trunker.
See http://www.socketcom.com/product/serial.asp for details on them.

They seem to be more compatible with DOS or DOS based applications than
built-in serial ports, probably more to the drivers than anything else.

The card you linked to seems like it should work, I have never used that
one though.

4878. ICOM IC-R5 virtual contro; pannel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-08 16:23:30 UTC
From: Kingjed <gary.johnson99@btopenworld.com>
Message-ID: <1134059010.339471.233390@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11040

Kingjed
Nov 20, 11:41 pm show options

Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner
From: "Kingjed" <gary.johnso...@btopenworld.com> - Find messages by this author
Date: 20 Nov 2005 15:41:06 -0800
Local: Sun, Nov 20 2005 11:41 pm
Subject: Virtual Control Pannel For ICOM IC-R5
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Remove | Report Abuse

Hi All

does anyone know of a Virtual Control Pannel For ICOM IC-R5 or a way to get my scanner sound through to my pc to

i have the scanner and the conecting cable and it all works with the cloning software but i need to make it easy to scan and edit freq and save

also are there any files out there i can literally drag and drop onto my cloning software to say auto add all air frequencies in uk ets

cheers

4879. Re: K1MAN Says ARRL Response To His W1AW Information Demand Not Satisfactory

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-08 16:34:06 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@nospam.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11pgo3q1shangf9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 11041
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

"Has" <has@ham.net> wrote in message
news:itqdneKdOZcR5greRVn-rg@comcast.com...
> It's rather pathetic when someone can only their own self-worth by
> comparing himself to the perceived flaws in others.
>
> -Has
>
>

Has you ignorant crossposting fool. Why do you have to bring this shit back into this group. WE COULD GIVE A FLYING FUCK LESS ABOUT K1MAN SHIT!!!! Do you need some lessons (and this part goes to all the other turds that will blindly follow) on how to use newsgroups and headers? Do you ride the special bus to school?

4880. Re: K1MAN Says ARRL Response To His W1AW Information Demand Not Satisfactory

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-08 17:58:47 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1134064727.902917.277820@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11042
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

DrDeath wrote:
> "Has" <has@ham.net> wrote in message
> news:itqdneKdOZcR5greRVn-rg@comcast.com...
> > It's rather pathetic when someone can only their own self-worth by
> > comparing himself to the perceived flaws in others.
> >
> > -Has
> >
> >
>
>
> Has you ignorant crossposting fool. Why do you have to bring this shit back
> into this group. WE COULD GIVE A FLYING FUCK LESS ABOUT K1MAN SHIT!!!! Do
> you need some lessons (and this part goes to all the other turds that will
> blindly follow) on how to use newsgroups and headers? Do you ride the
> special bus to school?

if you don't care don't read it

4881. Re: K1MAN Says ARRL Response To His W1AW Information Demand Not Satisfactory

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-08 18:00:09 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134064809.158754.120040@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11043
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Not really Lloyd, I was assured today that the content of my websites
is in fact legal.

Todd N9OGL

4882. Re: K1MAN Says ARRL Response To His W1AW Information Demand Not Satisfactory

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-08 18:02:38 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1134064958.270806.129970@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11044
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

N9OGL wrote:
> Not really Lloyd, I was assured today that the content of my websites
> is in fact legal.

ut loyrd (or is it realy stevie or wisman) can't be bothered with
facts they are above such things
>
> Todd N9OGL

4883. Re: K1MAN Says ARRL Response To His W1AW Information Demand Not Satisfactory

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-08 18:10:01 UTC
From: "St. John Smythe" <sinjen@n4vu.com>
Message-ID: <dn9sok$770$1@n4vu2.n4vu.com>
Source record: 11045
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

an Old friend wrote:
> DrDeath wrote:
>>Has you ignorant crossposting fool. Why do you have to bring this shit back
>>into this group. WE COULD GIVE A FLYING FUCK LESS ABOUT K1MAN SHIT!!!! Do
>>you need some lessons (and this part goes to all the other turds that will
>>blindly follow) on how to use newsgroups and headers? Do you ride the
>>special bus to school?
>
> if you don't care don't read it

And for darn sure don't take the bait and respond to it.

--
St. John
Yesterday I was a dog. Today I'm a dog. Tomorrow I'll probably still
be a dog. Sigh! There's so little hope for advancement.
-Snoopy

4884. FS RS pro-95's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-09 01:25:30 UTC
From: K5IN <K5IN@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <e6Odne1Kk_CRQAXeRVn-tQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 11046

I have 2 of these units. Scanner, rubber ducks, battery packs, manuals, boxes as they came from the shack. Digital trunking scanners $80.00 each.

Brian, k5in Olympia Wa

4885. Re: Help with RS Pro-97 and trunking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-09 01:43:18 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <f5ohp1tbsfncoava6n30sj755mue0qqjg3@4ax.com>
Source record: 11047

On Thu, 8 Dec 2005 06:07:33 +0000 (UTC), chesucat <me@privacy.net>
wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:
><snipped some>
>>>I downloaded Uniden's BC246T
>>>software and tried to install it and it failed to install on my computer.
>>>The install program just hangs and won't install anything, very weird!
>>>I have Pentium II 266Mhz with 128MB of RAM and 6GB hard drive.
>AK>What version of Windows?

>Windows 98SE

Uniden's software has known problems with 98. (Actually, Win 98 has
problems, and Uniden's software pushes 98 hard enough to uncover
them.) Failure to install isn't one of the problems, but if you
could install it, you'd have problems anyway, so it's really a moot
point.

4886. Re: ICOM IC-R5 virtual contro; pannel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-09 01:50:53 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <5lohp1dktm6u5vbi2ejjc07a93lflk6jhp@4ax.com>
Source record: 11048

On 8 Dec 2005 08:23:30 -0800, "Kingjed"
<gary.johnson99@btopenworld.com> wrote:

>does anyone know of a Virtual Control Pannel For ICOM IC-R5 or a way to
>get my scanner sound through to my pc to

I don't know about software, but to get the audio into your computer,
just get a mono 3.5mm-3.5mm cable, plug one end into the earphone jack
of the receiver and the other into the line input (or mic input if you
don't have a line input) jack of the computer's sound card.

4887. Pro 97 Signal Stalker????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-09 03:54:23 UTC
From: Tom Hughes <t.hughes@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <Pd7mf.3633$nA2.3350@newssvr22.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 11049

Does this feature really work.

Bought a new 97 two weeks ago, and so far nothing heard on the stalker feature.

tom in tomball.....:-((

4888. Re: Pro 97 Signal Stalker????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-09 12:04:04 UTC
From: Jeff Seale <seale.j@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134129844.110349.274800@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 11050

I've noticed that it works too well sometimes, picking up stuff outside the area I'm searching. Turning on the attenuator fixes that problem.

4889. Pro 97 programming software available?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-09 12:07:02 UTC
From: Jeff Seale <seale.j@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134130021.966066.8020@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10851

Has anyone made programming software available for the Pro97 yet? Will
the Pro95's serial cable work with the Pro97?

4890. Re: K1MAN Says ARRL Response To His W1AW Information Demand Not Satisfactory

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-09 12:07:09 UTC
From: OregonMike <OregonMike@g.ma.i.l.DieSpammers.NOw.com>
Message-ID: <kqsip1pvr4bhlf8qobus91ca1d42qvr6ub@4ax.com>
Source record: 10852

On 8 Dec 2005 09:58:47 -0800, "an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
wrote:

>
>if you don't care don't read it

It's not his fault, really, he's a CB'er :) .

OM

4891. Re: Pro 97 Signal Stalker????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-09 12:08:44 UTC
From: OregonMike <OregonMike@g.ma.i.l.DieSpammers.NOw.com>
Message-ID: <1tsip1pdkid1pa4kf3sjoc38bkr1mvmdk5@4ax.com>
Source record: 10853

On Fri, 09 Dec 2005 03:54:23 GMT, "Tom Hughes"
<t.hughes@sbcglobal.net> wrote:

>Does this feature really work.
>
>Bought a new 97 two weeks ago, and so far nothing heard on the stalker
>feature.
>
>tom in tomball.................:-((
>

I bought one about 2 weeks ago as well but haven't had time to play w/
it much but will try to give it a look over and report back.

OM

4892. Re: Pro 97 Signal Stalker????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-09 13:00:57 UTC
From: Steve Rabinowitz <steve86@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <defmf.1051$n1.892@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 10854

Got one also, nothing heard yet, what is the difference between the signal stalker & special stalker.
"Tom Hughes" <t.hughes@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message news:Pd7mf.3633$nA2.3350@newssvr22.news.prodigy.net...
> Does this feature really work.
>
> Bought a new 97 two weeks ago, and so far nothing heard on the stalker
> feature.
>
> tom in tomball.....:-((
>

4893. Icom or Alinco

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-09 13:12:41 UTC
From: Steve Rabinowitz <steve86@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <dpfmf.1056$n1.685@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 10855

For a receiver besides scanning to listen mainly to shortwave which would be better the Icom R-20 or the Alinco DJ-X2000. Again I would be using it for a portable shortwave receiver more then a radio scanner. I use my Radio Shacks for scanning including trunk tracking.

4894. Re: Pro 97 programming software available?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-09 13:17:44 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <I8mdneJ0IJhlHgTenZ2dnUVZ_sWdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 10856

Yes, Don Starr has Win97 here:
http://www.starrsoft.com/software/win97/default.asp

Jim Springer has Scancat Lite Plus:
http://www.scancat.com/scancat-lite-plus.html

Same cable!

Bill Crocker

"Jeff Seale" <seale.j@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1134130021.966066.8020@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Has anyone made programming software available for the Pro97 yet? Will
> the Pro95's serial cable work with the Pro97?
>

4895. 2 scanners for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-09 15:16:22 UTC
From: "Steven W. Neumann" <wa2fso@neumanntelcom.com>
Message-ID: <adhmf.3892$i1.586@news-wrt-01.rdc-nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 10857
Cross-posted to: alt.binaries.radio-scanner,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

For sale:
1 Pro 2026 wall charger back of set antenna works fine, 100 channels 800 MHz
(no mods I am aware of) .45.00 plus 4.30 USPS priority Shipping

1 BCT-350 Pre Programmed, 50 private channels, instant weather.wall charger
$30.00 Plus 4.30 USPS Priority shipping

wa2fso@neumanntelcom.com

4896. Re: FS RS pro-95's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-09 15:34:49 UTC
From: MnMikew <mnmiikkew@aol.com>
Message-ID: <3vtmgqF17ubvkU1@individual.net>
Source record: 10858

"K5IN" <K5IN@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:e6Odne1Kk_CRQAXeRVn-tQ@comcast.com...
> I have 2 of these units. Scanner, rubber ducks, battery packs, manuals,
> boxes as they came from the shack. Digital trunking scanners $80.00 each.
>
> Brian, k5in Olympia Wa
>
Actually they're analog trunking scanners.

4897. Re: 2 scanners for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-09 18:04:14 UTC
From: "Steven W. Neumann" <wa2fso@neumanntelcom.com>
Message-ID: <yGjmf.3919$i1.1477@news-wrt-01.rdc-nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 10859
Cross-posted to: alt.binaries.radio-scanner,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

sold tnx
"Steven W. Neumann" <wa2fso@neumanntelcom.com> wrote in message
news:adhmf.3892$i1.586@news-wrt-01.rdc-nyc.rr.com...
> For sale:
> 1 Pro 2026 wall charger back of set antenna works fine, 100 channels 800
> MHz (no mods I am aware of) .45.00 plus 4.30 USPS priority Shipping
>
> 1 BCT-350 Pre Programmed, 50 private channels, instant weather.wall
> charger $30.00 Plus 4.30 USPS Priority shipping
>
> wa2fso@neumanntelcom.com
>
>

4898. Re: 40 Mhz mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-09 19:49:11 UTC
From: "roger@rogndeb.us" <roger@rogndeb.us>
Message-ID: <1134157751.511235.165670@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10860

Ok, sounds great. I'll go for it.

4899. Re: 40 Mhz mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-09 19:51:38 UTC
From: "roger@rogndeb.us" <roger@rogndeb.us>
Message-ID: <1134157898.196643.319930@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10861

Also, I did notice that CHP units have roof mounted 6-footers. They are
very thin and you usually do not notice them unless you really look.
Does anyone know the manufacturer of the CHP auto antennas ?

4900. Re: Listen to scanners.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-09 21:19:07 UTC
From: Dana <dana@panix.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.NEB.4.63.0512091618540.28154@panix2.panix.com>
Source record: 10862
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I will give that a try, thanks.

On Sat, 12 Nov 2005, jio@wqa.org wrote:

> In article <Pine.NEB.4.63.0511120148300.12602@panix1.panix.com>,
> dana@panix.com says...
>> Can someone send me a link to listen to a scanner over the internet? I am
>> looking for a stream that will work with winamp or windows media player.
>> They work best with a screen reader, thanks.
>>
>>
> Try http://www.policescan.us/
>

4901. Re: Listen to scanners.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-09 21:40:09 UTC
From: Dana <dana@panix.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.NEB.4.63.0512091639430.28154@panix2.panix.com>
Source record: 10863
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Well, thanks for all the responses

On Sat, 12 Nov 2005, Al Klein wrote:

> On Sat, 12 Nov 2005 01:50:22 -0500, Dana <dana@panix.com> said in
> alt.radio.scanner:
>
>> Can someone send me a link to listen to a scanner over the internet? I am
>> looking for a stream that will work with winamp or windows media player.
>> They work best with a screen reader, thanks.
>
> A little self-promotion:
> http://suffolkscanner.redirectme.net:8000/stream
>
> It's a Winamp stream.
>

4902. Re: Uniden BC-60 xlt repair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-09 21:46:21 UTC
From: Hog'r <hoggerCAPS@soundrail.com>
Message-ID: <6oujp11lhnuig99fk73ffeka27acmf50bm@4ax.com>
Source record: 10864

Yup, volume has control.

On Tue, 06 Dec 2005 23:21:32 -0500, Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
wrote:

>On Tue, 06 Dec 2005 17:57:39 GMT, Hog'r <hoggerCAPS@soundrail.com>
>wrote:
>
>>Nope, cleared all the freq's but still can't adjust static away using
>>squelch.
>
>Does it go away if you turn the volume down?
>
>If it does, the problem is between the squelch point in the audio and
>the volume control (not much circuitry there). If it doesn't it's
>between the volume control and the speaker.

4903. Re: K1MAN Says ARRL Response To His W1AW Information Demand Not Satisfactory

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-09 22:26:29 UTC
From: The Farting Virgin Mary of Southpark <lehighcows40@amishserialkillers.com>
Message-ID: <85216$439a12ad$d1cc45f3$11442@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 10865
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

THE BOTTOM LINE: ---> K1MAN is a -FUCKING LOON- who needs
to be sequestered inside a padded cell in the State nuthouse and fed a diet
of
rice, applesauce, McDonalds chicken nuggets and Prozac. (..in that order)

4904. Re: Adding serial ports to PC notebook

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-10 00:27:58 UTC
From: Hatfield <utility_infielder@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <1134174478.148878.253740@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10866

http://store.yahoo.com/buyextras/syseatapcca.html

Above is a Syba branded, CardBus to Serial-ATA 2-port host adapter
under $25 and looks like this store has 30 day return policy (15%
restocking fee).

Has anybody around here tried one of these?

By the way the Cables to go product I mentioned on the first message is
for sale at TigerDirect for $50...

All real SWL's are cheapstakes, e.g. myself....

4905. Re: Adding serial ports to PC notebook

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-10 03:13:33 UTC
From: Hatfield <utility_infielder@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <1134184412.993881.17100@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10867

My apologizes for confusing a HD serial ATA port with a RS-232 port :*(. So, to now post more sensibly I will quote Bob Sillett who created the freeware SWLog (logging/radio control for HF rcvrs) recommends as follows in his FAQ:

" It is getting more and more difficult to find a computer with a real serial port. Many times a USB-to-Serial adapter needs to be used. If you currently have a USB-to-Serial adapter and it works for you, great! But if you are looking for an adapter, we recommend the IOgear GUC232A adapter. This adapter works very well and it also fully works with the Sysinternals Portmon serial monitor tool, which is a great tool for troubleshooting. Plus the IOgear adapter is only $25 or so and, as of Winter 2005, can be found in pretty much every Wal-Mart."

Incidentally Sillett's page is:

http://www.shortwavelog.com/default-english.html

4906. AB8MQ is in denial again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-10 06:17:36 UTC
From: Who said I was Roger? <NotRoger@stalkingLloyd.com>
Message-ID: <dndrp4$3g2$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 10868
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

>
>
^^
> ^^^^
> Who said anything about a fine, Roger?

Who said I was Roger, dumbass? You see him behind every post, dumbass.

>We know Riley didn't fine you.

Last time I checked, I wasn't Roger, either. Looks like you fucked up
as usual.

> He merely warned you in writing about malicious interference and mandated
(not
> 'invited') that you retest.

But no reason was given why Wiseman had to retest, stupid. Learn to
read.
....
To show reason, "Not Roger", read below. (taken from a Hollingsworth letter
to you)

"citing evidence that the licensee had been "deliberately and maliciously
interfering with the radio operations of other licensed amateurs" on 20
meters. "This interference has occurred at...."

Color it what you will Woger, but a pig in the poke is still a pig.
Learn to read, Pig.

4907. Re: Pro 97 programming software available?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-10 13:00:51 UTC
From: "3ddaniels@gmail.com" <3ddaniels@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134219651.271091.177320@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10869

Don Starr for Pro-97 named "Win97".....
go to ....."http://www.starrsoft.com/software/win97/default.asp"
Are you familiar with the yahoo groups about Pro-97 or the starrsoft
ware?? Contact me if you need further info..
David D.

4908. USA - Official Websites That List Radio Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-10 14:41:08 UTC
From: zerg90 <zerg90@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134225668.099318.223800@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10870

I updated this file today.

I keep this file at www.yahoogroups.com/group/sonofrcma

Peter S

file created 17 Aug 2004

Official Websites that list their Radio Frequencies

Los Angeles City FD

Los Angeles County CA FD

Boulder County CO -
http://www.co.boulder.co.us/sheriff/com/live_radio.htm - 17 Aug 2004
(have a online scanner feed also)

Philadelphia PA PD did have their 453 Mhz freqs online in ?2003?

Las Vegas NV PD - ?had freqs online in ?2003? - ?lvmpd.com or lvpd.com?

- 11 Oct 2004 - Source:
www.co.st-johns.fl.us/const-officers/sheriff/radio.htm
- they have a live scanner online ; and they list the channels and
areas - but not the freqs

[01 Nov 2004 - WHY IS THE ST JOHN FL INFO MERGED WITH THE LAS VEGAS PD
INFO? - ps]

FD in suburban Philly - ?in delaware County or Montgomery County -
listed all sorts of freqs - and gor in trouble a little bit - ?2003?

At least one city or county even listed some talkgroups - who was that?

- Portland OR maybe? - maybe Washington County OR?

Charlottesville VA FD and EMS - list freqs and has live scaner feed

Florida Highway Patrol - 03 Sept 2004 - they dont list freqs
apparently, but they do list dispatch codes and traffic signals - plus
they have online realtime listings of accidents

11 Oct 2004 -
http://www.srvfpd.dst.ca.us/organization/communications.htm - online
CAD + online live scanner feeds + freqs + photos - San Ramon Valley FD
in Contra Costa County in California

16 Oct 2004 - Palmer MA FD 159.?435 - ?Washington Fire District NY Long
Island 46Mhz and 153 mhz

18 Oct 2004 - www.pewaukeefire.com in Wisconsin lists freqs -
www.fleetwoodfire.com in Berks County PA lists freqs and has a
online scanner - www.station60.com in Laurel Springs NJ lists freqs

01 Oct 2004 - Cambridge MA FD lists 154.355 854.4875 852.2625 - New
Haven CT FD lists radio freqs, radio IDs, and Signal codes - Cambridge
FD also has a complete detailed roster of all rigs

21 Nov 2004 - Pittsylvania County VA 911 has freqs and scanner feed

17 Dec 2004 - Plymouth County Sheriff in MA - website lists all police
and fire freqs in the county - (?info is all gone - July 2005?)

23 Dec 2004 - Surry NH PD - 155.07 - codes - callsigns

18 Jan 2005 - Lexington MA FD + Peterboro NH FD
+ www.santamonicafire.org in CA
+ Port Washington FD in NY www.pwfd.com (?listed above?)

29 Jan 2005 - Wildwood Crest VFD in NJ -
http://www.crestfire.com/mainpage.htm - see county listings for the
info

---

29 Jan 2005 - Ocean City Maryland -
http://www.ocvfc.com/communications.htm

The Ocean City Public Safety Communications Center handles all
emergency calls for service for the Ocean City Volunteer Fire Company.
www.ocemergency.com
Located at 65th St and Coastal Hwy on the bayside, the Enhanced 911
center operates an M/A Com Wireless (Formerly Com-Net Ericsson/GE)
trunked 800 MHz analog and digital EDACS (Enhanced Digital Access
Communications Systems) radio system.

We are alerted through Motorola Minitor III VHF 2 channel voice pagers,
portable and mobile EDACS radios. We use the M-RK I, M-RK II Scan,
LPE-200, and MDX radios.

Several members of the Ocean City Volunteer Fire Company are also fire
dispatchers for the communications center which provides great
communication between the organizations.

Simulcast Frequencies:

158.895 Fire Dispatch (Channel 1)
154.085 Fire Ops 2 (Channel 2)
154.025 Trooper (Channel 5)
157.150 Coast Guard (Channel 6)
046.380 Worcester (Channel 7)
453.225 Sussex (Channel 11)

Trunked EDACS Frequencies:

859.9875 853.9625 855.2375 860.9875 856.7375 857.7375
858.7375 859.7375 860.7375 856.2375 857.2375

Copyright 1998-2004 Ocean City Volunteer Fire Company Inc. All rights
reserved

CT - Monroe VFD - 45.08 Dispatch - 458.7875 fireground
- per the front page of their website - 02 April 2005

CA - I think that Kern County lists their radio freqs also
- 02 April 2005 - yes correct I saw the info there the other day
- the old freqs - 5 May 2005

---

5 May 2005 update

There are many official public safety websites across the USA that list
their radio frequencies. Here are a few more that I have come across.

Gaston County North Carolina
http://www.co.gaston.nc.us/gaston911/scanner.html

, Garden City GA FD http://www.garden-city-fire-dept.org/page3.htm ,

Central Jackson MO FD http://www.cjcfpd.org/freq.htm ,

Buckeye Arizona FD http://www.buckeyeaz.gov/fire/Dispatch.htm ,

Elsmere NY FD http://www.elsmerefire.org/html/radio.shtml ,

Amarillo Texas http://www.ci.amarillo.tx.us/departments/dem/radio.htm
(this list will be going into Scanning USA magazine)

Lakes region NH Fire lists their freqs - and I think that SWNH Fire
dispatch lists their freqs also

July 2005 - Northampton County PA 911 and Carbon County PA
911 both list their freqs (Carbon County even lists the
police freqs)

July 22, 2005 - Staunton VA Rescue Squad website lists lots of freqs -
police, fire, and EMS - www.rescue5.com

July 25, 2005 - here are more agencies that list their radio freqs on
their websites - www.lrfr.org Lane oregon FD - www.longviewfire.org
Longview NC - www.cantonfire.org Pennsylvania -
http://members.aol.com/lmtnrescue/squad4.html Little mtn Rescue in
Newberry County SC - Boone County Missouri FD has a media guide online

with all the freqs, rigs, stations, terminology, etc

July 26 2005 - we can add www.mitchell911.com Mitchell Co NC - www.co.stanly.nc.us/Departments/011 Stanly Co NC - www.sheriff.co.wise.tx.us/scanner.htm Wise Co TX - Mountain Grove MO FD - Aspen Colorado 911 (good info - includes channel names and uses)

---

29 July 2005 - Wake County NC has a extensive document for scannerists on their website - it lists freqs and talkgroups - and tells you what scanners are available, how much they costs, and where to buy them. Shortcut to: http://www.wakegov.com/NR/rdonlyres/0B967E90-52F4-4DA8-86F4-EFB5FDCB79B9/7744/PS800MHzScannerGuide04.pdf

---

31 July 2005 - www.wlfd.com - Windsor Locks CT FD - has scanner feed with list of freqs - also has a seperate page with a list of freqs - police, fire, amb, buses, dpw, etc - I think they used to have a SOP online with more freqs and channels - Dec 2005 - the SOP is still online and it still shows lots of freqs

---

04 Aug 2005 - Montgomery County PA - the county website lists ALL of the county radio freqs (800 and other) - they also list (all) of the talkgroups for the TRS - maybe they leave out secret freqs and TGs (undercover or SWAT)

---

12 Aug 2005 - Golder Ranch AZ FD website has their radio channels, freqs, usage - and radio ID series for various FDs

12 Aug 2005 - Bushnell's Basin FD NY website lists freqs, rigs numbers, channels, primary and secondary channel usage procedure

---

14 Oct 2005 - http://www.ci.mesa.az.us/police/communications/technology.asp (click the links on the left for freqs and codes)

---

20 Nov 2005 - add Valley Shore CT 911 + Cambria County PA (with link to Dan Ruhe's scanner website) (PD and FD freqs) + Brooktrail CA FD + Champaign IL area (?metcad) (PD and FD freqs)

Lancaster County Pennsylvania - Dec 2005 - has tons of freqs and radio SOPs - they also have minutes of high level meetings - OpenSky sites are still being built

Hackensack NJ FD lists freqs on their website - Dec 2005

Fort Detrick MD FD lists their freq at 153.845 - Dec 2005

Garret County Maryland lists their freqs - Dec 2005

Hyattsville VFD in Maryland lists their freqs on their website - Dec 2005

Prince Georges County MD FD website had a info message in Nov 2005 listing their new freqs

There are some US military documents online that list radio freqs for firing ranges - Dec 2005

==========

file created 17 Aug 2004

updated - 11 oct 2004 - 16 Oct 2004 - 18 Oct 2004 - 01 Nov 2004 - 23 Dec 2004 - 18 Jan 2005 - 29 Jan 2005 - 02 April 2005 - 5 May 2005 - 3 July 2005 - 22 July 2005 - 24 July 2005 - 26 July 2005 - 29 July 2005 - 4 Aug 2005 - 14 Oct 2005 (Mesa AZ) - 20 Nov 2005 - 10 Dec 2005

4909. Re: Listen to scanners.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-10 19:52:55 UTC
From: Dana <dana@panix.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.NEB.4.63.0512101452280.16627@panix3.panix.com>
Source record: 10871
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

O.k. I will give that web site a try also. Thanks for the responses.

On Sun, 4 Dec 2005, Rob wrote:

> http://www.scannerfeeds.us/
>
> "Dana" <dana@panix.com> wrote in message
> news:Pine.NEB.4.63.0511120148300.12602@panix1.panix.com...
>> Can someone send me a link to listen to a scanner over the internet? I am
>> looking for a stream that will work with winamp or windows media player.
>> They work best with a screen reader, thanks.
>>
>
>
>

4910. Reset CPU.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-10 20:23:35 UTC
From: jerrywz <jerrywz@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <aPGmf.22364$L7.20983@fe12.lga>
Source record: 10872

Anyone know how to reset the CPU in a BC796D? I want to clear all data and reset to default settings.

4911. Re: Icom or Alinco

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-10 20:31:46 UTC
From: Nuno Ferrao <nunoferrao@netcabo.pt>
Message-ID: <1134245173.25869@localhost>
Source record: 10873

r20
"Steve Rabinowitz" <steve86@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:dpfmf.1056$n1.685@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> For a receiver besides scanning to listen mainly to shortwave which would
> be better the Icom R-20 or the Alinco DJ-X2000. Again I would be using it
> for a portable shortwave receiver more then a radio scanner. I use my
> Radio Shacks for scanning including trunk tracking.
>

4912. Re: Reset CPU.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-11 01:23:16 UTC
From: mikeFNB <mikefnb@moc.dlrowltn>
Message-ID: <8cLmf.2844$XZ6.383@newsfe1-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 10874

try a search engine!

http://www.grove-ent.com/bc796d.html

mike

"jerrywz" <jerrywz@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:aPGmf.22364$L7.20983@fe12.lga...
> Anyone know how to reset the CPU in a BC796D? I want to clear all data and
> reset to default settings.
>
>

4913. Re: Pro 97 programming software available?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-11 04:03:04 UTC
From: Jeff Seale <seale.j@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134270896.455479.298790@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10875

Wunderbar! I needed to get this so I can program the Portland, OR area stuff into my Pro97 in time for my annual Christmas trip there. It's alot easier that way. I also dump the Portland, OR related data from my Police Call CD into my PDA for reference.

4914. wtb 2 RS Pro 95 scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-11 04:48:50 UTC
From: static <amoperu@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <1134276529.944515.146920@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10876

looking for 2 for Xmas presents to see if i can interest my brother and
a friend in scanning.

Please let me know what you have, as you can tell that since i am
seeking older models i am looking for a deal! Thanks, you may contact
direct at:
amoperu@bellsouth.net

phil, SC

4915. Re: "Klingenfuss 2005/2006 Guide to Utility Radio Stations" Thopughts On ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-11 06:33:25 UTC
From: "David L. Wilson" <dwilson314@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <DuqdnX2mhq1cWgbenZ2dnUVZ_s6dnZ2d@adelphia.com>
Source record: 10877

"Robert11" <rgsros@notme.com> wrote in message
news:bcWdnVrcq8Lp2AnenZ2dnUVZ_sOdnZ2d@comcast.com...
> Hello:
>
> Anyone have any thoughts on the:
>
> "Klingenfuss 2005/2006 Guide to Utility Radio Stations
> Worldwide HF Communication Today" ?
>
> It's fairly pricey, and would sure like to get a few opinions on.
>
> - "worth" it ?
> - accurate ?
>
> BTW: is it avail from anyone directly in the U.S. ?

Both Grove and Universal sell it. Accurate but a little more of a European focus as to what can be heard. Been around with yearly for more than 20 years.

4916. Anyone have an old database to help me look up an expired call sign? KU5588

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-11 13:11:36 UTC
From: John <jlwsecure-google@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134306696.913275.223330@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10878
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I'm trying to find an expired call sign. If anyone has an old FCC database, I'd appreciate someone looking up the call sign KU5588.

This call sign is accessing a local GMRS repeater. I assume the person's license is expired. If so, they no longer have GMRS operating priveleges and need reported to the FCC for violations.

4917. Re: Anyone have an old database to help me look up an expired call sign? KU5588

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-11 14:32:03 UTC
From: elephant <elephant@the-zoo.com>
Message-ID: <439C3863.4D00@the-zoo.com>
Source record: 10879
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

John wrote:
>
> I'm trying to find an expired call sign. If anyone has an old FCC
> database, I'd appreciate someone looking up the call sign KU5588.
>
> This call sign is accessing a local GMRS repeater. I assume the
> person's license is expired. If so, they no longer have GMRS operating
> priveleges and need reported to the FCC for violations.

I tried a google search for the call sign and came up with nothing.
Then I tried the call sign for my old CB FCC call sign. Still nothing.
Then, I put in an obscure call sign. Its a call sign for a micro-wave,
studio to transmitter link for a commercial station. Wallaaa! Tons
of information.

That doesn't mean that KU5588 doesn't exist, just that google can't
find it. But all the obscure call signs I put in that I know are good,
google found them. Maybe a co-winkidink.

4918. scanner crystal swap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-11 16:04:24 UTC
From: wboas@nyx.net
Message-ID: <Pine.SUN.3.96.1051211090252.27572B-100000@nyx>
Source record: 10880

I have some unneeded scanner crystals I would like to swap for some
I need.

Here's the crystals I have:
(IF = 10.7 (Realistic)

453.100
453.450
453.600
453.775
453.975
460.350 (2 of them)
154.310
155.341
155.280

Looking for:
(with IF = 10.7 for Realistic)

146.520
162.550
for starters . . .

What have you?

Bill
wboas@nyx.net

4919. Re: Anyone have an old database to help me look up an expired call sign? KU5588

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-11 18:49:12 UTC
From: John <jlwsecure-usenet5@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns97298C2DDA100jlwsecure@207.115.17.102>
Source record: 10881
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

elephant <elephant@the-zoo.com> wrote in news:439C3863.4D00@the-zoo.com:

>
> I tried a google search for the call sign and came up with nothing.
> Then I tried the call sign for my old CB FCC call sign. Still nothing.
> Then, I put in an obscure call sign. Its a call sign for a micro-wave,
> studio to transmitter link for a commercial station. Wallaaa! Tons
> of information.
>
> That doesn't mean that KU5588 doesn't exist, just that google can't
> find it. But all the obscure call signs I put in that I know are good,
> google found them. Maybe a co-winkidink.
>

I've tried 6 ways to sunday too. I'll probably just send in a complaint to
the FCC with what I have, and if they can fill in the blanks, then good.
Otherwise I'll just have to let it go.

4920. Re: Anyone have an old database to help me look up an expired call sign? KU5588

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-11 19:25:19 UTC
From: nitespark <nitespark@cox.net>
Message-ID: <U2%mf.17152$Mi5.7494@dukeread07>
Source record: 10882
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

John wrote:
> I'm trying to find an expired call sign. If anyone has an old FCC
> database, I'd appreciate someone looking up the call sign KU5588.
>
> This call sign is accessing a local GMRS repeater. I assume the
> person's license is expired. If so, they no longer have GMRS operating
> priveleges and need reported to the FCC for violations.
>
Even if you located information on who was licensed as KU5588, that doesn't mean they couldn't have sold the equipment to someone else. It could have easily passed through several hands by now.

Expect to be underwhelmed with an FCC response.

My suggestion would be to change PL or possibly to DPL.

--
I have never met a liberal street cop.

4921. Re: Anyone have an old database to help me look up an expired call sign? KU5588

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-11 21:18:30 UTC
From: John <jlwsecure-usenet5@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9729A57E3518Cjlwsecure@207.115.17.102>
Source record: 10883
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

nitespark <nitespark@cox.net> wrote in news:U2%mf.17152$Mi5.7494
@dukeread07:

>>
> Even if you located information on who was licensed as KU5588, that
> doesn't mean they couldn't have sold the equipment to someone else. It
> could have easily passed through several hands by now.
>
They are IDing in voice. I am assuming they own the repeater.

4922. Re: Anyone have an old database to help me look up an expired call sign? KU5588

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-11 21:40:40 UTC
From: nitespark <nitespark@cox.net>
Message-ID: <O11nf.17161$Mi5.3715@dukeread07>
Source record: 10884
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

John wrote:
> nitespark <nitespark@cox.net> wrote in news:U2%mf.17152$Mi5.7494
> @dukeread07:
>
>
>>Even if you located information on who was licensed as KU5588, that
>>doesn't mean they couldn't have sold the equipment to someone else. It
>>could have easily passed through several hands by now.
>>
>
> They are IDing in voice. I am assuming they own the repeater.

They could have made up the call or the system may have had a sticker or marking on it with that call sign that was never taken off and the current users just thought they could assume that call.

Are they aware of your presence on the frequency. IOW, when you say something will there conversation indicate they heard what you said?

They may have PL or DPL on their system and have no idea you are on the frequency.

Listen to their conversations and take notes. Often times, they will reveal information about themselves such as phone numbers, names, locations, etc.

--
I have never met a liberal street cop.

4923. Re: Anyone have an old database to help me look up an expired call sign? KU5588

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-12 00:09:13 UTC
From: **THE-RFI-EMI-GUY** <rhyolite@nettally.com>
Message-ID: <Jc3nf.5014$md.257@tornado.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 10885
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

John;
Send me an e-ail with the frequency and the City. I have the PRSG red national repeater guide from1997. If the call is in there I will let you know. By the way, I think something is wrong with the number. Usually they are like KU/_X_/5588. X being a missing letter in the prefix.
You could also ask the PRSG (google his website) if he has old records of callsigns. I bet he does.
Joe

John wrote:

>I'm trying to find an expired call sign. If anyone has an old FCC
>database, I'd appreciate someone looking up the call sign KU5588.
>
>This call sign is accessing a local GMRS repeater. I assume the
>person's license is expired. If so, they no longer have GMRS operating
>priveleges and need reported to the FCC for violations.
>
>
>

--
Joe Leikhim K4SAT
"The RFI-EMI-GUY"

"Follow The Money"

4924. judge recognizes cell phones and radios as same thing. in effect, outlaws using all mobile transcievers in the U.S.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-12 00:25:32 UTC
From: scammedbyohio@wmconnect.com
Message-ID: <1134347132.848281.232190@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10886
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

think the ECPA contradicts itself in several places, but that subject is for another post.

As for this post, all of you who wanted the government to recognize cell phone transmissions and radio transmissions as the same thing (and that includes me, so no offense meant to you all) have finally gotten their wish which proves the old saying

"Be careful what you wish for. It just might come true."

A judge has finally recognized cell phone transmissions and radio transmissions as the same thing and in so doing effectively ruled that all mobile radio transcievers are now against the law in the U.S.

And it seems that the federal law that says it takees precedence over all local and state laws contrary to using a ham radio while mobile, and exempts all hams from any local or state laws against using a mobile ham radio while mobile, didn't do any good either,and didn't protect the ham, as the ham operator was still ruled guilty by the judge. It seems it didn't protect him from anything.

Here's the story: A ham radio operator was talking on his mobile ham radio rig while mobile, so a cop pulled him over under the cell phone law for using his "cell phone" while driving. (since to use the radio, the ham had to have one hand on the microphone instead of both hands on the steering wheel).

The ham fought it in court, and the radio was described exactly to the judge.

After hearing about how the radio actually was, the judge ruled that a mobile ham radio is still similar enough to a cell phone that it's against the law to use one while driving and that as such, the ham is indeed still guilty.

Now, since the judge ruled that, and mobile transcievers are the same way, you have to have one hand on the microphone instead of both hands on the steering wheel, the judge's ruling, in effect, also outlaws using all mobile CB radio transcievers in the U.S.

So why doesn't the cop who pulled the ham over, and the judge, go after the FCC for illegally ruling and passing illegal radios as completely legal for the public to use in the U.S.?

Or after the manufacturers for fraudently selling illegal radios to consumers as legal to use in the U.S.

or after the FCC for fraudently and illegally approving these illegal radios to fraudently be sold as legal for thre public to use in the U.S.?

(Yet the same cop who pulled the ham over is probably allowed to use the same type of radio. one with a hand-hed microphone).

Here's the source of the story:

Source: This Week in Amateur Radio
Categories: Amateur Radio News

17:45

Ham Operator ticketed for using his ''cell phone''

Joey Hernandez, W2JLH, was issued a citation for operating his amateur radio while mobile. He went to court where the judge presiding had to consult a law book regarding the issue, and when the radio was described to him, he pronounced a guilty verdict to Mr. Hernandez, saying that the description of the use of the radio was too similar to the use of a cell phone and therefore he was guilty as charged. More on

this issue can be found at the linked URL.
Source: This Week in Amateur Radio
Categories: Amateur Radio News

4925. Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-12 00:30:08 UTC
From: Earl J <mrekj@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <C-qdnYzV_7sOWQHeRVn-sg@comcast.com>
Source record: 10887

Is there any place left online to get free frequencies? The places I used
to use all seem to be pay sites now.
I'm looking for police and fire for Edmonds WA. Thanks for any help!

4926. Re: Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-12 00:59:05 UTC
From: OregonMike <OregonMike@g.ma.i.l.DieSpammers.NOw.com>
Message-ID: <4lipp15p577h68170mmrvfeq35lr9rr8u7@4ax.com>
Source record: 10888

On Sun, 11 Dec 2005 16:30:08 -0800, "Earl J" <mrekj@comcast.net>
wrote:

>Is there any place left online to get free frequencies? The places I used
>to use all seem to be pay sites now.
>I'm looking for police and fire for Edmonds WA. Thanks for any help!
>

Have you tried 155.0250 ?

Anyway, keep plugging away w/ google. You're probably just not typing
in the right search queries.

I found these sites with some Puget Sound PD freqs.

http://www.totse.com/en/media/radio_scanner_frequency_lists/freq.html
http://www.northwestradio.com/interceptnw/scpd.htm
http://www.textfiles.com/hamradio/FREQUENCIES/pugetsnd.ham

Found this too:

Edmonds, Lynnwood, Mountlake Terrace, Woodway, Brier
These cities are dispatched by "SnoCom".
Tac-1: 155.025 (127.3) Main dispatch
Tac-2: 155.820 (127.3) Car-car
Tac-3: 155.145 (127.3) Lynnwood dispatch (nights/weekends)
Tac-4: 155.370 LERN
Tac-5: 158.925 (127.3) Edmonds city freq, used by Edmonds for
car-car sometimes.
Tac-14: 155.475 NLEEC used by Brier for car-car sometimes
Tac-?: 156.135 OSCCR past use by Mountlake Terrace for
car-car
and by Edmonds narcotics units.

'Edward' units = Edmonds
'Lincoln' units = Lynnwood
'Tom' units = Mountlake Terrace
'Boy' units = Brier
'William' units = Woodway
'Nora' units = narcotics

SnoCom codes:
10-3 use tac-2
10-6 busy
10-8 clear (+ disposition code)
10-9 repeat
10-11 out of car on portable radio

10-19 enroute
10-15 juvenile in custody
10-21 phone call
10-22 disregard
10-28 check plate for owner name & address + vehicle type
10-29 check plate for stolen/wants
10-47 arrived
10-49 tow truck
10-51 medical examiner
10-60 bomb threat
10-84 stolen vehicle
"F Frank" felony warrant
"M Mary" misdemeanor warrant
"Code 1" request routine backup
"Code 2" request immediate backup
"Code 3" officer needs help
"Code 4" status ok
"yellow card" drugs

10-8 disposition codes:
B clear, contact made, no case report taken
D1 Traffic ticket
D2 Written warning
D3 Verbal warning
D4 FIR card (Field Information Report)
G suspect/situation gone when police arrived
P Patrol information
R request case number
U unfounded

4927. Re: Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-12 01:13:20 UTC
From: Skuby <skypilot49@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <8ljpp1d967aq5k1h4u74aiqu15fqfck72k@4ax.com>
Source record: 10889

"Earl J" <mrekj@comcast.net> pounded on the keyboard and wrote:

>Is there any place left online to get free frequencies? The places I used
>to use all seem to be pay sites now.
>I'm looking for police and fire for Edmonds WA. Thanks for any help!
>

http://radioreference.com/
--

Brian J. Rueger | Hampton Div. of Fire & Rescue | "Who dares wins"
Capt/Paramedic | Fire Station #5 | Hampton, VA.
B.S. Comm/I/SEL Pilot | MSgt, USAF (Ret.) 49199 | NREMT-P
Check out my home page: http://members.cox.net/brueger
Some of my photography: http://www.usefilm.com/photographer/34185.html
"Life's too short to drink LITE beer!"

4928. Re: Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-12 03:11:26 UTC
From: Hamguy <hamguy@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <yT5nf.28340$ME5.26152@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 10890

Try www.cityfreq.com

"Earl J" <mrekj@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:C-qdnYzV_7sOWQHeRVn-sg@comcast.com...
> Is there any place left online to get free frequencies? The places I used
> to use all seem to be pay sites now.
> I'm looking for police and fire for Edmonds WA. Thanks for any help!
>

4929. Re: judge recognizes cell phones and radios as same thing. in effect, outlaws using all mobile transcievers in the U.S.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-12 07:30:55 UTC
From: bradvk2qq <bradvk2qq@w6ir.com>
Message-ID: <1134372655.023309.289330@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10891
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

A lot of fuss was made of this on eHam or something, big screaming headline about Ham Radio now being illegal.

It isn't illegal, Hams just need to be smarter. A law preventing the use of any hand microphone was passed many years ago in the state of Victoria. VK3's simply use boom mics or headset mics.

A similar law exists in VK1, where I was told to make sure I was not being obvious with the use of my hand mic, if there was a police car in the vicinity.

Brad VK2QQ

It's not rocket science.

4930. Re: Reset CPU.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-12 22:31:48 UTC
From: cam lowes <lowesc1@cox.net>
Message-ID: <FSmnf.60014$sg5.19951@dukeread12>
Source record: 10892

Press and hold the 2, 9 and MAN keys simultaneously while turning on the
scanner.

"mikeFNB" <mikefnb@moc.dlrowltn> wrote in message
news:8cLmf.2844$XZ6.383@newsfe1-gui.ntli.net...
> try a search engine!
>
> http://www.grove-ent.com/bc796d.html
>
> mike
>
> "jerrywz" <jerrywz@optonline.net> wrote in message
> news:aPGmf.22364$L7.20983@fe12.lga...
>> Anyone know how to reset the CPU in a BC796D? I want to clear all data
>> and
>> reset to default settings.
>>
>>
>
>

4931. BC796D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-12 23:02:19 UTC
From: jerrywz <jerrywz@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <1knnf.30736$L7.945@fe12.lga>
Source record: 10893

I"m confused on programing a trunking freq.I don't know in what order i enter the base, spacing, offset and the primary freq. And how to enter it in the band and channel. I know once i do it i will be fine. But can't seem to get it working right yet. Thanks for any help

4932. Thanks for the help guys.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-13 00:27:07 UTC
From: jerrywz <jerrywz@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <qXonf.31238$L7.12269@fe12.lga>
Source record: 10894

[No readable article body recovered]

4933. Re: Anyone have an old database to help me look up an expired call sign? KU5588

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-13 01:43:12 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <oo9sp1540ifj17fa36fekgu0ucj1eo8vdg@4ax.com>
Source record: 10895
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Sun, 11 Dec 2005 14:25:19 -0500, nitespark <nitespark@cox.net>
wrote:

>I have never met a liberal street cop.

You just have too small a circle of acquaintences. :)

4934. Re: judge recognizes cell phones and radios as same thing. in effect, outlaws using all mobile transcievers in the U.S.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-13 02:04:51 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <bt9sp19gkn20m1eduuidu9emua4jf707kh@4ax.com>
Source record: 10896
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On 11 Dec 2005 23:30:55 -0800, "bradvk2qq" <bradvk2qq@w6ir.com> wrote:

1) The law in NY *specifically states* that the device in question be connected to the public switched telephone network. The ruling is appealable on that ground alone.

""Wireless telephone service" shall mean two-way real time voice telecommunications service that is interconnected to a public switched telephone network and is provided by a commercial mobile radio service, as such term is defined by 47 C.F.R. S 20.3."

Most hams operate simplex, even when using a phone patch, so the law doesn't apply, as written. (New York law requires pleas and convictions on summonses *as written*, not as someone wants things to be. "The law doesn't say exactly what it has to say for the ticket you wrote to be upheld? Tough. Next time, write it properly." - me, to a cop who complained to me, his boss, about a ticket that got thrown out.)

2) The law in NY *specifically states* that the device in question be held to tone's ear. He held it to his mouth?. Appealable on that ground alone.

"'Using' shall mean holding a mobile telephone to, or in the immediate proximity of, the user's ear. "

Pretty stupid, holding a mic to your ear. "There better be music coming out of that thing."

Try these:

"'Engage in a call' shall mean talking into or listening on a hand-held mobile telephone ..."

"(a) Except as otherwise provided in this section, no person shall operate a motor vehicle upon a public highway while using a mobile telephone to engage in a call while such vehicle is in motion. (b) An operator of a motor vehicle who holds a mobile telephone to, or in the immediate proximity of his or her ear while such vehicle is in motion is presumed to be engaging in a call within the meaning of this section. The presumption established by this subdivision is rebuttable by evidence tending to show that the operator was not engaged in a call."

It doesn't seem as if either the spirit or the letter of the law were violated. It seems that an overly-inflated ego decided to throw his pork around.

Traffic tickets in NY are adjudicated by an administrative adjudication office, and the officiating person is a hearing officer, not a judge. Such rulings aren't even precedential in the office in which they were issued. A hearing officer can contradict his ruling in his very next ruling, and the fact that he just ruled the opposite isn't relevant.

I hope the guy appeals the case. It won't look too good for the hearing officer when the DA decides to not fight it.

4935. Re: BC796D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-13 02:08:24 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <75bsp1l4i7cpjt1afku0d0mcecqrias7vt@4ax.com>
Source record: 10897

On Mon, 12 Dec 2005 18:02:19 -0500, "jerrywz" <jerrywz@optonline.net>
wrote:

>I"m confused on programing a trunking freq.I don't know in what order i
>enter the base, spacing, offset and the primary freq. And how to enter it in
>the band and channel. I know once i do it i will be fine. But can't seem to
>get it working right yet. Thanks for any help

Find the system you want to program at
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR and post the url
here. Someone will probably help you. (Not all systems need base,
spacing and offset.)

4936. Re: Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-13 02:10:15 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <lbbsp1lfv5a6lvl251if38ps5b2emm7ap9@4ax.com>
Source record: 10898

On Sun, 11 Dec 2005 20:13:20 -0500, Skuby <skypilot49@gmail.com>
wrote:

>"Earl J" <mrekj@comcast.net> pounded on the keyboard and wrote:

>>Is there any place left online to get free frequencies? The places I used
>>to use all seem to be pay sites now.
>>I'm looking for police and fire for Edmonds WA. Thanks for any help!

>http://radioreference.com/

Specifically, this page:
<http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&ctid=2988>

4937. Re: Free spell check program

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-13 03:18:20 UTC
From: Bob Oso <bo123@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11psfbfrmcj8287@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 10899
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Newsgroups all have "built-in" spell checkers.... As do other internet
forums.

;-)

Everyone that makes it their habit to point out spelling mistakes..

Yup, they most definately do......

Bob

"John Deere" <NotRoger2@anon.up> wrote in message
news:dmvc9v$b2v$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> Here you go, Markie. This may help the rest of us get through your
laborious
> third grade level posts.
>
> ieSpell - A Spell Checker for Internet Explorer
> Introduction
> ieSpell is a free Internet Explorer browser extension that spell
> checks text input boxes on a webpage. It should come in particularly handy
> for users who do a lot of web-based text entry (e.g. web mails, forums,
> blogs, diaries). Even if your web application already includes spell
> checking functionality, you might still want to install this utility
because
> it is definitely much faster than a server-side solution. Plus you get to
> store and use your personal word list across all your applications,
instead
> of maintaining separate ones on each application.
>
>
> http://www.iespell.com/
>
>

4938. Re: Free spell check program

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-13 03:37:29 UTC
From: "Homer.Simpson" <Homer.Simpson@SpringfieldBB.com.INVALID>
Message-ID: <Xns972AE62868162nope@204.153.244.170>
Source record: 10900
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Bob Oso said

> Newsgroups all have "built-in" spell checkers.... As do other
> internet forums.
>
> ;-)
>
> Everyone that makes it their habit to point out spelling
> mistakes..
>
> Yup, they most definately do......

Not to disappoint.....

"definately" is spelled "definitely"

;-)

I've maid it my goal two spell cheque awl male prier too sending it.

4939. Re: Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-13 07:04:18 UTC
From: "M." <notvalid@email.add>
Message-ID: <Ununf.109$e4.58542@sooner.brightok.net>
Source record: 10801

www.radioreference.com

"Earl J" <mrekj@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:C-qdnYzV_7sOWQHeRVn-sg@comcast.com...
> Is there any place left online to get free frequencies? The places I used
> to use all seem to be pay sites now.
> I'm looking for police and fire for Edmonds WA. Thanks for any help!
>

4940. Re: Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-13 17:04:19 UTC
From: Earl J <mrekj@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <6a-dndVyHf2AYgPenZ2dnUVZ_sWdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 10802

Thanks for the responses! I finally got them, now to try and pick them up,
I'm in north Seattle and they are coming in pretty scratchy so far despite
an outdoor antenna.
"M." <notvalid@email.add> wrote in message
news:Ununf.109$e4.58542@sooner.brightok.net...
> www.radioreference.com
>
> "Earl J" <mrekj@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:C-qdnYzV_7sOWQHeRVn-sg@comcast.com...
>> Is there any place left online to get free frequencies? The places I
>> used to use all seem to be pay sites now.
>> I'm looking for police and fire for Edmonds WA. Thanks for any help!
>>
>
>

4941. Re: Galaxy Electronics Founder Leo Meyerson Asks FCC To Deny K1MAN Renewal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-13 18:39:23 UTC
From: Bill <bgates@msn.com>
Message-ID: <dnn4grfcu1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 10803
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.broadcasting

"policy-ham" <hamop_62@hotmail.com> wrote in news:dlsjvh$14q$1
@xuxa.iecc.com:

> This is a post to QRZ.COM by K3VR and reads as follows:
>
> Leo Meyerson, W0GFQ, is the latest high profile ham to come out
> publicly about Glenn Baxter's license. You probably remember Leo as the
> founder of WRL and Galaxy Electronics. Walter Cronkite also came out
> publicly against Baxter's (ex-k1man) renewal.
>
> In fact, Leo just sent a letter to the FCC about Baxter. You can find
> the letter and a discussion of Baxter's renewal here:
>
> "Leo Meyerson is an acknowledged pioneer in the field of radio. Leo is
> the founder of World Radio Laboratories and Galaxy Electronics.
> Throughout the years Leo has been very active in public service. A life
> member of the Quarter Century Wireless Association, Leo served as a
> National Director from 1977 to 1987. In 1991 he was named the QCWA
> Amateur Member of the year and in 1994 he was inducted into the QCWA
> Hall of Fame. Leo is also a life member of the American Radio Relay
> League, Society of Wireless Pioneers, and International Mission Radio
> Association among other associations. In 1980 Leo was elected a fellow
> of the Radio Club of America, one of the most prestigious radio clubs
> in America.
>
> Leo Meyerson is the second radio icon to denounce Glenn Baxter,
> ex-K1MAN. The other, famous broadcaster Walter Cronkite, has publicly
> stated that he has experience with Baxter's lack of ethics. Cronkite
> also called on the FCC to deny renewal of Baxter's amateur radio
> licenses."
>
> http://home.ripway.com/2005-7/357648/
>
>
> 73, K3VR
>
>

Simple question, whats this have to do with scanners??

--
Bill

4942. Re: judge recognizes cell phones and radios as same thing. in effect, outlaws using all mobile transcievers in the U.S.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-14 08:40:07 UTC
From: bradvk2qq <bradvk2qq@w6ir.com>
Message-ID: <1134549607.886522.312630@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10804
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Al, was this guy using a speaker mic?

I'm just wondering because I read that the Judge said he was holding it to his ear like a cellphone, and a speakermic would do that, creating a loophole for the judge to uphold the charge.

I can't help wondering if the Ham had a bad attitude as well. Police will dig their heels in if dared or badmouthed, and from what I've seen from most American newsgroups, Americans aren't shy about coming forward with abuse.

4943. Re: judge recognizes cell phones and radios as same thing. in effect, outlaws using all mobile transcievers in the U.S.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-14 13:48:13 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <8e80q11je42fkn2sjego9cjrvtttn790ri@4ax.com>
Source record: 10805
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On 14 Dec 2005 00:40:07 -0800, "bradvk2qq" <bradvk2qq@w6ir.com> wrote:

>Al, was this guy using a speaker mic?

It wouldn't matter unless he was also on an autopatch and running
duplex.

>I'm just wondering because I read that the Judge said he was holding it
>to his ear like a cellphone, and a speakermic would do that, creating a
>loophole for the judge to uphold the charge.

Nope - ALL parts of the law have to be met - it's an "and" construct,
not an "or" construct.

>I can't help wondering if the Ham had a bad attitude as well.

A bad one, or he simply didn't know how to fight it. I can't tell you
the number of people I've seen come up before a hearing officer with a
ticket that was invalid on its face, and end up being found guilty.

> Police will dig their heels in if dared or badmouthed, and from what I've seen
>from most American newsgroups, Americans aren't shy about coming
>forward with abuse.

I always tell people, when the subject comes up, to accept the ticket
without a word, unless it starts off as a friendly "confrontation", in
which case you might try joking your way out of it. If the cop is
determined to write you, he will. There's only one way to prevent
that - and your badge has to outrank his to do it. Take the ticket
and fight it in court/AAB.

4944. Re: wtb 2 RS Pro 95 scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-14 18:23:24 UTC
From: Doc <drpepper5@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <nlo0q19o4f3jo1d0fb1u18j48utd03no74@4ax.com>
Source record: 10806

It is unwise tro try to get anyone else "interested" in anything. THEY
must be the one to intiate the interest, not you.
Doc
==========

On 10 Dec 2005 20:48:50 -0800, "static" <amoperu@bellsouth.net> wrote:

>looking for 2 for Xmas presents to see if i can interest my brother and
>a friend in scanning.
>
>Please let me know what you have, as you can tell that since i am
>seeking older models i am looking for a deal! Thanks, you may contact
>direct at:
>amoperu@bellsouth.net
>
>phil, SC

4945. FS:Uniden BC 895XLT 300 channel Trunktracker Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-15 01:52:43 UTC
From: Hamkey <Classifieds@hamkey.com>
Message-ID: <1134611729_369@spool6-east.superfeed.net>
Source record: 10807

LNIB Uniden BC 895XLT 300 channel Trunktracker, approx. 1 yr. old I'm a non-smoker. Have box, manual still in plastic, ac adapter. $135. INCLUDES shipping/insurance

http://www.hamkey.com/classifieds/showcat.php?cat=last1

FREE RADIO CLASSIFIED ADS www.hamkey.com

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

4946. Possible: TRUNKING /CELL & CORDLESS PHONE ALL-IN-ONE SCANNING????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-15 03:46:40 UTC
From: Daphne + Dave <dschertzer@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <AG5of.110795$ki.54930@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 10808

Such a scanner? Best model? Modified? thanks

4947. AB8MQ's "detractors" show thay have their heads up their asses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-15 14:16:07 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1134656167.588779.192230@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10809
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Who said I was Roger? wrote:
> >
> >
> ^^^

4948. Re: Possible: TRUNKING /CELL & CORDLESS PHONE ALL-IN-ONE SCANNING????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-15 19:36:13 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <vah3q1db1ofor12fv8fb4r7golk1vphgu3@4ax.com>
Source record: 10810

On Thu, 15 Dec 2005 03:46:40 GMT, "Daphne + Dave" <dschertzer@shaw.ca>
wrote:

>Such a scanner? Best model? Modified? thanks

Not cell - no scanner can decode TDMA or CDMA. Trunking, cordless and
digital? Pro-96, 3096 or Uniden BCD396T. Trunking and cordless?
Almost any trunking scanner, depending on the trunking system.

4949. Re: Free spell check program

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-15 20:27:46 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134678466.301127.242380@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10811
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

John Deere wrote:
> Here you go, Markie. This may help the rest of us get through your laborious
> third grade level posts.
>
but why do I want to help you?

seriously if you have to work for it then you have to think about what
I post more than if i make it easy for you

given that not making it easy for you is easier for me why should I
make it easy for you or even more to the point why should I make it
easier for people like steve and dave

4950. Bearcat BCT-8 software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-16 01:42:54 UTC
From: forensic_ranger@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1134697374.204705.13920@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10812

I have had no success in figuring our part of the software included with my scanner.

For instance in the database window: Window|Reference Database.... I can't get it to do a thing. Does it? If so, how do you get it to do anything?

Second, I can't make it import .csv files.

Third, most of the time, I can't get it to paste anything into the database...I got it to work once and can't duplicate whatever I did.

THEN - I was wondering about the purchased software (key). Has anybody bought it? Does it work ok?

4951. Re: Bearcat BCT-8 software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-16 04:25:59 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Ro-dnftXju9F3D_eRVn-gw@comcast.com>
Source record: 10813

Don't waist time with the cheesy software Uniden gives you. Check out
Butel's offering: ARC8

http://www.butelsoftware.com/

Bill Crocker

<forensic_ranger@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1134697374.204705.13920@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>I have had no success in figuring our part of the software included
> with my scanner.
>
> For instance in the database window: Window|Reference Database.... I
> can't get it to do a thing. Does it? If so, how do you get it to do
> anything?
>
> Second, I can't make it import .csv files.
>
> Third, most of the time, I can't get it to paste anything into the
> database...I got it to work once and can't duplicate whatever I did.
>
> THEN - I was wondering about the purchased software (key). Has anybody
> bought it? Does it work ok?
>

4952. ebooks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-16 18:52:09 UTC
From: Fryertuck <fryertuck!!!!!@netserver.org>
Message-ID: <11q636jth7oticd@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 10814
Cross-posted to: alt.binaries.radio-scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Check out alt binaries ebook technical for radio manuals and stuff
Use Grabit to grab ;-]
Just a heads up
FT

4953. K1MAN BULLETIN - 051216A - K1MAN HAM RADIO TALK SHOW IS BACK ON THE AIR WITH VE7KFM AS CO HOST - W1AW AND K1MAN JOIN FORCES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-16 20:45:35 UTC
From: netmanager2@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1134765934.923748.256790@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10815
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

K1MAN BULLETIN - 051216A -

W1AW AND K1MAN JOIN FORCES

Dateline - Belgrade Lakes, Maine - 16 December 2005

W1AW/4 came up on 14.275 MHz. yesterday, 15 December 2005, calling CQ for their special event station from the ARRL Christmas toy drive national distribution center in Memphis, Tennessee. K1MAN answered, and Chief Operator Darryl was called to the W1AW mic. The two stations had a short QSO with Darryl explaining the toy drive project in detail. W1AW is the flagship station of ARRL, the American Radio Relay League (www.ARRL.org), and K1MAN is the flagship station of AARA, the American Amateur Radio Association (www.K1MAN.com). K1MAN wished W1AW well and then QSYed to 14.272 MHz. to kick of K1MAN's new live call-in talk show with co-hosts Glenn at K1MAN and Karol at VE7KFM on the "left coast" of Canada (Hi). K1MAN is on the United States "right coast" (Hi). The call in number is 1-800-262-9543.

The kick off "show" was a huge success as the ARRL toy drive 3 KHz up was heavily promoted by both Glenn and Karol. During this "show" on 14.272 MHz., ND8V, enabled by K4ZDH, QRMed W1AW off of 14.275 MHz., an alleged criminal act under the Goldwater Law, Sections 333 and 501 of the 1934 Communications Act. ND8V is under the assumption that the Goldwater Law has been repealed (it has not) since ARRL dropped their listing this law in their FCC Rule Book in the early 1990s, another alleged criminal act itself, under the Goldwater Law, since de-listing of Sections 333 and 501 does give that false impression, doesn't it?. K4ZDH is also in alleged criminal violation of the Goldwater Law, of course, since he has repeatedly failed to discourage interference by hams to the K1MAN Amateur Information Bulletin Service heard on 14.275 MHz. since 1987 and just resumed yesterday after going off the air for IARN (International Amateur Radio Network) emergency traffic reasons on 4 July 2005.

Their is a rampant rumor going around in amateur radio circles that ND8V has requested to share his federal jail cell at Leavenworth with K4ZDH, known to his friends at the Commission at "Rot Riley" Hollingsworth the "K1MAN Killer." According to Glenn Baxter, K1MAN, Executive Director of AARA, there are no provisions in United States law for "vanity jail cells." Dave Sumner, K1ZZ, CEO of ARRL could not be reached for comment on this question and did not return any phone calls almost certainly made from K1TP, W5YI, and other ham

radio reporters as this story broke on Thursday.

Glenn Baxter, K1MAN, said in an interview that:

"I certainly support waiving the rules in this case by allowing ND8V and K4ZDH to share jail cells after trial on purely humanitarian grounds. This, after all, is the holiday season, and radio amateurs should all pull together on this one and throw up, errr, throw their support for ND8V's behind, errr, throw their support BEHIND ND8V and K4ZDH." Ed. comment: Lay off the wine Glenn!

Chris Imlay, ARRL counsel, has advised, we believe, K1ZZ to invoke the Fifth Amendment since ARRL might also be criminally prosecuted in this case. Former Assistant U.S. Attorney Gail Fisk Malone is rumored to be considering a return to the Justice Department to personally handle this very high profile case. Gail, no doubt, has her eye on Hillery Clinton and the White House. Malone has neither confirmed nor denied that she is a presidential candidate nor that her running mate will be Wayne Green, W2NSD, who ran for Vice President at least once before. Wayne indicated to this reporter recently that he wants to reform education in the U.S., and, as Vice President, he would most certainly have his best chance to do this, in this reporter's opinion.

Glenn Baxter, K1MAN, has stated, again, that he IS NOT a candidate for President and that there WILL NOT be K1MAN Amateur Information Bulletins coming from the White House if he is:

"I am getting too old for politics, and my responsibilities at AARA and K1MAN are far to great at this time to even consider the White House. With Hollingsworth in jail, there would be no point. One FCC Commissioner will be as good as any other once we have put Rot Riley away," Mr. Baxter said.

Later in the day, W1AW and K1MAN re-established contact on 14.275 MHz. and were driven off the frequency by ND8V. Mr. Baxter stated:

"ND8V also drove me off of 14.275 MHz. while I was working G7BGY and 4Z4UN on December 14, 2005. There seems to be a pattern here. K3VR even E-mailed me yesterday that he has sent CD recordings of K1MAN to all FCC Commissioners. For the full text of little Brian's juvenile E-Mail see www.K1MAN.com, also attached below."

Today, in Washington, D.C., several FCC Commissioners were spotted walking around listening to Brian's MP3 recordings of K1MAN on their I-PODs, according to sources of questionable reliability, since all of the FCC is out on Christmas vacation and in Maine skiing with their kids now home from college.

"It is great that W1AW and K1MAN are working together now," Mr. Baxter said. "Tune in to the K1MAN live call-in talk show on 14.275 MHz.

plus or minus QRM, of course! Give us a call, even if it is only for a signal report or QSL request. Call 1-800-262-9543. The first show begins daily at about 11 A.M. EST, and the second program begins at about 2 P.M. EST. Join the fun!" 73 and GL de K1MAN

4954. Re: K1MAN BULLETIN - 051216A - K1MAN HAM RADIO TALK SHOW IS BACK ON THE AIR WITH VE7KFM AS CO HOST - W1AW AND K1MAN JOIN FORCES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-16 21:20:14 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134768014.330885.55770@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10816
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

I'm still waiting to be on his show :(

Todd N9OGL

4955. Re: Possible: TRUNKING /CELL & CORDLESS PHONE ALL-IN-ONE SCANNING????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-16 21:58:45 UTC
From: Korbin Dallas <korbindallas@null.org>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.12.16.21.58.45.130756@null.org>
Source record: 10817

On Thu, 15 Dec 2005 03:46:40 +0000, Daphne + Dave wrote:

> Such a scanner? Best model? Modified? thanks

There are no scanners that receive Digital Cell or Cordless phones, the reception of which is still illegal.

There are lots of trunking scanners around.

--
Korbin Dallas
The name was changed to protect the guilty.

4956. tac radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-16 22:20:47 UTC
From: Wayne Howell <whowell@gensearch.com>
Message-ID: <cdqdnbFXwYoioD7eRVn-sQ@cablespeedwa.com>
Source record: 10818

Frequently while listening to fire or police calls, I hear that they are switching to Tact 6, Tact 8, etc.

How can the frequency of these channels be determined?

Thanks.....

4957. Re: tac radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-16 22:35:52 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <cjHof.3594$n1.84@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 10819

"Wayne Howell" <whowell@gensearch.com> wrote in message
news:cdqdnbFXwYoioD7eRVn-sQ@cablespeedwa.com...
> Frequently while listening to fire or police calls, I hear that they are
> switching to Tact 6, Tact 8, etc.
>
> How can the frequency of these channels be determined?
>
> Thanks.....

The easiest way is to ask someone in your area that knows already. What state, city or whatever are you asking about? Have you tried the Radio Reference page? They may have what you want. The harder way is to use the "search" function on your scanner. Back when I first started listening to the Police here and did not think to use the net that's how I found all the channels here. It was a time consuming process. I had to laugh at myself later when I found out the info was just a few clicks away for free.
--
"Everything in excess! To enjoy the flavor of life, take big bites. Moderation is for monks."
[Lazarus Long]

4958. Pro 95 and USB Programming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-16 22:42:00 UTC
From: ISH <ishmole@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <thg6q19mj32355hbvlc8rjdeic4q57d2m2@4ax.com>
Source record: 10820

My new computer lacks serial ports. I guess they went the way of the floppy drive. I have a USB-Serial converter, but the Starsoft program only works with serial?

Anybody faced this before?

Ish

4959. Re: Scanner Amsterdam Police ON-LINE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-17 00:31:16 UTC
From: Ian Smith <ianinhoose@btinternet.naespam.com>
Message-ID: <1134779475.48027.0@iris.uk.clara.net>
Source record: 10822
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

"Diamond Dave" <sjester911@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:55acp15kdk9e9uerv855us5qbg6djhd6t3@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 7 Dec 2005 10:50:23 +1100, "mark jb" <nukeleer at internode
> dot on dot
> net> wrote:
>
>>> Thanks for the tip, please let us know when you get the
>>> Amsterdam New York USA police comms online. :-)
>>
>>Fortunately, there is a world outside America; even if most american
>>citizens couldn't locate Canada or Mexico on a map.
>
> We know exactly where Canada and Mexico are, one sends us snow and
> the other
> sends us cheap labor and drugs. We also know where Europe is; that's
> the big
> socialist cluster fuck across the pond from us, the greatest
> producer of whine
> on earth.

Ok, I do agree that Europe is one fucked up place, with more than
its share of unaccountable beaurocrats and punitive taxation. However,
please don't tar us all (500 million) with the same brush. :-)

Ian, Scotland.

4960. Re: Bearcat BCT-8 software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-17 00:33:03 UTC
From: forensic_ranger@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1134779583.383080.241580@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10821

Thanks, Bill. That's about what I thought. I have used the Butel/ARC8 software and liked it much better. I think that's what I'll do.

4961. Re: Pro 95 and USB Programming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-17 02:11:16 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <sis6q1hdgrmkh7dap14p97f4bgq95j6u5r@4ax.com>
Source record: 10823

On Fri, 16 Dec 2005 22:42:00 GMT, ISH <ishmole@earthlink.net> wrote:

>My new computer lacks serial ports. I guess they went the way of the
>floppy drive. I have a USB-Serial converter, but the Starsoft program
>only works with serial?

>Anybody faced this before?

To the program, a USB->serial converter *is* a serial port. I never heard of anyone writing code that talked directly to the driver - it's much easier to just write code that talks to the serial port and let the driver make up the difference.

Two caveats:

1) Make sure that you have the latest driver for your converter. Some converters work fine on older drivers - for just about every device except scanners.

2) Look in Device Manager to see what port number Windows assigned the converter (when the converter is connected, of course). Use that number in the program. Also be aware that if you move the converter to another USB port, Windows will find "a new device" and install it to a different port number. You can manually (in Device Manager) change the port numbers for "all" the converters on all your USB ports to the same serial port number, so your program doesn't have to be changed if you move the cable. (Credit Andy Porrett for that last one.)

If you know all this, ignore the post, but someone else may benefit from it.

4962. Re: judge recognizes cell phones and radios as same thing. in effect, outlaws using all mobile transcievers in the U.S.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-17 02:58:11 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <43a37e01.888367@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 10824
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Traffic tickets in NY can be heard in a local Criminal Court, i.e. a
Village or City Court (in actual fact, MY village has a traffic Court
with a real Village Justice and a RECORD (Court Reporter).
A ruling in that Court is not binding on anyone,as it is the lowest
Court in the State and NOT an appellate Court, however the ruling CAN
be cited by other Courts, at least until it is overturned on the
Apellate level.
So there you have it,
Traffic infractions are adjudicated by a hearing officer in NYC and
some other jurisdictions, but NOT all, AND.....
Some VTL matters are MISDEMEANORS, which can only be heard in Criminal
Court (such as scanner in the vehicle).

On Mon, 12 Dec 2005 21:04:51 -0500, Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
wrote:

>On 11 Dec 2005 23:30:55 -0800, "bradvk2qq" <bradvk2qq@w6ir.com> wrote:
>
>1) The law in NY *specifically states* that the device in question be
>connected to the public switched telephone network. The ruling is
>appealable on that ground alone.
>
>""Wireless telephone service" shall mean two-way real time voice
>telecommunications service that is interconnected to a public switched
>telephone network and is provided by a commercial mobile radio
>service, as such term is defined by 47 C.F.R. S 20.3."
>
>Most hams operate simplex, even when using a phone patch, so the law
>doesn't apply, as written. (New York law requires pleas and
>convictions on summonses *as written*, not as someone wants things to
>be. "The law doesn't say exactly what it has to say for the ticket
>you wrote to be upheld? Tough. Next time, write it properly." - me,
>to a cop who complained to me, his boss, about a ticket that got
>thrown out.)
>
>2) The law in NY *specifically states* that the device in question be
>held to tone's ear. He held it to his mouth?. Appealable on that
>ground alone.
>
>"'Using' shall mean holding a mobile telephone to, or in the immediate
>proximity of, the user's ear. "
>
>Pretty stupid, holding a mic to your ear. "There better be music

>coming out of that thing."
>
>Try these:
>
>"'Engage in a call' shall mean talking into or listening on a
>hand-held mobile telephone ..."
>
>"(a) Except as otherwise provided in this section, no person shall
>operate a motor vehicle upon a public highway while using a mobile
>telephone to engage in a call while such vehicle is in motion. (b) An
>operator of a motor vehicle who holds a mobile telephone to, or in the
>immediate proximity of his or her ear while such vehicle is in motion
>is presumed to be engaging in a call within the meaning of this
>section. The presumption established by this subdivision is rebuttable
>by evidence tending to show that the operator was not engaged in a
>call."
>
>It doesn't seem as if either the spirit or the letter of the law were
>violated. It seems that an overly-inflated ego decided to throw his
>pork around.
>
>Traffic tickets in NY are adjudicated by an administrative
>adjudication office, and the officiating person is a hearing officer,
>not a judge. Such rulings aren't even precedential in the office in
>which they were issued. A hearing officer can contradict his ruling
>in his very next ruling, and the fact that he just ruled the opposite
>isn't relevant.
>
>I hope the guy appeals the case. It won't look too good for the
>hearing officer when the DA decides to not fight it.

4963. Re: Pro 95 and USB Programming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-17 03:37:46 UTC
From: ISH <ishmole@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <dt17q1doorndue2h3rv9bgu46g89mqvujc@4ax.com>
Source record: 10825

On Fri, 16 Dec 2005 21:11:16 -0500, Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
wrote:

>On Fri, 16 Dec 2005 22:42:00 GMT, ISH <ishmole@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
>>My new computer lacks serial ports. I guess they went the way of the
>>floppy drive. I have a USB-Serial converter, but the Starsoft program
>>only works with serial?
>
>>Anybody faced this before?
>
>To the program, a USB->serial converter *is* a serial port. I never
>heard of anyone writing code that talked directly to the driver - it's
>much easier to just write code that talks to the serial port and let
>the driver make up the difference.
>
>Two caveats:
>
>1) Make sure that you have the latest driver for your converter. Some
>converters work fine on older drivers - for just about every device
>except scanners.
>
>2) Look in Device Manager to see what port number Windows assigned the
>converter (when the converter is connected, of course). Use that
>number in the program. Also be aware that if you move the converter
>to another USB port, Windows will find "a new device" and install it
>to a different port number. You can manually (in Device Manager)
>change the port numbers for "all" the converters on all your USB ports
>to the same serial port number, so your program doesn't have to be
>changed if you move the cable. (Credit Andy Porrett for that last
>one.)
>
>If you know all this, ignore the post, but someone else may benefit
>from it.

Al ,
I didn't know that.......

Thanks to you, I can now program my scanner! It works!
Thank you.
Ish

4964. Re: tac radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-17 04:53:05 UTC
From: Wayne Howell <whowell@gensearch.com>
Message-ID: <I8mdnQxlr_8sBD7enZ2dnUVZ_tGdnZ2d@cablespeedwa.com>
Source record: 10826

I've asked both a policeman and a fireman but neither will tell....they
claim they don't know the frequency---it's just a button on their radios.

I've got all the freqs listed in "Police Calls" and Radio Reference
punched into my scanner.......

I was hoping to avoid the long search by search try!

Thanks....

> "Wayne Howell" <whowell@gensearch.com> wrote in message
> news:cdqdnbFXwYoioD7eRVn-sQ@cablespeedwa.com...
>
>>Frequently while listening to fire or police calls, I hear that they are
>>switching to Tact 6, Tact 8, etc.
>>
>>How can the frequency of these channels be determined?
>>
>>Thanks.....
>

Alex Clayton wrote:
>
> The easiest way is to ask someone in your area that knows already. What
> state, city or whatever are you asking about? Have you tried the Radio
> Reference page? They may have what you want. The harder way is to use the
> "search" function on your scanner. Back when I first started listening to
> the Police here and did not think to use the net that's how I found all the
> channels here. It was a time consuming process. I had to laugh at myself
> later when I found out the info was just a few clicks away for free.

4965. Re: tac radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-17 06:41:41 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <FqOof.3690$n1.1889@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 10827

"Wayne Howell" <whowell@gensearch.com> wrote in message
news:I8mdnQxlr_8sBD7enZ2dnUVZ_tGdnZ2d@cablespeedwa.com...
> I've asked both a policeman and a fireman but neither will tell....they
> claim they don't know the frequency---it's just a button on their radios.
>
> I've got all the freqs listed in "Police Calls" and Radio Reference
> punched into my scanner.......
>
> I was hoping to avoid the long search by search try!
>
> Thanks....
>

<shrug> well since you either don't know where you are or feel you can't
tell anyone I guess you're on your own dude.
--
25% graduate functional illiterates. We should remove the warning labels
from everything and let nature take care of the problem.
Peter Weisbach

4966. Re: K1MAN BULLETIN - 051216A - K1MAN HAM RADIO TALK SHOW IS BACK ON THE AIR WITH VE7KFM AS CO HOST - W1AW AND K1MAN JOIN FORCES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-17 06:58:02 UTC
From: Gus <Gus_who@k1man.org>
Message-ID: <43A3B6F8.9D2A3D21@k1man.org>
Source record: 10828
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

K1MAN to sign Sirius contract

Yeah, right !

4967. Re: K1MAN BULLETIN - 051216A - K1MAN HAM RADIO TALK SHOW IS BACK ON THE AIR WITH VE7KFM AS CO HOST - W1AW AND K1MAN JOIN FORCES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-17 07:16:49 UTC
From: Old Stinkybreath <glasofscotch@drunkenhamson75.com>
Message-ID: <e4d21$43a3c96a$d1cc7970$20966@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 10829
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

<netmanager2@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1134765934.923748.256790@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> K1MAN BULLETIN - 051216A -
> W1AW AND K1MAN JOIN FORCES

The previous bulletin by k1man is concrete proof
that Baxter is now gone completely out-of-his-tree
and needs to be locked up in a padded room
along with the kook on 20M from Evansville IN.

They would make great cellmates at the State Mental Institution !
One flew over the cukoo's nest and shi+ on their head.....

4968. Re: K1MAN BULLETIN - 051216A - K1MAN HAM RADIO TALK SHOW IS BACK ON THE AIR WITH VE7KFM AS CO HOST - W1AW AND K1MAN JOIN FORCES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-17 09:55:19 UTC
From: OregonMike <OregonMike@g.ma.i.l.DieSpammers.NOw.com>
Message-ID: <9vn7q11ap6ip70h9m87ednqthbj4ppci6l@4ax.com>
Source record: 10830

Wow, I'm not sure whether I've ever read something written by somebody with less a grip on reality than this confused individual has just written.

His postingnof it to a scanner listening group is just icing on the cake to his insanity :)

OM

4969. Re: K1MAN BULLETIN - 051216A - K1MAN HAM RADIO TALK SHOW IS BACK ON THE AIR WITH VE7KFM AS CO HOST - W1AW AND K1MAN JOIN FORCES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-17 10:59:13 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134817153.686367.166220@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10831
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

I was invited to be on his radio show on the ham bands but it never
happen :(

Todd N9OGL
FREE SPECCH ADVOCATE

4970. Re: ebooks (now in other group)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-17 11:32:10 UTC
From: Jay <Jay@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20fe4$43a3f73a$3ec2e59a$18010@news.chello.nl>
Source record: 10832
Cross-posted to: alt.binaries.radio-scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi Fryer,

I know, i am posting them fore a while now BUT....
After complaints from other users moved the posting to :
alt.binaties.e-books.flood
Latest are in there.

Also, i seem to have reached some kind of upload limit so
the uploading is spread more in time.

Still have some 2.5 Gb to go....

Will take requests in mentioned group or in one of the
scanner groups.

JaNoSh

Fryertuck wrote:

> Check out alt binaries ebook technical for radio manuals and stuff
> Use Grabit to grab ;-]
> Just a heads up
> FT

4971. Re: ebooks (now in other group)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-17 12:43:41 UTC
From: mikeFNB <mikefnb@moc.dlrowltn>
Message-ID: <1KTof.1203$a8.738@newsfe3-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 10833
Cross-posted to: alt.binaries.radio-scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

can we have a list posted here of what you have???

mike

"Jay" <Jay@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:20fe4$43a3f73a$3ec2e59a$18010@news.chello.nl...
> Hi Fryer,
>
> I know, i am posting them fore a while now BUT....
> After complaints from other users moved the posting to :
> alt.binaties.e-books.flood
> Latest are in there.
>
> Also, i seem to have reached some kind of upload limit so
> the uploading is spread more in time.
>
>
> Still have some 2.5 Gb to go....
>
> Will take requests in mentioned group or in one of the
> scanner groups.
>
> JaNoSh
>
>
> Fryertuck wrote:
>
>> Check out alt binaries ebook technical for radio manuals and stuff
>> Use Grabit to grab ;-]
>> Just a heads up
>> FT
>

4972. Re: tac radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-17 14:14:42 UTC
From: Doc <sheltronics.spam.not@ifrance.com>
Message-ID: <HI2dnXk3E6vQgDneRVnytA@giganews.com>
Source record: 10834

For the Seattle, Redmond area (King county) the listing of the talk groups found here: http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=TRSDB&sid=604 should help you out.

"Wayne Howell" <whowell@gensearch.com> wrote in message news:cdqdnbFXwYoioD7eRVn-sQ@cablespeedwa.com...
> Frequently while listening to fire or police calls, I hear that they are
> switching to Tact 6, Tact 8, etc.
>
> How can the frequency of these channels be determined?
>
> Thanks.....

4973. Re: tac radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-17 14:32:26 UTC
From: "D. Ruhe" <druhe@atlanticbb.net>
Message-ID: <11q88bvfa6qm2e3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 10835

It may not even be a frequency that they are switching too. It maybe a talkgroup if you are monitoring a trunked system.

Wayne Howell wrote:
> Frequently while listening to fire or police calls, I hear that they are
> switching to Tact 6, Tact 8, etc.
>
> How can the frequency of these channels be determined?
>
> Thanks.....

4974. Re: tac radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-17 15:10:02 UTC
From: Wayne Howell <whowell@gensearch.com>
Message-ID: <bdydncbaKczVtzneRVn-jA@cablespeedwa.com>
Source record: 10836

Sorry--missed that!

I'm in Port Townsend, Jefferson County, WA.

Alex Clayton wrote:

> <shrug> well since you either don't know where you are or feel you can't
> tell anyone I guess you're on your own dude.

4975. Re: tac radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-17 21:00:44 UTC
From: Keith <spam@spam.invalid>
Message-ID: <do1u9g0b6e@news4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 10837

On Fri, 16 Dec 2005 14:20:47 -0800, Wayne Howell
<whowell@gensearch.com> wrote:

>Frequently while listening to fire or police calls, I hear that they are
>switching to Tact 6, Tact 8, etc.
>
>How can the frequency of these channels be determined?
>
>Thanks.....

Buy a frequency counter or a scanner with close call.

4976. Re: ebooks (now in other group)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-17 23:47:34 UTC
From: Eric Ferguson <GEFERG.KBHR@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <qs1pf.4022$nA2.3765@newssvr22.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 10838
Cross-posted to: alt.binaries.radio-scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hey Jay,

Again THANKS for the uploads you have done!!!! I've downloaded three so far. As to requests....Do you have service manuals as well?

BTW, one of the downloads was for the Sony 7600D. I bought that radio at a second hand store, 'bout 10 years ago for "$9.99 as is". (That was actually written on the freq readout in grease pencil.) Threw in all 6 AA's and it worked perfectly. Sort of learned most of it by experimentation and common sense. But you upload of the manual taught me a few new tricks for the 'D.

As a request, the Yaesu VR-500, AOR-8000, and Radio Shacks Pro-95, and for a friend the Pro-94, as well as the Pro-2042 and Pro-2006. Both owners and service if possible. THANKS again!!!

Eric

4977. Re: ebooks (now in other group)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-18 00:11:01 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <r1a9q196sig810hkqprbt0it3jgvch9961@4ax.com>
Source record: 10839
Cross-posted to: alt.binaries.radio-scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 17 Dec 2005 23:47:34 GMT, "Eric Ferguson"
<GEFERG.KBHR@prodigy.net> wrote:

>As a request, the Yaesu VR-500, AOR-8000, and Radio Shacks Pro-95, and for a
>friend the Pro-94, as well as the Pro-2042 and Pro-2006. Both owners and
>service if possible. THANKS again!!!

For Pro-94 and Pro-95 manuals, try
<http://marksscanners.bravehost.com/>. Mark rewrote a few manuals so
people with little or no experience can actually understand how to use
their scanners. They're single page, so it's easy to copy and paste
them into a Word doc if you want them available off line.

4978. Re: Pro 95 and USB Programming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-18 00:11:29 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <39a9q1h0lm9ojto6h26unriitrra98hoah@4ax.com>
Source record: 10840

On Sat, 17 Dec 2005 03:37:46 GMT, ISH <ishmole@earthlink.net> wrote:

>Thanks to you, I can now program my scanner! It works!
>Thank you.

Have fun. :)

4979. Re: tac radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-18 00:21:50 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <3da9q192h60383disbbksc1qgsgn44c5ip@4ax.com>
Source record: 10841

On Sat, 17 Dec 2005 07:10:02 -0800, Wayne Howell
<whowell@gensearch.com> wrote:

>Alex Clayton wrote:

>> <shrug> well since you either don't know where you are or feel you can't
>> tell anyone I guess you're on your own dude.

>I'm in Port Townsend, Jefferson County, WA.

Seems as if you're not the only one who doesn't know which channels
are what frequencies/talkgroups:

<http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&ctid=2973>

It looks as if the police are on a conventional UHF system. Keep the
scanner on a search of 453 MHz to 454 MHz and see if you locate
frequencies they're using that aren't 453.575. (Many agencies that
have more than one repeater have them all in the same general
frequency area.) If you do, submit them to Radio Reference. Even
better will be if you hear someone being told to go to Tac3, and you
hear him come up on some other frequency. Then you'll know that
frequency is Tac3.

You might want to try 453.275.

Other frequencies licensed to the county are 153.92, 154.115, 155.055,
155.115, 155.16 and 158.88, but I they wouldn't be using them if their
equipment is on UHF.

4980. Re: K1MAN BULLETIN - 051216A - K1MAN HAM RADIO TALK SHOW IS BACK ON THE AIR WITH VE7KFM AS CO HOST - W1AW AND K1MAN JOIN FORCES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-18 00:23:28 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <4ua9q1tbmccfc88f410u1rd65dobamp7c0@4ax.com>
Source record: 10842
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 17 Dec 2005 06:58:02 GMT, Gus <Gus_who@k1man.org> wrote:

>K1MAN to sign Sirius contract

Well, they gave up Faux news - they had to substitute something for
the dead air.

Oh, wait a minute - dead air would give us more information than
K1MAN.

4981. Receiving data streams with scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-18 15:56:59 UTC
From: Gryphon <gryph@yahho.com>
Message-ID: <vj1bq1dmbob31iai1l8ji4onahs0n5o7vv@4ax.com>
Source record: 10843

I have been using PDW for windows and been able to receive
data streams mostly Pagers, Taxi's, and Ambulance calls display on my
notebook screen amazing just using my headphone cable from my Pro-95
scanner.

4982. Re: ebooks (now in other group)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-18 19:00:19 UTC
From: Jay <Jay@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <ba7f6$43a5b1c3$3ec2e59a$30782@news.chello.nl>
Source record: 10844
Cross-posted to: alt.binaries.radio-scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hello Mike,

A list is not possible at this moment, i can try to compile a
list later but do not have the time at this moment.
At this moment abt. 2 Gb from the 4.5 i have is posted.

Enjoy and merry xmas

JaNoSh

mikeFNB wrote:

> can we have a list posted here of what you have???
>
>
> mike
>
> "Jay" <Jay@nospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20fe4$43a3f73a$3ec2e59a$18010@news.chello.nl...
> > Hi Fryer,
> >
> > I know, i am posting them fore a while now BUT....
> > After complaints from other users moved the posting to :
> > alt.binaties.e-books.flood
> > Latest are in there.
> >
> > Also, i seem to have reached some kind of upload limit so
> > the uploading is spread more in time.
> >
> >
> > Still have some 2.5 Gb to go....
> >
> > Will take requests in mentioned group or in one of the
> > scanner groups.
> >
> > JaNoSh
> >
> >
> > Fryertuck wrote:
> >
>> Check out alt binaries ebook technical for radio manuals and stuff
>> Use Grabit to grab ;-]
>> Just a heads up
>> FT
> >

4983. Re: ebooks (now in other group)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-18 19:06:31 UTC
From: Jay <Jay@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <793ae$43a5b337$3ec2e59a$31830@news.chello.nl>
Source record: 10845
Cross-posted to: alt.binaries.radio-scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Eric Ferguson wrote:

Hello Eric,

Good story and nice to hear your kind words,

At this moment i am posting your request for :

AR8000 (2 manuals)
PRO-94
PRO-95

The other ones i have to dig true my collection, will try
to find them and post them later.
Should i forget please send me a reminder as i am beeing
showered with requests at this moment.

Your manuals are in alt.binaries.e-books.flood with the
prefix : Radio Manuals REQUESTED (by Eric)

Merry Xmas

JaNoSh

> Hey Jay,
>
> Again THANKS for the uploads you have done!!!! I've downloaded three
> so far. As to requests....Do you have service manuals as well?
>
> BTW, one of the downloads was for the Sony 7600D. I bought that radio
> at a second hand store, 'bout 10 years ago for "$9.99 as is". (That
> was actually written on the freq readout in grease pencil.) Threw in
> all 6 AA's and it worked perfectly. Sort of learned most of it by
> experimentation and common sense. But you upload of the manual taught
> me a few new tricks for the 'D.
>
> As a request, the Yaesu VR-500, AOR-8000, and Radio Shacks Pro-95,
> and for a friend the Pro-94, as well as the Pro-2042 and Pro-2006.
> Both owners and service if possible. THANKS again!!!
>
> Eric

4984. Re: ebooks (now in other group)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-18 19:15:10 UTC
From: Jay <Jay@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <ae308$43a5b53e$3ec2e59a$568@news.chello.nl>
Source record: 10846
Cross-posted to: alt.binaries.radio-scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Eric Ferguson wrote:

Eric,

Your manuals for PRO2006 is here:

http://support.radioshack.com/support_electronics/8246.htm

Your manual for PRO2042 is here

http://support.radioshack.com/support_electronics/28555.htm

Running into my uploadlimit, sorry for the inconfenience

Managed to upload the VX500 in alt.binaries.e-book.flood for you.

JaNoSh

> Hey Jay,
>
> Again THANKS for the uploads you have done!!!! I've downloaded three
> so far. As to requests....Do you have service manuals as well?
>
> BTW, one of the downloads was for the Sony 7600D. I bought that radio
> at a second hand store, 'bout 10 years ago for "$9.99 as is". (That
> was actually written on the freq readout in grease pencil.) Threw in
> all 6 AA's and it worked perfectly. Sort of learned most of it by
> experimentation and common sense. But you upload of the manual taught
> me a few new tricks for the 'D.
>
> As a request, the Yaesu VR-500, AOR-8000, and Radio Shacks Pro-95,
> and for a friend the Pro-94, as well as the Pro-2042 and Pro-2006.
> Both owners and service if possible. THANKS again!!!
>
> Eric

4985. Re: ebooks (now in other group)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-18 19:16:35 UTC
From: Jay <Jay@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <2c61b$43a5b593$3ec2e59a$681@news.chello.nl>
Source record: 10847
Cross-posted to: alt.binaries.radio-scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

```
Hello Mike,

Answer is in other newsgroup

Marry X-Mas

Jay

mikeFNB wrote:

> can we have a list posted here of what you have???
>
>
> mike
>
> "Jay" <Jay@nospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20fe4$43a3f73a$3ec2e59a$18010@news.chello.nl...
> > Hi Fryer,
> >
> > I know, i am posting them fore a while now BUT....
> > After complaints from other users moved the posting to :
> > alt.binaties.e-books.flood
> > Latest are in there.
> >
> > Also, i seem to have reached some kind of upload limit so
> > the uploading is spread more in time.
> >
> >
> > Still have some 2.5 Gb to go....
> >
> > Will take requests in mentioned group or in one of the
> > scanner groups.
> >
> > JaNoSh
> >
> >
> > Fryertuck wrote:
> >
> >> Check out alt binaries ebook technical for radio manuals and stuff
> >> Use Grabit to grab ;-]
> >> Just a heads up
> >> FT
> >
```

4986. Re: ebooks (now in other group)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-18 19:56:25 UTC
From: Eric Ferguson <GEFERG.KBHR@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <J9jpf.4069$nA2.2629@newssvr22.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 10848
Cross-posted to: alt.binaries.radio-scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Jay....Many, Many, MANY THANKS!!!!! Both for the uploads AND the links. Both are appreciated by me and hopefully others.

Another friend has a Grundig YB 300PE, and she never received a manual for that. After I told her about your wonderful uploads, she requested that manual. She does not have a computer, by choice, so is asking through me. She thanks you in advance.

As the saying goes, Jan, you da Man!!!!" Thanks again!!!! Eric

--
Tune Out .KBHR to reply me

4987. Re: Galaxy Electronics Founder Leo Meyerson Asks FCC To Deny K1MAN Renewal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-18 22:19:47 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <do4na3$d6s$1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 10849
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.broadcasting

Bill wrote:
> "policy-ham" <hamop_62@hotmail.com> wrote in news:dlsjvh$14q$1
> @xuxa.iecc.com:
>
> > This is a post to QRZ.COM by K3VR and reads as follows:
cut/
> >
> >
> > 73, K3VR
> >
> >
>
> Simple question, whats this have to do with scanners??

count yourself lucky it at least concers radio
>
> --
> Bill

4988. Re: ebooks (now in other group)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-19 01:16:18 UTC
From: mikeFNB <mikefnb@moc.dlrowltn>
Message-ID: <CRnpf.364$f7.186@newsfe3-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 10850
Cross-posted to: alt.binaries.radio-scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

thanks just a bit busy meself!!!

well done

mike

"Jay" <Jay@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:ba7f6$43a5b1c3$3ec2e59a$30782@news.chello.nl...
> Hello Mike,
>
> A list is not possible at this moment, i can try to compile a
> list later but do not have the time at this moment.
> At this moment abt. 2 Gb from the 4.5 i have is posted.
>
> Enjoy and merry xmas
>
> JaNoSh
>
>
> mikeFNB wrote:
>
>> can we have a list posted here of what you have???
>>
>>
>> mike
>>
>> "Jay" <Jay@nospam.com> wrote in message
>> news:20fe4$43a3f73a$3ec2e59a$18010@news.chello.nl...
>> > Hi Fryer,
>> >
>> > I know, i am posting them fore a while now BUT....
>> > After complaints from other users moved the posting to :
>> > alt.binaties.e-books.flood
>> > Latest are in there.
>> >
>> > Also, i seem to have reached some kind of upload limit so
>> > the uploading is spread more in time.
>> >
>> >
>> > Still have some 2.5 Gb to go....
>> >
>> > Will take requests in mentioned group or in one of the
>> > scanner groups.
>> >

>> > JaNoSh
>> >
>> >
>> > Fryertuck wrote:
>> >
>> >> Check out alt binaries ebook technical for radio manuals and stuff
>> >> Use Grabit to grab ;-]
>> >> Just a heads up
>> >> FT
>> >
>

4989. Re: K1MAN BULLETIN - 051216A - K1MAN HAM RADIO TALK SHOW IS BACK ON THE AIR WITH VE7KFM AS CO HOST - W1AW AND K1MAN JOIN FORCES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-19 06:26:46 UTC
From: "Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Gospf.203225$tD4.190990@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 10701
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Very good point Mr. Klein. Mr. Baxter's information can be aptly described as dead (or hot) air that is full of static!

Wayne-
(KC8UIO)

"Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message news:4ua9q1tbmccfc88f410u1rd65dobamp7c0@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 17 Dec 2005 06:58:02 GMT, Gus <Gus_who@k1man.org> wrote:
>
>>K1MAN to sign Sirius contract
>
> Well, they gave up Faux news - they had to substitute something for
> the dead air.
>
> Oh, wait a minute - dead air would give us more information than
> K1MAN.

4990. Re: Adding serial ports to PC notebook

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-19 08:59:55 UTC
From: "Mike M." <notme@invalid.email.net>
Message-ID: <soscq1ls4udgstkp565h09tq91h91me844@4ax.com>
Source record: 10702

On 9 Dec 2005 16:27:58 -0800, "Hatfield"
<utility_infielder@earthlink.net> wrotF:

>http://store.yahoo.com/buyextras/syseatapcca.html
>
>Above is a Syba branded, CardBus to Serial-ATA 2-port host adapter
>under $25 and looks like this store has 30 day return policy (15%
>restocking fee).
>
>
>Has anybody around here tried one of these?
>
>
>By the way the Cables to go product I mentioned on the first message is
>for sale at TigerDirect for $50...
>
>All real SWL's are cheapstakes, e.g. myself....

I believe the card you linked to is for serial ATA hard drives NOT
RS-232 com ports. I use a Socket I/O card in an old Toshiba laptop to
provide a second comport. No problems. I paid around $30 shipped for a
used one on eBay. There are some listed now, new and used.
<http://computers.search.ebay.com/serial_PCMCIA-Cards-for-Laptops_W0QQcatrefZC6QQfromZR2QQ
frppZ50QQfsopZ1QQsacatZ42190QQsbrsrtZlQQsotrZ2>

My old laptop can't use cardbus cards so it was harder to find one
that would work.

4991. Trunk ID please help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-19 16:28:32 UTC
From: craig <cpage122@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <1135009711.981875.205340@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10703

Hi there I am from florida and I am trying get the trunk id for the florida highway patrol and also the florida department of law enforcement.

1. F.H.P.
2. F.D.L.E.
3. D.O.C. - Departmen of Correction / Florida Departmen of Correction

Thanks

4992. Re: ebooks (now in other group)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-19 17:30:46 UTC
From: Jay <Jay@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <c57c1$43a6ee46$3ec2e59a$29048@news.chello.nl>
Source record: 10704
Cross-posted to: alt.binaries.radio-scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hello Eric,

No Problem,

I just posted a batch of Grundig manuals, the YB300 is also
in this batch so enjoy :-)

JaNoSh

Eric Ferguson wrote:

> Jay....Many, Many, MANY THANKS!!!!! Both for the uploads AND the
> links. Both are appreciated by me and hopefully others.
>
> Another friend has a Grundig YB 300PE, and she never received a
> manual for that. After I told her about your wonderful uploads, she
> requested that manual. She does not have a computer, by choice, so is
> asking through me. She thanks you in advance.
>
> As the saying goes, Jan, you da Man!!!!" Thanks again!!!! Eric
>
> --
> Tune Out .KBHR to reply me

4993. Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-19 18:51:42 UTC
From: timquinn@megagate.com
Message-ID: <1135018302.689766.112670@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10705

Does anyone know what frequencies Laurel and Jones County, MS are using? I haven't been abe to hear either of them since Hurricane Katrina.

4994. Re: Pro 95 and USB Programming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-19 19:31:56 UTC
From: Hatfield <utility_infielder@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <1135020715.943054.167740@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10706

By the way, Wall-Mart has a serial to USB converter which Robert Sillett of ShortWaveLog fame says he has found to be reliable and available all across America.

Quoting from his FAQ

"Q: Can I use a USB-to-serial port adapter?

A: It is getting more and more difficult to find a computer with a real serial port. Many times a USB-to-Serial adapter needs to be used. If you currently have a USB-to-Serial adapter and it works for you, great! But if you are looking for an adapter, we recommend the IOgear GUC232A adapter. This adapter works very well and it also fully works with the Sysinternals Portmon serial monitor tool, which is a great tool for troubleshooting. Plus the IOgear adapter is only $25 or so and, as of Winter 2005, can be found in pretty much every Wal-Mart."

Freeware computer controlled radio and logging program at:

http://www.shortwavelog.com/default-english.html

4995. Re: Trunk ID please help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-19 19:56:17 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <BfEpf.298$R84.36@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 10707

"craig" <cpage122@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:1135009711.981875.205340@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> Hi there I am from florida and I am trying get the trunk id for the
> florida highway patrol and also the florida department of law
> enforcement.
>
> 1. F.H.P.
> 2. F.D.L.E.
> 3. D.O.C. - Departmen of Correction / Florida Departmen of Correction
>
> Thanks
>

Have you looked at the Radio Reference page? You could try Google also, but
RR probably has what you are after if some poster here does not know.
--
"Everything in excess! To enjoy the flavor of life, take big bites.
Moderation is for monks."
[Lazarus Long]

4996. FA: Fairmate HP-2000 AOR 1000 Clone handheld scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-19 23:14:31 UTC
From: Xray <pl@yer.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9731B9950A11Dewrfdgrstnetaakeanfk@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 10708

Ends tonight [19th].
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5841895097

Regards

4997. Re: Trunk ID please help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-20 02:22:42 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <hgqeq1pfrajjbbvq6h3rk7d48sfa2re247@4ax.com>
Source record: 10709

On 19 Dec 2005 08:28:32 -0800, "craig" <cpage122@comcast.net> wrote:

>Hi there I am from florida and I am trying get the trunk id for the
>florida highway patrol and also the florida department of law
>enforcement.
>
>1. F.H.P.
<http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&aid=815>
not trunked

>2. F.D.L.E.
<http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&aid=2290>
not trunked

>3. D.O.C. - Departmen of Correction / Florida Departmen of Correction
<http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&aid=2253>
not trunked

<http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=TRSDB&sid=1678>
State of Florida EDACS ProVoice
This is trunked, and all state agencies are switching to it.
Unfortunately, ProVoice systems can not be scanned.

4998. Re: Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-20 02:26:00 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <qpqeq1trj3h7hiuslgut0jp0lhv5oqpp7r@4ax.com>
Source record: 10710

On 19 Dec 2005 10:51:42 -0800, timquinn@megagate.com wrote:

>Does anyone know what frequencies Laurel and Jones County, MS are
>using? I haven't been abe to hear either of them since Hurricane
>Katrina.

Jones County:
<http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&ctid=1430>

Nothing on Laurel in Jones County, except for the Hattiesburg-Laurel
Regional Airport.

4999. why do some many folks get so off on mr baxter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-20 06:07:12 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135058832.086267.203260@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10711
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

netmanager2@yahoo.com wrote:
> K1MAN BULLETIN - 051216A -
>
> W1AW AND K1MAN JOIN FORCES
>
> Dateline - Belgrade Lakes, Maine - 16 December 2005
>
eom

5000. stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-20 06:37:34 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135060654.231717.307250@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10712
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> Who said I was Roger? wrote:
> > >
> > >
> > ^^^

>
> Learn to read an actual letter from the FCC versus one from the ARRL
> news section's take on the subject, dumbass!

5001. Re: ebooks (now in other group)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-20 18:58:59 UTC
From: Eric Ferguson <GEFERG.KBHR@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <TvYpf.3584$Zb2.2662@newssvr23.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 10713
Cross-posted to: alt.binaries.radio-scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hello Jan,

Saw it (and a few others) before I saw your note. Downloaded and safe on a Zip disk. Again....Many Thanks for ALL the manuals you've uploaded. Have a VERY MERRY CHRISTMAS!!!! You've given a lot of us some great Christmas Presents!

Eric

--
Tune Out .KBHR to reply me

5002. K1MAN REQUIRED READING ESSAY: THE LIFE OF KAROL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-20 20:30:57 UTC
From: netmanager2@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135110657.254064.56780@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10714
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

THE LIFE OF KAROL - 18 December 2005 - ES051218A - (draft)

by

Glenn Baxter, P.E., K1MAN
(written in the third person)

I have just finished word for word reading of The Life of Riley, including all footnotes, dated March, 2005. There are various and very serious defects in this otherwise very informative and basically correct document. Riley and ND8V need to go, but Karol feels that K1MAN will go instead. No so. K1MAN is too smart for Riley, ND8V, K3VR, AND Karol. K1MAN's weapon is the U.S. Constitution. For K1MAN this is child's play.

FIRST

The most serious fault regards the concept of "frequency ownership." The problem with Karol is that the Polish calling frequency on 14.273 MHz. came into conflict with K1MAN and IARN on 14.275 MHz. beginning in 1985 with IARN emergency operations and then in 1987 with K1MAN Amateur Information Bulletins. One main purpose of the information bulletins was to "establish" 14.275 MHz. as an emergency frequency so the contesters would not wipe out IARN emergency operations due to lack of knowledge. The Polish calling frequency on 14.273 MHz. is too close, and the logical thing to do would be to move to 14.272 MHz. or ask IARN (and the Bermuda Net, of course) to move to 14.276 MHz. Another idea would be to move the Polish calling frequency to 14.272.5 MHz. and ask IARN (and the Bermuda Net, of course) to move to 14.275.5 MHz.

I do remember some discussions with representatives from the Polish group, and their position seemed to be "We will not move, you move..." or something to that effect.

Now, the FCC rule of using the spectrum most efficiently kicks in. If IARN moved to 14.276 MHz., there would still be a problem with the Bermuda Net who opted to have K1MAN's license revoked with the eager assistance of ARRL instead of moving themselves or working out a mutually acceptable schedule. Etc. K1MAN has always tried to negotiate in good faith in order to be within the FCC rule of cooperating with respect to selection of frequency. It is hard for a bona fide bulletin station or a bona fide emergency organization with substantial track record (such as IARN) to come up short in such negotiations.

The smart thing for the Polish to do would be to slide down to 14.272 MHz. When K1MAN took two years off (to teach) from 14.725 MHz., ND8V and associates jumped from the "zoo" on 13.313 MHz. onto the 12 year K1MAN established 14.275 MHz., and the turf war began. Sorry, but ND8V was right. 14.273 MHz. IS too close to the more heavily occupied 14.275 MHz. (by more than one group). The Polish were outnumbered, and common sense still called for the Polish to slide down.

Recently, Karol tried to slide K1MAN to 14.274 MHz., just occupied nearby by ND8V on 14.273 MHz. ND8V was wrong to be on 14.273 MHz. (just as the Polish are wrong to be on 14.273 MHz.), and K1MAN would be wrong to slide to 14.274 MHz. Two wrongs don't make a right, and Karol should realize that such poor practive by K1MAN could easily be translated (in the current K1MAN/FCC legal environment) to criminal proseedings against K1MAN by "Rot Riley" Hollingsworth, FCC Special Counsel. Was Karol also sick on the day they taught law at law school?

The "Life of Riley" asks Hollingsworth to get into a juvenile frequency dispute turf battle which "Rot Riley" played to the hilt with his campaign against K1MAN (with IARN, AARA, and information bulletins barley mentioned at all by Karol - what's with that?). Sure, Riley is corrupt, and sure, ND8V is "enabled" by Riley to break the law, Mike's reward for his cooperation to nab K1MAN. Same deal was implemented with KV4FZ. Then KV4FZ was dumped into the fire (with WB2OTK and K1MAN, almost!) just as ND8FZ will be dumped into the fire with K1MAN is Riley has his way; he won't.

Karol mixes his issues and the net result is his Polish friends are involved with something that could have been avoided years ago by sliding down to 14.272 MHz. where his war with bigot ND8V could be easily won, hands down.

SECOND

Karol is very "anti American," it seems. He has stated to me that he does not care about the U.S. Constitution, something I would gladly die to protect. (Blood is thicker than water!) Bad image for Karol if he want to constructively and therefore effectively criticise the U.S. We can take it; we need it actually! Also, ethnic slurs against "Red Necks" and "Stupid Land" and how dumb ALL Americans are is not too smart as far as amateur radio public relations goes, but Canadians are not too smart, are they? Hi! See what I mean? That stung didn't it?. Such generalizations are taken quite seriously by many if not most. How about "All Canadians are stupid" or "All Pollak's are stupid." Ouch! See what I mean?

THIRD

Personal attacks (weight, mental problems, intelligence, etc.) against anyone is always a sign that you are losing your fight. Not a winning strategy, in my view.

FOURTH

Karol needs to define his goal or goals. These are quite unclear to me. Two good goals would be to move the Polish to 14.272 MHz. and not be harassed there plus to constructively criticise America; we welcome a Devil's advocate. I welcome it anyway. If Karol wants to "nix" the U.S., he will lose. We have the aircraft carriers, remember? Sam and John Adams and company set up a pretty powerful group down here in Amarica, and we are not done yet!

FIFTH

Karol should reign in his "potty mouth" on amateur radio. It makes him, his military college, Poland, and Canada look very bad. His childish references to "sucking" or otherwise "doing" Riley in the bath house are illegal here in the U.S. and that would be more than enough for Riley to issue a Notice of Forfeiture and win big, big, big against K1MAN if Glenn did likewise. Make no mistake, I say that Riley is a cheap and corrupt polition playing ND8V like a grand piano, and what he does in the "bath house" is not relevant, of course.

This is America. Anything goes. Market forces sort it all out. The current market forces dictate that potty mouth on amateur radio and national television is out. Even Janet Jackson's bare boob is out. The ancient Egyptians flaunted their boobs all the time. It is great to be a king, isn't it? Hi, of course.

ND8V and K3VR were dealt on air mortal blows om 16 and 17 December 2005. The pen is mightier than the sword!!!!!!!!!!!!!!! Both can recover if they are smart. I still have hopes for ND8V. I am afraid that K3VR and Riley are toast, however. They are going down.

FINALLY

Karol can do better and fix things by acting better in public. His intellect and wit are awesome. Clean it up, Karol, define your goals, and we can make one hell of a difference in both amateur radio and in the lives of all of our listeners. The latter (and the new talk show) has the very real possibility of becoming huge and blowing both the FCC (rather Riley and his FCC support), K3VR, ARRL (and ND8V) right out of the water. Carpe diem!

Trying to set me up for a weapons conflict with the government here at K1MAN might be aimed at illustrating your anti gun Canadian view, however, our Constitution prohibits advocating the overthrow of our government by force. Not very smart, Karol! Also, this plays right into the hands of Brian, the fraudulent Crow.

I have all the tools I need right in our Constitution to beat those punks, hands down. We Americans are not as stupid as you suggest. You are not as smart as you think. I am playing at Level I, Riley is at Level II, Brian is at Level III, and you are at a distant Level IV. If you really think I am "going down," as you say, then you are at Level V or posibly as low as Level XX.

I think you are all wound up with anti American sentiments and it is clouding your judgment. Come down and sit in my chair for a day and see just how smart you really are! You would go down, and hard, here on our U.S. playing field, and fast. Yes, you have "free" health care but no aircraft carriers. Your kids and adults are not in Iraq. Good for you. We will gladly let you switch roles with us, old pal from the North! We don't plan to let punks like Hitler and Stalin (or Saddam, or anyone else) gang rape our mothers!

Don't like America? Just wait and let us hang ourselves if you really think we are THAT stupid. When we run out of fossil fuel we will all freeze anyway. eh? Except those in "stupid land!"

73 and GL de K1MAN

5003. stvei shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-20 21:07:01 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135112821.213542.6750@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10715
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

netmanager2@yahoo.com wrote:
> THE LIFE OF KAROL - 18 December 2005 - ES051218A - (draft)
>
> by
more censorship

5004. Re: K1MAN REQUIRED READING ESSAY: THE LIFE OF KAROL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-21 04:20:59 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135138858.959641.278190@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10716
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

kwthom@munged.com wrote:
> On 20 Dec 2005 12:30:57 -0800, netmanager2@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >THE LIFE OF KAROL - 18 December 2005 - ES051218A - (draft)
> >
> >by
> >
> >Glenn Baxter, P.E., K1MAN
> >(written in the third person)
>
> <deletia>
>
> >73 and GL de K1MAN
>
> ...and it's been said (more than once...) by more than one operator
> that 11m and/or "freeband" operators were immature, child-like and so
> forth...
>
> Tell me the truth, guys...this is an anomaly, right??

hating the fact I will now sound like bill Clinton but that depends on
the maeing of the word "anomaly"

but K1man seems to be bit further out than most hams although I am not
certain any of this stuff published as if it were his is in fact his
many hams hate him so much they will say anything about him

but ham that nuts are common

we have K4YZ that states lying on the interent is child abuse and
pedophilia, and that anyone who disagress with him is a homosexual Dave
who says their is nothing wrong with Knowing help other to break thier
own laws if he can claim DXCC credit in the process. N2ey who seems to
recite the whole histoory of Ham radio QSO by QSO in answer to
question, and that is just here on RRAP
wether this mean K1Man is an anomoly in your eyes or not I realy can't
say but I'll report you decide

at least a quarter of hams seem to prsent some real ego problems
>
> ....wow....
>
> Happy Holidays!
>
> --kw

5005. Radio Shack Pro-96

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-21 04:50:18 UTC
From: Compnts <sho@voiet.com>
Message-ID: <ea5qf.11751$CL.3069@trnddc04>
Source record: 10717

What is the groups opinion of the Pro-96?

5006. Re: K1MAN REQUIRED READING ESSAY: THE LIFE OF KAROL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-21 08:27:17 UTC
From: spam.for.the@buerotiger.de
Message-ID: <40shv5F1bo5ovU1@individual.net>
Source record: 10718
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

In rec.radio.amateur.dx an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote:

[crap]

Are you DL1BMF in disguise?

Peter Lemken
DF5JT
Berlin

--
Paul Lincke ist dem Zille sein Milhaud.

(Harry Rowohlt)

5007. Re: K1MAN REQUIRED READING ESSAY: THE LIFE OF KAROL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-21 08:29:21 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135153761.493263.192740@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10719
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Peter Lemken wrote:
> In rec.radio.amateur.dx an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote:
>
> [crap]
>
> Are you DL1BMF in disguise?
no
>
> Peter Lemken
> DF5JT
> Berlin
>
> --
> Paul Lincke ist dem Zille sein Milhaud.
>
> (Harry Rowohlt)

5008. Re: Radio Shack Pro-96

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-21 10:38:52 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <sKqdnSDBBc9crTTeRVn-qQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 10720

Excellent digital scanner! On sale right now for $399.99! Be sure to get
the programming cable from Radio Shack, and Win96 software from Don Starr.

Bill Crocker

"Compnts" <sho@voiet.com> wrote in message
news:ea5qf.11751$CL.3069@trnddc04...
> What is the groups opinion of the Pro-96?
>
>
>
>
>

5009. Re: K1MAN REQUIRED READING ESSAY: THE LIFE OF KAROL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-21 12:05:02 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dobgae$1f8$1@newsg1.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 10721
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

// snip //

> at least a quarter of hams seem to prsent some real ego problems
> >
> > ....wow....
> >
> > Happy Holidays!
> >
> > --kw

Youve noticed that too then eh? Tell me, out of curiosity, are you an
Amateur or a CB'er?

Regards,
Graham
--
_·_· _··· ·_· ·_ _·· ·· _ _ _

Radio is only a Hobby. Don't let it rule your life...

73's - Graham, 26-Golf Charlie-19 (www.open-channel.co.uk)

5010. Re: Radio Shack Pro-96

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-21 13:22:00 UTC
From: Bob <bob@atlantis.edu>
Message-ID: <SFcqf.5480$43.5254@nnrp.ca.mci.com!nnrp1.uunet.ca>
Source record: 10722

Nice scanner. Had mine for just over a year now, no complaints.

Compnts wrote:
> What is the groups opinion of the Pro-96?
>
>
>
>
>

5011. Re: K1MAN REQUIRED READING ESSAY: THE LIFE OF KAROL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-21 14:06:26 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <faoiq195tlrihj6oijuqtvjutrs2m88iuf@4ax.com>
Source record: 10723
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On 20 Dec 2005 12:30:57 -0800, netmanager2@yahoo.com wrote:

No one cares, moron.

>THE LIFE OF KAROL - 18 December 2005 - ES051218A - (draft)
>
>by
>
>Glenn Baxter, P.E., K1MAN
>(written in the third person)
>
>
>I have just finished word for word reading of The Life of Riley,
>including all footnotes, dated March, 2005. There are various and
>very serious defects in this otherwise very informative and basically
>correct document. Riley and ND8V need to go, but Karol feels that
>K1MAN will go instead. No so. K1MAN is too smart for Riley, ND8V,
>K3VR, AND Karol. K1MAN's weapon is the U.S. Constitution. For
>K1MAN this is child's play.
>
>
>FIRST
>
>The most serious fault regards the concept of "frequency ownership."
>The problem with Karol is that the Polish calling frequency
>on 14.273 MHz. came into conflict with K1MAN and IARN on 14.275 MHz.
>beginning in 1985 with IARN emergency operations and then in 1987 with
>K1MAN Amateur Information Bulletins. One main purpose of the
>information bulletins was to "establish" 14.275 MHz. as an emergency
>frequency so the contesters would not wipe out IARN emergency
>operations due to lack of knowledge. The Polish calling frequency
>on 14.273 MHz. is too close, and the logical thing to do would be to
>move to 14.272 MHz. or ask IARN (and the Bermuda Net, of course) to
>move to 14.276 MHz. Another idea would be to move the Polish calling
>frequency to 14.272.5 MHz. and ask IARN (and the Bermuda Net, of
>course) to move to 14.275.5 MHz.
>
>I do remember some discussions with representatives from the Polish
>group, and their position seemed to be "We will not move, you move..."
>or something to that effect.
>
>Now, the FCC rule of using the spectrum most efficiently kicks in. If
>IARN moved to 14.276 MHz., there would still be a problem with the
>Bermuda Net who opted to have K1MAN's license revoked with the eager

>assistance of ARRL instead of moving themselves or working out a
>mutually acceptable schedule. Etc. K1MAN has always tried to
>negotiate in good faith in order to be within the FCC rule of
>cooperating with respect to selection of frequency. It is hard for
>a bona fide bulletin station or a bona fide emergency organization
>with substantial track record (such as IARN) to come up short in such
>negotiations.
>
>The smart thing for the Polish to do would be to slide down to
>14.272 MHz. When K1MAN took two years off (to teach) from 14.725
>MHz., ND8V and associates jumped from the "zoo" on 13.313 MHz. onto
>the 12 year K1MAN established 14.275 MHz., and the turf war began.
>Sorry, but ND8V was right. 14.273 MHz. IS too close to the more
>heavily occupied 14.275 MHz. (by more than one group). The Polish
>were outnumbered, and common sense still called for the Polish to
>slide down.
>
>Recently, Karol tried to slide K1MAN to 14.274 MHz., just occupied
>nearby by ND8V on 14.273 MHz. ND8V was wrong to be on 14.273 MHz.
>(just as the Polish are wrong to be on 14.273 MHz.), and K1MAN would
>be wrong to slide to 14.274 MHz. Two wrongs don't make a right, and
>Karol should realize that such poor practive by K1MAN could easily be
>translated (in the current K1MAN/FCC legal environment) to criminal
>proseedings against K1MAN by "Rot Riley" Hollingsworth, FCC Special
>Counsel. Was Karol also sick on the day they taught law at law
>school?
>
>The "Life of Riley" asks Hollingsworth to get into a juvenile
>frequency dispute turf battle which "Rot Riley" played to the hilt
>with his campaign against K1MAN (with IARN, AARA, and information
>bulletins barley mentioned at all by Karol - what's with that?).
>Sure, Riley is corrupt, and sure, ND8V is "enabled" by Riley to break
>the law, Mike's reward for his cooperation to nab K1MAN. Same deal
>was implemented with KV4FZ. Then KV4FZ was dumped into the fire
>(with WB2OTK and K1MAN, almost!) just as ND8FZ will be dumped into the
>fire with K1MAN is Riley has his way; he won't.
>
>Karol mixes his issues and the net result is his Polish friends are
>involved with something that could have been avoided years ago by
>sliding down to 14.272 MHz. where his war with bigot ND8V could
>be easily won, hands down.
>
>
>SECOND
>
>Karol is very "anti American," it seems. He has stated to me that he
>does not care about the U.S. Constitution, something I would gladly
>die to protect. (Blood is thicker than water!) Bad image for
>Karol if he want to constructively and therefore effectively criticise
>the U.S. We can take it; we need it actually! Also, ethnic slurs

>against "Red Necks" and "Stupid Land" and how dumb ALL Americans are
>is not too smart as far as amateur radio public relations goes, but
>Canadians are not too smart, are they? Hi! See what I mean?
>That stung didn't it?. Such generalizations are taken quite
>seriously by many if not most. How about "All Canadians are stupid"
>or "All Pollak's are stupid." Ouch! See what I mean?
>
>
>THIRD
>
>Personal attacks (weight, mental problems, intelligence, etc.) against
>anyone is always a sign that you are losing your fight. Not a
>winning strategy, in my view.
>
>
>FOURTH
>
>Karol needs to define his goal or goals. These are quite unclear to
>me. Two good goals would be to move the Polish to 14.272 MHz. and
>not be harassed there plus to constructively criticise America; we
>welcome a Devil's advocate. I welcome it anyway. If Karol wants
>to "nix" the U.S., he will lose. We have the aircraft carriers,
>remember? Sam and John Adams and company set up a pretty powerful
>group down here in Amarica, and we are not done yet!
>
>
>FIFTH
>
>Karol should reign in his "potty mouth" on amateur radio. It makes
>him, his military college, Poland, and Canada look very bad. His
>childish references to "sucking" or otherwise "doing" Riley in the
>bath house are illegal here in the U.S. and that would be more than
>enough for Riley to issue a Notice of Forfeiture and win big, big,
>big against K1MAN if Glenn did likewise. Make no mistake, I say that
>Riley is a cheap and corrupt polition playing ND8V like a grand piano,
>and what he does in the "bath house" is not relevant, of course.
>
>This is America. Anything goes. Market forces sort it all out.
>The current market forces dictate that potty mouth on amateur radio
>and national television is out. Even Janet Jackson's bare boob is
>out. The ancient Egyptians flaunted their boobs all the time. It
>is great to be a king, isn't it? Hi, of course.
>
>
>ND8V and K3VR were dealt on air mortal blows om 16 and 17 December
>2005. The pen is mightier than the sword!!!!!!!!!!!!!!! Both can
>recover if they are smart. I still have hopes for ND8V. I am
>afraid that K3VR and Riley are toast, however. They are going down.
>
>

>FINALLY
>
>Karol can do better and fix things by acting better in public. His
>intellect and wit are awesome. Clean it up, Karol, define your
>goals, and we can make one hell of a difference in both amateur radio
>and in the lives of all of our listeners. The latter (and the new
>talk show) has the very real possibility of becoming huge and blowing
>both the FCC (rather Riley and his FCC support), K3VR, ARRL (and ND8V)
>right out of the water. Carpe diem!
>
>Trying to set me up for a weapons conflict with the government here at
>K1MAN might be aimed at illustrating your anti gun Canadian view,
>however, our Constitution prohibits advocating the overthrow of our
>government by force. Not very smart, Karol! Also, this plays right
>into the hands of Brian, the fraudulent Crow.
>
>I have all the tools I need right in our Constitution to beat those
>punks, hands down. We Americans are not as stupid as you suggest.
>You are not as smart as you think. I am playing at Level I, Riley is
>at Level II, Brian is at Level III, and you are at a distant Level IV.
>If you really think I am "going down," as you say, then you are at
>Level V or posibly as low as Level XX.
>
>I think you are all wound up with anti American sentiments and it is
>clouding your judgment. Come down and sit in my chair for a day and
>see just how smart you really are! You would go down, and hard, here
>on our U.S. playing field, and fast. Yes, you have "free" health
>care but no aircraft carriers. Your kids and adults are not in Iraq.
>Good for you. We will gladly let you switch roles with us, old pal
>from the North! We don't plan to let punks like Hitler and Stalin
>(or Saddam, or anyone else) gang rape our mothers!
>
>Don't like America? Just wait and let us hang ourselves if you
>really think we are THAT stupid. When we run out of fossil fuel we
>will all freeze anyway. eh? Except those in "stupid land!"
>
>
>73 and GL de K1MAN

Vinnie S.

5012. Re: K1MAN REQUIRED READING ESSAY: THE LIFE OF KAROL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-21 15:11:56 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <h3siq11uc9n2ospnr7tub06jnfgj02h7k0@4ax.com>
Source record: 10724
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On 20 Dec 2005 20:20:59 -0800, "an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
wrote:

>at least a quarter of hams seem to prsent some real ego problems

Only a quarter? Most *people* seem to present some real ego problems,
so if only a quarter of hams do, we're a pretty well-adjusted group.

5013. Re: Pro 95 and USB Programming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-21 15:16:30 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <c6siq1hs3qru9qhoer3ee3qpi64ce7op4a@4ax.com>
Source record: 10725

On Wed, 21 Dec 2005 04:05:00 +0000 (UTC), chesucat <me@privacy.net>
wrote:

<piggybacking>

>ISH wrote:
>I>My new computer lacks serial ports. I guess they went the way of the
>I>floppy drive. I have a USB-Serial converter, but the Starsoft program
>I>only works with serial?

A USB port, when you install the driver for a USB->serial converter,
is a serial port. The software can't see any difference between the
driver for RS-232 hardware and the driver for USB hardware. Just make
sure that you use the latest driver, which you may have to download
from the manufacturer's site. (Software no longer talks to the
hardware - it talks to drivers.)

However:

The Radio Shack adapter works with the driver supplied
The adapter Wal-Mart sells works with the driver supplied (and is
probably the cheapest one)
The Belkin F5U409 works, but you need the F5U109 driver from their
site

5014. Re: Radio Shack Pro-96

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-21 15:17:43 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <1fsiq1hdu14d2c1ff64uritf2n2e9vdh80@4ax.com>
Source record: 10726

On Wed, 21 Dec 2005 05:38:52 -0500, "Bill Crocker"
<wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote:

>Excellent digital scanner! On sale right now for $399.99! Be sure to get
>the programming cable from Radio Shack

The one that *doesn't* come with the CD. The one that comes with the
CD won't work on the Pro-96.

5015. Re: Radio Shack Pro-96

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-21 17:16:44 UTC
From: MnMikew <mnmiikkew@aol.com>
Message-ID: <40tgvtF1anumeU1@individual.net>
Source record: 10727

"Bob" <bob@atlantis.edu> wrote in message
news:SFcqf.5480$43.5254@nnrp.ca.mci.com!nnrp1.uunet.ca...
> Nice scanner. Had mine for just over a year now, no complaints.
>
Saw them on sale last night at RS. $399 for both the 2096 and 96. Free
programming to boot.

5016. Austin Spectra, scanner Base/Mobile antenna FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-21 20:19:20 UTC
From: safetypro <bfdp1@msn.com>
Message-ID: <1135196360.014299.271820@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10728

One of the best mobile or base scanners out there.

Manual included. NMO mount.

$75 shipped.

5017. Austin Spectra, scanner Base/Mobile antenna FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-21 20:19:49 UTC
From: safetypro <bfdp1@msn.com>
Message-ID: <1135196389.219851.270210@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10729

One of the best mobile or base scanners out there.

Manual included. NMO mount.

$75 shipped.

e-mail work: rmmilljr@adaweb.net

5018. Re: Radio Shack Pro-96

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-21 22:32:36 UTC
From: Skuby <skypilot49@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <cvljq1h5h2hf6loa89n1ff18gtd3rlharo@4ax.com>
Source record: 10730

"Compnts" <sho@voiet.com> pounded on the keyboard and wrote:

>What is the groups opinion of the Pro-96?
>
>
>
>

The best scanner that I have ever owned and I have owned 5 in the past.
--

Brian J. Rueger | Hampton Div. of Fire & Rescue | "Who dares wins"
Capt/Paramedic | Fire Station #5 | Hampton, VA.
B.S. Comm/I/SEL Pilot | MSgt, USAF (Ret.) 49199 | NREMT-P
Check out my home page: http://members.cox.net/brueger
Some of my photography: http://www.usefilm.com/photographer/34185.html
"Life's too short to drink LITE beer!"

5019. Anyone Bought from GIJoeselectronics.com??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-21 22:35:13 UTC
From: Andy NG <ng@tvcables.co.uk>
Message-ID: <DMSdnQ2h08w_RTTeRVnyhQ@pipex.net>
Source record: 10731

Hi Folks,

Has anyone bought from GIJoeselectronics.com, we paid them nearly $600 for a BCD396T with 3-5 day international shipping and it has never arrived and they can't/won't supply a tracking number.

We have waited for 4.5 weeks and the only number supplied is some kind of useless customs number, USPS say they cannot have shipped it without getting a number?

It seems to be a total sham, they never answer the phone, always a message and although they answer emails (sometimes) they refuse to sort the matter out.

The only conclusion we can draw is that they have obtained the money by deception, has anyone else got any experience with them?

Thanks,
Andy.

5020. Re: Anyone Bought from GIJoeselectronics.com??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-21 23:12:58 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <vvnjq1dsr82ojn9mhpgv9agl538969qt77@4ax.com>
Source record: 10732

On Wed, 21 Dec 2005 22:35:13 -0000, "Andy NG" <ng@tvcables.co.uk>
wrote:

>The only conclusion we can draw is that they have obtained the money by
>deception, has anyone else got any experience with them?

I haven't, but the usual path to follow is to contact the Attorney
General's office in the state in which they're located.

According to their phone number, they're located in Kentucky, so you'd
want <http://ag.ky.gov/office/contact.htm>

I hope you get this resolved.

5021. newbie: security question about scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-22 00:08:10 UTC
From: tg <tg@nospamevereverever.net>
Message-ID: <43a9ee98$0$23284$db0fefd9@news.zen.co.uk>
Source record: 10733

can a scanner be tracked?, or can a scanner be 'located' by some other more sophisticated device?
specifically, I was thinking of buying an AOR AR8200 and I wondered if anyone knows if this device (as well as being a good
recieving device) gives off any hidden or unmentioned signals that someone else could pick up. Does it or any other scanners
contain an RFID chip?
Thanks for any advice.

5022. Re: newbie: security question about scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-22 00:33:03 UTC
From: mikeFNB <mikefnb@moc.dlrowltn>
Message-ID: <3vmqf.41325$a15.11927@newsfe5-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 10734

yes, it can!!

, though not too easily, every receiver no matter what type has oscillators which produce signals, these at close range can be detected, that's how tv detector vans work.

possible, but to be honest , very inprobable the men in black suits would ever do so.

mike

"Barry OGrady" <atheist.xxx@gmail.com> wrote in message news:0qsjq11p64rbjvuf6etlag23salve2a5rq@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 22 Dec 2005 00:08:10 -0000, "tg" <tg@nospamevereverever.net>
> wrote:
>
>>can a scanner be tracked?
>
> No.
>
> Barry
> =====
> Home page
> http://members.iinet.net.au/~barry.og

5023. Re: K1MAN REQUIRED READING ESSAY: THE LIFE OF KAROL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-22 01:02:13 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135211397.421495.284370@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10735
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:
> On 20 Dec 2005 20:20:59 -0800, "an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
> wrote:
>
> >at least a quarter of hams seem to prsent some real ego problems
>
> Only a quarter? Most *people* seem to present some real ego problems,
> so if only a quarter of hams do, we're a pretty well-adjusted group.

I said at least one quarter not only, and I was trying to be kind

5024. Re: Radio Shack Pro-96

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-22 02:57:41 UTC
From: Byung Myung Sying <pying@fong.com>
Message-ID: <k16kq1l527rf4hbsv8q83ddt9daugqufvm@4ax.com>
Source record: 10736

Skuby wrote:

>The best scanner that I have ever owned and I have owned 5 in the past.

I add:

I agree that the PRO-96 is a fabulous scanner. You need to purchase Don Starr's product "Win96" to really make it a powerful scanning device. Win96 allows you to open up military aircraft frequencies as well as to directly program the PRO-96 directly from the RadioReference database.

You should note that here in the Detroit, MI area, we are having problems decoding the Detroit Police Department's new digital system. This problem is not specific to the PRO-96, the Uniden 396 also exhibits the same problem. Both companies are examining the problem and don't yet have an answer for us as to whether the problem will be fixable.

5025. Florida Military Loggings - Wed., 21 Dec 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-22 05:06:43 UTC
From: "Allan.Stern@gmail.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135228003.034234.62170@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10737

Patrick AFB Area Log - Wed., 21 Dec 2005
==========

AIR TRANSPORT 400: DC-8 (USAF Contractor, Little Rock)
10:00am: Lands Patrick AFB.
11:40am: Departs to McGuire AFB.
[133.75, 132.65, 124.8]

GAMBLER 01: T-34C
10:30am: Touch-and-go at Patrick AFB, then continues northward.
[269.375, 281.425, 307.0]
Picture: PC210424
This acft landed at Avon Park Bombing Range yesterday, and had comms
with JSTARS back-end "Alleycat."

N967BW: CASA 212, Aviation Worldwide Svcs, Melbourne FL.
10:35am: Departs KMLB; immediately returns with ILS problem.
[118.2, 132.65]
NOTE: Aviation Worldwide Svcs is owned by Blackwater Aviation, a
"security" company with DoD contracts, whose acft see action in
Afghanistan and other hot spots.

SNAKE 01: F-15, FL-ANG 125FW, Jacksonville IAP
10:40am: In offshore Whiskey area.
[267.5, 341.1, 284.5, 351.8, 273.6]

KING 70: HC-130P #65-0970, Patrick AFB 920RQW 39RQS
10:47am: Ground comms.
[335.8]

TOPCAT 31, 32: S-3B, Jacksonville NAS VS-31 "Topcats"
10:55am: At Pinecastle Range.
[380.8, 357.0]

NASA 924: T-38N #67-14825, Johnson Space Ctr, Houston TX
11:15am: Departs NASA-KSC Shuttle Landing Facility.
[128.55, 125.175]

OAKY SAM: NORAD, Tyndall AFB
11:53: Informs STARGATE that DRAGNET VICTOR has been delayed by wx.
[364.2]

CARVER 21: F-15E, Flight of 4, Seymour Johnson AFB.
12:25pm: Orientation passes at Avon Park Bombing Range; "dry all day,
but combat laser capable." RTB Seymour Johnson. Self-IDs, callsign
spelled.
[307.25, 293.225, 292.2, 349.0; 269.3, 319.0, 346.25, 300.125 air-air]

INTERN 11: F-15E, Flight of 2, Seymour Johnson AFB.
12:40pm: Orientation at Avon Park Bombing Range RTB Seymour Johnson.
[307.25, 293.225, 292.2, 349.0; 269.3, 319.0, 346.25, 300.125 air-air]

DOS 564: UH-1, US State Dept INM/Air Wing, Patrick AFB
12:24pm: Local operations.
3:40pm: Lands.
[133.75]

DOS 236: UH-1, US State Dept INM/Air Wing, Patrick AFB
12:27pm: Local operations.
[133.75]

VICTORY 11: F-14, Oceana VF-103.
1:00pm: Northbound.
[285.5]

DOS 560: UH-1, US State Dept INM/Air Wing, Patrick AFB
1:10pm: Departs Patrick AFB.
[133.75]

BOLT 31: KC-135R, MacDill AFB 6AMW
1:16pm: Inbound MacDill AFB.
[311.0]

BANDSAW: E-3 AWACS, Mission Crew, Tinker AFB 964ACW
1:49pm: To Bulldog MOA to control Shaw F-16s vs FL-ANG SNAKEs, FANGs.
"120.95 not working."
[323.0 (Atlanta Ctr), 284.5 (Sealord North), 234.8, 288.2, 343.75]
Tremendous signal received at my qth from BANDSAW, considering his
location.

SENTRY 40: E-3 AWACS, Flight Crew. Tinker AFB 964ACW
1:53pm: Comms with Sealord: "Will delay 4 hours."
[133.95, 267.5]

JOLLY 11, 12: HH-60G Pave Hawk Helo, Patrick AFB 920RQW 301RQS
2:22pm: Inbound to Patrick AFB.
[269.375 (Twr), 255.5 (Ops)]

MADCAT 91: F-16CJ Shaw AFB 20FW 79FS
3:19pm: Comms with BANDSAW.
[343.75]

SNAKE 01: F-15, FL-ANG 125FW Jacksonville IAP
3:28pm: Comms with BANDSAW.
[234.8]

N722AS: Cessna 337H Skymaster, Air Scan Titusville FL
4:25pm: Departs Space Coast RAP.
[118.9, 132.65]
Since 2002, the FAA has listed this acft as "Sale Reported," but it
works with the other "Bat" security planes over KSC.

COAST GUARD PORT CANAVERAL
4:43pm: Comms with vessel "Key Largo" (17 feet) in distress; issues
call for other vessels to assist.
[157.1]

KING 76: HC-130P #65-0976, Patrick AFB 920RQW 39RQS
5:42pm: Departs to location on KODF 247 radial, near Moody AFB.
10:15pm: Lands at Patrick AFB.
[133.75, 132.65]

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL (28-11N 80-36W) monitoring
Patrick AFB (KCOF) NASA-KSC Shuttle Landing Fac (KX68)
Avon Park Bombing Range (KAGR) Cape Canaveral AFS (KXMR)
JSTARS E-8 Acft Integration Facility, Melbourne IAP (KMLB)
Worldwide Military HF Communications
Life Member: Missile, Space and Range Pioneers.
http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/index.html (My Freqs)
http://hometown.aol.com/scanaddict/index.html (My Equipment)

5026. Re: K1MAN REQUIRED READING ESSAY: THE LIFE OF KAROL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-22 12:30:06 UTC
From: Noah Little <me2@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <doe61dcnb3@n4vu2.n4vu.com>
Source record: 10738
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

an old friend wrote:

> I said at least one quarter not only, and I was trying to be kind

Wouldn't want to be around when you're trying to be mean, then.

5027. Re: newbie: security question about scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-22 20:36:57 UTC
From: Ken <ktm@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <J7Eqf.159$qg.93@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 10739

Wearing a foil hat WILL PROTECT YOU!
;-)

Ken

"mikeFNB" <mikefnb@moc.dlrowltn> wrote in message
news:3vmqf.41325$a15.11927@newsfe5-win.ntli.net...
> yes, it can!!
>
> , though not too easily, every receiver no matter what type has
oscillators
> which produce signals, these at close range can be detected, that's how tv
> detector vans work.
>
> possible, but to be honest , very inprobable the men in black suits would
> ever do so.
>
> mike
>
> "Barry OGrady" <atheist.xxx@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:0qsjq11p64rbjvuf6etlag23salve2a5rq@4ax.com...
> > On Thu, 22 Dec 2005 00:08:10 -0000, "tg" <tg@nospamevereverever.net>
> > wrote:
> >
> >>can a scanner be tracked?
> >
> > No.
> >
> > Barry
> > =====
> > Home page
> > http://members.iinet.net.au/~barry.og
>
>

5028. Re: newbie: security question about scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-22 21:17:12 UTC
From: "Homer.Simpson" <Homer.Simpson@SpringfieldBB.com.INVALID>
Message-ID: <Xns9734A5AD24B39nope@204.153.244.170>
Source record: 10740

Ken said

> Wearing a foil hat WILL PROTECT YOU!
> ;-)
>
> Ken

Just make sure you put the shiny side on the correct side. I forget
which is correct..... but the details are here:

http://zapatopi.net/afdb/

<non_pc_comments/>
Merry Xmas to everyone
<non_pc_comments>

;-)

>

5029. Re: newbie: security question about scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-22 22:43:02 UTC
From: tg <tg@nospamevereverever.net>
Message-ID: <43ab3899$0$29576$da0feed9@news.zen.co.uk>
Source record: 10741

"Ken" <ktm@frontiernet.net> wrote in message news:J7Eqf.159$qg.93@news02.roc.ny...
> Wearing a foil hat WILL PROTECT YOU!
> ;-)

in that case I'll get one straight away!!!

5030. Re: newbie: security question about scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-23 00:59:05 UTC
From: DougSlug <dougslug@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <zZHqf.3402$Kp3.2662@fe08.lga>
Source record: 10742

Just make sure it is properly grounded.

"Ken" <ktm@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:J7Eqf.159$qg.93@news02.roc.ny...
> Wearing a foil hat WILL PROTECT YOU!
> ;-)
>
> Ken

5031. Re: Radio Shack Pro-96

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-23 03:38:08 UTC
From: "J. Mc Laughlin" <jcm@power-net.net>
Message-ID: <11qms6q4mltol8b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 10743

Dear B. M. Sying:
What have you heard about the use of the PRO-96 or Uniden 396 on the
out-state (Michigan) digital system, which should be the same system as the
Detroit system? What are the symptoms of the problems in Detroit?

Thanks, Mac

--
J. Mc Laughlin; Michigan U.S.A.
Home: JCM@Power-Net.Net
"Byung Myung Sying" <pying@fong.com> wrote in message
news:k16kq1l527rf4hbsv8q83ddt9daugqufvm@4ax.com...
<snip>
>
> I add:
>
> I agree that the PRO-96 is a fabulous scanner. You need to purchase
> Don Starr's product "Win96" to really make it a powerful scanning
> device. Win96 allows you to open up military aircraft frequencies as
> well as to directly program the PRO-96 directly from the
> RadioReference database.
>
> You should note that here in the Detroit, MI area, we are having
> problems decoding the Detroit Police Department's new digital system.
> This problem is not specific to the PRO-96, the Uniden 396 also
> exhibits the same problem. Both companies are examining the problem
> and don't yet have an answer for us as to whether the problem will be
> fixable.

5032. Re: K1MAN REQUIRED READING ESSAY: THE LIFE OF KAROL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-23 06:49:55 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@nospam.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11qn7gfhj0j6s3c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 10744
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

<kwthom@munged.com> wrote in message
news:g5jhq150tjg3g6fga5emebfp5ibkfvp599@4ax.com...
> On 20 Dec 2005 12:30:57 -0800, netmanager2@yahoo.com wrote:
>
>>THE LIFE OF KAROL - 18 December 2005 - ES051218A - (draft)
>>
>>by
>>
>>Glenn Baxter, P.E., K1MAN
>>(written in the third person)
>
> <deletia>
>
>>73 and GL de K1MAN
>
> ...and it's been said (more than once...) by more than one operator
> that 11m and/or "freeband" operators were immature, child-like and so
> forth...
>
> Tell me the truth, guys...this is an anomaly, right??
>
> ....wow....
>
> Happy Holidays!
>
> --kw

And it's been said by many freebanders that a lot of hams are self righteous
assholes.

5033. Re: K1MAN REQUIRED READING ESSAY: THE LIFE OF KAROL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-23 06:53:39 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051223015339.489$ZR@newsreader.com>
Source record: 10745
Cross-posted to:
alt.dogie.is.an.idiot,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"DrDeath" <drdeath555usb@nospam.yahoo.com> wrote:
> <kwthom@munged.com> wrote in message
> news:g5jhq150tjg3g6fga5emebfp5ibkfvp599@4ax.com...
> > On 20 Dec 2005 12:30:57 -0800, netmanager2@yahoo.com wrote:
> >
> >>THE LIFE OF KAROL - 18 December 2005 - ES051218A - (draft)
> >>
> >>by
> >>
> >>Glenn Baxter, P.E., K1MAN
> >>(written in the third person)
> >
> > <deletia>
> >
> >>73 and GL de K1MAN
> >
> > ...and it's been said (more than once...) by more than one operator
> > that 11m and/or "freeband" operators were immature, child-like and so
> > forth...
> >
> > Tell me the truth, guys...this is an anomaly, right??
> >
> > ....wow....
> >
> > Happy Holidays!
> >
> > --kw
>
> And it's been said by many freebanders that a lot of hams are self
> righteous assholes.
>
Some are just indigent maggots.

http://tinypic.com/izs28g.jpg

5034. Re: K1MAN REQUIRED READING ESSAY: THE LIFE OF KAROL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-23 07:12:37 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@nospam.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11qn8r13bnei105@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 10746
Cross-posted to:
alt.dogie.is.an.idiot,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20051223015339.489$ZR@newsreader.com...
> "DrDeath" <drdeath555usb@nospam.yahoo.com> wrote:
>> <kwthom@munged.com> wrote in message
>> news:g5jhq150tjg3g6fga5emebfp5ibkfvp599@4ax.com...
>> > On 20 Dec 2005 12:30:57 -0800, netmanager2@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >
>> >>THE LIFE OF KAROL - 18 December 2005 - ES051218A - (draft)
>> >>
>> >>by
>> >>
>> >>Glenn Baxter, P.E., K1MAN
>> >>(written in the third person)
>> >
>> > <deletia>
>> >
>> >>73 and GL de K1MAN
>> >
>> > ...and it's been said (more than once...) by more than one operator
>> > that 11m and/or "freeband" operators were immature, child-like and so
>> > forth...
>> >
>> > Tell me the truth, guys...this is an anomaly, right??
>> >
>> > ....wow....
>> >
>> > Happy Holidays!
>> >
>> > --kw
>>
>> And it's been said by many freebanders that a lot of hams are self
>> righteous assholes.
>>
> Some are just indigent maggots.
>
> http://tinypic.com/izs28g.jpg

He should have a big L tattooed on his forehead. I have a friend that
tattoos. Sack him up throw him in the trunk and bring him to my house.

5035. Re: K1MAN REQUIRED READING ESSAY: THE LIFE OF KAROL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-23 09:46:27 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dogguf$9os$1@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 10747
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

<kwthom@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:giomq1140pariq1jgeaheovahjkvqnp13u@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 21 Dec 2005 12:05:02 -0000, "The Magnum"
> <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:
>
> >// snip //
> >
> >> at least a quarter of hams seem to prsent some real ego problems
> >> >
> >> > ....wow....
> >> >
> >> > Happy Holidays!
> >> >
> >> > --kw
> >
> >Youve noticed that too then eh? Tell me, out of curiosity, are you an
> >Amateur or a CB'er?
> >
> >Regards,
> >Graham
>
> Not too sure that in this thread it really makes a difference
>
> After all, an appliance radio operator is an appliance radio operator,
> right?
>
> Does it matter if there's a bit of knowledge to pass a test in order
> to operate the appliance?
>
> Cheers!
>
> --kw

If you ask that to a few of the Amateurs lurking about in the Newsgroups
then the answer from them would be an emphatic yes it does matter, followed
by the same old "lowering of standards" and "m3/brain dead cb'ers" "Lazy
Asses" etc etc.

That's not from me btw, I take people as I find them, I was simply curious.

Regards,
Graham
--
-.-. -... / .-. .- -.. .. ---

Radio is only a Hobby. Don't let it rule your life...

73's - Graham, 26-Golf Charlie-19 (www.open-channel.co.uk)

5036. Re: K1MAN REQUIRED READING ESSAY: THE LIFE OF KAROL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-23 17:22:43 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051223122243.327$SQ@newsreader.com>
Source record: 10748
Cross-posted to:
alt.dogie.is.an.idiot,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"DrDeath" <drdeath555usb@nospam.yahoo.com> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20051223015339.489$ZR@newsreader.com...
> > "DrDeath" <drdeath555usb@nospam.yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> <kwthom@munged.com> wrote in message
> >> news:g5jhq150tjg3g6fga5emebfp5ibkfvp599@4ax.com...
> >> > On 20 Dec 2005 12:30:57 -0800, netmanager2@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >
> >> >>THE LIFE OF KAROL - 18 December 2005 - ES051218A - (draft)
> >> >>
> >> >>by
> >> >>
> >> >>Glenn Baxter, P.E., K1MAN
> >> >>(written in the third person)
> >> >
> >> > <deletia>
> >> >
> >> >>73 and GL de K1MAN
> >> >
> >> > ...and it's been said (more than once...) by more than one operator
> >> > that 11m and/or "freeband" operators were immature, child-like and
> >> > so forth...
> >> >
> >> > Tell me the truth, guys...this is an anomaly, right??
> >> >
> >> > ....wow....
> >> >
> >> > Happy Holidays!
> >> >
> >> > --kw
> >>
> >> And it's been said by many freebanders that a lot of hams are self
> >> righteous assholes.
> >>
> > Some are just indigent maggots.
> >
> > http://tinypic.com/izs28g.jpg
>
> He should have a big L tattooed on his forehead. I have a friend that
> tattoos. Sack him up throw him in the trunk and bring him to my house.
>
Wouldn't that be dogie abuse?

5037. Radio Shack Pro-2039 Backlight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-23 21:58:22 UTC
From: k9dzd9@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135375102.435813.150260@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10749

i have a Radio shack the backlight is NOT working....
anyone have any suggestions
Monte K9DZD
k9dzd9@yahoo.com

5038. alinco dj-x10t

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-24 00:03:17 UTC
From: dogdog@noemail.com
Message-ID: <pan.2005.12.23.23.58.33.323928@noemail.com>
Source record: 10750

Looking for the cable "pin-out" or setup for pc interface
to this scanner. Anyone have information aside from just
buying the cable.

Also, looked over various sites and found some very old
dos software for programming. I'm looking at controlling
this thing with linux. Anyone have anything on that?

I'll write it if I can connect to it and understand the
command set (if any) certainly it must have some command set
to dump out the settings and/or to issue settings (freq change etc).
The manuals however dont mention anything like that.

TIA
dogdog

5039. Re: alinco dj-x10t

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-24 00:17:51 UTC
From: dogdog@noemail.com
Message-ID: <pan.2005.12.24.00.13.13.410890@noemail.com>
Source record: 10751

On Fri, 23 Dec 2005 18:58:33 +0000, dogdo wrote:

> Looking for the cable "pin-out" or setup for pc interface
> to this scanner. Anyone have information aside from just
> buying the cable.
>
> Also, looked over various sites and found some very old
> dos software for programming. I'm looking at controlling
> this thing with linux. Anyone have anything on that?
>
> I'll write it if I can connect to it and understand the
> command set (if any) certainly it must have some command set
> to dump out the settings and/or to issue settings (freq change etc).
> The manuals however dont mention anything like that.
>
> TIA
> dogdog

Ok I wrote about the command set a little too fast. I found
some stuff from jav232 (documentation) that seems to list
the commands for the DJ-x10, but still if anyone has more
information that would be great. Also an explanation of the
cable needed.

TIA again
dogdog

5040. Re: K1MAN REQUIRED READING ESSAY: THE LIFE OF KAROL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-24 04:46:56 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@nospam.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11qpkm283r8va06@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 10752
Cross-posted to:
alt.dogie.is.an.idiot,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20051223122243.327$SQ@newsreader.com...
> "DrDeath" <drdeath555usb@nospam.yahoo.com> wrote:
>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:20051223015339.489$ZR@newsreader.com...
>> > "DrDeath" <drdeath555usb@nospam.yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >> <kwthom@munged.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:g5jhq150tjg3g6fga5emebfp5ibkfvp599@4ax.com...
>> >> > On 20 Dec 2005 12:30:57 -0800, netmanager2@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> >
>> >> >>THE LIFE OF KAROL - 18 December 2005 - ES051218A - (draft)
>> >> >>
>> >> >>by
>> >> >>
>> >> >>Glenn Baxter, P.E., K1MAN
>> >> >>(written in the third person)
>> >> >
>> >> > <deletia>
>> >> >
>> >> >>73 and GL de K1MAN
>> >> >
>> >> > ...and it's been said (more than once...) by more than one operator
>> >> > that 11m and/or "freeband" operators were immature, child-like and
>> >> > so forth...
>> >> >
>> >> > Tell me the truth, guys...this is an anomaly, right??
>> >> >
>> >> > ....wow....
>> >> >
>> >> > Happy Holidays!
>> >> >
>> >> > --kw
>> >>
>> >> And it's been said by many freebanders that a lot of hams are self
>> >> righteous assholes.
>> >>
>> > Some are just indigent maggots.
>> >
>> > http://tinypic.com/izs28g.jpg
>>
>> He should have a big L tattooed on his forehead. I have a friend that
>> tattoos. Sack him up throw him in the trunk and bring him to my house.

>>
> Wouldn't that be dogie abuse?

Could be, but it's a misdemeanor. And after the judge reads all his homo posts, I'm sure we will get off with a slap on the wrist. Then in the judges chamber he will probably pat us on the back for a job well done.

5041. Re: Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-24 09:45:23 UTC
From: Foreverman <Foreverman.20jvin@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Foreverman.20jvin@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 10753

Earl J Wrote:
> Thanks for the responses! I finally got them, now to try and pick them
> up,
> I'm in north Seattle and they are coming in pretty scratchy so far
> despite
> an outdoor antenna.
> "M." notvalid@email.add wrote in message
> news:Ununf.109$e4.58542@sooner.brightok.net...
> www.radioreference.com
>
> "Earl J" mrekj@comcast.net wrote in message
> news:C-qdnYzV_7sOWQHeRVn-sg@comcast.com...
> Is there any place left online to get free frequencies? The places I
> used to use all seem to be pay sites now.
> I'm looking for police and fire for Edmonds WA. Thanks for any help!
>
>
>

Hi...I'm new to this website and I was reading this post and wondered if you would be interested in knowing the snohomish county Motorola Trunking system frequencies and codes? I'll list the numbers below if you still need them...If you have any trouble finding frequencies, I live in Seattle and have the complete King, Pierce, Snohomish, and Kitsap county police and fire systems frequencies and codes...Happy Holidays...

Mike...

FREQUENCIES:
SNOHOM. TRS 866.26250 MOT
SNOHOM. TRS 866.77500 MOT
SNOHOM. TRS 866.80000 MOT
SNOHOM. TRS 866.82500 MOT
SNOHOM. TRS 867.17500 MOT
SNOHOM. TRS 867.20000 MOT
SNOHOM. TRS 867.41250 MOT
SNOHOM. TRS 867.93750 MOT
*SNOHOM. TRS 868.33750 MOT CONTROL CHANNEL
SNOHOM. TRS 867.67500 MOT
SNOHOM. TRS 868.36250 MOT
SNOHOM. TRS 868.38750 MOT
SNOHOM. TRS 868.58750 MOT
SNOHOM. TRS 868.61250 MOT
SNOHOM. TRS 868.75000 MOT

SNOHOM. TRS 868.92500 MOT
SNOHOM. TRS 866.30000 MOT
SNOHOM. TRS 866.70000 MOT
SNOHOM. TRS 866.85000 MOT
SNOHOM. TRS 867.77500 MOT
SNOHOM. TRS 868.66250 MOT

ID CODES:
00048 -- Everett PD Dispatch 1
00176 -- Everett Tac 1
00592 -- Unknown
01648 -- South Snohomish County PD
02416 -- Snohomish County PD Dispatch
04816 -- Snohomish County PD 1 ALL
04848 -- Snohomish County Tac 1
04880 -- Lynnwood
04912 -- Snohomish County Tac 2
09616 -- Marysville PD
09648 -- Marysville PD Tac
45520 -- RNTF?
46032 -- Narc 1
46320 -- Narc 2

--
Foreverman

5042. Re: Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-25 00:32:18 UTC
From: Earl J <mrekj@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <iLWdnZRNw-UGdTDenZ2dnUVZ_tudnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 10754

Thanks but I have the Snohomish Freq. What do you have for Seattle
talkgroups? I got mine from trunktracker several years ago and have added a
few I scanned for but wonder if there are others out there I don't have.
Please respond to my personal email. I tried yours but didn't get through.
Earl
"Foreverman" <Foreverman.20jvin@news.radiobanter.com> wrote in message
news:Foreverman.20jvin@news.radiobanter.com...
>
>

5043. Re: SCANNING USA, How They Really Feel!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-25 02:51:49 UTC
From: Dale Lash <daleanita@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <9Pnrf.1884$wQ3.819@trnddc05>
Source record: 10755

Yeah Bill I read that too. Really makes you want to go out and spend 6 bucks on a magazine that is written by people who apparently could careless if they have anyone reading it.

Dale

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message news:xK6dnR7dLcsS3ubenZ2dnUVZ_tOdnZ2d@comcast.com...
> I picked up the December 2005 issue of SCANNING USA magazine yesterday. On
> page 8, under a title of "The News From Ground Zero", with a sub title of
> "Behind The Scenes, The Scanner Community Uncensored", was an interesting
> story directed at their customers!
>
> The first paragraph begins with the words, "Obsessive, hostile, combative,
> angry and paranoid"! They claim these words apply to "to those of the
> scanner community"! Later they qualify that by saying this applies to a
> small percentage, about 10%, but they said it just the same. The entire
> story talks about all the different kinds of abuse they receive from
> unsatisfied customers, attempting to inquire about the status of their
> subscription.
>
> The article go's on about how obsessed some scanner listeners are, and sites
> a few examples of occurrences that have been in the news. The story quickly
> changes to how professional SCANNING MAGAZINE staff is, and how serious they
> take the hobby.
>
> According to SCANNING USA magazine, "We feel that to get a subscription is a
> privilege" If you rattle their cage they will "REFUSE your order". It must
> be nice to be so successful, and have so much business, that you can take
> this attitude! It's interesting to note, no one took credit for this
> article, as it was nameless!
>
> I had attempted to subscribe to SCANNING USA myself, several months back.
> Some of you may recall, I inquired on "RR" as to how long this process took,
> as I had been waiting over three months. I wasn't obsessive, hostile,
> combative, angry or paranoid. I just asked, because like everyone, you want
> to get what you paid for. Some of you suggested I call them. I didn't
> because I didn't think it should be necessary. I'm glad now I didn't. God
> forbid I might upset someone!

>
> My personal feelings are that it's very apparent that no one ever told the
> staff at SCANNING USA that "the customer is always right". Now we all know
> that isn't a true statement, but in business, when you're selling a
product,
> it almost always applies, if you want to keep customers. I've worked many
> jobs where I've had to deal with obsessive, hostile, combative, angry and
> paranoid clients, in the computer industry for many years. I never had the
> luxury to tell them I will "REFUSE" them service. Nor have I ever read in
> any other magazine, the staff complaining about how some of their
customers
> treat them! I'm paying $6.00 for a magazine about "SCANNING", not
"WHINING"!
>
> On the positive side, I liked SCANNING USA magazine, as evidence by the
fact
> that I just paid $6.00 for it off the news stand (because my attempt to
> subscribe failed). But it's not something I can't live without. In the 60
> pages, only 25 pages were what I would call useful content. The balance
was
> ads, and 29 pages of frequency updates, from around the nation, aka
filler.
> I also like Monitoring Times, and Popular Communications magazines, both
of
> which I subscribed to with no problems. Neither one of these magazines
have
> ever complained about their customers! Maybe because they don't have
> internal problems servicing their customers.
>
>

5044. Re: Digital Scanner - Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-25 04:53:35 UTC
From: "J. Mc Laughlin" <jcm@power-net.net>
Message-ID: <11qs9c8ccmlrb71@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 10756

I did get a BCD396T more-or-less working on the Genesee County digital system. Many stations have chopped audio. Not favorably impressed. Supposedly, the Genesee County Simulcast system has two control channels. Should the radio be set to use both? The radio seems to respond when listening to only 868.8875 (with some stations having chopped audio) as a data channel. The manual is not clear.

Regards, Mac

--
J. Mc Laughlin; Michigan U.S.A.
Home: JCM@Power-Net.Net
"J. Mc Laughlin" <jcm@power-net.net> wrote in message
news:11ovgtaljgho590@corp.supernews.com...
> Dear Mr. Klein & Mr. Williams:
> Useful ideas. I do not have the time to "play" with the programming
> program at the moment, but it is wise to download same. It is reasonable
to
> believe, from reading the radio's manual, that programming will be
> reasonably straight forward. I do not have to unlearn anything.
> I have a charger for two batteries. Excellent idea to acquire a
charger
> capable of dealing with three batteries.
>
> The APCO site suggests that our local Michigan digital system may not
be
> converted (by shifting everything down 15 MHz) for some time as we are on
> the Canadian side of Line A.
>
> Thanks for your assistance. The box with radio has arrived and awaits
> Christmas. Regards, Mac
>
> --
> J. Mc Laughlin; Michigan U.S.A.
> Home: JCM@Power-Net.Net

5045. Re: SCANNING USA, How They Really Feel!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-25 05:06:23 UTC
From: Buzzygirl <buzzygirl@nospam.comcast.net>
Message-ID: <QK6dnXA0Q4pXtTPenZ2dnUVZ_sGdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 10757

"Dale Lash" <daleanita@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:9Pnrf.1884$wQ3.819@trnddc05...
> Yeah Bill I read that too. Really makes you want to go out and spend 6
> bucks on a magazine that is written by people who apparently could
careless
> if they have anyone reading it.

I once bought an issue of this magazine and found it almost laughable--
extremely poor editing, numerous typos, poor grammar and just plain
uninteresting subject material. One issue is all it took me to realize that
what they're proffering ain't worth the money spent.

Jackie

5046. Re: Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-25 06:45:07 UTC
From: Damien Vryce <me@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <Xns9736E78B111C6pokemeinvalid@216.196.97.131>
Source record: 10758

"Earl J" <mrekj@comcast.net>, feigning moral superiority, sputtered incoherently in news:iLWdnZRNw-UGdTDenZ2dnUVZ_tudnZ2d@comcast.com:

> What do you have for Seattle
> talkgroups?

Try this: http://www.northwestradio.com/interceptnw/king.htm

--
bXdqb2huc29uMiBhdCBob3RtYWlsLmNvbQ==

5047. TV Antenna for Scanning?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-25 08:07:01 UTC
From: jon <jonrev1978@wmconnect.com>
Message-ID: <1135498020.967179.71660@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10759

Greetings! This has probably been asked many times before so please forgive me for asking again, but how well does an outdoor TV antenna work for scanning? I figure it would tend to be somewhat directional which is not all bad if you are trying to hear a certain direction, but how much back end rejection would one expect?
My main scanner is a Radio Shack 2037, no trunking abliities. It goes through 956mgz I believe.
I had also thought about using a splitter to go to the main TV, and then also to my small TV and to the scanner via another switch. Would that degrade the input signal to much to make it of real value? I also have other antennas for use with the scanner so I would have other choices for antennas.
Just curious. Merry Christmas to all! Jon in South Carolina.

5048. Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania Scanner Resource

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-25 14:10:51 UTC
From: Removed X & D's to email <XXDrec9140XXD@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <qm9tq1dlf0mave2ksmv6m121062uvbjk4p@4ax.com>
Source record: 10760
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

If Santa brought you a new scanner this year then your probably
looking for the information you need to program in your local
agencies.

Head to:

http://www.wpascanner.com

For information on the greater Pittsburgh area and surroudning areas
in West Virginia and Ohio.

Got questions? Get answers from other fellow monitors in the area at
the WPASCANNER Mailing List

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/wpascanner/

Ask a question about your new scanner, get input on accessories, get
information on new frequencies and other resources.

Want to know about the action as it happens?

Then sign up for the FREE Western Pennsylvania Incident Notification
service for your SMS or alpha pager.

http://upoc.com/group.jsp?group=wpaincidents

This is a FREE SERVICE (carrier charges still apply if applicable to
your carrier, service and/or plan).

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

5049. Radio Shack Scanner Clearance!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-25 16:13:16 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Ed2dnQ0ZgZOCWDPenZ2dnUVZ_sqdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 10761

Radio Shack

The following scanners are on clearance @ $99.97:

PRO-2052 (Uniden, great for MilAir)

PRO-2054 (GRE, low-end, discontinued upon introduction???)

PRO-95 (GRE, well known hand-held)

Of course we're all aware these will be affected by re-banding. However, at that price, you may want to pick one up for VHF/UHF stuff!

http://tinyurl.com/e2x58

Bill Crocker

5050. Re: TV Antenna for Scanning?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-25 16:51:15 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery28146@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <76Arf.10192$nm.2887@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 10762

"jon" <jonrev1978@wmconnect.com> wrote in message
news:1135498020.967179.71660@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Greetings! This has probably been asked many times before so please
> forgive me for asking again, but how well does an outdoor TV antenna
> work for scanning? I figure it would tend to be somewhat directional
> which is not all bad if you are trying to hear a certain direction, but
> how much back end rejection would one expect?
> My main scanner is a Radio Shack 2037, no trunking abliities. It goes
> through 956mgz I believe.
> I had also thought about using a splitter to go to the main TV, and
> then also to my small TV and to the scanner via another switch. Would
> that degrade the input signal to much to make it of real value? I also
> have other antennas for use with the scanner so I would have other
> choices for antennas.
> Just curious. Merry Christmas to all! Jon in South Carolina.

Some have reported good results with the TV antennas. Only problem with it
is that you have to turn the elements vertical. There is about a 20 db
signal loss if you don't.

5051. Re: TV Antenna for Scanning?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-25 17:42:11 UTC
From: Reloader <Reloader.20m6uq@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Reloader.20m6uq@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 10765

jon Wrote:
> Greetings! This has probably been asked many times before so please
> forgive me for asking again, but how well does an outdoor TV antenna
> work for scanning? I figure it would tend to be somewhat directional
> which is not all bad if you are trying to hear a certain direction,
> but
> how much back end rejection would one expect?
> My main scanner is a Radio Shack 2037, no trunking abliities. It goes
> through 956mgz I believe.
> I had also thought about using a splitter to go to the main TV, and
> then also to my small TV and to the scanner via another switch. Would
> that degrade the input signal to much to make it of real value? I
> also
> have other antennas for use with the scanner so I would have other
> choices for antennas.
> Just curious. Merry Christmas to all! Jon in South Carolina.

The scanner directional antennas are basically, a TV antenna rotated 90 degrees. You can just turn the TV antenna on its side, and drill mounting holes. This is what the Grove Omni-Beam antenna is. You can cut off the UHF TV "wings" and it will work as a directional. Just aim the "fingers" in the direction of the signal to receive. Sorry of this is not technical enough.

--
Reloader

5052. Re: Outdoor Scanner Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-25 19:04:20 UTC
From: "J. Mc Laughlin" <jcm@power-net.net>
Message-ID: <11qtr7e7fvdhg76@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 10763

Antennas are my field. What Mr. Klein says about the useful frequency range of a discone antenna is right. A reasonably well designed discone antenna will have about a 3:1 frequency range because the pattern degrades as the frequency increases. It is also true that the SWR of a discone usually remains under 2:1 for a significantly wider than 3:1 frequency ratio. Of course, SWR is no measure at all of an antenna's performance.

Another example of an antenna with a far larger SWR bandwidth (to coin a phrase) than pattern bandwidth is the terminated rhombic antenna. A well designed rhombic has 2:1 or perhaps 2.5:1 pattern bandwidth and a huge SWR bandwidth.

When doing propagation measurements in West Va. over 40 years ago, I came across a small rhombic in a front yard being used to receive TV signals. The man of the house had been in the Signal Corps.

One last note: almost anything will "work" as an antenna. The issue should be how well does the antenna work, never whether it works.

Regards, Mac

.... slowly finding some of the "secret instructions" of my brand new BCD396T.

--
J. Mc Laughlin; Michigan U.S.A.
Home: JCM@Power-Net.Net

5053. Re: Trunking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-25 20:47:54 UTC
From: doug.girard@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1135543674.715538.197980@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10764

Lisha,

If you want to tap into local police and fire bands, you'll need to get a radio scanner which supports trunking. The easy way to get started is to run down to Radio Shack and buy one -- they range between $80 and $250 for handheld and base units.

There are a few things you should know first, however. There are typically several police frequencies allocated for each district. You should start by trying to find these out. Radio Shack sells a guide which covers tri-state areas and is an excellent source. There are also some good database references on the internet. Anyway, once you find out these frequencies, you'll want to make sure you get a scanner which can listen in on these.

Usually around the 866 MHz range or 155 MHz range, most scanners will pick these up. You'll be able to tune in the scanners for a specific channel. However, most scanners are not usually used in this fashion (listening to only one frequency at a time). This is okay for listening to one-way weather advisories and such. However, most of the time you will scan through many frequencies and listen in on active ones. When it becomes inactive (conversation silence), you can stay put or keep scanning. However, you should know most most public organizations will not simply broadcast a single conversation back and forth on a single frequency; instead they use a different scheme.

With about a dozen frequencies allocated to them, a police station will often implement what's called trunking. Special units are placed in the trunks of police cars so that when an officer wants to make a call, the unit finds an open frequency in the set and broadcasts on this. In the transmission it also includes a special group ID code which uniquely identifies the broadcast group. The problem with only using one frequency is if many cops are sharing the same single frequency, it's quite common to have to wait in order to broadcast. With trunking, they can seamlessly broadcast without worry and without waiting for the line to clear.

Therefore, to a person listening on one frequency, they will only hear sporadic conversations. This is because it's possible for a "conversation" to initiate on Freq A, be replied to on Freq B, be sent the third time on Freq C, et cetera.

To tune in to a single conversation, it's important to get a trunking compatible scanner. When you program in a group of frequencies for a fire or police department into a trunking set, you can scan through the whole set. This will allow you to listen to all the conversations on

this trunking set. When you find a particular conversation you want to hone in on, you can HOLD DOWN a trunking button (at least that's how you do it on the Radio Shack Dual Trunking model) and it will detect the group ID being used and subsequently filter all conversations down to only this group ID. Then you'll be able to hear a cop radio to dispatch and vice versa, following an entire conversation thread end to end.

One more thing, for trunking to work, each trunking set needs a dedicated control frequency to send control messages. You can find out which is the control by listening to the loud modem-like noises or reference a comprehensive guide.

Also, there are two main types of trunking protocols, Motorola and EDACS, as far as I know. Make sure your unit can support what your local area uses. Happy scanning!

- D

Indexing topics: Want to access police or fire frequencies with a scanner? How does police scanning work? What is trunking and how does it work? How do I get started with scanning?

Lisha wrote:
> This might sound like a stupid question but I never understood what trucking
> actually mean???
>
> Lisha
> "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:riRfa.27984$S%3.1644725@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > Hi everyone,
> >
> > I'm sure everyone is going to sigh in boredom when they hear this
> > question, but I just bought a Pro-95 Scanner and I'm completely lost
> > to the whole trunking thing. I've read the manual three times, I've
> > checked out trunking web sites, and even read a site that pretty much
> > rewrote the manual. None of these help. I think my brain is in a
> > stage of rot. Can someone start me off from the beginning, say, by
> > teaching me how to program a basic Mot II trunking system in my
> > scanner? What all do I need to do? Please give it to me step by
> > step, and maybe then I can advance on to Edacs, and then possibly to
> > Mot I where it also requires fleet maps. They need a trunking book
> > for dummies because I really have no clue and would appreciate the
> > most basic help I can get.
> >
> > For example, here is a set of frequencies that is published on the
> > trunkedradio.net for Radioland in Floyds Knobs, IN. What would I do
> > with it starting from the beginning?
> >
> > System Name: Radioland 900Mhz (Indiana)
> > Location: Floyds Knobs, IN
> > County: Floyd

> > System Type: Motorola Type II Smartzone
> > Last Updated: 03-16-02 17:01
> > Hits: 14
> >
> > System Frequencies
> > Site Description Freqs
> > 001 Site-1 936.6875 936.7125 936.7250 936.7375 936.7500 937.6375
> > 937.6500 937.6625 937.6750 937.6875
> >
> > Thanks so much! Once I get the hang of this I know I could do
> > everything else on my own!
> >
> > Another question, do all of the trunked systems have to start on
> > channel 00 in the banks, or can I have multiple trunked systems in
> > the banks? I believe I can only have motorla in one band and edacs
> > in another, but can I have multiple systems in each bank? For
> > instance, since edacs must be in order, can I have 12 frequencies of
> > edacs starting from channel 400 going to channel 411, and then have
> > another edacs system starting from channel 412 to channel 430?
> >
> > Muchas gracias!
> >
> > --
> > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL
> > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

5054. BCD396T questions 12/26/2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-26 14:33:23 UTC
From: "J. Mc Laughlin" <jcm@power-net.net>
Message-ID: <11qvvna1bjggo20@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 10766

My BCD396T contains firmware version 1.07.01. The radio is expected to be used only to monitor the Michigan APCO-25 system.

I was not able to find the answers to the following in the BCD396T's manual:

1. With the radio off and the charger plugged in, page 34 indicates that when the batteries are fully charged one will see the message: "Charge Complete." After many hours of such charging, I still see the charging message: " Normal Charging."
Is the manual correct and eventually I will see a Charge Complete message?

2. Uniden's site provides a vague sentence description of the enhancement between 1.08 and 1.09 versions of the radio's firmware.
What is/are the improvements between 1.07 and 1.08? Have improvements been noted with the use of 1.08 or 1.09?

Thank you. Regards, Mac

--
J. Mc Laughlin; Michigan U.S.A.
Home: JCM@Power-Net.Net

5055. Re: BCD396T questions 12/26/2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-26 15:32:43 UTC
From: "J. Mc Laughlin" <jcm@power-net.net>
Message-ID: <11r036ij9c4s0fa@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 10767

Question 1 has been answered. After a very long time, "Charge Complete"
appeared.

Another couple of questions:
3. On the right hand side of the second line down from the top is an
arrow pointing up. I have not found the significance of the arrow in the
manual. What does the arrow signify?

4. The ID Numbers displayed by the radio have equaled the specific users
shown by Radio Reference's site. However, the radio has some ID numbers
replaced with a name that is displayed in place of an ID number. Uniden
placed these substitute names into the radio.
How does one discover the actual ID number when a substitute name
appears?

Thanks, Mac

--
J. Mc Laughlin; Michigan U.S.A.
Home: JCM@Power-Net.Net
"J. Mc Laughlin" <jcm@power-net.net> wrote in message
news:11qvvna1bjggo20@corp.supernews.com...
> My BCD396T contains firmware version 1.07.01. The radio is expected to be
> used only to monitor the Michigan APCO-25 system.
>
> I was not able to find the answers to the following in the BCD396T's
manual:
>
<snip>
>
> 2. Uniden's site provides a vague sentence description of the
enhancement
> between 1.08 and 1.09 versions of the radio's firmware.
> What is/are the improvements between 1.07 and 1.08? Have improvements
> been noted with the use of 1.08 or 1.09?
>
> Thank you. Regards, Mac
>
> --
> J. Mc Laughlin; Michigan U.S.A.
> Home: JCM@Power-Net.Net
>
>

5056. REQ: Scanner Freqs Of All In NorthEast PA.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-26 16:00:36 UTC
From: Nick <doglover@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7r40r199s7jmbe6boep9jergokkra6v12f@4ax.com>
Source record: 10768

Interested in Carbon, Schuykill, Monroe, Luzerne Counties For Sure

5057. Re: Scanner Freqs Of All In NorthEast PA.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-26 16:23:39 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <a5OdnXDGo8CThC3enZ2dnUVZ_vudnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 10769

Click on: http://www.radioreference.com

Then click on Database near the top.

Bill Crocker

"Nick" <doglover@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:7r40r199s7jmbe6boep9jergokkra6v12f@4ax.com...
> Interested in Carbon, Schuykill, Monroe, Luzerne Counties For Sure

5058. Re: BCD396T questions 12/26/2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-26 16:32:47 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <wsKdnW9SfNiohi3enZ2dnUVZ_tSdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 10770

I don't have the BCD396T, however, Radio Reference has a lot of resources for the owners of the BCD396T. Even the person who designed it, Paul Opitz (aka; UPMan) is accessible via email, and responds to this site, supporting Uniden scanners.

http://www.radioreference.com

Bill Crocker

"J. Mc Laughlin" <jcm@power-net.net> wrote in message news:11qvvna1bjggo20@corp.supernews.com...
> My BCD396T contains firmware version 1.07.01. The radio is expected to be
> used only to monitor the Michigan APCO-25 system.
>
> I was not able to find the answers to the following in the BCD396T's
> manual:
>
> 1. With the radio off and the charger plugged in, page 34 indicates that
> when the batteries are fully charged one will see the message: "Charge
> Complete." After many hours of such charging, I still see the charging
> message: " Normal Charging."
> Is the manual correct and eventually I will see a Charge Complete
> message?
>
> 2. Uniden's site provides a vague sentence description of the
> enhancement
> between 1.08 and 1.09 versions of the radio's firmware.
> What is/are the improvements between 1.07 and 1.08? Have improvements
> been noted with the use of 1.08 or 1.09?
>
> Thank you. Regards, Mac
>
> --
> J. Mc Laughlin; Michigan U.S.A.
> Home: JCM@Power-Net.Net
>
>

5059. Re: Cellular Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-26 17:55:31 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <n8Wrf.221$HI6.96@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 10771

"Barry OGrady" <atheist.xxx@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:ns7vq19kcjfgb27u6jfsdsoa3c0bi48uip@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 19 Nov 2005 20:56:54 -0500, Bob <bob@atlantis.edu> wrote:
>
>>Cellular is digitally encoded, NOT encrypted. Go buy yourself a GSM
>>service monitor (if you have about $15 to $20 to spare) and you can
>>listen to your heart's content. You'll need a CDMA and a TDMA one also
>>if you want to hear most the traffic.
>
> In your dreams.
>
>>B.
>
> Barry
> =====
> Home page
> http://members.iinet.net.au/~barry.og

LOL, I missed this post. I don't suppose bob has a list of online places to
buy these "service monitors" for $20.00 huh?
--
"Everything in excess! To enjoy the flavor of life, take big bites.
Moderation is for monks."
[Lazarus Long]

5060. Re: Cellular Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-26 18:07:21 UTC
From: Me <Me@shadow.orgs>
Message-ID: <Me-595859.09081926122005@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 10772

In article <n8Wrf.221$Hl6.96@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
"Alex Clayton" <alexx1400@yahoo.com> wrote:

> "Barry OGrady" <atheist.xxx@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:ns7vq19kcjfgb27u6jfsdsoa3c0bi48uip@4ax.com...
> > On Sat, 19 Nov 2005 20:56:54 -0500, Bob <bob@atlantis.edu> wrote:
> >
> >>Cellular is digitally encoded, NOT encrypted. Go buy yourself a GSM
> >>service monitor (if you have about $15 to $20 to spare) and you can
> >>listen to your heart's content. You'll need a CDMA and a TDMA one also
> >>if you want to hear most the traffic.
> >
> > In your dreams.
> >
> >>B.
> >
> > Barry
> > =====
> > Home page
> > http://members.iinet.net.au/~barry.og
>
> LOL, I missed this post. I don't suppose bob has a list of online places to
> buy these "service monitors" for $20.00 huh?

He just forgot to add the K ($15K to $20K) on the end of the dollar
amount......

Me

5061. pro-2006 mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-26 21:28:56 UTC
From: steve bowyer <steveb@localhost.localdomain>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.12.26.21.28.55.445123@localhost.localdomain>
Source record: 10773

Is the mod file for 6400 channels as posted on http://www.mods.dk correct?
Specifically how can pin 4 of the new IC match pin 1? Looks like
this Should be pin 3 (not 4) to match pin 1. What gives?

5062. Pro-2006 mods - 6400 channel mod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-26 21:42:17 UTC
From: steve bowyer <steveb@localhost.localdomain>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.12.26.21.42.14.975771@localhost.localdomain>
Source record: 10774

The 6400 channel mod as described in http://www.mods.dk says to cut the trace between ic 505 pins 28 and 26, then install a jumper from ic501 pin 67 to ic505 pin 28. However my pro-2006 schematic shows the pad at 28 already connected to ic501 pin 67 via the pad at 26. My question is why not just eliminate the jumper, slice the pin 26 lead from its pad and run to the 5 volt pull up directly to 26.

I'd like to hear from anyone who did this mod about how they handled this. Maybe the mod works exactly as stated?

Thanks, Steve B.

5063. Re: REQ: Scanner Freqs Of All In NorthEast PA.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-26 22:08:33 UTC
From: vpsmith <vpsmith@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <BRZrf.704$M%4.270@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 10775

Nick wrote:
> Interested in Carbon, Schuykill, Monroe, Luzerne Counties For Sure
If you subscribe to National Communications, you have free, unlimited access to the current Bearcat CD -- listing all frequencies througout the country, organized by county.

5064. Re: REQ: Scanner Freqs Of All In NorthEast PA.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-26 22:47:41 UTC
From: Nick <doglover@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <tms0r1dbdn180fafm4f4ujk20uqa0lcp5l@4ax.com>
Source record: 10776

Thanks, VPSmith. However In another Post I found a free one that gives
me county and state freqs. for free. . Nick
http://www.radioreference.com

5065. Re: BCD396T questions 12/26/2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-26 23:37:07 UTC
From: "J. Mc Laughlin" <jcm@power-net.net>
Message-ID: <11r0vip4c1ra9fa@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 10777

Dear Mr. Crocker:
Thank you for your message.
I went to the Radio Reference site and spent a long time looking for
useful information about a BCD396T. Alas, I was not able to find anything
at all.

I will appreciate a URL that goes to the page on the Radio Reference
site that provides useful information on the BCD396T. I was not able to
find such a page.

Regards, Mac

--
J. Mc Laughlin; Michigan U.S.A.
Home: JCM@Power-Net.Net
"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:wsKdnW9SfNiohi3enZ2dnUVZ_tSdnZ2d@comcast.com...
> I don't have the BCD396T, however, Radio Reference has a lot of resources
> for the owners of the BCD396T. Even the person who designed it, Paul
Opitz
> (aka; UPMan) is accessible via email, and responds to this site,
supporting
> Uniden scanners.
>
> http://www.radioreference.com
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
>
>
> "J. Mc Laughlin" <jcm@power-net.net> wrote in message
> news:11qvvna1bjggo20@corp.supernews.com...
> > My BCD396T contains firmware version 1.07.01. The radio is expected to
be
> > used only to monitor the Michigan APCO-25 system.
> >
> > I was not able to find the answers to the following in the BCD396T's
> > manual:
> >
<snip>
> >
> > 2. Uniden's site provides a vague sentence description of the
> > enhancement
> > between 1.08 and 1.09 versions of the radio's firmware.

> > What is/are the improvements between 1.07 and 1.08? Have
improvements
> > been noted with the use of 1.08 or 1.09?
> >
> > Thank you. Regards, Mac
> >
> > --
> > J. Mc Laughlin; Michigan U.S.A.
> > Home: JCM@Power-Net.Net
> >
> >
>
>

5066. Re: BCD396T questions 12/26/2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-27 00:16:56 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <bc2dnbU7ospgGi3enZ2dnUVZ_s6dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 10778

You will have to create an account for yourself before you can post
anything. Just make up a nick-name, and a password. Then click here, and
post your questions:

http://www.radioreference.com/forums/forumdisplay.php?f=82

Bill Crocker

"J. Mc Laughlin" <jcm@power-net.net> wrote in message
news:11r0vip4c1ra9fa@corp.supernews.com...
> Dear Mr. Crocker:
> Thank you for your message.
> I went to the Radio Reference site and spent a long time looking for
> useful information about a BCD396T. Alas, I was not able to find anything
> at all.
>
> I will appreciate a URL that goes to the page on the Radio Reference
> site that provides useful information on the BCD396T. I was not able to
> find such a page.
>
> Regards, Mac
>
> --
> J. Mc Laughlin; Michigan U.S.A.
> Home: JCM@Power-Net.Net
> "Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:wsKdnW9SfNiohi3enZ2dnUVZ_tSdnZ2d@comcast.com...
>> I don't have the BCD396T, however, Radio Reference has a lot of resources
>> for the owners of the BCD396T. Even the person who designed it, Paul
> Opitz
>> (aka; UPMan) is accessible via email, and responds to this site,
> supporting
>> Uniden scanners.
>>
>> http://www.radioreference.com
>>
>> Bill Crocker
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> "J. Mc Laughlin" <jcm@power-net.net> wrote in message
>> news:11qvvna1bjggo20@corp.supernews.com...
>> > My BCD396T contains firmware version 1.07.01. The radio is expected to
> be

>> > used only to monitor the Michigan APCO-25 system.
>> >
>> > I was not able to find the answers to the following in the BCD396T's
>> > manual:
>> >
> <snip>
>> >
>> > 2. Uniden's site provides a vague sentence description of the
>> > enhancement
>> > between 1.08 and 1.09 versions of the radio's firmware.
>> > What is/are the improvements between 1.07 and 1.08? Have
> improvements
>> > been noted with the use of 1.08 or 1.09?
>> >
>> > Thank you. Regards, Mac
>> >
>> > --
>> > J. Mc Laughlin; Michigan U.S.A.
>> > Home: JCM@Power-Net.Net
>> >
>> >
>>
>>
>
>

5067. acarsd command line

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-27 00:19:55 UTC
From: dogdog@noemail.com
Message-ID: <pan.2005.12.27.00.15.07.864792@noemail.com>
Source record: 10779

Been looking over acarsd on linux but it doesnt look like it
will take a wav file in for decoding. Anyone know if acarsd
running on linux will process recorded wav files, or .raw files?

Also, where would one find the signal information about acars?
I'd like to see how decoders are written and figured this would
be a good starting point, especially since acarsd is allowing
a library.

tia
dogdog

5068. Re: JACARS Acars Decoder Program ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-27 00:24:17 UTC
From: John Hueckstead <jwhac4ca@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <gc21r1pebos3pkh7mmpf7o0v567edcm45c@4ax.com>
Source record: 10780

Try

http://leclair.homedns.org/jacars/ 73 -AC4CA

On Sat, 17 Sep 2005 19:21:47 -0400, "Robert11" <rgsros@notme.com>
wrote:

>Hi,
>
>Heard about an ACARS decoder program called jacars.
>
>Can't seem to find a link to it that works.
>
>Anyone know anything about it, or how to get it ?
>
>Thnks,
>Bob
>

5069. Re: BCD396T questions 12/26/2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-27 02:35:02 UTC
From: Chuck <ChuckBJ@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <71a1r1hjk8ioh86mtr9kmo6qi91j05eoj7@4ax.com>
Source record: 10781

After about 14 hours, you will get a "charge complete" message. It is
a timer based system, not a current based charger.

>My BCD396T contains firmware version 1.07.01. The radio is expected to be
>used only to monitor the Michigan APCO-25 system.
>
>I was not able to find the answers to the following in the BCD396T's manual:
>
>1. With the radio off and the charger plugged in, page 34 indicates that
>when the batteries are fully charged one will see the message: "Charge
>Complete." After many hours of such charging, I still see the charging
>message: " Normal Charging."
> Is the manual correct and eventually I will see a Charge Complete
>message?
>
>2. Uniden's site provides a vague sentence description of the enhancement
>between 1.08 and 1.09 versions of the radio's firmware.
> What is/are the improvements between 1.07 and 1.08? Have improvements
>been noted with the use of 1.08 or 1.09?
>
>Thank you. Regards, Mac

5070. Re: PRO-95 trunking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-27 06:11:33 UTC
From: Paul Hirose <jvcmz89uwf@earINVALIDthlink.net>
Message-ID: <pW4sf.389$HI6.7@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 10782

>> > System Name: Radioland 900Mhz (Indiana)
>> > Location: Floyds Knobs, IN
>> > County: Floyd
>> > System Type: Motorola Type II Smartzone
>> >
>> > System Frequencies
>> > Site Description Freqs
>> > 001 Site-1 936.6875 936.7125 936.7250 936.7375 936.7500 937.6375
>> > 937.6500 937.6625 937.6750 937.6875

First off, I have never programmed a PRO-95 in the 900 MHz range, but it should go like this:

First pick a scanner bank that's empty or at least doesn't have anything important. Load the above freqs as if they were conventional channels. In my case, Bank 9 is free so I'd store them in channel 900, 901, etc. Now scan those channels and listen for the one that emits a continuous data stream (buzz or roar). That's the control channel. When the PRO-95 stops there, press MODE until the mode indicator in the upper right part of the screen says MO. (Available choices are FM, MO, ED, AM.) If the PRO-95 is reading the data ok, you'll see MOT: CNTRL appear at the bottom of the screen. (If people are talking, you'll also see their talkgroup numbers on the screen.) Lock out the other channels you entered.

Press PGM then TRUNK. Then press MODE until MOT appears in the bottom line of the screen. (Available choices are "not trunked", MOT, and ED.) You'll see some other stuff on the screen; ignore it. MOT is the important setting.

Finally press SCAN and you should be in business.

You could delete the other channels instead of locking them out, but I wouldn't, since some systems rotate the control channel among the assigned freqs. If you turn on the scanner some day and find the control channel dead, it's probably been moved to one of those other freqs.

Note that there's a difference between setting a *channel* to trunked mode (described in my second paragraph) and setting a *bank* to trunked mode (third paragraph). These are two independent things.

You can set a channel to trunked mode even if it's stored in a bank that's not set for trunking. Why would you do that? Well, suppose you have a freq that sounds like a trunked system control channel. For a quick check, just store it in any free channel. Try setting the

channel to MO or ED mode as you watch the display. That'll immediately reveal if it's carrying Motorola or EDACS trunking data. For this, the bank's trunking setting doesn't matter.

It also doesn't matter if a channel is set to a non-trunked (FM or AM) mode. Such a channel is scanned like a conventional channel, regardless of the bank trunking setting. In theory a bunch of conventional channels can share a bank with a trunked system. In practice I have found that doesn't work so hot. There's no quick way to focus the scanner on the trunked system if an incident is breaking there. I have to keep punching the lockout button to silence the conventional channels sharing the bank. Then later I must remember to unlock them. The whole business is such a hassle that I don't share banks, though it means several hundred channels aren't available for conventional use.

A Motorola control freq doesn't have to be stored in the first channel of a bank. There can even be more than one active control channel in a bank. (You might set the scanner up that way if a trunked system has more than one repeater site within receiving range.) HOWEVER, the PRO-95 will lock onto only one control channel and ignore any others in that bank.

With EDACS, I think the last 2 digits of the channel number in the PRO-95 must match the LCN (the EDACS channel number). For example, the freq for LCN 3 could be stored in scanner channel 103 or 203 etc., but not in 153.

--
Paul Hirose <jvcmz89uwf@earINVALIDthlink.net>
To reply by email remove INVALID

5071. Re: TV Antenna for Scanning?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-27 06:19:49 UTC
From: jon <jonrev1978@wmconnect.com>
Message-ID: <1135664389.607089.164550@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10783

Greetings to All!
Thanks for the input! Reloader, I don't understand the advantage of removing the UHF elements. It would seem to me that it would be an advantage to leave them on for UHF reception. Help me with this if you can. Thanks a bunch for what you have given and in advance for any other help you can offer! Have a great new year! Jon in South Carolina.

5072. Re: TV Antenna for Scanning?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-27 06:25:34 UTC
From: jon <jonrev1978@wmconnect.com>
Message-ID: <1135664734.712001.228190@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10784

Greetings Ralph!
I can see from what you have said that it would not then be practical to use it for both TV and scanner. I would bet my 13 element 2 meter beam would do a dandy job for directional use. What do you use for scanner antennas? Thanks for your input and thanks in advance for any additional help you may offer! Jon in South Carolina.

5073. Re: Radio Shack Scanner Clearance!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-27 06:35:51 UTC
From: Rob <retkaa@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135665351.645916.17540@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10785

You guys are so lucky! I just moved to Canada, and the prices here are crazy! Trunking scanners start at over $350CAD.

Radio Shack won't ship to Canada...and I am 6 hours from the US border, so a drive is out of the question. But I'll figure out a way of getting hold of one!

Any advice out there???
Rob

5074. Re: acarsd command line

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-27 08:13:15 UTC
From: Hans Muecke <luv4tn@arcor.de>
Message-ID: <43b167c9$0$3799$9b4e6d93@newsread2.arcor-online.net>
Source record: 10788

Montag, 26. Dezember 2005 18:19
dogdog@noemail.com wrote in rec.radio.scanner:

> Been looking over acarsd on linux but it doesnt look like it
> will take a wav file in for decoding. Anyone know if acarsd
> running on linux will process recorded wav files, or .raw files?
>
> Also, where would one find the signal information about acars?
> I'd like to see how decoders are written and figured this would
> be a good starting point, especially since acarsd is allowing
> a library.

Hi dogdog,

Maybe you should ask this question in acarsd@yahoogroups.com. You`ll find
the author there ...

Talk to you later ... Hans from Germany
--
2005/12/27 07:20
EDDS 270720Z 10003KT 9999 FEW015 SCT080 BKN250 M04/M05 Q1003 2559//95 TEMPO
4000 SN BKN012

5075. Re: Radio Shack Scanner Clearance!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-27 11:40:06 UTC
From: "St. John Smythe" <sinjen@n4vu.com>
Message-ID: <dor8pbucp1@n4vu2.n4vu.com>
Source record: 10786

Rob wrote:
> Radio Shack won't ship to Canada...and I am 6 hours from the US border,
> so a drive is out of the question. But I'll figure out a way of
> getting hold of one!
>
> Any advice out there???

Re-think a six-hour drive being out of the question.

--
St. John
"I don't like spinach, and I'm glad I don't, because if I liked it I'd
eat it, and I just hate it."
-Clarence Darrow

5076. Re: Radio Shack Scanner Clearance!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-27 15:02:26 UTC
From: Eric Ferguson <GEFERG.KBHR@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <6lcsf.268$xz.261@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 10787

Don't know your circumstances, but, a six hour drive seems like nothing. I regularly drive 12 hours just to see a friend. (And one of my scanners is on the dash listening to various agencies. Saved me a few tickets. I drive with a lead foot <g>. But not always.)

Have any friends that could buy a state side scanner?

--
Tune Out .KBHR to reply me

5077. Scanners / scanning in Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-27 16:58:43 UTC
From: Rob <retkaa@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135702723.300819.21730@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10789

Hello all.

I've just moved from the UK to Canada, and could use some advice!

1st...how can I get hold of a trunking scanner for a good price (like radio-shack prices, since there is no radio shack in Canada anymore!)?

2nd...What is the new trunking system that is coming in 2006? Will that affect the trunking system in Canada as well? I believe they use the GE Ericsson system in Edmonton.

3rd...any good frequency resources for the Edmonton area?

Thanks in advance for the help. Much appreciated!
Rob

5078. Re: Radio Shack Scanner Clearance!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-27 20:10:43 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com>
Message-ID: <7dhsf.10132$3Z.1124@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 10790

You need a BCT-8. The next best thing to having a radar detector...

"Eric Ferguson" <GEFERG.KBHR@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:6Icsf.268$xz.261@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
> Don't know your circumstances, but, a six hour drive seems like nothing.
I
> regularly drive 12 hours just to see a friend. (And one of my scanners is
on
> the dash listening to various agencies. Saved me a few tickets. I drive
with
> a lead foot <g>. But not always.)
>
> Have any friends that could buy a state side scanner?
>
> --
> Tune Out .KBHR to reply me
>
>

5079. Re: Help with RS Pro-97 and trunking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-27 22:33:33 UTC
From: Bob Bailey <ebiz@usa.net>
Message-ID: <AISdnYKUwoelXCzeRVn-uQ@rcn.net>
Source record: 10791

"OregonMike" <OregonMike@g.ma.i.l.DieSpammers.NOw.com> wrote in message
news:3c77p1l24n902ge50vgen0l9eivf8jffsr@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 29 Nov 2005 20:32:17 -0500, Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
> wrote:
>
>>On 26 Nov 2005 17:02:17 -0800, "OregonMike" <OregonMike@gmail.com>
>>wrote:
>>
>>>I have been able to get frequency listings, talk group IDs, and the
>>>trunking system type but not doing something correctly. I'll keep
>>>plugging away at this and check in here for a scanner savior :)
>>
>>Are you sure you're marking both the bank and all the channels as the
>>trunking type, not FM?
>
>
> Hey Al,
>
> I did, indeed, have the banks and channels marked as trunking but it
> wasn't work. We may be able to chalk it up to lackluster reception
> strength on the trunking system I was trying to receive whle down in
> Oregon. Though, admittingly, I believe I didn't have everything
> program in properly. I finally figured out the basic steps of
> programming in the data channel for Motorola Systems and then manually
> entering in the Talk Group #'s and AlphaNumeric tags. The manual could
> have been quite a bit more insightful and less ambiguous and
> confusing. I finally googled my way to a couple of sights with some
> good, basic facts that pushed me forward much quickly than the manual
> would ever do.
>

I got a Pro-94 for Christmas and have never heard anything but static from
the trunked channels.

I used the cable and ScanCat-Lite-Plus to upload the information (whether
you call it difficult or tedious, manual programming is not my cup of tea)
and the non-trunked channels work just fine.

The trunked channels seem to scan but I don't understand the display and the
manual doesn't explain it.

Any advice will be appreciated.

TIA...Bob

5080. Re: BCD396T questions 12/26/2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-27 23:08:55 UTC
From: "J. Mc Laughlin" <jcm@power-net.net>
Message-ID: <11r3i9sj9i3db0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 10792

Dear Mr. Crocker:
I do have an account.
I did not realize that when you said that the Radio Reference site "has a lot of resources for the owners of the BCD396T" you meant one should post questions.

Eventually, I will figure things out or encounter someone who has experience. So far, the quality of reception is highly variable. I was in the center of the county today where one might assume that signals are strong and it made no significant difference. The users of the system must not be pleased.

Regards, Mac

--
J. Mc Laughlin; Michigan U.S.A.
Home: JCM@Power-Net.Net
"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:bc2dnbU7ospgGi3enZ2dnUVZ_s6dnZ2d@comcast.com...
> You will have to create an account for yourself before you can post
> anything. Just make up a nick-name, and a password. Then click here, and
> post your questions:
>
> http://www.radioreference.com/forums/forumdisplay.php?f=82
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
> "J. Mc Laughlin" <jcm@power-net.net> wrote in message
> news:11r0vip4c1ra9fa@corp.supernews.com...
> > Dear Mr. Crocker:
> > Thank you for your message.
> > I went to the Radio Reference site and spent a long time looking for
> > useful information about a BCD396T. Alas, I was not able to find
anything
> > at all.
> >
> > I will appreciate a URL that goes to the page on the Radio Reference
> > site that provides useful information on the BCD396T. I was not able to
> > find such a page.
> >
> > Regards, Mac
> >
> > --
> > J. Mc Laughlin; Michigan U.S.A.

> > Home: JCM@Power-Net.Net
> > "Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
> > news:wsKdnW9SfNiohi3enZ2dnUVZ_tSdnZ2d@comcast.com...
> >> I don't have the BCD396T, however, Radio Reference has a lot of
resources
> >> for the owners of the BCD396T. Even the person who designed it, Paul
> > Opitz
> >> (aka; UPMan) is accessible via email, and responds to this site,
> > supporting
> >> Uniden scanners.
> >>
> >> http://www.radioreference.com
> >>
> >> Bill Crocker
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
>

5081. Re: BCD396T questions 12/26/2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-27 23:14:04 UTC
From: "J. Mc Laughlin" <jcm@power-net.net>
Message-ID: <11r3ijh9qisqk70@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 10793

Dear "Chuck:"

Thanks for the observation. Sure seems a poor way to charge batteries.

Where might one find a source of this sort of information?

Regards, Mac

--
J. Mc Laughlin; Michigan U.S.A.
Home: JCM@Power-Net.Net
"Chuck" <ChuckBJ@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:71a1r1hjk8ioh86mtr9kmo6qi91j05eoj7@4ax.com...
> After about 14 hours, you will get a "charge complete" message. It is
> a timer based system, not a current based charger.
>
> >My BCD396T contains firmware version 1.07.01. The radio is expected to
be
> >used only to monitor the Michigan APCO-25 system.
> >
<snip>
> >
> >2. Uniden's site provides a vague sentence description of the
enhancement
> >between 1.08 and 1.09 versions of the radio's firmware.
> > What is/are the improvements between 1.07 and 1.08? Have
improvements
> >been noted with the use of 1.08 or 1.09?
> >
> >Thank you. Regards, Mac

5082. Re: BCD396T questions 12/26/2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-27 23:20:52 UTC
From: Jan Smit <jan@smit.com>
Message-ID: <43b1cc5c$0$10087$ba620dc5@text.nova.planet.nl>
Source record: 10794

> I did not realize that when you said that the Radio Reference site "has
> a lot of resources for the owners of the BCD396T" you meant one should
> post
> questions.

The site has a Wiki that includes all Uniden models...

5083. Re: Scanners / scanning in Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-28 11:08:54 UTC
From: NormanBauer@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1135768134.307159.188580@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10795

Ebay is a good place to start. You could also try
http://www.universal-radio.com but they can be a bit pricey. Can't help
you on Freq. stuff as I am in the US.

Regards,

Norm

5084. backlight Pro-2039

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-28 14:24:04 UTC
From: k9dzd9@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135779844.646367.176360@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10796

anyone know of a source for the small buld that is the backlight for
the peo-2039/i think the same for pro-2037
Monte K9DZD
k9dzd9@yahoo.com

5085. backlight Pro-2039

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-28 14:24:21 UTC
From: k9dzd9@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135779861.463601.158950@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10797

anyone know of a source for the small bulb that is the backlight for
the peo-2039/i think the same for pro-2037
Monte K9DZD
k9dzd9@yahoo.com

5086. Re: Radio Shack Scanner Clearance!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-28 14:31:13 UTC
From: daviddd <3ddaniels@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135780273.388833.243600@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10798

When you say Radio Shack won't ship to Canada, are you referring to"
radioshack.com "? Have you tried a Radio Shack store that is close to
you in the USA? Let me know, because I am sure we can find a store
close to you that would ship to Canada !!
daviddd

5087. Frequently Asked Questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-28 14:56:05 UTC
From: "Brian D." <b.donovan2@verison.net>
Message-ID: <9Ixsf.5088$Q73.3612@trnddc03>
Source record: 10799

Is there a FAQ link for this newsgroup? Thanks in advance for any links that are of interest to a newbie. I'm located in the Pacific NW for area specific links.
Brian

5088. Little Falls,NJ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-28 15:39:40 UTC
From: jerrywz <jerrywz@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3lysf.12324$Kp3.11262@fe08.lga>
Source record: 10800

Anyone know the police freq for Little falls, NJ? All internet websites say it's the Cedar Grove Channel two police freq. But i have had it on for 2 weeks now and have heard nothing. I'm sure i'm on the wrong freq. Hope someone can help me. Thanks for any info.

5089. Re: Radio Shack Scanner Clearance!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-28 18:38:24 UTC
From: Dave Holford <holford@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <43B2DBA0.661EEED9@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 10601

daviddd wrote:

> When you say Radio Shack won't ship to Canada, are you referring to"
> radioshack.com "? Have you tried a Radio Shack store that is close to
> you in the USA? Let me know, because I am sure we can find a store
> close to you that would ship to Canada !!
> daviddd

What about the NEW Canadian Radio Shack stores? Are they offering the same deals as the U.S. ones?

On the same topic does anyone know how many of the NEW Canadian Radio Shack stores are open already, and how many may be planned in the near future?

Dave
Who only has "The Source" (also known as OLD Canadian Radio Shack) stores nearby.

5090. Re: Scanners / scanning in Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-28 18:41:54 UTC
From: Dave Holford <holford@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <43B2DC72.2A1EB316@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 10602

Rob wrote:

> Hello all.
>
> I've just moved from the UK to Canada, and could use some advice!
>
> 1st...how can I get hold of a trunking scanner for a good price (like
> radio-shack prices, since there is no radio shack in Canada anymore!)?
>
> 2nd...What is the new trunking system that is coming in 2006? Will
> that affect the trunking system in Canada as well? I believe they use
> the GE Ericsson system in Edmonton.
>
> 3rd...any good frequency resources for the Edmonton area?
>
> Thanks in advance for the help. Much appreciated!
> Rob

Depends where you are - you might try to locate a NEW Canadian Radio Shack Store.

But you can purchase trunking scanners at various Canadian stores; Radioworld, Durham, Elkel etc. all ship nationwide. Some of them also sell digital scanners, subject to some restrictions.

Dave

5091. digital

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-28 20:48:22 UTC
From: sapper <billvet6@excite.com>
Message-ID: <1135802902.115743.302140@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10603

I have an old BC60XLT that I have had for years and now have a new pro
97. I would like to get something that will receive the digital mods
also. Not lots of Chanel's just something to
hear the Ind state police.Everything els I want to hear I can get on my
other radios,but not ISP as they are digital. Prefer something used but
I don't know what products are available.
I'm not even sure what new radios get the digital. So asking for
suggestions.thanks bill

5092. Re: digital

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-28 21:12:00 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <AcDsf.3330$nu6.2706@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 10604

"sapper" <billvet6@excite.com> wrote in message
news:1135802902.115743.302140@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>I have an old BC60XLT that I have had for years and now have a new pro
> 97. I would like to get something that will receive the digital mods
> also. Not lots of Chanel's just something to
> hear the Ind state police.Everything els I want to hear I can get on my
> other radios,but not ISP as they are digital. Prefer something used but
> I don't know what products are available.
> I'm not even sure what new radios get the digital. So asking for
> suggestions.thanks bill
>

You can find them on Ebay, but unless the price is just "too" good I would
not try it. If you want to hear Digital buy a new scanner with a warranty
from either RS or Uniden.
--
"Everything in excess! To enjoy the flavor of life, take big bites.
Moderation is for monks."
[Lazarus Long]

5093. Where to Buy a BC396T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-28 22:58:40 UTC
From: Andy NG <ng@tvcables.co.uk>
Message-ID: <DI-dnQgKh6Q8hS7eRVnyjw@pipex.net>
Source record: 10605

Hi Folks,

Having had one very bad experince trying to buy a BCD396T (had to get a payment reversal) I am now looking for a reputable supplier that will ship a BCD396T to the UK by DHL, Fedex or UPS. Any recommendations please?

Thanks,
Andy.

5094. Re: Scanners / scanning in Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-28 23:25:17 UTC
From: Rob <retkaa@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135812317.782752.178720@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10606

> But you can purchase trunking scanners at various Canadian stores;
> Radioworld, Durham, Elkel etc. all ship nationwide. Some of them also sell
> digital scanners, subject to some restrictions.
>
> Dave

I've had a look at some of those guys, but their prices are much higher
than stores in the US. I have managed to find some of what I'm looking
for on eBay though.

So anyone here got some good frequencies for me as I await the arrival
of my new radio?

Thanks,
Rob

5095. Re: Radio Shack Scanner Clearance!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-29 00:21:54 UTC
From: treesrcool <chainsaw@softhome.net>
Message-ID: <42b6r1h0m16kr7sk5ptrv779sc9as0d6t7@4ax.com>
Source record: 10607

eBay !?

On Sun, 25 Dec 2005 11:13:16 -0500, "Bill Crocker"
<wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote:

>Radio Shack
>
>The following scanners are on clearance @ $99.97:
>
>PRO-2052 (Uniden, great for MilAir)
>
>PRO-2054 (GRE, low-end, discontinued upon introduction???)
>
>PRO-95 (GRE, well known hand-held)
>
>Of course we're all aware these will be affected by re-banding. However, at
>that price, you may want to pick one up for VHF/UHF stuff!
>
>http://tinyurl.com/e2x58
>
>
>
>Bill Crocker
>

5096. Re: BCD396T questions 12/26/2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-29 11:22:11 UTC
From: Matt <pissoff@spammers.suck.com>
Message-ID: <dp0gt6pgb1@otis.netspace.net.au>
Source record: 10608

Having just purchased a 396 two days ago I was a little surprised at how unfriendly the Uniden manual is to use. As a long term scanner user despite not having played with any of the newer trunk capable scanners, I didn't expect to find it all that hard to pick it up and be able to know how to use it without to much of a problem.
Well talk about a shock to the system whenI turned it on - only slowly finding out how to use it properly after a couple of days of on and off playing with it.
I remember that there is supposed to be an easier to understand manual on the net somewhere (not uniden written) - can anyone help me out with where I can find it as well as some hints for the brain dead (like myself at the moment) to get the best out of the radio?

Matt

5097. Re: Where to Buy a BC396T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-29 12:48:13 UTC
From: Jan Smit <jan@smit.com>
Message-ID: <43b3db14$0$10088$ba620dc5@text.nova.planet.nl>
Source record: 10609

scannermaster.com

"Andy NG" <ng@tvcables.co.uk> schreef in bericht
news:DI-dnQgKh6Q8hS7eRVnyjw@pipex.net...
> Hi Folks,
>
> Having had one very bad experince trying to buy a BCD396T (had to get a
> payment reversal) I am now looking for a reputable supplier that will ship
> a BCD396T to the UK by DHL, Fedex or UPS. Any recommendations please?
>
> Thanks,
> Andy.
>

5098. Re: BCD396T questions 12/26/2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-29 14:38:49 UTC
From: "J. Mc Laughlin" <jcm@power-net.net>
Message-ID: <11r7t5cr2s43mfb@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 10610

Dear "Matt"
I am in a similar situation trying to get a new BCD396T up to speed.
Have no idea where you are located nor what you are wishing the receiver to
do.
My goal is to get the radio to work with the Michigan APCO 25 system on
800 MHz, and that is all that I have worked on.

So far, I have not found much on the WEB that is of actual use. As was
pointed out to me here, a set of forums exists off of the radioreference.com
site. Some information of interest to me is available there.

Let us know if you find something of value. It might also help to
describe your goals for the radio and generally where you are located. Such
information might prompt someone to help with your goals.

Regards, Mac

--
J. Mc Laughlin; Michigan U.S.A.
Home: JCM@Power-Net.Net
"Matt" <pissoff@spammers.suck.com> wrote in message
news:dp0gt6pgb1@otis.netspace.net.au...
> Having just purchased a 396 two days ago I was a little surprised at how
> unfriendly the Uniden manual is to use. As a long term scanner user
despite
> not having played with any of the newer trunk capable scanners, I didn't
> expect to find it all that hard to pick it up and be able to know how to
use
> it without to much of a problem.
> Well talk about a shock to the system whenI turned it on - only slowly
> finding out how to use it properly after a couple of days of on and off
> playing with it.
> I remember that there is supposed to be an easier to understand manual on
> the net somewhere (not uniden written) - can anyone help me out with where
I
> can find it as well as some hints for the brain dead (like myself at the
> moment) to get the best out of the radio?
>
>
>
> Matt
>
>

5099. Pro 96 or 2096 for $350

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-29 15:01:08 UTC
From: David* <dave06@nospamgmail.com>
Message-ID: <USSsf.40052$BZ5.14275@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 10611

Radio Shack has an online 30% off coupon "RS30" (no quotes).

It works on scanners.

Pro 97 is about $105.00 as well.

5100. RS Pro 2006 help, please!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-29 18:16:06 UTC
From: Neuroses 60623 <Neuroses_60623@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11r89vjp8mr9sa9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 10612

Help!

I have recently got my hands on a RS Pro 2006 scanner. I can program frequencies in 1-at-a-time, but it takes forever.

I have tried to search for "active frequencies" using the directions in the manual, but it won't seem to search the Limit assigned bands.

My question is, do I have to program EACH frequencie in, or can I somehow do a "band search" like my old Sportcat?

Please help?

-N6

5101. Re: RS Pro 2006 help, please!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-29 22:42:25 UTC
From: Rob Cullen <robc@burgers.fsnet.co.uk>
Message-ID: <IDZsf.17062$f7.8953@newsfe3-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 10613

Manual
Program
1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8 or 9 (you can set 9 banks)
Limit
enter the lower limit in mhz and press enter
Limit
Enter the higher limit in mhz and press enter
Press up or down arrow to search

"Neuroses 60623" <Neuroses_60623@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:11r89vjp8mr9sa9@corp.supernews.com...
> Help!
>
> I have recently got my hands on a RS Pro 2006 scanner. I can program
> frequencies in 1-at-a-time, but it takes forever.
>
> I have tried to search for "active frequencies" using the directions in
> the
> manual, but it won't seem to search the Limit assigned bands.
>
> My question is, do I have to program EACH frequencie in, or can I somehow
> do
> a "band search" like my old Sportcat?
>
> Please help?
>
> -N6
>
>
>
>

5102. Re: RS Pro 2006 help, please!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-29 23:15:12 UTC
From: Neuroses 60623 <Neuroses_60623@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11r8rg5ec3on0d7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 10614

Rob,

I have done this, but it takes FOREVER to find anything. Is there a way to just auto search through frequencies, rather than up or dn through each step?

-N6

"Rob Cullen" <robc@burgers.fsnet.co.uk> wrote in message news:lDZsf.17062$f7.8953@newsfe3-win.ntli.net...
> Manual
> Program
> 1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8 or 9 (you can set 9 banks)
> Limit
> enter the lower limit in mhz and press enter
> Limit
> Enter the higher limit in mhz and press enter
> Press up or down arrow to search
>
>
> "Neuroses 60623" <Neuroses_60623@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:11r89vjp8mr9sa9@corp.supernews.com...
>> Help!
>>
>> I have recently got my hands on a RS Pro 2006 scanner. I can program
>> frequencies in 1-at-a-time, but it takes forever.
>>
>> I have tried to search for "active frequencies" using the directions in
>> the
>> manual, but it won't seem to search the Limit assigned bands.
>>
>> My question is, do I have to program EACH frequencie in, or can I somehow
>> do
>> a "band search" like my old Sportcat?
>>
>> Please help?
>>
>> -N6
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>

5103. InvisibleHamAntennas.com - FOR SALE - 450

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-29 23:43:50 UTC
From: rfimages@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135899830.499549.266840@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10615

InvisibleHamAntennas.com - - domain name is for sale. The is one of a number of domain names that I own. I just do not have the time to develope this name so I am selling it. The price is $50. Transfer costs should be about $7.50.

Click on this link to see the parking page:
http://www.InvisibleHamAntennas.com

Thank you for looking.

Bill

5104. Re: Pro 96 or 2096 for $350

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-30 03:11:48 UTC
From: E <nospam@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Uz1tf.61$_A7.106601@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 10616

According to the 'Shack, that promotional code has expired.

Figures...

"David*" <dave06@nospamgmail.com> wrote in message
news:USSsf.40052$BZ5.14275@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
> Radio Shack has an online 30% off coupon "RS30" (no quotes).
>
> It works on scanners.
>
> Pro 97 is about $105.00 as well.
>
>

5105. Re: BCD396T questions 12/26/2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-30 14:05:37 UTC
From: Matt <pissoff@spammers.suck.com>
Message-ID: <dp3eri$1oct$1@otis.netspace.net.au>
Source record: 10617

"J. Mc Laughlin" <jcm@power-net.net> wrote in message
news:11r7t5cr2s43mfb@corp.supernews.com...
> Dear "Matt"
> I am in a similar situation trying to get a new BCD396T up to speed.
> Have no idea where you are located nor what you are wishing the receiver
> to do.
> My goal is to get the radio to work with the Michigan APCO 25 system
> on 800 MHz, and that is all that I have worked on.
>
> So far, I have not found much on the WEB that is of actual use. As
> was pointed out to me here, a set of forums exists off of the
> radioreference.com site. Some information of interest to me is available
> there.
>
> Let us know if you find something of value. It might also help to
> describe your goals for the radio and generally where you are located.
> Such information might prompt someone to help with your goals.
>
> Regards, Mac

Hi Mac - well locality wise I am a fair way away from you - Australia, so will be reasonably difficult for either of us to monitor the same signals with the 396. We have only just had the 396T (an Australian version) released here about a week ago (although there are probably a number of others who have imported US versions prior to the release of our version), so there hasn't been (to my knowledge) too much work done on the construction of profiles or similar (anyone in Aust - preferably the Melboure or Darwin area - playing with the 396, please drop me a line). What I mainly wanted the 396 was to listen to some of the police comms - unfortunately Melbourne (AS) is encrypted digital, so no go there, but I still travel enough that I may be able to use it for this purpose. Additionally I also want it for the trunking capability - haven't played with this field previously, so quite concious of being a particularly raw newbie there. Anyway, time to get back to the manual and try and make some sense out of it.

Matt

5106. New Mexico Highway Patrol Freq.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-31 00:50:40 UTC
From: Ken Boothe <k-n-b@charter.net>
Message-ID: <yBktf.89$m97.36@fe03.lga>
Source record: 10618

I've searched the web and find lots of freq. for New Mexico
but none for highway patrol.
Any help would be appreciated.
Ken

5107. Re: Radio Shack Scanner Clearance!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-31 02:22:45 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <V8mdnTHQgr_odijeRVn-gQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 10619

No...Radio Shack. I put a link in my original message that takes you right
to it.

Bill Crocker

"treesrcool" <chainsaw@softhome.net> wrote in message
news:42b6r1h0m16kr7sk5ptrv779sc9as0d6t7@4ax.com...
> eBay !?
>
>
>
>
>
>
> On Sun, 25 Dec 2005 11:13:16 -0500, "Bill Crocker"
> <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote:
>
>>Radio Shack
>>
>>The following scanners are on clearance @ $99.97:
>>
>>PRO-2052 (Uniden, great for MilAir)
>>
>>PRO-2054 (GRE, low-end, discontinued upon introduction???)
>>
>>PRO-95 (GRE, well known hand-held)
>>
>>Of course we're all aware these will be affected by re-banding. However,
>>at
>>that price, you may want to pick one up for VHF/UHF stuff!
>>
>>http://tinyurl.com/e2x58
>>
>>
>>
>>Bill Crocker
>>
>

5108. Re: Radio Shack Scanner Clearance!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-31 02:42:06 UTC
From: Daphne + Dave <dschertzer@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <2emtf.213668$ki.160314@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 10620

Hey Chainsaw, still in Halifax....?

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:V8mdnTHQgr_odijeRVn-gQ@comcast.com...
> No...Radio Shack. I put a link in my original message that takes you
> right to it.
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
> "treesrcool" <chainsaw@softhome.net> wrote in message
> news:42b6r1h0m16kr7sk5ptrv779sc9as0d6t7@4ax.com...
>> eBay !?
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> On Sun, 25 Dec 2005 11:13:16 -0500, "Bill Crocker"
>> <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote:
>>
>>>Radio Shack
>>>
>>>The following scanners are on clearance @ $99.97:
>>>
>>>PRO-2052 (Uniden, great for MilAir)
>>>
>>>PRO-2054 (GRE, low-end, discontinued upon introduction???)
>>>
>>>PRO-95 (GRE, well known hand-held)
>>>
>>>Of course we're all aware these will be affected by re-banding. However,
>>>at
>>>that price, you may want to pick one up for VHF/UHF stuff!
>>>
>>>http://tinyurl.com/e2x58
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Bill Crocker
>>>
>>
>

>

5109. Re: RS Pro 2006 help, please!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-31 03:06:32 UTC
From: Neuroses 60623 <Neuroses_60623@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11rbtdtcdoeus58@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 10621

Thank you! I think I have it now. I am used to just selecting the "band" I want and pressing search.

Once the limits are programmed in, turn the sq. dn and an arrow (up or dn) and it scans the limits programmed.

It took a while to figure that one out!

-N6

"Neuroses 60623" <Neuroses_60623@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:11r8rg5ec3on0d7@corp.supernews.com...
> Rob,
>
> I have done this, but it takes FOREVER to find anything. Is there a way
> to just auto search through frequencies, rather than up or dn through each
> step?
>
> -N6
>
> "Rob Cullen" <robc@burgers.fsnet.co.uk> wrote in message
> news:lDZsf.17062$f7.8953@newsfe3-win.ntli.net...
>> Manual
>> Program
>> 1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8 or 9 (you can set 9 banks)
>> Limit
>> enter the lower limit in mhz and press enter
>> Limit
>> Enter the higher limit in mhz and press enter
>> Press up or down arrow to search
>>
>>
>> "Neuroses 60623" <Neuroses_60623@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:11r89vjp8mr9sa9@corp.supernews.com...
>>> Help!
>>>
>>> I have recently got my hands on a RS Pro 2006 scanner. I can program
>>> frequencies in 1-at-a-time, but it takes forever.
>>>
>>> I have tried to search for "active frequencies" using the directions in
>>> the
>>> manual, but it won't seem to search the Limit assigned bands.
>>>
>>> My question is, do I have to program EACH frequencie in, or can I
>>> somehow do

>>> a "band search" like my old Sportcat?
>>>
>>> Please help?
>>>
>>> -N6
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

5110. Re: Little Falls,NJ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-31 07:20:12 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Miqtf.23569$GW1.22985@news-wrt-01.rdc-nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 10622

Little Falls, Township of []

Frequency Input License Type Tone CH Description Mode
46.38000 KAQ236 BM CSQ Fire/EMS FM
478.25000 WQBE563 RM 156.7 PL Fire/EMS FM
45.14000 45.74000 KEA377 RM 141.3 PL 1 Police (old) FM
477.15000 480.15000 WQDM676 RM 162.2 PL 1 Police (New) FM
45.94000 KEA377 M CSQ 2 Police (old) FM

> Anyone know the police freq for Little falls, NJ? All internet websites say
> it's the Cedar Grove Channel two police freq. But i have had it on for 2
> weeks now and have heard nothing. I'm sure i'm on the wrong freq. Hope
> someone can help me. Thanks for any info.
>
>

5111. Wideband TrunkTracker Scanners: BR330T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-31 07:21:42 UTC
From: LiquidH <EHjerpe@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1136013702.026276.59050@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10623

It does not seem like there are many wideband scanners with "Trunk Tracking" capability out there. Does anyone know if there is a real reason why? Are there performance problems with these? Does anyone have experience with the Uniden BR330T?

A scanner like this sounds appealing to me since it theoretically adds long-wave, short-wave and trunk-tracking to what my basic scanner today offers. Anyone know if Uniden plans to offer an upgrade to the BR330T in the near future that can also handle digital?

Regards,
Erik

5112. Re: RS Pro 2006 help, please!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-31 09:28:50 UTC
From: Rob Cullen <robc@burgers.fsnet.co.uk>
Message-ID: <mbstf.18206$5v1.13088@newsfe2-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 10624

The bands are not pre-programmed, but set a small gap between the high and low limits. eg 156.000 lower and 164.000 higher will give you a marine search. The 2006 will select the most appropriate channel spacing for you but you can change it.

"Neuroses 60623" <Neuroses_60623@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:11r8rg5ec3on0d7@corp.supernews.com...
> Rob,
>
> I have done this, but it takes FOREVER to find anything. Is there a way
> to just auto search through frequencies, rather than up or dn through each
> step?
>
> -N6
>
> "Rob Cullen" <robc@burgers.fsnet.co.uk> wrote in message
> news:lDZsf.17062$f7.8953@newsfe3-win.ntli.net...
>> Manual
>> Program
>> 1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8 or 9 (you can set 9 banks)
>> Limit
>> enter the lower limit in mhz and press enter
>> Limit
>> Enter the higher limit in mhz and press enter
>> Press up or down arrow to search
>>
>>
>> "Neuroses 60623" <Neuroses_60623@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:11r89vjp8mr9sa9@corp.supernews.com...
>>> Help!
>>>
>>> I have recently got my hands on a RS Pro 2006 scanner. I can program
>>> frequencies in 1-at-a-time, but it takes forever.
>>>
>>> I have tried to search for "active frequencies" using the directions in
>>> the
>>> manual, but it won't seem to search the Limit assigned bands.
>>>
>>> My question is, do I have to program EACH frequencie in, or can I
>>> somehow do
>>> a "band search" like my old Sportcat?
>>>
>>> Please help?
>>>

>>> -N6
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

5113. Re: Wideband TrunkTracker Scanners: BR330T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-31 16:40:26 UTC
From: fmurphy <fmurphy.20xav1@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <fmurphy.20xav1@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 10627

Check out the Uniden BCD396T http://tinyurl.com/bj4na I have a
friend that has one and loves it. I have the Br330-T and am really
happy with it considering there is no digital in my area at this time.

--
fmurphy

5114. Re: New Mexico Highway Patrol Freq.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-31 16:45:22 UTC
From: fmurphy <fmurphy.20xav2@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <fmurphy.20xav2@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 10626

Ken, try to check out your local Radio Shack store if there is one in your area. They are normally pretty good about having a copy of State Police frequencies. If not, locate a Police Call book and look under NMSP or State Police ....or maybe even locate a ham radio operater in your area.....those guys have it all!!! What area are you interested in? I may have a few that will work for you. I am in the Texas Panhandle and travel to New Mexico and listen in a bit.
Frank

Try this link. It has a few NMSP freqs on it.
http://www.zianet.com/kc5kto/scanner/lincolncountyNM.html also:
http://los_alamos.home.att.net/state.html good one!!
http://www.rampart-sar.com/newmex.htm
Hope these help

--
fmurphy

5115. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-31 19:52:41 UTC
From: netmanager2@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1136058761.682368.75080@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10625
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

K1MAN is a GOD of the tw-way. He will win and be declared the leader of
all free radio in the world. No power on earth can stop K1MAN.

N9OGL wrote:
> It seems to me that the FCC is taking it's sweet time sending the FINAL
> ORDER out on K1MAN. Why is that? perhaps the FCC is playing it cautious
> regarding K1MAN. I still have a lot questions regarding the NAL and I'm
> sure the US Court of Appeal would also have some question about it.
>
> 1. pecuniary interest
>
> One of the things that K1MAN was fined by the FCC for was Pecuniary
> Interest. K1MAN was transmitting the address to his website (which has
> commercial goods for Sale) over the radio. The fact is the ARRL also
> has good for sale on their website and transmits the address of their
> website over the radio as well. So how is it that one group is allowed
> to do it and the other isn't?
>
> 2. Broadcasting
>
> Another thing the FCC had fined K1MAN for was "broadcasting" although
> in the NAL the FCC didn't know what the fined for that should be set
> at. The question raised here is was the program he was running
> "directed to the general public" or "directed to amateurs"? If was
> directed to the public then it is a broadcast and if it was the other
> way it could fall within the scope of definition of "information
> bulletin". Amateur's don't believe that information bulletin should be
> opinionated yet there is no "official rulling" from the FCC that states
> that oher wise. The easies way to determine if a program is a broadcast
> or not is to determine if it was directed to the general public or
> directed to the amateur radio service and NOT by determining if the
> program is opinionate or not.
>
> I am not really for nor aganist K1MAN, however, I would question a lot
> of the FCC on some of this stuff.
>
> Todd Daugherty N9OGL
> Amateur Radio Operator

5116. Re: Scanners / scanning in Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-31 21:19:19 UTC
From: burgessfred33@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1136063959.561791.40570@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10628

The Source By Circuit City (used to be Radio Shack) carries the Uniden
Trunk Tracker 3. It is available by special order online.
Fred Burgess
Rob wrote:
> Hello all.
>
> I've just moved from the UK to Canada, and could use some advice!
>
> 1st...how can I get hold of a trunking scanner for a good price (like
> radio-shack prices, since there is no radio shack in Canada anymore!)?
>
> 2nd...What is the new trunking system that is coming in 2006? Will
> that affect the trunking system in Canada as well? I believe they use
> the GE Ericsson system in Edmonton.
>
> 3rd...any good frequency resources for the Edmonton area?
>
> Thanks in advance for the help. Much appreciated!
> Rob

5117. 2006 pro problems/questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-31 22:46:03 UTC
From: Neuroses 60623 <Neuroses_60623@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11re2idio4t111c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 10629

Experts,

I have a used RS Pro 2006. I have figured out how to scan with it and all that (thank you!) but...

I can pick up signals from very close by, including my own cordless phone. However, I scanned all list night and didn't get ANYTHING in the 800-900mhz. Tried again this morning and still nothing.

Is there something I am missing? (Its modded btw). Shouldn't I just be able to program the limits and get stuff?

Is this set bad?

Thank you!

-N6

5118. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2005-12-31 23:42:27 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <eHEtf.46500$ih5.42954@dukeread11>
Source record: 10630
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

netmanager2@yahoo.com wrote:

> K1MAN is a GOD of the tw-way. He will win and be declared the leader of
> all free radio in the world. No power on earth can stop K1MAN.
>
"one man can make a difference: with a well placed fact".
I would have used the word "bullet" for "fact", but that was not appropriate
in this venue.
--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.